Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2002-11 - 2002-12

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-11-01 through 2002-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,650

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 5

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Hey Dweebo your tattoo shows yer a nuttsakk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:00:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210311600.16f410ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142534

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0210310845.6db53d9a@posting.google.com>...
> And that ugly ass so called rap star gal...man...what a barf bag.
>
> Your tattoos show that you are gay. LKK has long been know to stand for the
> "LixKokkKlub".

And you would know this, how? Must be you're gay, Doug "Doggie"
Adairierre N8WWM, Toledo, Ohio.

2. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:02:10 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xV2dnVQSUI0eWVygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142535

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021031180036.708

> I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I live in have
> been posted here many times.

Really mine too... who confirmed them to be true?

3. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:03:06 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TgidnfxK07EmWVygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142536

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Don't,if you're afraid.

What's there to be afraid off ? Tell that to richard Cranium then.

4. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:05:30 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Qjidnaxgle7XWFygXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142537

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021031182531.06189.00000465@mb-cn.aol.com...
> I have actually taken the time to listen between 28.000 and
> 28.400...nothin...zip...dead air!
> This thread is bulsshit about one incident blown WAY out of
proportion.....
> The jerk who started the thread can go to hell and enjoy his
> "Intruders".....what bullshit!

Oh go phuqq yourself you stupid hillbilly your coax isn't plugged in then
people all across the country have heard people at different times on 28 085
truckers or freebanders you call it.

5. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:11:06 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B8A4A4E16C9rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142540

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in
news:20021031182531.06189.00000465@mb-cn.aol.com:

> I have actually taken the time to listen between 28.000 and
> 28.400...nothin...zip...dead air!
> This thread is bulsshit about one incident blown WAY out of
> proportion..... The jerk who started the thread can go to hell and
> enjoy his "Intruders".....what bullshit!
>
> "Got RF?"
>

Bummer. Perhaps your reciever isn't as sensitive as my buddies. Or maybe your antenna isn't as hot, I don't know. It wasn't just one isolated incident. There are many. I could ask him to record a few more in a day or two, then I could post them if you like. They don't seem hard to record.

I realize that they aren't concrete proof of anything. Still, I think most people hear them and see the point I was trying to make.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

6. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:12:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1C6F7.77317129@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142551

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> Hello JJ:
>
> Get any QSL Cards?
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Might have a few left, but I dumped a file drawer full when we
moved.

7. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:16:05 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311616.fee567f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142539

blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel) wrote
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> Oh so your saying some of you guys like
> playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?
>

I'm still waiting to see examples of the "harassing" posts and emails
I'm being accused of perpetrating, LOL.

-A

8. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:17:30 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AWKdndwnNsmGVVygXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142541

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC1961E.9E94C58F@mailcity.net...

> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and live
> in Mo. LOL!!

LOL he has to do a better job of searching google. JJ, you was born on the
4th of july....

9. WTB: President Grant DX mobile unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 00:37:52 UTC
From: tejun@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8ce2085.0210311637.33d738e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142542

Looking for President Grant DX mobile unit. I am not interested in the one with the band selector knob on the side. I want the one with the heat fins in the back. Please send info/price/pics to tejun@yahoo.com
THANX

10. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 01:46:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsmcd$4okqa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142545

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:IBFv9.17638$TH6.3749@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...

> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can ALL
> chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
>
> http://westid.com/amateur.htm

Actually, as an amateur radio operater and professional police dispatcher, I think it is kind of need. Don't know what I'd do with one besides encase it in plastic and set it on my desk. I'm too much of a cheapskate to order one, though.

I can visualize a lot of wanna-be radio cops flashing it at illegal CB operators, though.

Tom

11. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 01:47:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsmf9$4gkt6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142546

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029205839.669$HL@newsreader.com...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> >> > > Does it come with a polished bullet,for your shirt pocket?
> >
> >>No, a silver one for Halloween.
> >
> AAAOOO!! wearwolves of London. <g>
>
> (Hear Warren Zevon is dying of lung cancer? ;(..)
>

I heard that on the radio today... sure is a shame.

I've been singing that song all day today after hearing it on the radio.

Tom

12. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 01:56:37 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DC1DF55.97EF7EC3@qnet.com>
Source record: 142547

```
Hello JJ:

Get any QSL Cards?

Jay in the Mojave

JJ wrote:
>
> OZARK333 wrote:
> >
> > I have actually taken the time to listen between 28.000 and
> > 28.400...nothin...zip...dead air!
> > This thread is bulsshit about one incident blown WAY out of proportion.....
> > The jerk who started the thread can go to hell and enjoy his
> > "Intruders".....what bullshit!
>
> Then you must have a receiver that doesn't work on those
> frequencies. I had the TS-520 on all day on 28.085 and heard
> truckers there all day.
```

13. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:01:16 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311801.50811d47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142548

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>news:IBFv9.17638$TH6.3749@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>
>> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can
ALL
>> chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
>>
>> http://westid.com/amateur.htm
>
>
>Actually, as an amateur radio operater and professional police
dispatcher,
>I
>think it is kind of need. Don't know what I'd do with one besides
encase
>it
>in plastic and set it on my desk. I'm too much of a cheapskate to
order
>one, though.
>
>I can visualize a lot of wanna-be radio cops flashing it at illegal
CB
>operators, though.
>
>Tom

LOL, it's a safe bet you'll never see someone like Landshark or
Pigmeat wearing call letters on a badge, Tom. But for some strange
reason, I can visualize a lot of wannabe ISP cops in here flashing
THIS one:

http://www.web-police.org/

-Aaron-

14. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:09:04 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FSmdnYKCHbHcf1ygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142550

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> LOL, it's a safe bet you'll never see someone like Landshark or
> Pigmeat wearing call letters on a badge, Tom. But for some strange
> reason, I can visualize a lot of wannabe ISP cops in here flashing
> THIS one:
>
> http://www.web-police.org/
>
> -Aaron-

Touche to the net cops, Aaron, lol where in the world do you find em.

15. Re: Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:09:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsnnv$4oe4b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142549

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
wrote in message news:uEXv9.919$sE3.383004730@news.inreach.com...

Big Brown Rabbits Often Yield Great Big Vocal Groans When Gingerly Slapped.

Black Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Goes Willingly. Get Some Now.

And now, to learn VLF, LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF, SHF, and EHF:
Veronica Loves Manipulating Her Vagina Until She Explodes.

Tom

16. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:34:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1E845.5EC9CEB5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142562

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm
> > > > > > > > posting a day in advance? lol
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and
> > > > > > >> live
> > > > > > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What's your call?
> > > > > >
> > > > >> HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your real
> > > > > name and address.
> > > > >
> > > > I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I live in
> > > > have been posted here many times.
> > >
> > >> Then why should I give you my call?
> > >
> > Don't,if you're afraid.

Are you afraid to give your address? That is what I would be doing
if I posted my call.
```

17. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:35:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1E869.CA451BBF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142563

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm
> > > > > > > > > > > posting a day in advance? lol
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and
> > > > > > > > > > >> live
> > > > > > > > > > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > What's your call?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >> HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your
> > > > > > > > > >> real
> > > > > > > > > > name and address.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I live in
> > > > > > > > > > have been posted here many times.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >> Then you shouldn't mind posting your name and town again.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No I wouldn't mind,but go find it for yourself.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Then go find my call, it has been posted on the internet in the
> > > > > > past.
```

18. Re: Sparkster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:42:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc1e9b9.5869175@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142552

In <20021031094739.01276.00001709@mb-mk.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com10-4
(Mister T) wrote:

>with all the sweep tube shit you been alking about lately i thought you'd like
>to read this. i bet skips done a few of these mods in his day.
>
>http://www.svetlana.com/docs/TechBulletins/technoteNO.32.html
>
>

Excellent page, Tim! Thanks! I especially like the intro:

"... The 6LQ6 was not designed for linear amplifier service and, of
course, it was no match for popular high-priced power tubes, such as
the 3-500Z. The application of sweep tubes in amateur radio linear
amplifiers was clearly an engineering compromise dictated by economy."

19. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:42:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc1ea0d.5953375@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142554

In <3DC17ED7.E2B8E4ED@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
wrote:

> Frankie Boy "yeti@cet.com" wrote: goes off the deep end...
>
>> In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
>> >MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
>> Well?
>>
>> It's obvious, even to the keyclowns, that you have run out of
>> arguments; so how about answering some of the questions you have been
>> stalling to answer? Seriously, I really think that you don't know shit
>> about amps, even "classic" grounded-grid amplifiers, and you are just
>> trying to BS your way out of this challenge. With all your vague
>> comments and weak redirections, it doesn't look like you know shit! So
>> come on, Skippy, tell us what a "classic" design entails, or how a
>>
>
>Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>excited
>about,

I was replying to my own post because you haven't.

> but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.

"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!

>> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>>
>
>Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>unnecessary.

The reason that no neutralization is needed for a grounded-grid
amplifier is because the output is in phase with the input, which

means that you don't get regenerative feedback. It has nothing to do with grid shielding. Your lack of theory is showing, Skippy.

In a common-cathode amplifier, however, the screen and supressor do shield the grid from the plate, and Cgp for a pentode is much lower than for a triode. But in the class of pentodes, the Cgp of a sweep tube is characteristically high (as I pointed out earlier), which is why it requires much more neutralization than an RF pentode.

>Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.

Yes they do, primarily because of the very low impedance required for the input, and the fact that the input power is carried through as a portion of the output power. Not because of grid shielding (LOL!). But then again, the grid-current distortion is much greater from a sweep tube than from an RF power tube because sweep tubes aren't designed for smooth transition into grid-current conduction -- and configuring a sweep tube as a grounded-grid triode doesn't change that fact.

>What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>
>shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.

"Passive gain operation"? Where do you come up with this shit, Skippy?

>> even though it's clearly designed as a triode. Or maybe you can
>> explain why Swan added grid-current distortion precautions to the
>> manuals (and ALC circuits to the radios) for their sweep-tube radios
>
>Pretty sly... but not sly enough. You jump around a lot and omit very
>significant details...
>
>First off, the topic was classic grounded grid rf amplifiers, in specific
>
>AB2 operation.

WRONG! The topic was the comparison of sweep tubes to properly designed RF power tubes in RF power amplifiers. You accuse ME of being sly when it is YOU that is trying to change the subject?

> Now you want to jump over to a Swan Radio... tis not
>the same Grounded grid animal Frankie... Oh, but you failed to mention
>
>that.

No, I didn't fail to mention that. But I'll say it again just in case you didn't understand it the first time: Swan radios used sweep tubes in common-cathode configuration, not grounded-grid as they did in their amplifiers. I exampled both their radios and amplifiers for comparison purposes. You mean to tell me that you were so confused as to the conversation that you couldn't figure that out?

>Homey ain't playing your smoke screen game.

The smoke screen is coming from your camp, Skippy: You keep trying to narrow the topic down to grounded-grid amplifiers only. Doesn't really matter tho, since your theory on grounded-grid amplifiers sucks just the same.

>In short, all mfgrs used what they thought the most practical part and
>circuit
>trade offs... which probably included many short cuts... You claim to be
>a big time
>broadcaster type... ever seen a station take shortcuts..?

The tradeoff was based on cost, and that's all. The buyer sacrificed linearity and spectral purity in order to get a cheap amp.

>The Swan Radios are not grounded grid circuits... as we have been taking
>here. But you want to interchange sidebar off topic circuits.... Nope,
>"wouldn't be prudent at this conjecture.."

That has been the discussion all along, why change now?

>ALC is bad news for most all radios... dated technology that really
>didn't
>/ doesn't work well for the most part. Another topic thread please...

Whoa! The basic ALC circuit works just fine for what it was intended, it works within it's limitations, and is VERY MUCH used even today! It's FAR from being "dated technology"! And it's used in both grounded-grid AND common-cathode amplifiers! You are WAY off base here, Skippy!

>> (that is, explain why they needed to do that if sweep tubes are so
>> adept at RF amplification?). And you have yet to find a TV that uses a
>> 6146 as a sweep tube, or a currently produced RF amplifier that's
>> legal for use between 24 and 35 MHz.
>
>Because they work pretty well in the intended application... what part
>of denial do you live in..?

No denial here: the intended application is an inexpensive amp with the trade-off of spectral purity and linearity.

> I probably won't find a TV set with a 6146
>on
>board... but you boat doesn't float unless you go back to that repost
>over and over.... like I should reprint your multiple "grid tied to the
>plate"
>posts over and over. Hey, what text I reposted would probably work....
>what you directly posted would fail every time... big time tube failures
>cost money.

The difference, Skippy, is that I admitted my mistake, which you have failed to do until now.

>So I made a few inquiries... turns out I can't find very many current
>FCC certified Amp mfgrs and no current certified amplifier builders
>for 24-35 Mhz applications. Not a cost effective place to be these
>days... HF & VHF Amplifier production in general is down...

Let's see, you are claiming that there are no legal amps for 24 to 35
MHz because amp production is down? How about because the amps are
illegal? Doesn't that strike you as a better reason why they aren't
being manufactured? Duh...!

>But pre ban amplifiers are still legal and available on the
>used market. There is no significant circuit difference from the
>mentioned
>35-54Mhz TPL amplifiers I provided Ebay Auction examples of; and
>their pre ban cousins. Nothing wrong with a legal used pre ban
>amplifier.

Provided it is type-accepted/certificated for use on the service, and
that such authorization is still valid. But note the difference here,
Skippy: the prohibited frequencies are 24 to 35 MHz, and the amps you
mentioned are 35 to 54 MHz. Don't you see something wrong with that?
Or did you really think that I wouldn't check?

>> So come on, Skippy, you aren't just full of bullshit, are you? Or
>> maybe you are ready to admit that you really don't know shit about the
>> amps that are so deserving of your approbation. Well, I don't think
>> THAT's going to happen: more likely you are going to duck these
>> questions with excuses such as, "your questions are stupid", or "you
>> don't know shit", yet without providing any foundation or fact to
>> support such conclusions. So go right ahead and ignore the fact that I
>> have answered all YOUR questions yet you refuse to answer MINE. After
>> all, the only people that care are the ones that will go through the
>> archives and see who can defend their statements with technical facts.
>> Of course, that won't be YOU, Skippy, when all you offer is
>> subjective, undefined and unsupported terms such as "classic" and
>> "properly designed". Yes, go ahead and ignore your own terminology, as
>> well as my last post on this topic, as it will only serve to discredit
>> your obvious bias in favor of poor RF engineering!
>
>Wow Frankie' you sure hit the low bar language toilet... a clear sign
>that you are on shaky ground...

Hmmm... despite my ability to back up my arguments with facts and
valid theory while you can't, just because I used the word "shit" a
few times means that -I- am on "shaky ground"? ROTFLMFAO!!! (guess
what the "F" stands for?)

> One might conclude that all the
>mfgrs who made sweep tube amplifiers, the many handbook projects
>and similar practical easy to construct rf AB2 g-g circuits contradict
>you. Of course, you are right, they are all wrong...

Your implication is that I am saying that these circuits don't work, when in fact they do. What I am saying is that sweep tubes don't work nearly as well as do properly designed RF power tubes, which is why the VAST and OVERWHELMING MAJORITY of manufactured RF amplifiers (both external and integrated) and radio handbook projects that use tubes use the latter!

>> BTW, you STILL haven't answered the question, "Do you have a financial
>> interest in the popularity of sweep-tube amplifiers"? So will that be
>> a 'yes', a 'no', or a 'no comment'? I'm betting the latter.
>
>Your news reading program a bit whacked Frankie..? I answered
>quite some time ago. Now explain to us why a yes or no answer would
>make any difference...

Simplification in the face of obfuscation. So what's the answer? And you STILL haven't described your "classic" and "properly designed" sweep tube amp. Well?

20. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:42:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc1ea1a.5966118@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142555

In <3DC17FAC.2A76358F@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
wrote:

>I'm still waiting for you to reply to my posted questions Frankie...
>skipp
>http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>
>

What's this? Chopped liver?

<3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>

21. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 02:49:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsq2i$4glt3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142553

One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous the CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally on 10 meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few others who are obnoxious, but it seems that most of the CBer's here get quite defensive.

Now I don't have anything against someone who wants to run a little power, nor do I care about anyone who wants to operate on "extra channels" provided they stay out of the ham bands. I also understand that many hams tend to look down upon CBer's like parents do children. But my attitude has always been live and let live. However, when someone points out that this or that is illegal, they get jumped on.

Just my observations.

Tom

22. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 03:04:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsqve$4mp18$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142556

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210301241.1b1ceff6@posting.google.com...

> My guess is that they have
> heard from the "techs" and from other drivers that 10 meters is empty
> and no one cares if they talk there or not.

Well they'd probably say anything to make a sale. But it's my guess that the trucker would have to know a little about "extra channels" or 10 meters to pay $200-$300 for an "export radio" as opposed to a little over $100 for a good quality legal CB. At any rate, the responsibility for operating legally should be up to the individual operating the radio. I'm sure if a trucker buys an "export CB" or 10 meter radio, or has a legal CB modified for "extra channels," it's not his intention to operate legally.

> it really is up to hams like Jerry to
> get the word out

The way you worded this implies that you are not licensed. Do you have a ham license?

Tom

23. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 03:10:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsram$4mp2n$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142557

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
wrote in message news:ipcw9.946$oX6.396591154@news.inreach.com...
> I asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> supermarket.
>
> Most thought CB was dead.
>
> A few asked if I was gay.
>
> One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
>
> The police refused my question and told me to leave.
>
> One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
>
> The majority just looked at me and continued on

Heh, I work as a police dispatcher and I can just hear this call coming in.
"There's some weirdo asking people questions about CB in front of the
Food4Less. He must either be an escapee from the group home or a
terrorist!"

Tom

24. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 03:30:14 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311930.72b67b18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142558

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous
the
>CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally
on 10
>meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner &
>perhaps a few others who are obnoxious

Whoah there Tom, it's true I don't give "respect" to illegal CBers.
But why do you find it obnoxious? It might be obnoxious if I was
trying to stop them from posting their opinions. But I don't. In fact,
anyone is free to post anything they want in defense and support of
illegal CB. You can even give the illegals some "extra" respect to
make up for my lack of it. You might even get a few recruits for the
Pirate Radio world in the bargain. It's a win-win situation :)

-Aaron-

25. Re: Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 04:02:19 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1FD71.F6C252B6@optonline.net>
Source record: 142559

LS/FMT

Lucky Strike Means Fine Tobacco
Loose Straps Mean Floopy Tits

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
> wrote in message news:uEXv9.919$sE3.383004730@news.inreach.com...
>
> Big Brown Rabbits Often Yield Great Big Vocal Groans When Gingerly Slapped.
>
> Black Boys Rape Our Young Girls But Violet Goes Willingly. Get Some Now.
>
> And now, to learn VLF, LF, MF, HF, VHF, UHF, SHF, and EHF:
> Veronica Loves Manipulating Her Vagina Until She Explodes.
>
> Tom

26. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 04:05:00 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210312005.7c6a7254@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142560

Tom Sevart wrote
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210301241.1b1ceff6@posting.google.com...
>
> >My guess is that they have
>> heard from the "techs" and from other drivers that 10 meters is
empty
> >and no one cares if they talk there or not.
>
>Well they'd probably say anything to make a sale. But it's my guess
>that the trucker would have to know a little about "extra channels"
>or 10 meters to pay $200-$300 for an "export radio" as opposed to
>a little over $100 for a good quality legal CB. At any rate, the
>responsibility for operating legally should be up to the individual
>operating the radio. I'm sure if a trucker buys an "export CB" or
>10 meter radio, or has a legal CB modified
>for "extra channels," it's not his intention to operate legally.
>

OK, we've both had our "guesses" and they seem to disagree, but that's
how Usenet works.

>
> >it really is up to hams like Jerry to
> >get the word out
>
>The way you worded this implies that you are not licensed.
>Do you have a ham license?

The way I worded it implies only this: I think Jerry has every right
to get the word out re 10 meter intrusion. So does anyone else who is
concerned with CB. Seems to me that agreement or disagreement with my
statement about Jerry is the appropriate issue here, not if I have a
license or not. Or are you just being...."obnoxious"?

-A

27. Re: Sparkster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 04:17:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031231730.16731.00000027@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 142561

i figured you would

>Excellent page, Tim! Thanks! I especially like the intro:

28. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 04:56:13 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021031235613.26623.00000580@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142564

>I agree but you know even if no unliscenced operaters ever went up to 10
>meters, there would be something else to bitch about, like freebanding,
>non-linear amps, overmodulation, etc. There will always be hams that piss on
>this newsgroup, don't worry about it though, in the long run it has a
>negative effect on thier own hobby.

Ham Radio is some weird cult. And, it's a boring cult. If you don't conform to the Ham conventions, you are not a "true" Ham.

Operating on 11m is highly discouraged. Ham vendors will offer a range of crystals with a continuous range of frequencies, but with the 11m band missing.

Hams are a pretty pathetic group as a whole. Hams need to feel superior so they can claim they are better than CBers. Some claim Morse Code makes them superior, so they will not be CBers. This does not make sense to me.

Many get their thrills from contesting. Contesting is making as many contacts as possible within a given time period. Hams call "CQ CQ" and wait for a response. After the contact is made, call signs are exchanged, the contact is logged into a spreadsheet, and the Ham looks for more contacts. It gets boring after five minutes. Many Hams get some kind of thrill from contesting. Ham Radio should be more interesting.

29. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 05:06:41 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021101000641.26623.00000584@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142565

> asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
>supermarket.
>
>Most thought CB was dead.
>
>A few asked if I was gay.
>
>One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
>
>The police refused my question and told me to leave.
>
>One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
>
>The majority just looked at me and continued on
>
If you ask ANY question in a supermarket, you would get the same reaction. It has nothing to do with CB. People are there to buy food, not for CB.

>Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

What makes CB so special that its a hobby? Isn't it just operating a radio. Is FRS (Family Radio Service) or walkie talkies essentially the same thing? You don't call these hobbies.

Automechanic or sports qualify as hobbies. How is CB a hobby?

And how is it different/better/worse than Ham Radio?

30. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 05:49:42 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210312149.b70ce4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142566

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote
>> asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
>>supermarket.
>>
>>Most thought CB was dead.
>>
>>A few asked if I was gay.
>>
>>One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
>>
>>The police refused my question and told me to leave.
>>
>>One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
>>
>>The majority just looked at me and continued on
>>
>If you ask ANY question in a supermarket, you would get the same
>reaction. It has nothing to do with CB. People are there to buy food,
>not for CB.
>
>>Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?
>
>What makes CB so special that its a hobby? Isn't it just operating a
>radio. Is FRS (Family Radio Service) or walkie talkies essentially
the >same thing? You don't call these hobbies.
>
>Automechanic or sports qualify as hobbies. How is CB a hobby?
>
>And how is it different/better/worse than Ham Radio?
>
>

Hey, it's Vipul Shah, back with the old "CB vs Ham" question again.
Maybe he'll get some different answers this time around.

-Aaron-

31. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 07:26:39 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aptbk5$i96$6@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 142567

> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:xBudnVedZeOC_l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > news:20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > > what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by
> > knicknames?
> >
> > I use Outlook Express.
> > Sort by E-mail address,

He keeps changing that... so that is useless.

> > words in the subject line,

The subject is supposed to change in each thread... so that is useless.

> > the from "who" name displayed,

Oh dear... he keeps changing that, too.

> etc. Stick them in to separate folders,
> > including the DELETE folder. You never even see the junk show up,

As long as all the junk always has the same e-mail addy, nicname,
subject, or body text.
Oh yes, very useful... just like a chocolate teapot.

But then George knows that, which is why he changes his nic and
e-mail in his headers.

> > You can create just about any message rule you
> > want.

Any? Look more closely, the list of possibilities is not that large...
1. Specified newsgroup... great for deleting a whole newsgroup
or crossposted messages, not a person.
2. From line... George keeps changing this.
3. Subject line. Again, not for blocking a person... just deleting
certain subjects, like "FA", "OT" or "porn".
4. Specified account. This refers to YOUR accounts, so can mark
or delete your own messages.
5. Age of message. Once again, not for people, this is to mark or
delete old outdated messages.
6. Number of lines... To delete large messages, not people.
7. All messages... not much of a "filter"... huh.

It should also be noted that these rules are applied AFTER downloading the message, so your system is still kept busy downloading rubbish that you will not read, then deleting it. Therefore, the file size rule is rather pointless.
As you will notice, I am using OE6, older versions may be more limited.

The only real "blocking" works by e-mail address, which he keeps changing.

Unless you can state a "rule" that would have blocked every one of georges posts this year, then I suggest that you are talking from the incorrect orifice.

BTW: You are still blocked, and now Georges latest "nic" is too.

Brainbuster.

32. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 07:34:08 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aptbk7$i96$7@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 142568

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity
> into the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio
> operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.
>
> Many states have programs in place that require people to take basic
> safety/regulation courses to make sure that they have a basic
> understanding
> of the activities they are engaging in. For example, hunters and boaters
> have to take basic safety/regulation courses before they are allowed to
> engaged in their respective activities. The purpose for this is not only
> to ensure the safety of the general public, but also the safety of the
> individual engaged in the activity.
>
> Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course
> before allowing them to operate their radios? It seems to me that everyone
> would benefit to some extent; the user obtains a greater knowledge and
> understanding of their hobby, interference into the ham bands is reduced,
> and potential health and safety problems are reduced.

I see two main problems...

1. Enforcement.
To make this work, licensing would have to become a requirement
once more. Without a licence system, there is no way to know who
has passed and no reason for anyone to bother.

2. CB is Non-technical.
CB is not meant to be a technical interest. It is there for "citizens",
not engineers, technicians, etc. If people want to take a test, they
will become Amateurs. To put examinations in place would just
make CB like another Amateur service.

In the end, it will still not stop the illegal use, people know that they
should only use the allocated frequencies and power levels. So, it would be
a pointless task with high costs.

Regards,

Peter.

33. Ryno

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:08:48 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DC27CE0.A7A68C00@qnet.com>
Source record: 142571

Hello Ryno:

Avanti 170 5/8 GP, PDL-II, Moonraker 4 & 6 Hub's are are available at Coppers I think.

But be advised the Moonraker 6 has two different ID sizes, 1 5/8" and 1 3/4"
Inside Diameter.

I have my machinist working a new set of hubs from billet aluminum, we'll see what they cost to make in quantities. Probably pretty expensive.

Jay in the Mojave

34. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:11:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211010511.7e1471fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142569

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021101000641.26623.00000584@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> > asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> >supermarket.
> >
> >Most thought CB was dead.
> >
> >A few asked if I was gay.
> >
> >One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
> >
> >The police refused my question and told me to leave.
> >
> >One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
> >
> >The majority just looked at me and continued on
> >
> If you ask ANY question in a supermarket, you would get the same reaction. It
> has nothing to do with CB. People are there to buy food, not for CB.
>
> >Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?
>
> What makes CB so special that its a hobby? Isn't it just operating a radio. Is
> FRS (Family Radio Service) or walkie talkies essentially the same thing? You
> don't call these hobbies.
>
> Automechanic

Bad choice; auto mechanic is a money-making vocation, not a hobby
unless you're a teenager as a rule.

or sports qualify as hobbies.

Maybe school sports or "professional" wrestling. Try telling that to a
NFL quarterback or linebacker!

How is CB a hobby?
>
> And how is it different/better/worse than Ham Radio?

That's obvious: No license is required for CB. Better/worse is just an
opinion.

35. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:11:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211010511.4d844660@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142570

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210312149.b70ce4c@posting.google.com>...
> vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote
> >> asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> >>supermarket.
> >>
> >>Most thought CB was dead.
> >>
> >>A few asked if I was gay.
> >>
> >>One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
> >>
> >>The police refused my question and told me to leave.
> >>
> >>One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
> >>
> >>The majority just looked at me and continued on
> >>
> >If you ask ANY question in a supermarket, you would get the same
> >reaction. It has nothing to do with CB. People are there to buy food,
> >not for CB.
> >
> >>Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?
> >
> >What makes CB so special that its a hobby? Isn't it just operating a
> >radio. Is FRS (Family Radio Service) or walkie talkies essentially
> the >same thing? You don't call these hobbies.
> >
> >Automechanic or sports qualify as hobbies. How is CB a hobby?
> >
> >And how is it different/better/worse than Ham Radio?
> >
> >
>
> Hey, it's Vipul Shah, back with the old "CB vs Ham" question again.
> Maybe he'll get some different answers this time around.
>
> -Aaron-

When am I going to get a "different answer" from you? You said you
would Gladly post proof, Aanal Aaron, but all you do is run away.

36. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:18:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211010518.1e5ef68e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142572

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<H0qdnepsiJ6lJ1ygXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> http://www.house.gov/walden/
>
> --
> If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove your correct.

Hi, Georgie-girl. Still can't spell, huh? How come yet another phoney
email address? You must collect them like some collect QSL cards!

George Busch WA3MOJ; another fine product of the publik edjakashun
sistum.

37. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:28:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211010528.1e3d32dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142573

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210312005.7c6a7254@posting.google.com>...
> Tom Sevart wrote
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0210301241.1b1ceff6@posting.google.com...
> >
> > >My guess is that they have
> >> heard from the "techs" and from other drivers that 10 meters is
> empty
> > >and no one cares if they talk there or not.
> >
> >Well they'd probably say anything to make a sale. But it's my guess
> >that the trucker would have to know a little about "extra channels"
> >or 10 meters to pay $200-$300 for an "export radio" as opposed to
> >a little over $100 for a good quality legal CB. At any rate, the
> >responsibility for operating legally should be up to the individual
> >operating the radio. I'm sure if a trucker buys an "export CB" or
> >10 meter radio, or has a legal CB modified
> >for "extra channels," it's not his intention to operate legally.
> >
>
> OK, we've both had our "guesses" and they seem to disagree, but that's
> how Usenet works.
>
> >
> > >it really is up to hams like Jerry to
> > >get the word out
> >
> >The way you worded this implies that you are not licensed.
> >Do you have a ham license?
>
> The way I worded it implies only this: I think Jerry has every right
> to get the word out re 10 meter intrusion. So does anyone else who is
> concerned with CB. Seems to me that agreement or disagreement with my
> statement about Jerry is the appropriate issue here, not if I have a
> license or not. Or are you just being...."obnoxious"?
>
> -A

Once again Aanal Aaron does his famous patented "chicken side-step"
when asked a question. Har de har.

So from your statement above, you agree that YOU have NO "right to get
the word out re 10 meter intrusion" since you have no license to
operate there, yes?

38. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:29:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101082918.12126.00001761@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142574

no he doesnt have a license. thats why he refused to answer your question.

>
>>The way you worded this implies that you are not licensed.
>>Do you have a ham license?
>
>The way I worded it implies only this: I think Jerry has every right
>to get the word out re 10 meter intrusion. So does anyone else who is
>concerned with CB. Seems to me that agreement or disagreement with my
>statement about Jerry is the appropriate issue here, not if I have a
>license or not. Or are you just being...."obnoxious"?
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>

39. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:30:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101083020.12126.00001762@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142575

a job counts as a hobbie?

>Automechanic or sports qualify as hobbies. How is CB a hobby?
>

40. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:34:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101083400.12126.00001763@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142576

alan, how much longer until you become a no code hero here like leland, jj, aaron, and the others? i see it comming real shortly.

>Alan Strawinski
>http://alan.strawinski.net
>rrcb@strawinski.net
>
>

41. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 13:35:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101083508.12126.00001764@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142577

```
>
>Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>>
>> Hello JJ:
>>
>> Get any QSL Cards?
>>
>> Jay in the Mojave
>
>Might have a few left, but I dumped a file drawer full when we
>moved.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

wow, you must make a lot of trucker contacts to have a whole drawer full

42. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:06:38 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DrGcnW2P7NvzF1-gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142578

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211010518.1e5ef68e@posting.google.com...
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<H0qdnepsiJ6lJ1ygXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> > > http://www.house.gov/walden/
> > >
> > > --
> > > If you expect people to believe you,
> > > it's up you to prove your correct.
>
> Hi, Georgie-girl. Still can't spell, huh? How come yet another phoney
> email address? You must collect them like some collect QSL cards!
>
> George Busch WA3MOJ; another fine product of the publik edjakashun
> sistum.

Sorry Dickhead you had better take your own advise the sig file was written
by you all I did was copy and paste your words so yes your spelling sucks.
btw, you have accused me of havivg phony email addresss have you once ever
tried to email me? I don't think you have the only thing phony around here
is you. LOL try again dickie head.

43. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:07:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC28AB3.7CB88FDA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142593

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think
> > > > > > > > > > > I'm posting a day in advance? lol
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever
> > > > > > > > > >> and live
> > > > > > > > > > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > What's your call?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >> HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your
> > > > > > > > >> real
> > > > > > > > > > name and address.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I
> > > > > > > > > live in have been posted here many times.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Then you shouldn't mind posting your name and town again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No I wouldn't mind,but go find it for yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > >> Then go find my call, it has been posted on the internet in the
> > > > > past.
> > > >
> > > Ok here you go:
> >
> > Steve Parks,Medina Ohio.
```

>
> Now it's your turn.

So what is this Steve Parks call? I never heard of him, QRZ database shows no hits for a Steve Parks. I have never lived in Ohio. Thought you said I lived in Mo. You are so far off base it is pathetic. My call is a 5 land call if you think that will help you any.

44. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:09:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20287-3DC28B12-9@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142580

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com >(Tom Sevart) <
>One thing I find interesting about this< >newsgroup is how contemptuous
the CBer's< >treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's< >operate
illegally on 10 meters.<

Care to be more specific? Painting with a wide brush right off the bat
is never a good thing and it merely lends a false credibility to your
claims, albeit very temporary.
Since it hasn't happened, my hazard guess is you are too biased to form
an objective opinion,,,,,I base this on your "hunting activities" as a
(cough) *registered monitor*. Why would rude behavior interesting?
According to the hammies preaching legalities, cbers imitate
hammies.....clean your own back yard, it's much stinkier than cb, and
before you disagree, do the math of hammies vs. estimated cbers, then
take into consideration cb is jammed into 40 channels while soma hammies
have what have self-described their freqs. as "endless" and
"limitless"...as if it was the be-all.

>I can understand the resentment toward< >Aaron Voobner<

Ahhh....so you are an educated hammie. Excellent. Perhaps you can be
educated on what takes place in here, not what meets the eye.

>& perhaps a few others who are obnoxious,< >but it seems that most of
the CBer's here get< >quite defensive.<

That is usually a normal, basic human reaction when
attacked.....really.

>Now I don't have anything against someone< >who wants to run a little
power,<

Now, before we proceed, you must be made aware that several hammies have
claimed this very thing, while their practices and past posts were
completely contradictory to what they now suggest and as such, needed
shown their own posts, as they claim they don't exist. You can see
plenty of this from hammies (mostly nocodes and Arron's posse that
pledged to hassle the group), but there is an upperclassmen or 2 that
thought they were untouchable and thought they would join the cber
harassment campaign,,,they were spanked as well.

>nor do I care about anyone who wants to< >operate on "extra channels"
provided they<
>stay out of the ham bands. <

Some hammies don't have this wisdom. We have a plethora of nocodes, such
as Lelnad KCLDO and Dogie Adair N8WWM that wet themselves regularly over

this noncb problem of intruders on 10 meter. Pretty funny when they can't even upgrade in order to use these frequencies. Their agenda is highlighted by the fact that they don't care about protecting hammie radio or cleaning it up....theirs is only to try (and fail) at harassing cbers.

>also understand that many hams tend >
>to look down upon CBer's like parents do< >children. But my attitude has always been >liveand let live.<

Ha! I have used those EXACT words before and several hammies took issue with it.
Glad to see an upperclassmen showing some sense and setting an example for the juvenile-type nocodes to follow..

>However, when someone points out that this<
>or that is illegal, they get jumped on.<

Only when it is intentionally redundant.

>Just my observations.

Stick around. Your observations will be honed like never before.

>Tom

PleasedtomeetchaTomwelcometorecradiocb!

45. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:10:42 UTC
From: Richard Cranus aka turd buster <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aJScnely1aP_FI-gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142579

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211010511.4d844660@posting.google.com...

> When am I going to get a "different answer" from you? You said you
> would Gladly post proof, Aanal Aaron, but all you do is run away.

When can we get proof to back up your *fact* that there are 30 -40 millions
cbers. huh all you do is run in circles.

46. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:20:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC28DCB.3535@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142581

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again.
> For the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which
> serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly
> obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to
> the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating
> the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it.
> Call it vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to
> abandon the CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to
> keep the animals at bay.
> At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass,
> or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down
> antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW,
> makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> ==
> Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.

No work required. I'm always upfront about the truth. Maybe that's what
keeps you lapping at my heels so forcefully.

> First time
> he admitted to being a federal criminal after attempting the martyr
> role........

Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on
all 8 cylinders.

> His intellect is also waning badly. There is NO difference as far as the
> FCC is concerned.

You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to
me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was,
in his words, "clever". You see, once you fall under the auspices of
part 15, you are no longer "jamming", you are "conducting on air
propagation experiments, and morse code practice", much like kiddie
walkie talkies.

> jamming is jamming and it makes you a federal criminal

Removing a much greater disruptive force from the local community was
worth it, especially since the FCC didn't seem concerned enough to
respond to numerous complaints.

> (according to your own words) anti-social, and your mind is full of
> criminal intent and contempt.

Not at all. The logic is that the needs of the many, outweigh the needs of the few (or the one). Eliminating a sociopathic Cber, who contributed nothing to the local area, but hate and discontent, by utilizing low power signals, which took away his ability to wage verbal war on other law abiding Cbers, was something that resulted in a positive improvement for the community. One person suffered so that the majority could be free to converse freely. A good trade in my book.

> The hypocrisy shines in this statement as
> you choose what rules are ok to disregard for yourself while accusing
> others doing the same thing of being a federal criminal.

Eliminating a troublemaker is the same thing as blatently disregarding the rules with regard to high power and illegal frequency operation (and then flaunting it like a badge of honor)? I think not.

Once again, you try, but as usual, you fall far short of your goal of maligning me. If you were a person of decent moral character, you might have a chance. But you, a person, guilty of violating federal rules, and someone who demonstrates frequent examples of anti-social behavior, including a lack of respect for other's rights, is in no position to judge the actions of others.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

47. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:27:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20287-3DC28F39-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142586

No. As stated, it is all return fire.
If the hypocrites crying the most about "netcops" would obey rules themselves, there would be no need of them to worry about "netcops".
George wrote:

>Can't the same then be said for the Hams and other Cbers who are against illegal CBing and breaking FCC rules. If they kept their noses clean perhap you wouldn't have to hear aaron preach , or jerry tell how many times he called riley this week. 10-4?
<<

Not at all. Comparing the two is null and void. Jerry may be a bit enthused about popping cbers, at least he is honest in his motives.

>funny thing is twisty you have tried,<

Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.) which in this case, happens to be you.

>Twisty Oh it is true, remember the one when you emailed @home and said something about a copyright on your Sporadic Waves ?article?
<<

No, I don't.
Which addy are you claiming I emailed? If you lost an ISP for screwing
around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......

>No twisty didn't lose any Isp's went from @home to comcast, when @home went belly up.
No I didn't say I lost an Isp, I said you were also one who has sent letters to peoples ISP's, hence when you sent one to @home.
<<

And again I say, if you didn't lose an ISP, then it wasn't I that complained. Perhaps it was the publisher....the copyright belongs to him and messing with a copyright intentionally is a violation and will result in a monetary fine.

>Does that jog the ole mammory <

No....again....show me da' money.
one thing
that remains constant among your crowd......many bum claims that you guys throw out there hoping one will somehow stick.

>Twisty, I am part of no crowd, I run alone my >fly fishing friend, so
>please don't throw around accusations which >you cannot prove,

Now you are beginning to sound like the Hypocrite Hall. You need shown where you defend the same malcontents repeatedly? There are many examples. While you may not feel this constitutes proof, it speaks plenty. Trying to distance yourself from these lowlives is not done by running to their defense when they are caught with their hand in the cookie jar
and attempting to redirect the subject, as you have so often done, but your attempt at placing distance between yourself and these blights to hammie radio is noted.

>now what i told you in this thread is absolutly true you know it and i know it. I have never turned anyone in to the FCC, or anyone else for that matter. I may not like what the keyclowns are doing to the Band and the intruding on 10 meters and make it know in this NG.
<<

Then by that admittance, your defense of their actions and sins can be seen in your running to their aid, desperately attempting a redirect, usually to the poster that just solidly placedthem in the correct light they belong.

>But, I can assure you letter will be sent to local leo's, and the FCC re: certain
individuals and how they are bleeding over, running hi power, bothering the neighbors etc.
<<

Hahhahahhaahhahaha.....get over yourself, junior. You must be really pissed to be sending
what some stranger said on usenet (hahhaahahahahahahha) to the FCC...hahahahahhahaahahhahahah!
I have no clue what you are referring to, but
do you honestly think you are first do send one of my posts to Riley? Hahhahhahaahahh! Better yet, your mistake that I somehow would give a damn is quite refreshing....Dave Hall subscribes to this sorry train-of-thought.
I don't even know what "letter" you are referring to. I wrote no one a letter from this group. Mail away.

>Ya know what It might not do a damn thing<

You are correct, not only will it not do a damn thing, it CAN'T do a damn thing for several examples.............

>but ya know what what if it
>does.

haHAHHAHAAHHAH! always holding on to that "what IF" scenario.

>The way i figure it <

...has usually been wrong.

>one good shake deserves another. whadda >ya think! hell it might get my rocks off, just like >when these bozos complain to Isp's lol. Ok Ill >be back i gotta type some letters.
>-G-

Make sure you mention 27.555.
Just tell them to tune in to 555....no need for anything else...but knock yourself out.

>Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to terminate my ISP, and what keeps happening Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are frivolous complaints as i said twisty I have never sent anyone e mail or had any contact with anyone other than thru this NG. So what is
there excuse?
<<

Asking me makes as much sense as asking Lelnad why he claimed he had me tossed from webtv. I knew if I remained quiet long enough he would babble on and make such statements for us to reflect upon and enjoy.......you can see "arron" practicing this patented "twist" move as we speak, only not from voobner@yahoo,,,that ones really gone...oh, and the ones sharkie had yanked for harassment. It's real simple..debate intelligently or not at all...or at least hold your temper. Ever mindful of the irony of the question that continues to haunt several angry hammies, it must be posed again....AND AGAIN.....
WHY IS THE ART OF COMMUNICATION LOST ON SOME OF THOSE WHO ARE SUPPOSEDLY LICENSED FOR IT?

48. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:33:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20287-3DC290A6-14@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142587

From: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T)
alan, how much longer until you become a no code hero here like leland,
jj, aaron, and the others? i see it comming real shortly.

Yea........reading between the lines it is easy to see he has tipped his
hand several times. Alan's name is as valid as "Aaron's".

49. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:35:17 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Most people have more pressing things on their mind these days.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:iHew9.7331$KT6.1796@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT
will
> > fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix
everything.
> > Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you
are
> > on the NOT side of things.
> > Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?
>
>
>
> I just happen to be the one posting, but I am not the only one urging
> Congress to do something.
> Whatever gets done about the 10 Meter issue won't be my fault or the
faults
> of any of the other
> people who are complaining about this. It will be the faults of the
sellers
> and users of equipment that is already illegal to import or sell in this
> country. Since those persons cannot abide by the restric-tions already in
> place WRT this stuff, then they have only themselves to blame for any
> enforcement
> actions.
>
> You speak pseudo-nobly about the concept of freedom. Freedom for whom?
You
> promote a kind of "freedom" where someone can yell "FIRE" in a crowded
> theatre and cause a stampede of
> frightened people. You want a kind of freedom on radio that, in its
purest
> form would render radio
> mostly useless. You want CB ops to be able to run roughshod over every
> other service who have
> just as much right to reasonably use a radio as CBers. You would want
them
> to be able to run rid-
> iculous amounts of power (some already do that) and blank out televisions

> with impunity. While,
> IMHO, the Local Enforcement law is ineffective, it was a direct result of
> complaints of constituents
> to their Representatives. Demonstrating that this has not worked, and
> showing that the exemption given to truck drivers (WRT inspections at
weigh
> stations), it is now entirely possible that, yes, laws, funding, personnel
> could be restored to FCC to abate the incursions onto the ham bands, or,
> at least, PL106-521 could/will be amended to confront the problem.
>
> The illegals have no one but themselves to blame.
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

50. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:36:09 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tjww9.1174$7n.102988@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

That beeping and squeaking you hear is CW.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:VQew9.7334$KT6.98@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:1rku9.9577$46.9254@fe01...
> > Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> > rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> > What a piece of crap!
>
>
> I must be really getting under your skin! Sometimes the truth HURTS! WE
> don't care what y'all
> do on CB--just keep those beeping and squeaking radios off 10 Meters, and
we
> "trolls" will go away.
>
> J
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

51. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:37:49 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021101093749.14290.00000257@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 142584

First off Dick, it's go fuck, not PHUQQ, FUCK yourself.
Second, I'm no hillbilly but if you really want to find out come down to Springfield MO anytime and find out for yourself, pusswad.
Third, my coax is more than connected. Ask stations across the US.
Lastly, no freebanders waste their time above 27.800 (give or take .100) cause it's a lot of Mexicans/Spanish speaking stations above there. You are talking about a rare, select group of truckers, that are using the 28 MHz spectrum for truck to truck comm. That's it butthead. No freebanders. Just your paranoid, delusional, warped exaggeration to get attention to your bullshit crybaby, squeaky wheel rants.
So Dick, shut the fuck (not PHUQQ) up.
Alan at least makes a concerted effort to discuss it. You on the otherhand are blatantly interested only in provocation not the issue.
Drop dead, Dick(head).
>From: "Richard Cranus" >richardcranus@hotmail.com
>Oh go phuqq yourself you stupid hillbilly >your coax isn't plugged in then >people all across the country have heard >people at different times on 28 085 >truckers or freebanders you call it.

"Got RF?"

52. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:38:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20288-3DC291CC-5@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142585

From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
I agree but you know even if no unliscenced operaters ever went up to 10 meters, there would be something else to bitch about, like freebanding, non-linear amps, overmodulation, etc. There will always be hams that piss on this newsgroup, don't worry about it though, in the long run it has a negative effect on thier own hobby.
==========
Enlightened hammies realize this. It is the reason the likes of Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO and Dogie Adair N8WWM were shunned by other hammies.
==========

Ham Radio is some weird cult. And, it's a boring cult. If you don't conform to the Ham conventions, you are not a "true" Ham.
==========
This HAS been shown valid to some extent, evidenced by the socialistic nature of some hammies.
==========
Operating on 11m is highly discouraged. Ham vendors will offer a range of crystals with a continuous range of frequencies, but with the 11m band missing.
Hams are a pretty pathetic group as a whole. Hams need to feel superior so they can claim they are better than CBers. Some claim Morse Code makes them superior, so they will not be CBers. This does not make sense to me.
Many get their thrills from contesting. Contesting is making as many contacts as possible within a given time period. Hams call "CQ CQ" and wait for a response. After the contact is made, call signs are exchanged, the contact is logged into a spreadsheet, and the Ham looks for more contacts. It gets boring after five minutes. Many Hams get some kind of thrill from contesting. Ham Radio should be more interesting.
==========
Repeater work is boring. Long distance low power with no assistance other than your rig and antenna is my poison.

53. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 14:55:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC295D5.13A33545@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142596

Richard Cranus wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC28AB3.7CB88FDA@mailcity.net...
>
> > > Ok here you go:
> > >
> > > Steve Parks,Medina Ohio.
> > >
> > > Now it's your turn.
> >
> > So what is this Steve Parks call? I never heard of him, QRZ
> > database shows no hits for a Steve Parks. I have never lived in
> > Ohio. Thought you said I lived in Mo. You are so far off base it
> > is pathetic. My call is a 5 land call if you think that will help
> > you any.
>
> That's his assumed name, JJ tell him your name is Oscar. D Grouch, It will
> have as much credibility as the name he is posting.

I know, but fun to jerk his chain and see him get his shorts in a
wad. Mopie likes to post to the auto racing groups, and Steve
Parks is a racer, I doubt that Mopie is Steve Parks only maybe in
his fantasies.
He still won't post an address, but wants me to post my call which
will give him and anyone else access to mine. Nice try though.

54. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 15:17:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16177-3DC29AFB-40@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142590

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again. For the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it. Call it vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the animals at bay.
At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass, or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW, makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.

>No work required. I'm always upfront about
> the truth.

If that's true, you won't mind answering what you refused to answer in email. Not so long ago you made a claim that you have only changed the way you access this group once before, only your posts and headers show otherwise....your latest is with the "slurp" feed and is quite a recent addition. Is this another of those times where you can't possibly be asked to remember such things or another of those times where you are caught not telling the truth?

>Maybe that's what keeps you lapping at my >heels so forcefully.

Rare is the person that sees my posts as forceful. Add more yin and lose the yang.

First time
he admitted to being a federal criminal after attempting the martyr role.....

>Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on all 8 cylinders.<<

Figure of speech. Firing on all 8? Nah,,,merely another incident where you fail to see yourself as others do. Your lies precede your reputation.

Your intellect is also waning badly, Dave. There is NO difference as far as the FCC is concerned.

>You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was, in his words, "clever".
<<

Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and number.
Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication) breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with him and what is his official title and rank?

55. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 15:21:43 UTC
From: Richard Cranus aka turd buster <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WOGcnYB9g_aaAV-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142588

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20287-3DC28F39-11@storefull-

>Twisty Oh it is true, remember the one when you emailed @home and said
something about a copyright on your Sporadic Waves ?article?
<<

No, I don't.
Which addy are you claiming I emailed? If you lost an ISP for
screwing
around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an
ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......

>And again I say, if you didn't lose an ISP, then it wasn't I that
>complained. Perhaps it was the publisher....the copyright belongs to him
>and messing with a copyright intentionally is a violation and will
>result in a monetary fine.

No twisty they sent me the email of the complaint guess who sent it *you*
you had who complained that by me reposting your sporadic waves article it
was against copyright laws. In fact twist ill dig up the post to this NG
where I first asked you about it, the fact remains the "complaint" by you
went unfounded as you see that article was already posted to this NG and I
just reposted it, didn't cost me a dime.

Now you are beginning to sound like the Hypocrite Hall. You need shown
where you defend the same malcontents repeatedly? There are many
examples. While you may not feel this constitutes proof, it speaks
plenty. Trying to distance yourself from these lowlives is not done by
running to their defense when they are caught with their hand in the
cookie jar
and attempting to redirect the subject, as you have so often done, but
your attempt at placing distance between yourself and these blights to
hammie radio is noted.

>now what i told you in this thread is absolutly true you know it and i
know it. I have never turned anyone in to the FCC, or anyone else for
that matter. I may not like what the keyclowns are doing to the Band and
the intruding on 10 meters and make it know in this NG.
<<

>Then by that admittance, your defense of their actions and sins can be
>seen in your running to their aid, desperately attempting a redirect,
>usually to the poster that just solidly placedthem in the correct light
>they belong.

Twisty, I run to who evers aid I think is correct, If you posted something that I agree with I will certainly back you, regardless of what ever has happened in the past, not because you chose to stand with one side or another. It is called not being a hypocrite, others in this group should learn that words meaning.

>But, I can assure you letter will be sent to local leo's, and the FCC re: certain
individuals and how they are bleeding over, running hi power, bothering the neighbors etc.
<<

Hahhahahhaahhahaha.....get over yourself, junior. You must be really pissed to be sending
what some stranger said on usenet (hahhaahahahahahahha) to the FCC...hahahahahhahaahahhahahah!
I have no clue what you are referring to, but
do you honestly think you are first do send one of my posts to Riley?

Twist, I guess some of the others who cry to Isp's are really pissed to send what some stranger said to their ISP in an effort to silence what they have to say, btw I never mentioned sending anything to the FCC about you don't start twisting things unless you are one of the culprits.

>I don't even know what "letter" you are referring to. I wrote no one a
>letter from this group. Mail away.

Twist you most certainly know the *complaint* you sent to @home regarding my posting of your sporadic waves article. I will show you by your own admission.

HahahahHHAH! always holding on to that "what IF" scenario.

yes just like the guys who cry to Isp's, who say " what if they cancell his account , "Oh won't I be so lucky!"

>The way i figure it <

...has usually been wrong.

>one good shake deserves another. whadda >ya think! hell it might get my rocks off, just like >when these bozos complain to Isp's lol. Ok Ill >be back i gotta type some letters.
>-G-

Make sure you mention 27.555.
Just tell them to tune in to 555....no need for anything else...but knock yourself out.

>Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to terminate my ISP, and what keeps happening Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are frivolous complaints as i said twisty I have never sent anyone e mail or had any contact with anyone other than thru this NG. So what is
there excuse?
<<

Asking me makes as much sense as asking Lelnad why he claimed he had me tossed from webtv. I knew if I remained quiet long enough he would babble on and make such statements for us to reflect upon and enjoy.....you can see "arron" practicing this patented "twist" move as we speak, only not from voobner@yahoo,,,that ones really gone...oh, and the ones sharkie had yanked for harassment. It's real simple..debate intelligently or not at all...or at least hold your temper. Ever mindful of the irony of the question that continues to haunt several angry hammies, it must be posed again....AND AGAIN.....
WHY IS THE ART OF COMMUNICATION LOST ON SOME OF THOSE WHO ARE SUPPOSEDLY LICENSED FOR IT?

56. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 15:24:34 UTC
From: Richard Cranus aka turd buster <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A1KdnZIwhqIsAV-gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142589

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Most people have more pressing things on their mind these days.

the guys from this Ng don't tools crying to Google? Crying to other Isp's ?
hey shouldn't these net cops get a life?

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:iHew9.7331$KT6.1796@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT
> will
> > > fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix
> everything.
> > > Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you
> are
> > > on the NOT side of things.
> > > Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?
> >
> >
> >
> > I just happen to be the one posting, but I am not the only one urging
> > Congress to do something.
> > Whatever gets done about the 10 Meter issue won't be my fault or the
> faults
> > of any of the other
> > people who are complaining about this. It will be the faults of the
> sellers
> > and users of equipment that is already illegal to import or sell in this
> > country. Since those persons cannot abide by the restric-tions already
in
> > place WRT this stuff, then they have only themselves to blame for any
> > enforcement
> > actions.
> >
> > You speak pseudo-nobly about the concept of freedom. Freedom for whom?
> You
> > promote a kind of "freedom" where someone can yell "FIRE" in a crowded
> > theatre and cause a stampede of
> > frightened people. You want a kind of freedom on radio that, in its
> purest
> > form would render radio
> > mostly useless. You want CB ops to be able to run roughshod over every

> > other service who have
> > just as much right to reasonably use a radio as CBers. You would want
> them
> > to be able to run rid-
> > iculous amounts of power (some already do that) and blank out
televisions
> > with impunity. While,
> > IMHO, the Local Enforcement law is ineffective, it was a direct result
of
> > complaints of constituents
> > to their Representatives. Demonstrating that this has not worked, and
> > showing that the exemption given to truck drivers (WRT inspections at
> weigh
> > stations), it is now entirely possible that, yes, laws, funding,
personnel
> > could be restored to FCC to abate the incursions onto the ham bands, or,
> > at least, PL106-521 could/will be amended to confront the problem.
> >
> > The illegals have no one but themselves to blame.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

57. Moped rant's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 15:56:27 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <FIOdnQyMLve2OV-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142591

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...

You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
You think you have @home in your back pocket.
I don't think so.
--
-Moparholic

LOL, I think so Steve Parks noted sidewalk sissy, you have a long history of
crying to ISP's , with no luck of course. LOL

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

58. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 16:05:29 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <_zKdnVoFdenUO1-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142592

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20287-3DC28F39-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>funny thing is twisty you have tried,<

Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof
is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.)
which in this case, happens to be you.

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Hams Showing Off Amp Theory To CBers
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-10-31 18:15:56 PST

From: aaronvoobner@home.coms (aaronvoobner)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...
"aaronvoobner" <aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote:
You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
You think you have @home in your back pocket.
I don't think so.
--
-Moparholic

Why don't you think so? They have seen what is posted here first hand,
and from the same people who have lodged complaints against me. They
laughed at twistie claiming i infringed on some copyrighted material of
his, when he could provide no proof of any of his claims ask him to post
the e-mail they sent back to him.

"They didn't send one back, better check your source, cause you are dead
wrong or deliberately lying. But they sure warned you, cause you haven't
repeated your copyright boo-boo and fraudulent post since. That was my
goal. Mission accomplished."

>Twisty Oh it is true, remember the one when you emailed @home and said
something about a copyright on your Sporadic Waves ?article?
<<

No, I don't.
Which addy are you claiming I emailed? If you lost an ISP for
screwing
around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an
ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......

Oh it sure does brother !

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Hams Showing Off Amp Theory To CBers
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-10-31 18:15:56 PST

From: aaronvoobner@home.coms (aaronvoobner)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...
"aaronvoobner" <aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote:
You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
You think you have @home in your back pocket.
I don't think so.
--
-Moparholic

Why don't you think so? They have seen what is posted here first hand, and from the same people who have lodged complaints against me. They laughed at twistie claiming i infringed on some copyrighted material of his, when he could provide no proof of any of his claims ask him to post the e-mail they sent back to him.

"They didn't send one back, better check your source, cause you are dead wrong or deliberately lying. But they sure warned you, cause you haven't repeated your copyright boo-boo and fraudulent post since. That was my goal. Mission accomplished."

59. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 16:24:20 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <O-udnSQSdoIuN1-gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142594

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC28AB3.7CB88FDA@mailcity.net...

> > Ok here you go:
> >
> > Steve Parks,Medina Ohio.
> >
> > Now it's your turn.
>
> So what is this Steve Parks call? I never heard of him, QRZ
> database shows no hits for a Steve Parks. I have never lived in
> Ohio. Thought you said I lived in Mo. You are so far off base it
> is pathetic. My call is a 5 land call if you think that will help
> you any.

That's his assumed name, JJ tell him your name is Oscar. D Grouch, It will have as much credibility as the name he is posting.

60. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 16:34:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11109-3DC2AD11-65@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142595

From: richardcranus@hotmail.com (Richard Cranus aka turd buster)
>No twisty they sent me the email of the complaint guess who sent it *you* you had who complained that by me reposting your sporadic waves article it was against copyright laws. In fact twist ill dig up the post to this NG where I first asked you about it, the fact remains the "complaint" by you went unfounded as you see that article was already posted to this NG and I just reposted it, didn't cost me a dime. <<

Yea, you better repost it 'cause I do not recall it at all.....but don't snip anything, repost what you refer in it's entirety, as well as at least a link to such thread. As we all are aware, the tired tactic of taking old usenet posts and reposting them out of context almost always changes their original meanings.....and we know you would never stoop to such tactics.

Now you are beginning to sound like the Hypocrite Hall. You need shown where you defend the same malcontents repeatedly? There are many examples. While you may not feel this constitutes proof, it speaks plenty. Trying to distance yourself from these lowlives is not done by running to their defense when they are caught with their hand in the cookie jar and attempting to redirect the subject, as you have so often done, but your attempt at placing distance between yourself and these blights to hammie radio is noted.

>now what i told you in this thread is absolutly true you know it and i know it. I have never turned anyone in to the FCC, or anyone else for that matter. I may not like what the keyclowns are doing to the Band and the intruding on 10 meters and make it know in this NG.
<<

Then by that admittance, your defense of their actions and sins can be seen in your running to their aid, desperately attempting a redirect, usually to the poster that just solidly placedthem in the correct light they belong.

>Twisty, I run to who evers aid I think is correct,<<

And the posts are there to see who you so often feel is correct....the well-known trouble-makers of the group.

>If you posted something that I agree with I will certainly back you, regardless of what ever has happened in the past, not because you chose to stand with one side or another. It is called not being a hypocrite, others in this group should learn that words meaning. <<

Herein lays another insecurity problem....only the losers look to others for self-validation.

I am on no side. I need justify my actions to no one. I will however, continue to be vocal concerning falsehoods, lies, and other hypocritical acts attempting to be perpetuated on this group by such louses.

>But, I can assure you letter will be sent to local leo's, and the FCC re: certain
individuals and how they are bleeding over, running hi power, bothering the neighbors etc.
<<

Hahhahahhaahhahaha.....get over yourself, junior. You must be really pissed to be sending
what some stranger said on usenet (hahhaahahahahahahha) to the FCC...hahahahahhahaahahhahahah!
I have no clue what you are referring to, but do you honestly think you are first do send one of my posts to Riley?

>Twist, I guess some of the others who cry to Isp's are really pissed to send what some stranger said to their ISP in an effort to silence what they have to say, <<

Where in the world did you get that idea?
If you are referring to me, I have reported only TOS violators....don't start twisting things around.

>btw I never mentioned sending anything to the FCC about you don't start twisting things unless you are one of the culprits.
<<

Well, what the heck was all that about sending stuff to local leos and the fcc? You used the word "your" when referring to a letter, so the conveyance was your mistake.I don't even know what "letter" you are referring to. I wrote no one a letter from this group. Mail away.

>Twist you most certainly know the *complaint* you sent to @home regarding my posting of your sporadic waves article. I will show you by your own admission.
<<

Yea, ok, you do that.

HahahahHHAH! always holding on to that "what IF" scenario.

>yes just like the guys who cry to Isp's, who say " what if they cancell his account , "Oh won't I be so lucky!"
<<

Whoever said that? Sounds like more innuendo of stuff that doesn't take place from the hammies we have come to expcxt it from..you claim you are a loner, but your methods and tactics are identical to those attempted but failed at by the nocode unregime.

>The way i figure it <
.

.has usually been wrong.

>one good shake deserves another. whadda ya think! hell it might get my rocks off, just like when these bozos complain to Isp's lol. Ok Ill be back i gotta type some letters.
-G-
<<

Make sure you mention 27.555.
Just tell them to tune in to 555....no need for anything else...but knock yourself out.

>Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to terminate my ISP, and what keeps happening Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are frivolous complaints as i said twisty I have never sent anyone e mail or had any contact with anyone other than thru this NG. So what is there excuse?
<<

Asking me makes as much sense as asking Lelnad why he claimed he had me tossed from webtv. I knew if I remained quiet long enough he would babble on and make such statements for us to reflect upon and enjoy.......you can see "arron" practicing this patented "twist" move as we speak, only not from voobner@yahoo,,,that ones really gone...oh, and the ones sharkie had yanked for harassment. It's real simple..debate intelligently or not at all...or at least hold your temper. Ever mindful of the irony of the question that continues to haunt several angry hammies, it must be posed again....AND AGAIN..... WHY IS THE ART OF COMMUNICATION LOST ON SOME OF THOSE WHO ARE SUPPOSEDLY LICENSED FOR IT?

61. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 16:40:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11109-3DC2AE95-67@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142598

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20287-3DC28F39-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>funny thing is twisty you have tried,<

Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof
is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.)
which in this case, happens to be you.

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Hams Showing Off Amp Theory To CBers
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-10-31 18:15:56 PST
From: aaronvoobner@home.coms (aaronvoobner)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com... "aaronvoobner"
<aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote: You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all
about getting TOS'ed. I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him. You
think you have @home in your back pocket. I don't think so.
--
-Moparholic

Why don't you think so? They have seen what is posted here first hand,
and from the same people who have lodged complaints against me. They
laughed at twistie claiming i infringed on some copyrighted material of
his, when he could provide no proof of any of his claims ask him to post
the e-mail they sent back to him.

"They didn't send one back, better check your source, cause you are dead
wrong or deliberately lying. But they sure warned you, cause you haven't
repeated your copyright boo-boo and fraudulent post since. That was my
goal. Mission accomplished."

>Twisty Oh it is true, remember the one when you emailed @home and said
something about a copyright on your Sporadic Waves ?article?
<<

No, I don't.
Which addy are you claiming I emailed? If you lost an ISP for screwing
around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an
ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......

>Oh it sure does brother !
From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Hams Showing Off Amp Theory To CBers
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Date: 2001-10-31 18:15:56 PST
From: aaronvoobner@home.coms (aaronvoobner)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com... "aaronvoobner"
<aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote: You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all
about getting TOS'ed. I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him. You
think you have @home in your back pocket. I don't think so.
--
-Moparholic

Why don't you think so? They have seen what is posted here first hand,
and from the same people who have lodged complaints against me. They
laughed at twistie claiming i infringed on some copyrighted material of
his, when he could provide no proof of any of his claims ask him to post
the e-mail they sent back to him.

"They didn't send one back, better check your source, cause you are dead
wrong or deliberately lying. But they sure warned you, cause you haven't
repeated your copyright boo-boo and fraudulent post since. That was my
goal. Mission accomplished."
==========
Hahhahahaha! Nice try but no cigar. I had no idea you were posting as
voobner (whom you now claim you have no allegiance or affiliation
with...thanks for clarifying). AS usual, you attempt to merge and blur
the truth. The reference was to the forge....and no, you haven't posted
the hacked and forged version since that time...I am certain you may get
away with it once now that you are with a different ISP, but hey, what
the hell.....you claiming you have no allegiance to the losers then
producing your own posts showing you PART of it,,,,,,,,well, the rest of
what you have to say concerning anything is suddenly in perfect context
for those who may have been in doubt concerning your sincerity.

62. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 16:45:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11111-3DC2AFB4-7@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142597

From: richardcranus@hotmail.com (Richard Cranus aka turd buster)
"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
Most people have more pressing things on their mind these days.
==========
>the guys from this Ng don't tools crying to >Google? Crying to other
Isp's ? hey shouldn't >these net cops get a life?

We have one. It is when cretins such as discussed impinge on our lives
with actual actions, not mere words and violate rules, when the
complaints are filed. It is the preferred method according to all domain
rules. SInce it is the correct thing to do, taking issue with the rules
and correct chain-of-command procedures when filing complaints, makes
you a sociopath, according to the Hypocrite "Dave" Hall.

63. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 17:18:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apucvo$4ueh1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142599

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:apsmcd$4okqa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

> Actually, as an amateur radio operater and professional police dispatcher,
I
> think it is kind of need.

Doh! That should read "kind of neat."

Tom

64. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 17:39:16 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apue7e$55lh3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142600

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210311930.72b67b18@posting.google.com...

> Whoah there Tom, it's true I don't give "respect" to illegal CBers.
> But why do you find it obnoxious?

Perhaps because it lacks tact.

Tom

65. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 18:06:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apufq1$576pn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142601

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20287-3DC28B12-9@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>Care to be more specific? Painting with a wide brush right off the bat
>is never a good thing and it merely lends a false credibility to your
>claims, albeit very temporary.

Well I meant to use a generalization, but I probably should have qualified it with "not all posters here act this way." Basically what I meant is that some posters seem to take offense at hams who want to get illegal operators out of the 10 meter band. It seems like a rule should apply: If you're not doing it, don't worry about it. But some people here have gone so far as to claim that all the illegal operations on 10 meters are made up by hams.

>Since it hasn't happened, my hazard guess is you are too biased to form
>an objective opinion,,,,,I base this on your "hunting activities" as a
>(cough) *registered monitor*.

No, I'm keeping an open mind here. The "registered monitor" thing is only a "callsign" used to send reception reports to shortwave stations. I don't pursue any "hunting activities" of any sort, unless it's hunting for stations to listen to.

>clean your own back yard

I believe this is what it being attempted to accomplish.

>That is usually a normal, basic human reaction when
>attacked.....really.

I understand that. But as I stated above, don't take offense at those of us who'd like to clean up 10 meters if you're not operating there illegally.

>>Now I don't have anything against someone< >who wants to run a little
>power,<
>
>Now, before we proceed, you must be made aware that several hammies have
>claimed this very thing, while their practices and past posts were
>completely contradictory to what they now suggest

I used to have a bunch of CB friends back around 10 years ago. I was a licensed ham then, and operated CB on the side. Most, if not all of them, operated radios that would be considered illegal, using more power than legal and unauthorized frequencies. They often brought them (linear amplifiers too) to me to work on. I even hooked up a linear amplifier in my brother-in-law's semi. I didn't operate illegally, but I didn't care if someone else did. I think you'll find I'm not as anal retentive as some

other people here. I only care if they operate in the ham bands.

>>However, when someone points out that this<
>>or that is illegal, they get jumped on.<
>
>Only when it is intentionally redundant.

Once again, I understand this. But sometimes it seems like someone can just make a solitary mention of this or that illegal practice and get flamed.

Tom

66. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 18:10:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apug12$55419$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142602

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210312005.7c6a7254@posting.google.com...

> Seems to me that agreement or disagreement with my
> statement about Jerry is the appropriate issue here, not if I have a
> license or not. Or are you just being...."obnoxious"?
>
> -A

No, I'm just being curious.

Tom

67. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 18:31:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7Lzw9.5$_u.1@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Most people have more pressing things on their mind these days.

IF you don't think quite a few members of Congress think protection of radio spectrum is impor-
tant, then you ought go to google.com and type in Greg Walden (R-Oregon). Then look on his page to see how many MCs have signed onto the Amateur Spectrum Protection bill and the Exemption from private neighborhood covenants bill. Quite a number think this is important enough to co-sponsor or vote for in order to allow Amateur Radio to fulfill its traditional emergency role.
Amateurs are striking while the iron is hot! While there are plenty of sponsors for bills favorable to
Amateur Radio, it is a good time to FULLY protect the spectrum from unlicensed operators.

Jerry

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:iHew9.7331$KT6.1796@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT
> will
> > > fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix
> everything.
> > > Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you
> are
> > > on the NOT side of things.
> > > Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?
> >
> >
> >
> > I just happen to be the one posting, but I am not the only one urging
> > Congress to do something.
> > Whatever gets done about the 10 Meter issue won't be my fault or the
> faults
> > of any of the other
> > people who are complaining about this. It will be the faults of the
> sellers
> > and users of equipment that is already illegal to import or sell in this
> > country. Since those persons cannot abide by the restric-tions already

in
> > place WRT this stuff, then they have only themselves to blame for any
> > enforcement
> > actions.
> >
> > You speak pseudo-nobly about the concept of freedom. Freedom for whom?
> You
> > promote a kind of "freedom" where someone can yell "FIRE" in a crowded
> > theatre and cause a stampede of
> > frightened people. You want a kind of freedom on radio that, in its
> purest
> > form would render radio
> > mostly useless. You want CB ops to be able to run roughshod over every
> > other service who have
> > just as much right to reasonably use a radio as CBers. You would want
> them
> > to be able to run rid-
> > iculous amounts of power (some already do that) and blank out
televisions
> > with impunity. While,
> > IMHO, the Local Enforcement law is ineffective, it was a direct result
of
> > complaints of constituents
> > to their Representatives. Demonstrating that this has not worked, and
> > showing that the exemption given to truck drivers (WRT inspections at
> weigh
> > stations), it is now entirely possible that, yes, laws, funding,
personnel
> > could be restored to FCC to abate the incursions onto the ham bands, or,
> > at least, PL106-521 could/will be amended to confront the problem.
> >
> > The illegals have no one but themselves to blame.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

>
>

68. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 18:46:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <yOzw9.6$_u.0@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tjww9.1174$7n.102988@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> That beeping and squeaking you hear is CW.

HAHAHA! I certainly know CW when I hear it, and it doesn't go. "AH tan fer
thar drivah SQUEAK!" Nope. While there IS CW there, a "roger beep" sounds
nothing like CW.

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:VQew9.7334$KT6.98@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message
news:1rku9.9577$46.9254@fe01...
> > > Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> > > rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> > > What a piece of crap!
> >
> >
> > I must be really getting under your skin! Sometimes the truth HURTS! WE
> > don't care what y'all
> > do on CB--just keep those beeping and squeaking radios off 10 Meters,
and
> we
> > "trolls" will go away.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

69. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 18:50:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oSzw9.8$_u.5@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142605

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20288-3DC291CC-5@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> I agree but you know even if no unliscenced operaters ever went up to 10
> meters, there would be something else to bitch about, like freebanding,
> non-linear amps, overmodulation, etc. There will always be hams that
> piss on this newsgroup, don't worry about it though, in the long run it
> has a negative effect on thier own hobby.
> ==========
> Enlightened hammies realize this. It is the reason the likes of Lelnad
> C. Scott KC8LDO and Dogie Adair N8WWM were shunned by other hammies.
> ==========
>
> Ham Radio is some weird cult. And, it's a boring cult. If you don't
> conform to the Ham conventions, you are not a "true" Ham.
> ==========
> This HAS been shown valid to some extent, evidenced by the socialistic
> nature of some hammies.
> ==========
> Operating on 11m is highly discouraged. Ham vendors will offer a range
> of crystals with a continuous range of frequencies, but with the 11m
> band missing.
> Hams are a pretty pathetic group as a whole. Hams need to feel superior
> so they can claim they are better than CBers. Some claim Morse Code
> makes them superior, so they will not be CBers. This does not make sense
> to me.
> Many get their thrills from contesting. Contesting is making as many
> contacts as possible within a given time period. Hams call "CQ CQ" and
> wait for a response. After the contact is made, call signs are
> exchanged, the contact is logged into a spreadsheet, and the Ham looks
> for more contacts. It gets boring after five minutes. Many Hams get some
> kind of thrill from contesting. Ham Radio should be more interesting.
> ==========
> Repeater work is boring. Long distance low power with no assistance
> other than your rig and antenna is my poison.

Hey! You omitted MY fave: makin' stuff and seeing if it works. OR learning
WHY something
acts the way it does--particularly antennas. I spend more time listening,
learning and building than
I do talking.

Jerry

>

70. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 19:08:53 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Y7Aw9.21$_u.9@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142606

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22580-3DB9BD97-404@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden, now
> of the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to look
> at ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think they
> are "entitled" to just take what
> they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr.
> Walden. Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> idea to him as well?
> Jerry
> ==========
> You need to show me da' money where Walden claimed to be aware of
> "bandit operators".
> Plus, you know how things are done on the hill, don't you, Jerry? If he
> is going to "look" at it in the near future, by the time anything
> actually gets done, the dx will be gone and more than likely so will he
> and Riley, thanks to elections and a presidential change..

Riley is not elected; he is a career FCC employee. Your predictions of a
wholesale change
in Presidents and Congressional makeup are a mite premature. George Bush has
the highest
popularity rating of any recent President at mid-term.

Jerry
>

71. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 19:14:50 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B9726902413rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142607

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:20287-3DC290A6-14
@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> From: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T)
> alan, how much longer until you become a no code hero here like leland,
> jj, aaron, and the others? i see it comming real shortly.
>
Tim,
You're right, it's coming, but I don't know about shortly. I am studying for my ticket. I do want HF priviledges though, so I am also in the process of learning code. CW sounds kinda fun, to me. I love the idea that many people think it's hard. That motivates me.

I'm in the process of studying for a couple of other networking certs, so my ham studies are slowed quite a bit.

> Yea.....reading between the lines it is easy to see he has tipped
> his hand several times. Alan's name is as valid as "Aaron's".
>
Twist,
I hope you're not suggesting that my name isn't Alan Strawinski. It really is. I chose to use my real name so that people would not scoff at me for hiding behind an alias. I'm thinking that hasn't worked too well.

I know that everybody here wants to know who's in which camp. I definetly lean towards the pro-legal side of things, but that's just who I am. I've always been that way. It doesn't mean I hate people who aren't. I've discovered neat and fun things about many people here:

Tim appears to be having "More fun than a human being should be allowed to have", as Rush puts it. That's great. It's great to see someone who enjoys life and having fun. Our idea of fun probably differs (Im a computer geek, remember <g>) but I enjoyed seeing some of the pictures he had up on his website - he appears to be living it up on a regular basis. Cool.

Know what I think makes Mopar the coolest? He's got a Roadrunner! I am insanely jealous. I want a '69 so bad I can taste it. It's kind of a dream car to me. He's one lucky SOB to have one. Shoot, we could probably hang out all day and just talk about his car. I'd say the hell with CB, Ham and legal discussions, this dude owns a kick ass car!

Landshark lives near me, and we need to hook up one of these days. Why? He's into motorcycles! He's got a kick ass Buell that he's done some work to, and I've got a neato custom with a Buell motor. Plus he has a great sense of humor. I love every one of those funny emails he sends me. I

think we'd have alot of fun if we met up. Hey, maybe when my bike gets fixed, we can go on a run sometime.

I could go on, but my post is long enough. Take it easy, guys.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

72. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 19:22:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC2D47A.7DBC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142608

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again. For
> the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which
> serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly
> obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to
> the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating
> the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it. Call it
> vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the
> CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the
> animals at bay.
> At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass,
> or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down
> antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW,
> makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> ==
> Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.
>
> >No work required. I'm always upfront about
> > the truth.
>
> If that's true, you won't mind answering what you refused to answer in
> email.

It's tough to answer something in e-mail, that doesn't arrive until
after the newsgroup post.

> Not so long ago you made a claim that you have only changed the
> way you access this group once before, only your posts and headers show
> otherwise....your latest is with the "slurp" feed and is quite a recent
> addition.

What is a "slurp" feed?

> Is this another of those times where you can't possibly be
> asked to remember such things or another of those times where you are
> caught not telling the truth?

I really don't know what you're talking about. Someone has been feeding
you a line of bunk, and you don't know any better than to question it.

>
> >Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on
> all 8 cylinders.<<
>
> Figure of speech. Firing on all 8? Nah,,,merely another incident where
> you fail to see yourself as others do.

Remember this quote of yours? I think you need to follow your own words:

>> Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and >>
defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

>
>
> Your intellect is also waning badly, Dave. There is NO difference as far
> as the FCC is concerned.
>
> >You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to
> me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was,
> in his words, "clever".
> <<
>
> Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and
> number.
> Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested
> in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication)
> breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with
> him and what is his official title and rank?

Well, it was over 20 years ago, so I doubt if he's still with the FCC.
But his name was Ennis Coleman. I don't have a number. Like I said, it
was over 20 years ago. even if you managed to find him, I doubt if he'd
remember a casual conversation that happened that long ago.
And again, no law was brokem as the jammer was a low power part 15
device, which was being used to test local propagation conditions. A
perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we were so close to that
poor CBer (snicker!). Believe me, I know what you're trying to do, but
if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be defending him or berating the
actions that our local group did to get rid of him. I made the device,
and another guy planted it. It lasted for almost a week before we had to
change batteries. In about a month, the grade "A" A-hole was gone. I
guess it never occured to him to use his mobile. Good thing too, or we
would have had to risk attaching another device to his car.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

73. Re: Moped rant's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 20:38:23 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <48GdnferN8Lde1-gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142609

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021101150016.992$6Y@newsreader.com...
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...
> >
> >> You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
> > I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
> > You think you have @home in your back pocket.
> > I don't think so.
> > --
> > -Moparholic
> >
> > LOL, I think so Steve Parks noted sidewalk sissy, you have a long
history
> > of crying to ISP's , with no luck of course. LOL

> You're a real asshole. You know good and well that him and I have a truce,
> and yet you post this drivel?

Listen who's talking , this has nothing to do with you and gilligan it is
the remark to me about @home in my back pocket and your not thinking so ,
just turns out to be another in your long line of complaints to ISP's with
no luck.

>
> "Noted sidewalk sissy"? You'd never say that to my face,cuz I'd pound the
> snot out of ya.

Yeah ok Tough guy, I know I know come to Medina YWCA LOL Get bent you
crying fool.

> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

74. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 20:51:10 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <sLGdnSgF_8WidF-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142610

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11111-3DC2AFB4-7@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: richardcranus@hotmail.com (Richard Cranus aka turd buster)
"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
Most people have more pressing things on their mind these days.
==========
>the guys from this Ng don't tools crying to >Google? Crying to other
Isp's ? hey shouldn't >these net cops get a life?

>We have one. It is when cretins such as discussed impinge on our lives
>with actual actions, not mere words and violate rules,

So does Jerry, Aaron, JJ, etc It is when Cb creetins with actions like
amplifier use?, with actions like freebanding, with actions like bleedover,
with actions like intereference. No these are not mere words and yes they
violate rules.

> when the complaints are filed. It is the preferred method according to all
domain
>rules. SInce it is the correct thing to do, taking issue with the rules
>and correct chain-of-command procedures when filing complaints, makes
>you a sociopath, according to the Hypocrite "Dave" Hall.

The correct thing to do is also contact the FCC and let them know as well

75. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 21:08:31 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B985B04829Frrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142611

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
news:20021101152116.382$Pw@newsreader.com:

> Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> (snip)
>>> Know what I think makes Mopar the coolest? He's got a Roadrunner! I
>>> am
>> insanely jealous. I want a '69 so bad I can taste it. It's kind of a
>> dream car to me. He's one lucky SOB to have one. Shoot, we could
>> probably hang out all day and just talk about his car. I'd say the
>> hell with CB, Ham and legal discussions, this dude owns a kick ass
>> car!
>>
> Thanks for the flowers,Alan. I don't have a Roadrunner though, I
> currently have a '66 Bevedere II ragtop 440. A bit more rare than the
> runner. ;) Had a Charger(440) and sold it,both cars are sublime
> green.
>
> I'm glad to hear you're into vintage cars,and radio, both are real fun
> hobbies. Who knows,maybe we can hook up for a cold one,someday. Talk
> cars, women and radio..maybe not in that order. <g>
>

Oh, crap I thought you did. I wonder who I was thinking of?

Still, no matter. your '66 is a sweet ride. You sold a Charger?!!! What
year was it? I love sublime green. Everybody always seems to go for some
variation on either red, blue, black or white when they paint a car.

I had a '65 Galaxy (428 donated from another junk yard beauty), but it
was a bit too much of a project for me. It was one of my first cars. When
I was younger, I didn't have the space or the money to restore it. Then I
had the money, but no space to work on it. Then I got the space and lost
the extra money as a result. Then I got the money, but lost the time.
Heh, story of my life. So I sold it. I've always secretly been a Mopar
guy, and felt like I was settling for the Ford. Don't get me wrong, it
was a fantastic car. But I've had my sights set on a '69 RoadRunner for a
while now. I still don't have the time to do a full on restoration, so I
think I'll buy one that's basically done. I won't have the satisfaction
of saying "I did that", but I will have the satisfaction of driving it :)

I might even look into a Sattelite, and drop a 440 in. It's basically the
same damn car, and a WHOLE lot cheaper :)

--
Alan Strawinski

http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

76. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 21:59:01 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211011359.29ec4e91@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142612

Tom Sevart wrote
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210311930.72b67b18@posting.google.com...
> >Whoah there Tom, it's true I don't give "respect" to illegal CBers.
> >But why do you find it obnoxious?
>
>Perhaps because it lacks tact.

You're right, often I'm not tactful in response to the tactless. If tact (and the lack of it) is a concern for you, perhaps you ought to start reading the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules with regard to high power and illegal frequency operation and then flaunting it like a badge of honor.

-Aaron-

77. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 22:30:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apuv9h$5b8dr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142613

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211011359.29ec4e91@posting.google.com...

> You're right, often I'm not tactful in response to the tactless. If
> tact (and the lack of it) is a concern for you, perhaps you ought to
> start reading the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules with
> regard to high power and illegal frequency operation and then
> flaunting it like a badge of honor.
>
> -Aaron-

Well I'm just saying that when you call people federal criminals to their face (relatively speaking), you're sure not to win them over to your way of thinking. I'm just saying there's better ways to get your point across than the way you've been doing it.

Tom

78. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 22:34:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apuvgg$5b02n$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142614

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92B9726902413rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...

> Tim,
> You're right, it's coming, but I don't know about shortly. I am studying
> for my ticket. I do want HF priviledges though, so I am also in the
> process of learning code. CW sounds kinda fun, to me. I love the idea
> that many people think it's hard. That motivates me

Hey, good for you. I hope you find that the license tests aren't really
that hard for anyone who has a basic understanding of how radio works. And
you'll find that CW really isn't that hard, either. Learning the characters
is easy. Building up speed is hard. But with practice you'll find yourself
getting faster.

Tom

79. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 23:42:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11110-3DC3115D-46@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142619

Hey! You omitted MY fave: makin' stuff and seeing if it works. OR
learning WHY something
acts the way it does--particularly antennas. I spend more time
listening, learning and building than
I do talking.
Jerry
==========
Sorry for the omission. I dig messing with antennas also.... especially
wires.

80. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 23:47:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11109-3DC31297-112@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142621

Riley is not elected; he is a career FCC employee. Your predictions of a wholesale change
in Presidents and Congressional makeup are a mite premature. George Bush has the highest
popularity rating of any recent President at mid-term. Jerry
==========
True, Riley may be a lifer with the FCC, but his duties are changed with each presidential change.
Bush is a one-termer. Don't care what his popularity is.....people always vote the economy. He's gone.

81. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-01 23:59:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11110-3DC31556-48@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142620

Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and number.
Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication) breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with him and what is his official title and rank?
==========
>Well, it was over 20 years ago, so I doubt if >he's still with the FCC. >But his name was Ennis Coleman. I don't have >a number. Like I said, it was over 20 years >ago. even if you managed to find him, I doubt >if he'd remember a casual conversation that >happened that long ago. <<

Well, so much for that suggestion.

>And again, no law was brokem as the jammer >was a low power part 15 >device, which was being used to test local >propagation conditions. <<

It was an intent to jam, which you clearly stated. That is in violation of FCC rules and regs.
Part 15 makes no allowances for interference intended for a cber.

>A perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we >were so close to that >poor CBer (snicker!). <<

Poor cber? Appears you were/are one of those "poor cbers"...even as far as being a dealer/repair shop that has provided illegal services in srtcit violation of FCC rules. See, besides trying to take your advice concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious, so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?

>Believe me, I know what you're trying to do,<

You do? I thought I was trying to take you up on your offer of asking him, but several reasons why that would not be possible and the fact that he couldn't lend credibility by verifying your story came up when I actually tried to do what you suggested.

>but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him or berating >the actions that our local group did to get rid of >him.<<

Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert. What's good for the goose.....

>I made the device, and another guy planted >it.

So it was also a conspiracy to break the law.

> lasted for almost a
>week before we had to change batteries. In >about a month, the grade
"A" A-hole was >gone.<<

Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
is
buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
long time ago.

>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
>we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car. Dave
>"Sandbagger"<<

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?
In all seriousness.....I must admit you certainly have a knack for
arguing both sides of the coin.

82. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:00:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211011600.24135bf0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142615

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<_zKdnVoFdenUO1-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:20287-3DC28F39-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >funny thing is twisty you have tried,<
>
>
> Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof
> is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.)
> which in this case, happens to be you.
>
> From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
> Subject: Re: Hams Showing Off Amp Theory To CBers
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-10-31 18:15:56 PST
>
> From: aaronvoobner@home.coms (aaronvoobner)
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...
> "aaronvoobner" <aaronvoobner@home.coms> wrote:
> You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
> I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
> You think you have @home in your back pocket.
> I don't think so.
> --
> -Moparholic
>
> Why don't you think so? They have seen what is posted here first hand,
> and from the same people who have lodged complaints against me. They
> laughed at twistie claiming i infringed on some copyrighted material of
> his, when he could provide no proof of any of his claims ask him to post
> the e-mail they sent back to him.

And you, Aanal Aaron, have never provided the PROOF you said you would
"Gladly" post about me allegedly being "Steve" somehow. In fact, you
cut and ran like a coward instead, because I wouldn't let you off the
hook.

> "They didn't send one back, better check your source, cause you are dead
> wrong or deliberately lying. But they sure warned you, cause you haven't
> repeated your copyright boo-boo and fraudulent post since. That was my
> goal. Mission accomplished."

And that's why you cut and ran. You didn't have any PROOF, Aanal
Aaron, even though you offered to post it, and continuing your bovine

excrement made everybody laugh at you. You deliberately lied and have always been dead wrong. You've been proven wrong about everything you ever posted here, Aanal Aaron. Where's your PROOF?

83. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:01:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211011601.65fa4c14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142616

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210311616.fee567f@posting.google.com>...
> blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel) wrote
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > Oh so your saying some of you guys like
> > playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?
> >
>
> I'm still waiting to see examples of the "harassing" posts and emails
> I'm being accused of perpetrating, LOL.
>
> -A

And I'm still awaiting you alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Where is it?
You have definitely posted "harrasing" messages, smearing a person you
don't even know. But you haven't yet posted anything about ME. My name
is Richard. Prove otherwise, dimbulb!

84. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:10:37 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1KEw9.244491$8o3.7203334@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142617

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Y7Aw9.21$_u.9@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:22580-3DB9BD97-404@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden, now
> > of the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to look
> > at ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> > needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think they
> > are "entitled" to just take what
> > they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr.
> > Walden. Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> > idea to him as well?
> > Jerry
> > ==========
> > You need to show me da' money where Walden claimed to be aware of
> > "bandit operators".
> > Plus, you know how things are done on the hill, don't you, Jerry? If he
> > is going to "look" at it in the near future, by the time anything
> > actually gets done, the dx will be gone and more than likely so will he
> > and Riley, thanks to elections and a presidential change..
>
>
> Riley is not elected; he is a career FCC employee. Your predictions of a
> wholesale change
> in Presidents and Congressional makeup are a mite premature. George Bush
has
> the highest
> popularity rating of any recent President at mid-term.
>
> Jerry
> >
>
>
>

Jerry, George Bush is an idiot. For the record, I'm from Texas too. He was
the lesser of two evils, that's all.

85. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:11:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC3183E.C34B4D25@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142639

Mister T wrote:
>
> thanks alan, i got a cool car too http://www.geocities.com/timnebo/galaxie.jpg
> :)

Very nice!

86. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:12:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211011612.3c626985@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142618

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<apsq2i$4glt3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous the
> CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally on 10
> meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a
> few others who are obnoxious, but it seems that most of the CBer's here get
> quite defensive.

But somehow you miss how "contemptuous" the alleged hambos are toward
CBers? If Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl Busch and JJ and Frank weren't
so "contemptuous", maybe the others wouldn't be in return. Ya think?

> Now I don't have anything against someone who wants to run a little power,
> nor do I care about anyone who wants to operate on "extra channels" provided
> they stay out of the ham bands. I also understand that many hams tend to
> look down upon CBer's like parents do children. But my attitude has always
> been live and let live. However, when someone points out that this or that
> is illegal, they get jumped on.
>
> Just my observations.
>
> Tom

I can respect you (and Jerry Oxendine) for stating your opinions. I
even agree with you. However, I cannot respect Aanal Aaron (who isn't
even an amateur), Georgie-girl Busch (who is supposed to be an Extra
class), Frankie (who is supposed to be a broadcast engineer but
doesn't seem to have a ham license), or some of the others because all
they do is troll and deliberately stir up trouble. Whether they're
right or wrong they lack tact and maturity, cannot conduct a
reasonable discussion, and resort to low-life smearing and bovine
excrement posts. Georgie-girl (WA3MOJ) cannot have a civil discourse
with anybody and has stated that he doesn't want to see CBers
"elmered" into amateur radio, contrary to the ARRL position. I guess
he thinks he's more important that amateur radio itself, huh?

Just my observations.

87. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:16:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11109-3DC31961-121@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142627

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11111-3DC2AFB4-7@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
richardcranus@hotmail.com (Richard Cranus aka turd buster) "tools"
<medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Fiww9.1171$7n.104179@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net... Most
people have more pressing things on their mind these days.
==========
>the guys from this Ng don't tools crying to >Google? Crying to other
>Isp's ? hey shouldn't these net cops get a life?

We have one. It is when cretins such as discussed impinge on our lives
with actual actions, not mere words and violate rules,

>So does Jerry, Aaron, JJ, etc <

I don't place Jerry in that category. Jerry at least appears honest in
his motives, even if one (like myself at times) disagrees with his
motives.
Jerry has also never attacked on a personal note. He and I disagree on
several key issues, heatedly at times, but neither of us have called
each other names or made stupid cracks or remarks about our personal
lives outside our views of radio. He may seem radical to some of the
hard core cbers, but as you well know, many law-abiding cbers are viewed
the same way by some hammies, and several half-a-hammies.

>It is when Cb creetins with actions like >amplifier use?,

Excellent point.
What constitutes too much wattage? Is it not possible to achieve
broadcast quality and cleanliness on the cb channels or on the freeband
with less wattage, or the same wattage as some hammies achieve?

>with actions like freebanding,

Circular again, not to mention another subject in it's entirety. Very
much a debatable subject..such as you have your reasons and I have my
reasons why the FCC allows 555 to go unscathed.

>with actions like bleedover, with actions like >intereference. No these
are not mere words >and yes they violate rules.

Sure they do...IF and WHEN they occur.......running an amp does not
necessitate causing interference or hammies couldn't run them on 10
meter.

when the complaints are filed. It is the preferred method according to all domain rules. SInce it is the correct thing to do, taking issue with the rules and correct chain-of-command procedures when filing complaints, makes you a sociopath, according to the Hypocrite "Dave" Hall.

>The correct thing to do is also contact the FCC >and let them know as well

About what? Usenet topics? That since we enjoy talking about amps or freebanding that we are all guilty of doing it? C'mon!

88. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:16:49 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211011616.32d189d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142622

ï¿½Tom Sevart wrote
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211011359.29ec4e91@posting.google.com...
> >You're right, often I'm not tactful in response to the tactless. If
> >tact (and the lack of it) is a concern for you, perhaps you ought to
> >start reading the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules with
> >regard to high power and illegal frequency operation and then
> >flaunting it like a badge of honor.
> >
> >-Aaron-
>
>Well I'm just saying that when you call people federal criminals
>to their face (relatively speaking), you're sure not to win them
>over to your way of thinking. I'm just saying there's better ways
>to get your point across than the way you've been doing it.

Yes, but please note that the subject of federal crime usually comes
up only AFTER someone boasts that, for example, CB rules are
trivial...or the FCC doesn't care... or illegals are not doing
anything wrong, etc. etc. etc. In the context of these discussions,
what's wrong with telling someone the truth? Especially if they come
on like big bad tough outlaws, why be afraid of hurting their
feelings? CB rules have the power of federal law. We can debate the
semantics of this all you want, but the essential truth is that Part
95 rule violations are still federal crimes and they are being
enforced as such. Taking advantage of understaffing and
underenforcement to "get away" with operating illegally and then
thunbing your nose at the FCC is not something I respect. I can think
of no better way to get this point across than to express it DIRECTLY.

-Aaron-

89. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:22:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_UEw9.244594$8o3.7205899@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142623

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DC2D47A.7DBC@worldlynx.net...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again. For
> > the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which
> > serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly
> > obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to
> > the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating
> > the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it. Call it
> > vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the
> > CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the
> > animals at bay.
> > At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass,
> > or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down
> > antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW,
> > makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> > ==
> > Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.
> >
> > >No work required. I'm always upfront about
> > > the truth.
> >
> > If that's true, you won't mind answering what you refused to answer in
> > email.
>
> It's tough to answer something in e-mail, that doesn't arrive until
> after the newsgroup post.
>
> > Not so long ago you made a claim that you have only changed the
> > way you access this group once before, only your posts and headers show
> > otherwise....your latest is with the "slurp" feed and is quite a recent
> > addition.
>
> What is a "slurp" feed?
>
> > Is this another of those times where you can't possibly be
> > asked to remember such things or another of those times where you are
> > caught not telling the truth?
>

> I really don't know what you're talking about. Someone has been feeding
> you a line of bunk, and you don't know any better than to question it.
>
> >
> > >Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on
> > all 8 cylinders.<<
> >
> > Figure of speech. Firing on all 8? Nah,,,merely another incident where
> > you fail to see yourself as others do.
>
>
> Remember this quote of yours? I think you need to follow your own words:
>
> >> Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so
alienated and >> defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.
>
> >
> >
> > Your intellect is also waning badly, Dave. There is NO difference as far
> > as the FCC is concerned.
> >
> > >You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to
> > me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was,
> > in his words, "clever".
> > <<
> >
> > Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and
> > number.
> > Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested
> > in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication)
> > breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with
> > him and what is his official title and rank?
>
> Well, it was over 20 years ago, so I doubt if he's still with the FCC.
> But his name was Ennis Coleman. I don't have a number. Like I said, it
> was over 20 years ago. even if you managed to find him, I doubt if he'd
> remember a casual conversation that happened that long ago.
> And again, no law was brokem as the jammer was a low power part 15
> device, which was being used to test local propagation conditions. A
> perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we were so close to that
> poor CBer (snicker!). Believe me, I know what you're trying to do, but
> if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be defending him or berating the
> actions that our local group did to get rid of him. I made the device,
> and another guy planted it. It lasted for almost a week before we had to
> change batteries. In about a month, the grade "A" A-hole was gone. I
> guess it never occured to him to use his mobile. Good thing too, or we
> would have had to risk attaching another device to his car.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

>

Dave, I did the very same thing about 15 years ago, and it worked admirably. Simply removed the single channel transmitter that was crystaled for one of the "A" 27 Mhz channels from a childs remote control, replaced the crystal with one for 27.185, added a 15 foot wire antenna, sealed the thing in epoxy, placed it in a Coke can with paralleled 9 volt batteries, also waterproofed, and planted it in a culvert that was at the end of his driveway. Drove the person nuts, and they thought it was a neighbor that was tired of TV interference. That thing would go almost 2 weeks on one set of batteries. Had lots of fun with that person!

90. Re: Moped rant's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:24:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211011624.18b4eef5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142624

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<FlOdnQyMLve2OV-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20011031145306.470$nR@newsreader.com...
>
>
>
> You tell em prozac.gilligan knows all about getting TOS'ed.
> I slammed his supernews and his ISP for him.
> You think you have @home in your back pocket.
> I don't think so.
> --
> -Moparholic
>
>
> LOL, I think so Steve Parks noted sidewalk sissy, you have a long history of
> crying to ISP's , with no luck of course. LOL

Geez, Georgie-girl, don't you know where to use apostrophies when not to? "rants" is plural and doesn't require an apostrophy. "You're" is a contraction and DOES require one. I sure wish you could spell like an adult; you try to act like one!

And ask your buddy Aanal Aaron why he has to keep changing email accounts, will ya? It has nothing to do with "no luck of course". For that matter, why do YOU keep changing nics? Har de har.

Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ; another fine product of publik edjukashun.

91. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:36:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101193655.24268.00002361@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142625

a nice entire post rationalizing why you played radio god

>
>Twistedhed wrote:
>>
>> Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again.
>> For the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which
>> serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly
>> obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to
>> the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating
>> the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it.
>> Call it vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to
>> abandon the CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to
>> keep the animals at bay.
>> At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass,
>> or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down
>> antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW,
>> makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> ==
>> Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.
>
>No work required. I'm always upfront about the truth. Maybe that's what
>keeps you lapping at my heels so forcefully.
>
>
>> First time
>> he admitted to being a federal criminal after attempting the martyr
>> role........
>
>Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on
>all 8 cylinders.
>
>
>> His intellect is also waning badly. There is NO difference as far as the
>> FCC is concerned.
>
>You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to
>me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was,
>in his words, "clever". You see, once you fall under the auspices of
>part 15, you are no longer "jamming", you are "conducting on air
>propagation experiments, and morse code practice", much like kiddie
>walkie talkies.

>
>
>> jamming is jamming and it makes you a federal criminal
>
>Removing a much greater disruptive force from the local community was
>worth it, especially since the FCC didn't seem concerned enough to
>respond to numerous complaints.
>
>> (according to your own words) anti-social, and your mind is full of
>> criminal intent and contempt.
>
>Not at all. The logic is that the needs of the many, outweigh the needs
>of the few (or the one). Eliminating a sociopathic Cber, who contributed
>nothing to the local area, but hate and discontent, by utilizing low
>power signals, which took away his ability to wage verbal war on other
>law abiding Cbers, was something that resulted in a positive improvement
>for the community. One person suffered so that the majority could be
>free to converse freely. A good trade in my book.
>
>
>> The hypocrisy shines in this statement as
>> you choose what rules are ok to disregard for yourself while accusing
>> others doing the same thing of being a federal criminal.
>
>Eliminating a troublemaker is the same thing as blatently disregarding
>the rules with regard to high power and illegal frequency operation (and
>then flaunting it like a badge of honor)? I think not.
>
>Once again, you try, but as usual, you fall far short of your goal of
>maligning me. If you were a person of decent moral character, you might
>have a chance. But you, a person, guilty of violating federal rules, and
>someone who demonstrates frequent examples of anti-social behavior,
>including a lack of respect for other's rights, is in no position to
>judge the actions of others.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

92. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:44:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101194415.24268.00002366@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142626

hey randy, what was his handle???

>
>
>Dave, I did the very same thing about 15 years ago, and it worked admirably.
>Simply removed the single channel transmitter that was crystaled for one of
>the "A" 27 Mhz channels from a childs remote control, replaced the crystal
>with one for 27.185, added a 15 foot wire antenna, sealed the thing in
>epoxy, placed it in a Coke can with paralleled 9 volt batteries, also
>waterproofed, and planted it in a culvert that was at the end of his
>driveway. Drove the person nuts, and they thought it was a neighbor that was
>tired of TV interference. That thing would go almost 2 weeks on one set of
>batteries. Had lots of fun with that person!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

93. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:47:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101194749.24268.00002367@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142628

thanks alan, i got a cool car too http://www.geocities.com/timnebo/galaxie.jpg
:)

>Tim appears to be having "More fun than a human being should be allowed
>to have", as Rush puts it. That's great. It's great to see someone who
>enjoys life and having fun. Our idea of fun probably differs (Im a
>computer geek, remember <g>) but I enjoyed seeing some of the pictures he
>had up on his website - he appears to be living it up on a regular basis.
>Cool.
>

94. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 00:58:55 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B9ACBF747C4rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142629

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in
news:20021101194749.24268.00002367@mb-mw.aol.com:

> thanks alan, i got a cool car too
> http://www.geocities.com/timnebo/galaxie.jpg
>:)
>
Cool, dude. Is that a '66?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

95. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:12:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101201259.24268.00002372@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142630

yes it is, i bought it for 100 bucks almost 5 years ago. it was sitting in a field rotting away. i put alot of love and work in that car and its came a long ways since. i also have a 1966 Ford Custom which is the same thing basically but its in the same shape as the galaxie used to be. maybe by the time i'm 30 i'll have twins and i dont mean kids :)

>
>> thanks alan, i got a cool car too
>> http://www.geocities.com/timnebo/galaxie.jpg
>>:)
>>
>Cool, dude. Is that a '66?
>
>
>
>--
>Alan Strawinski
>http://alan.strawinski.net
>rrcb@strawinski.net
>
>
>
>
>
>

96. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:13:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021101201333.24268.00002373@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142631

dont want any negative attention drawn to the car lol

>Yea but when I try to visit that site,geo's server is too busy. Only
>happens when you post the link,so you must be getting someones attention.
><g>
>
>Why not just put it on your website?

97. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:27:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mSFw9.257212$121.7345573@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142632

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021101194415.24268.00002366@mb-mw.aol.com...
> hey randy, what was his handle???
>
> >
> >
> >Dave, I did the very same thing about 15 years ago, and it worked
admirably.
> >Simply removed the single channel transmitter that was crystaled for one
of
> >the "A" 27 Mhz channels from a childs remote control, replaced the
crystal
> >with one for 27.185, added a 15 foot wire antenna, sealed the thing in
> >epoxy, placed it in a Coke can with paralleled 9 volt batteries, also
> >waterproofed, and planted it in a culvert that was at the end of his
> >driveway. Drove the person nuts, and they thought it was a neighbor that
was
> >tired of TV interference. That thing would go almost 2 weeks on one set
of
> >batteries. Had lots of fun with that person!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

You know Tim, I was trying to recall that myself, but like many unimportant
things, that escapes me now. It was in your town though. Somewhere off
Westward...Patricia or one of the streets in that area.

98. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:31:01 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DC32AD5.92D5A412@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142637

: Frankly Frankie' "yeti@cet.com" wrote:
: The problem is your definition of 'sweep tube'. Because
: the sweep tube is typically a power pentode, you seem to classify all power
pentodes
: as 'sweep tubes', which is far from the truth.

At no time did I ever state or imply all power pentodes are sweep tubes. Your
twist of wording doesn't play here. ...where was that posted and when..?
Was not posted by me so don't make stuff up as you go along.

: The TRUTH is that sweep tubes were designed for one purpose only: they
: are optimized for use as horizontal deflection amplifiers at about 16
: kHz, which is a far cry from 27 MEGA-Hertz. This is demonstrated by
: examining the interelectrode capacitances for both types of tubes:

Depending on who's camp an rf person sits... "Sweep Tube" also defines a
Type or style of Beam Power Tube. Most RF and tube people would agree Sweep
"Beam Power Tubes" are constucted with very similar characteristics.

Continue the example through to a very similar tube, designed by GE only for
RF applications, never placed in a horizontal deflection amplifier application.
Said 8950 and similar types M2057 and the Yaesu S2001/6 (the Yaesu part number
might is
probably not exact) tubes meet all the requirements to function as a sweep tube
and along with
the 8950, are pretty much a drop in replacement for sweep tube applications.

In short, they pass the sweep tube label muster test...

Amoung the RF crowd, the 8950 and other above mentioned tube types are
often called sweep tubes because they are more than casually similar.

Simple enough... can you dig it..?

: The input and output capacitances for a 6LF6 are twice that for a 6146,
: and the all-important grid-to-plate capacitance (the main factor that
: determines the maximum usable frequency) is more than TWELVE TIMES
: larger!

Again....
The topic is about RF Amplifiers in the HF and VHF Low frequencies, not
above 54Mhz. Input and output C values are quite usable with practical circuits
at 30Mhz. One also avoids most of the dangerous VHF parasitic problems
often found in poorly built 6146 circuits. A sweep tube homebrew advantage.
The well knownVHF mid band and higher Ft circuit construction and stability
problems of the 6146 are not a dominant concern of the sweep tube amp builder.

But "you knew dat... cause da babies chin, looked jus like the daddies'..." (ms cleo).

: Not only is the sweep tube non-linear at RF frequencies, it is
: also very prone to self-oscillation. They require a significant amount
: of neutralization just to get them to work on RF, linear or not!

You really are a piece of work Frankie...
Take a look at the majority of classic HF 3 to 30Mhz Amateur and CB grounded grid amplifiers.... sweep tube or not and tell us how many are neutralized..? Other than some much debated current circuits from Ameritron, few if any others are using Neutralization in grounded grid circuits. Goes for both Sweep and RF Designed tube g-grid amplifiers.

Remember, we're talking grounded grid rf amplifiers here... always have been until you start to wander... It's been proven many times over the 6LF6 and other sweep tubes work very at 30 Mhz, even higher. We don't need them to sing in the VHF High band... remember the decafe there mr broadcast...

No one claims anything more than Sweep tubes can work pretty darn well in good RF Amplifier circuits.

The 6146 tube is mucho more costly to use and quite overkill for the same circuit requirements... Amp builders simply deal with Input and Output C values as required... not the big deal you sing about.

No tube is a perfect linear device... but g-grid sweep tube amplifiers can easily be made which meet current FCC requirements and work very well for typical medium power Amateur Amplifiers. You appear to be very out of touchwith the real world frankie' boy. The rather rare Dentron GLA-1000C has a type acceptance number. A few were legally listed on Ebay some months back...

>
> The TRUTH is that some tubes are designed for general purpose use,
> while others are designed for very specific applications. RF power
> tubes were designed for RF power applications, and sweep tubes were
> designed for horizontal deflection amplifiers. True, some power
> pentodes will work in applications for which they were not intended,
>

Ah'ha..! there is a light at the end of the tunnel... thank god. You pretty much sum it up my point right here. Light the fireworks...!!!!

> but cannot be used AS IF that was the specific application for which
> they were designed. Sweep tubes and RF power tubes are, by and large,
> NOT interchageable as if they were designed for the same purpose!

No one here is trying to push RF power tubes off the map in lieu of using sweep tubes frankie... They are just an interesting part of current and past rf amplifier history... Up until recent times, sweep tubes were almost entirely off the radar map. But... we can now get them again from European Sources and they're very low in price.

> Now if you are wondering why I am hung up on this insignificant bit of
> information, it's because it's not insignificant at all. The
> difference is the difference between a clean signal and a signal
> loaded with harmonics, between an efficient and an inefficient
> amplifier, and between good and mediocre engineering practices.

Clean and dirty signals happen with all types of types of tubes. Good
engineering practices allow sweep tubes to make great AB2 amplifiers.
The same homework done for classic common cathode circuits gave
them a home in many tube type HF Amateur Tranceivers. The Dentron
GLA-1000c actually had an FCC type acceptance number...

The proof is in the pudding... the pudding can be measured with test
equipment.

>
> You have not mentioned one amplifier that's type-accepted/certificated
> for use between 24 and 35 MHz on the services for which you have a
> license, or for any other service on those freqs.

Motorola TLB6521A 25-30Mhz
Motorola TLB6522A 30-36Mhz
FCC License Designation CC1044 & CC1044C.

Just for giggles, let me throw out a legal "Linear Amplifier" used in a licensed
AM service.

Multitone TA8 : TA14 25-50Mhz

> It's simple logic, Skippy. Your license limits you to the use of
> equipment that's type-accepted/certificated for that service. There is
> no amplifier being manufactured that meets that criteria. Therefore,
> use of an amplifier on those frequencies, even WITH a license, is
> illegal because you would be using equipment that isn't type-accepted
> or certificated.

The key word is current manufacture... plenty of type accepted amplifiers
exist for legal operation... see the motorola unit above. No magic here.

> You can try and twist the rules all you want in order to justify your
> position Skippy, but the fact remains that amps on those freqs is
> illegal. Period.

Your statement should not be all inclusive... used type accepted amplifiers
are an easy find and legal to use.

On a sidebar note...
Out of curiousity, I called the FCC today to inquire if a currently made
type accepted amplifier could be retuned for an adjacent same service
allocation. The example are two nearly exact TPL amplifiers, one pre
78 ban, the other a current 35Mhz low limit certified amplifier. Less the
sticker on labels, one would be hard pressed to find a difference.
-
The unofficial opinion as of this morning is yes... a more formal official
answer is to arrive after some research by an FCC agent. I'll of course

report the official word when I receive it... should prove to be a nice
fly in the soup.

> There is no IB service listed by the FCC, and there are no licenses
> listed for the IB service. If you doubt that, go check the database
> yourself. Here's the link:
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>

It's a nice web page... online... but the hard copies in my hands say otherwise...

I have the actual licenses... or unmodified photocopies of the originals...

Allow me to directly quote one...

"Radio Service : IB Industrial Business "

Sorry if actual paperwork doesn't work for you... If an FCC inspection happens
at your medium/low power broadcast station... you aren't going to show him a web
page url, or a print out from a web page url.

"Hard Copy Matters"

> >Where your going with your triode, tetrode and pentode mode questions is not
> >yet clear. If you can try to get past your dumb question line up to some
> >point you want
>
> It's very simple: The term "mu" is used to describe the amplification
> factor of a triode, and can be "high-", "medium-" or "low-mu", which
> are relative comparisons for all triodes. A pentode (or tetrode, beam
> power tube, sweep tube, etc.) can be connected and used as a triode,

Triodes also have transconductance... no big deal as you seemed to
want to make out of it in a previous post...

: in which case it is rated by it's amplification factor, or "mu", just like a
triode.
: The problem here is that you seem to think that a triode-connected pentode is
: somehow NOT working as a triode, and that the term "low-mu" describes
: something other than the amplification factor of a triode. You have yet to
: explain why they do NOT work as triodes, OR how a triode-connected
: pentode DOES work. But let me take a guess:

Part of the homework of building rf amplifiers is knowing High and Low Mu
issues related to rf amplifiers ... Tetrode tubes in some (not all) grounded
grid circuits operate as high mu triodes, bad news to some tube geometries.

In your ideal world of only RF Power tubes, some tetrodes don't play well
in certain grounded grid circuits... they go poof. Same issues can and do
occur with sweep tubes. In this case, ignoring the details will cost money...

: Grounded-grid operation eliminates the need for neutralization, and

Yes... a major advantage. Neutralization is a pain in the pazzoo, most
often easily avoided in grounded grid amplifiers.

: triode operation will linearize the operation of the tube. But this is
: at the sacrifice of efficiency.

No tube is an ideal linear device in operation... and triode operation is
not a restriction for acceptable linear service. Efficiency of a good
grounded grid sweep tube amplifier can be near 60% in clean AB2 linear
operation. Thats great results in the real world.

: The grid of a grounded-grid amplifier conducts for a considerable portion
: of the cycle.

Yep, we call that grid current remember... it should be understood and
kept within the device limits. That's why certain grounded grid circuits
are bad news... especially with specific types of RF designed and Sweep
tubes.

: RF power tubes designed specifically for grounded-grid operation
: have hefty grids that can dissipate that power, but sweep tubes don't.
: Therefore, the sweep tubes that are used as grounded-grid amplifiers must
: keep the grid current to a minimum.

The correct statement should say "keep the grid current within safe limits."

: There are only two ways to do this.

(Readers to this post..., a bit of free advice try to avoid using the
word "only" in your statements.)

: The first is to run the tube Class C (which, of course, is not linear).
: The second is by reducing the power gain of the tube EVEN LOWER than
: the typical gain of a grounded-grid amplifier, resulting in a very inefficient
: amplifier. Is THAT what you mean by "low-mu"?
-
Nope...
Its a starting point statement for anyone building a grounded grid rf amplifier
; to pay attention to the circuit, specifc to using sweep tubes, but not limited
to...
tetrode/pentode combinations as seen/used in some layout schemes. In specific,
some
combinations of grounded grid connections are very bad news for beam power
tubes, both your precious RF designed types and sweep tubes in general.
-

Have a good weekend everyone... back after this commercial break...
cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

99. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:32:14 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <T5udnYgxzK6Ctl6gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142633

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211011601.65fa4c14@posting.google.com...

>My name is Richard. Prove otherwise, dimbulb!

His name is Aaron prove otherwise dildo!

100. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:33:59 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <L8Scnc-PefsVtl6gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142634

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Whatever. It's my name.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove that you are correct.

Richard Cranium

101. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:34:37 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Gj-dnebFjeQwtl6gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142635

Off Topic

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021101170110.673$cz@newsreader.com...
> Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
> > news:20021101152116.382$Pw@newsreader.com:
> >
> > > Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> > > (snip)
> > >>> Know what I think makes Mopar the coolest? He's got a Roadrunner! I
> > >>> am
> > >> insanely jealous. I want a '69 so bad I can taste it. It's kind of a
> > >> dream car to me. He's one lucky SOB to have one. Shoot, we could
> > >> probably hang out all day and just talk about his car. I'd say the
> > >> hell with CB, Ham and legal discussions, this dude owns a kick ass
> > >> car!
> > >>
> > > Thanks for the flowers,Alan. I don't have a Roadrunner though, I
> > > currently have a '66 Bevedere II ragtop 440. A bit more rare than the
> > > runner. ;) Had a Charger(440) and sold it,both cars are sublime
> > > green.
> > >
> > > I'm glad to hear you're into vintage cars,and radio, both are real fun
> > > hobbies. Who knows,maybe we can hook up for a cold one,someday. Talk
> > > cars, women and radio..maybe not in that order. <g>
> > >
> >
> > Oh, crap I thought you did. I wonder who I was thinking of?
> >
> >> Still, no matter. your '66 is a sweet ride. You sold a Charger?!!! What
> > > year was it? I love sublime green. Everybody always seems to go for some
> > > variation on either red, blue, black or white when they paint a car.
> > >
> > I like all Mopar colors,except the orange.(just me) I had a 68 sublime
> > green Charger,but the funds weren't there for two Mopars. (them parts
> > always seem to cost more) ; (
> >
> >> I had a '65 Galaxy (428 donated from another junk yard beauty), but it
> > > was a bit too much of a project for me. It was one of my first cars.
When
> > > I was younger, I didn't have the space or the money to restore it. Then
I
> > > had the money, but no space to work on it. Then I got the space and lost

> > the extra money as a result. Then I got the money, but lost the time.
> > Heh, story of my life. So I sold it.
> >
> Oh I understand the lack of ca$h flow,to sink into a less than practical
> car. It's been an age old problem,and one that really affects the cars I
> play around with.
> >> I've always secretly been a Mopar
> > guy, and felt like I was settling for the Ford. Don't get me wrong, it
> > was a fantastic car. But I've had my sights set on a '69 RoadRunner for
a
> > while now. I still don't have the time to do a full on restoration, so I
> > think I'll buy one that's basically done. I won't have the satisfaction
> > of saying "I did that", but I will have the satisfaction of driving it
:)
> >
> Where do you live? I go down south with me car trailer,look for a straight
> rolling chassis. There's runners down there,but they cost more than a ford
> or chevy.
>
> Anyway,glad to hear about another Mopar fan.
> > I might even look into a Sattelite, and drop a 440 in. It's basically
the
> > same damn car, and a WHOLE lot cheaper :)
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

102. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:38:17 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <vqCdnZoZg_gUsV6gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142636

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021101201159.648$qF@newsreader.com...

> Good point,Randy. I wouldn't call him an idiot,but I wonder where they're
> picking from when it comes to representing the Republican party.

The Democrats are picking them up at the old Folks Home take a good look at
the democrats Mondale , lautenberg these guys are both almost 80 years old.
Torricelli what happened to him? Jesse ventura was right last week.

103. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 01:59:02 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <sjidnWBhh9T7rF6gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142638

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11109-3DC31961-121@storefull-

>It is when Cb creetins with actions like >amplifier use?,

Excellent point. What constitutes too much wattage? Is it not possible to achieve
broadcast quality and cleanliness on the cb channels or on the freeband with less wattage, or the same wattage as some hammies achieve?

More than 4 watts is too much. I am sure it is possible to get it but then that would put all of the current amp makers out of business since none of them falls in the broadcast quality and cleanliness.
Why do you always like to compare things to hammies, be a real man and stand on your own merits of Cbing. For christ sakes twist amatuer gear is supposed to meet specifications basment built amps don't.

>>with actions like freebanding,

Circular again, not to mention another subject in it's entirety. Very much a debatable subject..such as you have your reasons and I have my reasons why the FCC allows 555 to go unscathed.

Probably same as most lack of man power

>with actions like bleedover, with actions like >intereference. No these are not mere words >and yes they violate rules.

Sure they do...IF and WHEN they occur.......running an amp does not necessitate causing interference or hammies couldn't run them on 10 meter.

Again the comparision to hams, stand on your own twisty you'll be a better man for it.
Running an amp No it certainly doesn't necessitate interference but it usually is a big help, It doesnt really matter if it is 10 meters or 20 meters, Btw I talk on 10 meters with a 100 watt radio like most hams I talk to they use just the radio and no amp, and most ham amps have
Lc networks in them to lower harmonics, they run class ab1 instead of class c splatter boxes like most all Cb amps who have no filtering. I see very few mobile HF amps in use by hams I also have noticed from experiance less hams run an external amplifier they just aren't really needed.

>The correct thing to do is also contact the FCC and let them know as well.

About what? Usenet topics?

Nah not usenet

>That since we enjoy talking about amps or freebanding that we are all guilty of doing it? C'mon!

That wasn't you we heard talking to 22 steel was it? Talking about amps? Would you like to see some websites where they are sold? Freebanding so those times you said you spoke to others from this Ng must mean you were lieing as usual right toe? Cmon twist If you want to break the Laws stand up like a man, don't just say it is all talk now and don't say well the hammies are doing this or doing that. I really expected more from you twist I guess thats why you have never posted your name in here, you do have something to hide, all that tough talk about how you know what your doing is illegal well thats why don't you show us the real twistedhed, you know the guy who has that loose attitude on life who saysd what he thinks and does what he wants regardless of a few trivial FCC laws.

104. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 03:19:57 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xvHw9.257896$121.7364694@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142640

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:T5udnYgxzK6Ctl6gXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0211011601.65fa4c14@posting.google.com...
>
> >My name is Richard. Prove otherwise, dimbulb!
>
> His name is Aaron prove otherwise dildo!
>
>

Once again, George exposes his intellect.....so sad.

105. Yaesu FT-102

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 03:20:33 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021101222033.02618.00000559@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142641

This Yaesu FT-102 has been modified for 11 meters. Its a powerhouse with big AM swing. It is in excellent condition and screams with a D-104, not included. Asking $495+ shipping

106. FOR SALE- AMPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 03:49:49 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021101224949.26481.00000762@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142642

The following amps are in excellent condtion and prices are plus shipping

Thunderbolt 305 Base amp 1 drving 3 6LQ6's imput 2-5 watts, output 300-500 watts $295

No Name 5lb Maul 1 driving 4 with auto SSB, rec preamp remote jack on/off, variable output control, like new $275

Texas Star V-MOD 1pill, rec preamp, variable output control $75

107. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 04:21:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us6km9l2u9l959@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used for RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned good station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
> 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band switch.
> I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ...
> > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position
> > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception
> > (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we
> used
> > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty,
> but
> > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a
> Henry
> > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > >

> > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> > >
> > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1
> has
> > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it
> in
> > a
> > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > > Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40
> >
> >
>
>

108. Realistic trc 492

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:17:42 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102001742.25190.00002102@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 142644

Need tec

Wanted tweak and peak instructions on this cb base Please tell me which coils to ajust

T11coil, do i have to ajust T9 and T10 too,what about T1 coil and the L3 drive coil

ALL HELP IS WELCOME
I WANT THIS RADIO TO ROCK AND ROLL

109. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:24:02 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apvngi$5cn78$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142645

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211011612.3c626985@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<apsq2i$4glt3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous
the
> > CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally on
10
> > meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps
a
> > few others who are obnoxious, but it seems that most of the CBer's here
get
> > quite defensive.
>
> But somehow you miss how "contemptuous" the alleged hambos are toward
> CBers? If Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl Busch and JJ and Frank weren't
> so "contemptuous", maybe the others wouldn't be in return. Ya think?

Nope, look at the thread a little ways up where I tell Aaron that he lacks tact. I understand why he's reviled here. My concern was the way it seems that any ham gets treated here when they state that illegal CBer's are operating on 10 meters. It's almost like telling a Christian that Jesus didn't exist.

Tom

110. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:29:13 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <vMSdncGYv-Y2_16gXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142646

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xvHw9.257896$121.7364694@twister.austin.rr.com...

> Once again, George exposes his intellect.....so sad.

Hey Randy Long time no see Hope all is ok on your end!

111. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:30:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apvnro$5fe33$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142697

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211011616.32d189d9@posting.google.com...
> I can think
> of no better way to get this point across than to express it DIRECTLY.

And perhaps the reason people resent you here is also because illegal CB operations is all you ever talk about. I've rarely seen a post from you (when you're not participating in arguments) that talks about anything else. I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and antenna you use, yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think that you don't operate CB, I know you're not a ham, yet you are obsessed with illegal CB operators. Perhaps you're not really in person, but you seem to be a very peculiar person.

If I'm wrong about your actual participation in the radio hobby then I'm sorry, but that's the way you've come across.

Tom

112. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:30:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apvntg$5g762$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142698

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021101202154.731$V5@newsreader.com...

> Imagine if you did have twin boys, and named them both Tim. The strong one
> would be #1 Tim.
>
> The weaker one would later get a nickname...(go-fur). <g>

Would that be like Larry, Darrel, and Darrel?

Tom

113. Re: w.t.b. "intenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 05:59:02 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3DC3699C.6050704@pacbell.net>
Source record: 142699

Wesser wrote:
> looking to buy an "intenna".... thin wire antenna ,pasted to inside of car
> window, with small tuning unit attached to coax, circa: 1964-66
> thank you
> carl / dee
>]]
>
>

I believe the Intenna brand was introduced around 1975.

114. GE SUPERBASE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 06:35:02 UTC
From: moparmopar1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102013502.25053.00001143@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 142700

I have a GE superbase which needs new clock switches. Someone tried to remove the case incorrectly, and mangled the switches underneath. They are the momentary switches on top of the case. Does anyone know if these parts are available through a parts distributor or if they are used on any other radio's. Someone told me that GE used them on certain alarm clocks but I can't seem to find out any information. Any help would be greatly appreciated. If you have a parts radio with these switches intact, I would also be interested. Thank you for
your reply.

115. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 07:09:09 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211012309.3868b4d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142701

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:6e58e321.0211011616.32d189d9@posting.google.com...
>> I can think
>> of no better way to get this point across than to express it DIRECTLY.
>
>And perhaps the reason people resent you here is also
>because illegal CB
>operations is all you ever talk about. I've rarely seen a post from you
>(when you're not participating in arguments) that talks about
>anything else.

You've missed seeing a whole bunch, Tom.

-Aaron-

116. Get a clue already, Skippy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 08:02:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc385cf.15843500@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142702

Much of your bullshit is snipped because it has already been addressed in my other posts, (the ones where I answer your questions and avoid mine) and I'm tired of repeating myself every time you want to change directions. So...

<snip bullshit>
>Depending on who's camp an rf person sits... "Sweep Tube" also defines a
>Type or style of Beam Power Tube. Most RF and tube people would agree Sweep
>"Beam Power Tubes" are constucted with very similar characteristics.
<snip redundance nonsense>
>Simple enough... can you dig it..?

It doesn't seem to be so simple for you, Skippy. For instance, you certainly are having a hard time distinguishing between the terms 'beam' and 'power' when used with vacuum tubes. Here's a few snippets of your ramblings:

"...a beam power 'sweep' tube actually designed for RF Applications"

"The closest conventional sweep - beam power tube to the 8950 was/is the 6HF5"

"[the 8950] was designed by GE for beam power applications."

"... they are all in a similar class - family style. Beam power tubes, horizontal output tubes, sweep tubes... many can often be made to do similar things."

Now you made the statement that sweep tubes can be used in place of most any other power tube. You defended that statement by saying that most any power tube can be used as a sweep tube. And it's clear that you don't seem to know the differences between 'beam' tubes, sweep tubes, power pentodes, etc. So yes, Skippy, you DID say that any power pentode (or 'beam tube', or whatever term you use from day to day) is a sweep tube. And, of course, you are wrong.

BTW, it's also clear that you do not understand what a 'beam tube' really is. A 'beam tube' is a tetrode where the screen wires are hidden behind the grid wires, and have special plates that direct the electron flow into a 'beam' to the plate. This type of construction lessens the current on the screen and eliminates the supressor grid. But because of the beam-forming plates are tied to the cathode similar to a supressor grid, 'beam tubes' or 'beam tetrodes' are frequently called pentodes anyway.

Now there are plenty of power triodes, but they are NOT beam tubes. There are also plenty of power pentodes and tetrodes that are NOT beam

tubes. And yes, there are sweep tubes are NOT beam tubes. The 'beam'
tube is a sub-class of, not a synonym for, the power tube. Get it
right next time, Skippy.

>: The input and output capacitances for a 6LF6 are twice that for a 6146,
>: and the all-important grid-to-plate capacitance (the main factor that
>: determines the maximum usable frequency) is more than TWELVE TIMES
>: larger!
>
>Again....
>The topic is about RF Amplifiers in the HF and VHF Low frequencies, not
>above 54Mhz. Input and output C values are quite usable with practical circuits
>at 30Mhz. One also avoids most of the dangerous VHF parasitic problems
>often found in poorly built 6146 circuits. A sweep tube homebrew advantage.
>The well knownVHF mid band and higher Ft circuit construction and stability
>problems of the 6146 are not a dominant concern of the sweep tube amp builder.

Now where did I talk about parasitic oscillation? I didn't, Skippy
(and you keep accusing ME of trying to divert attention from the
topic!) I was talking about the high capacitance limiting the
practical frequency range of the tube.

<snip bullshit>
>The 6146 tube is mucho more costly to use and quite overkill for the
>same circuit requirements...

There are a LOT of manufacturers that disagree with you there, Skippy.
How about B&W, Collins, Globe, Gonset, Hallicrafters, Hamarlund,
Henry, Johnson, Kenwood, Millen, Morrow, etc... Are you even
suggesting that all, most, or even ANY of those very sucessful
companies (manufacturers of some of the very best ham radio equipment
ever made) designed their radios for overkill? You think that sweep
tubes are good RF tubes because they were used in a few low-end radios
and amps? Judas Priest...

Skippy, you REALLY need to sit down, shut your trap about what you
THINK you know, crack open your mind (if that's at all possible), and
LEARN the difference between what WORKS, what BARELY works, and what
WORKS WELL! sheesh...

<snip bullshit>
>> You have not mentioned one amplifier that's type-accepted/certificated
>> for use between 24 and 35 MHz on the services for which you have a
>> license, or for any other service on those freqs.
>
>Motorola TLB6521A 25-30Mhz
>Motorola TLB6522A 30-36Mhz
>FCC License Designation CC1044 & CC1044C.

I can't find those devices in the current database. Matter of fact, I
can't even find those model numbers anywhere. Are those authorizations
current? If so, how about a link? And isn't the license designation

prefix "CC" now defunct?

>Just for giggles, let me throw out a legal "Linear Amplifier" used in a licensed
>AM service.
>
>Multitone TA8 : TA14 25-50Mhz

Once again, the authorization is no longer valid.

You seem to be under the impression that once a device receives
type-acceptance/certification that such authorization lasts forever.
It doesn't.

>> It's simple logic, Skippy. Your license limits you to the use of
>> equipment that's type-accepted/certificated for that service. There is
>> no amplifier being manufactured that meets that criteria. Therefore,
>> use of an amplifier on those frequencies, even WITH a license, is
>> illegal because you would be using equipment that isn't type-accepted
>> or certificated.
>
>The key word is current manufacture... plenty of type accepted amplifiers
>exist for legal operation... see the motorola unit above. No magic here.

The authorizations have expired. The only equipment that Motorola has
authorized that runs over the CB are a few HF commercial radios and
cable devices, but no amplifiers. You can check the database yourself
if you don't believe me. Here's the link:

https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/index.html

Now you can check it yourself whenever you think you have found a
legal amplifier. When you do, let me know.

<snip bullshit>
>"Hard Copy Matters"

I don't have a hard copy, so it doesn't matter at all. If you actually
had an IB license it would be listed with the FCC, but it's not. If
the license is current, then there should be some link you can provide
from the FCC site that confirms your claim about the IB service. Now
put up or shut up.

<snip bullshit>
>: triode operation will linearize the operation of the tube. But this is
>: at the sacrifice of efficiency.
>
>No tube is an ideal linear device in operation... and triode operation is
>not a restriction for acceptable linear service.

Jeez, Skippy, there you go again putting words in my mouth. Where did
I say that triode operation is a restriction? I didn't. Regardless, I
seem to recall that your cure for poor linearity from sweep tube
common-cathode amps was to stick a 10 ohm resistor between the cathode
and ground -- YIKES!!! Ungrounding a power RF tube, now THAT'S "bad

news"!

> Efficiency of a good
>grounded grid sweep tube amplifier can be near 60% in clean AB2 linear
>operation. Thats great results in the real world.

Except that AB2 isn't very clean from a sweep tube.

<snip bullshit>
>Nope...
>Its a starting point statement for anyone building a grounded grid rf amplifier
>; to pay attention to the circuit, specifc to using sweep tubes, but not limited
>to...
>tetrode/pentode combinations as seen/used in some layout schemes. In specific,
>some
>combinations of grounded grid connections are very bad news for beam power
>tubes, both your precious RF designed types and sweep tubes in general.

What "combinations" and "layout schemes" are those, Skippy? And can
your "precious" sweep tubes perform better under those "combinations"
and "layout schemes"? What circuits are "specifc to using sweep
tubes"? Actually, your whole statement doesn't make any sense except
to toss out a couple buzzwords and phrases. And you

---***>>> STILL <<<***---

haven't described your "classic" sweep tube amplifier circuit!

117. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 08:09:18 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142703

Tom Sevart wrote
>I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and
>antenna you use, yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think
>that you don't operate CB, I know you're not a ham, yet you are
>obsessed with illegal CB operators. Perhaps you're not really in
>person, but you seem to be a very peculiar person.
>
>If I'm wrong about your actual participation in the radio hobby
>then I'm sorry, but that's the way you've come across.
>
>Tom

Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into this thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few others who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom" argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You started an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet. And I'm not the only one that's noticed it.

-Aaron-

118. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 11:01:56 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <EgOw9.3448$z5.2513073@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142704

It comes to a suprise to me that more SW pirates haven't tried around 26.000 Mhz. I was shocked and suprised to find out a few years ago that it's supposively part of an 11 meter international broadcasting band. Perhaps some cber's who would like to try their own hand at pirating can get a hod of an old 2950 or whatnot do some basic mods to power, plus a nic wiring job to a mi plug, and have some fun. It would be kinda neat to hear that on a weekend night.
Or who knows. Not many people use that "hidden" channel 27.145, so perhaps that could be a fun place for a few cb pirate radio types.....
Just some ideas

Aaron
KB8ZVN

119. FA: Lamda LLS9018 Digital 0-18 vdc 45amp Power Su

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 12:42:48 UTC
From: "Tim J. Neff" <computermagician@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cLPw9.2713$t4.293634@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142705

This is a commercial grade variable dc 45 amp digital power supply.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1394123948

120. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 13:06:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211020506.3328c580@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142706

"Richard Cranus aka turd buster" <richardcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<aJScnely1aP_Fl-gXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0211010511.4d844660@posting.google.com...
>
> > When am I going to get a "different answer" from you? You said you
> > would Gladly post proof, Aanal Aaron, but all you do is run away.
>
> When can we get proof to back up your *fact* that there are 30 -40 millions
> cbers. huh all you do is run in circles.

Hey there Georgie-girl; all you can do is change nics. All Aanal Aaron
ever does is run away like the whipped puppy he really is. He's got no
proof and has, in fact, been proven wrong about everything he's ever
posted.

You got a better estimate? Didn't think so.

121. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 13:15:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211020515.236090e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142707

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0211011359.29ec4e91@posting.google.com>...
> Tom Sevart wrote
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0210311930.72b67b18@posting.google.com...
> > >Whoah there Tom, it's true I don't give "respect" to illegal CBers.
> > >But why do you find it obnoxious?
> >
> >Perhaps because it lacks tact.
>
> You're right, often I'm not tactful in response to the tactless. If
> tact (and the lack of it) is a concern for you, perhaps you ought to
> start reading the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules with
> regard to high power and illegal frequency operation and then
> flaunting it like a badge of honor.
>
> -Aaron-

Once again the famous patented "chicken side-step" from Aanal Aaron.
He can't discuss the topic, so he redirects. Bzzzzt! You lose. Again.
As usual. But at least he admits that he's tactless; that's a step in
the right direction.

Perhaps YOU, Aanal Aaron, should stop being so obsessed with "reading
the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules". Read something
you enjoy instead, for a change. Try alt.bitchnmoan; it's just your
speed.

BTW, where's the proof you said you would "Gladly" post? Haven't done
it, won't do it. Can't do it, can you?

122. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 13:22:28 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <3KKdnR6YOegJTF6gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142708

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> You got a better estimate? Didn't think so.

You said it was fact Dickhead, now all of a sudden it is an estimate.
You ask me for an estimate, lol I would certainly say 10-15% of the US
population does not own CB like your assumption, that you claimed as fact.
But then again I wouldn't make a claim and call it fact then change it to an
estimate when called on it.

123. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 13:22:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211020522.3dde8217@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142709

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<apuv9h$5b8dr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0211011359.29ec4e91@posting.google.com...
>
>
> > You're right, often I'm not tactful in response to the tactless. If
> > tact (and the lack of it) is a concern for you, perhaps you ought to
> > start reading the posts of those blatently disregarding the rules with
> > regard to high power and illegal frequency operation and then
> > flaunting it like a badge of honor.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Well I'm just saying that when you call people federal criminals to their
> face (relatively speaking), you're sure not to win them over to your way of
> thinking. I'm just saying there's better ways to get your point across than
> the way you've been doing it.
>
> Tom

But, Tom: Aanal Aaron, Georgie-girl, and others aren't here to "make
friends and influence people". They're here to troll and start flame
wars. Georgie is allegedly an Extra-class ham, but he won't "elmer"
anyone into amateur radio; he'd rather be a jerk and make sure they
stay CBers (who'd want to bother going through the hassle of getting a
license to talk to someone like him?). That's the point of it all,
Tom.

124. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 14:10:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <51Rw9.263070$Fw2.7523941@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142710

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211012309.3868b4d3@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:6e58e321.0211011616.32d189d9@posting.google.com...
> >> I can think
> >> of no better way to get this point across than to express it DIRECTLY.
> >
> >And perhaps the reason people resent you here is also
> >because illegal CB
> >operations is all you ever talk about. I've rarely seen a post from you
> >(when you're not participating in arguments) that talks about
> >anything else.
>
> You've missed seeing a whole bunch, Tom.
>
> -Aaron-

Actually, he's got you pretty well pegged.

125. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 14:14:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <V4Rw9.263071$Fw2.7524787@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142711

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:vMSdncGYv-Y2_16gXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xvHw9.257896$121.7364694@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> > Once again, George exposes his intellect.....so sad.
>
>
> Hey Randy Long time no see Hope all is ok on your end!
>
>

Everything here is great, George. Thanks for asking.

126. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 14:42:14 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211020642.65a97f0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142712

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com> wrote in message news:<ipcw9.946$oX6.396591154@news.inreach.com>...
> I asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> supermarket.
>
> Most thought CB was dead.
>
> A few asked if I was gay.
>
> One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
>
> The police refused my question and told me to leave.
>
> One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
>
> The majority just looked at me and continued on

only cheep ass sons of bitches like yourself shop at food4less
mudduck......now hit the bricks you idiot.............

127. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 15:19:44 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <uk6dnXndbeiMcF6gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142713

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> But, Tom: Aanal Aaron, Georgie-girl, and others aren't here to "make
> friends and influence people". They're here to troll and start flame
> wars.

Which is your exact reason for being in this NG, I have yet to see you make any friends even the keyclowns don't like you. So I'll ask again just why are you here?

>Georgie is allegedly an Extra-class ham, but he won't "elmer" anyone into amateur radio;

That's funny I took a group of 5 cbers to get their licenses about a year and a half ago, just shows what you really know.

> he'd rather be a jerk and make sure they stay CBers (who'd want to bother going through the >hassle of getting a license to talk to someone like him?). That's the point of it all,
> Tom.

The illegal ones who have no regard for law I sure would like for them to be Cbers. The legal ones who want to communicate like human beings they are more than welcome. Not sure what hassle getting a license is it is pretty easy you should try to get one sometime, hell they even give you the correct answers. Have you ever talked to me on the air? Oh that's right you dont' have a license and if you did would we get the same asshole who you are on this NG on the air. Probably somethings never change.

128. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 15:21:01 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <IWidncieX4bCcF6gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142714

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:V4Rw9.263071$Fw2.7524787@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Hey Randy Long time no see Hope all is ok on your end!
> >
> >
>
> Everything here is great, George. Thanks for asking.

Good to hear never know these days. See we miss you when your gone.

129. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 16:10:42 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <HOSw9.1071$pq6.427205762@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142715

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

> only cheep ass sons of bitches like yourself shop at food4less
> mudduck......now hit the bricks you idiot.............

Your hidden homosexual personality shines like a harvest moon
in your reply. Although I'm not a licensed psychiatric professional,
it's clear to me you had a very toubled childhood. Seek help as
soon as possible.

Good luck soldier,

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

130. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 16:13:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...

Circa early 67
Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.

A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of the equipment.

A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the equipment when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick often used then.

The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual Samsonite suitcases.

Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the time the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment was so tough that nothing could hurt it.

The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed and the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.

Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both the remaining suitcases.

The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..

Dan/W4NTI

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021102004246.265$fA@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position
> > running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used
for
> > RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned
good
> > station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a
> > 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
> > > 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> > > transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band
> > switch.
> > > I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> > > > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting
> > > > old
> > ...
> > > > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1.
> > Position
> > > > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity
> > > > reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102
> > > > feet) which we
> > > used
> > > > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is
> > > > > > nasty,
> > > but
> > > > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with
a
> > > Henry
> > > > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> > > > >
> > > > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The
> > > > > 30L-1
> > > has
> > > > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and
keep
> > > > > it

> > > in
> > > > a
> > > > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > > > >
> > > >
> >
> See Jim,you're not as old as you thought you were. I still have the
> complete S line station,and JJ(Circumfrence) has it too(minus the 30L-1).
>
> Collins is some pretty tight gear. ;)
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

131. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes OT for Alan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 16:47:13 UTC
From: ozark333@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ebf7cbb.0211020847.240504ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142717

Hey Alan....here is what us Midwesterners call a Musclecar.
http://members.aol.com/joesroadrunner
Take care, from a fellow Mopar lover and radio op.
Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92B985B04829Frrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
> news:20021101152116.382$Pw@newsreader.com:
>
> > Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> > (snip)
> >>> Know what I think makes Mopar the coolest? He's got a Roadrunner! I
> >>> am
> >> insanely jealous. I want a '69 so bad I can taste it. It's kind of a
> >> dream car to me. He's one lucky SOB to have one.
> > Thanks for the flowers,Alan. I don't have a Roadrunner though, I
> > currently have a '66 Bevedere II ragtop 440.

132. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 17:36:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us839tqhpdkt60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142718

Marcy marcy me, good buddie. Ya'll gota copy on ... kinda silly, isn't it. Same with painting all the hams with a wide brush. Myself, I never cared for working DX. DX should imply 'long distance' yet it is used to mean 'rare'. One doesn't get meaningful contacts that way, but some folks enjoy collecting wallpaper. So let 'em. Myself, I'm content with 440 FM and have fun with the locals (and not so locals). Same thing could be said of CB. Some folks like shooting skip. I could ask the same question of both groups: "but what do you actually use it for?"

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021031235613.26623.00000580@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >I agree but you know even if no unliscenced operaters ever went up to 10
> >meters, there would be something else to bitch about, like freebanding,
> >non-linear amps, overmodulation, etc. There will always be hams that piss
on
> >this newsgroup, don't worry about it though, in the long run it has a
> >negative effect on thier own hobby.
>
> Ham Radio is some weird cult. And, it's a boring cult. If you don't
conform to
> the Ham conventions, you are not a "true" Ham.
>
> Operating on 11m is highly discouraged. Ham vendors will offer a range of
> crystals with a continuous range of frequencies, but with the 11m band
missing.
>
> Hams are a pretty pathetic group as a whole. Hams need to feel superior so
they
> can claim they are better than CBers. Some claim Morse Code makes them
> superior, so they will not be CBers. This does not make sense to me.
>
> Many get their thrills from contesting. Contesting is making as many
contacts
> as possible within a given time period. Hams call "CQ CQ" and wait for a
> response. After the contact is made, call signs are exchanged, the contact
is
> logged into a spreadsheet, and the Ham looks for more contacts. It gets
boring
> after five minutes. Many Hams get some kind of thrill from contesting. Ham
> Radio should be more interesting.
>

133. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes OT for Alan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 18:00:04 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BA65BAD3944rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142719

ozark333@hotmail.com (Joe) wrote in
news:ebf7cbb.0211020847.240504ee@posting.google.com:

> Hey Alan....here is what us Midwesterners call a Musclecar.
> http://members.aol.com/joesroadrunner
> Take care, from a fellow Mopar lover and radio op.
>
That's the car!!! Right on, dude. I used to me a Midwesterner myself (I was
born in Toledo, OH) and can agree: THAT'S what I call a musclecar. You
lucky SOB :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

134. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 18:00:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us84likui0jb62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bwaahaha. Great story, Dan. Thanks for the laugh.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...
>
> Circa early 67
> Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.
>
> A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of
the
> equipment.
>
> A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the
equipment
> when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick
> often used then.
>
> The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the
> runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the
> KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual
> Samsonite suitcases.
>
> Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the
time
> the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment
> was so tough that nothing could hurt it.
>
> The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed
and
> the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt
> next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.
>
> Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul
> was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both
> the remaining suitcases.
>
> The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or
> flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in
> true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>

135. CB repairs in Hampshire UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 18:15:50 UTC
From: Paul Wickham <p_wickham@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UDUw9.594$sS5.80964@newsfep2-gui>
Source record: 142721

Anyone know of an independent radio engineer or possibly a company in the Hampshire area to repair a maycom em-27 that suffered a voltage blast when my trucks dropper failed. I know of Nevada Communications in Portsmouth but though they supply rigs their interest in repairs to CB is shite! "Well it will be ?30 to look at it and take 10 days if you really want us to look at it " Not exactly inspiring ! Thanks in advance

136. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 18:22:31 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <HJUw9.12669$bG.4369@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 142722

you never finished answering MY question the other day, Mr. Personality...
and seeing how you are in a CB newsgroup with the rest of us, i honestly
doubt you are any type of GQ material either....

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211020642.65a97f0e@posting.google.com...
> "General Johnson Jameson"
<10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com> wrote in message
news:<ipcw9.946$oX6.396591154@news.inreach.com>...
> > I asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> > supermarket.
> >
> > Most thought CB was dead.
> >
> > A few asked if I was gay.
> >
> > One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
> >
> > The police refused my question and told me to leave.
> >
> > One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
> >
> > The majority just looked at me and continued on
>
> only cheep ass sons of bitches like yourself shop at food4less
> mudduck......now hit the bricks you idiot.............

137. For Sale: Cobra29

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 19:56:14 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021102145614.13742.00002183@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 142723

cobra 29 sound tracker with night watch $50

cobra 29 ltd the old 29 made by dynoscan $50

(Better Then You!!!)

138. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 20:02:28 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211021202.4f1f1be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142724

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<EgOw9.3448$z5.2513073@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> It comes to a suprise to me that more SW pirates haven't tried around 26.000
> Mhz. I was shocked and suprised to find out a few years ago that it's
> supposively part of an 11 meter international broadcasting band. Perhaps
> some cber's who would like to try their own hand at pirating can get a hod
> of an old 2950 or whatnot do some basic mods to power, plus a nic wiring job
> to a mi plug, and have some fun. It would be kinda neat to hear that on a
> weekend night.
> Or who knows. Not many people use that "hidden" channel 27.145, so perhaps
> that could be a fun place for a few cb pirate radio types.....
> Just some ideas
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN

Another troll from www.frn.net. Yawn.

-A

139. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 20:40:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us8e2g2opihi45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan, I got one on a salesman. He was selling some emc receivers (over $60,000). He stated they were so accurate that you could calibrate them on a commercial FM radio station. I simply asked if he would choose the single tone, I'd be happy to choose the deviation; otherwise he could pick the deviation and I'd pick the tone. Then let's see him calibrate it. Ohhhh .. the Bessel function. Ouch. No carrier.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...
>
> Circa early 67
> Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.
>
> A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of the
> equipment.
>
> A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the equipment
> when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick
> often used then.
>
> The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the
> runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the
> KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual
> Samsonite suitcases.
>
> Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the time
> the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment
> was so tough that nothing could hurt it.
>
> The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed and
> the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt
> next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.
>
> Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul
> was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both
> the remaining suitcases.

>
> The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or
> flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in
> true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021102004246.265$fA@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > >> Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position
> > > running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used
> for
> > > RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned
> good
> > > station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a
> > > 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was
the
> > > > 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> > > > transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band
> > > switch.
> > > > I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the
second
> > > > > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting
> > > > > old
> > > ...
> > > > > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1.
> > > Position
> > > > > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity
> > > > > reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102
> > > > > feet) which we
> > > > used
> > > > > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim

> > > > >
> > > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to
both
> > > > > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is
> > > > > > > nasty,
> > > > but
> > > > > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong
with
> a
> > > > Henry
> > > > > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got
schooled.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The
> > > > > > 30L-1
> > > > has
> > > > > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and
> keep
> > > > > > it
> > > > in
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > See Jim,you're not as old as you thought you were. I still have the
> > > complete S line station,and JJ(Circumfrence) has it too(minus the
30L-1).
> >
> > Collins is some pretty tight gear. ;)
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>

140. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 20:57:39 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0211021257.5df71a0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142726

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021101000641.26623.00000584@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?
>
> What makes CB so special that its a hobby? Isn't it just operating a radio. Is
> FRS (Family Radio Service) or walkie talkies essentially the same thing? You
> don't call these hobbies.

This is actually a pretty interesting question. The answer is
simply...people. Individuals choose what interests them enough to make
a hobby out of it. That may not have been the intentions of the FCC at
the time of the CB's inception, but this is how the chips have fallen.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

141. test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 21:02:25 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <B3Xw9.56361$c51.17258909@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 142727

test... (sorry)

142. Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 21:04:50 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0211021304.141fbdc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142728

I thought any rig with a channel indicator was a "CB in disguise?
Damn, there goes another rig I gotta trash to "comply." LOL!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1394132538

I repeat, Hmmm?!

73 de Bert
WA2SI

Hey, what's that freq? Just monitoring, eh? :-)

143. FS Galaxy Saturn Turbo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 21:15:36 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YfXw9.4838$6g.1421508@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 142729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale a base Galaxy Saturn Turbo.Make me a reasonable offer on it.I don't really know what it is worth,so I will entertain your offers.I prefer local pickup as shipping would be really high.I am in the northeast of Mass.01930.You can call 978-281-3359 if interested or email me.Thanks for any interest.

144. Re: Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 21:22:17 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2898-3DC44209-261@storefull-2197.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142730

My favorite sstv "channel"!!!!!....Mark ps.BCNYA

145. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 22:18:20 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <MaYw9.260$3J4.134506@dca1-nnrp1.news.algx.net>
Source record: 142731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0210290316.7327143d@posting.google.com...
> I wouldn't even consider this a viable alternative(or "advantage") for
> the original poster... at least that individual had the sense to
> understand that FRS WASN'T an option for their application (hence the
> question about GMRS, and the segue to MURS)...

Normally, I wouldn't either, but you're failing to understand the point. For instance, there were multiple occassions where we wanted to communicate with a large group of family or friends. We only have a few radios but the other folks in the group did have FRS. We were able to communicate with them because of it. Also, if you have a group or induvidual who is unlicensed, then your only alternative is them using FRS. I normally don't think FRS useful, but under these circumstances, it was. Just because the original poster might not want FRS for his application, doesn't mean he won't use the ability to talk to those users who have no other choice.

Jack

146. Re: Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 22:38:26 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021102173826.05821.00002721@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 142732

2 words.....Peter Luger's

Train

147. Re: FA: Lamda LLS9018 Digital 0-18 vdc 45amp Power Su

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:04:03 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211021504.4157d060@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142733

"Tim J. Neff" <computermagician@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<cLPw9.2713$t4.293634@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> This is a commercial grade variable dc 45 amp digital power supply.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1394123948

real nice power supply....but finicky as hell.....doesn't like rf
getting into circuitry.......conservatively rated....built like a boat
anchor but a pain in the ass.........bottom line......skip this
one.........

148. need help with cobra 98xlr base radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:06:47 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211021506.12ad3396@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142734

I just got a cobra 98xlr base I need a copy of the manuel and any other help info about this radio. I need to know where to set all the knob and switches.

149. open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:07:05 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211021507.a9af2a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142735

get your ass ready for the ultimate in radio asswhipping as i , your radio host drop the maul from the parking lot of the ritz cabaret.....after all radio god's need lovin too.....now then get to gettin cause your goat smellin ass is stinkin up the place.....is it bad enough i have to wetnurse that bunch of faggots from up north too????

150. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:09:27 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211021509.48e95b01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142736

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<apsq2i$4glt3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous the
> CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally on 10
> meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a
> few others who are obnoxious, but it seems that most of the CBer's here get
> quite defensive.
>
> Now I don't have anything against someone who wants to run a little power,
> nor do I care about anyone who wants to operate on "extra channels" provided
> they stay out of the ham bands. I also understand that many hams tend to
> look down upon CBer's like parents do children. But my attitude has always
> been live and let live. However, when someone points out that this or that
> is illegal, they get jumped on.
>
> Just my observations.
>
> Tom

tom why don't you get your hammy ass back on the ham
newsgroup......??? don't we have enough trouble from your terminal
technician class ham trolls on this group??????

151. Re: GE SUPERBASE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:29:42 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <GdZw9.2990$Wf5.876@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 142737

Hello G.E sold the cb part of the business to Thomas parts or something so good luck with that.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"MoparMopar1" <moparmopar1@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021102013502.25053.00001143@mb-dh.aol.com...
> I have a GE superbase which needs new clock switches. Someone tried to remove
> the case incorrectly, and mangled the switches underneath. They are the
> momentary switches on top of the case. Does anyone know if these parts are
> available through a parts distributor or if they are used on any other radio's.
> Someone told me that GE used them on certain alarm clocks but I can't seem to
> find out any information. Any help would be greatly appreciated. If you have a
> parts radio with these switches intact, I would also be interested. Thank you
> for
> your reply.
>

152. Re: WANTED: Bearcat III / IV Scanner Crystals (USED)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:42:43 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0211021542.1e01014@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If all else fails you can try http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/repinfo.html

"Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam> wrote in message
news:<tpYv9.76$CR7c.26607692@news2.randori.com>...
> I have been DESPERATELY looking for 10.8 IF crystals for my Bearcat III
> scanner. I need the following listening frequencies...
>
> 155.415
> 153.980
> 157.450
> 155.340
> 155.055
> 39.500
> 162.400
> 159.210
> 453.250
>
> I suppose 10.7 IF crystals would work in it if I used...
>
> 155.315
> 153.880
> 157.350
> 155.240
> 154.955
> 39.600
> 162.300
> 159.110
> 453.150
>
> I would either like to trade 1 for 1 with crystals I have now that I cannot
> use in my area or buy cheaply.
>
> Sincerely,
> BTJustice

153. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:50:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211021550.30a35ddd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142739

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0211021202.4f1f1be@posting.google.com>...
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<EgOw9.3448$z5.2513073@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > It comes to a suprise to me that more SW pirates haven't tried around 26.000
> > Mhz. I was shocked and suprised to find out a few years ago that it's
> > supposively part of an 11 meter international broadcasting band. Perhaps
> > some cber's who would like to try their own hand at pirating can get a hod
> > of an old 2950 or whatnot do some basic mods to power, plus a nic wiring job
> > to a mi plug, and have some fun. It would be kinda neat to hear that on a
> > weekend night.
> > Or who knows. Not many people use that "hidden" channel 27.145, so perhaps
> > that could be a fun place for a few cb pirate radio types.....
> > Just some ideas
> >
> > Aaron
> > KB8ZVN
>
> Another troll from www.frn.net. Yawn.
>
> -A

Really? Care to illuminate us on how you came to that conclusion? And
as usual, you don't talk about the content, you re-direct. The famous
patented "chicken side-step" from Aanal Aaron!

154. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:51:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC464E9.12EF268D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142744

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
> did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
> of 10 meters,

Well hooray!! Glad you finally got that 10 meter receive working.

> so perhaps it is true.

Perhaps? What does it take to convince you?

> I still don't believe that it's
> the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.

It is a growing problem. You can find them all up and down the
band when 10 is open.

> I must admit
> that I finally heard some amateur activity at the low end of 10 meters
> recently, too; CW on 28085 and RTTY on 28125. Congradulations, hams,
> THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
> bands): USE IT

Right on, that will certainly help discourage use by illegal ops.
Just listen to 10 when there is a contest and the band is full of
hams, you will hear few illegal signals. But we still need to do
what Jerry O. does and report intruders, keep the problem before
Riley and company.

155. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:53:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC4655F.F511CF28@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142745

chessecutter wrote:

>
> hey pal......you are the one shopping at food4less........what's the
> matter.....???? struck a raw nerve..........????

Cheesebutter, why let people just think you're a fool when you can
open your mouth and remove all doubt.

156. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 23:59:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211021559.4b702938@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142740

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<apvngi$5cn78$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0211011612.3c626985@posting.google.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<apsq2i$4glt3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > One thing I find interesting about this newsgroup is how contemptuous
> the
> > > CBer's treat anyone who suggests that some CBer's operate illegally on
> 10
> > > meters. I can understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps
> a
> > > few others who are obnoxious, but it seems that most of the CBer's here
> get
> > > quite defensive.
> >
> > But somehow you miss how "contemptuous" the alleged hambos are toward
> > CBers? If Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl Busch and JJ and Frank weren't
> > so "contemptuous", maybe the others wouldn't be in return. Ya think?
>
> Nope, look at the thread a little ways up where I tell Aaron that he lacks
> tact. I understand why he's reviled here. My concern was the way it seems
> that any ham gets treated here when they state that illegal CBer's are
> operating on 10 meters. It's almost like telling a Christian that Jesus
> didn't exist.
>
> Tom

In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
of 10 meters, so perhaps it is true. I still don't believe that it's
the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however. I must admit
that I finally heard some amateur activity at the low end of 10 meters
recently, too; CW on 28085 and RTTY on 28125. Congradulations, hams,
THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
bands): USE IT instead of whining about it, especially on the UseNet
which most CBers have never even heard of!

157. FS: Cobra 29LTD Classic for parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 00:03:34 UTC
From: Barry <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3DC467D9.591D436A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 142741

```
for sale:
Cobra 29LTD CLASSIC; parts unit - front panel & chassis, covers, etc.
let me know what you need.
tnx,
barry
carson city, nv
http://home.att.net/~btuttleman2/electron.html
```

158. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 01:13:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211021713.52a8dfd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142742

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message news:<HJUw9.12669$bG.4369@rwcrnsc53>...
> you never finished answering MY question the other day, Mr. Personality...
> and seeing how you are in a CB newsgroup with the rest of us, i honestly
> doubt you are any type of GQ material either....
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0211020642.65a97f0e@posting.google.com...
> > "General Johnson Jameson"
> <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com> wrote in message
> news:<ipcw9.946$oX6.396591154@news.inreach.com>...
> > > I asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less "
> > > supermarket.
> > >
> > > Most thought CB was dead.
> > >
> > > A few asked if I was gay.
> > >
> > > One dropped some change into my gadget bag.
> > >
> > > The police refused my question and told me to leave.
> > >
> > > One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.
> > >
> > > The majority just looked at me and continued on
> >
> > only cheep ass sons of bitches like yourself shop at food4less
> > mudduck......now hit the bricks you idiot.............

hey pal......you are the one shopping at food4less........what's the
matter.....???? struck a raw nerve..........????

159. What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 01:39:01 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211021739.5f128a29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142743

What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx a srounding areas I am new to cb radio. If your in Houston and u use a cb radio please let me know what channels u use and what times you are on.

160. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 02:11:19 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <4-mcndoDQOpVGFmgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142746

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC464E9.12EF268D@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
> > did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
> > of 10 meters,

What else could they have been aliens?

> > so perhaps it is true.

Yeah perhaps it is, people all over the country have heard them, now you
finally admit to hearing "something" lol

> > I still don't believe that it's
> > the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.

If your not a ham not it is not a big problem is it Dick

> > I must admit that I finally heard some amateur activity at the low end
of 10 meters
> > recently, too;

Thats what happens when you turn the radio on and listen other than run the
vfo and say welp no one that I hear. I hear CW almost daily

> > THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
> > bands): USE IT

That's one way to keep them out, the fact still remains if the band is
closed and it is not isn full use truckers and cbers have no right using it
so there still is a problem, perhaps we can leave a baby sitter there to
make it look like it is in use.

>
> Right on, that will certainly help discourage use by illegal ops.
> Just listen to 10 when there is a contest and the band is full of
> hams, you will hear few illegal signals.

Yes they are getting stepped on lol.

161. Re: Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 02:23:27 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <zM%w9.34339$S44.23072@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142647

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021102173826.05821.00002721@mb-ms.aol.com...
> 2 words..........Peter Luger's
>
> Train

True. LOL! We'll pull it together. This thing's almost a year old.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

162. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 03:14:17 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BAC3B1516A9rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142648

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in
news:e8d89b3f.0211021739.5f128a29@posting.google.com:

> What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx a srounding areas I am new
> to cb radio. If your in Houston and u use a cb radio please let me
> know what channels u use and what times you are on.

Honestly, the easiest way to find out is to just listen for a while. I bet
Tim can help you out, though.

You might even try jumping on 19 and asking.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

163. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 03:54:30 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <W51x9.215338$md1.49307@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 142649

He's just full of miserable hatred and wants to share it with the world.
But, i cant help but pop him like a zit yet again.
I told him what state i lived in, so he should know there is not a
food4less within a 5 hour drive or so from me. Open mouth, insert foot.
Repeat as necesary....His type remind me of the really weird fish ya catch
when you go on one of those wreck fishing tours. Good for nothing, laugh at
it, take a couple pics for more laughing down the road, then throw it
back....
A a post script JJ, he removed all doubt long ago. Now he is building quite
a foundation.

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC4655F.F511CF28@mailcity.net...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
>
> >
> > hey pal......you are the one shopping at food4less........what's the
> > matter.....???? struck a raw nerve..........????
>
> Cheesebutter, why let people just think you're a fool when you can
> open your mouth and remove all doubt.

164. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 05:31:33 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <04qcnWqQOpgkKVmgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142650

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:W51x9.215338$md1.49307@sccrnsc03...
> He's just full of miserable hatred and wants to share it with the world.
> But, i cant help but pop him like a zit yet again.
> I told him what state i lived in, so he should know there is not a
> food4less within a 5 hour drive or so from me. Open mouth, insert foot.
> Repeat as necesary....His type remind me of the really weird fish ya catch
> when you go on one of those wreck fishing tours. Good for nothing, laugh
at
> it, take a couple pics for more laughing down the road, then throw it
> back....
> A a post script JJ, he removed all doubt long ago. Now he is building
quite
> a foundation.

Gm, you can look up your friend at google under the names of pinhead,
curious dan, icecold beer, sdjones, that should tell you about his history
here.

165. Re: Get a clue already, Frankie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 06:09:42 UTC
From: skipp <frankiedoesthesonganddance@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DC4BDA6.90CA3B8D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142652

> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

>

> Much of your xxxxxxx is snipped because it has already been addressed

> in my other posts, (the ones where I answer your questions and avoid

> mine) and I'm tired of repeating myself every time you want to change

> directions. So...

(I x'd out a bit of your poor language)

You pretty much answer with song and dance and a lot of off topic diversions ... I'm still waiting to see you respond

to my questions about the at least two posts you made which instruct readers to tie grids to the plate for rf amplifier triode mode operation.

Why is this not bad information frankie...?

You wouldn't be repeating yourself if you answered the questions one

time.

> <snip bullshit>

> >Depending on who's camp an rf person sits... "Sweep Tube" also defines a

> >Type or style of Beam Power Tube. Most RF and tube people would agree Sweep

> >"Beam Power Tubes" are constucted with very similar characteristics.

> <snip redundance nonsense>

> >Simple enough... can you dig it..?

>

> It doesn't seem to be so simple for you, Skippy. For instance, you

> certainly are having a hard time distinguishing between the terms

> 'beam' and 'power' when used with vacuum tubes. Here's a few snippets

> of your ramblings:

Since your the only one having the hard time... let me reference the

source Materials. RCA receiving tube manual. ARRL Handbooks, W6SAI Handbooks

and a few others. The references above use both partial and specific terms. Your the current anal retent in the crowd...

> "...a beam power 'sweep' tube actually designed for RF Applications"

Yep, the 8950 and previously mentioned M2057 (and the Yaesu NOS

tube found in the FT-101 HF radios) power tubes are best described as

Sweep tubes. Although never found in sweep applications, the sweep tube label BEST & MOST ACCURATELY DESCRIBES the tube as applied to rf amplifier circuits. Anyone with experience with sweep tube rf amplifiers would not have a problem with statement. Dance and sing all you want, you just look the anal boob worried over trivial fine points.

> "The closest conventional sweep - beam power tube to the 8950 was/is

> the 6HF5"

So, what's wrong with the statement frankie..?

My opinion at the time of the statement yes... but technology moves on

and I've now found a much better drop in replacement (6.3v fil),

an original application sweep tube. I and many others have rewired

6HF5 applications over to the 8950. For cost reasons, we might now

rewire some 8950 circuits back to original sweep tube operation.

The procedure has been written in a few ARRL Hints and Kinks books through the years. So what does this do for you...? what point are you trying to make..?

> "[the 8950] was designed by GE for beam power applications."

Like you have, one can easily add or subtract words from the descriptions,
but the point in the original post was made. You just seem to want to nit pick

at loose label ends. I've done the same to you in past posts when you've

left specific words out of your replies. You just seem to get really excited over stupid things.

The only way I and others can respond is to clarify and update previous

topics when they become a problem. So far, I and others know of and

have no problems calling an 8950 a sweep tube. Svetlana provided me with four tubes designed for rf service that should directly drop into sweep
tube service. I also buy Russian made tubes which should drop directly

into
sweep tube service. I and others don't have a problem calling these rf designed tubes "sweep tubes" because they are near exact or similar in operation replacements found in Amateur RF Amplifiers built through the last 3 plus decades.

> "... they are all in a similar class - family style. Beam power

> tubes, horizontal output tubes, sweep tubes... many can often be made

> to do similar things."

>

> Now you made the statement that sweep tubes can be used in place of

> most any other power tube. You defended that statement by saying that

> most any power tube can be used as a sweep tube. And it's clear that

> you don't seem to know the differences between 'beam' tubes, sweep

> tubes, power pentodes, etc. So yes, Skippy, you DID say that any power

> pentode (or 'beam tube', or whatever term you use from day to day) is

> a sweep tube. And, of course, you are wrong.

I don't agree with your above statement... and I would have to see

THE ENTIRE TEXT OF THE ORIGINAL POST, from which you like to pick &

pull in portions out of the original topic context for your current rant post.

Tell me the location of the original post and we can go through it when this thread goes away. I'll sort your weak theory bs out...

As applied to grounded grid rf amplifiers, sweep tubes can be used

in lieu of some (originally designed as) rf power tubes as a practical

and cost effective option. You just don't like that fact. Tough titty said the kitty...

It appears you just want to be anal retentive about labels... your

issues are not really a problem for me. If you/I/anyone finds errors

in any previous statement, they simply need to be posted with proper context and then reviewed and corrected. Such as you try with me, such as I do with you...

I will try to be more specific about my labels and reduce my global statements
because its clear that you don't seem to know much specific grounded grid rf

amplifiers without a map and your hand being held. So yes, and Frankie, you
did say that grounded grid amplifiers require neutralization.

> BTW, it's also clear that you do not understand what a 'beam tube'

> really is. A 'beam tube' is a tetrode where the screen wires are

> hidden behind the grid wires, and have special plates that direct the

> electron flow into a 'beam' to the plate. This type of construction

> lessens the current on the screen and eliminates the supressor grid.

Generic tube theory 101 one can easily quote from a number of books.
You forgot to include the very special part about electrode spacing,
how plate secondary emission is suppressed without actual power loss.
Because of the manner in which the electrodes are spaced.

But your book probably didn't have that part covered...

> But because of the beam-forming plates are tied to the cathode similar

> to a supressor grid, 'beam tubes' or 'beam tetrodes' are frequently

> called pentodes anyway.

Are you also going to illustrate space charge for us..?

In short, the beam power tube has the advantages of high power output,
high power sensitivity and high efficiency. Now get on with some point
or park it...

> Now there are plenty of power triodes, but they are NOT beam tubes.

> There are also plenty of power pentodes and tetrodes that are NOT beam

> tubes. And yes, there are sweep tubes are NOT beam tubes. The 'beam'

> tube is a sub-class of, not a synonym for, the power tube. Get it

> right next time, Skippy.

Aaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhh... so this is where your going. When posts are
made about rf amplifiers and sweep tubes used within... most everyone
would assume the mentioned tube types fall are directly related to
the topic.

I don't recall writing power triodes are beam tubes... maybe you could
point
that exact text out..? I don't seem to recall writing that any power
pentode is a sweep tube... maybe you could also point that entire exact
text out..?

I don't have a problem calling the beam tube or beam power tube a
sub class of...

But somehow you're hell bent to prove some "all sweep tubes" or "all
pentode - tetrodes" statement wrong, out of some mysterious past post.
Let's have the post location and get at what's eating you so bad.

> >: The input and output capacitances for a 6LF6 are twice that for a 6146,

> >: and the all-important grid-to-plate capacitance (the main factor that

> >: determines the maximum usable frequency) is more than TWELVE TIMES

> >: larger!

> >

> >Again....

> >The topic is about RF Amplifiers in the HF and VHF Low frequencies, not

> >above 54Mhz. Input and output C values are quite usable with practical circuits

> >at 30Mhz. One also avoids most of the dangerous VHF parasitic problems

> >often found in poorly built 6146 circuits. A sweep tube homebrew advantage.

> >The well knownVHF mid band and higher Ft circuit construction and stability

> >problems of the 6146 are not a dominant concern of the sweep tube amp builder.

>

> Now where did I talk about parasitic oscillation? I didn't, Skippy

> (and you keep accusing ME of trying to divert attention from the

> topic!) I was talking about the high capacitance limiting the

> practical frequency range of the tube.

I stated the high C values are easily dealt with. Compare that to your
much
loved 6146 example which has serious vhf stability issues. Specific to
rf
amplifiers at 30Mhz, the maximum usable frequency is not an issue here.
You also
failed to mention what happens to the all-important grid-to-plate
capacitance
in a Grounded grid amplifier... can you write anything for the audience
bucky..?

> <snip>

> >The 6146 tube is mucho more costly to use and quite overkill for the

> >same circuit requirements...

>

> There are a LOT of manufacturers that disagree with you there, Skippy.

> How about B&W, Collins, Globe, Gonset, Hallicrafters, Hamarlund,

> Henry, Johnson, Kenwood, Millen, Morrow, etc... Are you even

> suggesting that all, most, or even ANY of those very sucessful

> companies (manufacturers of some of the very best ham radio equipment

> ever made) designed their radios for overkill? You think that sweep

> tubes are good RF tubes because they were used in a few low-end radios

> and amps? Judas Priest...

Frankie, you throw us a lot of poop... come on now. Once again for
your sake,
we are talking sweep tube type & size (power level) amplifiers. Now
your going to try and
take my "same circuit requirements" and run with it..? Put on the
brakes there mr no hair...

The requirements for a simple, low cost, straight forward, grounded grid
modest
power rf amplifier for traditional HF Amateur use were easily met with
sweep tubes. Nothing more needs to be made out of the statement unless
your
running on fumes again... (which you are..) Grab a dead Dentron, Amp
Supply,
Palomar, Galaxy, Pal, Pride, D&A grounded grid rf amplifier and its
still
cheaper to replace the sweep tubes than it would be to use 6146 when
buying
new glass. A six pack at $20 each can easily get you +10dB frankie...
not half bad.

> Skippy, you REALLY need to sit down, shut your trap about what you

> THINK you know, crack open your mind (if that's at all possible), and

> LEARN the difference between what WORKS, what BARELY works, and what

> WORKS WELL! sheesh...

Frankie, you live too far out from the real world... From what you
say, all the ARRL and W6SAI Radio Handbooks which contain sweep tube
amplifiers are bad circuits. All the radio mfgrs using sweep tubes as
viable options where wrong to make "such a bad choice." All the past
amplifier mfgrs using sweep tubes were wrong. All those electronic
devices barely work... woe is us... You mr want to be an rf amplifier
guy need to relax and catch up with the real world...

> <snip bullshit>

> >> You have not mentioned one amplifier that's type-accepted/certificated

> >> for use between 24 and 35 MHz on the services for which you have a

> >> license, or for any other service on those freqs.

> >

> >Motorola TLB6521A 25-30Mhz

> >Motorola TLB6522A 30-36Mhz

> >FCC License Designation CC1044 & CC1044C.

>

> I can't find those devices in the current database. Matter of fact, I

> can't even find those model numbers anywhere. Are those authorizations

> current? If so, how about a link? And isn't the license designation

> prefix "CC" now defunct?

I don't much care that you can't find it in any database... nor
do I care you can't find the model numbers anywhere. The equipment is
legal for current use in the frequency ranges listed above and that's
pretty much it. I nor others answer up to you surfing database pages.

> >Just for giggles, let me throw out a legal "Linear Amplifier" used in a licensed

> >AM service.

> >

> >Multitone TA8 : TA14 25-50Mhz

>

> Once again, the authorization is no longer valid.

> You seem to be under the impression that once a device receives

> type-acceptance/certification that such authorization lasts forever.

> It doesn't.

Nothing is forever, but I now have an official inquiry in with the FCC
to find out. I'll take their formal word, not yours thank you.

> >The key word is current manufacture... plenty of type accepted amplifiers

> >exist for legal operation... see the motorola unit above. No magic here.

>

> The authorizations have expired. The only equipment that Motorola has

> authorized that runs over the CB are a few HF commercial radios and

> cable devices, but no amplifiers. You can check the database yourself

> if you don't believe me. Here's the link:

>

> https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/index.html

>

> Now you can check it yourself whenever you think you have found a

> legal amplifier. When you do, let me know.

No sense beating your head against the wall... and the fcc web page
is no tell all. I have a different opinion of the issue which can only
be directly addressed by the FCC. The inquiry was made last week...
Nothing I write will ever make you happy... your too close to your
own sphinter.

> <snip bullshit>

> >"Hard Copy Matters"

>

> I don't have a hard copy, so it doesn't matter at all. If you actually

> had an IB license it would be listed with the FCC, but it's not. If

> the license is current, then there should be some link you can provide

> from the FCC site that confirms your claim about the IB service. Now

> put up or shut up.

file number 200001R435366
The hard copy in my hand "Radio Service: IB Business"
Expires 04/2005
FCC web pages use IG for the IB service.

I accept your apology frankie... your above language clearly shows you
have
a potty mouth. The FCC web page won't show the IB Business label you so
burn
to see. I'm ok with your being wrong again...

>

> <snip bullshit>

> >: triode operation will linearize the operation of the tube. But this is

> >: at the sacrifice of efficiency.

> >

> >No tube is an ideal linear device in operation... and triode operation is

> >not a restriction for acceptable linear service.

>

> Jeez, Skippy, there you go again putting words in my mouth. Where did

> I say that triode operation is a restriction? I didn't. Regardless, I

> seem to recall that your cure for poor linearity from sweep tube

> common-cathode amps was to stick a 10 ohm resistor between the cathode

> and ground -- YIKES!!! Ungrounding a power RF tube, now THAT'S "bad

> news"!

You started off your song and dance on the premise that linear rf amplification
using sweep tubes is not realistic or practical unless you use them as triodes.
And now your again pulling in text from previous threads in other locations.

Let the record be straight now frankie... but not as you tried to incorrectly
post some previous text with errors. A 10 ohm resistor in the cathode lead
will improve g-grid sweep tube amplifier linearity.

This is where your weak rf theory shows through... at this point
I will assume you are totally clue less as to why the 10 ohm cathode resistor is good information.

But since you know all about grounded grid rf amplifiers, you'll post why
you know the placement of a 10 ohm resistor in the cathode lead is bad news.
I'll then provide the actual facts to prove your talking sideways out of your a$$ ... (my turn at potty mouth)

While your there, please explain to us why you recomend parallel resistors
and or capacitors across the diodes in the KLV amplifier you so proudly provided with your "verbose analysis" here on the news group.

We can then go through the reason why your information is flawed and very bad news... based on old outdated information. Yikes...!

You have yet to clear up your cute little grid tied to the plate statement made in two previous posts that I've seen. Grids to the plates in rf amplifiers ought to cause a nice meltdown of most any tube.

> > Efficiency of a good

> >grounded grid sweep tube amplifier can be near 60% in clean AB2 linear

> >operation. Thats great results in the real world.

>

> Except that AB2 isn't very clean from a sweep tube.

It would easily exceed FCC requirements... also look pretty good
with the test equipment. What type of actual proof can you provide
us with to back your "isn't very clean" remark..?

> <snip (past frankie) bullshit>

> >Nope...

> >Its a starting point statement for anyone building a grounded grid rf amplifier

> >; to pay attention to the circuit, specifc to using sweep tubes, but not limited

> >to...

> >tetrode/pentode combinations as seen/used in some layout schemes. In specific,

> >some

> >combinations of grounded grid connections are very bad news for beam power

> >tubes, both your precious RF designed types and sweep tubes in general.

>

> What "combinations" and "layout schemes" are those, Skippy? And can

> your "precious" sweep tubes perform better under those "combinations"

> and "layout schemes"? What circuits are "specifc to using sweep

> tubes"? Actually, your whole statement doesn't make any sense except

> to toss out a couple buzzwords and phrases. And you

> ---***>>> STILL <<<***---

>

> haven't described your "classic" sweep tube amplifier circuit!

Sure I have... I mentioned more than once that the Palomar 300 40-10
meter
amplifier has a practical tube pin bias, control, and drive layout that
looks pretty good for a sweep tube amplifier. (the 2 driving 4 model)

...and I mention on the http://sonic.ucdavis.edu Amateur Radio web
page, the Dentron
GLA-1000 amplifier text which contain circuit upgrades. The highlights
of
the text include glitch protection, cathode current reduction in standby
(rx) modes and improved linearity by simply adding 10 ohm resistors in
each of the cathode leads....

(Yikes...! ... that's just for you frankie because I know you like
to use it).

Onward...

One variant of a grounded grid rf amplifier ties the screen and control grid
to ground. Certain tube geometry's don't do well at all with both grids grounded and there are some circuit layout options to better allow high u triode operation without destructive grid currents. I would encourage builders to avoid that circuit... which can be found in some D&A model rf amplifiers.

I'm still waiting to see anything you've got on line about the subject frankie...

got anything..?

anything at all..?

not a one web page..?

Not a one article posted anywhere..?

Better yet, lets open it up a bit.... how about any posted electronics web page or article you've got on line frankie...? please..?

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

166. Re: GE SUPERBASE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 06:27:04 UTC
From: moparmopar1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103012704.21390.00003164@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 142653

I tried contacting them, they are impossible to deal with on the consumer level. I need someone with a parts unit or some knowledge of this unit. Thanks anyway.

167. Re: Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 06:35:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us9gu8ee220rb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142654

Heck, there goes my 440 rig. Actually, it **does** display the frequency - along with the 'channel' number. Sigh .. Guess I'll have to ditch the thing. Had a ball with it too ... talking with Australia and England and more. Oh well ... what am I to do?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message news:b7d1465c.0211021304.141fbdc7@posting.google.com...
> I thought any rig with a channel indicator was a "CB in disguise?
> Damn, there goes another rig I gotta trash to "comply." LOL!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1394132538
>
> I repeat, Hmmm?!
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> Hey, what's that freq? Just monitoring, eh? :-)

168. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 06:55:12 UTC
From: kenwood440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <280-3DC4C850-223@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142656

he is from the loney bend. to much rf

169. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 06:56:49 UTC
From: kenwood440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <280-3DC4C8B1-224@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142657

28.400

170. Reposted because Skippy can't find it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 07:03:04 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc4ca10.1659833@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142655

In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
>wrote:
>
>>We'd like to get a few answers from you Yeti, they don't really count
>>as "my ten questions for you," but they should be answered so we know
>>your position on previous posts that are unclear. I've cut and pasted
>>the actual text from some of your previous statements and reply follow
>>up posts below. Take some time and read through them, nothing is made
>>up here... but each of my questions should be answered, else we think
>>your actual rf theory is weak.
>>
>>
>>In your Wed, 23 Oct 2002 01:30:58 post, you wrote
>>:Just take a look at the schematic for the 1200-X. The screen and
>>:suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said. All three grids
>>:are NOT grounded as YOU said.
>>
>>"Tied to the plate" eh..? So this is what you think..? ...or you can
>>also make mistakes... typing or otherwise..? Let me compare this to
>>my 6146 in TV re-post. If a person reading said were to use a 6146 as a
>>sweep tube... they might have a measure of actual operational success.
>>OK..? If the same person tied any grid to the plate in an RF amplifier
>>circuit, a sweep or 6146 tube would "GO POOF..!" Which is the larger
>>issue here..? You may correct your statement, but you still posted it
>>as shown and we can now see you easily make mistakes, serious
>>mistakes...
>
>I was wrong, and unlike you I admitted it without whining, griping, or
>making excuses. And like I said, regardless of whether the screen is
>tied to the plate or the grid, the tube is still operating as a
>triode. You disagreed with that statement, yet you have yet to explain
>why the tube is NOT operating as a triode.
>
>>:LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
>>:ground. Got an example of that somewhere, (You realize, of course, that
>>
>>:this will be another of those lingering questions until
>>:you come up with proof!)
>>
>>Please define DC and Signal grounds in an rf amplifier...
>

>As pertains to the grounded-grid sweep tube, as exampled by the
>KLV-1000 and the Swan 1200-X: In the KLV, note that while the screen
>and suppressor are DC grounded, the control grid is bypassed and
>effectively AC grounded. IOW, all three grids are tied together and
>effectively function as the single grid of a triode, despite the
>control grid having a DC potential. In the Swan, the screen and
>suppressor are tied together and function as the grid of the triode
>(because they are AC grounded with the 0.01 uF caps), while the
>control grid is tied to, and made part of, the cathode. This is a much
>better design than the KLV because the screen is now acting as the
>grid, and is capable of dissipating more power developed by the
>effective grid current.
>
>Regardless, both examples are functionally equivalent to a triode, and
>both require the the DC voltage of the effective grid be reduced
>because of the dissipation limitations of the tube grids.
>
>> Is it not
>>possible that a grid would have an AC "signal ground" and a different dc
>>potential..? Isn't it rather common..?
>
>Yes it is. Does a DC bias mean that it's no longer a triode? No.
>
>>: It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
>>: with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
>>: severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
>>: their manuals.
>>
>>I'm almost falling out of my chair laughing over your above
>>statement... Can you give us any clue as to the mode of most
>>grounded grid sweep tube rf amplifiers and why..? Their advantages
>>besides being a low cost device for a linear rf amplifier..?
>
>The paragraph you quote was not about grounded-grid amplifiers, it was
>about grounded-cathode amps. For some reason you seem to be confused
>about the differences. I will clarify (for any other readers as well,
>so don't think this is a lesson directed only at you):
>
>A "grounded-cathode", or "common-cathode", amplifier is where the
>cathode is grounded (duh). The ground is a signal ground, although it
>can also be a DC ground. The grid is fed with an input signal and the
>output is taken off the plate by the load. When the grid voltage is
>negative with respect to the cathode, no grid current flows, and power
>gain is theoretically infinite (input voltage being a scalar value
>without power). But when the grid is made positive with respect to the
>cathode, current will flow from the cathode and out the grid. The
>problem with grid current is that the input signal is not be able to
>maintain it's shape with current pumping out from the grid. The signal
>on the grid is therefore distorted, and so will be the output. Grid
>current distortion can be reduced in two ways; either prevent the grid

>from going positive, or provide a very low impedance input signal to
>the grid.
>
>Because common-cathode amplifiers can amplify without drawing grid
>current, they can use tubes that were not designed for high grid
>currents (sweep tubes included). However, the signal peaks must be
>limited or they might drive the grid positive and cause grid current.
>Most amps of this type (i.g, Swan radios) have a circuit called an
>ALC, or Automatic Limiting Control, which reduces the input to the amp
>if grid current begins to flow. The circuit can do a good job of
>reducing the distortion, however it does not prevent it completely.
>
>A common-cathode amplifier may be designed to intentionally draw grid
>current if the input signal source has a low enough impedance as to
>prevent the signal from being distorted. A poor method of doing this
>is by using a "swamping resistor" on the grid. A better method is with
>a tuned grid circuit with a very low resonant impedance. But you still
>need a tube that has has a smooth transition into grid current
>conduction, which the sweep tubes do not have. And that's why Swan
>made it a point in their manuals not to rely on the ALC circuit to
>prevent grid current distortion.
>
>The "grounded-grid" amplifier has the grid grounded (AC ground,
>although it can also be DC grounded), and the signal is fed to the
>cathode. If the DC potential of the grid is the same as the input
>signal, then the grid will be positive for half of the input cycle,
>and grid current will flow for half the cycle. This is fine for linear
>amplification because the shape of at least half of the sine is not
>distorted. But that's a lot of grid current, and if the tube isn't
>designed to dissipate the power caused by that grid current (as is the
>case with sweep tubes) then the grid current must be reduced. Proper
>practice would be to reduce the gain of the tube, but the gain of a
>grounded-grid tube is already very low. The common practice, however,
>is to make the DC potential of the grid more negative with respect to
>the cathode. Unfortunately, this distorts the output signal because
>the grid current flows for less than half of the cycle, and therefore
>causes grid-current distortion. Grounded-grid amplifiers are most
>efficient in Class C operation, which is where they are most often
>used.
>
>>: Maybe not "high fidelity", but you most certainly have to keep the
>>: distortion to a minimum. Harmonics can sneak right through a pi tank
>>: just like it wasn't there, despite the fact that they are low-pass
>>: filters. The reason is because they are resonant, and that means they
>>: are also resonant on the harmonics. Linearity is the key to reducing
>>: harmonic distortion when you are talking about linear amps. Jeez
>>: Skippy, that's AC-101 stuff, I can't believe you didn't know that!
>>
>>Have you ever measure the output of a sweep tube amplifier using a pi
>>tank circuit..? Would you say a pi circuit would never allow FCC legal

>>operational harmonic levels in a well
>>designed grounded grid amplifier..?
>
>You keep saying things like "well designed" and "classic", but you
>never seem to describe what makes them "well designed" or "classic".
>Despite that, yes, I have seen a spectrograph from a pi filter, and
>yes, they can and do pass harmonics. And I'm sure that's one of the
>reasons why the amateur bands are situated on their own harmonics. But
>any FCC authorized equipment (other than for amateur use) requires
>more than a simple pi tank to pass FCC emmission specifications. Just
>about any piece of commercial radio equipment you see will have a
>non-resonant low-pass filter before the output jack. I haven't seen
>one yet that didn't, CB radios included (even the antiques!).
>
>>: Now don't duck the question like you do all the other questions: How
>>: is triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode more like "low-mu" and
>>: not like a triode? (Another lingering question -- I guess I am going
>>: to have to make a list if you keep spewing these ridiculous claims!)
>>
>>Actually a pretty good question, but rather leading as you post it...
>>the answer is another question... what is the role of the triode/pentode
>>G2, G3 grids in a grounded grid amplifier circuit..? Although not
>>active with potentials, the G2 & G3 grids are present and affect the
>>circuit operation. You seem to lack understand of or ignore their role
>>in a grounded grid amplifier. In short, do they do nothing for the
>>circuit..?
>
>They operate as the grid of a triode. As in the KLV, the screen and
>suppressor (G2 and G3, respectively) are tied to ground, while the
>control grid (G1) is AC grounded with a DC potential. All three are
>grounded, despite G1 having a DC potential. Notice that on the Swan
>1200-X that it is the screen and supressor that function as the grid
>of a triode, and that they, too, have a DC potential. The DC bias of
>the grid determines the class of amplifier (A, AB, B and C). It does
>NOT determine whether a pentode is operating as a pentode or a triode
>-- that is determined by the number of effective signal elements (how
>back to basics do I have to get here?). A triode has three elements:
>the cathode, the plate and the grid. One is signal input, one is
>signal output, and one is signal ground. It's that simple. When a
>pentode is configured as a triode, it groups it's elements together to
>make the three elements of a triode. So far we have seen xxxxx
>examples:
>
>Audio - Plate = P + G3 + G2, Grid = G1, Cathode = K
>Swan 1200X - Plate = P, Grid = G3 + G2, Cathode = G1 + K
>KLV-1000 - Plate = P, Grid = G3 + G2 + G1, Cathode = K
>
>How much you wanna bet that you can make a pentode to work as a triode
>these ways?
>

>Plate = P + G3, Grid = G2, Cathode = G1 + K
>Plate = P + G3, Grid = G2 + G1, Cathode = K
>Plate = P, Grid = G3, Cathode = G2 + G1 + K
>
>Even better, how much you wanna bet that you can even do it THIS way?
>
>Plate = G2, Grid = G1, Cathode = K
>
>If you want an example of that last one, just check out the datasheet
>for any pentagrid converter tube!
>
>Now, care to describe your "well designed, classic, low-mu
>sweep-tube-configured-as-a-triode-but-not-a-triode" amplifier?
>
>>Tue, 22 Oct 2002 03:46:39
>>: I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
>>: operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied
>>
>>: to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
>>: will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).
>>
>>: What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
>>: tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
>>: them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded grid configuration is
>>
>>: not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.
>>
>>Whoops.. there's that grid tied to the plate statement, again made in a
>>different separate post. Maybe that's what you actually wanted to
>>say..? Assume for one moment, there is adequate linearity in a typical
>>or example amateur grounded grid rf amplifier... what if any other
>>significant advantages do we get from the circuit?
>
>From a linear grounded-grid amp? No other advantage. In fact, it has
>several drawbacks:
>
>1) The amplifier has very low gain, requiring multiple stages to get
>from QRP to a kilowatt.
>2) Because of the number of stages, it requires more filament power
>for the additional tubes.
>3) The low impedance required to drive the cathode requires tuned
>inputs with very large capacitors (high C/L ratio).
>4) Because of the low input impedance of each stage, there must be
>impedance transformation between each stage (again, high C/L ratio).
>5) Because the input to each stage requires drive power, the impedance
>matching circuits must be large enough to handle that power.
>6) If the grid isn't DC grounded it must be DC regulated with a very
>low impedance supply, capable of draining the grid current without
>adding signal to the grid.
>

>By comparison, an equivalent common-cathode amplifier requires only
>one stage of amplification, no drive power, no tuned input (although
>it's recommended), no grid regulation, no interstage impedance
>matching circuits, and considerably fewer tubes. It does, however,
>require neutralization, which can be as simple as a brass or aluminum
>rod located next to the tube, or a small trim-cap. An ALC circuit
>would also be a good idea, especially if the tube is a sweep tube.
>
>>: Thu, 24 Oct 2002
>>: The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea
>>: without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage
>>: multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the
>>: current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as
>>: a real possibility for failure.
>>
>>Your "verbose analysis" of the KLV brand amplifier circuit... (I cut
>>some non related text off) What if anything is wrong with your just
>>above statement..? In specific, the inclusion of resistors into the
>>circuit..?
>
>Instead of "parallel voltage divider" I should have said "voltage
>equalization network". Same difference.
>
>>: Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of
>>: the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded grid amplifiers.
>>If
>>: there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube
>>: would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded grid
>>: operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle,
>>: effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want
>>: linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good
>>: regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good
>>
>>: regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid
>>: is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the
>>: effective grid.
>>
>>What are the roles/function of the screen and suppressor grids in the
>>circuit..?
>
>Part of the effective grid of the triode. Nothing more.
>
>>: The result is that this amp could indeed be running
>>: Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be
>>
>>doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation
>>
>>How would you set up C, B, AB1, AB2, A operation for this amplifier...?
>>which is the best for this circuit..?
>

>That all depends on your DC grid-to-cathode bias and your input signal
>level. You can set it up for Class A if you want, just keep the grid
>negative by one-half the cutoff voltage, and the input signal peak
>voltage less than that.
>
>Now, which is the "best" for a grounded-grid amp? Assuming you mean
>linear RF amplification, I would have to say Class B -- set the grid
>voltage just above cutoff using a very good regulator. But you still
>need a limiter or ALC circuit on the driver stage to prevent grid
>current. If you can't limit the driver then you better have one
>helluva tank on the input.
>
>>: of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent
>>: in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is
>>: flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good
>>
>>: condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of
>>: vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced
>>: in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing
>>: that they use six plate caps.
>>
>>How would you make the mentioned AB2 grid supply adjustment/change ..?
>
>Lower (make more negative) the DC grid potential, or raise (make more
>positive) the DC cathode potential.
>
>>What is the best linear mode for this circuit in a classic Amateur
>>layout..?
>>... and why...?
>
>You STILL haven't defined "classic". Regardless, the most common class
>of operation for a grounded-grid amp is AB. Now that can be AB1 or AB2
>depending on the drive level. It's up to the amateur to determine how
>much drive to apply, in accordance with "good engineering practices".
>That means the amateur would be well advised to use a non-resonant
>low-pass filter on the output if he plans to drive it into AB2.
>However, the best linear mode would be B(1) or AB1, and the amateur
>could easily build a limiter into the driver; or, if nothing else, a
>peak-reading wattmeter on the input to the amp. The key here is to
>limit the drive to prevent grid-current distortion. IOW, grounded-grid
>amps are NOT the solution for distortion when you want more power.
>
>>: After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This
>>: does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant
>>: low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's
>>: required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits,
>>: and not on good engineering concepts and practices.
>>
>>Why is a LP filter "required for proper operation" when the many "off
>>the shelf" amateur amplifiers use only pi or pi-L tank circuits..? Are

>>they
>>all poorly engineered..? Is legal operation not possible with only a
>>pi or pi-L tank circuit..?
>
>As I said before, these amps are not intended for legal operation. If
>you can't see that then you need to talk with the FCC about it, not
>me. Even if they were built for amateur use, it's still up to the
>amateur to use it wisely (using "good engineering practices"). But
>since that's not the case, the question is irrelavent. Regardless,
>this is from KLV's own website:
>
>"ATTENTION! This item is in compliance with CE regulations as long as
>it is used with a properly installed 27/586 RF Filter between the
>radio, unit and antenna."
>
>Do I need to point out that the said filter is a non-resonant low-pass
>filter? Probably not....
>
>>: So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is
>>
>>: a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws.
>>
>>And those design flaws are..?
>
>Poor power supply design, weak grid regulation, and intended for the
>illegal amplifier market.
>
>> and the noise level is _____________
>
>Not published by the manufacturer.
>
>>..? as measured or determined by _____________ ..?
>
>N/A
>
>>: It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid
>>: biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the
>>: preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better
>>: amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes.
>>
>>What would be a proper grid biasing circuit and power supply..?
>
>An LM337 would be a good start for a grid supply. The power supply
>could be improved simply by adding voltage equalization networks and
>load equalization resistors. Even better, use a single diode (or pair
>of diodes) that meet the demands of the circuit. Same with the plate
>cap.
>
>> What
>>would be a comparable "real triode" currently made and sold for less

>>than $20 US new..?
>
>I think the price is closer to $30 each. But a better question would
>be how much for all the tubes you need for this amp (five) compared to
>the cost of a single RF pentode that can deliver the same power gain,
>better linearity, smoother grid-current crossover characteristics, and
>a smaller, more efficient amplifier with a much lower parts count?
>Probably more. Thanks for proving my point. But if you want an RF
>triode at a comparable cost, start with the 812A.
>
>>: The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators,
>>more buttons and knobs than a
>>: typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So it's perfectly suited for it's
>>intended market.First off you need to
>>: realize that the intended purpose is as a CB amplifier, as if that
>>wasn't obvious already.
>>
>>Where is the intended market mentioned..? Are there no other
>>countries that allow 10 meter amplifiers to be made or sold... Is this
>>amplifier made in the US..?
>
>We aren't talking about other countries. We are talking about the US.
>Do you really think that this amp was not built with the intention to
>be used with CB radios?
>
>>: With that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
>>nothing other than making
>>: the noise floor louder.
>>
>>Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
>>fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
>>signal gain is greater
>>than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
>>if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
>>overkill..?
>
>If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
>floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
>fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
>but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!
>
>>(Preamps are very useful with radios that have weak receive, such as
>>older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;
>>for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
>>they are nothing but overkill.)
>>
>>So most all of the Western States Weak Signal Groups and similar Eastern
>>US Weak signal groups with modern radios and fet pre amps are wasting
>>their time..?
>

>I'm tired of repeating myself: If their radios are sensitive enough to
>receive the noise floor, then yes, they are wasting their time with a
>preamp. OTOH, if they are trying to pick out CW from BELOW the noise
>floor then the preamp is a very good idea.
>
>>Wed, 23 Oct 2002
>>: Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
>>: is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
>>: connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So
>>
>>"Screen tied to the grid" ..?
>>
>>: that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
>>: tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
>>: it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
>>: it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!
>>
>>Is there not at least one or two un-mentioned significant advantages to
>>the circuit..?
>>Are you not missing a point of why the circuit is so popular..? You
>>mean I can't get more than 6 db gain from
>>sweep tube rf amplifier..?
>
>Why do you sound so suprised? What do you think "low-mu" means?
>
>>: If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
>>: between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
>>: website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
>>: your claim?
>>
>>TPL-Brand, Model:PA1-1FE Three of them just closed on Ebay, item
>>numbers 1776789478,
>
>1776789487:
>"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."
>
>> 1776789530,
>
>"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."
>
>> 1776789590 .
>
>"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."
>
>Not only that, those are FM amps. Try again, Skippy.
>
>Oh, and BTW, just because something is sold on ebay doesn't mean it's
>legal.
>
>>Don't know or care if they are in current production, but they are legal

>>examples
>>I can and do currently use.
>
>I hope not on freqs below 35 MHz.
>
>>Who knows, if you "get enough of these right," I might count them as
>>your
>>ten magic questions.
>
>Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
>MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
>

171. A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 07:56:32 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq2dt7hqk1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142651

I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them before i go to put it up and use it??

Thanks alot,

Jason

172. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 07:57:27 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211022357.122c5215@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142659

Aaron Childs" aaronchilds@ameritech.net wrote
>A Troll from FRN, eh?
>And what proof do you have of that. I'd LOVE to read this....
>Considering I've never posted on FRN, I want to know how
>you came to this conclusion
>
>Aaron
>KB8ZVN

So, we both agree that you are a troll. What we don't agree on is
where you're from.

PS: Who said "FRN"? I said www.frn.net.

-A

173. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 07:59:31 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <DH4x9.4199$7n.447577@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142660

Jason, it is an OK antenna. That is to say that it works. I think they are cheap and don't care for them much. I bought one too ($40.00) for a quick set up antenna. I'm now running a Interceptor 10K. You can buy 5 A-99s for the price of one I10K...but you get 5 times the antenna too.

Go here and read this...

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/

Don't get discouraged, your antenna will work (for a while). I'm told you can improve the performance of this antenna by adding the ground radial kit and the "Fire-Up 99" from Firestick. See here...

http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/FS99-W.htm

Good Luck!

Dino

>I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has
>any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them before
>i go to put it up and use it??
>
>Thanks alot,
>
>Jason

174. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 08:40:24 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Yh5x9.3689$z5.2680224@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142658

A Troll from FRN, eh?
And what proof do you have of that. I'd LOVE to read this....
Considering I've never posted on FRN, I want to know how you came to this conclusion

Aaron
KB8ZVN

> Another troll from www.frn.net. Yawn.
>
> -A

175. TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 09:23:35 UTC
From: Norvin Adams III <Norvin@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <rW5x9.664$Kjs.39321661@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 142661

[No readable article body recovered]

176. TEST...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 09:26:19 UTC
From: Norvin Adams III <POST_REPLIES@newsgroup.org>
Message-ID: <%Y5x9.665$Kjs.28836040@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 142662
Cross-posted to: alt.test,rec.radio.cb

TEST... can't seem to post

177. Re: Get a clue already, Frankie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 11:04:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc502ce.16186013@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142663

In <3DC4BDA6.90CA3B8D@yahoo.com>, skipp tried to be clever when he
posted the following lame arguments defending voodoo RF techniques
under the email address of <frankiedoesthesonganddance@yahoo.com>:

>
>
>
>
>
>> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>>
>
>> Much of your xxxxxxx is snipped because it has already been addressed
>
>> in my other posts, (the ones where I answer your questions and avoid
>
>> mine) and I'm tired of repeating myself every time you want to change
>
>> directions. So...
>
>
>(I x'd out a bit of your poor language)

Ok, so ignoring federal laws are ok but using profanity in a newsgroup
is bad? That's pretty screwy, Skippy.

>You pretty much answer with song and dance and a lot of off topic
>diversions ... I'm still waiting to see you respond
>
>to my questions about the at least two posts you made which instruct
>readers to tie grids to the plate for rf amplifier triode mode
>operation.
>
>Why is this not bad information frankie...?
>
>
>
>You wouldn't be repeating yourself if you answered the questions one
>
>time.

Let me guess: you didn't get the post where I answered your questions,
and you didn't get the reply where I reference the same. But you seem
to have gotten all my other posts, Skippy? What's up with that? Oh,

that's right, you missed a couple other posts, too -- posts where I
tell you facts that you couldn't dispute, just like the one in
question. Well, let's see if it gets through your browser on the third
try, since I just reposted the entire reply. Think you can find it
now? Or do you need to stall a bit longer?

>> <snip bullshit>
>
>> >Depending on who's camp an rf person sits... "Sweep Tube" also defines a
>
>> >Type or style of Beam Power Tube. Most RF and tube people would agree Sweep
>
>> >"Beam Power Tubes" are constucted with very similar characteristics.
>
>> <snip redundance nonsense>
>
>> >Simple enough... can you dig it..?
>
>>
>
>> It doesn't seem to be so simple for you, Skippy. For instance, you
>
>> certainly are having a hard time distinguishing between the terms
>
>> 'beam' and 'power' when used with vacuum tubes. Here's a few snippets
>
>> of your ramblings:
>
>
>
>Since your the only one having the hard time... let me reference the
>
>source Materials. RCA receiving tube manual. ARRL Handbooks, W6SAI
>Handbooks
>
>and a few others. The references above use both partial and specific
>terms. Your the current anal retent in the crowd...

How about quoting some of those terms with the definitions provided by
your references? Oh, that's right, you have a "hard copy" and that's
all that matters. Right, Skippy?

>> "...a beam power 'sweep' tube actually designed for RF Applications"
>
>
>
>Yep, the 8950 and previously mentioned M2057 (and the Yaesu NOS
>
>tube found in the FT-101 HF radios) power tubes are best described as
>
>Sweep tubes. Although never found in sweep applications, the sweep tube

>label BEST & MOST ACCURATELY DESCRIBES the tube as applied to rf
>amplifier circuits. Anyone with experience with sweep tube rf amplifiers
>would not have a problem with statement. Dance and sing all you want,
>you just look the anal boob worried over trivial fine points.

So now I'm anal? Quit whining, Skippy -- you are starting to remind me
of Toll when he kept accusing me of nit-picking about bird watts! LOL!

>> "The closest conventional sweep - beam power tube to the 8950 was/is
>
>> the 6HF5"
>
>
>So, what's wrong with the statement frankie..?
>
>
>My opinion at the time of the statement yes... but technology moves on
>
>and I've now found a much better drop in replacement (6.3v fil),
>
>an original application sweep tube. I and many others have rewired
>
>6HF5 applications over to the 8950. For cost reasons, we might now
>
>rewire some 8950 circuits back to original sweep tube operation.
>
>The procedure has been written in a few ARRL Hints and Kinks
>books through the years. So what does this do for you...?
>what point are you trying to make..?

You must have replied to each statement before you read the entire
post...

>> "[the 8950] was designed by GE for beam power applications."
>
>
>
>Like you have, one can easily add or subtract words from the
>descriptions,
>but the point in the original post was made. You just seem to want to
>nit pick
>
>at loose label ends. I've done the same to you in past posts when you've
>
>left specific words out of your replies. You just seem to get really
>excited over stupid things.

Well, if you have done the same thing (as you just admitted), then you
shouldn't be complaining about it, should you? BTW, what exactly is a
"beam power application"? Is there some application where beam tubes
are used exclusively over any other power tube? Or is it just another
one of your psuedo-technical buzz-phrases?

>The only way I and others can respond is to clarify and update previous
>
>topics when they become a problem. So far, I and others know of and
>
>have no problems calling an 8950 a sweep tube. Svetlana provided me
>with four tubes designed for rf service that should directly drop into
>sweep
>tube service. I also buy Russian made tubes which should drop directly
>into
>sweep tube service. I and others don't have a problem calling these rf
>designed tubes "sweep tubes" because they are near exact or similar
>in operation replacements found in Amateur RF Amplifiers built through
>the last 3 plus decades.

Call it what you want. I have no problem calling the 8950 a sweep
tube, since it was designed from a sweep tube, and is still intended
to be used as a sweep tube by the manufacturer. I might even call it
an RF power tube (albeit not a very good one) since that is -also- an
application specified by the manufacturer. But I DO have a problem
with "beam power applications".

>> "... they are all in a similar class - family style. Beam power
>
>> tubes, horizontal output tubes, sweep tubes... many can often be made
>
>> to do similar things."
>
>>
>
>> Now you made the statement that sweep tubes can be used in place of
>
>> most any other power tube. You defended that statement by saying that
>
>> most any power tube can be used as a sweep tube. And it's clear that
>
>> you don't seem to know the differences between 'beam' tubes, sweep
>
>> tubes, power pentodes, etc. So yes, Skippy, you DID say that any power
>
>> pentode (or 'beam tube', or whatever term you use from day to day) is
>
>> a sweep tube. And, of course, you are wrong.
>
>
>
>I don't agree with your above statement... and I would have to see
>
>THE ENTIRE TEXT OF THE ORIGINAL POST, from which you like to pick &
>
>pull in portions out of the original topic context for your current
>rant post.
>

>Tell me the location of the original post and we can go through it
>when this thread goes away. I'll sort your weak theory bs out...

You can't even find my answers to your questions after I posted them once and referenced the post in seperate post, so how do you expect to find your own posts even if I reference them? Try this: cut and paste the quote in question into the search box on google. It works pretty well! But you might be disappointed at the results, because you have made many, many posts that demonstrate your ignorance of the difference between the terms 'beam' and 'power'.

>As applied to grounded grid rf amplifiers, sweep tubes can be used
>
>in lieu of some (originally designed as) rf power tubes as a practical
>
>and cost effective option. You just don't like that fact. Tough titty
>said the kitty...

Once again (and for about the thirteenth time), I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I SAID (and remember it this time, will ya Skippy?) is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED for that application.

I know you are going to forget what I just said, so I'll repeat myself a few times now so maybe it will sink in a little faster:

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED for that application.

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED for that application.

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED for that application.

Now is it clear what I said, Skippy? Or should I repeat it a few more times?

>It appears you just want to be anal retentive about labels... your
>
>issues are not really a problem for me. If you/I/anyone finds errors
>
>in any previous statement, they simply need to be posted with proper
>context and then reviewed and corrected. Such as you try with me,
>such as I do with you...

The errors you find come from your inability to read and understand the facts I have written.

>I will try to be more specific about my labels and reduce my global
>statements

>because its clear that you don't seem to know much specific grounded
>grid rf
>amplifiers without a map and your hand being held. So yes, and Frankie,
>you
>did say that grounded grid amplifiers require neutralization.

An excellent example of what I just wrote above. How about a quote,
Skippy? You aren't too stupid to use google, are you? Well then quote
ANY post where I say that grounded-grid operation requires
neutralization? You can't, Skippy, because I NEVER said such a thing!
On the contrary, I have said MANY TIMES that it DOESN'T.

>> BTW, it's also clear that you do not understand what a 'beam tube'
>
>> really is. A 'beam tube' is a tetrode where the screen wires are
>
>> hidden behind the grid wires, and have special plates that direct the
>
>> electron flow into a 'beam' to the plate. This type of construction
>
>> lessens the current on the screen and eliminates the supressor grid.
>
>
>Generic tube theory 101 one can easily quote from a number of books.

Books you apparently never read.

>You forgot to include the very special part about electrode spacing,
>how plate secondary emission is suppressed without actual power loss.
>Because of the manner in which the electrodes are spaced.
>
>But your book probably didn't have that part covered...

Or how about proper terminology for the grids? G1 = 'limiter grid', G2
= 'accellerator grid', and G3 = 'quadrature grid' or 'quadrature
plates'. Gee, I'm suprised you didn't hound me about THAT, Skippy!

>> But because of the beam-forming plates are tied to the cathode similar
>
>> to a supressor grid, 'beam tubes' or 'beam tetrodes' are frequently
>
>> called pentodes anyway.
>
>Are you also going to illustrate space charge for us..?

I don't think so. You can if you want, seeing as how it's new
information to you.

>In short, the beam power tube has the advantages of high power output,
>high power sensitivity and high efficiency. Now get on with some point
>or park it...
>
>

>> Now there are plenty of power triodes, but they are NOT beam tubes.
>
>> There are also plenty of power pentodes and tetrodes that are NOT beam
>
>> tubes. And yes, there are sweep tubes are NOT beam tubes. The 'beam'
>
>> tube is a sub-class of, not a synonym for, the power tube. Get it
>
>> right next time, Skippy.
>
>
>Aaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhh... so this is where your going. When posts are
>made about rf amplifiers and sweep tubes used within... most everyone
>would assume the mentioned tube types fall are directly related to
>the topic.

Not quite, Skippy. There are many people that understand -- better
than you do -- that 'power' doesn't necessarily mean 'beam'.

>I don't recall writing power triodes are beam tubes... maybe you could
>point
>that exact text out..?

Maybe you could point out the text where I make such an accusation.

> I don't seem to recall writing that any power
>pentode is a sweep tube... maybe you could also point that entire exact
>text out..?

You didn't even know the difference until I pointed out your error. So
what did you do when you read that, Skippy -- get flushed, run in a
panic to the library and look up the definition? LOL!

>I don't have a problem calling the beam tube or beam power tube a
>sub class of...

You certainly have a problem understanding what a beam tube is; at
least you DID until I educated you on the subject.

>But somehow you're hell bent to prove some "all sweep tubes" or "all
>pentode - tetrodes" statement wrong, out of some mysterious past post.
>Let's have the post location and get at what's eating you so bad.

Maybe when you sober up you can better describe what it is you want.
At the same time, how about finding the post where I say that
grounded-grid amplifiers require neutralization? Matter of fact, you
have misquoted me quite a bit, Skippy. How about going back through
your posts and correcting -yourself- before you try and correct -me-?

>> >: The input and output capacitances for a 6LF6 are twice that for a 6146,
>
>> >: and the all-important grid-to-plate capacitance (the main factor that
>

>> >: determines the maximum usable frequency) is more than TWELVE TIMES
>
>> >: larger!
>
>> >
>
>> >Again....
>
>> >The topic is about RF Amplifiers in the HF and VHF Low frequencies, not
>
>> >above 54Mhz. Input and output C values are quite usable with practical circuits
>
>> >at 30Mhz. One also avoids most of the dangerous VHF parasitic problems
>
>> >often found in poorly built 6146 circuits. A sweep tube homebrew advantage.
>
>> >The well knownVHF mid band and higher Ft circuit construction and stability
>
>> >problems of the 6146 are not a dominant concern of the sweep tube amp builder.
>
>>
>
>> Now where did I talk about parasitic oscillation? I didn't, Skippy
>
>> (and you keep accusing ME of trying to divert attention from the
>
>> topic!) I was talking about the high capacitance limiting the
>
>> practical frequency range of the tube.
>
>
>I stated the high C values are easily dealt with.

You can't make the capacitance magically 'go away', Skippy. If you
could, they would be using 12AX7's for microwave applications.

> Compare that to your
>much
>loved 6146 example which has serious vhf stability issues.

So serious that is was the MOST COMMON RF power tube used in VHF
commercial radios before semiconductors took over? Right, Skippy. Keep
shoveling your bullshit around and maybe some of it will stick -- but
don't expect any of it to stick to me!

> Specific to
>rf
>amplifiers at 30Mhz, the maximum usable frequency is not an issue here.
>You also
>failed to mention what happens to the all-important grid-to-plate
>capacitance

>in a Grounded grid amplifier... can you write anything for the audience
>bucky..?

Sure thing, Skippy. Cgp is a characteristic of the tube, not of the
circuit. It doesn't go away just because you put the tube in a
grounded-grid configuration. For you to suggest that it does just
demonstrates, once again, your ignorance of the subject matter.

>> <snip>
>
>> >The 6146 tube is mucho more costly to use and quite overkill for the
>
>> >same circuit requirements...
>
>>
>
>> There are a LOT of manufacturers that disagree with you there, Skippy.
>
>> How about B&W, Collins, Globe, Gonset, Hallicrafters, Hamarlund,
>
>> Henry, Johnson, Kenwood, Millen, Morrow, etc... Are you even
>
>> suggesting that all, most, or even ANY of those very sucessful
>
>> companies (manufacturers of some of the very best ham radio equipment
>
>> ever made) designed their radios for overkill? You think that sweep
>
>> tubes are good RF tubes because they were used in a few low-end radios
>
>> and amps? Judas Priest...
>
>
>Frankie, you throw us a lot of poop... come on now. Once again for
>your sake,
>we are talking sweep tube type & size (power level) amplifiers. Now
>your going to try and
>take my "same circuit requirements" and run with it..? Put on the
>brakes there mr no hair...

Don't backpeddle NOW, Skippy -- YOU made the STUPID statement that
designing a circuit with the 6146 is overkill. Now sit back, quit
whining and take your lumps!

>The requirements for a simple, low cost, straight forward, grounded grid
>modest
>power rf amplifier for traditional HF Amateur use were easily met with
>sweep tubes. Nothing more needs to be made out of the statement unless
>your
>running on fumes again... (which you are..) Grab a dead Dentron, Amp
>Supply,

>Palomar, Galaxy, Pal, Pride, D&A grounded grid rf amplifier and its
>still
>cheaper to replace the sweep tubes than it would be to use 6146 when
>buying
>new glass. A six pack at $20 each can easily get you +10dB frankie...
>not half bad.

Gee, Skippy, didn't I agree that those amps were cheap? By golly, I
sure did!

>> Skippy, you REALLY need to sit down, shut your trap about what you
>
>> THINK you know, crack open your mind (if that's at all possible), and
>
>> LEARN the difference between what WORKS, what BARELY works, and what
>
>> WORKS WELL! sheesh...
>
>
>Frankie, you live too far out from the real world... From what you
>say, all the ARRL and W6SAI Radio Handbooks which contain sweep tube
>amplifiers are bad circuits. All the radio mfgrs using sweep tubes as
>viable options where wrong to make "such a bad choice." All the past
>amplifier mfgrs using sweep tubes were wrong. All those electronic
>devices barely work... woe is us... You mr want to be an rf amplifier
>guy need to relax and catch up with the real world...

There you go putting words in my mouth again. Maybe I need to repeat
myself a few more times:

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I
SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED
for that application.

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I
SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED
for that application.

I never said they couldn't be used in RF power applications. What I
SAID is that they don't work AS WELL as tubes DESIGNED and INTENDED
for that application.

Is it sinking in yet? Or do you want to misquote me some more?

>> <snip bullshit>
>
>> >> You have not mentioned one amplifier that's type-accepted/certificated
>
>> >> for use between 24 and 35 MHz on the services for which you have a
>
>> >> license, or for any other service on those freqs.
>
>> >

>
>> >Motorola TLB6521A 25-30Mhz
>
>> >Motorola TLB6522A 30-36Mhz
>
>> >FCC License Designation CC1044 & CC1044C.
>
>>
>
>> I can't find those devices in the current database. Matter of fact, I
>
>> can't even find those model numbers anywhere. Are those authorizations
>
>> current? If so, how about a link? And isn't the license designation
>
>> prefix "CC" now defunct?
>
>
>I don't much care that you can't find it in any database... nor
>do I care you can't find the model numbers anywhere. The equipment is
>legal for current use in the frequency ranges listed above and that's
>pretty much it. I nor others answer up to you surfing database pages.

Maybe you can't understand simple logic: because your claims cannot be substantiated by probably -THE- authoritative reference for such information, that makes you a liar, Skippy! Your claims are bogus! You can't prove your claims, yet I can disprove them. Do you really think that something is legal just because YOU say it is? Did you rewrite the Constitution so that YOU can declare something to be legal? I don't think so, Skippy! LOL!!!

>> >Just for giggles, let me throw out a legal "Linear Amplifier" used in a licensed
>
>> >AM service.
>
>> >
>
>> >Multitone TA8 : TA14 25-50Mhz
>
>>
>
>> Once again, the authorization is no longer valid.
>
>> You seem to be under the impression that once a device receives
>
>> type-acceptance/certification that such authorization lasts forever.
>
>> It doesn't.
>
>
>Nothing is forever, but I now have an official inquiry in with the FCC

>to find out. I'll take their formal word, not yours thank you.

Guess what, Skippy? You get your reply, post your inquiry and reply
verbatim, and I'll do the same. Let's see if we get the same response!
Oh, and don't forget to reference your point of contact so I can get
the very same reply!

>> >The key word is current manufacture... plenty of type accepted amplifiers
>
>> >exist for legal operation... see the motorola unit above. No magic here.
>
>>
>
>> The authorizations have expired. The only equipment that Motorola has
>
>> authorized that runs over the CB are a few HF commercial radios and
>
>> cable devices, but no amplifiers. You can check the database yourself
>
>> if you don't believe me. Here's the link:
>
>>
>
>> https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/index.html
>
>>
>
>> Now you can check it yourself whenever you think you have found a
>
>> legal amplifier. When you do, let me know.
>
>
>No sense beating your head against the wall... and the fcc web page
>is no tell all. I have a different opinion of the issue which can only
>be directly addressed by the FCC. The inquiry was made last week...
>Nothing I write will ever make you happy... your too close to your
>own sphinter.

Ok, we'll wait and see if your opinion becomes law.

>> <snip bullshit>
>
>> >"Hard Copy Matters"
>
>>
>
>> I don't have a hard copy, so it doesn't matter at all. If you actually
>
>> had an IB license it would be listed with the FCC, but it's not. If
>
>> the license is current, then there should be some link you can provide

>
>> from the FCC site that confirms your claim about the IB service. Now
>
>> put up or shut up.
>
>
>file number 200001R435366
>The hard copy in my hand "Radio Service: IB Business"
>Expires 04/2005
>FCC web pages use IG for the IB service.
>
>I accept your apology frankie... your above language clearly shows you
>have
>a potty mouth. The FCC web page won't show the IB Business label you so
>burn
>to see. I'm ok with your being wrong again...

The file number means nothing. What's the callsign?

>>
>
>> <snip bullshit>
>
>> >: triode operation will linearize the operation of the tube. But this is
>
>> >: at the sacrifice of efficiency.
>
>> >
>
>> >No tube is an ideal linear device in operation... and triode operation is
>
>> >not a restriction for acceptable linear service.
>
>>
>
>> Jeez, Skippy, there you go again putting words in my mouth. Where did
>
>> I say that triode operation is a restriction? I didn't. Regardless, I
>
>> seem to recall that your cure for poor linearity from sweep tube
>
>> common-cathode amps was to stick a 10 ohm resistor between the cathode
>
>> and ground -- YIKES!!! Ungrounding a power RF tube, now THAT'S "bad
>
>> news"!
>
>
>You started off your song and dance on the premise that linear rf
>amplification
>using sweep tubes is not realistic or practical unless you use them as

>triodes.

I believe you have now beat your old record for the number of times
you have misquoted me in the same post. Where did I say that, Skippy?

>And now your again pulling in text from previous threads in other
>locations.
>
>Let the record be straight now frankie... but not as you tried to
>incorrectly
>post some previous text with errors. A 10 ohm resistor in the cathode
>lead
>will improve g-grid sweep tube amplifier linearity.
>
>This is where your weak rf theory shows through... at this point
>I will assume you are totally clue less as to why the 10 ohm cathode
>resistor is good information.
>
>But since you know all about grounded grid rf amplifiers, you'll post
>why
>you know the placement of a 10 ohm resistor in the cathode lead is bad
>news.

You made a number of errors here, Skippy. I suppose you claim that you
were talking about a grounded-grid amplifier when you wrote this:

"One can easily deal with some of the sweep tube non linearity by
placing 10 ohm MF resistors in each tubes cathode lead. The
degeneration will make the circuit mo'betta'."

For a grounded-grid amplifier, a resistor on the cathode is not bad
news, it's just plain stupid. Why? Because it doesn't do a thing
except raise the input impedance by 10 ohms and send a significant
part of your input power up through the ventilation holes! That's your
FIRST error.

Now if you want to put a resistor on the cathode of a common-cathode
amplifier, it does indeed provide degenerative feedback. So your idea
that a cathode resistor can create degenerative feedback is founded in
fact, but you forgot to read the rest of the page. Therefore, your
second error was mistaking common-cathode practices for grounded-grid
circuits.

Thirdly, the use of a cathode resistor is common practice to improve
linearity in an AUDIO amp, NOT an RF amp! In an RF amp it only causes
instability. That's why the 6146 has THREE pins for the cathode!

Your FOURTH error is not knowing that the inherent instability of the
sweep tube at RF frequencies (due to the fact that it was never
designed for use as an RF amplifier -- note the use of only one pin
for the cathode, the lack of shielding, long internal leads, etc)
makes the use of a cathode resistor (on a common-cathode RF amplifier)
truly "bad news".

Error #5 was screwing my words up to say that "the placement of a 10 ohm resistor in the cathode lead is bad news". Of course I did not say that (and I'm getting mighty tired of saying THAT!). What I DID say was that ungrounding an RF power tube is bad news. And it is, whether it's common-cathode or grounded-grid. But putting a resistor on the cathode of a grounded-grid isn't going to unground the tube, is it, Skippy?

And you REALLY screwed up after whining and complaining about MY alleged lack of RF theory and how tying the screen to the plate is an AUDIO practice, yet you now demonstrate YOUR lack of RF theory by making the EXACT SAME ERROR of which you accuse ME; namely, applying an audio technique to an RF circuit!

>I'll then provide the actual facts to prove your talking sideways out of
>your a$$ ... (my turn at potty mouth)

I'm still waiting. I have been waiting ever since my first post. What are YOU waiting for? You keep saying how you are going to provide the facts, but so far you haven't provided anything except a few vague descriptions and some buzzwords you made up yourself. So where are these facts, Skippy?

>While your there, please explain to us why you recomend parallel
>resistors
>and or capacitors across the diodes in the KLV amplifier you so proudly
>provided with your "verbose analysis" here on the news group.

Sure.

Rectifiers are usually used in series so that you can rectify higher voltages; e.g, two 1kV rectifiers in series have an effective PIV of 2kV. You can do the same thing with capacitors. The use of a voltage equalization network is a good and common practice to insure that the PIV of each rectifier (or the max voltage across each capacitor) in the series is not exceeded.

Now when you put semiconductors (or sometimes tubes) in parallel to increase the current capacity of the circuit, you need to make sure that the current is equally distributed between the components. You do this by using low-value resistors in series with each parallel circuit. Most audio amplifiers with multiple power transistors use resistors on the emitters for this very purpose. However, the common practice for power supply rectifiers is to simply use a diode capable of handling more current. The use of parallel rectifiers isn't a very good idea because the required current-equalization resistors tend to increase the input impedance of the rectifier circuit, and therefore the power supply (and that's a bad thing).

The same thing with the plate capacitors. They can be put in series to handle higher voltages (as stated before), but that reduces the total capacitance, and you need a voltage equalization network to protect the capacitors. Also, you can increase the total capacitance by putting two or more in parallel. It's a much better idea, however, to

simply use one capacitor that's rated for the application. (Kinda like tubes: You can kludge your way through with multiple sweep tubes, or you can get one tube that will do the job properly and with better results!)

>We can then go through the reason why your information is flawed and
>very bad news... based on old outdated information. Yikes...!

Voltage equalization networks are flawed and outdated? Boy, I'm waiting to hear THIS one...!

>You have yet to clear up your cute little grid tied to the plate
>statement made in two previous posts that I've seen. Grids to the
>plates in rf amplifiers ought to cause a nice meltdown of most
>any tube.

Maybe if you knew how to use your news browser you would have found the post where I admit my mistake. How about admitting a few yourself, Skippy? You sure have made a lot of them!

>> > Efficiency of a good
>
>> >grounded grid sweep tube amplifier can be near 60% in clean AB2 linear
>
>> >operation. Thats great results in the real world.
>
>>
>
>> Except that AB2 isn't very clean from a sweep tube.
>
>
>It would easily exceed FCC requirements...

I have NEVER heard of a TUBE that could exceed FCC requirements! But sure it might pass, if you use a filter large enough to clean it up. In fact, you could probably pass an arc welder if you use a filter large enough to clean it up!

> also look pretty good
>with the test equipment. What type of actual proof can you provide
>us with to back your "isn't very clean" remark..?

Don't you even know how to read an EpIp graph? You are SERIOUSLY lacking in your RF theory, Skippy!

Find an EpIp graph of your favorite sweep tube. Note the spacing of the grid lines as they approach zero grid volts, and how that spacing suddenly increases when the grid goes postive. That's called "grid current distortion", and I haven't seen a sweep tube yet where it wasn't significant. Just for comparison, look at the graph of a 6146; note how the spacing between -10 and 0 volts on the grid is almost the same as the spaceing between 0 and +10 volts. Now THAT's the smooth grid-current transistion of a tube that's PROPERLY designed for AB2

operation, be it AF -or- RF. Want more examples? I can list a bunch of
them -- triodes, tetrodes, beam-tetrodes, pentodes...and none of them
are sweep tubes!

>> <snip (past frankie) bullshit>
>
>> >Nope...
>
>> >Its a starting point statement for anyone building a grounded grid rf amplifier
>
>> >; to pay attention to the circuit, specifc to using sweep tubes, but not limited
>
>> >to...
>
>> >tetrode/pentode combinations as seen/used in some layout schemes. In specific,
>
>> >some
>
>> >combinations of grounded grid connections are very bad news for beam power
>
>> >tubes, both your precious RF designed types and sweep tubes in general.
>
>>
>
>> What "combinations" and "layout schemes" are those, Skippy? And can
>
>> your "precious" sweep tubes perform better under those "combinations"
>
>> and "layout schemes"? What circuits are "specifc to using sweep
>
>> tubes"? Actually, your whole statement doesn't make any sense except
>
>> to toss out a couple buzzwords and phrases. And you
>
>> ---***>>> STILL <<<***---
>
>>
>
>> haven't described your "classic" sweep tube amplifier circuit!
>
>
>Sure I have... I mentioned more than once that the Palomar 300 40-10
>meter
>amplifier has a practical tube pin bias, control, and drive layout that
>looks pretty good for a sweep tube amplifier. (the 2 driving 4 model)

Ok, so the "2 driving 4 model" is the "classic" sweep tube amp? What
makes that "classic"? Why is that any better than any other sweep tube
amp, or what makes other sweep tube amps worse (less than "classic")?

>...and I mention on the http://sonic.ucdavis.edu Amateur Radio web
>page, the Dentron
>GLA-1000 amplifier text which contain circuit upgrades. The highlights
>of
>the text include glitch protection, cathode current reduction in standby
>(rx) modes and improved linearity by simply adding 10 ohm resistors in
>each of the cathode leads....

LOL! I'd like to see scope pics before and after the resistor mod!
I'll bet that if there is any increase in linearity it's because the
input power has been reduced. BTW, did you notice the voltage
equalization network on the power supply capacitors? LOL!!!

>(Yikes...! ... that's just for you frankie because I know you like
>to use it).

I used it once. Is that a problem?

> ---
>
>Onward...
>
>One variant of a grounded grid rf amplifier ties the screen and control
>grid
>to ground. Certain tube geometry's don't do well at all with both grids
>grounded and there are some circuit layout options to better allow high
>u triode operation without destructive grid currents. I would encourage
>builders to avoid that circuit... which can be found in some D&A model
>rf amplifiers.

Unlike you, I would encourage an amp builder to follow the
recommendations of the manufacturer; if you are building a
grounded-grid RF amp, get a tube that's designed and rated for use as
a grouned-grid RF amp.

>I'm still waiting to see anything you've got on line about the subject
>frankie...
>
>got anything..?

Nope.

>anything at all..?

Negative, Rampart.

>not a one web page..?

Uh-uh.

>Not a one article posted anywhere..?

Sorry. I don't feel the need to publish information that's readily
available in almost any public library. Maybe you do, since RF voodoo
techniques aren't based on sound and generally accepted RF design

practices, and are therefore not very well published. I suppose that if those techniques were sound they would have been in widespread use for as long as the parts have existed, and that would mean there would be some books on the subject that are even more than 50 years old. Ever find one of those books, Skippy? Ever find one that has the definitions for your "classic sweep tube amplifier", or "reverse screen current", or "passive gain operation", or maybe "beam power application"? LOL!

>Better yet, lets open it up a bit.... how about any posted electronics
>web page or article you've got on line frankie...? please..?

Sure.

www.cet.com/~yeti/

I used to have more, but when I changed usernames I had to take it down because all the pages had my old username. They will be back up in time, tho. Criticize as you see fit. Who knows -- even YOU might find something that I should change.

178. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 11:46:48 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <I08x9.73815$wG.233559@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 142664

Dino summed it up pretty well. Decent antenna.....cheap in price and construction. If you are in a very good location, it will do quite well. The A-99's gain figures have always been a flat out lie! 9 db from a non-direction radiator.....not possible!

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aq2dt7hqk1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has
> any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them before
> i go to put it up and use it??
>
> Thanks alot,
>
> Jason
>
>

179. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:01:11 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0211030601.5608423b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142665

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0211021559.4b702938@posting.google.com>...

> In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
> did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
> of 10 meters, so perhaps it is true.

I don't deny that truckers are using 10 meters, although I haven't heard what I could conclude to be truckers operating there. I have heard illegal CBer's in the past, though. Mind you, I don't listen all that often. When I do, though, I usually hear Spanish-speaking stations on the lower edge of 10. They could possibly be hams from Central or South America (some countries allow voice operation over the entire band) but are probably CBer's since they use AM.

> Congradulations, hams,
> THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
> bands): USE IT instead of whining about it

No argument here. If we fill up the lower part of 10 with CW, RTTY, PSK or whatever, then maybe the AM CBer's will get tired of the QRM and move elsewhere. But there will always be a few that will insist on operating there.

Tom

180. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:03:24 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0211030603.5edd70eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142666

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message news:<fef386d5.0211021509.48e95b01@posting.google.com>...

> tom why don't you get your hammy ass back on the ham
> newsgroup......??? don't we have enough trouble from your terminal
> technician class ham trolls on this group??????

Thank you for illustrating my point exactly as I stated it.

Tom

181. Search UHF CB & SRBR Frequencys , VHF Low Freq. WW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:13:33 UTC
From: josef <++>
Message-ID: <3dc52f09@news.i-one.at>
Source record: 142667

Hallo

I search Frequencys from UHF & VHF CB , SRBR ec. WORLDWIDE

Mfg .

Josef.bauchinger@i-one.at

182. Kris XL50, Boman 930 questions for you techies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:19:52 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cgax9.57050$c51.18250830@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 142668

Acquired both of these in decent shape except no output, assume it's the final in each. What do these use, as I recall the 1307, 2312, or 1969 were the standard issue in that era, but my junk box has long since been emptied. Appreciate info, and if anyone has the right parts I'd be interested. Is RF Parts still around? They were a bit pricey but generally had the stuff available. Also interested in Mods for the Kris, seems like this thing was designed with that in mind, the Hi-Lo switch, etc., being there and doing little but dimming the lights, which can also be done on the dimmer pot. Thanks for the help, thought it would be fun top get these dinosaurs running again. Email replies please.

183. WTB amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:30:45 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pqax9.57051$c51.18263741@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 142669

Looking for a decent 12V amp, something like a Texas Star 250-350, or one of the Palomar models in that range, been a long time since I've been very active in the market, and that was before the A-1 debacle, so I don't think any of my old sources are valid. Would consider very clean sensibly priced used as long as no one has "improved" it, or new unit, or source for same.

A note to those of you who are prone to offering your opinions on what everyone else does, please don't waste your time here, I've been through all of this long before you got here, and I won't rise to the bait. Save it for someone you can truly bother.

184. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:50:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103095055.23412.00001154@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142670

hi jason, regardless of what the IK10 guy says the antron is a very viable and good working antenna in the real world. the IK10 is a super duper antenna and its built real well but its for the die hard hardcore cb'ers and not much for the casual guys. for a long time the a99 was the most popular antenna on cb and everybody had one and most all of them worked really well and still do. mine is on its tenth year now with no trouble and i've talked to every corner of the world with it. i also added the firestick end when mine fell off my roof and broke its tip and it works real well and lets the antenna be a little more broad banded. enjoy your a99 and get it as high up as you can in the clear.

>
>Jason, it is an OK antenna. That is to say that it works. I think they are
>cheap and don't care for them much. I bought one too ($40.00) for a quick
>set up antenna. I'm now running a Interceptor 10K. You can buy 5 A-99s for
>the price of one I10K...but you get 5 times the antenna too.
>
>Go here and read this...
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
>Don't get discouraged, your antenna will work (for a while). I'm told you
>can improve the performance of this antenna by adding the ground radial kit
>and the "Fire-Up 99" from Firestick. See here...
>
>http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/FS99-W.htm
>
>Good Luck!
>
>Dino
>

185. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:55:25 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC538DD.C77182D9@believeit.com>
Source record: 142671

Noname Same wrote:
>
> 28.400

That "channel" is in the 10-meter ham band. Stay below
28 Mhz if you don't have ham ticket.

If you bought a sideband radio, try 36 LSB.

If you only bought AM, you are SOL. Stay on 19.

186. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:56:45 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5392D.3AF18D45@believeit.com>
Source record: 142672

The ground plane for that antenna is not worth the metal it's made from.

Dino wrote:
>
> Jason, it is an OK antenna. That is to say that it works. I think they are
> cheap and don't care for them much. I bought one too ($40.00) for a quick
> set up antenna. I'm now running a Interceptor 10K. You can buy 5 A-99s for
> the price of one I10K...but you get 5 times the antenna too.
>
> Go here and read this...
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
> Don't get discouraged, your antenna will work (for a while). I'm told you
> can improve the performance of this antenna by adding the ground radial kit
> and the "Fire-Up 99" from Firestick. See here...
>
> http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/FS99-W.htm
>
> Good Luck!
>
> Dino
>
> >I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has
> >any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them before
> >i go to put it up and use it??
> >
> >Thanks alot,
> >
> >Jason

187. Re: TEST...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:57:34 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5395E.A8AEFCCA@believeit.com>
Source record: 142674
Cross-posted to: alt.test,rec.radio.cb

Norvin Adams III wrote:
>
> TEST... can't seem to post

You may have to wait 30 minutes before your posts show up.

188. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:57:36 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zPax9.236895$%d2.79585@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 142673

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq2dt7hqk1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has
> any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them
before
> i go to put it up and use it??
>
> Thanks alot,
>
> Jason

Hey Jason,

I have an A-99 (with the ground radials) up on my roof right now. Reception is good, transmission is good. As you've already been told the A-99 is a basic antenna, and has a few short comings. Be careful when you put the three sections together. I over tightened my first A-99 and the stud popped right out of the middle section. And this was with what I thought was very little torque. Do yourself a favor and just enjoy your new antenna. Get it up there as high as you can, use the best coax you can get your hands on. Most important step is to have fun while your on the air. When the time comes you'll know if a Beam or an I10K or some other fancy expensive antenna is what you want or need. For me, the A-99 does what I need it to do, so I'll stick with her for quite a while.

Dave

189. Re: Realistic trc 492

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 14:58:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103095833.23412.00001158@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142675

if you got it back working leave it alone and buy an amp

>ALL HELP IS WELCOME
>I WANT THIS RADIO TO ROCK AND ROLL
>
>

190. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 15:17:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103101716.27871.00001969@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142677

channel 22 in the evening. give old number #82 a call. if your lucky i'll tell you a neat spot where you can park and sound like a big strapper. i'm sure you'll need it. i lost my 5pill driver last weekend, hell i probably could have took you out with just that. it should be back in action by next weekend and the rumbling will begin

ps i know a few dancers at the ritz if you want the VIP treatment, i'll tell them look out for the radio nerd and treat him good

>
>get your ass ready for the ultimate in radio asswhipping as i , your
>radio host drop the maul from the parking lot of the ritz
>cabaret.....after all radio god's need lovin too.....now then
>get to gettin cause your goat smellin ass is stinkin up the
>place.....is it bad enough i have to wetnurse that bunch of faggots
>from up north too????
>
>
>
>
>
>

191. Here's a trick question for ya Skippy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 15:17:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc53a48.9099974@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142678

In <3DC4BDA6.90CA3B8D@yahoo.com>, skipp
<frankiedoesthesonganddance@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> haven't described your "classic" sweep tube amplifier circuit!
>
>
>Sure I have... I mentioned more than once that the Palomar 300 40-10
>meter
>amplifier has a practical tube pin bias, control, and drive layout that
>looks pretty good for a sweep tube amplifier. (the 2 driving 4 model)

Ok, I looked it up:

It has several of the drawbacks I have already mentioned, and a couple more. For starters, it has the same problems as the KLV: no voltage equalization network on the power supply rectifiers, and no regulation on the grid bias supplies. Now let's check out the signal path:

At the input, the exciter SWR is controlled with nothing more than a variable capacitor shunt. Pretty cheap way to drop the signal impedance for the first amplifier stage, especially since it isn't a tuned circuit (which it should be). But after the first stage is another one of the same problems that I mentioned before -- the need for tuned interstage coupling. And the very low input impedance of the second stage mandates a large tuning cap.

Notice that the grid is biased for AB2, meaning you are going to get grid-current distortion when overdriven. I like this line from that website:

"For AM use, the amplifier must be overcoupled; this is accomplished by taking the load control and turning clockwise 1/4 inch. If this is not done, the transmitted audio will sound "fuzzy" or distorted."

Makes one wonder what the spectrum looks like... yeesh!

Now let's look at the tube choice. The output power of the Palomar 300A is around 300 to 400 watts, and input power is 1 to 10 watts. There are six tubes, each with a 6.3 volt, 2.0 amp filament, for a total of 75.6 watts. The plate dissipation for each is 40 watts, or 240 watts in total.

Just for comparison, lets look at the 4CX250, which has a plate dissipation of 250 watts (hence it's numerical designation). The filament power is 15.6 watts (6 volts @ 2.6 amps in one of it's incarnations). That's only one FIFTH the power required by the sweep

tube amp, because you only need a SINGLE TUBE to get 300 watts output. And that's from 500 watts of plate input power, which isn't bad efficiency at all, especially considering it's running Class =AB1= in common-cathode configuration. And THAT means it has no grid-current distortion, no drive power requirement, and you don't have to "overcouple" the load for AM operation! Also, there is no interstage coupling, no tuned input, and only a small trimmer for neutralization that only needs to be set once (a fixed cap could easily be substituted). It also has fewer controls that need to be adjusted every time you retune the amp. And here's the kicker for ya, Skippy: The tube is designed to be linear for both AF -and- RF; which, when combined with AB1 operation, means that the harmonic content is significantly less than that of a sweep tube amp!

Now if THAT ain't enough, let's compare the cost: You have six sweep tubes costing $40 each; but just for the sake of argument let's say you converted to EL509's and use YOUR figure of $20/tube, for a total of $120. Or, you can get a brand new Eimac 4CX250 for $90 (Bruckner). Now are you going to complain about the cost of the socket and chimney? Because that cost is quickly offset in the sweep tube amp by the six sockets, plate clips, and associated hardware; the larger power supply; the large interstage coupling capacitor; the grid bias regulator that should be there but isn't; and a LOT more space (a much larger cabinet)!

So which is the better deal, Skippy? (You accuse ME of doing a song and dance, but I can't WAIT until you sing and dance around the facts on THIS one!)

192. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 15:22:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103102250.27871.00001970@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142679

i'll assume your not trying to mislead twist and that its just true that you've never spoken to a european on ten meters

> Btw I talk on 10 meters with a 100 watt radio like most hams I talk
>to they use just the radio and no amp

193. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:10:04 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <wTbx9.3733$z5.2723189@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142676

You've still failed to prove it...
Looks to me like you need to make sure your facts are straight before you shoot off your mouth, amigo...

Aaron
KB8ZVN

194. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:18:14 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Kj6dnVblNLIh0VigXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142680

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:I08x9.73815$wG.233559@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Dino summed it up pretty well. Decent antenna.....cheap in price and
> construction. If you are in a very good location, it will do quite well.

I use it on the 10 meter band, and 20, and 40, where it works acceptably
well, through a tuner.
No complaints. Worked many european stations with it from Indiana.

The
> A-99's gain figures have always been a flat out lie! 9 db from a
> non-direction radiator.....not possible!

Of course it is. Decibels are units of relative power.
It's polite to include in your ad, what you are comparing the antenna to
though.
Most antenna makers seem to be using dBi, which is comparing the antenna to
an isotropic radiator, an imaginary omnidirectional antenna with literally
no gain. It's as good a point as any.

dBd (dB-Dipole) would be a more useful comparison in that anyone can easily
build a dipole and check that the claims are (or aren't) true, but many
antennas would have to post negative numbers on that score.

195. swr meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:20:04 UTC
From: Peter Craddock <P.Craddock@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <aq3ibj$e3g$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 142681

How do you use a SWR Meter

196. Hy-Gain V 40 CH AM/SSB (2705) FS or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:21:14 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <l7jasuoihfb5ad91m3barkogqe4grh0ab5@4ax.com>
Source record: 142682

Next to MINT shape,super clean on the inside.Trade for mobile or hand held scanner,or might take best offer.

197. making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:23:29 UTC
From: vodkabacardi@yahoo.co.in
Message-ID: <4200f0f2.0211030823.62c03f86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142683

how do i go about making a cheap homebase ariel.. i used to know years ago, how to make a inverted v in the loft, but now have forgotten..

HELP NEEDED..

cheers

198. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 16:40:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103114057.21048.00002323@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 142684

channel 12 and 32 used to be alot of people on. i'm not sure whats going on out on the south west side of town. ask cheesehead, i mean cheesecutter. he's the houston radio god so you probably need his permission to talk anyways.

>What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx a srounding areas I am new
>to cb radio. If your in Houston and u use a cb radio please let me
>know what channels u use and what times you are on.
>

199. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 17:49:29 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103124929.09062.00001081@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 142685

Email me....I have plans for one Ill send you

200. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 17:50:20 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <seOdnUj8So1D_FigXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142686

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aptbk5$i96$6@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:xBudnVedZeOC_l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > > news:20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > > > what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by
> > > knicknames?
> > >
> > > I use Outlook Express.
> > > Sort by E-mail address,
>
> He keeps changing that... so that is useless.
>
> > > words in the subject line,
>
> The subject is supposed to change in each thread... so that is
useless.
>
> > > the from "who" name displayed,
>
> Oh dear... he keeps changing that, too.
>
> > etc. Stick them in to separate folders,
> > > including the DELETE folder. You never even see the junk show
up,
>
> As long as all the junk always has the same e-mail addy, nicname,
> subject, or body text.
> Oh yes, very useful... just like a chocolate teapot.
>
> But then George knows that, which is why he changes his nic and
> e-mail in his headers.
>
> > > You can create just about any message rule you
> > > want.
>
> Any?

I said "just about any". You and Twisted seem to suffer from selective
reading. Anyway if OE is not up to the job then try something else. As
far as the stuff getting down loaded anyway, for me that's not a
problem on a 1500Kbs cable modem at home or a T1 line at my work QTH.

The crap gets down loaded and dumped in to the delete folder so fast I don't even notice it. And for the tiny amount of stuff that gets through, well the "delete" key works real good too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

201. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:11:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <zjOdnbgr_5Bz-1igXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142687

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021101083400.12126.00001763@mb-fj.aol.com...
> alan, how much longer until you become a no code hero here like
leland, jj,
> aaron, and the others?

What's wrong with that Tim? Its better than bootlegging on the Ham
bands with a stolen (borrowed) callsign like you.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

202. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:13:31 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RZdx9.9108$eM3.8826@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142692

Mister T <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103102250.27871.00001970@mb-mf.aol.com...
> i'll assume your not trying to mislead twist and that its just true that
you've
> never spoken to a european on ten meters

....and it doesn't TAKE mega-watts to talk to Europe. One of my first
European contacts was
with Walt Davidson, G3NYY, a British ham who sometimes posts on the ham
newsgroups. Barefoot (100 watt) ham rig and mobile antenna.

Jerry

>
> > Btw I talk on 10 meters with a 100 watt radio like most hams I talk
> >to they use just the radio and no amp
>
>
>
>

203. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:18:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 142688

sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i use. just ask the 10 or so newgroup people who's heard me pretty often. no mistaking its me when its not. i do hear your call on 555 alot though so you should be carefull and not slip up like that when your freebanding

73 de Tim Kp82
http://www.KpDxGroup.net

>What's wrong with that Tim? Its better than bootlegging on the Ham
>bands with a stolen (borrowed) callsign like you.
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

204. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:24:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103132434.21048.00002342@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 142689

leland, why do you always claim i bootleg on the ham bands? do you just wish i did? you always mention it yet it couldnt be further from the truth. i try to stay away from the ham bands because there populated with people like yourself. now i ask again why do you make this claim?

>
>What's wrong with that Tim? Its better than bootlegging on the Ham
>bands with a stolen (borrowed) callsign like you.
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

205. What's left for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:30:39 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1036348394.478833@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 142690

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Whats left forsale
Date: Sunday, November 03, 2002 11:45 AM

Heathkit hg-b10 vfo $40.00
Ameritron RCS-8V ant switch $85.00
Astro 150 hf rig with power supply , Radio not working $75.00
Kenwood TW-4000a Dual band Mobile -$125.00
Kenwood TM-201 2 meter mobile with TE64 tone control -$65.00
Radio Shack uhf mini ht in box - $40.00
Kenwood TS120S hf rig . Works great on 20,40,80 Does not work 10 and 15--
$150.00.
Dosy 4000 watt watt meter and swr meter $50.00

All prices plus shipping
73" and Thanks for looking
dogyham@hotmail.com

206. Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 18:39:00 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142691

I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx or online will do too. If not where could I get planes to build a good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio. I also have a question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the most. If so how can u run more watts.

207. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 19:46:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103144624.21882.00001224@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142693

the same way you do on the fm broadcast band. buy an amplifier. for a antenna
buy a antron99 or a imax 2000

go here:
www.bigradio.biz

>
>I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
>or online will do too. If not where could I get planes to build a
>good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio. I also have a
>question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
>most. If so how can u run more watts.
>
>
>
>
>
>

208. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 19:49:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021103144946.21882.00001226@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142694

jerry, i've did it on 4 watts am no problem. that wasnt my point, my point was is that when you hear the europeans they almost ALWAYS run huge watts on ten.

>....and it doesn't TAKE mega-watts to talk to Europe. One of my first
>European contacts was
>with Walt Davidson, G3NYY, a British ham who sometimes posts on the ham
>newsgroups. Barefoot (100 watt) ham rig and mobile antenna.
>
>Jerry

209. Re: Hmmm?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:00:02 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <6ffx9.1961$0x.1307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142695

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:us9gu8ee220rb0@corp.supernews.com...
> Heck, there goes my 440 rig. Actually, it **does** display the
frequency -
> along with the 'channel' number. Sigh .. Guess I'll have to ditch the
> thing. Had a ball with it too ... talking with Australia and England and
> more. Oh well ... what am I to do?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

You vill comply!!! ;-)

> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b7d1465c.0211021304.141fbdc7@posting.google.com...
> > I thought any rig with a channel indicator was a "CB in disguise?
> > Damn, there goes another rig I gotta trash to "comply." LOL!
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1394132538
> >
> > I repeat, Hmmm?!
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> > Hey, what's that freq? Just monitoring, eh? :-)
>
>

210. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:08:39 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211031208.262f99ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142696

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<wTbx9.3733$z5.2723189@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> You've still failed to prove it...
> Looks to me like you need to make sure your facts
> are straight before you
> shoot off your mouth, amigo...
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN

And you failed to hook anyone with your obvious troll. Looks to me
like you'll have to run home to your pirate radio pals, hombre....

-A

211. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:10:09 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Bofx9.2000$0x.36@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142747

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:Kj6dnVblNLIh0VigXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> dBd (dB-Dipole) would be a more useful comparison in that anyone can
easily
> build a dipole and check that the claims are (or aren't) true, but many
> antennas would have to post negative numbers on that score.

Perhaps they meant dBdl or referenced against a "dummy load." Lol. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

212. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:18:51 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Lwfx9.2041$0x.833@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142748

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com...
> I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
> or online will do too.

Get a MaCo 3 el. Yagi for approx. $90 - $100. Use premium coax. I recommend
"bury-Flex" from:

www.davisrf.com

> If not where could I get planes to build a
> good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio.

The ARRL Antenna Handbook or the assembly instructions for MaCo's antennas
are a free download from their site. BTW, MaCo is the producer of the old
Wilson line.

http://www.majestic-comm.com/assembly/index.htm

> I also have a
> question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
> most. If so how can u run more watts.

You may only run 4 watts AM or 12 watts SSB. SSB is much more efficient and
SSB radios generally have a better receiver section. Good luck.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

213. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:31:39 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1036355657.403421@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 142750

Houston Amateur radio has base station antennas .
Call (281)355-7373 and talk to Charlie Tell Him Worldwide TopCat sent You
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:Lwfx9.2041$0x.833@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com...
> > I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
> > or online will do too.
>
> Get a MaCo 3 el. Yagi for approx. $90 - $100. Use premium coax. I
recommend
> "bury-Flex" from:
>
> www.davisrf.com
>
> > If not where could I get planes to build a
> > good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio.
>
> The ARRL Antenna Handbook or the assembly instructions for MaCo's antennas
> are a free download from their site. BTW, MaCo is the producer of the old
> Wilson line.
>
> http://www.majestic-comm.com/assembly/index.htm
>
> > I also have a
> > question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
> > most. If so how can u run more watts.
>
> You may only run 4 watts AM or 12 watts SSB. SSB is much more efficient
and
> SSB radios generally have a better receiver section. Good luck.
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

214. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 20:32:07 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <hF6dndqVka1UGligXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142749

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103144946.21882.00001226@mb-cv.aol.com...

> is that when you hear the europeans they almost ALWAYS run huge watts on
ten.

How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
euorpeans on 10 ?

215. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 21:30:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC59584.9BB0FC35@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142757

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
> >
>
> It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
> listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?

I did not know that Jupiter put out a signal around 14 Mhz, but it
does put out a 21 Mhz signal (15 meter band.)

216. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 21:32:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC595E1.BF23153C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142758

Richard Cranium wrote:

> >
> > Right on, that will certainly help discourage use by illegal ops.
> > Just listen to 10 when there is a contest and the band is full of
> > hams, you will hear few illegal signals. But we still need to do
> > what Jerry O. does and report intruders, keep the problem before
> > Riley and company.
>
> All you really need to do is be on the band and use it. If the
> frequencies are in use "intruders" won't have a chance, will they?

Seems like I just agreed with that, did I miss something?

217. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 21:35:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC59696.E31843A1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142759

Richard Cranus wrote:
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021103144946.21882.00001226@mb-cv.aol.com...
>
> > is that when you hear the europeans they almost ALWAYS run huge watts on
> ten.
>
> How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
> euorpeans on 10 ?

He took skipper's s-meter course and now he can tell how many
watts they are running just by looking at his s-meter.

218. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 22:06:26 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211031406.36f6f57c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142751

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021103101716.27871.00001969@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> channel 22 in the evening. give old number #82 a call. if your lucky i'll tell
> you a neat spot where you can park and sound like a big strapper. i'm sure
> you'll need it. i lost my 5pill driver last weekend, hell i probably could have
> took you out with just that. it should be back in action by next weekend and
> the rumbling will begin
>
>
> ps i know a few dancers at the ritz if you want the VIP treatment, i'll tell
> them look out for the radio nerd and treat him good
>
>
> >
> >get your ass ready for the ultimate in radio asswhipping as i , your
> >radio host drop the maul from the parking lot of the ritz
> >cabaret.....after all radio god's need lovin too.....now then
> >get to gettin cause your goat smellin ass is stinkin up the
> >place.....is it bad enough i have to wetnurse that bunch of faggots
> >from up north too????
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

oh geez.....take me out with a 5 pill.....my boy .....what do
you think this is???? peanut gallery here.....i run steel my
man .....steel.....hell,.... ask 22 up in the great lakes what i
did to him last november.... the mudduck.....he tried to ignore
me,.... while i sat up in the parking garage at herman hospital and
dropped the maul on his superman ass for about ten minutes and i know
i dropped his drawers...oh they try to ignore the big cheese but they
can't .....hell i am a white man, doing the thing to a black
man,.....and you know what .....???? they just can't stand
it.....you should have heard it 82.....he always gets on the
bowl and talks about superman with a s on his chest and all of that
bullshit.....well guess what??? one night last year, i , your
radio host, was feeling froggy, after inbibing adult beverages, and i
, your radio host, was in position to cause damage, and low and
behold....i go to my spot, set up, turn on channel 6 and look who's
there, soooooo.....mr superman got his panty hose in a knott

as the big man in texas unloaded on his democrat ass, and you know what.....it felt good too......

so tell me amigo .....which of those tarts is yours over there......??? my favortite of course is that 45 year old vietnamese gal, with the beautiful tits, and body ....everytime i see her, she says we should get married..the only problem is her 5 kids and her lying ass......other than that i might consider it.......

oh so your blew up your 5 pill.....aw...what's the matter??? did some of the big strappers in galveston get ahold of you while you were jumping up and down and you thought you wanted to tango.......hell,.......you better quit half steppin good buddy........times are a changin.....old possum says 'who's goin to fill their shoes'....i have a answer to that.....i do have a fucking answer........the big cheeser can fill the bill.....no doubt qualified.....and never duplicated .....know why??? cause i can.....big cheese jus got down.....

219. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 22:08:19 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211031408.fda98bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142752

Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC538DD.C77182D9@believeit.com>...
> Noname Same wrote:
> >
> > 28.400
>
> That "channel" is in the 10-meter ham band. Stay below
> 28 Mhz if you don't have ham ticket.
>
> If you bought a sideband radio, try 36 LSB.
>
> If you only bought AM, you are SOL. Stay on 19.

yeh i don't think it is a good idea to ask a bunch of good buddies
which channel is best....all they do is keep their noses up each
other's asses while they drive through town
anyways.....................big cheeser is back quiet.......

220. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 22:38:16 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <DBqdnYoyu6jEOFigXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142753

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211031406.36f6f57c@posting.google.com...

> so tell me amigo .....which of those tarts is yours over
> there......??? my favortite of course is that 45 year old vietnamese
> gal, with the beautiful tits, and body ....everytime i see her, she
> says we should get married..the only problem is her 5 kids and her
> lying ass......other than that i might consider it.......
>
>
> oh so your blew up your 5 pill.....aw...what's the matter??? did some
> of the big strappers in galveston get ahold of you while you were
> jumping up and down and you thought you wanted to
> tango.......hell,........you better quit half steppin good
> buddy........times are a changin..........old possum says 'who's goin
> to fill their shoes'....i have a answer to that.......i do have a
> fucking answer........the big cheeser can fill the bill.......no doubt
> qualified.......and never duplicated ....know why??? cause i
> can.........big cheese jus got down............

Ohhh Jeez Big Cheese, from the sounds of the big Star you had better concern yourself with Mr.Big 5, Worldwide Jewel, and john Deere instead of knight Patrol 82 LOL. Stations coming out of the Big Horn on the bowl are 20+ to 30+ over in my recieve, and i am telling it like it Tis.

221. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 22:46:45 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5A755.7BA18B47@believeit.com>
Source record: 142754

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:Kj6dnVblNLIh0VigXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> > dBd (dB-Dipole) would be a more useful comparison in that anyone can
> easily
> > build a dipole and check that the claims are (or aren't) true, but many
> > antennas would have to post negative numbers on that score.
>
> Perhaps they meant dBdl or referenced against a "dummy load." Lol. ;-)

dBbc - dB against a beer can laying in the back yard (Thanks Jay!) lol

222. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 23:03:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211031503.261692e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142755

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<4-mcndoDQOpVGFmgXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC464E9.12EF268D@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
> > > did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
> > > of 10 meters,
>
> What else could they have been aliens?
>

It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?

>
> > > so perhaps it is true.
>
>
> Yeah perhaps it is, people all over the country have heard them, now you
> finally admit to hearing "something" lol
>

Yup, since I finally heard "something". You have a problem with that?

>
>
> > > I still don't believe that it's
> > > the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.
>
> If your not a ham not it is not a big problem is it Dick
>

How would you know what I am? You're supposed to be an "extra" and all
you can do it spout bovine excrement in a CB newsgroup. Hmmmmm. Make
ya wonder, don't it?

>
> > > I must admit that I finally heard some amateur activity at the low end
> of 10 meters
> > > recently, too;
>

> Thats what happens when you turn the radio on and listen other than run the
> vfo and say welp no one that I hear. I hear CW almost daily
>

It must be running around in your head, like the other voices.

> > > THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
> > > bands): USE IT
>
>
> That's one way to keep them out, the fact still remains if the band is
> closed and it is not isn full use truckers and cbers have no right using it
> so there still is a problem, perhaps we can leave a baby sitter there to
> make it look like it is in use.
>

The band is a "priviledge", not a possession. And what the heck is "it
is not isn full use truckers"??

>
>
> >
> > Right on, that will certainly help discourage use by illegal ops.
> > Just listen to 10 when there is a contest and the band is full of
> > hams, you will hear few illegal signals.
>
> Yes they are getting stepped on lol.

And if it happened more often you wouldn't have anything to piss and
moan about, would you Georgie-girl?

Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ. Another fine product of the publik
edjukashun system.

223. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 23:06:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211031506.709dbc3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142756

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DC464E9.12EF268D@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I finally
> > did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low end
> > of 10 meters,
>
> Well hooray!! Glad you finally got that 10 meter receive working.

It's been working all along.

> > so perhaps it is true.
>
> Perhaps? What does it take to convince you?
>

Regular reception. Obviously it doesn't take that to convince you.

> > I still don't believe that it's
> > the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.
>
> It is a growing problem. You can find them all up and down the
> band when 10 is open.

Really? If you USED the band it might not be a problem.

> > I must admit
> > that I finally heard some amateur activity at the low end of 10 meters
> > recently, too; CW on 28085 and RTTY on 28125. Congradulations, hams,
> > THAT's the way to keep "intruders" out of ten meters (and other ham
> > bands): USE IT
>
> Right on, that will certainly help discourage use by illegal ops.
> Just listen to 10 when there is a contest and the band is full of
> hams, you will hear few illegal signals. But we still need to do
> what Jerry O. does and report intruders, keep the problem before
> Riley and company.

All you really need to do is be on the band and use it. If the
frequencies are in use "intruders" won't have a chance, will they?

Riley Hollingsworth is charged with cleaning up the "ham" bands, not
CB. He is doing the best he can given his authority.

224. Get on the air and get out the word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 23:41:21 UTC
From: angela@hereinreality.com
Message-ID: <751174ee.0211031541.610a54b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142760

Talk to everyone you can and remind them to vote Tuesday, and if
they're thinking about voting Republican, tell them all about The
Carlyle Group
http://www.hereinreality.com/carlyle.html
Let them know, too, about the Bush Family Values Photo Album
http://www.hereinreality.com/familyvalues.html
People that aren't online don't have any way to know about this stuff.

225. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 23:44:55 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211031544.3e16523@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142761

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in message
news:<e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com>...
> I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
> or online will do too. If not where could I get planes to build a
> good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio. I also have a
> question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
> most. If so how can u run more watts.

I have a magnet mount with a fm car antenna I am thinkin about getting
a new whip. Which is overall better a magnet mount with whip and coil
or a base antenna. If I get a whip I am thinking about getting a
workman sp1000 sp2000 or a sp3000 stargun 4 9-1 9-2. The two I want
mare are a workman sp2000 & stargun 4 and if I get a whip with coil as
a cb base antenna which ones would be the best. My antenna would get
15ft to 20ft high with 25ft to 30ft of rg58 coax would that work just
fine.

226. Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-03 23:48:59 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211031548.2a9828f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142762

I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
about this strange new product from Microsoft?

http://www.eham.net/articles/3938

Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
spectrum?

-A

227. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 00:16:54 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <W%ix9.581$5B.12527@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 142763

If you want an omni, try the Signal Engineering Golden Rod 180.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com...
> I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
> or online will do too. If not where could I get planes to build a
> good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio. I also have a
> question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
> most. If so how can u run more watts.

228. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 00:21:34 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <gw6dnWesRoQMIFigXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142764

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021103185214.215

> Why would he be concerned with your latest made up screen names?

Why are you concerned with what he is concerned with?

If you turned on the radio to the splatter bowl channel 6 these made up screen names would be who you would hear.

> You been talking on 11?

Not I don't talk on CB much kinda dead actually but channel 30 is the local channel in these parts.

229. Re: What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 00:24:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211031624.7f95e24a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142765

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021103114057.21048.00002323@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> channel 12 and 32 used to be alot of people on. i'm not sure whats going on out
> on the south west side of town. ask cheesehead, i mean cheesecutter. he's the
> houston radio god so you probably need his permission to talk anyways.
>
> >What Channels are mostly used in Houston Tx a srounding areas I am new
> >to cb radio. If your in Houston and u use a cb radio please let me
> >know what channels u use and what times you are on.
> >

well actually he does unless he pays me off......the big cheeser is
back quiet.............

230. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 00:27:03 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <C9mcnX9kaadFI1igXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142766

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:

> > > > In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I
finally
> > > > did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low
end
> > > > of 10 meters,

> > What else could they have been aliens?

> It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
> listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?

Would you hear voices from Jupiter? LOL No the rednecks your hearing are
talking trucker jive plain and simple.

> > > > so perhaps it is true.
> >
> >
> > Yeah perhaps it is, people all over the country have heard them, now you
> > finally admit to hearing "something" lol
> >
>
> Yup, since I finally heard "something". You have a problem with that?

Yeah took you long enough, or did you just turn the radio on?

> > > > I still don't believe that it's
> > > > the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.
> >
> > If your not a ham not it is not a big problem is it Dick

> How would you know what I am? You're supposed to be an "extra" and all
> you can do it spout bovine excrement in a CB newsgroup. Hmmmmm. Make
> ya wonder, don't it?

Oh Dickie, I know exactly what you are LOL. Yes it does make you wonder

> And if it happened more often you wouldn't have anything to piss and
> moan about, would you Georgie-girl?

Yes and you would have no reason to troll the NG either.

231. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 01:06:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sKjx9.271012$Fw2.7888158@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142768

```
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:seOdnUj8So1D_FigXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aptbk5$i96$6@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > news:xBudnVedZeOC_l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > > > > what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by
> > > > knicknames?
> > > >
> > > > I use Outlook Express.
> > > > Sort by E-mail address,
> >
> > He keeps changing that... so that is useless.
> >
> > > > words in the subject line,
> >
> > The subject is supposed to change in each thread... so that is
> useless.
> >
> > > > the from "who" name displayed,
> >
> > Oh dear... he keeps changing that, too.
> >
> > > etc. Stick them in to separate folders,
> > > > including the DELETE folder. You never even see the junk show
> up,
> >
> > As long as all the junk always has the same e-mail addy, nicname,
> > subject, or body text.
> > Oh yes, very useful... just like a chocolate teapot.
> >
> > But then George knows that, which is why he changes his nic and
> > e-mail in his headers.
> >
> > > > You can create just about any message rule you
> > > > want.
> >
> > Any?
>
> I said "just about any". You and Twisted seem to suffer from selective
```

> reading. Anyway if OE is not up to the job then try something else. As
> far as the stuff getting down loaded anyway, for me that's not a
> problem on a 1500Kbs cable modem at home or a T1 line at my work QTH.
> The crap gets down loaded and dumped in to the delete folder so fast I
> don't even notice it. And for the tiny amount of stuff that gets
> through, well the "delete" key works real good too.
>

Lelnad, you are not being real. There is no problem with OE. The problem lies with your butthead posting friend. Don't go there unless you want to be deemed an idiot also.

232. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 01:12:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SPjx9.271013$Fw2.7889346@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142769

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC59696.E31843A1@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Cranus wrote:
> >
> > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > news:20021103144946.21882.00001226@mb-cv.aol.com...
> >
> > > is that when you hear the europeans they almost ALWAYS run huge watts
on
> > ten.
> >
> > How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to
the
> > euorpeans on 10 ?
>
> He took skipper's s-meter course and now he can tell how many
> watts they are running just by looking at his s-meter.

Ya thinks so, huh Sparky?

233. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 01:58:13 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Vukx9.3817$z5.2802135@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142767

Who said I was trolling besides you. I was making a plain point.
Again, you've proved to that you look without leaping and without checking your facts.
Now, just admit you're wrong, and I'll just go back to lurking like I've done now for a while.

Aaron
KB8ZVN

234. once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 02:12:55 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HIkx9.274498$121.7769825@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142771

http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/

235. Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 02:15:47 UTC
From: DipoleDude@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9315-3DC5D853-111@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19. But I just can't find where they're talking.

Mike

236. Re: once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 02:28:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fXkx9.271216$Fw2.7904820@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142772

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103212218.251$Bh@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> >> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
> >
> Man,that's killer!!
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.

Reminds you of Aaron and his mission, doesn't it? Kinda like pissing in the
wind! LOL!

237. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 03:01:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_-2dnQDw76IafligXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142774

> >> Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
> > Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
> > 27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
> > did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
> > spectrum?

To avoid all the 900 Mhz and 2.4GHz devices?

238. Re: Re-A99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 03:02:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ft2dnZQ1d8FffligXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142775

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq4iqf$si7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Thanks for all the info, i got it up, using belden coxial cable, a cobra
Ltd
> 29, a texas star v-mod with reciveing amp, im picking up all kind of
stuff,
> but as of yet, havent got anyone to answer, ive tried 1 other amp, the
> midland base station, everything ive got, i just cant seem to talk to
> anyone, im in alabama about 30 miles north of auburn, if anyone is around
> here, id apreciate knowing what time and channel your on, i guess its
either
> no one listening, not enough power or the transmitter side of all my
> equipment is toast,

10 meters is a lot like the 11 meter ham band.
When it's open, 5W will do the job. When it's not, 1kW won't help.

239. Re-A99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 03:32:47 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4iqf$si7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142770

Thanks for all the info, i got it up, using belden coxial cable, a cobra Ltd 29, a texas star v-mod with reciveing amp, im picking up all kind of stuff, but as of yet, havent got anyone to answer, ive tried 1 other amp, the midland base station, everything ive got, i just cant seem to talk to anyone, im in alabama about 30 miles north of auburn, if anyone is around here, id apreciate knowing what time and channel your on, i guess its either no one listening, not enough power or the transmitter side of all my equipment is toast,

again thanks for the info on the A-99

Jason

240. Re: Here's a trick question for ya Skippy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:11:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc5ff5a.3262709@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142776

Hey Skippy, I just looked up the datasheet for the 4CX250B: It's good for 650 watts in AM service (linear AB1), and all the way up to 175 MHz! WOW!!! Now ain't that a whole lot better than a boxfull of sweep tubes? Through Eimac's official distributer (Richardson) they only cost $180, which makes them cheaper than a half-dozen 6LF6's. But if you want one REALLY cheap you can pick up a National for $43 from Bruckner, which is WAY less expensive than six of your $20 sweep tubes. BTW, where CAN you get brand new sweep tubes for $20? I looked under several tube distributors and the prices seem closer to $30 per tube. Do you get them wholesale or something?

241. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:19:14 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC60352.C4B55E02@believeit.com>
Source record: 142777

JJ wrote:
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
> > >
> >
> > It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
> > listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?
>
> I did not know that Jupiter put out a signal around 14 Mhz, but it
> does put out a 21 Mhz signal (15 meter band.)

http://spacescience.com/headlines/y2000/ast22may_1.htm?list

http://www.astro.ufl.edu/~fsgc/jove/observatory.htm

242. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:36:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <heacnVEofMrHmlugXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142778

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103205042.155$qB@newsreader.com...
> >> Lelnad, you are not being real. There is no problem with OE. The
problem
> > lies with your butthead posting friend. Don't go there unless you
want to
> > be deemed an idiot also.
> >
> I had to beg Lee to turn on his word wrap.

Mopar, the word wrap wasn't what I changed believe it or not. It was
some idiot deal with the encoding used for posting messages. So I
changed it to something else.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

243. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:38:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ybKcnb2eKoVDmlugXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142779

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sKjx9.271012$Fw2.7888158@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Lelnad, you are not being real. There is no problem with OE.

I have to grant him it does have its limitations.

> The problem
> lies with your butthead posting friend. Don't go there unless you
want to be
> deemed an idiot also.

Ah, some people can't figure out what the key labeled "Delete" does
after all these years. Oh well.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

244. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:48:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <luidnUHvGaS3l1ugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142780

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com...
> sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i
use.

Yeah, right, and that's coming from a priate CBer who doesn't respect
radio rules. And you honestly expect people are going to believe you?
Not in this life time Tim. I'm not the only one on this news group, or
off, that thinks the same way. It was too easy for you to hang up
another dipole cut for the Ham band(s) of your choice, spin the knob
on that modified FT-840 you had, and play Ham Radio operator with a
stolen callsign.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

245. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:52:21 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pWnx9.1239$Wi.34280@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 142781

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:wLzu9.2318$wZ2.1306@news.bellsouth.net...
> Who said ANYTHING about taking away a driver's privilege to use a radio?
I
> believe the
> illegal intrusion onto licensed spectrum is the topic here.

I was commenting on the suggestion that CB privileges while driving a
commercial truck be taken away.

>Drivers have the legal privilege of using
> 26.965-27.405. If they would do so, then you wouldn't be reading this
> thread. But, regardless of
> what those claim about the "lack" of such intrusions (and we know that
view
> is due to their vested
> interest in keeping the status quo), truck drivers are roaming all over
the
> upper HF ham bands, particularly 10 Meters. This has GOT to stop.

I agree 100%

>Truck stops and shops are selling "bootleg" 10
> Meter radios, such as Galaxy and Connex,

Got to be the ugliest, unessacarly large radios on earth. While most
electronics are downsizing and increasing *useful* features CB radios are
doing the opposite. Does any one like the look of a "Big Chrome" radio on
this NG? I can't say I do.

>that are not even approved for sale in the USA--hop-
> ing to use their alleged status as "10 meter" radios to get around the
bans
> on their importation.
> IF those truckers would use their Galaxys and Connexes on the regular 40,
I
> don't think anyone
> would notice. Not even FCC would fuss as long as they didn't draw
attention
> to themselves in some way. But NOOOOOOOOO! They have to roam all over
the
> spectrum interfering with
> Amateurs, and THAT is what is the matter. By encroaching onto frequencies
> allocated for others,
> they have drawn attention to themselves, and THAT is what will cause other
> laws, regulations, and

> stricter enforcement to fall upon CBers. The wheel that squeaks loudest
is
> the one that gets oiled,
> and this wheel IS going to get attention.

That's a good thing

> America works when its citizens respect the FEWER laws already in
existance.
> But when a segment of the population decides that they are going to ignore
> the rule of law to the detriment of
> another group of citizens who ARE abiding by the law, then that is when
more
> laws get passed.

Jerry, that is VERY faulty logic. Fully automatic weapons in the hands of civilian citizens in California is illegal. Low and behold we need duplicate laws to cover the same crap. We need to throw in restrictions on clip size. While we're at it why don't we ban "Assault Rifles". Hmmmmmm....funny thing....some of those rifles on that list were in NO WAY assault rifles. Guess if it looks like an assault rifle it must be one. (Kindda like...if it sounds like a trucker it must be a trucker?)

I know this is not related to the topic of radio but it illustrates one place where the laws are continually broken and new laws to cover the same crap that the original laws covered has not and will not solve the problem.

> The truckers are bringing this upon themselves and will, unfortunately,
> likely draw attention to, say,
> freebanders who are NOT encroaching onto the ham bands. The simple truth
> is, the people who
> are adversely being affected by this illegal activity are NOT going to
stand
> for it, and something
> WILL be done to counter it. The question still is, what.
>
> J

246. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 05:55:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <nimdndF5L5BEllugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142782

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103132434.21048.00002342@mb-fx.aol.com...
> leland, why do you always claim i bootleg on the ham bands?

Why are you so sure I'm playing feebander?

> do you just wish i did?

Why don't you just fess up and admit that you do, or did?

>you always mention it yet it couldnt be further from the truth. i try
to
> stay away from the ham bands because there populated with people
like yourself.
> now i ask again why do you make this claim?

Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim? What comes around goes
around. In your case being a self admitted CB pirate, and freebander,
operating on the Ham bands using a modified Ham rig you had is just a
logical extension of your other sins.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

247. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:20:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <6PqcnVwssPwGjFugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142783

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211010528.1e3d32dd@posting.google.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210312005.7c6a7254@posting.google.com>...
> Once again Aanal Aaron does his famous patented "chicken side-step"
> when asked a question. Har de har.
>
> So from your statement above, you agree that YOU have NO "right to
get
> the word out re 10 meter intrusion" since you have no license to
> operate there, yes?

You'll have to do better Richard. The logic you used above is
something I would expect from a 10 year old. And just to show how
silly the logic is I'll use my favorite counter example - abortion.

Since your not a female, I assume, so you can't get pregnant thus you
have no business telling a female what she can and can't do with her
body. But I'll but you do anyway, either every time you vote in an
election, send money to some cause, and what you confess to in church
etc.

Just because somebody does not currently use something does not
automatically imply they are ignorant of the subject. Many people
don't own or use hand guns but they can just as well have a very
informed opinion about them, and have every right to exercise that
right.

And getting back to my counter example why don't you tell the men out
in front of the abortion clinics, carrying the protest signs, they
aren't qualified to have an opinion because they can't get pregnant,
and see how long you last. You'll get an education you will not soon
forget I'll bet.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

248. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:20:30 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <uX2dnXjynfYyjFugXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103215402.055$xa@newsreader.com...
> >
> I thought webtv couldn't cross post?

Another twisty misconception?

249. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:23:04 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <9_2cnVppPqvUj1ugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142785

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103205602.128$2L@newsreader.com...
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Not I don't talk on CB much kinda dead actually but channel 30 is the
> > local channel in these parts.
> >
> Huh?

What part don't you understand? The I don't talk on CB much, or that channel
30 is the local channel in my area or that it is kinda dead on the CB round
these parts.

250. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:24:34 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC612A2.AE9C9D1D@believeit.com>
Source record: 142786

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com...
> > sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i
> use.
>
> Yeah, right, and that's coming from a priate CBer who doesn't respect
> radio rules. And you honestly expect people are going to believe you?
> Not in this life time Tim. I'm not the only one on this news group, or
> off, that thinks the same way. It was too easy for you to hang up
> another dipole cut for the Ham band(s) of your choice, spin the knob
> on that modified FT-840 you had, and play Ham Radio operator with a
> stolen callsign.

So the whole time I was using a 2950 in my car, I was using a fake
call on 10 meters?

BTW, hearing your call on the freeband does not imply you were using it there.

251. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:27:01 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <9NqcncU1IraojlugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142787

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021104004357.836$rs@newsreader.com...
> Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote:
> (snip)
>
> Another comcast.

waaaaa waaaaa

252. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:27:27 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6134F.3A99C5DE@believeit.com>
Source record: 142788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Richard Cranus wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021103215402.055$xa@newsreader.com...
> > >
> > I thought webtv couldn't cross post?
>
> Another twisty misconception?

You love him and want to be just like him.
He's your elder and your elmer.

253. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:41:28 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <g4ScncpcfMkFi1ugXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142789

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

: Maybe it's .."the i don't talk CB much" And if you don't,how do you know
: what the local channel is?

Ever heard of sandbagging you fool. LOL Jesus god Moped your not real bright
are you or were you just stoned?

254. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:43:02 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Ul6dnYXSEp9ri1ugXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142790

"Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DC612A2.AE9C9D1D@believeit.com...
:
: So the whole time I was using a 2950 in my car, I was using a fake
: call on 10 meters?
:
: BTW, hearing your call on the freeband does not imply you were using it
there.

Yes thats right Scott

255. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 06:43:29 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <5NicnXlv0o2OilugXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DC6134F.3A99C5DE@believeit.com...
: > Another twisty misconception?
:
: You love him and want to be just like him.
: He's your elder and your elmer.

Hi Scott

256. Re: Re-A99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 07:10:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DC61D5E.C846A2E2@cwis.net>
Source record: 142792

Jason,
You didn't say whether you've ever used an SWR/watt
meter with your equipment. If you haven't, then I think
you should, to see if it's working as it's supposed to.
If things are working as they should, I can't believe
someone doesn't answer you...
'Doc

257. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 07:24:18 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC620A2.ADAF0933@believeit.com>
Source record: 142793

Richard Cranus wrote:
>
> "Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC6134F.3A99C5DE@believeit.com...
> : > Another twisty misconception?
> :
> : You love him and want to be just like him.
> : He's your elder and your elmer.
>
> Hi Scott

Scott? I'm George.

258. Once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 08:16:30 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211040016.5f08103@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142794

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103212218.251$Bh@newsreader.com...
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > >> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
> > >
> > Man,that's killer!!
> >

I laughed my ass off at it, it actually is pretty cool. If I had a
flash authoring program I'd do one like it.

-A

259. ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 09:05:25 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104040525.25874.00003729@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 142795

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1316 – November 1, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1316 with a release date of Friday, November 1st, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

A child is saved thanks to C-B radio in Australia and Ireland opts to restructure with slow-code. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1316 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: CB HELPS SAVE HAM RADIO OPERATORS DAUGHTER’S LIFE

The daughter of a ham radio operator is alive after he to turns to C-B radio for help. It happened down-under in Australia as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B:

--
Recently on holiday at Finley, S. NSW, Eric VK2TRD's daughter Tegan, 12, had a very narrow escape, after she was bitten twice by a Tiger Snake! After emergency treatment at Finley Hospital she was transferred by Ambulance to Shepparton, Vic.

Travelling to Shepparton Eric called five times on the 2m repeater seeking guidance to the Hospital, no response. Disgusted, he called on the UHF CB repeater and got instant help.

Over 6 days there, nothing was heard on the repeater but the ident., and that was when Eric fired it up. On 2m simplex, only 2 farmers using it as an intercom, no c/s's.

Tegan, that night suffered cardiac respiratory arrest and was in intensive care for two days. Now at home she is doing OK. A traumatic time for all.

For the Amateur Radio Nrewsline, I’M graham Kemp, VK4BB of Q-News Australia.
--

Once the ordeal was over, VK2TRD paused to thank all who helped in his daughters rescue. This included the Shepparton CB’ers for their immediate response.

**

RESTRUCTURING: IARU REGION I MEETS NOV 10

The International Amateur Radio Union Region 1 Conference is slated for the tiny nation of San Marino beginning on November 10th. And according to the W5YI Report's Fred Maia, it could be a rather hot meeting. The reason -- two words: Morse code.

Writing in the November 1st issue of his newsletter, Maia says that the subject of Morse proficiency testing among those serving on the High Frequency Committee is shaping up to be controversial. On one side are two giants. Germany's Deutscher Amateur Radio Club and the Union of Russian Radio Amateurs are two national societies who are already on record as opposing dropping Morse testing as an international requirement.

Leading the charge to eliminate manual telegraphy tests is the Radio Society of Great Britain. It says that Region 1 if the International Amateur Radio Union should formally endorse the October 2001 resolution of the IARU Administrative Council in respect to abolishing mandatory Morse exams.

Both the Deutscher Amateur Radio Club and the RSGB have submitted well crafted conference documents stating their respective positions. How this will all work out will be known at the close of the conference on November 15th.

**

RESTRUCTURING: IRELAND ADOPTS 5 WPM

Meantime, restructuring and slow code have come to Ireland. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the details:

--
According to Echo Ireland, that's the journal of the Irish Radio Transmitters Society, a set of new amateur radio regulations were signed into law by the Regulator in the Republic of Ireland on September 11th. The newws is just getting out and the main points of the new regulations governing ham radio are as follows.

First, the Morse code test speed has been reduced to 5 words per minute to bring it in line with whats become the defacto world wide standard. More important, that there is no longer any age restriction on a persoin getting an Irish ham radio license. This means that anyone -- any age in Ireland can brccome a ham. And up until now, there had been a probationary period of a year before a Full Licence could be issued. Now, thats gone too.

On the technical side, the maximum power permitted on each band is now listed in decibles per watt R-M-S at the output of the transmitter. This is good news because it effectively allows a power increase for Irish amateurs. The old regulations specified that limits were regarded as input power rather than measured at the R-F output jack.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.
--

We will have more news from around the world later on in this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report.

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Amador County Amateur Radio Club Net serving Pine Grove California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: YOU CANT USE THOSE RADIOS ON FIRE CHANNELS

Back on this side of the Atlantic, the FCC has told a fire department to stop using illegally modified ham radio gear. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: "The Enforcement Bureau has notified the Bon Secour Fire and Rescue Department in Alabama that the Amateur equipment they were using was contrary to Commission rules because it had been modified to operate on Public Safety frequencies. The letter referred to several Icom IC-V8 handie talkies."
--

The note went to Karl Bingert who is the Chief Bon Secour Fire & Rescue Department. It told him that the use of Amateur Radio units of any kind, modified to operate on its frequencies, is against the Commission's rules and would result in enforcement action by the FCC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC BACKS REPEATER OWNERS BAN OF UNWANTED USER

The FCC is once again backing up a repeater operator who wants to ban a particular user from the system. Its all taking place in Ohio. Again, the FCC's Daryl Duckworth tells us about the situation and the agency's position in favor of the people running the machine:

--
Duckworth: "The Enforcement Bureau sent a Warning Notice to Billy R. Marshall, N8ORF, warning him to stay off of the W8HFF repeater system in the Toledo Ohio area. The Toledo Mobile Radio Association had issued two letters to Marshall requesting him to stay off the repeater due to his failure to follow operational rules.

The Enforcement Bureau reminded Marshall that control operators may take whatever steps are necessary to insure complience with the rules and that they will be backed up by the Commission"
--

Marshall was given 20 days from the date of receipt of the FCC letter to reply and outline what steps he has taken to comply. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PHONE COMPANIES FINED

Two companies have learned the expensive way that it does not pay to try to grab another telephone company’s customers. This, as the FCC issues two Notices of Apparent Liability proposing a total of $6,560,000 in fines against two operator service providers for taking advantage of consumers.

The companies named are Opticom Corporation and ASC. The FCC says that the massive fines are based on Opticom apparent sixty-four violations, and another eighteen apparent by ASC. The term apparent is the FCC’s way of alleging a violation has taken place. The Commission says that it calculated the proposed fines by using the $80,000 per violation amount previously used in cases involving egregious slamming. Take your browser over to http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-226534A1.doc to learn more. (FCC)

**

RESCUE RADIO: EMCOM SUSPENDS NATIONAL EMERGENCY ALERT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM

EMCOM -- the emergency alerting system has suspended its the National Emergency Alert Notification System operations effective October 25th. Our producer, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the nitty-gritty:

--
In making the announcement, EMCOM officials said that what they term as a stand-down also affects the Emergency Information Web Sites maintained by EMCOM for every state, county and municipality in the nation. Also impacted are over 1 million other web pages that reportedly utilized the automatically updated EMCOM Homeland Security Status Bar or the PubAlert notification bulletin pop-up system.

By way of background, for the first six months after the 9/11 tragedy, EMCOM offered to provide access to full use of the system to any community's emergency agencies for $500 per month. During that period there were no takers, even though EMCOM had notified almost every senator, representative, and agency in the nations capital.

So the bottom line is this: The EMCOM alert system is dead for now but hopefully not gone forever.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.
--

In making the announcement, EMCOM took the opportunity to express its gratitude to the hams, ARES and RACES operators who helped to get the system off the ground.

More about EMCOM is on the web at www.comdinet.com/emcom (EMCOM release)

**

RESCUE RADIO: SKYWARN RECOGNITION DAY

On a more positive note, Larry Boyd, KB4GFT says in an Internet posting that The 2002 SKYWARN Recognition Day will occur on Saturday December 7th. It will begin at 00:00 UTC and run for 24 hours.

SKYWARN Recognition Day was created in 1999 by the National Weather Service and the American Radio Relay League. It honors the contributions that volunteer SKYWARN radio operators make to the National Weather Service.

Last year 80 National Weather Service offices participated. The list of this years participants and other information is on the web at hamradio.noaa.gov (KB4GFT)

**

RADIO RULES: RULES REWRITE ON MEDIA CONSOLIDATION

The Federal Communications Commission has embarked on a major rewrite of rules that limit the consolidation of media companies. The overhaul, which FCC officials first announced in June, is likely to conclude by the middle of next year when the agency issues final rules.

Many observers expect the agency to relax the current media ownership restrictions, although FCC officials stressed during a recent meeting that they had not reached any conclusions. The FCC is seeking comment on whether it should keep or change six specific media consolidation rules, including bans on common ownership of a newspaper and television station or television and radio stations in the same media market, as well as a limit on the percentage of the national audience that any station may reach.

Many of the rules were written decades ago before cable and satellite-based television and the Internet. The proceeding is being conducted under a statute that requires the FCC to periodically review its rules to determine whether they remain in the public interest. (FCC)

**

COMMUNICATIONS LAW: JUDGE THROWS OUT PAY AS YOU CLICK CASE

Its a big court win for all of us. This as a federal court says no to a law suit that could have cost all of us a lot of dollars as we clicked our way across the World Wide Web. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details:

--

U.S. District Judge Colleen McMahon has rejected a claim by the B-T Group that it owns the patent on those single-click hyperlinks that make the Web what it is. It’s lawsuit accused Internet service provider Prodigy Communications Corp., of infringing on the B-T patent.

But Judge McMahon rejected the claim that each Web server on the Internet is a central computer and thus the Internet falls within the patent's scope. Her decision, filed in a White Plains, New York Federal Court concluded that no jury could find that Prodigy infringes on the B-T patent.

The B-T suit had been viewed as a test case that could have opened the door for the company to challenge other Internet service providers and demand licensing fees. These fees could have added a lot to the annual cost of anyone surfing the net.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

With the potential of billions of dollars at stake, its not known if B-T Group will appeal the judges decision or re-file the case. (Published news reports)

**

HAM RADIO TRAINING: AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE GUIDE – THE PROGRAM

Stuart Cole, N5LBZed, tells us by e-mail about a computer program that he has just finished writing. Its called "Amateur Radio License Guide" and is a modern update of the DOS version that he brought out back in 1999.

According to Cole, the program is based on the official ham radio question pool, is divided into three sections and does cover training all classes of license. More information is in cybrspace at http://cableone.net/sacole/ (N5LBZ)

**

THE WORLD ABOVE 50 MHZ: WRITER ASKS YOUR HELP

Gene Zimmerman, W3ZZ, says over the VHF Reflector that his next World Above 50 MHz column in QST Magazine will include a discussion of VHF+ clubs and groups. This refers to weak signal groups that meet regularly, VHF groups that sponsor annual conferences like Central States VHF Society, contest groups and others interested in the non-repeater world of VHF and UHF communications.

Gene says that he has already mined a lot of data from Internet sites but I would like his information to be accurate and up to date. He has identified the PackRats; the Rochester VHF Group, the South East V-H-F Society and about a dozen others as falling into this category. He asks that anyone connected with these groups please contact him by e-mail to confirm the information he already has. He also wants a person or point of contact to send readers to if someone wishes to find out more information about how to particular group. Gene’s e-mail is w3zz@arrl.org. (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: AM MODULATION IMPROVEMENT ANNOUNCED

A new modulation technique for AM broadcasting is being promoted by broadcast industry pioneer Mike Dorrough, KO6NM. Dorrough claims the Advanced Modulation System can increase carrier modulation to 200% while improving the performance, range and sound quality of Amplitude Modulated radio.

According to the CGC Communicator, KO6NM recently discussed the system at an SBE meeting in Sacramento and plans other presentations.

Ross du Clair, WA6VWY is the Chief Engineer for Clear Channel in Sacramento. He attended the Sacramento meeting and is quoted in Radio World On-Line as saying, "This is real and it does work."

As previously reported, Mike Dorrough was the recipient of the National Association of Broadcasters Radio Engineering Achievement Award in 2000. This, for his contributions to audio processing and signal monitoring. Details of the new Advanced Modulation System will appear in an upcoming issue of Radio World magazine. (CGC Communicator)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PERSONAL LOCATORS FOR KIDS

In the wake of multiple child abductions, parents are turning to satellite-based locator bracelets to track their children. The bracelets carry transponders that will permit parents keeps tabs on their kids over via the Internet or by telephone.

Wherify Wireless plans to release its three-ounce G-P-S equipped Personal Locators next month. The device uses satellite and cellular technology and has a built-in numeric pager. It allows the wearer to contact an emergency law enforcement service in worst-case scenarios

Each unit costs 400 dollars and is accompanied by about 30 dollars in service fees. Experts on missing children warn such devices are not foolproof and could give parents a false sense of security. Still many parents are willing to try the locators a try. (Scienceonline)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: SOYUZ FLIES AGAIN

Some good news for the astro-ham team on board the International Space Station. The Soyuz rockets are flying again.

On Wednesday October 30th a manned Soyuz rocket with three cosmonauts on board blasted off from Russia's Baikonur cosmodrome bound for I-S-S. The Soyuz-TMA booster rocket lifted as planned at 03:11 GMT with no reported malfunction on its way to orbit.

As reported last week, the launch of this Soyuz was delayed after the fatal crash of a similar design unmanned cargo rocket October 15th. During this Soyuz 12-day mission, the Russian and Belgian crew will replace a rescue capsule docked at the ISS. (Published reports)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: MARTLESHAM MICROWAVE IN NOVEMBER

Turning to the ham radio conference scene, work that the Martlesham Microwave Round Table 2002 will be held this year at Adastral Park, Martlesham Heath, England. The dates are Saturday the 9th and Sunday the 10th of November.

Highlights include a visit to the Royal Air Force's Defense Radar Museum at R-A-F in Neatishead and an evening dinner in Ipswich. All of this plus the usual flea market, lectures and the UK Microwave Group Annual General Meeting. For ore information e-mail g3xdy@btinternet.com (GB2RS)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: EUROPEAN RADIO SYMPOSIYUM IN BARCELONA

The 2002 European Radio Symposium is slated for November 13th in Barcelona, Spain. Convergence, digitization and electronic audience measurement will be key topics tackled in this symposium. Speakers include David Rogerson of Strategic Media Solutions. (Media Network)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: BIG TURNOUT FOR ARRL/TAPR DCC

According to a recent ARRL Letter over a hundred the worlds most active Amateur Radio digital enthusiasts turned up in Denver, Colorado, September 13th to the 15. This, for the 2002 joint ARRL and TAPR Digital Communications Conference.

This marked the 21st such Digital Communications Conference held. The agenda ranged from Automatic Position Reporting System topics to high-speed digital networking and software-defined radio. All agree it was well worth the trouble of traveling to the mile-high city to attend. (ARRL)

**

DX

In D-X, word that 3D2AG, will be working on Rapa Island through the 28th of November. He plans to operate as FO5RK on CW and SSB. This, during his late afternoon, nighttime and early morning hours. Rapa is part of the Austral Island group. (RSGB)

And SMZ0WKA is in Cuba until the 10th of November and is active as T48W. Look for him on 160 through to 6 meters, with an emphasis on the lower bands. QSL this one as directed on the air. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: W6XYZ/KTLA AT 60

And finally this week, one of the nations pioneering television stations is in the midst of celebrating its 60th anniversary. That station is KTLA television in Los Angeles. And almost from its inception, ham radio has played a role in its success. Roy Neal, K6DUE, takes a look at KTLA and some of the hams who helped it to make history:

--
DATELINE "HOLLYWOOD." ON A HIGH AND WINDY HILL, OVERLOOKING THE FAMOUS DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES, IN GIANT BLOCK LETTERS, THERES A SIGN THAT READS HOLLYWOOD. AT THE TOP OF THE HILL, THERE'S ALSO A RADIO TOWER WITH A HISTORY. THAT'S THE SITE OF THE FIRST TELEVISION STATION WEST OF THE

MISSISSIPPI.

IT WENT ON THE AIR IN SEPTEMBER OF 1942 AS W6XYZ, AN XPERIMENTAL TELEVISION STATION WITH STUDIOS ON THE PARAMOUNT FILM LOT. IN OCTOBER OF 1942. THE STATION EVEN BROADCAST INSIDE A MOTION PICTURE STUDIO, FEATURING THE MOVIE "THIS GUN FOR HIRE."

BY 1946, W6XYZ WAS GONE, REPLACED BY THE CALL KTLA. THE GUIDING GENIUS BEHIND THE STATION WAS KLAUS LANDSBERG, WHO CAME TO THE UNITED STATES AFTER ESCAPING FROM NAZI GERMANY. IN THE LANDSBERG BOOK, NOTHING WAS IMPOSSIBLE. SO KTLA RACKED UP AN IMPRESSIVE LIST OF FIRSTS WHILE PIONEERING TELEVISION.

ON THE FIRST OF JANUARY, 1946, THEY BROADCAST THE TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PARADE…LIVE. IN 1955, KTLA BECAME THE FIRST LOS ANGELES STATION TO BROADCAST THE PARADE IN COLOR.

IN MAY OF 1952, LANDSBERG DROPPED RELAY STATIONS ON MOUNTAIN TOPS TO BEAM AN ATOMIC BOMB TEST FROM NEVADA TO LOS ANGELES. HE CALLED IT OPERATION BIG SHOT.

AND IN MAY OF 1958, BUYING EQUIPMENT FROM NBC, KTLA WENT ON THE AIR WITH ITS TELECOPTER…A HELICOPTER EQUIPPED TO COVER LOS ANGELES FROM THE AIR!

ONE OF THE MOST POPULAR SHOWS LANDSBERG PRODUCED WAS LAWRENCE WELK, A PROGRAM THAT STILL EXISTS IN SYNDICATION.

MANY OF THE STATION'S ENGINEERING STAFF WERE RADIO AMATEURS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE INVENTOR OF THE HAM RADIO REPEATER, THE LATE ART GENTRY, W6MEP. THIS REPORTER REMEMBERS MANY FUN TIMES WHILE TALKING WITH THE AVUNCULAR MR. GENTRY FROM THE REPEATER SITE ONCE OCCUPIED BY W6XYZ.

IN 1964, FILM AND TV STAR GENE AUTRY BOUGHT THE STATION AND AMONG THE ENGINEERS HE BROUGHT IN WAS LOYD SIGMON, W6LQ. "SIG" IS PROBABLY BEST KNOWN AS THE FATHER OF THE SIGALERT TRAFFIC MONITORING AND PUBLIC ADVISORY SYSTEM.

W6LQ AND W6MEP WERE TWO OF THE BETTER KNOWN HAMS WHO HELPED KTLA AS THE STATION PIONEERED EARLY DAY TELEVISION UNDER THE GUIDING AEGIS OF KLAUS LANDSBERG.

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE, ROY NEAL, K6DUE
--

KTLA is owned these days by Tribune Broadcasting and is part of the Warner Brothers -- or W-B Television Network. More important, its history is one rich in break-through technology and innovation. Its a pioneering station that made television happen. You can read more about it in cyberspace at the website you will find in this weeks amateur Radio Newsline report.

More on the fascinating history of W6ZYZ and KTLA is in cyberspace at http://ktla.trb.com/about/station/ktla-about-history1.htmlstory (Tnx K6DUE, CGC Communicator, others)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

260. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya frankie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 10:16:38 UTC
From: piper <yetifrankieiswhackedthistime@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DC64906.3B800E98@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142796

> "yeti@cet.com" wrote: a lot of song and dance
>
> In <3DC4BDA6.90CA3B8D@yahoo.com>, skipp
> <frankiedoesthesonganddance@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> haven't described your "classic" sweep tube amplifier circuit!
> > >
> > >Sure I have... I mentioned more than once that the Palomar 300 40-10
> > >meter
> > >amplifier has a practical tube pin bias, control, and drive layout that
> > >looks pretty good for a sweep tube amplifier. (the 2 driving 4 model)
> >
> > Ok, I looked it up:
> >
Notice above where I mentioned tube pin bias, control and drive
layout...
just making sure you keep that in mind... Oh yes, we are now talking
the palomar 300 as mentioned above...

Onward...

> It has several of the drawbacks I have already mentioned, and a couple
> more. For starters, it has the same problems as the KLV: no voltage
> equalization network on the power supply rectifiers, and no regulation
> on the grid bias supplies. Now let's check out the signal path:

Drawbacks..?
Please tell us why you feel the power supply rectifiers require any
equalization networks?

It's dated technology for vintage rectifiers... If your head wasn't up
your pazoo so far, you might notice many or most of current amateur
amplifier
mfgrs don't use them. Your theory is out of date by a decade or two.

Grid supplies in this type of biased g-grid rf amplifier do not have to
be regulated; although
some of the best results can be had with regulation, the bias voltage
can just be reasonably stiff.
Easily met with the high current voltage divider shown in the circuit.
It's hard to beat this
circuit, it has a relative measure of high source current capacity, low
supply impedance and very few parts.
Have a look at the Galaxy amplifier circuit on the sonic server, notice
how the bias

voltage is dynamic, controlled by the linearity detector... Similar linearity detector circuits
are found in Collins HF amplifiers.

Did you bother to run the actual numbers on bias supply? The supply circuit works pretty darn well
and is able to source more than enough current. The bias remains more than adequately stable
at full input operation. The circuit is very practical and active voltage regulation is not
required here.

> At the input, the exciter SWR is controlled with nothing more than a
> variable capacitor shunt. Pretty cheap way to drop the signal
> impedance for the first amplifier stage, especially since it isn't a
> tuned circuit (which it should be). But after the first stage is
> another one of the same problems that I mentioned before -- the need
> for tuned interstage coupling. And the very low input impedance of the
> second stage mandates a large tuning cap.

You are a piece of technical work frankie... that exciter input is no shunt, it's a pi input circuit... one of the most practical ways to drive some cathode driven amplifiers. Issues of impedance matching and distortion are easily cured using pi input circuits.

Tuned inter stage coupling is a problem frankie..? What planet are you from..?

Just a quick side bar if you'll allow it for the readers... This amplifier
works pretty well for what it is, but I like to use it to illustrate the tube
base pin connection and general circuit layout. If one were to build new, or
retrofit/rebuild a vintage sweep tube amplifiers, consider the circuit for
the above mentioned and avoid the multi stage design part... The quartet stage of this amplifier circuit is worth cloning (pretty close to as-built)
into some of the more poorly designed sweep tube amplifiers made in the past and sold on the Amateur Market. Just add tuned input to the quartet and some other current technology and life gets peachy...

OK, back after that commercial message...

Frankie...!
That large tuning cap your so worried about... got an idea of how large it
is..? Would you be upset if I told you it wasn't really that large... Now,
lets get away from the multistage part of the palomar and work with the 4 tube section of the circuit.

> Notice that the grid is biased for AB2, meaning you are going to get
> grid-current distortion when overdriven. I like this line from that
> website:

Please tell us how you know it's biased for AB2 frankie..? What change would
most practical to run the same tubes AB1..? Got a clue..? I promise it's
not a trick question.

You don't seem to explain why or how the distortion is generated, nor do you bother to explain that a proper tuned input circuit (such as the pi layout shown in the first stage) has a flywheel effect which pretty much takes care of any issues there.

> "For AM use, the amplifier must be overcoupled; this is accomplished
> by taking the load control and turning clockwise 1/4 inch. If this is
> not done, the transmitted audio will sound "fuzzy" or distorted."

Over coupling improves linearity in a lot of AB2 amplifiers without being
over driven... You think Palomar was the only one to suggest it..? In the
actual circuit, the over coupling is not required, just not a bad idea for am
operation. The best distortion prevention is to avoid over driving
any AB1 or AB2 amplifier.

> Makes one wonder what the spectrum looks like... yeesh!

A rebuilt Dentron GLA-1000b with the improvements I mention on the
sonic server, Not bad actually...

actually I thought it was more like "Yikes..!"

> Now let's look at the tube choice. The output power of the Palomar
> 300A is around 300 to 400 watts, and input power is 1 to 10 watts.

Best max input in high power mode is about 4 watts am carrier, output about 300 plus
watts. Low power operation, best driven with about 35 to 45 watts from a tube
final amateur transceiver. Other drive options are possible.

As described just above...
High power operation, better than +18 dB gain using the amplifier.
Low power operation, almost +9 dB gain .... " " " .

Now consider taking the quartet section of that amplifier and kicking it up
a notch in a well done circuit and you've got an easy +10 dB for not much
money and a circuit pretty easy to build. Your elevator has now arrived
at the Dentron and Amp Supply floor... watch your feet.

One could also do six or more tubes in parallel.... There is a Galaxy 12
sweep tube Amateur amplifier article on the sonic web server. I would
say
the most practical sweep tube amplifier building break point is a
circuit with
4 or 6 parallel tubes.

> There are six tubes, each with a 6.3 volt, 2.0 amp filament, for a
> total of 75.6 watts. The plate dissipation for each is 40 watts, or
> 240 watts in total.

In non speech compressed SSB service, that 240 watts plate dissipation
goes up
to 1kw input.

> Just for comparison, lets look at the 4CX250, which has a plate
> dissipation of 250 watts (hence it's numerical designation). The
> filament power is 15.6 watts (6 volts @ 2.6 amps in one of it's
> incarnations). That's only one FIFTH the power required by the sweep
> tube amp, because you only need a SINGLE TUBE to get 300 watts output.

The 4cx250b is a nice tube, I've also built many amplifiers using
them... but
you miss the boat again... They require costly sockets, specialized
airflow
management, considerably more parasitic prevention, a chimney and the
issues
of a much higher voltage anode supply (about to be really efficient. No
where near the practical simplicity of a sweep tube amplifier. Oh yes,
most of you would probably have to neutralize an AB1 circuit to keep it
tame. Otherwise you've got a nice power oscillator somewhere on the
band.

Going all the way back to where this all started, sweep tubes easily
meet
the requirements for a modest power level low cost amplifier that is
relatively
simple to construct.

> And that's from 500 watts of plate input power, which isn't bad
> efficiency at all, especially considering it's running Class =AB1= in

Yep, if you also want to design and build a regulated screen supply and
purchase the required hardware I mention above. The skill level to
properly build a
4cx250b amplifier is probably at least +6dB or more vs the requirements
of
building a quartet sweep tube layout.

> common-cathode configuration. And THAT means it has no grid-current
> distortion, no drive power requirement, and you don't have to
> "overcouple" the load for AM operation! Also, there is no interstage
> coupling, no tuned input, and only a small trimmer for neutralization
> that only needs to be set once (a fixed cap could easily be

Your heading in the direction that I said the two stage design is the best layout... well park it right there with a big No Way Bucky. Two stage
layouts are not for most people. But I do like the 4 and 6 parallel tube sweep amplifiers. Remember, I clearly mentioned that I like to reference the 300a for it's base pin connections and basic circuit layout.
A layout like the Dentron GLA-1000 update on the sonic server page doesn't have inter stage coupling and doesn't require neutralization; two stage hardware is just to much for most people to deal with.

A typical 100 watt amateur radio drives the grounded grid AB2 circuit quite well, unless you want to waste a batch of drive power into an AB1 circuit
swamping resistor... now that's not so great. Earlier amateur radios circa kenwood ts-430 era don't have true power control, just a mic gain. It's really, really, really easy to over drive an AB1 amplifier when you don't have true power control on your exciter. That overdrive appears as spectral potty...

> substituted). It also has fewer controls that need to be adjusted
> every time you retune the amp. And here's the kicker for ya, Skippy:

Same tune and load issues I would deal with when operating a 4/6 parallel sweep tube amplifier. The Dentron and Amp Supply grounded grid circuits on the sonic server have "set once and forget" tuned input.

> The tube is designed to be linear for both AF -and- RF; which, when
> combined with AB1 operation, means that the harmonic content is
> significantly less than that of a sweep tube amp!

Harmonic content is something you just love to say... but it's not an issue with most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers. That is why we see so many grounded grid amateur amplifiers made through the years... Pi and Pi-L tank circuits of proper Q deal with harmonics quite well; well enough to have passed the FCC requirements.

AB2 grounded grid amplifiers are mucho easier to build... Imagine a company like Eimac even designed tubes for AB2 grounded grid amps and called them zero bias triodes... hey, there's that perky 3-500z..! Tell the AB1 stuff to people who care about it.

> Now if THAT ain't enough, let's compare the cost: You have six sweep
> tubes costing $40 each; but just for the sake of argument let's say
> you converted to EL509's and use YOUR figure of $20/tube, for a total
> of $120. Or, you can get a brand new Eimac 4CX250 for $90 (Bruckner).

.... your magic 4cx250b
Add the required proper socket, chimney and blower (every application) and high voltage supply extras... the 4cx250b hardware ain't cheap... you seemed to have left those numbers out of your quote... Plus the 4cx250b socket has serious mounting considerations... so does all the associated hardware for that matter.

> Now are you going to complain about the cost of the socket and
> chimney? Because that cost is quickly offset in the sweep tube amp by
> the six sockets, plate clips, and associated hardware; the larger

EL-509/519 sockets cost me less than $2 each, .32 cent fuse clips make
great sweep tube plate clips and what extra special hardware do I need
to add...?

> power supply; the large interstage coupling capacitor; the grid bias
> regulator that should be there but isn't; and a LOT more space (a much
> larger cabinet)!

Larger power supply... do you know the size of that palomar amplifier
supply...? Do you know the size of Dentron GLA-1000 or Amp Supply
LA-1000 power supply...? Maybe you need to do a reality check.

Get off the inter stage cap crap... if one were trying to build
a multi stage amplifier, the caps are the size of a very very thick
quarter and can be bought off ebay for less than a dollar each. Same
European seller often has other amplifier parts at great prices.

The control grid bias supply in the palomar unit works well and can't
be much more simple. I've measured it in operation and it's just fine.

By the way, I have a very well designed, compact single tube 4cx250b AB1
amplifier and it's about 20% larger than the Dentron GLA-1000 and it's
cousin Amp supply unit. With +2.2kv on the anode of the 4cx250b safety
dictates a lot more spacing in and around the high voltage circuits.

> So which is the better deal, Skippy? (You accuse ME of doing a song
> and dance, but I can't WAIT until you sing and dance around the facts
> on THIS one!)

Facts are frankie... sweep tubes remain simple enough to build into nice
working, modest power, rf amplifiers and as of late, very cheap to
buy...
The deal is about $20 per tube. Grounded Grid AB2 circuits a lot more
practical for most builders.

Life goes on...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

261. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 10:44:40 UTC
From: piper <yetifrankieiswhackedthistime@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DC64F98.19B7D6B3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142797

Hey Frankie...!

"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>
> Hey Skippy, I just looked up the datasheet for the 4CX250B: It's good
> for 650 watts in AM service (linear AB1), and all the way up to 175

You like your books, don't cha' frankie.... somehow you left out if
that's input power maybe your'a quoting there and who's data sheet
you looked up. You know Svetlana has a way of fudging up some data
sheets
a bit.

A single 4cx250b in linear service delivers about 325 watts carrier,
AB1 with about 5 watts of drive. It requires neutralization, regulated
screen
voltage and much higher anode supply potentials and all the required
extra
hardware which runs into some nice pocket change. I've built more than
my share of amplifires using the tube...

The 4cx250 tube also has much more serious potential vhf parasitic
problems.
Building rf amp with it is not for first time builders.

> MHz! WOW!!! Now ain't that a whole lot better than a boxfull of sweep
> tubes?

For what... low cost, simple to build rf amplifiers... probably not. At
least maybe not as much fun for ones first amplifier project.

> Through Eimac's official distributer (Richardson) they only
> cost $180, which makes them cheaper than a half-dozen 6LF6's. But if

I don't deal with Richardsons, they have a history of price fixing
and bad business practices. Convicted of something along those lines
some
years back. I don't buy 6LF6's new anymore... I can and do buy
current production Russian label EL-519 equivalents which are actually
better than the Svetlana brand.

> you want one REALLY cheap you can pick up a National for $43 from
> Bruckner, which is WAY less expensive than six of your $20 sweep
> tubes. BTW, where CAN you get brand new sweep tubes for $20? I looked
> under several tube distributors and the prices seem closer to $30 per
> tube. Do you get them wholesale or something?

Your National tubes are probably chinese made, which I really don' like all that much. Past quality control issues turn me off big time.

I get brand new sweep tubes direct from Eastern Europe... you buy retail or
from tube distributors. I buy direct and get the best prices. Wanna build a sweep tube Amateur Amplifier..? You can do it AB1 or AB2... I don't mind...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

262. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:03:23 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Eg-dndwfy9aG7VugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142798

"Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message

: Scott? I'm George.

Oh yeah me too.

263. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:05:14 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6708A.E8DB16C6@believeit.com>
Source record: 142799

> > > Hi Scott
> >
> >> Scott? I'm George.
> >
> I'm Henry. My hound dogs name too.

Howdy, Henry. Nice to meet you.

264. Re: Once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:06:30 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC670D6.E37EFE9C@believeit.com>
Source record: 142800

```
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021103212218.251$Bh@newsreader.com...
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > > >> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
> > > >
> > > Man,that's killer!!
> > >
>
> I laughed my ass off at it, it actually is pretty cool. If I had a
> flash authoring program I'd do one like it.
>
> -A

Why not? You have enough time to do it.
```

265. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:14:51 UTC
From: foxyie@inwind.it
Message-ID: <fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 142801
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is plain stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up on rumors....

"Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
>
> --
> http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
> of
> > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > transceivers operating out of band.
> >
> > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
> type
> > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
> the
> > receiver.
> >
> > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
devices
> > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> >
> > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> indicates
> > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> problem
> > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> >
> >
>
>
>

266. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:26:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22130-3DC6758D-2@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142803

WA3MOJ asked:
>What else could they have been aliens?<

And was responded with:
"It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?" by Richard Cranium.

I thought that was 12 or 15 meter? (shrug)
Don't forget the static Aurora Borealis generates. Not as far as
Jupiter, but just as alive.....

267. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:29:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC6762F-165@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142802

I said "just about any". You and Twisted seem to suffer from selective
reading.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Wear this on my T-shirt:
I Love Twisted
==========
Hello, Lelnad.
Haven't seen you in several days and you appear under your own name only
to pay homage to me? I'm touched. Bless you, my child.

268. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:47:16 UTC
From: the el-cheapo ham radio op <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dc67afe@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 142804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

The only people who worry about CB'ers with amps
are self-righteous ham radio operators who think that
their pathetic hobby is the centre of the universe and
they have some kind of a duty to catch "radio criminals".
(and yet if you goto a hamfest or e-bay lookin for an
amp, 9 times out of 10 there's a ham op behind the
table selling it who will gladly look the other way for a
wad of cash and a thank you. Bada-Bing! (just tellin
the truth and they can't stand it)

<foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
plain
> stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up on
> rumors....
>
>
> "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> >
> > --
> > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
spread
> > of
> > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > >
> > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
> > type
> > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end
of
> > the
> > > receiver.
> > >
> > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> devices

> > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > >
> > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
amplifiers
> > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > indicates
> > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
perform
> > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > problem
> > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

269. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:49:50 UTC
From: the el-cheapo ham radio op <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dc67b01@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 142805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

many of them are now using FRS radios or in
some cases ham radios that have been modified
for out of band transmit. (easy to do - manufacturers
know if they put out a radio that can't be modified
the hams will never buy it.. cut a diode and BaDa-Bing!
your tx'ing on 154.---) Check out FRS and GMRS
freqs. That's where they all are now

"Mike Roe Farad" <DipoleDude@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9315-3DC5D853-111@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
> "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
> Mike
>

270. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 13:57:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC67CCF-171@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142807

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11109-3DC31961-121@storefull-
>It is when Cb creetins with actions like amplifier use?,

Excellent point. What constitutes too much wattage? Is it not possible
to achieve
broadcast quality and cleanliness on the cb channels or on the freeband
with less wattage, or the same wattage as some hammies achieve?

>More than 4 watts is too much. <

Oh really?
Pleae explain your rationale of why 5 watts may bleed and interfere on
11 meter but not 10?

>I am sure it is possible to get it<

You mean you don't know? And you are an upperclassmen? Well, all I can
offer is a lesson supporting my personal rigs and what I claim.....I
already invited you once to come on down and I'll make your head spin
with what I use to get out.....you won't even believe it until you see
it yourelf in action. Scout's honor.

>but then that would put all of the current amp makers out of business
since none of them falls in the broadcast quality and cleanliness.

What is wrong with a Henry? I didn't realize you held hammie equipment
manufacturers in such contempt and thINK so little of them.

>Why do you always like to compare things to hammies,

I don't.....angry hammies do it to fail at illustrating some
non-point....what's good for their goose..... When you feel the
need to
put forward false truths such as above, a valid lie-slayer, such as the
analogy drawn between the two services (10 and 11 meter) is quite valid,
as the services are almost identical propagation-wise. You can't claim
something will bleed and interfere on 11 when it won't the same on 10.
You made the incorrect claim and comparing it to another service,
whether you agree or not, illustrates the ludicrousness of your claim
concerning hammie amps.

>be a real man and stand on your own merits of Cbing.
According to you, freebanding isn't cb. Make up your mind...you can't
have it both ways.
And the fact that you claimed you are above a nocodes ability and

licensed for more bands coupled with your statement concerning a "real man" by not mentioning hammie radio radiates hypocrisy as usual.

>For christ sakes <

No, NOT Christ's sake..YOUR sake is the only one making gaffes

>twist amatuer gear is supposed to meet specifications basment built amps don't.

Whaaaaaaaa? No one even casually mentioned basement amps until now.....that backslide is another subject and one you apparently feel applies to me....newsflash.....it doesn't. Try staying pertinent instead of trying to kill bugs with a sledge-hammer.

>with actions like freebanding,<

Circular again, not to mention another subject in it's entirety. Very much a debatable subject..such as you have your reasons and I have my reasons why the FCC allows 555 to go unscathed.

>Probably same as most lack of man power<

So you wish others would believe...the fact of the matter is...the feds know they are bothering no one on 555.

>with actions like bleedover, with actions like intereference. No these are not mere words and yes they violate rules.

Sure they do...IF and WHEN they occur.....running an amp does not necessitate causing interference or hammies couldn't run them on 10 meter.

>Again the comparision to hams, stand on your own twisty you'll be a better man for it.

What? and everytime you mention hammie radio it makes you LEss of a man? K..I'll remind you of your words you hold others to but do not abide by yourself. The hypocrisy thing is really dwarfing you..you want to ignore facts in this matter.....you can not. Many hammies freeband and many use hammie amps to do it...the fact that this upsets you, or the fact that you wish to ignore this and pretend it isn't so is your shortcoming, not mine, no mattter how you try to make your problems appear that they are anothers.....so there you have it, very pertinent and it stands on it's own quite well, thank you very much.

>Running an amp No it certainly doesn't necessitate interference but it usually is a big help, It doesnt really matter if it is 10 meters or 20 meters,

It doesn't? Are you certain about that? Can you cite an example? You mentioned basement amps and now you talk 20 meters.....what basement amp covers 20 meter?
Seems like you are having a difficult time practicing what you preach...apparently it is ok for you to cite hammie radio to make a

point, right after you told me it was "not standing on your own" to do so..........makes you quite the hypocrite.

>Btw I talk on 10 meters with a 100 watt radio like most hams I talk to they use just the radio

Which is more than I usually use to get worldwide.......

>and no amp, and most ham amps have
Lc networks in them to lower harmonics, they run class ab1 instead of class c splatter boxes like most all Cb amps who have no filtering.

There you go again....please follow your own advice...you can't do what you just asked another not to do and not show the world your hypocrisy. I don't run a cb amp.

>I see very few mobile HF amps in use by hams

No surprise there...you don't get out much.....I would wager there is puh-lenty you haven't seen.

>I also have noticed from experiance less hams run an external amplifier they just aren't really needed.

Hey...same with my dx activities.....I have always been a low-power dx fan, despite the outright lies and false innuendos and attributions you and Lelnad KC8LDO desperately fail with. You're arguing a non-subject with someone who is not a huge power fanatic.

>The correct thing to do is also contact the FCC and let them know as well.
About what? Usenet topics?

>Nah not usenet<

Well then what? YOU made the claim about a letter and directed it toward me........again...mail away! I think you're losing it.
That since we enjoy talking about amps or freebanding that we are all guilty of doing it? C'mon!

>That wasn't you we heard talking to 22 steel was it?

Dunno. Who is "we"?

>Talking about amps?<

Practicing for another go at speech censorship, are you?

>Would you like to see some websites where they are sold?

What....amps? I already know where they are sold and who sells them.....your last hazard guesses about the manufactureres were so far off the post was printed and taped to a wall in one of those manufacturers office as a running gag..

>Freebanding so those times you said you spoke to others from this Ng must mean you were lieing as usual right toe?

Like I said to Hall, (Who has since been exposed as a huge hypocrite, as he has/had a radio shop selling and performing al kinds of illegal services) choosing only to believe posts when they appear advantageous to a point you have to make doesn't cut it.

>Cmon twist If you want to break the Laws stand up like a man, don't just say it is all talk now and don't say well the hammies are doing this or doing that.

I didn't...that was Hall's bullshit until his words came back to haunt him.

>I really expected more from you twist I guess
thats why you have never posted your name in here,

Hahhahhha....you are indeeed a hypocrites hypocrite...you ask several times for me not to use hammies as examples, yet you have done it several times after asking me not to...hahahha! THIS, is a cb forum.....proper identification of surnames have no place in cb, never have. Asking one their identity is exclusive to hammies, not cb...we use handles...stop comparing hammie traits to cbers. How many times you want me to say I selectively disregard certain rules such as dx and freebanding?

>you do have something to hide,<

Sure I do..which is why I always talk on the same freqs on the same days at the same time...using the "twisted" handle.......yup...I'm a hiding.......Hahahhahhahahhahahahaahahah!

>all that tough talk <

Tough talk? Better check yourself........not from me, junior.

>about how you know what your doing is illegal well thats why don't you show us the real twistedhed, you know the guy who has that loose attitude on life who saysd what he thinks and does what he wants regardless of a few trivial FCC laws.

Claiming I know dx is illegal and that I do it anyway has you construing me as tough? What are you, a junior high female that just began puberty? Your sad and pathetic innuendo that I somehow "do what I want" is tribute to your frustration of low credibility. I do not "do what I want, regardless". This same tired and failed tactic is worn out and never worked, no matter who tried it........Lelnad KC8LDO,failed with it, Paul (in Okie-town) failed with it, Dogie N8WWM created one hell of a reputation for himself with it, Hall is now pegged one of the biggest liars on the board because of it, Frank is just about no longer and only has a few that will even give him the time of day, and Arron is completely nulled.
I don't do what I want with reckless abandon as you chumps so desperately wish to convey with your innuendo....I meticulously select

what I do and don't do concerning radio. I am glad we had this conversation.....trolls have been falling like stacked dominoes this last week and a half. :)

271. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 14:02:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC67E03-173@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142806

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103215402.055$xa@newsreader.com...
I thought webtv couldn't cross post?

>Another twisty misconception?<

Nah...another WA3MOJ gaffe. It appears the newer ones can..I have a
classic about 4 years old......a dinosaur, but it still keeps heathens
like Lelnad and Frank at bay.

272. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 14:13:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC6809E-175@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142810

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com...
sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i use.

>Yeah, right, and that's coming from a<
> priate CBer who doesn't respect radio rules.< >And you honestly expect
people are going to< >believe you?<

Of course. His name isn't "Arron", Lelnad, Frank, Dave, or George.

> Not in this life time Tim. I'm not the only one< >on this news group,
or off, that thinks the< >same way.<

Hmmm....you are correct,,,but Frank, Dave, yourself, (and lately George
feels left out) have destroyed any credibility ya''l may have ever had
with repeated and intentional lies.

> It was too easy for you to hang up another< >dipole cut for the Ham
band(s) of your< >choice, spin the knob on that modified< >FT-840 you
had, and play Ham Radio< >operator with a stolen callsign.<
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Then we have you seeking assistance in ways to get around laws.
According to your hypocrite buddy Dave Hall this makes you a federal
criminal, anti-social, and a sociopath......but coming from the king of
all hypocrites, take it for what you purchased it for. One thing you
have remained constant with , Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, you have made many
lies concerning those who have the ability to make you appear as a chimp
in a cage when it comes to debates.

273. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 14:22:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22127-3DC6829E-99@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142809

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103132434.21048.00002342@mb-fx.aol.com...
leland, why do you always claim i bootleg on the ham bands?

>Why are you so sure I'm playing feebander?<

YOU were busted cold, observed, and recorded. If you had any clue at
all, you would have figured this out when someone posted you had a beat
up van with your nocode sign as your license plate.

>Why don't you just fess up and admit that< >youdo, or did? <

It's not Tim's voice that is coming from the radio. YOU were caught
red-handed trying to jam me awhile back.....and couldn't even manage
that.....the cbers are too smart for you and the hammies can't stand
you...hahhahhahhahaha!

>Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim?<

You mean besides you being witnessed?

>What comes around goes around. In your< >case being a self admitted CB
pirate,< and >freebander, operating on the Ham bands<

What is great is you haven't learned how to see yourself as others do
(like Hall)....either that or you're too ignorant to even realize lies
and your presence have become one.

>using a modified Ham rig you had is just a< >logical extension of your
other sins.<
>--<

Now that's funny.

>Leland C. Scott<
>KC8LDO<

Buy a new van.....one that isn't dinged up so bad.

274. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 14:24:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC6833B-177@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142808

Is is just me or does Lelnad have serious unresolved personal issues
with abortion? I mean, he has mentioned this thing many times....

275. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 15:11:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104101118.02664.00001388@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142811

sounds like there ignorant to the real world. too much time in the lab

>
>http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
>
>Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
>Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
>27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
>did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
>spectrum?
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>

276. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 15:18:31 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <UMScnWHxucZUElugXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142812

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104101118.02664.00001388@mb-dd.aol.com...
: sounds like there ignorant to the real world. too much time in the lab

Tim, just by you making that statement shows how ignorant you are. perhaps microsoft has a job for you I am sure you can put a few engineers out of work with your brainpower.

:
: >
: >http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
: >
: >Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
: >Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
: >27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
: >did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
: >spectrum?
: >
: >-A
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:
:
:

277. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 15:21:20 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <vBCdneSdu7PvDVugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142813

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211031503.261692e7@posting.google.com...
: It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
: listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?

Sounds like you don't either LOl another Cranium factoid gone bad.
It's possible you could hear truckers on 10 meters if you knew what you were
listening for.
I suppose you don't know that, either?

278. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 15:22:53 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <8zudnRhMyMZTDVugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142814

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC6809E-175@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hmmm....you are correct,,,but Frank, Dave, yourself, (and lately George
feels left out) have destroyed any credibility ya''l may have ever had
with repeated and intentional lies.

I see you are paying homage to me twisty, god bless you man. Now go drink
the Kool Aid.

> It was too easy for you to hang up another< >dipole cut for the Ham
band(s) of your< >choice, spin the knob on that modified< >FT-840 you
had, and play Ham Radio< >operator with a stolen callsign.<
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Then we have you seeking assistance in ways to get around laws.
According to your hypocrite buddy Dave Hall this makes you a federal
criminal, anti-social, and a sociopath......but coming from the king of
all hypocrites, take it for what you purchased it for. One thing you
have remained constant with , Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, you have made many
lies concerning those who have the ability to make you appear as a chimp
in a cage when it comes to debates.

279. Re: open message to pimp tim nebout.....you ready to rumble.....mudduck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 15:35:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104103542.02664.00001393@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142815

like you said about the 8 pill, its just the driver son. but in all seriousness
take your ragedy jar headed mobile all the way down I45 south until the freeway
ends and keep str8. see that giant concrete wall to the left you can park on
over the water? that be da spot. it aint 10 or so stories up like herman but
its got a line of sight copy on the east coast and midwest.

>
>
>oh geez.....take me out with a 5 pill.....my boy .....what do
>you think this is???? peanut gallery here.....i run steel my
>man .....steel.....hell,.... ask 22 up in the great lakes what i
>did to him last november.... the mudduck.....he tried to ignore
>me,.... while i sat up in the parking garage at herman hospital and
>dropped the maul on his superman ass for about ten minutes and i know
>i dropped his drawers...oh they try to ignore the big cheese but they
>can't .....hell i am a white man, doing the thing to a black
>man,.....and you know what .....???? they just can't stand
>it.....you should have heard it 82.....he always gets on the
>bowl and talks about superman with a s on his chest and all of that
>bullshit.....well guess what??? one night last year, i , your
>radio host, was feeling froggy, after inbibing adult beverages, and i
>, your radio host, was in position to cause damage, and low and
>behold....i go to my spot, set up, turn on channel 6 and look who's
>there, soooooooo.....mr superman got his panty hose in a knott
>as the big man in texas unloaded on his democrat ass, and you know
>what.....it felt good too.....
>
>
>so tell me amigo .....which of those tarts is yours over
>there.....??? my favortite of course is that 45 year old vietnamese
>gal, with the beautiful tits, and body ....everytime i see her, she
>says we should get married..the only problem is her 5 kids and her
>lying ass.....other than that i might consider it.....
>
>
>oh so your blew up your 5 pill.....aw...what's the matter??? did some
>of the big strappers in galveston get ahold of you while you were
>jumping up and down and you thought you wanted to
>tango.....hell,.....you better quit half steppin good
>buddy.....times are a changin.....old possum says 'who's goin
>to fill their shoes'....i have a answer to that.....i do have a
>fucking answer.....the big cheeser can fill the bill.....no doubt
>qualified.....and never duplicated .....know why??? cause i

>can.........big cheese jus got down.............
>
>
>
>
>
>

280. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 16:17:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC69DAF.7CDA4184@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142828

Mister T wrote:
>
> i know enough nott put anything on the "A" channels you dumbfuck. your fellow
> hams didnt even know the difference either

But not enough to know the difference between "there" as in put it
over "there", "their" as in it is "their" problem, and "they're"
as in sounds like "they're" (meaning they are) ignorant, and that
there is only one "t" in not.

281. Radio Interceptor TC300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 16:27:54 UTC
From: Bruno Rodrigues <sniffpt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aq66sf$88j$1@sagan.global-one.pt>
Source record: 142816

I need Interceptor TC300 schematic radio.
If somebody has the project of the radio please send me for my mail.
sniffpt@hotmail.com

Thanks !

282. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 16:30:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104113013.02698.00001199@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142817

i know enough nott put anything on the "A" channels you dumbfuck. your fellow hams didnt even know the difference either

>
>Tim, just by you making that statement shows how ignorant you are. perhaps
>microsoft has a job for you I am sure you can put a few engineers out of
>work with your brainpower.
>
>
>:
>: >
>: >http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
>: >
>: >Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
>: >Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
>: >27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
>: >did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
>: >spectrum?
>: >
>: >-A
>: >
>: >
>: >
>: >
>: >
>: >
>:
>:
>:
>:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

283. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 16:49:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104114933.02698.00001204@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142818

because i hear KC8LDO on 27.555 really often. is it not you? i've not seen you deny it even once.

>Why are you so sure I'm playing feebander?

>Why don't you just fess up and admit that you do, or did?

because i dont leland, unlike you i've never had a problem with code and could be there if i wanted to. the simple truth is nothing on the ham bands interest me because we already do it all on 11 meters. and least interesting are the holier than thou types like yourself that turn most people off to ham radio.

>Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim? What comes around goes
>around. In your case being a self admitted CB pirate, and freebander,
>operating on the Ham bands using a modified Ham rig you had is just a
>logical extension of your other sins.

i hear your call on the 11 meter pirate calling freq and have yet to see you deny it so the logical conclusion would be to assume its you.

>Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim? What comes around goes
>around. In your case being a self admitted CB pirate, and freebander,
>operating on the Ham bands using a modified Ham rig you had is just a
>logical extension of your other sins.

since i have a hf radio and you have a hf radio and neither of us are licensed for hf services i would say you the ham operator would be more compelled to go talk and hang out with the other hams on the hf band alot more than i would as an uninterested cb'er

284. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:39:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104123937.02571.00001442@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142820

a mobile antenna for a base station will suck dude. go get a 40 or 50 dollar antron99 and be done with it

>
>I have a magnet mount with a fm car antenna I am thinkin about getting
>a new whip. Which is overall better a magnet mount with whip and coil
>or a base antenna. If I get a whip I am thinking about getting a
>workman sp1000 sp2000 or a sp3000 stargun 4 9-1 9-2. The two I want
>mare are a workman sp2000 & stargun 4 and if I get a whip with coil as
>a cb base antenna which ones would be the best. My antenna would get
>15ft to 20ft high with 25ft to 30ft of rg58 coax would that work just
>fine.
>
>
>
>
>
>

285. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:40:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104124014.02571.00001443@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142819

i've been a shortwave listener since at least 1992

>
>How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
>euorpeans on 10

>
>How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
>euorpeans on 10

286. lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:43:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104124341.02571.00001444@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142821

how many women do you know that can get pregnant without a man??? your example sucks because a man and woman come together to make a child and thats the only way its happening whether the man is real or he's frozen ina test tube. i know you dont have sex with women and at times can forget how it all works but get real man.....

> abortion.
>
>Since your not a female, I assume, so you can't get pregnant thus you
>have no business telling a female what she can and can't do with her
>body. But I'll but you do anyway, either every time you vote in an
>election, send money to some cause, and what you confess to in church
>etc.

about as long as you and jerry would in a truckstop

>And getting back to my counter example why don't you tell the men out
>in front of the abortion clinics, carrying the protest signs, they
>aren't qualified to have an opinion because they can't get pregnant,
>and see how long you last.

287. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:46:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104124658.02571.00001445@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142822

guess you never seen a galaxy with the chrome covers? haha

>
>"Dave" <local_42r@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Got to be the ugliest, unessacarly large radios on earth. While most
>> electronics are downsizing and increasing *useful* features CB radios are
>> doing the opposite. Does any one like the look of a "Big Chrome" radio on
>> this NG? I can't say I do.
>>
>(lots of automatic weapon laws that don't apply here sniped)
>
>Big Chrome? WTF is that?
>
>--
>Don't crush em..restore em.
go#40
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

288. information about imput and output chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:54:10 UTC
From: big boy now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hBTx9.12920$xF.12353@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 142959

can anybody tell me a good place were i can get a supply of audio and
transmitter chips for various cb radios.
as i have 6 cb radios one needs the audio imput chip replaceing and the
others neet the transmitter chip replaceing...
i used to fix hundreds of cb radios back 15 to 20 years ago.
and am starting to buy broken cb radios and other cb gear fix it all and
then sell it on ebay.....
if you can help me with a website or shop were i can get the parts i need
please get back to me by emailing me at shogun1965@lycos.co.uk
or if you need a cb radio power meter mike antenna switch filters ect.
email me as i have lots of bits and bobe or if you have any dead cb radios
email me as well many thanks : John
_____ john
ICQ#: 84317226 Current ICQ status: + More ways to contact me i See more
about me: _____

289. Re: CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 17:59:45 UTC
From: big boy now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <wGTx9.12952$xF.2671@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 142963

If you want an amp just ask me I have four three fully working and one boxed
home base amp 250w plugs into the mains only needs new tube.
one 50w one 100w and one think its 250w mobile.
just buy the real thing,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:usek8mjupugs04@corp.supernews.com...
> Yeah. With an upper limit of 20 KHz at perhaps 3 dB down, this should be
a
> real kick. ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021104232927.25171.00003171@mb-me.aol.com...
> > 20 years ago we used to make amp with car stero amps and worked great
,so
> what
> > the racket with the linear amps use a car stero amp modifie input and
out
> > putand you'll have a real pusher
>
>

290. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:01:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Csyx9.4745$bE1.3316@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142823

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22580-3DB9BD97-404@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden, now
> of the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to look
> at ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think they
> are "entitled" to just take what
> they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr.
> Walden. Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> idea to him as well?
> Jerry
> ==========
> You need to show me da' money where Walden claimed to be aware of
> "bandit operators".
> Plus, you know how things are done on the hill, don't you, Jerry? If he
> is going to "look" at it in the near future, by the time anything
> actually gets done, the dx will be gone and more than likely so will he
> and Riley, thanks to elections and a presidential change..

Let me add a bit to what I said above. The reason I know he has to know
about it is that a number of hams have told me that they have already sent
letters to him about the issue. I, too, have written
him about the matter, and if he reads his mail, he definitely knows.
Additionally, I intend to write the same letter to all the sponsors of the
Covenant Exemption Bill, a couple of whom are also hams
and should be concerned with the intrusion. Incidentally, that bill will
not likely come to a vote this
year, but will be re-introduced in the 2003 session. Some of us are doing
something besides just
griping on the newsgroups by writing letters and networking with what we
hope are the "right" people to get something done about it. In spite of
what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just
want the 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at times,
and that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is
common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I am
getting aggrated.

I also know that ARRL is working behind the scenes because I have had emails
with Jim Haynie,
President of ARRL. MANY hams are ticked off about the usurping of 10 Meter
frequencies; the
readers here just don't see that because those hams don't happen to post
here. If something doesn't
happen, it won't be due to lack of "interest" on the parts of the people
being interfered with. We are confident, however, that action WILL be taken

because unlicensed operators cannot be allowed to roam everywhere they wish. Eventually, they would land on some frequency that has vital uses and won't be tolerated. Better to deal with it now than to just wait and hope the problem
goes away. Even with the waning cycle, it will STILL be a local problem wherever the truckers are
talking. Some of those manufacturers are already offering single-band, CB look-alike radios. Test-
ing to see if there's a market? Maybe. There is, for example, a Ranger 15 Meter(?)--correct me if
I am wrong about the band or mfr-----and I think I saw such features as echo and R-beep. Don't
know about you, but I can see where that is heading, and we hope to head it off.

What the "militants" do within the 40--even on *some* of the "freeband"--doesn't really concern me. I just want to turn on my 10 Meter band and hear.....(quiet), or Dah di-Dah-dit, Dah, Dah, DiDah (CQ), NOT "Ah 10-4 driver".

Jerry

291. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:07:46 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <KuadnX6RfMvpKlugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142824

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104113013.02698.00001199@mb-dd.aol.com...
: i know enough nott put anything on the "A" channels you dumbfuck. your
fellow
: hams didnt even know the difference either

So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft that's what I
want to hear you say.

292. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:12:52 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <cMKcnaAAsfA7JVugXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142825

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104124341.02571.00001444@mb-df.aol.com...
: how many women do you know that can get pregnant without a man??? your
example
: sucks because a man and woman come together to make a child and thats the
only
: way its happening whether the man is real or he's frozen ina test tube. i
know
: you dont have sex with women and at times can forget how it all works but
get
: real man.....

Tim are you that stupid? Wait don't answer that. No one said a women can't get pregnant without a man you sound like twistedfuckhed, making up shit because you can't understand what the person is saying. His analogy is saying men should not be able to tell a female she should or shouldn't have an abortion it is her body she can do what she sees fit with it. Lay off the weed if your gonna act like a dope head.

293. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:14:42 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <2JGdndNrKL-OJFugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142826

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104114933.02698.00001204@mb-dd.aol.com...
: because i hear KC8LDO on 27.555 really often. is it not you? i've not seen
you
: deny it even once.

That's funny I have never once heard it, why would Leland use 27.555
remember he is not into Hf you know as well as the next guy you or someother
schemp from this NG is using his call sign if you really do hear someone out
there. Funny part is I heard a kp 82 causing all kinda grief with op's on
27.555 Is that you?

:
: >Why are you so sure I'm playing feebander?
:
: >Why don't you just fess up and admit that you do, or did?
:
: because i dont leland, unlike you i've never had a problem with code and
could
: be there if i wanted to. the simple truth is nothing on the ham bands
interest
: me because we already do it all on 11 meters. and least interesting are
the
: holier than thou types like yourself that turn most people off to ham
radio.
:
: >Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim? What comes around goes
: >around. In your case being a self admitted CB pirate, and freebander,
: >operating on the Ham bands using a modified Ham rig you had is just a
: >logical extension of your other sins.
:
: i hear your call on the 11 meter pirate calling freq and have yet to see
you
: deny it so the logical conclusion would be to assume its you.
:
: >Why do you claim I'm on the freeband Tim? What comes around goes
: >around. In your case being a self admitted CB pirate, and freebander,
: >operating on the Ham bands using a modified Ham rig you had is just a
: >logical extension of your other sins.
:
: since i have a hf radio and you have a hf radio and neither of us are
licensed
: for hf services i would say you the ham operator would be more compelled
to go
: talk and hang out with the other hams on the hf band alot more than i

would as
: an uninterested cb'er
:
:
:
:

294. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:17:42 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <hxadnQ84g-ZaJFugXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142827

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104124014.02571.00001443@mb-df.aol.com...
: i've been a shortwave listener since at least 1992

Really, cool do you consider the ham bands SW? so when conditions are open on 10 meters you are there listening to Hams the people you despise, instead of talking DX on 11 meters? Damn you got a lot of time on your hands and one helluva antenna farm Ill bet. And from your listening you come to the conclusion they are running more than 100 watts, have you ever asked any of them?

: >
: >How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
: >euorpeans on 10
:
: >
: >How would you know are you trying to mislead us or have you talked to the
: >euorpeans on 10
:
:
:
:

295. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:18:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104131855.02537.00001131@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142829

dont worry jerry, no need to exlain yourself. we can see your lack of control very clearly.

> In spite of
>what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just
>want the 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at times,
>and that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is
>common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I am
>getting aggrated.

haha these piss ant letters your sending are often read by others who return you a form letter thanking you for participating in your government. are you even in this guys district?

>The reason I know he has to know
>about it is that a number of hams have told me that they have already sent
>letters to him about the issue. I, too, have written
>him about the matter, and if he reads his mail, he definitely knows.

and since ten meters is rarely if ever used as a local band that means no problem at all unless your there only to look for the truckers which i'm sure you will be

> Even with the waning cycle, it will STILL be a local problem
>wherever the truckers are
>talking.

sorry your wrong, try again. i ca hear you on the air already spreading these myths

>There is, for example, a Ranger 15
>Meter(?)--correct me if
>I am wrong about the band or mfr

296. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:19:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104131946.02537.00001132@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142830

who cares what you want to hear?

>So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft that's what I
>want to hear you say.

297. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:24:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104132433.02537.00001133@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142832

if that woman can get pregnant and carry a child all by herself then by all means she has whatever say she wants in the matter all by herself. you and leland make it sound like something that has absolutly nothing to do with a man. until the day comes when we have a second virgin mary or a woman can get another woman pregnant then the issue wont have anything to do with men but until then making a child is still a 50/50 type of thing. btw since when does leland need you speaking for him?

>
>Tim are you that stupid? Wait don't answer that. No one said a women can't
>get pregnant without a man you sound like twistedfuckhed, making up shit
>because you can't understand what the person is saying. His analogy is
>saying men should not be able to tell a female she should or shouldn't have
>an abortion it is her body she can do what she sees fit with it. Lay off
>the weed if your gonna act like a dope head.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

298. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:24:45 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <KdednTjpfNfyJlugXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142831

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104131946.02537.00001132@mb-df.aol.com...
: who cares what you want to hear?

You must you keep replying, with foolish statements and comments. Just like to see you squirm Timmy.

: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft that's what I
: >want to hear you say.

No answer?

299. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:26:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104132601.02537.00001134@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142833

if it was a big signal with great sounding audio then it probably was! btw lelnad has not even denied the fact it is him.

>That's funny I have never once heard it, why would Leland use 27.555
>remember he is not into Hf you know as well as the next guy you or someother
>schemp from this NG is using his call sign if you really do hear someone out
>there. Funny part is I heard a kp 82 causing all kinda grief with op's on
>27.555 Is that you?

300. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:27:32 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <OXOdnSUTeoGLIVugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142834

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104131855.02537.00001131@mb-df.aol.com...
: dont worry jerry, no need to exlain yourself. we can see your lack of
control
: very clearly.

:
: > In spite of
: >what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just
: >want the 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at
times,
: >and that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is
: >common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I
am
: >getting aggrated.
:
: haha these piss ant letters your sending are often read by others who
return
: you a form letter thanking you for participating in your government. are
you
: even in this guys district?

Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that have been sent to
some peoples ISP's.

301. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:30:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IUyx9.4815$bE1.3755@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142836

Dave <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:pWnx9.1239$Wi.34280@sccrnsc02...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:wLzu9.2318$wZ2.1306@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Who said ANYTHING about taking away a driver's privilege to use a radio?
> I
> > believe the
> > illegal intrusion onto licensed spectrum is the topic here.
>
> I was commenting on the suggestion that CB privileges while driving a
> commercial truck be taken away.
>
> >Drivers have the legal privilege of using
> > 26.965-27.405. If they would do so, then you wouldn't be reading this
> > thread. But, regardless of
> > what those claim about the "lack" of such intrusions (and we know that
> view
> > is due to their vested
> > interest in keeping the status quo), truck drivers are roaming all over
> the
> > upper HF ham bands, particularly 10 Meters. This has GOT to stop.
>
> I agree 100%
>
> >Truck stops and shops are selling "bootleg" 10
> > Meter radios, such as Galaxy and Connex,
>
> Got to be the ugliest, unessacarly large radios on earth. While most
> electronics are downsizing and increasing *useful* features CB radios are
> doing the opposite. Does any one like the look of a "Big Chrome" radio on
> this NG? I can't say I do.
>
> >that are not even approved for sale in the USA--hop-
> > ing to use their alleged status as "10 meter" radios to get around the
> bans
> > on their importation.
> > IF those truckers would use their Galaxys and Connexes on the regular
40,
> I
> > don't think anyone
> > would notice. Not even FCC would fuss as long as they didn't draw
> attention
> > to themselves in some way. But NOOOOOOOOO! They have to roam all over
> the

> > spectrum interfering with
> > Amateurs, and THAT is what is the matter. By encroaching onto
frequencies
> > allocated for others,
> > they have drawn attention to themselves, and THAT is what will cause
other
> > laws, regulations, and
> > stricter enforcement to fall upon CBers. The wheel that squeaks loudest
> is
> > the one that gets oiled,
> > and this wheel IS going to get attention.
>
> That's a good thing
>
> > America works when its citizens respect the FEWER laws already in
> existance.
> > But when a segment of the population decides that they are going to
ignore
> > the rule of law to the detriment of
> > another group of citizens who ARE abiding by the law, then that is when
> more
> > laws get passed.
>
> Jerry, that is VERY faulty logic. Fully automatic weapons in the hands of
> civilian citizens in California is illegal. Low and behold we need
duplicate
> laws to cover the same crap. We need to throw in restrictions on clip
size.
> While we're at it why don't we ban "Assault Rifles". Hmmmmmm....funny
> thing....some of those rifles on that list were in NO WAY assault rifles.
> Guess if it looks like an assault rifle it must be one. (Kindda like...if
it
> sounds like a trucker it must be a trucker?)

Yet that is likely what will happen. Maybe a Bill in Congress to beef up
FCC WRT restoration
of funds for manpower and equipment to deal with this problem? I dunno--I'm
just guessing.

A law that BANS CB radios in trucks like they did radar detectors leaving
them to use FRS or
MURS? I don't know that either.

An amendment to the Local Enforcement law that lets state DOT officers
inspect, fine, and seize
illegal radios at weigh stations? Who knows. After all, some people said
local enforcement was
"un-consti-pa-tional", uh, er, "unconsti-too-shun-al" (sorry, couldn't
resist playing with words), but
it passed, no matter how ineffective it is in its current form. Never say
never.

A 7-10 year "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB? IOW, setting a date out in the future where 27 MHZ
could no longer be used for that purpose and aggressively enforcing that sunset date--again by re-
funding FCC to see to it????? I don't know that, either. Yet it could be argued that, whereas, CB
radio was originally intended for short-ranged personal and business comms (the cellphone of the
'50s), whereas its users have made it into a competing hobby to the Amateur Service, and that it,
perhaps, has outlived its usefullness, it could, therefore, be abolished in favor of more modern tech-
nologies such as cell, MURS, and FRS. After all, that would cure much noise, eliminate "skip", and
allow thousands more to be on-air at the same time in different locales without bothering each other. Remotely possible if complaints of intrusions onto other licensed spectrum continue to increase. Who knows?

As to "if it sounds like", it is, perhaps, a bit more easy to ID a trucker on 28.085 AM. First of all,
when the trucker says, "AH, tan fer thar, D R I V A H, Ah'm on Nevada State Route 16 with 18,000 lbs, tan-fo'!" (SQUEAK!) So, in that case, if it TALKS like a trucker, discusses loads like
a trucker, and squeaks like a trucker, then it must be
a.....

trucker.

Jery

>
>

>
>
>

302. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:32:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104133232.02537.00001136@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142835

sure i do as i consider the utility stations i listen to, the weather stations from around the world, the marine bands, and everything else on hf to be shortwave. its not all about commercial broadcasters.

>
>Really, cool do you consider the ham bands SW?

i spend alot of time listening, more than talking actually but yes i have alot of extra time and one hell of a antenna farm. that alpha delta sloper 10 feet up does wonders lol

>so when conditions are open
>on 10 meters you are there listening to Hams the people you despise, instead
>of talking DX on 11 meters? Damn you got a lot of time on your hands and one
>helluva antenna farm Ill bet.

george if you really were a ham like you say you'd know that almost all contacts between two unfamiliar stations whether it be freebanders or long time hams almost always include descriptions of the stations in use. if you listen well enough theres no need to ask

>And from your listening you come to the
>conclusion they are running more than 100 watts, have you ever asked any of
>them?
>
>

303. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:39:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <G0zx9.4833$bE1.3477@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142838

Mister T <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104131855.02537.00001131@mb-df.aol.com...
> dont worry jerry, no need to exlain yourself. we can see your lack of
control
> very clearly.
>
> > In spite of
> >what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just
> >want the 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at
times,
> >and that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is
> >common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I
am
> >getting aggrated.
>
> haha these piss ant letters your sending are often read by others who
return
> you a form letter thanking you for participating in your government. are
you
> even in this guys district?

People are quite correct to send letters to House committee members about
issues that affect them.
NO, I am not from Oregon. But we both share a common interest: Amateur
Radio. One letter would, perhaps, not get much notice. But lots of 'em
will.

"Piss ant"? Sounds like I am getting a bit close to where you live! Are
you "afeered" that we just
might get something done that would bring attention to something else you
wouldn't want stirred up?
Well, that could be an offshoot of our efforts, but it is not an intended
one--at least not on my part.
All I am interested in is defending Amateur Radio from illegal bandits.
Those channel 6 boys can
burn out each others' eyeballs for all I care..... Just
stay out of the ham bands without
the license, that's all.

J

>
> >The reason I know he has to know
> >about it is that a number of hams have told me that they have already
sent

> >letters to him about the issue. I, too, have written
> >him about the matter, and if he reads his mail, he definitely knows.
>
> and since ten meters is rarely if ever used as a local band that means no
> problem at all unless your there only to look for the truckers which i'm
sure
> you will be
>
> > Even with the waning cycle, it will STILL be a local problem
> >wherever the truckers are
> >talking.
>
> sorry your wrong, try again. i ca hear you on the air already spreading
these
> myths
>
> >There is, for example, a Ranger 15
> >Meter(?)--correct me if
> >I am wrong about the band or mfr
>
>
>
>

304. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:42:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104134258.22205.00001480@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142837

gg, go over their and sit in a cheer while you shut the fuck up lol but seriously, who cares how i spill enetang cept you? you can keep criticizing what i spell but you cant touch what i write. have a good day.

>But not enough to know the difference between "there" as in put it
>over "there", "their" as in it is "their" problem, and "they're"
>as in sounds like "they're" (meaning they are) ignorant, and that
>there is only one "t" in not.

305. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:44:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104134427.22205.00001481@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142839

only difference is those letters to peoples ISP's did something. havent noticed
its more peacefull in here?

>Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that have been sent to
>some peoples ISP's.
>

306. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:45:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104134535.22205.00001482@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142840

sure i know better than to put a product liek this on the cb "A" channels. if the tech at microsoft havent figured it out yet they soon will. i bet not one of them was a cb'er lol

>
>
>: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft that's
>what I
>: >want to hear you say.
>
>
>No answer?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

307. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:48:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104134857.22205.00001483@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142841

go ahead and tell the truth jerry. this is your whole problem here and you
sumed it up perfectly why all these no codes renegade against cb'ers

>Yet it could be
>argued that, whereas, CB
>radio was originally intended for short-ranged personal and business comms
>(the cellphone of the
>'50s), whereas its users have made it into a competing hobby to the Amateur
>Service, a

308. Voobner posts useful info.....amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 18:54:31 UTC
From: dddianenoel@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ce161eb2.0211041054.380100ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142842

I have to mark this on my calendar. Bloobner posts information that is interesting, on topic and some people might actually care about. Miracles never cease. I don't see though, where he called the FCC to report this infraction. Although I'm sure it's ok with him since it's Microsoft infringing on CB, and not hammie airspace.

309. Re: Voobner posts useful info.....amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:00:55 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <zWednXqXLul1XlugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142843

"Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ce161eb2.0211041054.380100ed@posting.google.com...
: I have to mark this on my calendar. Bloobner posts information that is
: interesting, on topic and some people might actually care about.
: Miracles never cease. I don't see though, where he called the FCC to
: report this infraction. Although I'm sure it's ok with him since it's
: Microsoft infringing on CB, and not hammie airspace.

How is microsoft infringing on CB? the R/C channels are not part of the CB Band just like the Freeband is not part of the CB band. So I will ask again where is the infraction ? I realize this is a troll post but at least be credible.

310. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:02:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104140256.22205.00001485@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142844

i just hope your ealize just how many "lot's of 'em" are when talking about writing letters to congress men. one, two, or even a hundred isnt alot.

>One letter would, perhaps, not get much notice. But lots of 'em
>will.

311. ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:03:51 UTC
From: the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6C497.1020608@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142846

I just got into CB recently. I have to do a lot of travel these days, and prefer to drive whenever possible.

I have profoundly enjoyed it so far, despite having to learn some things the hard way. Yes, I did get my radio peaked and tuned. After reading on this group, I now know better. Thanks to those who try to rein in CB shop propaganda.

One thing I was wondering about is the amount of advertising I hear on the radio. Usually this takes the form of a CB shop or truck stop advertising this or that, but I hear, throughout the Southeast US, advertisements from several outfits who do some sort of Mexican massage parlor tour for $10.

These broadcasts do not change in power throughout Alabama, Georgia, and SC, and I'm told that they can be heard as far north as New York. My understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB, as is communicating further than 155 miles, to say nothing of the ungodly power which must be behind these broadcasts.

312. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:04:04 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <5uCcnRXBGsw4WVugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142845

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134258.22205.00001480@mb-cv.aol.com...
: gg, go over their and sit in a cheer while you shut the fuck up lol but
: seriously, who cares how i spill enetang cept you? you can keep
criticizing
: what i spell but you cant touch what i write. have a good day.

Oh but timmy boy there are plenty in here that worry about spellin and
Grammar, just ask your longtime friend Randy Chapman he is hung up on it as
well as dickhead cranium so don't act like JJ is the only one who says
something. he can't touch what you say because he can't understand it. lol

:

313. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya frankie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:04:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc6c4aa.25277089@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142897

Well, I posted my answers to your list of questions no less than twice
and referenced it once more. Why do you keep ignoring it, Skippy? And
you ignored another recent post in reply to your bs. Why do you keep
ignoring these posts, Skippy?

<3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
<3dc502ce.16186013@news.cet.com>

In <3DC64906.3B800E98@yahoo.com>, piper
<yetifrankieiswhackedthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Drawbacks..?
>Please tell us why you feel the power supply rectifiers require any
>equalization networks?
>
>It's dated technology for vintage rectifiers... If your head wasn't up
>your pazoo so far, you might notice many or most of current amateur
>amplifier
>mfgrs don't use them. Your theory is out of date by a decade or two.

You are a little backwards here, Skippy. The old contact-type
rectifiers (like those old selenium jobs) didn't need voltage
equalization because they had enough leakage current to equalize the
voltage drop all by themselves. Silicon is a different story, as it
almost DEMANDS that the PIV not be exceeded. The practice may not be
used with cheap RF amplifiers, but it IS used in many modern circuits,
and is a necessity for any design using series rectifiers to be
considered reliable.

>Grid supplies in this type of biased g-grid rf amplifier do not have to
>be regulated;

That's a load of horseshit and you know it! In fact you say the exact
opposite below about how the grid supply needs to be "reasonably
stiff". That's what regulation is all about, Skippy!

> although
>some of the best results can be had with regulation, the bias voltage
>can just be reasonably stiff.
>Easily met with the high current voltage divider shown in the circuit.
>It's hard to beat this
>circuit, it has a relative measure of high source current capacity, low
>supply impedance and very few parts.

It's far from practical. It uses two 5 watt resistors, two 10 watt
resistors, a pair of rectifiers, and two large caps. That's a lot of

parts when a single LM337 would be much smaller and simpler,
moderately cheaper, and yet provides much better regulation.

Your voltage-divider also doesn't provide the low supply impedance you
claim. Let's say it lands on -5 volts (I think it might be closer to
-4.2 volts, but close enough). As soon as the grid current starts to
flow it's going to drop half a volt for every 10 mA of grid current
from those four tubes. That's an output impedance of 50 ohms, and
that's far from 'stiff'.

Then there are those .001 caps on the grid line. I see five of them,
which means a total impedance of 1 ohm at 27 MHz, leaving several mV
of ripple on the grid. That may not be much, but knowing that this is
a cheap amp, those caps are probably not silver-mica or even NPO's.
IOW, those caps are going to show considerably less capacitance at RF
frequencies than their stated value, and ripple is going to be
significantly greater than expected. The result is that your
grounded-grid amp isn't very well grounded.

>Have a look at the Galaxy amplifier circuit on the sonic server, notice
>how the bias
>voltage is dynamic, controlled by the linearity detector... Similar
>linearity detector circuits
>are found in Collins HF amplifiers.

Those are ALC circuits you dumbass!

.... linearity detectors... LOL!

>Did you bother to run the actual numbers on bias supply? The supply
>circuit works pretty darn well
>and is able to source more than enough current. The bias remains more
>than adequately stable
>at full input operation. The circuit is very practical and active
>voltage regulation is not
>required here.

Yes I did run the numbers, and it's obvious that you haven't.

>> At the input, the exciter SWR is controlled with nothing more than a
>> variable capacitor shunt. Pretty cheap way to drop the signal
>> impedance for the first amplifier stage, especially since it isn't a
>> tuned circuit (which it should be). But after the first stage is
>> another one of the same problems that I mentioned before -- the need
>> for tuned interstage coupling. And the very low input impedance of the
>> second stage mandates a large tuning cap.
>
>You are a piece of technical work frankie... that exciter input is no
>shunt, it's a pi input circuit... one of the most practical ways to
>drive some cathode driven amplifiers. Issues of impedance matching and
>distortion are easily cured using pi input circuits.

Oh dear, Skippy. You screwed up bad once AGAIN! That's not a pi filter, that's a low-pass L-pad. Notice the low value of the cap on the other side of the coil. That cap is there to compensate for the input capacitance of the tubes, not for the output leg of a pi filter! Wasn't it YOU that said how the interelectrode capacitances of the tube are "easily dealt with"? NOW I know why you didn't go into detail on the subject -- because you didn't even know what you were talking about! Not like I didn't have my suspicions, since you can't seem to go into detail about any of the other vague catch-phrases or buzzwords you use. Pretty soon I am going to make a list of all of the crap you either make up yourself or clearly didn't have any understanding of what you were talking about. I think I'll need to take a week off to make that list cause it's already mighty big!!!

>Tuned inter stage coupling is a problem frankie..? What planet are you
>from..?

Well, let's see: if you want to change bands you must first 'tune' your SWR cap, then tune your interstage L-pad, then tune your final output tank. Sounds like an extra step to me. Or are you using that 'new math'?

>Just a quick side bar if you'll allow it for the readers... This
>amplifier
>works pretty well for what it is, but I like to use it to illustrate the
>tube
>base pin connection and general circuit layout.

What about the "tube base pin configuration" and the "general circuit layout"? You mentioned it before but you haven't described what they are or explained why they are important. Regardless...

The thing to note about the "tube base pin configuration" is that all three grids use two pins. But the cathode (power-carrying input) is right next to a pin for the screen grid; so if you are going to use the tube grounded-grid you better shield pin 2 from pin 3. Even better, snip pin 3 from the base of the tube to eliminate coupling through the capacitance of the sockets.

Now the "general circuit layout" is just your basic grounded-grid amplifier -- and I do mean BASIC! I'll harp again about the lack of grid regulation (maybe the thought there was really just a half-assed attempt to make a cheap ALC circuit...?), a crappy input circuit (resistance-coupling would have been better than an L-pad -- no tuning required), the bypass caps are too small and too few (replace them with NPO's >= .005 uF and use one on EACH AND EVERY control-grid pin), and the interstage tuning should be a pi tank instead of another L-pad (matching the source to the load -- does that ring a bell?). It wouldn't hurt to add an ALC circuit. Collins used one that would be pretty easy to add to this amp.

In other words, your favorite "general circuit layout" leaves much to be desired.

> If one were to build
>new, or
>retrofit/rebuild a vintage sweep tube amplifiers, consider the circuit
>for
>the above mentioned and avoid the multi stage design part... The quartet
>stage of this amplifier circuit is worth cloning (pretty close to
>as-built)
>into some of the more poorly designed sweep tube amplifiers made in the
>past and sold on the Amateur Market. Just add tuned input to the quartet
>and some other current technology and life gets peachy...

Even better, spend a couple extra bucks and get an RF tube that will
do what you want without the high parts count. You don't need any
extra time, tools or skills; just a little more money for a cleaner
signal over a larger bandwidth, a smaller and lighter package, and
nearly hassle-free operation.

>OK, back after that commercial message...
>
>Frankie...!
>That large tuning cap your so worried about... got an idea of how large
>it
>is..? Would you be upset if I told you it wasn't really that large...

Really? What is it's capacitance and voltage rating?

>Now,
>lets get away from the multistage part of the palomar and work with the
>4 tube section of the circuit.
>
>> Notice that the grid is biased for AB2, meaning you are going to get
>> grid-current distortion when overdriven. I like this line from that
>> website:
>
>Please tell us how you know it's biased for AB2 frankie..?

The fact that the grid is biased just a few volts below the cathode.
Did you forget your grounded-grid basics already? Or did you ever
learn them in the first place? Tell ya what, Skippy: you should be
paying me for the education you are getting in this converstation!

> What change
>would
>most practical to run the same tubes AB1..? Got a clue..? I promise
>it's
>not a trick question.

Pull the grid more negative and limit the output with an ALC circuit.

>You don't seem to explain why or how the distortion is generated,

I did, but you are ignoring the post.

> nor do
>you bother to explain that a proper tuned input circuit (such as the pi
>layout shown in the first stage) has a flywheel effect which pretty much
>takes care of any issues there.

Because the input is not a pi circuit, and therefore cannot have a
flywheel effect. But you, like Brian, seem to be confused about this
"flywheel" effect: It's used on the OUTPUT of an amplifier to complete
an incomplete sine wave. Once again you are demonstrating your lack of
understanding of RF circuit concepts. Have you ever thought about
verifying your 'facts' before making yourself look like an ignorant
fool by posting such gross misunderstandings?

>> "For AM use, the amplifier must be overcoupled; this is accomplished
>> by taking the load control and turning clockwise 1/4 inch. If this is
>> not done, the transmitted audio will sound "fuzzy" or distorted."
>
>Over coupling improves linearity in a lot of AB2 amplifiers without
>being
>over driven... You think Palomar was the only one to suggest it..? In
>the
>actual circuit, the over coupling is not required, just not a bad idea
>for am
>operation. The best distortion prevention is to avoid over driving
>any AB1 or AB2 amplifier.

Overcoupling is nothing more than swamping the distortion with extra
capacitance. Not a preferred method, since it shunts output power and
screws with the match to your load.

>> Makes one wonder what the spectrum looks like... yeesh!
>
>A rebuilt Dentron GLA-1000b with the improvements I mention on the
>sonic server, Not bad actually...

Compared to... what? A bolt of lightning? How about some noise
figures?

>actually I thought it was more like "Yikes..!"
>
>> Now let's look at the tube choice. The output power of the Palomar
>> 300A is around 300 to 400 watts, and input power is 1 to 10 watts.
>
>Best max input in high power mode is about 4 watts am carrier, output
>about 300 plus
>watts. Low power operation, best driven with about 35 to 45 watts from
>a tube
>final amateur transceiver. Other drive options are possible.

I'm just going by the specs on the page.

>As described just above...
>High power operation, better than +18 dB gain using the amplifier.
>Low power operation, almost +9 dB gain .... " " " .

>
>Now consider taking the quartet section of that amplifier and kicking it
>up
>a notch in a well done circuit and you've got an easy +10 dB for not
>much
>money and a circuit pretty easy to build. Your elevator has now arrived
>at the Dentron and Amp Supply floor... watch your feet.

Don't forget your complimentary 60 dB low-pass filter before you leave
the store!

>One could also do six or more tubes in parallel.... There is a Galaxy 12
>sweep tube Amateur amplifier article on the sonic web server. I would
>say
>the most practical sweep tube amplifier building break point is a
>circuit with
>4 or 6 parallel tubes.

... your point? That they are cheap? Ok, I'll agree that they are
cheap. What's the problem?

>> There are six tubes, each with a 6.3 volt, 2.0 amp filament, for a
>> total of 75.6 watts. The plate dissipation for each is 40 watts, or
>> 240 watts in total.
>
>In non speech compressed SSB service, that 240 watts plate dissipation
>goes up
>to 1kw input.

That statement really doesn't mean anything. Now if you were to say
something like, "in non speech compressed SSB service, that 240 watts
plate dissipation can handle 1kw PEP input", now THAT'S meaningful.
It's just not very impressive since the average power input of
uncompressed SSB (in normal speech) is usually only 10 to 20% of PEP.
But I hope you weren't suggesting that the plate dissipation of the
tubes changed from 240 watts to 1000 watts, cause that you make you
look really stupid -- again!

>> Just for comparison, lets look at the 4CX250, which has a plate
>> dissipation of 250 watts (hence it's numerical designation). The
>> filament power is 15.6 watts (6 volts @ 2.6 amps in one of it's
>> incarnations). That's only one FIFTH the power required by the sweep
>> tube amp, because you only need a SINGLE TUBE to get 300 watts output.
>
>The 4cx250b is a nice tube, I've also built many amplifiers using
>them... but
>you miss the boat again... They require costly sockets,

Only one, and they don't cost as much as you might think...

> specialized
>airflow
>management,

A fan...

> considerably more parasitic prevention,

More than a sweep tube? I don't thinks so, Skippy. If you have six tubes then you need six parasitic supressors. Sounds like the single tetrode requires considerably LESS parasitic supression. Or are you using that 'new math' again...

> a chimney

What's the big deal there? It's just part of the hardware...

> and the
>issues
>of a much higher voltage anode supply

What issues? It's just a higher voltage, that's all. If you don't have the right transformer, just build a voltage multiplier with some diodes and a couple motor run caps. One of the simplest circuits to build. So simple, in fact, that it's used in the KLV =and= your "classic" Palomar!

> (about to be really efficient. No
>where near the practical simplicity of a sweep tube amplifier.

One tube and one stage vs. six tubes and two stages -- which is simpler, Skippy?

> Oh yes,
>most of you would probably have to neutralize an AB1 circuit to keep it
>tame. Otherwise you've got a nice power oscillator somewhere on the
>band.

Neutralization is a given in a common-cathode circuit, whether it's a sweep tube or an RF power tube, AB1 or AB2, or any other class. But the RF power tube requires considerably LESS neutralization than a sweep tube. In fact, and as I stated before, it can be nothing more than a single fixed capacitor. Big whoop!

>Going all the way back to where this all started, sweep tubes easily
>meet
>the requirements for a modest power level low cost amplifier that is
>relatively
>simple to construct.

Easy relative to what? A single pentode? Not even close, Skippy. Low-cost relative to what? A single pentode? Maybe by a small percentage. For the few extra dollars you get a much better amp.

>> And that's from 500 watts of plate input power, which isn't bad
>> efficiency at all, especially considering it's running Class =AB1= in
>
>Yep, if you also want to design and build a regulated screen supply

Unlike the grid in a grounded-grid amp, the screen of a common-cathode amp doesn't require any more regulation than a cap and a resistor.

> and
>purchase the required hardware I mention above. The skill level to
>properly build a
>4cx250b amplifier is probably at least +6dB or more vs the requirements
>of
>building a quartet sweep tube layout.

Gee Whiz, Skippy (and you noticed I didn't use any profanity, but it's certainly warranted here!) How in the world do you quantify skill level in decibels? That has to be one of THE most IGNORANT statements you have EVER made, bar NONE!

And it doesn't take ANY MORE skill to build an RF tube amp than it does to build a sweep tube amp. But it DOES require a bit more intelligence and understanding of RF theory and practices to make the correct choice of using the RF tube instead of sweep tubes!

>> common-cathode configuration. And THAT means it has no grid-current
>> distortion, no drive power requirement, and you don't have to
>> "overcouple" the load for AM operation! Also, there is no interstage
>> coupling, no tuned input, and only a small trimmer for neutralization
>> that only needs to be set once (a fixed cap could easily be
>
>Your heading in the direction that I said the two stage design is the
>best layout... well park it right there with a big No Way Bucky. Two
>stage
>layouts are not for most people.

Now you are contradicting yourself. Before you almost cried about how your "classic" sweep tube amp was THE amp to build, but now you are saying this "classic" design is "not for most people"? Can you say "backpeddle"?

> But I do like the 4 and 6 parallel
>tube sweep amplifiers. Remember, I clearly mentioned that I like to
>reference the 300a for it's base pin connections and basic circuit
>layout.

It's base pin connections suck, and you haven't exactly drawn lines around whatever part of the amp you consider to be the "basic circuit layout".

>A layout like the Dentron GLA-1000 update on the sonic server page
>doesn't have inter stage coupling and doesn't require neutralization;
>two stage hardware is just to much for most people to deal with.

Then why do you persist in recommending this "classic" design? This is just pure, unadulterated backpeddling, Skippy!

>A typical 100 watt amateur radio drives the grounded grid AB2 circuit
>quite well, unless you want to waste a batch of drive power into an AB1

>circuit
>swamping resistor... now that's not so great.

Now where did you come up with this AB1 swamping resistor idea? The swamping resistor is a method (albeit a poor one) of smoothing grid-current distortion, i.e, AB2! You must really enjoy showing off your pathetic understanding of RF electronics! One more for the list!

> Earlier amateur radios
>circa kenwood ts-430 era don't have true power control, just a mic
>gain. It's really, really, really easy to over drive an AB1 amplifier
>when you don't have true power control on your exciter. That overdrive
>appears as spectral potty...

Now where did THAT come from? Oh well, it doesn't matter, it's just another one to add to the list. For SSB, the mic gain IS the power control, dimwit! Properly setting the mic gain and the use of an ALC circuit has been the way to prevent grid current distortion ever since the problem was first realized!

>> substituted). It also has fewer controls that need to be adjusted
>> every time you retune the amp. And here's the kicker for ya, Skippy:
>
>Same tune and load issues I would deal with when operating a 4/6
>parallel sweep tube amplifier.

Gee, what happened to your "2-driving-4" "classic" design?

> The Dentron and Amp Supply grounded grid
>circuits on the sonic server have "set once and forget" tuned input.

You mean you don't have to retune them if you want to change the band? I don't think so, Skippy. Or they are not tuned circuits.

>> The tube is designed to be linear for both AF -and- RF; which, when
>> combined with AB1 operation, means that the harmonic content is
>> significantly less than that of a sweep tube amp!
>
>Harmonic content is something you just love to say... but it's not an
>issue with most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers. That
>is why we see so many grounded grid amateur amplifiers made through
>the years... Pi and Pi-L tank circuits of proper Q deal with harmonics
>quite well; well enough to have passed the FCC requirements.

You keep bringing up the same bs over and over again. Is it that you like to repeat yourself, or that you like to see me repeat myself? Regardless:

1. You haven't explained why grid-current distortion is "not an issue" with "most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers". In fact, you haven't even explained what makes it a "properly designed" amp. On the contrary, I have explained many times why AB2 IS a problem when the amplifier uses sweep tubes. You continue to ignore those posts.

2. There were so many sweep tube amps made through the years because they were cheap. That's all. Perhaps you missed this snippet from Svetlana's website that Tim recently referenced:

"The application of sweep tubes in amateur radio linear amplifiers was clearly an engineering compromise dictated by economy."

The "compromise" is due to the poor performance of the amps!

3. It takes more than a high-Q pi tank to pass FCC specs (for anything other than amateur equipment) because pi tanks pass harmonics -- harmonic supression requires a non-resonant low-pass filter!

Now, how do you determine the "proper Q" needed of a pi tank to "deal with harmonics"? Do you know what "Q" means? Better look it up. And what is a Pi-L tank? Do you know the reason it's called a 'pi' tank? Better look that one up, too.

>AB2 grounded grid amplifiers are mucho easier to build... Imagine
>a company like Eimac even designed tubes for AB2 grounded grid amps
>and called them zero bias triodes... hey, there's that perky 3-500z..!
>Tell the AB1 stuff to people who care about it.

AB2 amps are easier to build because you are not including an ALC circuit. But if you are building an AB2 amp you better use a tube that has a smooth grid-current transistion, which is NOT a sweep tube!

>> Now if THAT ain't enough, let's compare the cost: You have six sweep
>> tubes costing $40 each; but just for the sake of argument let's say
>> you converted to EL509's and use YOUR figure of $20/tube, for a total
>> of $120. Or, you can get a brand new Eimac 4CX250 for $90 (Bruckner).
>
>.... your magic 4cx250b

It's funny how you whine sarcastically when faced with the facts, just like a little kid being a sore loser...LOL!

>Add the required proper socket, chimney and blower (every application)
>and high voltage supply extras... the 4cx250b hardware ain't cheap...
>you seemed to have left those numbers out of your quote...

I left a lot of numbers out of the cost of the sweep tube amp, too. Do you want a full cost analysis of each? To show what? That the amp with the proper RF tube is a little more expensive? Heck, I'll concede that right now! The point, that you keep ignoring, is that for a little bit more money you can have a MUCH better amp.

> Plus the
>4cx250b socket has serious mounting considerations... so does all the
>associated hardware for that matter.

Not hardly! You claim to have made some amps with the 4CX250, but after that statement I'm thinking that you haven't even SEEN one, let alone built one! Of course the problem might just be you -- remember how the square block goes in the square hole, the round block goes in

the round hole, the triangular block... maybe your parents should have helped you out a bit more with your pre-school education. (Maybe they should have helped you out a bit with your RF education, too.)

>> Now are you going to complain about the cost of the socket and
>> chimney? Because that cost is quickly offset in the sweep tube amp by
>> the six sockets, plate clips, and associated hardware; the larger
>
>EL-509/519 sockets cost me less than $2 each, .32 cent fuse clips make
>great sweep tube plate clips and what extra special hardware do I need
>to add...?

Not much, but when the parts count is high the pennies do add up.

>> power supply; the large interstage coupling capacitor; the grid bias
>> regulator that should be there but isn't; and a LOT more space (a much
>> larger cabinet)!
>
>Larger power supply... do you know the size of that palomar amplifier
>supply...? Do you know the size of Dentron GLA-1000 or Amp Supply
>LA-1000 power supply...? Maybe you need to do a reality check.

Yes I do know the size of those power supplies. The VA of the plate transformers are going to be nearly the same for the sweep tube amp and the RF tube amp. The difference is in the filament supply transformer, as the sweep tubes require five times as much power, and the size is made even larger by the larger wire size needed for the higher current. Since the Palomar uses a single transformer which includes the filament winding you probably don't see the difference, but you could certainly weigh it. If you did you would find that about half your transformer is wasted on the filament winding!

>Get off the inter stage cap crap...

You mean forget about your "classic" sweep tube amp? Why? It took so long to get you to finally describe it, why not discuss it?

> if one were trying to build
>a multi stage amplifier, the caps are the size of a very very thick
>quarter and can be bought off ebay for less than a dollar each. Same
>European seller often has other amplifier parts at great prices.

Let's see, for an L-pad that's going to handle about 100 watts max, those caps have to be rated to at LEAST 200 volts (probably more). And because the inductor is switched, I'll be conservative and guestimate the value at 250 pF. Now you claim that these variable caps are "the size of a very very thick quarter"? Let me guess: are they compression trimmers? And what about the inductor part of the L-pad? How much size does that take? And the long rotory switch with all those wafers for bandswitching, is that also cheap and small? I thought you said this was an easy design, Skippy?

>The control grid bias supply in the palomar unit works well and can't
>be much more simple. I've measured it in operation and it's just fine.

Really? What is the regulation tolerance? How fast does it respond to
grid current peaks? How much ripple is left on the grids? If you
measured it then you should have those numbers.

>By the way, I have a very well designed, compact single tube 4cx250b AB1
>amplifier and it's about 20% larger than the Dentron GLA-1000 and it's
>cousin Amp supply unit. With +2.2kv on the anode of the 4cx250b safety
>dictates a lot more spacing in and around the high voltage circuits.

How much extra spacing? What's the spark gap distance through air at
2200 volts, point to point? Just to help you out, 5000 volts won't
spark over more than 1/4", so how much extra space do you need? And
if, as you say, it requires so much more space, why are radios and
amps that use RF tubes characteristically smaller and lighter than
those with sweep tubes? You are blowing smoke again, Skippy.

>> So which is the better deal, Skippy? (You accuse ME of doing a song
>> and dance, but I can't WAIT until you sing and dance around the facts
>> on THIS one!)
>
>Facts are frankie... sweep tubes remain simple enough to build into nice
>working, modest power, rf amplifiers and as of late, very cheap to
>buy...
>The deal is about $20 per tube. Grounded Grid AB2 circuits a lot more
>practical for most builders.

Facts are Skippy... sweep tubes are poor alternatives for RF power
tubes in both cost and performance.

314. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:04:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc6c4b6.25288293@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142898

In <3DC64F98.19B7D6B3@yahoo.com>, piper
<yetifrankieiswhackedthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hey Frankie...!
>
>"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>>
>> Hey Skippy, I just looked up the datasheet for the 4CX250B: It's good
>> for 650 watts in AM service (linear AB1), and all the way up to 175
>
>You like your books, don't cha' frankie....

Sure do. They are a great wealth of information. Books have been the primary means of recording information since the days of stone tablets and sheepskins. You might try reading one sometime.

> somehow you left out if
>that's input power maybe your'a quoting there and who's data sheet
>you looked up. You know Svetlana has a way of fudging up some data
>sheets
>a bit.

Sorry to disappoint you, but the information is from Eimac. And you know what's really funny, Skippy? I didn't even look in a book for that info -- it came right from their website! So much for your sarcastic little comment about how I like my books! LOL!!!

>A single 4cx250b in linear service delivers about 325 watts carrier,
>AB1 with about 5 watts of drive. It requires neutralization, regulated
>screen
>voltage and much higher anode supply potentials and all the required
>extra
>hardware which runs into some nice pocket change. I've built more than
>my share of amplifires using the tube...

I doubt it. For starters, AB1 requires NO drive power regardless of what kind of tube you use. The input circuit may be built to load 5 watts while transforming the signal to a voltage level appropriate for the tube, but you can 'drive' it to full power with 5 MILLIwatts if you want. Once again you demonstrate your ignorance of basic RF theory. Maybe it's because you don't like books...

>The 4cx250 tube also has much more serious potential vhf parasitic
>problems.
>Building rf amp with it is not for first time builders.

Where did you get THAT pile of meadow-muffins? It doesn't have parasitic problems any more serious than any other tube, even sweep tubes! Do you even know what causes parasitic oscillations? They aren't caused by the type of tube, dimwit! They are caused by resonant conditions of the circuit surrounding the tube. To prevent parasitics, all you need is a parasitic choke on the plate and/or the grid.

Your scare tactics aren't working, Skippy. And scare tactics don't work on the voltage issue, either -- a capacitor bank full of 900 volts will kill you just as dead as one topped off at 2000 volts!

>> MHz! WOW!!! Now ain't that a whole lot better than a boxfull of sweep
>> tubes?
>
>For what... low cost, simple to build rf amplifiers... probably not. At
>least maybe not as much fun for ones first amplifier project.

If you want a low-cost simple-to-build amplifier you should try the venerable 6146 or 807 (basically the same tube). That's one tube that you have to try really hard to make it NOT work. My suggestion for first-timers would be to learn the basics with a 6V6 or 6L6, then work your way up from there.

>> Through Eimac's official distributer (Richardson) they only
>> cost $180, which makes them cheaper than a half-dozen 6LF6's. But if
>
>I don't deal with Richardsons, they have a history of price fixing
>and bad business practices. Convicted of something along those lines
>some
>years back.

Well, their prices are certainly akin to highway robbery, since you can get the 4CX250B from Bruckner for $110.

> I don't buy 6LF6's new anymore... I can and do buy
>current production Russian label EL-519 equivalents which are actually
>better than the Svetlana brand.

I don't buy sweep tubes so I really don't care. Matter of fact, I have a case of NOS 6LF6's that I will never use, and would be happy to give away if I knew they wouldn't be used for RF amps. Since that isn't possible, they are just going to stay on the shelf and collect dust.

>> you want one REALLY cheap you can pick up a National for $43 from
>> Bruckner, which is WAY less expensive than six of your $20 sweep
>> tubes. BTW, where CAN you get brand new sweep tubes for $20? I looked
>> under several tube distributors and the prices seem closer to $30 per
>> tube. Do you get them wholesale or something?
>
>Your National tubes are probably chinese made, which I really don' like
>all that much. Past quality control issues turn me off big time.

Ok, if you are going to pick through the candy dish, here's Bruckner's list. Take your pick:

4CX250B M EIMAC $ 110.00
4CX250B M AMPEREX $ 90.00
4CX250B M RCA,GE $ 85.00
4CX250B M WESTGHS,SYL $ 80.00
4CX250B M NATIONAL $ 43.00
4CX250B M RCA-CHINA $ 43.00
4CX250B M STAR $ 65.00

BTW, Star makes a pretty good tube. But the point is that, watt for watt, sweep tubes aren't that much cheaper than RF tubes anymore, even with the hardware (which will add $20 to $100 to an amp, depending on where you get the stuff).

>I get brand new sweep tubes direct from Eastern Europe... you buy
>retail or
>from tube distributors. I buy direct and get the best prices. Wanna
>build a sweep tube Amateur Amplifier..? You can do it AB1 or AB2...
>I don't mind...

No thanks. If I want to build an amp I'll do it the right way.

But somehow I knew that your bias in favor of sweep tubes was financially oriented. Because it certainly wasn't based on sound RF engineering principles. Ain't it funny tho: sweep tube amps used to be popular because they were so cheap, a decision based on saving money. And now there are people like you that encourage the use of these splatterboxes because spreading ignorance can put a few bucks in your pocket. You are a true sham artist, Skippy. Good thing that people like me are around to keep innocent folk from getting taken by people like you.

315. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:07:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104140759.22205.00001486@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142899

randy has always impressed me with his good grammar, cranium just makes me laugh with his correcting. jj, should give it up cuz its obvious its an attempt on his part to bother me when it doesnt even ccum klose. my school worried more about having the best football program around more than it did speeling wurds.

>
>Oh but timmy boy there are plenty in here that worry about spellin and
>Grammar, just ask your longtime friend Randy Chapman he is hung up on it as
>well as dickhead cranium so don't act like JJ is the only one who says
>something. he can't touch what you say because he can't understand it. lol
>
>
>
>:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

316. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:08:33 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <BYGcnSQ7O8guWFugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142900

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134535.22205.00001482@mb-cv.aol.com...
: sure i know better than to put a product liek this on the cb "A" channels.
if
: the tech at microsoft havent figured it out yet they soon will. i bet not
one
: of them was a cb'er lol

Oh so you think he was a tech who injuneered this thang, and not any sort of injuneer. Can we have your reason for why this is a bad idea? Do you know power levels of these devices ? Harmonic content? IMD Figures? Antenna size, range? Why weren't the r/c cars a bad idea when they were on 27 mhz? What harm did they do?

How can you answer these question with any reasonable conclusion without these facts . Or are you just going on your good engineering skills.

:
: >
: >
: >: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft that's
: >what I
: >: >want to hear you say.
: >
: >
: >No answer?
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:
:
:

317. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:12:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104141256.22205.00001488@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142901

its no more illegal than you talking on your peaked radio. these guys run huge power just south of the US/Mexico border and do not have to worry about the fcc where they are. the truckstop guys just do it because it works and hits the target audience there looking for

>
>I just got into CB recently. I have to do a lot of travel these days,
>and prefer to drive whenever possible.
>
>I have profoundly enjoyed it so far, despite having to learn some things
>the hard way. Yes, I did get my radio peaked and tuned. After reading
>on this group, I now know better. Thanks to those who try to rein in CB
>shop propaganda.
>
>One thing I was wondering about is the amount of advertising I hear on
>the radio. Usually this takes the form of a CB shop or truck stop
>advertising this or that, but I hear, throughout the Southeast US,
>advertisements from several outfits who do some sort of Mexican massage
>parlor tour for $10.
>
>These broadcasts do not change in power throughout Alabama, Georgia, and
>SC, and I'm told that they can be heard as far north as New York. My
>understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB, as
>is communicating further than 155 miles, to say nothing of the ungodly
>power which must be behind these broadcasts.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

318. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:19:17 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <FJ6dnZDDaIyqVVugXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142902

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104132433.02537.00001133@mb-df.aol.com...
: if that woman can get pregnant and carry a child all by herself then by
all
: means she has whatever say she wants in the matter all by herself. you and
: leland make it sound like something that has absolutly nothing to do with
a
: man. until the day comes when we have a second virgin mary or a woman can
get
: another woman pregnant then the issue wont have anything to do with men
but
: until then making a child is still a 50/50 type of thing. btw since when
does
: leland need you speaking for him?

No he doesn't dickface but Ill remeber that when you chime in on someone elses conversation you arent part of, but how so soon we forget me and you had this conversation before or were you too stoned to remember?

Tim again your missing the point as well as your thinking is not how normal humans view things in life. Leland was making an anolgy, not related to the way your using it, but none the less you get one of your skank hoe's pregnant and if she doesn't want to carry the child there is not a damn thing you can do or say about it.

So using Lelands analogy as it is against your statement that since he isn't a Cber he shouldn't be able to say anything to Cbers, well since you can't have children you shouldn't be able to tell a person who can what she should or shouldn't do. I don't know how to spell it out any clearer for you i tried to dumb it down for you, I am sure your a highschool dropout.

:
:
: >
: >Tim are you that stupid? Wait don't answer that. No one said a women
can't
: >get pregnant without a man you sound like twistedfuckhed, making up shit
: >because you can't understand what the person is saying. His analogy is
: >saying men should not be able to tell a female she should or shouldn't
have
: >an abortion it is her body she can do what she sees fit with it. Lay off
: >the weed if your gonna act like a dope head.
: >
: >
: >

: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:
:
:

319. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:19:28 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BC732FABBF2rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142903

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
news:20021104011151.207$i7@newsreader.com:

> "Dave" <local_42r@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Got to be the ugliest, unessacarly large radios on earth. While most
>> electronics are downsizing and increasing *useful* features CB radios
>> are doing the opposite. Does any one like the look of a "Big Chrome"
>> radio on this NG? I can't say I do.
>>
> (lots of automatic weapon laws that don't apply here sniped)
>
> Big Chrome? WTF is that?
>

At a local radio shop, I can pick up a set of chrome covers for my Galaxy
for like 20 bucks. I wouldn't be able to see them with my radio mounted
where it's at, so I never bothered. I think they're kinda cool, myself.
What can I say? I'm a sucker for chrome.

Dave - the radios are cetainly large, and don't need to be. Still, I
appreciate the extra room my Galaxy affords a caffiene jittering fool lke
myself. Honestly, I think if they made the radios smaller by using alot
of surface mount components and such, few would buy them. It's become
apparent to me that many truckers prefer a "Classic" look. Nothing wrong
with that.

I used to work at a Radio Shack (I think it's a requirement at one time
or another for a computer geek). We had CB's that were physically
smaller, and had no analog S/RF meter. They had all the functionality of
your run of the mill Cobra 29LTD (or whatever), but they didn't sell
well. Then, my store started carrying physically larger radios with
chrome knobs and a chrome faceplate - like an old radio. Same features as
the smaller ones, and great sellers. Ya gotta give the public what they
want :) And if they want big and chrome, I say give it to them. I kinda
like 'em that way.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

320. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:22:12 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <ptKcnVXAdPp7VVugXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142904

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104132601.02537.00001134@mb-df.aol.com...
: if it was a big signal with great sounding audio then it probably was! btw
: lelnad has not even denied the fact it is him.

Ah I see the power of assumption, if this, If that, if the queen had balls she would be king. I don't expect leland to even grace you with an answer regarding your bullshit. In fact you got all these antenna farm, fancy radio etc, make a wave file of it.

:
:
:
: >That's funny I have never once heard it, why would Leland use 27.555
: >remember he is not into Hf you know as well as the next guy you or
someother
: >schemp from this NG is using his call sign if you really do hear someone
out
: >there. Funny part is I heard a kp 82 causing all kinda grief with op's on
: >27.555 Is that you?
:
:
:
:

321. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:26:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104142611.22205.00001493@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142905

yes and there still a bad idea. any cb anywhere close to them kills them and they wont work. i'm sure it will happen with these mouses too. one of the guys on eham has already tried it while tx'ing on ten meters with low power and it still happened and thats over 1 meg away! think what four watts on channel 19 would do then. they wont cause any harm, i never implied they would but they sure will accept alot of it.

>Can we have your reason for why this is a bad idea? Do you know
>power levels of these devices ? Harmonic content? IMD Figures? Antenna size,
>range? Why weren't the r/c cars a bad idea when they were on 27 mhz? What
>harm did they do?

322. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:29:16 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <CI-cnQ10tKUTV1ugXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142906

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134857.22205.00001483@mb-cv.aol.com...
: go ahead and tell the truth jerry. this is your whole problem here and you
: sumed it up perfectly why all these no codes renegade against cb'ers

No Tim sounds like most No-coders are or were frustrated Cbers who tired of the BS on 11 meters and got a ham license kinda like Toll free perhaps, yet they may still want to use the Cb for it's informality compared to Amatuer radio. But the 11 meter band is a cespool the use of this NG to sell amps perpetuate the freeband etc is one of the reasons why they are against Illegal Cbers.

: >Yet it could be
: >argued that, whereas, CB
: >radio was originally intended for short-ranged personal and business
comms
: >(the cellphone of the
: >'50s), whereas its users have made it into a competing hobby to the
Amateur
: >Service, a
:
:
:
:

323. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:30:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104143025.22205.00001495@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142907

ok so your saying lelnad really shouldnt be able to comment on cb because he's not a cb'er? btw i never said since he's not a cb'er he shouldnt post on cb's i just question his motives

>So using Lelands analogy as it is against your statement that since he
>isn't a Cber he shouldn't be able to say anything to Cbers, well since you
>can't have children you shouldn't be able to tell a person who can what she
>should or shouldn't do

324. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:33:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104143328.22205.00001496@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142909

make a wav file of myself? because that is who i was talking about.

>"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
>news:20021104132601.02537.00001134@mb-df.aol.com...
>: if it was a big signal with great sounding audio then it probably was! btw
>: lelnad has not even denied the fact it is him.
>
>Ah I see the power of assumption, if this, If that, if the queen had balls
>she would be king. I don't expect leland to even grace you with an answer
>regarding your bullshit. In fact you

325. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:33:33 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <ZTSdndjG-asTVlugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142908

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134427.22205.00001481@mb-cv.aol.com...
: only difference is those letters to peoples ISP's did something. havent
noticed
: its more peacefull in here?

Not really Tim moped complaint, Landshark, and twistys complaints to my 2
Isp's have fallen on deaf ears.. Perhaps if the those against Illegal Cb did
write to FCC perhaps the 11 meter band will to be more peaceful too. Funny
part is yet they are openly agains't following FCC rules they want others to
adhere to ISP rules Why the double standard tim Thats really the issue here,
no one likes a snitch right? Did those words not come from your mouth? Don't
talk out of your ass and your mouth tim it starting to smell like shit
around here with all that Bullshit.

: >Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that have been sent to
: >some peoples ISP's.
: >
:
:
:
:

326. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:37:58 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BC7652ABA09rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142910

the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:3DC6C497.1020608@yahoo.com:

> Yes, I did get my radio peaked and tuned. After reading
> on this group, I now know better. Thanks to those who try to rein in
> CB shop propaganda.
>
There's alot of that. The number of unscrupulous techs willing to tweak
and peak a rig is mind boggling to me. Glad you're aware of it.

> My understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB,
> as is communicating further than 155 miles, to say nothing of the
> ungodly power which must be behind these broadcasts.
>
You would be correct. Note though, that you're running a radio that has
been "peaked". That makes it possible (but not fact) that you're radio
has a few illegal quirks about it (depending on the knowlegde and
experience of the tech):

1.) You could be running in excess of 4 watts carrier.
2.) You could be overmodulated.
3.) You could be transmitting slightly off frequency.

If any of the above are true, you would be just as illegal as they are.
So, my suggestion would be to have the radio aligned (or at least
checked) by a reputable shop, and brought back to factory specs if
needed. Otherwise, you would appear to be hypocrytical.

Have fun with your new CB!

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

327. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:43:27 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <mfadnedBpOyNU1ugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142911

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104133232.02537.00001136@mb-df.aol.com...
: sure i do as i consider the utility stations i listen to, the weather
stations
: from around the world, the marine bands, and everything else on hf to be
: shortwave. its not all about commercial broadcasters.
:
: >
: >Really, cool do you consider the ham bands SW?
:
: i spend alot of time listening, more than talking actually but yes i have
alot
: of extra time and one hell of a antenna farm. that alpha delta sloper 10
feet
: up does wonders lol

You spend alot of time on this Ng as well , wow 10 foot up Impressive is it
tied off the car bumbper?

:
: >so when conditions are open
: >on 10 meters you are there listening to Hams the people you despise,
instead
: >of talking DX on 11 meters? Damn you got a lot of time on your hands and
one
: >helluva antenna farm Ill bet.

: george if you really were a ham like you say you'd know that almost all
: contacts between two unfamiliar stations whether it be freebanders or long
time
: hams almost always include descriptions of the stations in use. if you
listen
: well enough theres no need to ask

Well Tim, we are talking about hams on 10 meters talking to Europe, not
freebanders, but do you listen to hams all the time? And if so why? I
thought they were old heads etc boring old farts yet all of a sudden your a
dedicated listener to ham bands? You hear both sides of these conversations
too ? Most times the contacts are usually short sweet with signal reports,
How about CW do you tune in all the cw signals to and copy them too? I wanna
also know when you are listening your not talking right
your a pretty amazing kid let me tell you.

:
: >And from your listening you come to the
: >conclusion they are running more than 100 watts, have you ever asked any

of
: >them?

But you still never asked them right

328. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:44:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-3DC6CE17-121@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142919

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104114933.02698.00001204@mb-dd.aol.com...
because i hear KC8LDO on 27.555 really often. is it not you? i've not
seen you deny it even once.

>That's funny<

Tragic, actually.

> I have never once heard it,<

Well that ends it then.

> why would Leland use 27.555<

To jam freebanders. He has exhibited the hatred towards them,
consistently trying to smear them with false accusations and lies.
He has indeed attempted to jam freqs where he is not permitted.

> remember he is not into Hf<

He lied, just like he lies about everything else.
You look kind of funny spinning your wheels attempting a defense of his
lies.

>you know as well as the next guy<

If the "next guy" you refer to is yourself and the rest of "Arrons"
named trouble-causing posse, he knows way better.

>you or someother schemp from this NG is >using his call sign

Think about it what you are saying....who has always maintained cbers
imitate hammies? Now do you really feel anyone here would imitate any of
you akc pups? It has ALWAYS been the other way around....you hammies
imitate us...hence the forging that had Lelnad and Dogie canned from
their respective ISP's at the time.

>if you really do hear someone out there.<

There really are people there, you just have to listen.

>Funny part is I heard a kp 82 causing all kinda >grief with op's on
27.555 Is that you?

Now THAT was Lelnad. See, you do hear him where he shouldn't be. Busted
again, Lelnad.

329. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:47:25 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <d62dnRg6lNJSU1ugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142912

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104141256.22205.00001488@mb-cv.aol.com...
: its no more illegal than you talking on your peaked radio. these guys run
huge
: power just south of the US/Mexico border and do not have to worry about
the fcc
: where they are. the truckstop guys just do it because it works and hits
the
: target audience there looking for

Yeah old Cadillac is da man

330. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:49:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-3DC6CF2C-122@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142921

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104132601.02537.00001134@mb-df.aol.com...
if it was a big signal with great sounding audio then it probably was!
btw lelnad has not even denied the fact it is him.

>Ah I see the power of assumption, <

A return logic patented by you and your
sinking sailors.

>if this, If that, if the queen had balls she would >be king.

Kings and queens dictate something other than democracy. It is of little
wonder you cite such things.

>I don't expect leland to even grace you with an >answer regarding your
bullshit.

Lelnad was graced, baptized and fed.
He came out howling.

>In fact you got all these antenna farm, fancy >radio etc, make a wave
file of it.

Oh, don't you worry..Lelnad is on tape..courtesy a fellow motor-city
madman.

331. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:49:30 UTC
From: the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6CF4A.5060605@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142914

I understood that peaking would get me to the legal limit of 4 watts,
but not over. According to my cheapo power meter, I went from 2.2 watts
to 3.6. Is my set illegal now?

Mister T wrote:
> its no more illegal than you talking on your peaked radio. these guys run huge
> power just south of the US/Mexico border and do not have to worry about the fcc
> where they are. the truckstop guys just do it because it works and hits the
> target audience there looking for
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>I just got into CB recently. I have to do a lot of travel these days,
>>and prefer to drive whenever possible.
>>
>>I have profoundly enjoyed it so far, despite having to learn some things
>>the hard way. Yes, I did get my radio peaked and tuned. After reading
>>on this group, I now know better. Thanks to those who try to rein in CB
>>shop propaganda.
>>
>>One thing I was wondering about is the amount of advertising I hear on
>>the radio. Usually this takes the form of a CB shop or truck stop
>>advertising this or that, but I hear, throughout the Southeast US,
>>advertisements from several outfits who do some sort of Mexican massage
>>parlor tour for $10.
>>
>>These broadcasts do not change in power throughout Alabama, Georgia, and
>>SC, and I'm told that they can be heard as far north as New York. My
>>understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB, as
>>is communicating further than 155 miles, to say nothing of the ungodly
>>power which must be behind these broadcasts.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>
>
>
>
>

332. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:51:06 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <GSmdnVsSCKYxUlugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142913

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104143025.22205.00001495@mb-cv.aol.com...
: ok so your saying lelnad really shouldnt be able to comment on cb because
he's
: not a cb'er? btw i never said since he's not a cb'er he shouldnt post on
cb's i
: just question his motives

No thats what you and a few others have said to leland and guys like JJ
etc, just because they don't use a cb everyday like you or at all means they
cannot make comments here? Since you can't have a baby means you can't tell
a prego female what to do. Get it

333. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:52:24 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <cJ6cnXGTePxkUlugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142915

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104143328.22205.00001496@mb-cv.aol.com...
: make a wav file of myself? because that is who i was talking about.

Bingo! We all knew that Tim we all know that leland wouldn't use his call
sign bootlegging we all know you are the culprit.

: >"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
: >news:20021104132601.02537.00001134@mb-df.aol.com...
: >: if it was a big signal with great sounding audio then it probably was!
btw
: >: lelnad has not even denied the fact it is him.
: >
: >Ah I see the power of assumption, if this, If that, if the queen had
balls
: >she would be king. I don't expect leland to even grace you with an answer
: >regarding your bullshit. In fact you
:
:
:
:

334. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 19:53:31 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <7e2dndbvUJ-gTVugXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142916

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104140256.22205.00001485@mb-cv.aol.com...
: i just hope your ealize just how many "lot's of 'em" are when talking
about
: writing letters to congress men. one, two, or even a hundred isnt alot.

How about to Isp's

335. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:00:37 UTC
From: Eric Oyen N7ZZT <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.04.13.01.05.863.6860@cox.net>
Source record: 142917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

When I noticed this,
I was rather non-plussed.

We really need to improve things in amateur radio folks. This little girl could very well have died had the "other" service not been available there (and this can happen anywhere). It truely is a black eye for amateur radio when a ham has to depend on a class D service because no one was interested enough to at least monitor a 2 meter repeater in a remote location!!!

I happen to live in phoenix arizona (a major metropolitian location in the southwestern US) and I have had timed where priority traffic needed to be passed and no one would deign to even appear on the repeater to offer assistance! If this is the way ham radio is headed, I may decide to sell off my gear, contact the FCC and return my ticket and go strictly class D and cell phone use from here on out (I am reluctant to do this as you will see why below).

Its time to wake up and smell the coffee. if you haven't yet, better wonder why some of the public service events we USED to work are now being handled "in house". its because they can't find the voluteers anymore. However, this was not the case this last weekend with the MS 150 Bike event in arizona. There, at least, we had a hard working cadre of hams (and non-hams) that were willing to help out, especially when 4 accidents during the course of the day on saturday (2 of which required air evac service!). Without ham radio, there is the very possibility that things could have been much worse! This is why, even with all the other stuff going on, that I am reluctant to give up on ham radio (it is tempting, but too many places and people still need us).

so just remember, the next time you are out of cell phone range, and your old cb-radio isn't in the car anymore and you need emergency assistance. you better hope someone is paying attention.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

In article <20021104040322.25874.00003725@mb-fc.aol.com>, "Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote:

>
> A child is saved thanks to C-B radio in Australia and Ireland opts to
> restructure with slow-code. These stories are first on Amateur Radio
> Newsline report number 1316 coming your way right now.
>

>
> (Billboard Cart Here)
>
> **
>
> RESCUE RADIO: CB HELPS SAVE HAM RADIO OPERATORS DAUGHTERâ□□S LIFE
>
> The daughter of a ham radio operator is alive after he to turns to C-B
> radio for help. It happened down-under in Australia as we hear from
> Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B:
>
> --
> Recently on holiday at Finley, S. NSW, Eric VK2TRD's daughter Tegan, 12,
> had a very narrow escape, after she was bitten twice by a Tiger Snake!
> After emergency treatment at Finley Hospital she was transferred by
> Ambulance to Shepparton, Vic.
>
> Travelling to Shepparton Eric called five times on the 2m repeater
> seeking guidance to the Hospital, no response. Disgusted, he called on
> the UHF CB repeater and got instant help.
>
> Over 6 days there, nothing was heard on the repeater but the ident., and
> that was when Eric fired it up. On 2m simplex, only 2 farmers using it
> as an intercom, no c/s's.
>
> Tegan, that night suffered cardiac respiratory arrest and was in
> intensive care for two days. Now at home she is doing OK. A traumatic
> time for all.
>
> For the Amateur Radio Nrewsline, Iâ□□M graham Kemp, VK4BB of Q-News
> Australia. --
>
> Once the ordeal was over, VK2TRD paused to thank all who helped in his
> daughters rescue. This included the Shepparton CBâ□□ers for their
> immediate response.
>
--
Those who forget the mistakes of history will be doomed to repeat them

336. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:00:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <239-3DC6D1E5-247@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142920

>Let me add a bit to what I said above. The reason I know he has to know about it is that a number of hams have told me that they have already sent letters to him about the issue. I, too, have written him about the matter, and if he reads his mail, he definitely knows. Additionally, I intend to write the same letter to all the sponsors of the Covenant Exemption Bill, a couple of whom are also hams and should be concerned with the intrusion. Incidentally, that bill will not likely come to a vote this
year, but will be re-introduced in the 2003 session. Some of us are doing something besides just
griping on the newsgroups by writing letters and networking with what we hope are the "right" people to get something done about it.<
<

Got that right.

>In spite of what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just want the 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at times, and that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I am getting aggrated. <

Hey, if that's your extent, rant on.
It's quite intellectual when compared to some of the stuff in here.

>I also know that ARRL is working behind the scenes because I have had emails with Jim Haynie,
President of ARRL. MANY hams are ticked off about the usurping of 10 Meter frequencies; the readers here just don't see that because those hams don't happen to post here. <

You must have been up late last night, Jim.
Look at the last few looong threads......nocodes,,but they are hammies.

>If something doesn't
happen, it won't be due to lack of "interest" on the parts of the people being interfered with. <

It will be because the problem will simply go "poof" just like it appeared, it will be gone.

>We are confident, however, that action WILL be taken because unlicensed operators cannot be allowed to roam everywhere they wish. <

Agreed.

>Eventually, they would land on some frequency that has vital uses and won't be tolerated.<

Ummmmm.....not a very good chance of that happening with your typical export radio.

>Better to deal with it now than to just wait and hope the problem goes away. Even with the waning cycle, it will STILL be a local problem wherever the truckers are
talking.<

I think the truckers will move back down once it's quiet again. Same thing every cycle.

>Some of those manufacturers are already offering single-band, CB look-alike radios. Test- ing to see if there's a market? Maybe. There is, for example, a Ranger 15 Meter(?)--correct me if
I am wrong about the band or mfr-----and I think I saw such features as echo
and R-beep. <

I think I may have read a thing or two about 10/11/12 meter radios.

>Don't
know about you, but I can see where that is heading, and we hope to head it off. <

Since it really doesn't concern me one way or the other, I wish you good luck.

>What the "militants" do within the 40--even on *some* of the "freeband"--doesn't really concern me. I just want to turn on my 10 Meter band and hear.............(quiet), or Dah di-Dah-dit, Dah, Dah, DiDah (CQ), NOT "Ah 10-4 driver".
Jerry<

Uh-huh.....I hear cw on 555 at times.....it doesn't bother me...even when it's vulgar.....when it's benign, I sometimes even answer....hell, tune in and listen on a Saturday afternoon or night...I guarantee you'll hear it.....Sunday morns, too!

337. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:00:46 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BC7A3028B11rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142918

the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:3DC6CF4A.5060605@yahoo.com:

> I understood that peaking would get me to the legal limit of 4 watts,
> but not over. According to my cheapo power meter, I went from 2.2
> watts to 3.6. Is my set illegal now?
>
3.6 watts carrier? If so, you're OK. Make sure it isn't overmodlated, or
anything. You're probably just fine.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

338. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:03:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-3DC6D28B-123@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142922

WA3MOJ wrote:> Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that have been sent to some peoples ISP's.<<

Nah...those are for blatant direct violations committed and directed at individuals because of the lack of intellect they maintain......besides, those work.

339. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:09:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-3DC6D3E2-124@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142923

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134857.22205.00001483@mb-cv.aol.com...
go ahead and tell the truth jerry. this is your whole problem here and
you sumed it up perfectly why all these no codes renegade against cb'ers

Cranus wrote:
>No Tim sounds like most No-coders are or >were frustrated Cbers who
tired of the BS on >11 meters and got a ham license

Nahhhh...that can't be it.....otherwise, why would they still be
here? They certainly have nothing to offer cbers, or like yourself, have
admitted disdain for cbers or for them becoming hammies.

>Toll free perhaps,<

You too? I thought it was just Frank that
he put to shame concerning textbook verses real world applications.

>yet they may still want to use the Cb for it's informality compared to
Amatuer radio. But the 11 meter band is a cespool the use of this NG to
sell amps perpetuate the freeband etc is one of the reasons why they are
against Illegal Cbers.<<

No one cares. No one cares at all how they feel about cb...they are, and
have always been...i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t except to themselves.

340. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:12:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-3DC6D4C4-125@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142930

From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104134427.22205.00001481@mb-cv.aol.com...
only difference is those letters to peoples ISP's did something. havent
noticed its more peacefull in here?

>Not really<

You haven't noticed?
Well, shux, you never did have the reputation of "most perceptive".

>Tim moped complaint, Landshark, and twistys >complaints to my 2 Isp's
have fallen on deaf >ears.

You got the message loud and clear otherwise, you would post again the
same thing you posted last time just to try and prove a point. You
haven't done it since. You learn a bit faster than the others who so
decidedly made you one of their own.

341. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:23:57 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.spamless>
Message-ID: <wHAx9.2598$IFD1.28246154@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 142925

I always heard that getting a CB peaked and tuned was a good thing as long as you didn't over do it. Say if you can turn it up to 25 watts for the absolute max. If you set it to around 12-15, it will be good and not hurt any of the components in the CB assuming you have a good antenna and low SWR.

BTJustice

"the lone marauder" <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3DC6C497.1020608@yahoo.com...
> I just got into CB recently. I have to do a lot of travel these days,
> and prefer to drive whenever possible.
>
> I have profoundly enjoyed it so far, despite having to learn some things
> the hard way. Yes, I did get my radio peaked and tuned. After reading
> on this group, I now know better. Thanks to those who try to rein in CB
> shop propaganda.
>
> One thing I was wondering about is the amount of advertising I hear on
> the radio. Usually this takes the form of a CB shop or truck stop
> advertising this or that, but I hear, throughout the Southeast US,
> advertisements from several outfits who do some sort of Mexican massage
> parlor tour for $10.
>
> These broadcasts do not change in power throughout Alabama, Georgia, and
> SC, and I'm told that they can be heard as far north as New York. My
> understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB, as
> is communicating further than 155 miles, to say nothing of the ungodly
> power which must be behind these broadcasts.
>
>

342. Radios wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:24:05 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152405.08866.00003119@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 142924

Does anyone one have 2 old but in good work condition 40 channel ssb cb's
for sale ?
If so how much and send photos please to my email address

Thanks

343. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:24:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <239-3DC6D770-255@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142931

George said to Timbo >Oh but timmy boy there are plenty in here that worry about spellin and Grammar, just ask your longtime friend Randy Chapman he is hung up on it as well as dickhead cranium so don't act like JJ is the only one who says something. he can't touch what you say because he can't understand it. lol<<

They can't comprehend it because a deficit exists in the art of communication in several of those who are supposedly licensed for it. A phenomena they can not explain.....

344. Realistic trc 492

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:26:26 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152626.08866.00003120@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 142926

Can anyone tell me ,a mod which will inable my radio(Realistic trc492) to get more channels
any help welcome

345. Realistic trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:30:08 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104153008.08866.00003122@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 142927

Does anyone have these parts laying around for a realistic trc 431 base

Parts are L905 and L907 (broke them off after trying to ajusting the screw)
now radio wont transmit only recieve
know where i can find these parts please let me know

Any help welcome
Carl

346. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:31:12 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <z2ednXmBzMSMRFugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142928

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6CF2C-122@storefull-

>>Ah I see the power of assumption,

A return logic patented by you and your
sinking sailors.

==========
Ah here again twisty talks and squalks, I am sure you are all to familiar
with sailors

>>if this, If that, if the queen had balls she would be king.

Kings and queens dictate something other than democracy. It is of little
wonder you cite such things.

==========
Twitsty,from all indications your a queen alright. btw how's my dictate

>>I don't expect leland to even grace you with an >answer regarding your
bullshit.

Lelnad was graced, baptized and fed. He came out howling.

=========
Yeah they say every dog has his day. Funny thing is just like a dog you
sniff lelands ass everytime he is out here.

>>In fact you got all these antenna farm, fancy >radio etc, make a wave file
of it.

Oh, don't you worry..Lelnad is on tape..courtesy a fellow motor-city madman.

=========
Well hey, just because you said it twisty it has to be true then!

347. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:36:30 UTC
From: g0mem <isembardbinladen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fTAx9.1791$xF.226@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 142929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Eric Oyen N7ZZT" <eric.oyen@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.04.13.01.05.863.6860@cox.net...
> When I noticed this,
> I was rather non-plussed.
>
> We really need to improve things in amateur radio folks. This little girl
> could very well have died had the "other" service not been available
> there (and this can happen anywhere). > >

A similar thing happened to me with CB and Ham radio, although not an emergency. My van broke down in the middle of Dartmoor in Devon, UK which is the middle of nowhere. My cellphone didnt work in that area. So I called on the local 2 meter repeater for about an hour, and not one voice was heard. I then remembered that I still had a CB handheld in the back of the van. I then got calling on channel 19, and within 5 minutes someone came back to me. He was able phone the breakdown company so that they could come and tow me.
This was during the middle of the day, when people would be listening to the repeater. So much for Ham radio!

73 de G0MEM

348. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:47:05 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <-NicnSwH-bBWQVugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142932

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6D3E2-124@storefull-

No one cares. No one cares at all how they feel about cb...they are, and have always been...i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t except to themselves.

Twist, I think they are like a morning hard on, you really have no control over em.
You have cared enough to respond to leland and the rest of the Aaron posse for how many years now?

349. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:48:43 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <za6cnWZKG8CxQFugXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142933

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6D28B-123@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: WA3MOJ wrote:> Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that
: have been sent to some peoples ISP's.<<
:
:
:
:
: Nah...those are for blatant direct violations committed and directed at
: individuals because of the lack of intellect they maintain......besides,
: those work.

Funny your's didn't, mopar didnt', and neither has landwhales with regard to
me.
:

350. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:52:18 UTC
From: Piper tweet tweet <yetileadusnotintotemptation@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6mm2$12l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 142935

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
:>Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
:>excited about,
: I was replying to my own post because you haven't.

Can't be on the news groups every day, much as I might like to be. No hurry getting back, you rarely say anything positive to anyone about anything in your posts. You have that negative stuffy vibe going on...

:> but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.

: "Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
: "bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!

You say your a broadcaster and a self claimed tube amplifier type, but you've not heard of reverse screen current..? Well, frankie... you just failed AB1 rf amplifier 101 school. Go look it up... tetrode amplifiers can have reverse screen current. Depends on the tube type and circuit layout.

:>> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
:>> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
:>>
:>
:>Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
:>amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
:>between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
:>capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
:>cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
:>unnecessary.

: The reason that no neutralization is needed for a grounded-grid
: amplifier is because the output is in phase with the input, which
: means that you don't get regenerative feedback. It has nothing to do
: with grid shielding. Your lack of theory is showing, Skippy.

: In a common-cathode amplifier, however, the screen and supressor do
: shield the grid from the plate, and Cgp for a pentode is much lower
: than for a triode. But in the class of pentodes, the Cgp of a sweep
: tube is characteristically high (as I pointed out earlier), which is
: why it requires much more neutralization than an RF pentode.

But in your previous posts, you wrote g-grid netralization was required...? Now you again change your song..? Whats up with that frankie..?

It is actually easy for a grounded grid (out of phase) amplifier to have big time stability problems, see the previously mentioned http://www.vcnet.com/measures pages for well done text on the subject. Out of phase circuits are not the cure all for neutralization. Shielding and lower Cgp are very nice advantages to using some tetrode/pentode valves in grounded grid layouts. Phase shift hopefully near 180 degree ballpark is just part of the nature of the layout and is also a major benefit of g-grid circuits.

It is quite possible to have stable in (relative) phase grid driven rf amplifiers that do not require neutralization.

But the applied circuit reduced Cgp values of the grounded grid layout are a big time stability advantage. Don't play it down frankie, it's a fact. Low C values are also helpful in AB1 circuits, example sweep tube grounded grid layout has the benefit of 1/2 C for your common cathode AB1 circuit, which contributes much to stability and elimination of your beloved neutralization requirements. G-Grid layouts can really help tame the gremlins.

:>Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
:>in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.

: Yes they do, primarily because of the very low impedance required for
: the input, and the fact that the input power is carried through as a
: portion of the output power. Not because of grid shielding (LOL!). But

Your trying to cut and paste things out of context again frankie. The above statement does stand on its own as fact. At no point did you see me write "primarily", "mostly" or anything close.

Actually, had you bothered to post the proper statement path facts... grid shielding means less current in the applied example. Less screen current is very very nice...
Exciter feed through power of the example AB2 grounded grid amplifier is a big time "freebie" you don't see in AB1 circuits. Makes a typical 100 watt amateur hf radio a pretty nice match for a 4/6 sweep tube layout.

: then again, the grid-current distortion is much greater from a sweep
: tube than from an RF power tube because sweep tubes aren't designed
: for smooth transition into grid-current conduction -- and configuring
: a sweep tube as a grounded-grid triode doesn't change that fact.

They don't have to have ultra smooth transistion. The distortion is easily dealt with using a proper Q tuned input as found on many well done Grounded Grid Layouts. The reasons for/why are quickly explained in most Radio Handbooks... see "flywheel effect" related to rf amplifier tuned input circuits.

:>What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
:>supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
:>shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.

: "Passive gain operation"? Where do you come up with this shit, Skippy?

Potty mouth again...
Means the G2 & G3 electrodes have no traditional or active potentials
applied, but are part of the circuit without gain contributions. We
receive the benefits of reduced 1/2 Cgp and the shielding function which
greatly contribute to the amplifier stability.

:>> even though it's clearly designed as a triode. Or maybe you can
:>> explain why Swan added grid-current distortion precautions to the
:>> manuals (and ALC circuits to the radios) for their sweep-tube radios
:>
:>Pretty sly... but not sly enough. You jump around a lot and omit very
:>significant details...
:>First off, the topic was classic grounded grid rf amplifiers, in specific
:>AB2 operation.

: WRONG! The topic was the comparison of sweep tubes to properly
: designed RF power tubes in RF power amplifiers. You accuse ME of being
: sly when it is YOU that is trying to change the subject?

Doesn't matter really... Swan Engineers used what they had and not all of
it was worth the trouble. ALC in that vintage radio was useless. If you
want to ask about grid current distortion issues, be sure to tell everyone
your moving over to a grid driven circuit.

:> Now you want to jump over to a Swan Radio... tis not
:>the same Grounded grid animal Frankie... Oh, but you failed to mention
:>that.

: No, I didn't fail to mention that. But I'll say it again just in case
: you didn't understand it the first time: Swan radios used sweep tubes
: in common-cathode configuration, not grounded-grid as they did in
: their amplifiers. I exampled both their radios and amplifiers for
: comparison purposes. You mean to tell me that you were so confused as
: to the conversation that you couldn't figure that out?

Your text above was left out of that actual thread post and you were
jumping back and forth from grounded grid to grounded cathode circuits
without properly describing which of the two types circuit you were
talking about.

:>Homey ain't playing your smoke screen game.

: The smoke screen is coming from your camp, Skippy: You keep trying to
: narrow the topic down to grounded-grid amplifiers only. Doesn't really
: matter tho, since your theory on grounded-grid amplifiers sucks just
: the same.

We can go anyway you want to go frankie... but this whole thing started
out as a classic sweep tube amplifier thread, remember..? I want you to
quickly name the actual circuit your trying to go over so the readers
and I clearly understand what planet your currently in orbit about. You
were taking me to task about sweep tube label specifics, now it's my turn
to tell you to be specific about the circuit you're currently trying to
describe in your posts...

:>In short, all mfgrs used what they thought the most practical part and
:>circuit
:>trade offs... which probably included many short cuts... You claim to be
:>a big time broadcaster type... ever seen a station take shortcuts..?

: The tradeoff was based on cost, and that's all. The buyer sacrificed
: linearity and spectral purity in order to get a cheap amp.

The linearity and spectral purity were more than good enough. Good enough
to be passed by the FCC for sale as legal amplifiers. Through the
60's, 70's and 80's, Low cost legal Sweep Tube Amateur RF Amplifiers which
preformed well, their linearity and spectral purity specs quite usable.
Thousands were sold through the years...

:>The Swan Radios are not grounded grid circuits... as we have been taking
:>here. But you want to interchange sidebar off topic circuits.... Nope,
:>"wouldn't be prudent at this conjecture.."

: That has been the discussion all along, why change now?

Swan Radios are not traditional grounded grid circuits. If your going to
"go there", do it in a seperate thread with less of your typical potty
mouth and I'll be happy to hash things out, back and forth. If your going
to switch from grounded grid to common cathode circuits in the same
thread... say so when you do it.

:>ALC is bad news for most all radios... dated technology that really
:>didn't
:>/ doesn't work well for the most part. Another topic thread please...

: Whoa! The basic ALC circuit works just fine for what it was intended,
: it works within it's limitations, and is VERY MUCH used even today!
: It's FAR from being "dated technology"! And it's used in both
: grounded-grid AND common-cathode amplifiers! You are WAY off base
: here, Skippy!

Whoa... back to you...!
Don't think so Frankie... once the horse is out of the barn... he's out.

Check the typical ALC circuit - amplifier time constants. Sure, ALC is
used today, but it's not the best control option.

If you were keeping up with current radio and amplifier technology, you'd
know the above statement to be true. Just like your rectifier equalizing
network recomendations are poop.

:>> (that is, explain why they needed to do that if sweep tubes are so
:>> adept at RF amplification?). And you have yet to find a TV that uses a
:>> 6146 as a sweep tube, or a currently produced RF amplifier that's
:>> legal for use between 24 and 35 MHz.
:>
:>Because they work pretty well in the intended application... what part
:>of denial do you live in..?

: No denial here: the intended application is an inexpensive amp with
: the trade-off of spectral purity and linearity.

... and they work very well... everything is a trade off frank, this is
one example of a practical trade off a lot of people felt well worth doing
for the end result.

:> I probably won't find a TV set with a 6146 on
:>board... but you boat doesn't float unless you go back to that repost
:>over and over.... like I should reprint your multiple "grid tied to the
:>plate"
:>posts over and over. Hey, what text I reposted would probably work....
:>what you directly posted would fail every time... big time tube failures
:>cost money.

: The difference, Skippy, is that I admitted my mistake, which you have
: failed to do until now.

Where was that admission frankie..? I can go back to review and clarify
my previous statements when asked, but my orignal repost of another persons
statement is not something to launch ww3 about. The end result is a lot
less damage than using your rf amplifier grid tied to plate multiple
postings.

:>So I made a few inquiries... turns out I can't find very many current
:>FCC certified Amp mfgrs and no current certified amplifier builders
:>for 24-35 Mhz applications. Not a cost effective place to be these
:>days... HF & VHF Amplifier production in general is down...

: Let's see, you are claiming that there are no legal amps for 24 to 35
: MHz because amp production is down? How about because the amps are
: illegal? Doesn't that strike you as a better reason why they aren't
: being manufactured? Duh...!

There are legal amplifier applications for services in the 24 to 35
Mhz range which also coincide with no justified market for them. The only
real answer comes from the FCC... as of this morning I have not heard back
from them them with the official answer.

:>But pre ban amplifiers are still legal and available on the
:>used market. There is no significant circuit difference from the
:>mentioned
:>35-54Mhz TPL amplifiers I provided Ebay Auction examples of; and
:>their pre ban cousins. Nothing wrong with a legal used pre ban
:>amplifier.

: Provided it is type-accepted/certificated for use on the service, and
: that such authorization is still valid. But note the difference here,
: Skippy: the prohibited frequencies are 24 to 35 MHz, and the amps you
: mentioned are 35 to 54 MHz. Don't you see something wrong with that?
: Or did you really think that I wouldn't check?

Pre-ban TPL Amplifiers I have are pretty much an exact circuit of the 35
to 54 Mhz units mentioned. Only the label changed... Their unmodified

operation for adjacent frequency same Radio Service applications is one of the questions I asked the FCC. Their official reply to me is what I deem the current best opinion.

Does original equipment type acceptance expire for legal equipment remaining in operation..? I would think not... even this magic broadcast station you say you work for does not lose the legal use of the transmitter through the years.

:>Wow Frankie' you sure hit the low bar language toilet... a clear sign
:>that you are on shaky ground...

: Hmmm... despite my ability to back up my arguments with facts and
: valid theory while you can't, just because I used the word "shit" a
: few times means that -I- am on "shaky ground"? ROTFLMFAO!!! (guess
: what the "F" stands for?)

Your arguments are fun to debate when your mouth isn't in the gutter. A lot of your facts are wrong or just plain out of date. Some could be useful when they are not enclosed in your bitter pill.

:> One might conclude that all the
:>mfgrs who made sweep tube amplifiers, the many handbook projects
:>and similar practical easy to construct rf AB2 g-g circuits contradict
:>you. Of course, you are right, they are all wrong...

: Your implication is that I am saying that these circuits don't work,
: when in fact they do. What I am saying is that sweep tubes don't work
: nearly as well as do properly designed RF power tubes, which is why
: the VAST and OVERWHELMING MAJORITY of manufactured RF amplifiers (both
: external and integrated) and radio handbook projects that use tubes
: use the latter!

OK ....! now we are getting somewhere. I like the first part of what you wrote... the second part is open for more information.

Sweep tubes are just one novel part of modest power g-grid rf amplifiers.

Amateur RF Amplifier Mfgrs are having a hard time in general regardless of the tube type. Many types of tubes in general are going off the radar screen and there are always much better choices for each application.

Crap rf amplifiers are made with many different tube types... knowning how they work and can be reworked is fun stuff.

:>> BTW, you STILL haven't answered the question, "Do you have a financial
:>> interest in the popularity of sweep-tube amplifiers"? So will that be
:>> a 'yes', a 'no', or a 'no comment'? I'm betting the latter.
:>
:>Your news reading program a bit whacked Frankie..? I answered
:>quite some time ago. Now explain to us why a yes or no answer would
:>make any difference...

: Simplification in the face of obfuscation. So what's the answer? And
: you STILL haven't described your "classic" and "properly designed"
: sweep tube amp. Well?

I did in a previous post, but just so you will put it to bed... No.
In my opinion there is no realistic, viable Amateur or Commercial market
to justify mass building rf amplifiers amplifiers.

I expect to see more of the exisiting amplifier mfgrs go away within a
few years max.

See my Dentron GLA 1000 Amplifier article on the sonic server for some
examples of a classic sweep tube amplifier and what can be done to improve
it. "Properly designed" means a well researched practial circuit layout
which reliably works and preforms well.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

351. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:53:00 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211041252.15410738@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142934

Sheesh, I offer a very neutral subject and the result is all this bickering. Not a very peaceful group.....

-A

352. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:54:54 UTC
From: Skipp <havingfunwithmrbroadcast@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6mqu$12l$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 142936

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

: In <3DC17FAC.2A76358F@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
: wrote:
:>I'm still waiting for you to reply to my posted questions Frankie...
:>skipp
:>http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
:>
:>

: What's this? Chopped liver?
: <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>

No, it's an id tag for your isp news group server that most of us don't
have access to read. More like unsliced bologna

s

353. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 20:58:29 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <gKqdnQWMRuHrQlugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142937

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6CE17-121@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Richard Cranus)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104114933.02698.00001204@mb-dd.aol.com...
because i hear KC8LDO on 27.555 really often. is it not you? i've not
seen you deny it even once.

>That's funny<

Tragic, actually.

****
True when nebo just admited to being the one doing it.

> I have never once heard it,<

Well that ends it then.

****
It sure does just like the guys who never heard truckers on 10 meters.

> why would Leland use 27.555<

To jam freebanders. He has exhibited the hatred towards them,
consistently trying to smear them with false accusations and lies.
He has indeed attempted to jam freqs where he is not permitted.

****
Wow Twist some heavy accusations or are they opinions?
Interesting though Nebo admitted to being the one so there goes that theory,
20 why would he use his call sign. Now I have never met the man but I can
only assume from his posts he is more intelligent than that. But that is
moot since nebro has gave a confession.

> remember he is not into Hf<

He lied, just like he lies about everything else.
You look kind of funny spinning your wheels attempting a defense of his
lies.

****
No Twist my defense is built on what you guys have said about him, you look
kinda funny
with your grandeous ideas, but they make for good reading,it is easily to
see your pattern of accusations and hatred towards certain individuals.

>you know as well as the next guy

If the "next guy" you refer to is yourself and the rest of "Arrons" named trouble-causing posse, he knows way better.

****
Twsit it is clear to see Aaron has a firm grip on your thinking, I am not sure what power he has over you but your infatuation with him borders on lunacy

>you or someother schemp from this NG is >using his call sign

Think about it what you are saying....who has always maintained cbers imitate hammies? Now do you really feel anyone here would imitate any of you akc pups? It has ALWAYS been the other way around....you hammies imitate us...hence the forging that had Lelnad and Dogie canned from their respective ISP's at the time.

>if you really do hear someone out there.<

There really are people there, you just have to listen.

>Funny part is I heard a kp 82 causing all kinda >grief with op's on 27.555 Is that you?

Now THAT was Lelnad. See, you do hear him where he shouldn't be. Busted again, Lelnad.

354. Re: TRW Swap Meep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:00:47 UTC
From: Skipp asks the trw questions <skipplikeslanguages@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6n5v$12l$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 142938

Jay,

Does TRW go on in the winter months..? Can you report back as to the
size and quality of the flea mkt..

thanks
skipp

: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
: Be There!
: Jay in the Mojave

355. Re: Realistic trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:19:54 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BC8799E4EB9rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142939

carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) wrote in
news:20021104153008.08866.00003122@mb-fn.aol.com:

> Does anyone have these parts laying around for a realistic trc 431
> base
>
> Parts are L905 and L907 (broke them off after trying to ajusting the
> screw) now radio wont transmit only recieve
> know where i can find these parts please let me know
>
> Any help welcome
> Carl
>

Determine the value (it'll be a range) of L905 and L907, respectively. Then
order them from http://www.mouser.com. Seems to me like they cary just
about everything under the sun.

How do you know what values are needed? Beats the heck out of me.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

356. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:27:58 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6one$76bcs$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142940

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com...
>
> Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into this
> thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can
> understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few others
> who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom"
> argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron
> Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even
> resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense
> that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You started
> an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for
> participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet. And
> I'm not the only one that's noticed it.
>
> -Aaron-

I think you'd better take your Prozac. I, and I'm sure there are others,
who find it quite odd that your main mission in life seems to be to rid the
world of illegal CBer's when you are neither a CBer nor a ham. I also find
it odd that you take offense at someone asking about your CB setup. After
all, this is a CB forum to discuss CB radio, is it not? But when anyone
asks you about what equipment you use, you take it as a personal attack.

Tom

357. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:31:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6otj$76ih8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142941

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211022357.122c5215@posting.google.com...

> PS: Who said "FRN"? I said www.frn.net.
>

What's the difference? The FRN is at www.frn.net. And why is he a troll?
I think he brings up a valid point.

I think pirates could make use of some of the freeband frequencies during
the day. In fact, I think a while back I did read about some of the pirates
trying some of the frequencies above & below the CB band. Don't know how it
worked out, nor have I heard any pirates there. Usually I only hear them on
or around 6955.

Tom

358. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:37:53 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BC8AA74D942rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142942

Skipp <havingfunwithmrbroadcast@yahoo.com> wrote in news:aq6mqu$12l$2
@woodrow.ucdavis.edu:

>: What's this? Chopped liver?
>: <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
>
> No, it's an id tag for your isp news group server that most of us don't
> have access to read. More like unsliced bologna
>
> s

ID tag for his isp's news group server? <snicker> Heh, nope.

Actually, it's the Message-ID from a header of a post he wants you to
read. If you have a decent news reader, and the message he referenced was
still stored locally, you could click on it and read the article. If said
post was not stored locally, a decent news reader (like XNews) would
offer you the choice to search google. If your newsreader sucks, you can
always search the Google groups, using that Message-ID.

You're search engine might be broken. Feel free to use mine:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=3dbdf67d.7203139%
40news.cet.com&oe=UTF-8&output=gplain

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

359. Re: Cobra 29LTD Classic for parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:51:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6q3o$74jtu$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142943

"Barry" <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3DC467D9.591D436A@worldnet.att.net...
> for sale:
> Cobra 29LTD CLASSIC; parts unit - front panel & chassis, covers, etc.
> let me know what you need.
> tnx,
> barry
> carson city, nv
> http://home.att.net/~btuttleman2/electron.html

What's the asking price for the broke radio?

Tom

360. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 21:55:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC6ECDF.1FEA947B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142866

Mister T wrote:
>
> gg, go over their and sit in a cheer while you shut the fuck up lol but
> seriously, who cares how i spill enetang cept you? you can keep criticizing
> what i spell but you cant touch what i write. have a good day.
>
Well, I guess you subscribe to the theory that anyone who can't
spell a word more than one way simply has no imigination, which
aint all bad. ;>)

361. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:00:25 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6qka$757ab$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142944

"**********" <vodkabacardi@yahoo.co.in> wrote in message
news:4200f0f2.0211030823.62c03f86@posting.google.com...
> how do i go about making a cheap homebase ariel.. i used to know years
> ago, how to make a inverted v in the loft, but now have forgotten..
>
> HELP NEEDED..
>
> cheers

It's very simple to make a simple 11 meter dipole. Just take two lengths of 3/4" conduit around 8.6 feet long and connect them together with a wooden dowel. Be sure to connect the center conductor of your coax to one end, and the shield braid to the other end right there where the dowel is. Spray paint or varnish the wooden dowel to keep moisture out, and you have a handy 11 meter dipole. Just mount it vertically and you'll have one heck of an antenna. Be sure to insulate it from ground, though. You may also want to put PVC end caps on each end to keep moisture out.

A friend of mine built one of these and it worked quite well. Total cost should be anywhere from $10-$20.

Tom

362. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:02:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6qoa$74v2e$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142945

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211031039.2c34f721@posting.google.com...
> I am looking for a good base cb antenna where can I get in Houston Tx
> or online will do too. If not where could I get planes to build a
> good one that is easy for some who is new to cb radio. I also have a
> question can you run more than 5watt with cb radio or is 5watts the
> most. If so how can u run more watts.

See the thread "making a cheap homebase ariel?" for my reply on how to build
a simple 11 meter dipole.

Maximum legal power for a CB is 4 watts AM, 12 watts SSB. You can get
linear amplifiers for CB's, but they are illegal to use.

Tom

363. Re: Get on the air and get out the word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:04:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6qrq$7882v$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142946

"Angela Liberty" <angela@hereinreality.com> wrote in message
news:751174ee.0211031541.610a54b3@posting.google.com...
> Talk to everyone you can and remind them to vote Tuesday, and if
> they're thinking about voting Republican, tell them all about The
> Carlyle Group

Or just let them make up their own minds.

Tom

364. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:08:26 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104170826.01913.00001428@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 142848

Imax 2000....best antenna for the money

365. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:08:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6r42$77v11$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142847

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211031548.2a9828f9@posting.google.com...
> I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
> let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
> about this strange new product from Microsoft?
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
>
> Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
> Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
> 27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
> did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
> spectrum?
>
> -A

Probably because they don't have to get a license for the freq or buy
spectrum. They could use the low-power 900 MHZ band or 2.5 GHZ band, but
there's probably plenty of interference from other devices already there.

Found an interesting article in case anyone is using a wireless keyboard:

http://www.aftenposten.no/english/local/article.jhtml?articleID=427668

Tom

366. Re: lelnad here we go again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:18:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104171820.23636.00001767@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142849

> leland and guys like JJ
>etc, just because they don't use a cb everyday like you or at all means they
>cannot make comments here? Since you can't have a baby means you can't tell
>a prego female what to do. Get it

i get it, we both agree lelnad, jj, and the other non cb'ers shouldnt be here.
thanks for your support.

367. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:19:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6rpt$fg7$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

If the only reason your into ham radio is public service events then perhaps you should get out of ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

"Eric Oyen N7ZZT" <eric.oyen@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.04.13.01.05.863.6860@cox.net...
> When I noticed this,
> I was rather non-plussed.
>
> We really need to improve things in amateur radio folks. This little girl
> could very well have died had the "other" service not been available
> there (and this can happen anywhere). It truely is a black eye for
> amateur radio when a ham has to depend on a class D service because no
> one was interested enough to at least monitor a 2 meter repeater in a
> remote location!!!
>
> I happen to live in phoenix arizona (a major metropolitian location in
> the southwestern US) and I have had timed where priority traffic needed
> to be passed and no one would deign to even appear on the repeater to
> offer assistance! If this is the way ham radio is headed, I may decide to
> sell off my gear, contact the FCC and return my ticket and go strictly
> class D and cell phone use from here on out (I am reluctant to do this as
> you will see why below).
>
> Its time to wake up and smell the coffee. if you haven't yet, better
> wonder why some of the public service events we USED to work are now
> being handled "in house". its because they can't find the voluteers
> anymore. However, this was not the case this last weekend with the MS 150
> Bike event in arizona. There, at least, we had a hard working cadre of
> hams (and non-hams) that were willing to help out, especially when 4
> accidents during the course of the day on saturday (2 of which required
> air evac service!). Without ham radio, there is the very possibility that
> things could have been much worse! This is why, even with all the other
> stuff going on, that I am reluctant to give up on ham radio (it is
> tempting, but too many places and people still need us).
>
> so just remember, the next time you are out of cell phone range, and your
> old cb-radio isn't in the car anymore and you need emergency assistance.
> you better hope someone is paying attention.
>
> DE N7ZZT
> Phoenix, Arizona

>
>
> In article <20021104040322.25874.00003725@mb-fc.aol.com>, "Radionews"
> <radionews@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > A child is saved thanks to C-B radio in Australia and Ireland opts to
> > restructure with slow-code. These stories are first on Amateur Radio
> > Newsline report number 1316 coming your way right now.
> >
> >
> > (Billboard Cart Here)
> >
> > **
> >
> > RESCUE RADIO: CB HELPS SAVE HAM RADIO OPERATORS DAUGHTER?TS LIFE
> >
> > The daughter of a ham radio operator is alive after he to turns to C-B
> > radio for help. It happened down-under in Australia as we hear from
> > Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B:
> >
> > --
> > Recently on holiday at Finley, S. NSW, Eric VK2TRD's daughter Tegan, 12,
> > had a very narrow escape, after she was bitten twice by a Tiger Snake!
> > After emergency treatment at Finley Hospital she was transferred by
> > Ambulance to Shepparton, Vic.
> >
> > Travelling to Shepparton Eric called five times on the 2m repeater
> > seeking guidance to the Hospital, no response. Disgusted, he called on
> > the UHF CB repeater and got instant help.
> >
> > Over 6 days there, nothing was heard on the repeater but the ident., and
> > that was when Eric fired it up. On 2m simplex, only 2 farmers using it
> > as an intercom, no c/s's.
> >
> > Tegan, that night suffered cardiac respiratory arrest and was in
> > intensive care for two days. Now at home she is doing OK. A traumatic
> > time for all.
> >
> > For the Amateur Radio Nrewsline, I?TM graham Kemp, VK4BB of Q-News
> > Australia. --
> >
> > Once the ordeal was over, VK2TRD paused to thank all who helped in his
> > daughters rescue. This included the Shepparton CB?Ters for their
> > immediate response.
> >
> --
> Those who forget the mistakes of history will be doomed to repeat them

368. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:22:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6rvm$7nb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

How many licensed hams are there within range of your 'machine' in the middle of the day? How many are not working and would be available for monitoring the repeater?

How many CBers are there in the same circle. Need I continue? OK I will anyway. There are lots and lots more CBers than hams...why? They don't have to take a test. Hams have to at least put out some effort.

And apparantly it also means most CBers are unemployeed.

Dan/W4NTI

"g0mem" <isembardbinladen@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:fTAx9.1791$xF.226@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Eric Oyen N7ZZT" <eric.oyen@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.11.04.13.01.05.863.6860@cox.net...
> > When I noticed this,
> > I was rather non-plussed.
> >
> > We really need to improve things in amateur radio folks. This little girl
> > could very well have died had the "other" service not been available
> > there (and this can happen anywhere). > >
>
> A similar thing happened to me with CB and Ham radio, although not an
> emergency. My van broke down in the middle of Dartmoor in Devon, UK which is
> the middle of nowhere. My cellphone didnt work in that area. So I called on
> the local 2 meter repeater for about an hour, and not one voice was heard. I
> then remembered that I still had a CB handheld in the back of the van. I
> then got calling on channel 19, and within 5 minutes someone came back to
> me. He was able phone the breakdown company so that they could come and tow
> me.
> This was during the middle of the day, when people would be listening to the
> repeater. So much for Ham radio!
>
> 73 de G0MEM
>
>

369. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:29:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104172925.23636.00001777@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142852

how can i admitt to it when you never gave a time or date of hearing it? i said in jest if it was a big bad ass signal it probably was me cuz i have a big bad ass radio station.

>Wow Twist some heavy accusations or are they opinions?
>Interesting though Nebo admitted to being the one so there goes that theory,
>20 why would he use his call sign. Now I have never met the man but I can
>only assume from his posts he is more intelligent than that. But that is
>moot since nebro has gave a confession.

370. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:31:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6sfg$72ss2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142853

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:IUyx9.4815$bE1.3755@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> An amendment to the Local Enforcement law that lets state DOT officers
> inspect, fine, and seize
> illegal radios at weigh stations? Who knows. After all, some people said
> local enforcement was
> "un-consti-pa-tional", uh, er, "unconsti-too-shun-al" (sorry, couldn't
> resist playing with words), but
> it passed, no matter how ineffective it is in its current form. Never say
> never.

As one who works in law enforcement, I think it's a stupid idea. Basically what happened was that the FCC told Congress that CB is too big of a problem for them to deal with so let local law enforcement deal with it. One of the problems with it is that most cops don't know a CB from a business band or ham radio. In other words, they're not trained to know what is or is not an illegal radio setup. There has been no training in this area by the FCC, even though the FCC stated when the proposed law was being debated that they would provide assistance to local law enforcement in this area. So unless a cop takes it upon himself to learn all the CB rules & regs (unlikely), CB complaints are probably just going to be handled like regular nuisance complaints (such as loud music). They'll probably just tell the offending CB operator to turn it down or turn it off for the night, and call it call complete.

The other problem I have with it is that most law enforcement is busy enough with real crime, and doesn't have the time to deal with Joe Blow's TV that's getting interfered with by "Gear Jammer's" CB next door.

It probably would be much easier for DOT personnel to check CB equipment in trucks, but I doubt the FCC would train them in what to look for, either. Many times you can't tell that a CB can operate on illegal frequencies just by looking at it. And many "export" radios look just like CB's.

>
> As to "if it sounds like", it is, perhaps, a bit more easy to ID a trucker
> on 28.085 AM. First of all,
> when the trucker says, "AH, tan fer thar, D R I V A H, Ah'm on Nevada
State
> Route 16 with 18,000 lbs, tan-fo'!" (SQUEAK!) So, in that case, if it
TALKS
> like a trucker, discusses loads like
> a trucker, and squeaks like a trucker, then it must be
> a.....

>
>
>
> trucker.

And 28.085 is very busy with them today as I type this. I can't say for sure that they're all truckers, but they are definitely CBer's. All AM mode and no ID's.

Tom

371. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:32:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104173204.23636.00001780@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142854

george are you drunk? you said you heard a Kp82 on 555 giving them hell and i told you in jest that it was likely me if it was a big signal with good audio. never did i say i was the one using KC8LDO but i do hear it pretty regularly calling on 555

>: make a wav file of myself? because that is who i was talking about.
>
>
>Bingo! We all knew that Tim we all know that leland wouldn't use his call
>sign bootlegging we all know you are the culprit.

372. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:37:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104173757.23636.00001782@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142856

tom, the cb'er you replied to was in india. maybe you can give it to him in meters? lol

>
>It's very simple to make a simple 11 meter dipole. Just take two lengths of
>3/4" conduit around 8.6 feet long and connect them together with a wooden
>dowel. Be sure to connect the center conductor of your coax to one end, and
>the shield braid to the other end right there where the dowel is. Spray
>paint or varnish the wooden dowel to keep moisture out, and you have a handy
>11 meter dipole. Just mount it vertically and you'll have one heck of an
>antenna. Be sure to insulate it from ground, though. You may also want to
>put PVC end caps on each end to keep moisture out.
>
>A friend of mine built one of these and it worked quite well. Total cost
>should be anywhere from $10-$20.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

373. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:39:25 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq6ste$75d9c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142855

"the lone marauder" <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3DC6C497.1020608@yahoo.com...
>
> My
> understanding of FCC regs is that advertisements are illegal on CB, as
> is communicating further than 155 miles,

CB may be used for business communications. I don't think there's anything
that says that advertisements are illegal, but it could be how you define
"business communications."

As these ads tend to be one-way broadcasts, I don't think that would be
infringing upon the 155-mile rule since they're not "communicating" with any
other stations.

To,

374. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:44:36 UTC
From: g0mem <isembardbinladen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lLCx9.3588$xF.1413@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 142857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq6rvm$7nb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> How many licensed hams are there within range of your 'machine' in the
> middle of the day? How many are not working and would be available for
> monitoring the repeater?
>
There generally is activity on the repeater during the day. But not on the
day I needed it :-(. I did also call on S20 the UK 2 meter calling channel.

> How many CBers are there in the same circle. Need I continue? OK I will
> anyway. There are lots and lots more CBers than hams...why? They don't
> have to take a test. Hams have to at least put out some effort.

Yes agreed. This incident happened 7 years ago when there were a lot more
CBers, in this country.

73 de G0MEM

375. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 22:49:54 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <QjCdnVMNXM0OZFugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142858

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6D4C4-125@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
You got the message loud and clear otherwise, you would post again the same thing you posted last time just to try and prove a point. You haven't done it since. You learn a bit faster than the others who so decidedly made you one of their own.

Ill post it again twistsy, my isp they wrote you off like a bad loan, when I want to post it again I will It is in the public domain, it is in googles archives, since now it has been posted to this NG on more than one occasion. Have a Nice Nite! :-)

376. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:15:26 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <frvdsu4kh6mgmfq610ms6v5f6qqhj06n8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 142859

On Sun, 3 Nov 2002 21:15:47 -0500 (EST), DipoleDude@webtv.net (Mike Roe Farad) wrote:

>I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
>traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
>"freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
>talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
>But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
>Mike

14.302.50 MHZ
''Behold how good and pleasant it is for Brethren to dwell together in unity''
Donnie n4jzh@knology.net

377. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:19:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104181928.27632.00002572@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142860

its a better reason that just so you can walk around and play radio cop and
feel important

>If the only reason your into ham radio is public service events then perhaps
>you should get out of ham radio.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

378. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:22:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142861

one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb

>As these ads tend to be one-way broadcasts, I don't think that would be
>infringing upon the 155-mile rule since they're not "communicating" with any
>other stations.
>
>To,
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

379. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:25:55 UTC
From: Barnaby Jones <barnaby@jones.comSpam>
Message-ID: <O62cnS4ZuuuZn1qgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142862

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aq6sfg$72ss2$1@ID-

: And 28.085 is very busy with them today as I type this. I can't say for
: sure that they're all truckers, but they are definitely CBer's. All AM
mode
: and no ID's.
:
: Tom

Thanks for also confirming that Tom, old Richard Cranium seems to think
those signals might be aliens or signals from Jupiter instead of truckers
and Cber's.

380. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:34:19 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211041534.1f946d4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142863

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com...
>>
>> Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into
this
>> thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can
>> understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few
others
>> who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom"
>> argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron
>> Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even
>> resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense
>> that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You
started
>> an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for
>> participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet.
And
>> I'm not the only one that's noticed it.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>I think you'd better take your Prozac. I, and I'm sure there are
others,
>who find it quite odd that your main mission in life seems to be to
rid
>the world of illegal CBer's when you are neither a CBer nor a ham.
>I also find
>it odd that you take offense at someone asking about
>your CB setup. After
>all, this is a CB forum to discuss CB radio, is it not? But when
anyone
>asks you about what equipment you use, you take it as
>a personal attack.
>
>Tom
>

First of all, the topic here is CB, and no matter if one is a CBer, or
a ham, or neither, they have a right to post here.

Secondly, if you want to try and propagate the idea that I shouldn't
be posting here because I don't have this or that kind of radio or
antenna, go right ahead --- but don't expect me to participate in

something so silly, LOL.

Thirdly, if you were observant, you'd see quite a few in here that might appear to be on "missions" of one kind or another. Maybe you agree with them, maybe you don't, but I find it odd that the only one you are disturbed by is Mr. Aaron Voobner.

So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go right ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation that you're "on a mission". :)

-A

381. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:40:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PrDx9.5914$bE1.3500@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142865

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:239-3DC6D1E5-247@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Let me add a bit to what I said above. The reason I know he has to know
> about it is that a number of hams have told me that they have already
> sent letters to him about the issue. I, too, have written him about the
> matter, and if he reads his mail, he definitely knows. Additionally, I
> intend to write the same letter to all the sponsors of the Covenant
> Exemption Bill, a couple of whom are also hams and should be concerned
> with the intrusion. Incidentally, that bill will not likely come to a
> vote this
> year, but will be re-introduced in the 2003 session. Some of us are
> doing something besides just
> griping on the newsgroups by writing letters and networking with what we
> hope are the "right" people to get something done about it.<
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Got that right.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >In spite of what a few say here, I am not a CB-hater; I just want the
> 10 Meter intruders to be dealt with. I do get irritated at times, and
> that manifests itself when I get to mocking the CB stereotype that is
> common around here. I don't really mean anything by it, it just means I
> am getting aggrated. <
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hey, if that's your extent, rant on.
> It's quite intellectual when compared to some of the stuff in here.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >I also know that ARRL is working behind the scenes because I have had
> emails with Jim Haynie,
> President of ARRL. MANY hams are ticked off about the usurping of 10
> Meter frequencies; the readers here just don't see that because those
> hams don't happen to post here. <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> You must have been up late last night, Jim.
> Look at the last few looong threads......nocodes,,but they are hammies.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> >If something doesn't
> happen, it won't be due to lack of "interest" on the parts of the people
> being interfered with. <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> It will be because the problem will simply go "poof" just like it
> appeared, it will be gone.

I don't understand where you are coming from with that premise. The drivers
will STILL
be there; why move even if the MUF is down to talk to the next truck? And
we will STILL
hear the local ones--in my case I-85 between Kings Mountain and Charlotte,
NC. Since I
hear truckers up as high as 29 MHZ, then I will work to remove them as well.
We have a pretty
good group around here that LIKES it when 10 isn't "running". We have a
little roundtable group
on 10. Even THAT has been interferred with by a bandit. I went out and
found him in about 20
minutes.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >We are confident, however, that action WILL be taken because unlicensed
> operators cannot be allowed to roam everywhere they wish. <
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Agreed.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Eventually, they would land on some frequency that has vital uses and
> won't be tolerated.<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Ummmmm.....not a very good chance of that happening with your typical
> export radio.

But, as I stated below, there are some other single band radios being tried
that look like CB radios complete with beep and squeak junk. How long would
it be before
40 Meter, 50 watt Galaxy radios would be in truck stops if it isn't stopped
now?
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Better to deal with it now than to just wait and hope the problem
> goes away. Even with the waning cycle, it will STILL be a local problem
> wherever the truckers are
> talking.<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I think the truckers will move back down once it's quiet again.
> Same thing every cycle.

See above
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Some of those manufacturers are already offering single-band, CB
> look-alike radios. Test- ing to see if there's a market? Maybe. There
> is, for example, a Ranger 15 Meter(?)--correct me if
> I am wrong about the band or mfr-----and I think I saw such features as

> echo
> and R-beep. <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I think I may have read a thing or two about 10/11/12 meter radios.

There are some others being market-tested to see if anyone will buy them.

(Shudder)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Don't
> know about you, but I can see where that is heading, and we hope to head
> it off. <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> Since it really doesn't concern me one way or the other, I wish you good
> luck.

Well, it bothers the hell outta me. All they need to do is just go back to
the 40 channels,
and stay the devil out of 10-12 meters and the issue would go away.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >What the "militants" do within the 40--even on *some* of the
> "freeband"--doesn't really concern me. I just want to turn on my 10
> Meter band and hear.............(quiet), or Dah di-Dah-dit, Dah, Dah,
> DiDah (CQ), NOT "Ah 10-4 driver".
> Jerry<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Uh-huh.....I hear cw on 555 at times........it doesn't bother me...even
> when it's vulgar.........when it's benign, I sometimes even
> answer....hell, tune in and listen on a Saturday afternoon or night...I
> guarantee you'll hear it........Sunday morns, too!
>

382. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:40:56 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211041540.323d6518@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142864

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:6e58e321.0211022357.122c5215@posting.google.com...
>
>> PS: Who said "FRN"? I said www.frn.net.
>>
>
>What's the difference? The FRN is at www.frn.net. And why is he a
troll?
>I think he brings up a valid point.
>
>I think pirates could make use of some of the freeband
>frequencies during the day.

I don't think pirates should "make use of" ANY frequencies, especially
those that are authorized for use by legitimate services.

-A

383. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-04 23:58:09 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <zNudnV2eAP1glFqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142867

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com...
> one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb

Heard several of these at various truck stops along I-75 last weekend and previous, advertising truck washes, window tinting CB shops..
The ones I heard didn't seem to be running any power. Actually, I don't remember hearing any stations with anomalously long ranges.

384. I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:11:28 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211041611.18b244d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142868

What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.

385. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:23:32 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC70F84.EBF0F2A1@believeit.com>
Source record: 142869

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Is is just me or does Lelnad have serious unresolved personal issues
> with abortion? I mean, he has mentioned this thing many times....

He's an abortion that didn't take.

386. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:27:38 UTC
From: Buddy Ebsen <budyebsen@welldoggie.com>
Message-ID: <itScnbz7843mjVqgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142870

"Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DC70F84.EBF0F2A1@believeit.com...
:
:
: Twistedhed wrote:
: >
: > Is is just me or does Lelnad have serious unresolved personal issues
: > with abortion? I mean, he has mentioned this thing many times....
:
: He's an abortion that didn't take.

Scott your mother have anything that Lived?

387. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:37:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <upEx9.276413$Fw2.8072280@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142871

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:5uCcnRXBGsw4WVugXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021104134258.22205.00001480@mb-cv.aol.com...
> : gg, go over their and sit in a cheer while you shut the fuck up lol but
> : seriously, who cares how i spill enetang cept you? you can keep
> criticizing
> : what i spell but you cant touch what i write. have a good day.
>
> Oh but timmy boy there are plenty in here that worry about spellin and
> Grammar, just ask your longtime friend Randy Chapman he is hung up on it
as
> well as dickhead cranium so don't act like JJ is the only one who says
> something. he can't touch what you say because he can't understand it. lol
>

George, please feel free to call me Randy. Being friends and all, it really looks funny when you put my whole name out there....although, if you have other motives, feel free as well.

388. Re: Voobner posts useful info.....amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:38:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211041638.3a8a1325@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142872

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<zWednXqXLul1XlugXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> "Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ce161eb2.0211041054.380100ed@posting.google.com...
> : I have to mark this on my calendar. Bloobner posts information that is
> : interesting, on topic and some people might actually care about.
> : Miracles never cease. I don't see though, where he called the FCC to
> : report this infraction. Although I'm sure it's ok with him since it's
> : Microsoft infringing on CB, and not hammie airspace.
>
> How is microsoft infringing on CB? the R/C channels are not part of the CB
> Band just like the Freeband is not part of the CB band. So I will ask again
> where is the infraction ? I realize this is a troll post but at least be
> credible.

CREDIBLE?? Har de har har har! Aanal Aaron has been proven wrong about
everything he ever posted. How is that credible?

BTW, where's the "proof" he offered to "Gladly!" post that somehow I',
"Steve"? He has no credibility; he ran and hid because I wouldn't stop
reminding him that he offered to post it.

CREDIBLE? I don't think so!

And how ya doing Georgie-girl Busck WA3MOJ, you anonymous troll?

389. Re: I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:38:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104193855.02727.00001425@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142873

cancel the ground plane kit and save you some $$$. youll get about 25-30 miles
at night with that setup

>
>
>What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec
>on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might
>need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and
>how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.
>
>
>
>
>
>

390. Re: Once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:40:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211041640.553b1eae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142874

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0211040016.5f08103@posting.google.com>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021103212218.251$Bh@newsreader.com...
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > > >> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
> > > >
> > > Man,that's killer!!
> > >
>
> I laughed my ass off at it, it actually is pretty cool. If I had a
> flash authoring program I'd do one like it.
>
> -A

How about "doing" the PROOF you offered to "Gladly!" post that I'm
somehow someone named "Steve", loser?

Instead, you cut and run like a beaten puppy. Post it or just go away,
liar.

391. Re: I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:41:28 UTC
From: jhultman <jhultman@cisco.com>
Message-ID: <3DC713B7.D8DCE759@cisco.com>
Source record: 142876

Check saturdays postings. There was a complete discussion
on the A-99. Good suggestions and tech references...

I have one, it's not performing well. (I can't get an acceptable
SWR) Now I'm looking for an online manual for it.
I've upgraded the coax to RG8. Now I have to get up on the
roof and try adjusting the antenna again.
Next step is to send the radio in for a check up.

Anyone know of a reputable shop in the South Bay Area/San Jose, CA.
There's a place in Gilroy I think...

Jeff

DJboutit wrote:
>
> What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec
> on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might
> need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and
> how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.

392. Whoa! Hold up folks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:42:17 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JtEx9.276429$Fw2.8072685@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142875

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:BYGcnSQ7O8guWFugXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021104134535.22205.00001482@mb-cv.aol.com...
> : sure i know better than to put a product liek this on the cb "A"
channels.
> if
> : the tech at microsoft havent figured it out yet they soon will. i bet
not
> one
> : of them was a cb'er lol
>
> Oh so you think he was a tech who injuneered this thang, and not any sort
of
> injuneer. Can we have your reason for why this is a bad idea? Do you know
> power levels of these devices ? Harmonic content? IMD Figures? Antenna
size,
> range? Why weren't the r/c cars a bad idea when they were on 27 mhz? What
> harm did they do?
>
> How can you answer these question with any reasonable conclusion without
> these facts . Or are you just going on your good engineering skills.
>
> :
> : >
> : >
> : >: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft
that's
> : >what I
> : >: >want to hear you say.
> : >
> : >
> : >No answer?
> : >
>

Just for the record, the proximity of the transmitter and the receiver in
this setup makes it unlikely that interference will be a problem....unless
of course, you live next door to a person whom regularly uses one of the "A"
channels.

393. Re: Get on the air and get out the word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:44:11 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211041644.1b03e9e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142877

angela@hereinreality.com (Angela Liberty) wrote in message
news:<751174ee.0211031541.610a54b3@posting.google.com>...
> Talk to everyone you can and remind them to vote Tuesday, and if
> they're thinking about voting Republican, tell them all about The
> Carlyle Group
> http://www.hereinreality.com/carlyle.html
> Let them know, too, about the Bush Family Values Photo Album
> http://www.hereinreality.com/familyvalues.html
> People that aren't online don't have any way to know about this stuff.

well do you think i love the bush situation????????? hell
no.........!!!! i don't ....but it is like michael savage
says......we have been reduced to voting for the lessor of two
evils........and that my friend is a danger to democracy and a danger
to our way of life and a danger.....a clear and present
danger..........all i can say is this......watch your ass, keep those
eyes in the back of your head.......load up on guns and ammo and wait
for 'them'to make their move, whoever they are...........because it is
coming ,.........sooner than we think.........and while we fritter away
the hours watching football , drinking beer, and being silly, our
enemies without and our enemies within are
busy.......................one day we will have to stand.........for
this country of ours one way or another.....it is not the bushes that
make us great..........it is us........we the people...........the
ones who do the dying, the ones that pay the taxes, the ones that put
up with this crap..........i get sick of it..............and it is
easy to throw up your hands and say it is over.......but it
isn't..........exercise that right to vote tomorrow....exercise
it......it is precious.......it is your right.......and believe me
when i say this........it is more powerful than anyone with a ton of
money can possibly imagine..........if only we could grasp this simple
truth.......if only.........................

394. What do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 00:55:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wGEx9.276459$Fw2.8075400@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142878

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com...
> Tom Sevart wrote
> >I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and
> >antenna you use, yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think
> >that you don't operate CB, I know you're not a ham, yet you are
> >obsessed with illegal CB operators. Perhaps you're not really in
> >person, but you seem to be a very peculiar person.
> >
> >If I'm wrong about your actual participation in the radio hobby
> >then I'm sorry, but that's the way you've come across.
> >
> >Tom
>
>
> Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into this
> thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can
> understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few others
> who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom"
> argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron
> Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even
> resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense
> that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You started
> an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for
> participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet. And
> I'm not the only one that's noticed it.
>
> -Aaron-

So Dwight...oops, I mean Aaron, what setup do you use? Sorry about the
Freudian slip there, just a major resemblance between the posts of both. I
do apologize.

395. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:02:48 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YMEx9.11025$ar4.107509@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 142879

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92BC732FABBF2rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
<snip>

>I kinda like 'em that way.
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

All rigth Alan, you've got 3 days to retract that statement. I mean it. If you don't come to your senses in three days I'm gonna Kline your ass suckka.

To each his own I guess. I am a square, simplistic, kindda guy. I like my electronics basic and simple in shape and color. I find things much more appealing that way. I like only features that are necessary and useful. To be honest I don't even like Ch 9/19 switches on CB's, but no one ever said I was normal.

I agree that you gotta give the customer what they want. Unfortunately for me most people want "Big Chrome" oh well.

Dave

396. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:02:58 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6NEx9.11027$ar4.107616@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 142880

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021104011151.207$i7@newsreader.com...

> (lots of automatic weapon laws that don't apply here sniped)
>
> Big Chrome? WTF is that?

Actually Mopar, In my reply I mentioned the laws don't pertain to the NG or issue. Mentioning those laws, however, illustrates what happens when people start passing laws willy nilly to solve a problem. Laws don't mean jack shit. If you have a law banning something why do you need two? That doesn't solve the problem it just placates the ignorant public. The problem rolls on. And many times rights and privileges that have nothing to do with the problem get steam rolled in the process.

Dave

397. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:15:44 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <rubberchicken@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <use6t1kuvtia58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142881

```
"Buddy Ebsen" <budyebsen@welldoggie.com> wrote in message
news:itScnbz7843mjVqgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC70F84.EBF0F2A1@believeit.com...
> :
> :
> : Twistedhed wrote:
> : >
> : > Is is just me or does Lelnad have serious unresolved personal issues
> : > with abortion? I mean, he has mentioned this thing many times....
> :
> : He's an abortion that didn't take.
>
> Scott your mother have anything that Lived?
>

Did yours?, or did your dad whack off in a flower pot, and raise
a blooming **idiot!**?
```

398. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:23:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <use7dq5mf9fi23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142882

Well, an interesting subject, but one that has degenerated into a spelling contest. Go figure.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - looks like corporate America realises what the cbers have known all along. The FCC doesn't care.

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:6e58e321.0211031548.2a9828f9@posting.google.com...
> I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
> let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
> about this strange new product from Microsoft?
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
>
> Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
> Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
> 27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
> did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
> spectrum?
>
> -A

399. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:26:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <use7i127jo7l64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142883

Interesting, Tom. Seems that a lot of folks believe the radio waves stop at the walls. Hmmmm ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aq6r42$77v11$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0211031548.2a9828f9@posting.google.com...
> > I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
> > let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
> > about this strange new product from Microsoft?
> >
> > http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
> >
> > Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
> > Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
> > 27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
> > did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
> > spectrum?
> >
> > -A
>
> Probably because they don't have to get a license for the freq or buy
> spectrum. They could use the low-power 900 MHZ band or 2.5 GHZ band, but
> there's probably plenty of interference from other devices already there.
>
> Found an interesting article in case anyone is using a wireless keyboard:
>
> http://www.aftenposten.no/english/local/article.jhtml?articleID=427668
>
> Tom
>
>
>

400. WTB Astatic Echomax 2000 Base Microphone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 01:54:28 UTC
From: dutyron@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104205428.21452.00000075@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 142885

Hi All looking to buy a Astatic Echomax 2000 Base Microphone in excellent condition with owners manual please E-mail me at dutyron@aol.com Thanks Ron

401. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:24:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7a41$77dm2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142887

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211041534.1f946d4d@posting.google.com...

> First of all, the topic here is CB, and no matter if one is a CBer, or
> a ham, or neither, they have a right to post here.

Agreed. So are you saying that you are niether a ham nor a CBer?

> Secondly, if you want to try and propagate the idea that I shouldn't
> be posting here because I don't have this or that kind of radio or
> antenna, go right ahead --- but don't expect me to participate in
> something so silly, LOL.

That's not at all what I'm implying. I just find it odd that since you seem
to be niether a ham nor a CBer, that you are devoting so much time to
getting rid of illegal CBer's. It's kind of like someone who's not a
numismatist (coin collector) taking part in the dipping vs. no dipping
debate.

> Thirdly, if you were observant, you'd see quite a few in here that
> might appear to be on "missions" of one kind or another. Maybe you
> agree with them, maybe you don't, but I find it odd that the only one
> you are disturbed by is Mr. Aaron Voobner.

I understand Jerry Oxendine's mission, and it's one that I don't disagree
with. I understand the mission of some of the troublemakers in here who
just want to troll. I understand your mission also, but I don't understand
why you're on it. And no, I'm not disturbed by it. Just curious as to why
someone would expend so much energy on a matter that doesn't matter to them.

> So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go right
> ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation that
> you're "on a mission". :)

I've never tried to discredit you except the time you denied making the
statement that all 10 meter radios are illegal for amateur use, but I don't
want to drag all that out again.

Please stop twisting everything I say around. I know you love to debate,
but may I suggest you try alt.support.ocd?

Tom

402. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:27:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7a9b$6vdbd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142888

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211041540.323d6518@posting.google.com...

> I don't think pirates should "make use of" ANY frequencies, especially
> those that are authorized for use by legitimate services.

As long as they're not causing interference to anyone and providing
entertainment to people who want to listen to them, what's the problem?
Yes, it's illegal to transmit on unathorized frequencies; we all know that.
The pirates know that also and they're the ones taking the risk. If they're
going to take the risk of getting caught to put on a quality broadcast, then
I'll listen in.

Tom

403. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:29:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7acg$780k2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142889

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:use7i127jo7l64@corp.supernews.com...
> Interesting, Tom. Seems that a lot of folks believe the radio waves stop
at
> the walls. Hmmmm ...
>

Yeah, I wouldn't mind a wireless mouse, but I'll sure as heck avoid a
wireless keyboard. What if that guy typed in his credit card number?

Tom

404. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:32:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7aj6$7a1g8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142890

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104173757.23636.00001782@mb-cr.aol.com...
> tom, the cb'er you replied to was in india. maybe you can give it to him
in
> meters? lol
>

Oops, didn't realize that... well then, just figure up 1/4 of 11 which would
equal 2.75 meters for each leg of the dipole.

Hmmm... metric seems to be a little easier than feet/inches... yet I still
can't figure out how long a kilometer is... (yes, I know, 1000 meters, but
how long is that in real length?)

Tom

405. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:36:07 UTC
From: Buddy Ebsen <budyebsen@welldoggie.com>
Message-ID: <EoGcncok2sYFs1qgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142891

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:upEx9.276413

: George, please feel free to call me Randy. Being friends and all, it
really
: looks funny when you put my whole name out there....although, if you have
: other motives, feel free as well.
:

Not at all Randy, I just wanted them to know who I was talking about.

:

406. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:39:56 UTC
From: Buddy Ebsen <budyebsen@welldoggie.com>
Message-ID: <G_acnRZX3tbgslqgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142893

"Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
news:use6t1kuvtia58@corp.supernews.com...

: Did yours?, or did your dad whack off in a flower pot, and raise
: a blooming **idiot!**?

Are you Scott?

407. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:40:07 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7b0m$74k4r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142892

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com...
> one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb
>

OK, I was wondering about that as broadcasts are illgal for hams, also. I just don't have a copy of the CB rules handy. In that case the ads would be illegal. Not that it really matters.

I also heard the one a few days ago for the Mexican "gentlemen's club" or whatever they were advertising.

Tom

408. Re: I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:44:01 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq7b7v$70tdd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142894

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211041611.18b244d4@posting.google.com...
> What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec
> on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might
> need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and
> how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.

The range you'll have depends on several things. Not only the type of antenna and height that you mentioned, but also if your radio is putting out full power. It also depends on your surrounding terrain. If you live in a valley or surrounded by tall buildings, then your range will be limited.

As far as gain is concerned, it is a subjective value. You have to compare gain against something else, such as a dipole in free space.

Tom

409. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:45:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021104214511.22024.00001470@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142895

its a lil less than a mile

>Oops, didn't realize that... well then, just figure up 1/4 of 11 which would
>equal 2.75 meters for each leg of the dipole.
>
>Hmmm... metric seems to be a little easier than feet/inches... yet I still
>can't figure out how long a kilometer is... (yes, I know, 1000 meters, but
>how long is that in real length?)
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

410. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:48:44 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <gkGx9.4007$z5.2964506@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142884

And you have the right to that opinion. I feel if pirates are interfering with other stations on SW, AM, or FM band, then let them be. I'm sure you'll bring up the arguement tht every FM pirate interferes with licensed radio stations, however there is documented prrof of some that didn't or don't.
I will give you that pirates or outbands shouldn't interfere with public services bands (ie: police, fire, emergency, etc.)

Aaron
KB8ZVN

411. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 02:58:47 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <HtGx9.4008$z5.2966071@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142886

Actually, there have been wireless mice that use the frequency for a while. A friend of nime had one. Key word being HAD. He was yakking with a friend of his on 27.145 LSB one day (he's got his rigs and puters in the same room) and it blew out the recieving unit for the mouse. Took him getting it repaired twice and buiyng a new one once to figure out what the heck caused it. My recommendation is don't buy one if your gear and computers are in the same room or adjacent rooms.
Aaron
KB8ZVN

412. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 03:11:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1xGx9.7217$bE1.1476@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142896

Dave <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:YMEx9.11025
>
> I agree that you gotta give the customer what they want. Unfortunately for
> me most people want "Big Chrome" oh well.
>
> Dave

That's why they sold '59 Cadillacs! LOL!

Jerry
>
>

413. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 03:36:36 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <yYmcnYdzXpisoFqgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142997

My recommendation is don't buy one if your gear and computers are in
> the same room or adjacent rooms.
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN

You shouldn't have that much RF in your shack.
My gear is not only on the same desk as my computers, but also the same bench where I do my deisgn work. No problems at all. But then I don't have a bunch of RF on my coax shield.

414. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 03:50:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ceHx9.278941$121.8011052@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142998

"Buddy Ebsen" <budyebsen@welldoggie.com> wrote in message
news:EoGcncok2sYFs1qgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:upEx9.276413
>
> : George, please feel free to call me Randy. Being friends and all, it
> really
> : looks funny when you put my whole name out there....although, if you
have
> : other motives, feel free as well.
> :
>
> Not at all Randy, I just wanted them to know who I was talking about.
>
> :
>
>

No problem. BTW, how is Granny?

415. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:01:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UoHx9.277375$Fw2.8125812@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142999

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ceHx9.278941$121.8011052@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Buddy Ebsen" <budyebsen@welldoggie.com> wrote in message
> news:EoGcncok2sYFs1qgXTWcog@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:upEx9.276413
> >
> > : George, please feel free to call me Randy. Being friends and all, it
> > really
> > : looks funny when you put my whole name out there....although, if you
> have
> > : other motives, feel free as well.
> > :
> >
> > Not at all Randy, I just wanted them to know who I was talking about.
> >
> > :
> >
> >
>
> No problem. BTW, how is Granny?
>
>

Oops, almost forgot. She is still dead, isn't she?

416. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:20:32 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211042020.d66d4ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143000

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:6e58e321.0211041534.1f946d4d@posting.google.com...
>
>> First of all, the topic here is CB, and no matter if one is a CBer,
or
>> a ham, or neither, they have a right to post here.
>
>Agreed. So are you saying that you are niether a >ham nor a CBer?
>
>> Secondly, if you want to try and propagate the idea that I
shouldn't
>> be posting here because I don't have this or that kind of radio or
>> antenna, go right ahead --- but don't expect me to participate in
>> something so silly, LOL.
>
>That's not at all what I'm implying. I just find it odd that since
you
>seem
>to be niether a ham nor a CBer, that you are devoting so much time to
>getting rid of illegal CBer's. It's kind of like someone who's not a
>numismatist (coin collector) taking part in the dipping vs. no
dipping
>debate.
>
>> Thirdly, if you were observant, you'd see quite a few in here that
>> might appear to be on "missions" of one kind or another. Maybe you
>> agree with them, maybe you don't, but I find it odd that the only
one
>> you are disturbed by is Mr. Aaron Voobner.
>
>I understand Jerry Oxendine's mission, and it's one that I don't
disagree
>with. I understand the mission of some of the troublemakers in here
>who
>just want to troll. I understand your mission also, but I don't
understand
>why you're on it. And no, I'm not disturbed by it. Just curious as
to
>why
>someone would expend so much energy on a matter that doesn't matter
>to them.
>
>> So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go

right
>> ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation
>>that
>> you're "on a mission". :)
>
>I've never tried to discredit you except the time you denied making
the
>statement that all 10 meter radios are illegal for amateur use, but I
don't
>want to drag all that out again.
>
>Please stop twisting everything I say around. I know you love to
debate,
>but may I suggest you try alt.support.ocd?
>
>Tom

Yeah, right, no matter that I never said all 10 meter radios are illegal for amateur use. If you want to believe that, it is your right, no matter how silly it is. And I see you've worked in some more questions about "Voobner" in this post. It's clear that no matter what the topic is, you want the focus on Aaron Voobner. Maybe you need to start a group called alt.support.aaronvoobner.fanclub ?

-A

417. Re: Looking for a good base cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:22:03 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <LHHx9.1534$En4.173978@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143001

Now THAT'S a qualified answer!

>Imax 2000....best antenna for the money

418. CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:29:27 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104232927.25171.00003171@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 143002

20 years ago we used to make amp with car stero amps and worked great ,so what the racket with the linear amps use a car stero amp modifie input and out putand you'll have a real pusher

419. Re: I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:40:43 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <fZHx9.1565$En4.173978@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143003

Trust me Jeff, send it to...

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

You will be absolutly THRILLED on how well it works!!!

What radio do you have?

Dino

>Check saturdays postings. There was a complete discussion
>on the A-99. Good suggestions and tech references...
>
>I have one, it's not performing well. (I can't get an acceptable
>SWR) Now I'm looking for an online manual for it.
>I've upgraded the coax to RG8. Now I have to get up on the
>roof and try adjusting the antenna again.
>Next step is to send the radio in for a check up.
>
>Anyone know of a reputable shop in the South Bay Area/San Jose, CA.
>There's a place in Gilroy I think...
>
>Jeff
>
>
>DJboutit wrote:
>>
>> What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec
>> on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might
>> need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and
>> how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.

420. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:46:06 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC74D0E.F7DA2EA9@believeit.com>
Source record: 143004

Buddy Ebsen wrote:
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> news:use6t1kuvtia58@corp.supernews.com...
>
> : Did yours?, or did your dad whack off in a flower pot, and raise
> : a blooming **idiot!**?
>
> Are you Scott?

I'm George. Now leave me alone Scott. Don't try that BS on me.

421. Re: CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 04:48:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <n9ycnQQPkMyP01qgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143005

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021104232927.25171.00003171@mb-me.aol.com...
> 20 years ago we used to make amp with car stero amps and worked great ,so
what
> the racket with the linear amps use a car stero amp modifie input and out
> putand you'll have a real pusher

What bridge do you normally live under?

422. Re: CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:03:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usek8mjupugs04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143006

Yeah. With an upper limit of 20 KHz at perhaps 3 dB down, this should be a real kick. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021104232927.25171.00003171@mb-me.aol.com...
> 20 years ago we used to make amp with car stero amps and worked great ,so what
> the racket with the linear amps use a car stero amp modifie input and out
> putand you'll have a real pusher

423. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:07:07 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105000707.26452.00001137@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143007

> How is CB a hobby?
>>
>> And how is it different/better/worse than Ham Radio?
>
>That's obvious: No license is required for CB. Better/worse is just an
>opinion.

So, Ham Radio is the same as CB, just more toys (frequencies) to play with?
Thats what I suspected.

The question is not how Ham Radio COULD be different than CB. The question is
how IS Ham Radio different. The word "is" requires one to define Ham Radio by
how it is being utilized, not by what it claims.

In theory, Hams can also experiment with with electronics as well. In reality,
the overwhelming majority of Hams are appliance operators.

424. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:10:47 UTC
From: Stacys Ass is top Ten <kiebler@welldoggie.com>
Message-ID: <6BqdnX8AX7VFz1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143008

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:UoHx9.277375$
: > No problem. BTW, how is Granny?
: >
: >
:
: Oops, almost forgot. She is still dead, isn't she?

Yep that last batch of possum stew did her in

425. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:15:47 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <W4ecnS7jBKGYyVqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143009

"Stacys Ass is top Ten" <kiebler@welldoggie.com> wrote in message
news:6BqdnX8AX7VFz1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
:
: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:UoHx9.277375$
: : > No problem. BTW, how is Granny?
: : >
: : >
: :
: : Oops, almost forgot. She is still dead, isn't she?
:
: Yep that last batch of possum stew did her in
:
:

426. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:17:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <BaScnQHRjrB3yVqgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143010

> In theory, Hams can also experiment with with electronics as well. In reality,
> the overwhelming majority of Hams are appliance operators.

This one designs said appliances.

427. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:43:53 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<483752378587234658923465@45827346587346587923458738465873465.com`>
Message-ID: <2jmesuknjqtqqcv610rl1kv5konh6a1osv@4ax.com>
Source record: 143011

```
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I Just could see it NOW!

I KEYED MY LINEAR and FORMATTED MY HARD DRIVE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

HEHEHEHEHEHEHE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 3 Nov 2002 15:48:59 -0800, avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
Voobner) was blabbling:

...I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
...let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
...about this strange new product from Microsoft?
...
...http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
...
...Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
...Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045
or
...27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is,
why
...did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
...spectrum?
...
...-A
```

428. Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 05:55:37 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211042155.2bfc6c42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143012

Ok, I'm not new to the CB world, but I am new to amps. I've never had the money before to install one before so here is my question. I have a Cobra 29 setup right now, peaked and tuned and made right by my friendly neighborhood CB shop-owner, top-o-the-line Coax, a Wilson 2000-T antenna and I feel like I have a POS radio shack radio with 8 feet of built in coax and magnetic mount 3 foot whip antenna that ain't even grounded right. I've got the hardware I want but I missing one thing....POWER....I know it's illegal, I know more than a few people who own and operate amps on a daily basis. Its about a much a worry for me as getting pulled over for speeding(which happens often, but I don't get tickets....wonder why?)....but anyway, I am well aware of the legality of it, and don't need anyone rippin my ass about it....thanks! Ok, what should I, and can install to make my sudden feeling of powerlessness go away. Don't worry about cost, that's my problem, just tell me what would work best. Thanks everyone and I'll be looking forward to hearing some replys soon.

Jason aka "ET"
Look for me at the I-95/20 in the great state of South Carolina or 95/16 in GA

429. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 06:37:11 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DC76717.6020307@cac.net>
Source record: 143013

JJ:

Are you being intentionally thick here? He posted his name and town, as requested.

Now, if you are the HAM you say you are, you'll have the intestinal fortitude to respond in kind.

-SSB

JJ wrote:

>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
>>JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think
>>>>>>>>>>>>I'm posting a day in advance? lol
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever
>>>>>>>>>>>>and live
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>in Mo. LOL!!
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>What's your call?

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your
>>>>>>>>>>real
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>name and address.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I
>>>>>>>>live in have been posted here many times.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>Then you shouldn't mind posting your name and town again.
>>>>>>
>>>>No I wouldn't mind,but go find it for yourself.
>>>>
>>>>Then go find my call, it has been posted on the internet in the
>>>>
>>>past.
>>>
>>>
>>Ok here you go:
>>
>>Steve Parks,Medina Ohio.
>>
>>Now it's your turn.
>>
>
> So what is this Steve Parks call? I never heard of him, QRZ
> database shows no hits for a Steve Parks. I have never lived in
> Ohio. Thought you said I lived in Mo. You are so far off base it
> is pathetic. My call is a 5 land call if you think that will help
> you any.
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

430. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 07:14:02 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <pMydnQO56pG47VqgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 143014

What is is that you expect this amp to do for you?

High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed, it's CLOSED.
When it's open, 5W will do the job.

If I were you, my first move would be to improve the antenna.
A steel whip is pretty hard to beat, though tall.

Anything smaller is less efficient, and you're throwing your power away.

431. High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 07:15:49 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <t7adnWddH9wS7VqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143015

Anyone here ever tried a high angle antenna?

I've seen them suggested for emergency work on HF.
The idea is to deliberately make the radiation angle high, and optimize
local coverage, instead of the normal low angle, long range approach.

432. Silly FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 08:24:30 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <9tvesu4766dhf26vfvh7hmu4tgvo0barcu@4ax.com>
Source record: 143016

Is there anyway to get rid of the annoying beep at the end of the key,actually it's heard on the RX'ing end......this particular model is from a comapy called Columbia Telecommunications and the model is FRS-S14

ANY HELP APPRECIATED

433. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 09:12:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc7850d.16374515@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143017

In <aq6mm2$12l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Piper tweet tweet
<yetileadusnotintotemptation@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>:>Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>:>excited about,
>: I was replying to my own post because you haven't.
>
>Can't be on the news groups every day, much as I might like to be.

Excuses, excuses.

> No
>hurry getting back, you rarely say anything positive to anyone about
>anything in your posts. You have that negative stuffy vibe going on...

What does that have to do with sweep tubes?

>:> but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
>
>: "Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
>: "bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!
>
>You say your a broadcaster and a self claimed tube amplifier type,
>but you've not heard of reverse screen current..? Well, frankie... you
>just failed AB1 rf amplifier 101 school. Go look it up... tetrode
>amplifiers can have reverse screen current. Depends on the tube type
>and circuit layout.

Your screen is GROUNDED for use as the grid of a triode, dumbass!
That's not "reverse screen current", that's grid current!. And if
secondary emission is causing your grounded screen to go negative then
you have much bigger problems than just your ignorance!

>:>> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>:>> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>:>>
>:>
>:>Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>:>amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>:>between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>:>capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>:>cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>:>unnecessary.
>
>: The reason that no neutralization is needed for a grounded-grid

>: amplifier is because the output is in phase with the input, which
>: means that you don't get regenerative feedback. It has nothing to do
>: with grid shielding. Your lack of theory is showing, Skippy.
>
>: In a common-cathode amplifier, however, the screen and supressor do
>: shield the grid from the plate, and Cgp for a pentode is much lower
>: than for a triode. But in the class of pentodes, the Cgp of a sweep
>: tube is characteristically high (as I pointed out earlier), which is
>: why it requires much more neutralization than an RF pentode.
>
>But in your previous posts, you wrote g-grid netralization was
>required...? Now you again change your song..? Whats up with that
>frankie..?

Once again, I have never said that grounded-grid requires
neutralization, and have actually said that it doesn't. Do you
remember this from a previous thread?

>: Grounded-grid operation eliminates the need for neutralization, and
>
>Yes... a major advantage. Neutralization is a pain in the pazzoo, most
>often easily avoided in grounded grid amplifiers.

Enough with the lies, Skippy.

>It is actually easy for a grounded grid (out of phase) amplifier

The grounded-grid is an "out-of-phase" amplifier? Do you mean an
'inverting' amplifier? I hope not, because that would mean that you
don't even understand amplifier fundamentals. The grounded-grid is a
NON-inverting amplifier, which is the reason WHY it doesn't require
neutralization! Didn't you even read my two paragraphs you quoted
above?

> to have
>big time stability problems, see the previously mentioned
>http://www.vcnet.com/measures pages for well done text on the subject.

There are some excellent texts there, but can you be more specific
about which page? Is it the page that describes how the input needs to
be a high-Q tank so it doesn't screw with the exciter? Or the page
that explains why the filaments need to be left floating (maybe
Palomar and Dentron didn't read that page...!)?

> Out
>of phase circuits are not the cure all for neutralization. Shielding and
>lower Cgp are very nice advantages to using some tetrode/pentode valves in
>grounded grid layouts. Phase shift hopefully near 180 degree ballpark is
>just part of the nature of the layout and is also a major benefit of
>g-grid circuits.

As I said before, the grounded-grid amplifier is a non-inverting amp.
You must have misread those texts, perhaps thinking that they are

inverting amps because the plate CURRENT is out of phase with the
input. Here's the same lesson in the basics but worded a bit
differently: The reason neutralization is required is because of the
electrostatic coupling from the interelectrode capacitance feeding
back to the input. The key words here are "electrostatic" and
"capacitance" -- you don't need neutralization for a grounded-grid amp
because the input and output VOLTAGES are in phase!

>It is quite possible to have stable in (relative) phase grid driven rf
>amplifiers that do not require neutralization.

...oh brother

>But the applied circuit reduced Cgp values of the grounded grid
>layout are a big time stability advantage. Don't play it down frankie,
>it's a fact. Low C values are also helpful in AB1 circuits,
>example sweep tube grounded grid layout has the benefit of 1/2 C for your
>common cathode AB1 circuit, which contributes much to stability and
>elimination of your beloved neutralization requirements. G-Grid layouts
>can really help tame the gremlins.

The FACT is that Cgp DOESN'T CHANGE just because you put it in a
grounded-grid configuration! A grounded-grid amp will have a different
INPUT capacitance because the input is on the CATHODE, not the GRID.
And that input capacitance is usually considerably LARGER than the
input capacitance of a common-cathode amplifier using the same tube!

>:>Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>:>in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>
>: Yes they do, primarily because of the very low impedance required for
>: the input, and the fact that the input power is carried through as a
>: portion of the output power. Not because of grid shielding (LOL!). But
>
>Your trying to cut and paste things out of context again frankie. The
>above statement does stand on its own as fact. At no point did you see
>me write "primarily", "mostly" or anything close.

You wrote "a degree of improvement" without any explanation why.
That's pretty vague, Skippy. I cut-and-pasted nothing out of context.
The context was the improved distortion of running a tetrode/pentode
as a grounded-grid triode. Since we already know that linearity is
improved by triode operation, the primary cause of distortion is going
to come from grid-current transition. The lower the impedance of the
input signal, the less it will be distorted when the grid current
causes the impedance at the cathode to abruptly change. And since the
output power is the sum of the input power and the amplified power,
undistorted input power that is carried through to the output will
naturally reduce the output distortion. None of that has to do with
grid shielding. Now how is that out of context, Skippy?

>Actually, had you bothered to post the proper statement path facts... grid
>shielding means less current in the applied example. Less screen current
>is very very nice...
>Exciter feed through power of the example AB2 grounded grid amplifier is
>a big time "freebie" you don't see in AB1 circuits. Makes a typical 100
>watt amateur hf radio a pretty nice match for a 4/6 sweep tube layout.

And ONCE AGAIN you are demonstrating your ignorance of amplifier
fundamentals. A grounded-grid amplifier requires drive power because
it's fed to the CATHODE, which MUST conduct in order for the amp to
work, grid current or not! Another one for the "Skippy's ignorant
remarks" list.

>: then again, the grid-current distortion is much greater from a sweep
>: tube than from an RF power tube because sweep tubes aren't designed
>: for smooth transition into grid-current conduction -- and configuring
>: a sweep tube as a grounded-grid triode doesn't change that fact.
>
>They don't have to have ultra smooth transistion. The distortion is
>easily dealt with using a proper Q tuned input as found on many well done
>Grounded Grid Layouts. The reasons for/why are quickly explained in most
>Radio Handbooks... see "flywheel effect" related to rf amplifier tuned
>input circuits.

That's the same ignorant RF voodoo hogwash hype that Griffey used to
try and sell his Class C 'linears'! Aren't you EVER going to get a
clue, Skippy? In order to be linear it must have 180 undistored
degrees of conduction, flywheel or not!

>:>What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>:>supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>:>shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
>
>: "Passive gain operation"? Where do you come up with this shit, Skippy?
>
>Potty mouth again...

What does that have to do with sweep tubes?

>Means the G2 & G3 electrodes have no traditional or active potentials
>applied,

By "active" potential do you mean anything other than ground? I hope
not, because ground potential is a very active part of a grounded-grid
amplifier. And what is a "traditional" potential? If you mean
something like 'bias potential', then yes it does have a "traditional"
potential -- ground.

> but are part of the circuit without gain contributions.

Except for their contribution to the effective grid of the
triode-configured sweep tube. If you think they don't contribute to
the gain then leave them floating and see what happens to your gain.

> We
>receive the benefits of reduced 1/2 Cgp and the shielding function which
>greatly contribute to the amplifier stability.

...this is unbelievable. I'm practically spoon-feeding you fundamental
electronics, but instead of verifying the facts you just keep rambling
on with your voodoo RF theories. The more you talk the more outrageous
your theories, and the more evident it becomes that you have never had
any formal education or professional experience in electronics...

This is turning out better than I had hoped!!!

>:>> even though it's clearly designed as a triode. Or maybe you can
>:>> explain why Swan added grid-current distortion precautions to the
>:>> manuals (and ALC circuits to the radios) for their sweep-tube radios
>:>
>:>Pretty sly... but not sly enough. You jump around a lot and omit very
>:>significant details...
>:>First off, the topic was classic grounded grid rf amplifiers, in specific
>:>AB2 operation.
>
>: WRONG! The topic was the comparison of sweep tubes to properly
>: designed RF power tubes in RF power amplifiers. You accuse ME of being
>: sly when it is YOU that is trying to change the subject?
>
>Doesn't matter really... Swan Engineers used what they had and not all of
>it was worth the trouble. ALC in that vintage radio was useless.

ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!!!!

> If you
>want to ask about grid current distortion issues, be sure to tell everyone
>your moving over to a grid driven circuit.

More ignorance: Grid current distortion occurs whenever you have grid
current -- even if the grid is grounded!

>:> Now you want to jump over to a Swan Radio... tis not
>:>the same Grounded grid animal Frankie... Oh, but you failed to mention
>:>that.
>
>: No, I didn't fail to mention that. But I'll say it again just in case
>: you didn't understand it the first time: Swan radios used sweep tubes
>: in common-cathode configuration, not grounded-grid as they did in
>: their amplifiers. I exampled both their radios and amplifiers for
>: comparison purposes. You mean to tell me that you were so confused as
>: to the conversation that you couldn't figure that out?
>
>Your text above was left out of that actual thread post and you were
>jumping back and forth from grounded grid to grounded cathode circuits
>without properly describing which of the two types circuit you were
>talking about.

Nobody else seems to be having that problem, Skippy. Try to follow
along more closely from now on.

>:>Homey ain't playing your smoke screen game.
>
>: The smoke screen is coming from your camp, Skippy: You keep trying to
>: narrow the topic down to grounded-grid amplifiers only. Doesn't really
>: matter tho, since your theory on grounded-grid amplifiers sucks just
>: the same.
>
>We can go anyway you want to go frankie... but this whole thing started
>out as a classic sweep tube amplifier thread, remember..?

More lies. It started with this:

>Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself: What
>services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to manufacture and market
>power RF amplifiers? And what make/model of television used the 6146
>as a sweep tube?

So far you have conceeded the 6146-as-a-sweep-tube issue, but you
STILL haven't found a radio service that can legally use an amplifer
between 24 and 35 MHz. You said that it's really easy to look it up on
the FCC website, but so far you have shown absolutely NOTHING to back
up your claim.

> I want you to
>quickly name the actual circuit your trying to go over so the readers
>and I clearly understand what planet your currently in orbit about. You
>were taking me to task about sweep tube label specifics, now it's my turn
>to tell you to be specific about the circuit you're currently trying to
>describe in your posts...

So you HAVEN'T been paying attention, have you? I frequently referred
to the Swan 500 as an example of a common-cathode sweep tube design,
and for the grounded-grid design I have been using your examples of
the Palomar and Dentron, as well as the KLV. If you want a design
based on an RF tube, just pick most any Henry, Johnson, Kenwood, or
RCA, or any military radio (interesting how the military never used
sweep tubes for RF power applications, isn't it?).

>:>In short, all mfgrs used what they thought the most practical part and
>:>circuit
>:>trade offs... which probably included many short cuts... You claim to be
>:>a big time broadcaster type... ever seen a station take shortcuts..?
>
>: The tradeoff was based on cost, and that's all. The buyer sacrificed
>: linearity and spectral purity in order to get a cheap amp.
>
>The linearity and spectral purity were more than good enough. Good enough
>to be passed by the FCC for sale as legal amplifiers.

That seems to be your rally-cry for these amps. But you fail to
provide the said FCC specifications, and the conditions under which

the amps passed those specifications (low drive, reduced power,
external low-pass filter, etc). You also fail to mention that the
authorizations that were issued for most of those amps have since been
withdrawn.

Your last leg is beginning to buckle, Skippy!

> Through the
>60's, 70's and 80's, Low cost legal Sweep Tube Amateur RF Amplifiers which
>preformed well, their linearity and spectral purity specs quite usable.

They were bought, built and used because they were cheap. Or haven't I
said that already?

>Thousands were sold through the years...

Asbestos, pet rocks, and O.J. Simpson have all had their seasons of
popularity.

>:>The Swan Radios are not grounded grid circuits... as we have been taking
>:>here. But you want to interchange sidebar off topic circuits.... Nope,
>:>"wouldn't be prudent at this conjecture.."
>
>: That has been the discussion all along, why change now?
>
>Swan Radios are not traditional grounded grid circuits.

No kidding.

> If your going to
>"go there", do it in a seperate thread with less of your typical potty
>mouth and I'll be happy to hash things out, back and forth. If your going
>to switch from grounded grid to common cathode circuits in the same
>thread... say so when you do it.

The discussion all along has been the use of sweep tubes in BOTH
grounded-grid AND common-cathode circuits. Pay attention to the
discussion and you won't get lost anymore.

>:>ALC is bad news for most all radios... dated technology that really
>:>didn't
>:>/ doesn't work well for the most part. Another topic thread please...
>
>: Whoa! The basic ALC circuit works just fine for what it was intended,
>: it works within it's limitations, and is VERY MUCH used even today!
>: It's FAR from being "dated technology"! And it's used in both
>: grounded-grid AND common-cathode amplifiers! You are WAY off base
>: here, Skippy!
>
>Whoa... back to you...!
>Don't think so Frankie... once the horse is out of the barn... he's out.
>
>Check the typical ALC circuit - amplifier time constants. Sure, ALC is

>used today, but it's not the best control option.

It's not the best control option, but it's a very good and effective one. And that's not what you said -- you said that an ALC circuit was "bad news". If they were such "bad news" then why have they been used for decades and still used in new designs even today? And what the heck (is that tame enough language for your sensitive ears?) are you talking about with "amplifier time constants"? The ALC is a type of compressor circuit, of course it has time constants. It wouldn't work without them!

>If you were keeping up with current radio and amplifier technology, you'd
>know the above statement to be true. Just like your rectifier equalizing
>network recomendations are poop.

LOL! Tell ya what, Skippy. You find ANY authoritative reference that says the voltage equalization network is NOT a preferred protection method for series silicon rectifiers (power supply rectification, not series Vf regulation) and I'll concede the issue. Matter of fact, I'll concede the entire discussion and leave you to your sweep tube amps in peace. Fair enough? I'll even concede if your reference says it's an outdated practice. All you need is JUST ONE, Skippy. Can you handle that?

>:>> (that is, explain why they needed to do that if sweep tubes are so
>:>> adept at RF amplification?). And you have yet to find a TV that uses a
>:>> 6146 as a sweep tube, or a currently produced RF amplifier that's
>:>> legal for use between 24 and 35 MHz.
>:>
>:>Because they work pretty well in the intended application... what part
>:>of denial do you live in..?
>
>: No denial here: the intended application is an inexpensive amp with
>: the trade-off of spectral purity and linearity.
>
>... and they work very well... everything is a trade off frank, this is
>one example of a practical trade off a lot of people felt well worth doing
>for the end result.

They work very well provided they are used within certain limitations. More often than not those limitations are routinely exceeded by the urge for "mo' power" and "bird watts".

>:> I probably won't find a TV set with a 6146 on
>:>board... but you boat doesn't float unless you go back to that repost
>:>over and over.... like I should reprint your multiple "grid tied to the
>:>plate"
>:>posts over and over. Hey, what text I reposted would probably work....
>:>what you directly posted would fail every time... big time tube failures
>:>cost money.
>
>: The difference, Skippy, is that I admitted my mistake, which you have

>: failed to do until now.
>
>Where was that admission frankie..? I can go back to review and clarify
>my previous statements when asked, but my orignal repost of another persons
>statement is not something to launch ww3 about. The end result is a lot
>less damage than using your rf amplifier grid tied to plate multiple
>postings.

Ok, then it wasn't an admission. But your claim that you were just
reposting another person's statement is bogus, and I have previously
quoted and referenced the post as proof. If you don't know how to use
google then that's your problem.

>:>So I made a few inquiries... turns out I can't find very many current
>:>FCC certified Amp mfgrs and no current certified amplifier builders
>:>for 24-35 Mhz applications. Not a cost effective place to be these
>:>days... HF & VHF Amplifier production in general is down...
>
>: Let's see, you are claiming that there are no legal amps for 24 to 35
>: MHz because amp production is down? How about because the amps are
>: illegal? Doesn't that strike you as a better reason why they aren't
>: being manufactured? Duh...!
>
>There are legal amplifier applications for services in the 24 to 35
>Mhz range

So you have claimed, a claim which I have proven false and you have
not defended with any facts at all.

> which also coincide with no justified market for them. The only
>real answer comes from the FCC... as of this morning I have not heard back
>from them them with the official answer.

Like I said, post it when you get it. Then I'll send in my own inquiry
and we'll see how the two answers compare. BTW, did you submit your
query to an authoritative source? You know, a representative that can
officially and substantively declare one way or another the legality
of an issue such as this? Matter of fact, what exactly was the
question you asked in the query?

>:>But pre ban amplifiers are still legal and available on the
>:>used market. There is no significant circuit difference from the
>:>mentioned
>:>35-54Mhz TPL amplifiers I provided Ebay Auction examples of; and
>:>their pre ban cousins. Nothing wrong with a legal used pre ban
>:>amplifier.
>
>: Provided it is type-accepted/certificated for use on the service, and
>: that such authorization is still valid. But note the difference here,
>: Skippy: the prohibited frequencies are 24 to 35 MHz, and the amps you
>: mentioned are 35 to 54 MHz. Don't you see something wrong with that?
>: Or did you really think that I wouldn't check?

>
>Pre-ban TPL Amplifiers I have are pretty much an exact circuit of the 35
>to 54 Mhz units mentioned. Only the label changed... Their unmodified
>operation for adjacent frequency same Radio Service applications is one of
>the questions I asked the FCC. Their official reply to me is what I deem
>the current best opinion.

Modification to the lower frequency range is going to require
component replacements in most of the tuned circuits. Probably not
even worth the effort. But if it gets your rocks off, go for it. Even
if you can't use it for commercial services you can still use it for
amateur radio.

But as far as frequency range modifications of type-accepted or
certificated equipment, you can probably find the answer yourself in
Part 2. I'll post the link if you promise to read it.

>Does original equipment type acceptance expire for legal equipment
>remaining in operation..?

What difference does it make if the equipment is used or not? When the
FCC drops the authorization it get's unplugged. Period.

> I would think not... even this magic broadcast
>station you say you work for does not lose the legal use of the
>transmitter through the years.

"Magic" broadcast station? What's 'magic' about it, Skippy? And have
you ever studied the broadcast regs? Have you ever studied the regs AT
ALL? I doubt you have read anything beyond what you needed to get your
ham ticket.

>:>Wow Frankie' you sure hit the low bar language toilet... a clear sign
>:>that you are on shaky ground...
>
>: Hmmm... despite my ability to back up my arguments with facts and
>: valid theory while you can't, just because I used the word "shit" a
>: few times means that -I- am on "shaky ground"? ROTFLMFAO!!! (guess
>: what the "F" stands for?)
>
>Your arguments are fun to debate when your mouth isn't in the gutter. A
>lot of your facts are wrong or just plain out of date. Some could be
>useful when they are not enclosed in your bitter pill.

Oh Skippy, I am SO sorry to offend your holy little ears! But despite
the methods by which I freely choose to express myself, there is
nothing wrong or 'dated' with my facts. You can verify them at your
leisure.

>:> One might conclude that all the
>:>mfgrs who made sweep tube amplifiers, the many handbook projects
>:>and similar practical easy to construct rf AB2 g-g circuits contradict
>:>you. Of course, you are right, they are all wrong...

>
>: Your implication is that I am saying that these circuits don't work,
>: when in fact they do. What I am saying is that sweep tubes don't work
>: nearly as well as do properly designed RF power tubes, which is why
>: the VAST and OVERWHELMING MAJORITY of manufactured RF amplifiers (both
>: external and integrated) and radio handbook projects that use tubes
>: use the latter!
>
>OK ....! now we are getting somewhere. I like the first part of what you
>wrote... the second part is open for more information.
>
>Sweep tubes are just one novel part of modest power g-grid rf amplifiers.
>
>Amateur RF Amplifier Mfgrs are having a hard time in general regardless of
>the tube type. Many types of tubes in general are going off the radar
>screen and there are always much better choices for each application.
>
>Crap rf amplifiers are made with many different tube types... knowning how
>they work and can be reworked is fun stuff.

Ok. I agree with everything you just said. But what does it have to do
with the paragraph to which you reference those statements? Did I make
a statement in that paragraph that was wrong (or perhaps 'outdated')?

>:>> BTW, you STILL haven't answered the question, "Do you have a financial
>:>> interest in the popularity of sweep-tube amplifiers"? So will that be
>:>> a 'yes', a 'no', or a 'no comment'? I'm betting the latter.
>:>
>:>Your news reading program a bit whacked Frankie..? I answered
>:>quite some time ago. Now explain to us why a yes or no answer would
>:>make any difference...
>
>: Simplification in the face of obfuscation. So what's the answer? And
>: you STILL haven't described your "classic" and "properly designed"
>: sweep tube amp. Well?
>
>I did in a previous post, but just so you will put it to bed... No.
>In my opinion there is no realistic, viable Amateur or Commercial market
>to justify mass building rf amplifiers amplifiers.

I didn't suggest "mass building" of RF "amplifiers amplifiers". The
question was very simple; and yes, you answered it indirectly in a
recent post.

>I expect to see more of the exisiting amplifier mfgrs go away within a
>few years max.

Perhaps. There are already enough amps and tubes out there to keep the
atmosphere glowing for many, many years. But then again, there are
bound to be power-junkies that will want to poke new holes in the
ozone layer and ignite the Van Allen radiation belts.

>See my Dentron GLA 1000 Amplifier article on the sonic server for some
>examples of a classic sweep tube amplifier and what can be done to improve
>it.

I looked at one of the two articles. Most of the mods looked ok,
although the commentary was somewhat, ...well, bad. I think a zener
would be better for meter protection, as the non-linear current
characteristics of the other diodes are bound to change the linearity
of the meter. And I have some misgivings about cutting off the tube by
raising the cathode potential to some unknown positive potential while
the filament is grounded -- it's just begging for a filament-cathode
short. I think a better method would be to tap the transformer for a
quick-&-dirty negative supply, then use the relay to pull G1 south on
receive. An even better idea is to scrap the piece of junk for parts
and start from scratch.

> "Properly designed" means a well researched practial circuit layout
>which reliably works and preforms well.

Those are subjective terms. If you do enough research on sweep tube
amps you would discover everything that I have said many, many times:
The most important issue for sweep tube amps is grid-current
distortion, and that some means must be used to prevent it. High-Q
tank circuits (the "flywheel" effect) won't do it. The most common
method is by the use of an ALC circuit, which isn't a difficult
circuit to add to most amps. Ignore it all you want but them's the
facts, hard and cold, and not open for interpretation.

434. Re: Silly FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 12:01:18 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <iqOx9.104441$wG.397529@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 143018

Should be a way to turn it off in the menu.

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:9tvesu4766dhf26vfvh7hmu4tgvo0barcu@4ax.com...
> Is there anyway to get rid of the annoying beep at the end of the
> key,actually it's heard on the RX'ing end......this particular model
> is from a comapy called Columbia Telecommunications and the model is
> FRS-S14
>
> ANY HELP APPRECIATED

435. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 12:28:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq8dgj$7mb69$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143019

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104214511.22024.00001470@mb-cv.aol.com...
> its a lil less than a mile

Yes, that we all know, but I bet the average Joe doesn't know if 60 km/h is faster or slower than 60 MPH.

Tom

436. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 12:34:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq8dqb$7d537$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143020

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:t7adnWddH9wS7VqgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> Anyone here ever tried a high angle antenna?
>
> I've seen them suggested for emergency work on HF.
> The idea is to deliberately make the radiation angle high, and optimize
> local coverage, instead of the normal low angle, long range approach.

Is this similar to an NVIS antenna?

Tom

437. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 12:48:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC7BE1A.6C1F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143022

Randy wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC2D47A.7DBC@worldlynx.net...
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > >
> > > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> > > Twistedhed wrote:
> > > Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again. For
> > > the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which
> > > serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly
> > > obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to
> > > the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating
> > > the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it. Call it
> > > vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the
> > > CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the
> > > animals at bay.
> > > At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass,
> > > or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down
> > > antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW,
> > > makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > > ==
> > > Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall.
> > >
> > > >No work required. I'm always upfront about
> > > > the truth.
> > >
> > > If that's true, you won't mind answering what you refused to answer in
> > > email.
> >
> > It's tough to answer something in e-mail, that doesn't arrive until
> > after the newsgroup post.
> >
> > > Not so long ago you made a claim that you have only changed the
> > > way you access this group once before, only your posts and headers show
> > > otherwise....your latest is with the "slurp" feed and is quite a recent
> > > addition.
> >
> > What is a "slurp" feed?
> >
> > > Is this another of those times where you can't possibly be
> > > asked to remember such things or another of those times where you are

> > > caught not telling the truth?
> >
> > I really don't know what you're talking about. Someone has been feeding
> > you a line of bunk, and you don't know any better than to question it.
> >
> > >
> > > >Martyr role? To the best of my knowlege, I'm still alive and firing on
> > > all 8 cylinders.<<
> > >
> > > Figure of speech. Firing on all 8? Nah,,,merely another incident where
> > > you fail to see yourself as others do.
> >
> >
> > Remember this quote of yours? I think you need to follow your own words:
> >
> > >> Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so
> alienated and >> defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your intellect is also waning badly, Dave. There is NO difference as far
> > > as the FCC is concerned.
> > >
> > > >You might want to explain that to the FCC agent, who once commented to
> > > me that my solution to the problem of a malicious sociopathic CBer, was,
> > > in his words, "clever".
> > > <<
> > >
> > > Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and
> > > number.
> > > Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested
> > > in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication)
> > > breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with
> > > him and what is his official title and rank?
> >
> > Well, it was over 20 years ago, so I doubt if he's still with the FCC.
> > But his name was Ennis Coleman. I don't have a number. Like I said, it
> > was over 20 years ago. even if you managed to find him, I doubt if he'd
> > remember a casual conversation that happened that long ago.
> > And again, no law was brokem as the jammer was a low power part 15
> > device, which was being used to test local propagation conditions. A
> > perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we were so close to that
> > poor CBer (snicker!). Believe me, I know what you're trying to do, but
> > if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be defending him or berating the
> > actions that our local group did to get rid of him. I made the device,
> > and another guy planted it. It lasted for almost a week before we had to
> > change batteries. In about a month, the grade "A" A-hole was gone. I
> > guess it never occured to him to use his mobile. Good thing too, or we
> > would have had to risk attaching another device to his car.
> >

> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Dave, I did the very same thing about 15 years ago, and it worked admirably.
> Simply removed the single channel transmitter that was crystaled for one of
> the "A" 27 Mhz channels from a childs remote control, replaced the crystal
> with one for 27.185, added a 15 foot wire antenna, sealed the thing in
> epoxy, placed it in a Coke can with paralleled 9 volt batteries, also
> waterproofed, and planted it in a culvert that was at the end of his
> driveway. Drove the person nuts, and they thought it was a neighbor that was
> tired of TV interference. That thing would go almost 2 weeks on one set of
> batteries. Had lots of fun with that person!

Sometimes you have to do what you have to do. "Version 1.0" of our
device was similar to yours. The only problem was that it was only
effective on one channel. The bozo we had targeted was one of those guys
who dialed around looking for other people having a good time, and then
proceeding to agitate them. "Version 2.0" was made from parts of a junk
CB, the PLL and transmit buffer stage. By sweeping a VCO, you
effectively blank all the channels, which was the ticket for this
particular idiot.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

438. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 12:53:14 UTC
From: Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC7BF3A.615DB7F7@believeit.com>
Source record: 143021

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> What is is that you expect this amp to do for you?
>
> High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed, it's
> CLOSED.
> When it's open, 5W will do the job.
>
> If I were you, my first move would be to improve the antenna.
> A steel whip is pretty hard to beat, though tall.
>
> Anything smaller is less efficient, and you're throwing your power away.

Improve the antenna first. Now that you're radio is peaked, it won't
work with the amp anywhay.

-G-

439. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:19:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC7C55B.4BF1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143023

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Ok, I accept your offer.. Name him or provide me with his name and
> number.
> Jerry0, being a by-the-book type guy, I am certain would be interested
> in one of Riley's own guys condoning (according to your implication)
> breaking the very law he is sworn to upheld. How do I get in touch with
> him and what is his official title and rank?
> ==
> >Well, it was over 20 years ago, so I doubt if >he's still with the FCC.
> >But his name was Ennis Coleman. I don't have >a number. Like I said, it
> was over 20 years >ago. even if you managed to find him, I doubt >if
> he'd remember a casual conversation that >happened that long ago. <<
>
> Well, so much for that suggestion.
>
> >And again, no law was brokem as the jammer >was a low power part 15
> >device, which was being used to test local >propagation conditions.
> <<
>
> It was an intent to jam, which you clearly stated. That is in violation
> of FCC rules and regs.
> Part 15 makes no allowances for interference intended for a cber.

While it was clearly an effort to block someone's receive, the fact that
it was done with a part 15 device, under the guise of "experimenting",
would have resulted in nothing more than a warning from the FCC, if the
only person who could hear it, actually complained. The fact that the
only person who would have heard it, was also guity of breaking far more
serious FCC rules, made his complaints unlikely.

Besides, it was over 20 years ago. I didn't care then.

>
>
> >A perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we >were so close to that
> >poor CBer (snicker!).
> <<
>
> Poor cber? Appears you were/are one of those "poor cbers"...even as far
> as being a dealer/repair shop that has provided illegal services in
> srtcit violation of FCC rules.

I see that little exerpt of mine that I sent you in E-mail have given
you some more ammo. Bravo!

> See, besides trying to take your advice
> concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not
> believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious,
> so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?

I was never seriously "in business". Two other local guys had a dealer
franchise, and sold radios to the local guys. I was the guy who did the
repair work. And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and peak jobs, gone
horribly wrong", in my day. I spent most of my time, making borderline
unstable radios like Galaxy's, who had already seen the screwdriver,
operate with some stability and cleanliness.
It took a while to undo the "CB myths" that most of the locals bought
into, but after hearing what a properly set up radio could sound like,
they finally started to see things my way, and I had a ton of business
as a result.

But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now. The two guys who ran the
"supply" side of the business, parted ways. One is now a ham, and the
other hangs out on internet chat rooms, looking for kinky sex. I haven't
had the time to keep up with repairs, and I lost contact with many of
the people when I moved away, a couple of years ago.

>
> >Believe me, I know what you're trying to do,<
>
> You do? I thought I was trying to take you up on your offer of asking
> him, but several reasons why that would not be possible and the fact
> that he couldn't lend credibility by verifying your story came up when I
> actually tried to do what you suggested.

I have many other stories that I could tell you. I have a long and
colorful history with CB radio, dating back to 1970. Many of the people
involved, I haven't seen in 20+ years, some are dead, some I run into
occasionally on the ham bands. Most of these stories are unverifiable,
as they happened too long ago. But they are fun to reminisce about over
a beer or two. We did some crazy things back then, when we didn't know
any better.

>
> >but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him or berating
> >the actions that our local group did to get rid of >him.<<
>
> Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if
> you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws
> certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert.
> What's good for the goose.......

That's not exactly true. A sociopath is someone who make a habit of
thumbing his nose at the rules of society, or the idea of society
itself. Someone who views the ideals, morals, and principles that make
up a cohesive society, with contempt. Someone who either doesn't realize
or doesn't care about infringing on other people's rights, when they go
though their daily routine.

>
> >I made the device, and another guy planted >it.
>
> So it was also a conspiracy to break the law.

If that's what you want to call it. But it did it's job.

>
> > lasted for almost a
> >week before we had to change batteries. In >about a month, the grade
> "A" A-hole was >gone.<<
>
> Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
> is
> buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
> long time ago.

A remote car control is effective on only one channel. The final device
that I made swept through all 40 channels. That was a little more
complex to make.

>
>
> >I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
> >we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.
>
> "Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

Not to the best of my knowledge. We didn't have to trespass to plant the
device, the device was part 15 covered. There might have been a grey
area over the true intended use, but hey, it was better than tieing the
coax of the guy's antenna to a car bumber and driving away like another
local had suggested.

> In all seriousness.....I must admit you certainly have a knack for
> arguing both sides of the coin.

Thank you. I do try.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

440. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:34:12 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oNPx9.1870$En4.236506@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143024

```
??????????????????????///
"Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DC7BF3A.615DB7F7@believeit.com...
>
>
> Dave VanHorn wrote:
> >
> > What is is that you expect this amp to do for you?
> >
> > High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed,
it's
> > CLOSED.
> > When it's open, 5W will do the job.
> >
> > If I were you, my first move would be to improve the antenna.
> > A steel whip is pretty hard to beat, though tall.
> >
> > Anything smaller is less efficient, and you're throwing your power away.
>
> Improve the antenna first. Now that you're radio is peaked, it won't
> work with the amp anywhay.
>
> -G-
>
```

441. Re: CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:46:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105084623.21188.00002363@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143025

sorry buddy, it just wont work and never would have. arent you the same guy who was begging for info on tuning your radio shack radio? and the same one who broke that radio after you got the info? and also the same guy who is now asking for help on how to fix that radio? LOL

>
>20 years ago we used to make amp with car stero amps and worked great ,so
>what
>the racket with the linear amps use a car stero amp modifie input and out
>putand you'll have a real pusher

442. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:52:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105085223.21188.00002364@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143026

did he mention anything about dx? if you want a good clean sounding and powerful signal your best bet would be a Texas Star 500 or 667. these two amps are about as big as you can go without having to upgrade your alternator and they give you plenty of power

>
>What is is that you expect this amp to do for you?
>
>High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed, it's
>CLOSED.
>When it's open, 5W will do the job.
>
>If I were you, my first move would be to improve the antenna.
>A steel whip is pretty hard to beat, though tall.
>
>Anything smaller is less efficient, and you're throwing your power away.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

443. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:54:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105085432.21188.00002365@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143027

sure we have, the a99.

>
>Anyone here ever tried a high angle antenna?
>
>I've seen them suggested for emergency work on HF.
>The idea is to deliberately make the radiation angle high, and optimize
>local coverage, instead of the normal low angle, long range approach.
>

444. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 13:58:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105085858.21188.00002366@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143028

well it would be slower. all they have to do is look on there dash. lol but i'd bet the average go dont even know what a kilometer is

>Yes, that we all know, but I bet the average Joe doesn't know if 60 km/h is
>faster or slower than 60 MPH.
>
>Tom
>
>

445. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:01:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25029-3DC7CF25-128@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143031

>I don't understand where you are coming from >with that premise. The
>drivers will STILL
>be there; why move even if the MUF is down >to talk to the next truck?
>And we will STILL
>hear the local ones--in my case I-85 between >Kings Mountain and
>Charlotte, NC. Since I
>hear truckers up as high as 29 MHZ, then I will >work to remove them as
>well. We have a pretty
>good group around here that LIKES it when 10 >isn't "running". We have
a little roundtable >group
>on 10. Even THAT has been interferred with >by a bandit. I went out and
>found him in about 20
>minutes.
>We are confident, however, that action WILL >be taken because
unlicensed operators >cannot be allowed to roam everywhere they >wish.

I am talking about when the dx fades so will the truckers gravitate back down out of those bands......the only reason they are there is because of the lack of traffic there. I am NOT defending or condoning it any way, merely stating why they are there and why they will soon be gone.

>Eventually, they would land on some >frequency that has vital uses and
won't be >tolerated.

Ummmmm.....not a very good chance of that happening with your typical export radio.

>But, as I stated below, there are some other >single band radios being
>tried that look like CB radios complete with >beep and squeak junk. How
long would it be >before
>40 Meter, 50 watt Galaxy radios would be in >truck stops if it isn't
>stopped now?

Well, now that you mention it, technically they would be legal, same as the Galaxy 10 meter radios are legal for authorized hammies on those freqs. Besides, how long have export radios been entering the country? Much longer than this current cycle and certainly much longer than this new crop of intruders that suddenly appeared on ten this cycle.

>Better to deal with it now than to just wait and >hope the problem goes
away. Even with the >waning cycle, it will STILL be a local
>problem wherever the truckers are
>talking.

I think the truckers will move back down once it's quiet again. Same thing every cycle.

See above

>Some of those manufacturers are already >offering single-band, CB look-alike radios. >Test- ing to see if there's a market? Maybe. >There >is, for example, a Ranger 15 Meter(?)--correct >me if I am wrong >about the band or >mfr-----and I think I saw such features as echo >and R-beep.

I think I may have read a thing or two about 10/11/12 meter radios.

>There are some others being market-tested to >see if anyone will buy them. (Shudder)
>Interesting.....to say the least.
>Don't
>know about you, but I can see where that is >heading, and we hope to >head it off.

Since it really doesn't concern me one way or the other, I wish you good luck.

>Well, it bothers the hell outta me. All they need >to do is just go >back to the 40 channels,
>and stay the devil out of 10-12 meters and the >issue would go away.

Do you know of or have you heard of any freebanders in the 15 meter range?
Pirates? There is a difference between the two (really) and the sometimes misapplied application and non-interchangeablity of the two once again highlights the ironic matter I spoke of,,,,,,,some of those who are supposedly licensed for communications have the most difficult time with it and with expressing themselves clearly.

>What the "militants" do within the 40--even on >*some* of the "freeband"--doesn't really >concern me.

"Militants" got me chuckling.....I guess we can apply that term to some of the more aggressive types among this forum....a small observation....when one thinks of agressiveness on rec.radio.cb, why is it that those that come to mind are non-cbers?

>I just want to turn on my 10 Meter band and >hear.....(quiet), >or Dah di-Dah-dit, Dah, >Dah, DiDah (CQ), >NOT "Ah 10-4 driver".
>Jerry

You have every right to expect your bands to be free from non-licensed individuals. The bad apples in the service are another story.
An example can be found in the few angry hammies trying to get heard on the freeband on almost a daily basis. I think that poor bloke in Kansas is going to pop a vessel, he's so desperate to be heard, he's taken to ghost talking (yes, he is DEFINITELY a hammie). The anger coming from Oklahoma could be bottled and sold at the Apollo as a hit (also another hammie) and the desert, well, most of them guys been in the sun too long....one thinks he hears things rest of the world can't.....really,

Jer..you really are missing the fun :)

Uh-huh.....I hear cw on 555 at times.....it doesn't bother me...even when it's vulgar.....when it's benign, I sometimes even answer....hell, tune in and listen on a Saturday afternoon or night...I guarantee you'll hear it.....Sunday morns, too!

446. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:05:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25029-3DC7D00F-129@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143030

From: barnaby@jones.comSpam (Barnaby Jones)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6D4C4-125@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... You got the message loud and clear otherwise, you would post again the same thing you posted last time just to try and prove a point. You haven't done it since. You learn a bit faster than the others who so decidedly made you one of their own.

>Ill post it again twistsy, my isp they wrote you >off like a bad loan, >when I want to post it again I will It is in the >public domain, it is in googles archives, since >now it has been posted to this NG on more >than one occasion. Have a Nice Nite! :-)<<

Your ISP never wrote me, period. As I said, you MAY get away with it once cause you're with a new ISP, but as before, I will forward it to the publisher and who knows? He may have the last record of your infraction.....2 deliberate intentional copyright violations will just magnify your ignorance of what you do. Go ahead and knock yourself out...post it. We'll all be watching. I always have a good night.....I'm a happy type guy....and I'm waiting. I call your bluff.

447. Re: Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:11:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105091142.26889.00001575@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143029

dave would you mind letting us take a look at some of your designs?

>
>This one designs said appliances.

448. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:14:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25028-3DC7D22F-331@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143035

From: barnaby@jones.comSpam (Barnaby Jones)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:240-3DC6D3E2-124@storefull-
No one cares. No one cares at all how they feel about cb...they are, and have always been...i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t except to themselves.

>Twist, I think they are like a morning hard on,<

Yea,,,we know they are dicks.

>you really have no
>control over em.

I have the ability and the right to be free of their harassment and to make them conform to
their TOS concerning such actions.
If they obeyed rules and didn't proudly violate and flaunt their violaions (such as yourself), they wouldn't have antything to complain about concerning such things. they are upset because they are impotent and we are not.

>You have cared enough to respond to leland >and the rest of the Aaron
>posse for how many years now?

Dunno how many years, but I do respond each time my chosen handle is addressed.....oh yea,,,,and when malicious fallacies are put forth concerning myself or another, or when a lie is attempted concerning cb....these are what the poor guy referred to as a "crusade."...hardly,,it;s merely pointing out the bullshit, nothing more, nothing less.
On a note of truth, Lelnad KC8LDO didn't post under his real identity for a few days (he was busy shilling)....when he started doing so again, he invoked my spirit, chanting my name in his post to another that had nothing to do with myself whatsoever. Being the polite guy I am, I gave him what he was seeking, temporary validation from me.
Claims are more valid when they are true, WA3MOJ...try to be a bit more accurate and you too, may come across as semi-believeable one day.....but very doubtful.

449. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:21:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25028-3DC7D400-333@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143036

From: w4ntinospam@mindspring.com (Dan/W4NTI)
>How many licensed hams are there within >range of your 'machine' in the >middle of the day? How many are not working >and would be available for monitoring the >repeater?

The majority of the equivalent of our peculiar nocodes?

>How many CBers are there in the same circle. > Need I continue?

Nah, you pretty much summed up your intellect in the first sentence, but let's allow you to share some more ignorance.....

>OK I
>will anyway. There are lots and lots more >CBers than hams.

In the UK? You base this on what? Sales figures? From where? Cite your stats.

>..why? They don't have to take a test. Hams >have to at least put out >some effort.

I dunno what hammies take in the UK, but the test in the states at entry level is no effort...slight memory retention after being provided the answers, maybe, but no effort.

>And apparantly it also means most CBers are >unemployeed. Dan/W4NTI

Tell me Danny boy, are we as igunt (sic) as some hammies apparantly (sic) iz (sic)? Bettah (sic) edjacate (sic) yerzelf (sic) a'fer (sic) ya' (sic) git (sic) outt (sic) yer (sic) league and make us laugh some more. Now be a good boy and don't embarrass your fellow upperclassmen anymore than you already have and clean your own back yard and obtain an education prior to attempting to educate another. Kapish?

450. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:25:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105092526.26889.00001576@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143032

>Do you know of or have you heard of any freebanders in the 15 meter
>range?
>Pirates? There is a difference between the two (really) and the
>sometimes misapplied application and non-interchangeablity of the two
>once again highlights the ironic matter I spoke of,,,,,,,some of those
>who are supposedly licensed for communications have the most difficult
>time with it and with expressing themselves clearly.

theres a large group of french speaking people all over the world who use 14.800-14.999. you can hear them in the carribean working back to france or the guys in france working those french islands in the pacific and sometimes three way qso's between them all. they've been there for at least ten years that ive monitored the hf spectrum. the same goes for 21.800-21.999. these guys run good gear and operate as clean as any ham and cleaner than all the ham bands.

>Uh-huh.....I hear cw on 555 at times.....it doesn't bother me...even
>when it's vulgar.....when it's benign, I sometimes even
>answer....hell, tune in and listen on a Saturday afternoon or night...I
>guarantee you'll hear it.....Sunday morns, too!

some of that CW has been me in the past ;) when you hear it comming rather slow out of texas you know who to hallar at

451. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:30:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25031-3DC7D5F5-15@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143034

Ok, I'm not new to the CB world, but I am new to amps. I've never had the money before to install one before so here is my question. I have a Cobra 29 setup right now, peaked and tuned and made right by my friendly neighborhood CB shop-owner, top-o-the-line Coax, a Wilson 2000-T antenna and I feel like I have a POS radio shack radio with 8 feet of built in coax and magnetic mount 3 foot whip antenna that ain't even grounded right. I've got the hardware I want but I missing one thing....POWER....I know it's illegal, I know more than a few people who own and operate amps on a daily basis. Its about a much a worry for me as getting pulled over for speeding(which happens often, but I don't get tickets....wonder why?)....but anyway, I am well aware of the legality of it, and don't need anyone rippin my ass about it....thanks! Ok, what should I, and can install to make my sudden feeling of powerlessness go away. Don't worry about cost, that's my problem, just tell me what would work best. Thanks everyone and I'll be looking forward to hearing some replys soon.
Jason aka "ET"
Look for me at the I-95/20 in the great state of South Carolina or 95/16 in GA
==========
You in a big truck? I would't trust your coax..check it....maybe chuCK it altogether. Your antenna system may need some improvement. For power, you don't need more than 100 watts or so......just a little dab will do ya'. Go with something simple and small like a Palomar or Texas Star...they can take a pounding in a truck.

452. dweebo steps on his own ass again...typical!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:43:10 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0211050643.2709159f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143033

dimdweebo@aol.cum10-4goodbuddy (Mister TorkPork) wrote in message
news:<20021104171820.23636.00001767@mb-cr.aol.com>...

> i get it, we both agree lelnad, jj, and the other non cb'ers shouldnt be here.
> thanks for your support.

Dweebo, by that logic your light loafered ass shouldnt be here either.
A cber operates with legal power between 26.965 and 27.405.

You again show how stupid you are. What else do we expect from a drug
soaked sociopath that never finished high school and was thrown out by
his parents?

453. twithed steps on his own ass...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:49:02 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0211050649.723e4134@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143037

twithed@webtv.net (Twithedthegaylaplikker) femininely mewled in
message news:<22126-3DC6833B-177@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Is is just me or does Lelnad have serious unresolved personal issues
> with abortion? I mean, he has mentioned this thing many times....

Yes he does...the main issue is consternation as to why yer momma did
not abort your sawed off redneck ass. She had to know you were a
mongoloid idiot prior to your birth. I guess she probably didn't know
she was with child...err...moron.

454. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:50:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143041

>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity of breaking far more >serious FCC rules, made his complaints >unlikely.

Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NO make a right.

>Besides, it was over 20 years ago. I didn't care >then.

>A perfectly legitimate use. It's a shame that we >were so close to that poor CBer (snicker!).

Poor cber? Appears you were/are one of those "poor cbers"...even as far as being a dealer/repair shop that has provided illegal services in srtcit violation of FCC rules.

>I see that little exerpt of mine that I sent you in >E-mail have given you some more ammo. >Bravo!

Were you drunk when you sent it? You never mentioned anything concerning your status as an illegal OR a dealer...that was sent to me by an upperclassmen who is familiar with your hypocrisy. There are several of your posts that contradict yourself......most recently,,,your inquiry as to what "slurp" was referred to in your headers. Or your several accesss sites through, around, and some that have nothing to do with Villanova U that you claim don't exist.

See, besides trying to take your advice
concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious, so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?

>I was never seriously "in business". Two other >local guys had a dealer franchise, and sold >radios to the local guys. I was the guy who did >the repair work.

You claimed it yours back then. Another contradiction.

>And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly wrong", in my day. I >spent most of my time, making borderline >unstable radios like Galaxy's, who had already >seen the screwdriver, operate with some >stability and cleanliness. It took a while to >undo the "CB myths" that most of the locals >bought into, but after hearing what a properly >set up radio could sound like, they finally >started to see things my way, and I had a ton >of business as a result.
>But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now.

Riiiiiiiight! And you sudenly saw the light and everyone else who happens to partake in what you did is now a federal criminal (your words). So I guess you speak from experience as a self-admitted federal criminal?

>Believe me, I know what you're trying to do,<

You do? I thought I was trying to take you up on your offer of asking him, but several reasons why that would not be possible and the fact that he couldn't lend credibility by verifying your story came up when I actually tried to do what you suggested.

>I have many other stories that I could tell >you.

S'ok.
It would have been much easier on you to admit you are a hypocrite instead of denying and lying about it over the past how many months.

>but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him or berating the actions that our >local group did to get rid of him.

Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert. What's good for the goose.......

>That's not exactly true. A sociopath is >someone who make a habit of thumbing his >nose at the rules of society, or the idea of >society itself.

Well, you claim I was one for disrespecting the mundane laws of dx and freebanding. Yet you had the illegal hack shack and somehow view yourself above that of a simple freebandng dxer...hahahhahahah...keep on keeping on....!

>I made the device, and another guy planted >it.

So it was also a conspiracy to break the law.

>If that's what you want to call it.<

Look it up......I call a spade a spade.

> But it did it's job.
>lasted for almost a
>week before we had to change batteries. In >about a month, the grade
>"A" A-hole was gone

Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do is
buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long, long time ago.

>A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a little more complex to >make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or we would have had >to risk attaching another device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>Not to the best of my knowledge. <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>We didn't
>have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.

>There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

No gray area.. You freely admitted
you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal criminal (your words).

>but hey, it was better than tieing the coax of >the guy's antenna to a car bumber and driving >away like another local had suggested.

Yea...that works better for antennas.

In all seriousness.....I must admit you certainly have a knack for arguing both sides of the coin.

>Thank you. I do try.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Yea, but who did ya' think you were foolig? Those "newbies" who are fence sitters?

455. Antikeyclowns: Holiday Orders Within this post....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:51:11 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0211050651.469a8ad2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143038

Execute Marlin Spike

Also...every anti do 5 more auction ratouts daily! It IS working.

Florida Marlin was great success.

Execute plan Mark McGwire after Marlin Spike.

456. The Definition Of MicroSoft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 14:52:12 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0211050652.564e0c0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143039

A 1 word description of Landshark's pekker unless he sees a nude man.

457. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:14:34 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <XLadnRxCILfGfVqgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143040

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7D22F-331@storefull-

>Twist, I think they are like a morning hard on,

Yea,,,we know they are dicks.

>you really have no control over em.

I have the ability and the right to be free of their harassment and to make them conform to
their TOS concerning such actions. If they obeyed rules and didn't proudly violate and flaunt their
violaions (such as yourself), they wouldn't have antything to complain about concerning such things.

Twit, I think they have the ability and the right to make you conform to FCC rules, just as you think you have a "right". If the keyclowns didn't flaunt and violate the FCC Laws, the anti's wouldn't have anything to complain about.

>You have cared enough to respond to leland >and the rest of the Aaron
>posse for how many years now?

Dunno how many years, but I do respond each time my chosen handle is addressed.....oh yea,,,,and when malicious fallacies are put forth concerning myself or another, or when a lie is attempted concerning cb....these are what the poor guy referred to as a "crusade."...hardly,,it;s merely pointing out the bullshit, nothing more, nothing less.

You also respond when your name is not mentioned enough with the lies twist.

On a note of truth, Lelnad KC8LDO didn't post under his real identity for a few days (he was busy shilling)....when he started doing so again, he invoked my spirit, chanting my name in his post to another that had nothing to do with myself whatsoever. Being the polite guy I am, I gave him what he was seeking, temporary validation from me.
Claims are more valid when they are true,

Truth, you and that word are far removed from each other and I have yet to see much truth come from your keyboard, just assumption and innuendos. Claims are more valid when they are true? you better either change your claims or refrain from giving them.

458. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:28:38 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <8BucnRXai9U7flqgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 143042

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25029-3DC7CF25-128@storefull-

I am talking about when the dx fades so will the truckers gravitate back down out of those bands......the only reason they are there is because of the lack of traffic there. I am NOT defending or condoning it any way, merely stating why they are there and why they will soon be gone.
****

Nice try Twist, how do you know why they are there? there is not much traffic on 27.415 to 27.999 These guys are talking to trucks in front and back of them DX doesnt interfere with them ask Nebo, how many watts it would take to interfere with these truckers. As Usual another assumption on your part. You need to try and post the facts instead of yuor opinion twist cause they are like assholes, capeesh

Well, now that you mention it, technically they would be legal, same as the Galaxy 10 meter radios are legal for authorized hammies on those freqs. Besides, how long have export radios been entering the country? Much longer than this current cycle and certainly much longer than this new crop of intruders that suddenly appeared on ten this cycle.

I think the truckers will move back down once it's quiet again. Same thing every cycle.
See above

Think? That was your first mistake, what you think doesn't matter you are of no consequence.

>There are some others being market-tested to >see if anyone will buy them. (Shudder)
>Interesting........to say the least.
>Don't
>know about you, but I can see where that is >heading, and we hope to >head it off.

Since it really doesn't concern me one way or the other, I wish you good luck.
****

There is alot that doesnt concern you yet you try to make it your business.

You have every right to expect your bands to be free from non-licensed individuals. The bad apples in the service are another story.
An example can be found in the few angry hammies trying to get heard on the freeband on almost a daily basis.
****

Who are the hammies trying to get heard on freeband? Callsigns?

I think that poor bloke in Kansas
is going to pop a vessel, he's so desperate to be heard, he's taken to ghost talking (yes, he is DEFINITELY a hammie). The anger coming from Oklahoma could be bottled and sold at the Apollo as a hit (also another hammie) and the desert, well, most of them guys been in the sun too long....one thinks he hears things rest of the world can't.....really, Jer..you really are missing the fun :)

Callsigns please or just baseless assumptions with no facts to back them up?

459. Re: dweebo steps on his own ass again...typical!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:33:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105103355.21124.00002736@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143043

i use my stock pc122 in the mobile all the time

>
>Dweebo, by that logic your light loafered ass shouldnt be here either.
>A cber operates with legal power between 26.965 and 27.405.
>
>You again show how stupid you are. What else do we expect from a drug
>soaked sociopath that never finished high school and was thrown out by
>his parents?
>
>
>
>
>
>

460. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:47:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105104708.21124.00002745@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143044

thats total BS. when the band is open these freqs are full blast and sound like
10 meters does during a contest

>Nice try Twist, how do you know why they are there? there is not much
>traffic on 27.415 to 27.999

461. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:48:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105104824.21124.00002746@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143045

stop them from talking? no. get very anoying after more than 3 minutes of it?
yes

>These guys are talking to trucks in front and
>back of them DX doesnt interfere with them ask Nebo, how many watts it would
>take to interfere with these truckers.

462. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:59:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC7EAEE.23AEADFB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142962

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,
>
> Makes it illegal....end of story.
>
> >The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity
> of breaking far more >serious FCC rules, made his complaints >unlikely.
>
> Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NO make a right.

But three lefts do.

463. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:08:40 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <CsCdnU98R_6VcFqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143046

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021105104708.21124.00002745@mb-fx.aol.com...
: thats total BS. when the band is open these freqs are full blast and sound
like
: 10 meters does during a contest

Tim, why don't you think before you post, so your saying 27.415 to 27.999 is as busy as 10 meters when the band is open right? i don't see it being that busy in the 27 years i have used radio, after 27.555 there is usually not much activity, why dont they go to 27.555 to 27.999 instead of 10 meters which when the band is open it is full blast, that was your words. why would they go to a band that is as busy as the freeband?

See Tim, If that is the case then twisty excuse is just that an excuse, assumption, opinion etc he say's they are there because of the DX on the normal 40 but your saying 10 meters also becomes full blast so why would they go to a band that is full blast. I will tell you why they use that freq(28.085), because it is normally quiet it is in the CW portion they hear no voices so they think it is open, and because they only have to click the band switch 2 clicks they don't even have to touch channel selector it its that easy for them.
If they didn't have radios that went to those freqs do you still think they would talk there? I highly doubt it, the export radios with all the channels makes it easy to break the law, up 2 clicks is what you always hear. Btw, how noisy can it be for them they are talking to truck directly in front and back of them.

464. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:17:16 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <aaecnbN1wonuclqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142947

> Is this similar to an NVIS antenna?
>
> Tom

Yes.

I was thinking this over last night, and I think that it probably won't work afterall.
I had forgotten about MUF.

OTOH, I'm not sure wether the angle of incidence makes a difference or not.
If so, the MUF might get high enough to use.

465. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:17:33 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <8tGcna7tJIEZclqgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142948

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021105085432.21188.00002365@mb-fx.aol.com...
> sure we have, the a99.

Higher.

466. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:20:49 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <o96cnaLcdNR9clqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142949

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: >While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

: Makes it illegal....end of story.

What do you care of Radio illegalities?

: >The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity
: of breaking far more >serious FCC rules, made his complaints >unlikely.

: Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NO make a right.

Correct ignorance and stupidity are not excuses, sorta like you on the
freeband you have no rights.

: Poor cber? Appears you were/are one of those "poor cbers"...even as far
: as being a dealer/repair shop that has provided illegal services in
: srtcit violation of FCC rules.

Twist I will ask again, what do you care about FCC rules being broke, you
break them all the time. Or the ones you break not as important as others?

: >And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly
: wrong", in my day. I >spent most of my time, making borderline >unstable
: radios like Galaxy's, who had already >seen the screwdriver, operate
: with some >stability and cleanliness. It took a while to >undo the "CB
: myths" that most of the locals >bought into, but after hearing what a
: properly >set up radio could sound like, they finally >started to see
: things my way, and I had a ton >of business as a result.
: >But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now.

: Riiiiiiiight! And you sudenly saw the light and everyone else who
: happens to partake in what you did is now a federal criminal (your
: words). So I guess you speak from experience as a self-admitted federal
: criminal?

Twist it is better to see the light and admit that he was wrong than to
continue to lie and make excuses for your actions and try to non trivialize
FCC laws as un important.

: >Believe me, I know what you're trying to do,<

: You do? I thought I was trying to take you up on your offer of asking
: him, but several reasons why that would not be possible and the fact
: that he couldn't lend credibility by verifying your story came up when I
: actually tried to do what you suggested.
: S'ok.

: It would have been much easier on you to admit you are a hypocrite
: instead of denying and lying about it over the past how many months.

: Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if
: you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws
: certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert.
: What's good for the goose.......

:
: So it was also a conspiracy to break the law.

Yes same as you Dxing, freebanding with another individual, premediatated as
well since you know right well your breaking the Law.

: >If that's what you want to call it.<

: Look it up......I call a spade a spade.

: Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
: is
: buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
: long time ago.
: >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

: Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
: the band.

Sounds like you have also jammed someone huh Twist can you say Hypocrite....

: >The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a
: little more complex to >make.
: >I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
: we would have had >to risk attaching another device to his car.

: "Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

Sounds like you!

: >Not to the best of my knowledge. <

: Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

Then what is your excuse for continualy breaking laws?

: >We didn't
: >have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.

: If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
: committed.

Cite it?

: >There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

: No gray area.. You freely admitted
: you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the

: FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal
: criminal (your words).

See you guys are more alike than you think twisty.

: >but hey, it was better than tieing the coax of >the guy's antenna to a
: car bumber and driving >away like another local had suggested.

467. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:24:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105112428.01210.00002991@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142950

>Tim, why don't you think before you post, so your saying 27.415 to 27.999 is
>as busy as 10 meters when the band is open right?

george, isnt it kinda early in the morning for you to be drunk? i said 27.415-27.995 is as busy as ten meters DURING A CONTEST. during a contest is the only time ten is as busy as the freeband. most other times its a ghost band. you went off on a rant about something i didnt say. what a dumb ass.

> i don't see it being
>that busy in the 27 years i have used radio, after 27.555 there is usually
>not much activity, why dont they go to 27.555 to 27.999 instead of 10
>meters which when the band is open it is full blast, that was your words.

so now you claiming to have monitored the freeband over the last 27 years? why would you do that? and again i said ten is full blast only during contest times.

>why would they go to a band that is as busy as the freeband?

its not nearly as busy as the freeband unless its a contest which isnt that often

> I highly doubt it, the export radios with all the channels
>makes it easy to break the law, up 2 clicks is what you always hear.

more comments leading us to believe your really a cb'er in disguise? seem slike you listen alot lol

> Btw,
>how noisy can it be for them they are talking to truck directly in front and
>back of them.
>

very noisy between key ups

go eat something, you cant hold your liquor

468. Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:30:08 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142951

Freebanding!!

What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land", yet still illegal for cb'ers, and ham operators. They are the frequencies below channel one (26.965 mHz), as far down as 25 mHz! They also are above channel 40 (27.405 mHz), and up to 27.990 mHz!! Below channel one you go in the direction of government freq's, and above 40, you go just under the ham's 10 meter band. It is here where many cb'ers go to get away from the norm, and the crazy junk found on our cb channels. Even some hams can be found here, usually these our new ham's who just came from cb.

This is a new frontier compared to cb radio, with a new generation of cb radios on the market. These radio's are "imports", and come as 10 meter transceivers out of the box (28 mHz to 29 mHz). They can very easily be converted to operate on a huge frequency spand. The coverage varies from model to model. Most come with a frequency counter display, and channel display, band switch, AM/FM/SSB/CW. Peaked they can push 30 to 40 watts AM! Averaging at least 10 watts AM/FM!! 25 watts PEP SSB!!

Most of the activity falls between 27.4205 mHz to 27.775 mHz, or channels 42 to 77. It is here you will find the very finest dxing and quality modulation that puts cb to shame and rivals ham operation! Just as self governing as ham freqs, operators are much more mature and professional. Many popular clubs exist around the country for this band, despite the fact that it is still very illegal. Perhaps not for long, because the FCC is being pressured to increase the cb frequencies. The last time the FCC increased coverage was 1975, from 23 channels to 40 channels. We may see them increase it to 100 channels, since there are so many "imports" readibly and easily available already! Even if this happens, it will only pollute these "clean" freqs, and soon they will be as junky as the rest of cb, so then we will have to take over another band to get away from the growing mess!

Perhaps then we may cross over in to the 10 meter band (28 mHz to 29 mHz). Currently most freebanders are respecting the ham operators space on the 10 meter band. We don't go there now, even though our radios can! We may be forced to if the FCC increases the cb channels. Up to now, these radio's cost about $100 to $400 MORE than a typical cb radio, this has discouraged a lot of people from crossing in to the freeband. If FCC increases cb's coverage, prices will no doubt come

down, and more people will be on these freqs, which means more bullshit and crap! Let's just hope the FCC doe's NOT increase the coverage! Things are just great now for freebandeers!!

The Freeband is more than just an escape from cb crazies! It is truly a major "crossroad" to becoming a Ham operator. After tasting this kind of radio, many cber's go for their ham license. As I pointed out earlier, some ham operators can be found talking to cber's on the freeband! For them, it is a return to what got them to where they are now! Even if you never become a ham operator, you walk away a richer man!

469. Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:30:27 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <rGudnXTMUPi-b1qgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 142952

Freebanding; The Last Frontier?
Written by "Smiley" (A.E. #"X" in the February, 1997 issue...
My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband! If you're not familiar with this term; then face it, you're not really into radio. Even if this is the case, you may find Freebanding interesting because of its contraversial overtones and its waving a big fat hand in the face of that lovely organization affectionately referred to as "Uncle Charlie".
Let me define Freebanding: In simple terms, Freebanding is operating CB radio equipment above the 40 CB radio channels. Some would argue that the Freeband includes frequencies below the 40 CB channels, however I disagree, and since I am writing this, that is how it will be! The term "Uncle Charlie" is the code name for the FCC. There are many stories on how this came about, but I don't care to get into them right now...
What I am into is the Freeband. I wanted to write this article because I'm sick of reading about the false implications or even allegations, in some cases, that give the higher channels a bad name. Further more, I'M SICK OF SEEING OTHER PUBLICATIONS DANCE AROUND THIS SUBJECT AND NOT TELL IT THE WAY IT REALLY IS!! People operate on this band because of a few basic reasons. I can think of 3 for this article.
First, there is the "idiot" factor. 99 out of 100 people you run into on the Freeband are genuinely interested in the radio as a hobby. There are only occasional exceptions when some drunk idiot gets on the radio and harasses everyone. Most "idiots" can't afford nice radios that can go up on the higher channels. And, since they are "idiots" in the first place, they usually can't figure out how to convert their current stock radios to higher channels anyway!
Next is "sneaky syndrome". I suffer from it... but that's what I like to refer to as the "kid in all of us". Let's face it, it's fun to to do something you're not suppose to do - as long as it doesn't hurt anyone. So sneakiness really translates into fun!
Finally, there is the very word "Free" that brings it all together. There are no power restrictions, no rules to follow, no callsigns to endlessly repeat, and no tests or code requirements. Freedom.
I've been a licensed Ham for years - and so have many of my Freebanding friends, but we always like to come back to the Freeband. Why?
Other than the previousely mentioned things, there is the fact that Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the Morse Code. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for CW. Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because "the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
Well that's a poor excuse if you ask me. Those Outrageous price tags

on Ham gear is the only reason the Ham bands haven't gone the way of CB!
Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club". Only a handful are able to pass the initiations. Now don't get me wrong, I am not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too (N1"XXX"). I am trying to point out that there is an alternative form of radio operation. Some would even say that Freebanders are "Ham-want-to-be's". In a way, that is true because the Freeband is talked-on using Ham lingo such as Q-signs, and yes, even CW.
However, the refreshing difference is that there are no rules. Everyone that makes it onto the Freeband has accomplished something just by being there. By hanging around the Freeband, an operator can learn a lot about radios and proceedures. The simple fact is that everyone is more friendlier because everyone an equal. Equal? Well, perhaps that's a poor choice of wording, but if you think of it as a sense of unity - then it can be used.
Then there is the spirit of the whole idea of "Pirate Radio" - sort of a pioneering spirit if you will. Not many people deviate from the norm' any more. With the world getting smaller from the information boom, more people tend to conform, than tend to express individualism. Being a Freebander can give a certain kind of exhileration comparable to getting into a car and breaking speed limits (except, at no risk to yourself or others).
Sure the FCC could catch you, but the honest truth is that they don't have anywhere near the time or resources to "crack-down" on Freebanders!
Lately, it has become evident that the FCC has admitted its disinterest in enforcing its laws against out-of-band operators. They will however, act on the "idiots" that run thousands of Watts and splash their neighbors. But to be realistic, the FCC may only react by acknowledging the interference by sending the "idiot" a threatening post-card (hey, it only costs $0.20)! In a nutshell, the FCC is only after the people that cause havoc on the airwaves. They're simply not after the average Freebanding fool!
I know that many operators out there still consider Freebanding an evil thing, but all I have to say to them is they need to get their heads out of their... err, I mean out of the sand.
You'd be surprised at how nice the operators really are on those "pirate" frequencies. If you really care about the radio, you should explore all that it has to offer. After all, staying on the straight is narrow... you've gotta travel off the beaten path once in a while...
Did this article upset you? I'd love to hear from you! Just direct your hate mail ATTN: "Smiley". Oh, and happy Freebanding!
...More stories are on the way; be patient!
Sporadic Waves

470. Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:40:28 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <Xf-cnTqqSb01aFqgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142953

This is the exact post that had you crying copyright infringement knock your dick off.

From Twistys Column

"Gone Crazy? International DX on the eleven meter and free-band is there for the taking just about everyday on sideband. AM is also giving up rare contacts. But one of the better known frequencies for international DX contacts, 27.555 USB, once a jewel of pleasant listening and international contacts, has unfortunately deteriorated rapidly over the last few months, morphing itself into a vile cesspool, spewing forth all it's bile and waste, due to a small, intellectually-challenged, rapid group of angry, self-loathing and pathetic operators who have taken it upon themselves to take up a permanent-like residential status there, and in the process, completely abdicated any civil, polite conversation."

"This small but nefarious group is attempting to jam, interfere, and prevent any DX contacts from being made on frequency. In case you haven't listened in on 555 as of late, the frequency has literally become a key down fest with language that one would be embarrassed to hear in the company of children, while only those blowing ridiculous amounts of power are being heard."

471. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:51:45 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <x8GdneL8HMS8alqgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142954

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021105112428.01210.00002991@mb-mk.aol.com...
: >Tim, why don't you think before you post, so your saying 27.415 to 27.999
is
: >as busy as 10 meters when the band is open right?
:
: george, isnt it kinda early in the morning for you to be drunk? i said
: 27.415-27.995 is as busy as ten meters DURING A CONTEST. during a contest
is
: the only time ten is as busy as the freeband. most other times its a ghost
: band. you went off on a rant about something i didnt say. what a dumb ass.
:
: > i don't see it being
: >that busy in the 27 years i have used radio, after 27.555 there is
usually
: >not much activity, why dont they go to 27.555 to 27.999 instead of 10
: >meters which when the band is open it is full blast, that was your words.
:
: so now you claiming to have monitored the freeband over the last 27 years?
why
: would you do that? and again i said ten is full blast only during contest
: times.

Well, the same reason you monitor Ham bands for past 10 years stupid.

Ok, even if you said 10 was open only during a contest what point are you
trying to make?

:
: >why would they go to a band that is as busy as the freeband?
:
: its not nearly as busy as the freeband unless its a contest which isnt
that
: often

Then why did you make that claim what was your point for saying it?

:
: > I highly doubt it, the export radios with all the channels
: >makes it easy to break the law, up 2 clicks is what you always hear.
:
: more comments leading us to believe your really a cb'er in disguise? seem
slike
: you listen alot lol

Tim, I do listen to the CB did I never said I didn't ? I have 5 radios on 24-7, from uhf-vhf to HF to Cb I also live on the east coast the busiest corridor of truck traffic in the USA, try 95North or NJ turnpike going into NYC

:
: > Btw,
: >how noisy can it be for them they are talking to truck directly in front and
: >back of them.
: >
:
: very noisy between key ups

aw poor babys, never heard of Squelch? rf gain? nah they can only turn the band switch LOL
Tim you are to easy your a boy playing amongst men.

: go eat something, you cant hold your liquor

I hold your liquor by the ears

:
:
:
:

472. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 16:52:36 UTC
From: Late Hit <roughing@greenbay.com>
Message-ID: <FdOdnRNkEO_JalqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142955

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:8tGcna7tJIEZclqgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
:
: "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
: news:20021105085432.21188.00002365@mb-fx.aol.com...
: > sure we have, the a99.
:
: Higher.

Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the garter
belt on the girl.

473. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:22:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021105122251.02546.00001313@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142956

>Ok, even if you said 10 was open only during a contest what point are you
>trying to make?

i didnt say it was only open during contest times. i said is was only heavily used during contest times and the point was if it wasnt so quiet and silent there perhaps the truckers would know that it was a ham band but since they tune around up there and hear mostly nothing they think its free space. use it or lose it.

>Then why did you make that claim what was your point for saying it?
>

see above

>Tim, I do listen to the CB did I never said I didn't ? I have 5 radios on
>24-7, from uhf-vhf to HF to Cb I also live on the east coast the busiest
>corridor of truck traffic in the USA, try 95North or NJ turnpike going into
>NYC

its easy to tell your a cb'er simply by your admiration of the guys on channel 6. you imitate them real often on here with your screen names.

>Tim you are to easy your a boy playing amongst men.

i'm not even sure you are a man

474. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:34:58 UTC
From: Eric Oyen N7ZZT <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.05.10.35.27.19.1258@cox.net>
Source record: 142957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Dan,
your assertion that most cd'ers are unemployed smacks of gross ignorance.

I wonder just how many of them are working at the time while gabbing on
their CB's. Having been a cb radio operator myself before I became a ham,
I can tell you that a very large number are employed and drive around
town/across country and talk on the radio as a means of passing the time,
gaining information on local traffic conditions, etc.

Where do you get off implying that most cb'ers are unemployed?

I guess its another case of shooting off at the mouth before placing
brain in gear, eh?

DE N7ZZT (Eric Oyen)
Phoenix, Arizona

In article <aq6rvm$7nb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote:

> How many licensed hams are there within range of your 'machine' in the
> middle of the day? How many are not working and would be available for
> monitoring the repeater?
>
> How many CBers are there in the same circle. Need I continue? OK I
> will anyway. There are lots and lots more CBers than hams...why? They
> don't have to take a test. Hams have to at least put out some effort.
>
> And apparantly it also means most CBers are unemployeed.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

--
A person is thought to be less a fool if he remains silent, than to open
his mouth and remove all doubt as to his foolishness.

475. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:44:14 UTC
From: Eric Oyen N7ZZT <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.05.10.44.42.897.1258@cox.net>
Source record: 142958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Gee dan,
what makes you such a "super ham" that you have to tell me this?
Raising a little heat and discontent?

No, I originally got into ham radio for a lot more than public service events. Most of my friends moved there (and sadly, most of them are now dead). Hell, I am still a young pup by comparison to those old timers (elmers I believe they care called) and I have great respect for them. You, sir, don't rate that respect (as your posting history here and your on-the-air antics would would suggest you aren't worthy).

Before you go casting stones, dude, you'd better make sure your house isn't made of glass first (and yours appears to be quite glassy atm).

in any case, I'll take your comment with a grain of salt (A 200 pound grain that is).

DE N7ZZT (Eric Oyen)
Phoenix, Arizona

In article <aq6rpt$fg7$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote:

> If the only reason your into ham radio is public service events then
> perhaps you should get out of ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

--
Understanding is a tri-edged sword: In any conflict, there are three sides, our side, their side and the truth.

476. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:47:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC8042C-370@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142968

From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7D22F-331@storefull-
I have the ability and the right to be free of their harassment and to
make them conform to
their TOS concerning such actions. If they obeyed rules and didn't
proudly violate and flaunt their
violaions (such as yourself), they wouldn't have antything to complain
about concerning such things.

>Twit, I think they have the ability and the right >to make you conform
to FCC rules,

That is an absurd statement.
If you clowns had any ability at all concerning me, do you think
you/they would not have attempted to exercise it? Oh, excuse me, ya''ll
did...hence the term "impotent" applying to you morons.

>just as you think you have a "right".

It isn't a right....it's the rules set forth by ISP's and governed by
FCC law. Your double standard is interesting only to the extent of the
limited and select field of vision you choose to employ.

>If the keyclowns didn't flaunt and violate the >FCC Laws, the anti's
wouldn't have anything >to complain about.

Who gives a damn what they complain about? They're i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t.

>You have cared enough to respond to leland >and the rest of the Aaron
posse for how many >years now?

Dunno how many years, but I do respond each time my chosen handle is
addressed.....oh yea,,,,and when malicious fallacies are put forth
concerning myself or another, or when a lie is attempted concerning
cb....these are what the poor guy referred to as a
"crusade."...hardly,,it;s merely pointing out the bullshit, nothing
more, nothing less.

>You also respond when your name is not >mentioned enough with the lies
twist.

It is called "input".
You were referring to Lelnad, try not to change the subject and merge
two separate subjects. The context of one discussion does not merit the
same context when taken and held or applied to a different
application.....which merits the question, that was just again so

magnificently displayed by yourself, why is that some of those who are supposedly licensed for communication, suffer such a great deficit in same?

On a note of truth, Lelnad KC8LDO didn't post under his real identity for a few days (he was busy shilling).....when he started doing so again, he invoked my spirit, chanting my name in his post to another that had nothing to do with myself whatsoever. Being the polite guy I am, I gave him what he was seeking, temporary validation from me. Claims are more valid when they are true,

>Truth, you and that word are far removed from >each other and I have yet to see much truth >come from your keyboard, just assumption >and innuendos.

Tut tut....you can attack the person only when you can't attack their claims...true to form.

>Claims are more valid when they are true? >

Yes. You ought to try it some time and see how many friends you can make.

>you better either change your claims or refrain >from giving them.

Too vague. Be specific or not at all.

477. Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:49:43 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usg160i94dotf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142960

I have a Cobra 2k for sale. It has variable power, open clarifier, 6 position switch mod for channels, and 5 tone beep with switch to turn it off. All knobs that have been replaced are taped up inside the radio and can be restored if wanted Radio has stock mic and 1 speaker. There is some wear on the knob panel but other than that the radio is in excellent working condition. Please see pictures at http://www.n7uic.net/cobra2k_index.html

Asking 225 + shipping
reply via email

Thanks,
Mike
spam@n7uic.net

478. Re: I just ordered A 99 with ground plane kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 17:59:26 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211050959.52b69536@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142961

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in message
news:<e8d89b3f.0211041611.18b244d4@posting.google.com>...
> What do u guys this of a A 99 with ground plane kit. I need some spec
> on the A 99 like how db gain do u get and any other good info I might
> need to know. I going to use this antenna with a old cobra 89xlr and
> how many mile range will I get if the antenna is like 30ft high.

I live In Houston Tx most of the buildings nearby are 3 1/2 story max
and the their a good amount of tree near by. I also I do not think my
cb is putting out full power but I am not to sure. Also my would be
more like 30ft to 40ft high. This should help you better in telling
how many mile range I should get.

479. Re: CB Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:00:40 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105130040.09357.00001664@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 142964

yep thats him, boy o boy, he likes blowing up things

Thatz-me-JP

480. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:03:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC807DB-376@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142969

From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021105104708.21124.00002745@mb-fx.aol.com...
thats total BS. when the band is open these freqs are full blast and
sound like 10 meters does during a contest

>Tim, why don't you think before you post, so >your saying 27.415 to
27.999 is as busy as 10 >meters when the band is open right?

The freeband is WAY busier.

>i don't see it being that busy<

Well, there it is again,,,that must end it...hahahahhahahahaahah!

>in the 27 years i have used radio,<

So now the TIME you put in suddenly means something? And you haven't
managed to upgrade? I wouldn't splatter that all over usenet, of corse
that's why you change nics so dramatically, to avoid at least some of
the ridiculous garbage you spew from being attributed you...classic of
one unable to back anything they ever say.

> after 27.555 there is usually not much >activity, why dont they go to
27.555 to 27.999 >instead of 10 meters which when the band is >open it
is full blast, that was your words. why >would they go to a band that is
as busy as the >freeband?
>See Tim, If that is the case then twisty excuse >is just that an
excuse, assumption, opinion etc >he say's they are there because of the
DX on >the normal 40 but your saying 10 meters also >becomes full blast
so why would they go to a >band that is full blast.

Of course "twisty" gave his mere opinion. If it's a fact I claim and I
get called on it and feel the inquirer is worthy, I may or may not
choose to support my claim. If we are to consider the posse named by
"Arron", any of them asking for anything deserves nothing unless we
decide it merits such,,,,,,,,,,,like a reply, let alone support to a
claim.
No matter what "full blast" means to anyone, 10 meter is not as busy as
11 (reg 40).

>I will tell you why they use that freq(28.085), >because it is normally
quiet it is in the CW >portion

Gee, as opposed to exactly what?.....say...11 meter?

>they hear no voices so they think it is open, >and because they only
have to click the band >switch 2 clicks they don't even have to touch

>channel selector it its that easy for them.
>If they didn't have radios that went to those >freqs do you still think they would talk there?

You are becoming quite philosophical..let's look at that again shall we? You asked...."If they didn't have radios that went to those freqs do you think they would talk there? Hmmmmmm!

>I highly doubt it,<

Did you hurt yourself arriving at that conclusion?

>the export radios with all the channels makes >it easy to break the law, up 2 clicks is what >you always hear. Btw, how noisy can it be for >them they are talking to truck directly in front >and back of them.

You are quite hostile these days. Everything ok?

481. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:03:54 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105130354.09357.00001665@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 142965

what does HF stand for? high freg?

Thatz-me-JP

482. twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:06:31 UTC
From: Packrat<unk> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usg25et5seqb47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142967

upon searching google groups I noticed some accusations from twisted panties:

Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's headers are duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our favorite "Quest" poster.

Are you such an imbecile that you cant tell where a news message is coming from?

483. WTT:Tram D300 for Browning Goldn Eagle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:07:59 UTC
From: KC0EH <okiemansc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3OTx9.2178$fn6.283013@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I have a Tram D300 in good working and cosmetic condition I would like to trade for a Browning Golden Eagle late Mark III or a Mark IV in similar condition.Has stock Tram hand mike.Must have references or eBay feedback.Pics available on request.
...Charlie...

484. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:08:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC80920-379@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142970

From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
This is the exact post that had you crying copyright infringement knock your dick off.
From Twistys Column
"Gone Crazy? International DX on the eleven meter and free-band is there for the taking just about everyday on sideband. AM is also giving up rare contacts. But one of the better known frequencies for international DX contacts, 27.555 USB, once a jewel of pleasant listening and international contacts, has unfortunately deteriorated rapidly over the last few months, morphing itself into a vile cesspool, spewing forth all it's bile and waste, due to a small, intellectually-challenged, rapid group of angry, self-loathing and pathetic operators who have taken it upon themselves to take up a permanent-like residential status there, and in the process, completely abdicated any civil, polite conversation."
"This small but nefarious group is attempting to jam, interfere, and prevent any DX contacts from being made on frequency. In case you haven't listened in on 555 as of late, the frequency has literally become a key down fest with language that one would be embarrassed to hear in the company of children, while only those blowing ridiculous amounts of power are being heard."
==========
Nice, but no cigar. That isn't a forge nor what got you slapped.

485. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:31:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22126-3DC80E66-382@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142972

From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>>What do you care of Radio illegalities?<<

Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
breaking FCC law than I ever had.

>The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity
>of breaking far more serious FCC rules, >made his complaints unlikely.

Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NO make a right.

>>Correct ignorance and stupidity are not >>excuses,

Tell it to Dave, it was HIS excuse.

>sorta like you on the freeband you have no >rights.

And you are angry over your impotence of the situation.

Poor cber? Appears you were/are one of those "poor cbers"...even as far
as being a dealer/repair shop that has provided illegal services in
srtcit violation of FCC rules.

>>Twist I will ask again, what do you care about >>FCC rules being
broke, you break them all >>the time. Or the ones you break not as
>>important as others?

And I will tell you again......I illustrated them only to prove the
hypocrisy of someone who has been on the unprovoked offensive attack
against me for freebanding and dxing, for calling me and others
"fedeeral criminals" when he is no better...as a matter of fact, it
makes him worse....a hypocrite hammie.

>And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly
>wrong", in my day. I spent most of my time, >making borderline unstable
radios like >Galaxy's, who had already seen the >screwdriver, operate
with some stability and >cleanliness. It took a while to undo the "CB
>myths" that most of the locals bought into, but >after hearing what a
properly set up radio >could sound like, they finally started to see
>things my way, and I had a ton of business as >a result.

>But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now.

Riiiiiiight! And you suddenly saw the light and everyone else who happens to partake in what you did is now a federal criminal (your words). So I guess you speak from experience as a self-admitted federal criminal?

>>Twist it is better to see the light and admit >>that he was wrong

He admitted nothing. He was caught.

>> than to continue to lie<<

He has done just that.

>>and make excuses for your actions<<

And he has done that too, by saying it was a long time ago. First he said it was when he was a kid, but his posts, as usual, show he was a "federal criminal" as recently as a few years ago.

>>and try to non trivialize FCC laws as un >>important.

Yea, he did that too with his attempted defense of his jamming techniques. He ran right to another, pointing out his (the jammee) violation was much worse and somehow merited Dave to break the law.

>Believe me, I know what you're trying to do,<

You do? I thought I was trying to take you up on your offer of asking him, but several reasons why that would not be possible and the fact that he couldn't lend credibility by verifying your story came up when I actually tried to do what you suggested.
S'ok.
It would have been much easier on you to admit you are a hypocrite instead of denying and lying about it over the past how many months.
Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert.
What's good for the goose.......
So it was also a conspiracy to break the law.

>>Yes same as you Dxing, freebanding with >>another individual, premediatated as well >>since you know right well your breaking the >>Law.

So now MY actions justify a hammie breaking the law? Hahahhahhahhahaah!
Yea.....

>If that's what you want to call it.<

Look it up......I call a spade a spade.
Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do is
buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,

long time ago.

>A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>>Sounds like you have also jammed someone >>huh Twist can you say Hypocrite....

Hahahah....as always, because we talk about it, we must be guilty. Better stay out of some of the alt. groups....you're heart couldn't take it...hahahahhaha

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a >little more complex to >make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or >we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>>Sounds like you!<<

Dx and freebanding. But the fact you feel it justifies you breaking other laws is common among lawless sociopaths.

>Not to the best of my knowledge. <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.......you keep asking for excuses....

>We didn't
>have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.

>>Cite it?<<

Criminal mischief.
No go clean off.

>There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

No gray area.. You freely admitted
you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal criminal (your words).

>>See you guys are more alike than you think >>twisty.

I always knew he what Dave was about. The fact that he tried to act otherwise with a call sign was his mistake.

486. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:33:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq92rm$7uvaq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142971

"Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
news:FdOdnRNkEO_JalqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>

> Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the garter
> belt on the girl.
>
>

Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually take the
garter off.

Tom

487. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:58:12 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <6EGdnQxrGoe3iFWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142974

> Other than the previousely mentioned things, there is the fact that
> Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
> Morse Code. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
> CW. Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
> "the Ham bands will go the way of CB".

You're seriously out of data bud. CW hasn't been required for a long time
now.

> Well that's a poor excuse if you ask me. Those Outrageous price tags
> on Ham gear is the only reason the Ham bands haven't gone the way of
> CB!
> Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club". Only a
> handful are able to pass the initiations.

Bull. I run classes. 100% of my students have passed their exams.
One required a second test, but we did that on the same day.

>Now don't get me wrong, I am
> not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
> (N1"XXX").

Even more dissapointing that you are so out of date on the situation.

488. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:58:33 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <qR-dndHcIu9EiVWgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 142973

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC80920-379@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Nice, but no cigar. That isn't a forge nor what got you slapped.

Nice Lie, again, Ill give you the post right off Google since your a schlep, perhaps you would like to post what you feel did the trick for me then. As noted the post was from @ home whom you lodged your frivolous complaint with. BTW, I slow I never got slapped for anything your too impotent. Now if you feel *I*, not someone you think is me posted something to back up your lie's else go to www.google.com and dig it up.

From: No Fear (nofear@home.comm)
Subject: twistys rantings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-09-24 19:38:29 PST

Look at how angry twisty is at people screwing up his once sacred freeband, using amplifiers and even calling it a keyfest similiar to a keydown!

As copied from Twistys Column in Sporadic waves

"Gone Crazy? International DX on the eleven meter and free-band is there for the taking just about everyday on sideband. AM is also giving up rare contacts. But one of the better known frequencies for international DX contacts, 27.555 USB, once a jewel of pleasant listening and international contacts, has unfortunately deteriorated rapidly over the last few months, morphing itself into a vile cesspool, spewing forth all it's bile and waste, due to a small, intellectually-challenged, rapid group of angry, self-loathing and pathetic operators who have taken it upon themselves to take up a permanent-like residential status there, and in the process, completely abdicated any civil, polite conversation."

"This small but nefarious group is attempting to jam, interfere, and prevent any DX contacts from being made on frequency. In case you haven't listened in on 555 as of late, the frequency has literally become a key down fest with language that one would be embarrassed to hear in the company of children, while only those blowing ridiculous amounts of power are being heard."

---

489. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 18:59:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <8oacnVRJXdgfiFWgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142975

"Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> Freebanding!!
>
> What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
> The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
> fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land"

Actually, they are allocated to various services and organizations.
Hardly a "no man's land".

Most states have highway patrol allocations in there, forest service,
there's quite a long list.

490. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:02:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22938-3DC815B8-54@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142978

From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat®)
upon searching google groups I noticed some accusations from twisted panties:

"Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's headers are duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our favorite "Quest" poster."

>Are you such an imbecile that you cant tell >where a news message is coming from?

Not at all. You're merely an ignorant fool to think you got away with it. Your headers and the "Quest" person's are separate and not the same...but let there be no doubt.....you have been active posting under a name other than what you show now. Why such hosility unless you're a guilty SOB?

491. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:03:23 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Sk6dnemr1a7_i1WgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142976

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021105130354.09357.00001665@mb-co.aol.com...
> what does HF stand for? high freg?
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

Generally.

492. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:13:06 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <NfucnUmUl4LfhVWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142977

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:usg25et5seqb47@corp.supernews.com...
: upon searching google groups I noticed some accusations from twisted
: panties:
:
:
: Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's headers are
: duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our
: favorite "Quest" poster.
:
: Are you such an imbecile that you cant tell where a news message is coming
: from?

He sure is ask leland Scott better yet search this on google in rec.radio.cb
"Don't even think about mentioning header addresses etc. He can't figure
them out to save his life, and his sanity. He still can't read them right,
and I don't even bother looking."

493. More Twistedhed's Blathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:16:27 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <9oWcnWyuXpyXhFWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142979

Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.Undoubtedly you don't
understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous acolyte. what do you know
of my life? The >jockstrap you sent in the mail is a trophy of your docile
worship of me and it hangs proudly above >my mantle I will never cease
pirate CB activity as long as it irks you or someone like you. However, >as
a consolation and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the confines of
the freeband for now. >The saucer men tell me I have a good signal in the
pile

494. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:36:07 UTC
From: Piper Frankie time <tootshishorn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aq96j7$m86$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 142980

yeti@cet.com wrote: few postive things in his rants...

:>You like your books, don't cha' frankie....

: Sure do. They are a great wealth of information. Books have been the
: primary means of recording information since the days of stone tablets
: and sheepskins. You might try reading one sometime.

I do thanks... you probably have some books in stone... that's where some
of your technology seems to be sourced. In specific, the rectifier
equalization networks you recomend and amplifier to radio ALC. Both
types of circuits are very dated technology.

:> somehow you left out if
:>that's input power maybe your'a quoting there and who's data sheet
:>you looked up. You know Svetlana has a way of fudging up some data
:>sheets
:>a bit.

: Sorry to disappoint you, but the information is from Eimac. And you
: know what's really funny, Skippy? I didn't even look in a book for
: that info -- it came right from their website! So much for your
: sarcastic little comment about how I like my books! LOL!!!

You still didn't say if the posted power level was the input or output
value..? We're waiting...

:>A single 4cx250b in linear service delivers about 325 watts carrier,
:>AB1 with about 5 watts of drive. It requires neutralization, regulated
:>screen voltage and much higher anode supply potentials and all the
:>required extra hardware which runs into some nice pocket change. I've
:>built more than my share of amplifires using the tube...

: I doubt it. For starters, AB1 requires NO drive power regardless of
: what kind of tube you use. The input circuit may be built to load 5
: watts while transforming the signal to a voltage level appropriate for
: the tube, but you can 'drive' it to full power with 5 MILLIwatts if
: you want. Once again you demonstrate your ignorance of basic RF
: theory. Maybe it's because you don't like books...

I should have provided one more line to the text. The above example is
based on "an actual working circuit". Sure AB1 in theory requires no
drive power... the circuits I've actually built target loading 5 watt
exciters (radios).

We should be talking practical circuits here... Few common radios output
5 milliwatts. Taming your dream +47dB amplifier is not realistic, nor has

it been done in any real world amount that I've seen actual examples of.

I can actually get the circuit example I provide above to operate with little or for the most part, no neutralization. A real technical task for this type of near 17dB amplifier.

You obviously haven't built many amplifiers using the 4cx250b, I have. The real word drive levels I indicate allow one to use many of the 2-10 watt HF radios built through the years. You only seem to read and quote from text books and on line data sheets.

:>The 4cx250 tube also has much more serious potential vhf parasitic
:>problems.
:>Building rf amp with it is not for first time builders.

: Where did you get THAT pile of meadow-muffins? It doesn't have
: parasitic problems any more serious than any other tube, even sweep
: tubes! Do you even know what causes parasitic oscillations? They
: aren't caused by the type of tube, dimwit! They are caused by resonant
: conditions of the circuit surrounding the tube. To prevent parasitics,
: all you need is a parasitic choke on the plate and/or the grid.

Not entirely true... Had you any true breadth of broadcast experience... you might have seen some really high powered broadcast transmitters with additional parasitic supression circuits included. Plate and/or grid parasitics chokes are not the "all" cure for every case.

Now to the actual issue...
The common sweep tube has very poor gain at and above mid, to high vhf frequencies. Resonant VHF paths in the Sweep tube amplifier box are not really a large red flag; where-as they must be considered with each application of the 4cx250b.

Some amateur rf amplifiers with reported histories of problematic vhf parasitics, they actually pulled from the market...

: Your scare tactics aren't working, Skippy. And scare tactics don't
: work on the voltage issue, either -- a capacitor bank full of 900
: volts will kill you just as dead as one topped off at 2000 volts!

Actually not exactly right... above and below certain potentials, one might be blown back or compelled to hold on during accidental contact. But that's another topic.

Some of the major issues to be considered when building higher/lower Ep amplifiers are the additional hv spacing requirements for the chassis and the higher breakdown requirements of the hardware. Higher voltage supplies, their technical requirements and the additional parts cost a lot more money...

: If you want a low-cost simple-to-build amplifier you should try the
: venerable 6146 or 807 (basically the same tube). That's one tube that
: you have to try really hard to make it NOT work. My suggestion for
: first-timers would be to learn the basics with a 6V6 or 6L6, then work

: your way up from there.

The 6146b is a nice tube, builders just have to always concern themselves with the VHF operation of the tube. The 807 is nice, but in my experience a real pain in the pazoo to get practical power gain near 28Mhz. I really like both the 6L6 and the 6V6 but I avoid them in preference to tubes with top mounted anode connections. Stable high gain rf power operation of an all base pin connected tube is not so easy. I actually fixed a 6L6gc tubed guitar amp about two years ago with a wandering ~3 Mhz oscillation... very funny. After the repair, the owner still couldnt play guitar worth a darn... had to show him how to play a simple blues pattern.

:>> Through Eimac's official distributer (Richardson) they only
:>> cost $180, which makes them cheaper than a half-dozen 6LF6's. But if
:
:>I don't deal with Richardsons, they have a history of price fixing
:>and bad business practices. Convicted of something along those lines
:>some
:>years back.

: Well, their prices are certainly akin to highway robbery, since you
: can get the 4CX250B from Bruckner for $110.

Along with the required special socket, chimney and adequate cfm blower...

:> I don't buy 6LF6's new anymore... I can and do buy
:>current production Russian label EL-519 equivalents which are actually
:>better than the Svetlana brand.

: I don't buy sweep tubes so I really don't care. Matter of fact, I have

The fact is, they are once again low cost and easy to get.

:>> you want one REALLY cheap you can pick up a National for $43 from
:>> Bruckner, which is WAY less expensive than six of your $20 sweep
:>> tubes. BTW, where CAN you get brand new sweep tubes for $20? I looked
:>> under several tube distributors and the prices seem closer to $30 per
:>> tube. Do you get them wholesale or something?
:>
:>Your National tubes are probably chinese made, which I really don' like
:>all that much. Past quality control issues turn me off big time.

: Ok, if you are going to pick through the candy dish, here's Bruckner's
: list. Take your pick:

: 4CX250B M EIMAC $ 110.00
: 4CX250B M AMPEREX $ 90.00
: 4CX250B M RCA,GE $ 85.00
: 4CX250B M WESTGHS,SYL $ 80.00
: 4CX250B M NATIONAL $ 43.00
: 4CX250B M RCA-CHINA $ 43.00
: 4CX250B M STAR $ 65.00

Add the required special socket, chimney and an adequate cfm blower
along with the higher voltage anode screen and control grid power supply
requirements to the list... Not nearly as simple to build, not nearly as
cheap to make. All the lower cost tubes in your list are probably from
asian sources. A few might be russian...

: BTW, Star makes a pretty good tube. But the point is that, watt for
: watt, sweep tubes aren't that much cheaper than RF tubes anymore, even
: with the hardware (which will add $20 to $100 to an amp, depending on
: where you get the stuff).

You didn't quote the prices for the additional hardware I list just
above... even resourceful people will have a problem keeping new hardware
costs below your pretty low ball $100 amount.

:>I get brand new sweep tubes direct from Eastern Europe... you buy
:>retail or
:>from tube distributors. I buy direct and get the best prices. Wanna
:>build a sweep tube Amateur Amplifier..? You can do it AB1 or AB2...
:>I don't mind...

: No thanks. If I want to build an amp I'll do it the right way.

But as indicated in your posts, you don't appear to have any real world
experience building amplifiers. Have you actually built any amplifier
from scratch you can talk about..?

: But somehow I knew that your bias in favor of sweep tubes was
: financially oriented. Because it certainly wasn't based on sound RF

Where do you see me indicate bias in favor of..? Comparing the issues and
requirements of the two amplifiers; your example 4cx250b and a sweep tube
chassis do not indicate a bias. The merits and short commings of any and
all amplifiers are fun to talk about. Let's dance frankie... I'll lead,
you follow.

: engineering principles. Ain't it funny tho: sweep tube amps used to be
: popular because they were so cheap, a decision based on saving money.

... and they work pretty well for what they are.

: And now there are people like you that encourage the use of these
: splatterboxes because spreading ignorance can put a few bucks in your

"splatter boxes" as described from a guy who has probably never properly
scratch/home built or operated more than one. Hate to rain on your
parade frankie... but you provide no actual data of an operating amateur
amplifier (which should be updated as directed in my dentron GLA text) to
back your spectral/linearity claims.
I monitor and watch my spectral content or linearity almost every
transmission. None of my amplifiers splatter, even the sweep tube
versions. I'm darn loud on the bands I frequent..

: pocket. You are a true sham artist, Skippy. Good thing that people
: like me are around to keep innocent folk from getting taken by people

: like you.

I'm not in the regular amp business frankie... sorry but your once again wrong. I tried to tell you that 2 or 3 times already.

The only good thing from your book theory babble so far is that readers to these threads will eventually see everything sorted out (through our posts) as applied to the real world and hopefully learn something.

You are a stuffy wanna be a small rf amplifier "expert" (drip under pressure), who appears to us, to have little actual real world experience building amp circuits from scratch. Per you, everything is in stone and no other options are possible or practical. Maybe you really do need some french roast to break up your mental gel cells.

Stay with your book-only theory and keep your head in the sand. Over time, some of the once gospel rf amplifier book theory is revised and the bad information hopefully discarded. But old bad habits die hard... like your rectifier equalization networks and grids tied to the plate in rf amplifiers.

Hope your broadcast station is solid-state frankie...

By the way, you can still hear one of my past projects on 1640 most every night. Try listening to (now) kdia 1640 Vallejo, CA. I placed the first legal expanded band AM station transmitter on the air west of New Jersey as 1640, then callsign KXBT. Had a nice Sweep Tube.... &%$##@A... a'ha got'cha for a moment... "big tube" transmitter honking out a big signal.

Still waiting for any web pages, reference articles or projects you might care to share with us Frank. You've been pretty quiet about any real world experience and you seem to think you're the only one on this news group working in or around broadcast stations. Once again, not so...

Out with it frankie... "who's your daddy..?"

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

495. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:45:59 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <yT-dnVD1hPVqglWgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142981

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC8042C-370@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>Twit, I think they have the ability and the right >to make you conform
to FCC rules,

That is an absurd statement.
****
Yes it sure was, but I am getting used to them buy you.

If you clowns had any ability at all concerning me, do you think
you/they would not have attempted to exercise it?
****
Probably because you chose to remain an anonymous acolyte

>just as you think you have a "right".

It isn't a right....it's the rules set forth by ISP's and governed by
FCC law.
****

You said it was a right Twisty, I know you can;'t contain your lies.Fcc Laws
hmm the same ones you
thumb your nose at all of a sudden they are important to you? LOL Can you
say Hypocrite!

Your double standard is interesting only to the extent of the
limited and select field of vision you choose to employ.
****
Twitsie, as noted you are the one implying the double standard not the
above!

>If the keyclowns didn't flaunt and violate the >FCC Laws, the anti's
wouldn't have anything >to complain about.

Who gives a damn what they complain about?
****

You do, hence your compaints to ISP's and your outright opposition to their
legal stance

They're i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t.
****
been on your hands and knees lately huh

>You also respond when your name is not >mentioned enough with the lies
twist.

It is called "input".
****
With you it's called Bullshit

You were referring to Lelnad,
****
You share the infatuation with him don't try to make people think others share in it. not in any of these posts directed towards you do I mention him, he has a hold on you I can see. Another lie, misconception on your part try again!

On a note of truth, Lelnad KC8LDO didn't post under his real identity for a few days (he was busy shilling)....
****
Hmm there goes that name again, did that upset you he did that?

>you better either change your claims or refrain from giving them.

Too vague. Be specific or not at all.

That means the bullshit you are spewing is just that try telling the truth or try to put less of a spin on things to accomodate your agenda.

496. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 19:50:35 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <0-OdnWxGNfKXvFWgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142982

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021105122251.02546.00001313@mb-df.aol.com...
: >Ok, even if you said 10 was open only during a contest what point are you
: >trying to make?
:
: i didnt say it was only open during contest times. i said is was only
heavily
: used during contest times and the point was if it wasnt so quiet and
silent
: there perhaps the truckers would know that it was a ham band but since
they
: tune around up there and hear mostly nothing they think its free space.
use it
: or lose it.

I told a few guys in La Marque that piece a crap sitting in your yard if it doesn't get moved this week to take it, Move it or lose it!

: its easy to tell your a cb'er simply by your admiration of the guys on
channel
: 6. you imitate them real often on here with your screen names.

What better way to find out who actually talks on the tidy bowl from this group the responses I get to the names say it all. In fact yes one of my reciever is tuned to 11 meters as it is, alot of un employed guys on channel 6, I don't wanna be like you and make things up i get them straight from the source the CB radio.

: >Tim you are to easy your a boy playing amongst men.

: i'm not even sure you are a man

Now if you said that to Landshark I could understand.

497. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 20:01:38 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <0DadnSkJ0ag_vlWgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142983

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC807DB-376@storefull-
>Tim, why don't you think before you post, so >your saying 27.415 to
27.999 is as busy as 10 >meters when the band is open right?

The freeband is WAY busier.

Over all i must say it is, above 27.555 though is less used by far, swr
concerns etc may be one reason As noted I was trying to ellicit Tim for the
answer.

>i don't see it being that busy

Well, there it is again,,,that must end it...hahahahhahahahaahah!
****
No that's just my opinion just like you have your and never use facts to
back them up

>in the 27 years i have used radio,

So now the TIME you put in suddenly means something?
****
It sure does, after all he tried to impres me with his 10 years of radio
experiance i figure I woul;d let him know I was doing this while he was a
twinkle in daddys eye and I was talking to tim if i am not mistaken why did
you decide to interject? You said you only reply when your name is posted. I
didn't see it mentioned anywhere in this post. Another Lie by Twistedhed

And you haven't managed to upgrade?
****
Well, there it is again,,,that must end it...hahahahhahahahaahah! Is that
your opinion or fact twist, nah we know if it comes from you it is a lie

I wouldn't splatter that all over usenet, of corse
that's why you change nics so dramatically, to avoid at least some of
the ridiculous garbage you spew from being attributed you...classic of
one unable to back anything they ever say.
****
Nah sorry twist another lie, I can do just like Mopar does X-No archive
real simple stupid

>See Tim, If that is the case then twisty excuse >is just that an
excuse, assumption, opinion etc >he say's they are there because of the
DX on >the normal 40 but your saying 10 meters also >becomes full blast
so why would they go to a >band that is full blast.

If we are to consider the posse named by
"Arron", any of them asking for anything deserves nothing unless we
decide it merits such,
****
Who deemed you worthy to decide anything for anyone .

No matter what "full blast" means to anyone, 10 meter is not as busy as
11 (reg 40).
****
Who said it was?

>I will tell you why they use that freq(28.085), >because it is normally
quiet it is in the CW >portion

Gee, as opposed to exactly what?.....say...11 meter?
****
As opposed to 28.350 or any other 10 meter freq that allows voice privleges.
Wonder why they don't hear anyone there huh stupid because there is no voice
comms allowed there thats why they stick out like sore thumbs. get Down the
street twsisty

>they hear no voices so they think it is open, >and because they only
have to click the band >switch 2 clicks they don't even have to touch
>channel selector it its that easy for them.
>If they didn't have radios that went to those >freqs do you still think
they would talk there?

You are becoming quite philosophical..let's look at that again shall we?
You asked...."If they didn't have radios that went to those freqs do you
think they would talk there? Hmmmmmm!

>I highly doubt it,<

Did you hurt yourself arriving at that conclusion?

>the export radios with all the channels makes >it easy to break the
law, up 2 clicks is what >you always hear. Btw, how noisy can it be for
>them they are talking to truck directly in front >and back of them.

You are quite hostile these days. Everything ok?

498. Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 20:10:43 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BD7C3376D67rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 142985

Too cool. No more ISP imposed limitations. I love running a news server. I feel...free. Oh so very free! Woopee!

Hmm...this is off topic. Umm...

...CB radio's are cool.

There. That's better.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

499. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 20:16:54 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <WeedncVRruqrulWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142984

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC80E66-382@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>>What do you care of Radio illegalities?

Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
breaking FCC law than I ever had.
****
Oh ok selective hypocrisy. him making a low power jammer is not way beyond
any realm you have done you jam others communications when you are shooting
DX

>sorta like you on the freeband you have no >rights.

And you are angry over your impotence of the situation.
****
what that you have no rights.

>>Twist I will ask again, what do you care about >>FCC rules being
broke, you break them all >>the time. Or the ones you break not as
>>important as others?

And I will tell you again......I illustrated them only to prove the
hypocrisy of someone who has been on the unprovoked offensive attack
against me for freebanding and dxing, for calling me and others
"fedeeral criminals" when he is no better...as a matter of fact, it
makes him worse....a hypocrite hammie.
****
Well at the time he did this he said he was a Cber so well have to let your
group claim him there. He did it under the guise of Cbers, not hams. LOL

He admitted nothing. He was caught.
****
Really how so seems like he spilled the beans on himself he told you exactly
what he did how may i ask was he caught?

And he has done that too, by saying it was a long time ago. First he
said it was when he was a kid, but his posts, as usual, show he was a
"federal criminal" as recently as a few years ago.

****
I saw first it was 20 years then something about 6years ago

>>and try to non trivialize FCC laws as un >>important.

Yea, he did that too with his attempted defense of his jamming techniques. He ran right to another, pointing out his (the jammee) violation was much worse and somehow merited Dave to break the law.
****
So your saying Dave is no worse than you an admitted federal Lawbreaker

>>Yes same as you Dxing, freebanding with >>another individual, premediatated as well >>since you know right well your breaking the >>Law.

So now MY actions justify a hammie breaking the law? Hahahhahhahhahaah! Yea.....
****
No I am saying your no better than him yet you want to chastize him. Was he a ham back then Do you know? why not ask instead of assume, ooops I forgot your agenda

Hahahah....as always, because we talk about it, we must be guilty. Better stay out of some of the alt. groups....you're heart couldn't take it...hahahahhaha
****
Yes, you hold the hammies in this group to that why wouldnt you hold yourself to the same light?
If you are talking about it than either you did it or are a liar which do you prefer to be called?

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a >little more complex to >make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or >we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>>Sounds like you!<<

Dx and freebanding. But the fact you feel it justifies you breaking other laws is common among lawless sociopaths.

>Not to the best of my knowledge. <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.......you keep asking for excuses....

>We didn't
>have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
committed.

>>Cite it?<<

Criminal mischief.
No go clean off.

>There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

No gray area.. You freely admitted
you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the
FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal
criminal (your words).

>>See you guys are more alike than you think >>twisty.

I always knew he what Dave was about. The fact that he tried to act
otherwise with a call sign was his mistake.
****
Your right take a look at Jay and Toll free guys who have ham license but
are admitted Illegal Cbers to the end. Are they hams when convienant or
just when it fits your agenda?
Remember the ham license is no badge of morality it doesn't make you all of
a sudden a good citizen you either are or not

500. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 20:24:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC82910.56AD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142986

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,
>
> Makes it illegal....end of story.

Youy obviously never read the passage of part 15 which covers low power walkie talkies, or remote control transmitters. While I freely admit, we used it to plug someone's ears, if push came to shove, you couldn't prove it was anything more than a propagation beacon.

>
> >The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity
> of breaking far more >serious FCC rules, made his complaints >unlikely.
>
> Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NOT make a right.

But three lefts do......;)

>
> >I see that little exerpt of mine that I sent you in >E-mail have given
> you some more ammo. >Bravo!
>
> Were you drunk when you sent it? You never mentioned anything concerning
> your status as an illegal OR a dealer.

No, but I gave you the headers of the account that I used then. It's a simple matter for you to fondle google for the rest.

> ..that was sent to me by an
> upperclassmen who is familiar with your hypocrisy. There are several of
> your posts that contradict yourself......most recently,,,your inquiry as
> to what "slurp" was referred to in your headers. Or your several accesss
> sites through, around, and some that have nothing to do with Villanova U
> that you claim don't exist.

And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of my internet needs. In the last month or so, they've changed the news server over to a different machine. I don't know how that reflects in the message headers, but I use it the same as I always did. I can't be responsible for the hardware changes that my ISP does.

And I still don't understand the meaning of the term "slurp"......

>
> See, besides trying to take your advice
> concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not

> believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious,
> so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?
>
> >I was never seriously "in business". Two other >local guys had a dealer
> franchise, and sold >radios to the local guys. I was the guy who did
> >the repair work.
>
> You claimed it yours back then. Another contradiction.

I NEVER claimed that the business was mine. It wasn't much of a
"business" anyway. We only worked the local crowd. I never made enough
money to call it a primary job. It was my "radio slush fund", that I
used to buy more of my own gear.
Hell, I've been repairing radios since I was 15. I paid my first car's
insurance and kept the gas tank full from the proceeds of my ventures.

>
> >And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly
> wrong", in my day. I >spent most of my time, making borderline >unstable
> radios like Galaxy's, who had already >seen the screwdriver, operate
> with some >stability and cleanliness. It took a while to >undo the "CB
> myths" that most of the locals >bought into, but after hearing what a
> properly >set up radio could sound like, they finally >started to see
> things my way, and I had a ton >of business as a result.
> >But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now.
>
> Riiiiiiiight! And you sudenly saw the light and everyone else who
> happens to partake in what you did is now a federal criminal (your
> words). So I guess you speak from experience as a self-admitted federal
> criminal?

Yep. That's why I have such a keen insight into the situation.

>
>
> S'ok.
> It would have been much easier on you to admit you are a hypocrite
> instead of denying and lying about it over the past how many months.

What I may or may not have done in the past in no way exonerates what
you or anyone else does now.

>
>
> >but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him or berating
> the actions that our >local group did to get rid of him.
>
> Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if
> you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws
> certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert.
> What's good for the goose.....
>

> >That's not exactly true. A sociopath is >someone who make a habit of
> thumbing his >nose at the rules of society, or the idea of >society
> itself.
>
> Well, you claim I was one for disrespecting the mundane laws of dx and
> freebanding. Yet you had the illegal hack shack and somehow view
> yourself above that of a simple freebandng dxer...hahahhahahah...keep on
> keeping on....!

The difference was that I was at least attempting to correct some really
BAD advice and modification work, whichresulted in a cleaner radio
signal. This had the net effect of reducing interference potential. You,
on the otherhand, operate either oblivious of, or indifferent to, the
potential interference that you may create, while chasing your DX.

>
>
> Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
> is
> buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
> long time ago.
>
> >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.
>
> Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
> the band.

That would've required trespass.

>
> >The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a
> little more complex to >make.
> >I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
> we would have had >to risk attaching another device to his car.
>
> "Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?
>
> >Not to the best of my knowledge. <
>
> Ignorance of the law is no excuse.
>
> >We didn't
> >have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.
>
> If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
> committed.

We never had to.

> >but hey, it was better than tieing the coax of >the guy's antenna to a
> car bumber and driving >away like another local had suggested.
>

> Yea...that works better for antennas.

But much more illegal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

501. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:10:51 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <vtWx9.2346$fn6.304949@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142987

I will make this simple for you...

A Texas Star 500V. You will need to have the radio tuned back down OR
have a high drive switch installed.

Send your radio here and KNOW you will be taken good care of!!!!

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

Dino

>Ok, I'm not new to the CB world, but I am new to amps. I've never had
>the money before to install one before so here is my question. I have
>a Cobra 29 setup right now, peaked and tuned and made right by my
>friendly neighborhood CB shop-owner, top-o-the-line Coax, a Wilson
>2000-T antenna and I feel like I have a POS radio shack radio with 8
>feet of built in coax and magnetic mount 3 foot whip antenna that
>ain't even grounded right. I've got the hardware I want but I missing
>one thing....POWER....I know it's illegal, I know more than a few
>people who own and operate amps on a daily basis. Its about a much a
>worry for me as getting pulled over for speeding(which happens often,
>but I don't get tickets....wonder why?)....but anyway, I am well aware
>of the legality of it, and don't need anyone rippin my ass about
>it....thanks! Ok, what should I, and can install to make my sudden
>feeling of powerlessness go away. Don't worry about cost, that's my
>problem, just tell me what would work best. Thanks everyone and I'll
>be looking forward to hearing some replys soon.
>
>Jason aka "ET"
>Look for me at the I-95/20 in the great state of South Carolina or
>95/16 in GA

502. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:15:55 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usgd8kfo2f5n10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142988

You see Twisted, I don't need to post under different names. I haven't been added to blacklists, so why would I need to? Furthermore my headers aren't faked, they are what my ISP uses and have been the same since July of this year when I MOVED. Before that they had been the same up until excite.com went bankrupt at which point they were the same through them for 1 year prior to that. A search on google would show that. Also I have never had a qwest account and all my friends are in agreement with me that qwest is evil incarnate and refuse ever to give them our business. Your info is obviously derived from your own inept cognitive abilities and you have come to the wrong conclusion and need to leave it to the professionals.
So to be an ignorant fool would be putting it lightly in your case as you are having a side of your own foot as you read this. I have not "got away" with anything since I have not tried anything. - DUH!
Just because someone defends themselves, to an obvious mental midget, aggressively does not make them a guilty SOB! If you are going to make accusations while you sit there in front of your TV then please post the headers to these offending messages so can see and judge for themselves. Unfortunately you cannot do that since there is no evidence that says it was me in the first place. You are just mad because I foiled your attempt to blame me in the past for the same thing:

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: packrat your too funny

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 08:15:52 PST

Yea, the Rat is another with several ID's that cause trouble.
SamSpade.org and Geektools.....12.229.160.36Oh I am, am I?Now lets get this through your think skull. I am not changing names to post here and it would be in your best interest, if you want to catch who is really doing it, to look else where or don't look at all because your skillz are so bad that you will finger another innocent party.

Fix your panties twit-hed.

This has been a Packrat production,
Packrat

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22938-3DC815B8-54@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat&#65533;)
upon searching google groups I noticed some accusations from twisted
panties:

"Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's headers are duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our favorite "Quest" poster."

>Are you such an imbecile that you cant tell >where a news message is coming from?

Not at all. You're merely an ignorant fool to think you got away with it. Your headers and the "Quest" person's are separate and not the same...but let there be no doubt.....you have been active posting under a name other than what you show now. Why such hosility unless you're a guilty SOB?

503. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:23:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <239-3DC836BF-446@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142993

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC80E66-382@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit) "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
wrote in message
news:25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>>What do you care of Radio illegalities?

Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
breaking FCC law than I ever had.
****
>>Oh ok selective hypocrisy.<<

Certainly it was selective...he chose to come at me in a confrontational
manner by calling me names........that is selective..........and the
fact that he himself fit the profile of the names e accused so many
others of is hypocritical.....so yea....selective hypocrisy.

>>him making a low power jammer is not way >>beyond any realm you have
done

It most certainly is. Not only have I never intentionally jammed anyone,
I have never had a hack shop and sold illegal equipment like he has,
either.

>>you jam others communications when you >>are shooting DX

That's not me, that's miraculous mutha.....nature.

>sorta like you on the freeband you have no
>rights.

And you are angry over your impotence of the situation.
****
>>Twist I will ask again, what do you care about >>FCC rules being
>>broke, you break them all the time. Or the >>ones you break not as
>>important as others?

And I will tell you again......I illustrated them only to prove the
hypocrisy of someone who has been on the unprovoked offensive attack
against me for freebanding and dxing, for calling me and others
"fedeeral criminals" when he is no better...as a matter of fact, it

makes him worse....a hypocrite hammie.
****
>>Well at the time he did this he said he was a >>Cber

Wrong...he claimed he was MUCH younger than he is now. It was only a few short years ago when he was spinning his felonious ways, not as a "youth" that he chalked up to indiscretion.

so well have to let your group claim him there. He did it under the guise of Cbers, not hams. LOL

He admitted nothing. He was caught.
****
>>Really how so seems like he spilled the >>beans on himself he told you exactly what he >>did how may i ask was he caught?

Where did he tell any of us he was the proprietor of a cb shop? He didn't, and you look pretty funny desperately trying to defend.....hahahahahhahaha!

And he has done that too, by saying it was a long time ago. First he said it was when he was a kid, but his posts, as usual, show he was a "federal criminal" as recently as a few years ago.
****
>> try to non trivialize FCC laws as un >>important.

NON-trivialize? That would mean...never mind!

Yea, he did that too with his attempted defense of his jamming techniques. He ran right to another, pointing out his (the jammee) violation was much worse and somehow merited Dave to break the law.
****
>>So your saying Dave is no worse than you an >>admitted federal Lawbreaker

I am saying Dave is no better than those he called names and accused of being federal criminals. Why is it that some (such as yourself) of those that profess to be licensed in communications, exhibit such a deficit when it comes to employing such?

>>Yes same as you Dxing, freebanding with >>another individual, >>premediatated as well since you know right >>well your breaking the >>Law.

So now MY actions justify a hammie breaking the law? Hahahhahhahhahaah! Yea.....
****
>>No I am saying your no better than him<<

Well, that's good, as it's the first amount of truth I have ever seen from you. I have never claimed I was better than anyone on this forum..that is reserved for a few pathetic angry nocodes, and of course, parasites such as yourself. It is the reason for y'alls sparkling popularity.

>>yet you want to chastize him.<<

Return fire, nothing more.

>> Was he a ham back then Do you know? why >>not ask instead of assume, ooops I forgot >>your agenda

The fact he is a hammie makes a difference? Then hammies should be held more accountable for their actions, by your Lelnad logic.....HAHhahhhahaahhahahahhahahahhhaahhahaah
Hahahah....as always, because we talk about it, we must be guilty.
Better stay out of some of the alt. groups....you're heart couldn't take it...hahahahhaha
****
>>Yes, you hold the hammies in this group to >>that why wouldnt you hold yourself to the >>same light?

Don't start lying just because you buried yourself again.
I have never accused a hammie of freebanding merely because they may have chosen to speak of it.

>>If you are talking about it than either you did >>it or are a liar which do you prefer to be >>called?

Your inability to even comprehend why that statement is so far removed from reality is plenty entertainment for me.

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a little more complex to >make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or we would have had >to risk attaching another device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>>Sounds like you!<<

You, too. Dx and freebanding. But the fact you feel it justifies you breaking other laws is common among lawless sociopaths.

>>Not to the best of my knowledge. < <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.......you keep asking for excuses....

>We didn't
>have to trespass to plant the device, the >device was part 15 covered.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.

>>Cite it?<<

Criminal mischief.
No go clean off.

>There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

No gray area.. You freely admitted
you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal criminal (your words).

>>See you guys are more alike than you think >>twisty.

I always knew what Dave was about. The fact that he tried to act otherwise with a call sign was his mistake.
****
>>Your right<<

Of course I'm right. He should have acted like an adult instead of losing his temper and acting the way he did. The mere fact that he has held several otherwise legal cbers as federal criminals when his actions were far worse than mere dx or freebanding is another stain on hammie radio....that "I'm so much better than you" attitude that seems prevalent among nocodes among us always comes back to bite them in the azz....every single one of them.

>>take a look at Jay and Toll free guys who >>have ham license but are admitted Illegal >>Cbers to the end.

First of all, in the years I have been here,
neither have I seen claim anything concerning their hammie license, so it doesn't really apply or matter except to thugs like yourself.
Secondly, both have constructively assisted those in need, and I have witnessed on more than occasion of Toll cleaning the clock of those that came in here spouting off something they read in a book with a chip on their shoulder.

>>Are they hams when convienant or just when >>it fits your agenda?

Ask them. Again, in almost 4 years I have seen neither say anything concerning hammies.

>>Remember the ham license is no badge of >>morality it doesn't make you all of a sudden a >>good citizen you either are or not

Yea, well, it has the power of really twisting the psyche of some....hahaahhahahh!

504. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:25:18 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usgdve11jqjh83@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142989

Since when has the CW not been required. If you want General Class or Higher
you still need to take the code test. Also if you are going for the Tech
class you have the option of no code or code. If you take the code you can
use the HF frequencies. If not you can't use the HF frequencies.
Just my opinion.
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:6EGdnQxrGoe3iFWgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
>
> > Other than the previousely mentioned things, there is the fact that
> > Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
> > Morse Code. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
> > CW. Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
> > "the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
>
> You're seriously out of data bud. CW hasn't been required for a long time
> now.
>
> > Well that's a poor excuse if you ask me. Those Outrageous price tags
> > on Ham gear is the only reason the Ham bands haven't gone the way of
> > CB!
> > Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club". Only a
> > handful are able to pass the initiations.
>
> Bull. I run classes. 100% of my students have passed their exams.
> One required a second test, but we did that on the same day.
>
> >Now don't get me wrong, I am
> > not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
> > (N1"XXX").
>
> Even more dissapointing that you are so out of date on the situation.
>
>

505. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:35:54 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <rubberchicken@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <usgecqb0tkta3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142990

"Buddy Ebsen" <budyebsen@welldoggie.com> wrote in message
news:G_acnRZX3tbgslqgXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> news:use6t1kuvtia58@corp.supernews.com...
>
>
> : Did yours?, or did your dad whack off in a flower pot, and raise
> : a blooming **idiot!**?
>
> Are you Scott?
>
>
Who the hell are you? You've changed your screen nic about
4 or 5 times in the last 24 hrs.

Whats your latest trolling name now, Idiot?
Get a f#cking life George! LOL!

Remember this old sig. from Landshark, I guess He had
a point about you being a trolling lowlife vermin, eh?

****

Beware of George WA3MOJ, he is suspect to post
from different ISP's, under different screen nics just to
make harassing style posts with absolutely no useful
content at all. Currently as markbeck666@aol.comSPAM
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. abuse@hotmail.com and complain
about how this personis using false headers with references to their
services to by
pass newsreaders filters.

markbeck666?, I forgot about that one..., that was about few hundred
nic's ago?, man how time flies when you're a Comcast Troll, eh George?

506. Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:40:20 UTC
From: cbraydio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105164020.10934.00002360@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 142991

Is one really better then the other for 10-11 meters? Thanks in advance for your reply.

507. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 21:43:25 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021105164325.17415.00002431@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 142992

buy a Dave Made ....www.badassradios.com

p.s www.davemade.com are NOT real dave made amps ..they are copiys from NC ...real Dave Mades come from NJ

(Better Then You!!!)

508. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:13:31 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <foXx9.26652$0x.15735@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142994

"Cbraydio" <cbraydio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021105164020.10934.00002360@mb-fe.aol.com...
> Is one really better then the other for 10-11 meters? Thanks in advance
for
> your reply.

The Antron 99 is a 1/2-wave antenna while the IMAX-2000 is an End Fed Zepp.
(Actually slightly better than a 5/8-wave.) The IMAX-2000 is also reportedly
a better constructed antenna. Yes, buy the IMAX-2000 over the Antron 99.
BTW, I've owned them both. Best of luck to you. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. Jay in the Mojave sells a 5/8-wave ground plane called the
Interceptor-10K. I hear it's a real "world-beater."

509. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:17:02 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021105171702.17358.00002081@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 142995

yeah i would go with the i-10K from Jay ..it,s worth the $$$$>P.S. Jay in
the Mojave sells a 5/8-wave ground plane called the
>Interceptor-10K. I hear it's a real "world-beater."

(Better Then You!!!)

510. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:19:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21574-3DC843E1-428@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142996

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>Youy obviously never read the passage of part >15 which covers low
power walkie talkies, or >remote control transmitters. While I freely
>admit, we used it to plug someone's ears, if >push came to shove, you
couldn't prove it was >anything more than a propagation beacon.

The law doesn't have to prove anything when a confession is given of
ones own free will, unabetted.

>The fact that the only person who would have >heard it, was also guity
>of breaking far more serious FCC rules, made >his complaints unlikely.

Hahaha....no way, dude. Two wrongs do NOT make a right.

>I see that little exerpt of mine that I sent you in >E-mail have given
>you some more ammo. Bravo!

Were you drunk when you sent it? You never mentioned anything concerning
your status as an illegal OR a dealer.

>No, but I gave you the headers of the account >that I used then. It's a
simple matter for you to >fondle google for the rest.
.

The rest? Oh you mean the rest of your self-contradictions? Hell Dave, I
don't need to do as much,,,you serve up nicely.

that was sent to me by an upperclassmen who is familiar with your
hypocrisy. There are several of your posts that contradict
yourself......most recently,,,your inquiry as to what "slurp" was
referred to in your headers. Or your several accesss sites through,
around, and some that have nothing to do with Villanova U that you claim
don't exist.

>And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
In the last month or so, >they've changed the news server over to a
>different machine.

Only for you? Only in your area?

>I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
the same as I always did.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many different avenues.

>I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.

Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access the group.

>And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......

Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6 others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.

See, besides trying to take your advice concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious, so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?

>I was never seriously "in business". Two other >local guys had a dealer >franchise,

A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?

>and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did >the repair work.

Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig? No Dave, you claimed it yours. This is beginning to be quite fun..you lie, and I show otherwise. No wonder Frank hallucinated he invented a "twistybuster"....worse yet, Dogie and Lelnad each bought one.

You claimed it yours back then. Another contradiction.

>I NEVER claimed that the business was mine. <

We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.

>It wasn't much of a "business" anyway. We >only worked the local crowd. I never made >enough money to call it a primary job. It was >my "radio slush fund", that I used to buy more >of my own gear.
>Hell, I've been repairing radios since I was 15. >I paid my first car's insurance and kept the gas >tank full from the proceeds of my ventures.
>And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly >wrong", in my day. I spent most of my time, >making borderline unstable radios like >Galaxy's, who had already seen the >screwdriver, operate with some stability and >cleanliness.

Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all washed up here.

>It took a while to undo the "CB myths" that >most of the locals bought into, but after >hearing what a properly set up radio could >sound like, they finally started to see things >my way, and I had a ton of business

as a >result.
>But that was about 5 or 6 years ago now.

Riiiiiiiight! And you suddenly saw the light and everyone else who happens to partake in what you did is now a federal criminal (your words). So I guess you speak from experience as a self-admitted federal criminal?

>Yep. That's why I have such a keen insight >into the situation.

Once again, you fail to see yourself as the masses. Your insight is far removed from the popular majority as evidenced in your maintaining dx constitutes a federal crime. It is a shame after all this time you haven't found a dictionary that gives you the proper definition...maybe you should consult an online law jargon dictionary.

S'ok.
It would have been much easier on you to admit you are a hypocrite instead of denying and lying about it over the past how many months.

>What I may or may not have done in the past >in no way exonerates what you or anyone else >does now.

Who is looking for exoneration besides you and Lelnad at this time? Therein is another of your problems....looking to others for validation. Goes hand in hand with your rationalization that your crime was somehow above the law because in your mind you were upholding some greater law of morals........incredible.......!!

>but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him

I am not defending him, rather you are using him as a justification for your lawlessness.

>or berating
>the actions that our local group did to get rid of >him.

Not your group, just you, as you are the one that has a hard-on for what you consider federal criminals and anyone that doesn't conform as you see fit.
Hey, the law is the law, remember? You are a sociopath (your words) if you deviate or make allowances, such as selectively ignoring laws certain laws, like dx or going above the posted limit in the desert. What's good for the goose.......

>That's not exactly true. A sociopath is >someone who make a habit of >thumbing his nose at the rules of society, or ?the idea of society itself.

Well, you claim I was one for disrespecting the mundane laws of dx and freebanding. Yet you had the illegal hack shack and somehow view yourself above that of a simple freebandng dxer...hahahhahahah...keep on keeping on....!

>The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
really BAD advice >and modification work, whichresulted in a >cleaner
radio signal.

You also were involved in illegal sales.

>This had the net effect of reducing >interference potential.

Your illegal sales had nothing to do with such bullshit claims.

>You, on the otherhand, operate either >oblivious of, or indifferent to,
the potential >interference that you may create, while >chasing your DX.

Keep chasing rainbows.
Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
is
buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
long time ago.

>A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
the band.

>That would've required trespass.<

Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and
distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in
your limited grasp of things. But this isn't about me, it's about your
hypocrisy and lies.

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a
>little more complex to make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
>we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>Not to the best of my knowledge. <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>We didn't
>have to trespass to plant the device,

Who said you did?
If you touched his car, as you claimed, with an intent to break another
law (as you also claimed) you were guilty of criminal mischief.
YOU were the only one who mentioned trespass.

>the device was part 15 covered.<

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
committed.

>We never had to. <

Really? Let's see...you said....and I quote:"
>Good thing too, or
>we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.

"Another" implicates it wasn't the first time. And how do you attach a device to a car without touching it? Did you lie or simply forget? this may be your crowning monent, yet
.

>but hey, it was better than tieing the coax of >the guy's antenna to a
>car bumber and driving away like another local >had suggested.

Yea...that works better for antennas.

>But much more illegal.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

511. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:21:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21575-3DC84478-162@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143047

From: rrcb@strawinski.net (Alan Strawinski)
Too cool. No more ISP imposed limitations. I love running a news server.
I feel...free. Oh so very free! Woopee!
Hmm...this is off topic. Umm...
..CB radio's are cool.
There. That's better.
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net
==========
Serious sense of false security. Upstream providers have dc'ed access
when complaints have fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's.

512. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:37:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21574-3DC8482B-431@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143048

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC807DB-376@storefull-
>Tim, why don't you think before you post, so >your saying 27.415 to
>27.999 is as busy as 10 meters when the >band is open right?

The freeband is WAY busier.

>Over all i must say it is, above 27.555

Not talking about above it. the statement stands alone without your
bullshit.

Well, there it is again,,,that must end it...hahahahhahahahaahah!
****
>No that's just my opinion just like you have >your and never use facts
to back them up
>in the 27 years i have used radio,

You can't back up an opinion with facts, Lelnad.

So now the TIME you put in suddenly means something?
****
>It sure does, after all he tried to impres me >with his 10 years of
radio experiance i figure I >woul;d let him know I was doing this while
he >was a twinkle in daddys eye and I was talking >to tim if i am not
mistaken why did you decide >to interject?

You asked for it by posting in public. If you wanted no input you
shoulld have taken it to email.

>You said you only reply when your name is >posted.

No, YOU said that..I said I "ALWAYS" reply when my name is poseted or
addressed.
Lies and false attributions you can't seem to get away from..it's as if
you can't help yourself.....don't now any better..

>I didn't see it mentioned anywhere in this post. >Another Lie by
Twistedhed

Wrong, junior. the only lie was you claiming I said something when I
didn't.....that's the only game you losers have and it is really
ineffective By the way, why haven't you managed to upgrade in all those
alleged years?

****
Of course that's why you change nics so dramatically, to avoid at least

some of the ridiculous garbage you spew from being attributed
you...classic of one unable to back anything they ever say.
****
>Nah sorry twist<

Beside the point.

>another lie, I can do just like Mopar does X-No >archive real simple
stupid

Mopar doesn't act like you. Mopar doesnt change nics like you. Mopar has
no reason to not want his posts attributed to him, so unlike yourself.

>See Tim, If that is the case then twisty excuse >is just that an
>excuse, assumption, opinion etc he say's >they are there because of the
DX on the >normal 40 but your saying 10 meters also >becomes full blast
so why would they go to a >band that is full blast.

If we are to consider the posse named by "Arron", any of them asking for
anything deserves nothing unless we decide it merits such,
****
>Who deemed you worthy to decide anything >for anyone .

The one whose "T" you wear so proudly. The one who, on occasion,
validates you.

>I will tell you why they use that freq(28.085), >because it is normally
>quiet it is in the CW portion

Gee, as opposed to exactly what?.....say...11 meter?
****
>As opposed to 28.350 or any other 10 meter >freq that allows voice
privleges.

Gee, you could have plagiarized my post.....

>Wonder why they don't hear anyone there huh >stupid because there is no
voice comms >allowed there thats why they stick out like sore >thumbs.
get Down the street twsisty
>they hear no voices so they think it is open, >and because they only
>have to click the band switch 2 clicks they >don't even have to touch
>channel selector it its that easy for them. If >they didn't have radios
that went to those >freqs do you still think
>they would talk there?

You are becoming quite philosophical..let's look at that again shall we?
You asked...."If they didn't have radios that went to those freqs do you
think they would talk there? Hmmmmmm!

>I highly doubt it,<

Did you hurt yourself arriving at that conclusion?

>the export radios with all the channels makes >it easy to break the
>law, up 2 clicks is what you always hear.

> Btw, how noisy can it be for
>them they are talking to truck directly in front >and back of them.

You are quite hostile these days. Everything ok? I mean, besides you not
getting away with Jack.......:)

513. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:40:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21574-3DC848E7-432@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143054

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
Freebanding!!
What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land"

>Actually, they are allocated to various services >and organizations.
Hardly a "no man's land".
>Most states have highway patrol allocations in >there, forest service,
there's quite a long list.

Most were abandoned for more technically advanced modes of
communications. 27.555 has been relinquished to freebanders for years,
as have most of the other freqs between the legal 40 and 10 meter.

514. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 22:46:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21574-3DC84A59-433@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143053

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC80920-379@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Nice, but no cigar. That isn't a forge nor what got you slapped.

>Nice Lie, again, Ill give you the post right off >Google since your a
schlep, perhaps you >would like to post what you feel did the trick >for
me then. As noted the post was from @ >home whom you lodged your
frivolous >complaint with. BTW, I slow I never got >slapped for anything
your too impotent. Now if >you feel *I*, not someone you think is me
>posted something to back up your lie's else go >to www.google.com and
dig it up.

From: No Fear (nofear@home.comm)
Subject: twistys rantings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-09-24 19:38:29 PST
Look at how angry twisty is at people screwing up his once sacred
freeband, using amplifiers and even calling it a keyfest similiar to a
keydown!
As copied from Twistys Column in Sporadic waves
"Gone Crazy? International DX on the eleven meter and free-band is there
for the taking just about everyday on sideband. AM is also giving up
rare contacts. But one of the better known frequencies for international
DX contacts, 27.555 USB, once a jewel of pleasant listening and
international contacts, has unfortunately deteriorated rapidly over the
last few months, morphing itself into a vile cesspool, spewing forth all
it's bile and waste, due to a small, intellectually-challenged, rapid
group of angry, self-loathing and pathetic operators who have taken it
upon themselves to take up a permanent-like residential status there,
and in the process, completely abdicated any civil, polite
conversation."
"This small but nefarious group is attempting to jam, interfere, and
prevent any DX contacts from being made on frequency. In case you
haven't listened in on 555 as of late, the frequency has literally
become a key down fest with language that one would be embarrassed to
hear in the company of children, while only those blowing ridiculous
amounts of power are being heard."

Hahahha...no copyright violation there. Now you have to convince the
group that something in that post would have compelled me to
complain....you're not doing very well here. My reputation for thick
skin is what assists in rendering you poor girls impotent. Have a good
night :)

515. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:03:59 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <u_-dncgFi67C01WgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143049

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21575-3DC84478-162@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rrcb@strawinski.net (Alan Strawinski)
Too cool. No more ISP imposed limitations. I love running a news server.
I feel...free. Oh so very free! Woopee!
Hmm...this is off topic. Umm...
..CB radio's are cool.
There. That's better.
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

==========
Serious sense of false security. Upstream providers have dc'ed access
when complaints have fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's.
****

Twistys, Serious sense of paranoia LOL

516. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:05:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21574-3DC84EBC-437@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143055

Forgive me rat, but I must pose the question to you, since so many seem to be afflicted with this malady, yet no one can provide the answer. Why is it, that some of those that are licensed for communication, (such as you just exhibited) suffer the biggest deficit when attemptiing such? If you read carefully (that means in order to comprehend) you shall see not only did I not accuse you of having anything at all to do with quest, I mentioned nothing concerning fake headers either. In contrast, what I was alluding to, was the path of a certain 12.229.160.36 suddenly appeared again, and it wasn't with your "rat" name at the top. Now go on and have fun, I won't spoil your little game. Matter of fact, we will just pretend I didn't notice it and you can carry on, if you can manage without insult.

517. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:05:40 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BD99E16D21rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143052

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in
news:21575-3DC84478-162@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> From: rrcb@strawinski.net (Alan&#65533;Strawinski)
> Too cool. No more ISP imposed limitations. I love running a news
> server. I feel...free. Oh so very free! Woopee!
> Hmm...this is off topic. Umm...
> ..CB radio's are cool.
> There. That's better.
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net
> ==Serious sense of false
> security. Upstream providers have dc'ed access when complaints have
> fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's.
>

Um, how is what I said a false sense of security? I didn't put a news
server up because of security concerns. I put one up because I thought it
was cool. The ISP imposed limitations I was reffering to are bandwidth
throttling measures, and concurrent connection limits. I enjoy the
control I now have over my newsfeed, that's all. For example, through
user based authentication I can connect to my news server from anywhere.
This is neato, because I'm rather mobile :) I can do the same with
certain free news servers, but I don't like their performance WRT
propagation delays. I could pay for better service, but why? Running a
news server is kinda cool. I've noticed that propagation delays are very
small compared to my old ISP's news server. UUNET is very well connected,
I've noticed. Far out.

Why did you mention upstream providers killing feeds when complaints fall
on deaf ears? Why would I receive any complaints? Why would I not respond
to complaints if I got them?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

518. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:09:52 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <WAKdnXdQ6v1d0lWgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143050

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:8oacnVRJXdgfiFWgXTWcrg@comcast.com...

: Actually, they are allocated to various services and organizations.
: Hardly a "no man's land".
:
: Most states have highway patrol allocations in there, forest service,
: there's quite a long list.

Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he says there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the pirates who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the freebanders are claiming it.

519. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:11:56 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <iAednQuowoCmzVWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143051

"Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
news:usgecqb0tkta3d@corp.supernews.com...

: markbeck666?, I forgot about that one..., that was about few hundred
: nic's ago?, man how time flies when you're a Comcast Troll, eh George?

I guess you would know to huh chicken choker

520. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:31:27 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <jxYx9.2646$En4.316910@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143056

These are competition amps. Tell me, are they biased at all. I really
don't know.

Another good option seems to be Griffy's amp.

>buy a Dave Made ....www.badassradios.com
>
>
>p.s www.davemade.com are NOT real dave made amps ..they are copiys from NC
>...real Dave Mades come from NJ
>
>(Better Then You!!!)

521. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:33:07 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TyYx9.283699$Fw2.8274231@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143057

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in > Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he says
> there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the pirates
> who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the
> freebanders are claiming it.

Huh? "intensive purposes"? Did you mean "intents and purposes"?

522. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:38:01 UTC
From: Packrat<unk> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usglj2kujc0uc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143059

Produce that header then
that was my static ip with attbi and i gaurantee you wont find different
names under that IP

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21574-3DC84EBC-437@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Forgive me rat, but I must pose the question to you, since so many seem
> to be afflicted with this malady, yet no one can provide the answer. Why
> is it, that some of those that are licensed for communication, (such as
> you just exhibited) suffer the biggest deficit when attemptiing such?
> If you read carefully (that means in order to comprehend)
> you shall see not only did I not accuse you of having anything at all to
> do with quest, I mentioned nothing concerning fake headers either. In
> contrast, what I was alluding to, was the path of a certain
> 12.229.160.36 suddenly appeared again, and it wasn't with your "rat"
> name at the top. Now go on and have fun, I won't spoil your little game.
> Matter of fact, we will just pretend I didn't notice it and you can
> carry on, if you can manage without insult.
>

523. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:38:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DC85666.9BBBD028@cwis.net>
Source record: 143058

Bert,
An end fed 'Zepp'? I don't think so. How
about an end fed 5/8 wave, instead?
'Doc

524. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:39:48 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <8FYx9.2672$En4.316910@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143060

Between these two antennas...the IMAX 2000 for sure! But you decide...

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

If you want a better antenna, get the Interceptor 10K

http://www.a1antennas.com/

Dino

>Is one really better then the other for 10-11 meters? Thanks in advance for
>your reply.

525. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:39:51 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <SYScnUB0jclby1WgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143061

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22126-3DC807DB-376@storefull-
>Tim, why don't you think before you post, so >your saying 27.415 to
>27.999 is as busy as 10 meters when the >band is open right?

The freeband is WAY busier.

>Over all i must say it is, above 27.555

Not talking about above it. the statement stands alone without your
bullshit.
****
Which statement are you refering to specifically, since your vauge and
usually your posts look like your on hallucinagentics?

You said the freeband is way busier, I agreed and said overall it is, but
the freqs above 27.555 arent as busy as the rest of the freeband. Whats the
problem with that?

Well, there it is again,,,that must end it...hahahahhahahahaahah!
****
>No that's just my opinion just like you have >your and never use facts
to back them up
>in the 27 years i have used radio,

You can't back up an opinion with facts, Lelnad.

So now the TIME you put in suddenly means something?
****
>It sure does, after all he tried to impres me >with his 10 years of
radio experiance i figure I >woul;d let him know I was doing this while
he >was a twinkle in daddys eye and I was talking >to tim if i am not
mistaken why did you decide >to interject?

You asked for it by posting in public. If you wanted no input you
shoulld have taken it to email.
****
Well, actually you to said exactly what I wanted you to I hope you take your
own advice when Leland or someone else makes a post and interjects, and you
suddenly say they have some obsession with you. It also shows how much you
value what I say by answering me thanks for playing. I can say your
obsessed with me as much as you Nad is of you. Just wanted to make that
distinction.

>You said you only reply when your name is >posted.

No, YOU said that..I said I "ALWAYS" reply when my name is poseted or addressed.
Lies and false attributions you can't seem to get away from..it's as if you can't help yourself.....don't now any better..
****
Are you that fucked up on drugs or in the head? I said the exact same thing you did "you reply when your name is posted". You are such a pschopathic liar you accuse everyone of lieing when it is apperent that there was no lie . it is actually comical to a point to think some one can twist someone elses words the way you

>I didn't see it mentioned anywhere in this post. >Another Lie by Twistedhed

****
Of course that's why you change nics so dramatically, to avoid at least some of the ridiculous garbage you spew from being attributed you...classic of one unable to back anything they ever say.
****
>Nah sorry twist<

Beside the point.
****
yes you are beside the point

>another lie, I can do just like Mopar does X-No >archive real simple stupid

Mopar doesn't act like you. Mopar doesnt change nics like you. Mopar has no reason to not want his posts attributed to him, so unlike yourself.
****
Your right he is a totaly different asshole
Never said he did change nics did I fuckface?
Then ask him why he does it dick licker. Ill make you a deal Ill x archive my posts and keep the same address i will bet dollars to donuts you and the rest of your dickless posse reply to me whadda ya say twithed, It is too much effort to reply to your posts anyhow you have no clue how to attribute posts to people, you don't know how to quote thier posts, you talk like a dick with ears. twist i love playing with you since your so fucking stupid but I must tell you it is a great effort to do so. When you decide to upgrade the trailer get yourself a real computer so the rest of the world can make heads or tails of your blathering.

If we are to consider the posse named by "Arron", any of them asking for anything deserves nothing unless we decide it merits such,
****
>Who deemed you worthy to decide anything >for anyone .

The one whose "T" you wear so proudly. The one who, on occasion, validates you.
****
It is certainly not you I know your not man enough but you do sound queer enough.

>I will tell you why they use that freq(28.085), >because it is normally
>quiet it is in the CW portion

Gee, as opposed to exactly what?.....say...11 meter?
****
>As opposed to 28.350 or any other 10 meter >freq that allows voice
privleges.

Gee, you could have plagiarized my post.....
****
No I leave that to guys like you who can't think for themselves about
reality.

>Wonder why they don't hear anyone there huh >stupid because there is no
voice comms >allowed there thats why they stick out like sore >thumbs.
get Down the street twsisty
>they hear no voices so they think it is open, >and because they only
>have to click the band switch 2 clicks they >don't even have to touch
>channel selector it its that easy for them. If >they didn't have radios
that went to those >freqs do you still think
>they would talk there?

You are becoming quite philosophical..let's look at that again shall we?
You asked...."If they didn't have radios that went to those freqs do you
think they would talk there? Hmmmmmm!

>I highly doubt it,<

Did you hurt yourself arriving at that conclusion?
****
No unlike you I can see reality and can also accept it.

>the export radios with all the channels makes >it easy to break the
>law, up 2 clicks is what you always hear.
> Btw, how noisy can it be for
>them they are talking to truck directly in front >and back of them.

You are quite hostile these days. Everything ok? I mean, besides you not
getting away with Jack.....:)
****
Twisty you are seriously a mental case you actually think you have said
something or done something regarding what I said. Your nothing but a joke
who distorts and of course twists posts and what people say, then call them
liars. Your posts are so fucked up it is beyond sickening.

526. Re: information about imput and output chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:39:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jFYx9.283751$Fw2.8275754@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143062

"big boy now" <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:hBTx9.12920$xF.12353@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> can anybody tell me a good place were i can get a supply of audio and
> transmitter chips for various cb radios.
> as i have 6 cb radios one needs the audio imput chip replaceing and the
> others neet the transmitter chip replaceing...
> i used to fix hundreds of cb radios back 15 to 20 years ago.
> and am starting to buy broken cb radios and other cb gear fix it all and
> then sell it on ebay.....
> if you can help me with a website or shop were i can get the parts i need
> please get back to me by emailing me at shogun1965@lycos.co.uk
> or if you need a cb radio power meter mike antenna switch filters
ect.
> email me as i have lots of bits and bobe or if you have any dead cb radios
> email me as well many thanks : John
> _____ john
> ICQ#: 84317226 Current ICQ status: + More ways to contact me i See more
> about me:

>
>

Huh?

527. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:49:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq9ldequl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 143063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

What Lllllooooyyyyydddddd doesn't understand is I already am a member of the BARF. I joined at the age of 19 after I climbed out of a Binjo ditch on Okinawa.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:040322.25874.0000372@taranews.net...
> On Tue, 05 Nov 2002 17:44:14 GMT, Eric Oyen N7ZZT wrote:
> >
> > Before you go casting stones, dude, you'd better make sure your house
> > isn't made of glass first (and yours appears to be quite glassy atm).
>
> what is glassy atm? hams should be communicatators and should write
> clear posts to be taken serious and to not have missunderstnadings
> happen which is probaly what is going on here.
>
> > in any case, I'll take your comment with a grain of salt (A 200 pound
> > grain that is).
>
> see if you and Dan would talk careful you wouldent misunderstand and
> get hard feelings. you should shake hands and make up with each other
> in the sprit of ham radio and of the BARF.
>
> In the BARF all are welcome and equaly respected. every one should
> join.
>
> may the BARF be with you and with Dan,
> Lloyd
>
>
>
> P.S. A simple hand shake got me and Daljit Dhaliwal freindly. it
> realy works.

528. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:53:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051553.78977955@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143064

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0211041534.1f946d4d@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
> >
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com...
> >>
> >> Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into
> this
> >> thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can
> >> understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few
> others
> >> who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom"
> >> argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron
> >> Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even
> >> resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense
> >> that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You
> started
> >> an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for
> >> participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet.
> And
> >> I'm not the only one that's noticed it.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >I think you'd better take your Prozac. I, and I'm sure there are
> others,
> >who find it quite odd that your main mission in life seems to be to
> rid
> >the world of illegal CBer's when you are neither a CBer nor a ham.
> >I also find
> >it odd that you take offense at someone asking about
> >your CB setup. After
> >all, this is a CB forum to discuss CB radio, is it not? But when
> anyone
> >asks you about what equipment you use, you take it as
> >a personal attack.
> >
> >Tom
> >
>
> First of all, the topic here is CB, and no matter if one is a CBer, or
> a ham, or neither, they have a right to post here.

Bzzzzzt! Wrong again, as usual, Aanal Aaron. If you're not a CBer you have NO "right" to post here. And you obviously haven't operated any sort of radio in your life.

> Secondly, if you want to try and propagate the idea that I shouldn't
> be posting here because I don't have this or that kind of radio or
> antenna, go right ahead --- but don't expect me to participate in
> something so silly, LOL.

YOU are the only thing that's "silly" here.

> Thirdly, if you were observant, you'd see quite a few in here that
> might appear to be on "missions" of one kind or another. Maybe you
> agree with them, maybe you don't, but I find it odd that the only one
> you are disturbed by is Mr. Aaron Voobner.

No, he's disturbed that you are such an @$$ and won't admit it. And as usual you do your famous patented "chicken side-step" whenever someone asks you a meaningful question.

> So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go right
> ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation that
> you're "on a mission". :)

Only YOU would make such a statement, Aanal Aaron. Tom has been the epitome of reasonable posting, yet you still stiff him and accuse him of attacking you.

> -A

So, where's the PROOF you offered to post that somehow I'm "Steve"? Loser. Put up or shut up.

529. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:54:19 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <LSYx9.28227$0x.12488@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 143065

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DC85666.9BBBD028@cwis.net...
>
>
> Bert,
> An end fed 'Zepp'? I don't think so. How
> about an end fed 5/8 wave, instead?
> 'Doc

Nope, how about and end fed Zepp @ 0.64l as opposed to a 5/8-wave @ 0.625l?

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

530. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:55:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051555.64173bd0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143066

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<wTbx9.3733$z5.2723189@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> You've still failed to prove it...
> Looks to me like you need to make sure your facts are straight before you
> shoot off your mouth, amigo...
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN

He will NOT prove anything. He (and his minions Georgie-girl Busch and
Frank Gilliland and others) will demand that YOU prove it instead.
Aanal Aaron is a total loser. Ignore him for a while and he will go
away.

531. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:56:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051556.40117885@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143067

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<9NqcncU1lraojlugXTWcqA@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021104004357.836$rs@newsreader.com...
> > Sir Ulrich <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote:
> > (snip)
> >
> > Another comcast.
>
> waaaaa waaaaa

As usual, Georgie-girl Busch is whining. Ignore him, folks. He can't help himself.

532. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 23:58:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051558.28b5d9d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143068

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<C9mcnX9kaadFI1igXTWcpQ@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> > > > > In my own, personal, case, I had to hear it for myself. And I
> finally
> > > > > did hear something that sounded like it might be CBers at the low
> end
> > > > > of 10 meters,
>
> > > What else could they have been aliens?
>
>
>
> > It's possible. You can hear Jupiter on 20 meters if you know what to
> > listen for. I suppose you don't know that, either?
>
> Would you hear voices from Jupiter? LOL No the rednecks your hearing are
> talking trucker jive plain and simple.
>
>
>
> > > > > so perhaps it is true.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yeah perhaps it is, people all over the country have heard them, now you
> > > finally admit to hearing "something" lol
> > >
> >
> > Yup, since I finally heard "something". You have a problem with that?
>
> Yeah took you long enough, or did you just turn the radio on?
>
>
> > > > > I still don't believe that it's
> > > > > the huge problem these guys make it out to be, however.
> > >
> > > If your not a ham not it is not a big problem is it Dick
>

??? Could you state that in proper English, Georgie-girl? Of course you can't.

>
> > How would you know what I am? You're supposed to be an "extra" and all

> > you can do it spout bovine excrement in a CB newsgroup. Hmmmmm. Make
> > ya wonder, don't it?
>
>
> Oh Dickie, I know exactly what you are LOL. Yes it does make you wonder
>

No, you don't. You don't know anything about me.

>
>
> > And if it happened more often you wouldn't have anything to piss and
> > moan about, would you Georgie-girl?
>
> Yes and you would have no reason to troll the NG either.

But neither would YOU, hey Georgie-girl?

533. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:03:03 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051603.1044db1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143069

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb

I hear a LOT of "ghost talking" (which is one-way broadcasting).
Sheriff Bob is one of the worst. He's almost as stupid as Aanal Aaron
H. Voobner, but not quite.

> >As these ads tend to be one-way broadcasts, I don't think that would be
> >infringing upon the 155-mile rule since they're not "communicating" with any
> >other stations.
> >
> >To,
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

534. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:05:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051605.ec03fdb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143070

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<t7adnWddH9wS7VqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> Anyone here ever tried a high angle antenna?
>
> I've seen them suggested for emergency work on HF.
> The idea is to deliberately make the radiation angle high, and optimize
> local coverage, instead of the normal low angle, long range approach.

Any 1/4-wave groundplane would do the trick. (The Antron 99 is a
half-wave, which will give better distance than a 1/4-wave.)

535. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:09:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051609.54423792@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143071

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<oNPx9.1870$En4.236506@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> ??????????????????????///

Sir Ulrich is correct, actually. If your radio is "peaked and tweaked"
you'll overdrive any decent amp and sound like crap.

Best advice has already been given: Get your antenna working
correctly. Check your SWR and get it as low as possible. You already
have decent equipment.

> "Sir Ulrich" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC7BF3A.615DB7F7@believeit.com...
> >
> >
> > Dave VanHorn wrote:
> > >
> > > What is is that you expect this amp to do for you?
> > >
> > > High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed,
> it's
> > > CLOSED.
> > > When it's open, 5W will do the job.
> > >
> > > If I were you, my first move would be to improve the antenna.
> > > A steel whip is pretty hard to beat, though tall.
> > >
> > > Anything smaller is less efficient, and you're throwing your power away.
> >
> > Improve the antenna first. Now that you're radio is peaked, it won't
> > work with the amp anywhay.
> >
> > -G-
> >

536. Re: The Definition Of MicroSoft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:11:20 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211051611.76dd0742@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143072

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0211050652.564e0c0f@posting.google.com>...
> A 1 word description of Landshark's pekker unless he sees a nude man.

Another classic post from Doug "Doggie-style" Adair, N8WWM.

Do you ever have anything adult to say?

537. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:11:35 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <ns6cncUyI-erw1WgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143073

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:239-3DC836BF-446@storefull-

Makes it illegal....end of story.

>>What do you care of Radio illegalities?

Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
breaking FCC law than I ever had.
****
>>Oh ok selective hypocrisy.<<

Certainly it was selective...he chose to come at me in a confrontational
manner by calling me names........that is selective..........and the
fact that he himself fit the profile of the names e accused so many
others of is hypocritical.....so yea....selective hypocrisy.

>>him making a low power jammer is not way >>beyond any realm you have
done

>sorta like you on the freeband you have no
>rights.

And you are angry over your impotence of the situation.
****
>>Twist I will ask again, what do you care about >>FCC rules being
>>broke, you break them all the time. Or the >>ones you break not as
>>important as others?

And I will tell you again......I illustrated them only to prove the
hypocrisy of someone who has been on the unprovoked offensive attack
against me for freebanding and dxing, for calling me and others
"fedeeral criminals" when he is no better...as a matter of fact, it
makes him worse....a hypocrite hammie.
****
>>Well at the time he did this he said he was a >>Cber

Wrong...he claimed he was MUCH younger than he is now. It was only a few
short years ago when he was spinning his felonious ways, not as a
"youth" that he chalked up to indiscretion.
****
Like I said was he a ham then or a Cber? Would you even know which ? How
would you know the truth? You would just assume to fit your agenda.

Where did he tell any of us he was the proprietor of a cb shop? He
didn't, and you look pretty funny desperately trying to
defend.....hahahahahhahaha!
****
I don't know you tell me how you would know if he was or not either he said
it or it is another lie on your part.

I am saying Dave is no better than those he called names and accused of
being federal criminals. Why is it that some (such as yourself) of those
that profess to be licensed in communications, exhibit such a deficit
when it comes to employing such?
****
Why is he out of work. Hey Dave do you need a job?

>>Yes same as you Dxing, freebanding with >>another individual,
>>premediatated as well since you know right >>well your breaking the
>>Law.

So now MY actions justify a hammie breaking the law? Hahahhahhahhahaah!
Yea.....
****
>>No I am saying your no better than him<<

Well, that's good, as it's the first amount of truth I have ever seen
from you.
****
You have no concept of the truth it never passes your lips.

I have never claimed I was better than anyone on this
forum..
****
No need to we have made up or own minds as to your worth...

that is reserved for a few pathetic angry nocodes, and of course,
parasites such as yourself. It is the reason for y'alls sparkling
popularity.

>>yet you want to chastize him.<<

Return fire, nothing more.
****
Ok I would call that trolling then. Wouldn't you?
And also hypocrisy on your part agin. heis jamming was done as return fire
yet the hypocrite you are says he is wrong. Can't have it both ways
twistsie.

The fact he is a hammie makes a difference?
****
you made the distinction, I asked if he was since you were the one putting
the label on him

Then hammies should be held more accountable for their actions, by your
Lelnad logic.....
****

really how so give us an analogy then?

HAHhahhhahaahhahahahhahahahhhaahhahaah
Hahahah....as always, because we talk about it, we must be guilty.
Better stay out of some of the alt. groups....you're heart couldn't take
it...hahahahhaha
****
>>Yes, you hold the hammies in this group to >>that why wouldnt you hold
yourself to the >>same light?

Don't start lying just because you buried yourself again.
I have never accused a hammie of freebanding merely because they may
have chosen to speak of it.
****
There goes twistsys favorite word lie, and here he goes twisting what was
said who is talking about hams freebanding? Friggin douch bag you are I am
talking baout anything that is said in general that you want to hold agaisnt
Hams, it is just all talk, right according to you, so what dave said about
jamming is all talk using your Logic hahahahaHAHHAHA

>>If you are talking about it than either you did >>it or are a liar
which do you prefer to be >>called?

Your inability to even comprehend why that statement is so far removed
from reality is plenty entertainment for me.
****
twsitsy your posts are so far removed from reality i think I am in the outer
limits replying to you.

>The final device that I made swept through all >40 channels. That was a
little more complex to >make.
>I guess it never occured to him to use his >mobile. Good thing too, or
we would have had >to risk attaching another device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?

>>Sounds like you!<<

You, too. Dx and freebanding. But the fact you feel it justifies you
breaking other laws is common among lawless sociopaths.

>>Not to the best of my knowledge. < <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.......you keep asking for excuses....
****
Well if thats the only answer your capable of giving.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
committed.

>>Cite it?<<

Criminal mischief. No go clean off.
****

Not quite Twitsy he didnt do any damage to the car, nor did he cause any threat of danger this the statue directly from Pa the state he and I live in. If I was his lawyer, that charge would surely be dropped.

try another. http://members.aol.com/StatutesPA/18.Cp.33.html
§ 3304. Criminal mischief.
(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:

1.. damages tangible property of another intentionally, recklessly, or by negligence in the employment of fire, explosives, or other dangerous means listed in section 3302(a) of this title (relating to causing or risking catastrophe);
2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with tangible property of another so as to endanger person or property;
3.. intentionally or recklessly causes another to suffer pecuniary loss by deception or threat; or
4.. intentionally defaces or otherwise damages tangible public property or tangible property of another with an aerosol spray-paint can, broad-tipped indelible marker or similar marking device.

>There might have been a grey area over the >true intended use,

No gray area.. You freely admitted
you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal criminal (your words).

First of all, in the years I have been here,
neither have I seen claim anything concerning their hammie license, so it doesn't really apply or matter except to thugs like yourself.
****
Just because you don't know doesnt make it not true. It doesn't matter because it doesn't fit your agenda.
Secondly, both have constructively assisted those in need, and I have witnessed on more than occasion of Toll cleaning the clock of those that came in here spouting off something they read in a book with a chip on their shoulder.
****
How would you know who's clock he cleaned it is not like you understood what was being said.
He thinks he knows it all and continues to do so on other forums and he continues to have confrontations with other "Cbers" so what does that tell you. But then agin Toll is a Hammie so he is Good. LOL

>>Are they hams when convienant or just when >>it fits your agenda?

Ask them. Again, in almost 4 years I have seen neither say anything concerning hammies.
****
Thats is not what the point is they are Hams and Illegal Cbers, so which would you classify them as ?

If they did something you approve of perhaps they are Cbers, do something agaisnt you then they are Hams right?

Yea, well, it has the power of really twisting the psyche of some....hahaahhahahh!
**********]
whats your excuse then?

538. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:16:05 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <tvucnW7vROLZwlWgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143074

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

: > Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
: > the band.
:
: That would've required trespass.

What twistys would never.... soon as he stepped on their property criminal trespass. LOL

539. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:20:10 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <jbydnVuJsK0x_VWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143076

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:usgdve11jqjh83@corp.supernews.com...
> Since when has the CW not been required. If you want General Class or
Higher
> you still need to take the code test. Also if you are going for the Tech
> class you have the option of no code or code. If you take the code you can
> use the HF frequencies. If not you can't use the HF frequencies.
> Just my opinion.

You ask the question, then you answer it.
HF is not everything. There's a much wider world available to a no-code
tech than meets the eye. As a no-code tech, you get 6 meters, which is
HF-like, and VHF-like, as well as 2 meters, 220, 440, 902, 1296, etc etc
etc.. All modes, all privs.

Here's the list.
http://www.hamquick.com/tutorials/t1b.html
Without CW, you get the "Technician no code" bands.

4+4+3+30+26+60+10+60 = 197 Mhz total.

Even "just" the 6 meter band is wider than the "freeband", including the
part that's in the ham 10 meter band!

540. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:22:47 UTC
From: My Arse <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <aq9naa$h9o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 143075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq9ldequl1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> What Lllllooooyyyyyddddd doesn't understand is I already am a member of
> the BARF. I joined at the age of 19 after I climbed out of a Binjo ditch
on
> Okinawa.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Well, now. That would explain the odor.

541. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:23:43 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <zPmdncKKVoUc_FWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143078

> Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he says
> there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the
pirates
> who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the
> freebanders are claiming it.

So if his hacked up, out of spec, AM/SSB only receiver can't hear them,
they don't exist?
I hear plenty of signals in there, with a compromised antenna, and decent
receiver.

542. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:23:52 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <siZx9.27547$Lu1.40488@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 143077

Its 1/2 wave with the "LC" network in the base. Thats it.

"Cbraydio" <cbraydio@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021105164020.10934.00002360@mb-fe.aol.com...
> Is one really better then the other for 10-11 meters? Thanks in advance
for
> your reply.

543. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:24:53 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <l5KcnUAtsaZU_FWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143079

> If you want a better antenna, get the Interceptor 10K

Interceptor, Penetrator, Thruster... Hmm.

544. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:29:02 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BDA803E3BBCrrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143083

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in
news:l5KcnUAtsaZU_FWgXTWcqw@comcast.com:

>
>> If you want a better antenna, get the Interceptor 10K
>
> Interceptor, Penetrator, Thruster... Hmm.
>
>
>

Walk down the fishing aisle at WalMart and read the packaging on some of
that stuff. It's funny. "Go deep", "Lasts Longer", etc. It's hillarious.
Makes ya wonder...

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

545. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:34:15 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <kaqdnXWtJIsb_lWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143080

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21574-3DC843E1-428@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,

>Youy obviously never read the passage of part >15 which covers low
power walkie talkies, or >remote control transmitters
****
Even if he could read it he would twist the words around.

The law doesn't have to prove anything when a confession is given of
ones own free will, unabetted.
****
Another twisty Lie ... What's that like you admitting to being a freebander,
fed criminal on here? So they don't have to prove anything... Hmm I thought
it was all just talk twit...

The rest? Oh you mean the rest of your self-contradictions? Hell Dave, I
don't need to do as much,,,you serve up nicely.

that was sent to me by an upperclassmen who is familiar with your
hypocrisy. There are several of your posts that contradict
yourself......most recently,,,your inquiry as to what "slurp" was
referred to in your headers. Or your several accesss sites through,
around, and some that have nothing to do with Villanova U that you claim
don't exist.

>And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
In the last month or so, >they've changed the news server over to a
>different machine.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
different avenues.
****
You should know your the master harr dee harr har ...

Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the
company you choose to access the group.
****
Now your insinusting you had his account yanked?

>a remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
the band.

>That would've required trespass.<

Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and
distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in
your limited grasp of things. But this isn't about me, it's about your
hypocrisy and lies.
****
Now your jamming yourself titsie? you told him to put it in close to the
guys home not yours don't twist what you meant

Who said you did?
If you touched his car, as you claimed, with an intent to break another
law (as you also claimed) you were guilty of criminal mischief.
YOU were the only one who mentioned trespass.
****

Touching his car with intent means nothing read the letter of the law
stupid.

Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes
CRIMES AND OFFENSES (TITLE 18)

PART II. DEFINITION OF SPECIFIC OFFENSES.
§ 3304. Criminal mischief.
(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:

1.. damages tangible property of another intentionally, recklessly, or by
negligence in the employment of fire, explosives, or other dangerous means
listed in section 3302(a) of this title (relating to causing or risking
catastrophe);
2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with tangible property of another
so as to endanger person or property;
3.. intentionally or recklessly causes another to suffer pecuniary loss by
deception or threat; or
4.. intentionally defaces or otherwise damages tangible public property or
tangible property of another with an aerosol spray-paint can, broad-tipped
indelible marker or similar marking device.

>the device was part 15 covered.<

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.

>We never had to. <

Really? Let's see...you said....and I quote:"
>Good thing too, or
>we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.

"Another" implicates it wasn't the first time. And how do you attach a
device to a car without touching it? Did you lie or simply forget? this
may be your crowning monent, yet
****

I assume he means "another" as compared to the one on the base station, and another on the car. Of course we all know you will twist to fit your agenda!

touching the car is not criminal mischief something more sinister would have to occur

§ 3304. Criminal mischief.
(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:

1.. damages tangible property of another intentionally, recklessly, or by negligence in the employment of fire, explosives, or other dangerous means listed in section 3302(a) of this title (relating to causing or risking catastrophe);
2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with tangible property of another so as to endanger person or property;
3.. intentionally or recklessly causes another to suffer pecuniary loss by deception or threat; or
4.. intentionally defaces or otherwise damages tangible public property or tangible property of another with an aerosol spray-paint can, broad-tipped indelible marker or similar marking device.

546. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:37:21 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <gqOdnffRie_d-VWgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143081

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021105134735.524

: > > Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the
: > > garter belt on the girl.

: >> Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually take
: > the garter off.
: >
: > Tom

Yes, I don't know what they do at the weddings you go to but it is customary
for the guy who catches the garter to put it on the female who caught the
boquet of flowers. It usually makes for a un comfortable situation for many
as the guy pulls the garter up we all ways try to coax him to go higher....
I am sure you know what I am saying.

547. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:38:10 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <d_ednVm6Ppfv-VWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143082

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021105134735.524$rw@newsreader.com...
: "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
: > "Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
: > news:FdOdnRNkEO_JalqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
: > >
: >
: > > Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the
: > > garter belt on the girl.
: > >
: > >
: >
: >> Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually take
: > the garter off.
: >
: > Tom
: >
: She was in the ladies room,helping her put it on. lol

Who Landshark?

548. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:45:39 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <s9mdnaS8tvqv-1WgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143084

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message

: Why did you mention upstream providers killing feeds when complaints fall
: on deaf ears? Why would I receive any complaints? Why would I not respond
: to complaints if I got them?
:

Alan he is part of a click that likes to try and silence those they are
against by sending complaints to peoples ISP, as you see they weren't
getting anywhere with a few ISP's so they went upstream to complain.

If your against Illegal Cb you could/are be a target, keep your head up,
lots of snipers, he who lasts last laughs hardest though.

549. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:47:26 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <D9qdnX2dJIYA-1WgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143085

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:usglj2kujc0uc6@corp.supernews.com...
: Produce that header then
: that was my static ip with attbi and i gaurantee you wont find different
: names under that IP

Pack he will make one magically appear or tell you to look for it, since he said it it must be true.

550. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:56:00 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <dfGdndd5sIU99VWgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143086

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21574-3DC84A59-433@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>Nice Lie, again, Ill give you the post right off >Google since your a
schlep, perhaps you >would like to post what you feel did the trick >for
me then. As noted the post was from @ >home whom you lodged your
frivolous >complaint with. BTW, I slow I never got >slapped for anything
your too impotent. Now if >you feel *I*, not someone you think is me
>posted something to back up your lie's else go >to www.google.com and
dig it up.

From: No Fear (nofear@home.comm)
Subject: twistys rantings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-09-24 19:38:29 PST
Look at how angry twisty is at people screwing up his once sacred
freeband, using amplifiers and even calling it a keyfest similiar to a
keydown!
As copied from Twistys Column in Sporadic waves
"Gone Crazy? International DX on the eleven meter and free-band is there
for the taking just about everyday on sideband. AM is also giving up
rare contacts. But one of the better known frequencies for international
DX contacts, 27.555 USB, once a jewel of pleasant listening and
international contacts, has unfortunately deteriorated rapidly over the
last few months, morphing itself into a vile cesspool, spewing forth all
it's bile and waste, due to a small, intellectually-challenged, rapid
group of angry, self-loathing and pathetic operators who have taken it
upon themselves to take up a permanent-like residential status there,
and in the process, completely abdicated any civil, polite
conversation."
"This small but nefarious group is attempting to jam, interfere, and
prevent any DX contacts from being made on frequency. In case you
haven't listened in on 555 as of late, the frequency has literally
become a key down fest with language that one would be embarrassed to
hear in the company of children, while only those blowing ridiculous
amounts of power are being heard."

Hahahha...no copyright violation there. Now you have to convince the
group that something in that post would have compelled me to
complain....you're not doing very well here. My reputation for thick
skin is what assists in rendering you poor girls impotent. Have a good
night :)
****
*****

Your exactly right to no copyright violation, LOL I have to convince no one they can see for themselves thats why your complaint went unfounded. I have shown you admitted to sending the complaint to @home where you accused me, now as the group can se that post, you have produced nothing to contradict it even after you admit being the rat, any normal thinking person would assume it is out there in archive land the post that made you cry to my Isp, it must be there. If It was me, I would post it to prove I am wrong. You haven't and you won't because that is the only one I ever wrote that had the sporadic waves article on it, from @home. Go wash off now, you stink!

551. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:58:54 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <CIudnc26OfDQ9FWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143087

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:zPmdncKKVoUc_FWgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
:
: > Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he
says
: > there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the
: pirates
: > who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the
: > freebanders are claiming it.
:
: So if his hacked up, out of spec, AM/SSB only receiver can't hear them,
: they don't exist?
: I hear plenty of signals in there, with a compromised antenna, and decent
: receiver.

Dave don't shot the messenger but yes, he is an active freebander quite
admittedly so, he also seems to think he is some type of folk hero for it,
defying the law of the land Civil Disobediance I think he once said. oh btw
they don't here truckers on 28.085 either.

552. Re: The Definition Of MicroSoft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 00:59:12 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <b4qdnZfx5uv99FWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143088

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211051611.76dd0742@posting.google.com...
: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0211050652.564e0c0f@posting.google.com>...
: > A 1 word description of Landshark's pekker unless he sees a nude man.
:
: Another classic post from Doug "Doggie-style" Adair, N8WWM.
:
: Do you ever have anything adult to say?

Sure Hi PigMeat!

553. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:00:32 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <4-KdnXg61YAy9FWgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143089

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211051603.1044db1a@posting.google.com...
: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com>...
: > one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb
:
: I hear a LOT of "ghost talking" (which is one-way broadcasting).
: Sheriff Bob is one of the worst. He's almost as stupid as Aanal Aaron
: H. Voobner, but not quite.

he might be from jupiter

554. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:01:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6SZx9.284615$Fw2.8292567@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143090

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:gqOdnffRie_d-VWgXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021105134735.524
>
> : > > Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the
> : > > garter belt on the girl.
>
> : >> Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually
take
> : > the garter off.
> : >
> : > Tom
>
> Yes, I don't know what they do at the weddings you go to but it is
customary
> for the guy who catches the garter to put it on the female who caught the
> boquet of flowers. It usually makes for a un comfortable situation for
many
> as the guy pulls the garter up we all ways try to coax him to go
higher....
> I am sure you know what I am saying.
>
>

Sounds like fun to me!

555. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:02:06 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <nBCdncZv7taQ91WgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143091

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: He will NOT prove anything. He (and his minions Georgie-girl Busch and
: Frank Gilliland and others) will demand that YOU prove it instead.
: Aanal Aaron is a total loser. Ignore him for a while and he will go
: away.

And so will 99.9% of the population you claim it, you prove it, simple concept eliminates alot of the bullshit storys that you like to pass. Have a good Nite PigMeat

556. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:02:48 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <nGadnV85D_2q91WgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143092

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211051553.78977955@posting.google.com...

: So, where's the PROOF you offered to post that somehow I'm "Steve"?
: Loser. Put up or shut up.

Why are you demanding proof pigmeat? you never give any.

557. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:04:56 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <jA-dnRDfboMq91WgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143093

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: As usual, Georgie-girl Busch is whining. Ignore him, folks. He can't help
himself.

actually i have been told they are ignoring you you cry the same old song in
here day in day out. I am a breath of fresh air, I am much more creative
than you and i put you in your place regularly.
As much as I argue with Mopar and Randy I can almost bet they like me better
than you. And that is saying alot. Go back under your rock Dickie

558. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:09:00 UTC
From: Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets>
Message-ID: <OXydnVtG1Yox9lWgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143094

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021105184224.901$TA@newsreader.com...
: "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote:
: >> I can say your
: obsessed with me as much as you Nad is of you. Just wanted to make that
: distinction.
: >
: Huh? You drinking that Latrobe spring water again?

I got a bag of pretzels you have anything to wash it down?

559. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:37:07 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <7n_x9.2800$En4.337938@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143095

I still don't think so. Look here...

http://www.cebik.com/gup/gup12.html

>"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DC85666.9BBBD028@cwis.net...
>>
>>
>> Bert,
>> An end fed 'Zepp'? I don't think so. How
>> about an end fed 5/8 wave, instead?
>> 'Doc
>
>Nope, how about and end fed Zepp @ 0.64l as opposed to a 5/8-wave @ 0.625l?
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>

560. Re: Microsoft Comes To 27 mHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 01:56:04 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usgtr4ep31qr38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143096

MICRO innovations optical mouse uses 27 MHz frequencies also. I get tons of interference from them on my handheld CB.
"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:6e58e321.0211031548.2a9828f9@posting.google.com...
> I have an idea. How about some non-flame, non-troll,
> let's-stay-on-the-topic, no CB vs Ham bashing, thoughtful opinions
> about this strange new product from Microsoft?
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/3938
>
> Coming to a computer near you: the Microsoft Wireless Optical
> Mouse/Blue. It's a 2 channel device outputting onto either 27.045 or
> 27.145 MHz (CB class C service for R/C devices). My question is, why
> did they put the wireless data link for this thing down in the HF
> spectrum?
>
> -A

561. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 02:02:48 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usgu7kiapb8me0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I am curious too. Until this fall I use to hear so much chatter on 19 mostly Truckers and Truck Stop whores. But now all I hear are the guys that are talking to each other because of Skip conditions.
I know of at least two truckers that use Freeband channels. I have a scanner that I have set to the FRS and GMRS frequencies and have yet to hear any of the truckers.

"Mike Roe Farad" <DipoleDude@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9315-3DC5D853-111@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
> "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
> Mike
>

562. TRC-230 Handheld CB Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 02:15:34 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usguvkp147nd1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143099

Hi, curious is it possible to add channels or power tweak the TRC-230
Handheld CB?
Thanks,

563. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 02:19:18 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <G__x9.29047$0x.10557@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 143098

"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:7n_x9.2800$En4.337938@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I still don't think so. Look here...

I still think so. Look up the extended double Zepp and cut it in half. If my omission of the word "extended" constitutes an error, then I stand corrected. The IMAX-2000 is a vertical end fed "extended" Zepp, not a 5/8-wave as advertised.

> http://www.cebik.com/gup/gup12.html
>
>
> >"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
news:3DC85666.9BBBD028@cwis.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Bert,
> >> An end fed 'Zepp'? I don't think so. How
> >> about an end fed 5/8 wave, instead?
> >> 'Doc
> >
> >Nope, how about and end fed Zepp @ 0.64l as opposed to a 5/8-wave @ 0.625l?
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

564. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 02:28:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c1%x9.16441$bE1.8111@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143100

Sonny Day <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:CIudnc26OfDQ9FWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:zPmdncKKVoUc_FWgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> :
> : > Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he
> says
> : > there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the
> : pirates
> : > who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the
> : > freebanders are claiming it.
> :
> : So if his hacked up, out of spec, AM/SSB only receiver can't hear them,
> : they don't exist?
> : I hear plenty of signals in there, with a compromised antenna, and
decent
> : receiver.
>
> Dave don't shot the messenger but yes, he is an active freebander quite
> admittedly so, he also seems to think he is some type of folk hero for it,
> defying the law of the land Civil Disobediance I think he once said. oh
btw
> they don't here truckers on 28.085 either.
\
\

I was waiting for my Dad at the Dr's office this afternoon, so I sat in the
car idle tuning around the
12, 11, and 10 Meter bands. On 27.555 there was a guy playing music (4:30PM
EST), another
one saying over and over "Canadian High Power, Canadian High Power" then
singing (if you could
call it that) the same phrase, another throwing carriers, and a conversation
in back of that. Truckers were on 26.025, Spanish on 26.625, truckers again
on 28.085 and interspersed in 10
Meters all the way up to 28.735 AM. I got on SSB and began to call CQ on
.735, the truckers
fussed about it and moved off. Hmmm, 27.555 sounds just like the CB I know.

Jerry
>
>

565. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 03:03:03 UTC
From: jscotty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105220303.12842.00003317@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 143101

Had a antron 99 up for 10 years
and still works great.Work good
on 10 meter too.Talked all around
the world with it.
73,Scotty

566. Re: What do you use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 03:34:02 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211051934.4f181c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143102

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<wGEx9.276459$Fw2.8075400@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0211020009.65715299@posting.google.com...
> > Tom Sevart wrote
> > >I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and
> > >antenna you use, yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think
> > >that you don't operate CB, I know you're not a ham, yet you are
> > >obsessed with illegal CB operators. Perhaps you're not really in
> > >person, but you seem to be a very peculiar person.
> > >
> > >If I'm wrong about your actual participation in the radio hobby
> > >then I'm sorry, but that's the way you've come across.
> > >
> > >Tom
> >
> >
> > Thanks for your concern, Tom. But as you recall, your entry into this
> > thread started off with an unsolicited potshot at me ("I can
> > understand the resentment toward Aaron Voobner & perhaps a few others
> > who are obnoxious"). Now you're looking to propagate an "ad hom"
> > argument by steering the conversation onto a discussion about Aaron
> > Voobner, his radios, and his antenna systems. And you're even
> > resorting to the lame "you failed to answer such and such" nonsense
> > that fuels so many of the meaningless arguments in here. You started
> > an argument by calling me obnoxious. Now you're criticizing me for
> > participating in arguments. Wierd contradictory behavior? You bet. And
> > I'm not the only one that's noticed it.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> So Dwight...oops, I mean Aaron, what setup do you use? Sorry about the
> Freudian slip there, just a major resemblance between the posts of both. I
> do apologize.

uh....you noticed that too huh??? say randy.......why don't you smoke
that tater that lives over near you that rides channel 22 on the
chicken band??? i am sure you can handle this little job.......the
boy runs a cobra 2000 pile of junk his mommie bought for him off of
ebay.....and of course........that awsome sb 220 with the 4 glass
jugs........he, he ,
he.....oooooooooooooohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...bad
ass......huh???

the big cheeser is back quiet.....

567. Re: TRC-230 Handheld CB Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 03:36:05 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <F60y9.8182$Wf5.3615@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 143103

Hello , it's not worth doing it will eat the batteries faster
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:usguvkp147nd1b@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi, curious is it possible to add channels or power tweak the TRC-230
> Handheld CB?
> Thanks,
>
>

568. Re: Voobner posts useful info.....amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 03:37:14 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211051937.141cf2f5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143104

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0211041638.3a8a1325@posting.google.com>...
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<zWednXqXLul1XlugXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> > "Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:ce161eb2.0211041054.380100ed@posting.google.com...
> > : I have to mark this on my calendar. Bloobner posts information that is
> > : interesting, on topic and some people might actually care about.
> > : Miracles never cease. I don't see though, where he called the FCC to
> > : report this infraction. Although I'm sure it's ok with him since it's
> > : Microsoft infringing on CB, and not hammie airspace.
> >
> > How is microsoft infringing on CB? the R/C channels are not part of the CB
> > Band just like the Freeband is not part of the CB band. So I will ask again
> > where is the infraction ? I realize this is a troll post but at least be
> > credible.
>
> CREDIBLE?? Har de har har har! Aanal Aaron has been proven wrong about
> everything he ever posted. How is that credible?
>
> BTW, where's the "proof" he offered to "Gladly!" post that somehow I',
> "Steve"? He has no credibility; he ran and hid because I wouldn't stop
> reminding him that he offered to post it.
>
> CREDIBLE? I don't think so!
>
> And how ya doing Georgie-girl Busck WA3MOJ, you anonymous troll?

oh heck it is just that dwight stewart prick acting out his fantasy
as aaron voobnutts.....oh his aol account he pays just to do this
stuff.....nice guy huh??? if you will do the
research.....there are some amazing coicidences about the
appearance of our buddy aaron h voobnutts and this dwight stewart
moron.....i think dwight, from the posts i have read.....likes
to argue a lot and needs to get a damn life.....geez.....give it
a rest already.....i mean is this crap going to continue much
longer????

569. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 03:45:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC89064.70C3CEFA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143108

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> Bzzzzzt! Wrong again, as usual, Aanal Aaron. If you're not a CBer you
> have NO "right" to post here.

Could you point to a Usenet rule that backs up that statement?

570. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 04:04:46 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021105230446.10560.00003018@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 143105

>From: "Dave VanHorn" >dvanhorn@cedar.net
>High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or >10M, when the band is closed, it's
>CLOSED. When it's open,
>5W will do the job.
What have you been smoking????
Power doesnt help in DX???? What bullshit! A stock radio can get thru on a clean freq (they dont exist) but add 100 watts and see a major improvement...add
300 another big gain...add 600......etc
I agree when the band is "CLOSED" running even kilowatts wont make a diff.
5 watts???? You wont even be heard....you will be squashed!
If the 29 has been peaked you will need to know what the output is both dead key and max out. A high drive amp will work fine with the 29 but most generic HD Palomars are said to be max input 12 watts. You cant run a competition amp (Davemade, Xforce etc) without a very low dead key...2-4 watts MAX. I would suggest learning more about your setup and how it all works together and how to optimize the setup...then if you wish try an amp and know how to use it. Xforce amps are not biased unless you pay for the mod. However, this is not a problem on SSB as you simply roll the modulation back and they work fine. I laugh at the 102 whip idea...not possible for most vehicles...
pick up a decent whip with a length of 5 to 7 feet with a tunebale tip....that'll help your receive and transmit. Try using about 13 foot of coax....get the SWR tuned right...you may decide to keep it the way you have it...but i doubt it.....
333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

571. Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 05:03:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1j1y9.17885$bE1.7950@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143106

Hmmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's going to be some disappointed demagogues,
uh er, I
mean Democrats. I thought everybody was sayin' how the Reps were going to
lose the House.
There's miracles and there's miracles, but it seems it's going to take one
for 'em to hang on,,and
they "could" lose the Senate. it's that close. We'll know in the morning.

Jerry

572. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 05:34:55 UTC
From: Chris <chrisderuyte@otn.net>
Message-ID: <OQ1y9.1095$jy2.152255@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 143107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Locally, channel 17. At least up to a couple of years ago, when I gave up on CB due to, among other things, too many morons with illegal high-powered transmitters interfering with others' conversations.

Mike Roe Farad <DipoleDude@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9315-3DC5D853-111@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
> "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
> Mike
>

573. Re: TRC-230 Handheld CB Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 05:46:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ushb5bn8tp4bed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143109

Dang, what can you gain by tweaking/peaking a handheld? At 27 MHz, you have a very inefficient antennna and an even more inefficient grount. Not worth the effort.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:usguvkp147nd1b@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi, curious is it possible to add channels or power tweak the TRC-230
> Handheld CB?
> Thanks,
>
>

574. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 05:54:01 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <PJ2cnbyBBvrmM1WgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143110

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: So, where's the PROOF you offered to post that somehow I'm "Steve"?
: Loser. Put up or shut up.

Take your own advise please shut up!

575. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 06:25:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021106012552.27850.00002761@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 143111

>I told a few guys in La Marque that piece a crap sitting in your yard if it
>doesn't get moved this week to take it, Move it or lose it!

hmmm, why would they want my bird bath?

576. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 06:41:52 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usheit9g8gcb7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly hear
anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to someone I
would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to their rig.
I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I have
decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the hobby
when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal in it.
I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked again
when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a base
station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of our
metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.
I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
Let's hope so.
Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
"Chris" <chrisderuyte@otn.net> wrote in message
news:OQ1y9.1095$jy2.152255@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Locally, channel 17. At least up to a couple of years ago, when I gave up
on
> CB due to, among other things, too many morons with illegal high-powered
> transmitters interfering with others' conversations.
>
>
> Mike Roe Farad <DipoleDude@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:9315-3DC5D853-111@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> > traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
> > "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> > talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> > But I just can't find where they're talking.
> >
> > Mike
> >
>
>

577. Re: TRC-230 Handheld CB Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 06:47:34 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <ushetl2rcgc4e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143113

Still didn't answer my question. I use rechargeable Nimh AA batteries so I
always have a supply of charged batteries. So that's not a problem.
I had a friend that used to tweak the power of handheld cb's but he left
town and I don't know how to get a hold of him.
If it's possible to mod where can I find it?
Thanks,
"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:F60y9.8182$Wf5.3615@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> Hello , it's not worth doing it will eat the batteries faster
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
> news:usguvkp147nd1b@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hi, curious is it possible to add channels or power tweak the TRC-230
> > Handheld CB?
> > Thanks,
> >
> >
>
>

578. Re: Here's a not so trick answer for ya Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 10:28:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc8eec2.19448063@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143114

Well now it's THREE posts that you choose to ignore:

<3dc6c4aa.25277089@news.cet.com>
<3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
<3dc502ce.16186013@news.cet.com>

If you aren't man enough to admit your mistakes then just say so.
Ignoring the facts won't help your position any, in fact it really
hurts whatever is left of your credibility. I'll reply to one more
post, but if you won't address the facts then there's no point in
continuing this discussion.

In <aq96j7$m86$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Piper Frankie time
<tootshishorn@yahoo.com> wrote:

>yeti@cet.com wrote: few postive things in his rants...
>
>:>You like your books, don't cha' frankie....
>
>: Sure do. They are a great wealth of information. Books have been the
>: primary means of recording information since the days of stone tablets
>: and sheepskins. You might try reading one sometime.
>
>I do thanks... you probably have some books in stone... that's where some
>of your technology seems to be sourced. In specific, the rectifier
>equalization networks you recomend and amplifier to radio ALC. Both
>types of circuits are very dated technology.

Addressed in previous post.

>:> somehow you left out if
>:>that's input power maybe your'a quoting there and who's data sheet
>:>you looked up. You know Svetlana has a way of fudging up some data
>:>sheets
>:>a bit.
>
>: Sorry to disappoint you, but the information is from Eimac. And you
>: know what's really funny, Skippy? I didn't even look in a book for
>: that info -- it came right from their website! So much for your
>: sarcastic little comment about how I like my books! LOL!!!
>
>You still didn't say if the posted power level was the input or output
>value..? We're waiting...

Output. It's really pathetic that you couldn't even look it up
yourself, as I'm sure everyone else has already done:

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/10600.htm

>:>A single 4cx250b in linear service delivers about 325 watts carrier,
>:>AB1 with about 5 watts of drive. It requires neutralization, regulated
>:>screen voltage and much higher anode supply potentials and all the
>:>required extra hardware which runs into some nice pocket change. I've
>:>built more than my share of amplifires using the tube...
>
>: I doubt it. For starters, AB1 requires NO drive power regardless of
>: what kind of tube you use. The input circuit may be built to load 5
>: watts while transforming the signal to a voltage level appropriate for
>: the tube, but you can 'drive' it to full power with 5 MILLIwatts if
>: you want. Once again you demonstrate your ignorance of basic RF
>: theory. Maybe it's because you don't like books...
>
>I should have provided one more line to the text. The above example is
>based on "an actual working circuit". Sure AB1 in theory requires no
>drive power... the circuits I've actually built target loading 5 watt
>exciters (radios).

That's because they are amps that are intended for the illegal CB amp
market. And it's not just theory -- you can drive one to full power
with nothing more than a cheap bench-top sig-gen. It's not a smart
idea, but it can be done.

>We should be talking practical circuits here... Few common radios output
>5 milliwatts. Taming your dream +47dB amplifier is not realistic, nor has
>it been done in any real world amount that I've seen actual examples of.

I didn't say it was practical. It requires a lot of shielding and
grounding, or else you are going to blow up your tube. But if you want
to put in the extra effort it's entirely possible, and it has been
done many times.

>I can actually get the circuit example I provide above to operate with
>little or for the most part, no neutralization. A real technical task for
>this type of near 17dB amplifier.

Hardly difficult when you realize that the Cgp of a 4CX250 is only a
meager 0.04 pF (compare that to your sweep tubes). As long as you have
tight screen regulation you probably don't even need neutralization.
But a neutralization cap is cheaper and easier than a seperate power
supply for the screen. However, if you really want, you can regulate
the screen with nothing more than an LM317.

>You obviously haven't built many amplifiers using the 4cx250b, I have. The
>real word drive levels I indicate allow one to use many of the 2-10 watt
>HF radios built through the years. You only seem to read and quote
>from text books and on line data sheets.

Like I said before, come on up here and I'll show you where I work.
And I have built a few amps in my time. But I wouldn't even attempt a
high-power project without valid, reliable information provided by
data sheets; it's also a pretty good idea to use the general

engineering practices outlined in most of those books you prefer to ignore -- they weren't published just to occupy shelf space at the library, Skippy. They are filled with much of what has been learned about the subject, both good and bad, by countless engineers and technicians over the course of the last century. To learn only from practical experience is either totally ignorant or proof that you can't read. We all know you can read, Skippy.

>:>The 4cx250 tube also has much more serious potential vhf parasitic
>:>problems.
>:>Building rf amp with it is not for first time builders.
>
>: Where did you get THAT pile of meadow-muffins? It doesn't have
>: parasitic problems any more serious than any other tube, even sweep
>: tubes! Do you even know what causes parasitic oscillations? They
>: aren't caused by the type of tube, dimwit! They are caused by resonant
>: conditions of the circuit surrounding the tube. To prevent parasitics,
>: all you need is a parasitic choke on the plate and/or the grid.
>
>Not entirely true... Had you any true breadth of broadcast experience...
>you might have seen some really high powered broadcast transmitters with
>additional parasitic supression circuits included. Plate and/or
>grid parasitics chokes are not the "all" cure for every case.

Since when are we talking about broadcast transmitters? Ok, if you really want, we can go there, too. Most broadcast transmitters have parasitic supressors on both the grid and the plate, as well as multiple traps (what you call "additional parasitic supression circuits") throughout the amp and tuner that are tuned to prevent any possible harmonics and self-resonanance. And the better transmitters have variable bypass capacitors and chokes to prevent both low freqency and high frequency parasitics. But what you will find as common practice in almost any broadcast transmitter is the intensive shielding between the input and output circuits, which usually employ seperate regulation or power supplies for added isolation.

Now, do you want to talk about broadcast transmitters? Or would you rather explain why you think the 4CX250 has "much more serious potential vhf parasitic problems"?

>Now to the actual issue...
>The common sweep tube has very poor gain at and above mid, to high vhf
>frequencies. Resonant VHF paths in the Sweep tube amplifier box are not
>really a large red flag; where-as they must be considered with each
>application of the 4cx250b.

You need to consider them with ANY kind of tube regardless of the gain bandwidth, since you can even get -audio- tubes to oscillate at VHF freqs. You also have to watch for low frequency parasitics -- low freq parasitics can burn up a tube just as fast as VHF parasitics! This is a MAJOR issue to prevent your amp from oscillating on an IF freq that may not have been well filtered from the exciter. But they can happen

even without any excitation! To downplay the possibility of parasitics
just because the tube doesn't work well at VHF is... well, ignorant.

>Some amateur rf amplifiers with reported histories of problematic vhf
>parasitics, they actually pulled from the market...

How many? Which ones? What makes and models? Did that/those amp(s) use
the 4CX250? Were any sweep tube amps ever pulled for the same reason?
If you are going to support your claim that RF tubes have bigger
problems with parasitics than sweep tubes then you need to show a
statistical disparity, especially in the face of the fact that the
majority of amateur amps used RF tubes and that some of them are bound
to be defective in some way or another.

>: Your scare tactics aren't working, Skippy. And scare tactics don't
>: work on the voltage issue, either -- a capacitor bank full of 900
>: volts will kill you just as dead as one topped off at 2000 volts!
>
>Actually not exactly right... above and below certain potentials, one
>might be blown back or compelled to hold on during accidental contact. But
>that's another topic.

LOL! Were talking joules here, Skippy! Oh, that's right, you don't
read books so you wouldn't know about joules. Haven't you ever
wondered why you can put your hand on the globe of a Van de Graff
generator (a few hundred THOUSAND volts) and just get a good tingle,
but you can die from house current? It's about the amount of energy
that's packed into the jolt! And power supply capacitors store quite a
bit of energy -- lethal, in fact -- regardless of whether they are 500
or 2500 volts!

>Some of the major issues to be considered when building higher/lower Ep
>amplifiers are the additional hv spacing requirements for the chassis

An additional 1/4". And you forgot about the need for proper chassis
grounding with ANY high voltage application.

> and
>the higher breakdown requirements of the hardware. Higher voltage
>supplies, their technical requirements and the additional parts cost a lot
>more money...

Well, televisions have power supplies that can provide from 10,000 to
35,000 volts, as do CRT computer monitors. Laser printers and copy
machines use several thousand volts for their hardware. Eletrostatic
air cleaners and neon signs also run on a few thousand volts. None of
these things are particularly expensive, and the HV supplies don't
have any more technical requirements than a little more insulation.
And your argument becomes even more lame when you realize that the
1000 watts of RF on your output tank can generate some voltages well
above that of the power supply. So if you use the same precautions
with your power supply that you use with your output tank, you won't
have any problem.

>: If you want a low-cost simple-to-build amplifier you should try the
>: venerable 6146 or 807 (basically the same tube). That's one tube that
>: you have to try really hard to make it NOT work. My suggestion for
>: first-timers would be to learn the basics with a 6V6 or 6L6, then work
>: your way up from there.
>
>The 6146b is a nice tube, builders just have to always concern themselves
>with the VHF operation of the tube.

Use a parasitic supressor, just like you should with any other tube in
an RF application, be it a sweep tube, RF tube, or even audio tubes
like the 6L6, 6V6 and 6AQ5.

> The 807 is nice, but in my
>experience a real pain in the pazoo to get practical power gain near
>28Mhz.

That's why they turned it into the 6146.

> I really like both the 6L6 and the 6V6 but I avoid them in
>preference to tubes with top mounted anode connections. Stable high gain
>rf power operation of an all base pin connected tube is not so easy.

Oh that's total and complete BS! Most tubes have the plate and control
grid pins well seperated, and if you want more shielding all you do is
solder in a small piece of sheet metal (copper or tin) between the
pins. You also pay attention to the direction of the leads to prevent
inductive coupling (just run them at right angles to each other).
These are BASIC RF techniques that should be learned BEFORE you embark
on amps of higher power!

> I
>actually fixed a 6L6gc tubed guitar amp about two years ago with a
>wandering ~3 Mhz oscillation... very funny. After the repair, the owner
>still couldnt play guitar worth a darn... had to show him how to play
>a simple blues pattern.

What's funny about it? I see it once in a while, and it's usually
caused from the same problem I mention above -- improper shielding,
and coupling from stray capacitance and/or inductance. The basics.
Quit ignoring those books, Skippy, and these things won't seem so
"funny".

>:>> Through Eimac's official distributer (Richardson) they only
>:>> cost $180, which makes them cheaper than a half-dozen 6LF6's. But if
>:
>:>I don't deal with Richardsons, they have a history of price fixing
>:>and bad business practices. Convicted of something along those lines
>:>some
>:>years back.
>
>: Well, their prices are certainly akin to highway robbery, since you
>: can get the 4CX250B from Bruckner for $110.
>

>Along with the required special socket, chimney and adequate cfm blower...

For an additional $60 to $100 if you buy them new. Now considering
that the 4CX250 is going to be in production for a very long while,
and with sweep tubes costing more and being manufactured less, I think
that's a pretty good deal! Actually, it's poor engineering practice to
design using components on the edge of obsolescence. (You want to talk
about "dated" designs, take a good hard look at your sweep tube amp!)

>:> I don't buy 6LF6's new anymore... I can and do buy
>:>current production Russian label EL-519 equivalents which are actually
>:>better than the Svetlana brand.
>
>: I don't buy sweep tubes so I really don't care. Matter of fact, I have
>
>The fact is, they are once again low cost and easy to get.

For how long? From how many manufacturers? How many markets support
this 'widget'? The fact is that televisions (the intended application
for the tubes) don't use them anymore, and the other market consists
of a couple amp manufacturers and a few hobbyists. There might be one
or two manufacturers that will make them for any length of time, but
at increasing cost as the old stock gets used up. And as the price
increases, the market will switch to more cost-effective alternatives,
putting sweep tubes out of production and into the history books. It
performed its function for which it was designed, and it did that very
well for many years. The reality is that the sweep tube is already
obsolete, but there are a few die-hards like yourself that insist on
scraping every last trace of peanut butter from the jar. That may be
fine for you, Skippy (no pun intended), but the rest of us know when
something belongs in the trash.

>:>> you want one REALLY cheap you can pick up a National for $43 from
>:>> Bruckner, which is WAY less expensive than six of your $20 sweep
>:>> tubes. BTW, where CAN you get brand new sweep tubes for $20? I looked
>:>> under several tube distributors and the prices seem closer to $30 per
>:>> tube. Do you get them wholesale or something?
>:>
>:>Your National tubes are probably chinese made, which I really don' like
>:>all that much. Past quality control issues turn me off big time.
>
>: Ok, if you are going to pick through the candy dish, here's Bruckner's
>: list. Take your pick:
>
>: 4CX250B M EIMAC $ 110.00
>: 4CX250B M AMPEREX $ 90.00
>: 4CX250B M RCA,GE $ 85.00
>: 4CX250B M WESTGHS,SYL $ 80.00
>: 4CX250B M NATIONAL $ 43.00
>: 4CX250B M RCA-CHINA $ 43.00
>: 4CX250B M STAR $ 65.00

>
>Add the required special socket, chimney and an adequate cfm blower
>along with the higher voltage anode screen and control grid power supply
>requirements to the list...

That's part of the deal -- you get what you pay for.

> Not nearly as simple to build,

Actually it has fewer components than a six-tube amp. But I can see
where you might think it's more complicated -- you aren't duplicating
the same circuit six times.

> not nearly as
>cheap to make.

But you have a much better amp.

> All the lower cost tubes in your list are probably from
>asian sources. A few might be russian...

Where are your imported sweep tubes made?

>: BTW, Star makes a pretty good tube. But the point is that, watt for
>: watt, sweep tubes aren't that much cheaper than RF tubes anymore, even
>: with the hardware (which will add $20 to $100 to an amp, depending on
>: where you get the stuff).
>
>You didn't quote the prices for the additional hardware I list just
>above... even resourceful people will have a problem keeping new hardware
>costs below your pretty low ball $100 amount.

Now where did I say that the RF tube amp is less expensive? I'm not
claiming that at all. What I am saying is that there isn't that much
difference in the cost of the two amps, and for the little extra money
the amp builder can build a much better amp. Like I said, if you want
a full cost analysis I could provide one, and I'm sure that it will
cost more than a sweep tube amp. But not much more. Now if you want to
keep repeating your parsimonious argument, then you go right ahead
because I'm not disagreeing with you one bit!

>:>I get brand new sweep tubes direct from Eastern Europe... you buy
>:>retail or
>:>from tube distributors. I buy direct and get the best prices. Wanna
>:>build a sweep tube Amateur Amplifier..? You can do it AB1 or AB2...
>:>I don't mind...
>
>: No thanks. If I want to build an amp I'll do it the right way.
>
>But as indicated in your posts, you don't appear to have any real world
>experience building amplifiers. Have you actually built any amplifier
>from scratch you can talk about..?

As indicated in your posts, you don't appear to have anything BUT
experience building amps -- i.e, you duplicate the work of others and
lack the theory and engineering skills to actually design and build
one "from scratch".

Now to answer your question, yes, I have built several amps as well as
transmitters, however neither the designs nor the devices belong to me
(that's one of the drawbacks of working under contract). But if you
want, just set up an account and I'll design and build you an amp that
we can discuss until we're old and grey. Of course it will cost a
little bit more than a sweep tube amp....

But then again, there was that amp I built in NC. A few of us built a
couple amps with the 4-1000, trying to copy the design of the
AN/MRC-110. They worked fairly well using CB's for exciters. We even
had arguments as to who's was better, so we would drive them out to
the parking lot of the Shipwreck and ask other CBers on the channel
who was louder. But that was back in 84-85, and the details about the
amp are a bit foggy. I do remember, however, that we 'appropriated' an
original MRC-110 matching unit coil from a shop over on mainside, so I
guess we didn't build it completely from scratch....

>: But somehow I knew that your bias in favor of sweep tubes was
>: financially oriented. Because it certainly wasn't based on sound RF
>
>Where do you see me indicate bias in favor of..? Comparing the issues and
>requirements of the two amplifiers; your example 4cx250b and a sweep tube
>chassis do not indicate a bias. The merits and short commings of any and
>all amplifiers are fun to talk about. Let's dance frankie... I'll lead,
>you follow.

Your bias is evident by your unwillingness to scrutinize sweep tube
amps from a critical perspective, or to even check into the facts that
I have presented.

>: engineering principles. Ain't it funny tho: sweep tube amps used to be
>: popular because they were so cheap, a decision based on saving money.
>
>... and they work pretty well for what they are.
>
>: And now there are people like you that encourage the use of these
>: splatterboxes because spreading ignorance can put a few bucks in your
>
>"splatter boxes" as described from a guy who has probably never properly
>scratch/home built or operated more than one.

Keep assuming things and you will go far in life.

> Hate to rain on your
>parade frankie... but you provide no actual data of an operating amateur
>amplifier (which should be updated as directed in my dentron GLA text) to
>back your spectral/linearity claims.

Nor do you, Skippy.

>I monitor and watch my spectral content or linearity almost every
>transmission.

You monitor all your transmissions with a spectrum analyzer? I don't
think so, Skippy.

> None of my amplifiers splatter, even the sweep tube
>versions.

I highly doubt that.

> I'm darn loud on the bands I frequent..

Now THAT I can believe.

>: pocket. You are a true sham artist, Skippy. Good thing that people
>: like me are around to keep innocent folk from getting taken by people
>: like you.
>
>I'm not in the regular amp business frankie... sorry but your once again
>wrong. I tried to tell you that 2 or 3 times already.
>
>The only good thing from your book theory babble so far is that readers
>to these threads will eventually see everything sorted out (through our
>posts) as applied to the real world and hopefully learn something.

And they could do one better than you and verify everything I have
said for themselves.

>You are a stuffy wanna be a small rf amplifier "expert" (drip under
>pressure), who appears to us, to have little actual real world
>experience building amp circuits from scratch. Per you, everything is in
>stone and no other options are possible or practical. Maybe you really do
>need some french roast to break up your mental gel cells.
>
>Stay with your book-only theory and keep your head in the sand. Over time,
>some of the once gospel rf amplifier book theory is revised and the bad
>information hopefully discarded. But old bad habits die hard... like
>your rectifier equalization networks and grids tied to the plate in rf
>amplifiers.

I made the offer twice and I'll do it one more time: come on up and
see where I work. You would like the shop, I'm sure. You might not be
able to find your way around the equipment, but you would sure have
fun trying. I'll even let you climb the tower if you don't mind doing
a little painting while you're up there.

>Hope your broadcast station is solid-state frankie...

Hope someday you will know the difference.

>By the way, you can still hear one of my past projects on 1640 most every
>night. Try listening to (now) kdia 1640 Vallejo, CA. I placed the first
>legal expanded band AM station transmitter on the air west of New Jersey
>as 1640, then callsign KXBT. Had a nice Sweep Tube.... &%$##@A... a'ha

>got'cha for a moment... "big tube" transmitter honking out a big signal.

10kW day and 1kW night, I wouldn't call that particularly "big". But what part did you play in this "project"? Why isn't there anything about it on your website?

>Still waiting for any web pages, reference articles or projects you might
>care to share with us Frank. You've been pretty quiet about any real
>world experience

Not suprising, since I'm not an amp junkie, illegal operator, or a ham. I'm just an underpaid electronics engineer that enjoys his work. I also enjoy CB radio, which is why I don't enjoy sweep tube amps mucking up the spectrum.

> and you seem to think you're the only one on this news
>group working in or around broadcast stations. Once again, not so...

What is it that you do or did there? And why do you keep shifting the conversation into topics barely relavent to the subject? You aren't afraid to address the facts about sweep tubes, are you, Skippy?

579. Re: Voobner posts useful info.....amazing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 12:17:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cL7y9.283200$121.8268087@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143115

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211051937.141cf2f5@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0211041638.3a8a1325@posting.google.com>...
> > "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<zWednXqXLul1XlugXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> > > "Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ce161eb2.0211041054.380100ed@posting.google.com...
> > > : I have to mark this on my calendar. Bloobner posts information that
is
> > > : interesting, on topic and some people might actually care about.
> > > : Miracles never cease. I don't see though, where he called the FCC to
> > > : report this infraction. Although I'm sure it's ok with him since
it's
> > > : Microsoft infringing on CB, and not hammie airspace.
> > >
> > > How is microsoft infringing on CB? the R/C channels are not part of
the CB
> > > Band just like the Freeband is not part of the CB band. So I will ask
again
> > > where is the infraction ? I realize this is a troll post but at least
be
> > > credible.
> >
> > CREDIBLE?? Har de har har har! Aanal Aaron has been proven wrong about
> > everything he ever posted. How is that credible?
> >
> > BTW, where's the "proof" he offered to "Gladly!" post that somehow I',
> > "Steve"? He has no credibility; he ran and hid because I wouldn't stop
> > reminding him that he offered to post it.
> >
> > CREDIBLE? I don't think so!
> >
> > And how ya doing Georgie-girl Busck WA3MOJ, you anonymous troll?
>
> oh heck it is just that dwight stewart prick acting out his fantasy
> as aaron voobnutts.....oh his aol account he pays just to do this
> stuff.....nice guy huh??? if you will do the
> research.....there are some amazing coicidences about the
> appearance of our buddy aaron h voobnutts and this dwight stewart
> moron.....i think dwight, from the posts i have read.....likes
> to argue a lot and needs to get a damn life.....geez.....give it
> a rest already.....i mean is this crap going to continue much
> longer????

Do a Google search for Dwight Stewart and note the similarities. I think you'll be amazed. Not to mention, Dwight loves Macs, which Aaron has used also. Hmmm....

580. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 12:59:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC91219.1DAD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143120

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: roughing@greenbay.com (Late Hit)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:25028-3DC7DACA-335@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >While it was clearly an effort to block >someone's receive,
>
> Makes it illegal....end of story.
>
> >>What do you care of Radio illegalities?<<
>
> Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
> Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
> dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
> breaking FCC law than I ever had.

Really? Operating a part 15 device, for the purpose of ridding the CB band of a disruptive influence and a source of interference, is worse than operating on frequencies not authorized by the FCC, with the potential to cause interference to legitimate radio services?

I fail to see it that way, and I'm sure if you ask an FCC official, he would likely not agree with you either, considering the comments that I got from the one that I ran into shortly after the whole affair.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

581. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:12:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211060512.4579914c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143116

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<8oacnVRJXdgfiFWgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
> news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > Freebanding!!
> >
> > What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
> > The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
> > fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land"
>
> Actually, they are allocated to various services and organizations.
> Hardly a "no man's land".

There's a big difference between "allocated" and "used".

> Most states have highway patrol allocations in there, forest service,
> there's quite a long list.

Oh? I'm not aware of any state highway patrol using 26 or 27 MHz. They use low band VHF as a rule, up around 42 MHz; I've heard Missouri and Indiana in that range, and I believe California still uses it. The Forest Svc normally seems to use high-band VHF.

OTOH, FEMA has some allocations around 11 meters but has never used them to my knowledge. I doubt that they ever will since they have more reliable VHF and UHF communications these days.

582. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:18:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211060518.1ec5b15f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143117

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:<jA-dnRDfboMq91WgXTWcog@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> : As usual, Georgie-girl Busch is whining. Ignore him, folks. He can't help
> himself.
>
> actually i have been told they are ignoring you you cry the same old song in
> here day in day out. I am a breath of fresh air, I am much more creative
> than you and i put you in your place regularly.
> As much as I argue with Mopar and Randy I can almost bet they like me better
> than you. And that is saying alot. Go back under your rock Dickie

Hi, Georgie-girl; nice to see yet another new nic from you. Do you
always refer to yourself in the third person?

If you're a breath of fresh air, why do you smell like an old
outhouse? Maybe you're from Wisconsin where they invite people to
smell their dairy-air?

And I'm still waiting for that loser friend of yours, Aanal Aaron, to
post the proof he said he would post "Gladly" that somehow I'm
"Steve". Since he's (once again) trying to ignore me, would you be so
kind as to remind him that he offered to do so?

583. crackhead Chapwoman pretends to know something

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:29:04 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0211060529.5c2c37ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143118

Like you would know squat about anything but gay hangouts and where to
get crack. Is it true you traded dweebo head for drugs? Our bet is
that it is so.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<JtEx9.276429$Fw2.8072685@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
> news:BYGcnSQ7O8guWFugXTWcow@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > news:20021104134535.22205.00001482@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > : sure i know better than to put a product liek this on the cb "A"
> channels.
> if
> > : the tech at microsoft havent figured it out yet they soon will. i bet
> not
> one
> > : of them was a cb'er lol
> >
> > Oh so you think he was a tech who injuneered this thang, and not any sort
> of
> > injuneer. Can we have your reason for why this is a bad idea? Do you know
> > power levels of these devices ? Harmonic content? IMD Figures? Antenna
> size,
> > range? Why weren't the r/c cars a bad idea when they were on 27 mhz? What
> > harm did they do?
> >
> > How can you answer these question with any reasonable conclusion without
> > these facts . Or are you just going on your good engineering skills.
> >
> > :
> > : >
> > : >
> > : >: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft
> that's
> what I
> > : >: >want to hear you say.
> > : >
> > : >
> > : >No answer?
> > : >
> >
>
> Just for the record, the proximity of the transmitter and the receiver in

> this setup makes it unlikely that interference will be a problem....unless
> of course, you live next door to a person whom regularly uses one of the "A"
> channels.

584. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:31:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DC919B9.92@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143119

Twistedhed wrote:
>
>
> >And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
> In the last month or so, >they've changed the news server over to a
> >different machine.
>
> Only for you? Only in your area?

How many other people do you know that use my particular news server?
I'd venture to say that they number less than the number of fingers on
your hand.

>
> >I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
> the same as I always did.
>
> Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
> different avenues.

No, I haven't. You look too hard for things that aren't there. But
suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's the difference, I use my real
name, and my E-mail is still the same. Unlike you, I have no need to
conceil my identity.

>
> >I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.
>
> Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the
> company you choose to access the group.

What rule have I broken? What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion?
That's too bad for you if that's what you think.

>
> >And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......
>
> Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6
> others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.

Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I don't know. I'm not a
pencil-necked internet geek, so I really don't know the nuances of each
and every routing protocol.

>
> See, besides trying to take your advice
> concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not

> believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious,
> so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?
>
> >I was never seriously "in business". Two other >local guys had a dealer
> >franchise,
>
> A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that
> it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?

No, I'm not "attempting" to say anything. I'm TELLING you, straight up,
that the "business" was a side line operated by two local friends of
mine, and that I did repair work for them. I made NO money from the
sales of radios.

>
> >and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did
> >the repair work.
>
> Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig?

Uh, as a way to drum up business? I was the only one on the internet at
the time, and thought it might be a way to expand our operation. Nothing
sinister there.

> No Dave, you claimed it yours.

Where? Prove that one. I never did any such thing.

> This is beginning to be quite fun..you lie, and I show
> otherwise. No wonder Frank hallucinated he invented a
> "twistybuster"....worse yet, Dogie and Lelnad each bought one.

And once again you fail to properly comprehend what you read.

>
> You claimed it yours back then. Another contradiction.

A claim that you'll never be able to back up, hence you are the one
stating untruths.

>
> >I NEVER claimed that the business was mine. <
>
> We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.

Now would be a good time.

>
> >It wasn't much of a "business" anyway. We >only worked the local crowd.
> I never made >enough money to call it a primary job. It was >my "radio
> slush fund", that I used to buy more >of my own gear.
> >Hell, I've been repairing radios since I was 15. >I paid my first car's
> insurance and kept the gas >tank full from the proceeds of my ventures.
> >And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly

> >wrong", in my day. I spent most of my time, >making borderline unstable
> radios like >Galaxy's, who had already seen the >screwdriver, operate
> with some stability and >cleanliness.
>
> Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the
> business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than
> "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all
> washed up here.

I've been "in the business" of reparing radios since I was 15, as a
sideline. I was associated with the particular business in question for
only about 2 years, back in the 1995 time frame. I operated
independently, my name was listed nowhere in this dealer franchise.

> >Yep. That's why I have such a keen insight >into the situation.
>
> Once again, you fail to see yourself as the masses. Your insight is far
> removed from the popular majority as evidenced in your maintaining dx
> constitutes a federal crime.

Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A yes or no answer is all
that's required.

> >but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him
>
> I am not defending him, rather you are using him as a justification for
> your lawlessness.

You can't prove that I broke ANY law. Your opinion of what I did is
irrelevant.

>
> Well, you claim I was one for disrespecting the mundane laws of dx and
> freebanding. Yet you had the illegal hack shack and somehow view
> yourself above that of a simple freebandng dxer...hahahhahahah...keep on
> keeping on....!
>
> >The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
> really BAD advice >and modification work, whichresulted in a >cleaner
> radio signal.
>
> You also were involved in illegal sales.

You assume way to much and have no proof. First off, you don't know what
we sold. It's perfectly legal to sell type accepted radios to people.
Why would you assume that the business sold anything else? secondly, I
was not involved in the sales end. I only did the repair work. Something
else that you have no evidence to the contrary.

> >You, on the otherhand, operate either oblivious of, or indifferent to,
> >the potential interference that you may create, while chasing your DX.
>
> Keep chasing rainbows.

If you consider the plain truth a "rainbow" it underscores how truly confused you really are.

> Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do
> is
> buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long,
> long time ago.
>
> >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.
>
> Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
> the band.
>
> >That would've required trespass.<
>
> Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and
> distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in
> your limited grasp of things.

We're not talking about YOUR yard, we're talking about the guy who actually got the device planted. In his case, planting a device a few yards away WOULD have required trespass. The fact that you live on a postage stamp sized yard doesn't mean the rest of us do.

>
> Who said you did?
> If you touched his car, as you claimed, with an intent to break another
> law (as you also claimed) you were guilty of criminal mischief.
> YOU were the only one who mentioned trespass.
>
> >the device was part 15 covered.<
>
>
> If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was
> committed.
>
> >We never had to. <
>
> Really? Let's see...you said....and I quote:"
> >Good thing too, or
> >we would have had to risk attaching another >device to his car.
>
> "Another" implicates it wasn't the first time. And how do you attach a
> device to a car without touching it? Did you lie or simply forget? this
> may be your crowning monent, yet

Actually, this is your crowning moment of comprehensive ineptness. Yes "another device" means a second device after the first one that we planted outside of his yard. Duh! You really are dense aren't you? We never went near his car. But if we did, we would have had to make "another" device to plant on his car the "first" time. Get it yet? I really hate having to talk to you like I talk to my 3 year old daughter.

Sometimes I think she understands better.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

585. Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:41:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <g_8y9.288748$Fw2.8401794@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143121

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cL7y9.283200$121.8268087@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Do a Google search for Dwight Stewart and note the similarities. I think
> you'll be amazed. Not to mention, Dwight loves Macs, which Aaron has used
> also. Hmmm....
>
>

Odd, huh?

Search Result 7
From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)
Subject: Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our
Liability?
View: Complete Thread (29 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.ham-radio.marketplace
Date: 2002-10-22 02:46:09 PST

"K&#65533;HB" wrote:
>
> I think most of us (most = >95%) know that
> our buyer is another licensed ham.
>
> One way to get high blood pressure is to go
> mountain climbing over molehills.

You should know me well enough by now to know I don't often chase
molehills, Hans. This started as a discussion with an eBay employee, with
questions I could not answer. Rather than just give my opinion, which the
employee would probably be satisfied with (it's just a friendly discussion
on this issue), I thought I would see what others thought.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/Search Result 11 From: Dwight Stewart
(stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: BIG CB amp on Ebay View: Complete Thread (73
articles) Original Format Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
alt.ham-radio.marketplaceDate: 2002-10-17 02:06:25 PST "ckh - Too Much
SPAM" wrote:
>
> I've seen legit auctions for SB-200's pulled. (snip)

That sucks. As usual, we pay a price for the illegal activities of the
CB'ers.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/Search Result 43 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: CW on 50.125 View: Complete Thread (89 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhfDate: 2002-09-08 09:36:11 PST "WA8ULX" wrote:

>> Would I grab at a no-code HF license?
>> I don't know.
>
> Yes you do, you would jump on it soon
> as it hit the Street

I don't think so, Bruce. Code isn't that much of a barrier now and I still haven't had much interest in another license class. Again, if my situation changed, that might change. By the way, I did start practicing Morse Code a few months back. However, it simply bored me to sleep and I gave up. Like I said, the motivation just isn't there.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/----------Search Result 1 From: Dwight Stewart (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?????? View: Complete Thread (336 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2001-10-24 01:48:44 PST Toll Free wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > (snip)
>
> No, I guess the racism, sexualism, etc.
> that took place on 75 meters never
> happened, did it?

You're talking about a small minority of hams on a limited set of frequencies, while I'm talking about a widespread problem on the CB frequencies. The two issues are not even in the same ballpark (and one has been reduced even further while the other is still commonplace).

> Denial is the worst form of vanity.
> I agree that CB needs to be cleaned up,

I agree. So why do you insist in endlessly downplaying the problems on the CB frequencies? If the CB radio service wants respect, then it has to clean up the problems first. And that means honest CB'ers (those running legal stations and not causing problems) have to start screaming for enforcement and stop empowering those who do cause the problems (socializing with them, ignoring their illegal activities, etc).

> but I don't agree with a bunch of hams
> attacking CBers when they try to run
> clean power,

Those operators are being "attacked," as you call it, because they
are operating illegally. I would just as quickly "attack" a fellow
ham, or a member of any other radio service, who was operating illegally.

> NOR do I agree with amateur operators
> coming to rec.radio.cb telling us how
> fucked up this radio service is, when their
> own is coming under scrutiny for the same.

First of all, I didn't come to rec.radio.cb. I'm posting from a ham
radio newsgroup to a cross posted thread. Second, as long as I have a
CB in my desk drawer (a handheld I use in the truck occasionally), I
have just as much right to be in rec.radio.cb as you do. And, finally,
the problems in ham radio are not the same as those of CB - the
problems associated with the CB radio service are much more severe and
much more commonplace. And it is the hams themselves who have
requested the increased enforcement of ham radio, while no such
widespread request has come for CB'ers (including yourself).

> You think the ratio of bad apples to
> good isn't about the same? How many
> ACTIVE licensed amateurs are on the
> air? How many CBers?

Sorry, my friend, but that just doesn't cut it with me. I was a
CB'er for over twenty years (in 6 different states) and now a ham for
almost five. In fact, I suppose you could still call me a CB'er if you
count the very rare use of a handheld while traveling. At one time,
there were more good CB'ers than bad. But that is simply not true
today. The overwhelming majority are operating illegally (out of band,
excessive power, etc) or violating the rules in one way or the other
(foul language, causing fights, and so on). The exact opposite is true
for ham radio - the overwhelming majority operate properly and don't
violate the rules.

> This would actually be fun to look
> into, as I KNOW the amount of hams
> is dwindling.

And how would that compare to the slow decline of CB since it's peak
in the seventies?

> Take a look at the big 3 ham mags.
> All they can talk about is how it is a
> dying hobby. Sad but true.

At least there are hams magazines to talk about. Care to name a
single magazine dedicated solely to CB still in existence? Care to
name one with anywhere near the same number of subscribers? Care to
name one with the same level of technical coverage? Or perhaps we
should talk about books instead? Or perhaps you'd like to show me the
CB radio organization with the scope and influence of the ARRL? If
you're going to use talk in a magazine as proof that a hobby is dead,
then it should be very clear to you that CB was dead a long time ago.

> CB is growing more and more. Which
> yes, means you get more idiots.

Care to provide some proof of that? CB is nothing but a shadow of what it was in the seventies. And, based on what I've seen, it has been in slow decline ever since (in spite of the occasional peaks of interest).

> > However, if you compare the USE
> > of illegal power amplifiers
> > (including those purchased), this
> > is again extremely rare for hams,
> > while commonplace for CB'ers.
>
> Total bullshit. Most people on the
> amateur radio service run way more power
> than is necessary for comms. 100 watts
> is what comes out of the rig, thats what
> they run.

Well, the last time I checked, it was legal to run 100 watts on the ham bands. But, regardless, your response avoids the subject of illegal power amplifiers (running more power than necessary is not an illegal power amplifier). So how does your comment about running more power than necessary dispute my comment about the use of illegal power amplifiers in the two radio services? For that matter, I noticed your message avoids responding to most of my comments (the treatment of women, for example).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/----------
----------Search Result 3 From: Dwight Stewart (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: HR 2346 STATE & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB RADIO REGS View: Complete Thread (200 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: misc.transport.road, misc.transport.trucking, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cbDate: 2000-09-30 19:47:09 PST Phil Kane wrote:
>
> The very people who would give
> this authority to the locals are
> the ones who set up the situation
> where the FCC was prevented
> from doing its job.
>
> Remember the story about the
> farmer who [snip]
>
> The typical threat of the
> congress-rep is "do what I want you
> to do or we will cut you off at
> appropriation time". [snip]
>
> This is the exact situation with

> respect to the Finegold Bill.
>
> Don't let them get away with that
> sort of flim-flam. Make them fund
> the Commission to do its job
> properly.

Look, as far as most people are concerned, the FCC has done a really poor job over the last 25 years when it comes to enforcement of CB. In other words, the FCC did a crappy job of policing CB in the 70's, a horrible job of it in the 80's, and hardly anything at all in the 90's.

FCC enforcement has become a joke in the CB community, with widespread abuse of the regulations now commonplace. By my estimate, there are now more people openly selling illegal CB linears than there are people selling legal linears for all the other radio services combined.

And, throughout that 25 years, I can only imagine how many millions of complaints were sent to the FCC, with most of those complaints largely ignored. Or how many millions of frustrated neighbors have had to sit through interference of their telephones, televisions, or other electronic devices, over those same years.

Anyway, the point is that this situation existed long before Finegold, or most of the other members of the current Congress, ever stepped foot in Washington. So, in my opinion, it is rather disingenuous to point at them for what I, and many others, see as a clear failure of the FCC to enforce the CB regulations.

Sadly, at this point, given how widespread the problem has become, the only way the FCC could enforce the regulations would be to increase its enforcement staff greatly. And I don't think most Americans would want to see another hugely expanded enforcement agency in Washington. In my opinion, we already have way too many cops in Washington as it is.

So, the only solution left is to allow local enforcement. In other words, in my opinion, even bad local enforcement would be far better than what we have now from the FCC (which is virtually no enforcement).

But, there is no reason that bad local enforcement has to be the end of the story on this. The FCC is being given a new job when it comes to CB enforcement - that of mentor and guide. If they do this job well, then bad local enforcement can be minimized. In other words, lets hope they do this job better than they did the job of CB enforcement over the last few years.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------And we all remember when Aaron thought the same.....Search Result 5 From: Dwight Stewart (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: FCC Rules Part 95, Subsection D View: Complete Thread (210 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:

rec.radio.cbDate: 2001-03-23 22:24:06 PST Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> That STILL does not preclude changing a
> CB radio over to 10 meters by a licensed
> ham. Those rules that you quote apply
> to a CB transceiver being operated in
> the CB band. [snip]

Perhaps I missed something, Jerry. Can you show me where it says there is an exception for ham operators?

Remember, when you start taking the screws off to modify that CB radio, that is a CB radio (not a ham radio). Therefore, at this point, all CB related rules apply (not ham radio rules). And those rules specifically ban internal modifications by anyone not certified.

According to section 95.424 (see below), _ONLY_ a person "certified as technically qualified to perform transmitter maintenance and repair duties" can make internal repairs or internal adjustments to a CB. Therefore, unless that ham operator has been "certified as technically qualified," that ham operator cannot make "internal repairs or internal adjustments," which would include internal adjustments to change frequency coverage. There is nothing in this section that provides an exception for ham operators.

By the way, also note my response to Cap'n Crunch for still one other rule that suggests a CB cannot be modified by a ham (Sec. 95.607 CB transmitter modification). This section would even prevent a person that was "certified" from modifying a CB (not a person with a grant of authorization or prior written permission from the FCC).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Search Result 12 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: Petition Filed With FCC View: Complete Thread (310 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/12/06 Jeffmc3518 wrote:
>
> who gave ANYONE the authority to tell ANYONE
> what to do / not do with their private
> property?

Jeff, a person is absolutely free to do absolutely anything with his private property (radio) as long as it does not affect anyone outside of his private property. The person can use the radio as a paper weight, play football with it in the backyard, or use it for a hood ornament on his car.

But, as soon as that person starts transmitting, that person is no longer confining his activities to his private property - radio waves do not stop at his property line. At that point, society, through its elected government, has a right to have some say how that person uses the radio frequencies that belong to the society as a whole.

Our society, called the United States of America, elected a Congress to pass laws for the common good (not an individual's good alone - the common good). And that Congress created the Federal Communications Commission to make the rules affecting radio.

Now, if somebody does not like the way Congress works, he is free to change Congress. If a person does not like the FCC's control of radio, he is free to talk to his member of Congress. If a person does not like the rules that the FCC has created, that person can talk to the FCC. All of that is a person's right as a member of this society.

However, I can tell you right now that there is no way the FCC is going to expand the frequencies for CB, or increase the power allowed for CB, or increase the range that CB'ers are legally allowed to make contacts, or anything else. Why? BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SERVE THE COMMON GOOD.

Yes, all of these might benefit some CB'ers. But, for every CB'er shouting for increased power, there is another person complaining about interference from CB radios using increased power. For every CB'er demanding increased frequencies, there is another person complaining about the incroachment of CB'ers into their assigned frequencies. And for every CB'er shouting for increased range, there is another Cb'er complaining about the interference by people breaking the existing rules.

When Amateur Radio is added as an existing option that can meet all of the demands for increased range, power, and frequencies, there is absolutely no reason for the FCC to even consider a change in the existing rules. Now some may not like Amateur Radio as an option, but it is an option that serves the common good (even if it does not serve the good of the few individuals who don't like it). Therefore, it is the only option that a CB'er, unhappy with the existing Citizens Band, is likely to get under the current climate towards CB'ers.

> i don't hear anyone screaming about
> broadcast tv putting out more and more
> graphic sex, language and violence.

And, since you brought it up, if you don't like what is on television, complain to the FCC. That is your right as a member of this society. But bad behavior by a others in this society does not give anybody else the right to behave badly, nor does it minimize anyone else's bad behavior (in other words, bad behavior on television does not justify bad behavior on the radio).

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------Search Result 21 From: Dwight Stewart (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum View: Complete Thread (45 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 2000-12-01 20:43:08 PST Bill Nelson wrote:

>
> [snip] I also would prefer to see the CB band
> opened up to about 27.75 MHz and have the
> restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated.

And what would you do with the authorized users of these frequencies.
These frequencies (27.405 - 27.995) are used by the military
(operations, pulsed radar, etc.), the Coast Guard (hurricane emergency
nets, unit coms, icebreaker operations, etc), NASA (low horizon digital
telemetry), and many others.

Dwight StewartSearch Result 34 From: Dwight Stewart
(stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: FINALLY,SOME ANSWERS TO PLLO2A'S QUESTIONS
View: Complete Thread (31 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/11/16 Pll02a wrote:
>
> for the last 3 or 4 months, i've asked, in a nut shell, why are the hams
here
> if ham radio was so great?

And we've answered that question many times before, Jeff. Many of our
old friends still only have CB radios, so we keep our CB around to talk
to them.

> why do they have to come here and shove their views down our throats?
> if cb is so bad, why don't they abandond it for the holy grail, the ham
bands?

And we've addressed this also, Jeff. None of use has ever said that
CB is bad, only that there are some illegal users who make it bad for
everyone else.

> if they are going to come here, if they can't help someone, don't like the
> subject matter or if they don't have anything positive to contribute,
don't say
> anything at all.

When you apply those same standards to yourself, we'll follow your
lead, Jeff. You're the one in here ranting about ham operators. If you
can't help someone, don't like the subject matter, or don't have
anything positive to contribute, don't say anything at all.

> i may not know as much as some hams but i have gone to rec.radio.amateur
and
> answered some of their questions.

You know, you've said this many times. What do you want, a medal? So
what? How does the fact that you have answered a few questions in
rec.radio.amateur justify your continued assault on ham operators in
this newsgroup?

> i didn't always get the answers that i wanted or agreed with but
> they were friendly. here, if you ask a question about amps or mods, you

get
> someones keyboard shoved down your throat.

Now that should be rather obvious, Jeff. If you asked about amplifiers in the ham band, then you were asking about something that was legal (ham operators can legally use a linear). An amplifier is illegal in the CB band, so you're not going to get the same response from the ham operators here.

I'm also sure that you did not spend weeks conplaining about ham operators (like you do here) before you asked your questions in rec.radio.amateur.

> there was one poor guy here 2 weeks ago that asked a question about an amp.
> the flame thrower came out from one ham shack billowing threats of the FCC.
> if the ham would have looked at the guy's e-mail address, he would have seen
> that it ended in, ". UK".

I saw that message thread, Jeff. Yes, the question was from a guy in the UK. He asked about linears, which he admitted was also illegal in the UK. The CB'er with a ham license responded that he should consider other options, such as a better antenna or better radio.

The Cb'er with a ham license only mentioned the FCC once during his entire response. I would hardly consider that "billowing threats of the FCC."

By the way, I also noticed that YOU did not offer to help the person asking the question. You decided to attack the CB'er with the ham license instead. You made a big fuss about the FCC comment, but did not contribute anything that would have helped the person asking the question.

> not all hams are like this though. even some who disagree with the alternative
> cb life style. they are either neutral or helpful on antenna, power supply or
> in non-technical issues.
> this is all we ask.

Alternative CB lifestyle?? Now I have heard everything. What's next, Jeff? Are you going to march on Washington, hand-in-hand, demanding equal rights for your alternative lifestyle? I imagine that it is only a matter of time before you start claiming that you didn't CHOOSE to be an illegal operator, you were BORN that way.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5netSearch Result 49 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: Why wouldn't the FCC crack down View:

Complete Thread (25 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate:
1999/12/29 MC Turner wrote:
>
> No its true. Hams contacted Ebay about
> people selling illegal 11 meter radio gear.
> The post I read stated that if Ebay did
> not pull the auctions then all of them
> would be forwarded to the FCC.

MC, you misunderstood my message. I was not objecting to the facts -
I was objecting to your choice of words. The fact that certain people
pointed out to Ebay that it is illegal to sell amplifiers designed for
CB use, or that the ads would be reported to the FCC if they continued,
does not constitute an attack or a threat (you used both of these words
in your message).

And there is no evidence that it was anybody in this newsgroup that
contacted Ebay (a claim made in your message). Since Ebay has not
stated who contacted them, you are basing your claim, that it was ham
operators, on pure speculation - you have no facts to prove that.

> The thing that is bad about this is that
> this news group is for CB'ers. That's
> why its called Rec.radio.Cb. Why do hams
> come to this group and try to bash us and
> Cb?

I cannot speak for the other ham operators in this newsgroup, MT.
However, I continue to use a CB to talk to my friends that do not have a
ham license. And I use my ham radio to talk to my friends on the ham
radio bands. Therefore, I'm both a CBer and a ham operator.

As for your complaint about people bashing you, perhaps it is because
you post messages that based on wild speculation without any facts to
back up your claims. When someone challenges you to back up your
comments, your defense is to claim that they are bashing you.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------Search Result 50 From: Dwight Stewart
(stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?????? View:
Complete Thread (223 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.miscDate: 2001-10-20 18:56:33
PST "D. P. Roberts" wrote:
>
> They don't understand power ratios.
> They think the more power, the
> better. Give me a good antenna
> and I can compete with them.

You're fighting a losing battle, DP. When I had a CB years ago, it was one of the best sounding stations in the area. I could hear, and easily talk to, stations most could not. I received endless praise about the sound quality (thanks to a good, clean, microphone). And the station was totally legal (which seemed to surprise everybody).

Regardless of my endless lectures about the quality of the antenna and it's installation (height, location, grounding, etc), almost everyone in the area went with linears instead (and D-104 mics with echo, with radio modulation cranked to the max). Of course, these people could easily be heard, but half could not be understood and the other half could not operate most of the time because of complaints from neighbors. And still few of them could hear (receive) the distant stations in nearby counties I routinely talked to.

In other words, you could draw these people a blueprint of the perfect CB station, and they would still not get it. The lure of the bad boy image surrounding illegal linears is simply too much for many CB'ers to resist. The same with the need to fit in with the crowd (be like everyone else). For most people, both of these will outweigh "the right way" every single time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/----------Search Result 67 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: Direction finding View: Complete Thread (60 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/11/04 Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > dana wrote:
> > >
> > > you don't have to do that, just leave off the spray, and it might work.
> >
> > Actually, he could just leave the spray on. It should not affect the
> > antenna in any way.
> >
> > However, we should straighten out his description of how to use a loop
> > antenna for RDF work. When the widest part of the loop is facing the
> > signal, the meter reading will be higher. When the ends (sides,
> > whatever) of the loop are facing the signal, the reading will be lower.
>
> BZZZTT! Wrong answer. The "flat" side of the loop is the "null". You
> point the pointer for the lowest signal, as the null is much sharper
> than the peak is.

Are you sure, Dave? Heck, I haven't done this in so many years, I probably could be wrong on that. Actually, I have not played around with RDF equipment since about 1977 when I was hunting down a "person" who was continiously playing music on the local CB channel.

Lately, I just drive around, watching the radio's meter, to find people on the CB band. I've got to get back into RDF though (on the VHF marine channels), so I guess I better study up. I'm going to build the antenna, but I seriously doubt it will be a loop.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------Search Result 92 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: WHAT IS A LINEAR AMP ? View: Complete Thread (33 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/12/07 John Jenkins wrote:
>
> I think the point thats been discussed here the last six months is
> that the FCC has not spent any money trying to eliminate amps on 11
> meters because they don't have any to spend on it!
>
> Your always free to donate money to them if you feel the urge...

I'd be a little careful making a statement like that, John. The FCC has been working its way down the radio frequencies over the last couple of years. First it went after the cellular companies. Then it hit the television broadcasters. Last year it went after the VHF/UHF bands. Now Hollingsworth is talking about increased enforcement in the 10-meter ham band.

If the pattern stays constant, we can expect the freebanders to be next. And then the CB band.

They may not have enough money to go after everyone at once, but they can target a specific segment, and a specific few individuals within that segment, to make an example out of.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------Search Result 94 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: RCI-2950 VRS PRESIDENT HR2510 View: Complete Thread (20 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/11/22 Toll Free wrote:
>
> All yours where modified to run 6 meters and above, though... Right?

I had those radios ten years ago, long before I had a ham license. Today, I have a stock Cobra 2000 for CB use and a Yaesu FT-847 for ham use.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
----------From: Dwight Stewart

(stewart@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: linear amplifier schematics View: Complete Thread (3 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1997/07/04 > Nathan Schenk <ctech@i-205net.com> wrote....
>
> I need a schematic for a 25-100 watt linear. Any help would be
> appreciated.

REPLY...

I want a copy of this schematic also.

Yes John (OldCrow), I know it is cheaper to just buy a linear, but there is nothing like building, and using, your own equipment (especially if you have an interest in electronics).

Nathan, if you find one, how about sending a copy in my direction?

Dwight
Stewart----------Groups search result 203 for "CB" author:Dwight author:Stewart Search Result 203 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: Copper Electronics --- Cobra--- Mr No Name View: Complete Thread (5 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1996/08/01 Paul Massie wrote:
>
> "Hi,my name is Paul J Massie, I'am the vice president of Copper
> Electronics. I keep seeing these postings about Copper's from
> what looks to be little dealers who don't like Copper's and
> the reason they keep posting their one sided opinion is
> because they hope they convince you we have bad business
> policies." ... "Thats why the dealers try and bad mouth Copper's by
> saying "its to cheap it must be used". Well if you read the posting
> from Cobra you know Copper's dosen't buy manufacturers refurbished
> equipment. We buy only new equipment!"

Well, Mr. Massie, I purchased a Uniden HR-2510 from Copper about two years ago and what I received was definately used merchandise. The radio had scratches on the case, the faceplate was scratched, the mounting bracket was bent, parts of the documentation were missing, and all of the screws were missing.
I considered returning the radio, but since the damage was relatively minor, I kept it. Instead, I wrote Copper a letter expressing my apprehension about paying for a new radio and getting a used item instead.
Much to Copper's credit, the office responded with a telephone call, an assurance that it was a mistake, an apology, and an offer for a replacement "new" radio. And indeed, three days later a new radio arrived.
Sadly, I had already modified the radio with the Chipswitch by the time Copper contacted me and I knew Copper would not take it back once it was modified. I returned the new radio and kept the modified used one.

Anyway, possibly incidents like this is the cause of these rumors
(about Copper selling used merchandise) spreading around.
To be totally fair to Copper (and to prevent new rumors), I have
ordered many times from Copper and am completely satisfied with their
merchandise, service, and customer support.
The incident above was the only time I have ever received used
merchadise. And I believe Copper assurance that this incident was a
mistake. In spite of this incident, I would still highly recommend
Copper Electronics.

Dwight
Stewart----------
----------Odd, huh?

586. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 13:59:12 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <_-ednYfwUN2tvVSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143122

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106081115.653 >

: Just don't act a fool,like George. It'a all good otherwise.

Who is george?

587. Re: Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:03:37 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <GPadnepZaN-lvFSgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143123

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:g_8y9.288748

Odd, huh?

Yes it is very odd, but I am sold, ok so those post settle it Aaron is dwight You guys are truely amazing how you figured that out damn I am impressed.

588. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:05:02 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <LN2dnQ3xKPEQvFSgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143124

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106080906.745

: With your behavior,it's hard for anyone to like you.

You really think you are some kinda saint huh. ?

589. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:06:51 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <uSadnTxYo79mvFSgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143125

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
:
: And I'm still waiting for that loser friend of yours, Aanal Aaron, to
: post the proof he said he would post "Gladly" that somehow I'm
: "Steve". Since he's (once again) trying to ignore me, would you be so
: kind as to remind him that he offered to do so?

Steve I would ask him to provide proof but in a post you made yesterday you
said it is not up to the person making the claim so i think that leave the
burden of proof on you to prove he is wrong.

590. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:08:06 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <_TadnTWTiNrbv1SgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143126

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021106012552.27850.00002761@mb-mf.aol.com...
: >I told a few guys in La Marque that piece a crap sitting in your yard if
it
: >doesn't get moved this week to take it, Move it or lose it!
:
: hmmm, why would they want my bird bath?
:

Hey to each his own Tim I odn't ask questions but it has been abandoned
since it is not in use. Nah you would cry like a baby if they took old bessy
on you.

:
:
:

591. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:10:15 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <BcWdnUC47Jtbv1SgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143127

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106081538.877$I5@newsreader.com...
: "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote:
: >> Nah sorry twist another lie, I can do just like Mopar does X-No
archive
: > real simple stupid
: >
: So why don't you,instead of changing nics 3 times a day?

Actually i think it is more creative to change nics, and it really seems to get under peoples skin. I think it is pretty anal of em to worry about something as trivial as that but hell what do I know but the x archive does have the same effect.

592. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 14:37:25 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021106093725.26775.00000018@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 143128

>From: "Don Corleone" >lacosanostra@rico.com
>Actually i think it is more creative to >change nics, and it really seems to
>get under peoples skin.
Sounds like somebody gets under your skin, otherwise changing nics would not be
required......buzz off you goofball dweeb!
333 Springfield MO mobile

"Got RF?"

593. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 15:42:27 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <SPGcnbRgF77-pVSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143129

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090619.355$s6@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106081115.653
>
: >
: > : Just don't act a fool,like George. It'a all good otherwise.
: >
: >> Who is george?
: >
: Pick a friggin name and stick to it,if you don't want to be called george.

Well didn't you say I wasn't george?

:
: I'm not going to entertain your pissing contest.

Oh I see you want to be part of it.

594. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 15:43:18 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <tcGcnaUICqEIpVSgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143130

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090706.335$g0@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106080906.745
: >
: > : With your behavior,it's hard for anyone to like you.
: >
: >> You really think you are some kinda saint huh. ?
: >
: Compared to you? Very much so.

Yeah and some people thing there kids are saints too.

595. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 15:44:06 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <dqycnbVqt8lVpVSgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143131

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021106093725.26775.00000018@mb-ce.aol.com...
: >From: "Don Corleone" >lacosanostra@rico.com
: >Actually i think it is more creative to >change nics, and it really
seems to
: >get under peoples skin.
: Sounds like somebody gets under your skin, otherwise changing nics would
not be
: required......buzz off you goofball dweeb!

oh ok just because you said so.

596. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 15:46:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbdds$q1u$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 143132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Poor Poor Lllllooooyyyyddddd....to dumb to figure out the insult.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:9ldequlq9l@taranews.net...
> On Tue, 5 Nov 2002 17:49:12 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
wrote:
> > What Lllllooooyyyyyddddd doesn't understand is I already am a member
of
> > the BARF. I joined at the age of 19 after I climbed out of a Binjo
ditch on
> > Okinawa.
>
> were you bit by a banana spider? my freind ralf was and then he got
> cranky like you. something happens to the brain stem if you are bit
> on the neck or the face.

597. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 16:13:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC93FC4.1CF51D84@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Ginger Eakle wrote:
>
> That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly hear
> anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to someone I
> would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to their rig.
> I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I have
> decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
> I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
> little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the hobby
> when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal in it.
> I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked again
> when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a base
> station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of our
> metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.
> I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
> companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
> Let's hope so.
> Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
.
Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
study.

598. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 17:36:15 UTC
From: p bear <kg4smn@dtccom.net>
Message-ID: <Oncy9.21012$46.20768@fe01>
Source record: 143133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Not True!

I drive truck cross country for a living and it burns my ass when one of
these rambo's gets near me with an mistuned amp and desenses my receiver on
75!
the el-cheapo ham radio op <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dc67afe@snipnews.snip.net...
> The only people who worry about CB'ers with amps
> are self-righteous ham radio operators who think that
> their pathetic hobby is the centre of the universe and
> they have some kind of a duty to catch "radio criminals".
> (and yet if you goto a hamfest or e-bay lookin for an
> amp, 9 times out of 10 there's a ham op behind the
> table selling it who will gladly look the other way for a
> wad of cash and a thank you. Bada-Bing! (just tellin
> the truth and they can't stand it)
>
>
> <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> plain
> > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up
on
> > rumors....
> >
> >
> > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> spread
> > > of
> > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers
and
> > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section
of
> > > > transceivers operating out of band.

> > > >
> > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger
a
> > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage
pulse
> > > type
> > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front
end
> of
> > > the
> > > > receiver.
> > > >
> > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > devices
> > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > >
> > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> amplifiers
> > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > indicates
> > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> perform
> > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > > problem
> > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

599. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 17:47:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18643-3DC955AC-22@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143138

You asked for it by posting in public. If you wanted no input you
shoulld have taken it to email.
****
>Well, actually you to said exactly what I >wanted you to I hope you
take your own >advice when Leland or someone else makes a >post and
interjects, and you suddenly say they >have some obsession with you.

Huge difference. Lelnad lies...I don't..someone needs to point this out
as several of you sorry ass hammies (certain noodes) share the same
agenda as Lelnad because you're not liked by real hammies and cbers seem
to have a magical effect on y'all.

>It also shows how much you value what I say >by answering me thanks for
playing. I can say >your obsessed with me as much as you Nad is >of you.

You are free to say whatever you wish...you're still wrong and it wont
make anyone believe
you.

>Just wanted to make that distinction.<

Well, as usual, youfailed.

>You said you only reply when your name is >posted.

No, YOU said that..I said I "ALWAYS" reply when my name is poseted or
addressed.
Lies and false attributions you can't seem to get away from..it's as if
you can't help yourself.....don't know any better..
****
>Are you that fucked up on drugs or in the >head? I said the exact same
thing you did >"you reply when your name is posted".

You must be taking the drugs, youngster.
You don't even know what YOU said let alone me...I'll spell it out for
you...you claimed I "always" reply when my name is mentioned.....NOT
what I said,,,you can't even paraphrase correctly...I said "only" and
you switched the word for "Always".

>You are such a pschopathic liar you accuse >everyone of lieing when it
is apperent that >there was no lie .

You're just too slow to follow and remember what you said....too many
lies you try to attribute to others makes you not remember...either
that, or like YOU suggested....drugs...stop taking them.

> it is actually comical to a point to think some >one can twist someone
elses words the way >you

YOU tried (and failed as usual) to change my words.....the "twist"
was your attempt, no one elses. Now run for more damage control.....

600. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 17:52:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18642-3DC956D2-42@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143139

WA3MOJ failed with:
>Actually i think it is more creative to change >nics,

If you knew anything at all about creativity, you wouldn't need to change nics to give you the hilarious and false perception that this somehow makes you appear "creative" to others.

>and it really seems to get under peoples skin.<

At least you are now being forthright in you agenda...hahahahahah...you have always been pegged and your true self always leaks out between your lies.

>I think it is pretty anal of em to worry about >something as trivial as that but hell what do I >know

Exactly. You are more enthralled with cbers and their personal lives and identities..that's much more important compared to what you trivialize..HAHHHAHAHHAHAHHAHHAHHAHAHHAHHAHAHHAHHAHHAHHAHAHHAHHAHAHHHA!.

601. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 17:53:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18642-3DC95733-43@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143137

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
He will NOT prove anything. He (and his minions Georgie-girl Busch and
Frank Gilliland and others) will demand that YOU prove it instead. Aanal
Aaron is a total loser. Ignore him for a while and he will go away.

>And so will 99.9% of the population you claim >it, you prove it, simple
concept eliminates alot >of the bullshit storys that you like to pass.
>Have a good Nite PigMeat

HAhahahahaahah..pigmeat? Dayyumm.Frank has more power over you girls
than I
thought....hahhahahahhaahahaahhahahahaahahhaahaahahhahahaahahahahahahah!

602. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 17:58:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18642-3DC9583F-44@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143135

Umm...
.CB radio's are cool.
There. That's better.
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net
==========Serious sense of false security. Upstream providers have dc'ed access when complaints have fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's.
>Um, how is what I said a false sense of >security? I didn't put a news server up >because of security concerns. I put one up >because I thought it was cool. The ISP >imposed limitations I was reffering to are >bandwidth throttling measures, and concurrent >connection limits. I enjoy the control I now >have over my newsfeed, that's all.

You claimed no more ISP limitations...I just happened to mention how owning your own doesn't make you "free" from ISP limitations. I never claimed anything else.......reading things that aren;t there are excusive to certain hammies...are you practicing to fit right in or just paranoid?

603. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 18:02:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18644-3DC95943-5@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143136

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
Why did you mention upstream providers killing feeds when complaints fall on deaf ears? Why would I receive any complaints? Why would I not respond to complaints if I got them?

>Alan he is part of a click that likes to try and >silence those they are against by sending >complaints to peoples ISP, as you see they >weren't getting anywhere with a few ISP's so >they went upstream to complain.

And it worked like a miracle.

>If your against Illegal Cb you could/are be a >target,

That is bullshit.
I get along with all the hammies here except your little poisoned pen posse members..when you make it personal and vioalte ISP rules,,,you're going down. Don't like it? Shape up your behavior.

>keep your head up, lots of snipers, he who >lasts last laughs hardest though.

You guys are more like sissy sucker-punchers than snipers....yea..I've been laughing since I arrived several years ago when Lelnad introduced the game of complaining to ISP's. Once again, as always...y'all can't handle what you dish out....what's good for the goose....hahhahahahhahhahahhah!

604. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 18:19:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18642-3DC95D25-45@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143140

Return fire, nothing more.
****
>Ok I would call that trolling then. Wouldn't >you?

Not at all..but that is no surprise.

> And also hypocrisy on your part agin. heis >jamming was done as return fire

It's what he claims, and judging by his lies, we have to entertain the notion he is lying. Seeing what he does here, is called "actions". Words and actions are separate, yet you haven't been able to grasp this concept in years.

>yet the hypocrite you are says he is wrong. >Can't have it both ways twistsie.

He is wrong...no hypocrisy about breaking the law except rom his posts, claiming another lawbreaker justifies his lawbreaking, something you have harped and cried over for years.

The fact he is a hammie makes a difference?

****
>you made the distinction, I asked if he was<

Right...YOU made the distinction by asking.....it makes no difference to me or the law.

> since you were the one putting the label on<

I didn't claim he broke the law...he freely admitted being a federal criminal.

Then hammies should be held more accountable for their actions, by your Lelnad logic.....
****
>really how so give us an analogy then? <

The license, even a nocode, according to you, means respect, knowledge, effort, and responsibilities...with more responsibilities automatically comes accountability.

HAHhahhhahaahhahahahhahahahhhaahhahaah
Hahahah....as always, because we talk about it, we must be guilty. Better stay out of some of the alt. groups....you're heart couldn't take it...hahahahhaha
****

>Yes, you hold the hammies in this group to >that why wouldnt you hold >yourself to the same light?

Don't start lying just because you buried yourself again. I have never accused a hammie of freebanding merely because they may have chosen to speak of it.
****
>There goes twistsys favorite word lie, and here >he goes twisting what was said who is talking >about hams freebanding? Friggin douch bag >you are I am talking baout anything that is said >in general that you want to hold agaisnt Hams,

Such as? Id on't speak in generalities....that is the forte of you pompous girls....you feel it leaves you an escape route when called on lies.

>it is just all talk, right according to you, so what >dave said about jamming is all talk using your >Logic hahahahaHAHHAHA

Could be.

>If you are talking about it than either you did >it or are a liar >which do you prefer to be >called?

Your inability to even comprehend why that statement is so far removed from reality is plenty entertainment for me.
****
>twsitsy your posts are so far removed from >reality i think I am in the outer limits replying to >you.

And you are aware what the majority thinks about your input.

>>The final device that I made swept through >>all 40 channels. That was a
>>little more complex to make.
>>I guess it never occured to him to use his >>mobile. Good thing too, or
>>we would have had to risk attaching another >>device to his car.

"Another" device? To his CAR? You broke several laws, dintcha?
>>Sounds like you!<<

You, too. Dx and freebanding. But the fact you feel it justifies you breaking other laws is common among lawless sociopaths.

>>Not to the best of my knowledge. < <

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.......you keep asking for excuses....
****
>>Well if thats the only answer your capable of >>giving.

You upset only because we discerned you not worthy of any reply on this matter.

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.
>Cite it?<<

Criminal mischief. No go clean off.
****
>Not quite Twitsy he didnt do any damage to >the car, nor did he cause any threat of danger >this the statue directly from Pa the state he >and I live in. If I was his lawyer, that charge >would surely be dropped.
>try another.

Damage need not be proved.

http://members.aol.com/StatutesPA/18.Cp.33.html § 3304. Criminal mischief.
(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:
    1.. damages tangible property of another intentionally, recklessly, or by negligence in the employment of fire, explosives, or other dangerous means listed in section 3302(a) of this title (relating to causing or risking catastrophe);
    2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with tangible property of another so as to endanger person or property;
    3.. intentionally or recklessly causes another to suffer pecuniary loss by deception or threat; or
    4.. intentionally defaces or otherwise damages tangible public property or tangible property of another with an aerosol spray-paint can, broad-tipped indelible marker or similar marking device.
There might have been a grey area over the true intended use,

   No gray area.. You freely admitted
    you were doing it with an intent to jam, making you in violation of the FCC and what you consider federal law, which makes you a federal criminal (your words).

    First of all, in the years I have been here, neither have I seen claim anything concerning their hammie license, so it doesn't really apply or matter except to thugs like yourself.
                  ****

Secondly, both have constructively assisted those in need, and I have witnessed on more than occasion of Toll cleaning the clock of those that came in here spouting off something they read in a book with a chip on their shoulder.
****
>How would you know who's clock he cleaned >it is not like you understood what was being >said.

And therein lies the fun with you.

>He thinks he knows it all and continues to do >so on other forums and he continues to have >confrontations with other "Cbers" so what >does that tell you. But then agin Toll is a >Hammie so he is Good. LOL
>Are they hams when convienant or just when >it fits your agenda?

Ask them. Again, in almost 4 years I have seen neither say anything concerning hammies.
****
>Thats is not what the point is they are Hams<

Yea, you just can't get over that fact,,,hahahhahhaaah!

>and Illegal Cbers, so which would you classify >them as ?

I dont classify people..again..behavior exclusive to malcontents.

>If they did something you approve of perhaps >they are Cbers, do something agaisnt you >then they are Hams right?

People are people. The ticket means nothing. One day you may learn this knowledge.

Yea, well, it has the power of really twisting the psyche of some....hahaahhahahh!
**********]
>whats your excuse then? <

Always looking for the excuse..thats you.

605. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 19:25:25 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BE7489D8137rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143141

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in
news:18642-3DC9583F-44@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> You claimed no more ISP limitations...I just happened to mention how
> owning your own doesn't make you "free" from ISP limitations. I never
> claimed anything else.......reading things that aren;t there are
> excusive to certain hammies...are you practicing to fit right in or
> just paranoid?

It makes me free from the limitations I was suffering from, i.e.
bandwidth throttling, IP based authentication, and concurrent connection
limits.

The reason I got a little defensive is because I thought it was odd that
you posted a reply stating that "Upstream providers have dc'ed access
when complaints have fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's." While I'm sure
they have, I don't know why you felt it necessary to make that remark in
response to my putting a news server up. I took it as "He's warning me
that if I ignore complaints, the same will happen to me".

My appologies if I took it the wrong way.

Anyhow, enough about my new toy :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

606. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 20:39:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6016-3DC97E1C-24@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143143

From: rrcb@strawinski.net (Alan Strawinski)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in
news:18642-3DC9583F-44@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net:
You claimed no more ISP limitations...I just happened to mention how
owning your own doesn't make you "free" from ISP limitations. I never
claimed anything else.......reading things that aren;t there are
excusive to certain hammies...are you practicing to fit right in or just
paranoid?

>It makes me free from the limitations I was >suffering from, i.e.
bandwidth throttling, IP >based authentication, and concurrent
>connection limits.
>The reason I got a little defensive is because I >thought it was odd
that you posted a reply >stating that "Upstream providers have dc'ed
>access when complaints have fell on deaf >ears to certain ISP's." While
I'm sure they >have, I don't know why you felt it necessary to >make
that remark in response to my putting a >news server up. I took it as
"He's warning me >that if I ignore complaints, the same will >happen to
me".
>My appologies if I took it the wrong way.
>Anyhow, enough about my new toy :)
>--
>Alan Strawinski

Not at all and please accept my apologies if it came across as
such........enjoy your set-up. I mentioned the upstream providers only
because I have come across in the past (N8WWM's use of YNN) a company
(YNN) that did nothing concerning abuse until THEIR upstream providers
were contacted...once that happened, the offending account was
terminated in less than 24 hours. Have a gooder!

607. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 20:43:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6016-3DC97EDA-25@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143146

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
the band.

>That would've required trespass.<

>>What twistys would never....

You're right for the second time since your validation..I would never.

>soon as he stepped on their property criminal
>trespass. LOL

See the post you missed.....it isn't trespassing on my own
property. Now go soak your head.

608. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 20:43:30 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <S_mdndl5_dZv41SgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143142

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message

: > You claimed no more ISP limitations...I just happened to mention how
: > owning your own doesn't make you "free" from ISP limitations. I never
: > claimed anything else.......reading things that aren;t there are
: > excusive to certain hammies...are you practicing to fit right in or
: > just paranoid?
:
: It makes me free from the limitations I was suffering from, i.e.
: bandwidth throttling, IP based authentication, and concurrent connection
: limits.
:
: The reason I got a little defensive is because I thought it was odd that
: you posted a reply stating that "Upstream providers have dc'ed access
: when complaints have fell on deaf ears to certain ISP's." While I'm sure
: they have, I don't know why you felt it necessary to make that remark in
: response to my putting a news server up. I took it as "He's warning me
: that if I ignore complaints, the same will happen to me".

Alan, no need to apologize to him he meant it in a derogatory manner, he felt it necassary because that is twisty as noted by him saying "Serious sense of false security." He thinough you meant not having to worry about being TOSed by your ISP. Here is another lie by him to you,

"I just happened to mention how owning your own doesn't make you "free" from ISP limitations."

Now where did he say that to you ? Where did he imply anything close to that ? This is why he warned or threatened you, this is how he thinks and I quote him "when you make it personal and vioalte ISP rules,,,you're going down."

He saw your post and figured you were bragging about having your own newsserver, and couldn't be touched. they have complained about others who use this group when there complaints fell on deaf ears they went to the news feed provider instead of the persons ISP, who just laughed at them. You'll see what I mean you have already got a taste of how he Twsits words around , hence the name TWISTEDHED.

:
: My appologies if I took it the wrong way.

Save em Allan, youll thank me later

609. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:02:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC98361-63@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143147

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21574-3DC843E1-428@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall) Twistedhed wrote:
While it was clearly an effort to block someone's receive, that makes it
illegal.

>Youy obviously never read the passage of part >15 which covers low
>power walkie talkies, or remote control >transmitters
****
>>Even if he could read it he would twist the >>words around.

Hey, dave just proved what I maintained all along,,,now let's all sit
back and watch you attack the person because you have not enough
intellect to attack a point, post or topic..

The law doesn't have to prove anything when a confession is given of
ones own free will, unabetted.
****
>Another twisty Lie ... <

Not a lie, junior. I suggest you brush up on your law before sharing
your limited interpretation.

>>What's that like you admitting to being a >>freebander, fed criminal
on here?

Not at all.
Dxing and feebanding are not federal crimes..merely another in a long
line of comprehension deficits from those who are supposedly licensed
for that which they suffer the greatest.

The rest? Oh you mean the rest of your self-contradictions? Hell Dave, I
don't need to do as much,,,you serve up nicely.
that was sent to me by an upperclassmen who is familiar with your
hypocrisy. There are several of your posts that contradict
yourself......most recently,,,your inquiry as to what "slurp" was
referred to in your headers. Or your several accesss sites through,
around, and some that have nothing to do with Villanova U that you claim
don't exist.

>And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
>In the last month or so, they've changed the >news server over to a
>different machine.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
different avenues.

****
>You should know your the master harr dee >harr har ...

I am to you, chickenhawk..HARDEEHARRHARR!

Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access the group.
****
>Now your insinusting you had his account >yanked?

Ask him to explain his many, many different accesses to the group if you're that concerned.

>a remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>That would've required trespass.<

Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in your limited grasp of things. But this isn't about me, it's about your hypocrisy and lies.
****
>Now your jamming yourself titsie? <

Nah.......a friend in Texas taught me how to do it in email and I checked to see what it was like. It was actually a topic and I emailed the person with an inquiry.

>you told him to put it in close to the guys home >not yours don't twist what you meant

I said no such thing. Your misattributions are quite endearing to me now....it shows how hard you try while failing consistently.

If you touched his car, as you claimed, with an intent to break another law (as you also claimed) you were guilty of criminal mischief.
YOU were the only one who mentioned trespass.
****
>Touching his car with intent means nothing >read the letter of the law stupid.

I'm not the one suffering here.
Interpretation and comprehension wasn't even a part of my world until I met so many incapables gathered in one spot.....all discontent impotent hammies in a cb forum attempting to harass cbers and they can't even do that right.

>Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes
>CRIMES AND OFFENSES (TITLE 18)
>----------
>----
>PART II. DEFINITION OF SPECIFIC >OFFENSES. § 3304. Criminal mischief.

>(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of >criminal mischief if he:
>   1.. damages tangible property of another >intentionally,
recklessly, or by negligence in >the employment of fire, explosives, or
other >dangerous means listed in section 3302(a) of >this title
(relating to causing or risking >catastrophe);
>   2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with >tangible
property of another so as to endanger >person or property;

There you go....recklessly or intentionally
tampering...the intent would be up to the judge to interpret, not you,
even though we know you fantasize about such power. Besides, if you, or
Dave, or ANYONE, was funnin' around one of my vehicles, you would be
begging for the cops. The dogs don't discriminate.

 "Another" implicates it wasn't the first time. And how do you attach
a device to a car without touching it? Did you lie or simply forget?
this may be your crowning monent, yet
****
>I assume<

A serious reason for you deficiency.

>touching the car is not criminal mischief >something more sinister
would have to occur

You would be arrested and it would be up to you to prove what your
intent was to the judge.

610. Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:05:22 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106160522.05358.00002875@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 143144

Listen up on channel 26.915 and see if u guys can hear my piece of junk.....yall take care

Thatz-me-JP

611. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:09:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6017-3DC9850E-6@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143145

Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of breaking FCC law than I ever had.

>Really? Operating a part 15 device, for the >purpose of ridding the CB band of a disruptive >influence and a source of interference, is >worse than operating on frequencies not >authorized by the FCC, with the potential to >cause interference to legitimate radio >services?

Certainly. Let us place it in proper context wihtout your undermind. You admit to intentionally jamming another operator. His actions are irrelevant to yours. I talk on a freebnad freq and you claim it has a a mere "potential to interfere". You are ludicrously failing at attempting to claim the act of jamming is more benign than the act of something that MAY jam.
See the dif?
Pretty ridiculous, even from you, Dave.

>I fail to see it that way, and I'm sure if you ask >an FCC official, he would likely not agree with >you either, considering the comments that I >got from the one that I ran into shortly after the >whole affair.

Not that again. The last time you told me to check with an FCC official, you had many excuses why I could not accept your challenge.
Besides, you have proved beyond a shadiw of a doubt, the majority do not share the way "you see it"...most recently and magnificently with your comments that all those cbers and hammies who have ever receieved a notice by the FCC for a radio violation are federal criminals. After that, anything you else you put forward is lost on account of your socialist views.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

612. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:44:00 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <o9WcnVcv19--EFSgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143148

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18642-3DC95D25-45@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Return fire, nothing more.
****
>Ok I would call that trolling then. Wouldn't >you?

Not at all..but that is no surprise.
****
Your right your a troll and admit your replys are troll

> And also hypocrisy on your part agin. heis >jamming was done as return
fire

It's what he claims, and judging by his lies, we have to entertain the
notion he is lying. Seeing what he does here, is called "actions".
Words and actions are separate, yet you haven't been able to grasp this
concept in years.
****
Here is that word again being throw around by a confirmed liar... Really,
how so my pathelogical liar.

Words and actions are seperate huh

The fact he is a hammie makes a difference?

****
>you made the distinction, I asked if he was<

Right...YOU made the distinction by asking.....it makes no difference to me
or the law.
****
Wrong! you are the one who made the distinction of him being a ham, by
calling him a ham so Ill ask again since you lied about who asked it, what
difference did it make to the law?

> since you were the one putting the label on<

I didn't claim he broke the law...he freely admitted being a federal
criminal.
****
It is all talk twisty remember, no one has done anything. You freely admit
to breaking federal laws then claim it is just talk, when some one calls you
a federal criminal, which you are, you balk and say "boo hooo but they were
only words" I didnt break any law it was NG banter, just guys talking . LOl

The license, even a nocode, according to you, means respect, knowledge,
effort, and responsibilities...with more responsibilities automatically

comes accountability.
****
According to me? You drunk again? when did I ever say any of the lies that you claim.

Putting words in mouth to fit agenda again. i see since I used that words yesterday you have now stared to use it Can think for yourself can you.
schelp

Could be.
****
yet you call him a federal criminal based on what he said? You cry when your called that, why the double standard?

And you are aware what the majority thinks about your input.
****
No, I am not but I don't care what people think

>>Then what is your excuse for continualy >>breaking laws?

Here we go again.....you keep asking for excuses....
****
>>Well if thats the only answer your capable of giving.

You upset only because we discerned you not worthy of any reply on this matter.
****
You running out of excuses again?

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.
>Cite it?<<

Damage need not be proved.
****
Sorta like all your accusations. You haven't a clue about lawyering.

Lets go down them 1 at a time

Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:

1) Where is the damage by touching the car? fire? expolsive? Nah you lose next
2) touching the car doesn't endanger person or property Next
3)touching the car doesn't cause pecuniary loss by deception or threat , Next
4)touching the car ? dont see any spray paint, or aerosol cans

Judge: Not Guilty

http://members.aol.com/StatutesPA/18.Cp.33.html • 3304. Criminal mischief.
(a) Offense defined.-A person is guilty of criminal mischief if he:
1.. damages tangible property of another intentionally,

recklessly, or by negligence in the employment of fire, explosives, or other dangerous means listed in section 3302(a) of this title (relating to causing or risking catastrophe);
2.. intentionally or recklessly tampers with tangible property of another so as to endanger person or property;
3.. intentionally or recklessly causes another to suffer pecuniary loss by deception or threat; or
4.. intentionally defaces or otherwise damages tangible public property or tangible property of another with an aerosol spray-paint can, broad-tipped indelible marker or similar marking device.

And therein lies the fun with you.

Yea, you just can't get over that fact,,,hahahhahhaaah!
****
why would I need to get over the fact that they are Illegal Cbers as having a ham license. I won't call them hams

I dont classify people..again..behavior exclusive to malcontents.
****
Another bold Face lie, LOL you have classified all hammies and nocodes LOL

People are people. The ticket means nothing. One day you may learn this knowledge.
****
Oh my deranged friend I already know this and have pointed it out to you many times in fact in this thread, re: toll and jay, they both have licenses yet are Cbers,

Always looking for the excuse..thats you.
****
yes your full of them since you can't take responsibility you give excuses I am just waiting for your next one.

613. WTB TEXAS STAR 667-V

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:45:03 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0211061345.74cb24d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143149

WTB Texas Star 667-V State condition and price.
thanks

614. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:49:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC98E65-69@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143154

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
>In the last month or so, they've changed the >news server over to a
>different machine.

Only for you? Only in your area?

>How many other people do you know that use >my particular news server?
I'd venture to say >that they number less than the number of >fingers on
your hand.

Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many
even.......

>I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
>the same as I always did.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
different avenues.

>No, I haven't.<

I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah
did....fergut abote that thar won!"

You look too hard for things that aren't there.
Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit
you to.

>But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference, I use my
real name, and my >E-mail is still the same. Unlike you, I have no >need
to conceil my identity.

Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything?
You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.

>I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.

Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access
the group.

>What rule have I broken?<

Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?

> What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you
if that's what you think.

You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority or I think.

>And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......

Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6 others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.

>Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a pencil-necked internet >geek, so I really don't know the nuances of >each and every routing protocol.

Really? You mean someone was forging you whwn you were attempting to educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?

See, besides trying to take your advice
concerning asking the FCC agent, I was also taking your advice as to not believe everything I was sent....so I admit, that claim had me curious, so I went and looked for myself. Are you still in business?

>I was never seriously "in business". Two other >local guys had a dealer franchise,

A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?

>No, I'm not "attempting" to say anything. I'm >TELLING you, straight up, that the "business" >was a side line operated by two local friends >of mine, and that I did repair work for them. I >made NO money from the sales of radios.

So you were the legit "employee kept in the dark" of an otherwise illegal operation...hahahhahaha..classic fiction textbook example. Now mention one person in this group you feel believes that.

>and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did the repair work.

Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig?

>Uh, as a way to drum up business?<

Why? You already claimed in one post you made no money and in another you claimed you had puh-lenty of business.....damn..you're off and running in record time this time.....ggod thing you have 2 nocodes lapping at my heels to attempt redirects from such self-contradicting posts, hmmmm?

> I was the only one on the internet at the time, >and thought it might be a way to expand our >operation. Nothing sinister there.

No Dave, you claimed it yours.

>Where? Prove that one. I never did any such >thing.

This is beginning to be quite fun..you lie, and I show otherwise. No wonder Frank hallucinated he invented a "twistybuster"....worse yet,

Dogie and Lelnad each bought one.

>And once again you fail to properly >comprehend what you read.

Not at all.
The fact that you feel anyone at all would believe you had no concept of the business
isn't difficult at all. The fact that you feel anyone would believe you were not involved in illegalities when your posts at the time clearly contradict and indicate otherwise, is
more of your arrogance manifesting in the way you view the majority of this group.

>I NEVER claimed that the business was mine.<

Like George likes to say, "that isn't MY crack in my pocket, officer"..nyuk nyuk nyuk...what's good for the goose...ya' can't have it both ways!
It was YOUR name as a sig with the business name and phone right below your name. Your attempted dishonesty at this point in time continues to make all aware of your character traits,,,right there along with your arrrogance in believing anyone would believe anything less than the truth. Talk about a dance and a song..hahaha!

We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.

>Now would be a good time.<

Nah,,it's always fun to watch you regurgitate on your own claims when caught in lies with "I fergote abote that verbotten one!"

>It wasn't much of a "business" anyway. We >only worked the local crowd. >I never made enough money to call it a >primary job. It was my "radio slush fund", that I >used to buy more of my own gear.

>Hell, I've been repairing radios since I was 15. >I paid my first car's >insurance and kept the gas tank full from the >proceeds of my ventures. >And believe me, I saw my share of "clip and >peak jobs, gone horribly wrong", in my day. I >spent most of my time, making borderline >unstable >radios like Galaxy's, who had already seen the >screwdriver, operate with some stability and >cleanliness.

Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all washed up here.

>I've been "in the business" of reparing radios >since I was 15, as a sideline. I was associated >with the particular business in question for >only about 2 years, back in the 1995 time >frame. I operated independently, my name >was listed nowhere in this dealer franchise.

Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is

the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?

>Yep. That's why I have such a keen insight >into the situation.

Once again, you fail to see yourself as the masses. Your insight is far removed from the popular majority as evidenced in your maintaining dx constitutes a federal crime.

>Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all that's required.

Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons dogs" humping my leg.

>but if you knew this guy, you wouldn't be >defending him

I am not defending him, rather you are using him as a justification for your lawlessness.

>You can't prove that I broke ANY law. Your >opinion of what I did is irrelevant.

See? You continue to learn, grasshoppah!

Well, you claim I was one for disrespecting the mundane laws of dx and freebanding. Yet you had the illegal hack shack and somehow view yourself above that of a simple freebandng dxer...hahahhahahah...keep on keeping on....!

>The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some >really BAD advice and modification work,

With "better" mods? What makes your mods better? Hahhahha! Pure bullshit, Hall. As I stated, the funny part is, I wanna know who you think believes any of it?????????? Hahhahhahhah!

>whichresulted in a cleaner radio signal.

You also were involved in illegal sales.

>You assume way to much and have no proof.<

Correct. I assumed such a stance because your posts are chock full of such matter back then.

>First off, you don't know what we sold. <

Again, correct. Your posts could all be lies.

>It's perfectly legal to sell type accepted radios >to people. Why would you assume that the >business sold anything else?

Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes or no will do.

>secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.<

Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business" that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did it as a community service....(ROAR)!

>I only did the repair work.<

I was just taking a package officer, honest, I didn't know what was INSIDE!

>Something else that you have no evidence to >the contrary.

Freely admitted, grasshoppah. See how neurotic your claims of "FEDERAL CRIMINAL FEDERAL CRIMINAL" are!

>You, on the otherhand, operate either >oblivious of, or indifferent to, the potential >interference that you may create, while >chasing your DX.

Keep chasing rainbows.

>If you consider the plain truth a "rainbow" it >underscores how truly confused you really >are.

Merely shows how little you know. Your brain never has managed to catch up with your motor mouth.

Remote car control, huh? Those aren't hard to make,,,,all you gotta do is
buy one and, well, I have a friend in Texas that perfected this a long, long time ago.

>A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>That would've required trespass.<

Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in your limited grasp of things.

>We're not talking about YOUR yard, we're >talking about the guy who actually got the >device planted.

Poor side step,,,you have done better.
You made the incorrect statement that it is oly good for one channel and I corrected the statement. You, realizing you lost more face and even more credibility (is that even possible?) an ran back to your personal example. Nevertheless, your statement is incorrect stating it only works on one channel.

>soIn his case, planting a device a few yards >away WOULD have required trespass. The >fact that you live on a postage stamp sized >yard doesn't mean the rest of us do.

Yea? You ain't allowed to have multi-animals or livestock on "postage sized lots", so keep reaching.

If you touched his car, as you claimed, with an intent to break another law (as you also claimed) you were guilty of criminal mischief.
YOU were the only one who mentioned trespass.

>the device was part 15 covered.<

If you so much as TOUCHED his car during such an act, a crime was committed.

>We never had to. <
>we planted outside of his yard. Duh! You really >are dense aren't you? We never went near his >car. But if we did, we would have had to make >"another" device to plant on his car the "first" >time. Get it yet?

The only thing I get is what everone else "gets": your pathetic attempts at cleaning up your fecal trail.

> I really hate having to talk to you like I talk to >my 3 year old daughter.

he recogizes her father's limitations, too?

>Sometimes I think she understands better.<

Compared to you she does...after all,,,she lives with her mother, thank God.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

"Another" implicates it wasn't the first time. And how do you attach a device to a car without touching it? Did you lie or simply forget? this may be your crowning monent, yet

>Actually, this is your crowning moment of >comprehensive ineptness. Yes "another >device" means a second device after the first >one that we planted outside of his yard. Duh!

Hahaha".DUH "is right...another stupendous example of faulty conveying of your message read: communication) by one who is allegedly licensed..hahhahah...your orignal quote says nothing of sort,,,in fact, you may need reminded of what you said in the future.

>You really are dense aren't you? We never >went near his car.

Then why was it even mentioned? Hahahhah!

615. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:55:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC98FD9-70@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143153

From: sonnyday@comcast.nets (Sonny Day)
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:8oacnVRJXdgfiFWgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
Actually, they are allocated to various services and organizations.
Hardly a "no man's land".
Most states have highway patrol allocations in there, forest service,
there's quite a long list
--.
>Dave, I am sorry<

Yes, you are.

> your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed >on that he says there are
no services at all >using the freeband freqs other than the pirates >who
talk on them.

No, twisted never said any such thing, but glad to see I still rank as
your demiGod.

616. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 21:56:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC9901F-71@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143152

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he says there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the pirates who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the freebanders are claiming it.

>So if his hacked up, out of spec, AM/SSB only >receiver can't hear them, they don't exist?
>I hear plenty of signals in there, with a >compromised antenna, and decent receiver.

True self didn't take long to manifest...hahahahahh! Methinks you lost another.....

617. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:00:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC990FA-73@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143151

Lelnad wrote:
>Dave don't shot the messenger but yes, he is >an active freebander
quite admittedly so, he >also seems to think he is some type of folk
>hero for it,

Hahaha..you're pissed cause YOU made me very recognizable in dx
land...and for that...I thank you.

>defying the law of the land<

This is waht happens when Lelnad get's had.
Hahhaahhah! And to think, he is a semi-grown man.

>Civil Disobediance I think he once said.<

If you could think, you could upgrade.

> oh btw they don't here truckers on 28.085 >either.

Of course we don't. We have no reason to got to 28.085
Why are suddenly so hostile again? Hahahahahhahah!

618. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:03:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC991A4-75@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143155

I was waiting for my Dad at the Dr's office this afternoon, so I sat in the car idle tuning around the
12, 11, and 10 Meter bands. On 27.555 there was a guy playing music (4:30PM EST), another
one saying over and over "Canadian High Power, Canadian High Power" then singing (if you could
call it that) the same phrase, another throwing carriers, and a conversation in back of that. Truckers were on 26.025, Spanish on
26.625, truckers again on 28.085 and interspersed in 10
Meters all the way up to 28.735 AM. I got on SSB and began to call CQ on 735, the truckers
fussed about it and moved off. Hmmm, 27.555 sounds just like the CB I know.
Jerry

So? The fact that so many populate such freqs show quite a demand for such type activity. Only tightasses have a problem with something that doesn't effect them and only serously disturbed people go where everything they hate is located. Can you say sadist between those tight cheeks?

619. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:07:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC9929B-76@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143150

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
>Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's >going to be some disappointed demagogues, >uh er, I
>mean Democrats. I thought everybody was >sayin' how the Reps were going to lose the >House.

Nope...just the next presidency....watch the economy now....it will sour and you'll blame it on the last democratic incumbents. Won't matter though, a sour economy will be worth tossing out the Bush clan no matter who gets the blame....as you know, historically, the people always vote the economy. He's gone.

620. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:10:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DC9934E-77@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143157

From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333)
From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net
High power dosen't help in DX, on CB or 10M, when the band is closed,
it's CLOSED. When it's open,
5W will do the job.

What have you been smoking????
Power doesnt help in DX???? What bullshit! A stock radio can get thru on
a clean freq (they dont exist) but add 100 watts and see a major
improvement...add
300 another big gain...add 600......etc
I agree when the band is "CLOSED" running even kilowatts wont make a
diff. 5 watts???? You wont even be heard....you will be squashed! If the
29 has been peaked you will need to know what the output is both dead
key and max out. A high drive amp will work fine with the 29 but most
generic HD Palomars are said to be max input 12 watts. You cant run a
competition amp (Davemade, Xforce etc) without a very low dead key...2-4
watts MAX. I would suggest learning more about your setup and how it all
works together and how to optimize the setup...then if you wish try an
amp and know how to use it. Xforce amps are not biased unless you pay
for the mod. However, this is not a problem on SSB as you simply roll
the modulation back and they work fine. I laugh at the 102 whip
idea...not possible for most vehicles... pick up a decent whip with a
length of 5 to 7 feet with a tunebale tip....that'll help your receive
and transmit. Try using about 13 foot of coax....get the SWR tuned
right...you may decide to keep it the way you have it...but i doubt
it.........
333 Springfield MO
"Got RF?"
==========
Hahaha..that's a jenn-you-eye-an nocode making them thar statements,
triple 3. They aren't familiar with HF.

621. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:16:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6018-3DC994D0-2@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143159

>Your exactly right to no copyright violation, >LOL I have to convince no one they can see >for themselves thats why your complaint went >unfounded.

I never sent a complaint.

>I have shown you admitted to sending the >complaint to @home where you accused me, >now as the group can se that post, you have >produced nothing to contradict it even after >you admit being the rat,

Show it again, as no one saw it.

> any normal thinking person would assume it >is out there in archive land the post that made >you cry to my Isp, it must be there. If It was >me, I would post it to prove I am wrong.

True. However, you and I are world aparts on the evolutionary ladder. I don't like hanging down there, but it does give me smiles.

>You haven't and you won't because that is the >only one I ever wrote that had the sporadic >waves article on it, from @home.

Yup, you're right...the other one was a forge that Lelnad wrote.

>Go wash off now, you stink!

That's the fecal matter that is on your shirt. While you were attempting to sling it, truth tipped your hand and it spilled right where it originated.

622. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:18:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6016-3DC99520-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143158

From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat®)
Produce that header then
that was my static ip with attbi and i gaurantee you wont find different
names under that IP

That was your static IP? What happened to it?

623. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:35:14 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BE94B7EDCB4rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143156

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in news:20021106164346.281
$gp@newsreader.com:

> I gotta ask you,Alan,are you downloading much? (I do so I'm interested)
>
Not as much as I could be, I suppose. I'm always on the hunt for good concert bootlegs. Somehow, I like the sound of a concert recorded by two dunk guys with a tape recorder. I'm strange, that way :) To that end, I like alt.binaries.sounds.mp3.bootlegs.

I'm not carrying any binary groups at the moment. Just the rec.radio.* hierarchy. I'm currently working on a strategy to manage the incoming feed bandwidth so as to not beat my T1 to death (I'm not the only one who uses it). Also, I don't have the hard drive space on that server to store the binary groups for any reasonable amount of time. That's an easy problem to fix, the former is not.

Here's a little trivia info for ya: When I called my provider to establish the feed, I said "Hey, you guys aren't going to try and send me a full feed are you?". The guy laughed and said "Heh, no we learned not to do that unless the customer REALLY wants us to". As it turns out, a full on usenet feed is about 120GB per day (!!!). My T1 isn't fast enough (1.5 MBit) to grab all the articles posted to USENET in a 24 hour period. In fact, to receive a full feed he suggested at least 6 MBit of bandwidth. Expensive. Many sites have a few servers that ONLY accept incoming feeds (no clients connecting) and then those intermediate servers feed the servers that the clients actually connect to. It's amazing. My provider actually sends me my feed from 15 other servers. Each of them (one at a time) open a streaming connection to my server for about 3 minutes or so, during which time articles trickle in as my provider receives them. Then the connection is closed and another server connects and repeats the same process. It's entertaining to watch the logs live while this is happening (OK, entertaining if your a geek).

I'm just a little small time operator. Kinda like my little 4 watt CB :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

624. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 22:47:36 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <rubberchicken@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <usj6v3smokm9b7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143160

```
"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:iAednQuowoCmzVWgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> news:usgecqb0tkta3d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> : markbeck666?, I forgot about that one..., that was about few hundred
> : nic's ago?, man how time flies when you're a Comcast Troll, eh George?
>
> I guess you would know to huh chicken choker
>
>

Well George, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
of the week, like you do!
```

625. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:07:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqc79f$8mv2j$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143161

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211060512.4579914c@posting.google.com...

> OTOH, FEMA has some allocations around 11 meters but has never used
> them to my knowledge. I doubt that they ever will since they have more
> reliable VHF and UHF communications these days.

FEMA uses a lot of HF but I don't know if they use a lot of the 26/27 MHZ
frequencies. However, the USAF has a Scope Command ALE freq at 27870 and I
have heard it being used.

Tom

626. Re: Silly FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:19:29 UTC
From: jhultman <jhultman@cisco.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9A381.53D36CA8@cisco.com>
Source record: 143162

RTFM

Motorolas are turned off by holding the monitor button while
powering the unit on.

J

"rugerp85
>
> Is there anyway to get rid of the annoying beep at the end of the
> key,actually it's heard on the RX'ing end......this particular model
> is from a comapy called Columbia Telecommunications and the model is
> FRS-S14
>
> ANY HELP APPRECIATED

627. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:23:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqc87k$8hac6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143163

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211051553.78977955@posting.google.com...

> > So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go right
> > ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation that
> > you're "on a mission". :)

I would never try to discredit Aaron Voobner. He's done that well enough by
himself.

His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although he claims it really is.
He is not a ham, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with 10 meter
intruders.
He is not a CBer, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with illegal CBer's.
He stated that 10 meter radios are illegal for 10 meter use, and claims that
he never said that.
When someone asks him a question, he claims it is a personal attack.

How can you discredit someone with no credibility?

Tom

628. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:26:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqc8cv$8qde7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143164

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:gqOdnffRie_d-VWgXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> Yes, I don't know what they do at the weddings you go to but it is
customary
> for the guy who catches the garter to put it on the female who caught the
> boquet of flowers. It usually makes for a un comfortable situation for
many
> as the guy pulls the garter up we all ways try to coax him to go
higher....
> I am sure you know what I am saying.

That's not usually the custom here, then. Usually it's the groom taking it off the bride, but I don't think I've ever been to one where the guy who caught it puts it back on.

Tom

629. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:52:15 UTC
From: Skipp answers with fun and excitement <piperpaylater@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqc9vfdtl2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 143166

: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
: Anyone here ever tried a high angle antenna?

Yes, wasn't intentional though... just the way it worked out.

: I've seen them suggested for emergency work on HF.
: The idea is to deliberately make the radiation angle high, and optimize
: local coverage, instead of the normal low angle, long range approach.

Really depends on the band, what time of day you want to use it and where your trying to talk. All the above come into play...

My description of local coverage is within my region of the state. Longer range would be my area of the western us. Jump to world wide coverage for the rest of it.... some antenna - band combinations work better than others...

Your choice will probably vary...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

630. Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-06 23:52:50 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211061552.24475de5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143165

I just installed my Cobra 29 in my 79 Trans Am. I have very high quality coax with soldered Amphenol connectors and a Wilson 2000-T antenna on the trunk lid. It's got a good ground too. The thing is, I've never had an SWR as low as I'm getting with this setup. It moves just a little bit on 1 and 40 but not much. That is without any trimming or adjusting, just installed it as is and got damn good readings on the meter. Now, Just to be sure, on the Cobra 29 NW/ST/WX, when you adjust SWR you set the switch to SWR and key down. Please tell me I'm not supposed to use the S/RF setting, and I know it's not CAL...that's for calibrating the needle. So what's going on, did I just get lucky setting it up just right, maybe I didn't get a good ground on the other vehicles I've had it installed on?

Jason
"ET"

631. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:13:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <riiy9.737$ds6.387@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143169

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DC9929B-76@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
>Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's >going to be some disappointed
demagogues, >uh er, I
>mean Democrats. I thought everybody was >sayin' how the Reps were going
to lose the >House.

Nope...just the next presidency....watch the economy now....it will sour
and you'll blame it on the last democratic incumbents. Won't matter
though, a sour economy will be worth tossing out the Bush clan no
matter who gets the blame....as you know, historically, the people
always vote the economy. He's gone.

Economy? How come they didn't do that THIS time? This is the FIRST time
ever that a
sitting President's party didn't lose seats in Congress during mid-terms.
Even Clinton lost some
during his waning moon. Nope, I think you are mistaken about George Bush.
The fact that the
Reps GAINED instead of losing is due, in part, to George's aggresive
campaigning on the parts
of Republican candidates and his continuing popularity. Another thing is
that the Democrats lack
that sense of purpose that unites a party behind a common cause. The Dems
were/are in disarray,
they have alienated themselves, even among their own, by playing partisan
politics with Bush nomi-
nees, and by taking the party WAY too far to the left on such things as
partial-birth abortion, gay
rights and other issues that Mr. Average American just is not comfortable
with. I'd even go so far
as to say that they have taken on narrow causes and forsaken the broad base
of working people they once feigned to protect and serve. Those
Joe-in-the-street types, traditionally Democrat,
blue-collar workers will only allow themselves to be pulled just so far to
the left of center before
they will rebell. Gone are the days when people will automatically toe a
party line just because
Senator so-and-so said to. The media and instant access to news and
opposing views have taken
care of that.

We could argue that on and on, but I would, unless something changes
drastically, lay odds that

Mr. Bush will be re-elected; he IS extremely popular, he is a down to earth sort, and his handling
of 9/11 has our patriotism and guard up. It may be, indeed, that that event just luckily fell into his
lap. Really, the thing, rather mistake, that got his Dad was the fact that he made that "Read My Lips--NO New Taxes" gaffe and then reneged on it. It was a HUGE error. People would not forgive that inspite of the Gulf War success. His son will be with us, I predict, until 2008. And I sort of like it that way. Trusting our National Security to a flamin', bleedin'- heart liberal makes me
extremely nervous. Their rose colored glasses get in the way of their judgement when it gets to be
ass-kickin' time. <<g>> Somebody like Mondale handling our Nation in time of war makes me
shudder!

Jerry

632. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:16:49 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211061616.4b4724a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143167

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<25031-3DC7D5F5-15@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Ok, I'm not new to the CB world, but I am new to amps. I've never had
> the money before to install one before so here is my question. I have a
> Cobra 29 setup right now, peaked and tuned and made right by my friendly
> neighborhood CB shop-owner, top-o-the-line Coax, a Wilson 2000-T antenna
> and I feel like I have a POS radio shack radio with 8 feet of built in
> coax and magnetic mount 3 foot whip antenna that ain't even grounded
> right. I've got the hardware I want but I missing one
> thing....POWER....I know it's illegal, I know more than a few people who
> own and operate amps on a daily basis. Its about a much a worry for me
> as getting pulled over for speeding(which happens often, but I don't get
> tickets....wonder why?)....but anyway, I am well aware of the legality
> of it, and don't need anyone rippin my ass about it....thanks! Ok, what
> should I, and can install to make my sudden feeling of powerlessness go
> away. Don't worry about cost, that's my problem, just tell me what would
> work best. Thanks everyone and I'll be looking forward to hearing some
> replys soon.
> Jason aka "ET"
> Look for me at the I-95/20 in the great state of South Carolina or 95/16
> in GA
> ==========
> You in a big truck? I would't trust your coax..check it....maybe chuCK
> it altogether. Your antenna system may need some improvement. For power,
> you don't need more than 100 watts or so......just a little dab will do
> ya'. Go with something simple and small like a Palomar or Texas
> Star...they can take a pounding in a truck.

First of all, I got the Coax from a local CB shop, it's got soldered
Amphenol connectors and is 18 foot in length. Also, I just installed
everything today in my TRans Am, and number one, the CAL setting will
not let the needle swing all the way to the right under the little V
marker. I'm also getting very low SWR on all channels. I'm wondering
if the peak and tweek had anything to do with the calibration issue,
or if the SWR meter in the radio is just f-d up. Any ideas. If my SWR
is really that good(reading 1.1-1.3), I'm not gonna scrwe with an amp
for now, especially considering the fact it's already peaked...hmmm,
I'll just buy a new radio and get a TStar and play around with em, see
what I can make em do.

633. gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:18:00 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 143168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful, honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]" But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units with an system.

Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

634. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:22:25 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BEA6E4129ECrrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143171

jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote in news:3b4ef160.0211061552.24475de5
@posting.google.com:

> I just installed my Cobra 29 in my 79 Trans Am. I have very high
> quality coax with soldered Amphenol connectors and a Wilson 2000-T
> antenna on the trunk lid. It's got a good ground too. The thing is,
> I've never had an SWR as low as I'm getting with this setup. It moves
> just a little bit on 1 and 40 but not much. That is without any
> trimming or adjusting, just installed it as is and got damn good
> readings on the meter. Now, Just to be sure, on the Cobra 29 NW/ST/WX,
> when you adjust SWR you set the switch to SWR and key down. Please
> tell me I'm not supposed to use the S/RF setting, and I know it's not
> CAL...that's for calibrating the needle. So what's going on, did I
> just get lucky setting it up just right, maybe I didn't get a good
> ground on the other vehicles I've had it installed on?
>
> Jason
> "ET"

Hey Jason,

You're checking it right, assumming that you calibrated the meter
correctly. You didn't mention how you went about doing that, so I can't
make any assumptions. Move the switch to CAL, adjust the calibration knob
until the needle is over the cal marker on the meter, move the switch
over to SWR and note your SWR. If you did all of that and it's low, it's
pretty safe to assume that you're in good shape. If you're in doubt, you
can always cough up $30 bucks for an external SWR meter. I'm sure that
many folks trust that over the one internal to the radio.

BTW - I have a Wilson 1000 mounted on the roof of a 2000 Dodge Neon. I
set the whip all the way down in the hole, tightened the set screw,
checked my SWR and noticed the same thing you did - Nice and low SWR
across the entire band.

To the group: I'm assumming that these particular Wilson's are quite
widebanded, perhaps at the price of resonance. Perhaps somebody can
correct me if I'm wrong, or provide further clarification.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

635. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:26:37 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211061626.521d3016@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143170

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0211051553.78977955@posting.google.com...
>
>> > So if you want to expend energy trying to discredit Voobner, go
right
>> > ahead. But don't be surprised when someone makes the observation
that
>> > you're "on a mission". :)
>
>I would never try to discredit Aaron Voobner. He's done that well
enough
>by himself.
>
>His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although he claims it really is.
>He is not a ham, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with 10 meter
>intruders.
>He is not a CBer, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with illegal
CBer's.
>He stated that 10 meter radios are illegal for 10 meter use, and
claims
>that
>he never said that.
>When someone asks him a question, he claims it is a personal attack.
>
>How can you discredit someone with no credibility?
>
>Tom

You can't. But then again, if I had no credibility, you wouldn't be
in here posting nonsense about me at every opportunity.

-A

636. Re: crackhead Chapwoman pretends to know something

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:39:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211061639.bc14d59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143172

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0211060529.5c2c37ca@posting.google.com>...
> Like you would know squat about anything but gay hangouts and where to
> get crack. Is it true you traded dweebo head for drugs? Our bet is
> that it is so.

Another classic and mature post from Doug "Doggie-style" Adairierre,
N8WWM. Who's "our"? You got a frog in your pocket? It's YOU don't know
anything but gay hangouts, Doggie. Maybe one day you'll grow up, but
I'm not betting on it.

> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<JtEx9.276429$Fw2.8072685@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > "Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
> > news:BYGcnSQ7O8guWFugXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> > > news:20021104134535.22205.00001482@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > : sure i know better than to put a product liek this on the cb "A"
> > channels.
> > if
> > > : the tech at microsoft havent figured it out yet they soon will. i bet
> > not
> > one
> > > : of them was a cb'er lol
> > >
> > > Oh so you think he was a tech who injuneered this thang, and not any sort
> of
> > > injuneer. Can we have your reason for why this is a bad idea? Do you know
> > > power levels of these devices ? Harmonic content? IMD Figures? Antenna
> size,
> > > range? Why weren't the r/c cars a bad idea when they were on 27 mhz? What
> > > harm did they do?
> > >
> > > How can you answer these question with any reasonable conclusion without
> > > these facts . Or are you just going on your good engineering skills.
> > >
> > > :
> > > : >
> > > : >
> > > : >: >So your saying you know better than the engineers at Microsoft
> > that's
> > what I
> > > : >: >want to hear you say.
> > > : >

> > > : >
> > > : >No answer?
> > > : >
> > >
> >
> > Just for the record, the proximity of the transmitter and the receiver in
> > this setup makes it unlikely that interference will be a problem....unless
> > of course, you live next door to a person whom regularly uses one of the "A"
> > channels.

637. Re: Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:44:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211061644.60becc26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143173

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:<GPadnepZaN-lvFSgXTWcog@comcast.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:g_8y9.288748
>
> Odd, huh?
>
> Yes it is very odd, but I am sold, ok so those post settle it Aaron is
> dwight You guys are truely amazing how you figured that out damn I am
> impressed.

Well, for one thing, neither of them know that amateurs are exempt
from type-acceptance requirements. One would think that any licensed
amateur operator would know that, but neither of them do. I guess they
can just make it up as they go along?

638. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 00:49:49 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usjft4s9hp2ma9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143180

I moved dumbass

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6016-3DC99520-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat&#65533;)
Produce that header then
that was my static ip with attbi and i gaurantee you wont find different
names under that IP

That was your static IP? What happened to it?

639. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:01:16 UTC
From: curt@kcwc.com
Message-ID: <20021106200116.219$np@newsreader.com>
Source record: 143174

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> As it turns out, a
> full on usenet feed is about 120GB per day (!!!). My T1 isn't fast enough
> (1.5 MBit) to grab all the articles posted to USENET in a 24 hour period.
> In fact, to receive a full feed he suggested at least 6 MBit of
> bandwidth.

Sorry for butting in in a group I don't normally post in, but your subject attracted my attention...

Those stats are a bit behind the times. Usenet news feed volume doubles roughly every year. It's hard to quote feed sizes because it changes so quickly. It passed the 500GB/day point last month and is more like 525GB per day now. You can't even get a full feed over a T3 anymore, you need more like 75 Mbits of bandwidth now. It hit the 100GB/day point for the first time back in Dec 1999 if my memory is correct.

Here's a nice page of recent stats from one server to give you an idea of what news volume is like for a full feed:

http://newsfeed.visi.com/

The cool thing about usenet is that the huge volume is mostly the binary groups. If all you want is the text articles, you can get a full text article feed and only take up a few hundred Kbit of bandwidth (less than a typical DSL line) and run the server on any old small PC. So anyone that wants to spend the time and has the right type of network connection can easilly afford to set up their own personal usenet site.

--
Curt Welch http://CurtWelch.Com/
curt@kcwc.com Webmaster for http://NewsReader.Com/

640. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:02:14 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <XBmcnaWptYkIJlSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143175

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106194412.439
: I've never had to tune any of my Wilson 1000's very much. My beef with
them
: is the waterproofing. That coil either lets in moisture,or it's a sweating
: thing.
:
: They're good load coil mobile antenna's,and they have plenty magnet. (I've
: only ever used the mag mounts)
:
: Unscrew the coil from the mount and spray some WD-40 (or some such
: product)up inside of it,and down in the base from time to time. It will
: last much longer,and have better connections too.

Better yet just remove the black cover and leave the coil exposed, a lil dab
of silicone and it will work like a champ

641. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:07:18 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <Kvudne4TZ7VYIVSgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143176

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106163357.059$9i@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: >> He though you meant not having to worry about
: > being TOSed by your ISP.
: >
: There's no worry about being TOS'd. Unless you know you're doing something
: wrong.

Something wrong such as?

Personally I am not worried in the least you guys have given it your best
shot many times I am still standing but the funniest part is admitted
federal law breakers are the ones crying to isp's, and yet they cry
because others tell them what they are doing is Illegal that is what I can't
figure out for the life of me. I think nebo called them Rat's, nobody likes
a rat either he said that as well.

642. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:12:21 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <jJecnf4416NpIFSgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143177

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6016-3DC99520-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat)
Produce that header then
that was my static ip with attbi and i gaurantee you wont find different
names under that IP

That was your static IP? What happened to it?
****
He told you in an earlier post if you can't keep up STFU!

From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat)

"Furthermore my headers aren't faked, they are what my ISP uses and have
been the same since July of this year when I MOVED. Before that they had
been the same up until excite.com went bankrupt at which point they were the
same through them for 1 year prior to that. A search on google would show
that."

643. Re: Here ya Go twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:19:10 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <ogSdnUb4MpsQIlSgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143178

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6018-3DC994D0-2@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: >Your exactly right to no copyright violation, >LOL I have to convince
: no one they can see >for themselves thats why your complaint went
: >unfounded.

: I never sent a complaint.
****
You sure did and admittely so

:
:
:
: >I have shown you admitted to sending the >complaint to @home where you
: accused me, >now as the group can se that post, you have >produced
: nothing to contradict it even after >you admit being the rat,

: Show it again, as no one saw it.
****
Ah the twisty Tap dance game, you saw it and also commented about it.
:
:
:
:
:
: > any normal thinking person would assume it >is out there in archive
: land the post that made >you cry to my Isp, it must be there. If It was
: >me, I would post it to prove I am wrong.

True. However, you and I are world aparts on the evolutionary ladder.
****
Isn't that the truth, how do you walk hunched over like that with your
knuckles dragging the ground?

I don't like hanging down there, but it does give me smiles.
****
Yeah, I hear thats what Landshark says too, now off your knees.

: >You haven't and you won't because that is the >only one I ever wrote
: that had the sporadic >waves article on it, from @home.

: Yup, you're right...the other one was a forge that Lelnad wrote.
****
Then why did you send complaint to my ISP? As you see they laughed because
it was a complete farce on your part.

: >Go wash off now, you stink!

: That's the fecal matter that is on your shirt.
****
Well that's what I get for getting anywhere near you boy you sure shoot the
shit.

644. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:19:19 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usjft56sc6ltaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit. Anyone
can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
furiners(foreigners)

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

645. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:27:09 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <dfCdnRvhbuz2XFSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143181

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DC990FA-73@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: Lelnad wrote:
: >Dave don't shot the messenger but yes, he is >an active freebander
: quite admittedly so, he >also seems to think he is some type of folk
: >hero for it,

: Hahaha..you're pissed cause YOU made me very recognizable in dx
land...and for that...I thank you.
****
Now how did I make you recognizable? Anyone can see you for the schelp that
you are, my help wasn't needed.

: This is waht happens when Lelnad get's had.
: Hahhaahhah! And to think, he is a semi-grown man.

Boy it is a shame a grown man has such an affliction with another. Leland is
in your head again. Get over him. i don't care what happens to leland tell
it to someone else.

:
:
: >Civil Disobediance I think he once said.<

: If you could think, you could upgrade.
****
Still got leland on the mind huh! LOL

: > oh btw they don't here truckers on 28.085 >either.
:
:
: Of course we don't. We have no reason to
****
you sure don't it doesnt fit your agenda

646. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:28:46 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <0JKcnYZgCrxRXFSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143182

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DC98FD9-70@storefull-

> your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed >on that he says there are
no services at all >using the freeband freqs other than the pirates >who
talk on them.

No, twisted never said any such thing, but glad to see I still rank as
your demiGod.
****
He didn't then what did he say regarding them? Perhaps sparky can enlighten
us

647. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:30:01 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <BR2dnb_b89uFX1SgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143183

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqc79f$8mv2j$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

:
: FEMA uses a lot of HF but I don't know if they use a lot of the 26/27 MHZ
: frequencies. However, the USAF has a Scope Command ALE freq at 27870 and
I
: have heard it being used.
:
: Tom

Tom, twistedhed said there is no one using those frequencys any longer who
should I believe you or him?

:
:

648. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:35:33 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <lsmdnQ4gYfT5XlSgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143184

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: That's not usually the custom here, then. Usually it's the groom taking
it
: off the bride, but I don't think I've ever been to one where the guy who
: caught it puts it back on.
:
: Tom

Tom your not Amish are you , just kididn. I can't believe that. The groom does remove the garter from the bride, he is then the one to throw it to all the "single" guys in attendance, who like I siad catch it then await the female who catches the boquet. Funny part is this is usually the best part of the wedding reception, you hope for a "couple of players", you know 2 people who are 1/2 shitfaced so she might let him put it all the way up her thigh. I must say it does get quite good at time. Every wedding I have ever went to did this so i just expected them to all be like that. perhaps it is an east Coast thing.

649. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:39:50 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <fWqdnQ7vJPr4WVSgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143185

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106183029.615

: We should be getting close to a fishing report,about the big one that got
: away..<g>

Yes, too bad it will have been a guy! lol

650. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:43:15 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tyjy9.134304$wG.492608@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 143186

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18643-3DC955AC-22@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
<snip>

You must be taking the drugs, youngster.
You don't even know what YOU said let alone me...I'll spell it out for
you...you claimed I ***"always"*** reply when my name is mentioned.....NOT
what I said,,,you can't even paraphrase correctly...I said ***"only"*** and
you switched the word for "Always".

<snip>

Hey Twist, I think you mean that the other way around. He said only, you
said Always. Makes more sense that way....right?

Dave

651. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:43:20 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <4CudnZCiQ9OqWFSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143187

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqc87k$8hac6$1@ID-

:
: I would never try to discredit Aaron Voobner. He's done that well enough
by
: himself.
:
: His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although he claims it really is.

Your Proof?

: He is not a ham, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with 10 meter
: intruders.

Your Proof?
: He is not a CBer, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with illegal CBer's.

Your Proof

: He stated that 10 meter radios are illegal for 10 meter use, and claims
that
: he never said that.

No I believe he stated the export radios that are being sold under the guise
of a 10 meter radio are illegal. You know the ones with the roger beeps and
echo and the Big rebel flags on them. Didn't the FCC also make that
distinction?

652. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:44:29 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <HqecnUuGX-3hWFSgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143188

"Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
news:usj6v3smokm9b7@corp.supernews.com...
:
: "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
: news:iAednQuowoCmzVWgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
: >
: > "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
: > news:usgecqb0tkta3d@corp.supernews.com...
: >
: > : markbeck666?, I forgot about that one..., that was about few hundred
: > : nic's ago?, man how time flies when you're a Comcast Troll, eh
George?
: >
: > I guess you would know to huh chicken choker
: >
: >
:
: Well George, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
: of the week, like you do!

Nah so far it has only been a few days in a row for you, but then youll
surface under your other name and do the same.

653. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 01:45:06 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <QOOdnWL8c588WFSgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143189

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106175631.776

: No kidding..btw he doesn't like to be called george.

you guys started it.

654. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:00:39 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <iKadncBDMdDbVFSgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143190

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106164020.070

: Don't step on my land,uninvited.I won't shoot you,but I'll ask you who the
: hell you are.(if I see you)
:
: My wife is the same way..living in the sticks works both ways,we don't
have
: a local city law. Sheriff only,so sorta like the ham bands,there's some
: self policing out here.

You live in the boonies?

655. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:01:34 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <Ysidnd30LfXgVFSgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143191

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106160522.05358.00002875@mb-fw.aol.com...
: Listen up on channel 26.915 and see if u guys can hear my piece of
: junk.....yall take care
:
:
: Thatz-me-JP

why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs

656. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:02:46 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BEB7E7447D5rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143195

curt@kcwc.com (Curt Welch) wrote in
news:20021106200116.219$np@newsreader.com:

> Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
>> As it turns out, a
>> full on usenet feed is about 120GB per day (!!!). My T1 isn't fast
>> enough (1.5 MBit) to grab all the articles posted to USENET in a 24
>> hour period. In fact, to receive a full feed he suggested at least 6
>> MBit of bandwidth.
>
> Sorry for butting in in a group I don't normally post in, but your
> subject attracted my attention...
>
> Those stats are a bit behind the times. Usenet news feed volume
> doubles roughly every year. It's hard to quote feed sizes because it
> changes so quickly. It passed the 500GB/day point last month and is
> more like 525GB per day now. You can't even get a full feed over a T3
> anymore, you need more like 75 Mbits of bandwidth now. It hit the
> 100GB/day point for the first time back in Dec 1999 if my memory is
> correct.
>
> Here's a nice page of recent stats from one server to give you an idea
> of what news volume is like for a full feed:
>
> http://newsfeed.visi.com/
>
> The cool thing about usenet is that the huge volume is mostly the
> binary groups. If all you want is the text articles, you can get a
> full text article feed and only take up a few hundred Kbit of
> bandwidth (less than a typical DSL line) and run the server on any old
> small PC. So anyone that wants to spend the time and has the right
> type of network connection can easilly afford to set up their own
> personal usenet site.
>

Wow. Absolutely unbelievable. Maybe I will consider a full (non binary)
feed. It shouldn't tax the T1 too hard. The thing is, I wonder why the
news guy at UUNET told me 120GB a day? I hate getting (and subsequently
posting) incorrect information.

Hey, thanks for filling me in. I appreciate it! :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net

rrcb@strawinski.net

657. Re: Elections (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:04:02 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <QcWcnbJYfq2NV1SgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143192

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106192629.590$C5_-_@newsreader.com...
: "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
: >>Somebody like Mondale handling our Nation in
: > time of war makes me
: > shudder!
: >
: > Jerry
: >
: I'd like to stay out of politics in this NG,but I hope you're not
comparing
: GWB to Mondale!?

I hope he isn't either it is no comparison GWB in a landslide

658. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:05:31 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <RUqdnUyBhcD3V1SgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143193

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106200620.130

: Is that a good idea with the two pill on? j/k

I am serious though that cover does nothing performance wise either way,
plus it will look cool.

659. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:06:18 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <LZqdnZFfsOkEV1SgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143194

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106201305.306

: You're either drunk or stoned. Go to bed.

Oh I can't be both?

660. Re: Elections (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:07:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zaky9.837$ds6.98@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143256

Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:QcWcnbJYfq2NV1SgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021106192629.590$C5_-_@newsreader.com...
> : "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> : >>Somebody like Mondale handling our Nation in
> : > time of war makes me
> : > shudder!
> : >
> : > Jerry
> : >
> : I'd like to stay out of politics in this NG,but I hope you're not
> comparing
> : GWB to Mondale!?
>
> I hope he isn't either it is no comparison GWB in a landslide

NOt hardly!

I was so afraid that, after getting rid of Mondale over 20 years ago, he was
going to find a way to
weasel his way back to Washington after all!

(shudder)

Jerry
>

661. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:08:16 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <CImdnVidOLCTVlSgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143196

"Curt Welch" <curt@kcwc.com> wrote in message news:20021106200116.219

: The cool thing about usenet is that the huge volume is mostly the binary
: groups. If all you want is the text articles, you can get a full text
: article feed and only take up a few hundred Kbit of bandwidth (less than a
: typical DSL line) and run the server on any old small PC. So anyone that
: wants to spend the time and has the right type of network connection can
: easilly afford to set up their own personal usenet site.
:
: --
: Curt Welch
http://CurtWelch.Com/
: curt@kcwc.com Webmaster for
http://NewsReader.Com/

Hey Curt while your here, you run a news server correct? What does it take
to get one of your customers Tosed? Not that you would ever do that and lose
a source of revenue but I am seriously curious. Thanks for the reply

662. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:10:43 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <t5ScnfSEy-s_VlSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143247

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106205104.038$og@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106183029.615
: >
: > : We should be getting close to a fishing report,about the big one that
: > : got away..<g>
: >
: >> Yes, too bad it will have been a guy! lol

: That shit is un-called for!

Hey you can say what you want, but you know you laughed at it. he deserves
anything I say he certainly can dish it out, not like me of course.

Maybe someday your folks will unlock your door,
: and that will seem like a vacation for you.
:
: Tell the Doc you're over-medicated.

663. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:11:49 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <rubberchicken@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <usjiu0r063e018@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143249

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:HqecnUuGX-3hWFSgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> news:usj6v3smokm9b7@corp.supernews.com...
> :
> : "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
> : news:iAednQuowoCmzVWgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> : >
> : > "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> : > news:usgecqb0tkta3d@corp.supernews.com...
> : >
> : > : markbeck666?, I forgot about that one..., that was about few
hundred
> : > : nic's ago?, man how time flies when you're a Comcast Troll, eh
> George?
> : >
> : > I guess you would know to huh chicken choker
> : >
> : >
> :
> : Well George, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
> : of the week, like you do!
>
> Nah so far it has only been a few days in a row for you, but then youll
> surface under your other name and do the same.
>
>

Like I said, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
of the week, like you do!

btw: ..you have replied to me in this thread now 3 times, & using
3 different screen nics. lol troll on nitwit....

664. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:12:02 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <kdSdnRIFOIFsVlSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143248

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106204729.573

: I'll make it real easy for you..what's your first name?

Why you wanna date or something?

665. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:15:58 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qr8dy2kgx.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 143250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

Let's look at your statements and at the Regs.

>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system".

Sec. 95.1 The General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS).

(a) The GMRS is a land mobile radio service
available to persons for short-distance two-way
communications to facilitate the activities of
licensees and their immediate family members.
Each licensee manages a system consisting of one
or more stations.

So a system is defined as one or more stations.

Sec. 95.23 Mobile station description.

(a) A mobile station is one or more units
which transmit while moving or during temporary
stops at unspecified points.

So a station is one or more units. I guess the issue is back in 95.1; I
read this as saying that GMRS is used to facilitate the activities of
licensees. Oh, and since family members are permitted to be station
operators, we can facilitate their activities as well. (95.179(a))

But let's look here, too:

Sec. 95.101 What the license authorizes.

(a) A GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station
to transmit messages to other GMRS stations at any
geographical location within or over the territorial
limits of any area where radio services are regulated

by the FCC. These points are listed in Appendix A.

So a licensee can transmit to other GMRS stations. A station is one or more units -- no restriction to family members; see 95.23 excerpt above.

> Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.

Read that again -- tell me why you think it's limited to _family_members_. 95.101 doesn't use unit or system. A licensee manages a system consisting of one or more stations; a system is one or more transmitting units used by station operators; a station is one or more units which transmit; a station may transmit messages to other stations.

>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

Where in the regs do you find the prohibition. It does _not_ say that licensees may communicate only to their immediate family members. You say, 'It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system".' I don't see that anywhere in the regs.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

666. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:18:10 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <XOecnekEf8z8UFSgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143251

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106210907.904$Bh@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106201305.306
: >
: > : You're either drunk or stoned. Go to bed.
: >
: >> Oh I can't be both?
: >
: I just spewed 33 on my monitor.

LOL

667. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:21:47 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <pJ2cnbFPZKanU1SgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143252

"Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
news:usjiu0r063e018@corp.supernews.com...

: Like I said, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
: of the week, like you do!
****
What you say is irrelevant, the lies you spew show your disdain for Hammies,
now go wash off.

:
: btw: ..you have replied to me in this thread now 3 times, & using
: 3 different screen nics. lol troll on nitwit....

And your point is? Do you know it is me replying? You sure do, then why cry
about it the more cries I hear the more names I think of. btw, How many
Nics have you used this week?

668. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:23:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DC9CE93.F1DE20E1@cwis.net>
Source record: 143253

Jason,
Some times it just works out that way. But you're right, it sure feels 'odd', though, doesn't it? If the radio is happy, and you're making contacts like you think you should be, then I wouldn't wory about it.
'Doc

669. OT: Humour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:23:34 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <vO6cnU4sGu04U1SgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143254

For Cranium

<to the tune of Popeye The Sailor Man>

He's Pigmeat the pirate man,
his mouth is a garbage can
Just mention Steve's name,
and he'll go insane
He's Pigmeat the pirate man
(toot toot!)

670. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:26:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DC9CF46.A12E0B39@cwis.net>
Source record: 143255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron,
Yes, you can.
'Doc

671. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:35:16 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <rubberchicken@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <usjk9v3qp2j5c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143257

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:pJ2cnbFPZKanU1SgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message
> news:usjiu0r063e018@corp.supernews.com...
>
>
> : Like I said, at least I'm NOT here 'harrassing' cb'ers every day
> : of the week, like you do!
> **
> What you say is irrelevant, the lies you spew show your disdain for
Hammies,
> now go wash off.
>
How would you know that I have a disdain for "Hammies"? Are you
really that freakin' narrow minded?

>
>
> :
> : btw: ..you have replied to me in this thread now 3 times, & using
> : 3 different screen nics. lol troll on nitwit....
>
> And your point is? Do you know it is me replying? You sure do, then why
cry
> about it the more cries I hear the more names I think of. btw, How many
> Nics have you used this week?
>
>
Now you know you're really being stupid, george. Is it any wonder...

672. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:44:58 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <klkjsuomqmgt7ik5uamimpdcs43plbrg9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 143258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 00:18:00 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell
<jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote:

>Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
>plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
>any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

Yes, you can talk to any licensed GMRS operator. You can also talk to
any FRS radio user on the interstitial frequencies (FRS channels 1 -
7.) The FRS user does not need a license to talk to you but you must
ID as required.

GMRS base stations can also talk to each other. This was not allowed
in earlier rules but that prohibition has been eliminated.

Mike

673. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:49:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usjl6uaicddd82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143259

Really? A no code tech (at least in my area) can link into a 10 meter port on a 6 meter, 2 meter, 220, 440, or 1.2 GHz repeater. I've used it from a 440 port. Instant worldwide communications. As far as the higher classes of licenses, um ... there have been very young kids passing those exams. What was it? An 8 year old extra? Yep, those tests sure are designed to keep everyone out, huh?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:usgdve11jqjh83@corp.supernews.com...
> Since when has the CW not been required. If you want General Class or Higher
> you still need to take the code test. Also if you are going for the Tech
> class you have the option of no code or code. If you take the code you can
> use the HF frequencies. If not you can't use the HF frequencies.
> Just my opinion.
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:6EGdnQxrGoe3iFWgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > > Other than the previousely mentioned things, there is the fact that
> > > Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
> > > Morse Code. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
> > > CW. Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
> > > "the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
> >
> > You're seriously out of data bud. CW hasn't been required for a long time
> > now.
> >
> > > Well that's a poor excuse if you ask me. Those Outrageous price tags
> > > on Ham gear is the only reason the Ham bands haven't gone the way of
> > > CB!
> > > Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club". Only a
> > > handful are able to pass the initiations.
> >
> > Bull. I run classes. 100% of my students have passed their exams.
> > One required a second test, but we did that on the same day.
> >
> > >Now don't get me wrong, I am
> > > not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
> > > (N1"XXX").
> >

> > Even more dissapointing that you are so out of date on the situation.
> >
> >
>
>

674. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 02:52:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usjlc17d6un3d3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143260

I rate this a 20 over for Aaron and Jerry O.
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> Freebanding!!
>
> What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
> The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
> fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land",
> yet still illegal for cb'ers, and ham operators. They are the
> frequencies below channel one (26.965 mHz), as far down as 25 mHz!
> They also are above channel 40 (27.405 mHz), and up to 27.990 mHz!!
> Below channel one you go in the direction of government freq's, and
> above 40, you go just under the ham's 10 meter band. It is here where
> many cb'ers go to get away from the norm, and the crazy junk found on
> our cb channels. Even some hams can be found here, usually these our
> new ham's who just came from cb.
>
> This is a new frontier compared to cb radio, with a new generation of
> cb radios on the market. These radio's are "imports", and come as 10
> meter transceivers out of the box (28 mHz to 29 mHz). They can very
> easily be converted to operate on a huge frequency spand. The coverage
> varies from model to model. Most come with a frequency counter
> display, and channel display, band switch, AM/FM/SSB/CW. Peaked they
> can push 30 to 40 watts AM! Averaging at least 10 watts AM/FM!! 25
> watts PEP SSB!!
>
> Most of the activity falls between 27.4205 mHz to 27.775 mHz, or
> channels 42 to 77. It is here you will find the very finest dxing and
> quality modulation that puts cb to shame and rivals ham operation!
> Just as self governing as ham freqs, operators are much more mature
> and professional. Many popular clubs exist around the country for this
> band, despite the fact that it is still very illegal. Perhaps not for
> long, because the FCC is being pressured to increase the cb
> frequencies. The last time the FCC increased coverage was 1975, from
> 23 channels to 40 channels. We may see them increase it to 100
> channels, since there are so many "imports" readibly and easily
> available already! Even if this happens, it will only pollute these
> "clean" freqs, and soon they will be as junky as the rest of cb, so
> then we will have to take over another band to get away from the
> growing mess!

>
> Perhaps then we may cross over in to the 10 meter band (28 mHz to 29
> mHz). Currently most freebanders are respecting the ham operators
> space on the 10 meter band. We don't go there now, even though our
> radios can! We may be forced to if the FCC increases the cb channels.
> Up to now, these radio's cost about $100 to $400 MORE than a typical
> cb radio, this has discouraged a lot of people from crossing in to the
> freeband. If FCC increases cb's coverage, prices will no doubt come
> down, and more people will be on these freqs, which means more
> bullshit and crap! Let's just hope the FCC doe's NOT increase the
> coverage! Things are just great now for freebandeers!!
>
> The Freeband is more than just an escape from cb crazies! It is truly
> a major "crossroad" to becoming a Ham operator. After tasting this
> kind of radio, many cber's go for their ham license. As I pointed out
> earlier, some ham operators can be found talking to cber's on the
> freeband! For them, it is a return to what got them to where they are
> now! Even if you never become a ham operator, you walk away a richer
> man!
>
>
>

675. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 03:08:39 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <tamjsu04r706lti668t38tipu8au1jrpfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 143261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

676. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:08:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h56kphp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 17:19:19 -0800, Packrat® wrote:

>The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit. Anyone
>can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
>furiners(foreigners)

You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
stations within a single system, if the message content is
related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

677. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:13:44 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211062013.74d01b08@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143263

jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote in message
news:<3b4ef160.0211061552.24475de5@posting.google.com>...
> I just installed my Cobra 29 in my 79 Trans Am. I have very high
> quality coax with soldered Amphenol connectors and a Wilson 2000-T
> antenna on the trunk lid. It's got a good ground too. The thing is,
> I've never had an SWR as low as I'm getting with this setup. It moves
> just a little bit on 1 and 40 but not much. That is without any
> trimming or adjusting, just installed it as is and got damn good
> readings on the meter. Now, Just to be sure, on the Cobra 29 NW/ST/WX,
> when you adjust SWR you set the switch to SWR and key down. Please
> tell me I'm not supposed to use the S/RF setting, and I know it's not
> CAL...that's for calibrating the needle. So what's going on, did I
> just get lucky setting it up just right, maybe I didn't get a good
> ground on the other vehicles I've had it installed on?
>
> Jason
> "ET"

One more problem I forgot to mention. I set the meter to CAL, but I
cannot get that needle to swing under the V marker. The calibrate knob
will not push it over there. Any more ideas?

678. CITIZEN BAND EQUIPMENT FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:19:59 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <PRIy9.6267$p_3.3739@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143264

CITIZEN BAND EQUIPMENT FOR SALE

All prices are not including what it will cost me to box it up and ship it to you, so I will need a name and address to figure the correct amount. If I have a box on hand the right size you are only looking at shipping cost. If you live close by (Moore, Oklahoma) you can just pick it up. If you have questions about a specific item please e-mail me at nighthawk111@juno.com

Citizen Band Base Station

1 Browning Mark IV, 40 Channel, AM/SSB, base, complete with 776 microphone, in great working condition. It is looking for a good home. This is a clean unit, and a great investment, because they are not making any more of them. Price $500.00

Citizen Band mobile Radios

1 Pace 8025, 40 channel CB, has Public Address system, Meter, TX and RX lights, Meter. No microphone or mounting bracket. Price $35.00

1 Kanal Twin Mod 2001 AM/FM, no microphone, or mounting bracket
Price $35.00

1 Midland 150M, 40 channel CB, I hooked it up and it lites up, I do not have a microphone that is wired up for a midland, so I could not test this one out. Includes microphone no end, no mounting bracket. Price $10.00

1 Sharp CB-2460, 40 channel, with mounting bracket, no microphone. $30.00

1 Motorola Mocat 40, with microphone. $25.00

1 General Electric 40 channel, PLL system, no microphone, or mounting bracket. $25.00

2 Johnson, Messenger, 123SJ, 23 channel, no microphone, or mounting bracket. $20.00 each

1 Browning, Brownie, 23 channel, no mounting bracket, with Diesel microphone. $ 35.00

1 Browning, Brownie, 23 channel, no mounting bracket, with Browning microphone. $45.00

Coax

100' Belden, 9880, IEEE, ISO, 8802.3, 50 ohm, double shielded cable. NEW
Price $50.00

Antennas

2 NIB catalog # W-RM, Roof mounted CB antenna complete with mounting hardware and cable made by Ward Electronically Engineered Products. Price $15.00 each

1 NOS (new old stock) Super Scanner Antenna Citizen Band directional antenna made by Antenna Specialists. This antenna has never been used. It is still in the box ready to be
installed. This is a great antenna, it is a beam that you don't use a rotor to operate. The switching of different directions is all done by electronics in your shack. Price $300.

This equipment is sold as is, Most of this equipment, I have not had the time to test it out nor do I feel I will have the time to test it out. If it has been tested it has been noted in the description.

If interested e-mail me at nighthawk111@juno.com

73

Nighthawk

679. WTB White Face or Black Face Johnson CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:34:43 UTC
From: Tony <as8383@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <D3my9.286049$121.8407070@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143265

Want White Face or Black Face Johnson CB

Let me know the cond.

Tony

680. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:34:43 UTC
From: curt@kcwc.com
Message-ID: <20021106233443.746$cX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 143266

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> The thing is, I wonder why the
> news guy at UUNET told me 120GB a day?

Hard to say. But it's very comon for people to think they know the feed size but they were told the information from someone 4 months ago and didn't realize how fast it changes, and the guy that told him had heard the number a month before that from a guy that read it off a web page that hadn't been updated in a year but was written as "the feed is currently X" so he assumes it's still valid since it's on an internal UUNET support page, etc.

The techs at UUNET that help interface with customers typically only know what they need to know (i.e. how to use the internal systems to activate the feed), and aren't the people that actually run or support the news servers, so they just aren't current on the feed size. You can tell how out-of-date they are by the number they quote you. :)

--
Curt Welch http://CurtWelch.Com/
curt@kcwc.com Webmaster for http://NewsReader.Com/

681. Re: Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:40:03 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211062040.57d0feef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143267

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<g_8y9.288748$Fw2.8401794@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cL7y9.283200$121.8268087@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Do a Google search for Dwight Stewart and note the similarities. I think
> > you'll be amazed. Not to mention, Dwight loves Macs, which Aaron has used
> > also. Hmmm....
> >
> >
>
> Odd, huh?
>
> Search Result 7
> From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)
> Subject: Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our
> Liability?
> View: Complete Thread (29 articles)
> Original Format
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.ham-radio.marketplace
> Date: 2002-10-22 02:46:09 PST
>
>
> "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> >
> > I think most of us (most = >95%) know that
> > our buyer is another licensed ham.
> >
> > One way to get high blood pressure is to go
> > mountain climbing over molehills.
>
>
> You should know me well enough by now to know I don't often chase
> molehills, Hans. This started as a discussion with an eBay employee, with
> questions I could not answer. Rather than just give my opinion, which the
> employee would probably be satisfied with (it's just a friendly discussion
> on this issue), I thought I would see what others thought.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/Search Result 11 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: BIG CB amp on Ebay View: Complete Thread (73
> articles) Original Format Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,
> rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,

> alt.ham-radio.marketplaceDate: 2002-10-17 02:06:25 PST "ckh - Too Much
> SPAM" wrote:
> >
> > I've seen legit auctions for SB-200's pulled. (snip)
>
>
> That sucks. As usual, we pay a price for the illegal activities of the
> CB'ers.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/Search Result 43 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: CW on 50.125 View: Complete Thread (89
> articles) Original Format Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhfDate: 2002-09-08
> 09:36:11 PST "WA8ULX" wrote:
>
> >> Would I grab at a no-code HF license?
> >> I don't know.
> >
> > Yes you do, you would jump on it soon
> > as it hit the Street
>
>
> I don't think so, Bruce. Code isn't that much of a barrier now and I still
> haven't had much interest in another license class. Again, if my situation
> changed, that might change. By the way, I did start practicing Morse Code a
> few months back. However, it simply bored me to sleep and I gave up. Like I
> said, the motivation just isn't there.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/----------
> ----------Search Result 1 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?????? View:
> Complete Thread (336 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,
> rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2001-10-24 01:48:44 PST Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> > >
> > > (snip)
> >
> > No, I guess the racism, sexualism, etc.
> > that took place on 75 meters never
> > happened, did it?
>
>
> You're talking about a small minority of hams on a limited set of
> frequencies, while I'm talking about a widespread problem on the CB

> frequencies. The two issues are not even in the same ballpark (and one
> has been reduced even further while the other is still commonplace).
>
>
> > Denial is the worst form of vanity.
> > I agree that CB needs to be cleaned up,
>
>
> I agree. So why do you insist in endlessly downplaying the problems
> on the CB frequencies? If the CB radio service wants respect, then it
> has to clean up the problems first. And that means honest CB'ers
> (those running legal stations and not causing problems) have to start
> screaming for enforcement and stop empowering those who do cause the
> problems (socializing with them, ignoring their illegal activities, etc).
>
>
> > but I don't agree with a bunch of hams
> > attacking CBers when they try to run
> > clean power,
>
>
> Those operators are being "attacked," as you call it, because they
> are operating illegally. I would just as quickly "attack" a fellow
> ham, or a member of any other radio service, who was operating illegally.
>
>
>
> > NOR do I agree with amateur operators
> > coming to rec.radio.cb telling us how
> > fucked up this radio service is, when their
> > own is coming under scrutiny for the same.
>
>
> First of all, I didn't come to rec.radio.cb. I'm posting from a ham
> radio newsgroup to a cross posted thread. Second, as long as I have a
> CB in my desk drawer (a handheld I use in the truck occasionally), I
> have just as much right to be in rec.radio.cb as you do. And, finally,
> the problems in ham radio are not the same as those of CB - the
> problems associated with the CB radio service are much more severe and
> much more commonplace. And it is the hams themselves who have
> requested the increased enforcement of ham radio, while no such
> widespread request has come for CB'ers (including yourself).
>
>
> > You think the ratio of bad apples to
> > good isn't about the same? How many
> > ACTIVE licensed amateurs are on the
> > air? How many CBers?
>
>

> Sorry, my friend, but that just doesn't cut it with me. I was a
> CB'er for over twenty years (in 6 different states) and now a ham for
> almost five. In fact, I suppose you could still call me a CB'er if you
> count the very rare use of a handheld while traveling. At one time,
> there were more good CB'ers than bad. But that is simply not true
> today. The overwhelming majority are operating illegally (out of band,
> excessive power, etc) or violating the rules in one way or the other
> (foul language, causing fights, and so on). The exact opposite is true
> for ham radio - the overwhelming majority operate properly and don't
> violate the rules.
>
>
> > This would actually be fun to look
> > into, as I KNOW the amount of hams
> > is dwindling.
>
>
> And how would that compare to the slow decline of CB since it's peak
> in the seventies?
>
>
> > Take a look at the big 3 ham mags.
> > All they can talk about is how it is a
> > dying hobby. Sad but true.
>
>
> At least there are hams magazines to talk about. Care to name a
> single magazine dedicated solely to CB still in existence? Care to
> name one with anywhere near the same number of subscribers? Care to
> name one with the same level of technical coverage? Or perhaps we
> should talk about books instead? Or perhaps you'd like to show me the
> CB radio organization with the scope and influence of the ARRL? If
> you're going to use talk in a magazine as proof that a hobby is dead,
> then it should be very clear to you that CB was dead a long time ago.
>
>
> > CB is growing more and more. Which
> > yes, means you get more idiots.
>
>
> Care to provide some proof of that? CB is nothing but a shadow of
> what it was in the seventies. And, based on what I've seen, it has
> been in slow decline ever since (in spite of the occasional peaks of
> interest).
>
>
> > > However, if you compare the USE
> > > of illegal power amplifiers
> > > (including those purchased), this
> > > is again extremely rare for hams,

> > > while commonplace for CB'ers.
> >
> > Total bullshit. Most people on the
> > amateur radio service run way more power
> > than is necessary for comms. 100 watts
> > is what comes out of the rig, thats what
> > they run.
>
>
> Well, the last time I checked, it was legal to run 100 watts on the
> ham bands. But, regardless, your response avoids the subject of
> illegal power amplifiers (running more power than necessary is not an
> illegal power amplifier). So how does your comment about running more
> power than necessary dispute my comment about the use of illegal power
> amplifiers in the two radio services? For that matter, I noticed your
> message avoids responding to most of my comments (the treatment of
> women, for example).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/--
> ----------------------------Search Result 3 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: HR 2346 STATE & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB
> RADIO REGS View: Complete Thread (200 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> misc.transport.road, misc.transport.trucking, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.cbDate: 2000-09-30 19:47:09 PST Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > The very people who would give
> > this authority to the locals are
> > the ones who set up the situation
> > where the FCC was prevented
> > from doing its job.
> >
> > Remember the story about the
> > farmer who [snip]
> >
> > The typical threat of the
> > congress-rep is "do what I want you
> > to do or we will cut you off at
> > appropriation time". [snip]
> >
> > This is the exact situation with
> > respect to the Finegold Bill.
> >
> > Don't let them get away with that
> > sort of flim-flam. Make them fund
> > the Commission to do its job
> > properly.
>

>
> Look, as far as most people are concerned, the FCC has done a really
> poor job over the last 25 years when it comes to enforcement of CB. In
> other words, the FCC did a crappy job of policing CB in the 70's, a
> horrible job of it in the 80's, and hardly anything at all in the 90's.
>
> FCC enforcement has become a joke in the CB community, with widespread
> abuse of the regulations now commonplace. By my estimate, there are now
> more people openly selling illegal CB linears than there are people
> selling legal linears for all the other radio services combined.
>
> And, throughout that 25 years, I can only imagine how many millions of
> complaints were sent to the FCC, with most of those complaints largely
> ignored. Or how many millions of frustrated neighbors have had to sit
> through interference of their telephones, televisions, or other
> electronic devices, over those same years.
>
> Anyway, the point is that this situation existed long before Finegold,
> or most of the other members of the current Congress, ever stepped foot
> in Washington. So, in my opinion, it is rather disingenuous to point at
> them for what I, and many others, see as a clear failure of the FCC to
> enforce the CB regulations.
>
> Sadly, at this point, given how widespread the problem has become, the
> only way the FCC could enforce the regulations would be to increase its
> enforcement staff greatly. And I don't think most Americans would want
> to see another hugely expanded enforcement agency in Washington. In my
> opinion, we already have way too many cops in Washington as it is.
>
> So, the only solution left is to allow local enforcement. In other
> words, in my opinion, even bad local enforcement would be far better
> than what we have now from the FCC (which is virtually no enforcement).
>
> But, there is no reason that bad local enforcement has to be the end
> of the story on this. The FCC is being given a new job when it comes to
> CB enforcement - that of mentor and guide. If they do this job well,
> then bad local enforcement can be minimized. In other words, lets hope
> they do this job better than they did the job of CB enforcement over the
> last few years.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net--
> ----------------------------And we all remember when Aaron thought the
> same.........Search Result 5 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: FCC Rules Part 95, Subsection D View:
> Complete Thread (210 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.cbDate: 2001-03-23 22:24:06 PST Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>

> > That STILL does not preclude changing a
> > CB radio over to 10 meters by a licensed
> > ham. Those rules that you quote apply
> > to a CB transceiver being operated in
> > the CB band. [snip]
>
>
> Perhaps I missed something, Jerry. Can you show me where it says there is
> an exception for ham operators?
>
> Remember, when you start taking the screws off to modify that CB radio,
> that is a CB radio (not a ham radio). Therefore, at this point, all CB
> related rules apply (not ham radio rules). And those rules specifically ban
> internal modifications by anyone not certified.
>
> According to section 95.424 (see below), _ONLY_ a person "certified as
> technically qualified to perform transmitter maintenance and repair duties"
> can make internal repairs or internal adjustments to a CB. Therefore,
> unless that ham operator has been "certified as technically qualified," that
> ham operator cannot make "internal repairs or internal adjustments," which
> would include internal adjustments to change frequency coverage. There is
> nothing in this section that provides an exception for ham operators.
>
> By the way, also note my response to Cap'n Crunch for still one other rule
> that suggests a CB cannot be modified by a ham (Sec. 95.607 CB transmitter
> modification). This section would even prevent a person that was
> "certified" from modifying a CB (not a person with a grant of authorization
> or prior written permission from the FCC).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
>
> Search Result 12 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re:
> Petition Filed With FCC View: Complete Thread (310 articles) Original
> Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/12/06 Jeffmc3518 wrote:
> >
> > who gave ANYONE the authority to tell ANYONE
> > what to do / not do with their private
> > property?
>
>
> Jeff, a person is absolutely free to do absolutely anything with his
> private property (radio) as long as it does not affect anyone outside of
> his private property. The person can use the radio as a paper weight,
> play football with it in the backyard, or use it for a hood ornament on
> his car.
>

> But, as soon as that person starts transmitting, that person is no
> longer confining his activities to his private property - radio waves do
> not stop at his property line. At that point, society, through its
> elected government, has a right to have some say how that person uses
> the radio frequencies that belong to the society as a whole.
>
> Our society, called the United States of America, elected a Congress
> to pass laws for the common good (not an individual's good alone - the
> common good). And that Congress created the Federal Communications
> Commission to make the rules affecting radio.
>
> Now, if somebody does not like the way Congress works, he is free to
> change Congress. If a person does not like the FCC's control of radio,
> he is free to talk to his member of Congress. If a person does not like
> the rules that the FCC has created, that person can talk to the FCC.
> All of that is a person's right as a member of this society.
>
> However, I can tell you right now that there is no way the FCC is
> going to expand the frequencies for CB, or increase the power allowed
> for CB, or increase the range that CB'ers are legally allowed to make
> contacts, or anything else. Why? BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SERVE THE COMMON
> GOOD.
>
> Yes, all of these might benefit some CB'ers. But, for every CB'er
> shouting for increased power, there is another person complaining about
> interference from CB radios using increased power. For every CB'er
> demanding increased frequencies, there is another person complaining
> about the incroachment of CB'ers into their assigned frequencies. And
> for every CB'er shouting for increased range, there is another Cb'er
> complaining about the interference by people breaking the existing rules.
>
> When Amateur Radio is added as an existing option that can meet all of
> the demands for increased range, power, and frequencies, there is
> absolutely no reason for the FCC to even consider a change in the
> existing rules. Now some may not like Amateur Radio as an option, but
> it is an option that serves the common good (even if it does not serve
> the good of the few individuals who don't like it). Therefore, it is
> the only option that a CB'er, unhappy with the existing Citizens Band,
> is likely to get under the current climate towards CB'ers.
>
>
> > i don't hear anyone screaming about
> > broadcast tv putting out more and more
> > graphic sex, language and violence.
>
>
> And, since you brought it up, if you don't like what is on television,
> complain to the FCC. That is your right as a member of this society.
> But bad behavior by a others in this society does not give anybody else
> the right to behave badly, nor does it minimize anyone else's bad

> behavior (in other words, bad behavior on television does not justify
> bad behavior on the radio).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
> ----------Search Result 21 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum
> View: Complete Thread (45 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.cbDate: 2000-12-01 20:43:08 PST Bill Nelson wrote:
> >
> > [snip] I also would prefer to see the CB band
> > opened up to about 27.75 MHz and have the
> > restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated.
>
>
> And what would you do with the authorized users of these frequencies.
> These frequencies (27.405 - 27.995) are used by the military
> (operations, pulsed radar, etc.), the Coast Guard (hurricane emergency
> nets, unit coms, icebreaker operations, etc), NASA (low horizon digital
> telemetry), and many others.
>
>
> Dwight StewartSearch Result 34 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: FINALLY,SOME ANSWERS TO PLLO2A'S QUESTIONS
> View: Complete Thread (31 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/11/16 Pll02a wrote:
> >
> > for the last 3 or 4 months, i've asked, in a nut shell, why are the hams
> here
> > if ham radio was so great?
>
>
> And we've answered that question many times before, Jeff. Many of our
> old friends still only have CB radios, so we keep our CB around to talk
> to them.
>
>
> > why do they have to come here and shove their views down our throats?
> > if cb is so bad, why don't they abandond it for the holy grail, the ham
> bands?
>
>
> And we've addressed this also, Jeff. None of use has ever said that
> CB is bad, only that there are some illegal users who make it bad for
> everyone else.
>
>

> > if they are going to come here, if they can't help someone, don't like the
> > subject matter or if they don't have anything positive to contribute,
> don't say
> > anything at all.
>
>
> When you apply those same standards to yourself, we'll follow your
> lead, Jeff. You're the one in here ranting about ham operators. If you
> can't help someone, don't like the subject matter, or don't have
> anything positive to contribute, don't say anything at all.
>
>
> > i may not know as much as some hams but i have gone to rec.radio.amateur
> and
> > answered some of their questions.
>
>
> You know, you've said this many times. What do you want, a medal? So
> what? How does the fact that you have answered a few questions in
> rec.radio.amateur justify your continued assault on ham operators in
> this newsgroup?
>
>
> > i didn't always get the answers that i wanted or agreed with but
> > they were friendly. here, if you ask a question about amps or mods, you
> get
> > someones keyboard shoved down your throat.
>
>
> Now that should be rather obvious, Jeff. If you asked about
> amplifiers in the ham band, then you were asking about something that
> was legal (ham operators can legally use a linear). An amplifier is
> illegal in the CB band, so you're not going to get the same response
> from the ham operators here.
>
> I'm also sure that you did not spend weeks conplaining about ham
> operators (like you do here) before you asked your questions in
> rec.radio.amateur.
>
>
> > there was one poor guy here 2 weeks ago that asked a question about an
> amp.
> > the flame thrower came out from one ham shack billowing threats of the
> FCC.
> > if the ham would have looked at the guy's e-mail address, he would have
> seen
> > that it ended in, ". UK".
>
>
> I saw that message thread, Jeff. Yes, the question was from a guy in

> the UK. He asked about linears, which he admitted was also illegal in
> the UK. The CB'er with a ham license responded that he should consider
> other options, such as a better antenna or better radio.
>
> The Cb'er with a ham license only mentioned the FCC once during his
> entire response. I would hardly consider that "billowing threats of the
> FCC."
>
> By the way, I also noticed that YOU did not offer to help the person
> asking the question. You decided to attack the CB'er with the ham
> license instead. You made a big fuss about the FCC comment, but did not
> contribute anything that would have helped the person asking the question.
>
>
> > not all hams are like this though. even some who disagree with the
> alternative
> > cb life style. they are either neutral or helpful on antenna, power supply
> or
> > in non-technical issues.
> > this is all we ask.
>
>
> Alternative CB lifestyle?? Now I have heard everything. What's next,
> Jeff? Are you going to march on Washington, hand-in-hand, demanding
> equal rights for your alternative lifestyle? I imagine that it is only
> a matter of time before you start claiming that you didn't CHOOSE to be
> an illegal operator, you were BORN that way.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5netSearch Result 49 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: Why wouldn't the FCC crack down View:
> Complete Thread (25 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate:
> 1999/12/29 MC Turner wrote:
> >
> > No its true. Hams contacted Ebay about
> > people selling illegal 11 meter radio gear.
> > The post I read stated that if Ebay did
> > not pull the auctions then all of them
> > would be forwarded to the FCC.
>
>
> MC, you misunderstood my message. I was not objecting to the facts -
> I was objecting to your choice of words. The fact that certain people
> pointed out to Ebay that it is illegal to sell amplifiers designed for
> CB use, or that the ads would be reported to the FCC if they continued,
> does not constitute an attack or a threat (you used both of these words
> in your message).

>
> And there is no evidence that it was anybody in this newsgroup that
> contacted Ebay (a claim made in your message). Since Ebay has not
> stated who contacted them, you are basing your claim, that it was ham
> operators, on pure speculation - you have no facts to prove that.
>
>
> > The thing that is bad about this is that
> > this news group is for CB'ers. That's
> > why its called Rec.radio.Cb. Why do hams
> > come to this group and try to bash us and
> > Cb?
>
>
> I cannot speak for the other ham operators in this newsgroup, MT.
> However, I continue to use a CB to talk to my friends that do not have a
> ham license. And I use my ham radio to talk to my friends on the ham
> radio bands. Therefore, I'm both a CBer and a ham operator.
>
> As for your complaint about people bashing you, perhaps it is because
> you post messages that based on wild speculation without any facts to
> back up your claims. When someone challenges you to back up your
> comments, your defense is to claim that they are bashing you.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net--
> ----------------------------Search Result 50 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewartx@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB?????? View:
> Complete Thread (223 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.miscDate: 2001-10-20 18:56:33
> PST "D. P. Roberts" wrote:
> >
> > They don't understand power ratios.
> > They think the more power, the
> > better. Give me a good antenna
> > and I can compete with them.
>
>
> You're fighting a losing battle, DP. When I had a CB years ago, it
> was one of the best sounding stations in the area. I could hear, and
> easily talk to, stations most could not. I received endless praise
> about the sound quality (thanks to a good, clean, microphone). And the
> station was totally legal (which seemed to surprise everybody).
>
> Regardless of my endless lectures about the quality of the antenna
> and it's installation (height, location, grounding, etc), almost
> everyone in the area went with linears instead (and D-104 mics with

> echo, with radio modulation cranked to the max). Of course, these
> people could easily be heard, but half could not be understood and the
> other half could not operate most of the time because of complaints
> from neighbors. And still few of them could hear (receive) the distant
> stations in nearby counties I routinely talked to.
>
> In other words, you could draw these people a blueprint of the
> perfect CB station, and they would still not get it. The lure of the
> bad boy image surrounding illegal linears is simply too much for many
> CB'ers to resist. The same with the need to fit in with the crowd (be
> like everyone else). For most people, both of these will outweigh "the
> right way" every single time.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/--
> ----------------------------Search Result 67 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: Direction finding View: Complete Thread (60
> articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1999/11/04 Dave
> Hall wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > >
> > > dana wrote:
> > > >
> > > > you don't have to do that, just leave off the spray, and it might
> work.
> > >
> > > Actually, he could just leave the spray on. It should not affect the
> > > antenna in any way.
> > >
> > > However, we should straighten out his description of how to use a loop
> > > antenna for RDF work. When the widest part of the loop is facing the
> > > signal, the meter reading will be higher. When the ends (sides,
> > > whatever) of the loop are facing the signal, the reading will be lower.
> >
> > BZZZTT! Wrong answer. The "flat" side of the loop is the "null". You
> > point the pointer for the lowest signal, as the null is much sharper
> > than the peak is.
>
>
> Are you sure, Dave? Heck, I haven't done this in so many years, I
> probably could be wrong on that. Actually, I have not played around
> with RDF equipment since about 1977 when I was hunting down a "person"
> who was continiously playing music on the local CB channel.
>
> Lately, I just drive around, watching the radio's meter, to find
> people on the CB band. I've got to get back into RDF though (on the VHF
> marine channels), so I guess I better study up. I'm going to build the

> antenna, but I seriously doubt it will be a loop.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
> ----------Search Result 92 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: WHAT IS A LINEAR AMP ? View: Complete
> Thread (33 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate:
> 1999/12/07 John Jenkins wrote:
> >
> > I think the point thats been discussed here the last six months is
> > that the FCC has not spent any money trying to eliminate amps on 11
> > meters because they don't have any to spend on it!
> >
> > Your always free to donate money to them if you feel the urge...
>
>
> I'd be a little careful making a statement like that, John. The FCC
> has been working its way down the radio frequencies over the last couple
> of years. First it went after the cellular companies. Then it hit the
> television broadcasters. Last year it went after the VHF/UHF bands.
> Now Hollingsworth is talking about increased enforcement in the 10-meter
> ham band.
>
> If the pattern stays constant, we can expect the freebanders to be
> next. And then the CB band.
>
> They may not have enough money to go after everyone at once, but they
> can target a specific segment, and a specific few individuals within
> that segment, to make an example out of.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
> ----------Search Result 94 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@amouse.net)Subject: Re: RCI-2950 VRS PRESIDENT HR2510 View:
> Complete Thread (20 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate:
> 1999/11/22 Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > All yours where modified to run 6 meters and above, though... Right?
>
>
> I had those radios ten years ago, long before I had a ham license.
> Today, I have a stock Cobra 2000 for CB use and a Yaesu FT-847 for ham use.
>
>

> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician
> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net----------
> ----------From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@sccoast.net)Subject: Re: linear amplifier schematics View: Complete
> Thread (3 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate:
> 1997/07/04 > Nathan Schenk <ctech@i-205net.com> wrote....
> >
> > I need a schematic for a 25-100 watt linear. Any help would be
> > appreciated.
>
> REPLY...
>
> I want a copy of this schematic also.
>
> Yes John (OldCrow), I know it is cheaper to just buy a linear, but
> there is nothing like building, and using, your own equipment
> (especially if you have an interest in electronics).
>
> Nathan, if you find one, how about sending a copy in my direction?
>
> Dwight
> Stewart----------
> ----------Groups search result 203 for "CB" author:Dwight author:Stewart
> Search Result 203 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sccoast.net)Subject: Re:
> Copper Electronics --- Cobra--- Mr No Name View: Complete Thread (5
> articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbDate: 1996/08/01 Paul
> Massie wrote:
> >
> > "Hi,my name is Paul J Massie, I'am the vice president of Copper
> > Electronics. I keep seeing these postings about Copper's from
> > what looks to be little dealers who don't like Copper's and
> > the reason they keep posting their one sided opinion is
> > because they hope they convince you we have bad business
> > policies." ... "Thats why the dealers try and bad mouth Copper's by
> > saying "its to cheap it must be used". Well if you read the posting
> > from Cobra you know Copper's dosen't buy manufacturers refurbished
> > equipment. We buy only new equipment!"
>
> Well, Mr. Massie, I purchased a Uniden HR-2510 from Copper about two
> years ago and what I received was definately used merchandise. The
> radio had scratches on the case, the faceplate was scratched, the
> mounting bracket was bent, parts of the documentation were missing, and
> all of the screws were missing.
> I considered returning the radio, but since the damage was relatively
> minor, I kept it. Instead, I wrote Copper a letter expressing my
> apprehension about paying for a new radio and getting a used item
> instead.
> Much to Copper's credit, the office responded with a telephone call,

> an assurance that it was a mistake, an apology, and an offer for a
> replacement "new" radio. And indeed, three days later a new radio
> arrived.
> Sadly, I had already modified the radio with the Chipswitch by the
> time Copper contacted me and I knew Copper would not take it back once
> it was modified. I returned the new radio and kept the modified used
> one.
> Anyway, possibly incidents like this is the cause of these rumors
> (about Copper selling used merchandise) spreading around.
> To be totally fair to Copper (and to prevent new rumors), I have
> ordered many times from Copper and am completely satisfied with their
> merchandise, service, and customer support.
> The incident above was the only time I have ever received used
> merchadise. And I believe Copper assurance that this incident was a
> mistake. In spite of this incident, I would still highly recommend
> Copper Electronics.
>
> Dwight
> Stewart----------
> ----------Odd, huh?

yeh its real odd..........this punk aaron appeared about the same
time this dick dwight stewart appeared.....notice.....terminal
idiot and ham radio technician class moron........who argues a
lot..........what else can be said.......???? maybe someone would
like to prove this point.......???? i have $500.00 in the kitty for
this project.....any takers?????????

682. Re: OT: Humour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 04:58:23 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9F2EF.8A67F460@believeit.com>
Source record: 143268

Ho! Ho! Ho!

My sides aren't splitting from laughter. Go feed your head.

"Don Corleone" wrote:
>
> For Cranium
>
> <to the tune of Popeye The Sailor Man>
>
> He's Pigmeat the pirate man,
> his mouth is a garbage can
> Just mention Steve's name,
> and he'll go insane
> He's Pigmeat the pirate man
> (toot toot!)

683. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:05:10 UTC
From: curt@kcwc.com
Message-ID: <20021107000510.410$Dv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 143269

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
> Hey Curt while your here, you run a news server correct? What does it
> take to get one of your customers Tosed? Not that you would ever do that
> and lose a source of revenue but I am seriously curious. Thanks for the
> reply

Well, it depends, but basically of course you get TOSed by violationg my TOS. :)

If you go to my web site and click on the sign-up button, that takes you straight to my TOS page, so you can read it if you care.

My TOS are somewhat more vague than most, and because I'm mostly a one person company (mostly because my wife helps out from time to time), I don't have to set up formal guidelines for an abuse staff. If someone is causing problems, I tend to spend more time working with both sides of the issue to try and get things fixed instead of just kicking someone off the service first and never asking questions.

If someone is doing something wrong, I try to educate them on what's right. If I feel they knew what they were doing was wrong and don't care, they get TOSed fairly quickly. If they are slow learners, I try to work with them.

Mostly, however, my philosophy is that if you are doing something that prevents Usenet from functioning, you have corossed the line, and will get TOSed. That includes stuff like flooding usenet with posts, or intentionaly trying to force people to read your posts by changing your From: address on every post, or putting subjects on your posts with the intent to decieve, etc.

I don't tend to care too much about group "charters" either. I would never TOS a user because he posted one message in viloation of a charter or "Posting FAQ" for a group. But if any of my users continued to harras a group after given multiple warnings not to do it anymore, I'd TOS them.

Mostly, I don't have formal guidelines for when someone gets TOSed. Mostly I just find the best solution I can to problems as they show up.

--
Curt Welch http://CurtWelch.Com/
curt@kcwc.com Webmaster for http://NewsReader.Com/

684. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:07:02 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9F4F6.34667A31@believeit.com>
Source record: 143270

> **********
> He didn't then what did he say regarding them? Perhaps sparky can enlighten
> us

Sparky's been quiet lately. He must be hitting the books and
making many trips to the library, bookstores & bn.com.

685. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:12:08 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9F628.3179521E@believeit.com>
Source record: 143271

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106081115.653 >
> >
> > : Just don't act a fool,like George. It'a all good otherwise.
> >
> >> Who is george?
> >
> Pick a friggin name and stick to it,if you don't want to be called george.
>
> I'm not going to entertain your pissing contest.

I'm going for a USENET record! Guinness has already been emailled.

686. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:20:01 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <MM-cnYF7H-n3ZVSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143272

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DC9934E-77@storefull->
==========
> Hahaha..that's a jenn-you-eye-an nocode making them thar statements,
> triple 3. They aren't familiar with HF.

Check again, that's an extra ticket.
I haven't worked CW since I got the ticket, but that hasn't kept me off HF.

687. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:30:10 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <vOqcnSIwDfb8Z1SgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143273

"satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DC9F628.3179521E@believeit.com...

: I'm going for a USENET record! Guinness has already been emailled.
scott slow down my friend thats 2 nics in 2 dyas

688. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:32:06 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <io-dnZPukblJZ1SgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143274

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021107000915.927

: You don't remember what his service is like?

No sorry to say I have only used @ home and comcast

689. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:34:26 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <Tp6dnSL_0PT8ZlSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143275

"Barking Pumpkin" <rubberchicken@wartz.com> wrote in message

How many Nics have you used this week?

: Now you know you're really being stupid, george. Is it any wonder...

Yeah soon as I start asking questions It gets stupid huh. lol

:
:

690. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 05:53:29 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021107005329.03711.00000028@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 143276

Hasnt kept us off the HF either!!!!!!!
>From: "Dave VanHorn" >dvanhorn@cedar.net
>Check again, that's an extra ticket.
>I haven't worked CW since I got the ticket, >but that hasn't kept me off HF.

"Got RF?"

691. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:18:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA05B8.4552FD89@cwis.net>
Source record: 143277

Jason,
That sort of changes things. If you can't calibrate the meter,
it just isn't going to read correctly. That being the case, I'd
certainly take another look at things, 'cuz something isn't
'right'.
Has the radio been modified at all?
'Doc

692. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:18:39 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qn0olnbr4.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 143278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>SNIP<
> stations within a single system, if the message content is
> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
>SNIP<

Hi, Phil,

Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

693. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:21:29 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCA0669.76F30F67@believeit.com>
Source record: 143279

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC9F628.3179521E@believeit.com...
>
> : I'm going for a USENET record! Guinness has already been emailled.
> scott slow down my friend thats 2 nics in 2 dyas

C'mon, Scott, you know a NIC has a shelf life about as long as
a fresh pot of coffee. This one is already getting stale.

694. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:24:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA0733.D9DD92D8@cwis.net>
Source record: 143280

Bert,
A 'Zepp' is a 1/2 wave antenna. An 'extended Zepp'
is a 1.25 wave antenna. Neither has much to do with
a 0.625w or a 0.640w antenna.
'Doc

695. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:31:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA08CD.30B3AED0@cwis.net>
Source record: 143281

Bert,
But when you cut them in half, they aren't a
Zepp, or an extended Zepp anymore. That would be
like cutting a 1/2 wave dipole in half and calling
it a 1/2 wave end fed dipole. If all you were doing
was changing orientation, what you're saying would
be correct. But you're not changing orientation,
you're changing the basic structure of the antenna.
Sorry, just ain't the same thing...
'Doc

696. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:34:23 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usk2gsff40cjd6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I plan on doing both.
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106165919.578$N9@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Ginger Eakle wrote:
> > >
> > > That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly
> > > hear anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to
> > > someone I would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to
> > > their rig. I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band.
But
> > > now I have decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to
chat
> > > with. I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I
> > > was a little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on
> > > the hobby when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel
14
> > > crystal in it. I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I
> > > got hooked again when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I
> > > hooked up as a base station. I used the mobile antenna that I put
smack
> > > in the middle of our metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent
> > > distance when talking. I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be
> > > making a comeback, so the companies that make them are going to
> > > continue to make the radios. Let's hope so.
> > > Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> > .
> >> Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> > and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> > can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> > Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> > code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> > study.
> >
> That's a viable option,but don't let someone like JJ who claims to have
> never operated a CB, sway your decision. (do both!?)
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

697. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 06:57:04 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XMCdnbqiF720klegXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143283

>
> "Got RF?"

DC to Daylight. I can put up 100mW on 514nM

698. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 07:50:44 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqd60k$885$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 143284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.family Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
> You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

> Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
> one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

> HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
> intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
> stations within a single system, if the message content is
> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

> The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
> that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
> the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to each other about what we're doing?

699. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:01:16 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqd6kc$885$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 143285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In alt.radio.family Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote:
> Where in the regs do you find the prohibition. It does _not_ say that
> licensees may communicate only to their immediate family members. You say,
> 'It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system".' I don't see
> that anywhere in the regs.

I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of stations,
and under the section on what the license allowed it talked about supporting
the personal or business activities of the licensee and the people using
the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only family members as people
who would use your license. (95.181 and 95.179). So from that it was starting to
sound like you could only talk to people operating under the same license, and
only family members were allowed to share a license. Of course, 95.33(a)1-4
had to go confuse things by talking about how it was acceptable to share stations,
but didn't say a thing about licenses.

I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95 as having
been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

700. Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:01:45 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <7d7ksuk1hd1n29pdjr34mkliaitafjm173@4ax.com>
Source record: 143286

amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v

701. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:08:48 UTC
From: Roffus <la2ju@chello.no>
Message-ID: <kcpy9.73$lg5.3369@news01.chello.no>
Source record: 143287
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Have you looked under your bed lately?

RBJ

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> skrev i melding
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>
>

702. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:30:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dca2499.4688617@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143288

In <3DC9F4F6.34667A31@believeit.com>, satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
wrote:

>
>> **********
>> He didn't then what did he say regarding them? Perhaps sparky can enlighten
>> us
>
>Sparky's been quiet lately. He must be hitting the books and
>making many trips to the library, bookstores & bn.com.

It doesn't take hardly any time to find contradictions in Twisty's
posts:

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Cobra 45WX Mod
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-06-05 07:46:44 PST

"...Talking on the freeband, while technically illegal, is for the
large part, ignored by the FCC. Most of the services assigned to those
frequencies have long abandoned them in favor of up-to-date
communications."

From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Problem with Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-02-25 06:46:03 PST

"...As QRM mentioned, many of the freqs are allocated to other
services, but what he doesn't mention, is many of these frequencies
have been deserted and/or abandoned long ago by the perspective
entities that retain rights to these freqs. There may be a few hold
outs, but not many. The only thing one is likely to hear from 26 to
the high 29's are other freebanders."

703. Skippy and Twisty -- birds of a feather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:36:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dca24c2.4729756@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143289

While responding the the other post I noticed a similarity between those two. It's not suprising that Twisty ignored the partial list I posted of current licensees on the so-called 'freeband'. It's just like the way Skippy ignored three of my posts which contain irrefutable facts regarding the poor performance of sweep tube amps.

Hey Skippy, do you remember how that thread started? It started with you begging Voobner to back up his facts when you couldn't back up your own. I jumped in with a couple of your 'facts': You claimed that a 6146 was used as a sweep tube, a claim which you eventually conceded (with multiple lame excuses, however). And you STILL haven't come up with a currently produced amplifier legal for any service between 24 and 35 MHz. Instead you labored under the false presumption that I wouldn't attempt to verify the examples you provided. But when that didn't work you avoided the issue by trying to divert the conversation into subjects where you thought you would have the upper hand -- but were summarily disgraced.

"Classic issue dodge techniques when you have little background in the area... The news group would be better served if Skippy could state facts as he knows them and provide supporting technical/factual material. At this time, nothing of substance has been revealed from Skippy's posts. Just a lot of cannon fodder... "

704. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 08:47:37 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <t3aksugll1evt8qaoc0qb9clbtpp8kekbs@4ax.com>
Source record: 143290

On Tue, 5 Nov 2002 20:10:43 +0000 (UTC), Alan Strawinski
<rrcb@strawinski.net> was blabbling:

...Too cool. No more ISP imposed limitations. I love running a news
server. I
...feel...free. Oh so very free! Woopee!
...
...Hmm...this is off topic. Umm...
...
......CB radio's are cool.
...
...There. That's better.

hey, allan, drop me a e-mail your address bounced. 73

k8cpa@arrl.net

705. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 09:38:24 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BF1103455F3rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143292

qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr <48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in news:t3aksugll1evt8qaoc0qb9clbtpp8kekbs@4ax.com:

> hey, allan, drop me a e-mail your address bounced. 73
>
> k8cpa@arrl.net
>
>
>

Heh, oops. I forgot to add that to the mail server. It's fixed now. Guess that explains why I wasn't getting any email to that address, huh?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

706. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 09:39:06 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <rrcksu874ns62rcahju0vpt7nmv6qh11fg@4ax.com>
Source record: 143291

On 6 Nov 2002 20:13:44 -0800, jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote:

>jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote in message
news:<3b4ef160.0211061552.24475de5@posting.google.com>...
>> I just installed my Cobra 29 in my 79 Trans Am. I have very high
>> quality coax with soldered Amphenol connectors and a Wilson 2000-T
>> antenna on the trunk lid. It's got a good ground too. The thing is,
>> I've never had an SWR as low as I'm getting with this setup. It moves
>> just a little bit on 1 and 40 but not much. That is without any
>> trimming or adjusting, just installed it as is and got damn good
>> readings on the meter. Now, Just to be sure, on the Cobra 29 NW/ST/WX,
>> when you adjust SWR you set the switch to SWR and key down. Please
>> tell me I'm not supposed to use the S/RF setting, and I know it's not
>> CAL...that's for calibrating the needle. So what's going on, did I
>> just get lucky setting it up just right, maybe I didn't get a good
>> ground on the other vehicles I've had it installed on?
>>
>> Jason
>> "ET"
>
>One more problem I forgot to mention. I set the meter to CAL, but I
>cannot get that needle to swing under the V marker. The calibrate knob
>will not push it over there. Any more ideas?

I think you are doing the SWR correctly, But just in
case...................

The CAL position is used to adjust the needle to full scale with a AM
carrier being transmitted. In your case it sounds like there is a V
that marks this calibration spot instead. If so adjust it to the V.
There is some sort of potentiometer that will allow this adjustment.

Then switch the meter to SWR and take your SWR readings.

707. Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 10:10:13 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BF1667C59D2rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143293

Your domain expired on the 27th of last month. What's up, dude? You gonna
renew it?

I was checking to see if your new site was up yet.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

708. Re: Skippy and Twisty -- birds of a feather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:00:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCA55F0-122@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143197

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>While responding the the other post I noticed >a similarity between
those two. It's not >suprising that Twisty ignored the partial list I
>posted of current licensees on the so-called >'freeband'

Your list means nothing. YOU claimed you were going to contact
businesses and post the results here. YOU failed to do what YOU claimed
YOU would do. Anyhting less is just cannon fodder.

709. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:02:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCA565E-123@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143296

Pay attention. Sparky is no better at answering for others than you are....

710. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:03:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCA56AB-124@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143198

WA3MOJ, do you always feel the need to lie about people when you're frustrated and your ego is whipped? You go on claiming more lies...it solidifies everything anyone has always said about your character.

711. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:09:43 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0211070409.191d57b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143294

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DCA0733.D9DD92D8@cwis.net>...
> Bert,
> A 'Zepp' is a 1/2 wave antenna. An 'extended Zepp'
> is a 1.25 wave antenna. Neither has much to do with
> a 0.625w or a 0.640w antenna.
> 'Doc

?!

http://www.sadona.com/news/ant_dblzepp.html

Here's the text.

"The Zepp, short for Zeppelin is any resonant antenna end-fed by ladder line. A Double Zepp means a center-fed antenna. This extended version provides 3 db gain over a dipole. The double Zepp consists of two collinear 0.64 wave length elements fed in phase. The diagram above shows current distribution in a double Zepp antenna. The 1.1 balun is used to connect the 450 ohm ladder line to 50 ohm coaxial cable.

The only adjustment is in trimming the 450 ohm line matching section to best SWR. Shorten about an inch at a time until best SWR is reached. The Double Zepp works well on any of the amateur bands. The Zepp is a very good portable QRP antenna. Build one for each band.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

712. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:11:38 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0211070411.7caadcfc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143295

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DCA08CD.30B3AED0@cwis.net>...
> Bert,
> But when you cut them in half, they aren't a
> Zepp, or an extended Zepp anymore. That would be
> like cutting a 1/2 wave dipole in half and calling
> it a 1/2 wave end fed dipole. If all you were doing
> was changing orientation, what you're saying would
> be correct. But you're not changing orientation,
> you're changing the basic structure of the antenna.
> Sorry, just ain't the same thing...
> 'Doc

I get your meaning, Doc. I still believe the IMAX-2000 is more closely
related to an "extended" Zepp than a 5/8-wave though.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

713. Re: Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:36:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211070436.5be7a3bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143199

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0211061644.60becc26@posting.google.com>...
> "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:<GPadnepZaN-lvFSgXTWcog@comcast.com>...
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:g_8y9.288748
> >
> > Odd, huh?
> >
> > Yes it is very odd, but I am sold, ok so those post settle it Aaron is
> > dwight You guys are truely amazing how you figured that out damn I am
> > impressed.
>
> Well, for one thing, neither of them know that amateurs are exempt
> from type-acceptance requirements. One would think that any licensed
> amateur operator would know that, but neither of them do. I guess they
> can just make it up as they go along?

i just figured it out.......after reading some of
this.........voobnutts aka dwight stewart.......can't get out with his
handheld while riding in his truck........oh give me a
break...........break....break..........muhahahahahhaahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!

714. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:38:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211070438.1dbf5dfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<tamjsu04r706lti668t38tipu8au1jrpfo@4ax.com>...
> We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
>
> Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,
> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>

> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com

lou.....why even spam this newsgroup about this kind of
stuff.....hell man.....this is the year 2002, not
1976.....get a grip....why does anyone need this info
anyways.....hell if you want channels just buy a 10 meter radio
and you can go from 26 to 32 mhz,.....no problema.....

715. hey boobnutts and georgie girl.....you pussies aren't doing your job .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:48:41 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211070448.39e71ae8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143201

look on ebay you dicks......i have never seen so many cb
amps........tisk , tisk....what's the matter girls.....ebay doesn't
listen to your whining anymore........?????
muhahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

716. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 12:53:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA6259.D30@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143209

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Depends on the legalities. Here I mention it only to illustrate Dave
> Hall's hypocrisy of calling me a federal criminal for freebanding and
> dxing over the years when he clearly went way beyond the realm of
> breaking FCC law than I ever had.
>
> >Really? Operating a part 15 device, for the >purpose of ridding the CB
> band of a disruptive >influence and a source of interference, is >worse
> than operating on frequencies not >authorized by the FCC, with the
> potential to >cause interference to legitimate radio >services?
>
> Certainly. Let us place it in proper context wihtout your undermind.
> You admit to intentionally jamming another operator.

Like in the case of the 10 meter intruders, is the act of a legally operated transmitter, which interferes with the action of an illegally operated one, really considered jamming? Like licensed hams running legally on 28.085, stomping on illegal freeband intruders, a part 15 device, which prevents an illegaly operator from jamming the conversations of several other people, is not really jamming.

> His actions are irrelevant to yours.

How so? His presence was a disruption to any local that he happened to target, including me. He thought he was untouchable. We proved otherwise.

> I talk on a freebnad freq and you claim it has a a
> mere "potential to interfere". You are ludicrously failing at attempting
> to claim the act of jamming is more benign than the act of something
> that MAY jam.

"Jamming" with a device that emits a signal with a power level less than 100 mW, is not going to jam anything other than the intended target, who was also, due to his excessive power, responsible for generating TVI in his neighborhood. So my solution actually solved that problem as well.

Your latest feeble attempt, on your crusade to malign my character has fallen short yet again. Anyone with a reasonable mind would conclude that a small amount of jamming to remove a larger problem is well worth the trade.

>
> >I fail to see it that way, and I'm sure if you ask >an FCC official, he
> would likely not agree with >you either, considering the comments that I

> >got from the one that I ran into shortly after the >whole affair.
>
> Not that again. The last time you told me to check with an FCC official,
> you had many excuses why I could not accept your challenge.

I never TOLD you to check with anybody. You CHALLENGED me to provide a name so that you might "verify" my claim. The fact that it happened over 20 years ago, makes this unlikely. You are still free to try if you want. It's not my problem.

> Besides, you have proved beyond a shadiw of a doubt, the majority do not
> share the way "you see it".

Once again, your own words come back to haunt you:

>>> Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support
>>> don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you
>>> wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

The one really insightful statement that you've made to date. It's truly a shame that you can talk the talk, but fail to walk the walk.....

>.most recently and magnificently with your
> comments that all those cbers and hammies who have ever receieved a
> notice by the FCC for a radio violation are federal criminals.

It's not my problem if you can't handle the truth.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

717. Re: Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:05:25 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCA6515.26DCE4CE@believeit.com>
Source record: 143202

"rugerp85
>
> amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v

Red to positive.
Black to negative.

This can be tapped off the power switch.

718. Re: Plenty of skip rolling today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:19:19 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3dca6843.66243313@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 143203

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 20:08:52 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>Oh by the way anti keydowner.... I keyed down today. Are you taking a
>log? I used an amplifier as well and I talked over 1000 miles. So what
>cha gonna do?
>

719. Re: hey boobnutts and georgie girl.....you pussies aren't doing your job .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:27:59 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <kiGdnT06O_HA91egXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143204

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211070448.39e71ae8@posting.google.com...
: look on ebay you dicks......i have never seen so many cb
: amps........tisk , tisk....what's the matter girls.....ebay doesn't
: listen to your whining anymore........?????
: muhahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

Sorry Chisel Chest, I don't complain to ebay, but I am sure aaron or who eve
else does thanks you for the heads up.

720. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:33:40 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <7pmdnZnVOI4v9legXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143205

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:0JKcnYZgCrxRXFSgXTWcrg@comcast.com...

: > your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed >on that he says there are
: no services at all >using the freeband freqs other than the pirates >who
: talk on them.

: No, twisted never said any such thing, but glad to see I still rank as
: your demiGod.
****
Then how do you explain your below post where you said

From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Problem with Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-02-25 06:46:03 PST

"...As QRM mentioned, many of the freqs are allocated to other
services, but what he doesn't mention, is many of these frequencies
have been deserted and/or abandoned long ago by the perspective
entities that retain rights to these freqs. There may be a few hold
outs, but not many. The only thing one is likely to hear from 26 to
the high 29's are other freebanders."

721. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:36:52 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <m2udnVlSb-zv8VegXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143206

Don wrote:

Tom, twistedhed said there is no one using those frequencys any longer who should I believe you or him?

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:5021-3DCA56AB-124@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

: WA3MOJ, do you always feel the need to lie about people when you're
: frustrated and your ego is whipped? You go on claiming more lies...it
: solidifies everything anyone has always said about your character.
****
Where is the lie twisty, you said what you said live up to it like a man. Lie, lol there goes that word again being tossed around by the chronic liar twisty, here are the words right from your liver lips.

From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Problem with Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-02-25 06:46:03 PST

"...As QRM mentioned, many of the freqs are allocated to other services, but what he doesn't mention, is many of these frequencies have been deserted and/or abandoned long ago by the perspective entities that retain rights to these freqs. There may be a few hold outs, but not many. The only thing one is likely to hear from 26 to the high 29's are other freebanders."

722. Re: Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:39:03 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <VsWcnf79169p8VegXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143207

"satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DCA6515.26DCE4CE@believeit.com...
:
:
: "rugerp85
: >
: > amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v
:
: Red to positive.
: Black to negative.
:
: This can be tapped off the power switch.

Thanks -G-, we know you love those texas stars, and pc122's.. lol

723. Re: Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 13:59:40 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <hcsksus6kn2k49h4kpdea8j0gj4uug8ngj@4ax.com>
Source record: 143208

Hey your nick Don Corleone is that your handle on Ch 11 by chance ??

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 08:39:03 -0500, "Don Corleone"
<lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:

>
>"satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
>news:3DCA6515.26DCE4CE@believeit.com...
>:
>:
>: "rugerp85
>: >
>: > amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v
>:
>: Red to positive.
>: Black to negative.
>:
>: This can be tapped off the power switch.
>
>Thanks -G-, we know you love those texas stars, and pc122's.. lol
>

724. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 14:02:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA7279.1024@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143210

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
> >In the last month or so, they've changed the >news server over to a
> >different machine.
>
> Only for you? Only in your area?
>
> >How many other people do you know that use >my particular news server?
> I'd venture to say >that they number less than the number of >fingers on
> your hand.
>
> Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many
> even.......

That's a lie. Plain and simple.

>
> >I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
> >the same as I always did.
>
> Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
> different avenues.
>
> >No, I haven't.<
>
> I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah
> did....fergut abote that thar won!"
>
> You look too hard for things that aren't there.
> Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit
> you to.
>
> >But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference, I use my
> real name, and my >E-mail is still the same. Unlike you, I have no >need
> to conceil my identity.
>
> Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything?
> You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.

Gee I wonder why? Maybe because they have something to hide? Such as
illegal operation?

>
> >I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.
>
> Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access
> the group.
>
> >What rule have I broken?<
>
> Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?

I don't. I have used the same ISP for the last at least 6 years now.

>
> > What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you
> if that's what you think.
>
> You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority
> or I think.

Your words again:

>>> Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support
>>> don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you
>>> wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

I'm still waiting to hear from that "majority" that you seem to think
you represent. But you'll have to bring them here. I'm not going to Mars
anytime soon.

>
> >And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......
>
> Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6
> others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.
>
> >Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a
> pencil-necked internet >geek, so I really don't know the nuances of
> >each and every routing protocol.
>
> Really? You mean someone was forging you whwn you were attempting to
> educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?

When have I done that? Me thinks you have me confused with someone else.
BTW, if you enter "Dave Hall" in your Google searches, you're going to
find many. It's a common name, just like "John Smith".

>
> A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that
> it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?
>
> >No, I'm not "attempting" to say anything. I'm >TELLING you, straight
> up, that the "business" >was a side line operated by two local friends
> >of mine, and that I did repair work for them. I >made NO money from the
> sales of radios.

>
> So you were the legit "employee kept in the dark" of an otherwise
> illegal operation.

You really are thick aren't you? Have you never heard the term
"independant contractor"? I was not "employed" by anyone. I was not on
their payroll. I worked on radios, they paid me for each repair, I'm
sure they tacked on an extra charge on top of mine to the end customer,
but that's none of my business.

>
> >and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did the repair
> work.
>
> Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig?
>
> >Uh, as a way to drum up business?<
>
> Why? You already claimed in one post you made no money and in another
> you claimed you had puh-lenty of business.....damn..you're off and
> running in record time this time.....ggod thing you have 2 nocodes
> lapping at my heels to attempt redirects from such self-contradicting
> posts, hmmmm?

The term "plenty" is a relative term. Any business looks to expand. I
also stated that I made no money from radio SALES, but I made money from
the repairs that I did. Besides, these were friends of mine. I was
helping them out. That's what friends do. You should get a few sometime
and try it.

>
> > I was the only one on the internet at the time, >and thought it might
> be a way to expand our >operation. Nothing sinister there.
>
> No Dave, you claimed it yours.
>
> >Where? Prove that one. I never did any such >thing.

> >I NEVER claimed that the business was mine.<
>
> Like George likes to say, "that isn't MY crack in my pocket,
> officer"..nyuk nyuk nyuk...what's good for the goose...ya' can't have it
> both ways!
> It was YOUR name as a sig with the business name and phone right below
> your name.

Which means nothing. No more than if I were recommending a website that
sells gear, or a specific radio brand. Once again, you assume things,
and then when you are corrected, you accuse the other peson of lieing,
based on nothing more than your own incorrect assumptions.

> Your attempted dishonesty at this point in time continues to
> make all aware of your character traits,,,right there along with your
> arrrogance in believing anyone would believe anything less than the
> truth. Talk about a dance and a song..hahaha!

The truth is something that is clear to anyone who comprehends properly.
The truth however, is something that continually alludes you.

>
> We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.
>
> >Now would be a good time.<
>
> Nah,,it's always fun to watch you regurgitate on your own claims when
> caught in lies with "I fergote abote that verbotten one!"

Translation: I need to spend more time searching google for more tidbits
I can distort into an alternate reality.

> Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the
> business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than
> "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all
> washed up here.
>
> >I've been "in the business" of reparing radios >since I was 15, as a
> sideline. I was associated >with the particular business in question for
> >only about 2 years, back in the 1995 time >frame. I operated
> independently, my name >was listed nowhere in this dealer franchise.
>
> Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your
> sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is
> the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?

The name of the business, were the initials of the two guys who "owned"
it. My name is not associated with it anywhere. And no, the phone was
not mine either. I don't know if they are still valid. Call them and
see. Ask for Cliff or Wayne.

You're still reaching, but falling short yet again.

>
> >Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all
> that's required.
>
> Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons
> dogs" humping my leg.

I'll take that as a "Yes"

>
> >The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
> >really BAD advice and modification work,
>
> With "better" mods? What makes your mods better?

By virtue of my education, and my possession of many pieces of
commercial grade test equipment.
>
> >which resulted in a cleaner radio signal.
>
> You also were involved in illegal sales.

>
> >First off, you don't know what we sold. <
>
> Again, correct. Your posts could all be lies.

That depends. I never made any claim of selling anything, since I wasn't
involved in sales.

>
> >It's perfectly legal to sell type accepted radios >to people. Why would
> you assume that the >business sold anything else?
>
> Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes
> or no will do.

I never sold any radios, as part of this business. Illegal or
otherwise.

>
> >secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.<
>
> Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business"
> that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did
> it as a community service....(ROAR)!

As a service to the business, which was operated by personal friends of
mine.

ime ago.
>
> >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.
>
> Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
> the band.

Not if your receiver has a decent front end. A remote car control is
basically an on-off carrier device, with alternating tones. Those tones
may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a "clipped" CB. So
are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile
away, on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal
level compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"? You must have one
crummy receiver.

>
> >That would've required trespass.<
>
> Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and

> distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in
> your limited grasp of things.

Well yea, I do tend to stay rooted in reality. Examples like saucer men,
and other wild ideas are normally discounted, unless proven viable.

>
> >We're not talking about YOUR yard, we're >talking about the guy who
> actually got the >device planted.
>
> Poor side step,,,you have done better.
> You made the incorrect statement that it is oly good for one channel and
> I corrected the statement.

No you countered the statement, but you were not correct. Stay with
argueing the semantics of illegal operation. Technical discussions are
way beyond your abilities.

So, on one hand, you make the claim that I could have planted the device
a few yards away without requiring trespass, in your yard, yet in
another statement, you claim that your yard is not on the scale of a
postage stamp. So tell me, how does one have any sizable acreage for a
yard, and still be able to get within a few yard of your antenna,
without tresspassing? Do you mount your antenna, on a pole at your
property line? That's not what most people do, and certainly not in the
case I was refering to.

> You, realizing you lost more face and even
> more credibility (is that even possible?) an ran back to your personal
> example.

Well Duh! my "personal" example is what we were originally discussing.
What relevance does your yard have in it? Unless you want me to plant a
device in your yard.......

You're really losing what little grasp of reality you have left. Maybe
you're still upset that Bush is still Governer......

This is becoming too much fun. I give you a snippet of a post that I
made from my "old" account 7 or 8 years ago, and you magically claim to
have been given information from some "upperclassman". Coincidence? I
think not. I know all too well, your obsession with tarnishing my name.
Do you think I would give you something, if I had something to hide? I
can picture you searching through google for each and every post that I
ever made, comparing headers, and cross checking several times, looking
for something to use against me. Your problem is that I don't hide what
I do/did, and what I do/did, changes nothing with regard to what you do
now. If I was as impotent as you claim, then why go though all this
effort to try to discredit me? You make way too much noise for someone
who is supposedly not bothered by what other people think. You say one
thing, but your actions speak in a much different voice.

Don't you think I knew what you were going to bring up? Like I've said many times, you are nothing else if not predictable. I've been playing that cheap fiddle again, and you, true to form, have not disappointed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

725. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 14:05:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA7329.40FD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143211

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> It's what he claims, and judging by his lies, we have to entertain the
> notion he is lying. Seeing what he does here, is called "actions".
> Words and actions are separate, yet you haven't been able to grasp this
> concept in years.

This is almost another classic. Here we are in a newsgroup filled with
nothing but words, and twisty here is trying to separate out "action"
words from "regular" words.

You're a piece of work.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

726. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 14:32:05 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <cwydndW1PtP75FegXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143212

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

: This is almost another classic. Here we are in a newsgroup filled with
: nothing but words, and twisty here is trying to separate out "action"
: words from "regular" words.
:
: You're a piece of work.
:
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"

Dave the funniest part is when he says something it is only words when you do they are actions LOL Talk about screwed up in the head. Oh well it would'nt be twisty

727. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 14:34:25 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <lMqcndnG-45v5FegXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143213

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DCA7279.1024@worldlynx.net...
: Twistedhed wrote:
: >
: > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
: > Twistedhed wrote:
: > >And I still claim that. I use ONE ISP, for all of >my internet needs.
: > >In the last month or so, they've changed the >news server over to a
: > >different machine.
: >
: > Only for you? Only in your area?
: >
: > >How many other people do you know that use >my particular news server?
: > I'd venture to say >that they number less than the number of >fingers on
: > your hand.
: >
: > Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many
: > even.......
:
: That's a lie. Plain and simple.

What twisty lie Nah

:
:
: >
: > >I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
: > >the same as I always did.
: >
: > Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
: > different avenues.
: >
: > >No, I haven't.<
: >
: > I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah
: > did....fergut abote that thar won!"
: >
: > You look too hard for things that aren't there.
: > Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit
: > you to.
: >
: > >But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference, I use my
: > real name, and my >E-mail is still the same. Unlike you, I have no >need
: > to conceil my identity.
: >

: > Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything?
: > You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.
:
: Gee I wonder why? Maybe because they have something to hide? Such as
: illegal operation?
:
:
: >
: > >I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.
: >
: > Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access
: > the group.
: >
: > >What rule have I broken?<
: >
: > Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?
:
: I don't. I have used the same ISP for the last at least 6 years now.
:
:
: >
: > > What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you
: > if that's what you think.
: >
: > You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority
: > or I think.
:
: Your words again:
:
: >>> Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support
: >>> don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you
: >>> wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.
:
: I'm still waiting to hear from that "majority" that you seem to think
: you represent. But you'll have to bring them here. I'm not going to Mars
: anytime soon.
:
:
: >
: > >And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......
: >
: > Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6
: > others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.
: >
: > >Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a
: > pencil-necked internet >geek, so I really don't know the nuances of
: > >each and every routing protocol.
: >
: > Really? You mean someone was forging you whwn you were attempting to
: > educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?

:
: When have I done that? Me thinks you have me confused with someone else.
: BTW, if you enter "Dave Hall" in your Google searches, you're going to
: find many. It's a common name, just like "John Smith".
:
: >
: > A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that
: > it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?
: >
: > >No, I'm not "attempting" to say anything. I'm >TELLING you, straight
: > up, that the "business" >was a side line operated by two local friends
: > >of mine, and that I did repair work for them. I >made NO money from the
: > sales of radios.
: >
: > So you were the legit "employee kept in the dark" of an otherwise
: > illegal operation.
:
: You really are thick aren't you? Have you never heard the term
: "independant contractor"? I was not "employed" by anyone. I was not on
: their payroll. I worked on radios, they paid me for each repair, I'm
: sure they tacked on an extra charge on top of mine to the end customer,
: but that's none of my business.
:
:
: >
: > >and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did the repair
: > work.
: >
: > Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig?
: >
: > >Uh, as a way to drum up business?<
: >
: > Why? You already claimed in one post you made no money and in another
: > you claimed you had puh-lenty of business.....damn..you're off and
: > running in record time this time.....ggod thing you have 2 nocodes
: > lapping at my heels to attempt redirects from such self-contradicting
: > posts, hmmmm?
:
: The term "plenty" is a relative term. Any business looks to expand. I
: also stated that I made no money from radio SALES, but I made money from
: the repairs that I did. Besides, these were friends of mine. I was
: helping them out. That's what friends do. You should get a few sometime
: and try it.
:
:
: >
: > > I was the only one on the internet at the time, >and thought it might
: > be a way to expand our >operation. Nothing sinister there.
: >
: > No Dave, you claimed it yours.

: >
: > >Where? Prove that one. I never did any such >thing.
:
: > >I NEVER claimed that the business was mine.<
: >
: > Like George likes to say, "that isn't MY crack in my pocket,
: > officer"..nyuk nyuk nyuk...what's good for the goose...ya' can't have it
: > both ways!
: > It was YOUR name as a sig with the business name and phone right below
: > your name.
:
: Which means nothing. No more than if I were recommending a website that
: sells gear, or a specific radio brand. Once again, you assume things,
: and then when you are corrected, you accuse the other peson of lieing,
: based on nothing more than your own incorrect assumptions.
:
:
: > Your attempted dishonesty at this point in time continues to
: > make all aware of your character traits,,,right there along with your
: > arrrogance in believing anyone would believe anything less than the
: > truth. Talk about a dance and a song..hahaha!
:
: The truth is something that is clear to anyone who comprehends properly.
: The truth however, is something that continually alludes you.
:
:
: >
: > We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.
: >
: > >Now would be a good time.<
: >
: > Nah,,it's always fun to watch you regurgitate on your own claims when
: > caught in lies with "I fergote abote that verbotten one!"
:
: Translation: I need to spend more time searching google for more tidbits
: I can distort into an alternate reality.
:
:
: > Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the
: > business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than
: > "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all
: > washed up here.
: >
: > >I've been "in the business" of reparing radios >since I was 15, as a
: > sideline. I was associated >with the particular business in question for
: > >only about 2 years, back in the 1995 time >frame. I operated
: > independently, my name >was listed nowhere in this dealer franchise.
: >
: > Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your
: > sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is

: > the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?
:
: The name of the business, were the initials of the two guys who "owned"
: it. My name is not associated with it anywhere. And no, the phone was
: not mine either. I don't know if they are still valid. Call them and
: see. Ask for Cliff or Wayne.
:
: You're still reaching, but falling short yet again.
:
:
: >
: > >Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all
: > that's required.
: >
: > Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons
: > dogs" humping my leg.
:
: I'll take that as a "Yes"
:
:
: >
: > >The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
: > >really BAD advice and modification work,
: >
: > With "better" mods? What makes your mods better?
:
: By virtue of my education, and my possession of many pieces of
: commercial grade test equipment.
: >
: > >which resulted in a cleaner radio signal.
: >
: > You also were involved in illegal sales.
:
: >
: > >First off, you don't know what we sold. <
: >
: > Again, correct. Your posts could all be lies.
:
: That depends. I never made any claim of selling anything, since I wasn't
: involved in sales.
:
:
: >
: > >It's perfectly legal to sell type accepted radios >to people. Why would
: > you assume that the >business sold anything else?
: >
: > Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes
: > or no will do.
:
: *I* never sold any radios, as part of this business. Illegal or

: otherwise.
:
:
: >
: > >secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.<
: >
: > Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business"
: > that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did
: > it as a community service....(ROAR)!
:
: As a service to the business, which was operated by personal friends of
: mine.
:
: ime ago.
: >
: > >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.
: >
: > Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
: > the band.
:
: Not if your receiver has a decent front end. A remote car control is
: basically an on-off carrier device, with alternating tones. Those tones
: may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a "clipped" CB. So
: are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile
: away, on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal
: level compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"? You must have one
: crummy receiver.
:
:
: >
: > >That would've required trespass.<
: >
: > Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and
: > distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in
: > your limited grasp of things.
:
: Well yea, I do tend to stay rooted in reality. Examples like saucer men,
: and other wild ideas are normally discounted, unless proven viable.
:
: >
: > >We're not talking about YOUR yard, we're >talking about the guy who
: > actually got the >device planted.
: >
: > Poor side step,,,you have done better.
: > You made the incorrect statement that it is oly good for one channel and
: > I corrected the statement.
:
: No you countered the statement, but you were not correct. Stay with
: argueing the semantics of illegal operation. Technical discussions are
: way beyond your abilities.

:
: So, on one hand, you make the claim that I could have planted the device
: a few yards away without requiring trespass, in your yard, yet in
: another statement, you claim that your yard is not on the scale of a
: postage stamp. So tell me, how does one have any sizable acreage for a
: yard, and still be able to get within a few yard of your antenna,
: without tresspassing? Do you mount your antenna, on a pole at your
: property line? That's not what most people do, and certainly not in the
: case I was refering to.
:
:
:
: > You, realizing you lost more face and even
: > more credibility (is that even possible?) an ran back to your personal
: > example.
:
:
: Well Duh! my "personal" example is what we were originally discussing.
: What relevance does your yard have in it? Unless you want me to plant a
: device in your yard.......
:
:
: You're really losing what little grasp of reality you have left. Maybe
: you're still upset that Bush is still Governer......
:
: This is becoming too much fun. I give you a snippet of a post that I
: made from my "old" account 7 or 8 years ago, and you magically claim to
: have been given information from some "upperclassman". Coincidence? I
: think not. I know all too well, your obsession with tarnishing my name.
: Do you think I would give you something, if I had something to hide? I
: can picture you searching through google for each and every post that I
: ever made, comparing headers, and cross checking several times, looking
: for something to use against me. Your problem is that I don't hide what
: I do/did, and what I do/did, changes nothing with regard to what you do
: now. If I was as impotent as you claim, then why go though all this
: effort to try to discredit me? You make way too much noise for someone
: who is supposedly not bothered by what other people think. You say one
: thing, but your actions speak in a much different voice.
:
: Don't you think I knew what you were going to bring up? Like I've said
: many times, you are nothing else if not predictable. I've been playing
: that cheap fiddle again, and you, true to form, have not disappointed.
:
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"
:
:

728. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 14:44:17 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <yWadnUkgt6vf4VegXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143214

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

Once again, you assume things, and then when you are corrected, you accuse
the other person of lieing, based on nothing more than your own incorrect
assumptions.

Ahhh Dave, you have nailed twisty for exactly who he is.

:
:
: > Your attempted dishonesty at this point in time continues to
: > make all aware of your character traits,,,right there along with your
: > arrrogance in believing anyone would believe anything less than the
: > truth. Talk about a dance and a song..hahaha!
:
: The truth is something that is clear to anyone who comprehends properly.
: The truth however, is something that continually alludes you.

Yes, the truth and twisty are far removed from each other.

:
: Not if your receiver has a decent front end. A remote car control is
: basically an on-off carrier device, with alternating tones. Those tones
: may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a "clipped" CB. So
: are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile
: away, on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal
: level compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"? You must have one
: crummy receiver.
:

Nah he just doesn't understand electronics real well

: This is becoming too much fun. I give you a snippet of a post that I
: made from my "old" account 7 or 8 years ago, and you magically claim to
: have been given information from some "upperclassman". Coincidence? I
: think not. I know all too well, your obsession with tarnishing my name.
: Do you think I would give you something, if I had something to hide? I
: can picture you searching through google for each and every post that I
: ever made, comparing headers, and cross checking several times, looking
: for something to use against me. Your problem is that I don't hide what
: I do/did, and what I do/did, changes nothing with regard to what you do
: now. If I was as impotent as you claim, then why go though all this
: effort to try to discredit me? You make way too much noise for someone
: who is supposedly not bothered by what other people think. You say one
: thing, but your actions speak in a much different voice.

Dave what can I say you have him bagged and tagged. his lies and actions speak volumes about him.

:
: Don't you think I knew what you were going to bring up? Like I've said
: many times, you are nothing else if not predictable. I've been playing
: that cheap fiddle again, and you, true to form, have not disappointed.
:
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"
:
:

729. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 15:41:19 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211070741.2b85ae57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143215

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DCA05B8.4552FD89@cwis.net>...
> Jason,
> That sort of changes things. If you can't calibrate the meter,
> it just isn't going to read correctly. That being the case, I'd
> certainly take another look at things, 'cuz something isn't
> 'right'.
> Has the radio been modified at all?
> 'Doc

Peak and tune......that's it...Oh, yesterday I tried somethin. while
in calibrate and keyed down, I made a slightly loud audio tone, hgh
pitched, and with the CAL knob down it cause it to peak out right
below the red zone, then I calibrated it from there. Still get 1.2-1.3
SWR at that and maxed out CAL. Maybe a power problem???

Jason

730. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 15:42:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021107104209.26889.00002012@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143216

>Subject: Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA
>From: "Don Corleone" lacosanostra@rico.com

>"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021106160522.05358.00002875@mb-fw.aol.com...
>: Listen up on channel 26.915 and see if u guys can hear my piece of
>: junk.....yall take care
>:
>:
>: Thatz-me-JP
>
>why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs

don't you mean 50kc's?

731. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 15:44:58 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <UPOdnReGivl9F1egXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143217

> Peak and tune......that's it...Oh, yesterday I tried somethin. while
> in calibrate and keyed down, I made a slightly loud audio tone, hgh
> pitched, and with the CAL knob down it cause it to peak out right
> below the red zone, then I calibrated it from there. Still get 1.2-1.3
> SWR at that and maxed out CAL. Maybe a power problem???
>
> Jason

You need to do the SWR in AM mode, with no audio.

If you're in sideband, or DSB, you won't be able to cal the meter.

732. Re: Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 15:47:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021107104725.26889.00002013@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143218

your gonna need one for sure

>amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v
>

733. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 15:48:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA8B45.FBABB07D@cwis.net>
Source record: 143219

Bert,
I would try another source of information,
because that definition is just not correct.
It has parts of it right, about the feed line,
but the lengths are wrong.
The difference between a 5/8 wave (.625) and
half of a 'Zepp' antenna (.640) amounts to about
6" at 27 Mhz. That difference in length between
the two just doesn't make enough difference to
worry about, and depending on the conductor used
for the antenna can be merely a difference in it's
velocity factor. The second requirement, being
fed with a balanced parallel feed line is missing
too.
'Doc

734. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 16:06:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021107110614.26889.00002015@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143220

its always been my experience with alot of different cb's that none of them were worth a damn when it comes to the built in swr meters. you should at least have a 20 dollar el cheapo meter just to be sure from time to time.

>
>First of all, I got the Coax from a local CB shop, it's got soldered
>Amphenol connectors and is 18 foot in length. Also, I just installed
>everything today in my TRans Am, and number one, the CAL setting will
>not let the needle swing all the way to the right under the little V
>marker. I'm also getting very low SWR on all channels. I'm wondering
>if the peak and tweek had anything to do with the calibration issue,
>or if the SWR meter in the radio is just f-d up. Any ideas. If my SWR
>is really that good(reading 1.1-1.3), I'm not gonna scrwe with an amp
>for now, especially considering the fact it's already peaked...hmmm,
>I'll just buy a new radio and get a TStar and play around with em, see
>what I can make em do.
>
>
>
>
>
>

735. Re: Skippy and Twisty -- birds of a feather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 16:07:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021107110744.26889.00002017@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143221

sparky, this trolling here has sunken you to a new low

>
>While responding the the other post I noticed a similarity between
>those two. It's not suprising that Twisty ignored the partial list I
>posted of current licensees on the so-called 'freeband'. It's just
>like the way Skippy ignored three of my posts which contain
>irrefutable facts regarding the poor performance of sweep tube amps.
>
>Hey Skippy, do you remember how that thread started? It started with
>you begging Voobner to back up his facts when you couldn't back up
>your own. I jumped in with a couple of your 'facts': You claimed that
>a 6146 was used as a sweep tube, a claim which you eventually conceded
>(with multiple lame excuses, however). And you STILL haven't come up
>with a currently produced amplifier legal for any service between 24
>and 35 MHz. Instead you labored under the false presumption that I
>wouldn't attempt to verify the examples you provided. But when that
>didn't work you avoided the issue by trying to divert the conversation
>into subjects where you thought you would have the upper hand -- but
>were summarily disgraced.
>
>"Classic issue dodge techniques when you have little background in the
>area... The news group would be better served if Skippy could state
>facts as he knows them and provide supporting technical/factual
>material. At this time, nothing of substance has been revealed from
>Skippy's posts. Just a lot of cannon fodder... "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

736. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 16:18:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCA9259-180@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143223

"Jason Cox" wrote:
>First of all, I got the Coax from a local CB >shop, it's got soldered Amphenol connectors >and is 18 foot in length. Also, I just installed >everything today in my TRans Am, and >number one, the CAL setting will not let the >needle swing all the way to the right under the >little V marker. I'm also getting very low SWR >on all channels. I'm wondering if the peak and >tweek had anything to do with the calibration >issue, or if the SWR meter in the radio is just >f-d up. Any ideas. If my SWR is really that >good(reading 1.1-1.3), I'm not gonna scrwe >with an amp for now, especially considering >the fact it's already peaked...hmmm, I'll just >buy a new radio and get a TStar and play >around with em, see what I can make em do.

Talk to "One QuickTA" in MN, he may be able to tell you more about radios in a Trans Am....
What did you say your radio was dead keying? I have seen radios do what you describe with a real low dead key.....the radio may even be "pre-married" to the box,....nothing better than when the radio fits the amp like a glove without doing a thing.....good luck with it.

737. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 16:24:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13329-3DCA93C0-72@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143224

From: lacosanostra@rico.com (Don Corleone)
"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106160522.05358.00002875@mb-fw.aol.com...
Listen up on channel 26.915 and see if u guys can hear my piece of
junk.....yall take care
Thatz-me-JP

>why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs<

Since when was 26.920 legal (assuming you meant to say khz)?

738. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 16:32:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCA95AC-184@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143222

>Like in the case of the 10 meter intruders,

Dave, you are rambling again...ten meter intruders was never the topic and your attempted redirect I shall not allow.

Your jamming is nothing like licensed uppeclassmen cwing over unlicensed ops.
You have committed a crime (your words) and made it ok, made it alright with yourself to break the law in this example. You have somehow made it ok in your world, you have not only allowed yourself but have convinced yourself that your reasoning was valid enough to merit breaking the law. You have placed yourself above the law, much in the way shoplifters do...they claim that it is "ok" and, much like you, exert vast amounts of wasted energy and words attmpting to justify their transgressions to anyone that will give them the time of day. The buck stops here.

739. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:10:10 UTC
From: Eric Oyen N7ZZT <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.07.10.10.42.581.31819@cox.net>
Source record: 143225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <040322.25874.0000372@taranews.net>, "Lloyd"
<Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote:

> On Tue, 05 Nov 2002 17:44:14 GMT, Eric Oyen N7ZZT wrote:
>>
>> Before you go casting stones, dude, you'd better make sure your house
>> isn't made of glass first (and yours appears to be quite glassy atm).
>
> what is glassy atm? hams should be communicatators and should write
> clear posts to be taken serious and to not have missunderstnadings
> happen which is probaly what is going on here.
I've been around the net a lot longer than radio. atm = at the moment...
as for clear posts, well, you aren't any clearer than kmost others around
here lately.

>
>> in any case, I'll take your comment with a grain of salt (A 200 pound
>> grain that is).
>
> see if you and Dan would talk careful you wouldent misunderstand and get
> hard feelings. you should shake hands and make up with each other in
> the sprit of ham radio and of the BARF.
Why are yoy crying me a river?

>
> In the BARF all are welcome and equaly respected. every one should
> join.
whatever you say...

>
> may the BARF be with you and with Dan, Lloyd
uh huh. between you and john mackey, I have no idea who is worse.

>
>
>
> P.S. A simple hand shake got me and Daljit Dhaliwal freindly. it realy
> works.

I won't even try to make sense of that.

DE Eric Oyen (N7ZZT)
Phoenix, Arizona

--
The two most common elements in the universe: Hydrogen and Stupidity

740. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:26:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqe7s6jtk1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 143226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I could care less if you dont believe where I have been Llllooyyyyddd.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:aqbdds$q1u$1$dabcd@taranews.net...
> On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 09:46:20 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
wrote:
> > Poor Poor Lllllooooyyyyddddd....to dumb to figure out the insult.
>
> Poor poor Daaaaaaaaaaaan....to dumb to know his lies are reveald. he
> doesnt know where a banana spider lives so he was never where he
> clamed he was or he would know about it. you and dimmy lie a lot dont
> you?

741. radio shack cb that looks like htx-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:29:23 UTC
From: Crocker <crocker_k@msn.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <nq8lsusg73rlt3g6iri0gcr7i6drdjdcd3@4ax.com>
Source record: 143227

anyone know the model # of a radio shack cb that looks just like the htx-10?

742. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:41:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCAA5BF-199@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143234

Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many even.....

>That's a lie. Plain and simple.<

WinNT Mimeversion 1.0 3.04 Mozilla Gold.

>I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it the same as I always did.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many different avenues.
>No, I haven't.<

I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah did....fergut abote that thar won!"

>You look too hard for things that aren't there.<

When they are there, you lie and when called on it claim you forgot. Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit you to.

>But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference,

It would make you liar.....again....and we are talking access, not necessarily ISP's.

>I use my
>real name, and my E-mail is still the same. >Unlike you,

Ha! My email has always been at webtv.

> no need to conceil my identity.

Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything? You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.

>Gee I wonder why? Maybe because they have >something to hide?

(Giggle) Okaaaay!

> Such as illegal operation?<

Well, which is it, am I hiding or bragging about breaking the law? Can't have it both ways.....hahahaahahahah!

>I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.

Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access the group.

>What rule have I broken?<

Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?

>I don't.<

See above just for starters....you'll hang yourself trying to defend that one too.....

> I have used the same ISP for the last at least >6 years now.

With several other access sites employed by you.

>What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you >if that's what you think.

You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority or I think.

>Right.....which is why they are so vocal.<

They are so vocal cause you are blackening the eye of hammie radio each time you attempt more bullshit, but go ahead and continue to employ thinking only as you can..after all...you fail to see yourself as the majority do.
Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

>I'm still waiting to hear from that "majority" that >you seem to think you represent. But you'll >have to bring them here. I'm not going to Mars >anytime soon.

Space shouldnt be that foreign to you, all things considered.
Yes, I maintain I represent the majority of cbers, hence my views and words popular enough to appear in print concerning cb. The fact that you are hammie trolls in a cb group crying about identities is plenty.
You were defeated once asking this, but we can ask again...all those agreeing with Dave's definition of "federal criminal" (IE: Anyone who has ever received a notice of a rule violation from the FCC) speak now or forever hold you peace.
Hokey Dokey, Dave?

>And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp".....

Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6 others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.

>Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a >pencil-necked internet geek, so I really don't >know the nuances of >each and every routing protocol.

Really? You mean someone was forging you whwn you were attempting to educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?

>When have I done that?<

Are you denying this, Dave?

> Me thinks you have me confused with >someone else.

Then you ARE denying it, Dav

>BTW, if you enter "Dave Hall" in your Google >searches, you're going to find many.

Not in rec.radio.cb there aren't...and there is only one N3CVJ.

>It's a common name, just like "John Smith".

Not in rec.radio.cb, it's not.

A franchise? You now claim your little business was a franchise and that it belonged to others..is that what you are attempting to say, Dave?

>No, I'm not "attempting" to say anything. I'm >TELLING you, straight >up, that the "business" >was a side line >operated by two local friends >of mine, and that I did repair work for them. I >made NO money from the >sales of radios.

So you were the legit "employee kept in the dark" of an otherwise illegal operation.

>You really are thick aren't you? Have you >never heard the term "independant >contractor"?

Keep going, GE man.

>I was not "employed" by anyone. I was not on >their payroll. I worked on radios, they paid me >for each repair, I'm sure they tacked on an >extra charge on top of mine to the end >customer, but that's none of my business.
>and sold radios to the local guys. I was the >guy who did the repair work.

Why would a mere tech use the business as his sig?

>Uh, as a way to drum up business?<

Why? You already claimed in one post you made no money and in another you claimed you had puh-lenty of business.....damn..you're off and running in record time this time.....ggod thing you have 2 nocodes lapping at my heels to attempt redirects from such self-contradicting posts, hmmmm?

>The term "plenty" is a relative term.<

Of ocurse when invoked by you we would expect nothing less.

>Any business looks to expand. I also stated >that I made no money from radio SALES, but I >made money from the repairs that I did.

So you advertised radios or sale for THEM? Why, if you were an independent contactor?

>Besides, these were friends of mine. <

Nice friends...didn't you claim one was a sex fiend?

>I was helping them out. That's what friends do.<

But of course you were....hahahaaha!

>I was the only one on the internet at the time, >and thought it might >be a way to expand our operation. Nothing >sinister there.

No Dave, you claimed it yours.

>Where? Prove that one. I never did any such >thing.
>I NEVER claimed that the business was mine.

Like George likes to say, "that isn't MY crack in my pocket, officer"..nyuk nyuk nyuk...what's good for the goose...ya' can't have it both ways! It was YOUR name as a sig with the business name and phone right below your name.

>Which means nothing.<

We can think for ourselves, Dave, thanks.

> No more than if I were recommending a >website that sells gear, or a specific radio >brand.

(snicker) Okaaay! You like the brand of sneaker you wear so you will use it as a sig.....hahahhahahaahaahahhahaha..beautiful!

>RigOnce again, you assume things, and then >when you are corrected, you accuse the other >peson of lieing, based on nothing more than >your own incorrect assumptions.

Oh well. I call a spade a spade.
Your excuses (both asked for, and those you provided) are at the grammar school level.....again..I ask you,,,,who here do you exactly expect to believe you? A simple question.
Your attempted dishonesty at this point in time continues to make all aware of your character traits,,,right there along with your arrrogance in believing anyone would believe anything less than the truth. Talk about a dance and a song..hahaha!

>The truth is something that is clear to anyone >who comprehends properly.

Sure it is.....but you are here trying to tell us it's what you claim it is and not what we see.....hahhahahahhahaahahhahahahahahhahah...get over it!

We'll keep that around for awhile to resurrect at the right time.

>Now would be a good time.<

Nah,,it's always fun to watch you regurgitate on your own claims when caught in lies with "I fergote abote that verbotten one!"

>Translation: I need to spend more time >searching google for more tidbits

Think whatever you like.
It is when you speak, that we get the real treasures.

>I can distort into an alternate reality.<

Oh really Dave? Is that when you were only the "repair guy" for the business? Once again, your posts show you did quite a bit more than "undo" Galaxies. Blow your bullshit somewhere else, Dave. You're all washed up here.

>I've been "in the business" of reparing radios >since I was 15, as a >sideline. I was associated with the particular >business in question for
>only about 2 years, back in the 1995 time >frame. I operated >independently, my name was listed nowhere >in this dealer franchise.

Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?

>The name of the business, were the initials of >the two guys who "owned" it. My name is not >associated with it anywhere. And no, the >phone was not mine either. I don't know if they >are still valid. Call them and see. Ask for Cliff >or Wayne.

You don;t know if it's valid but want me to ask for someone by name. Gee Dave, that ranks right there with your backslide when I took you up on your offer to meet your "FCC guy".
You're still reaching, but falling short yet again.

>Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all >that's required.

Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons dogs" humping my leg.

>I'll take that as a "Yes"

Cool.

>The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some really BAD advice >and modification work,

With "better" mods? What makes your mods better?

>By virtue of my education, and my possession >of many pieces of commercial grade test >equipment.

Here we go...another jewel..hahha..I can't believe the ego of some of you cryabies that bicth and moan...no wonder we are superior in every facet. We hit you where it hurts the most, in your false image you have created of yourself....hahahahhaa!

>which resulted in a cleaner radio signal.
>You also were involved in illegal sales.
>First off, you don't know what we sold.

Again, correct. Your posts could all be lies.

>That depends. I never made any claim of >selling anything, since I wasn't involved in >sales.

Are you denying offering to sell radios on the interet Dave?

>It's perfectly legal to sell type accepted radios >to people.

You just said you weren;t doing it, yet you attempt a defense of the practice.............ayet we are to believe what you claim, again, not what we see.....hahahahahahahhahha!

>Why would
>you assume that the business sold anything >else?

Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes or no will do.

>*I* never sold any radios, as part of this >business. Illegal or otherwise.

And you were NOT involved in any aspect of selling radios at the time, correct Dave?

>secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.<
Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business" that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did it as a community service....(ROAR)!

>As a service to the business, which was >operated by personal friends of mine.

We see how you rate your friends.....one is a sex fiend and ...what about the other?

>A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>Not if your receiver has a decent front end. <

This coming from an alleged "tech".....man, when it rains it really poors on your head, huh Dave? We are talking cb. Again, you're wrong. Spread some of that wisdom and experence you claim by education...hahahahhahahahaahahahhahhahahaahahahah!

>A remote car control is<

(Snip.)

Thanks Dave, but no one needs the benefit of your socialist views or warped interpretations on anything.

>Those tones may splatter a few channels, but >not any more than a "clipped" CB.

Make up your mind. YOU claimed, not long ago, that my dx activities had the potential to splatter across the BAND.....besides assuming I am running extra power,
you can plainly spot another of your self-contradictions.

>So are you now claiming that a local can plug >your ears from a 1/2 mile away,

Again, I must resort to asking....why is it, that some of those licensed for communication, such as yourself, suffer the greatest deficiencies in such? The irony is lost on you..which makes it a growing delight by the day. How did you get a half mile from my "few yards?" Your gray matter is really straining in that overdrive.....hahahahhaahah!

>That would've required trespass.<

Not if it's my yard. See, you suffer from this affliction and distinction of limited view...it somehow disallows all other examples in your limited grasp of things.

>Well yea, I do tend to stay rooted in reality.<

Lies are not reality to anyone but yourself, and "Arron's" named posse of Lelnad KCLDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and frank Gilliland and George Busch..WA3MOJ .

> Examples like saucer men, and other wild >ideas are normally discounted, unless proven >viable.

Now you're talking about Lelnad and Frank's backgrounds.

>We're not talking about YOUR yard, we're >talking about the guy who >actually got the device planted.

Poor side step,,,you have done better.
You made the incorrect statement that it is only good for one channel and I corrected the statement.

>No you countered the statement, but you were >not correct.

Sure I was. You are applying hammie technicalities (hammie rigs) where they don't apply.

> Stay with argueing the semantics of illegal >operation. Technical discussions are way >beyond your abilities.

Then you have much to learn. You and George must share the same drinking water.

>So, on one hand, you make the claim that I >could have planted the device a few yards >away without requiring trespass, in your yard,

No, I never made that claim at all.

> yet in another statement, you claim that your >yard is not on the scale of a postage stamp. >So tell me, how does one have any sizable >acreage for a yard, and still be able to get >within a few yard of your antenna, without >tresspassing?

Well, slingshot for one.....but that isn;t what we were discussing...
I claimed the device would be heard across all 40 within a few yards of an antenna....YOU claimed it would involve trespass...I claimed not in my yard, it would not be trespass.
Anything else you are attempting but failing again at inferring solidifies your
ignorance and intent.

>Do you mount your antenna, on a pole at your >property line?

I may not have an antenna.

>That's not what most people do, and certainly >not in the case I was refering to.

You mean INFERRING.
You, realizing you lost more face and even more credibility (is that even possible?) an ran back to your personal example.

>Well Duh! my "personal" example is what we >were originally discussing. What relevance >does your yard have in it?

Dunno...you brought it up when you
realized your inference of me trespassing failed.

>Unless you want me to plant a device in your >yard.....

Sure.....you can try, but I must warn you in advance.....Florida has laws that differ a bit from Pa.....it would be a shame to tell that little girl of yours that her daddy was eaten by hog dogs.

>You're really losing what little grasp of reality >you have left. Maybe you're still upset that >Bush is still Governer.....

Um.....about that education you espouse..I mention it only because feel it relevant, but you seem to have slept through the spelling lessons.

>This is becoming too much fun. I give you a >snippet of a post that I made from my "old" >account 7 or 8 years ago, and you magically >claim to have been given information from >some "upperclassman".

You didn't give me anything, Dave. You certainly never mentioned anything about you being a hack shop.

>Coincidence? I think not.<

I KNOW not. I pointed it out, now you are going to lie some more and act like you brought it forward...but don't worry, Dave, there may be some poor soulthat actually believes the mess you have created for yourself.

> I know all too well, your obsession with >tarnishing my name.

Check all our conversations, Dave. As a matter of fat, you completely ignored how we were first introduced when I mentioned it.....you came at me first, as always, and when you realized you were impotent and up against someone who wouldn't roll over and kiss your smarmy uppity arrogant, hammie azz, you and Lelnad and N8 went wild. It has always been YOU that attacks the person and not the post.....'cause you lack communciation skills. It has always been you that has drawn first blood with your personal hangups and concerns with identity...if you weren't having such a crisis, you probably wouln't be such a bad guy,,,,but your family is fractured just like your mind, and it's plain to see why she left.

>Do you think I would give you something, if I >had something to hide?

You didn't give it to me, Dave.

> I can picture you searching through google for >each and every post that I ever made, >comparing headers, and cross checking >several times, looking for something to use >against me.

Hahhaha....that ego!!!!!

>Your problem is that I don't hide what I do/did, >and what I do/did,

Well, you have claimed I hide, then you have claimed I brag. Do I brag that I hide Dave, or do I hide that I brag.......MMMMPH!

>Changes nothing with regard to what you do >now. If I was as impotent as you claim, then >why go though all this effort to try to discredit >me?

There you have it. I "go through" nothing, merely point things out.

>You make way too much noise for someone >who is supposedly not bothered by what other >people think. You say one thing, but your >actions speak in a much different voice.
>Don't you think I knew what you were going to >bring up?

Sure you did....you are afflicted with the "seer" malady.

> Like I've said many times, you are nothing >else if not predictable. I've been playing that >cheap fiddle again, and you, true to form, have >not disappointed.

I've never heard fondling yourself referred to as "plaing that cheap fiddle" but it's not surprising seeing you were in busines with a sex fiend when you ran a hack shoppe.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

Don't be shy, now Dave,,,out that call where all can see it. Be proud of what you have accomplished with your education, even if you were ripped off..

743. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:44:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13331-3DCAA665-13@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143236

Where is the contradiction? Both posts say the same thing. Thanks,
Franky. Better get some rest old boy.

744. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:45:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCAA6D4-202@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143230

Can you not see the forest through the trees? YOU claimed I said "ALL" and Frank showed I said no such thing. Might be time for you to go on the wagon again....ahhahahahah! At least Frank sees your lies and deliberate false attributions.

745. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:47:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCAA73E-205@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143233

From: lacosanostra@rico.com (Don Corleone)
Don wrote:
Tom, twistedhed said there is no one using those frequencys any longer
who should I believe you or him?
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5021-3DCA56AB-124@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

WA3MOJ, do you always feel the need to lie about people when you're
frustrated and your ego is whipped? You go on claiming more lies...it
solidifies everything anyone has always said about your character.
****
>Where is the lie twisty, you said what you said >live up to it like a
man.

Again, you claimed I said "ALL" freqs......show me.

>Lie, lol there goes that word again being >tossed around by the
chronic liar twisty, here >are the words right from your liver lips.
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Problem with Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-02-25 06:46:03 PST
"...As QRM mentioned, many of the freqs are allocated to other services,
but what he doesn't mention, is many of these frequencies have been
deserted and/or abandoned long ago by the perspective entities that
retain rights to these freqs. There may be a few hold outs, but not
many. The only thing one is likely to hear from 26 to the high 29's are
other freebanders."

Ahhahahahahhah! And it says "many" George, not all. the only lie was
from you, again...hahahahaha!

746. Re: hey boobnutts and georgie girl.....you pussies aren't doi...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:48:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13329-3DCAA762-78@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143232

From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
look on ebay you dicks......i have never seen so many cb
amps........tisk , tisk....what's the matter girls.....ebay doesn't
listen to your whining anymore.........?????
muhahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

Searching for new ISP;s has that effect on them.....

747. Re: Some quotes from Dwight.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:49:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13327-3DCAA7C2-207@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143235

Moparholic wrote:
Did Aaron go on vacation too?
--
Oh no..he's still here......seething heavily.

748. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:51:04 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qu1it9sl3.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 143228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

As a prequel to my comments here, see my other posts concerning Phil Kane's comments.

> I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of
> stations, and under the section on what the license allowed it talked
> about supporting the personal or business activities of the licensee and
> the people using the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only
> family members as people who would use your license. (95.181 and 95.179).

179 says, in part:
Sec. 95.179 Individuals who may be station operators.

(a) An individual GMRS system licensee may permit
immediate family members to be station operators in
his or her GMRS system. Immediate family members are
the: [list of members omitted]

This is a list of people who may be station operators: the licensee and his
or her immediate family members.

181 says, again in part:
Sec. 95.181 Permissible communications.

(a) A station operator for an individual who is
licensed in the GMRS (other than an employee of that
individual) may communicate two-way voice messages
concerning the licensee's personal or business activities
(see Sec. 95.179).

I see a limitation on _what_ may be transmitted, but not to _whom_ it may
be transmitted. The other sections state that transmissions may be to
"other GMRS stations at any geographical location ... ." (Section 95.101)
Again, there is no restriction on transmissions to family members.

> So from that it was starting to sound like you could only talk to people
> operating under the same license, and only family members were allowed to
> share a license.

I don't see that myself, but I know reasonable people often disagree.

> Of course, 95.33(a)1-4 had to go confuse things by
> talking about how it was acceptable to share stations, but didn't say a
> thing about licenses.

I _think_ this is supposd to keep repeaters from being commercial.

>
> I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95
> as having been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

One of the problems with all regulations is that you have to know what the intent was before you can understand what the regs are saying. 95.33 is a good example. Repeaters are never mentioned in the section; is that what they're talking about? Who can tell?

To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our personal activities? As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).

The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

749. Re: CITIZEN BAND EQUIPMENT FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 17:54:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13329-3DCAA8D9-79@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143231

Where is it being shipped from?
You would probably have better luck with an email addy besides Juno.

750. Re: Frank -- bird with few feathers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:02:38 UTC
From: Skipp <frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 143229

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
: While responding the the other post I noticed a similarity between
: those two. It's not suprising that Twisty ignored the partial list I
: posted of current licensees on the so-called 'freeband'. It's just
: like the way Skippy ignored three of my posts which contain
: irrefutable facts regarding the poor performance of sweep tube amps.

Your "irrefutable facts" don't hold water... a lot of your technical advise is dated technology, you read book data type data sheets and examples for the most part indicate you have actually built very few amplifiers.... and some of your previous circuit statements would cause people to melt down tubes using your examples. Now that's what I call poor preformance of any rf ampilifier.

: Hey Skippy, do you remember how that thread started? It started with
: you begging Voobner to back up his facts when you couldn't back up
: your own. I jumped in with a couple of your 'facts': You claimed that
: a 6146 was used as a sweep tube, a claim which you eventually conceded

Frankie, you running low on ammo..? you love to go back to the thread where I reposted someone else's text and had no significant reason to doubt that a TV might have used a 6146 or similar tube in the amplication. That's all you've got to go with...? come on Frankie...

In at least two posts, you mention a grid tied to the plate path in an rf amplifier circuit... really bad news frank.

You claim to be a broadcast engineer with lots of knowledge but you have never heard of tetrode reverse screen current and threw a fit when I once mentioned it.

You said grounded grid amplifiers need to be neutralized.

You tell people to put equalization networks on retifiers, when in fact it is very dated technology.

The list goes on...

: (with multiple lame excuses, however). And you STILL haven't come up
: with a currently produced amplifier legal for any service between 24
: and 35 MHz. Instead you labored under the false presumption that I
: wouldn't attempt to verify the examples you provided. But when that

The two Motorola amplifier models I provided are legal for operation within the 25-50MHz range. The fact that you can not verify their legality is of little concern to me.

The Ebay sold TPL amplifiers examples I posted are pretty much circuit equivalents for pre ban models which were spec below the current 35Mhz benchmark. There should be no reason why they cannot be used at... say 31MHz when no mods would be required for operation in the same radio service. Since a lot of your verbal diatribe is flawed, why believe you... I called the FCC for an offical answer, of which I have yet to receive.

I have an actual FCC Radio Service: IB License license in my hand, against my better judgement, I posted the actual FCC file number in that thread just to get you to stop wasting so much bandwitch spazzing about it... didn't do much good... since you can't find it on line, you quicly claim it doesn't exist or is not possible. Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...

: didn't work you avoided the issue by trying to divert the conversation
: into subjects where you thought you would have the upper hand -- but
: were summarily disgraced.

I don't feel disgraced... I believe we clearly showed you have little actual rf amplifier experience. Especially when applied to the real world.

: "Classic issue dodge techniques when you have little background in the
: area... The news group would be better served if Skippy could state
: facts as he knows them and provide supporting technical/factual
: material. At this time, nothing of substance has been revealed from
: Skippy's posts. Just a lot of cannon fodder... "

I actually have a lot more background in the area than you could ever hope to achieve Frankie... The news group is better served when topics are clearly defined, illustrated and gone through.

Readers hopefully have seen some of my follow up posts to your more serious errors and will first do their homework to avoid costly mistakes which also waste a lot of time.

I put a lot of technical material on line at http://sonic.ucdavis.edu I keep asking you for any projects, texts or articles you've writen or posted on the net... or the news groups that isn't your typical hate replies to other peoples posts. Anything at all Frankie..? I even said "please" more than once..

Once again Frankie... please..? anything at all..?

Just to show you I'm a nice guy... I'm willing to post any electronic related (and legal) text on the sonic server you migh offer up. I'll even promise to leave it as is... not change a thing as you send it.

Show us the money frankie...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

751. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:10:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13329-3DCAAC9B-83@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143239

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DC9929B-76@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's going to be some disappointed
demagogues, uh er, I
mean Democrats. I thought everybody was sayin' how the Reps were going
to lose the House.

Nope...just the next presidency....watch the economy now....it will sour
and you'll blame it on the last democratic incumbents. Won't matter
though, a sour economy will be worth tossing out the Bush clan no matter
who gets the blame....as you know, historically, the people always vote
the economy. He's gone.

>Economy? How come they didn't do that THIS >time?

Not a full-blown recession yet. Besides, the country is in a false
patrioic fervor and is in the addicted behavior of sacrificing liberties
for the false sense of security. This too, will come to pass. Look for
record numbers of prisons being built and managed by private coporations
(all very large GOP contributors) and a slew of laws to be rubberstamped
through...after all, never mind that we surpassed Africa with
incarceration rates.....that doesn't matter when we have all these
prisons to fill.

>This is the FIRST time ever that a
>sitting President's party didn't lose seats in >Congress during
mid-terms. Even Clinton lost >some
>during his waning moon. Nope, I think you are >mistaken about George
Bush. The fact that >the
>Reps GAINED instead of losing is due, in part, >to George's aggresive
campaigning on the >parts
>of Republican candidates and his continuing >popularity.

I disagree.
It was due to 911...plain and simple. People are freaked.

>Another thing is that the Democrats lack
>that sense of purpose that unites a party >behind a common cause. The
Dems were/are >in disarray,

Yea, it was nothing like the cohesiveness exhibited by the republicans
when the Chinese were stealing secrets from under their noses because
they were interested in Clinton's sex life.....

>they have alienated themselves, even among >their own, by playing
partisan politics with >Bush nomi-
>nees, and by taking the party WAY too far to >the left on such things
as partial-birth abortion, >gay
>rights and other issues that Mr. Average >American just is not
comfortable with.

Jerry, if the "average Amercian" was even regstered to vote, and
exercised that right...repubs would be the last party in
control......the Whigs would have a better chance...

>I'd even go so far
>as to say that they have taken on narrow >causes and forsaken the broad
base of >working people they once feigned to protect >and serve.

And the right abuses those powers.

> Those Joe-in-the-street types, traditionally >Democrat, blue-collar
workers will only allow >themselves to be pulled just so far to the left
of >center before
>they will rebell. Gone are the days when >people will automatically toe
a party line just >because
>Senator so-and-so said to.

WTF you think just happened with the GOP? C'mon Jerry, even the media
recognizes 911's and GB's last minute campaigning for the ticket.

>The media and instant access to news and >opposing views have taken
>care of that.
>We could argue that on and on, but I would, >unless something changes
drastically, lay >odds that
>Mr. Bush will be re-elected; he IS extremely >popular,

Now. But like his father,
they don't feel our pain. They don't bleed red, they bleed blue.

>he is a down to earth sort, <

Well, THAT merits re-election.

>and his handling
>of 9/11 has our patriotism and guard up.

Patriotism isn;'t wearing a flag or Tshirt or jumping on the popular
bandwagon, yet tat is exactly what took place.

>It may be, indeed, that that event just luckily >fell into his
>lap.

Ya' really think?

> Really, the thing, rather mistake, that got his >Dad was the fact that
he made that "Read My >Lips--NO New Taxes" gaffe and then reneged >on
it.

Wrong. We have always tolerated liars in office as we constantly
re-elect them.
"It's the economy, stupid.."..to paraphrase.

> It was a HUGE error. People would not >forgive that inspite of the
Gulf War success. >His son will be with us, I predict, until 2008.

His son will be with us long enough to secure the 85 BILLION dollars in
contracts with oil interests that were supposed to have been signed and
dotted in '91. After that, he won't give a damn.

>And I sort of like it that way. Trusting our >National Security to a
flamin', bleedin'- heart >liberal makes me
>extremely nervous.

you received one of the best retirement packages one could get under
Clinton. Watch What happens to our senior health funding and blue-collar
workers now.

> Their rose colored glasses get in the way of >their judgement when it
gets to be
>ass-kickin' time. <<g>> Somebody like >Mondale handling our Nation in
time
>of war makes me
>shudder!
>Jerry

I agree. I prefer Jerry Brown.

752. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:14:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13329-3DCAAD7E-85@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143240

From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat®)
>I moved dumbass

I may have believed you, but your hostility, as in many of your posts,
reveal your intent which makes a great case for thinking you dishonest.

753. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:35:30 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211071035.7c9d13d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143237

tnom@mucks.net wrote in message news:<rrcksu874ns62rcahju0vpt7nmv6qh11fg@4ax.com>...
> On 6 Nov 2002 20:13:44 -0800, jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote:
>
> >jasoncox@email.com (Jason Cox) wrote in message
news:<3b4ef160.0211061552.24475de5@posting.google.com>...
> >> I just installed my Cobra 29 in my 79 Trans Am. I have very high
> >> quality coax with soldered Amphenol connectors and a Wilson 2000-T
> >> antenna on the trunk lid. It's got a good ground too. The thing is,
> >> I've never had an SWR as low as I'm getting with this setup. It moves
> >> just a little bit on 1 and 40 but not much. That is without any
> >> trimming or adjusting, just installed it as is and got damn good
> >> readings on the meter. Now, Just to be sure, on the Cobra 29 NW/ST/WX,
> >> when you adjust SWR you set the switch to SWR and key down. Please
> >> tell me I'm not supposed to use the S/RF setting, and I know it's not
> >> CAL...that's for calibrating the needle. So what's going on, did I
> >> just get lucky setting it up just right, maybe I didn't get a good
> >> ground on the other vehicles I've had it installed on?
> >>
> >> Jason
> >> "ET"
> >
> >One more problem I forgot to mention. I set the meter to CAL, but I
> >cannot get that needle to swing under the V marker. The calibrate knob
> >will not push it over there. Any more ideas?
>
> I think you are doing the SWR correctly, But just in
> case.....................
>
> The CAL position is used to adjust the needle to full scale with a AM
> carrier being transmitted. In your case it sounds like there is a V
> that marks this calibration spot instead. If so adjust it to the V.
> There is some sort of potentiometer that will allow this adjustment.
>
> Then switch the meter to SWR and take your SWR readings.

On the Cobra 29 the CAL meter looks somthing like this _|_|__|__V with
the V marker on the very right. Even with the knob turned all the way
up it will not swing ove there. I tried keying the mike, and emmited a
fairly loud audio tone to up the RF output, and this caused the needle
to swing up alot.....I adjusted the CAL knob from there into position
and then calibrated the meter like that. I still get SWR readings of
1.2-1.3 with it calibrated this way, and even with the CAL knob turned
all the way up it barely moves the needle, getting readings from
1.3-1.5. So I'm guessing the SWR on this setup is exceptionally good,

I must have a great ground plane. I've had this same radio setup on a 74 GMc pickup and a 97 Ford Taurus and couldn't get readings below 2.0. I'm hoping the CAL problem isn't caused by low power output, I've had the radio peaked and tuned at the local CB shop. I'm really hopin he didn't screw up. But, maybe, just maybe, I just finally got the right setup..... :)

754. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:37:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqebs9$9fod1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143238

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:4CudnZCiQ9OqWFSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> :
> : His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although he claims it really is.
>
> Your Proof?

There is nobody with the last name Voobner in any internet white pages or in any directory in the U.S. Also, see below.

>
> : He is not a ham, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with 10 meter
> : intruders.
>
>
> Your Proof?

There are no hams with the last name Voobner listed on QRZ.com. So he's either not a ham or his name isn't Voobner.

> : He is not a CBer, so he shouldn't be overly concerned with illegal
CBer's.
>
>
> Your Proof

Whenever anyone asks him what kind of CB or antenna he uses, he sidesteps the answer and claims it's a personal attack against him. Why would someone have to hide what kind of radio equipment he's using, especially since he's on a moral crusade to promote legal CB operating?

>
> : He stated that 10 meter radios are illegal for 10 meter use, and claims
> that
> : he never said that.
>
> No I believe he stated the export radios that are being sold under the
guise
> of a 10 meter radio are illegal. You know the ones with the roger beeps
and
> echo and the Big rebel flags on them. Didn't the FCC also make that
> distinction?

No, he claimed that a 10 meter radio is illegal for amateur use on 10 meters. Here's the post where he replies to a post by Richard Cranium, courtesy of Google:

Message 3 in thread
From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.

Wrong. See below.

>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>Aanyhow?

Irrelevant.

>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.

Wrong again. See below.

>Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!

You sound like Dave & Debbie now.

-Aaron-

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
<snipped>

In case you missed it, here's where Aaron claims that the 2510 is illegal
for hams to use:

Richard Cranium: The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.

Aaron Voobner: Wrong again. See below.

Yet Voobner claims again and again that he never said that. And we've
proven by word from the FCC that any radio that operates on 10 meters is
legal for hams to use them there, since there is no type-acceptance
requirement for amateur radio transceivers.

Don't believe me? Look it up.

Tom

755. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 18:59:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCAB812.6BD4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143242

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
>
> Once again, you assume things, and then when you are corrected, you accuse
> the other person of lieing, based on nothing more than your own incorrect
> assumptions.
>
> Ahhh Dave, you have nailed twisty for exactly who he is.

I've done it on more than one occasion. Which is why he pursues his dogged campaign to malign my character with much vigor and vitriol. I've struck a nerve within him. He's not at all comfortable with the fact that I can read him like a book, and make him perform predictably for all the group to see. His only vindication, will be to find some bit of information that would somehow discredit me.
I've got to give him some credit, I know I wouldn't sift through 8 or 9 years of archived newsgroup posts looking for some tidbit of information, a changed header, or some personal information, the way he does. I've got a life, and a career, I don't have that kind of time. He get's close, but repeatedly falls short. It's really funny to watch......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

756. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:00:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCAB84B.385E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143243

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
>
> : This is almost another classic. Here we are in a newsgroup filled with
> : nothing but words, and twisty here is trying to separate out "action"
> : words from "regular" words.
> :
> : You're a piece of work.
> :
> : Dave
> : "Sandbagger"
>
> Dave the funniest part is when he says something it is only words when you
> do they are actions LOL Talk about screwed up in the head. Oh well it
> would'nt be twisty

I am waiting to hear him try to explain the distinction......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

757. Re: Got my news server up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:02:22 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92BF70A024C7Drrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143241

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in
news:Xns92BF1103455F3rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66:

> qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
> <48375237858723465892346 5@ 458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
> wrote in news:t3aksugll1evt8qaoc0qb9clbtpp8kekbs@4ax.com:
>
>> hey, allan, drop me a e-mail your address bounced. 73
>>
>> k8cpa@arrl.net
>>
>>
>>
>
> Heh, oops. I forgot to add that to the mail server. It's fixed now.
> Guess that explains why I wasn't getting any email to that address,
> huh?
>

And as soon as I fixed the address, the spam started rolling in. Amazing.
That email address is ONLY used in this newsgroup (and some in alt.test
and misc.test). This proves a hunch that I had about spammers: They are
still mining usenet articles for email addresses. I like how some claim I
opted in, even though that address is only used so that people in this
group can contact me. Amazing.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

758. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57op5r.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 06 Nov 2002 22:18:39 -0800, P(il Stripling wrote:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
>>SNIP<
>> stations within a single system, if the message content is
>> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
>>SNIP<
>
>Hi, Phil,
>
>Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

Judge Samuel Conti (N Calif Federal District) once made a
hand-written change to a search warrant we had applied for, changing
the "standard" wording of "...using necessary force..." to read
"...with force deemed appropriate..." When I asked him
(respectfully, of course) what that meant, he replied "screw up and
you will find out". <ggg>

It was my typo. Section 95.181(a) uses the phrase "...concerning
the licensee's personal or business activities". The "or" got lost.

Sorry for the confusion.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

759. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57oxes.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 07:50:44 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only
>one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

Best if said lawyer is a specialist in communications law (guillty
as charged).
>
>Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each
>with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all
>volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where
>we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to
>each other about what we're doing?

That's exactly what REACT does nowadays - they gave up on CB Channel
9 a long time ago. GMRS has been used for such activities almost
from the beginning.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

760. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57p13t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 08:01:16 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95 as having
>been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

Go read Subpart D (for Dummies, as some of my colleagues say).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

761. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:30:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqef3g$mg9$3@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 143297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Stripling" <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:3qn0olnbr4.fsf@shell4.tdl.com...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >SNIP<
> > stations within a single system, if the message content is
> > related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
> >SNIP<
>
> Hi, Phil,
>
> Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

Pretty much anything you want it to be.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

762. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 19:35:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqefbn$5eb$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 143298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Stripling" <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:3qu1it9sl3.fsf@shell4.tdl.com...
> Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:
>
> As a prequel to my comments here, see my other posts concerning Phil
Kane's comments.
>
> > I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of
> > stations, and under the section on what the license allowed it talked
> > about supporting the personal or business activities of the licensee and
> > the people using the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only
> > family members as people who would use your license. (95.181 and
95.179).
>
> 179 says, in part:
> Sec. 95.179 Individuals who may be station operators.
>
> (a) An individual GMRS system licensee may permit
> immediate family members to be station operators in
> his or her GMRS system. Immediate family members are
> the: [list of members omitted]
>
> This is a list of people who may be station operators: the licensee and
his
> or her immediate family members.
>
> 181 says, again in part:
> Sec. 95.181 Permissible communications.
>
> (a) A station operator for an individual who is
> licensed in the GMRS (other than an employee of that
> individual) may communicate two-way voice messages
> concerning the licensee's personal or business activities
> (see Sec. 95.179).
>
> I see a limitation on _what_ may be transmitted, but not to _whom_ it may
> be transmitted.

It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
argue otherwise?

> The other sections state that transmissions may be to
> "other GMRS stations at any geographical location ... ." (Section 95.101)

> Again, there is no restriction on transmissions to family members.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

763. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 20:07:42 UTC
From: Ridge Runner <RidgeRunner@NoLicenseRequiredFreeband.net>
Message-ID: <aqeh5b$2eu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 143299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your first mistake was in applying for license. By doing so, you
voluntarily subjected yourself to unconstitutional bureaucratic
rules. No one in my county ever applies for a bureaucratic license
of any type. We are "state citizens," not subject to unconstitutional
federal bureaucratic rules. We do not allow federal bureaucrats
to trample the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

Ridge Runner

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

764. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 21:55:52 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqenf6$96017$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143300

You're probably better off to either buy or borrow an SWR meter from someone and get it checked out right. From what I understand is that most SWR meters in CB's aren't that accurate.

Better yet is to buy or borrow an antenn analyzer such as the MFJ 259B and check your antenna using that. It will tell you what the SWR is as well as the impedance, and you don't even need to transmit a signal. The analyzer uses its own internal signal.

Tom

765. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 22:21:23 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211071421.1fb54cb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143301

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
>news:4CudnZCiQ9OqWFSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
>> :
>> : His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although
>he claims it really is.
>>
>> Your Proof?
>
>There is nobody with the last name Voobner in
>any internet white pages or
>in any directory in the U.S.

You won't find Tom Cruise's telephone number and home address there either. Does that prove he does not exist? Anyway, here we go again: crying about the Voobner name. This crying has been going on for quite a while, and I cannot for the life of me figure out why an entire newsgroup is obsessed with my name to the point of scouring the internet for clues as to my personal information. I must ask, to what end? What would you do if you came to my address and actually saw the mailbox with the name Voobner on it? Would any good come of it? Likely none would. So if you want info, find it yourself, I'm not assisting you.

>>
>> : He is not a ham, so he shouldn't be overly >>concerned with 10
meter
>> : intruders.
>>
>There are no hams with the last name Voobner
>listed on QRZ.com. So he's
>either not a ham or his name isn't Voobner.
>>
>> : He is not a CBer, so he shouldn't be
>>overly concerned with illegal
>CBer's.

Now you're deciding if people should or shouldn't be overly concerned about 10 meter intruders? Or illegal CBers? Based on what? Whether or not they hold a ham license? Ridiculous. You can't regulate what I (or anyone) should or shouldn't be "concerned with".

>Whenever anyone asks him what kind of CB or >antenna he uses, he
sidesteps
>the answer and claims it's a personal attack against >him. Why would

someone
>have to hide what kind of radio equipment he's using,

As to my radio equipment, there's some stuff on the Voobner family web page, of which the url has been posted again and again in here (do a search on "voobner family web page). It has some old pics of my radios. And at some point I posted info about them (do a search on "aaron's radios") which is stale by now anyway.

My guess is that you don't want information about my radio gear for any purpose except trying to find something in it to whine about. But if you're intent on pursuing this, you might check with Tim Nebo, he's already tried the lame ship-to-shore legality angle a number of times. In my opinion, it's a pretty pathetic argument to pin all your hopes on.

>especially since he's
>on a moral crusade to promote legal CB operating?

So what do you have against "legal CB operating"?

>> : He stated that 10 meter radios are illegal for 10 meter use, and
claims
>> that
>> : he never said that.
>>
>> No I believe he stated the export radios that are being sold under
the
>guise
>> of a 10 meter radio are illegal. You know the ones with the roger
beeps
>and
>> echo and the Big rebel flags on them. Didn't the FCC also make that
>> distinction?
>
>No, he claimed that a 10 meter radio is illegal for amateur use on 10
>meters. Here's the post where he replies to a post by Richard
Cranium,
>courtesy of Google:
>
>
>Message 3 in thread
>From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
>Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST
>
>
>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>

>Wrong. See below.
>
>>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>>Aanyhow?
>
>Irrelevant.
>
>>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
>Wrong again. See below.
>
>>Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!
>
>You sound like Dave & Debbie now.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>----
>
>ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
><snipped>

Why snip that? Oh never mind. I reposted it below.

>In case you missed it, here's where Aaron claims that the 2510 is
illegal
>for hams to use:
>
>Richard Cranium: The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
>Aaron Voobner: Wrong again. See below.
>
>Yet Voobner claims again and again that he never
>said that. And we've
>proven by word from the FCC that any radio that operates on 10 meters
is
>legal for hams to use them there, since there is no type-acceptance
>requirement for amateur radio transceivers.
>
>
>Don't believe me? Look it up.
>
>Tom

In your previous post you said:

>I've never tried to discredit you except the time you
>denied making the statement that all 10 meter radios
>are illegal for amateur use

I guess you did some research and found I never made the statement "all 10 meter radios are illegal for amateur use". So you've shifted your argument over to the Uniden HR-2510. LOL. OK. First let's go to that pesky list of transceivers that you left out:

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turb
CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
PRO STAR - model: 240
RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950
TEK - model: HR-3950
UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

So far so good. But this list is not the only thing you snipped. Look at what I *actually* said in the post you referred to (and subsequent ones):

From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: 10 meter radios - the final word
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:34:42 PST

>>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
>Wrong again. See below.

Woah, Tom. You snipped off the rest of my post and the subsequent posts I made. The HR2510 is legal for 10 meter use --- only under certain conditions. One of them being that it is used by a licensed ham, used within Part 95, etc. etc. Nice try tho.

From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:00:05 PST
Landshark wrote:
>Aaron H Voobner wrote...
> >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
> >
> >-Aaron-
>

> Wrong Aaron, any ham can legally transmit on
>the ten meter band with those radios.

That is essentially what I said above: under certain circumstances a ham could legally transmit using them in accordance with Part 97. Some individuals take this as an indication that hams may for example, buy radios on the FCC's BANNED LIST from Copper or Pacetronics just by flashing their license. Don't forget that the radios on the FCC's BANNED LIST are still illegal to advertise, distribute, and purchase.

From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:49:49 PST
n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
>
>There are no "certain circumstances" about it.

Here are two certain circumstances. FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the so-called "freeband".

Doesn't sound like I'm saying "all 10 meter radios are illegal" or "hams can't use 10 meter radios on 10 meters", does it? Nope.

You took one sentence out of context in order to make it look like I meant something I didn't.

You realize this old gag has been tried on me many times in here. It didn't work then and it won't work now. The funny thing is that if I am wrong about something (and I have been on occasion), I've admitted to it. I'm not one of these people that needs to maintain a rep as a know-it-all. But when someone tries to misrepresent something I wrote, I will defend it.

Regarding your probes about my home address, phone number, etc. I'm so sorry to see you've made the transition from semi-normal contributor to "anti-Voobner troll". I thought you said I have no credibility? Well I must have a LOT of credibility because you sure have made some serious effort here trying to disparage me. Oh well, you never can judge a book by it's cover, LOL.

The only thing I wonder about is why a ham like you with no axe to grind (other than to give your personal blessing to illegal CB operation and pirate radio) is spending so much time campaigning against Voobner here in rec.radio.cb.

-Aaron-

766. Re: GE SUPERBASE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 22:29:23 UTC
From: nghtrain1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107172923.26848.00000099@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 143302

Try this guyin Hampton Virginia. Wades CB Repair 757-766-7300 You can only get him after 12PM saturdays. Sometime he works late,so don;t be afraid to call late. I hope he can help you.

767. Re: Wanted CHEROKEE CBS-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 22:33:56 UTC
From: nghtrain1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107173356.26848.00000100@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 143303

Ther is one on Ebay

768. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 22:43:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCAECA2.62421D9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143312

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Where is the contradiction? Both posts say the same thing.

Kinda what I thought too, did we miss something?

769. The Skippy Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 22:50:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcaaeb2.364262@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143304

In <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp
<frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip issues addressed below>
>The two Motorola amplifier models I provided are legal for operation
>within the 25-50MHz range. The fact that you can not verify their
>legality is of little concern to me.

The equipment authorizations for those amps have expired, or did you
forget about that little detail?

<snip>
>I have an actual FCC Radio Service: IB License license in my hand, against
>my better judgement, I posted the actual FCC file number in that thread
>just to get you to stop wasting so much bandwitch spazzing about it...
>didn't do much good... since you can't find it on line, you quicly claim
>it doesn't exist or is not possible.

No, I said that the license can't be located by the file number and I
asked for the callsign. Again, what's the callsign, Skippy?

> Hard copies are the bottom line
>frankie...

And like I said before, I don't have a hard copy. As far as I know you
are looking at a sheet of toilet paper.

<snip>
>I actually have a lot more background in the area than you could ever hope
>to achieve Frankie...

Alright, you asked for it. Time for the list:

1. You specifically said that the 6146 was used as a sweep tube, but
when faced with the challenge of finding the make and model you
backtracked and said this:

>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>were
>some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>oscillator
>and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

which demonstrated your ignorance of the subject. You didn't know
where the 'sweep' came from in 'sweep tube': I had to explain that
they were named because they were designed specifically for use as
horizontal deflection amplifiers, i.e, television 'sweep' amplifiers.

2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it wasn't operating as a triode.

3. To defend your remark that a triode-connected sweep tube wasn't operating as a triode, you tossed out the term "reverse screen current", totally ignoring the fact that reverse screen current occurs because of secondary emission from the plate, a problem which doesn't exist in a sweep tube because of the beam deflection plates AND because the screen is grounded for operation as a TRIODE, which cannot have ANY screen current, forward OR reverse.

4. You didn't know where the 'beam' in 'beam tube' came from, but instead thought it was synonymous with 'power tube'. In fact, you specifically stated that "the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in the generic sense serve as a 'sweep' tube", which propted me to explain that the 'beam' tube is a subcategory of 'power' tubes, and the 'sweep' tube is an application-specific power tube, be it a beam tube or otherwise.

5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.

6. You have shown many times that you didn't even know the difference between Class AB1 and AB2 operation, the difference being that AB1 draws no grid current while AB2 does. In fact, while reading through the previous posts, it seems that you were under the impression that AB1 meant common-cathode while AB2 meant grounded-grid. For example, while discussing neutralization, you state that it is "not required in a properly designed AB2 amplifier", which is totally disrepsective of the fact that an AB2 amplifier can be common-cathode, and therefore require neutralization.

7. You have the mistaken belief that the 'flyweel effect' of a tank circuit is a cure-all for non-linearity in a linear amplifier, when in fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid current distortion.

8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.

9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity detectors" (your own terminology), and saying that ALC circuits are "bad news" because of their "time constants". Of course I set the record straight that ALC circuits are basically RF compressors which require attack and decay time constants, and are so used in the radios you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective use in amplifiers and other circuits.

10. You continue to claim that sweep tube amps meet or exceed FCC specifications, yet you never state those specifications or the conditions under which the amplifiers passed, you never post any noise figures, and you never make any comparisons to amps that use RF power tubes.

11. You clearly do not understand the cause of parasitic oscillations, stating that it is due to the nature of a tube, and that the 6146 and 4CX250 were 'seriously' prone to VHF parasitics. I needed to explain to you that they are caused by resonant conditions within the circuit, not the tube itself. I also explained why sweep tubes are NOT immune from parasitics because of their poor VHF response as you claimed.

12. You didn't realize that if a receiver can hear the background noise on a frequency that a pre-amplifier does not improve the signal-to-noise ratio at all, and will actually ADD to the noise with it's own circuitry.

13. As stated before, you didn't understand why a grounded-grid amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative feedback!).

14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the tube for input.

15. You stated that "exciter feed through power of the example AB2 grounded grid amplifier is a big time 'freebie' you don't see in AB1 circuits", which only reinforces my theory that you really don't understand amplifier classes of operation. Grounded-grid amps require drive power because the input is the cathode, which must conduct if the tube is to work at all. Input power of a grounded-grid amplifier is always carried to the plate regardless of class of amplification, and regardless of grid-current.

16. You claimed that grid-current distortion only occurs in a grid-driven circuit. Of course that's not true, as grid-current distortion occurs whenever grid current occurs, regardless of whether it's grounded-grid or common-cathode.

17. You claimed that putting a resistor in series with the cathode of a grounded-grid amplifier will improve linearity, when in fact it does nothing but reduce the output power and dissipate part of the input power. I also pointed out how cathode resistors are commonly used to

improve the linearity of a common-cathode amplifier, but not for RF amplifiers because it would unground the cathode. Such practice is an audio technique that you mistakenly applied to an RF application, and also to the wrong type of amplifier.

18. You stated that voltage equalization networks are "dated technology", claiming that almost no amplifiers use them anymore. This is not only a slap in the face of good engineering practices, but also flows against basic semiconductor theory: Exceed the PIV and the rectifier may fail. Regardless, most amplifier manufacturers do not use series connected rectifiers, opting instead for a single rectifier of the proper voltage rating (which of course doesn't need a voltage equalization network because one rectifier is not multiple rectifiers in series).

19. You were totally unaware that the mic gain is the power control for an SSB radio, showing a gross misunderstanding of the principles of SSB modulation.

20. You claimed that the interelectrode capacitances of a sweep tube are "easily dealt with", yet on the Dentron you failed to recognize an input capacitor used to compensate for the input capacitance of the tube, calling it one leg of a pi tank. In fact, you don't know the difference between a pi-tank and an L-pad, frequently using the term "pi-L" to denote something as yet unidentified.

21. You mentioned one of the drawbacks of running AB1 was dumping power into the swamping resistor, which means that you have no idea that a swamping resistor is used to reduce grid-current distortion. Of course you can't have grid-current distortion in AB1. And you also didn't realize that a low-impedance tuned input is far superior to a swamping resistor (when running AB2) because of the negligible power loss, and because it performs better at maintaining linearity of the signal under grid current transistions.

22. You haven't explained why grid-current distortion is "not an issue" with "most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers". In fact, you haven't even explained once what makes a "properly designed" amp. On the contrary, I have explained many times why AB2 IS a problem when the amplifier uses sweep tubes.

23. Whenever you don't know something you make up vague and nonsensical terms like "classic", "linearity detector", "passive gain operation", "traditional potentials", "beam power application", or the use of the term "low-mu" to designate a triode-connected sweep tube that doesn't work like a triode (and you still haven't explained how it works if not like a triode).

Who's the one lacking in RF theory and experience, Skippy? It ain't me, that's for DAMN sure!

770. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 23:18:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021107181804.27680.00003405@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 143305

you should change your sig file

Dave
"Jammer"

that one would do nicely or how about

Dave
"CB Radio Tech"

or perhaps

Dave
"Ex Freebander"

but i bet you'll like this one best

Dave
"Born Again Ham"

771. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 23:48:05 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqeu3l$148$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 143306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.family Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
> On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 07:50:44 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>>Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only
>>one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

> Best if said lawyer is a specialist in communications law (guillty
> as charged).
>>
>>Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each
>>with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all
>>volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where
>>we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to
>>each other about what we're doing?

> That's exactly what REACT does nowadays - they gave up on CB Channel
> 9 a long time ago. GMRS has been used for such activities almost
> from the beginning.

Great! Thanks a lot for the info; it should open up all sorts of
possibilities. I'm correct in my assumption that everyone (or at least
every family) needs to buy their own license, since there's no non-individual
licenses being given out?

772. HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 23:49:56 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24861-3DCAFC24-89@storefull-2195.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143310

If I change tube rectifiers over to solid state can I expect an increase in B+ voltage?What %?.Thanks...Mark

773. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-07 23:54:33 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqeufp$148$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 143307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In alt.radio.family Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote:
> Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these
> are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored
> here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry
> over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
> communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
> license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
> personal activities? As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages
> concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
> on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how
> I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm
> sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).

Yea, that's one of the things some of us here would like to do; support
local events. We've got a bunch of FRS units we use now, and some aging
and near-death green dot and blue dot units (which we have a perfectly useless
license for, thanks to murs)... We were looking at GMRS as a extremely
cost effective way to consolidate systems, and keep the range we need for
the extreme ends of our stuff.

> The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
> Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

Virginia, actually. vt.edu stands for Virginia Tech. Vermont is
actually uvm.edu. As I recall it stands for the latin for "university
of the green hills" or some such. Years ago, when people had to start
picking domain names, I argued for vatech.edu, because vt.edu would be
potentially confused with vermont, given that vt is the darn state code
for vermont. I was told "noooo, that'll never happen. and vatech.edu is
too much to type." Sheesh. For the record, yea, happens all the time!

Much colder than the bay, but not nearly as bad as vermont.

774. FS : Comanche BlackHawk 10 Meter AM/FM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 00:02:53 UTC
From: Eric <alpine@twmi.rr.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <NaDy9.537$2m.249870@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 143308

```
Modified 10 meter radio. Brand new in box.
$165 shipped
```

775. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 00:08:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <p4Dy9.4348$uQ3.4032@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143309

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13329-3DCAAC9B-83@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...

you received one of the best retirement packages one could get under
Clinton. Watch What happens to our senior health funding and blue-collar
workers now.

I don't think you quite understand Railroad Retirement. RR Retirement was
around in the
1880's or thereabouts, When Socialistic IN-security came along under Mr.
Roosevelt, it was
(correctly) opted out. It is administered by the US Railroad Retirement
Board separate from
Socialistic IN-security and RR retirees don't pay into that pinko program.
However, we pay an
amount equivilent to and well ABOVE that of SS with an equal amount being
paid by one's rail
employer. The benefits are NOT politicized and you rarely hear RR
Retirement mentioned during
the campaign process. All Socialistic IN-security is is a vote-buying
scheme by a "certain" party'
to keep its voters in line--although, it doesn't always work. In my state,
one of the campaign issues
between Clinton's lackey Bowles and Liddy Dole was privitization of future
contributions. Ms. Dole wants to privatize FUTURE contributions so that
workers now can enjoy a better standard of
living out in the future. It also puts more money into circulation for
investment and creates jobs. Anybody with any sense knows that a CD invested
and rolled over for a worker's lifetime will yield
FAR more that Socialistic IN-security ever could. But the Dems don't want
that, no siree!! That would take that voting "carrot" out of their hands.
"Look at what I and MY party did for you--we
got your social s'curity raised to $850 a month!" They have manipulated
retirees for 70 years with
that sh**, and kept them scared so they'd vote a "certain" way.

Well, when I looked for a career--something I would want to do for the rest
of my life, I discovered there there WAS something better and less political
than social shit-curity. Something
that would yield for me almost TWO AND 1/2 TIMES THAT OF Socialistic
IN-security--the rail-
road industry! Not only was it better in yield, but the retirement age is
60--what it was in 1959 in-
stead of creeping up to 67. So, the bottom line is, RR Retirement has
nothing to do with Clinton

or Bush, or Jimmy Carter (yuck). The pols can't intercourse with it -- oh, but they'd LIKE to!

As to those liberal politicians I can't help but picture with pink feathers all over like Hillary, Daschle,
Mondale, , and even Jerry Brown, all I want them to do is go the hell home, get their sticky fingers
OUT of my pockets and their noses out of my life. They don't owe me a thing, I don't want their
cradle to grave care, and I don't want them in my life.

Jerry

BTW, before someone starts that old uninformed line about railroads being "government", you can
stop that right now. Railroads, with the exception of Penn Central/Conrail, are, and always have been private or publicly held, stock-issuing corporations just like any other.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

776. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 00:22:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rtDy9.302211$Fw2.8663380@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143311

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211071421.1fb54cb5@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
> >"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
> >news:4CudnZCiQ9OqWFSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> >> :
> >> : His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although
> >he claims it really is.
> >>
> >> Your Proof?
> >
> >There is nobody with the last name Voobner in
> >any internet white pages or
> >in any directory in the U.S.
>
> You won't find Tom Cruise's telephone number and home address there
> either. Does that prove he does not exist? Anyway, here we go again:
> crying about the Voobner name. This crying has been going on for quite
> a while, and I cannot for the life of me figure out why an entire
> newsgroup is obsessed with my name to the point of scouring the
> internet for clues as to my personal information. I must ask, to what
> end? What would you do if you came to my address and actually saw the
> mailbox with the name Voobner on it? Would any good come of it? Likely
> none would. So if you want info, find it yourself, I'm not assisting
> you.
>

You're a legend in your own mind, that's all.

777. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 01:03:32 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qlm4498kb.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 143313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> Virginia, actually. vt.edu stands for Virginia Tech. Vermont is
> actually uvm.edu. As I recall it stands for the latin for "university
> of the green hills" or some such.

Yeah! You're right -- I know someone from UVM once in Texas, and it means green mountains. I couldn't figure out why it wasn't UV, but that leads to its own misunderstanding, I guess.

> Much colder than the bay, but not nearly as bad as vermont.

You're still on for a beer (or drink of your choice) next time you're in the area.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

778. RS TRC-485 mod. -adding more channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 01:04:21 UTC
From: Alex <Alex@ipan.net>
Message-ID: <tLRy9.15420$EJ.49386524@news.primus.ca>
Source record: 143535

Hi everyone;
I found on the web an interesting information regarding TRC-485 modification. http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html). Well, it didn't work very well for me -yet.
Does anybody performed such a modification and if so, I'm looking for the following advice:
1. Statement in mod. article says; "pin 31 (open), pin 32 (open), pin 33 (open), pin 34 (close)", does it mean you have to lift pins from the circuit board and keep them "open" (floating) or you have to attach them to Vcc (+5V)? Does pin34 (close) mean it must be attached to GND or to Vcc(+5V)?. Pins 31 before modification is connected to pins 68 and 73 (all these pins are Vdd as per microprocessor spec. sheet) which go to +5V through the diode. Pin 34 I don't know where is attached to, pins 32 and 33 are attached directly to Vcc (+5V). Why mod. suggest to make pin31 (Vdd) open?
2. If successful with above, but without doing any adjustments to VCO, is it possible that at least some the new channels will work when TX and RX (say, from 27.405 to 27.856mhz)?

I really appreciate any help in this matter.
A

779. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 01:09:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <k9Ey9.23262$h4.8907@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 143314

Gotcha, thanks. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCA8B45.FBABB07D@cwis.net...
>
>
> Bert,
> I would try another source of information,
> because that definition is just not correct.
> It has parts of it right, about the feed line,
> but the lengths are wrong.
> The difference between a 5/8 wave (.625) and
> half of a 'Zepp' antenna (.640) amounts to about
> 6" at 27 Mhz. That difference in length between
> the two just doesn't make enough difference to
> worry about, and depending on the conductor used
> for the antenna can be merely a difference in it's
> velocity factor. The second requirement, being
> fed with a balanced parallel feed line is missing
> too.
> 'Doc

780. Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 01:28:34 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17846-3DCB1342-64@storefull-2376.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143315

I have recently noticed a few trucks coming thru town with the CB antennas tilted forward at an approximate 45 degree angle. What is the reasoning, real or in error, for this procedure? My thought was the taller and higher the antenna, the better, but I'm no expert.....

God Bless, and thanks for your explanations in advance.

Dale

781. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 02:42:53 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NwFy9.78468$c51.25271065@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143316

The angled antenna, or under it's proper name "the Chicken Hauler Look" has it's origins in both practical, and urban legend sources.

First, practicality. When this started out, many 48" common whips were pretty wiggly, thin POS, that under the gale force wind of the overdriven double-clutchin' gear-jammin' large car's progress down the super slab, these things bent backwards towards the stacks, (or if it was a Petercar, over the top of the ears of the driver who, in order to keep up the image, was seated on the floor of the tractor with just his eyes visible over the dash), or the cab shield, etc. , and SORT OF changed the polarization of the antenna more to the horizontal. To combat this, a slight (10 degree or so) forward tilt was tried to keep them vertical. When the new 56+ inch Wilson/Penetrator/polished aluminum IUD-on-a-pole type of contraptions came along, the angle kept the tips of the antennas from hitting underpasses, wires, low flying Bears-in the Air, etc..The longer the whip, the steeper the lean-to effect.

Now the theories. The angle of radiation allows the signal to get farther through channel 19's self generated hetrodyne noise level than the guy that you can actually see in front of you, or in your mirrors. Also handy for working that guy in the UK who always says "cheers and beers". It also, properly amplified, can serve as a de-icing ray for the ground immediately in front of your steer axle tires, promoting safety. (But ONLY if you use the Smith System) Crows, sparrows, and the occasional wild turkey no longer snap off the whips, rather they are impaled upon them, providing a handy alternative to the prices those bastards at the TA and Flyin Hook charge for powdered eggs! (Again, with proper amplification, the birds can be cooked as you drive, and RF burns actually do brown the meat, unlike the 3.9 cu. ft. microwave in the 106" American Eagle Bordello Deluxe Pro Edition sleeper. This also saves you on those unsightly jogs away from the on duty-driving line of the comic book.)

But mostly, it's cause it looks
cool.....driver!

Dale wrote in message
<17846-3DCB1342-64@storefull-2376.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
I have recently noticed a few trucks coming thru town with the CB antennas tilted forward at an approximate 45 degree angle. What is the reasoning, real or in error, for this procedure? My thought was the taller and higher the antenna, the better, but I'm no expert.....

God Bless, and thanks for your explanations in advance.

Dale

782. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 02:55:41 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <fj9msu0liv13b5luvjqn2fk7i69qsu2mh9@4ax.com>
Source record: 143317

>On the Cobra 29 the CAL meter looks somthing like this _|_|__|__V with
>the V marker on the very right. Even with the knob turned all the way
>up it will not swing ove there. I tried keying the mike, and emmited a
>fairly loud audio tone to up the RF output, and this caused the needle
>to swing up alot.....I adjusted the CAL knob from there into position
>and then calibrated the meter like that. I still get SWR readings of
>1.2-1.3 with it calibrated this way, and even with the CAL knob turned
>all the way up it barely moves the needle, getting readings from
>1.3-1.5. So I'm guessing the SWR on this setup is exceptionally good,
>I must have a great ground plane. I've had this same radio setup on a
>74 GMc pickup and a 97 Ford Taurus and couldn't get readings below
>2.0. I'm hoping the CAL problem isn't caused by low power output, I've
>had the radio peaked and tuned at the local CB shop. I'm really hopin
>he didn't screw up. But, maybe, just maybe, I just finally got the
>right setup..... :)

If you can't get the CAL adjustment to the V then your SWR will read lower than it actually is. I believe, but I am not sure that there may be another internal adjustment to adjust the calibration sensitivity?

If you can't find that adjustment then there is another adjustment. Just turn the power up beyond four watts and it should calibrate properly.

783. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 02:58:47 UTC
From: the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DCB2867.7040207@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I've given thought to that, and I have the following questions:

1. - Assuming I have a ham license and a 10 meter radio, who can I talk to? Ham seems to be dying, as some articles in this group suggest, and I'd hate to spend hundreds of dollars on study and very expensive equipment only to find I'm the only one on the road with a radio.

2. - How much does it really cost?

JJ wrote:
>
> Ginger Eakle wrote:
>
>>That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly hear
>>anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to someone I
>>would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to their rig.
>>I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I have
>>decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
>>I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
>>little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the hobby
>>when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal in it.
>>I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked again
>>when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a base
>>station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of our
>>metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.
>>I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
>>companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
>>Let's hope so.
>>Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
>
> .
> Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> study.

784. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:00:32 UTC
From: the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DCB28D0.8080304@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143319

I run on I-20 in the Southeast, and 19 is very much alive with truckers.
It's actually unusual for me to drive up on a trucker who isn't
listening on 19.

Mike Roe Farad wrote:
> I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> traffic on channel 19 anymore. Have the majority of them moved to a new
> "freeband" frequency? It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
> Mike
>

785. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:06:51 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bTFy9.3657$O71.2041@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 143320

How would you tune such an antenna with out an antenna tuner in your set up?

Dave

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aq6qka$757ab$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "**********" <vodkabacardi@yahoo.co.in> wrote in message
> news:4200f0f2.0211030823.62c03f86@posting.google.com...
> > how do i go about making a cheap homebase ariel.. i used to know years
> > ago, how to make a inverted v in the loft, but now have forgotten..
> >
> > HELP NEEDED..
> >
> > cheers
>
> It's very simple to make a simple 11 meter dipole. Just take two lengths
of
> 3/4" conduit around 8.6 feet long and connect them together with a wooden
> dowel. Be sure to connect the center conductor of your coax to one end,
and
> the shield braid to the other end right there where the dowel is. Spray
> paint or varnish the wooden dowel to keep moisture out, and you have a
handy
> 11 meter dipole. Just mount it vertically and you'll have one heck of an
> antenna. Be sure to insulate it from ground, though. You may also want
to
> put PVC end caps on each end to keep moisture out.
>
> A friend of mine built one of these and it worked quite well. Total cost
> should be anywhere from $10-$20.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

786. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:08:08 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021107220808.12323.00003600@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 143321

Try that same 100mW on 27 Mhz and you wont even make a squeek.....Please do us a favor and stay on the Ham bands and brag about your QRP station...your momma would be proud.....
PS Why the hell are you posting this crap in REC.RADIO.CB.....find a Ham group!

>From: "Dave VanHorn" >dvanhorn@cedar.net
>DC to Daylight. I can put up 100mW on >514nM

"Got RF?"

787. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:29:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h589vxu.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 14:35:10 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:

>It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
>I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
>argue otherwise?

That's why it was written that way. It would exclude
communications relating to someone else's personal or business
axtivities in which the sender were not involved. See my tale
of woe in another post on this same thread.

The GMRS rules were rewritten several years ago in an effort to
exclude it being used for company business communication (wanted
to get them all on Business Radio or even ESMR/Nextel) but ooops,
there were lots and lots of those pesky company licensees that
they had to grandfather somehow.

The main benefit of the rewrite for me was to authorize all GMRS
licensees to use all GMRS frequencies except for two channels
north of Line A, but the latter were grandfathered anyhow if
they were licensed for those channels at the time of the
rewrite (as I was).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

788. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:29:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h58d6iv.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 07 Nov 2002 09:51:04 -0800, Phil Stripling wrote:

>And we're back to my quandry
>over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
>communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
>license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
>personal activities?

Tes on both.

Early in my career with the FCC we cited a railroad for
transmitting non-business communication because train crew
members were monitored having an extended discussion over what
to order for lunch. We received a multi-page reply back from
their legal department citing (with attachments) the fact that
their contract with the union obligated the railroad to provide
a meal to the train crew if certain work conditions existed,
yakety yackety yack, and therefore the cited communication was
in fact official business of the railroad. We had to agree with
them and from that point on we were always quite liberal in
stretching interpretation of "personal and business activities"
as applied to most radio communications. That attitude did not
carry over to the prohibited types of communication in the
Amateur Radio Service (Sect. 97.113), though.

>As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages concerning my
>business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
>on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :->

And there goes security and client confidentiality out the window......

>I can't quite figure out how I can use it "concerning the licensee's ...
>business activities," but I'm sure someone will as it applies to us
>lawyers).

Simple - if you are the licensee. Business activities include
such things as ordering office supplies, when to open the
office on weekends, why to pay the rent on time, etc. I would
steer very clear of discussions imnvolving cases - GMRS is even
more insecure than cellphones.

If you are referring to the client as the licensee, not so
simple. The rules do not permit an "agent" to operate under
the license, only an employee.

>The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
>Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

How are things going with the SMCBA and the court project? Are
the court and the county clerk's offices ready to be dragged
kicking and screaming into the 1990s? I lost touch with that
project when I moved up here.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

Retired and Loving Every Minute of It
Work was getting in the way of my hobbies

789. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:30:58 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <kjWdnd6esrXIrVagXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"the lone marauder" <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3DCB2867.7040207@yahoo.com...
> I've given thought to that, and I have the following questions:
>
> 1. - Assuming I have a ham license and a 10 meter radio, who can I talk
> to? Ham seems to be dying, as some articles in this group suggest, and
> I'd hate to spend hundreds of dollars on study and very expensive
> equipment only to find I'm the only one on the road with a radio.

That's a tough one, because it varies so much on where you live.
One night, crossing donner pass in heavy snow, I thought I'd ring up someone on 10M just in case. The only guy I could contact was in Pensylvania. :)

> 2. - How much does it really cost?

As much/little as you'd like.

You can get a VHF radio for $50-$100 if you shop around. The FT-1500 is an excellent buy, brand new at $119 typically at hamfests. 50W output, and you can drive nails with the case.
OTOH, a new Kenwood D700 will set you back $600/$700, and you'll want a decent GPS unit with that.

I run Slow Scan TV in the car, plus APRS, and VHF/UHF voice. I've got probably $1500 in the mobile rig. One piece at a time, lots of ebay surfing, and hamfesting helps. My SSTV converter goes for $350 new, $90 on ebay.
The TM-V7a VHF/UHF radio I got at a hamfest for $200 (a great deal!) Two FT-1500s for SSTV and APRS, at about $100 each, TNC for $75, misc bits and pieces.

I used to run an HT in the car, just jacking it into a magmount 5/8 wave antenna, did pretty well with that, but then I wasn't trying to run a county skywarn net and get radar updates in the field, or send "live" pix back to NWS... SSTV tells them what I'm seeing, APRS tells them where I am.
http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?kc6ete-9

Occasionally, I put one of my base radios in the car, depending on what I'm going out for.
If I'm chasing interference, it will be the IC-R8500 with the doppler DF.
For HF work, the FT-847, since it's got 440, 2M, 6M, and all the HF bands.

One thing that I haven't done yet, is to go mobile, and work some HF SSTV.
I've done a bit of that here from the house, on 14.230, but never tried it mobile.
Soon... Real soon! Soon as I get this current work project put to bed!

790. Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:31:46 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <usmbvsit6tib8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143326
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Sunair GSB 900sc
http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

RCI 2970 10 meter radio built in 150w linear never mobile in excellent condition...$500.-was $750. new. Also have a Connex 3300-$200. Magnum Delta Force-$300. SuperStar 360fm $200.Silver eagle mic $100. Astatic Hand Power Mic $60. Stock 4pin Hand Mics -$10.(new) prices in canadian funds plus shippingall in excellent condition

stock mics must be purchased a few at a time to justify shipping have lots all are new

example $500. usd is $321.usd
http://www.xe.com/ucc/classic.shtml

791. Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:31:52 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <usmc02mfg33s93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143327
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Sunair GSB 900sc
http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

RCI 2970 10 meter radio built in 150w linear never mobile in excellent condition...$500.-was $750. new. Also have a Connex 3300-$200. Magnum Delta Force-$300. SuperStar 360fm $200.Silver eagle mic $100. Astatic Hand Power Mic $60. Stock 4pin Hand Mics -$10.(new) prices in canadian funds plus shippingall in excellent condition

stock mics must be purchased a few at a time to justify shipping have lots all are new

example $500. usd is $321.usd
http://www.xe.com/ucc/classic.shtml

792. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:32:02 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Q3idnR6tA9oIrVagXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143325

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bTFy9.3657$O71.2041@rwcrnsc53...
> How would you tune such an antenna with out an antenna tuner in your set
up?

Start long, use wire cutters.

There are only two lengths of wire. Too long, and too short.

793. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:35:09 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <OsCcnSeV6r7NrFagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143328

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021107220808.12323.00003600@mb-ml.aol.com...
> Try that same 100mW on 27 Mhz and you wont even make a
squeek........Please do
> us a favor and stay on the Ham bands and brag about your QRP station...

On that band, that's pretty much QRO. It's in the range where it's
physically dangerous.

>your momma would be proud......

She is.

> PS Why the hell are you posting this crap in REC.RADIO.CB.......find a Ham
> group!

We were originally talking about CB, the topic drifted a bit.
I started off asking the originator what it was that he wanted this amp to
do for him.

You're right though, you guys don't get nearly the miles-per-watt that I'm
used to.

794. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:41:50 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <mWSdnRd-cPp9r1agXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143329

(But ONLY if you use
> the Smith System) Crows, sparrows, and the occasional wild turkey no
longer
> snap off the whips, rather they are impaled upon them, providing a handy
> alternative to the prices those bastards at the TA and Flyin Hook charge
for
> powdered eggs!

ROTFLMAO! Lowly 4 wheeler though I am, I know what you mean!
Had a couple of their fossilized dino droppings, I mean sub sandwiches, last
week.

> (Again, with proper amplification, the birds can be cooked as
> you drive, and RF burns actually do brown the meat, unlike the 3.9 cu. ft.
> microwave in the 106" American Eagle Bordello Deluxe Pro Edition sleeper.

Now if you had even a no-code ham ticket, you'be licenced to take the door
off that microwave, couple it to a 30dB gain horn, and REALLY do that. Just
don't use more than a 1500W oven. I've said for years that our power levels
up there need to be dialed back just a BIT!
IMHO, more than a watt up there is not a good idea.

Somewhere in the back issues of QST (I think it is) is an article for
converting a microwave oven to a 2.4GHz video transmitter. The modulator is
a couple of tubes, and sits in the now unused oven cavity, while a probe and
hardline couples the signal out to your antenna.

795. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 03:49:30 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qadkkbu0l.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 143330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

> How are things going with the SMCBA and the court project? Are
> the court and the county clerk's offices ready to be dragged
> kicking and screaming into the 1990s? I lost touch with that
> project when I moved up here.

Well, I'm sorry to say that it hasn't happened _yet_. The legal technology section disbanded from lack of attendance -- after you left, so I'm assuming you were holding it together. :-)

Keep out of touch on that stuff, and let's stick to beer -- when are _you_ due back down here?

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

796. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 04:32:37 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F7Hy9.6761$og.17636@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 143331

Since the Antenna plan you gave involves 2 sticks of 3/4" EMT I'll assume
you meant Sawzall or hacksaw where you said "wire cutters"

Right?

you're not suggesting I trim the feed line to match SWR are you? Wouldn't
that fall into the CB myth category? I'm glad you posted that antenna idea,
however. Got me thinking that my next project will be an antenna or 5. I'm
about to finish up my antenna switch and I suppose that switch position
labeled "Experimental" needs something plugged into it right away, hehe.

Dave

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:Q3idnR6tA9oIrVagXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:bTFy9.3657$O71.2041@rwcrnsc53...
> > How would you tune such an antenna with out an antenna tuner in your set
> up?
>
> Start long, use wire cutters.
>
>
> There are only two lengths of wire. Too long, and too short.
>
>

797. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 04:47:50 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <0fOcnf9ucZ3F31agXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143332
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Dave" wrote in message
news:F7Hy9.6761$og.17636@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Since the Antenna plan you gave involves 2 sticks of 3/4" EMT I'll assume
> you meant Sawzall or hacksaw where you said "wire cutters"

didn't give any such plan. When I've done HF dipoles, I use the radio
shack antenna wire, it's relatively cheap, and works just fine.

In any case, start long, and trim.

> you're not suggesting I trim the feed line to match SWR are you?

Nope.

>Wouldn't that fall into the CB myth category?

I'm the guy who would tell you to put the bird AT the antenna, and not at
the rig, since you're looking to measure the match from the antenna into the
feedline anyway.

798. Re: radio shack cb that looks like htx-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 04:56:31 UTC
From: jonkg4gfu@wmconnect.com
Message-ID: <5d7f388e.0211072056.dcc97c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143333

Crocker <crocker_k@msn.nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<nq8lsusg73rlt3g6iri0gcr7i6drdjdcd3@4ax.com>...
> anyone know the model # of a radio shack cb that looks just like the
> htx-10?
You are talking about the TRC-485 side band mobile. It was the last
side band mobile that RS made. Have a great day! Jon.

799. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 04:58:23 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211072058.19d9c11e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143334

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<aqenf6$96017$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> You're probably better off to either buy or borrow an SWR meter from someone
> and get it checked out right. From what I understand is that most SWR
> meters in CB's aren't that accurate.
>
> Better yet is to buy or borrow an antenn analyzer such as the MFJ 259B and
> check your antenna using that. It will tell you what the SWR is as well as
> the impedance, and you don't even need to transmit a signal. The analyzer
> uses its own internal signal.
>
> Tom

I bought a little $20 meter today and a 9 foot length of cheap-ass coax with bonded connecters, and checked the radio again today with that. I still cannot get the needle all the way to the right on CAL on channel 20, but I just turned the knob all the way up. Then my SWRs on channels 1 and 40 respectively were 1.2 and 2.2. So I'm going to play with the antenna tomorrow to see if I can get the readings to match better. That CAL was without audio. I also used the power meter and keyed down it's showing between 2 and 4. I called the shop that peaked and tuned it and he said it has a Mod kit installed too. I'm not really sure what kind of Mod kit it was he put in it, that's not my area of expertise, but I trust the guy, he's really good. He said the Mod kit was affecting the reading on the CB's SWR meter, and to test it with a separate meter. I'm also not sure if the cheap ass coax I had between the meter and transmitter was affecting the SWR. I'm going to check it again tomorrow to see what happens.

Jason

800. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:08:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <JoGdnbHCffun2lagXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143335

I called the shop that peaked
> and tuned it and he said it has a Mod kit installed too. I'm not
> really sure what kind of Mod kit it was he put in it, that's not my
> area of expertise, but I trust the guy, he's really good. He said the
> Mod kit was affecting the reading on the CB's SWR meter, and to test
> it with a separate meter. I'm also not sure if the cheap ass coax I
> had between the meter and transmitter was affecting the SWR. I'm going
> to check it again tomorrow to see what happens.
>
> Jason

It sure sounds like you're running single or double sideband.
DSB is popular because it sounds like AM, you don't need a special receiver.
Like SSB though, you get minimum or no carrier till you talk.

Hard to set up and measure with that though.
Maybe borrow another rig to make the measurement?

The 259's a good idea if you can find one.
You DO need to cut the coax to specific lengths if you want an accurate
measurement though.
It's covered in the 259's manual.

801. ITEMS AT AUCTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:24:32 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0FHy9.5305$uQ3.4683@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143336

Check out the website:

http://singasongtn.tripod.com/

Click on image/s to see all the auctions on eBay / Yahoo / Amazon
****

QuicFix 3.5 Omega version - CB Repair Program on CD 200 menu itmes..always
on sale!.
QuicFixer - Repair and TuneUp Guide ONLY ..always on sale!
KickStarter - Keyup external amp and turn on tape recorder or ON AIR
LIGHT...always on sale!
The Ballad of Budwyser - Great song about a CB nut ...maybe just like you!
You gotta get this ...only on eBay!!!!!!!!!!!!!.. always on sale!

Huge Transformer for DC power supply - Big 108 lbs
15Kw/5KW Old ceramic/steel tubes - Hood ornament for KeyDowners

CHECK IT OUT!

The2x4

802. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:25:37 UTC
From: Pandolfi Christian <fnord@solnet.ch>
Message-ID: <3dcb4ad2$0$127$fb624d75@newsspool.solnet.ch>
Source record: 143337

```
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:k9Ey9.23262$h4.8907@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Gotcha, thanks. :-)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCA8B45.FBABB07D@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Bert,
> > I would try another source of information,
> > because that definition is just not correct.
> > It has parts of it right, about the feed line,
> > but the lengths are wrong.
> > The difference between a 5/8 wave (.625) and
> > half of a 'Zepp' antenna (.640) amounts to about
> > 6" at 27 Mhz. That difference in length between
> > the two just doesn't make enough difference to
> > worry about, and depending on the conductor used
> > for the antenna can be merely a difference in it's
> > velocity factor. The second requirement, being
> > fed with a balanced parallel feed line is missing
> > too.
> > 'Doc
>
>
```

If you use a Antron 99 you got following improvements
The Vertical Diagram of antron99 is very disorted, this is not too bad, it helps to prevent
Signal fading of the opponent station.
The Fading is a term of repolarizing reflections, e.g the Signal reflecting from a wall is different, this cause fading and
timeshifting = fladder
So if some ising Helical Polarized Antennas, you will loose aprox 3DB of signal strenght but you can decrease fading.
So before you buy a weird amplifier, (Any Power above 150 Watt is still a waste of money) you should get a good
Directional Antenna for DX, I use two co phased 8 EL Quads with a vertical distance of 11 Meters on a 25 meter tower.
The Forward Backward Ratio is 60 DB, and a 5 gradient opening angle. With enough power i have the ability to make EME contacts, but this is a other

story.
Total cost of antennas and tower , beyond 3K$. The maximum power that i ultilized was 3KW with a "20xEL519 Tube " homebrew amplifier
, My Future project is a 8 x cophasing antenna on a 11M diameter Rotary disk on the bottom with 8 El Quads, but the problem is the wiring, it must be exactly tuned
i suspect with this setup a Forward backward ratio of 120 DB at a angle of 2C and a gain of 64 DBi
Some Antennas with th&#65533;s type using hams at 50MHz for EME contacts, its the best effort.
Now back to Antron 99
I have a antron 99 antenna, i don't use it anymore i would gift it.

803. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:36:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqfj4qvmo2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143338

"Paul" <nospam@thisone.com> wrote in message
news:3dc1272c.5027100@news.demon.co.uk...
> On Thu, 31 Oct 2002 07:30:25 -0000, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> >They may have done over that side of the "pond".
> >But UK CBers were not that stupid, they knew it was a "wind-up"... they
> >knew they needed the "swr cream", available in small round pots.
>
> Not down here, we had swerr grease.

How about the "sheepskin twig warmer"?

The crazy thing was that people would fall for those, the "bowl of water"
and "apple on your antenna" wind-ups... then refuse to believe that a "wally
light" existed. (Wally light was a common term here for the neon light that
screwed to the tip of the antenna and lit up on TX).

Regards,

Peter.

804. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:36:02 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqfj4rvmo3@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143339

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DBF2B18.12C0E0E7@mailcity.net...
>
> So show me a Usenet rule that says I have to use a cb to post
> to this or any other group. I can post to any group I please
> and nothing you can do about it.

That is quite true, however...

There are tens of thousands of newsgroups to chose from, and no way
you can use them all.
So, to spend so much time in a group, you must have either a big interest
in the subject, or such an intense hatred for those that do, that you will
waste your life away trying to upset them.

Those who go on newsgroups for such reasons as hatred really do
need to seek councelling. There are far better ways to spend our
limited time on this earth than just to attempt to spread our own
anger to other people.

Regards,

Peter.

805. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:36:04 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqfj4svmo4@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143340

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC19215.619FBBC6@mailcity.net...
>
> It is 3:26 pm local time(15:26) here. Do you have clocks where you
> live?

Sir,
You most certainly DO have a problem somewhere.
According to my Newsreader (OE6), you seem to have replied to
Mopar 93 minutes BEFORE his message.
Neat trick... huh.

What time zone are you actually in?

According to your ISP, -6hrs...
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 16:26:41 -0600

According to your system, -5hrs...
Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 15:27:01 -0500

But worse than that, do the maths...
If it was"15:27" at GMT-5hrs, then GMT-6hrs should be14:27... NOT "16:26"
as reported by your ISP.

Check your time zone setting in Windows.

Regards,

Peter.

806. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:36:06 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqfj4tvmo5@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143341

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sKjx9.271012$Fw2.7888158@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:seOdnUj8So1D_FigXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> >
> > I said "just about any". You and Twisted seem to suffer from selective
> > reading.

Like you do when reading OE instructions?

> > Anyway if OE is not up to the job then try something else.

Like what?
I have a great e-mail Anti-Spam program, but I have not seen one
that can do the same job with news messages.

The problem is that any filter that can block someone who
keeps changing the details in their header, will also block
other people. You can set an e-mail filter to kill anything
that does not match a set requirement, but that is not
appropriate for a newsgroup where subjects change
and new people can appear on the group.

Anyway, we both know that if there were more filtering
possibilities, George would change whatever he needed to
so that he would get through the filters.

> > As far as the stuff getting down loaded anyway, for me that's not a
> > problem on a 1500Kbs cable modem at home or a T1 line at my work QTH.
> > The crap gets down loaded and dumped in to the delete folder so fast I
> > don't even notice it.

Not everyone has cable, ADSL or "T1". Cable is not availabe everywhere...
it has not reached this area yet. I am on a 56K dial-up, but I believe
that there are people out there still using slower modems.

.
Brainbuster.

807. Re: Happy Halloween

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:36:08 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqfj4vvmo6@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143342

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021031182231.01065.00001888@mb-mk.aol.com...
> what are you guys going to be this year? i decided to rent a fat suit
> and go as doug N8WWM. hope you guys have a blast.

Never mind the "Fat suit", use a couple of cushions. A few years back, me and my Son did that for a laugh... one cushion up the jumper, one down the trousers. We looked like "Tinky Winky" and "Po".

We have a big fireworks display near here just before Halloween every year. We watched that one on the Saturday, then on Halloween, I took my children to a small local organised display. They dressed up as a witch and some sort of ugly creature of the night.

We also have other fireworks displays around November 5th, but they are usually smaller displays using normal fireworks. Many of these smaller displays are free to go and watch.

Regards,

Peter.

808. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 05:59:20 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <u2udnWpewZElz1agXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143343

"Jason Cox" <jasoncox@email.com> wrote in message

Jason does the radio have variable power knob? If so turn it up, this will raise your power which is similair to what you did when you put audio into it, if it doesn't have the variable power knob and the radio has been tuned assume they lowered the deadkey of the radio, which in turn will not allow the Cal to go all the way over to the right because when the meter is actual set it is done at the factory stock 4 watts . Either way sounds like the swr is ok since you put audio and still got a low reading.

: On the Cobra 29 the CAL meter looks somthing like this _|_|__|__V with
: the V marker on the very right. Even with the knob turned all the way
: up it will not swing ove there. I tried keying the mike, and emmited a
: fairly loud audio tone to up the RF output, and this caused the needle
: to swing up alot.....I adjusted the CAL knob from there into position
: and then calibrated the meter like that. I still get SWR readings of
: 1.2-1.3 with it calibrated this way, and even with the CAL knob turned
: all the way up it barely moves the needle, getting readings from
: 1.3-1.5. So I'm guessing the SWR on this setup is exceptionally good,
: I must have a great ground plane. I've had this same radio setup on a
: 74 GMc pickup and a 97 Ford Taurus and couldn't get readings below
: 2.0. I'm hoping the CAL problem isn't caused by low power output, I've
: had the radio peaked and tuned at the local CB shop. I'm really hopin
: he didn't screw up. But, maybe, just maybe, I just finally got the
: right setup..... :)

809. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 06:01:37 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <d4qdnYKZtNLczlagXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143344

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:fj9msu0liv13b5luvjqn2fk7i69qsu2mh9@4ax.com...
: If you can't get the CAL adjustment to the V then your SWR will read
: lower than it actually is. I believe, but I am not sure that there may
: be another internal adjustment to adjust the calibration sensitivity?
:
: If you can't find that adjustment then there is another adjustment.
: Just turn the power up beyond four watts and it should calibrate
: properly.
:

Thats what I say look for a variable power knob on the radio or the tech who tuned it lowered the deadkey and the meter itself is now out of cal since it was set using 4 watts.

810. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 06:08:25 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <w6SdnQpZEIpEyVagXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143345

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13327-3DCA9259-180@storefull-
:
: Talk to "One QuickTA" in MN, he may be able to tell you more about
: radios in a Trans Am....
: What did you say your radio was dead keying? I have seen radios do what
: you describe with a real low dead key.....the radio may even be
: "pre-married" to the box,....nothing better than when the radio fits the
: amp like a glove without doing a thing.....good luck with it.
:

Twsity i agree 100%, many radios that have been tweaked and the deadkey
lowered the swr /cal acts that exact way.

811. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 06:15:31 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <DZucnY6acYV5y1agXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143346

> Twsity i agree 100%, many radios that have been tweaked and the deadkey
> lowered the swr /cal acts that exact way.

So this is partially supressed carrier AM, or DSB then?
Interesting. I've never seen anyone use SCAM or DSB in person, but I've
heard of it.

812. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 06:36:59 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <7Qadneyl-vwWxlagXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143497

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13327-3DCAA5BF-199@storefull-

: >So are you now claiming that a local can plug >your ears from a 1/2
: mile away,

: Again, I must resort to asking....why is it, that some of those licensed
: for communication, such as yourself, suffer the greatest deficiencies in
: such? The irony is lost on you..which makes it a growing delight by the
: day. How did you get a half mile from my "few yards?" Your gray matter
: is really straining in that overdrive.......hahahahhaahah!
****

Nice hack job on his post twist, below is exactly what Dave said, he didn't get a 1/2 mile from your few yards you strapper, yet you try and take it as an opportunity to discredit him and make yourself look like a nimrod in the process. You can clearly see he was comparing Cb(4 watts) at a half mile away, to a 100mw yards away. Your gray matter is non existent.

Dave Hall wrote:
Those tones may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a "clipped" CB. So
are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile away, on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal level compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"?

813. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 07:03:27 UTC
From: ryanhass@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <c999093.0211072303.370c4977@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message

> To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these
> are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored
> here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry
> over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
> communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
> license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
> personal activities?

Yes it would, and without the content and other restrictions
that are imposed on non-individual licenses. Namely you can put up
any amount of repeaters, and any amount of stations. Wacky ain't it.

>As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages
> concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
> on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how
> I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm
> sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).
>
> The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
> Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

814. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 08:47:27 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB7A1F.609E9A24@cwis.net>
Source record: 143499

Jason,
By the sound of it, you may be trusting your 'tech' too much. What ever he has done to the radio has certainly NOT made it more usable. I would suggest you stop what ever you're doing till you can get the radio put back to normal. Most of the so-called 'tune ups', 'super tunes', etc, are mainly to seperate you from your money, they do very little 'good', and as you are seeing, more harm than good.
'Doc

815. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 08:48:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB7A6F.8994406F@cwis.net>
Source record: 143500
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Steve,
Surely you're not serious.
'Doc

816. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 08:53:37 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB7B91.C386BB0B@cwis.net>
Source record: 143501

Dale,
There are two reasons for tilting antennas such as
you're seeing. The first is for 'looks'. What ever
turns you on, I guess. The second is so that at speed,
the antenna doesn't tilt backwards and change it's
input impedance. The idea is that they should stand up
straight when moving. Tilting the antenna so far
forward that they are no longer straight when moving is
more of a henderance than anything, doesn't help a bit.
'Doc

817. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 08:55:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB7C17.945751C6@cwis.net>
Source record: 143502

Mark,
Not really, but why would you want to exceed the
design voltage anyway?
'Doc

818. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 09:01:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB7D68.B355BF14@cwis.net>
Source record: 143503

Pandolfi,
I realize that there is probably some 'differences'
in translation, but even aside from that, your claims
are totaly ridiculous. The secret in telling a good
'story' is that there has to be ~some~ truth to it.
Keep working on it...
'Doc

819. Re: The Skippy Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 09:14:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143504

I received a number of emails regarding this post and thought I would clarify a couple points.

1. There are two different types of grid current distortion:

The first type is caused by the abrupt change in the transconductance of the tube as the grid begins to conduct. Power tubes specifically designed for linear AB2 operation have very little, if any, change in transconductance when the grid goes postive. On the other hand, one of the characteristics of sweep tubes is their significant -increase- in transconductance upon grid current transition. This is a benefit for operation as a horizontal deflection amplifier, which is the purpose for which they were designed. But it is FAR from being smooth enough for sweep tubes to be considered linear for AB2 operation, AF or RF.

This type of grid-current distortion is a product of the tubes amplification characteristics, and cannot be prevented, filtered, or in any other way avoided except to prevent the grid from going positive.

The other type of grid current distortion results from the abrupt change of the input impedance of the tube as the grid begins to conduct, which distorts the signal at the input. This happens with common-cathode amps because the input is on the grid, and any change in grid impedance directly affects the signal. But it also happens when the input is the cathode (grounded-grid) because the grid current is sourced from cathode current.

This problem can be limited by the use of a signal source with very low impedance, well below that of the tube's input when the grid is in full conduction. The result is that any change of the tube's input impedance becomes insignificant, and distortion of the input signal is minimized. This works very well when the tube's input is the grid, since the grid has a relatively high impedance even during conduction. However, the input impedance of a grounded-grid amp is low from the get-go; and since the input is actually the load for a power source, the signal's source impedance must be matched to the input impedance of the tube for full power transfer. Therefore, reducing the source impedance is not very practical for a grounded-grid amplifier, and AB2 operation of a grounded-grid amplifier should not be expected to be linear.

2. The addition of cathode resistors (typically 10 ohms) on parallel grounded-grid tubes is used to equalize the cathode currents between the tubes, a practice which Skippy considers "dated". They do NOT cause degenerative feedback as they do in common-cathode amplifiers,

and therefore do NOT improve the linearity of the tubes. Quite the contrary: A cathode resistor on a grounded-grid amplifier -increases- the impedance of the signal source by the value of its resistance, and therefore -increases- the distortion caused by grid current. Any grounded-grid amp designed with cathode resistors is not intended for linear AB2 operation. If it was, then it was not designed properly.

820. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 09:20:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcb8179.7837632@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143505

In <3DCB7B91.C386BB0B@cwis.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:

>Dale,
> There are two reasons for tilting antennas such as
>you're seeing. The first is for 'looks'. What ever
>turns you on, I guess. The second is so that at speed,
>the antenna doesn't tilt backwards and change it's
>input impedance. The idea is that they should stand up
>straight when moving. Tilting the antenna so far
>forward that they are no longer straight when moving is
>more of a henderance than anything, doesn't help a bit.
> 'Doc

I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
here and clarify...

821. Re: Skippy and Twisty -- birds of a feather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 09:25:06 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcb825b.8063813@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143506

In <20021107110744.26889.00002017@mb-cq.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote:

>sparky, this trolling here has sunken you to a new low

I know, I know... comparing ANYONE to Twisty is pretty despicable!

822. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 09:31:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcb8379.8349753@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143507

In <3DCAECA2.62421D9@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Twistedhed wrote:
>>
>> Where is the contradiction? Both posts say the same thing.
>
>Kinda what I thought too, did we miss something?

Yes, you missed the comments from the original thread "Freebanding!!".
Sonny Day wrote:

>Dave, I am sorry your gonna have to tangle with Twistedhed on that he says
>there are no services at all using the freeband freqs other than the pirates
>who talk on them. For all intensive purposes they abandond it and the
>freebanders are claiming it.

To which Twisty replied:

>No, twisted never said any such thing, but glad to see I still rank as
>your demiGod.

Now compare that with the two quotes I provided. It's a very strong
contradiction indeed.

823. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 10:41:48 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB94EC.DFD989B6@cwis.net>
Source record: 143508

yeti,
And what good would that do?
'Doc

824. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 12:40:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCBB0B0.4D13@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143509

Twistedhed wrote:
>
>
> > Their rose colored glasses get in the way of >their judgement when it
> gets to be
> >ass-kickin' time. <<g>> Somebody like >Mondale handling our Nation in
> time
> >of war makes me
> >shudder!
> >Jerry
>
> I agree. I prefer Jerry Brown.

Ho ho ho! This is funny. A full blown liberal accusing me of being a socialist! Ha hah! That's got to be the funniest thing I've seen in a long time.

Now I know you've got to be a snot nosed 20-something. Anybody with any age and wisdom soon realizes just how naive and idealistic the views of the left really are. Socialism has never worked in any country that has tried it. And this country would be wise to remember that. Instead of whining to the government to provide for them, people need to take responsibility and provide for themselves.

Social Security? Since when was social security ever meant to be a total retirement package? It was only intended to be supplimental, not a senior citizen equivilent of welfare...

Dave
"Sandbagger"

825. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 13:02:56 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCBB600.3B23CD62@believeit.com>
Source record: 143510

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> So this is partially supressed carrier AM, or DSB then?
> Interesting. I've never seen anyone use SCAM or DSB in person, but I've
> heard of it.

It usually sounds like sh!t.

826. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 13:19:54 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCBB9FA.23D3D935@believeit.com>
Source record: 143511

Dave VanHorn wrote:

> The 259's a good idea if you can find one.
> You DO need to cut the coax to specific lengths if you want an accurate
> measurement though.
> It's covered in the 259's manual.

Come on, Mr. Hammy, you know coax length does not matter unless
you have an antenna mismatch. Then 1/2 wavelength (minus velocity
factor) will mimic the characteristic impedance at the other end
of the coax.

Have you been staring at your 514nM antenna again?

827. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 13:28:00 UTC
From: Ronnie's CB Supermart <Ron@Ronniescbsupermart1.com>
Message-ID: <aqge3p$88d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 143512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Quit cross-posting this Clarence Darrow crap to rec.cb

Ronnie
Prop. of Ronnie's CB Supermart
(special on amps this month, $1 per watt)

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h56kphp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 17:19:19 -0800, Packrat wrote:

>The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit.
Anyone
>can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
>furiners(foreigners)

You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
stations within a single system, if the message content is
related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

828. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 13:31:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCBBCA2-272@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143513

IFrom: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Organization: Spew Radio Inc.
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz
Date: Thu, Nov 7, 2002, 2:00pm X-Complaints-To:
abuse@slurp.net X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 1036696027 64.80.73.227
(Thu, 07 Nov 2002 13:07:07 CST) NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Nov 7,
2002, 1:07pm (EST-1)
Don Corleone wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
>This is almost another classic.<

Actually, it is another "classic", as it definitively shows:
A) You are a habitual and notorious liar and
B) You are a hypocritical trouble-maker.

> Here we are in a newsgroup filled with >nothing but words, and twisty
here is trying to >separate out "action" words from "regular" >words.
>You're a piece of work.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

That wasn't me, it was you, DAVE HALL N3CVJ admitting to the "action" of
jamming.

>>Dave the funniest part is when he says >>something it is only words
when you do they >>are actions LOL Talk about screwed up in >>the head.
>>Oh well it would'nt be twisty

>I am waiting to hear him try to explain the >distinction......
> Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Nothing to explain. Once again, it is return fire and you have a problem
with it. You have called many of us cbers federal criminals for our
topics of choice, not actions and it goes both ways. Glad you recognize
your own hypocrisy. Clearly.
Besides.............
you may want to think about explaining your latest lie where you claimed
you don't know what's in your header...kinda hard not to know what's
there when you are the one adding stuff to it!
Whooops.................now that's truly the funny part.......your words
strangling you.....by the way Dave "Hypocrite" Hall N3CVJ, do you still
run your DaveMade M-200 with 50 watts drive?
HAhhahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaahahhaahahahah.....................c'mon

motormouth, you have some more lying,,,,...er..... "explaining" to do...hahhahahahha!

829. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 13:55:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCBC253-274@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143515

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13329-3DCAAC9B-83@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
you received one of the best retirement packages one could get under
Clinton. Watch What happens to our senior health funding and blue-collar
workers now.

>I don't think you quite understand Railroad >Retirement.

I know that Clinton damn well protected transportation employees when
retirement benefits were being slashed by Republicans...surely you are
aware of this.

>RR Retirement was around in the
>1880's or thereabouts, When Socialistic >IN-security came along under
Mr. Roosevelt, it >was

Doesn't matter WHEN it was started,,,it was headed for the chopping
block before Clinton walked in smiling.....

>(correctly) opted out. It is administered by the >US Railroad
Retirement Board separate from
>Socialistic IN-security and RR retirees don't >pay into that pinko
program. However, we pay >an
>amount equivilent to and well ABOVE that of >SS with an equal amount
being paid by one's >rail
>employer. The benefits are NOT politicized >and you rarely hear RR
Retirement mentioned >during
>the campaign process. All Socialistic >IN-security is is a vote-buying
scheme by a >"certain" party'
>to keep its voters in line--although, it doesn't >always work.

Annuitys, cd's, etc., wouldn't even be entertained IF the republicans
weren't raiding SS benefits...we wouldn't NEED a huge return
if they kept their fingers out of it.

>In my state, one of the campaign issues
>between Clinton's lackey Bowles and Liddy >Dole was privitization of
future contributions. >Ms. Dole wants to privatize FUTURE >contributions
so that workers now can enjoy a >better standard of
>living out in the future. It also puts more >money into circulation for
investment and >creates jobs.

That's bullshit....it is more "trickle down" voodoo economics that has
been proved does not work in practice but looks good on paper and
theory...sorta what Frank gets by on...a wing and a prayer. The money
that is "supposed" to trickle down into circulation......never does and

never did.

>Anybody with any sense knows that a CD >invested and rolled over for a worker's lifetime >will yield FAR more that Socialistic IN-security >ever could. But the Dems don't want that, no >siree!! That would take that voting "carrot" out >of their hands.

No, that would be taking the proverbial cash out of MY hands for what YOU feel it may better serve. The only ones who stand to gain from such a move is.....the feds and the richest of the rich...after all, they get a higher rate of return, perks, etc. and are the ones who the bank relies on for MONEY!

>"Look at what I and MY party did for you--we >got your social s'curity raised to $850 a >month!" They have manipulated retirees for 70 >years with
>that sh**, and kept them scared so they'd vote >a "certain" way.

Nothing lie ignoring the repubs who have LITERALLY proposed borrowing (IE: Stealing, raiding) funds that aren't theirs and never was.

>Well, when I looked for a career--something I >would want to do for the rest of my life, I >discovered there there WAS something better >and less political than social shit-curity. >Something
>that would yield for me almost TWO AND 1/2 >TIMES THAT OF Socialistic IN-security--the >rail-
>road industry! Not only was it better in yield, >but the retirement age is 60--what it was in >1959 in-
>stead of creeping up to 67. So, the bottom line >is, RR Retirement has nothing to do with >Clinton

Check what happened in 1996 with Bob Dole and his statements concerning what he wanted to do with SS,,,,,,and then see what measures were motioned for,,,,then check out WHO killed the reform..

>or Bush, or Jimmy Carter (yuck). The pols >can't intercourse with it -- oh,
>but they'd LIKE to!
>As to those liberal politicians I can't help but >picture with pink feathers all over like Hillary, >Daschle,
>Mondale, , and even Jerry Brown, all I want >them to do is go the hell home, get their sticky >fingers
>OUT of my pockets and their noses out of my >life. They don't owe me a thing, I don't want >their
>cradle to grave care, and I don't want them in >my life.
>Jerry

Well, I damn sure hope you provided for long term care, as I know the RR doesn't provide for 100% of that, andeven if they did, the nursing home your daughter chooses for you would place you in a bed next to those who have nothing but social security and medicaid to depend on, anyway.

>BTW, before someone starts that old >uninformed line about railroads being >"government", you can
>stop that right now. Railroads, with the >exception of Penn Central/Conrail, are, and >always have been private or publicly held, >stock-issuing corporations just like any other.

Why are so many considered federal employees? I specifically remember a case where an engineer was robbed and killed and it was a federal case,,,maybe a mail train or contractor?

830. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:06:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5022-3DCBC4D7-102@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143514

>Ho ho ho! This is funny. A full blown liberal

Actuall, I was a registered republican until the bicentennial when I registered independent. In theory I agree with republican principles, but they were abandoned after Reagan's first term. Ronnie went from being one of the greates in his first term to one of the worst and most dangerous in his second.

>accusing me of being a socialist! Ha hah!

I didn't accuse you Dave, you showed you not only are a socialist with your views on morality, but that you do not even understand the slightest thing about the definition, orryou would realize you are the poster child for that and liars.

>That's got to be the funniest thing I've seen in >a long time.

Not as funny as you lying that you don't know what is in your headers when you clearly are injecting your words into them......

>Now I know you've got to be a snot nosed >20-something.

And those that know me and who have met me on this group are once again laughing at you Dave, not with you, my boy, AT you...there is a difference.
And I mustn't forget to point out your disdain for someone who you mistakenly thought younger than yourself simply because of their age. It's a good thing I wasnt so young or you would be put to shame with your education and command of the English language concerning spelling, grammar and structure. You have many preconceived notions and prejudices, Dave...just what most would expect from a confirmed liar and hypocrite like yourself.

>Anybody with any age and wisdom soon >realizes just how naive and idealistic the views >of the left really are.
> Socialism has never worked in any country >that has tried it.

Then why do you keep pushing it here, such as in your next to last statement? See? I told you that you don't comprehend.

>And this country would be wise to remember >that. Instead of whining to the government to >provide for them, people need to take >responsibility and provide for themselves.
>Social Security? Since when was social >security ever meant to be a total retirement >package?

You're rambling again,
hypocrite.

No one claimed that until YOU, just now. Double negatives only magnify
your lies.

>It was only intended to be supplimental, (SIC)
>not a senior citizen equivilent (SIC)
>of welfare...
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ
Confirmed Socialist,
confessed jammer,
confessed hack shop owner
confessed DaveMade user
confessed lawbreaker

831. Re: Studying!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:10:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5021-3DCBC5E8-276@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143520

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
Where is the contradiction? Both posts say the same thing.

>Kinda what I thought too, did we miss >something?

Frank's point.

832. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:28:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqghka$9sqs2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143516

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211071421.1fb54cb5@posting.google.com...
>
> You won't find Tom Cruise's telephone number and home address there
> either. Does that prove he does not exist?

But I bet if you did some searching, you could find a Bob Cruise, Fred Cruise, Shirley Cruise, etc. There is NOBODY named Voobner listed anywhere.

> As to my radio equipment, there's some stuff on the Voobner family web
> page, of which the url has been posted again and again in here

Uh huh, a pic of some radios with the radios darkened so that you can't see what they are. Nor is there a list explaining what they are. And any time someone asks you what radio equipment you have, you sidestep the question as if someone asked you why the whole family shuns that strange uncle of yours.

Tom

833. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:35:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgigm$8rlhb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143517

"Jason Cox" <jasoncox@email.com> wrote in message
news:3b4ef160.0211072058.19d9c11e@posting.google.com...

> I bought a little $20 meter today and a 9 foot length of cheap-ass
> coax with bonded connecters, and checked the radio again today with
> that. I still cannot get the needle all the way to the right on CAL on
> channel 20, but I just turned the knob all the way up. Then my SWRs on
> channels 1 and 40 respectively were 1.2 and 2.2.

Ignore the SWR meter on the radio and pay attention to what the SWR meter
tells you. If your SWR is 1.2 on channel 1 and 2.2 on channel 40, your
antenna may need shortened a bit.

> So I'm going to play
> with the antenna tomorrow to see if I can get the readings to match
> better. That CAL was without audio. I also used the power meter and
> keyed down it's showing between 2 and 4.

Is that 2:1 and 4:1? If so, sounds like there's a mismatch there somewhere,
one that will eat up a lot of power. The best thing to do is not only try
it on channels 1 and 40, but also channel 20 in the middle of the band to
see if the SWR dips between readings on channels 1 and 40.

Tom

834. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:37:38 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aqgi9j01nb6@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 143526

"Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17846-3DCB1342-64@storefull-2376.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I have recently noticed a few trucks coming thru town with the CB
> antennas tilted forward at an approximate 45 degree angle. What is the
> reasoning, real or in error, for this procedure? My thought was the
> taller and higher the antenna, the better, but I'm no expert.....
>
> God Bless, and thanks for your explanations in advance.
>
> Dale

You should also note those antennas are quite long.
Stood upright, they'd probably be taller than the wagon itself, therefor,
prone to hitting low bridges.
Years ago when you couldn't find 4ft antennas that was the thing to do.
So with a 5ft whip mounted at 8ft above ground, you can quickly see that
antennas would hit low bridges.
Now with the cabs being as tall as the wagon, there's no need for the driver
to allow his antenna to be a height checker as some safety experts say you
should do.
IMHO, any driver who has to resort to that approach shouldn't be on the
road.
Never rely on antennas. Get out and look before hitting the damn thing.

Mostly though, it has to do with wind resistance and looks.

835. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:44:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgiim$9lu2j$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143518

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcb8179.7837632@news.cet.com...

> I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
> front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
> here and clarify...
>

Yes, it would. The front lobe would be somewhere in front of the truck on the ground (which would actually splatter the front lobe out forward, who knows what kind of pattern it'd have?) while the back lobe would be aimed up into space at a 45 degree angle. I'm sure a lot of that pattern would be wasted by shooting off into space.

Tom

836. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:45:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgil1$9ou3n$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143519

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bTFy9.3657$O71.2041@rwcrnsc53...
> How would you tune such an antenna with out an antenna tuner in your set
up?
>
> Dave

You wouldn't need one once you got the lengths of each end of the dipole the
right length.

Tom

837. Re: making a cheap homebase ariel?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:47:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgio8$9rdvs$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143521

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:F7Hy9.6761$og.17636@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Since the Antenna plan you gave involves 2 sticks of 3/4" EMT I'll assume
> you meant Sawzall or hacksaw where you said "wire cutters"

Well, yes, unless you're really good with wire cutters.

>
> you're not suggesting I trim the feed line to match SWR are you?

Not at all. Measure the VSWR at the feedpoint of the antenna.

Tom

838. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:51:04 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <cmSdnf85QdzKUlagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143522

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqgigm$8rlhb$1@ID-

:
: Ignore the SWR meter on the radio and pay attention to what the SWR meter
: tells you. If your SWR is 1.2 on channel 1 and 2.2 on channel 40, your
: antenna may need shortened a bit.

That is a big discrepancy 1.2 on channel 1 and 2.2 on 40 I don't beleieve
they are the correct numbers

839. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:53:16 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <0AydnStsLJ9GUlagXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143523

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:DZucnY6acYV5y1agXTWcqw@comcast.com...
:
: > Twsity i agree 100%, many radios that have been tweaked and the deadkey
: > lowered the swr /cal acts that exact way.
:
: So this is partially supressed carrier AM, or DSB then?
: Interesting. I've never seen anyone use SCAM or DSB in person, but I've
: heard of it.

He is running AM dave where are you coming up with dsb and suppresed carrier
etc. jeez zoo

840. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:57:01 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <QRmdnVZRqewhTVagXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143524

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqghka$9sqs2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

: Uh huh, a pic of some radios with the radios darkened so that you can't
see
: what they are. Nor is there a list explaining what they are. And any
time
: someone asks you what radio equipment you have, you sidestep the question
as
: if someone asked you why the whole family shuns that strange uncle of
yours.
:
: Tom

Tom, you seem to know an awful lot about Aaron, and from you only being here a short time. Have you made him a personal project or have you been trolling the nG under another name. Last I ever rememeber anyone speaking of Uncle fernald was about a year and a half ago.

841. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 14:59:52 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <92SdnWCBKdn7TFagXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143525

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqfj4svmo4@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
: "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
: news:3DC19215.619FBBC6@mailcity.net...
: >
: > It is 3:26 pm local time(15:26) here. Do you have clocks where you
: > live?
:
: Sir,
: You most certainly DO have a problem somewhere.
: According to my Newsreader (OE6), you seem to have replied to
: Mopar 93 minutes BEFORE his message.
: Neat trick... huh.
:
: What time zone are you actually in?
:
: According to your ISP, -6hrs...
: NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 16:26:41 -0600
:
: According to your system, -5hrs...
: Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 15:27:01 -0500
:
:
: But worse than that, do the maths...
: If it was"15:27" at GMT-5hrs, then GMT-6hrs should be14:27... NOT "16:26"
: as reported by your ISP.
:
: Check your time zone setting in Windows.

Why does it concern you what time his posts show? Talk about not having a
life.

842. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 15:45:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108104526.23589.00000016@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143527

its called NPC for negative peak compression. the negative part of the wave form is clipped while the upper part remains free to swing high

>So this is partially supressed carrier AM, or DSB then?
>Interesting. I've never seen anyone use SCAM or DSB in person, but I've
>heard of it.
>

843. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 15:48:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143528

i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground had more to do with this than its actual positioning

>I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
>front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
>here and clarify...

844. Voobner Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:03:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108110333.23589.00000018@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143529

anybody have a list of voobner pages? i know theres a few and there all pretty funny. i'm just wondering if i'm missing any.

845. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:05:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108110552.23589.00000019@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143530

say aaaaaauuuuuuuuuuddddddddddiiiiiiiiiiooooooooo and calibrate it liek that and while still holding the syllable check your swr

>
>Thats what I say look for a variable power knob on the radio or the tech who
>tuned it lowered the deadkey and the meter itself is now out of cal since it
>was set using 4 watts.
>

846. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:12:30 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DCBE26E.73B98C3F@qnet.com>
Source record: 143531

Hello Mark:

When the high voltage rectifiers came out in the sixties, a lot guys did that to try to increase the output a little, in the Tube type CB radios. These "Silicon HV Rectifiers" where advertised in Ham and CB Magazines, as a direct replacement to the rectifier tubes, to add power, make you better looking and reduce your taxes. They only increased the power very slightly, and not worth the risk of needlessly raising the B+ voltage on other components.

It only made me better looking, be advised.

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla walla bing bang committee.
Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
I get cable and Dish TV! there a switch on top of the TV, simply Bitchen....

Mark Roehlke wrote:
>
> If I change tube rectifiers over to solid state can I expect an increase
> in B+ voltage?What %?.Thanks...Mark

847. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:19:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCBE42F.2448@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143534

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many
> even.......
>
> >That's a lie. Plain and simple.<
>
> WinNT Mimeversion 1.0 3.04 Mozilla Gold.

So you know what I use as a newsreader. What does that signify
otherwise?

>
> >I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it
> the same as I always did.
>
> Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many
> different avenues.
> >No, I haven't.<
>
> I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah
> did....fergut abote that thar won!"
>
> >You look too hard for things that aren't there.<
>
> When they are there, you lie and when called on it claim you forgot.
> Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit
> you to.
>
> >But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference,
>
> It would make you liar......again....and we are talking access, not
> necessarily ISP's.

Oh, now you change gears! First it was ISP's, now it's how I GET to my
ISP. You really do want to make mountains out of molehills.

Tha fact remains that I am using the same ISP, which provides my
newgroup server (for which I pay a yearly subscription fee), for the
last 7 years. How I get to it, whether from a dial up line, a cable
modem, or through a T1 line is of no consequence. And if my ISP wants to
change their newsserver, that is something that's out of my control.

>
> >I use my
> >real name, and my E-mail is still the same. >Unlike you,

>
> Ha! My email has always been at webtv.

The difference is that I use my real name. You hide benind a "handle"

>
> > no need to conceil my identity.
>
> Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything?
> You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.
>
> >Gee I wonder why? Maybe because they have >something to hide?
>
> (Giggle) Okaaaay!
>
> > Such as illegal operation?<
>
> Well, which is it, am I hiding or bragging about breaking the law? Can't
> have it both ways.....hahahaahahahah!

Well sure you can. It doesn't take much in the way of brains or guts to
hide behind a pseudonym, and brag about all sorts of illegal activity.
If they can't trace you, what have you got to lose? If you were truly
proud of what you did, and felt that there would be no repercussions,
you would not be afraid to use your real name.

>
>
> >I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.
>
> Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access
> the group.
>
> >What rule have I broken?<
>
> Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?

> > I have used the same ISP for the last at least >6 years now.
>
> With several other access sites employed by you.

Access sites? What are you talking about? The ISP is the provider of my
service, and the one who grants me access to this newsgroup via their
newsserver. How I get to it, again, is immaterial.

>
> >What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you
> >if that's what you think.
>
> You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority
> or I think.
>

>
> >Right......which is why they are so vocal.<
>
> They are so vocal cause you are blackening the eye of hammie radio each
> time you attempt more bullshit, but go ahead and continue to employ
> thinking only as you can..after all...you fail to see yourself as the
> majority do.

You still haven't learned by your own words:

>> Sorry twisty (Ed change), "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so
>> alienated and defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate
>> yourself.
>
> >I'm still waiting to hear from that "majority" that >you seem to think
> you represent. But you'll >have to bring them here. I'm not going to
> Mars >anytime soon.
>
> Space shouldnt be that foreign to you, all things considered.
> Yes, I maintain I represent the majority of cbers, hence my views and
> words popular enough to appear in print concerning cb.

It's funny that this so-called "majority" isn't busting down the walls
offering up words of support for you. The screen is quiet on that front.
I do see several detractors though. People, whom, you are quick to lump
into the "trouble posse" catergory, simply because they challenge your
viewpoint.

> The fact that you
> are hammie trolls in a cb group crying about identities is plenty.

A "troll" is someone who posts an inflamatory message, designed with the
specific intention, of starting an arguement. Countering your "free
spirit" approach to illegal radio practices, hardly fits the definition
of trolling. If the truth bothers you so much, maybe you should find a
new hobby, where you don't have to wrestle with your conscience, or try
to convince other people that you really aren't breaking federal laws.

And the fact still remains that I am not the one hiding behind a phoney
name. You want some credibility, try using your real name.

> You were defeated once asking this, but we can ask again...all those
> agreeing with Dave's definition of "federal criminal" (IE: Anyone who
> has ever received a notice of a rule violation from the FCC) speak now
> or forever hold you peace.
> Hokey Dokey, Dave?

So you still maintain the attitude that it's only illegal if you're
caught? That's a shallow defense. Many drug dealers and thieves think
the same way.

>
> >And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......

>
> Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6
> others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.
>
> >Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a
> >pencil-necked internet geek, so I really don't >know the nuances of
> >each and every routing protocol.
>
> Really? You mean someone was forging you whwn you were attempting to
> educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?
>
> >When have I done that?<
>
> Are you denying this, Dave?

I am denying that I know each and every nuance of internet protocols. I do have a fairly good knowlege of computer hardware, and have built several from parts. I am fairly adept at Windows NT networking, and related services, and have a working knowlege of UNIX. But I still don't know each and every nuance of internet protocols. I don't study message headers looking for suspicious information. I'm not paranoid.

> >BTW, if you enter "Dave Hall" in your Google >searches, you're going to
> find many.
>
> Not in rec.radio.cb there aren't...and there is only one N3CVJ.

At least I let you know who I am. Which is far more than you let on.

> So you advertised radios or sale for THEM? Why, if you were an
> independent contactor?
>
> >Besides, these were friends of mine. <
>
> Nice friends...didn't you claim one was a sex fiend?

What he does with his personal time, with other consenting adults, is no business of mine. His internet "fancy" started after he got out of the business. In fact the allure of the internet caused him to completely drop out of CB.

> We can think for ourselves, Dave, thanks.

SOME of us can. I have doubts about you though.

> > No more than if I were recommending a >website that sells gear, or a
> specific radio >brand.
>
> (snicker) Okaaay! You like the brand of sneaker you wear so you will use
> it as a sig.....hahahhahahaahaahahhahaha..beautiful!

It would be appropriate if this group were rec.sneakers

> Sure it is.....but you are here trying to tell us it's what you claim it
> is and not what we see.....hahhahahahhahaahahhahahahahahhahah...get over
> it!

Some people see pink elephants in trees too, but that doesn't make it
true.

>
> Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your
> sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is
> the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?
>
> >The name of the business, were the initials of >the two guys who
> "owned" it. My name is not >associated with it anywhere. And no, the
> >phone was not mine either. I don't know if they >are still valid. Call
> them and see. Ask for Cliff >or Wayne.
>
> You don;t know if it's valid but want me to ask for someone by name. Gee
> Dave, that ranks right there with your backslide when I took you up on
> your offer to meet your "FCC guy".

Hmmm... you ask me whether a phone number that was used by a business 7
years ago is still valid, and then act surprised when I don't know? If
you want to know that bad, call it and find out. It's not my problem.
Should I Email Wayne and let him know to expect you?

>
> >Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all
> >that's required.
>
> Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons
> dogs" humping my leg.
>
> >I'll take that as a "Yes"
>
> Cool.
>
> >The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
> really BAD advice >and modification work,
>
> With "better" mods? What makes your mods better?
>
> >By virtue of my education, and my possession >of many pieces of
> commercial grade test >equipment.
>
> Here we go...another jewel..hahha..I can't believe the ego of some of
> you cryabies that bicth and moan...no wonder we are superior in every
> facet. We hit you where it hurts the most, in your false image you have
> created of yourself....hahahahhaa!

The quality of my work, and the satisfaction of my customers speaks for
itself. Whether or not you choose to accept this, is of no consequence
to me.

> Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes
> or no will do.
>
> >*I* never sold any radios, as part of this >business. Illegal or
> otherwise.
>
> And you were NOT involved in any aspect of selling radios at the time,
> correct Dave?

That is correct. Selling was strictly handled by the other two guys. I never got any e-mails from anyone on the internet inquiring about anything, so I was totally out of the loop.

>
> >secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.<
> Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business"
> that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did
> it as a community service....(ROAR)!
>
> >As a service to the business, which was >operated by personal friends
> of mine.
>
> We see how you rate your friends.....one is a sex fiend and ...what
> about the other?

The other is a ham, and a fun guy to be around. And that's all you need to know.

>
> >A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.
>
> Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across
> the band.
>
> >Not if your receiver has a decent front end. <
>
> This coming from an alleged "tech".....man, when it rains it really
> poors on your head, huh Dave? We are talking cb. Again, you're wrong.
> Spread some of that wisdom and experence you claim by
> education...hahahahhahahahaahahahhahhahahaahahahah!

I hope you like the taste of shoe leather. Because you sure stuck you foot in deep on that one. How strong does a signal have to be to shut down your receive on all channels? How strong do you think a 100 mW transmitter's signal is at 30 feet? I'll tell you, it's not strong enough to desense a good receiver front end. At my location, it's equal to about a +20 db over S9 signal. I don't start to get receiver desense until the needle hits +40 db over.

>
> Make up your mind. YOU claimed, not long ago, that my dx activities had
> the potential to splatter across the BAND.............besides assuming
> I am running extra power,

> you can plainly spot another of your self-contradictions.

Splattering the band and interfering with legitimate radio services are not mutually inclusive.

>
> >So are you now claiming that a local can plug >your ears from a 1/2
> mile away,
>
> Again, I must resort to asking....why is it, that some of those licensed
> for communication, such as yourself, suffer the greatest deficiencies in
> such? The irony is lost on you..which makes it a growing delight by the
> day. How did you get a half mile from my "few yards?" Your gray matter
> is really straining in that overdrive.......hahahahhaahah!

A half mile away is what it would take for a legal 4 watt radio to equal the signal from a 100 mW transmitter at a few yards. I should have realized that you would'nt have understood that. But you haven't answered the question, does someone a half mile away, plug your ears on all channels?

>
> Poor side step,,,you have done better.
> You made the incorrect statement that it is only good for one channel
> and I corrected the statement.
>
> >No you countered the statement, but you were >not correct.
>
> Sure I was. You are applying hammie technicalities (hammie rigs) where
> they don't apply.

So you are now claiming that CB receivers are way worse than ham receivers? Some of your "big radio" brothers may be a bit upset with you for making that sacriligious comment.

But more to the point, I have good quality CB radios as well as ham radios. They don't desense when a 100 mW signal is in the back yard either.

>
> > Stay with argueing the semantics of illegal >operation. Technical
> discussions are way >beyond your abilities.
>
> Then you have much to learn. You and George must share the same drinking
> water.

I am always willing to learn. I would be interested in your opinions of modulation techniques. The pitfalls of phase modulation versus true frequency modulation. How you equalize your audio to produce a flat response, and which SSB filter width provides the best compromise between signal clarity and bandwidth performance. How about DSP algorithms? You want to talk technical, I'm ready.....

>
> >So, on one hand, you make the claim that I >could have planted the
> device a few yards >away without requiring trespass, in your yard,
>
> No, I never made that claim at all.

Uh, yes you did. You made the claim that a single channel remote control transmitter would blank the entire band if placed a few yards away from the local's antenna. I countered that that would have required me to trespass on his yard. To which you replied "not in my yard". Now how does one interpret that? You distinctly implied that I could get within a few yards of your antenna without tresspassing on your yard.

Oh wait, here you go explaining it again:

> I claimed the device would be heard across all 40 within a few yards of
> an antenna....YOU claimed it would involve trespass...I claimed not in
> my yard, it would not be trespass.

You talk about MY contradictions?

So which is it then? Do you have a small yard or will I have to tresspass to get to your antenna?

>
> >Do you mount your antenna, on a pole at your property line?
>
> I may not have an antenna.

That would certainly not be conducive to radio DXing. Oh, I get it. You're being clever. You operate strictly from a mobile eh?

> >Unless you want me to plant a device in your >yard.......
>
> Sure.....you can try, but I must warn you in advance..........Florida
> has laws that differ a bit from Pa.........it would be a shame to tell
> that little girl of yours that her daddy was eaten by hog dogs.

Dog's don't scare me. I've got one of my own, and it's not a poodle. Besides, I'll use your suggestion, a slingshot......

> >This is becoming too much fun. I give you a >snippet of a post that I
> made from my "old" >account 7 or 8 years ago, and you magically >claim
> to have been given information from >some "upperclassman".
>
> You didn't give me anything, Dave. You certainly never mentioned
> anything about you being a hack shop.

No, but once you were given the identity of my former account, you were easily able to let your fingers walk through Google.

> > I know all too well, your obsession with >tarnishing my name.
>
> Check all our conversations, Dave. As a matter of fat, you completely
> ignored how we were first introduced when I mentioned it..........you

> came at me first, as always, and when you realized you were impotent and
> up against someone who wouldn't roll over and kiss your smarmy uppity
> arrogant, hammie azz, you and Lelnad and N8 went wild.

Calling you a nut for going off on wild speculative assumptions, was
hardly what I would consider "going wild".

> It has always
> been YOU that attacks the person and not the post.....'cause you lack
> communciation skills.

It's funny how you accuse so many people of the one thing that you seem
to lack above all else. Otherwise you wouldn't put words in people's
mouths, make assumptions that are not clearly defined, or obfuscate
meanings.

> It has always been you that has drawn first blood
> with your personal hangups and concerns with identity...if you weren't
> having such a crisis, you probably wouln't be such a bad guy,,,,but your
> family is fractured just like your mind, and it's plain to see why she
> left.

Who left? See what I mean about making wild accusations? You know
absulutely nothing about my family life, and here you go making
assumptions. And if I correct you, by refuting your wild assumption,
you'll accuse me of "lieing". You really do live in a fantasy world.

>
> >Do you think I would give you something, if I >had something to hide?
>
> You didn't give it to me, Dave.

If you say so, I know better.

>
> > I can picture you searching through google for >each and every post
> that I ever made, >comparing headers, and cross checking >several times,
> looking for something to use >against me.
>
> Hahhaha....that ego!!!!!

Is it? How else would you know the headers of my posts, enough to
postulate where I get my internet service from?

>
> >Your problem is that I don't hide what I do/did, >and what I do/did,
>
> Well, you have claimed I hide, then you have claimed I brag. Do I brag
> that I hide Dave, or do I hide that I brag.......MMMMPH!

You hide behind a false name. Bragging while remaining somewhat
anonymous is nothing to gloat over.

> >You make way too much noise for someone >who is supposedly not bothered
> by what other >people think. You say one thing, but your >actions speak
> in a much different voice.
> >Don't you think I knew what you were going to >bring up?
>
> Sure you did....you are afflicted with the "seer" malady.

One does not have to be a "seer" to see what is plainly obvious.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

848. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:23:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCBE50C.9F2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143533

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:13327-3DCAA5BF-199@storefull-
>
> : >So are you now claiming that a local can plug >your ears from a 1/2
> : mile away,
>
> : Again, I must resort to asking....why is it, that some of those licensed
> : for communication, such as yourself, suffer the greatest deficiencies in
> : such? The irony is lost on you..which makes it a growing delight by the
> : day. How did you get a half mile from my "few yards?" Your gray matter
> : is really straining in that overdrive.......hahahahhaahah!
> **********
>
> Nice hack job on his post twist, below is exactly what Dave said, he didn't
> get a 1/2 mile from your few yards you strapper, yet you try and take it as
> an opportunity to discredit him and make yourself look like a nimrod in the
> process. You can clearly see he was comparing Cb(4 watts) at a half mile
> away, to a 100mw yards away. Your gray matter is non existent.
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> Those tones may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a "clipped"
> CB. So
> are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile away,
> on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal level
> compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"?

Gee, you understood that, without further explanation. Yet Twisty
accuses us of having the comprehensive communications problem.

No worry, his next ploy will be to accuse you of being an "alternate"
me, using a forged account....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

849. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:26:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCBE5AC.34C5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143532

Mister T wrote:
>
> you should change your sig file
>
> Dave
> "Jammer"
>
> that one would do nicely or how about
>
> Dave
> "CB Radio Tech"
>
> or perhaps
>
> Dave
> "Ex Freebander"
>
> but i bet you'll like this one best
>
> Dave
> "Born Again Ham"

I like: "person, who doesn't let events of the past, alter the present"

BTW, how much is twisty paying you to be his sycophant?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

850. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:41:41 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <Pd6cnW6ZL-DadFagXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143536

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcb8179.7837632@news.cet.com...
: In <3DCB7B91.C386BB0B@cwis.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:
:
: >Dale,
: > There are two reasons for tilting antennas such as
: >you're seeing. The first is for 'looks'. What ever
: >turns you on, I guess. The second is so that at speed,
: >the antenna doesn't tilt backwards and change it's
: >input impedance. The idea is that they should stand up
: >straight when moving. Tilting the antenna so far
: >forward that they are no longer straight when moving is
: >more of a henderance than anything, doesn't help a bit.
: > 'Doc
:
: I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
: front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
: here and clarify...

What is Jay amobile antenna expert? when the antennas tilted forward they straighten up going down the road, as compared to being bent back what situation is better for driving, if youask me the ones where the antenna are straight up and down. I don;t need to ask Jay that one. LOL

851. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:42:41 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <9-GcncKit4YedFagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143537

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
: i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground had
more
: to do with this than its actual positioning

Your impression and the truth aren't close.

:
: >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
: >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
: >here and clarify...
:
:
:
:

852. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:43:13 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <T12dnWJlfLc5dFagXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143538

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108110552.23589.00000019@mb-cr.aol.com...
: say aaaaaauuuuuuuuuuddddddddddiiiiiiiiiiooooooooo and calibrate it liek
that and
: while still holding the syllable check your swr

yes he can do that

853. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:43:34 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XN6cnZ7FRv6Jd1agXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143539

"satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DCBB9FA.23D3D935@believeit.com...
>
>
> Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > The 259's a good idea if you can find one.
> > You DO need to cut the coax to specific lengths if you want an accurate
> > measurement though.
> > It's covered in the 259's manual.
>
> Come on, Mr. Hammy, you know coax length does not matter unless
> you have an antenna mismatch.

If you didn't have a mismatch, you wouldn't need the 259.

> Then 1/2 wavelength (minus velocity
> factor) will mimic the characteristic impedance at the other end
> of the coax.

If you want accurate readings, you need to trim the coax to frequency, as
stated in the 259 manual, they detail it quite well.

> Have you been staring at your 514nM antenna again?

I'd have serious medical problems if that were the case.

854. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:46:14 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <fUednfMRbqwpd1agXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143541

> He is running AM dave where are you coming up with dsb and suppresed carrier
> etc. jeez zoo

If he's not getting enough carrier to adjust the meter ("reduced dead-key") then it sounds very much like the radio's been modded to supressed carrier or double sideband. It wouldn't be that hard, and I've heard that it has some significant advantages.

I'm sure the radio started out as a simple AM radio.

855. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:46:32 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <5o6cnS8bApH2d1agXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143540

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

: > Dave Hall wrote:
: > Those tones may splatter a few channels, but not any more than a
"clipped"
: > CB. So
: > are you now claiming that a local can plug your ears from a 1/2 mile
away,
: > on all channels, since that would be about the equivilent signal level
: > compared to a 100mW device at "a few yards"?
:
:
: Gee, you understood that, without further explanation. Yet Twisty
: accuses us of having the comprehensive communications problem.
:

Dave anyone with 1/2 a brain and thats all I have can see that what does
that make twistys brain, peas sized?

: No worry, his next ploy will be to accuse you of being an "alternate"
: me, using a forged account....

Nah he has me pegged for Leland this week, much to his chagrin lol

856. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <F1Sy9.1711$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143352

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rtDy9.302211$Fw2.8663380@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0211071421.1fb54cb5@posting.google.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
> > >"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
> > >news:4CudnZCiQ9OqWFSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > >> :
> > >> : His name is not Aaron H. Voobner, although
> > >he claims it really is.
> > >>
> > >> Your Proof?
> > >
> > >There is nobody with the last name Voobner in
> > >any internet white pages or
> > >in any directory in the U.S.
> >
> > You won't find Tom Cruise's telephone number and home address there
> > either. Does that prove he does not exist?

Cruise, Tom
4735 Roswell Rd Ne,
Atlanta, GA 30342-2930
(404)531-9745

Cruise, Tom
133 Throop St,
Scranton, PA 18508-1611
(570)961-1765

I found 10, 0 Voobners

>Anyway, here we go again:
> > crying about the Voobner name. This crying has been going on for quite
> > a while, and I cannot for the life of me figure out why an entire
> > newsgroup is obsessed with my name to the point of scouring the
> > internet for clues as to my personal information. I must ask, to what
> > end? What would you do if you came to my address and actually saw the
> > mailbox with the name Voobner on it? Would any good >come of it?

It would prove that it was a legitimate name, not a made up
name to troll Rec.Radio.Cb

> Likely
> > none would. So if you want info, find it yourself, I'm not assisting

> > you.
> >
>
> You're a legend in your own mind, that's all.
>
>

Yup, a made up name in his own mind.

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

857. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <F1Sy9.1712$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143544

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:apvnro$5fe33$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:6e58e321.0211011616.32d189d9@posting.google.com...
> > I can think
> > of no better way to get this point across than to express it DIRECTLY.
>
> And perhaps the reason people resent you here is also because illegal CB
> operations is all you ever talk about. I've rarely seen a post from you
> (when you're not participating in arguments) that talks about anything
else.
> I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and antenna you
use,
> yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think that you don't operate CB,
I
> know you're not a ham, yet you are obsessed with illegal CB operators.
> Perhaps you're not really in person, but you seem to be a very peculiar
> person.
>
> If I'm wrong about your actual participation in the radio hobby then I'm
> sorry, but that's the way you've come across.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

Don't waste your time Tom, he is nothing more
than a troll. Read what you just typed, it clearly
states that he is a troll.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

858. Re: once again, for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <F1Sy9.1710$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143545

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:HIkx9.274498$121.7769825@twister.austin.rr.com...
> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
>
>
>

Your welcome Randy.....

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

859. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G1Sy9.1716$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143347

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106183029.615$XP@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > > >> You know this guy?
> > > >
> > > > http://www.elbudster.com/report.asp
> > > >
> > > > I'm going down Thursday afternoon,
> > > > That's one hell of a big Tuna!
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > > >
> > >> Sounds like fun,Shark. Enjoy.
> > >
> >> Wonder if Shark reeled in the big one yet?
> >
> > Cheers to him.
> >
> We should be getting close to a fishing report,about the big one that got
> away..<g>
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40

No big one got away <G> everything we hooked,
we landed. I caught the prize of the week, a 75lbs
Wahoo.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

860. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G1Sy9.1717$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143348

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021103224506.389$cM@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > >> You know this guy?
> > >
> > > http://www.elbudster.com/report.asp
> > >
> > > I'm going down Thursday afternoon,
> > > That's one hell of a big Tuna!
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> >> Sounds like fun,Shark. Enjoy.
> >
> Wonder if Shark reeled in the big one yet?
>
> Cheers to him.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>

Arrived Friday at 12:30, relaxed around the pool.
Walked to around the Marina. checked the incoming
boat for catch. Some really big Tuna saw a 195lb &
a 165lb Tuna.
Now the day you posted it was a hell of a rough
day out. 10 to 12 foot swells and high winds. But we did
go out some 35 to 40 miles out to Gordo Banks, hit a
couple of schools of tuna average weight around 50lbs.
The largest that day was 69lbs, but most were around
30 to 40lbs each. Caught a couple of Marlins too, but we
always release them.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

861. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G1Sy9.1713$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143355

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:d_ednVm6Ppfv-VWgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021105134735.524$rw@newsreader.com...
> : "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> : > "Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
> : > news:FdOdnRNkEO_JalqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> : > >
> : >
> : > > Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the
> : > > garter belt on the girl.
> : > >
> : > >
> : >
> : >> Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually
take
> : > the garter off.
> : >
> : > Tom
> : >
> : She was in the ladies room,helping her put it on. lol
>
> Who Landshark?
>
>

Infatuated with me again.....LMAO!!!

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WARMED of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Adorner
and under different screen nicks, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

862. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G1Sy9.1715$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143543

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106205104.038$og@newsreader.com...
> "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021106183029.615
> >
> > : We should be getting close to a fishing report,about the big one that
> > : got away..<g>
> >
> >> Yes, too bad it will have been a guy! lol
> >
> That shit is un-called for! Maybe someday your folks will unlock your
door,
> and that will seem like a vacation for you.
>
> Tell the Doc you're over-medicated.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

But he's right Mopar, if a guy showed up on
the hook I would throw it back right away. George
on the other hand with all the gay comments he makes
would most likely keep him LMAO!!!!!!!!!!

Try these sites:

http://nowherebar.com/principal.html

http://www.elsquidroe.com/

http://www.gigglinmarlin.com/

http://www.cabowabo.com/

http://www.loscabosdirectory.com/cabosanlucas/barsandnightlife/lordblack/lor
dblack.html

But the way George talks, he wouldn't be caught dead
in any of these places.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

863. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G1Sy9.1714$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143546

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:gqOdnffRie_d-VWgXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021105134735.524
>
> : > > Hey thats what I yelled at the last wedding when he was putting the
> : > > garter belt on the girl.
>
> : >> Putting it on? Most wedding receptions I've been to, they usually
take
> : > the garter off.
> : >
> : > Tom
>
> Yes, I don't know what they do at the weddings you go to but it is
customary
> for the guy who catches the garter to put it on the female who caught the
> boquet of flowers. It usually makes for a un comfortable situation for
many
> as the guy pulls the garter up we all ways try to coax him to go
higher....
> I am sure you know what I am saying.
>
>

I'm sure it wasn't hard for you to fight
off the other girls while you were catching
the bouquet.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

864. Re: A-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H1Sy9.1719$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143349

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq2dt7hqk1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just bought a A-99 antenna from Solarcon and was wondering if anyone has
> any experince with these units or could tell me any hints about them
before
> i go to put it up and use it??
>
> Thanks alot,
>
> Jason
>
>
>

A very simple easy antenna, just get it up
as high as you can to help avoid spurious
rf from effecting your neighbors. Also run a ground
wire from it to a ground rod, that helps with the
inherent spurious rf problem.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

865. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H1Sy9.1718$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143350

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1j1y9.17885$bE1.7950@news.bellsouth.net...
> Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's going to be some disappointed
demagogues,
> uh er, I
> mean Democrats. I thought everybody was sayin' how the Reps were going to
> lose the House.
> There's miracles and there's miracles, but it seems it's going to take one
> for 'em to hang on,,and
> they "could" lose the Senate. it's that close. We'll know in the morning.
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

Yes this will be interesting, republican congress & senate
and president.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

866. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H1Sy9.1721$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143351

AKA Troll George wrote:
"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:0-OdnWxGNfKXvFWgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> Now if you said that to Landshark I could understand.
>
>

LMAO !!!!!!!!!!! Gone for a week, can't stop
talking about me, what a troll.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

867. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H1Sy9.1720$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143353

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:BcWdnUC47Jtbv1SgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021106081538.877$I5@newsreader.com...
> : "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote:
> : >> Nah sorry twist another lie, I can do just like Mopar does X-No
> archive
> : > real simple stupid
> : >
> : So why don't you,instead of changing nics 3 times a day?
>
> Actually i think it is more creative to change nics, and it really seems
to
> get under peoples skin. I think it is pretty anal of em to worry about
> something as trivial as that but hell what do I know but the x archive
does
> have the same effect.
>
>

Actually no George. When you are kill-filled
your posts are not being seen by that individual.
If you X-no, they are not being saved, so you
can't see them on the archives. If you stuck with
on screen nic, x-no the posts I and I'm sure
most others would just kill file you. I was gone
for only a week, some 979 posts and at least
1/3 were deleted because of my kill files.
But you being the troll, so far have changed
your nic at least 5 maybe 7X's already just so
you can by pass kill files

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

868. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:12 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <I1Sy9.1722$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143354

"Richard Cranus" <richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:ZTSdndjG-asTVlugXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021104134427.22205.00001481@mb-cv.aol.com...
> : only difference is those letters to peoples ISP's did something. havent
> noticed
> : its more peacefull in here?
>
> Not really Tim moped complaint, Landshark, and twistys complaints to my 2
> Isp's have fallen on deaf ears.. Perhaps if the those against Illegal Cb
did
> write to FCC perhaps the 11 meter band will to be more peaceful too. Funny
> part is yet they are openly agains't following FCC rules they want others
to
> adhere to ISP rules Why the double standard tim Thats really the issue
here,
> no one likes a snitch right? Did those words not come from your mouth?
Don't
> talk out of your ass and your mouth tim it starting to smell like shit
> around here with all that Bullshit.
>
>
>
>
> : >Sounds like some of the piss ant complaint letters that have been sent
to
> : >some peoples ISP's.
> : >
> :
> :
> :
> :
>
>

Actually George I have never sent one
complaint to Comcast about you, keep dreaming
that your important. As for double standards?
You are not the FCC, if you have a complaint
about my "views" about freebands, export radios
and amps, send a letter to the FCC, not cry &
whine about it here, like a troll.

LOL!!!!..... Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

869. FS: 1970's RADIO SHACK Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 16:57:59 UTC
From: mnowlen@comcast.net
Message-ID: <edeb76db.0211080857.83be6c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: Radio Shack Catalogs in good condition.

1975, 76, 79: $15 ea
1973: $20

Plus $2 shipping/order in US for Media Rate or email with address for
other rates or locations.

Mike Nowlen
mnowlen@comcast.net

870. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:15:18 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <nJCdnSASRsG3bFagXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143356

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

: > It would make you liar......again....and we are talking access, not
: > necessarily ISP's.
:
: Oh, now you change gears! First it was ISP's, now it's how I GET to my
: ISP. You really do want to make mountains out of molehills.

No it makes him a liar Dave he never mentioned acesses till you spanked him,
he is scouring google
doesn't it make you feel good to know he cares that much about you.

: Tha fact remains that I am using the same ISP, which provides my
: newgroup server (for which I pay a yearly subscription fee), for the
: last 7 years. How I get to it, whether from a dial up line, a cable
: modem, or through a T1 line is of no consequence. And if my ISP wants to
: change their newsserver, that is something that's out of my control.
:
:
: >
: > >I use my
: > >real name, and my E-mail is still the same. >Unlike you,
: >
: > Ha! My email has always been at webtv.
:
: The difference is that I use my real name. You hide benind a "handle"

He knew what you meant it was his way of being obtuse.

: Well sure you can. It doesn't take much in the way of brains or guts to
: hide behind a pseudonym, and brag about all sorts of illegal activity.
: If they can't trace you, what have you got to lose? If you were truly
: proud of what you did, and felt that there would be no repercussions,
: you would not be afraid to use your real name.

He would never

: A "troll" is someone who posts an inflamatory message, designed with the
: specific intention, of starting an arguement. Countering your "free
: spirit" approach to illegal radio practices, hardly fits the definition
: of trolling. If the truth bothers you so much, maybe you should find a
: new hobby, where you don't have to wrestle with your conscience, or try
: to convince other people that you really aren't breaking federal laws.

Oh twisty has another word for that return fire, he thinks 2 wrongs don't
make a right, hety didn't he tell you 2 wrongs don't make a right? What does
that make him?

871. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:16:29 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NjSy9.1383$Aq5.154061@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143357

Old Chinese curse..... May you live in interesting times.....
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:H1Sy9.1718$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:1j1y9.17885$bE1.7950@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Hmmmmmmmmmmm. Looks like there's going to be some disappointed
> demagogues,
> > uh er, I
> > mean Democrats. I thought everybody was sayin' how the Reps were going
to
> > lose the House.
> > There's miracles and there's miracles, but it seems it's going to take
one
> > for 'em to hang on,,and
> > they "could" lose the Senate. it's that close. We'll know in the
morning.
> >
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yes this will be interesting, republican congress & senate
> and president.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
> SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
> WALK ON, WALK ON.
> Neil Young
>
>
>

872. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:17:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcbef94.6081460@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143358

In <fUednfMRbqwpd1agXTWc3A@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> He is running AM dave where are you coming up with dsb and suppresed
>carrier
>> etc. jeez zoo
>
>If he's not getting enough carrier to adjust the meter ("reduced dead-key")
>then it sounds very much like the radio's been modded to supressed carrier
>or double sideband. It wouldn't be that hard, and I've heard that it has
>some significant advantages.

Not really. The radio was modded for 'swang', or 'NPC' as some like to
call it, and is nothing more than sugar-coated overmodulation. It's a
practice that was tried and abandoned over half a century ago because
it wreaks havoc on the AGC/AVC of the receivers.

>I'm sure the radio started out as a simple AM radio.

If one wants and AM radio, they should run AM. If they want SSB they
should run SSB. If they just want to make a lot of noise, they should
stop by their local CB shop and get their rigs 'peaked and tweaked'.

873. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:24:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ggSy9.8015$uQ3.7709@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143359

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5021-3DCBC253-274@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Why are so many considered federal employees? I specifically remember a
case where an engineer was robbed and killed and it was a federal
case,,,maybe a mail train or contractor?

The ONLY rail employees that could be considered federal employees would be
AMTRAK which
depends on federal subsidy to keep it going. The rest are public
corporations just like IBM or General Motors, have boards of directors, pay
dividends, and take their lumps just like any other
private company. Look in the stock pages of your local paper. Look up rail
companies such as
Norfolk Southern, CSX, BNSF and the like. The only company other than
AMTRAK that ever
was under Federal subsidy was Penn Central/Conrail which, under the
leadership of such men as
L. Stanley Crane, weaned itself off the dole and became a free-standing
corporation. It was later
"divided" up and purchased by Norfolk Southern and CSX along the lines of
the old Pennsylvania
and New York Central System. It was from the Penn Central bankruptcy that
the public got the
mistaken idea that all railroads are "federal". They are NOT and never have
been. Just as the Fed
bailed out Chrysler, they bailed out ONE road, but the mistaken notion of
government ownership of railroads was given to the public, partly due to the
inept media. I run into that to this day. My
pension has nothing to do with the benevolence of goverment; it is due to
the fact that I paid MORE into it and so did my employer. Up until last
year, the retirement age had crept up right along with Social Security. Any
employee hired after 1983 was at the mercy of whatever retirement age
happened to be in effect for both systems. Employees hired prior to 1983
could retire at 62 with full benefits. IF his wife was a homemaker, she was
entitled to a check equal to about 1/2 of what he made, so that, for
example, it was possible for a couple to retire on around $2700 per month
give or take! That, of course, depended on his retiring at 30 years/age 62.
Because the system IS VERY solvent, there were some changes made in
investment strategy and it was found that the age could actually be lowered
back to 60 where it was until 1983. Now $2700
doesn't make a retiree wealthy, but it beats the devil out of what the
government plays politics with.

And, yes, it galls some of those pols that 1) rail retires actually get that much, and 2) that they aren't
able to get their fingers into the fund like they do with SS. My poor old Dad gets not HALF that.
What keeps the politicians at bay is the fact that the rail system WORKS and it is SOLVENT so
they can't find an excuse to tamper with it, and the rail companies AND their employees won't stand for it! Can you blame me for going to work in the rail industry?

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

874. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:33:39 UTC
From: idxeleven@aol.com
Message-ID: <9523b68f.0211080933.61df5f30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143360

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DCB7C17.945751C6@cwis.net>...
I was just wondering why its done if there is no advatage to it?...Thanks..MN102

875. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:40:33 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <smSdnQSS6NiLalagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143361

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DCBE42F.2448@worldlynx.net...
: It's funny how you accuse so many people of the one thing that you seem
: to lack above all else. Otherwise you wouldn't put words in people's
: mouths, make assumptions that are not clearly defined, or obfuscate
: meanings.

Dave it is not funny, he does it as his agenda, thats twistys whole game
hence his name' Twisted"they do for others, he is an outcast to say the
least

: > Hahhaha....that ego!!!!!
:
: Is it? How else would you know the headers of my posts, enough to
: postulate where I get my internet service from?

It is called infatuation Dave. he claims people are infatuated with him yet
he cares enough to spend his time searching where you post from go figure

: > Well, you have claimed I hide, then you have claimed I brag. Do I brag
: > that I hide Dave, or do I hide that I brag.......MMMMPH!
:
: You hide behind a false name. Bragging while remaining somewhat
: anonymous is nothing to gloat over.

He sure does

876. OT- Only in america - funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:46:49 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <9OSy9.946$og2.94171@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 143366

http://www.people.cornell.edu/pages/dah18/america/

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

877. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:53:50 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aqgtoj02mdq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 143368

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
news:usmbvsit6tib8d@corp.supernews.com...
> Sunair GSB 900sc
> http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>
>
>
> RCI 2970 10 meter radio built in 150w linear never mobile in excellent
> condition...$500.-was $750. new. Also have a Connex 3300-$200. Magnum
Delta
> Force-$300. SuperStar 360fm $200.Silver eagle mic $100. Astatic Hand Power
> Mic $60. Stock 4pin Hand Mics -$10.(new) prices in canadian funds plus
> shippingall in excellent condition
>
> stock mics must be purchased a few at a time to justify shipping have lots
> all are new
>
> example $500. usd is $321.usd
> http://www.xe.com/ucc/classic.shtml

The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.
Importation of banned radio equipment is also illegal.
A $10,000 fine will be imposed for the possession of such equipment.
Just a friendly reminder to all of those considering purchasing said
equipment.

878. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:55:55 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgtp4$9g9f2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143362

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:QRmdnVZRqewhTVagXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> Tom, you seem to know an awful lot about Aaron, and from you only being
> here a short time. Have you made him a personal project or have you been
> trolling the nG under another name. Last I ever rememeber anyone speaking
of
> Uncle fernald was about a year and a half ago.
>

I was just using a figure of speech. If he really does have a strange
uncle, then that's a new one to me.

Tom

879. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:56:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcbf939.8550339@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143365

In <9523b68f.0211080933.61df5f30@posting.google.com>,
idxeleven@aol.com (mn102) wrote:

>'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DCB7C17.945751C6@cwis.net>...
>I was just wondering why its done if there is no advatage to it?...Thanks..MN102

Because rectifier tubes use high filament currents and typically need
replacing more often than the rest of the tubes in a set. Eliminating
that tube saves the cost of replacing it over and over, and also lets
the transformer run cooler. You might get an increase of a few volts,
but nothing significant -- the impedance of the transformer is usually
the voltage limiting factor of a HV power supply under load, not the
voltage drop of the rectifiers.

880. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:57:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgtsi$a1qoo$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143363

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:F1Sy9.1712$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Don't waste your time Tom, he is nothing more
> than a troll. Read what you just typed, it clearly
> states that he is a troll.

Just making sure I had him pegged correctly.

Tom

881. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 17:59:33 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgtvu$a2u7r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143364

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:cmSdnf85QdzKUlagXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> That is a big discrepancy 1.2 on channel 1 and 2.2 on 40 I don't beleieve
> they are the correct numbers
>
>

You mean 1.2:1 and 2.2:1? That's not that much of a difference, but I doubt the meter on the radio itself would be accurate enough. I'd rather trust a dedicated SWR meter.

Tom

882. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:16:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108131639.09216.00000003@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143367

then enlighten me and tell me why not

>
>"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
>news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
>: i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground had
>more
>: to do with this than its actual positioning
>
>Your impression and the truth aren't close.
>

883. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:21:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108132101.09216.00000004@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143369

lol sad, tru, and funny as hell.

>
>If one wants and AM radio, they should run AM. If they want SSB they
>should run SSB. If they just want to make a lot of noise, they should
>stop by their local CB shop and get their rigs 'peaked and tweaked'.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

884. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:29:09 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VnTy9.1368$tW4.163421@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143370

Odd, I don't think that was on the test.
"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:aqgtoj02mdq@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
> news:usmbvsit6tib8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > Sunair GSB 900sc
> > http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
> >
> >
> >
> > RCI 2970 10 meter radio built in 150w linear never mobile in excellent
> > condition...$500.-was $750. new. Also have a Connex 3300-$200. Magnum
> Delta
> > Force-$300. SuperStar 360fm $200.Silver eagle mic $100. Astatic Hand
Power
> > Mic $60. Stock 4pin Hand Mics -$10.(new) prices in canadian funds plus
> > shippingall in excellent condition
> >
> > stock mics must be purchased a few at a time to justify shipping have
lots
> > all are new
> >
> > example $500. usd is $321.usd
> > http://www.xe.com/ucc/classic.shtml
>
> The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.
> Importation of banned radio equipment is also illegal.
> A $10,000 fine will be imposed for the possession of such equipment.
> Just a friendly reminder to all of those considering purchasing said
> equipment.
>
>
>
>

885. Re: hey boobnutts and georgie girl.....you pussies aren't doing your job .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:29:58 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108132958.09078.00000009@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143371

>look on ebay you dicks......i have never seen so many cb
>amps........tisk , tisk....what's the matter girls.....ebay doesn't
>listen to your whining anymore........?????
>muhahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1
>

Thanks for the tip.

-A-

886. Re: FS: 1970's RADIO SHACK Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:31:47 UTC
From: Mountain Bob <rtiberiNOSPAM@csusb.edu>
Message-ID: <3dcc02f1.12012222@news.calstate.edu>
Source record: 143373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On 8 Nov 2002 08:57:59 -0800, mnowlen@comcast.net (Mike Nowlen) wrote:

>For Sale: Radio Shack Catalogs in good condition.
>
>1975, 76, 79: $15 ea
>1973: $20

Interesting...I didn't know there was a market for old catalogs.
Learn something new every day.

MT Bob
Always looking for Corvettes and VWs

887. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:33:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqgvvo$a4jl1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143372

"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:aqgtoj02mdq@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.

Um... wrong. You don't need a license to own an amateur radio transceiver,
just to use one. Nor is selling an amateur transceiver to un unlicensed
person illegal.

Tom

888. Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:43:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108134312.09087.00000001@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 143374

with your recent rantings and ravings in here i thought you'd enjoy this bit of advice from a once respectable guy in this newsgroup towards aaron voobner.

From: Jerry Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net)
Subject: Re: Open Letter From Aaron Voobner
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-01-06 19:14:59 PST

Well,

They say that curiosity killed the cat, so......I visited the webpage. What I just can't fathom is the point of all the fingerpointing and flames. It isn't doing any good. You would be better off just to leave it alone. Coming on here arguing about "illegal" CB is not helping anything. Everybody on here knows I don't agree with illegal operations, BUT word-slinging isn't gonna change it. People are going to do what they are going to do. All I can do is try to operate within the laws that govern MY own operations, try to get along, and help people with questions if I can. That's what YOU should be doing. If you want to "change" what someone is doing, perhaps you should use less vinegar and more maple syrup. You might be getting a big kick out of jousting with CBers, or maybe it makes you feel good or more of a man (mistakenly). People messing with your web counter and using obscenity, etc. is caused by your own tactics. I suspect your "association" with FCC is mostly in your own mind. After all, most of us have Riley's email addy and *could* do the same thing you (are?) I mean no disrespect to you, I only disagree with your tactics. < end of quote

889. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:43:56 UTC
From: Eric <alpine@twmi.rr.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <MBTy9.2272$2m.623919@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 143375

Go fuck yourself troll

890. To Tom Sevart and whom ever it may concern.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 18:52:53 UTC
From: fernaldvoobner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108135253.03564.00000190@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 143376

My name is Fernald Voobner from St Mary's County, Maryland. Anytime any of you guys are in the area drop by Buzzy's General Store and say hello. I'll give you first class treatment all the way. Rootbeer's on me.

Fernald Voobner

891. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:35:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10586-3DCC120C-355@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143381

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many even.....
>That's a lie. Plain and simple.<

  WinNT Mimeversion 1.0 3.04 Mozilla Gold.

>So you know what I use as a newsreader. >What does that signify otherwise?

That you changed your access technique many many many times.

>I don't know how that reflects in the message >headers, but I use it >the same as I always did.

Wanna split hairs? Forget the ISP. You have accessed this group by many different avenues.
>No, I haven't.<

Hahah.... your headers say differently.... another clear contradiction. Just like you claiming you didn't know what your headers said, but you were injecting things into your headers while maintaining you didn't know that they looked ....carry on.....
I'll hold that one.....you can always claim "By golly ah guess ah did....fergut abote that thar won!"

>You look too hard for things that aren't there.<

When they are there, you lie and when called on it claim you forgot. Try reading only what is there and not what your unpopular views limit you to.

>But suppose I DID use a different ISP, what's >the difference,

It would make you liar.....again....and we are talking access, not necessarily ISP's.

>Oh, now you change gears! First it was ISP's, >now it's how I GET to my ISP. You really do >want to make mountains out of molehills.

It makes no difference accept to show you lie.

>Tha fact remains that I am using the same >ISP, which provides my newgroup server (for >which I pay a yearly subscription fee), for the >last 7 years. How I get to it, whether from a >dial up line, a cable modem, or through a T1 >line is of no consequence. And if my ISP >wants to change their newsserver, that is >something that's out of my control.

>I use my
>real name, and my E-mail is still the same. >Unlike you,

Ha! My email has always been at webtv.

>The difference is that I use my real name.<

That's what hammies do.

> You hide benind a "handle"
>no need to conceil my identity.

That's what cbers use....handles..........your interpretation of such use is a personal problem, nothing more.

Identity? What does identity even remotely have to do with anything? You're in cb land junior, and cb don't use propers.

>Gee I wonder why? Maybe because they have >something to hide?

(Giggle) Okaaaay!

>Such as illegal operation?<

Well, which is it, am I hiding or bragging about breaking the law? Can't have it both ways........hahahaahahahah!

>Well sure you can. It doesn't take much in the >way of brains or guts to hide behind a >pseudonym, and brag about all sorts of illegal >activity.

Guts? What does guts have to do with it? You are becoming increasingly hostile by the day.

> dIf they can't trace you, what have you got to >lose?

If you had any of these "brains" you speak of, you would understand webtv is indeed traceable. If you had any "guts" you speak of, you would do what several others on this group have done, knock on my door or meet me in person to satiate your addiction concerning identity and personal lives of cbers.

>If you were truly proud of what you did,<

"IF" the queen had balls she'd be king..........

>and felt that there would be no repercussions, >you would not be afraid to use your real name.

Repercussions?(Roar) From who? YOU? What would you do? Ignorize me to death?
Come on down, Shore Drive, last house on the left before the plant.
Again, you bluff has been called.

>I can't be responsible for the hardware >changes that my ISP does.

Sure you can. Obey the TOS set forth by the company you choose to access the group.

>What rule have I broken?<

Dunno.....why have you had so many separate accesses?

>I have used the same ISP for the last at least >6 years now.

That wasn't the question.
See what I mean about the irony of being licensed for that of which you fail to demonstrate a coherent comprehension for....

With several other access sites employed by you.

>Access sites? What are you talking about? >The ISP is the provider of my service, and the >one who grants me access to this newsgroup >via their newsserver. How I get to it, again, is >immaterial.

You would like to think so, but not everyone shares your limited knowledge that you have demonstrated a preponderance for being unable to grasp anything not already retained n your tiny mind.. ..it's as if no new information can be ingrained on your brain,,,almost like it's at full capacity and the result is an overflow of bowel and waste from your mouth every few posts in an attempt to make room for the new info coming in.....

> What, I'm not allowed to express an opinion? >That's too bad for you if that's what you think.

You have demonstrated a preponderance for not knowing what the majority or I think.

>Right......which is why they are so vocal.<

They are so vocal cause you are blackening the eye of hammie radio each time you attempt more bullshit, but go ahead and continue to employ thinking only as you can..after all...you fail to see yourself as the majority do.

>You still haven't learned by your own words:
>Sorry twisty (Ed change),

Apology would be accepted if it were sincere, but as you have clearly demonstrated, you are a liar and a hypocrite. Your "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

>I'm still waiting to hear from that "majority" that >you seem to think >you represent. But you'll have to bring them >here. I'm not going to Mars anytime soon.

Space shouldnt be that foreign to you, all things considered. Yes, I maintain I represent the majority of cbers, hence my views and words popular enough to appear in print concerning cb.

>It's funny that this so-called "majority" isn't >busting down the walls offering up words of >support for you.

Truth never needs support.

>The screen is quiet on that front. I do see >several detractors though. People, whom, you >are quick to lump into the "trouble posse" >catergory, simply because they challenge your >viewpoint.

Your lies are flowing freely. Again, I have done no such thing. The only posse members I have mentioned are the ones named by "Arron", such as YOURSELF, N3CVJ..... Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and Dogie Adair N8WWM...there are my detractors and YOUR support...the lowest forms of examples that hammie radio has to offer. You ought to choose your friends more carefully, but then again, frolicing with the trouble posse would be just what we woud expect from one who had an illegal hack shop with a sex fiend...and all that talk you done about morals.....you are right, this is becoming fun.

The fact that you
are hammie trolls in a cb group crying about identities is plenty.

>A "troll" is someone who posts an inflamatory >message, designed with the specific intention, >of starting an arguement.

Calling names has always been a forte of yours until I began illustrating how it detracts from any communication skills you claim to possess. Glad you recognize the act as such.

>Countering your "free spirit" approach to illegal >radio practices, hardly fits the definition of >trolling.

"Countering" does not necessitate calling names, lying, or making false attributions and continually attempting (but failing, nonetheless) to put words in anothers post. You have done it consistently.

>If the truth bothers you so much, maybe you >should find a new hobby, where you don't >have to wrestle with your conscience, or try to >convince other people that you really aren't >breaking federal laws.

The fact that my conscience doesn't bother me is why you are entertaining and displaying such feminine,,er,,,problems and behavioral traits.
The second fact that you appear to have dropped out prior to a proper introductory, alone education, concerning civic class and applicable laws of the United States, is trulyour finest moment to date.. It isn't me you need to convince of you and N8's views...it's the rest of the world. Even your own posse members don't agree with you on what constitutes a federal criminal. Maybe ask your daughter to explain it to you.....better yet, go get her,,,,she could carry on a more mature conversation. Maybe you can ask hammie ex-communications lawyer Phil Kane to educate you on such matters so as to avoid leaving so many electronic records attesting to the sterotype of hammies the public at large holds.

>And the fact still remains that I am not the one >hiding behind a phoney name. You want some >credibility,

Never really gave it any thought, as those who take issue with what I say matter the least.

>try using your real name.<

Tell ya' what, just like several others, come on down anytime and we can be properly introduced. This pre-occupation with my identity held by you and Frank borders on lunacy.

You were defeated once asking this, but we can ask again...all those agreeing with Dave's definition of "federal criminal" (IE: Anyone who has ever received a notice of a rule violation from the FCC) speak now or forever hold you peace.
Hokey Dokey, Dave?

>So you still maintain the attitude that it's only >illegal if you're caught?

Never maintained that, Dave. This is another prime example of you lying again...I suppose I should thank you, but when you get on a roll, I need just sit back and watch.....you sink yourself almost as good as Lelnad, but not quite.

>That's a shallow defense.<

So why did you pput it forward? Is that your excuse for running your Davemade?

>Many drug dealers and thieves think the same >way.

Considering your admitted association, personal and professional with a sex fiend, it is of no surprise you are familar with the way this type element of society think.

>And I still don't understand the meaning of the >term "slurp"......

Look at your current header. Quite different from at least 5 or 6 others, and that's just what was sent. there's probably more.

>Maybe my newserver has a dynamic routing. I >don't know. I'm not a pencil-necked internet >geek, so I really don't know the nuances of >each and every routing protocol.

Really? You mean someone was forging you when you were attempting to educate another and bragging on your computer prowess abilities?

>When have I done that?<

Are you denying this, Dave?

>I am denying that I know each and every >nuance of internet protocols. I do have a fairly >good knowlege of computer hardware, and >have built several from parts. I am fairly adept >at Windows NT networking, and related >services, and have a working knowlege of >UNIX. But I still

don't know each and every >nuance of internet protocols. I don't study >message headers looking for suspicious >information. I'm not paranoid.

You also claimed you didn't know what your headers looked like while you were interjecting with your own "spew"s into them.....so your claims are highly suspect at best.

>BTW, if you enter "Dave Hall" in your Google >searches, you're going to find many.

Not in rec.radio.cb there aren't...and there is only one N3CVJ.

>At least I let you know who I am. <

Oh, now you LET me know,,,just like you LET me know you had a hack shop. No Dave, you didn't LET me or allow me anything. You were merely shown that you are no good to hammie radio with your neurotic obsessions conerning personal lives of internet strangers based on their topics of choice.....what a lulu.

>Which is far more than you let on.<

Last house on the left.
Iron gate.

So you advertised radios or sale for THEM? Why, if you were an independent contactor?

>Besides, these were friends of mine. <

Nice friends...didn't you claim one was a sex fiend?

>What he does with his personal time, with >other consenting adults, is no business of >mine.

YOU mentioned it and shared it with us so it clearly illustratesyou have indeed made it your business, just like you make the private lives of innet strangers your business.....a very sad hammie with a severe nose problem.

>His internet "fancy"<

Dave, we really don't need to hear, nor do we care about your defense of your pervert sex friends.

We can think for ourselves, Dave, thanks.

>SOME of us can. I have doubts about you >though.

What you think or guess stimulates all of our comedic traits.
You best showed this with your shared thoughts of my age.
You're a young 'un!

>No more than if I were recommending a >website that sells gear, or a >specific radio brand.

(snicker) Okaaay! You like the brand of sneaker you wear so you will use it as a sig.....hahahhahahaahaahahhahaha..beautiful!

>It would be appropriate if this group were >rec.sneakers

I didn't mind when Dogie had the "T" tattooed on his forehead, and I didn't mind when he made Lelnad and Freank get theirs, but this one of the "T" on your ass has me a bit uneasy.

Sure it is.....but you are here trying to tell us it's what you claim it is and not what we see.....hahhahahahhahaahahhahahahahahhahah...get over it!

>Some people see pink elephants in trees too, >but that doesn't make it true.

Most people don't associate with known sexual deviants either, but that didn't stop you from befriending one and going into business with him and retaining the moniker "friend" when referring to him.

Wrong. You posted the business name and phone number as part of your sig. with no mention of "other" guys anywhere in any of your posts. Is the phone number still valid? Was it your phone number at your house?

>The name of the business, were the initials of >the two guys who >"owned" it.

Again...merely your unsubstantiated claims which have been shown to predominantly be lies by our your own self-contradictions.

> My name is not associated with it anywhere. >And no, the >phone was not mine either. I don't know if they >are still valid. Call >them and see. Ask for Cliff or Wayne.

You don;t know if it's valid but want me to ask for someone by name. Gee Dave, that ranks right there with your backslide when I took you up on your offer to meet your "FCC guy".

>Hmmm... you ask me whether a phone >number that was used by a business 7 years >ago is still valid, and then act surprised when I >don't know?

Not at all. I WAS surprised that you told me who to ask for, especially after sharing with us that one is a sexual deviant. Which one is the perv so I know who not to ask for should I decide to call?

>If you want to know that bad, call it and find >out. It's not my problem.

Sure it is, Dave, you made the statements. If it wasn't a problem, you wouldn't have had the need to snip the part where I said I don't concern myself at all with anything you say anymore. I DID give you the benefit of doubt, but your backslide concerning the FCC guy and your lies coupled with your background
posts and self-contradictions nullified that.

>Should I Email Wayne and let him know to >expect you?

Not if he's the perv.

>Well does freeband DX violate FCC rules? A >yes or no answer is all
that's required.

Pigeonholing doesn't work and I have never allowed it, hence the "Aarons
dogs" humping my leg.

>I'll take that as a "Yes"

Cool.

>The difference was that I was at least >attempting to correct some
>really BAD advice and modification work,

With "better" mods? What makes your mods better?

>By virtue of my education, and my possession >of many pieces of
>commercial grade test equipment.

Here we go...another jewel..hahha..I can't believe the ego of some of
you cryabies that bicth and moan...no wonder we are superior in every
facet. We hit you where it hurts the most, in your false image you have
created of yourself....hahahahhaa!

>The quality of my work, and the satisfaction of >my customers speaks
for itself. Whether or not >you choose to accept this, is of no
>consequence to me.

It must be or you wouldn;t
attempt to so vehemently defend such statements.Now who here is
familarwith your work? What's that? No one? So we have only your WORD
(chuckle) that you are above the other techs in modification
capabilities...OOOOOOOOkaaaaay!

Are you saying you didn't, Dave, sell any illegal radios? A simple yes
or no will do.

>*I* never sold any radios, as part of this >business. Illegal or
otherwise.

And you were NOT involved in any aspect of selling radios at the time,
correct Dave?

>That is correct. Selling was strictly handled by >the other two guys. I
never got any e-mails >from anyone on the internet inquiring about
>anything, so I was totally out of the loop.
>secondly, I was not involved in the sales end.

Hmmm....so those posts alluding otherwise was just to "drum up business"
that you weren't really involved in, didn't make any money at, and did
it as a community service....(ROAR)!

>As a service to the business, which was >operated by personal friends
of mine.

One of which is a sexual deviant. So much for whatever you ever have to say concerning morals.

We see how you rate your friends.....one is a sex fiend and ...what about the other?

>The other is a ham, and a fun guy to be >around. And that's all you need to know.

Actually, I didn;t need to know anything about the other, especially that he was a psycho sex sicko, but it;s great to see you in a sobering moment realizing you offered way too much with that motor mouth of yours that somehow always bites you in the azz...

> A remote car control is effective on only one >channel.

Not true. Get it within a few yards and it can be very effective across the band.

>Not if your receiver has a decent front end. <

This coming from an alleged "tech".....man, when it rains it really poors on your head, huh Dave? We are talking cb. Again, you're wrong. Spread some of that wisdom and experence you claim by education...hahahahhahahahaahahahhahhahahaahahahah!

>I hope you like the taste of shoe leather. >Because you sure stuck you foot in deep on >that one. How strong does a signal have to be >to shut down your receive on all channels? >How strong do you think a 100 mW >transmitter's signal is at 30 feet? I'll tell you, it's >not strong enough to desense a good receiver >front end.

"Good" is relevant.
And the "shoe leather" is applicable ONLY when inserting and substituting YOUR distance instead that of which was actually claimed. See below..then have some crow...you can use Lelnad's bowl...he's currently...um'...disengaged.

>At my location, it's equal to about a +20 db >over S9 signal. I don't start to get receiver >desense until the needle hits +40 db over.

What rig?
Most straight cb's will bleed like stuck hog when such a method is employed within a few yards of an antenna. YOU said 30 feet, the distance of 10 yards.....*I* said a few yards...."ten" is not a few. My claim stands. Now have some crow

Make up your mind. YOU claimed, not long ago, that my dx activities had the potential to splatter across the BAND.............besides assuming I am running extra power,
you can plainly spot another of your self-contradictions.
Splattering the band and interfering with legitimate radio services are not mutually inclusive.

>So are you now claiming that a local can plug >your ears from a 1/2 mile away,

Again, I must resort to asking....why is it, that some of those licensed for communication, such as yourself, suffer the greatest deficiencies in such? The irony is lost on you..which makes it a growing delight by the day. How did you get a half mile from my "few yards?" Your gray matter is really straining in that overdrive.......hahahahhaahah!

>A half mile away is what it would take for a >legal 4 watt radio to equal the signal from a >100 mW transmitter at a few yards. I should >have realized that you would'nt have >understood that.

Nothing to understand. It has nothing to do with my claim. Once again, it is bullshit entered into the equation by yourself in another failed redirect.

>But you haven't answered the question, does >someone a half mile away, plug your ears on >all channels?

Poor side step,,,you have done better.
You made the incorrect statement that it is only good for one channel and I corrected the statement.

>No you countered the statement, but you were >not correct.

Sure I was. You are applying hammie technicalities (hammie rigs) where they don't apply.

>So you are now claiming that CB receivers are >way worse than ham receivers?

The irony........

>Some of your "big radio" brothers may be a bit >upset with you for making that sacriligious >comment.

Then you better get busy on your deliberate misattributions, you're running way behind.

>But more to the point, I have good quality CB >radios as well as ham radios. They don't >desense when a 100 mW signal is in the back >yard either.

This is like your comparison of the dx cycles, right? Innuendo, personal opinion, and personal inexperiences of yours is all there is..I forgot who I was relating with for a second...for a moment, I had a fleeting glimpse that I was dealing with someone of a certain lucidity instead of one whose knowledge ends with his limited personal inexperiences.

>Stay with argueing the semantics of illegal >operation. Technical >discussions are way beyond your abilities.

Then you have much to learn. You and George must share the same drinking water.

>I am always willing to learn. <

Minds are like books, they only function when open.

>I would be interested in your opinions of >modulation techniques.

AS loud as it can go and still maintain FM quality sound.....oh
yea...and with a tinge of echo.

>The pitfalls of phase modulation versus true >frequency modulation.

One at a time.....take your turn. My turn for a question.
Perhaps you would explain your use of Davemade products on illegal
frequencies during the time you were invloved in a hack shop with the
sex perv while maintaining you were breaking no laws?

>How you equalize your audio to produce a flat >response, and which SSB
filter width provides >the best compromise between signal clarity >and
bandwidth performance. How about DSP >algorithms? You want to talk
technical, I'm >ready.....

Really? Ok.....why do you feel the need to call people names who have
done the exact same that you have done, and treat them with such malice?
(technically speaking. of course)
The fact that a length of time matters so greatly to you (IE: time spent
on radio, age of someone) illustrates perfectly the affliction and
tightassed nature you display so arrogantly of "I did it, and I had my
fun..but....now I know better and YOU can't do what I did". Tim had it
right......born-again hammie.

>So, on one hand, you make the claim that I >could have planted the
>device a few yards away without requiring >trespass, in your yard

,
No, I never made that claim at all.

>Uh, yes you did.<

No, I didn't.

>You made the claim that a single channel >remote control transmitter
would blank the >entire band if placed a few yards away from >the
local's antenna.

Yes, I did...note that it says "few" not "10" like you tried but failed
with..

>I countered that that would have required me >to trespass on his yard.

No, that's NOT what you said. Go back and look at it. YOU claimed, to
me, after *I" claimed it could be done by being placed a few YARDS away,
that 'That would require trespass."
You directed it to me, about me. I responded with "Not in my ow yard, it
wouldn;t".
Now, your little game of claiming something that doesn't take place has
you in the meritless box, Dave. I warned you long ago you should have

quit when you were in the wee stages of frying your rep with lies.

>To which you replied "not in my yard". Now >how does one interpret that? You distinctly >implied that I could get within a few yards of >your antenna without tresspassing on your >yard.
>Oh wait, here you go explaining it again:

I claimed the device would be heard across all 40 within a few yards of an antenna....YOU claimed it would involve trespass...I claimed not in my yard, it would not be trespass.

>You talk about MY contradictions?<

Go back and look at it again,, since you are the only one in doubt what was said originally.

>So which is it then? Do you have a small yard >or will I have to tresspass to get to your >antenna?

Obsessive dwelling on my personal life can only be satiated one way.....the invitation stands.

>Do you mount your antenna, on a pole at your >property line?

I may not have an antenna.

>That would certainly not be conducive to radio >DXing. Oh, I get it. You're being clever. You >operate strictly from a mobile eh?

Nah....my beam is back up.

>Unless you want me to plant a device in your >yard.....

Sure.....you can try, but I must warn you in advance.....Florida has laws that differ a bit from Pa.....it would be a shame to tell that little girl of yours that her daddy was eaten by hog dogs.

>Dog's don't scare me.

Hahaha...come on down and pet my dogs.....
you wouldn't even reach the latch on the gate...I'll even video tape it and post it here after I get you on tape making the statement. "I Dave Hall, being of semi-sound mind and body, hereby release Twistedhed from all damages arising from my attempt to pet sir Twist's pups. I do this of my own free will and was not coerced in any way whatsoever. In my last will and testament, I hereby bequeath my worldly possessions of my hammie certification and..."...well, you get the gist of it.

>I've got one of my own,<

I guarantee you don't have anything like this. These ain't pets, Dave..and if dogs don't scare you, you have never been educated on what they are capable of.

>and it's not a poodle. Besides, I'll use your >suggestion, a slingshot.....

You can't even see my beam from the road,,it's above the treeline. You can see it from the bay..........

>This is becoming too much fun. I give you a >snippet of a post that I >made from my "old" account 7 or 8 years ago, >and you magically claim to have been given >information from some "upperclassman".

No Dave,,,that is singular...I used the plural context of "upperclassmen".

You didn't give me anything, Dave. You certainly never mentioned anything about you being a hack shop.

>No, but once you were given the identity of my >former account, you were easily able to let >your fingers walk through Google.

Dave, I'm not interested in your past..only your lies because you direct so many toward me. Get over your preoccupation with internet strangers merely becasue they show you for the hypocrite you are.

>I know all too well, your obsession with >tarnishing my name.

Check all our conversations, Dave. As a matter of fat, you completely ignored how we were first introduced when I mentioned it..........you came at me first, as always, and when you realized you were impotent and up against someone who wouldn't roll over and kiss your smarmy uppity arrogant, hammie azz, you and Lelnad and N8 went wild.

>Calling you a nut for going off on wild >speculative assumptions, was hardly what I >would consider "going wild".

Oh, so you did check the post.
So share with us your inability to attack the topic instead of the poster and how far back these favorite actions of yours have been employed. That was good, now check a bit further down the line and see how many more are identical...all having one thing in common, your inability to communicate manifesting throughout with insults, off topic matters, name calling, and personal inquirys and hazards.
Nicely done.

It has always
been YOU that attacks the person and not the post.....'cause you lack communciation skills.

>It's funny how you accuse so many people <

Not so many...YOU N3CVJ, Dogie N8WWM, Lelnad KC8LDO, and Frank Gilliland.
Just the louses that Arron admitted to causing trouble..

>of the one thing that you seem to lack above >all else. Otherwise you wouldn't put words in >people's mouths, make assumptions that are >not clearly defined, or obfuscate meanings.

Your words are there for anyone's interpretations. The fact that your views are in the minority and you are not adept at conveying your

message with mere words (communication deficit) does not mean your words will be viewed in only the manner you contend after your gaffes.

It has always been you that has drawn first blood with your personal hangups and concerns with identity...if you weren't having such a crisis, you probably wouln't be such a bad guy,,,,but your family is fractured just like your mind, and it's plain to see why she left.

>Who left?

The mother of your child.

>See what I mean about making wild >accusations? You know absulutely nothing >about my family life, and here you go making >assumptions.

I know you're not married and slightly more than I actually cared to.....

>And if I correct you, by refuting your wild >assumption, you'll accuse me of "lieing".

Only if you choose to do so.

>You really do live in a fantasy world.

No, my world is quite real..the fantasy is all yours...which is why you avoided the question concerning just who it is you think believes you?

>Do you think I would give you something, if I >had something to hide?

You didn't give it to me, Dave.

>If you say so, I know better.<

Show it, Dave. Show where you gave me your admitted freebanding, linear use, and hack shop sales.

>I can picture you searching through google for >each and every post >that I ever made, comparing headers, and >cross checking several times, looking for >something to use against me.

Hahhaha....that ego!!!!!

>Is it? How else would you know the headers of >my posts,

MSN displays them. Pretty simple for someone whoself-professes a certain adeptness concerning internet protocol.

>Your problem is that I don't hide what I do/did, >and what I do/did,

Well, you have claimed I hide, then you have claimed I brag. Do I brag that I hide Dave, or do I hide that I brag.......MMMMPH!

>You hide behind a false name. <

The FCC doesn't see it that way. If they did, they would require licensing again.

>Bragging while remaining somewhat >anonymous is nothing to gloat over.

More of your claims and deliberate false innuendos....

>You make way too much noise for someone >who is supposedly not bothered
>by what other people think. You say one thing, >but your actions speak
in a much different >voice.

But,,if I am ANONYMOUS, why would I care? Gee Dave, that Lelnad logic
never has worked.

>Don't you think I knew what you were going to >bring up?

Sure you did....you are afflicted with the "seer" malady.

>One does not have to be a "seer" to see what >is plainly obvious.

Now it's "plainly obvious" that you
predicted me mentioning your hack shop?
Hhaahhahahhahaah!

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ
>Practicing Socialist
Admitted lawbreaker
Admitted hack shop owner
Admitted jammer
Admitted friend of a sexual deviant

892. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:36:55 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <v9OcnTDbX7TKj1GgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143377

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108131639.09216.00000003@mb-cr.aol.com...
: then enlighten me and tell me why not

You have an antron right? Is it vertical or on a 45 deg angle?

: >"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
: >news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
: >: i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground
had
: >more
: >: to do with this than its actual positioning
: >
: >Your impression and the truth aren't close.
: >
:
:
:
:

893. CB Radio Schematic Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:37:56 UTC
From: JWheeler <wheeler@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <CnUy9.1303$P71.291375@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 143378

I need diagram for the power plug on a Demco Ravelle23 CB radio. It is in Sams Photofact CB series CB-15 book. This radio has a 11 pin power connector and I don't know what pins to apply 115 VAC to. I bought this radio this summer as a winter repair project. I would like to apply power to determine if it is worth repairing. Visually it looks like a very nice radio. Thanks for your help.

Jim

894. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:39:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10586-3DCC12E6-356@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143380

Gee, you understood that, without further explanation. Yet Twisty
accuses us of having the comprehensive communications problem.
No worry, his next ploy will be to accuse you of being an "alternate"
me, using a forged account....
Dave
"Sandbagger"
===
"Gee?" GEE?
This comes as a surprise to you? He is one of your supporters and a
named troublemaker of the posse by "Arron." Things must really be bad
for you when you must look to posse members for support and validations.
AT least it shows your always-present communication deficit by your
question that shows you clearly have no grip of comprehension on what
was actually written.

895. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:39:54 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <m3mdnRrhspyUjlGgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143379

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqgtvu$a2u7r$1@ID-
: You mean 1.2:1 and 2.2:1? That's not that much of a difference, but I
doubt
: the meter on the radio itself would be accurate enough. I'd rather trust
a
: dedicated SWR meter.
:
: Tom

It sure is a big difference especially for a 1/2 meg of bandwidth with a wilson 1000, at 2:1 it would be 100 ohms or 25 ohms at 1.2 you figure it out. quite a difference from channel 1 to 40

896. Re: Elections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:41:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10589-3DCC137D-63@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143389

>Can you blame me for going to work in the rail >industry?
>Jerry

Nah....I don't hold it against you <g>.

897. Re: High angle antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:48:44 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <ZAydnWt6YJiGiFGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143382

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:G1Sy9.1713$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

:
: Beware of George Busch WARMED of Allentown Pa.
: He is known to post from different ISP's, under Adorner
: and under different screen nicks, using anonymous mailers,
: just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
: no useful content at all.
: Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
: aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
: Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
: Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
: complain about how this person is using false headers
: with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
: filters.

yes please send all those posts to the ISP's listed, LOL they should get a
good laugh when they check and see not one of those posts comes from me. LOL
Hurry now run along and type away

898. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:51:21 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <4Jycnd97Ac8liFGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143383

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcbef94.6081460@news.cet.com...

:
: Not really. The radio was modded for 'swang', or 'NPC' as some like to
: call it, and is nothing more than sugar-coated overmodulation. It's a
: practice that was tried and abandoned over half a century ago because
: it wreaks havoc on the AGC/AVC of the receivers.

Sparky, tim used the term NPC, altough he doesnt know what It means Billy Ward is the guy who started this type of mod. That doesn't mean ever swing mod is the NPC mod. Check out a few Bulletin boards for more info on the NPC. It calls for the addition of a diode and a diff value resistor, not the classic tweak and peak hack job.

: >I'm sure the radio started out as a simple AM radio.
:
: If one wants and AM radio, they should run AM. If they want SSB they
: should run SSB. If they just want to make a lot of noise, they should
: stop by their local CB shop and get their rigs 'peaked and tweaked'.
:

899. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:52:53 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <Sc2cndMqUeKJi1GgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143384

```
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqgtsi$a1qoo$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
: news:F1Sy9.1712$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
:
: > Don't waste your time Tom, he is nothing more
: > than a troll. Read what you just typed, it clearly
: > states that he is a troll.
:
: Just making sure I had him pegged correctly.
:
: Tom

Tom what are you? why are you in this NG are you a Cber?
```

900. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 19:55:10 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <kK6cnbYpi94Ai1GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143385

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:F1Sy9.1711$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
:
: Yup, a made up name in his own mind.
:
: Landshark
:
:
: --
:
:
: Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
: He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
: and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
: just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
: no useful content at all.
: Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
: aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
: Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
: Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
: complain about how this person is using false headers
: with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
: filters.

So now I am aaron again, can't you guys make up your mind I though Sparky
was aaron, Or Wait Dwight Stewart is Aaron this week read the thread from
cheesecutter

901. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:02:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3AUy9.7$oN4.2@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

the lone marauder <the_lone_marauder@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3DCB2867.7040207@yahoo.com...
> I've given thought to that, and I have the following questions:
>
> 1. - Assuming I have a ham license and a 10 meter radio, who can I talk
> to? Ham seems to be dying, as some articles in this group suggest, and
> I'd hate to spend hundreds of dollars on study and very expensive
> equipment only to find I'm the only one on the road with a radio.

I doubt you would be the only one out there with a radio, and I wouldn't
listen to the ones on here
that tell you ham radio is dying. It's NOT! Ten Meters is very much
affected by the sunspot cycle,
and right now, it's in one day, out the next. When it IS running, you can
talk just about anywhere
on 10. But if you get your license, I wouldn't stop there; I'd go for the
whole pie so that when 10 is
dead, I could just drop down below the MUF to, say, 20 or 40 Meters and hit
some true DX. There you will find Europe, Asia, S. America, Australia,
British Isles, Canada- you name it--just as
you might on 10.

Equipment cost is quite relative. I know you see those single-band "export"
radios advertised on
here at times for $400+. Now it's just my opinion, but it is WAY too high
considering that you can
pick up a pretty decent all-mode, all-band HF rig for not much more that
that such as the IC706
which, not only gives you HF, but 6 Meters and VHF/UHF as well! In that
case, the 706 is MUCH more company to you than a 10 Meter, single-band rig
because, for the additional expense, you get 2 MORE radios plus a general
coverage receiver and a scanner, too! You can
pick out state patrols and scan just them, the aircraft band and scan it, or
the entire VHF spectrum,
and with the license, the cops' anti-scanner laws are void: hams are exempt
in all states from that.
You should keep your CB because it is not legal to use a ham rig for both,
tho I am sure it goes on.
That ham ticket will open up an entire frontier to you not previously
available to you. Go get it!

Jerry

e one with a linear attached to their rig.
> >>I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I have
> >>decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
> >>I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
> >>little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the
hobby
> >>when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal in
it.
> >>I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked again
> >>when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a base
> >>station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of our
> >>metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.
> >>I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
> >>companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
> >>Let's hope so.
> >>Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> >
> > .
> > Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> > and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> > can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> > Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> > code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> > study.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

902. Re- Post of Thread: Beware of Tom Sevart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:06:57 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <9VSdnZdBy4P9hFGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143387

Subject: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC
View: Complete Thread (11 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-02-22 15:38:04 PST

To anyone who intends to deal with Tom Sevart, please read this message first!

I purchased a Icom R71A, which Tom advertised right here on RecRadioSwap. He advertised that it was in excellent condition and from a non-smoker.

I sent him the money he asked for, he would not ship COD, so he had my money first, which would be fine if he sent what he advertised.

But when the radio arrived, it did not work, all the screws were loose and odd colors. He obviously had been in the radio and there was an obvious problem with it working.

I called him, he told me, oh, I was afraid that might happen. So he knew it had a problem but NEVER disclosed it to me when I was inquiring about purchasing i t.

He told me he would send me back a check and then I would send him back the radio. Ten minutes later, he called and said his wife spent the money and has no money to send me and hung up the phone on me.

Therefore, I'm stuck with TOm's bomb. It cost me $400. and the bum won't fess up to his responsibility.

So, I am posting here a "BEWARE" and will give his info so NO ONE else will have to be ripped off like I was.

Thomas M. Sevart N2UHC
307 Granby
Frontenac, KS 66763-2440
(316) 231-0228

Do yourself a favor, and never deal with this character. He is a disgrace to the Ham radio hobby. His call is N2UHC and should be remembered as a crook.

I will, however, look into other avenues of getting my money back from this thief.

Here is a copy of his ad:

I have an R71A for sale in excellent condition. Coverage is 100 KHZ -
30
MHZ AM/FM/USB/LSB/CW/RTTY. 32 memory channels. Optional FM board
installed
& remote control included. Owner is a non-smoker. I am asking $400
firm.
The receiver can be seen at http://photos.yahoo.com by clicking on
"Radio
Pics." I want to sell this receiver so I can buy an R75. If
interested,
contact me at n2uhc@yahoo.com.

---

903. OT: Tom Sevart a liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:09:44 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <zfacnYBrwe2ah1GgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143388

From: caddoo (caddoo@earthlink.net)
Subject: Re: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC

Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-02-23 05:08:01 PST

Tom, you are a total and complete LIAR!!! I never threatened you and that's always a good excuse to use when you're a crook!

Furthermore, your ad states you were selling this excellent radio to purchase another rig. Your excuse to me was that your WIFE spent the money.

Get your stories straight!

Forget the nonsense, WHEN WILL YOU HAVE THE MONEY TO RETURN TO ME?

I'll hold the radio until your money order arrives.

It's very easy when you have my money and I have a broken radio, for you to hang up the phone and call it a DONE DEAL!

It's not done by no means!

So when can you send the money? The radio is in the box waiting to be returned to you when I receive my $400.

Waiting to hear from you.....Rich

904. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:15:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PMUy9.86$oN4.66@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143390

Just remember one thing: I did not start the recent threads about "10 Meters". I just joined in. I've even been getting blamed for starting the threads which I did not. It is true, however, that I am NOT pleased with intrusions of unlicensed ops onto the ham bands. It is also true that I am amongst those who are in the midst of a letter writing campaign to get something done about it. No
matter what any of us thinks, this will get the attention it deserves. Unlike that which goes on on the
40 channels and the freeband that, arguable, no one uses (I know of one licensed agency below 1
that DOES because I am licensed for said frequency), this is different in that those intruders are operating well outside their assigned band and are causing interference and denying use to the in-
cumbent users. This is what differentiates between that which goes on on CB and the 10/12 Meter
issue; the fact that interference is generated to KNOWN users; there is NO argument that hams are
assigned 28.000 to 28.700 MHZ. It is a legal fact. Therefore, it is good news to hams to see a ham/Congressman appointed to the powerful Billy Tauzin's Communications committee. As a result of letters that I KNOW have been flying back and forth to him and others? Seems rather
a strong coincidence if not.

You can attempt to attack me with my own words; it doesn't bother me. I meant what I said then
and I still mean it. You have never seen me involved in a battle of profanity or sexual innuendo be-
cause I refuse to stoop to that level. I say what I think, I sign my OWN name, and stand behind it.
Which is more than MANY group posters can say. And your NAME is???

Jerry
Pimp Slap <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108134312.09087.00000001@mb-cr.aol.com...
> with your recent rantings and ravings in here i thought you'd enjoy this bit of
> advice from a once respectable guy in this newsgroup towards aaron voobner.
>
> From: Jerry Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net)
> Subject: Re: Open Letter From Aaron Voobner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-01-06 19:14:59 PST
>
>

> Well,
>
> They say that curiosity killed the cat, so......I visited the webpage.
> What I just can't fathom is the point of all the fingerpointing and
> flames. It isn't doing any good. You would be better off just to leave
> it alone. Coming on here arguing about "illegal" CB is not helping any-
> thing. Everybody on here knows I don't agree with illegal operations,
> BUT word-slinging isn't gonna change it. People are going to do what
> they are going to do. All I can do is try to operate within the laws
> that govern MY own operations, try to get along, and help people with
> questions if I can. That's what YOU should be doing. If you want to
> "change" what someone is doing, perhaps you should use less vinegar and
> more maple syrup. You might be getting a big kick out of jousting with
> CBers, or maybe it makes you feel good or more of a man (mistakenly).
> People messing with your web counter and using obscenity, etc. is caused
> by your own tactics. I suspect your "association" with FCC is mostly in
> your own mind. After all, most of us have Riley's email addy and
> *could* do the same thing you (are?) I mean no disrespect to you, I
> only disagree with your tactics. < end of quote
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

905. Re: RS TRC-485 mod. -adding more channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:43:46 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uso8dub2egg2bb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143391

this mod only partialy works after you have it done it will not get all of the channels as the vco cannot be broadbanded

"Alex" <Alex@ipan.net> wrote in message news:tLRy9.15420$EJ.49386524@news.primus.ca...
> Hi everyone;
> I found on the web an interesting information regarding TRC-485
> modification. http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html). Well, it didn't
> work very well for me -yet.
> Does anybody performed such a modification and if so, I'm looking for the
> following advice:
> 1. Statement in mod. article says; "pin 31 (open), pin 32 (open), pin 33
> (open), pin 34 (close)", does it mean you have to lift pins from the circuit
> board and keep them "open" (floating) or you have to attach them to Vcc
> (+5V)? Does pin34 (close) mean it must be attached to GND or to Vcc(+5V)?.
> Pins 31 before modification is connected to pins 68 and 73 (all these pins
> are Vdd as per microprocessor spec. sheet) which go to +5V through the
> diode. Pin 34 I don't know where is attached to, pins 32 and 33 are
> attached directly to Vcc (+5V). Why mod. suggest to make pin31 (Vdd) open?
> 2. If successful with above, but without doing any adjustments to VCO, is it
> possible that at least some the new channels will work when TX and RX (say,
> from 27.405 to 27.856mhz)?
>
> I really appreciate any help in this matter.
> A
>
>
>

906. Re: CB Radio Schematic Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 20:57:27 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XyVy9.5558$XG3.286@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 143392

```
AC to pins 7 & 11
Jump pins 9 & 10
jump pins 3 & 4
```

907. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:05:01 UTC
From: Ole Mountain Man Base <LittleJoe@Olemountain3084.net>
Message-ID: <aqh8sn$id2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 143393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You dont needs a lisense and you dont needs to worry bout
silly govmint rules & regs. Round here nobody has a lisense and
nobody worry bout gettin one cuz you dont needs a dam
govmint lisense!!!!!!!!!!!!! dam govmint got to dam much power
aneway. now you dont like my pinion then dont lisen and
quit cross posting to rec.cb got it ???????
Screw the feds!

Old mountain man base, lower side of 28045

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

908. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:25:14 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <7L6cnYv6Vr8mtlGgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143394

Depending on the current flow thu the vacuum rectifiers and the final B+ voltage, you could get 20-40volts more.

A standard silicon diode drops about 0.7volts. This drop is relatively constant, unlike a tube, which can vary with the current.

I replaced some 5U4's some audio amps and found the B+ went from 450V to 485V. I had to change the filter caps as well, since they started to short and blow the fuse.

--

909. Re: HV PS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:29:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCC2CB6.D76963D0@cwis.net>
Source record: 143395

MN102,
The advantage was lower replacement cost, less, heat,
and slightly less current draw for tube filaments. I
don't think anyone uses tube rectifier circuits anymore,
just as there are very few tubes used at all in modern
radios.
'Doc

910. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:31:56 UTC
From: NOWGAUS! <jgbbpi@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <g3Wy9.1437$2t5.956063797@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Not a big fan of what goes on HAM bands either :(

80 meters sounds just like CB maybe worse.....

I was going to get my HAM ticket but after lurking about and hearing all
these old guys talk about
there doctors and prescriptions....Then there's the guys on 80m getting
drunk and swearing and all kinds of BS
Used to stay up all night when I was kid talking to my best friend across
the subdivision on CB
Thinking about getting back into to....This time WELL equipped...Part of the
fun is fiddling with the radios and antennas
and there all sorts of cool mods for CB!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC93FC4.1CF51D84@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Ginger Eakle wrote:
> >
> > That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly
hear
> > anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to someone I
> > would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to their rig.
> > I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I have
> > decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
> > I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
> > little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the
hobby
> > when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal in
it.
> > I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked again
> > when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a base
> > station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of our
> > metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.
> > I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
> > companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
> > Let's hope so.
> > Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> .
> Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse

> code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> study.

911. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:43:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqhbjd$abdqn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143398

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:Sc2cndMqUeKJi1GgXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> Tom what are you? why are you in this NG are you a Cber?

I thought it didn't matter if you're a CBer or not, that you could post here anyway?

And yes, I do have a CB. If you read a post I made some time back, I stated I have a Motorola Mocat 40 that I bought at a hamfest for $1. I may just install it in my shack as soon as I get a mike and a power cable wired up for it.

Tom

912. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:47:23 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211081347.4027cb7b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143397

If by variable power knob you mean RF Gain or dynamike, yes, but if
you're talking about something else, please clarify...thanks

Jasoon
>
> Jason does the radio have variable power knob? If so turn it up, this will
> raise your power which is similair to what you did when you put audio into
> it, if it doesn't have the variable power knob and the radio has been tuned
> assume they lowered the deadkey of the radio, which in turn will not allow
> the Cal to go all the way over to the right because when the meter is actual
> set it is done at the factory stock 4 watts . Either way sounds like the
> swr is ok since you put audio and still got a low reading.
>
> : On the Cobra 29 the CAL meter looks somthing like this _|_|__|__V with
> : the V marker on the very right. Even with the knob turned all the way
> : up it will not swing ove there. I tried keying the mike, and emmited a
> : fairly loud audio tone to up the RF output, and this caused the needle
> : to swing up alot.....I adjusted the CAL knob from there into position
> : and then calibrated the meter like that. I still get SWR readings of
> : 1.2-1.3 with it calibrated this way, and even with the CAL knob turned
> : all the way up it barely moves the needle, getting readings from
> : 1.3-1.5. So I'm guessing the SWR on this setup is exceptionally good,
> : I must have a great ground plane. I've had this same radio setup on a
> : 74 GMc pickup and a 97 Ford Taurus and couldn't get readings below
> : 2.0. I'm hoping the CAL problem isn't caused by low power output, I've
> : had the radio peaked and tuned at the local CB shop. I'm really hopin
> : he didn't screw up. But, maybe, just maybe, I just finally got the
> : right setup..... :)

913. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:51:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqhbjf$abdqn$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143399

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:m3mdnRrhspyUjlGgXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> It sure is a big difference especially for a 1/2 meg of bandwidth with a
> wilson 1000, at 2:1 it would be 100 ohms or 25 ohms at 1.2 you figure it
> out. quite a difference from channel 1 to 40

That's why I prefer my antenna analyzer. Gives you a good picture as to how your antenna performs. He needs to check the SWR on each channel to see what the antenna is doing. If he has a 1.2:1 SWR on channel 1 but drops down to 1:1 on channel 20, then up to 2.2:1 on channel 40, I'd say there's a problem there somewhere because it should have a broader bandwidth than that. However, if it is 1.2:1 on channel 1 and steadily increases to 2.2:1 on channel 40, then he needs to shorten the antenna a bit.

Tom

914. Re: FS: 1970's RADIO SHACK Catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 21:54:58 UTC
From: Guyz-N-Flyz <beauis10@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aqhc0l$a7qft$1@ID-81311.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Mountain Bob (Mountain Bob)" <rtiberiNOSPAM@csusb.edu> wrote in message
news:3dcc02f1.12012222@news.calstate.edu...
> On 8 Nov 2002 08:57:59 -0800, mnowlen@comcast.net (Mike Nowlen) wrote:
>
> >For Sale: Radio Shack Catalogs in good condition.
> >
> >1975, 76, 79: $15 ea
> >1973: $20
>
> Interesting...I didn't know there was a market for old catalogs.
> Learn something new every day.
>
>
> MT Bob
> Always looking for Corvettes and VWs

They are a real deal at the prices listed above, as you can still buy at the
prices listed in the cats. so long as they still have the items in stock.
:~^)

Op

915. Re: Re- Post of Thread: Beware of Tom Sevart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:01:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqhc5g$a9l3k$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143401

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:9VSdnZdBy4P9hFGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> Subject: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC

Heh, you dug up an old post from a misunderstanding that resulted from a sale of an HF receiver almost two years ago.

That was resolved a long time ago.

And Voober thinks that people are trying to discredit him.

Tom

916. Re: CB Radio Schematic Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:08:41 UTC
From: JWheeler <wheeler@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <XAWy9.1371$P71.325598@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 143402

Thanks for the info Mike.

"Mike Scott" <buzzards@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:XyVy9.5558$XG3.286@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> AC to pins 7 & 11
> Jump pins 9 & 10
> jump pins 3 & 4
>
>

917. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:16:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcc36b6.363219@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143403

In <4Jycnd97Ac8liFGgXTWcog@comcast.com>, "Don Corleone"
<lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcbef94.6081460@news.cet.com...
>
>
>:
>: Not really. The radio was modded for 'swang', or 'NPC' as some like to
>: call it, and is nothing more than sugar-coated overmodulation. It's a
>: practice that was tried and abandoned over half a century ago because
>: it wreaks havoc on the AGC/AVC of the receivers.
>
>Sparky, tim used the term NPC, altough he doesnt know what It means Billy
>Ward is the guy who started this type of mod. That doesn't mean ever swing
>mod is the NPC mod. Check out a few Bulletin boards for more info on the
>NPC. It calls for the addition of a diode and a diff value resistor, not the
>classic tweak and peak hack job.

You can probably find a hundred ways to do the same thing, but it's
all based on the same misconception that a couple extra watts is
better than a clean signal. Of course there are some people just like
to watch the little needle bounce around...

918. Re: Re- Post of Thread: Beware of Tom Sevart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:23:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DCC396E-334@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143406

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:9VSdnZdBy4P9hFGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
Subject: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC

>Heh, you dug up an old post from a >misunderstanding that resulted from
a sale of >an HF receiver almost two years ago.
>That was resolved a long time ago.
>And Voober thinks that people are trying to >discredit him.
>Tom

Standard fare from the standard posse of hypocrites, Tom. Let them have
fun with it, it's what entertains them...this "attempted character
malignment" has never worked in the past (hence them being referred to
as "impotent" by the rest of the world), so you have nothing to fear.
Anything posted by them is bound to be out of context and malicious
intent.

919. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:34:18 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <L6KcnZuEt4t2pIGgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143404

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqfj4tvmo5@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sKjx9.271012$Fw2.7888158@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:seOdnUj8So1D_FigXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > I said "just about any". You and Twisted seem to suffer from
selective
> > > reading.
>
> Like you do when reading OE instructions?

What the heck are you trying to drag in to the discussion now? OE
instructions have nothing to do with my prior posts, I didn't mention
anything about them.

>
> > > Anyway if OE is not up to the job then try something else.
>
> Like what?

You don't know how to use "Google" to do a search for news and mail
software?

> I have a great e-mail Anti-Spam program, but I have not seen one
> that can do the same job with news messages.

Then I guess you're stuck until somebody writes a better news reader
software package.
> Not everyone has cable, ADSL or "T1". Cable is not availabe
everywhere...
> it has not reached this area yet. I am on a 56K dial-up, but I
believe
> that there are people out there still using slower modems.

When you do you'll love the speed!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb

technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

920. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:35:15 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <iEKdnQP9Nqy5oVGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143405

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17758-3DC06B78-12@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> ==
> If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled
ISP's.
>

I never have and never will have to either. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

921. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:48:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <LyidnXtmG6PRolGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143407

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com...
> sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i
use. just
> ask the 10 or so newgroup people who's heard me pretty often.

They bootlegg on the Ham bands as well?

> no mistaking its me when its not. i do hear your call on 555 alot
though so you should
> be carefull and not slip up like that when your freebanding

As many times as it has been mentioned, hearing my callsign on the freeband, and you believing it is me. Well Tim it sure seems like you have just about killed all of your remaining functioning brain cells with drugs to buy that one. Nothing like a few dumb CBers using my call so often on the freeband that sooner or later they will be the ones investigated by the FCC, and busted. In fact I hope it, and others, gets used so much that Hams will have another legitimate issue to bring to the attention of the FCC's enforcement section, hijacking of legal callsigns by unlicensed users. Once the number and types of abuses committed by CBers gets big enough the FCC will act swiftly. The dumb CBers are digging their own grave.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

922. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:49:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DCC3F91-336@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143416

Hey Lelnad.....I heard you were talking about selling your van.....how come?

923. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:50:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <fSKdnTlfyp1PolGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143408

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23873-3DC1637B-60@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> KC8LDO asked Timbo:
> >The real question is why are you NOT >educating them?

That's why where're here on this news group, and permanently too,
spreading the word.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

924. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:52:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DCC401D-337@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143414

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17758-3DC06B78-12@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
==========
If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.

>I never have and never will have to either. 8-))
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

You're right, you never obeyed rules (from your inquiry of how to get
around local laws to your TOS).....and you never have to obey them
either, I agree.....you can continue to lose access sites. <g>

925. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 22:55:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6015-3DCC40C6-338@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143415

Yeti Frank Gilliland erred with:
>You can probably find a hundred ways to do >the same thing, but it's all based on the same >misconception that a couple extra watts is >better than a clean signal.

This is the first I have heard of this "misconception". How long have you been putting it out there maintaining such?

>Of course there are some people just like to >watch the little needle bounce around...

You may be a wirey little prick, but we don't refer to you as a needle.

926. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:00:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211081500.4029fa40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143409

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:<uSadnTxYo79mvFSgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> :
> : And I'm still waiting for that loser friend of yours, Aanal Aaron, to
> : post the proof he said he would post "Gladly" that somehow I'm
> : "Steve". Since he's (once again) trying to ignore me, would you be so
> : kind as to remind him that he offered to do so?
>
> Steve I would ask him to provide proof but in a post you made yesterday you
> said it is not up to the person making the claim so i think that leave the
> burden of proof on you to prove he is wrong.

So sorry. One minute you're talking to me, the next you're talking to
"Steve". Better get your head out of your rectum first. Then try
again.

But, of course, you're just Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ in yet another
phoney incarnation. Aanal Aaron said he would "Gladly" post the proof,
but he hasn't done so yet, months later. Don't you think he should do
so? He hasn't a shred of "credibility" until he does it. And neither
do you, Georgie-girl.

927. Moore, Oklahoma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:04:15 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <PpXy9.6762$va1.1318@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143411

[No readable article body recovered]

928. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:06:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108180628.27775.00003612@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 143410

i can have it either way. your point?

>: then enlighten me and tell me why not
>
>You have an antron right? Is it vertical or on a 45 deg angle?

929. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:09:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108180919.27775.00003615@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 143412

my name is Tim Nebo, your hate is blinding you

> I say what I think, I sign my OWN
>name, and stand behind it.
>Which is more than MANY group posters can say. And your NAME is???
>
>
>
>Jerry

930. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:12:26 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <doOcnZxz7-RG2VGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143413

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021104114933.02698.00001204@mb-dd.aol.com...
> because i hear KC8LDO on 27.555 really often. is it not you? i've
not seen you
> deny it even once.

If you're dumb enough to believe its me then denying it is a waste of my time. The logic of the situation along with my stand against pirate operations is enough to convince most intelligent people its not me without having to even ask the question.

>
> >Why are you so sure I'm playing feebander?
>
> >Why don't you just fess up and admit that you do, or did?
>
> because i dont leland,

Like I've said before, coming from a self professed illegal pirate operator, using Ham HF equipment, and now you want people to really believe you when you say you don't? I don't think so Tim. You wrecked your own image by admitting that you don't respect FCC rules - regulations, so jumping on the Ham bands is no different for you than operating on the freeband. Bands, licenses, rules and regulations don't mean a thing to you. You just operate where ever, and when ever you feel like it, freeband, Ham bands, or any place else that strikes your fancy.

> since i have a hf radio and you have a hf radio and neither of us
are licensed
> for hf services i would say you the ham operator would be more
compelled to go
> talk and hang out with the other hams on the hf band alot more than
i would as
> an uninterested cb'er

The big difference Tim is you have admitted to operating out of band, shooting DX on 11m, while I respect my Ham ticket to much to do something that stupid, i.e. operate out of band and outside of my current license privilege level.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"A foolish consistency is the hobgoblin
of little minds."

Ralph Waldo Emerson

931. Re: Re- Post of Thread: Beware of Tom Sevart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:19:54 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <hbKdncosHMkF21GgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143417

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqhc5g$a9l3k$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
: news:9VSdnZdBy4P9hFGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
: > Subject: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC
:
: Heh, you dug up an old post from a misunderstanding that resulted from a
: sale of an HF receiver almost two years ago.
:
: That was resolved a long time ago.
:
: And Voober thinks that people are trying to discredit him.
:
: Tom

Nah, I was just pointing out your credibility as well . I saw no where that the issue was ever resolved. Just you hanging up on the guy. LOL who had the misunderstanding?

:
:

932. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:20:25 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <g-ednd8WJv4q21GgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143418

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108180628.27775.00003612@mb-mf.aol.com...
: i can have it either way. your point?
:
: >: then enlighten me and tell me why not
: >
: >You have an antron right? Is it vertical or on a 45 deg angle?
:
:
:
:

933. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:20:54 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <wcCdnV52ZOxJ21GgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143419

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108180628.27775.00003612@mb-mf.aol.com...
: i can have it either way. your point?

You can and what good would an omni directinal antenna do on a 45?

:
: >: then enlighten me and tell me why not
: >
: >You have an antron right? Is it vertical or on a 45 deg angle?
:
:
:
:

934. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:21:59 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <4UmdnZ6E9lyI2lGgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143420

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108180628.27775.00003612@mb-mf.aol.com...
: i can have it either way.

your point?

your answer just answered that

935. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:29:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <IPScnWTvsuFv1VGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143421

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:NfucnUmUl4LfhVWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:usg25et5seqb47@corp.supernews.com...
> : upon searching google groups I noticed some accusations from
twisted
> : panties:
> :
> :
> : Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's
headers are
> : duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our
> : favorite "Quest" poster.
> :
> : Are you such an imbecile that you cant tell where a news message
is coming
> : from?
>
>
> He sure is ask leland Scott better yet search this on google in
rec.radio.cb
> "Don't even think about mentioning header addresses etc. He can't
figure
> them out to save his life, and his sanity. He still can't read them
right,

You're not kidding.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

936. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:31:30 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <rvqdnSedQLTN1FGgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143422

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqhbjf$abdqn$2@ID-
: That's why I prefer my antenna analyzer. Gives you a good picture as to
how
: your antenna performs. He needs to check the SWR on each channel to see
: what the antenna is doing. If he has a 1.2:1 SWR on channel 1 but drops
: down to 1:1 on channel 20, then up to 2.2:1 on channel 40, I'd say there's
a
: problem there somewhere because it should have a broader bandwidth than
: that. However, if it is 1.2:1 on channel 1 and steadily increases to
2.2:1
: on channel 40, then he needs to shorten the antenna a bit.
:
: Tom

He does not need to check the swr on each channel, you analyzer does nothing that a good swr meter can't do, it just cost you a little more.

> If he has a 1.2:1 SWR on channel 1 but drops down to 1:1 on channel 20, then up to 2.2:1 on >channel 40, I'd say there's a problem there somewhere because it should have a broader bandwidth >than that.

I will bet he will never ever see that scenario with a wilson 1000, or probably most any other antenna. Ever see a SWR Plot? As far as the analyzer what is it going to tell you regarding this situation? Will it tell you how to fix it? will it fix it itself? What exactly will the analzer tell you that you don't already know ?

937. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:33:15 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <xeWcnTG-cMAk1FGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143423

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcc36b6.363219@news.cet.com...

: You can probably find a hundred ways to do the same thing, but it's
: all based on the same misconception that a couple extra watts is
: better than a clean signal. Of course there are some people just like
: to watch the little needle bounce around...

I agree I just didnt' want tim to label every peak job as NPC

938. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:37:41 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <3gadnZTmiP1e11GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143424

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqhbjd$abdqn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
: news:Sc2cndMqUeKJi1GgXTWcow@comcast.com...
: >
: > Tom what are you? why are you in this NG are you a Cber?
:
: I thought it didn't matter if you're a CBer or not, that you could post
here
: anyway?

That is what I thought but some of the guys you are associating yurself with
don't see it that way, you did ask aaron what type equpment he had didn't
you? Are you obsessed with him?

"I've asked you in the past what kind of radio equipment and antenna you
use,
yet you fail to answer. That leads me to think that you don't operate CB, I
know you're not a ham, yet you are obsessed with illegal CB operators."

: And yes, I do have a CB. If you read a post I made some time back, I
stated
: I have a Motorola Mocat 40 that I bought at a hamfest for $1. I may just
: install it in my shack as soon as I get a mike and a power cable wired up
: for it.

: Tom

Ok as of now though you don't operate a CB, so your not a Cber right have
you ever Been? I see no mention of it anywhere at all in your web page or
postings.

939. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:39:31 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <pu6dnRnQB4us1lGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143425

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message :
: But, of course, you're just Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ in yet another
: phoney incarnation. Aanal Aaron said he would "Gladly" post the proof,
: but he hasn't done so yet, months later. Don't you think he should do
: so? He hasn't a shred of "credibility" until he does it. And neither
: do you, Georgie-girl.

I am 2 notches ahead of you in the credibility dept. PigMeat

940. Re: hey boobnutts and georgie girl.....you pussies aren't doing your job .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:52:24 UTC
From: BUTCHMASTER <noscrubs@nospam.cum>
Message-ID: <O5Yy9.15123$NV.254089@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 143426

Go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin' !

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211070448.39e71ae8@posting.google.com...
> look on ebay you dicks......i have never seen so many cb
> amps........tisk , tisk....what's the matter girls.....ebay doesn't
> listen to your whining anymore........?????
> muhahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

941. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-08 23:52:58 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <qZacnYqxZb_E01GgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143427

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108180919.27775.00003615@mb-mf.aol.com...
: my name is Tim Nebo, your hate is blinding you

You know that is not your name

:
: > I say what I think, I sign my OWN
: >name, and stand behind it.
: >Which is more than MANY group posters can say. And your NAME is???
: >
: >
: >
: >Jerry
:
:
:
:

942. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 00:21:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8633-3DCC54FA-147@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143429

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> didn't write in message:
news:23873-3DC1637B-60@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
KC8LDO asked Timbo:

>The real question is why are you NOT >educating them?

Adn then answered himself with:
>That's why where're here on this news group, >and permanently too,
spreading the word.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Do you feel answering yourself and claiming it was I is accomplishing
something other than comedy relief?

943. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 00:29:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8632-3DCC56D4-372@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143430

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021103131823.21048.00002340@mb-fx.aol.com...
sorry Lelnad, Knight Patrol 82 is all mine and the only callsign i use.
just ask the 10 or so newgroup people who's heard me pretty often.

>They bootlegg on the Ham bands as well? <

No, but even if they did it doesn't give you the right to. Now you're
sounding like you entered the "hypocrite (Dave) Hall"..he uses that same
justification of his law breaking.

no mistaking its me when its not. i do hear your call on 555 alot
though so you should
be carefull and not slip up like that when your freebanding

>As many times as it has been mentioned, >hearing my callsign on the
freeband, and you >believing it is me.

From what I heard, you were busted...recorded and photographed.

> as well Well Tim it sure seems like you have >just about killed all of
your remaining >functioning brain cells with drugs to buy that >one.
Nothing like a few dumb CBers using my >call so often on the freeband
that sooner or >later they will be the ones investigated by the >FCC,
and busted.

Yea...ok...ahahahaha..........for what you claim is someone using your
call.....hahhaahahahah!

>In fact I hope it, and others, gets used<

Others don't act like you...doubt any chance of that happening.

> so much that Hams will have another >legitimate issue to bring to the
>attention of the FCC's enforcement section,

For someone impersonating YOU? Lelnad don't you realize that those that
wish you would disappear are NOT cbers...they are HAMMIES!!!!

>hijacking of legal callsigns by unlicensed >users.

That's what you get for funni' around where you shouldn't have been. You
brought it on yourself.
Them boys in Detroit dropped the maul on you.....

>Once the number and types of abuses >committed by CBers gets big enough
the FCC >will act swiftly. The dumb CBers are digging >their own grave.
>--

Still typing the same stupid stuff you wrote years ago..

>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member
>--

944. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 00:37:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GNYy9.311662$121.8708362@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143428

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:G1Sy9.1716$7B5.99305511@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021106183029.615$XP@newsreader.com...
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > > > >> You know this guy?
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.elbudster.com/report.asp
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm going down Thursday afternoon,
> > > > > That's one hell of a big Tuna!
> > > > >
> > > > > Landshark
> > > > >
> > > >> Sounds like fun,Shark. Enjoy.
> > > >
> > >> Wonder if Shark reeled in the big one yet?
> > >
> > > Cheers to him.
> > >
> > We should be getting close to a fishing report,about the big one that
got
> > away..<g>
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>
>
> No big one got away <G> everything we hooked,
> we landed. I caught the prize of the week, a 75lbs
> Wahoo.
>
> Landshark
>

You know, we will be expecting pictures, right?
```

945. Re: The Skippy Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 00:48:32 UTC
From: Frank goes whacky <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 143431

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

: The equipment authorizations for those amps have expired, or did you
: forget about that little detail?

Maybe for production of new units. It should not require the removal or
replacement or application of exsisting legal equipment.

: No, I said that the license can't be located by the file number and I
: asked for the callsign. Again, what's the callsign, Skippy?

Sorry if you can't look up a valid FCC file number Frankie... that's your
problem. Tell us the callsigns of the mystical broadcast station(s) you
claim to work for..? You share and I'll share...

:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...

: And like I said before, I don't have a hard copy. As far as I know you
: are looking at a sheet of toilet paper.

You only seem to verify things on the web Frankie, if IB is not on a web
page somewhere, your still going to cry. Well, it's not on a web page,
but it's clearly printed on the copy of the valid current license I have
here. Go fish frankie...

: <snip>
:>I actually have a lot more background in the area than you could ever hope
:>to achieve Frankie...
: Alright, you asked for it. Time for the list:

: 1. You specifically said that the 6146 was used as a sweep tube, but
: when faced with the challenge of finding the make and model you
: backtracked and said this:

:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

Didn't we go through this once with you frankie..?
You seem to have left out a bit and your good at specific sentence cut and
pasting, leaving out the entire text as it suits your rant. Lets go
through this Frankie. I reposted another persons text which included his
statement about the 6146 tube being used as a sweep tube (possibly in the
Heathkit project TVs), had no reason to sweat the claim. The tube would
function in that capacity. Not a big deal or major technical error that I
would sweat.

So you try to be clever and first paste the "no television I've heard of uses the 6146...." which is a later seperate post followup clarifciation statement from the much earlier post text in your second line "There were some older televisions..." sentence. Out of order, out of context cut and paste that you almost got away with.

The orginal post gets you to dance on the sweep tube labels, not big deal which was easily clarified. For some reason, you just love to go back to the same non issue in any combination of text you can constuct... Get on with something more worth while frankie... your banging your bald head into the same non issue wall.

: which demonstrated your ignorance of the subject. You didn't know
: where the 'sweep' came from in 'sweep tube': I had to explain that
: they were named because they were designed specifically for use as
: horizontal deflection amplifiers, i.e, television 'sweep' amplifiers.

Actually you were just trying to impress us with your desire to post a description. Until your dance and sing, no one was getting excited about it, nor had we asked for one. No one including myself claimed original sweep tubes were originally made for any other application. A near drop in replacement 8950 RF Power Tube was not specifially designed for use in any TV circuit. In the real world most people commonly refer describe the 8950 as a sweep tube because the label fits well, although in your anal book world not exactly true.

: 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the
: amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
: to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
: wasn't operating as a triode.

I know exactly what low and high mu describe... it has many potential sub topics when applied to RF amplifiers. Your book text posting wanders so much, I/we must be careful to nail you down to the specific issue. You also touted tetrode specs using Transconductance when in fact they also have mu ratings. Because you left it out, are we supposed to jump up and down to say you don't know anything about it... hardly.

In specific to my post, certain tubes operate as low mu in grounded grid amplifiers, some act as higher mu tubes in similar g-grid operation. These are serious issues when designing grounded grid amplifiers with respect to dangerous amounts of G1 (grid 1) current and the various circuit layouts. You ran out of technical steam and didn't indicate you had more than your one book knowlege of the subject, so I just let it stop there.
Applied Grounded Grid Pentodes and Tetrodes Amplifiers have complex sub topics which can be hashed over in seperate threads. I'm not about to launch you into another of your many off topic diversions. If you stick to one specific theme per rant, well go as far into detail as you would care to go. By your many previous examples, you just want to rehash a 6146 sweep tube post label out of context; over and over and over and over... and over.

: 3. To defend your remark that a triode-connected sweep tube wasn't
: operating as a triode, you tossed out the term "reverse screen
: current", totally ignoring the fact that reverse screen current occurs
: because of secondary emission from the plate, a problem which doesn't
: exist in a sweep tube because of the beam deflection plates AND
: because the screen is grounded for operation as a TRIODE, which cannot
: have ANY screen current, forward OR reverse.

Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...

You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"

: 4. You didn't know where the 'beam' in 'beam tube' came from, but
: instead thought it was synonymous with 'power tube'. In fact, you
: specifically stated that "the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in
: the generic sense serve as a 'sweep' tube", which propted me to
: explain that the 'beam' tube is a subcategory of 'power' tubes, and
: the 'sweep' tube is an application-specific power tube, be it a beam
: tube or otherwise.

Not true, but again cute assumption on your part... you threw out your
typical batch of book text on beam tubes... talking about grid spacing
and stopped when the book text ended.
Since you quit at grid spacing, but left out space charge and secondary
emission theory... using your mis-information tatics are to now assume
you don't know anything about those subjects. A more serious directly
related issue with beam power tube missing from your post is any
mention of electrode spacing. Electrode spacing is a serious property of
the beam tubes. Somehow you've totally left that out of your posts... but
again, you probably didn't know about it.

: 5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how
: it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the
: relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.

Only your assumption... It's becomes a minor issue in actual tube
selection, if the choice is good enough to serve the desired application.
Opinions on "good enough" do vary, the actual judgement call should made
from measurements made on what come out the coax connector.

: 6. You have shown many times that you didn't even know the difference
: between Class AB1 and AB2 operation, the difference being that AB1
: draws no grid current while AB2 does. In fact, while reading through

Quite wrong of course... you jume back and forth from AB1 and AB2 which
most often have different applied circuits. But you like to avoid my
direct questions. In specific, I'm trying to get you to describe how a

traditional AB2 circuits and AB1 circuit are different in application.

: the previous posts, it seems that you were under the impression that

You could directly ask me... but you don't.

: AB1 meant common-cathode while AB2 meant grounded-grid. For example,
: while discussing neutralization, you state that it is "not required
: in a properly designed AB2 amplifier", which is totally disrepsective
: of the fact that an AB2 amplifier can be common-cathode, and therefore
: require neutralization.

Since you don't appear to have much actual experience building rf
amplifiers, let me give you the word...

We are talking Grounded Grid amplifiers which are for the most part,
always AB2 circuits in design and operation. You hint that AB1 operation
is possible, whereas it might be with reduced drive levels... but I know
of no purpose designed conventional grounded grid amplifier running AB1.
Maybe you could give us an example of any purpose AB1 grounded grid
amplifier you know about...? Any..? Traditional AB1 amplifiers are
for the most part common cathode circuit layouts. The original topic is
Sweep Tube amplifiers in grounded grid operation, that is AB2.

: 7. You have the mistaken belief that the 'flyweel effect' of a tank
: circuit is a cure-all for non-linearity in a linear amplifier, when in

There is no cure all for non linearity. An input tank circuit should be
considered a must have in all grounded grid amplifiers. Your happy grid
linearity babble on is not the same linearity issue I address with the
input tank circuit.

: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
: current distortion.

Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
Yep, greater than 180 degrees. The big picture here is the grid current
distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.

: 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
: ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.

Seems to me, I brought that to your attention frankie... you're
again trying to turn a post around again. In specific, I stated there is
more than one way to ground the required elements providing you understand
basic AC and DC load lines. It was clear to me that you don't have
the proper background knowledge when you coughed and wheezed a batch of
poop about my recomendation to include 10 ohm cathode lead resistors in
my Dentron GLA-1000 update text.
First off, you started out with a "grounding the cathode" rant, when I

corrected your goof, you moved on or avoided your orignal mistake to say the resistor addition was stupid.
Well, had you pulled your head out and done the proper homework, you've find the example amplifier linearity improves with the mentioned modification. But since you know feedback, degeneration and load lines so well, you can figure that out later.

: 9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity
: detectors" (your own terminology),

Come on now frankie... talk about text twist and dance moves... I referenced an example linearity detector shown in the Galaxy Sweep Tube HF amplifier text posted on the sonic server. I also mentioned its use in Collins amplifiers, though I don't believe that was in one of your threads. ALC circuits can be made using various type of sample circuits. Some alc circuits are not unlike the common linearity circuits found in the Galaxy Text. Regardless of the type, most ALC circuit operation is to little, too late.

: and saying that ALC circuits are
: "bad news" because of their "time constants". Of course I set the

More of the older ALC circuits... some modern tranceivers approach practial responce time constants worth consideration.

: record straight that ALC circuits are basically RF compressors which
: require attack and decay time constants, and are so used in the radios

Which are slow to react... once the distortion is on the air... its to late to do anything about it. ALC detector circuits come in all sizes, shapes and circuit layouts. You are again not specific... The function of a properly connected ALC circuit would be to act as a limiting control. RF compression is not such a good label frankie...

Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual circuit operation. Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the coax connector to the antenna.

Depending on the ALC to throttle your tubes back results in much higher levels of initial pre alc action poop. You can hear that poop as buckshot up and down the band...

: you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC
: circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective
: use in amplifiers and other circuits.

At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part. Relying on it for rf compression is poorly applied technology. If you were to look at your signal before the ALC acts... much unwanted poop is generated. Especially in SSB operation with voice compression.

: 10. You continue to claim that sweep tube amps meet or exceed FCC
: specifications, yet you never state those specifications or the
: conditions under which the amplifiers passed, you never post any noise

: figures, and you never make any comparisons to amps that use RF power
: tubes.

Dont have to do it twice. Consult the LA-1000 or GLA-1000 owners manual for details. They are FCC legal amplifiers which is all that matters. The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them. The mid 80's ARRL Handbooks show great examples of sweep tubes in rf amplications. I mentioned the Handbook references more than once, it's a waste of time to post redundant information.

: 11. You clearly do not understand the cause of parasitic oscillations,
: stating that it is due to the nature of a tube, and that the 6146 and
: 4CX250 were 'seriously' prone to VHF parasitics. I needed to explain
: to you that they are caused by resonant conditions within the circuit,
: not the tube itself. I also explained why sweep tubes are NOT immune
: from parasitics because of their poor VHF response as you claimed.

Not true... has a lot to do with the actual tube geometry and the actual circuit. Specific tubes require extra special care in amplifier circuits based on their internal geometry and VHF gain. Some tubes with high VHF gain don't have serious parasitic concerns, hence their placement into hf operation are not as circuit critical. The 8877 is one such animal.

The actual internal constuction of the tube matters very much. You are clearly wrong...

: 12. You didn't realize that if a receiver can hear the background
: noise on a frequency that a pre-amplifier does not improve the
: signal-to-noise ratio at all, and will actually ADD to the noise with
: it's own circuitry.

Actually, my response was about your broad statement that using a fet preamplifier is useless. I don't agree... not everyone has a hot receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good thing and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as a firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios I've tried have pretty numb receivers.

: 13. As stated before, you didn't understand why a grounded-grid

You are so bad at making things up out of context Frank.

: amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
: screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
: amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where

Not the entire case, only part of the master plan frankie... there's a list of grounded grid benefits.

: the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
: no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
: where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
: feedback!).

You are a piece of work frankie... the vhf circuit which can sometimes support VHF ocillations is mainly determined by the Anode-C and the inductance of the conductors between the Anode and Tune-C. Your in phase statement is bogus at VHF where the parasitics often occur. Your post information is faulty.

: 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
: capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is
: grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
: characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
: might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
: that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
: tube for input.

It's no mistake Frankie... look it up. Use the exact text I wrote so you don't twist in some misinformation your so good at doing. If you need some help, I can reference some text for you.

: 15. You stated that "exciter feed through power of the example AB2
: grounded grid amplifier is a big time 'freebie' you don't see in AB1
: circuits", which only reinforces my theory that you really don't
: understand amplifier classes of operation. Grounded-grid amps require
: drive power because the input is the cathode, which must conduct if
: the tube is to work at all. Input power of a grounded-grid amplifier
: is always carried to the plate regardless of class of amplification,
: and regardless of grid-current.

Once again Frankie... how many grounded grid AB1 amplifiers have you seen in use..? Don't modify my text as you go along in your rants to suit your current post needs. The predominant class of grounded grid amplifiers is AB2... exciter power is required and a portion shows up in the output as a freebie. Doesn't get any simpler, but you seen to want to give theory examples of amplifiers no one person is using. Earth to Frank, real world here... wake up..

One last thing... your last statement is not so true... class AB1 operation of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power.

Got to go, back later to finish... love ya frankie, keep trying.
skipp

: 16. You claimed that grid-current distortion only occurs in a
: grid-driven circuit. Of course that's not true, as grid-current
: distortion occurs whenever grid current occurs, regardless of whether
: it's grounded-grid or common-cathode.

: 17. You claimed that putting a resistor in series with the cathode of
: a grounded-grid amplifier will improve linearity, when in fact it does
: nothing but reduce the output power and dissipate part of the input
: power. I also pointed out how cathode resistors are commonly used to
: improve the linearity of a common-cathode amplifier, but not for RF
: amplifiers because it would unground the cathode. Such practice is an
: audio technique that you mistakenly applied to an RF application, and
: also to the wrong type of amplifier.

: 18. You stated that voltage equalization networks are "dated
: technology", claiming that almost no amplifiers use them anymore. This
: is not only a slap in the face of good engineering practices, but also
: flows against basic semiconductor theory: Exceed the PIV and the
: rectifier may fail. Regardless, most amplifier manufacturers do not
: use series connected rectifiers, opting instead for a single rectifier
: of the proper voltage rating (which of course doesn't need a voltage
: equalization network because one rectifier is not multiple rectifiers
: in series).

: 19. You were totally unaware that the mic gain is the power control
: for an SSB radio, showing a gross misunderstanding of the principles
: of SSB modulation.

: 20. You claimed that the interelectrode capacitances of a sweep tube
: are "easily dealt with", yet on the Dentron you failed to recognize an
: input capacitor used to compensate for the input capacitance of the
: tube, calling it one leg of a pi tank. In fact, you don't know the
: difference between a pi-tank and an L-pad, frequently using the term
: "pi-L" to denote something as yet unidentified.

: 21. You mentioned one of the drawbacks of running AB1 was dumping
: power into the swamping resistor, which means that you have no idea
: that a swamping resistor is used to reduce grid-current distortion. Of
: course you can't have grid-current distortion in AB1. And you also
: didn't realize that a low-impedance tuned input is far superior to a
: swamping resistor (when running AB2) because of the negligible power
: loss, and because it performs better at maintaining linearity of the
: signal under grid current transistions.

: 22. You haven't explained why grid-current distortion is "not an
: issue" with "most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers". In
: fact, you haven't even explained once what makes a "properly designed"
: amp. On the contrary, I have explained many times why AB2 IS a problem
: when the amplifier uses sweep tubes.

: 23. Whenever you don't know something you make up vague and
: nonsensical terms like "classic", "linearity detector", "passive gain
: operation", "traditional potentials", "beam power application", or the
: use of the term "low-mu" to designate a triode-connected sweep tube
: that doesn't work like a triode (and you still haven't explained how
: it works if not like a triode).

: Who's the one lacking in RF theory and experience, Skippy? It ain't
: me, that's for DAMN sure!

946. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 00:59:16 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <E5Zy9.3321$0t.324620442@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous ramblings....

<<< YAWN >>>>

> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.

So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.

> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.

Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips is there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?

> Truck
> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
> stop?

More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.

> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying.
>< snip the trolling by the kid>

But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?

Once again......
I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.

Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't answer a simple question?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

947. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 01:05:47 UTC
From: satanep <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCC5F6B.1087F453@believeit.com>
Source record: 143433

> Ok as of now though you don't operate a CB, so your not a Cber right have
> you ever Been? I see no mention of it anywhere at all in your web page or
> postings.

When do you use yours? Your always here.

948. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 01:30:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021108203021.21124.00003568@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143434

>
>You know that is not your name
>

since when?

949. Re: GE SUPERBASE HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 01:32:06 UTC
From: cbraydio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108203206.11198.00003547@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 143435

Thank you so much for the info.

950. How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 01:33:32 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211081733.64db8bcb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143436

How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly. Before I use to here a lot of static now I have to turn the volume up all the way to hear anything and lot of stuff use to come in now hardly anything comes in. When I try to use a swr to tune the antenna nothing happen. I use to have a indoor antenna that seem to work better than this one, may the A 99 is working and I just to not know it yet. The only chanel that seems to work is channel 6. Would could be wrong I followed the instructions and I am using rg58 coax. I have a cobra 89xlr that I use whith this A 99 antenna.

951. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 02:51:16 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aqht940aie@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 143440

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211081733.64db8bcb@posting.google.com...
> How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly. Before I use
> to here a lot of static now I have to turn the volume up all the way
> to hear anything and lot of stuff use to come in now hardly anything
> comes in. When I try to use a swr to tune the antenna nothing happen.
> I use to have a indoor antenna that seem to work better than this
> one, may the A 99 is working and I just to not know it yet. The only
> chanel that seems to work is channel 6. Would could be wrong I
> followed the instructions and I am using rg58 coax. I have a cobra
> 89xlr that I use whith this A 99 antenna.

Since you have had some success with the indoor antenna, then perhaps when
you installed the cable, you may have broken it in the process.
RG58 is quite prone to accidents.
Or it may have been bad to begin with. Even a bad solder joint has been
known to screw things up.
Try another radio and see what happens.

FYI, an swr meter does not tune the radio.
It merely shows you the relationship between radio and antenna.

952. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 02:52:25 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108215225.26775.00000062@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 143439

u might need to turn up the RF gain to make your incoming signal stronger

Thatz-me-JP

953. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 02:53:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5a7gnz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 143438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 07 Nov 2002 19:49:30 -0800, Phil Stripling wrote:

>Keep out of touch on that stuff, and let's stick to beer -- when are _you_
>due back down here?

I was down there three weeks ago to co-conduct a CLE seminar on amateur radio regulatory issues, particularly tower zoming and permit issues and what the FCC is doing to/for amateur radio, at the ARRL Pacific Division Convention (Pacificon).

I co-conduct that dog-and-pony show twice a year - in Concord, CA at Pacificon in October, and in Seaside, OR at SeaPac, the ARRL Northwestern Division Convention in June. An easy 4 units of CLE for those attorneys who attend it.

(That brings this discussion back to amateur radio policy.....)

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

954. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 03:13:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCC7D6C.611D1A37@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143548

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > He is running AM dave where are you coming up with dsb and suppresed
> carrier
> > etc. jeez zoo
>
> If he's not getting enough carrier to adjust the meter ("reduced dead-key")
> then it sounds very much like the radio's been modded to supressed carrier
> or double sideband. It wouldn't be that hard, and I've heard that it has
> some significant advantages.
>
> I'm sure the radio started out as a simple AM radio.

And it still is an AM radio, the carrier is reduced, it isn't
suppressed, you can't get dsb just by reducing the carrier. You
have to use a balance modulator to generate the dsb signal.

955. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 03:18:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCC7EA3.6D87B85D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143549

John Smith wrote:

>
> The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.

Where did you pick up that bid of misinformation?

956. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 03:28:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Fx6cna9ykuV1HVGgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143441

> He does not need to check the swr on each channel, you analyzer does nothing
> that a good swr meter can't do, it just cost you a little more.

I'd like to see you measure the resistive and reactive impedance separately, with an SWR meter.
Especially the sign of the reactance.

957. Pointer to rec.radio.cb FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 03:48:52 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <aqi1be$adamf$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143442

```
For those who don't already know,
this is a new address.

http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
--
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
```

958. Re: Re- Post of Thread: Beware of Tom Sevart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 04:09:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CT%y9.1853$5M1.115416130@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143444

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqhc5g$a9l3k$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
> news:9VSdnZdBy4P9hFGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > Subject: Beware of Tom Sevart N2UHC
>
> Heh, you dug up an old post from a misunderstanding that resulted from a
> sale of an HF receiver almost two years ago.
>
> That was resolved a long time ago.
>
> And Voober thinks that people are trying to discredit him.
>
> Tom
>
>

Obviously you have proved your point, otherwise
George wouldn't go an waster his time searching google
for something to hassle you about.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

959. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 04:09:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CT%y9.1855$5M1.115416130@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143445

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021108150936.983$tk@newsreader.com...
> >
> There ya go,Sharkie! Hope you had lots of fun!!
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

Fun wasn't the word, Bitch'n is more like it.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

960. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 04:09:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CT%y9.1854$5M1.115416130@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143446

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:GNYy9.311662$121.8708362@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> You know, we will be expecting pictures, right?
>
>

I have to transfer the digital ones from my laptop
and have the roll film developed.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

961. Re: The Skippy Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 04:10:06 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcc82a3.9896716@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143547

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>blah, blah, blah...

Anyone can now read on google exactly what I pointed out a the
beginning -- that you really don't know nearly as much as you think
you do about electronics or radio. Sure, you have played with a few
toys, but over the course of this conversation you have shown a very
poor understanding of the concepts and practices behind what you are
doing. It's clear that you don't have much education in electronic
theory, your experience is limited to hacking and modding amps, and
your attitude is even more arrogant than mine! Your own statements
have proven that you are just a wannabe in this field, and if you
can't see that then you are more ignorant than I imagined. And now
it's all in the archives for anyone to read at anytime. Post all the
excuses you want, dance around your own statements until you drop, or
take a crash course in electronics to limit the damage. I really don't
care because I am finished with you.

962. NEED HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 05:04:24 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <sH0z9.5118$mN6.2117493@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143443

I have a radioshack trc-446 I just bought and tried an old antenna with it. The SWR meter (in the radio) showed nothing so I went and bought another antenna. The SWR meter still is unchanged with the calibration knob. I have no idea what the problem is. I can still transmit but very faintly and to recieve I have to have the RF knob completly turned. What is the problem here?

963. Re: RS TRC-485 mod. -adding more channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 05:12:54 UTC
From: Lobby <cuda14_ca@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <N9_y9.16642$uS1.53814516@news.primus.ca>
Source record: 143437

Thanks Steve for your response. What about other questions I had, I'm still
not sure how to perform this modification! -pls. check below.
A

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
news:uso8dub2egg2bb@corp.supernews.com...
> this mod only partialy works after you have it done it will not get all of
> the channels as the vco cannot be broadbanded
>
> "Alex" <Alex@ipan.net> wrote in message
> news:tLRy9.15420$EJ.49386524@news.primus.ca...
> > Hi everyone;
> > I found on the web an interesting information regarding TRC-485
> > modification. http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html). Well, it
didn't
> > work very well for me -yet.
> > Does anybody performed such a modification and if so, I'm looking for
the
> > following advice:
> > 1. Statement in mod. article says; "pin 31 (open), pin 32 (open), pin 33
> > (open), pin 34 (close)", does it mean you have to lift pins from the
> circuit
> > board and keep them "open" (floating) or you have to attach them to Vcc
> > (+5V)? Does pin34 (close) mean it must be attached to GND or to
> Vcc(+5V)?.
> > Pins 31 before modification is connected to pins 68 and 73 (all these
pins
> > are Vdd as per microprocessor spec. sheet) which go to +5V through the
> > diode. Pin 34 I don't know where is attached to, pins 32 and 33 are
> > attached directly to Vcc (+5V). Why mod. suggest to make pin31 (Vdd)
open?
> > 2. If successful with above, but without doing any adjustments to VCO,
is
> it
> > possible that at least some the new channels will work when TX and RX
> (say,
> > from 27.405 to 27.856mhz)?
> >
> > I really appreciate any help in this matter.
> > A
> >
> >
> >
>

>

964. 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 06:27:47 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DV1z9.9091$SY3.478951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143550

Perhaps Jerrys rice box radio is over loaded living so close to the
interstate, and thats why
he hears all those truckers up and down the 10 meter band...spurs and
birdies... try putting a 10DB pad in the antenna line and see if they drop
out.....

965. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 06:44:03 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <NQ2dnUUE9OorM1GgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143551

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021108203021.21124.00003568@mb-fx.aol.com...
: >
: >You know that is not your name
: >
:
: since when?

since birth
Nebout

966. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 06:52:36 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <V6idnUWefu8rLVGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143552

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:Fx6cna9ykuV1HVGgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
:
:
: > He does not need to check the swr on each channel, you analyzer does
: nothing
: > that a good swr meter can't do, it just cost you a little more.
:
: I'd like to see you measure the resistive and reactive impedance
separately,
: with an SWR meter.
: Especially the sign of the reactance.

And what is all that going to do mean with a wilson 1000? . He isn't designing antennas,he doesn't need to know the resistive and reactive impedance he is tuning a wilson 1000 that should be almost dead nuts on. If he knew the res and react impdedance what will that make you do pull the whip out or lower it, same thing that will be done with a swr meter.

967. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 07:02:26 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <f_acnS40tIiYLIGgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143553

"satanep" <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote in message
news:3DCC5F6B.1087F453@believeit.com...
: > Ok as of now though you don't operate a CB, so your not a Cber right
have
: > you ever Been? I see no mention of it anywhere at all in your web page
or
: > postings.
:
: When do you use yours? Your always here.

It is on right now as I type, along with a few other radios, tv computer

968. Re: NEED HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 09:00:57 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCCCEC9.125187D8@cwis.net>
Source record: 143554

Matt,
It could be a number of things. If the radio is
'used', it may be that either the SWR meter doesn't
work, or, that the transmitter isn't transmitting.
Same for a new radio, but not as likely. It's also
possible that both antennas have something wrong
with them. Also not too likely, but possible. I
would 'beg or borrow' an SWR/watt meter to see if the
radio is working.
The 'RF' gain control should be all the way 'up'
in any case, then control 'loudness' with the 'volume'
control...
'Doc

969. RADIOS FOR SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 09:08:28 UTC
From: krp7760@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109040828.28187.00000344@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 143555

Hi there! I have a Cobra 29 Ltd Classic "SE" black face and all. This one has the expander 100 in it(just for your listening pleasure of course) talkback and a supertalk kit. About a 6/10 for looks but an 11/10 for RX/TX

Next I have a a Galaxy DX73V peaked and tweaked. Fun radio with the robot voices and all.

I also have 2 D-104's (chicken chokers), Palamar 225, power supplies(3amp&5amp) Turner +3B, Turner Expander 500, Astatic 575M6 handheld.

If you are interested in any of these, email me at ron_siple@yahoo.com and shoot me an offer as I dont look in here much anymore.

Thanxs
Ron Siple

970. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 09:08:29 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCCD08D.5F41CB2A@cwis.net>
Source record: 143556

DJ,
If you aren't hearing anything (or much of anything)
on the 'A99', I think it would be safe to say that theres
something wrong. It may be the antenna, feed line, or the
radio. Substitute another antenna to see what happens.
If you hear things better, then I'd say something was wrong
with the 'A99', especially if the radio was working before
using the 'A99'. If you have an ohms meter, you might try
checking the continuity of the feed line, it's possible to
be too 'rough' with RG-58 and have a break in it. If the
only change you have made is the antenna, I'd tend to suspect
that's where the problem is...
'Doc

971. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 11:16:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <piGdndc_VcYCc1GgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143557

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:V6idnUWefu8rLVGgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:Fx6cna9ykuV1HVGgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> :
> :
> : > He does not need to check the swr on each channel, you analyzer does
> : nothing
> : > that a good swr meter can't do, it just cost you a little more.
> :
> : I'd like to see you measure the resistive and reactive impedance
> separately,
> : with an SWR meter.
> : Especially the sign of the reactance.
>
>
> And what is all that going to do mean with a wilson 1000? .

Damifino, I was responding to the claim above, that the 259 "does nothing
that a good swr meter can't do, it just cost you a little more.", which is
obviously not correct.

>He isn't
> designing antennas,he doesn't need to know the resistive and reactive
> impedance he is tuning a wilson 1000 that should be almost dead nuts on.
If
> he knew the res and react impdedance what will that make you do pull the
> whip out or lower it, same thing that will be done with a swr meter.

If all you care is lowest SWR, then all you need is an swr bridge.
If you care that the antenna is really resonant AND matched impedance, you
need to see that the reactance is near zero while the impedance is near 50
ohms. A dummy load gives a 1-1 match too, but it dosen't radiate very well.

972. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 11:17:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <jMSdnZTyZPFec1GgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143558

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DCC7EA3.6D87B85D@mailcity.net...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
> >
> > The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.
>
> Where did you pick up that bid of misinformation?

Definitely not correct, at least here in the US.

973. B&K 1040/2040 Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 12:31:18 UTC
From: Bill and Marcy Grassa <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3DCD0016.98C737E3@mpinet.net>
Source record: 143559

Set: B&K 1040 Operators , B&K 2040 Operators and B&K 2040 Service Manual. $33.00. Email me direct , thanks

Bill

974. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 13:21:37 UTC
From: Rod Vale <rod@rodvale.com>
Message-ID: <aqj251$1pp$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 143560

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/ take a look at this page, it might be of some help. + would you belive me if i told you that i have a A99 & i have a good swr from 17 mhz to 28 mhz, i cant belive it myself, i have checked it out on three different swr meters.if some one else told me this i would not belive them.
Thanks Rod from England 26at148
"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCB7D68.B355BF14@cwis.net...
>
>
> Pandolfi,
> I realize that there is probably some 'differences'
> in translation, but even aside from that, your claims
> are totaly ridiculous. The secret in telling a good
> 'story' is that there has to be ~some~ truth to it.
> Keep working on it...
> 'Doc

975. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 13:24:06 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W%7z9.296321$8o3.8681244@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143561

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:NQ2dnUUE9OorM1GgXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> news:20021108203021.21124.00003568@mb-fx.aol.com...
> : >
> : >You know that is not your name
> : >
> :
> : since when?
>
> since birth
> Nebout
>
>

Say both out loud, see what happens. He was just trying to make it easier
for you to spell, George. You know, fewer letters and stuff to mix you up.

976. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 15:10:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zp9z9.4866$oN4.3363@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143562

george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DV1z9.9091$SY3.478951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Perhaps Jerrys rice box radio is over loaded living so close to the
> interstate, and thats why
> he hears all those truckers up and down the 10 meter band...spurs and
> birdies... try putting a 10DB pad in the antenna line and see if they
drop
> out.....

I have a very nice AM filter, thank you, and denial doesn't make their
presence any less so.
They are there and that is THAT! The radio has something for the purpose
you describe: it's called
A T T E N U A T I O N.

J
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

977. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 15:43:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109104349.19813.00000042@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 143563

sounds like bad coax or coax connector

>
>"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:e8d89b3f.0211081733.64db8bcb@posting.google.com...
>> How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly. Before I use
>> to here a lot of static now I have to turn the volume up all the way
>> to hear anything and lot of stuff use to come in now hardly anything
>> comes in. When I try to use a swr to tune the antenna nothing happen.
>> I use to have a indoor antenna that seem to work better than this
>> one, may the A 99 is working and I just to not know it yet. The only
>> chanel that seems to work is channel 6. Would could be wrong I
>> followed the instructions and I am using rg58 coax. I have a cobra
>> 89xlr that I use whith this A 99 antenna.
>

978. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 15:49:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109104904.19813.00000043@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 143564

lol thats correct, they are said the same and both lead to me. at least i'm not scared to say my name like dancing george

>Say both out loud, see what happens. He was just trying to make it easier
>for you to spell, George. You know, fewer letters and stuff to mix you up.
>

979. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 15:51:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109105107.19813.00000045@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 143565

rod there should be certain section between those two freqs where it will work ok but it shouldnt be good swr's over the entire range

>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/ take a look at this page, it might be of
>some help. + would you belive me if i told you that i have a A99 & i have a
>good swr from 17 mhz to 28 mhz, i cant belive it myself, i have checked it
>out on three different swr meters.if some one else told me this i would not
>belive them.
>Thanks Rod from England 26at148

980. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 15:58:08 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <20ydnflnE6IMrVCgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143566

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> didn't write in
message:news:23873-3DC1637B-60@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
****

you sure did write this message, but what the question that was asked was by
leland you replied to his post where he asked tim that question

KC8LDO asked Timbo: The real question is why are you NOT educating them?
****
Leland asked Tim this question, you quoted it and answered it

"We are nobody's mother.
We are not LEOs.
It doesn't concern our world. "

Adn then answered himself with:
>That's why where're here on this news group, >and permanently too,
spreading the word.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
****
Leland then replied to the you, and the post where you answered for Tim and
you said "We are nobody's mother.We are not LEOs. It doesn't concern our
world. Leland replied to your post and then gave his answer of how he is
edjucatin people on this NG.

Do you feel answering yourself and claiming it was I is accomplishing
something other than comedy relief?
****
He never claimed it was you he left the part where it said his call sign on
it asking the question(see below), your trying to distort it that way for
your Hate Leland agenda.

"KC8LDO asked Timbo: The real question is why are you NOT educating them"

981. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 16:13:17 UTC
From: "Professor Snotblower, Esq." <Snotblower@AssortedNutsAndFruits.org>
Message-ID: <aqjc5s$3m2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 143567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Arcane bureaucratic psycho-babble "rules," neither understood
nor much less obeyed by anyone. Such bureaucratic
psycho-babble serves the sole purpose of justifying the
position of the bureaucrat who composes said "rules." Similar
bureaucratic nonsense accounts for tens of thousands of
overpaid federal "positions" held by bureaucrats who compose
and crank out arcane useless rules by the bushel every year, while
we the taxpayer foot the bill by paying federal taxes to support
such bureaucratic nonsense. Get a clue! The mood is shifting in
the country, as evidenced by last Tuesday's elections. Joe Six
Pack is sick and tired, fed up, pissed off, and pissed on, working
12 hours a day to pay lavish salaries and benefits to federal
bureaucrats whose sole purpose in life is to compose "rules" which
make Joe's life more miserable and more difficult to support his
growing family. I've seen all kinds of shit and abuse heaped on
truck drivers by the Sanhedrin in this NG. Got news for you
"talking heads," as another poster calls you fools, truck drivers ARE
America, and paper-pushing rule-writing federal bureaucrats are
SHIT. That's right, SHIT, not fit to breath the smoke blown out
of the exhaust pipes of an 18-wheeler. Here's my message for
you, FUCK YOU and FUCK the horse you rode in on!!!!!!!!
Get a clue & Get a life.

Professor Snotblower, Esq., Ph.D, R.P.E. Master of Bullshit
Chairman, Electronics & Truck Driving Dept.
University of Southern Exposure

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h589vxu.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 14:35:10 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
> >I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
> >argue otherwise?
>
> That's why it was written that way. It would exclude
> communications relating to someone else's personal or business
> axtivities in which the sender were not involved. See my tale
> of woe in another post on this same thread.
>
> The GMRS rules were rewritten several years ago in an effort to
> exclude it being used for company business communication (wanted
> to get them all on Business Radio or even ESMR/Nextel) but ooops,
> there were lots and lots of those pesky company licensees that

> they had to grandfather somehow.
>
> The main benefit of the rewrite for me was to authorize all GMRS
> licensees to use all GMRS frequencies except for two channels
> north of Line A, but the latter were grandfathered anyhow if
> they were licensed for those channels at the time of the
> rewrite (as I was).
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

982. FS: Four Heathkit GW-21 Citizen Band Walkie-Talkie Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 16:20:00 UTC
From: mnowlen@comcast.net
Message-ID: <3dcd3597.317928507@news.va.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 143568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

See Pictures:
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/mnowlen/heath-gw-21-front.jpg
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/mnowlen/heath-gw-21-top.jpg

Four Heathkit GW-21 CB Walkie-Talkies from the 1960s. I had a similar set as a kid. I got these with the intention of restoring them but just don't have the time. Two look to be complete and fairly clean with all controls and whip antennas. The other 2 can be used for replacement or spare parts. Two sets of snap-on leather straps included. Sold as-is.

$40 for all
Plus actual shipping charges
Send Zip code for shipping costs

Please email if interested,

Mike
mnowlen@comcast.net

983. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 16:47:32 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DCD3C24.4BCD5E32@qnet.com>
Source record: 143569

Hello Tim:

Yes you are correct Tim, George is out to lunch as usual.

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
Rock n Roll Fan, I have lots of records
Rock Collector, I stock plenty of Leavheritthere
Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla walla bing bang committee.
Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
I get cable and Dish TV! there's a switch on top of the TV, simply Bitchen....

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
> : i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground had
> more
> : to do with this than its actual positioning
>
> Your impression and the truth aren't close.
>
> :
> : >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
> : >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
> : >here and clarify...
> :
> :
> :
> :

984. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 17:44:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109124415.11067.00000014@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143570

no i havent heard that one yet. you?

>Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?
>

985. Re: CB repairs in Hampshire UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 18:39:53 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqjlge$4hg$3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143571

"Paul Wickham" <p_wickham(nospam)@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:UDUw9.594$sS5.80964@newsfep2-gui...
> Anyone know of an independent radio engineer or possibly a company in the
> Hampshire area to repair a maycom em-27 that suffered a voltage blast when
> my trucks dropper failed. I know of Nevada Communications in Portsmouth
but
> though they supply rigs their interest in repairs to CB is shite! "Well
it
> will be £30 to look at it and take 10 days if you really want us to look
at
> it " Not exactly inspiring ! Thanks in advance

Hi.

Have you tried asking in the UK CB group?
uk.rec.radio.cb

Someone there may know.

Regards,

Peter.

986. Re: ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 18:39:54 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqjlgg$4hg$4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Eric Oyen N7ZZT" <eric.oyen@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.05.10.35.27.19.1258@cox.net...
> Dan,
> your assertion that most cd'ers are unemployed smacks of gross ignorance.
>
> I wonder just how many of them are working at the time while gabbing on
> their CB's.

Truckers.
Other delivery drivers.
Taxi drivers.
"Field" workers, who drive between bookings.
"Home workers", who can listen to what they like while working.

Then there are those who may use CB for their work, Truck stops,
CB shops, some taxis use CBs for contact between cars and base, etc.

Also, we must not forget that there are many people who work
nights... plus Milkmen and Postmen who work early mornings, so
can have the rest of the day free.

Yes, he was making assumptions, without stopping to think about it.

Regards,

Peter
--
Do not assume, it makes an ASS out of U and ME.
... Benny Hill ...

987. Re: Pointer to rec.radio.cb FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 19:32:57 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <aqjnr3$amll$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 143573

test

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:aqi1be$adamf$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de...
> For those who don't already know,
> this is a new address.
>
> http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
> --
> ---
> -=[Bill Eitner]=-
>
> Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
> http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
> ---
>
>

988. Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 22:52:03 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <nkgz9.89060$c51.28025283@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143574

Sparky or Yeti... which handle are you using this week? You're starting to confuse me.

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

989. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 22:55:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <qzqdnX5-EM7oD1CgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143575

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DCC3F91-336@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hey Lelnad.....I heard you were talking about selling your
van.....how
> come?

It's not for sale and never has been. You've been talking to those
"saucer men" too much lately. By the way how many QSL cards have you
claimed you got from them, the "saucer men", so far?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks
>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
==========

>

990. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:01:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <FIydnTQzzJp_DICgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143576

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8632-3DCC56D4-372@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>No, but even if they did it doesn't give you the right to. Now you're
>sounding like you entered the "hypocrite (Dave) Hall"..he uses that
same
>justification of his law breaking.

Hey Twisted. You've been sniffing your "jock strap" too much and
damaging what few functioning brain cells you have left. Besides you
really shouldn't believe everything those "saucer men" tell you on the
freeband. You know those "voices" in your head that only you seem able
to hear.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks
>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
==========

991. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:09:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcd94fb.2347824@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143578

In <nkgz9.89060$c51.28025283@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
<ae352@iwon.com> wrote:

>Sparky or Yeti... which handle are you using this week? You're starting to
>confuse me.

Hmmm... let's see... before July I used 'Sparky', and since then I
have used 'yeti'... oh, be still the turmoil!

992. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:14:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <_KicnWQF_pVuC1CgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143577

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021109104904.19813.00000043@mb-df.aol.com...
> lol thats correct, they are said the same and both lead to me. at
least i'm not
> scared to say my name like dancing george

But you did LIE about your name Tim. The sound alike excuse just doesn't wash. You just didn't expect to get found out so quick and spanked for it. As a matter of fact your complete home address and where you work has been posted on this news group more than once, and people tend to archive that sort of thing for occasions like the one asking you your REAL name. That way when you lie it comes back to bite you.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

993. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:19:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109181952.22248.00002556@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 143579

leland, its hard to hide something everybody already knows.

>But you did LIE about your name Tim. The sound alike excuse just
>doesn't wash. You just didn't expect to get found out so quick and
>spanked for it. As a matter of fact your complete home address and
>where you work has been posted on this news group more than once, and
>people tend to archive that sort of thing for occasions like the one
>asking you your REAL name. That way when you lie it comes back to bite
>you.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "

994. Base antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:21:35 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3Mgz9.5216$mN6.2204936@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143452

I was wondering if anyone could tell me how to construct a base antenna to put in my attic. Also, does anyone know of any reliable companies, webpages, etc. that I could find a base antenna to buy?

995. Yaesu Vertex 150 2-Meter Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:26:37 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <s86rsu8d8658i22ecio6t7qrlb3d30jud6@4ax.com>
Source record: 143580

Yaesu Vertex 150 2-Meter Ham Radio. This radio is like brand new. Comes with battery, belt clip, antenna, charger, owner's manual, and original box. This radio will receive all VHF police frequencies. Has 199 memory chanels, automatic repeater shifts, manual repeater shifts activation, all keyboard programmable, VFO split mode, receive battery saver setup, TX battery saver, keypad LCD alumination, digital readout, automatic power off feature, CTCSS operation, and many more features.The radio is also MARS/CAP modified for 134-174 MHz receive and transmit.

Make reasonable offer or might trade for something of interest.My interest are 10 meter,scanners,high end RX'ers,guns,and try me ??

996. Yaesu FT-2600M high-band VHF mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:28:16 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <hf6rsuspopk9sja60m9rnjb3hie5cnp4l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 143581

Yaesu FT-2600M high-band VHF mobile radio.It includes everything it originally came with such as the box, manual, MH-36B DTMF microphone, DC cord, mounting bracket, and all necessary hardware. The radio is also MARS/CAP modified for 134-174 MHz receive and transmit. It is like-new with no scratches, scrapes, or marks of any kind.

Make reasonable offer or trades for ??.

PICTURE AVALABLE

997. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:32:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211091532.24d35130@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143582

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:<pu6dnRnQB4us1lGgXTWcog@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message :
> : But, of course, you're just Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ in yet another
> : phoney incarnation. Aanal Aaron said he would "Gladly" post the proof,
> : but he hasn't done so yet, months later. Don't you think he should do
> : so? He hasn't a shred of "credibility" until he does it. And neither
> : do you, Georgie-girl.
>
> I am 2 notches ahead of you in the credibility dept. PigMeat

What does "2 notcvhes" do ya? And who is pigmeat?

So where's the proof Aanal Aaron said he would "Gladly" post?

998. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:39:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211091539.4962d573@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143583

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<zp9z9.4866$oN4.3363@news.bellsouth.net>...
> george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:DV1z9.9091$SY3.478951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Perhaps Jerrys rice box radio is over loaded living so close to the
> > interstate, and thats why
> > he hears all those truckers up and down the 10 meter band...spurs and
> > birdies... try putting a 10DB pad in the antenna line and see if they
> drop
> > out.....
>
>
>
>
> I have a very nice AM filter, thank you, and denial doesn't make their
> presence any less so.
> They are there and that is THAT! The radio has something for the purpose
> you describe: it's called
> A T T E N U A T I O N.

When you gonna use some, Jerry? You've been compaining about overload
for years now. Why don't you just get a decent radio? ;)

"They are there and that is that." According to YOU. Nobody else has
complained so much about 'em. Aand Aanal Aaron doesn't count.

999. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-09 23:50:19 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <%ahz9.89703$c51.28096685@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143584

Turmoil? ME?....Naaa, you don't really have to worry about that. I don't frequent this NG enough to let you get under my skin Frank. But oneday maybe the foolishness that you DO bring to rec.radio.cb will be reciprocated by the masses at your 'other' hideout : rec.radio.swap
Have a GREAT Thanksgiving, and don't eat too much.

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcd94fb.2347824@news.cet.com...
> In <nkgz9.89060$c51.28025283@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
> <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
>
> >Sparky or Yeti... which handle are you using this week? You're starting
to
> >confuse me.
>
> Hmmm... let's see... before July I used 'Sparky', and since then I
> have used 'yeti'... oh, be still the turmoil!
>

1000. Re: Yaesu FT-2600M high-band VHF mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 00:07:05 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109190705.06119.00000090@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 143585

125.00

Y ARE U DUMPING IT ?

1001. Re: Antron 99 or Imax 2000 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 00:53:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCDADF7.2F56131B@cwis.net>
Source record: 143586

Rod,
You're right, I find that very hard to believe. I
guess it just depends on what you call a 'good' SWR.
No matter what your meters may be telling you, I can
not believe that you have anywhere close to a
'50r +/- 0j' input impedance over an 11 Mhz frequency
range. If you did, you might consider selling tickets
to see that 'A99'...
'Doc

1002. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 01:37:13 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <spqcnXsV8PTWJVCgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143587

But you did LIE about your name Tim.

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021109181952.22248.00002556@mb-cv.aol.com...
: leland, its hard to hide something everybody already knows.
:
:
:
: >But you did LIE about your name Tim. The sound alike excuse just
: >doesn't wash. You just didn't expect to get found out so quick and
: >spanked for it. As a matter of fact your complete home address and
: >where you work has been posted on this news group more than once, and
: >people tend to archive that sort of thing for occasions like the one
: >asking you your REAL name. That way when you lie it comes back to bite
: >you.
: >
: >
: >--
: >Leland C. Scott
: >KC8LDO
: >
: >Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
: >
: >"I am a
: > bomb
: > technician
: >if you see me
: >running
: > try to keep up "
:
:
:
:

1003. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 01:38:39 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <wuKdnWKtsI49JVCgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143588

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DCD3C24.4BCD5E32@qnet.com...
: Hello Tim:
:
: Yes you are correct Tim, George is out to lunch as usual.

Really Mr. Antenna Man then explain why your antennas aren't recommended to
be mounted on a 45 deg angle then?

: Don Corleone wrote:
: >
: > "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
: > news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
: > : i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground
had
: > more
: > : to do with this than its actual positioning
: >
: > Your impression and the truth aren't close.
: >
: > :
: > : >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
: > : >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
: > : >here and clarify...
: > :
: > :
: > :
: > :

1004. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 01:39:20 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <OXmdnSy7U7pXJVCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143589

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021109140319.040$Z1@newsreader.com...
: timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote:
: >> no i havent heard that one yet. you?
: >
: > >Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?
: > >
: >
: Not yet,but I wouldn't be surprised to.

So your not a troll mopar?

1005. Cobra 29 NWST FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 02:42:04 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <usrhrub2olpm97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143590

I have a new, never used Cobra 29NWST.
$85 plus shipping

Thanks for reading

Mark

1006. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 02:49:51 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7783-3DCDC94F-146@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143593

Frank,Is that pre-amp still for sale?....Mark

1007. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 02:56:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcdca95.16070121@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143591

In <%ahz9.89703$c51.28096685@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
<ae352@iwon.com> wrote:

>Turmoil? ME?....Naaa, you don't really have to worry about that. I don't
>frequent this NG enough to let you get under my skin Frank. But oneday maybe
>the foolishness that you DO bring to rec.radio.cb will be reciprocated by
>the masses at your 'other' hideout : rec.radio.swap

Who's hiding? Matter of fact, if you are interested in the Swan I
wouldn't have any problem selling it to a hamocrite like yourself,
since this radio would be a bitch and a half to convert to 11-meters.

>Have a GREAT Thanksgiving, and don't eat too much.

Why not? Or are you just trying to pick a fight?

1008. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 03:11:39 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <L7kz9.91887$c51.28327218@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143592

I already have a 1011-D and a 1200-X, but thanks anyway. Na... I'm not tryin' to pick a fight, I'm just checkin' to see if you're still awake. Geez, don't be so defensive Frank. Life's too short for animosity. Lemme know if you need a 8/10 1200-X.

A.E. 352

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcdca95.16070121@news.cet.com...
> In <%ahz9.89703$c51.28096685@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
> <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
>
> >Turmoil? ME?....Naaa, you don't really have to worry about that. I don't
> >frequent this NG enough to let you get under my skin Frank. But oneday
maybe
> >the foolishness that you DO bring to rec.radio.cb will be reciprocated by
> >the masses at your 'other' hideout : rec.radio.swap
>
> Who's hiding? Matter of fact, if you are interested in the Swan I
> wouldn't have any problem selling it to a hamocrite like yourself,
> since this radio would be a bitch and a half to convert to 11-meters.
>
> >Have a GREAT Thanksgiving, and don't eat too much.
>
> Why not? Or are you just trying to pick a fight?
>

1009. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 03:24:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcdcdf9.16937716@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143594

In <3dcdca95.16070121@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>...if you are interested in the Swan....

I should have said, "...if you WERE interested in the Swan..." -- it's
already sold.

And since you brought up the subject of hiding, why is it that I can
post my real name in a newsgroup that you call my "hideout", yet you
can't post YOUR name in ANY newsgroup? You aren't hiding, are you?

Come to think of it, what makes you crawl out from under your rock
just after Skippy gets exposed for being a hack? Mere coincidence? If
you have plans to pick the remains of Skippy's shattered ego out of
the mud then by all means give it your best try. Otherwise, go back to
freebanding while waving the American flag, you pseudo-patriotic twit.

1010. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 03:25:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcdd17f.17839640@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143596

In <7783-3DCDC94F-146@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote:

>Frank,Is that pre-amp still for sale?....Mark

Yep, still got it. Email me if you're interested.

1011. Re: Hey Jerry O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 03:28:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021109222803.27699.00003709@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 143595

i didnt lie, thats just how i sign my name and always have since grade school. hell, even my woman has Tim Nebo on her not Tim Nebout. thats just the way it is.

>But you did LIE about your name Tim.

1012. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 03:57:51 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <QeWcnUnjSoTfRFCgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143447

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021109210431.894$H2@newsreader.com...
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
: > news:20021109140319.040$Z1@newsreader.com...
: > : timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote:
: > : >> no i havent heard that one yet. you?
: > : >
: > : > >Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?
: > : > >
: > : >
: > : Not yet,but I wouldn't be surprised to.
: >
: >> So your not a troll mopar?
: >
: Nope.

You sure are and a trouble maker as well, things you like to accuse others
of

Moped trolled:

Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?

--
Don't crush em..restore em.
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1013. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 04:01:07 UTC
From: Don Corleone <lacosanostra@rico.com>
Message-ID: <jJGdnS6hA-SYR1CgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143448

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021109140319.040$Z1@newsreader.com...
: timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote:
: >> no i havent heard that one yet. you?
: >
: > >Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?
: > >
: >
: Not yet,but I wouldn't be surprised to.

This is why you ask guys like JJ for thier callsigns so you can use em on
freeband, what a bunch of
losers, is that the best you can do? Kinda like someone putting your name
and number on a bathroom stall

1014. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 04:14:22 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s_WdnVffip29QFCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143449

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021109230441.898

: Did you hear it?

Nah sorry I don't slum.

1015. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 04:20:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usrnk8dp0t61be@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143450

Denying the facts don't make them go away either ... Fact is they are there
and a few have been busted. Somehow I think you would worry about the
situation if your cable (or satellite) tv quit working because someone
parked on that frequency.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211091539.4962d573@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<zp9z9.4866$oN4.3363@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:DV1z9.9091$SY3.478951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Perhaps Jerrys rice box radio is over loaded living so close to the
> > > interstate, and thats why
> > > he hears all those truckers up and down the 10 meter band...spurs and
> > > birdies... try putting a 10DB pad in the antenna line and see if they
> > drop
> > > out.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > I have a very nice AM filter, thank you, and denial doesn't make their
> > presence any less so.
> > They are there and that is THAT! The radio has something for the
purpose
> > you describe: it's called
> > A T T E N U A T I O N.
>
> When you gonna use some, Jerry? You've been compaining about overload
> for years now. Why don't you just get a decent radio? ;)
>
> "They are there and that is that." According to YOU. Nobody else has
> complained so much about 'em. Aand Aanal Aaron doesn't count.

1016. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 05:05:03 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <3Olz9.91912$c51.28452706@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143451

Be careful Frank, or the doctors gonna have to up the dosage of your blood pressure meds.

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com
(ps- scroll through our roster)

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcdcdf9.16937716@news.cet.com...
> In <3dcdca95.16070121@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >...if you are interested in the Swan....
>
> I should have said, "...if you WERE interested in the Swan..." -- it's
> already sold.
>
> And since you brought up the subject of hiding, why is it that I can
> post my real name in a newsgroup that you call my "hideout", yet you
> can't post YOUR name in ANY newsgroup? You aren't hiding, are you?
>
> Come to think of it, what makes you crawl out from under your rock
> just after Skippy gets exposed for being a hack? Mere coincidence? If
> you have plans to pick the remains of Skippy's shattered ego out of
> the mud then by all means give it your best try. Otherwise, go back to
> freebanding while waving the American flag, you pseudo-patriotic twit.
>

1017. FA: Palomar Red Devil 250 VM Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 06:23:38 UTC
From: goladdgrs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d9d046fe.0211092223.7a18e71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143453

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=800488237&rd=1

Thanks for viewing.

Any questions can be sent to """goladdgrs@aol.com"""

Danny.

1018. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 07:36:29 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211092336.3ceb5d96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143454

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:<%ahz9.89703$c51.28096685@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
>Be careful Frank, or the doctors gonna have to up the
>dosage of your blood pressure meds.
>

You AE guys must spend hours sitting around thinking up those
devastating put-downs. LOL.

>A.E. 352
>http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>(ps- scroll through our roster)

Yeah, so? I see Doug Caruso is #20 on the list. Big deal.

-Aaron-

1019. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 07:50:46 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211092350.686a9b5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143455

Don Corleone wrote in message
news:20021109140319.040$Z1@newsreader.com...
: timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote:
: >> no i havent heard that one yet. you?
: >
: > >Have you heard WA3MOJ on 27.555?
: > >
: >
: Not yet,but I wouldn't be surprised to.
>
>
>This is why you ask guys like JJ for thier callsigns so you
>can use em on freeband, what a bunch of losers, is that the
>best you can do? Kinda like someone putting your name
>and number on a bathroom stall

Yes, I see how it works. Disrespect these bootlegers and they will use
your ham call letters on the freeband. Real nice guys....

-Aaron-

1020. Re: Base antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 10:32:55 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (ÿIvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <1036923860.963770@proxynews1>
Source record: 143456

Please, see the DIAMOND ANTENNA "HAM WORLD ANTENAS & ACCESSORIES CATALOG"
On page 23 " OPTION FOR FIXED STATION".....they have a ANTENNA POLE,
(FP-8, FP-10A, FP-12, FP-14..) a BASE HOLDER (FB-4, FB-5) MAST BRACKET (S-1)
and BALCONY RAILING BRACKET (BK-80)

Or see the web page of DIAMOND ANTENNAS !

http://www.rfparts.com/diamond/

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170
Knight Patrol - 170

Porto
Portugal

--

CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
http://www.alfatango.web.pt/

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> escreveu na mensagem
news:3Mgz9.5216$mN6.2204936@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...

1021. Cherokee CBS 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 10:40:41 UTC
From: V <tx26two30@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3dce371d$1_2@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 143457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Brand new in the box Cherokee CBS 1000 base station for sale. TX entire 11 and 10 meter band. Clairifier also opened for TX. Asking $310.00. E mail for more details.

1022. Re: Base antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 11:03:50 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (�lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <1036929541.10280@spynews6.telepac.pt>
Source record: 143458

hehehehehehee, sorry Matt, i supose what do you need is a BASE ANTENNA , not the suport !
Sorry !
73"

Tony
31-AT-170
Knight Patrol 170

Porto
Portugal

--

_____
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
http://www.alfatango.web.pt/

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)

_____
"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> escreveu na mensagem
news:3Mgz9.5216$mN6.2204936@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...

1023. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 12:33:38 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqljki$b5nek$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143459

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:V6idnUWefu8rLVGgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
>
> And what is all that going to do mean with a wilson 1000? . He isn't
> designing antennas,he doesn't need to know the resistive and reactive
> impedance he is tuning a wilson 1000 that should be almost dead nuts on.
If
> he knew the res and react impdedance what will that make you do pull the
> whip out or lower it, same thing that will be done with a swr meter.

It would tell you if there's a problem somewhere.

But then what do I know, I'm a liar, remember?

Tom

1024. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 12:36:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqljp4$avm0p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143460

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:3gadnZTmiP1e11GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com...

> That is what I thought but some of the guys you are associating yurself
with
> don't see it that way, you did ask aaron what type equpment he had didn't
> you? Are you obsessed with him?

No, I just think it's strange that someone who doesn't use a CB is so
obsessed with illegal CB operators. Must be OCD or something.

> Ok as of now though you don't operate a CB, so your not a Cber right have
> you ever Been? I see no mention of it anywhere at all in your web page or
> postings.

Well since you're so good at digging up stuff on people, keep looking.

Tom

1025. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 15:10:42 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MYOdnWRlHJBv61OgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143461

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021110084524.428

: >
: Have you heard it?

Perhaps Curt Welch can get rid of this troll!

:
: --
: Don't crush em..restore em.
: ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
: Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1026. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 15:21:45 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c72cnUZ6A8kQ5FOgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143462

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqljki$b5nek$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

:
: It would tell you if there's a problem somewhere.

So will a swr meter, but what will the "analyzer" tell you that swr meter won't or even a grid dip meter ? Remember we are checking swr of a mobile antenna here not making stubs, checking coax etc. Ok lets say it tells you that your antenna is 35 ohms, what problem is that, what do you do now? You guys put to much into a Antenna analyzer, they are nice and convient, for certain aspects of antenna work. How did hams ever do it before these analyzers came out?

: But then what do I know, I'm a liar, remember?

True

:
: Tom
:
:

1027. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 15:23:53 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aRmdnaGRmY-X51OgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143463

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqljp4$avm0p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
: news:3gadnZTmiP1e11GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
:
: > That is what I thought but some of the guys you are associating yurself
: with
: > don't see it that way, you did ask aaron what type equpment he had
didn't
: > you? Are you obsessed with him?
:
: No, I just think it's strange that someone who doesn't use a CB is so
: obsessed with illegal CB operators. Must be OCD or something.

Well Tom, you don't use a Cb, why are you obsessed with this NG all of a
sudden. Did that $1 you spent on the motorola get you all worked up to talk
on a CB.

:
: > Ok as of now though you don't operate a CB, so your not a Cber right
have
: > you ever Been? I see no mention of it anywhere at all in your web page
or
: > postings.
:
: Well since you're so good at digging up stuff on people, keep looking.

Hey you admitted you don't use a CB don't blame me for mentioning it. We
just wanted to make sure we pegged you correctly. Kinda like you did to
aaron right.

:
: Tom
:
:

1028. Re: Hey Tim. did you know George's a Troll.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 15:53:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Lhvz9.2319$no5.155448537@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143464

"BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MYOdnWRlHJBv61OgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021110084524.428
>
> : >
> : Have you heard it?
>
>
> Perhaps Curt Welch can get rid of this troll!

Talk about hypocrites!!! Here's the head troll calling
someone else a troll. Kill file him George, he doesn't
change his nic, so don't worry about him by passing
filters like you do. Also, no ones making you read his
posts, so ignore him, take you're own advice troll George.

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

1029. Re: Palomar Red Devil 250 VM Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:07:31 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7vvz9.5517$hK4.469594@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143465

Aaron just got a "woody"...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Danny" <goladdgrs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d9d046fe.0211092223.7a18e71@posting.google.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=800488237&rd=1
>
> Thanks for viewing.
>
> Any questions can be sent to """"goladdgrs@aol.com""""
>
> Danny.

1030. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:10:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xxvz9.5520$hK4.472835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143466

Interesting club bylaws...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:3Olz9.91912$c51.28452706@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Be careful Frank, or the doctors gonna have to up the dosage of your blood
> pressure meds.
>
> A.E. 352
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
> (ps- scroll through our roster)

1031. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:12:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Czvz9.5531$hK4.473343@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143467

Does it really matter... the content is the same... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:nkgz9.89060$c51.28025283@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Sparky or Yeti... which handle are you using this week?

1032. Re: Hey Tim. did you know George's a Troll.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:39:23 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dxqdnYvaZN8mFlOgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143468

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:Lhvz9.2319

:
: Talk about hypocrites!!! Here's the head troll calling
: someone else a troll. Kill file him George, he doesn't
: change his nic, so don't worry about him by passing
: filters like you do. Also, no ones making you read his
: posts, so ignore him, take you're own advice troll George.
:
: Landshark

Yes talk about hypocrites, you are the head hypocrite so I can't call a troll for what he is a troll. You are so hung up on your assumptions of me by passing NG filters, lol if that was really my goal you would still read my posts you have already shown that when I was supposed to be kill filed. Why is it all of a sudden no one is making me read his posts when It is me posting you cry to ISP's and tell us all kinds of excuses of why you still read my posts. Now listen to this this is the funniest part yet

"You don't want to read my posts right?, you claim I should use one screen name, what the hell good would that do , because no matter what screen name I use, or have used it has been proven that you always reply to me or my posts so really what difference what name it is under. You have the choice to not read or reply to me you chose to always reply to me.

Ill give you an example, i don't use kill files, it is called self restraint see I don't reply to those I don't want to such as people like dave and debby and Brainbuster theyere posts show up lk everuone elses. I chose not to read them and If I accidently did I don't respond to them. Perhaps you should try it sometime. Your still pissed of we found you out for who you really are If your worried about the skeletons in your closet be more careful next time where and when you post it usualy comes back to bite you. .

1033. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:41:15 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yFKdndtLZuSxEVOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143469

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021110105042.125$dP@newsreader.com...
: "BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021110084524.428
: >
: > : >
: > : Have you heard it?
: >
: >> Perhaps Curt Welch can get rid of this troll!
: >
: What are you waiting for? Use the link in my sig file,dumbass.

relax good things come to those who wait. I heard a few guys from the NG may
start using Curt's newreader seems he may be somewhat lax in the abuse dept.
They can always cite your posts as an example.

1034. Re: Palomar Red Devil 250 VM Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:45:39 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <j9acnXPtO-apEFOgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143470

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7vvz9.5517$hK4.469594@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
: Aaron just got a "woody"...
:
: --

He very well should the moron who is a Cber is selling the item has his a
picture of a Ham radio tuned to the freeband and selling an amp to boot.
Pretty good evidance it is being used illegally, we know the radio is.

: ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
: Brian Griffey
: http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
: ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

1035. Re: Palomar Red Devil 250 VM Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 16:49:40 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8emcnc6KmO-4E1OgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143471

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7vvz9.5517$hK4.469594@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
: Aaron just got a "woody"...

How long does the amp have before getting whacked. lol

1036. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:00:13 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ticnX0vcbUDDVOgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143472

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:H1Sy9.1720:

: But you being the troll, so far have changed
: your nic at least 5 maybe 7X's already just so
: you can by pass kill files
:
: Landshark

I changed It because I like to, your impotent assumptions are just that. If I did use one name you will still reply to me remember when I was kill filed last time? You replied at will so I don't have to by pass anyones filters there all grown ups who can decide for themsleve what they want to read and reply to you, facts are you have no self discipline as noted by your activities in some other NGs's and your constant replys to me no matter what the screen name. Get over it you little bitch no one wants to hear your crying. This is a Cb ng start talking about Cb's instead of worrying what screen name I use. Your impotent Landshark. Post that sig file again it gives me a hard on.

1037. FS/FT 70s ERA FORD CB MICROPHONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:08:22 UTC
From: galaxyelc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110120822.13220.00000082@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 143473

Have a CB microphone that was used in a 70s era ford vehicle,has about a 20 pin female plug,controls for scan,UP/DOWN/Volumne,NB,squelch,Has digital readout for channels,anybody have a use for this??? make an offer/sale or trade....BOB

1038. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:30:32 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <4YudnTHUS7snClOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143474

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6015-3DCC401D-337@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17758-3DC06B78-12@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
==========
>You're right, you never obeyed rules (from your inquiry of how to get
>around local laws to your TOS).....and you never have to obey them
>either, I agree.....you can continue to lose access sites. <g>

Hey Twisted if that's what you think its going to be a very long time,
like NEVER, before I loose my ISP. I don't do anything to worry about
unlike you. I guess as long as you're wasting your time E-mailing my
ISP you're not out there forging messages from the other group members
as usual.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

1039. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:30:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021110123050.11177.00000009@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143476

didnt your mother teach you not to disrespect others?

>
>Yes, I see how it works. Disrespect these bootlegers and they will use
>your ham call letters on the freeband. Real nice guys....
>
>-Aaron-
>

1040. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:31:02 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vBOdnbA3iahKClOgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143475

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:I1Sy9.1722

: Actually George I have never sent one
: complaint to Comcast about you, keep dreaming
: that your important. As for double standards?
: You are not the FCC, if you have a complaint
: about my "views" about freebands, export radios
: and amps, send a letter to the FCC, not cry &
: whine about it here, like a troll.
:
: LOL!!!!......... Landshark

You Havent, then why all the advertising on your sig file, what is all that about? False advertising?

1041. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:35:59 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8kOdnbcFadudBFOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143477

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

: > : >> Perhaps Curt Welch can get rid of this troll!
: > : >
: > : What are you waiting for? Use the link in my sig file,dumbass.
: >
: >> relax good things come to those who wait. I heard a few guys from the
NG
: > may start using Curt's newreader seems he may be somewhat lax in the
: > abuse dept.

: But wait..didn't you say Curt would get rid of me? Which is it,dumbass?

I didn't say anything dumbass but the power of assumption makes you think
many strange things.

: >> They can always cite your posts as an example.
: >
: What's the matter? You can dish it out,but can't take it?

I can do both, but it is guys like you who claim your not trolling, but
really are who like to complain to other's iSp's. just showing how much of a
hypocrite you are.

1042. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 17:36:50 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ou6dnaStnP2vBFOgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143478

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021110121538.874
: I'm sure Curt will be happy to hear that. He just upgraded his download
: limit to 50G/month for $9.95..pretty good deal!

Sorry I am not into d/l porn like you

: --
: Don't crush em..restore em.
: ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
: Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1043. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 18:28:09 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211101028.6436af9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143479

timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote
>didnt your mother teach you not to
>disrespect others?
>
>
>>
>>Yes, I see how it works. Disrespect these
>>bootlegers and they will use
>>your ham call letters on the freeband.
>>Real nice guys....
>>
>>-Aaron-
>>

Say the wrong thing and you get your ham call used on "freeband"? Hm. Well, I suppose that's one way of enforcing respect for bootleggers in this NG, Tim. But the few times I have listened there it was all noise; singing, playing music, arguing, sound effects, etc. so I doubt the false callsigns would even be heard.

-Aaron-

1044. ILLEGAL amp Aaron !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 19:13:11 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <bdyz9.23017$Em.8878844@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143480

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1395442136

1045. Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 19:32:17 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143483

I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would work as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these antennas?

1046. band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 20:01:54 UTC
From: Cris <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com>
Message-ID: <m6dtsu890emo8jai421vil9tbquom9bgcr@4ax.com>
Source record: 143481

I propose a band swap. I think that would cure everything.

Give the CBr's full control over 10 meters. Let the Amateur Radio have control over 1 - 40 channels. Seems logical doesn't it? Hams like to talk using the Sun spot activity. And channels 1 through 40 would be perfect for them. Don't you think?

I would like it very much to be able to talk to someone across town for once. But with all the spot activity during the day, I can't. I am lucky to talk two miles if that. I would like to be able to talk 15 miles at least without any problems. Having the options using FM, squelch out the noise. That would be nice...

1047. Re: ILLEGAL amp Aaron !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 20:13:40 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ONWcnTN1yPBrIFOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143482

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:bdyz9.23017$Em.8878844@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1395442136
:
:

How do you figure that? troll

1048. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 20:21:44 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZudnQr2EcZEIlOgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143484

"Cris" <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com> wrote in message
news:m6dtsu890emo8jai421vil9tbquom9bgcr@4ax.com...
:
: I propose a band swap. I think that would cure everything.
:
: Give the CBr's full control over 10 meters. Let the Amateur Radio
: have control over 1 - 40 channels. Seems logical doesn't it? Hams
: like to talk using the Sun spot activity.

10 meters is almost identical to 11 meters, as far as propogation. No it
doesn't seem logical at all

And channels 1 through 40
: would be perfect for them. Don't you think?

No I don't, why limit them in your *trade* to channels 1 thru 40 what about
the freeband Cbers certainly use it now.

: I would like it very much to be able to talk to someone across town
: for once.

Get a ham license you can talk on repeaters etc to many locals.

But with all the spot activity during the day, I can't. I
: am lucky to talk two miles if that.

the use of amplifiers on cb also increase the hetrodyne and associated
noise levels 10 fold

I would like to be able to talk
: 15 miles at least without any problems. Having the options using FM,
: squelch out the noise. That would be nice...

Like I said get a ham license, you can talk fm all day long across town etc
with help of a repeater.

1049. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 20:27:13 UTC
From: BitchSlap <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Mr6dnaltvuC_XFOgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143485

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
: I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
work
: as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
: antennas?

They are cheap and easy to make all you need is some 10-16 guage wire bout
20 foot will be plenty and some coax, you could also use a device called a
balun but it isnt necassary.

1050. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 20:32:21 UTC
From: aikon <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DCEC255.EC0902EE@believeit.com>
Source record: 143486

You can build one from scratch that will be cheaper and work
just as well. And you get the satisfaction of saying, "I
built it!"

Matt wrote:
>
> I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would work
> as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> antennas?

1051. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 21:01:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCEC935.3B2A8DBA@cwis.net>
Source record: 143487

Cris,
There's no practical difference between 10 and 11 meters
as far as propagation is concerned, so there's no benifit
to swapping. If you talk all the rest of the hams in to
swapping, I will too.
'Doc

PS - Don't hold your breath...

1052. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 21:10:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCECB5A.770FF76A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143495

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
> news:3gadnZTmiP1e11GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
>
> > That is what I thought but some of the guys you are associating yurself
> with
> > don't see it that way, you did ask aaron what type equpment he had didn't
> > you? Are you obsessed with him?
>
> No, I just think it's strange that someone who doesn't use a CB is so
> obsessed with illegal CB operators. Must be OCD or something.

I don't use a cb but as a ham I am very concerned with illegal cb
operator who operate illegally in the 10 meter band. Anything
wrong with that?

1053. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 21:15:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCECC83.497B5D08@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143597

Cris wrote:
>
> I propose a band swap. I think that would cure everything.
>
> Give the CBr's full control over 10 meters. Let the Amateur Radio
> have control over 1 - 40 channels. Seems logical doesn't it? Hams
> like to talk using the Sun spot activity. And channels 1 through 40
> would be perfect for them. Don't you think?
>
> I would like it very much to be able to talk to someone across town
> for once. But with all the spot activity during the day, I can't. I
> am lucky to talk two miles if that. I would like to be able to talk
> 15 miles at least without any problems. Having the options using FM,
> squelch out the noise. That would be nice...

And just how long do you think it would take the cbers to make a sewer pit of communications of 10 meters like they have the 40 channels on 11 meters? Not long. It would not be long before you couldn't talk across town even with all the frequency spread 10 meters provides.

1054. Re: ILLEGAL amp Aaron !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 21:49:27 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DCED467.D3FECBED@qnet.com>
Source record: 143488

Oh man does that bring back the ol memories........

A Two Holerrrrr

Jay in the Mojave

"A.E. 352" wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1395442136

1055. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 22:21:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pZAz9.301787$8o3.8981019@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143489

"BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Mr6dnaltvuC_XFOgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> : I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
> work
> : as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> : antennas?
>
>
> They are cheap and easy to make all you need is some 10-16 guage wire bout
> 20 foot will be plenty and some coax, you could also use a device called a
> balun but it isnt necassary.
>
>

GEORGE!!!! Dammit! I'm so proud of you I may adopt you! Thanks for taking
the time to give the guy some advice that may help him.

Will the wonders in this world never cease.....?

1056. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 22:23:45 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R%Az9.301831$8o3.8981374@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143490

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211101028.6436af9a@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Pimp Slap) wrote
> >didnt your mother teach you not to
> >disrespect others?
> >
> >
> >>
> >>Yes, I see how it works. Disrespect these
> >>bootlegers and they will use
> >>your ham call letters on the freeband.
> >>Real nice guys....
> >>
> >>-Aaron-
> >>
>
>
> Say the wrong thing and you get your ham call used on "freeband"? Hm.
> Well, I suppose that's one way of enforcing respect for bootleggers in
> this NG, Tim. But the few times I have listened there it was all
> noise; singing, playing music, arguing, sound effects, etc. so I doubt
> the false callsigns would even be heard.
>
> -Aaron-

Be quiet. You don't exist.

1057. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 22:49:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ustojrcmek8v94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143494

Cris,

You have a number of options. 10 meters is virtually identical to 11 meters. You could try MURS. That is two watts output at 156 or so MHz. You should be able to get 10 or more miles between a base and a mobile and 20 miles or so to another base. You'd be using FM so it would be (except on the extreme fringe) noise free. It also requires no license.

If you don't mind signing a legal callsign (my suspicion is this is the real reason many folks don't want the amateur license - the tests are too easy, Morse isn't required, and amateurs, like any other group, are from all walks of life - they are not all the same), you could probably be ready to pass the no code tech starting from ground zero in a week. That will give you access to repeaters, autopatches, and much more (yes, high-speed television repeaters too). Range from a handheld should be a MINIMUM of 10 miles, likely far more depending upon the whip. Range from the repeater should be another 40 miles or more in any direction. Of course, there is FRS, but, as you know, range is very limited. BTW, with the codeless tech and a 10 meter port on a local repeater system, you can talk worldwide from a handheld.

To sum it up:
1) if you don't want to identify, use MURS
2) if you don't mind identifying, try getting your ticket.

"Cris" <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com> wrote in message
news:m6dtsu890emo8jai421vil9tbquom9bgcr@4ax.com...
>
> I propose a band swap. I think that would cure everything.
>
> Give the CBr's full control over 10 meters. Let the Amateur Radio
> have control over 1 - 40 channels. Seems logical doesn't it? Hams
> like to talk using the Sun spot activity. And channels 1 through 40
> would be perfect for them. Don't you think?
>
> I would like it very much to be able to talk to someone across town
> for once. But with all the spot activity during the day, I can't. I
> am lucky to talk two miles if that. I would like to be able to talk
> 15 miles at least without any problems. Having the options using FM,
> squelch out the noise. That would be nice...
>
>
>
>

1058. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 22:50:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ustolbp61l6ha4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143492

Doc, it's an international amateur band, so the FCC couldn't do it if it wanted to.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCEC935.3B2A8DBA@cwis.net...
>
>
> Cris,
> There's no practical difference between 10 and 11 meters
> as far as propagation is concerned, so there's no benifit
> to swapping. If you talk all the rest of the hams in to
> swapping, I will too.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Don't hold your breath...

1059. SHADOW WEB PAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 22:52:25 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20021110175225.10605.00000852@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 143493

http://www.bigradios.com/shadow/
Definition of the SuperBowl
If 10,000 CB'er's are on the SuperBowl
then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
& only 100 can be heard most of the times
& only 10 can be heard all the time
This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!

1060. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 23:08:18 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DCEE6E2.C2ED2A33@qnet.com>
Source record: 143496

Hello George:

Your getting "tilting" a few degree's and 45 degree's mixed up. A few degree's to even 30 degree's will make a small difference in some cases, depending on ground reflections and a few other things.

I have a test platform that actually rotates the antenna in the elevation plan or angle. It uses a Rotor mounted sideways, with a counter weight. You would be amazed to what elevation angle patterns I see.

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
Rock n Roll Fan, I have lots of records
Rock Collector, I stock plenty of Leavheritthere
Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla walla bing bang committee.
Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
I get cable and Dish TV! there's a switch on top of the TV, simply Bitchen....

Don Corleone wrote:
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DCD3C24.4BCD5E32@qnet.com...
> : Hello Tim:
> :
> : Yes you are correct Tim, George is out to lunch as usual.
>
> Really Mr. Antenna Man then explain why your antennas aren't recommended to
> be mounted on a 45 deg angle then?
>
> : Don Corleone wrote:
> : >
> : > "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> : > news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
> : > : i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above ground
> had
> : > more
> : > : to do with this than its actual positioning
> : >

> : > Your impression and the truth aren't close.
> : >
> : > :
> : > : >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
> : > : >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump in
> : > : >here and clarify...
> : > :
> : > :
> : > :
> : > :

1061. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 23:33:46 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_IWdnacH0exMcVOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143598

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
work
> as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> antennas?

A dipole isn't much more than some wire, and maybe a $15 balun.
They do pretty well, as long as your attic and roof don't have much metal.

1062. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-10 23:42:32 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <I9Cz9.5424$mN6.2345391@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143491

How would I go about putiong this together?

"BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Mr6dnaltvuC_XFOgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> : I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
> work
> : as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> : antennas?
>
>
> They are cheap and easy to make all you need is some 10-16 guage wire bout
> 20 foot will be plenty and some coax, you could also use a device called a
> balun but it isnt necassary.
>
>

1063. Re: Palomar Red Devil 250 VM Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:22:29 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021110192229.20011.00000036@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 143599

>From: "BitchSlap" rcranus@hotmail.com
>How long does the amp have before >getting whacked. lol
Looks like you got Bitch Slapped, Dick(head), Auction Ended With Buy Now!!!! Oh and Aaron(eous) slapped the vaseline on his ass just for you...go get him Dickhead!!!!!!!!!!!
2 fuckin idiots....Dick and Aaron.....

"Got RF?"

1064. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:42:43 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NIadnS5mgvqYYFOgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143600

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:I9Cz9.5424$mN6.2345391@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
: How would I go about putiong this together?

Simple http://members.aol.com/cbmods/dipole.htm

This antenna will work either veretical or horizontal, just depends how you
support the ends. Good luck

1065. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:43:51 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YtWcnVqGG5XSYFOgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143601

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:_IWdnacH0exMcVOgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
:
: "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
: news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
: > I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
: work
: > as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
: > antennas?
:
: A dipole isn't much more than some wire, and maybe a $15 balun.
: They do pretty well, as long as your attic and roof don't have much metal.

He doesn't need a balun, he can make a choke for free. These new fangled
hams.

1066. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:50:13 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pVudnUAsuNlbY1OgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143602

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DCEE6E2.C2ED2A33@qnet.com...
: Hello George:
:
: Your getting "tilting" a few degree's and 45 degree's mixed up. A few
: degree's to even 30 degree's will make a small difference in some cases,
: depending on ground reflections and a few other things.

I am not i have seen many truckers with antenna on almost if not a 45 deg angle, picture an antenna with a halo around the top this is the normal radiation pattern 360 now bend it over 30 to 45 deg, try it on eznec, how will your signal*lobe* looks as it reflects off the surrounding ground in the direction the antenna is tilted, and the rest goes straight up. Perhaps the military, hams, governemnts science etc are missing something in not tilting over vertical omni antennas.

:
: I have a test platform that actually rotates the antenna in the
: elevation plan or angle. It uses a Rotor mounted sideways, with a
: counter weight. You would be amazed to what elevation angle patterns I
: see.
:
: Jay in the Mojave
:
: Credentials:
: Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
: Rock n Roll Fan, I have lots of records
: Rock Collector, I stock plenty of Leavheritthere
: Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address
: system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll
: bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
: None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla
: walla bing bang committee.
: Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
: I get cable and Dish TV! there's a switch on top of the TV, simply
: Bitchen....
:
:
:
:
: Don Corleone wrote:
: >
: > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
: > news:3DCD3C24.4BCD5E32@qnet.com...
: > : Hello Tim:

: > :
: > : Yes you are correct Tim, George is out to lunch as usual.
: >
: > Really Mr. Antenna Man then explain why your antennas aren't recommended
to
: > be mounted on a 45 deg angle then?
: >
: > : Don Corleone wrote:
: > : >
: > : > "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
: > : > news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
: > : > : i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above
ground
: > had
: > : > more
: > : > : to do with this than its actual positioning
: > : >
: > : > Your impression and the truth aren't close.
: > : >
: > : > :
: > : > : >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down in
: > : > : >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can jump
in
: > : > : >here and clarify...
: > : > :
: > : > :
: > : > :
: > : > :

1067. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:52:09 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vBSdnXyuguChYlOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143603

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqljp4$avm0p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:

:
: No, I just think it's strange that someone who doesn't use a CB is so
: obsessed with illegal CB operators. Must be OCD or something.

Tom, I think its also strange that a guy who doesn't use a cb *you* is
worried about what another guy who you claim doesnt have a cb thinks about
illegal Cb, any similarities with your obsession with aaron?

1068. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:57:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mgDz9.326944$121.9119513@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143604

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:pVudnUAsuNlbY1OgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DCEE6E2.C2ED2A33@qnet.com...
> : Hello George:
> :
> : Your getting "tilting" a few degree's and 45 degree's mixed up. A few
> : degree's to even 30 degree's will make a small difference in some cases,
> : depending on ground reflections and a few other things.
>
> I am not i have seen many truckers with antenna on almost if not a 45 deg
> angle, picture an antenna with a halo around the top this is the normal
> radiation pattern 360 now bend it over 30 to 45 deg, try it on eznec, how
> will your signal*lobe* looks as it reflects off the surrounding ground in
> the direction the antenna is tilted, and the rest goes straight up.
Perhaps
> the military, hams, governemnts science etc are missing something in not
> tilting over vertical omni antennas.
>
>
>
>
> :
> : I have a test platform that actually rotates the antenna in the
> : elevation plan or angle. It uses a Rotor mounted sideways, with a
> : counter weight. You would be amazed to what elevation angle patterns I
> : see.
> :
> : Jay in the Mojave
> :
> : Credentials:
> : Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
> : Rock n Roll Fan, I have lots of records
> : Rock Collector, I stock plenty of Leavheritthere
> : Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address
> : system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll
> : bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
> : None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla
> : walla bing bang committee.
> : Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
> : I get cable and Dish TV! there's a switch on top of the TV, simply
> : Bitchen....
> :

> :
> :
> :
> : Don Corleone wrote:
> : >
> : > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> : > news:3DCD3C24.4BCD5E32@qnet.com...
> : > : Hello Tim:
> : > :
> : > : Yes you are correct Tim, George is out to lunch as usual.
> : >
> : > Really Mr. Antenna Man then explain why your antennas aren't
recommended
> to
> : > be mounted on a 45 deg angle then?
> : >
> : > : Don Corleone wrote:
> : > : >
> : > : > "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> : > : > news:20021108104829.23589.00000017@mb-cr.aol.com...
> : > : > : i was under the impression that the height of a antenna above
> ground
> : > had
> : > : > more
> : > : > : to do with this than its actual positioning
> : > : >
> : > : > Your impression and the truth aren't close.
> : > : >
> : > : > :
> : > : > : >I would think that tilting it forward would push the lobe down
in
> : > : > : >front at the expense of raising the back lobe. Maybe Jay can
jump
> in
> : > : > : >here and clarify...
> : > : > :
> : > : > :
> : > : > :
> : > : > :
>
>

The original intent was to tilt the antennas slightly forward, so that at speed, they were vertical. This does have its merits. Nowadays though, it seems to be done by some whom have no clue.

1069. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:58:50 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ehDz9.1897$3Z4.5309@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 143605

Right on! Couldn't have been phrased better!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DCECC83.497B5D08@mailcity.net...
>
>
>
>
> And just how long do you think it would take the cbers to make a
> sewer pit of communications of 10 meters like they have the 40
> channels on 11 meters? Not long. It would not be long before you
> couldn't talk across town even with all the frequency spread 10
> meters provides.

1070. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 00:59:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VhDz9.326945$121.9120485@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143606

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DCECB5A.770FF76A@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
> >
> > "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
> > news:3gadnZTmiP1e11GgXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > > That is what I thought but some of the guys you are associating
yurself
> > with
> > > don't see it that way, you did ask aaron what type equpment he had
didn't
> > > you? Are you obsessed with him?
> >
> > No, I just think it's strange that someone who doesn't use a CB is so
> > obsessed with illegal CB operators. Must be OCD or something.
>
> I don't use a cb but as a ham I am very concerned with illegal cb
> operator who operate illegally in the 10 meter band. Anything
> wrong with that?

Other than the fact you have no life? Nah, knock your socks off.

1071. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 01:56:45 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211101756.6e40e2d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143607

Randy wrote:
>Be quiet. You don't exist.

I don't exist? Check it out, I hardly post any more -- yet I seem to be the most popular guy in rec.radio.cb! That AE guy begs for my response to his "Aaron - Illegal Amp!" trolls. Tim starts "Hey Aaron" threads to get my attention. You and Moped post links to "Viking Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them. Some guy from the UK spends his free time making up web pages about me, hoping I'll read them. Landshark uses my name in his sig and claims I'm George. Cheescutter posts diatribes that claim I'm Dwight. Last time I checked, Twisty works my name into most every post he makes. So does Skippy. And Professor. And I've got a whole bunch of pirate radio clowns in here obsessed with me to the point where they're probably arguing among themselves over which brand of toothpaste I use. And dozens more examples. Scan the list of NG topics. It's all "Aaron this" and "Aaron that". I don't exist? You gotta be kidding! It's just the opposite. Not only do I exist, it looks like the newsgroup can't live without me.

-Aaron-

1072. Re: Cherokee CBS 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 02:12:44 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn3kg$d18s$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 143608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

He must mean...$3.10?

"Just Lou" <nospam@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:rbjtsukami98o69jivmqe13idrra0vovgv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Nov 2002 04:40:41 -0600, "V" <tx26two30@netscape.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Brand new in the box Cherokee CBS 1000 base station for sale. TX entire
11
> >and 10 meter band. Clairifier also opened for TX. Asking $310.00. E mail
> >for more details.
> >
> >
> $310? You have to be joking.

1073. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 02:26:11 UTC
From: jimmoci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110212611.12851.00003951@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 143609

A good price is about 50 cents worth of wire- You can make it yourself! Divide your center operating frequency into 468 and that is the total lentgh. Cut a piece of wire to the calculated lentgh. #12, 14 or 16 wire should be fine. Then cut that wire exactly in half. Solder one half of the wire to the sheild of your coaxial cable, and the other half to the center conductor. Hang the dipole between two trees (It should look like a big T when you are done) and check your SWR. Its the simplest antenna you can make, and almost everyones first home-made antenna. Do a google search and i am sure you can find some pictures. Good luck!

> I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would work
>as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
>antennas?
>

1074. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 03:20:10 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <usu8dsrtm2l490@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143610

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211101756.6e40e2d5@posting.google.com...
> Randy wrote:
> >Be quiet. You don't exist.
>
> I don't exist? Check it out, I hardly post any more -- yet I seem to
> be the most popular guy in rec.radio.cb! That AE guy begs for my
> response to his "Aaron - Illegal Amp!" trolls. Tim starts "Hey Aaron"
> threads to get my attention. You and Moped post links to "Viking
> Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them. Some guy from the
> UK spends his free time making up web pages about me, hoping I'll read
> them. Landshark uses my name in his sig and claims I'm George.
> Cheescutter posts diatribes that claim I'm Dwight. Last time I
> checked, Twisty works my name into most every post he makes. So does
> Skippy. And Professor. And I've got a whole bunch of pirate radio
> clowns in here obsessed with me to the point where they're probably
> arguing among themselves over which brand of toothpaste I use. And
> dozens more examples. Scan the list of NG topics. It's all "Aaron
> this" and "Aaron that". I don't exist? You gotta be kidding! It's just
> the opposite. Not only do I exist, it looks like the newsgroup can't
> live without me.
>
> -Aaron-

Oh well, it's a small world, just be thankful that you're not a famous
person, like Tom Cruise...

1075. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 03:56:36 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UTFz9.305978$8o3.9047964@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143612

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0211101756.6e40e2d5@posting.google.com...
> Randy wrote:
> >Be quiet. You don't exist.
>
> I don't exist? Check it out, I hardly post any more -- yet I seem to
> be the most popular guy in rec.radio.cb! That AE guy begs for my
> response to his "Aaron - Illegal Amp!" trolls. Tim starts "Hey Aaron"
> threads to get my attention. You and Moped post links to "Viking
> Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them. Some guy from the
> UK spends his free time making up web pages about me, hoping I'll read
> them. Landshark uses my name in his sig and claims I'm George.
> Cheescutter posts diatribes that claim I'm Dwight. Last time I
> checked, Twisty works my name into most every post he makes. So does
> Skippy. And Professor. And I've got a whole bunch of pirate radio
> clowns in here obsessed with me to the point where they're probably
> arguing among themselves over which brand of toothpaste I use. And
> dozens more examples. Scan the list of NG topics. It's all "Aaron
> this" and "Aaron that". I don't exist? You gotta be kidding! It's just
> the opposite. Not only do I exist, it looks like the newsgroup can't
> live without me.
>
> -Aaron-

Aaron, you don't exist. Your name is a pseudonym for someone that does exist. Until the time that you choose to use your real name, don't expect to be taken seriously. Don't start the same old song and dance about anonymity that you've used in the past. It wont hold water.

Got it, Dwight?

1076. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 03:57:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn9oj$b55m4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143611

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021109104349.19813.00000042@mb-df.aol.com...
> sounds like bad coax or coax connector

That's what I'd say, especially if the antenna appears to be intact. I'd try testing the continuity between each end, and checking to make sure there's not a short.

Tom

1077. Re: Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 03:59:06 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn9rj$bjqn2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143613

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:jMSdnZTyZPFec1GgXTWcqA@comcast.com...

> > > The sale of ham radio equipment to unlicensed operators is illegal.
> >
> > Where did you pick up that bid of misinformation?
>
> Definitely not correct, at least here in the US.
>

I think that it is correct for Canada, though. If I remember correctly, you
do need a ham license up there to own an amateur transceiver.

Tom

1078. Re: Base antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:18:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqnavm$bji57$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143614

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3Mgz9.5216$mN6.2204936@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I was wondering if anyone could tell me how to construct a base
> antenna to put in my attic. Also, does anyone know of any reliable
> companies, webpages, etc. that I could find a base antenna to buy?

Building one for your attic might be kind of hard unless you have an attic with 9 foot clearance. You could mount it horizontally but you'll lose a few dB since most CBer's use vertical antennas.

Here's an idea for a cut-down version of the 11 meter dipole I posted not too long ago. You could try using two loaded mobile whips configured in a dipole configuration. This still may require a bit of ceiling clearance unless mounted horizontally. It won't work quite as well as a full length half-wave dipole, but would work halfway decently. The horizontal half-wave dipole would probably work better.

Tom

1079. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:23:02 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqnb8g$bechf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143616

"BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c72cnUZ6A8kQ5FOgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
>
> So will a swr meter, but what will the "analyzer" tell you that swr meter
> won't or even a grid dip meter ? Remember we are checking swr of a mobile
> antenna here not making stubs, checking coax etc. Ok lets say it tells
you
> that your antenna is 35 ohms, what problem is that, what do you do now?
You
> guys put to much into a Antenna analyzer, they are nice and convient, for
> certain aspects of antenna work. How did hams ever do it before these
> analyzers came out?

The antenna analyzer allows you to test an antenna without having to have the transmitter putting out a signal. You simply hook it up to the antenna and get a reading. No messing with reading forward power, then flipping a switch and reading reflected power. With the analyzer you just turn a knob and read the SWR. It's much easier, plus allows you to read how an antenna performs on frequencies you're not authorized to transmit on. This is handy for reading the resonance of a SWL antenna outside the ham bands and for scanner antennas.

If you don't like antenna analyzers, don't use them. I, however, find it a useful tool.

Tom

1080. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:24:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqnbav$b4frh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143615

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DCECB5A.770FF76A@mailcity.net...
>
> I don't use a cb but as a ham I am very concerned with illegal cb
> operator who operate illegally in the 10 meter band. Anything
> wrong with that?

Nope. I'm concerned also as I've heard them on there recently.

Tom

1081. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:27:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqnbh8$bdnv4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143617

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vBSdnXyuguChYlOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...

> Tom, I think its also strange that a guy who doesn't use a cb *you* is
> worried about what another guy who you claim doesnt have a cb thinks about
> illegal Cb, any similarities with your obsession with aaron?

What makes you think I don't have a CB? Have you read any of my other
posts?

Tom

1082. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:29:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqnbki$bfruu$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143619

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
work
> as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> antennas?

Don't pay too much because it's easy & cheap to build one. All you need is
around 17 feet of wire and maybe a connector for the middle.

Tom

1083. Re: Cherokee CBS 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:29:34 UTC
From: V <tx26two30@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3dcf31a1_7@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 143618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Thanks for all the e mail inquiries, but the radio sold today.

Will post more great stuff soon. If you like an e mail of my listings feel
free to ask.
Thanks again,
V. L. McCardelet

"V" <tx26two30@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3dce371d$1_2@nopics.sjc...
> Brand new in the box Cherokee CBS 1000 base station for sale. TX entire 11
> and 10 meter band. Clairifier also opened for TX. Asking $310.00. E mail
> for more details.
>
>
>

1084. OT- survived the storm unscathed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 04:43:59 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <j9dusugqurptfcpk2j3i7p4hjlh1ngg7ag@4ax.com>
Source record: 143620

Well I survived the tornado outbreak, the sirens were going off just
as I pulled into town this afternoon. I will probably be busy the next
few days or so with the mopup but wanted to say howdy to everyone.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1085. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:01:10 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qQGz9.306982$8o3.9065744@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143621

"BitchSlap" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c72cnUZ6A8kQ5FOgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aqljki$b5nek$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> :
> : It would tell you if there's a problem somewhere.
>
> So will a swr meter, but what will the "analyzer" tell you that swr meter
> won't or even a grid dip meter ? Remember we are checking swr of a mobile
> antenna here not making stubs, checking coax etc. Ok lets say it tells
you
> that your antenna is 35 ohms, what problem is that, what do you do now?
You
> guys put to much into a Antenna analyzer, they are nice and convient, for
> certain aspects of antenna work. How did hams ever do it before these
> analyzers came out?
>

They also used spark-gap transmitters at one time, but times have changed.

1086. Re: band swap???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:05:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DCF3A92.CFCC07A7@cwis.net>
Source record: 143622

Jim,
I didn't think about that part. In that case,
if he gets all the ham's permission in the whole
world, then I'll go along with it... Boy! He
really better not hold his breath, huh?
'Doc

1087. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:38:45 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZeGdnWCcgub631KgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143623

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021110220253.768$ir@newsreader.com...
: avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
: >> You and Moped post links to "Viking
: > Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them.
: >
: You mean this?
:
: http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner/

Now what does this have to do with CB mopar? You starting trouble again?

btw, I like the music on the Viking web page.

1088. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:47:56 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LO-dnQ22XZQQ2VKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143624

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqnb8g$bechf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

: If you don't like antenna analyzers, don't use them. I, however, find it
a
: useful tool.
:
: Tom

I know how to use them , I know how they are used, I own one, as well as a good watt swr meter. this thread was regarding a wilson 1000, and a I believe it may have been you that brought into play the antenna analyzer which in my opinion would tell you nothing about that antenna that a good swr meter would. They have there use and are useful but for his application it is unnecessary and over kill.

1089. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:53:36 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JpmcnaKS3K182FKgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143625

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qQGz9.306982$8o3.9065744@twister.austin.rr.com...
:
: They also used spark-gap transmitters at one time, but times have changed.
:
True Randy and they used to use fingers and toes, calculators, and pens and pads to do math. But the funny part is doing it the old fashion way still works and is usually cheaper. To each his own I guess and depends on the application.

1090. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:54:50 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iJecnQwGBMm221KgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143626

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqnbh8$bdnv4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
: news:vBSdnXyuguChYlOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
:
: > Tom, I think its also strange that a guy who doesn't use a cb *you* is
: > worried about what another guy who you claim doesnt have a cb thinks
about
: > illegal Cb, any similarities with your obsession with aaron?
:
: What makes you think I don't have a CB? Have you read any of my other
: posts?
:
: Tom

I said you don't use a CB, you own a $1 Cb with no antenna up yet. Never had
one either.

1091. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 05:56:00 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <-VWdnZKoZuvs21KgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143627

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:VhDz9.326945$121.9120485@twister.austin.rr.com...

: > I don't use a cb but as a ham I am very concerned with illegal cb
: > operator who operate illegally in the 10 meter band. Anything
: > wrong with that?
:
: Other than the fact you have no life? Nah, knock your socks off.

I say the same about guys in this group who ratt out others to ISP's.

1092. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 07:34:52 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqnn8r$p92$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143628

"Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote in message
news:92SdnWCBKdn7TFagXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aqfj4svmo4@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> : "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> : news:3DC19215.619FBBC6@mailcity.net...
> : >
> : > It is 3:26 pm local time(15:26) here. Do you have clocks where you
> : > live?
> :
> : Sir,
> : You most certainly DO have a problem somewhere.
> : According to my Newsreader (OE6), you seem to have replied to
> : Mopar 93 minutes BEFORE his message.
> : Neat trick... huh.
> :
> : What time zone are you actually in?
> :
> : According to your ISP, -6hrs...
> : NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 16:26:41 -0600
> :
> : According to your system, -5hrs...
> : Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 15:27:01 -0500
> :
> :
> : But worse than that, do the maths...
> : If it was"15:27" at GMT-5hrs, then GMT-6hrs should be14:27... NOT
"16:26"
> : as reported by your ISP.
> :
> : Check your time zone setting in Windows.
>
> Why does it concern you what time his posts show? Talk about
> not having a life.

Hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha.... bonk.

Count how many posts I made to this group in the 3 days up to that post...
now count your posts... include ALL your screen names.
Now, who needs to get "a life"?

WHAT A HYPOCRITE!!!!
And too stupid to see the hole you are digging for yourself.

Now, read my post again.
You will see that I am trying to be helpful, suggesting what may be wrong on his system:
<quote>
Check your time zone setting in Windows.
</quote>
But of course, being helpful is not something you would understand. You would rather see the argument go on than suggest what the cause may be.

Oh, and what do YOU care if I make posts about another person's PC system settings?
Exactly...
YOU need to get "a life"... HYPOCRITE!!!

Now, crawl back under that rock, and hide in shame.

Plonk... yet again.

Brainbuster.

1093. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 07:35:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143629

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210220534.58d5c939@posting.google.com...

Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
post... coz I have him blocked...

> voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191839.4c9f1af7@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > the freeband is legal for unlicensed use in many places including
> > the UK
> >
> > UKers are permitted FM only in that band. DXing on it is illegal.

DXing is LEGAL in the UK.
I already gave the reference to the "RA" website once, where they make it
clear that there is ABSOLUTELY NO DISTANCE LIMIT for CB
communications in the UK.

If you need it again, get it from the radio links page on my site... and
look through their site.

> >Can you tell us what usage that portion of the band is intended for in
> >the UK? (Hint: it's not DXing)

Just talking... any distance.
There is NO UK law against CB DX... it is clearly allowed, and has been
from the beginning (1981).

If you mean frequencies:
27.60125 to 27.99125MHz
and
26.965 to 27.405MHz

Mode: FM only.
It would seem that the possibility of SSB and packet is being looked into.

> > So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's
> > unlicensed 11 meter DX freeband privileges.

As I said, I already posted a link once... visit the links on my site.
You may find the mistake that "Richard Cranium" has made about the
UK service. But you will have to look for yourself.

Brainbuster.
http://clik.to/brainbuster

1094. Re: information about imput and output chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 07:35:03 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqnn9t$p92$5@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143630

"big boy now" <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:hBTx9.12920$xF.12353@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> can anybody tell me a good place were i can get a supply of
> audio and transmitter chips for various cb radios.
> as i have 6 cb radios one needs the audio imput chip replaceing
> and the others neet the transmitter chip replaceing...

audio imput chip?
Do you mean "audio Output", "amplifier" or "audio power" IC?
You may find that some are now "obsolite", so have either
been overpriced or cannot be found.

transmitter chip?
What do you consider to be the "transmitter chip"?
There are plenty of semiconductors in the transmit
section of a CB radio.

> i used to fix hundreds of cb radios back 15 to 20 years ago.

Then I would expect clearer references to components.

> and am starting to buy broken cb radios and other cb gear fix it all and
> then sell it on ebay.....

The words "Buyer beware" come to mind.

> > if you can help me with a website or shop were i can get the parts i
need

You have "fixed" hundreds of CBs, and do not know of Knights CB
shop, or Truck Kings? They are both on the "Net", links can be
found on my site... http://clik.to/brainbuster/

There are also electronic component shops, where CB components
may be stocked. It depends upon where in the UK you are.

> please get back to me by emailing me at shogun1965@lycos.co.uk

It is usual to reply to a news message in the newsgroup, so that many
may benefit from the information.

> or if you need a cb radio power meter mike antenna switch filters
> ect email me as i have lots of bits and bobe

And we all know how important a good "bobe" is.
Never leave home without one.

Regards,

Peter.

1095. Re: ILLEGAL amp Aaron !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 11:38:28 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111063828.19862.00000027@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 143631

Nice driver amp!

1096. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 13:03:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCFAA85.7D97@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143633

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Which server? Posts I have seen by you are from several.....many
> even.....
> >That's a lie. Plain and simple.<
>
>
> WinNT Mimeversion 1.0 3.04 Mozilla Gold.
>
> >So you know what I use as a newsreader. >What does that signify
> otherwise?
>
> That you changed your access technique many many many times.

The type of newsreader can tell you that I changed access techniques? Really? Considering that I use the same newsreader for every news group post, it would show that on every header, regarless of how I get to the newsserver. You really reaching now.

The rest of this post has gotten way too long. Sorry, but it's time to put you back in the box again. You're doing nothing but talking in circles (Why am I not surprised?), as usual......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1097. Re: Linear Amps...what do I want????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 13:18:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DCFAE1E.4E3C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143632

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <4Jycnd97Ac8liFGgXTWcog@comcast.com>, "Don Corleone"
> <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcbef94.6081460@news.cet.com...
> >
> >
> >:
> >: Not really. The radio was modded for 'swang', or 'NPC' as some like to
> >: call it, and is nothing more than sugar-coated overmodulation. It's a
> >: practice that was tried and abandoned over half a century ago because
> >: it wreaks havoc on the AGC/AVC of the receivers.
> >
> >Sparky, tim used the term NPC, altough he doesnt know what It means Billy
> >Ward is the guy who started this type of mod. That doesn't mean ever swing
> >mod is the NPC mod. Check out a few Bulletin boards for more info on the
> >NPC. It calls for the addition of a diode and a diff value resistor, not the
> >classic tweak and peak hack job.
>
> You can probably find a hundred ways to do the same thing, but it's
> all based on the same misconception that a couple extra watts is
> better than a clean signal. Of course there are some people just like
> to watch the little needle bounce around...

Controlled carrier modulation does have some advantages. duty cycle, and
reduced current consumption are just 2.

Asymetrical modulation has also resulted in a greater degree of "punch".
But to do it right, requires complex circuits that allow the modulation
peak to exceed 100%, while not allowing the negative trough to cut off
below zero.

The CB implementation of the "swing" mod is not clean. It is not
asymetrical modulation, for while the peak rises to as high as 200%, the
negative trough will cutoff as the still symetrical modulation waveform
tries to go to 200% negative (but it can't). The result of this is a
distorted waveform, and of course, splatter.

One way around this is to "ride" the carrier level along with the
modulation peak. The carrier level changes with modulation, so that
there is always enough carrier to allow the modulation trough to just
hit zero, while the peak rises up. This is more of a controlled carrier
setup. It's a bit cleaner, but it's more complicated, and many people

feel that it doesn't have as much punch (although it still makes the meter jump around).

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1098. Re: WTB amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 13:54:42 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <ZPOz9.2436$wO4.2328@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143634

"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pqax9.57051$c51.18263741@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Looking for a decent 12V amp, something like a Texas Star 250-350, or one
of
> the Palomar models in that range,
>
Got a TS-DX 500 V Ill Take 250 for

1099. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:07:47 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <g0Pz9.2462$wO4.167@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143635

I guess no one here ever heard of SKIP

"Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
news:4-KdnXg61YAy9FWgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0211051603.1044db1a@posting.google.com...
> : timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
> news:<20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> : > one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb
> :
> : I hear a LOT of "ghost talking" (which is one-way broadcasting).
> : Sheriff Bob is one of the worst. He's almost as stupid as Aanal Aaron
> : H. Voobner, but not quite.
>
> he might be from jupiter
>
>

1100. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:17:43 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <B9Pz9.2512$wO4.486@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143636

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:8oacnVRJXdgfiFWgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
> news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > Freebanding!!
> >
> > What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
> > The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
> > fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land"
>
> Actually, they are allocated to various services and organizations.
> Hardly a "no man's land".
>
> Most states have highway patrol allocations in there, forest service,
> there's quite a long list.

Yes they have allocated freqs. there but because of repeaters truncked
systems and higher (cleaner more reliable) freqs they are almost never used.
Also just because a freq. is allocated to a service does not mean it is
used. but you know that

1101. FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:38:27 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143639

For Sale 1500 watt Maco (old) 11 meter base amp. uses 11 M2057 sweep tubes. Works great from 10-12 meters.Very clean sig. & audio. No detectable RFI when properly grounded and line isolators and lo-pass are used.I have used this amp with a Ranger 2950 for years and have talked world wide even when propagation was low.Freebanders Rule!! Variable output from 300 wts to 1350am, 2000+SSB. Draws 45amps on a 1350wt dead key. Low drive 0.25 to 4wts BASE AMP! BTW neighbors never complained. Make offer over $700

Joe

1102. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:52:02 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CKmcnQSdLLaOWVKgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143637

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqnn8r$p92
: > Why does it concern you what time his posts show? Talk about
: > not having a life.

: Count how many posts I made to this group in the 3 days up to that post...
: now count your posts... include ALL your screen names.
: Now, who needs to get "a life"?

You need to get a life, your worried about what the guys time stamp say's.
Who care how many posts you made or I made another attemtped redirect
Failed!

: WHAT A HYPOCRITE!!!!
: And too stupid to see the hole you are digging for yourself.

Hypocrite ? for what pointing out your lack of life ?

:
: Now, read my post again.

No thanks it made me sick the first time

: You will see that I am trying to be helpful, suggesting what may be wrong
on
: his system:

Did he ask for your help? This is a CB ng not time 101

: <quote>
: Check your time zone setting in Windows.
: </quote>
: But of course, being helpful is not something you would understand. You
: would rather see the argument go on than suggest what the cause may be.

Anyone who cares what the guys time stamps says has no life, your looking
for somehting that isnt there. I would rather see you not post to the NG but
thats wishful thinking.

:
: Oh, and what do YOU care if I make posts about another person's PC system
: settings? Exactly...
: YOU need to get "a life"... HYPOCRITE!!!

here your hypocrisy show thru by calling me a hypocrite

:
:

: Now, crawl back under that rock, and hide in shame.

hide? you should be the one hiding oh thats right you usually do.

:
:
: Plonk... yet again.

Oh I am so hurt, is that the best you can do Loser

1103. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:54:20 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YuucnbYn5fIBWVKgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143638

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...

:
: Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
: post... coz I have him blocked...

Why? Why block him then reply to him via someone else. is your name
landshark, he made that move famous I'll tell you why because your a troll,
if you really wanted him blocked you would not tak to him thru someone elses
posts or not. Hypocrite.

1104. Re: information about imput and output chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 14:58:39 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TrOdndc4M_M9WFKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143640

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqnn9t$p92$5@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
: "big boy now" <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
: news:hBTx9.12920$xF.12353@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
: > can anybody tell me a good place were i can get a supply of
: > audio and transmitter chips for various cb radios.
: > as i have 6 cb radios one needs the audio imput chip replaceing
: > and the others neet the transmitter chip replaceing...
:
:
: audio imput chip?
: Do you mean "audio Output", "amplifier" or "audio power" IC?
: You may find that some are now "obsolite", so have either
: been overpriced or cannot be found.

audio chip comes to mind

: transmitter chip?
: What do you consider to be the "transmitter chip"?
: There are plenty of semiconductors in the transmit
: section of a CB radio.

RF Final come to mind

:
:
: > i used to fix hundreds of cb radios back 15 to 20 years ago.
:
:
: Then I would expect clearer references to components.

: You have "fixed" hundreds of CBs, and do not know of Knights CB
: shop, or Truck Kings? They are both on the "Net", links can be
: found on my site... http://clik.to/brainbuster/

He said 15 to 20 years ago open your eyes

: > please get back to me by emailing me at shogun1965@lycos.co.uk
:
:
: It is usual to reply to a news message in the newsgroup, so that many
: may benefit from the information.

Then take it to rec.radio.cb.uk we don't care about your stinkin CB's

:

: Peter.
:
:

1105. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:11:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcfc806.706225@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143642

In <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
wrote:

>For Sale 1500 watt Maco (old) 11 meter base amp. uses 11 M2057 sweep
>tubes. Works great from 10-12 meters.Very clean sig. & audio. No detectable
>RFI when properly grounded and line isolators and lo-pass are used.I have
>used this amp with a Ranger 2950 for years and have talked world wide even
>when propagation was low.Freebanders Rule!! Variable output from 300 wts to
>1350am, 2000+SSB. Draws 45amps on a 1350wt dead key. Low drive 0.25 to
>4wts BASE AMP! BTW neighbors never complained. Make offer over $700
>
>Joe

Why should that piss of the hams? Advertising such an amp, aside from
being illegal, is an opportunity for the hams to get it out of the
hands of a keyclown. You are actually doing them a favor!

1106. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:12:08 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcfc8c5.897584@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143643

In <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0210220534.58d5c939@posting.google.com...
>
>Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
>post... coz I have him blocked...

Amazing! Even when you have him blocked you STILL can't exercise
enough self-control to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you need
a psychiatrist!

1107. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:15:26 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cUCdndhs2sASVFKgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143641

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqnn8r$p92
:
: Now, read my post again.
: You will see that I am trying to be helpful, suggesting what may be wrong
on
: his system:
: <quote>
: Check your time zone setting in Windows.
: </quote>
: But of course, being helpful is not something you would understand. You
: would rather see the argument go on than suggest what the cause may be.
:
: Oh, and what do YOU care if I make posts about another person's PC system
: settings?
: Exactly...
****
Seems like you do it all the time LOL

> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@n...>
> > To: cbtricks@yahoo...

> > > I guess you didn't notice that the time was
> > > exactly the time that you arrived. All his
> > > pages do that.

> > Hi Bill.

> It's a bit of a cheat if he gives the impression that this is the update
time. The code on my site >reads the info from the file on the server, not
your system date.

> > <script language="javascript">
> > document.write(document.lastModified)
> > </script>

> > Regards,
> > Peter.

1108. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:20:31 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcfc968.1060711@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143644

In <5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>, "Matt"
<jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote:

> I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would work
>as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
>antennas?

If you are planning a horizontal dipole, consider that most CB
antennas are vertical; i.e, your performance isn't going to be as well
as you might expect because of your polarization. A mobile vertical
mounted to a rain gutter will probably work better.

1109. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:34:48 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <UhQz9.2731$wO4.2633@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143646

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc806.706225@news.cet.com...
> In <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
>> Why should that piss of the hams? Advertising such an amp, aside from
> being illegal, is an opportunity for the hams to get it out of the
> hands of a keyclown. You are actually doing them a favor!
>
Key Clown LOLOLOL!! Your Radio etiquette must be the same as your posts.
Inflammatory and asinine

1110. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:42:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24088-3DCFCFFF-300@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143697

>The type of newsreader can tell you that I >changed access techniques?

Says who? It certainly shows your stated lie you claimed when you said
you don't know WHAT your headers look like now.......pretty funny
considering you help make them up by inserting your own
stuff....hahahhahahhah!

>Considering that I use the same newsreader >for every news group post,
it would show that >on every header, regarless of how I get to the
>newsserver.

But it DOESN'T show that on eery header. You even made the comment on
Friday that you "used" to "borrow" an account from villanova.
Another lie, as SOME of your headers show you still post through
villanova (but not all).

>You really reaching now.

Actually, you're merely scrambling,,,....again.
Which one of your buddies is the sex perv (we realy didn't need you to
offer that bit of info.) so I know who NOT to ask for when I call the
number you suggested?

>The rest of this post has gotten way too long.

Not even a clever way of ignoring the
text that shows you a massive hypocrite, talking on freeband freqs,
running your Davemade M-200 with a 50 watt dead key....

>Sorry,

Not me, it's the rest of the group you neeb to apologize to for lying
your azz off. Your credibility is effectivley destroyed and you can
blame no one but your own motor mouth..

> but it's time to put you back in the box again. >You're doing nothing
but talking in circles >(Why am I not surprised?), as usual......

No cigar. Your drunken hypocritical rants, self-conntradictions and lies
are now noticable at first glance.
You not having the ablity to see yourself as the masses do is a bonus.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
> N3CVJ

1111. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:46:05 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WxOdnR0UG_kgTVKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143645

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc8c5.897584@news.cet.com...
: In <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
: <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
: >Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
: >post... coz I have him blocked...
:
: Amazing! Even when you have him blocked you STILL can't exercise
: enough self-control to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you need
: a psychiatrist!

LOL Isn't that the truth Frank!

1112. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:01:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24088-3DCFD442-305@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143698

Hello, Lelnad. Haven't seen your temper flare like this since you were squashed on the freeband and recorded when you were trying to jam the freq with your paltry deadkey.....Since the van isn't for sale, does that mean the vanity plate in the pic will remain your call sign of KC8LDO for a while longer or did you already pull it off? Oh yea, almost forgot why I was replying to you.. I ask you to consider the amount of time one is required to sign up for when opening new accounts with an ISP OR access sites to usenet (you may confirm this with each of the following) and also consider their free trial periods such as "Bignet" (pulled when you lost your temper and actually had the temerity and stupidity to tell me I was "dead",) "Mediaone" (pulled for spamming and flooding) and "supernews" pulled for forging...this doesn't even count your newsranger and cotse posts.
It's not like I expect anyone that cares to believe you or me anyway, Lelnad,,,I urge all who give a damn to check for themselves..... the posts and threads in google that coincide with each subsequent complaint, and the incredible odds arrived at by the exact same coincidental timing of your "new" access abilities taking place after each usenet violation..well, the odds of such a thing happening are equal to hitting the lottery or getting struck in the head by lightning,,which, judging by some of your more colorful posts, may indeed have occurred to you. Now listen up for me in dx land and try not to pop!!

1113. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:11:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24088-3DCFD6A6-306@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143702

From: rcranus@hotmail.com (DogFacedGremlin)
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3dcfc8c5.897584@news.cet.com...
In <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
"Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your post... coz I have him
blocked... "

>Amazing! Even when you have him blocked >you STILL can't exercise
enough self-control >to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you >need
a psychiatrist!

>>LOL Isn't that the truth Frank!

LOL!! The trouble posse members of rec.radio.cb agreeing with each other
claiming the rest of the world is crazy...hahaha! That's what the
patients say in Belleview....they can't cope with reality so in their
minds they "bend" reality in such a manner that they become convinced
that there is nothing wrong with them and that the "rest" of the world
is dysfunctional....it is very rare we get to witness such phenomona,
such as the double, or even group (when referring to the entire posse)
hallucination, like that of the one currently on display by George and
Frank. That's pretty funny, even for these sloughs. Oh da' irony of the
situation.....

1114. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:16:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24089-3DCFD7C7-131@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143703

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
wrote:
>For Sale 1500 watt Maco (old) 11 meter base >amp. uses 11 M2057
sweep tubes. Works >great from 10-12 meters.Very clean sig. & >audio. No
detectable RFI when properly >grounded and line isolators and lo-pass
are >used.I have used this amp with a Ranger 2950 >for years and have
talked world wide even >when propagation was low.Freebanders Rule!!
>Variable output from 300 wts to 1350am, >2000+SSB. Draws 45amps on a
1350wt dead >key. Low drive 0.25 to 4wts BASE AMP! BTW >neighbors never
complained. Make offer over >$700
>Joe

>>Why should that piss of the hams? >>Advertising such an amp, aside
from being >>illegal, is an opportunity for the hams to get it >>out of
the hands of a keyclown. You are >>actually doing them a favor!

Depending on how much you made off the tin cans, I may be able to assist
you in your endeavor. How much do you have earmarked to spend getting
such amps "out of the hands" of those you fear and respect most?

1115. Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:17:15 UTC
From: evan@trw1.net
Message-ID: <874e5da9.0211110817.37513b3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143699

Beginner w/ antenna ground question

I (after a year of collecting dust) reinstalled my CB in my jeep. I thought I knew what I was doing, or have never had trouble in the past. I am installing the antenna on a well-insulated tire carrier. So I ran a ground strap. But no reception. I live 200 yards form the intrastate, I should get something. So what I think I am doing wrong, but cant find conformation on the net, I think I have it grounded wrong.

Where do I need to put the insulator (plastic washer), between the coax and the mount or between the antenna and the mount? Or should I toss the insulator.

I want to check the antenna ground before I assume my CB is shot, ten year old Cobra 25 if that matters.

1116. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:25:08 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DkGdneCC0fl7RFKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143700

"Joe" <me@mynet.com> wrote in message
news:UhQz9.2731$wO4.2633@news.bellsouth.net...
:
: <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc806.706225@news.cet.com...
: > In <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
: >> Why should that piss of the hams? Advertising such an amp, aside from
: > being illegal, is an opportunity for the hams to get it out of the
: > hands of a keyclown. You are actually doing them a favor!
: >
: Key Clown LOLOLOL!! Your Radio etiquette must be the same as your
posts.
: Inflammatory and asinine

What do you think your posts are? Inflammatory and asinine are a few words
that come to mind.

1117. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:33:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dcfdad7.206298@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143701

In <UhQz9.2731$wO4.2633@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc806.706225@news.cet.com...
>> In <4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
>>> Why should that piss of the hams? Advertising such an amp, aside from
>> being illegal, is an opportunity for the hams to get it out of the
>> hands of a keyclown. You are actually doing them a favor!
>>
>Key Clown LOLOLOL!! Your Radio etiquette must be the same as your posts.
>Inflammatory and asinine

Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?

1118. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:45:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <i8Rz9.4282$x26.188601480@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143704

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021110220253.768$ir@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >> You and Moped post links to "Viking
> > > Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them.
> > >
> You mean this?
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner/
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

No, he means it's ok for him to
Spam the group with advertisements for
merchandise that is inflammatory towards
numerous people here, but it's not ok
for us to put up links that are of
humorous in nature, kind of hypocritical
isn't it.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1119. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 16:45:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <i8Rz9.4281$x26.188601480@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143705

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:UTFz9.305978$8o3.9047964@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Aaron, you don't exist. Your name is a pseudonym for someone that does
> exist. Until the time that you choose to use your real name, don't expect
to
> be taken seriously. Don't start the same old song and dance about
anonymity
> that you've used in the past. It wont hold water.
>
> Got it, Dwight?
>
>

Close Randy, you forgot Frank, George, Leland
too, whoever it is.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1120. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:07:42 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ytRz9.502$G25.1071@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 143707

You want to use that insulator. What is the antenna mount, a ball mount type, with the 3 holes? Make sure the ball mount and threaded ferule are insulated from the grounding service. Does it have an SO-239, or screw terminals?

"Evan" <evan@trw1.net> wrote in message news:874e5da9.0211110817.37513b3d@posting.google.com...
> Beginner w/ antenna ground question
>
>
> I (after a year of collecting dust) reinstalled my CB in my jeep. I
> thought I knew what I was doing, or have never had trouble in the
> past. I am installing the antenna on a well-insulated tire carrier.
> So I ran a ground strap. But no reception. I live 200 yards form the
> intrastate, I should get something. So what I think I am doing wrong,
> but cant find conformation on the net, I think I have it grounded
> wrong.
>
> Where do I need to put the insulator (plastic washer), between the
> coax and the mount or between the antenna and the mount? Or should I
> toss the insulator.
>
> I want to check the antenna ground before I assume my CB is shot, ten
> year old Cobra 25 if that matters.

1121. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:19:41 UTC
From: ebmvi@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20021111121941.23999.00006244@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 143708

no, just a 90 degree "L" bracket but it is mounted to a surface finished in roll-on truck bed liner.

So my ground (2 points) I grounded one of the bolts fixing the bracket to the tire, and a connector in-between the coax and the bracket, then the insulator between the mount and the antenna.

That is really what I need, where dose the insulator go?

01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
a few outher homemade goodies

http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html

Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me

1122. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:47:05 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <d5Sz9.12007$IE2.1724@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143711

"rabbit" <rabbitsass@aol.com> wrote in message
news:xJycnYfgw8uybVKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> I will give you $450 for it
> rabbitsass said that
nope...

1123. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:56:29 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <1eSz9.12011$IE2.2500@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143712

WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron

> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON

BTW I am A Ham 9(Ammeter) WB4***

1124. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:58:05 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <NcSz9.5594$mN6.2452212@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143706

Would it work any better if I hung it(dipole) from my rain gutter and let it hang down, so then it would be verticle?

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc968.1060711@news.cet.com...
> In <5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>, "Matt"
> <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> > I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would work
> >as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
> >antennas?
>
> If you are planning a horizontal dipole, consider that most CB
> antennas are vertical; i.e, your performance isn't going to be as well
> as you might expect because of your polarization. A mobile vertical
> mounted to a rain gutter will probably work better.
>

1125. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 17:58:09 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0211110958.290cef44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143709

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<LO-dnQ22XZQQ2VKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>...

> They have there use and are useful but for his application
> it is unnecessary and over kill.

I don't think that it would be unnecessary. It's an either-or
situation where you can use the SWR meter or the analyzer. Either one
would work fine.

Tom

1126. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:00:14 UTC
From: rabbit <rabbitsass@aol.com>
Message-ID: <xJycnYfgw8uybVKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143710

I will give you $450 for it
rabbitsass said that

"Joe" <me@mynet.com> wrote in message
news:4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net...
> For Sale 1500 watt Maco (old) 11 meter base amp. uses 11 M2057 sweep
> tubes. Works great from 10-12 meters.Very clean sig. & audio. No
detectable
> RFI when properly grounded and line isolators and lo-pass are used.I have
> used this amp with a Ranger 2950 for years and have talked world wide even
> when propagation was low.Freebanders Rule!! Variable output from 300 wts
to
> 1350am, 2000+SSB. Draws 45amps on a 1350wt dead key. Low drive 0.25 to
> 4wts BASE AMP! BTW neighbors never complained. Make offer over $700
>
> Joe
>
>
>

1127. Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:15:49 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111131549.10994.00000188@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 143713

Where Do You Live Keith? I Live In Marietta, GA, It Came Through Hard Last Nite

Thatz-me-JP

1128. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:30:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqosu2$c2aqi$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143714

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:NcSz9.5594$mN6.2452212@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Would it work any better if I hung it(dipole) from my rain gutter and let
it
> hang down, so then it would be verticle?

Yes, but probably best to get it away from the house. Another way you could try it would be to mount it as a sloper, with one end attached at the roof of the house and the other end attached to a pole or tree. Make sure the antenna is at 45 degrees. If you try this, though, you will have some directivity toward the end that is near the ground.

Tom

1129. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:39:18 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <qPSz9.1664$G25.46582@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 143715

The bed liner may be preventing a good ground. Hard to say without seeing the mount. The insulator must keep the vertical "radiator" from ground potential. It goes inside when putting the mount together. Take an ohm meter and see if you have continuity from the mount to the body. You should not have continuity between the antenna itself and the body!

"Evan" <evan@trw1.net> wrote in message
news:874e5da9.0211110817.37513b3d@posting.google.com...
> Beginner w/ antenna ground question
>
>
> I (after a year of collecting dust) reinstalled my CB in my jeep. I
> thought I knew what I was doing, or have never had trouble in the
> past. I am installing the antenna on a well-insulated tire carrier.
> So I ran a ground strap. But no reception. I live 200 yards form the
> intrastate, I should get something. So what I think I am doing wrong,
> but cant find conformation on the net, I think I have it grounded
> wrong.
>
> Where do I need to put the insulator (plastic washer), between the
> coax and the mount or between the antenna and the mount? Or should I
> toss the insulator.
>
> I want to check the antenna ground before I assume my CB is shot, ten
> year old Cobra 25 if that matters.

1130. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:43:37 UTC
From: ebmvi@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20021111134337.10901.00004443@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 143716

ok, so I ground the antenna?

the insolater needs to go between the coax and the mount?

01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
a few outher homemade goodies

http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html

Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me

1131. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:47:40 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <gXSz9.1767$G25.175496@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 143717

Don't ground the antenna itself(radiator). Evan......its hard to tell you without seeing which mount you actually have. The mount itself should be grounded. The plastic sleeve prevents the antenna portion from coming in contact with the ground.

"Evan" <ebmvi@aol.comxxx> wrote in message
news:20021111134337.10901.00004443@mb-fe.aol.com...
> ok, so I ground the antenna?
>
> the insolater needs to go between the coax and the mount?
>
>
> 01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
> a few outher homemade goodies
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html
>
> Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me
>

1132. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 18:49:37 UTC
From: ebmvi@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20021111134937.28814.00006738@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 143718

that answers my question, I insolate the antenna from the grounded mount?

01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
a few outher homemade goodies

http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html

Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me

1133. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 19:21:16 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <MqTz9.9069$_P1.38507@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 143719

Thats right. Good luck with it.

"Evan" <ebmvi@aol.comxxx> wrote in message
news:20021111134937.28814.00006738@mb-mv.aol.com...
> that answers my question, I insolate the antenna from the grounded mount?
>
>
> 01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
> a few outher homemade goodies
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html
>
> Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me
>

1134. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 19:26:47 UTC
From: ebmvi@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20021111142647.10854.00006757@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 143720

if I can't get it to work, I will post a pic and diagram,

thanks,
01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
a few outher homemade goodies

http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html

Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me

1135. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 19:48:13 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <d--dnaa8X6bxlE2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143721

> : A dipole isn't much more than some wire, and maybe a $15 balun.
> : They do pretty well, as long as your attic and roof don't have much
metal.
>
> He doesn't need a balun, he can make a choke for free. These new fangled
> hams.

This new fangled ham sees a problem connecting a balanced antenna to an unbalanced feedline, without a balun. Just because people do it, dosen't make it right. A choke is a poor substitute.

1136. Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 20:05:53 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_audnTLj_tkMkE2gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143722

Delaware got out clean again, but we lost a wall of a marsh store in Blackford co to a downburst.
The wind blew in the back loading door. The back wall made a pretty good funnel with the loading door at the base, and when the door blew in, the building did a pretty good impression of a blowfish, causing the roof to lift, and the N wall to fold outward.

1137. Shack Cleanup Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 20:51:08 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0LUz9.13781$6g.4674382@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 143723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have lots of stuff for sale.Email me @ n1rsp@qsl.net or call 978-281-3359.
I am interested in:
GPS with roadmap
Digital scanner ???
BC 780 XLT
AOR 3000
6 Meter Equip.(not old stuff)
Guns ???
Cash!!!! Cash!!! Cash!!!
Who knows what else.....

1138. Re: Shack Cleanup Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 20:53:33 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hNUz9.13787$6g.4675148@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 143724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry about the extra posts.
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:0LUz9.13781$6g.4674382@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Have lots of stuff for sale.Email me @ n1rsp@qsl.net or call 978-281-3359.
> I am interested in:
> GPS with roadmap
> Digital scanner ???
> BC 780 XLT
> AOR 3000
> 6 Meter Equip.(not old stuff)
> Guns ???
> Cash!!!! Cash!!! Cash!!!
> Who knows what else.....
>
>
>

1139. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 21:34:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd02224.18377740@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143725

In <1eSz9.12011$IE2.2500@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
wrote:

>
>WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
>Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron
>
>
>> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
>> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON
>
>BTW I am A Ham 9(Ammeter) WB4***

WB4*** doesn't seem to work on qrz.com or the FCC site.

1140. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 21:48:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd0229e.18499838@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143726

In <NcSz9.5594$mN6.2452212@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>, "Matt"
<jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Would it work any better if I hung it(dipole) from my rain gutter and let it
>hang down, so then it would be verticle?

If your rain gutters are more than 18 feet off the ground and you
don't have aluminum siding, I don't see why not. But I mentioned the
rain gutter because it can work as a ground plane for a mobile
antenna. Come to think of it, the gutter-mounted mobile antenna would
be higher than a dipole hung from the same point, giving it an
advantage there, too. And with a vertical dipole, you need to run the
feedlines out perpendicular to your antenna -- not always an easy
task.

If you want an antenna you can hide, just mount the tallest vertical
you can fit in your attic, and run lots of wires for the ground plane.
If you want an inexpensive outdoor antenna you can build yourself,
check out the plan for the one I built. It cost me less than $20:

http://www.cet.com/~yeti/cb12.htm

1141. Shak Cleanup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 21:56:09 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZHVz9.13894$6g.4690263@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 143727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Forgot to send the URL.Here it is.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw

1142. Re: WTB Manual for Simpson 260 Multimeter, series 7 only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 21:56:59 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LIVz9.13896$6g.4690488@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 143728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Here is the site sorry.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
"Lal Galil" <w3m78k_ep@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:158f6944.0211111255.6a4fca1e@posting.google.com...
> WTB Manual for Simpson 260 Multimeter, series 7 only. It's not
> available on the Simpson Electric website. I'd prefer an original,
> but a good photocopy would be okay, too.

1143. Re: Shack Cleanup Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 21:57:41 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pJVz9.13898$6g.4690490@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 143729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry Here is the site
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:0LUz9.13781$6g.4674382@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Have lots of stuff for sale.Email me @ n1rsp@qsl.net or call 978-281-3359.
> I am interested in:
> GPS with roadmap
> Digital scanner ???
> BC 780 XLT
> AOR 3000
> 6 Meter Equip.(not old stuff)
> Guns ???
> Cash!!!! Cash!!! Cash!!!
> Who knows what else.....
>
>
>

1144. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 22:02:08 UTC
From: Joe <me@mynet.com>
Message-ID: <7PVz9.12723$IE2.9888@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143731

<> >WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
> >Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron
> >
> >
> >> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
> >> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON
> >
> >BTW I am A Ham (Ammeter) WB4***
>
> WB4*** doesn't seem to work on qrz.com or the FCC site.
>
Like I said... a MORON do you think every one in the world is as YOUNG
as you? Go back to QRZ.COM look under older call signs pre 1993 and replace
*** with any 3 letters of your choice. You will in turn get a name assigned
to that completed call sign. Then you will see my general area and class.
Jeeze Do I have to walk you though every thing? Hope you can wipe your
behind by yourself!
Do you really think I'd give a juvenile delinquent like you my complete call
sign? No telling what BOGUS Complaint you might make. Keep trying ,your
showing your "abundance of intelligence" DUDE.... LOLOL

1145. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 22:12:45 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD02B5D.3D64F9B8@cwis.net>
Source record: 143730

Evan,
The insulator goes between the base of the antenna and
the mounting bracket. It's mainly for a weather seal.
'Doc

1146. Dosy 4k watt meter $60 .00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 22:44:30 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037054763.4447@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 143732

I have a Dosy 4000 watt swr watt meter in great shape .
$60.00 will get it to Your front door
dogyham@hotmail.com

1147. Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 23:11:10 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <eSadnZYfvIVhpU2gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143733

> I'm in Ohio,and there was an F4 in Van Wert. Luckily only 4 killed,thanks
> to really good warnings,and it hit a rural area.

That's all you can do.

> Akron/Canton got beat up pretty well too.
> I was lucky,just a few branches down..sigh.

About the spookiest thing I've seen while spotitng, is pieces of leaves,
floating down out of a blue sky. Towers were all around us, but overhead
was clear, and there were these pieces, up to quarter sized or so, some with
twigs still attached, floating down from as high as the eye could see.
Obviously somewhere nearby there were some trees getting hoovered, but
nothing that any of the spotters could see.

1148. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 23:11:43 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111181143.21030.00003995@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143734

Thank you for additional confirmation of what I already knew about Ham Radio.

>My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband!
Since you are a "Ham", this shows Hams are not much different from Freebanders or CBers. The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.

>Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
>Morse Code.
Exactly, Ham Radio tends to emphasize Morse code. The have codeless tech so they wont repel everyone. But to get a higher class licence, one must learn Morse code. So you are only a "Technician" class if you did not learn code. Then you are above a Technician if you did? Give me a break.

>. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
>CW.
Some people get some kind of thrill from it and feel they have to pressure it on others by making it a requirement to the higher level class. All it ends up doing is repelling the technically inclined and rewarding those that learned Morse.

>Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
>"the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
I have heard this phrase also. If this is the only thing that separates Hams from CB, then Ham Radio is truly pathetic. Besides, couldn't anyone that wanted to learn Morse do so- even a CBer. One can transmit Morse on CB. But who would want to?

>Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.

>Only a
>handful are able to pass the initiations.
Actually, these days ANYONE can pass any license class in one day by simply memorizing the license pool questions. They have "license in a day" classes. After these "classes" where one is told the questions that will be selected to appear on the exam, one takes the test. Almost everyone can pass it in one day.

> Now don't get me wrong, I am
>not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
>(N1"XXX").
Ham Radio is not just about passing the license class and following the rules. You must follow the HAM traditions as well. This makes Ham Radio is a cult. Since you have a Ham license, but do not follow this cult, one can say that you are not a "Ham".

>I am trying to point out that there is an alternative form
>of radio operation

Some sort of Alternate Radio Organization should be formed from "Ham" Radio.
There are other non-"Ham" radio Amateurs.

> In a way, that is true because the Freeband is
>talked-on using Ham lingo such as Q-signs, and yes, even CW.
Get rid of those stupid Q lingo.

>Then there is the spirit of the whole idea of "Pirate Radio" - sort of
>a pioneering spirit if you will. Not many people deviate from the
>norm' any more. With the world getting smaller from the information
>boom, more people tend to conform, than tend to express individualism.
Ham Radio is about conforming to the Ham cult. If you don't believe, you are
not a "true" Ham.

>Being a Freebander can give a certain kind of exhileration comparable
>to getting into a car and breaking speed limits (except, at no risk to
>yourself or others).
No need to do anything illegal. Just get a no code amateur license. There is
plenty of room there without going illegal.

>If you really care about the radio, you should
>explore all that it has to offer. After all, staying on the straight
>is narrow... you've gotta travel off the beaten path once in a
>while...
Hams that are appliance operators, contesters, coders don't participate in the
experimentation aspect. Fine, if that's what you want to do. There are many
operator clubs called xxx Amateur Radio Society. But there are very few clubs
for those interested in rf technology.

1149. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 23:31:49 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <F5Xz9.15855$wF2.1231@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 143735

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021111181143.21030.00003995@mb-fx.aol.com...
> One can transmit Morse on CB. But who would
> want to?

I and a few others use Morse code on ch. 14 from time to time. There's even an 11-meter beacon utilizing Morse out of CA. These are all legal and use Part 15 devices to transmit on 27.1250 MHz. You'd be surprised what you can find on the CB. (...and LEGALLY at that!)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1150. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 23:34:27 UTC
From: ebmvi@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20021111183427.21492.00008036@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 143736

thanks for all your help. In the process of reading and looking I found another contributing factor. I don't think it is getting enough juice.

I have it hooked in via cig-lighter-plug, as a temporary make sure it works before you drill holes. And I think that is limiting it. I can get the weather just fine, but little to no reception as a CB and no transmit. So I will wire that in hard, as well as change my antenna up a bit.

And keep you all posted, thanks again you have been very helpfull

01 sahara D44 9500I 31's and
a few outher homemade goodies

http://hometown.aol.com/ebmvi/MyTJindex.html

Take out the "XXX" to e-mail me

1151. UPD 858 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 00:01:05 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <ut0h633hdqu8cc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143737

I found a book that has rotary switch mods for the 858. I haven't seen it on the web, maybe I just missed it. If anyone needs it I can scan it and email it to you.
73
Mark

1152. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 00:37:22 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <T86cnYejcZ6t0E2gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143738

> Hold on. The cig plug will provide more than enough power for a CB.

Any legal rig anyway..
My cig lighter at one point was powering a 50W VHF radio, another 25W radio,
4 outlet 60W strobe supply, 100W inverter, and a few more goodies.

I replaced it with a hardwired #4 feed from the battery though, it's cleaner
that way.

1153. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 01:41:59 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DD05C67.141B3FFA@qnet.com>
Source record: 143739

Hello Randy:

Whats the Black Coned shape antenans the truckers are now using??????

Jay in the Mojave

Randy wrote:

> The original intent was to tilt the antennas slightly forward, so that at
> speed, they were vertical. This does have its merits. Nowadays though, it
> seems to be done by some whom have no clue.

1154. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 01:49:16 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd05921.314171@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143741

In <7PVz9.12723$IE2.9888@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
wrote:

>
><> >WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
>> >Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron
>> >
>> >
>> >> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
>> >> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON
>> >
>> >BTW I am A Ham (Ammeter) WB4***
>>
>> WB4*** doesn't seem to work on qrz.com or the FCC site.
>>
>Like I said... a MORON do you think every one in the world is as YOUNG
>as you? Go back to QRZ.COM look under older call signs pre 1993 and replace
>*** with any 3 letters of your choice. You will in turn get a name assigned
>to that completed call sign. Then you will see my general area and class.
>Jeeze Do I have to walk you though every thing? Hope you can wipe your
>behind by yourself!

Perhaps you didn't understand what I said: the call sign "WB4***"
isn't listed. Sheesh, and you call ME a moron...!

>Do you really think I'd give a juvenile delinquent like you my complete call
>sign? No telling what BOGUS Complaint you might make. Keep trying ,your
>showing your "abundance of intelligence" DUDE.... LOLOL

First off, a violation of 47USC2.815 by an amateur isn't exactly a
"bogus" complaint. Second, you are assuming that I would file such a
complaint, since it's illegal to file a false complaint and can lead
to civil charges of harassment. Of course that's only if they don't
find anything during the investigation, which I think they probably
would in your case since you posted the evidence yourself. Lastly, if
being 40 years old is young enough to be a "juvenile delinquent", then
that would probably qualify you an infant, which seems to correspond
to the way you are acting, "dude".

Personally, I couldn't care less if you are a ham or not. But you
brought it up, so what's your callsign? If you can't be honest about
whether or not you are a ham, how would anyone know that you really
have the amp you are trying to sell? Or if you really own it, that you
are telling the truth about it's condition? That's pretty "bogus",
Joe.

Hey, since you don't care about your name, that's a good name for you:
Bogus Joe!

1155. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 01:50:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3NGdnZiAM_HTw02gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143740

> And your cig plug must of been getting hot.<g>

Not really.
I don't know what they usually draw, but I suspect it's in the 10-20 A range.
It's a non-problem now. I have a 60A "aw shit" fuse in the #4 lead, in case something goes badly wrong.

1156. Re: New Fire pages, recordings and photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 01:58:02 UTC
From: Paul V <paulv.nospam@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3dd06006_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 143742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I can't get to any of the web pages because "Bandwidth has been exceeded,
try again in 2 hours".
Never had this problem anywhere else in the last 5 years on the net.

Doesn't sound like a "good service" to me.
I was only using the site for about 5 minutes and saw 3 or 4 different web
pages.

I don't think I'll hurry back.

Better luck next time.

Paul.

"DJ Micky P" wrote in message
news:3dc10f5c$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> No biggus,
>
> Garry and I do everything for nothing but satisfaction, but we use all
free
> and sponsored services. so we can provide a large amount of information
> which you can get for free.
>
> Though sponsors are for reference.
> www.dot.com.au who are currently arranging a Dial Up Connection for us in
> but they are also my (mike) preferred ISP because as a customer they have
a
> plan which is brilliant and is a good service.
> $34.95 P/M unlimited Download.420hours,10hr sessions* Regional $29.95
Metro
> and includes
> 50meg of web space.
> www.tuscanblue.com They have quite freely arranged some webspace for
storing
> of files
> and we thank them for that service without them we would be unable to
> provide all the soundbytes.
> www.newcastleprosound.com.au who have offered discounts to readers of
> Newcastle Scan and allowed some product reviews of new equipment. We have
> had dealings with peter for many years and in Newcastle there is no where
> else we can recommend for equipment.
> Lawrence Security. Suppliers of quality security products and weapons
> mlaws@kooee.com.au has supplied some embroided clothing to promote

Newcastle
> Scan Locally and at emergency scenes.
>
> free services
> www.tripod.com
> www.bravenet.com
> www.webshots.com
> www.yahoo.com
> www.microsoft.com (media encoder software)
>
> Currently there are 54 pages,we photographed more than 500 pictures, have
> more than 73 Soundbyte recordings published available on the web (66.8Megs
> worth) spent countless hours working on the site plus replying to email.
> SMSs, programming scanners via Messenger and ICQ and driving around to
> scenes and doing research.so if anyone would like to contribute all we are
> asking for is NOT CASH but sign our guest book out of 9800+ visitors only
a
> few kind people bothered, How many? 112 out 9800, but we do get plenty of
> regular email asking questions etc. so im sorry if the pop ups annoy you
but
> I think that's the cost to you for keeping it free for us.
>
> Oh and heaps more to come. Suggest to us what you would like to see on the
> site and well see if it can be done.
>
> To be put in the next few hours a police call sign allocation list, which
is
> a state-wide list.
> it will be added to the police page
>
> Oh all this has been done in 10 months not a bad effort for a hobby
>
>
>
> cheers
> Mike
> and Garry
>
> PS If we did get paid for this life would be excellent.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Biggus the Great" wrote in message
> news:3ddd949c.15930220@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > Why does every link via
> >
> > >
>

SRC="http://ln.doubleclick.net/adi/tr.ln/memberembedded;h=misc;sz=468x60;ord
> =127328339818668?"
> > width=468 height=60 frameborder=0 border=0 marginheight=0
> > marginwidth=0 vspace=0 scrolling=no>
> >
> >
> > Is that one of them you get money things?
>
>

1157. NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 01:58:20 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD0605B.3095DA04@optonline.net>
Source record: 143743

Its 2030 and the band is quiet. figured i'd hear something on the 40 but nothing. some guy up in bridgeport yakking but thats it. cb is dead in this area with 10 million people packed in around here....
freeband obviously dies when the sun sinks so its basically a wasteland on 11 meters. no wonder telecomm companies look to see what freqs are available to exploit for their next frontier...cb is a prime area for making money as well as the upper and lower freqs outside the designated 'legal ones'.
eventually its going to happen like it or not. what makes more money (and its sad) selling radio gear and the gov getting the taxes or the gov leasing it to xyz corporation for beaucoup bux?????
besides the 'amateur' bands aren't in better shape as they will shrink as the old white guy fades to oblivion and their political strength fades like an 11 year cycle coming to an end....

i've been baggin' this ng for the better part of 8 years so its not like i'm a newbie......
73, jim kp920...

1158. the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:08:28 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211111808.27c6f976@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143744

Ok, I have the definition of "troll" nailed down---a troll is a regular joe who goes around and recognizes right-wingers for the freedom-haters they are. Don't believe me? Watch when a keyclown tries to get an anti to admit his right-wing sympathies---"troll, troll, troll". Heh heh, this insult slides right off of me.

Troll & DAMN PROUD OF IT!!!

Guy Richards

1159. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:12:50 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211111812.66c3834d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143745

"Joe" <me@mynet.com> wrote in message news:<4tPz9.2590$wO4.953@news.bellsouth.net>...
> For Sale 1500 watt Maco (old) 11 meter base amp.

Doesn't piss me off, so no cigar for you. This ham is all for 11m
linears... so I guess they better hurry up & yank my ticket for saying
so.

Guy Richards

1160. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:18:14 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GxZz9.320086$Fw2.9493779@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143746

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd05921.314171@news.cet.com...
> In <7PVz9.12723$IE2.9888@news.bellsouth.net>, "Joe" <me@mynet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><> >WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
> >> >Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
> >> >> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON
> >> >
> >> >BTW I am A Ham (Ammeter) WB4***
> >>
> >> WB4*** doesn't seem to work on qrz.com or the FCC site.
> >>
> >Like I said... a MORON do you think every one in the world is as
YOUNG
> >as you? Go back to QRZ.COM look under older call signs pre 1993 and
replace
> >*** with any 3 letters of your choice. You will in turn get a name
assigned
> >to that completed call sign. Then you will see my general area and class.
> >Jeeze Do I have to walk you though every thing? Hope you can wipe your
> >behind by yourself!
>
> Perhaps you didn't understand what I said: the call sign "WB4***"
> isn't listed. Sheesh, and you call ME a moron...!
>
> >Do you really think I'd give a juvenile delinquent like you my complete
call
> >sign? No telling what BOGUS Complaint you might make. Keep trying ,your
> >showing your "abundance of intelligence" DUDE.... LOLOL
>
> First off, a violation of 47USC2.815 by an amateur isn't exactly a
> "bogus" complaint. Second, you are assuming that I would file such a
> complaint, since it's illegal to file a false complaint and can lead
> to civil charges of harassment. Of course that's only if they don't
> find anything during the investigation, which I think they probably
> would in your case since you posted the evidence yourself. Lastly, if
> being 40 years old

Respect your elders. (me)

is young enough to be a "juvenile delinquent", then
> that would probably qualify you an infant, which seems to correspond
> to the way you are acting, "dude".
>
> Personally, I couldn't care less if you are a ham or not. But you
> brought it up, so what's your callsign? If you can't be honest about
> whether or not you are a ham, how would anyone know that you really
> have the amp you are trying to sell? Or if you really own it, that you
> are telling the truth about it's condition? That's pretty "bogus",
> Joe.
>
> Hey, since you don't care about your name, that's a good name for you:
> Bogus Joe!
>

1161. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:20:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2AZz9.320098$Fw2.9494325@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143647

Wavin a hand back at ya, Jay! I really have no clue about the antennas, as I haven't seen them.

> Hello Randy:
>
> Whats the Black Coned shape antenans the truckers are now using??????
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Randy wrote:
>
> > The original intent was to tilt the antennas slightly forward, so that at
> > speed, they were vertical. This does have its merits. Nowadays though, it
> > seems to be done by some whom have no clue.

1162. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:22:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd0658d.3494108@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143648

In <3aed93cc.0211111808.27c6f976@posting.google.com>,
witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:

>Ok, I have the definition of "troll" nailed down---a troll is a
>regular joe who goes around and recognizes right-wingers for the
>freedom-haters they are. Don't believe me? Watch when a keyclown tries
>to get an anti to admit his right-wing sympathies---"troll, troll,
>troll". Heh heh, this insult slides right off of me.
>
>Troll & DAMN PROUD OF IT!!!

Not a very good one.

1163. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:34:45 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92C3BD5855C80rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143649

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in news:20021111212504.046
$Wi@newsreader.com:

> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:
>>> Ok, I have the definition of "troll" nailed down---a troll is a
>> regular joe who goes around and recognizes right-wingers for the
>> freedom-haters they are. Don't believe me? Watch when a keyclown tries
>> to get an anti to admit his right-wing sympathies---"troll, troll,
>> troll". Heh heh, this insult slides right off of me.
>>
>> Troll & DAMN PROUD OF IT!!!
>>
>> Guy Richards
>>
> Don't throw all of us 'right wingers' under the bus.
>

Ya, what Mopar said. I'm a freedom lover who respects the rule of law.
Strange, eh?

This brings up an interesting question - Are Anti's predominantly left or
right wing? I don't want to hear any crap about somebody being a
"moderate" either. No such thing. I say people lean more on one side than
the other. Nobody is straight down the middle. Those that say they are
are avoiding confrontation.

This should be interesting.

--
Alan Strawinski - Broken Glass Republican
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

1164. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 02:43:53 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0211111843.5d299fe4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143650

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>> Hello Randy:
>
> Whats the Black Coned shape antenans the truckers
>are now using??????
>
> Jay in the Mojave

They're XM antennas.

http://www.orbitsatellite.com/XMRadio/XM_Antennas.asp

-A

1165. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:10:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Qi_z9.320361$Fw2.9506702@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143651

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021111214424.844$YV@newsreader.com...
> "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021110220253.768$ir@newsreader.com...
> > : avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > : >> You and Moped post links to "Viking
> > : > Kittens" web pages and beseech me to look at them.
> > : >
> > : You mean this?
> > :
> > : http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner/
> >
> >> Now what does this have to do with CB mopar? You starting trouble
again?
> >
> You're right,he meant this (those are CB antennas in his hands) <g>
>
> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
> >
> >> btw, I like the music on the Viking web page.
> >
> The video is pretty cool too.
>

Yep! Helped me understand the words I have just glossed over for the last 25
years!

1166. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:28:36 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rx-cnQ4K7d746E2gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143652

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:d--dnaa8X6bxlE2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
:
:
: > : A dipole isn't much more than some wire, and maybe a $15 balun.
: > : They do pretty well, as long as your attic and roof don't have much
: metal.
: >
: > He doesn't need a balun, he can make a choke for free. These new fangled
: > hams.
:
: This new fangled ham sees a problem connecting a balanced antenna to an
: unbalanced feedline, without a balun. Just because people do it, dosen't
: make it right. A choke is a poor substitute.

Your looking to decouple the feedline, a choke serves the same purpose.

:
:

1167. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:34:07 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0AidndLPHPst602gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143653

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Qi_z9.320361$Fw2.9506702@twister.austin.rr.com...

: Yep! Helped me understand the words I have just glossed over for the last
25
: years!

LOL, Me too I knew I have been butchering that song for years

1168. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:35:09 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6r6cne3U6plz602gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143654

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:i8Rz9.4281$x26.188601480@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
: news:UTFz9.305978$8o3.9047964@twister.austin.rr.com...
: > Aaron, you don't exist. Your name is a pseudonym for someone that does
: > exist. Until the time that you choose to use your real name, don't
expect
: to
: > be taken seriously. Don't start the same old song and dance about
: anonymity
: > that you've used in the past. It wont hold water.
: >
: > Got it, Dwight?
: >
: >
:
: Close Randy, you forgot Frank, George, Leland
: too, whoever it is.
:
: Landshark

Yes were all the same person just ask landwhale

1169. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:38:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5J_z9.339154$121.9356978@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143655

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:rx-cnQ4K7d746E2gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:d--dnaa8X6bxlE2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> :
> :
> : > : A dipole isn't much more than some wire, and maybe a $15 balun.
> : > : They do pretty well, as long as your attic and roof don't have much
> : metal.
> : >
> : > He doesn't need a balun, he can make a choke for free. These new
fangled
> : > hams.
> :
> : This new fangled ham sees a problem connecting a balanced antenna to an
> : unbalanced feedline, without a balun. Just because people do it,
dosen't
> : make it right. A choke is a poor substitute.
>
> Your looking to decouple the feedline, a choke serves the same purpose.
>
> :
> :
>
>

Yep. And why is it a "poor" substitute? If it works, what's wrong with it? A balun imposes loss, and is limited in wattage by it's components.

1170. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:40:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZK_z9.339155$121.9357164@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143656

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0AidndLPHPst602gXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Qi_z9.320361$Fw2.9506702@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
>
> : Yep! Helped me understand the words I have just glossed over for the
last
> 25
> : years!
>
> LOL, Me too I knew I have been butchering that song for years
>
>

But hey George, don't tell your friends! But now, we can tell them the
words!

1171. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 03:56:15 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <tJucnYbibPVQ5k2gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143657

> Yep. And why is it a "poor" substitute? If it works, what's wrong with it?
A
> balun imposes loss, and is limited in wattage by it's components.

If you mean "works" as "i hook it up and it more or less seems to function",
then yeah, I guess it "works".

A dipole antenna is designed to be driven by RF current in opposing phase.
Where does the non-driven side of the choke fed dipole get it's excitation?
Driving the other half twice as hard isn't the same thing.

1172. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:02:14 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a3%z9.320604$Fw2.9520052@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143658

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:tJucnYbibPVQ5k2gXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
>
> > Yep. And why is it a "poor" substitute? If it works, what's wrong with
it?
> A
> > balun imposes loss, and is limited in wattage by it's components.
>
> If you mean "works" as "i hook it up and it more or less seems to
function",
> then yeah, I guess it "works".
>
> A dipole antenna is designed to be driven by RF current in opposing phase.
> Where does the non-driven side of the choke fed dipole get it's
excitation?
> Driving the other half twice as hard isn't the same thing.
>
>
>

So, what you imply is that thousands of hams are wrong?

1173. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:03:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Z3%z9.320607$Fw2.9519266@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143659

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021111224518.657$P1@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:0AidndLPHPst602gXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Qi_z9.320361$Fw2.9506702@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > : Yep! Helped me understand the words I have just glossed over for the
> > last
> > > 25
> > > : years!
> > >
> > > LOL, Me too I knew I have been butchering that song for years
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >> But hey George, don't tell your friends! But now, we can tell them the
> > words!
> >
> Even my air guitar works better now. <g>
>

LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1174. Palomar TX 75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:13:10 UTC
From: warren weber <hiview@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qd%z9.9268$hK4.899585@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143660

Need schematic for this. Anyone know where to get it? Warren

1175. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:18:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Q6%z9.14733$IE2.1812@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143661

Vshah101 <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021111181143.21030.00003995@mb-fx.aol.com...
> Thank you for additional confirmation of what I already knew about Ham
Radio.
>
> >My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband!
> Since you are a "Ham", this shows Hams are not much different from
Freebanders
> or CBers.

The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.

Huh? I NEVER contest! I prefer experimenting with electronics,
particularly antennas, to see
what works. That statement is completely inaccurate. Some hams like to
just chat with their
friends on a local VHF repeater. Some like to chase "paper"--that elusive
rare country or station.
Others enjoy building. Others like bouncing signals off the moon or seeing
how far they can DX on
VHF.
>
>
> >Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
> >Morse Code.
> Exactly, Ham Radio tends to emphasize Morse code. The have codeless tech
so
> they wont repel everyone. But to get a higher class licence, one must
learn
> Morse code.

So you are only a "Technician" class if you did not learn code.
> Then you are above a Technician if you did? Give me a break.

It has nothing to do with "class"; it simply determines the amount of
privileges one has. Imperfect
tho it is, it is intended to allow one to ease into the hobby a stage at a
time rather than being over-
whelmed, perhaps, with all the possibilities.

>
> >. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
> >CW.
> Some people get some kind of thrill from it and feel they have to pressure
it
> on others by making it a requirement to the higher level class. All it

ends up
> doing is repelling the technically inclined and rewarding those that
learned
> Morse.

This ham can take it or leave it. It will go or stay, as the case may be,
next year, or the
year after, etc. etc. I don't really see what the big deal is. I didn't
like CW in the beginning,
but, weighing all the things that awaited me on the other side of the
"barrier", I sucked up my gut
and overcame it. Turned out that, after fooling with it, I became quite
hooked on it as I found that
there is a kind of "music" to it. That was in the days of 5, 13, and 20
WPM. I am somewhat tech-
nically inclined and it didn't repel me. I just decided that fulfilling
that requirement was worth it.
It is hard to tell the non-CW person, but 5 words per minute is P A I N F U
L L Y s l o w. It
takes less than 3 weeks, normally, to master it. Once on the other side, it
is difficult not to ask,
"What's the big deal?"

>
> >Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
> >"the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
> I have heard this phrase also. If this is the only thing that separates
Hams
> from CB, then Ham Radio is truly pathetic.

Hopefully not to be critical, but there are LOTS of things that separate
Amateur from CB because
there is no line of learning, for lack of a better term, such as tests that
attempt to determine if one
knows what impact HIS operations will have on the radio environment and
others' use of it. Or,
perhaps, the books that are offered by ARRL that provide continued education
in various levels of
electronics, emergency operations, and construction. That situation also
relates to the fact that CB
was never meant to "compete" with Amateur Radio as a hobby. CB Radio was
supposed to be
a utility service for the citizen--sort of the cellphone of the 1950s. If
that premise was truly fol-lowed and enforced, (and I know this is a
controversial statement) CB Radio could actually be
abolished because it no longer fulfills its original intent. No, I am not
advocating that; I am just stating that the two services had/have totally
different purposes, and it really isn't accurate to compare or critize
either one based on the criteria that is indigenous to the other.

Besides, couldn't anyone that wanted
> to learn Morse do so- even a CBer. One can transmit Morse on CB. But who
would
> want to?
>
> >Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
> Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.

So can you PROVE that CB radio is not 95% male, or that 50% aren't "old"?
That is a
very broad statement full of prejudice--just like saying all CBers drive
rattly old cars and smoke
cigars. It isn't true. I have logged LOTS of 8-10 Y/O Extras.

>
> >Only a
> >handful are able to pass the initiations.
> Actually, these days ANYONE can pass any license class in one day by
simply
> memorizing the license pool questions. They have "license in a day"
classes.
> After these "classes" where one is told the questions that will be
selected to
> appear on the exam, one takes the test. Almost everyone can pass it in one
day.
>
>
> > Now don't get me wrong, I am
> >not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
> >(N1"XXX").
> Ham Radio is not just about passing the license class and following the
rules.
> You must follow the HAM traditions as well. This makes Ham Radio is a
cult.
> Since you have a Ham license, but do not follow this cult, one can say
that you
> are not a "Ham".

There's nothing wrong with that. Most affiliations, whatever they are, tend
to develop certain
ways, speech patterns, words having meaning peculiar to that affiliation.
It is human nature.
What is different about certain Amateur traditions than the familiar, "10-4"
that CBers adopted
as if to associate themselves with law enforcement or rescue organizations?

>
> >I am trying to point out that there is an alternative form
> >of radio operation
> Some sort of Alternate Radio Organization should be formed from "Ham"
Radio.
> There are other non-"Ham" radio Amateurs.

>
> > In a way, that is true because the Freeband is
> >talked-on using Ham lingo such as Q-signs, and yes, even CW.
> Get rid of those stupid Q lingo.

Those Q-signals originated with CW as shortcuts. Which would you rather
send? QRZ?
(Is the frequency in use) _ _._ ._. _ _.. OR .. ... _ ...._.
._. . _ _._ .._ . _. _ . _ .
_ _ ._ .. _. .._ ... . QRZ is a lot shorter.

>
> >Then there is the spirit of the whole idea of "Pirate Radio" - sort of
> >a pioneering spirit if you will. Not many people deviate from the
> >norm' any more. With the world getting smaller from the information
> >boom, more people tend to conform, than tend to express individualism.
> Ham Radio is about conforming to the Ham cult. If you don't believe, you
are
> not a "true" Ham.
>
> >Being a Freebander can give a certain kind of exhileration comparable
> >to getting into a car and breaking speed limits (except, at no risk to
> >yourself or others).

> No need to do anything illegal. Just get a no code amateur license. There
is
> plenty of room there without going illegal.

Exactly. I just cannot agree that "freebanding" provides some sort of
thrill that the broad
parameters of Amateur Radio provide without going outside the law for the
sake of that itself.

>
> >If you really care about the radio, you should
> >explore all that it has to offer. After all, staying on the straight
> >is narrow... you've gotta travel off the beaten path once in a
> >while...

> Hams that are appliance operators, contesters, coders don't participate in
the
> experimentation aspect. Fine, if that's what you want to do. There are
many
> operator clubs called xxx Amateur Radio Society. But there are very few
clubs
> for those interested in rf technology.

That's outside my own experience. My club "imports" all sorts of
technological topics at
every meeting such as "fox" hunting (to get rid of those who would encroach
onto repeaters
or HF frequencies without license), building small projects, or explaining

how certain electronic
principles work.

Jerry
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

1176. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:45:05 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XtGdnZ0PEJqjGk2gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143662

> The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.
>
> Huh? I NEVER contest!

Indeed! I've contested a couple of times, but it's hardly "The" focus of ham radio.
I don't think there is a single focus.

1177. Re: UPD 858 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:46:44 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <aqq22h$camt8$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143664

> I found a book that has rotary switch mods for the 858. I haven't seen it
on
> the web, maybe I just missed it. If anyone needs it I can scan it and
email
> it to you.
> 73
> Mark

Check out the mailing list forums like
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/cbtricks/
which is the best.

There is a files section where you can put
your info so that many people can access
it.
--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas

1178. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 04:46:45 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <8lCdnSnVNqU_Gk2gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143663

>
> So, what you imply is that thousands of hams are wrong?
>

Does this seem unlikely to you?
Many antenna designs are poorly done copies of someone else's work, done with no understanding of first principles. A dipole without a balun will function, but it's no longer a dipole really.

1179. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 06:09:11 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqq71j$sm6$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143665

"DogFacedGremlin" wrote in message
news:-VWdnZKoZuvs21KgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> <snip>

Another nic taken from wrestling names?
I hear that the Steiners are back (from my Son)... wasn't Rick called the
"Dog Faced Gremlin"?
Methinks Georgina watches far too much wrestling... I bet he believes the
story lines are real.

Still, it explains his Raw Attitude.
I suppose he gets used to taking the Heat for his actions.

.... Plonk.

Brainbuster.

1180. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 06:09:20 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqq71n$sm6$2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143666

"Jason Cox" <jasoncox@email.com> wrote in message
news:3b4ef160.0211072058.19d9c11e@posting.google.com...
>
> I bought a little $20 meter today and a 9 foot length of cheap-ass
> coax with bonded connecters, and checked the radio again today with
> that. I still cannot get the needle all the way to the right on CAL on
> channel 20, but I just turned the knob all the way up.

If the meter was new, I would suggest that the radio is not putting out
the full power that it should. You should easily reach the end of scale
on "CAL".
Are you doing this on AM, with a dead key?

The only other option is a problem with the antenna, or coax connections.
This can sometimes give a meter problems seeing the outgoing power. If
you are sure your coax connections are OK, assume a low output.

> Then my SWRs on channels 1 and 40 respectively were 1.2 and
> 2.2.

Seems more likely that your CB is not putting out the full power.

> So I'm going to play with the antenna tomorrow to see if I
> can get the readings to match better. That CAL was without
> audio. I also used the power meter and
> keyed down it's showing between 2 and 4.

Was that a separate meter... don't rely on the meter on the radio.
If it is a separate meter, and that is 2 on one channel with 4 at the
other end.... then the radio is most likely tuned wrong.

> I called the shop that peaked
> and tuned it and he said it has a Mod kit installed too. I'm not
> really sure what kind of Mod kit it was he put in it, that's not my
> area of expertise,

Can you find out... and let us know. Someone on here will know what it is.

> but I trust the guy, he's really good. He said the
> Mod kit was affecting the reading on the CB's SWR meter, and to test
> it with a separate meter.

They all seem to know what they are doing.
At the first place I ever worked, the Managing Director gave me a piece
of advice about dealing with the customers that I still remember...
"Always sound like you know what you are
doing... even if you don't."

I have seen a TV (rental) engineer walk into a house, tell the customer "it's your aerial, madam"... and walk back out. Ask any TV engineer if slow field flyback (white lines across the top of the screen) can possibly be caused by the antenna!!!!
But, to the customer, he sounded like he knew what he was doing.
It was a rented TV, no money to be made from repairs, just costs... just like guarantee work.

I have also been in a CB shop when the "engineer" was telling a customer that his output was NOT low, he HAD tuned it correct, he has £1000s of test equipment which CANNOT be wrong. He convinced the poor sod.
The truth... he had one old and broken multimeter, one old cheap swr meter, one ancient frequency counter... and tuned the radios on an ANTENNA. All the equipment was old, virtually worthless, and would NOT have been calibrated from when it was new.
Oh, and his "workshop"... a small (6' x 3') cupboard under the stairs (complete with sloping ceiling).

> I'm also not sure if the cheap ass coax I
> had between the meter and transmitter was affecting the SWR.

NO.
Anything between the CB and meter will not affect the "reflected" reading. Large losses will affect the "forward" (CAL) reading but, with a short length like that, only if you have bad connections. If the lead came ready made and new... look elsewhere for the problems.

Can you borrow a "dummy load" from anyone?
If so, plug one into the SWR meter in place of the antenna. If the CB is OK, you will easily set the "CAL" to end scale and the SWR will be close to 1:1.

Regards,

Peter.

1181. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 06:09:27 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqq71p$sm6$3@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143667

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqnb8g$bechf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> The antenna analyzer allows you to test an antenna without having to
> have the transmitter putting out a signal. You simply hook it up to the
> antenna and get a reading. No messing with reading forward power,
> then flipping a switch and reading reflected power. With the analyzer
> you just turn a knob and read the SWR. It's much easier, plus allows
> you to read how an antenna performs on frequencies you're not
> authorized to transmit on. This is handy for reading the resonance
> of a SWL antenna outside the ham bands and for scanner antennas.

Hi Tom.

They can be useful, esp for design work... but a bit of an overkill for a
CBer, who just wants to connect up and talk. He really doesn't need
that much information... just if the antenna is set up right.

He could be better off getting a dummy load and checking the output
on that first. I suspect that the "tune up" was not that good..

Regards,

Peter.

1182. Re: TRC-230 Handheld CB Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 06:09:37 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqq71q$sm6$4@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143668

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:ushetl2rcgc4e9@corp.supernews.com...
> Still didn't answer my question. I use rechargeable Nimh AA batteries so
I
> always have a supply of charged batteries. So that's not a problem.

It also depends upon how much you transmit with it, and how much of the time
is receiving.

Most radios will go up a small amount, but I don't believe that any extra
Watt or two that you may get would make a noticeable difference either to
the battery consumption... or the distance you get.
Even with a homebase setup, an extra Watt or two will go unnoticed.

Also, it is likely to be pointless adding extra power when, with the puny
antennas handhelds have, you will still not receive very far. There is one
further problem... more power output will lead to more heat generated.
Handheld radios usually have limited space, little heat sinking, and
trannies that cannot get rid of heat that well. Good heat dissipation
requires space.

I am NOT against a bit of "tweaking", if it is done correctly, safely, and
will gain something. But I believe that you are chasing something that will
not bring any noticeable gain... and could possibly damage your radio.

If you must tune it up, check out the DXzone site... there are links to all
types of radio sites.
http://www.dxzone.com/
Also, this site has some mods on, but I don't know if that radio will be
included...
http://www.radiomods.co.nz/index.html
Or do a Google search for the radio... the information should be out there
somewhere.
http://www.google.com/

> I had a friend that used to tweak the power of handheld cb's but he left
> town and I don't know how to get a hold of him.

<humour Type="TongueInCheek" LinkContent="NotForTheKiddies">
Was he being chased, maybe by the "antis"... for his
"crimes" against CB?
OK, you're not sure, so did anyone strange appear just
before he "run off", like these two "Antikey Clowns"...
http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner/price.html
</humour>
;~])

Regards,

Peter.

1183. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 06:09:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqq71v$sm6$7@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143669

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13329-3DCA93C0-72@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: lacosanostra@rico.com (Don Corleone)
> >
> >why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs<
>
> Since when was 26.920 legal (assuming you meant to say khz)?

Hi Twist.

The term "Kcs" (Kilocycles) is often used this side of the pond. I don't know why some prefer to use that, rather than the usual "KHz".

Regards,
Peter.

1184. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 07:00:43 UTC
From: DogFacedGremlin <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U6Wcnf0G8bKAOk2gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 143670

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:8lCdnSnVNqU_Gk2gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
:
: >
: > So, what you imply is that thousands of hams are wrong?
: >
:
: Does this seem unlikely to you?
: Many antenna designs are poorly done copies of someone else's work, done
: with no understanding of first principles. A dipole without a balun
will
: function, but it's no longer a dipole really.

What is it?

The function of a balun is to keep the feedline from radiating by reducing the common-mode, or "antenna" current on it. In the case of coax, this flows on the outside of the coax. Winding the coax into a coil makes a broadly resonant circuit which performs this choking action.

1185. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 12:16:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD0F10D.497@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143671

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> Thank you for additional confirmation of what I already knew about Ham Radio.
>
> >My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband!
> Since you are a "Ham", this shows Hams are not much different from Freebanders
> or CBers. The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.

Really? I've never contested. In fact, in my opinion, contesting brings
out the worst on-air manners as they all pile on top of each other
looking for those all-important points. Not unlike certain CB channels.

>
> >Ham radio is not for everyone simply because they cannot learn the
> >Morse Code.
> Exactly, Ham Radio tends to emphasize Morse code.

Some old timers emphasize morse code. The old "I did it so everyone else
must too" attitude. There are a great many hams today, that don't care
for CW, and happily operate on VHF and higher, utilizing more modern
modes, as well as HF rag chewers.

>
> >. In this day & age, it is obvious that there is no need for
> >CW.
> Some people get some kind of thrill from it and feel they have to pressure it
> on others by making it a requirement to the higher level class. All it ends up
> doing is repelling the technically inclined and rewarding those that learned
> Morse.

Sounds like you got a bad taste of "CW prejudice". It's soured your
whole take on ham radio.

>
> >Old timers swear that it must be kept as a requirement because
> >"the Ham bands will go the way of CB".
> I have heard this phrase also. If this is the only thing that separates Hams
> from CB, then Ham Radio is truly pathetic. Besides, couldn't anyone that wanted
> to learn Morse do so- even a CBer. One can transmit Morse on CB. But who would
> want to?

Evidently there are some, or there wouldn't be such a fuss.

>
> >Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
> Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.

I'd be careful about giving specific percentages to claims that you
can't back up. I'd agree that the "majority" of hams are male, and that
"many" are old.

>
> >Only a
> >handful are able to pass the initiations.
> Actually, these days ANYONE can pass any license class in one day by simply
> memorizing the license pool questions. They have "license in a day" classes.
> After these "classes" where one is told the questions that will be selected to
> appear on the exam, one takes the test. Almost everyone can pass it in one day.

A sad state of affairs for a service to give up quality in the name of
survival.

>
> > Now don't get me wrong, I am
> >not saying that Ham radio is a bad thing - after all I'm a Ham too
> >(N1"XXX").
> Ham Radio is not just about passing the license class and following the rules.
> You must follow the HAM traditions as well. This makes Ham Radio is a cult.
> Since you have a Ham license, but do not follow this cult, one can say that you
> are not a "Ham".

More stereotyping, due to the "CW prejudice". Ham radio is what you make
of it, If you don't like the "stuffed shirts" associate with other
people. Believe me, there are many people who don't act the way the
stuffed shirts do. Most of us hang out off of the beaten trail. We use
mostly 2 and 6 meter SSB, 220 and 6m FM, and an occasional romp on 10
meters.

> >Then there is the spirit of the whole idea of "Pirate Radio" - sort of
> >a pioneering spirit if you will. Not many people deviate from the
> >norm' any more. With the world getting smaller from the information
> >boom, more people tend to conform, than tend to express individualism.
> Ham Radio is about conforming to the Ham cult. If you don't believe, you are
> not a "true" Ham.

You're starting to sound like a broken record.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1186. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 12:34:26 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqqsdm$c47f5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143672

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Q6%z9.14733$IE2.1812@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Vshah101 <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021111181143.21030.00003995@mb-fx.aol.com...

> The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.
>
> Huh? I NEVER contest!

I HATE contests. I'd much rather have a long, liesurely ragchew than try to see how many different stations I can work, with the only information being exchanged is callsign, RST, and possibly your state. Contests also fill up frequencies that could otherwise be used for ragchews, so one has to go to the WARC bands for that.

Tom

1187. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 13:42:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17015-3DD10563-916@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143673

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Vshah101 wrote:
Thank you for additional confirmation of what I already knew about Ham Radio.
My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband!
Since you are a "Ham", this shows Hams are not much different from Freebanders or CBers.

>The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.
>Really? I've never contested. In fact, in my >opinion, contesting brings out the worst on-air (snip)

Your opinion isn't worthy. You ae a two-faced hammie. You already sealed this with your hypocritical rants while breaking every law you snivel and whine about to others. Go play with your Davemade M-200 on the freeband like you do,.....whoops,almost forgot,,you put me "back in my box'..hahahahaha..just wanted to point this out, just in case there may have been a newbie around that was mistakenly beginning to believe anything you said. Cheers.

1188. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 13:46:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17015-3DD10650-917@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143675

From: brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net (Brainbuster)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13329-3DCA93C0-72@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
lacosanostra@rico.com (Don Corleone)
>why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs<

Since when was 26.920 legal (assuming you meant to say khz)?

>>Hi Twist.
>>The term "Kcs" (Kilocycles) is often used this >>side of the pond. I
don't know why some >>prefer to use that, rather than the usual >>"KHz".
>>Regards,
>>Peter.

"Sup Brain!
Makes sense.....I've heard it referred to as "Kc's" before but never
realized it originated over there. Have a gooder!

1189. Dave Hall, N3CVJ: King of Hammie Hypocrites....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 13:58:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17016-3DD10912-366@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143674

Everyone has been witness to Dave Hall's admitted intentional disrespect toward amp users and behavior unbecoming a hammie.....I think (but what do I know?) it's time to return things to their original owner, such as the hypocrisy Dave sets forth. I always knew he was lying hammie playing both sides of the coin.....

--
I know you didn't ask, but since I own an M-200, and ran tests on it, my findings are that the amp is capable of about 400 watts on the Bird (at 13.8V) full bore drive on SSB (30 watts), which will easily push it into compression. That's why I use this to determine the absolute "big number". With this in mind, I would not be running it with a 350 watt carrier, as it leaves only 50 watts left over for modulation swing. At that point, the amp cannot reproduce an acceptable signal, without severe distortion. I run my M-200 at about 50 watts dead key, which will allow for the proper 4:1 modulation power ratio. You could probably push the carrier level to close to 100 watts before the distortion becomes too objectionable, but you are losing in modulation power, and will show a backward swing on the RMS Bird.
Dave
N3CVJ
"Sandbagger"

"Nuff said. I have a box to attend.

1190. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 14:14:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17015-3DD10CD7-924@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143678

"I don't know what my headers say. I haven't looked at them in some time"....Dave Hall (first week of November, 2002)

Received: from smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.145) by storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:46 -0800 (PST)
Received: from unix2.worldlynx.net (unix2.worldlynx.net [64.80.72.5]) by smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with ESMTP id 13762FE12 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:40 -0800 (PST)
Received: from sit-server (ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net [64.80.73.173]) by unix2.worldlynx.net (8.12.3/8.12.3) with SMTP id gA1JWR8X021204 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 14:32:28 -0500
Message-ID: <3DC2_____64A4@worldlynx.net>
Date: Fri, 01 Nov 2002 14:02:17 -0500
From: Dave Hall <>
Organization: Spew Radio Inc.

Gee, did the "borrowed" university account enter that organization into your headers, Dave? Hahhahhaah!

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (WinNT; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Twistedhed <x>
Subject: Re: stuff
References: <23303-3DC294D0-221@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Parsing header:
Received: from smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.145) by storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:46 -0800 (PST)
172.16.213.145 discarded
Received: from unix2.worldlynx.net (unix2.worldlynx.net [64.80.72.5]) by smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with ESMTP id 13762FE12 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:40 -0800 (PST)
64.80.72.5
host unix2.worldlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.72.5
Received line accepted
Received: from sit-server (ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net [64.80.73.173]) by unix2.worldlynx.net (8.12.3/8.12.3) with SMTP id gA1JWR8X021204 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 14:32:28 -0500
host 64.80.72.5 (getting name) 64.80.72.5 = unix2.worldlynx.net.
64.80.73.173
host ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.73.173

```
  Chain test:unix2.worldlynx.net =? unix2.worldlynx.net
  unix2.worldlynx.net and unix2.worldlynx.net have same hostname -
chain verified
Possible relay: 64.80.72.5
64.80.72.5 not listed in relays.ordb.org.
64.80.72.5 has already been sent to relay testers
Received line accepted
Tracking message source:64.80.73.173:
Routing details for 64.80.73.173
[refresh/show] Cached whois for 64.80.73.173 : ipadmin@paetec.com
ipadmin@paetec.com: abuse.net paetec.com = abuse@paetec.com
abuse.net paetec.com = abuse@paetec.com
Using best contacts abuse@paetec.com
Whois found abuse@paetec.com
```

Oh, but there is more concerning your radio lies and access.....oh yea.....box.....(haha).....the only thing that goes away by ignoring it, unfortunately, is snow.

1191. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 14:21:00 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021112092100.21622.00000001@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 143676

Jim, off the meds again????
Your paranoid delusions are surfacing!
>From: jim 3carroll@optonline.net
>Its 2030 and the band is quiet. figured i'd >hear something on the 40
but...etc and
>more dribble...

"Got RF?"

1192. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 14:22:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <StqcncqEXp8Ek0ygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143677

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:U6Wcnf0G8bKAOk2gXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:8lCdnSnVNqU_Gk2gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> :
> : >
> : > So, what you imply is that thousands of hams are wrong?
> : >
> :
> : Does this seem unlikely to you?
> : Many antenna designs are poorly done copies of someone else's work, done
> : with no understanding of first principles. A dipole without a balun
> will
> : function, but it's no longer a dipole really.
>
> What is it?

Something sort of like a ground plane,

>
> The function of a balun is to keep the feedline from radiating by
> reducing the common-mode, or "antenna" current on it. In the case of
> coax, this flows on the outside of the coax. Winding the coax into a
> coil makes a broadly resonant circuit which performs this choking
> action.

That's one function of a balun.
Another is to convert an unbalanced signal into a balanced signal.
Arguably, this is it's primary purpose since the name is "BA:Lanced to
UNbalanced" transformer.

1193. recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 14:34:08 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 143681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

We have added another "soundbyte" to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com

This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of Dora Creek.
The offender has hit a power pole.

the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue section of our
soundbytes page.

Please sign our guest book

Mick and Garry

1194. Dave Hall = Davemade???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 16:12:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112111216.21134.00004281@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143679

>I know you didn't ask, but since I own an M-200, and ran tests on it, my
>findings are that the amp is capable of about 400 watts on the Bird (at
>13.8V) full bore drive on SSB (30 watts), which will easily push it into
>compression. That's why I use this to determine the absolute "big
>number". With this in mind, I would not be running it with a 350 watt
>carrier, as it leaves only 50 watts left over for modulation swing. At
>that point, the amp cannot reproduce an acceptable signal, without
>severe distortion. I run my M-200 at about 50 watts dead key, which will
>allow for the proper 4:1 modulation power ratio. You could probably push
>the carrier level to close to 100 watts before the distortion becomes
>too objectionable, but you are losing in modulation power, and will show
>a backward swing on the RMS Bird.
>Dave
>N3CVJ
>"Sandbagger"
>

1195. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 16:16:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112111631.21134.00004282@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143680

peter you proper britts watch our american trash too? amazing

>Still, it explains his Raw Attitude.
>I suppose he gets used to taking the Heat for his actions.
>
>
>.... Plonk.
>
>Brainbuster.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1196. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 16:34:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112113423.21134.00004287@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143682

if you mount it like a upside down V you wont have this problem. it will work
both vertically and horizontally eqaully as well

>
>
>Would it work any better if I hung it(dipole) from my rain gutter and let it
>hang down, so then it would be verticle?
>
>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc968.1060711@news.cet.com...
>> In <5vyz9.5370$mN6.2317250@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>, "Matt"
>> <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>> > I saw on ebay a dipole antenna and was wondering how good it would
>work
>> >as a base antenna in my attic. Also, what is a good price for these
>> >antennas?
>>
>> If you are planning a horizontal dipole, consider that most CB
>> antennas are vertical; i.e, your performance isn't going to be as well
>> as you might expect because of your polarization. A mobile vertical
>> mounted to a rain gutter will probably work better.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1197. Re: Dave Hall = Davemade???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 16:47:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <igaA9.607$kE5.65827189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143683

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021112111216.21134.00004281@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >I know you didn't ask, but since I own an M-200, and ran tests on it, my
> >findings are that the amp is capable of about 400 watts on the Bird (at
> >13.8V) full bore drive on SSB (30 watts), which will easily push it into
> >compression. That's why I use this to determine the absolute "big
> >number". With this in mind, I would not be running it with a 350 watt
> >carrier, as it leaves only 50 watts left over for modulation swing. At
> >that point, the amp cannot reproduce an acceptable signal, without
> >severe distortion. I run my M-200 at about 50 watts dead key, which will
> >allow for the proper 4:1 modulation power ratio. You could probably push
> >the carrier level to close to 100 watts before the distortion becomes
> >too objectionable, but you are losing in modulation power, and will show
> >a backward swing on the RMS Bird.
> >Dave
> >N3CVJ
> >"Sandbagger"
> >

I don't know if he's Dave of DaveMade, but I'll
agree with his settings on the amp.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1198. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 16:47:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jgaA9.608$kE5.65827189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143684

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Z3%z9.320607$Fw2.9519266@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > Even my air guitar works better now. <g>
> >
>
> LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

DITTO!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1199. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:02:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112120243.21134.00004294@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143685

it cost at least that much for new tubes in that amp

>
>
>I will give you $450 for it
>rabbitsass said that
>

1200. Re: The Skippy Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:07:26 UTC
From: piper <frankieappearslost@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrcge$k1o$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 143777

Well... I posted a nice reply to all the below poopy frank trys to throw out, but I don't see it on the thread or in the news group. It takes a bit if time to type it all out, so watch for a replacement follow up. Back after this commercial message...
skipp

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
: In <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp
: <frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com> wrote: A lot of poopy diatribe
which is wrong.

1201. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:20:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112122003.21134.00004295@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143686

jerry your words are true yet you yourself are the one that continues to perperuate the untrue stereo types of cb'ers and now your offended by somebody doing the same thing?? amazing

> >Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
>> Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.
>
>
>So can you PROVE that CB radio is not 95% male, or that 50% aren't "old"?
>That is a
>very broad statement full of prejudice--just like saying all CBers drive
>rattly old cars and smoke
>cigars. It isn't true. I have logged LOTS of 8-10 Y/O Extras.
>

1202. Re: Dave Hall = Davemade???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:22:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112122246.21134.00004296@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143687

no he's not the same dave but he might as well be because according to him
using or building a davemade amp makes him a federal criminal either way

>
> I don't know if he's Dave of DaveMade, but I'll
>agree with his settings on the amp.
>
> Landshark
>
>

1203. Re: Palomar TX 75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:26:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112122637.21134.00004298@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 143688

www.cbtricks.com has schematics on alot of amps for free

>
>
>Need schematic for this. Anyone know where to get it? Warren
>
>
>

1204. Re: Dave Hall, Subject of Twisty's obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:43:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD13DB8.750@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143689

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Everyone has been witness to Dave Hall's admitted intentional disrespect
> toward amp users and behavior unbecoming a hammie.....I think (but
> what do I know?) it's time to return things to their original owner,
> such as the hypocrisy Dave sets forth. I always knew he was lying hammie
> playing both sides of the coin.....
>
> --
> I know you didn't ask, but since I own an M-200, and ran tests on it, my
> findings are that the amp is capable of about 400 watts on the Bird (at
> 13.8V) full bore drive on SSB (30 watts), which will easily push it into
> compression. That's why I use this to determine the absolute "big
> number". With this in mind, I would not be running it with a 350 watt
> carrier, as it leaves only 50 watts left over for modulation swing. At
> that point, the amp cannot reproduce an acceptable signal, without
> severe distortion. I run my M-200 at about 50 watts dead key, which will
> allow for the proper 4:1 modulation power ratio. You could probably push
> the carrier level to close to 100 watts before the distortion becomes
> too objectionable, but you are losing in modulation power, and will show
> a backward swing on the RMS Bird.
> Dave
> N3CVJ
> "Sandbagger"
>

I'm waiting until you post my thoughts on the proper operation of a
Texas Star DX 600, which I also own.....

Obsession? You decide.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1205. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 17:53:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD14017.36F1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143690

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "I don't know what my headers say. I haven't looked at them in some
> time"....Dave Hall (first week of November, 2002)
>
> Received: from smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.145) by
> storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Fri, 1 Nov
> 2002 11:26:46 -0800 (PST)
> Received: from unix2.worldlynx.net (unix2.worldlynx.net [64.80.72.5]) by
> smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with ESMTP id
> 13762FE12 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:40
> -0800 (PST)
> Received: from sit-server (ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net
> [64.80.73.173]) by unix2.worldlynx.net (8.12.3/8.12.3) with SMTP id
> gA1JWR8X021204 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 14:32:28 -0500
> Message-ID: <3DC2_____64A4@worldlynx.net>
> Date: Fri, 01 Nov 2002 14:02:17 -0500
> From: Dave Hall <>
> Organization: Spew Radio Inc.
>
> Gee, did the "borrowed" university account enter that organization into
> your headers, Dave? Hahhahhaah!
>
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (WinNT; I)
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> To: Twistedhed <x>
> Subject: Re: stuff
> References: <23303-3DC294D0-221@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii Content-Transfer-Encoding:
> 7bit
> Parsing header:
> Received: from smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.145)
> by storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Fri, 1 Nov 2002
> 11:26:46 -0800 (PST)
> 172.16.213.145 discarded
> Received: from unix2.worldlynx.net (unix2.worldlynx.net [64.80.72.5])
> by smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with ESMTP id
> 13762FE12 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:40 -0800 (PST)
> 64.80.72.5
> host unix2.worldlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.72.5
> Received line accepted
> Received: from sit-server (ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net
> [64.80.73.173]) by unix2.worldlynx.net (8.12.3/8.12.3) with SMTP id
> gA1JWR8X021204 for <x>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 14:32:28 -0500

> host 64.80.72.5 (getting name) 64.80.72.5 = unix2.worldlynx.net.
> 64.80.73.173
> host ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.73.173
> Chain test:unix2.worldlynx.net =? unix2.worldlynx.net
> unix2.worldlynx.net and unix2.worldlynx.net have same hostname -
> chain verified
> Possible relay: 64.80.72.5
> 64.80.72.5 not listed in relays.ordb.org.
> 64.80.72.5 has already been sent to relay testers
> Received line accepted
> Tracking message source:64.80.73.173:
> Routing details for 64.80.73.173
> [refresh/show] Cached whois for 64.80.73.173 : ipadmin@paetec.com
> ipadmin@paetec.com: abuse.net paetec.com = abuse@paetec.com
> abuse.net paetec.com = abuse@paetec.com
> Using best contacts abuse@paetec.com
> Whois found abuse@paetec.com
>
> Oh, but there is more concerning your radio lies and access........oh
> yea........box.......(haha)...........the only thing that goes away by
> ignoring it, unfortunately, is snow.

You know, I used to think you were some pencil-necked internet geek, but after this, it's clear to me that you don't have the slightest clue how message routing works, or what goes on with the various servers that make up a news service, or what things can be stored in your newsreader settings. But oh, that's right, you don't have a "real" computer, just a webTV wannabe. Maybe that's just as well, as it seems that you wouldn't be able to set a real computer up properly anyway.......

1206. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 18:22:03 UTC
From: Xp--User <haa@asif.com>
Message-ID: <aqrgs2$cf5gc$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> We have added another "soundbyte" to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
>
> This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of Dora Creek.
> The offender has hit a power pole.
>
> the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue section of
our
> soundbytes page.
>
> Please sign our guest book
>
> Mick and Garry
>
>
>
>
And of course this relates to Newcastle uk?

:o)

1207. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 18:45:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29497-3DD14C37-515@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143695

>You know, I used to think you were some >pencil-necked internet geek,

Nah....you're thinking has always been skewered.
I don't have the time or patience to such things.

>but after this, it's clear to me that you don't >have the slightest clue how message routing >works,

Never claimed I did.
Nevertheless, it has nothing to do with you inserting lines into your headers, such as you have done, and denying you even know what they look like. As usual..your own words....

> or what goes on with the various servers that >make up a news service,

Make up your mind. You claimed YOU didn't know how your ISP accessed servers, now you are claiming *I* don't know.
No matter, the only thing that is consistent on all your posts are lies.

>or what things can be stored in your >newsreader settings.

Stored? STORED?
No, Dave, the "spew radio" was INSERTED by yourself. To claim you don't know what your headers look like and to watch you now flail your arms about trying to clean it up by claiming it was "stored" is hugely entertaining. It may indeed have been "stored", but you still had to insert it. The claim you didn't know what your headers look liked was another lie you were caught in again...deal with it and move on, or continue to attack my person and character instead of the integrity of the message like all have come to expect from you when you have no point to make other than to share the anger you feel when your lies are questioned.

>But oh, that's right, you don't have a "real" >computer,

And we are all certain of how my webtv is solely responsible and to blame for you lying about headers and morals concerning those using amps and freebanding.

>just a webTV wannabe. <

Whose access has never changed. You can not claim the same. Well, you can, matter of fact, you DID, but it too, was shown to be,..
alas,,,another lie.

>Maybe that's just as well, as it seems that you >wouldn't be able to set a real computer up >properly anyway......

You sobbing pathetically about webtv isn't taking the spotlight off your hypocrisy and
lies concerning so many things, but attacking everything about a person except the message and truth of their post is just about what is expected of you. Not only do you consistently reinforce John Q. Public's image of hammie radio operators, you seem hell bent on preserving it.

>Dave Hall
>N3CVJ
>Admitted freebander
>Admitted FCC Lawbreaker
>Admitted illegal amplifier owner and user

1208. Re: Dave Hall, Subject of Twisty's obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 18:50:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29498-3DD14D6A-243@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143696

>I'm waiting until you post my thoughts on the >proper operation of a Texas Star DX 600, >which I also own.....

No need to. You have been efffectively neutralized,,er..some would suggest.."neutered".

>Obsession? You decide.....

Obsession? Hardly. Just pointing out posts concerning subjects that you have consistently lied about.
You can attack the messenger all you wish, now. Your old posts coated me in Teflon.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ
>Admitted Freebander
>Admitted FCC lawbreaker
>Practicing Socialist
>Chronic liar

1209. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 18:52:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqriik$cqd4k$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143691

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021111183744.160$bB@newsreader.com...

> Hold on. The cig plug will provide more than enough power for a CB.

It will, but it's probably better to use a direct connection to the battery.

> It's either the radio,or antenna.

I agree, it wouldn't kill his receive unless the jack isn't putting out
enough current for some reason.

He says he can receive WX stations but not CB. Could be the antenna's
shorted and he's picking up the stronger WX station through the short. Or,
the antenna could be hooked up right and there's not any CB activity. But
he should be receiving static during the night and skip during the day.

Tom

1210. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 18:57:13 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <yXadndlpO4Bv00ygXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143692

> He says he can receive WX stations but not CB. Could be the antenna's
> shorted and he's picking up the stronger WX station through the short.
Or,
> the antenna could be hooked up right and there's not any CB activity. But
> he should be receiving static during the night and skip during the day.

The Wx stations are on a totally different band, and different receiver.
(they are FM as well)
You could slope-detect the FM on an AM rx, but I'd bet they use a separate
receiver.

CB rx could be fried.
There could be a feedline or antenna problem that has a lot of loss at 27
MHz, but not so much at 164 MHz.

Also be aware, the NWS transmitters are pretty powerful, because the spec
for nws receivers allows them to be pretty "deaf". Keeps the receivers cheap
to make.

1211. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:01:25 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrj36$cmb69$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143693

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021111215001.938$C9@newsreader.com...

> That's that sat radio,right? Does anyone think that will catch on? (maybe
> when the price comes down)
>

I honestly don't know if people are going to be willing en-masse to pay for satellite radio like they do satellite or cable TV. In fact, we're cutting back our cable TV a bit because there's never anything decent to watch whenever we do watch TV. Personally I'd be happy with the three off-air stations we receive locally. I just don't know if the general public is willing to pay around $300 for a receiver and then $10 a month for subscription fees.

The reason I don't think people will be willing to pay for radio too is that people don't listen to the radio like they watch TV. Most people spend time in front of the TV set, but only listen to the radio while in the car or need some background noise. Those who listen to talk shows can already pick them up on local AM stations. However, I think satellite radio is good for people who travel a lot (truckers, salesmen, etc.) and get bored with local radio or can't find decent stations to listen to.

Only time will tell if this venture pays off. Right now, XM is still a few years away from turning a profit.

Personally, as far as pay radio is concerned, I'll give it a pass. I've got plenty of other radios to keep me occupied.

Tom

1212. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:04:07 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrj86$bt9el$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143694

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DD0605B.3095DA04@optonline.net...
> no wonder telecomm companies look to see what freqs are
> available to exploit for their next frontier...cb is a prime area for
> making money as well as the upper and lower freqs outside the designated
> 'legal ones'.
> eventually its going to happen like it or not.

I seriously doubt that the FCC will take away the CB frequencies and sell them off to the highest bidder.

Mainly because there are probably millions of CB's in use out there, and the CBer's are not going to give them up. The FCC can't enforce the rules for the CB band as it is now, so they won't bother trying to if they allocate the freqs for something else.

Tom

1213. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:36:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IJcA9.8506$wO4.4570@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143747

Pimp Slap <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021112122003.21134.00004295@mb-fx.aol.com...
> jerry your words are true yet you yourself are the one that continues to
> perperuate the untrue stereo types of cb'ers and now your offended by
somebody
> doing the same thing?? amazing
>
> > >Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
> >> Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.
> >
> >
> >So can you PROVE that CB radio is not 95% male, or that 50% aren't "old"?
> >That is a
> >very broad statement full of prejudice--just like saying all CBers drive
> >rattly old cars and smoke
> >cigars. It isn't true. I have logged LOTS of 8-10 Y/O Extras.

What I stated sometime back was the fact that the general populace often has
a "certain" image of
CB ops--particularly when that public has had their TV paralyzed by
interference. We both know
that is not true, nor it is true that ham radio is filled with 50% "old".
Let's face it: we ALL get old.
I got my ticket in my late 30s. Why is it that age is the last hold-out of
discrimination considering it
is something that will eventually be the fate of all of us?

Jerry

> >
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

1214. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:42:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2mdin1k4k9aa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143748

I'll go on record (along with you, perhaps) as saying that it is long past due for both cbers and hams to stop stereotyping each other. As far as being old ... it sure beats the alternative ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - Haven't there been 8 year old extras?

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message news:20021112122003.21134.00004295@mb-fx.aol.com...
> jerry your words are true yet you yourself are the one that continues to
> perperuate the untrue stereo types of cb'ers and now your offended by somebody
> doing the same thing?? amazing
>
> > >Let's face it. Ham radio has become a "gentlemens' club".
> >> Ham Radio is 95% male. 50% are old.
> >
> >
> >So can you PROVE that CB radio is not 95% male, or that 50% aren't "old"?
> >That is a
> >very broad statement full of prejudice--just like saying all CBers drive
> >rattly old cars and smoke
> >cigars. It isn't true. I have logged LOTS of 8-10 Y/O Extras.
> >
>
>
>
>

1215. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:45:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2mjudp6g3v67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143749

Twist, as he said, "not unlike". Hey, want to place some bets (for a friendly beer just in case a bunch of us **ever** get together)? My gut feeling is there is more in common than not between cbers and amateurs. There are loonies on both sides (and both sides are quick to point out the faults of the other). The bet? To the nearest year the incessant flames finally die out (eternity, anyone?).

Have a tall cool one like I am,

Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:17015-3DD10563-916@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Vshah101 wrote:
Thank you for additional confirmation of what I already knew about Ham Radio.
My favorite place in the whole-wide-world is the Freeband!
Since you are a "Ham", this shows Hams are not much different from Freebanders or CBers.

>The focus of Ham Radio is to contest.
>Really? I've never contested. In fact, in my >opinion, contesting brings out the worst on-air (snip)

Your opinion isn't worthy. You ae a two-faced hammie. You already sealed this with your hypocritical rants while breaking every law you snivel and whine about to others. Go play with your Davemade M-200 on the freeband like you do,.....whoops,almost forgot,,you put me "back in my box'..hahahahaha..just wanted to point this out, just in case there may have been a newbie around that was mistakenly beginning to believe anything you said. Cheers.

1216. Re: Dave Hall, Subject of Twisty's obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 19:48:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2mo9d4gm86f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143750

So, are you going by "Teflon don" now? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29498-3DD14D6A-243@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >I'm waiting until you post my thoughts on the >proper operation of a
> Texas Star DX 600, >which I also own.....
>
>
>
> No need to. You have been efffectively neutralized,,er..some would
> suggest.."neutered".
>
>
>
>
> >Obsession? You decide.....
>
>
>
>
> Obsession? Hardly. Just pointing out posts concerning subjects that you
> have consistently lied about.
> You can attack the messenger all you wish, now. Your old posts coated me
> in Teflon.
>
>
>
>
>
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
> >N3CVJ
> >Admitted Freebander
> >Admitted FCC lawbreaker
> >Practicing Socialist
> >Chronic liar
>

1217. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 20:31:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2pa2pu1e35cf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143751

One may well feel one way on some subjects and another way on others. I remember well 7th grade when we were studying politics. The teacher drew a horizontal line and a vertical line in the middle. On the right were conservatives. On the far right were reactionaries. On the left were liberals. Oh ... about the vertical line in the center. That was Ike's golf club! ;)

I still like Ike

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - Democrats tax the rich and give to the poor. Republicans tax the poor and give to big business (and the wealthy). Neither party seems to actually be inclined to reduce government. Or, maybe I'm missing something? How many just voted along a party line? How many actually thought about their vote? Ummm .... how many voted?!?!?

1218. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 20:41:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2psef2eaqc09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143752

Joe, read your post again. V e r y c a r e f u l l y. You might learn something. WB4 does not necessarily imply any particular area. Also, WB4 does not imply any particular class of license. When I had WB2OSP, I could have been a technician (coded, of course), general, or extra. Since then, one could have moved anywhere (at least in the lower 48) and kept that callsign. There are WB8*** callsigns in the greater Rochester, NY area (likely others too; I just happen to talk to WB8JPX upon occasion over the 444.850 repeater). Now tell us again about that "abundance of intelligence" ....

Regards,
Jim

"Joe" <me@mynet.com> wrote in message
news:7PVz9.12723$IE2.9888@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> <> >WHAT A BUNCH OF PABLUM PUKERS IN HERE.
> > >Oh my Its Illegal No S**T Moron
> > >
> > >
> > >> Inflammatory -- you mean like illegally advertising an amp with the
> > >> subsequent intent to "piss off the hams"?<---------- JOKE YOU MORON
> > >
> > >BTW I am A Ham (Ammeter) WB4***
> >
> > WB4*** doesn't seem to work on qrz.com or the FCC site.
> >
> Like I said... a MORON do you think every one in the world is as
YOUNG
> as you? Go back to QRZ.COM look under older call signs pre 1993 and
replace
> *** with any 3 letters of your choice. You will in turn get a name
assigned
> to that completed call sign. Then you will see my general area and class.
> Jeeze Do I have to walk you though every thing? Hope you can wipe your
> behind by yourself!
> Do you really think I'd give a juvenile delinquent like you my complete
call
> sign? No telling what BOGUS Complaint you might make. Keep trying ,your
> showing your "abundance of intelligence" DUDE.... LOLOL
>
>
>

1219. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 20:51:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD169D6.9CDC2806@mailcity.net>
Source record: 143762

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I'll go on record (along with you, perhaps) as saying that it is long past
> due for both cbers and hams to stop stereotyping each other. As far as
> being old ... it sure beats the alternative ;)
>

And a lot of people never get the chance.

1220. swr on president grant 120!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:07:22 UTC
From: Zarko <zarko.lukic@ri.hinet.hr>
Message-ID: <aqrqijqhq1@bagan.srce.hr>
Source record: 143753

could somebody tell me how to adjust swr on president grant 120? On the station there is a SWR-meter (2/3 green scale, 1/3 red)...What's that mean?
Thanks

1221. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:31:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrrsu$d2it6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143754

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqq71p$sm6$3@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> Hi Tom.
>
> They can be useful, esp for design work... but a bit of an overkill for a
> CBer, who just wants to connect up and talk. He really doesn't need
> that much information... just if the antenna is set up right.

Yes, I wouldn't suggest anyone going out and buying a $200-some analyzer
just for one application, but if he knows someone who owns one he could
possibly borrow it.

> He could be better off getting a dummy load and checking the output
> on that first. I suspect that the "tune up" was not that good..

You're probably right. However, the antenna analyzer would tell you in an
instant whether or not the problem lies with the antenna.

His best bet is to connect his power meter between the radio and a 50 Ohm
dummy load and see what the forward power says.

Tom

1222. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:33:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrrvv$ck84r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143755

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqq71n$sm6$2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> Can you borrow a "dummy load" from anyone?
> If so, plug one into the SWR meter in place of the antenna.

If he can't find one, he could build one from two 5W 100-Ohm resistors wired in parallel between the coax shield and center conductor. Should be able to handle the power out of a stock CB.

Tom

1223. Re: Dave Hall, Subject of Twisty's obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:33:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6535-3DD173B3-207@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143757

>So, are you going by "Teflon don" now? ;)
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Didja see Ralphie get whacked? Whoa....more than made up for the slow start. Watched it again last night.

1224. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:43:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqrsj1$csd1f$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143756

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:yXadndlpO4Bv00ygXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> Also be aware, the NWS transmitters are pretty powerful, because the spec
> for nws receivers allows them to be pretty "deaf". Keeps the receivers
cheap
> to make.

Yes, I know all about powerful. I used to live about a mile & a half from a local WX transmitter and it used to cause really bad intermod and images, even down on the HF band. I had really bad intermod on my scanner from it until I put a high-pass filter inside it. For some reason, the VHF WX station was mixing with shortwave signals and producing images in the scanner. I forget how far down the HF band the transmitter put images. The only solution to that was to move.

Tom

1225. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 21:54:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6534-3DD1788F-560@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143758

>Twist, as he said, "not unlike". <

Yea..I know what he said. I was more taking issue with the generalization concerning dxers he made.

>Hey, want to place some bets (for a friendly >beer just in case a bunch of us **ever** get >together)?

Some of us already have. I like the idea of a summer weekend somewhere in the Carolinas...maybe Tennessee or even Va.......all rec.radio.whatevers could attend.

>My gut feeling is there is more in common >than not between cbers and amateurs.

Yea, as so many are already aware, many hammies enjoy the freeband and many freebanders are hammies..some just are a bit more honest about it than others.
Same for cbers (many are hammies) and the same for hammies (many are cbers).

>There are loonies on both sides (and both >sides are quick to point out the faults of the >other). The bet? To the nearest year the >incessant flames finally die out (eternity, >anyone?).

There is already a pool for this. It's called the rec.radio.cb death pool,..the only difference being you get to select an actual person (a regualr among the group) instead of a year and your name goes on a block corresponding with your selection. There was even a webpage on geocities last year,,,I thought it was posted here..I'll see if I can find it again. Good thing is, only the person running the pool knows who you select (unless you tell someone your choice) and so far, that integrity has not been compromised. When certain folks die off, the flames will cease. New trolls will eventually take their place, but must find new avenues to explore. An analogy that can be drawn from the behavior of such folks, can also be compared to the service so many feel they must defend, (almost as if someone were putting it down).....it's old and stale.
Then again, as you note...loonies all around...but it's all in good fun. When it stops being fun.....the situation will rectify itself.

>Have a tall cool one like I am,
>Jim

Virgin iced tea........I'm gonna start begging for you guys to send Jack Frost my way....84 degrees today........

1226. Re: Beginner w/ antenna ground question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 22:31:08 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <MZOcndPDqKCJHEygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143760

> Yes, I know all about powerful. I used to live about a mile & a half from
a
> local WX transmitter and it used to cause really bad intermod and images,
> even down on the HF band. I had really bad intermod on my scanner from it
> until I put a high-pass filter inside it. For some reason, the VHF WX
> station was mixing with shortwave signals and producing images in the
> scanner. I forget how far down the HF band the transmitter put images.
The
> only solution to that was to move.

I get the same sort of problem from a 10kW FM broadcaster about 2 miles
away, but I knock him out with a stub filter. Properly tuned, you'll get
40dB attenuation.

1227. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 22:32:34 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ffucnYFe7_r3HEygXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143761

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ut2mdin1k4k9aa@corp.supernews.com...
> I'll go on record (along with you, perhaps) as saying that it is long past
> due for both cbers and hams to stop stereotyping each other. As far as
> being old ... it sure beats the alternative ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

That's one reason I'm in here.
I enjoy the discussion, as long as we can stay away from the blatantly
illegal.

1228. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 22:54:14 UTC
From: Guyz-N-Flyz <beauis10@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aqs101$b3e3a$1@ID-81311.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143763

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021112174549.484$WZ@newsreader.com...

>If you don't vote,don't bitch.
>

I gotta disagree with this. As an American citizen, whether one votes or not, everyone has the right to bitch about the political environment. There are those who have been convicted of felonies who may not vote, but they still pay taxes. There are those who feel disenfranchised, for a myriad of reasons, and don't vote but they still lhave the right to bitch, IMMHO.

Op

1229. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 23:17:50 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <y_fA9.330114$Fw2.9653504@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143764

```
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:StqcncqEXp8Ek0ygXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:U6Wcnf0G8bKAOk2gXTWcog@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> > news:8lCdnSnVNqU_Gk2gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > :
> > : >
> > : > So, what you imply is that thousands of hams are wrong?
> > : >
> > :
> > : Does this seem unlikely to you?
> > : Many antenna designs are poorly done copies of someone else's work,
done
> > : with no understanding of first principles. A dipole without a
balun
> > will
> > : function, but it's no longer a dipole really.
> > >
> > What is it?
>
> Something sort of like a ground plane,
>

And how is a ground plane not sort of like a dipole? Hmmm, come to think of
it, a quarter wave is just that. The counterpoise is the other half of the
dipole.

> >
> > The function of a balun is to keep the feedline from radiating by
> > reducing the common-mode, or "antenna" current on it. In the case of
> > coax, this flows on the outside of the coax. Winding the coax into a
> > coil makes a broadly resonant circuit which performs this choking
> > action.
>
> That's one function of a balun.
> Another is to convert an unbalanced signal into a balanced signal.
> Arguably, this is it's primary purpose since the name is "BA:Lanced to
> UNbalanced" transformer.
>
>
```

1230. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 23:20:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <i1gA9.330194$Fw2.9653886@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143765

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021112173904.121$xn@newsreader.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021111215001.938$C9@newsreader.com...
> >
> > > That's that sat radio,right? Does anyone think that will catch on?
> > > (maybe when the price comes down)
> > >
> >
> > I honestly don't know if people are going to be willing en-masse to pay
> > for satellite radio like they do satellite or cable TV. In fact, we're
> > cutting back our cable TV a bit because there's never anything decent to
> > watch whenever we do watch TV. Personally I'd be happy with the three
> > off-air stations we receive locally. I just don't know if the general
> > public is willing to pay around $300 for a receiver and then $10 a month
> > for subscription fees.
> >
> > The reason I don't think people will be willing to pay for radio too is
> > that people don't listen to the radio like they watch TV. Most people
> > spend time in front of the TV set, but only listen to the radio while in
> > the car or need some background noise. Those who listen to talk shows
> > can already pick them up on local AM stations. However, I think
> > satellite radio is good for people who travel a lot (truckers, salesmen,
> > etc.) and get bored with local radio or can't find decent stations to
> > listen to.
> >
> > Only time will tell if this venture pays off. Right now, XM is still a
> > few years away from turning a profit.
> >
> > Personally, as far as pay radio is concerned, I'll give it a pass. I've
> > got plenty of other radios to keep me occupied.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> That pretty much sums it up,our friend Sideband might be able to tell us
if
> it's popular with over the road drivers. I could see doing that,but until
> the price comes down I only see it in yuppie rides.
>

Why should we pay for it? Get the damn advertisers on board just like land
based radio. Doesn't seem that far fetched, does it?

1231. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 23:21:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17015-3DD18CE9-987@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143767

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ut2mdin1k4k9aa@corp.supernews.com...
I'll go on record (along with you, perhaps) as saying that it is long past due for both cbers and hams to stop stereotyping each other. As far as being old ... it sure beats the alternative ;)
73 from Rochester, NY

>That's one reason I'm in here.
>I enjoy the discussion, as long as we can stay >away from the blatantly illegal.

That is what moderated groups are for...tailored a bit more to those who choose limitations on their speech or seek a sanitized version, however slight it may be. Talk to Frank..he feels the same way..claimed he was starting an all legal rec.radio.cb group about a year and a half ago. Went as far as annointing himself the great exalted garn and moderator of his new group and asked for followers. As you see, he's still with us.
What is blatantly illegal in the US is not always illegal in other areas of the world. We must remember this is not solely an American group..it's worldwide.

1232. Re: Dave Hall, Subject of Twisty's obsession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 23:27:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17015-3DD18E5F-989@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143766

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
So, are you going by "Teflon don" now? ;) 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Didja see Ralphie get whacked? Whoa....more than made up for the slow
start. Watched it again last night.

>Raid in the eyes must smart! Loved the >bowling ball bag too.
--

Lotsa "eyes" in that one..closeups of Juniors...Pie-oh-mys....the goats
eye.....when I saw the goat standing, I thought "Sympathy for the Devil"
by the Stones was gonna jam any sec.....,,

1233. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-12 23:51:48 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <figA9.75514$g9.212589@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 143768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

Newcastle NSW

"Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
news:aqrgs2$cf5gc$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > We have added another "soundbyte" to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
> >
> > This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of Dora
Creek.
> > The offender has hit a power pole.
> >
> > the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue section of
> our
> > soundbytes page.
> >
> > Please sign our guest book
> >
> > Mick and Garry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> And of course this relates to Newcastle uk?
>
> :o)
>
>

1234. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:00:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211121600.5a492a0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143769

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92C3BD5855C80rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in news:20021111212504.046
> $Wi@newsreader.com:
>
> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:
> >>> Ok, I have the definition of "troll" nailed down---a troll is a
> >> regular joe who goes around and recognizes right-wingers for the
> >> freedom-haters they are. Don't believe me? Watch when a keyclown tries
> >> to get an anti to admit his right-wing sympathies---"troll, troll,
> >> troll". Heh heh, this insult slides right off of me.
> >>
> >> Troll & DAMN PROUD OF IT!!!
> >>
> >> Guy Richards
> >>
> > Don't throw all of us 'right wingers' under the bus.
> >
>
> Ya, what Mopar said. I'm a freedom lover who respects the rule of law.
> Strange, eh?
>
> This brings up an interesting question - Are Anti's predominantly left or
> right wing? I don't want to hear any crap about somebody being a
> "moderate" either. No such thing. I say people lean more on one side than
> the other. Nobody is straight down the middle. Those that say they are
> are avoiding confrontation.
>
> This should be interesting.

'this should be interesting'.....there hasn't been anything
interesting going on on this newsgroup for about three years.....

1235. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:33:46 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uhycnSaC8_VxAEygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143770

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: 'this should be interesting'........there hasn't been anything
: interesting going on on this newsgroup for about three years.....

And what have you done to change that?

1236. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:36:11 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <m5qcnd2fO7LgA0ygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143771

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17015-3DD18CE9-987@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...

What is blatantly illegal in the US is not always illegal in other areas
of the world. We must remember this is not solely an American
group..it's worldwide.

Amplifiers are illegal worldwide on the citizens Band. So is talking out of
your designated band no matter what country you are in. What else Twist?

1237. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:37:36 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SoecncQ3-MROA0ygXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143772

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021112174334.276

: I spread urea in a steady piss rain all day. Time for a 33!

Urea heap?

1238. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:43:26 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9pGdnYdwUpmtPUygXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143773

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqrrsu$d2it6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

: You're probably right. However, the antenna analyzer would tell you in an
: instant whether or not the problem lies with the antenna.

Tom so can a swr meter, it is like using a calculator to do a math problem,
compared to computer.
Both will get the job done, one is a little more expensive than the other.

1239. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:44:36 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8recnQUbrLrrPUygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143774

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021112172309.365

: He's like the kid who nobody likes,but will put up with. <g>

Thanks for letting me hang with you Mopar!

1240. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:48:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021112194853.23142.00000003@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 143775

>You buy it,Tim. And if you bring it over my house,I'll buy new tubes for
>it.
>
>Deal?

thats a deal if i get to take it when i leave

1241. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 00:49:46 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211121649.b7a824d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143776

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92C3BD5855C80rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>...
> This brings up an interesting question - Are Anti's predominantly left or
> right wing? I don't want to hear any crap about somebody being a
> "moderate" either. No such thing.

Ditto. I can't imagine any anti's agreeing with me that things like
walking naked in public, saying "fuck" on TV, & DUI sans accidents
should be LEGAL (& I don't want to hear any of that "lobby for it,
then" jive), & I can imagine quite a bit... Maybe a place like Iraq
would be an anti's idea of paradise, where unlicensed radio ops face
the firing squad. Hell, I'll be glad to help 'em pack.

I guess the root of the problem is that anti's are mostly just
law-boys who get a kick out of making "law" into just another fake
religion & using it to play judge-jury-&-executioner to people they
don't like... which means damn near everybody who doesn't agree with
their straitlaced views of how they think the world ought to be.

Guy

P.S. That "do not feed the troll" stuff doesn't work... we trolls fix
our own meals & don't need any handouts. Damn things're probably
poisoned, anyway.

1242. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 01:40:44 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD1ADC5.8B927BF5@optonline.net>
Source record: 143778

mellow my razorback friend. its not inconceivable as its already happened with other frequency allocations. the brits are also thinking along the same lines as recent posts in uk.rec.radio.cb mention the same thing. face it, is cb as popular as it was in the '70s? not. what's to stop the gov from squeezing the underused freq's for a monetary gain? maybe the money gained will stop another tax reduction for the rich.....73, jim kp920....

OZARK333 wrote:

> Jim, off the meds again????
> Your paranoid delusions are surfacing!
> >From: jim 3carroll@optonline.net
> >Its 2030 and the band is quiet. figured i'd >hear something on the 40
> but...etc and
> >more dribble...
>
> "Got RF?"

1243. Lots of CB crystals for sale !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 01:49:36 UTC
From: netwpro@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112204936.16799.00000251@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 143779

I've got a batch of CB synthesizer crystals that I'm about to throw. From stock to off-band, pll and regular. I'm cleaning house. I also have many Ham Rig, scanner and walkie crystal for off-band services. All are in small parts cabinets and fairly organized. I'm looking for $200.00 for the batch and I'll pay the shipping. There are hundreds overall. I also have a B&K2040 and and B&K1040 to enhance any CB repair bench. I'm looking for $250.00 for the pair and there are manuals along.

Email or call... Charlie @ DonnaComm 570-836-5128

1244. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:03:19 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HpiA9.344421$121.9516934@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143780

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021112183159.586$r7@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021112173904.121$xn@newsreader.com...
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021111215001.938$C9@newsreader.com...
> > > >
> > > > > That's that sat radio,right? Does anyone think that will catch on?
> > > > > (maybe when the price comes down)
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > I honestly don't know if people are going to be willing en-masse to
> > > > pay for satellite radio like they do satellite or cable TV. In
fact,
> > > > we're cutting back our cable TV a bit because there's never anything
> > > > decent to watch whenever we do watch TV. Personally I'd be happy
> > > > with the three off-air stations we receive locally. I just don't
> > > > know if the general public is willing to pay around $300 for a
> > > > receiver and then $10 a month for subscription fees.
> > > >
> > > > The reason I don't think people will be willing to pay for radio too
> > > > is that people don't listen to the radio like they watch TV. Most
> > > > people spend time in front of the TV set, but only listen to the
> > > > radio while in the car or need some background noise. Those who
> > > > listen to talk shows can already pick them up on local AM stations.
> > > > However, I think satellite radio is good for people who travel a lot
> > > > (truckers, salesmen, etc.) and get bored with local radio or can't
> > > > find decent stations to listen to.
> > > >
> > > > Only time will tell if this venture pays off. Right now, XM is
still
> > > > a few years away from turning a profit.
> > > >
> > > > Personally, as far as pay radio is concerned, I'll give it a pass.
> > > > I've got plenty of other radios to keep me occupied.
> > > >
> > > > Tom
> > > >
> > > That pretty much sums it up,our friend Sideband might be able to tell
> > > us
> > if
> > > it's popular with over the road drivers. I could see doing that,but

> > > until the price comes down I only see it in yuppie rides.
> > >
> >
> >> Why should we pay for it? Get the damn advertisers on board just like
> > land based radio. Doesn't seem that far fetched, does it?
> >
> They have quite a bit of commercial free feed. If you have
commercials,it's
> just regular radio.
>

But it is contiguous. That's the only advantage, and they should make the best of that fact. I can listen to CD's without commercials. If they want users to pay for commercial free airtime, that's fine, but they wont make it if they don't offer commercial included airtime also.

1245. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:08:45 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD1B456.F232F44A@optonline.net>
Source record: 143781

1970 dodge coronet 440 w/ tow package. what a beast to learn how to drive as a first car...

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> is cb as popular as it was in the '70s? not.
> > >
> > Thank goodness.
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1246. Skippy doesn't understand computers, either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:19:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd1b639.435665@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143782

In <aqrcge$k1o$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, piper
<frankieappearslost@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Well... I posted a nice reply to all the below poopy frank trys to throw
>out, but I don't see it on the thread or in the news group. It takes a
>bit if time to type it all out, so watch for a replacement follow up. Back
>after this commercial message...
>skipp
>
>: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>: In <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp
>: <frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com> wrote: A lot of poopy diatribe
>which is wrong.

Will someone please help Skippy learn how to use his newsreader? or at
least how to use google?

1247. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:27:58 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD1B8C7.2CEBC7CB@optonline.net>
Source record: 143783

i wish...learned what is was like to drive through cabbage fields though
hehehe carbs sucked...

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> 1970 dodge coronet 440 w/ tow package. what a beast to learn how to
> > > drive as a first car...
> > >
> > Stick?
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1248. SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:36:11 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112213611.13939.00005308@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 143784

K-40 to a Galaxy 959. Tried tuning up and down at 1/8" intervals but SWR is still high. PL-259's are intact. The K-40 gives you 2" in the load to play with. Should I cut the whip?

1249. Re: Palomar TX 75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:37:11 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <aqsfff$d3v1o$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143789

CB Tricks has it in .pdf format.
You just have to be patient while it downloads.
--
> www.cbtricks.com has schematics on alot of amps for free
>
> >
> >
> >Need schematic for this. Anyone know where to get it? Warren
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1250. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:40:22 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112214022.13939.00005309@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 143785

Its high all around but higher at 40. I tried everything including repositioning the K-40.

1251. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:42:20 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <aqsffg$d3v1o$2@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143790

> K-40 to a Galaxy 959. Tried tuning up and down at 1/8" intervals but SWR
is
> still high. PL-259's are intact. The K-40 gives you 2" in the load to
play
> with. Should I cut the whip?

No.

You have either an open, a short, or an inadequate groundplane.

Check for opens or a short between one end of the coax and the
mount. If all is well there, make sure the mount is electrically
connected to a fairly large piece of metal.
--

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas

1252. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:49:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I4jA9.344627$121.9526055@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143786

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021112210741.411$gr@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021112183159.586$r7@newsreader.com...
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021112173904.121$xn@newsreader.com...
> > > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20021111215001.938$C9@newsreader.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's that sat radio,right? Does anyone think that will catch
> > > > > > on? (maybe when the price comes down)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I honestly don't know if people are going to be willing en-masse
> > > > > > to pay for satellite radio like they do satellite or cable TV.
> > > > > > In
> > > fact,
> > > > > > we're cutting back our cable TV a bit because there's never
> > > > > > anything decent to watch whenever we do watch TV. Personally
I'd
> > > > > > be happy with the three off-air stations we receive locally. I
> > > > > > just don't know if the general public is willing to pay around
> > > > > > $300 for a receiver and then $10 a month for subscription fees.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The reason I don't think people will be willing to pay for radio
> > > > > > too is that people don't listen to the radio like they watch TV.
> > > > > > Most people spend time in front of the TV set, but only listen
to
> > > > > > the radio while in the car or need some background noise. Those
> > > > > > who listen to talk shows can already pick them up on local AM
> > > > > > stations. However, I think satellite radio is good for people
who
> > > > > > travel a lot (truckers, salesmen, etc.) and get bored with local
> > > > > > radio or can't find decent stations to listen to.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Only time will tell if this venture pays off. Right now, XM is
> > > still
> > > > > > a few years away from turning a profit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Personally, as far as pay radio is concerned, I'll give it a
> > > > > > pass. I've got plenty of other radios to keep me occupied.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tom
> > > > > > >
> > > > > That pretty much sums it up,our friend Sideband might be able to
> > > > > tell us
> > > > if
> > > > > it's popular with over the road drivers. I could see doing
that,but
> > > > > until the price comes down I only see it in yuppie rides.
> > > > > >
> > > >
> > > >> Why should we pay for it? Get the damn advertisers on board just
> > > >> like
> > > > land based radio. Doesn't seem that far fetched, does it?
> > > >
> > > They have quite a bit of commercial free feed. If you have
> > commercials,it's
> > > just regular radio.
> > >
> >
> >> But it is contiguous. That's the only advantage, and they should make
> >> the
> > best of that fact. I can listen to CD's without commercials. If they
want
> > users to pay for commercial free airtime, that's fine, but they wont
make
> > it if they don't offer commercial included airtime also.
> >
> That's why it's doomed. Although it's not entirely commercial free.
>
> Someday we will all have it in our rides,it's just starting.

I agree totally.

1253. Re: Skippy doesn't understand computers, either!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:50:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W5jA9.344628$121.9528112@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143787

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd1b639.435665@news.cet.com...
> In <aqrcge$k1o$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, piper
> <frankieappearslost@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Well... I posted a nice reply to all the below poopy frank trys to throw
> >out, but I don't see it on the thread or in the news group. It takes a
> >bit if time to type it all out, so watch for a replacement follow up.
Back
> >after this commercial message...
> >skipp
> >
> >: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
> >: In <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp
> >: <frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com> wrote: A lot of poopy diatribe
> >which is wrong.
>
> Will someone please help Skippy learn how to use his newsreader? or at
> least how to use google?
>

Well Frank, why don't you take the bull by the horns? You seem to be an
expert on using the archives.

1254. Re: Mopar (was NY Observations)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 02:58:48 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD1C00E.8D796AF2@optonline.net>
Source record: 143788

after market is prime....850's??? jeez does it go harumph when ya step on it?????

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > i wish...learned what is was like to drive through cabbage fields though
> > hehehe carbs sucked...
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > > >> 1970 dodge coronet 440 w/ tow package. what a beast to learn how to
> > > > drive as a first car...
> > > >
> > > Stick?
> > >
> >
> I just put a new edlebrock torker,*AND* a Demon 850 double pump on my
> Belvedere 440 this summer.
>
> First Demon carb I've owned,more $ than a Holley,but it's all billet
> aluminum..even rhe metering blocks.
>
> Nice carbs.
>
> Yehaaaa!!
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1255. TRC 480

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 03:50:38 UTC
From: Walter <walterk@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <i_jA9.851455$f05.29974455@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 143791

Does anybody know of any modifcation that can be done to a trc 480???

1256. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 03:51:25 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <p1j3tucgu653u6pm0fof39npfkqnakj9ks@4ax.com>
Source record: 143792

On 13 Nov 2002 02:40:22 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:

>Its high all around but higher at 40. I tried everything including
>repositioning the K-40.

1. If we can assume that the antenna and coax are fine, and......

2. The SWR ratio increases with an increase of frequency, then.......

The antenna sees to much capacitance to ground. This can occur if
the antenna is not free and clear of nearby metal objects. An example
would be an antenna mounted on the rear bumper of a van. A quick
fix would be to shorten the antenna. This shortening would increase
the capacitive reactance while it decreases the inductive reactance.
The end effect in this shortening is bringing the antenna up to a
higher frequency resonance.

HOWEVER a K-40 antenna has a capacitor included internally for
matching purposes. The capacitor can be fried if the antenna was
subject to high doses of power. I believe the effect of a fried
capacitor on a K-40 would be to have a K-40 that was resonant
much higher in frequency than normal, so I don't believe this OPEN
capacitor scenario is your problem. I wonder if a partially fried
capacitor can increase its capacity and force the antenna to become
a lower frequency resonant antenna like the one that you apparently
have?

1257. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 04:33:25 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <W66cnb_3SeOKS0ygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143793

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021112194604.050$QD@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021112172309.365
: >
: > : He's like the kid who nobody likes,but will put up with. <g>
: >
: >> Thanks for letting me hang with you Mopar!
: >
: Don't thank me,thank the group.

Well I am sure Aaron, Frank, Leland, Dwight. JJ. Jerry and a few others
voted for me Il bet even twisty pulled the lever next to my name. no one
knows when the curtain closes

1258. Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 04:59:55 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aqsopuvmf1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 143794

Hi,

It's been a good while since I've fooled with much less seen a Browning set of any make... My memory of some of them even fails me now. My question...

MARK IV and MARK IV-A

Unless I am mistaken, they were basically the same, but with some slight difference - thus the A suffix. IF I am correct, what was that difference? If I'm wrong, then what were the "differences"? Does anyone have any schematics, etc for the Mark IV - A?

Please reply directly as I don't always get to read the newsgroups.

Thanks.....

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

1259. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 05:16:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <-R-dneKDAvG8fUygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143795

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DD14017.36F1@worldlynx.net...
> You know, I used to think you were some pencil-necked internet geek,
but
> after this, it's clear to me that you don't have the slightest clue
how
> message routing works, or what goes on with the various servers that
> make up a news service, or what things can be stored in your
newsreader
> settings. But oh, that's right, you don't have a "real" computer,
just a
> webTV wannabe. Maybe that's just as well, as it seems that you
wouldn't
> be able to set a real computer up properly anyway.......

Dave, Twisted has never figured out how the headers work nor how message routing is done. Don't waste your time trying either, he wont believe you. Over the past year or two several people have pointed out to him how far off the mark he was when accusing people of various things related to forging messages on the group and it didn't do any good.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1260. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 05:25:49 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <pLqcnT3ik5vAf0ygXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143796

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24088-3DCFD442-305@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hello, Lelnad. Haven't seen your temper flare

You have to be kidding me Twisted. Reading your silly rants is like reading the Sunday comic strips. The sound you hear isn't from anger, it just from rolling on the floor and laughing madly out loud from your absurd logic. I'm waiting for the day when you accuse the 'saucer men' of something like sending you another "jockstrap" to add to your collection on the wall.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks
>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
==========

1261. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 06:47:15 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0OmdnX5_xvvpaEygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143797

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021112233701.583
: Why did you say Dwight?

You guys use his name all the time he is part of the gang right? Isnt he
aaron, and me and frank?

1262. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:13:03 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <JmqdnUMF8835ZkygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143798

> And how is a ground plane not sort of like a dipole? Hmmm, come to think
of
> it, a quarter wave is just that. The counterpoise is the other half of the
> dipole.

In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.

> > >

1263. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:16:15 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <YpWcnfPb6-guYUygXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143800

>That is what moderated groups are for...tailored a bit more to those who
>choose limitations on their speech or seek a sanitized version, however
>slight it may be.

I don't need a moderator. I just won't participate if the discussion is
going in the direction of "how can I get more channels" or amplifiers.

>What is blatantly illegal in the US is not always illegal in other areas
>of the world. We must remember this is not solely an American
>group..it's worldwide.

I'm about the last guy you need to remind on that.

1264. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:18:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <YpWcnfLb6-goYUygXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 143799

> Check for opens or a short between one end of the coax and the
> mount. If all is well there, make sure the mount is electrically
> connected to a fairly large piece of metal.

BING!

It's possible the antenna itself is bad as well, is there another antenna around that you can try on this mount?

What's the mount mounted to? Sometimes hatches and such aren't very well grounded at RF, you might need to install a grounding strap or two.

1265. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:25:43 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqsv3iio1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143801

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021111174108.069$Zg@newsreader.com...
> "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> > news:aqnn8r$p92
> > :
> > : Now, read my post again.
> > : You will see that I am trying to be helpful, suggesting what may be
> > : wrong
> > **
> >> Seems like you do it all the time LOL
> >
> .
> Does what,try to help people? What's wrong with that?

The "Antikey Clowns" prefer trolling and arguing.
They just cannot get their head around the idea of being helpful.

Poor chap is obsessed., he follows us around... looking for helpful
posts to whine about. Is two posts, over two months apart
really "all the time"? Nah, it's just his obsession.

Regards,

Peter.

1266. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:25:45 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqsv3kio2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143802

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc8c5.897584@news.cet.com...
> In <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> >"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:c5ecbb69.0210220534.58d5c939@posting.google.com...
> >
> >Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
> >post... coz I have him blocked...
>
> Amazing! Even when you have him blocked you STILL can't exercise
> enough self-control to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you need
> a psychiatrist!

Another HYPOCRITE.
I thought you blocked me... your filter still doesn't work.

Anyway, if you can let go of your anger long enough to think straight, you will realise that I was just posting correct information about CB rules. Someone posted incorrect information, so I have posted the correct facts.

What is it about those facts that upsets you?

They are totally ON TOPIC, not an argument... just facts. I thought you was keen on legal information being posted here.

I know, I said what CAN be done... not what cannot. Too positive... huh.

Brainbuster.

1267. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:25:46 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqsv3mio3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143803

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:WxOdnR0UG_kgTVKgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> LOL Isn't that the truth Frank!

So, you don't like TRUE FACTS being posted, either.
Or is it that those RULES do not suit you.
Tough s**t... IT WAS FACT AND ON TOPIC.

You have both shown how you would rather have arguments
than CB TOPICS and FACTS.

If you don't want to see posts about CB... FIND ANOTHER
GROUP. You will NOT stop people from using this group
for CB TOPICS.

Brainbuster.

1268. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:25:47 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqsv3nio4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143804

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24088-3DCFD6A6-306@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> LOL!! The trouble posse members of rec.radio.cb agreeing with each other
> claiming the rest of the world is crazy...hahaha! That's what the
> patients say in Belleview....they can't cope with reality so in their
> minds they "bend" reality in such a manner that they become convinced
> that there is nothing wrong with them and that the "rest" of the world
> is dysfunctional....it is very rare we get to witness such phenomona,
> such as the double, or even group (when referring to the entire posse)
> hallucination, like that of the one currently on display by George and
> Frank. That's pretty funny, even for these sloughs. Oh da' irony of the
> situation.......

Why are they suddenly objecting to the posting of LEGAL information
about CB? They must think that they own the group and decide which
rules can be mentioned.

Nothing new, really. They try their best to control this group... but
without success.
They will not stop me posting on this group, neither will they control
what I post.

Regards,

Peter.

1269. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 07:25:49 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqsv3qio5@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143805

"DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YuucnbYn5fIBWVKgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> :
> : Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
> : post... coz I have him blocked...
>
> Why? Why block him then reply to him via someone else.

Read it again.
I was replying to the "comments", not to Aaron himself... but to
the WHOLE GROUP.

Are you really that stupid, you cannot understand?
Let me put this in simple language for you...

I read a post from RICHARD CRANIUM.
In that post I saw incorrect information.
I had a choice...
Ignore it and let everyone believe the
false information,
or
Post the correct information.

This is a NEWSGROUP, so, I posted the correct information.
Quite simple really.

> is your name landshark,

Do you have a problem reading?
Look at the name at the bottom of my posts. If you still
need an answer to that question... go back to school.

> if you really wanted him blocked you would not tak to him

Can you not get your head around the difference between e-mail
and newsgroups?

This is a PUBLIC forum. Messages posted here are PUBLIC,
for anyone reading them.
If I was sending a message to Aagent Aaron, it would be by e-mail.

You can whine, squirm and seethe all you like... but I will
post messages whenever I wish to.
If that doesn't suit you... then block me - I don't keep changing

my header.

Brainbuster.

1270. galaxy saturn s-meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 08:57:05 UTC
From: kennydownsouth@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113035705.20852.00000158@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 143806

i need an s-meter for a galaxy saturn but it looks like several models of galaxies will work .....anybody looking to sell one e-mail me at kennydownsouth@aol.com with price ...or tell me where i can order one ....thanks

1271. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 09:42:19 UTC
From: Xp--User <haa@asif.com>
Message-ID: <aqt6ph$d18dm$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

So why post it to a .uk group then ?????

"Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:figA9.75514$g9.212589@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Newcastle NSW
>
>
> "Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
> news:aqrgs2$cf5gc$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > > We have added another "soundbyte" to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
> > >
> > > This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of Dora
> Creek.
> > > The offender has hit a power pole.
> > >
> > > the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue section
of
> > our
> > > soundbytes page.
> > >
> > > Please sign our guest book
> > >
> > > Mick and Garry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > And of course this relates to Newcastle uk?
> >
> > :o)
> >
> >
>
>

1272. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 10:58:43 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD23063.96E4CDA6@cwis.net>
Source record: 143808

FMRNYCER,
From the sound of your original post, and the later
one about the SWR on ch-40, it certainly sounds like the
'whip' is too long. One thing you might want to check
before cutting the whip, you do not want the butt end of
the whip to extend down into the coils of the base loading
device. That does 'bad' things. Using the full length of
the metal ferrule at the top of the 'base' is okay though.
I'd also cut in short 'bites' rather than large 'chunks'.
'Doc

1273. Re: TRC 480

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 11:02:58 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3dd23180$1_4@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 143809

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:i_jA9.851455$f05.29974455@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of any modifcation that can be done to a trc 480???
>

AM Modulation - VR13
SSB Power (ALC) - VR4 VR5
AM Power - VR14
RF Power Meter - VR8
S Meter - VR10
Squelch - VR12

The TRC-480 used a Sanyo LC7113 chip that could be modified by changing the loop mixing crystal but not really worth the effort. It also shared the design with the Realistic TRC-459.

1274. Re: Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 11:55:31 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <T4rA9.40952$U93.3295044@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 143810

A friend of mine had a Mark 4-A and I remember it being LED readout and the mark 4 was standard. I don't know if that was the only difference or not but it is a start.

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:aqsopuvmf1@news.dp.net...
> Hi,
>
> It's been a good while since I've fooled with much less seen a Browning set
> of any make... My memory of some of them even fails me now. My question...
>
> MARK IV and MARK IV-A
>
> Unless I am mistaken, they were basically the same, but with some slight
> difference - thus the A suffix. IF I am correct, what was that difference?
> If I'm wrong, then what were the "differences"? Does anyone have any
> schematics, etc for the Mark IV - A?
>
> Please reply directly as I don't always get to read the newsgroups.
>
> Thanks.....
>
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
>
>
>

1275. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 12:09:44 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <cirA9.40955$U93.3298427@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 143811

The word I have heard is that it is already in the works for a wide-band satellite down link. That is all they will have to do is ban the sale of new ones and sit back for 10 years. Then ban the use for another 3 to 5 years and track down the offenders and seize the equipment. It will take about 20 years to clean up the 11 meter band but they can do it and most likely will unless CBers can prove that they have a useful reason, not available in any other part of the spectrum for taking up that much space.

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqrj86$bt9el$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3DD0605B.3095DA04@optonline.net...
> > no wonder telecomm companies look to see what freqs are
> > available to exploit for their next frontier...cb is a prime area for
> > making money as well as the upper and lower freqs outside the designated
> > 'legal ones'.
> > eventually its going to happen like it or not.
>
> I seriously doubt that the FCC will take away the CB frequencies and sell
> them off to the highest bidder.
>
> Mainly because there are probably millions of CB's in use out there, and
the
> CBer's are not going to give them up. The FCC can't enforce the rules for
> the CB band as it is now, so they won't bother trying to if they allocate
> the freqs for something else.
>
> Tom
>
>

1276. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 12:19:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD24357.7513@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143813

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DD14017.36F1@worldlynx.net...
> > You know, I used to think you were some pencil-necked internet geek,
> but
> > after this, it's clear to me that you don't have the slightest clue
> how
> > message routing works, or what goes on with the various servers that
> > make up a news service, or what things can be stored in your
> newsreader
> > settings. But oh, that's right, you don't have a "real" computer,
> just a
> > webTV wannabe. Maybe that's just as well, as it seems that you
> wouldn't
> > be able to set a real computer up properly anyway.......
>
> Dave, Twisted has never figured out how the headers work nor how
> message routing is done. Don't waste your time trying either, he wont
> believe you. Over the past year or two several people have pointed out
> to him how far off the mark he was when accusing people of various
> things related to forging messages on the group and it didn't do any
> good.

The more he posts, the more obvious it becomes, that he's off the rails. There are certain lines in a header where you can insert your own message. That's configurable in most newreaders. It's no big secret. Yet Twisty seems to think that it's significant, that I can put "Spew Radio Inc." in my organization line. In fact it has probably been there since I installed this newsreader (And changing newsreaders is akin, in Twisty's mind, to changing "access"). I can change it on every message I leave along with other lines, if I were so inclined. But what does that prove? I'm still using the same ISP, no matter what strange demons are slithering through his little mind.

If he would spend more time thinking about what he posts and concentrating on comprending what other people say, rather than looking for elephants under his bed, he'd be way better off.

That's why I'm not going to bother responding to him anymore, it's pointless. He still doesn't get it, the joke's on him, but there is only so much fiddle playing someone can do, before you get tired of hearing the same old song and dance routine.

If he wants to keep searching google for every post that I've ever made, and thereby validating, for the world to see, his obsession with me, more power to him. I've said nothing that I regret. I debate on principle, which is why he never understood the difference between how someone personally feels about something, and what the law says.

The fact that I've owned and operated equipment illegally on CB in the past, in no way changes what he does NOW in the eyes of the law.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1277. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 12:36:19 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7HrA9.317930$8o3.9497997@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143812

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:JmqdnUMF8835ZkygXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
>
> > And how is a ground plane not sort of like a dipole? Hmmm, come to think
> of
> > it, a quarter wave is just that. The counterpoise is the other half of
the
> > dipole.
>
> In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.
>
> > > > >
>
>

Not when fed with an unbalanced feedline and no balun.

1278. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 12:43:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD248E8.5080@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143815

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> One may well feel one way on some subjects and another way on others. I
> remember well 7th grade when we were studying politics. The teacher drew a
> horizontal line and a vertical line in the middle. On the right were
> conservatives. On the far right were reactionaries. On the left were
> liberals. Oh ... about the vertical line in the center. That was Ike's
> golf club! ;)
>
> I still like Ike
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - Democrats tax the rich and give to the poor....

.....and the lazy, the unmotivated, and illegal aliens.....

> Republicans tax the poor and give to big business (and the wealthy).

I'm not going to let that slide. Where in recent history can you find
any tax legislation where the poor were singled out exclusively to be
taxed, where the proceeds go to those who already have plenty of money?

It's faulty logic, if for no other reason than the poor and middle class
make up the majority of the consumers in this country. Take away their
buying power, and the economy crumbles, and those "rich" business exec's
stock options go into the toilet.

And don't give me that democratic BS that tax cuts only benefit the
rich. Sure, if you only put in $1000, and you get 1% back, it will work
out in a dollar amount to less than if you put in $100,000 and get back
1%. But it's all relative. The rich paid more into it in the first
place, so why should they not expect to get more back out? The richest
5% of the people pay in over 50% of the taxes, simply due to their large
taxable income. That $300 (or $600) tax check we got back last year will
mean a lot more to a person who makes $15,000 a year, then to a person
who makes $150,000 a year. So why would you think that these tax cuts
favor the rich? The bottom line is that this country is supposed to be
the land of opportunity. Yet there are many of us who chastize those who
have worked hard, and have become rich, and even demand that they
forfeit a larger percentage of the "spoils" of their labor to prop up
those of us who chose to be lazy and are unwilling to advance beyond
manual labor jobs. It's not the fault of the rich guy that the poor guy
is poor, so why should he be punished for it? Propping up the poor, only
breeds continued dependance on government and its "gimme" programs.
There's an old saying; "Give a man a fish, and he eats for a day, teach

a man to fish, and he will eat for a lifetime". Instead of giving the poor money, they should be investing in education.

> Neither party seems to actually be inclined to reduce government.

The republican congress took a pretty good stab at it in 1995, when they took over majority control. This effort, called the "contract with America" was largely responsible for the so-called budget surplus that Clinton tried to take credit for.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1279. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 12:59:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD24C94.5D1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143814

That Guy Again wrote:
>
> Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92C3BD5855C80rrcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>...
> > This brings up an interesting question - Are Anti's predominantly left or
> > right wing? I don't want to hear any crap about somebody being a
> > "moderate" either. No such thing.
>
> Ditto. I can't imagine any anti's agreeing with me that things like
> walking naked in public,

Could be offensive to certain people

> saying "fuck" on TV

Sends a message that moral character and civilized behavior are not
important in our society.

> & DUI sans accidents should be LEGAL

Driving under the influence impares judgement and reduces reaction time.
The "accident" is just a matter or time. Many innocent people have been
killed by drunks who didn't even realize that they had impared control.
Those who exercise such blatent irresponsibility and disregard for the
well being and safety of others should, at the very least, have their
driving privileges revoked.

> I guess the root of the problem is that anti's are mostly just
> law-boys who get a kick out of making "law" into just another fake
> religion & using it to play judge-jury-&-executioner to people they
> don't like... which means damn near everybody who doesn't agree with
> their straitlaced views of how they think the world ought to be.

As opposed to guys like you who think that they should be allowed to do
whatever they want, whenever they want, and to whomever they want, and
the hell with other people's rights?

That's a great way to run a civilized society........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1280. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:04:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD24DD7.1B84@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143816

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aqq71n$sm6$2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > Can you borrow a "dummy load" from anyone?
> > If so, plug one into the SWR meter in place of the antenna.
>
> If he can't find one, he could build one from two 5W 100-Ohm resistors wired
> in parallel between the coax shield and center conductor. Should be able to
> handle the power out of a stock CB.
>

Just be careful not to use wirewound resistors (The kind you most likely
will find in that power rating, the square ceramic type), as the winding
of the wire ads inductance to the equation, and will result in a high
SWR reading.

I usually use 10 470 ohm, two watt carbon resistors in parallel. That's
more than enough for a CB.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1281. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:24:44 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <ocsA9.75976$g9.214138@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 143819
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

Sorry mate,, wrong group !!!!!!
I am so very sorry,, I will never do this again,,,

How was that ??? was that what you wanted to read !!

Garry

"Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
news:aqt6ph$d18dm$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
> So why post it to a .uk group then ?????
>
> "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:figA9.75514$g9.212589@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > Newcastle NSW
> >
> >
> > "Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
> > news:aqrgs2$cf5gc$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > > "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > > news:q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > > > We have added another "soundbyte" to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
> > > >
> > > > This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of Dora
> > Creek.
> > > > The offender has hit a power pole.
> > > >
> > > > the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue section
> of
> > > our
> > > > soundbytes page.
> > > >
> > > > Please sign our guest book
> > > >
> > > > Mick and Garry
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > And of course this relates to Newcastle uk?
> > >
> > > :o)
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

1282. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:29:57 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113082957.22500.00000299@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 143817

Brand new equipment. All packaged nice. No signs of prior opening.

1283. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:30:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021113083051.07856.00004356@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 143818

he nailed you cold

>
>Dave, Twisted has never figured out how the headers work nor how
>message routing is done. Don't waste your time trying either, he wont
>believe you. Over the past year or two several people have pointed out
>to him how far off the mark he was when accusing people of various
>things related to forging messages on the group and it didn't do any
>good.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1284. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:38:04 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113083804.22500.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 143820

Bingo! I think you hit the nail on the head. First, the K-40 is mounted on the rear bumper of my van. The whip doesnt make it over the raised roof. Second, I have it hooked up to a Texas Star DX 400. Do you think I fried the capacitor? What should I do?

1285. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 13:59:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7721-3DD25AD7-13@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143822

>Dave, Twisted has never figured out how the >headers work nor how message routing is >done.

Never claimed I did. Really don't need the routing process if y'all would behave yourselves. Nevertheless, Dave Hall claimed he didn't know how the routing process worked, either. Suddenly your arrogance is showing....guess you're still upset over all those coincidences pointed out when you lost your funny access sites to this group at the same time you broke the TOS for Supernews, bignet, cotse, idt, etc.....you guys look well together,,,both y'all's words have bitten ya' in the azz....

>Don't waste your time trying either, he wont >believe you.

Of course he won't be believed,,,..he, as you, are a confirmed liar. Besides, Lelnad logic has been exposed....one can not rewrite the rules of logic to conform to your personal flawed exemplary examples of nonsensicals......

"So "common sense" is nothing more than a belief held by a large group of people, and has little or nothing to do with the true nature of things at times."
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0
NCI member

> Over the past year or two several people >have pointed out to him how far off the mark >he was when accusing people of various >things related to forging messages on the >group and it didn't do any good.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Yea....? You're threat to the group concerning Dogie spamming us if I didn't go away was nullified..hence you're often being referred to as "impotent". Of course, you are always free to post from the email addys you claim weren't pulled....take your pick..any two out of the bunch that you claimed weren't pulled from you. Shouldn't be too hard for a compooter gradjate like yerself, eh?

So "common sense" is nothing more than a belief held by a large group of people, and has little or nothing to do with the true nature of things at times.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0

NCI Member

And the crowning moment of all, from "Arron"..a neatly wrapped serving of your nocoded (save for Dave Hall, N3CVJ who merely mimes you and Dogie) empty heads on a platter. Nice to see the "parish of twisted" supporting each other so vigorously......

"If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug, Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A "

But let's not forget, "getting rid" of people originated with you and Frank and Dogie...blackmailing the group if certain people didn't hush up or go away you would spam and flood us...your death threat....Dogies threats of violence....your quote of shooting freebanders (would you start with DAVE HALL, N3CVJ self-confessed freebander and illegal amp user, or does he get a pass 'cause he is a hammie?)..etc....

1286. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:06:11 UTC
From: Xp--User <haa@asif.com>
Message-ID: <aqtm88$cagta$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

Glad to hear it. Now FROAD!

:o)

"Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:ocsA9.75976$g9.214138@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Sorry mate,, wrong group !!!!!!
> I am so very sorry,, I will never do this again,,,
>
> How was that ??? was that what you wanted to read !!
>
> Garry
>
>
>
> "Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
> news:aqt6ph$d18dm$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
> > So why post it to a .uk group then ?????
> >
> > "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:figA9.75514$g9.212589@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > > Newcastle NSW
> > >
> > >
> > > "Xp--User" <haa@asif.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aqrgs2$cf5gc$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de...
> > > >
> > > > "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:q78A9.75370$g9.212137@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > > > > We have added another "soundbyte" to
http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
> > > > >
> > > > > This soundbyte is of a pursuit in the Lake Macquarie suburb of
Dora
> > > Creek.
> > > > > The offender has hit a power pole.
> > > > >
> > > > > the file is titled chasecrash and is found in the Tuscanblue
section
> > of
> > > > our
> > > > > soundbytes page.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please sign our guest book

> > > > >
> > > > > Mick and Garry
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > And of course this relates to Newcastle uk?
> > > > >
> > > > > :o)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1287. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:07:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7722-3DD25C84-6@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143823

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24088-3DCFD442-305@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hello, Lelnad. Haven't seen your temper flare

>You have to be kidding me Twisted. Reading >your silly rants is like
reading the Sunday >comic strips. The sound you hear isn't from >anger,
it just from rolling on the floor and >laughing madly out loud from your
absurd >logic.

Well, there is no doubt you are to be located rolling on the floor.
But what I have a problem grasping is the fact that you were laughing
hard enough to make death threats...Dave Hall, N3CVJ claims knowledge of
psycho-babble...ask him his take on someone who claims they are laughing
while making death threats and attempted (but failed due to impotency
problems) blackmail against the group. Stick around,,,it gets better all
the time.

>I'm waiting for the day when you accuse
>the 'saucer men' of something like sending >you another "jockstrap" to
add to your >collection on the wall.
>--

Just because your homosexuality
is tolerated without hate by some doesn't mean the rest of the world
feels so touchy-feely toward your same sex pereferences. Your mania and
penchant for mens undergarments (particularly mine) is not behavior
conducive heterosexuality, but you have made us quite aware of your
status in the past.

>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member
>--

1288. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:18:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd25e12.930539@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143824

In <aqsv3kio2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dcfc8c5.897584@news.cet.com...
>> In <aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
>> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>>
>> >"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >news:c5ecbb69.0210220534.58d5c939@posting.google.com...
>> >
>> >Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
>> >post... coz I have him blocked...
>>
>> Amazing! Even when you have him blocked you STILL can't exercise
>> enough self-control to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you need
>> a psychiatrist!
>
>
>Another HYPOCRITE.
>I thought you blocked me... your filter still doesn't work.

Free Agent doesn't have a killfile, you dope! My 'filter' is my own
brain. And when I read your prayer to Voobner I must admit that I
couldn't resist pointing out your obsession. BTW, you have said
several times that you have ME in YOUR killfile. LOL!

1289. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:23:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7721-3DD26061-16@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143826

>The more he posts, the more obvious it >becomes, that he's off the rails. There are >certain lines in a header where you can insert >your own message. That's configurable in >most newreaders. It's no big secret.

Yet you claimed you didn't know what YOURS said.

>Yet Twisty seems to think that it's significant, >that I can put "Spew Radio Inc." in my >organization line.

But it is extermely significant when taken in context with your LIE thatyou didn't know what it looked like.....

>In fact it has probably been there since I >installed this newsreader (And changing >newsreaders is akin, in Twisty's mind, to >changing "access").

Make up your mind. First you claimed it was your ISP that changed them at will.

>I can change it on every message I leave >along with other lines, if I were so inclined. But >what does that prove?

Not much,..BUT ....taken in context with your claim
that you didn't know what your headers looked like...it proves you a habitual liar with your boot in your mouth..

>I'm still using the same ISP, no matter what >strange demons are slithering through his little >mind.

Demons? Hahah..is that like Lelnads saucer people?

>If he would spend more time thinking about >what he posts and concentrating on >comprending what other people say, rather >than looking for elephants under his bed, he'd >be way better off.
>That's why I'm not going to bother responding >to him anymore, it's pointless.

Really, it's not. Your behavior has been indicative of everything I have claimed of you.
Your past posts prove you a liar, hypocrite, federal lawbreaker, character of extremely low moral, and your associates and friends..man,,,I don't even wanna go there.....

>He still doesn't get it, the joke's on him, but >there is only so much fiddle playing someone >can do, before you get tired of hearing the >same old song and dance routine.

Yea, catching in you in so many lies and setting you up with your own words can get tiring with someone who can't see the forest through the tress.

> ouIf he wants to keep searching google for >every post that I've ever made,

Not every post you ever made, Dave.
The three that I posted serve nicely and are quite adequate at showing the real you.

>and thereby validating, for the world to see, >his obsession with me, more power to him.

It's never been an obsession Dave, and unlike you, It's never been personal. Lie, and it will be pointed it out.

>I've said nothing that I regret. I debate on >principle,

You've said alot of things Dave, and many many of them are self-contradictory.

> which is why he never understood the >difference between how someone personally >feels about something, and what the law says.

Awwww...I hate to break it to you...but your feelings have no place concerning the law. Never did.

>The fact that I've owned and operated >equipment illegally on CB in the past, in no >way changes what he does NOW in the eyes >of the law.

What I do shouldn't concern you. This was never about me. Each of your posts you sink further by attacking me. The irony of one licensed for communication suffering the greatest deficit in such is manifesting again.
Besides, you claimed you were but a child, a young man, you even claimed it was over 15 years ago that you were "enlightened".
As always, your posts shop you lied.
WHAT you lied about, is irrelevant. The fact remains,,you are a habitual liar. Is it surprising you felt the need to share with us the status concerning your sex fiend friend you had a cb hack shop with? I guess it is, when one stops to consider Leland's homosexuality evidenced by his redundant inquiries directed toward me concerning my underwear..it is of little wonder you guys hit it off so well and support (hhahaha) each other.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ
>Practicing Socialist
>Admitted Freebander
>Habitual Liar

1290. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:26:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7722-3DD26129-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143825

>As opposed to guys like you who think that >they should be allowed to
do whatever they >want, whenever they want, and to whomever >they want,
and the hell with other people's >rights?

YOUR words.

> That's a great way to run a civilized >society........
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

What the hell would you know about a civilized society? You were in
business with a sex perv...and knowingly so.

1291. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:30:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7721-3DD261F4-17@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143828

From: rcranus@hotmail.com (Perculator)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17015-3DD18CE9-987@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
What is blatantly illegal in the US is not always illegal in other areas
of the world. We must remember this is not solely an American
group..it's worldwide.

>Amplifiers are illegal worldwide on the citizens >Band. So is talking
out of your designated >band no matter what country you are in. What
>else Twist?

Really tough for you guys to present the answers that make your
statements utter sludge.......comes down to the irony
again.............dx.

1292. Re: Freebanding; The Last Frontier?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 14:32:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7721-3DD26280-18@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143827

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
That is what moderated groups are for...tailored a bit more to those who choose limitations on their speech or seek a sanitized version, however slight it may be.

>I don't need a moderator. I just won't >participate if the discussion is going in the >direction of "how can I get more channels" or >amplifiers.

Ah,,,an educated hammie. We have a few here, but it is getting rarer and rarer these days amog this group.

"What is blatantly illegal in the US is not always illegal in other areas of the world. We must remember this is not solely an American group..it's worldwide. "

>I'm about the last guy you need to remind on >that.

Stick around. Maybe you can educate some of the ignorant.

1293. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 15:30:57 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aqtr0h$ddj7g$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143829

The high swr is a result of the antenna being mounted to close to
the body of the van, at least 2/3 of the whip must extend above the body of
the vehicle.
The antenna is getting a reflection from the body and running the swr too
high, a different mounting location is in order such as the mirror bracket.
this is how i set up my wifes van and it works beautiful.
Secondly the K40 is a coil loaded antenna, Francis hot-rods are cut to
resonate on the CB band and will give you a near perfect match when mounted
properly with the correct length of coax.

--
Mad Dog
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113083804.22500.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com...
> Bingo! I think you hit the nail on the head. First, the K-40 is mounted
on the
> rear bumper of my van. The whip doesnt make it over the raised roof.
Second,
> I have it hooked up to a Texas Star DX 400. Do you think I fried the
> capacitor? What should I do?

1294. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 15:41:16 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aqtrjs$dbbvc$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143830

Also, do not attempt to read the swr with the linear turned on, this will
give a false reading because the linear will drive up the swr by itself.
Actually the antenna should be tuned using a MFJ analyser and dont
pay attention to the swr once the system is buttoned up unless it's
extremely high which would indicate a different problem altogether.
Use enough coax to reach from the radio to the amp. and enough to reach from
the amp. to the antenna unless you are using a Francis.
Note: Coax length does not change swr, but it can change the meter
reading....we have hashed out the whole coax length thing before so lets
leave it alone..if ya really want to learn about it search Google for the
posts.

--
Mad Dog
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113083804.22500.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com...
> Bingo! I think you hit the nail on the head. First, the K-40 is mounted
on the
> rear bumper of my van. The whip doesnt make it over the raised roof.
Second,
> I have it hooked up to a Texas Star DX 400. Do you think I fried the
> capacitor? What should I do?

1295. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 15:52:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd26feb_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 143831

I KNEW there was another reason WHY I hated NY ! Sorry Ice Man; we HATE NY
! Lack of CB's there !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DD0605B.3095DA04@optonline.net...
> Its 2030 and the band is quiet. figured i'd hear something on the 40 but
> nothing. some guy up in bridgeport yakking but thats it. cb is dead in
> this area with 10 million people packed in around here....
> freeband obviously dies when the sun sinks so its basically a wasteland
> on 11 meters. no wonder telecomm companies look to see what freqs are
> available to exploit for their next frontier...cb is a prime area for
> making money as well as the upper and lower freqs outside the designated
> 'legal ones'.
> eventually its going to happen like it or not. what makes more money
> (and its sad) selling radio gear and the gov getting the taxes or the
> gov leasing it to xyz corporation for beaucoup bux?????
> besides the 'amateur' bands aren't in better shape as they will shrink
> as the old white guy fades to oblivion and their political strength
> fades like an 11 year cycle coming to an end....
>
> i've been baggin' this ng for the better part of 8 years so its not like
> i'm a newbie......
> 73, jim kp920...
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1296. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 16:43:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16796-3DD28130-4@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143833

From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
>Also, do not attempt to read the swr with the >linear turned on, this will give a false reading >because the linear will drive up the swr by >itself.

Isn't something wrong if that happens? SWR shouldn't change because of a power increase.

>Actually the antenna should be tuned using a >MFJ analyser and dont pay attention to the >swr once the system is buttoned up unless it's >extremely high which would indicate a >different problem altogether. Use enough coax >to reach from the radio to the amp. and >enough to reach from the amp. to the antenna >unless you are using a Francis. Note: Coax >length does not change swr, but it can change >the meter reading....we have hashed out the >whole coax length thing before so lets leave it >alone..if ya really want to learn about it search >Google for the posts.
>--
>Mad Dog

1297. OT Clinton Culpability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 16:45:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gjvA9.12718$wO4.8999@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143832

The Clintons ought to be labeled PUBLIC ENEMY #s 1 and 2 (interchangibly)

--

From: NewsMax.com <newsmax@equalamail.offerservice.net>
To: cmccanle.star@as400.starfreight.com
Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 00:42:18 -0800
Subject: Bill Clinton's Role in 9/11 revealed

On Election Day Americans repudiated Bill and Hillary Clinton -- thinking
Americans realize that Bill Clinton was MORE RESPONSIBLE for 9-11 than the
current recession than George Bush

The Media Won't Reveal Bill Clinton's Role in 9-11 . . .
..but NewsMax has released a sensational new book edited by Christopher
Ruddy
and Carl Limbacher that blows the lid off of the media cover-up.

Find out about the best selling "Catastrophe: Clinton's Role in America's

Worst Disaster"

You can even find out how to get this book FREE by clicking here:
http://track.offerservice.net/track.php?c_uid=120412609&c_lid=3967

"Catastrophe" begins on January 20, 1993 when William Jefferson Clinton took
the oath of office as the 42nd president of the United States of America.
Clinton swore to "preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the
United
States" from enemies both foreign and domestic.

During the next eight years, Bill Clinton would preside over the most
corrupt
administration in American history and would go on to become only the second
president in American history to be impeached.

When Bill Clinton took office, American supremacy on the world stage had
never
been so great and unchallenged. Our military was without equal. The economy
was beginning a record boom.

Soon after Bill Clinton left office, Americans began to discover his bitter
legacy.

Even as Clinton was leaving Washington, the American economy had begun to
move
into a serious recession.

And America's belief in invulnerability was shattered on September 11, 2001, when 19 Arab hijackers slammed civilian jets into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon.

As "Catastrophe: Clinton's Role in America's Worst Disaster" demonstrates, the
events of September 11 not only were predictable after eight years of Clinton,
but they also could have been prevented.

"Catastrophe" exposes what really happened during the Clinton years, and how Bill Clinton and his administration systematically undermined America's national security by emasculating the U.S. military and the nation's intelligence agencies.

Bill Clinton made America vulnerable to attack.

"Catastrophe" offers many new revelations about Clinton's role in 9-11 ... click here:
http://track.offerservice.net/track.php?c_uid=120412609&c_lid=3967

Here are just some of the revelations from Catastrophe:

** Clinton's own admission that he could have extradited Osama bin Laden from
Sudan - but he didn't because he felt bin Laden was not a threat! If you don't
believe this, read his verbatim comments from our exclusive tape recording!

** A senior CIA officer goes on record to reveal that Bill Clinton helped Saddam Hussein by allowing him to illegally sell oil - and make billions to stay in power.

** Clinton adviser Dick Morris says that Clinton was warned about the terrorist bombings against American troops at the Khobar Towers - and he ignored the warning!

** The FBI and CIA could have easily foiled the 9-11 attacks - but were negligent as two known terrorists gained entry into the U.S. with the CIA's full knowledge. They would later participate in the 9-11 attacks.

** FBI agent Coleen Rowley says the FBI could have prevented 9-11, but refused
to get a search warrant on one of the 9-11 terrorists.

** NewsMax broke the story that Bill Clinton tied the hands of the CIA and FBI
Hear from agents on the inside who broke the story on Newsmax and reveal what
really happened.

** Bill Clinton refused to require driver's licenses to expire at the time of
expiring visas. If he had done this, one of the 9-11 terrorists would have been arrested or deported.

** The 1996 Clinton-Gore airline safety commission set the stage for 9-11.

** Why Clinton's adviser Dick Morris says Bill Clinton's affair with Monica Lewinksy helped Osama bin Laden survive and plot 9-11.

** Read Bill Clinton's shocking statements about America's guilt in 9-11 - he even blamed America for 9-11 and cited our treatment of the Indians and Muslims during the Crusades!

** A secret 1994 terrorism report warned of suicidal hijackings - and Bill Clinton ignored it.

Find out more about "Catastrophe" and its revelations, click here:
http://track.offerservice.net/track.php?c_uid=120412609&c_lid=3967

Read Christopher Ruddy's hard-hitting investigative reports, with special commentaries from Bill O'Reilly, David Limbaugh, Paul Craig Roberts, Barry Farber, Michael Reagan and more.

Nationally syndicated radio host Michael Reagan, son of President Reagan,says:
NewsMax has become my favorite news Web site. I guarantee that you'll love their magazine. The liberal media moguls hate NewsMax. Not only does it expose
liberal shenanigans without mercy, it's dedicated to making America once again a shining city upon a hill.

Get NewsMax Magazine and the FREE book -- a $25 value today ...

click here:

http://track.offerservice.net/track.php?c_uid=120412609&c_lid=3967

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

1298. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:19:34 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jwGdnTpGY600FE-gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143834

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021113081138.036$gq@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021112233701.583
: > : Why did you say Dwight?
: >
: >> You guys use his name all the time he is part of the gang right? Isnt
he
: > aaron, and me and frank?
: >
: You slipped.

I did? Funny i don't think so his name is thrown around this group as the
culprit. I think some others have slipped when accusing others of being him.
Power of persuasion is powerful huh.

1299. Re: Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:26:14 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aqu4hp$17a0$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 143835

Thanks! Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:T4rA9.40952$U93.3295044@news2.telusplanet.net...
> A friend of mine had a Mark 4-A and I remember it being LED readout and
the
> mark 4 was standard. I don't know if that was the only difference or not
but
> it is a start.
>
> "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:aqsopuvmf1@news.dp.net...
> > Hi,
> >
> > It's been a good while since I've fooled with much less seen a Browning
> set
> > of any make... My memory of some of them even fails me now. My
question...
> >
> > MARK IV and MARK IV-A
> >
> > Unless I am mistaken, they were basically the same, but with some slight
> > difference - thus the A suffix. IF I am correct, what was that
difference?
> > If I'm wrong, then what were the "differences"? Does anyone have any
> > schematics, etc for the Mark IV - A?
> >
> > Please reply directly as I don't always get to read the newsgroups.
> >
> > Thanks.........
> >
> > Lou/Tech@DP.NET
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1300. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:42:32 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nOadnWw8TciWEk-gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143836

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message

: Why are they suddenly objecting to the posting of LEGAL information
: about CB? They must think that they own the group and decide which
: rules can be mentioned.

Who is suddenly objecting to the talk of legal CB? Another fabrication in your mind . Oh btw while were at it is this one of your helpful *2* post per 2 months. LOL

: Nothing new, really. They try their best to control this group... but
: without success.

I beg to differ.

: They will not stop me posting on this group, neither will they control
: what I post.

No one is trying to stop you, unlike your group trying to silence the anti Illegal Cbers. No one wants to control what you post you should do that, since you have shown you cannot, you need to work on it. Having Aaron or anyone for that matter in your killfile (allegedly), then replying to what they say via someone elses post is like not having them killfiled. If you truly cared about not wanting to hear or see what he says you would have ignored his comments, but you showed no self control and replied anyhow. Frank pointed out the hypocrisy and i agreed with him now go have a spot of tea and go cry your brummy eyes awash.

1301. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:47:52 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1r2cnawZYe_WDU-gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143837

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqsv3iio1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...

: >> Seems like you do it all the time LOL

: The "Antikey Clowns" prefer trolling and arguing.
: They just cannot get their head around the idea of being helpful.

: Poor chap is obsessed., he follows us around...

Who is us? I don't follow you anywhere brummy! I have no desire to be like
you.
Take notice I don't reply to your posts, save for the other day, who is
obsessed with who

: looking for helpful posts to whine about.

Sounds like your whining.

: Is two posts, over two months apart really "all the time"?

All the time mean would mean whenever you post, "you do it all the time."
Way to pull a twistedhed and try to distort what was said into your own
meaning. btw you said you have only made 2 post the past 2 months? Funny
google says otherwise

Nah, it's just his obsession.
:
:
:
: Regards,
:
: Peter.
:
:

1302. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:50:08 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CMCcncRZVahPDU-gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143838

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd25e12.930539@news.cet.com...

: >>
: >> Amazing! Even when you have him blocked you STILL can't exercise
: >> enough self-control to ignore him! You don't need a killfile, you need
: >> a psychiatrist!
: >
: >
: >Another HYPOCRITE.
: >I thought you blocked me... your filter still doesn't work.
:
: Free Agent doesn't have a killfile, you dope! My 'filter' is my own
: brain. And when I read your prayer to Voobner I must admit that I
: couldn't resist pointing out your obsession. BTW, you have said
: several times that you have ME in YOUR killfile. LOL!
:

Frank that simple statement by you struck a nerve with him, and he is again replying to people in his killfile.

1303. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 17:55:54 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uyOdnWZNJMKwD0-gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143839

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message :

: Another HYPOCRITE.
: I thought you blocked me... your filter still doesn't work.

LOL I guess your the other one huh LOL

: Anyway, if you can let go of your anger long enough to think straight, you
: will realise that I was just posting correct information about CB rules.
: Someone posted incorrect information, so I have posted the correct facts.

Someone? you can say his name it is Aaron right? he is in your kill file as well. This just proves how kill filters are somewhat impotent as well all it takes is 1 reply and that person post is now seen by you.
btw I thought i was killfiled? I have been using the same email since you said I was kill filed 2x this past week please make up your mind. Or is it selective?

: What is it about those facts that upsets you?

Probably none of them except for the fact you are replying to something a person in your killfile said. Then what good is the killfile and bragging about it if you continue to comment and read what that person says. You say one thing and do another. It seems to have gotten you in a tizzy as well good man.

: They are totally ON TOPIC, not an argument... just facts. I thought you
: was keen on legal information being posted here.
:
: I know, I said what CAN be done... not what cannot. Too positive... huh.
:
:
:
: Brainbuster.
:
:

1304. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 18:18:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD29787.61B5D5C9@cwis.net>
Source record: 143840

WG wrote:
"The word I have heard is that it is already in the works
for a wide-band satellite down link."

You're kidding! A down-link? That's got to be
one of the worst ideas yet...
'Doc

1305. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 18:23:32 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92C56A124757Errcbstrawinskinet@63.107.123.66>
Source record: 143857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in news:20021112172745.571
$Pv@newsreader.com:

> I have a 26MB mpeg of the 68 Charger I sold..anyone want to host it?
>

26MB?!! Wow. I'll host it.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

1306. Re: Svetlana Fun...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 18:34:08 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DD29B20.E7413E8F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143841

Nothing wrong with economy when it works well. The Dentron GLA-1000
family of amplifiers made FCC legal spec as sold stock. We do not directly
compare them to high priced power tubes.

First off, the Dentron shipped with D50 type tubes in place, not the 6LQ6
which is one of a few possible drop in replacements at reduced ratings. The
original D50 tubes were spec for the amplifier.

While the Svetlana Bulletin is a start, it is not complete and should require
a rewrite. Much of the text information is dated or not so good. I directly
address many of the missing issues in my revised Dentron GLA1000
text on the htpp://sonic.ucdavis.edu server Amateur Radio Page.

Svetlana has as track record of problems, some business, some technical.
Hence we do not see them nearly as active in the US. Those issues are
for another time...

Notice the Svetlana data sheet says the EL-509 and the 6kg6 are
interchangeable. The 6kg6 was designed as a horizontal sweep tube,
the EL-509 has listed rf applications, yet they are the same basic
tube. Also notice how they use the mentioned tube in a grounded
grid linear rf amplifier.

It just depends on how you look at the end result

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <20021031094739.01276.00001709@mb-mk.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com10-4
> (Mister T) wrote:
>
> >with all the sweep tube shit you been alking about lately i thought you'd like
> >to read this. i bet skips done a few of these mods in his day.
> >
> >http://www.svetlana.com/docs/TechBulletins/technoteNO.32.html
> >
> >
>
> Excellent page, Tim! Thanks! I especially like the intro:
>
> "... The 6LQ6 was not designed for linear amplifier service and, of
> course, it was no match for popular high-priced power tubes, such as
> the 3-500Z. The application of sweep tubes in amateur radio linear
> amplifiers was clearly an engineering compromise dictated by economy."

1307. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 19:12:00 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3dd2a42a.44828106@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 143842

On 8 Nov 2002 17:33:32 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote:

> The only
>chanel that seems to work is channel 6.

Ahhh, yes. Even when you have bad coax, you can still hear the
Superbowl of 11 meters.

Scott

1308. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 19:22:49 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <4y-dnSVnVsrkO0-gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143843

> > In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.

> Not when fed with an unbalanced feedline and no balun.

Then it's not a dipole anymore.

There's an interesting evolution, if you compare dipole, "ground plane", Discone, and horn antennas. Not a whole lot of difference between any two steps, but they are all different antennas.

1309. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 19:29:38 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <pMidnXnqM72PNU-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 143844

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16796-3DD28130-4@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
>>Also, do not attempt to read the swr with the >linear turned on, this
>>will give a false reading >because the linear will drive up the swr by
>>itself.

>Isn't something wrong if that happens? SWR shouldn't change because of a
>power increase.

That's right. All you're reading is the ratio of forward to reflected
voltage, and that ratio only changes with power if something is going wrong.
Like arcing in the matching coil, for instance.
Or maybe the SWR meter is hosed. It dosen't change with power on my Bird.

>Actually the antenna should be tuned using a >MFJ analyser and dont pay
attention to the >swr once the system is buttoned up unless it's
>extremely high which would indicate a >different problem altogether.

If you were using a tuner, this would be right, because the tuner would
present a conjugate match.
If not, you'll want to tune the antenna for the best radiated power, which
might not present the lowest SWR.

1310. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 19:51:30 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <63yA9.11686$hK4.1117092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 143845

Hams shouldn't get angry about your post, especially when you can pick up a 3-500Z amp such as the Drake L4 for only 500 or 600 dollars. This amp, of course, covers all of the amateur bands. I am not sure why folks seem to think that the ham community has a problem with CB folks. We were once exclusively on the CB freqs, and many of us still are (me included).
You will probably have to do something about that price, if you really want to sell it!

Pete KE9OA

1311. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 20:10:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <FkyA9.1977$r85.30504230@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143846

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqsv3nio4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Why are they suddenly objecting to the posting of LEGAL information
> about CB? They must think that they own the group and decide which
> rules can be mentioned.
>
> Nothing new, really. They try their best to control this group... but
> without success.
> They will not stop me posting on this group, neither will they control
> what I post.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>

Have at it Brain, enjoy.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1312. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 20:10:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <FkyA9.1976$r85.30504230@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143847

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:aqsv3qio5@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "DogFacedGremlin" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:YuucnbYn5fIBWVKgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> > news:aqnn9r$p92$4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > :
> > : Hi, replying to Aarons comments through your
> > : post... coz I have him blocked...
> >
> > Why? Why block him then reply to him via someone else.
>
>
> Read it again.
> I was replying to the "comments", not to Aaron himself... but to
> the WHOLE GROUP.
>
> Are you really that stupid, you cannot understand?
> Let me put this in simple language for you...
>
> I read a post from RICHARD CRANIUM.
> In that post I saw incorrect information.
> I had a choice...
> Ignore it and let everyone believe the
> false information,
> or
> Post the correct information.
>
> This is a NEWSGROUP, so, I posted the correct information.
> Quite simple really.
>
>
> > is your name landshark,
>
>

Watch out for George Peter, he has Landshark envy <G>
Can't you tell by the way he talks so much about me.

> Do you have a problem reading?
> Look at the name at the bottom of my posts. If you still
> need an answer to that question... go back to school.
>
>

> > if you really wanted him blocked you would not tak to him
>
>
> Can you not get your head around the difference between e-mail
> and newsgroups?
>
> This is a PUBLIC forum. Messages posted here are PUBLIC,
> for anyone reading them.
> If I was sending a message to Aagent Aaron, it would be by e-mail.
>
> You can whine, squirm and seethe all you like... but I will
> post messages whenever I wish to.
> If that doesn't suit you... then block me - I don't keep changing
> my header.
>

You noticed that too. He and others complain about
a lot of people, but they themselves do not block those
people they complain about, talk about two faced.
Others have kill files, but some so want to be "heard"
they go around changing their screen nic so that can happen.
Again, they don't won't you to be seen, but they want to
be heard.

>
> Brainbuster.
>
>

1313. Re: Hypocrites galore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 20:11:31 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jDOdnYV70eFrLE-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143848

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021113122917.151$kG:
>
: >> I did? Funny i don't think so his name is thrown around this group as
: >> the
: > culprit. I think some others have slipped when accusing others of being
: > him. Power of persuasion is powerful huh.
: >
: No,you slipped.

How is it a slip,you want to think I slipped with something, if thats the case oh yeah I did slip. How's that. I make like 50,000 ng post and all of a sudden I slipped LOL ok Steve

1314. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 20:20:43 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <vuyA9.2066$Ad5.30827902@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 143849

"Pete KE9OA" <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:63yA9.11686$hK4.1117092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hams shouldn't get angry about your post, especially when you can pick up
a
> 3-500Z amp such as the Drake L4 for only 500 or 600 dollars. This amp, of
> course, covers all of the amateur bands. I am not sure why folks seem to
> think that the ham community has a problem with CB folks. We were once
> exclusively on the CB freqs, and many of us still are (me included).
> You will probably have to do something about that price, if you really
want
> to sell it!
>
> Pete KE9OA
>
>

Good for you Pete! But you haven't been on this group
for very long I guess. There are certain troll like individuals
that have taken it upon themselves to be enforcers for the
FCC here. As such they constantly complain that if you
put up an ad like this "here" it is the wrong forum and as such
you are obviously trying to sell it to cb'ers. Also some of these self
proclaimed vigilantes are hams with a chip on their shoulder and some that
don't even use a cb or are even ham operators whine about these things. So,
most Hams aren't
even concerned with the CB bands, but in this group you
will find a large majority of hams, wannabe hams, that have
nothing better to do than "Troll" while some Hams here are
only concerned with "their spectrum " of activity.

Just watch what kind of responses this post will get,
you'll see what I mean.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

1315. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 20:52:43 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <dge5tuomj41t13kbfmomk1umjh7ccdr28s@4ax.com>
Source record: 143850

On 13 Nov 2002 13:38:04 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:

>Bingo! I think you hit the nail on the head. First, the K-40 is mounted on the
>rear bumper of my van. The whip doesnt make it over the raised roof. Second,
>I have it hooked up to a Texas Star DX 400. Do you think I fried the
>capacitor? What should I do?

Honestly?

A K-40 mounted this way is not much of an improvement over a dummy
load. A shorter antenna magnetically mounted on the roof would
actually work better.

As far as a DX-400 ? I am not familiar with this but I wouldn't put
more than 200 watts through a K-40

P.S. Your K-40 is probably not fried. It takes a continuous key up
of power to heat the capacitor up enough for it to pop apart.

1316. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 21:13:16 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aquf2c$d39em$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143851

I only tune the antenna to the center of the bandwidth which in this case
would be 27.205Mhz. and let the swr fall where it may, if nothing else
was wrong the swr would be lowest at this point if the transceiver was
tuned to 27.205Mhz. and remain relatively flat at either end of the band.
His problem is not antenna length but rather antenna location, i believe we
agree here.
I'm sure your familiar with SWR versus Power Loss and the fact that
SWR is directly related to Impedance, having said that we know that
(The Source will not deliver maximum Power to the the Load until the
Impedance of the Load mathes the Impedance of the Source).
also known as the Conjugate match (courtesy of Mr. VanHorn)

--
Mad Dog
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:pMidnXnqM72PNU-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:16796-3DD28130-4@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
> >>Also, do not attempt to read the swr with the >linear turned on, this
> >>will give a false reading >because the linear will drive up the swr by
> >>itself.
>
> >Isn't something wrong if that happens? SWR shouldn't change because of a
> >power increase.
>
> That's right. All you're reading is the ratio of forward to reflected
> voltage, and that ratio only changes with power if something is going
wrong.
> Like arcing in the matching coil, for instance.
> Or maybe the SWR meter is hosed. It dosen't change with power on my
Bird.
>
>
> >Actually the antenna should be tuned using a >MFJ analyser and dont pay
> attention to the >swr once the system is buttoned up unless it's
> >extremely high which would indicate a >different problem altogether.
>
> If you were using a tuner, this would be right, because the tuner would
> present a conjugate match.
> If not, you'll want to tune the antenna for the best radiated power, which
> might not present the lowest SWR.
>

>

1317. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 21:19:46 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bQednYWrhcVoXE-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143852

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113083804.22500.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com...
: Bingo! I think you hit the nail on the head. First, the K-40 is mounted
on the
: rear bumper of my van. The whip doesnt make it over the raised roof.
Second,
: I have it hooked up to a Texas Star DX 400. Do you think I fried the
: capacitor? What should I do?

If your mounting an antenna to the bumper try a 102" whip. at least you can get it up higher than the k40, if not go with a wilson 1000 mag mount on the roof that is your best bet. They aren't that tall

1318. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 21:33:43 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7uicnbHoKe-nWE-gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143853

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:FkyA9.1976

: You noticed that too. He and others complain about
: a lot of people, but they themselves do not block those
: people they complain about, talk about two faced.

Your right I am being a hypocrite and saying someone is blocked then repond to their post via another. I know you know all about this first hand it was one of your specialties. No being 2 faced about it I have never said you are blocked then respond to you thru anothers post. Either they are blocked or they are not. Which is it. That is the just it If I odn't like what someone says I don't have to respond I can keep myself from replying to those I don't wish to Take note with Nobrainbuster i havent replied to his posts in quite sometime, that is my choice not any filters choice I am my own filter.

: Others have kill files, but some so want to be "heard"
: they go around changing their screen nic so that can happen.

Again another Landshark myth, soon as someone who doesn't have the person blocked replys to them now they are heard so filters are basically useless. But you can think your so important that I want you to hear me LOL. Am I aaron or dwight this week

: Again, they don't won't you to be seen, but they want to be heard.

No where was that said at all? A Lie on your part Landwhale, if you have someone kill filled and brag about it then don't reply to what they have to say no matter what they say all that shows is your a hypocrite and have no self control. You did block them for a reason right?
Btw , you are the one who complains to ISP's so my faggy friend you are indeed the one who wants to silence others so they are not heard. Oh Ill bet all the anti illegals Cbers were gone don't you so you can go back to trolling the NG like you have used to.

1319. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 21:36:59 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lqGdnV1yZc9jWE-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 143854

: "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message

: > They will not stop me posting on this group, neither will they control
: > what I post.

Nah your Isp's TOS Control that.

1320. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 22:06:01 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aquicb$dt0u4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143855

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:cirA9.40955$U93.3298427@news2.telusplanet.net...
> The word I have heard is that it is already in the works for a wide-band
> satellite down link. That is all they will have to do is ban the sale of
new
> ones and sit back for 10 years. Then ban the use for another 3 to 5 years
> and track down the offenders and seize the equipment. It will take about
20
> years to clean up the 11 meter band but they can do it and most likely
will
> unless CBers can prove that they have a useful reason, not available in
any
> other part of the spectrum for taking up that much space.

I would say that it will probably take a lot longer than 20 years to get rid
of all CB's. Do you think that any business is willing to wait even 20
years to use the spectrum they jsut bought?

Tom

1321. Re: galaxy saturn s-meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 22:16:58 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aquj0t$dhtcr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143856

"Kennydownsouth" <kennydownsouth@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113035705.20852.00000158@mb-df.aol.com...
> i need an s-meter for a galaxy saturn but it looks like several models of
> galaxies will work .....anybody looking to sell one e-mail me at
> kennydownsouth@aol.com with price ...or tell me where i can order one
> ....thanks

How big a meter does it take? I've got several s-meters I've pulled from
old CB's.

Tom

1322. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 22:55:43 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LcKcnVm2qv7vRU-gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 143858

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aquicb$dt0u4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

: I would say that it will probably take a lot longer than 20 years to get
rid
: of all CB's. Do you think that any business is willing to wait even 20
: years to use the spectrum they jsut bought?
:
: Tom

Tom, no need to wait 20 years, make the change and enforce violators pretty
simple concept.

1323. SuperStar 6 band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 23:44:03 UTC
From: Packrat<antispam> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ut5ou4mf6ngq17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143859

Selling radio SuperStar 6 band AM/FM/USB/LSB for 85 plus shipping

Check out http://www.n7uic.net/stuff/superstar_index.html for pictures and frequency range.

Thanks,
Packrat
spam@n7uic.net

1324. Re: The Frankie Babble Chronicle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 23:53:22 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DD2E5F2.BC20D0D5@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143861

Frank, ... or sparky, What ever news group ID your using this week. You probably win the contest for shear bulk poop posting in so many threads. So lets go through some of your book theory rants here and deal with them in the real world. I posted a quite lengthy reply once before, but somehow it went poof. So I'm willing to give it another go. After this follow up, I plan to limit my replies to you as you must be on your pooter all day long to type in your mistakes..

: "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote: (a lot of babble)

> In <aqe9ru$bg8$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp
> <frankieAvoidstheissues@yahoo.com> wrote:
> <snip issues addressed below>
> >The two Motorola amplifier models I provided are legal for operation
> >within the 25-50MHz range. The fact that you can not verify their
> >legality is of little concern to me.
>
> The equipment authorizations for those amps have expired, or did you
> forget about that little detail?

No detail required... authorizations for new hardware construction maybe. As originally certified for the applied service, type accepted equipment legally installed and operated should be just fine... and legal to remain in service. The responsibility for legal operation is the duty of the licensee. Until I hear otherwise from the FCC, I'll continue to call it as I see it.

> <snip>
> >I have an actual FCC Radio Service: IB License license in my hand, against
> >my better judgement, I posted the actual FCC file number in that thread
> >just to get you to stop wasting so much bandwitch spazzing about it...
> >didn't do much good... since you can't find it on line, you quicly claim
> >it doesn't exist or is not possible.
>
> No, I said that the license can't be located by the file number and I
> asked for the callsign. Again, what's the callsign, Skippy?

Well Frankie I was reluctant to give out the file number, I'm certainly not going to post the call sign on a news group. I'm able to look up files on the FCC pages, I found yours... Forget trusting the web for the final word, the paperwork matters. I have the truth in hard copy and I'm fine

with it.

> > Hard copies are the bottom line
> >frankie...
>
> And like I said before, I don't have a hard copy. As far as I know you
> are looking at a sheet of toilet paper.

So you would then look at the FCC web page and pronounce me a liar because you can't find the magic IB number online; despite the many times I've mentioned
that the FCC interchanges IB with the IG designation on their web page. Nothing
will ever make you happy. If I posted a copy of an actual FCC License somewhere,
you'd claim it was altered. So forget about it Frank.. I have the truth in hard copy here and nothing will ever make you happy about it. Radio Service IB is alive and well and currently licensed.

> <snip>
> >I actually have a lot more background in the area than you could ever hope
> >to achieve Frankie...
>
> Alright, you asked for it. Time for the list:
>

At last, Frank goes for it... Let's have some fun now...

>
> 1. You specifically said that the 6146 was used as a sweep tube, but
> when faced with the challenge of finding the make and model you
> backtracked and said this:
>
> >No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
> >were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
> >oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

Pretty good cut and paste from two different posts. You failed to mention the second sentence was from a much earlier post and it's original complete context. In that thread I re-posted someone else's previous text and had no reason to doubt his statement that the 6146 was used in a Heathkit Project TV. Why not, the tube would work just peachy in the application. No one gets hurt, no real bad information gets out the door. The point it proves to me is that one should never assume blanket statements from others without the proper homework first..

You however mentioned in more than one post, that the grid of an rf amplifier tube can be tied to the plate for triode mode operation. A big, big, big boo-boo. The result of your posts would cause harm to the tube and possible harm to the circuit and the operator as the amplifier melts down big time.

> which demonstrated your ignorance of the subject. You didn't know

> where the 'sweep' came from in 'sweep tube': I had to explain that

> they were named because they were designed specifically for use as
> horizontal deflection amplifiers, i.e, television 'sweep' amplifiers.
>

Sure I do... but you like reading your own news group theory posts so
you can feel good about yourself... I don't choose to write a book chapter
history so you can play with formal name labels. A hard line label
history adds little to technical details.

>
> 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the
> amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
> to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
> wasn't operating as a triode.

Again, just because I or others don't choose to jump through your name
all list, doesn't prove anything. You jumped back and forth from cathode
driven to grid driven circuits so much, I had a hard time trying to figure
out what point you were trying to make. When I called you on it, you
danced and sang the "conventional" and "classic" label blues. It's quite
clear to most experienced amplifier people that AB1 and AB2 g-grid
amplifier circuits are for the most part, built along two different circuit
layouts.

You claimed that triode amplification factor was listed in your book
as "u" and that tetrode/pentodes were rated in transconductance Gm.
Some how you failed to mention triodes also have transconductance, so
now we can say that "you didn't know it". Get off the "you didn't know
it" because "you didn't mention it" nit pick... you waste a lot of time.

>
> 3. To defend your remark that a triode-connected sweep tube wasn't
> operating as a triode, you tossed out the term "reverse screen
> current", totally ignoring the fact that reverse screen current occurs
> because of secondary emission from the plate, a problem which doesn't
> exist in a sweep tube because of the beam deflection plates AND
> because the screen is grounded for operation as a TRIODE, which cannot
> have ANY screen current, forward OR reverse.

Pretty good try at turning things around Frankie... but again no cigar. At
no time did I ever associate reverse screen current with triode operation.
You do a pretty good job of making this stuff up as you go along..
By the way, when I mentioned for you to personally relax and "hold your
reverse screen current", you claimed it didn't exist. Didn't you write
something along the lines of "now there's a new one"..?

It appears to us that at that time, you appeared to know nothing about
reverse screen current in tetrodes. In follow up posts, I mentioned that
you might go research reverse screen current. At no time did I ever mention
reverse screen current in triodes or sweep tube circuits Frankie...
cute but no cigar.

> 4. You didn't know where the 'beam' in 'beam tube' came from, but
> instead thought it was synonymous with 'power tube'. In fact, you
> specifically stated that "the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in
> the generic sense serve as a 'sweep' tube", which propted me to
> explain that the 'beam' tube is a subcategory of 'power' tubes, and
> the 'sweep' tube is an application-specific power tube, be it a beam
> tube or otherwise.

Not quite, but your kind of hard to follow sometimes as your posts tend
to wander off the main topic quite a bit. When talking specific about rf
amplifiers, I do tack on the entire proper label of beam power tube. No
foul should be called.

If you ever update your electronics back ground to include current technology,

you'd understand the Sweep Tube Label can be applied to a family or group of
similar tubes.

The 6KG6 was probably designed for horizontal deflection "sweep" circuits, a
drop in EL-509 direct replacement was never designed for TV circuits, but
has direct rf amplifier applications. I have no problem calling the EL-509
a Sweep Tube as it walks and talks the part very well. In short, they
directly
interchange or are considered the same tube.

Multiple examples of Sweep Type Tubes or the easier named (by most
people) Sweep Tubes never designed or applied to Horizontal "TV" or
deflection circuits exist. Go ahead and stick your head in the sand over a
dated formal label. Most of the rest of the world has no problem using the
Sweep Tube as a generic type label, it fits very well.

>
> 5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how
> it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the
> relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.
>

You just love to see your own writing Frankie... Actually, you want to
play up the distortion value to say sweep tubes are unusable in linear
rf amplifiers. I claim they are quite usable and the distortion is enough
to disqualify sweep tubes in the application. The big picture is what
goes out the pipe (antenna connector). Many an FCC legal sweep
to amplifier made it to the market through the years.

> 6. You have shown many times that you didn't even know the difference
> between Class AB1 and AB2 operation, the difference being that AB1
> draws no grid current while AB2 does. In fact, while reading through
> the previous posts, it seems that you were under the impression that
> AB1 meant common-cathode while AB2 meant grounded-grid. For example,
> while discussing neutralization, you state that it is "not required
> in a properly designed AB2 amplifier", which is totally disrepsective
> of the fact that an AB2 amplifier can be common-cathode, and therefore
> require neutralization.

Man you are long winded... Not quite Frankie... I was trying to nail you down a few times to see if you knew the major differences in AB1 and AB2 circuit layouts and their specific operational considerations. But you danced and sang more than you provided specific examples.

In the real amplifier world Frankie... AB1 is common cathode circuit layouts and AB2 is a grounded grid circuit. AB2 is also possible in common cathode circuits, but we almost never see AB1 operation in grounded grid circuits.

Don't play around with parts of sentences Frankie... I try to be clear and include the term, well built "AB2 Grounded Grid" circuits should not require neutralization. The issue is to include grounded grid which you conveniently left off.

> 7. You have the mistaken belief that the 'flyweel effect' of a tank
> circuit is a cure-all for non-linearity in a linear amplifier, when in
> fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
> to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
> undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
> current distortion.

Wow, you are a piece of work frankie... somehow you're going to find a text where I say "cure-all"..? I don't think so. Actually to detail out the issue, the input tank circuit flywheel helps reduce "half cycle loading", with resultant IMD benefit. One must always keep in mind that the drive is actually applied across the cathode and grid. Inclusion of a AB2 cathode tuned input tank circuit, Q of 2 is almost a must to achieve good IMD performance. In your verbose analysis of that KLV brand amplifier.... you called the tuned input coil a choke or shunt. You can't even call them when you see them Frankie'boy.

I explained to you that the conduction angle for AB* operation is about 210 degrees standard to be called "AB mode". The amount of distortion present does not disqualify Sweep Type Tubes and many Sweep Tubes from linear applications, nor does the distortion prevent the conduction angle from reaching ~210 degrees. Plain fact, jack...

> 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
> ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.

Sure I do, but you never bothered to mention ac or dc paths until I pointed it out. There are different types of grounded grid circuit layouts. Some only play well with specific geometry types of tubes as built. You don't appear to know the difference between them without looking at a book.

> 9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity
> detectors" (your own terminology), and saying that ALC circuits are
> "bad news" because of their "time constants". Of course I set the

Nice try Frankie (or Sparky) , I referred to the Galaxy Sweep Tube linearity circuit and possibly the Collins Linearity circuit and the fact that some ALC circuits are built along similar circuit layouts. No big deal really, but

ALC circuits are for the most part, not great poop prevention circuits, nor
should they be relied upon. Due to time constants and delays, the ALC
loop reacts after the poop has left the building.

> record straight that ALC circuits are basically RF compressors which
> require attack and decay time constants, and are so used in the radios
> you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC
> circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective
> use in amplifiers and other circuits.

It's poor operating practice to assume the ALC loop will react fast
enough. Couple the ALC action delay time with any amount of speech
processing and that much more extra dB's of poop are already out the
door before the circuit reacts. For a measurable amount of time, the
amplifier is overdriven resulting in crap production. ALC is dated
technology, modern radios have power level controls which still can't be
trusted without testing. One recent generation of Japan made HF radios
is know to output very large rf spikes. A review of that topic thread
can be found at the www.contesting.com achives. You are just
plain out of touch with the real world.

>
> 10. You continue to claim that sweep tube amps meet or exceed FCC
> specifications, yet you never state those specifications or the
> conditions under which the amplifiers passed, you never post any noise
> figures, and you never make any comparisons to amps that use RF power
> tubes.

I don't compare them to specific RF power designed tubes, in amplifiers
for the most part. The proof was in the many FCC legal Amateur Amplifiers
produced and sold throughout the years. I ran across some notes the
other day from a four hole sweep tube amplifier I tested some years ago
which in the Amateur application had spurious products better than 32 decibels

below peak power of a two tone test signal. The even order products
were dealt with higher Q tuned circuits, the odd order products were not
a significant problem. T'was a good sweep tube circuit...

> 11. You clearly do not understand the cause of parasitic oscillations,
> stating that it is due to the nature of a tube, and that the 6146 and
> 4CX250 were 'seriously' prone to VHF parasitics. I needed to explain
> to you that they are caused by resonant conditions within the circuit,
> not the tube itself. I also explained why sweep tubes are NOT immune
> from parasitics because of their poor VHF response as you claimed.

You clearly need to get a new book Frankie... Parasitic issues are
both a function of the tube construction and the circuit. There are well
known histories of grounded grid amplifiers which have horrible parasitic
problems based directly on both the applied tube's VHF gain and adjacent
circuit vhf paths. Tubes like the 8877 are actually engineered and
constructed to avoid vhf parasitic problems.

The 6146 and 4cx250 family of tubes require serious parasitic prevention
"circuit practices" (as do many others). Other tubes (8877) in rf circuits

don' have nearly the parasitic baggage concerns of the 6146/4cx250b by
design.

No tube is immune to parasitic... but the sweep tube in grounded grid
circuit will rarely, if ever "go there" in a well designed and built circuit.

> 12. You didn't realize that if a receiver can hear the background
> noise on a frequency that a pre-amplifier does not improve the
> signal-to-noise ratio at all, and will actually ADD to the noise with
> it's own circuitry.

So now you qualify your earlier statements by adding in "background noise".
Let's move past your diversion BS to state the facts. Not everyone has
a hot HF radio receiver, some of the newer generation radios are in fact
pretty
numb (I've measure a few Icom 706MkIIG radios, they are numb as heck
on the lower HF bands compared to other radios). Low noise fet preamps
are easy to make and apply; plus they are also low in parts cost.

I would be willing to say that many of the radios would benefit from the
inclusion of a simple auto tr switched low noise receive preamplifier in
the amplifier. So it couldn't hurt to go for it.. You've got to also hear
them to actually work'em
Companies like Sinclair, Telewave, Angle Linear, ARR sell thousands
of fet preamps. Most all the weak signal group members I know run new
radios, fet preamps and large amplifiers, and they use the whole package.

> 13. As stated before, you didn't understand why a grounded-grid
> amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
> screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
> amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where
> the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
> no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
> where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
> feedback!).

Here is where you really fall short Frankie... Go back and do the home
work. HF and MF frequency amplifiers have two resonance's IN THE
ANODE CIRCUIT, the VHF resonance is formed by the Anode-C, the
Anode leads, the dc blocker caps reactance, and the tune-C.

Grounded grid circuits benefit from shielding. You also failed to
mention electrode spacing in any of your posts so we just state that
" you don't understand it".

The non inverting nature of the layout at HF/MF a whole different
animal vs when your talking VHF stability response. You above
statement clearly indicate you have little actual knowledge or experience
in the subject. Hit the books Frankie, you fell flat on your face this
time.

> 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
> capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is

> grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
> characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
> might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
> that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
> tube for input.

Not... we are still talking grounded grid AB2 amplifiers. I try like heck to have you stay on a specific circuit... all you have to do Frankie is give us a clue as to what part of the book your reading from when you type it in...

Another trip and fall for you Frankie.. Let me state it again for you.

The Grounded Grid Amplifier have or include the advantages:

The output and input capacitance's of a stage are reduced to approximately one half the value which would be obtained if the same tubes were operated as a conventional neutralized amplifiers.

The feedback capacitance within the stage is the plate to cathode capacitance which is ordinarily very less than the grid to plate capacitance. Hence neutralization is ordinarily not required in the the HF region.

The tendency toward parasitic oscillation is greatly reduced since the shielding effect of the grid(s) are effective over a broad range of frequencies.

> 15. You stated that "exciter feed through power of the example AB2
> grounded grid amplifier is a big time 'freebie' you don't see in AB1
> circuits", which only reinforces my theory that you really don't
> understand amplifier classes of operation. Grounded-grid amps require
> drive power because the input is the cathode, which must conduct if
> the tube is to work at all. Input power of a grounded-grid amplifier
> is always carried to the plate regardless of class of amplification,
> and regardless of grid-current.

You try and make some type of point from the wrong direction Frank. Let's be clear about this, not how you like to read into things. Feedthrough power is a benefit of the grounded grid rf amplifier operating condition.

Actually he label is a bit mis leading (but you knew that of course)... the drive power appears in the g-grid plate load circuit after being converted to a varying dc plate potential effectively in series with the main HV power supply.

HERE'S THE BIG ONE FOR YOU FRANKIE...! CHECK IT OUT.

The converted drive power serves a useful function in linear service because It Swamps Out The Undesirable Effects of Nonlinear Grid Loading

.... and presents a reasonably constant load to the exciter.

Ta'da..! Frankie...! and how would you like your crow served today...?

> 16. You claimed that grid-current distortion only occurs in a
> grid-driven circuit. Of course that's not true, as grid-current
> distortion occurs whenever grid current occurs, regardless of whether
> it's grounded-grid or common-cathode.

See the above for more crow Frank... perhaps some wine with your bird..?

> 17. You claimed that putting a resistor in series with the cathode of
> a grounded-grid amplifier will improve linearity, when in fact it does
> nothing but reduce the output power and dissipate part of the input
> power. I also pointed out how cathode resistors are commonly used to
> improve the linearity of a common-cathode amplifier, but not for RF
> amplifiers because it would unground the cathode. Such practice is an
> audio technique that you mistakenly applied to an RF application, and
> also to the wrong type of amplifier.

You are wrong crow breath... at least in this post you have properly
written the statement as "resistor in series with the cathode". Now
go back to your basic Electronics 101 book and look up "degeneration".

The 10 ohm cathode resistors I mention in my Dentron GLA-1000
update actually deal with a couple of concerns. First, they provide
degeneration to the circuit which improves linearity... Fact.

Second, they provide a measure of both ac and dc balance to paralleled
g-grid tubes.

Third, they act as very practical and cheap series fuses when a tube shorts,
glitches or fails. No Mr Audio Breath... it's not my idea... I lifted the
knowledge
from a Collins grounded grid amateur amplifier diagram I saw many years ago.

You appear to be clue less on the subject.

>
> 18. You stated that voltage equalization networks are "dated
> technology", claiming that almost no amplifiers use them anymore. This
> is not only a slap in the face of good engineering practices, but also
> flows against basic semiconductor theory: Exceed the PIV and the
> rectifier may fail. Regardless, most amplifier manufacturers do not
> use series connected rectifiers, opting instead for a single rectifier
> of the proper voltage rating (which of course doesn't need a voltage
> equalization network because one rectifier is not multiple rectifiers
> in series).

Old bad habits die hard and you are a good example of dated knowledge
Frankie... In short, the significant past issues with silicon diode mfgr
which brought about your happy equalization circuits are now for the
most part gone in modern power diodes.

At one time it was common to care for good reason, those reasons are
now long gone for the most part. Lose the rectifier eq networks... in
a different thread, I'll explain to you how they can now do more bad
than good vs leaving them out. Don't take my word for it Frank, look

at newer examples... Alpha Power, Ameritron etc...

> 19. You were totally unaware that the mic gain is the power control
> for an SSB radio, showing a gross misunderstanding of the principles
> of SSB modulation.

You really are out there Frankie... the mic gain on a typical Kenwood
TS-430s is not a true power control, whereas the Kenwood TS-940s
has a true power control.

The TS-430s is only a mic gain adjustment, not a power control. This is
a big issue when trying to avoid over driving and amplifier. You missed
the boat Frank.

> 20. You claimed that the interelectrode capacitances of a sweep tube
> are "easily dealt with", yet on the Dentron you failed to recognize an
> input capacitor used to compensate for the input capacitance of the
> tube, calling it one leg of a pi tank. In fact, you don't know the
> difference between a pi-tank and an L-pad, frequently using the term
> "pi-L" to denote something as yet unidentified.

At 28.5 MHz Frank, the tube Cin is often large enough to be the pi
output cap of the input circuit. In some cases, its so large, you have
to try other "non pi" inputs. In the Dentron GLA retrofit, I use a T input
>24MHz to deal with the large Tube Cin.

Pi-L from me would most often be applied to the output tank circuit only.

You called the tuned circuit inductor of the KLV amplifier and choke or
shunt. You simply didn't know what you were looking at.

> 21. You mentioned one of the drawbacks of running AB1 was dumping
> power into the swamping resistor, which means that you have no idea
> that a swamping resistor is used to reduce grid-current distortion. Of
> course you can't have grid-current distortion in AB1. And you also
> didn't realize that a low-impedance tuned input is far superior to a
> swamping resistor (when running AB2) because of the negligible power
> loss, and because it performs better at maintaining linearity of the
> signal under grid current transistions.

Man, you really go all over the place with each post... OK, let's have at.

First, there is a whole science unto itself when talking about grid driven
AB1 resistor - input circuit layouts and choices. You don't have any
knowledge market cornered Frankie.

In an AB1 circuit, the swamping resistor value choice is made using a number
of parameters better reviewed in a separate thread. You love your grid
current distortion safe house don't cha Frankie..? At this late date in the
game, I would feel pretty good writing that grid current distortion is not
really
on the radar map when choosing the swamping resistor value. In short, AB1
operation by definition has no control grid current flow. Simple enough...

When the grid driven circuit (one must note the difference vs g-grid/cathode driven) operates AB2; the swamping resistor value or "composite" grid circuit uses conventional design guidelines (way to complicated to detail here). The fly in you using only a low impedance tuned circuit only is the question of unconditional stability in high gain operation.

A better idea would be to consider a combination of both using the conventional
guidelines detailed in ARRL Handbooks. Your probably right, I must not have known that because otherwise I would have mentioned it somewhere... oh wait a minute..! I think there's a 4cx250b circuit on the sonic server that has an actual circuit diagram of the layout I mention above. Sorry if it's only
been available for 5 plus years Frank.

> 22. You haven't explained why grid-current distortion is "not an
> issue" with "most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers". In
> fact, you haven't even explained once what makes a "properly designed"
> amp. On the contrary, I have explained many times why AB2 IS a problem
> when the amplifier uses sweep tubes.

See the above under your number 15 babble Frank, it pretty much lays out the facts. Plus I offered you wine with your crow... ... and I did state that my Dentron GLA-1000 update text has a few good ideas on how one might design a good amplifier. Pick a tube Frankie, we'll rock and roll from your choice and build a big Boobner Special... or we can build your grid to the plate model and bask in the heat and flash of melting glass.

> 23. Whenever you don't know something you make up vague and
> nonsensical terms like "classic", "linearity detector", "passive gain
> operation", "traditional potentials", "beam power application", or the
> use of the term "low-mu" to designate a triode-connected sweep tube
> that doesn't work like a triode (and you still haven't explained how
> it works if not like a triode).

Linearity Detector... See the Collins and Galaxy brand amplifier diagrams on the sonic server. It's all there in simple english...

Passive Gain Operation: Pentode and tetrode grids in grounded grid amplifiers operation which contribute no active signal gain , but by their physical location and construction act as an electrostatic shield between the grid and plate... of course that includes the consequence that the pesty grid to plate capacitance is reduced.

Traditional Potentials: In a grounded grid sweep tube amplifier... HV on the

anode, a negative low impedance dc bias supply for #1 control grid.

Beam Power Application: Not sure where your going with it... but in my opinion, Sweep Beam Power tubes can make really nice, modest power level linear rf amplifiers. The best you can do is to agree to disagree.

Low Mu to designate a triode connected sweep tube... sure: All three grids tied to both dc and signal ground... A previously seen and elsewhere applied layout scheme which can lead to dangerous values of grid and or screen current.

Compared to many triode grounded grid AB2 amplifiers, the sweep tubes grounded grids screening or shielding action and consequential reduced grid to plate capacitance give it pretty good VHF stability.

In the VHF (parasitic problem) region, triodes with significant "hot" VHF gain operate have increased circuit stability concerns when compared to a grounded grid tetrode or pentode. Amplifiers such as the Kenwood TL-922 were pulled from the market for just such reasons although they'll never admit it.

> Who's the one lacking in RF theory and experience, Skippy? It ain't
> me, that's for DAMN sure!

Still got your potty mouth Frank... nothing we can do about it here except scold you about it. Anyway, enjoy your crow... and I hope the cabernet was the proper year.

Get some newer books, the ones your using in your posts are dated as is your actual knowledge of rf amplifiers. Maybe next time...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1325. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-13 23:57:39 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TFBA9.340100$Fw2.9876921@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143860

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:4y-dnSVnVsrkO0-gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
>
> > > In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.
>
> > Not when fed with an unbalanced feedline and no balun.
>
> Then it's not a dipole anymore.

So, a dipole fed with an unbalanced feeline is not a dipole? That's
interesting. Hate to sound like Frank, but can you quote some reference to
back your stance on this?

>
> There's an interesting evolution, if you compare dipole, "ground plane",
> Discone, and horn antennas. Not a whole lot of difference between any two
> steps, but they are all different antennas.
>
>

1326. Re: Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 00:12:40 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113191240.16896.00000216@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 143862

The Mark 4 and the 4a are the same radio.What they did was correct a problem they had with the mark 4 pll chip.They also corrected the way the wires run under the chip and a voltage change.Most Mark 4's were sent back to the factory for the change and came back with 4a plates on it.

1327. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 00:14:05 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hVBA9.11586$II.6679@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 143863

I can hear the supper bowel with no antenna or even when clicked over to my dummy load.

Dave

"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message news:3dd2a42a.44828106@news.verizon.net...
> On 8 Nov 2002 17:33:32 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote:
>
> > The only
> >chanel that seems to work is channel 6.
>
> Ahhh, yes. Even when you have bad coax, you can still hear the
> Superbowl of 11 meters.
>
> Scott

1328. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:09:19 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <2d2dneYJlqcwak-gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143864

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:TFBA9.340100$Fw2.9876921@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:4y-dnSVnVsrkO0-gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > > > In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.
> >
> > > Not when fed with an unbalanced feedline and no balun.
> >
> > Then it's not a dipole anymore.
>
> So, a dipole fed with an unbalanced feeline is not a dipole? That's
> interesting. Hate to sound like Frank, but can you quote some reference to
> back your stance on this?

The dipole is a balanced antenna.
http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/0,,sid9_gci214149,00.html
http://www.cqcqcq.com/baluns.html
http://www.hard-core-dx.com/nordicdx/antenna/feed/balun2.html
http://www.sandiego.edu/~ekim/e171f00/lab6.pdf

A dipole isn't the same as a ground plane, nor the same as a bi-conical,
although they are similar in form.

I'm not suggesting that the antenna actually changes per se, but that a
dipole fed unbalanced does not act the same as a dipole fed balanced, and
that a dipole is designed to be fed balanced.
Unbalanced with a choke isn't the same as balanced.

> >
> > There's an interesting evolution, if you compare dipole, "ground plane",
> > Discone, and horn antennas. Not a whole lot of difference between any
two
> > steps, but they are all different antennas.

I should have stuck the bi-conical in there too, but I'm not sure if it
properly would fit between dipole and ground plane, or discone and horn.

1329. WTD Moonraker 4 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:12:52 UTC
From: Ray Quinn <quinnray@hotmail.takemeout.com>
Message-ID: <oMCA9.12185$cP3.23083@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 143865

Anyone know where I can get a moonraker 4 antenna ?
US or Europe.
TIA<
Ray.

1330. RE: Browning Mark Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:14:15 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aqv02j$1ndb$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 143866

THANKS for all the replies.. I appreciate it.

E: MAIL: TECH@DP.NET

1331. Re: NY Observations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:23:05 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD2FB27.2DE62D4@optonline.net>
Source record: 143867

hitler used the word hate...want to reconsider??????

Dave or Debby wrote:

> I KNEW there was another reason WHY I hated NY ! Sorry Ice Man; we HATE NY
> ! Lack of CB's there !
> Dave!!
>
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3DD0605B.3095DA04@optonline.net...
> > Its 2030 and the band is quiet. figured i'd hear something on the 40 but
> > nothing. some guy up in bridgeport yakking but thats it. cb is dead in
> > this area with 10 million people packed in around here....
> > freeband obviously dies when the sun sinks so its basically a wasteland
> > on 11 meters. no wonder telecomm companies look to see what freqs are
> > available to exploit for their next frontier...cb is a prime area for
> > making money as well as the upper and lower freqs outside the designated
> > 'legal ones'.
> > eventually its going to happen like it or not. what makes more money
> > (and its sad) selling radio gear and the gov getting the taxes or the
> > gov leasing it to xyz corporation for beaucoup bux?????
> > besides the 'amateur' bands aren't in better shape as they will shrink
> > as the old white guy fades to oblivion and their political strength
> > fades like an 11 year cycle coming to an end....
> >
> > i've been baggin' this ng for the better part of 8 years so its not like
> > i'm a newbie......
> > 73, jim kp920...
> >
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1332. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:30:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <x0DA9.320188$8o3.9600036@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143868

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:2d2dneYJlqcwak-gXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:TFBA9.340100$Fw2.9876921@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> > news:4y-dnSVnVsrkO0-gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > > In a dipole, the other side is driven, not passive.
> > >
> > > > > > Not when fed with an unbalanced feedline and no balun.
> > >
> > > > Then it's not a dipole anymore.
> >
> > So, a dipole fed with an unbalanced feeline is not a dipole? That's
> > interesting. Hate to sound like Frank, but can you quote some reference
to
> > back your stance on this?
>
> The dipole is a balanced antenna.
> http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/0,,sid9_gci214149,00.html
> http://www.cqcqcq.com/baluns.html
> http://www.hard-core-dx.com/nordicdx/antenna/feed/balun2.html
> http://www.sandiego.edu/~ekim/e171f00/lab6.pdf
>
>
> A dipole isn't the same as a ground plane, nor the same as a bi-conical,
> although they are similar in form.
>
> I'm not suggesting that the antenna actually changes per se, but that a
> dipole fed unbalanced does not act the same as a dipole fed balanced, and
> that a dipole is designed to be fed balanced.
> Unbalanced with a choke isn't the same as balanced.
>

Thank you. Yes, some efficiency is lost, but as a cheap, alternative
antenna, all is not lost. And yes, dipoles do work better with baluns, but
it is not a neccesity, and many use "dipoles" without them. It is still a
dipole, no matter what the feedline configuration. That is the point I was
trying to make.

>
>

>
>
>
>
> > >
> > > There's an interesting evolution, if you compare dipole, "ground
plane",
> > > Discone, and horn antennas. Not a whole lot of difference between any
> two
> > > steps, but they are all different antennas.
>
> I should have stuck the bi-conical in there too, but I'm not sure if it
> properly would fit between dipole and ground plane, or discone and horn.
>
>
>

1333. Re: The Frankie Babble Chronicle addition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:44:56 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD30017.75E262CE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143869

Text in my response below diatribe #5 frankie question should
actually read:

> I claim they are quite usable and the distortion is not enough
> to disqualify sweep tubes in the application. The big picture is what
> goes out the pipe (antenna connector). Many an FCC legal sweep
> tube amplifier made it to the market through the years.

1334. Frankie' G nit pic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:48:13 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD300DD.F9FDC9D9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143870

>
> In <aqrcge$k1o$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, piper
> <frankieappearslost@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Well... I posted a nice reply to all the below poopy frank trys to throw
> >out, but I don't see it on the thread or in the news group. It takes a
> >bit if time to type it all out, so watch for a replacement follow up. Back
> >after this commercial message...
> >skipp

Check your reverse screen current again mr broadcast. I replied to your
long winded rant...
s

1335. Re: Hey Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:58:55 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3035F.C09C2385@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143871

Your not very nice Frankie... such bad language.

Funny, but don't feel exposed... actually, your
boat is sinking fast Frank. I'm not able to park myself
on the net as you appear to do for hours to generate
hate posts across the news group(s). You win on the
matter of shear volume of posts.

I'd still like you to address the grid tied to the plate
triode mode comments you made in two or more posts. We'd
all like to see anything technical you've done, articles...
text, web pages... anything frankie..? I even said
please and offered up web space for you to at least be
able to "get it up" one more time in your life.

Now go check for hair loss... we'll still be here
when you get back.

skipp

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3dcdca95.16070121@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >...if you are interested in the Swan....
>
> I should have said, "...if you WERE interested in the Swan..." -- it's
> already sold.
>
> And since you brought up the subject of hiding, why is it that I can
> post my real name in a newsgroup that you call my "hideout", yet you
> can't post YOUR name in ANY newsgroup? You aren't hiding, are you?
>
> Come to think of it, what makes you crawl out from under your rock
> just after Skippy gets exposed for being a hack? Mere coincidence? If
> you have plans to pick the remains of Skippy's shattered ego out of
> the mud then by all means give it your best try. Otherwise, go back to
> freebanding while waving the American flag, you pseudo-patriotic twit.

1336. Landshark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 01:59:25 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u1ydnTd5VJUdnk6gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143872

Will you please tell skipp to stop chaning screen nics to by pass my NG filters. lOl he keeps changing names. booo hoooo

1337. Re: Mopar (was NY Observations)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:05:33 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD30517.173ABA0A@optonline.net>
Source record: 143873

taken to email........

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > after market is prime....850's??? jeez does it go harumph when ya step on
> > it?????
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > > > i wish...learned what is was like to drive through cabbage fields
> > > > though hehehe carbs sucked...
> > > >
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> 1970 dodge coronet 440 w/ tow package. what a beast to learn how
> > > > > >> to
> > > > > > drive as a first car...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Stick?
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > I just put a new edlebrock torker,*AND* a Demon 850 double pump on my
> > > Belvedere 440 this summer.
> > >
> > First Demon carb I've owned,more $ than a Holley,but it's all billet
> > aluminum..even rhe metering blocks.
> >
> > Nice carbs.
> >
> > Yehaaaa!!
> >
> It gets 4-6 mile per gallon,and at 10.5/1 compression I run sunoco 94.
>
> I built it to run on pump fuel. I only put about 1000 miles a year on it
> though..so fuel is the cheap part.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1338. Channel mod for Galaxy 2547, please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:16:33 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113211633.11850.00000017@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 143874

Looking for the channel mod for this unit.
I was told about a site(rogerbirdradiomods.com), but I may have miss spelled
or don't have something right on the address.
If you can help me out, that would be great...
Please contact me direct at tramd300@aol.com

Thank you...

1339. Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:26:36 UTC
From: DutchMastahKillah <nodissin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 143875

This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by the FCC!
At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB Channel 28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?

CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled for behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
The FCC totally ignores these!
(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled "visits")

Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on other CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.

Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
Linears are nothing but JUNK!!

SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.

So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on the radio.

People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some dumbass yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
When do they YELL?
When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?

Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers, so is that van with the antennas on the roof!
Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and whatever else is "in the way"!
So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!

I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap" on the radio.

I despise these linears on CB!
What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the RF power they can generate.
Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!

I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with welfare and food stamps.
A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere , BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned up so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a profile!

This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and others who are talking local.

I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out of band just to get away from the "crap" either!
I applaude and salute them!
I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the 40 Channels that still exist!

And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city or the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
Even if REACT is there!
Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!

Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in that agency!
A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be mandatory!!!!!
NO EXCEPTIONS!!
NO WHINERS!!
NO MORE PROBLEMS!!

1340. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:28:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <xJCdnV9ZCMill06gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143876

> Thank you. Yes, some efficiency is lost, but as a cheap, alternative
> antenna, all is not lost. And yes, dipoles do work better with baluns, but
> it is not a neccesity, and many use "dipoles" without them. It is still a
> dipole, no matter what the feedline configuration. That is the point I was
> trying to make.

It's only a dipole if you feed it from a balanced source. Otherwise, it's a monopole with a passive counterpoise. The wires don't change, but what happens around them does change.

Just about any damn thing will radiate somewhat, if you connect it to a signal source, and if you bend it around enough, you can usually make a decent impedance match to a feedline. That dosen't make it right.

1341. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:29:25 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <j8ucneTfDe_ul06gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143877

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hVBA9.11586$II.6679@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I can hear the supper bowel with no antenna or even when clicked over to
my
> dummy load.
>
> Dave

But do you blame the dog when it finally "propagates"?

1342. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:37:27 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113213727.22421.00000386@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 143878

Hmmm there isnt much I can do with a magnet mount on the roof. Its fiberglass. However a 102" whip in the K-40 load may work since it will make it over the roof. I will try all the advice given to me from this group. Thank you.

1343. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:41:11 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021113214111.27575.00000397@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 143879

go cry some where else ...do the letters FU mean any thing >Subject: Dumb Ass
on 27.285
>From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
>Date: 11/13/02 9:26 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
>
>This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by the
>FCC!
>At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
>Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB Channel
>28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
>WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
>
>CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled for
>behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
>And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
>All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
>The FCC totally ignores these!
>(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled "visits")
>
>Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on other
>CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
>Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
>
>Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
>Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
>Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
>
>SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
>You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
>
>So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on the
>radio.
>
>People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some dumbass
>yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
>Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
>When do they YELL?
>When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
>
>Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers, so
>is that van with the antennas on the roof!
>Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
>You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child

>support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
>excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
>whatever else is "in the way"!
>So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
>
>I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap" on
>the radio.
>
>I despise these linears on CB!
>What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the RF
>power they can generate.
>Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
>These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
>
>I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
>welfare and food stamps.
>A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
>radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere ,
>BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned up
>so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a profile!
>
>This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
>others who are talking local.
>
>I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out of
>band just to get away from the "crap" either!
>I applaude and salute them!
>I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the 40
>Channels that still exist!
>
>And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
>If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city or
>the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
>Even if REACT is there!
>Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
>If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
>
>Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in that
>agency!
>A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
>Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
>And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
>mandatory!!!!!
>NO EXCEPTIONS!!
>NO WHINERS!!
>NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
>
>
>
>

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

1344. Re: WTD Moonraker 4 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:42:46 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021113214246.27575.00000399@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 143880

i have one ..it,s like brand new $100 ..i,m in Maryland

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

1345. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:53:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211131853.4be0a343@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143881

"Pete KE9OA" <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<63yA9.11686$hK4.1117092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Hams shouldn't get angry about your post, especially when you can pick up a
> 3-500Z amp such as the Drake L4 for only 500 or 600 dollars. This amp, of
> course, covers all of the amateur bands. I am not sure why folks seem to
> think that the ham community has a problem with CB folks. We were once
> exclusively on the CB freqs, and many of us still are (me included).
> You will probably have to do something about that price, if you really want
> to sell it!
>
> Pete KE9OA

actually pete.......the cb community does not have a problem with the
ham community.......what we on this newsgroup have a problem with are
these pinheaded terminal technician class hams who get on here whining
about dotting every i and crossing every t when it comes to fcc rules
and regs.....that is what we have a problem with...i can not say that
i speak for the group in any way shape or form, but this crap has been
going on now for about 3 years and it is getting tiresome. this
newsgroup used to be a cool place to hang out and do some
discussing......and arguing of course, but it was cber arguing against
cber and not like it is now...........this one guy who i think is a
ham named george busch from phili...........on here constantly
agitating and arguing at the drop of a hat and changing screen nics
every day almost, what a idiot.......and............aaron h
voobner.....aka dwight stewart......constantly arguing and whining
about laws and regulations..........hams like jerry oxendine
complaining on here about truck drivers etc, etc......talking on 10
meters.......like we have anything to do with that...........hams like
doc pretending to be helpful but giving out bad information and not
really discussing anything of importance and acting like we, ourselves
are nothing more than a bunch of mudducks........man i tell
ya........you guys police yourselves???? ok do some policing on
here........pete,,........go over to ham radio policy and bring some
help and run off these punks...pretty please.................

the big cheeser jus got down....

1346. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 02:57:10 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211131857.4976f1c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143882

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7722-3DD26129-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >As opposed to guys like you who think that >they should be allowed to
> do whatever they >want, whenever they want, and to whomever >they want,
> and the hell with other people's >rights?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> YOUR words.
>
>
>
>
> > That's a great way to run a civilized >society........
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> What the hell would you know about a civilized society? You were in
> business with a sex perv...and knowingly so.

hey twist.......is dave's handle...'sandbagger'... on his ten meter
radio while he is operating in the freeband area????

1347. cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 03:51:04 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ut67br58t38f80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143883

CB is alive and well in NYC! And while I respect Jim's expertise there are a few things he has missed.
In NYC at least once a week a big truck hops on the parkway and gets stuck at the first overpass. Without cb's that would jump to atleast 2 a day. NYC would come to a screaching halt.
Some channels are used for recievers to communicate with trucks waiting to unload and are kept mostly quite out of common decency. Recieving depts learned to stay away from ch 6 and 11.
Trucking is THE lifeblood of the economy and cb's help the industry greatly. A cb is a tool that helps truckers accomplish their jobs beter and safer. Ham radio is a toy...nothing more.
Industry is mostly interested in data throughput for wireless WAN's and LAN's and that means higher freq's. 27mhz is quite safe. Companies are about as interested in 27mhz as buying antique 300 baud modems for dialup.
Hams may know more about rf then cb'ers but for the most part are ignorant of trucking and our economy and how they are related and how essential cb's are for the industry. Every train and mass transit system could brak down and it would be an inconvienence. A general truck strike would reduce the US economy to that of Bengladesh within a week.
The cb spectrum is quite safe.
Sincerely
Ken

1348. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 04:08:53 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211132008.64a1ef98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143884

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD24C94.5D1@worldlynx.net>...
> > walking naked in public,
>
> Could be offensive to certain people

Tough, let 'em move to a Muslim country.
>
> > saying "fuck" on TV
>
> Sends a message that moral character and civilized behavior are not
> important in our society.

Eddie Murphy & Robin Williams would sharply disagree. See above
>
> > & DUI sans accidents should be LEGAL
>
> Driving under the influence impares judgement and reduces reaction time.

Blah, blah, blah... SANS ACCIDENTS, dummy. If they wipe out, throw the
book at 'em.
>
> As opposed to guys like you who think that they should be allowed to do
> whatever they want, whenever they want, and to whomever they want, and
> the hell with other people's rights?
>
> That's a great way to run a civilized society........

Hmm... maybe I should become a lawyer & go out threatening innocent
keyclowns with imprisonment. Oh yeah, that's REAL civilized... these
SOBs should be stripped of their right to practice for 5 years, and
see how they like it.

Guy

1349. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 04:16:28 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wsFA9.349328$121.9759973@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 143885

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:xJCdnV9ZCMill06gXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
>
> > Thank you. Yes, some efficiency is lost, but as a cheap, alternative
> > antenna, all is not lost. And yes, dipoles do work better with baluns,
but
> > it is not a neccesity, and many use "dipoles" without them. It is still
a
> > dipole, no matter what the feedline configuration. That is the point I
was
> > trying to make.
>
> It's only a dipole if you feed it from a balanced source. Otherwise, it's
a
> monopole with a passive counterpoise. The wires don't change, but what
> happens around them does change.
>

You really are lost, aren't you? Now you say that the feedline changes the
type of antenna. You've contradicted yourself way too much in this thread.

> Just about any damn thing will radiate somewhat, if you connect it to a
> signal source, and if you bend it around enough, you can usually make a
> decent impedance match to a feedline. That dosen't make it right.
>
>
>

1350. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 04:32:57 UTC
From: Cris <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com>
Message-ID: <ge96tucd32hkvesd0hob33u9nh8tj91b98@4ax.com>
Source record: 143886

On 14 Nov 2002 02:41:11 GMT, lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou (Lowrider667)
wrote:

>>People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some dumbass
>>yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
>>Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
>>When do they YELL?
>>When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?

hmmm... I guess if I had priviledges to use a HF rig, I wouldn't have
the need to yell either.

>100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
>50 watts output on 70cm,
>10 watts output on 1.2 GHz

1351. Re: Dipole Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 04:43:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqv9li$dglig$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143887

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:wsFA9.349328$121.9759973@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> You really are lost, aren't you? Now you say that the feedline changes the
> type of antenna. You've contradicted yourself way too much in this thread.

I think he really means that it changes how that antenna works, but it
really does not change the type of antenna itself. He should have stopped
there.

Tom

1352. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 05:46:27 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD338B3.F47C0A83@bubbles.com>
Source record: 143888

Dave wrote:
>
> I can hear the supper bowel with no antenna or even when clicked over to my
> dummy load.

Do you get "supper bowel" before or after eating?

1353. cb manuals for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 06:45:27 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114014527.14825.00000011@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 143889

hi gang ;

i have a big pile of cb manuals for sale .

some old , some new, some copies , some originals .

also amp schematics .

e - mail me at wbelsito@aol.com

1354. Re: Pricings of a Harrior CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 07:43:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqvkl4$349$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143890

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021028190408.138$uv@newsreader.com...
> "RichardH" <Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk> wrote:
> >> Hi all,
> >
> > I am not into the CB Radio stuff myself but my uncle gave me one a few
> > years ago. I dont use it much because I dont have time.
> >
> > I am thinking of selling it for some cash (what can I say I am a
> > student, hehe).
> >
> > What kinda price should I sell it for either in the paper or ebay?
> >
> > It is a Harrior CB Radio, comes with main box, power supply unit, leads,
> > proper big mic thing, a wee box with a needle in it showing something
> > and the stand for an ariel (missing the long ariel bit).
> >
> > Many thanks for any info you guys can give me :).
> >
> > Mail me Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk
> >
> Can you post or email a pic of it? This isn't a binarie group,so you
> should link the pic to a free web site instead of posting it here.

Sorry for the late reply... I have just seen this post.

The Harrier is a UK CB on the old UK only frequencies.
It used the Cybernet board, and was sold by "Dixons" shops.

If the model is the "CB", then it is a basic, volume + squelch radio.
About £20 - £25
If it is the "CBX" then it has a few more controls.
About £25 - £30

Unless it has been fitted with both bands (UK + EU), in which case
you could add £10.
With the Power supply, add about £5... they are quite cheap new.

The "wee box" sounds like possibly a SWR meter... they are generally dirt
cheap new.

As for the "big mic thing" it depends upon which mic it is. They range in
price from a few quid upto stupid amounts for well known good mics. A
manufacturer and model name would help.

Regards,

Peter.

1355. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 07:43:59 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqvklh$349$6@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143891

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021112111631.21134.00004282@mb-fx.aol.com...
> peter you proper britts watch our american trash too? amazing

No worse than the USA buying Teletubbies... lmao.

Some of the wrestling events are held in the UK. From what my
Son says, I believe that there are probably two per year here.

Regards,

Peter.

1356. Re: Ol Buckaroo "223" in GA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 07:44:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqvklj$349$7@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143892

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17015-3DD10650-917@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net (Brainbuster)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:13329-3DCA93C0-72@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
> lacosanostra@rico.com (Don Corleone)
> >why not be legal and bump it up 5 kcs<
>
>
> Since when was 26.920 legal (assuming you meant to say khz)?
>
>
> >>Hi Twist.
> >>The term "Kcs" (Kilocycles) is often used this >>side of the pond. I
> don't know why some >>prefer to use that, rather than the usual >>"KHz".
> >>Regards,
> >>Peter.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Sup Brain!
> Makes sense.....I've heard it referred to as "Kc's" before but never
> realized it originated over there. Have a gooder!

I am not sure whether it was a British term, or just an old
international term. Maybe some of the "old boys" on the group
could tell us.

In the book "Radio Circuits and Data", printed from 1948
(my copy, May 1952), it uses the terms...
cps - Cycles Per Second
Kcs - KiloCycles
Mcs - MegaCycles

The terms "Hertz", "Khz" and "Mhz" do not seem to appear
anywhere in the book. Although it does state that American
and English standards are included in the book.

Regards,

Peter.

1357. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 07:44:04 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqvklm$349$8@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143893

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqrrvv$ck84r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aqq71n$sm6$2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > Can you borrow a "dummy load" from anyone?
> > If so, plug one into the SWR meter in place of the antenna.
>
> If he can't find one, he could build one from two 5W 100-Ohm resistors
> wired in parallel between the coax shield and center conductor. Should
> be able to handle the power out of a stock CB.

Absolutely.
If he feels up for a simple and cheap "home made" device, that
would certainly be useful to have around.

Regards,

Peter.

1358. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 07:44:07 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aqvkln$349$9@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143894

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqrrsu$d2it6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:aqq71p$sm6$3@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > Hi Tom.
> >
> > They can be useful, esp for design work... but a bit of an overkill for
> > a CBer, who just wants to connect up and talk. He really doesn't
> > need that much information... just if the antenna is set up right.
>
> Yes, I wouldn't suggest anyone going out and buying a $200-some analyzer
> just for one application, but if he knows someone who owns one he could
> possibly borrow it.

And maybe the owner to use it :~)

Such devices are great, as long as you understand how to use
them and what you are looking for.
I find it amazing how many CBers go out and by devices such as
oscilloscopes, when they have no idea what they are, what they
do, how to use them or how to use any readings found.

It reminds me of the thinking behind the "Millennium Dome". They did not
know why they were building it, what they would use it for, whether anyone
would use it, what they would fill it with... just that they wanted one.
It really did not surprise me that it failed.

> His best bet is to connect his power meter between the radio and a 50 Ohm
> dummy load and see what the forward power says.

Absolutely.
I have seen so many naff "tune-ups" that I would go for that first.

Regards,

Peter.

1359. Re: MURS Consumer Radio Manufacturers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 09:20:37 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <ut6qtmljm46i93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 143895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb

I just read on a site about MURS radios, Motorola and Tandy keep petitioning FCC to add more channels and then make MURS business use only. Motorola is also trying to get the FCC to change the rules so that the radios have the same kind of antennas as FRS radios. So that you can't use external antennas. Motorola already convinced FCC to change the rules so that MURS couldn't use repeaters anymore.
"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0206291618.e6b4775@posting.google.com...
> If the consumer(bubble-pack) radio manufacturers can get in the habit
> of providing external antenna connection provisions on handheld MURS
> units, these handheld units could serve double duty as walkie-talkies
> and mobile/base units(as you could hook it up to a mag-mount antenna
> when you got in the car, or to a rooftop high-gain antenna when you
> got home)... and this is where MURS can carve out a niche in the
> consumer radio market vs. FRS & GMRS/FRS combo radios (which by law
> can not have external antennas). Unfortunately, the first
> manufacturers attempting to market consumer MURS radios haven't
> figured this out yet. With external antennas, MURS has range (and
> marketing) advantages over integral-antenna FRS/GMRS radio... without
> external antenna provisions, MURS radios are actually at a marketing
> disadvantage due to the limited number of channels.
>
> Manufacturers: Don't try to treat the MURS market as just a VHF
> version of FRS... take FULL advantage of the fact that MURS allows
> external antennas... state the MURS range advantage right on the
> package... in fact, stating that MURS radios(with external antennas)
> allow 20+ mile range is no more of a lie than those who are currently
> stating that GMRS/FRS combo radios have up to a five mile range.
> Think about it, if you were a consumer, which radio would YOU buy -
> the one with up to five mile range, or the one with 20+ mile range?
>
> - horseshoe7, Stewart, N0MHS, E Pluribus Unum
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

1360. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 11:07:52 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aqvvv8$drrod$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143896

>100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
>50 watts output on 70cm,
>10 watts output on 1.2 GHz

That's what i'm talking about......
--
KG4LBD
aka 714 Sandpile
aka Mad Dog
"Cris" <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com> wrote in message
news:ge96tucd32hkvesd0hob33u9nh8tj91b98@4ax.com...
> On 14 Nov 2002 02:41:11 GMT, lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou (Lowrider667)
> wrote:
>
>
> >>People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
dumbass
> >>yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> >>Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> >>When do they YELL?
> >>When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
>
> hmmm... I guess if I had priviledges to use a HF rig, I wouldn't have
> the need to yell either.
>
> >100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
> >50 watts output on 70cm,
> >10 watts output on 1.2 GHz
>

1361. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 11:11:22 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ar005q$dmbml$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143897

You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter (lol)
--
Mad Dog
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113213727.22421.00000386@mb-cp.aol.com...
> Hmmm there isnt much I can do with a magnet mount on the roof. Its
fiberglass.
> However a 102" whip in the K-40 load may work since it will make it over
the
> roof. I will try all the advice given to me from this group. Thank you.

1362. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 1 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a592.32155017@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143898

Ok, you want to push the issue. Fine. Now I realize that your news
browser sucks, so I am going to number these posts, and only the last
one will be large as it is my reply for your redundant response.
Enjoy.

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>: The equipment authorizations for those amps have expired, or did you
>: forget about that little detail?
>
>Maybe for production of new units. It should not require the removal or
>replacement or application of exsisting legal equipment.

Take a look at Part 2 Subpart J:

=====
Sec. 2.927 Limitations on grants.

(a) A grant of equipment authorization is valid only when the FCC
Identifier is permanently affixed on the device and remains effective
until revoked or withdrawn, rescinded, surrendered, or a termination
date is otherwise established by the Commission.

Sec. 2.939 Revocation or withdrawal of equipment authorization.

(a) The Commission may revoke any equipment authorization:
....
(4) Because of conditions coming to the attention of the
Commission which would warrant it in refusing to grant an original
application.
=====

Now in case you have been in a cave the past few years, no amplifiers
between 24 and 35 MHz are being granted authorization because of the
following law:

=====
Sec. 2.815 External radio frequency power amplifiers.
(a) As used in this part, an external radio frequency power
amplifier is any device which, (1) when used in conjunction with a
radio transmitter as a signal source is capable of amplification of
that signal, and (2) is not an integral part of a radio transmitter as
manufactured.
(b) After April 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture, sell or

lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency

or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.
=====

Which means that any amplifier that can operate between 24 and 35 MHz has lost it's certification/type-acceptance.

As for your query to the FCC about modification of your amps, you will find the answer here:

2.932 Modification of equipment.
2.1043 Changes in certificated equipment.

In case you are too lazy to read them yourself, they clearly state that you can't change the frequency range (and still use them under the original equipment authorization) without an additional grant of authorization, and then only if you hold the original grant. You don't hold the original grant of equipment authorization for those amps, do you Skippy?

1363. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5b7.32191707@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143899

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>: The equipment authorizations for those amps have expired, or did you
>: forget about that little detail?
>
>Maybe for production of new units. It should not require the removal or
>replacement or application of exsisting legal equipment.
>
>: No, I said that the license can't be located by the file number and I
>: asked for the callsign. Again, what's the callsign, Skippy?
>
>Sorry if you can't look up a valid FCC file number Frankie... that's your
>problem.

By golly I CAN look up the file number! Right here:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/

So I input the file number you provided, "200001R435366", and it comes
back with:

"No matches found for the specified search criteria"

> Tell us the callsigns of the mystical broadcast station(s) you
>claim to work for..? You share and I'll share...

You already tried but failed miserably.

>:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...

Not if they can't be verified.

>: And like I said before, I don't have a hard copy. As far as I know you
>: are looking at a sheet of toilet paper.
>
>You only seem to verify things on the web Frankie, if IB is not on a web
>page somewhere, your still going to cry. Well, it's not on a web page,
>but it's clearly printed on the copy of the valid current license I have
>here. Go fish frankie...

Not only did you provide an invalid file number, but you flat out lied
about the IB service being current:

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Public_Notices/1997/da972216.html

There is no more IB service, Skippy. It was one of the many services that was consolidated into the new IG service pool back in 1997. And you knew that because a notice was sent out to all IB licensees. You are just full of lies, aren't you Skippy?

1364. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 3 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5bc.32196375@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143900

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 1. You specifically said that the 6146 was used as a sweep tube, but
>: when faced with the challenge of finding the make and model you
>: backtracked and said this:
>
>:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.
>
>Didn't we go through this once with you frankie..?
>You seem to have left out a bit and your good at specific sentence cut and
>pasting, leaving out the entire text as it suits your rant. Lets go
>through this Frankie. I reposted another persons text which included his
>statement about the 6146 tube being used as a sweep tube (possibly in the
>Heathkit project TVs), had no reason to sweat the claim. The tube would
>function in that capacity. Not a big deal or major technical error that I
>would sweat.
>
>So you try to be clever and first paste the "no television I've heard of
>uses the 6146...." which is a later seperate post followup
>clarifciation statement from the much earlier post text in your second
>line "There were some older televisions..." sentence. Out of order, out
>of context cut and paste that you almost got away with.

That was an exact quote from your very first response in this
discussion. Here is the complete post exactly as you wrote it just in
case you have any doubt:

=====
>Path: sn-us!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.
ucsd.edu!ucdavis!not-for-mail
>From: skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...
>Date: Fri, 18 Oct 2002 22:41:53 -0700
>Organization: University of California, Davis
>Lines: 43
>Message-ID: <3DB0F0A1.1C034DB7@hotmail.com>
>References: <194387a3.0210171929.6ba091f7@posting.google.com>
<aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu> <3db0a525.666734@news.cet.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: istf-1-62.ucdavis.edu
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Trace: woodrow.ucdavis.edu 1035005938 14922 169.237.16.62 (19 Oct 2002 05:38:58 GMT)
>X-Complaints-To: usenet@ucdavis.edu
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 Oct 2002 05:38:58 +0000 (UTC)
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
>X-Accept-Language: en
>Xref: sn-us rec.radio.cb:320572
>
>I'll gratis you an answer... because your post questions are stupid and
>should be
>corrected.
>
>Services are not allowed to manufacture and/or market amplifiers, your
>question
>is really stupid.
>
>If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
>search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit
>within
>the frequency range you list below. Last time I opened a radio industry
>magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry,
>TPL,
>Motorola and a few others also come to mind.
>
>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
>to
>show your ignorance.
>
>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>were
>some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>oscillator
>and or horizontal oscillator amplifier. Sweep tube is a label used to
>describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
>often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.
>
>Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
>
>s
=====

If you knew that the tube used for the "horizontal oscillator
amplifer" was the "sweep tube" then you wouldn't have contradicted
yourself in that post. The fact is that you didn't know that there is
no difference, and used your favorite buzzword "classic" to describe
the sweep tube. I especially like your line, "Horizontal oscillator /
amplifier was often the function of sweep and beam power tubes"
because not only is it 100% redundant, it reinforces the fact that you
didn't understand the definition of a 'beam' tube, either!

>The orginal post gets you to dance on the sweep tube labels, not big deal
>which was easily clarified. For some reason, you just love to go back to
>the same non issue in any combination of text you can constuct... Get on
>with something more worth while frankie... your banging your bald head
>into the same non issue wall.

Your technical ignorance is a very important issue, Skippy.

>: which demonstrated your ignorance of the subject. You didn't know
>: where the 'sweep' came from in 'sweep tube': I had to explain that
>: they were named because they were designed specifically for use as
>: horizontal deflection amplifiers, i.e, television 'sweep' amplifiers.
>
>Actually you were just trying to impress us with your desire to post a
>description. Until your dance and sing, no one was getting excited
>about it, nor had we asked for one. No one including myself claimed
>original sweep tubes were originally made for any other application. A
>near drop in replacement 8950 RF Power Tube was not specifially designed
>for use in any TV circuit. In the real world most people commonly refer
>describe the 8950 as a sweep tube because the label fits well, although
>in your anal book world not exactly true.

Call it whatever you want. The fact remains that the term "sweep tube"
is applied to tubes used for television 'sweep' circuits. If you can
find a TV that used the 8950 then I'll call it a sweep tube, too.

1365. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5bf.32200110@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143901

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the
>: amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
>: to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
>: wasn't operating as a triode.
>
>I know exactly what low and high mu describe... it has many potential sub
>topics when applied to RF amplifiers. Your book text posting wanders so
>much, I/we must be careful to nail you down to the specific issue. You
>also touted tetrode specs using Transconductance when in fact they also
>have mu ratings. Because you left it out, are we supposed to jump up and
>down to say you don't know anything about it... hardly.

As I said before, the amplification of a tetrode or pentode is
variable depending on the screen potential. When a tetrode or pentode
is rated by it's 'mu' it is under the condition that the tube is
operating as a triode. This should be obvious because the effective mu
of a tetrode/pentode operating as such is magnitudes larger than that
of a triode, yet when tetrodes and pentodes are rated by their mu it
is comparable with the mu of triodes. Check your datasheets if you
don't believe me. You do have datasheets, don't you? If not, try here:

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/index.html

>In specific to my post, certain tubes operate as low mu in grounded grid
>amplifiers, some act as higher mu tubes in similar g-grid operation.
>These are serious issues when designing grounded grid amplifiers with
>respect to dangerous amounts of G1 (grid 1) current and the various
>circuit layouts. You ran out of technical steam and didn't indicate you
>had more than your one book knowlege of the subject, so I just let it
>stop there.

That is totally ridiculous, as the mu of a tube has nothing to do with
how much grid current it is capable of handling!

>Applied Grounded Grid Pentodes and Tetrodes Amplifiers have complex sub
>topics which can be hashed over in seperate threads. I'm not about to
>launch you into another of your many off topic diversions. If you stick to
>one specific theme per rant, well go as far into detail as you would care
>to go. By your many previous examples, you just want to rehash a 6146
>sweep tube post label out of context; over and over and over and over...
>and over.

This is funny, Skippy. First you say that a triode-connected sweep tube is not operating as a triode in your "classic" grounded-grid amplifier, yet you don't want to discuss the "complex sub topics" of grounded-grid tetrodes and pentodes. I'm was not diverting the topic, I was trying to get YOU to say specifically what the topic IS, and you still haven't been able to do that because you don't have a clue as to what you are talking about!

1366. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5c3.32204065@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143902

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 3. To defend your remark that a triode-connected sweep tube wasn't
>: operating as a triode, you tossed out the term "reverse screen
>: current", totally ignoring the fact that reverse screen current occurs
>: because of secondary emission from the plate, a problem which doesn't
>: exist in a sweep tube because of the beam deflection plates AND
>: because the screen is grounded for operation as a TRIODE, which cannot
>: have ANY screen current, forward OR reverse.
>
>Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
>REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
>TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...

Oh, Skippy, how you lie:

=====
>Path: sn-us!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!canoe.uoregon.edu!news
feed.news.ucla.edu!ucdavis!not-for-mail
>From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: Re: Yeti question and answer time
>Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 11:04:55 -0800
>Organization: University of California, Davis
>Lines: 135
>Message-ID: <3DC17ED7.E2B8E4ED@yahoo.com>
>References: <3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com> <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
<3dc12e3b.5281478@news.cet.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: sophe.ucdavis.edu
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Trace: woodrow.ucdavis.edu 1036091490 15775 169.237.38.203 (31 Oct 2002 19:11:30 GMT)
>X-Complaints-To: usenet@ucdavis.edu
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 19:11:30 +0000 (UTC)
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.4.2-2 i686)
>X-Accept-Language: en
>Xref: sn-us rec.radio.cb:322582
>
> Frankie Boy "yeti@cet.com" wrote: goes off the deep end...
>
>> In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>>

>> <snip>
>> >Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
>> >MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
>> Well?
>>
>> It's obvious, even to the keyclowns, that you have run out of
>> arguments; so how about answering some of the questions you have been
>> stalling to answer? Seriously, I really think that you don't know shit
>> about amps, even "classic" grounded-grid amplifiers, and you are just
>> trying to BS your way out of this challenge. With all your vague
>> comments and weak redirections, it doesn't look like you know shit! So
>> come on, Skippy, tell us what a "classic" design entails, or how a
>>
>
>Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>excited
>about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
>
>
>> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>>
>
>Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>unnecessary.
>
>Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>
>What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>
>shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
<snip>
=====

Not only did you say that reverse screen current is a factor in your
"classic" grounded-grid amp, but that it actually is responsible for
reducing grid-plate capacitance, as well as grid shielding between the
cathode and plate (which you say is the reason grounded-grid amps
don't require neutralization).

First, as I have said many times but you fail to seek the truth for
yourself, neutralization is not required in a grounded-grid amp
because it's a non-inverting amp.

Second, grid shielding means absolutely nothing in a grounded-grid amplifier -- if it did, then your input signal would never get past the grid to the plate!

Third, Cgp never changes because it's a characteristic of the tube, not the circuit. The capacitance AT the grid can change by changing the circuit, but that's the INPUT capacitance, NOT Cgp! I have said that many times as well, and just like every other fact, you have failed to verify it for yourself.

>You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
>review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
>tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
>Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
>novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
>clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"

I never said it didn't exist. Here is what I DID say:

>"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
>"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!

What would you expect me to say? Maybe something like, "Oh, gee, that clears up just about everything! By golly, why didn't I think of using reverse screen current when the screen and supressor/beam deflection plates are grounded! Of course, that would make the triode-connected sweep tube not act like a triode at all! Now all you have to do is to figure out how to get the secondary emission from the plate to the screen past the supressor/beam deflection plates..."

Dumbass.

1367. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5c9.32210107@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143903

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 4. You didn't know where the 'beam' in 'beam tube' came from, but
>: instead thought it was synonymous with 'power tube'. In fact, you
>: specifically stated that "the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in
>: the generic sense serve as a 'sweep' tube", which propted me to
>: explain that the 'beam' tube is a subcategory of 'power' tubes, and
>: the 'sweep' tube is an application-specific power tube, be it a beam
>: tube or otherwise.
>
>Not true, but again cute assumption on your part... you threw out your
>typical batch of book text on beam tubes... talking about grid spacing
>and stopped when the book text ended.
>Since you quit at grid spacing, but left out space charge and secondary
>emission theory... using your mis-information tatics are to now assume
>you don't know anything about those subjects.

Because space charge occurs in a tetrode and pentode regardless of
whether it's a beam tube or not, which is why pentodes have a
supressor grid! Go review your vacuum tube fundamentals, Skippy!

> A more serious directly
>related issue with beam power tube missing from your post is any
>mention of electrode spacing. Electrode spacing is a serious property of
>the beam tubes. Somehow you've totally left that out of your posts... but
>again, you probably didn't know about it.

Do you mean the spacing between the electrodes, or the spacing of the
wires OF the electrode, or how the wires on the screen of a beam tube
are located directly behind the wires of the grid forming an electron
'beam'? Sorry, Skippy, I already covered all that. But there are
frequent examples where you use the terms 'beam' and 'power'
interchageably, demonstrating that you didn't know what makes a beam
tube different than any other power tube. Especially when you use
phrases like "beam power applications". LOL!

1368. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:31:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5ce.32214336@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143904

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how
>: it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the
>: relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.
>
>Only your assumption... It's becomes a minor issue in actual tube
>selection, if the choice is good enough to serve the desired application.
>Opinions on "good enough" do vary, the actual judgement call should made
>from measurements made on what come out the coax connector.

It's such a minor issue that manufacturers spent jillions of dollars
to develop power tubes designed specifically for smooth grid-current
transition in AB2 operation. Sure, Skippy, whatever you say.

1369. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5d1.32218126@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143905

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 6. You have shown many times that you didn't even know the difference
>: between Class AB1 and AB2 operation, the difference being that AB1
>: draws no grid current while AB2 does. In fact, while reading through
>
>Quite wrong of course... you jume back and forth from AB1 and AB2 which
>most often have different applied circuits. But you like to avoid my
>direct questions. In specific, I'm trying to get you to describe how a
>traditional AB2 circuits and AB1 circuit are different in application.
>
>: the previous posts, it seems that you were under the impression that
>
>You could directly ask me... but you don't.
>
>: AB1 meant common-cathode while AB2 meant grounded-grid. For example,
>: while discussing neutralization, you state that it is "not required
>: in a properly designed AB2 amplifier", which is totally disrepsective
>: of the fact that an AB2 amplifier can be common-cathode, and therefore
>: require neutralization.
>
>Since you don't appear to have much actual experience building rf
>amplifiers, let me give you the word...
>
>We are talking Grounded Grid amplifiers which are for the most part,
>always AB2 circuits in design and operation. You hint that AB1 operation
>is possible, whereas it might be with reduced drive levels... but I know
>of no purpose designed conventional grounded grid amplifier running AB1.
>Maybe you could give us an example of any purpose AB1 grounded grid
>amplifier you know about...? Any..? Traditional AB1 amplifiers are
>for the most part common cathode circuit layouts.

Since you don't have much education in electronics, let ME give YOU
the word: Although most grounded-grid amps may be AB2, that doesn't
necessarily mean AB2 is grounded-grid because there are many AB2
common-cathode amps! And guess what, Skippy? Those common-cathode amps
usually require neutralization! As usual, you don't know what you are
talking about.

> The original topic is
>Sweep Tube amplifiers in grounded grid operation, that is AB2.

The original topic was a couple of questions that you haven't been
able to answer. Beyond that, the topic has been about sweep tubes vs.

RF power tubes, and you have been trying to narrow that topic down to grounded-grid amps only, ignoring the fact that sweep tubes were used in many common-cathode applications.

1370. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5d6.32222410@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143906

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 7. You have the mistaken belief that the 'flyweel effect' of a tank
>: circuit is a cure-all for non-linearity in a linear amplifier, when in
>
>There is no cure all for non linearity. An input tank circuit should be
>considered a must have in all grounded grid amplifiers.

I agree. Why doesn't the GLA-1000 or the Palomar have one?

> Your happy grid
>linearity babble on is not the same linearity issue I address with the
>input tank circuit.
>
>: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
>: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
>: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
>: current distortion.
>
>Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
>Yep, greater than 180 degrees.

Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
lower power levels than high power peaks.

> The big picture here is the grid current
>distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
>Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
>grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.

Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
a kilowatt amplifier.

1371. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5da.32226804@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143908

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
>: ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.
>
>Seems to me, I brought that to your attention frankie...

Yes you did. You stated that a triode-connected grounded-grid sweep
tube doesn't work like a triode. You further demonstrated your
ignorance on the subject of grounding by bringing up the issue of
'reverse screen current'.

> you're
>again trying to turn a post around again. In specific, I stated there is
>more than one way to ground the required elements providing you understand
>basic AC and DC load lines.

I can't seem to find any post where you say that. Care to quote the
specific post? And what do you mean by "basic AC and DC load lines"?
You do know what a 'load line' is, don't you Skippy?

> It was clear to me that you don't have
>the proper background knowledge when you coughed and wheezed a batch of
>poop about my recomendation to include 10 ohm cathode lead resistors in
>my Dentron GLA-1000 update text.
>First off, you started out with a "grounding the cathode" rant, when I
>corrected your goof, you moved on or avoided your orignal mistake to say
>the resistor addition was stupid.
>Well, had you pulled your head out and done the proper homework, you've
>find the example amplifier linearity improves with the mentioned
>modification. But since you know feedback, degeneration and load lines so
>well, you can figure that out later.

I addressed that issue seperately. What does it have to do with the
grounding of a grid? Nothing. You are shifting topics, the exact same
thing you accuse ME of doing.

1372. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5e0.32232406@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143907

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity
>: detectors" (your own terminology),
>
>Come on now frankie... talk about text twist and dance moves... I
>referenced an example linearity detector shown in the Galaxy Sweep Tube HF
>amplifier text posted on the sonic server.

That's not a "linearity detector", and the article doesn't even call
it that. Your "linearity detector" is an AMPLITUDE COMPARATOR which
controls the bias regulator -- it's an ALC circuit, Skippy!

> I also mentioned its use in
>Collins amplifiers, though I don't believe that was in one of your
>threads. ALC circuits can be made using various type of sample circuits.
>Some alc circuits are not unlike the common linearity circuits found in
>the Galaxy Text. Regardless of the type, most ALC circuit operation is to
>little, too late.
>
>: and saying that ALC circuits are
>: "bad news" because of their "time constants". Of course I set the
>
>More of the older ALC circuits... some modern tranceivers approach
>practial responce time constants worth consideration.
>
>: record straight that ALC circuits are basically RF compressors which
>: require attack and decay time constants, and are so used in the radios
>
>Which are slow to react... once the distortion is on the air... its to
>late to do anything about it. ALC detector circuits come in all sizes,
>shapes and circuit layouts. You are again not specific... The function
>of a properly connected ALC circuit would be to act as a limiting
>control. RF compression is not such a good label frankie...

The acronym 'ALC' stands for Automatic Level Control which, for all
intents and purposes, is a compressor. The ALC is a circuit that
reduces the input or gain when the amplifier becomes overloaded. By
comparison, a limiter is basically a clipper, which necessarily causes
distortion.

As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.

>Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual circuit operation.

Yourself included.

>Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
>coax connector to the antenna.

See above.

>Depending on the ALC to throttle your tubes back results in much higher
>levels of initial pre alc action poop. You can hear that poop as buckshot
>up and down the band...

Yes you can if the amp is severly overdriven. Even an ALC has it's
limitations. But an RF limiter creates horrendous amounts of splatter
even at normal signal levels, just as if the amplifier were being
driven into saturation. That's why RF limiters are not used in power
amplifers, but ALC circuits are used instead.

>: you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC
>: circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective
>: use in amplifiers and other circuits.
>
>At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part.

It still does.

>Relying on it for rf compression is poorly applied technology. If you
>were to look at your signal before the ALC acts... much unwanted poop is
>generated. Especially in SSB operation with voice compression.

The 'compression' to which you refer is actually CLIPPING of the audio
peaks to prevent the amplifier from being driven into saturation on
those peaks. It's funny how you got 'clipping' and 'compression'
reversed!

1373. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5e4.32236965@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143909

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 10. You continue to claim that sweep tube amps meet or exceed FCC
>: specifications, yet you never state those specifications or the
>: conditions under which the amplifiers passed, you never post any noise
>: figures, and you never make any comparisons to amps that use RF power
>: tubes.
>
>Dont have to do it twice. Consult the LA-1000 or GLA-1000 owners manual
>for details.

It claims 30 dB down on IMD and 40 dB down on harmonics. It doesn't
mention the conditions under which those figures were achieved.
Regardless, those are piss-poor figures for a 1000 watt amp, since the
IMD alone puts out nearly 1 full watt:

=====
Sec. 97.307 Emission standards.
......
(d) The mean power of any spurious emission from a station
transmitter or external RF power amplifier transmitting on a frequency
below 30 MHz must not exceed 50 mW and must be at least 40 dB below
the mean power of the fundamental emission. For a transmitter of mean
power less than 5 W, the attenuation must be at least 30 dB. A
transmitter built before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before
January 1, 1978, is exempt from this requirement.
=====

> They are FCC legal amplifiers which is all that matters.

ONLY because of the exemption, NOT because of the RF performance of
the sweep tubes, which basically sucks.

> The
>FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.

And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.

> The mid 80's ARRL
>Handbooks show great examples of sweep tubes in rf amplications. I
>mentioned the Handbook references more than once, it's a waste of time to
>post redundant information.

And again you failed to mention that the vast majority of the
amplifiers in project manuals and ARRL handbooks use RF power tubes,
NOT sweep tubes.

1374. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 13 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5e8.32240480@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143910

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 11. You clearly do not understand the cause of parasitic oscillations,
>: stating that it is due to the nature of a tube, and that the 6146 and
>: 4CX250 were 'seriously' prone to VHF parasitics. I needed to explain
>: to you that they are caused by resonant conditions within the circuit,
>: not the tube itself. I also explained why sweep tubes are NOT immune
>: from parasitics because of their poor VHF response as you claimed.
>
>Not true... has a lot to do with the actual tube geometry and the
>actual circuit. Specific tubes require extra special care in
>amplifier circuits based on their internal geometry and VHF gain. Some
>tubes with high VHF gain don't have serious parasitic concerns, hence
>their placement into hf operation are not as circuit critical. The 8877
>is one such animal.
>
>The actual internal constuction of the tube matters very much. You are
>clearly wrong...

I was pointing out that your melodramatic concern about parasitics in
RF power tubes is unfounded, since the use of a simple parasitic
supressor is usually more than enough to prevent high-frequency
parasitics REGARDLESS of what type of tube is being used. With that in
mind, RF power tubes have no predisposition to parasitics as you
claim. The difference is in the circuit, NOT the tube!

1375. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 14 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5ec.32245039@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143911

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 12. You didn't realize that if a receiver can hear the background
>: noise on a frequency that a pre-amplifier does not improve the
>: signal-to-noise ratio at all, and will actually ADD to the noise with
>: it's own circuitry.
>
>Actually, my response was about your broad statement that using a
>fet preamplifier is useless.

You responded to a post where I critiqued the KLV, saying that it was
probably intended for the CB market, and....

"...with that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
nothing other than making the noise floor louder."

To which you replied:

>Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
>fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
>signal gain is greater
>than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
>if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
>overkill..?

And prompted me to simplify my statement so that even you could
understand that:

>If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
>floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
>fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
>but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!

So you see, it wasn't such a "broad" statement at all. My statement is
what is commonly referred to as a 'conditional statement', and was
quite specific.

> I don't agree... not everyone has a hot
>receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good thing
>and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as a
>firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios I've
>tried have pretty numb receivers.

Most CB radios (and by 'most' I mean just about every CB radio that
has ever been manufactured AND is working properly) have receiver
sensitivities in the range of 3 uV, which is plenty sensitive enough

to hear the background hash that pervades the band almost constantly. And knowing that the noise on the CB is much greater than many other bands, a pre-amplifier is, as I said before, practically useless. It does not improve the signal-to-noise ratio, and actually degrades it by it's insertion. Preamps are primarily intended for receivers with weak front ends, such as older radios and homebrew rigs. They really make the difference with those one-tube regen rigs, older SW radios, and even crystal radios. But all they do with a CB radio is make the noise louder.

1376. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 15 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5f0.32248829@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143912

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 13. As stated before, you didn't understand why a grounded-grid
>
>You are so bad at making things up out of context Frank.
>
>: amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
>: screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
>: amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where
>
>Not the entire case, only part of the master plan frankie... there's a
>list of grounded grid benefits.

Yes there are, but that's not the point. You claimed that
neutralization was not required because the grid was grounded and
screened the cathode from the plate. By that statement you proved that
you have no clue as to the purpose of neutralization, the cause of
regenerative feedback, or the operation of a grounded-grid amplifier.

>: the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
>: no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
>: where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
>: feedback!).
>
>You are a piece of work frankie... the vhf circuit which can sometimes
>support VHF ocillations is mainly determined by the Anode-C and the
>inductance of the conductors between the Anode and Tune-C. Your in phase
>statement is bogus at VHF where the parasitics often occur. Your
>post information is faulty.

Neutralization is NOT used to prevent parasitics, it's used to prevent
regenerative feedback from turning the amplifier into an oscillator on
the resonant frequency of the amplifier! By golly, you don't even know
how an oscillator works! Talk about bogus...!

1377. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 16 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5f3.32252124@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143913

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
>: capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is
>: grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
>: characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
>: might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
>: that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
>: tube for input.
>
>It's no mistake Frankie... look it up. Use the exact text I wrote so you
>don't twist in some misinformation your so good at doing. If you need some
>help, I can reference some text for you.

No help required. Here you go (again):

=====
>Path: sn-us!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!canoe.uoregon.edu!news
feed.news.ucla.edu!ucdavis!not-for-mail
>From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: Re: Yeti question and answer time
>Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 11:04:55 -0800
>Organization: University of California, Davis
>Lines: 135
>Message-ID: <3DC17ED7.E2B8E4ED@yahoo.com>
>References: <3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com> <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
<3dc12e3b.5281478@news.cet.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: sophe.ucdavis.edu
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Trace: woodrow.ucdavis.edu 1036091490 15775 169.237.38.203 (31 Oct 2002 19:11:30 GMT)
>X-Complaints-To: usenet@ucdavis.edu
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 Oct 2002 19:11:30 +0000 (UTC)
>X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.4.2-2 i686)
>X-Accept-Language: en
>Xref: sn-us rec.radio.cb:322582
>
> Frankie Boy "yeti@cet.com" wrote: goes off the deep end...
>
>> In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>>

>> <snip>
>> >Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
>> >MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
>> Well?
>>
>> It's obvious, even to the keyclowns, that you have run out of
>> arguments; so how about answering some of the questions you have been
>> stalling to answer? Seriously, I really think that you don't know shit
>> about amps, even "classic" grounded-grid amplifiers, and you are just
>> trying to BS your way out of this challenge. With all your vague
>> comments and weak redirections, it doesn't look like you know shit! So
>> come on, Skippy, tell us what a "classic" design entails, or how a
>>
>
>Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>excited
>about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
>
>
>> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>>
>
>Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>unnecessary.
>
>Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>
>What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>
>shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
<snip>
=====

There you go, your exact text. And in your exact text you describe a
number of misconceptions:

1. The screen grid does indeed shield the control grid from the plate,
but it does so ONLY when there is a signal on the grid to be shielded.
You don't have a signal on the grid of a grounded-grid amplifier.

2. The effect of adding a screen grid to a TRIODE reduces the
grid-to-plate capacitance of the tube. The Cgp of a tube DOES NOT
CHANGE because it's connected as a triode in a grounded-grid
amplifier! In fact, it effectively does the opposite....

3. Since your sweep tube is connected as a triode, the screen and supressor (G2 and G3) are grounded with G1, and all three become the EFFECTIVE grid. Therefore, the G1-to-plate capacitance is added to the G2- and G3-to-plate capacitances, making the EFFECTIVE grid-to-plate capacitance HIGHER than the Cgp of the tube. In fact, the EFFECTIVE Cgp is more along the lines of the Cgp of a TRIODE (which shouldn't be so suprising since the tube is connected and operated as a TRIODE)!

4. I don't know how many times I need to repeat this before you finally get a clue and look it up for yourself, but I'll do it one more time: a grounded-grid amplifier does not require neutralization simply because it is a non-inverting amplifier which causes no regenerative feedback requiring neutralization -- NOT because of reduced Cgp or 'grid shielding', which are properties of the tube regardless of whether it's used as a grounded-grid or common-cathode amplifier.

1378. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5f7.32255969@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143914

In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
<nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: 15. You stated that "exciter feed through power of the example AB2
>: grounded grid amplifier is a big time 'freebie' you don't see in AB1
>: circuits", which only reinforces my theory that you really don't
>: understand amplifier classes of operation. Grounded-grid amps require
>: drive power because the input is the cathode, which must conduct if
>: the tube is to work at all. Input power of a grounded-grid amplifier
>: is always carried to the plate regardless of class of amplification,
>: and regardless of grid-current.
>
>Once again Frankie... how many grounded grid AB1 amplifiers have you seen
>in use..? Don't modify my text as you go along in your rants to suit
>your current post needs.

I have had enough with your "cut-and-paste" bullshit, Skippy. NONE of
your quotes have EVER been modified, misquoted, pulled out of context,
edited (not even for spelling), or otherwise used for any other
purpose except for the meaning you intended. All my posts are on
google, and I challenge you to find even ONE example that backs up
your claim. Otherwise, quit lying about being misquoted.

> The predominant class of grounded grid
>amplifiers is AB2... exciter power is required and a portion shows up in
>the output as a freebie. Doesn't get any simpler, but you seen to want to
>give theory examples of amplifiers no one person is using. Earth to
>Frank, real world here... wake up..
>
>One last thing... your last statement is not so true... class AB1
>operation of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power.

By your statements above -- about how AB2 requires input power but AB1
does not -- it's clear that you think input power is required only
when grid current flows. You are very, very wrong, Skippy. ANY class
of grounded-grid amplifier consumes input power (meaning power from
the signal source). The input is on the cathode, and it is impossible
for the tube to conduct (and therefore amplify) if there is no cathode
current. Part of that cathode current MUST come from the signal source
because it is IMPOSSIBLE to impose an AC signal on the cathode without
AC current! Once again you demonstrate not only your ignorance on the
subject, but your total lack of willingness to verify the facts for
yourself.

>Got to go, back later to finish... love ya frankie,

I know.

> keep trying.
>skipp

Keep trying yourself. You might try to check the validity of your
statements before you demonstrate your ignorance even further by
continuing to defend them.

1379. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 18 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:32:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd3a5fb.32259924@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143915

In <3DD2E5F2.BC20D0D5@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
wrote:

>Frank, ... or sparky, What ever news group ID your using this week. You
>probably
>win the contest for shear bulk poop posting in so many threads. So lets go
>through
>some of your book theory rants here and deal with them in the real world. I
>posted
>a quite lengthy reply once before, but somehow it went poof. So I'm willing
>to give
>it another go. After this follow up, I plan to limit my replies to you as you
>must be on
>your pooter all day long to type in your mistakes..

I will reply to issues not previously addressed in other replies.

<snip>
>> No, I said that the license can't be located by the file number and I
>> asked for the callsign. Again, what's the callsign, Skippy?
>
>Well Frankie I was reluctant to give out the file number, I'm certainly not
>going to post the call sign on a news group. I'm able to look up files on
>the FCC pages, I found yours...

That would be a neat trick since I'm not licensed, nor have I ever
been licensed.

> Forget trusting the web for the final
>word, the paperwork matters. I have the truth in hard copy and I'm fine
>with it.

Well, since you claim to have found my 'files' that don't exist, I
would have to say that the web is much more trustworthy than you are.

<snip>
> It's quite
>clear to most experienced amplifier people that AB1 and AB2 g-grid
>amplifier circuits are for the most part, built along two different circuit
>layouts.

Not even close, Skippy. Grounded-grid amps can be built for AB1 where
the gain of the tube is high (although it's not common it has been
done), and common-cathode amps are frequently built for AB2 operation.
But that's a nice try at an excuse for your lack of understanding.

>You claimed that triode amplification factor was listed in your book
>as "u" and that tetrode/pentodes were rated in transconductance Gm.
>Some how you failed to mention triodes also have transconductance, so
>now we can say that "you didn't know it". Get off the "you didn't know
>it" because "you didn't mention it" nit pick... you waste a lot of time.

Of course triodes have transconductance. All amplifying tubes have
transconductance because that's how they work: voltage in, current
out. Triodes are usually rated by their mu because a change in the
plate voltage causes a significant change in plate current, something
that doesn't happen with the tetrodes and pentodes because of their
high plate impedances. And because the potential on a screen grid can
affect the amplification factor of a tetrode or pentode, those tubes
are usually rated for their transconductance only, not their
amplification factor. But you knew that, didn't you Skippy?
(yeah, right...)

>> 4. You didn't know where the 'beam' in 'beam tube' came from, but
>> instead thought it was synonymous with 'power tube'. In fact, you
>> specifically stated that "the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in
>> the generic sense serve as a 'sweep' tube", which propted me to
>> explain that the 'beam' tube is a subcategory of 'power' tubes, and
>> the 'sweep' tube is an application-specific power tube, be it a beam
>> tube or otherwise.
>
>Not quite, but your kind of hard to follow sometimes as your posts tend
>to wander off the main topic quite a bit. When talking specific about rf
>amplifiers, I do tack on the entire proper label of beam power tube. No
>foul should be called.

That's a pretty good excuse, but not good enough: You fail to realize
that not all RF amplifier tubes (or sweep tubes for that matter) are
beam tubes.

>If you ever update your electronics back ground to include current technology,
>
>you'd understand the Sweep Tube Label can be applied to a family or group of
>similar tubes.

If you ever update YOUR electronics background to include current
technology, you might notice that tubes haven't been used in
television sweep circuits for nearly 30 years. Now THAT's "dated
technology"!

>The 6KG6 was probably designed for horizontal deflection "sweep" circuits, a
>drop in EL-509 direct replacement was never designed for TV circuits, but
>has direct rf amplifier applications. I have no problem calling the EL-509
>a Sweep Tube as it walks and talks the part very well. In short, they
>directly
>interchange or are considered the same tube.

Some tubes are designed for RF applications while others were designed
for television sweep circuits. Seems simple enough to me.

>Multiple examples of Sweep Type Tubes or the easier named (by most
>people) Sweep Tubes never designed or applied to Horizontal "TV" or
>deflection circuits exist. Go ahead and stick your head in the sand over a
>dated formal label. Most of the rest of the world has no problem using the
>Sweep Tube as a generic type label, it fits very well.

You are taking a specific application and turning it into a "generic
type label" simply because you won't admit that you didn't know for
what application sweep tubes were specifically designed. Pretty lame
excuse, Skippy. And not nearly as creative as your other excuses.

<snip>
>> 6. You have shown many times that you didn't even know the difference
>> between Class AB1 and AB2 operation, the difference being that AB1
>> draws no grid current while AB2 does. In fact, while reading through
>> the previous posts, it seems that you were under the impression that
>> AB1 meant common-cathode while AB2 meant grounded-grid. For example,
>> while discussing neutralization, you state that it is "not required
>> in a properly designed AB2 amplifier", which is totally disrepsective
>> of the fact that an AB2 amplifier can be common-cathode, and therefore
>> require neutralization.
>
>Man you are long winded... Not quite Frankie... I was trying to nail you
>down a few times to see if you knew the major differences in AB1 and AB2
>circuit layouts and their specific operational considerations. But you
>danced and sang more than you provided specific examples.

HA! THAT'S a laugh! YOU were trying to nail ME down? It was ME that
was trying to get YOU to describe your "classic" amp, the details of
which are STILL vague!

>In the real amplifier world Frankie... AB1 is common cathode circuit layouts
>and AB2 is a grounded grid circuit. AB2 is also possible in common cathode
>circuits, but we almost never see AB1 operation in grounded grid circuits.

Quit backtracking, Skippy. Your comment about neutralization not being
required in an AB2 amp CLEARLY demonstrates that you didn't know that
a common-cathode amps can (and frequently do) operate class AB2.

>Don't play around with parts of sentences Frankie... I try to be clear and
>include the term, well built "AB2 Grounded Grid" circuits should not
>require neutralization. The issue is to include grounded grid which you
>conveniently left off.

I left off nothing. Here's the exact quote:

>
>: I remember my Uncle tried one once and he kept blowing tube
>: after tube until he finally added enough neutralization to keep it
>: from oscillating into smoke. He wasn't the only one, either.
>
>No sweep tube amplifier I have requires neutralization. It's not required
>in a properly designed AB2 amplifier.
>

And in case you want to look it up yourself:

Message-ID: <ap99mqgls1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>

...Oh, wait, I get it: When you say "properly built AB2 amplifier" you mean grounded-grid; and since a common-cathode amp can be AB2, that means a grounded-grid amp is "properly built" and a common-cathode amp is not! Now don't tell me I'm twisting your words, Skippy, because that's EXACTLY what you said!

>> 7. You have the mistaken belief that the 'flyweel effect' of a tank
>> circuit is a cure-all for non-linearity in a linear amplifier, when in
>> fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
>> to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
>> undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
>> current distortion.
>
>Wow, you are a piece of work frankie... somehow you're going to find a
>text where I say "cure-all"..? I don't think so. Actually to detail out
>the issue, the input tank circuit flywheel helps reduce "half cycle
>loading", with resultant IMD benefit.

Absolutely true.

> One must always keep in mind that
>the drive is actually applied across the cathode and grid.

In a grounded-grid amplifier it's applied across the cathode and ground.

> Inclusion of
>a AB2 cathode tuned input tank circuit, Q of 2 is almost a must to achieve
>good IMD performance.

How did you arrive at your Q figure of 2? Roll of the dice?

> In your verbose analysis of that KLV brand
>amplifier.... you called the tuned input coil a choke or shunt. You can't
>even call them when you see them Frankie'boy.

In my verbose critique of the KLV I mentioned nothing of the input circuit. Get your quotes straight next time. But the KLV uses the same type of input as the Palomar: an L-pad with a shunt capacitor to compensate for the collective tube input capacitance. Not the best circuit, but not quite a tank.

>I explained to you that the conduction angle for AB* operation is about
>210 degrees standard to be called "AB mode".

Oh really? When did you say that? I must have missed that post -- care to quote yourself? And last time I checked Class AB was anything greater than 180 degrees and less than 360 degrees. But then you probably have a different opinion than the generally accepted fundamentals... you usually do.

> The amount of distortion
>present does not disqualify Sweep Type Tubes and many Sweep Tubes
>from linear applications,

...uh huh... and that's why we see so many grounded-grid audio amps
built with sweep tubes... right... sure thing, Skippy.

> nor does the distortion prevent the conduction
>angle from reaching ~210 degrees. Plain fact, jack...

Where did I say that distortion would prevent conduction to 210
degrees? or even beyond 180 degrees? I never said anything of the
sort, Skippy. "Plain fact, jack..."

>> 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
>> ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.
>
>Sure I do, but you never bothered to mention ac or dc paths until I pointed
>it out.

You pointed it out because you were trying desperately to find a way
to defend your argument that a triode-connected sweep tube doesn't
work like a triode, but instead like a "low-mu" something-or-other.

> There are different types of grounded grid circuit layouts. Some
>only play well with specific geometry types of tubes as built. You don't
>appear to know the difference between them without looking at a book.

Perhaps you could explain these 'geometries' and 'circuit layouts',
and why some don't work with the other? Well, maybe I shouldn't ask
that since you still haven't explained why a triode-connected sweep
tube doesn't work like a triode.

<snip>
> ALC is dated
>technology, modern radios have power level controls which still can't be
>trusted without testing. One recent generation of Japan made HF radios
>is know to output very large rf spikes. A review of that topic thread
>can be found at the www.contesting.com achives. You are just
>plain out of touch with the real world.

The spikes referred to in those threads are caused by either a design
flaw or operator error. They describe the spikes occuring on keying,
which means that the amp/final is overdriven to start with. Without
more details, my first guess is that someone tried to tweak their
radio for more power and didn't understand the electronics behind what
they were tweaking. (I can't even count how many times I have heard
the line, "NO, I didn't mess with ANYTHING inside the radio!")

And despite your attempt to prove that ALC circuits are "dated", you
proved yourself wrong by referencing modern radios that use them.
"Plain fact, jack..."

>> 10. You continue to claim that sweep tube amps meet or exceed FCC
>> specifications, yet you never state those specifications or the

>> conditions under which the amplifiers passed, you never post any noise
>> figures, and you never make any comparisons to amps that use RF power
>> tubes.
>
>I don't compare them to specific RF power designed tubes, in amplifiers
>for the most part. The proof was in the many FCC legal Amateur Amplifiers
>produced and sold throughout the years. I ran across some notes the
>other day from a four hole sweep tube amplifier I tested some years ago
>which in the Amateur application had spurious products better than 32 decibels
>
>below peak power of a two tone test signal. The even order products
>were dealt with higher Q tuned circuits, the odd order products were not
>a significant problem. T'was a good sweep tube circuit...

32 dB isn't that great, Skippy. Matter of fact it's pretty bad for an
amp in the kW range. "You are just plain out of touch with the real
world." Even better, those distortion figures are "dated" because the
FCC reduced the maximum spurious emission levels a long time ago. LOL!

<snip>
>The 6146 and 4cx250 family of tubes require serious parasitic prevention
>"circuit practices" (as do many others).

Now that's a total crock! You remember all those ARRL handbooks you
said have all those sweep tube amps? Well look again and you will see
even MORE projects with 6146's and RF tetrodes, and all of them use
nothing more than a run-of-the-mill parasitic supressor. Enough with
your scare tactics, Skippy!

> Other tubes (8877) in rf circuits
>don' have nearly the parasitic baggage concerns of the 6146/4cx250b by
>design.
>
>No tube is immune to parasitic... but the sweep tube in grounded grid
>circuit will rarely, if ever "go there" in a well designed and built circuit.

Does that "well designed" circuit include parasitic supressors on the
plates? Every amp you have referenced so far has them. So are they
there just for looks? Come to think of it, you still haven't come up
with the make/model of radio or amp that you claim was pulled because
of parasitic oscillation problems. Well?

>> 12. You didn't realize that if a receiver can hear the background
>> noise on a frequency that a pre-amplifier does not improve the
>> signal-to-noise ratio at all, and will actually ADD to the noise with
>> it's own circuitry.
>
>So now you qualify your earlier statements by adding in "background noise".

Hey Skippy -- remember this?

>First off you need to realize that the intended purpose is as a CB
>amplifier, as if that wasn't obvious already. With that in mind, the

>pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing nothing other than making
>the noise floor louder. (Preamps are very useful with radios that have
>weak receive, such as older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;
>for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
>they are nothing but overkill.)

Apparently you don't remember it.

>> 13. As stated before, you didn't understand why a grounded-grid
>> amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
>> screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
>> amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where
>> the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
>> no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
>> where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
>> feedback!).
>
>Here is where you really fall short Frankie... Go back and do the home
>work. HF and MF frequency amplifiers have two resonance's IN THE
>ANODE CIRCUIT, the VHF resonance is formed by the Anode-C, the
>Anode leads, the dc blocker caps reactance, and the tune-C.

This is where your lack of education shows, Skippy: The inductance of
the plate circuit is in the plate leads only, which makes a tank with
a very high Q. The addition of the inductance from a parasitic
supressor lowers the Q (and the frequency), and provides the majority
of the inductance of any tank formed by the circuit. This additional
inductance is important because the resistor of the parasitic
supressor across the inductor drops the Q of any resonant tank well
below the point where it maintains oscillations. And THAT'S how a
parasitic supressor works. And not only does it work for the anode
circuit, it works for any high-frequency resonant condition of which
the anode is a component. The result: high frequencies don't get
amplified enough for feedback to occur.

But you know what, Skippy? I wasn't talking about parasitics! As I
stated in the other post, neutralization is required to prevent
regenerative feedback at the frequency of operation!

>Grounded grid circuits benefit from shielding. You also failed to
>mention electrode spacing in any of your posts so we just state that
>" you don't understand it".

We can talk about electrode spacing if you want. Is that your newest
excuse-without-an-explanation for your triode-connected sweep tube
that doesn't work like a triode?

>The non inverting nature of the layout at HF/MF a whole different
>animal vs when your talking VHF stability response.

The topic was neutralization, not parasitic supression. You keep
ignoring that fact, and for reasons I can only speculate -- is it
because you don't know the difference? Naw, that couldn't be it...

> You above
>statement clearly indicate you have little actual knowledge or experience
>in the subject. Hit the books Frankie, you fell flat on your face this
>time.
>
>> 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
>> capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is
>> grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
>> characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
>> might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
>> that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
>> tube for input.
>
>Not... we are still talking grounded grid AB2 amplifiers. I try like
>heck to have you stay on a specific circuit... all you have to do Frankie
>is give us a clue as to what part of the book your reading from when
>you type it in...
>
>Another trip and fall for you Frankie.. Let me state it again for you.
>
>The Grounded Grid Amplifier have or include the advantages:
>
>The output and input capacitance's of a stage are reduced to approximately
>one half the value which would be obtained if the same tubes were
>operated as a conventional neutralized amplifiers.

Do you mean common-cathode? If that's what you mean then use the right
terminology instead of making up your own.

Regardless, let's compare your statement with reality. How about a
triode for starters:

3CX1500A7
Capacitance Grounded Cathode
Input 38.5 pf
Output 0.1 pf
Feedthrough 10. pf

Capacitance Grounded Grid
Input 38.5 pf
Output 10.2 pf
Feedthrough 0.1 pf

Well, the input capacitance is exactly the same and the output
capacitance is 100 times LARGER in the grounded-grid! Let's try a
tetrode:

4CX250B
Capacitance Grounded Cathode
Input 15.7 pf
Output 4.5 pf
Feedthrough .04 pf

Capacitance Grounded Grid
Input 13.0 pf
Output 4.5 pf
Feedthrough .01 pf

Oh my goodness, the input capacitance of a grounded-grid amp IS
smaller, but falls way short of your claim that it's half the value of
a common-cathode amp. And notice that the output capacitance doesn't
change one bit. Gee, Skippy, looks like the facts don't quite agree
with your opinions!

>The feedback capacitance within the stage is the plate to cathode
>capacitance which is ordinarily very less than the grid to plate
>capacitance. Hence neutralization is ordinarily not required in the
>the HF region.

Incredible! You are STILL ignoring the fact that a grounded-grid is a
non-inverting amp, and you STILL don't understand what causes
regenerative feedback! This is totally mindboggling, because anyone
that has had the patience to follow this thread (very few I'm sure)
has already looked it up for themselves and found out that you are WAY
off base! Yet, for some unknown reason, you are bound and determined
to profess your ignorance. Oh well, who am I to argue with Milton?

>The tendency toward parasitic oscillation is greatly reduced since
>the shielding effect of the grid(s) are effective over a broad range of
>frequencies.

What happened to your claim that parasitics are caused by resonance in
the anode circuit? After all, that's about the only thing you have
gotten right in this post (even though it was only partly right).

>> 15. You stated that "exciter feed through power of the example AB2
>> grounded grid amplifier is a big time 'freebie' you don't see in AB1
>> circuits", which only reinforces my theory that you really don't
>> understand amplifier classes of operation. Grounded-grid amps require
>> drive power because the input is the cathode, which must conduct if
>> the tube is to work at all. Input power of a grounded-grid amplifier
>> is always carried to the plate regardless of class of amplification,
>> and regardless of grid-current.
>
>You try and make some type of point from the wrong direction Frank.
>Let's be clear about this, not how you like to read into things. Feedthrough
>power is a benefit of the grounded grid rf amplifier operating condition.

It's an effect. I wouldn't necessarily call it a benefit.

>Actually he label is a bit mis leading (but you knew that of course)... the
>drive power appears in the g-grid plate load circuit after being converted
>to a varying dc plate potential effectively in series with the main HV
>power supply.

Very good, Skippy.

>HERE'S THE BIG ONE FOR YOU FRANKIE...! CHECK IT OUT.
>
>The converted drive power serves a useful function in linear service
>because It Swamps Out The Undesirable Effects of Nonlinear Grid Loading
>
>.... and presents a reasonably constant load to the exciter.
>
>Ta'da..! Frankie...! and how would you like your crow served today...?

Excellent explanation for input loading on a grounded-grid amp with a
TUNED input. You can indeed swamp the changing impedance at the
cathode with a low-impedance signal source, and I have already stated
that much.

Now try and address the topic: You claimed that "class AB1 operation
of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power." You are
wrong, and you can find the truth in any basic electronics textbook...
oh, that's right, you don't like books!

>> 16. You claimed that grid-current distortion only occurs in a
>> grid-driven circuit. Of course that's not true, as grid-current
>> distortion occurs whenever grid current occurs, regardless of whether
>> it's grounded-grid or common-cathode.
>
>See the above for more crow Frank... perhaps some wine with your bird..?

Ignorance and arrogance are not very good partners, Skippy. It's
obvious that you missed some of my posts. But it's unbelievable that,
even after I gave you the message ID's, you haven't bothered to update
your news browser, or even read the missing posts by looking them up
on google. You see, Skippy, I received a few emails on this very
subject, and I followed them up with a reply to my own post which goes
into more detail about grid-current distortion. Perhaps you should
find that post and read it (along with several other posts) before you
verbally gloat over your illusion of victory.

>> 17. You claimed that putting a resistor in series with the cathode of
>> a grounded-grid amplifier will improve linearity, when in fact it does
>> nothing but reduce the output power and dissipate part of the input
>> power. I also pointed out how cathode resistors are commonly used to
>> improve the linearity of a common-cathode amplifier, but not for RF
>> amplifiers because it would unground the cathode. Such practice is an
>> audio technique that you mistakenly applied to an RF application, and
>> also to the wrong type of amplifier.
>
>You are wrong crow breath... at least in this post you have properly
>written the statement as "resistor in series with the cathode". Now
>go back to your basic Electronics 101 book and look up "degeneration".
>
>The 10 ohm cathode resistors I mention in my Dentron GLA-1000
>update actually deal with a couple of concerns. First, they provide
>degeneration to the circuit which improves linearity... Fact.

Not on a grounded-grid amplifier. You need to read that Electronics
101 book yourself.

>Second, they provide a measure of both ac and dc balance to paralleled
>g-grid tubes.

If you mean load equalization, then yes they do. I have already stated
that.

>Third, they act as very practical and cheap series fuses when a tube shorts,
>glitches or fails. No Mr Audio Breath... it's not my idea... I lifted the
>knowledge
>from a Collins grounded grid amateur amplifier diagram I saw many years ago.

Your 'glitch protection' probably isn't going to do you any good.
Let's assume the very unlikely scenario that the plate shorts to the
cathode without shorting to one of the other three grounded grids.
First, your current will surge out of your power supply caps and
probably fuse the offending short or the tubes internal wires before
it pops the resistor. But even before that happens you will have
nearly a one-thousand volt spike on the cathode, which will almost
certainly arc the tank of either the input circuit or the exciter
(which is why I criticize the KLV and other amps for not having a DC
blocking cap on the input). Now since we already know that the tube is
toast, the decreased voltage from the power supply isn't going to hurt
the other tubes at all; but the increased current, even for a short
time, may overload the power supply rectifiers. That would be a good
thing if the rectifiers consistantly blew open. But if you have any
experience with semiconductors you would know that they can also short
when overloaded, and that would be fatal to the power supply
transformer.

You want glitch protection? Put a single current-limiting resistor
between the power supply and the RF choke[s]. Even better, toss the
amp in the trash and get one with proper over-load protection, such as
power supply relay latching, a solid clamping circuit, and even ALC
circuits can afford some measure of protection against faults. (I can
see your face turning red, Skippy! LOL!)

>You appear to be clue less on the subject.

You appear to have no experience with failure analysis, and no
education in amplifier fundamentals.

>> 18. You stated that voltage equalization networks are "dated
>> technology", claiming that almost no amplifiers use them anymore. This
>> is not only a slap in the face of good engineering practices, but also
>> flows against basic semiconductor theory: Exceed the PIV and the
>> rectifier may fail. Regardless, most amplifier manufacturers do not
>> use series connected rectifiers, opting instead for a single rectifier
>> of the proper voltage rating (which of course doesn't need a voltage
>> equalization network because one rectifier is not multiple rectifiers
>> in series).
>

>Old bad habits die hard and you are a good example of dated knowledge
>Frankie... In short, the significant past issues with silicon diode mfgr
>which brought about your happy equalization circuits are now for the
>most part gone in modern power diodes.

As long as rectifiers continue to fail when the PIV is exceeded, the
practice of voltage equalization continues to be current. Or do you
think semiconductor theory is "dated", too?

>At one time it was common to care for good reason, those reasons are
>now long gone for the most part. Lose the rectifier eq networks... in
>a different thread, I'll explain to you how they can now do more bad
>than good vs leaving them out. Don't take my word for it Frank, look
>at newer examples... Alpha Power, Ameritron etc...

Perhaps you are thinking of those rectifier modules that are currently
being manufactured. Would it suprise you to learn that those modules
are nothing more than series rectifiers and voltage equalization
resistors all wrapped up into one package? Probably.

>> 19. You were totally unaware that the mic gain is the power control
>> for an SSB radio, showing a gross misunderstanding of the principles
>> of SSB modulation.
>
>You really are out there Frankie... the mic gain on a typical Kenwood
>TS-430s is not a true power control, whereas the Kenwood TS-940s
>has a true power control.

Am I missing something here? Is SSB power not directly related to the
audio level? Is the audio level not directly controlled by the mic
gain? What's wrong with this picture, Skippy?

>The TS-430s is only a mic gain adjustment, not a power control. This is
>a big issue when trying to avoid over driving and amplifier. You missed
>the boat Frank.

If you don't want to overdrive your amp then turn down the mic gain.
If you want to increase your talk power then utilize the radio's
speech processor. Real simple. Maybe too simple...

>> 20. You claimed that the interelectrode capacitances of a sweep tube
>> are "easily dealt with", yet on the Dentron you failed to recognize an
>> input capacitor used to compensate for the input capacitance of the
>> tube, calling it one leg of a pi tank. In fact, you don't know the
>> difference between a pi-tank and an L-pad, frequently using the term
>> "pi-L" to denote something as yet unidentified.
>
>At 28.5 MHz Frank, the tube Cin is often large enough to be the pi
>output cap of the input circuit.

Now I know for SURE that you don't know what a pi tank is. The tube
input is a capacitive load in SERIES with the input inductor (as you
previously stated above), and is NOT the shunt capacitance required

for a pi tank. What you have, in effect, is a modified L, not a pi. It
may or may not be resonant. But regardless what whatever you think it
is, if it IS resonant then it would require tuning just as often as
the output tank, a fact which contradicts your claim of a
set-it-and-forget-it input tank.

> In some cases, its so large, you have
>to try other "non pi" inputs. In the Dentron GLA retrofit, I use a T input
>>24MHz to deal with the large Tube Cin.
>
>Pi-L from me would most often be applied to the output tank circuit only.

Ok, but what is it? Don't you even know what your own terminology
represents?

>You called the tuned circuit inductor of the KLV amplifier and choke or
>shunt. You simply didn't know what you were looking at.

You don't even know what you are talking about because, as I said
before, I never said anything about the input circuit of the KLV.

>> 21. You mentioned one of the drawbacks of running AB1 was dumping
>> power into the swamping resistor, which means that you have no idea
>> that a swamping resistor is used to reduce grid-current distortion. Of
>> course you can't have grid-current distortion in AB1. And you also
>> didn't realize that a low-impedance tuned input is far superior to a
>> swamping resistor (when running AB2) because of the negligible power
>> loss, and because it performs better at maintaining linearity of the
>> signal under grid current transistions.
>
>Man, you really go all over the place with each post... OK, let's have at.

Oh boy, this should be good!

>First, there is a whole science unto itself when talking about grid driven
>AB1 resistor - input circuit layouts and choices. You don't have any
>knowledge market cornered Frankie.

...blah, blah, blah. Get to the issue already.

>In an AB1 circuit, the swamping resistor value choice is made using a number
>of parameters better reviewed in a separate thread. You love your grid
>current distortion safe house don't cha Frankie..?

....number of parameters....seperate thread....avoid the issue....
Quit stuttering and get on with it.

> At this late date in the
>game, I would feel pretty good writing that grid current distortion is not
>really
>on the radar map when choosing the swamping resistor value. In short, AB1
>operation by definition has no control grid current flow. Simple enough...

Duh! How much arrogance did you have to swallow to admit that? I'll
bet it left a bad taste in your mouth!

>When the grid driven circuit (one must note the difference vs g-grid/cathode
>driven) operates AB2; the swamping resistor value or "composite" grid
>circuit uses conventional design guidelines (way to complicated to detail
>here). The fly in you using only a low impedance tuned circuit only is the
>question of unconditional stability in high gain operation.

....conventional guidelines....too complicated....avoid the issue....
You're stuttering again, Skippy!

>A better idea would be to consider a combination of both using the
>conventional
>guidelines detailed in ARRL Handbooks. Your probably right, I must not
>have known that because otherwise I would have mentioned it somewhere... oh
>wait a minute..! I think there's a 4cx250b circuit on the sonic server that
>has an actual circuit diagram of the layout I mention above.

What layout did you mention above? All you said was vague and
non-specific things like "conventional guidelines" and "composite grid
circuit".

> Sorry if it's
>only
>been available for 5 plus years Frank.

And I'm sure that during all those years it has been a wonderful
example of poor engineering. Why did you even include the tuned
circuit? That has to be one of the most stupid designs I have ever
seen! (I tried to pick a better word than "stupid", but nothing else
fit). First off, this is an AB1 amp, right? Your "swamping" resistors
aren't swamping resistors at all -- they are load resistors for your
exciter -- to dissipate the input power! And since you put them in
parallel with your tuned circuits you shifted the decimal point of the
tank's Q two places to the left. I'm sure you noticed that tuning is
necessary in order to get a good output, but that's because detuning
your input circuit will shunt the signal right to ground! If you leave
that tuning mess completely off the input you will not only get the
same output as when it's tuned, but it will be broadband to boot! If
you REALLY want a tuned input, make it a series LC circuit so you
won't be overloading your exciter if you forget to tune it. Or leave
it broadband and just add a low-pass filter, and then you won't even
need that parasitic choke on the grid.

...judas priest... load resistors in parallel with a tank... And you
are PROUD of that? What a dumbass.... composite grid circuit, too
complicated... where do you come up with this BS, Skippy?

>> 22. You haven't explained why grid-current distortion is "not an
>> issue" with "most properly designed AB2 grounded grid amplifiers". In
>> fact, you haven't even explained once what makes a "properly designed"
>> amp. On the contrary, I have explained many times why AB2 IS a problem
>> when the amplifier uses sweep tubes.

>
>See the above under your number 15 babble Frank, it pretty much lays
>out the facts. Plus I offered you wine with your crow... ... and I did
>state that my Dentron GLA-1000 update text has a few good ideas on
>how one might design a good amplifier. Pick a tube Frankie, we'll rock
>and roll from your choice and build a big Boobner Special... or we can
>build your grid to the plate model and bask in the heat and flash of melting
>glass.

Seems the only argument you have left is knocking me for a mistake
that I freely admit. Bad form, Skippy.

>> 23. Whenever you don't know something you make up vague and
>> nonsensical terms like "classic", "linearity detector", "passive gain
>> operation", "traditional potentials", "beam power application", or the
>> use of the term "low-mu" to designate a triode-connected sweep tube
>> that doesn't work like a triode (and you still haven't explained how
>> it works if not like a triode).
>
>Linearity Detector... See the Collins and Galaxy brand amplifier diagrams
>on the sonic server. It's all there in simple english...

You can call them linearity detectors as many times as you want, but
it won't change the fact that those 'linearity detectors' are nothing
more than amplitude comparators used for ALC circuits.

>Passive Gain Operation: Pentode and tetrode grids in grounded grid
>amplifiers operation which contribute no active signal gain ,

Then why even call it "passive gain"? Why not just call them
"passive"? Because you goofed and you now have to defend your mistakes
with even more ignorance, that's why.

> but by their
>physical location and construction act as an electrostatic shield between
>the grid and plate...

They do the exact same thing in a common-cathode amp.

> of course that includes the consequence that the
>pesty grid to plate capacitance is reduced.

LOL!

>Traditional Potentials: In a grounded grid sweep tube amplifier... HV on the
>
>anode, a negative low impedance dc bias supply for #1 control grid.

Are you forgetting about the Dentron? The grid is grounded and the
cathode is biased positive. Is that 'non-traditional'? What about the
KLV which has the control grid tied to the cathode and the screen is
pulled negative? Is that 'non-traditional'? Can a 'non-traditional'
amp be better than a 'traditional' amp? Who decides what is
'traditional' and what is not? Or what is better or worse? You?

>Beam Power Application: Not sure where your going with it... but in my
>opinion, Sweep Beam Power tubes can make really nice, modest power
>level linear rf amplifiers. The best you can do is to agree to disagree.

It was your terminology. If you can't explain it then you can't
explain it. At least it's better to admit that you can't explain it
than to make up some stupid definition like you did with your other
bogus catch-phrases.

>Low Mu to designate a triode connected sweep tube... sure: All three
>grids tied to both dc and signal ground... A previously seen and
>elsewhere applied layout scheme which can lead to dangerous values
>of grid and or screen current.

Like the Dentron? LOL! And the grids don't need to be tied to DC
ground to be grounded -- just a signal ground will do. Maybe you
should read that Electronics 101 book real soon...

>Compared to many triode grounded grid AB2 amplifiers, the sweep
>tubes grounded grids screening or shielding action and consequential
>reduced grid to plate capacitance give it pretty good VHF stability.

Right effect, wrong reasons.

>In the VHF (parasitic problem) region, triodes with significant "hot" VHF
>gain operate have increased circuit stability concerns when compared to
>a grounded grid tetrode or pentode. Amplifiers such as the Kenwood
>TL-922 were pulled from the market for just such reasons although they'll
>never admit it.

Cute how you slipped in that "they'll never admit it" part.

>> Who's the one lacking in RF theory and experience, Skippy? It ain't
>> me, that's for DAMN sure!
>
>Still got your potty mouth Frank...

Which, even when added to my own conceit, isn't half as bad as your
snotty, arrogant and half-witted attempts at belittlement, "Buck-o"!

> nothing we can do about it here
>except scold you about it. Anyway, enjoy your crow... and I hope the
>cabernet was the proper year.
>
>Get some newer books, the ones your using in your posts are dated
>as is your actual knowledge of rf amplifiers. Maybe next time...

Get some smarts and use logic instead of half-assed assumptions; get
some facts instead of trying to sell your uninformed opinions; and
most of all, get cracking on those books -- you have a lot of catching
up to do for all those classes you skipped because you thought you
were too smart for the lecture.

So now, how far you want to take this little discussion?

1380. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:54:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD3AB06.8B9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 143918

chessecutter wrote:
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7722-3DD26129-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > >As opposed to guys like you who think that >they should be allowed to
> > do whatever they >want, whenever they want, and to whomever >they want,
> > and the hell with other people's >rights?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > YOUR words.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > That's a great way to run a civilized >society........
> > >Dave
> > >"Sandbagger"
> >
> >
> >
> > What the hell would you know about a civilized society? You were in
> > business with a sex perv...and knowingly so.
>
> hey twist.......is dave's handle...'sandbagger'... on his ten meter
> radio while he is operating in the freeband area????

I don't operate in the freeband area. I have no interest in chasing DX.
My only DX experiences with the freeband date back to 2 sunspot cycles
ago. Any other time, the freeband was used as a temporary "escape
channel" for us locals to get away from idiots throwing carriers or some
other such nonsense on the legal 40. I don't operate much at all on CB,
as most of the decent locals have either been chased away by the almost
constant droning of the skip noise, or have found other interests. I'm
mainly on the ham bands now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1381. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:55:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar09vt$e1nev$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143916

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ar005q$dmbml$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter (lol)

Even if he had a standard 1/4 wave whip on the bumper, he's still going to get some reflected signal back into the antenna. Probably not as much as with the K40, but it would definitely throw the SWR readings off.

Tom

1382. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 13:56:20 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114085620.24247.00004981@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 143917

Why not? The K-40 whip is what 72"

>You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter (lol)
>--
>Mad Dog
>"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021113213727.22421.00000386@mb-cp.aol.com...
>> Hmmm there isnt much I can do with a magnet mount on the roof. Its
>fiberglass.
>> However a 102" whip in the K-40 load may work since it will make it over
>the
>> roof. I will try all the advice given to me from this group. Thank you.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1383. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 14:05:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021114090544.02922.00003622@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 143919

nice new name chuckie

>Subject: Dumb Ass on 27.285
>Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.ste
alth.net!gestalt.direcpc.com!news.direcpc.com!not-for-mail
>From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Lines: 92
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>Message-ID: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
>Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:26:36 -0500
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.32.154
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@direcpc.com
>X-Trace: news.direcpc.com 1037240745 64.157.32.154 (Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:25:45
>EST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:25:45 EST
>
>
>
>This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by the
>FCC!
>At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
>Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB Channel
>28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
>WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
>
>CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled for
>behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
>And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
>All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
>The FCC totally ignores these!
>(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled "visits")
>
>Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on other
>CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
>Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
>
>Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
>Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
>Linears are nothing but JUNK!!

>
>SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
>You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
>
>So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on the
>radio.
>
>People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some dumbass
>yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
>Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
>When do they YELL?
>When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
>
>Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers, so
>is that van with the antennas on the roof!
>Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
>You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child
>support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
>excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
>whatever else is "in the way"!
>So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
>
>I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap" on
>the radio.
>
>I despise these linears on CB!
>What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the RF
>power they can generate.
>Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
>These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
>
>I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
>welfare and food stamps.
>A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
>radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere ,
>BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned up
>so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a profile!
>
>This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
>others who are talking local.
>
>I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out of
>band just to get away from the "crap" either!
>I applaude and salute them!
>I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the 40
>Channels that still exist!
>
>And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
>If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city or
>the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!

>Even if REACT is there!
>Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
>If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
>
>Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in that
>agency!
>A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
>Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
>And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
>mandatory!!!!!
>NO EXCEPTIONS!!
>NO WHINERS!!
>NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1384. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 14:41:50 UTC
From: Reality Check <me@myaddress.net>
Message-ID: <bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 143920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
it will do any good but I did my part.

On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 00:59:16 GMT, "Skateboard'n"
<trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote:

>"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger
>outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous
>ramblings....
>
><<< YAWN >>>>
>
>> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
>> out that they are drivers.
>
>So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.
>
>> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
>topped
>> the hill at MP
>> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
>> Pilot Travel Center,
>> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
>want,
>> and it doesn't take
>> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.
>
>Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips is
>there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?
>
>> Truck
>> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
>> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
>> stop?
>
>More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.
>
>
>> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
>> House Sub-com-
>> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been

>> a-flying.
>>< snip the trolling by the kid>
>
>But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?
>
>Once again......
>I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep
>making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.
>
>Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't
>answer a simple question?

1385. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 14:57:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021114095726.02922.00003625@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 143921

who are you?

>Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
>of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
>surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
>pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
>it will do any good but I did my part.

1386. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 15:05:22 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear? What
law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....
"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
> Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
> pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
> it will do any good but I did my part.
>
> On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 00:59:16 GMT, "Skateboard'n"
> <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote:
>
> >"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger
> >outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous
> >ramblings....
> >
> ><<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> >> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly
figure
> >> out that they are drivers.
> >
> >So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.
> >
> >> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
> >topped
> >> the hill at MP
> >> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to
a
> >> Pilot Travel Center,
> >> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
> >want,
> >> and it doesn't take
> >> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.
> >
> >Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips
is
> >there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?
> >
> >> Truck
> >> stops? Truck drivers? Ten

> >> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a
truck
> >> stop?
> >
> >More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.
> >
> >
> >> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to
the
> >> House Sub-com-
> >> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> >> a-flying.
> >>< snip the trolling by the kid>
> >
> >But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?
> >
> >Once again......
> >I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep
> >making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.
> >
> >Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't
> >answer a simple question?
>
>

1387. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 15:31:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <puScnRBYRugqXE6gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 143923

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114085620.24247.00004981@mb-mw.aol.com...
> Why not? The K-40 whip is what 72"

1: the diameter is probably too large, and won't fit.
2: the 102 whip does not want or need a base load coil for 11M.
3: the plastic of the K40 may not take the additional stress.

1388. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 16:20:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ar0i8s$easvh$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143924

I agree 100% Tom.....

--
Mad Dog
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ar09vt$e1nev$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:ar005q$dmbml$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> > You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter
(lol)
>
> Even if he had a standard 1/4 wave whip on the bumper, he's still going to
> get some reflected signal back into the antenna. Probably not as much as
> with the K40, but it would definitely throw the SWR readings off.
>
> Tom
>
>

1389. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 16:23:19 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ar0ien$e7ea0$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 143925

Uh-oh, this is gonna take a while to explain, i dont have the time .
Would somebody else care to educate the relationship between electrical
length
and frequency.

--
Mad Dog
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114085620.24247.00004981@mb-mw.aol.com...
> Why not? The K-40 whip is what 72"
>
> >You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter
(lol)
> >--
> >Mad Dog
> >"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021113213727.22421.00000386@mb-cp.aol.com...
> >> Hmmm there isnt much I can do with a magnet mount on the roof. Its
> >fiberglass.
> >> However a 102" whip in the K-40 load may work since it will make it
over
> >the
> >> roof. I will try all the advice given to me from this group. Thank
you.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1390. Re: SuperStar 6 band Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 16:24:03 UTC
From: big boy now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <S6QA9.11238$5Q5.10332@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 143926

what mods has this radio had done to it what are the three buttons on the
top of the front of the radio....
and what will the total cost be for the super star and the shipping.
to London in the uk...let me know soon....thanks : John
"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:ut5ou4mf6ngq17@corp.supernews.com...
> Selling radio SuperStar 6 band AM/FM/USB/LSB for 85 plus shipping
>
> Check out http://www.n7uic.net/stuff/superstar_index.html for pictures and
> frequency range.
>
> Thanks,
> Packrat
> spam@n7uic.net
>
>

1391. Re: The Frankie G' babble - 1 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 17:16:36 UTC
From: skipp <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3DA74.655F1906@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143927

Hi Frank,
Back so soon.. hope your blood pressure is still under control.

> (a) A grant of equipment authorization is valid only when the FCC
> Identifier is permanently affixed on the device and remains effective
> until revoked or withdrawn, rescinded, surrendered, or a termination
> date is otherwise established by the Commission.

The Motorola amplifiers I have here (and mentioned before) still have
their permanent tags and are still effective as originally sold.

> Now in case you have been in a cave the past few years, no amplifiers
> between 24 and 35 MHz are being granted authorization because of the
> following law:

That would be new authorization... the Motorola units I described
already have authorization.

> (b) After April 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture, sell or
> lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or
> lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or
> leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
> power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency
> or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

For new manufactured equipment, the motorola amplifiers are still valid,
made before the ban.

> Which means that any amplifier that can operate between 24 and 35 MHz
> has lost it's certification/type-acceptance.

As you try to interpret the rules to preexisting legal equipment. Not
the case in my interpretation of the rules.

> As for your query to the FCC about modification of your amps, you will
> find the answer here:

No modifications are/were required to place the mentioned amplifiers
into Same Radio Service.

Sorry, once again... you lose. Nice try Frankie...

> In case you are too lazy to read them yourself, they clearly state
> that you can't change the frequency range (and still use them under

Don't have too... they function exactly as designed without
modifications.

Maybe a change would do you good Frankie...

skipp

1392. Re: The Frankie Dies on the vine Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 17:25:19 UTC
From: skipp <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3DC7F.B24AA4B5@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143928

: "yeti@cet.com" wrote: ... to get his blood pressure up.

> >Sorry if you can't look up a valid FCC file number Frankie... that's your
> >problem.
>
> By golly I CAN look up the file number! Right here:
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/
> So I input the file number you provided, "200001R435366", and it comes
> back with:
> "No matches found for the specified search criteria"

Which simply proves the FCC ULS system is not complete. That's about
all your going to get Frankie... The file number I posted is current
and your out of luck. "tough titty said the kitty..."

> >:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...
> Not if they can't be verified.

The paperwork in my hot little hand takes care of the FCC every time
they might ask for it.

> Not only did you provide an invalid file number, but you flat out lied
> about the IB service being current:

Don't think so Frankie... so what happens if I scan in an image of the
actual license, blank out the personal information so you can enjoy
your crow dinner. It won't work.. you'll claim the scan was modified
to include the IB service. Nothing makes you happy frankie, your just
a bad actor.

> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Public_Notices/1997/da972216.html
>
> There is no more IB service, Skippy. It was one of the many services
> that was consolidated into the new IG service pool back in 1997. And
> you knew that because a notice was sent out to all IB licensees. You
> are just full of lies, aren't you Skippy?

Yep, as authorized by the FCC for the current hard copies I have in my
hand. Call us both liars Frankie... enjoy your crow dinner.

skipp

1393. Re: The Frank Gilliland Chronicles - 3 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 17:46:51 UTC
From: skipp <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3E18B.6B0EAC6A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143929

> "yeti@cet.com" wrote:
> >No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
> >were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
> >oscillator
> >and or horizontal oscillator amplifier. Sweep tube is a label used to
> >describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
> >often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.
> >
> >Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
> >s
> =====
> If you knew that the tube used for the "horizontal oscillator
> amplifer" was the "sweep tube" then you wouldn't have contradicted
> yourself in that post. The fact is that you didn't know that there is

Aaaahhh.... I see your pivot point for your little rant... Well
bartender,

let me add one word in to give it proper text. There were some
"reported"
older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal oscillator.

See folks, get ahead of yourself typing and Frank carries it to his
grave
as the focal point of a rather useless thread.

Sweep tube is still a label... of which can be further detailed.. if
anyone but Frankie G wants to go there.

> no difference, and used your favorite buzzword "classic" to describe
> the sweep tube. I especially like your line, "Horizontal oscillator /
> amplifier was often the function of sweep and beam power tubes"
> because not only is it 100% redundant, it reinforces the fact that you
> didn't understand the definition of a 'beam' tube, either!

Yeah, I referenced that line right out of the RCA Receiving Tube Manual.
Call RCA redundant... and while your there.. have a look at some of the
multi section tubes which are not classic sweep tubes one would find in
a grounded grid rf amplifier. your text reinforces the evidence that
you
have little actual experience building rf amplifiers.

> >The orginal post gets you to dance on the sweep tube labels, not big deal
> >which was easily clarified. For some reason, you just love to go back to
> >the same non issue in any combination of text you can constuct... Get on
> >with something more worth while frankie... your banging your bald head

> >into the same non issue wall.

> Your technical ignorance is a very important issue, Skippy.

More important are your hazardous technical post statements which tell people to tie grids to plates in RF amplifiers... talk about technical ignorance and true evidence that you probably have built few, if any RF amplifiers.

> Call it whatever you want. The fact remains that the term "sweep tube"
> is applied to tubes used for television 'sweep' circuits. If you can
> find a TV that used the 8950 then I'll call it a sweep tube, too.

I do call it what I want... most people in the real RF world know sweep type tubes exist that are equivalent RF designed equivalents, never used in TV sweep or similar circuits.

We don't have a problem calling an equivalent tube by the same label, it fits and it works, the results are the same in the applied circuit. You are left out in the cold...

skipp

1394. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:11:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
What
> law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.............

Once again (and I cannot grasp why this is SO hard to understand), any radio
sold in the USA
actually has 2 (that's T W O) kinds of approval for sale; 1) FCC approval
to be sold stating that
the equipment in question meets FCC specifications for use in the intended
service. It applies to
commercially manufactured radios. 2) FCC certification for use in any
service other than Amateur.
Now, what that means is that, even though a radio MAY be intended for sale
by a manufacturer in
the Amateur Service, it STILL must be approved by FCC according to certain
criteria set up by FCC's own labs. Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER
services must be FCC-approved, and
as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker so stating that (para)
"This device meets all FCC re-
quirements for spectral purity according to Part XXX, Title 47, US
Code........................." Those
Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 Meter" trash has NO such authority, no
such sticker, and
appear on a list of equipment (which I will once again post here in the
faint hope that you might grasp the reasons why said equipment cannot be
legally sold in the US) that has been determined by FCC's test labs NOT to
meet one or more of their test criteria. Without that approval, FCC can
most certainly raid, seize, and fine outlets that sell such equipment. Like
you, tools, some of those outlets themselves believe they have a "right" to
import and sell such junk, but they don't. It
is only a matter of time before the sh** hits the fan. .....and I
can't wait! Hehe!

BTW, today I was listening to 28.085 and it sounded just LIKE CB 19 complete
with echos, squeaks, and some driver saying F*** every other word describing
his dispatcher while sounding like he was talking down a drain pipe. Back
soon with the complete list.

J

> >
> >

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1395. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:33:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c0SA9.16347$wO4.6939@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
> What
> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....

This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
equipment.

It comes direct from FCC's web site.

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999). Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. • 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have

determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ? 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. ?? 95.603(c); 2.803. Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use. In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ? 95.639. In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future. LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800 MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000 PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln PRO STAR - model: 240 RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950 TEK - model: HR-3950 UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600 SUPER STAR - model: 121 For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov

>
>
> J
>
>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1396. Re: The Frankie out of steam Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:34:51 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3ECCB.9E70B152@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143931

"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
[much text snipage]
> As I said before, the amplification of a tetrode or pentode is
> variable depending on the screen potential. When a tetrode or pentode
> is rated by it's 'mu' it is under the condition that the tube is
> operating as a triode. This should be obvious because the effective mu
> of a tetrode/pentode operating as such is magnitudes larger than that
> of a triode, yet when tetrodes and pentodes are rated by their mu it
> is comparable with the mu of triodes. Check your datasheets if you
> don't believe me. You do have datasheets, don't you? If not, try here:
> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/index.html

The topic was the application of Sweep Tubes in grounded grid
amplifiers. The specific issue is the multiple connection schemes
possible which would damage tetrode/pentodes in some triode
connection schemes. You don't appear to have knowledge of the
specific topic. Hi mu tubes don't play in all "low mu" grounded
grid amplifiers circuits.

> >In specific to my post, certain tubes operate as low mu in grounded grid
> >amplifiers, some act as higher mu tubes in similar g-grid operation.
> >These are serious issues when designing grounded grid amplifiers with
> >respect to dangerous amounts of G1 (grid 1) current and the various
> >circuit layouts. You ran out of technical steam and didn't indicate you
> >had more than your one book knowlege of the subject, so I just let it
> >stop there.
>
> That is totally ridiculous, as the mu of a tube has nothing to do with
> how much grid current it is capable of handling!

Your again out of steam... try reading up on the issues of high mu
tetrodes/pentode in grounded grid rf amplifiers...

> >Applied Grounded Grid Pentodes and Tetrodes Amplifiers have complex sub
> >topics which can be hashed over in seperate threads. I'm not about to
> >launch you into another of your many off topic diversions. If you stick to
> >one specific theme per rant, well go as far into detail as you would care
> >to go. By your many previous examples, you just want to rehash a 6146
> >sweep tube post label out of context; over and over and over and over...
> >and over.
>
> This is funny, Skippy. First you say that a triode-connected sweep
> tube is not operating as a triode in your "classic" grounded-grid
> amplifier, yet you don't want to discuss the "complex sub topics" of
> grounded-grid tetrodes and pentodes. I'm was not diverting the topic,

> I was trying to get YOU to say specifically what the topic IS, and you
> still haven't been able to do that because you don't have a clue as to
> what you are talking about!

Not quite... no where above does it say triode connected sweep tube is
not operating as a triode. In specific... there is more than one
well known grounded grid circuit (which, how many and how the grids
are grounded). Some of the mentioned are bad news for people trying
to opearate sweep tubes as low mu triodes... or just plain trying to
operate sweep tubes as triodes.

Damaged tubes sourced to the wrong type of "triode connected" circuit
(using tetrodes and pentodes) is not really that funny to most people.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1397. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:39:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6213-3DD3EDFF-43@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143937

From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: the "troll" defense
Date: Wed, Nov 13, 2002, 6:57pm (EST-3) Organization:
http://groups.google.com/ X-Trace: posting.google.com 1037242630
10946 127.0.0.1 (14 Nov 2002 02:57:10 GMT) X-Complaints-To:
groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Nov 14, 2002,
2:57am (EST+5)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7722-3DD26129-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>As opposed to guys like you who think that >they should be allowed to
>do whatever they want, whenever they want, >and to whomever they want,
and the hell with >other people's rights?

YOUR words.

>That's a great way to run a civilized >society........
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

What the hell would you know about a civilized society? You were in
business with a sex perv...and knowingly so.

"hey twist.......is dave's handle...'sandbagger'... on his ten meter
radio while he is operating in the freeband area????"
==========
You kidding? He was neutered somewhere along the line..........he would
never use anything so recognizable.

1398. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:43:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143933

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ut67br58t38f80@corp.supernews.com...
> CB is alive and well in NYC! And while I respect Jim's expertise there are
a
> few things he has missed.
> In NYC at least once a week a big truck hops on the parkway and gets
> stuck at the first overpass. Without cb's that would jump to atleast 2 a
> day. NYC would come to a screaching halt.
> Some channels are used for recievers to communicate with trucks waiting
> to unload and are kept mostly quite out of common decency. Recieving depts
> learned to stay away from ch 6 and 11.
> Trucking is THE lifeblood of the economy and cb's help the industry
> greatly. A cb is a tool that helps truckers accomplish their jobs beter
and
> safer.

Ham radio is a toy...nothing more.

Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one that
is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster? CB
is NOT. Why is it that Amateur Radio
has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local zoning laws against antennas? CB
is NOT included.
Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local scanner laws? CBers are NOT.
Why is is that
Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement (PL106-521)? CBers are the FOCUS
of said law.
Why is there now a Bill awaiting the 2003 session to exempt Amateurs from
neighborhood cove-nants? CBers get NO such protection. Why do hams enjoy
the use of spectrum from the bottom
to the "top"? CBers are legally restricted to 40 channels. Toy? yes. CB
a toy? yes. But Amateur
Radio enjoys a FAR higher rung on the ladder when it comes to Congress and
emergency services
organizations. CB is NOT vital to trucking. The same thing can be done on
VHF or UHF. You just don't want your ability to interfere with adjacent
services curtailed and your precious "skip" to
be taken away!

J

> Industry is mostly interested in data throughput for wireless WAN's and
> LAN's and that means higher freq's. 27mhz is quite safe. Companies are
about
> as interested in 27mhz as buying antique 300 baud modems for dialup.

> Hams may know more about rf then cb'ers but for the most part are
> ignorant of trucking and our economy and how they are related and how
> essential cb's are for the industry. Every train and mass transit system
> could brak down and it would be an inconvienence. A general truck strike
> would reduce the US economy to that of Bengladesh within a week.
> The cb spectrum is quite safe.
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1399. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:43:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6212-3DD3EED0-72@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143939

From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
>I only tune the antenna to the center of the >bandwidth which in this
>case would be 27.205Mhz. and let the swr fall >where it may, if nothing
else was wrong the >swr would be lowest at this point if the
>transceiver was tuned to 27.205Mhz. and >remain relatively flat at
either end of the band.

Yes, however this has nothing to do with the power being used. Running
power should not change your SWR....at all.

1400. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:51:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6212-3DD3F0AF-74@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143938

"Once again Frankie... how many grounded grid AB1 amplifiers have you seen in use..? Don't modify my text as you go along in your rants to suit your current post needs."

>I have had enough with your "cut-and-paste" >bullshit, Skippy. NONE of your quotes have >EVER been modified, misquoted, pulled out of >context, edited (not even for spelling), or >otherwise used for any other purpose except >for the meaning you intended. All my posts are >on google, and I challenge you to find even >ONE example that backs up your claim. >Otherwise, quit lying about being misquoted.

This is rich. Frank, you have done (misquoted, cut and paste, misdirected, lied, deliberately taken things out of context) this with every single person who has ever had degrees of success debating you. To claim otherwise...well.....just needed pointed out.

1401. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 18:57:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6212-3DD3F21B-75@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143935

From: me@myaddress.net (Cowering Nocode)
>Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt >rigs for sale many of them
on display tuned to >frequencies in the 10M band.

Yea,,,that's to remind you nocodes that are always messing around cb
shops that you too, can operate on the ten meter band, with an upgrade.
Maybe it's too tough?

>No surprise except for the arrogance.<

It was evident from your first sentence.

>Took a bunch of digital pictures to forward to >the FCC field office.

Did you clean yourself off afterwards or did you ride the bus all the
way home with the front of your pants stained?

> I don't suppose it will do any good but I did >my part.

Is that what you went in there for? Hoping to find something you
interpret as illegal? Hahahhaha! If you had any real hopes of
accompishing anything, you would upgrade.

1402. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:07:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6214-3DD3F466-15@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143936

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
"So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
What law do you think was being broken? Reality Check
indeed..... "

>Once again (and I cannot grasp why this is SO >hard to understand),

Me neither, but I'm gonna try and walk you through it again.

>any radio sold in the USA
>actually has 2 (that's T W O) kinds of approval >for sale; 1) FCC
approval to be sold stating >that
>the equipment in question meets FCC >specifications for use in the
intended service. >It applies to
>commercially manufactured radios. 2) FCC >certification for use in any
service other than >Amateur.
>Now, what that means is that, even though a >radio MAY be intended for
sale by a >manufacturer in
>the Amateur Service, it STILL must be >approved by FCC according to
certain criteria >set up by FCC's own labs.

When you say "certified" and "approval" are you referring to "type
acceptance"? If you are, since when did amateur gear need type
acceptance? If you are not referring to such, then what ARE you
referring to?

>Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER >services must be FCC-approved, and
>as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker >so stating that
(para) "This device meets all >FCC re-
>quirements for spectral purity according to >Part XXX, Title 47, US
Code....."

So. Manufacturers were never mentioned. The 100 watt radios could have
been Icoms for all you know.

>Those
>Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 >Meter" trash has NO such
authority, no such >sticker, and
>appear on a list of equipment (which I will >once again post here in
the faint hope that you >might grasp the reasons why said equipment
>cannot be legally sold in the US) that has >been determined by FCC's
test labs NOT to >meet one or more of their test criteria. Without >that
approval, FCC can most certainly raid, >seize, and fine outlets that
sell such >equipment. Like you, tools, some of those >outlets themselves

believe they have a "right" >to import and sell such junk, but they don't. It >is only a matter of time before the sh** hits the >fan. .....and I can't wait! Hehe!

Hey, the cb shop can be owned by a licensed ham and cater to cb. Dave Hall N3CVJ did it with his hack shop. Mods and all.
The cb shop could legally sell Icons, Yaesu, etc. See, many hammies do cb work,,,more cash than in hammie stuff, especially if you get a good name among drivers...tin' fo' good buddy?

>BTW, today I was listening to 28.085 and it >sounded just LIKE CB 19 complete with >echos, squeaks, and some driver saying F*** >every other word describing his dispatcher >while sounding like he was talking down a >drain pipe. Back soon with the complete list.
>J

10 meter doesn't concern us in any how. If it did, our ticket would be displayed with every post in a cb room like, well,..choose your LuLu.

1403. Re: The Frankie Dance and sing Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:07:36 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3F478.30B22654@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143934

"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>
> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
> >Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
> >REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
> >TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...

> Oh, Skippy, how you lie:

Doesn't look like the original comment below is appplied to any circuit
I can see frankie. It still looks like me telling you to relax after
you though some other post was from made by me. So again, check your
reverse screen current frankie...

> >
> >Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
> >excited
> >about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
> >
> >
> >> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
> >> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
> >>
> >
> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
> >unnecessary.
> >
> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
> >
> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
> >
> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
> <snip>
> =====
>
> Not only did you say that reverse screen current is a factor in your
> "classic" grounded-grid amp, but that it actually is responsible for
> reducing grid-plate capacitance, as well as grid shielding between the
> cathode and plate (which you say is the reason grounded-grid amps

> don't require neutralization).

Now "where exactly did I say reverse screen current is a factor..?"
That statement seems to be missing from the original and your cut and
pasted text. Stop making stuff up as you go along Franklin..

If you properly read the text, I was staying true to the actual
subject of the moment... tetrodes and pentodes in g-grid circuits.
One of the major reasons grounded grid circuits using tetrodes and
pentodes don't often require neutralization. The statement still
stands...

> First, as I have said many times but you fail to seek the truth for
> yourself, neutralization is not required in a grounded-grid amp
> because it's a non-inverting amp.

The Non Inverting circuit is not the key, nor is it the dominant
factor of a grounded grid amplifier.

> Second, grid shielding means absolutely nothing in a grounded-grid
> amplifier -- if it did, then your input signal would never get past
> the grid to the plate!

Not true, you are wrong.

> Third, Cgp never changes because it's a characteristic of the tube,
> not the circuit. The capacitance AT the grid can change by changing
> the circuit, but that's the INPUT capacitance, NOT Cgp! I have said
> that many times as well, and just like every other fact, you have
> failed to verify it for yourself.

You keep jumping back to the tube Cgp when the topic was the actual
problem C of the common cathode circuit C, much reduced grounded grid
circuit which is halved. Don't mix them up frankie... go back and
read my text as its writen. If you don't belive me, reference
the many Radio Handbook texts by former Eimac Author Bill Orr
(rip sk).

> >You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
> >review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
> >tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
> >Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
> >novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
> >clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"
>
> I never said it didn't exist. Here is what I DID say:
> >"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
> >"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!

Looks like your pretty surprised to the rest of us... adding your
own little bird watts remark after that doesn't help you out much.
You were also the only one talking bird watts.

"Reverse screen current"? "Now THERE'S a new one!"

We call'em as we seem them Frankie boy...

> What would you expect me to say? Maybe something like, "Oh, gee, that
> clears up just about everything! By golly, why didn't I think of using
> reverse screen current when the screen and supressor/beam deflection
> plates are grounded! Of course, that would make the triode-connected
> sweep tube not act like a triode at all! Now all you have to do is to
> figure out how to get the secondary emission from the plate to the
> screen past the supressor/beam deflection plates..."
> Dumbass.

I only expect you to be weak on RF theory and actual hands on (or
construction experience); you have proven that to us already.

You also appear rude and prove to have a potty mouth.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1404. Re: the "troll" defense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:18:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6212-3DD3F70E-76@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143944

>I don't operate in the freeband area. <

You're right..you don't anymore......probably since around mid-last week. AS your pal N8 likes to say..."You are being watched" hahahahahhhahah!". See, there are simply too many cbers out there that are also hammies. Those that see what you are doing to both of their hobbies, well, let's just say they look out for each other and you are viewed as a negative force to both....

>I have no interest in chasing DX. My only DX >experiences with the freeband date back to 2 >sunspot cycles ago.

Oh, this is good.
Care to cite how many years you view that as? Who did you sell the M-200 to?

>Any other time, the freeband was used as a >temporary "escape channel" for us locals to >get away from idiots throwing carriers or some >other such nonsense on the legal 40.

By George, I do believe he just justified his criminal (his words) behavior.
According to the hypocrite Hall (which he opened again), one who justifies their illegal behavior is not only sociopathic, but a character of extremely low moral content.

>I don't operate much at all on CB, as most of >the decent locals have either been chased >away by the almost constant droning of the >skip noise, or have found other interests. I'm >mainly on the ham bands now.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Yea Dave..we know why you're here.

1405. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:26:15 UTC
From: Sean <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <BMSA9.16619$NV.308298@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 143940

You chose to read the post!
It appears YOU are the only one cryin' here.
So, don't you start cryin' about it, either.

Your response humours me.
Only YOU responded to humiliate me;
the result will be the "DELETE" key,
and will result in your downfall.

You do not carry yourself very well as a CB'er by attacking others with
meaningless responses to THE TRUTH!!
I have a word count of only 9 words and two letters in your response
What more do you really need to say to begin with, at all?
Why do hide behind the letters FU to make your point?
Don't stress yourself guy.
You'll be alright!
Ain't no biggie!
Don't cry, now!

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou> wrote in message
news:20021113214111.27575.00000397@mb-mr.aol.com...
> go cry some where else ...do the letters FU mean any thing >Subject: Dumb
Ass
> on 27.285
> >From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
> >Date: 11/13/02 9:26 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
> >
> >This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by
the
> >FCC!
> >At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
> >Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB
Channel
> >28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
> >WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
> >
> >CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled
for
> >behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
> >And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
> >All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
> >The FCC totally ignores these!
> >(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled
"visits")

> >
> >Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on
other
> >CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
> >Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
> >
> >Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
> >Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
> >Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
> >
> >SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
> >You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
> >
> >So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on
the
> >radio.
> >
> >People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
dumbass
> >yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> >Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> >When do they YELL?
> >When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
> >
> >Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers,
so
> >is that van with the antennas on the roof!
> >Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
> >You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child
> >support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
> >excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
> >whatever else is "in the way"!
> >So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
> >
> >I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap"
on
> >the radio.
> >
> >I despise these linears on CB!
> >What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the
RF
> >power they can generate.
> >Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
> >These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
> >
> >I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
> >welfare and food stamps.
> >A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
> >radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere
,

> >BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned
up
> >so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a
profile!
> >
> >This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
> >others who are talking local.
> >
> >I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out
of
> >band just to get away from the "crap" either!
> >I applaude and salute them!
> >I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the
40
> >Channels that still exist!
> >
> >And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
> >If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city
or
> >the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
> >Even if REACT is there!
> >Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
> >If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
> >
> >Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in
that
> >agency!
> >A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
> >Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
> >And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
> >mandatory!!!!!
> >NO EXCEPTIONS!!
> >NO WHINERS!!
> >NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

1406. Re: The drawn out Frankie Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:33:44 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3FA98.541438E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143942

> yeti@cet.com : wrote babble

> >Not true, but again cute assumption on your part... you threw out your
> >typical batch of book text on beam tubes... talking about grid spacing
> >and stopped when the book text ended.
> >Since you quit at grid spacing, but left out space charge and secondary
> >emission theory... using your mis-information tatics are to now assume
> >you don't know anything about those subjects.
>
> Because space charge occurs in a tetrode and pentode regardless of
> whether it's a beam tube or not, which is why pentodes have a
> supressor grid! Go review your vacuum tube fundamentals, Skippy!

See the general description of Beam Forming Plates Frankie... thats
what I'm talking about... and what I was talking about in the first
post.

> > A more serious directly
> >related issue with beam power tube missing from your post is any
> >mention of electrode spacing. Electrode spacing is a serious property of
> >the beam tubes. Somehow you've totally left that out of your posts... but
> >again, you probably didn't know about it.
>
> Do you mean the spacing between the electrodes, or the spacing of the
> wires OF the electrode, or how the wires on the screen of a beam tube
> are located directly behind the wires of the grid forming an electron

It's clear as its writen, electrode spacing, not grid element or grid
wire spacing. But you left it out of your posts so using your tatics
we must now assume you know nothing about it.

> 'beam'? Sorry, Skippy, I already covered all that. But there are
> frequent examples where you use the terms 'beam' and 'power'
> interchageably, demonstrating that you didn't know what makes a beam
> tube different than any other power tube. Especially when you use
> phrases like "beam power applications". LOL!

Actually, its more like special beam forming plates (NOT THE GRID
WIRE SPACING OR PLACEMENT) found in beam power tubes, often used
in Sweep tube rf amplifiers. You have not mentioned before so we
must again assume you don't know anything about them.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1407. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:34:35 UTC
From: DutchMastahKillah <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <BUSA9.16622$NV.308265@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 143941

You the only one cryin' here!!
Someone told THE TRUTH and you started cryin'!
That Someone Is ME!!
Get Over It!!
"FU"?? WHATEVER!!!
Go away -I say Go Away Boy, yo breath stank!!
They make Scope, and Listerine and other kinds of mouthwash.
Get some gum and that'll help YOU out alot!!
Damn, You be alright, yo.

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou> wrote in message
news:20021113214111.27575.00000397@mb-mr.aol.com...
> go cry some where else ...do the letters FU mean any thing >Subject: Dumb
Ass
> on 27.285
> >From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
> >Date: 11/13/02 9:26 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
> >
> >This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by
the
> >FCC!
> >At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
> >Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB
Channel
> >28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
> >WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
> >
> >CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled
for
> >behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
> >And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
> >All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
> >The FCC totally ignores these!
> >(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled
"visits")
> >
> >Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on
other
> >CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
> >Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
> >
> >Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
> >Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.

> >Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
> >
> >SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
> >You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
> >
> >So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on
the
> >radio.
> >
> >People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
dumbass
> >yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> >Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> >When do they YELL?
> >When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
> >
> >Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers,
so
> >is that van with the antennas on the roof!
> >Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
> >You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child
> >support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
> >excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
> >whatever else is "in the way"!
> >So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
> >
> >I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap"
on
> >the radio.
> >
> >I despise these linears on CB!
> >What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the
RF
> >power they can generate.
> >Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
> >These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
> >
> >I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
> >welfare and food stamps.
> >A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
> >radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere
,
> >BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned
up
> >so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a
profile!
> >
> >This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
> >others who are talking local.
> >

> >I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out
of
> >band just to get away from the "crap" either!
> >I applaude and salute them!
> >I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the
40
> >Channels that still exist!
> >
> >And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
> >If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city
or
> >the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
> >Even if REACT is there!
> >Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
> >If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
> >
> >Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in
that
> >agency!
> >A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
> >Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
> >And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
> >mandatory!!!!!
> >NO EXCEPTIONS!!
> >NO WHINERS!!
> >NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

1408. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:36:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6212-3DD3FB20-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143945

>Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that >Amateur Radio is the one that is called upon >to augment communications resources in time >of disaster? CB is NOT.

He never claimed it was. In all fairness, there are more aspects of hammie radio that equate the "hobby" side as opposed to the "necessity" side.

>Why is it that Amateur Radio
>has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local >zoning laws against antennas?

Money. If cbers still needed licenses, you may darn well see that rule extended to them...especially those with real purpose. And Jerry, there exist several very important uses of cb radio across the land, not just with truckers, either.

>CB is NOT included. <

He never claimed otherwise.

>Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local >scanner laws?

See above...money.

> CBers are NOT. Why is is that<

Again,,see above.

>Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement >(PL106-521)? CBers are the FOCUS
>of said law.

Wanna bet? Not if they are guilty of malicious, interference pal. Case in point...look at the goof in California (hammie) busting in on cops.....he was smacked by the feds AND the state.

>Why is there now a Bill awaiting the 2003 >session to exempt Amateurs from >neighborhood cove-nants?

$$$$$

>CBers get NO such protection. <

Sure they do. They get a hammie license. Hahahahahahahhahaha! Having a hammie license doesn't exempt one from being a cber.

>Why do hams enjoy the use of spectrum from >the bottom
>to the "top"?

They don't.
Nocodes are the first example, and hammies such as Dave Hall with his noted disdain for dx is the second.

>CBers are legally restricted to 40 channels.

Who claimed otherwise?

>Toy? yes. CB a toy? yes. But Amateur
>Radio enjoys a FAR higher rung on the ladder >when it comes to Congress and emergency >services
>organizations.

As you have been witness to firsthand, the lowest rung on the ladder of evolution has been shown to be held by several that have their "ticket".

> CB is NOT vital to trucking. <

The hell its not. Nothing, and I mean nothing is more dependable when a traffic accident just occured and alternate routes are needed.

>The same thing can be done on VHF or UHF.

Wrong. Those freqs are dead compared to 19
on the interstates.

>You just don't want your ability to interfere with >adjacent services curtailed and your precious >"skip" to be taken away!
>J

You really have a problem with cb.
We aren't worried about anything. Trust me on this.

1409. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 19:39:31 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD3FBF3.FAAD0491@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143943

> yeti@cet.com is frankie who wrote:
>
> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >: 5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how
> >: it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the
> >: relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.
> >
> >Only your assumption... It's becomes a minor issue in actual tube
> >selection, if the choice is good enough to serve the desired application.
> >Opinions on "good enough" do vary, the actual judgement call should made
> >from measurements made on what come out the coax connector.
>
> It's such a minor issue that manufacturers spent jillions of dollars
> to develop power tubes designed specifically for smooth grid-current
> transition in AB2 operation. Sure, Skippy, whatever you say.

Dumb answer Franklin... Sweep Tubes in grounded grid AB2 RF amps
can work very well. ... and I saved all that money just by knowing
the "feed through power" of a grounded grid circuit swamps out the
grid current distortion issues.

But you didn't...

skipp
http://sonic.udavis.edu

1410. Re: The Frankie Gilliland Chronicles go on and on... - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 20:08:03 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD402A3.AFBE79D6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143946

> yeti@cet.com wrote nothing positive:
>
> >We are talking Grounded Grid amplifiers which are for the most part,
> >always AB2 circuits in design and operation. You hint that AB1 operation
> >is possible, whereas it might be with reduced drive levels... but I know
> >of no purpose designed conventional grounded grid amplifier running AB1.
> >Maybe you could give us an example of any purpose AB1 grounded grid
> >amplifier you know about...? Any..? Traditional AB1 amplifiers are
> >for the most part common cathode circuit layouts.
>
> Since you don't have much education in electronics, let ME give YOU
> the word: Although most grounded-grid amps may be AB2, that doesn't
> necessarily mean AB2 is grounded-grid because there are many AB2
> common-cathode amps! And guess what, Skippy? Those common-cathode amps
> usually require neutralization! As usual, you don't know what you are
> talking about.

Much to your dismay frankie, I have quite the formal electronics
education thank you. At no time did I ever restrict AB2 operation to
grounded grid... homey ain't playing that word game with you.

Once more for the road... we've been talking sweep tubes in AB2
grounded grid amplifiers. You want to jump lanes to common cathode
circuits, tell us at the time. I've been calling you on that issue
for some time now.

Just as a side bar comment, not all common cathode amps require
neutralization. Its not rocket science to build a stable common
cathode amplifier less the neutralization requirement.

Unless you put on the the turn signal... we are talking grounded
grid AB2 amplifiers using sweep tubes. Now if we can just get
you off your cell phone.

> > The original topic is
> >Sweep Tube amplifiers in grounded grid operation, that is AB2.
>
> The original topic was a couple of questions that you haven't been
> able to answer. Beyond that, the topic has been about sweep tubes vs.
> RF power tubes, and you have been trying to narrow that topic down to
> grounded-grid amps only, ignoring the fact that sweep tubes were used
> in many common-cathode applications.

Doesn't look your your going to be happy with any 25-50Mhz amplifier
example, the fact that I have an unaltered copy of an current IB
Radio Service FCC License so I can't help you there, nor do I care

to.

If you want to put on the common cathode sweep tube amplifier talk, lets boogie. By the way, did I tell you I have a two tube common cathode sweep tube amplifiers at 28.55 MHz that has better than 10dB gain and no neutralization...? How about you throwing out a circuit now..? How about you answering up with any text you've posted any where on the net that we can review... of course other than your hate post followup replies. Anything ...? Please..?

I'll be waiting..

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1411. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 20:14:05 UTC
From: DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ytTA9.16636$NV.308540@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 143997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Well, Truck drivers hang out - at truckstops tryin' to pick-up young gals/waitresses to "take them away from all that".
(Yeah - I've heard that line before!)
It don't mean shit!
They need to mind their own damn business and stop messin with someone's wife or girlfriend before they get hurt financially, mentally, emotionally and socially!!

Some wait for "lot-lizards" and "good-buddies" to come a knockin to get the cab rockin'!
They leave a message on the wall for someone to meet at certain time for whatever!!
Just for the hell of it -- change the date and location like at someone's house.

That's the shit right there!!
'Cause someone come out to beat they ass trying to make off with their daughter(s)!!
I can see that shit now!!

Then they will mind theys own bizwax!!
'specially thems black truckers!
They always wanna pick up da white gal!
you know what gonna happen then.
She gonna go wit him!!!
That's sad!! That's sad!!
The white gal betrayins her race in front of all those white folks just to get her butt fucked by some blackey!

So, whens was the last time you sees a black girl leave with a white truck driver who just picked her up?
Like I ask, :"What In The Hell Is The World Comin' Too?"

Y'all come back now, ya hear?!

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:usk2gsff40cjd6@corp.supernews.com...
> I plan on doing both.
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021106165919.578$N9@newsreader.com...
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > Ginger Eakle wrote:
> > > >
> > > > That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly
> > > > hear anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to

> > > > someone I would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to
> > > > their rig. I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band.
> But
> > > > now I have decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to
> chat
> > > > with. I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since
I
> > > > was a little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked
on
> > > > the hobby when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel
> 14
> > > > crystal in it. I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then
I
> > > > got hooked again when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I
> > > > hooked up as a base station. I used the mobile antenna that I put
> smack
> > > > in the middle of our metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent
> > > > distance when talking. I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be
> > > > making a comeback, so the companies that make them are going to
> > > > continue to make the radios. Let's hope so.
> > > > Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> > > .
> > >> Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> > > and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> > > can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> > > Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> > > code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> > > study.
> > >
> > That's a viable option,but don't let someone like JJ who claims to have
> > never operated a CB, sway your decision. (do both!?)
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>

1412. Re: MURS Consumer Radio Manufacturers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 20:16:39 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0211141216.2edcca30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 143998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb

"Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:<ut6qtmljm46i93@corp.supernews.com>...
> I just read on a site about MURS radios, Motorola and Tandy keep petitioning
> FCC to add more channels and then make MURS business use only. Motorola is
> also trying to get the FCC to change the rules so that the radios have the
> same kind of antennas as FRS radios. So that you can't use external
> antennas. Motorola already convinced FCC to change the rules so that MURS
> couldn't use repeaters anymore.

You are quoting and talking ancient history.

MURS is here to stay - as a Unlicensed Public Service with digital
communications and removable/external high-gain antennas.

And, BTW, it wasn't just Motorola that didn't want repeaters on MURS.

- Stewart

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

> "stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5f4fe4c2.0206291618.e6b4775@posting.google.com...
> > If the consumer(bubble-pack) radio manufacturers can get in the habit
> > of providing external antenna connection provisions on handheld MURS
> > units, these handheld units could serve double duty as walkie-talkies
> > and mobile/base units(as you could hook it up to a mag-mount antenna
> > when you got in the car, or to a rooftop high-gain antenna when you
> > got home)... and this is where MURS can carve out a niche in the
> > consumer radio market vs. FRS & GMRS/FRS combo radios (which by law
> > can not have external antennas). Unfortunately, the first
> > manufacturers attempting to market consumer MURS radios haven't
> > figured this out yet. With external antennas, MURS has range (and
> > marketing) advantages over integral-antenna FRS/GMRS radio... without
> > external antenna provisions, MURS radios are actually at a marketing
> > disadvantage due to the limited number of channels.
> >
> > Manufacturers: Don't try to treat the MURS market as just a VHF
> > version of FRS... take FULL advantage of the fact that MURS allows
> > external antennas... state the MURS range advantage right on the
> > package... in fact, stating that MURS radios(with external antennas)
> > allow 20+ mile range is no more of a lie than those who are currently
> > stating that GMRS/FRS combo radios have up to a five mile range.
> > Think about it, if you were a consumer, which radio would YOU buy -
> > the one with up to five mile range, or the one with 20+ mile range?
> >

> > - horseshoe7, Stewart, N0MHS, E Pluribus Unum
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

1413. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 20:57:20 UTC
From: Marty <vk2umj@NOSPAM.yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <Z_TA9.76585$g9.216456@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 143999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:ocsA9.75976$g9.214138@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Sorry mate,, wrong group !!!!!!
> I am so very sorry,, I will never do this again,,,
>
> How was that ??? was that what you wanted to read !!
>
> Garry

Garry,

SHAME, SHAME, SHAME...

Now, I want 100 lines "I will not annoy the silly whinging Poms"...

Okay? Write the line and then e-mail to me (you know my addy)

--
Martin from the Ridge!!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.417 / Virus Database: 233 - Release Date: 08/11/2002

1414. Re: The Frankie Story part - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 21:18:41 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD41331.A9C3227@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144000

> yeti@cet.com" wrote: something... we're still trying to sort it out.

> >There is no cure all for non linearity. An input tank circuit should be
> >considered a must have in all grounded grid amplifiers.
>
> I agree. Why doesn't the GLA-1000 or the Palomar have one?

My god, we agree on something... The short answer is... depends on what model Dentron GLA-1000 your talking about. From what I understand Late versions of the plain and all B versions included tuned input. The reincarnation of Dentron as Amp Supply had tuned input in all their sweep tube amplifiers.

Palomar had tuned input, depending on what model and version amp your talking about. Hi power mode of the 300 (six tube) model I like to reference has tuned input. Low power mode is direct to the final Quartet which has no tuned input. One should include a tuned input when driving with solid state exciters. It would not be required in common tube final HF Radios.

> >: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
> >: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
> >: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
> >: current distortion.
> >
> >Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
> >Yep, greater than 180 degrees.
>
> Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
> usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
> the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
> the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
> lower power levels than high power peaks.

The conduction angle is never perfect, but it is still about 210 degrees by generic definition. The grid should be biased negative with respect to the cathode. The question should be if you've ever measured the noise..? Is the noise a significant problem ..? I and other believe it does not disqualify sweep tubes from RF AB2 g-grid amplifier service.

> > The big picture here is the grid current
> >distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
> >Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
> >grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.
>

> Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
> The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
> a kilowatt amplifier.

Probably because -30 dB was the requirement... In my Dentron web page text, I mention a lot of the short comings of Dentron, which then became Amp Supply. I don't judge the Dentron GLA-1000 as a good circuit, in fact I wrote my text to point out some serious improvements to be made which will make the amplifier a great rebuild project.

Even the Svetlana Conversion has a lot of poop and is actually incomplete (no standby mode cut-off).

I measured about -32 dB on one example Quartet Sweep Tube Amplifier some years back. What would make the amplifier "suck" is a speech processed SSB signal using ALC.

In regular operation, you couldn't tell I had it on the air, other than the increased signal strength. That's what amplifier operation should be all about.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1415. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 21:37:23 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD41793.4F0DAAEF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144001

> yeti@cet.com wrote: on and on and on and on... for a long time...

> >: 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
> >: ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.
> >
> >Seems to me, I brought that to your attention frankie...
>
> Yes you did. You stated that a triode-connected grounded-grid sweep
> tube doesn't work like a triode. You further demonstrated your
> ignorance on the subject of grounding by bringing up the issue of
> 'reverse screen current'.

Now there you go again trying to drag Reverse Screen Current into the
mix; trying to cover your tracks... nope, won't work here.

Let us filter through the confetti and get right back on the topic.

The doesn't work like a triode refers to the benefit of the additional
shielding from the grounded screen and supressor grids. To make the
round trip, I also claim that the type of AB2 grounded grid circuit
is of concern (as there are a few variations of grounded grid) when
using triode connected grounded grid sweep tubes because there is a
problem (previously explained) trying to run them in some applied
triode g-grid circuits. It's all about the tube geometry...

> > you're
> >again trying to turn a post around again. In specific, I stated there is
> >more than one way to ground the required elements providing you understand
> >basic AC and DC load lines.
>
> I can't seem to find any post where you say that. Care to quote the
> specific post? And what do you mean by "basic AC and DC load lines"?
> You do know what a 'load line' is, don't you Skippy?

I do thank you, you don't appear to have a grasp of load lines when
you write poop about my 10 ohm series cathode resistor recommendation
in the dentron text.

The AC & DC part came in a later post... grounding grids in various
combinations was mentioned well over a year ago. I even posted a
rather large article about the good sweep tube amplifier layouts.
Since your so good at news group surfing, go dig it up on the
www.contesting.com web page. Once again, how about sharing any
text or web pages you have with us Frank... we're still waiting.

> > It was clear to me that you don't have
> >the proper background knowledge when you coughed and wheezed a batch of

> >poop about my recomendation to include 10 ohm cathode lead resistors in
> >my Dentron GLA-1000 update text.
> >First off, you started out with a "grounding the cathode" rant, when I
> >corrected your goof, you moved on or avoided your orignal mistake to say
> >the resistor addition was stupid.
> >Well, had you pulled your head out and done the proper homework, you've
> >find the example amplifier linearity improves with the mentioned
> >modification. But since you know feedback, degeneration and load lines so
> >well, you can figure that out later.
>
> I addressed that issue seperately. What does it have to do with the
> grounding of a grid? Nothing. You are shifting topics, the exact same
> thing you accuse ME of doing.

In specific, you applied the resistor recommendation to a fault of ungrounding the cathode, you also threw in neutralization with your diatribe of the moment when I only state the resistor improves linearity, the cause... by providing degeneration. You did not properly address the issue because you don't understand AB2 grounded grid circuits very well.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1416. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 22:18:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6jVA9.16559$wO4.14563@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144009

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6212-3DD3FB20-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that >Amateur Radio is the
> one that is called upon >to augment communications resources in time >of
> disaster? CB is NOT.
>
>
>
>
>
> He never claimed it was. In all fairness, there are more aspects of
> hammie radio that equate the "hobby" side as opposed to the "necessity"
> side.

He summed it up by calling it "a toy". So is CB. These days there are just
as much
to equate CB with "hobby" as there is for ham.

J
>
>
>
>
> >Why is it that Amateur Radio
> >has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local >zoning laws against
> antennas?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Money. If cbers still needed licenses, you may darn well see that rule
> extended to them...especially those with real purpose. And Jerry, there
> exist several very important uses of cb radio across the land, not just
> with truckers, either.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >CB is NOT included. <
>

>
>
>
>
> He never claimed otherwise.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local >scanner laws?
>
>
>
> See above...money.
>
>
>
>
> > CBers are NOT. Why is is that<
>
>
>
>
> Again,,see above.
>
>
>
>
>
> >Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement >(PL106-521)? CBers are the
> FOCUS
> >of said law.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Wanna bet? Not if they are guilty of malicious, interference pal. Case
> in point...look at the goof in California (hammie) busting in on
> cops.....he was smacked by the feds AND the state.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> >Why is there now a Bill awaiting the 2003 >session to exempt Amateurs
> from >neighborhood cove-nants?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> $$$$$
>
>
>
>
>
> >CBers get NO such protection. <
>
>
>
> Sure they do. They get a hammie license. Hahahahahahahhahaha! Having a
> hammie license doesn't exempt one from being a cber.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Why do hams enjoy the use of spectrum from >the bottom
> >to the "top"?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> They don't.
> Nocodes are the first example, and hammies such as Dave Hall with his
> noted disdain for dx is the second.
>
>
>
>
>
> >CBers are legally restricted to 40 channels.
>
>
>

>
> Who claimed otherwise?
>
>
>
> >Toy? yes. CB a toy? yes. But Amateur
> >Radio enjoys a FAR higher rung on the ladder >when it comes to Congress
> and emergency >services
> >organizations.
>
>
>
>
> As you have been witness to firsthand, the lowest rung on the ladder of
> evolution has been shown to be held by several that have their "ticket".
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > CB is NOT vital to trucking. <
>
>
>
>
> The hell its not. Nothing, and I mean nothing is more dependable when a
> traffic accident just occured and alternate routes are needed.
>
>
>
>
>
> >The same thing can be done on VHF or UHF.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Wrong. Those freqs are dead compared to 19
> on the interstates.
>
>
>
>
> >You just don't want your ability to interfere with >adjacent services
> curtailed and your precious >"skip" to be taken away!
> >J
>

>
>
>
>
> You really have a problem with cb.
> We aren't worried about anything. Trust me on this.
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1417. Re: recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 22:21:54 UTC
From: Xp--User <haa@asif.com>
Message-ID: <ar17lh$e6lsd$1@ID-122129.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

```
"Marty" <vk2umj@NOSPAM.yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:Z_TA9.76585$g9.216456@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> "Garry" <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:ocsA9.75976$g9.214138@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > Sorry mate,, wrong group !!!!!!
> > I am so very sorry,, I will never do this again,,,
> >
> > How was that ??? was that what you wanted to read !!
> >
> > Garry
>
> Garry,
>
> SHAME, SHAME, SHAME...
>
> Now, I want 100 lines "I will not annoy the silly whinging Poms"...
>
> Okay? Write the line and then e-mail to me (you know my addy)
>
>
> --
> Martin from the Ridge!!
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.417 / Virus Database: 233 - Release Date: 08/11/2002
>
>

Rofl

:o)
```

1418. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 22:33:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ayVA9.16595$wO4.3364@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144008

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6214-3DD3F466-15@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
"So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
What law do you think was being broken? Reality Check
indeed..... "

>Once again (and I cannot grasp why this is SO >hard to understand),

Me neither, but I'm gonna try and walk you through it again.

>any radio sold in the USA
>actually has 2 (that's T W O) kinds of approval >for sale; 1) FCC
approval to be sold stating >that
>the equipment in question meets FCC >specifications for use in the
intended service. >It applies to
>commercially manufactured radios. 2) FCC >certification for use in any
service other than >Amateur.
>Now, what that means is that, even though a >radio MAY be intended for
sale by a >manufacturer in
>the Amateur Service, it STILL must be >approved by FCC according to
certain criteria >set up by FCC's own labs.

When you say "certified" and "approval" are you referring to "type
acceptance"? If you are, since when did amateur gear need type
acceptance? If you are not referring to such, then what ARE you
referring to?

The term "type acceptance" is not used any more. If you will notice, when
you see a new Yaesu,
Icom, or Kenwood Amateur transceiver about to be introduced, you will see a
small disclaimer down at the bottom of the ad that says, "has not received
FCC approval for sale to the public"--or
something to that affect. Regardless of what service a radio is targeted
towards, it must meet certain requirements set by FCC for commercial
manufacturers in order to be approved for sale
in that particular service. While there is no "type certificate" for
Amateur Radios, commercially
produced radios for that market must meet certain baseline requirements
before the Fed will allow
it to be imported/sold in the US. That is the "rub" with those alleged 10
Meter "ham" radios sold in
truck stops; they do not meet FCC's criteria, they are too easy to modify,
they have "band" switches which allow the radio to cover SEVERAL "bands" of

frequencies. Ten Meters is O N E
band, so a 10 Meter radio doesn't NEED switches to cover one band. Ten
Meter ham radios,
the REAL ones, don't have incremental "channel" positions or echo, or even
those banal squeaks
and beeps.

>Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER >services must be FCC-approved, and
>as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker >so stating that
(para) "This device meets all >FCC re-
>quirements for spectral purity according to >Part XXX, Title 47, US
Code....."

So. Manufacturers were never mentioned. The 100 watt radios could have
been Icoms for all you know.

Icoms sold in the domestic market have the required approval for sale;
Galaxy's do not.

>Those
>Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 >Meter" trash has NO such
authority, no such >sticker, and
>appear on a list of equipment (which I will >once again post here in
the faint hope that you >might grasp the reasons why said equipment
>cannot be legally sold in the US) that has >been determined by FCC's
test labs NOT to >meet one or more of their test criteria. Without >that
approval, FCC can most certainly raid, >seize, and fine outlets that
sell such >equipment. Like you, tools, some of those >outlets themselves
believe they have a "right" >to import and sell such junk, but they
don't. It >is only a matter of time before the sh** hits the >fan.
.....and I can't wait! Hehe!

Hey, the cb shop can be owned by a licensed ham and cater to cb. Dave
Hall N3CVJ did it with his hack shop. Mods and all.
The cb shop could legally sell Icons, Yaesu, etc. See, many hammies do
cb work,,,more cash than in hammie stuff, especially if you get a good
name among drivers...tin' fo' good buddy?

He still may NOT legally sell those radios that appear on the list.
Legally, he can
sell the regular ham radios to anyone. Me, I wouldn't sell one without
proof of license.
Legal is legal, illegal is illegal, no matter WHAT "license" one has.

J

>BTW, today I was listening to 28.085 and it >sounded just LIKE CB 19
complete with >echos, squeaks, and some driver saying F*** >every other
word describing his dispatcher >while sounding like he was talking down
a >drain pipe. Back soon with the complete list.
>J

10 meter doesn't concern us in any how. If it did, our ticket would be displayed with every post in a cb room like, well,..choose your LuLu.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1419. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 22:34:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD424E7.BB8AA5EC@cwis.net>
Source record: 144002

Mad Dog,
Simple. For a 1/4 wave lengths; 234 / f[Mhz] = feet.
'Doc

1420. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 23:00:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021114180059.27775.00005077@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 144004

because it is the toy of alot of rich ass old white men who happen to know alot
of people

>Ham radio is a toy...nothing more.
>
>
>
>Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one that
>is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster? CB
>is NOT. Why is it that Amateur Radio
>has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local zoning laws against antennas? CB
>is NOT included.
>Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local scanner laws? CBers are NOT.
>Why is is that
>Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement (PL106-521)? CBers are the FOCUS
>of said law.

1421. Re: The Frankie G Tango via Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 23:01:03 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD42B2F.32A68691@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144005

> yeti@cet.com wrote: more poop
>
> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >: 9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity
> >: detectors" (your own terminology),
> > >
> > >Come on now frankie... talk about text twist and dance moves... I
> > >referenced an example linearity detector shown in the Galaxy Sweep Tube HF
> > >amplifier text posted on the sonic server.
> >
> > That's not a "linearity detector", and the article doesn't even call
> > it that. Your "linearity detector" is an AMPLITUDE COMPARATOR which
> > controls the bias regulator -- it's an ALC circuit, Skippy!

Lets break it down frankie... Conventional ALC as found in many
Amateur transceivers used with rf amplifiers consist of a hard wired
"feed back loop". The amplifier output quantity is sampled and
fed back to the transmitter ALC circuit. The Transmitter output
in real world action hopefully reacts (but never in time) to reduce
excessive drive. There is always a measurable amount of poop going
out the door before the circuit reacts. In a compressed speech
chat, poop is in generate mode because the ALC cannot keep up.
i.e. time constants.

In another topic we could talk about how to deal with the loop
issue in the real world, but its not worth the trouble when a
properly set up radio with a real power control (not a mic gain)
can easily limit the drive.

The Galaxy circuit is novel in that it adjusts the amplifier bias
for improved linearity. Notice the detector circuit compares the
output with the input. There is no limiting action... just
bias adjustment.

> The acronym 'ALC' stands for Automatic Level Control which, for all
> intents and purposes, is a compressor. The ALC is a circuit that
> reduces the input or gain when the amplifier becomes overloaded. By
> comparison, a limiter is basically a clipper, which necessarily causes
> distortion.

If the input power reduction happens late, poop happens early. With
each new word in some cases... I'll hear you up the band with your
"contest audio." Who's the bad boy now..?

The power control knob of a modern amateur radio should not accused
of causing distortion. In fact it could be a poop gate if people
would learn how to use it with their amplifiers in operation.

> As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
> the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
> to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
> whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.

Most modern amateur speech processors are not limiters. A couple
of peaks are going to sneak through each time the ALC loop fails
to keep up. Poop generation in every transmission.

> >Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual
> > circuit operation.
>
> Yourself included.

Thanks, seems I brought it to your attention, but you knew that
of course.

> >Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
> >coax connector to the antenna.
>
> See above.

I can... You "suppose" poop happens, I know "poop happens". Hey..!
Frankie helped me make a funny... :-)

> >Depending on the ALC to throttle your tubes back results in much higher
> >levels of initial pre alc action poop. You can hear that poop as buckshot
> >up and down the band...
>
> Yes you can if the amp is severly overdriven. Even an ALC has it's
> limitations. But an RF limiter creates horrendous amounts of splatter
> even at normal signal levels, just as if the amplifier were being
> driven into saturation. That's why RF limiters are not used in power
> amplifers, but ALC circuits are used instead.

Depending on ALC action most often results in over driven amplifiers,
dat's da fact jack...

RF Limiters are another animal which cover a lot of ground. Without
you going off to never never land again... how about you first read
and understand how the power control knob on a modern amateur radio
actually works...

Controlling or limiting the output power of a modern amateur radio is
not the distortion generation device your trying to describe....
really frankie, read up on it before you comment please.

> >: you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC
> >: circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective
> >: use in amplifiers and other circuits.
> >

> >At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part.
>
> It still does.

For those who know no better and are happy with dated technology.
Modern radios do a better job with ALC, but it's never fast enough.
This is where the power control knob on the radio works better.

> >Relying on it for rf compression is poorly applied technology. If you
> >were to look at your signal before the ALC acts... much unwanted poop is
> >generated. Especially in SSB operation with voice compression.
>
> The 'compression' to which you refer is actually CLIPPING of the audio
> peaks to prevent the amplifier from being driven into saturation on
> those peaks. It's funny how you got 'clipping' and 'compression'
> reversed!

When the amplifier reaches max boogie, the rf envelope is compressed
or in another view "hard limited". This is very bad news... some RF
Amplifiers have specified "X-dB" compression point measurement
values listed. The bottom line, one should avoid depending on
any circuit operation which can over drive an amplifier, even for
brief moments in each transmission. Smart people do not rely on
ALC circuit operation.

But you do...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1422. Re: The Frankie loves me Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 23:23:16 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD43064.65B7EFC4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144007

> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> >Dont have to do it twice. Consult the LA-1000 or GLA-1000 owners manual
> >for details.
>
> It claims 30 dB down on IMD and 40 dB down on harmonics. It doesn't
> mention the conditions under which those figures were achieved.
> Regardless, those are piss-poor figures for a 1000 watt amp, since the
> IMD alone puts out nearly 1 full watt:

It claims a lot of unsliced bologna Frank...glad you noticed. The
reason Amp Supply and Dentron went away was from their notorious
and now famous amount of monkey business.

1000 watts maybe on a good day with a tail wind...

Following most of the generic amplifier testing methods, I would imagine
they used a two tone test method.

In the real world, the GLA-1000 and Amp Supply LA-1000 do a nice clean
+10dB with about 40 to 60 watts drive. It makes them useful as compact
desktop amplifiers for many of the typical 70's/80's/90 hf amateur
radios.

> > They are FCC legal amplifiers which is all that matters.
>
> ONLY because of the exemption, NOT because of the RF performance of
> the sweep tubes, which basically sucks.

I was told, one version of the Amp Supply LA-1000 or the Dentron
GLA-1000C actually had type acceptance. I even had the tag picture
saved for the sonic web pages. Alas I'm not able to find it right
now so you'll accuse me of being a liar... so forget about it.

The big deal that the Dentron GLA-1000C and I believe the
Amp Supply LA-1000 models were both post ban amplifiers legally
sold in the US.

>
> > The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.

> And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.

Sweep tube amplifiers were legally made by Ameritron, Dentron
and Amp Supply after the 78 rule change... just not shipped with
plug and play 10 meter operation.

>
> > The mid 80's ARRL
> >Handbooks show great examples of sweep tubes in rf amplications. I
> >mentioned the Handbook references more than once, it's a waste of time to
> >post redundant information.
>
> And again you failed to mention that the vast majority of the
> amplifiers in project manuals and ARRL handbooks use RF power tubes,
> NOT sweep tubes.

"Failed" ...?
Why would I need to mention it..? I've been talking about sweep
tubes, where have you been...?

Variety is the spice of life.... even Handbook projects using RF
power tubes are not specific to one single type of valve.

Life goes on...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1423. Re: The SF Chronicle has the Sports Section - 13 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 23:42:44 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD434F4.8E730E9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144010

> yeti@cet.com wrote with bad breath...

> >The actual internal constuction of the tube matters very much. You are
> >clearly wrong...
>
> I was pointing out that your melodramatic concern about parasitics in
> RF power tubes is unfounded, since the use of a simple parasitic
> supressor is usually more than enough to prevent high-frequency
> parasitics REGARDLESS of what type of tube is being used. With that in
> mind, RF power tubes have no predisposition to parasitics as you
> claim. The difference is in the circuit, NOT the tube!

Aaaaahhhhhh.......! Now your changing your tune to "high-frequency parasitics". Doesn't matter really, let's talk.

Well Mr. Amplifier... your on your face again... Much of the problem with amplifiers parasitics happen in the VHF region, an area you should never ignore and a simple parasitic suppressor is only a very small part of the big picture.

Tubes like the 8877 are well designed and for the most part, don't require parasitic suppressors to operate in a good high gain HF circuits. Pop in a similar size valve in the same circuit and chances are it's going to sing like a big dog.

The type of tube matters... don't know how to say it any clearer to you.

Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term... but the tubes such as the 3-1000z and the 3-500z in mfgrs rated applications have a well known and documented track record of parasitic problems. Readers can reference text at http://www.vcnet.com/measures for more detailed information.

For more detailed study of a tube which is not generically subject to parasitic problems, please research the 8877, in specific... the grid construction. The mfgrs data sheet might be a good place to start.

Your simply wrong again Franklin... or frankie...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1424. Re: The Sports Chronicles Frankies down - 14 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 23:55:06 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD437DA.3172C409@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144011

"yeti@sayethpoopcet.com" wrote:

> >Actually, my response was about your broad statement that using a
> >fet preamplifier is useless.
>
> You responded to a post where I critiqued the KLV, saying that it was
> probably intended for the CB market, and....

Just can't help but throw this out one time... Where on the mfgrs
web page does it say what the intended market is Frankie... OK,
so I couldn't resist one time. Now lets break it down...

I believe you called the addition of a fet amplifier in the KLV
amplifier useless.

> "...with that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
> nothing other than making the noise floor louder."
>
> To which you replied:
>
> >Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
> >fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
> >signal gain is greater
> >than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
> >if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
> >overkill..?
>
> And prompted me to simplify my statement so that even you could
> understand that:
>
> >If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
> >floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
> >fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
> >but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!

Depends on where the noise floor happens to be... you have to be
certain
that each or most of the receivers can actually hear the noise floor.
You can't be certain...

> So you see, it wasn't such a "broad" statement at all. My statement is
> what is commonly referred to as a 'conditional statement', and was
> quite specific.

Conditional statements side step the applied real world.

> > I don't agree... not everyone has a hot
> >receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good thing
> >and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as a
> >firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios I've
> >tried have pretty numb receivers.
>
> Most CB radios (and by 'most' I mean just about every CB radio that
> has ever been manufactured AND is working properly) have receiver
> sensitivities in the range of 3 uV, which is plenty sensitive enough
> to hear the background hash that pervades the band almost constantly.
> And knowing that the noise on the CB is much greater than many other
> bands, a pre-amplifier is, as I said before, practically useless. It
> does not improve the signal-to-noise ratio, and actually degrades it
> by it's insertion. Preamps are primarily intended for receivers with
> weak front ends, such as older radios and homebrew rigs. They really
> make the difference with those one-tube regen rigs, older SW radios,
> and even crystal radios. But all they do with a CB radio is make the
> noise louder.

I don't agree... neither does most of the rest of the world. That's
why much of the rest of the world uses them in weak signal work.
That includes many two way commercial receivers along with the hobby
crowd. It's also how all those companies make much of their bread
and butter.

chow for now
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1425. Re: The National Frankie Chron - 15 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 00:23:37 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD43E89.6707860A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143950

> "yeti@cet.com" wrote: please spam me hard...

> >: amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
> >: screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
> >: amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where
> >
> >Not the entire case, only part of the master plan frankie... there's a
> >list of grounded grid benefits.
>
> Yes there are, but that's not the point. You claimed that
> neutralization was not required because the grid was grounded and
> screened the cathode from the plate. By that statement you proved that
> you have no clue as to the purpose of neutralization, the cause of
> regenerative feedback, or the operation of a grounded-grid amplifier.

The shielding of the grid(s) is part of the picture, but at no time
did I claim it to be the entire picture. Lets get real here frankie...
The non inverting function of the g-grid amplifier is not the key
player in the picture of amplifier stability. Non inverting amplifiers
will easily sing, it's about that anode-C path through the
blocking cap to the Tune-C that really concern the tubes gain in
the VHF region... your wonderful 6146 example can also boogie with
the rest of the tube that have VHF gain.

But you have "yeti" to show us that you understand the VHF issues
of HF amplifiers and how to deal with them.... oh yeah, other than
"a simple parasitic suppressor". You build and amplifier with a tube
like the 3-1000z and "a simple parasitic suppressor doesn't cut the
mustard frankie. And it's a purpose designed RF power tube...

>
> >: the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
> >: no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
> >: where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
> >: feedback!).
> >
> >You are a piece of work frankie... the vhf circuit which can sometimes
> >support VHF ocillations is mainly determined by the Anode-C and the
> >inductance of the conductors between the Anode and Tune-C. Your in phase
> >statement is bogus at VHF where the parasitics often occur. Your
> >post information is faulty.
>
> Neutralization is NOT used to prevent parasitics, it's used to prevent
> regenerative feedback from turning the amplifier into an oscillator on
> the resonant frequency of the amplifier! By golly, you don't even know

> how an oscillator works! Talk about bogus...!

Neutralization in the HF region goes out the window to ensure stability in the vhf region. There are two different paths happening...

By golly I must know something... every single grounded grid amplifier I own or have built (which are many in number) does not have one part of a neutralization circuit on board.

No one here claims neutralization is used to prevent parasitics. But most all of us owning or building grounded grid amplifiers (with the exception of some much debated Ameritron circuits) don't have a lick of a neutralization circuit installed.

Why wasted the hardware, it's simply not required in most grounded grid amplifier designs. Of course unless you and _____ say it is.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1426. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 00:40:28 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RFydnUvhZanm30mgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Thanks for the repost Jerry, I think some need a reminder once in a while.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:c0SA9.16347$wO4.6939@news.bellsouth.net...
:
: This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
: equipment.
:
: It comes direct from FCC's web site.
:
:
: ---
--
: ----
:
:
:
:
:
: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology
: (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these
: devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for
use
: as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further
: concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within
: the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
General
: Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
manufacturer
: and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue
: may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of
: several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639.
(letter
: from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service,
: dated May 17, 1999). Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below
30
: MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or
: marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
: including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The
: transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur
: "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export"

: radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
: the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers
: that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies
: as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits
can
: readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to
operate
: on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's
rules,
: a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
at
: a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c). The
: Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
: determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at
: issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
: the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
: frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
removing
: a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or
other
: simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
: fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c).
A
: CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
: importation. 47 C.F.R. &#65533;&#65533; 95.603(c); 2.803. Moreover, the dual use CB and
: amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the
: Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "..([CB] Transmitters with
: frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services . will not be
: certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical
: Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL
488084
: (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose
the
: possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id,
and
: thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
radio
: use. In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
: determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the
: rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not
: permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted
in
: the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 95.639. In view of the foregoing,
the
: following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or
: marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of
these
: units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and
: Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act

may
: subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for
: each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
: continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
models
: may be added to this list in the future. LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS ILLEGAL TO
: IMPORT OR MARKET GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V,
DX
: 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX,
: 3300 PLUS and CX-3800 MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
NORTH
: STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000 PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K.,
: Jackson and Lincoln PRO STAR - model: 240 RANGER - models: AR-3500 and
: RCI-2950 TEK - model: HR-3950 UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600 SUPER
: STAR - model: 121 For further information concerning the listed
transceivers
: or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC
: Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or
: (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov
:
: >
: >
: > J
: >
: >
: > > >
: > > >
: > >
: > >
: >
: >
: > ---
: > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
: > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: > Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
: >
: >
: >
:
:
: ---
: Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
: Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
:
:
:

1427. Re: The almost home frankie Chronicles - 16 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 00:54:21 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD445BD.3EF4DE27@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143952

spam "yeti@cet.com" please:
>
> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >: 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
> >: capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is
> >: grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
> >: characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
> >: might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
> >: that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
> >: tube for input.
> >
> >It's no mistake Frankie... look it up. Use the exact text I wrote so you
> >don't twist in some misinformation your so good at doing. If you need some
> >help, I can reference some text for you.
>
> No help required. Here you go (again):

[snipage on my part in the interest of brevity]
> >
> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
> >unnecessary.

Nothing wrong with the above statement...

> >
> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
> >
> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
> >
> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
> <snip>
> =====
>
> There you go, your exact text. And in your exact text you describe a
> number of misconceptions:

Well then, let's "concept them frankie... onward.
>
> 1. The screen grid does indeed shield the control grid from the plate,
> but it does so ONLY when there is a signal on the grid to be shielded.
> You don't have a signal on the grid of a grounded-grid amplifier.

You have reduced Cgp and Ccp... the reduced Cgp is a big
picture benefit of a grounded grid amplifiers. But you knew that
of course..
Simple enough, next

> 2. The effect of adding a screen grid to a TRIODE reduces the
> grid-to-plate capacitance of the tube. The Cgp of a tube DOES NOT
> CHANGE because it's connected as a triode in a grounded-grid
> amplifier! In fact, it effectively does the opposite....

Careful what you write bucky... The Cgp is actually about
half as I describe. I, other people and a heck of a lot of text
books don't agree. You are simply wrong and need to go look up
the details. How about a Radio Handbook as a good reference...

> 3. Since your sweep tube is connected as a triode, the screen and
> supressor (G2 and G3) are grounded with G1, and all three become the
> EFFECTIVE grid. Therefore, the G1-to-plate capacitance is added to the
> G2- and G3-to-plate capacitances, making the EFFECTIVE grid-to-plate
> capacitance HIGHER than the Cgp of the tube. In fact, the EFFECTIVE
> Cgp is more along the lines of the Cgp of a TRIODE (which shouldn't be
> so suprising since the tube is connected and operated as a TRIODE)!

Aaaaaaahhhhh, see... this is where you don't know classic grounded
grid amplifier layouts very well. It is very bad news to ground
the G1, G2 and G3 grids together... and you don't even have a clue
why...

I'll put aside your Cgp statements for the moment until you review
and understand why one should not ground together the G1, G2 (and G3)
grids with many types of tubes, based on their geometry. That includes
rf power tubes like the 4cx250b.

Your plane just crashed frankie...

> 4. I don't know how many times I need to repeat this before you
> finally get a clue and look it up for yourself, but I'll do it one
> more time: a grounded-grid amplifier does not require neutralization
> simply because it is a non-inverting amplifier which causes no
> regenerative feedback requiring neutralization -- NOT because of
> reduced Cgp or 'grid shielding', which are properties of the tube
> regardless of whether it's used as a grounded-grid or common-cathode
> amplifier.

In the ideal dream world... in the real world, feedback paths can
and do occur within the max (Ft) of the tube. When you have VHF (Ft)
rated tubes in service, all bets are off when relying on the non
inverting HF circuit layout. The non inverting by layout operation

of an amplifier makes it only a card player, not the dealer.

When you have tubes with high vhf gain, there can be two dealers
to the card game.

The subject is well covered at http://www.vcnet.com/measures

Don't take my or Frankie's word for it... grab some Radio Handbooks
and review the web page text mentioned above.

You'll grow old waiting for Frank to post any articles of text
he's authored. Even though I said please numerous times.

skipp

1428. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 00:57:32 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IOKcnbwJ8Lbm20mgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144013

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ar09vt$e1nev$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
:
: "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
: news:ar005q$dmbml$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
: > You cannot put a 102" whip into a K40 load , at least not on 11meter
(lol)
:
: Even if he had a standard 1/4 wave whip on the bumper, he's still going to
: get some reflected signal back into the antenna. Probably not as much as
: with the K40, but it would definitely throw the SWR readings off.
:
: Tom

Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of the question, a base loaded coil on the bumper is like a dummy load, he should be able to put a 102" whip and a spring on the bumper and get decent results. I will sure beat what he has now. Just about every antenna has some reflected power, a swr of 2:1 or less will be satisfactory and i think he can get it lower than that anyhow. If not a small matching network could be built if he wanted to get queer about it.

1429. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 00:58:59 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u26dnTkotctM20mgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144014

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114085620.24247.00004981@mb-mw.aol.com...
: Why not? The K-40 whip is what 72"

Well for starters thats not the point the K40 load for 1 may be bad, for 2 it has a coil and you cab't just put the 102" whip in there and expect to get a good match. he point was to remove the k40 which is a base load and get something longer with no coil behind all the metal of the van.

1430. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 01:05:46 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD4486A.F3FDB2F4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143951

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> >Once again Frankie... how many grounded grid AB1 amplifiers have you seen
> >in use..? Don't modify my text as you go along in your rants to suit
> >your current post needs.
>
> I have had enough with your "cut-and-paste" bullshit, Skippy. NONE of
> your quotes have EVER been modified, misquoted, pulled out of context,
> edited (not even for spelling), or otherwise used for any other
> purpose except for the meaning you intended. All my posts are on
> google, and I challenge you to find even ONE example that backs up
> your claim. Otherwise, quit lying about being misquoted.

Temper temper Frankie... your bald head and your blood pressure are
growing.

So how about answering my questions... how many grounded grid
amplifiers have you seen in operation...? make and model..?
any..?

> > The predominant class of grounded grid
> >amplifiers is AB2... exciter power is required and a portion shows up in
> >the output as a freebie. Doesn't get any simpler, but you seen to want to
> >give theory examples of amplifiers no one person is using. Earth to
> >Frank, real world here... wake up..
> >
> >One last thing... your last statement is not so true... class AB1
> >operation of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power.
>
> By your statements above -- about how AB2 requires input power but AB1
> does not -- it's clear that you think input power is required only
> when grid current flows. You are very, very wrong, Skippy. ANY class

Grid current flow implies input drive power consumed... unless you have
that magical grounded grid AB1 amplifier make and model handy...?

> of grounded-grid amplifier consumes input power (meaning power from
> the signal source). The input is on the cathode, and it is impossible
> for the tube to conduct (and therefore amplify) if there is no cathode
> current. Part of that cathode current MUST come from the signal source
> because it is IMPOSSIBLE to impose an AC signal on the cathode without
> AC current! Once again you demonstrate not only your ignorance on the
> subject, but your total lack of willingness to verify the facts for
> yourself.
>
> >Got to go, back later to finish... love ya frankie,

> I know.
>
> > keep trying.
> >skipp
>
> Keep trying yourself. You might try to check the validity of your
> statements before you demonstrate your ignorance even further by
> continuing to defend them.

Still waiting for that AB1 grounded grid amplifier Frank, make and model when you have a moment.

Keep this handy because it's helpful when talking about grounded grid amplifiers.

AB2 operation, grid current flows, drive power is consumed. The feed through drive power swamps out the grid current distortion issues.

AB1, no grid current flow.

Back latter for number 18 ... gotta' go check my reverse screen current.

still love ya frankie...

skipp

1431. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 01:32:49 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <59YA9.33905$1O2.4024@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 144015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Plonk.
"DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ytTA9.16636$NV.308540@news.direcpc.com...
> Well, Truck drivers hang out - at truckstops tryin' to pick-up young
> gals/waitresses to "take them away from all that".
> (Yeah - I've heard that line before!)
> It don't mean shit!
> They need to mind their own damn business and stop messin with someone's
> wife or girlfriend before they get hurt financially, mentally, emotionally
> and socially!!
>
> Some wait for "lot-lizards" and "good-buddies" to come a knockin to get
the
> cab rockin'!
> They leave a message on the wall for someone to meet at certain time for
> whatever!!
> Just for the hell of it -- change the date and location like at someone's
> house.
>
> That's the shit right there!!
> 'Cause someone come out to beat they ass trying to make off with their
> daughter(s)!!
> I can see that shit now!!
>
> Then they will mind theys own bizwax!!
> 'specially thems black truckers!
> They always wanna pick up da white gal!
> you know what gonna happen then.
> She gonna go wit him!!!
> That's sad!! That's sad!!
> The white gal betrayins her race in front of all those white folks just to
> get her butt fucked by some blackey!
>
> So, whens was the last time you sees a black girl leave with a white truck
> driver who just picked her up?
> Like I ask, :"What In The Hell Is The World Comin' Too?"
>
> Y'all come back now, ya hear?!
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> "Ginger Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
> news:usk2gsff40cjd6@corp.supernews.com...
> > I plan on doing both.
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021106165919.578$N9@newsreader.com...
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Ginger Eakle wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I
hardly
> > > > > hear anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk
to
> > > > > someone I would get walked on by some one with a linear attached
to
> > > > > their rig. I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens
Band.
> > But
> > > > > now I have decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to
> > chat
> > > > > with. I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby
since
> I
> > > > > was a little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked
> on
> > > > > the hobby when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had
channel
> > 14
> > > > > crystal in it. I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers.
Then
> I
> > > > > got hooked again when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that
I
> > > > > hooked up as a base station. I used the mobile antenna that I put
> > smack
> > > > > in the middle of our metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty
decent
> > > > > distance when talking. I saw on a website that CB is supposed to
be
> > > > > making a comeback, so the companies that make them are going to
> > > > > continue to make the radios. Let's hope so.
> > > > > Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> > > > .
> > > >> Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> > > > and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> > > > can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> > > > Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> > > > code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of

> > > > study.
> > > >
> > > That's a viable option,but don't let someone like JJ who claims to
have
> > > never operated a CB, sway your decision. (do both!?)
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
> >
> >
>
>

1432. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 01:59:41 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114205941.22543.00000769@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 144016

Can I at least get you guys to agree on a solution. You are the experts.
Thats why I came to the Newsgroup. Ill return the K-40 if you guys could agree
on a solution. Thanks.

1433. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:02:37 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <mcl8tuodoc3ddi1psd5ke1b25dgfoq3f2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 144017

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits
for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham
conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new
frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and
privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog
of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics
(TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger
Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, click line below:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&com
pleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

****
73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

1434. LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:06:24 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <2il8tusjnuphq00acka9umam9f42ti1k0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 144018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description of this and other items now on sale:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didnt' already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

1435. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:07:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD456E6.7BC2C98D@cwis.net>
Source record: 144019

FMRNYCER,
There's nothing wrong with the antenna. The problem is where
it's mounted. No antenna works well if it's 'obscured' by near
by objects (metal). If you could move it to the top of the
vehicle
it would work much better, or at least tune correctly. I can
understand why that may not be possible, or that you may not want
to move it, but that's what will help the most...
'Doc

1436. Re: Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:11:52 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <fsl8tug9676ohjtulb5q7mqj89b181ogur@4ax.com>
Source record: 144020

Not exactly the same; the 4A used a special EPROM and synth. circuit
for expanded channel coverage. The main TX & RX circuits were similar.

We SELL photocopies of either the Mark 4 or Mark 4A (original Browning
books) for $20 postpaid if interested. Each book was about 50-70
pages plus two 11x17 inch schematics.
Lou
****

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>It's been a good while since I've fooled with much less seen a Browning set
>of any make... My memory of some of them even fails me now. My question...
>
>MARK IV and MARK IV-A
>
>Unless I am mistaken, they were basically the same, but with some slight
>difference - thus the A suffix. IF I am correct, what was that difference?
>If I'm wrong, then what were the "differences"? Does anyone have any
>schematics, etc for the Mark IV - A?
>
>Please reply directly as I don't always get to read the newsgroups.
>
>Thanks.....
>
>Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
>
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1437. Re: Channel mod for Galaxy 2547, please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:12:51 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <a3m8tugedep4oariphs7kvhdingijreutf@4ax.com>
Source record: 144021

Try these guys: www.cbtricks.com

Lou
****

tramd300@aol.com (TRAMD300) wrote:

>Looking for the channel mod for this unit.
>I was told about a site(rogerbirdradiomods.com), but I may have miss spelled
>or don't have something right on the address.
>If you can help me out, that would be great...
>Please contact me direct at tramd300@aol.com
>
>Thank you...

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1438. Need Zachary T Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:15:06 UTC
From: jocko <eagle8@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut8b4opfb3n6ec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144006

HI
I Trying To find someone with parts for a President 40 channel Zachary T .What I need is the two switches and bracket that hold the swiches (it a one piece unit) for the anl and off----cb and pa .Any help on this. Kerry

1439. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:34:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <u4ZA9.16762$wO4.13405@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

NOWGAUS! <jgbbpi@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:g3Wy9.1437$2t5.956063797@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...

> Thinking about getting back into to....This time WELL equipped...Part of
the
> fun is fiddling with the radios and antennas
> and there all sorts of cool mods for CB!

THAT is the attraction of Amateur Radio; the experimentation and building.
And there is room to
get away from the things one doesn't like. "Cool" mods? Most of the
so-called mods for CB are
illegal and cause interference to other CBers or adjacent services.

J
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC93FC4.1CF51D84@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Ginger Eakle wrote:
> > >
> > > That's what it was like up until the end of the summer. Now I hardly
> hear
> > > anyone except the Skip talkers. When ever I tried to talk to someone
I
> > > would get walked on by some one with a linear attached to their rig.
> > > I started to see why CB got the nickname Childrens Band. But now I
have
> > > decided to get back into CB radio and there's no one to chat with.
> > > I haven't given up on CB, I have been a fan of the hobby since I was a
> > > little kid when CB radios only had 23 channels. I got hooked on the
> hobby
> > > when my parents bought me a walkie talkie that had channel 14 crystal
in
> it.
> > > I used to talk to my neighbors who were cb'ers. Then I got hooked
again
> > > when my family had a Cobra SSB CB mobile rig that I hooked up as a
base
> > > station. I used the mobile antenna that I put smack in the middle of
our
> > > metal roofed Trailer House. I got pretty decent distance when talking.

> > > I saw on a website that CB is supposed to be making a comeback, so the
> > > companies that make them are going to continue to make the radios.
> > > Let's hope so.
> > > Sorry if I was rambling on in this message.
> > .
> > Pick up a question and answer guide, spend a little time studying
> > and get your ham license. It will offer much more that cb ever
> > can. There is an entry class of ham license that does not require
> > Morse code, and the other classes only require 5 wpm of Morse
> > code, a speed almost anyone can achieve with a couple of weeks of
> > study.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

1440. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:51:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut8oac8nai9ia7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144024

Kenneth, if I ever need trolling lessons, what do you charge? You sure got a lot of 'em on this one ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"

1441. brand new radio forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 02:52:32 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114215232.13975.00000039@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 144023

northstar 3000 mobile , has dual echo knobs, talkback, extra channels, hi med lo switch, it will do about 25 watts, this is a sweet talking and looking radio...i'll take 145 for it

Thatz-me-JP

1442. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:19:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7JZA9.583$KT4.81658095@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
> Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
> pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
> it will do any good but I did my part.

Troll Post.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

1443. Re: The Frankie G' babble - 1 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:21:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4683e.18508187@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144026

In <3DD3DA74.655F1906@yahoo.com>, skipp
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi Frank,
>Back so soon.. hope your blood pressure is still under control.
>
>> (a) A grant of equipment authorization is valid only when the FCC
>> Identifier is permanently affixed on the device and remains effective
>> until revoked or withdrawn, rescinded, surrendered, or a termination
>> date is otherwise established by the Commission.
>
>The Motorola amplifiers I have here (and mentioned before) still have
>their permanent tags and are still effective as originally sold.

Wrong, and you would see that in the part of the post you snipped.
Here, let me quote it for you:

>Sec. 2.939 Revocation or withdrawal of equipment authorization.

> (a) The Commission may revoke any equipment authorization:
> ....
> (4) Because of conditions coming to the attention of the
>Commission which would warrant it in refusing to grant an original
>application.

There. Notice the part about "conditions...which would warrant [the
FCC] in refusing to grant an original application". In case you don't
have enough brains to figure that out, it means if the equipment
wouldn't get type-accepted/certificated TODAY then the original
type-acceptance/certification has effectively been RESCINDED.

>> Now in case you have been in a cave the past few years, no amplifiers
>> between 24 and 35 MHz are being granted authorization because of the
>> following law:
>
>That would be new authorization... the Motorola units I described
>already have authorization.

And will continue to have authorization unless the rules change, as
they did for amps between 24 and 35 MHz.

>> (b) After April 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture, sell or
>> lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or
>> lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or
>> leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
>> power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency
>> or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

>
>For new manufactured equipment, the motorola amplifiers are still valid,
>made before the ban.

You don't have a single brain in your head, do you Skippy? The amps
you mention work ABOVE 35 MHz! And even if they DID work between 24
and 35 MHz then they have lost their authorization because of 2.815!

>> Which means that any amplifier that can operate between 24 and 35 MHz
>> has lost it's certification/type-acceptance.
>
>As you try to interpret the rules to preexisting legal equipment. Not
>the case in my interpretation of the rules.

Your 'interpretation' leaves much to be desired, especially when you
ignore part of the rules (as you did when you cut my post).

>> As for your query to the FCC about modification of your amps, you will
>> find the answer here:
>
>No modifications are/were required to place the mentioned amplifiers
>into Same Radio Service.
>
>Sorry, once again... you lose. Nice try Frankie...

Wasn't your question to the FCC about if you could modify your 35 to
54 MHz amps to operate below 35 MHz? What did you finally get for an
answer on that "official query", Skippy?

>> In case you are too lazy to read them yourself, they clearly state
>> that you can't change the frequency range (and still use them under
>
>Don't have too... they function exactly as designed without
>modifications.

Duh! But you were talking about modifying them, or do I need to dig up
that post, too? You actually enjoy talking in circles to avoid the
truth, don't you, Skippy?

1444. Re: The Frankie Dies on the vine Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:21:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46847.18517414@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144027

In <3DD3DC7F.B24AA4B5@yahoo.com>, skipp
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: "yeti@cet.com" wrote: ... to get his blood pressure up.
>
>> >Sorry if you can't look up a valid FCC file number Frankie... that's your
>> >problem.
>>
>> By golly I CAN look up the file number! Right here:
>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/
>> So I input the file number you provided, "200001R435366", and it comes
>> back with:
>> "No matches found for the specified search criteria"
>
>Which simply proves the FCC ULS system is not complete. That's about
>all your going to get Frankie... The file number I posted is current
>and your out of luck. "tough titty said the kitty..."

The file number is invalid. Instead of getting smug about it, why
don't you check to see if you typed it correctly. Maybe there is a
zero that should be an 'O', or you left off a number or something.

>> >:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...
>> Not if they can't be verified.
>
>The paperwork in my hot little hand takes care of the FCC every time
>they might ask for it.

You can't use it for proof if you can't produce it for inspection or
verify it in any other way.

>> Not only did you provide an invalid file number, but you flat out lied
>> about the IB service being current:
>
>Don't think so Frankie... so what happens if I scan in an image of the
>actual license, blank out the personal information so you can enjoy
>your crow dinner. It won't work.. you'll claim the scan was modified
>to include the IB service. Nothing makes you happy frankie, your just
>a bad actor.

You assume a lot, Skippy. In case you haven't noticed, I don't make
accusations without reason or proof, and if the license is unedited
then I wouldn't have reason of proof, would I?

>> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Public_Notices/1997/da972216.html
>>

>> There is no more IB service, Skippy. It was one of the many services
>> that was consolidated into the new IG service pool back in 1997. And
>> you knew that because a notice was sent out to all IB licensees. You
>> are just full of lies, aren't you Skippy?
>
>Yep, as authorized by the FCC for the current hard copies I have in my
>hand. Call us both liars Frankie... enjoy your crow dinner.

Well, if you could provide a valid file number or call sign it might show that the license was obtained before the consolidation, which would therefore be for the IB service and is perfectly fine as far as I'm concerned. But your desperate attempt to avoid providing proof leads me to believe that you have something more to hide than just the validity of the license. Hmmm... is there something about that license that you don't want anybody to see, Skippy? Are you using false identities to obtain multiple licenses? Or maybe you got the license under false pretenses? Do you lie on your applications, Skippy? Because I can't see any other reason for avoiding such a simple disclosure when all your information is already in your other licenses. Maybe you just don't have that license at all, or it has already expired. Which is it, Skippy?

1445. Re: The Frank Gilliland Chronicles - 3 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:21:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4684d.18523291@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144028

In <3DD3E18B.6B0EAC6A@yahoo.com>, skipp
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> "yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>> >No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>> >were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>> >oscillator
>> >and or horizontal oscillator amplifier. Sweep tube is a label used to
>> >describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
>> >often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.
>> >
>> >Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
>> >s
>> =====
>> If you knew that the tube used for the "horizontal oscillator
>> amplifer" was the "sweep tube" then you wouldn't have contradicted
>> yourself in that post. The fact is that you didn't know that there is
>
>Aaaahhh.... I see your pivot point for your little rant... Well
>bartender,
>
>let me add one word in to give it proper text. There were some
>"reported"
>older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal oscillator.

Where are those "reports"? Who "reported" them? What televisions were
"reported" to use the 6146 as a horizontal oscillator?

>See folks, get ahead of yourself typing and Frank carries it to his
>grave
>as the focal point of a rather useless thread.

The thread is not useless at all -- it has done well at demonstrating
your technical incompentence.

>Sweep tube is still a label... of which can be further detailed.. if
>anyone but Frankie G wants to go there.

Let me guess... the topic has "a number of parameters better reviewed
in a separate thread", too many "complex sub-topics", deals with
"conventional design guidelines", and is "way to complicated to detail
here", right Skippy?

>> no difference, and used your favorite buzzword "classic" to describe
>> the sweep tube. I especially like your line, "Horizontal oscillator /
>> amplifier was often the function of sweep and beam power tubes"

>> because not only is it 100% redundant, it reinforces the fact that you
>> didn't understand the definition of a 'beam' tube, either!
>
>Yeah, I referenced that line right out of the RCA Receiving Tube Manual.
>Call RCA redundant...

Ok, I will.

> and while your there.. have a look at some of the
>multi section tubes which are not classic sweep tubes one would find in
>a grounded grid rf amplifier. your text reinforces the evidence that
>you
>have little actual experience building rf amplifiers.

Do you mean tetrodes and pentodes used in grounded-grid circuits? They
are not all beam tubes, Skippy.

>> >The orginal post gets you to dance on the sweep tube labels, not big deal
>> >which was easily clarified. For some reason, you just love to go back to
>> >the same non issue in any combination of text you can constuct... Get on
>> >with something more worth while frankie... your banging your bald head
>> >into the same non issue wall.
>
>> Your technical ignorance is a very important issue, Skippy.
>
>More important are your hazardous technical post statements which tell
>people to tie grids to plates in RF amplifiers... talk about technical
>ignorance and true evidence that you probably have built few, if any
>RF amplifiers.

It's far more "hazardous" to maintain and defend your mistakes than to
openly admit it as I did. Keep ragging on that topic, Skippy, it only
shows that you are desperate.

>> Call it whatever you want. The fact remains that the term "sweep tube"
>> is applied to tubes used for television 'sweep' circuits. If you can
>> find a TV that used the 8950 then I'll call it a sweep tube, too.
>
>I do call it what I want... most people in the real RF world know
>sweep type tubes exist that are equivalent RF designed equivalents,
>never used in TV sweep or similar circuits.
>
>We don't have a problem calling an equivalent tube by the same label,
>it fits and it works, the results are the same in the applied circuit.
>You are left out in the cold...

I would expect a degree of improvement by the 8950 in an RF
application, but since they were not designed for TV sweep
amplification I wouldn't expect them to perform there very well. But
like I said, call them what you want -- I would rather use the label
of "RF tube designed from a sweep tube". If you have a problem with
that then it's YOUR problem, not mine.

1446. Re: The Frankie out of steam Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:21:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46853.18529113@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144029

In <3DD3ECCB.9E70B152@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>[much text snipage]
>> As I said before, the amplification of a tetrode or pentode is
>> variable depending on the screen potential. When a tetrode or pentode
>> is rated by it's 'mu' it is under the condition that the tube is
>> operating as a triode. This should be obvious because the effective mu
>> of a tetrode/pentode operating as such is magnitudes larger than that
>> of a triode, yet when tetrodes and pentodes are rated by their mu it
>> is comparable with the mu of triodes. Check your datasheets if you
>> don't believe me. You do have datasheets, don't you? If not, try here:
>> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/index.html
>
>The topic was the application of Sweep Tubes in grounded grid
>amplifiers.

You keep ignoring that the topic is the application of sweep tubes in
RF amplifiers, both grounded-grid and common-cathode. It's not my
fault you don't understand the difference, so quit trying to narrow
the issue.

> The specific issue is the multiple connection schemes
>possible which would damage tetrode/pentodes in some triode
>connection schemes. You don't appear to have knowledge of the
>specific topic. Hi mu tubes don't play in all "low mu" grounded
>grid amplifiers circuits.

What does that have to do with the specific topic here, Skippy? Or did
you snip that text for a reason? Let's find out, shall we?

>>: 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the
>>: amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
>>: to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
>>: wasn't operating as a triode.
>>
>>I know exactly what low and high mu describe... it has many potential sub
>>topics when applied to RF amplifiers. Your book text posting wanders so
>>much, I/we must be careful to nail you down to the specific issue. You
>>also touted tetrode specs using Transconductance when in fact they also
>>have mu ratings. Because you left it out, are we supposed to jump up and
>>down to say you don't know anything about it... hardly.

OH! My GOODNESS! The issue of this post is about your ignorance of the
difference between 'amplification factor' and 'transconductance'! No

wonder you snipped all that text! Trying to change the topic again,
eh? Same old Skippy.

>> >In specific to my post, certain tubes operate as low mu in grounded grid
>> >amplifiers, some act as higher mu tubes in similar g-grid operation.
>> >These are serious issues when designing grounded grid amplifiers with
>> >respect to dangerous amounts of G1 (grid 1) current and the various
>> >circuit layouts. You ran out of technical steam and didn't indicate you
>> >had more than your one book knowlege of the subject, so I just let it
>> >stop there.
>>
>> That is totally ridiculous, as the mu of a tube has nothing to do with
>> how much grid current it is capable of handling!
>
>Your again out of steam... try reading up on the issues of high mu
>tetrodes/pentode in grounded grid rf amplifiers...

A high-mu tube doesn't need to draw as much grid current as a low-mu
tube, Skippy. And grid current doesn't change the mu of a tube, as I
said before, but you deny and refuse to explain why (because you
can't).

>> >Applied Grounded Grid Pentodes and Tetrodes Amplifiers have complex sub
>> >topics which can be hashed over in seperate threads. I'm not about to
>> >launch you into another of your many off topic diversions. If you stick to
>> >one specific theme per rant, well go as far into detail as you would care
>> >to go. By your many previous examples, you just want to rehash a 6146
>> >sweep tube post label out of context; over and over and over and over...
>> >and over.
>>
>> This is funny, Skippy. First you say that a triode-connected sweep
>> tube is not operating as a triode in your "classic" grounded-grid
>> amplifier, yet you don't want to discuss the "complex sub topics" of
>> grounded-grid tetrodes and pentodes. I'm was not diverting the topic,
>> I was trying to get YOU to say specifically what the topic IS, and you
>> still haven't been able to do that because you don't have a clue as to
>> what you are talking about!
>
>Not quite... no where above does it say triode connected sweep tube is
>not operating as a triode.

You are too much, Skippy:

"Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like
descriptions like low-mu."

"Triode operation is a bit liberal..."

And when asked directly and specifically...

>: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>: triode?

...you avoided the question completely by ragging on the same old
mistake...

>The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
>many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed
>your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
>well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
>choice.

And you have been sidestepping the issue ever since, tossing out bogus
concepts like "passive gain operation", and misusing real concepts
like "reverse screen current".

Like I said, you have no idea what you are talking about.

1447. Re: The Frankie Dance and sing Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46859.18535100@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144030

In <3DD3F478.30B22654@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>>
>> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
>> >Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
>> >REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
>> >TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...
>
>> Oh, Skippy, how you lie:
>
>Doesn't look like the original comment below is appplied to any circuit
>I can see frankie. It still looks like me telling you to relax after
>you though some other post was from made by me.

You are a moron, Skippy. I was replying to my own post because you
didn't, and I said that before. You would also see that fact in the
headers and in the first lines of the post, which you conveniently
snipped.

And you also snipped the question, "tell us what a "classic" design
entails", to which you responded:

> So again, check your
>reverse screen current frankie...

An answer that means nothing at all becase there is no reverse screen
current in a pentode or beam tetrode (sweep tubes) whether they are
common-cathode, grounded-grid, or even triode-connected!

>> >
>> >Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>> >excited
>> >about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
>> >
>> >
>> >> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>> >> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>> >>
>> >
>> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization

>> >unnecessary.
>> >
>> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>> >
>> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>> >
>> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
>> <snip>
>> =====
>>
>> Not only did you say that reverse screen current is a factor in your
>> "classic" grounded-grid amp, but that it actually is responsible for
>> reducing grid-plate capacitance, as well as grid shielding between the
>> cathode and plate (which you say is the reason grounded-grid amps
>> don't require neutralization).
>
>Now "where exactly did I say reverse screen current is a factor..?"
>That statement seems to be missing from the original and your cut and
>pasted

...and uneditied...

> text. Stop making stuff up as you go along Franklin..

You can try to use your own vagueness as an excuse, but it just don't
wash, Skippy. You didn't bring up reverse screen current just to be
obtuse, and you continued to describe (incorrectly) how the screen
prevents the need for neutralization.

>If you properly read the text,

...oh brother...first it's "properly designed" and now it's "properly
read"... can you be any more vague...?

> I was staying true to the actual
>subject of the moment... tetrodes and pentodes in g-grid circuits.
>One of the major reasons grounded grid circuits using tetrodes and
>pentodes don't often require neutralization. The statement still
>stands...

It fell a long time ago. Let me quote you a bit from one of my
favorite text books (that you despise because they contain facts that
contradict your RF voodoo):

"The top end of the tank circuit is feeding RF energy back to the grid
via the grid-plate capacitance of the tube and is attempting to make
the stage oscillate. When a _neutralizing_capacitor_ Cn, having the
same capacitance as the interelectrode capacitance of the tube, is
connected between the bottom of the tank circuit and the grid of the
tube, the grid is fed two equal and opposite voltages at the same
time, one through the tube and one through the neutralizing capacitor.

The net result is zero effective feedback to the grid from the plate circuit, and the stage will not oscillate. It is neutralized."

And a few pages later it describes grounded-grid amplifiers:

"...The top of the input and the output tanks are positive at the same time and are in phase, not 180 degrees out of phase, as with grounded-cathode amplifers. With this phase relation no regenerative feedback occurs and no neutralization is required, an advantage in HF, VHF, and UHF circuits."

I should add that the example circuit in the book is a triode, NOT a tetrode, pentode, beam tube, or any other tube with a screen. Those are described later in the book. The screen is NOT responsible for the lack of the need for neutralization with grounded-grid amplifiers. Any other book on the subject will say the same thing.

Now how long do you want to keep this up, Skippy?

>> First, as I have said many times but you fail to seek the truth for
>> yourself, neutralization is not required in a grounded-grid amp
>> because it's a non-inverting amp.
>
>The Non Inverting circuit is not the key, nor is it the dominant
>factor of a grounded grid amplifier.

See above, as well as any basic electronics textbook.

>> Second, grid shielding means absolutely nothing in a grounded-grid
>> amplifier -- if it did, then your input signal would never get past
>> the grid to the plate!
>
>Not true, you are wrong.

Well if the screen is providing enough shielding to prevent a feedback from getting from the plate to the cathode, then it's certainly preventing the signal from getting from the cathode to the plate! Or is this a magical kind of grid that is selective as to the direction of electrostatic coupling? Maybe it's a "bird screen"... hehe...

>> Third, Cgp never changes because it's a characteristic of the tube,
>> not the circuit. The capacitance AT the grid can change by changing
>> the circuit, but that's the INPUT capacitance, NOT Cgp! I have said
>> that many times as well, and just like every other fact, you have
>> failed to verify it for yourself.
>
>You keep jumping back to the tube Cgp when the topic was the actual
>problem C of the common cathode circuit C, much reduced grounded grid
>circuit which is halved.

Not. You haven't read the post with the info from the Eimac datasheets.

> Don't mix them up frankie... go back and
>read my text as its writen. If you don't belive me, reference
>the many Radio Handbook texts by former Eimac Author Bill Orr
>(rip sk).

Post a link. While I'm reading that, you can start on chapter 1 of
your Electronics 101 book.

>> >You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
>> >review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
>> >tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
>> >Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
>> >novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
>> >clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"
>>
>> I never said it didn't exist. Here is what I DID say:
>> >"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
>> >"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!
>
>Looks like your pretty surprised to the rest of us... adding your
>own little bird watts remark after that doesn't help you out much.
>You were also the only one talking bird watts.
>
>"Reverse screen current"? "Now THERE'S a new one!"
>
>We call'em as we seem them Frankie boy...
>
>> What would you expect me to say? Maybe something like, "Oh, gee, that
>> clears up just about everything! By golly, why didn't I think of using
>> reverse screen current when the screen and supressor/beam deflection
>> plates are grounded! Of course, that would make the triode-connected
>> sweep tube not act like a triode at all! Now all you have to do is to
>> figure out how to get the secondary emission from the plate to the
>> screen past the supressor/beam deflection plates..."
>> Dumbass.
>
>I only expect you to be weak on RF theory and actual hands on (or
>construction experience); you have proven that to us already.

I have proven quite the opposite, Skippy. And who is "us"?

>You also appear rude and prove to have a potty mouth.

Speak for yourself, Skippy. You are just a rude, and even though you
don't use explicit profanity, you certainly use a large assortment of
demeaning words and phrases. Physician, heal thyself.

1448. Re: The drawn out Frankie Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46860.18542075@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144031

In <3DD3FA98.541438E@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com : wrote babble
>
>> >Not true, but again cute assumption on your part... you threw out your
>> >typical batch of book text on beam tubes... talking about grid spacing
>> >and stopped when the book text ended.
>> >Since you quit at grid spacing, but left out space charge and secondary
>> >emission theory... using your mis-information tatics are to now assume
>> >you don't know anything about those subjects.
>>
>> Because space charge occurs in a tetrode and pentode regardless of
>> whether it's a beam tube or not, which is why pentodes have a
>> supressor grid! Go review your vacuum tube fundamentals, Skippy!
>
>See the general description of Beam Forming Plates Frankie... thats
>what I'm talking about... and what I was talking about in the first
>post.

Beam forming plates are usually grounded, and have the same effect as
the supressor of a pentode -- they prevent the space charge from
hitting the screen. Now what's your point?

>> > A more serious directly
>> >related issue with beam power tube missing from your post is any
>> >mention of electrode spacing. Electrode spacing is a serious property of
>> >the beam tubes. Somehow you've totally left that out of your posts... but
>> >again, you probably didn't know about it.
>>
>> Do you mean the spacing between the electrodes, or the spacing of the
>> wires OF the electrode, or how the wires on the screen of a beam tube
>> are located directly behind the wires of the grid forming an electron
>
>It's clear as its writen, electrode spacing, not grid element or grid
>wire spacing. But you left it out of your posts so using your tatics
>we must now assume you know nothing about it.

You didn't answer the question: what do you mean by 'electrode
spacing'? And again, what is the point?

>> 'beam'? Sorry, Skippy, I already covered all that. But there are
>> frequent examples where you use the terms 'beam' and 'power'
>> interchageably, demonstrating that you didn't know what makes a beam
>> tube different than any other power tube. Especially when you use
>> phrases like "beam power applications". LOL!

>
>Actually, its more like special beam forming plates (NOT THE GRID
>WIRE SPACING OR PLACEMENT) found in beam power tubes, often used
>in Sweep tube rf amplifiers. You have not mentioned before so we
>must again assume you don't know anything about them.

Once again, what about the electrode spacing? How is it different than
a 'non-beam' pentode? How does it affect the operation of your
triode-connected sweep tube?

What's your point? Where are you going with all this, Skippy?

1449. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46868.18550424@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144032

In <3DD3FBF3.FAAD0491@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com is frankie who wrote:
>>
>> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
>> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >: 5. You didn't understand the meaning of 'grid-current distortion', how
>> >: it's created, or even how to read an EpIp graph to determine the
>> >: relative smoothness of a grid current transistion.
>> >
>> >Only your assumption... It's becomes a minor issue in actual tube
>> >selection, if the choice is good enough to serve the desired application.
>> >Opinions on "good enough" do vary, the actual judgement call should made
>> >from measurements made on what come out the coax connector.
>>
>> It's such a minor issue that manufacturers spent jillions of dollars
>> to develop power tubes designed specifically for smooth grid-current
>> transition in AB2 operation. Sure, Skippy, whatever you say.
>
>Dumb answer Franklin... Sweep Tubes in grounded grid AB2 RF amps
>can work very well. ... and I saved all that money just by knowing
>the "feed through power" of a grounded grid circuit swamps out the
>grid current distortion issues.
>
>But you didn't...

Because "swamping" the cathode (not a very good term, BTW --
low-impedance signal source is much better) only relieves PART of the
problem. The other problem, as I have stated many times, is the
linearity through grid current transistion, which is what the post
addressed, NOT cathode "swamping".

If you want to see just how stupid you look arguing around this topic,
check out the following post:

<3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>

1450. Re: The Frankie Gilliland Chronicles go on and on... - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4686c.18554543@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144033

In <3DD402A3.AFBE79D6@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com wrote nothing positive:
>>
>> >We are talking Grounded Grid amplifiers which are for the most part,
>> >always AB2 circuits in design and operation. You hint that AB1 operation
>> >is possible, whereas it might be with reduced drive levels... but I know
>> >of no purpose designed conventional grounded grid amplifier running AB1.
>> >Maybe you could give us an example of any purpose AB1 grounded grid
>> >amplifier you know about...? Any..? Traditional AB1 amplifiers are
>> >for the most part common cathode circuit layouts.
>>
>> Since you don't have much education in electronics, let ME give YOU
>> the word: Although most grounded-grid amps may be AB2, that doesn't
>> necessarily mean AB2 is grounded-grid because there are many AB2
>> common-cathode amps! And guess what, Skippy? Those common-cathode amps
>> usually require neutralization! As usual, you don't know what you are
>> talking about.
>
>Much to your dismay frankie, I have quite the formal electronics
>education thank you. At no time did I ever restrict AB2 operation to
>grounded grid... homey ain't playing that word game with you.

That's hogwash, Skippy! Your confusion between amplifier classes and
operation is posted all over these threads!

>Once more for the road... we've been talking sweep tubes in AB2
>grounded grid amplifiers. You want to jump lanes to common cathode
>circuits, tell us at the time. I've been calling you on that issue
>for some time now.

...good grief. Get yourself a decent news browser so you can go back
and read the previous threads. Out of all the browsers I tried, even
MSIE, I liked Agent the best. You should try it sometime. Then maybe
you can read the previous posts and see that the conversation has
never been limited to grounded-grid amps, despite your desperate
attempts to do so.

>Just as a side bar comment, not all common cathode amps require
>neutralization. Its not rocket science to build a stable common
>cathode amplifier less the neutralization requirement.

Duh! I said that a long time ago! It's VERY easy to build one without
neutralization when your Cgp is so low that stray capacitance in the
grid circuit is enough to neutralize the tube. Where have you been,

Skippy?

>Unless you put on the the turn signal... we are talking grounded
>grid AB2 amplifiers using sweep tubes. Now if we can just get
>you off your cell phone.

I had a cell phone for a few months. Didn't like it. Never had one
since.

>> > The original topic is
>> >Sweep Tube amplifiers in grounded grid operation, that is AB2.
>>
>> The original topic was a couple of questions that you haven't been
>> able to answer. Beyond that, the topic has been about sweep tubes vs.
>> RF power tubes, and you have been trying to narrow that topic down to
>> grounded-grid amps only, ignoring the fact that sweep tubes were used
>> in many common-cathode applications.
>
>Doesn't look your your going to be happy with any 25-50Mhz amplifier
>example, the fact that I have an unaltered copy of an current IB
>Radio Service FCC License so I can't help you there, nor do I care
>to.
>
>If you want to put on the common cathode sweep tube amplifier talk,
>lets boogie.

I have been doing it all along, Skippy. You have been out to lunch on
the issue.

> By the way, did I tell you I have a two tube common
>cathode sweep tube amplifiers at 28.55 MHz that has better than
>10dB gain and no neutralization...?

10 dB gain from a two-tube common-cathode amp? That's PATHETIC,
Skippy! You should be getting AT LEAST 14 dB from those tubes, which
means that you have neutralization in that amp but don't know it, and
it's causing your poor gain figures. Hey, why isn't this amp posted on
your sonic server, too? LOL!

> How about you throwing out
>a circuit now..? How about you answering up with any text you've
>posted any where on the net that we can review... of course other
>than your hate post followup replies. Anything ...? Please..?

Naw, I would rather point out all the errors of your amps.

1451. Re: The Frankie Story part - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd46876.18564320@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144034

In <3DD41331.A9C3227@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> >: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
>> >: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
>> >: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
>> >: current distortion.
>> >
>> >Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
>> >Yep, greater than 180 degrees.
>>
>> Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
>> usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
>> the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
>> the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
>> lower power levels than high power peaks.
>
>The conduction angle is never perfect, but it is still about 210
>degrees by generic definition. The grid should be biased negative
>with respect to the cathode.

I have no problems with 210 degree conduction, although I wouldn't
agree to the specific number unless I actually measured it. As for the
grid biased negative, yeah? So?

> The question should be if you've ever
>measured the noise..? Is the noise a significant problem ..? I
>and other believe it does not disqualify sweep tubes from RF AB2
>g-grid amplifier service.

The numbers provided by the manufacturer are more than enough proof of
the noise caused by these tubes. I have posted them from your own
example of a "classic" amp at least three times. Shall I post them
again?

>> > The big picture here is the grid current
>> >distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
>> >Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
>> >grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.
>>
>> Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
>> The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
>> a kilowatt amplifier.
>
>Probably because -30 dB was the requirement... In my Dentron web page

>text, I mention a lot of the short comings of Dentron, which then
>became Amp Supply. I don't judge the Dentron GLA-1000 as a good
>circuit, in fact I wrote my text to point out some serious improvements
>to be made which will make the amplifier a great rebuild project.
>
>Even the Svetlana Conversion has a lot of poop and is actually
>incomplete (no standby mode cut-off).
>
>I measured about -32 dB on one example Quartet Sweep Tube Amplifier
>some years back. What would make the amplifier "suck" is a speech
>processed SSB signal using ALC.

I wouldn't run a sweep tube that DOESN'T have an ALC circuit, and I would NEVER run a sweep tube grounded-grid. The proof is in the pudding -- they simply put out too much grid-current distortion, and all the noise figures for all the grounded-grid sweep tube amps back up that fact. "Plain fact, jack..."

>In regular operation, you couldn't tell I had it on the air, other
>than the increased signal strength. That's what amplifier operation
>should be all about.

It should be, but frequently that's not all that comes out of the coax.

1452. Re: The Skippy Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:22:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4687c.18570142@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144035

In <3DD41793.4F0DAAEF@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com wrote: on and on and on and on... for a long time...
>
>> >: 8. You didn't know that 'grounded' in 'grounded-grid' means a signal
>> >: ground, and not necessarily a DC ground.
>> >
>> >Seems to me, I brought that to your attention frankie...
>>
>> Yes you did. You stated that a triode-connected grounded-grid sweep
>> tube doesn't work like a triode. You further demonstrated your
>> ignorance on the subject of grounding by bringing up the issue of
>> 'reverse screen current'.
>
>Now there you go again trying to drag Reverse Screen Current into the
>mix; trying to cover your tracks... nope, won't work here.

You brought it into the conversation, Skippy. You used it in context
with your explanation of how a triode-connected sweep tube doesn't
work like a triode, but are now recanting because you think I'm stupid
enough to buy your excuse that it had nothing to do with the question.
"nope, won't work here", Skippy.

>Let us filter through the confetti and get right back on the topic.
>
>The doesn't work like a triode refers to the benefit of the additional
>shielding from the grounded screen and supressor grids.

Nice try, but you can't shield the plate from the cathode without
shielding the cathode from the plate, cause the amp wouldn't work! And
your precept for shielding stems from your unwillingness to understand
the basic operation of a grounded-grid amp, the regenerative feedback
effect of interelectrode capacitances, and the neutralization of the
same.

And that STILL doesn't explain what you were allegedly referring to
when you brought up 'reverse screen current' (if not about how a
triode-connected sweep tube doesn't operate like a triode).

> To make the
>round trip, I also claim that the type of AB2 grounded grid circuit
>is of concern (as there are a few variations of grounded grid) when
>using triode connected grounded grid sweep tubes because there is a
>problem (previously explained) trying to run them in some applied
>triode g-grid circuits. It's all about the tube geometry...

Well then explain why this tube geometry affects the operation of a
triode-connected sweep tube. Come to think of it, you have used the
phrase "tube geometry" several times, but just like all your other
meaningless catch-phrases you haven't gone into any further detail or
explanation. Do you think these things explain themselves, Skippy? Or
do you think that I don't know a bluff when I see it?

>> > you're
>> >again trying to turn a post around again. In specific, I stated there is
>> >more than one way to ground the required elements providing you understand
>> >basic AC and DC load lines.
>>
>> I can't seem to find any post where you say that. Care to quote the
>> specific post? And what do you mean by "basic AC and DC load lines"?
>> You do know what a 'load line' is, don't you Skippy?
>
>I do thank you, you don't appear to have a grasp of load lines when
>you write poop about my 10 ohm series cathode resistor recommendation
>in the dentron text.

...blah, blah, blah. I already addressed your cathode resistors a long
time ago but you refuse to look up the post. Now where is that post
where you explain about "basic AC and DC load lines", Skippy?

>The AC & DC part came in a later post... grounding grids in various
>combinations was mentioned well over a year ago. I even posted a
>rather large article about the good sweep tube amplifier layouts.
>Since your so good at news group surfing, go dig it up on the
>www.contesting.com web page. Once again, how about sharing any
>text or web pages you have with us Frank... we're still waiting.

More diversionary BS. What's a load line, Skippy?

>> > It was clear to me that you don't have
>> >the proper background knowledge when you coughed and wheezed a batch of
>> >poop about my recomendation to include 10 ohm cathode lead resistors in
>> >my Dentron GLA-1000 update text.
>> >First off, you started out with a "grounding the cathode" rant, when I
>> >corrected your goof, you moved on or avoided your orignal mistake to say
>> >the resistor addition was stupid.
>> >Well, had you pulled your head out and done the proper homework, you've
>> >find the example amplifier linearity improves with the mentioned
>> >modification. But since you know feedback, degeneration and load lines so
>> >well, you can figure that out later.
>>
>> I addressed that issue seperately. What does it have to do with the
>> grounding of a grid? Nothing. You are shifting topics, the exact same
>> thing you accuse ME of doing.
>
>In specific, you applied the resistor recommendation to a fault of
>ungrounding the cathode, you also threw in neutralization with your
>diatribe of the moment

WTH are you talking about? I said that a cathode resistor on a
common-cathode RF amp is a bad idea because it ungrounds the cathode.
What does that have to do with your claim that a series resistor on
the cathode of a grounded-grid amp improving linearity?

> when I only state the resistor improves
>linearity, the cause... by providing degeneration.

Attenuation is not the same as degeneration (degenerative feedback).
Go read your Electronics 101 book, Skippy.

> You did not
>properly address the issue because you don't understand AB2 grounded
>grid circuits very well.

I understand the issue very well and have previously addressed it.
I'll even give you the post ID (which I have done several times
already and twice today):

<3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>

And you are STILL avoiding the issue at hand; namely, your confusion
between AC and DC grounding of a grid.

1453. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:31:59 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <l9q8tu4lh5skh53obij77hcfs2p2h0pmsf@4ax.com>
Source record: 144036

On 15 Nov 2002 01:59:41 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:

>Can I at least get you guys to agree on a solution. You are the experts.
>Thats why I came to the Newsgroup. Ill return the K-40 if you guys could agree
>on a solution. Thanks.

Your best bet would be to, as someone already said, get a mirror mount
antenna or dual mirror mount antennas.

Or if you don't want mirror mounts then try gutter mounts. You need to
get the bulk of the antenna above the roof, or at least some distance
away from the vertical surface of the van.

Check these antennas and mounts out.

http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm

1454. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:41:36 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wWKdnV5UOZxI8UmgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144037

> Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of
the
> question,

Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of the roof will work.
The bigger problem is that those antennas need the ground plane of the roof
to work right.
He might be better with a marine CB antenna, they are designed to work
without the ground plane.

1455. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 03:43:50 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <e2SdnavQbt7B8EmgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144038

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114205941.22543.00000769@mb-me.aol.com...
> Can I at least get you guys to agree on a solution. You are the experts.
> Thats why I came to the Newsgroup. Ill return the K-40 if you guys could
agree
> on a solution. Thanks.

Without being there and seeing it in person, it's difficult to say exactly what's the best solution for you. Mounting the base loaded antenna low like that was definitely not the best idea.

A marine antenna might be an interesting option, you can mount those on the roof, and they don't require any metal ground plane.

1456. Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 04:08:08 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <Iq_A9.38584$Em.15733832@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144039

You should really do something a little more constuctive with all of your free time. Like maybe... get a JOB.

1457. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 04:20:48 UTC
From: Cris <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com>
Message-ID: <dts8tugjvorreuagaemnca0lqgkndng62a@4ax.com>
Source record: 144040

On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 06:07:52 -0500, "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net>
wrote:

>>100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
>>50 watts output on 70cm,
>>10 watts output on 1.2 GHz
>
>That's what i'm talking about......

no you misunderstood, even if they weren't using a amp, there
is so much noise to contend with, that sometimes you have to yell
just to be heard. And if they had the same conditions that were
found on HF, 6 and 2 meters.. could be found on CB at anytime.
with 100 watts, I don't think they would be yelling.

But it sucks, having to yell,

>WHAT??? SAY AGAIN!! I STILL CAN'T HEAR YOU!

Trying to talk through a S9 of noise.

or maybe who ever it was, heard you complaining about it,
and decided to turn everything up.. just to piss you off.
some people are like that...

anyway
73's

1458. Re: The Frankie G Tango via Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 05:15:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd482bf.25292907@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144041

In <3DD42B2F.32A68691@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com wrote: more poop
>>
>> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
>> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >: 9. You didn't know what ALC circuits are, calling them "linearity
>> >: detectors" (your own terminology),
>> >
>> >Come on now frankie... talk about text twist and dance moves... I
>> >referenced an example linearity detector shown in the Galaxy Sweep Tube HF
>> >amplifier text posted on the sonic server.
>>
>> That's not a "linearity detector", and the article doesn't even call
>> it that. Your "linearity detector" is an AMPLITUDE COMPARATOR which
>> controls the bias regulator -- it's an ALC circuit, Skippy!
>
>Lets break it down frankie... Conventional ALC as found in many
>Amateur transceivers used with rf amplifiers consist of a hard wired
>"feed back loop". The amplifier output quantity is sampled and
>fed back to the transmitter ALC circuit.

Exactly! The output QUANTITY, not the LINEARITY!

> The Transmitter output
>in real world action hopefully reacts (but never in time) to reduce
>excessive drive. There is always a measurable amount of poop going
>out the door before the circuit reacts. In a compressed speech
>chat, poop is in generate mode because the ALC cannot keep up.
>i.e. time constants.

Most of your "poop" is generated because your speech processing
includes clipping of the audio signal! As long as you don't drive the
ALC too hard you won't have any "poop" to worry about, regardless of
the time constants. And that's a pretty good argument against trying
to squeeze every last watt out of a rig.

>In another topic we could talk about how to deal with the loop
>issue in the real world, but its not worth the trouble when a
>properly set up radio with a real power control (not a mic gain)
>can easily limit the drive.
>
>The Galaxy circuit is novel in that it adjusts the amplifier bias
>for improved linearity. Notice the detector circuit compares the

>output with the input. There is no limiting action... just
>bias adjustment.

No kidding -- it improves linearity by pulling the amplifiers out of
saturation and back into the linear portion of the EgIp curve.

>> The acronym 'ALC' stands for Automatic Level Control which, for all
>> intents and purposes, is a compressor. The ALC is a circuit that
>> reduces the input or gain when the amplifier becomes overloaded. By
>> comparison, a limiter is basically a clipper, which necessarily causes
>> distortion.
>
>If the input power reduction happens late, poop happens early. With
>each new word in some cases... I'll hear you up the band with your
>"contest audio." Who's the bad boy now..?

The ALC circuit, just like any other compressor, is set to react
whenever the input reaches a specific level. That level may or may not
be in the linear region, but the lower the better. It also has an
attack time that must be set -- again, the lower the better. Provided
the amp is used according to the manufacturers recommendations and not
driven beyond the ability of the ALC circuit to control the amp, there
won't be ANY "poop" to deal with.

>The power control knob of a modern amateur radio should not accused
>of causing distortion.

Who did?

> In fact it could be a poop gate if people
>would learn how to use it with their amplifiers in operation.

..."poop gate"?

>> As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
>> the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
>> to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
>> whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.
>
>Most modern amateur speech processors are not limiters.

The hell they aren't! Most of them work by simple diode clipping and
subsequent filtering. Some work via fast AGC circuits which have the
same effect, but with less filtering required because of the soft
clipping of an AGC amp. There are a few speech processors that use
log/anti-log amps or RF processing, but those are quite rare.

> A couple
>of peaks are going to sneak through each time the ALC loop fails
>to keep up. Poop generation in every transmission.

Right effect, wrong reason. Ever read an ARRL handbook, Skippy?

>> >Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual
>> > circuit operation.
>>
>> Yourself included.
>
>Thanks, seems I brought it to your attention, but you knew that
>of course.

If you had a decent news browser you would see that it was ME that
brought it up while talking about the Swans. But a nice try, Skippy.

>> >Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
>> >coax connector to the antenna.
>>
>> See above.
>
>I can... You "suppose" poop happens, I know "poop happens". Hey..!
>Frankie helped me make a funny... :-)

I said that I suppose there is a very small and insignificant amount
of time where a signal will slip past an ALC circuit when it's working
correctly and not overdriven, or couldn't you gather that much from
the context? I also said that speech compression causes distortion of
the audio input to the amp, which it does. Have you thought about
getting one of those glare-screens for your computer monitor?

>> >Depending on the ALC to throttle your tubes back results in much higher
>> >levels of initial pre alc action poop. You can hear that poop as buckshot
>> >up and down the band...
>>
>> Yes you can if the amp is severly overdriven. Even an ALC has it's
>> limitations. But an RF limiter creates horrendous amounts of splatter
>> even at normal signal levels, just as if the amplifier were being
>> driven into saturation. That's why RF limiters are not used in power
>> amplifers, but ALC circuits are used instead.
>
>Depending on ALC action most often results in over driven amplifiers,
>dat's da fact jack...

No doubt that ALC circuits are frequently overdriven. Some people just
gotta have those extra few watts!

>RF Limiters are another animal which cover a lot of ground. Without
>you going off to never never land again... how about you first read
>and understand how the power control knob on a modern amateur radio
>actually works...

By adjusting the bias, attenuating the input, and/or varying the
screen current of the common-cathode final. What's your point? And
what does that have to do with RF limiters?

>Controlling or limiting the output power of a modern amateur radio is
>not the distortion generation device your trying to describe....
>really frankie, read up on it before you comment please.

You are confusing 'limiting' and 'compression', Skippy. A limiter
prevents a signal from exceeding a specific level, usually by
clipping. It distorts the signal. A compressor (of which the ALC
circuit is an example) decreases the gain and/or input according to
the output level. The signal remains relatively unscathed.

>> >: you claimed had "linearity detectors". You also claimed that ALC
>> >: circuits are "dated technology" despite their continued and effective
>> >: use in amplifiers and other circuits.
>> >
>> >At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part.
>>
>> It still does.
>
>For those who know no better and are happy with dated technology.

That includes anyone that uses incandescent light bulbs, convection
ovens, and that wonderful invention by Thomas Crapper. All "dated"
technologies by your standards.

>Modern radios do a better job with ALC, but it's never fast enough.
>This is where the power control knob on the radio works better.

Are you trying to tell me that you can turn a power control knob
faster than an ALC circuit can react to power peaks? I hope not!

>> >Relying on it for rf compression is poorly applied technology. If you
>> >were to look at your signal before the ALC acts... much unwanted poop is
>> >generated. Especially in SSB operation with voice compression.
>>
>> The 'compression' to which you refer is actually CLIPPING of the audio
>> peaks to prevent the amplifier from being driven into saturation on
>> those peaks. It's funny how you got 'clipping' and 'compression'
>> reversed!
>
>When the amplifier reaches max boogie, the rf envelope is compressed
>or in another view "hard limited". This is very bad news... some RF
>Amplifiers have specified "X-dB" compression point measurement
>values listed. The bottom line, one should avoid depending on
>any circuit operation which can over drive an amplifier, even for
>brief moments in each transmission. Smart people do not rely on
>ALC circuit operation.
>
>But you do...

I expect it to perform the fuction for which it was designed. I have
also stated many times that you shouldn't overdrive your amp -- and
consequently overdrive the ALC circuit -- because the ALC has it's
limitations. Matter of fact, I made a point of that when talking about
the Swan 500, or are you missing that post too? Funny how you have
been able to read all of these numbered posts but STILL can't find
those other posts -- you aren't ignoring them, are you Skippy?

1459. Re: The Frankie loves me Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 05:15:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd482e7.25332728@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144042

In <3DD43064.65B7EFC4@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>> >Dont have to do it twice. Consult the LA-1000 or GLA-1000 owners manual
>> >for details.
>>
>> It claims 30 dB down on IMD and 40 dB down on harmonics. It doesn't
>> mention the conditions under which those figures were achieved.
>> Regardless, those are piss-poor figures for a 1000 watt amp, since the
>> IMD alone puts out nearly 1 full watt:
>
>It claims a lot of unsliced bologna Frank...glad you noticed. The
>reason Amp Supply and Dentron went away was from their notorious
>and now famous amount of monkey business.
>
>1000 watts maybe on a good day with a tail wind...
>
>Following most of the generic amplifier testing methods, I would imagine
>they used a two tone test method.

I don't make such assumptions. I have been in the business for a long
time, and in the position many times where I was told to fudge the
figures in order to pass specifications. And even a two-tone test
won't necessarily show the inherent "poop" when an amp hits those
modulation peaks normally encountered with human speech. You know that
as well as I do.

>In the real world, the GLA-1000 and Amp Supply LA-1000 do a nice clean
>+10dB with about 40 to 60 watts drive. It makes them useful as compact
>desktop amplifiers for many of the typical 70's/80's/90 hf amateur
>radios.

I wouldn't call them "clean", that's for sure.

>> > They are FCC legal amplifiers which is all that matters.
>>
>> ONLY because of the exemption, NOT because of the RF performance of
>> the sweep tubes, which basically sucks.
>
>I was told, one version of the Amp Supply LA-1000 or the Dentron
>GLA-1000C actually had type acceptance. I even had the tag picture
>saved for the sonic web pages. Alas I'm not able to find it right
>now so you'll accuse me of being a liar... so forget about it.

Some of them did indeed have authorization. But that authorization has
been rescinded, or can't you comprehend that fact? I even quoted you
the regs, but you snipped part of them and claimed that it's all up to
your interpretation. Unfortunately, Skippy, it is NOT subject to YOUR
interpretation, but it is clearly spelled out in the regs. What part
of "law" don't you understand?

>The big deal that the Dentron GLA-1000C and I believe the
>Amp Supply LA-1000 models were both post ban amplifiers legally
>sold in the US.

I do believe that they were post-ban amps, but I think if they were
legal in the US it was before the amendment placing restrictions on
amps that are easily modifiable for the prohibited freqs.

>>
>> > The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.
>
>> And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.
>
>Sweep tube amplifiers were legally made by Ameritron, Dentron
>and Amp Supply after the 78 rule change... just not shipped with
>plug and play 10 meter operation.

See above.

>>
>> > The mid 80's ARRL
>> >Handbooks show great examples of sweep tubes in rf amplications. I
>> >mentioned the Handbook references more than once, it's a waste of time to
>> >post redundant information.
>>
>> And again you failed to mention that the vast majority of the
>> amplifiers in project manuals and ARRL handbooks use RF power tubes,
>> NOT sweep tubes.
>
>"Failed" ...?
>Why would I need to mention it..? I've been talking about sweep
>tubes, where have you been...?

I have been here talking about sweep tubes AND RF power tubes, and
watching you trying to narrow down the topic to grounded-grid sweep
tube amps only while covertly redirecting topics where you show a
serious lack of technical competence.

>Variety is the spice of life.... even Handbook projects using RF
>power tubes are not specific to one single type of valve.
>
>Life goes on...

Yeah, but the life of sweep tubes is just about over. Why are you
hanging onto this "dated" technology?

1460. Re: The SF Chronicle has the Sports Section - 13 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 05:15:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd482eb.25337561@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144043

In <3DD434F4.8E730E9@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> yeti@cet.com wrote with bad breath...
>
>> >The actual internal constuction of the tube matters very much. You are
>> >clearly wrong...
>>
>> I was pointing out that your melodramatic concern about parasitics in
>> RF power tubes is unfounded, since the use of a simple parasitic
>> supressor is usually more than enough to prevent high-frequency
>> parasitics REGARDLESS of what type of tube is being used. With that in
>> mind, RF power tubes have no predisposition to parasitics as you
>> claim. The difference is in the circuit, NOT the tube!
>
>Aaaaahhhhhh.......! Now your changing your tune to "high-frequency
>parasitics". Doesn't matter really, let's talk.

I addressed both high-frequency and low-frequency parasitics
previously. Update your news browser.

>Well Mr. Amplifier... your on your face again... Much of the problem
>with amplifiers parasitics happen in the VHF region, an area you
>should never ignore and a simple parasitic suppressor is only a very
>small part of the big picture.
>
>Tubes like the 8877 are well designed and for the most part, don't
>require parasitic suppressors to operate in a good high gain HF
>circuits. Pop in a similar size valve in the same circuit and chances
>are it's going to sing like a big dog.

That's a load of "poop" and you know it. Any circuit to which the 8877
is connected is going to have resonant frequencies -- that's just a
fact of RF amps you can't avoid. As long as the tube can amplify on
those frequencies, there MUST be some method of dampening the
unintended resonant frequency of the circuit. It's not the tube, it's
the circuit, Skippy!

>The type of tube matters... don't know how to say it any clearer to
>you.

You said it clearly enough, but you are still wrong. The tube is only
a component of any parasitic resonant circuit in the amplifier. Unless
the tube has some magical "resonance detection-and-dampening" device,
you still need some form of supression of those frequencies. No tube
is immune because the tube is just the amplifier, not the whole

resonant circuit. This is first-year electronics, Skippy.

>Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term...

The concept is yours, and it's not really a good concept.

>but the tubes such as the 3-1000z and the 3-500z in mfgrs rated
>applications have a well known and documented track record
>of parasitic problems. Readers can reference text at
>http://www.vcnet.com/measures for more detailed information.

You should take a look at that site a bit more closely. A lot of the info is good, but a lot of it is also very bogus, such as the idea (which you adopted) that neutralization isn't required in a grounded-grid amp because of 'grid-screening'.

>For more detailed study of a tube which is not generically subject
>to parasitic problems, please research the 8877, in specific...
>the grid construction. The mfgrs data sheet might be a good
>place to start.

I have already done so, and I even posted some information from the datasheet in this discussion. There is nothing in the datasheet to indicate that it is immune from parasitics. Because, Skippy, parasitics are an effect of the CIRCUIT, NOT just the tube.

>Your simply wrong again Franklin... or frankie...

All the data and facts support my comments and contradict your's. It is YOU that is wrong again, Skippy.

1461. Re: The Sports Chronicles Frankies down - 14 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 05:15:31 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd482f1.25342834@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144044

In <3DD437DA.3172C409@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@sayethpoopcet.com" wrote:
>
>> >Actually, my response was about your broad statement that using a
>> >fet preamplifier is useless.
>>
>> You responded to a post where I critiqued the KLV, saying that it was
>> probably intended for the CB market, and....
>
>Just can't help but throw this out one time... Where on the mfgrs
>web page does it say what the intended market is Frankie...

On page three of the operating instructions it says, "Use of this amp
with a CB radio is illegal. If anyone complains, try to make them feel
stupid by pointing that out in this manual. If they continue to
complain, just act stupid until they stop. If you get caught by the
FCC, just say that you interpreted the law differently and they will
understand..."

Close enough?

> OK,
>so I couldn't resist one time. Now lets break it down...
>
>I believe you called the addition of a fet amplifier in the KLV
>amplifier useless.
>
>> "...with that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
>> nothing other than making the noise floor louder."
>>
>> To which you replied:
>>
>> >Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
>> >fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
>> >signal gain is greater
>> >than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
>> >if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
>> >overkill..?
>>
>> And prompted me to simplify my statement so that even you could
>> understand that:
>>
>> >If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise

>> >floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
>> >fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
>> >but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!
>
>Depends on where the noise floor happens to be... you have to be
>certain
>that each or most of the receivers can actually hear the noise floor.
>You can't be certain...

Sure you can. Test your receive with the sig-gen. If you can get it
down to 3 uV then you probably don't need a pre-amp for audio
reception.

>> So you see, it wasn't such a "broad" statement at all. My statement is
>> what is commonly referred to as a 'conditional statement', and was
>> quite specific.
>
>Conditional statements side step the applied real world.

YOU are trying to accuse ME of sidestepping? ROTFLMMFAO!!! Try reading
in context, Skippy!

>> > I don't agree... not everyone has a hot
>> >receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good thing
>> >and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as a
>> >firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios I've
>> >tried have pretty numb receivers.
>>
>> Most CB radios (and by 'most' I mean just about every CB radio that
>> has ever been manufactured AND is working properly) have receiver
>> sensitivities in the range of 3 uV, which is plenty sensitive enough
>> to hear the background hash that pervades the band almost constantly.
>> And knowing that the noise on the CB is much greater than many other
>> bands, a pre-amplifier is, as I said before, practically useless. It
>> does not improve the signal-to-noise ratio, and actually degrades it
>> by it's insertion. Preamps are primarily intended for receivers with
>> weak front ends, such as older radios and homebrew rigs. They really
>> make the difference with those one-tube regen rigs, older SW radios,
>> and even crystal radios. But all they do with a CB radio is make the
>> noise louder.
>
>I don't agree... neither does most of the rest of the world. That's
>why much of the rest of the world uses them in weak signal work.
>That includes many two way commercial receivers along with the hobby
>crowd. It's also how all those companies make much of their bread
>and butter.

Most of the world accepts certain things on blind faith simply because
the claims are too good to be true. Anyone that has actually put these
through the bench know better -- same deal with sweep tube amps.

1462. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 05:17:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd48319.25383533@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144045

In <Iq_A9.38584$Em.15733832@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
<ae352@iwon.com> wrote:

>You should really do something a little more constuctive with all of your
>free time. Like maybe... get a JOB.

Or maybe do a little freebanding?

And what about all the posts by Skippy? Should he get a job, too?

Go play with yourself, Clyde.

1463. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 06:35:33 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z2Sdnb6tu4goCEmgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:7JZA9.583$KT4.81658095@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
: news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
: >
: > Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
: > of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
: > surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
: > pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
: > it will do any good but I did my part.
:
: Troll Post.
:
: Landsharki

Damn, you sure it is? How would you really know
:
: --
: If you are implying that people, who
: hold ham licenses, should be somehow
: held to a higher moral standard than
: the "common man", then that would
: be faulty logic. A ham license is only
: a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
: "badge of morality".
:
:
```

1464. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 06:50:36 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <coacnQtPN82hBEmgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 143947

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:wWKdnV5UOZxI8UmgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
:
:
: > Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of
: the
: > question,
:
: Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of the roof will work.

So will a small metal plate.

: The bigger problem is that those antennas need the ground plane of the
roof
: to work right.

Thats why the mag mount is out of the question. Unless he plans on putting chicken wire up on the roof of van and install an antenna and ground it to the wire.

: He might be better with a marine CB antenna, they are designed to work
: without the ground plane.

A 102" whip and spring mounted on bumper will certainly outperform a marine antenna on roof.

1465. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 06:54:31 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QludnZXNBN67B0mgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 143948

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:e2SdnavQbt7B8EmgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
:
: "FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
: news:20021114205941.22543.00000769@mb-me.aol.com...
: > Can I at least get you guys to agree on a solution. You are the
experts.
: > Thats why I came to the Newsgroup. Ill return the K-40 if you guys
could
: agree
: > on a solution. Thanks.
:
: Without being there and seeing it in person, it's difficult to say exactly
: what's the best solution for you. Mounting the base loaded antenna low
like
: that was definitely not the best idea.
:
: A marine antenna might be an interesting option, you can mount those on
the
: roof, and they don't require any metal ground plane.

A 102" whip on the bumper will also outperform that marine antenna, the 102" whip has no load like the wilson or k40 to detune it as much being mounted where it is at. It is also probably the cheapest antenna out there 14.99 at Radio Shack, install it with a spring on a ball mount mounted on bumper or side of van. If you mount it on Van rear quarter panel waist high it would work pretty good.

1466. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 07:33:22 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ar28i6$o2t$2@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 143949

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd25e12.930539@news.cet.com...
> In <aqsv3kio2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
> >
> >Another HYPOCRITE.
> >I thought you blocked me... your filter still doesn't work.
>
> Free Agent doesn't have a killfile, you dope!

Childish name calling will not cover up your problems.
How would I know what "Free Agent" has... look at my header,
do I use "Free Agent", have I EVER.
Do you know every function of every piece of software in the
world... exactly.

> My 'filter' is my own brain.

So, that's what is not working right.
Why don't you get a better Newsreader... one with a filter. I know, you
don't really "filter" anyone... and you have no wish to. If you filtered
those you do not like on here, you would have so little left to reply to and
even less that would actually interest you like the posts from those who you
call "Keyclowns".

> And when I read your

So, you admit that, although you "plonked" me, you still read the
messages I post.

> BTW, you have said
> several times that you have ME in YOUR killfile.

Your memory certainly is failing you.
Try looking back through the posts. You will find that you brought that
up before, and I explained... you changed your header info, so appeared
on my system again.
After that, YOU claimed to "plonk" ME. I NEVER said that I had put
your new name in the killfile.

Look it up, Yeti... make a note of it... pin it to your wall. That way,
your senility need not be such an embarrassment to you.

Brainbuster.

1467. Re: The National Frankie Chron - 15 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 08:38:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4aea1.173233@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143953

In <3DD43E89.6707860A@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> "yeti@cet.com" wrote: please spam me hard...
>
>> >: amplifier does not require neutralization, citing the reason as 'grid
>> >: screening'. I had to explain that it's not required because the
>> >: amplifier is non-inverting (which you also didn't understand), where
>> >
>> >Not the entire case, only part of the master plan frankie... there's a
>> >list of grounded grid benefits.
>>
>> Yes there are, but that's not the point. You claimed that
>> neutralization was not required because the grid was grounded and
>> screened the cathode from the plate. By that statement you proved that
>> you have no clue as to the purpose of neutralization, the cause of
>> regenerative feedback, or the operation of a grounded-grid amplifier.
>
>The shielding of the grid(s) is part of the picture, but at no time
>did I claim it to be the entire picture. Lets get real here frankie...

...backpeddle, backpeddle...

>The non inverting function of the g-grid amplifier

Wow! You finally admit that it's a non-inverting amp! Hey, we're
making progress....

> is not the key
>player in the picture of amplifier stability. Non inverting amplifiers
>will easily sing, it's about that anode-C path through the
>blocking cap to the Tune-C that really concern the tubes gain in
>the VHF region... your wonderful 6146 example can also boogie with
>the rest of the tube that have VHF gain.

You are still confusing neutralization with parasitic supression.
Learn the difference, Skippy. Then we'll talk.

>But you have "yeti" to show us that you understand the VHF issues
>of HF amplifiers and how to deal with them.... oh yeah, other than
>"a simple parasitic suppressor". You build and amplifier with a tube
>like the 3-1000z and "a simple parasitic suppressor doesn't cut the
>mustard frankie. And it's a purpose designed RF power tube...

Even higher power tubes are easy. All a parasitic supressor does is
damp the frequency of the parasitic. Activate your GDO (or set the
tube up for very low gain) and measure the frequency of the parasitic

(or parasitics). Once you have identified where they are coming from
and their frequencies, it's a simple matter to eliminate them with any
of several methods, and the common resistor/inductor supressor is only
one of those methods.

That's how amplifiers are PROPERLY designed, Skippy. Not by picking a
tube because you heard somewhere that it doesn't require parasitic
supression. That's lazy and lame.

And you STILL haven't shown any understanding of the fundamentals
behind neutralization.

>>
>> >: the voltages on the input and output are in phase, and therefore cause
>> >: no regenerative feedback that would require neutralization (which is
>> >: where the term originates -- the 'neutralization' of regenerative
>> >: feedback!).
>> >
>> >You are a piece of work frankie... the vhf circuit which can sometimes
>> >support VHF ocillations is mainly determined by the Anode-C and the
>> >inductance of the conductors between the Anode and Tune-C. Your in phase
>> >statement is bogus at VHF where the parasitics often occur. Your
>> >post information is faulty.
>>
>> Neutralization is NOT used to prevent parasitics, it's used to prevent
>> regenerative feedback from turning the amplifier into an oscillator on
>> the resonant frequency of the amplifier! By golly, you don't even know
>> how an oscillator works! Talk about bogus...!
>
>Neutralization in the HF region goes out the window to ensure stability
>in the vhf region. There are two different paths happening...

No screamin' eagle [poop]! What do you think I have been saying for
the past few days? SHEESH!!!

>By golly I must know something... every single grounded grid amplifier
>I own or have built (which are many in number) does not have one part
>of a neutralization circuit on board.

Care to guess why?

>No one here claims neutralization is used to prevent parasitics. But
>most all of us owning or building grounded grid amplifiers (with the
>exception of some much debated Ameritron circuits) don't have a lick
>of a neutralization circuit installed.

Yeah? Isn't that what I said over a week ago? Duh!

>Why wasted the hardware, it's simply not required in most grounded
>grid amplifier designs. Of course unless you and __________ say it
>is.

What wasted hardware? Common-cathode amps don't have the impedance matching requirements of grounded-grid amps, so there's some wasted hardware for ya, Skippy.

1468. Re: The almost home frankie Chronicles - 16 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 09:01:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4b27d.1161773@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143954

In <3DD445BD.3EF4DE27@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>spam "yeti@cet.com" please:
>>
>> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
>> <nospam4meatthistime@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >: 14. You are under the mistaken impression that grid-to-plate
>> >: capacitance changes depending on whether the amplifier is
>> >: grounded-grid or common-cathode. Of course it doesn't, because it's a
>> >: characteristic of the tube, not of the circuit. I explained that you
>> >: might be confused because the -input- capacitance is different, and
>> >: that's because the two different circuits use different parts of the
>> >: tube for input.
>> >
>> >It's no mistake Frankie... look it up. Use the exact text I wrote so you
>> >don't twist in some misinformation your so good at doing. If you need some
>> >help, I can reference some text for you.
>>
>> No help required. Here you go (again):
>
>[snipage on my part in the interest of brevity]
>> >
>> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>> >unnecessary.
>
>Nothing wrong with the above statement...

Except that it's wrong!

>> >
>> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>> >
>> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>> >
>> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
>> <snip>
>> =====

>>
>> There you go, your exact text. And in your exact text you describe a
>> number of misconceptions:
>
>Well then, let's "concept them frankie... onward.
>>
>> 1. The screen grid does indeed shield the control grid from the plate,
>> but it does so ONLY when there is a signal on the grid to be shielded.
>> You don't have a signal on the grid of a grounded-grid amplifier.
>
>You have reduced Cgp and Ccp...

No you haven't, as I have shown you with the info from the Eimac
datasheets in the other post.

> the reduced Cgp is a big
>picture benefit of a grounded grid amplifiers. But you knew that
>of course..
>Simple enough, next
>
>> 2. The effect of adding a screen grid to a TRIODE reduces the
>> grid-to-plate capacitance of the tube. The Cgp of a tube DOES NOT
>> CHANGE because it's connected as a triode in a grounded-grid
>> amplifier! In fact, it effectively does the opposite....
>
>Careful what you write bucky... The Cgp is actually about
>half as I describe. I, other people and a heck of a lot of text
>books don't agree. You are simply wrong and need to go look up
>the details. How about a Radio Handbook as a good reference...

How about a fundamental education, some active intelligence, and a
capacitance bridge? There is a reason why you disagree with the
textbooks -- because you are wrong!

>> 3. Since your sweep tube is connected as a triode, the screen and
>> supressor (G2 and G3) are grounded with G1, and all three become the
>> EFFECTIVE grid. Therefore, the G1-to-plate capacitance is added to the
>> G2- and G3-to-plate capacitances, making the EFFECTIVE grid-to-plate
>> capacitance HIGHER than the Cgp of the tube. In fact, the EFFECTIVE
>> Cgp is more along the lines of the Cgp of a TRIODE (which shouldn't be
>> so suprising since the tube is connected and operated as a TRIODE)!
>
>Aaaaaaahhhhh, see... this is where you don't know classic grounded
>grid amplifier layouts very well.

Your description of your "classic" grounded-grid amplifier changes so
often it's hard to tell what you consider "classic". Regardless...

> It is very bad news to ground
>the G1, G2 and G3 grids together... and you don't even have a clue
>why...

You STILL don't get it, do you? Judas Priest, how many times to I have to tell you -- if all three are RF bypassed to ground, then all three are GROUNDED! Every amp you have touted as being similar to your "classic" grounded-grid amp has all three grids GROUNDED!

>I'll put aside your Cgp statements for the moment until you review
>and understand why one should not ground together the G1, G2 (and G3)
>grids with many types of tubes, based on their geometry. That includes
>rf power tubes like the 4cx250b.

The 4CX250 isn't designed for grounded-grid operation. And don't put aside your ridiculous ideas that Cgp changes because it's in a grounded-grid circuit, because not only have I already demonstrated that it doesn't, and tomorrow I fully intend to give you an actual measurement of the effective Cgp of a triode-connected 6LF6. You can verify the measurements for yourself.

>Your plane just crashed frankie...

Get ready with your excuses because it's YOUR plane that's going down tomorrow, Skippy.

>> 4. I don't know how many times I need to repeat this before you
>> finally get a clue and look it up for yourself, but I'll do it one
>> more time: a grounded-grid amplifier does not require neutralization
>> simply because it is a non-inverting amplifier which causes no
>> regenerative feedback requiring neutralization -- NOT because of
>> reduced Cgp or 'grid shielding', which are properties of the tube
>> regardless of whether it's used as a grounded-grid or common-cathode
>> amplifier.
>
>In the ideal dream world... in the real world, feedback paths can
>and do occur within the max (Ft) of the tube. When you have VHF (Ft)
>rated tubes in service, all bets are off when relying on the non
>inverting HF circuit layout. The non inverting by layout operation
>of an amplifier makes it only a card player, not the dealer.

And ONCE AGAIN you are confusing neutralization with parasitic supression!

>When you have tubes with high vhf gain, there can be two dealers
>to the card game.
>
>The subject is well covered at http://www.vcnet.com/measures
>
>Don't take my or Frankie's word for it... grab some Radio Handbooks
>and review the web page text mentioned above.

Do it yourself, Skippy. But don't bother with your referenced website -- use a definitive source for your facts. Matter of fact, even the ARRL handbooks have been known to have a few errors in them. Try your local library. Even better, if you have a college or university nearby (and I know you do), stop by their library and spend a couple hours verifying your facts. I think once you get started, hours will turn

into days as you realize that half the stuff you have learned from the internet is bogus.

>You'll grow old waiting for Frank to post any articles of text
>he's authored. Even though I said please numerous times.

Ain't my bag.

1469. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 09:45:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd4b7d9.2533360@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143955

In <3DD4486A.F3FDB2F4@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>>
>> >Once again Frankie... how many grounded grid AB1 amplifiers have you seen
>> >in use..? Don't modify my text as you go along in your rants to suit
>> >your current post needs.
>>
>> I have had enough with your "cut-and-paste" bullshit, Skippy. NONE of
>> your quotes have EVER been modified, misquoted, pulled out of context,
>> edited (not even for spelling), or otherwise used for any other
>> purpose except for the meaning you intended. All my posts are on
>> google, and I challenge you to find even ONE example that backs up
>> your claim. Otherwise, quit lying about being misquoted.
>
>Temper temper Frankie... your bald head and your blood pressure are
>growing.

Never been bald and never had a blood pressure problem. But your
sarcasm is growing more and more intense as I chisel away your
internet education and get to the truth of these issues.

>So how about answering my questions... how many grounded grid
>amplifiers have you seen in operation...? make and model..?
>any..?

More than I care to count, but I can honestly say less than a hundred.
Worked on lots of industrial amps, and a few amateur amps (sweep tube
amps included, including the Dentron you referenced). As far as make
and models, there were a few I remember. Like the P&H, used four 837's
which were a bitch to find at the time. There was a Gonset, I think it
was a GBS-101 or something like that -- terrible in the harmonics
department, using no tuned circuit on the input. A couple Heathkits, a
couple Johnsons, a couple Collins, etc. Some were better than others.

And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.

>> > The predominant class of grounded grid
>> >amplifiers is AB2... exciter power is required and a portion shows up in
>> >the output as a freebie. Doesn't get any simpler, but you seen to want to
>> >give theory examples of amplifiers no one person is using. Earth to
>> >Frank, real world here... wake up..

>> >
>> >One last thing... your last statement is not so true... class AB1
>> >operation of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power.
>>
>> By your statements above -- about how AB2 requires input power but AB1
>> does not -- it's clear that you think input power is required only
>> when grid current flows. You are very, very wrong, Skippy. ANY class
>
>Grid current flow implies input drive power consumed... unless you have
>that magical grounded grid AB1 amplifier make and model handy...?

YOU made the statement that AB1 operation of grounded-grid amplifers
does not consume input power, and now you want to back out of that by
crying about some "magical" grounded-grid AB1 amp? Lame, Skippy, lame.

But it's not "magical" at all. As I have pointed out earlier, your
grid is normally biased 5 to 10 volts negative to the cathode, giving
you at least some area under which no grid current flows, yet still
consumes power from the input source. And just to point out what used
to be a common practice, grounded-grid amplifiers running Class A have
been used as front-end amplifiers in receivers, and they were used
with the intention of providing a low-impedance input to the receiver.
Works very well! Now if no input drive power is consumed in a Class A
amplifier, then the input impedance would be theoretically infinite --
just as if it were common-cathode. But it's not infinite; it's a low
impedance usually around the impedance of most transmission lines.

Now go find your Electronics 101 book and start reading it already.

>> of grounded-grid amplifier consumes input power (meaning power from
>> the signal source). The input is on the cathode, and it is impossible
>> for the tube to conduct (and therefore amplify) if there is no cathode
>> current. Part of that cathode current MUST come from the signal source
>> because it is IMPOSSIBLE to impose an AC signal on the cathode without
>> AC current! Once again you demonstrate not only your ignorance on the
>> subject, but your total lack of willingness to verify the facts for
>> yourself.
>>
>> >Got to go, back later to finish... love ya frankie,
>> I know.
>>
>> > keep trying.
>> >skipp
>>
>> Keep trying yourself. You might try to check the validity of your
>> statements before you demonstrate your ignorance even further by
>> continuing to defend them.
>
>Still waiting for that AB1 grounded grid amplifier Frank, make and
>model when you have a moment.
>

>Keep this handy because it's helpful when talking about grounded
>grid amplifiers.
>
>AB2 operation, grid current flows, drive power is consumed. The
>feed through drive power swamps out the grid current distortion
>issues.
>
>AB1, no grid current flow.

But cathode current still flows, both DC and AC, and since there is an AC current flow in series with the signal source then the signal source is loaded by the tube. Check it out, Skippy. You know I'm right, you just refuse to check the facts for yourself. Actually, I think you are just arguing for the sake of arguing, since you aren't even providing any facts, just lame opinions.

>Back latter for number 18 ... gotta' go check my reverse screen
>current.
>
>still love ya frankie...

Of course you do. I'm the only one that gives it to you straight!

1470. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 11:17:15 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <%I4B9.37706$nB.3635@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 143956

Well Said!. Sounds like the DK is too low to excite the meter. Why some think that a 2 or less DK sounds good on AM I can't figure. Supertune - OH boy.

I would borrow someone else's radio that hasn't been into and try checking the antenna. If this has already been suggested sorry for the repeat.

DL
"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCB7A1F.609E9A24@cwis.net...
>
>
> Jason,
> By the sound of it, you may be trusting your 'tech' too
> much. What ever he has done to the radio has certainly
> NOT made it more usable. I would suggest you stop what ever
> you're doing till you can get the radio put back to normal.
> Most of the so-called 'tune ups', 'super tunes', etc, are
> mainly to seperate you from your money, they do very little
> 'good', and as you are seeing, more harm than good.
> 'Doc

1471. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 11:32:07 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <XW4B9.39422$1O2.4423@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 143957

Long thread. I would question why a shop would sell you a K-40 when you are intending on using a 400. Unless that wasn't made clear.

The K-40 comes as a roof mount antenna. You could install it that way. Based on the extensive experience with hunters and K-40's, don't hit anything with it. (hard). A K-40 although a wonderful antenna is not a durable antenna.

I believe you said this is on a van? Conversion van? If you are going to use that kind of power, get another antenna and put this antenna on your car. Good luck because the customer is always right. Your shop should have explained all your options and sold you the best antenna for the job. Vans are challenging for antennas. I personally don't recommend the dual on anything. A properly fitted and tuned single antenna will do the job you need.

Just my 2 cents worth.

DL
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112213611.13939.00005308@mb-fq.aol.com...
> K-40 to a Galaxy 959. Tried tuning up and down at 1/8" intervals but SWR
is
> still high. PL-259's are intact. The K-40 gives you 2" in the load to
play
> with. Should I cut the whip?

1472. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 12:52:10 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD4EDFA.72F9B3AD@bubbles.com>
Source record: 143958

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> Go play with yourself, Clyde.

Maybe he needs directions. Why do you go show him?

1473. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 13:19:27 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115081927.08893.00000033@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 143959

Sorry for the long thread. This is a GMC Vandura 2500 Conversion Van. I indeed told the shop my intentions. Hey Ill just pack the K-40 back up and take it back. Okay so now we are back to square one. Do I go with a 102" whip or a marine antenna?

1474. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:15:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3472-3DD50179-18@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143964

When you say "certified" and "approval" are you referring to "type acceptance"? If you are, since when did amateur gear need type acceptance? If you are not referring to such, then what ARE you referring to?

>The term "type acceptance" is not used any >more. If you will notice, >when you see a new Yaesu,
>Icom, or Kenwood Amateur transceiver about >to be introduced, you will >see a small disclaimer down at the bottom of >the ad that says, "has not received FCC >approval for sale to the public"--or
>something to that affect.

And has little or nothing to do with the selling of hammie rigs currently on the market.

>Regardless of what service a radio is
>targeted towards, it must meet certain >requirements set by FCC for >commercial manufacturers in order to be >approved for sale in that >particular service. While there is no "type >certificate" for Amateur >Radios, commercially
>produced radios for that market must meet >certain baseline >requirements before the Fed will allow
>it to be imported/sold in the US. That is the >"rub" with those alleged >10 Meter "ham" radios sold in
>truck stops; they do not meet FCC's criteria, >they are too easy to >modify, they have "band" switches which allow >the radio to cover >SEVERAL "bands" of frequencies. Ten Meters >is O N E band, so a 10 Meter radio doesn't >NEED switches to cover one band. Ten
>Meter ham radios,
>the REAL ones, don't have incremental >"channel" positions or echo, or >even those banal squeaks
>and beeps.
>Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER >services must be FCC-approved, and >as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker >so stating that >(para) "This device meets all FCC re-
>quirements for spectral purity according to >Part XXX, Title 47, US >Code....."

So. Manufacturers were never mentioned in the original post. The 100 watt radios could have been Icoms for all you know.

>Icoms sold in the domestic market have the >required approval for sale; >Galaxy's do not.

That's right..but once again, no one mentioned brands until I mentioned that the 100 watt radios could have been Icoms.

YOU entered the "illegal" concept into the equation.

>Those
>Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 >Meter" trash has NO such
authority, no such >sticker, and
>appear on a list of equipment (which I will >once again post here in
the faint hope that you >might grasp the reasons why said equipment
>cannot be legally sold in the US) that has >been determined by FCC's
test labs NOT to >meet one or more of their test criteria.

Hmmmmm. What gave you the impression my interpretation was any different
that yours?
I understand the reasons quite clearly. Never claimed otherwise.

>Without that approval, FCC can most certainly >raid, seize, and fine
>outlets that sell such equipment. Like you, >tools, some of those
>outlets themselves believe they have a "right" >to import and sell such
>junk, but they don't. It is only a matter of time >before the sh** hits
the fan. ....and I can't >wait! Hehe!

Each day the skip fades is less dx interference to those that have a
problem with it and the chance of anything hitting the fan decreases
with the sunspot activity level.

--

Hey, the cb shop can be owned by a licensed ham and cater to cb. Dave
Hall N3CVJ did it with his hack shop. Mods and all. The cb shop could
legally sell Icons, Yaesu, etc. See, many hammies do cb work,,,more cash
than in hammie stuff, especially if you get a good name among
drivers...tin' fo' good buddy?

>He still may NOT legally sell those radios that >appear on the list.

Just who is it you are trying to convince of this? I haven't seen anyone
take issue with this.

>Legally, he can
>sell the regular ham radios to anyone.

Which is all I was saying.

>Me, I wouldn't sell one without proof of license. >Legal is legal,
>illegal is illegal, no matter WHAT "license" >one has.
>J

Agreed. At least you aren't here calling people names and wailing about
those whose behaviors you possess yourself.

1475. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:19:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3472-3DD5026D-19@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143960

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6212-3DD3FB20-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that >Amateur Radio is the
one that is called upon >to augment communications resources in time >of
>disaster?
>CB is NOT.

He never claimed it was. In all fairness, there are more aspects of
hammie radio that equate the "hobby" side as opposed to the "necessity"
side.
==========
>He summed it up by calling it "a toy". So is CB. >These days there are
just as much
>to equate CB with "hobby" as there is for ham. >J

Yup.

1476. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:25:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3472-3DD503C9-20@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143962

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of the question,

>Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of >the roof will work.

Not really. The roof, as an example, can act as one of those plastic spacers that are always disarded, changing the entire equation.

>The bigger problem is that those antennas >need the ground plane of the roof to work >right.

Absolutely.

>He might be better with a marine CB antenna, >they are designed to work without the ground >plane.

I had a 4 foot one for the longest time before just strapping up an antron when asea. Huge difference..the small ones suck for cb, but his options do seem a bit limited.

1477. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:34:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3473-3DD50600-9@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143961

From: rcranus@hotmail.com (Perculator)
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:wWKdnV5UOZxI8UmgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of the question,

"Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of the roof will work."

>So will a small metal plate.

I know someone that sells those things down here and calls 'em a richochet skip dish, although the application is more practical than anything. It's diamond plated (like some truck beds) and comes pre-drilled with mounting instructions. It's primary use is for mounting a penetrator-like antenna directly behind the cab of a pickup but approximately 6 inches to a foot above a truck-mounted toolbox. There are even set-ups for p/u's with a cap. This guy is prettty well known in dx land coming out of the swamp here in the land of sand.

1478. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:38:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3473-3DD506D8-10@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143963

George wrote:
>A 102" whip on the bumper will also >outperform that marine antenna,
the 102" whip >has no load like the wilson or k40 to detune it >as much
being mounted where it is at. It is >also probably the cheapest antenna
out there >14.99 at Radio Shack, install it with a spring >on a ball
mount mounted on bumper or side of >van. If you mount it on Van rear
quarter panel >waist high it would work pretty good.

Some of those bumpers are plastic and some don't even have real bumpers.
If he has a ball hitch, his problem is solved..a whip will work there.

1479. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:44:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3472-3DD50830-21@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143966

JerryO wrote:
>THAT is the attraction of Amateur Radio; the >experimentation and
building. And there is >room to
>get away from the things one doesn't like. >"Cool" mods? Most of the
so-called mods for >CB are
>illegal and cause interference to other CBers >or adjacent services.
>J

That can be construed as having about as much truth as "most" hammies
come here only to give cbers a hard time.

1480. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:51:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3472-3DD509E2-23@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143965

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <Iq_A9.38584$Em.15733832@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
<ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
You should really do something a little more constuctive with all of
your free time. Like maybe... get a JOB.

>And what about all the posts by Skippy? >Should he get a job, too?

"Winners compare their achievements with
their goals, while losers compare
their achievements with those of
other people." *

*Disclaimer
*This plagiarism brought to you courtesy of Lelnad C. Scott, KC8LDO.

1481. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 14:54:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3474-3DD50AB8-2@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143967

Frank wrote:
>Because "swamping" the cathode (not a very >good term, BTW --
low-impedance signal >source is much better)...

How can you substitute a noun for a verb and claim they mean the same?

1482. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 15:00:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3476-3DD50C14-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 143968

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <3DD4486A.F3FDB2F4@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
"Temper temper Frankie... your bald head and your blood pressure are
growing."

>Never been bald and never had a blood >pressure problem. But your
sarcasm is >growing more and more intense as I.....

Frank, I just need a second to point out some truth. YOU began the
sarcasm with the name calling as always. It's right there for anyone to
see, beginning with the "moron' term. As usual, you can draw first blood
but fall apart under return fire.
Carry on........

1483. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 15:27:44 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <GaudnbkKN9TPj0igXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143969

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3472-3DD503C9-20@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of
the question,

>>Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of >the roof will work.

>Not really. The roof, as an example, can act as one of those plastic
>spacers that are always disarded, changing the entire equation.

That's why I suggested the second magnet.

>>The bigger problem is that those antennas >need the ground plane of the
>>roof to work >right.

>Absolutely.

Nothing some copper tape can't fix, but it's a PITA.

>>He might be better with a marine CB antenna, >they are designed to work
>>without the ground >plane.

>I had a 4 foot one for the longest time before just strapping up an
>antron when asea. Huge difference..the small ones suck for cb, but his
>options do seem a bit limited.

I have a similar problem with my rig, even though I'm running VHF and UHF,
the antenna ends up at 11' off the road. Comet and Maldol make powered
mounts that will raise and lower the antenna at will. $100 per mount, but
I'm seriously considering it, since my antenna has no springs or other
"give" mechanism. If I hit anything, something's gonna break, and it might
be my roof!

http://www.inchase.org/outflow/events/installnic2002/f5Mount.html
This is the installation of my big VHF/UHF stick.

1484. Whatcha' think Frank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 16:22:44 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <ob9B9.125766$c51.36928558@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 143970

http://www.bigfatbaby.com/newfun2/flash/saddam.swf

A.E. 352

1485. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 16:23:58 UTC
From: Rick Adams <adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net>
Message-ID: <45D3CD477E2D6D31.1B711B1EB2DDE1E0.4052E07084F9E8FE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 143973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 13:33:21 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>
>Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
>>
>> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
>> What
>> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.............
>
>
>
>This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
>equipment.
>
>It comes direct from FCC's web site.
>
>
>--
>The
>transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur
>"10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export"
>radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
>the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers
>that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies
>as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can
>readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate
>on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules,
>a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at
>a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The
>Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
>determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at
>issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
>the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
>frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing
>a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other
>simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
>fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).

Problem . . .

Most Yaesu transceivers can be easily modified (often with a simple change effected through the menuing system, as is the case with the FT-920) to transmit on CB frequencies (and, in most cases, on other unauthorized bands as well). This is, of course, true of most (if not all) brands of Transceivers.

By the definition cited above _all_ such transceivers would be treated as illegal for marketing in the United States. Is there a rationale (in the law itself) for including only transceivers limited to 10m operations (which, of course, many _legitimate_ amateur transceivers are designed for) or is this an arbitrary application of a regulation that, technically, should include all transceivers capable of such modification?

I'm _not_ supporting the use of 10m rigs for CB use, obviously. But I do question the legitimacy of limiting the sales of radios that _are_ designed for amateur use (and are often far less expensive than comparable "approved" 10m rigs) on a basis that by any logic can and should be applied to _all_ transceivers capable of similar modifications.

Can anyone clarify this one?

73 de KE8HH, Rick

--

Rick Adams--KE8HH
adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net

"... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."

Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

1486. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 16:39:07 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5232B.EF22781@cwis.net>
Source record: 143971

FMRNYCER,
Well, how about a 'trunk lip' mount on the front
of your van (engine compartent), or a mount on a
rear door? The idea is to get as much of the antenna
as far away, and above the van as possible. Something
like a K-40, or another 'short' antenna, rather than
the 102" whip. Not that there's anything wrong with
the whip, it's just that there are other possibilities
that will work as well and may not be as 'objectionable'.
'Doc

1487. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 16:44:45 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5247D.14D9B115@cwis.net>
Source record: 143972

Perc',
Using a 102" whip on the bumper is about as
inefficient as a base loaded shorter antenna.
Rather than loosing anything in a coil, it looses
it by being as near the van body as it will be.
If both antennas were mounted in the 'clear', I'd
probably agree with you, but with how the ship
would be mounted on the bumper, there's just not
a lot of difference in efficiency...
'Doc

1488. Re: The Frankie G' babble - 1 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 17:04:13 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5290D.810D6B07@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143974

> >Sec. 2.939 Revocation or withdrawal of equipment authorization.
>
> > (a) The Commission may revoke any equipment authorization:
> > ....
> > (4) Because of conditions coming to the attention of the
> >Commission which would warrant it in refusing to grant an original
> >application.
>
> There. Notice the part about "conditions...which would warrant [the
> FCC] in refusing to grant an original application". In case you don't
> have enough brains to figure that out, it means if the equipment
> wouldn't get type-accepted/certificated TODAY then the original
> type-acceptance/certification has effectively been RESCINDED.

Notice the part "... may revoke...." which does not auto rescined
type accepted equipment already in use. You just interpret the
rules wrong Frankie.

> And will continue to have authorization unless the rules change, as
> they did for amps between 24 and 35 MHz.

I don't interpret the rule change to indicate legal licensed services
using type accepted equipment must now be required to replace that
equipment.

> You don't have a single brain in your head, do you Skippy? The amps
> you mention work ABOVE 35 MHz! And even if they DID work between 24
> and 35 MHz then they have lost their authorization because of 2.815!

Only as you see it... not as I or others in the commercial radio
business call it, Frankie...

>
> >> Which means that any amplifier that can operate between 24 and 35 MHz
> >> has lost it's certification/type-acceptance.
> >
> >As you try to interpret the rules to preexisting legal equipment. Not
> >the case in my interpretation of the rules.
>
> Your 'interpretation' leaves much to be desired, especially when you
> ignore part of the rules (as you did when you cut my post).
>
You babble a lot frankie...

> >> As for your query to the FCC about modification of your amps, you will
> >> find the answer here:

> >
> >No modifications are/were required to place the mentioned amplifiers
> >into Same Radio Service.
> >
> >Sorry, once again... you lose. Nice try Frankie...
>
> Wasn't your question to the FCC about if you could modify your 35 to
> 54 MHz amps to operate below 35 MHz? What did you finally get for an
> answer on that "official query", Skippy?

Actually no, and after closer examination... the 35 MHz TPL amplifier
previously mention appears similar if not exact to a pre ban unit. It
appears that it might just opperate at 31 MHz without any modifications.

The FCC has yet to give me any reply about the issue... so life goes
on as normal.

> >> In case you are too lazy to read them yourself, they clearly state
> >> that you can't change the frequency range (and still use them under
> >
> >Don't have too... they function exactly as designed without
> >modifications.
>
> Duh! But you were talking about modifying them, or do I need to dig up
> that post, too? You actually enjoy talking in circles to avoid the
> truth, don't you, Skippy?

If modification were required... at this time, they appear to plug
and play at 31Mhz where I might use them.

chow for now
skipp

1489. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 17:21:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <A4aB9.120$%j3.79@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 143976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Rick Adams <adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net> wrote in message
news:45D3CD477E2D6D31.1B711B1EB2DDE1E0.4052E07084F9E8FE@lp.airnews.net...
> On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 13:33:21 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine"
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> >news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
> >>
> >> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham
gear?
> >> What
> >> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....
> >
> >
> >
> >This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
> >equipment.
> >
> >It comes direct from FCC's web site.
> >
> >
>
>----------
-
> >The
> >transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
amateur
> >"10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or
"export"
> >radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
> >the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the
transceivers
> >that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB
frequencies
> >as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits
can
> >readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to
operate

> >on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's
rules,
> >a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
at
> >a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. 95.603(c). The
> >Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
> >determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
at
> >issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
> >the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on
CB
> >frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
removing
> >a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or
other
> >simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
> >fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. 95.603(c).
>
> Problem . . .
>
> Most Yaesu transceivers can be easily modified (often with a
> simple change effected through the menuing system, as is the case with
> the FT-920) to transmit on CB frequencies (and, in most cases, on
> other unauthorized bands as well). This is, of course, true of most
> (if not all) brands of Transceivers.
>
> By the definition cited above _all_ such transceivers would be
> treated as illegal for marketing in the United States. Is there a
> rationale (in the law itself) for including only transceivers limited
> to 10m operations (which, of course, many _legitimate_ amateur
> transceivers are designed for) or is this an arbitrary application of
> a regulation that, technically, should include all transceivers
> capable of such modification?
>
> I'm _not_ supporting the use of 10m rigs for CB use,
> obviously. But I do question the legitimacy of limiting the sales of
> radios that _are_ designed for amateur use (and are often far less
> expensive than comparable "approved" 10m rigs) on a basis that by any
> logic can and should be applied to _all_ transceivers capable of
> similar modifications.
>
> Can anyone clarify this one?
>
> 73 de KE8HH, Rick
>
> --
>
> Rick Adams--KE8HH
> adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net

I am not even sure that multi-band transceivers COULD be completely stopped from being modi-
fied lest it hinder the multi-band operation itself. I don't know. It is clear, however, to me that the
single-band, 10 Meter rigs on the list are there for one reason; that being to try (not successfully) to
limit dual-use transceivers and to stop their being used by illegals on the ham bands. One thing that
may be a legal plus if the "list" were challenged is that most of these radios have "band" switches.
If a radio is a so-called "10 Meter" radio, then it implies ONE band. If so, it has no need for "band" switches and, therefore, does not meet criteria for a single-band, 10 Meter radio. And we
all know what those radios are REALLY for (wink, wink), right?

73

Jerry
>
> "... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds
> will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."
>
> Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/22/02

1490. Re: The Frankie Dies on the vine Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 17:24:34 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD52DD2.279D7D0F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143975

> >Which simply proves the FCC ULS system is not complete. That's about
> >all your going to get Frankie... The file number I posted is current
> >and your out of luck. "tough titty said the kitty..."
>
> The file number is invalid. Instead of getting smug about it, why
> don't you check to see if you typed it correctly. Maybe there is a
> zero that should be an 'O', or you left off a number or something.

If I placed a gif of the top part of the license, with the IB label in plain view... less the personal and information I don't care to have in public view... would you then enjoy your crow sandwich without complaint or foul cries..?

> >> >:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...
> >> Not if they can't be verified.
> >
> >The paperwork in my hot little hand takes care of the FCC every time
> >they might ask for it.
>
> You can't use it for proof if you can't produce it for inspection or
> verify it in any other way.

If I were inspected by the FCC, there is a copy of the actual IB license at the transmitter and in my office files. An FCC inspector is not going to ask me for proof of the IB service.

> >Don't think so Frankie... so what happens if I scan in an image of the
> >actual license, blank out the personal information so you can enjoy
> >your crow dinner. It won't work.. you'll claim the scan was modified
> >to include the IB service. Nothing makes you happy frankie, your just
> >a bad actor.
>
> You assume a lot, Skippy. In case you haven't noticed, I don't make
> accusations without reason or proof, and if the license is unedited
> then I wouldn't have reason of proof, would I?

You didn't answer my question... if I posted the actual image less the personal and non issue information, would you ask for steak sauce with your crow meal..?

> Well, if you could provide a valid file number or call sign it might
> show that the license was obtained before the consolidation, which
> would therefore be for the IB service and is perfectly fine as far as

I'll leave the file number on the license scan frankie...

Ahhhh.... so now your giving notice that IB might actually be real
after all.... Funny how that suddenly pop'd up.

> I'm concerned. But your desperate attempt to avoid providing proof
> leads me to believe that you have something more to hide than just the
> validity of the license. Hmmm... is there something about that license
> that you don't want anybody to see, Skippy? Are you using false

Yes, I'd prefer the details of the local two way market be kept
low profile. All about business.. Like the fact that numerous
people have asked me to throw out your personal information in
these thread posts.
I tell them no, it does nothing for the topic and no one wants
their personal information needlessly thrown out for public
display. Makes good sense...

> identities to obtain multiple licenses? Or maybe you got the license
> under false pretenses? Do you lie on your applications, Skippy?

The license is not mine frankie... a customer who probably not
want his information spread out on a news group just to provide
you with a meal of crow.

> Because I can't see any other reason for avoiding such a simple
> disclosure when all your information is already in your other
> licenses. Maybe you just don't have that license at all, or it has
> already expired. Which is it, Skippy?

How about the license belongs to someone else Frankie...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1491. Re: The Frankie G' babble - 1 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 17:54:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd5326f.475430@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143978

In <3DD5290D.810D6B07@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Sec. 2.939 Revocation or withdrawal of equipment authorization.
>>
>> > (a) The Commission may revoke any equipment authorization:
>> > ....
>> > (4) Because of conditions coming to the attention of the
>> >Commission which would warrant it in refusing to grant an original
>> >application.
>>
>> There. Notice the part about "conditions...which would warrant [the
>> FCC] in refusing to grant an original application". In case you don't
>> have enough brains to figure that out, it means if the equipment
>> wouldn't get type-accepted/certificated TODAY then the original
>> type-acceptance/certification has effectively been RESCINDED.
>
>Notice the part "... may revoke...." which does not auto rescined
>type accepted equipment already in use. You just interpret the
>rules wrong Frankie.

Your amps are not listed in the database of currently authorized
equipment, or did you miss that post too? The equipment authorization
of those amps has been revoked. All the facts support this conclusion,
and DON'T support your personal interpretation of the law.

>> And will continue to have authorization unless the rules change, as
>> they did for amps between 24 and 35 MHz.
>
>I don't interpret the rule change to indicate legal licensed services
>using type accepted equipment must now be required to replace that
>equipment.

You should read those regs, Skippy. There is an amortization period
for equipment in use at the time of revocation. If you write to the
FCC with the FCC ID number of your equipment you can get a copy of the
actual order that rescinds the authorization.

>> You don't have a single brain in your head, do you Skippy? The amps
>> you mention work ABOVE 35 MHz! And even if they DID work between 24
>> and 35 MHz then they have lost their authorization because of 2.815!
>
>Only as you see it... not as I or others in the commercial radio
>business call it, Frankie...

The laws are pretty clear, Skippy. Try reading them sometime.

1492. Re: The Frankie out of steam Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 17:55:54 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5352A.49E80E48@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143977

> >The topic was the application of Sweep Tubes in grounded grid
> >amplifiers.
>
> You keep ignoring that the topic is the application of sweep tubes in
> RF amplifiers, both grounded-grid and common-cathode. It's not my
> fault you don't understand the difference, so quit trying to narrow
> the issue.

I clearly understand the differences... grounded grid and common
cathode are two different circuit layouts. The large majority
of sweep tubes in AB2 RF amplifier circuits have been grounded
grid. How about you give us an example of a common cathode
sweep tube amplifier and we'll hash it out. Otherwise, I'll
stick to the other probably 90 plus percent of the sweep tube
amps made through the years.

> > The specific issue is the multiple connection schemes
> >possible which would damage tetrode/pentodes in some triode
> >connection schemes. You don't appear to have knowledge of the
> >specific topic. Hi mu tubes don't play in all "low mu" grounded
> >grid amplifiers circuits.
>
> What does that have to do with the specific topic here, Skippy? Or did
> you snip that text for a reason? Let's find out, shall we?

It's simple, you stated in more than one post that one can tie
the grid to the plate of an amplifier... we were talking
sweep tube rf amplifiers. I say that your information was
horible and would probably cause the tube to fail.

>
> >>: 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the
> >>: amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
> >>: to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
> >>: wasn't operating as a triode.
> >>
> >>I know exactly what low and high mu describe... it has many potential sub
> >>topics when applied to RF amplifiers. Your book text posting wanders so
> >>much, I/we must be careful to nail you down to the specific issue. You
> >>also touted tetrode specs using Transconductance when in fact they also
> >>have mu ratings. Because you left it out, are we supposed to jump up and
> >>down to say you don't know anything about it... hardly.
>
> OH! My GOODNESS! The issue of this post is about your ignorance of the
> difference between 'amplification factor' and 'transconductance'! No

> wonder you snipped all that text! Trying to change the topic again,
> eh? Same old Skippy.

You love to see your own writing Frankie... and I understand the
details
applied to sweep tube rf amplifiers (the topic, remember..?) I care
little about your transfer of book definitions to the thread. Especially
when you make horible mistakes as described above.

> >Your again out of steam... try reading up on the issues of high mu
> >tetrodes/pentode in grounded grid rf amplifiers...
>
> A high-mu tube doesn't need to draw as much grid current as a low-mu
> tube, Skippy. And grid current doesn't change the mu of a tube, as I
> said before, but you deny and refuse to explain why (because you
> can't).

I don't care about your comparisons of grid current values. The
sweep tubes I talk about are better applied to AB2 rf amplifeirs
using the circuits connection I like to reference. If we use your
yesterday post example of grounding grids 1,2 & 3... big problems
happen with hi mu tubes... Even rf power tubes like the 4cx250b
will have problems. It's all explained in many of the handbooks,
I don't need to repost it here. It's clear that you don't
understand the grid current issues of Hi Mu tubes in grounded
grid AB2 amplifiers.

> >Not quite... no where above does it say triode connected sweep tube is
> >not operating as a triode.
>
> You are too much, Skippy:
>
> "Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like
> descriptions like low-mu."

There are at least two possible grid connections for tetrode/pentode
in grounded grid circuits. One is a low mu type of circuit, the
other can be called a high mu grounded grid circuit. They are not
the same. Problems happen when you use high mu tubes in low mu
types of grounded grid circuits. You appear to not know the
difference.

> "Triode operation is a bit liberal..."

Yes, it is often over shaddows the details of the various
grounded grid circuits.

> And when asked directly and specifically...
>
> >: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
> >: triode?
>
When the grid connections are set up for low mu operation using

hi mu tubes. That would be the direct grounding of the G1, G2
& G3 grids. You mentioned that layout yesterday in one of your
ramblings... it is not the best grounded grid connection scheme
for Hi mu tubes in AB2 circuits.

> ...you avoided the question completely by ragging on the same old
> mistake...
>
> >The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
> >many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed
> >your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
> >well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
> >choice.
>
> And you have been sidestepping the issue ever since, tossing out bogus
> concepts like "passive gain operation", and misusing real concepts
> like "reverse screen current".
>
> Like I said, you have no idea what you are talking about.

See the above and enjoy your crow dinner.

1493. Re: The Frankie Dies on the vine Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 18:07:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd534d2.1087405@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143979

In <3DD52DD2.279D7D0F@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> >Which simply proves the FCC ULS system is not complete. That's about
>> >all your going to get Frankie... The file number I posted is current
>> >and your out of luck. "tough titty said the kitty..."
>>
>> The file number is invalid. Instead of getting smug about it, why
>> don't you check to see if you typed it correctly. Maybe there is a
>> zero that should be an 'O', or you left off a number or something.
>
>If I placed a gif of the top part of the license, with the IB label in
>plain view... less the personal and information I don't care to have
>in public view... would you then enjoy your crow sandwich without
>complaint or foul cries..?

Just email me the callsign. I'll post either that you were telling the
truth or you were lying, nothing about any personal information on the
license.

>> >> >:> Hard copies are the bottom line frankie...
>> >> Not if they can't be verified.
>> >
>> >The paperwork in my hot little hand takes care of the FCC every time
>> >they might ask for it.
>>
>> You can't use it for proof if you can't produce it for inspection or
>> verify it in any other way.
>
>If I were inspected by the FCC, there is a copy of the actual IB license
>at the transmitter and in my office files. An FCC inspector is not
>going to ask me for proof of the IB service.

I should have been more specific: You can't use if for proof in this
discussion if you can't produce it for MY inspection, or provide some
other information that will allow me to verify it's existance beyond
your claim that it's in your "hot little hands". You say "hard copy
matters", but all you provide are unsupported claims. You said you
have it, now prove it.

>> >Don't think so Frankie... so what happens if I scan in an image of the
>> >actual license, blank out the personal information so you can enjoy
>> >your crow dinner. It won't work.. you'll claim the scan was modified
>> >to include the IB service. Nothing makes you happy frankie, your just
>> >a bad actor.

>>
>> You assume a lot, Skippy. In case you haven't noticed, I don't make
>> accusations without reason or proof, and if the license is unedited
>> then I wouldn't have reason of proof, would I?
>
>You didn't answer my question... if I posted the actual image less
>the personal and non issue information, would you ask for steak sauce
>with your crow meal..?

Like I said, email me the callsign.

>> Well, if you could provide a valid file number or call sign it might
>> show that the license was obtained before the consolidation, which
>> would therefore be for the IB service and is perfectly fine as far as
>
>I'll leave the file number on the license scan frankie...
>
>Ahhhh.... so now your giving notice that IB might actually be real
>after all.... Funny how that suddenly pop'd up.

No, I said that the IB was a PREVIOUS service. It no longer exists, or
didn't you read the link I provided?

>> I'm concerned. But your desperate attempt to avoid providing proof
>> leads me to believe that you have something more to hide than just the
>> validity of the license. Hmmm... is there something about that license
>> that you don't want anybody to see, Skippy? Are you using false
>
>Yes, I'd prefer the details of the local two way market be kept
>low profile. All about business.. Like the fact that numerous
>people have asked me to throw out your personal information in
>these thread posts.
>I tell them no, it does nothing for the topic and no one wants
>their personal information needlessly thrown out for public
>display. Makes good sense...

You don't have any personal information on me, Skippy. At least none
that came from those "files" you allegedly found on the FCC site.
Matter of fact, why don't you go ahead and post that info, Skippy?
Here's formal permission for ya:

This is a public notice that Skipp May has permission to post any and
all information about me, Frank Gilliland, that he has obtained from
the FCC.

Now back up your claim that you found my FCC "files".

>> identities to obtain multiple licenses? Or maybe you got the license
>> under false pretenses? Do you lie on your applications, Skippy?
>
>The license is not mine frankie... a customer who probably not
>want his information spread out on a news group just to provide
>you with a meal of crow.

Like I said, just email me the callsign.

>> Because I can't see any other reason for avoiding such a simple
>> disclosure when all your information is already in your other
>> licenses. Maybe you just don't have that license at all, or it has
>> already expired. Which is it, Skippy?
>
>How about the license belongs to someone else Frankie...

...email...callsign...easy...proof...

1494. Re: The Frankie out of steam Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 18:44:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd539bb.2343979@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143980

In <3DD5352A.49E80E48@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >The topic was the application of Sweep Tubes in grounded grid
>> >amplifiers.
>>
>> You keep ignoring that the topic is the application of sweep tubes in
>> RF amplifiers, both grounded-grid and common-cathode. It's not my
>> fault you don't understand the difference, so quit trying to narrow
>> the issue.
>
>I clearly understand the differences... grounded grid and common
>cathode are two different circuit layouts. The large majority
>of sweep tubes in AB2 RF amplifier circuits have been grounded
>grid. How about you give us an example of a common cathode
>sweep tube amplifier and we'll hash it out. Otherwise, I'll
>stick to the other probably 90 plus percent of the sweep tube
>amps made through the years.

I already have: the Swan 500. Remember how early that came up in the
discussion? Were you sleeping or something?

>> > The specific issue is the multiple connection schemes
>> >possible which would damage tetrode/pentodes in some triode
>> >connection schemes. You don't appear to have knowledge of the
>> >specific topic. Hi mu tubes don't play in all "low mu" grounded
>> >grid amplifiers circuits.
>>
>> What does that have to do with the specific topic here, Skippy? Or did
>> you snip that text for a reason? Let's find out, shall we?
>
>It's simple, you stated in more than one post that one can tie
>the grid to the plate of an amplifier... we were talking
>sweep tube rf amplifiers. I say that your information was
>horible and would probably cause the tube to fail.

Still trying to avoid the issue. Oh well.

BTW, what I said was that you can tie the SCREEN to the plate, not the
GRID to the plate. And tying the screen to the plate works fine for
audio, it just doesn't work very well for RF, and it certainly isn't
"horrible". If you are going to harp on my admitted mistakes then at
least get the mistake right!

>>
>> >>: 2. You didn't know what the term "low-mu" described; namely, the

>> >>: amplification factor of a triode. Not only that, but you used the term
>> >>: to describe the triode operation of a sweep tube while saying that it
>> >>: wasn't operating as a triode.
>> >>
>> >>I know exactly what low and high mu describe... it has many potential sub
>> >>topics when applied to RF amplifiers. Your book text posting wanders so
>> >>much, I/we must be careful to nail you down to the specific issue. You
>> >>also touted tetrode specs using Transconductance when in fact they also
>> >>have mu ratings. Because you left it out, are we supposed to jump up and
>> >>down to say you don't know anything about it... hardly.
>>
>> OH! My GOODNESS! The issue of this post is about your ignorance of the
>> difference between 'amplification factor' and 'transconductance'! No
>> wonder you snipped all that text! Trying to change the topic again,
>> eh? Same old Skippy.
>
>You love to see your own writing Frankie... and I understand the
>details
>applied to sweep tube rf amplifiers (the topic, remember..?) I care
>little about your transfer of book definitions to the thread. Especially
>when you make horible mistakes as described above.

You care little about book definitions when they contradict your own
preconceived opinions. You have proven that many times.

>> >Your again out of steam... try reading up on the issues of high mu
>> >tetrodes/pentode in grounded grid rf amplifiers...
>>
>> A high-mu tube doesn't need to draw as much grid current as a low-mu
>> tube, Skippy. And grid current doesn't change the mu of a tube, as I
>> said before, but you deny and refuse to explain why (because you
>> can't).
>
>I don't care about your comparisons of grid current values.

Lately it seems that when faced with facts you can't refute you just
"don't care". Not suprising, Skippy. Ever hear of the term, 'passive
agression'?

> The
>sweep tubes I talk about are better applied to AB2 rf amplifeirs
>using the circuits connection I like to reference. If we use your
>yesterday post example of grounding grids 1,2 & 3... big problems
>happen with hi mu tubes...

Are you forgetting that all your examples have all three grids
grounded?

> Even rf power tubes like the 4cx250b
>will have problems.

Probably because the 4CX250 was not intended to be wired as a triode
even in grounded-grid operation, or hadn't you thought of that?

> It's all explained in many of the handbooks,
>I don't need to repost it here. It's clear that you don't
>understand the grid current issues of Hi Mu tubes in grounded
>grid AB2 amplifiers.

I understand it much better than you think. Anytime you want to touch
on the issue of high-mu we can go there, too.

>> >Not quite... no where above does it say triode connected sweep tube is
>> >not operating as a triode.
>>
>> You are too much, Skippy:
>>
>> "Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like
>> descriptions like low-mu."
>
>There are at least two possible grid connections for tetrode/pentode
>in grounded grid circuits. One is a low mu type of circuit, the
>other can be called a high mu grounded grid circuit. They are not
>the same. Problems happen when you use high mu tubes in low mu
>types of grounded grid circuits. You appear to not know the
>difference.

We were not talking about the differences between low-mu and high-mu.
The issue is your claim that a triode-connected sweep tube is not
acting like a triode, and then say that you never said such a thing.
And I'm proving you wrong yet again, Skippy.

>> "Triode operation is a bit liberal..."
>
>Yes, it is often over shaddows the details of the various
>grounded grid circuits.

TRIODE, Skippy. Concentrate on the word TRIODE!

>> And when asked directly and specifically...
>>
>> >: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>> >: triode?
>>
>When the grid connections are set up for low mu operation using
>hi mu tubes. That would be the direct grounding of the G1, G2
>& G3 grids.

That's one way to wire it as a triode. It doesn't explain why it isn't
operating as a triode as you claimed.

> You mentioned that layout yesterday in one of your
>ramblings... it is not the best grounded grid connection scheme
>for Hi mu tubes in AB2 circuits.

Perhaps you should provide an example of a high-mu "connection" of a
sweep tube (or any other pentode/tetrode/beam tube) in a grounded-grid
amplifier. Or just draw up a quick and dirty schematic. Or just name
the pins and tell where they go.

And it's funny that your current answer isn't even close to your
answer the first time, where...

>> ...you avoided the question completely by ragging on the same old
>> mistake...
>>
>> >The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
>> >many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed
>> >your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
>> >well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
>> >choice.
>>
>> And you have been sidestepping the issue ever since, tossing out bogus
>> concepts like "passive gain operation", and misusing real concepts
>> like "reverse screen current".
>>
>> Like I said, you have no idea what you are talking about.
>
>See the above and enjoy your crow dinner.

See the above and address the issue instead of changing the subject.

1495. Re: The Frankie Dance and sing Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 18:51:31 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD54233.3BA6DE0C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143981

> >> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
> >> >Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
> >> >REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
> >> >TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...
> >
> >> Oh, Skippy, how you lie:
> >
> >Doesn't look like the original comment below is appplied to any circuit
> >I can see frankie. It still looks like me telling you to relax after
> >you though some other post was from made by me.
>
> You are a moron, Skippy. I was replying to my own post because you
> didn't, and I said that before. You would also see that fact in the
> headers and in the first lines of the post, which you conveniently
> snipped.

You like to reply to your own posts frankie... we have seen many
examples of it.

>
> And you also snipped the question, "tell us what a "classic" design
> entails", to which you responded:

I like to reference the Palomar 300 (six tube model) as a classic
design. I've referenced it many times in the past.

> > So again, check your
> >reverse screen current frankie...
>
> An answer that means nothing at all becase there is no reverse screen
> current in a pentode or beam tetrode (sweep tubes) whether they are
> common-cathode, grounded-grid, or even triode-connected!

I never said there was... you didn't even know what reverse
screen current was until I mentioned it .... "Now THERES a new one!"
to use your own words.

> >> >
> >> >Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
> >> >excited
> >> >about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
> >> >> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
> >> >>

> >> >
> >> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
> >> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
> >> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
> >> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
> >> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
> >> >unnecessary.
> >> >
> >> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
> >> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
> >> >
> >> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
> >> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
> >> >
> >> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
> >> <snip>
> >> =====
> >>
> >> Not only did you say that reverse screen current is a factor in your
> >> "classic" grounded-grid amp, but that it actually is responsible for
> >> reducing grid-plate capacitance, as well as grid shielding between the
> >> cathode and plate (which you say is the reason grounded-grid amps
> >> don't require neutralization).
> >
> >Now "where exactly did I say reverse screen current is a factor..?"
> >That statement seems to be missing from the original and your cut and
> >pasted
>
> ...and uneditied...

Somewhere in all the above, your trying to connect my reverse screen
current jab with the clearly separated reposted text..? Come on
Frankie... your running out of steam again. You can do better
than that.

>
> > text. Stop making stuff up as you go along Franklin..
>
> You can try to use your own vagueness as an excuse, but it just don't
> wash, Skippy. You didn't bring up reverse screen current just to be
> obtuse, and you continued to describe (incorrectly) how the screen
> prevents the need for neutralization.

Actually, I like to consider the reverse screen current jab a tease
to get your blood pressure back down (or up in the real world).

The truth is, I describe how the screen contributes to the
big picture of why the g-grid amplifier does not require
neutralization. It is not the only reason, just as your cure
non inverting diatribe is not the big picture fix all.

> >If you properly read the text,
>
> ...oh brother...first it's "properly designed" and now it's "properly
> read"... can you be any more vague...?

Previous posted examples... the Dentron GLA update text and the
Palomar 300 (six tube) amplifiers are a good place to start.

> > I was staying true to the actual
> >subject of the moment... tetrodes and pentodes in g-grid circuits.
> >One of the major reasons grounded grid circuits using tetrodes and
> >pentodes don't often require neutralization. The statement still
> >stands...
>
> It fell a long time ago. Let me quote you a bit from one of my
> favorite text books (that you despise because they contain facts that
> contradict your RF voodoo):

Lot's of bookie, from frankie...

> "The top end of the tank circuit is feeding RF energy back to the grid
> via the grid-plate capacitance of the tube and is attempting to make
> the stage oscillate. When a _neutralizing_capacitor_ Cn, having the
> same capacitance as the interelectrode capacitance of the tube, is
> connected between the bottom of the tank circuit and the grid of the
> tube, the grid is fed two equal and opposite voltages at the same
> time, one through the tube and one through the neutralizing capacitor.
> The net result is zero effective feedback to the grid from the plate
> circuit, and the stage will not oscillate. It is neutralized."

So, big deal... it's not required in a grounded grid AB2 amplifier.
We are not talking common cathode circuits at this time.

> And a few pages later it describes grounded-grid amplifiers:
>
> "...The top of the input and the output tanks are positive at the same
> time and are in phase, not 180 degrees out of phase, as with
> grounded-cathode amplifers. With this phase relation no regenerative
> feedback occurs and no neutralization is required, an advantage in HF,
> VHF, and UHF circuits."

And what is the date of your magical textbook frankie..? The actual
issue here is that the entire "in phase" statement goes out the window
when you have tubes with VHF gain (Ft) in HF service. The fly in
the soup of the in-phase circuit theory does not the address the
source of the VHF resonance. The VHF path is the anode C through the
blocking cap to the Tune C. Your HF neutralization cap doesn't
address the VHF Path.

Now if you want to argue the term regenerative feed back vs parasitic
path... the call is made by where the oscillation happens. Care
is required to ensure only one "resonant path" is in operation.
Not always a 100% "give-me" in every grounded grid circuit. This
becomes an larger issue when the amplifier is designed for

mulit band operation across a decade.

Hence we have well known grounded grid amplifiers using RF power
tubes which have well documented histories of becoming rather
large oscillators. The SB-220 and the TL-922 are such animals.

> I should add that the example circuit in the book is a triode, NOT a
> tetrode, pentode, beam tube, or any other tube with a screen. Those
> are described later in the book. The screen is NOT responsible for the
> lack of the need for neutralization with grounded-grid amplifiers. Any
> other book on the subject will say the same thing.

Somewhere in that book you've got text that reads the screen
does not contribute to the reduction in feedback C...? I seem
to have more than one that does. By the way, what's the date of
that book..?

> Now how long do you want to keep this up, Skippy?

As long as it takes to get you to understand the operation of
a sweep tube grounded grid amplifiers. So far you don't get it.

> >> First, as I have said many times but you fail to seek the truth for
> >> yourself, neutralization is not required in a grounded-grid amp
> >> because it's a non-inverting amp.
> >
> >The Non Inverting circuit is not the key, nor is it the dominant
> >factor of a grounded grid amplifier.
>
> See above, as well as any basic electronics textbook.

In the real world frankie, If design and construction care is not
taken, grounded grid amplifiers can sing the big song.

> >> Second, grid shielding means absolutely nothing in a grounded-grid
> >> amplifier -- if it did, then your input signal would never get past
> >> the grid to the plate!
> >
> >Not true, you are wrong.
>
> Well if the screen is providing enough shielding to prevent a feedback
> from getting from the plate to the cathode, then it's certainly
> preventing the signal from getting from the cathode to the plate! Or
> is this a magical kind of grid that is selective as to the direction
> of electrostatic coupling? Maybe it's a "bird screen"... hehe...

It doesn't prevent feedback... it reduces C

> >> Third, Cgp never changes because it's a characteristic of the tube,
> >> not the circuit. The capacitance AT the grid can change by changing
> >> the circuit, but that's the INPUT capacitance, NOT Cgp! I have said
> >> that many times as well, and just like every other fact, you have
> >> failed to verify it for yourself.

> >
> >You keep jumping back to the tube Cgp when the topic was the actual
> >problem C of the common cathode circuit C, much reduced grounded grid
> >circuit which is halved.
>
> Not. You haven't read the post with the info from the Eimac
> datasheets.

Actually, I got my information direct from an Eimac Engineer. Actually
his data.

> > Don't mix them up frankie... go back and
> >read my text as its writen. If you don't belive me, reference
> >the many Radio Handbook texts by former Eimac Author Bill Orr
> >(rip sk).
>
> Post a link. While I'm reading that, you can start on chapter 1 of
> your Electronics 101 book.

Would that be a Malvino book frankie..? Find a W6SAI Radio Handbook
in a better library... if you'd actually do it, I'll provide an
edition number and page.

> >> >You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
> >> >review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
> >> >tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
> >> >Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
> >> >novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
> >> >clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"
> >>
> >> I never said it didn't exist. Here is what I DID say:
> >> >"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
> >> >"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!
> >
> >Looks like your pretty surprised to the rest of us... adding your
> >own little bird watts remark after that doesn't help you out much.
> >You were also the only one talking bird watts.
> >
> >"Reverse screen current"? "Now THERE'S a new one!"
> >
> >We call'em as we seem them Frankie boy...
> >
> >> What would you expect me to say? Maybe something like, "Oh, gee, that
> >> clears up just about everything! By golly, why didn't I think of using
> >> reverse screen current when the screen and supressor/beam deflection
> >> plates are grounded! Of course, that would make the triode-connected
> >> sweep tube not act like a triode at all! Now all you have to do is to
> >> figure out how to get the secondary emission from the plate to the
> >> screen past the supressor/beam deflection plates..."
> >> Dumbass.
> >

> >I only expect you to be weak on RF theory and actual hands on (or
> >construction experience); you have proven that to us already.
>
> I have proven quite the opposite, Skippy. And who is "us"?

Readers of the news group of course... yeah, you've proven twice
that you like grids tied to plates in rf amplifiers. That you threw
out a grounded grids G1, G2 and G3 circuit without understanding the
grid current issues of that design. That you were clue less about
reverse screen current... that you called the KLV tank circuit coil
a shunt. on and on... you've proven quite a bit.

> >You also appear rude and prove to have a potty mouth.
>
> Speak for yourself, Skippy. You are just a rude, and even though you
> don't use explicit profanity, you certainly use a large assortment of
> demeaning words and phrases. Physician, heal thyself.

I feel fine frankie... I'd feel a lot better if you didn't go
to the toilet for words so often.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1496. Re: The drawn out Frankie Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 19:10:05 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5468D.F212AB35@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143982

> >See the general description of Beam Forming Plates Frankie... thats
> >what I'm talking about... and what I was talking about in the first
> >post.
>
> Beam forming plates are usually grounded, and have the same effect as
> the supressor of a pentode -- they prevent the space charge from
> hitting the screen. Now what's your point?

RCA Tube manual... listed for many sweep tube numbers "Beam Power Tube".

I find few if any good beam power tube circuits with the beam forming
plates grounded in AB2 cicuits. Buzzztt...! you lose. insert another
quarter.

> >> Do you mean the spacing between the electrodes, or the spacing of the
> >> wires OF the electrode, or how the wires on the screen of a beam tube
> >> are located directly behind the wires of the grid forming an electron
> >>
> >It's clear as its writen, electrode spacing, not grid element or grid
> >wire spacing. But you left it out of your posts so using your tatics
> >we must now assume you know nothing about it.
>
> You didn't answer the question: what do you mean by 'electrode
> spacing'? And again, what is the point?

Kind of a self descriptive term. Beam power tubes have modified
electrode spacing, not just the special grid spacing, placement
description you provided. You didn't mention it... so using your logic,
we must assume you didn't know anything about it.

> Once again, what about the electrode spacing? How is it different than
> a 'non-beam' pentode? How does it affect the operation of your
> triode-connected sweep tube?

You would have to ask the designer of the specific tube. I see
the point made in more than one tube book. There is a difference
which modifies the performance of the the tube.

> What's your point? Where are you going with all this, Skippy?

Cute frankie...

I used the label "Beam Power Tube, you danced and sang about
hard copy definitions... ranting about lack of Beam Power tube
information and sputtering your grid spacing description text
like confetti across your following news group post.

But your book doesn't seen to go much farther down the Beam Power Tube Path so we missed your inclusion of the other significant parts of beam Power tube construction which include electrode spacing and beam forming plates. Using your known methods of assumption, we must now conclude you didn't have that knowledge when you wrote the text.

That's a point... or two...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1497. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 19:16:51 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD54823.DCBFE34@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143983

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
> Because "swamping" the cathode (not a very good term, BTW --
> low-impedance signal source is much better) only relieves PART of the
> problem. The other problem, as I have stated many times, is the
> linearity through grid current transistion, which is what the post
> addressed, NOT cathode "swamping".

We don't really care about the term being great, if one can understand
why it's a good idea to add the resistors in series with the cathode
leads.

Partial relief is good, your concern about linearity is noted.
Remember the part where I said the feed through power swamps the
grid current distortion in a grounded grid amplifiers. That
makes the circuit a real player...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1498. Re: The Frankie Gilliland Chronicles go on and on... - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 19:35:17 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD54C75.C0C0AA39@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143984

> >Much to your dismay frankie, I have quite the formal electronics
> >education thank you. At no time did I ever restrict AB2 operation to
> >grounded grid... homey ain't playing that word game with you.
>
> That's hogwash, Skippy! Your confusion between amplifier classes and
> operation is posted all over these threads!

The issue has been... trying to keep you from jumping back and forth
from grounded grid to common cathode circuits. I've called you on
it a number of times. Your a ramblin kinda' guy frankie.

>
> >Once more for the road... we've been talking sweep tubes in AB2
> >grounded grid amplifiers. You want to jump lanes to common cathode
> >circuits, tell us at the time. I've been calling you on that issue
> >for some time now.
>
> ...good grief. Get yourself a decent news browser so you can go back
> and read the previous threads. Out of all the browsers I tried, even
> MSIE, I liked Agent the best. You should try it sometime. Then maybe
> you can read the previous posts and see that the conversation has
> never been limited to grounded-grid amps, despite your desperate
> attempts to do so.

If you want to change lanes... hang up your $#%#%^ cell phone and
turn on your blinker. We don't mind you wanting to exit the main
topic,just give us a clue when you want to crank the steering wheel.

> >Just as a side bar comment, not all common cathode amps require
> >neutralization. Its not rocket science to build a stable common
> >cathode amplifier less the neutralization requirement.
>
> Duh! I said that a long time ago! It's VERY easy to build one without
> neutralization when your Cgp is so low that stray capacitance in the
> grid circuit is enough to neutralize the tube. Where have you been,
> Skippy?

Up to my knees in your new group text poop.

> >Unless you put on the the turn signal... we are talking grounded
> >grid AB2 amplifiers using sweep tubes. Now if we can just get
> >you off your cell phone.
>
> I had a cell phone for a few months. Didn't like it. Never had one
> since.

My god, there's another common thing between us frankie.... I still
love you, now come back home and feed the dogs.

> >If you want to put on the common cathode sweep tube amplifier talk,
> >lets boogie.
>
> I have been doing it all along, Skippy. You have been out to lunch on
> the issue.

Just pointing out your mistakes...

>
> > By the way, did I tell you I have a two tube common
> >cathode sweep tube amplifiers at 28.55 MHz that has better than
> >10dB gain and no neutralization...?
>
> 10 dB gain from a two-tube common-cathode amp? That's PATHETIC,
> Skippy! You should be getting AT LEAST 14 dB from those tubes, which
> means that you have neutralization in that amp but don't know it, and
> it's causing your poor gain figures. Hey, why isn't this amp posted on
> your sonic server, too? LOL!

Notice the exact words "better than 10 dB..." frankie..?

It's actually about +13 dB gain where I operate it, but you probably
would have argued about it. I consider 10 dB the min "cost break point"
for most rf amplifier projects.

The amplifiers has no neutralization and I know it

It's such a small power level amplifier that most people don't
care much to talk about it. I'm not going to post every amplifier
I've collected or built on sonic... that would be way too much time
intensive work. So I do what I can as my free time allows.

How about you sending me anything... I promise not to modify your
text... please..? anything..? free web space..? (picture me holding
out a carrot on a stick)...

> > How about you throwing out
> >a circuit now..? How about you answering up with any text you've
> >posted any where on the net that we can review... of course other
> >than your hate post followup replies. Anything ...? Please..?
>
> Naw, I would rather point out all the errors of your amps.

Thanks Frankie... I appreciate the effort... but I would like to
see anything you've done... I'll behave myself...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1499. Re: The Frankie Story part - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 19:47:14 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD54F42.E7F9E7EF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143985

> <snip>
> >> >: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
> >> >: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
> >> >: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
> >> >: current distortion.
> >> >
> >> >Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
> >> >Yep, greater than 180 degrees.
> >>
> >> Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
> >> usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
> >> the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
> >> the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
> >> lower power levels than high power peaks.
> >
> >The conduction angle is never perfect, but it is still about 210
> >degrees by generic definition. The grid should be biased negative
> >with respect to the cathode.
>
> I have no problems with 210 degree conduction, although I wouldn't
> agree to the specific number unless I actually measured it. As for the
> grid biased negative, yeah? So?

As shown above, you felt the burning need to talk about conduction
angle restrictions.

I felt it was another unannounced turn off the freeway and
thought it better to tell you were the road was.

> > The question should be if you've ever
> >measured the noise..? Is the noise a significant problem ..? I
> >and other believe it does not disqualify sweep tubes from RF AB2
> >g-grid amplifier service.
>
> The numbers provided by the manufacturer are more than enough proof of
> the noise caused by these tubes. I have posted them from your own
> example of a "classic" amp at least three times. Shall I post them
> again?

Never take the mfgr as gospel. With enough resources, homework
and time, one could make the measurements for themselves.

> >> > The big picture here is the grid current
> >> >distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
> >> >Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
> >> >grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.

> >>
> >> Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
> >> The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
> >> a kilowatt amplifier.
> >
> >Probably because -30 dB was the requirement... In my Dentron web page
> >text, I mention a lot of the short comings of Dentron, which then
> >became Amp Supply. I don't judge the Dentron GLA-1000 as a good
> >circuit, in fact I wrote my text to point out some serious improvements
> >to be made which will make the amplifier a great rebuild project.
> >
> >Even the Svetlana Conversion has a lot of poop and is actually
> >incomplete (no standby mode cut-off).
> >
> >I measured about -32 dB on one example Quartet Sweep Tube Amplifier
> >some years back. What would make the amplifier "suck" is a speech
> >processed SSB signal using ALC.
>
> I wouldn't run a sweep tube that DOESN'T have an ALC circuit, and I
> would NEVER run a sweep tube grounded-grid. The proof is in the
> pudding -- they simply put out too much grid-current distortion, and
> all the noise figures for all the grounded-grid sweep tube amps back
> up that fact. "Plain fact, jack..."

I don't waste my time with an ALC circuit.

Again, review and research my previously made statement. "Feed through
power swamps out grid current distortion in AB2 grounded grid
amplifiers." Now that's no rope yank... frank.

> >In regular operation, you couldn't tell I had it on the air, other
> >than the increased signal strength. That's what amplifier operation
> >should be all about.
>
> It should be, but frequently that's not all that comes out of the
> coax.

It is when I can clearly see and measure the output nozzle contents....

chow
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1500. Re: The almost home frankie Chronicles - 16 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 20:06:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd54f58.781857@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143986

>.... don't put
>aside your ridiculous ideas that Cgp changes because it's in a
>grounded-grid circuit, because not only have I already demonstrated
>that it doesn't, and tomorrow I fully intend to give you an actual
>measurement of the effective Cgp of a triode-connected 6LF6. You can
>verify the measurements for yourself.

Here we go:

G1 to P [G2 and G3 open] -- 8 pF
G1 + G2 + G3 to P -- 15 pF

The EFFECTIVE Cgp INCREASES, just like I said it does! Also, notice that the actual value of Cgp is 5 pF larger than what is specified in the data sheets. Just to make sure I wasn't reading it incorrectly I balanced it on the bridge with a fixed cap -- it is indeed an accurate measurement. I also tested three other tubes (these are all NOS), with the same results. You and your ridiculous theory have just been proven wrong BIG TIME, Skippy!

As if that wasn't enough, just for kicks I did this:

K to P with all grids open -- 9 pF
K to P with all grids tied together -- 10 pF

Not only is there NO additional shielding with triode-connection, but you slightly INCREASED your cathode to plate capacitance!

Skippy, your plane didn't just crash, it plummeted at warp speed and blew open a crater the size Texas!

1501. Re: The Frankie Dance and sing Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:00:49 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd55419.1998940@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143988

In <3DD54233.3BA6DE0C@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >> In <aqhm10$5mh$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Frank goes whacky
>> >> >Boy, your really cute with the cut and paste. AT NO TIME DID I EVER SAY
>> >> >REVERSE SCREEN CURRENT APPLIED TO GROUNDED GRID SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS,
>> >> >TRIODES OR ANYTHING SIMILAR. Nice try on your part though...
>> >
>> >> Oh, Skippy, how you lie:
>> >
>> >Doesn't look like the original comment below is appplied to any circuit
>> >I can see frankie. It still looks like me telling you to relax after
>> >you though some other post was from made by me.
>>
>> You are a moron, Skippy. I was replying to my own post because you
>> didn't, and I said that before. You would also see that fact in the
>> headers and in the first lines of the post, which you conveniently
>> snipped.
>
>You like to reply to your own posts frankie... we have seen many
>examples of it.

You like to ignore posts that have facts you can't refute... we have
seen many examples of it.

>>
>> And you also snipped the question, "tell us what a "classic" design
>> entails", to which you responded:
>
>I like to reference the Palomar 300 (six tube model) as a classic
>design. I've referenced it many times in the past.

G1 bypassed to ground, G2 and G3 connected directly to ground. All
three grids are grounded, Skippy. But YOU said that was BAD! LOL!!!

>> > So again, check your
>> >reverse screen current frankie...
>>
>> An answer that means nothing at all becase there is no reverse screen
>> current in a pentode or beam tetrode (sweep tubes) whether they are
>> common-cathode, grounded-grid, or even triode-connected!
>
>I never said there was...

You didn't mention it in the context of your reply just for kicks,
Skippy. Quit lying, because nobody is dumb enough to believe you.

> you didn't even know what reverse
>screen current was until I mentioned it .... "Now THERES a new one!"
>to use your own words.

The word "one" meaning "lame excuse that you will never be able to
back up with facts". I was right.

>> >> >
>> >> >Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
>> >> >excited
>> >> >about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
>> >> >> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
>> >> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
>> >> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
>> >> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
>> >> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
>> >> >unnecessary.
>> >> >
>> >> >Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
>> >> >in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.
>> >> >
>> >> >What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
>> >> >supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating
>> >> >
>> >> >shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.
>> >> <snip>
>> >> =====
>> >>
>> >> Not only did you say that reverse screen current is a factor in your
>> >> "classic" grounded-grid amp, but that it actually is responsible for
>> >> reducing grid-plate capacitance, as well as grid shielding between the
>> >> cathode and plate (which you say is the reason grounded-grid amps
>> >> don't require neutralization).
>> >
>> >Now "where exactly did I say reverse screen current is a factor..?"
>> >That statement seems to be missing from the original and your cut and
>> >pasted
>>
>> ...and uneditied...
>
>Somewhere in all the above, your trying to connect my reverse screen
>current jab with the clearly separated reposted text..? Come on
>Frankie... your running out of steam again. You can do better
>than that.

"Clearly seperated"? At what point is it seperated, Skippy? Since
anyone -- even YOU -- can read the post for themselves and see that I
didn't edit one single word, the only conclusion is that you are lying
in an attempt to obfuscate the issue.

>>
>> > text. Stop making stuff up as you go along Franklin..
>>
>> You can try to use your own vagueness as an excuse, but it just don't
>> wash, Skippy. You didn't bring up reverse screen current just to be
>> obtuse, and you continued to describe (incorrectly) how the screen
>> prevents the need for neutralization.
>
>Actually, I like to consider the reverse screen current jab a tease
>to get your blood pressure back down (or up in the real world).
>
>The truth is, I describe how the screen contributes to the
>big picture of why the g-grid amplifier does not require
>neutralization. It is not the only reason, just as your cure
>non inverting diatribe is not the big picture fix all.

It's not a reason at all because it doesn't occur in a pentode OR a
beam tube, even when it's connected as a triode! When are you going to
get that through your thick skull, Skippy?

>> >If you properly read the text,
>>
>> ...oh brother...first it's "properly designed" and now it's "properly
>> read"... can you be any more vague...?
>
>Previous posted examples... the Dentron GLA update text and the
>Palomar 300 (six tube) amplifiers are a good place to start.
>
>> > I was staying true to the actual
>> >subject of the moment... tetrodes and pentodes in g-grid circuits.
>> >One of the major reasons grounded grid circuits using tetrodes and
>> >pentodes don't often require neutralization. The statement still
>> >stands...
>>
>> It fell a long time ago. Let me quote you a bit from one of my
>> favorite text books (that you despise because they contain facts that
>> contradict your RF voodoo):
>
>Lot's of bookie, from frankie...
>
>> "The top end of the tank circuit is feeding RF energy back to the grid
>> via the grid-plate capacitance of the tube and is attempting to make
>> the stage oscillate. When a _neutralizing_capacitor_ Cn, having the
>> same capacitance as the interelectrode capacitance of the tube, is
>> connected between the bottom of the tank circuit and the grid of the
>> tube, the grid is fed two equal and opposite voltages at the same
>> time, one through the tube and one through the neutralizing capacitor.

>> The net result is zero effective feedback to the grid from the plate
>> circuit, and the stage will not oscillate. It is neutralized."
>
>So, big deal... it's not required in a grounded grid AB2 amplifier.
>We are not talking common cathode circuits at this time.
>
>> And a few pages later it describes grounded-grid amplifiers:
>>
>> "...The top of the input and the output tanks are positive at the same
>> time and are in phase, not 180 degrees out of phase, as with
>> grounded-cathode amplifers. With this phase relation no regenerative
>> feedback occurs and no neutralization is required, an advantage in HF,
>> VHF, and UHF circuits."
>
>And what is the date of your magical textbook frankie..?

ROTFL! You put both your "magical" put-down AND your "dated" argument
in the same sentence! You must be desperate...LOL!

> The actual
>issue here is that the entire "in phase" statement goes out the window
>when you have tubes with VHF gain (Ft) in HF service. The fly in
>the soup of the in-phase circuit theory does not the address the
>source of the VHF resonance. The VHF path is the anode C through the
>blocking cap to the Tune C. Your HF neutralization cap doesn't
>address the VHF Path.

AGAIN you are confusing neutralization with parasitic supression!
Maybe it's not confusion, maybe it's a deliberate obfuscation...

>Now if you want to argue the term regenerative feed back vs parasitic
>path... the call is made by where the oscillation happens. Care
>is required to ensure only one "resonant path" is in operation.
>Not always a 100% "give-me" in every grounded grid circuit. This
>becomes an larger issue when the amplifier is designed for
>mulit band operation across a decade.

The two concepts are different and distinct, and if you could read you
would know why. Just in case your text-to-speech converter is on the
fritz, let me clue you in: One is caused by regenerative feedback in
the amp as a whole, and occurs on the frequency to which the amplifier
is tuned. The other is caused by unintentional (and frequently
unexpected) resonant conditions in the sub-circuits of the amp (and
NOT just the tube!). Now do you think you can figure out which is
which?

>Hence we have well known grounded grid amplifiers using RF power
>tubes which have well documented histories of becoming rather
>large oscillators. The SB-220 and the TL-922 are such animals.

Were those oscillations parasitic or on the tuned frequency? Are those
grounded-grid amps? What kind of parasitic supression did they use?

Any? Did they take your advice and build an amp without any parasitic
supression? LOL!

>> I should add that the example circuit in the book is a triode, NOT a
>> tetrode, pentode, beam tube, or any other tube with a screen. Those
>> are described later in the book. The screen is NOT responsible for the
>> lack of the need for neutralization with grounded-grid amplifiers. Any
>> other book on the subject will say the same thing.
>
>Somewhere in that book you've got text that reads the screen
>does not contribute to the reduction in feedback C...?

Feedback capacitance in a grounded-grid amplifier is irrelavent
because the cathode and plate are in phase, or haven't you read that
far in your Electronics 101 book yet? Despite that, the screen
INCREASES the cathode to plate capacitance as I have already proven by
experiment!

> I seem
>to have more than one that does.

The only information you seem to have learned seems have come from the
internet -- I would be astounded to see you quote something from a
legitimate and authoritative publication!

> By the way, what's the date of
>that book..?

Are you actually going to claim that the fundamental operation of a
grounded-grid amplifier is "dated"? That's about the lamest argument
you have come up with so far, Skippy! I suppose that you think the
concepts of Ohm's law and Maxwell's equations are "dated" as well?

>> Now how long do you want to keep this up, Skippy?
>
>As long as it takes to get you to understand the operation of
>a sweep tube grounded grid amplifiers. So far you don't get it.

I get it fine -- it is YOUR understanding that is deficient!

>> >> First, as I have said many times but you fail to seek the truth for
>> >> yourself, neutralization is not required in a grounded-grid amp
>> >> because it's a non-inverting amp.
>> >
>> >The Non Inverting circuit is not the key, nor is it the dominant
>> >factor of a grounded grid amplifier.
>>
>> See above, as well as any basic electronics textbook.
>
>In the real world frankie, If design and construction care is not
>taken, grounded grid amplifiers can sing the big song.

That's the first accurate statement you have said in several days.

>> >> Second, grid shielding means absolutely nothing in a grounded-grid
>> >> amplifier -- if it did, then your input signal would never get past
>> >> the grid to the plate!
>> >
>> >Not true, you are wrong.
>>
>> Well if the screen is providing enough shielding to prevent a feedback
>> from getting from the plate to the cathode, then it's certainly
>> preventing the signal from getting from the cathode to the plate! Or
>> is this a magical kind of grid that is selective as to the direction
>> of electrostatic coupling? Maybe it's a "bird screen"... hehe...
>
>It doesn't prevent feedback... it reduces C

It does no such thing. Quit relying on the internet for your
electronics theory. But if you want a very good site to visit about
power amplifiers and their applications, try here:

http://www.ideas2actions.com/svetlana/techbulletins.asp

>> >> Third, Cgp never changes because it's a characteristic of the tube,
>> >> not the circuit. The capacitance AT the grid can change by changing
>> >> the circuit, but that's the INPUT capacitance, NOT Cgp! I have said
>> >> that many times as well, and just like every other fact, you have
>> >> failed to verify it for yourself.
>> >
>> >You keep jumping back to the tube Cgp when the topic was the actual
>> >problem C of the common cathode circuit C, much reduced grounded grid
>> >circuit which is halved.
>>
>> Not. You haven't read the post with the info from the Eimac
>> datasheets.
>
>Actually, I got my information direct from an Eimac Engineer. Actually
>his data.

Then he hasn't read the datasheets or tested it for himself, and you
should have verified his information by testing it for yourself. BTW,
what is the name of this engineer at Eimac that gave you this false
information? I'm thinking he gave you accurate information but you
warped it to fit your pathetic understanding of electronic
fundamentals.

>> > Don't mix them up frankie... go back and
>> >read my text as its writen. If you don't belive me, reference
>> >the many Radio Handbook texts by former Eimac Author Bill Orr
>> >(rip sk).
>>
>> Post a link. While I'm reading that, you can start on chapter 1 of
>> your Electronics 101 book.
>
>Would that be a Malvino book frankie..? Find a W6SAI Radio Handbook
>in a better library... if you'd actually do it, I'll provide an

>edition number and page.

Quit avoiding the issue and post a link, Skippy. Lot's of Bill Orr's
stuff is on the net, point to the direct quote where it backs up your
claim.

>> >> >You said it doesn't even exist... I called you on your statement to
>> >> >review basic tetrode amplifier 101 because it does in some classic
>> >> >tetrode circuits. Now your trying to big time back pedal here.
>> >> >Now you've looked it up and defined it above to try and save face. How
>> >> >novel that you are now an expert in reverse screen current when you
>> >> >clearly posted in one of your 10/31/02 posts "Now THERES a new one!"
>> >>
>> >> I never said it didn't exist. Here is what I DID say:
>> >> >"Reverse screen current"? Now THERE's a new one! Is that how they get
>> >> >"bird watts", by using "reverse screen current"? LOL!
>> >
>> >Looks like your pretty surprised to the rest of us... adding your
>> >own little bird watts remark after that doesn't help you out much.
>> >You were also the only one talking bird watts.
>> >
>> >"Reverse screen current"? "Now THERE'S a new one!"
>> >
>> >We call'em as we seem them Frankie boy...
>> >
>> >> What would you expect me to say? Maybe something like, "Oh, gee, that
>> >> clears up just about everything! By golly, why didn't I think of using
>> >> reverse screen current when the screen and supressor/beam deflection
>> >> plates are grounded! Of course, that would make the triode-connected
>> >> sweep tube not act like a triode at all! Now all you have to do is to
>> >> figure out how to get the secondary emission from the plate to the
>> >> screen past the supressor/beam deflection plates..."
>> >> Dumbass.
>> >
>> >I only expect you to be weak on RF theory and actual hands on (or
>> >construction experience); you have proven that to us already.
>>
>> I have proven quite the opposite, Skippy. And who is "us"?
>
>Readers of the news group of course... yeah, you've proven twice
>that you like grids tied to plates in rf amplifiers. That you threw
>out a grounded grids G1, G2 and G3 circuit without understanding the
>grid current issues of that design.

And you still don't understand that all three grids are grounded in
your favorite examples.

>That you were clue less about
>reverse screen current...

You haven't given ANY explanation for why it is a factor with ANYTHING
in this discussion!

> that you called the KLV tank circuit coil
>a shunt.

That you can't even look up an old post and get the facts straight?
LOL!

> on and on... you've proven quite a bit.

Yes I have, Skippy! None of it to your benefit, however.

>> >You also appear rude and prove to have a potty mouth.
>>
>> Speak for yourself, Skippy. You are just a rude, and even though you
>> don't use explicit profanity, you certainly use a large assortment of
>> demeaning words and phrases. Physician, heal thyself.
>
>I feel fine frankie... I'd feel a lot better if you didn't go
>to the toilet for words so often.

I guess you are just going to have to feel not so good while I
exercise my 1st Amendment right to freedom of expression. Like the
cliche goes, if you don't like it....

1502. Re: The Frankie does Dallas Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:04:43 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5616B.8A8223A0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143987

> >Now there you go again trying to drag Reverse Screen Current into the
> >mix; trying to cover your tracks... nope, won't work here.
>
> You brought it into the conversation, Skippy. You used it in context
> with your explanation of how a triode-connected sweep tube doesn't
> work like a triode, but are now recanting because you think I'm stupid
> enough to buy your excuse that it had nothing to do with the question.
> "nope, won't work here", Skippy.

I believe a review of the original post is quite clear to everyone but
you frankie. The reverse screen current jab at you was never used
"in context" with any explanation I made. Maybe next time...

>
> >Let us filter through the confetti and get right back on the topic.
> >
> >The doesn't work like a triode refers to the benefit of the additional
> >shielding from the grounded screen and supressor grids.
>
> Nice try, but you can't shield the plate from the cathode without
> shielding the cathode from the plate, cause the amp wouldn't work! And
> your precept for shielding stems from your unwillingness to understand
> the basic operation of a grounded-grid amp, the regenerative feedback
> effect of interelectrode capacitances, and the neutralization of the
> same.

Oh my god, we're back to square one with you. I guess the Eimac
Engineer who first told me about it was wrong in every Radio Handbook
I've seen it written in.

> And that STILL doesn't explain what you were allegedly referring to
> when you brought up 'reverse screen current' (if not about how a
> triode-connected sweep tube doesn't operate like a triode).

'Twas a jab at your temper tantrum of the moment frankie. That's
all... until you appeared so shocked about seeing the post that
you claimed it was a new one for you. Of course until you picked
up a book and found text on reverse screen current.

Now your running out of steam again... plus the words boring
come to mind Frankie. Stop boring us trying to make something
out of nothing.

> > To make the
> >round trip, I also claim that the type of AB2 grounded grid circuit
> >is of concern (as there are a few variations of grounded grid) when

> >using triode connected grounded grid sweep tubes because there is a
> >problem (previously explained) trying to run them in some applied
> >triode g-grid circuits. It's all about the tube geometry...
>
> Well then explain why this tube geometry affects the operation of a
> triode-connected sweep tube. Come to think of it, you have used the
> phrase "tube geometry" several times, but just like all your other
> meaningless catch-phrases you haven't gone into any further detail or
> explanation. Do you think these things explain themselves, Skippy? Or
> do you think that I don't know a bluff when I see it?

Meaningless as found in Radio Handbooks authored by Eimac Engineers.
If you can grasp the difference, see my description of the three
directly grounded G1, G2 and G3 grids amplifier amplifier and compare
it to the palomar 300 (six tube) circuit. The triode connected sweep
tube will not play well ("plays well with others...") in the directly
grounded AB2 circuit. Dat's what I'm talkin' bout frankie...

And just to add the cherry topping... it's all directly related to
the tube geometry of a particular style of tubes... such as the
4cx250b and various Beam Power Sweep tubes. The AB2 G-Grid layout
which connects the G1 & G2 grids as one is a bad boy for the
4cx250b and many Beam Power "sweep tubes".

> >I do thank you, you don't appear to have a grasp of load lines when
> >you write poop about my 10 ohm series cathode resistor recommendation
> >in the dentron text.
>
> ...blah, blah, blah. I already addressed your cathode resistors a long
> time ago but you refuse to look up the post. Now where is that post
> where you explain about "basic AC and DC load lines", Skippy?

Yeah, I seem to remember you blowing gas about them causing
ungrounded cathodes and a list of horror stories.... when in
fact, they are a very good idea. I don't care to waste time
explaining load lines here... but the resistors function by providing
degeneration to the circuit; said degeneration improves the
linearity of the circuit. Roger Wilco... over and out.

>
> >The AC & DC part came in a later post... grounding grids in various
> >combinations was mentioned well over a year ago. I even posted a
> >rather large article about the good sweep tube amplifier layouts.
> >Since your so good at news group surfing, go dig it up on the
> >www.contesting.com web page. Once again, how about sharing any
> >text or web pages you have with us Frank... we're still waiting.
>
> More diversionary BS. What's a load line, Skippy?

Right here it's a truck full of poop your trying to hid. Did you
bother to look up my sweep tube text at the site mentioned above.

You seen so... good with the internet... how about having at and
getting back to me.

Remember, if your going to turn, put on your blinker... no blinker
no talkie about load lines at this time.

> >In specific, you applied the resistor recommendation to a fault of
> >ungrounding the cathode, you also threw in neutralization with your
> >diatribe of the moment
>
> WTH are you talking about? I said that a cathode resistor on a
> common-cathode RF amp is a bad idea because it ungrounds the cathode.
> What does that have to do with your claim that a series resistor on
> the cathode of a grounded-grid amp improving linearity?

You were the once dancing in the spot light about resistors. My
original dentron text where this all started is still on the
sonic server as you last saw.

> > when I only state the resistor improves
> >linearity, the cause... by providing degeneration.
>
> Attenuation is not the same as degeneration (degenerative feedback).
> Go read your Electronics 101 book, Skippy.

Drop the feedback... just degeneration... all about load lines
frankie... if your going that way, take the text as I post it.
Remember to send us a post card...

> > You did not
> >properly address the issue because you don't understand AB2 grounded
> >grid circuits very well.
>
> I understand the issue very well and have previously addressed it.
> I'll even give you the post ID (which I have done several times
> already and twice today):

I'll give you credit in the shear volume of inert gas present in
your posts.

>
> <3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>
>
> And you are STILL avoiding the issue at hand; namely, your confusion
> between AC and DC grounding of a grid.

If you can tear yourself away from your latest tangent of AC and
DC paths, focus on the differences in the circuits which ground
all grids to the same point vs the other grounded grid circuits
which do not. This is the direction the topic should be taken
as it's a very important issue you don't seem to get
very well.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1503. Re: The drawn out Frankie Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:15:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd56103.5304986@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143989

In <3DD5468D.F212AB35@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >See the general description of Beam Forming Plates Frankie... thats
>> >what I'm talking about... and what I was talking about in the first
>> >post.
>>
>> Beam forming plates are usually grounded, and have the same effect as
>> the supressor of a pentode -- they prevent the space charge from
>> hitting the screen. Now what's your point?
>
>RCA Tube manual... listed for many sweep tube numbers "Beam Power Tube".

Yeah? So?

>I find few if any good beam power tube circuits with the beam forming
>plates grounded in AB2 cicuits. Buzzztt...! you lose. insert another
>quarter.

First you claim that sweep tubes are beam tubes, and that your
"classic" grounded-grid sweep tube amps are exampled by the Palomar
and Dentron. But now you say that you don't find any good beam tube
circuits where the beam forming plates are grounded as they are in
your favorite examples. ROTFLOL!

>> >> Do you mean the spacing between the electrodes, or the spacing of the
>> >> wires OF the electrode, or how the wires on the screen of a beam tube
>> >> are located directly behind the wires of the grid forming an electron
>> >
>> >It's clear as its writen, electrode spacing, not grid element or grid
>> >wire spacing. But you left it out of your posts so using your tatics
>> >we must now assume you know nothing about it.
>>
>> You didn't answer the question: what do you mean by 'electrode
>> spacing'? And again, what is the point?
>
>Kind of a self descriptive term. Beam power tubes have modified
>electrode spacing, not just the special grid spacing, placement
>description you provided. You didn't mention it... so using your logic,
>we must assume you didn't know anything about it.

No it isn't "self descriptive". You can have spacing BETWEEN the
electrodes, you can have spacing of the WIRES of an electrode, you can
have spacing relative to the ALIGNMENT of the electrodes... Which one
do you mean, Skippy?

>> Once again, what about the electrode spacing? How is it different than
>> a 'non-beam' pentode? How does it affect the operation of your
>> triode-connected sweep tube?
>
>You would have to ask the designer of the specific tube. I see
>the point made in more than one tube book. There is a difference
>which modifies the performance of the the tube.

And what is that difference?

>> What's your point? Where are you going with all this, Skippy?
>
>Cute frankie...
>
>I used the label "Beam Power Tube, you danced and sang about
>hard copy definitions... ranting about lack of Beam Power tube
>information and sputtering your grid spacing description text
>like confetti across your following news group post.
>
>But your book doesn't seen to go much farther down the Beam
>Power Tube Path so we missed your inclusion of the other
>significant parts of beam Power tube construction which include
>electrode spacing and beam forming plates. Using your
>known methods of assumption, we must now conclude you didn't
>have that knowledge when you wrote the text.
>
>That's a point... or two...

Then, as you said, what other significant parts of a beam tube affect
the operation of a triode-connected grounded-grid amp that are
different than a pentode? Come on, Skippy, you made the claim, now
back it up with some facts!

1504. CB Radio Parts Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:19:25 UTC
From: Mark Harju <mark.a.harju@NO-SPAM.boeing.com>
Message-ID: <H5Mz8C.B75@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 143991

Hi all,

Did something dumb with a CB I got secondhand. I keyed it with an unbalanced load and blew the final.

Can anybody provide a source for parts? Fortunately, the unit came with schematics and a parts list.

Thanks in advance...

mh

--

Opinions expressed are
not necessarily those of
The Boeing Company.
Please remove "NO-SPAM"
to reply.
Thanks!

1505. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:23:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd563ee.6052789@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143990

In <3DD54823.DCBFE34@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>> Because "swamping" the cathode (not a very good term, BTW --
>> low-impedance signal source is much better) only relieves PART of the
>> problem. The other problem, as I have stated many times, is the
>> linearity through grid current transistion, which is what the post
>> addressed, NOT cathode "swamping".
>
>We don't really care about the term being great, if one can understand
>why it's a good idea to add the resistors in series with the cathode
>leads.

Aw, Skippy, did you really think that I wouldn't notice your chop-job
on my post? You didn't say ANYTHING about swamping RESISTORS, let
alone that you think that's the function of your series cathode
resistors. Here's what you DID say (and snipped):

>>Dumb answer Franklin... Sweep Tubes in grounded grid AB2 RF amps
>>can work very well. ... and I saved all that money just by knowing
>>the "feed through power" of a grounded grid circuit swamps out the
>>grid current distortion issues.

Bad form, Skippy. As for your series cathode resistors, they aren't as
good of an idea as you think, for reasons I have stated many times
already but you continue to ignore.

>Partial relief is good, your concern about linearity is noted.
>Remember the part where I said the feed through power swamps the
>grid current distortion in a grounded grid amplifiers. That
>makes the circuit a real player...

And you are missing the boat here, too. It's not the fact that the
input power is fed through the tube, it's the fact that the power is
fed at a low impedance from a tuned circuit! THAT makes the
difference, Skippy! Your series cathode resistors actually HURT the
performance of the amplifier (in more ways than one!).

1506. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:52:44 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <EOadnebQKbcw8UigXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 143992

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DD24357.7513@worldlynx.net...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DD14017.36F1@worldlynx.net...
> > > You know, I used to think you were some pencil-necked internet
geek,
> > but
> > > after this, it's clear to me that you don't have the slightest
clue
> > how
> > > message routing works, or what goes on with the various servers
that
> > > make up a news service, or what things can be stored in your
> > newsreader
> > > settings. But oh, that's right, you don't have a "real"
computer,
> > just a
> > > webTV wannabe. Maybe that's just as well, as it seems that you
> > wouldn't
> > > be able to set a real computer up properly anyway.......
> >
> > Dave, Twisted has never figured out how the headers work nor how
> > message routing is done. Don't waste your time trying either, he
wont
> > believe you. Over the past year or two several people have pointed
out
> > to him how far off the mark he was when accusing people of various
> > things related to forging messages on the group and it didn't do
any
> > good.
>
>
> The more he posts, the more obvious it becomes, that he's off the
rails.

Isn't that the truth!!

> There are certain lines in a header where you can insert your own
> message. That's configurable in most newreaders. It's no big secret.
Yet
> Twisty seems to think that it's significant, that I can put "Spew
Radio
> Inc." in my organization line.

For him it is since he's stuck using that silly WebTV account.

> In fact it has probably been there since
> I installed this newsreader (And changing newsreaders is akin, in
> Twisty's mind, to changing "access"). I can change it on every
message I
> leave along with other lines, if I were so inclined.

OE Express does the same thing too. It's just to much screwing around
to go through the menus all the time to change it for every message.

> But what does that prove?

Nothing, but Twisted doesn't know that.

> I'm still using the same ISP, no matter what strange demons are
> slithering through his little mind.

He still doesn't know what to do with those numbers seperated by
periods, nor what they mean.

> If he would spend more time thinking about what he posts and
> concentrating on comprending what other people say, rather than
looking
> for elephants under his bed, he'd be way better off.

He spends to much of his time crawling through old Daja news archives
looking for old posts from various people that he hopes to embarrass
them with later. Of course his post about the "jockstrap" and talking
to "saucer men" can be found there as well.

> That's why I'm not going to bother responding to him anymore, it's
> pointless. He still doesn't get it, the joke's on him, but there is
only
> so much fiddle playing someone can do, before you get tired of
hearing
> the same old song and dance routine.

Twisted is point less, period. If you watch how he twists what people
say around it becomes very obvious he's constantly "Trolling". He does
this because he knows people will keep coming back and trying to
correct him. He knows perfectly well what he did and enjoys getting
people to react to him one way or the other.

> If he wants to keep searching google for every post that I've ever
made,
> and thereby validating, for the world to see, his obsession with me,
> more power to him. I've said nothing that I regret. I debate on
> principle, which is why he never understood the difference between
how
> someone personally feels about something, and what the law says.
>
> The fact that I've owned and operated equipment illegally on CB in
the
> past, in no way changes what he does NOW in the eyes of the law.

Which is a valid point. Several others have admited to operating beyond the law at one time or another and have since stop doing so. I have to respect that. The whole point is to try to educate people. Either by posting the facts, or through logical and civil debate on this news group. There are some, like Twisted, who will never understand that we all have to live under the rule of law if we are to have any order in our socity.

About the only time I bother with Twisted is when I'm bored and have nothing else to do. Its always entertaing to see what kind of illogical logic Twisted cooks up to support his off the wall remarks, stumble all over himself, thus living up to his sole use on this news group as the offical Punch Clown of rec-radio-cb.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1507. Re: The Frankie Gilliland Chronicles go on and on... - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 21:53:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd565d6.6540414@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143993

In <3DD54C75.C0C0AA39@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Much to your dismay frankie, I have quite the formal electronics
>> >education thank you. At no time did I ever restrict AB2 operation to
>> >grounded grid... homey ain't playing that word game with you.
>>
>> That's hogwash, Skippy! Your confusion between amplifier classes and
>> operation is posted all over these threads!
>
>The issue has been... trying to keep you from jumping back and forth
>from grounded grid to common cathode circuits. I've called you on
>it a number of times. Your a ramblin kinda' guy frankie.

That's even more hogwash! The reason you thought I was "jumping back
and forth" is because you didn't know the difference between the amps!
Even your website has several examples where you demonstrate that
confusion. So don't blame me for your incompetence!

>>
>> >Once more for the road... we've been talking sweep tubes in AB2
>> >grounded grid amplifiers. You want to jump lanes to common cathode
>> >circuits, tell us at the time. I've been calling you on that issue
>> >for some time now.
>>
>> ...good grief. Get yourself a decent news browser so you can go back
>> and read the previous threads. Out of all the browsers I tried, even
>> MSIE, I liked Agent the best. You should try it sometime. Then maybe
>> you can read the previous posts and see that the conversation has
>> never been limited to grounded-grid amps, despite your desperate
>> attempts to do so.
>
>If you want to change lanes... hang up your $#%#%^ cell phone and
>turn on your blinker. We don't mind you wanting to exit the main
>topic,just give us a clue when you want to crank the steering wheel.

Turn your steering wheel back on the previous posts in this discussion
where I'm talking about both grounded-grid and common-cathode amps and
you are getting confused. Then steer yourself to all the times you
have accused me of going off-topic while you do what you accuse in the
very next post, sometimes even in the SAME post! You seem to be doing
that more often lately, which tells me only that you are desperate for
reasons to argue.

>> >Just as a side bar comment, not all common cathode amps require
>> >neutralization. Its not rocket science to build a stable common

>> >cathode amplifier less the neutralization requirement.
>>
>> Duh! I said that a long time ago! It's VERY easy to build one without
>> neutralization when your Cgp is so low that stray capacitance in the
>> grid circuit is enough to neutralize the tube. Where have you been,
>> Skippy?
>
>Up to my knees in your new group text poop.

I'll take that as a non-response.

>> >Unless you put on the the turn signal... we are talking grounded
>> >grid AB2 amplifiers using sweep tubes. Now if we can just get
>> >you off your cell phone.
>>
>> I had a cell phone for a few months. Didn't like it. Never had one
>> since.
>
>My god, there's another common thing between us frankie.... I still
>love you, now come back home and feed the dogs.

Sure, just as soon as you demonstrate an understanding of the
differences between AB1, AB2, grounded-grid, and common (grounded)
cathode amplifiers. So far you haven't been able to do that.

>> >If you want to put on the common cathode sweep tube amplifier talk,
>> >lets boogie.
>>
>> I have been doing it all along, Skippy. You have been out to lunch on
>> the issue.
>
>Just pointing out your mistakes...

You misread my last line as a question, which it wasn't. It was a
fact.

>> > By the way, did I tell you I have a two tube common
>> >cathode sweep tube amplifiers at 28.55 MHz that has better than
>> >10dB gain and no neutralization...?
>>
>> 10 dB gain from a two-tube common-cathode amp? That's PATHETIC,
>> Skippy! You should be getting AT LEAST 14 dB from those tubes, which
>> means that you have neutralization in that amp but don't know it, and
>> it's causing your poor gain figures. Hey, why isn't this amp posted on
>> your sonic server, too? LOL!
>
>Notice the exact words "better than 10 dB..." frankie..?

I remember back in grade school where kids made up excuses like that.
Kind of like one kid would claim, "My dad is over six feet tall!", and
another kid would respond, "Oh yeah? Well, MY dad is over SEVEN feet
tall!", then the first kid would snap back, "Well MY dad is over EIGHT

feet tall!". Of course the second kid would notify the first kid that
he originally boasted about his dad being over six feet tall. But that
first kid, he really thinks he's smart, and comes back with, "Yeah?
Well, eight feet tall is over six feet tall!" Sounds like you, Skippy.

>It's actually about +13 dB gain where I operate it,

...uh huh...

> but you probably
>would have argued about it.

Probably, since you claimed it has no neutralization.

> I consider 10 dB the min "cost break point"
>for most rf amplifier projects.

Good for you.

>The amplifiers has no neutralization and I know it
>
>It's such a small power level amplifier that most people don't
>care much to talk about it. I'm not going to post every amplifier
>I've collected or built on sonic... that would be way too much time
>intensive work. So I do what I can as my free time allows.

Well, you have broken some amazing new ground by building a
common-cathode sweep tube amp that doesn't require neutralization.
Matter of fact, that revolutionary! I don't see why you would ever NOT
want to brag about such an accomplishment when nobody has been able to
do what you have done since the beginning of sweep tubes....

....LOL!

>How about you sending me anything... I promise not to modify your
>text... please..? anything..? free web space..? (picture me holding
>out a carrot on a stick)...

How about sending me the callsign on this IB license?

>> > How about you throwing out
>> >a circuit now..? How about you answering up with any text you've
>> >posted any where on the net that we can review... of course other
>> >than your hate post followup replies. Anything ...? Please..?
>>
>> Naw, I would rather point out all the errors of your amps.
>
>Thanks Frankie... I appreciate the effort... but I would like to
>see anything you've done... I'll behave myself...

You know I like it when you beg, Skippy!

1508. Re: The Frankie Story part - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:01:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd56cfb.8328991@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143996

In <3DD54F42.E7F9E7EF@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> <snip>
>> >> >: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
>> >> >: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
>> >> >: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
>> >> >: current distortion.
>> >> >
>> >> >Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
>> >> >Yep, greater than 180 degrees.
>> >>
>> >> Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
>> >> usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
>> >> the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
>> >> the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
>> >> lower power levels than high power peaks.
>> >
>> >The conduction angle is never perfect, but it is still about 210
>> >degrees by generic definition. The grid should be biased negative
>> >with respect to the cathode.
>>
>> I have no problems with 210 degree conduction, although I wouldn't
>> agree to the specific number unless I actually measured it. As for the
>> grid biased negative, yeah? So?
>
>As shown above, you felt the burning need to talk about conduction
>angle restrictions.
>
>I felt it was another unannounced turn off the freeway and
>thought it better to tell you were the road was.

A new excuse, and a creative one, too! Pre-emptive redirection! Bravo,
Skippy! Now that you have effectively stopped the off-topic issue in
its tracks, maybe you can address the real issues. Like your lack of
undertanding about grid current distortion....

>> > The question should be if you've ever
>> >measured the noise..? Is the noise a significant problem ..? I
>> >and other believe it does not disqualify sweep tubes from RF AB2
>> >g-grid amplifier service.
>>
>> The numbers provided by the manufacturer are more than enough proof of
>> the noise caused by these tubes. I have posted them from your own
>> example of a "classic" amp at least three times. Shall I post them

>> again?
>
>Never take the mfgr as gospel. With enough resources, homework
>and time, one could make the measurements for themselves.

I agree, and knowing that the figures from manufacturers are usually
padded to their benefit, the true numbers are most likely worse.

>> >> > The big picture here is the grid current
>> >> >distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
>> >> >Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
>> >> >grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.
>> >>
>> >> Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
>> >> The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
>> >> a kilowatt amplifier.
>> >
>> >Probably because -30 dB was the requirement... In my Dentron web page
>> >text, I mention a lot of the short comings of Dentron, which then
>> >became Amp Supply. I don't judge the Dentron GLA-1000 as a good
>> >circuit, in fact I wrote my text to point out some serious improvements
>> >to be made which will make the amplifier a great rebuild project.
>> >
>> >Even the Svetlana Conversion has a lot of poop and is actually
>> >incomplete (no standby mode cut-off).
>> >
>> >I measured about -32 dB on one example Quartet Sweep Tube Amplifier
>> >some years back. What would make the amplifier "suck" is a speech
>> >processed SSB signal using ALC.
>>
>> I wouldn't run a sweep tube that DOESN'T have an ALC circuit, and I
>> would NEVER run a sweep tube grounded-grid. The proof is in the
>> pudding -- they simply put out too much grid-current distortion, and
>> all the noise figures for all the grounded-grid sweep tube amps back
>> up that fact. "Plain fact, jack..."
>
>I don't waste my time with an ALC circuit.
>
>Again, review and research my previously made statement. "Feed through
>power swamps out grid current distortion in AB2 grounded grid
>amplifiers." Now that's no rope yank... frank.

YOU review and research MY previous statements about grid current
distortion.

>> >In regular operation, you couldn't tell I had it on the air, other
>> >than the increased signal strength. That's what amplifier operation
>> >should be all about.
>>
>> It should be, but frequently that's not all that comes out of the
>> coax.

>
>It is when I can clearly see and measure the output nozzle contents....

Most can't.

1509. Re: CB Radio Parts Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:02:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd56ee1.8814583@news.cet.com>
Source record: 143995

In <H5Mz8C.B75@news.boeing.com>, "Mark Harju"
<mark.a.harju@NO-SPAM.boeing.com> wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>Did something dumb with a CB I got secondhand. I keyed it with an unbalanced
>load and blew the final.
>
>Can anybody provide a source for parts? Fortunately, the unit came with
>schematics and a parts list.
>
>Thanks in advance...
>
>mh

What's the part number?

1510. Re: The Frankie G Tango via Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:07:19 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD57017.DFF73B5F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 143994

> >Lets break it down frankie... Conventional ALC as found in many
> >Amateur transceivers used with rf amplifiers consist of a hard wired
> >"feed back loop". The amplifier output quantity is sampled and
> >fed back to the transmitter ALC circuit.
>
> Exactly! The output QUANTITY, not the LINEARITY!

Now we're movin' ...

But it is measurably slow to react to speech which very often
results in over driven amplifiers. Over driven is bad...

bad dog amplifier..! over driven..! no dinner tonight.

>
> > The Transmitter output
> >in real world action hopefully reacts (but never in time) to reduce
> >excessive drive. There is always a measurable amount of poop going
> >out the door before the circuit reacts. In a compressed speech
> >chat, poop is in generate mode because the ALC cannot keep up.
> >i.e. time constants.
>
> Most of your "poop" is generated because your speech processing
> includes clipping of the audio signal! As long as you don't drive the
> ALC too hard you won't have any "poop" to worry about, regardless of
> the time constants. And that's a pretty good argument against trying
> to squeeze every last watt out of a rig.

My, nor most other modern amateur HF transceivers speech processors
are clipper circuits.

Without proper power control, one should not rely on the mic gain to
ensure the amp is not over driven. Indication of the amplifier to
radio ALC circuit is too late... the poop'eth hath departed.

I need not squeeze extra wattage from my transceiver...

> >The Galaxy circuit is novel in that it adjusts the amplifier bias
> >for improved linearity. Notice the detector circuit compares the
> >output with the input. There is no limiting action... just
> >bias adjustment.
>
> No kidding -- it improves linearity by pulling the amplifiers out of
> saturation and back into the linear portion of the EgIp curve.

Kind of neat isn't it..? I thought it novel enough to place
the circuit on the sonic server amateur radio page.

> The ALC circuit, just like any other compressor, is set to react
> whenever the input reaches a specific level. That level may or may not
> be in the linear region, but the lower the better. It also has an
> attack time that must be set -- again, the lower the better. Provided
> the amp is used according to the manufacturers recommendations and not
> driven beyond the ability of the ALC circuit to control the amp, there
> won't be ANY "poop" to deal with.

In plain brown wrapper terms... the amplifier to transceiver loop
is never fast enough (there are a few exceptions for another thread).
It should not be relied upon; especially if there is a valid power
control knob available on the radio.

> >The power control knob of a modern amateur radio should not accused
> >of causing distortion.
>
> Who did?

In one post, you alluded to the mic gain as a power control.

> ..."poop gate"?

Control your max drive.. ALC and its poop generation are avoided. If
you were using an amplifier, you might relabel you power control knob
"poop gate". Below the amplifiers max drive value... resultant poop
generation is much reduced.

>
> >> As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
> >> the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
> >> to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
> >> whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.
> > >
> > >Most modern amateur speech processors are not limiters.
> >
> > The hell they aren't! Most of them work by simple diode clipping and
> > subsequent filtering. Some work via fast AGC circuits which have the
> > same effect, but with less filtering required because of the soft
> > clipping of an AGC amp. There are a few speech processors that use
> > log/anti-log amps or RF processing, but those are quite rare.

More potty mouth... oh well. Let's get back to the real world here
frankie. Most modern amateur transceivers used with the topic
amplifiers do not have simple diode clipping.

We can dance and sing about AGC type circuits being limiters or
compressors. When we talk about an RF peak clipped or limited
because of the failure of the ALC to respond fast enough,
contributions made by a speech processor mean ... more poop..!

> > A couple
> >of peaks are going to sneak through each time the ALC loop fails
> >to keep up. Poop generation in every transmission.
>

> Right effect, wrong reason. Ever read an ARRL handbook, Skippy?

I have most of the entire collection in my Library thank you.

Right effect, one of the reasons.

> >> >Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual
> >> > circuit operation.
> >>
> >> Yourself included.
> >
> >Thanks, seems I brought it to your attention, but you knew that
> >of course.
>
> If you had a decent news browser you would see that it was ME that
> brought it up while talking about the Swans. But a nice try, Skippy.

But you say they work... I say they do not work well enough to be
relied upon... swan or no swan radio.

> >> >Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
> >> >coax connector to the antenna.
> >>
> >> See above.
> >
> >I can... You "suppose" poop happens, I know "poop happens". Hey..!
> >Frankie helped me make a funny... :-)
>
> I said that I suppose there is a very small and insignificant amount
> of time where a signal will slip past an ALC circuit when it's working
> correctly and not overdriven, or couldn't you gather that much from
> the context? I also said that speech compression causes distortion of
> the audio input to the amp, which it does. Have you thought about
> getting one of those glare-screens for your computer monitor?

If that ALC loop is a'workin' chances are good that your'a poop'n

About speech compression... sure in the pure at heart world it does
distort or modify the audio... some circuits ok, some not so ok. But
we do not equate a modified higher level of average audio as an
automatic source of rf wave form distortion. When your over driving
an rf amplifier... your putting too much rf into it.

> >Depending on ALC action most often results in over driven amplifiers,
> >dat's da fact jack...
>
> No doubt that ALC circuits are frequently overdriven. Some people just
> gotta have those extra few watts!

Your starting to read like a nice guy here frank... careful now. Any
trip down the HF bands finds bad operators "lids". Sad part is that
they don't realize a much smaller cleaner signal sounds better on the
receiving end.

>
> >RF Limiters are another animal which cover a lot of ground. Without
> >you going off to never never land again... how about you first read
> >and understand how the power control knob on a modern amateur radio
> >actually works...
>
> By adjusting the bias, attenuating the input, and/or varying the
> screen current of the common-cathode final. What's your point? And
> what does that have to do with RF limiters?

It's actually specific to the transmitter circuit... I use a solid state
transceiver for the most part. I don't want to exit into the rf
limiter topic as it's hairier and longer than our jousts might
ever result over this bit of shuffleboard. Leave the RF Limiter
topic for another time.

> >Controlling or limiting the output power of a modern amateur radio is
> >not the distortion generation device your trying to describe....
> >really frankie, read up on it before you comment please.
>
> You are confusing 'limiting' and 'compression', Skippy. A limiter
> prevents a signal from exceeding a specific level, usually by
> clipping. It distorts the signal. A compressor (of which the ALC
> circuit is an example) decreases the gain and/or input according to
> the output level. The signal remains relatively unscathed.

I'm talking about the power control knob on my modern (or semi
modern) Amateur Radio. It limits drive power, doesn't touch or
effect the audio.

The two available speech processors are not power controls, nor
do they interact with the power control function of the radio.

> >> >At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part.
> >>
> >> It still does.
> >
> >For those who know no better and are happy with dated technology.
>
> That includes anyone that uses incandescent light bulbs, convection
> ovens, and that wonderful invention by Thomas Crapper. All "dated"
> technologies by your standards.

Some of us use the power control knob on our transceivers. Amateur
HF Radios without true power control knobs require closer attention
to prevent over driving external amplifiers.

Now who left those lights on around here..? ..! :-)

>
> >Modern radios do a better job with ALC, but it's never fast enough.
> >This is where the power control knob on the radio works better.
>

> Are you trying to tell me that you can turn a power control knob
> faster than an ALC circuit can react to power peaks? I hope not!

I'm telling you that I can set the power control on my radio to
keep the radio from over driving the amplifier. I don't bother
with the amplifier ALC wiring as its not needed.

> >When the amplifier reaches max boogie, the rf envelope is compressed
> >or in another view "hard limited". This is very bad news... some RF
> >Amplifiers have specified "X-dB" compression point measurement
> >values listed. The bottom line, one should avoid depending on
> >any circuit operation which can over drive an amplifier, even for
> >brief moments in each transmission. Smart people do not rely on
> >ALC circuit operation.
> >
> >But you do...
>
> I expect it to perform the fuction for which it was designed. I have
> also stated many times that you shouldn't overdrive your amp -- and
> consequently overdrive the ALC circuit -- because the ALC has it's
> limitations. Matter of fact, I made a point of that when talking about
> the Swan 500, or are you missing that post too? Funny how you have
> been able to read all of these numbered posts but STILL can't find
> those other posts -- you aren't ignoring them, are you Skippy?

If your using your ALC with a radio that can over drive the connected
amplifier, you are almost always going to over drive the amp in
"normal transmissions".

Yeah, Radio Amplifier connected ALC has its limitations...
its simply not fast enough. I'm not ignoring that fact.

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1511. Re: The Frankie loves me Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:28:53 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD57525.F423FF97@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144047

> >Following most of the generic amplifier testing methods, I would imagine
> >they used a two tone test method.
>
> I don't make such assumptions. I have been in the business for a long
> time, and in the position many times where I was told to fudge the
> figures in order to pass specifications. And even a two-tone test
> won't necessarily show the inherent "poop" when an amp hits those
> modulation peaks normally encountered with human speech. You know that
> as well as I do.

Your right and we agree (O'my god...!) Dentron and Amp Supply were
well known specification fudge generators... in addition to all the
non technical fudge happening behind the scenes. The reason they
are now long gone.

> >In the real world, the GLA-1000 and Amp Supply LA-1000 do a nice clean
> >+10dB with about 40 to 60 watts drive. It makes them useful as compact
> >desktop amplifiers for many of the typical 70's/80's/90 hf amateur
> >radios.
>
> I wouldn't call them "clean", that's for sure.

When rebuilt using some of the suggestions in my Dentron Update, I
call them clean enough. Other than my increased signal strength over
the stock radio, you couldn't tell I had it in line.

> >The big deal that the Dentron GLA-1000C and I believe the
> >Amp Supply LA-1000 models were both post ban amplifiers legally
> >sold in the US.
>
> I do believe that they were post-ban amps, but I think if they were
> legal in the US it was before the amendment placing restrictions on
> amps that are easily modifiable for the prohibited freqs.

I would imagine they might actually still pass muster, just
depends on how you present the amplifier to the FCC. People
manipulate the data every day.

> >> > The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.
> >
> >> And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.
> >
> >Sweep tube amplifiers were legally made by Ameritron, Dentron
> >and Amp Supply after the 78 rule change... just not shipped with
> >plug and play 10 meter operation.
>

> See above.

Relative to the initial post ban amplifiers, many of the "rule
change" amplifier circuits are nearly as easy to modify for
legal 10 meter operation.

> >"Failed" ...?
> >Why would I need to mention it..? I've been talking about sweep
> >tubes, where have you been...?
>
> I have been here talking about sweep tubes AND RF power tubes, and
> watching you trying to narrow down the topic to grounded-grid sweep
> tube amps only while covertly redirecting topics where you show a
> serious lack of technical competence.

All you need to do frankie... is to put on your turn signal when
you change lanes. I make no covert (cute, I like that...) moves
to redirect the topic from the original subject... sweep tubes
in grounded grid amplifiers.

If you want to dance a specific tune, play the music and stay
in the same room. Otherwise, please tell us where your going
when you leave.

> >Variety is the spice of life.... even Handbook projects using RF
> >power tubes are not specific to one single type of valve.
> >
> >Life goes on...
>
> Yeah, but the life of sweep tubes is just about over. Why are you
> hanging onto this "dated" technology?

I just like tubes frankie... People thought my vintage Marshall
guitar amplifier was dated a while back. I'm still playing
it although its now worth more than my new car.

My latest cost on RF designed Sweep Tubes that are direct drop
in replacements for the Svetlana EL-509/519 and the actual 6KG6
sweep tube is now under $15 each.

You gotta love it frankie...!

chow for now
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1512. Re: The Frankie loves me Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:29:55 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD57563.59123C11@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144048

> >Following most of the generic amplifier testing methods, I would imagine
> >they used a two tone test method.
>
> I don't make such assumptions. I have been in the business for a long
> time, and in the position many times where I was told to fudge the
> figures in order to pass specifications. And even a two-tone test
> won't necessarily show the inherent "poop" when an amp hits those
> modulation peaks normally encountered with human speech. You know that
> as well as I do.

Your right and we agree (O'my god...!) Dentron and Amp Supply were
well known specification fudge generators... in addition to all the
non technical fudge happening behind the scenes. The reason they
are now long gone.

> >In the real world, the GLA-1000 and Amp Supply LA-1000 do a nice clean
> >+10dB with about 40 to 60 watts drive. It makes them useful as compact
> >desktop amplifiers for many of the typical 70's/80's/90 hf amateur
> >radios.
>
> I wouldn't call them "clean", that's for sure.

When rebuilt using some of the suggestions in my Dentron Update, I
call them clean enough. Other than my increased signal strength over
the stock radio, you couldn't tell I had it in line.

> >The big deal that the Dentron GLA-1000C and I believe the
> >Amp Supply LA-1000 models were both post ban amplifiers legally
> >sold in the US.
>
> I do believe that they were post-ban amps, but I think if they were
> legal in the US it was before the amendment placing restrictions on
> amps that are easily modifiable for the prohibited freqs.

I would imagine they might actually still pass muster, just
depends on how you present the amplifier to the FCC. People
manipulate the data every day.

> >> > The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.
> >
> >> And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.
> >
> >Sweep tube amplifiers were legally made by Ameritron, Dentron
> >and Amp Supply after the 78 rule change... just not shipped with
> >plug and play 10 meter operation.
>

> See above.

Relative to the initial post ban amplifiers, many of the "rule
change" amplifier circuits are nearly as easy to modify for
legal 10 meter operation.

> >"Failed" ...?
> >Why would I need to mention it..? I've been talking about sweep
> >tubes, where have you been...?
>
> I have been here talking about sweep tubes AND RF power tubes, and
> watching you trying to narrow down the topic to grounded-grid sweep
> tube amps only while covertly redirecting topics where you show a
> serious lack of technical competence.

All you need to do frankie... is to put on your turn signal when
you change lanes. I make no covert (cute, I like that...) moves
to redirect the topic from the original subject... sweep tubes
in grounded grid amplifiers.

If you want to dance a specific tune, play the music and stay
in the same room. Otherwise, please tell us where your going
when you leave.

> >Variety is the spice of life.... even Handbook projects using RF
> >power tubes are not specific to one single type of valve.
> >
> >Life goes on...
>
> Yeah, but the life of sweep tubes is just about over. Why are you
> hanging onto this "dated" technology?

I just like tubes frankie... People thought my vintage Marshall
guitar amplifier was dated a while back. I'm still playing
it although its now worth more than my new car.

My latest cost on RF designed Sweep Tubes that are direct drop
in replacements for the Svetlana EL-509/519 and the actual 6KG6
sweep tube is now under $15 each.

You gotta love it frankie...!

chow for now
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1513. Re: The Frankie does Dallas Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:45:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd56ef7.8837157@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144049

In <3DD5616B.8A8223A0@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Now there you go again trying to drag Reverse Screen Current into the
>> >mix; trying to cover your tracks... nope, won't work here.
>>
>> You brought it into the conversation, Skippy. You used it in context
>> with your explanation of how a triode-connected sweep tube doesn't
>> work like a triode, but are now recanting because you think I'm stupid
>> enough to buy your excuse that it had nothing to do with the question.
>> "nope, won't work here", Skippy.
>
>I believe a review of the original post is quite clear to everyone but
>you frankie. The reverse screen current jab at you was never used
>"in context" with any explanation I made. Maybe next time...

You haven't associated it with anything since I pointed out that there
is no reverse screen current in a beam tube or pentode, so you are now
going to claim that it was a joke?

***** LAME *****

Lame, Lame, Lame!!!!!

>>
>> >Let us filter through the confetti and get right back on the topic.
>> >
>> >The doesn't work like a triode refers to the benefit of the additional
>> >shielding from the grounded screen and supressor grids.
>>
>> Nice try, but you can't shield the plate from the cathode without
>> shielding the cathode from the plate, cause the amp wouldn't work! And
>> your precept for shielding stems from your unwillingness to understand
>> the basic operation of a grounded-grid amp, the regenerative feedback
>> effect of interelectrode capacitances, and the neutralization of the
>> same.
>
>Oh my god, we're back to square one with you. I guess the Eimac
>Engineer who first told me about it was wrong in every Radio Handbook
>I've seen it written in.

Then quote one of them! Prove me wrong! Don't just sit there like a
hump and TELL me I'm wrong, PROVE IT! Provide some verifiable
references! If it's such a widely known concept then you should even
be able to find many references on the net! Post a link, Skippy! Post
several and SLAM me with that proof!

>> And that STILL doesn't explain what you were allegedly referring to
>> when you brought up 'reverse screen current' (if not about how a
>> triode-connected sweep tube doesn't operate like a triode).
>
>'Twas a jab at your temper tantrum of the moment frankie. That's
>all... until you appeared so shocked about seeing the post that
>you claimed it was a new one for you. Of course until you picked
>up a book and found text on reverse screen current.

That's your own assumption, your own interpretation of what I wrote.
Too bad you couldn't read it as the response for such an outlandish
concept as reverse screen current explaining how a triode-connected
sweep tube doesn't work like a triode! Now THAT's a GAS!!!

>Now your running out of steam again... plus the words boring
>come to mind Frankie. Stop boring us trying to make something
>out of nothing.

It's just as 'boring' as your repetitive (and inaccurate) pokes at
where I said the SCREEN was tied to the plate. Yep, that's getting
pretty boring, too, Skippy.

>> > To make the
>> >round trip, I also claim that the type of AB2 grounded grid circuit
>> >is of concern (as there are a few variations of grounded grid) when
>> >using triode connected grounded grid sweep tubes because there is a
>> >problem (previously explained) trying to run them in some applied
>> >triode g-grid circuits. It's all about the tube geometry...
>>
>> Well then explain why this tube geometry affects the operation of a
>> triode-connected sweep tube. Come to think of it, you have used the
>> phrase "tube geometry" several times, but just like all your other
>> meaningless catch-phrases you haven't gone into any further detail or
>> explanation. Do you think these things explain themselves, Skippy? Or
>> do you think that I don't know a bluff when I see it?
>
>Meaningless as found in Radio Handbooks authored by Eimac Engineers.

Do they use the term, "tube geometry"? If so, in what document?

>If you can grasp the difference, see my description of the three
>directly grounded G1, G2 and G3 grids amplifier amplifier and compare
>it to the palomar 300 (six tube) circuit. The triode connected sweep
>tube will not play well ("plays well with others...") in the directly
>grounded AB2 circuit. Dat's what I'm talkin' bout frankie...

Oh, so you are saying that "tube geometry" is about how the grids are
connected? What about the Dentron? All three grids are directly
connected to ground in that amp, and it was a sucessful amp. So why do
you keep saying that it's such a bad thing to do?

>And just to add the cherry topping... it's all directly related to
>the tube geometry of a particular style of tubes... such as the
>4cx250b and various Beam Power Sweep tubes. The AB2 G-Grid layout

>which connects the G1 & G2 grids as one is a bad boy for the
>4cx250b

No kidding. It was designed for specific functions, and grounded-grid triode operation isn't one of them. Kinda like sweep tubes were not designed for RF power applications.

> and many Beam Power "sweep tubes".

You said that just to get my goat, right?

>> >I do thank you, you don't appear to have a grasp of load lines when
>> >you write poop about my 10 ohm series cathode resistor recommendation
>> >in the dentron text.
>>
>> ...blah, blah, blah. I already addressed your cathode resistors a long
>> time ago but you refuse to look up the post. Now where is that post
>> where you explain about "basic AC and DC load lines", Skippy?
>
>Yeah, I seem to remember you blowing gas about them causing
>ungrounded cathodes and a list of horror stories.... when in
>fact, they are a very good idea.

Is this what you read, Skippy:

>2. The addition of cathode resistors (typically 10 ohms) on parallel
>grounded-grid tubes is used to equalize the cathode currents between
>the tubes, a practice which Skippy considers "dated". They do NOT
>cause degenerative feedback as they do in common-cathode amplifiers,
>and therefore do NOT improve the linearity of the tubes. Quite the
>contrary: A cathode resistor on a grounded-grid amplifier -increases-
>the impedance of the signal source by the value of its resistance, and
>therefore -increases- the distortion caused by grid current. Any
>grounded-grid amp designed with cathode resistors is not intended for
>linear AB2 operation. If it was, then it was not designed properly.

If you didn't read that then you need to do as I have suggested many times: upgrade your news browser, or log onto google and catch up on the posts you missed. Because without knowing what was written you are really looking like a fool. I don't mind you looking like a fool, but I would rather it be by your technical foolishness, not because you were uninformed. So get informed, Skippy.

> I don't care to waste time
>explaining load lines here...

There's those words again -- "don't care". Synonymous with "don't know".

> but the resistors function by providing
>degeneration to the circuit; said degeneration improves the
>linearity of the circuit. Roger Wilco... over and out.

Degeneration does improve the linearity of an amplifier, but your
resistors don't provide any degenerative feedback -- they attenuate
the input signal. If you want degeneration in a grounded-grid amp you
need to put the resistor between the grid and ground, where the signal
imposed on the grid will oppose the amplification of the tube; and
just like a cathode resistor on a common-cathode amp, that's not a
good idea for RF applications. Nor do your resistors provide a
'swamping' of the input, because they are in series with the cathode
and INCREASE the impedance at the input of the tube.

Jeez, Skippy, will you ever learn?

>> >The AC & DC part came in a later post... grounding grids in various
>> >combinations was mentioned well over a year ago. I even posted a
>> >rather large article about the good sweep tube amplifier layouts.
>> >Since your so good at news group surfing, go dig it up on the
>> >www.contesting.com web page. Once again, how about sharing any
>> >text or web pages you have with us Frank... we're still waiting.
>>
>> More diversionary BS. What's a load line, Skippy?
>
>Right here it's a truck full of poop your trying to hid. Did you
>bother to look up my sweep tube text at the site mentioned above.

More diversionary BS.

>You seen so... good with the internet... how about having at and
>getting back to me.

More diversionary BS.

>Remember, if your going to turn, put on your blinker... no blinker
>no talkie about load lines at this time.

More diversionary BS.

>> >In specific, you applied the resistor recommendation to a fault of
>> >ungrounding the cathode, you also threw in neutralization with your
>> >diatribe of the moment
>>
>> WTH are you talking about? I said that a cathode resistor on a
>> common-cathode RF amp is a bad idea because it ungrounds the cathode.
>> What does that have to do with your claim that a series resistor on
>> the cathode of a grounded-grid amp improving linearity?
>
>You were the once dancing in the spot light about resistors. My
>original dentron text where this all started is still on the
>sonic server as you last saw.

Just because you used them doesn't mean they perform according to your
erroneous expectations. You don't describe how they allegedly improve
the linearity, you just say they do without any further explanation.
Do you think that people should take your word on blind faith?

>> > when I only state the resistor improves
>> >linearity, the cause... by providing degeneration.
>>
>> Attenuation is not the same as degeneration (degenerative feedback).
>> Go read your Electronics 101 book, Skippy.
>
>Drop the feedback... just degeneration... all about load lines
>frankie... if your going that way, take the text as I post it.
>Remember to send us a post card...

Then explain your concept of load lines and how series cathode
resistors cause degeneration. And you might also explain the
difference between 'degeneration' and 'degenerative feedback' (and
'negative feedback' also, since all those terms are synonymous).

>> > You did not
>> >properly address the issue because you don't understand AB2 grounded
>> >grid circuits very well.
>>
>> I understand the issue very well and have previously addressed it.
>> I'll even give you the post ID (which I have done several times
>> already and twice today):
>
>I'll give you credit in the shear volume of inert gas present in
>your posts.

Just read the post, Skippy. Just think -- another post to which you
can add your non-responsive sarcasm!

>>
>> <3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>
>>
>> And you are STILL avoiding the issue at hand; namely, your confusion
>> between AC and DC grounding of a grid.
>
>If you can tear yourself away from your latest tangent of AC and
>DC paths, focus on the differences in the circuits which ground
>all grids to the same point vs the other grounded grid circuits
>which do not. This is the direction the topic should be taken
>as it's a very important issue you don't seem to get
>very well.

Alright then. If a grid -- any grid -- is bypassed to ground, is it
not grounded?

1514. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 22:52:16 UTC
From: DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <VTeB9.17111$NV.317735@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144050

Whatever.

Don't flatter yourself.
It wasn't that great of a blowjob you gave me, anyway.
Oh, I faked every orgasm!

You better go suck your momma's cock like you been doin' .
Maybe you'll do better in that category!

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021114090544.02922.00003622@mb-dd.aol.com...
> nice new name chuckie
>
>
>
> >Subject: Dumb Ass on 27.285
> >Path:
> >lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.ste
> alth.net!gestalt.direcpc.com!news.direcpc.com!not-for-mail
> >From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> >Lines: 92
> >X-Priority: 3
> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >Message-ID: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
> >Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:26:36 -0500
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.32.154
> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@direcpc.com
> >X-Trace: news.direcpc.com 1037240745 64.157.32.154 (Wed, 13 Nov 2002
21:25:45
> >EST)
> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:25:45 EST
> >
> >
> >
> >This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by
the
> >FCC!
> >At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
> >Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB
Channel
> >28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
> >WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?

> >
> >CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled
for
> >behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
> >And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
> >All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
> >The FCC totally ignores these!
> >(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled
"visits")
> >
> >Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on
other
> >CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
> >Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
> >
> >Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
> >Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
> >Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
> >
> >SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
> >You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
> >
> >So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on
the
> >radio.
> >
> >People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
dumbass
> >yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> >Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> >When do they YELL?
> >When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
> >
> >Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers,
so
> >is that van with the antennas on the roof!
> >Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
> >You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child
> >support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
> >excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
> >whatever else is "in the way"!
> >So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
> >
> >I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap"
on
> >the radio.
> >
> >I despise these linears on CB!
> >What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the
RF

> >power they can generate.
> >Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
> >These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
> >
> >I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
> >welfare and food stamps.
> >A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
> >radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere
,
> >BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned
up
> >so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a
profile!
> >
> >This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
> >others who are talking local.
> >
> >I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out
of
> >band just to get away from the "crap" either!
> >I applaude and salute them!
> >I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the
40
> >Channels that still exist!
> >
> >And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
> >If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city
or
> >the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
> >Even if REACT is there!
> >Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
> >If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
> >
> >Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in
that
> >agency!
> >A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
> >Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
> >And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
> >mandatory!!!!!
> >NO EXCEPTIONS!!
> >NO WHINERS!!
> >NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1515. Re: The SF Chronicle has the Sports Section - 13 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 23:08:48 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD57E80.647EA0E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144051

> >Well Mr. Amplifier... your on your face again... Much of the problem
> >with amplifiers parasitics happen in the VHF region, an area you
> >should never ignore and a simple parasitic suppressor is only a very
> >small part of the big picture.
> >
> >Tubes like the 8877 are well designed and for the most part, don't
> >require parasitic suppressors to operate in a good high gain HF
> >circuits. Pop in a similar size valve in the same circuit and chances
> >are it's going to sing like a big dog.
>
> That's a load of "poop" and you know it. Any circuit to which the 8877
> is connected is going to have resonant frequencies -- that's just a
> fact of RF amps you can't avoid. As long as the tube can amplify on
> those frequencies, there MUST be some method of dampening the
> unintended resonant frequency of the circuit. It's not the tube, it's
> the circuit, Skippy!

Well frankie, let me take the liberty of pasting in some text which
clearly lays it out. Enjoy another crow dinner...

[cut and paste]
The 8877 is well known as a *stable* tube for amplifiers at 50MHz and
144MHz.

With the input and output both tuned to the same frequency, and
typically 15-18dB of on-frequency gain, it's stable. If you provoke it
by removing both the input and output loads and swinging the input and
output tuning, a well-constructed 8877 VHF amp will still not oscillate.

Why? Because the 8877 has a grid ring that makes a very direct,
low-inductance connection to the actual grid inside (a sheet-metal cone
which very effectively shields the input from the output). If you ground
the grid VHF-style, with finger-stock contacts directly on to the grid
ring and fixed directly to the chassis, then the tube will not oscillate
at VHF. This applies equally to an 8877 in an HF or a VHF amplifier.

For glass tubes without a grid ring, such direct grid grounding is not
possible, which is why we have to use Plan B - parasitic suppressors -
to reduce gain at VHF.
--
[end of cut and paste]

some steak sauce for your crow frankie..?

>
> >The type of tube matters... don't know how to say it any clearer to

> >you.
>
> You said it clearly enough, but you are still wrong. The tube is only
> a component of any parasitic resonant circuit in the amplifier. Unless
> the tube has some magical "resonance detection-and-dampening" device,
> you still need some form of supression of those frequencies. No tube
> is immune because the tube is just the amplifier, not the whole
> resonant circuit. This is first-year electronics, Skippy.

Please review the above text from:

73 from Ian G3SEK 'In Practice' columnist for RadCom (RSGB)
Editor, 'The VHF/UHF DX Book'

> >Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term...
>
> The concept is yours, and it's not really a good concept.

I didn't make it up Frankie...

> >but the tubes such as the 3-1000z and the 3-500z in mfgrs rated
> >applications have a well known and documented track record
> >of parasitic problems. Readers can reference text at
> >http://www.vcnet.com/measures for more detailed information.
>
> You should take a look at that site a bit more closely. A lot of the
> info is good, but a lot of it is also very bogus, such as the idea
> (which you adopted) that neutralization isn't required in a
> grounded-grid amp because of 'grid-screening'.

Nothing I can find on his web page is faulty... his grid screening
text agrees with my Eimac Engineer Authored "SAI" Handbooks and
my opinions. His weab page goes through almost daily review by
people on the cutting edge of current amplifier technology. He
has many published articles on the subject of tube rf amplifiers.

I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.

> >For more detailed study of a tube which is not generically subject
> >to parasitic problems, please research the 8877, in specific...
> >the grid construction. The mfgrs data sheet might be a good
> >place to start.
>
> I have already done so, and I even posted some information from the
> datasheet in this discussion. There is nothing in the datasheet to
> indicate that it is immune from parasitics. Because, Skippy,
> parasitics are an effect of the CIRCUIT, NOT just the tube.

Your all alone in the cold... please again review the text I
pasted in above.

>
> >Your simply wrong again Franklin... or frankie...
>
> All the data and facts support my comments and contradict your's. It
> is YOU that is wrong again, Skippy.

Da' facts are subject to revision, the revision is posted
above as the current facts.

Enjoy your crow din'wa

cheers
skipp

1516. SBE / KeyComm 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 23:13:57 UTC
From: 240-Robert <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <dcfB9.17119$NV.318245@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144052

Hello, this 240-Robert from Central Virginia, USA.

I picked up this SBE - Keycomm / 1000 at a flea market in Danville, Virginia for $2.00

I am looking for a manual with schematics on how this radio functions.

If someone can scan it that'll be fine
Or if someone doesn't want the manual and wants to sell it - that'll be fine too.

It's got power when I turn it on
The power connection is straight to the board via red and black wires sticking out the back -not the conventional connection like you would find on a Grant or Radio Shack CB or a Ham 2 meter mobile that has the connector built in to the framework.

That's no biggie I just replace the wire with the exact gauge/current rating and fuse both red and black for good measure.

And, also I would like to find the original 4-pin mic that may have been with it -or- a another 4-pin mic to replace it with in reference to the numbers and the pin-outs!

The front of the rig is mostly a keypad and a display with amber - RCV and red - XMIT
It scans when the SQL. is closed.
The power knob is just to the right of the display

There are pictures on the internet of this radio to give you a better understanding of the description I have given.

I would like to find a website with Nothing else but this radio and other models with SBE on them so that I can
figure out the keypad functions.

I'm going to look up the FCC ID --if it even has one.
(Know what I mean? nudge-nudge, wink-wink, say-no-more)

email me by clicking on the e-mail address below
singram@direcway.com

And Tnx in advance :)
73s
Out.

1517. Re: The Sports Chronicles Frankies down - 14 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-15 23:36:59 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5851B.7A8577D9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144053

> >> You responded to a post where I critiqued the KLV, saying that it was
> >> probably intended for the CB market, and....
> >
> >Just can't help but throw this out one time... Where on the mfgrs
> >web page does it say what the intended market is Frankie...
>
> On page three of the operating instructions it says, "Use of this amp
> with a CB radio is illegal. If anyone complains, try to make them feel
> stupid by pointing that out in this manual. If they continue to
> complain, just act stupid until they stop. If you get caught by the
> FCC, just say that you interpreted the law differently and they will
> understand..."
>
> Close enough?

Actually very funny... still doesn't say the amplifier's target
is the US CB market... and they are made in Italy I believe.

So rather tongue in cheek, can we do anything technical with this
thread... or do we just laugh it off?

> > OK,
> >so I couldn't resist one time. Now lets break it down...

> >> >If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
> >> >floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
> >> >fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
> >> >but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!
> >
> >Depends on where the noise floor happens to be... you have to be
> >certain
> >that each or most of the receivers can actually hear the noise floor.
> >You can't be certain...
>
> Sure you can. Test your receive with the sig-gen. If you can get it
> down to 3 uV then you probably don't need a pre-amp for audio
> reception.

Well now... throwing in that last part "audio reception" is a pesky
fly in the soup.
How about I sum it up in the real world again... I and thousands of
others have commercial receivers that need to hear very weak signals.
We all (most of us at least) have fet preamps in front of them. Our
receivers easily hear better than 1 uV. We depend on the lower noise
figure of the preamp circuit. Advantage, use the preamp...

> >Conditional statements side step the applied real world.
>
> YOU are trying to accuse ME of sidestepping? ROTFLMMFAO!!! Try reading
> in context, Skippy!
>
> >> > I don't agree... not everyone has a hot
> >> >receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good thing
> >> >and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as a
> >> >firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios I've
> >> >tried have pretty numb receivers.

You tried a new 706MKIIG radio frankie... I have one myself and it's
numb a rug on the lower bands. I actually consider it useless on the
160 meter amateur band when compared to other radios I have.

> >I don't agree... neither does most of the rest of the world. That's
> >why much of the rest of the world uses them in weak signal work.
> >That includes many two way commercial receivers along with the hobby
> >crowd. It's also how all those companies make much of their bread
> >and butter.
>
> Most of the world accepts certain things on blind faith simply because
> the claims are too good to be true. Anyone that has actually put these
> through the bench know better -- same deal with sweep tube amps.

Many of the people I reference as the VHF and weak signal crowd
are also highly educated RF Engineers for companies like Agilent, AT&T
and similar "level" companies or agencies. Many of us meet in Dayton
each year where my friend Tom (works for Agilent in their RF Test
Equipment Division) totes in the latest high dollar test equipment to
specifically test low noise preamps people have bought or made.
Many are hand made by some very wacky looking people...

No one takes the results on blind faith.... they see the readout
on a $70,000 plus cost piece of brand new Agilent test equipment.

I had a second cup of good coffee while my preamp was under test.

chow
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1518. Re: The National Frankie Chron - 15 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 00:23:38 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5900A.4BDDF0DD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144054

> >The shielding of the grid(s) is part of the picture, but at no time
> >did I claim it to be the entire picture. Lets get real here frankie...
>
> ...backpeddle, backpeddle...

Did you find some text where I claim it was the entire picture..?
I didn't think so...

> >The non inverting function of the g-grid amplifier
>
> Wow! You finally admit that it's a non-inverting amp! Hey, we're
> making progress....

Never said it was anything else... you ok with that..?

> > is not the key
> >player in the picture of amplifier stability. Non inverting amplifiers
> >will easily sing, it's about that anode-C path through the
> >blocking cap to the Tune-C that really concern the tubes gain in
> >the VHF region... your wonderful 6146 example can also boogie with
> >the rest of the tube that have VHF gain.
>
> You are still confusing neutralization with parasitic supression.
> Learn the difference, Skippy. Then we'll talk.

It just matters where the feedback path is happening. If there
are two paths we just tend to label it parasitic. Doesn't have
to be in the VHF region. A vHF supressor won't stop the fun.
Nor will relying on the non inverting layout of the amplifier
circuit.

> >But you have "yeti" to show us that you understand the VHF issues
> >of HF amplifiers and how to deal with them.... oh yeah, other than
> >"a simple parasitic suppressor". You build and amplifier with a tube
> >like the 3-1000z and "a simple parasitic suppressor doesn't cut the
> >mustard frankie. And it's a purpose designed RF power tube...
>
> Even higher power tubes are easy. All a parasitic supressor does is
> damp the frequency of the parasitic. Activate your GDO (or set the
> tube up for very low gain) and measure the frequency of the parasitic
> (or parasitics). Once you have identified where they are coming from
> and their frequencies, it's a simple matter to eliminate them with any
> of several methods, and the common resistor/inductor supressor is only
> one of those methods.

Nothing is really as easy as you appear to describe it. Parasitic
suppression is a science unto itself. Taming all the gremlins
in a high gain circuit using a tube with respectable Ft can
become a chore. You should know that by your supposed experience
in broadcast transmitters. But if you examine some circuits with
tubes like the 8877 in operation, you'll notice they don't
require parasitic suppressors.

> That's how amplifiers are PROPERLY designed, Skippy. Not by picking a
> tube because you heard somewhere that it doesn't require parasitic
> supression. That's lazy and lame.

And done every day in the real world by using an 8877 A lot of
experienced and well qualified rf engineers must be lazy and
lame.

> And you STILL haven't shown any understanding of the fundamentals
> behind neutralization.

Cute, but no cigar Frankie. Come back after you've had your
crow dinner and we'll again review a tube that doesn't require
parasitic suppressors... that would be the 8877

> >Neutralization in the HF region goes out the window to ensure stability
> >in the vhf region. There are two different paths happening...
>
> No screamin' eagle [poop]! What do you think I have been saying for
> the past few days? SHEESH!!!
>
> >By golly I must know something... every single grounded grid amplifier
> >I own or have built (which are many in number) does not have one part
> >of a neutralization circuit on board.
>
> Care to guess why?

No guess, the composite contributions of the circuit layout which
include the reduction of C by the grounded grid. The in phase
relationship is only a player who can be removed from the game
if your not careful.

Don't take my word for it frankie...
If you really want to debate the topic, your generous assumption
that the non inverting function removes the neutralization requirement
for grounded grid amplifiers.... which is also contrary to statements
and information provided by Ameritron Amplifier Designer, Tom (w8ji);
of which you can easily review by searching at www.contesting.com with
your magic carpet web browser.

> >No one here claims neutralization is used to prevent parasitics. But
> >most all of us owning or building grounded grid amplifiers (with the
> >exception of some much debated Ameritron circuits) don't have a lick
> >of a neutralization circuit installed.
>
> Yeah? Isn't that what I said over a week ago? Duh!

You like to beat a dead horse...

> >Why wasted the hardware, it's simply not required in most grounded
> >grid amplifier designs. Of course unless you and _____ say it
> >is.
>
> What wasted hardware? Common-cathode amps don't have the impedance
> matching requirements of grounded-grid amps, so there's some wasted
> hardware for ya, Skippy.

whoops.... you forgot your turn signal again... get off that cell phone
and note that we are now also talking about common cathode amps.

Don't most of the real world common cathode amplifiers made have some
type of frequency selective circuit..?

Taking your hard line approach to compare simple rude designs.. I
can drive the grid swamped common cathode amplifiers through cap.
I can also drive the g-grid amp cathode through a cap...

Tis not the best method for ether layout. Now add the proper
care and feeding drive circuit... and the grounded grid circuit
still does not need the extra hardware in most cases.

onward...
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1519. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 00:40:45 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD5940D.D5E5FA23@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144055

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> http://www.inchase.org/outflow/events/installnic2002/f5Mount.html
> This is the installation of my big VHF/UHF stick.

Does anyone ever call you "Jerry"?

1520. Re: The almost home frankie Chronicles - 16 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 01:04:59 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD599BB.C2C5AF11@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144056

> >[snipage on my part in the interest of brevity]
> >> >
> >> >Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
> >> >amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
> >> >between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
> >> >capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
> >> >cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
> >> >unnecessary.
> >
> >Nothing wrong with the above statement...
>
> Except that it's wrong!

The the Eimac Engineer Author of the Radio Handbook from where I first
read it is also wrong..? I don't think so.

> >> 1. The screen grid does indeed shield the control grid from the plate,
> >> but it does so ONLY when there is a signal on the grid to be shielded.
> >> You don't have a signal on the grid of a grounded-grid amplifier.
> >
> >You have reduced Cgp and Ccp...
>
> No you haven't, as I have shown you with the info from the Eimac
> datasheets in the other post.

If I name the Eimac Engineer Authored Radio Handbook Edition and page
from wence I first read it years ago... would you bother to go look at
a hard copy in the Library..? You have a track record of ignoring
original hard copy material. If you'll do the work... I'll happily
post the reference source.

> >> 2. The effect of adding a screen grid to a TRIODE reduces the
> >> grid-to-plate capacitance of the tube. The Cgp of a tube DOES NOT
> >> CHANGE because it's connected as a triode in a grounded-grid
> >> amplifier! In fact, it effectively does the opposite....
> >
> >Careful what you write bucky... The Cgp is actually about
> >half as I describe. I, other people and a heck of a lot of text
> >books don't agree. You are simply wrong and need to go look up
> >the details. How about a Radio Handbook as a good reference...
>
> How about a fundamental education, some active intelligence, and a
> capacitance bridge? There is a reason why you disagree with the
> textbooks -- because you are wrong!

Funny, my text book on the subject says just what I wrote above.
Radio Handbook 17th edition I believe (the green covered edition).
Authored by William Orr, an Eimac Engineer (rip sk).

> >> 3. Since your sweep tube is connected as a triode, the screen and
> >> supressor (G2 and G3) are grounded with G1, and all three become the
> >> EFFECTIVE grid. Therefore, the G1-to-plate capacitance is added to the
> >> G2- and G3-to-plate capacitances, making the EFFECTIVE grid-to-plate
> >> capacitance HIGHER than the Cgp of the tube. In fact, the EFFECTIVE
> >> Cgp is more along the lines of the Cgp of a TRIODE (which shouldn't be
> >> so suprising since the tube is connected and operated as a TRIODE)!
> >
> >Aaaaaaahhhhh, see... this is where you don't know classic grounded
> >grid amplifier layouts very well.
>
> Your description of your "classic" grounded-grid amplifier changes so
> often it's hard to tell what you consider "classic". Regardless...

Palomar 300 (the six tube version)... reference it and we'll talk it
over.

>
> > It is very bad news to ground
> >the G1, G2 and G3 grids together... and you don't even have a clue
> >why...
>
> You STILL don't get it, do you? Judas Priest, how many times to I have
> to tell you -- if all three are RF bypassed to ground, then all three
> are GROUNDED! Every amp you have touted as being similar to your
> "classic" grounded-grid amp has all three grids GROUNDED!

Now we see "RF bypassed to ground" as part of your text. First off,
it is not what I call a classic grounded grid amplifier so don't
misstate what I write.

"Grounded for AC only", "You still don't get it do you frankie?" you
don't still don't appear to know the G1 grid must not be tied
with the G2 (and G3) when using certain geometry type tubes like
the 4cx250b and what the difference in the grid potentials do
in actual ac signal operation.

>
> >I'll put aside your Cgp statements for the moment until you review
> >and understand why one should not ground together the G1, G2 (and G3)
> >grids with many types of tubes, based on their geometry. That includes
> >rf power tubes like the 4cx250b.
>
> The 4CX250 isn't designed for grounded-grid operation. And don't put
> aside your ridiculous ideas that Cgp changes because it's in a
> grounded-grid circuit, because not only have I already demonstrated
> that it doesn't, and tomorrow I fully intend to give you an actual
> measurement of the effective Cgp of a triode-connected 6LF6. You can
> verify the measurements for yourself.

Yes, the 4cx250b is not made for grounded grid operation, but you fail
to state why. The tube geometry as with sweep tubes does not well
support all grids AC and DC grounded AB2 operation.

Actually, with a bit of proper circuit design, the 4cx250b can operate
grounded grid... circuits are depicted in handbook projects through
the years.

> >Your plane just crashed frankie...
>
> Get ready with your excuses because it's YOUR plane that's going down
> tomorrow, Skippy.

You must live for this stuff Frankie... That's ok, even though I
might not follow up until Monday, I'll might still go up in a 172
this weekend without fear of you shooting me down. Watch for
my moon sign...

>
> >> 4. I don't know how many times I need to repeat this before you
> >> finally get a clue and look it up for yourself, but I'll do it one
> >> more time: a grounded-grid amplifier does not require neutralization
> >> simply because it is a non-inverting amplifier which causes no
> >> regenerative feedback requiring neutralization -- NOT because of
> >> reduced Cgp or 'grid shielding', which are properties of the tube
> >> regardless of whether it's used as a grounded-grid or common-cathode
> >> amplifier.
> >
> >In the ideal dream world... in the real world, feedback paths can
> >and do occur within the max (Ft) of the tube. When you have VHF (Ft)
> >rated tubes in service, all bets are off when relying on the non
> >inverting HF circuit layout. The non inverting by layout operation
> >of an amplifier makes it only a card player, not the dealer.
>
> And ONCE AGAIN you are confusing neutralization with parasitic
> supression!

For this topic, lets call Parasitics a two path resonant amplifier
problem and the regeneration subject part of the lower path or a
single resonant path problem. I and others say the non inverting
circuit operation is not the all encompassing fix for regeneration
problems. Tom, the Designer of Ameritron amps feels he has enough
proof that he adds hardware to many of his grounded grid designs.

Don't take my word for it... go look Mr. happy...

> >When you have tubes with high vhf gain, there can be two dealers
> >to the card game.
> >
> >The subject is well covered at http://www.vcnet.com/measures
> >
> >Don't take my or Frankie's word for it... grab some Radio Handbooks
> >and review the web page text mentioned above.

>
> Do it yourself, Skippy. But don't bother with your referenced website
> -- use a definitive source for your facts. Matter of fact, even the
> ARRL handbooks have been known to have a few errors in them. Try your
> local library. Even better, if you have a college or university nearby
> (and I know you do), stop by their library and spend a couple hours
> verifying your facts. I think once you get started, hours will turn
> into days as you realize that half the stuff you have learned from the
> internet is bogus.

Mr. Measures is a pretty well known published author on the subject,
so was Mr. Orr (an Eimac Engineer). How about you giving us some of
your reference material and the date that it was published..?

> >You'll grow old waiting for Frank to post any articles of text
> >he's authored. Even though I said please numerous times.
>
> Ain't my bag.

So you will continue to post dated information which has flaws
here on the news groups...

chow
skipp

1521. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 01:35:05 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IZicnWHs2t5UPUigXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144057

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021114090544.02922.00003622@mb-dd.aol.com...
: nice new name chuckie
:
Proof? lol chuckie also must have moved.

:
:
: >Subject: Dumb Ass on 27.285
: >Path:
: >lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.ste
: alth.net!gestalt.direcpc.com!news.direcpc.com!not-for-mail
: >From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
: >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
: >Lines: 92
: >X-Priority: 3
: >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
: >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
: >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
: >Message-ID: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
: >Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:26:36 -0500
: >NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.32.154
: >X-Complaints-To: abuse@direcpc.com
: >X-Trace: news.direcpc.com 1037240745 64.157.32.154 (Wed, 13 Nov 2002
21:25:45
: >EST)
: >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:25:45 EST
: >
: >
: >
: >This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by
the
: >FCC!
: >At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
: >Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB
Channel
: >28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
: >WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
: >
: >CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this "uncalled
for
: >behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
: >And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
: >All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!

: >The FCC totally ignores these!
: >(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled
"visits")
: >
: >Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on
other
: >CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
: >Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
: >
: >Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
: >Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
: >Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
: >
: >SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
: >You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
: >
: >So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on
the
: >radio.
: >
: >People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
dumbass
: >yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
: >Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
: >When do they YELL?
: >When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
: >
: >Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers,
so
: >is that van with the antennas on the roof!
: >Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
: >You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills, child
: >support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
: >excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
: >whatever else is "in the way"!
: >So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
: >
: >I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap"
on
: >the radio.
: >
: >I despise these linears on CB!
: >What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all the
RF
: >power they can generate.
: >Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
: >These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
: >
: >I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
: >welfare and food stamps.

: >A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
: >radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship atmosphere
,
: >BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain turned
up
: >so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a
profile!
: >
: >This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself, and
: >others who are talking local.
: >
: >I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out
of
: >band just to get away from the "crap" either!
: >I applaude and salute them!
: >I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the
40
: >Channels that still exist!
: >
: >And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
: >If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the city
or
: >the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
: >Even if REACT is there!
: >Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
: >If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
: >
: >Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in
that
: >agency!
: >A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
: >Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like myself!!
: >And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
: >mandatory!!!!!
: >NO EXCEPTIONS!!
: >NO WHINERS!!
: >NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >

: >
:
:
:
:

1522. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 01:51:05 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <T7acnZrB0IcUOUigXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144058

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:GaudnbkKN9TPj0igXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
:
: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
: news:3472-3DD503C9-20@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
: Well Tom, he has a van with a fiberglass roof what a mag mount is out of
: the question,
:
:
: >>Not entirely. Another magnet on the inside of >the roof will work.
:
: >Not really. The roof, as an example, can act as one of those plastic
: >spacers that are always disarded, changing the entire equation.
:
: That's why I suggested the second magnet.

That's nice a mag mount with no ground plane, as stated a 102" whip on the
bumper will work better than that.

:
: >>The bigger problem is that those antennas >need the ground plane of the
: >>roof to work >right.
:
: >Absolutely.
:
: Nothing some copper tape can't fix, but it's a PITA.

Dave how much copper tape do you think he will really need ? Do you think he
will get a good SWR? Do you think the swr will be better than what he has
now? a 4' x 6' sheet to do the inside of the roof would be nice, but that
probably costs how much $150.00? but forget all that he may have a nice van
with the lites inside and carpet on ceiling etc from the sound of it? But
why do you insist on trying to put an mag mount antenna on the roof of a van
with a fiberglass top? he may have a ladder going to the top as many of
those type vans do, or he can still mount a top loaded Firestick to the
bumper or side of van with a ball mount any of these options will out
perform the mag mount abortion on the roof.

:
:
:
: >>He might be better with a marine CB antenna, >they are designed to work
: >>without the ground >plane.
:

:
: >I had a 4 foot one for the longest time before just strapping up an
: >antron when asea. Huge difference..the small ones suck for cb, but his
: >options do seem a bit limited.
:
:
: I have a similar problem with my rig, even though I'm running VHF and UHF,
: the antenna ends up at 11' off the road. Comet and Maldol make powered
: mounts that will raise and lower the antenna at will. $100 per mount, but
: I'm seriously considering it, since my antenna has no springs or other
: "give" mechanism. If I hit anything, something's gonna break, and it might
: be my roof!
:
: http://www.inchase.org/outflow/events/installnic2002/f5Mount.html
: This is the installation of my big VHF/UHF stick.
:
:
:
:

1523. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:09:21 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MdKcnXLfAKIMNUigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144059

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DD5247D.14D9B115@cwis.net...
:
:
: Perc',
: Using a 102" whip on the bumper is about as
: inefficient as a base loaded shorter antenna.

Somewhat, but more of the antenna will be out in open and I did also mention a ball mount on side of van with a whip the higher it can be mounted the better of course.

: Rather than loosing anything in a coil, it looses
: it by being as near the van body as it will be.

Well losing it in a coil and next to the body as the k40 was, then the 102" should still outperform it and a mag mount on the roof.

: If both antennas were mounted in the 'clear', I'd
: probably agree with you,

I would hope so proven fact.

but with how the ship
: would be mounted on the bumper, there's just not
: a lot of difference in efficiency...
: 'Doc

Doc a coil and being placed on the bumper it inherits the same losses the 102 will and the 102 should outperform it on the srength of length and the fact of more will be out in open away from Van drive down the road what happens to the whip? It bends back away from the van. I am not saying it is the best way to mount a whip but it sure beats mounting a loaded antenna on the same bumper, or a mag mmount on a fiberglass roof. It is also the cheapest, Doc I am sure you have seen where some of these screwdriver antennas are mounted

1524. Re: The Sports Chronicles Frankies down - 14 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:17:42 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <aVhB9.49$F17.4943008@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144060

"piper for frankie G" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3DD5851B.7A8577D9@yahoo.com...
> > >> You responded to a post where I critiqued the KLV, saying that it was
> > >> probably intended for the CB market, and....
> > >
> > >Just can't help but throw this out one time... Where on the mfgrs
> > >web page does it say what the intended market is Frankie...
> >
> > On page three of the operating instructions it says, "Use of this amp
> > with a CB radio is illegal. If anyone complains, try to make them feel
> > stupid by pointing that out in this manual. If they continue to
> > complain, just act stupid until they stop. If you get caught by the
> > FCC, just say that you interpreted the law differently and they will
> > understand..."
> >
> > Close enough?
>
> Actually very funny... still doesn't say the amplifier's target
> is the US CB market... and they are made in Italy I believe.
>
> So rather tongue in cheek, can we do anything technical with this
> thread... or do we just laugh it off?
>
> > > OK,
> > >so I couldn't resist one time. Now lets break it down...
>
> > >> >If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
> > >> >floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio.
In
> > >> >fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
> > >> >but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!
> > >
> > >Depends on where the noise floor happens to be... you have to be
> > >certain
> > >that each or most of the receivers can actually hear the noise floor.
> > >You can't be certain...
> >
> > Sure you can. Test your receive with the sig-gen. If you can get it
> > down to 3 uV then you probably don't need a pre-amp for audio
> > reception.
>
> Well now... throwing in that last part "audio reception" is a pesky
> fly in the soup.
> How about I sum it up in the real world again... I and thousands of

> others have commercial receivers that need to hear very weak signals.
> We all (most of us at least) have fet preamps in front of them. Our
> receivers easily hear better than 1 uV. We depend on the lower noise
> figure of the preamp circuit. Advantage, use the preamp...
>
> > >Conditional statements side step the applied real world.
> >
> > YOU are trying to accuse ME of sidestepping? ROTFLMMFAO!!! Try reading
> > in context, Skippy!
> >
> > >> > I don't agree... not everyone has a hot
> > >> >receiver. A switchable low noise fet preamplifier is a very good
thing
> > >> >and relatively cheap in cost. Not everyone has your magical hot as
a
> > >> >firecracker receiver... in fact some of the Icom 706MKIIG radios
I've
> > >> >tried have pretty numb receivers.
>
> You tried a new 706MKIIG radio frankie... I have one myself and it's
> numb a rug on the lower bands. I actually consider it useless on the
> 160 meter amateur band when compared to other radios I have.
>
> > >I don't agree... neither does most of the rest of the world. That's
> > >why much of the rest of the world uses them in weak signal work.
> > >That includes many two way commercial receivers along with the hobby
> > >crowd. It's also how all those companies make much of their bread
> > >and butter.
> >
> > Most of the world accepts certain things on blind faith simply because
> > the claims are too good to be true. Anyone that has actually put these
> > through the bench know better -- same deal with sweep tube amps.
>
> Many of the people I reference as the VHF and weak signal crowd
> are also highly educated RF Engineers for companies like Agilent, AT&T
> and similar "level" companies or agencies. Many of us meet in Dayton
> each year where my friend Tom (works for Agilent in their RF Test
> Equipment Division) totes in the latest high dollar test equipment to
> specifically test low noise preamps people have bought or made.
> Many are hand made by some very wacky looking people...
>
> No one takes the results on blind faith.... they see the readout
> on a $70,000 plus cost piece of brand new Agilent test equipment.
>
> I had a second cup of good coffee while my preamp was under test.
>
> chow
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

Hey Skipp, right or wrong give it
a rest, please. Frank will just keep going
for the hell of it, so just tell him he's right,
move on and don't worry about it.

Landshark

PS, thanks for the info I emailed you
about a few weeks back, it was a
great help.

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1525. Re: Wierd Radio.....read on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:19:04 UTC
From: jasoncox@email.com
Message-ID: <3b4ef160.0211151819.e2c68c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144061

I solved the problem!!! And here's how I did, kinda easy actually. I went out, bought a Galaxy 88...AM/FM/SSB and got it worked on by a well-trusted and very good tech. I set the antenna up on my 79 Trans Am on the trunk, ran 18 foot of coax back to my radio, and talkin skip on SSB, reached four different people...in Georgia, Oklahoma, Texas, and my personal favorite....Australia. FM and AM are talkin fine too. Got the echo and roger beep already on it and everything works beautifully. I have a great ground and SWRs are 1.1 on 1, and 1.3 on 40. I am one happy CBer and if you wanna talk, look for ID391 or handle "ET" in Idaho. Ya'll have a good one....Jason

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:<%I4B9.37706$nB.3635@sccrnsc03>...
> Well Said!. Sounds like the DK is too low to excite the meter. Why some
> think that a 2 or less DK sounds good on AM I can't figure. Supertune - OH
> boy.
>
> I would borrow someone else's radio that hasn't been into and try checking
> the antenna. If this has already been suggested sorry for the repeat.
>
> DL
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DCB7A1F.609E9A24@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Jason,
> > By the sound of it, you may be trusting your 'tech' too
> > much. What ever he has done to the radio has certainly
> > NOT made it more usable. I would suggest you stop what ever
> > you're doing till you can get the radio put back to normal.
> > Most of the so-called 'tune ups', 'super tunes', etc, are
> > mainly to seperate you from your money, they do very little
> > 'good', and as you are seeing, more harm than good.
> > 'Doc

1526. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:26:06 UTC
From: jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net
Message-ID: <8354e2f6.0211151826.5a1a98aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144062

> Mike Roe Farad wrote:
> > I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> > traffic on channel 19 anymore.

weird, it's there for ME.

i tend to hear CB traffic as follows:

Channel 17 North/South freeway traffic and trucks (except in
California where all traffic is on 17)
Channel 19 East/West traffic and trucks
Channel 30 and above SSB (There seems to be a bootlegger's gentleman's
agreement that long distance SSB be done above channel 30)

i just finished a 4-hour run and there was nothing but chit-chat and
traffic-reports on 19...

> > Have the majority of them moved to a new
> > "freeband" frequency?

not really, although i used to hear some licensed HAM/truck drivers on
amateur VHF "state-nets" last year...

> >It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> > talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> > But I just can't find where they're talking.

maybe they're off-frequency? too much gain? crappy mod? who knows?

CB Channel 19 is alive and well in the Dallas/Austin/San Antonio I-35
corridor...if you don't believe me, turn the dial to it, then wreck
your car into a jersey barrier on the interstate...

while you're waiting for the police to get to you (they have to drive
through the 700 cars and trucks you just piled up behind you), turn up
your CB radio and listen to all the truck drivers talk about your
shitty driving...

1527. Re: The Frankie G Tango via Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:26:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd5a9db.23913026@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144063

In <3DD57017.DFF73B5F@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Lets break it down frankie... Conventional ALC as found in many
>> >Amateur transceivers used with rf amplifiers consist of a hard wired
>> >"feed back loop". The amplifier output quantity is sampled and
>> >fed back to the transmitter ALC circuit.
>>
>> Exactly! The output QUANTITY, not the LINEARITY!
>
>Now we're movin' ...
>
>But it is measurably slow to react to speech

If it were slow to respond to speech then every time the detector is
triggered the whole amp would wobble like a one-legged drunk on a
roller skate.

> which very often
>results in over driven amplifiers. Over driven is bad...
>
>bad dog amplifier..! over driven..! no dinner tonight.
>
>>
>> > The Transmitter output
>> >in real world action hopefully reacts (but never in time) to reduce
>> >excessive drive. There is always a measurable amount of poop going
>> >out the door before the circuit reacts. In a compressed speech
>> >chat, poop is in generate mode because the ALC cannot keep up.
>> >i.e. time constants.
>>
>> Most of your "poop" is generated because your speech processing
>> includes clipping of the audio signal! As long as you don't drive the
>> ALC too hard you won't have any "poop" to worry about, regardless of
>> the time constants. And that's a pretty good argument against trying
>> to squeeze every last watt out of a rig.
>
>My, nor most other modern amateur HF transceivers speech processors
>are clipper circuits.

For SSB speech processing you MUST have some clipping, whether it be
with the hard clipping of diodes or the soft clipping of an AGC
circuit or log amp. But the whole concept of SSB speech processing is
based on the elimination of audio peaks above the normal level of
speech that will overdrive the amp, and the ONLY way to do that is by

clipping.

>Without proper power control, one should not rely on the mic gain to
>ensure the amp is not over driven.

You STILL don't understand the fundamentals of SSB modulation. The RF
level varies at the rate of the audio -- the audio IS the power
control.

> Indication of the amplifier to
>radio ALC circuit is too late... the poop'eth hath departed.

So hath your wit.

>I need not squeeze extra wattage from my transceiver...
>
>> >The Galaxy circuit is novel in that it adjusts the amplifier bias
>> >for improved linearity. Notice the detector circuit compares the
>> >output with the input. There is no limiting action... just
>> >bias adjustment.
>>
>> No kidding -- it improves linearity by pulling the amplifiers out of
>> saturation and back into the linear portion of the EgIp curve.
>
>Kind of neat isn't it..? I thought it novel enough to place
>the circuit on the sonic server amateur radio page.

Nothing novel about it. Just an application of basic principles that's
been done for a very long time.

>> The ALC circuit, just like any other compressor, is set to react
>> whenever the input reaches a specific level. That level may or may not
>> be in the linear region, but the lower the better. It also has an
>> attack time that must be set -- again, the lower the better. Provided
>> the amp is used according to the manufacturers recommendations and not
>> driven beyond the ability of the ALC circuit to control the amp, there
>> won't be ANY "poop" to deal with.
>
>In plain brown wrapper terms... the amplifier to transceiver loop
>is never fast enough (there are a few exceptions for another thread).

The loop is not from amplfier to transceiver, it's from input to
output. No ALC circuit that I know of controls the power of the
exciter.

>It should not be relied upon; especially if there is a valid power
>control knob available on the radio.

It should be used according the the manufacturer's recommendations. If
the manufacturer says not to drive the ALC too hard, don't.

>> >The power control knob of a modern amateur radio should not accused
>> >of causing distortion.
>>

>> Who did?
>
>In one post, you alluded to the mic gain as a power control.

And it is. And I did not accuse it of causing distortion. You have
misquoted me AGAIN.

>> ..."poop gate"?
>
>Control your max drive.. ALC and its poop generation are avoided. If
>you were using an amplifier, you might relabel you power control knob
>"poop gate". Below the amplifiers max drive value... resultant poop
>generation is much reduced.

The mic gain has the same effect. It's even in the manual for your
Swan 350.

>>
>> >> As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
>> >> the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
>> >> to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
>> >> whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.
>> >
>> >Most modern amateur speech processors are not limiters.
>>
>> The hell they aren't! Most of them work by simple diode clipping and
>> subsequent filtering. Some work via fast AGC circuits which have the
>> same effect, but with less filtering required because of the soft
>> clipping of an AGC amp. There are a few speech processors that use
>> log/anti-log amps or RF processing, but those are quite rare.
>
>More potty mouth... oh well. Let's get back to the real world here
>frankie.

FYI, there is a lot of profanity in the "real world", and much worse
than "hell". What world to you live in, Skippy? Did mommy and daddy
shelter you from all those bad people that say all those bad words?

> Most modern amateur transceivers used with the topic
>amplifiers do not have simple diode clipping.

Diode clipping and filtering is, and has always been, the most common
method of speech processing for SSB modulation. The proof is in the
schematics.

>We can dance and sing about AGC type circuits being limiters or
>compressors.

An AGC amp can do both -- it depends on the response speed.

> When we talk about an RF peak clipped or limited
>because of the failure of the ALC to respond fast enough,
>contributions made by a speech processor mean ... more poop..!

No ALC circuit I have ever seen had such a slow response, hence my response saying that "I suppose" it could happen. What I HAVE seen are ALC circuits that are too weak to hold the RF down (of which the Swan is a good example), or have a threshold that is too sharp causing the RF to spike (which I believe was was a Motorola, but I also remember that the spike never got past the low-pass filter, so no harm done).

>> > A couple
>> >of peaks are going to sneak through each time the ALC loop fails
>> >to keep up. Poop generation in every transmission.
>>
>> Right effect, wrong reason. Ever read an ARRL handbook, Skippy?
>
>I have most of the entire collection in my Library thank you.

Try reading them. Start with grounded-grid amplifiers, work your way up to neutralization and parasitics, and check out amplifier input feeds on the way. After that, educate yourself in the fundamentals of SSB modulation, and also learn how most speech processing is done. If your ego isn't shattered by then, flip back a couple chapters to vacuum tube fundamentals and learn how to read an EgIp graph. By then you should be able to describe load lines instead of having to post more of your avoidance BS. You should also be able to determine the linearity during transistion into grid current conduction. And if you aren't too bored with these facts maybe you can do a quick overview of resonant and non-resonant filters, paying special attention to pi tanks and LC filters.

>Right effect, one of the reasons.

Your 'reason' is based on flawed information. Like I said, try READING those manuals instead of just collecting them so you can show them off to people to give them the false impression that you actually know something when you don't.

>> >> >Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual
>> >> > circuit operation.
>> >>
>> >> Yourself included.
>> >
>> >Thanks, seems I brought it to your attention, but you knew that
>> >of course.
>>
>> If you had a decent news browser you would see that it was ME that
>> brought it up while talking about the Swans. But a nice try, Skippy.
>
>But you say they work... I say they do not work well enough to be
>relied upon... swan or no swan radio.

I said that they work within their limitations. You misquoted me AGAIN.

>> >> >Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
>> >> >coax connector to the antenna.
>> >>
>> >> See above.
>> >
>> >I can... You "suppose" poop happens, I know "poop happens". Hey..!
>> >Frankie helped me make a funny... :-)
>>
>> I said that I suppose there is a very small and insignificant amount
>> of time where a signal will slip past an ALC circuit when it's working
>> correctly and not overdriven, or couldn't you gather that much from
>> the context? I also said that speech compression causes distortion of
>> the audio input to the amp, which it does. Have you thought about
>> getting one of those glare-screens for your computer monitor?
>
>If that ALC loop is a'workin' chances are good that your'a poop'n

You whine about me saying 'hell', yet you make constant references to
feces? You are not only a technically incompetent liar, you are also a
hypocrite. Just like the way you accuse me of trying to change the
subject when you do it yourself, or how you accuse me of editing your
quotes but you snip very relavent material from mine and reply as if
it wasn't there. Matter of fact, check out the very first reply to me
in this entire discussion. You called me dumb, ignorant, and twice
called me stupid. And YOU have the gall to say that -I- am rude or
have a potty mouth? What a pathetic piece of trash you are, Skippy,
and I'm more than happy to point out every single shred of technical
bullshit you spew! You can whine about this paragraph, too, and I hope
you do because it only reinforces everything I just said. So go ahead,
Skippy, whine away!!!

>About speech compression... sure in the pure at heart world it does
>distort or modify the audio... some circuits ok, some not so ok. But
>we do not equate a modified higher level of average audio as an
>automatic source of rf wave form distortion.

I did NOT say it was an automatic source of RF distortion. I said that
speech processing (not compression) for SSB requires clipping, which
IS an automatic source of AUDIO distortion. You misquoted me AGAIN.

>When your over driving
>an rf amplifier... your putting too much rf into it.

At least you got that much right.

>> >Depending on ALC action most often results in over driven amplifiers,
>> >dat's da fact jack...
>>
>> No doubt that ALC circuits are frequently overdriven. Some people just
>> gotta have those extra few watts!
>
>Your starting to read like a nice guy here frank... careful now. Any
>trip down the HF bands finds bad operators "lids". Sad part is that

>they don't realize a much smaller cleaner signal sounds better on the
>receiving end.
>>
>> >RF Limiters are another animal which cover a lot of ground. Without
>> >you going off to never never land again... how about you first read
>> >and understand how the power control knob on a modern amateur radio
>> >actually works...
>>
>> By adjusting the bias, attenuating the input, and/or varying the
>> screen current of the common-cathode final. What's your point? And
>> what does that have to do with RF limiters?
>
>It's actually specific to the transmitter circuit... I use a solid state
>transceiver for the most part. I don't want to exit into the rf
>limiter topic as it's hairier and longer than our jousts might
>ever result over this bit of shuffleboard. Leave the RF Limiter
>topic for another time.

I answered your question and now you want to drop the subject saying
it's too complicated. What a suprise.

>> >Controlling or limiting the output power of a modern amateur radio is
>> >not the distortion generation device your trying to describe....
>> >really frankie, read up on it before you comment please.
>>
>> You are confusing 'limiting' and 'compression', Skippy. A limiter
>> prevents a signal from exceeding a specific level, usually by
>> clipping. It distorts the signal. A compressor (of which the ALC
>> circuit is an example) decreases the gain and/or input according to
>> the output level. The signal remains relatively unscathed.
>
>I'm talking about the power control knob on my modern (or semi
>modern) Amateur Radio. It limits drive power, doesn't touch or
>effect the audio.

What does that have to do with your confusion between 'limiting' and
'compression'? And didn't you say you wanted to drop this topic?

>The two available speech processors are not power controls, nor
>do they interact with the power control function of the radio.

Now where did I say that the speech processors are power controls? I
didn't. You misquoted me AGAIN.

You know what, Skippy? This whole conversation has been nothing but
you misquoting my posts, changing the subject when things get too
complicated, tossing out stupid catch phrases when you have no idea of
what you are talking about, etc, etc, etc. Is this your normal
behavior, or did you go to a special school for the technically
handicapped?

>> >> >At one time, ALC was thought to work pretty well for the most part.
>> >>
>> >> It still does.
>> >
>> >For those who know no better and are happy with dated technology.
>>
>> That includes anyone that uses incandescent light bulbs, convection
>> ovens, and that wonderful invention by Thomas Crapper. All "dated"
>> technologies by your standards.
>
>Some of us use the power control knob on our transceivers. Amateur
>HF Radios without true power control knobs require closer attention
>to prevent over driving external amplifiers.

I'm not going to repeat myself any further just because you won't
learn the fundamentals of SSB modulation.

>Now who left those lights on around here..? ..! :-)
>
>>
>> >Modern radios do a better job with ALC, but it's never fast enough.
>> >This is where the power control knob on the radio works better.
>>
>> Are you trying to tell me that you can turn a power control knob
>> faster than an ALC circuit can react to power peaks? I hope not!
>
>I'm telling you that I can set the power control on my radio to
>keep the radio from over driving the amplifier. I don't bother
>with the amplifier ALC wiring as its not needed.

If you can keep the peaks from clipping without an ALC circuit then
you probably don't need one. But most people do not monitor their
signal on a sampling o-scope, either.

>> >When the amplifier reaches max boogie, the rf envelope is compressed
>> >or in another view "hard limited". This is very bad news... some RF
>> >Amplifiers have specified "X-dB" compression point measurement
>> >values listed. The bottom line, one should avoid depending on
>> >any circuit operation which can over drive an amplifier, even for
>> >brief moments in each transmission. Smart people do not rely on
>> >ALC circuit operation.
>> >
>> >But you do...
>>
>> I expect it to perform the fuction for which it was designed. I have
>> also stated many times that you shouldn't overdrive your amp -- and
>> consequently overdrive the ALC circuit -- because the ALC has it's
>> limitations. Matter of fact, I made a point of that when talking about
>> the Swan 500, or are you missing that post too? Funny how you have
>> been able to read all of these numbered posts but STILL can't find
>> those other posts -- you aren't ignoring them, are you Skippy?
>

>If your using your ALC with a radio that can over drive the connected
>amplifier, you are almost always going to over drive the amp in
>"normal transmissions".

Not necessarily, and that's a very broad statement.

>Yeah, Radio Amplifier connected ALC has its limitations...
>its simply not fast enough. I'm not ignoring that fact.

You are ignoring the operating principles of the circuit.

And you are still ignoring my earlier posts.

1528. Re: The Frankie loves me Chronicles - 12 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:26:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd5acd1.24670881@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144064

In <3DD57525.F423FF97@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Following most of the generic amplifier testing methods, I would imagine
>> >they used a two tone test method.
>>
>> I don't make such assumptions. I have been in the business for a long
>> time, and in the position many times where I was told to fudge the
>> figures in order to pass specifications. And even a two-tone test
>> won't necessarily show the inherent "poop" when an amp hits those
>> modulation peaks normally encountered with human speech. You know that
>> as well as I do.
>
>Your right and we agree (O'my god...!) Dentron and Amp Supply were
>well known specification fudge generators... in addition to all the
>non technical fudge happening behind the scenes. The reason they
>are now long gone.
>
>> >In the real world, the GLA-1000 and Amp Supply LA-1000 do a nice clean
>> >+10dB with about 40 to 60 watts drive. It makes them useful as compact
>> >desktop amplifiers for many of the typical 70's/80's/90 hf amateur
>> >radios.
>>
>> I wouldn't call them "clean", that's for sure.
>
>When rebuilt using some of the suggestions in my Dentron Update, I
>call them clean enough. Other than my increased signal strength over
>the stock radio, you couldn't tell I had it in line.

Don't tell me what I am capable of doing, Skippy. You keep making your
claims, and until you can back them up with proof, all they will be
are unsupported claims.

>> >The big deal that the Dentron GLA-1000C and I believe the
>> >Amp Supply LA-1000 models were both post ban amplifiers legally
>> >sold in the US.
>>
>> I do believe that they were post-ban amps, but I think if they were
>> legal in the US it was before the amendment placing restrictions on
>> amps that are easily modifiable for the prohibited freqs.
>
>I would imagine they might actually still pass muster, just
>depends on how you present the amplifier to the FCC. People
>manipulate the data every day.

Knowing the FCC, you could probably pass Griffey's Class C 'linear'
through by classifying it as a 'non-broadcast station transmitter'.
That's what Alpha did.

>> >> > The FCC said go and the various mfgrs legally built them.
>> >
>> >> And after they learned from their mistake they changed the rules.
>> >
>> >Sweep tube amplifiers were legally made by Ameritron, Dentron
>> >and Amp Supply after the 78 rule change... just not shipped with
>> >plug and play 10 meter operation.
>>
>> See above.
>
>Relative to the initial post ban amplifiers, many of the "rule
>change" amplifier circuits are nearly as easy to modify for
>legal 10 meter operation.

Yes they are. Very unfortunate.

>> >"Failed" ...?
>> >Why would I need to mention it..? I've been talking about sweep
>> >tubes, where have you been...?
>>
>> I have been here talking about sweep tubes AND RF power tubes, and
>> watching you trying to narrow down the topic to grounded-grid sweep
>> tube amps only while covertly redirecting topics where you show a
>> serious lack of technical competence.
>
>All you need to do frankie... is to put on your turn signal when
>you change lanes. I make no covert (cute, I like that...) moves
>to redirect the topic from the original subject... sweep tubes
>in grounded grid amplifiers.

Your attempt at 'truth by repetition' isn't working, Skippy. Here is
the original subject:

>Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself: What
>services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to manufacture and market
>power RF amplifiers? And what make/model of television used the 6146
>as a sweep tube?

After all this time and effort, waddling back and forth on both
issues, and desperate attempts to distance yourself from your own
claims, you STILL can't provide a valid answer to those questions.

>If you want to dance a specific tune, play the music and stay
>in the same room. Otherwise, please tell us where your going
>when you leave.

Check this out: I referenced the Swan 500 early in the conversation.
You knew that the radio used common-cathode amplifiers. Therefore, you
knew I was talking about common-cathode amplifers. Now quit avoiding
the topics with your insessant whining.

>> >Variety is the spice of life.... even Handbook projects using RF
>> >power tubes are not specific to one single type of valve.
>> >
>> >Life goes on...
>>
>> Yeah, but the life of sweep tubes is just about over. Why are you
>> hanging onto this "dated" technology?
>
>I just like tubes frankie... People thought my vintage Marshall
>guitar amplifier was dated a while back. I'm still playing
>it although its now worth more than my new car.

So your amp is useful despite its age. So are light bulbs. So are voltage equalization networks. So is the fundamental circuit operation of a grounded-grid amplifier. Enough with the "dated technology" excuse. Now go use it somewhere else because it's finished around here.

>My latest cost on RF designed Sweep Tubes that are direct drop
>in replacements for the Svetlana EL-509/519 and the actual 6KG6
>sweep tube is now under $15 each.
>
>You gotta love it frankie...!

Cheap tubes to be used in cheap amps for cheap people. I never said anything different.

1529. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 02:44:56 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115214456.16505.00000004@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144065

Guys, hes right. The inside of this van is too nice to start tearing it up.
Mood Lights, A/C vents, etc.. There has to be a better - no an easier way.

1530. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 04:23:46 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ar4hp3$je8$2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144066

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:puScnRBYRugqXE6gXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021114085620.24247.00004981@mb-mw.aol.com...
> > Why not? The K-40 whip is what 72"
>
> 1: the diameter is probably too large, and won't fit.
> 2: the 102 whip does not want or need a base load coil for 11M.
> 3: the plastic of the K40 may not take the additional stress.

So, putting a 1/2 or 5/8 wave "Silver Rod" into the base coil is
out of the question?
;~)

Regards,

Peter.

1531. Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 05:45:47 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144067

Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?

Well, Once again, I was asked by another friend of mine, James W8WW to help him run his table at the fest, both days. it's 12:37a.m. and I got to be at james's place at 3:00-3:30ish this morning... This is going to be of those LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONG days! anyhow... I dunno who's going to be there... Doug? Keith? anyone else?

Heck, I'm even going to get paid for my services too!

I can see it now.... "GET YOUR AMP TUBES HERE!"

and as usual, I shall be wearing my trusty ol' White UAW hat and my currently raddy looking UAW t-shirt. I shall be somewhere in area 5... in the arena.

Looking forward to meeting some of the posters and lurkers!

Anyhow, see ya'll there this morning...

-Chuck K8CPA

"get your Tube finals here!"

1532. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 06:11:51 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <HklB9.48621$Em.17406328@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144068

Good saying Twist...even if it was pirated. ;) But I have yet to figure out ol' Frank. Seems that he's always on the defensive for some strange reason. A few posts ago, I merely said, "Have a nice Thanksgiving... and don't eat too much." And of course his paranoia responded with, "Why?...are you trying to pick a fight?" Oh well, I guess that some folks are just wrapped a little TOO tight. And as for you Frank (I know you're reading this) RELAX a little. The sky is not falling because some people are 'Freebanding' or even running linears. Folks have been doing this since the inception of Citizen Band radios. Do I do it? NO. Do I condone it? NO. But I really don't care what other people are doing either. Hell Frank...there are amateur operators running amplifiers in EXCESS of 1500 watts PEP, and they are even running 'Pirate' broadcasts on 6955 kilohertz USB. So do us all a BIG favor. Jump on up to rec.radio.swap and chastize them ILLEGAL hams for selling gear that is quite obviously against Part 97. And take your boy Aaron with you. Nuff said....thanks for reading.

American Eagle 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3472-3DD509E2-23@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> In <Iq_A9.38584$Em.15733832@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
> <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> You should really do something a little more constuctive with all of
> your free time. Like maybe... get a JOB.
>
>
>
>
> >And what about all the posts by Skippy? >Should he get a job, too?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Winners compare their achievements with
> their goals, while losers compare
> their achievements with those of
> other people." *
>
>
>

>
> *Disclaimer
> *This plagiarism brought to you courtesy of Lelnad C. Scott, KC8LDO.
>

1533. Hey Frank/Sparky/Yeti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 06:23:28 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <AvlB9.48654$Em.17419295@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144069

Simple yes or no question here.
Are you N7VCF?

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

1534. FA: (2)Motorola Hang-up Switches...TU-526..N.I.B.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 07:18:05 UTC
From: MTM <res0i8ad@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <NimB9.13051$nQ4.11391@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 144070

Please look.....Thanks.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=800690552&rd=1
FA: (2)Motorola Hang-up Switches...TU-526..N.I.B.

1535. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 07:22:10 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <cJWdnVeGp6u9b0igXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144071

"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?

Absolutely!

Snowed over here this evening, watch for ice!

1536. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 07:56:25 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JSmB9.369$%32.14573@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144072

Don't pay too much for those amp tubes in Ft Wayne---contact me for good
prices on ALL tubes

thetubeman@earthlink.net

"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
>
> Well, Once again, I was asked by another friend of mine, James W8WW to
> help him run his table at the fest, both days. it's 12:37a.m. and I
> got to be at james's place at 3:00-3:30ish this morning... This is
> going to be of those LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONG days!
> anyhow... I dunno who's going to be there... Doug? Keith? anyone else?
>
> Heck, I'm even going to get paid for my services too!
>
> I can see it now.... "GET YOUR AMP TUBES HERE!"
>
> and as usual, I shall be wearing my trusty ol' White UAW hat and my
> currently raddy looking UAW t-shirt. I shall be somewhere in area 5...
> in the arena.
>
> Looking forward to meeting some of the posters and lurkers!
>
> Anyhow, see ya'll there this morning...
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
>
> "get your Tube finals here!"
>

1537. >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 08:01:12 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cXmB9.386$%32.14062@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144073

I have a very good selection of CB, Ham, Audio, Sweep and Transmitting
tubes.
Please Email me with your needs....include tube number as well as quanity of
each.

THANX
thetubeman@earthlink.net <<click to send email<<

1538. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 08:33:01 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144074

> >Temper temper Frankie... your bald head and your blood pressure are
> >growing.
>
> Never been bald and never had a blood pressure problem. But your
> sarcasm is growing more and more intense as I chisel away your
> internet education and get to the truth of these issues.

Well, you've got a lot of gray showing up... that's from
stress... relax a bit and we'll dance more.

> >So how about answering my questions... how many grounded grid
> >amplifiers have you seen in operation...? make and model..?
> >any..?
>
> More than I care to count, but I can honestly say less than a hundred.
> Worked on lots of industrial amps, and a few amateur amps (sweep tube
> amps included, including the Dentron you referenced). As far as make
> and models, there were a few I remember. Like the P&H, used four 837's
> which were a bitch to find at the time. There was a Gonset, I think it
> was a GBS-101 or something like that -- terrible in the harmonics
> department, using no tuned circuit on the input. A couple Heathkits, a
> couple Johnsons, a couple Collins, etc. Some were better than others.

My goodness and old P&H... those 837's were a pain to find back in
the 70's. Gonset made both good and bad, some of it will never
die unless you shoot it. Your right, some are much better
than others.

> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.

Know of one on the air right now honking away on 95.3 from Mt Vaca.
I helped put the station on the air. Has an 8877 tube, the one
you can operate with no parasitic suppression because of the way
the tube was designed.

> >> > The predominant class of grounded grid
> >> >amplifiers is AB2... exciter power is required and a portion shows up in
> >> >the output as a freebie. Doesn't get any simpler, but you seen to want to
> >> >give theory examples of amplifiers no one person is using. Earth to
> >> >Frank, real world here... wake up..
> >> >
> >> >One last thing... your last statement is not so true... class AB1
> >> >operation of grounded grid amplifiers does not consume input power.

> >>
> >> By your statements above -- about how AB2 requires input power but AB1
> >> does not -- it's clear that you think input power is required only
> >> when grid current flows. You are very, very wrong, Skippy. ANY class
> >
> >Grid current flow implies input drive power consumed... unless you have
> >that magical grounded grid AB1 amplifier make and model handy...?
>
> YOU made the statement that AB1 operation of grounded-grid amplifers
> does not consume input power, and now you want to back out of that by
> crying about some "magical" grounded-grid AB1 amp? Lame, Skippy, lame.

Are you again trying to make something from nothing..? Let me be more specific for your benefit... input power meaning drive power. I probably should have said drive power from the start, but we were talking about grid current in AB1 and AB2... where most people would not flip out over the specific label. So now you know exactly what I'm talking about. Input drive power with grid current flow indicates AB2 operation for most people.

> But it's not "magical" at all. As I have pointed out earlier, your
> grid is normally biased 5 to 10 volts negative to the cathode, giving
> you at least some area under which no grid current flows, yet still
> consumes power from the input source. And just to point out what used
> to be a common practice, grounded-grid amplifiers running Class A have
> been used as front-end amplifiers in receivers, and they were used
> with the intention of providing a low-impedance input to the receiver.
> Works very well! Now if no input drive power is consumed in a Class A
> amplifier, then the input impedance would be theoretically infinite --
> just as if it were common-cathode. But it's not infinite; it's a low
> impedance usually around the impedance of most transmission lines.

We're still talking Grounded grid amplifiers here frankie... If grid current flows, AB2 operation is defined for the tube. If your trying to nit pick into the very small portion of the overall wave form where the grid current is near zero.... then your really chasing wind mills Frankie. Every text book, amplifier person, article and paper I know defines AB2 operation as the point where grid current flows and power is consumed at that time. Not one of them picks the conduction angle into pieces to shout AB1 for that very limited portion of the wave form...

AB1 circuits deal with developed grid voltages. I just figured out where your going with all this... Let me be more specific so you can relax.

One must consider an AB1 circuit to consume a very very small amount of drive power. So small compared to AB2 operation that one must always consider the actual AB1 grid dissipation, often given in milli watts for many RF power tubes. The majority of the driving signal is used to develop the required grid voltage. Many and or most text books should

define AB2 operation as the point where grid current flows,
drive power is consumed compared to the fractional wattage
power level of an AB1 circuit. Most AB1 people worry more
about staying within the fractional wattage grid dissipation
rating of the tube. A general statement many people make
is to say AB1 does not consume drive power because of
the fractional wattage drive levels required.

That should get your motor running smooth frankie.

> Now go find your Electronics 101 book and start reading it already.

You should feel better now, I nit picked it for you.

> >Keep this handy because it's helpful when talking about grounded
> >grid amplifiers.
> >
> >AB2 operation, grid current flows, drive power is consumed. The
> >feed through drive power swamps out the grid current distortion
> >issues.
> >
> >AB1, no grid current flow.
>
> But cathode current still flows, both DC and AC, and since there is an
> AC current flow in series with the signal source then the signal
> source is loaded by the tube. Check it out, Skippy. You know I'm
> right, you just refuse to check the facts for yourself. Actually, I
> think you are just arguing for the sake of arguing, since you aren't
> even providing any facts, just lame opinions.

Well... bartender! Now that I know where your going we can again
dance... still my lead. See my text above about the fractional
wattage grid dissipation of AB1 operation. You were just trying
to hide in milli watts and I didn't see you way down there, because
we've been talking about Grounded Grid Amplifiers which Run AB2.

Now that I realize what your using micro and milli watts to scratch
out a point about AB1 operation.... I still care about you. :-

>
> >Back latter for number 18 ... gotta' go check my reverse screen
> >current.
> >
> >still love ya frankie...
>
> Of course you do. I'm the only one that gives it to you straight!

You sure give us a lot of something... I still don't agree
with a lot of it... you ever going to explain those grids tied
to the plate statements to me..?

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1539. Re: The Frankie Dies on the vine Chronicles - 2 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 08:54:28 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD607C4.B39C1D7D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144075

So a few moments ago, I Emailed direct to Frankie, the
callsign of the Radio Station License which states
"Radio Service : IB Business" right on it... Time
will tell how much he enjoys his crow sandwich.

skipp

1540. Re: The Frankie out of steam Chronicles - 4 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 09:28:27 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD60FBB.CBD1E30A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144076

> >I clearly understand the differences... grounded grid and common
> >cathode are two different circuit layouts. The large majority
> >of sweep tubes in AB2 RF amplifier circuits have been grounded
> >grid. How about you give us an example of a common cathode
> >sweep tube amplifier and we'll hash it out. Otherwise, I'll
> >stick to the other probably 90 plus percent of the sweep tube
> >amps made through the years.
>
> I already have: the Swan 500. Remember how early that came up in the
> discussion? Were you sleeping or something?

So what would you now like to say that would be useful..?

>
> >> > The specific issue is the multiple connection schemes
> >> >possible which would damage tetrode/pentodes in some triode
> >> >connection schemes. You don't appear to have knowledge of the
> >> >specific topic. Hi mu tubes don't play in all "low mu" grounded
> >> >grid amplifiers circuits.
> >>
> >> What does that have to do with the specific topic here, Skippy? Or did
> >> you snip that text for a reason? Let's find out, shall we?
> >
> >It's simple, you stated in more than one post that one can tie
> >the grid to the plate of an amplifier... we were talking
> >sweep tube rf amplifiers. I say that your information was
> >horible and would probably cause the tube to fail.
>
> Still trying to avoid the issue. Oh well.

You seem rather quiet about your grid plate disaster... I've
already detailed it out. Tie the grids together at ground
and you have a potential trouble spot for sweep tubes.

> BTW, what I said was that you can tie the SCREEN to the plate, not the
> GRID to the plate. And tying the screen to the plate works fine for
> audio, it just doesn't work very well for RF, and it certainly isn't
> "horrible". If you are going to harp on my admitted mistakes then at
> least get the mistake right!

"Screen grid" I was never talking about audio amplifiers in the
post, you just pooped in your pants... right in front of everyone.

It's bad enough...

> You care little about book definitions when they contradict your own
> preconceived opinions. You have proven that many times.

Books are not always the best final word. Like your statement
about adding equalizing networks across rectifiers. It's in
books but its also old dated technology that should go away.

>
> >> >Your again out of steam... try reading up on the issues of high mu
> >> >tetrodes/pentode in grounded grid rf amplifiers...
> >>
> >> A high-mu tube doesn't need to draw as much grid current as a low-mu
> >> tube, Skippy. And grid current doesn't change the mu of a tube, as I
> >> said before, but you deny and refuse to explain why (because you
> >> can't).
> >
> >I don't care about your comparisons of grid current values.
>
> Lately it seems that when faced with facts you can't refute you just
> "don't care". Not suprising, Skippy. Ever hear of the term, 'passive
> agression'?

Not in grounded grid amplifiers. Grid current doesn't change the
mu of the tube, never said it did.

> Are you forgetting that all your examples have all three grids
> grounded?

Only low impedance for the "AC" signal. If you really want to get
into the nuts and bolts... a better grounded grid High mu circuit
has a rather unique G1 connection tapped into the input circuit.
The circuits function would be to provide proper division of
excitation. Resultant grid dissipation is much less.

Fun for the whole family...

>
> > Even rf power tubes like the 4cx250b
> >will have problems.
>
> Probably because the 4CX250 was not intended to be wired as a triode
> even in grounded-grid operation, or hadn't you thought of that?

As with most things... there is a alternative approach... the
tapped input circuit I mention above would allow a 4cx250b
to work very well in a grounded grid circuit.

> > It's all explained in many of the handbooks,
> >I don't need to repost it here. It's clear that you don't
> >understand the grid current issues of Hi Mu tubes in grounded
> >grid AB2 amplifiers.
>
> I understand it much better than you think. Anytime you want to touch
> on the issue of high-mu we can go there, too.

>
> >> >Not quite... no where above does it say triode connected sweep tube is
> >> >not operating as a triode.
> >>
> >> You are too much, Skippy:
> >>
> >> "Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like
> >> descriptions like low-mu."
> >
> >There are at least two possible grid connections for tetrode/pentode
> >in grounded grid circuits. One is a low mu type of circuit, the
> >other can be called a high mu grounded grid circuit. They are not
> >the same. Problems happen when you use high mu tubes in low mu
> >types of grounded grid circuits. You appear to not know the
> >difference.
>
> We were not talking about the differences between low-mu and high-mu.
> The issue is your claim that a triode-connected sweep tube is not
> acting like a triode, and then say that you never said such a thing.
> And I'm proving you wrong yet again, Skippy.

If you used the three common grounded grids connection layout, the
sweep tube would not act like a triode... it's probably going to
have destructive values of grid and screen current at full boogie
and possibly fail. A triode would not have that problem. Simple
enough..

>
> >> "Triode operation is a bit liberal..."
> >
> >Yes, it is often over shaddows the details of the various
> >grounded grid circuits.
>
> TRIODE, Skippy. Concentrate on the word TRIODE!
>
> >> And when asked directly and specifically...
> >>
> >> >: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
> >> >: triode?
> >>
> >When the grid connections are set up for low mu operation using
> >hi mu tubes. That would be the direct grounding of the G1, G2
> >& G3 grids.
>
> That's one way to wire it as a triode. It doesn't explain why it isn't
> operating as a triode as you claimed.

Because it would not be operating safe and stable.
See the above...

> > You mentioned that layout yesterday in one of your
> >ramblings... it is not the best grounded grid connection scheme
> >for Hi mu tubes in AB2 circuits.
>
> Perhaps you should provide an example of a high-mu "connection" of a
> sweep tube (or any other pentode/tetrode/beam tube) in a grounded-grid
> amplifier. Or just draw up a quick and dirty schematic. Or just name
> the pins and tell where they go.

Sure... tap the cathode input circuit with the G1 grid to provide
for the proper division of excitation.

> And it's funny that your current answer isn't even close to your
> answer the first time, where...

The grounded grid amplifier has many possible tube connection
schemes. Everyone has its pros and cons...

> >See the above and enjoy your crow dinner.
>
> See the above and address the issue instead of changing the subject.

I did and there are feathers on your shirt...

chow
skipp

1541. Re: The Frankie Dance and sing Chronicles - 5 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 10:40:08 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD62088.94655E3F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144077

> >You like to reply to your own posts frankie... we have seen many
> >examples of it.
>
> You like to ignore posts that have facts you can't refute... we have
> seen many examples of it.

I call'em as I see'em and sort 'em out Frankie. You win the gold
medal for shear volume of news group poop posting.

> >I like to reference the Palomar 300 (six tube model) as a classic
> >design. I've referenced it many times in the past.
>
> G1 bypassed to ground, G2 and G3 connected directly to ground. All
> three grids are grounded, Skippy. But YOU said that was BAD! LOL!!!

AC ground... not DC ground. Better circuits are available as
described in the #4 or 18 follow up thread. But the Palomar
Circuit works pretty well.

>
> >> > So again, check your
> >> >reverse screen current frankie...
> >>
> >> An answer that means nothing at all becase there is no reverse screen
> >> current in a pentode or beam tetrode (sweep tubes) whether they are
> >> common-cathode, grounded-grid, or even triode-connected!
> >
> >I never said there was...
>
> You didn't mention it in the context of your reply just for kicks,
> Skippy. Quit lying, because nobody is dumb enough to believe you.

They don't have to take my word for it, they can review the original
post for themselves and wonder why your so wacky.

> > you didn't even know what reverse
> >screen current was until I mentioned it .... "Now THERES a new one!"
> >to use your own words.
>
> The word "one" meaning "lame excuse that you will never be able to
> back up with facts". I was right.

Only in your own mind... Nothing needed to be excused, your again
out of steam...

> >Somewhere in all the above, your trying to connect my reverse screen
> >current jab with the clearly separated reposted text..? Come on

> >Frankie... your running out of steam again. You can do better
> >than that.
>
> "Clearly seperated"? At what point is it seperated, Skippy? Since
> anyone -- even YOU -- can read the post for themselves and see that I
> didn't edit one single word, the only conclusion is that you are lying
> in an attempt to obfuscate the issue.

See the line spacing and all these cute little ">" symbols..? "They
ain't chopped liver".

> >Actually, I like to consider the reverse screen current jab a tease
> >to get your blood pressure back down (or up in the real world).
> >
> >The truth is, I describe how the screen contributes to the
> >big picture of why the g-grid amplifier does not require
> >neutralization. It is not the only reason, just as your cure
> >non inverting diatribe is not the big picture fix all.
>
> It's not a reason at all because it doesn't occur in a pentode OR a
> beam tube, even when it's connected as a triode! When are you going to
> get that through your thick skull, Skippy?

I guess William Orr, the Eimac Engineer, Published amplifier
author R. Measures, the text books I have have here and myself
are wrong. We must all have the same wrong information.

> >And what is the date of your magical textbook frankie..?
>
> ROTFL! You put both your "magical" put-down AND your "dated" argument
> in the same sentence! You must be desperate...LOL!

No, I wanted to know the publication date of the text book
your holding so dear to your heart.

> > The actual
> >issue here is that the entire "in phase" statement goes out the window
> >when you have tubes with VHF gain (Ft) in HF service. The fly in
> >the soup of the in-phase circuit theory does not the address the
> >source of the VHF resonance. The VHF path is the anode C through the
> >blocking cap to the Tune C. Your HF neutralization cap doesn't
> >address the VHF Path.
>
> AGAIN you are confusing neutralization with parasitic supression!
> Maybe it's not confusion, maybe it's a deliberate obfuscation...

No, I was clearly talking about the resonant VHF path in an
amplifier. I was also clearly talking about the failure of a
neutralization cap to address the VHF resonant path, regardless
of the amplifier being in phase and grounded grid.

> >Now if you want to argue the term regenerative feed back vs parasitic
> >path... the call is made by where the oscillation happens. Care

> >is required to ensure only one "resonant path" is in operation.
> >Not always a 100% "give-me" in every grounded grid circuit. This
> >becomes an larger issue when the amplifier is designed for
> >mulit band operation across a decade.
>
> The two concepts are different and distinct, and if you could read you
> would know why. Just in case your text-to-speech converter is on the
> fritz, let me clue you in: One is caused by regenerative feedback in
> the amp as a whole, and occurs on the frequency to which the amplifier
> is tuned. The other is caused by unintentional (and frequently
> unexpected) resonant conditions in the sub-circuits of the amp (and
> NOT just the tube!). Now do you think you can figure out which is
> which?

I say the non inverting function you tout "as the main reason"; is
not the regenerative feedback cure all claim it to be.

THE REAL FACT FRANKIE IS:
Neutralization is most often not required because of the
regenerative feedback path which is the plate to cathode
capacitance is ordinarily very much less than grid to plate
capacitance... in the HF region.

Now park your non inverting fire dance and get on with
the show.

> >Hence we have well known grounded grid amplifiers using RF power
> >tubes which have well documented histories of becoming rather
> >large oscillators. The SB-220 and the TL-922 are such animals.
>
> Were those oscillations parasitic or on the tuned frequency? Are those
> grounded-grid amps? What kind of parasitic supression did they use?
> Any? Did they take your advice and build an amp without any parasitic
> supression? LOL!

You are a case... a case of denial. Most of the reported
problems were parasitic, both the listed are grounded grid circuits
and both had choke and resistor/inductor supressors installed.

Not with the 3-500z, but many of them built 8877 amplifiers
without parasitic supressors installed.

> >> I should add that the example circuit in the book is a triode, NOT a
> >> tetrode, pentode, beam tube, or any other tube with a screen. Those
> >> are described later in the book. The screen is NOT responsible for the
> >> lack of the need for neutralization with grounded-grid amplifiers. Any
> >> other book on the subject will say the same thing.
> >
> >Somewhere in that book you've got text that reads the screen
> >does not contribute to the reduction in feedback C...?

> Feedback capacitance in a grounded-grid amplifier is irrelavent
> because the cathode and plate are in phase, or haven't you read that

> far in your Electronics 101 book yet? Despite that, the screen
> INCREASES the cathode to plate capacitance as I have already proven by
> experiment!

Not so as indicated early in the Eimac Engineer William Orr Authored
18th edition SAI Handbook, Page 184, section 2. Its better than
Electronics 101 Edition.

> The only information you seem to have learned seems have come from the
> internet -- I would be astounded to see you quote something from a
> legitimate and authoritative publication!

See the the above information for a well known reference handbook.

> Are you actually going to claim that the fundamental operation of a
> grounded-grid amplifier is "dated"? That's about the lamest argument
> you have come up with so far, Skippy! I suppose that you think the
> concepts of Ohm's law and Maxwell's equations are "dated" as well?

I think you assume that the common grounded grid amplifier
has in/out signal phase relationships which are constants...

> >> Now how long do you want to keep this up, Skippy?
> >
> >As long as it takes to get you to understand the operation of
> >a sweep tube grounded grid amplifiers. So far you don't get it.
>
> I get it fine -- it is YOUR understanding that is deficient!

I run with a pack of famous authors, engineers and people in
the tube business who provide me with good source material frank.

> >> Well if the screen is providing enough shielding to prevent a feedback
> >> from getting from the plate to the cathode, then it's certainly
> >> preventing the signal from getting from the cathode to the plate! Or
> >> is this a magical kind of grid that is selective as to the direction
> >> of electrostatic coupling? Maybe it's a "bird screen"... hehe...
> >
> >It doesn't prevent feedback... it reduces C
>
> It does no such thing. Quit relying on the internet for your
> electronics theory. But if you want a very good site to visit about
> power amplifiers and their applications, try here:

Same Handbook I mention above.. Page 183, section 1.

> http://www.ideas2actions.com/svetlana/techbulletins.asp

Many Svetlana tech bulletins have serious short comings. Compare
my Dentron update text to their project conversion and you'll
see exactly what I'm talking about. The known short comings
of that article make a rather long list.

> >> Not. You haven't read the post with the info from the Eimac
> >> datasheets.
> >
> >Actually, I got my information direct from an Eimac Engineer. Actually
> >his data.
>
> Then he hasn't read the datasheets or tested it for himself, and you
> should have verified his information by testing it for yourself. BTW,
> what is the name of this engineer at Eimac that gave you this false
> information? I'm thinking he gave you accurate information but you
> warped it to fit your pathetic understanding of electronic
> fundamentals.

His name was William Orr... you must be right... many of the 20 plus
full size SAI Handbooks he published seem to have that false information
included. I guess I've got to toss all his other published amplifier
conversion and construction articles out because they are also
false information.

> Quit avoiding the issue and post a link, Skippy. Lot's of Bill Orr's
> stuff is on the net, point to the direct quote where it backs up your
> claim.

18th Edition, pages 183 and 184 You've got crow feathers in your
mouth again.

> >Readers of the news group of course... yeah, you've proven twice
> >that you like grids tied to plates in rf amplifiers. That you threw
> >out a grounded grids G1, G2 and G3 circuit without understanding the
> >grid current issues of that design.
>
> And you still don't understand that all three grids are grounded in
> your favorite examples.

No, actually G1 has only a low impedance path to ground and it has
a different dc potential.

> >That you were clue less about
> >reverse screen current...
>
> You haven't given ANY explanation for why it is a factor with ANYTHING
> in this discussion!

The only factor about it was your over reaction to my jab and
pretty good indication that you were clue less about it when
you first saw the post.

>
> > that you called the KLV tank circuit coil
> >a shunt.
>
> That you can't even look up an old post and get the facts straight?
> LOL!

Will you settle for a choke which is still the wrong answer.
You couldn't even recognize a common LC circuit.

> >I feel fine frankie... I'd feel a lot better if you didn't go
> >to the toilet for words so often.
>
> I guess you are just going to have to feel not so good while I
> exercise my 1st Amendment right to freedom of expression. Like the
> cliche goes, if you don't like it....

It tells people on the news group that you have low self esteem.

chow
skipp

1542. Re: FS heres one that will piss off the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 11:03:36 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cCpB9.15896$hK4.1458652@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144078

Oh, I am not talking about CB folks having problems with hams; I am talking about hams having problems with CB folks. I think that sometimes folks forget about their roots, and somehow, when they get their ham ticket, they somehow become "better". Whether somebody gets their Amateur license or not doesn't make them "better"; they are still the same person, only with another certification. As far as myself, I haven't had too much time to get on any of the bands. For the past few years, I have been designing and building shortwave receivers, audio amplifiers, and other fun electronic things. My term at Motorola has ended, so I have been toying with the idea of starting an electronic kit company. Who knows, with the economy the way it is right now.

Pete

1543. Re: The drawn out Frankie Chronicles - 6 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 11:21:29 UTC
From: piper for frankie <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD62A39.798323C6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144079

> >> Beam forming plates are usually grounded, and have the same effect as
> >> the supressor of a pentode -- they prevent the space charge from
> >> hitting the screen. Now what's your point?
> >
> >RCA Tube manual... listed for many sweep tube numbers "Beam Power Tube".
>
> Yeah? So?

If you knew so much about beam power tubes, you wouldn't have omitted
the contributions of the beam power tube electrode spacing and the beam
forming plates when you first did your cute little grid wire post
describing beam power tubes. Using your tactics, we must now assume
you didn't know about them because you didn't mention them at the
time of your post... and the beam forming plates are special to
beam power tubes which include sweep beam power tubes.

> >I find few if any good beam power tube circuits with the beam forming
> >plates grounded in AB2 cicuits. Buzzztt...! you lose. insert another
> >quarter.
>
> First you claim that sweep tubes are beam tubes, and that your
> "classic" grounded-grid sweep tube amps are exampled by the Palomar
> and Dentron. But now you say that you don't find any good beam tube
> circuits where the beam forming plates are grounded as they are in
> your favorite examples. ROTFLOL!

Actually got ahead of myself typing... but you wont believe that...
It should say I find few if any good beam power tube circuits with the
grids and beam forming plates grounded in AB2 circuits.

The corrected statement actually addresses two issues. The first is
that many or most Sweep tube circuits ground the grids and the beam
forming plates direct... bad news. I find few good sweep tube
circuits with the beam forming plates grounded that I think are
good designs. A reason I like to use the Palomar 300 (six tube
version) as a reference, it's one of the few good or respectable
AB2 circuit layouts.

> >Kind of a self descriptive term. Beam power tubes have modified
> >electrode spacing, not just the special grid spacing, placement
> >description you provided. You didn't mention it... so using your logic,
> >we must assume you didn't know anything about it.
>
> No it isn't "self descriptive". You can have spacing BETWEEN the
> electrodes, you can have spacing of the WIRES of an electrode, you can
> have spacing relative to the ALIGNMENT of the electrodes... Which one

> do you mean, Skippy?

Spacing between the electrodes... and for that matter the wire
spacing and alignment. They all matter...

>
> >> Once again, what about the electrode spacing? How is it different than
> >> a 'non-beam' pentode? How does it affect the operation of your
> >> triode-connected sweep tube?
> >
> >You would have to ask the designer of the specific tube. I see
> >the point made in more than one tube book. There is a difference
> >which modifies the performance of the the tube.
>
> And what is that difference?

As stated above, you would have to ask the tube designer.

If your asking what the performance would be... high power
sensitivity and high efficiency.

> Then, as you said, what other significant parts of a beam tube affect
> the operation of a triode-connected grounded-grid amp that are
> different than a pentode? Come on, Skippy, you made the claim, now
> back it up with some facts!

Physical...
In a beam power tube, electrode spacing and placement, the often
spiral wound shaded screen are big deals.

A non beam power pentode would be different in all the
above.

Many of those pesky sweep tubes you hate so bad share a
beam power tube kinship to the 4cx250b

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1544. Re: SWR advice...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 12:18:40 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <AIqB9.51258$1O2.4810@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 144080

I had a nice van come in needing an antenna mounted. Gutter mount antenna's aren't my "thang".

So I used a firestik mount that has a swivel on it. Found metal off of the front under the hood Mounted it. Positioned the stud so it was straight and put a Firestik 4 foot on it.

Now, I personally did not like how it looked. But the customer was tickled pink with it. The SWR set well, and he is a happy vanner.

Conversion van, vans in general always create problems but they are workable.

I am the first one to explain to a customer that aesthetics and antennas usually aren't in the same sentence.

Functioning antennas are my "thang". Have fun and God Bless.

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021115081927.08893.00000033@mb-fd.aol.com...
> Sorry for the long thread. This is a GMC Vandura 2500 Conversion Van. I
> indeed told the shop my intentions. Hey Ill just pack the K-40 back up
and
> take it back. Okay so now we are back to square one. Do I go with a 102"
whip
> or a marine antenna?

1545. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 12:21:06 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <SKqB9.50493$QZ.8731@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144081

Oh, man. Thought I had the cb on again. This is the same stuff you hear
there. YUK.

"DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:VTeB9.17111$NV.317735@news.direcpc.com...
> Whatever.
>
> Don't flatter yourself.
> It wasn't that great of a blowjob you gave me, anyway.
> Oh, I faked every orgasm!
>
> You better go suck your momma's cock like you been doin' .
> Maybe you'll do better in that category!
>
> "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> news:20021114090544.02922.00003622@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > nice new name chuckie
> >
> >
> >
> > >Subject: Dumb Ass on 27.285
> > >Path:
> >
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.ste
> > alth.net!gestalt.direcpc.com!news.direcpc.com!not-for-mail
> > >From: "DutchMastahKillah" nodissin@nospam.com
> > >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > >Lines: 92
> > >X-Priority: 3
> > >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> > >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> > >Message-ID: <JQDA9.16280$NV.303371@news.direcpc.com>
> > >Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:26:36 -0500
> > >NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.32.154
> > >X-Complaints-To: abuse@direcpc.com
> > >X-Trace: news.direcpc.com 1037240745 64.157.32.154 (Wed, 13 Nov 2002
> 21:25:45
> > >EST)
> > >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Nov 2002 21:25:45 EST
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >This is an example of a spectrum being totally ignored / un-enforced by

> the
> > >FCC!
> > >At 4pm today in southside Virginia while on Rte 288 North,
> > >Some dumb ass with a reverb or echo "toy" was heard "yelling" on CB
> Channel
> > >28 (27.285 MHz) with the mic gain up.
> > >WHY In Da Hell do these "CB'er wannabes" have to "Yell" into the mic?
> > >
> > >CB channels 6, 11, 15, and 22 are some other places where this
"uncalled
> for
> > >behavior" is heard and constantly bleeding over onto other channels.
> > >And as always every cb'er wannabe is transmitting at the same time!!
> > >All trying to see who can key-down with the most power!
> > >The FCC totally ignores these!
> > >(someone who works in the FCC alerts the violators of un-scheduled
> "visits")
> > >
> > >Talking too fast and loud over the radio Distorts the output audio on
> other
> > >CBs / Shortwave Receivers.
> > >Therefore creating an obvious profile of the one who is transmitting.
> > >
> > >Is it to get the signal out --NO!!
> > >Your radio's transmit power is 4Watts / 12 W PEP for SSB comms.
> > >Linears are nothing but JUNK!!
> > >
> > >SO, why have the gain up and yell and talk fast!
> > >You don't get contacts to answer you as per the example above.
> > >
> > >So, much money spent on Useless "TOYS" only distort your personality on
> the
> > >radio.
> > >
> > >People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
> dumbass
> > >yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> > >Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> > >When do they YELL?
> > >When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
> > >
> > >Remember : Riley Hollingsworth IS Listening! and he's got your numbers,
> so
> > >is that van with the antennas on the roof!
> > >Yeah-- you can flame me all you want to your heart's content!
> > >You are only hurting yourselves when time comes to pay rent, bills,
child
> > >support, medical, dental, auto insurance -that is if yours survives
> > >excessive RF energy that WILL destroy the ignition control module and
> > >whatever else is "in the way"!

> > >So, keep on havin' those "keydowns"!!
> > >
> > >I speak for the REAL CBers who ARE getting tired of this type of "crap"
> on
> > >the radio.
> > >
> > >I despise these linears on CB!
> > >What good are they to begin with? ---Making the most noise with all
the
> RF
> > >power they can generate.
> > >Who in the hell wants to put 8 alternators on their vehicle?
> > >These individuals have WAY too much time on their hands!
> > >
> > >I didn't know CBs, linears and AMPS and "noise toys" can be bought with
> > >welfare and food stamps.
> > >A percentage of these "individuals" on the radio, are always on the
> > >radio -- not to talk their "aquaintences" in a good-fellowship
atmosphere
> ,
> > >BUT to yell into the mic with the echo and reverb and the mic gain
turned
> up
> > >so "others" can hear them and have "key-downs" that only create a
> profile!
> > >
> > >This creates an "unacceptable atmosphere" by law per the FCC, myself,
and
> > >others who are talking local.
> > >
> > >I really don't blame the majority of REAL 11-meter operators who go out
> of
> > >band just to get away from the "crap" either!
> > >I applaude and salute them!
> > >I have heard more intelligent QSOs on the freeband channels -unlike the
> 40
> > >Channels that still exist!
> > >
> > >And the FCC might as well make channel 9 -just a regular channel!!
> > >If you don't have a cell phone to call in an accident in town or the
city
> or
> > >the Interstate -- then the police don't want to hear it!!
> > >Even if REACT is there!
> > >Too many bogus calls -according to police who used to have CBs!
> > >If that's the case then - what about Cellphone prank calls?!!
> > >
> > >Someone needs to reform the FCC and get rid of "potential - leaks" in
> that
> > >agency!

> > >A "Weed - And - Seed" Op. Per Say!!
> > >Then reform the agency consisting of Hams and REAL CBers - like
myself!!
> > >And the next time a CB is bought --- A license form and fee will be
> > >mandatory!!!!!
> > >NO EXCEPTIONS!!
> > >NO WHINERS!!
> > >NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1546. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 12:49:41 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211160449.1b3b597e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144082

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:<HklB9.48621$Em.17406328@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> Good saying Twist...even if it was pirated. ;) But I have yet to figure out
> ol' Frank. Seems that he's always on the defensive for some strange reason.
> A few posts ago, I merely said, "Have a nice Thanksgiving... and don't eat
> too much." And of course his paranoia responded with, "Why?...are you trying
> to pick a fight?" Oh well, I guess that some folks are just wrapped a little
> TOO tight. And as for you Frank (I know you're reading this) RELAX a
> little. The sky is not falling because some people are 'Freebanding' or even
> running linears. Folks have been doing this since the inception of Citizen
> Band radios. Do I do it? NO. Do I condone it? NO. But I really don't care
> what other people are doing either. Hell Frank...there are amateur operators
> running amplifiers in EXCESS of 1500 watts PEP, and they are even running
> 'Pirate' broadcasts on 6955 kilohertz USB. So do us all a BIG favor. Jump on
> up to rec.radio.swap and chastize them ILLEGAL hams for selling gear that is
> quite obviously against Part 97. And take your boy Aaron with you. Nuff
> said....thanks for reading.
>
> American Eagle 352
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:3472-3DD509E2-23@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> > In <Iq_A9.38584$Em.15733832@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
> > <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> > You should really do something a little more constuctive with all of
> > your free time. Like maybe... get a JOB.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >And what about all the posts by Skippy? >Should he get a job, too?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Winners compare their achievements with
> > their goals, while losers compare
> > their achievements with those of

> > other people." *
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > *Disclaimer
> > *This plagiarism brought to you courtesy of Lelnad C. Scott, KC8LDO.
> >

better watch it american eagle.......if you piss him off, he will come
over and take pictures of you through his rifle sight.............

1547. F.S. Ranger 2970 DX Brand new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 12:53:49 UTC
From: dalonzi <d.alonzi@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <xdrB9.17959$%m4.5955@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144083

This radio is 2 months old. Never used, have the box and all paperwork. I am selling it to upgrade my Shortwave radio.

Thanks

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=802344779

1548. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 13:37:20 UTC
From: John P Vassel <kc8ske@cybiko.com>
Message-ID: <3dd64a0f$0$1414$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 144084

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in
news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com:

> and as usual, I shall be wearing my trusty ol' White UAW hat and my
> currently raddy looking UAW t-shirt. I shall be somewhere in area 5...
> in the arena.
>
>

Drop by, and see some things just never change.

--
John P Vassel
KC8SKE
R.T.F.D.

1549. Workman rodger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 15:54:01 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116105401.02798.00000065@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 144085

i baught a workman rodger beep and i'm having trouble installing it
when installed my volume is low and when i use my ptt nothing happens ,no out
put (wattage) so i disconnected everything and all is back normal

does anyone know how to install one in a Realistic trc492

All help welcome

1550. Re: Hey ae352/ asswipe/ snapperhead/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 16:22:46 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WdycnTRyfOV-7UugXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144086

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:AvlB9.48654$Em.17419295@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: Simple yes or no question here.
: Are you N7VCF?
:
: A.E. 352
: http://american-eagle.tripod.com
:

desperate aren't you? search the archives he already told you he isn't a
ham.

1551. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 16:33:52 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TYecnRZDY6Lh7kugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144087

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:HklB9.48621$Em.17406328@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: Good saying Twist...even if it was pirated. ;) But I have yet to figure
out
: ol' Frank. Seems that he's always on the defensive for some strange
reason.
: A few posts ago, I merely said, "Have a nice Thanksgiving... and don't
eat
: too much." And of course his paranoia responded with, "Why?...are you
trying
: to pick a fight?" Oh well, I guess that some folks are just wrapped a
little
: TOO tight. And as for you Frank (I know you're reading this) RELAX a
: little. The sky is not falling because some people are 'Freebanding' or
even
: running linears. Folks have been doing this since the inception of Citizen
: Band radios. Do I do it? NO. Do I condone it? NO.

You don't freeband?

> But I really don't care what other people are doing either.

Thats an outright lie, you care what Frank and aaron are doing by your
obvoiuos envy of them.

>Hell Frank...there are amateur operators running amplifiers in EXCESS of
1500 watts PEP, and >they are even running 'Pirate' broadcasts on 6955
kilohertz USB.

They are ? whats the callsign's surely you have them right since they are
hams.Why would a ham operate on 6955 when he can operate a few kc's higher
legally. Callsigns please?

>So do us all a BIG favor.

Take your own advise!

:Jump on up to rec.radio.swap and chastize them ILLEGAL hams for selling
gear that is
: quite obviously against Part 97. And take your boy Aaron with you. Nuff
: said....thanks for reading.

Thought you did worry about what others are doing? You the internet diplomat
too right?
why are you so concerned with people stopping illegal cbers unless your
agaisnt legal CB

1552. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 17:20:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021116122038.21153.00005030@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 144088

what a fag

>
>Whatever.
>
>Don't flatter yourself.
>It wasn't that great of a blowjob you gave me, anyway.
>Oh, I faked every orgasm!
>
>You better go suck your momma's cock like you been doin' .
>Maybe you'll do better in that category!

1553. Alan HP3050 - schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 17:41:45 UTC
From: Wezyr <pwol@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <ar603rhmi1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 144089

I'm find elektronic schematic Alan HP3050

THX

1554. F.S. Sears 40 Channel mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 19:02:17 UTC
From: Carl <vortec02@msn.com>
Message-ID: <u9jwkKajCHA.1344@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 144090

I have a sears 40 channel mobile for sale. it has the upd 858 chip in it.
the radio has a sears power mic. the radio is stock. asking 35.00+ shipping.
Thanks for reading. carl vortec02@msn.com

1555. Browning Radios For Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 19:21:29 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <ZUwB9.380$bE3.12601519@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144091

I have a few Browning Radios I would like to sell.

Browning Mark IV, 40 Channel, AM/SSB, base, complete with 776 microphone, in great working condition. It is looking for a good home. This is a clean unit, and a great investment, because they are not making any more of them. Price $495.00

Browning, Brownie, 23 channel, no mounting bracket, with Diesel microphone. $ 35.00

Browning, Brownie, 23 channel, no mounting bracket, with Browning microphone. $45.00

If interested please e-mail me at nighthawk111@juno.com

73

Nighthawk

1556. Re: F.S. Sears 40 Channel mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 20:36:49 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD6AC61.379EE0BB@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144092

Carl wrote:
>
> I have a sears 40 channel mobile for sale. it has the upd 858 chip in it.
> the radio has a sears power mic. the radio is stock. asking 35.00+ shipping.
> Thanks for reading. carl vortec02@msn.com

Is it sideband?

1557. Items at auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 21:14:28 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LsyB9.4856$%j3.775@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144093

Check out the website:

http://singasongtn.tripod.com/

Click on image/s to see all the auctions on eBay / Yahoo / Amazon
****

QuicFix 3.5 Omega version - CB Repair Program on CD 200 menu itmes..always
on sale!.
QuicFixer - Repair and TuneUp Guide ONLY ..always on sale!
KickStarter - Keyup external amp and turn on tape recorder or ON AIR
LIGHT...always on sale!
The Ballad of Budwyser - Great song about a CB nut ...maybe just like you!
You gotta get this ...only on eBay!!!!!!!!!!!!!.. always on sale!

Huge Transformer for DC power supply - Big 108 lbs
15Kw/5KW Old ceramic/steel tubes - Hood ornament for KeyDowners

CHECK IT OUT!

The2x4

1558. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 21:42:01 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <nohooch@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <vXyB9.17465$NV.326497@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144094

Who you callin' a fag, pimp-wannabe?
A fag refers to a cigarette.
A faggot is a bundle of sticks!

You best check yo lingo, el gringo!
He ain't no Chuckee!
He jes' says that 'cause you thought he was someones else.

You take thangs too personally.
take a load off.

He laughins' now 'cause you called hims a cigarette.
LMAO!!

An' 'notha thang -- he right about that mess on da CB these days!!
He don't mess wit those CBer wannabes!

So, check yo self, fool!!

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021116122038.21153.00005030@mb-fx.aol.com...
> what a fag
>
> >
> >Whatever.
> >
> >Don't flatter yourself.
> >It wasn't that great of a blowjob you gave me, anyway.
> >Oh, I faked every orgasm!
> >
> >You better go suck your momma's cock like you been doin' .
> >Maybe you'll do better in that category!
>
>
>
>

1559. Re: Items at auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 21:52:27 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DD6BE1B.D65002C7@qnet.com>
Source record: 144095

Hello Carl:

Gee whiz, ya get 17 Pop ups blasted at you there. I din't have my Norton
anti Virus/ Anti Pop Up programs fired and up and runnin. I do now.

Jay in the Mojave

The2x4 wrote:
>
> Check out the website:
>
> http://singasongtn.tripod.com/
>
> Click on image/s to see all the auctions on eBay / Yahoo / Amazon
> **********
>
> QuicFix 3.5 Omega version - CB Repair Program on CD 200 menu itmes..always
> on sale!.
> QuicFixer - Repair and TuneUp Guide ONLY ..always on sale!
> KickStarter - Keyup external amp and turn on tape recorder or ON AIR
> LIGHT...always on sale!
> The Ballad of Budwyser - Great song about a CB nut ...maybe just like you!
> You gotta get this ...only on eBay!!!!!!!!!!!!!.. always on sale!
>
> Huge Transformer for DC power supply - Big 108 lbs
> 15Kw/5KW Old ceramic/steel tubes - Hood ornament for KeyDowners
>
> CHECK IT OUT!
>
> The2x4

1560. Re: rcrANUS@hotmail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 22:07:34 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <GkzB9.52897$Em.18437978@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144096

I guess the email I got was bogus then.

A.E. 352

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:WdycnTRyfOV-7UugXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:AvlB9.48654$Em.17419295@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> : Simple yes or no question here.
> : Are you N7VCF?
> :
> : A.E. 352
> : http://american-eagle.tripod.com
> :
>
> desperate aren't you? search the archives he already told you he isn't a
> ham.
>
>

1561. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 22:09:52 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <QmzB9.52905$Em.18441378@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144097

Does that mean that I have 'Diplomatic Immunity?'

A.E. 352

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:TYecnRZDY6Lh7kugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:HklB9.48621$Em.17406328@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> : Good saying Twist...even if it was pirated. ;) But I have yet to figure
> out
> : ol' Frank. Seems that he's always on the defensive for some strange
> reason.
> : A few posts ago, I merely said, "Have a nice Thanksgiving... and don't
> eat
> : too much." And of course his paranoia responded with, "Why?...are you
> trying
> : to pick a fight?" Oh well, I guess that some folks are just wrapped a
> little
> : TOO tight. And as for you Frank (I know you're reading this) RELAX a
> : little. The sky is not falling because some people are 'Freebanding' or
> even
> : running linears. Folks have been doing this since the inception of
Citizen
> : Band radios. Do I do it? NO. Do I condone it? NO.
>
> You don't freeband?
>
>
>
>
>
> > But I really don't care what other people are doing either.
>
> Thats an outright lie, you care what Frank and aaron are doing by your
> obvoiuos envy of them.
>
>
>
>
>
> >Hell Frank...there are amateur operators running amplifiers in EXCESS of
> 1500 watts PEP, and >they are even running 'Pirate' broadcasts on 6955
> kilohertz USB.
>
> They are ? whats the callsign's surely you have them right since they are

> hams.Why would a ham operate on 6955 when he can operate a few kc's higher
> legally. Callsigns please?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >So do us all a BIG favor.
>
> Take your own advise!
>
>
>
> :Jump on up to rec.radio.swap and chastize them ILLEGAL hams for selling
> gear that is
> : quite obviously against Part 97. And take your boy Aaron with you. Nuff
> : said....thanks for reading.
>
> Thought you did worry about what others are doing? You the internet
diplomat
> too right?
> why are you so concerned with people stopping illegal cbers unless your
> agaisnt legal CB
>
>
>
>
>

1562. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 22:43:06 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DD6CA1F.7F38BE39@optonline.net>
Source record: 144098

ken,
in all due respect the truckers in the area do know how to read road signs. they are posted along the belt , southern, northern, sagtikos and cross island (missed a few i'm sure). once every other month there may be an incident but i doubt bangledesh has any worries about being compared to (though the 7-11 operators might like to hear that)...lan and wan were not even mentioned as the telecomms have other uses for the freqs...(btw, my line of work). one other point, do some research and you will find the trucking industry (only concerning the island) will be having serious competition if the rail line is run under lower ny harbor from nj to brooklyn... 73, jim

Kenneth wrote:

> CB is alive and well in NYC! And while I respect Jim's expertise there are a
> few things he has missed.
> In NYC at least once a week a big truck hops on the parkway and gets
> stuck at the first overpass. Without cb's that would jump to atleast 2 a
> day. NYC would come to a screaching halt.
> Some channels are used for recievers to communicate with trucks waiting
> to unload and are kept mostly quite out of common decency. Recieving depts
> learned to stay away from ch 6 and 11.
> Trucking is THE lifeblood of the economy and cb's help the industry
> greatly. A cb is a tool that helps truckers accomplish their jobs beter and
> safer. Ham radio is a toy...nothing more.
> Industry is mostly interested in data throughput for wireless WAN's and
> LAN's and that means higher freq's. 27mhz is quite safe. Companies are about
> as interested in 27mhz as buying antique 300 baud modems for dialup.
> Hams may know more about rf then cb'ers but for the most part are
> ignorant of trucking and our economy and how they are related and how
> essential cb's are for the industry. Every train and mass transit system
> could brak down and it would be an inconvienence. A general truck strike
> would reduce the US economy to that of Bengladesh within a week.
> The cb spectrum is quite safe.
> Sincerely
> Ken

1563. Re: Hey ae352/ asswipe/ snapperhead/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 23:21:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211161521.5ea2c0bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144099

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<WdycnTRyfOV-7UugXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:AvlB9.48654$Em.17419295@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> : Simple yes or no question here.
> : Are you N7VCF?
> :
> : A.E. 352
> : http://american-eagle.tripod.com
> :
>
> desperate aren't you? search the archives he already told you he isn't a
> ham.

Yes, you're desperate, Georgie-girl. Can we believe him: He claims to
be a broadcast engineer but he can't get a ham license?

And why are You answering for Frank anyhow, Georgie-girl?

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and it's true." Aanal
Aaron H. Voobner

1564. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-16 23:33:48 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vBAB9.22160$WL3.6477@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144100

"SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <nohooch@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:vXyB9.17465$NV.326497@news.direcpc.com...
> Who you callin' a fag, pimp-wannabe?
> A fag refers to a cigarette.
> A faggot is a bundle of sticks!

As a side note:

A faggot specifically refers to a bundle of sticks gathered together for burring a heretic at the stake. Also, my boss tells me when he first came to America he stepped off the plane in SF and immediately went to the concessions counter and asked "where can I get some fags around here?" The man very politely told him that while in America (and while in SF! hehe) it was best if he called them cigarettes.

Dave

>
> You best check yo lingo, el gringo!
> He ain't no Chuckee!
> He jes' says that 'cause you thought he was someones else.
>
> You take thangs too personally.
> take a load off.
>
> He laughins' now 'cause you called hims a cigarette.
> LMAO!!
>
> An' 'notha thang -- he right about that mess on da CB these days!!
> He don't mess wit those CBer wannabes!
>
> So, check yo self, fool!!

1565. Re: Workman rodger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:10:05 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD6DE5D.A09BB364@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144101

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> i baught a workman rodger beep and i'm having trouble installing it
> when installed my volume is low and when i use my ptt nothing happens ,no out
> put (wattage) so i disconnected everything and all is back normal
>
> does anyone know how to install one in a Realistic trc492
>
> All help welcome

The one I've got, "The Bandit", comes with a single page of
instructions. If yours didn't come with it, I can scan it &
email it to you.

Hell, mail me US $10, and I'll mail you the annoying thing!

1566. Re: rcrANUS@hotmail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:31:05 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WJGcnWXT-7LIfkugXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144102

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:GkzB9.52897$Em.18437978@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: I guess the email I got was bogus then.
:
: A.E. 352

Guess it was huh,why not post the email?

1567. Re: Hey ae352/ asswipe/ snapperhead/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:36:03 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vPudnTdkXtwdeUugXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144103

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211161521.5ea2c0bf@posting.google.com...
:
: Yes, you're desperate, Georgie-girl. Can we believe him: He claims to
: be a broadcast engineer but he can't get a ham license?

Funny you of all people should ask should we believe him sure should we believe you he posts with his real name and so far there is no Richard Cranium listed anywhere in the United States, or under QRZ, or the FCC database so far he has far more credibility than you. He sure can get a ham license if he choses to, so far he has chosen not to it seems.

: And why are You answering for Frank anyhow, Georgie-girl?

Why are you replying for AE352 the post was to him? I see your both in the same area ... hmm

: "I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and it's true." Aanal
: Aaron H. Voobner

I don't have to prove anything I make claims and can't support them, and expect you to prove me wrong.

Dickhead "richard Cranium"

1568. Re: Geez Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:36:52 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C_2cndKFSMQteUugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144104

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:QmzB9.52905$Em.18441378@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: Does that mean that I have 'Diplomatic Immunity?'
:
: A.E. 352

Nah just means your a hypocrite that is a liar. Thank You

1569. Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:39:32 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144105

I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The SWR was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the lack of output might be some error on my part.

To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in my car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the gauge of wire I used.

Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because of a lack of dc input power?

P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of 30, 50, 51 were about the same.

1570. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:41:51 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jBBB9.59966$QZ.9687@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144106

Wire it to the battery. That thing is current starved.

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
SWR
> was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
> anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
> lack of output might be some error on my part.
>
> To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in
my
> car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> gauge of wire I used.
>
> Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
> of a lack of dc input power?
>
> P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
> 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
>
>

1571. Cobra 29 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:44:25 UTC
From: Fk-U-2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26996-3DD6E669-18@storefull-2172.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144110

I just bought a Cobra 29 LTD Classic and was wondering about increasing the wattage...

If I'm not mistaken this unit puts out 4 watts, and has a range of 5 to 7 miles....

Can I increase to 20 watts, and what kind of range increase would it be????

I have a Radio Shack high power mag mount CB/10m 72" antenna..

1572. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 00:50:35 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cCKdneCYDPB6ekugXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144107

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
: supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
SWR
: was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
: anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
: lack of output might be some error on my part.
:
: To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in
my
: car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
: adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
: gauge of wire I used.
:
: Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
: of a lack of dc input power?
:
: P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
: 30, 50, 51 were about the same.

CB amps are Illegal to use btw, How many amp fuse does the cigarette
lighter have? what guage wire did you use. I am sure with more voltage you
will see more wattage.

1573. cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:01:01 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <hTBB9.386$4s6.298642@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 144109

I have a Cobra 2000 for sale, real good cond. with one speaker....
e-mail me for details

drc

1574. Keep your pantyhose on, Sharkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:03:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd6e81a.992549@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144111

In <3DD57E80.647EA0E@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Well Mr. Amplifier... your on your face again... Much of the problem
>> >with amplifiers parasitics happen in the VHF region, an area you
>> >should never ignore and a simple parasitic suppressor is only a very
>> >small part of the big picture.
>> >
>> >Tubes like the 8877 are well designed and for the most part, don't
>> >require parasitic suppressors to operate in a good high gain HF
>> >circuits. Pop in a similar size valve in the same circuit and chances
>> >are it's going to sing like a big dog.
>>
>> That's a load of "poop" and you know it. Any circuit to which the 8877
>> is connected is going to have resonant frequencies -- that's just a
>> fact of RF amps you can't avoid. As long as the tube can amplify on
>> those frequencies, there MUST be some method of dampening the
>> unintended resonant frequency of the circuit. It's not the tube, it's
>> the circuit, Skippy!
>
>Well frankie, let me take the liberty of pasting in some text which
>clearly lays it out. Enjoy another crow dinner...
>
>[cut and paste]
>
>The 8877 is well known as a *stable* tube for amplifiers at 50MHz and
>144MHz.
>
>With the input and output both tuned to the same frequency, and
>typically 15-18dB of on-frequency gain, it's stable.

In grounded-grid, ok. But as with any double-tuned amp you need to
watch for low-frequency parasitics.

> If you provoke it
>by removing both the input and output loads and swinging the input and
>output tuning, a well-constructed 8877 VHF amp will still not oscillate.

Of course it won't oscillate -- disconnect the output load and it's
going to flash over and destroy the tube! Disconnect the cathode and
the contact potential pulls the cathode positive until the tube cuts
off. The same thing happens when you disconnect the grid return on a
common-cathode amplifier.

>Why? Because the 8877 has a grid ring that makes a very direct,
>low-inductance connection to the actual grid inside (a sheet-metal cone

>which very effectively shields the input from the output).

The 8877 is indeed a very linear and stable tube (not so stable you
can do what is suggested above!). But it doesn't matter much in a
grounded-grid amp because it's a non-inverting amp and you don't have
any regenerative feedback to cause oscillation. A grounded-grid amp is
also less prone to parasitics for the same reason.

> If you ground
>the grid VHF-style, with finger-stock contacts directly on to the grid
>ring and fixed directly to the chassis, then the tube will not oscillate
>at VHF. This applies equally to an 8877 in an HF or a VHF amplifier.

I think you added that last line. Regardless, the tube will indeed
oscillate at VHF if fed with enough feedback in the proper phase. It
is impossible to prevent an amplifier from oscillating under those
conditions, even if it's built with the 8877. If you remember anything
about these posts, remember this: If it can amplify, it can oscillate.

>For glass tubes without a grid ring, such direct grid grounding is not
>possible, which is why we have to use Plan B - parasitic suppressors -
>to reduce gain at VHF.

Parasitic supressors are a good idea with any RF power amplifier, the
grounded-grid 8877 included. To not include even some basic supression
is risky at best. Saving the little bit of time and money needed for
the added protection might just cost you a very expensive tube.

>--
>[end of cut and paste]
>
>some steak sauce for your crow frankie..?
>
>>
>> >The type of tube matters... don't know how to say it any clearer to
>> >you.
>>
>> You said it clearly enough, but you are still wrong. The tube is only
>> a component of any parasitic resonant circuit in the amplifier. Unless
>> the tube has some magical "resonance detection-and-dampening" device,
>> you still need some form of supression of those frequencies. No tube
>> is immune because the tube is just the amplifier, not the whole
>> resonant circuit. This is first-year electronics, Skippy.
>
>Please review the above text from:
>
>73 from Ian G3SEK 'In Practice' columnist for RadCom (RSGB)
> Editor, 'The VHF/UHF DX Book'

I checked the site but that text wasn't there. Got a link?

>> >Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term...
>>

>> The concept is yours, and it's not really a good concept.
>
>I didn't make it up Frankie...

I find that hard to believe. You make up everything else.

>> >but the tubes such as the 3-1000z and the 3-500z in mfgrs rated
>> >applications have a well known and documented track record
>> >of parasitic problems. Readers can reference text at
>> >http://www.vcnet.com/measures for more detailed information.
>>
>> You should take a look at that site a bit more closely. A lot of the
>> info is good, but a lot of it is also very bogus, such as the idea
>> (which you adopted) that neutralization isn't required in a
>> grounded-grid amp because of 'grid-screening'.
>
>Nothing I can find on his web page is faulty... his grid screening
>text agrees with my Eimac Engineer Authored "SAI" Handbooks and
>my opinions.

Here's what Eimac says about grid screening:

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/sec2-2.htm

"The additional grid serves as a shield, or screen, between the input
circuit and the output circuits of the tetrode, and is called a
'screen grid.'"

Your misunderstanding of vacuum tube fundamentals has led you and your
mentor to believe the misguided notion that the screen grid is
responsible for eliminating neutralization in a grounded-grid amp. But
both of you have apparently abandoned the logic of realizing that if
this was true then no neutralization would be needed for ANY
common-cathode amplifier as well, which, of course, is not true.

Since you don't want to bother with the books, I suppose the internet
will have to do. Here's another link from Eimac to get you going in
the RIGHT direction with tube power amplifiers:

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/Section2.1.pdf

Note the typical applications of low mu and medium mu triodes. Also
notice that Eimac, a manufacturer of power tubes for longer than you
have been alive, recommends the use of high mu triodes for RF
amplifiers.

Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.

> His weab page goes through almost daily review by
>people on the cutting edge of current amplifier technology. He
>has many published articles on the subject of tube rf amplifiers.

And Richard Nixon was President.

>I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
>your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.

I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.

>> >For more detailed study of a tube which is not generically subject
>> >to parasitic problems, please research the 8877, in specific...
>> >the grid construction. The mfgrs data sheet might be a good
>> >place to start.
>>
>> I have already done so, and I even posted some information from the
>> datasheet in this discussion. There is nothing in the datasheet to
>> indicate that it is immune from parasitics. Because, Skippy,
>> parasitics are an effect of the CIRCUIT, NOT just the tube.
>
>Your all alone in the cold... please again review the text I
>pasted in above.

Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
is immune from parasitics.

>> >Your simply wrong again Franklin... or frankie...
>>
>> All the data and facts support my comments and contradict your's. It
>> is YOU that is wrong again, Skippy.
>
>Da' facts are subject to revision,

There's your problem, Skippy. Your seem to revise your "facts" to suit
your purposes. For example, remember that callsign you emailed me?
Well, it is listed as IG, not IB as you claimed. And the license was
issued AFTER the 1997 consolidation, so it was NOT issued as IB and
later 'revised'. You are a liar, Skippy, plain and simple. And I have
proven that 'fact' many times in this conversation. Of course you will
probably 'revise' that fact in your reply...

I think nothing more needs to be said.

P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.

1575. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:09:23 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <ar6rc3$fmako$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144112

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
SWR
> was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
> anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
> lack of output might be some error on my part.

And a lack of understanding of the many ways in which
power can be measured and the inaccuracy of most meters.

> To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in
my
> car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> gauge of wire I used.

That didn't help. The output of the amp
is dependant upon two things: input drive
and supply voltage. In your test the supply
voltage was less than ideal.

> Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
> of a lack of dc input power?

It was the same because the input power
was enough to drive the amp close to saturation
on medium.

> P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
> 30, 50, 51 were about the same.

Your meter is probably reading something close
to the average power. The relationship between
average and peak power (the "225" front panel
designation is an idealized (tons of drive power
and higher than typical supply voltage) peak
figure) is 4:1. At 100 modulation 50 average watts
is equal to 200 peak watts.

In the old days (the 70's) the first transistorized
CB amps had front panel figures that reflected
their RMS output. The relationship between RMS
and peak is about 3:1. So, in the 70's your 225
would have been called a 75.

For more on how power and modulation are meaured
check out the AM Tutorial on my site. There's a link
below.
--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas

1576. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:27:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd6ee55.2587516@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144114

In <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie
<nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
>> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
>> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
>> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.
>
>Know of one on the air right now honking away on 95.3 from Mt Vaca.
>I helped put the station on the air. Has an 8877 tube, the one
>you can operate with no parasitic suppression because of the way
>the tube was designed.

The AN/FRT-39B doesn't do FM, doesn't go above 28 MHz, and doesn't use
an 8877, so you must be talking about some other grounded-grid
broadcast transmitter. But you are claiming that you are getting 10 kW
FM from a grounded-grid 8877? Must have been because of your ability
to 'revise the facts'. LOL!!!

1577. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:32:16 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3DD6F1A8.8329C945@erols.com>
Source record: 144113

also if you are not putting enuf watts from the cb to the amp you would also get
low output

Perculator wrote:

> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> : I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> : supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
> SWR
> : was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
> : anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
> : lack of output might be some error on my part.
> :
> : To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in
> my
> : car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> : adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> : gauge of wire I used.
> :
> : Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
> : of a lack of dc input power?
> :
> : P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
> : 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
>
> CB amps are Illegal to use btw, How many amp fuse does the cigarette
> lighter have? what guage wire did you use. I am sure with more voltage you
> will see more wattage.

1578. Re: Hey Frank/Sparky/Yeti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:37:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd6f0f5.3259798@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144115

In <AvlB9.48654$Em.17419295@twister.nyroc.rr.com>, "A.E. 352"
<ae352@iwon.com> wrote:

>Simple yes or no question here.
>Are you N7VCF?

No.

My turn for a simple yes or no question: Do I need to repeat myself a
hundred more times that I'm not N7VCF before you learn to think for
yourself instead of listening to Twisty's fantasies?

1579. Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 01:48:47 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3ACB9.510$Kw6.368732@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144108

Are radioshack products any good? I see some people busting on them. Does anyone know of a good cb radio store in the naperville (chicago) illinois area. I an looking to get a base antenna for my attic.

Thanks in advance.

1580. A-99 and I-max 2k Vs. Spectrum 1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:10:18 UTC
From: "Rev. Robert P. Chrysafis" <kc8gpd28@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eUCB9.1715$fY3.164705@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144116

whats better I-Max 2k w/ Groundplane a A-99 w/gpk-1, or a spectrum 1600

--
Rev. Robert P. Chrysafis
88.3 FM 1610 AM

1581. Re: A-99 and I-max 2k Vs. Spectrum 1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:20:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD6FCEC.CFACAA34@cwis.net>
Source record: 144117

"Rev",
Not enough difference to matter....
'Doc

1582. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:22:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD6FD52.FFAF5A5C@cwis.net>
Source record: 144118

Matt,
That's like asking are Chevy's better than Fords. Just depends
on which one and what you like...
'Doc

PS - Fords, naturally.

1583. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:44:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd6fd60_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144119

Fix or Repair Daily better? Is that why you da Doc, cause you fix 'em? !

Radio Shack is JUNK. Period. Junk in '72, junk 30 years later, if not
moree junk! Do you have 9' in your attic? I have 1- 1/4 wave ground plane
antenna left, brand new in the box; 3 or 4 9' radials. I think Hy-Gain
brand. Let me know direct if interested.
Dave!

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DD6FD52.FFAF5A5C@cwis.net...
> Matt,
> That's like asking are Chevy's better than Fords. Just depends
> on which one and what you like...
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Fords, naturally.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1584. CB Base Station SEASON upon us INCREASING !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:50:06 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd6fe9f_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144120

Yep, it is. I sold another Italian Starduster a few weeks ago that I had bought in the 80's. Sold one last year too, and it was years before that I sold one. It looks like Base Station season (winter) is here and may be increasing from what I have been hearing.

Anyone else notice any increase of sales of Base Stations or antennas?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1585. Re: Items at auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:51:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd6ff10_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144121

Ballad of BudStupider?
Dave!

"The2x4" <the2x4@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:LsyB9.4856$%j3.775@news.bellsouth.net...
> Check out the website:
>
> http://singasongtn.tripod.com/
>
> Click on image/s to see all the auctions on eBay / Yahoo / Amazon
> ********************************
>
> QuicFix 3.5 Omega version - CB Repair Program on CD 200 menu itmes..always
> on sale!.
> QuicFixer - Repair and TuneUp Guide ONLY ..always on sale!
> KickStarter - Keyup external amp and turn on tape recorder or ON AIR
> LIGHT...always on sale!
> The Ballad of Budwyser - Great song about a CB nut ...maybe just like you!
> You gotta get this ...only on eBay!!!!!!!!!!!!!.. always on sale!
>
> Huge Transformer for DC power supply - Big 108 lbs
> 15Kw/5KW Old ceramic/steel tubes - Hood ornament for KeyDowners
>
> CHECK IT OUT!
>
> The2x4
>
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1586. Re: CB Radio Parts Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:53:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd6ff52_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144122

You mean make & model number !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd56ee1.8814583@news.cet.com...
> In <H5Mz8C.B75@news.boeing.com>, "Mark Harju"
> <mark.a.harju@NO-SPAM.boeing.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi all,
> >
> >Did something dumb with a CB I got secondhand. I keyed it with an
unbalanced
> >load and blew the final.
> >
> >Can anybody provide a source for parts? Fortunately, the unit came with
> >schematics and a parts list.
> >
> >Thanks in advance...
> >
> >mh
>
> What's the part number?
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1587. Re: A-99 and I-max 2k Vs. Spectrum 1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 02:58:38 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DD705DE.3B303F5B@qnet.com>
Source record: 144125

Hello Rev Robert:

I would go with the I-Max 2000, its a little hotter than the A99, and
won't bend then brake like the Spectrum 1600 has in windy places.

Jay in the Mojave

"Rev. Robert P. Chrysafis" wrote:
>
> whats better I-Max 2k w/ Groundplane a A-99 w/gpk-1, or a spectrum 1600
>
> --
> Rev. Robert P. Chrysafis
> 88.3 FM 1610 AM

1588. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 03:01:03 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211161901.722adab3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144123

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:<apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>...
> Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course
> before allowing them to operate their radios? It seems to me that everyone
> would benefit to some extent; the user obtains a greater knowledge and
> understanding of their hobby, interference into the ham bands is reduced,
> and potential health and safety problems are reduced.

Because then it would be ham radio.

1589. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 03:03:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd701de_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144124

No Reality Cash:

First of all, there are NO "100+ watt rigs" that will get CB that can be transmitted on CB out there (except maybe one or 2, and that's it!), SO which makes & model numbers do "100 watts" AM ?

Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE! Maybe you just imagined what you saw---can't you imagine that they did 1000 watts instead? Being a CB shop for over 23 years, I have not seen since 70's Yaesu's that did over 100 watts AM ! Am I right or did I miss some new stealth models that only Hambos get from their Ham stores?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1590. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 03:25:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144131

Dave or Darby wrote:

> Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!

To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.

1591. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 03:41:44 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3judnS73VLyZjUqgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144126

"Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3DD6F1A8.8329C945@erols.com...
: also if you are not putting enuf watts from the cb to the amp you would
also get
: low output

He said he tried it with another CB, he also is using it with a cigarette
lighter plug, as well as pluging into his cigarette lighter with the radio
quite the voltage drop waiting to happen, along with warm wires from drawing
too much current.

:
: Perculator wrote:
:
: > "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
: > news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: > : I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
: > : supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter.
The
: > SWR
: > : was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not
get
: > : anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because
the
: > : lack of output might be some error on my part.
: > :
: > : To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter
in
: > my
: > : car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
: > : adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to
the
: > : gauge of wire I used.
: > :
: > : Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high
because
: > : of a lack of dc input power?
: > :
: > : P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power
output of
: > : 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
: >
: > CB amps are Illegal to use btw, How many amp fuse does the cigarette
: > lighter have? what guage wire did you use. I am sure with more voltage
you

: > will see more wattage.
:

1592. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 04:08:46 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7yCdnVHNaKDHi0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144127

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd6ee55.2587516@news.cet.com...
: In <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie
: <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: <snip>
: >> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
: >> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
: >> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
: >> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.

Many broadcast transmitters do indeed run grounded grid. (see the links
furnished below)

"the grounded grid triode design offers inherently greater stability, better
performance and is less critical in operation than its tetrode counterparts
since it requires no neutralization or additional energy consuming power
supplies."

: >Know of one on the air right now honking away on 95.3 from Mt Vaca.
: >I helped put the station on the air. Has an 8877 tube, the one
: >you can operate with no parasitic suppression because of the way
: >the tube was designed.
:
: The AN/FRT-39B doesn't do FM, doesn't go above 28 MHz, and doesn't use
: an 8877, so you must be talking about some other grounded-grid
: broadcast transmitter. But you are claiming that you are getting 10 kW
: FM from a grounded-grid 8877? Must have been because of your ability
: to 'revise the facts'. LOL!!!

http://www.qei-broadcast.com/products/transmitors/3to10kw.htm
http://www.cca.ws/pages/products/default.htm
http://www.bauertx.com/used.htm

1593. Re: F.S. Sears 40 Channel mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 04:25:03 UTC
From: Carl <vortec02@msn.com>
Message-ID: <eMTEPFfjCHA.1504@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 144128

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DD6AC61.379EE0BB@bubbles.com...
>
>
> Carl wrote:
> >
> > I have a sears 40 channel mobile for sale. it has the upd 858 chip in
it.
> > the radio has a sears power mic. the radio is stock. asking 35.00+
shipping.
> > Thanks for reading. carl vortec02@msn.com
>
> Is it sideband?
no just am. Carl

1594. Butterfly Beam Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 05:25:02 UTC
From: Demitri Garazha <vze2cd4i@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <OKFB9.6027$aB1.1801@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 144129

Two element compact size antenna-designed for the amateur with limited space Wingspan: 12 ft. 6 in. (3.8 m) Boom Length: 6 ft. (1.8 m) Turning Radius: 6 ft. 11 in. (2.1 m) Vertical Spreaders: 6 ft. (1.8 m) Shipping weight: 22 lbs. (10 kg) Gain: 3+ dBd 20 m, up to 5 dBd on all other bands except 17 m which acts as a rotary dipole Front-to-back: up to 20 dB Front-to-side: up to 30 dB Can demonstrate in air.Brand new cost around 400-600$.I sell 180$. Antenna is in very good condition.Looks like new. ak2p@qsl.net

1595. henry 2k-3 floor model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 05:27:27 UTC
From: Demitri Garazha <vze2cd4i@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3NFB9.6032$aB1.4187@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 144130

henry 2k-3 floor model

It was modified to increase power. I replaced rectifiers.Add new plate transformer. It can give you 1 Ampere with almost 4KV on anode on any band.(80-10M). Heavy duty amplifier.Pick up only in NY area.Can demonstrate on any band.People give me 20 db plus,when I use it.Wired for 220V. Comes with spare tube 3-500Z.I think of 800$. If interested - ak2p@qsl.net

1596. Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 05:38:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <Nobody>
Message-ID: <3dd67e51$1_3@anonymous>
Source record: 144132

I'm working on a friends Cobra 142 GTL. The issue I'm attempting to solve is muddy, bassy modulation. It sounds like all the audio frequencies from about 750-1k up are filtered out of the transmit modulation. Other then this the radio works fine. Excellent RF carrier etc. I changed the AN612 audio chip hoping that was it but no improvement. I also changed out the 47uf cap between pin 9 and 10 on TA7222AP per another techs suggestion with no improvement. The radio was talking fine one day and just started sounding like this. Any suggestions on solving this would be great.

Thanks ....

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1597. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 05:42:58 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021117004258.07065.00000857@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 144133

>From: "Matt" jokermatt@ameritech.net
>I an looking to get a base antenna for my >attic.
Try eBay or Yahoo auctions....3-4 ft micro antenna's...$25.....Good
luck..sometimes
dipoles are better for attics.

"Got RF?"

1598. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 05:45:46 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021117004546.07065.00000860@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 144134

>From: "Tom" nospam@tampabay.rr.com
>To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to >one cigarette lighter adapter in my
>car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I >added a cigarette lighter
>adapter on the amp for this test and may >have not paid attention to the
>gauge of wire I used.
Tom, you really need to step back and do some studying before you do another
test that way.....man...cigarette lighter outlets....sounds like a
troll.....baddd

"Got RF?"

1599. Re: henry 2k-3 floor model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 06:08:19 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <POydnchRkJzCr0qgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144135

"Demitri Garazha" <vze2cd4i@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:3NFB9.6032$aB1.4187@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
: henry 2k-3 floor model
:
: It was modified to increase power. I replaced rectifiers.Add new plate
: transformer. It can give you 1 Ampere with almost 4KV on anode on any
: band.(80-10M). Heavy duty amplifier.Pick up only in NY area.Can
demonstrate
: on any band.People give me 20 db plus,when I use it.Wired for 220V. Comes
: with spare tube 3-500Z.I think of 800$. If interested - ak2p@qsl.net

Demitri, I think it would better to advertise this amp in a amatuer NG,
Cbers can't use amps.

1600. The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 06:16:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144136

Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?

LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1601. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 07:01:47 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037516627.687365@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144137

Dude !------------- You are STUPID !
"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021117004546.07065.00000860@mb-ml.aol.com...
> >From: "Tom" nospam@tampabay.rr.com
> >To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to >one cigarette lighter adapter
in my
> >car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I >added a cigarette lighter
> >adapter on the amp for this test and may >have not paid attention to the
> >gauge of wire I used.
> Tom, you really need to step back and do some studying before you do
another
> test that way.....man...cigarette lighter outlets....sounds like a
> troll.....baddd
>
>
> "Got RF?"

1602. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 08:57:48 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gSIB9.27154$WL3.11156@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144138

You mean him/her/it don't you?

Dave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave or Darby wrote:
>
> > Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> > really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!
>
> To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
> is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
> the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.

1603. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 09:04:19 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nYIB9.26831$__1.15395@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144139

Damn usenet. Damn spelling mistakes. Damn it's early.

Dave

1604. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 09:15:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD75E14.E0FDD5C7@cwis.net>
Source record: 144140

Dave,
Actually, I do fix old Fords. Right now I'm working
on a '51 F-1. Got it almost stripped down to paint it
and put in a new motor (the old motor lasted for over
50 years and lord knows how many miles). Got rid of the
vacuum wippers, putting on power brakes, then a new bed.
I've also done a '52 Chevy, and a '66 Coupe de Ville,
wish I had had the '66 back (wish I had the '52 also!),
but I'm a sucker for large denomination bills waved
under my nose.
'Doc

PS - Friends don't let friends drive Chevys. Are you a
Chevy driver?

1605. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 10:37:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dd76f7e.35651927@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144141

In <7yCdnVHNaKDHi0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com>, "Perculator"
<rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd6ee55.2587516@news.cet.com...
>: In <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie
>: <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com> wrote:
>:
>: <snip>
>: >> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
>: >> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
>: >> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
>: >> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.
>
>Many broadcast transmitters do indeed run grounded grid. (see the links
>furnished below)

The question was how many I have seen. Like I said, I haven't seen any
grounded-grid BC transmitters, save the exceptions I mentioned. But I
DIDN'T say they don't exist.

Now perhaps you can explain why Skippy thinks an AB1 grounded-grid
doesn't require input signal power, or how he can get 10 kW FM from a
grounded-grid 8877?

>"the grounded grid triode design offers inherently greater stability, better
>performance and is less critical in operation than its tetrode counterparts
>since it requires no neutralization or additional energy consuming power
>supplies."

They do require less maintenance, but I don't agree with the claim of
better performance. On the average, the noise is a bit worse, they run
'hotter' and need replacing more often, and the overall power
requirement isn't any lower for the same output power.

1606. Re: henry 2k-3 floor model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 14:52:42 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117095242.27680.00005578@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 144142

Why not Perculator, cber's use these's for drivers all the time.

1607. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 14:56:08 UTC
From: 240-Robert <nohooch@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <05OB9.17781$NV.330998@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Here we go again --
First it was a bunch of whiners crying over a frequency chart, now it's XTALS (That's "crystals" for skeptical , pessimistic posters - namely Cheesecutter)
Quit yo cryin' and go somewhere else and cry!

You don't run Lou's business for him.

And, furthermore, Lou's post is not SPAM!!
It is fully relative to this newsgroup!

How many other newsgroups do you "attack" with your useless bickering!

We Know What Year It Is -- How 'bout You!
Where Are You , This Year?
What year do YOU choose to live in?
(RIGHT - Only YOU choose! No one else is gonna choose for YOU!)

I'm going to download that chart so I can check my radios.
So, why don't you stop me?
You have no RIGHT to stop me nor any of us CBers and Radio Operators from getting information that I need to maintain the operation of my radio!
(You are just "cruising for a bruising!")

How do you think it would be if all of the people in this world went around and do like you are doing now? HUH?!!
(don't wait for someone else to give you the answers -- YOU figure it out!!)

Your useless attempts to divert the topic of CB in 2002 is Futile!!
Let It Go!!
If this newsgroup is such a burden for you then take it off and get on with living and Accept It!

You are willfully destroying the interests of other CBers and Radio Operators who might need that chart so they can get their radios working again.

You have no business "attempting to change other people" nor "preach" to them about what year it is!

Start your own newsgroup if you wanna "cry" about others providing "very useful information!"

It seems that this society is plagued with hearing others reciting that meaningless "It's Useless Information" crap!

This society of human beings has become SO negative(-), that negative(-) sayings are all they that know and proudly recite without shame!

They despise positive(+) sayings!

If you ever studied, read books at all, the flow of current is from (-) to (+)!!

SO, Change The (-) Attitude!!!
Be (+)

It is Useless Information because IT's not being USED yet or not at all!

It is Useful Information when It IS USED!

(There's no such thing as "hasn't been"!)

This Is 240 - Robert, Out.

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0211070438.1dbf5dfe@posting.google.com...
> Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message news:<tamjsu04r706lti668t38tipu8au1jrpfo@4ax.com>...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >
> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details
> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com

> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **********
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> lou.....why even spam this newsgroup about this kind of
> stuff.....hell man.....this is the year 2002, not
> 1976.....get a grip....why does anyone need this info
> anyways.....hell if you want channels just buy a 10 meter radio
> and you can go from 26 to 32 mhz,.....no problema.....

1608. Re: Cobra 29 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 16:35:42 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <yzPB9.30188$__1.15934@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144144

MMan this is tempting. Stock radio. 4 watt input - 3 watt swing. 7 watt output. Advertising on the box.

After you have it tuned if not hacked. Average 4.5 to 7 watt dk (input) with an average output of 14 to 16 watts (swing). Now this all depends on your techs meter and honor.

Sometime, but not often you will see a cobra doing 20 watts on the "swang".

7 miles? Are you really getting 7 miles. With your stock radio. Not even an option here in the mountains. Or in the big city. OOPS I guess I injected my opinion here. But that is what our drivers tell us.

Good luck. Shoot skip the challenging way. Find a carrier and see how far you can go with a clean signal instead of a busted out overmodulated radio that sounds bad up close. Oh man, another one of my opinions.

Opinions expressed in my posts do not necessarily represent management. As a matter of fact, management would prefer I not post anything. Told me they would fire me.
"Keith" <Fk-U-2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26996-3DD6E669-18@storefull-2172.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I just bought a Cobra 29 LTD Classic and was wondering about increasing
the wattage...

If I'm not mistaken this unit puts out 4 watts, and has a range of 5 to
7 miles....

Can I increase to 20 watts, and what kind of range increase would it
be????

I have a Radio Shack high power mag mount CB/10m 72" antenna..

1609. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 16:40:40 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <cEPB9.30539$WL3.11123@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144145

My initial question would be Why would you abuse someone else's amp like
that. Secondly, why are you doing test?
Or are you a student and want to burn up your car, dad's car?
Not sure. Not too smart if you understand amperage draw. Current. Hot.
Melt. Starve poor amp. Take out transistors. Tear up Loaner amp. Back to
square one.

Motherly aint I?

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1037516627.687365@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Dude !------------ You are STUPID !
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20021117004546.07065.00000860@mb-ml.aol.com...
> > >From: "Tom" nospam@tampabay.rr.com
> > >To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to >one cigarette lighter adapter
> in my
> > >car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I >added a cigarette lighter
> > >adapter on the amp for this test and may >have not paid attention to
the
> > >gauge of wire I used.
> > Tom, you really need to step back and do some studying before you do
> another
> > test that way.....man...cigarette lighter outlets....sounds like a
> > troll.....baddd
> >
> >
> > "Got RF?"
>
>

1610. 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 16:45:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021117114549.07628.00005673@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144146

http://159.87.239.94/casedetail.asp?page=1&LastName=SWEENEY&FirstName=CHRIS&CourtID=All&CaseType=&CaseNumber=&CaseID=47104959&CourtName=Casa+Grande+Justice

1611. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 16:51:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021117115153.07628.00005676@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144197

at 50 watts you were probably modulating backwards since about 35 watts is the
most i should deadkey

>
>
>I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
>supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The SWR
>was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
>anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
>lack of output might be some error on my part.
>
>To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in my
>car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
>adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
>gauge of wire I used.
>
>Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
>of a lack of dc input power?
>
>P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
>30, 50, 51 were about the same.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1612. Re: The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:15:10 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AIedncH8f9k0U0qgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144198

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com...
: Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?
:
:
: LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Trolling aren't ya Randy? Looking for a response I see.

1613. Re: henry 2k-3 floor model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:15:48 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6L6cnaf5EcpNU0qgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144199

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021117095242.27680.00005578@mb-mf.aol.com...
: Why not Perculator, cber's use these's for drivers all the time.

I am impressed asswipe.

1614. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:16:41 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Z9QB9.11040$vM1.817120@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144200

You better believe it could...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
> of a lack of dc input power?

1615. Re: How do I know if my A 99 antenna is working correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:26:06 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OiQB9.11057$vM1.817665@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144201

You say damn a lot... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

See Brochure for SkyWave Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nYIB9.26831$__1.15395@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Damn usenet. Damn spelling mistakes. Damn it's early.
>
> Dave

1616. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:27:00 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IuWcnTifg7zyTEqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144202

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dd76f7e.35651927@news.cet.com...
: In <7yCdnVHNaKDHi0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com>, "Perculator"
: <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote:
:
: >
: ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3dd6ee55.2587516@news.cet.com...
: >: In <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie
: >: <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com> wrote:
: >:
: >: <snip>
: >: >> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
: >: >> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
: >: >> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of
those
: >: >> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.
: >
: >Many broadcast transmitters do indeed run grounded grid. (see the links
: >furnished below)
:
: The question was how many I have seen. Like I said, I haven't seen any
: grounded-grid BC transmitters, save the exceptions I mentioned. But I
: DIDN'T say they don't exist.

I understood it frank I was just pointing these out because I was looking at
them earlier in the day and remember they used G-G, I just went to history
in my Explorer folder ...

: Now perhaps you can explain why Skippy thinks an AB1 grounded-grid
: doesn't require input signal power, or how he can get 10 kW FM from a
: grounded-grid 8877?

Frank I not dare touch that with a 10' pole it seems skipp has his hands
full with it.

1617. Re: The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:27:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TjQB9.11058$vM1.818716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144203

Well Randy... you "pegged" the troll meter on that one.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

See Brochure for SkyWave Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?
>
>
> LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1618. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:30:22 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F9GcnbE7T5mkT0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144204

"Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
news:20021117114549.07628.00005673@mb-mn.aol.com...
: http://159.87.239.94/casedetail.asp?page=1&LastName=SWEENEY&FirstName=CHRI
: S&CourtID=All&CaseType=&CaseNumber=&CaseID=47104959&CourtName=Casa+Grande+
: Justice

Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal criminals

1619. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 17:35:54 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_rQB9.2574$fY3.282800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144205

So?????????//
"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:F9GcnbE7T5mkT0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> news:20021117114549.07628.00005673@mb-mn.aol.com...
> :
http://159.87.239.94/casedetail.asp?page=1&LastName=SWEENEY&FirstName=CHRI
> :
S&CourtID=All&CaseType=&CaseNumber=&CaseID=47104959&CourtName=Casa+Grande+
> : Justice
>
> Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal criminals
>
>
>

1620. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:18:33 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <utfna9hej4bn52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144207

Sure the truckers in the area's know better but when you listen to 19 most
of the morning truck runs are by guys with southern accents.(of course
southerners bitch more about NYC then the local guys do).
On this past Friday we had one on the FDR and one on the Jackie
Robinson...all before 8 am!
And on the BQE there is a sign telling trucks to exit at atlantic if over
12'2"...Without CB many would and that would be a nightmare. The sign is
totally bogus. The standard 13'6" truck needs to stay in the middle lane
past the Brooklyn bridge. The bogus sign does contribute to the charm of
driving in NYC:)
Sincerely
Ken
"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DD6CA1F.7F38BE39@optonline.net...
> ken,
> in all due respect the truckers in the area do know how to read road
signs.
> they are posted along the belt , southern, northern, sagtikos and cross
island
> (missed a few i'm sure). once every other month there may be an incident
but i
> doubt bangledesh has any worries about being compared to (though the 7-11
> operators might like to hear that)...lan and wan were not even mentioned
as the
> telecomms have other uses for the freqs...(btw, my line of work). one
other
> point, do some research and you will find the trucking industry (only
concerning
> the island) will be having serious competition if the rail line is run
under
> lower ny harbor from nj to brooklyn... 73, jim
>
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > CB is alive and well in NYC! And while I respect Jim's expertise there
are a
> > few things he has missed.
> > In NYC at least once a week a big truck hops on the parkway and gets
> > stuck at the first overpass. Without cb's that would jump to atleast 2 a
> > day. NYC would come to a screaching halt.
> > Some channels are used for recievers to communicate with trucks
waiting
> > to unload and are kept mostly quite out of common decency. Recieving
depts

> > learned to stay away from ch 6 and 11.
> > Trucking is THE lifeblood of the economy and cb's help the industry
> > greatly. A cb is a tool that helps truckers accomplish their jobs beter
and
> > safer. Ham radio is a toy...nothing more.
> > Industry is mostly interested in data throughput for wireless WAN's
and
> > LAN's and that means higher freq's. 27mhz is quite safe. Companies are
about
> > as interested in 27mhz as buying antique 300 baud modems for dialup.
> > Hams may know more about rf then cb'ers but for the most part are
> > ignorant of trucking and our economy and how they are related and how
> > essential cb's are for the industry. Every train and mass transit system
> > could brak down and it would be an inconvienence. A general truck strike
> > would reduce the US economy to that of Bengladesh within a week.
> > The cb spectrum is quite safe.
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
>

1621. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:34:42 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021117133442.24922.00005980@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 144208

>From: 'Doc w5lz@cwis.net
>PS - Friends don't let friends drive >Chevys. Are you a Chevy driver?
Mopar to ya Doc. That's Mo Power!
http://members.aol.com/joesroadrunner
Now that's muscle......

"Got RF?"

1622. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:36:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <utfob1cmmcdg03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144211

The key is to have a valid argument then insert something outragous like"Ham is mearly a toy" that is semi-valid(hobby=expensive toy) and semi-insulting. Timing is important also. Such posts need to occur when the "Ban CB" nuts are actively posting.
Ham is a great hobby and does serve the community in times of disaster. CB is both a tool and has been a jumping off point for future Hams. And can be an enjoyable hobby on it's own.
Imagine gun owners started with the rifle crowd yelling "ban the shotgun" and the shotgun crowd doing the same. They would look silly. Trolls work best in silly waters:)
73 from Hazleton Pa
Sincerly
Ken

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:ut8oac8nai9ia7@corp.supernews.com...
> Kenneth, if I ever need trolling lessons, what do you charge? You sure got
> a lot of 'em on this one ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "
>
>

1623. Decent (and not too expensive) cb for a beginner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:37:46 UTC
From: Greg <curlyREMOVETHIS@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <sdoftucovl0e223urtvu24tdntuq1tfagf@4ax.com>
Source record: 144209

Thinking about getting a cb for the car. I do a lot of driving,
thought it might be fun to have one. Any recommendations for an
inexpensive setup for a beginner?

1624. Re: MURS gets boost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:39:37 UTC
From: mryan <mryan301@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <InRB9.31477$WL3.12141@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"HI" is the telegrafhic "laugh". The poster was either an Amateur Radio
operator (HAM) pointing out something as humurous, or it was a typo.
<nomoreass@joe.mamas> wrote in message
news:k2fatuo3cpuku84acbm8gl8oopeh3rk2ll@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 16 Nov 2002 03:51:21 +1100, Barry OGrady wrote:
> >On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 19:18:10 -0500, "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> >>Good point... hi.
> >
> >Hello.
>
> i dont think thats what he ment
>

1625. Re: CB Base Station SEASON upon us INCREASING !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:45:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7deab_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144212

Which State are you in?
Dave

<earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:ls1etuck4f3unu2ovn19meb5rte4i47ofc@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 16 Nov 2002 21:50:06 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> >Anyone else notice any increase of sales of Base Stations or antennas?
>
> Yep. It increased slightly when I made my purchase recently.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1626. Re: Decent (and not too expensive) cb for a beginner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:47:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7df00$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144213

Uniden Pro-510 or PC-68 or 68LTW (with weather channels). Anything else,
you lose $$$ or quality.
Dave!

"Greg" <curlyREMOVETHIS@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:sdoftucovl0e223urtvu24tdntuq1tfagf@4ax.com...
> Thinking about getting a cb for the car. I do a lot of driving,
> thought it might be fun to have one. Any recommendations for an
> inexpensive setup for a beginner?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1627. Re: The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:48:42 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7df49_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144214

Whos Aunti owns what? I thought the cia invented it !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TjQB9.11058$vM1.818716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well Randy... you "pegged" the troll meter on that one.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> See Brochure for SkyWave Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?
> >
> >
> > LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1628. Mom, Apple Pie, Chevrolet and NO Fords!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:54:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e09c_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144215

Yo can't even spell "Chivvy" let alone drive one. Henry Ford was a great American and he would BARF on all the JUNK ford has made since the 70's ! Just don't get Mope involved in this thread!
You know what is common to both my car fixers in 2 different states: They BOTH say that fixing fords is a BIG PAIN and never buy one! I is dun with them, since I had a 57 Merc, which I got in '77, and was the only good one I've seen. An old black man that worked in the Cleveland engine plant agreed with me, that the 56 Crown Vic wit da glass top is da best one dey ever made!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DD75E14.E0FDD5C7@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> Actually, I do fix old Fords. Right now I'm working
> on a '51 F-1. Got it almost stripped down to paint it
> and put in a new motor (the old motor lasted for over
> 50 years and lord knows how many miles). Got rid of the
> vacuum wippers, putting on power brakes, then a new bed.
> I've also done a '52 Chevy, and a '66 Coupe de Ville,
> wish I had had the '66 back (wish I had the '52 also!),
> but I'm a sucker for large denomination bills waved
> under my nose.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Friends don't let friends drive Chevys. Are you a
> Chevy driver?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1629. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:55:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e0f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144216

My 9' metal ground plane with radials WILL be better for the attic, IF it will fit, which it may!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20021117004258.07065.00000857@mb-ml.aol.com...
> >From: "Matt" jokermatt@ameritech.net
> >I an looking to get a base antenna for my >attic.
> Try eBay or Yahoo auctions....3-4 ft micro antenna's...$25.....Good
> luck..sometimes
> dipoles are better for attics.
>
>
> "Got RF?"

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1630. Re: Decent (and not too expensive) cb for a beginner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 18:57:44 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e168_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144217

No, Mope, no AXL package. Cheap junk mag mount in it. He needs a $35 or more American made magnet mount instead!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021117135040.759$XD@newsreader.com...
> curly@insightbb.com wrote:
> >> Thinking about getting a cb for the car. I do a lot of driving,
> > > thought it might be fun to have one. Any recommendations for an
> > > inexpensive setup for a beginner?
> > >
> Here's a link to a good CB site,check out the Uniden PRO-510AXL Package.
>
> http://www.bills2way.com/equip/CBradio.html
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1631. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:09:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD7E94F.E3015CA8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144235

Dave or Darby wrote:
>
> Nope; don't have to THINK like me. Just don't support communism or your
> buddies that do!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Never did.

1632. Wanted: Jopix Delos manual / schematic / info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:16:49 UTC
From: John B <k7rzf@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <BWRB9.31070$%m4.13152@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144219

Hi;
I am looking for a manual and schemetic, or copies of, for a Korean(?)
built Jopix Delos SSB-AM-FM, 6 - 9 Mhz 50 watt mobile transceiver.
A web search finds their 10/11 meter models popular worldwide, but I need
the info on this model. Will pay for copies or buy a manual.
Also would appreciate any leads on such or to people with experience with
these rigs.
Please respond to k7rzf@attbi.com direct and I will see before a newsgroup
post.

Thanks
John - K7RZF
Portland, OR

1633. Re: Decent (and not too expensive) cb for a beginner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:18:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e649_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144218

Maybe I is too American or too Hi-Tech--I don't want to sell JUNK or have
others BUY any junk. Unless the antenna is FREE (same price as a 510
without da magnet), then it ain't worth a Ford nickel, cause it is Chinese
made JUNK that will rust moe dan yo '66 has so far! (in 1/100th of da time)!
Dave!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021117140501.853$57@newsreader.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> > No, Mope, no AXL package. Cheap junk mag mount in it. He needs a $35
or
> > more American made magnet mount instead!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021117135040.759$XD@newsreader.com...
> > > curly@insightbb.com wrote:
> > > >> Thinking about getting a cb for the car. I do a lot of driving,
> > > > thought it might be fun to have one. Any recommendations for an
> > > > inexpensive setup for a beginner?
> > > >
> > > Here's a link to a good CB site,check out the Uniden PRO-510AXL
> > > Package.
> > >
> > > http://www.bills2way.com/equip/CBradio.html
> > >
> >
> He said "Any recommendations for an inexpensive setup for a beginner?"
>
> That set-up fits the bill !!!!!!
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1634. Re: Cobra 29 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:23:38 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e77a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144220

Forget the "Swang" nonsence ! The CB just can do 5-6 watts AM dead key and a watt or so on an AM meter when whistling into it. QUIT using SSB meters when yo whistle, and yo wont git np 15 or mo watts ! You modulation kit installers ARE the BUTCHER SAHOIPS of da CB world!!!!
DDave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (I can't see what I typed, so I can't correct it! I'd like to correct mod. kit installers WITH A CANE ! CAIN THEM !!!
@
"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:yzPB9.30188$__1.15934@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
MMan this is tempting. Stock radio. 4 watt input - 3 watt swing. 7 watt output. Advertising on the box.

After you have it tuned if not hacked. Average 4.5 to 7 watt dk (input) with an average output of 14 to 16 watts (swing). Now this all depends on your techs meter and honor.

Sometime, but not often you will see a cobra doing 20 watts on the "swang".

7 miles? Are you really getting 7 miles. With your stock radio. Not even an option here in the mountains. Or in the big city. OOPS I guess I injected my opinion here. But that is what our drivers tell us.

Good luck. Shoot skip the challenging way. Find a carrier and see how far you can go with a clean signal instead of a busted out overmodulated radio that sounds bad up close. Oh man, another one of my opinions.

Opinions expressed in my posts do not necessarily represent management. As a matter of fact, management would prefer I not post anything. Told me they would fire me.
"Keith" <Fk-U-2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26996-3DD6E669-18@storefull-2172.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I just bought a Cobra 29 LTD Classic and was wondering about increasing
the wattage...

If I'm not mistaken this unit puts out 4 watts, and has a range of 5 to
7 miles....

Can I increase to 20 watts, and what kind of range increase would it
be????

I have a Radio Shack high power mag mount CB/10m 72" antenna..

1635. Re: Wanted: Jopix Delos manual / schematic / info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:26:27 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7e827_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144221

Sounds like an N8 approved hammer & sickle Radyo ! I KNOW this ain't no CB
!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"John B" <k7rzf@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:BWRB9.31070$%m4.13152@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Hi;
> I am looking for a manual and schemetic, or copies of, for a Korean(?)
> built Jopix Delos SSB-AM-FM, 6 - 9 Mhz 50 watt mobile transceiver.
> A web search finds their 10/11 meter models popular worldwide, but I need
> the info on this model. Will pay for copies or buy a manual.
> Also would appreciate any leads on such or to people with experience with
> these rigs.
> Please respond to k7rzf@attbi.com direct and I will see before a
newsgroup
> post.
>
> Thanks
> John - K7RZF
> Portland, OR
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1636. Re: The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 19:48:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IoSB9.1484$8D.123298@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144206

60 over S9?

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TjQB9.11058$vM1.818716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well Randy... you "pegged" the troll meter on that one.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> See Brochure for SkyWave Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?
> >
> >
> > LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>

1637. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:03:50 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GCSB9.11298$vM1.837324@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144222

Wow... you have a tall attic.
Do you live in the "gingerbread" house?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd7e0f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> My 9' metal ground plane with radials WILL be better for the attic, IF it
> will fit, which it may!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1638. Re: Wanted: Jopix Delos manual / schematic / info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:05:29 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dESB9.11300$vM1.837825@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144223

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd7e827_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Sounds like an N8 approved hammer & sickle Radyo ! I KNOW this ain't no
CB
> !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "John B" <k7rzf@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:BWRB9.31070$%m4.13152@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Hi;
> > I am looking for a manual and schemetic, or copies of, for a
Korean(?)
> > built Jopix Delos SSB-AM-FM, 6 - 9 Mhz 50 watt mobile transceiver.
> > A web search finds their 10/11 meter models popular worldwide, but I
need
> > the info on this model. Will pay for copies or buy a manual.
> > Also would appreciate any leads on such or to people with experience
with
> > these rigs.
> > Please respond to k7rzf@attbi.com direct and I will see before a
> newsgroup
> > post.
> >
> > Thanks
> > John - K7RZF
> > Portland, OR
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1639. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:20:39 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD7FA17.1222CC83@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144224

Try a complete alignment.

Anonymous wrote:
>
> I'm working on a friends Cobra 142 GTL. The issue I'm attempting to solve
> is muddy, bassy modulation. It sounds like all the audio frequencies from
> about 750-1k up are filtered out of the transmit modulation. Other then
> this the radio works fine. Excellent RF carrier etc. I changed the AN612
> audio chip hoping that was it but no improvement. I also changed out the
> 47uf cap between pin 9 and 10 on TA7222AP per another techs suggestion with
> no improvement. The radio was talking fine one day and just started
> sounding like this. Any suggestions on solving this would be great.
>
> Thanks ....
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1640. Re: Cobra 29 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:23:13 UTC
From: Fk-U-2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22456-3DD7FAB1-266@storefull-2175.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144229

Thanks for your input...
I'm a newbie in the art of CB'ing (obviously)...
So, I gather from your post that I should leave well enough alone...

Thanks again.....

1641. Ham Radio $150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:24:05 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037564774.78789@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144225

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: FS: Kenwood TS-120 hf rig $150.00
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2002 10:37 AM

I have a Kenwood TS-120s Transistorized HF rig for $150.00 plus shipping.
It comes with original box , manual and power cord
The radio does have a problem.
It works great on 20,40,80 but does not work on 10,15.
I can be emailed at dogyham@hotmail.com if interested
73"

1642. Re: Wanted: Jopix Delos manual / schematic / info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:39:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7f93f$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144226

YOU tell them Giffey!
Dave!
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:dESB9.11300$vM1.837825@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> lol...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd7e827_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Sounds like an N8 approved hammer & sickle Radyo ! I KNOW this ain't no
> CB
> > !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "John B" <k7rzf@attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:BWRB9.31070$%m4.13152@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Hi;
> > > I am looking for a manual and schemetic, or copies of, for a
> Korean(?)
> > > built Jopix Delos SSB-AM-FM, 6 - 9 Mhz 50 watt mobile transceiver.
> > > A web search finds their 10/11 meter models popular worldwide, but I
> need
> > > the info on this model. Will pay for copies or buy a manual.
> > > Also would appreciate any leads on such or to people with experience
> with
> > > these rigs.
> > > Please respond to k7rzf@attbi.com direct and I will see before a
> > newsgroup
> > > post.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > John - K7RZF
> > > Portland, OR
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1643. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:42:42 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7fa00_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144227

I'm sure N8 & the Pinkos will love this!
Dave!

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:2il8tusjnuphq00acka9umam9f42ti1k0j@4ax.com...
> Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This
> deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to
> stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description
> of this and other items now on sale:
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@c
bcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didnt' already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,
> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International

> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1644. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:45:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7faba_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144228

YOU tell them Chubby Checker Twist!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3472-3DD50830-21@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> JerryO wrote:
> >THAT is the attraction of Amateur Radio; the >experimentation and
> building. And there is >room to
> >get away from the things one doesn't like. >"Cool" mods? Most of the
> so-called mods for >CB are
> >illegal and cause interference to other CBers >or adjacent services.
> >J
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> That can be construed as having about as much truth as "most" hammies
> come here only to give cbers a hard time.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1645. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now?? Ch. 19 of course!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:57:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7fd63_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144230

Channel 19 (27.185 for you Hambobos & SW listeners) IS where the Truckers, steering wheel holders & spacers are. Us high speed 4 wheelers are there too. 19 IS the eyes of the road, except on da left coast, where they use 17 from north to south, because like everything else the liberals do, they PERVERT it ! Could comeone that KNOWS in Californy tell me WHY did they come up with North & south roads or ALL roads in Cal. use 17? Is it because of the conjestion on 19 in LA (and I don't mean Lower Alabama) on 19 in da 70's warranted da change? MORE comments below each point below for MORE laughs!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"jabb3rw0cky" <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message news:8354e2f6.0211151826.5a1a98aa@posting.google.com...
> > Mike Roe Farad wrote:
> > > I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear any
> > > traffic on channel 19 anymore.

That's because YOU have SWR or antenna system problems and can't receive on yo $5 CB antenna! Maybe you is a pico-Farad!

>
> weird, it's there for ME.
>
> i tend to hear CB traffic as follows:
>
> Channel 17 North/South freeway traffic and trucks (except in
> California where all traffic is on 17)
> Channel 19 East/West traffic and trucks
> Channel 30 and above SSB (There seems to be a bootlegger's gentleman's
> agreement that long distance SSB be done above channel 30)

No, it ain't 30--it's above 34 !

>
>
> i just finished a 4-hour run and there was nothing but chit-chat and
> traffic-reports on 19...
>
> > > Have the majority of them moved to a new
> > > "freeband" frequency?

NO ! Dey is on 19 ! Was your "run" rinnin' from da cops? !

>
> not really, although i used to hear some licensed HAM/truck drivers on
> amateur VHF "state-nets" last year...

>
> > >It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> > > talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel 19.
> > > But I just can't find where they're talking.

Spin the dial, Sunny, and you'll find them. If not, spin it a few
more times and you'll find them!

>
> maybe they're off-frequency? too much gain? crappy mod? who knows?

I do !

>
> CB Channel 19 is alive and well in the Dallas/Austin/San Antonio I-35
> corridor...if you don't believe me, turn the dial to it, then wreck
> your car into a jersey barrier on the interstate...

A "jersey barrier"? Is this a Jersey Cow barrier?

>
> while you're waiting for the police to get to you (they have to drive
> through the 700 cars and trucks you just piled up behind you), turn up
> your CB radio and listen to all the truck drivers talk about your
> shitty driving...

Well START slowing down and DRIVING BETTER and they won't talk about
you ! Just think--they see you IDIOTS from up high in theire seat, and it
must be SO bad that they have to talk about you!
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1646. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 20:58:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7fdad_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144231

Nope; don't have to THINK like me. Just don't support communism or your
buddies that do!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave or Darby wrote:
>
> > Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> > really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!
>
> To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
> is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
> the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1647. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:06:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8xTB9.11371$vM1.844133@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144233

In looking at the schematic for the amplifier... I see it has no bias for
AM. Why?
I also think that the bias source, through a 20 Ohm resistor is not capable
of maintaining forward bias at higher drive levels.
I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
impedances of those two devices is considerably different.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> news:2il8tusjnuphq00acka9umam9f42ti1k0j@4ax.com...
> > Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This
> > deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to
> > stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description
> > of this and other items now on sale:

1648. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:07:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd7ffc4_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144232

WHAT kind of DRUGS are you on, a NON-CB'er, to make such a statement as what
I cut out below? Is it your JOB on this NG to BASH CB on every front
possible? IF you can't promote CB like a lot of us do, and realize the GOOD
aspects of it, GET OFF the CB NG, then go BUY a CB radio so you can have
standing here, and maybe we'll let you back on da CB NewsGroup!
CB is VERY VITAL to trucking. In this Govt. controlled/Fed. Reserve caused
DEPRESSION we are in, do you think truck drivers can afford the high priced
VHF radios as compared to CB's? NO they can't!
Dave!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ut67br58t38f80@corp.supernews.com...\

> organizations. CB is NOT vital to trucking. The same thing can be done
on

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1649. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:09:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd80063_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144234

I believe what I cut below from his SPEWWAGE could be considered "HATE"
speach !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...

> Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one
that
> is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster?
CB
> is NOT. Why is it that Amateur Radio
> has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local zoning laws against antennas?
CB
> is NOT included.
> Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local scanner laws? CBers are
NOT.
> Why is is that
> Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement (PL106-521)? CBers are the
FOCUS
> of said law.
> Why is there now a Bill awaiting the 2003 session to exempt Amateurs from
> neighborhood cove-nants? CBers get NO such protection. Why do hams enjoy
> the use of spectrum from the bottom
> to the "top"? CBers are legally restricted to 40 channels. Toy? yes.
CB
> a toy? yes. But Amateur
> Radio enjoys a FAR higher rung on the ladder when it comes to Congress and
> emergency services
> organizations. CB is NOT vital to trucking. The same thing can be done
on
> VHF or UHF. You just don't want your ability to interfere with adjacent
> services curtailed and your precious "skip" to
> be taken away!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1650. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:12:46 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd8010d_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144236

Below cut is A LIE ! If dey be a disaster, da gov don't care what freq. yo radyo has, dey need communications no matter what freqs., if theirs is gone! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...

> Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one that
> is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster? CB
> is NOT.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1651. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:14:43 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd80182_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144237

Whatch yo talkin' about, mad woofer?
Dave!

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:aqvvv8$drrod$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> >100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
> >50 watts output on 70cm,
> >10 watts output on 1.2 GHz
>
> That's what i'm talking about......
> --
> KG4LBD
> aka 714 Sandpile
> aka Mad Dog
> "Cris" <Luv2roc@2bspamfree.com> wrote in message
> news:ge96tucd32hkvesd0hob33u9nh8tj91b98@4ax.com...
> > On 14 Nov 2002 02:41:11 GMT, lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou (Lowrider667)
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>People want to talk on the radio -- Not to talk to, NOR hear, some
> dumbass
> > >>yell with an echo/reverb "toy"" with the mic gain turned up!
> > >>Listen to the Amateur radio and MARS nets on HF.
> > >>When do they YELL?
> > >>When do you EVER hear an echo/reverb toy on their radios ?
> >
> > hmmm... I guess if I had priviledges to use a HF rig, I wouldn't have
> > the need to yell either.
> >
> > >100 watts output on HF, 6 and 2 meters.
> > >50 watts output on 70cm,
> > >10 watts output on 1.2 GHz
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1652. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:40:52 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <E1UB9.315277$S8.6222634@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144238

I reworked the input power for the amp directly off of the battery using the wiring supplied with the amp. There was very little change in the output. I did determine the output of the CB itself is only 2 watts using the PEP setting on my power meter.

Note that the other readings were taken as PEP as well.

The question now becomes if the Cobra is not putting out sufficient power would these power readings from the amp make sense. In other words does a power reading shown in the subject line make sense for a Palomar 225 and a 2 watt input?

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:jBBB9.59966$QZ.9687@sccrnsc02...
> Wire it to the battery. That thing is current starved.
>
>
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> > supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
> SWR
> > was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not
get
> > anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because
the
> > lack of output might be some error on my part.
> >
> > To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter
in
> my
> > car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> > adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> > gauge of wire I used.
> >
> > Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high
because
> > of a lack of dc input power?
> >
> > P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output
of
> > 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
> >
> >

>
>
>

1653. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:40:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021117164057.23905.00000016@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 144239

>Subject: Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

>From: "Perculator" rcranus@hotmail.com

>Freebanders = Federal criminals = Dave Hall

1654. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 21:42:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-9
Message-ID: <20021117164256.23905.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 144240

professor did it look better than your skywave amps?

>In looking at the schematic for the amplifier... I see it has no bias for
>AM. Why?
>I also think that the bias source, through a 20 Ohm resistor is not capable
>of maintaining forward bias at higher drive levels.
>I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
>of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
>be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
>impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
>
>--
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>
>View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

1655. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:26:18 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD8178A.27738DD0@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144241

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Whatch yo talkin' about, mad woofer?

I got mad woofers. They buzzin'!

1656. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:33:05 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD81921.73BF5466@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144242

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> In looking at the schematic for the amplifier... I see it has no bias for
> AM. Why?
> I also think that the bias source, through a 20 Ohm resistor is not capable
> of maintaining forward bias at higher drive levels.
> I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
> of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
> be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
> impedances of those two devices is considerably different.

And the same difference occurs between the 2SC2290 and the 2SC2879.

1657. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:36:12 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD819DC.46FD435@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144243

Tom wrote:
>
> I reworked the input power for the amp directly off of the battery using the
> wiring supplied with the amp. There was very little change in the output.
> I did determine the output of the CB itself is only 2 watts using the PEP
> setting on my power meter.
>
> Note that the other readings were taken as PEP as well.
>
> The question now becomes if the Cobra is not putting out sufficient power
> would these power readings from the amp make sense. In other words does a
> power reading shown in the subject line make sense for a Palomar 225 and a 2
> watt input?

Did you read Bill E.'s AM power FAQ yet? The idea is to have a four to one
dead key to maximum modulation ratio. If the maximum PEP out of the amp is
200 watts, the dead key should be 50 watts maximum.

1658. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:44:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd8167b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144244

I don't, but some old houses have that much heighth in their attic I have
been in, or an upstairs that can have a 9' whip in it with radials!
Dave!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:GCSB9.11298$vM1.837324@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Wow... you have a tall attic.
> Do you live in the "gingerbread" house?
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd7e0f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > My 9' metal ground plane with radials WILL be better for the attic, IF
it
> > will fit, which it may!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1659. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:45:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd816d2_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144245

ACTUALLY Rat Shack says that IF you got questions, THEY have answers! But
not on this one!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3ACB9.510$Kw6.368732@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Are radioshack products any good? I see some people busting on them. Does
> anyone know of a good cb radio store in the naperville (chicago) illinois
> area. I an looking to get a base antenna for my attic.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1660. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 22:49:25 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD81CF5.C7CAE9DF@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144246

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> ACTUALLY Rat Shack says that IF you got questions, THEY have answers! But
> not on this one!

RadioShack. You have questions, we have dumb looks. ï¿½

1661. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 23:34:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211171534.7bf26434@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144247

"Perculator" <the phoney rcranus@hotmail.com> who is really
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ in Allentown, PA, spewed
news:<F9GcnbE7T5mkT0qgXTWcqA@comcast.com>...
> "Pimp Slap" <timnebo@aol.com10-9> wrote in message
> news:20021117114549.07628.00005673@mb-mn.aol.com...
> : http://159.87.239.94/casedetail.asp?page=1&LastName=SWEENEY&FirstName=CHRI
> : S&CourtID=All&CaseType=&CaseNumber=&CaseID=47104959&CourtName=Casa+Grande+
> : Justice
>
> Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal criminals

So what? They still haven't shut him down. He's still holding forth on
the "triple nickle" as if he were Art Bell. What's your point,
Georgie-girl?

1662. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 23:36:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211171536.5438164f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144248

JJ <radioduh@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net>...
> Dave or Darby wrote:
>
> > Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> > really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!
>
> To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
> is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
> the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.

Typically, JJ can't stay on topic and answer the question! What radio
can do 100 watts AM on 11 meters?

1663. Re: Cobra 29 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 23:37:08 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <EKVB9.70256$QZ.11238@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144249

Until you get into it. Just be careful. There are a lot of shops out there that are interested in taking your money. But there are some honest shops out there. You got my point. I always tell my new cb customers to run it like it is until you are certain its what you want to do. The key is the antenna.

I did mention the "swang" word because I would figure that about oh mmmm what would you say DAVE. Over 80% of the users out there are looking for maximum "swang".

Dave simply change the color of your font and you will be able to see what you typed. To correct your spelling simply highlight your text you cannot see and then you can see it to correct it. Your welcome.

Have a wonderful day, both of you. Remember if you think you know it all, and don't listen to others with discernment, then you have stopped experiencing life as it should be experienced. Learning is growing. If you stop growing then you are too old and just might not make it out of bed tomorrow. :)

God Bless.

Deb
"Keith" <Fk-U-2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22456-3DD7FAB1-266@storefull-2175.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Thanks for your input...
I'm a newbie in the art of CB'ing (obviously)...
So, I gather from your post that I should leave well enough alone...

Thanks again.....

1664. Re: Workman rodger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-17 23:48:18 UTC
From: CB Equals IQ of 5 <iq5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1kagtu4casicuu4b99m88f4jtdc5c81d5v@4ax.com>
Source record: 144250

Rodger is spelled "ROGER"...

ROGER DEE?

On 16 Nov 2002 15:54:01 GMT, carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) was
like:

>i baught a workman rodger beep and i'm having trouble installing it
>when installed my volume is low and when i use my ptt nothing happens ,no out
>put (wattage) so i disconnected everything and all is back normal
>
>does anyone know how to install one in a Realistic trc492
>
>All help welcome
>

1665. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:10:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82ac8_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144251

LOL ! YOU "Hitt" them!
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DD81CF5.C7CAE9DF@bubbles.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > ACTUALLY Rat Shack says that IF you got questions, THEY have answers!
But
> > not on this one!
>
> RadioShack. You have questions, we have dumb looks. &#65533;

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1666. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:12:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82b1a_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144252

Yeah Right!
Dave!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD7E94F.E3015CA8@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave or Darby wrote:
> >
> > Nope; don't have to THINK like me. Just don't support communism or your
> > buddies that do!
> > Dave!!
>
> Never did.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1667. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:15:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82be9_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144253

Only old Yaesu FT-101's and similar radios did over 100 AM dead key BIRD watts. But in the mind of a SICKO Demented CB Hatein' Hambo, even your Cobra 29 does 50 ! To the communist, EVERYTHING is Illegal ! To US Americans, Illegal is just a sick bird, NOT the meter!!! Dave!!!!!!!!!!

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c5ecbb69.0211171536.5438164f@posting.google.com...
> JJ <radioduh@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net>...
> > Dave or Darby wrote:
> >
> > > Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> > > really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!
> >
> > To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
> > is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
> > the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.
>
> Typically, JJ can't stay on topic and answer the question! What radio
> can do 100 watts AM on 11 meters?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1668. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:15:59 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XBCdnXuILKvRrEWgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144254

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: > Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal
criminals
:
: So what? They still haven't shut him down. He's still holding forth on
: the "triple nickle" as if he were Art Bell. What's your point,

My point is your a trolling Dickhead still, and what was nebro's point in
posting that info.

1669. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:16:59 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ehqdnc_NtMkarEWgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144256

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DD81921.73BF5466@bubbles.com...
:
:
: Brian Griffey wrote:
: >
: > In looking at the schematic for the amplifier... I see it has no bias
for
: > AM. Why?
: > I also think that the bias source, through a 20 Ohm resistor is not
capable
: > of maintaining forward bias at higher drive levels.
: > I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the
use
: > of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios
appear to
: > be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
: > impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
:
:
: And the same difference occurs between the 2SC2290 and the 2SC2879.

Yes and the turns ratio should be different with those as well

1670. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:18:35 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9uCcna-JzZ51rEWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144257

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

:
: Typically, JJ can't stay on topic and answer the question! What radio
: can do 100 watts AM on 11 meters?

Typical you change what was said no one mentioned 100 watts on Am just you dickhead trolling again I see.

1671. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:19:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82ce0$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144255

No Reality CASH:
I'm sure a CB shop would have radios on display tuned and set on 10 meters!
It said 27.185, NOT 28.185 ! WHO was the "arrogant" one there? The commy
"spy" that was seeing things? Did you take yo medicine before going there?
What is da side effects of yo medicine? !
Dave!!

"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
> Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> surprise except for the arrogance.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1672. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:27:52 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82ec6_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144258

Dey don't have a CLUE because it don't blow up perfectly straight at the speed they are flying @ (where the tip of it is straight up from the base of it), so dey is what da old black man calls it "WORM TALKERS:" Dey is shootin' all dare signal into da ground instead of vertically straight!! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3DD05C67.141B3FFA@qnet.com...
> Hello Randy:
>
> Whats the Black Coned shape antenans the truckers are now using??????
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Randy wrote:
>
> > The original intent was to tilt the antennas slightly forward, so that at
> > speed, they were vertical. This does have its merits. Nowadays though, it
> > seems to be done by some whom have no clue.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1673. Re: FS/FT 70s ERA FORD CB MICROPHONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:29:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd82f14_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144259

Motorola "Ford" piece of junk!
Dave!

"Galaxyelc" <galaxyelc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110120822.13220.00000082@mb-fb.aol.com...
> Have a CB microphone that was used in a 70s era ford vehicle,has about a
20 pin
> female plug,controls for scan,UP/DOWN/Volumne,NB,squelch,Has digital
readout
> for channels,anybody have a use for this??? make an offer/sale or
trade....BOB

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1674. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:33:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gyWB9.12707$%j3.8836@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144261

```
Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd7ffc4_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> WHAT kind of DRUGS are you on, a NON-CB'er, to make such a statement as
what
> I cut out below? Is it your JOB on this NG to BASH CB on every front
> possible? IF you can't promote CB like a lot of us do, and realize the
GOOD
> aspects of it, GET OFF the CB NG, then go BUY a CB radio so you can have
> standing here, and maybe we'll let you back on da CB NewsGroup!
> CB is VERY VITAL to trucking. In this Govt. controlled/Fed. Reserve
caused
> DEPRESSION we are in, do you think truck drivers can afford the high
priced
> VHF radios as compared to CB's? NO they can't!
>
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Last time I looked, FRS/MURS radios cost a whole lot less than those
"export" radios. Whassamatter, Dave? Afraid you won't be able to to cut it
if CB were put on VHF?

J

>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:ut67br58t38f80@corp.supernews.com...\
>
> > organizations. CB is NOT vital to trucking. The same thing can be done
> on
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02
```

1675. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:35:37 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%zWB9.12727$%j3.7424@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144262

Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd80063_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I believe what I cut below from his SPEWWAGE could be considered "HATE"
> speach !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one
> that
> > is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster?
> CB
> > is NOT. Why is it that Amateur Radio
> > has PRB-1 to assist hams in abating local zoning laws against antennas?
> CB
> > is NOT included.
> > Why is it that Amateurs are exempt from local scanner laws? CBers are
> NOT.
> > Why is is that
> > Amateurs are exempt from Local Enforcement (PL106-521)? CBers are the
> FOCUS
> > of said law.
> > Why is there now a Bill awaiting the 2003 session to exempt Amateurs
from
> > neighborhood cove-nants? CBers get NO such protection. Why do hams
enjoy
> > the use of spectrum from the bottom
> > to the "top"? CBers are legally restricted to 40 channels. Toy? yes.
> CB
> > a toy? yes. But Amateur
> > Radio enjoys a FAR higher rung on the ladder when it comes to Congress
and
> > emergency services
> > organizations. CB is NOT vital to trucking. The same thing can be done
> on
> > VHF or UHF. You just don't want your ability to interfere with adjacent
> > services curtailed and your precious "skip" to
> > be taken away!

OK, tell me what about it is NOT true? Prove to me when the TRUTH = hate
speech.

J

>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

1676. Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:40:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd831a5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144260

Fixed a TV antenna, or put up a Rotor. I did it recently and MAN did I feel how OLD I really am! I remember doing these things 20 years ago or so, but MAN did it take a lot out of me for a 1/2 day job! And the Pain, OH the pain (Dr. Smith died recently).

Any rachet Chimney mounts made anymore? I fixed an old one. I remember in the 80's when they quit making them. Just think of this scarry thought: No need to put up any more CB base or Ham antennas, TV, FM or anything on a tower or chimney. Everything you did, do, & say, was comming over yo grey dish satelite today! And to think I remember about hearing about "Antenna raising parties" where they put up moonraker 4's on a tower, or something else! WHO will teach the young whipper snappers like Dug YoDare how do do this kind of work? Who taught me? I guess it was God Given, in my blood! Anyone else have similar situations or rememberances? ! ! ! ! ! !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1677. Re: cb in NYC is alive and well

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:43:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XGWB9.12799$%j3.9841@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144264

Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd8010d_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Below cut is A LIE ! If dey be a disaster, da gov don't care what freq.
yo
> radyo has, dey need communications no matter what freqs., if theirs is
gone!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:d9SA9.16352$wO4.4551@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > Then I ask you once again, sir, why is it that Amateur Radio is the one
> that
> > is called upon to augment communications resources in time of disaster?
> CB
> > is NOT.

Then why does ARES and RACES have permanent desks at most EOC's from the
Federal
level down to local? Your REACT folks have trouble getting in many doors.
And the Fed cares
enough to enact exemptions to local restrictions (antennas) for hams that
won't apply to CBers.

Remember, Dave, these paragraphs were posted in response to the implied
meaning from Kenneth
that Amateur Radio is "only" a hobby. It IS a hobby, but it is MUCH more
than that, and is why CB occupies 40 channels (except for that which outlaws
steal for themselves), and Amateur is allotted WAY more than that.

J

>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

1678. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:44:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dd832ae_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"240-Robert" <nohooch@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:05OB9.17781$NV.330998@news.direcpc.com...

> > lou.....why even spam this newsgroup about this kind of
> > stuff.........hell man........this is the year 2002, not
> > 1976.........get a grip....why does anyone need this info
> > anyways..........hell if you want channels just buy a 10 meter radio
> > and you can go from 26 to 32 mhz,.........no problema..........
>
>
Yo mean it's NOT '76 any more? ! People that FIX and mess with radios need
the info, Sunny ! So don't be a DAM on da infurmation Stooper Hi-Way !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1679. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 00:47:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GKWB9.12833$%j3.476@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144265

Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd82ce0$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> No Reality CASH:
> I'm sure a CB shop would have radios on display tuned and set on 10
meters!
> It said 27.185, NOT 28.185 ! WHO was the "arrogant" one there? The commy
> "spy" that was seeing things? Did you take yo medicine before going
there?
> What is da side effects of yo medicine? !
> Dave!!

Go look at some of them: www.pacrradios.com, for example, has 'em on their
website tuned to
10 meters.

J

>
> "Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
> news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
> >
> > Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> > of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> > surprise except for the arrogance.
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

1680. Re: Mom, Apple Pie, Chevrolet and NO Fords!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 01:15:23 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <utgfljb3ttea38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144266

AIRCOOLED VW'S RULE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd7e09c_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yo can't even spell "Chivvy" let alone drive one. Henry Ford was a great
> American and he would BARF on all the JUNK ford has made since the 70's !
> Just don't get Mope involved in this thread!
> You know what is common to both my car fixers in 2 different states: They
> BOTH say that fixing fords is a BIG PAIN and never buy one! I is dun with
> them, since I had a 57 Merc, which I got in '77, and was the only good one
> I've seen. An old black man that worked in the Cleveland engine plant
> agreed with me, that the 56 Crown Vic wit da glass top is da best one dey
> ever made!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DD75E14.E0FDD5C7@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Dave,
> > Actually, I do fix old Fords. Right now I'm working
> > on a '51 F-1. Got it almost stripped down to paint it
> > and put in a new motor (the old motor lasted for over
> > 50 years and lord knows how many miles). Got rid of the
> > vacuum wippers, putting on power brakes, then a new bed.
> > I've also done a '52 Chevy, and a '66 Coupe de Ville,
> > wish I had had the '66 back (wish I had the '52 also!),
> > but I'm a sucker for large denomination bills waved
> > under my nose.
> > 'Doc
> >
> > PS - Friends don't let friends drive Chevys. Are you a
> > Chevy driver?
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1681. Re: The Anti's own the NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 01:28:46 UTC
From: DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH <nospam@noflame.com>
Message-ID: <bmXB9.17987$NV.335227@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144267

60 Over S9?
Hmmmm.
Sounds like what an EMT would read on a patient!! ;) ;) :) :) :) :)

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:IoSB9.1484$8D.123298@twister.austin.rr.com...
> 60 over S9?
>
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:TjQB9.11058$vM1.818716@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Well Randy... you "pegged" the troll meter on that one.
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> >
> > See Brochure for SkyWave Amplifier at:
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:cvGB9.28401$Yb.642714@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Teehee, hawhawhaw...you're kiddnig, right?
> > >
> > >
> > > LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1682. Re: Dumb Ass on 27.285

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 01:35:18 UTC
From: DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH <nospam@noflame.com>
Message-ID: <jsXB9.17991$NV.335194@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144268

"SLAM!! Dah-da-da! Dah-da-da! Let The Boys Be Boys!!!!"
Oh shit, that's the Jam right there!!
ONYX from way back in the early 90s!!

90s One Hit Wonders!! I Love 'EM!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021115201358.908$QK@newsreader.com...
> "DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >> Whatever.
> > >
> > > Don't flatter yourself.
> > > It wasn't that great of a blowjob you gave me, anyway.
> > > Oh, I faked every orgasm!
> > >
> > > You better go suck your momma's cock like you been doin' .
> > > Maybe you'll do better in that category!
> > >
> > >
> > If you're looking to get slammed,you're doing a good job.
> >
> > 64.157.32.154
> > X-Complaints-To: abuse@direcpc.com
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

1683. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 02:42:39 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <zsYB9.63573$NH2.4195@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 144269

Wow.....Dave.....You brought back the memories. I remember 30 years ago with my legs wrapped around the rotor, on a 60' tower, lowering one of the old Antenna Specialist "Boss 303s". It was 9 o'clock at night, in January.

Bill

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3dd831a5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Fixed a TV antenna, or put up a Rotor. I did it recently and MAN did I
> feel how OLD I really am! I remember doing these things 20 years ago or so,
> but MAN did it take a lot out of me for a 1/2 day job! And the Pain, OH the
> pain (Dr. Smith died recently).
>
> Any rachet Chimney mounts made anymore? I fixed an old one. I remember in
> the 80's when they quit making them. Just think of this scarry thought: No
> need to put up any more CB base or Ham antennas, TV, FM or anything on a
> tower or chimney. Everything you did, do, & say, was comming over yo grey
> dish satelite today! And to think I remember about hearing about "Antenna
> raising parties" where they put up moonraker 4's on a tower, or something
> else! WHO will teach the young whipper snappers like Dug YoDare how do do
> this kind of work? Who taught me? I guess it was God Given, in my blood!
> Anyone else have similar situations or rememberances? ! ! ! ! ! !
> Dave!!
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1684. It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 02:54:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144270

Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

********* message received below *******

Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch snipers

SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
Thursday, October 31, 2002

One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990 Chevrolet Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used his CB radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray for an end to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into an interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct. 24, he said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by the Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news conference he had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had become a hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and attends the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and got on his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told our congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized the truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the pre-arranged stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to "evil in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been terrorizing the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a description of the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which originates from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about the car police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area. "I seen one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when I pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow, Ky., his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz said he stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in to call 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to block the exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI , ATF, everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee Malvo, 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his way. When he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by family, friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned to WLWT for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by interviews with other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years, drives for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass Trucking in

Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his twice-a-week drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware and Ohio. According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the Nazarene in Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing his five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on the road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship group.Lantz's story touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife and adult daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the Lantzes' doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's unbelievable to me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very quiet life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it. Everybody in the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just living in an ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very different for us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good guys," but never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree. "It just goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a sniper shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture him."

1685. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:11:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utgmjo2t4hqi6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144271

Dave, I thought once you got old, you were supposed to get your ham license!
Oh well ... I see a 9 year old girl recently passed her extra .... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim (old as air and twice as polluted)

1686. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:16:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utgmt81tf9k37a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144272

Dave, no one in their right mind would use am these days ... of course, I'm left handed therefore I **am** in my right mind ... ;) Long gone are the high level plate modulation days.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3dd701de_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> No Reality Cash:
>
> First of all, there are NO "100+ watt rigs" that will get CB that can be
> transmitted on CB out there (except maybe one or 2, and that's it!), SO
> which makes & model numbers do "100 watts" AM ?
>
> Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE! Maybe you just imagined what
> you saw---can't you imagine that they did 1000 watts instead? Being a CB
> shop for over 23 years, I have not seen since 70's Yaesu's that did over 100
> watts AM ! Am I right or did I miss some new stealth models that only
> Hambos get from their Ham stores?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> "Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
> news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1687. Re: Angled antennas on trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:28:24 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <s7ZB9.27026$Kj1.826435@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144273

As usual, can't make heads or tails of this response.

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd82ec6_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Dey don't have a CLUE because it don't blow up perfectly straight at the
> speed they are flying @ (where the tip of it is straight up from the base
of
> it), so dey is what da old black man calls it "WORM TALKERS:" Dey is
> shootin' all dare signal into da ground instead of vertically straight!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DD05C67.141B3FFA@qnet.com...
> > Hello Randy:
> >
> > Whats the Black Coned shape antenans the truckers are now using??????
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > Randy wrote:
> >
> > > The original intent was to tilt the antennas slightly forward, so that
> at
> > > speed, they were vertical. This does have its merits. Nowadays though,
> it
> > > seems to be done by some whom have no clue.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1688. FS: Mattco 745 Frequency Counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:40:51 UTC
From: Sidevalve <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0ogtugvu5c3tdhto98mo581ivv0espjg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 144274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

FOR SALE:

Mattco 745 Frequency Counter

Works with any radio to measure and show your
operating frequency. Simply connects in line with your
antenna with a PL-259 jumper.

Has controls for ON-OFF, SENSITIVITY and MHz-KHz.

The digital readout will show, for example 27.185
in the MHz position or down two digits further
(if you want) in the kHz position.

Works at all power levels from 2 watts and up.

Requires 12V DC to operate. Fully tested and guaranteed.

Will sell for $125.00 plus $5.00 conus shipping

1689. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:41:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD86163.D7DBF0C9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144280

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> JJ <radioduh@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DD70C3A.654C14CD@mailcity.net>...
> > Dave or Darby wrote:
> >
> > > Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> > > really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE!
> >
> > To Davie and/or Darby anyone who doesn't think like them (and that
> > is most people, are commies. Somebody needs to hit him/her between
> > the eyes with that 6th plank, might get his/her attention.
>
> Typically, JJ can't stay on topic and answer the question! What radio
> can do 100 watts AM on 11 meters?

The Collins 32S-1 I used to have sure could. 4D32 tube operating
in real (not professor version) class C, with good high level
plate modulation, non of this swing stuff.

1690. VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:49:06 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <bpogtu4td5e1be0o3suife61b9sepbfm8r@4ax.com>
Source record: 144275

Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any help or suggestions appreciated at 100%

1691. Re: VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 03:54:48 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <jHKdnZ8JeMXq-UWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144276

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:bpogtu4td5e1be0o3suife61b9sepbfm8r@4ax.com...
> Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but
> it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose
> connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not
> loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no
> sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you
> cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh
> yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any
> help or suggestions appreciated at 100%

Could be a busted xtal in the synth, sometimes firing up.

1692. Yaesu final tubes for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 04:00:30 UTC
From: Sidevalve <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qbpgtus5sq0ii421238e6d99bckcepiptb@4ax.com>
Source record: 144277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE:

Pair of 6JS6C Tubes - brand new & in the boxes.

Available for $48.00 plus $5.00 shipping

E-mail me to arrange purchase.

1693. Re: VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 04:47:34 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DD870E6.C71881FE@webster.com>
Source record: 144278

A cold solder joint?

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" wrote:
>
> Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but
> it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose
> connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not
> loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no
> sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you
> cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh
> yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any
> help or suggestions appreciated at 100%

1694. FS Galaxy dx 959 with power echo mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 05:19:01 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fL_B9.23777$3j6.691011@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 144279

This radio is in good condition uesed in the house only.
Comes with cobra echo power mic original box stock mic mounting braket.
Has been tuned up.
$160 shipped u.s only

1695. Re: VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 06:06:53 UTC
From: ranger2950dx@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6424-3DD8837D-171@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144281

how old is the radio? email radio shack may they can help you out.or
your local ratshack can send it off for repair.

1696. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 06:10:04 UTC
From: ranger2950dx@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6425-3DD8843C-61@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144283

ok u like fords he ask for radioshack cb not a car or truck.

1697. Leonids to peak Tuesday Morn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 06:39:44 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD88B30.46AA521E@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144282

Don't miss it!

http://home.mindspring.com/%7Efjr1/

1698. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 06:52:56 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <c70C9.18126$I8.7307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 144284

Nice to see some good press. Thanks Jim.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com...
> Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> ********* message received below *******
>
> Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
> Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch snipers
>
>
> SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
> Thursday, October 31, 2002
>
>
>
> One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990 Chevrolet
> Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used his
CB
> radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray for an
end
> to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into an
> interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct. 24, he
> said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by the
> Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news conference he
> had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had become a
> hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and attends
> the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and got on
> his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told our
> congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized the
> truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper
> suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the
pre-arranged
> stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to "evil
> in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been terrorizing
> the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a description
of

> the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which
originates
> from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about the car
> police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area. "I
seen
> one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when I
> pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow, Ky.,
> his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz said he
> stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in to
call
> 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to block the
> exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI , ATF,
> everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee
Malvo,
> 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his way. When
> he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by family,
> friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned to
WLWT
> for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by interviews
with
> other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years, drives
> for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass Trucking
in
> Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his
twice-a-week
> drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware and
Ohio.
> According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the Nazarene in
> Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an
> over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing his
> five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on the
> road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship group.Lantz's
story
> touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife and
adult
> daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the Lantzes'
> doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's unbelievable to
> me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very quiet
> life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it. Everybody in
> the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just living in
an
> ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very different
for
> us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good guys,"
but
> never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree. "It
just
> goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a sniper
> shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture him."
>

>
>
>

1699. Re: Wiring DX667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:31:28 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <3cnhtus9nvijh73k80hueqfp07qtql3ek4@4ax.com>
Source record: 144285

Thanks for all responses....appreciated

On Thu, 07 Nov 2002 08:01:45 GMT, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:

>amybody suggest fan wiring for a DX667v

1700. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:31:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD8DDA5.3189@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144291

radiofun wrote:
>
> Wow........Dave.......You brought back the memories. I remember 30 years ago
> with my legs wrapped around the rotor, on a 60' tower, lowering one of the
> old Antenna Specialist "Boss 303s". It was 9 o'clock at night, in January.

Yep, that's about right. 30 years ago, I wouldv'e been nutty enough to
do something like that. Today, I would at least wait for daylight......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1701. Texas Star DX-400 (Good One)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:35:56 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <sknhtus8ic6mbcgnqjehml4evq6cool3ln@4ax.com>
Source record: 144286

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/70798537?aucview=0x70

1702. NorthStar 9500 Base 10/11 Meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:35:56 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <mknhtukn5ds2m0bqglneao2maggm8m237b@4ax.com>
Source record: 144288

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/70798433?aucview=0x70

1703. Astatic Echo Max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:35:57 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <2lnhtu4eoc7mrf9b1e3d0ac0rdqckugcmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 144287

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/70798689?aucview=0x70

1704. VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:36:02 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <blnhtu82jiucpfnl328042hiqaa1n39rkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 144289

Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any help or suggestions appreciated at 100%

1705. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:40:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD8DFBA.4F18@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144293

Anonymous wrote:
>
> I'm working on a friends Cobra 142 GTL. The issue I'm attempting to solve
> is muddy, bassy modulation. It sounds like all the audio frequencies from
> about 750-1k up are filtered out of the transmit modulation. Other then
> this the radio works fine. Excellent RF carrier etc. I changed the AN612
> audio chip hoping that was it but no improvement. I also changed out the
> 47uf cap between pin 9 and 10 on TA7222AP per another techs suggestion with
> no improvement. The radio was talking fine one day and just started
> sounding like this. Any suggestions on solving this would be great.

I'd like to be able to reach into my bag of tricks and give you the one component solution, but this specific problem has never happened to me.

I've got to ask the simple question, did you try a different mike? I've seen this type of problem happen due to mike related problems, more so than radio problems.

If you've ruled out the mike, then the best way to find the problem is by using a variable frequency audio generator, and sweeping the audio stages, looking with a scope at each point, until you find the stage that's killing your high end frequencies.

Is this problem on receive as well? If not, you can pretty much eliminate all common audio components (including the audio amp chip, the TA7222) that are shared on both receive and transmit. That leaves the mike preamp circuits, and the regulator/modulator. Is it on SSB and AM? Another path to go down. The more you can eliminate, the easier it will be to find.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1706. Re: VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:42:43 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <7f5C9.40438$%m4.19157@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144290

Sounds like the PLL unlocking. Do a reset.......or try this: With the radio off, press the mic(PTT) and turn it on at the same time. That "occasionally" will the lock the PLL. Worth a try.

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message news:bpogtu4td5e1be0o3suife61b9sepbfm8r@4ax.com...
> Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but
> it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose
> connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not
> loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no
> sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you
> cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh
> yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any
> help or suggestions appreciated at 100%

1707. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 12:49:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DD8E1C8.551@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144292

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> No Reality Cash:
>
> First of all, there are NO "100+ watt rigs" that will get CB that can be
> transmitted on CB out there (except maybe one or 2, and that's it!), SO
> which makes & model numbers do "100 watts" AM ?
>
> Second of all, you bozo findings prove what a communist 6th planker you
> really are! You are a CROSS POSTER COMMIE! Maybe you just imagined what
> you saw---can't you imagine that they did 1000 watts instead? Being a CB
> shop for over 23 years, I have not seen since 70's Yaesu's that did over 100
> watts AM ! Am I right or did I miss some new stealth models that only
> Hambos get from their Ham stores?

That depends.

Are you talking about 100 watts dead key, or 100 watts peak? If you are talking about 100 watts dead key then you are right, there are no out-of-box ham radios that can support 100 watts dead key and still have any headroom left over for modulation. That INCLUDES the FT 101's. The FT 101 could support a dead key to about 30 - 35 watts before it started to compress, depending on how "fresh" your tubes were.

If you are talking about 100 watts peak, then just about any ham rig made, that has the AM mode included, can be made to run on 11 meters, with a 25 watt carrier and 100 watts peak modulation. Most don't sound all that impressive on AM, some do ok. But they can do it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1708. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 13:45:35 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211180545.44af34d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144294

No, in fact this amp is inferior in many respects. I was merely trying
to get some answers to some obvious questions that popped up while I
was looking over the design...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

timnebo@aol.com10-9 (Busch Master) wrote in message
news:<20021117164256.23905.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> professor did it look better than your skywave amps?

1709. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 14:17:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021118091722.23905.00000026@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 144295

> and what was nebro's point in
>posting that info.

why are you asking him?

1710. DX results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 14:35:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD8FAB6-145@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144296

Passing it on:

Dear Friends,

We a proud to announce the winner of the First World Wide Field Day Contest 2002 - we do hope you will foregive us the litle delay:-)
The Winner Team is

3FAT/FD001
Members of the team was: 3FAT010 op.Eduardo and 3VAL001 op. Val They made 120 QSO's into 38 different DXCC's and they made contact to 40 other FD-Teams during the 24 hours
The result gave the team 28.400 Points

On The 2. Place

14RC/FD001
Members of the team was:14RC092 op. Pat and 14RC362 op. Pierre The made 140 QSO's into 32 different DXCC's and they made contact to 31 other FD-teams during the 24 hours
The result gave them 18.910 Points

On the 3. Place

19AT/FD001 (on the air they had to use 19AT684/FD001 as their callsign)
Members of the team was: 19AT684 op. Bart - 19AT448 op. Bob - 19AT226 op.fred
They made 119 QSO's into 30 different DXCC's and they made contact to 31 other FD-teams during the 24 hours
The result gave them 17.949 Points

You can see a list of result from all the teams on www.wwfd.dk click on past contests

We ofcourse give our big congratiulations to the ALL the teams that was a part in the first World Wide Field Day Contest on 11-meter and we hope to see and hear all the teams again in 2003 along with many new teams

WWFD.DK will send all the trophys direct to the winners in february/march 2003

Best regards
Tom
Secretary
wwfd.dk

1711. Re: henry 2k-3 floor model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 14:45:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD8FCFE-147@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144148

From: rcranus@hotmail.com (Perculator)
"Demitri Garazha" <vze2cd4i@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:3NFB9.6032$aB1.4187@nwrddc02.gnilink.net... henry 2k-3 floor model
It was modified to increase power. I replaced rectifiers.Add new plate
transformer. It can give you 1 Ampere with almost 4KV on anode >on any
band.(80-10M). Heavy duty amplifier.Pick up only in NY area. Can
demonstrate on any band.People give me 20 db plus,when I use it.Wired
for 220V. Comes with spare tube 3-500Z.I think of 800$. If interested
-ak2p@qsl.net

>Demitri, I think it would better<

(snip)

What you think has mattered to no one but yourself, ever.

>to advertise this amp in a amatuer NG <

This is a cb group dominated by hammies that freeband and break rules as
they see fit.....quite the appropriate place.

>Cbers can't use amps.<<

Don't strain your gray matter anymore than I make you. Owning a cb
doesn't exempt one from having their ticket.

1712. Re: Aaron and Dwight both missing? Hmmm.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 14:51:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9113-3DD8FE56-19@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144150

I heard it had something to do with his criminal mind and
nature..something about not following the rules he agreed to abide by
then selectively breaking the ones he felt entitled.

1713. Re: Hey Frank/Sparky/Yeti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 15:04:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD90194-152@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144149

From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Hey Frank/Sparky/Yeti NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, Nov 16,
2002,1:23am
Simple yes or no question here. Are you N7VCF?
                    A.E.
352
     http://american-eagle.tripod.com
==========
Sure he is.....he about had a heart attack over this one.....as I
have told him so many, many times in the past, forging is a strict
violation of my ISP's TOS and they enforce a zero tolerance policy with
no exceptions, but that didn't stop starman N7VCF from freaking out and
thinking I was as malicious as he was and would stoop to such tactics.
This was posted right after he lost another account for the very thing
he wrongfully, deceitfully, and intentionally accused me of.
From: fgillil@tincan.org (Sparky)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Is this a Twistedhed or Nebout forge?
Date: 7 Oct 2001 03:51:07 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <e182e02.0110070251.60d4916f@posting.google.com>
References: <e182e02.0109301608.44310607@posting.google.com>
<9patbklvv4@chilli.nntp.netline.net.uk>
<e182e02.0110020228.28514e7e@posting.google.com>
<b8800371.0110020641.13f14d38@posting.google.com>
<9pipc8$d7g$3@chilli.nntp.netline.net.uk>
<fbc7a2.0110050014.62411e53@posting.google.com>
<fbc7a2.0110051239.1e7ffb87@posting.google.com>
<b71d3652.0110060610.7d4476e3@posting.google.com> NNTP-Posting-Host:
216.64.180.202 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1002451867 5876 127.0.0.1 (7 Oct 2001
10:51:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Oct 2001 10:51:07 GMT oldkeykollekter@yahoo.com
(dweebo Spanka!) wrote in message
news:<b71d3652.0110060610.7d4476e3@posting.google.com>...
voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message >
news:<fbc7a2.0110051239.1e7ffb87@posting.google.com>...
HYPOCRITEFRANK@YAHOO.COM (Sparky Frank Gilliland) > > wrote:
voobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<fbc7a2.0110050014.62411e53@posting.google.com>...

the anti-Voobner bandwagon
I picture a bunch of drunks playing stolen > > > >instruments.
Pretty funny image!
-Aaron-
Only the ones you sold us Leland.
Sparky
FLCD
Sparky@Cet.Com
My guess is this is Twistedhed forging.
-Aaron-
I agree, Aaron. Twithed did it.
Maybe, since he tried to forge me with N7VCF@cotse.com.

Frank has allowed his word, much to his chagrin, to be his downfall. He forgets what he claims and in many cases has self-contradicted himself. His last snafu cocerning his locale was when he claimed a "local" was busted for radio interference, then when he was asked to show something other than his claim, he posted a link to an incident clear across the state near Seattle..when the location was pointed out to Frank as not being "local" to him, he immediately changed the subject matter and attacked with the usual name calling and his personal fondness...accused me of "nitpicking" and went on a rant how his "post" was proof of his claim and how I was just "looking" for a way out because I was "proved wrong"...ahahahahah! Don't know why anyone would really care where Frank lived anyway..he can usually be found online at all times......there are even a few posts where he was using the call in his sig, but he only did it a few times...for some reason, paranoia of identity ranks extremely high with Frank. Take into consideration all his posts concerning his half efforts at finding info on internet strangers, and his obsession with identity becomes crystal clear......another whack job at best, but he's relatively harmless.

1714. Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 15:07:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD9024D-153@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144147

.lose it.

>The ARRL was among the entities and >individuals commenting in the FCC's Task >Force initiative, ET Docket 02-135, earlier this >year. The League told the FCC that >marketplace forces should not determine >Amateur Radio spectrum allocations, and that >interference management is a technical, not >an economic, issue.

>Key Task Force Findings
>Based on preliminary research and review >conducted by FCC staff and the Task Force, >some bands are heavily used while many are >not in use in all geographic areas or are used >only part of the time. "Thus, there may be >opportunities for spectrum-based services or >devices to operate in the resulting 'white >spaces,'" the Task Force suggested.

Dayyyummmm. Sounds a bit like "use it or lose it."

>Key Recommendations
>The Task Force recommended that the >Commission evolve its spectrum policy toward >more flexible and market-oriented spectrum >policies.....

Oooouch! Exactly what the ARRL asked them NOT to base it's policy on. That HAS to leave a mark........

1715. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ?N3CVJ opens the Hyp. hall

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 15:19:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD904E5-155@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144152

What you say these days is seriously compromised and suspect.

N3CVJ Dave Hall wrote:
>Any other time, the freeband was used as a >temporary "escape channel"
for us locals to >get away from idiots throwing carriers or some >other
such nonsense on the legal 40.

But N3CVJ Dave Hall also wrote:
>*YOU* know the minute you key up that radio >with more than the legal
power, that you're >breaking the law. YOU know you've comitted a >crime.
Sitting back and thinking "wow" I got >away with it one more time, I'm
the man!" does >not diminish the criminal nature of your >actions, no
matter what the circumstances, or >how you want to spin it.

Hey......spinmeister Hall,,,,,,,,,,,,you still have the Davemade ?

1716. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 15:32:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9111-3DD9082A-159@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144153

From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
>No, in fact this amp is inferior in many >respects. I was merely trying to get some >answers to some obvious questions that >popped up while I was looking over the >design...
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey

At least ya' gotta recognize the wide availability of amps. Lotsa new names and addys...must be in the air..something about cool, fall dx-type weather....ahhh..gotta love it..even ebay is banging with bargains....been pretty blissful around these parts lately......I love this time of year!!

1717. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 15:45:01 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211180745.194c6473@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144151

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3dd831a5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Fixed a TV antenna, or put up a Rotor. I did it recently and MAN did I
> feel how OLD I really am! I remember doing these things 20 years ago or so,
> but MAN did it take a lot out of me for a 1/2 day job! And the Pain, OH the
> pain (Dr. Smith died recently).
>

I know the feeling went to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest. My feet still hurt.

1718. Re: Channel mod for Galaxy 2547, please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 17:32:41 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118123241.18508.00000109@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 144154

Did find a good conversion..
Thought I would share it you all...
http://www.cbtricks.com/

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

1719. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 18:02:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD92B52.F66EA60C@cwis.net>
Source record: 144155

Twistedhed,
If you think the 'CB' band is in any danger
from non-use, your nuts...
'Doc

1720. Re: VHF question to the techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 18:17:26 UTC
From: Nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01c28f22$cac28180$82878685@clip>
Source record: 144156

The least technical approach to fixing it would be to try cleaning
the squelch and volume controls with some tuner wash. These
radios are known for control problem especially if used in saltwater.
I have seen controls eaten up so bad they won't even clean.
Second, check for bad solder joints. If this doesn't fix you up, I would
strongly consider throwing it in the trash can and picking up one
of ther new ones available on Ebay for around $100. You'll end up
better off in the long run. Just my .02 cents.

rugerp85@adelphia.net <remove@adelphia.net> wrote in article
<bpogtu4td5e1be0o3suife61b9sepbfm8r@4ax.com>...
> Have a Realistic Marine radio and when I cut it on you hear sound but
> it goes away....it's like it's squelching itself out(It is NOT a loose
> connection on the mic,I've tried the wiggle thing and it's not
> loose)....squelch is fully to the off position but still no
> sound...every now and then you hear it for a second or two like you
> cut the squelch back,yet it's fully back ??? Any ideas on this ?? oh
> yea and also there is no TX , I haven't tried another mic yet ???? any
> help or suggestions appreciated at 100%
>

1721. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 18:23:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021118132347.24121.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 144157

lose weight

>
>
>I know the feeling went to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest. My feet still hurt.
>
>

1722. Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 18:33:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arbc7d$g6080$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144158

How to turn a computer power supply into a 12VDC power supply.

http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/pwrsupply.html

--
Tom Sevart
Frontenac, KS
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

1723. Re: Do Steering Wheel Holders use 10 meters ? Do licenced Hambos use it? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 18:34:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD932CC.8B7A5A06@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144159

JJ wrote:

>
> The Collins 32S-1 I used to have sure could. 4D32 tube operating
> in real (not professor version) class C, with good high level
> plate modulation, non of this swing stuff.

Finger check, that should be Collins 32V-3.

1724. Re: The Frankie long winded Chronicles - 7 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 21:14:08 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD95820.7AED876C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144160

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DD54823.DCBFE34@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
> <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
> >> Because "swamping" the cathode (not a very good term, BTW --
> >> low-impedance signal source is much better) only relieves PART of the
> >> problem. The other problem, as I have stated many times, is the
> >> linearity through grid current transistion, which is what the post
> >> addressed, NOT cathode "swamping".
> >>
> >>We don't really care about the term being great, if one can understand
> >>why it's a good idea to add the resistors in series with the cathode
> >>leads.
>
> Aw, Skippy, did you really think that I wouldn't notice your chop-job
> on my post? You didn't say ANYTHING about swamping RESISTORS, let
> alone that you think that's the function of your series cathode
> resistors. Here's what you DID say (and snipped):
>
> >>Dumb answer Franklin... Sweep Tubes in grounded grid AB2 RF amps
> >>can work very well. ... and I saved all that money just by knowing
> >>the "feed through power" of a grounded grid circuit swamps out the
> >>grid current distortion issues.
>
> Bad form, Skippy. As for your series cathode resistors, they aren't as
> good of an idea as you think, for reasons I have stated many times
> already but you continue to ignore.
>
> >Partial relief is good, your concern about linearity is noted.
> >Remember the part where I said the feed through power swamps the
> >grid current distortion in a grounded grid amplifiers. That
> >makes the circuit a real player...
>
> And you are missing the boat here, too. It's not the fact that the
> input power is fed through the tube, it's the fact that the power is
> fed at a low impedance from a tuned circuit! THAT makes the
> difference, Skippy! Your series cathode resistors actually HURT the
> performance of the amplifier (in more ways than one!).
-
You believe what you want to belive Frankie...

The rest of us know the truth. The 10 ohm resistors help for various reasons... a modest list of I posted on this news group less than 2 weeks back.

Life goes on...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1725. Re: Items at auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 21:30:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arbm4a$gvnh2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144161

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DD6BE1B.D65002C7@qnet.com...
> Hello Carl:
>
> Gee whiz, ya get 17 Pop ups blasted at you there. I din't have my Norton
> anti Virus/ Anti Pop Up programs fired and up and runnin. I do now.

Tripod is really bad about that. Yahoo is too, but not quite as bad. I
wish I could get rid of all the popups on my site, but I'm too cheap to pay
for one!

--
Tom Sevart
Frontenac, KS
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

1726. Re: The Frankie Gilliland Chronicles go on and on... - 8 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 21:50:40 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD960B0.F00A74B4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144162

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DD54C75.C0C0AA39@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
> <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Much to your dismay frankie, I have quite the formal electronics
> >> >education thank you. At no time did I ever restrict AB2 operation to
> >> >grounded grid... homey ain't playing that word game with you.
> >>
> >> That's hogwash, Skippy! Your confusion between amplifier classes and
> >> operation is posted all over these threads!

If you say grounded grid AB2, we are talking grounded grid AB2. If you
jump over to common cathode AB2, then tell us your doing so. This
thread,
most all the text I have on sonic about Sweep tubes has been related to
grounded grid AB2 amplifiers. Just remember to use your news group
turn signal and we won't have any problems franklin... that's all I
ask...

> >The issue has been... trying to keep you from jumping back and forth
> >from grounded grid to common cathode circuits. I've called you on
> >it a number of times. Your a ramblin kinda' guy frankie.
>
> That's even more hogwash! The reason you thought I was "jumping back
> and forth" is because you didn't know the difference between the amps!
> Even your website has several examples where you demonstrate that
> confusion. So don't blame me for your incompetence!

If you find an error on the web pages, point it out and one of us will
fix it asap. Simple enough...

> >> >Once more for the road... we've been talking sweep tubes in AB2
> >> >grounded grid amplifiers. You want to jump lanes to common cathode
> >> >circuits, tell us at the time. I've been calling you on that issue
> >> >for some time now.
> >>
> >> ...good grief. Get yourself a decent news browser so you can go back
> >> and read the previous threads. Out of all the browsers I tried, even
> >> MSIE, I liked Agent the best. You should try it sometime. Then maybe
> >> you can read the previous posts and see that the conversation has
> >> never been limited to grounded-grid amps, despite your desperate
> >> attempts to do so.
> >
> >If you want to change lanes... hang up your $#%#%^ cell phone and

> >turn on your blinker. We don't mind you wanting to exit the main
> >topic,just give us a clue when you want to crank the steering wheel.
>
> Turn your steering wheel back on the previous posts in this discussion
> where I'm talking about both grounded-grid and common-cathode amps and
> you are getting confused. Then steer yourself to all the times you
> have accused me of going off-topic while you do what you accuse in the
> very next post, sometimes even in the SAME post! You seem to be doing
> that more often lately, which tells me only that you are desperate for
> reasons to argue.

yeah, I'm getting all confused from reading your rants... In the real
world, I have seen few if any AB2 common cathode Sweep Tube Amplifiers
by percentage... I had not considered your common cathode talk to
include them... although there is no reason why we couldn't. We I
write classic sweep tube amplifiers... I am not referring to common
cathode circuits unless otherwise noted. I feel it's not worth clouding
the topic up with a sweep tube layout that is less than 20% of the
many Sweep Tube RF Amplifier sold through the years.

>> >Just as a side bar comment, not all common cathode amps require
> >> >neutralization. Its not rocket science to build a stable common
> >> >cathode amplifier less the neutralization requirement.
> >>
> >> Duh! I said that a long time ago! It's VERY easy to build one without
> >> neutralization when your Cgp is so low that stray capacitance in the
> >> grid circuit is enough to neutralize the tube. Where have you been,
> >> Skippy?
> >
> >Up to my knees in your new group text poop.
>
> I'll take that as a non-response.

You compared the Cgp of a sweep tube to an rf designed power tube.
That's
all fine and dandy, but the Cgp of the Sweep tube in a grounded grid
circuit is easily low enough to allow the tube to function well.

>
> >> >Unless you put on the the turn signal... we are talking grounded
> >> >grid AB2 amplifiers using sweep tubes. Now if we can just get
> >> >you off your cell phone.
> >>
> >> I had a cell phone for a few months. Didn't like it. Never had one
> >> since.
> >
> >My god, there's another common thing between us frankie.... I still
> >love you, now come back home and feed the dogs.
>
> Sure, just as soon as you demonstrate an understanding of the
> differences between AB1, AB2, grounded-grid, and common (grounded)

> cathode amplifiers. So far you haven't been able to do that.

General rules:
AB1, no grid current. Fractional wattage drive power requirements. So
low,
most rf people as a general rule say that no drive power is consumed by
the tube. Most all AB1 rf power amplifiers circuits are common cathode
by convention. High stage gain comes with/at a price, as in technical
requirements and the application of a tetrode/pentode.

AB2, indicates grid current flow, significant drive power is required
to drive a cathode driven or common cathode circuit layout. Triodes,
tetrodes and pentodes live in AB2 circuits.

Some grounded grid or "cathode driven" layouts have many benefits
allow Sweep tubes to operate well. Quartets and larger amount sweep
tube layouts make nice moderate power desktop amplifiers.

One should not apply certain geometry type tubes to some specific
types of grounded grid circuits, there are issues with excessive
grid current and drive division which require special attention. In
the generic world, we might say that specific high mu tubes don't
operate in certain grounded grid "triode mode" type circuits...
i.e. they are subject to premature failure.

As with all things, there is always a work around...

> >> > By the way, did I tell you I have a two tube common
> >> >cathode sweep tube amplifiers at 28.55 MHz that has better than
> >> >10dB gain and no neutralization...?
> >>
> >> 10 dB gain from a two-tube common-cathode amp? That's PATHETIC,
> >> Skippy! You should be getting AT LEAST 14 dB from those tubes, which
> >> means that you have neutralization in that amp but don't know it, and
> >> it's causing your poor gain figures. Hey, why isn't this amp posted on
> >> your sonic server, too? LOL!
> >
> >Notice the exact words "better than 10 dB..." frankie..?
>
> I remember back in grade school where kids made up excuses like that.
> Kind of like one kid would claim, "My dad is over six feet tall!", and
> another kid would respond, "Oh yeah? Well, MY dad is over SEVEN feet
> tall!", then the first kid would snap back, "Well MY dad is over EIGHT
> feet tall!". Of course the second kid would notify the first kid that
> he originally boasted about his dad being over six feet tall. But that
> first kid, he really thinks he's smart, and comes back with, "Yeah?
> Well, eight feet tall is over six feet tall!" Sounds like you, Skippy.

10 dB is the general rule breakpoint where I consider building certain
amplifiers. Six feet tall is still good enough .... so is 10 dB.

> >It's actually about +13 dB gain where I operate it,
>

> ...uh huh...

uh huh... means yep.

>
> > but you probably
> >would have argued about it.
>
> Probably, since you claimed it has no neutralization.

It doesn't

>
> > I consider 10 dB the min "cost break point"
> >for most rf amplifier projects.
>
> Good for you.

Good general rule for many people.

> >The amplifiers has no neutralization and I know it
> >
> >It's such a small power level amplifier that most people don't
> >care much to talk about it. I'm not going to post every amplifier
> >I've collected or built on sonic... that would be way too much time
> >intensive work. So I do what I can as my free time allows.
>
> Well, you have broken some amazing new ground by building a
> common-cathode sweep tube amp that doesn't require neutralization.
> Matter of fact, that revolutionary! I don't see why you would ever NOT
> want to brag about such an accomplishment when nobody has been able to
> do what you have done since the beginning of sweep tubes....

Not really... I remember building it from a circuit in the 1986 or
1985 ARRL Handbook. If you burned to know, I could probably
still find the text.

> ....LOL!

I thought it was kind of neat...

>
> >How about you sending me anything... I promise not to modify your
> >text... please..? anything..? free web space..? (picture me holding
> >out a carrot on a stick)...
>
> How about sending me the callsign on this IB license?

I did, just the other day and have yet to hear your reply. Please
do not give out any of the license information, just yay or nay on
it's validity and anything you find relating to the IB issue.

> >> > How about you throwing out
> >> >a circuit now..? How about you answering up with any text you've

> >> >posted any where on the net that we can review... of course other
> >> >than your hate post followup replies. Anything ...? Please..?
> >>
> >> Naw, I would rather point out all the errors of your amps.
> >
> >Thanks Frankie... I appreciate the effort... but I would like to
> >see anything you've done... I'll behave myself...
>
> You know I like it when you beg, Skippy!

I can also be bribed with good coffee and food...

thanks franklin, we'll be looking for ya'

chow
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1727. Re: The Frankie Story part - 9 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 22:00:14 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD962EE.2B4DBDB4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144163

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DD54F42.E7F9E7EF@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
> <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> >: fact it CANNOT reduce or eliminate grid-current distortion. I needed
> >> >> >: to explain that in order to be linear you need at least 180 degrees of
> >> >> >: undistorted conduction time, which you cannot achieve with grid
> >> >> >: current distortion.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Well mr magic amp... what is the conduction angle of an AB2 amplifier..?
> >> >> >Yep, greater than 180 degrees.
> >> >>
> >> >> Not 180 undistorted degrees. In case you haven't noticed, the grid is
> >> >> usually biased slightly negative with repect to the cathode, making
> >> >> the grid current transition occur within that 180 degrees. And because
> >> >> the bias is so slightly negative it also tends to make more noise at
> >> >> lower power levels than high power peaks.
> >> >
> >> >The conduction angle is never perfect, but it is still about 210
> >> >degrees by generic definition. The grid should be biased negative
> >> >with respect to the cathode.
> >>
> >> I have no problems with 210 degree conduction, although I wouldn't
> >> agree to the specific number unless I actually measured it. As for the
> >> grid biased negative, yeah? So?
> >
> >As shown above, you felt the burning need to talk about conduction
> >angle restrictions.
> >
> >I felt it was another unannounced turn off the freeway and
> >thought it better to tell you were the road was.
>
> A new excuse, and a creative one, too! Pre-emptive redirection! Bravo,
> Skippy! Now that you have effectively stopped the off-topic issue in
> its tracks, maybe you can address the real issues. Like your lack of
> undertanding about grid current distortion....

I understand that it is not a problem in grounded grid amplifiers
using sweep tubes. That's enough...

> >> > The question should be if you've ever
> >> >measured the noise..? Is the noise a significant problem ..? I
> >> >and other believe it does not disqualify sweep tubes from RF AB2

> >> >g-grid amplifier service.
> >>
> >> The numbers provided by the manufacturer are more than enough proof of
> >> the noise caused by these tubes. I have posted them from your own
> >> example of a "classic" amp at least three times. Shall I post them
> >> again?
> >
> >Never take the mfgr as gospel. With enough resources, homework
> >and time, one could make the measurements for themselves.
>
> I agree, and knowing that the figures from manufacturers are usually
> padded to their benefit, the true numbers are most likely worse.

Yep, many stock amplifier circuits can be poopy about what's
going out the pipe.

> >> >> > The big picture here is the grid current
> >> >> >distortion in the topic amplifier is not large enough to prohibit the
> >> >> >Sweep Tube from consideration as a viable choice. Sweep tubes in AB2
> >> >> >grounded grid amplifiers have conduction angles greater than 180 degrees.
> >> >>
> >> >> Despite your repitition, all you need to do is to look at the numbers.
> >> >> The Dentron claims -30 dB IMD and -40 dB on harmonics, which sucks for
> >> >> a kilowatt amplifier.
> >> >
> >> >Probably because -30 dB was the requirement... In my Dentron web page
> >> >text, I mention a lot of the short comings of Dentron, which then
> >> >became Amp Supply. I don't judge the Dentron GLA-1000 as a good
> >> >circuit, in fact I wrote my text to point out some serious improvements
> >> >to be made which will make the amplifier a great rebuild project.
> >> >
> >> >Even the Svetlana Conversion has a lot of poop and is actually
> >> >incomplete (no standby mode cut-off).
> >> >
> >> >I measured about -32 dB on one example Quartet Sweep Tube Amplifier
> >> >some years back. What would make the amplifier "suck" is a speech
> >> >processed SSB signal using ALC.
> >>
> >> I wouldn't run a sweep tube that DOESN'T have an ALC circuit, and I
> >> would NEVER run a sweep tube grounded-grid. The proof is in the
> >> pudding -- they simply put out too much grid-current distortion, and
> >> all the noise figures for all the grounded-grid sweep tube amps back
> >> up that fact. "Plain fact, jack..."
> >
> >I don't waste my time with an ALC circuit.
> >
> >Again, review and research my previously made statement. "Feed through
> >power swamps out grid current distortion in AB2 grounded grid
> >amplifiers." Now that's no rope yank... frank.
>
> YOU review and research MY previous statements about grid current

> distortion.

What would they do to improve an acceptable AB2 grounded grid
amplifier..?

>
> >> >In regular operation, you couldn't tell I had it on the air, other
> >> >than the increased signal strength. That's what amplifier operation
> >> >should be all about.
> >>
> >> It should be, but frequently that's not all that comes out of the
> >> coax.
> >
> >It is when I can clearly see and measure the output nozzle contents....
>
> Most can't.

They could if they were willing to "do the homework".

chow for now
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1728. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 22:28:29 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <arbss3$2i01$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 144167

have a bunch to sell too, if anyone is in need. All were pulled from older
yet working systems. E: MAIL: TECH@DP.NET

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arbc7d$g6080$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> How to turn a computer power supply into a 12VDC power supply.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/pwrsupply.html
>
> --
> Tom Sevart
> Frontenac, KS
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
> http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc
>

1729. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 22:31:28 UTC
From: DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH <nohooch@myhouse.com>
Message-ID: <_RdC9.18398$NV.340891@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144164

Somebody on 19 can be heard in Virginia advertising a CB shop somewhere.
(Both male and female voice advertising gas/fuel / food and CB service)

I can't hear where it is due to the constant bleedovers and truckers keying
up at the same time.
(Hey, SHUT UP So I Can Hear That Guy From The CB SHOP!!
Ain't no damn need to be keyin' up with each other to begin with!)

Anyway, It sounds like a recording that's being played.
Like someone's got one of those RAMSEY Kits that let you record 8-minutes of
whatever.

HOOAH!!!!!

"Joe" <me@mynet.com> wrote in message
news:g0Pz9.2462$wO4.167@news.bellsouth.net...
> I guess no one here ever heard of SKIP
>
> "Sonny Day" <sonnyday@comcast.nets> wrote in message
> news:4-KdnXg61YAy9FWgXTWcog@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c5ecbb69.0211051603.1044db1a@posting.google.com...
> > : timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
> > news:<20021104182257.27632.00002573@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> > : > one-way broadcast themselfs are illegal on cb
> > :
> > : I hear a LOT of "ghost talking" (which is one-way broadcasting).
> > : Sheriff Bob is one of the worst. He's almost as stupid as Aanal Aaron
> > : H. Voobner, but not quite.
> >
> > he might be from jupiter
> >
> >
>
>
>

1730. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 22:49:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211181449.2af75293@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144165

"Perculator" <the fake rcranus@hotmail.com> who is really Georgie-girl
Busch, WA3MOJ spewed in message
news:<XBCdnXuILKvRrEWgXTWcpA@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> : > Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal
> criminals
> :
> : So what? They still haven't shut him down. He's still holding forth on
> : the "triple nickle" as if he were Art Bell. What's your point,
>
> My point is your a trolling Dickhead still, and what was nebro's point in
> posting that info.

I'll only give you a "D" for that response, Georgie-girl. You STILL
don't know how to spell contractions after all this time. Another fyn
produkt of the publik edjakashun sistm.

But I'd like to know how you got to that conclusion. I posted a Fact:
That Sheriff Bob was still on 555, and somehow I'm trolling. You post
nothing but nonsense, but somehow you're NOT trolling. Wanna explain
it, Georgie-girl? I didn't think so, loser.

Gotta wonder about your intelligence. And why you're not helping the
hams keep control of their precious frequencies instead of wasting all
your time in a CB newsgroup like a loser. BTW, what happened to your
alter-ego Aanal Aaron? He never posted his proof and has disappeared
like most anonymous cowards do sooner or later. Now THERE was a real
Troll! Guess you figured there was no point continuing the charade
since he had zero credibility, huh?

1731. Re: The Frankie does Dallas Chronicles - 10 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 22:51:50 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD96F06.583E39F2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144166

"yeti@cet.com" wrote:

> >I believe a review of the original post is quite clear to everyone but
> >you frankie. The reverse screen current jab at you was never used
> >"in context" with any explanation I made. Maybe next time...
>
> You haven't associated it with anything since I pointed out that there
> is no reverse screen current in a beam tube or pentode, so you are now
> going to claim that it was a joke?
>
> ***** LAME *****
> Lame, Lame, Lame!!!!!

There is no need to associate it with any Sweep Tube Grounded Grid
circuit I've ever mentioned... nor have I ever mentioned it related
to said. I have talked about it with tubes like the 4cx1500 and
similar types... as seen on the sonic server. No, it wasn't a joke,
it was a jab for you to relax... at that point in the thread, you
appeared to be popping a gasket. It was an easy way to say "take
a chill pill" frankie. Despite the bitter pill you appear to be,
it's actually fun to joust back and forth. Just hang up that imts
phone and drive.

>
> >>
> >> >Let us filter through the confetti and get right back on the topic.
> >> >
> >> >The doesn't work like a triode refers to the benefit of the additional
> >> >shielding from the grounded screen and supressor grids.
> >>
> >> Nice try, but you can't shield the plate from the cathode without
> >> shielding the cathode from the plate, cause the amp wouldn't work! And
> >> your precept for shielding stems from your unwillingness to understand
> >> the basic operation of a grounded-grid amp, the regenerative feedback
> >> effect of interelectrode capacitances, and the neutralization of the
> >> same.
> >
> >Oh my god, we're back to square one with you. I guess the Eimac
> >Engineer who first told me about it was wrong in every Radio Handbook
> >I've seen it written in.
>
> Then quote one of them! Prove me wrong! Don't just sit there like a
> hump and TELL me I'm wrong, PROVE IT! Provide some verifiable
> references! If it's such a widely known concept then you should even
> be able to find many references on the net! Post a link, Skippy! Post

> several and SLAM me with that proof!

Eimac Engineer William Orr, 18th Ed, SAI Handbook, pages 183 and
184 are some good places to find your crow dinner.

> >> And that STILL doesn't explain what you were allegedly referring to
> >> when you brought up 'reverse screen current' (if not about how a
> >> triode-connected sweep tube doesn't operate like a triode).
> >
> >'Twas a jab at your temper tantrum of the moment frankie. That's
> >all... until you appeared so shocked about seeing the post that
> >you claimed it was a new one for you. Of course until you picked
> >up a book and found text on reverse screen current.
>
> That's your own assumption, your own interpretation of what I wrote.
> Too bad you couldn't read it as the response for such an outlandish
> concept as reverse screen current explaining how a triode-connected
> sweep tube doesn't work like a triode! Now THAT's a GAS!!!

See the reference supplied above... SAI Handbook, 18th Edition...
actually, he wrote over 20 different well known Editions, I'm sure
it's in others if you look.

> >Now your running out of steam again... plus the words boring
> >come to mind Frankie. Stop boring us trying to make something
> >out of nothing.
>
> It's just as 'boring' as your repetitive (and inaccurate) pokes at
> where I said the SCREEN was tied to the plate. Yep, that's getting
> pretty boring, too, Skippy.

Truth hurts... OK tell ya' what I'm going ta do... how about you
relax and lose the off topic poop, and I'll drop the no fly zone
and we talk specific technical issues...? good enough... see,
frank... I'm reasonable.

>
> >> > To make the
> >> >round trip, I also claim that the type of AB2 grounded grid circuit
> >> >is of concern (as there are a few variations of grounded grid) when
> >> >using triode connected grounded grid sweep tubes because there is a
> >> >problem (previously explained) trying to run them in some applied
> >> >triode g-grid circuits. It's all about the tube geometry...
> >>
> >> Well then explain why this tube geometry affects the operation of a
> >> triode-connected sweep tube. Come to think of it, you have used the
> >> phrase "tube geometry" several times, but just like all your other
> >> meaningless catch-phrases you haven't gone into any further detail or
> >> explanation. Do you think these things explain themselves, Skippy? Or
> >> do you think that I don't know a bluff when I see it?
> >
> >Meaningless as found in Radio Handbooks authored by Eimac Engineers.

>
> Do they use the term, "tube geometry"? If so, in what document?

Yeah, its mentioned in the same text book above. Just in the part
about operating certain types of tubes in grounded grid triode mode
being bad news for the home team. There's also a specialized grounded
grid circuit shown that will allow them to work, but it's a very
interesting hybrid.

> >If you can grasp the difference, see my description of the three
> >directly grounded G1, G2 and G3 grids amplifier amplifier and compare
> >it to the palomar 300 (six tube) circuit. The triode connected sweep
> >tube will not play well ("plays well with others...") in the directly
> >grounded AB2 circuit. Dat's what I'm talkin' bout frankie...
>
> Oh, so you are saying that "tube geometry" is about how the grids are
> connected? What about the Dentron? All three grids are directly
> connected to ground in that amp, and it was a sucessful amp. So why do
> you keep saying that it's such a bad thing to do?

Yes... I'm not a big fan of the actual Dentron Circuit... it should
be improved upon. I do not consider it a successful amp... just one
in mass production that can be used as a parts building block for
a fun amplifier project. Remember, the Dentron had special D-50
type tubes shipped as new.

> >And just to add the cherry topping... it's all directly related to
> >the tube geometry of a particular style of tubes... such as the
> >4cx250b and various Beam Power Sweep tubes. The AB2 G-Grid layout
> >which connects the G1 & G2 grids as one is a bad boy for the
> >4cx250b
>
> No kidding. It was designed for specific functions, and grounded-grid
> triode operation isn't one of them. Kinda like sweep tubes were not
> designed for RF power applications.

Yeah, but as I mentioned, there is always a work around. I've seen
4cx250b's and similar in grounded grid operation. Works well if you
wanted to do it right.

> > and many Beam Power "sweep tubes".
>
> You said that just to get my goat, right?

Did it work..?

> >> >I do thank you, you don't appear to have a grasp of load lines when
> >> >you write poop about my 10 ohm series cathode resistor recommendation
> >> >in the dentron text.
> >>
> >> ...blah, blah, blah. I already addressed your cathode resistors a long
> >> time ago but you refuse to look up the post. Now where is that post
> >> where you explain about "basic AC and DC load lines", Skippy?

> >
> >Yeah, I seem to remember you blowing gas about them causing
> >ungrounded cathodes and a list of horror stories.... when in
> >fact, they are a very good idea.
>
> Is this what you read, Skippy:
>
> >2. The addition of cathode resistors (typically 10 ohms) on parallel
> >grounded-grid tubes is used to equalize the cathode currents between
> >the tubes, a practice which Skippy considers "dated". They do NOT
> >cause degenerative feedback as they do in common-cathode amplifiers,
> >and therefore do NOT improve the linearity of the tubes. Quite the
> >contrary: A cathode resistor on a grounded-grid amplifier -increases-
> >the impedance of the signal source by the value of its resistance, and
> >therefore -increases- the distortion caused by grid current. Any
> >grounded-grid amp designed with cathode resistors is not intended for
> >linear AB2 operation. If it was, then it was not designed properly.

Nope, it was one of your earlier posts which I believe also contained
the ungrounding the cathode rant.

One other thing... I do not consider the degeneration provided by the
10 ohm series cathode resistors as "feedback". I just use the more
accurate "degeneration".

As I mentioned before... I'm not the source of the cathode resistor
dance. I lifted it from a guy named Art Collins who used it in at
least one of his "still" very popular grounded grid HF amplifiers.

> If you didn't read that then you need to do as I have suggested many
> times: upgrade your news browser, or log onto google and catch up on
> the posts you missed. Because without knowing what was written you are
> really looking like a fool. I don't mind you looking like a fool, but
> I would rather it be by your technical foolishness, not because you
> were uninformed. So get informed, Skippy.
>
> > I don't care to waste time
> >explaining load lines here...
>
> There's those words again -- "don't care". Synonymous with "don't
> know".

Well plot a load line, add a resistor in series with the cathode and
then report back what it does for both the AC and DC load lines.
Your results should be the same... more linearity.

> > but the resistors function by providing
> >degeneration to the circuit; said degeneration improves the
> >linearity of the circuit. Roger Wilco... over and out.
>
> Degeneration does improve the linearity of an amplifier, but your
> resistors don't provide any degenerative feedback -- they attenuate

> the input signal. If you want degeneration in a grounded-grid amp you
> need to put the resistor between the grid and ground, where the signal
> imposed on the grid will oppose the amplification of the tube; and
> just like a cathode resistor on a common-cathode amp, that's not a
> good idea for RF applications. Nor do your resistors provide a
> 'swamping' of the input, because they are in series with the cathode
> and INCREASE the impedance at the input of the tube.

I don't use feedback... I use just degeneration. Yeah, the real
world result is slightly higher drive requirements. Easily handled
by most amateur transceivers.

I don't like to call the series 10 ohm cathode resistors, swamp
resistors. You need to un-dock from the feedback space module
and come over to the degeneration space station. The resultant
impedance increase is not a bad thang' it's a good thang' in
this example.

> Jeez, Skippy, will you ever learn?

About hot biscuits and merle haggard... already did.

>
> >> >The AC & DC part came in a later post... grounding grids in various
> >> >combinations was mentioned well over a year ago. I even posted a
> >> >rather large article about the good sweep tube amplifier layouts.
> >> >Since your so good at news group surfing, go dig it up on the
> >> >www.contesting.com web page. Once again, how about sharing any
> >> >text or web pages you have with us Frank... we're still waiting.
> >>
> >> More diversionary BS. What's a load line, Skippy?
> >
> >Right here it's a truck full of poop your trying to hid. Did you
> >bother to look up my sweep tube text at the site mentioned above.
>
> More diversionary BS.

Ahh... you didn't look. It's still available at www.contesting.com
Quite lengthy and subjected to a large peer review, of which it
passed with no errors.

> >You seen so... good with the internet... how about having at and
> >getting back to me.
>
> More diversionary BS.

divert to www.contesting.com and look it up for yourself.

> >Remember, if your going to turn, put on your blinker... no blinker
> >no talkie about load lines at this time.
>
> More diversionary BS.

make the move frankie... you'd be happier for it. I promise, the
text is still available free, 24 hours, 7 days...

> >> >In specific, you applied the resistor recommendation to a fault of
> >> >ungrounding the cathode, you also threw in neutralization with your
> >> >diatribe of the moment
> >>
> >> WTH are you talking about? I said that a cathode resistor on a
> >> common-cathode RF amp is a bad idea because it ungrounds the cathode.
> >> What does that have to do with your claim that a series resistor on
> >> the cathode of a grounded-grid amp improving linearity?
> >
> >You were the once dancing in the spot light about resistors. My
> >original dentron text where this all started is still on the
> >sonic server as you last saw.
>
> Just because you used them doesn't mean they perform according to your
> erroneous expectations. You don't describe how they allegedly improve
> the linearity, you just say they do without any further explanation.
> Do you think that people should take your word on blind faith?

Not without my passing the collection plate first... The text is
subject to correction when errors are pointed out. You are the only
one who has claimed foul above the hundreds of skilled amplifier people
who have reviewed the text.

I and others agree with the result and the 10 ohm resistor suggestion
stays put... If you don't like it, type in your opinions and I'll
put them up on the sonic server as received. Anyone who does the
proper homework to understand what the real story is wins. Hey
frankie, we got a "win win" going here.

> >> > when I only state the resistor improves
> >> >linearity, the cause... by providing degeneration.
> >>
> >> Attenuation is not the same as degeneration (degenerative feedback).
> >> Go read your Electronics 101 book, Skippy.
> >
> >Drop the feedback... just degeneration... all about load lines
> >frankie... if your going that way, take the text as I post it.
> >Remember to send us a post card...
>
> Then explain your concept of load lines and how series cathode
> resistors cause degeneration. And you might also explain the
> difference between 'degeneration' and 'degenerative feedback' (and
> 'negative feedback' also, since all those terms are synonymous).

Plot the load line without the resistor, then add it in. Both the
AC and DC load lines will be different. I might explain what your
asking above, but I won't at this time.

> >> > You did not
> >> >properly address the issue because you don't understand AB2 grounded

> >> >grid circuits very well.
> >>
> >> I understand the issue very well and have previously addressed it.
> >> I'll even give you the post ID (which I have done several times
> >> already and twice today):
> >
> >I'll give you credit in the shear volume of inert gas present in
> >your posts.
>
> Just read the post, Skippy. Just think -- another post to which you
> can add your non-responsive sarcasm!

Kind of fun actually... but you are getting to be a boar.

> >> <3dcb7d8f.6835690@news.cet.com>
> >>
> >> And you are STILL avoiding the issue at hand; namely, your confusion
> >> between AC and DC grounding of a grid.
> >
> >If you can tear yourself away from your latest tangent of AC and
> >DC paths, focus on the differences in the circuits which ground
> >all grids to the same point vs the other grounded grid circuits
> >which do not. This is the direction the topic should be taken
> >as it's a very important issue you don't seem to get
> >very well.
>
> Alright then. If a grid -- any grid -- is bypassed to ground, is it
> not grounded?

Depends on the impedance of the "bypass". "All that is bypassed
is not grounded".

All that glitters is gold... only shooting stars can break the mold.

chow
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1732. Re: ads on the CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:05:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arbrn5$hf8uh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144168

"DUTCHMASTAHKILLAH" <nohooch@myhouse.com> wrote in message
news:_RdC9.18398$NV.340891@news.direcpc.com...
> Somebody on 19 can be heard in Virginia advertising a CB shop somewhere.
> (Both male and female voice advertising gas/fuel / food and CB service)

I've heard that too, but can't make out where due to the noise. I think he
usually says to go to channel 21 or something.

Tom

1733. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:26:20 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <m7OcnbpaU9616kSgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144169

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: "Perculator" <the fake rcranus@hotmail.com> who is really

No sorry I am the real rcranus@hotmail.com I am just as real as you are.

: news:<XBCdnXuILKvRrEWgXTWcpA@comcast.com>...
: > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: > : > Just goes to further prove a point Nebro, Freebanders = Federal
: > criminals

: > : So what? They still haven't shut him down. He's still holding forth on
: > : the "triple nickle" as if he were Art Bell. What's your point,

My point is he is a federal criminal what didn't you understand, and the charges Tim presented only prove the fact, i wouldn't expect anything else from him he has shown he is a federal lawbreaker and will probably break any law he see's fit.

: I'll only give you a "D" for that response,

Sorry to say I gave you a "F" for your reply

:You STILL don't know how to spell contractions after all this time.

I still don't care, if it gives jerkoffs like you something to say I think I'll keep it up.

:Another fyn produkt of the publik edjakashun sistm.

Oh that hurts is that the best you got. Lame to sya the least.

: But I'd like to know how you got to that conclusion.

Which conclusion the one that you are a Troll. Easy look at your posts.

:I posted a Fact

About time, and so did I

: Sheriff Bob was still on 555,

Who cares?

: and somehow I'm trolling.

As usual

:You post nothing but nonsense, but somehow you're NOT trolling.

There is a difference is I will admit to what I do, will you? the truth and you are strangers.

: And why you're not helping the hams keep control of their precious frequencies

I am talking on my radio right now? What are you doing to help Ham radio?

:instead of wasting all your time in a CB newsgroup like me.

Who calls it a waste? Not me I have plenty of time are you jealous? Takes only a few minute of my day.

:BTW, what happened to your alter-ego Aanal Aaron?

Why don't you ask aaron yourself I am not his keeper nor am I him. Sucks to be you huh

:He never posted his proof and has disappeared
: like most anonymous cowards do sooner or later.

He sure had you in a tizzy come to think of it you never posted your proof either, I guess you will disapper sooner or later too like most anonymous cowards do.

: Now THERE was a real Troll!

Yes it takes one to know one I guess

:Guess you figured there was no point continuing the charade since he had zero credibility, huh?

His credibility is right there with your's, And I said it once already dickhead you will have to ask aaron himself, to my knowledge he has been here a few years you can check google.

1734. will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:31:15 UTC
From: homelandcb <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com>
Source record: 144170

i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
and get 8 watts out of the thing.

takeout spamaru in mail return

1735. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:38:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utiugv6fbe8392@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144171

I don't understand. There are **three** 220 MHz repeaters in Rochester, NY, alone. One connects to the 10 meter port and other ports. Who is spreading a rumor that 220 is unused? In sparsely populated areas, perhaps (but you can likely a lot of unused frequencies in North Dakota and other sparsely populated areas). Sure, if you tune in at 3:00 AM, they are likely not to be used (along with all the other repeaters - then again, I've had some interesting QSOs on the repeaters at 3:00 AM).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9111-3DD9024D-153@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> .lose it.

1736. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:48:47 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <z%eC9.20262$hK4.1820075@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144172

Cool... you are lucky to have such an "inside" space for that antenna.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd8167b_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I don't, but some old houses have that much heighth in their attic I have
> been in, or an upstairs that can have a 9' whip in it with radials!
> Dave!

1737. Re: The Frankie G Tango via Chronicles - 11 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:51:29 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DD97D01.DDEC1CAD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144173

> "yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DD57017.DFF73B5F@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
> <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Lets break it down frankie... Conventional ALC as found in many
> >> >Amateur transceivers used with rf amplifiers consist of a hard wired
> >> >"feed back loop". The amplifier output quantity is sampled and
> >> >fed back to the transmitter ALC circuit.
> >>
> >> Exactly! The output QUANTITY, not the LINEARITY!
> >
> >Now we're movin' ...
> >
> >But it is measurably slow to react to speech
>
> If it were slow to respond to speech then every time the detector is
> triggered the whole amp would wobble like a one-legged drunk on a
> roller skate.

Pretty funny... I'm glad to see your getting your sense of humor
back.

> >My, nor most other modern amateur HF transceivers speech processors
> >are clipper circuits.
>
> For SSB speech processing you MUST have some clipping, whether it be
> with the hard clipping of diodes or the soft clipping of an AGC
> circuit or log amp. But the whole concept of SSB speech processing is
> based on the elimination of audio peaks above the normal level of
> speech that will overdrive the amp, and the ONLY way to do that is by
> clipping.

Depends on how you qualify clipping. All the clipping claims can
go out the window when your talking a DSP type of circuit... but for the
original analog world. Some people claim the "hard limiting" circuit
does not clip in the traditional sense. Many of my broadcast station
limiters do the agc and "hard limiting" operation. Symetrix is
one such circuit I can reference. Doesn't matter, if you've been
around broadcast, you know the station/program manager is gonna
crank everything up to "annoy mode plus" anyway.

It's my opinion that many Station/Program Managers and
Contest/Amplifier Operators come from the same plastic injection
mold.

> >Without proper power control, one should not rely on the mic gain to
> >ensure the amp is not over driven.
>
> You STILL don't understand the fundamentals of SSB modulation. The RF
> level varies at the rate of the audio -- the audio IS the power
> control.

Sure I do, but if you understood how some of the newer radios work,
you'd know the power control does its job pretty well. You can
cranks the heck out of the mic gain, ride it to radio ALC purgatory
and the radio power does not go above the set value. Very handy
amp over drive assurance.

> > Indication of the amplifier to
> >radio ALC circuit is too late... the poop'eth hath departed.
>
> So hath your wit.

truth'eth happens.

>
> >I need not squeeze extra wattage from my transceiver...
> >
> >> >The Galaxy circuit is novel in that it adjusts the amplifier bias
> >> >for improved linearity. Notice the detector circuit compares the
> >> >output with the input. There is no limiting action... just
> >> >bias adjustment.
> >>
> >> No kidding -- it improves linearity by pulling the amplifiers out of
> >> saturation and back into the linear portion of the EgIp curve.
> >
> >Kind of neat isn't it..? I thought it novel enough to place
> >the circuit on the sonic server amateur radio page.
>
> Nothing novel about it. Just an application of basic principles that's
> been done for a very long time.

Not in a lot of grounded grid HF amplifiers. Aside from a rather
wacky Alpha bias circuit, it's the only other example I can remember
seeing in an amateur circuit.

> >> The ALC circuit, just like any other compressor, is set to react
> >> whenever the input reaches a specific level. That level may or may not
> >> be in the linear region, but the lower the better. It also has an
> >> attack time that must be set -- again, the lower the better. Provided
> >> the amp is used according to the manufacturers recommendations and not
> >> driven beyond the ability of the ALC circuit to control the amp, there
> >> won't be ANY "poop" to deal with.
> >
> >In plain brown wrapper terms... the amplifier to transceiver loop
> >is never fast enough (there are a few exceptions for another thread).
>
> The loop is not from amplfier to transceiver, it's from input to

> output. No ALC circuit that I know of controls the power of the
> exciter.

Two types of ALC found in typical Amateur Radio Stations... on internal
to the radio, the other a wired loop from the amplifier back to the
radio. Neither should be trusted to a large extent, the latter not
at all in my opinion.

> >It should not be relied upon; especially if there is a valid power
> >control knob available on the radio.
>
> It should be used according the the manufacturer's recommendations. If
> the manufacturer says not to drive the ALC too hard, don't.

Manufacturers make mistakes as do you and I. Some are in hardware
form, others found in the printed manual.

> >> >The power control knob of a modern amateur radio should not accused
> >> >of causing distortion.
> >>
> >> Who did?
> >
> >In one post, you alluded to the mic gain as a power control.
>
> And it is. And I did not accuse it of causing distortion. You have
> misquoted me AGAIN.

Not on my radio...

"Control" implies the ability to limit power. The mic gain does
not actually function to limit power.

> >> ..."poop gate"?
> >
> >Control your max drive.. ALC and its poop generation are avoided. If
> >you were using an amplifier, you might relabel you power control knob
> >"poop gate". Below the amplifiers max drive value... resultant poop
> >generation is much reduced.
>
> The mic gain has the same effect. It's even in the manual for your
> Swan 350.

Dated material... there is no assurance that a drive "limit" is not
exceeded. There is no limit control with the mic gain.

> >> >> As far as their being too "slow", most of them begin to react after
> >> >> the very first or second RF peak. I suppose a couple peaks are going
> >> >> to sneak through before the output level is reduced, but that's a
> >> >> whole lot better than each every RF peak being clipped from a limiter.
> >> >
> >> >Most modern amateur speech processors are not limiters.
> >>
> >> The hell they aren't! Most of them work by simple diode clipping and

> >> subsequent filtering. Some work via fast AGC circuits which have the
> >> same effect, but with less filtering required because of the soft
> >> clipping of an AGC amp. There are a few speech processors that use
> >> log/anti-log amps or RF processing, but those are quite rare.
> >
> >More potty mouth... oh well. Let's get back to the real world here
> >frankie.
>
> FYI, there is a lot of profanity in the "real world", and much worse
> than "hell". What world to you live in, Skippy? Did mommy and daddy
> shelter you from all those bad people that say all those bad words?

So try a little less and make it a nicer real world frankie. Show
that you have some (even be it very little) class.

> > Most modern amateur transceivers used with the topic
> >amplifiers do not have simple diode clipping.
>
> Diode clipping and filtering is, and has always been, the most common
> method of speech processing for SSB modulation. The proof is in the
> schematics.

Not in any newer radio I've got... clipping is dated stuff. You
got any newer radio that we can talk about frank..?

> >We can dance and sing about AGC type circuits being limiters or
> >compressors.
>
> An AGC amp can do both -- it depends on the response speed.

All open for interpretation... I hear it bandied about by
various technical people and audio types. It's just about
who'evers camp you care to sleep in...

> > When we talk about an RF peak clipped or limited
> >because of the failure of the ALC to respond fast enough,
> >contributions made by a speech processor mean ... more poop..!
>
> No ALC circuit I have ever seen had such a slow response, hence my
> response saying that "I suppose" it could happen. What I HAVE seen are
> ALC circuits that are too weak to hold the RF down (of which the Swan
> is a good example), or have a threshold that is too sharp causing the
> RF to spike (which I believe was was a Motorola, but I also remember
> that the spike never got past the low-pass filter, so no harm done).

There's an Icom or Yaesu modern day horror story going on right now.
I haven't been keep up to date about it, but I could ask my friends
who found it what the current story is.

The fix is to avoid depending on them...

> >> Right effect, wrong reason. Ever read an ARRL handbook, Skippy?
> >

> >I have most of the entire collection in my Library thank you.
>
> Try reading them. Start with grounded-grid amplifiers, work your way
> up to neutralization and parasitics, and check out amplifier input
> feeds on the way. After that, educate yourself in the fundamentals of
> SSB modulation, and also learn how most speech processing is done. If
> your ego isn't shattered by then, flip back a couple chapters to
> vacuum tube fundamentals and learn how to read an EgIp graph. By then
> you should be able to describe load lines instead of having to post
> more of your avoidance BS. You should also be able to determine the
> linearity during transistion into grid current conduction. And if you
> aren't too bored with these facts maybe you can do a quick overview of
> resonant and non-resonant filters, paying special attention to pi
> tanks and LC filters.

Been there, done that coffee mug tee shirt... By the way, some of
that ARRL text comes from Rich, the guy who's web page said blows
some errors by... The other stuff I like to quote comes from
William Orr who wrote 20 something plus Handbooks... you also don't
seem to like what he has to say even though he was an Eimac Engineer
(now sk).

So I give them credit for hundreds of articles and text in 25
plus Handbooks published through the recent years which agree
with other books I have read in depth.

We're still waiting for you to tell us what if any books with
RF Amplifier information/projects you reference..?

> >Right effect, one of the reasons.
>
> Your 'reason' is based on flawed information. Like I said, try READING
> those manuals instead of just collecting them so you can show them off
> to people to give them the false impression that you actually know
> something when you don't.

And the name of the book your referencing rf amplifier text from..?

> >> >> >Many Amateurs using ALC are clueless about the actual
> >> >> > circuit operation.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yourself included.

> >But you say they work... I say they do not work well enough to be
> >relied upon... swan or no swan radio.
>
> I said that they work within their limitations. You misquoted me
> AGAIN.

Their limitations include a time constant.

> >> >> >Before the ALC acts (time constant)... unwanted poop is piped out the
> >> >> >coax connector to the antenna.

> >> >>
> >> >> See above.
> >> >
> >> >I can... You "suppose" poop happens, I know "poop happens". Hey..!
> >> >Frankie helped me make a funny... :-)
> >>
> >> I said that I suppose there is a very small and insignificant amount
> >> of time where a signal will slip past an ALC circuit when it's working
> >> correctly and not overdriven, or couldn't you gather that much from
> >> the context? I also said that speech compression causes distortion of
> >> the audio input to the amp, which it does. Have you thought about
> >> getting one of those glare-screens for your computer monitor?
> >
> >If that ALC loop is a'workin' chances are good that your'a poop'n
>
> You whine about me saying 'hell', yet you make constant references to
> feces? You are not only a technically incompetent liar, you are also a
> hypocrite. Just like the way you accuse me of trying to change the
> subject when you do it yourself, or how you accuse me of editing your
> quotes but you snip very relavent material from mine and reply as if
> it wasn't there. Matter of fact, check out the very first reply to me
> in this entire discussion. You called me dumb, ignorant, and twice
> called me stupid. And YOU have the gall to say that -I- am rude or
> have a potty mouth? What a pathetic piece of trash you are, Skippy,
> and I'm more than happy to point out every single shred of technical
> bullshit you spew! You can whine about this paragraph, too, and I hope
> you do because it only reinforces everything I just said. So go ahead,
> Skippy, whine away!!!

Now your getting bitter again... pretty much proof that your ALC boat
has now sank.

> >About speech compression... sure in the pure at heart world it does
> >distort or modify the audio... some circuits ok, some not so ok. But
> >we do not equate a modified higher level of average audio as an
> >automatic source of rf wave form distortion.
>
> I did NOT say it was an automatic source of RF distortion. I said that
> speech processing (not compression) for SSB requires clipping, which
> IS an automatic source of AUDIO distortion. You misquoted me AGAIN.

Slow ALC operation results in amplifier over drive conditions unless
you have a true power control. Many modern day amateur radios have
power control.

> >When your over driving
> >an rf amplifier... your putting too much rf into it.
>
> At least you got that much right.

>
> >> >Depending on ALC action most often results in over driven amplifiers,

> >> >dat's da fact jack...
> >>
> >> No doubt that ALC circuits are frequently overdriven. Some people just
> >> gotta have those extra few watts!
> >
> >Your starting to read like a nice guy here frank... careful now. Any
> >trip down the HF bands finds bad operators "lids". Sad part is that
> >they don't realize a much smaller cleaner signal sounds better on the
> >receiving end.
> >>
> >> >RF Limiters are another animal which cover a lot of ground. Without
> >> >you going off to never never land again... how about you first read
> >> >and understand how the power control knob on a modern amateur radio
> >> >actually works...
> >>
> >> By adjusting the bias, attenuating the input, and/or varying the
> >> screen current of the common-cathode final. What's your point? And
> >> what does that have to do with RF limiters?
> >
> >It's actually specific to the transmitter circuit... I use a solid state
> >transceiver for the most part. I don't want to exit into the rf
> >limiter topic as it's hairier and longer than our jousts might
> >ever result over this bit of shuffleboard. Leave the RF Limiter
> >topic for another time.
>
> I answered your question and now you want to drop the subject saying
> it's too complicated. What a suprise.

You want to check your remark about varying the screen current..?

> >> >Controlling or limiting the output power of a modern amateur radio is
> >> >not the distortion generation device your trying to describe....
> >> >really frankie, read up on it before you comment please.
> >>
> >> You are confusing 'limiting' and 'compression', Skippy. A limiter
> >> prevents a signal from exceeding a specific level, usually by
> >> clipping. It distorts the signal. A compressor (of which the ALC
> >> circuit is an example) decreases the gain and/or input according to
> >> the output level. The signal remains relatively unscathed.
> >
> >I'm talking about the power control knob on my modern (or semi
> >modern) Amateur Radio. It limits drive power, doesn't touch or
> >effect the audio.
>
> What does that have to do with your confusion between 'limiting' and
> 'compression'? And didn't you say you wanted to drop this topic?

When you have an rf amplifier in compression, its not as linear
as when it was not in compression.

> >The two available speech processors are not power controls, nor
> >do they interact with the power control function of the radio.
>
> Now where did I say that the speech processors are power controls? I
> didn't. You misquoted me AGAIN.

Never said you did...

> You know what, Skippy? This whole conversation has been nothing but
> you misquoting my posts, changing the subject when things get too
> complicated, tossing out stupid catch phrases when you have no idea of
> what you are talking about, etc, etc, etc. Is this your normal
> behavior, or did you go to a special school for the technically
> handicapped?

Your starting to read like you haven't even got much rf amplifier
experience under your large belt frankie. Now your getting mad
because your lack of experience is really starting to show.

> >Some of us use the power control knob on our transceivers. Amateur
> >HF Radios without true power control knobs require closer attention
> >to prevent over driving external amplifiers.
>
> I'm not going to repeat myself any further just because you won't
> learn the fundamentals of SSB modulation.

The mic gain is not a power limit control frankie...

> >I'm telling you that I can set the power control on my radio to
> >keep the radio from over driving the amplifier. I don't bother
> >with the amplifier ALC wiring as its not needed.
>
> If you can keep the peaks from clipping without an ALC circuit then
> you probably don't need one. But most people do not monitor their
> signal on a sampling o-scope, either.

On a modern day transceiver, one can simply dial up the max power
level and relax. Mic Gain, ALC and Speech Processing pretty much
only hose up the audio quality from that that first power control
adjustment. The amplifier is not over driven... clean rf signal,
audio as you like should be what comes out the pipe.

> >If your using your ALC with a radio that can over drive the connected
> >amplifier, you are almost always going to over drive the amp in
> >"normal transmissions".
>
> Not necessarily, and that's a very broad statement.

Based on the almost majority of examples, often demonstrated
on the Amateur Bands... it's right on.

>
> >Yeah, Radio Amplifier connected ALC has its limitations...
> >its simply not fast enough. I'm not ignoring that fact.

>
> You are ignoring the operating principles of the circuit.
> And you are still ignoring my earlier posts.

I'm going to miss some of them because of the shear volume of
text you posted. I'll answer back what I can get to as time
allows.

It's a lot of fun watching you face the real world. I hope
your smiling franklin. Now go shave.

chow for now
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1738. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-18 23:52:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <P2fC9.20268$hK4.1819567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144174

You'll probably have to make your own...
I made a similar setup up there once from a mobile (base loaded) antenna and four radials made of aluminum grounding wire tacked to the ceiling joists. The match was pulled in via antenna tuner, and it worked like a million bucks...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:3ACB9.510$Kw6.368732@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Are radioshack products any good? I see some people busting on them. Does
> > anyone know of a good cb radio store in the naperville (chicago) illinois
> > area. I an looking to get a base antenna for my attic.

1739. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 00:22:29 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <9vfC9.78827$QZ.12260@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144175

No......doesn't work that way. Sorry.

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

1740. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 00:41:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utj26rko6jr228@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144176

No - you'll fry the radio. Usually, doubling the voltage to a given load will quadruple the power. The radio itself is designed for 12 volts and most of the components won't handle too much more. Amps are another thing - they don't have a lot of low power stuff (such as a PLL or receiving components) and sometime guys may feed more voltage to them (if they know what they are doing). I won't get into legalities, I'm talking actuality - don't try feeding excessive voltage to your cb radio. They say 12 volts but are designed for 13.7 (normal with the engine running and the charging system working normally) and will usually handle 16 (note the word 'usually' - I wouldn't try it). Beyond that, the caps may well spread aluminum foil around inside the radio, transistors will short, resistors will smoke and you will be one unhappy camper. BTW, I've seen a UHF radio that suffered the consequences when a voltage regulator in a vehicle died and subjected the system to overvoltage - shorted cap, burned resistors, and one shorted final. Problem is, although it was fixable, one couldn't guarantee that it would continue to work as the whole thing was stressed. We wouldn't fix it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

1741. Looking for ham radio or cb radio store in Waco Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 00:45:01 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0211181645.1d39542@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144177

I am looking for any good cb radio or ham radio stores in Waco Tx. I am look for a store that sells antennas and antenna towers and electronic parts.

1742. Re: Looking for ham radio or cb radio store in Waco Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 00:50:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arc1s4$hg5lf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144178

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0211181645.1d39542@posting.google.com...
> I am looking for any good cb radio or ham radio stores in Waco Tx. I
> am look for a store that sells antennas and antenna towers and
> electronic parts.

For electronic components, I highly recommend All Electronics. They're much cheaper than Ripoff Shack, but they charge a flat rate of $6.00. So you'd need to wait until you need a bunch of stuff to make the shipping worth it.

Tom

1743. Re: Radioshack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 00:53:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021118195301.00339.00000028@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 144179

www.bills2way.com

>> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>> news:3ACB9.510$Kw6.368732@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
>> > Are radioshack products any good? I see some people busting on them.
>Does
>> > anyone know of a good cb radio store in the naperville (chicago)
>illinois
>> > area. I an looking to get a base antenna for my attic.
>
>

1744. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 01:35:38 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037669888.778076@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144180

AARRHHH!! , Don't listen to these Guy's .
Of course You will get more watts by inducing excessive voltage .
Just make damn sure You have Your watt meter in line so that You won't miss
that spectacular split second of extra watts.
"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

1745. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 02:48:27 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DD9C242.756A10EF@attbi.com>
Source record: 144181

The only problem with this, is the high RF interference these supplies could produce as there is no RF filtering built into them.

Lou wrote:

> have a bunch to sell too, if anyone is in need. All were pulled from older
> yet working systems. E: MAIL: TECH@DP.NET
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:arbc7d$g6080$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > How to turn a computer power supply into a 12VDC power supply.
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/pwrsupply.html
> >
> > --
> > Tom Sevart
> > Frontenac, KS
> > Amateur N2UHC
> > Registered Monitor KKS0CE
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc
> >

1746. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 03:07:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DD9AB0E.4E63573E@cwis.net>
Source record: 144182

Oh! I see, this is some kind of 'twisted' thinking that
is supposed to make 'CB'ers happy? Or, maybe it's you that's
happy? Or, maybe it's supposed to be some kind of 'mystical'
prophantasy of things to come? Or maybe you just don't have
any idea of where you're posting it? 'Twisted', I think you
just made the filter list. I can put up with 'silly', but have
a hard time putting up with 'stupid'...
'Doc

1747. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 03:56:36 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <u_GcnTfuZ8IdK0SgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144183

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DD9AB0E.4E63573E@cwis.net...
:
:
: Oh! I see, this is some kind of 'twisted' thinking that
: is supposed to make 'CB'ers happy? Or, maybe it's you that's
: happy? Or, maybe it's supposed to be some kind of 'mystical'
: prophantasy of things to come? Or maybe you just don't have
: any idea of where you're posting it? 'Twisted', I think you
: just made the filter list. I can put up with 'silly', but have
: a hard time putting up with 'stupid'...
: 'Doc

LOL took awhile Doc but I see he broke you too. He is like chafing
underwear you can only put up with it for so long.

1748. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 03:58:00 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <UracnZPCoZRJK0SgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144184

"stevejfranklin" <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3DD9C242.756A10EF@attbi.com...
: The only problem with this, is the high RF interference these supplies
could
: produce as there is no RF filtering built into them.
:

Thats usually what happens with switching supplies good for non RF use.

:
: Lou wrote:
:
: > have a bunch to sell too, if anyone is in need. All were pulled from
older
: > yet working systems. E: MAIL: TECH@DP.NET
: >
: > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: > news:arbc7d$g6080$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
: > > How to turn a computer power supply into a 12VDC power supply.
: > >
: > > http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/pwrsupply.html
: > >
: > > --
: > > Tom Sevart
: > > Frontenac, KS
: > > Amateur N2UHC
: > > Registered Monitor KKS0CE
: > >
: > > http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc
: > >
:

1749. Rodger Beep Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:01:02 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118230102.21188.00005548@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 144185

I can't seem to install a rodger beep right ,the radio is a Realistic trc 492 base station
the beep is a workman ,and if i hook it up per instructions the radio's meter and modeulation doesn't work

can anyone help me out

1750. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:06:00 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <FO2dnTL4UP4NJUSgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144186

> Thats usually what happens with switching supplies good for non RF use.

Poorly built/designed ones anyway.
I power my whole bench from a switcher, FT-847 160-10,6,2,440 rig, two scanners, two fixed 2m rigs, and 915 MHz TV transciever, and various test gear.
There's measurable noise but only just, and not at any interesting frequencies.

1751. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:06:49 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <yYOdnckZQ-ZcJUSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144187

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.

Yes, but only for a very short time.

Then the smoke comes out.

Very bad idea.

1752. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:16:23 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <rWiC9.47188$WL3.19197@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144188

a lot of aircraft run on 28 volts..cb should too ! dont stop at 24 whimpy volts....

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

1753. Re: Rodger Beep Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:53:12 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD9C3B8.E71B934E@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144189

The beep takes over the switching. The radio should receive without
a mike if you are hooked up right. The transmit wire from the mike
keys the beep. The beep switches the T/R leads. You have to solder
them to the tabs on the beep. The receive wire from the mike is
not attached to anything.

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> I can't seem to install a rodger beep right ,the radio is a Realistic trc 492
> base station
> the beep is a workman ,and if i hook it up per instructions the radio's meter
> and modeulation doesn't work
>
> can anyone help me out

1754. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 04:58:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gyjC9.1265$nj2.58291423@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144190

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:m7OcnbpaU9616kSgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> : "Perculator" <the fake rcranus@hotmail.com> who is really
>
> No sorry I am the real rcranus@hotmail.com I am just as >real as you are.

No, actually you are the real dick head that
keeps changing his nic for attention, isn't that right
little man?

Landshark

--
Please note that any post that is from
S3Buell_SBCGlobailnet@hotmail.com
is a FORGE. My Addy is always going to
be from SBCGlobal.Net This person has
been a troll on Rec.Radio.CB for a long
time now. If you want to send a complaint,
forward each message complete with headers
to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. abuse@hotmail.com,
groups-abuse@google.com
Beware of George WA3MOJ

1755. Re: Aaron and Dwight both missing? Hmmm.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 05:12:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211182112.348a0dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144191

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<9113-3DD8FE56-19@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I heard it had something to do with his criminal mind and
> nature..something about not following the rules he agreed to abide by
> then selectively breaking the ones he felt entitled.

noticed that too huh??? interesting......no dwight and no
aaron.......what a deal..............

1756. cheesecutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 05:37:47 UTC
From: homelandcb <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h7jjtukrbcabq68kjr446pccrmvht96rr8@4ax.com>
Source record: 144192

maybe they went on vacation or under your bed
takeout spamaru

On 18 Nov 2002 21:12:35 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<9113-3DD8FE56-19@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>> I heard it had something to do with his criminal mind and
>> nature..something about not following the rules he agreed to abide by
>> then selectively breaking the ones he felt entitled.
>
>
>noticed that too huh??? interesting......no dwight and no
>aaron.......what a deal.............

1757. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 06:05:16 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021119010516.25777.00000164@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 144193

And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house and plugged it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!

"Got RF?"

1758. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 06:09:01 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DD9D57D.E49D8A94@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144194

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house and plugged
> it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!
>

More spectacular then a Leonid fireball!!!

1759. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 06:09:57 UTC
From: Anonymous <Nobody>
Message-ID: <3dd928b5_3@anonymous>
Source record: 144195

Dave, thanks for the reply. Just to fill in the details I missed on the first post, yes, checked the mic. Actually, putting a d104 on it made the condition worse. Stock mic was the best sounding, or more like put the least signal into the ailing part. The receive side of the rig is unaffected, sounds fine. Did a few test talks on sideband tonight. There was also a problem in this mode. The operators I had contact with reported weak modulation on sideband and I noted very low RF output, even at 100% mic gain (under 10 watts maxed out swing - compared to 22-24 watts on AM). What WAS interesting is that they all reported that the audio DID have the higher frequency components of the audio present on sideband. I also checked the output of the PA and just talking thru it the audio spectrum seemed to be normal. This radio has had the mod limiter clipped and the "high power conversion" done, a "dial-a-watt" installed and its been channeled. Also, it worked fine for several years after the mods were done, it just up and started this one day between keyups.

Your suggestion of sweeping it with the scope was what I figured I was gonna end up doing. Have to track down who borrowed my signal generator before I can go into that. Was really hoping that someone could "reach into the bag of tricks".

If / when I track it down I'll be sure to post the results back to the group.

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DD8DFBA.4F18@worldlynx.net...
> Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > I'm working on a friends Cobra 142 GTL. The issue I'm attempting to solve
> > is muddy, bassy modulation. It sounds like all the audio frequencies from
> > about 750-1k up are filtered out of the transmit modulation. Other then
> > this the radio works fine. Excellent RF carrier etc. I changed the AN612
> > audio chip hoping that was it but no improvement. I also changed out the
> > 47uf cap between pin 9 and 10 on TA7222AP per another techs suggestion with
> > no improvement. The radio was talking fine one day and just started
> > sounding like this. Any suggestions on solving this would be great.
>
> I'd like to be able to reach into my bag of tricks and give you the one
> component solution, but this specific problem has never happened to me.
>

> I've got to ask the simple question, did you try a different mike? I've
> seen this type of problem happen due to mike related problems, more so
> than radio problems.
>
> If you've ruled out the mike, then the best way to find the problem is
> by using a variable frequency audio generator, and sweeping the audio
> stages, looking with a scope at each point, until you find the stage
> that's killing your high end frequencies.
>
> Is this problem on receive as well? If not, you can pretty much
> eliminate all common audio components (including the audio amp chip, the
> TA7222) that are shared on both receive and transmit. That leaves the
> mike preamp circuits, and the regulator/modulator. Is it on SSB and AM?
> Another path to go down. The more you can eliminate, the easier it will
> be to find.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1760. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 06:51:43 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <Gk2dnQ1fjpcQQkSgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144196

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:FO2dnTL4UP4NJUSgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
:
:
: > Thats usually what happens with switching supplies good for non RF use.
:
: Poorly built/designed ones anyway.
: I power my whole bench from a switcher, FT-847 160-10,6,2,440 rig, two
: scanners, two fixed 2m rigs, and 915 MHz TV transciever, and various test
: gear.
: There's measurable noise but only just, and not at any interesting
: frequencies.

I am sure it was made for running RF equipment your computer supply is not,
gorp.

1761. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 06:55:05 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <0E2dnTBxUfHKfUSgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144297

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:gyjC9.1265$nj2.58291423@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
: news:m7OcnbpaU9616kSgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
: >
: > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: >
: > : "Perculator" <the fake rcranus@hotmail.com> who is really
: >
: > No sorry I am the real rcranus@hotmail.com I am just as >real as you
are.
:
: No, actually you are the real dick head that
: keeps changing his nic for attention, isn't that right
: little man?
:
: Landshark

Better watch it landdick before I report you to your ISP. Cry as you must I have used the rcranus nic for 2 weeks norw at least and you still cry. Eat shit WQueer bait. Go back to where you belong trolling alt.sex.transexual. Your posts are nothing but harrasing and accusatory ith no basis or fact get over it you been cold busted freak.

1762. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 07:42:48 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211182342.cac462f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144298

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<cJWdnVeGp6u9b0igXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> > Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
>
> Absolutely!
>
> Snowed over here this evening, watch for ice!

I know, Me and James, W8WW Went to the fest and we did see the snow
when we arrived in Fort Wayne, In. early Saturday Morning.

Was a great swap... Didn't sell much, as, the people were not
buying...But, all in all I had a good time, Not ever going with W8WW
again, but that's another story...

1763. Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 07:44:44 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211182344.7db8d0de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144299

"The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<cXmB9.386$%32.14062@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I have a very good selection of CB, Ham, Audio, Sweep and Transmitting
> tubes.
> Please Email me with your needs....include tube number as well as quanity of
> each.
>
> THANX
> thetubeman@earthlink.net <<click to send email<<

I can beat your prices any fucking day of the week, I can sell 6LQ6's
for 45.00 bucks all day long and still make money...

so there!

-chuck

1764. Re: Need a 12V power supply? Try this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 07:59:48 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <vFSdnRznT9HBckSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144300

> I am sure it was made for running RF equipment your computer supply is
not,
> gorp.

It's a Samlex battery charger from Radio Shack online.

1765. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 12:00:12 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <gJpC9.74532$NH2.4665@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 144301

Reminds me of a device that "Kris" manufactured in the early 70s. It provided about 135 vac instead of 117, so you just plugged the rig into that via the wall receptacle. Not very good on the radio, but you would see a few watts increase. Not worth it, really. it was called the "Range Boost". LOL....Any of you guys remember that? It was in the old Henshaw's catalog for several years.

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

1766. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 12:27:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA2E32.571E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144302

Anonymous wrote:
>
> Dave, thanks for the reply. Just to fill in the details I missed on the
> first post, yes, checked the mic. Actually, putting a d104 on it made the
> condition worse. Stock mic was the best sounding, or more like put the
> least signal into the ailing part. The receive side of the rig is
> unaffected, sounds fine. Did a few test talks on sideband tonight. There
> was also a problem in this mode. The operators I had contact with reported
> weak modulation on sideband and I noted very low RF output, even at 100% mic
> gain (under 10 watts maxed out swing - compared to 22-24 watts on AM). What
> WAS interesting is that they all reported that the audio DID have the higher
> frequency components of the audio present on sideband. I also checked the
> output of the PA and just talking thru it the audio spectrum seemed to be
> normal. This radio has had the mod limiter clipped and the "high power
> conversion" done, a "dial-a-watt" installed and its been channeled. Also,
> it worked fine for several years after the mods were done, it just up and
> started this one day between keyups.
>
> Your suggestion of sweeping it with the scope was what I figured I was gonna
> end up doing. Have to track down who borrowed my signal generator before I
> can go into that. Was really hoping that someone could "reach into the bag
> of tricks".
>
> If / when I track it down I'll be sure to post the results back to the
> group.

Actually, you added a few crucial pieces of information. The fact that receive works ok, and the PA function works ok, pretty much eliminates the majority of the audio stages. Couple this with your report of low SSB power (But good audio fidelity), and I am suspecting that the problem is in the regulator/modulator area. You should be seeing a full 12V+ on the collectors of both the final and driver in SSB mode. This should allow you to see full power. If the voltage is low, then that suggests that the regulator is not biased at full "on" in SSB, and a signal of a problem.

IS the AM mode still producing the proper power and the proper modulation waveform? My guess is that looking at the modulation on a scope will reveal that something is not right. Check the regulator/modulator area first.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1767. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 14:57:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <SjsC9.588$D11.59216054@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144304

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:0E2dnTBxUfHKfUSgXTWcoA@comcast.com...
> Better watch it landdick before I report you to your ISP. Cry as you must
I
> have used the rcranus nic for 2 weeks norw at least and you still cry. Eat
> shit WQueer bait. Go back to where you belong trolling
>alt.sex.transexual.
> Your posts are nothing but harrasing and accusatory ith no >basis or fact
get
> over it you been cold busted freak.

rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.amateur.policy
misc.transport.trucking
alt.radio.pirate

Searched Groups for author:rcranus@hotmail.com. Results 1 -
34 of about 78. Search took 1.37 seconds

rec.radio.cb

Searched Groups for author:rcranus@hotmail.spamcom. Results
1 - 3 of about 5. Search took 0.84 seconds.

LOL!, go ahead, I did nothing wrong. You on
the other hand changed from : rcranus@hotmail.com
to: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom, so lie if you must, but you again changed your
nic to by pass news reader filters.
Every time you post the above dribble, you show everyone
what kind of person you really are.

Landshark

And just for you:

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as
rcranus@hotmail.spamcom
rcranus@hotmail.com
fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com

Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

1768. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:15:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4300-3DDA5581-518@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144308

From: tiny@bubbles.com (B.Hitt)
OZARK333 wrote:
And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house and plugged it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!

>More spectacular then a Leonid fireball!!!<

There were plenty of us that were actually "listening" to the meteor shower. Frank probably already knows this, but one could tune into 90.1 FM and hear the "bounce" or "echo" of radio signals off the tail of the shower.....

1769. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:22:59 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <nHsC9.1604$4j5.947762@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144303

You'll see that the day he proves I'm a troll from FRN...

Aaron
KB8ZVN

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote
He never posted his proof and has disappeared
> like most anonymous cowards do sooner or later. Now THERE was a real
> Troll! Guess you figured there was no point continuing the charade
> since he had zero credibility, huh?

1770. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:26:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4300-3DDA582B-519@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144309

From: w5lz@cwis.net ('Doc)
>Oh! I see, this is some kind of 'twisted' thinking >that is supposed to make 'CB'ers happy?

It is?
You missing posts again? Many cbers in here have their ticket, and most, if not all hammies in here are cbers and/orfreebanders...so your efforts at pigeonholing and separating cbers from hammies is null and void.

>Or, maybe it's you that's happy?<

I'm always happy, Paul. And I manage to maintain my same email addy.

> Or, maybe it's supposed to be some kind of >'mystical' prophantasy of things to come?

Hey, the writing has always been on the wall. Refusal to see it stems from denial from those like you. As usual, you shoot the messenger.....easy as hell to typify you and the nocode Dogma bums.

>Or maybe you just don't have any idea of >where you're posting it?

Yea, that may be, considering I don't post anywhere else.

>'Twisted', I think you just made the filter list.<

Pointing out your pulled ISP piss you off that much? Then you better killfile me now AND behave yourself. As you and your nocode buds have found,,,,,you're back out.

>I can put up with 'silly', but have a hard time >putting up with 'stupid'...
>   'Doc

Stupid is as stupid does, Paul. What happened to your last service? Stupidity on your behalf caused it to be pulled.

1771. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:28:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4300-3DDA5895-520@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144310

WA3MOJ wrote:
>LOL took awhile Doc but I see he broke you >too. He is like chafing
underwear you can only >put up with it for so long.

I have no such powers, despite what y'all attribute to me. Paul "broke"
himself with sloppy and careless moves.

1772. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:32:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119103237.16744.00000012@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144305

hey doc, i wish i could filter your fat ass but as fast as you break TOS violations and have to get new ISP's its hard keeping track of you. i never seen a big man move so much.

>
> Oh! I see, this is some kind of 'twisted' thinking that
>is supposed to make 'CB'ers happy? Or, maybe it's you that's
>happy? Or, maybe it's supposed to be some kind of 'mystical'
>prophantasy of things to come? Or maybe you just don't have
>any idea of where you're posting it? 'Twisted', I think you
>just made the filter list. I can put up with 'silly', but have
>a hard time putting up with 'stupid'...
> 'Doc
>
>
>
>
>
>

1773. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:39:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119103900.16744.00000013@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144306

duh you dumb ass. he knows theres "something not right" no scope needed for that.

this poster should join the mailinglist at www.cbtricks.com and they probably would have already figured out whats wrong with it. all of the experienced techs are there and its likely a few of them have ran into your problems before no matter what that problem is.

>My guess is that looking at the modulation on a
>scope will reveal that something is not right. Check the
>regulator/modulator area first.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>

1774. Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 15:40:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119104035.16744.00000014@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144307

yeah but who would want to buy from a unstable, nontrustworthy dickhead, who's likely to rip them off?

>
>I can beat your prices any fucking day of the week, I can sell 6LQ6's
>for 45.00 bucks all day long and still make money...
>
>so there!
>
>-chuck
>
>
>
>
>
>

1775. FS: 8877

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 16:12:49 UTC
From: thetubeman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5qtC9.6323$fY3.654278@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144311

I have a good supply of like new full output Eimac 8877 pulls-$350/each
+shipping. These tubes have been tested and satisfaction is assured.
If you have an interest contact me.

Thanx
Richard Hale
THE TUBE MAN
902 Lothian Dr
Tallahassee, Fl 32312
850 386-2729
thetubeman@earthlink.net

1776. President modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 17:07:41 UTC
From: Harmon Seaver <hseaver@cybershamanix.com>
Message-ID: <xduC9.1320$Kw6.819391@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144312

Can anyone tell me which President radios are modifiable and/or where
I can find info on mods for them? Thanx.

1777. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 17:41:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA77B6.29B2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144314

Busch Master wrote:
>
> duh you dumb ass. he knows theres "something not right" no scope needed for
> that.

You should stick to opposing the law and feigning ignorance at courtesy
and common sense, and leave the troubleshooting to those of us that have
been doing it for longer than you've been alive....

Had your momma been a person of moral conviction, she would've told you
that if you can't say something nice, don't say anything.

>
> this poster should join the mailinglist at www.cbtricks.com and they probably
> would have already figured out whats wrong with it.

A bunch of people who push the limits of good engineering practice for
the sole purpose of stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound
like the best place to find solid troubleshooting advice.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1778. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 17:43:41 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0211190943.54565996@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144313

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20021119010516.25777.00000164@mb-ci.aol.com>...
> And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house and plugged
> it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!

I've actually heard of someone trying that before... Plugged the
black & red wires right into the wall outlet.

Tom

1779. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 17:49:52 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <tq6dnU1MmtBT5EegXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144315

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4300-3DDA582B-519@storefull-

>I'm always happy, Paul. And I manage to maintain my same email addy.

Many of us do much to the dismay of some in the group, but then again I
don't ever remember anyone saying they were trying to TOS you.

1780. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 17:53:34 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <3a6dnYwnDqQ250egXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144316

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021119103237.16744.00000012@mb-co.aol.com...
: hey doc, i wish i could filter your fat ass but as fast as you break TOS
: violations and have to get new ISP's its hard keeping track of you. i
never
: seen a big man move so much.

Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I have yet to see any in this NG.
You guys are really desperate to silence those against Illegal CB.

1781. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:02:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119130236.16721.00000045@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144317

the federal criminal Dave Hall wrote:>
>Had your momma been a person of moral conviction, she would've told you
>that if you can't say something nice, don't say anything.

damn, first you talk about my mother then you say if i dont have anything nice to say not to say anythinga t all. when will you start following your own advice?

>A bunch of people who push the limits of good engineering practice for
>the sole purpose of stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound
>like the best place to find solid troubleshooting advice.

sounds like a touch of jealousy mister hall. whats the matter still upset because you cant hang with the real cb techs? its amazing how on one hand you compare yourself and claim to be better than these cb guru's and on the other hand claim they dont know what there talking about. eitner, tollfree, concreteman, and the others blow you away when it comes to offering helpfull and accurate info regarding cb radios on a daily basis and here you are all high and mighty because your trying to help just one guy. go back to playing with your davemade cb amp.

1782. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:03:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119130326.16721.00000046@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144318

did i say it was on the newsgroup?

>
>Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I have yet to see any in this NG.
>You guys are really desperate to silence those against Illegal CB.
>

1783. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:06:56 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <fqSdnU04Z95Q4EegXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144319

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:SjsC9.588$D11.59216054@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
: news:0E2dnTBxUfHKfUSgXTWcoA@comcast.com...
: > Better watch it landdick before I report you to your ISP. Cry as you
must
: I
: > have used the rcranus nic for 2 weeks norw at least and you still cry.
Eat
: > shit WQueer bait. Go back to where you belong trolling
: >alt.sex.transexual.
: > Your posts are nothing but harrasing and accusatory ith no >basis or
fact
: get
: > over it you been cold busted freak.
:
: rec.radio.cb
: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: misc.transport.trucking
: alt.radio.pirate
:
: Searched Groups for author:rcranus@hotmail.com. Results 1 -
: 34 of about 78. Search took 1.37 seconds
:
:
:
:
:
: rec.radio.cb
:
:
: Searched Groups for author:rcranus@hotmail.spamcom. Results
: 1 - 3 of about 5. Search took 0.84 seconds.
:
:
:
: LOL!, go ahead, I did nothing wrong. You on
: the other hand changed from : rcranus@hotmail.com
: to: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom, so lie if you must, but you again changed
your
: nic to by pass news reader filters.

Well FagShark that is your opinion as noted the spam was put there to avoid just that spam, so get that dick outcha eye.

: Every time you post the above dribble, you show everyone
: what kind of person you really are.

Yes and everytime you post it shows what a crying pansy you are. You cry about filters and yet continue to talk and answer me. Let's see what kinda of gumption you have you are your own best Filter there is no reason to read or reply to any of my posts, If I change names and you know it is me why are you still reading my post. ???? I will tell you why yo like the challenge you like being dominated, your a sick saditic individual who thrives on the pain I deal you as noted by your earlier excursions to the alt.sex NG's. You have no self discipline, you must read my posts whether they are blocked or not. What If I go over Mopar's house and post from his computer will you then block his name, or will you be "forced" to read my post.This is a CB NG why not concern yourself with CB topics instead of me and how many times I change my name. After all It may break your AUP if you don't.

:
: Landshark
:
: And just for you:

LOL only 2 of the names hahaha are me posting , I am not Aaron voobner, I thought dwigth stewart was? fagboy@msm.com has been used in 5 poststhe last was in June 15, Uh 5 months ago. BTW, please keep reporting to my ISP that I am aaron voobner and doing all the horrible things you accuse me of, you go into their trash bin real quick. Lord knows you have tried , and failed so far hmm just maybe you are wrong , never thought of that did ya. Thanks For Playing.

:
: --
:
:
: Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
: He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
: and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
: just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
: no useful content at all.
: Currently posting as
: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom
: rcranus@hotmail.com
: fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
: aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
: Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
: Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
: complain about how this person is using false headers
: with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
: filters.
:
:

1784. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:07:30 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <VWSdnUcoMMIt4EegXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144320

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:nHsC9.1604$4j5.947762@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
: You'll see that the day he proves I'm a troll from FRN...
:
: Aaron
: KB8ZVN

Where are you a troll from then?

1785. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:08:18 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <yjidnVeK3PGC40egXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144321

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021119103900.16744.00000013@mb-co.aol.com...
: duh you dumb ass. he knows theres "something not right" no scope needed
for
: that.

yeah LOL that's the one were Troll Free and Eitner have there pissing
matches. LOL

1786. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:15:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <m1vC9.31480$dr6.23012@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144323

Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3442abbf.0211190943.54565996@posting.google.com...
> ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20021119010516.25777.00000164@mb-ci.aol.com>...
> > And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house and
plugged
> > it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!
>
> I've actually heard of someone trying that before... Plugged the
> black & red wires right into the wall outlet.
>
> Tom

Hmmmmm......was he blinded by the prospect of mo' watts and mo' "swang", or
the smoke and
sparks? LMAO!

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

1787. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:15:35 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15653-3DDA7FC7-369@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144327

MY D104 MIC WORKS GREAT ON MY 142

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

1788. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:17:37 UTC
From: the ole bignose <slac3@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dda8049@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 144322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan is right. What the heck? You don't
need no "steenkin badges" on ham radio anymore! (do you ??)
Some guy who calls himself "orange blossom" checks into
the 75 Meter 3.860 Militia Net at 8:00
PM EST every night. Guy don't have any ham call
and is welcome checked in by the NCS "no-problemo".
[O.B. is allegedly with the Michigan Militia
out of Dexter Mich. Mr. FCC] Guess what also..
..they've now established 7.275 mHz SSB
(40m) as the "24/7 Michigan Militia callup freq"
or so said someone up there last nite. No license
needed too! real nice.

(So much for Mr Hollingsworth's "stellar"
enforcement record eh folks....?? Better send
in Homeland Security pronto Uncle Sam...)

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arb87r$v5v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Just pick a frequency. Operate on it. Claim its yours because no one else
> is there. Call it Freeband Too.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "10squared" <news@firewallinabox.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd86d0b_7@nopics.sjc...
> > Hello,
> >
> > Is there a band and appropriate radios for the following set of
> > requirements:
> >
> > 1) Must allow commercial traffic
> > 2) Air to ground, ground to ground in hilly terrain, and ground to air
> > communications of up to 20 miles.
> > 3) Portable equipment (handheld)
> > 4) Little competing traffic
> > 5) Under $300 per radio
> >
> >
> > I know that amateur equipment is disallowed due to the commercial usage
> > requirement. Aviation bands are out due to line of sight nature of
signal
> > at aviation freqs. Not sure about CB because of amount of competing
> > traffic, but this seems to be around the right frequency. Any

suggestions,
> > such as a commercial band around the CB freqs? Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Cheers,
> >
> > Jim
>
>

1789. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:23:31 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ardvi2$ha6eh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144324

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:m1vC9.31480$dr6.23012@news.bellsouth.net...
>
>
> Hmmmmm......was he blinded by the prospect of mo' watts and mo' "swang",
or
> the smoke and
> sparks? LMAO!
>

I think he just didn't know enough to realize he needed 12VDC instead of
110AC.

Tom

1790. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:24:17 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <llvC9.335861$S8.6536349@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144325

Yes, I have now reread it a few times and have concluded that I do not have
enough
skill and education to use the power meter to measure the non-dead key
output accurately even though
the power meter has a PEP setting.

I may take another run at the test by generating a tone at some frequency
using my PC and
some custom programming. I will then take the sound that comes out of the pc
speakers, record it on tape and
take it out to the cb and play it through the mike.

Of course this is nowhere near as accurate as Bill E.'s scientific approach
but I want to
see if I can make the PEP measurement exceed the AVG by using a steady
modulated input.

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DD819DC.46FD435@bubbles.com...
>
>
> Tom wrote:
> >
> > I reworked the input power for the amp directly off of the battery using
the
> > wiring supplied with the amp. There was very little change in the
output.
> > I did determine the output of the CB itself is only 2 watts using the
PEP
> > setting on my power meter.
> >
> > Note that the other readings were taken as PEP as well.
> >
> > The question now becomes if the Cobra is not putting out sufficient
power
> > would these power readings from the amp make sense. In other words does
a
> > power reading shown in the subject line make sense for a Palomar 225 and
a 2
> > watt input?
>
> Did you read Bill E.'s AM power FAQ yet? The idea is to have a four to one
> dead key to maximum modulation ratio. If the maximum PEP out of the amp is
> 200 watts, the dead key should be 50 watts maximum.
>

1791. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:42:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27664-3DDA8606-513@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144338

>A bunch of people who push the limits of good >engineering practice for
the sole purpose of >stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound
>like the best place to find solid troubleshooting >advice.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Hiya Davey!
Does your Davemade push these limits you speak of or do you hold that as
an example of great cb amps that are exempt from the rules?
By the way, you asked just where it was you posted from anywhere other
than your current access and the one time you "borrowed" from the
university...

From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_boating@worldlynx.net>
Subject: Re: CB Radio -- The Times they are a changin'
Message-ID: <36924BF2.1037@worldlynx.net>#1/1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <75nmil$a38$1@news.megsinet.net>
<19981222203106.25315.00000142@ngol02.aol.com>
<368105F6.47BE@worldlynx.net> <36921B89.BBC87B17@msfc.nasa.gov>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii X-Trace: news12.ispnews.com
915559613 207.224.84.207 Organization: ISPNews http://ispnews.com
MIME-Version: 1.0

Hmmmmmm...no Villanova back then when you claimed you were "borrowing"
an account.....but wait..this is from 2 weeks ago..what it's say?
Received: from unix2.worldlynx.net (unix2.worldlynx.net [64.80.72.5])
by smtpin-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with ESMTP id
13762FE12 for <twistedhed@webtv.net>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 11:26:40 -0800
(PST)
possible origination: 64.80.72.5
host unix2.worldlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.72.5 Received line
accepted
Received: from sit-server (ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net
[64.80.73.173]) by unix2.worldlynx.net (8.12.3/8.12.3) with SMTP id
gA1JWR8X021204 for <twistedhed@webtv.net>; Fri, 1 Nov 2002 14:32:28
-0500
host 64.80.72.5 (getting name) 64.80.72.5 = unix2.worldlynx.net.
64.80.72.5 not listed
Possible: 64.80.73.173
host ppp-ptc-pm3-04-029.fiberlynx.net (checking ip) ip = 64.80.73.173
   Chain test:unix2.worldlynx.net =? unix2.worldlynx.net
   unix2.worldlynx.net and unix2.worldlynx.net have same hostname

- chain verified
Possible relay: 64.80.72.5
64.80.72.5 not listed in relays.ordb.org. 64.80.72.5 has already been
sent to relay testers Received line accepted
Tracking message source:64.80.73.173:
Display data:
"whois 64.80.73.173@whois.arin.net" (Getting contact from whois.arin.net
   checking NET-64-80-72-0-1
Display data:
   "whois NET-64-80-72-0-1@whois.arin.net" (Getting contact from
whois.arin.net)
   64.80.72.0 - 64.80.79.255:rich@worldlynx.net
   whois.arin.net contact: rich@worldlynx.net   checking
NET-64-80-0-0-1
Display data:
   "whois NET-64-80-0-0-1@whois.arin.net" (Getting contact from
whois.arin.net)
   64.80.0.0 - 64.80.255.255:ipadmin@paetec.com
   whois.arin.net contact: ipadmin@paetec.com Routing details for
64.80.73.173
Using smaller IP block (/ 21 vs. / 16)
Removing 1 larger (> / 21) route(s) from cache Using last resort
contacts rich@worldlynx.net Whois found rich@worldlynx.net
64.80.73.173
64.80.73.173
64.80.73.173
Found email address:mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org no MXs for ve6mgs.ampr.org
host ve6mgs.ampr.org (checking ip) ip not found ; ve6mgs.ampr.org
discarded as fake.
No mail exchanger. Email to this address would bounce. Cannot find
master for:mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org Found email
address:s7000a@wild.ucis.vill.edu 153.104.6.63 is an MX for
wild.ucis.vill.edu Tracking ip 153.104.6.63
Display data:
"whois 153.104.6.63@whois.arin.net" (Getting contact from whois.arin.net
153.104.0.0 - 153.104.255.255:hostmaster@villanova.edu whois.arin.net
contact: hostmaster@villanova.edu Routing details for 153.104.6.63
hostmaster@villanova.edu: abuse.net villanova.edu =
postmaster@villanova.edu
No abuse.net record for villanova.edu
Using default postmaster contacts postmaster@villanova.edu Whois found
postmaster@villanova.edu

Damn, Dave..you lie like a rug.
What is this? More? And dig the content.....

From: s7000a@cats.ucis.vill.edu (SANDBAGGER)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Working "the world" on 1 watt.

Organization: Villanova University
Lines: 55
Distribution: world
Message-ID: <2JAN199515264144@cats.ucis.vill.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cats.ucis.vill.edu
News-Software: VAX/VMS VNEWS 1.41
I want to put this to rest once and for all. It seems that many of our ham friends here, have used the excuse that "I can work the world with 1 watt, so YOU don't need an amplifier". Well, lets set the record straight:
Misleading statement: " I can work the world with 1 watt". Facts: You can work the world with 1 watt, IF the ionospheric conditions are proper AND you are on a suitable frequency band. You CANNOT do it anytime you want, you are at the mercy of mother nature. Secondly, what most of these QRP guys have also failed to meantion, is that their "working of the world" was most likely via the CW mode. CW, because of its narrow bandwidth, offers excellent signal to noise ratios, easily 10 db better than a typical CB. If a CW contact were running 1 watt, and they were at your noise threshold, they would need to jump to 10 watts to make the same contact on AM. These "fantastic" claims aren't worth a nickel on the CB band, where most of us just wand RELIABLE (Meaning at ANY time) communications with other LOCAL stations. It all comes down to simple math. You want greater range, you need a greater signal, or a better mode. CW is illegal on CB (Not that anyone would bother using it). SSB is excellent for increasing range legally. But it is not for everyone. Comparisons to 2 meter repeater operations are invalid as well. Most repeaters are in ideal (high elevation, line of sight) locations, which facilitate easy communications. I've worked 2 meter simplex, with 25 watts. From base to base (With a 12 db gain beam) I can work farther than equivilent on CB. But base to mobile or mobile to mobile, is worse due to the rapid fading and "line of sight" characteristics of VHF. I take offense to the "holer than thou" attitude displayed by many hams toward Cbers. They flaunt their "superior equipment" and tell the CBers, to get ham licenses, and then are the first to complain to their ham buddies, that the reason the ham bands are going to pot, is because of all the CBers that are moving up there.
Ham radio and CB radio are both communications services. Both offer their respective users with a forum for enjoyment. Each service has it's own unique appeal that is not duplicated on the other. Ham radio is full of serious discussions and one can learn alot from just listening. On the other hand though, a constant diet of technobabble gets VERY boring fairly quickly. CBers are less technical, and as a result, are more like talking to "regular" people. Topics are more varied, and you can "fool around" without fear of upsetting the "kilocycle kops", and have more fun.
Dave
C & W Communications
CB Sales and Service
(610)272-8709/(610)539-8709
N3CVJ@N3CVJ.#EPA.PA.USA.NA

dhall@gic.gi.com

==========
Hahaha..they hypocrite hall is indeed wide
open.....................

1792. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:43:26 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <RXqdnatnA-rDG0egXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144326

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021119130326.16721.00000046@mb-co.aol.com...
: did i say it was on the newsgroup?

Did I say you said that? read again, if you can't keep up take notes or
remain silent.

: >
: >Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I have yet to see any in this NG.
: >You guys are really desperate to silence those against Illegal CB.
: >
:
:
:
:

1793. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:47:42 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <qsGcnasyxujBGkegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144328

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119131254.357$hq@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: >> What If I go over Mopar's
: > house and post from his computer will you then block his name, or will
: > you be "forced" to read my post.
: >
: If you posted from my puter,you'd have my nic. Than Shark would think I'd
: really lost my mind. lol

That is my point Steve it does not matter what the screen name says, it is what is said in the post no? and if he didn't look at the name and only what was said he would be running around filtering everyone? But we know he reply's to those in his killfile anyhow. I still can't figure out why he does that. He should worry about his SWR instead of what name I use. Go figure.

1794. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:48:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27664-3DDA8761-515@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144339

From: timnebo@aol.com10-100 (Busch Master) "the federal criminal Dave Hall wrote:

>Had your momma been a person of moral >conviction, she would've told you that if you >can't say something nice, don't say anything.

"damn, first you talk about my mother then you say if i dont have anything nice to say not to say anythinga t all. when will you start following your own advice?"

He stays true to his methods.....insult first, then cry like a woman when one returns such insults. His posts are chock full of name calling and attempted one-liner insults.

>A bunch of people who push the limits of good >engineering practice for the sole purpose of >stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound >like the best place to find solid troubleshooting >advice.

"sounds like a touch of jealousy mister hall."

More than a touch.

" whats the matter still upset because you cant hang with the real cb techs? its amazing how on one hand you compare yourself and claim to be better than these cb guru's and on the other hand claim they dont know what there talking about. eitner, tollfree, concreteman, and the others blow you away when it comes to offering helpfull and accurate info regarding cb radios on a daily basis and here you are all high and mighty because your trying to help just one guy. go back to playing with your davemade cb amp."

He plays both sides of the fence whenever it's convenient for him. Just gotta love usenet...it's forever.

1795. FA: 110 Volt 20 Amp Variac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:49:12 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IIvC9.97$OQ5.63338@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 144329

110 Volt 20 Variac, very good condation.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=802224922

1796. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:50:18 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <waacnR8wZ9xqGkegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144330

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message :

eitner, tollfree, concreteman, and the others blow you away when it comes to offering helpfull and accurate info regarding cb radios on a daily basis

Oh the high and might group of technians all are illegal criminals who continuly break laws, you throw there names around like your proud of them. LOL

1797. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 18:58:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27664-3DDA89E1-516@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144336

From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4300-3DDA582B-519@storefull-
I'm always happy, Paul. And I manage to maintain my same email addy.

>Many of us do much to the dismay of some in >the group, but then again
I don't ever >remember anyone saying they were trying to >TOS you.

Hmm..if it's all that important to you, I still have the posts where
Lelnad KC8LDO claimed he had me kicked off webtv for forging and for
giving out his home number to someone that responded to his forged ads
of me. When he realized he was wrong, he quickly posted another unsigned
"email" claiming it was from an inquirer that was given Lelnad's number
by me and he was upset FOR Lelnad (chuckle). Lelnad even went as far as
to say that if he and this "guy"" and any others had any complaints
about me, that they should send the stuff to webtv abuse department and
maybe "collectively "they" (meaning Lelnad) could get them to "thump" me
or "drop a rock" on me. You seem to suffer from selective memory or are
missing posts. Don't worry..it's exactly why I point these type things
out...I don't want people like you to miss such things.

1798. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:02:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27666-3DDA8ADB-67@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144337

From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021119103237.16744.00000012@mb-co.aol.com...
hey doc, i wish i could filter your fat ass but as fast as you break TOS violations and have to get new ISP's its hard keeping track of you. i never seen a big man move so much.

>Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I >have yet to see any in this NG. You guys are >really desperate to silence those against >Illegal CB.

He didn't break any on the group. If Paul wishes to share with you what took place, that is his business, but he most definitely broke his ISP rules resulting in termination of his service. Once again, it has nothing to do with silencing anyone...on the contrary...those that have no skills at communication get frustrated and allow their ignorance to control them resulting in stupid decisions that break rules.

1799. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:08:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119140826.16721.00000047@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144331

no you implied it which is the same thing

>Did I say you said that? read again, if you can't keep up take notes or
>remain silent.

>: >Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I have yet to see any in this NG.

1800. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:09:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27664-3DDA8C60-518@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144340

See George, some guys just can't help themselves.....

Subject: A Response From Yahoo! Mail Abuse (KMM63469985V30994L0KM)
This message is in response to the abuse report you sent with the
subject:
complaint #892457
Thank you very much for reporting this incident to Yahoo! We have
reviewed your report regarding a Terms of Service (TOS) Violation and
have closed the account in question. Thank you for contacting Yahoo!
support.

1801. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:10:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119141018.16721.00000048@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144332

yes indeed and it benefits us all

>yeah LOL that's the one were Troll Free and Eitner have there pissing
>matches. LOL
>

1802. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:12:32 UTC
From: Mon-452 <dscmon452@dititaldscmon452.com>
Message-ID: <are2do$761$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 144333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The 5 & 6 mHz bands are alive with militia activity, also
some 7 mHz activity too. 5550, 6660, many others.

Mon-452
Detroit

"the ole bignose" <slac3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dda8049@snipnews.snip.net...
> Dan is right. What the heck? You don't
> need no "steenkin badges" on ham radio anymore! (do you ??)
> Some guy who calls himself "orange blossom" checks into
> the 75 Meter 3.860 Militia Net at 8:00
> PM EST every night. Guy don't have any ham call
> and is welcome checked in by the NCS "no-problemo".
> [O.B. is allegedly with the Michigan Militia
> out of Dexter Mich. Mr. FCC] Guess what also..
> ..they've now established 7.275 mHz SSB
> (40m) as the "24/7 Michigan Militia callup freq"
> or so said someone up there last nite. No license
> needed too! real nice.
>
> (So much for Mr Hollingsworth's "stellar"
> enforcement record eh folks....?? Better send
> in Homeland Security pronto Uncle Sam...)
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arb87r$v5v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Just pick a frequency. Operate on it. Claim its yours because no one
else
> > is there. Call it Freeband Too.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "10squared" <news@firewallinabox.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dd86d0b_7@nopics.sjc...
> > > Hello,
> > >
> > > Is there a band and appropriate radios for the following set of
> > > requirements:
> > >
> > > 1) Must allow commercial traffic
> > > 2) Air to ground, ground to ground in hilly terrain, and ground to air
> > > communications of up to 20 miles.

> > > 3) Portable equipment (handheld)
> > > 4) Little competing traffic
> > > 5) Under $300 per radio
> > >
> > >
> > > I know that amateur equipment is disallowed due to the commercial
usage
> > > requirement. Aviation bands are out due to line of sight nature of
> signal
> > > at aviation freqs. Not sure about CB because of amount of competing
> > > traffic, but this seems to be around the right frequency. Any
> suggestions,
> > > such as a commercial band around the CB freqs? Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > >
> > > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1803. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:12:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119141255.16721.00000049@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144334

youll need a true peak reading wattmeter. you have a average reading meter and
the pep setting isnt really a peak setting. sounds like you have one of the
realistic meters with that switch on it

>
>
>Yes, I have now reread it a few times and have concluded that I do not have
>enough
>skill and education to use the power meter to measure the non-dead key
>output accurately even though
>the power meter has a PEP setting.
>
>I may take another run at the test by generating a tone at some frequency
>using my PC and
>some custom programming. I will then take the sound that comes out of the pc
>speakers, record it on tape and
>take it out to the cb and play it through the mike.
>
>Of course this is nowhere near as accurate as Bill E.'s scientific approach
>but I want to
>see if I can make the PEP measurement exceed the AVG by using a steady
>modulated input.
>
>"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
>news:3DD819DC.46FD435@bubbles.com...
>>
>>
>> Tom wrote:
>> >
>> > I reworked the input power for the amp directly off of the battery using
>the
>> > wiring supplied with the amp. There was very little change in the
>output.
>> > I did determine the output of the CB itself is only 2 watts using the
>PEP
>> > setting on my power meter.
>> >
>> > Note that the other readings were taken as PEP as well.
>> >
>> > The question now becomes if the Cobra is not putting out sufficient
>power
>> > would these power readings from the amp make sense. In other words does
>a
>> > power reading shown in the subject line make sense for a Palomar 225 and

>a 2
>> > watt input?
>>
>> Did you read Bill E.'s AM power FAQ yet? The idea is to have a four to one
>> dead key to maximum modulation ratio. If the maximum PEP out of the amp is
>> 200 watts, the dead key should be 50 watts maximum.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1804. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:14:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119141427.16721.00000050@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 144335

when ive needed help it was them who helped me. spreading knowledge and know how is far from illegal and yes i'm proud we have such a group for cb'ers to learn thats free from the trolls here

>
>
>Oh the high and might group of technians all are illegal criminals who
>continuly break laws, you throw there names around like your proud of them.
>LOL
>
>

1805. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:20:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dda89ae_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144341

No, Thank ME ! I sent it to Jim so he could post it here. So the next time
you hear a pompus Hamateur bragging on how hams help in emergencies, and
that CB is not "vital" for trucking, just put this one in his ear !
Dave!!!

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:c70C9.18126$I8.7307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Nice to see some good press. Thanks Jim.
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > ********* message received below *******
> >
> > Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
> > Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch snipers
> >
> >
> > SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
> > Thursday, October 31, 2002
> >
> >
> >
> > One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990 Chevrolet
> > Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used his
> CB
> > radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray for an
> end
> > to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into an
> > interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct. 24,
he
> > said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by the
> > Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news conference
he
> > had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had become a
> > hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and

attends
> > the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and got
on
> > his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told our
> > congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized the
> > truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper
> > suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the
> pre-arranged
> > stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to
"evil
> > in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been
terrorizing
> > the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a description
> of
> > the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which
> originates
> > from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about the
car
> > police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area. "I
> seen
> > one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when I
> > pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow,
Ky.,
> > his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz said
he
> > stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in to
> call
> > 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to block
the
> > exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI ,
ATF,
> > everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee
> Malvo,
> > 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his way.
When
> > he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by family,
> > friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned to
> WLWT
> > for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by interviews
> with
> > other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years,
drives
> > for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass Trucking
> in
> > Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his
> twice-a-week
> > drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware and
> Ohio.
> > According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the Nazarene
in

> > Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an
> > over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing his
> > five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on the
> > road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship group.Lantz's
> story
> > touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife and
> adult
> > daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the Lantzes'
> > doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's unbelievable
to
> > me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very quiet
> > life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it. Everybody
in
> > the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just living
in
> an
> > ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very different
> for
> > us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good guys,"
> but
> > never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree. "It
> just
> > goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a
sniper
> > shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture
him."
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1806. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:21:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dda89e0_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144342

Was it cold or freezing? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:zsYB9.63573$NH2.4195@sccrnsc01...
> Wow........Dave.......You brought back the memories. I remember 30 years
ago
> with my legs wrapped around the rotor, on a 60' tower, lowering one of the
> old Antenna Specialist "Boss 303s". It was 9 o'clock at night, in January.
>
> Bill
>
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd831a5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Fixed a TV antenna, or put up a Rotor. I did it recently and MAN did I
> > feel how OLD I really am! I remember doing these things 20 years ago or
> so,
> > but MAN did it take a lot out of me for a 1/2 day job! And the Pain, OH
> the
> > pain (Dr. Smith died recently).
> >
> > Any rachet Chimney mounts made anymore? I fixed an old one. I
remember
> in
> > the 80's when they quit making them. Just think of this scarry thought:
> No
> > need to put up any more CB base or Ham antennas, TV, FM or anything on a
> > tower or chimney. Everything you did, do, & say, was comming over yo
grey
> > dish satelite today! And to think I remember about hearing about
> "Antenna
> > raising parties" where they put up moonraker 4's on a tower, or
something
> > else! WHO will teach the young whipper snappers like Dug YoDare how do
do
> > this kind of work? Who taught me? I guess it was God Given, in my
blood!
> > Anyone else have similar situations or rememberances? ! ! ! ! ! !
> > Dave!!
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1807. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:21:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dda8a10_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144343

YOU old foggie you! How do know that I don't already have one? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utgmjo2t4hqi6d@corp.supernews.com...
> Dave, I thought once you got old, you were supposed to get your ham
license!
> Oh well ... I see a 9 year old girl recently passed her extra .... ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim (old as air and twice as polluted)
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1808. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:23:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dda8a55_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144344

Walking around don't cut it. I'm talking about WORK---putting up an antenna! You can get bad feet walking around @ a car show in Hershey or Carlisle too!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message news:676ce33.0211180745.194c6473@posting.google.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:<3dd831a5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> > Fixed a TV antenna, or put up a Rotor. I did it recently and MAN did I
> > feel how OLD I really am! I remember doing these things 20 years ago or so,
> > but MAN did it take a lot out of me for a 1/2 day job! And the Pain, OH the
> > pain (Dr. Smith died recently).
> >
>
> I know the feeling went to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest. My feet still hurt.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1809. Re: Bin a LONG TIME since I ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:23:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dda8a6f_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144345

Yep, and QUIT drinking BUSCH !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021118132347.24121.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com...
> lose weight
>
> >
> >
> >I know the feeling went to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest. My feet still
hurt.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1810. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:35:52 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sowC9.336392$S8.6541238@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144346

My power meter does have a switch that allows the meter to be read in avg or pep mode.

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message news:20021119141255.16721.00000049@mb-co.aol.com...
> youll need a true peak reading wattmeter. you have a average reading meter and
> the pep setting isnt really a peak setting. sounds like you have one of the
> realistic meters with that switch on it
>
> >
> >
> >Yes, I have now reread it a few times and have concluded that I do not have
> >enough
> >skill and education to use the power meter to measure the non-dead key
> >output accurately even though
> >the power meter has a PEP setting.
> >
> >I may take another run at the test by generating a tone at some frequency
> >using my PC and
> >some custom programming. I will then take the sound that comes out of the pc
> >speakers, record it on tape and
> >take it out to the cb and play it through the mike.
> >
> >Of course this is nowhere near as accurate as Bill E.'s scientific approach
> >but I want to
> >see if I can make the PEP measurement exceed the AVG by using a steady
> >modulated input.
> >
> >"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
> >news:3DD819DC.46FD435@bubbles.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Tom wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I reworked the input power for the amp directly off of the battery using
> >the
> >> > wiring supplied with the amp. There was very little change in the
> >output.

> >> > I did determine the output of the CB itself is only 2 watts using the
> >PEP
> >> > setting on my power meter.
> >> >
> >> > Note that the other readings were taken as PEP as well.
> >> >
> >> > The question now becomes if the Cobra is not putting out sufficient
> >power
> >> > would these power readings from the amp make sense. In other words
does
> >a
> >> > power reading shown in the subject line make sense for a Palomar 225
and
> >a 2
> >> > watt input?
> >>
> >> Did you read Bill E.'s AM power FAQ yet? The idea is to have a four to
one
> >> dead key to maximum modulation ratio. If the maximum PEP out of the amp
is
> >> 200 watts, the dead key should be 50 watts maximum.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>

1811. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 19:56:37 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA9775.9FF1F904@cwis.net>
Source record: 144347

Busch,
That's really odd, because I've changed my server
once in the last three years. The former server quit.
If you will follow the directions for your browser,
you should be able to filter me easily.
'Doc

1812. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 20:48:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28295-3DDAA3A0-242@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144350

Egads..is it inherent in you pizzed upon hammies that you lie? Your server is just fine, evidenced by the email I received last week concerning some cb equipment....same exact server.....no, Paul...you were dusted for breaking your terms of service.....! Server works fine.

Received: from smtpinvite-2001-3.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.203)
by storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Sat, 9 Nov 2002 19:13:15 -0800 (PST)
Received: from smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com (smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com [66.163.168.185]) by smtpinvite-2001-3.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with SMTP id BC7AFFE14 for <x>; Sat, 9 Nov 2002 19:13:13 -0800 (PST)
Received: from ppp-207-193-34-66.okcyok.swbell.net (HELO marka5behhreye) (miner1@flash.net@207.193.34.66 with login) by smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com with SMTP; 10 Nov 2002 03:13:14 -0000
Message-ID: <0028_____c1cf@marka5behhreye>
From: "miner1" <>
To: "Twistedhed" <x>
References: <PRly9.6267$p_3.3739@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com> <13329-3DCAA8D9-79@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net> Subject: Re: CITIZEN BAND EQUIPMENT FOR SALE Date: Sat, 9 Nov 2002 19:13:10 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
Parsing header:
Received: from smtpinvite-2001-3.public.lawson.webtv.net (172.16.213.203) by storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net with WTV-SMTP; Sat, 9 Nov 2002 19:13:15 -0800 (PST)
172.16.213.203 discarded
Received: from smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com (smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com [66.163.168.185]) by smtpinvite-2001-3.public.lawson.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix+sws) with SMTP id BC7AFFE14 for <x>; Sat, 9 Nov 2002 19:13:13 -0800 (PST)
Probable: 66.163.168.185
host smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com (checking ip) ip = 66.163.168.185
Received line accepted
Received: from ppp-207-193-34-66.okcyok.swbell.net (HELO marka5behhreye) (miner1@flash.net@207.193.34.66 with login) by smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com with SMTP; 10 Nov 2002 03:13:14 -0000

```
host 66.163.168.185 (getting name) 66.163.168.185 =
smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com.
66.163.168.185
Probable: 207.193.34.66
host ppp-207-193-34-66.okcyok.swbell.net (checking ip) ip =
207.193.34.66
Taking name from IP...
host 207.193.34.66 (getting name) 207.193.34.66 =
ppp-207-193-34-66.okcyok.swbell.net.
host ppp-207-193-34-66.okcyok.swbell.net. (checking ip) ip =
207.193.34.66
   Chain test:smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com =?
smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com
   host smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com (checking ip) ip =
66.163.171.137
   host smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com (checking ip) ip =
66.163.168.185
   66.163.171.137 is not an MX for smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com
   66.163.168.185 is not an MX for
smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com
   ips don't match; smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com discarded
as fake
   smtp-sbc-v1.mail.vip.sc5.yahoo.com and
smtp806.mail.sc5.yahoo.com have same domain - chain verified
Possible relay: 66.163.168.185
66.163.168.185 sent to relay testers
Received line accepted
Tracking message source: 207.193.34.66:
Routing details for 207.193.34.66
[refresh/show] Cached whois for 207.193.34.66 abuse@swbell.net
```

1813. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Sharkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 21:05:07 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDAA783.FFCD9935@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144348

> >> >Tubes like the 8877 are well designed and for the most part, don't
> >> >require parasitic suppressors to operate in a good high gain HF
> >> >circuits. Pop in a similar size valve in the same circuit and chances
> >> >are it's going to sing like a big dog.
> >>
> >> That's a load of "poop" and you know it. Any circuit to which the 8877
> >> is connected is going to have resonant frequencies -- that's just a
> >> fact of RF amps you can't avoid. As long as the tube can amplify on
> >> those frequencies, there MUST be some method of dampening the
> >> unintended resonant frequency of the circuit. It's not the tube, it's
> >> the circuit, Skippy!
> >
> >Well frankie, let me take the liberty of pasting in some text which
> >clearly lays it out. Enjoy another crow dinner...
> >
> >[cut and paste]
> >
> >The 8877 is well known as a *stable* tube for amplifiers at 50MHz and
> >144MHz.
> >
> >With the input and output both tuned to the same frequency, and
> >typically 15-18dB of on-frequency gain, it's stable.
>
> In grounded-grid, ok. But as with any double-tuned amp you need to
> watch for low-frequency parasitics.

An example of a double tuned amp you can talk about..?

> > If you provoke it
> >by removing both the input and output loads and swinging the input and
> >output tuning, a well-constructed 8877 VHF amp will still not oscillate.
>
> Of course it won't oscillate -- disconnect the output load and it's
> going to flash over and destroy the tube! Disconnect the cathode and
> the contact potential pulls the cathode positive until the tube cuts
> off. The same thing happens when you disconnect the grid return on a
> common-cathode amplifier.

I would probably question your flash over statement... it's not a
given to flash over.

> >Why? Because the 8877 has a grid ring that makes a very direct,
> >low-inductance connection to the actual grid inside (a sheet-metal cone
> >which very effectively shields the input from the output).

> The 8877 is indeed a very linear and stable tube (not so stable you
> can do what is suggested above!). But it doesn't matter much in a
> grounded-grid amp because it's a non-inverting amp and you don't have
> any regenerative feedback to cause oscillation. A grounded-grid amp is
> also less prone to parasitics for the same reason.

The text was posted using information based on actual examples.
posted on amp at www.contesting.com, within the last three weeks...

> > If you ground
> >the grid VHF-style, with finger-stock contacts directly on to the grid
> >ring and fixed directly to the chassis, then the tube will not oscillate
> >at VHF. This applies equally to an 8877 in an HF or a VHF amplifier.
>
> I think you added that last line. Regardless, the tube will indeed
> oscillate at VHF if fed with enough feedback in the proper phase. It
> is impossible to prevent an amplifier from oscillating under those
> conditions, even if it's built with the 8877. If you remember anything
> about these posts, remember this: If it can amplify, it can oscillate.

Its not my text, it's from an Well known English RF Engineer, RSGB
Author and friend via the previously mentioned list server. Posted
based on a conversion project mentioned by another RF Engineer
who just completed a working HF 8877 amplifier.

> >For glass tubes without a grid ring, such direct grid grounding is not
> >possible, which is why we have to use Plan B - parasitic suppressors -
> >to reduce gain at VHF.
>
> Parasitic supressors are a good idea with any RF power amplifier, the
> grounded-grid 8877 included. To not include even some basic supression
> is risky at best. Saving the little bit of time and money needed for
> the added protection might just cost you a very expensive tube.

These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
based on a solid long term track record.
Unless your willing to take your statement to the "amps court of
review", I will continue to believe their statements have more
valid information than yours do.
It's the same large list of rf people I submitted my sweep tube
amplifier text to a while back. No errors were found... I don't
think you even tried to look for it.

> >--
> >[end of cut and paste]
> >
> >some steak sauce for your crow frankie..?
> >
> >>
> >> >The type of tube matters... don't know how to say it any clearer to
> >> >you.

> >>
> >> You said it clearly enough, but you are still wrong. The tube is only
> >> a component of any parasitic resonant circuit in the amplifier. Unless
> >> the tube has some magical "resonance detection-and-dampening" device,
> >> you still need some form of supression of those frequencies. No tube
> >> is immune because the tube is just the amplifier, not the whole
> >> resonant circuit. This is first-year electronics, Skippy.
> >
> >Please review the above text from:
> >
> >73 from Ian G3SEK 'In Practice' columnist for RadCom (RSGB)
> > Editor, 'The VHF/UHF DX Book'
>
> I checked the site but that text wasn't there. Got a link?

http://www.contesting.com

> >> >Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term...
> >>
> >> The concept is yours, and it's not really a good concept.
> >
> >I didn't make it up Frankie...
>
> I find that hard to believe. You make up everything else.

Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.

> >> >but the tubes such as the 3-1000z and the 3-500z in mfgrs rated
> >> >applications have a well known and documented track record
> >> >of parasitic problems. Readers can reference text at
> >> >http://www.vcnet.com/measures for more detailed information.
> >>
> >> You should take a look at that site a bit more closely. A lot of the
> >> info is good, but a lot of it is also very bogus, such as the idea
> >> (which you adopted) that neutralization isn't required in a
> >> grounded-grid amp because of 'grid-screening'.
> >
> >Nothing I can find on his web page is faulty... his grid screening
> >text agrees with my Eimac Engineer Authored "SAI" Handbooks and
> >my opinions.
>
> Here's what Eimac says about grid screening:

Eimac has had their share of technical issues...

> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/sec2-2.htm

I believe your referencing a copy of "Care and Feeding of Power
Grid Tubes". Nice older book with some slightly dated material;
I have at least two copies.

What point would you care to make or add about the page..? In
specific grid screening..?

>
> "The additional grid serves as a shield, or screen, between the input
> circuit and the output circuits of the tetrode, and is called a
> 'screen grid.'"

ZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzz..... (snore)... so where are you
going with the above...?

>
> Your misunderstanding of vacuum tube fundamentals has led you and your
> mentor to believe the misguided notion that the screen grid is
> responsible for eliminating neutralization in a grounded-grid amp. But
> both of you have apparently abandoned the logic of realizing that if
> this was true then no neutralization would be needed for ANY
> common-cathode amplifier as well, which, of course, is not true.

Where do I or anyone else in these posts say that every cathode
amplifier
does not need to be neutralized..? The technical point that needs to be
made is less Cgp

Many of my friends (and mentors) referenced are extremely well educated
RF Engineers and authors working in the field. Drop on by the list
server and learn a thing or two... The actual number of available
active
amps members reviewing and talking these issues over every day is in the
hundreds. You are only one fly on the windshield...

Cute twist of words in your above post.
None of use claim the screen grid is solely responsible for the
elimination of the neutralization requirement in a grounded grid
amplifiers.

>
> Since you don't want to bother with the books, I suppose the internet
> will have to do. Here's another link from Eimac to get you going in
> the RIGHT direction with tube power amplifiers:

Have the hard copy... If you compared an original to the web posted
copy, last review by amps members people found it to be incomplete.

>
> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/Section2.1.pdf
>
> Note the typical applications of low mu and medium mu triodes. Also
> notice that Eimac, a manufacturer of power tubes for longer than you
> have been alive, recommends the use of high mu triodes for RF
> amplifiers.

Sure, but cautions abound using specific types in grounded grid
applications. That would be the 4cx250b as an example.

> Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.

they also don't make rf transistors...

> > His weab page goes through almost daily review by
> >people on the cutting edge of current amplifier technology. He
> >has many published articles on the subject of tube rf amplifiers.
> > http://www.vcnet.com/measures
>
> And Richard Nixon was President.

You just can't face the facts too well. So far, his and the
other people I reference have hundreds of articles and 20 plus Radio
Handbooks to their credit. I'm still waiting for you to
provide a reference or two.... a web page or two... an article
or two... I've even said please more than once...

> >I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
> >your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.
>
> I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.

We'll be waiting for your verified facts.

> >> >For more detailed study of a tube which is not generically subject
> >> >to parasitic problems, please research the 8877, in specific...
> >> >the grid construction. The mfgrs data sheet might be a good
> >> >place to start.
> >>
> >> I have already done so, and I even posted some information from the
> >> datasheet in this discussion. There is nothing in the datasheet to
> >> indicate that it is immune from parasitics. Because, Skippy,
> >> parasitics are an effect of the CIRCUIT, NOT just the tube.
> >
> >Your all alone in the cold... please again review the text I
> >pasted in above.
>
> Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
> is immune from parasitics.

Not much more that I would care to do to make you happy. You
disagree with the two well known high power amplifier experts;
posting the text from which I reference. Archives easily reviewed
at www.contesting.com No one is going to force you to keep
your head in the sand.

> >> >Your simply wrong again Franklin... or frankie...
> >>
> >> All the data and facts support my comments and contradict your's. It
> >> is YOU that is wrong again, Skippy.
> >
> >Da' facts are subject to revision,
>
> There's your problem, Skippy. Your seem to revise your "facts" to suit
> your purposes. For example, remember that callsign you emailed me?
> Well, it is listed as IG, not IB as you claimed. And the license was
> issued AFTER the 1997 consolidation, so it was NOT issued as IB and

> later 'revised'. You are a liar, Skippy, plain and simple. And I have
> proven that 'fact' many times in this conversation. Of course you will
> probably 'revise' that fact in your reply...

See.... I knew you were going to try cover your fanny by using the
FCC web page. Well bucky... If I scan the actual license image and
cover the personal information, are you going to claim I altered
the copy..? If you agree, I'll scan the top section of the current
License, black out the name and personal information and place it on
the web for your review...

>
> I think nothing more needs to be said.

Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page... which is different
from the original actual License hard copy I have. But your going
to find a squirming' way to avoid the truth no matter what I do.

>
> P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
> including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
> site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
> they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.

and the web url is...?

1814. Re: The almost home boaring frankie Chronicles - 17 of 18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 21:12:31 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDAA93F.FA02E5A6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144349

> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DD602BD.3B301EAB@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie
> <nothanksATthistimedownspam@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> And BTW, no broadcast transmitter I have ever seen used a
> >> grounded-grid anything, except for a couple low-power antiques that
> >> take up the better part of a room. Matter of fact, I own one of those
> >> antiques, an AN/FRT-39B.
> >
> >Know of one on the air right now honking away on 95.3 from Mt Vaca.
> >I helped put the station on the air. Has an 8877 tube, the one
> >you can operate with no parasitic suppression because of the way
> >the tube was designed.
>
> The AN/FRT-39B doesn't do FM, doesn't go above 28 MHz, and doesn't use
> an 8877, so you must be talking about some other grounded-grid
> broadcast transmitter. But you are claiming that you are getting 10 kW
> FM from a grounded-grid 8877? Must have been because of your ability
> to 'revise the facts'. LOL!!!

I was referring to an actual FM Broadcast Transmitter using an
8877 grounded grid layout, on the air as I type this. The comment
was not directed at your personal boat anchor.

skipp

1815. Cobra 2000 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 21:45:40 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <8iyC9.566$4s6.494296@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 144351

Cobra 2000 with 1 speaker, clean and looks good.... works great $250 and I'll ship.... lower 48

drc

1816. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 21:47:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utlcdhclken91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144363

Yep, sorry Dave ... Bert, Dave **did** send this to me. I should have mentioned it, but I've been **really** busy hustling to find another job. My plant is closing next year (I have some time to play with). Xerox is laying off a bunch of folks - meanwhile, the government claims the economy is 'growing'. Hmmm ..

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:c70C9.18126$I8.7307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Nice to see some good press. Thanks Jim.
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > ********* message received below *******
> >
> > Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
> > Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch snipers
> >
> >
> > SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
> > Thursday, October 31, 2002
> >
> >
> >
> > One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990 Chevrolet
> > Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used his
> CB
> > radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray for an
> end
> > to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into an
> > interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct. 24,
he
> > said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by the
> > Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news conference

he
> > had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had become a
> > hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and
attends
> > the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and got
on
> > his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told our
> > congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized the
> > truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper
> > suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the
> pre-arranged
> > stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to
"evil
> > in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been
terrorizing
> > the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a description
> of
> > the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which
> originates
> > from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about the
car
> > police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area. "I
> seen
> > one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when I
> > pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow,
Ky.,
> > his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz said
he
> > stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in to
> call
> > 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to block
the
> > exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI ,
ATF,
> > everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee
> Malvo,
> > 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his way.
When
> > he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by family,
> > friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned to
> WLWT
> > for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by interviews
> with
> > other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years,
drives
> > for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass Trucking
> in
> > Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his
> twice-a-week
> > drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware and

> Ohio.
> > According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the Nazarene
in
> > Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an
> > over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing his
> > five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on the
> > road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship group.Lantz's
> story
> > touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife and
> adult
> > daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the Lantzes'
> > doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's unbelievable
to
> > me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very quiet
> > life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it. Everybody
in
> > the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just living
in
> an
> > ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very different
> for
> > us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good guys,"
> but
> > never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree. "It
> just
> > goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a
sniper
> > shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture
him."
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1817. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 22:10:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arecsi$h7vke$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mon-452" <dscmon452@dititaldscmon452.com> wrote in message
news:are2do$761$0@pita.alt.net...
> The 5 & 6 mHz bands are alive with militia activity, also
> some 7 mHz activity too. 5550, 6660, many others.
>

6990 LSB 0200Z.

Tom

1818. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 22:12:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arecvj$hvb2j$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:7463912056.1430AB193730@taranews.net...
> There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> wave damage so they don't get brain tumers.

I bet it works as well as those "internal cellular antenna boosters" they
were selling all over late-night TV a while back.

Tom

1819. More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 22:42:14 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Z9WcncCKC_PaI0egXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144354

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27664-3DDA89E1-516@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:4300-3DDA582B-519@storefull-
> Hmm..if it's all that important to you, I still have the posts where
> Lelnad KC8LDO claimed he had me kicked off webtv for forging and for
> giving out his home number to someone that responded to his forged
ads
> of me. When he realized he was wrong, he quickly posted another
unsigned
> "email" claiming it was from an inquirer that was given Lelnad's
number
> by me and he was upset FOR Lelnad (chuckle). Lelnad even went as far
as
> to say that if he and this "guy"" and any others had any complaints
> about me, that they should send the stuff to webtv abuse department
and
> maybe "collectively "they" (meaning Lelnad) could get them to
"thump" me
> or "drop a rock" on me. You seem to suffer from selective memory or
are
> missing posts. Don't worry..it's exactly why I point these type
things
> out...I don't want people like you to miss such things.

You mean this one Twisted? Sort of strange the guy send an E-mail to YOUR address and ended up getting MY phone number back in the return E-mail FROM YOU. You going to try arguing the "saucer men" where using your account when you weren't looking? Also as you can well see the idea about getting your WebTV account canned did not orignate with me. The above rubbish you wrote is just another example of the lies you spread around and I take great pleasure in pointing them out to people.

On Sun, 8 Apr 2001, Scott Leeland wrote:
> I got your phone message, however I don't have a clue as to what the
> contents of the E-mail message you got from me could have been
about. I
> never sent any E-mail to you, or anyone else, since Friday till now.
There
> are several people, including myself, who are having a problem with
a

> certain individual on a news group who likes to make as much trouble
as he
> can by forging posts using other peoples names, E-mail, etc. I think
you are
> another victim of his forged E-mails. I have another phone message
from
> another gentlemen, long distance, who is looking for something I
posted for
> sale I assume. I don't have anything anywhere post for sale. If you
could
> pass the word around that I don't have anything for sale it would
save me,
> and anyone else trying to contact me, a lot of wasted effort.
>
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Well, here is a bit of info, maybe we can track this clown down
and have someone wander over and thump him, HARD.

Late last night the following was posted:
==========
>From twistedhed@webtv.net Sun Apr 8 02:34:12 2001
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Altair 8800 for sale
Newsgroups: seattle.forsale.computers
X-User-Info: 64.12.103.32 64.12.103.32
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3acfefe4$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 8 Apr 2001 05:58:12 GMT
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 05:58:12 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 2
Path:
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp!feed.news
feeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.ed
u!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.ne
t
Xref: newsfeeds seattle.forsale.computers:52429

Old Altair 8800 mostly all complete, $100, must sell. John.
==========

so I responded with an email to that address.

This morning the following email came back to me.
==========

```
=====
Return-Path: <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Received: from mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.118])
by agora.rdrop.com (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f38DZ8i54132
for <dont@agora.rdrop.com>; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:08 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.57])
by mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix) with ESMTP id
9A2F62C218
for <dont@agora.rdrop.com>; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:07 -0700 (PDT)
Received: (from production@localhost) by
storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-f/mt.gso.26Feb98) id
GAA00511; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:06 -0700 (PDT)
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAuAhUAlBnL99LqwY45jLZMzm1Gn4t/CeQCFQC3PFcsSULHK4Z8V8mVMjYZNTMcQw==
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed)
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 09:35:06 -0400 (EDT)
To: dont@agora.rdrop.com (Don Taylor)
Subject: Re: Altair 8800 for sale
Message-ID: <14753-3AD0690A-225@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
In-Reply-To: Don Taylor <dont@agora.rdrop.com>'s message of Sun, 8 Apr
2001
02:34:07 -0700 (PDT)
Content-Disposition: Inline
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)

CAll 248-589-0839 ask for Leland.
=========================================================================
=====
So I called the number and got your answering machine.

Now, from this I would think you MIGHT be able to get Webtv to drop a
rock
on their kid.

I haven't got any more information than this but if there is anything
else
I can do I would be more than happy to assist with this.

If you would like to file the first complaint with Webtv and then give
me
the tracking number I would be happy to file a supporting complaint
with
them.

If you get more calls I would encourage you to get them to file the
same
complaint with Webtv. With a group of us it looks like we could
easily
```

get this kid thrown off Webtv. And with an identity we could get him
marked in a variety of different places.

Thank you for helping combat fraud and spam on the net

My apologies for bothering you, let's make a project out of him.
Don

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler
>

1820. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 22:47:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119174734.23026.00000055@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 144355

but it is not a true PEAK READING meter. theres a big difference. a true peak reading meter is powered by batteries or another source of power.

>My power meter does have a switch that allows the meter to be read in avg or >pep mode.

1821. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 22:55:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-100
Message-ID: <20021119175522.23026.00000056@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 144356

if they can stitch "fat dumb ass" across the top it'll be just right for you

>
>There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
>wave damage so they don't get brain tumers. I have got in on the
>ground floor of these things in the US and just orderd two gross from
>Norway so I can test market the hats at ham fests and other flea
>markets. I think poeple will feel a lot better if they wear one of
>the hats while their either on the air or useing a cell phone.
>
>CNN just found out about the hats and put up a story at
>http://www.cnn.com/2002/TECH/ptech/11/19/norway.cap.reut
>
>Watch for Lloyd's Cell Phone and Anti-RF Hats coming to a ham fest
>or a flea market near you.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1822. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:01:54 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <DcCdnUfjF6VyX0egXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144357

"Busch Master" <timnebo@aol.com10-100> wrote in message
news:20021119140826.16721.00000047@mb-co.aol.com...
: no you implied it which is the same thing

I didn't imply anything, you made the implication I only questioned you, do
you know the difference between implying or questioning.

: >Did I say you said that? read again, if you can't keep up take notes or
: >remain silent.
:
: >: >Tim, what TOS violations has he broken, I have yet to see any in this
NG.
:
:
:
:

1823. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:09:02 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <H-OcnetgXJcGWUegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144358

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119135610.282$PA@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
: > news:20021119131254.357$hq@newsreader.com...
: > : "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: > : >> What If I go over Mopar's
: > : > house and post from his computer will you then block his name, or
: > : > will you be "forced" to read my post.
: > : >
: > : If you posted from my puter,you'd have my nic. Than Shark would think
: > : I'd really lost my mind. lol
: >
: >> That is my point Steve it does not matter what the screen name says,
it
: > is what is said in the post no? and if he didn't look at the name and
: > only what was said he would be running around filtering everyone? But we
: > know he reply's to those in his killfile anyhow. I still can't figure
out
: > why he does that. He should worry about his SWR instead of what name I
: > use. Go figure.
: >
: Why do you continue to change names,what does it accomplish? For the
: record,I wish you'd pick one name and stick to it. If you don't,it seems
: like you have other motives.

I have used the same name for weeks on end like I said what is in a name,
why don't you tell this to Timmy nebro or skippy? the same reason you don't
like me changing them makes me want to create 5 more tonite, you guys worry
about the wrong thing you should be worried about all the law breakers in
this NG, instead of a guy who changes Nic's That is really lame if you ask
me. Steve if you came out here with Chevyholic i wouldnt say a damn word to
you why should i care Kill files/filter don't do a thing because when you
quote someone who is killfiled by someone else they then see that post
anyhow So they are really very impotent, the mere fact landdick replys to
me either via another post or directly also shows how in effective they are.
Self Control is the best Kill Filter and it has been shown these guys lack
it severly.

1824. Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:16:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211191516.326c1cd0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144359

timnebo@aol.com10-100 (Busch Master) wrote in message
news:<20021119104035.16744.00000014@mb-co.aol.com>...
> yeah but who would want to buy from a unstable, nontrustworthy dickhead, who's
> likely to rip them off?
>
>
>
> >
> >I can beat your prices any fucking day of the week, I can sell 6LQ6's
> >for 45.00 bucks all day long and still make money...
> >
> >so there!
> >
> >-chuck
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

yeh timski but don't forget ....chuckie has no overhead......just
think about it.......he lives at home with his mommie........he has no
rent expense, no bills to pay....yeh he can make money all day long
because somebody else is paying the way for his lazy ass, all damn day
long.......and besides that.....his mommie still does his laundry for
him and cleans up his dirty room.....

1825. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:18:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021119181820.23026.00000057@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 144360

thats Mr Nebro to you

>
>I have used the same name for weeks on end like I said what is in a name,
>why don't you tell this to Timmy nebro or skippy? the same reason you don't
>like me changing them makes me want to create 5 more tonite, you guys worry
>about the wrong thing you should be worried about all the law breakers in
>this NG, instead of a guy who changes Nic's That is really lame if you ask
>me. Steve if you came out here with Chevyholic i wouldnt say a damn word to
>you why should i care Kill files/filter don't do a thing because when you
>quote someone who is killfiled by someone else they then see that post
>anyhow So they are really very impotent, the mere fact landdick replys to
>me either via another post or directly also shows how in effective they are.
>Self Control is the best Kill Filter and it has been shown these guys lack
>it severly.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1826. Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:19:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021119181919.23026.00000058@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 144361

i heard the only reason she cleans his room is so she can put his dirty
underwear on her head

>
>yeh timski but don't forget ....chuckie has no overhead......just
>think about it.......he lives at home with his mommie........he has no
>rent expense, no bills to pay....yeh he can make money all day long
>because somebody else is paying the way for his lazy ass, all damn day
>long.......and besides that.....his mommie still does his laundry for
>him and cleans up his dirty room.............................
>
>
>
>
>
>

1827. Re: Hey ae352/ asswipe/ snapperhead/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:32:51 UTC
From: Get a job <dontspamusplease@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arehn3$g37$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 144362

: Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote:

: Yes, you're desperate, Georgie-girl. Can we believe him: He claims to
: be a broadcast engineer but he can't get a ham license?

Many of the Broadcast Engineers I know and work with are not Hams. A lot
are... some are not.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1828. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:47:17 UTC
From: Skipp posts yeti another time <piper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <areii5$g37$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 144365

: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
: In looking at the schematic for the amplifier... I see it has no bias for
: AM. Why?

There is a school of people who use "rf derived bias". Nothing I'm a fan
of, but it's been done for years.

: I also think that the bias source, through a 20 Ohm resistor is not capable
: of maintaining forward bias at higher drive levels.

One hopes to drive the devices with slightly less than the max recomended
mfgrs value.

: I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
: of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
: be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
: impedances of those two devices is considerably different.

The impedance is low for both devices, the reactance is different per
device.

Not the best circuit...

As shown, it has hot switching TR problems, no low pass filtering,
lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.

In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1829. Need replacement Display for TRC-435

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-19 23:49:14 UTC
From: 240-Robert <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <X4AC9.18516$NV.348494@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144364

I picked this radio up at a garage sale and brought it home and found that it had the channel display giving off some weird display.

D551 is on the module.

Does Radio Shack still order those parts or what?

I can solder it back in myself. Easy.

I would like to get a replacement for it.

I'm gonna try callin' that 800 number for radio shack to order a new display module. not the chip.

1830. Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 00:48:20 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119194820.22563.00001737@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 144367

i need help installing a roger beep (workman) into a Realistic trc 492 base station has anyone done this before with this radio

all help welcome

1831. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 00:53:58 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211191653.b51cb13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144368

homelandcb <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com>...
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return

you know........this takes the cake......i have seen some stupid
questions before but i have to confess this is about the most idiotic
thing i have seen since tim nebout tried to call me out.............

1832. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 00:59:35 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <X7BC9.1929$4j5.1006826@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144366

I'm not sure..
Ask Aaron. He seems to know something both of us don't.
Hell, I've been a lurker for a few months. :-)

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:VWSdnUcoMMlt4EegXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:nHsC9.1604$4j5.947762@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> : You'll see that the day he proves I'm a troll from FRN...
> :
> : Aaron
> : KB8ZVN
>
> Where are you a troll from then?
>
>

1833. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 01:06:23 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDAE00F.79465DB5@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144369

The beep takes over the switching. The radio should receive without
a mike if you are hooked up right. The transmit wire from the mike
keys the beep. The beep switches the T/R leads. You have to solder
them to the tabs on the beep. The receive wire from the mike is
not attached to anything.

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> i need help installing a roger beep (workman) into a Realistic trc 492 base
> station has anyone done this before with this radio
>
> all help welcome

1834. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:13:36 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <c0sltuouo6jsiii9klruhn92hls2srghhe@4ax.com>
Source record: 144370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1835. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:17:19 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211191817.1d0a0c65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144371

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:<3DD9AB0E.4E63573E@cwis.net>...
> Oh! I see, this is some kind of 'twisted' thinking that
> is supposed to make 'CB'ers happy? Or, maybe it's you that's
> happy? Or, maybe it's supposed to be some kind of 'mystical'
> prophantasy of things to come? Or maybe you just don't have
> any idea of where you're posting it? 'Twisted', I think you
> just made the filter list. I can put up with 'silly', but have
> a hard time putting up with 'stupid'...
> 'Doc

doc if you think twistie is nutts ......well whatever..........but why
don't you carry your ham ass over to the ham radio newsgroup and leave
us the fuck alone man.........you and the rest of your candy ass do
gooder terminal technician class hambos are really stinkin up the
place..............you seem to think that we, because we do not have
fuckin letters by our names cannot reason something out or need your
help or your elmer shit.........that amigo is a damn mistake and a big
one........we do not need elmers here..........and we do not need
someone holding our dicks while we take a piss sir........so please
give it a rest............after all,.... old chap... you are not our
collective daddy.........sooooooooooo cuz are you into freaks and
peeks..........?????

1836. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:22:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaec86_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144372

```
You mean sorry Burt, right? We're glad you're looking for another job. We
can't afford to have any more hams without jobs here--they turn into old
ladies FAST ! Just ask N8, Vooble, and all the ham nuts the full moon is
affecting tonight! All I got to say about your current job is this is what
you should say to them "Share the moment--share NO JOB DEATH !" ! The
Babylonian eggheads in the govt. & out will say that anything like the
"economy" is "growing." I believe this is communist double speak Kensian
propaganda for "we take yo job, you go to McGaggles to work!" The Federal
Reserve is a private corporation controled by foreign bankers that Andy
Jackson called VIPERS that are back making a Deut. 28 job on the USA. 2
Chronicles 7:14 IS the answer! Ask someone you know "will you repent today,
so I can have my job back?" ! ! !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utlcdhclken91@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, sorry Dave ... Bert, Dave **did** send this to me. I should have
> mentioned it, but I've been **really** busy hustling to find another job.
> My plant is closing next year (I have some time to play with). Xerox is
> laying off a bunch of folks - meanwhile, the government claims the economy
> is 'growing'. Hmmm ..
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:c70C9.18126$I8.7307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Nice to see some good press. Thanks Jim.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > ********* message received below *******
> > >
> > > Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
```

> > > Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch
snipers
> > >
> > >
> > > SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
> > > Thursday, October 31, 2002
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990 Chevrolet
> > > Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used
his
> > CB
> > > radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray for
an
> > end
> > > to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into an
> > > interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct.
24,
> he
> > > said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by
the
> > > Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news
conference
> he
> > > had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had become
a
> > > hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and
> attends
> > > the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and
got
> on
> > > his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told our
> > > congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized the
> > > truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper
> > > suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the
> > pre-arranged
> > > stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to
> "evil
> > > in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been
> terrorizing
> > > the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a
description
> > of
> > > the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which
> > originates
> > > from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about the
> car
> > > police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area. "I
> > seen

> > > one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when I
> > > pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow,
> Ky.,
> > > his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz
said
> he
> > > stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in to
> > call
> > > 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to block
> the
> > > exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI ,
> ATF,
> > > everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee
> > Malvo,
> > > 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his way.
> When
> > > he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by family,
> > > friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned
to
> > WLWT
> > > for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by
interviews
> > with
> > > other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years,
> drives
> > > for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass
Trucking
> > in
> > > Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his
> > twice-a-week
> > > drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware and
> > Ohio.
> > > According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the Nazarene
> in
> > > Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an
> > > over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing his
> > > five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on
the
> > > road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship group.Lantz's
> > story
> > > touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife and
> > adult
> > > daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the Lantzes'
> > > doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's
unbelievable
> to
> > > me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very
quiet
> > > life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it. Everybody
> in

> > > the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just living
> in
> > an
> > > ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very
different
> > for
> > > us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good
guys,"
> > but
> > > never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree.
"It
> > just
> > > goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a
> sniper
> > > shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture
> him."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1837. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:23:06 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211191823.800c09e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144375

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<utiugv6fbe8392@corp.supernews.com>...
> I don't understand. There are **three** 220 MHz repeaters in Rochester, NY,
> alone. One connects to the 10 meter port and other ports. Who is spreading
> a rumor that 220 is unused? In sparsely populated areas, perhaps (but you
> can likely a lot of unused frequencies in North Dakota and other sparsely
> populated areas). Sure, if you tune in at 3:00 AM, they are likely not to
> be used (along with all the other repeaters - then again, I've had some
> interesting QSOs on the repeaters at 3:00 AM).
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:9111-3DD9024D-153@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > .lose it.

jimbo this is 11 meters big radio.......we don't give a flying rat's
ass about your precious 220mhz........so pops take to the other one
.......you are stinkin up the place with your kodak ass.............

1838. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:24:30 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaed17_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

What is the 20 on that drug filled neck? !
Dave!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119154953.509$g4@newsreader.com...
> Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm (Lloyd) wrote:
> > There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> > wave damage so they don't get brain tumers. I have got in on the
> > ground floor of these things in the US and just orderd two gross from
> > Norway so I can test market the hats at ham fests and other flea
> > markets. I think poeple will feel a lot better if they wear one of
> > the hats while their either on the air or useing a cell phone.
> >
> > CNN just found out about the hats and put up a story at
> > http://www.cnn.com/2002/TECH/ptech/11/19/norway.cap.reut
> >
> >> Watch for Lloyd's Cell Phone and Anti-RF Hats coming to a ham fest
> > or a flea market near you.
> >
> That friggin led hat hurts my neck.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1839. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:25:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaed3d_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144374

Will dat hat work on my See Bee Radyo ?
Dave!!!

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:7463912056.1430AB193730@taranews.net...
> There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> wave damage so they don't get brain tumers. I have got in on the
> ground floor of these things in the US and just orderd two gross from
> Norway so I can test market the hats at ham fests and other flea
> markets. I think poeple will feel a lot better if they wear one of
> the hats while their either on the air or useing a cell phone.
>
> CNN just found out about the hats and put up a story at
> http://www.cnn.com/2002/TECH/ptech/11/19/norway.cap.reut
>
> Watch for Lloyd's Cell Phone and Anti-RF Hats coming to a ham fest
> or a flea market near you.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1840. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:26:48 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaeda0_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144376

I thought he said Brain Tuners !
What freq. are they tuned for? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:85jltu4223c11jcfmog1j623mgjr87r24o@4ax.com...
> On 19 Nov 2002 20:38:52 GMT, Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm (Lloyd) wrote:
> >There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> >wave damage so they don't get brain tumers.
>
> The real Lloyd don't need one of these hats. He can't get a brain
> tumor 'cause he ain't got a brain. He needs to follow the yellow
> brick road.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1841. Re: Aaron and Dwight both missing? Hmmm.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:29:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaee51_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144377

WHO is "missing" them? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9113-3DD8FE56-19@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I heard it had something to do with his criminal mind and
> nature..something about not following the rules he agreed to abide by
> then selectively breaking the ones he felt entitled.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1842. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:31:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddaeebf_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144378

You will blow your sound chip @ 18v or so, and you'll have NO audio then.
Plus maybe you'll blow the final with higher voltage.
Dave

"homelandcb" <yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mntituo4en761v5vbq25249a1ops83670o@4ax.com...
>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
> and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
> takeout spamaru in mail return
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1843. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:46:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <9ICC9.15373$s02.1671@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 144379

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3ddaec86_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> You mean sorry Burt, right?

It's spelled Bert, Dave.

> We're glad you're looking for another job.

Ditto, hang in there.

> We
> can't afford to have any more hams without jobs here--they turn into old
> ladies FAST ! Just ask N8, Vooble, and all the ham nuts the full moon is
> affecting tonight! All I got to say about your current job is this is
what
> you should say to them "Share the moment--share NO JOB DEATH !" ! The
> Babylonian eggheads in the govt. & out will say that anything like the
> "economy" is "growing." I believe this is communist double speak Kensian
> propaganda for "we take yo job, you go to McGaggles to work!" The Federal
> Reserve is a private corporation controled by foreign bankers that Andy
> Jackson called VIPERS that are back making a Deut. 28 job on the USA. 2
> Chronicles 7:14 IS the answer! Ask someone you know "will you repent
today,
> so I can have my job back?" ! ! !
> Dave!!!

Huh?

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:utlcdhclken91@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yep, sorry Dave ... Bert, Dave **did** send this to me. I should have
> > mentioned it, but I've been **really** busy hustling to find another
job.
> > My plant is closing next year (I have some time to play with). Xerox is
> > laying off a bunch of folks - meanwhile, the government claims the
economy
> > is 'growing'. Hmmm ..
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim

Understood, my friend. We just had a round of layoffs last Wednesday. Next
week we get to listen to an "All hands meeting." We get one of those
whenever a significant amount of blood has been spilled. Company morale is
not very high at the moment, but we're trying to hang in there...hopefully
until the economy *really* does turn around. My fingers are crossed for you,

Jim. Thanks for the article, Dave.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > news:c70C9.18126$I8.7307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > Nice to see some good press. Thanks Jim.
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:utglk120gt2754@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Just received this and though I'd post it for the group's perusal.
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > > ********* message received below *******
> > > >
> > > > Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 9:15 AM
> > > > Subject: Kentucky hero called truckers' prayer meeting to catch
> snipers
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > SPECIAL TO WORLD TRIBUNE.COM
> > > > Thursday, October 31, 2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > One week before a Kentucky truck driver spotted a blue 1990
Chevrolet
> > > > Caprice with New Jersey tags at an interstate rest stop, he had used
> his
> > > > CB
> > > > radio to organize an impromptu gathering of truck drivers to pray
for
> an
> > > > end
> > > > to the sniper crisis.When Ron Lantz, 61, pulled his 18-wheeler into
an
> > > > interstate rest stop in rural Myersville, Md., at 1:54 a.m. on Oct.
> 24,
> > he
> > > > said something just clicked. Spotting the car that had been used by
> the
> > > > Washington-area sniper suspects, he recalled the police news
> conference

> > he
> > > > had just heard on the radio. He called 911 and within hours had
become
> a
> > > > hometown hero in Ludlow, Ky., where he has lived for 40 years and
> > attends
> > > > the Covington Central Nazarene Church."He was driving down I-70 and
> got
> > on
> > > > his CB radio," Rev. Larry Dillon told World Tribune.com. "He told
our
> > > > congregation he was overwhelmed by the response." Lantz organized
the
> > > > truckers' prayer meeting on Oct. 16, just one week before the sniper
> > > > suspects' arrest. Lantz said some 50 truckers gathered at the
> > > pre-arranged
> > > > stop near Baltimore on Oct. 16 and prayed for America, for an end to
> > "evil
> > > > in our country" and for the arrest of the snipers who had been
> > terrorizing
> > > > the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.Lantz said he heard a
> description
> > > of
> > > > the car while listening to the "Truckin' Bozo" radio show, which
> > > originates
> > > > from WLW-AM in Cincinnati. Host Dale Summers told listeners about
the
> > car
> > > > police were searching for in the Washington,D.C. metropolitan area.
"I
> > > seen
> > > > one guy sleeping in the car and one guy standing beside the car when
I
> > > > pulled in," Lantz said Thursday afternoon after returning to Ludlow,
> > Ky.,
> > > > his hometown of 4,400 across the Ohio River from Cincinnati. Lantz
> said
> > he
> > > > stepped out of his truck to use the men's room, but climbed back in
to
> > > call
> > > > 911 on his cellphone. He and another trucker used their rigs to
block
> > the
> > > > exit of the rest stop, he said. "Not even 15 minutes later, the FBI
,
> > ATF,
> > > > everybody showed up," he said. John Allen Muhammad, 41, and John Lee
> > > Malvo,
> > > > 17, were arrested a short time later, and Lantz continued on his

way.
> > When
> > > > he arrived at his home on Poplar in Ludlow, he was greeted by
family,
> > > > friends, neighbors and TV cameras. Early Friday morning, he returned
> to
> > > WLWT
> > > > for appearances on NBC's "Today" show and MSNBC, followed by
> interviews
> > > with
> > > > other local and national TV outlets. Lantz, a trucker for 36 years,
> > drives
> > > > for Indianapolis-based Thompson Trucking, a contractor for Bass
> Trucking
> > > in
> > > > Flemington, N.J. He stops regularly at the rest stop during his
> > > twice-a-week
> > > > drives hauling parts for storm doors and windows between Delaware
and
> > > Ohio.
> > > > According to friends from the Covington Central Church of the
Nazarene
> > in
> > > > Covington, KY, Lancz is a model church member. Even though he is an
> > > > over-the-road truck driver, Lancz is a faithful attendee, bringing
his
> > > > five-year old granddaughter to church every Sunday that he is not on
> the
> > > > road. Lancz is the leader of the local men's fellowship
group.Lantz's
> > > story
> > > > touched off a frenzy at the Kentucky home he! shares with his wife
and
> > > adult
> > > > daughter and granddaughter. Reporters began showing up on the
Lantzes'
> > > > doorstep and ringing their telephone for interviews. "It's
> unbelievable
> > to
> > > > me," Lantz's wife, Ruth, said. "I can't comprehend. We live a very
> quiet
> > > > life here. We have an unlisted number. Now everybody has it.
Everybody
> > in
> > > > the country is calling." "We're just ordinary people here, just
living
> > in
> > > an
> > > > ordinary city," Lantz's sister Ronda Zwick said. "This is very
> different

> > > for
> > > > us." Neighbor Tony Ledonne said he knows Ron is "one of the good
> guys,"
> > > but
> > > > never imagined he'd have a hand in solving an alleged murder spree.
> "It
> > > just
> > > > goes to show how small of a world it is," Ledonne said. You have a
> > sniper
> > > > shooting in D.C., and a local neighbor in Ludlow, Ky. helped capture
> > him."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1844. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 02:59:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddaf4d2.321860@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144380

In <areii5$g37$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp posts yeti another time
<piper@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>: I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
>: of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
>: be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
>: impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
>
>The impedance is low for both devices, the reactance is different per
>device.

Impedance = resistance + reactance. Brian's statement was perfectly
accurate, Skippy.

>Not the best circuit...
>
>As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,

I see nothing of the sort happening there.

> no low pass filtering,
>lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
>
>In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".

Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.

1845. Re: Hey ae352/ asswipe/ snapperhead/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 03:52:16 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211191952.7f828f30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144381

Get a job <dontspamusplease@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<arehn3$g37$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
> : Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> : Yes, you're desperate, Georgie-girl. Can we believe him: He claims to
> : be a broadcast engineer but he can't get a ham license?
>
> Many of the Broadcast Engineers I know and work with are not Hams. A lot
> are... some are not.
>
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

being highly technical in the rf craft is not necessarily inclusive
when it comes to hambos.....they just want you to think
that.....

1846. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 04:26:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <M9EC9.1540$Q14.95786089@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144382

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119131254.357$hq@newsreader.com...
> "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> >> What If I go over Mopar's
> > > house and post from his computer will you then block his name, or will
> > > you be "forced" to read my post.
> > >
> > If you posted from my puter,you'd have my nic. Than Shark would think I'd
> > really lost my mind. lol
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

I love this "hypothetical theories" I have and still keep
blocking him. He knows when this happens, because no
one responds to him, he figures it's time to change nics to
troll the newsgroup.
Lets try this theory George, block me, I don't even begin
to change names like you do, so block me, then you have
no excuse to respond, do you?

LOL!!!!, have it George, talk to you later Mopar.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1847. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 04:26:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <M9EC9.1539$Q14.95786089@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144383

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119192103.871$ym@newsreader.com...
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >> I'm not sure..
> > > Ask Aaron. He seems to know something both of us don't.
> > > Hell, I've been a lurker for a few months. :-)
> > >
> > He must be on vacation,hope he's having fun. I'm going to Vegas for my
> > first time, in December. Love vacation time. ;)
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em.
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

Go see Mystere at the Mirage. it's a great show.
If you want a great Lobster meal in Vegas, go to the
Rosewood Grill, it might be Mafia run, but they have
great Lobsters.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1848. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 04:41:07 UTC
From: Hermione <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <arf3mh$1kt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 144384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

> > > There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> > > wave damage so they don't get brain tumers. I have got in on the
> > > ground floor of these things in the US and just orderd two gross from
> > > Norway so I can test market the hats at ham fests and other flea
> > > markets. I think poeple will feel a lot better if they wear one of
> > > the hats while their either on the air or useing a cell phone.

Dont bothur. ifn you walk around with a small coppur rod bout four feets long you kin groun the phone to a light poast with the rod and all those nasty little Hz's will be harmluss and go into the sidewak or up the poal. This wurks better than the ham fest doodes that walk aroun with the luminum hard hats and raydiuls on teh sides. they lurned this after they herd all kinda dits and dashes in theyre heds even when they was sleeping. now they kin sleep reel good
I herd also that if a ham has lots of tin foil the ham kin sleep real good an not havta study up for a code test this way cuz it comes into his hed real quite like an it dont waken him up with worrie bout taking a test or nuthing
Someune done tole me this is the way Dumass Donnie done it but somefin went rong an the tin foil got somefin like a millyon or 2 volts in it and fryed donnie in the hed. they said donnie tuched his coppur rod to the rong light post and lit up like a sparklur and spun round an roun an roun an roun until his tin foil meltud an his eyes wen all ever wich way. an he even meltud his big ol 20 pound belt buckel to his belly an thas why he wakls all bent ovur the way he is. they took donnie to the veturenaryan but damige was dun an ol donnie nevur did recuver liken the way he wuz uset to be. The vet tole donnys mom that donny had permnent brane damuge an moste likuly wuld talk reeeeeeeeeellllll slooooooooow the res of his lifetime an shuld get therupie. donnies mom siad she dint have $ for therupie an her son wuld just havet to lern to live with his disabiluty. she said she will lurn to lissen to donnie reeeeeeeellll slooooooooow so she under stans him an when she reeds him his bed time storys she wil read reeeeeeeellll slooooooooow so donnie kin unnerstan.
now you know why he tawks so slooooooooooow an yuo guyz shuld be mebbe more unnerstannnig bout his disubiluty an stuff cuz donnie caint hep it. it wuz a acksidunt an donnie wont never more agin be stik his ground rod where it dont belong cuz he lurns reel fast. an donnie dont have a brain toomur eethur cuz the vet siad he is mostly back to normul an wont git any bettur than he is. already.

1849. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 05:12:52 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB19D4.B66C9F93@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144385

Landshark wrote:
>
> Lets try this theory George, block me, I don't even begin
> to change names like you do, so block me, then you have
> no excuse to respond, do you?
>
> LOL!!!!, have it George, talk to you later Mopar.

I use "smart blocking".
I just move on the next message real fast without reading it.

(NEXT!)

1850. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 05:14:12 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB1A24.2F7CC5D4@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144386

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >
> Thanks for the heads up,Shark. We're staying real close to there. I've been
> to many casinos so that's not the attraction for me. Although I'll likey
> lose my ass again..lol
>
> I'm looking forward to the hustle and bustle. <g>

If you go right now, you might run into Tollfree.

1851. Re: Cell phone anti-rf hats are here!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 05:19:54 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB1B7A.4BE4A5EB@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

One just has to love the additive effects of alcohol and a fool moon.

Hermione wrote:
>
> > > > There is finally a cell phone hat which protects people from radio
> > > > wave damage so they don't get brain tumers. I have got in on the
> > > > ground floor of these things in the US and just orderd two gross from
> > > > Norway so I can test market the hats at ham fests and other flea
> > > > markets. I think poeple will feel a lot better if they wear one of
> > > > the hats while their either on the air or useing a cell phone.
>
> Dont bothur. ifn you walk around with a small coppur rod bout four feets
> long you kin groun the phone to a light poast with the rod and all those
> nasty little Hz's will be harmluss and go into the sidewak or up the poal.
> This wurks better than the ham fest doodes that walk aroun with the luminum
> hard hats and raydiuls on teh sides. they lurned this after they herd all
> kinda dits and dashes in theyre heds even when they was sleeping. now they
> kin sleep reel good
> I herd also that if a ham has lots of tin foil the ham kin sleep real good
> an not havta study up for a code test this way cuz it comes into his hed
> real quite like an it dont waken him up with worrie bout taking a test or
> nuthing
> Someune done tole me this is the way Dumass Donnie done it but somefin went
> rong an the tin foil got somefin like a millyon or 2 volts in it and fryed
> donnie in the hed. they said donnie tuched his coppur rod to the rong light
> post and lit up like a sparklur and spun round an roun an roun an roun until
> his tin foil meltud an his eyes wen all ever wich way. an he even meltud his
> big ol 20 pound belt buckel to his belly an thas why he wakls all bent ovur
> the way he is. they took donnie to the veturenaryan but damige was dun an ol
> donnie nevur did recuver liken the way he wuz uset to be. The vet tole
> donnys mom that donny had permnent brane damuge an moste likuly wuld talk
> reeeeeeeeeellllll slooooooooow the res of his lifetime an shuld get
> therupie. donnies mom siad she dint have $ for therupie an her son wuld just
> havet to lern to live with his disabiluty. she said she will lurn to lissen
> to donnie reeeeeeeellll slooooooooow so she under stans him an when she
> reeds him his bed time storys she wil read reeeeeeeellll slooooooooow so
> donnie kin unnerstan.
> now you know why he tawks so slooooooooooow an yuo guyz shuld be mebbe more
> unnerstannnig bout his disubiluty an stuff cuz donnie caint hep it. it wuz a
> acksidunt an donnie wont never more agin be stik his ground rod where it
> dont belong cuz he lurns reel fast. an donnie dont have a brain toomur
> eethur cuz the vet siad he is mostly back to normul an wont git any bettur
> than he is. already.

1852. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 05:26:21 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB1CFD.1F77319E@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144388

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >> If you go right now, you might run into Tollfree.
> >
> Think he can get me coMped? <g>

Only if you don't run him oVer.

1853. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:11:58 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <tEqdnSwavr9WqEagXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144389

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119194958.642$rP@newsreader.com...
: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
: > "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
: > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021106175631.776
: > >
: > > : No kidding..btw he doesn't like to be called george.
: > >
: > >> you guys started it.
: > >
: >> I'll make it real easy for you..what's your first name?
: >
: Still waiting for a real answer.

Why? you said I was dwight

1854. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:21:02 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <i92dnZvYT7B2qkagXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144390

LOL Nad, It is quite simple what happened someone forged the initial twisty posts, some guys emails Twisty, he then thinks you have something to do with it he then gives out your phone number as a form of retaliation. Then he tries to lie and cover it up LOl

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:Z9WcncCKC_PaI0egXTWcpA@comcast.com...
: > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
: > news:4300-3DDA582B-519@storefull-
: > Hmm..if it's all that important to you, I still have the posts where
: > Lelnad KC8LDO claimed he had me kicked off webtv for forging and for
: > giving out his home number to someone that responded to his forged
: ads
: > of me. When he realized he was wrong, he quickly posted another
: unsigned
: > "email" claiming it was from an inquirer that was given Lelnad's
: number
: > by me and he was upset FOR Lelnad (chuckle). Lelnad even went as far
: as
: > to say that if he and this "guy"" and any others had any complaints
: > about me, that they should send the stuff to webtv abuse department
: and
: > maybe "collectively "they" (meaning Lelnad) could get them to
: "thump" me
: > or "drop a rock" on me. You seem to suffer from selective memory or
: are
: > missing posts. Don't worry..it's exactly why I point these type
: things
: > out...I don't want people like you to miss such things.
:
: You mean this one Twisted? Sort of strange the guy send an E-mail to
: YOUR address and ended up getting MY phone number back in the return
: E-mail FROM YOU. You going to try arguing the "saucer men" where using
: your account when you weren't looking? Also as you can well see the
: idea about getting your WebTV account canned did not orignate with me.
: The above rubbish you wrote is just another example of the lies you
: spread around and I take great pleasure in pointing them out to
: people.
:
: _____
:
: On Sun, 8 Apr 2001, Scott Leeland wrote:
: > I got your phone message, however I don't have a clue as to what the
: > contents of the E-mail message you got from me could have been

: about. I
: > never sent any E-mail to you, or anyone else, since Friday till now.
: There
: > are several people, including myself, who are having a problem with
: a
: > certain individual on a news group who likes to make as much trouble
: as he
: > can by forging posts using other peoples names, E-mail, etc. I think
: you are
: > another victim of his forged E-mails. I have another phone message
: from
: > another gentlemen, long distance, who is looking for something I
: posted for
: > sale I assume. I don't have anything anywhere post for sale. If you
: could
: > pass the word around that I don't have anything for sale it would
: save me,
: > and anyone else trying to contact me, a lot of wasted effort.
: >
: > 73's,
: >
: > Leland C. Scott
: > KC8LDO
:
: Well, here is a bit of info, maybe we can track this clown down
: and have someone wander over and thump him, HARD.
:
: Late last night the following was posted:
: ==
: =====
: >From twistedhed@webtv.net Sun Apr 8 02:34:12 2001
: From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
: Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
: Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
: Subject: Altair 8800 for sale
: Newsgroups: seattle.forsale.computers
: X-User-Info: 64.12.103.32 64.12.103.32
: NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
: Message-ID: <3acfefe4$1@news2.mibx.net>
: Date: 8 Apr 2001 05:58:12 GMT
: X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 05:58:12 GMT, 206.53.132.39
: Lines: 2
: Path:
: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp!feed.news
: feeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.ed
: u!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.ne
: t
: Xref: newsfeeds seattle.forsale.computers:52429
:
: Old Altair 8800 mostly all complete, $100, must sell. John.

: ==========
: =====
:
: so I responded with an email to that address.
:
: This morning the following email came back to me.
: ==========
: =====
: Return-Path: <twistedhed@webtv.net>
: Received: from mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net
: (mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.118])
: by agora.rdrop.com (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f38DZ8i54132
: for <dont@agora.rdrop.com>; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:08 -0700 (PDT)
: Received: from storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net
: (storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.57])
: by mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix) with ESMTP id
: 9A2F62C218
: for <dont@agora.rdrop.com>; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:07 -0700 (PDT)
: Received: (from production@localhost) by
: storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-f/mt.gso.26Feb98) id
: GAA00511; Sun, 8 Apr 2001 06:35:06 -0700 (PDT)
: X-WebTV-Signature: 1
: ETAuAhUAlBnL99LqwY45jLZMzm1Gn4t/CeQCFQC3PFcsSULHK4Z8V8mVMjYZNTMcQw==
: From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed)
: Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 09:35:06 -0400 (EDT)
: To: dont@agora.rdrop.com (Don Taylor)
: Subject: Re: Altair 8800 for sale
: Message-ID: <14753-3AD0690A-225@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
: In-Reply-To: Don Taylor <dont@agora.rdrop.com>'s message of Sun, 8 Apr
: 2001
: 02:34:07 -0700 (PDT)
: Content-Disposition: Inline
: Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
: Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
: MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
:
: CAll 248-589-0839 ask for Leland.
: ==========
: =====
: So I called the number and got your answering machine.
:
: Now, from this I would think you MIGHT be able to get Webtv to drop a
: rock
: on their kid.
:
: I haven't got any more information than this but if there is anything
: else
: I can do I would be more than happy to assist with this.
:
: If you would like to file the first complaint with Webtv and then give

: me
: the tracking number I would be happy to file a supporting complaint
: with
: them.
:
: If you get more calls I would encourage you to get them to file the
: same
: complaint with Webtv. With a group of us it looks like we could
: easily
: get this kid thrown off Webtv. And with an identity we could get him
: marked in a variety of different places.
:
: Thank you for helping combat fraud and spam on the net
:
: My apologies for bothering you, let's make a project out of him.
: Don
:
: ---
: --------------------
:
: Leland C. Scott
: KC8LDO
:
: ARRL Member
: NCI Member
: --
: "I care about truth not for truth's sake
: but for my own."
:
: Samuel Butler
: >
:
:

1855. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:31:42 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <UP-cnUzRMfr2p0agXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144391

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021119183828.429$rB@newsreader.com...
: >
: >> I have used the same name for weeks on end
: >
: Whole weeks? WOW!

yep sorry it offends you so much get over it.

: >> like I said what is in a name,
: >
: It's your only identity on any board,the more you change it,the less
: credibale you are. Not so hard to understand.

It sure is hard to understand. The name on the post shouldn't change if that particular post is credible or not. I am the same person who said the same thing 100 nics ago. What difference what nic I use It doesn't change what is being said. Not to hard to understand.

: >
: >> why don't you tell this to Timmy nebro or skippy?
: >
: I haven't noticed Tim changing names,and I haven't really responded to
: Skipp.

You havent he is now master of disater, Busch master , Uncle charlie, go break his balls for a while it is getting as old as you wanting to hear aaron recite Laws. As far as skipp you havebt really responded to him? what does that mean? his posts are still out there and the name changes with everyone.

:
: Besides..You do it way yonder too much,must be an agenda behind it.
: >
: >> the same reason you
: > don't like me changing them makes me want to create 5 more tonite,
: >
: You need help. You mean to say you only do it to piss people off? That's
: what trolls do.

Call it what you will your trolling my post, nothing CB related. If changing my nic's upsets you, and a few others that much then yes I will continue to do so because your cry's are petty when you figure that out you will see that you too need help l do it because it is my choice and god given right just as it is the choice to ignore me.

: Just try to not act like such an asshole sometimes,and all is good. ;)

Steve I was actually going to say the same to you.

1856. Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:33:26 UTC
From: DocMartian@msn.com
Message-ID: <5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144392

if so... what software package should i download.

thank you,

Doc Martian

1857. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:39:47 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <uQ6dnSdSf8jNoUagXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144393

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:M9EC9.1540
:
: I love this "hypothetical theories" I have

Yes thats all they are queenie

: and still keep blocking him.

you do?

He knows when this happens, because no
: one responds to him,

Funny i get replys all the time look at you killfiled me and still replying
LOL

>he figures

your first mistake thinking for me

: it's time to change nics to troll the newsgroup.

yes remeber Frenchy you were bagged and tagged many times by mean queenie.

: Lets try this theory George, block me,

why should I block you you are the one who is crying about seeing my posts
quenie.

:I don't even begin to change names like you do, so block me, then you have
: no excuse to respond, do you?

You are the one lacking the self control, as noted by your crying and
whining, you now want me to block you? so I don't have to see your replys
to me? and no excuse in responding? WTF If a post is directed towards me why
wouldn't I want to respond? It is you that has the loose bowels LOL what
the fuc are you on tonite queenie? your starting to sound like twisty

:
: LOL!!!!, have it George, talk to you later Mopar.
:
: Landshark
:
:
: --
: "I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
: he who defends everything defends
: nothing."
:

:

1858. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:40:58 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <v-KcnXC4X-UKoUagXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144394

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DDB19D4.B66C9F93@bubbles.com...
:
:
: Landshark wrote:
: >
: > Lets try this theory George, block me, I don't even begin
: > to change names like you do, so block me, then you have
: > no excuse to respond, do you?
: >
: > LOL!!!!, have it George, talk to you later Mopar.
:
: I use "smart blocking".
: I just move on the next message real fast without reading it.
:
: (NEXT!)

He also has that but lacks the self control to do that. watch as he has to
reply to this ... gooo ahead ...dooooo say it ... queenie.

1859. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:44:16 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <YVCdnXaEn8fBoEagXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144395

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:X7BC9.1929$4j5.1006826@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
: I'm not sure..
: Ask Aaron. He seems to know something both of us don't.
: Hell, I've been a lurker for a few months. :-)

What made you decide to post? Off to a blazing start i see.

1860. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 07:45:34 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <JOGcnYwEzJ03oEagXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144396

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DDB1A24.2F7CC5D4@bubbles.com...
:
:
: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
: >
: > >
: > Thanks for the heads up,Shark. We're staying real close to there. I've
been
: > to many casinos so that's not the attraction for me. Although I'll likey
: > lose my ass again..lol
: >
: > I'm looking forward to the hustle and bustle. <g>
:
: If you go right now, you might run into Tollfree.

Hi Scott!

1861. Call for National Day of Prayer in View of Present Threats Against U.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 08:08:08 UTC
From: angelmystry@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120030808.18548.00000172@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 144397

http://www.angelmystry.com/seekye/worldprayer.htm

I have been moved to start a grassroots campaign to ask the President, Vice-President, Members of Congress and State Legislators to call for an IMMEDIATE National Day of Prayer to be held within the next 7 - 10 days. This would include all faiths, religious beliefs, etc., similar to the services held in Washington, D.C. and elsewhere following the September 11th tragedy.

This is calling for an email campaign so that our voices may be heard clearly by this nation's leaders. The campaign is to be extended to religious leaders, other organizations, etc., to get this whole country involved.

Please check out the following website, copy and paste to your heart's content, and email your legislators. We are in a time of sincere threats to our nation, and it is time that we pray humbly to God for His mercy and His blessings upon this nation and the world.

I respect each person's right to their own belief systems. I firmly believe that when this nation got on its knees and prayed, following 9/11, that many other disasters were mercifully stopped. I believe this intervention was God's response to the millions of prayers that were said worldwide.

You will find links on the page to a Contact Page with the email addresses, a Suggested Letter, What YOU Can Do, and under the link "One Nation Under God" copies of some of the wording of our nation's documents (with the words highlighted where they talk about our nation being founded on God's principles.)

Please feel free to write your own letters....I've worked for 12-18 hours/day for the past several days on all this and I'm beat, so I'm not sure that if I looked at it in the morning with a fresh cup of coffee, it would make complete sense. The good intentions, and the love of this country, and the people of the world should be enough! Please overlook the flaws, and jump in and let's see what we can do!

If you feel so moved, please also send a copy of this message and the website address to anyone else you think might also help.

We don't know HOW REAL these threats are, but if we unite now in prayer, collectively, perhaps further disasters will be averted through God's infinite mercy. Many of the other speakers I've known on Keen are being given visions, also. Please, PRAYERS ARE ANSWERED!!!

http://www.angelmystry.com/seekye/worldprayer.htm

May God Bless You All.

1862. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Sharkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 09:33:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddb4e37.11185366@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144398

In <3DDAA783.FFCD9935@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>An example of a double tuned amp you can talk about..?

I can think of no example you would be capable of understanding.

<snip>
>I would probably question your flash over statement... it's not a
>given to flash over.

Remove the load and leave the tank? It's gonna flash. Period.

<snip>
>The text was posted using information based on actual examples.
>posted on amp at www.contesting.com, within the last three weeks...

If it was FOUR weeks old would you call it "dated technology"?

<snip>
>Its not my text, it's from an Well known English RF Engineer, RSGB
>Author and friend via the previously mentioned list server. Posted
>based on a conversion project mentioned by another RF Engineer
>who just completed a working HF 8877 amplifier.

I don't care who posted it. Like I said; if it can amplify, it can
oscillate. You still don't understand that parasitics are a product of
the circuit, NOT just the tube, and NOT just the tube's anode circuit.
All you need is a resonant condition that feeds a signal back through
the tube. (It's becoming obvious that you don't understand the
principles behind oscillation, either.)

<snip>
>These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
>broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
>rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
>based on a solid long term track record.
>Unless your willing to take your statement to the "amps court of
>review", I will continue to believe their statements have more
>valid information than yours do.
>It's the same large list of rf people I submitted my sweep tube
>amplifier text to a while back. No errors were found... I don't
>think you even tried to look for it.

I find it hard to believe that ANY electronics engineer would
recommend building high-power RF amps without any form parasitic

supression. But if you want to take their word on blind faith then by all means go for it -- you are gambling with your money, not mine.

<snip>
>http://www.contesting.com

That's interesting: Your other favorite amp god (Measures) clearly disagrees:

http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-August/031417.html

And on his site he even has a great picture of a nuked 8877 from an amp that doesn't use any parasitic supression (and also sells retrofit supressors for the same amp):

http://www.vcnet.com/measures/8877.gs2.JPEG

I especially like his phrase describing one individual that claimed to have never had a parasitic with his 8877 amps -- "the 'not invented here' syndrome" -- describing perfectly the lazy RF design practices of some of your so-called 'experts'.

>> >> >Your "predisposition to parasitics" is not really a good term...
>> >>
>> >> The concept is yours, and it's not really a good concept.
>> >
>> >I didn't make it up Frankie...
>>
>> I find that hard to believe. You make up everything else.
>
>Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.

What am I tripping over? Your explanation of why some tubes are prone to parasitics? I can't trip over it because you haven't provided it!

<snip>
>> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/sec2-2.htm
>
>I believe your referencing a copy of "Care and Feeding of Power
>Grid Tubes". Nice older book with some slightly dated material;
>I have at least two copies.

What makes it 'dated'? What information in there is not accurate?

<snip>
>Where do I or anyone else in these posts say that every cathode
>amplifier
>does not need to be neutralized..?

You say it all the time. Your argument is that grounding the screen reduces the Cgp of a tetrode/pentode in a grounded-grid amp. But the screen grid is effectively grounded (unvarying DC potential) in a common-cathode amplifier as well, which changes the EFFECTIVE Cgp EXACTLY THE SAME as grounding the screen in a grounded-grid amplifier.

Therefore, if 'grid screening' eliminates the need for neutralization
in a grounded-grid amplifier, it MUST ALSO do so in a common-cathode
amplifier.

> The technical point that needs to be
>made is less Cgp

The Cgp of a TUBE is reduced when you ADD a screen grid TO A TRIODE.

>Many of my friends (and mentors) referenced are extremely well educated
>RF Engineers and authors working in the field. Drop on by the list
>server and learn a thing or two... The actual number of available
>active
>amps members reviewing and talking these issues over every day is in the
>hundreds. You are only one fly on the windshield...

I couldn't find any post where anyone even suggests a reason why
grounded-grid amps don't require neutralization. Maybe you should ask
the question yourself and see what kind of answer you get. Of course
it won't be the answer you expect, so you better not blurt out your
ignorant theory to the amp gods before they humble you with their
reply.

>Cute twist of words in your above post.
>None of use claim the screen grid is solely responsible for the
>elimination of the neutralization requirement in a grounded grid
>amplifiers.

You have never provided any explanation other than "reduced Cgp" and
"grid screening". The fact is that Cgp means nothing at all in a
grounded-grid amplifier because the grid is grounded -- i.e, any
signal fed back through Cgp is sent straight to ground at the grid
(...grid-to-plate... get it? or do you need a picture?) And if the
cathode was so well screened from the plate as to prevent feedback,
then the plate wouldn't get any signal from the cathode and the amp
wouldn't work at all.

I have said these things many times before, yet you have refused to
verify them for yourself. Or perhaps you think logic is dated
technology...

>>
>> Since you don't want to bother with the books, I suppose the internet
>> will have to do. Here's another link from Eimac to get you going in
>> the RIGHT direction with tube power amplifiers:
>
>Have the hard copy... If you compared an original to the web posted
>copy, last review by amps members people found it to be incomplete.

If you have the hard copy then I recommend you read it. It may not be
complete, but it's more accurate than your voodoo theories.

>>
>> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/Section2.1.pdf
>>
>> Note the typical applications of low mu and medium mu triodes. Also
>> notice that Eimac, a manufacturer of power tubes for longer than you
>> have been alive, recommends the use of high mu triodes for RF
>> amplifiers.
>
>Sure, but cautions abound using specific types in grounded grid
>applications. That would be the 4cx250b as an example.

It says no such thing and it provides no such example. You are lying
again, Skippy.

>> Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.
>
>they also don't make rf transistors...

Because they don't make semiconductors. They DO make tubes. They make
power tubes for just about every power tube application: AF, RF,
industrial, medical, etc. They even make power tubes with maximum
power ratings even LESS than most sweep tube amps.

But they don't make sweep tubes.

<snip>
>You just can't face the facts too well. So far, his and the
>other people I reference have hundreds of articles and 20 plus Radio
>Handbooks to their credit. I'm still waiting for you to
>provide a reference or two.... a web page or two... an article
>or two... I've even said please more than once...

I can face the facts, and I can also verify them. So far, none of your
"facts" can be verified, even by you. Your only method of verification
is to 'pass the buck' to some web page without even pointing to any
specific text. Anyone can put up a web page, and anyone can publish
articles, manuals, or textbooks. NOT everyone can write a textbook
that can hold up to scrutiny by accredited colleges and universities
around the world. If you want information that's valid (facts that are
not 'subject to revision'), check out your local library for textbooks
written by people with high professional and academic credentials. If
you just want something developed by the process of hit-and-miss and
don't care why it works, just follow the step-by-step directions
provided in "Joe Schmoe's Book of Big Amps". And don't put too much
stock in the subsequent theories; "Hey guys, I built an amp with an
8877 and it worked without a parasitic supressor; this must mean that
the 8877 is immune to parasitics!"

>> >I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
>> >your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.
>>
>> I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.
>

>We'll be waiting for your verified facts.

I'm still waiting for your's. Like, what amp is legal for 24 to 35 MHz? And what TV used the 6146?

<snip>
>> Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
>> is immune from parasitics.
>
>Not much more that I would care to do to make you happy. You
>disagree with the two well known high power amplifier experts;
>posting the text from which I reference. Archives easily reviewed
>at www.contesting.com No one is going to force you to keep
>your head in the sand.

Except that those two "well known" experts (even though I had never heard of them before) seem to disagree with each other. Matter of fact, I noticed the thread about an AL-1500 with an alleged 1.5x parasitic. I read through the entire thread. What I saw was nothing but speculation, ranging from the mundane to the totally absurd, and never ONCE did I see anyone suggest the guy get in there with a GDO and find out where and why the oscillation was occuring. On the contrary, there was even one person that said it was absolutely impossible for the amp to be defective, which really drops a fly in my soup since it is impossible to determine that from the information that was posted.

And not ONCE did I see a post where anyone suggested that grid screening or "reduced Cgp" is a reason why grounded-grid amplifiers don't require neutralization.

There is a lot of good info in those archives, but those people aren't gods that you can take their word on blind faith. As with everything else in life you have to verify the facts for yourself. In fact, I have asked you many times to verify my statements, yet you seem to think that your own statements are gospel. Maybe you think that because you hacked a few amps and subscribed to an amp list that you deserve from rrcb the same god-like respect you give those on the list. Wrong-o Skippy, especially when you claim you built a BC amp with an 8877 that does 10 kW FM! (I should subscribe to that list just to post your claim -- now THAT would be fun!)

<snip>
>> >Da' facts are subject to revision,
>>
>> There's your problem, Skippy. Your seem to revise your "facts" to suit
>> your purposes. For example, remember that callsign you emailed me?
>> Well, it is listed as IG, not IB as you claimed. And the license was
>> issued AFTER the 1997 consolidation, so it was NOT issued as IB and
>> later 'revised'. You are a liar, Skippy, plain and simple. And I have
>> proven that 'fact' many times in this conversation. Of course you will
>> probably 'revise' that fact in your reply...

>
>See.... I knew you were going to try cover your fanny by using the
>FCC web page.

That's stupid. You sent me the callsign with the express purpose of me verifying it on the FCC website, and now you are going to claim that the FCC is wrong? Well, Skippy, what do you consider to be an authoritative reference if not the FCC? Or is the FCC website using "dated technology"?

> Well bucky... If I scan the actual license image and
>cover the personal information, are you going to claim I altered
>the copy..? If you agree, I'll scan the top section of the current
>License, black out the name and personal information and place it on
>the web for your review...

Scan it if you want, I couldn't care less. Digital images don't mean anything because they are so easy to edit.

>>
>> I think nothing more needs to be said.
>
>Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page...

That's not 'hiding', Skippy. It's using an authoritative reference to verify (or rather, dispute) your 'facts'.

> which is different
>from the original actual License hard copy I have. But your going
>to find a squirming' way to avoid the truth no matter what I do.

And you are going to say anything you can to defend your lies.

>>
>> P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
>> including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
>> site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
>> they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.
>
>and the web url is...?

Look it up, Skippy. Maybe in the process you will find a few of those posts you missed.

1863. Happy Thanksgiving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 10:13:29 UTC
From: modsquadhawaii@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120051329.28949.00000122@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 144399

Aloha From The Mini-Grass; Mod Squad Honolulu

1864. Re: wille my cb get fryed watts useing 24 voltes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 11:04:30 UTC
From: Fryewatt <fryewatt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vvqmtugjnv65sbh0vtvscfnddi78gmllef@4ax.com>
Source record: 144400

On Mon, 18 Nov 2002 23:31:15 GMT, homelandcb
<yourafishheadspamaru@earthlink.net> was like:

>
> i want to get more power out of my cb so cal i double the volts to 24
>and get 8 watts out of the thing.
>
>takeout spamaru in mail return

yew kim trye that but yew prolly gonna frye you cb

1865. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 11:06:22 UTC
From: Fryewatt <fryewatt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c4rmtu4qvlivh09vaufonecoqcpdcc1sft@4ax.com>
Source record: 144401

On 20 Nov 2002 00:51:15 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was like:

>carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) wrote:
>>> i need help installing a roger beep (workman) into a Realistic trc 492
>> base station has anyone done this before with this radio
>>
>> all help welcome
>>
>Try the fart noise maker,instead. ;)

whar kin i buy me one of them

1866. THINK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:08:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20021120070830.16142.00001543@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 144402

> Subject: THINK
>
> This is very profound and reflects the slow erosion taking place in
> America and throughout the world. You may want to pass it along -- even
> to those who you are not sure how they will react.
>
> In light of the many perversions and jokes we send along to one another
> for a laugh, this is a little different: This joke today is not intended
> to be a joke, it's not intended to be funny, it's intended to get you
> thinking.
>
>
> Billy Graham's daughter was interviewed on the Early Show and Jane
> Clayson asked her "How could God let something like this happen?"
> (Regarding the attacks on Sept. 11).
>
> Anne Graham gave an extremely profound and insightful response.
>
> She said "I believe God is deeply saddened by this, just as we are, but
> for years, we've been telling God to get out of our schools, to get out
> of our government and to get out of our lives. And being the gentleman
> He is, I believe He has calmly backed out. How can we expect God to give
us His
> blessing and His protection if we demand He leave us alone?"
>
> In light of recent events...terrorists attack, school shootings, etc. I
> think it started when Madeleine Murray O'Hare (she was murdered, her
> body found recently) complained she didn't want prayer in our schools,
> and we said OK.
>
> Then someone said you better not read the Bible in school... the Bible
> says thou shalt not kill, thou shalt not steal, and love your neighbor
> as yourself. And we said OK.
>
> Then Dr. Benjamin Spock said we shouldn't spank our children when they
> misbehave because their little personalities would be warped and we might
damage their self-esteem (Dr. Spock's son committed suicide). We said an
expert should know what he's talking about. And we said OK.
>
> Then someone said teachers and principals better not discipline our
> children when they misbehave. The school administrators said no faculty
> member in this school better touch a student when they misbehave because
> we don't want and bad publicity, and we surely don't want to be sued.
And we said OK.

>
> Then someone said, let's let our daughters have abortions if they want,
> and they won't even have to tell their parents. And we said OK.
>
> Then some wise school board member said, since boys will be boys and
> they're going to do it anyway, let's give our sons all the condoms they
> want so they can have all the fun they desire, and we won't have to tell
> their parents they got them at school. And we said OK.
>
> Then some of our top elected officials said it doesn't matter what we do
> in private as long as we do our jobs. Agreeing with them, we said it
> doesn't matter to me what anyone, including the President, does in
> private as long as I have a job and the economy is good.
>
> Then someone said let's print magazines with pictures of nude women and
> call it wholesome,down-to-earth appreciation for the beauty of the
> female body. And we said OK.
>
> And then someone else took that appreciation a step further and
> published pictures of nude children and then further again by making
> them available on the Internet. And we said OK, they're entitled to free
> speech.
>
> Then the entertainment industry said, let's make TV shows and movies
> that promote profanity, violence, and illicit sex. Let's record music
> that encourages rape, drugs, murder, suicide, and satanic themes. And we
> said it's just entertainment, it has no adverse effect, nobody takes it
> seriously anyway, so go right ahead.
>
> Now we're asking ourselves why our children have no conscience, why they
> don't know right from wrong, and why it doesn't bother them to kill
> strangers, their classmates, and themselves.
>
> Probably, if we think about it long and hard enough, we can figure it
> out. I think it has a great deal to do with "WE REAP WHAT WE SOW."
>
> Funny how simple it is for people to trash God and then wonder why the
> world's going to hell. Funny how we believe what the newspapers say, but
> question what the Bible says.
>
> Funny how you can send 'jokes' through e-mail and they spread like
> wildfire, but when you start sending messages regarding God, people
> think twice about sharing.
>
> Funny how lewd, crude, vulgar and obscene articles pass freely through
> cyberspace, but public discussion of God is suppressed in the school and
> workplace.
> >
> Funny how when you forward this message, you will not send it to many on
> your address list because you're not sure what they believe, or what

> they WILL think of you for sending it.
>
> Funny how we can be more worried about what other people think of us
> than what God thinks of us. Pass it on if you think it has merit. If not
> then just discard it... no one will know you did.
>
> But, if you discard this thought process, don't sit back and complain
> about what bad shape the world is in!

Definition of the SuperBowl
If 10,000 CB'er's are on the SuperBowl
then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
& only 100 can be heard most of the times
& only 10 can be heard all the time
This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!

1867. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:45:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB83DC.F9D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144408

Busch Master wrote:
>
> the federal criminal Dave Hall wrote:>
> >Had your momma been a person of moral conviction, she would've told you
> >that if you can't say something nice, don't say anything.
>
> damn, first you talk about my mother then you say if i dont have anything nice
> to say not to say anythinga t all. when will you start following your own
> advice?

An observation. It's typically a given that the parents are usually
responsible for the peculiarities of their children. Your blatent
disrespect for the law and the rights of other radio operators, and
likely other people in general, was clearly the result of your parents
using a "hands off" approach to child rearing.

>
> >A bunch of people who push the limits of good engineering practice for
> >the sole purpose of stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound
> >like the best place to find solid troubleshooting advice.
>
> sounds like a touch of jealousy mister hall. whats the matter still upset
> because you cant hang with the real cb techs?

What's a "real" CB tech? Someone who can make roger beeps in 7
languages?

> its amazing how on one hand you compare yourself and claim to be better than these cb
> guru's and on the other hand claim they dont know what there talking about.

A different "skillset". Just because one guy may know more "tricks" does
not mean that they understand the complete workings of any radio. My
specialty is troubleshooting and undoing the damage caused by other
"techs" who mod a radio beyond stability in that on going quest to be
king of the hill.
Other guys might be able to make a radio perform better tricks, but when
it develops a wierd problem, they come to me to fix it.

> eitner,
> tollfree, concreteman, and the others blow you away when it comes to offering helpfull
> and accurate info regarding cb radios on a daily basis and here you are all
> high and mighty because your trying to help just one guy.

You might want to check in with you master "twistedhead", and get some
lessons on using google. There you would find many cases of help and
information that I offered up long before you were on this group.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1868. UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:46:10 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <ouLC9.208$Od5.27478@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 144403

Hello all

Wonder if anyone can please help me, I having been using CB for about 8 years now over here in the UK and my local area is dead! Hardy anyone on anymore and I really miss CB.

I will be traveling to Central Florida for about 2 months in a couple of weeks and would like to buy a CB out there.

I am after a little advice please, does anyone know if Central Florida still had a CB community? I don't really want to spend out and find no one uses CB in my area. What's the deal with licences? Over here in the UK we need a 1 year licence does America need a licence if so where could I get one from please? How many channels are America allowed to use and what mode? Are Multi channel radios against the law? Is it worth the risk having one? Do many users use the other channels?

Sorry for all the questions, like I said I would really like to use the radio whilst I am over in the US maybe someone can help me please.

Thank you very much

Stu 'Loose Cannon'

'Long Live CB'

1869. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:47:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB8477.4CA4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144407

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >A bunch of people who push the limits of good >engineering practice for
> the sole purpose of >stretching the limits of illegality, doesn't sound
> >like the best place to find solid troubleshooting >advice.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> Hiya Davey!
> Does your Davemade push these limits you speak of or do you hold that as
> an example of great cb amps that are exempt from the rules?
>

A licensed ham can use anything he wants on the bands he is authorized
for, including a "Dave Made" (With proper biasing mods of course).

I see you're continuing your obsession with me. You're still as
psychotic and predicable as ever.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1870. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:49:07 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB84C3.B752102@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144404

Fryewatt wrote:
>
> >Try the fart noise maker,instead. ;)
>
> whar kin i buy me one of them

Taco Bell.

1871. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:50:22 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDB850E.96CAB944@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144405

Kevin Anderson wrote:
>
> if so... what software package should i download.
>
> thank you,
>
> Doc Martian

Break one-nine. What planet are U on?

1872. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 12:51:28 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <kzLC9.92913$QZ.14090@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144406

No license! You should find many to talk to. If for anything, they are a very handy tool on the highway.

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message news:ouLC9.208$Od5.27478@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hello all
>
> Wonder if anyone can please help me, I having been using CB for about 8
> years now over here in the UK and my local area is dead! Hardy anyone on
> anymore and I really miss CB.
>
> I will be traveling to Central Florida for about 2 months in a couple of
> weeks and would like to buy a CB out there.
>
> I am after a little advice please, does anyone know if Central Florida still
> had a CB community? I don't really want to spend out and find no one uses CB
> in my area. What's the deal with licences? Over here in the UK we need a 1
> year licence does America need a licence if so where could I get one from
> please? How many channels are America allowed to use and what mode? Are
> Multi channel radios against the law? Is it worth the risk having one? Do
> many users use the other channels?
>
> Sorry for all the questions, like I said I would really like to use the
> radio whilst I am over in the US maybe someone can help me please.
>
> Thank you very much
>
> Stu 'Loose Cannon'
>
> 'Long Live CB'
>
>

1873. Re: THINK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 13:53:47 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LtMC9.168$lt3.22201@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144409

Religion has directly and indirectly caused more death and suffering than
anything else.
"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20021120070830.16142.00001543@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > Subject: THINK
> >
> > This is very profound and reflects the slow erosion taking place in
> > America and throughout the world. You may want to pass it along -- even
> > to those who you are not sure how they will react.
> >
> > In light of the many perversions and jokes we send along to one another
> > for a laugh, this is a little different: This joke today is not intended
> > to be a joke, it's not intended to be funny, it's intended to get you
> > thinking.
> >
> >
> > Billy Graham's daughter was interviewed on the Early Show and Jane
> > Clayson asked her "How could God let something like this happen?"
> > (Regarding the attacks on Sept. 11).
> >
> > Anne Graham gave an extremely profound and insightful response.
> >
> > She said "I believe God is deeply saddened by this, just as we are, but
> > for years, we've been telling God to get out of our schools, to get out
> > of our government and to get out of our lives. And being the gentleman
> > He is, I believe He has calmly backed out. How can we expect God to give
> us His
> > blessing and His protection if we demand He leave us alone?"
> >
> > In light of recent events...terrorists attack, school shootings, etc. I
> > think it started when Madeleine Murray O'Hare (she was murdered, her
> > body found recently) complained she didn't want prayer in our schools,
> > and we said OK.
> >
> > Then someone said you better not read the Bible in school... the Bible
> > says thou shalt not kill, thou shalt not steal, and love your neighbor
> > as yourself. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then Dr. Benjamin Spock said we shouldn't spank our children when they
> > misbehave because their little personalities would be warped and we
might
> damage their self-esteem (Dr. Spock's son committed suicide). We said an
> expert should know what he's talking about. And we said OK.
> >

> > Then someone said teachers and principals better not discipline our
> > children when they misbehave. The school administrators said no faculty
> > member in this school better touch a student when they misbehave because
> > we don't want and bad publicity, and we surely don't want to be sued.
> And we said OK.
> >
> > Then someone said, let's let our daughters have abortions if they want,
> > and they won't even have to tell their parents. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then some wise school board member said, since boys will be boys and
> > they're going to do it anyway, let's give our sons all the condoms they
> > want so they can have all the fun they desire, and we won't have to tell
> > their parents they got them at school. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then some of our top elected officials said it doesn't matter what we do
> > in private as long as we do our jobs. Agreeing with them, we said it
> > doesn't matter to me what anyone, including the President, does in
> > private as long as I have a job and the economy is good.
> >
> > Then someone said let's print magazines with pictures of nude women and
> > call it wholesome,down-to-earth appreciation for the beauty of the
> > female body. And we said OK.
> >
> > And then someone else took that appreciation a step further and
> > published pictures of nude children and then further again by making
> > them available on the Internet. And we said OK, they're entitled to free
> > speech.
> >
> > Then the entertainment industry said, let's make TV shows and movies
> > that promote profanity, violence, and illicit sex. Let's record music
> > that encourages rape, drugs, murder, suicide, and satanic themes. And we
> > said it's just entertainment, it has no adverse effect, nobody takes it
> > seriously anyway, so go right ahead.
> >
> > Now we're asking ourselves why our children have no conscience, why they
> > don't know right from wrong, and why it doesn't bother them to kill
> > strangers, their classmates, and themselves.
> >
> > Probably, if we think about it long and hard enough, we can figure it
> > out. I think it has a great deal to do with "WE REAP WHAT WE SOW."
> >
> > Funny how simple it is for people to trash God and then wonder why the
> > world's going to hell. Funny how we believe what the newspapers say, but
> > question what the Bible says.
> >
> > Funny how you can send 'jokes' through e-mail and they spread like
> > wildfire, but when you start sending messages regarding God, people
> > think twice about sharing.
> >
> > Funny how lewd, crude, vulgar and obscene articles pass freely through

> > cyberspace, but public discussion of God is suppressed in the school and
> > workplace.
> > >
> > Funny how when you forward this message, you will not send it to many on
> > your address list because you're not sure what they believe, or what
> > they WILL think of you for sending it.
> >
> > Funny how we can be more worried about what other people think of us
> > than what God thinks of us. Pass it on if you think it has merit. If not
> > then just discard it... no one will know you did.
> >
> > But, if you discard this thought process, don't sit back and complain
> > about what bad shape the world is in!
>
> Definition of the SuperBowl
> If 10,000 CB'er's are on the SuperBowl
> then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
> & only 100 can be heard most of the times
> & only 10 can be heard all the time
> This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!
>

1874. Re: Mom, Apple Pie, Chevrolet and NO Fords!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 14:29:12 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y_MC9.65210$WL3.29182@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144410

You seem to have misspelled Jeep! I've taken the liberty (don't think I really consider that a Jeep) of fixing your spelling below. You'll notice that Jeep doesn't require all caps. The Jeep name carries(ok USED to carry, really turned to shit in the last few years haven't they?) so much weight and power you wouldn't be able to handle more than the capital J.

Dave

"mrbrew" <mrbrew@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:utgfljb3ttea38@corp.supernews.com...
> Jeeps RULE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd7e09c_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yo can't even spell "Chivvy" let alone drive one. Henry Ford was a
great
> > American and he would BARF on all the JUNK ford has made since the 70's
!
> > Just don't get Mope involved in this thread!
> > You know what is common to both my car fixers in 2 different states:
They
> > BOTH say that fixing fords is a BIG PAIN and never buy one! I is dun
with
> > them, since I had a 57 Merc, which I got in '77, and was the only good
one
> > I've seen. An old black man that worked in the Cleveland engine plant
> > agreed with me, that the 56 Crown Vic wit da glass top is da best one
dey
> > ever made!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
news:3DD75E14.E0FDD5C7@cwis.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave,
> > > Actually, I do fix old Fords. Right now I'm working
> > > on a '51 F-1. Got it almost stripped down to paint it
> > > and put in a new motor (the old motor lasted for over
> > > 50 years and lord knows how many miles). Got rid of the
> > > vacuum wippers, putting on power brakes, then a new bed.
> > > I've also done a '52 Chevy, and a '66 Coupe de Ville,

> > > wish I had had the '66 back (wish I had the '52 also!),
> > > but I'm a sucker for large denomination bills waved
> > > under my nose.
> > > 'Doc
> > >
> > > PS - Friends don't let friends drive Chevys. Are you a
> > > Chevy driver?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

1875. Hammies on the freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 14:31:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3013-3DDB9CBF-7@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144413

Hi FM, anybody can inform me what is the activitie of CW in 11mts?,
do u think will be interesting have stations working always CW in our
band? what do u think will be best way for to do? (I mean, QRG for call
and no disturb 555 e.g)
I ask u because a handfull of friends in ham bands are asking me for do
CW in 11mts, and really like I dont like very much ;-) I can´t
answered them. Your opinion will be wellcomed.
Thanks in advance

30LD001
Luis
==========

Appears cw is finding it's way into the freeband through the mainstream
and becoming more popular there than it already is. For those
interested, drop me an email and I will keep y'all posted when the next
round robin takes place.......

1876. Re: Happy Thanksgiving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 14:43:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0cNC9.1663$Kz7.109291654@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144411

"ModSquadHawaii" <modsquadhawaii@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021120051329.28949.00000122@mb-fe.aol.com...
> Aloha From The Mini-Grass; Mod Squad Honolulu

Same to you Mod Squad.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

1877. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 14:46:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3013-3DDBA03A-8@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144417

>A licensed ham can use anything he wants on >the bands he is authorized
for, including a >"Dave Made" (With proper biasing mods of >course).
>I see you're continuing your obsession with >me.

Haha> Not an obsession..stop lying and I will have nothing to point
to...real simple.

>You're still as psychotic and predicable as >ever.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

Maybe. But one thing I can claim that you can't.....I don't lie. Go on
Davey boy, say you used your Davemade only legally...please. Besides,
you said you killfiled me.
Watch the birdy.....now smile.

1878. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 14:54:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3013-3DDBA237-9@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144416

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote:
I'm not sure..
Ask Aaron. He seems to know something both of us don't. Hell, I've been
a lurker for a few months. :-)
He must be on vacation,hope he's having fun.

>I'm going to Vegas for my first time, in >December. Love vacation time.
;)
--

Email me before you go for some insider tips to recreation. Are you
driving or flying? If you're flying, make sure to rent a car...Lake
Mead is not to be missed...I have camped at Government Wash in the fall
and it was awesome.....scorpions and all. I met a guy in a Winnebago
parked on the edge of the canyon that was from Tampa Bay....he had a
nice setup with some power and when I got back home, I managed to talk
to him twice.

1879. Re: Where are the truckers hanging out now?? Ch. 19 of course!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 15:00:30 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <isNC9.63192$P31.33515@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 144412

I can't tell you why 17 is used in California, but I can tell you 17 is used mainly in Northern California. When you get up near Modesto or Stockton area 17 becomes the main calling/trucking freq for CB. As far as I know this extends up past Yuba city all the way out of California and then presumably people tune back to 19. Every where I've been in Central/Southern California 19 is still the main freq used.

Dave

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dd7fd63_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Channel 19 (27.185 for you Hambobos & SW listeners) IS where the Truckers,
> steering wheel holders & spacers are. Us high speed 4 wheelers are there
> too. 19 IS the eyes of the road, except on da left coast, where they use
> 17 from north to south, because like everything else the liberals do, they
> PERVERT it ! Could comeone that KNOWS in Californy tell me WHY did they
> come up with North & south roads or ALL roads in Cal. use 17? Is it
because
> of the conjestion on 19 in LA (and I don't mean Lower Alabama) on 19 in da
> 70's warranted da change? MORE comments below each point below for MORE
> laughs!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "jabb3rw0cky" <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:8354e2f6.0211151826.5a1a98aa@posting.google.com...
> > > Mike Roe Farad wrote:
> > > > I've been up and down a lot of interstates lately, and hardly hear
any
> > > > traffic on channel 19 anymore.
>
> That's because YOU have SWR or antenna system problems and can't
> receive on yo $5 CB antenna! Maybe you is a pico-Farad!
>
> >
> > weird, it's there for ME.
> >
> > i tend to hear CB traffic as follows:
> >
> > Channel 17 North/South freeway traffic and trucks (except in
> > California where all traffic is on 17)
> > Channel 19 East/West traffic and trucks
> > Channel 30 and above SSB (There seems to be a bootlegger's gentleman's
> > agreement that long distance SSB be done above channel 30)
>
>

> No, it ain't 30--it's above 34 !
>
> >
> >
> > i just finished a 4-hour run and there was nothing but chit-chat and
> > traffic-reports on 19...
> >
> > > > Have the majority of them moved to a new
> > > > "freeband" frequency?
>
> NO ! Dey is on 19 ! Was your "run" rinnin' from da cops? !
>
> >
> > not really, although i used to hear some licensed HAM/truck drivers on
> > amateur VHF "state-nets" last year...
> >
> > > >It seems every time I pass a truck, they're
> > > > talking somewhere because I can hear them bleed over onto channel
19.
> > > > But I just can't find where they're talking.
>
>
> Spin the dial, Sunny, and you'll find them. If not, spin it a
few
> more times and you'll find them!
>
> >
> > maybe they're off-frequency? too much gain? crappy mod? who knows?
>
>
> I do !
>
> >
> > CB Channel 19 is alive and well in the Dallas/Austin/San Antonio I-35
> > corridor...if you don't believe me, turn the dial to it, then wreck
> > your car into a jersey barrier on the interstate...
>
>
> A "jersey barrier"? Is this a Jersey Cow barrier?
>
> >
> > while you're waiting for the police to get to you (they have to drive
> > through the 700 cars and trucks you just piled up behind you), turn up
> > your CB radio and listen to all the truck drivers talk about your
> > shitty driving...
>
>
> Well START slowing down and DRIVING BETTER and they won't talk
about
> you ! Just think--they see you IDIOTS from up high in theire seat, and it

> must be SO bad that they have to talk about you!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1880. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 15:11:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3012-3DDBA60F-20@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144414

Yea, that's it Lelnad. See George..I told you that you were missing posts. Now that you see Lelnad started this game with me,,make the dogpile share some of their ornithological diet with you.

- CB Church of Twistedhed

Staff Congregational members

High Priestess: Lelnad C. Scott N3CVJ

Choir Director: Frank Gilliland

Cleaner Upper: Dogie Adair N8WWM
Voice of Insanity: Aaron Voobner (speaking in tongues through one of the members)

Voice of Casual Lies: Dave Hall N3CVJ

Honorable Mention: Doc in Oklahoma

Banished for blasphemy: Charles Johnson AB7SL, Dwight Stewart (caught worshiping themselves)

Tattooist: sylvania.oh.da.uu originated
==========

Copyright 2002
Twistedhed, inc.
All rights reserved

1881. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 15:17:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3013-3DDBA788-11@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144418

From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
>LOL Nad, It is quite simple what happened >someone forged the initial twisty posts,

Not someone, Lelnad. Dick McCollum
was the first to bust his azz doing it in a hammie room....the posts are there for all to see. When Dick announced it was Lelnad, Lelnad attacked Dick on another subject entirely, attempting to redirect the topic immediately by making it personal with Dick, even going as far as saying Dick was attacking him for a grudge he allegedly held over a former debate.....please.

>some guys emails Twisty, he then thinks you >have something to do with it he then gives out >your phone number as a form of retaliation. >Then he tries to lie and cover it up LOl

Nothing to cover up. Lelnad said I was TOS'ed from webtv. He was wrong, as I did nothing he claims I did. Check out our TOS at webtv....very simple and enforced to the "T", just like the one on Nad's forehead. Merely but another of many fantasies that result in you guys wishing it so hard, y'all make yourselves believe it.

1882. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 15:25:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3012-3DDBA94D-21@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144415

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Yep, sorry Dave ... Bert, Dave **did** send this to me. I should have mentioned it, but I've been **really** busy hustling to find another job. My plant is closing next year (I have some time to play with). Xerox is laying off a bunch of folks - meanwhile, the government claims the economy is 'growing'. Hmmm ..
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

They don't feel our pain, Jim. They bleed blue, not red, and like his daddy b4 him, throws stats and manipulated figures around and expects us to believe what he tells us, not what we are experiencing. Check this out...if the Iraq thing (or even Afghanistan, Pakistan, anywhere in the mid east) turns into a long "occupation", and we begin to lose Americans on a regular basis even more than we are experiencing now, and the economy continues to plummet (and it will), the GOP will be extremely hard-pressed to find a scapegoat to blame, and the voters will not fall for the same rhetoric of "the economy isn't so bad" that didn't work last time. Good luck with your search, Jim. I know what time it is..this time last year we were wall-to-wall tourists....the beaches are empty now.

1883. Silver Eagle D-104 **FA**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 17:53:36 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120125336.04395.00000044@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 144419

Great shape and works well, please take a look...
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1940429432

1884. Re: THINK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:28:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBD465.565A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144422

COLD as ICE wrote:
>
> > Subject: THINK
> >
> > This is very profound and reflects the slow erosion taking place in
> > America and throughout the world. You may want to pass it along -- even
> > to those who you are not sure how they will react.
> >
> > In light of the many perversions and jokes we send along to one another
> > for a laugh, this is a little different: This joke today is not intended
> > to be a joke, it's not intended to be funny, it's intended to get you
> > thinking.
> >
> >
> > Billy Graham's daughter was interviewed on the Early Show and Jane
> > Clayson asked her "How could God let something like this happen?"
> > (Regarding the attacks on Sept. 11).
> >
> > Anne Graham gave an extremely profound and insightful response.
> >
> > She said "I believe God is deeply saddened by this, just as we are, but
> > for years, we've been telling God to get out of our schools, to get out
> > of our government and to get out of our lives. And being the gentleman
> > He is, I believe He has calmly backed out. How can we expect God to give
> us His
> > blessing and His protection if we demand He leave us alone?"
> >
> > In light of recent events...terrorists attack, school shootings, etc. I
> > think it started when Madeleine Murray O'Hare (she was murdered, her
> > body found recently) complained she didn't want prayer in our schools,
> > and we said OK.
> >
> > Then someone said you better not read the Bible in school... the Bible
> > says thou shalt not kill, thou shalt not steal, and love your neighbor
> > as yourself. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then Dr. Benjamin Spock said we shouldn't spank our children when they
> > misbehave because their little personalities would be warped and we might
> damage their self-esteem (Dr. Spock's son committed suicide). We said an
> expert should know what he's talking about. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then someone said teachers and principals better not discipline our
> > children when they misbehave. The school administrators said no faculty
> > member in this school better touch a student when they misbehave because

> > we don't want and bad publicity, and we surely don't want to be sued.
> And we said OK.
> >
> > Then someone said, let's let our daughters have abortions if they want,
> > and they won't even have to tell their parents. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then some wise school board member said, since boys will be boys and
> > they're going to do it anyway, let's give our sons all the condoms they
> > want so they can have all the fun they desire, and we won't have to tell
> > their parents they got them at school. And we said OK.
> >
> > Then some of our top elected officials said it doesn't matter what we do
> > in private as long as we do our jobs. Agreeing with them, we said it
> > doesn't matter to me what anyone, including the President, does in
> > private as long as I have a job and the economy is good.
> >
> > Then someone said let's print magazines with pictures of nude women and
> > call it wholesome,down-to-earth appreciation for the beauty of the
> > female body. And we said OK.
> >
> > And then someone else took that appreciation a step further and
> > published pictures of nude children and then further again by making
> > them available on the Internet. And we said OK, they're entitled to free
> > speech.
> >
> > Then the entertainment industry said, let's make TV shows and movies
> > that promote profanity, violence, and illicit sex. Let's record music
> > that encourages rape, drugs, murder, suicide, and satanic themes. And we
> > said it's just entertainment, it has no adverse effect, nobody takes it
> > seriously anyway, so go right ahead.
> >
> > Now we're asking ourselves why our children have no conscience, why they
> > don't know right from wrong, and why it doesn't bother them to kill
> > strangers, their classmates, and themselves.
> >
> > Probably, if we think about it long and hard enough, we can figure it
> > out. I think it has a great deal to do with "WE REAP WHAT WE SOW."
> >
> > Funny how simple it is for people to trash God and then wonder why the
> > world's going to hell. Funny how we believe what the newspapers say, but
> > question what the Bible says.
> >
> > Funny how you can send 'jokes' through e-mail and they spread like
> > wildfire, but when you start sending messages regarding God, people
> > think twice about sharing.
> >
> > Funny how lewd, crude, vulgar and obscene articles pass freely through
> > cyberspace, but public discussion of God is suppressed in the school and
> > workplace.
> > >

> > Funny how when you forward this message, you will not send it to many on
> > your address list because you're not sure what they believe, or what
> > they WILL think of you for sending it.
> >
> > Funny how we can be more worried about what other people think of us
> > than what God thinks of us. Pass it on if you think it has merit. If not
> > then just discard it... no one will know you did.
> >
> > But, if you discard this thought process, don't sit back and complain
> > about what bad shape the world is in!
>

I couldn't have put it better myself. I agree 100%

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1885. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:29:09 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBD475.6698529C@cwis.net>
Source record: 144420

Stu,
You should have no trouble finding someone to talk
to on the US 'CB' band in Florida. There may be more
of a problem find someone you ~want~ to talk to though,
there's a distinct difference in 'style' between the
US and UK 'CB' bands.
There's no license required, so that's no problem.
Give it a try, you might find it a bit different, but
still fun at times...
'Doc

1886. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:33:31 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBD57B.4905796D@cwis.net>
Source record: 144421

Kevin,
There are a number of different 'computer/radio's
available, but as far as I'm aware of, none strictly
for 'CB'. Those that you do find are going to be
costly, and may not be modifiable. You might find
a web site that offers to let you listen to the 'CB'
band, but that's another one that I don't know about,
I've never heard of one only for 'CB'. Good luck...
'Doc

1887. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:39:07 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <iFQC9.1558$Od5.67161@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 144423

Hi Doc and Radio Fun

I can't wait to get over now, I am a wally anyway so that's no problem I am sure I can find someone to talk too.

A few more questions please:

Does anyone know where I will find a copy of the rules and regs for CB use in America (or is there none :)

I will need to get a new antenna can anyone please tell me a good place from where to buy a new Arial online?

Is it worth buying a multi channel radio? or should I just stick to 40channels (is it AM you guys use? UK is FM)

Thanks all

Stu

---
www.Villas4all.com - Orlando Villa Rentals
www.Teamhosts.com - A New League In Hosting

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DDBD475.6698529C@cwis.net...
>
>
> Stu,
> You should have no trouble finding someone to talk
> to on the US 'CB' band in Florida. There may be more
> of a problem find someone you ~want~ to talk to though,
> there's a distinct difference in 'style' between the
> US and UK 'CB' bands.
> There's no license required, so that's no problem.
> Give it a try, you might find it a bit different, but
> still fun at times...
> 'Doc

1888. TS-120 $100.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:39:14 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037817736.653204@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144424

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Last Chance ! TS-120 $100.00
Date: Wednesday, November 20, 2002 12:12 PM

Kenwood TS-120 , Works good on 20,40,80 no work on 10,15
Includes original box & manual
$100.00 or trade You ship
Next stop Ebay
dogyham@hotmail.com

1889. FS: Siemens F2a power pentode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:55:38 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3ddbdacb.31920841@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 144425

I have four pcs of the very nice Siemens F2a power pentode for sale.
They are NOS and in original boxes. You can find picture and data at
my web site - www.demostenes.no

Regards,

Arnold Goks&#65533;yr

DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as
sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and
other components. Web site is updated every week.
You can order on-line.

1890. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 18:56:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utnmmqc4gs9acb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144426

Kevin, as others have indicated, nothing for CB. I'm not sure if there is anything other than scanners for computers, and these require hardware as well as software. There is a software program which allows amateur radio operators to connect through their computer over the internet to FM repeaters worldwide, but a lot of checks are required before they activate the software. Although they say it can take an hour, it took over 3 hours before they were satisfied that the ISP address, e-mail address, and proper license class did, in fact, belong to me. Even then, the radio itself does not reside within the computer - it only acts as a link between the microphone, speakers, and internet. I won't say for sure if there are, indeed, no transmitters / transceivers made which go inside a computer; perhaps someone knows of one. Bear in mind that it would either need an external power supply or the computer would have to supply it - as if we need more loads inside the computer ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> if so... what software package should i download.
>
> thank you,
>
> Doc Martian

1891. Re: THINK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 19:08:53 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21219-3DDBDDC5-75@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144427

yes i think that is a good story.

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

1892. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 19:14:25 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <fcRC9.856$37.87157@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 144428

I wasn't able to get away, I am home for a day then am heading back to Van Wert OH, I have been there since the 11th helping with the releif effort and will probably be there through the end of next week, all I took with me was my HT but I will pick up my mobile rig and antennas today while I am in town.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
>
> Well, Once again, I was asked by another friend of mine, James W8WW to
> help him run his table at the fest, both days. it's 12:37a.m. and I
> got to be at james's place at 3:00-3:30ish this morning... This is
> going to be of those LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONG days!
> anyhow... I dunno who's going to be there... Doug? Keith? anyone else?
>
> Heck, I'm even going to get paid for my services too!
>
> I can see it now.... "GET YOUR AMP TUBES HERE!"
>
> and as usual, I shall be wearing my trusty ol' White UAW hat and my
> currently raddy looking UAW t-shirt. I shall be somewhere in area 5...
> in the arena.
>
> Looking forward to meeting some of the posters and lurkers!
>
> Anyhow, see ya'll there this morning...
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
>
> "get your Tube finals here!"

1893. Re: No, but you can control a CB with a PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 19:17:30 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <utno0f8u6gsg07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144429

Using the computer as a CB is not possible that I know of. There IS software that will let you control a CB with your computer however... As I can see so far, it is apparent that all the people who have replied have no idea about the software; which just happens to be FREE...

It can support a number of CB radios, and by the sounds of it, is fairly cheap to build the interface that is required. Of course, you will need to know a little something about electronics in order to interface your CB with the computer, but as it appears, pretty much everything you need to know is contained on the website below...

http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm

This link, and many more can be found on my CB page... Drop by if you like...
--
Power House - 823
Northern Michigan

http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/scannerpage/index.htm

"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> if so... what software package should i download.
>
> thank you,
>
> Doc Martian

1894. Ohio Tornado outbreak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 19:26:09 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <fnRC9.858$37.87298@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 144430

From: Dave VanHorn (dvanhorn@cedar.net)
Subject: Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-11 15:10:54 PST

> I'm in Ohio,and there was an F4 in Van Wert. Luckily only 4 killed,thanks
> to really good warnings,and it hit a rural area.

That's all you can do.

> Akron/Canton got beat up pretty well too.
> I was lucky,just a few branches down..sigh.

About the spookiest thing I've seen while spotitng, is pieces of leaves,
floating down out of a blue sky. Towers were all around us, but overhead
was clear, and there were these pieces, up to quarter sized or so, some with
twigs still attached, floating down from as high as the eye could see.
Obviously somewhere nearby there were some trees getting hoovered, but
nothing that any of the spotters could see.

The F4 that hit Van Wert went through my county, I live about 6 miles from
where it dissapated.
73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

1895. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 19:35:38 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <utnp2f8kb5t65f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144431

Rules can be found here: http://www.reactintl.org/rules-cb.htm

10-Codes (will help you communicate):
http://www.roity.com/rc/cbradio/cbcodes.html

Antenna: Don't know. Someone else can answer that one...

Radio: Depends on how long your are staying... I'd suggest probably
starting out with a legal unit, and after a while progress from there if you
want.

Transmission: We use both AM and SSB..

PH

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:iFQC9.1558$Od5.67161@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi Doc and Radio Fun
>
> I can't wait to get over now, I am a wally anyway so that's no problem I
am
> sure I can find someone to talk too.
>
> A few more questions please:
>
> Does anyone know where I will find a copy of the rules and regs for CB use
> in America (or is there none :)
>
> I will need to get a new antenna can anyone please tell me a good place
from
> where to buy a new Arial online?
>
> Is it worth buying a multi channel radio? or should I just stick to
> 40channels (is it AM you guys use? UK is FM)
>
> Thanks all
>
> Stu
>
> ---
--
> ------------------------
> www.Villas4all.com - Orlando Villa Rentals
> www.Teamhosts.com - A New League In Hosting
> ---
--

> ------------------------
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DDBD475.6698529C@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Stu,
> > You should have no trouble finding someone to talk
> > to on the US 'CB' band in Florida. There may be more
> > of a problem find someone you ~want~ to talk to though,
> > there's a distinct difference in 'style' between the
> > US and UK 'CB' bands.
> > There's no license required, so that's no problem.
> > Give it a try, you might find it a bit different, but
> > still fun at times...
> > 'Doc
>
>

1896. Re: THINK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 20:18:02 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12255-3DDBEDFA-68@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144433

Governments and religion have murdered MANY!.....Mark

1897. For Sale : Palomar250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 20:36:35 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021120153635.14083.00000033@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 144432

For sale : Palomar 250 with upgraded power wires to 8 ga $100 or trade for a RCI 2950 in good shape ..do not want the DX 2950,s

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

1898. Radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 21:01:23 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120160123.21744.00000100@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 144435

northstar 3000 mobile , has dual echo knobs, talkback, extra channels, hi med lo switch, it will do about 25 watts, this is a sweet talking and looking radio...i'll take 145 for it

Thatz-me-JP

1899. Re: TS-120 $100.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 21:28:24 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037827929.739258@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144437

RADIO SOLD
"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1037817736.653204@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
>
> From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
> Subject: Last Chance ! TS-120 $100.00
> Date: Wednesday, November 20, 2002 12:12 PM
>
> Kenwood TS-120 , Works good on 20,40,80 no work on 10,15
> Includes original box & manual
> $100.00 or trade You ship
> Next stop Ebay
> dogyham@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>

1900. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Sharkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 21:52:13 UTC
From: piper tweet <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC040D.55B77D49@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144434

: "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> >An example of a double tuned amp you can talk about..?
>
> I can think of no example you would be capable of understanding.

You are just plain rude and out of line most of the time. You
have yet to provide any text, circuit diagrams or references
to back up anything you've said. I keep asking, you provide
nothing.... you just seem to only enjoy attacking others.

> >I would probably question your flash over statement... it's not a
> >given to flash over.
>
> Remove the load and leave the tank? It's gonna flash. Period.

Your statement only...

> <snip>
> >The text was posted using information based on actual examples.
> >posted on amp at www.contesting.com, within the last three weeks...
>
> If it was FOUR weeks old would you call it "dated technology"?

Doesn't matter, I verified the posted information by the two
well known RF Engineers and believe it to be accurate until
better facts or information update or replace it.

Like your adding rectifier equalizing networks mistake. Mfgrs
updated their products and posted data sheets for the newer
devices.

> <snip>
> >Its not my text, it's from an Well known English RF Engineer, RSGB
> >Author and friend via the previously mentioned list server. Posted
> >based on a conversion project mentioned by another RF Engineer
> >who just completed a working HF 8877 amplifier.
>
> I don't care who posted it. Like I said; if it can amplify, it can
> oscillate. You still don't understand that parasitics are a product of
> the circuit, NOT just the tube, and NOT just the tube's anode circuit.
> All you need is a resonant condition that feeds a signal back through
> the tube. (It's becoming obvious that you don't understand the
> principles behind oscillation, either.)

You start your above statement with an off the direct issue/topic
generalized statement. We are talking about a tube that is

well designed and constructed, which does not require
conventional parasitic supresors for common HF and VHF
operation. That tube is the 8877 and some of its cousins.

We are not talking generic amplifiers which can be made
to oscillate.

Now you want to imply that I say it is only the tube or the
anode circuit... don't play games franklin.
Your bob and weave trying very hard to say that I restricted
specific parasitic sources to one cause.

You present any source material or text directly related to the
subject.... and we'll go over it. Otherwise, your still just
chasing windmills with your bitter pill poopy attitude.

> <snip>
> >These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
> >broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
> >rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
> >based on a solid long term track record.
> >Unless your willing to take your statement to the "amps court of
> >review", I will continue to believe their statements have more
> >valid information than yours do.
> >It's the same large list of rf people I submitted my sweep tube
> >amplifier text to a while back. No errors were found... I don't
> >think you even tried to look for it.
>
> I find it hard to believe that ANY electronics engineer would
> recommend building high-power RF amps without any form parasitic
> supression. But if you want to take their word on blind faith then by
> all means go for it -- you are gambling with your money, not mine.

So did it... so he was asked about the described working circuit
which lacked parasitic supression. He and another well known
Engineer supplied additional information about the circuit, the tube
and the reasons why the amplifier they actually built works well
without conventional anode parasitic supressors installed.

I picked up some very nice information in the exchange... of
which I'm happy to reference. But you just want to live
with your vintage - dated technology.
Get off your rather large web behind and go review the posts
at the www.contesting.com web site. Learn something new
for a big change in your narrow world.

>
> That's interesting: Your other favorite amp god (Measures) clearly
> disagrees:

Rich is a long time friend, who has yet to obtain a deity
status.

At that date and time in the thread... he had yet to see
the evidence which was substantial enough in fact and
backed up by a working example.
You could simply Email him and ask for his opinion.

> http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-August/031417.html
>
> And on his site he even has a great picture of a nuked 8877 from an
> amp that doesn't use any parasitic supression (and also sells retrofit
> supressors for the same amp):

An interesting point often debated on the list server. Some claim
Eimac had some rather well known problem with 8877's, some
claim the applied circuits have problems, others chime in with
various statements. The debates have gone through years of
back and forth. One must also learn and avoid some of the
personal land mines thrown out by Author.

> http://www.vcnet.com/measures/8877.gs2.JPEG
>
> I especially like his phrase describing one individual that claimed to
> have never had a parasitic with his 8877 amps -- "the 'not invented
> here' syndrome" -- describing perfectly the lazy RF design practices
> of some of your so-called 'experts'.

That would be Ameritron Amp designer Tom, a nice guy with points
of his own to make. Not everyone takes Rich's word as gospel, but
many learn how to filter all the material to arrive at a logical mean.

Aside from the above, I believe a lot of what is specifically referred
to on that page is possibly sourced to both the applied circuits and
some well known issues with 8877 tube production.

The more recent 8877 conversion example by John does not
have the traditional shortcomings found in previous examples,
the end result is that his amplifier is stable without parasitic
supressors installed.

> >Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.
>
> What am I tripping over? Your explanation of why some tubes are prone
> to parasitics? I can't trip over it because you haven't provided it!

Simple, internal values of C and L and the tube geometry.

> <snip>
> >> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/sec2-2.htm
> >
> >I believe your referencing a copy of "Care and Feeding of Power
> >Grid Tubes". Nice older book with some slightly dated material;
> >I have at least two copies.
>
> What makes it 'dated'? What information in there is not accurate?

Old information, no one claims it's inaccurate. Are you trying to
make some technical point..?

> <snip>
> >Where do I or anyone else in these posts say that every cathode
> >amplifier
> >does not need to be neutralized..?
>
> You say it all the time. Your argument is that grounding the screen
> reduces the Cgp of a tetrode/pentode in a grounded-grid amp. But the

So what about the above is not a fact..?

>
> screen grid is effectively grounded (unvarying DC potential) in a
> common-cathode amplifier as well, which changes the EFFECTIVE Cgp
> EXACTLY THE SAME as grounding the screen in a grounded-grid amplifier.
> Therefore, if 'grid screening' eliminates the need for neutralization
> in a grounded-grid amplifier, it MUST ALSO do so in a common-cathode
> amplifier.

Somewhere you try to twist out the idea that I say the grounded screen is
the reason g-grid amps don't require neutralization. I say it is only part
of a larger picture. Was a poor try on your part that doesn't float a
boat...

> > The technical point that needs to be
> >made is less Cgp
>
> The Cgp of a TUBE is reduced when you ADD a screen grid TO A TRIODE.

And...?
Effective C is also reduced when you apply that tetrode to grounded grid
operation. Nice 'eh..?

> >Many of my friends (and mentors) referenced are extremely well educated
> >RF Engineers and authors working in the field. Drop on by the list
> >server and learn a thing or two... The actual number of available
> >active
> >amps members reviewing and talking these issues over every day is in the
> >hundreds. You are only one fly on the windshield...
>
> I couldn't find any post where anyone even suggests a reason why
> grounded-grid amps don't require neutralization. Maybe you should ask
> the question yourself and see what kind of answer you get. Of course
> it won't be the answer you expect, so you better not blurt out your
> ignorant theory to the amp gods before they humble you with their
> reply.

You can't seem to find much of anything except mean and bitter things
to write, despite your poopy attitude, the world turns and moves forward
without you.

Why don't you step up to the plate, join the list server and post the
question yourself..? Just drop the amp gods baggage at the door so
people will even talk to you.

Come on Mr. big shot, you've got the big mouth via your keyboard.
Time to step up and do some things for yourself for a change. You
can also ask about the rectifier equalization networks you love
and the reasons why grids are not tied to the plate in RF amplifiers.

> >Cute twist of words in your above post.
> >None of use claim the screen grid is solely responsible for the
> >elimination of the neutralization requirement in a grounded grid
> >amplifiers.
>
> You have never provided any explanation other than "reduced Cgp" and
> "grid screening". The fact is that Cgp means nothing at all in a
> grounded-grid amplifier because the grid is grounded -- i.e, any
> signal fed back through Cgp is sent straight to ground at the grid
> (...grid-to-plate... get it? or do you need a picture?) And if the
> cathode was so well screened from the plate as to prevent feedback,
> then the plate wouldn't get any signal from the cathode and the amp
> wouldn't work at all.

Go look up the circuit description in the SAI Handbook text I
referenced. Save us all some time while you eat your crow sandwich.
Your beginning to be a big time boar and a bitter boy time waster.

> I have said these things many times before, yet you have refused to
> verify them for yourself. Or perhaps you think logic is dated
> technology...

You are a master of word twist, but that's about it. You have yet
to provide us with any text, articles, web page material that you've
written. A lot of what you've posted indicates you have little if
any experience actually working on any tube rf amplifier. We just
get the impression you live to repost text book information which
has proven to contain errors and dated material and that your
not willing to resource anything further than a mouse click in
your narrow little world.

> >> Since you don't want to bother with the books, I suppose the internet
> >> will have to do. Here's another link from Eimac to get you going in
> >> the RIGHT direction with tube power amplifiers:
> >
> >Have the hard copy... If you compared an original to the web posted
> >copy, last review by amps members people found it to be incomplete.
>
> If you have the hard copy then I recommend you read it. It may not be
> complete, but it's more accurate than your voodoo theories.

>
>
> >>

> >> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/Section2.1.pdf
> >>
> >> Note the typical applications of low mu and medium mu triodes. Also
> >> notice that Eimac, a manufacturer of power tubes for longer than you
> >> have been alive, recommends the use of high mu triodes for RF
> >> amplifiers.
> >
> >Sure, but cautions abound using specific types in grounded grid
> >applications. That would be the 4cx250b as an example.
>
> It says no such thing and it provides no such example. You are lying
> again, Skippy.

You are a simpleton franklin with a poor taste for word twist.
Exactly where in the above text that I typed do you see the
"cautions abound" statement restricted to the Care and Feeding
Book..? If you would ever care to reference the original source
material (which contains the mentioned cautions), try the
SAI Radio Handbook, 18th edition... around pages 180. He
was an Eimac Engineer, probably one of the ones sourcing
some or much of the material in the Care and Feeding book
your dancing about via the CPI web page.

The statement remains as fact... nanny nanny boo boo to you..!

> >> Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.
> >
> >they also don't make rf transistors...
>
> Because they don't make semiconductors. They DO make tubes. They make
> power tubes for just about every power tube application: AF, RF,
> industrial, medical, etc. They even make power tubes with maximum
> power ratings even LESS than most sweep tube amps.

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....! (snore)

> But they don't make sweep tubes.

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...!!!

> <snip>
> >You just can't face the facts too well. So far, his and the
> >other people I reference have hundreds of articles and 20 plus Radio
> >Handbooks to their credit. I'm still waiting for you to
> >provide a reference or two.... a web page or two... an article
> >or two... I've even said please more than once...
>
> I can face the facts, and I can also verify them. So far, none of your
> "facts" can be verified, even by you. Your only method of verification
> is to 'pass the buck' to some web page without even pointing to any

SAI Handbook, 18th Edition... Still waiting for you to post any of
your text references.... any..? big please franklin..?

> specific text. Anyone can put up a web page, and anyone can publish
> articles, manuals, or textbooks. NOT everyone can write a textbook
> that can hold up to scrutiny by accredited colleges and universities
> around the world. If you want information that's valid (facts that are
> not 'subject to revision'), check out your local library for textbooks
> written by people with high professional and academic credentials. If
> you just want something developed by the process of hit-and-miss and
> don't care why it works, just follow the step-by-step directions
> provided in "Joe Schmoe's Book of Big Amps". And don't put too much
> stock in the subsequent theories; "Hey guys, I built an amp with an
> 8877 and it worked without a parasitic supressor; this must mean that
> the 8877 is immune to parasitics!"

Did you bother to look for/at the credentials of the author of that
text Mr. library..? Enjoy another crow sandwich.

>
> >> >I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
> >> >your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.
> >>
> >> I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.
> >
> >We'll be waiting for your verified facts.
>
> I'm still waiting for your's. Like, what amp is legal for 24 to 35
> MHz? And what TV used the 6146?

Aaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhh.... out of steam and back to square
one with.

The amplifiers legal for 24 to 35 Mhz, uses TV supplied sweep
tubes which have their grids tied to their plate in AB1 where
significant drive power is consumed. The power supply of said
amplifier has bad news equalization networks across the
rectifiers.
That's how you told us to build it frankie...

> >> Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
> >> is immune from parasitics.
> >
> >Not much more that I would care to do to make you happy. You
> >disagree with the two well known high power amplifier experts;
> >posting the text from which I reference. Archives easily reviewed
> >at www.contesting.com No one is going to force you to keep
> >your head in the sand.
>
> Except that those two "well known" experts (even though I had never
> heard of them before) seem to disagree with each other. Matter of
> fact, I noticed the thread about an AL-1500 with an alleged 1.5x
> parasitic. I read through the entire thread. What I saw was nothing
> but speculation, ranging from the mundane to the totally absurd, and
> never ONCE did I see anyone suggest the guy get in there with a GDO
> and find out where and why the oscillation was occuring. On the

> contrary, there was even one person that said it was absolutely
> impossible for the amp to be defective, which really drops a fly in my
> soup since it is impossible to determine that from the information
> that was posted.

A nice motivator to get you out of that dated textbook you repost and
make some observations and tests on your own.

> And not ONCE did I see a post where anyone suggested that grid
> screening or "reduced Cgp" is a reason why grounded-grid amplifiers
> don't require neutralization.

And not once will you see Merlots vs Zins brought up... How
about you join the list and post up a storm frankie..? Most people
on amps generally assume reduced Cgp and Ccp is a good thing,
but not the entire picture. Your word twist game would be a
warm turd on the scene and people would quickly tire of your
same old smell.

> There is a lot of good info in those archives, but those people aren't
> gods that you can take their word on blind faith. As with everything
> else in life you have to verify the facts for yourself. In fact, I

Who asked for blind faith..? You sum it all up in the first line.. "there
is a lot of good info..." It's only a forum... not Mt. Olympus

> have asked you many times to verify my statements, yet you seem to
> think that your own statements are gospel. Maybe you think that
> because you hacked a few amps and subscribed to an amp list that you

You refuse to disclose any actual book material sources, any articles
or text you've written and your a bitter pill read. Your just a fly on
the windshield of life with your behind smashed back up into your
brain. Nothing makes you happy, ever...

> deserve from rrcb the same god-like respect you give those on the
> list. Wrong-o Skippy, especially when you claim you built a BC amp
> with an 8877 that does 10 kW FM! (I should subscribe to that list just
> to post your claim -- now THAT would be fun!)

You have got to be the king of attempted word twist in your babble.
And where exactly did I say I built and 8877 BC amp that does 10 kw
frankie..? Yeah... I didn't think so.

I would enjoy seeing anything you could post that would not be
an attack on anyone else. A review of almost all your posts
indicates that is about all you ever do.

>
> <snip>
> >> >Da' facts are subject to revision,
> >>
> >> There's your problem, Skippy. Your seem to revise your "facts" to suit
> >> your purposes. For example, remember that callsign you emailed me?

> >> Well, it is listed as IG, not IB as you claimed. And the license was
> >> issued AFTER the 1997 consolidation, so it was NOT issued as IB and
> >> later 'revised'. You are a liar, Skippy, plain and simple. And I have
> >> proven that 'fact' many times in this conversation. Of course you will
> >> probably 'revise' that fact in your reply...
> >
> >See.... I knew you were going to try cover your fanny by using the
> >FCC web page.
>
> That's stupid. You sent me the callsign with the express purpose of me
> verifying it on the FCC website, and now you are going to claim that
> the FCC is wrong? Well, Skippy, what do you consider to be an
> authoritative reference if not the FCC? Or is the FCC website using
> "dated technology"?

I claim what is on the hard copy paper, not what is on the web.

> > Well bucky... If I scan the actual license image and
> >cover the personal information, are you going to claim I altered
> >the copy..? If you agree, I'll scan the top section of the current
> >License, black out the name and personal information and place it on
> >the web for your review...
>
> Scan it if you want, I couldn't care less. Digital images don't mean
> anything because they are so easy to edit.

That's pretty much how we expected you to respond. Sorry, but
no one here is going to lose any sleep over you sweating the
current IB License I mentioned is not valid as indicated. You
may toss and turn as you please...

> >>
> >> I think nothing more needs to be said.
> >
> >Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page...
>
> That's not 'hiding', Skippy. It's using an authoritative reference to
> verify (or rather, dispute) your 'facts'.

Then make a simple call and ask if the facts as I posted can
be easily verified without the web page. It's an 800 number.

> > which is different
> >from the original actual License hard copy I have. But your going
> >to find a squirming' way to avoid the truth no matter what I do.
>
> And you are going to say anything you can to defend your lies.

ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!!!!!!!!!!

>
>
> >>

> >> P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
> >> including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
> >> site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
> >> they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.
> >
> >and the web url is...?
>
> Look it up, Skippy. Maybe in the process you will find a few of those
> posts you missed.

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzz.....................!!!!!!!!

and that web site url is..? anyone help us out here..? franklin
doesn't want to "give it up".

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1901. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 21:57:47 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <iFadneEdh5HQmEGgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144438

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:fcRC9.856$37.87157@cletus.bright.net...
> I wasn't able to get away, I am home for a day then am heading back to Van
> Wert OH, I have been there since the 11th helping with the releif effort
and
> will probably be there through the end of next week, all I took with me
was
> my HT but I will pick up my mobile rig and antennas today while I am in
> town.

We need a better way to spread the word on what's needed in such cases.
I know a lot of folks are staying away, so as to not get in the way.

1902. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 21:58:04 UTC
From: piper tweet <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC056C.E3175B4E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144436

"yeti@cet.com" wrote: never anything positive, ever.

> In <areii5$g37$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp posts yeti another time
> <piper@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >: I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
> >: of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
> >: be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
> >: impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
> >
> >The impedance is low for both devices, the reactance is different per
> >device.
>
> Impedance = resistance + reactance. Brian's statement was perfectly
> accurate, Skippy.
>

So was mine...

> >Not the best circuit...
> >
> >As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,
>
> I see nothing of the sort happening there.

That's because your experience and theory is weak. Look it up
in your text book frankie

>
> > no low pass filtering,
> >lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
> >
> >In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".
>
> Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
> word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
> by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.

I care very little what you call it. Now be a good lap dog and
follow me around from post to post.

1903. Re: Hammies on the freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 22:18:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uto2h7pcgm6j9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144439

Twist, It's always good to know that both groups (hams and cbers) have plenty of folks whose elevators don't go all the way to the top ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:3013-3DDB9CBF-7@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hi FM, anybody can inform me what is the activitie of CW in 11mts?,
do u think will be interesting have stations working always CW in our
band? what do u think will be best way for to do? (I mean, QRG for call
and no disturb 555 e.g)
I ask u because a handfull of friends in ham bands are asking me for do
CW in 11mts, and really like I dont like very much ;-) I can't
answered them. Your opinion will be wellcomed.
Thanks in advance

30LD001
Luis
==========

Appears cw is finding it's way into the freeband through the mainstream and becoming more popular there than it already is. For those interested, drop me an email and I will keep y'all posted when the next round robin takes place.....

1904. Re: Hammies on the freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 22:45:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3014-3DDC1071-9@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144441

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Twist, It's always good to know that both groups (hams and cbers) have
plenty of folks whose elevators don't go all the way to the top ;-)
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========
Not sure I follow, but if you are referring to his broken English...look
on down to his division number..it ain't 2 (two), which would be ours.
He is a foreigner, and his post illustrates hammies around the world,
not just in the states, utilize the freeband. If you are referring to
the cw idea, it works just as well as on 10. Showing his concern for
interference to 555, the well known international call channel, well,
all I can say, is I will take these type folks whose "elevators don't go
all the way to the top" over some of the anal retentive hypocritical
lying hammies that attempt to rain their pestilence upon our cb group,
any day of the week.

1905. 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:06:24 UTC
From: rettysnitch@ugerumf.com
Message-ID: <arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>
Source record: 144447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

73, de Wouff Hong

1906. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:13:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211201513.490bfb6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144440

"Perculator" the phoney <rcranus@hotmail.com> spewed in message
news:<YVCdnXaEn8fBoEagXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:X7BC9.1929$4j5.1006826@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> : I'm not sure..
> : Ask Aaron. He seems to know something both of us don't.
> : Hell, I've been a lurker for a few months. :-)
>
> What made you decide to post? Off to a blazing start i see.

Why not, with such a sterling example of intelligence as you post
here? When do you show us something worthwhile?

So, how come now everybody is "Steve"? Haven't you worn that one out
yet, Georgie-girl?

1907. Slider mod for the Galaxy DX 2547??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:33:57 UTC
From: modify66@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120183357.06167.00000065@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 144442

I am looking for the clarifier mod. for this unit.
Can anyone help me out here?

1908. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:36:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <lhWdnSIqx_78gUGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144443

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:i92dnZvYT7B2qkagXTWcog@comcast.com...
> LOL Nad, It is quite simple what happened someone forged the initial
twisty
> posts, some guys emails Twisty, he then thinks you have something to
do with
> it he then gives out your phone number as a form of retaliation.
Then he
> tries to lie and cover it up LOl

Twisted had the option to ignore the inquires, but no he had to squirt gas on the fire instead. Since he wanted to be part of the problem I just let him suffer. I've got no reason to help somebody like that. He made enemies where he originally had little to none, but now seems to have in over flowing abundance. He hasn't learned from his past mistakes, and he definitely isn't learning from his current ones either. As you discovered Twisted's usual mode is distorting the facts, then when found out lie about it. Press him for solid proof of his crazy claims of fact, then sit back and enjoy the circus side-show that develops as he desperately tries to connect a sequence of events with no logical connection between them. When he's done he claims to have proved his point, however nobody else ever seems to believe him.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

1909. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:40:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <8bacnVThV-wegEGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144444

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120182145.975$G7@newsreader.com...
> I remember that,in fact didn't Dick say something about Lee's sig
file too?

Yeah, seems like he couldn't take a joke. Poke fun at CW and that
seemed to press a few of his " hot buttons".

--

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1910. Where to buy from?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:40:51 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <c4VC9.3020$Lq.113076@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 144445

Hi I would like to buy some CB equipment radio, psu, antenna, coax , plugs etc does anyone know of a online shop I can order from please?

Kind Regards

Stuart

1911. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:47:44 UTC
From: A_Real_CB_Operator <cb_ops@limacharlie.com>
Message-ID: <d9VC9.18574$NV.356280@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144446

I saw something that related to that only it was a lot like what Amateur
Radio Xcvrs controlled by the computer.
This was about a computer connection to the CB --- that's tight right there.
Memories and scanning features.
-read that it was illegal --- Bull!!

I'll connect my computer to a CB if I want to.
What's so illegal 'bout that!

Plain ol' fashioned communism in AMERICA!!!

Oh, well!

let's see turn on CB and engage the scanning --- Hot Damn!!
HA-HA FCC!!!
I did it!!!
WOOO - HOOOO!!
"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> if so... what software package should i download.
>
> thank you,
>
> Doc Martian

1912. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:49:08 UTC
From: BJR <somewhere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jo7otu4bfr8mhopjf2li58mfhk4d9koik1@4ax.com>
Source record: 144448

carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>i need help installing a roger beep (workman) into a Realistic trc 492 base
>station has anyone done this before with this radio
>
>all help welcome

Why don't your time/effort and $$$. They are worthless and are an irritant
to others on the channel.

1913. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-20 23:53:14 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <nTCdnUx3q8L2vUGgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144449

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3012-3DDBA60F-20@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> High Priestess: Lelnad C. Scott N3CVJ

Hey Twist, can't even figure out my correct callsign even when I add it to evey post I make? What a putzs. You forget how to use QRZ now too?

> Frank Gilliland
> Dogie Adair N8WWM
> Aaron Voobner
> Dave Hall N3CVJ

Hey that's weird. Dave and I have the same callsign now?

> Doc in Oklahoma
> Charles Johnson AB7SL,
> Dwight Stewart

Making up your HATE list for XMAS Twisted? You could save yourself a lot of typing by just listing those you don't hate instead.

--
Leland C. Scott <------ Hey Twisted Putzs. All you need do is cut and past.
KC8LDO <-------------- Oh, that's right, you don't have a real computer and an ISP.

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We can pave the way for calm, reasonable
communication only if we first find healthy
outlets for our own negative feelings."

1914. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:16:29 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC25DD.5463E47C@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144450

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Power House" <NunYa@Business.NET> wrote:
> >> Rules can be found here: http://www.reactintl.org/rules-cb.htm
> > >
> I thought Aaron left.

Aanal Aaron would have soiled his drawers if he could have
answered a rules question. The emotional equivalent of
Dwight taking pictures of "10-meter" radios.

1915. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:16:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PBVC9.11377$Gc.230389@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184902.729$84@newsreader.com...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> Step 8: don't cross post stink bait to 5 groups.

Step 9: Ban morons like Wouff Hong from Usenet access.

1916. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:18:54 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC266E.31CA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 144452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wouff Hong wrote:
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Whoa, I've been renewing since I was 12.
--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1917. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:25:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FJVC9.11490$Gc.233144@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144453

```
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184651.388$MV@newsreader.com...
> "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021119194958.642$rP@newsreader.com...
> > : Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > : > "Don Corleone" <lacosanostra@rico.com> wrote:
> > : > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021106175631.776
> > : > >
> > : > > : No kidding..btw he doesn't like to be called george.
> > : > >
> > : > >> you guys started it.
> > : > >
> > : >> I'll make it real easy for you..what's your first name?
> > : >
> > : Still waiting for a real answer.
> >
> >> Why? you said I was dwight
> >
> You're slipping again..I asked if anyone else thought Aaron was Dwight. I
> never mentioned you.
>
> Dig it..read it..again,archive boy.
>

LOL!!!
```

1918. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:27:25 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC286D.DBEB6B40@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144455

BJR wrote:
>
> carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
>
> >i need help installing a roger beep (workman) into a Realistic trc 492 base
> >station has anyone done this before with this radio
> >
> >all help welcome
>
> Why don't your time/effort and $$$. They are worthless and are an irritant
> to others on the channel.

Yeah! That too. Anybody in another state want one?

1919. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:27:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ULVC9.1275$It3.99745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote

: Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
: pass all elements required for their license class.
:
: Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
:
: Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
:

....CLIP......

0 2 4 6 8 10
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER

1920. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:31:50 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC2976.A186B0F7@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> ....CLIP......
>
> 0 2 4 6 8 10
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

Shit, my needle broke off and is still spinning...

1921. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:33:04 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC29C0.905E7E2A@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144458

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > "Power House" <NunYa@Business.NET> wrote:
> > > >> Rules can be found here: http://www.reactintl.org/rules-cb.htm
> > > > >
> > > > I thought Aaron left.
> > >
> > >> Aanal Aaron would have soiled his drawers if he could have
> > > answered a rules question. The emotional equivalent of
> > > Dwight taking pictures of "10-meter" radios.
> > >
> > Is that one of them oxymoron's?

Like jumbo shrimp?

1922. 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:33:15 UTC
From: A_Real_CB_Operator <cb_ops@limacharlie.com>
Message-ID: <XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144456

1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!

2. ALLOW FM ON CB

3. BAN THE LINEARS!

4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL

5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS

6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY

7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!

8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!

9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND POINTLESS!!

10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR" BETWEEN CB'ers AND HAMS!!

11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!

12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear channel comms.

13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!

14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of being a dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to confront the individual in question!!

15. CRY TO WOUFF HONG THAT HIS IDEA WAS REVERBED BY "A REAL CBer"

16. Quit Cryin' to the truckers about your CB!!

17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!

18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!

19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of threats to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)

....And Finally

20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
JAMMING
THREATS
SCHEDULED KEY-DOWNS
POSSIBLE BANNED
LINEARS BEING USED!
UNDER_AGED FOLKS
CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!
DRINKING AND TALKING
ON THE AIR!!
SOLICITING FOR SEX,
DRUGS, WEAPONS OVER THE AIR!!

1923. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:43:26 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC2C2E.E3C08838@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144459

A_Real_CB_Operator wrote:

> UNDER_AGED FOLKS CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!

Everybody is under aged. Why stop at kids. Are four letter
words an excuse for a limited vocabulary or an extension
of one's personality?

1924. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:44:49 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Yr2cnYnGxtlesUGgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144460

"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> if so... what software package should i download.

There is no software that I know of that lets you use your computer in
place of the real thing, a CB. There is software for what is called
Internet Relay Chat. You log on to various servers and converse, type
messages, in real time to other people.

http://www.irchelp.org/

If you're looking to link CB's by way of computers take a look at the
following links. While the software is not designed for CB use you get
an idea of what would be possible if such software where available.
The software that is available is designed for Ham Radio use only at
this time.

http://www.qsl.net/vk3jed/linkcomp.html
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mikeadams/ilink.htm
http://home.hawaii.rr.com/wh6cyk/echolink.htm
http://www.irlp.net/

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

1925. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 00:57:31 UTC
From: Scott <scott2rp789@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC2F7B.BD59B63F@netscape.net>
Source record: 144461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> :
>
> ....CLIP......
>
> 0 2 4 6 8 10
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

In our case:

1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER

1926. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:09:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211201709.617e226@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144462

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211182342.cac462f@posting.google.com>...
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<cJWdnVeGp6u9b0igXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> > "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> > > Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
> >
> > Absolutely!
> >
> > Snowed over here this evening, watch for ice!
>
> I know, Me and James, W8WW Went to the fest and we did see the snow
> when we arrived in Fort Wayne, In. early Saturday Morning.
>
> Was a great swap... Didn't sell much, as, the people were not
> buying...But, all in all I had a good time, Not ever going with W8WW
> again, but that's another story...

what's the matter chuckie??? did he find out what a real dick you can be???

1927. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:17:44 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <OvqdnXupJr_RqUGgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144464

"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
: if so... what software package should i download.
:
: thank you,
:
: Doc Martian
Yes there is software out there contrary to all the replys you got, the
radio dail etc is on screen and you control everything from your compuetr. I
will try to dig it up for you I can't remember off the top of me head.

1928. Re: No, but you can control a CB with a PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:18:48 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <FPacnbv_t98RqUGgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144465

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message

: http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm

bad link

1929. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:22:03 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <W0mdnZi9bLzSqEGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144466

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120184651.388

: You're slipping again..I asked if anyone else thought Aaron was Dwight. I
: never mentioned you.

That's wasn't the post I refer to anyhow, do you think I am aaron?

1930. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:30:16 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <QvGdnQsViImmqkGgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144467

Hey i didn't make the list LOL

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3012-3DDBA60F-20@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: Yea, that's it Lelnad. See George..I told you that you were missing
: posts. Now that you see Lelnad started this game with me,,make the
: dogpile share some of their ornithological diet with you.
:
:
: - CB Church of Twistedhed
:
:
: Staff Congregational members
:
:
:
: High Priestess: Lelnad C. Scott N3CVJ
:
: Choir Director: Frank Gilliland
:
: Cleaner Upper: Dogie Adair N8WWM
: Voice of Insanity: Aaron Voobner (speaking in tongues through one of the
: members)
:
: Voice of Casual Lies: Dave Hall N3CVJ
:
: Honorable Mention: Doc in Oklahoma
:
: Banished for blasphemy: Charles Johnson AB7SL, Dwight Stewart (caught
: worshiping themselves)
:
:
: Tattooist: sylvania.oh.da.uu originated
: ==
:
: Copyright 2002
: Twistedhed, inc.
: All rights reserved
:

1931. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:31:45 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <pWOdnR6-UaIIqkGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144468

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120183934.560$MZ@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
: > : Just try to not act like such an asshole sometimes,and all is good. ;)
: >
: >> Steve I was actually going to say the same to you.
: >
: You're back to acting like an asshole,again. I was hoping you'd stop that.

LOL, again Steve that is some coincidence I was going to say the same to
you. Oh well, perhaps you will take the advise you dish out.

1932. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:36:02 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <Q7-dnaUIm6MLpUGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144469

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: > "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
: > news:X7BC9.1929$4j5.1006826@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...

> When do you show us something worthwhile?

Right after you start i will. I have shown how I own you Dickie head.

: So, how come now everybody is "Steve"? Haven't you worn that one out
: yet, Georgie-girl?

Who is everybody you pathetic asswipe mopar's name is Steve you jackass. I know your not steve he is in Virginia your in buff/roch NY as i have said from the first day you trolled this NG. Call me georgie all you want it doesn't bother me thats not my name.

But what is funny since mr childs started posting you have replied to any critisism of him he also one of your hired trolls from rec.shortwave? or pirate radio.

1933. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:39:25 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <H4adnSKlJrz8pEGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144470

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120183516.688

: >> What made you decide to post? Off to a blazing start i see.
: >
: Are you holding down the fort while Aaron is gone?

I guess i am just doing my natural born thing same as any other day.
As noted when assholes troll the ng I feel the need to dispel there trollish posts. I call you steve now that jackass thinks I am aaron too. LOL you think aaron is dwight so that makes me dwight right if they think I am aaron and aaron is dwight. but we can't forget nad either who is he? maybe I am him as well as aaron. lots of possibilites

1934. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:40:54 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <FqqdnTFO7NAkpEGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144471

"piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message

Skip can you please do the NG a favor and keep one Nicname. It is a pet
peeve of the group
We are trying to get nebo to stop it to. Thanks

1935. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:43:06 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <pTOdnZ282pOjp0GgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144472

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184055.794$ga@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: >> LOL Nad,
: >
: You call him Nad?

your read the post You slipping again? Dig it..read it..again,archive boy.

1936. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 01:47:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utoepbfdclu6bb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hans has his meter protected with an input resistor and a couple of schottky diodes across it. You need about 600 volts into it to register 10 over.
;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DDC2976.A186B0F7@bubbles.com...
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
> >
> > ....CLIP.....
> >
> > 0 2 4 6 8 10
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
>
>
>
> Shit, my needle broke off and is still spinning...

1937. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 02:11:54 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <nFadneg-48Jj3UGgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144474

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120210655.628$DX@newsreader.com...
: "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote:
: >> I completly agree. We really need to clean up the cb radio
community
: > so you can actually have a converstion. The only thing is that most of
: > these "steps" are either already laws or are part of the ham rules. I
: > don't think we need to have strict rules such as handles and such but
: > amp. violations need to be addressed along with the sex, weapons,
: > language, and drugs.
: >
: > ----Matt----
: >
: Want some cheese with your whine?

See Steve your being an asshole again, the guy posts his honest opinion to a problem that does exist and you want to say he is whining. Nah I just think your trolling.

1938. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 02:14:43 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <nkXC9.1671$Kw6.1059788@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144463

I completly agree. We really need to clean up the cb radio community so
you can actually have a converstion. The only thing is that most of these
"steps" are either already laws or are part of the ham rules. I don't think
we need to have strict rules such as handles and such but amp. violations
need to be addressed along with the sex, weapons, language, and drugs.

----Matt----

"A_Real_CB_Operator" <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message
news:XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com...
> 1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO
> WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
>
> 2. ALLOW FM ON CB
>
> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!
>
> 4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL
>
> 5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS
>
> 6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY
>
> 7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!
>
> 8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!
>
> 9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND
> POINTLESS!!
>
> 10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR"
BETWEEN
> CB'ers AND HAMS!!
>
> 11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!
>
> 12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy
> Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear
> channel comms.
>
> 13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE
> NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!
>
> 14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of being a

> dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to
confront
> the individual in question!!
>
> 15. CRY TO WOUFF HONG THAT HIS IDEA WAS REVERBED BY "A REAL CBer"
>
> 16. Quit Cryin' to the truckers about your CB!!
>
> 17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!
>
> 18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!
>
> 19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of threats
> to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)
>
> ....And Finally
>
> 20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
> JAMMING
> THREATS
> SCHEDULED
KEY-DOWNS
> POSSIBLE BANNED
> LINEARS BEING USED!
> UNDER_AGED FOLKS
> CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!
> DRINKING AND
TALKING
> ON THE AIR!!
> SOLICITING FOR
SEX,
> DRUGS, WEAPONS OVER THE AIR!!
>
>

1939. Re: Ohio Tornado outbreak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 02:27:50 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211201827.6bd6e64f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144475

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:<fnRC9.858$37.87298@cletus.bright.net>...
> From: Dave VanHorn (dvanhorn@cedar.net)
> Subject: Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed
>
>
> View this article only
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-11-11 15:10:54 PST
>
> > I'm in Ohio,and there was an F4 in Van Wert. Luckily only 4 killed,thanks
> > to really good warnings,and it hit a rural area.
>
> That's all you can do.
>
> > Akron/Canton got beat up pretty well too.
> > I was lucky,just a few branches down..sigh.
>
> About the spookiest thing I've seen while spotitng, is pieces of leaves,
> floating down out of a blue sky. Towers were all around us, but overhead
> was clear, and there were these pieces, up to quarter sized or so, some with
> twigs still attached, floating down from as high as the eye could see.
> Obviously somewhere nearby there were some trees getting hoovered, but
> nothing that any of the spotters could see.
> --------------
>
> The F4 that hit Van Wert went through my county, I live about 6 miles from
> where it dissapated.
> 73 de Keith

they say they sound like a train.....they do.....they say that
rain goes sideways.....it does.....been there and done that.....a
very scarely thing.....and also it moves too fast to outrun it
with a car.....

1940. right on... thank you all for your help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 02:37:11 UTC
From: DocMartian@msn.com
Message-ID: <5a6d7563.0211201837.5e4075b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144476

kevin

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<utno0f8u6gsg07@corp.supernews.com>...
> Using the computer as a CB is not possible that I know of. There IS
> software that will let you control a CB with your computer however... As I
> can see so far, it is apparent that all the people who have replied have no
> idea about the software; which just happens to be FREE...
>
> It can support a number of CB radios, and by the sounds of it, is fairly
> cheap to build the interface that is required. Of course, you will need to
> know a little something about electronics in order to interface your CB with
> the computer, but as it appears, pretty much everything you need to know is
> contained on the website below...
>
> http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm
>
> This link, and many more can be found on my CB page... Drop by if you
> like...
> --
> Power House - 823
> Northern Michigan
>
> http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
> http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/scannerpage/index.htm
>
>
>
> "Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> > if so... what software package should i download.
> >
> > thank you,
> >
> > Doc Martian

1941. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:01:49 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <x0YC9.345092$S8.6721485@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144478

I have read quite a bit of your analysis of the Palomar 225 when searching through Google. Since I
do not have the proper equipment to accurately measure the 225 can you answer a few general
questions:
1. If a CB provides only 2 or 3 watts of dead key input to the Palomar 225 what type of output should
it produce. How about 4 watts of input?
2. If I were to use the Palomar 225 with a 10 meter radio what would be the maximum input power
the Palomar could take and what would be the affect on the output of the Palomar.
3. What is the power output relationship of the low, medium and high settings on the Palomar.

Thanks from a real novice.

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:ar6rc3$fmako$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> > supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
> SWR
> > was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not
get
> > anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because
the
> > lack of output might be some error on my part.
>
> And a lack of understanding of the many ways in which
> power can be measured and the inaccuracy of most meters.
>
> > To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter
in
> my
> > car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> > adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> > gauge of wire I used.
>
> That didn't help. The output of the amp
> is dependant upon two things: input drive
> and supply voltage. In your test the supply
> voltage was less than ideal.

>
> > Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high
because
> > of a lack of dc input power?
>
> It was the same because the input power
> was enough to drive the amp close to saturation
> on medium.
>
> > P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output
of
> > 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
>
> Your meter is probably reading something close
> to the average power. The relationship between
> average and peak power (the "225" front panel
> designation is an idealized (tons of drive power
> and higher than typical supply voltage) peak
> figure) is 4:1. At 100 modulation 50 average watts
> is equal to 200 peak watts.
>
> In the old days (the 70's) the first transistorized
> CB amps had front panel figures that reflected
> their RMS output. The relationship between RMS
> and peak is about 3:1. So, in the 70's your 225
> would have been called a 75.
>
> For more on how power and modulation are meaured
> check out the AM Tutorial on my site. There's a link
> below.
> --
> ---
> -=[Bill Eitner]=-
>
> Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
> http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
> ---
>
>
>
>

1942. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:05:48 UTC
From: Charles R Fregeau <n5hsr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <arhiia$1sh$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 144479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Much as I dislike some of the current state of things in amateur radio, we live in the 2000's not the 1950's. We have to deal with the current reality, bad as it might be. Returning to 1952 is not going to cure the problem. We need to pull together as hams and do things without bugging the FCC for everything. We used to do that.

Charles
N5HSR, Extra

1943. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:12:13 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll be
the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

1944. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:36:02 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <CwYC9.1695$Kw6.1069506@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144477

And we < don't give a rats ass what you think.

If you want to try to ignore a problem that's fine. I know we can't fix the behaviors but any 'newbees' who come in here will see this, and relize how wrong it is for them to do these activities.

1945. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:40:16 UTC
From: scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC55A0.235DDCC4@bloomer.net>
Source record: 144484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, especially with a name like Wouff Hong! QST showed a picture of
Wouff Hong years ago. Looked like a stick to beat up trolls with.

Scott
N0EDV

Scott wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> > :
> >
> > ....CLIP......
> >
> > 0 2 4 6 8 10
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
> In our case:
>
> 1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

--

Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ParasolAirplanes
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

1946. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:43:05 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <WTqdnXZbucPBy0GgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144481

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120212757.925$aB@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: >> I will try to dig it up for you I can't remember off the top of
: > me head.
: >
: Your head is pointy,not much stays on top. ;)

trolling again Steve?

1947. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:44:02 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <6zGdnR6TO-QIy0GgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144482

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120212229.948$m0@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120184651.388
: >
: > : You're slipping again..I asked if anyone else thought Aaron was
Dwight.
: > : I never mentioned you.
: >
: >> That's wasn't the post I refer to anyhow, do you think I am aaron?
: >
: What's your first name?

I know your's Troll

1948. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:45:11 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <4zCdnZw1moFHy0GgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144483

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120214845.783

: >> What's to read? You reposted it,and called Lee,Nad.

: Hey Lee,do you like to be called Nad?

Your name is Troll Parks

1949. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:48:47 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <-K6dnSR9g5svykGgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144485

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120212112.169$tH@newsreader.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
: >> Hey i didn't make the list LOL

: Yep, I made #1 asshole. You must be proud.

Yes, I am proud of you. Congratulations! They said you were the winner hands
dowm.

1950. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:51:24 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <e4KcnScGps7NxUGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144486

: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> stupidly wrote in message :

: Not really many possibilites,you keep winding yourself around the same
: friggin tree! lol

Well then who am I Aaron, Dwight, Or Leland, and can't forget George. Pick
a door.

1951. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 03:54:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC58EB.46EEE4E0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Peter Lampione wrote:
..
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

Just as you think the code requirement may be silly, your attitude
of letting it stop you from getting a ham license is just as
silly. If you want the license learn the code and get it. It is
your lose if you don't.
You can start out with the no-code tech to get into ham radio, and
if you find it worth your time, then learn the code and upgrade.

1952. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 04:03:58 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <w9SdnUn1DNjcxkGgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144487

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:x0YC9.345092

: 1. If a CB provides only 2 or 3 watts of dead key input to the Palomar
225
: what type of output should it produce.

Who cares unless you want to go around deadkeying on people. It can dead key whatever it will peak. You should concern yourself with what does it do when modulated.

How about 4 watts of input?

Meter

: 2. If I were to use the Palomar 225 with a 10 meter radio what would be
the
: maximum input power

The radios output.

: what would be the maximum input power the Palomar could take and what
would be the affect on
: the output of the Palomar.

The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive, harmonics, distortion?

: 3. What is the power output relationship of the low, medium and high
: settings on the Palomar.

when in low =lo power
when in med = med power
etc etc

1953. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 04:06:16 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <UoScnfu_xJRWxkGgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144488

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message :
:
: Shit, my needle broke off and is still spinning...

Hi Scott Nice name

1954. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 04:34:35 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I would suggest somethig rather different.

Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
(how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
design.

The code requirement is just silly.
Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
DSP should really be required knowledge.
I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
board
or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...

In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
there you go,
modulating any way you want...

Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.

Cheers!

Peter

Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.

There, now flame me.

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

1955. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 04:40:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <WsZC9.1700$It3.141898@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote

: Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
: requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll
be
: the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

You're wrong, of course. If you look at amateur radio from the view of a non-involved historian, the only regulatory change which had a significant statistical impact was the advent of the Novice license back in the early 50's. As originally envisioned and structured, Novice was an incredible smart regulatory move, perhaps the last smart thing the FCC did for the health of the Amateur Radio service.

Other than that, changes in licensing requirements have served only to generate sales of ink, to excite the excitable minority, and to give the Unabashers another reason to cancel their ARRL membership or to buy a life membership. No other impact is evident, and won't be after this current curve in the regulatory road. Hams will still be hams, and the excitable minority will get excited all over again. In about 2012 we'll be awaiting the next "restructuring" plan. Yawn.

73, Hans, K0HB

1956. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:00:39 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>
Source record: 144492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.

Peter Lampione wrote:

> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.
>
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong

1957. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:05:51 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC69AF.52481AD3@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144493

Perculator wrote:
>
> : > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> stupidly wrote in message :
>
> : Not really many possibilites,you keep winding yourself around the same
> : friggin tree! lol
>
> Well then who am I Aaron, Dwight, Or Leland, and can't forget George. Pick
> a door.

Hey, 69, shut up already!!!

1958. Re: UK CB to US CB in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:12:24 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC6B38.69E6E9E8@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144494

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Power House" <NunYa@Business.NET> wrote:
> > > > > >> Rules can be found here: http://www.reactintl.org/rules-cb.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > I thought Aaron left.
> > > >
> > > >> Aanal Aaron would have soiled his drawers if he could have
> > > > answered a rules question. The emotional equivalent of
> > > > Dwight taking pictures of "10-meter" radios.
> > > >
> > > Is that one of them oxymoron's?
> >
> >> Like jumbo shrimp?
> >
> Or is it Prawns?
>
Sounds fishy.
```

1959. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:22:54 UTC
From: "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <utordf8p7hmh94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Ban everyone who is not 100 percent in accordance with your views . Who the heck would you then post with?

Get real, everyone is entitled to their opinion.

Some where between you and him is probably a reasonable solution. I have noted that of those who got a ticket with little work, many are not renewing. A thing only has value when we have to work for it, unfortunately the ticket no longer requires much effort and has no real value to the recipient.

Still stuck in my mind is sweating before a steely eyed FCC examiner, drawing schematics, sending, and receiving code. Did I enjoy the process? Nope! but I did enjoy being able to count myself among a group of fellow hams that had gone through the "baptism of fire".

I don't care a wit that some folks have 5wpm licenses, You have yours and I have mine. I feel mine is worth more, but that is my opinion. Your mileage may vary...

Allow others to have their opinion, and now that the horse is dead you may cease the use of the whip.

73 Fred W4JLE (ex V3CB, V31GR, KB4NGB, KJ4JE)
Enjoying ham radio since 1955

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:PBVC9.11377$Gc.230389@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
>> Step 9: Ban morons like Wouff Hong from Usenet access.
>
>

1960. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:28:39 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utorhtc4aefpf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Peter Lampione wrote:
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal,
snip

> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

IMHO,
Requiring A/D knowledge is equally as silly as requiring knowing code.
A compromise test of all the various technologies is clearly the logical
way to approach licensing/testing and they attempt to do this to some
degree. But technology is changing rapidly and the wheels turn slowly.
I think we are at a point where a ham should know what A/D means even
if its only picking out the correct words on a multiple choice test.
But hmmm, isn't that basically what the code test is?

Ranting off from a recent thread on r.r.a.a. one would get the
impression that knowing the brand names and specs of current products
(the Hamstick thing) is more important in making a 'good' ham.

The League is pretty much in control of testing and licensing these days
and I'm of the crowd that thinks they are doing everything bass-ackwards

and ultimately alienating radio folks (both new and old) from their organization in favor of whiz-kid technology. I don't desire things to stay quagmired in the 1950's but I don't see the need to overwhelm the bulk of the ham community with articles that are better suited for an IEEE publication. If, back in the 50s, they published *ONLY* SSB stuff, the rank and file membership would have tossed in the ARRL towel...much like the situation we see today. QST is the League for most people and basically it (they) have become nothing more than another modern electronics magazine publisher, not a mouthpiece for amateur radio as a hobby for all age groups.
(Apologies to the CBers and SWLs that I'm cross-posting to)
-Bill WX4A

1961. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:32:27 UTC
From: "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient motivation for you to learn code. The "suffer fools" nonsense serves only to cover up your lack of motivation. Learning anything is simply one more exercise in broading one's life experiences.

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message ...
> ------------ SNIP ------------
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
>

1962. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:36:45 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-277C47.21370720112002@newssvr21-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <WsZC9.1700$lt3.141898@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote:

> >"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote
> >
> > Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
> > requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow?
> > That'll be the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.
>
> You're wrong, of course.

<snip>

We now interrupt this boring thread where ham's bicker yet again about
licensing for a bit of light entertainment.

Community Service

One day a florist goes to a barber for a haircut. After the cut he
asks the barber about his bill. "I am sorry, I cannot accept money
from you I am doing community service this week." The florist is
pleased and leaves the shop.

The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop; there is a
thank you card and a dozen roses waiting at his door.

Later a cop comes in for a haircut and he also goes to pay the
barber, and the barber replies: "I am sorry I cannot accept
money from you. I am doing community service this week." The
cop is happy and leaves the shop.

The next morning the barber goes to open his shop; there is a thank
you card and a dozen donuts waiting at his door.

A Democrat comes for a haircut and when he asks the barber what
he owes, the barber replies: "I am sorry I cannot accept money
from you. I am doing, community service this week." The Democrat
is very happy and leaves.

The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.

--
Telamon�

1963. Re: 7 steps to improve politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:46:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <gr_C9.1807$It3.153363@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote

:
: The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
: Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.
:

A first grade teacher proudly proclaimed to her class one day that she was a liberal Democrat. She asked her students to raise their hands if they were liberal Democrats as well. Not really knowing what a liberal Democrat was, but wanting to be like their teacher, most of their hands flew up into the air. There was, however, one exception. A girl named Lucy had not gone along with the crowd. The teacher asked her why she had decided to be different.

"Because I'm not a liberal Democrat" she replied. ''Then, what are you?'' asked the teacher,

"Why, I'm a proud conservative Republican," boasted the little girl. The teacher, a little perturbed, asked Lucy why she was a conservative Republican.

"Well", she said, "I was brought up to trust in myself instead of relying on an intrusive government to care for me and do all of my thinking. My Dad and Mom taught me these things, and they are both conservative Republicans, and so now I am a conservative Republican too." The teacher, now a little embarrassed and maybe even just a bit angry, said, "That's no reason! What if your Mom was a moron, and your dad was an idiot. What would you be then?" The teacher paused, a bit smug, certain that she'd put the girl in her place, and slowly let a sly smirk creep across her face.

"Well, if that were the case", said Lucy after a moments thought,"then, I suppose I'd be a liberal Democrat."

73, de Hans, K0HB

1964. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:56:30 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211210556190.14064-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 144551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Wed, 20 Nov 2002, Ron Hardin wrote:
>Wouff Hong wrote:
>>
>> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>Whoa, I've been renewing since I was 12.
>--
>Ron Hardin
>rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
>On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

...That is, until you post! :-)
```

1965. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 05:58:50 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <GLKdnS1cOOOw60GgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144552

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DDC69AF.52481AD3@bubbles.com...
:
:
: Perculator wrote:
: >
: > : > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> stupidly wrote in message :
: >
: > : Not really many possibilites,you keep winding yourself around the same
: > : friggin tree! lol
: >
: > Well then who am I Aaron, Dwight, Or Leland, and can't forget George.
Pick
: > a door.
:
: Hey, 69, shut up already!!!

Yeah what he said.

1966. HF, Digital, VHF Amp, 2 Meter HT and 802.11 Wireless gear 4-SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 06:23:15 UTC
From: Tony <as8383@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <nZ_C9.17907$8D.649867@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.equipment,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur

have the following to sell, but would trade some items.

Kenwood TS-680S Allmode HF and 6 meter rig in excellent cond, power cord,
manual.
$500.00

MFJ 1278 Allmode TNC (HF,VHF,Packet, RTTY,CW,FAX,AMTOR,WEFAX and more)
$75.00

RF Concepts 315 VHF amplifier in MINT cond. 30w in 150out, 40w in 170w + out
$235.00

Radio Shack HTX-202 2 meter handheld w/bad BP8 battery
$65.00

BC-350 desktop quick charger for Icom BP batteries and others
$40.00

HomeFree 802.11 wireless cards (to setup your own wireless network)
- 1 PCMCIA, 2 ISA and CD-ROM
$25.00 for all three cards!

Shipping from 78258 extra...

N5GPI

1967. Re: Ohio Tornado outbreak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 06:26:08 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Hm2dnRsuGJLw4UGgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144553

> >> they say they sound like a train.....they do.....they say that
> > rain goes sideways.....it does.....been there and done that.....a
> > very scarely thing.....and also it moves too fast to outrun it
> > with a car.....
> >
> You can follow them with a car....but out-running them is scary. One of my
> buddies is a wacked out storm chaser...jackass...lol

Chasers are ok mostly. I know a dozen or so.
I spot, which routinely puts me in front of it, which is not the best place to be for visibility, but we're not really interested in chasing along with them so much as defining the track, and reporting damage.

Most of our storms track at 45 degrees to the roads. :-P

1968. Re: Spectrum allocation: Use it or....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 06:54:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC8322.7D040561@cwis.net>
Source record: 144554

You have these kinda days often?
'Doc

1969. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 07:29:41 UTC
From: remove_ok1sip@atlas.cz
Message-ID: <5f5159fa.0211202329.2d9ec780@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Seven nice steps to DESTROY and KILL ham radio. Only several fanatics would stay. How would you like to be re-tested for your driving license every year ? Or to be subject to regular tests from the law codex under the penalty of losing your citizenship ? BTW, do you have a written permission to use the "trademarks" Wouff-Hong, Rettysnitch and Uggerumph ?

God save the hamradio !
OK1SIP

1970. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 08:27:27 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <QG0D9.2146$EY.2069@fe01>
Source record: 144556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02...
> Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
> requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll
be
> the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.
>
> --
> Brian Denley

I might agree if the theory questions were "fill-in-the-blank" and
"draw-the-schematic", rather than multiple choice - where the answer is
right in front of you. This would help ensure that the person has some
actual knowledge rather than just having memorized a few answers from a
test prep book.

In reality, your proposal would not attract any significant quantity of new
hams because it would make the test harder than it is now. In today's
"instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing "now"
and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the code
element is constantly being complained about by so many...

73 de W6DKN

1971. Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 09:01:48 UTC
From: Mie <mie@taalla.com>
Message-ID: <ari7dt$gh4$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 144557

Decided to check out your ng. No changes in two years. See you again in (maybe) five years.

Kari

1972. Re: Where to buy from?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 10:21:20 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Br2D9.73246$WL3.32627@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144558

I would also look around your local area to see if you have a starving shop out there needing new customers. Economy is bad for everyone. Goodluck

Deb
"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message news:c4VC9.3020$Lq.113076@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi I would like to buy some CB equipment radio, psu, antenna, coax , plugs
> etc does anyone know of a online shop I can order from please?
>
> Kind Regards
>
> Stuart
>
>
>
>
>

1973. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 10:42:20 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <gM2D9.73318$WL3.32585@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144559

Oh brother. I am with Kari. This NG hasn't changed much. And now the kids who should be in school learning English grammar are posting. Oh yeah, and capitalization as well, what's up with the key boards. Don't they have the shift key anymore?

Bye.

"BJR" <somewhere@nowhere.com> wrote in message news:jo7otu4bfr8mhopjf2li58mfhk4d9koik1@4ax.com...
> carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

> Why don't your time/effort and $$$. They are worthless and are an irritant
> to others on the channel.

1974. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 11:11:40 UTC
From: ON5MJ <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 144560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
| In today's
| "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing "now"
| and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
code
| element is constantly being complained about by so many...

We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that radioamateurism
becomes CB with 100 watts?

73 de ON5MJ - Jacques
```

1975. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 12:05:56 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <E_3D9.72600$__1.43984@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144561

You have a great idea. Very creative thoughts. It is too bad in this country now, that too many that matter, and could affect change......don't care! As the late Earl Nightingale said 40 years ago...."Beware of the dangers of apathy". CB isn't alone in the "throw it out with the dishwater mentality". Great idea though.

"A_Real_CB_Operator" <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message news:XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com...
> 1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO
> WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
>
> 2. ALLOW FM ON CB
>
> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!
>
> 4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL
>
> 5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS
>
> 6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY
>
> 7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!
>
> 8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!
>
> 9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND
> POINTLESS!!
>
> 10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR"
BETWEEN
> CB'ers AND HAMS!!
>
> 11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!
>
> 12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy
> Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear
> channel comms.
>
> 13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE
> NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!
>
> 14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of being a
> dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to

confront
> the individual in question!!
>
> 15. CRY TO WOUFF HONG THAT HIS IDEA WAS REVERBED BY "A REAL CBer"
>
> 16. Quit Cryin' to the truckers about your CB!!
>
> 17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!
>
> 18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!
>
> 19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of threats
> to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)
>
> ....And Finally
>
> 20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
> JAMMING
> THREATS
> SCHEDULED
KEY-DOWNS
> POSSIBLE BANNED
> LINEARS BEING USED!
> UNDER_AGED FOLKS
> CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!
> DRINKING AND
TALKING
> ON THE AIR!!
> SOLICITING FOR
SEX,
> DRUGS, WEAPONS OVER THE AIR!!
>
>

1976. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 12:58:51 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fM4D9.346793$S8.6761495@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144562

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:w9SdnUn1DNjcxkGgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:x0YC9.345092
>
> : 1. If a CB provides only 2 or 3 watts of dead key input to the Palomar
> 225
> : what type of output should it produce.
>
> Who cares unless you want to go around deadkeying on people. It can dead
key
> whatever it will peak. You should concern yourself with what does it do
when
> modulated.
I am trying to understand the relationship of input to output power. That
is all.

>
>
>
>
> How about 4 watts of input?
>
> Meter
Huh? See previous question.
>
>
> : 2. If I were to use the Palomar 225 with a 10 meter radio what would be
> the
> : maximum input power
> : what would be the maximum input power the Palomar could take and what
> would be the affect on
> : the output of the Palomar.
>
> The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
harmonics,
> distortion?
>
No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license, and was
wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still operate
clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used in the
10
meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of 10 meter
(ham) radios.

>
>
>
>
> : 3. What is the power output relationship of the low, medium and high
> : settings on the Palomar.
>
>
> when in low =lo power
> when in med = med power
> etc etc
>
>
Hmmm.... this was helpful. Maybe I should have asked whether low means
the use of one sdl446, medium is reduced power to both sdl446 and
high indicates full power to both???
>
>

1977. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:03:03 UTC
From: Larry Bratcher <lbratche@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <bQ4D9.334$9S3.311643194@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sure think...the hobby is declining now so let's make it that much harder to
bring people into it.

What an Idiot!

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.419 / Virus Database: 235 - Release Date: 11/14/2002

1978. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:12:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?

> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.

Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

How many tapes have you sent to Riley?

Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
consideration due to its nonoriginal content.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1979. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:14:51 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDCDC4B.10B01D6C@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

OK1SIP wrote:
>
> How would you like to be re-tested for your driving
> license every year ?

Sounds like a great idea. Get the old people off the road.

1980. Re: No, but you can control a CB with a PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:15:00 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <utpn4om8bncd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144566

Works fine for me... Try it again...
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm

PH

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:FPacnbv_t98RqUGgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
>
>
> : http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm
>
> bad link
>
>
>
>

1981. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:15:58 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDCDC8E.7BA86E21@bubbles.com>
Source record: 144567

Mie wrote:
>
> Decided to check out your ng. No changes in two years. See you again in
> (maybe) five years.
>
> Kari

Nobody missed you.

1982. Re: wille my cb get fryed watts useing 24 voltes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:51:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121085158.08946.00000105@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144569

your cb wont get mo watts but your davemade will

>Subject: Re: wille my cb get fryed watts useing 24 voltes

1983. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:52:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211210552.1333fe85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144568

"Perculator" the dumbass <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<e4KcnScGps7NxUGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
> : > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> intelligently wrote in message :
>
> : Not really many possibilites,you keep winding yourself around the same
> : friggin tree! lol
>
>
> Well then who am I Aaron, Dwight, Or Leland, and can't forget George. Pick
> a door.

You are Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, from Allentown, PA, and you're the only one posting from comcast, so you can't hide. Close the door, dimbulb.

You "dispelling" trolling is a real bellyslapper: No, you ARE a troll. With Aanal Aaron in hiding, you are THE troll. You might as well give it up, Georgie-girl. (BTW, it's obvious that it bothers you or you wouldn't mention it, would you Georgie-girl?)

1984. Re: No, but you can control a CB with a PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:54:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121085442.08946.00000106@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144570

http://www.wintransceiver.com/

>
>
>Using the computer as a CB is not possible that I know of. There IS
>software that will let you control a CB with your computer however... As I
>can see so far, it is apparent that all the people who have replied have no
>idea about the software; which just happens to be FREE...
>
>It can support a number of CB radios, and by the sounds of it, is fairly
>cheap to build the interface that is required. Of course, you will need to
>know a little something about electronics in order to interface your CB with
>the computer, but as it appears, pretty much everything you need to know is
>contained on the website below...
>
>http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software.htm
>
>This link, and many more can be found on my CB page... Drop by if you
>like...
>--
>Power House - 823
>Northern Michigan
>
>http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
>http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/scannerpage/index.htm
>
>
>
>"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
>news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
>> if so... what software package should i download.
>>
>> thank you,
>>
>> Doc Martian
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1985. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:56:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121085607.08946.00000107@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144571

http://www.wintransceiver.com/

>
>There is no software that I know of that lets you use your computer in
>place of the real thing, a CB. There is software for what is called
>Internet Relay Chat. You log on to various servers and converse, type
>messages, in real time to other people.
>
>http://www.irchelp.org/
>
>If you're looking to link CB's by way of computers take a look at the
>following links. While the software is not designed for CB use you get
>an idea of what would be possible if such software where available.
>The software that is available is designed for Ham Radio use only at
>this time.
>
>http://www.qsl.net/vk3jed/linkcomp.html
>http://homepage.ntlworld.com/mikeadams/ilink.htm
>http://home.hawaii.rr.com/wh6cyk/echolink.htm
>http://www.irlp.net/
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member
>--
>"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
>depends on our willingness to take a
>chance on tomorrow by risking what
>we have today."
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1986. Re: Hammies on the freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:59:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121085921.08946.00000108@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144573

Luis is a VERY good friend of mine for a few years now. listen for him soon in his new home in andorra :)

>
>
>Appears cw is finding it's way into the freeband through the mainstream
>and becoming more popular there than it already is. For those
>interested, drop me an email and I will keep y'all posted when the next
>round robin takes place.......
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1987. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 13:59:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddce2fa.62111661@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144572

In <3DDC056C.E3175B4E@yahoo.com>, piper tweet
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"yeti@cet.com" wrote: never anything positive, ever.
>
>> In <areii5$g37$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp posts yeti another time
>> <piper@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >: I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
>> >: of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
>> >: be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
>> >: impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
>> >
>> >The impedance is low for both devices, the reactance is different per
>> >device.
>>
>> Impedance = resistance + reactance. Brian's statement was perfectly
>> accurate, Skippy.
>>
>
>So was mine...

If you check the datasheets for both transistors, you would see that
the resistive part of the input and output impedances of the MRF421
are two to three times LOWER than the MRF455, depending on the
frequency. Your vague statement that "the impedance is low for both
devices" would have been true if you had not qualified your remark by
saying that "the reactance is different per device". The resistance is
also different, and the impedance is -very- different, as per Brian's
observations.

>> >Not the best circuit...
>> >
>> >As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,
>>
>> I see nothing of the sort happening there.
>
>That's because your experience and theory is weak. Look it up
>in your text book frankie

If you had ANY experience with amps you would know that it's common
practice to slightly bend the armatures so that the contacts for the
output circuit make contact before, and are released after, the
contacts for the input circuit (a practice called "sequencing the

relay"). That way there is no power going through the relay when the output contacts are switched. This has been done not only with RF amps, but has also been used in tranceivers since their invention. Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay operates in this way, so it's a practice you probably won't learn by hacking amps from schematics found on the internet (which explains why you didn't know about it).

And the real issue with the relay in that amp is the fact that there is only one for both the input and output. If there is one thing that Pride got right with their 100 is their use of seperate input and output relays.

>>
>> > no low pass filtering,
>> >lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
>> >
>> >In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".
>>
>> Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
>> word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
>> by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.
>
>I care very little what you call it.

If that were true then you wouldn't have made such an asinine statement. You did, therefore you care.

> Now be a good lap dog and
>follow me around from post to post.

Sure. Just keep posting your technical voodoo and I'll be there to follow it up with the facts.

1988. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:05:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121090516.08946.00000109@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144574

>and was
>wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still operate
>clearly and effectively.

it can take as much power as it can while still modulating forward on AM. if your backswinging on your power meter while talking then you are over driving it and probably sound bad. it doesnt take more than a couple watts to over drive it. it can handle a stock 10 meter radios sideband signal no problem but the AM would have to be turned down and remember no swong mods while running a box

>Hmmm.... this was helpful. Maybe I should have asked whether low means
>the use of one sdl446, medium is reduced power to both sdl446 and
>high indicates full power to both???

there both running switching to low just kicks in a resistor to pad the imput to the finals so it see's less drive. it

1989. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:07:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121090723.08946.00000110@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 144575

i second that one but i have had fun working all the freebanders from finland since. even talked to a couple named kari lol

>Nobody missed you.
>

1990. Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:07:55 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144576

Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to 28.000 mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also give Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against quarmers and CB misfits .
As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful conversations on the air.
73" and keep it real.
Crossfire.

1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette

1991. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Sharkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:17:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddce6f1.63126126@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144577

In <3DDC040D.55B77D49@yahoo.com>, piper tweet
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>> >An example of a double tuned amp you can talk about..?
>>
>> I can think of no example you would be capable of understanding.
>
>You are just plain rude and out of line most of the time.

You mean like calling someone dumb, stupid and ignorant without
provocation?

> You
>have yet to provide any text, circuit diagrams or references
>to back up anything you've said. I keep asking, you provide
>nothing.... you just seem to only enjoy attacking others.

I have provided plenty of material, which you quickly accuse of being
"dated" before you even know the source, and regardless of the
validity of the content.

>> >I would probably question your flash over statement... it's not a
>> >given to flash over.
>>
>> Remove the load and leave the tank? It's gonna flash. Period.
>
>Your statement only...

Yes it is. It's a conclusion based on education and practical
experience. However, if you would like to prove it to yourself, go
visit your 8877 FM transmitter and unload the tank.

>> <snip>
>> >The text was posted using information based on actual examples.
>> >posted on amp at www.contesting.com, within the last three weeks...
>>
>> If it was FOUR weeks old would you call it "dated technology"?
>
>Doesn't matter, I verified the posted information by the two
>well known RF Engineers and believe it to be accurate until
>better facts or information update or replace it.

How did you verify it? Did you actually unload an 8877 from the tank
and it didn't flash over? I doubt it.

>Like your adding rectifier equalizing networks mistake. Mfgrs
>updated their products and posted data sheets for the newer
>devices.

Point to one of those rectifier data sheets that 'updates' the need
for voltage equalization in series rectification.

>> <snip>
>> >Its not my text, it's from an Well known English RF Engineer, RSGB
>> >Author and friend via the previously mentioned list server. Posted
>> >based on a conversion project mentioned by another RF Engineer
>> >who just completed a working HF 8877 amplifier.
>>
>> I don't care who posted it. Like I said; if it can amplify, it can
>> oscillate. You still don't understand that parasitics are a product of
>> the circuit, NOT just the tube, and NOT just the tube's anode circuit.
>> All you need is a resonant condition that feeds a signal back through
>> the tube. (It's becoming obvious that you don't understand the
>> principles behind oscillation, either.)
>
>You start your above statement with an off the direct issue/topic
>generalized statement. We are talking about a tube that is
>well designed and constructed, which does not require
>conventional parasitic supresors for common HF and VHF
>operation. That tube is the 8877 and some of its cousins.
>
>We are not talking generic amplifiers which can be made
>to oscillate.

The 8877 is a very well-designed coaxial-type tube that is capable of
operation well into the GHz region. As with any other tube, if there
is no parasitic supression, any lead from the plate to the tank input
capacitor is going to act like a radiator. If there is enough
inductive or capacitive coupling between that line and any resonant
condition in the input circuit, the amp will oscillate, and it will do
so at the frequency of the resonance being excited by the plate lead.
Now because of the high operating frequency possible with that tube,
combined with the ease of unintentionally creating UHF resonant
conditions in just about any circuit, and the fact that such short
wavelengths can sneak through chassis holes and even mesh screens, it
would be plain foolish to not include even just a low-Q supressor at
the plate.

You should have gotten a clue from reading the thread where someone
built an amp with the 813 and left off the parasitic supressor because
the VHF gain of the tube is poor. What happened? He got parasitics in
the low VHF range and nuked his tube.

>Now you want to imply that I say it is only the tube or the
>anode circuit... don't play games franklin.
>Your bob and weave trying very hard to say that I restricted
>specific parasitic sources to one cause.

I don't think even YOU know what you said -- you were too confused as
to the difference between neutralization and parasitic supression!

>You present any source material or text directly related to the
>subject.... and we'll go over it. Otherwise, your still just
>chasing windmills with your bitter pill poopy attitude.

No, we won't go over it, because no matter what I produce you will
call it "dated" regardless of it's validity. That is why I am now
relying on the logical application of basic electronic principles, an
example of which is my above explanation on parasitics. You can beg
for source material all you want, but if you can't logically dispute
what I wrote above then no other source is needed.

>> <snip>
>> >These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
>> >broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
>> >rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
>> >based on a solid long term track record.
>> >Unless your willing to take your statement to the "amps court of
>> >review", I will continue to believe their statements have more
>> >valid information than yours do.
>> >It's the same large list of rf people I submitted my sweep tube
>> >amplifier text to a while back. No errors were found... I don't
>> >think you even tried to look for it.
>>
>> I find it hard to believe that ANY electronics engineer would
>> recommend building high-power RF amps without any form parasitic
>> supression. But if you want to take their word on blind faith then by
>> all means go for it -- you are gambling with your money, not mine.
>
>So did it... so he was asked about the described working circuit
>which lacked parasitic supression. He and another well known
>Engineer supplied additional information about the circuit, the tube
>and the reasons why the amplifier they actually built works well
>without conventional anode parasitic supressors installed.
>
>I picked up some very nice information in the exchange... of
>which I'm happy to reference.

Then reference it. I'm not about to read through the entire archive
just to find your reference, so post a link.

> But you just want to live
>with your vintage - dated technology.

You mean "vintage - dated technology" like sweep tube amps?

>Get off your rather large web behind and go review the posts
>at the www.contesting.com web site. Learn something new
>for a big change in your narrow world.

I did. Good info there. But certainly not 'gospel'.

>>
>> That's interesting: Your other favorite amp god (Measures) clearly
>> disagrees:
>
>Rich is a long time friend, who has yet to obtain a deity
>status.
>
>At that date and time in the thread... he had yet to see
>the evidence which was substantial enough in fact and
>backed up by a working example.
>You could simply Email him and ask for his opinion.

Why don't you get him to post here disputing his own comments? And why
does he still sell retrofit supressors for those amps?

>> http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-August/031417.html
>>
>> And on his site he even has a great picture of a nuked 8877 from an
>> amp that doesn't use any parasitic supression (and also sells retrofit
>> supressors for the same amp):
>
>An interesting point often debated on the list server. Some claim
>Eimac had some rather well known problem with 8877's, some
>claim the applied circuits have problems, others chime in with
>various statements. The debates have gone through years of
>back and forth. One must also learn and avoid some of the
>personal land mines thrown out by Author.

One must verify the facts for one's self instead of taking them as
"gospel".

>> http://www.vcnet.com/measures/8877.gs2.JPEG
>>
>> I especially like his phrase describing one individual that claimed to
>> have never had a parasitic with his 8877 amps -- "the 'not invented
>> here' syndrome" -- describing perfectly the lazy RF design practices
>> of some of your so-called 'experts'.
>
>That would be Ameritron Amp designer Tom, a nice guy with points
>of his own to make. Not everyone takes Rich's word as gospel,

You do. You said so yourself, and "gospel" is the exact word you used.

> but
>many learn how to filter all the material to arrive at a logical mean.
>
>Aside from the above, I believe a lot of what is specifically referred
>to on that page is possibly sourced to both the applied circuits and
>some well known issues with 8877 tube production.

Let's see now... Someone claims, against all electronic fundamentals,
that some tube doesn't need parasitic supression. But when amps are
built without supressors and the tubes start melting, the logical

conclusion is that it must be a production issue. Makes perfect sense
to me... not.

>The more recent 8877 conversion example by John does not
>have the traditional shortcomings found in previous examples,
>the end result is that his amplifier is stable without parasitic
>supressors installed.

Good for him. Like I said, it ain't my money.

>> >Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.
>>
>> What am I tripping over? Your explanation of why some tubes are prone
>> to parasitics? I can't trip over it because you haven't provided it!
>
>Simple, internal values of C and L and the tube geometry.

Those are only contributing factors to only one cause of parasitic
oscillations. The short internal leads will indeed prevent the tube
from self-oscillation at microwave freqs, but certainly don't prevent
feedback and resonant conditions in the amplifier circuit. You are the
one that's tripping, Skippy.

>> <snip>
>> >> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/sec2-2.htm
>> >
>> >I believe your referencing a copy of "Care and Feeding of Power
>> >Grid Tubes". Nice older book with some slightly dated material;
>> >I have at least two copies.
>>
>> What makes it 'dated'? What information in there is not accurate?
>
>Old information, no one claims it's inaccurate. Are you trying to
>make some technical point..?

It doesn't make any difference if the information is old or new as
long as the information is still valid. Your "dated technology" excuse
is lame, Skippy.

>> <snip>
>> >Where do I or anyone else in these posts say that every cathode
>> >amplifier
>> >does not need to be neutralized..?
>>
>> You say it all the time. Your argument is that grounding the screen
>> reduces the Cgp of a tetrode/pentode in a grounded-grid amp. But the
>
>So what about the above is not a fact..?

The Cgp doesn't change -- the EFFECTIVE Cgp changes.

>>
>> screen grid is effectively grounded (unvarying DC potential) in a

>> common-cathode amplifier as well, which changes the EFFECTIVE Cgp
>> EXACTLY THE SAME as grounding the screen in a grounded-grid amplifier.
>> Therefore, if 'grid screening' eliminates the need for neutralization
>> in a grounded-grid amplifier, it MUST ALSO do so in a common-cathode
>> amplifier.
>
>Somewhere you try to twist out the idea that I say the grounded screen is
>the reason g-grid amps don't require neutralization. I say it is only part
>of a larger picture. Was a poor try on your part that doesn't float a
>boat...

Both of your reasons were addressed below.

>> > The technical point that needs to be
>> >made is less Cgp
>>
>> The Cgp of a TUBE is reduced when you ADD a screen grid TO A TRIODE.
>
>And...?

And nothing.

>Effective C is also reduced when you apply that tetrode to grounded grid
>operation. Nice 'eh..?

It would be nice if it made any difference in the amp's operation.

>> >Many of my friends (and mentors) referenced are extremely well educated
>> >RF Engineers and authors working in the field. Drop on by the list
>> >server and learn a thing or two... The actual number of available
>> >active
>> >amps members reviewing and talking these issues over every day is in the
>> >hundreds. You are only one fly on the windshield...
>>
>> I couldn't find any post where anyone even suggests a reason why
>> grounded-grid amps don't require neutralization. Maybe you should ask
>> the question yourself and see what kind of answer you get. Of course
>> it won't be the answer you expect, so you better not blurt out your
>> ignorant theory to the amp gods before they humble you with their
>> reply.
>
>You can't seem to find much of anything except mean and bitter things
>to write, despite your poopy attitude, the world turns and moves forward
>without you.

Only from your perspective, Skippy. It must come from people like you
telling me that I'm dumb, stupid, ignorant, and that I should get busy
eating my "crow sandwich".

>Why don't you step up to the plate, join the list server and post the
>question yourself..? Just drop the amp gods baggage at the door so
>people will even talk to you.

I might just join it anyway. But the "amp gods baggage" is your's,
Skippy -- I don't take their word as "gospel".

>Come on Mr. big shot, you've got the big mouth via your keyboard.
>Time to step up and do some things for yourself for a change. You
>can also ask about the rectifier equalization networks you love
>and the reasons why grids are not tied to the plate in RF amplifiers.

I'm not the one disputing commonly accepted electronics practices. You
are. Ask the questions yourself. It would be a wonderful way to verify
your facts and dispute mine. Or don't you have enough confidence in
your own 'facts' to accept such a challenge?

>> >Cute twist of words in your above post.
>> >None of use claim the screen grid is solely responsible for the
>> >elimination of the neutralization requirement in a grounded grid
>> >amplifiers.
>>
>> You have never provided any explanation other than "reduced Cgp" and
>> "grid screening". The fact is that Cgp means nothing at all in a
>> grounded-grid amplifier because the grid is grounded -- i.e, any
>> signal fed back through Cgp is sent straight to ground at the grid
>> (...grid-to-plate... get it? or do you need a picture?) And if the
>> cathode was so well screened from the plate as to prevent feedback,
>> then the plate wouldn't get any signal from the cathode and the amp
>> wouldn't work at all.
>
>Go look up the circuit description in the SAI Handbook text I
>referenced. Save us all some time while you eat your crow sandwich.
>Your beginning to be a big time boar and a bitter boy time waster.

Save us all some time and quote your reference.

>> I have said these things many times before, yet you have refused to
>> verify them for yourself. Or perhaps you think logic is dated
>> technology...
>
>You are a master of word twist, but that's about it. You have yet
>to provide us with any text, articles, web page material that you've
>written.

Not required when the truth is in the logic.

> A lot of what you've posted indicates you have little if
>any experience actually working on any tube rf amplifier. We just
>get the impression you live to repost text book information which
>has proven to contain errors and dated material

Holy bovine excrement, Batman! You mean that every electronic engineer
that has graduated from an institution of higher education was taught
the wrong information -- scientific and mathematical foundations for
principles and practices that have withstood the test of time in both
experimentation and practice by both students and professionals,
provided by the most reputable sources in the field of electronics and

engineering, having credentials you will NEVER match -- and that YOU
have a better handle on the facts than they do?

You have a definite ego problem, Skippy!

> and that your
>not willing to resource anything further than a mouse click in
>your narrow little world.

What I have referenced you have discarded as being "dated" without
even knowing the date of the reference.

>> >> Since you don't want to bother with the books, I suppose the internet
>> >> will have to do. Here's another link from Eimac to get you going in
>> >> the RIGHT direction with tube power amplifiers:
>> >
>> >Have the hard copy... If you compared an original to the web posted
>> >copy, last review by amps members people found it to be incomplete.
>>
>> If you have the hard copy then I recommend you read it. It may not be
>> complete, but it's more accurate than your voodoo theories.
>
>>
>>
>> >>
>> >> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/Section2.1.pdf
>> >>
>> >> Note the typical applications of low mu and medium mu triodes. Also
>> >> notice that Eimac, a manufacturer of power tubes for longer than you
>> >> have been alive, recommends the use of high mu triodes for RF
>> >> amplifiers.
>> >
>> >Sure, but cautions abound using specific types in grounded grid
>> >applications. That would be the 4cx250b as an example.
>>
>> It says no such thing and it provides no such example. You are lying
>> again, Skippy.
>
>You are a simpleton franklin with a poor taste for word twist.
>Exactly where in the above text that I typed do you see the
>"cautions abound" statement restricted to the Care and Feeding
>Book..?

These cautions don't "abound" in the referenced material. If such
cautions were so common, why were they not included in the material
provided by Eimac?

> If you would ever care to reference the original source
>material (which contains the mentioned cautions), try the
>SAI Radio Handbook, 18th edition... around pages 180. He
>was an Eimac Engineer, probably one of the ones sourcing
>some or much of the material in the Care and Feeding book

>your dancing about via the CPI web page.

Yet the "Care and Feeding" book does not contain these 'cautions'. If
your SAI reference contains such a caution, quote it.

>The statement remains as fact... nanny nanny boo boo to you..!

Your 'fact' is unsupported. The 'fact' is that the 4CX250 was intended
for use as a tetrode, not a kludged triode, in which service I'm sure
it has many issues.

.... "nanny nanny boo boo"?

>> >> Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.
>> >
>> >they also don't make rf transistors...
>>
>> Because they don't make semiconductors. They DO make tubes. They make
>> power tubes for just about every power tube application: AF, RF,
>> industrial, medical, etc. They even make power tubes with maximum
>> power ratings even LESS than most sweep tube amps.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.............! (snore)
>
>> But they don't make sweep tubes.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...!!!

Typical "I don't care" response to the facts. You are very
predictable, Skippy.

>> <snip>
>> >You just can't face the facts too well. So far, his and the
>> >other people I reference have hundreds of articles and 20 plus Radio
>> >Handbooks to their credit. I'm still waiting for you to
>> >provide a reference or two.... a web page or two... an article
>> >or two... I've even said please more than once...
>>
>> I can face the facts, and I can also verify them. So far, none of your
>> "facts" can be verified, even by you. Your only method of verification
>> is to 'pass the buck' to some web page without even pointing to any
>
>SAI Handbook, 18th Edition...

I should have included your 'passing the buck' to your citing of books
without providing quotes.

> Still waiting for you to post any of
>your text references.... any..? big please franklin..?

You know I like it when you beg.

>> specific text. Anyone can put up a web page, and anyone can publish
>> articles, manuals, or textbooks. NOT everyone can write a textbook

>> that can hold up to scrutiny by accredited colleges and universities
>> around the world. If you want information that's valid (facts that are
>> not 'subject to revision'), check out your local library for textbooks
>> written by people with high professional and academic credentials. If
>> you just want something developed by the process of hit-and-miss and
>> don't care why it works, just follow the step-by-step directions
>> provided in "Joe Schmoe's Book of Big Amps". And don't put too much
>> stock in the subsequent theories; "Hey guys, I built an amp with an
>> 8877 and it worked without a parasitic supressor; this must mean that
>> the 8877 is immune to parasitics!"
>
>Did you bother to look for/at the credentials of the author of that
>text Mr. library..? Enjoy another crow sandwich.

Go ahead and post those credentials if you like.

Speaking of credentials, do you remember my quote that describes the
operation of the grounded-grid amplifier? which included the reason
why it doesn't require neutralization (because it's a non-inverting
amp)? Well, that book was written several years ago by Robert Schrader
W6BNB, former Chairman of Electronics at Laney College. Contributions
were also provided from ITT, RCA, Hallicrafters, Donald Middleton
(former Professor of Electronics, Southern Colorado State College),
Edwin Pollock W6KHS (formerly of Cabrillo College), and Oliver Ruel
(formerly of Ranken Technical Institute). The book was proofed by
professionals from both the radio/electronics field and the academic
community, as well as by the publisher McGraw-Hill (which I'm sure you
are aware is probably the largest publisher of electronics literature
in the world). That book was used for many years by dozens -- if not
hundreds -- of colleges and universities around the world, the
students of which went on to become very successful in their fields.
Matter of fact, I'm sure even some of your amp gods used this book,
and a few may still have a copy.

But YOU, in your internet-educated wisdom, just tossed the quote out
of the window under your catch-all excuse that it's "dated".

>>
>> >> >I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
>> >> >your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.
>> >>
>> >> I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.
>> >
>> >We'll be waiting for your verified facts.
>>
>> I'm still waiting for your's. Like, what amp is legal for 24 to 35
>> MHz? And what TV used the 6146?
>
>Aaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhh.... out of steam and back to square
>one with.
>

>The amplifiers legal for 24 to 35 Mhz, uses TV supplied sweep
>tubes which have their grids tied to their plate in AB1 where
>significant drive power is consumed. The power supply of said
>amplifier has bad news equalization networks across the
>rectifiers.
>That's how you told us to build it frankie...

Not even close, and your assumption includes many misquotes that I
have pointed out several times.

>> >> Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
>> >> is immune from parasitics.
>> >
>> >Not much more that I would care to do to make you happy. You
>> >disagree with the two well known high power amplifier experts;
>> >posting the text from which I reference. Archives easily reviewed
>> >at www.contesting.com No one is going to force you to keep
>> >your head in the sand.
>>
>> Except that those two "well known" experts (even though I had never
>> heard of them before) seem to disagree with each other. Matter of
>> fact, I noticed the thread about an AL-1500 with an alleged 1.5x
>> parasitic. I read through the entire thread. What I saw was nothing
>> but speculation, ranging from the mundane to the totally absurd, and
>> never ONCE did I see anyone suggest the guy get in there with a GDO
>> and find out where and why the oscillation was occuring. On the
>> contrary, there was even one person that said it was absolutely
>> impossible for the amp to be defective, which really drops a fly in my
>> soup since it is impossible to determine that from the information
>> that was posted.
>
>A nice motivator to get you out of that dated textbook you repost and
>make some observations and tests on your own.

But still no evidence that supports your claim that the tube is immune
from parasitics.

>> And not ONCE did I see a post where anyone suggested that grid
>> screening or "reduced Cgp" is a reason why grounded-grid amplifiers
>> don't require neutralization.
>
>And not once will you see Merlots vs Zins brought up... How
>about you join the list and post up a storm frankie..? Most people
>on amps generally assume reduced Cgp and Ccp is a good thing,
>but not the entire picture. Your word twist game would be a
>warm turd on the scene and people would quickly tire of your
>same old smell.

Your "big picture" has yet to be explained. Post your theory on the
mail list. I would love to see the responses.

>> There is a lot of good info in those archives, but those people aren't
>> gods that you can take their word on blind faith. As with everything
>> else in life you have to verify the facts for yourself. In fact, I
>
>Who asked for blind faith..? You sum it all up in the first line.. "there
>is a lot of good info..." It's only a forum... not Mt. Olympus

You said that you take it as "gospel". Close enough.

>> have asked you many times to verify my statements, yet you seem to
>> think that your own statements are gospel. Maybe you think that
>> because you hacked a few amps and subscribed to an amp list that you
>
>You refuse to disclose any actual book material sources, any articles
>or text you've written and your a bitter pill read. Your just a fly on
>the windshield of life with your behind smashed back up into your
>brain. Nothing makes you happy, ever...

Truth does. You don't.

>> deserve from rrcb the same god-like respect you give those on the
>> list. Wrong-o Skippy, especially when you claim you built a BC amp
>> with an 8877 that does 10 kW FM! (I should subscribe to that list just
>> to post your claim -- now THAT would be fun!)
>
>You have got to be the king of attempted word twist in your babble.
>And where exactly did I say I built and 8877 BC amp that does 10 kw
>frankie..? Yeah... I didn't think so.

Quite right, I thought you were referring to your other BC station.
But there is nothing except your word that the station uses the 8877,
or that you had any part of that station or any other station -- and
your word means nothing after your "IB license" claim. But then you
probably suppose we should all take your word as "gospel"...

>I would enjoy seeing anything you could post that would not be
>an attack on anyone else. A review of almost all your posts
>indicates that is about all you ever do.

I do enjoy exposing RF voodoo in all forms, but I have also provided
plenty of constructive contributions to this forum. Your problem is
that you are so focused on defending your bogus technology that you
haven't noticed.

>>
>> <snip>
>> >> >Da' facts are subject to revision,
>> >>
>> >> There's your problem, Skippy. Your seem to revise your "facts" to suit
>> >> your purposes. For example, remember that callsign you emailed me?
>> >> Well, it is listed as IG, not IB as you claimed. And the license was
>> >> issued AFTER the 1997 consolidation, so it was NOT issued as IB and
>> >> later 'revised'. You are a liar, Skippy, plain and simple. And I have
>> >> proven that 'fact' many times in this conversation. Of course you will

>> >> probably 'revise' that fact in your reply...
>> >
>> >See.... I knew you were going to try cover your fanny by using the
>> >FCC web page.
>>
>> That's stupid. You sent me the callsign with the express purpose of me
>> verifying it on the FCC website, and now you are going to claim that
>> the FCC is wrong? Well, Skippy, what do you consider to be an
>> authoritative reference if not the FCC? Or is the FCC website using
>> "dated technology"?
>
>I claim what is on the hard copy paper, not what is on the web.

You claimed it was a valid license for the IB service, a service which doesn't exist. Even if some old lady typed out IB on the license out of habit, it still doesn't negate the fact that the said license is, and has always been, for the IG service. It also doesn't change the fact that the IB service no longer exists, which you knew because you have licenses yourself that are for the IG service that would have otherwise been for the IB service. You just keep compounding your lies, Skippy.

>> > Well bucky... If I scan the actual license image and
>> >cover the personal information, are you going to claim I altered
>> >the copy..? If you agree, I'll scan the top section of the current
>> >License, black out the name and personal information and place it on
>> >the web for your review...
>>
>> Scan it if you want, I couldn't care less. Digital images don't mean
>> anything because they are so easy to edit.
>
>That's pretty much how we expected you to respond. Sorry, but
>no one here is going to lose any sleep over you sweating the
>current IB License I mentioned is not valid as indicated. You
>may toss and turn as you please...

Make all the excuses you want, Skippy. You made a claim, you failed to prove your claim, and all the evidence contradicts your claim. That's the same thing that happens with almost all your claims, Skippy. Are you beginning to see a pattern here?

>> >>
>> >> I think nothing more needs to be said.
>> >
>> >Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page...
>>
>> That's not 'hiding', Skippy. It's using an authoritative reference to
>> verify (or rather, dispute) your 'facts'.
>
>Then make a simple call and ask if the facts as I posted can
>be easily verified without the web page. It's an 800 number.

Do your own homework, Skippy. You have a telephone, don't you? Call it
yourself and find out. If it can be verified through the phone number
(assuming it's an FCC phone number), verify your own facts. If they
can be verified through that number THEN post the number.

>> > which is different
>> >from the original actual License hard copy I have. But your going
>> >to find a squirming' way to avoid the truth no matter what I do.
>>
>> And you are going to say anything you can to defend your lies.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!!!!!!!!!!

Another "I don't care" response. Gee, what a suprise.

>>
>>
>> >>
>> >> P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
>> >> including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
>> >> site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
>> >> they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.
>> >
>> >and the web url is...?
>>
>> Look it up, Skippy. Maybe in the process you will find a few of those
>> posts you missed.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzz.....!!!!!!!!
>
>and that web site url is..? anyone help us out here..? franklin
>doesn't want to "give it up".

Seems you expect others to do your work for you. Are you so lazy that
you can't find it yourself?

1992. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:22:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddceb76.64283780@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144578

In <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>, "crossfire"
<noone@home.com> wrote:

>Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
>FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take
>a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
<snip>

Why not just get a ham license? Then you can play with a whole lot
more spectrum, a whole lot more power, and a bunch more operating
modes. The test is easy when they give you the answers. Wan't HF but
are too lazy to learn 5 WPM? Just wait a year or so until they drop
the code requirement.

1993. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:26:46 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G26D9.346808$S8.6765742@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144579

Thanks these are the types of answers I was hoping to get.

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021121090516.08946.00000109@mb-fd.aol.com...
> >and was
> >wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still operate
> >clearly and effectively.
>
> it can take as much power as it can while still modulating forward on AM.
if
> your backswinging on your power meter while talking then you are over
driving
> it and probably sound bad. it doesnt take more than a couple watts to over
> drive it. it can handle a stock 10 meter radios sideband signal no problem
but
> the AM would have to be turned down and remember no swong mods while
running a
> box
>
> >Hmmm.... this was helpful. Maybe I should have asked whether low means
> >the use of one sdl446, medium is reduced power to both sdl446 and
> >high indicates full power to both???
>
> there both running switching to low just kicks in a resistor to pad the
imput
> to the finals so it see's less drive. it
>
>
>
>
>

1994. Re: No, but you can control a CB with a PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:27:44 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <sZWdnSLh95f8cEGgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144580

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021121085442.08946.00000106@mb-fd.aol.com...
: http://www.wintransceiver.com/

That was the one I was going to post, here is a reciever based one.

http://www.winradio.com/home/facts.htm

1995. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:42:30 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <nKSdnbAKCKBLbUGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144581

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211210552.1333fe85@posting.google.com...
: "Perculator" the dumbass <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<e4KcnScGps7NxUGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
: > : > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> intelligently wrote in message :
: >
: > : Not really many possibilites,you keep winding yourself around the same
: > : friggin tree! lol
: >
: >
: > Well then who am I Aaron, Dwight, Or Leland, and can't forget George.
Pick
: > a door.
:
: You are Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, from Allentown, PA,

Says you. LOL

and you're the only one posting from comcast, so you can't hide. Close the
door,
: dimbulb.

I am ? I am comcasts sole customer? Dickhead, who am I hiding from certainly
not you I am out here everday like clockwork, you come on here now only to
troll my posts and aarons like clockwork. I have always stated I only post
from comcast which if you notice uhhh thats where all my posts are from
dipshit. How come there is no Dickhead in the phone book by the way. Your as
made up as you claim aaron is.

:You might as well give it up, Georgie-girl. (BTW, it's obvious that it
bothers you or you
: wouldn't mention it, would you Georgie-girl?)

What do you want me to give up? talking in the NG so a TROLL such as
yourself can troll as he wishes, actually I laugh when you call me that
because you think my name is george. If it bothered me I wouldn't tell you
that it did. I tell you so I can laugh at you for the fool you are. here
goes bwawwbawawawawaw hhahahaa

1996. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:47:08 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <MI6D9.91851$NH2.6246@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 144582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim:

Not to complain <grin>, but you skipped my favorite part.

In Step 5 you are supposed to cancel your ARRL membership. Then, in Step 6 you are supposed to complain to the organization from which you just resigned and in which you now have no standing. I think we need a step 8: LCT at 2IAO (Logical Consistency Test at 2 Ideas at Once level.)

73

Paul ab0si

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
>
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
> would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
> year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
> have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
> per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
>
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
>

> Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
> should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
> How many tapes have you sent to Riley?
>
> Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
> 2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
> consideration due to its nonoriginal content.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1997. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 14:47:48 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <DGednZ6dW8SIb0GgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144583

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793: >
: > "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:x0YC9.345092
: >
: > : 1. If a CB provides only 2 or 3 watts of dead key input to the
Palomar
: > 225
: > : what type of output should it produce.
: >
: > Who cares unless you want to go around deadkeying on people. It can dead
: key
: > whatever it will peak. You should concern yourself with what does it do
: when
: > modulated.
: I am trying to understand the relationship of input to output power. That
: is all.

ok well deadkey is not the place to start

: > : 2. If I were to use the Palomar 225 with a 10 meter radio what would
be
: > the
: > : maximum input power
: > : what would be the maximum input power the Palomar could take and what
: > would be the affect on
: > : the output of the Palomar.
: >
: > The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
: harmonics,
: > distortion?
: >
: No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license, and
was
: wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still operate
: clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used in
the
: 10 meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of 10
meter
: (ham) radios.

There you go you answered your own question the output of a 10 meter radio.

: >
: >
: >
: > : 3. What is the power output relationship of the low, medium and high

: > : settings on the Palomar.
: >
: >
: > when in low =lo power
: > when in med = med power
: > etc etc

: Hmmm.... this was helpful.

Glad it was.

Maybe I should have asked whether low means
: the use of one sdl446, medium is reduced power to both sdl446 and
: high indicates full power to both???

The switches add resistance to limit the input power, normally.

1998. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 15:13:44 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IK6D9.346819$S8.6767769@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144584

> : No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license, and
> was
> : wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still
operate
> : clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used in
> the
> : 10 meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of 10
> meter
> : (ham) radios.
>
> There you go you answered your own question the output of a 10 meter
radio.
>
No, not really.

I looked at the Galaxy 10 meter line and saw ranges of output on AM from 8
watts to
50 watts. Given that wide range I would guess that the output of the 10
meter radio would have to be limited so that the amp is not driven to
distortion.

1999. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 15:50:34 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26131-3DDD00CA-386@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144586

Make it at least 10yrs,maybe we'll forget about you by then,cya!....Mark

2000. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:24:59 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <eeGdnSujJpRGlUCgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144587

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:IK6D9.346819$S8.6767769@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
:
: > : No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,
and
: > was
: > : wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still
: operate
: > : clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used
in
: > the
: > : 10 meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of
10
: > meter
: > : (ham) radios.
: >
: > There you go you answered your own question the output of a 10 meter
: radio.

: No, not really.
:
: I looked at the Galaxy 10 meter line

Galaxy? those radios are on the banned transciever list, why would a Ham
want to use a radio that is targeted at the CB market ? echo, roger beep on
10 meters. reading you post further answers that question.

:and saw ranges of output on AM from 8 watts to 50 watts.

I don't believe there is any of those radios that does 8 watts and another
50 watts on am I think you may be confusing RMS with peak reading, unless
you are talking baout a radio with a built in amplifier.

:Given that wide range I would guess that the output of the 10 meter radio
would have to be
: limited so that the amp is not driven to distortion.

Hmmm, distortion driven? more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters. I
think perhaps you may have other motives. Just my opinion based on what you
have said. If it was for ham use a few things clearly jump out, your lack of
concern for SSB or CW. Your concern also with distortion again leads me to
beleieve Am only, which means to me your going to go Cbing. Your concern
with deadkey power has no relevance on ham since SSB, CW, FM is the modus
operandi. which Galaxy did you have in mind the one with the Rebel Flag?

2001. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:27:59 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jQ7D9.73666$__1.43398@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144588

Great idea....but it would cost money. That's a huge part of the demise of the license originally. Same in the broadcast business, where a 3rd class license was required years ago. It is now gone too. And...down the slippery slope we continue.

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
> FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take
> a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to 28.000
> mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also give
> Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> quarmers and CB misfits .
> As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> conversations on the air.
> 73" and keep it real.
> Crossfire.
>
>
> 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette
>
>

2002. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:28:06 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom>
Message-ID: <wcmdnXCLCfgLIECgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144589

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793

: > The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
: harmonics,
: > distortion?

: No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,

You have a ham license? Or want to get one?

2003. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:36:37 UTC
From: Larry Phipps <larry@telepostinc.com>
Message-ID: <pY7D9.2280$4j5.1223340@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

If you really want to increase the Ham ranks, and improve people's knowledge
and skill at the same time...

Have a monthly operating contest where the winner gets $5000.
Have a monthly design contest where the best project gets $5000.

After winning, you would have to sit out for 2 years so others could
benefit.
The FCC could fund this using the revenue from the spectrum of ours that
they're selling to the cellular crowd and other big lobbies ;-)

--
73,
Larry N8LP
www.telepostinc.com/n8lp.html

<paulfriedman@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Ml6D9.91851$NH2.6246@sccrnsc01...
> Jim:
>
> Not to complain <grin>, but you skipped my favorite part.
>
> In Step 5 you are supposed to cancel your ARRL membership. Then, in Step 6
> you are supposed to complain to the organization from which you just
> resigned and in which you now have no standing. I think we need a step 8:
> LCT at 2IAO (Logical Consistency Test at 2 Ideas at Once level.)
>
> 73
>
> Paul ab0si
>
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com...
> > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
> news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> >
> > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
> > would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
> > year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> > >

> > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
> > >
> > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
> Extra.
> >
> > Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> > argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> > phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
> > >
> > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
> > have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
> > per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> >
> > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > to improve things.
> >
> > Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
> > should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
> > >
> > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
> > >
> > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> > How many tapes have you sent to Riley?
> >
> > Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
> > 2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
> > consideration due to its nonoriginal content.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

2004. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:37:09 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <PR7D9.653$pc.134484@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net...
> Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
>
Hmmm.... I had a license with code requirements (many years ago), but I also
have problems with certain kinds of motor skills. It isn't impossible, but I do tend
to find the competency of 100W+ CBers who happen to have ham licenses to
be disappointing.

My biggest frustration now is the use of SOT type packages for my RF design, but
I am working through the issues, and learning how to deal with the technical problems.
I suspect that much of the ham hobby is being supressed by the commonly available
parts technology (and at UHF+, the needed smaller dimensions.) However, from a
time and 'exclusive club' standpoint, I suggest that the competency of 95% of the hams
would be quite insufficient to build and fully understand their equipment.

This is akin to a brutish physical skill overwhelming intellectual comptency. Morse
code is only a brutish, anachronistic (most of the time) skill (which is problematical
WRT poor fine motor control.) It is apparent that some in the ham community
are compensating for lack of sufficient mental skills by making it exclusionary based upon
physical skills.

John

2005. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:39:00 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <yT7D9.654$pc.134374@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> motivation for you to learn code.
>
The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
nowadays aren't they?) Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
the current world.

John

2006. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:41:52 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <R7GcnXJr2-ZNkUCgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144592

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:G26D9.346808$S8.6765742@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: Thanks these are the types of answers I was hoping to get.

I thought you were going to use it for 10 meters ? Why do you want to hear
what it will do on Am?

2007. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person, might be one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:47:53 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD0E39.284ECA63@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144593

> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DDC056C.E3175B4E@yahoo.com>, piper tweet
> <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >"yeti@cet.com" wrote: never anything positive, ever.
> >
> >> In <areii5$g37$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp posts yeti another time
> >> <piper@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >: I also see one more thing... You show different component values for the use
> >> >: of MRF455 and MRF421 transistors... but yet the transformer ratios appear to
> >> >: be the same for both. I hope this is an oversight... as you know the
> >> >: impedances of those two devices is considerably different.
> >> >
> >> >The impedance is low for both devices, the reactance is different per
> >> >device.
> >>
> >> Impedance = resistance + reactance. Brian's statement was perfectly
> >> accurate, Skippy.
> >>
> >
> >So was mine...
>
> If you check the datasheets for both transistors, you would see that
> the resistive part of the input and output impedances of the MRF421
> are two to three times LOWER than the MRF455, depending on the
> frequency. Your vague statement that "the impedance is low for both
> devices" would have been true if you had not qualified your remark by
> saying that "the reactance is different per device". The resistance is
> also different, and the impedance is -very- different, as per Brian's
> observations.

My statement is still accurate as written.

>
> >> >Not the best circuit...
> >> >
> >> >As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,
> >>
> >> I see nothing of the sort happening there.
> >>
> >
> >That's because your experience and theory is weak. Look it up
> >in your text book frankie

>
> If you had ANY experience with amps you would know that it's common
> practice to slightly bend the armatures so that the contacts for the
> output circuit make contact before, and are released after, the
> contacts for the input circuit (a practice called "sequencing the
> relay"). That way there is no power going through the relay when the
> output contacts are switched. This has been done not only with RF
> amps, but has also been used in tranceivers since their invention.
> Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay operates in this way,
> so it's a practice you probably won't learn by hacking amps from
> schematics found on the internet (which explains why you didn't know
> about it).

"Common practice" by whom..?

A relay "Sequencing" notation seems to be missing from the circuit
diagram. You are again chasing windmills...

Your bent armatures suggestion is bad news.

> And the real issue with the relay in that amp is the fact that there
> is only one for both the input and output. If there is one thing that
> Pride got right with their 100 is their use of seperate input and
> output relays.

ZZZZZZZZZZZzZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!

> >>
> >> > no low pass filtering,
> >> >lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
> >> >
> >> >In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".
> >>
> >> Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
> >> word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
> >> by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.
> >
> >I care very little what you call it.
>
> If that were true then you wouldn't have made such an asinine
> statement. You did, therefore you care.

You must live babble on frankie...

>
> > Now be a good lap dog and
> >follow me around from post to post.
>
> Sure. Just keep posting your technical voodoo and I'll be there to
> follow it up with the facts.

Thanks franklin... just keep behind us.

2008. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 16:58:34 UTC
From: speaker of trvth <fooooooo@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3ddd10bf@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 144594

"Mie" <mie@taalla.com> wrote in message
news:ari7dt$gh4$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi...
> Decided to check out your ng. No changes in two years. See you again in
> (maybe) five years.
>
> Kari

in the meantime, keep on fuckin and suckin those
big black niggaz horsemeat Kari! Have u tried
triple-penetration with 3 big black men yet???

2009. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:13:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDD143B-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144505

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>, "crossfire"
<noone@home.com> wrote:
Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs .
The FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required
to take a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure
test . <snip>

>Why not just get a ham license? Then you can >play with a whole lot
more spectrum, a whole >lot more power, and a bunch more operating
>modes.

You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please
allow me to displace your bullshit.
Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just
as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.

>The test is easy when they give you the >answers.

Yea? You claimed you were getting your ticket six months ago. Safe
assumption would be you failed, but you have had your ticket all along,
Frank mah boy! You are indeed Frank Gilliland of Issaquah, stargazer and
saquatch hunter, psi and X-file fool.

>Wan't HF but are too lazy to learn 5 WPM?

Actually, that's what it appears is wrong with Lelnad. I remeber a fond
term for him applied by all long before I ever arrived in the
group..."lifer tech"..I believe it was.

>Just wait a year or so until they drop the >code requirement.

Why? Will you finally upgrade?

2010. Hey B.Hitt...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:14:48 UTC
From: idxeleven@aol.com
Message-ID: <9523b68f.0211210914.73857f8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144595

Larger lungs equal bigger bubbles!!...MN102cya

2011. Re: Hammies on the freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:15:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDD14A6-72@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144503

From: timnebo@aol.com10-101 (Master Of Disaster)
>Luis is a VERY good friend of mine for a few >years now. listen for him
soon in his new >home in andorra :)

And don't you know I will........

2012. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:18:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8727-3DDD1577-154@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144506

From: jokermatt@ameritech.net (Matt)
> I completly agree. We really need to clean up >the cb radio community so you can actually >have a converstion. The only thing is that most >of these "steps" are either already laws or are >part of the ham rules. I don't think we need to >have strict rules such as handles and such but >amp. violations need to be addressed along >with the sex, weapons, language, and drugs.

Ha! Welcome to cb, newbie. Seriousl,,,dunno what is stopping you from holding a conversation,,,but I assume it's the noise and you're getting lost in the shuffle. Hold on, 'cause it happens every so many years or so. It will disappearand then you will be begging for folks to talk to. Where are you
located?

2013. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:20:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8727-3DDD15C8-156@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144504

From: jokermatt@ameritech.net (Matt)
And we don't give a rats ass what you think.

>If you want to try to ignore a problem that's >fine.

And if you want to try and creat one, you done been pegged.

>I know we can't fix the behaviors but any >'newbees' who come in here
will see this, and >relize how wrong it is for them to do these
>activities.

Not any wronger than being a hypocritical hammie.

2014. Audio clips of 9/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:21:53 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121122153.04818.00000103@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 144596

Here is some great audio clips of 9/11
Please be advised that it is pretty dramatic...
http://www.bayscan1.50megs.com/

2015. Re: Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:23:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8727-3DDD16A7-158@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144508

From: dlynnhicks@mchsi.com (DLHicks)
>Oh brother. I am with Kari.<

Who ARE you? Never mind, if you're with Kari from FINLAND, we know what you are.

>This NG hasn't changed much. <

Thank you, junior, we're alive and doing fine.

>And now the kids who should be in school >learning English grammar are posting.

And you're crying over....just what DID take place that has you puddling your diaper?

> Oh yeah, and capitalization as well, what's up >with the key boards. Don't they have the shift >key anymore?
>Bye.

Don't let the door hit ya' where the good Lord split ya'!

2016. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:24:26 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037899675.739767@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144498

Think about it :
A lot of CBers already have radios that will go there and if You charge 10 or 15 bucks to take the test , The fcc makes money , They will also save money because they wouldn't have to pay agents to go to truck stops to look for illegal radios .
After all The ARRL has been trying to figure out how to get more people into the hobby so that they don't lose their operating frequency's to the wireless communication industry .
What better way to give FCC an economic boost than to tap into the wealth of the CBers?
"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:jQ7D9.73666$__1.43398@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Great idea....but it would cost money. That's a huge part of the demise of
> the license originally. Same in the broadcast business, where a 3rd class
> license was required years ago. It is now gone too. And...down the slippery
> slope we continue.
>
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
> > FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take
> > a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> > Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to 28.000
> > mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> > Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also give
> > Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> > quarmers and CB misfits .
> > As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> > standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> > conversations on the air.
> > 73" and keep it real.
> > Crossfire.
> >
> >
> > 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette
> >
> >

>
>

2017. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation quality problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:25:05 UTC
From: Anonymous <Nobody>
Message-ID: <3ddc69ea$1_3@anonymous>
Source record: 144497

Dave, I wanted to thank you again for your replies. I see that this group is really not the place to have an intelligent conversation. Guess you can see why I used an ann. server to post. Shoulda figured there would be a bunch of Ch 19 people hanging around waiting to start shit with the first victim to come along. Kinda too bad that humans don't eat their young.

Again, thanks for the input and I'll be sure to drop back by when I find the solution to this one. Though on second thought, why contribute to a group of Ch 19 type idiots anyway.

Take care ......

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DDA2E32.571E@worldlynx.net...
> Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > Dave, thanks for the reply. Just to fill in the details I missed on the
> > first post, yes, checked the mic. Actually, putting a d104 on it made the
> > condition worse. Stock mic was the best sounding, or more like put the
> > least signal into the ailing part. The receive side of the rig is
> > unaffected, sounds fine. Did a few test talks on sideband tonight. There
> > was also a problem in this mode. The operators I had contact with reported
> > weak modulation on sideband and I noted very low RF output, even at 100% mic
> > gain (under 10 watts maxed out swing - compared to 22-24 watts on AM). What
> > WAS interesting is that they all reported that the audio DID have the higher
> > frequency components of the audio present on sideband. I also checked the
> > output of the PA and just talking thru it the audio spectrum seemed to be
> > normal. This radio has had the mod limiter clipped and the "high power
> > conversion" done, a "dial-a-watt" installed and its been channeled. Also,
> > it worked fine for several years after the mods were done, it just up and
> > started this one day between keyups.
> >
> > Your suggestion of sweeping it with the scope was what I figured I was gonna
> > end up doing. Have to track down who borrowed my signal generator

before I
> > can go into that. Was really hoping that someone could "reach into the
bag
> > of tricks".
> >
> > If / when I track it down I'll be sure to post the results back to the
> > group.
>
> Actually, you added a few crucial pieces of information. The fact that
> receive works ok, and the PA function works ok, pretty much eliminates
> the majority of the audio stages. Couple this with your report of low
> SSB power (But good audio fidelity), and I am suspecting that the
> problem is in the regulator/modulator area. You should be seeing a full
> 12V+ on the collectors of both the final and driver in SSB mode. This
> should allow you to see full power. If the voltage is low, then that
> suggests that the regulator is not biased at full "on" in SSB, and a
> signal of a problem.
>
> IS the AM mode still producing the proper power and the proper
> modulation waveform? My guess is that looking at the modulation on a
> scope will reveal that something is not right. Check the
> regulator/modulator area first.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2018. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:25:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDD16F4-73@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144507

Moparholic wrote:
>I remember that,in fact didn't Dick say >something about Lee's sig file too? lol
--

Dick busted him on many occasions,,it's why Lelnad had a hard-on for Dick.

2019. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:27:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDD1773-74@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144509

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120182145.975$G7@newsreader.com...
I remember that,in fact didn't Dick say something about Lee's sig file
too?

>Yeah, seems like he couldn't take a joke. <

Sure he could. He took you just fine...all the time.

>Poke fun at CW and that seemed to press a >few of his " hot buttons".
>--
>__
>__
>73's de,
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

Riiiiight.....and that's why he blamed you for forging, cause he was
vindictive in that manner.....not..

2020. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:27:31 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hy8D9.50167$dr6.29250@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144499

crossfire <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs .
The
> FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to
take
> a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to
28.000
> mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also
give
> Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> quarmers and CB misfits .
> As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> conversations on the air.
> 73" and keep it real.
> Crossfire.
>
>
> 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette

Not trying to be argumentive, but if the operators are, indeed, operating
illegally now, what's to ensure they operate legally just because there
suddenly was a new requirement? Then there's
the matter of NTIA, the gov't agency that controls most of that spectrum
within the USA. Whether
we are using it in a "certain" way, we DO have certain agreements in place
with other nations. I know of at least one military group that does use its
26 MHZ frequencies and USAF would not be
happy to have to compete with more QRM that it does now. The simple truth
is, it won't happen
for two basic reasons--and it is not me trying to be a smarty pants. 1)
The FCC is NOT the DO all, BE all of spectrum management in the USA; IOW,
they can't just wave some magic wand and
make it so. They are subordinate to NTIA, at least to the extent that if
they happen to butt heads
with them, they most often lose. 2) CB Radio, according to its official
FCC definition, is NOT supposed to be a hobby. Yes, it has become a hobby
contrary to what its planners had hoped, and
it has become a substitute for ham radio for whatever reasons (can't, won't
master the code, no test
to take, etc)--all contrary to every intent and purpose attributed to it.

As long as there is an Amateur Radio, CB Radio will not get any more "concessions", rules relaxations, or changes in its "official" structure to facilitate its being a parallel service; it just isn't gonna happen. The reasoning is, simply, that CB was for short ranged, personal or business comms, and ham radio was for DX-ing and experimenting, and there is no NEED for CB to be able to communicate, for example, over 155 miles. Sure, it can, but just because you hear skip doesn't mean you must cultivate it. That is the way it is regarded in official circles.

If additional "space" is created for Citizens' Radio, I would just about bet it won't be anywhere near 11 meters. More likely, up on and near MURS and GMRS as more and more commercial ser-vices go to 900+ MHZ. To the spectrum managers, this cures one of their problems: getting more and more people onto a given frequency without interference. VHF/UHF can do that whereas 11 meters becomes a jumble of racket and squeals. A CB license that offers "privileges" outside the regular 40? Nah, ain't a-gonna happen.

73

Jerry

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

2021. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:33:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8E8D9.50237$dr6.2643@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144500

A_Real_CB_Operator <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message
news:XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com...

<<snip>>

> 1. BAN CB RADIO!!

Nah, I was just feeling frisky.

Jerry
>

>
> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

2022. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:44:41 UTC
From: James Bondo <BogusAddress@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <dY8D9.74904$WL3.32621@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144501

"speaker of trvth" <fooooooo@aol.com> wrote in message news:3ddd10bf@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Mie" <mie@taalla.com> wrote in message
> news:ari7dt$gh4$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi...
> > Decided to check out your ng. No changes in two years. See you again in
> > (maybe) five years.
> >
> > Kari
>
> in the meantime, keep on fuckin and suckin those
> big black niggaz horsemeat Kari! Have u tried
> triple-penetration with 3 big black men yet???
>
>
Talking from experience eh? Sounds like you've been
on the recieving end of it.

2023. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:49:28 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211210949.22812c7d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144510

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0211201709.617e226@posting.google.com>...
> k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211182342.cac462f@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<cJWdnVeGp6u9b0igXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> > > "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > > news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> > > > Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
> > >
> > > Absolutely!
> > >
> > > Snowed over here this evening, watch for ice!
> >
> > I know, Me and James, W8WW Went to the fest and we did see the snow
> > when we arrived in Fort Wayne, In. early Saturday Morning.
> >
> > Was a great swap... Didn't sell much, as, the people were not
> > buying...But, all in all I had a good time, Not ever going with W8WW
> > again, but that's another story...
>
>
>
> what's the matter chuckie??? did he find out what a real dick you can be???

Nope, I found out what I real dick HE could be.

fuck off asshole.

2024. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:49:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11509-3DDD1CB5-40@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144513

Galaxy 10 meter line
Joigey wrote:
>Galaxy? those radios are on the banned >transciever list,

Some hams can use them on 10.

>why would a Ham want to use a radio that is >targeted at the CB market
?

So he could play cb and freeband. Plus, depending on the Galaxy, he can
get a roger beep and echo...you know, for all them times he wants to
come down to the cb channels.

>echo, roger beep on 10 meters. <

No..for the freeband and cb and usually only on AM.

>I don't believe there is any of those radios that >does 8 watts

Many of them do that and above.

>and another 50 watts on am<

Is that in Birds or what? <g>

> I think you may be confusing RMS with peak >reading, unless you are
talking baout a radio >with a built in amplifier.

Lotsa Wahingtons seem to have those these days....

2025. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:50:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11508-3DDD1CF4-100@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144514

From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793
The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
harmonics, distortion?

>No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter >radio, with a Ham license,

You have a ham license? Or want to get one?

==========
Why,,,,,you sellin' yours?

2026. CheeseHEADcutter and Sparky Gay Lovers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:54:55 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211210954.27a632a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144502

It sure seems so to me... they both have a HARD ON for me. Both type in the same style.... Geee... I wonder if they're the same person or maybe GAY LOVERS!

Either way, they're both flippin' MORONIC WEIRDO'S.....

So, now we got something to talk about...

Sparky and CheeseHEADcutter, Are GAY LOVERS!

73

2027. Sparky Gives CheeseHEADcutter some ANAL LOVIN'!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 17:58:15 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0211210958.7c321efc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144511

News at 11!

Remember CheeseHEADCutter and Sparky are in fact, Homosexual Lovers.
that share in a perverted sexual bond, that involves hating me. (don't
ask me, I just quote the facts...)

So, when you Cheezeball and Sparky Gonna do NUDE Pictures together?

HAW HAW HAW HAW!

2028. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:11:23 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD21CB.C7EC0A43@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144512

> >> >An example of a double tuned amp you can talk about..?
> >>
> >> I can think of no example you would be capable of understanding.
> >
> >You are just plain rude and out of line most of the time.
>
> You mean like calling someone dumb, stupid and ignorant without
> provocation?

You win frankie... I'm about done wasting time replying to your
off topic jabs. Nothing ever makes you happy... the majority
of all your posts to everyone have been very negative and rude.
In short, you are time wasting loser.

> I have provided plenty of material, which you quickly accuse of being
> "dated" before you even know the source, and regardless of the
> validity of the content.

You have yet to provide the actual name(s) of much, if any of your
textbook source material.

> >Doesn't matter, I verified the posted information by the two
> >well known RF Engineers and believe it to be accurate until
> >better facts or information update or replace it.
>
> How did you verify it? Did you actually unload an 8877 from the tank
> and it didn't flash over? I doubt it.

I trust the statements made by the original authors, well over
the lack of any material you have posted. Where is "any
material" you might have posted..? ... anywhere..?

yeah, we didn't think so... little or nothing is what we
see from you.

> >Like your adding rectifier equalizing networks mistake. Mfgrs
> >updated their products and posted data sheets for the newer
> >devices.
>
> Point to one of those rectifier data sheets that 'updates' the need
> for voltage equalization in series rectification.

You got a current mfgr that suggests inclusion of resistors and
caps in a current generation of common rectifier diode strings
found in rf amplifiers.? Say the 1N5408 as an example..?

> >> <snip>
> >> >Its not my text, it's from an Well known English RF Engineer, RSGB
> >> >Author and friend via the previously mentioned list server. Posted
> >> >based on a conversion project mentioned by another RF Engineer
> >> >who just completed a working HF 8877 amplifier.
> >>
> >> I don't care who posted it. Like I said; if it can amplify, it can
> >> oscillate. You still don't understand that parasitics are a product of
> >> the circuit, NOT just the tube, and NOT just the tube's anode circuit.
> >> All you need is a resonant condition that feeds a signal back through
> >> the tube. (It's becoming obvious that you don't understand the
> >> principles behind oscillation, either.)
> >
> >You start your above statement with an off the direct issue/topic
> >generalized statement. We are talking about a tube that is
> >well designed and constructed, which does not require
> >conventional parasitic supresors for common HF and VHF
> >operation. That tube is the 8877 and some of its cousins.
> >
> >We are not talking generic amplifiers which can be made
> >to oscillate.
>
> The 8877 is a very well-designed coaxial-type tube that is capable of
> operation well into the GHz region. As with any other tube, if there
> is no parasitic supression, any lead from the plate to the tank input
> capacitor is going to act like a radiator. If there is enough

Notice the "IF" statement. Keep in mind terms like shielding,
isolation and good design/contruction practices to remove "IF"
from the equation.

> inductive or capacitive coupling between that line and any resonant
> condition in the input circuit, the amp will oscillate, and it will do
> so at the frequency of the resonance being excited by the plate lead.

There is no "IF" in the example conversion 8877 amplifier described
on the list server. It is stable without anode lead parasitic
supressors.

> Now because of the high operating frequency possible with that tube,
> combined with the ease of unintentionally creating UHF resonant
> conditions in just about any circuit, and the fact that such short
> wavelengths can sneak through chassis holes and even mesh screens, it
> would be plain foolish to not include even just a low-Q supressor at
> the plate.

"Sneak"..? Foolish in your opinion which carries little weight
in this news group or the amps forum. The mentioned 8877 amplifier
works well, is stable and the reasons for said are described
on the list server. Your opinion is contrary to those of at
least three well known leading edge Engineers and/or Authors working
in the industry. You lose...

> You should have gotten a clue from reading the thread where someone
> built an amp with the 813 and left off the parasitic supressor because
> the VHF gain of the tube is poor. What happened? He got parasitics in
> the low VHF range and nuked his tube.

Nice off topic try... We are and were talking about the design
and construction of the 8877 and the reasons why it is stable in a
well built circuit, without anode suppression.

>
> >Now you want to imply that I say it is only the tube or the
> >anode circuit... don't play games franklin.
> >Your bob and weave trying very hard to say that I restricted
> >specific parasitic sources to one cause.
>
> I don't think even YOU know what you said -- you were too confused as
> to the difference between neutralization and parasitic supression!

You don't think... this much we can see.

>
> >You present any source material or text directly related to the
> >subject.... and we'll go over it. Otherwise, your still just
> >chasing windmills with your bitter pill poopy attitude.
>
> No, we won't go over it, because no matter what I produce you will
> call it "dated" regardless of it's validity. That is why I am now
> relying on the logical application of basic electronic principles, an
> example of which is my above explanation on parasitics. You can beg
> for source material all you want, but if you can't logically dispute
> what I wrote above then no other source is needed.

ZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz..... frankie out of steam
and won't back his statements up.

>
> >> <snip>
> >> >These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
> >> >broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
> >> >rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
> >> >based on a solid long term track record.
> >> >Unless your willing to take your statement to the "amps court of
> >> >review", I will continue to believe their statements have more
> >> >valid information than yours do.
> >> >It's the same large list of rf people I submitted my sweep tube
> >> >amplifier text to a while back. No errors were found... I don't
> >> >think you even tried to look for it.
> >>
> >> I find it hard to believe that ANY electronics engineer would
> >> recommend building high-power RF amps without any form parasitic
> >> supression. But if you want to take their word on blind faith then by
> >> all means go for it -- you are gambling with your money, not mine.
> >

> >So did it... so he was asked about the described working circuit
> >which lacked parasitic supression. He and another well known
> >Engineer supplied additional information about the circuit, the tube
> >and the reasons why the amplifier they actually built works well
> >without conventional anode parasitic supressors installed.
> >
> >I picked up some very nice information in the exchange... of
> >which I'm happy to reference.
>
> Then reference it. I'm not about to read through the entire archive
> just to find your reference, so post a link.

sorry your so lazy franklin... I'm pretty much done with your
depression laden posts. Nothing is ever going to make you happy
and you wont post the locations of your touted reference material.

> > But you just want to live
> >with your vintage - dated technology.
>
> You mean "vintage - dated technology" like sweep tube amps?

Yep, without equalization networks on their rectifiers and
not one grid tied to a plate.

> >Get off your rather large web behind and go review the posts
> >at the www.contesting.com web site. Learn something new
> >for a big change in your narrow world.
>
> I did. Good info there. But certainly not 'gospel'.

No one said it was, except you.

> >> That's interesting: Your other favorite amp god (Measures) clearly
> >> disagrees:
> >
> >Rich is a long time friend, who has yet to obtain a deity
> >status.
> >
> >At that date and time in the thread... he had yet to see
> >the evidence which was substantial enough in fact and
> >backed up by a working example.
> >You could simply Email him and ask for his opinion.
>
> Why don't you get him to post here disputing his own comments? And why
> does he still sell retrofit supressors for those amps?

Amps is a much better forum for the topic. His comments
have been under peer review and comment.

Why don't you simply bypass the middle man and ask
him yourself..? Be your own lap dog for a change.

> >> http://www.vcnet.com/measures/8877.gs2.JPEG
> >>
> >> I especially like his phrase describing one individual that claimed to
> >> have never had a parasitic with his 8877 amps -- "the 'not invented
> >> here' syndrome" -- describing perfectly the lazy RF design practices
> >> of some of your so-called 'experts'.
> >
> >That would be Ameritron Amp designer Tom, a nice guy with points
> >of his own to make. Not everyone takes Rich's word as gospel,
>
> You do. You said so yourself, and "gospel" is the exact word you used.

On subjects where my opinions agree... maybe so. But I and others
debate and discuss the issues where we do not agree; without all the
off topic diversions and cannon fodder you like to throw at people.

>
> > but
> >many learn how to filter all the material to arrive at a logical mean.
> >
> >Aside from the above, I believe a lot of what is specifically referred
> >to on that page is possibly sourced to both the applied circuits and
> >some well known issues with 8877 tube production.
>
> Let's see now... Someone claims, against all electronic fundamentals,
> that some tube doesn't need parasitic supression. But when amps are
> built without supressors and the tubes start melting, the logical
> conclusion is that it must be a production issue. Makes perfect sense
> to me... not.

Possibly one issue of a larger picture, but not given as the only one.
When all your previously mentioned "ifs" are out of the mix, an 8877
built as described in the example is stable without anode parasitic
supressor(s). It's that simple...

> >The more recent 8877 conversion example by John does not
> >have the traditional shortcomings found in previous examples,
> >the end result is that his amplifier is stable without parasitic
> >supressors installed.
>
> Good for him. Like I said, it ain't my money.

ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.........

>
> >> >Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.
> >>
> >> What am I tripping over? Your explanation of why some tubes are prone
> >> to parasitics? I can't trip over it because you haven't provided it!
> >
> >Simple, internal values of C and L and the tube geometry.
>
> Those are only contributing factors to only one cause of parasitic

> oscillations. The short internal leads will indeed prevent the tube
> from self-oscillation at microwave freqs, but certainly don't prevent
> feedback and resonant conditions in the amplifier circuit. You are the
> one that's tripping, Skippy.

You make my point... only contributing factors of a larger picture.
Each tube has different values... the 8877 values are dealt a good
card by design.

> >Old information, no one claims it's inaccurate. Are you trying to
> >make some technical point..?
>
> It doesn't make any difference if the information is old or new as
> long as the information is still valid. Your "dated technology" excuse
> is lame, Skippy.

Once again for you and the audience... are you trying to make some
technical point..?

> >> <snip>
> >> >Where do I or anyone else in these posts say that every cathode
> >> >amplifier
> >> >does not need to be neutralized..?
> >>
> >> You say it all the time. Your argument is that grounding the screen
> >> reduces the Cgp of a tetrode/pentode in a grounded-grid amp. But the
> >
> >So what about the above is not a fact..?
>
> The Cgp doesn't change -- the EFFECTIVE Cgp changes.

Depends on who's book you read, but I have no problem with the use
of effective. Less Cgp is part of the larger picture... where as
the typical grounded grid amplifier does away with neutralization.

> >> screen grid is effectively grounded (unvarying DC potential) in a
> >> common-cathode amplifier as well, which changes the EFFECTIVE Cgp
> >> EXACTLY THE SAME as grounding the screen in a grounded-grid amplifier.
> >> Therefore, if 'grid screening' eliminates the need for neutralization
> >> in a grounded-grid amplifier, it MUST ALSO do so in a common-cathode
> >> amplifier.
> >
> >Somewhere you try to twist out the idea that I say the grounded screen is
> >the reason g-grid amps don't require neutralization. I say it is only part
> >of a larger picture. Was a poor try on your part that doesn't float a
> >boat...
>
> Both of your reasons were addressed below.

Not as I mentioned it above, I did not say that a grounded screen is the
only reason common g-grid amps do not require neutralization. Your
smoke

screen avoidance of the issue here.

> >> > The technical point that needs to be
> >> >made is less Cgp
> >>
> >> The Cgp of a TUBE is reduced when you ADD a screen grid TO A TRIODE.
> >
> >And...?
>
> And nothing.

ZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.........

>
> >Effective C is also reduced when you apply that tetrode to grounded grid
> >operation. Nice 'eh..?
>
> It would be nice if it made any difference in the amp's operation.

It does

> >You can't seem to find much of anything except mean and bitter things
> >to write, despite your poopy attitude, the world turns and moves forward
> >without you.
>
> Only from your perspective, Skippy. It must come from people like you
> telling me that I'm dumb, stupid, ignorant, and that I should get busy
> eating my "crow sandwich".

A little sauce on that crow sandwich..? I also suggested a cabernet.
>
> >Why don't you step up to the plate, join the list server and post the
> >question yourself..? Just drop the amp gods baggage at the door so
> >people will even talk to you.
>
> I might just join it anyway. But the "amp gods baggage" is your's,
> Skippy -- I don't take their word as "gospel".

We will be looking for your posts.... any posts that have anything
positive or constructive written. Until that time, it's status quo.

> >Come on Mr. big shot, you've got the big mouth via your keyboard.
> >Time to step up and do some things for yourself for a change. You
> >can also ask about the rectifier equalization networks you love
> >and the reasons why grids are not tied to the plate in RF amplifiers.
>
> I'm not the one disputing commonly accepted electronics practices. You
> are. Ask the questions yourself. It would be a wonderful way to verify
> your facts and dispute mine. Or don't you have enough confidence in
> your own 'facts' to accept such a challenge?

I know of no commonly accepted practice of placing grids to plates in
RF amplifiers... maybe you could point out EVEN ONE example. Let

me rephrase that... EVEN ONE WORKING EXAMPLE...?

If you examine most of the current generation / production amplifiers,
you (or most everyone else with their eyes open) will probably
notice the lack of equalization networks on the rectifiers. ITS
NOW COMMON TO OMIT THEM as they are not required. ie dated technology.

> >> >Cute twist of words in your above post.
> >> >None of use claim the screen grid is solely responsible for the
> >> >elimination of the neutralization requirement in a grounded grid
> >> >amplifiers.
> >>
> >> You have never provided any explanation other than "reduced Cgp" and
> >> "grid screening". The fact is that Cgp means nothing at all in a
> >> grounded-grid amplifier because the grid is grounded -- i.e, any
> >> signal fed back through Cgp is sent straight to ground at the grid
> >> (...grid-to-plate... get it? or do you need a picture?) And if the
> >> cathode was so well screened from the plate as to prevent feedback,
> >> then the plate wouldn't get any signal from the cathode and the amp
> >> wouldn't work at all.
> >
> >Go look up the circuit description in the SAI Handbook text I
> >referenced. Save us all some time while you eat your crow sandwich.
> >Your beginning to be a big time boar and a bitter boy time waster.
>
> Save us all some time and quote your reference.

Nope, you go look it up mr textbook. Come back and tell me if its
not at the reference location.

> >> I have said these things many times before, yet you have refused to
> >> verify them for yourself. Or perhaps you think logic is dated
> >> technology...
> >
> >You are a master of word twist, but that's about it. You have yet
> >to provide us with any text, articles, web page material that you've
> >written.
>
> Not required when the truth is in the logic.

Rose colored glasses and gray hair.

> > A lot of what you've posted indicates you have little if
> >any experience actually working on any tube rf amplifier. We just
> >get the impression you live to repost text book information which
> >has proven to contain errors and dated material
>
> Holy bovine excrement, Batman! You mean that every electronic engineer
> that has graduated from an institution of higher education was taught
> the wrong information -- scientific and mathematical foundations for
> principles and practices that have withstood the test of time in both
> experimentation and practice by both students and professionals,

> provided by the most reputable sources in the field of electronics and
> engineering, having credentials you will NEVER match -- and that YOU
> have a better handle on the facts than they do?

The name of, author or edition number of the textbooks you reference
frankie..? any of them..? please..?

> You have a definite ego problem, Skippy!

About some things yes... I also brew a mighty mean Porter and Stout.

> > and that your
> >not willing to resource anything further than a mouse click in
> >your narrow little world.
>
> What I have referenced you have discarded as being "dated" without
> even knowing the date of the reference.

And that date was..? and the reference is..?

> >> >Sure, but cautions abound using specific types in grounded grid
> >> >applications. That would be the 4cx250b as an example.
> >>
> >> It says no such thing and it provides no such example. You are lying
> >> again, Skippy.
> >
> >You are a simpleton franklin with a poor taste for word twist.
> >Exactly where in the above text that I typed do you see the
> >"cautions abound" statement restricted to the Care and Feeding
> >Book..?
>
> These cautions don't "abound" in the referenced material. If such
> cautions were so common, why were they not included in the material
> provided by Eimac.

Twas in a Handbook by one of the same Eimac Engineers on the pages
I mentioned before... but you haven't bothered to look it up yet.

> > If you would ever care to reference the original source
> >material (which contains the mentioned cautions), try the
> >SAI Radio Handbook, 18th edition... around pages 180. He
> >was an Eimac Engineer, probably one of the ones sourcing
> >some or much of the material in the Care and Feeding book
> >your dancing about via the CPI web page.
>
> Yet the "Care and Feeding" book does not contain these 'cautions'. If
> your SAI reference contains such a caution, quote it.

About pages 183 and 184 of the above mentioned... you go
look it up and enjoy your crow sandwich.

> >The statement remains as fact... nanny nanny boo boo to you..!
>

> Your 'fact' is unsupported. The 'fact' is that the 4CX250 was intended
> for use as a tetrode, not a kludged triode, in which service I'm sure
> it has many issues.

No one denies the original application, other applications are
possible, that is all.... over and out.

> .... "nanny nanny boo boo"?
>
> >> >> Also notice that Eimac DOESN'T make sweep tubes.
> >> >
> >> >they also don't make rf transistors...
> >>
> >> Because they don't make semiconductors. They DO make tubes. They make
> >> power tubes for just about every power tube application: AF, RF,
> >> industrial, medical, etc. They even make power tubes with maximum
> >> power ratings even LESS than most sweep tube amps.
> >
> >ZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.............! (snore)
> >
> >> But they don't make sweep tubes.
> >
> >ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz...!!!
>
> Typical "I don't care" response to the facts. You are very
> predictable, Skippy.

You made another boring off topic statement

>
> >> <snip>
> >> >You just can't face the facts too well. So far, his and the
> >> >other people I reference have hundreds of articles and 20 plus Radio
> >> >Handbooks to their credit. I'm still waiting for you to
> >> >provide a reference or two.... a web page or two... an article
> >> >or two... I've even said please more than once...
> >>
> >> I can face the facts, and I can also verify them. So far, none of your
> >> "facts" can be verified, even by you. Your only method of verification
> >> is to 'pass the buck' to some web page without even pointing to any
> >
> >SAI Handbook, 18th Edition...
>
> I should have included your 'passing the buck' to your citing of books
> without providing quotes.

Good enough, catch a copy and tell us if you find it to say anything
different from my posts.

> > Still waiting for you to post any of
> >your text references.... any..? big please franklin..?
>

> You know I like it when you beg.

I'm pretty much done with you... this is my last intended post
wasting time with your depression issues.

> >> specific text. Anyone can put up a web page, and anyone can publish
> >> articles, manuals, or textbooks. NOT everyone can write a textbook
> >> that can hold up to scrutiny by accredited colleges and universities
> >> around the world. If you want information that's valid (facts that are
> >> not 'subject to revision'), check out your local library for textbooks
> >> written by people with high professional and academic credentials. If
> >> you just want something developed by the process of hit-and-miss and
> >> don't care why it works, just follow the step-by-step directions
> >> provided in "Joe Schmoe's Book of Big Amps". And don't put too much
> >> stock in the subsequent theories; "Hey guys, I built an amp with an
> >> 8877 and it worked without a parasitic supressor; this must mean that
> >> the 8877 is immune to parasitics!"
> >
> >Did you bother to look for/at the credentials of the author of that
> >text Mr. library..? Enjoy another crow sandwich.
>
> Go ahead and post those credentials if you like.

I don't like... you go look them up while your eating.

> Speaking of credentials, do you remember my quote that describes the
> operation of the grounded-grid amplifier? which included the reason
> why it doesn't require neutralization (because it's a non-inverting
> amp)? Well, that book was written several years ago by Robert Schrader
> W6BNB, former Chairman of Electronics at Laney College. Contributions
> were also provided from ITT, RCA, Hallicrafters, Donald Middleton
> (former Professor of Electronics, Southern Colorado State College),
> Edwin Pollock W6KHS (formerly of Cabrillo College), and Oliver Ruel
> (formerly of Ranken Technical Institute). The book was proofed by
> professionals from both the radio/electronics field and the academic
> community, as well as by the publisher McGraw-Hill (which I'm sure you
> are aware is probably the largest publisher of electronics literature
> in the world). That book was used for many years by dozens -- if not
> hundreds -- of colleges and universities around the world, the
> students of which went on to become very successful in their fields.
> Matter of fact, I'm sure even some of your amp gods used this book,
> and a few may still have a copy.

One book..? "non inverting" as the only reason..? What was the Name
of the book bucky'..? You spout but don't name the tea kettle.

> But YOU, in your internet-educated wisdom, just tossed the quote out
> of the window under your catch-all excuse that it's "dated".

What is the date and edition of the book...? after you actually
tell us the name you so cleverly withheld.

> >> >> >I feel pretty safe agreeing with him and my books, you keep
> >> >> >your incomplete dated text book opinion for yourself.
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't agree with anyone until I can verify the facts for myself.
> >> >
> >> >We'll be waiting for your verified facts.
> >>
> >> I'm still waiting for your's. Like, what amp is legal for 24 to 35
> >> MHz? And what TV used the 6146?
> >
> >Aaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhh.... out of steam and back to square
> >one with.
> >
> >The amplifiers legal for 24 to 35 Mhz, uses TV supplied sweep
> >tubes which have their grids tied to their plate in AB1 where
> >significant drive power is consumed. The power supply of said
> >amplifier has bad news equalization networks across the
> >rectifiers.
> >That's how you told us to build it frankie...
>
> Not even close, and your assumption includes many misquotes that I
> have pointed out several times.

roll over.... Zzzzzzzzzzzzzzz....

>
> >> >> Like I said, there is no evidence to support your claim that the tube
> >> >> is immune from parasitics.
> >> >
> >> >Not much more that I would care to do to make you happy. You
> >> >disagree with the two well known high power amplifier experts;
> >> >posting the text from which I reference. Archives easily reviewed
> >> >at www.contesting.com No one is going to force you to keep
> >> >your head in the sand.
> >>
> >> Except that those two "well known" experts (even though I had never
> >> heard of them before) seem to disagree with each other. Matter of
> >> fact, I noticed the thread about an AL-1500 with an alleged 1.5x
> >> parasitic. I read through the entire thread. What I saw was nothing
> >> but speculation, ranging from the mundane to the totally absurd, and
> >> never ONCE did I see anyone suggest the guy get in there with a GDO
> >> and find out where and why the oscillation was occuring. On the
> >> contrary, there was even one person that said it was absolutely
> >> impossible for the amp to be defective, which really drops a fly in my
> >> soup since it is impossible to determine that from the information
> >> that was posted.
> >
> >A nice motivator to get you out of that dated textbook you repost and
> >make some observations and tests on your own.
>
> But still no evidence that supports your claim that the tube is immune
> from parasitics.

Examples and supporting information from experts in the field, posted
within the last month at www.contesting.com

> >> And not ONCE did I see a post where anyone suggested that grid
> >> screening or "reduced Cgp" is a reason why grounded-grid amplifiers
> >> don't require neutralization.
> >
> >And not once will you see Merlots vs Zins brought up... How
> >about you join the list and post up a storm frankie..? Most people
> >on amps generally assume reduced Cgp and Ccp is a good thing,
> >but not the entire picture. Your word twist game would be a
> >warm turd on the scene and people would quickly tire of your
> >same old smell.
>
> Your "big picture" has yet to be explained. Post your theory on the
> mail list. I would love to see the responses.

Review previous posts, been there done that.

> >> There is a lot of good info in those archives, but those people aren't
> >> gods that you can take their word on blind faith. As with everything
> >> else in life you have to verify the facts for yourself. In fact, I
> >
> >Who asked for blind faith..? You sum it all up in the first line.. "there
> >is a lot of good info..." It's only a forum... not Mt. Olympus
>
> You said that you take it as "gospel". Close enough.

not everything... but the gospel message comes through if you stay
in the church long enough.

> >> have asked you many times to verify my statements, yet you seem to
> >> think that your own statements are gospel. Maybe you think that
> >> because you hacked a few amps and subscribed to an amp list that you
> >
> >You refuse to disclose any actual book material sources, any articles
> >or text you've written and your a bitter pill read. Your just a fly on
> >the windshield of life with your behind smashed back up into your
> >brain. Nothing makes you happy, ever...
>
> Truth does. You don't.

And any of that reference material is..? names, edition dates, pages,
authors...?

>
> >> deserve from rrcb the same god-like respect you give those on the
> >> list. Wrong-o Skippy, especially when you claim you built a BC amp
> >> with an 8877 that does 10 kW FM! (I should subscribe to that list just
> >> to post your claim -- now THAT would be fun!)
> >
> >You have got to be the king of attempted word twist in your babble.
> >And where exactly did I say I built and 8877 BC amp that does 10 kw

> >frankie..? Yeah... I didn't think so.
>
> Quite right, I thought you were referring to your other BC station.
> But there is nothing except your word that the station uses the 8877,
> or that you had any part of that station or any other station -- and
> your word means nothing after your "IB license" claim. But then you
> probably suppose we should all take your word as "gospel"...

No, but I've got my hands in more than one broadcast station story.
I'm quite proud of them... how about that station you work for
Frankie..?

Anyone over to my office can easily review a copy of the IB Radio
Service License I mentioned. That is all that matters...

> >I would enjoy seeing anything you could post that would not be
> >an attack on anyone else. A review of almost all your posts
> >indicates that is about all you ever do.
>
> I do enjoy exposing RF voodoo in all forms, but I have also provided
> plenty of constructive contributions to this forum. Your problem is
> that you are so focused on defending your bogus technology that you
> haven't noticed.

articles and text...? just jabs and put downs for the most part.
Your latest contribution is to suggest bending relay armatures to
prevent rf amplifier hot switching. So much for a good contribution,
it's bad news.

>> >I claim what is on the hard copy paper, not what is on the web.
>
> You claimed it was a valid license for the IB service, a service which
> doesn't exist. Even if some old lady typed out IB on the license out
> of habit, it still doesn't negate the fact that the said license is,
> and has always been, for the IG service. It also doesn't change the
> fact that the IB service no longer exists, which you knew because you
> have licenses yourself that are for the IG service that would have
> otherwise been for the IB service. You just keep compounding your
> lies, Skippy.

Lies made up by the FCC and sent out on paper... take it up with them
bucky'.

>
> >> > Well bucky... If I scan the actual license image and
> >> >cover the personal information, are you going to claim I altered
> >> >the copy..? If you agree, I'll scan the top section of the current
> >> >License, black out the name and personal information and place it on
> >> >the web for your review...
> >>
> >> Scan it if you want, I couldn't care less. Digital images don't mean
> >> anything because they are so easy to edit.

> >
> >That's pretty much how we expected you to respond. Sorry, but
> >no one here is going to lose any sleep over you sweating the
> >current IB License I mentioned is not valid as indicated. You
> >may toss and turn as you please...
>
> Make all the excuses you want, Skippy. You made a claim, you failed to
> prove your claim, and all the evidence contradicts your claim. That's
> the same thing that happens with almost all your claims, Skippy. Are
> you beginning to see a pattern here?

ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....

>> >>
> >> >> I think nothing more needs to be said.
> >> >
> >> >Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page...
> >>
> >> That's not 'hiding', Skippy. It's using an authoritative reference to
> >> verify (or rather, dispute) your 'facts'.
> >
> >Then make a simple call and ask if the facts as I posted can
> >be easily verified without the web page. It's an 800 number.
>
> Do your own homework, Skippy. You have a telephone, don't you? Call it
> yourself and find out. If it can be verified through the phone number
> (assuming it's an FCC phone number), verify your own facts. If they
> can be verified through that number THEN post the number.

Yep, bob and weave as we expect from you.

> >> > which is different
> >> >from the original actual License hard copy I have. But your going
> >> >to find a squirming' way to avoid the truth no matter what I do.
> >>
> >> And you are going to say anything you can to defend your lies.
> >
> >ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Another "I don't care" response. Gee, what a suprise.

If you show up with an FCC badge, I won't be worried.

> >> >> P.S: Keep checking my site. I plan on updating it soon, and will be
> >> >> including new stuff as well as some other things that were on the old
> >> >> site. Many of them are far more complicated than an amplifier, and
> >> >> they might be a good test of your alleged electronics expertise.
> >> >
> >> >and the web url is...?
> >>
> >> Look it up, Skippy. Maybe in the process you will find a few of those
> >> posts you missed.

> >
> >ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzz.....................!!!!!!!!
> >
> >and that web site url is..? anyone help us out here..? franklin
> >doesn't want to "give it up".
>
> Seems you expect others to do your work for you. Are you so lazy that
> you can't find it yourself?

how about a give me one time frankie...?

OK, I'm about done with this thread... people have been Emailing me
to just let you go on with your babble, but I figured to have a bit
of fun first. But you are no longer any fun franklin. so get on
with bending your relays and get a life.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2029. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:16:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11506-3DDD22E5-213@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144516

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:i92dnZvYT7B2qkagXTWcog@comcast.com...
LOL Nad, It is quite simple what happened someone forged the initial twisty posts, some guys emails Twisty, he then thinks you have something to do with it he then gives out your phone number as a form of retaliation. Then he tries to lie and cover it up LOl
==========
>Twisted had the option to ignore the inquires,<

Ignore the inquiries? Nah..as I claimed many time before,,I believe in returning thisg to their rightful owner...even if some of them don't want it returned.

> but no he had to squirt gas on the fire instead.<

Your fire. If you couldn't take the heat, you shouldn't have been caught playing with matches.

>Since he wanted to be part of the problem I >just let him suffer.

Excuse me, lelnad,,not for myself, you see,,,but for the contingency..when exactly was it that I,...,um.."suffered"?

>I've got no reason to help somebody like that.<

Lelnad, you couldn't help if you tried.
Besides, it was I that helped you. I proofed your bio a few years ago and edited it at no charge.. This was right after you claimed it served as a resume, remember? I still have the unedited version in a folder somewhere...I'm a real packrat..keep everything..anyways, this "resume" wouldn't have got you hired in a junkyard before your errors were corrected. But, I'm like that, I don't hold a grudge...see, if you still claim your page serves as a resume, you need get rid of the word "got" in the body. Educated employers not only expect but demand more from tech college grads.

>He made enemies where he originally had >little to none, but now seems to have in over >flowing abundance.

Yea? S' funny.....I've had nothing but invites and get togethers with regs in here...oh, you mean YOU and Frank and a few other dogpile members? Gee, lelnad,,,it's not my alleged friends running around referring to me as "Nad".

>He hasn't learned from his past mistakes,<

Who was supposed to have "taught" me anything, Lelnad? There are several in here that have taught me many things, but unfortunately, you were never able to manage to pull yourself up to that level of knowledge and etiquette.

> and he definitely isn't learning from his current >ones either.

Why don't you attempt to enlighten us with more of your guffaws?

> As you discovered Twisted's usual mode is >distorting the facts, then when found out lie >about it.

Never attack a claim..just be vague and ramble on, Nad. You wear it oh so well.

> Press him for solid proof of his crazy claims of >fact, then sit back and enjoy the circus >side-show that develops as he desperately >tries to connect a sequence of events with no >logical connection between them.

An example of my claims would be what, Lelnad? You were smacked down long ago, several times for your insolence. One would think you would get over it after al this time, but it's clear you are not a sane nor rationale individual. You are what plagues hammie radio.....the bottom of the bottom. You are not part of the solution, you are part of the problem.

>When he's done he claims to have proved his >point, however nobody else ever seems to >believe him.

I know your self-esteem is at an all time low right now, Lelnad, but I have NEVER referred to you as "nobody". Chin up, old chap..things will get better.

>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member

2030. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:26:03 UTC
From: Kevin_Ryder <Kevin_Ryder@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u#JcatYkCHA.1432@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 144515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The best way to improve ham radio is for hams/ amateurs to stop thinking
they are better for they are not. Everyone Is Innocent.

KR

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:yT7D9.654$pc.134374@news.iquest.net...

"Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> motivation for you to learn code.
>
The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are
beautiful
nowadays aren't they?) Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB,
rather
than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
the current world.

John

2031. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:46:19 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%R9D9.346889$S8.6780054@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144517

At this point I was looking at 10 meter band radios on a website and noticed their power ratings. These Galaxy radios were NOT on the banned list. I think you are asking me if I know that AM is or is not used for HAM and I do not know. From your answer I can assume AM is NOT used for HAM.

"Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:R7GcnXJr2-ZNkUCgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:G26D9.346808$S8.6765742@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> : Thanks these are the types of answers I was hoping to get.
>
>
> I thought you were going to use it for 10 meters ? Why do you want to hear
> what it will do on Am?
>
>
>

2032. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:47:28 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4T9D9.346890$S8.6780189@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144522

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:eeGdnSujJpRGlUCgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:IK6D9.346819$S8.6767769@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> :
> : > : No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,
> and
> : > was
> : > : wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still
> : operate
> : > : clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used
> in
> : > the
> : > : 10 meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of
> 10
> : > meter
> : > : (ham) radios.
> : >
> : > There you go you answered your own question the output of a 10 meter
> : radio.
>
>
> : No, not really.
> :
> : I looked at the Galaxy 10 meter line
>
> Galaxy? those radios are on the banned transciever list, why would a Ham
> want to use a radio that is targeted at the CB market ? echo, roger beep
on
> 10 meters. reading you post further answers that question.
>
>
>
>
>
> :and saw ranges of output on AM from 8 watts to 50 watts.
>
>
> I don't believe there is any of those radios that does 8 watts and
another
> 50 watts on am I think you may be confusing RMS with peak reading, unless
> you are talking baout a radio with a built in amplifier.
>

>
>
> :Given that wide range I would guess that the output of the 10 meter
radio
> would have to be
> : limited so that the amp is not driven to distortion.
>
>
>
> Hmmm, distortion driven? more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters.
I
> think perhaps you may have other motives. Just my opinion based on what
you
> have said. If it was for ham use a few things clearly jump out, your lack
of
> concern for SSB or CW. Your concern also with distortion again leads me
to
> beleieve Am only, which means to me your going to go Cbing. Your concern
> with deadkey power has no relevance on ham since SSB, CW, FM is the modus
> operandi. which Galaxy did you have in mind the one with the Rebel Flag?
>
>
>

2033. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:49:23 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TU9D9.346891$S8.6780282@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144518

Relax, I probably don't know enough to understand that AM is not used on HAM. I looked at 1stopelectronics.com, chose the 10 meter radios and ASSUMED that AM was used for HAM.

I do not intend to go CB'ing with these radios I just thought that if I get a HAM license these might be the next set of radios to purchase.

Live and learn.

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message news:eeGdnSujJpRGlUCgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:IK6D9.346819$S8.6767769@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> :
> : > : No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,
> and
> : > was
> : > : wondering what power input range a Palomar 225 can take and still
> : operate
> : > : clearly and effectively. I noticed that it is advertised to be used
> in
> : > the
> : > : 10 meter to 40 meter range which indicates it can take the output of
> 10
> : > meter
> : > : (ham) radios.
> : >
> : > There you go you answered your own question the output of a 10 meter
> : radio.
> >
> >
> : No, not really.
> :
> : I looked at the Galaxy 10 meter line
> >
> Galaxy? those radios are on the banned transciever list, why would a Ham
> want to use a radio that is targeted at the CB market ? echo, roger beep
on
> 10 meters. reading you post further answers that question.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> :and saw ranges of output on AM from 8 watts to 50 watts.
>
>
> I don't believe there is any of those radios that does 8 watts and
another
> 50 watts on am I think you may be confusing RMS with peak reading, unless
> you are talking baout a radio with a built in amplifier.
>
>
>
> :Given that wide range I would guess that the output of the 10 meter
radio
> would have to be
> : limited so that the amp is not driven to distortion.
>
>
>
> Hmmm, distortion driven? more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters.
I
> think perhaps you may have other motives. Just my opinion based on what
you
> have said. If it was for ham use a few things clearly jump out, your lack
of
> concern for SSB or CW. Your concern also with distortion again leads me
to
> beleieve Am only, which means to me your going to go Cbing. Your concern
> with deadkey power has no relevance on ham since SSB, CW, FM is the modus
> operandi. which Galaxy did you have in mind the one with the Rebel Flag?
>
>
>

2034. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:50:18 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KV9D9.346892$S8.6779900@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144519

I am considering get a license but do not have one.
"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:wcmdnXCLCfgLlECgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793
>
> : > The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
> : harmonics,
> : > distortion?
>
>
> : No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,
>
> You have a ham license? Or want to get one?
>
>
>
>
>

2035. Re: Same shit going on and on and on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:57:38 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211057.b49564e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144520

"Mie" <mie@taalla.com> wrote in message news:<ari7dt$gh4$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>...
> Decided to check out your ng. No changes in two years. See you again in
> (maybe) five years.
>
> Kari

well asshole you haven't been missed.....ok???? however since
you are leaving why don't you take george, frank, doug and dwight with
you and you guys start up your own newsgroup.....you can call it
the anti amp newsgroup and once a year you punks can get together and
jerk each other off or something.....seems to be a good idea for
noncom poops of your calibre.....mudduck.....

2036. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 18:57:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 144521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" wrote:
>
> "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> > Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> > motivation for you to learn code.
> >
> The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
> nowadays aren't they?)

Isn't it a real paradox? The smarter and more beautiful the radios, the
dumber the hams who use them! :-)

Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
> than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
> the current world.

I certainly have no problem agreeing with this, although it really has
little or nothing to do with Morse code on ham radio. You can always use
a keyboard to send if you can't physically handle a manual key or
paddle. I may go to one of those due to arthiritis myself one of those
days. But a computer program does a really poor job of copying, as far
as any I;ve been able to evaluate shows. That will probably change if
some of you more computer literate souls choose to keep working on it.
Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.

Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

Dick

2037. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:21:50 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <ZQSdnc3Zb_nTr0CgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144523

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11509-3DDD1CB5-40@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: Galaxy 10 meter line
: Joigey wrote:
: >Galaxy? those radios are on the banned >transciever list,
:
:
:
: Some hams can use them on 10.

No actually they can't twisty, didn't jerry explain this to you ? If not
Jerry you got's some splannin to do.

:
:
: >why would a Ham want to use a radio that is >targeted at the CB market
: ?
:
:
:
:
: So he could play cb and freeband. Plus, depending on the Galaxy, he can
: get a roger beep and echo...you know, for all them times he wants to
: come down to the cb channels.

Which is of course Illegal. Right?

:
:
: >echo, roger beep on 10 meters. <
:
:
:
:
: No..for the freeband and cb and usually only on AM.

Exactly not for Ham use see we at least agree on his motive

:
: >I don't believe there is any of those radios that >does 8 watts

: Many of them do that and above.

Again you snip what I said and pulled that out of context. I can do it too
Twisty

: >and another 50 watts on am<

: Is that in Birds

I am not sure perhaps it is in One flew over the cuckoos nest you may have to ask Tom.

2038. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:24:53 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <8h6cnfV7zKKYrkCgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144524

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:TU9D9.346891$S8.6780282@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: Relax, I probably don't know enough to understand that AM is not used on
: HAM. I looked at 1stopelectronics.com, chose the 10 meter radios and
: ASSUMED that AM was used for HAM.

:
: I do not intend to go CB'ing with these radios I just thought that if I
get
: a HAM license these might be the next set of radios to purchase.

No If you want to buy a Ham radio buy it from a reputable Ham dealer. Not a
Illegal Storefront for Amp makers which is exactly what Onestopelectronics
is. They are a front for the Xforce line of amps. In fact Carriage
Car@aol.com wash who used to post here has become one of the owners.
Amazing the info you find out in these CB forums LOL

:
: Live and learn.

Exactly, the way made it sounds is you may be a ham and want to use am on 10
meters. Buy a real ham radio not a Illegally marked Export radio

2039. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:25:29 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <_tidnUHyncy0rkCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144525

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11508-3DDD1CF4-100@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
: "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793
: The radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive,
: harmonics, distortion?
:
: >No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter >radio, with a Ham license,
:
:
: You have a ham license? Or want to get one?
:
:
: ==
: Why,,,,,you sellin' yours?

No twistsy they are for sale by the FCC

2040. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:26:09 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <lkydnfKytfnMrkCgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144526

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KV9D9.346892$S8.6779900@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: I am considering get a license but do not have one.

Ok good deal, it is alot of fun! Good luck

2041. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:32:58 UTC
From: David S <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <arjcdb01cht@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144528

Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the technology we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again. Built into every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit which broadcasts your call sign with each key. Not audible to us, maybe on a different frequency or very high beyond our ear's ability to hear. simple computers could pick up the codes, record the transmission, and store them for a short period of time, then without complaints...they could be overwritten. If these are programs are installed in every branch of the FCC, there would be no need for additional personnel and thus a lot of extra money to run this type of program. The FCC could set up a toll free number for complaints and they could monitior the records from that area and take care of the problem person. This is a light outline of my idea, odviously some things might need to be tweaked or adjusted to make it feasable. I think this would help weed out the idiots and restore some decency to the CB. Since you wouldn't be able to modify or disconnect your call sign transmiter, you'd be forced to be good. Any comments?

David

crossfire wrote:
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12
> mtrs . The FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant
> is required to take a electronic theory test , a procedures test ,
> and a rf exposure test . Upon successful completion of this exam Give
> them from 27.500 mhz to 28.000 mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would
> also give Them the power to police Their own bands and have some
> recourse against quarmers and CB misfits .
> As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole
> new standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and
> meaningful conversations on the air.
> 73" and keep it real.
> Crossfire.
>
>
> 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 11/20/2002

2042. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:49:04 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0211211149.3478be54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) wrote in message
news:<b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

Why should this replace the code requirement? Why not learn both?
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand.

Better than doing something else with my hand...:/

But why bother with it?

Why not?

> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.

I don't care if the general population can understand me. They can't
talk to me, so whats the point?

> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.

I know plenty enough to be able to use DSP. I have DSP built into my
radio, and I have it outboard on my computer. Most of my PSK-SSTV,
etc, etc programs also use DSP. Big deal...

> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...

You said it... So what makes it so special?
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...

I can train a smart monkey to do that. But overall we have a problem..
If I have an emergency, can I call you to come over to the scene and
bring a laptop? I have a radio in my car, but no laptop yet. Of
course, I could talk into the mike, or use a code key, but I want to
be stylish like you. Hummmm, you say you live many states away? Take 5
days for the laptop to get to my accident scene via UPS? Oh well...
I've still got my hand to keep me company in the meantime...

> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.

Why? Are you "gifted" or something?

> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.

Well, ok then. A man's got to know his limitations...

>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.

What does a country have to do with this? It's international treaty.
BTW, in this state, the car you take into the driving test will
determine if you need to know how to manual shift or not. If it has a
manual transmission and you can't shift it well enough to drive, you
won't get a license. You will have to buy a new car with an automatic,
and retake the test. Expensive way to get a drivers license if you ask
me...

>
> There, now flame me.

Naw...Just pity...Anyone that lets a snail slow 5 wpm code test stand
in their way, doesn't really want a ticket too bad. What does it take
to learn? All of two weeks? Good grief...How long did it take you to
learn all about DSP?

Next.... MK

2043. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 19:59:58 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
that I am likely to never use.

And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
by amateur radio to learn code.
True, by definition.
I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
they talk about their radio setup.
The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.

I predict that, with all the new technologies available now
(cellphones, internet, computers, etc etc), amateur radio will
simply die out for lack of interest; the ARRL and others are
doing a pityful job of getting the newer generations involved.
Too bad, because some things going on with packet radio,
computers, routing etc are incredibly cool.

They keep up this old-fashioned requirements, and they don't
realise that, as the younger people are turned off,
their radios will also eventually be turned off, when FCC
reclaims the frequencies.
They see the refusal of somebody to learn code as that person's
problem, and they don't realise that the lack of membership will
soon be their problem.

Sooner or later the FCC is going to reclaim those frequencies
due to lack of interest, and nobody in the US consituency will care,
except the 0.0001% of the population - hardly a political constituency.
Come on - listen to the actual _content_ of ham conversations:
if SW bandwidth ever becomes valuable, I don't think ARRL has a chance
to argue that there is something worthy going on there.
And the original purpose, to spread the knowledge of radio technology,
is moot now - with so many other important technologies, why would
one worry so much about radio?

Many hams are now in their 50s. I predict that by the time they are
dead, in about 25 years, amateur radio will also be dead, reduced to
a small number of frequencies used for emergency communication.
All the rest will be commercial bandwidth.

Peter

N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>...
> Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
>
> Peter Lampione wrote:
>
> > I would suggest somethig rather different.
> >
> > Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> > (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> > phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> > design.
> >
> > The code requirement is just silly.
> > Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> > happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> > That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> > transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> > SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> > Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> > DSP should really be required knowledge.
> > I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> > board
> > or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
> >
> > In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> > emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> > there you go,
> > modulating any way you want...
> >
> > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> >
> > Cheers!
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> > country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> > operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
> >
> > There, now flame me.
> >
> > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> > >
> > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

> > >
> > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> > >
> > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> > >
> > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > to improve things.
> > >
> > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> > >
> > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73, de Wouff Hong

2044. Tait T2020 radios x3 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:05:03 UTC
From: Ian Rhodes <imr@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3ddd3bd5$0$10397@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 144530
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I have 3x Tait T2020 radios for sale on Ebay.

The details are:-

3x Tait T2020 Radio's. 1x 68-88 Mhz WB, 1x 148-174Mhz NB, 1x 450-520Mhz WB.
25 watt, 100 channels. Including speakers, microphones, mounting cradles &
power leads. Also includes programming lead & program on floppy disk.
Selcall module installed into 68-88Mhz version.
All units in very good condition & have been verified working.

Link:-
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1940572151&ssPageName=
ADME:B:LC:AU:1

Thanks
Ian

2045. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:27:50 UTC
From: Mike Freeman <mikef@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSO.4.33.0211211225250.2335-100000@shell.pacifier.com>
Source record: 144531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Nov 2002, Wouff Hong wrote:

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>

MMM ... you forgot Item 8: as part of all amateur radio license exams,
examinees must demonstrate the ability to solve the differential equations
from which impedance formulae and the like are derived!

Mike Freeman < K 7 U I J >
"All men tend to become that which they oppose." - Laurence van der Post

2046. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:31:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD42A3.DA8C3C7E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Peter Lampione wrote:
> > ..
> > >
> > > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> > >
> > > Cheers!
> > >
> > > Peter
> >
> >
> >> Just as you think the code requirement may be silly, your attitude
> > of letting it stop you from getting a ham license is just as
> > silly. If you want the license learn the code and get it. It is
> > your lose if you don't.
> > You can start out with the no-code tech to get into ham radio, and
> > if you find it worth your time, then learn the code and upgrade.
> >
> Do you know you're posting to three groups this time,JJ.
>

And so are you.
```

2047. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:31:34 UTC
From: Mike Freeman <mikef@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSO.4.33.0211211229200.2335-100000@shell.pacifier.com>
Source record: 144532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Nov 2002 Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> Step 8: don't cross post stink bait to 5 groups.
>
Step 9. use correct grammar -- League is singular; hence, it's an "it" --
not a "they"!

I see a troll a-risin'!

Mike Freeman < K 7 U I J >
"All men tend to become that which they oppose." - Laurence van der Post

2048. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:47:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <QDbD9.2723$It3.242582@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote

: Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
: But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
: and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
: I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
: be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
: that I am likely to never use.

Peter,

That's great news. You should know that you can get involved hip-deep in amateur radio, including DSP (pre- and post-process) without learning a single dit of Morse code. Just take the written exam, Element 2, and you'll be licensed to experiment on literally many GHz of really prime spectrum.

We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing or two.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2049. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 20:57:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25088-3DDD48B5-216@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144535

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (Self Control)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11509-3DDD1CB5-40@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Galaxy 10 meter line
Joigey wrote:
>Galaxy? those radios are on the banned >transciever list,

Some hams can use them on 10.

>No actually they can't twisty, didn't jerry >explain this to you ? If
not Jerry you got's
>some splannin to do.

Yes, they can, and Jerry never claimed hammies couldn't use a Galaxy
radio legally on 10,,,he knows they are legal for use in that manner.

>why would a Ham want to use a radio that is >targeted at the CB market
?

So he could play cb and freeband. Plus, depending on the Galaxy, he can
get a roger beep and echo...you know, for all them times he wants to
come down to the cb channels.

>Which is of course Illegal. Right?<

Who was talking legalities? Some of you have this one track mind
obsessing on law and rules while breaking them all....never saw so many
power-hungry power trippers gathered in one place all at one
time...pretty cool trick, this thing we got going on with cb.

>echo, roger beep on 10 meters. <

No..for the freeband and cb and usually only on AM.

>Exactly not for Ham use see we at least agree >on his motive

But the clipped clarifiers and frequency counters sure as heck aren't
made for cb.

>I don't believe there is any of those radios that >does 8 watts

Many of them do that and above.

>Again you snip what I said and pulled that out >of context.

I didn't snip anything, George. I addressed all of your post and omitted
nothing.

>I can do it too Twisty<

Yea, but that isn't conducive to any point you may be trying to make.
That type behavior ruined a few mad-hammers in here.

>and another 50 watts on am<

Is that in Birds

>I am not sure perhaps it is<

Oh, I see...you snipped my emoticon (<g>) dictating I was grinning..ok.

>in One flew over the cuckoos nest you may >have to ask Tom.

Was he in the flick?
Was he a prankster or a hog farmer?

2050. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:02:41 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
>
>
> "John S. Dyson" wrote:
> >
> > "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> > > motivation for you to learn code.
> > >
> > The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
> > nowadays aren't they?)
>
>
> Isn't it a real paradox? The smarter and more beautiful the radios, the
> dumber the hams who use them! :-)
>
> Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
> > than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
> > the current world.
>
>
> I certainly have no problem agreeing with this, although it really has
> little or nothing to do with Morse code on ham radio. You can always use
> a keyboard to send if you can't physically handle a manual key or
> paddle. I may go to one of those due to arthiritis myself one of those
> days. But a computer program does a really poor job of copying, as far
> as any I;ve been able to evaluate shows. That will probably change if
> some of you more computer literate souls choose to keep working on it.
> Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> understand.
>
Let me explain: it is an unnecessary and anachronistic barrier to entry. Frankly,
'the code' was really a prominent mode of operation at one time, but now it is
mostly a barrier to entry. It is MUCH MORE useful to center ham radio on
creative endeavors (which can also include working long distance in poor
conditions with code.) I am NOT anti-code, but I am anti-code exclusivity.

There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code. To work at all in the
'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old reserved
bands for extra.) To be able to work anything but phone in limited bands,
you'll need the higher technical endorsements. So, there would be the
basic general ham license, which only provides limited communicator 2M,3/4M band

permissions. After that, for the real traditional 'u build it' ham stuff, then your technical endorsement would give you access to all but the code bands. There might even be multiple levels of technical endorsement (e.g. HF, UHF.) For the code bands, there would be the <10wpm bands and the >10wpm bands (or even finer grain.)

For example, more often, a ham radio operator SHOULD be able to grab a $2 PHEMT, create a simple board (with VERY FEW components) and have his/her 1dB NF 2M or 1.2GHz preamp. Nowadays, the poor schmuck will be spending $120 (or so) for some boutique preamp with 'magic parts or things' in it. (Well, 1dB NF would be a little tricky, even with a .2dB NF PHEMT, but you get my idea!!!)

Here in the US, technology is moving offshore, and even for strategic reasons, helping to motivate technology hobbies other than computer geekdom would be a VERY GOOD THING.

I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with new or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and morse code is the only economical way to communicate.

The Morse requirement advocates seem to be approaching the fringe status of those who had stocked up their food for the YR2000 'issues'. I am NOT against Morse code, but against the prequisite for significant ham radio operation. The Ham community does have ALOT of morse operators, but TOO TOO FEW individuals who build their rigs (even significant parts of them.)

There are some cases (like you) that have the code and technology, but that isn't wrong. You would have both the code band endorsement and the experimental band endorsements!!!

John

2051. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:06:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25088-3DDD4AD1-218@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144536

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (Self Control)
"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:TU9D9.346891$S8.6780282@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
Relax, I probably don't know enough to understand that AM is not used on
HAM. I looked at 1stopelectronics.com, chose the 10 meter radios and
ASSUMED that AM was used for HAM.
I do not intend to go CB'ing with these radios I just thought that if I
get a HAM license these might be the next set of radios to purchase.

>No If you want to buy a Ham radio buy it from >a reputable Ham dealer.
Not a Illegal >Storefront for Amp makers

(snip)

Anyone with the proper business can sell hammie radios...one needn't
even be a hammie.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps. In >fact Carriage
Car@aol.com wash who used to >post here has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

And this upsets or pleasures you WHY?

"Live and learn."

>Exactly, the way made it sounds is you may >be a ham and want to use am
on 10 meters.

He can use it on ssb and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..

>Buy a real ham radio not a Illegally marked >Export radio

Oh? You still seem to be misinformed about what a hammie can use. I
suggest a refresher course, maybe a trip to the FCC site, maybe the ARRL
homesite and someone will be glad* (?) to assist you, or maybe even
Jerry will spell it out for you. Building on that, how many
manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are made here
in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?

2052. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:07:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25089-3DDD4B2C-93@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144537

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (Self Control)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11508-3DDD1CF4-100@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.spamcom (Perculator)
"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message news:fM4D9.346793 The
radio, full output what effect are you looking for overdrive, harmonics,
distortion?
No, I am considering moving to a 10 meter radio, with a Ham license,

>You have a ham license? Or want to get one?<
==========
Why,,,,,you sellin' yours?

>No twistsy they are for sale by the FCC<

Touche!

2053. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation problem (IE: damage control by Dave)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:14:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25089-3DDD4CB9-94@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144542

From: Nobody (Anonymous)
Dave, I wanted to thank you again for your replies. I see that this group is really not the place to have an intelligent conversation. Guess you can see why I used an ann. server to post. Shoulda figured there would be a bunch of Ch 19 people hanging around waiting to start shit with the first victim to come along. Kinda too bad that humans don't eat their young.
Again, thanks for the input and I'll be sure to drop back by when I find the solution to this one. Though on second thought, why contribute to a group of Ch 19 type idiots anyway.
Take care ......

Too bad ignorance isn't painful.

2054. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:18:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <F4cD9.2753$lt3.246151@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote

:
: I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with
new
: or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than
: only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and
: morse code is the only economical way to communicate.
:

We've got great news for you, John!

You can live in that world TODAY without studying even a single dit of Morse code! Just hustle on down to your next local VE examination session and tell them you want to take Element 2 of the exam. Within days you'll be licensed to tinker and experiment on literally GHz of prime spectrum with DSP, spread spectrum, or whatever other modulation scheme strikes your fancy. While you're at it, join AMRAD, TAPR, and AMSAT and participate in any of their dozens of digital and space-borne technology projects.

http://www.amrad.org
http://www.tapr.org
http://www.amsat.org

We're looking forward to your joining us.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2055. FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:27:25 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121162725.07828.00006227@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144539

This has been my own personal radio for the last few years. Just upgraded to a Yaesu FT-1000D and I no longer have a need for the 102. Its been modified for 11 meters and has the AM/FM board. Its really swings on AM with loud audio. A 20 watt deadkey swings 100 watts. Nice and smooth on side band. The radio is in excellent condition physically and electronically. Asking $490+ shipping

2056. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:35:32 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <a8kqtu85p3vca435aol7126lgmfvm84878@4ax.com>
Source record: 144540

snip
>As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
>standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
>conversations on the air.
>73" and keep it real.
>Crossfire.
>
>
>1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette
>
A CB license? So you can be identified and held accountable?

If you truly believe this is needed then why stop there? Why not
set an example and identify yourself?

2057. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 21:40:59 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1037915071.814634@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144541

WORLDWIDE CROSSFIRE IS ALL YOU NEED TO KNOW GOODBUDDY
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:a8kqtu85p3vca435aol7126lgmfvm84878@4ax.com...
> snip
> >As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> >standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> >conversations on the air.
> >73" and keep it real.
> >Crossfire.
> >
> >
> >1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette
> >
> A CB license? So you can be identified and held accountable?
>
> If you truly believe this is needed then why stop there? Why not
> set an example and identify yourself?
>
>

2058. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 22:15:10 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <JOcD9.659$pc.135886@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:F4cD9.2753$It3.246151@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote
>
> :
> : I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with
> new
> : or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than
> : only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and
> : morse code is the only economical way to communicate.
> :
>
> We've got great news for you, John!
>
> You can live in that world TODAY without studying even a single dit of Morse
> code! Just hustle on down to your next local VE examination session and
> tell them you want to take Element 2 of the exam.
>
(I'll be reviewing the links, perhaps to bring my viewpoint up to date, and
reconsider restarting my hobby from 25yrs ago.)

Apparently, the world has changed indeed since I had my ham radio license. I
got mine (the old tech license) a few months before my First phone just to see
what FCC tests were like. I also played around, but it was before the most
interesting stuff that makes UHF on up really cool nowadays.

When I did take the tests (both the tech class and first phone) in approx 1971,
I was VERY disappointed as to the lack of technological depth (even as a
Soph highschooler) required.

In a way, we reap what we sew, and the lack of technical requirements has
further enabled a general movement towards a dominant communicator-only class
of hams. I am VERY happy to see that some of the code prerequisites have
been lifted, and I will certainly follow your links after this post. I'd also like to
see alot of very broad ham interest, that isn't dominated by communicator
type stuff, but also include more technology than currently today. Technology
MUST NOT dominate ham radio, because it is easy to overshadow the
purpose of communication. When communication almost fully dominates the
hobby, esp archaic modes, then technology might be getting too much of
a back-seat.

I'd certainly be willing to advocate a license class for ham radio operators that
would ALMOST make that endorsement into an indicator of some technical competency.
With any significant motivation of the level needed to learn code at 13wpm or

even 5wpm, the newbie ham could almost become a naiscent EE*... (*Well, maybe that is a little optimistic, but not too far off.) Perhaps I'd be more accurate to claim that a person could at least understand the terminology and the issues of design, building, testing and even computer interface. This would provide the toolset that would allow the more motivated individuals to continue on with some self-schooling.

It is probably fairly clear that my own interests are of a technical nature (both EE and software (OS, DSP).) All of my ham hobby interest was based upon the technical and innovation side, but that had seemed to be too de-emphasized.

I'll definitely give the ham stuff a look-see again. My own interest, being technical, would also include helping people who want/need to learn how the stuff really works :-). I am also NOT INTERESTED in taking anything away from ham radio -- code is good, but almost complete understanding and significant (not necessarily complete) technical self-sufficiency is also good.

John

2059. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 22:21:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD5C57.2AF1AA9F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144616

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please
> allow me to displace your bullshit.
> Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
> spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just
> as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.

Except you can do all that on the ham band and know you are doing
it legally.

2060. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 22:25:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD5D70.36767281@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144618

David S wrote:
>
> Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the technology
> we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again. Built into
> every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit which broadcasts your call
> sign with each key.

And suppose you sell your rig to someone like twistie, who thinks
it's great to operate illegally and they assume it is you?

2061. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 22:31:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211431.6e32c922@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Wouffgang Larry, I don't see anything in there about White Supremacy
so maybe you're almost legitimate.

1. No Automatic Renewals - Agreed. We need to keep this economy
rolling one test fee at a time.
2. passing score 90% - Make it 100%. If Bruce Without Error can do
it, anyone should be able to do it. Some may have to study, though.
3. Code elements 13 wpm for General, 20 wpm for Extra. We've had 160
years to perfect the code. Lets at least get it up to the speed of a
greasy smelly dinasaur tty - 55wpm.
4. Make no-code license one year non-renewable. I've been told that
anyone can learn code in a matter of weeks. Make the NCT 6 weeks
non-renewable.
5. Cancel your league membership until they improve things - That's
been tried - just cancel it.
6. Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air, and
Complain to Al Gore when you see one on rrap (cc: Al Gore).
7. Turn in rule breakers to the FCC - Cuffed and stuffed? or just
send in their call sign?

2062. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 22:33:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211433.62c1c594@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?

Typo or did you really mean 20 years?

2063. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:06:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211506.6fc9ea1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104>...

> I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.

Dick, you got a fever, man? I've not once read anything like that
from you. Not once! But I accept that as an earnest remark.

And in the "easily implemented" remark, it may be easily implemented
if you've been -trained- in the USE of Morse Code, but just learning
the code characters and passing an exam doesn't make it easily
implemented. You have to use it. And to use it you've got to have
people out there not only willing to put up with every little mistake
you make, but to actually be there and work through it. Listening to
W1AW and code tapes may make one an Extra, but it doesn't make one a
CW op. Meanwhile, 160 years after the invention of morse code,
novices are segregated to spaces and places where few are willing to
go.

> Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

IMO, someone skilled in code needs to be on the Novice bands providing
the understanding that is required to get the next guy going with CW.
You've been a ham since Jesus was a Corporal. Make it your legacy.

> Dick

73, Brian

2064. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:14:02 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_MdD9.14942$Gc.409263@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 144598

"Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:lkydnfKytfnMrkCgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:KV9D9.346892$S8.6779900@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> : I am considering get a license but do not have one.
>
>
> Ok good deal, it is alot of fun! Good luck
>
>
>
>
>

Wow George! I see we're having a good day! ;-)

2065. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:17:15 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <_PdD9.76228$WL3.33033@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It already is CB with 100 watts. Have you ever listening to 75 meters?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"ON5MJ" <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be> wrote in message
news:3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> | In today's
> | "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing
"now"
> | and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
> code
> | element is constantly being complained about by so many...
>
> We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that
radioamateurism
> becomes CB with 100 watts?
>
> 73 de ON5MJ - Jacques
>
>
>
>

2066. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:23:31 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree with Peter. As an EE, I would pass that test right now. As I have said before, we don't demand that every computer user learn 2s complement math (or even DOS) prior to allowing them to use windows, linux, etc. The very idea sounds rediculous to us. The CW requirement is no different. Just my 2 cents.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

2067. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:24:47 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3XdD9.101461$QZ.16486@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 144602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

You are wrong, you know. 75m is CB with 3000 (sic) watts.

Paul ab0si

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:_PdD9.76228$WL3.33033@rwcrnsc54...
> It already is CB with 100 watts. Have you ever listening to 75 meters?
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
>
> "ON5MJ" <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be> wrote in message
> news:3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> > | In today's
> > | "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing
> "now"
> > | and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
> > code
> > | element is constantly being complained about by so many...
> >
> > We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that
> radioamateurism
> > becomes CB with 100 watts?
> >
> > 73 de ON5MJ - Jacques
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2068. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:37:53 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <Epucnbk-vsLM80CgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144605

>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote
<snip>

>Yes, they can, and Jerry never claimed hammies couldn't use a Galaxy
>radio legally on 10,,,he knows they are legal for use in that manner.
****

Well like I said he may have to splain a few things about the subject then.

>Who was talking legalities?
****

I was to Twisty I know you werent I was helping Tom setting him off on the
correct thing to do you may add.

> Some of you have this one track mind
****
Some call it Tunnel Vision, Others Genius

>obsessing on law and rules
****
yes your cry's of breaking ISP rules LOL

> while breaking them
****
yes your inclined to break even more it is called lawless.

>....never saw so many
****
you need to get out more often Junior it is amazing what you can see if you
open your eyes.

> power-hungry, power trippers gathered in one place all at one time...
****
quite amazing isn't it Super Bowl Channel 6 is where alot of them
congregate, but there are others.

>pretty cool trick,
****
Yes it is, sorta like David Blaine

this thing we got going on with cb.
****
Yes it is illegal correct?

>Exactly not for Ham use see we at least agree >on his motive

>But the clipped clarifiers and frequency counters sure as heck aren't
>made for cb.
****
Au Contriare oh twisted soul they are Export radios made for Cbers in other countries, Cbers don't use frequency counters? Or ssbers don't use open clarifiers?

>That type behavior ruined a few
****
Yes, I am sure when the FCC got a hold of them it did ruin em.

mad-hammers in here.
****
I agree they don't like the intrusions on 10 meters

>I am not sure perhaps it is<

>Oh, I see...you
**********8
Finally?

>Was he in
****
No they said he was out to lunch

the flick? Was he a prankster
****
You never heard of that movie?

or a hog farmer?
****
Well twist I say your a hog farmer, am I right?

2069. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-21 23:52:57 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01>
Source record: 144607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...

> <<< SNIP >>>
> There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.

There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.

> <<< SNIP >>>
> To work at all in the
> 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
reserved
> bands for extra

Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)

73 de W6DKN

2070. Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:03:54 UTC
From: cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com
Message-ID: <ea5e1399.0211211603.35da2c78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144608

The only place to get Cookie Amps is...
http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net

Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter.

2071. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:07:12 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <WjGdnYcbBKOt6ECgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144609

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

>No If you want to buy a Ham radio buy it from >a reputable Ham dealer.
Not a Illegal >Storefront for Amp makers

(snip)

Anyone with the proper business can sell hammie radios...one needn't
even be a hammie.
****
No one said differently he was told to buy from a reputable "Ham' dealer, I
know the word reputable
and you are strangers but buying from cb web page gurus online or buying
from a reputable storefront who sells FCC approved radios. If there is so
much money to be made and they are allowed to be sold and used by hams, why
doesn't HRO or AES , Burkhardt, etc etc or any Big Ham dealer sell these
radios? you say Hams can use em legally Answer me that Batman.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps. In >fact Carriage
Car@aol.com wash who used to >post here has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

>And this upsets
**********I
Don't get so upset over it.

>or pleasures you WHY?
****
Why, of course a woman pleasures me, you don't feel that way?

"Live and learn."
****
Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let die" James Bond

>He can use it on ssb
****
He sure can, but that was never really even mentioned.

and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..
****
28.085 yep those damn truckers, thats exactly where one of those Galaxy
radios will put him.

>Oh? You still seem to be
****
trying to make you understand

> misinformed about what a hammie can use.
****
you are misinformed on many things my friend, what a
hammie can use is the least of your worries. Don't sweat it it is on a need
to know basis and you really don't need to know.

I suggest a refresher course,
****
for your captains license? well if it will help you.

> maybe a trip
****
where are you heading this time not North I hope? too cold

>to the FCC site,
****
Is that where we will find you? LOL To the batcave!

> maybe the ARRL
****
Thinking of becoming a member?

>Jerry will spell it out
****
I thought he did last week for you, hmm you still don't understand?

> how many manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are made
here
>in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?
****
Any of the licensed hams in the usa can build there own. Do I win a prize?

2072. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:10:56 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211610.2654ad2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) wrote in message
news:<b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com>...
> Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> that I am likely to never use.
>
> And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
> by amateur radio to learn code.
> True, by definition.
> I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
> they talk about their radio setup.
> The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
> antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
> is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.
>
> I predict that, with all the new technologies available now
> (cellphones, internet, computers, etc etc), amateur radio will
> simply die out for lack of interest; the ARRL and others are
> doing a pityful job of getting the newer generations involved.
> Too bad, because some things going on with packet radio,
> computers, routing etc are incredibly cool.
>
> They keep up this old-fashioned requirements, and they don't
> realise that, as the younger people are turned off,
> their radios will also eventually be turned off, when FCC
> reclaims the frequencies.
> They see the refusal of somebody to learn code as that person's
> problem, and they don't realise that the lack of membership will
> soon be their problem.
>
> Sooner or later the FCC is going to reclaim those frequencies
> due to lack of interest, and nobody in the US consituency will care,
> except the 0.0001% of the population - hardly a political constituency.
> Come on - listen to the actual _content_ of ham conversations:
> if SW bandwidth ever becomes valuable, I don't think ARRL has a chance
> to argue that there is something worthy going on there.
> And the original purpose, to spread the knowledge of radio technology,
> is moot now - with so many other important technologies, why would
> one worry so much about radio?
>

> Many hams are now in their 50s. I predict that by the time they are
> dead, in about 25 years, amateur radio will also be dead, reduced to
> a small number of frequencies used for emergency communication.
> All the rest will be commercial bandwidth.
>
> Peter
>
> N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>...
> > Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
> >
> > Peter Lampione wrote:
> >
> > > I would suggest somethig rather different.
> > >
> > > Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> > > (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> > > phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> > > design.
> > >
> > > The code requirement is just silly.
> > > Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> > > happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> > > That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> > > transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> > > SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> > > Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> > > DSP should really be required knowledge.
> > > I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> > > board
> > > or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
> > >
> > > In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> > > emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> > > there you go,
> > > modulating any way you want...
> > >
> > > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> > >
> > > Cheers!
> > >
> > > Peter
> > >
> > > Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> > > country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> > > operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
> > >
> > > There, now flame me.
> > >

> > > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> > > >
> > > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> > > >
> > > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> > > >
> > > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> > > >
> > > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > > to improve things.
> > > >
> > > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> > > >
> > > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73, de Wouff Hong

peter.......i can see that you are in need of a mind control
transplant....please report to the nearest positive thinking building
for your yearly mind control insert battery checkup......this is just
not good ..talking to hammies like that.......shame for
shame..............

2073. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:12:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211612.2d2d09c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144611

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:<4zCdnZw1moFHy0GgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120214845.783
>
> : >> What's to read? You reposted it,and called Lee,Nad.
>
> : Hey Lee,do you like to be called Nad?
>
>
> Your name is Troll Parks

you have got a lot of nerve calling somebody a name like that.............

2074. realistic trc492 roger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:13:43 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191343.21618.00000089@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 144612

does anyone know how to install a workman roger beep into a realistic trc492
base
?

2075. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:14:57 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5GeD9.2352$4j5.1258585@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

Add the DSP yes, take out code not.

>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.

Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that voice
transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work the
other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.

> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...

You aren't always going to have a computer available. I know when I go
traveling, I sometimes take my radio but not my computer.

>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.

There's no reason to flame anyone. It is a difference of opinion that should be calmly debated.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2076. Re: Sparky Gives CheeseHEADcutter some ANAL LOVIN'!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:16:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211616.69f23d2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144613

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211210958.7c321efc@posting.google.com>...
> News at 11!
>
> Remember CheeseHEADCutter and Sparky are in fact, Homosexual Lovers.
> that share in a perverted sexual bond, that involves hating me. (don't
> ask me, I just quote the facts...)
>
> So, when you Cheezeball and Sparky Gonna do NUDE Pictures together?
>
> HAW HAW HAW HAW!

chuckie your high school humor thing is just not going to make
it.....we all remember back you know when, when you were claiming
to be able to outsell anyone on certain amplifiers you were trying to
peddle and talking about your great shipping deals.....and then
how you got pissed off because you thought aes was charging you too
much on a used radio you bought from them...etc. etc. etc.....so
pal if you want to talk, take a walk.....if you want to eat, take a
seat...because i, your radio host , am going to make you eat those
words..... good buddy.....ps.(who were the two hams you paid off
in order to get your ham radio license you idiot)

2077. Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:19:29 UTC
From: nunofyurbiznes@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com>
Source record: 144614

I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or twice) have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where) live in the United States of America,and as such,are covered by our laws.Those laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court of law,Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally concidered "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

2078. Re: CheeseHEADcutter and Sparky Gay Lovers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:21:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211621.bc5c8b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144615

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211210954.27a632a1@posting.google.com>...
> It sure seems so to me... they both have a HARD ON for me. Both type
> in the same style.... Geee... I wonder if they're the same person or
> maybe GAY LOVERS!
>
>
> Either way, they're both flippin' MORONIC WEIRDO'S.....
>
> So, now we got something to talk about...
>
>
> Sparky and CheeseHEADcutter, Are GAY LOVERS!
>
> 73

well chuckie i don't have a hard on for you at all good buddy......but
what i do say is this........from your own keyboard you typed in that
you were leaving this newsgroup and would not return because you
thought that since you had your general class ham license, you did not
want to be here anymore.......well i congratulated the ham radio
community for allowing a piss ant like yourself into their mist and
wished you bad luck as you left......so now you return and think that
some have forgotten your shit..........well some of have not, so
excuse me, mr penis head, while i hurl in the general direction of
michigan....oh tell your mommie to increase your dosage of legal
drugs, because that shit your takin now, just aint' cuttin it
pal..............

2079. Re: Fort Wayne Hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:25:08 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211625.729835e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144617

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211210949.22812c7d@posting.google.com>...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0211201709.617e226@posting.google.com>...
> > k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0211182342.cac462f@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<cJWdnVeGp6u9b0igXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> > > > "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:676ce33.0211152145.77121355@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Anyone in here going to the Fort Wayne, In. Hamfest?
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely!
> > > >
> > > > Snowed over here this evening, watch for ice!
> > >
> > > I know, Me and James, W8WW Went to the fest and we did see the snow
> > > when we arrived in Fort Wayne, In. early Saturday Morning.
> > >
> > > Was a great swap... Didn't sell much, as, the people were not
> > > buying...But, all in all I had a good time, Not ever going with W8WW
> > > again, but that's another story...
> >
> >
> >
> > what's the matter chuckie??? did he find out what a real dick you can be???
>
> Nope, I found out what I real dick HE could be.
>
> fuck off asshole.

yeh right chuckie blame it somebody else...what' the matter boy....???
did he actually have the nerve to charge you for gas, to help defray
trip expenses???? he should be ashamed of himself.....and you
don't even have a fucking job either.....that guy is bad news ain't
he chuckie????

2080. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:29:34 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OTeD9.2355$4j5.1260102@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
>
>
> [snip]
> Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.
>
> Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

Wonderfully put.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2081. Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:29:54 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021121192954.24884.00006289@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 144620

I need to know if it is possible to control the talkback in this radio.
I would like to be able to run a power mic without the feedback.
A on/off switch would work but i would like to control it...a
potentiometer would be great if possible....any ideas???
Joe 333 Springfield Mo
PS.....Good to talk to ya today Twistedhed

"Got RF?"

2082. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:30:02 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD7A8A.D9ECFA9C@selpats.com>
Source record: 144619

Randy wrote:
>
> "Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
> news:lkydnfKytfnMrkCgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:KV9D9.346892$S8.6779900@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > : I am considering get a license but do not have one.
> >
> >
> > Ok good deal, it is alot of fun! Good luck
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Wow George! I see we're having a good day! ;-)

The Brown Santa must have brought a patch kit for his blow up doll.

2083. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:32:09 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD7B09.DE861330@selpats.com>
Source record: 144621

Richard Cranium wrote:
> and you're the
> only one posting from comcast, so you can't hide. Close the door,
> dimbulb.

I see about 3 or 4 others also using cumcast.

2084. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:33:21 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD7B51.FB6DE1CF@selpats.com>
Source record: 144622

> it's why Lelnad had a hard-on for Dick.

Tell us something we didn't already know!!!

2085. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:34:12 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> that I am likely to never use.

Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
I don't have to do anything.

>
> And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
> by amateur radio to learn code.
> True, by definition.
> I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
> they talk about their radio setup.
> The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
> antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
> is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.

There is much more variety in the conversations on CW than I've typically
heard on voice. The range of topics is amazing. I communicated with
retired teachers, "talked" about eBay, etc.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2086. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:41:17 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <N2fD9.2358$4j5.1261721@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
>
>
> There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code. To work at all in
the
> 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
reserved
> bands for extra.) To be able to work anything but phone in limited
bands,
> you'll need the higher technical endorsements. So, there would be the
> basic general ham license, which only provides limited communicator
2M,3/4M band
> permissions. After that, for the real traditional 'u build it' ham
stuff, then
> your technical endorsement would give you access to all but the code
bands.
> There might even be multiple levels of technical endorsement (e.g. HF,
UHF.)
> For the code bands, there would be the <10wpm bands and the >10wpm
> bands (or even finer grain.)

There is a basic ham license without code. It's called Technician. They
have all privileges on all ham segments above 30 megahertz. There are some
fine individuals doing great work in that realm. And some are even using
the 6m and 2m code band segments to work on their code so that they can pass
it to get on the HF bands.

Actually if you limit them to phone only until they learn higher
endorsements, too many will simply stay there. Allowing phone privileges
should be the treat that they get after proving themselves competent in
other areas of ham radio.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2087. Re: Where to buy from?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:42:50 UTC
From: cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com
Message-ID: <ea5e1399.0211211642.29cdb77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144623

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:<c4VC9.3020$Lq.113076@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>...
> Hi I would like to buy some CB equipment radio, psu, antenna, coax , plugs
> etc does anyone know of a online shop I can order from please?
>
> Kind Regards
>
> Stuart

http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net

2088. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 00:47:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021121194711.21403.00000178@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 144624

>Subject: Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

>From: "Perculator" rcranus@hotmail.spamcom

>more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters

since when?

2089. Re: realistic trc492 roger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:13:34 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD84BE.D77732C7@selpats.com>
Source record: 144625

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> does anyone know how to install a workman roger beep into a realistic trc492
> base
> ?

Sure. Bring it and $20 dollars and your radio here. It will take
5 minutes to put it in. You can pay me $10 next week to take it out!!!

Your neighbors will be knocking on your door holding candles and a noose.
That beep WILL cut through everything.

2090. more drugs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:17:13 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDD8599.1736D247@selpats.com>
Source record: 144626

> oh tell your mommie to increase your dosage of ILlegal
> drugs, because that shit your takin now, just aint' cuttin it
> pal.............

2091. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:18:21 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121201821.28024.00000050@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 144627

those amps look like they would talk real good, i'll have to get me one of those

Thatz-me-JP

2092. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:23:29 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ark9j2$t81$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 144643

Try this website. See if it is what you are looking for...

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Port/1158/sstv1.htm

"Kevin Anderson" <DocMartian@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5a6d7563.0211192333.3e5be96a@posting.google.com...
> if so... what software package should i download.
>
> thank you,
>
> Doc Martian

2093. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:45:12 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDD8C28.89868170@ieee.org>
Source record: 144628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
> I don't have to do anything.

Learning CW is useless as it's built into my SCS PTC2e modem and I
don't have to do anything. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2094. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:54:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark2o4hqj0@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 144629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing
> or two.
>

But first he's gotta learn a thing or two.

2095. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:54:34 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144630

"Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
: I am only a lurker in this newsgroup
****
What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.

and don't usually (maybe one or twice) have much to offer..
****
still don't

that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
: used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people
stealing
: paper clips,etc.,etc.
****
Ok would you prefer federal law breakers?

:We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where)
: live in the United States of America

Ok If we didn't live elsewhere I guess we do live in the Untied States.
Brilliant! Simply brilliant!

>and as such,are covered by our laws.
****
Yes, similiar to those same ones that the federal lawbreakers are not
following.

Those
: laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
: guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers
****
Whoa better ask twisty these guys are admitted law breakers no one had these
guys under hot lights interrogating them, an admission of guilt? they are
proud of it and admittedly so.

(one way or the other) but by a court of law,
****
How about an admission of guilt?

Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally
: concidered "Federal Criminals".
****
Ok federal lawbreakers is more fitting now go wash off.

They may not follow all the written rules
****

Yes just the ones they want to follow, we hear all the lame excuses civil disobediance etc...

: FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
: actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
****
Ok once convicted they are, for now they are federal Lawbreakers. Now take your hankie and run

2096. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:54:40 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <A7gD9.74542$P31.39063@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 144631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Oops I spelled ridiculous wrong! Sorry

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54...
The
> very idea sounds rediculous to us.

> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

2097. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:56:57 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <4_6dnQHT__x0E0CgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144632

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211211612.2d2d09c6@posting.google.com...
: "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:<4zCdnZw1moFHy0GgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021120214845.783
: >
: > : >> What's to read? You reposted it,and called Lee,Nad.
: >
: > : Hey Lee,do you like to be called Nad?
: >
: >
: > Your name is Troll Parks
:
: you have got a lot of nerve calling somebody a name like
that.............

Why is that fishlips, he is and he denys it. You have alot of nerve showing your face round here anyhow. Go back underground like you were like a good little boy before we set nebro on yow azzz!

2098. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:57:49 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <xyKdnTZ78-eAEkCgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144633

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:3DDD7B09.DE861330@selpats.com...
:
:
: Richard Cranium wrote:
: > and you're the
: > only one posting from comcast, so you can't hide. Close the door,
: > dimbulb.
:
: I see about 3 or 4 others also using cumcast.

No shit he is to stupid to know any better. he is a professional Troll, self proclaimed from another Newsgroup.

2099. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:59:19 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <oPCdnZ9mvbrlEkCgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144634

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:3DDD7A8A.D9ECFA9C@selpats.com...
:
:
: Randy wrote:
: >
: > "Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
: > news:lkydnfKytfnMrkCgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
: > >
: > > "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
: > > news:KV9D9.346892$S8.6779900@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: > > : I am considering get a license but do not have one.
: > >
: > >
: > > Ok good deal, it is alot of fun! Good luck
: > >
: > >
: > >
: > >
: > >
: >
: > Wow George! I see we're having a good day! ;-)
:
:
: The Brown Santa must have brought a patch kit for his blow up doll.

Is that what brings a smile to your face seeing you kit show up? god Bless
you son

2100. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 01:59:47 UTC
From: Self Control <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <TzKdnVMDfKkOEkCgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144635

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021121194711.21403.00000178@mb-mc.aol.com...
: >Subject: Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.
:
: >From: "Perculator" rcranus@hotmail.spamcom
:
: >more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters
:
:
:
: since when?

Ok Ill play along since when?

2101. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 02:33:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark51s$o9b$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 144636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
> >
> >
> > [snip]
> > Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> > understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> > can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> > love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> > anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> > and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> > implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.
> >
> > Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> > use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> > Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> > here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> > modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> > whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> > the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> > If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.
>
> Wonderfully put.
>

Thank you. Sometimes the give and take here distorts things way out of
all proper perspective.

Dick

> Dee Flint, N8UZE

2102. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 02:55:57 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <11hD9.2544$FG3.59772164@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144637

"Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:xyKdnTZ78-eAEkCgXTWcow@comcast.com...

> :
> : I see about 3 or 4 others also using cumcast.
>
> No shit he is to stupid to know any better. he is a professional Troll,
self
> proclaimed from another Newsgroup.
>
>

Now this is a laugh, king of trolls calling
him a professional troll. It's obvious by his changing
screen nics, he has now self control at all, that's
why George trolls this newsgroup.

Landshark

--

"Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
02 Honda VTX 1800
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

2103. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:07:37 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ZbhD9.77098$WL3.34682@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144638

check the Galaxyradio web site. They may have the answer.

Deb
"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021121192954.24884.00006289@mb-mp.aol.com...
> I need to know if it is possible to control the talkback in this radio.
> I would like to be able to run a power mic without the feedback.
> A on/off switch would work but i would like to control it...a
> potentiometer would be great if possible....any ideas???
> Joe 333 Springfield Mo
> PS.....Good to talk to ya today Twistedhed
>
> "Got RF?"

2104. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:09:00 UTC
From: "Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3ddd9d2c$1_8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 144639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wouff Hong,

I'm not sure where you from, but that's beside the point. I read your suggestions to improve ham radio, and, as some one who is very proud of the organization they are from you wanted to let other people know your thoughts. As we both can see, it's raised a lot of havoc and questions and that sort of thing.

I must agree with you about some your suggestions, but lets look together at what you stated.

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

-I feel the same way with this, because I think everyone should retest to get their driver's license every 10 years. Now we gotte realize how much a pain in the rear this would be, and not only take people away from this great hobby. It would be a good idea instead of retake tests, but to really encourage individuals bursh up on their skills, knowledge or electronic theory, etc.
>

> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

-Again I agree that the level of accomplishment should be raised so people have good moral standards and treat with repect what they have their hands on when they worked hard to earn their Amateur license. 90% in manys' opinion would be to high; and it would frighten and discourage people.

> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

-Morse code, a favorite of many, and not so great of many, but who is to say good or bad. Personally, and maybe others like yourself have to say that morse code is the the "basics" or any form or radio. Just because we had to take those tests when we got our licenses doesn't mean others have to. It would be a better idea to encourage people to do the morse code because if they do, I've found they stay in radio longer because if they have what it takes to learn the morse code; they'll generally apply the same learning habbit in other areas of radio. Please don't Misunderstand me, many people don't like it and are very active. But lets encourage and not say anyone has to this or that.

> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

-Sounds like a good idea, but tis better to encourage people to move on and help them along the way, then bark at 'em like their bosses do.

> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.

-If league's don't have memberships, they can't support us and improve things. Memberships provide income for our leagues to improve things. We all should encourage people to join a league of some type.

> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

-Nobody is perfect in this world except God. When people who is arrogrant operate, I find out someinfo about them and kindly correct them over the phone or in some kind of private conversation. Nobody likes to be humiliated in public or at anytime. Besure anyone who does this makes sure the other person needing correction is open to it. As the cliche' goes; " A man convinced against his will, is of the same opinion still."

> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

-Same as above; Nobody is perfect. Constant offenders have some challange with some one or something which some one needs to find out what the deal is and help him out with it. People just doing harm out of no reason does need to be turned in however.

Hope this helps. As you can see I mentioned the word encouraged lot because many people are guilty of this, myself included. When people are guided to the direction they want to go, they will go. There is a story that goes something like this. "The sun and the wind cloud were having a contest to see who could have a man in the park below take off his coat. So the wind cloud says he'll go first and the sun hides behind him. The wind cloud blows and blows but the man in the park just holds on to his coat tighter and tighter. The wind cloud gives up and the sun comes out and just shines his warm rays down below to the man. The man removes his coat and goes pleasantly about his business." If the moral hasn't bern figured out by an intillgent individual like yourself, it is that when someone is forced to do something, they simply won't, or they'll possibly grudingly do it. Ask and encourage someone to do something and they'll give it all they got for their best effort.

Hope this Helps,

Respectfully,
~Benjamin, KB9LFZ

>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

2105. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:10:57 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5fhD9.2548$2Q3.60342683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144640

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:11hD9.2544$FG3.59772164@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
> news:xyKdnTZ78-eAEkCgXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> > :
> > : I see about 3 or 4 others also using cumcast.
> >
> > No shit he is to stupid to know any better. he is a professional Troll,
> self
> > proclaimed from another Newsgroup.
> >
> >
>
> Now this is a laugh, king of trolls calling
> him a professional troll. It's obvious by his changing
> screen nics, he has

my bad, I meant "NO" not "now"

> self control at all, that's
> why George trolls this newsgroup.
>
> Landshark
>

Either way, George Busch WA3MOJ, among other names
and screen accounts has no self control at all, otherwise he
wouldn't be trolling REC.RADIO.CB everyday with useless
posts.

2106. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:45:39 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <DLhD9.75012$P31.39495@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 144641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It will also go against one of the things that ham radio is supposed to do:
advance radio.
--

CW
KC7NOD

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:4a926543cae0f6b95c146c1fbe4d2479@dizum.com...
> The problem with ham radio is that it has become too easy to operate
> once you get the licence. Nobody has any respect for the rules because
> they didn't put in any more effort to get their equipment on the air
> than by reading the manual that came with it. The hobby has become
> like playing pac-man on the computer: it's entertaining but of little
> value.
>
> The way to make it valuable again is to allow hams to use only
> tube-type equipment, with no circuit boards, no computer chips; only
> good old-fashioned capacitors, resistors and inductors. Power output
> not to exceed 25 watts.
>
> Sure, it will keep the hobby to a pre-1970 or so level, technically,
> but it will also make it something that's worth doing.
>
>
>

2107. Re: 7 steps to improve politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:49:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utrab0k3o55i57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

And only in America would a "conservative" cross-post to one gazillion newsgroups ;)

(sorry, Hans, I couldn't resist that)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:gr_C9.1807$It3.153363@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote
>
> :
> : The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
> : Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.
> :
>
> A first grade teacher proudly proclaimed to her class one day that she was
> a liberal Democrat. She asked her students to raise their hands if they
> were liberal Democrats as well. Not really knowing what a liberal Democrat
> was, but wanting to be like their teacher, most of their hands flew up into
> the air. There was, however, one exception. A girl named Lucy had not gone
> along with the crowd. The teacher asked her why she had decided to be
> different.
>
>
> "Because I'm not a liberal Democrat" she replied. "Then, what are you?"
> asked the teacher,
>
>
> "Why, I'm a proud conservative Republican," boasted the little girl. The
> teacher, a little perturbed, asked Lucy why she was a conservative
> Republican.
>
>
> "Well", she said, "I was brought up to trust in myself instead of relying
> on an intrusive government to care for me and do all of my thinking. My Dad
> and Mom taught me these things, and they are both conservative Republicans,
> and so now I am a conservative Republican too." The teacher, now a little
> embarrassed and maybe even just a bit angry, said, "That's no reason! What

> if your Mom was a moron, and your dad was an idiot. What would you be
> then?" The teacher paused, a bit smug, certain that she'd put the girl in
> her place, and slowly let a sly smirk creep across her face.
>
>
> "Well, if that were the case", said Lucy after a moments thought,"then, I
> suppose I'd be a liberal Democrat."
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

2108. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 03:56:11 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121225611.28404.00000171@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 144644

hey man i think you ought to look into a 2879 controller first 'pill popper'
hahahhahahah.C ya bud

2109. FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 04:16:21 UTC
From: crd <buyused@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 144645

Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6 new 6LQ6's in the amp.

$325 US buyer pays shipping costs

email for additional info

2110. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 04:23:51 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121232351.02879.00000123@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 144646

> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs .
> The
> > FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to
> take
> > a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
Is this really needed to use a CB radio? And if one gets a CB+ licence will
Hams still accuse people of being a "CB plusser?".

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>Why not just get a ham license? Then you can play with a whole lot
>more spectrum, a whole lot more power, and a bunch more operating
>modes. The test is easy when they give you the answers. Wan't HF but
>are too lazy to learn 5 WPM? Just wait a year or so until they drop
>the code requirement.
Yes, why not? Any CBer that is interested should get a Ham licence for these
reasons. The test is easy enough, so why not?

As for spectrum, more is better. Some modes are better with some frequencies.

2111. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 04:33:57 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021121233357.14032.00000110@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 144647

>From: z26915@aol.com (Z26915)
>hey man i think you ought to look into a >2879 controller first 'pill popper'
What's this Brian???? 2879 Controller???
A little radio voodoo???
Midwest Pill Popper...slammin her hard!
333 Springfield MO
You hold on tight 257...big girl is in the mail to me........

"Got RF?"

2112. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 04:51:10 UTC
From: skipshooter181@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021121235110.21039.00006855@mb-fx.news.cs.com>
Source record: 144648

That what i wont to know to . i want to use my computer like a radio to

2113. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 05:29:09 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021122002909.03139.00000030@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 144649

and you all are up shits creek >333 Springfield MO
>You hold on tight 257...big girl is in the mail to me........

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2114. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 05:37:20 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211212137.637b31e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144650

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<cCKdneCYDPB6ekugXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:8zBB9.224771$r7.3843083@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> : I just did some power output tests using a loaner palomar 225 which
> : supposedly has a 200 pep watt output and my combo swr/power meter. The
> SWR
> : was showing about 1.1 to 1.2. As shown in the subject line I did not get
> : anywhere near the rated out BUT, WAIT, I want to ask questions because the
> : lack of output might be some error on my part.
> :
> : To do the test I connected a Cobra CB to one cigarette lighter adapter in
> my
> : car and the amp to the cigarette lighter. I added a cigarette lighter
> : adapter on the amp for this test and may have not paid attention to the
> : gauge of wire I used.
> :
> : Could the loaner amp show the same amount of power on med and high because
> : of a lack of dc input power?
> :
> : P.S. Note that I also used another CB in the test and the power output of
> : 30, 50, 51 were about the same.
>
>
> CB amps are Illegal to use btw, How many amp fuse does the cigarette
> lighter have? what guage wire did you use. I am sure with more voltage you
> will see more wattage.

hey perk...instead of hanging around here all day......why don't you
go get a fucking job.............and when you get the money invest in
a portable radio and turn it on and listen to your homeboy glenn
beck......every morning.........and
stf.........mudduck.................

2115. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 05:37:50 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDDC2AE.A5A19C9@selpats.com>
Source record: 144651

Lowrider667 wrote:
>
> and you all are up schitt's creek

What map page is that on?

2116. Re: Palomar 225 amp, use on lo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 05:41:40 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDDC394.9036D15E@selpats.com>
Source record: 144652

> : >more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters

I've heard AM on 10 before. And not 28.085. Like 29 something.

> :
> :
> :
> : since when?
>
> Ok Ill play along since when?

If you ever listened to your radio instead of pecking keys
all day, you _might_ hear something.

2117. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 05:47:18 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDDC4E6.3DC59700@selpats.com>
Source record: 144653

> : The Brown Santa must have brought a patch kit for his blow up doll.
>
> Is that what brings a smile to your face seeing you kit show up? god Bless
> you son

It's nice being single. A CB friend and myself ran into some ladies
tonight, and had a hole lotta' fun. I've been having more fun then Tim. <;

Of course it helps not being a fatso with a beard.
(I don't know if this applies to you or not.)

2118. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:03:04 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdc62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree, as the politics within ham radio will kill ham radio long before
other issues will.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Much as I dislike some of the current state of things in amateur radio, we
> live in the 2000's not the 1950's. We have to deal with the current
> reality, bad as it might be. Returning to 1952 is not going to cure the
> problem. We need to pull together as hams and do things without bugging
the
> FCC for everything. We used to do that.
>
> Charles
> N5HSR, Extra
>
>

2119. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:12:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6F5B9C.22125921112002@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <A7gD9.74542$P31.39063@rwcrnsc53>,
"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote:

> Oops I spelled ridiculous wrong! Sorry
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
> "Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54...
> The
> > very idea sounds rediculous to us.

The people that should be apologizing are the ones responding to "Wouff
Hong." They have reached a new level of "rediculous - ness."

--
Telamon

2120. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:14:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdd72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

This one I actually agree with. If the statements made by certain indivuals about "growing" with the hobby and climbing the ladder of licensure are to be true, then hams should not have a problem taking a test that should be a breeze since they have "obviously" advanced within 10 years....

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Dunno about 90%, but think that 80% sounds fairly reasonable. Add more questions to the test, and choose other formats of testing other than multiple-guess too.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

See not a huge problem there, but never understood why 13 for the General. Why not 12, or 14, or ??? What is so special about the 13 wpm? Anyone know why they chose 13 for the "middle" code test?

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

2 years seems like a fairer option.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

Hmmm.... could start a whole new newsgroup on this one.........

Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

They are not our governing body, nor will I ever accept them as our "governing body." It is bad enough that they have taken over the testing duties.

Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

DUUUHHHHH!! All should do that.... but follow proper policy/procedure when doing so. Also work towards the goal of getting the FCC to actually do something about that in the first place.

All in all, the problems people want to bitch about regarding ham radio stems back to the FCC each and every time. They make the rules/policy/procedures and they are the ones to blame. HOLD THE FCC responsible.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX

FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

2121. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:15:47 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
>
> > <<< SNIP >>>
> > There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.
>
> There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.
>
> > <<< SNIP >>>
> > To work at all in the
> > 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
> reserved
> > bands for extra
>
> Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
> higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)
>
Actually, they should probably limit the power for the 'communicators' to less than 100W, and the higher technical licenses would allow for higher power :-). The 30MHz, I guess, is still a treaty thing, and indicative of stagnation. Maybe the 'communicators' with little technical criteria might even be limited to 10-50W at VHF and above.

The goal isn't to punish people, but to motivate people to learn how their stuff works. I suspect that the VHF bands are too full for much experimentation, but the technology for homebrew on 2GHz on up is now relatively achievable by mere mortals.

Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that makes sense to do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques? Alot of stuff isn't really practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes), and the stuff that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few mechanical skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.

So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the code constraints tend to continue their burden.

John

2122. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:16:51 UTC
From: Tunnel Vision <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <PLWcnV5pldBIVkCgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144656

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:11hD9.2544$FG3.59772164@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
:
: Now this is a laugh, king of trolls calling
: him a professional troll. It's obvious by his changing
: screen nics, he has now self control at all, that's
: why George trolls this newsgroup.
:
: Landshark

LOL I see the feminine one has spoken again. Hmm that killfile broke again.
Ah that's right no self control.

2123. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:17:47 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpde82nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I look at the code requirement a little different..... If it is so important, then ALL radio services should have to pass at least the same minimum 5wpm as we do.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a

> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.
>
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong

2124. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:18:49 UTC
From: Tunnel Vision <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <M5mdnaxtYJvXUUCgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144657

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:5fhD9.2548$2Q3.60342683@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
: Either way, George Busch WA3MOJ, among other names
: and screen accounts has no self control at all, otherwise he
: wouldn't be trolling REC.RADIO.CB everyday with useless
: posts.

that's ok your trolling my posts. what does that make you a troll. you were a troll in this group before I arrived markie wanna see the posts? not much chat about Cb's just you acting like an asshole. (thanks mopar)

2125. Re: Palomar 225 amp, use on lo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:22:22 UTC
From: Tunnel Vision <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <8hOdnYirg_KCUECgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144658

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:3DDDC394.9036D15E@selpats.com...
:
: > : >more importantly you don't use AM on 10 meters
:
:
: I've heard AM on 10 before. And not 28.085. Like 29 something.
:
:
: > :
: > :
: > :
: > : since when?
: >
: > Ok Ill play along since when?
:
:
: If you ever listened to your radio instead of pecking keys
: all day, you _might_ hear something.

Wow you sure change your name alot Scott, where is that homosexual
Landshark? he has to remind you your by passing NG filters. Oh thats right
the queer is a hypocrite he won't say anything to you and he won't even post
anythin Cb related.

2126. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:26:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdf92nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmmm.... most US states already do that somewhere between every 2-4 years.... As well as they should too... And they also need to start testing senior citizens more often too..... (not gonna state what age they should start that at though...) I have worked a variety of accident scenes where the scene was clearly marked and using on the fire trucks to block the lane, with lights operational, pile-on orange cones, road flares etc, and a senior citizen still plowed into the firetruck. He claimed they could not see it..... Jeesh, you could see the scene from a freaking mile away!!!!!! Yeah, I am all for retesting on a fair basis.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"OK1SIP" <remove_ok1sip@atlas.cz> wrote in message news:5f5159fa.0211202329.2d9ec780@posting.google.com...
> Seven nice steps to DESTROY and KILL ham radio. Only several fanatics
> would stay. How would you like to be re-tested for your driving
> license every year ? Or to be subject to regular tests from the law
> codex under the penalty of losing your citizenship ? BTW, do you have
> a written permission to use the "trademarks" Wouff-Hong, Rettysnitch
> and Uggerumph ?
>
> God save the hamradio !
> OK1SIP

2127. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:28:27 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <91kD9.683$pc.139598@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:N2fD9.2358$4j5.1261721@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Actually if you limit them to phone only until they learn higher
> endorsements, too many will simply stay there. Allowing phone privileges
> should be the treat that they get after proving themselves competent in
> other areas of ham radio.
>
The limitations for those without the 'technical competence' endorsement should
probably be a reasonably low power like 20-50W on VHF/UHF and/or 100W for HF.
Phone should probably be the first mode of communication, mostly because
of the relative usefulness (e.g. during normal disasters, to help with communications,
phone will more often be useful rather than code (unless there is a WWIII)). During
urban disasters, if we are having to deal with code, the situation is probably
severe enough that ham communications ettiquete would be the least of our worries.

There certainly should be reserved areas of the HF bands for code, and those
people with/without code who haven't passed the technical criteria for the
technology endorsement, will be limited in power. Really, if someone cannot
quickly whip up a preamp/active impedance match for an HF frontend that
has to work in an area near a 50kW MW transmitter without overwhelming
intermod, then it kind of worries me about how the ham is going to competently
deal with the numerous issues of using their 500W-1kW rig. It isn't just
a matter of getting on the air, but also avoiding interference with TV (including
nowadays 8VSB), AM/FM radio, and other more important services.

People do get 'on the air', and usually do the right thing, but it is VERY SAD
that too few people build their own equipment. Of course, CBers had historically
been known to simply slap on an illegal 'linear', and their rigs sometimes worked
okay also (often with anti-social interference :-)).

Of course, my attitudes about technological competency can be mapped to
the equivalent attitudes of those who have already passed the advanced
code tests. In the normal profile of natural/terror disaster, the availability of
a radiotelephone communications mechanism would be much more useful
than if the 'HRO' only had a code rig.

John

2128. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:32:01 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing procedures. Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local area experiences. We need to promote the hobby more. We need to get ham radio into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start doing things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing sessions with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and god forbid that eh????

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Larry Bratcher" <lbratche@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:bQ4D9.334$9S3.311643194@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Sure think...the hobby is declining now so let's make it that much harder
to
> bring people into it.
>
> What an Idiot!
>
>
> "Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
> news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.419 / Virus Database: 235 - Release Date: 11/14/2002
>
>

2129. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:35:06 UTC
From: Tunnel Vision <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT>
Message-ID: <CKKcndz_wPuGTUCgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144660

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
: hey perk...

Hey cheese,sd jones, pinhead,curious one, etc etc etc

>instead of hanging around here all day......
****
who is hanging this shit pops up on my computer

>why don't you go get a fucking job..............
****
I have thought about going into porn, or an escort service. Does that
qualify?

>and when you get the money
****

did you send it yet?

>invest in
****
don't tell me Enron, jeez you guys from Texas.

your homeboy glenn beck......
****
who is glenn beck?

every morning..........
****
I awake and take a dump

: stf.........

STF? Is that like STP

mudduck..................
****
mudpuppy

2130. Re: Palomar 225 amp, use on lo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 06:40:50 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDDD172.8A1C1D92@selpats.com>
Source record: 144661

(note spelling, punctuation and grammatical corrections below)

> Wow you sure change your name a lot, Scott. Where is that heterosexual
> Landshark? He has to remind you you're bypassing NG filters. Oh, thats right,
> the queer is a hypocrite. He won't say anything to you, and he won't even post
> anything CB related.

I just like to change my nic when you do just to water down the
effect of your changes. Since cumcast now puts the IP addy on each
post, it's easy to see where one is.

68.45.70.216 = pcp115440pcs.clmntn01.nj.comcast.net

If you reboot, you might get a different IP. Since I grab an IP
with a Unix box, my IP can stay the same for months. It took a
whole weekend of being off before I changed from 68.55.4.181.

Should I wipe out /var/db/dhclient.leases and shut down for
a day while I'm at work and get a new IP?

Happy hacking. Logging is active. Anonymous FTP is always refused.
Telnet is disabled. Passwords are random characters. Ports 135 to
139 never get past my 192.168.0.x internal non-routeable subnet.

I don't need no stinkin' MSCE. I know places that toss resumes
with that on it. A+ means nothing except to HP. It is another
test that the answers are sold to, like a ham exam...
(HAM exams are not for sale, just the answers to the tests.) 10-4?

2131. Prez Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 08:30:02 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <rmqrtugmuqg0nbhce5irl6n98pobkjdl86@4ax.com>
Source record: 144662

Prez Lincoln FS

Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
Trades ??

2132. Re: Keep your pantyhose on, Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 09:14:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dddd45a.20706@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144663

In <3DDD21CB.C7EC0A43@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>You win frankie... I'm about done wasting time replying to your
>off topic jabs. Nothing ever makes you happy... the majority
>of all your posts to everyone have been very negative and rude.
>In short, you are time wasting loser.

That's a cop-out, Skippy. YOU were the one that set the tone of rudeness with your first reply. Now that you are being bombarded with the facts, running out of excuses for your voodoo theories, and all your attempts to avoid the issues are failing, NOW you want to quit. Gee, what a suprise!

>> I have provided plenty of material, which you quickly accuse of being
>> "dated" before you even know the source, and regardless of the
>> validity of the content.
>
>You have yet to provide the actual name(s) of much, if any of your
>textbook source material.

Your bias against the reference was established even before you knew the source. If you can't look at the facts objectively then they won't make any difference. You prove that all the time because of your attitude that "Da' facts are subject to revision". Regardless, see below.

<snip>
>I trust the statements made by the original authors, well over
>the lack of any material you have posted. Where is "any
>material" you might have posted..? ... anywhere..?
>
>yeah, we didn't think so... little or nothing is what we
>see from you.

You want to talk about quality or quantity? About websites or newsgroups? Do you just want to limit it to the internet, or would a college thesis count (several, in fact)? How about five years of formal failure analysis reports? Or more than fifteen years of repair records? Do you actually think the whole RF world revolves around a few pages posted on the internet? You are a moron, Skippy.

<snip>
>You got a current mfgr that suggests inclusion of resistors and
>caps in a current generation of common rectifier diode strings

>found in rf amplifiers.? Say the 1N5408 as an example..?

Within five minutes on alta-vista I found two:

http://www.vishay.com/docs/rect_apnote.pdf
(formerly General Semiconductors, bought out by Vishay)
http://www.st.com/stonline/books/pdf/docs/3581.pdf
(ST = SGS Thompson)

...as well as a few other articles:

http://www.radioelectronicschool.com/reading/reading20.pdf (page 10)
http://www.chipcenter.com/power/powa043.htm
http://straylight.cso.niu.edu/repairfaq/sam/laserclp.htm
(A Treatise on Designing High Voltage Rectifiers)

Your buddy Measures read the ARRL notes regarding manufacturing tolerances in semiconductor manufacturing, but what he didn't mention on his webpage was that while many rectifiers from the same lot may have matching characteristics, using rectifiers from the same lot doesn't necessarily mean they are matched. And because silicon semiconductors have a negative temperature coefficient, they need to be CLOSELY matched for power applications, EVEN IF they are from the same lot! The cost of matching power rectifiers to save a couple cents in resistors isn't cost-effective in manufacturing, and isn't very practical or reliable for the average homebrewer. Not only that, but any manufacturer must consider that if one or more rectifiers should fail, there is no guarantee that the servicing technician has a rectifier that matches the remaining set, or even that he has easy access to a matched set for a full replacement. So it's a poor engineering practice in that respect as well.

But if you think that not using voltage equalization makes you look like a hot-shot, then go for it -- you aren't gambling with MY money!

<snip>
>> The 8877 is a very well-designed coaxial-type tube that is capable of
>> operation well into the GHz region. As with any other tube, if there
>> is no parasitic supression, any lead from the plate to the tank input
>> capacitor is going to act like a radiator. If there is enough
>
>Notice the "IF" statement. Keep in mind terms like shielding,
>isolation and good design/contruction practices to remove "IF"
>from the equation.

See below...

>> inductive or capacitive coupling between that line and any resonant
>> condition in the input circuit, the amp will oscillate, and it will do
>> so at the frequency of the resonance being excited by the plate lead.
>
>There is no "IF" in the example conversion 8877 amplifier described
>on the list server. It is stable without anode lead parasitic

>supressors.

I have a shorted resistor sitting on my shelf but that doesn't mean
I'm ever going to find another. Seems to me that you greatly improve
the odds against parasitics by the little bit of money and effort it
takes to include some low-Q supression. But like I have said before,
it's your money, not mine.

>> Now because of the high operating frequency possible with that tube,
>> combined with the ease of unintentionally creating UHF resonant
>> conditions in just about any circuit, and the fact that such short
>> wavelengths can sneak through chassis holes and even mesh screens, it
>> would be plain foolish to not include even just a low-Q supressor at
>> the plate.
>
>"Sneak"..?

Don't like my choice of words? How about "nanny nanny boo boo"?

> Foolish in your opinion which carries little weight
>in this news group or the amps forum. The mentioned 8877 amplifier
>works well, is stable and the reasons for said are described
>on the list server. Your opinion is contrary to those of at
>least three well known leading edge Engineers and/or Authors working
>in the industry. You lose...

The posts on the list generally disagree with you. And I'm not in a
discussion with your experts, so if you want these people to speak for
you then bring them into the newsgroup. Otherwise, address the issues
as though you can actually think for yourself.

>> You should have gotten a clue from reading the thread where someone
>> built an amp with the 813 and left off the parasitic supressor because
>> the VHF gain of the tube is poor. What happened? He got parasitics in
>> the low VHF range and nuked his tube.
>
>Nice off topic try... We are and were talking about the design
>and construction of the 8877 and the reasons why it is stable in a
>well built circuit, without anode suppression.

Your 'reason' was that it doesn't need parasitic supression because
your amp gods say so. That's pathetic, Skippy.

<snip>
>> >You present any source material or text directly related to the
>> >subject.... and we'll go over it. Otherwise, your still just
>> >chasing windmills with your bitter pill poopy attitude.
>>
>> No, we won't go over it, because no matter what I produce you will
>> call it "dated" regardless of it's validity. That is why I am now
>> relying on the logical application of basic electronic principles, an
>> example of which is my above explanation on parasitics. You can beg
>> for source material all you want, but if you can't logically dispute

>> what I wrote above then no other source is needed.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz..... frankie out of steam
>and won't back his statements up.

I'm not suprised that you can't handle a discussion about fundamental electronics, but I am disappointed that you couldn't come up with an excuse more clever than "ZZZZZZZZ....".

<snip>
>> >I picked up some very nice information in the exchange... of
>> >which I'm happy to reference.
>>
>> Then reference it. I'm not about to read through the entire archive
>> just to find your reference, so post a link.
>
>sorry your so lazy franklin...

The archives are over 10 megs compressed. That's not lazy, that's foolish.

> I'm pretty much done with your
>depression laden posts. Nothing is ever going to make you happy
>and you wont post the locations of your touted reference material.

That's what I thought -- more excuses because you can't support your own bogus claims. Probably just another lie, like your license for the IB service, and your claim that you found my FCC files. You can't provide the proof so you use the typical lame excuses just before you bail. Well then leave already, Skippy. What are you waiting for?

<snip>
>> >Get off your rather large web behind and go review the posts
>> >at the www.contesting.com web site. Learn something new
>> >for a big change in your narrow world.
>>
>> I did. Good info there. But certainly not 'gospel'.
>
>No one said it was, except you.

I guess I have to disprove another one of your lies:

>In <3DDAA783.FFCD9935@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
><nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:
<snip>
>These guys are RF Engineers, medium & large amplifier designers and
>broadcast transmitter designers currently working in the high power
>rf amplifier field. I know them well and take their word as gospel
>based on a solid long term track record.

See that word at the end of the third line, Skippy?

<snip>
>Why don't you simply bypass the middle man and ask
>him yourself..? Be your own lap dog for a change.

Speak for yourself, Skippy. I have already provided enough evidence
that proves you wrong. If you want to support your own BS then the job
is yours, not mine.

<snip>
>On subjects where my opinions agree... maybe so. But I and others
>debate and discuss the issues where we do not agree; without all the
>off topic diversions and cannon fodder you like to throw at people.

Then "debate and discuss the issues" here, Skippy. Don't just tell me
that something works because someone posted it on a listserver (then
tell me to go look it up myself). If you want to reference someone
else, post the words and the credentials, don't just say "someone said
so, go look it up".

>>
>> > but
>> >many learn how to filter all the material to arrive at a logical mean.
>> >
>> >Aside from the above, I believe a lot of what is specifically referred
>> >to on that page is possibly sourced to both the applied circuits and
>> >some well known issues with 8877 tube production.
>>
>> Let's see now... Someone claims, against all electronic fundamentals,
>> that some tube doesn't need parasitic supression. But when amps are
>> built without supressors and the tubes start melting, the logical
>> conclusion is that it must be a production issue. Makes perfect sense
>> to me... not.
>
>Possibly one issue of a larger picture, but not given as the only one.

You sure do get wishy-washy when faced with logic, Skippy. Like with
the lack of neutralization in a grounded-grid amp you claimed that
reduced Cgp and 'grid screening' were responsible, nothing else. But
when I logically proved you wrong you suddenly said, "there are other
reasons". Well, you haven't provided any other reasons. And I don't
expect you to elaborate on your "larger picture" regarding the 8877,
either.

>When all your previously mentioned "ifs" are out of the mix, an 8877
>built as described in the example is stable without anode parasitic
>supressor(s). It's that simple...

Then it's just as simple to say that "if" the grid circuit is free
from resonant conditions (an impossible condition), or "if" there is
insufficient coupling to any grid circuit resonance, or "if" there is
adequate screening between the plate and grid circuits, or "if" the
grid is shunted with an anti-resonant trap, or "if" there is
sufficient plate-to-ground capacitance at the plate, etc, etc, then

the amp is stable. There are your "IFs", Skippy.

<snip>
>>
>> >> >Nice try to side step... but you trip over your own feet.
>> >>
>> >> What am I tripping over? Your explanation of why some tubes are prone
>> >> to parasitics? I can't trip over it because you haven't provided it!
>> >
>> >Simple, internal values of C and L and the tube geometry.
>>
>> Those are only contributing factors to only one cause of parasitic
>> oscillations. The short internal leads will indeed prevent the tube
>> from self-oscillation at microwave freqs, but certainly don't prevent
>> feedback and resonant conditions in the amplifier circuit. You are the
>> one that's tripping, Skippy.
>
>You make my point... only contributing factors of a larger picture.
>Each tube has different values... the 8877 values are dealt a good
>card by design.

Do you even realize how hypocritical that sounds? First, in order to
explain why some tubes are prone to parasitics, you say "Simple,
internal values of C and L and the tube geometry". Then after
realizing the truth that tubes are not the only cause of parasitics
you suddenly say "only contributing factors of a larger picture". Very
wishy-washy, Skippy.... No, not even that -- just more proof that you
don't know what you are talking about. So is "a larger picture" your
catch-phrase for this week, Skippy?

>> >Old information, no one claims it's inaccurate. Are you trying to
>> >make some technical point..?
>>
>> It doesn't make any difference if the information is old or new as
>> long as the information is still valid. Your "dated technology" excuse
>> is lame, Skippy.
>
>Once again for you and the audience... are you trying to make some
>technical point..?

I already made it several times. You dismissed it because it was
"dated".

<snip>
>> The Cgp doesn't change -- the EFFECTIVE Cgp changes.
>
>Depends on who's book you read, but I have no problem with the use
>of effective. Less Cgp is part of the larger picture... where as
>the typical grounded grid amplifier does away with neutralization.

How? You still haven't explained how your "reduced Cgp" contributes as
a reason why the grounded-grid amp doesn't require neutralization. The

fact is that you can't because it's not a factor. If you think I'm
wrong then explain HOW it IS a factor.

>> >> screen grid is effectively grounded (unvarying DC potential) in a
>> >> common-cathode amplifier as well, which changes the EFFECTIVE Cgp
>> >> EXACTLY THE SAME as grounding the screen in a grounded-grid amplifier.
>> >> Therefore, if 'grid screening' eliminates the need for neutralization
>> >> in a grounded-grid amplifier, it MUST ALSO do so in a common-cathode
>> >> amplifier.
>> >
>> >Somewhere you try to twist out the idea that I say the grounded screen is
>> >the reason g-grid amps don't require neutralization. I say it is only part
>> >of a larger picture. Was a poor try on your part that doesn't float a
>> >boat...
>>
>> Both of your reasons were addressed below.
>
>Not as I mentioned it above, I did not say that a grounded screen is the
>only reason common g-grid amps do not require neutralization. Your
>smoke
>screen avoidance of the issue here.

No smoke screen. What is your "larger picture"? It seems to be your
most current catch-phrase for things you don't understand, because you
certainly don't seem to have any other explanations other than "it's
part of a larger picture". Quit with the mumbo-jumbo and address the
issues, Skippy!

<snip>
>> >Effective C is also reduced when you apply that tetrode to grounded grid
>> >operation. Nice 'eh..?
>>
>> It would be nice if it made any difference in the amp's operation.
>
>It does

How? I have already explained how it doesn't. Now YOU try and explain
how it DOES.

<snip>
>> >Come on Mr. big shot, you've got the big mouth via your keyboard.
>> >Time to step up and do some things for yourself for a change. You
>> >can also ask about the rectifier equalization networks you love
>> >and the reasons why grids are not tied to the plate in RF amplifiers.
>>
>> I'm not the one disputing commonly accepted electronics practices. You
>> are. Ask the questions yourself. It would be a wonderful way to verify
>> your facts and dispute mine. Or don't you have enough confidence in
>> your own 'facts' to accept such a challenge?
>
>I know of no commonly accepted practice of placing grids to plates in
>RF amplifiers... maybe you could point out EVEN ONE example. Let

>me rephrase that... EVEN ONE WORKING EXAMPLE...?

Are you so desperate that you STILL need to rag on that issue? Or do I have to point out once again that I spoke of tying the SCREEN to the plate, NOT the grid? Actually, I don't think that would be such a bad idea, now that I think about it. It would certainly improve the amplification factor of the resulting triode (over grounding the screen), but the grid current would have to be watched very closely in Class AB2. It might just work pretty good in Class C... maybe one has already been built. I might just get back to you with that example...

>If you examine most of the current generation / production amplifiers,
>you (or most everyone else with their eyes open) will probably
>notice the lack of equalization networks on the rectifiers. ITS
>NOW COMMON TO OMIT THEM as they are not required. ie dated technology.

If YOU actually examined most of the modern equipment that uses HV power supplies (RF amps included), you would have -definitely- noticed the use of RECTIFIER MODULES, which are series rectifiers with built-in voltage equalization resistors. No matter how much you whine that it's not true, your "dated technology" is still current, valid, and practical.

<snip>
>> Save us all some time and quote your reference.
>
>Nope, you go look it up mr textbook. Come back and tell me if its
>not at the reference location.

I don't have the book, Skippy. You do. But then again you lied about that alleged license you had in your "hot little hand", so I can see why I shouldn't ask you to quote anything. I'll stop by the library tomorrow or Saturday and see if they have it. I might not find the right edition, so how about a chapter title in case I find a later edition where the pages are numbered differently?

<snip>
>> > A lot of what you've posted indicates you have little if
>> >any experience actually working on any tube rf amplifier. We just
>> >get the impression you live to repost text book information which
>> >has proven to contain errors and dated material
>>
>> Holy bovine excrement, Batman! You mean that every electronic engineer
>> that has graduated from an institution of higher education was taught
>> the wrong information -- scientific and mathematical foundations for
>> principles and practices that have withstood the test of time in both
>> experimentation and practice by both students and professionals,
>> provided by the most reputable sources in the field of electronics and
>> engineering, having credentials you will NEVER match -- and that YOU
>> have a better handle on the facts than they do?
>
>The name of, author or edition number of the textbooks you reference

>frankie..? any of them..? please..?

Sure. Re: the previously posted quote about the operation of a
grounded-grid amplifier and the reason it requires no neutralization
(because it's a non-inverting amplifier);

Electronic Communication
by Robert L. Schrader
McGraw-Hill, 1975
ISBN 0-07-057138-4
pages 342 and 349

And on the remote chance that you ever learned how to find a book in a
library, the card catalog number is 621.38

<snip>
>> >SAI Handbook, 18th Edition...
>>
>> I should have included your 'passing the buck' to your citing of books
>> without providing quotes.
>
>Good enough, catch a copy and tell us if you find it to say anything
>different from my posts.

And so I shall.

>> > Still waiting for you to post any of
>> >your text references.... any..? big please franklin..?
>>
>> You know I like it when you beg.
>
>I'm pretty much done with you... this is my last intended post
>wasting time with your depression issues.

Yeah, yeah. We both know that won't happen. Your need to outwit your
opponent with demeaning rhetoric overwhelms your urge to flee when
faced with the facts. And I'm sure you don't want me joining that
listserver telling everyone how you bailed from this discussion
because you couldn't support a single one of your bogus theories.

<snip>
>> >Did you bother to look for/at the credentials of the author of that
>> >text Mr. library..? Enjoy another crow sandwich.
>>
>> Go ahead and post those credentials if you like.
>
>I don't like... you go look them up while your eating.

I didn't think you would.

>> Speaking of credentials, do you remember my quote that describes the
>> operation of the grounded-grid amplifier? which included the reason
>> why it doesn't require neutralization (because it's a non-inverting

>> amp)? Well, that book was written several years ago by Robert Schrader
>> W6BNB, former Chairman of Electronics at Laney College. Contributions
>> were also provided from ITT, RCA, Hallicrafters, Donald Middleton
>> (former Professor of Electronics, Southern Colorado State College),
>> Edwin Pollock W6KHS (formerly of Cabrillo College), and Oliver Ruel
>> (formerly of Ranken Technical Institute). The book was proofed by
>> professionals from both the radio/electronics field and the academic
>> community, as well as by the publisher McGraw-Hill (which I'm sure you
>> are aware is probably the largest publisher of electronics literature
>> in the world). That book was used for many years by dozens -- if not
>> hundreds -- of colleges and universities around the world, the
>> students of which went on to become very successful in their fields.
>> Matter of fact, I'm sure even some of your amp gods used this book,
>> and a few may still have a copy.
>
>One book..? "non inverting" as the only reason..? What was the Name
>of the book bucky'..? You spout but don't name the tea kettle.
>
>> But YOU, in your internet-educated wisdom, just tossed the quote out
>> of the window under your catch-all excuse that it's "dated".
>
>What is the date and edition of the book...? after you actually
>tell us the name you so cleverly withheld.

I have used that book for a reference in this newsgroup many times (among others). I concealed nothing. And as a matter of fact, the date of the book indicates that it is one of the last textbooks to cover vacuum tube electronics in depth, which means it's about as up-to-date as you are going to get on the subject.

But you don't even need a reference on the subject, Skippy -- you just need a brain. Let's start with a basic grounded-grid triode:

When the input signal pulls the cathode to it's most positive, then the cathode-to-plate current is minimum, and the power supply will pull the plate to it's most positive potential. The positive potential of the plate is going to have the effect of pulling electrons into the cathode, but because there is little cathode-to-plate current, the majority of electrons attracted by the plate create a log-jam in the cathode. Therefore, the plate (output) causes an opposing potential on the cathode (input). But when the cathode is at it's most negative, the current is maximum, and the plate drops to its lowest positive potential. Note that the plate is still positive with respect to the cathode. But because there is a significant flow of current, the plate tends to pull the cathode more POSITIVE by pulling the electrons OUT of the cathode.

The overall effect is DEGENERATIVE FEEDBACK.

Maybe you now see that it is the PHASE of the grounded-grid amplifier that is responsible for it not requiring neutralization, NOT "grid shielding" or "reduced Cgp". You might even say that a grounded-grid

amplifier is 'self-neutralizing'. The only time a grounded-grid
amplifier needs neutralization is when the frequency becomes so high
that the inductive reactance of the leads becomes significant enough
to mess with the phase.

<snip>
>> But still no evidence that supports your claim that the tube is immune
>> from parasitics.
>
>Examples and supporting information from experts in the field, posted
>within the last month at www.contesting.com

You STILL don't understand that the tube is not responsible for
parasitics. Try this link, Skippy:

http://www.cpii.com/eimac/PDF/C&F3Web.pdf

I'll be waiting to see how you flip-flop over another Eimac page: will
you cannonize the former Eimac engineer that supposedly wrote this
book, or will you dump on the company about their "issues"? I'm
betting the latter because the information doesn't agree with your
theories.

Hey, here's an idea, Skippy? Why don't you get a job with Eimac and
'revise the facts'? LOL!

<snip>
>> Your "big picture" has yet to be explained. Post your theory on the
>> mail list. I would love to see the responses.
>
>Review previous posts, been there done that.

Which thread?

<snip>
>> Quite right, I thought you were referring to your other BC station.
>> But there is nothing except your word that the station uses the 8877,
>> or that you had any part of that station or any other station -- and
>> your word means nothing after your "IB license" claim. But then you
>> probably suppose we should all take your word as "gospel"...
>
>No, but I've got my hands in more than one broadcast station story.
>I'm quite proud of them... how about that station you work for
>Frankie..?

Don't you know? Didn't you say that you found my FCC files?

>Anyone over to my office can easily review a copy of the IB Radio
>Service License I mentioned. That is all that matters...

That would be fine and dandy if I lived in the Granola state. But you
knew that already when you made that suggestion, didn't you? Or you
could post a scan of the license as you suggested -- I couldn't
possibly validate it's authenticity, but if there isn't any obvious

editing I could at least say that it's inconclusive.

>> >I would enjoy seeing anything you could post that would not be
>> >an attack on anyone else. A review of almost all your posts
>> >indicates that is about all you ever do.
>>
>> I do enjoy exposing RF voodoo in all forms, but I have also provided
>> plenty of constructive contributions to this forum. Your problem is
>> that you are so focused on defending your bogus technology that you
>> haven't noticed.
>
>articles and text...? just jabs and put downs for the most part.
>Your latest contribution is to suggest bending relay armatures to
>prevent rf amplifier hot switching. So much for a good contribution,
>it's bad news.

Why is it bad news, Skippy? Or do you just like putting negative
labels on everything I say without any explanation? That seems to be
your game plan lately.

>>> >I claim what is on the hard copy paper, not what is on the web.
>>
>> You claimed it was a valid license for the IB service, a service which
>> doesn't exist. Even if some old lady typed out IB on the license out
>> of habit, it still doesn't negate the fact that the said license is,
>> and has always been, for the IG service. It also doesn't change the
>> fact that the IB service no longer exists, which you knew because you
>> have licenses yourself that are for the IG service that would have
>> otherwise been for the IB service. You just keep compounding your
>> lies, Skippy.
>
>Lies made up by the FCC and sent out on paper... take it up with them
>bucky'.

I tend to believe the FCC more than I believe you, Skippy. It's
certainly not the greatest organization in the world, but their facts
do seem to be more consistent with each other than your's.

<snip>
>> Make all the excuses you want, Skippy. You made a claim, you failed to
>> prove your claim, and all the evidence contradicts your claim. That's
>> the same thing that happens with almost all your claims, Skippy. Are
>> you beginning to see a pattern here?
>
>ZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....

Another "I don't care" non-response. Just part of your pattern.

> >> >>
>> >> >> I think nothing more needs to be said.
>> >> >
>> >> >Yeah, you're hiding behind the FCC web page...

>> >>
>> >> That's not 'hiding', Skippy. It's using an authoritative reference to
>> >> verify (or rather, dispute) your 'facts'.
>> >
>> >Then make a simple call and ask if the facts as I posted can
>> >be easily verified without the web page. It's an 800 number.
>>
>> Do your own homework, Skippy. You have a telephone, don't you? Call it
>> yourself and find out. If it can be verified through the phone number
>> (assuming it's an FCC phone number), verify your own facts. If they
>> can be verified through that number THEN post the number.
>
>Yep, bob and weave as we expect from you.

More excuses for being too lazy to do your own homework.

<snip>
>> Seems you expect others to do your work for you. Are you so lazy that
>> you can't find it yourself?
>
>how about a give me one time frankie...?

www.cet.com/~yeti

There. Now go verify your own facts with that telephone number.

>OK, I'm about done with this thread... people have been Emailing me
>to just let you go on with your babble, but I figured to have a bit
>of fun first. But you are no longer any fun franklin. so get on
>with bending your relays and get a life.

...uh huh. That's a pretty good excuse, Skippy. If you were really
crashing my plane you would be getting all kinds of encouragement
instead of pleas for mercy.

2133. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person, might be one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 09:17:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dddf58b.8517109@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144664

In <3DDD0E39.284ECA63@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> >> Impedance = resistance + reactance. Brian's statement was perfectly
>> >> accurate, Skippy.
>> >>
>> >
>> >So was mine...
>>
>> If you check the datasheets for both transistors, you would see that
>> the resistive part of the input and output impedances of the MRF421
>> are two to three times LOWER than the MRF455, depending on the
>> frequency. Your vague statement that "the impedance is low for both
>> devices" would have been true if you had not qualified your remark by
>> saying that "the reactance is different per device". The resistance is
>> also different, and the impedance is -very- different, as per Brian's
>> observations.
>
>My statement is still accurate as written.

The facts are accurate. Your attempt to correct Griffey's statement
without knowing the facts was not accurate. At best, your statement
was vague and misleading. But we have come to expect nothing more from
you, Skippy, so it's no big deal.

>>
>> >> >Not the best circuit...
>> >> >
>> >> >As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,
>> >>
>> >> I see nothing of the sort happening there.
>> >
>> >That's because your experience and theory is weak. Look it up
>> >in your text book frankie
>>
>> If you had ANY experience with amps you would know that it's common
>> practice to slightly bend the armatures so that the contacts for the
>> output circuit make contact before, and are released after, the
>> contacts for the input circuit (a practice called "sequencing the
>> relay"). That way there is no power going through the relay when the
>> output contacts are switched. This has been done not only with RF
>> amps, but has also been used in tranceivers since their invention.
>> Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay operates in this way,
>> so it's a practice you probably won't learn by hacking amps from

>> schematics found on the internet (which explains why you didn't know
>> about it).
>
>"Common practice" by whom..?

GE, Motorola, Regency, Johnson, RCA, etc. Just about every company
that ever built tranceivers with T/R relays used some sort of
sequential relay. Many microphones also have sequential contacts, a
practice for which GE is notorious.

>A relay "Sequencing" notation seems to be missing from the circuit
>diagram. You are again chasing windmills...

And you are having a hard time with your reading comprehension: see
above where I said, "Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay
operates in this way". Regardless, sometimes the sequencing is done
with two relays, and sometimes with one relay using different contact
gaps. But you will be hard-pressed to find a schematic that makes any
notation about the latter. Anyone that has ever done any amount of
radio repairs knows exactly what I am talking about. It's obvious that
you don't.

>Your bent armatures suggestion is bad news.

Such "bad news" that the practice has been used by almost every
manufacturer of transceivers since they were invented? Right. Uh-huh.
Ok. Next you are probably going to proclaim that relays are "dated
technology". Sure thing, Skippy. Whatever you say.

>> And the real issue with the relay in that amp is the fact that there
>> is only one for both the input and output. If there is one thing that
>> Pride got right with their 100 is their use of seperate input and
>> output relays.
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZzZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!

Gee, another "I don't care" response.

>> >>
>> >> > no low pass filtering,
>> >> >lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
>> >> >
>> >> >In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".
>> >>
>> >> Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
>> >> word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
>> >> by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.
>> >
>> >I care very little what you call it.
>>
>> If that were true then you wouldn't have made such an asinine
>> statement. You did, therefore you care.
>

>You must live babble on frankie...

No, but at least I phrase know to how a sentence makes so it sense!

>>
>> > Now be a good lap dog and
>> >follow me around from post to post.
>>
>> Sure. Just keep posting your technical voodoo and I'll be there to
>> follow it up with the facts.
>
>Thanks franklin... just keep behind us.

Probably better that way -- if you heard some of the talk about you
that happens behind your back you might have a stroke. LOL!

2134. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 10:08:24 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <qfnD9.3463$EY.1578@fe01>
Source record: 144665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net...
<<< SNIP >>>
> Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that
makes sense to
> do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques?

I do, and so do a lot of hams that have any working electronics knowledge,
but you don't get that by simply memorizing the answers to 30 multiple
choice questions...

> Alot of stuff isn't really
> practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes)

My first 2 meter rig was a kit (Heathkit), as were the first pair of walkie
talkies I ever owned (Allied Radio).

>, and the stuff
> that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few
mechanical
> skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.

The only practical 10 meter transmitter that one with limited skills
might be able to put together would be a CW transmitter, so it would be
of no use to a person that did not know code.

> So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the
code
> constraints tend to continue their burden.

What burden? There is nobody forcing them to become a ham. It is something
that one does because they want to do it. And according to their level of
effort and dedication to the hobby, they are rewarded with additional
operating privileges.

73 de W6DKN

2135. Re: Browning Eagle Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 10:57:53 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <R4oD9.4876$D61.1304@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144666

In the Mark IV transmitter there will be either a 1302 or 1702 IC chip -
that's the main one for the PLL and is the one that blows when there are
voltage problems from the receiver.

In the Mark IVA transmitter you should find either a 7808 or LM340-8 IC
chip.

- -
- - - - - -- -

Operating differences that may help you determine which model you have:

A Mark IV transmitter has 'stops' at Ch. 1 and Ch. 40 - a Mark IVA does not
have those 'stops' - when you get to Ch. 40 it should go right ahead back to
Ch. 1 instead of having to tune back down all the way to Ch. 1.

On a Mark IV transmitter the 'Reset' switch takes it to Ch. 1. On a Mark
IVA transmitter 'Reset' is the normal operating position and does NOT switch
to Ch. 1.

On a Mark IV transmitter the two LED's on either side of the channel display
indicate high and low limits. On a Mark IVA those two LED's may flash
momentarily when changing channels but should stay on unless a failure
occurs in the synthesizer (indicating an out-of-lock problem).

Nighthawk

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:fsl8tug9676ohjtulb5q7mqj89b181ogur@4ax.com...
> Not exactly the same; the 4A used a special EPROM and synth. circuit
> for expanded channel coverage. The main TX & RX circuits were similar.
>
> We SELL photocopies of either the Mark 4 or Mark 4A (original Browning
> books) for $20 postpaid if interested. Each book was about 50-70
> pages plus two 11x17 inch schematics.
> Lou
> ******************
>
> "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >
> >It's been a good while since I've fooled with much less seen a Browning
set
> >of any make... My memory of some of them even fails me now. My
question...
> >

> >MARK IV and MARK IV-A
> >
> >Unless I am mistaken, they were basically the same, but with some slight
> >difference - thus the A suffix. IF I am correct, what was that
difference?
> >If I'm wrong, then what were the "differences"? Does anyone have any
> >schematics, etc for the Mark IV - A?
> >
> >Please reply directly as I don't always get to read the newsgroups.
> >
> >Thanks.....
> >
> >Lou/Tech@DP.NET
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
****
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>
>

2136. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person, might be one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:04:53 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <3DDE2B75.A1AE3315@selpats.com>
Source record: 144667

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> No, but at least I phrase know to how a sentence makes so it sense!

Huh?

2137. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:15:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211220515.7afe50d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144668

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3ddceb76.64283780@news.cet.com>...
> In <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>, "crossfire"
> <noone@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
> >FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take
> >a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> <snip>
>
> Why not just get a ham license? Then you can play with a whole lot
> more spectrum, a whole lot more power, and a bunch more operating
> modes. The test is easy when they give you the answers. Wan't HF but
> are too lazy to learn 5 WPM? Just wait a year or so until they drop
> the code requirement.

Yeh, why not get a ham license? How's the studying going, Frank?

I don't know about the "lazy" bit though; I had the code learned
several times as a teenager but cannot seem to get it re-learned now.
There's more to it than being lazy, I assure you.

BTW, they are going to "consider" dropping the code requirement at the
international Conference in 2003. It is by no means assured that the
FCC will drop the requirement.

2138. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:18:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211220518.66db1776@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144669

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<jQ7D9.73666$__1.43398@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> Great idea....but it would cost money. That's a huge part of the demise of
> the license originally. Same in the broadcast business, where a 3rd class
> license was required years ago. It is now gone too. And...down the slippery
> slope we continue.

The original CB license cost money, $20 IIRC. All they'd have to do is
charge for the CB+ license and it'd pay for itself. And maybe even
make a few bucks for the FCC to use for enforcement.

>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs .
> The
> > FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to
> take
> > a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> > Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to
> 28.000
> > mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> > Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also
> give
> > Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> > quarmers and CB misfits .
> > As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> > standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> > conversations on the air.
> > 73" and keep it real.
> > Crossfire.
> >
> >
> > 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette
> >
> >

2139. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:24:13 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0211220524.794fcfe6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144670

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:<1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>...
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
> FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to take
> a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .
> Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to 28.000
> mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.

Ok, this is an interesting idea. I'll attempt a more reasoned look at
this rather than some of the more caustic replies I've read thus far.
Note that the FCC does not actuallt control ALL of the aforementioned
RF real estate, some is governed by the NTIA. However there IS some
room for growth...just not all the way up the the 10-meter lower limit
of 28.0000 MHz. The FCC had earlier earmarked a small portion of
spectrum above ch. 40 for a planned CB expansion that never happened.
Whether or not it is still at their discretion, I'm not sure? However,
let's go on the premise that it is. 27.4051 MHz through 27.4999 MHz
should do nicely for "hypothetical" purposes.

I agree with the return of licensing. With it, come accountability.
Sure, there'll be naysayers that'll say "What guarantees they'll
follow THESE rules?" The simple answer is NOTHING! Some will, some
won't...thus is human nature. CB radio, amateur radio, or any other
special interest group is just a microcosm of society at large.
There's no Utopian answer. A licensing fee however might help fund
increased enforcement. I, for one, can't understand how some folks
bitch and moan about the CB "criminals," yet give the FCC a "buy" wrt
their lack of enforcement (More accurately, abandonment.) over the
past 20 years? Those who obtain their licenses would likely be allies
in this enforcement.

> Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio , It would also give
> Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> quarmers and CB misfits .

You might find that those who DID put forth the effort to obtain their
license would be more apt to "drop a dime" on those who run over
powered gear. Those who run legally and assist in the enforcement
effort would reap the benefits (...increased power of, say, 30 watts
P.E.P., spectrum increase of 95 kHz, RIT, etc.)

> As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> conversations on the air.

I'd personally like to see a shared band from 27.4051 MHz through 27.4999 MHz. Sure, there's the argument that it already exists in CB but that's not what I'm talking about. There's also the argument of "Why not just get a ham license?" That's an option, however, I recognize that it's not the same as an 11-meter "shared" band. It's a nice thought with some interesting possibilities but there are too many strikes against it for it to take flight. Most find more comfort in listing reasons it "can't" be done rather than exploring how it "can" be accomplished.

> 73" and keep it real.
> Crossfire.

...and to you, sir.

> 1984 crossfire fuel injected Corvette

Sorry, I'm a Ford man myself. (Except for the oldies...Chrysler rules.)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2140. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:32:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211220532.45dd373b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144671

"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote in message
news:<Q7-dnaUIm6MLpUGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> : > "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> : > news:X7BC9.1929$4j5.1006826@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> > When do you show us something worthwhile?
>
> Right after you start i will. I have shown how I own you Dickie head.
>

In your dreams, Georgie-girl. You don't own anything. Not even a high
school diploma, obviously.

>
> : So, how come now everybody is "Steve"? Haven't you worn that one out
> : yet, Georgie-girl?
>
> Who is everybody you pathetic asswipe mopar's name is Steve you jackass. I
> know your not steve he is in Virginia your in buff/roch NY as i have said
> from the first day you trolled this NG. Call me georgie all you want it
> doesn't bother me thats not my name.

So why didn't you bother to remind your alter-ego Aanal Aaron of that
fact when he was smearing "Steve" all over the newsgroup,
Georgie-girl? "From the very first day"? You have selective recall,
don't you? Better go check the archives. And you indeed are
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA.

>
> But what is funny since mr childs started posting you have replied to any
> critisism of him he also one of your hired trolls from rec.shortwave? or
> pirate radio.

Better go back and read the thread before you open mouth and change
feet again. In fact: Make sure brain is in gear before putting mouth
in motion. Good advice, Georgie-girl.

2141. Re: FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:36:44 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211220536.56fa6fdb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144672

Now that's a nice amp... remember them well.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

crd <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com>...
> Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6
> new 6LQ6's in the amp.
>
> $325 US buyer pays shipping costs

2142. Re: Cobra 142 GTL Modulation problem (IE: damage control by Dave)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:41:40 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211220541.7119575@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144673

lol...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<25089-3DDD4CB9-94@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: Nobody (Anonymous)
> Dave, I wanted to thank you again for your replies. I see that this
> group is really not the place to have an intelligent conversation. Guess
> you can see why I used an ann. server to post. Shoulda figured there
> would be a bunch of Ch 19 people hanging around waiting to start shit
> with the first victim to come along. Kinda too bad that humans don't eat
> their young.
> Again, thanks for the input and I'll be sure to drop back by when I find
> the solution to this one. Though on second thought, why contribute to a
> group of Ch 19 type idiots anyway.
> Take care ......
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Too bad ignorance isn't painful.

2143. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:50:40 UTC
From: dddianenoel@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ce161eb2.0211220550.76658e0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144674

"Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:<IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
> : I am only a lurker in this newsgroup
> ******************************
> What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.
>
>BIG SNIP

Isn't it a hoot that when someone like "Scooter Tramp" or anyone else says something the hammies don't agree with it's a "troll", but the self appointed policemen of the group can spam as much as they want and it's
ok?

Yes Scooter Tramp, you must realize you are in RRCB now, where everyone except
those who are high and mighty like Jim, Jerry, Fred, Doug, Frank, Dave and Leland (sorry for any assholes I didn't mention) is guilty whether proven innocent or not, regardless of what they may or may not have done. Of course, keep in mind that these good amateur operators are all operating strictly legally, and would NEVER do anything wrong since they are all such good citizens, and fine representatives of the amateur radio community. Anyone who does not conform to their way of thinking falls into the category of "Federal Criminal" or "Keyclown". This used to be a good group back in the early 90's where free speech was allowed and the hammies and policeman want-to-be's didn't disrupt things like they do nowdays. There is still a good group for CB discussion and technical advice that has not been corrupted by the likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still answer questions like in "the good old days" without all the unwanted comments from those who don't agree with what is going on. The disgruntled hams in here are accomplishing nothing except wasting bandwidth in the NG because they are able to "push the buttons" of the few cb'ers left in the NG and they feel compelled to respond to them. This group should be called rec.amateur.flamefest instead of rec.radio.cb as it is known today. The best thing that could happen to this NG is for Usenet to remove it from their servers as it serves no useful purpose, and the hams would be left to flame each other (as they already do) over in the amateur groups. The only way this group will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended purpose, is to be reincarnated as a moderated group. I can't believe someone hasn't done this already for the good of those who would like to post here but don't.

2144. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:55:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211220555.14c476e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:<hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net>...
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01...
> > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> > news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
> >
> > > <<< SNIP >>>
> > > There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.
> >
> > There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.

Actually, the licenses say "Technician". Nothing about code or nocode
on any FCC ham license. And all US hams are allowed to use Morse code,
test or no test.

A distinction needs to be made between those who are against the code
TEST, and those who are against code USE.

> > > To work at all in the
> > > 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
> reserved
> > > bands for extra

WHY? There's no requirement to pass such a test today. In fact, 6 and
2 meters have the only "Morse only" segments - and they are open to
use by Techs who haven't passed a code test.

> > Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
> > higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)
> >
> Actually, they should probably limit the power for the 'communicators' to less than 100W,
> and the higher technical licenses would allow for higher power :-).

Probably a good idea, because then the RF exposure questions on the
entry-level tests could be tailored to the power levels permitted.

> The 30MHz, I guess,
> is still a treaty thing, and indicative of stagnation.

Nope. It's simply a treaty requirement. Once upon a time the
requirement was for all ham licenses. Over the years an exception has
been included for VHF/UHF because there is relatively little routine
use of Morse by hams on VHF/UHF. The limit has gradually been lowered
at various WRC conferences - 1000 MHz, 144 MHz, 30 MHz. Next year it
will probably go to 0 Hz ;-)

Before judging whether "code is dead" or "obsolete/outdated/nobody
uses it anymore", try actually LISTENING to the ham bands below 30 MHz
- particularly the lower ends of the bands, where Morse use is most
common.

This weekend would be a good time to listen.

> Maybe the 'communicators' with
> little technical criteria might even be limited to 10-50W at VHF and above.

Sure.
>
> The goal isn't to punish people, but to motivate people to learn how their stuff works. I
> suspect that the VHF bands are too full for much experimentation, but the technology
> for homebrew on 2GHz on up is now relatively achievable by mere mortals.

Plenty of room on all bands for experimentation.
>
> Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that
> makes sense to do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques?

I do that, and have been doing so, for more thna 35 years.

> A lot of stuff isn't really
> practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes), and the stuff
> that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few mechanical
> skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.
>
> So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the code
> constraints tend to continue their burden.

How does the requirement to learn code at 5 wpm just well enough to
pass a test unreasonably constrain the ability to build radio
equipment? Hams have been building rigs long before there were
licenses without code tests. Heck, I built my first transmitter at age
13 - right after I passed the code test. That was not at all unusual
in 1967.

The REAL "burdens" of home design and construction today are the
practical and economic constraints. Manufactured ham gear, and kits,
are inexpensive and plentiful today. What sort of projects would you
recommend for the raw newbie?
Do you think it's practical for a new ham without an extensive
electronics background to design and build an HF SSB transceiver as a
first project?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2145. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 13:55:38 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDE375A.CBAB5D14@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 144676

(lack of) Self Control wrote:
>
> What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.
Definition of "troll:" Anything posted that he doesn't like.

2146. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:05:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021122090533.07799.00006684@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144677

it is. why do i feel like tom is ignoring me? lol

>Relax, I probably don't know enough to understand that AM is not used on
>HAM. I looked at 1stopelectronics.com, chose the 10 meter radios and
>ASSUMED that AM was used for HAM.

2147. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:08:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021122090845.07799.00006685@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144679

maybe he wants to work AM on 10? AM is and always has been popular on 10 meters above 29 megs

>I thought you were going to use it for 10 meters ? Why do you want to hear
>what it will do on Am?

2148. Re: FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:17:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021122091718.07799.00006686@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 144682

ouch, youll be keeping it a while at that price....

>
>
>
>This has been my own personal radio for the last few years. Just upgraded to
>a
>Yaesu FT-1000D and I no longer have a need for the 102. Its been modified for
>11 meters and has the AM/FM board. Its really swings on AM with loud audio. A
>20 watt deadkey swings 100 watts. Nice and smooth on side band. The radio is
>in
>excellent condition physically and electronically. Asking $490+ shipping
>
>
>
>
>
>

2149. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:35:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDE40AD-132@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144683

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (WA3MOJ))
"Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
"I am only a lurker in this newsgroup"
****
>What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.<

He's a troll for defining the truth concerning the laws our great
country was founded upon?
George, obscuring the truth has always been a goal of yours.

"and don't usually (maybe one or twice) have much to offer.. "
****

>still don't<

Well, he just contributed exactly what the majority of the masses
already know.....it is obvious a bit of education is deemed a threat
by you, especially the truth. The truth has ALWAYS been a threat to
yourself, Lelnad, Frank, Hall, and Adair...oh, and lately Paul down in
McCallister jumped into the foray.

"that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people
stealing paper clips,etc.,etc."
****
>Ok would you prefer federal law breakers?<

It doesn't really matter what we prefer......the fun is derived from the
ignorance of Dave and Frank's, and now your interpretation (which has
been proved wrong, even by the feds standards) of the judicial system.
Whining does nothing but highlight how little you all know concerning
the laws of the country y'all lie about. (you demonstrated as much with
your comments about a hammie usng a Galaxy) The false concerns y'all set
forth concerning rules are phoney and false, evidenced by the mass
exodus of ISP's taken from Frank, Lelnad, Doc, and Dogie for violating
said rules. It is also illustrated in Hall talking out both sides of his
hypocritical hole, calling people names (IE: criminal) for behavior that
doesn't even come close to the crimes he has committed.

"We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where) live in the
United States of America"

>Ok If we didn't live elsewhere I guess we do >live in the Untied
States. Brilliant! Simply >brilliant!

And are considered innocent until proven guilty by a court of law. Funny how you hypocrites selectively ignore that law that makes all innocent until adjudicated otherwise. This also illustrates how the dogpile members (you included, George) feel yourselves above the laws of this country and appoint yourselves judge and jury. Talk about no respect for the laws our country was founded on..bwaaaahahahahah!

"and as such,are covered by our laws."
****
>Yes, similiar to those same ones that the >federal lawbreakers are not following.

According to Dave Hall N3CVJ, those mentioned in the rainreport and those who
have had any contact with the FCC concerning
any type violation, are federal criminals.

"Those
laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers"
****

>Whoa better ask twisty these guys are >admitted law breakers no one had these guys >under hot lights interrogating them, an >admission of guilt? they are proud of it and >admittedly so.

The word "proud" has been shown to be a favorite of yours, Lelnads and Franks. You 3 are the only entering it into an equation. No one ever used that term concerning such activity. When you begin to lie, you remind me of Dave Hall. He can't go a post or 2 while holding a debate without getting personal, insultive, or telling a lie. On another not of truth, it is losers not unlike yourself that constantly and redundantly feel they are owed an explanation of "why" al the time. It is YOU clowns that NEED an explanation. When you fail to elicit a reason from us for your personal satisfaction as to why we do anything, y'all begin with the "proud" stuff. Bettre stick to the facts, lest you wind up with a ruined call like the other few, but if you don't mind, I don't mind.

  (one way or the other) but by a court of law,
****
>How about an admission of guilt?<

Ha! Even one who admits guilt still needs to be found guilty by a court of law. Many, many times people have admitted to crimes they haven't committed. And since I was adjudicated crazy and not sane by my church which you are so desperately attempting to join, my admission means nothing. Ha!
But just for the record, I will continue to DX adn you can continue to be a source of entertainment along with your other fruitflies who have no concept of law whatsoever.

"Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally concidered "Federal Criminals"."
****

>Ok federal lawbreakers is more fitting<

Again, changing your terminology serves only to make the educated among us grin.

>now go wash off.<

Plagiarism has been a strong suit of yours lately, Geo. I'm touched. You know what they say about imitation.....

"They may not follow all the written rules"
****
>Yes just the ones they want to follow,<

Like Dave Hall n3CVJ, Lelnad scott Kc8LDO, Starman sasquatch hunetr Frank Gilliland in Issaquah, and Dogie N8WWM.....

"FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their actions,but they are not Federal Criminals."
****

>Ok once convicted they are,<

By your own tongue, you finally admit the dogpile of Lelnad, Frank, Davey boy "hypocrite" Hall and the head dog of Adair have all been wrong all along. See , that wasn't so hard.

>for now they are federal Lawbreakers. Now >take your hankie and run

You have some more learning to do. Better check how many cbers adn hammies were stripped of their civil rights after being contacted or fined by the FCC. Federal criminals are stripped of such rights. How many can you name? Any? S' ok. We all knew you losers were wrong all along....we just think it's quaint y'all share your ignorance in the hopeless hope that get others will share your juvenile and misiterpreted ignorance concerning American law.

2150. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:38:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8727-3DDE414B-281@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144684

From: radiobuff@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please allow me to displace your bullshit.
Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.

>Except you can do all that on the ham band >and know you are doing it legally.

Yup,,it sure is..until that dial is turned and y'all come down to the freeband and try to be heard 'cause there ain't much hapening on 10.

2151. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 14:42:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDE4249-133@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144685

From: radiobuff@mailcity.net (JJ)
David S wrote:
Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the technology we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again. Built into every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit which broadcasts your call sign with each key.

>And suppose you sell your rig to someone like >twistie, who thinks it's great to operate illegally >and they assume it is you?

Don't worry, JJ. I don't buy hand me downs from hammies. Much of second hand hammie gear usually carries an odor of cigarettes and who knows what else? Besides, where did I ever say it was "great" to operate illegal? George talking like Dave, you lying like Frank,,seems like some people are really scrambling and reaching for damage control.

2152. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:05:11 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <HIrD9.2455$4j5.1332817@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144678

A hired troll, eh?
I find that very funny. I'd like to hear your definition of a troll, and how my stated opinion on how I was suprised nobody has ever tried or considered an SW pirate radio station using modified ham equipment on 26 Mhz equates to trolling....

Then I want to show all the documentin you have that Richard "hired' me to "troll"

The only thing you've gotten right so far is the fact that I'm also on alt.radio.pirate. But even that batting average won't get you into Cooperstown, amigo...

Aaron
KB8ZVN

2153. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:11:11 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jOrD9.2457$4j5.1333490@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144680

Is that Perk and/or Aaron v's definition, too?

Aaron
KB8ZVN

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DDE375A.CBAB5D14@ix.netcom.com...
> (lack of) Self Control wrote:
> >
> > What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.
> Definition of "troll:" Anything posted that he doesn't like.
>

2154. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:12:29 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xPrD9.2458$4j5.1333754@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144681

Let's not throw all us hammies in that basket, eh? :-)

Aaron
KB8ZVN

"Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ce161eb2.0211220550.76658e0d@posting.google.com...
> "Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:<IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> > "Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
> > : I am only a lurker in this newsgroup
> > ******************************
> > What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.

> Isn't it a hoot that when someone like "Scooter Tramp" or anyone else
> says something the hammies don't agree with it's a "troll", but the
> self appointed policemen of the group can spam as much as they want
> and it's
> ok?
>

2155. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:16:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arlhnn$k16od$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144686

"A_Real_CB_Operator" <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message
news:XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com...
> 1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO
> WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!

Used to be that way, but the FCC got tired of all the non-licensed operators so did away with the licensing requirement. Doubtful they will ever impose licensing again.

> 2. ALLOW FM ON CB

Not a bad idea. However, with 10 kHz channel spacing, it will cause a lot of interference to the other channels. You'd need about 25 kHz channel spacing, even with NFM.

> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!

Already illegal.

> 4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL

I don't think they'd allow encryption, but not a bad idea if you wanted private conversations. CTCSS and PL are the same thing, and would work OK if you wanted to not have to listen to all the other operators out there, unless they use the same PL tone. Selcall is another good idea that would make it easier to get hold of someone.

> 5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS

FM would probably need to use 10 watts, as the signal is a bit wider than AM.

> 6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY

You'd need to talk to the manufacturers about that. For some reason they love using LED's which you can't see if the sun is shining directly on them.

> 7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!

That's up to REACT. I think they're kind of hurting for members right now. I looked for active groups in my state, and I think there were only two for the whole state. They need more volunteers. The way they could do this is to reduce the annual membership fee. Of course, one doesn't necessarily need to be a member of REACT in order to respond to an emergency. You could spend your own time monitoring channel 9 whenever you can. If you do find someone needing help, get as much information as possible using the who, what, where, when, and why method and pass it on to authorities. The most important two will be where and what.

> 8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!

Only good if licensing is in effect.

>
> 9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND
> POINTLESS!!

Not a bad idea.

> 10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR"
BETWEEN
> CB'ers AND HAMS!!

Wait a minute, I thought in number 9 you said to end the stupid war? Or are you just looking for some fake "Jerry Springer" entertainment?

> 11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!

The FCC has generally given up trying to enforce CB rules. They are severely understaffed & underbugeted. Only if they were bugeted money to enforce CB rules would they take action.

> 12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy
> Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear
> channel comms.

Not sure what you mean here. Most police vehicles with CB's in them have had the CB's put in by the individual officers at their expense. The police radios aren't CB's.

> 13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE
> NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!

Good idea.

> 14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of being a
> dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to
confront
> the individual in question!!

Do you mean individual citizens, or FCC personnel doing the confronting?

> 15. CRY TO WOUFF HONG THAT HIS IDEA WAS REVERBED BY "A REAL CBer"

Imitation is the most sincere form of flattery.

> 16. Quit Cryin' to the truckers about your CB!!

Not sure what you mean here.

> 17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!

It may be if you practice an alternative lifestyle. Look at the Skipper and Gilligan with all that "little buddy" talk!

> 18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!

"Hand?"

> 19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of threats
> to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)

Usually if troublemakers are shown that their location can be found, a lot of times they'll give it up for the day. But I'm sure it'd work better than saying, "just tell me where you're at, m***er f***er!"

> 20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
> JAMMING
> THREATS
> SCHEDULED
KEY-DOWNS
> POSSIBLE BANNED
> LINEARS BEING USED!
> UNDER_AGED FOLKS
> CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!
> DRINKING AND
TALKING
> ON THE AIR!!
> SOLICITING FOR
SEX,
> DRUGS, WEAPONS OVER THE AIR!!

Where should they be reported to? Do you think the FCC is going to drive down with all their DF gear because you heard someone say "damn" on the radio? And since when has drinking & using a radio been illegal?

Tom

2156. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:21:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XXrD9.2666$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3ddd9d2c$1_8@news.teranews.com...
> Wouff Hong,
>
> I'm not sure where you from, but that's beside the point. I read your
> suggestions to improve ham radio, and, as some one who is very proud of
the
> organization they are from you wanted to let other people know your
> thoughts. As we both can see, it's raised a lot of havoc and questions and
> that sort of thing.
>
> I must agree with you about some your suggestions, but lets look together
> at what you stated.
>
>
>
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> -I feel the same way with this, because I think everyone should retest to
> get their driver's license every 10 years. Now we gotte realize how much a
> pain in the rear this would be, and not only take people away from this
> great hobby. It would be a good idea instead of retake tests, but to
really
> encourage individuals bursh up on their skills, knowledge or electronic
> theory, etc.
> >
>
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> -Again I agree that the level of accomplishment should be raised so people
> have good moral standards and treat with repect what they have their hands
> on when they worked hard to earn their Amateur license. 90% in manys'
> opinion would be to high; and it would frighten and discourage people.
>
>
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
>
> -Morse code, a favorite of many, and not so great of many, but who is to
say
> good or bad. Personally, and maybe others like yourself have to say that
> morse code is the the "basics" or any form or radio. Just because we had

to
> take those tests when we got our licenses doesn't mean others have to. It
> would be a better idea to encourage people to do the morse code because if
> they do, I've found they stay in radio longer because if they have what it

> takes to learn the morse code; they'll generally apply the same learning
> habbit in other areas of radio. Please don't Misunderstand me, many people
> don't like it and are very active. But lets encourage and not say anyone
has
> to this or that.
>
>
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> -Sounds like a good idea, but tis better to encourage people to move on
and
> help them along the way, then bark at 'em like their bosses do.
>
>
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
>
> -If league's don't have memberships, they can't support us and improve
> things. Memberships provide income for our leagues to improve things. We
all
> should encourage people to join a league of some type.
>
>
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> -Nobody is perfect in this world except God. When people who is arrogrant
> operate, I find out someinfo about them and kindly correct them over the
> phone or in some kind of private conversation. Nobody likes to be
humiliated
> in public or at anytime. Besure anyone who does this makes sure the other
> person needing correction is open to it. As the cliche' goes; " A man
> convinced against his will, is of the same opinion still."
>
>
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
> -Same as above; Nobody is perfect. Constant offenders have some challange
> with some one or something which some one needs to find out what the deal
is
> and help him out with it. People just doing harm out of no reason does
need
> to be turned in however.
>
> Hope this helps. As you can see I mentioned the word encouraged lot
because

> many people are guilty of this, myself included. When people are guided to
> the direction they want to go, they will go. There is a story that goes
> something like this. "The sun and the wind cloud were having a contest to
> see who could have a man in the park below take off his coat. So the wind

> cloud says he'll go first and the sun hides behind him. The wind cloud
blows
> and blows but the man in the park just holds on to his coat tighter and
> tighter. The wind cloud gives up and the sun comes out and just shines his
> warm rays down below to the man. The man removes his coat and goes
> pleasantly about his business." If the moral hasn't bern figured out by an
> intillgent individual like yourself, it is that when someone is forced to
do
> something, they simply won't, or they'll possibly grudingly do it. Ask and
> encourage someone to do something and they'll give it all they got for
their
> best effort.
>
> Hope this Helps,
>
>
> Respectfully,
> ~Benjamin, KB9LFZ

Very well said Ben!

Landshark

--
Please note that any post that is from
S3Buell_SBCGlobailnet@hotmail.com
is a FORGE. My Addy is always going to
be from SBCGlobal.Net This person has
been a troll on Rec.Radio.CB for a long
time now. If you want to send a complaint,
forward each message complete with headers
to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. abuse@hotmail.com,
groups-abuse@google.com
Beware of George WA3MOJ

2157. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:21:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XXrD9.2665$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144688

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jOrD9.2457$4j5.1333490@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Is that Perk and/or Aaron v's definition, too?
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN
>
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DDE375A.CBAB5D14@ix.netcom.com...
> > (lack of) Self Control wrote:
> > >
> > > What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.
> > Definition of "troll:" Anything posted that he doesn't like.
> >
>
>

Why of course it is.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

2158. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:21:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XXrD9.2664$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144689

"Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ce161eb2.0211220550.76658e0d@posting.google.com...
> "Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:<IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> > "Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
> > : I am only a lurker in this newsgroup
> > ******************************
> > What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.
> >
> >BIG SNIP
>
>
> Isn't it a hoot that when someone like "Scooter Tramp" or anyone else
> says something the hammies don't agree with it's a "troll", but the
> self appointed policemen of the group can spam as much as they want
> and it's
> ok?
>
> Yes Scooter Tramp, you must realize you are in RRCB now, where
> everyone except
> those who are high and mighty like Jim, Jerry, Fred, Doug, Frank, Dave
> and Leland (sorry for any assholes I didn't mention) is guilty whether
> proven innocent or not, regardless of what they may or may not have
> done. Of course, keep in mind that these good amateur operators are
> all operating strictly legally, and would NEVER do anything wrong
> since they are all such good citizens, and fine representatives of the
> amateur radio community. Anyone who does not conform to their way of
> thinking falls into the category of "Federal Criminal" or "Keyclown".
> This used to be a good group back in the early 90's where free speech
> was allowed and the hammies and policeman want-to-be's didn't disrupt
> things like they do nowdays. There is still a good group for CB
> discussion and technical advice that has not been corrupted by the
> likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still answer
> questions like in "the good old days" without all the unwanted
> comments from those who don't agree with what is going on. The
> disgruntled hams in here are accomplishing nothing except wasting
> bandwidth in the NG because they are able to "push the buttons" of the
> few cb'ers left in the NG and they feel compelled to respond to them.
> This group should be called rec.amateur.flamefest instead of
> rec.radio.cb as it is known today. The best thing that could happen to
> this NG is for Usenet to remove it from their servers as it serves no
> useful purpose, and the hams would be left to flame each other (as
> they already do) over in the amateur groups. The only way this group

> will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended purpose, is to be
> reincarnated as a moderated group. I can't believe someone hasn't done
> this already for the good of those who would like to post here but
> don't.

Of course it's right, if you're agenda is to troll.
As for the moderated action, it's not an easy thing to
spend a lot of time moderating a group, lot of work.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2159. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:21:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YXrD9.2667$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144690

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:HIrD9.2455$4j5.1332817@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> A hired troll, eh?
> I find that very funny. I'd like to hear your definition of a troll, and
> how my stated opinion on how I was suprised nobody has ever tried or
> considered an SW pirate radio station using modified ham equipment on 26
Mhz
> equates to trolling....
>
>
> Then I want to show all the documentin you have that Richard "hired' me to
> "troll"
>
>
> The only thing you've gotten right so far is the fact that I'm also on
> alt.radio.pirate. But even that batting average won't get you into
> Cooperstown, amigo...
>
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN
>
>
>

It's ok Aaron, that's George Busch WA3MOJ, he has
been a troll here for almost three years now. He use's multiple
screen nics to by pass people's kill files, also he has multiple accounts
that he post from to troll this and other newsgroups.
Stick around, he'll start accusing , harassing, using foul
language to disrupt the newsgroup.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2160. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:24:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arli75$jlc55$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144691

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1037899675.739767@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Think about it :
> A lot of CBers already have radios that will go there and if You charge 10
> or 15 bucks to take the test , The fcc makes money ,

Umm... that's assuming that the CBer's already out there will be willing to plonk down money and take a test for something they're already doing.

> They will also save
> money because they wouldn't have to pay agents to go to truck stops to
look
> for illegal radios .

That's assuming that Joe Trucker is going to get rid of his 2950 and buy a Cobra 29 because he's afraid he'll lose his license. Not going to happen especially if the FCC won't pay agents to look for illegal radios.

> What better way to give FCC an economic boost than to tap into the wealth
of
> the CBers?

There are better ways, such as not reducing fines on illegal operators. Once again, I doubt most CBers would be willing to pay for a license for something they're doing for free right now.

Tom

2161. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:27:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arlid4$jkuh6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144692

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0211220518.66db1776@posting.google.com...
> "radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<jQ7D9.73666$__1.43398@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> > Great idea....but it would cost money. That's a huge part of the demise
of
> > the license originally. Same in the broadcast business, where a 3rd
class
> > license was required years ago. It is now gone too. And...down the
slippery
> > slope we continue.
>
> The original CB license cost money, $20 IIRC. All they'd have to do is
> charge for the CB+ license and it'd pay for itself. And maybe even
> make a few bucks for the FCC to use for enforcement.

That's assuming people will be willing to pay to use their radios. Sure,
some people will, but most probably won't. That's the reason the FCC
dropped the licensing requirement in the first place, that so many people
just weren't bothering to get them.

Tom

2162. 170 in the sandpile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:32:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDE4E03-134@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144699

Y'all listen up for 170 with his richochet skip dish.....he's out there now.

2163. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:33:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arlini$k0d1i$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 144693

"David S" <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
news:arjcdb01cht@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the technology
> we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again. Built
into
> every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit which broadcasts your
call
> sign with each key.

Interesting idea. It could be sent as a digital code after you let up the key. At our PD, our portable radios emit a "squawk" that has the individual officer's name encoded which reads out on our radio computer screen. This makes it easier for us to tell which officer just called in. The same thing could be implemented with CB's, with an LCD readout on the front panel reading the ID of the transmitting station. The digital signal could be encoded with the user's callsign.

This is only a pipe dream, because there are numerous problems with it. First, there are thousands upon thousands of CB's out there already without the ID code, so not everybody would be ID'ing. Secondly, if you sell your radio to someone else, they'll have to get the ID changed. If they don't, they'll be transmitting your ID. You wouldn't want this if they were breaking the rules.

I'm sure I could come up with a dozen other problems as well.

Tom

2164. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:34:05 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <dNecnTj-He_z00OgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144694

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
: >
: > > When do you show us something worthwhile?
: >
: > Right after you start i will. I have shown how I own you Dickie head.

You don't own anything.
****
Your owned get over it.

Not even a high school diploma, obviously.
:
: >
: > : So, how come now everybody is "Steve"? Haven't you worn that one out
: > : yet, Georgie-girl?
: >
: > Who is everybody you pathetic asswipe mopar's name is Steve you jackass.
I
: > know your not steve he is in Virginia your in buff/roch NY as i have
said
: > from the first day you trolled this NG. Call me georgie all you want it
: > doesn't bother me thats not my name.

: So why didn't you bother to remind your alter-ego Aanal Aaron of that
: fact when he was smearing "Steve" all over the newsgroup,
****
Dickhead, if you like to fantasize that I am aaron go right ahead, Is it ok
for you to do? yet when aaron said you were steve you flipped a wig and
chased him all over the NG, crying and whining. So I will ask you the very
same question that dogged him. WHERES YOUR PROOF!

: "From the very first day"?
****
Yes you have trolled from the very first day don't act so suprised you have
been trolling since the name richard cranium was born on the net

Better go check the archives.
****

Oh I have dickie head how do you think I got you pegged

:And you indeed are Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA.
****

Thought I was aaron?, and If I am George WHERE IS YOUR PROOF.
I am waiting.

: > But what is funny since mr childs started posting you have replied to
any
: > critisism of him he also one of your hired trolls from rec.shortwave? or
: > pirate radio.

: Better go back and read the thread before you open mouth and change
: feet again.
****
Well here is a few how does shoe leather taste? He has made a total of 9
post how many do you think your replied or came to his aid on? LOL

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 15:55:25 PST

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message > Looks to me
like you need to make sure your facts are straight before you
> shoot off your mouth, amigo...
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN

He will NOT prove anything. He (and his minions Georgie-girl Busch and
Frank Gilliland and others) will demand that YOU prove it instead.
Aanal Aaron is a total loser. Ignore him for a while and he will go
away.
Message 66 in thread
From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Hypocrites Galore.

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-02 15:50:46 PST

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0211021202.4f1f1be@posting.google.com>...
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<EgOw9.3448$z5.2513073@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > It comes to a suprise to me that more SW pirates haven't tried around
26.000
> > Mhz. I was shocked and suprised to find out a few years ago that it's
> > supposively part of an 11 meter international broadcasting band.
Perhaps
> > some cber's who would like to try their own hand at pirating can get a
hod
> > of an old 2950 or whatnot do some basic mods to power, plus a nic wiring
job
> > to a mi plug, and have some fun. It would be kinda neat to hear that on
a
> > weekend night.
> > Or who knows. Not many people use that "hidden" channel 27.145, so

perhaps
> > that could be a fun place for a few cb pirate radio types.....
> > Just some ideas
> >
> > Aaron
> > KB8ZVN
>
> Another troll from www.frn.net. Yawn.
>
> -A

Really? Care to illuminate us on how you came to that conclusion? And
as usual, you don't talk about the content, you re-direct. The famous
patented "chicken side-step" from Aanal Aaron!
In fact: Make sure brain is in gear before putting mouth
: in motion. Good advice, Georgie-girl.

2165. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:35:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDE4EC0-135@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144698

Sounding good on this end, my friend. Between you and Probation Officer,
y'all had it locked up!

2166. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:35:44 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <U4ucnXI9P79M00OgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144695

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:HIrD9.2455$4j5.1332817@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
: A hired troll, eh?

: I find that very funny.

I am glad you could get a laugh.

> I'd like to hear your definition of a troll

Richard Cranium

and
: how my stated opinion on how I was suprised nobody has ever tried or
: considered an SW pirate radio station using modified ham equipment on 26
Mhz
: equates to trolling....

Nah, there is more than that that isn't even a consideration.

aaron childs the good buddy wrote:
"P.S.: If I ever get my HR2510 out of the mothballs, I'll give you a shout
out sometime. I would love to see if the tubes in my Galaxy kicker are
still good or not. :-)"

:
:
: Then I want to show all the documentin you have that Richard "hired' me to
: "troll"
****
I didn't say he hired you I asked a question if you were a hired troll,
reading things that aren't there is one of the first signs of a troll.

"But what is funny since mr childs started posting you have replied to any
critisism of him he also one of your hired trolls from rec.shortwave? or
pirate radio."

: The only thing you've gotten right so far is
****
That your trolling along with richard cranium, old dickhead gets mad when
someone replys to your posts, and he has to jump in wonder why you guys
budies?

the fact that I'm also on alt.radio.pirate.
****
Where dickhead came from ties you 2 together, the fact your a pirate
operator means you fit in with the illegal cb crowd.

But even that batting average won't get you into Cooperstown, amigo...
****
Sorry were not playing baseball. But my average would send barry bonds head spinning.

:
: Aaron
: KB8ZVN

2167. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:39:58 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <idsD9.3665$OZ4.387895@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Woulff,

Let me know if you ever decide to run for the ARRL Board of Directors.
I'll re-join again and vote for you!

KB7ADL

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com:

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong
>

2168. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 15:41:35 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <Y5KdnVKEG9KtzUOgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144697

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YXrD9.2667$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

:
: It's ok Aaron, that's George Busch WA3MOJ, he has
: been a troll here for almost three years now. He use's multiple
: screen nics to by pass people's kill files, also he has multiple accounts
: that he post from to troll this and other newsgroups.
: Stick around, he'll start accusing , harassing, using foul
: language to disrupt the newsgroup.
:
: Landshark

It is ok Aaron that is juts Landshark, he suffers from delusions of granduer, he thinks I am everyone, he has tried to TOS me If I was everyone he said I wouldn't be here any longer . He has been know to frequent the alt.sex.ts Newsgroups. A quick check will confirm this to be true. His buddy Scott 69 uses comcast and changes nics at the drop of a hat he won't say anything to him just proves he is a troll trolling certain peoples posts. he has also been know to disrupt this Ng using foul language harrassing style post. It is all in the NG archives. here is some help just tweak the name landshark around and look in the archives, he has used prodigy as well as a eamil address, and have been caught using the name Frenchy as well as a few others. He is not real bright and I let him know. he also never post's about Cb radio, he is more concerned with what I do since Sparky caught him in the alt.sex.ts and homo NG's, he is mad at me for brining it up on occasion. Have a good one catch you on the airwaves.

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&q=author:S3Buell%40SBCGlobal.Net.+

2169. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:05:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8728-3DDE55C2-137@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144700

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (Self Control)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote <snip>
Yes, they can, and Jerry never claimed hammies couldn't use a Galaxy
radio legally on 10,,,he knows they are legal for use in that manner.
****
>Well like I said he may have to splain a few >things about the subject
then.

Who was talking legalities?
****
>I was to Twisty<

Ummm, I AM "Twisty".

> I know you werent I was helping Tom setting >him off on the correct
thing to do you may add.

Some of you have this one track mind
obsessing on law and rules
****
>yes your cry's of breaking ISP rules LOL
>while breaking them

I don;t cry about it. I do what y'all taught me to do....report to the
ISP when they are violating the rules. If I broke ISP rules, they would
terminate my account. Webtv is infamous for not giving warnings, just
terminating the account.
****

>yes your inclined to break even more it is >called lawless.
.

Again, you may wish to familairize yourself with webtv's policies so as
not to appear so ignorant in the future.

..never saw so many
****
>you need to get out more often Junior<

Again, the flattery.

>.... it is amazing what you can see if you open >your eyes.

I keep telling them that.

power-hungry, power trippers gathered in one place all at one time...
****

>quite amazing isn't it Super Bowl Channel 6 is >where alot of them congregate,

No. Your type can't hang there..this is more your speed right there.

>but there are others.<

pretty cool trick,
****

>Yes it is, sorta like David Blaine<

You lost me.

this thing we got going with cb.
****
>Yes it is illegal correct?<

Attracting these few subordinate and substandard malignant power hungry impotent hammies to a cb forum? No, it's not illegal, just enlightening to the rest of the hammie community, as it illustrates all that is wrong with hammie radio today.

>Exactly not for Ham use see we at least agree >on his motive

But the clipped clarifiers and frequency counters sure as heck aren't made for cb.
****
>Au Contriare oh twisted soul they are Export >radios made for Cbers in other countries,

You are self-contradicting. You have already claimed they are targeted at American cbers.
Beginning to sound more and more like Dave Hall,,,y'all take turns with the ornithological diet these days?

>Cbers don't use frequency counters? Or >ssbers don't use open clarifiers?

Who claimed they didn't use them? You did, not I. I claimed such features aren't made for cb.

That type behavior ruined a few
mad-hammers around here.
****
>Yes, I am sure when the FCC got a hold of >them it did ruin em.

Dunno. Never checked the FCC archives to see if Dvae or Lelnad or Fank or Adair was ever popped...it doesn't concern me, but it certainly wouldn't surprise me in the least.

>I agree they don't like the intrusions on 10 >meters

Who are you agreeing with?

>I am not sure perhaps it is<

You into that cheap beer again?

>No they said he was out to lunch<

Who are you referring to?

> <

  Was he a prankster
****
>You never heard of that movie?<

You are preaching to the choir. Apparently you don't know who Kesey was or what he was. Do an engine search on Ken Kesey and the Merry Pranksters. He wrote the book (which was later turned into a movie starring Jack Nicholson) you are referring to.

or a hog farmer?
****

>Well twist I say your a hog farmer, am I right?<

I know more of the Diggers than of the Hog Farmers, but I do know several. Go brush up on your history of American culture.

2170. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:25:57 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <tTadnXDGY_cKx0OgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144701

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021122090845.07799.00006685@mb-mn.aol.com...
: maybe he wants to work AM on 10?

Really, Tim he has already admitted he has no idea about Am use on amatuer Radio, he has also said he is not a Ham. Nice try at making excuses for him . BTW, the galaxy radios don't go up to 29 mhz do they?

AM is and always has been popular on 10 meters
: above 29 megs

It is real popular ? perhaps you can show us how popular it is, you know some web pages of groups that frequent there etc woul be nice , since it is real popular shouldnt be a problem.

2171. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:32:28 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <rsicnf0JlLaAwUOgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144702

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021122090533.07799.00006684@mb-mn.aol.com...
: it is. why do i feel like tom is ignoring me? lol

LOL he didn't know that Tim, and of course you are making excuses for the guy, when he has admitted to such. now wondering why he isn't sticking up for you
Tim, please show us some groups or popular useage of 10 meter Am.

2172. Re: Palomar 225 amp, use on lo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:34:49 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <GvGcncFaUfl3wUOgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144703

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:3DDDD172.8A1C1D92@selpats.com...

:
: I just like to change my nic when you do just to water down the
: effect of your changes. Since cumcast now puts the IP addy on each
: post, it's easy to see where one is.

Scott I don't have a problem with it my friend, other in the group may. I
just don't want to feel singled out you understand right.

:
: If you reboot, you might get a different IP. Since I grab an IP
: with a Unix box, my IP can stay the same for months. It took a
: whole weekend of being off before I changed from 68.55.4.181.
:
: Should I wipe out /var/db/dhclient.leases and shut down for
: a day while I'm at work and get a new IP?

Nah not needed unless your not secure.

2173. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:54:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3275-3DDE614F-377@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144704

From: rcranus@hotmail.comOUT (Self Control)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>No If you want to buy a Ham radio buy it from >a reputable Ham dealer.
>Not a Illegal Storefront for Amp makers

(snip)

Anyone with the proper business can sell hammie radios...one needn't
even be a hammie.
****
>No one said differently he was told to buy from >a reputable "Ham'
dealer, I know the word >reputable
>and you are strangers

Not at all.
My refusal to allow those such as yourself to dictate what constitutes
"reputable" is merely but another in a long line of what plagues and
bewilders you.

>but buying from cb web page gurus online or >buying from a reputable
storefront who sells >FCC approved radios. If there is so much >money to
be made and they are allowed to be >sold and used by hams, why doesn't
HRO or >AES , Burkhardt, etc etc or any Big Ham >dealer sell these
radios?

Money? No one said anything if the such except you. One topic at a
time...it gives you room to focus.

>you say Hams can use em legally Answer me >that Batman.

Answer you WHAT?
You didn't ask anything.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps.<

Galaxy radios? Who conked you on the head?

> In fact Carriage
>Car@aol.com wash who used to post here >has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

And this upsets or pleasures you why?

>Why, of course<

But....why?

>You don't feel that way?<

I find my pleasures not at the expense of others.

"Live and learn."
****
*
>Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let >die" James Bond

Who sang the original theme song?
Paul McCartney (I think).
Anyhooo...you are getting your posts confused again. I didn't say "Live and Learn".

He can use it on ssb
****
>He sure can, but that was never really even >mentioned.

Sure it was...by me in retort to your false claim that a hammie couldn't use it legally.

---
and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..

>28.085 yep those damn truckers, thats exactly >where one of those Galaxy radios will put him.

What do truckers have to do with anything concerning us?
You still seem to be confused about the law. You definitely are incorrect concerning useage of a Galaxy radio by a hammie.

****
>you are misinformed on many things my >friend,

Actually, I'm not, hence you and the dog-ladies attacking me personally and not my posts. You effectively reiterate that point on a daily basis along with Lelnad and Hall.

>what a hammie can use is the least of your >worries.

I have no worries. I just enjoy pointing out your lies.

>Don't sweat it it is on a need
>to know basis and you really don't need to >know.

Then why did you bother to bring it up?I suggest a refresher course in radio law. Maybe Herry will take pity on you and perform the Heimlich on you for that crow you are asphyxiating on :)

>your captains license?<

Hahhhaa......it only took you, what....almost 4 years? Maybe in 4 more you can actually narrow it down to the few thousand on this coast.

****
>where are you heading this time not North I >hope? too cold

I get north once a year or so these days.
Even went through your neck of the woods a few years ago...played in

Lancaster, did the Amish buggy ride, ate at Zinn's on 30...

****
>Is that where we will find you?<

Yea, I'll go back there..good food.

> LOL To the batcave!<

It's good you revel in fantasy. It helps those in doubt understand your posts.

"maybe the ARRL"
****
>Thinking of becoming a member?<

Maybe I already am.

Jerry will spell it out
****
>I thought he did last week for you, hmm you >still don't understand?

(chuckle)
You illustrated perfectly you not only don't understand, but incorrectly interpreted what Jerry said. He never claimed what you attributed him. At least you don't limit your lies about cbers by misattributing what they say..you extend that courtesy to your fellow hammies, as well.

how many manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are made here in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?
****
>Any of the licensed hams in the usa can build >there own. Do I win a prize?

Maybe.......if you can answer the question.

Building a rig doesn't constitute manufacturing. There. Now you have two erroneous claims that you can educate yourself on.

2174. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 16:59:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE6289.56DEA110@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144707

Scooter Tramp wrote:
>
> I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or twice)
> have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
> used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing
> paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where)
> live in the United States of America,and as such,are covered by our laws.Those
> laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
> guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court
> of law,Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally
> concidered "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (
> FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
> actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
more proof is needed?

2175. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 17:02:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE6308.C0B498B0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144708

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please
> allow me to displace your bullshit.
> Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
> spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just
> as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.
>
> >Except you can do all that on the ham band >and know you are doing it
> legally.
>
> Yup,,it sure is..until that dial is turned and y'all come down to the
> freeband and try to be heard 'cause there ain't much hapening on 10.

Who is y'all? I never do that, can you be more specific? You see I
obey the rules.

2176. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 17:08:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE647C.F3DD7DC5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144709

Tom Sevart wrote:

>
> Interesting idea. It could be sent as a digital code after you let up the
> key. At our PD, our portable radios emit a "squawk" that has the individual
> officer's name encoded which reads out on our radio computer screen. This
> makes it easier for us to tell which officer just called in. The same thing
> could be implemented with CB's, with an LCD readout on the front panel
> reading the ID of the transmitting station. The digital signal could be
> encoded with the user's callsign.

Actually you don't need to build in an id, every transmitter has a
distinctive pattern to the leading and trailing edge of the
waveform when keyed that can be identified just like a 'finger
print'. The FCC has used this to identify illegal transmitters.

2177. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 17:16:57 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <dEtD9.3896$OZ4.400747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

--
��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote

: And according to their level of effort and dedication to the hobby,
: they are rewarded with additional operating privileges.

Nope, has nothing to do with "effort and dedication".

Hang around here long enough, and you will see someone write
something like:

" A really tough written test would surely separate those
who really have an interest in the hobby.", or..

" Other, more relevant, methods can establish an applicant's
dedication to the service.", or..

" I think it is effective at minimizing the undesirables.",
or..

" ..... the key to maintaining the quality of hamming is
making it something to work for.", or..
.
"My opinion is that any obstacle you put in the way to any
achievement guarantees that only those with dedication and
strong interest will get there."

All of the above quotations, gathered from recent threads, were
made by serious and well-intentioned licensees who want the best
for the Amateur Radio Service.

All of the above quotations also completely miss the mark, in
that they suggest that the examination process is the key to
ensuring that "the right kind of people" (those who are
"worthy") become licensed and, by extension, that "the wrong
kind of people" get filtered out.

First, the testing procedure is an "entrance" exam, not a
"graduation" exam.

Second, while "interest", "dedication", and "hard work" might
be hallmarks of good amateurs, the FCC and ITU regulations

do not specify levels of interest, dedication, hard work or other measures of "worthiness" as requisites for a license. Therefore it is not the function of the examination process to determine (even if it could) if an applicant is "worthy" but rather to determine if he/she is QUALIFIED to use the spectrum assigned. There should be no "dumbing down", but neither can there be a requirement that the examination process screens out applicants who lack "commitment".

Don't get me wrong here, folks. I believe that the examination process ought to be rigorous enough to determine proper knowledge and skills so that a new licensee does not inadvertently trash the bands, hurt themselves, or harm other users/uses of the spectrum. I am not even suggesting that Morse testing is a "good thing" or a "poor idea". But I have no expectation that ANY examination can filter out "unworthy" applicants who lack the proper dedication or motivation.

Even if it could, who then would become the arbiter of "worthy"?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.W0AA.org

2178. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 18:53:57 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 144706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dEtD9.3896$OZ4.400747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
k�hb@arrl.org says...
>
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote
>
> : And according to their level of effort and dedication to the hobby,
> : they are rewarded with additional operating privileges.
>
> Nope, has nothing to do with "effort and dedication".
>
> Hang around here long enough, and you will see someone write
> something like:
>
> " A really tough written test would surely separate those
> who really have an interest in the hobby.", or..
>
> " Other, more relevant, methods can establish an applicant's
> dedication to the service.", or..
>
> " I think it is effective at minimizing the undesirables.",
> or..
>
> " ..... the key to maintaining the quality of hamming is
> making it something to work for.", or..
> .
> "My opinion is that any obstacle you put in the way to any
> achievement guarantees that only those with dedication and
> strong interest will get there."
>
> All of the above quotations, gathered from recent threads, were
> made by serious and well-intentioned licensees who want the best
> for the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> All of the above quotations also completely miss the mark, in
> that they suggest that the examination process is the key to

> ensuring that "the right kind of people" (those who are
> "worthy") become licensed and, by extension, that "the wrong
> kind of people" get filtered out.
>
> First, the testing procedure is an "entrance" exam, not a
> "graduation" exam.
>
> Second, while "interest", "dedication", and "hard work" might
> be hallmarks of good amateurs, the FCC and ITU regulations
> do not specify levels of interest, dedication, hard work or other
> measures of "worthiness" as requisites for a license. Therefore it
> is not the function of the examination process to determine (even
> if it could) if an applicant is "worthy" but rather to determine
> if he/she is QUALIFIED to use the spectrum assigned. There should
> be no "dumbing down", but neither can there be a requirement that
> the examination process screens out applicants who lack
> "commitment".
>
> Don't get me wrong here, folks. I believe that the examination
> process ought to be rigorous enough to determine proper knowledge
> and skills so that a new licensee does not inadvertently trash
> the bands, hurt themselves, or harm other users/uses of the
> spectrum. I am not even suggesting that Morse testing is a
> "good thing" or a "poor idea". But I have no expectation that
> ANY examination can filter out "unworthy" applicants who lack
> the proper dedication or motivation.
>
> Even if it could, who then would become the arbiter of "worthy"?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.W0AA.org
>
>
>
>
>

Besides, it's the Advanced and extras that cause the majority of deliberate rules violations. A tech or General might mess up, but an extra knows better and does it anyway. And how much effort should you have to put into a hobby?? Do the CW worshippers and "I hadto take a REAL test" guys have a life other than radio and are they still working? A lot of them are retired, sick and seem like they resent any changes because a new ham might not have to "go through what they did". I'm not married, but I have a full time job, other hobbies and interests, friends, pets, etc. How much of those do I have to ignore/abandon to be "worthy" to them?

A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem to be Extras. If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO code was introed. This went on for some time, then they must have gotten some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.

BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

2179. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 19:16:21 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utt0k7mtf7ho6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BDKING wrote:

>
>
> Besides, it's the Advanced and extras that cause the majority of
> deliberate rules violations. A tech or General might mess up, but an
> extra knows better and does it anyway. And how much effort should you
> have to put into a hobby?? Do the CW worshippers and "I hadto take a
> REAL test" guys have a life other than radio and are they still working?
> A lot of them are retired, sick and seem like they resent any changes
> because a new ham might not have to "go through what they did". I'm not
> married, but I have a full time job, other hobbies and interests,
> friends, pets, etc. How much of those do I have to ignore/abandon to be
> "worthy" to them?
>
> A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> to be Extras. If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> code was introed. This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
>
>
> BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

Here's a novel idea. Let's have Extra band segments, Newbie band
segments, no-code band segments, code-only band segments and a
Dont-even-bother-with-getting-a-license segment.

Oh wait...we already have that.

-Bill M

2180. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 19:51:16 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>
Source record: 144711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...

> A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> to be Extras.

The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
you'll find a very different group of hams.

> If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> code was introed.

Repeaters again...

> This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
>
>
> BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

And your callsign is?

73 de W6DKN

2181. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 19:51:36 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021122145136.11813.00000178@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 144712

it,s on map 43 8-h >> and you all are up schitt's creek
>
>What map page is that on?

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2182. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 19:55:56 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26132-3DDE8BCC-165@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144713

JJ,You ignorant twit..Mark

2183. Re: FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 20:27:46 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <6rwD9.110517$nB.8985@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 144714

Well known amps. The older "Hammertone grey" version. Then the later tan front with brown case.

"crd" <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com...
> Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6
> new 6LQ6's in the amp.
>
> $325 US buyer pays shipping costs
>
> email for additional info
>

2184. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 20:28:49 UTC
From: pm4hire@excite.com
Message-ID: <52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
write code in assembly language or know DOS?

HELL NO!

Unfortunately, the ham radio community is so far behind
the times that these guys don't even know what day it is.

Give your favorite ham radio operator a dixie cup and
string, b/c that's where he or she is from a technological
point of view.

The ham radio community will continue to shrink unless
it gets its collective heads out of its asses.

Hey guys, it is 2002

2185. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 20:59:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <7VwD9.4349$OZ4.427680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote
:
: The ham radio community will continue to shrink unless
: it gets its collective heads out of its asses.
:

"continue to shrink"????

Actually there are more licensed hams today than ever before in history.

Doncha just hate it when someone introduces a fact and ruins your rant?

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB
--
Licensed for 40 years and it just keeps getting better and better.

2186. Re: FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 21:36:52 UTC
From: Eric Oyen <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.22.14.37.44.509.15628@cox.net>
Source record: 144717

funny thing is, I can buy a *used* ft-1000d for that price right now
(theres one at the local HRO on consignment).

Meanwhile, he can always put it up on ebay (someone there may pay that
price, but it will take a while).

DE N7ZZT (Eric Oyen)
Phoenix, Az

In article <20021122091718.07799.00006686@mb-mn.aol.com>, "Master Of
Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote:

> ouch, youll be keeping it a while at that price....
>
>
>>
>>
>>This has been my own personal radio for the last few years. Just
>>upgraded to a
>>Yaesu FT-1000D and I no longer have a need for the 102. Its been
>>modified for 11 meters and has the AM/FM board. Its really swings on AM
>>with loud audio. A 20 watt deadkey swings 100 watts. Nice and smooth on
>>side band. The radio is in
>>excellent condition physically and electronically. Asking $490+ shipping
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

--
-.-. --.- -.-. --.- -.-. --.- -... ..- .-.. .-.. ... . -

2187. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 22:10:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDEAB3E.330FC7EF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144733

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> Hammies that freeband.
>
> >I never do that,<
>
> So you say.

And I do as I say.
>
> >You see I obey the rules. <
>
> So you claim.

And I do as I claim.

2188. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 22:58:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <mvKdna3BhrswK0OgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144718

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8728-3DDD143B-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject.
Please
> allow me to displace your bullshit.

I'll disprove yours Twisted.

> Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to
more
> spectrum.

I would like to see CBers run fast scan TV on 11m. Never mind the fact that a single channel takes about 6 MHz of spectrum. However there is more than enough room on just the Ham 70cm band alone, 30 MHz wide, to run several channels.

http://www.detroitatvrepeater.com/

I don't hear about any CBers doing EME, moon bounce, work. The antenna array below is designed for 30 db gain on 2m.

http://web.wt.net/~w5un/
http://df6na.mayn.de/~df6na/w5un.htm

Cbers can't launch and use their own PRIVATE fleet of communication satellites either.

http://www.amsat.org/
http://www.amsat-dl.org/journal/adlj-p3d.htm

I'll also bet CBers don't get to talk to the space shuttle or International Space Station Astronauts either. I'll bet you didn't know that just about every Astronaut that has been or currently is on the International Space Station is a licensed Ham Radio operator.

http://sarex.gsfc.nasa.gov/

Poor CBers are stuck using wimpy slow packet, and illegally too. Don't like the snail pace of 300 baud, or 1200 baud. Hams get to use high speed digital communications up to whatever limit their equipment is designed to handle. Some goes as fast as 10 Mbs. Oh yeah we run TCP/IP too. And we don't have to put up with a bunch of crybaby CBers E-mailing ISP's about TOS agreements. It's a nice private network without them.

http://www.tapr.org/
http://www.grapes.org/

How about experimental applications like Spread Spectrum and Digital
Voice. I don't see any CBers doing it.

http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/ssf.html
http://www.chbrain.dircon.co.uk/dvhf.html

I could go on listing more, but I've made enough of a fool out of you
Twisted for the present moment.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

2189. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:03:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <-K6cnbVfPLJYKkOgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144719

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDE6308.C0B498B0@mailcity.net...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: radiobuff@mailcity.net (JJ)
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > Yup,,it sure is..until that dial is turned and y'all come down to
the
> > freeband and try to be heard 'cause there ain't much hapening on
10.
>
> Who is y'all? I never do that, can you be more specific? You see I
> obey the rules.

JJ, just ignore him. He's just lonely and wants somebody to talk to.
Seems even the "saucer men" have forsaken him lately.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"It is difficult to make a man miserable while
he feels worthy of himself and claims
kindred to the great God who made
him."

Abraham Lincoln

2190. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person, might be one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:12:07 UTC
From: piper for frankie G <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DDEB9C7.A2697EDE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 144720

> >My statement is still accurate as written.
>
> The facts are accurate. Your attempt to correct Griffey's statement
> without knowing the facts was not accurate. At best, your statement
> was vague and misleading. But we have come to expect nothing more from
> you, Skippy, so it's no big deal.

There was no attempt to correct, it was simply a comment, accurate
as written. You must have fallen off your fake harley to be nit
picking this thread so bad.

>
> >>
> >> >> >Not the best circuit...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >As shown, it has hot switching TR problems,
> >> >>
> >> >> I see nothing of the sort happening there.
> >> >
> >> >That's because your experience and theory is weak. Look it up
> >> >in your text book frankie
> >>
> >> If you had ANY experience with amps you would know that it's common
> >> practice to slightly bend the armatures so that the contacts for the
> >> output circuit make contact before, and are released after, the
> >> contacts for the input circuit (a practice called "sequencing the
> >> relay"). That way there is no power going through the relay when the
> >> output contacts are switched. This has been done not only with RF
> >> amps, but has also been used in tranceivers since their invention.
> >> Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay operates in this way,
> >> so it's a practice you probably won't learn by hacking amps from
> >> schematics found on the internet (which explains why you didn't know
> >> about it).
> >
> >"Common practice" by whom..?
>
> GE, Motorola, Regency, Johnson, RCA, etc. Just about every company
> that ever built tranceivers with T/R relays used some sort of
> sequential relay. Many microphones also have sequential contacts, a
> practice for which GE is notorious.

How many of them are currently making rf amplifiers like the
example..? How many, if any current rf amplifier manufactures use a
specific sequence relay in their circuits..?

The answer is close to if not zero... back ye heathen with
thy dated technology. Chase ye not windmills in this here land.

> >A relay "Sequencing" notation seems to be missing from the circuit
> >diagram. You are again chasing windmills...
>
> And you are having a hard time with your reading comprehension: see
> above where I said, "Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay
> operates in this way". Regardless, sometimes the sequencing is done
> with two relays, and sometimes with one relay using different contact
> gaps. But you will be hard-pressed to find a schematic that makes any
> notation about the latter. Anyone that has ever done any amount of
> radio repairs knows exactly what I am talking about. It's obvious that
> you don't.

Remember mr off topic.... the original amplifier circuit in question has
one relay.

Other than you gassy cloud sequence story, can you provide any
modern rf amplifier circuit using a sequence relay... or any mention
of bending relay armature contacts or using said type of relays in
their respective parts/owners manual.

Why is it not widely mentioned in modern manuals and text books..?

> >Your bent armatures suggestion is bad news.
>
> Such "bad news" that the practice has been used by almost every
> manufacturer of transceivers since they were invented? Right. Uh-huh.
> Ok. Next you are probably going to proclaim that relays are "dated
> technology". Sure thing, Skippy. Whatever you say.

The subject was a simple rf amplifier circuit... you just want to dance
the night away on off topic poop again.

In regards to transceivers... rather than the stupid practice of hosing
relay contacts... Motorola, GE, RCA and EF Johnson (along with most
other mfgrs) simply delayed the RF long enough for the antenna relay
to transfer.

If you ever had your face in any of their manuals, you'd see delayed
A+ and A- functions doing the task. Hey, what a concept..! In
specific, see "channel element ground" functions.

Yeah, cranking on relay armatures is pretty bad news... especially
since many of them are sealed reed relay types. But you knew that
and obviously holding back to see if I'd mention it... yeah right.!

Hard to crank on a reed relay when its sealed. Yeah baby..!

> >> And the real issue with the relay in that amp is the fact that there
> >> is only one for both the input and output. If there is one thing that
> >> Pride got right with their 100 is their use of seperate input and
> >> output relays.
> >

> >ZZZZZZZZZZZZzZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!
>
> Gee, another "I don't care" response.

One wonders why your so hot to tell your stories to me... when you
should be sharing another of your famous verbose analysis with
the original author. I promise not to laugh too hard if you do.
Just leave out the equalization networks...

> >> >> > no low pass filtering,
> >> >> >lacks a proper bias circuit and adequate reverse polarity protection.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >In short, Frankie would call it a "poop generator".
> >> >>
> >> >> Unlike you, I rarely use the euphamism 'poop', opting instead for any
> >> >> word or words that best describe the concept without being influenced
> >> >> by a holier-than-thou attitude. I would call it a 'splatterbox'.
> >> >
> >> >I care very little what you call it.
> >>
> >> If that were true then you wouldn't have made such an asinine
> >> statement. You did, therefore you care.
> >
> >You must live babble on frankie...
>
> No, but at least I phrase know to how a sentence makes so it sense!

Very funny stuff... you win the poop prize. take two, they are free...

>
> >>
> >> > Now be a good lap dog and
> >> >follow me around from post to post.
> >>
> >> Sure. Just keep posting your technical voodoo and I'll be there to
> >> follow it up with the facts.
> >
> >Thanks franklin... just keep behind us.
>
> Probably better that way -- if you heard some of the talk about you
> that happens behind your back you might have a stroke. LOL!

You have me confused with someone who cares about what you think,
frankie...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2191. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:14:17 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <V-OcneHkbfbXJ0OgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144721

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021121085607.08946.00000107@mb-fd.aol.com...

> http://www.wintransceiver.com/

This looks a lot like what is being referred to now as a SDR -
software defined radio. All you need for hardware is the front end
stuff to get the signal down to a low enough IF to be digitized. And a
back end, up converter and amplifier, to transmit the signal generated
by the DSP section. Nice link by the way too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2192. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:22:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25088-3DDEBC27-374@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144724

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please allow me to displace your bullshit.
Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.

>Except you can do all that on the ham band >and know you are doing it legally.

Yup,,it sure is..until that dial is turned and y'all come down to the freeband and try to be heard 'cause there ain't much hapening on 10.

>Who is y'all?<

Hammies that freeband.

>I never do that,<

So you say.

>can you be more specific?<

Sure....I COULD.....but I won't.....,...and the reason is exactly the same as you use for not posting your call.

>You see I obey the rules. <

So you claim.

2193. Re: Bring back The CB license (oral ejaculations by KC8LDO)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:29:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25089-3DDEBDD0-174@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144725

I could go on listing more, but I've made enough of a fool out of you
Twisted for the present moment.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member

Is that what you call it when even your alleged pals are referring to
you as "'Nad?"
My angry disciple,,if such acts bring you pleasure,,,,,you have my
permission to "make a fool of" me any time at all if it soothes your
damaged ego and fragile psyche. And do try not to get buried next time
you key...them boys in the motor city just don't seem to like you for
some reason...no wonder you have a hard-on over channel 6.

2194. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:33:30 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <MOmdnWE6XLxXI0OgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144722

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184354.216$XM@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021120182145.975$G7@newsreader.com...
> > > I remember that,in fact didn't Dick say something about Lee's
sig
> > file too?
> >
> > Yeah, seems like he couldn't take a joke. Poke fun at CW and that
> > seemed to press a few of his " hot buttons".
> >
> No question,Dick had a style of his own. Salute!

About the only disagreement we had was over the Tech License issue. His view was Tech's if they don't upgrade don't learn, which is not true. What socked him was finding out that Techs really do design equipment etc. and don't spend all day yacking on their 2m HT. He could never bring himself around to admit it. That was too bad.

The only reason IMHO many Hams push the code test issue is its the only currently testable skill you can't cheat on during testing. The written exams are a complete joke. Anybody with a yellow high-liter and a few weekends can easily memorize enough of the question pool to pass any of the exams, and still not know a thing. I passed the Tech exam on a bet from somebody who claimed they would pay the exam fee if I passed. I never even studied for it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2195. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:34:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25090-3DDEBF06-58@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144726

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDE6308.C0B498B0@mailcity.net...
Twistedhed wrote:
From: radiobuff@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
Yup,,it sure is..until that dial is turned and y'all come down to the
freeband and try to be heard 'cause there ain't much hapening on 10.

>Who is y'all? I never do that, can you be more >specific? You see I
obey the rules.

"JJ, just ignore him."
(snip)

Yea, JJ...just ignore me. If you ignore me, you may not check my claims
about Dick McCollum being the one to bust Lelnad's azz for forging me in
a hammie room. There is your KC8LDO contribution to hammie radio. He
couldn't hold his salt in here debating me so he took to forging me in a
hammie room and was caught by one of his own who had the best interest
of radio at heart. He never got over it. I do believe the term "yellow"
applies here..matter of fact, it was the term applied to Lelnad in a
hammie room for his games.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member
--

2196. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:44:11 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <wySdnXt4icDWXEOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144723

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11506-3DDD22E5-213@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Ignore the inquiries? Nah..as I claimed many time before,,I believe
in
>returning thisg to their rightful owner...even if some of them don't
>want it returned.

Prove it. That's all you have to do is prove I posted those notices.
Get the posting logs from the ISP(s). Do the dynamic IP address traces
required. The dates and time must match exactly to within a few
seconds. And finally get the ISP to verifiy in writing the address in
use at that time was assigned to my account on that day and at that
time. Think you can do that Twisted? Get going times a'wasting. If not
then go in the corner and play with your squirt flower some more. I
don't have time to waste on pepole who can't prove anything.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

2197. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:45:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <PfadnYNZ0JU-XEOgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144727

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120211542.792$rm@newsreader.com...
> "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021120184055.794$ga@newsreader.com...
> > : "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> > : >> LOL Nad,
> > : >
> > : You call him Nad?
> >
> >> your read the post You slipping again? Dig it..read
it..again,archive
> > boy.
> >
> What's to read? You reposted it,and called Lee,Nad.

Don't ask me why either. I don't know.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2198. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:49:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <GwKdnVMws-MOX0OgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144729

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120214845.783$C3@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021120184055.794$ga@newsreader.com...
> > > : "Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
> > > : >> LOL Nad,
> > > : >
> > > : You call him Nad?
> > >
> > >> your read the post You slipping again? Dig it..read
it..again,
> > >> archive
> > > > boy.
> > > >
> >> What's to read? You reposted it,and called Lee,Nad.
> >
> Hey Lee,do you like to be called Nad?

I've been called all sorts of things on this news group. Makes no
difference to me. Of course it makes it hard for everybody else to
figure out who is who.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

2199. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-22 23:53:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21912-3DDEC373-369@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144735

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8728-3DDD143B-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject. Please
allow me to displace your bullshit.

>I'll disprove yours Twisted.<

Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
spectrum.

>I would like to see CBers run fast scan TV on >11m.

Fast scan wasn't included in "the above", as were none other of your
non-examples. Fact: You just hate when someone ruins your diatribe with
credibility and truth.

2200. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:04:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144734

>About the only disagreement we had was over >the Tech License issue. --

(snip)
Now, now Lelnad.....you may try to pass that lie off on some newbies, but the regulars are familiar with what took place. Dick stone-cold busted you and you immediately attacked him with a pathetic failed redirect, not unlike the one you failed with in this post.......it is exactly what we expect of you and what you have come to epitomize...the punch-clown among hammie radio that no one wants to claim or associate with.

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Have this on my Tee-shirt:
"T"

2201. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:04:23 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 144728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>, w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net says...
>
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...
>
> > A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> > that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> > ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> > hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> > to be Extras.
>
> The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
> he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
> ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

Yeah, it was on 2 meters. This doesn't happen a lot like it did back 10
years ago, but it still happens, and it's always veteran hams that do it,
not no code techs or anyone recently licensed.
>
> But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
> is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
> abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
> something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
> you'll find a very different group of hams.

If they have a 4x4 call, they aint nocoders..
>
> > If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> > these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> > remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> > anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> > code was introed.
>
> Repeaters again...

Yeah, that's where no code techs usually start out!

>
> > This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> > some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> > these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> > make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
> >
> >

> > BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..
>
> And your callsign is?
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>

I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...

BDK

2202. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:04:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211221604.2b432435@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144730

lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou (Lowrider667) wrote in message
news:<20021122145136.11813.00000178@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> it,s on map 43 8-h >> and you all are up schitt's creek
> >
> >What map page is that on?
>
>
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

oh give me a break...........maryland asshole........when was the last
time you saw 50k watts......good buddy.....???? you sir are
dreaming.......and you know why???? no money no honey..........now
then get on the back of the bus where you belong.
..............mudduck..............

2203. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:06:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211221606.327425c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144731

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DDE6289.56DEA110@mailcity.net>...
> Scooter Tramp wrote:
> >
> > I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or twice)
> > have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
> > used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing
> > paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where)
> > live in the United States of America,and as such,are covered by our laws.Those
> > laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
> > guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court
> > of law,Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally
> > concidered "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (
> > FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
> > actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
> > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>
> And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
> more proof is needed?

jj........hit the bricks mudduck.................you are not wanted
here..............good buddy...........

2204. Re: 170 in the sandpile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:07:49 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211221607.e865152@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144732

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<8728-3DDE4E03-134@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Y'all listen up for 170 with his richochet skip dish........he's out
> there now.

twistie quit encouraging the missuse of the freebands ....that
is a illegal operation..................rogo d???????????

2205. Oral defecations by KC8LDO (Was Re: More Twisted Lies)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:12:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21912-3DDEC80B-375@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144739

The only reason IMHO many Hams push the code test issue is its the only currently testable skill you can't cheat on during testing. The written exams are a complete joke. Anybody with a yellow high-liter and a few weekends can easily memorize enough of the question pool to pass any of the exams, and still not know a thing. I passed the Tech exam on a bet from somebody who claimed they would pay the exam fee if I passed. I never even studied for it.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

HAhahahahaha! The fact that you feel this an accomplishment that somehow says something about you is ghastly ironic. It speaks volumes, but not the way you think it comes across. No wonder you and Dave HAll get on so well. You not only espouse the same incorreect bullshit and share the same ridiculous lies, but you both enjoy namecalling, attacking, taking pleasure in others dilemmas, and you both are largely incapable of seeing any other view but what your tunnel vision allows. Entertainment is derived from allowing you both the freedom to put forth an image you wish to project to the world.

2206. i want to win that xforce amp that frank is giving away on world wide radio club.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:15:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211221615.5348eba2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144736

damn it ....i need a new base unit........i am going to cheat my ass off and win that damn thing because it jus...........bees...........that way sometimes.............move over cali boy...........you ain't got a prayer.........last time you cheated unit 339 asshole.........but this time no dice.............the deal is this...........i, your radio host is going to kick that ass on sideband.........no doubt about it.............

2207. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:19:52 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <IJzD9.3848$EY.3229@fe01>
Source record: 144737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > And your callsign is?
> >
> > 73 de W6DKN
> >
> >
>
> I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs
> since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure
> you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW
> thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't
> have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...
>

So, in effect, you have never experienced anything beyond basic entry level operation? That's not much scope of experience to be basing such a negative view of the hobby on.

Since you claim to have passed the 5 WPM element in the past, all you have to do is show proof of it to the examiner when you take your General (or higher) written element and you will have your HF ticket. Isn't that what you wanted, elevated privileges without having to take the code test?

73 de W6DKN

2208. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:24:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DDECABC.C8EB1A4F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 144748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??
>
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> news:D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net...
> >
> > "craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Tom Welch wrote:
> > >
> > > > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > > > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > > > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> > > >
> > > > HELL NO!
> > > >
> > > .
> > >
> > > .
> > > .
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Hey guys, it is 2002
> > > >
> > >
> > > I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> > > language today (2002).
> > >
> > Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
> > code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
> > or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of
> programmers.
> > However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
> > and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this
> relationship
> > and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system
> performance.
> >

> >
> > >
> > > And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> > > products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> > > one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> > > the reason for using it.
> > >
> > Of course.
> >
> > >
> > > Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> > > all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> > > is their choice.
> > >
> > Agreed.
> >
> > >
> > > Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> > > sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> > > testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> > > privilege to operate a radio.
> > >
> > Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
> > problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
> > important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.
> >
> > John

2209. Increased activity above 28mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:25:41 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uttimje6kqvb19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144738

I was surprised by the increase in trucker activity above 28mhz until I visited the trucking newsgroup. Way to go Voob!
Voob posted his thoughts in the trucking newsgroup and while we hear know him the trucking comunity didn't....untill now:)
Now truckers who never touched the band selector on thier Galaxy radios are going high and daring Hams and the FCC to do something. With just a few keystrokes voob managed to inflame hundreds if not thousands of truckers and made the situation 10 times worse.
Good one:)!!!!!!!!
Sincerely
Ken

2210. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 00:43:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDECF16.40A6EC83@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
language you are coding directly in the language the machine
understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
in an executable file (.exe).

2211. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:00:03 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <gjAD9.710$pc.144054@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com...
> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?
>
> HELL NO!
>
One of the biggest justifications for Ham radio licensing is
for emergency operations. It is MUCH MUCH more likely for
radiotelephone to be of immediate use (other than post-WWIII situations)
than code.

Code should be encouraged for those who like that medium, but
technical competency is much more important in general (esp for
high power operation.)

John

2212. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:18:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221718.33d13fe8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:<UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>...
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...
>
> > A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> > that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> > ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> > hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> > to be Extras.

I've seen it.

> The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
> he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
> ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

Lots of NCT's like fm simplex as much as the next guy. Some even use
other modes such as SSB, packet, and even CW. Why must the rudeness
only take place on repeaters? Have you never been to a club meeting
where it takes place in person?

> But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
> is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
> abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
> something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
> you'll find a very different group of hams.

Your comments are very limited and misleading. I've heard noisy
elitists at the Dayton Hamvention where they don't care who they
offend.

> > If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> > these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> > remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> > anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> > code was introed.
>
> Repeaters again...

Limited again. But a hotspot of rudeness. I witnessed a 2by2 with
the first name Curtis berating a new ham's first QSO on the air - on a
St Louis repeater. Apalling.

> > This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> > some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> > these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did

> > make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
> >
> >
> > BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..
>
> And your callsign is?
>
> 73 de W6DKN

Mine's N0iMD

2213. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:40:46 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <sVAD9.715$pc.144217@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:ark2o4hqj0@208.207.71.154...
>
>
> "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> >
> > We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing
> > or two.
> >
>
>
> But first he's gotta learn a thing or two.
>
Sure, I used to be a ham... (probably before alot of these readers
where born.) Had the code and everything. Additionally,
the rules and regulations were easy... The technical part was trivial
(even as a highschooler, I didn't have to study that.) Sure, my First
Phone was a little more difficult, but still technically easy (the rules and regulations
were much more difficult.) I also had NO BENEFIT of tutoring, but
simply learn and understand very quickly (still do.) There is NO EXCUSE
for IRRESPONSIBLY avoiding the demand of technical competency,
because it is the tool used to support responsible behavior on the
shared airwaves.

Learning an anachronistic and rather less useful skill, like code is mostly
a waste of a newbies time (unless they *want* to use code.) For
typical emergency situations (nowadays), radiotelephone will be much
more useful. Trying to be elitist based upon an anachronism, has mostly
the effect of creating an exclusive club and creating a barrier in the wrong
place. If anything, the barrier MUST be on the basis of being able to
operate competently (including technical competency.)

It is good that code has been dropped on the above HF frequencies, but
even HF rules could stand some revisiting by the international organizations.

For those who claim that I might need to learn something, it would be
good to learn some respect for those who have 'been there, done that.' I
do have some problems with certain fine motor skills. The problem isnt'
any better since I was young. It isn't a handicap, but the anachronism
and simple waste of time for any operation that I would be interested in
is a serious disincentive (rather than the appropriate disincentive against
technical incompetency.)

It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they need
to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism based

upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear to be friendly.

John

2214. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:46:17 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
>
>
> Tom Welch wrote:
>
> > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> >
> > HELL NO!
> >
> .
>
> .
> .
>
> >
> > Hey guys, it is 2002
> >
>
> I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> language today (2002).
>
Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of programmers.
However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this relationship
and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system performance.

>
> And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> the reason for using it.
>
Of course.

>
> Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> is their choice.
>
Agreed.

>
> Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> privilege to operate a radio.
>
Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.

John

2215. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:47:35 UTC
From: craigm <camenning@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net>
Source record: 144742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Welch wrote:

> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?
>
> HELL NO!
>
.

.
.

>
> Hey guys, it is 2002
>

I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly language today (2002). And it is for major companies, and leading edge products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand the reason for using it.

Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that is their choice.

Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the privilege to operate a radio.

(I wouldn't hire a programmer that doesn't know assembly.)

To me it is clear that code is a viable part of the hobby. When I no longer hear code in the HF bands, I may consider it to be obsolete. Since people are using it today (2002) it clearly isn't obsolete.

On the other hand arguing about this is rather pointless.

Later,

Craig

2216. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 01:53:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 144745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message news:D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net...
>
> "craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
> >
> >
> > Tom Welch wrote:
> >
> > > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> > >
> > > HELL NO!
> > >
> > .
> >
> > .
> > .
> >
> > >
> > > Hey guys, it is 2002
> > >
> >
> > I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> > language today (2002).
> >
> Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
> code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
> or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of programmers.
> However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
> and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this relationship
> and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system performance.
>
>
> >

> > And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> > products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> > one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> > the reason for using it.
> >
> Of course.
>
> >
> > Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> > all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> > is their choice.
> >
> Agreed.
>
> >
> > Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> > sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> > testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> > privilege to operate a radio.
> >
> Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
> problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
> important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.
>
> John

2217. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:01:31 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021122210131.08588.00000095@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 144746

don,t go away mad ..just go the fuck away..he he he he he >oh give me a
break..........maryland asshole........when was the last
>time you saw 50k watts......good buddy.....???? you sir are
>dreaming.......and you know why???? no money no honey..........now
>then get on the back of the bus where you belong.
>.............mudduck.............
>

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2218. Re: Frankie follows like a homeless person, might be one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:20:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3ddee5d3.4496050@news.cet.com>
Source record: 144747

In <3DDEB9C7.A2697EDE@yahoo.com>, piper for frankie G
<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>There was no attempt to correct, it was simply a comment, accurate
>as written. You must have fallen off your fake harley to be nit
>picking this thread so bad.

Do you actually think that I'm buying that, Skippy? Not a chance.

<snip>
>How many of them are currently making rf amplifiers like the
>example..? How many, if any current rf amplifier manufactures use a
>specific sequence relay in their circuits..?
>
>The answer is close to if not zero... back ye heathen with
>thy dated technology. Chase ye not windmills in this here land.

More than you think, Skippy. Any good designer of common-cathode
tetrode/pentode amps will add a sequenced relay specifically to make
sure the screen is last-on-first-off (when the screen supply is
seperate from the plate supply).

>> >A relay "Sequencing" notation seems to be missing from the circuit
>> >diagram. You are again chasing windmills...
>>
>> And you are having a hard time with your reading comprehension: see
>> above where I said, "Schematics don't usually indicate when a relay
>> operates in this way". Regardless, sometimes the sequencing is done
>> with two relays, and sometimes with one relay using different contact
>> gaps. But you will be hard-pressed to find a schematic that makes any
>> notation about the latter. Anyone that has ever done any amount of
>> radio repairs knows exactly what I am talking about. It's obvious that
>> you don't.
>
>Remember mr off topic.... the original amplifier circuit in question has
>one relay.

I never said it wasn't, Skippy. I guess I have to rephrase this a
third time so you can understand it: Adding extra gap to a pair of
contacts in a relay (by slightly bending the amrature) has the same
effect as using two sequenced relays.

>Other than you gassy cloud sequence story, can you provide any
>modern rf amplifier circuit using a sequence relay...

I'm willing to bet that the amplifier in question does.

> or any mention
>of bending relay armature contacts or using said type of relays in
>their respective parts/owners manual.

You probably won't see such a notation in any owner's or service manuals since these relays are typically ordered from the manufacturer with the specified gap (or 'contact delay' -- same thing). All you get from a service manual is the replacement part number. Replacing one of these with a general-purpose relay -without- checking the contact gaps on the original can lead to some serious trouble (and I learned THAT one from experience).

>Why is it not widely mentioned in modern manuals and text books..?

Sequential relay circuits ARE mentioned in many manuals and text books, even in the ARRL manuals. It's up to the designer to choose how best to implement the circuit.

>> >Your bent armatures suggestion is bad news.
>>
>> Such "bad news" that the practice has been used by almost every
>> manufacturer of transceivers since they were invented? Right. Uh-huh.
>> Ok. Next you are probably going to proclaim that relays are "dated
>> technology". Sure thing, Skippy. Whatever you say.
>
>The subject was a simple rf amplifier circuit... you just want to dance
>the night away on off topic poop again.

Why are they "bad news", Skippy? And if they are "bad news", why have they been used for decades?

>In regards to transceivers... rather than the stupid practice of hosing
>relay contacts... Motorola, GE, RCA and EF Johnson (along with most
>other mfgrs) simply delayed the RF long enough for the antenna relay
>to transfer.
>
>If you ever had your face in any of their manuals, you'd see delayed
>A+ and A- functions doing the task. Hey, what a concept..! In
>specific, see "channel element ground" functions.

Those are common practices with diode switching circuits. Sequential relays accomplish the same thing, and when a relay is going to be used in the circuit it's much easier to gap a pair of contacts than it is to construct a power line delay circuit (which usually won't provide for the disconnection of the RF final before it powers down, burning out the relay contacts before their time).

To verify my statements, all you need to do is to find an old radio that uses a T/R relay, take off the cover and look at the gaps. If you don't have an example of your own, email me your address and I'll send you a whole box full of old T/R relays from a wide variety of radios that use gapped contacts. Some of them have bent armatures and some of them have armature spacers, but all of them were built with the same objective -- a sequential relay.

>Yeah, cranking on relay armatures is pretty bad news... especially
>since many of them are sealed reed relay types. But you knew that
>and obviously holding back to see if I'd mention it... yeah right.!
>
>Hard to crank on a reed relay when its sealed. Yeah baby..!

Reed relays don't carry RF very well. And they certainly don't carry
power because it tends to vaporize the Hg and destroy the relay. They
are usually only used for low-voltage DC and audio switching. But you
knew that, didn't you Skippy?

>> >> And the real issue with the relay in that amp is the fact that there
>> >> is only one for both the input and output. If there is one thing that
>> >> Pride got right with their 100 is their use of seperate input and
>> >> output relays.
>> >
>> >ZZZZZZZZZZZZzZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.....!
>>
>> Gee, another "I don't care" response.
>
>One wonders why your so hot to tell your stories to me... when you
>should be sharing another of your famous verbose analysis with
>the original author. I promise not to laugh too hard if you do.
>Just leave out the equalization networks...

I have had several discussions with Brian on many of the issues he
raised with this amp, including the use of a single relay. He was
right on the money with everything he said and I don't think he should
have stopped when he did. He has learned good information from those
discussions, although I didn't think he ever would at the time. You
should follow his example, Skippy.

2219. Re: i want to win that xforce amp that frank is giving away on worl...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:25:48 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18035-3DDEE72C-495@storefull-2195.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144754

And I thought you were a glass or steel worker!Go figure....Mark

2220. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:27:00 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <UHBD9.2874$q94.243564@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 144749

Some of you must be new to CB. The ID built-in to the radios was tried in the 70s and the human rights people fought it and won. The ID could be in the form of a sub-audible tone series. I do think that they should bring back the licenses for CB and that way they can go to the radio conferences and say, "we have XXXX numbers of CBers" in a cetin state or country and protect the band from being sold off. Now they have no way of knowing how many CBs are out their and active. Lets face it, it was the number of licenses that got us the expansion from 23 to 40 in 1977.

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arlini$k0d1i$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "David S" <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
> news:arjcdb01cht@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the
technology
> > we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again. Built
> into
> > every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit which broadcasts your
> call
> > sign with each key.
>
> Interesting idea. It could be sent as a digital code after you let up the
> key. At our PD, our portable radios emit a "squawk" that has the
individual
> officer's name encoded which reads out on our radio computer screen. This
> makes it easier for us to tell which officer just called in. The same
thing
> could be implemented with CB's, with an LCD readout on the front panel
> reading the ID of the transmitting station. The digital signal could be
> encoded with the user's callsign.
>
> This is only a pipe dream, because there are numerous problems with it.
> First, there are thousands upon thousands of CB's out there already
without
> the ID code, so not everybody would be ID'ing. Secondly, if you sell your
> radio to someone else, they'll have to get the ID changed. If they don't,
> they'll be transmitting your ID. You wouldn't want this if they were
> breaking the rules.
>
> I'm sure I could come up with a dozen other problems as well.
>
> Tom
>

>

2221. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:31:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25088-3DDEE88E-389@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144756

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11506-3DDD22E5-213@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Ignore the inquiries? Nah..as I claimed many time before,,I believe in
returning thisg to their rightful owner...even if some of them don't
want it returned.

>Prove it. That's all you have to do is prove I >posted those notices.
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member
>--
>"I care about truth not for truth's sake but for >my own."

You just did.

2222. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:31:57 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xMBD9.2843$8m5.97245536@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144750

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:Y5KdnVKEG9KtzUOgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:YXrD9.2667$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> :
> : It's ok Aaron, that's George Busch WA3MOJ, he has
> : been a troll here for almost three years now. He use's multiple
> : screen nics to by pass people's kill files, also he has multiple
accounts
> : that he post from to troll this and other newsgroups.
> : Stick around, he'll start accusing , harassing, using foul
> : language to disrupt the newsgroup.
> :
> : Landshark
>
> It is ok Aaron that is juts Landshark, he suffers from delusions of
> granduer, he thinks I am everyone, he has tried to TOS me If I was
everyone
> he said I wouldn't be here any longer . He has been know to frequent the
> alt.sex.ts Newsgroups. A quick check will confirm this to be true. His
> buddy Scott 69 uses comcast and changes nics at the drop of a hat he won't
> say anything to him just proves he is a troll trolling certain peoples
> posts. he has also been know to disrupt this Ng using foul language
> harrassing style post. It is all in the NG archives. here is some help
just
> tweak the name landshark around and look in the archives, he has used
> prodigy as well as a eamil address, and have been caught using the name
> Frenchy as well as a few others. He is not real bright and I let him know.
> he also never post's about Cb radio, he is more concerned with what I do
> since Sparky caught him in the alt.sex.ts and homo NG's, he is mad at me
for
> brining it up on occasion. Have a good one catch you on the airwaves.
>
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&q=author:S3Buell
> %40SBCGlobal.Net.+
>
>

LMAO!!! Now George is trying to be Scott, man what
this person will do for attention. Aaron, all you need to do

see what he's posted, no matter when or where he posts, it is always in an accusatory, harassing, foul language fashion, so he'll whine away, but he is a troll and hates having the light shined on him, you can tell by the way he changes screen nics <G>

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2223. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:34:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25088-3DDEE945-390@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144755

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120211542.792$rm@newsreader.com...
"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184055.794$ga@newsreader.com...
"Perculator" <rcranus@hotmail.spamcom> wrote:
LOL Nad,

>You call him Nad?>

your read the post You slipping again?

>Dig it..read
>it..again,archive
>boy.

What's to read? You reposted it,and called Nad, "Nad".

==========
Don't ask me why either. I don't know.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Have this on my Tee-shirt:
"T"

'Cause it fits.

2224. Re: Ohio Tornado outbreak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:37:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3ddee589_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 144752

Does he out run dem with a Chivvy or a fowrd?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120213105.180$eW@newsreader.com...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
> > "Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
> > news:<fnRC9.858$37.87298@cletus.bright.net>...
> > > From: Dave VanHorn (dvanhorn@cedar.net)
> > > Subject: Re: OT- survived the storm unscathed
> > >
> > >
> > > View this article only
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Date: 2002-11-11 15:10:54 PST
> > >
> > > > I'm in Ohio,and there was an F4 in Van Wert. Luckily only 4 killed,
> > > > thanks to really good warnings,and it hit a rural area.
> > >
> > > That's all you can do.
> > >
> > > > Akron/Canton got beat up pretty well too.
> > > > I was lucky,just a few branches down..sigh.
> > >
> > > About the spookiest thing I've seen while spotitng, is pieces of
> > > leaves, floating down out of a blue sky. Towers were all around us,
> > > but overhead was clear, and there were these pieces, up to quarter
> > > sized or so, some with twigs still attached, floating down from as
high
> > > as the eye could see. Obviously somewhere nearby there were some trees
> > > getting hoovered, but nothing that any of the spotters could see.
> > > --------------
> > >
> > > The F4 that hit Van Wert went through my county, I live about 6 miles
> > > from where it dissapated.
> > > 73 de Keith
> >
> >> they say they sound like a train.....they do.....they say that
> > rain goes sideways.....it does.....been there and done that.....a
> > very scarely thing.....and also it moves too fast to outrun it
> > with a car.....
> >
> You can follow them with a car....but out-running them is scary. One of my
> buddies is a wacked out storm chaser...jackass...lol

>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em.
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2225. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:44:37 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <armpr40so9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net...
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically
interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

Yes, this is pretty much how computer languages evolved. Assembly language is still used today mostly in the development of high level languages (C, VB, Java, etc.) and operating systems, because as each new processor hits the market, they have their own instruction set.

BTW, there is a difference between assembly and machine language. Machine language is that which is understood by the computer - the 1s and 0s. If you had to write and debug a program using only 1s and 0's, you'd soon become frustrated after trying to debug the first couple lines of code. Assembly is the next step up from machine language. It uses alphanumeric representations of the 1s and 0s that the computer uses, and a compilier converts them to the machine language that the computer understands.

2226. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:46:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3012-3DDEEC03-443@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144760

>I've been called all sorts of things on this news >group. Makes no
difference to me. Of course it >makes it hard for everybody else to
figure out >who is who.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member

Note the demonstrated concern for cbers <g>.

"No man for any considerable period can wear one face to himself and
another to the multitude, without finally getting bewildered as to which
may be the true." - Hawthorne

2227. Re: 170 in the sandpile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:49:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3018-3DDEECC8-2@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144761

From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<8728-3DDE4E03-134@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Y'all listen up for 170 with his richochet skip dish.....he's out
there now.

>twistie quit encouraging the missuse of the >freebands .....that
>is a illegal operation.....rogo >d???????????

Freeband? Freeband? Did I say Freeband?
WEll, my deepest apologies, suh! I'll be certain to fly straight and
righten up....uh..you know what I mean!

2228. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 02:54:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3012-3DDEEDFF-444@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144759

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:

Hammies that freeband.

>I never do that,<

So you say.

>And I do as I say.<

Ok, so you have the beneft of the doubt unless you begin to lay with dogs and get personal when a view is held by another you disagree with.

>You see I obey the rules. <

So you claim.

>And I do as I claim.<

And against my better judgement, I'm gonna believe you again. If you're truly an upperclassmen, you wouldn't have any problem enlightening me in email as to some technical question I may have pertaining to some of the knowledge you professed by virtue of your ticket, no?

2229. Hmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 03:30:02 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_CCD9.2536$4j5.1391323@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144751

So let me see..
Being on alt. radio.pirate, owning a HR-2510 and a galaxy amp, plus posting my take about the possibility of using 26 Mhz as a pirate radio frequency makes me a troll. Great logic.

I think "troll" for you would be someone who posts something you don't agree with. Had I really been a troll, I'd post something like "All CB'ers are fat bald virgins who wish they could be ham radio operators." or some mindless piss like that.

But actually, it just daened on me. I am a troll. I live in the lower part of Michgian, or as Yoopers call us "trolls" so I'll take your troll as a compilement.
Lets say it together now shall we..

troll
troll
troll

everyone on the count of three...

Aaron
KB8ZVN

2230. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 03:31:24 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122223124.24143.00000121@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 144762

Steps to better Amateur Radio
1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
2. Get rid of excess codewords.
3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
(but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
10. More clout with politicians.
11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of people
to test them.
(Could be done in a contest).

15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the license
classes.
17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
Technician, General, Extra
(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
(I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here instead
of Extras).

22. Real Emergency communications training,
(must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.
23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field day.

24. More real skill building activities
25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

2231. SkyLab T233 aka Starduster ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:03:31 UTC
From: xyz890 <post2group@_.org>
Message-ID: <kgvttukpur6jipr90i1s2d6mrupki2nalv@4ax.com>
Source record: 144793

what are the specs on this antenna? how does it compare to other
11/10 meter antennas?

2232. SkyLab T233 aka Starduster ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:03:36 UTC
From: xyz890 <post2group@_.org>
Message-ID: <qgvttu44p2d2ult8044f4ppou21p9122sg@4ax.com>
Source record: 144792

what are the specs on this antenna? how does it compare to other
11/10 meter antennas?

2233. SkyLab T233 aka Starduster ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:04:13 UTC
From: xyz890 <post2group@_.org>
Message-ID: <shvttu8lkrt0d3k9e963ngn0ol9o2of4ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 144794

what are the specs on this antenna? how does it compare to other
11/10 meter antennas?

2234. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:10:15 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GcDD9.2541$4j5.1395438@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com...
> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?

Some one had better know how to write in assembly language. You do need to develop ever advancing compilers etc and that may take some assembly language programming even today.

2235. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:45:03 UTC
From: Holier-Than-Thou <Critical@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 144763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> (snip)
> >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
need
> > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism
> > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear
> > to be friendly.
> >
> > John
> >
> Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.

Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones who
take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
tatter about the poor operating procedures of others. This is, in itself, a
form of elitism in that a minority of ops willingly choose to castigate
others for operating procedures with which they do not agree. Beware of
"self-policing" and the resultant criticism of other ops...this, in the eyes
of many, has not the appearance of being friendly.
So far it would appear that "self-policing" is but a nice feel-good idea
with little merit and zero results.

2236. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:46:25 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <uDDD9.720$pc.145285@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net...
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??
>
Machine code, and the textual representation of machine code: assembly language
are the lowest normal level of programming (ignore microcode, etc.) The
ultimate output of compilers and assemblers is the machine code. There are other
ways of making computers do what you want also, including 'interpreters' and/or
'emulators'.

One first creates a bunch of 'source files' that contain the program instructions
(in high level language or in assembly code), and then apply the source files to
a compile/linkage process, or directly execute the program using an 'interpreter'.
Interpreter programs can either 'execute' the code directly upon parsing (reading)
the program statements, or can create an internal version (or somewhere in
between.) In the case of compile/link-type systems, they produce a program that
can be run directly on the computer under specific operating systems that support
I/O and other functions. The simplest forms of Java are a hybrid, where you
compile the source files, but you run a program that interprets the psuedo-machine
code as if it is a real processor (well, sort of...)

There are more than I list, but off the top, I can think of at least these four methods
of 'making computers do what you want':

1) Compiler/Assembler/Linker:
These take a higher level language (assembler is slightly higher level than
machine code from a representational standpoint) than machine code, and
produce runnable machine code. This is like using Microsoft-C or MASM,
linking the output with libraries, and produce an EXE file for NT/2000. A
similar thing is normally done on Unix.

2) Traditional interpreter:
The MS Basic interpreter that Billy and friend wrote would directly execute
the text of a program, but reparsing and executing the code statement by statement.

3) Less traditional interpreter:
AFAIR, Perl creates a compiled copy of at least a part of the program, but the
'compiled' output is an internal representation that is used as a set of instructions
for software.

4) P-Code compiler/interpreter
Java and the old UCSD P-System on a PDP11 uses an explicit compiler to
produce a file that is similar to machine instructions. The difference is that the
'machine instructions' are actually created for a formally defined software

interpreter for those instructions. In some cases, Java performance has been improved so that during the 'interpretation' of those virtual instructions, native (real) machine code is made available for future execution in a given running of a program. Even further, it is possible to 'compile' those Java virtual machine instructions into an EXE-type file that would be normally directly created by a Microsoft-C or Unix-C compiler and linkage process.

So, I hope this helps to clarify, but probably is 'too much' from the standpoint starting from scratch.

John

2237. Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:57:13 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <JUDD9.161031$c51.48744325@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144765

I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698

Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!

A.E. 352
27.395 LSB

2238. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 04:58:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF0AF5.1658856F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and the
> > > operator??
> > >
> >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > in an executable file (.exe).
> >
> You mispelt software.
>

I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
to read things that aren't there though.
```

2239. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:10:13 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <OZDD9.723$pc.145779@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Holier-Than-Thou" <Critical@Anon.com> wrote in message news:arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> > (snip)
> > >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
> need
> > > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism
> > > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear
> > > to be friendly.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.
>
> Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones who
> take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
> tatter about the poor operating procedures of others.
>
I agree that there is at least one 'Barney Fife' in every organization. However, it is
better that Ham radio be self-policed than to have the FCC crack down only against
things that they politically don't like. If ham radio is allowed to be a cesspool of
people who violate conventions, but technically obey the law enough to stay under
the FCCs radar, then that would diminish the hobby. I am not familiar anymore with
the ARRL guidelines, but there should certainly be some guidelines so that the 'net-police'
or the
'ham-police' people who have a little too much zeal can be helped back to
more reasonable attitudes. The various conventions and rules of etiquette need to
be taken seriously, and I seem to remember that even when I got my license a long
time ago that there were such 'conventions.'

It is much better that reasonable guidelines be instituted, and strong suggestions
be made in the guidelines that the spectrum is to be shared amongst HROs
according to the law and conventions. If there aren't reasonable guidelines, and/or
intolerant people who seem to emotionally need to be snobs, then the self
policing will sometimes fail. Frankly, from what I have heard, the current scheme
seems to work okay, but there'll always be those people with too much zeal!!! :-(.

People do tend to be cliquish, and I have made various such mistakes in
previous situations. It is important that the 'elders' avoid become
condescending or turn into control freaks.

I don't mean to compare hams with other community radio service participants, but I remember my Dad talking about various groups believing that they 'own' certain CB channels (this was back in the 'Convoy' days). It is sadly odd, if not almost funny to think that someone is so diluded into thinking that they can have dominion over a resource like that. Again, times have probably changed a little on CB, and I am not making that complaint about amateur radio (I am just seriously thinking about ham again), but even good people can become petit and cliquish in situations that lend themselves to that kind of behavior.

I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and applications that do interesting things.)

Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to embark on a new hobby...

John

2240. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:30:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <aoED9.3018$NQ6.103007475@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144767

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021122223656.696$6y@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > "Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
> > news:Y5KdnVKEG9KtzUOgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > > news:YXrD9.2667$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > :
> > > : It's ok Aaron, that's George Busch WA3MOJ, he has
> > > : been a troll here for almost three years now. He use's multiple
> > > : screen nics to by pass people's kill files, also he has multiple
> > accounts
> > > : that he post from to troll this and other newsgroups.
> > > : Stick around, he'll start accusing , harassing, using foul
> > > : language to disrupt the newsgroup.
> > > :
> > > : Landshark
> > >
> > > It is ok Aaron that is juts Landshark, he suffers from delusions of
> > > granduer, he thinks I am everyone, he has tried to TOS me If I was
> > everyone
> > > he said I wouldn't be here any longer . He has been know to frequent
> > > the alt.sex.ts Newsgroups. A quick check will confirm this to be
true.
> > > His buddy Scott 69 uses comcast and changes nics at the drop of a hat
> > > he won't say anything to him just proves he is a troll trolling
certain
> > > peoples posts. he has also been know to disrupt this Ng using foul
> > > language harrassing style post. It is all in the NG archives. here is
> > > some help
> > just
> > > tweak the name landshark around and look in the archives, he has used
> > > prodigy as well as a eamil address, and have been caught using the
name
> > > Frenchy as well as a few others. He is not real bright and I let him
> > > know. he also never post's about Cb radio, he is more concerned with
> > > what I do since Sparky caught him in the alt.sex.ts and homo NG's, he
> > > is mad at me
> > for
> > > brining it up on occasion. Have a good one catch you on the airwaves.
> > >
> > >

> > >
> >
http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&q=author:S3Bu
> > ell
> > > %40SBCGlobal.Net.+
> > >
> > >
> >
> >> LMAO!!! Now George is trying to be Scott, man what
> > this person will do for attention. Aaron, all you need to do
> > see what he's posted, no matter when or where he posts, it is
> > always in an accusatory, harassing, foul language fashion, so
> > he'll whine away, but he is a troll and hates having
> > the light shined on him, you can tell by the way he changes
> > screen nics <G>
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> i hope this ain't the same Aaron,on the other side of the fence,now!?
>
> --
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service New Rate! $9.95/Month 50GB

Nah, I doubt it. But even if it is, it just shows how much
of a troll they are.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2241. Re: Hmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:30:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <aoED9.3017$NQ6.103007475@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144768

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021122220915.247$ba@newsreader.com...
> >
> Not to worry,Aaron. I've only been called a 'troll' by georgie boy. He
> seems to have a seperate agenda here..instead of being a CB'er.
>
> I'm sure his opinion won't sway your posts.
>
> --
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service New Rate! $9.95/Month 50GB

It only sways him IE: (George), when he's hitting the Boone's Farm
Strawberry hill.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownbusters (anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com IE:
Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

2242. FS: Hallicrafters CB-7 tube CB transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:42:28 UTC
From: galasphere@telus.net
Message-ID: <3DDF1566.F582D41B@telus.net>
Source record: 144769

For Sale,

Hallicrafters model CB-7 vintage tube CB transceiver.

Good working condition with microphone.

$50 US including shipping.

Please reply to galasphere@telus.net if interested.

Colin

2243. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:43:54 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utu5d1lc9652eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:
>
> I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those
> who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer
> person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed
> towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the
> computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> applications that do interesting things.)
>
> Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to
> embark on a new hobby...
>
> John

You've found the key word...HOBBY. Its not a job or political position
and and if its a PITA you can (and should) just walk away and do
something else. No need to hang out on a repeater/club/band/newsgroup
if the general attitude there is such that aggravates you and takes away
from the enjoyment. No rocket science and no Morse code unless you want
it that way.
Good luck,
Bill WX4A

2244. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:48:38 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <fnydnRBD18wqi0KgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144771

Nothing Illegal about that amp unless a Cber gets ahold of it.

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:JUDD9.161031$c51.48744325@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
: I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this
: FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob
: Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
: http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698
:
: Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!
:
: A.E. 352
: 27.395 LSB
:
:

2245. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:58:28 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <0HED9.725$pc.146163@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu5d1lc9652eb@corp.supernews.com...
> John S. Dyson wrote:
> >
> > I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those
> > who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer
> > person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed
> > towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the
> > computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> > applications that do interesting things.)
> >
> > Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to
> > embark on a new hobby...
> >
> > John
>
> You've found the key word...HOBBY. Its not a job or political position
> and and if its a PITA you can (and should) just walk away and do
> something else. No need to hang out on a repeater/club/band/newsgroup
> if the general attitude there is such that aggravates you and takes away
> from the enjoyment. No rocket science and no Morse code unless you want
> it that way.
>
I do agree with that. It would be good if the unecessary cost of entry to HF
'Morse code' could be removed (limited by treaty) however.

My interest in technical competence for HROs is based upon being able to
responsibly deal with and understand problems dealing with more than low
power transmitters. With high power comes more responsibility for local
interference and occupation of spectrum space.

I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification. However,
that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power on
VHF/UHF.

John

John

2246. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 05:59:21 UTC
From: David S <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <arn5fp01fq5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144777

Well, like I said, I haven't figured out all of the little details. I guess both parties would be required to fill out a form, paper or online, whenever there's a change of ownership. This way you couldn't have others filling out forms saying you sold your rig or they sold their's to you. I'd also like to see the timers built into CBs that are currently in amatuer radio (as I understand...not a ham) to stop dead-keyers. Definitely would like to raise the legal power output also, variable...this would satisfy those who swear by 4 watts. I'll think of more, but these are my major changes I'd like to see.

David

JJ wrote:
> David S wrote:
>>
>> Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the
>> technology we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license
>> again. Built into every radio (and non-modifiable) should be a unit
>> which broadcasts your call sign with each key.
>
>
> And suppose you sell your rig to someone like twistie, who thinks
> it's great to operate illegally and they assume it is you?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 11/21/2002

2247. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:05:38 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <wYadnSQi29suh0KgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144773

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25088-3DDEE945-390@storefull-

>Dig it..read
>it..again,archive
>boy.

What's to read? You reposted it,and called Nad, "Nad".
****

No shit what's to read, I was wondering the same thing. Why did he ask me what I called him if he read my post?

2248. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:11:36 UTC
From: Holier-Than-Thou <Critical@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <arn63t$2fa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 144774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:OZDD9.723$pc.145779@news.iquest.net...
>
> "Holier-Than-Thou" <Critical@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> > > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> > > (snip)
> > > >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
> > need
> > > > > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false
elitism
> > > > > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > > > > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > > > > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also
appear
> > > > > to be friendly.
> > > > >
> > > > > John
> > > > >
> > > Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.
> > >
> > Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones
who
> > take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
> > tatter about the poor operating procedures of others.
> >
> I agree that there is at least one 'Barney Fife' in every organization.
However, it is
> better that Ham radio be self-policed than to have the FCC crack down only
against
> things that they politically don't like. If ham radio is allowed to be a
cesspool of
> people who violate conventions, but technically obey the law enough to
stay under
> the FCCs radar, then that would diminish the hobby. I am not familiar
anymore with
> the ARRL guidelines, but there should certainly be some guidelines so that
the 'net-police' or the
> 'ham-police' people who have a little too much zeal can be helped back to
> more reasonable attitudes. The various conventions and rules of

etiquette need to
> be taken seriously, and I seem to remember that even when I got my license
a long
> time ago that there were such 'conventions.'
>
> It is much better that reasonable guidelines be instituted, and strong
suggestions
> be made in the guidelines that the spectrum is to be shared amongst HROs
> according to the law and conventions. If there aren't reasonable
guidelines, and/or
> intolerant people who seem to emotionally need to be snobs, then the self
> policing will sometimes fail. Frankly, from what I have heard, the
current scheme
> seems to work okay, but there'll always be those people with too much
zeal!!! :-(.
>
> People do tend to be cliquish, and I have made various such mistakes in
> previous situations. It is important that the 'elders' avoid become
> condescending or turn into control freaks.
>
> I don't mean to compare hams with other community radio service
participants,
> but I remember my Dad talking about various groups believing that they
'own'
> certain CB channels (this was back in the 'Convoy' days). It is sadly
odd, if
> not almost funny to think that someone is so diluded into thinking that
they can
> have dominion over a resource like that. Again, times have probably
changed
> a little on CB, and I am not making that complaint about amateur radio (I
am
> just seriously thinking about ham again), but even good people can become
> petit and cliquish in situations that lend themselves to that kind of
behavior.
>
> I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages
(including those
> who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious
computer
> person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare
directed
> towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing
the
> computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> applications that do interesting things.)
>
> Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be
able to
> embark on a new hobby...

>
> John

Well, as Roy Rogers would say...Happy Trails To You....

2249. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:12:26 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <_96cnXuhtJLWgUKgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144775

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:xMBD9.2843$8m5.97245536@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

:
: LMAO!!! Now George is trying to be Scott,
****
Is that Scott I thought scott was B. Hitt oh thats right he changed his name
again. Why haven't you cried about him doing it? Ah because why?

man what this person will do for attention.
****
you give me all the attention I need.

Aaron, all you need to do
: see what he's posted, no matter when or where he posts, it is
: always in an accusatory, harassing, foul language fashion,
****
Only to you sweet cheeks. LOL you are a real saint lol

so he'll whine away,
****
listen who is whining again. I'm not whining about you you are whining about
me wake up moron.
did I hurt your feelings again?

but he is a troll and hates having the light shined on him,
****

No that is you you cockroach, as notice by your incessant whining and
crying.

you can tell by the way he changes screen nics <G>
****
what that I am in a good mood.

2250. Re: Hmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:16:33 UTC
From: Selpats <pc@selpats.com>
Message-ID: <YCmdnUkPYZrfgEKgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144776

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021122220915.247

: Not to worry,Aaron. I've only been called a 'troll' by georgie boy.

Have a problem with the truth? You troll my post's Steve you reply to my post that aren't directed towards you in an effort to inflame thats what a troll does. If we added up all your "helpful post" and all the bullshit ones you will see for the most part your offtopic and trolling peoples posts. Shall we do a head count?

> He seems to have a seperate agenda here..instead of being a CB'er.

So do you your agenda is to troll my post and worry about "george" what exactly is your agenda here ? I like to hear this.

: I'm sure his opinion won't sway your posts.
****

they sway your's.

2251. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:21:33 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <N7FD9.161821$c51.48860533@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144778

Au contrare! Per the FCC Rule Book, "Amateur transmitters may never be operated with a peak envelope power (PEP) output of more than 1500 watts." [97.313(b)]

A.E. 352
You know the rest

STILL....bad, bad, ham.

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:fnydnRBD18wqi0KgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> Nothing Illegal about that amp unless a Cber gets ahold of it.
>
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:JUDD9.161031$c51.48744325@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> : I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this
> : FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob
> : Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
> : http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698
> :
> : Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!
> :
> : A.E. 352
> : 27.395 LSB
> :
> :
>
>

2252. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:21:44 UTC
From: "Chuck C." <chuckcrews61@NOSPAM.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y7FD9.6607$wc2.437008@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 144779
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus) can be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???

I am an Electronics Technican and the only actual concern for a CB, is that the manufacturer can (and likely will) FILTER the CB freqs applied to the Linear Amp, making it not useful.

If you want real power ! Get your Amateur Radio License ! It is EASY and you have MUCH MORE POWER and 1000% better equipment to use.
Up to 1500 watts of LEGAL transmit power ! Actually TALK to people, not listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!

If you have questions about Amateur Radio licensing, e-mail me direct and I will help you all I can.

Chuck - KD4REL

<foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
plain
> stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up on
> rumors....
>
>
> "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> >
> > --
> > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
spread
> > of
> > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > >
> > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
> > type
> > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end
of

> > the
> > > receiver.
> > >
> > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> devices
> > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > >
> > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
amplifiers
> > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > indicates
> > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
perform
> > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > problem
> > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2253. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:24:52 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <mk2dncW5DOCogkKgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144780

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DDE614F-377@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>No one said differently he was told to buy from
>a reputable "Ham' dealer, I know the word
>reputable and you are strangers

Not at all.
My refusal to allow those such as yourself to dictate what constitutes
"reputable" is merely but another in a long line of what plagues and
bewilders you.
****
you call people who market black market amps, who pay no taxes on Ill gotten
gains, who sell a product tht is against federal laws as reputable? Compared
to a stoefront recognized dealer that you can go to and not hide in the back
alley to make a deal.

>but buying from cb web page gurus online or >buying from a reputable
storefront who sells >FCC approved radios. If there is so much >money to
be made and they are allowed to be >sold and used by hams, why doesn't
HRO or >AES , Burkhardt, etc etc or any Big Ham >dealer sell these
radios?

Money? No one said anything if the such except you.
****
No shit sherlock why do you sell something? uh to make money, now Answer
the question Jr,

One topic at a time...
****
Is that all you can handle?

>you say Hams can use em legally Answer me >that Batman.

Answer you WHAT?
You didn't ask anything.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps.<

Galaxy radios? Who conked you on the head?

> In fact Carriage
>Car@aol.com wash who used to post here >has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

And this upsets or pleasures you why?

>Why, of course<

But....why?

>You don't feel that way?<

I find my pleasures not at the expense of others.
****
Yes at your own hands right. Self gratification I think they call that.

"Live and learn."
****
*
>Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let >die" James Bond

Who sang the original theme song?
Paul McCartney (I think).
Anyhooo...you are getting your posts confused again. I didn't say "Live
and Learn".

He can use it on ssb
****
>He sure can, but that was never really even >mentioned.

Sure it was...by me in retort to your false claim that a hammie couldn't
use it legally.
****
he can't you just can accept that fact.

----
and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..

>28.085 yep those damn truckers, thats exactly >where one of those
Galaxy radios will put him.

What do truckers have to do with anything concerning us?
You still seem to be confused about the law. You definitely are
incorrect concerning useage of a Galaxy radio by a hammie.

****
>you are misinformed on many things my >friend,

Actually, I'm not, hence you and the dog-ladies attacking me personally
and not my posts. You effectively reiterate that point on a daily basis
along with Lelnad and Hall.

>what a hammie can use is the least of your >worries.

I have no worries. I just enjoy pointing out your lies.

>Don't sweat it it is on a need
>to know basis and you really don't need to >know.

Then why did you bother to bring it up?I suggest a refresher course in
radio law. Maybe Herry will take pity on you and perform the Heimlich on
you for that crow you are asphyxiating on :)

>your captains license?<

Hahhhaa......it only took you, what....almost 4 years? Maybe in 4 more
you can actually narrow it down to the few thousand on this coast.

****
>where are you heading this time not North I >hope? too cold

I get north once a year or so these days.
Even went through your neck of the woods a few years ago...played in
Lancaster, did the Amish buggy ride, ate at Zinn's on 30...

****
>Is that where we will find you?<

Yea, I'll go back there..good food.

> LOL To the batcave!<

It's good you revel in fantasy. It helps those in doubt understand your
posts.

"maybe the ARRL"
****
>Thinking of becoming a member?<

Maybe I already am.

Jerry will spell it out
****
>I thought he did last week for you, hmm you >still don't understand?

(chuckle)
You illustrated perfectly you not only don't understand, but incorrectly
interpreted what Jerry said. He never claimed what you attributed him.
At least you don't limit your lies about cbers by misattributing what
they say..you extend that courtesy to your fellow hammies, as well.

how many manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are
made here in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?
****
>Any of the licensed hams in the usa can build >there own. Do I win a
prize?

Maybe.......if you can answer the question.

Building a rig doesn't constitute manufacturing. There. Now you have two
erroneous claims that you can educate yourself on.

2254. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:46:33 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:

>
> I do agree with that. It would be good if the unecessary cost of entry to HF
> 'Morse code' could be removed (limited by treaty) however.

VHF/UHF seems to be the experimenter's playground these days and the intl treaty doesn't prevent >30MHz licensing without code. HF will be sticky forever. You can work around the world with a watt on HF, and so can the garbage spouting out of an improperly operated rig, so the potential remains for interference to commercial services and thats why the Treaty is such as it is. Its not an argument that I'm going to try to hold my own on, however. I recall that Japan went to a 'communicator' license scheme back in the 70s-80s and no-coders were given privileges up to 20 watts on HF or something like that. The bands didn't turn into a zoo-like cacophony of echoes and roger-beeps. Maybe in Japan it did...I wouldn't know.

>
> I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
> license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification. However,
> that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power on
> VHF/UHF.

Well, with any drastic change like doing this on HF, there has to be some enforcement mechanism or else the existing rowdy ranks from 26-28 MHz have a real potential to screw things up for everybody on all bands. The FCC got out of the ham bizness many years ago when they turned over 'licensing' to the ARRL. The only time they even bother with enforcement of the Amateur Radio Service is when some nut goes berserk on 75 meters or a repeater and generates a ton of specific complaints. I don't think they want to go back to the patrolling mode like in the old days where if you said s**t on the air you'd automatically get an FCC notice (and a dozen ARRL OO notices)...and I don't think anybody wants to see that. But opening up HF would require such vigilence and we would all pay the price.

Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we vs. them scenario but thats human nature.

I've taught code classes with a hometown club and its very clear that some people simply can't master it but would be 'good' hams nonetheless. I'm not going to speculate about mental blocks and all that but I can

vouch for the ones that would jump up in the middle of a code-practice session and yell out "I ain't puttin' up with this s**t" and storm out of the room, yet 9-year-old kids were able to pass muster. I don't think they'd behave that way at the Driver's License office, so yeah, there's some level of committment that makes getting into the hobby something to work at, even if it may seem archaic. These same 'examples' are the same ones that will also piss and moan about putting their real name and address on a FCC License Application form.

There's a framework in place that allows most anybody whose knuckles don't drag the ground to get a ham radio license. The people who can't accomplish this...well, go figure.
/end rant

-Bill WX4A

2255. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:54:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arn92mesg1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144784

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021119010516.25777.00000164@mb-ci.aol.com...
> And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house
> and plugged it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!

He would not be the first...

I had a neighbour who took the red and black leads from his mobile CB radio
and connected a 3 pin mains plug.
Yep... he connected it direct to 240 Volts AC.

Yeeee-har.
Apart from a popped protection diode... and a new hairstyle, nothing
spectacular happened.

Another bad mistake I saw, was a homebase radio where the mains
side had been messed with. Someone had taken the mains from the
transformer primary... and connected it direct to the main 12 Volt
supply line.
The person said that they had just purchased it from another CBer. They
had seen it working, but went out, got the money, and returned to buy
the radio. I think they got "done".
Not much was left working... with no protection diode in these sets, it
had cooked the PLL, RF output, RF drive, EPROM, etc. They just
had to write it off.

Regards,

Peter.

2256. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:55:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arn930esg7@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144785

"Self Control" <rcranus@hotmail.comOUT> wrote in message
news:IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
>
> Those
> : laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
> : guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers
> **********
> Whoa better ask twisty these guys are admitted law breakers no one had
> these guys under hot lights interrogating them, an admission of guilt?
they
> are proud of it and admittedly so.
>
>
> (one way or the other) but by a court of law,
> **********
> How about an admission of guilt?

According to Sparkles, my reference to "Tubes" was an
admission of law breaking. He didn't stop to consider that,
in the UK, the word "tubes" is used to refer to CRTs... the
devices in amplifiers are called "Valves" or Thermionic
Valves" here in the UK.

From my Collins English Dictionary...
Tube: Electronics See Cathode-ray tube.
No mention of amplifying devices.

So, I think we should allow the legal system to decide
what is an "admission of guilt", not some SELF
APPOINTED "Antikey Clown".

I have a new "nic" for you... "Skippy".
Fitting for someone running a Kangaroo Court.

<plonk... again>

Brainbuster.

2257. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 06:55:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <arn78l$7q0$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 144782
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Unless of course you are on 75m or 2m.. :)

<snippage>

"Chuck C." <chuckcrews61@NOSPAM.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Y7FD9.6607$wc2.437008@news2.east.cox.net...
Actually TALK to people, not
> listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!
>
> Chuck - KD4REL
>
> <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> plain
> > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up
on
> > rumors....
> >
> >
> > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> spread
> > > of
> > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers
and
> > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section
of
> > > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > > >
> > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger
a
> > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage
pulse
> > > type
> > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front
end

> of
> > > the
> > > > receiver.
> > > >
> > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > devices
> > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > >
> > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> amplifiers
> > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > indicates
> > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> perform
> > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > > problem
> > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

2258. Re: FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 07:06:59 UTC
From: Mr 937 <tj1072@covad.net>
Message-ID: <arnd6l$39k$1@news.laserlink.net>
Source record: 144786

Then Go buy it. What are you waiting for? I own an FT-102. Excellent
radio. If it is in really good condition, he'll get that price. No question
about it. And it would not be a waste of money either.
"Eric Oyen" <eric.oyen@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.22.14.37.44.509.15628@cox.net...
> funny thing is, I can buy a *used* ft-1000d for that price right now
> (theres one at the local HRO on consignment).
>
> Meanwhile, he can always put it up on ebay (someone there may pay that
> price, but it will take a while).
>
> DE N7ZZT (Eric Oyen)
> Phoenix, Az
>
>
>
> In article <20021122091718.07799.00006686@mb-mn.aol.com>, "Master Of
> Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote:
>
> > ouch, youll be keeping it a while at that price....
> >
> >
> >>
> >>
> >>This has been my own personal radio for the last few years. Just
> >>upgraded to a
> >>Yaesu FT-1000D and I no longer have a need for the 102. Its been
> >>modified for 11 meters and has the AM/FM board. Its really swings on AM
> >>with loud audio. A 20 watt deadkey swings 100 watts. Nice and smooth on
> >>side band. The radio is in
> >>excellent condition physically and electronically. Asking $490+ shipping
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > --
> > -.-. --.- -.-. --.- -.-. --.- -... ..- .-.. .-.. ... . -

2259. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 07:29:46 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cqbutus87u7kdpl2nsi5p6as6vfcekc649@4ax.com>
Source record: 144787

and by replying to him whats that make you?
take another hit. takeout dog to e-mail me bagger

On 23 Nov 2002 05:49:32 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>> Scooter Tramp wrote:
>> >
>> > I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or
>> > twice) have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term
>> > Federal Criminals used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,
>> > speeders,people stealing paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those
>> > in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where) live in the United States of America,
>> > and as such,are covered by our laws.Those laws state that NO PERSON
>> > shall be concidered a criminal until proven guilty,not by a newsgroup
>> > of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court of law,Therefore
>> > only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally concidered
>> > "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (FCC,
>> > Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
>> > actions,but they are not Federal Criminals. Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>>
>>> And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
>> more proof is needed?
>>
>If that's your agenda here, you'll stay busy. And look like a fool.

2260. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 07:31:50 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kvbutu0cq2adejjfc6q3sbg2i1v9ghle69@4ax.com>
Source record: 144788

i demand you up your meds
take out the dog to mail me. i'll be bagging

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 04:57:13 GMT, "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:

>I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this
>FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob
>Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
>http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698
>
>Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!
>
> A.E. 352
> 27.395 LSB
>

2261. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 07:33:13 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <s2cutuk1o62utr7nqdu15km5t4ih410sag@4ax.com>
Source record: 144789

the 24 volts works great. lights bright too.
thanks for all your cb like feedback.
dog

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 06:54:38 -0000, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
>news:20021119010516.25777.00000164@mb-ci.aol.com...
>> And just imagine how well he could do if he brought it in the house
>> and plugged it into 110 VAC....Mo Power!
>
>
>He would not be the first...
>
>I had a neighbour who took the red and black leads from his mobile CB radio
>and connected a 3 pin mains plug.
>Yep... he connected it direct to 240 Volts AC.
>
>Yeeee-har.
>Apart from a popped protection diode... and a new hairstyle, nothing
>spectacular happened.
>
>
>Another bad mistake I saw, was a homebase radio where the mains
>side had been messed with. Someone had taken the mains from the
>transformer primary... and connected it direct to the main 12 Volt
>supply line.
>The person said that they had just purchased it from another CBer. They
>had seen it working, but went out, got the money, and returned to buy
>the radio. I think they got "done".
>Not much was left working... with no protection diode in these sets, it
>had cooked the PLL, RF output, RF drive, EPROM, etc. They just
>had to write it off.
>
>
>
>Regards,
>
>Peter.
>

2262. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 07:49:51 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211222349.40c283ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> > Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> > But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> > and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> > I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> > be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> > that I am likely to never use.
>
> Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
> I don't have to do anything.

Wrong.
If you knew about DSP, you could easily hook up the radio to your laptop
and program, for instance, a scan mode that stops only on the "voice"
channels, and not simply where the signal is strong.
You could build your own noise-reducing filters.
You could get a programmable radio (Ten Tec?), and modify the firmware.

What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.

The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
future will be done digitally. There are two main reasons:
it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
technology matters, digital is more and more used.
If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.
Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.

Peter

Peter

2263. ARNewsline 1318 - Nov 15 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 08:24:05 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123032405.19745.00000268@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 144791

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1318 – November 15, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1318 with a release date of Friday, November 15, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio is a lifeline after tornadoes hit on Sunday November 10th. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1318 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO AND THE NOVEMBER 10th TORNADOES

Ham radio is called out after a series of tornadoes hit in several states east of the Continental divide. Thirty-five are confirmed dead. Damage is in the tens of millions. And ham radio becomes a life-line when all other communications fail. We start our coverage with Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, in our South-East bureau not far from one of the areas hardest hit:
--

For Alabama, the night of November 10th is one for the record books. The small town of Carbon Hill was devastated. Ten people were killed there, and damage was extensive following two tornadoes--one of them, an F3 that cut a path of destruction measuring 46 miles. In all, 12 people died in Alabama.

Two counties away from Carbon Hill, amateur radio operators were on duty at the Weather Service's Birmingham Forecast Office, where forecasters were issuing a total of 46 Tornado Warnings. The ham radio group that works with the Weather Service is called the Alabama Emergency Response Team, or ALERT. Chuck Arthur, KF4SSX, is ALERT's President:

--
Arthur: "It was very busy. We had four of our members down there from 4 p.m. until after 2 a.m. and we were busy the entire time we were down there"
--

At one point, more than half a dozen Alabama counties--stretching from eastern Tennessee to eastern Mississippi--were all under Tornado Warnings at the same time.

--
Arthur: "We are able to talk to all of the different storm-spotter nets across North Alabama and of coarse the National Weather Service coverage area and receive reports of damage, tornado touch-downs, hail and all of the important pertinent things that the Weather Service is interested in"

--

Arthur says emergency communications were conducted on VHF and UHF frequencies:

--
Arthur: “The storms came in from the South-West and moved all the way up through the North-East corner of the state three or four times in a row. We had multiple counties under tornado warnings at the same time so it makes it very busy”
--

To the north, Tennessee was slammed hard by killer twisters, too. 17 deaths were reported statewide, making the outbreak one of Tennessee's deadliest. President Bush declared 16 Tennessee counties disaster areas. From Louisiana to Pennsylvania, 88 tornadoes were reported.

Another community hit hard was Van Wert, a town in western Ohio. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, of the DX Audio Service is in nearby Lima Ohio has that part of the story.

--
NOTE: DAVE BLACK TOSSES TO FRED VOBBE IN OHIO
--

Sunday was cool and quiet, but when storms racing across Indiana quickly it heated up the amateur community in Van Wert, Ohio. The call for amateur assistance came as Van Wert Ohio E.M.A. Director Rick McCoy, operating the Van Wert Amateur Radio Club's W8FY, alerted hams to an approaching storm.

The storm spawned one tornado in the community of Berne, Indiana, but by the time the storm arrived in Van Wert there were reports of as many as four separate funnels.

Listening to ham traffic on the 146.850 repeater and public service channels was like listening to an attack from enemy. Call after call relayed the fact that there were tornadoes on the ground. Homes, businesses, and even a large power feeder tower buckled and fell to the ground as the winds from the F4 tornadoes tore up the once pristine countryside.

The initial storm spotters tracked the storm system as it entered Van Wert county, and then exited into Putnam County where it then hit Continental, Ohio. Later the storm touched down again in Huron and Sandusky Counties on the south shore of Lake Erie.

At one point a ham radio operator solemnly reported assistance as he came across a fatal. Hams assisted in pulling people out of homes that were flattened. Four people died, two in Putnam, and two in Van Wert County. The death toll in west central Ohio could have been higher had spotters, and the Van Wert County E.M.A., not worked cooperatively together. Hams reported to the Van Wert E.M.A. and an alert system used by the county which warned a group of school kids who escaped from a theater just seconds before it was reduced to rubble, leaving a small Toyota in row one. Citizens had as much as 28 minutes to prepare for the storm due to the efforts of hams

Ham involvement did not stop with spotting. Again, working with the Van Wert County E.M.A., hams assisted in damage assessment, helped in local shelters, located downed power lines, kept traffic and the curious out of devastated areas, and assisted police, fire, and EMTS operators with communications. Some hams stayed through the night manning communication centers, which helped authorities concentrate on the task of finding the missing, and assessing the damage.

The storm was compared to the April 3rd, 1974, tornado in Xenia, Ohio, where 33 lost their lives. Had it not been for ham radio operators working closely with authorities the death toll in Van Wert and Putnam would have been much higher.

In west central Ohio, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, reporting for Newsline
--

You can see some of the damage from the storm at Fred's web site, www.vobbe.net/weather.

And one last thought: The tornadoes of November 11th are another reminder of the way a disaster can occur at any place and at any time. And when there is no other way to communicate ham radio is always ready to step in. (ARNewsline™)

**

RADIO HORROR: AMATEUR OPERATOR MURDERED IN GAMBIA

A United Kingdom ham has been killed while on vacation. And as Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, tells us, it appears as if he was the victim of a rather violent crime.

--
Ron Ford, G3NKO, has been murdered while visiting the Gambia. Ron, from Waterlooville in Hampshire, had built his own holiday accommodation in the Gambia, from where he operated as C56RF.

His body was found at the end of October and has been brought home for burial. It is thought that Ron was the victim of a robbery and the circumstances of the murder are now being investigated by officers of the Metropolitan Police.

Ron was an active member of the Royal Signals Amateur Radio Society, and was a regular check-in on the RSARS international net on 20-meters SSB from the Gambia. He had also held a number of other DX calls, including MP4BFH in Bahrain, MP4TBV from theTrucial States, VS2FN in Malaya and VS9AFR from Aden.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.
--

As we go to air there has been no word from Gambian officials on where its investigation of this crime is leading. (GB2RS)

**

RADIO SECURITY: BE CAREFUL WHAT YOU SAY ON THE AIR

Use discretion an the air and help defeat the terrorists. That’s the sub title of Bill Sexton’s MARS column appearing in the December issue of

Worldradio Magazine. And in it, Sexton, who holds the call N1IN says that American’s now face a new concern over the indiscreet transmission of material that my seem harmless but which could be used against us by a terrorist enemy.

By way of example, Sexton cites the well published airline schedules that were used by al-Queda terrorists to coordinate their September 11th attacks on New York and Washington. This is material still available on every airlines website.

Sexton says that we all must all be concerned with what the military calls Operational Security or OPSEC. And he indicates that it is very important for hams to consider what they are saying on the air because you can never tell who listening in. And he says that even the most seemingly innocuous tidbits of information, monitored by hostile ears are probably being handed on to the enemy’s intelligence analysts in the hope it will reveal some weakness or secret that can be used against us.

Sexton covers quite a bit about domestic security and how you can do your part. You will find it beginning on page 50 of the December issue of Worldradio Magazine. More information is on the web at www.wr6wr.com. (Worldradio)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N9BHA repeater serving Northeast Indiana.

(5 sec pause here)

**

SPECTRUM POLICY: FCC CHAIRMAN WANTS TO REVAMP THE NATIONS RF AIRWAVES

The government's top communications regulator wants to revamp the nation's current policy on use of the public the airwaves. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the details:

--
Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell says that he rejects the notion that there is not enough spectrum to go around for those who want it. And the FCC leader is proposing an almost total overhaul of the nations telecommunications policy involving radio frequencies used for everything from television broadcasts to wireless Internet devices and everything in-between.

Powell says he hopes technology and new FCC policies will take advantage of all empty and underused spectrum, possibly by using time sharing techniques. He says that recent tests conducted by his agency in five major U-S cities show that there are many under utilized spectral parcels available for sharing. This is especially true when some frequencies are used only at certain times of the day and are otherwise left empty.

What is evident is that the FCC is looking at ways to better utilize every hertz from D-C to light and beyond. And if a mandatory sharing of most spectrum is put in place there’s a good chance that all hobby and personal radio -- including ham radio -- will be sharing in some future yet to be established all-digital domain.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

The frequency bands referred to by Chairman Powell were not detailed in the news release. (Various FCC and other news releases)

**

SPECTRUM POLICY: AUSTRALIA ISSUES PAPER ON SPECTRUM USE

The United States is not the only place where spectrum use and bandspace is an issue. It’s also under study in Australia where Q-News Trevor Tague, VK4BAT, tells us what the Australian Communications Agency is doing:

--
The ACA has prepared a Discussion Paper outlining a range of issues relating to the operation of spectrum licensing and posing some questions for discussion.

The paper does not attempt to present the ACA's assessment of these issues but rather aims to provide a starting point for industry debate about the effectiveness of the spectrum licensing concept in the light of experience since its implementation in Australia some five years ago.

Trevor Tague, VK4BAT, reporting for Q-News.
--

The Australian Communications Agency is soliciting input on this matter from the citizens of V-K. (Q-News)

**

HAM RADIO EDUCATION: THE BIG PROJECT KEEPS GETTING BIGGER

Back here in the United States, the December issue of QST will feature an article on the American Radio Relay League’s Education and Technology program better known as “The Big Project”. And, according to an Internet posting to QRZed dot com by League President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, the program which got off the ground late last year grew to 26 schools in 2002.

When President Haynie proposed this project to the League’s Board of Directors, he said that it should be funded by donations, not dues. That’s exactly what has happened and he now says that he is gratified at the results to date.

W5JBP says that the Amateur Radio community has sent a loud and strong message that this is something that they want. He adds -- and we quote: “I, and the entire ARRL organization want to thank you for that support.”

At least 14 more schools are lined up to join the Big Project in 2003. The full text of Jim Hayne’s posting is at www.qrz.com. (Adapted from QRZ.COM)

**

ENFORCEMENT: WASHINGTON LAW NAILS SPAMMER

An Oregon man was ordered to pay nearly $100,000 in the first case brought under Washington state’s tough law against "spam" e-mails. Attorney General Christine Gregoire's office estimates that 28 year old Jason Heckel of Salem, sent as many as 20,000 unsolicited e-mails to Washington residents in 1998, trying to sell a $39.95 booklet called "How to Profit from the Internet."

The case was the first brought after the Legislature banned commercial e-mail with misleading information in the subject line, invalid reply addresses or disguised paths of transmission. Judge Douglass North ordered Heckel to pay a $2,000 fine and more than $94,000 in legal fees.

Heckel didn't appear in court. His lawyer Dale Crandall said he plans to appeal, Crandall says that state anti-spam laws violate the U.S. Constitution's protection of interstate commerce. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: OHIO HAM AGREES TO VOLUNTARY SUSPENSION

An Ohio ham has agreed to a voluntary one year suspension of his operating privileges. This after the FCC accused him of interfering with a Cincinnati area repeater system. The FCC’s Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: “The Enfocement Bureau, by agreement, imposed a one year suspension on Gary R. Weiler, KI8DI, for interference to the K8CLA repeater system in Cincinnatti. The interference occurred in March.”
--

Back in September the FCC sent Weiler a Warning Notice that alleged on several occasions he had deliberately interfered with the K8CLA repeater. That system is operated by the Cincinnati Amateur Radio Club which the FCC says assisted in tracing the interference to Weiler. By agreeing to the one year suspension Weiler avoided harsher enforcement action that could have included a hefty fine and the possible revocation of his amateur license. (FCC)

**

REPEATER COORDINATION: METROCOR SAYS COORDINATION IS BACK IN NNJ-NYC-LI

Repeater coordination appears to have returned in and around the New York City area appears. This with word that MetroCor has issued its first coordination documents on October 24th to repeater systems that have had no changes from their prior coordination.

This marks the first time for any organization to issue any coordination documents within the area since the collapse of the Tri-State Amateur Repeater Council several years ago. All repeaters in the New York City, Northern New Jersey and Long Island New York areas are being asked to file with MetroCor by

December 1st so that it can meet the submission date deadlines of the various repeater directories.

More information and necessary documents are in cyberspace at www.qsl.net/metrocor. (MetroCor)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT STRIKES DOWN DESCRIPTIVE VIDEO FOR THE BLIND

Bad news for the visually impaired. A Federal appeals court says that the FCC overstepped its authority in ordering broadcaster to provide descriptive video to accommodate the blind. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reports:

--
On Friday, November 8th the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit threw out Federal Communications Commission rules requiring television programs to include these oral descriptions of the action on the screen. In rendering its decision, the court said that Congress did not give the FCC the power to order the creation of this service.

The court maintained that Congress only authorized and ordered the commission to produce a report on how best to accommodate the needs of the visually impaired. Nothing more and nothing less. And that it definitely did not direct the agency to create a federal mandate that a descriptive audio service be made mandatory.

Under video description, a narrator describes the action during natural pauses between dialogue. The description is available on the secondary or SAP audio channel which is usually used for second language broadcasting.

The Motion Picture Association of America had challenged the rules in court. It claimed that the FCC was not authorized to issue them. Now, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit says that it agrees.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm, Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

Blind advocacy groups are criticizing the court decision. They say its a setback for those who are visually impaired. (Published reports)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: KENWOOD TO RESTRUCTURE

Some big changes at a company considered one of ham radios “big three. Ken Butler, W1NNR, tells about the news coming from Kenwood:

--
Kenwood Corporation is merging five U.S. based subsidiaries. As part of the restructuring, Kenwood will combine home and car audio marketer Kenwood U-S-A, its repair company Kenwood Service, Kenwood Communications and Kenwood Systems with their parent Kenwood Americas. The merged entity will simply be called Kenwood.

As part of the merger, Kenwood will expand its U.S. based home and car audio engineering team. The communications business which includes Amateur Radio products will continue to maintain its own sales and marketing staff. The consolidation won't shut down any offices or warehouses and the company plans to add people in an engineering capacity.

Ken Butler, W1NNR.
--

The changes here in the United States are a are part of a total Kenwood corporate restructuring announced earlier this year. (ARNewsline™ from press release)

**

OUR HERITAGE: KFBK AT AGE 80

Former Amateur Radio Newsline reporter Ross Du Clair, WA6VWY, passes along word that Sacramento California radio station has made history. This, as KFBK-AM 1530 celebrates its 80th birthday this month.

Ross is the Chief Engineer for several stations including KFBK. He says that his station began as the broadcast arm of McClatchy Newspapers in February of 1922. McClatchy is best known as the publisher of the Sacramento Bee.

Today KFBK is owned by Clear Channel Communications, of Austin, Texas. Over the years, KFBK engineers contributed many innovations to the radio broadcast industry. This includes the creation of the Ampliphase Modulation system adopted by RCA.

The station officially celebrated its "birthday" Friday 8 November 2002 at the Sacramento Hyatt Regency. The legendary Rush Limbaugh was to be the guest of honor. Limbaugh began his meteoric rise in talk radio from the studios of KFBK. (WA6VWY)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ISS EXPEDITION 6 ARE ALL HAMS

The new ISS Expedition 6 crew are all of licensed radio amateurs. They are U-S Astronauts Ken Bowersox KD5JBP and Don Pettit KD5MDT. Leading the team is Russian Cosmonaut Nikolai Budarin R-V3FB.

The launch of Space Shuttle Endeavour was planned for November 11th. It was halted only minutes before liftoff when a small oxygen leak was detected. A new launch date is tentatively set for Monday November 18th.

The STS-113 flight of Space Shuttle Endeavour is scheduled as an 11-day mission. During the seven days the shuttle will be docked to the Station, three spacewalks will be performed in addition to delivering the new crew. (AMSAT)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE LEONID METEORS ARE COMING

Sky & Telescope magazine reports the Leonid meteor shower is due to sweep across Earth during the early morning hours of Tuesday, November 19th. If the weather is clear, we could be in for a grand celestial show. And many meteor scatter enthusiasts are expected to be on the air attempting to make contact by bounding signals off the ionized meteor tails as they burn up in the planets atmosphere. (Various sources)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: RAC VP RESIGNS

Radio Amateurs of Canada has announced the resignation of is First Vice President Joe MacPherson. MacPherson cited irreconcilable interpersonal differences with some members of the Board of Directors as the reason for his reason to stand down from the RAC Executive. A replacement for MacPherson will be named within next few weeks. (RAC)

**

CONTESTS

Turning to the contest calendar, word that the Bulgarian L Z DX contest begins at 12:00 U-T-C on Saturday November 16th and runs for 24 hours. This is a C-W only event taking place on 80 through 10 meters. The approved contest exchange is an R-S-T report and your I-T-U Zone. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X, word that OH0Z on Aland Island will be active during the November 23rd to the 24th CQ WW CW Contest. He will be operating in the single operator, all band category. QSLs via Ari Korhonen, OH5DX, at his callbook address. (GB2RS)

And KX7YT is active on 10, 15 and 20 meters SSB and PSK31 as S-21-Y-V from Bangladesh. He will be there through the 4th of December. Q-S-L this one as directed on the air. (Modern DX)

Higher in frequency, the GB3VHF 144 MHz propagation beacon was removed from service on November 2nd for maintenance and was expected to be for one week. (RSGB)

And the GB3BUX 50 MHz beacon has suffered antenna damage due to storms a couple of weekends ago. It is currently running at reduced capability as a result. Its sponsor says that repairs will be made as time and weather conditions permit. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: FCC HONORS WORLD WAR II COMANCHE CODE TALKERS

About a year ago Amateur Radio Newsline told you about a very special group of Native Americans who’s linguistic ability made battlefield communications secure during World War II. Now, the Federal Communications Commission has taken the time to honor this group for id dedication to our nation. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the rest of the story:

--
The Comanche Code Talkers were members of a specialized communications unit of the U.S. Army 4th Signal Corps. And using their ancestral Comanche language they created an unbreakable code used by Allied Forces on the battlefields of Europe. Now, decades later our own Federal Communications Commission has paused to honor this group of Native Americans for their meritorious service to our nation.

Charles Chibitty of Tulsa, Oklahoma was the FCC's guest of honor at the recent ceremony. He told how he and 16 other young men, who had grown up together and were all members of the Comanche Tribe of Oklahoma, used the Comanche language and their Army communications skills to create a military intelligence code that was never broken by the enemy.

Even more interesting, Chibitty also shared anecdotes from their enlistment and training. He explained that they even had to create Comanche code words for "bomber" and "tank." These are words that did not exist in the Comanche language.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.
--

Chibitty is a decorated veteran and the last surviving member of the 16 Comanche Code Talkers. You can read more at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-228074A1.doc (CGC Communicator, FCC)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Jim Damron, N8TMW and I’m Jeff Clark, K8JAC, saying 73 and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2264. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 14:07:45 UTC
From: nm2k@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b6d27e22.0211230607.1a50febb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144800
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Where can I buy a few of these amps? I want to give a few to some of
the local PITA CB'ers in the area. Merry Christmas. Poof! <G>

Ed Cregger

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:<R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com>...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
(snip)

2265. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:17:59 UTC
From: "M.E." <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <utv6qqeurrdib9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

CBers beleive just about anything. In one instance, a CBer asked me how to make his rig run really HOT. I told him to take out all the crystals, place them in a metal pan, then put them in the kitchen oven and bake them at 500 degrees for two hours. Never heard from him again...

--
Respond to my "real" e-mail;
kk5dr@ev1.net
"Chuck C." <chuckcrews61@NOSPAM.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Y7FD9.6607$wc2.437008@news2.east.cox.net...
> Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus)
can
> be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???
>
> I am an Electronics Technican and the only actual concern for a CB, is
that
> the manufacturer can (and likely will) FILTER the CB freqs applied to the
> Linear Amp, making it not useful.
>
> If you want real power ! Get your Amateur Radio License ! It is EASY and
> you have MUCH MORE POWER and 1000% better equipment to use.
> Up to 1500 watts of LEGAL transmit power ! Actually TALK to people, not
> listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!
>
> If you have questions about Amateur Radio licensing, e-mail me direct and
I
> will help you all I can.
>
> Chuck - KD4REL
>
> <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> plain
> > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up
on
> > rumors....
> >
> >
> > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > >

> > > --
> > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> spread
> > > of
> > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers
and
> > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section
of
> > > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > > >
> > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger
a
> > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage
pulse
> > > type
> > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front
end
> of
> > > the
> > > > receiver.
> > > >
> > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > devices
> > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > >
> > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> amplifiers
> > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > indicates
> > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> perform
> > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > > problem
> > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2266. That FCC prude has got to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:22:21 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211230722.49f5d089@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144805

Heard from 2 separate news stations that some stuffed shirt at the FCC (it wasn't Riley: he knows better) has gotten a bug up his ass about bits of entertainment slipping through the Commission's "indecency" definitions. Apparently this came about as a result of some soft-porn lingerie promo aired by Victoria's Secret, but the old duffer's crackfart unfortunately calls ALL harmless stuff into question (cusswords, violence, sex, pics of dead bodies). Maybe he is not only a prude, but a closet flamer to boot...

I don't know about you, but I happen to enjoy hearing words like "shit" and "fuck" on PBS & KCOP, & to see people on camera occasionally give the Finger. The only bad thing is that it diminishes their insult power somewhat. Nudity? It wouldn't bother me or anyone else I know to turn on the TV and see "Flesh Gordon" airing.

Forget making the case that the no-obscenity jive is "for the children"... I can show you a nextdoor neighbor who talks like a sailor, yells at his wife, etc., and their 13-yo daughter never talks like him. I watched porn films during my early teens, and I never turned into a lech.

I say, therefore, that the TV folks should just go on cussing and screw the legal stuff, after all they're not threatening anybody (causing angina attacks in old folks, MAYBE). Riley would agree with me on this. Because like it or not, that FCC shit-disturber is just another Don Quixote jousting at windmills, if he thinks he can get everyone else to turn the airwaves into Mr. Rogers' neighborhood. (Well, I take that back... maybe antikeyclowns want this to be Mr. Rogers' neighborhood. But even their martyr-like efforts are probably not going to be able to stop the "obscenity" juggernaut. Waaah.) Amen.

Please direct all rubout/malicious prosecution threats to my e-mail address... in the meantime, KEEP ON CUSSIN'!!! >:-P

Guy Richards

2267. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:28:22 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <3DDF9E96.EB26F7E1@qth.com>
Source record: 144806
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

Well said Chuck.... I second the motion!!

"Chuck C." wrote:

> Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus) can
> be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???
>
> I am an Electronics Technican and the only actual concern for a CB, is that
> the manufacturer can (and likely will) FILTER the CB freqs applied to the
> Linear Amp, making it not useful.
>
> If you want real power ! Get your Amateur Radio License ! It is EASY and
> you have MUCH MORE POWER and 1000% better equipment to use.
> Up to 1500 watts of LEGAL transmit power ! Actually TALK to people, not
> listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!
>
> If you have questions about Amateur Radio licensing, e-mail me direct and I
> will help you all I can.
>
> Chuck - KD4REL
>
> <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> plain
> > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up on
> > rumors....
> >
> >
> > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> spread
> > > of
> > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > > > transceivers operating out of band.

> > > >
> > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
> > > type
> > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end
> of
> > > the
> > > > receiver.
> > > >
> > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > devices
> > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > >
> > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> amplifiers
> > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > indicates
> > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> perform
> > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > > problem
> > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2268. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:28:27 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211230728.413534bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144807

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:<1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>...
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs . The
> FCC should implement a CB+ license

This NG had a similar debate just recently, remember? And the
pro-license folks lost.

Guy Richards

2269. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:35:01 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <y7ND9.735$pc.148185@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
> looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
> perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
> vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
>
I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
'secret handshakes' like Morse code.

John

2270. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:44:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aro7og$on4$0@208.206.142.110>
Source record: 144809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Peter Lampione wrote:
>
> What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
> know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.
>

And that's like saying "I only want to learn what I want to learn for my license test, and that doesn't include Morse code, even though it is a requirement".

> The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
> future will be done digitally.

Only *some* receivers. Generally built in factories by electronic professionals, not your average homebrewing ham. Yes, those receivers are marvelous. And demanding of advanced technical skills not found in the general amateur radio population, nor likely to be found there in the future where testing requirements don't demand such skill and knowledge. That's to be expected since only a small portion of hams are professionals who have that advanced training and skill. Far more are skilled at such "archaic" but very effective communications modes as radiotelegraphy. Many of those hams also are users of advanced digital modes, but few are trained in the development of those modes. Most are just happy to be users of them. That doesn't mean there are no technical skills among the general ham population. It DOES mean that technical skills among hams are far more likely to lie in other than the latest djgjtal technology. I don't see that changing as long as simple tests are all that are required for entry into ham radio.
While new hams who are serious about learning to engage in their newfound pursuits, few have the background to leap onto the digital design bandwagon. I believe fact that will forestall any great amount of digital design work by hams other than the smaller portion of the hobby who are already so engaged.

There are two main reasons:
> it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
> to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
> In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
> technology matters, digital is more and more used.

The operative term here is "used". Hams are more and more users of advanced technology and less and less designers. Most design by ordinary hams is at a level of technology below digital design using advanced ICs. I can't imagine why this should be surprising to anyone. Bu it always seems to bring forth dire predictions for the demise of the ARS

because of it. Won't happen. Our future is far more dependent on our emergency communications capabilities, and that demands little or no digital design skill.

> If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
> understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.

Only the design of the newest receivers. Pure digital radio is still far in the future, save a niche element which is normal for advancement in the field. Analog radio is far from being ill, and very far from dying.

> Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
> but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.

That's your opinion, shared only by other similarly situated technical types. Most of us hams believe we should look both forward *and" backward. We have a great heritage and most of us won't forget where we came from and how we got here as we move into the future.

Dick

>
> Peter
>
> Peter

2271. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:49:47 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vsND9.2587$4j5.1449432@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdd72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> They are not our governing body, nor will I ever accept them as our
> "governing body." It is bad enough that they have taken over the testing
> duties.
>

They are not and never have been our governing body. They are merely the
largest ORGNIZED group of amateurs. Thus we can have some clout in the
political arena and get heard by government policy makers.

Note that ARRL did not "take over" testing duties. There are many VECs
accepted by the FCC. All the VEC organizations have to meet with each other
on a regular basis and agree to each question pool, how often that pool is
changed, whether it is multiple choice, etc. If ARRL had "taken over,"
they would be in sole control of the testing. They are not. There is W%YI,
SANDARC, and a half a dozen or more other organizations.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2272. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:51:04 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDFA3E8.892A45FE@ieee.org>
Source record: 144812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> connector to a coax successfully.

I've been a ham for 50 years and an engineer for 43 years and
I still can't solder a PL-259 to RG-213.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2273. Re: FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:53:05 UTC
From: crd <buyused@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DDFA461.7AF76C6A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 144810

this is the hammertone grey model. Pretty plain jane looking. Who wants it?

radiofun wrote:

> Well known amps. The older "Hammertone grey" version. Then the later tan
> front with brown case.
>
> "crd" <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com...
> > Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6
> > new 6LQ6's in the amp.
> >
> > $325 US buyer pays shipping costs
> >
> > email for additional info
> >

2274. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:55:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZxND9.2882$Ja2.211320979@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144813

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDE6289.56DEA110@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Scooter Tramp wrote:
> >
> > I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or
twice)
> > have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal
Criminals
> > used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people
stealing
> > paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else
where)
> > live in the United States of America,and as such,are covered by our
laws.Those
> > laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
> > guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers (one way or the other) but by a
court
> > of law,Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are
legally
> > concidered "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules
(
> > FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
> > actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
> > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>
> And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
> more proof is needed?

Even a signed confession sometimes isn't
proof enough for a conviction in a court of law.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2275. fs-crusader 125 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:57:43 UTC
From: crd <buyused@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DDFA577.32BEA0FA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 144811

blue front panel with 4 switches and lights. Needs mrf450 stud mount transisters. This is a base unit 115v. receive preamp works perfect but no output. weighs approx 25lbs. Made in lebanon Tenn.

$100

2276. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 15:59:09 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hBND9.2589$4j5.1450313@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:0HED9.725$pc.146163@news.iquest.net...
>
>
> I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
> license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification.
However,
> that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power
on
> VHF/UHF.
>

That's basically the definition of GMRS and FMRS.

2277. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:01:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFA646.B46096EE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and
> > > > > the operator??
> > > >
> > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > > > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > > > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > > > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > > > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > > > in an executable file (.exe).
> > > >
> > > You mispelt software.
> > >
> >
> >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > to read things that aren't there though.
> >
> You mispelt it again..<g>

Please point out were it was 'mispelt' the first time.
```

2278. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:02:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can be used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I happen to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For example, I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become soldering experts.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211222349.40c283ed@posting.google.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> > > Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> > > But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> > > and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> > > I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> > > be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> > > that I am likely to never use.
> >
> > Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio
and
> > I don't have to do anything.
>
> Wrong.
> If you knew about DSP, you could easily hook up the radio to your laptop
> and program, for instance, a scan mode that stops only on the "voice"
> channels, and not simply where the signal is strong.
> You could build your own noise-reducing filters.
> You could get a programmable radio (Ten Tec?), and modify the firmware.
>
> What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
> know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.
>
> The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
> future will be done digitally. There are two main reasons:
> it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
> to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
> In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
> technology matters, digital is more and more used.
> If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
> understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.

> Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
> but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.
>
> Peter
>
>
> Peter

2279. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:03:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFA6CD.97FDDC98@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and
> > > > > the operator??
> > > > >
> > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > > > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > > > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > > > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > > > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > > > in an executable file (.exe).
> > > > >
> > > > You mispelt software.
> > > >
> > >
> > >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > > to read things that aren't there though.
> > >
> > You mispelt it again..<g>

And just for the record, how do you spell it? Every where I see
the word, it is spelled 'software'.
```

2280. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:09:50 UTC
From: pm4hire@excite.com
Message-ID: <52a66032.0211230809.30598869@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Check Your Stats:

On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as many ham radio operators as the United States.

The ham radio community is 50 years behind the times by holding on to this code thing.

The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a ham radio operator?

I don't think so!

Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Tom Welch

2281. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:45:44 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utvc5nas6gdef1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:
> "Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
>>looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
>>perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
>>vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
>>
>
> I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
> competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
> for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
> clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
> 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
>
> John

That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality. Last I remember the
code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid copy.
So in some regards the code test is simply a 'trial by fire' and in
reality has been degraded so much as to be moot.
In the meantime, if int'l law says it must be done that way then I guess
one has to comply until it changes if you want to get on HF.
-BM

2282. Re: FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:49:26 UTC
From: crd <buyused@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DDFB196.257110C8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 144815

anyone know what the switch on the rear of the 500 labelled BTL

thanks

radiofun wrote:

> Well known amps. The older "Hammertone grey" version. Then the later tan
> front with brown case.
>
> "crd" <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com...
> > Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6
> > new 6LQ6's in the amp.
> >
> > $325 US buyer pays shipping costs
> >
> > email for additional info
> >

2283. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:50:01 UTC
From: the ole bignose <bernie0x19@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 144817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
could have hid out for so long, without the help
and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.

Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??

Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.

One down - MORE to go....

http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm

A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
officials
led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.

Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.

''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
residence, but he declined to elaborate.
Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.

York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
with North Carolina authorities.

Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after

Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25 times.

Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned. He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home, where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and the federal government drew the attention of the Federal Communications Commission.

The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC action.
Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.

According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who included him in a series on hate.

The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who had already shot at a police officer and threatened more violence in his radio show. For public safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture also was gratifying because ''people in militia and extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''

Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news. I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a message you can't get away forever.''

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
>

2284. Re: Oral defecations by KC8LDO (Was Re: More Twisted Lies)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 16:54:23 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211230854.26a91b40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144818

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<21912-3DDEC80B-375@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> The only reason IMHO many Hams push the code test issue is its the only
> currently testable skill you can't cheat on during testing. The written
> exams are a complete joke. Anybody with a yellow high-liter and a few
> weekends can easily memorize enough of the question pool to pass any of
> the exams, and still not know a thing. I passed the Tech exam on a bet
> from somebody who claimed they would pay the exam fee if I passed. I
> never even studied for it.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> HAhahahahaha! The fact that you feel this an accomplishment that somehow
> says something about you is ghastly ironic. It speaks volumes, but not
> the way you think it comes across. No wonder you and Dave HAll get on so
> well. You not only espouse the same incorreect bullshit and share the
> same ridiculous lies, but you both enjoy namecalling, attacking, taking
> pleasure in others dilemmas, and you both are largely incapable of
> seeing any other view but what your tunnel vision allows. Entertainment
> is derived from allowing you both the freedom to put forth an image you
> wish to project to the world.

muhahahahahahahaha.......twistie..........sounds to me like this is
par for the course for our hammie friends..........i don't know about
you, but to be able to have the opportunity to talk to guys like that
on my radio just gives me goose bumps.......well at least leland is
being honest...........

2285. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 17:26:38 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <iTOD9.91392$__1.53589@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just for the record, Bill, the code test was changed to fill-in the blank.

As a new ham (licenses 13 months -- I sat for all 4 elements at once), my feelings re CW requirements are mixed. It is certainly a key part of the history of radio and our hobby. It is certainly a valid communications mode. My objection to the 5 wpm is that it is basically neither fish nor fowl. Studying to pass a 5 wpm receive only test is not the way to learn code. A valid agreement can be made, in fact, that it is exactly the wrong way to learn code. (At 5 wpm receive only, the best way to pass the test as quickly as possible for many people is to "see" the di dahs in one's mind and translate character by character. If there is a worse way to learn code in a way that building receive speed and learning to send, I can not think of it.

Purely theoretically (treaties and such aside), I would have little problem with a send/receive test at a reasonable minimum speed (10 or the mystical 13 wpm) or eliminating the CW test completely. As currently constituted, the U.S CW test results, I think, in REDUCING interest in learning CW.

Regarding the written tests...
It would help if the VEC group found an educator to review the tests. I haven't looked at the new Extra question pool, but the old one would never pass mustard as a beginning Ed. course Too many of the wrong answers are clearly wrong merely by reading them without any knowledge of radio theory or regulations. Too many of the right answers have an easily memorized pattern. Please note that this is comment in no way addresses WHAT subjects should be in the test nor to what depth - merely a comment on the quality of the questions. That said, I do recognize that writing "good" multiple guess questions is extremely difficult.

Finally (for anyone bored enough to read this far), by far the most difficult task I faced in becoming a ham was learning to solder 259s. One aspect of voice communications I have yet to learn (more accurately "have not been willing to learn") is referring to my self in the first person plural as in "We have been licensed since 19xx and we feed our XX with a YY."

73

Paul ab0si

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utvc5nas6gdef1@corp.supernews.com...
> John S. Dyson wrote:
> > "Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...

> >
> >>Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
> >>looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
> >>perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
> >>vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
> >>
> >
> > I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning
technical
> > competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of
time
> > for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful.
Exclusive
> > clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> > 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially
justifiable than
> > 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
> >
> > John
>
> That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
> guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
> wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality. Last I remember the
> code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid
copy.
> So in some regards the code test is simply a 'trial by fire' and in
> reality has been degraded so much as to be moot.
> In the meantime, if int'l law says it must be done that way then I guess
> one has to comply until it changes if you want to get on HF.
> -BM
>

2286. oops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 17:36:51 UTC
From: crd <buyused@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DDFBCB3.393E0391@hotmail.com>
Source record: 144820

lol

2287. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 18:18:39 UTC
From: Jim Pennino <jimp@specsol.com>
Message-ID: <arogpv$20f$1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 144823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

In the early days this was true, but not very much anymore.

Once you have a "high level language" compiler, you can write the next
version in the compiler language; these days mostly C.

Simple example; the GNU C and C++ compilers have been written in C and
C++ for many years now as have most compilers and operating systems.

While assembly is still used somewhat, it is nowhere as pervasive as it
once was.

--
Jim Pennino

2288. coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 18:51:48 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144828

can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
azz ?

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

2289. Re: SkyLab T233 aka Starduster ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 18:53:37 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <R8QD9.6229$It3.578754@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 144824

Its a center fed half wave dipole antenna. The sloped radials are used to get the feedpoint impeadance closer to 50 ohms. Its a good antenna, but you would do better with a 5/8 ground plane.

If you don't want the best (Jay's Interceptor 10K at http://www,a1antennas.com) then get a Maco 5/8.

You will be much happier!

Dino

>what are the specs on this antenna? how does it compare to other
>11/10 meter antennas?

2290. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 18:53:54 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123135354.22107.00001205@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 144825
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Richard wrote:
>The original CB license cost money, $20 >IIRC. All they'd have to do is
>charge for the CB+ license and it'd pay >for itself. And maybe even
>make a few bucks for the FCC to use for >enforcement.

You must be too young to remember the real reason CB licensing ended.
Like the 55 mph "national" speed limit, it didn't end because Washington was
feeling generous toward the peasantry - but because the peasantry just nearly
all refused to obey it.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2291. Re: SkyLab T233 aka Starduster ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:00:29 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021123140029.14034.00000241@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 144826

don,t buy it ..it,s junk..... get a maco 5/8 wave or a solarcon I-Max
2000>From: xyz890 post2group@_.org
>Date: 11/22/02 11:04 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <shvttu8lkrt0d3k9e963ngn0ol9o2of4ho@4ax.com>
>
>what are the specs on this antenna? how does it compare to other
>11/10 meter antennas?
>
>
>
>
>
>

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2292. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:03:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utvk8vo6vaugb1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144827

Both you and the original poster missed a couple of points. Any amp becomes illegal once you exceed 1500 watts pep output (but is legal if run under that limit). However, amps are **supposed** to require a certain minimum input power to develop full output (not sure, but think it may be 50 watts) - so the amp is illegal. The original poster forgot to include the John Birch Society ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
news:fnydnRBD18wqi0KgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> Nothing Illegal about that amp unless a Cber gets ahold of it.
>
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:JUDD9.161031$c51.48744325@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> : I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this
> : FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob
> : Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
> : http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698
> :
> : Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!
> :
> : A.E. 352
> : 27.395 LSB
> :
> :
>
>

2293. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:06:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFD1CD.DB3F98CD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144834

chessecutter wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DDE6289.56DEA110@mailcity.net>...
> > Scooter Tramp wrote:
> > >
> > > I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or twice)
> > > have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
> > > used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing
> > > paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where)
> > > live in the United States of America,and as such,are covered by our laws.Those
> > > laws state that NO PERSON shall be concidered a criminal until proven
> > > guilty,not by a newsgroup of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court
> > > of law,Therefore only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally
> > > concidered "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (
> > > FCC,Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
> > > actions,but they are not Federal Criminals.
> > > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> >
> > And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
> > more proof is needed?
>
> jj........hit the bricks mudduck.................you are not wanted
> here..............good buddy...........

Like if I care if you want me here or not. I am here anyway and
nothing you can do about it.

2294. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:10:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144835

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Hammies that freeband.
>
> >I never do that,<
>
> So you say.
>
> >And I do as I say.<
>
> Ok, so you have the beneft of the doubt unless you begin to lay with
> dogs and get personal when a view is held by another you disagree with.
>
> >You see I obey the rules. <
>
> So you claim.
>
> >And I do as I claim.<
>
> And against my better judgement, I'm gonna believe you again. If you're
> truly an upperclassmen, you wouldn't have any problem enlightening me in
> email as to some technical question I may have pertaining to some of the
> knowledge you professed by virtue of your ticket, no?

Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.

2295. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:13:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFD341.5B2E078F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144836

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Scooter Tramp wrote:
> > >
> > > I am only a lurker in this newsgroup and don't usually (maybe one or
> > > twice) have much to offer..that said.....I keep hearing the term
> > > Federal Criminals used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,
> > > speeders,people stealing paper clips,etc.,etc.We (not including those
> > > in Canada,U.K.,Oz or else where) live in the United States of America,
> > > and as such,are covered by our laws.Those laws state that NO PERSON
> > > shall be concidered a criminal until proven guilty,not by a newsgroup
> > > of his peers (one way or the other) but by a court of law,Therefore
> > > only those persons "convicted " in federal court are legally concidered
> > > "Federal Criminals".They may not follow all the written rules (FCC,
> > > Traffic,Office Supply System,etc.) ,and you may not agree with their
> > > actions,but they are not Federal Criminals. Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> >
> >> And there are those who openly admit to breaking the laws, what
> > more proof is needed?
> >
> If that's your agenda here, you'll stay busy. And look like a fool.

Speaking of fool, how do *you* spell software?

2296. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:16:40 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDFD418.9B669E50@ieee.org>
Source record: 144829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill M wrote:
> Last I remember the
> code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid copy.

That has been changed.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2297. local shops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:26:01 UTC
From: pallyo66@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9ad088da.0211231126.5ee877ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144830

Can anyone tell me what CB shops are in the Buffalo, NY area? Thx

2298. amplifier posts of David Kao ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:31:18 UTC
From: Jokke <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <aIQD9.16405$Ti2.3040@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 144831

Hi,

A few year ago a certain man named David Kao posted several interesting things on amplifiers in this newsgroup. His address used to be david_kao@hp.com ,but for some reason the address changed. Anyone knows what he's address is at the moment ??

Thanks a lot !

Johan

2299. FS: transmitting valves

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 19:57:49 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3ddfddae.40010186@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 144832

Hello,

Various types of NOS transmitting valves are always in stock:

4-65A 801 807 813 830 1625
4-125 802 809 814 832A 5894
4-250 803 810 815 837 6146
4-400 804 811 829B 843 6159
572B 6360

QQE 03/12 QQE 06/40 etc. etc.

Visit our web site for more information, pictures and prices.
www.demostenes.no

Best regards,

Arnold Goks�yr
- manager -
DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as
sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and
other components. Web site is updated every week.
You can order on-line.

2300. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 20:13:07 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <njRD9.122808$1O2.8386@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 144833

Most TV coax is 75 ohm wire. So the answer is No.

50 ohm for a single system

75 ohm for a duel system.

Hope that helps. No question is a dumb question. Sometimes the answers can
get out of hand.

Deb
<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> azz ?
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> click here
>

2301. Re: Bring back The CB license (oral ejaculations by KC8LDO)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 21:23:31 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ipucnZOLZfJJbEKgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144837

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25089-3DDEBDD0-174@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>,,if such acts bring you pleasure,,,,,you have my
> permission to "make a fool of" me any time

I'll do just that Twisted, and I don't even need your pernission
either. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

2302. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 21:29:01 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <sbWcnf3KkI-AbkKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144838

Fact is Twisted CBers can't do any of the things I listed on 11m, so
they do in fact get more by being a Ham. You can spin, LIE, about it
any way you wish but the links I provided tell the truth the whole
truth and nothing but the truth.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

2303. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 21:39:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WYOcndcI_dACaEKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144839

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >About the only disagreement we had was over >the Tech License
issue. --
>
>
> (snip)
> Now, now Lelnad.....you may try to pass that lie off on some
newbies,
> but the regulars are familiar with what took place.

So educate the newbies Twisted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23991-3B5F4CB7-72@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net...

(snip)
> I stand behind what I write.
(snip)
==========

2304. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 21:50:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <7h6cnQzogpC2ZUKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144840

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123003225.408$g9@newsreader.com...
> It's the only thing left for the elmers. I hope they keep the dumbed
down
> written..and the 5 wpm.

It looks like at WRC 2003 the CW test requirement will be history. The only major group that wants to keep it is the Amateur group from the Russian Federation.

> If not for some of those cw boys,the radio hobbie wouldn't be near
as
> popular,as it still is. I've been lucky,my bad habits were trimmed
my
> elmers.

That's what is nice about Ham Radio. There is enough different things to do that almost everybody can find something they like.

> The guy that sold me the Collins(rest his soul) didn't like the idea
of
> selling it to someone with no call sign. But two of my buddies
talked to
> him on 20 and 40 all the time. That's how I found it.

They must have had golden tounges to get the guy to part with it. I've heard some of those old AM rigs on 75m near 3900 Khz to 4000 KHz. Some kave a sound like professional broadcast quality audio.

> It's like the car hobbie,you see all kinds.

No kidding.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2305. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:07:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <nf-cnRqkwu6VYUKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144841

<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another
dum

Contrary to what a few others have posted yes you can use 75 ohm coax
to connect your CB to the antenna. Getting connectors to fit is
another story. However you have to understand that using 75 ohm coax
will automatically give you a 1.5 to 1 SWR even with a perfect 50 ohm
antenna. If the antenna is not exactly 50 ohms your match will further
deteriorate. Some Hams make use of surplus 75 ohm "hard line" used by
the cable TV industry for interconnections at V/UHF. With a perfectly
tuned antenna, which is easy over a small frequency span for weak
signal work, the resulting 1.5 SWR is acceptable.

If you want to get fancy you can build some impedance transformers to
go between 50 and 75 ohms at each end of the coax. That would take
care of the instant 1.5 SWR hit you get for not using them.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2306. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:08:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFFC5E.EC19DB5A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level
> > > > > > > computer languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't
> > > > > > > they all just basically interfaces between the processor
> > > > > > > (machine language) and the operator??
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which
> > > > > > > is written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B,
> > > > > > > PRINT, ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter,
> > > > > > > which converts the high level code to machine language on the
> > > > > > > fly, or it is compiled which converts the high level code to
> > > > > > > machine language in an executable file (.exe).
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You mispelt software.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > > > > > to read things that aren't there though.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You mispelt it again..<g>
> > > >
> > > >> And just for the record, how do you spell it? Every where I see
> > > > the word, it is spelled 'software'.
> > > >
> > > Like this,remember?
> > >
> > > "Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
```

> converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> in an executable file (.exe)".
>
So tell me just where to you see the word 'software' in the
posting, much less misspelled?

2307. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:12:05 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021123171205.27633.00002734@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 144842

>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "

now thats cool

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2308. Re: That FCC prude has got to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:21:00 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12258-3DDFFF4C-90@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144847

Remember,We have an attorney general that wants clothes on nude women statues..what a weirdo!!!...Mark

2309. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:34:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu00jk33f6du1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144843

It might work. As we know, one can use a quarter wave matching section (two 75 ohm coax cables in parallel yielding 37.5 ohms) to run between a 25 ohm load (two antennas) and a 50 ohm load (the radio). Consider using a quarter wave matching section of one 75 ohm coax. This will bring the impedance up to around 90 or 100 ohms ... now connect another quarter wave matching section - same thing - to the radio. The radio impedance is now brought up to the same point. Connect 'em together and it should work. The simple way is to use a 1/2 wavelength of the 75 ohm coax (don't forget to figure in the velocity factor). If your antenna is 50 ohms resistive, your radio will see a 50 ohm load despite the 75 ohm coax! Coax length DOES matter in this example. A standing wave will exist within the coax, but the SWR meter should show 1 to 1 (assuming the antenna is 50 ohms resistive). Indeed, even if the antenna is not 50 ohms resistive, that magic 1/2 wavelength of coax will reflect the actual impedance to the radio. It should work!
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> azz ?
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> click here
>

2310. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:36:26 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211231436.5d083f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144844

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message news:<Y5KdnVKEG9KtzUOgXTWc3g@comcast.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:YXrD9.2667$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> :
> : It's ok Aaron, that's George Busch WA3MOJ, he has
> : been a troll here for almost three years now. He use's multiple
> : screen nics to by pass people's kill files, also he has multiple accounts
> : that he post from to troll this and other newsgroups.
> : Stick around, he'll start accusing , harassing, using foul
> : language to disrupt the newsgroup.
> :
> : Landshark
>
> It is ok Aaron that is juts Landshark, he suffers from delusions of
> granduer, he thinks I am everyone, he has tried to TOS me If I was everyone
> he said I wouldn't be here any longer . He has been know to frequent the
> alt.sex.ts Newsgroups. A quick check will confirm this to be true. His
> buddy Scott 69 uses comcast and changes nics at the drop of a hat he won't
> say anything to him just proves he is a troll trolling certain peoples
> posts. he has also been know to disrupt this Ng using foul language
> harrassing style post. It is all in the NG archives. here is some help just
> tweak the name landshark around and look in the archives, he has used
> prodigy as well as a eamil address, and have been caught using the name
> Frenchy as well as a few others. He is not real bright and I let him know.
> he also never post's about Cb radio, he is more concerned with what I do
> since Sparky caught him in the alt.sex.ts and homo NG's, he is mad at me for
> brining it up on occasion. Have a good one catch you on the airwaves.

Hi there, Georgie-girl! Still trolling away, I see. What a lamer!!
When will you learn how to spell and use punctuation?

Georgie-girl has used at least two-dozen nics to get around filters
and harrass people. But that's the only reason he has to post in
rec.radio.cb anyhow; to harrass people. Nobody will talk to him on the
ham bands because he's such an @$$!

2311. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:38:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211231438.49625fd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144845

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message news:<_96cnXuhtJLWgUKgXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:xMBD9.2843$8m5.97245536@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> :
> : LMAO!!! Now George is trying to be Scott,
> **********
> Is that Scott I thought scott was B. Hitt oh thats right he changed his name
> again. Why haven't you cried about him doing it? Ah because why?

Hey there, Georgie-girl! Still trolling away, huh? And yet another nic
to add to the killfile. You're definitely a gem among stones,
Georgie-girl.

Why aren't you on theose precious ham bands keeping them free of the
so-called "intruders"? Maybe because nobody will talk to you? TROLL!

2312. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:43:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211231443.21cedc04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144846

"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message news:<U4ucnXI9P79M00OgXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:HIrD9.2455$4j5.1332817@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> : A hired troll, eh?
>
> : I find that very funny.
>
> I am glad you could get a laugh.
>
>
> > I'd like to hear your definition of a troll
>
> Richard Cranium
>

Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, from Allentown, PA. THE troll in this
newsgroup since Aanal Aaron ran and hid.

>
> and
> : how my stated opinion on how I was suprised nobody has ever tried or
> : considered an SW pirate radio station using modified ham equipment on 26
> Mhz
> : equates to trolling....
>
> Nah, there is more than that that isn't even a consideration.
>
> aaron childs the good buddy wrote:
> "P.S.: If I ever get my HR2510 out of the mothballs, I'll give you a shout
> out sometime. I would love to see if the tubes in my Galaxy kicker are
> still good or not. :-)"
>
>
> :
> :
> : Then I want to show all the documentin you have that Richard "hired' me to
> : "troll"
> **********
> I didn't say he hired you I asked a question if you were a hired troll,
> reading things that aren't there is one of the first signs of a troll.

By your definition, perhaps, Georgie-girl. But then you read things
that aren't there all the time, then whine and cry about them with
p*ss poor spelling and lousy punctuation.

> "But what is funny since mr childs started posting you have replied to any
> critisism of him he also one of your hired trolls from rec.shortwave? or
> pirate radio."
>
>
>
>
> : The only thing you've gotten right so far is
> **********
> That your trolling along with richard cranium, old dickhead gets mad when
> someone replys to your posts, and he has to jump in wonder why you guys
> budies?
>

And you are the diskhead, Mr. rcranus@hotmail.com!

>
> the fact that I'm also on alt.radio.pirate.
> **********
> Where dickhead came from ties you 2 together, the fact your a pirate
> operator means you fit in with the illegal cb crowd.

I didn't come from there, dimbulb. That's only what you think, IF you
think at all, which is doubtful given what you post here.

>
>
>
> But even that batting average won't get you into Cooperstown, amigo...
> **********
> Sorry were not playing baseball. But my average would send barry bonds head
> spinning.

You send most heads spinning with your absolute bovine excrement. Why
don't people like you ever grow up? Why aren't you defending your
precious amateur frequencies instead of inciting on a CB newsgroup,
lamer?

2313. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:46:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0211231446.59f6674d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144848

"Self Control" the phoney <rcranus@hotmail.com> spewed in message
news:<IKWdnVG1NYfHE0CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com...
> : I am only a lurker in this newsgroup
> ******************************
> What an auspicous start Lurker to Troll.

What a leap of bovine excrement, Georgie-girl. YOU are the Troll.
Anyone who tries to have a conversation is considered a troll by you,
but you're the only one who thinks that way. Why don't you defend your
precious amateur frequencies? You are one sick puppy, Georgie-girl.

2314. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:48:31 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <6G-dnagi-KUimH2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144849

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123160826.069$rQ@newsreader.com...
: jcooper874@webtv.net wrote:
: >> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
: > azz ?
: >
: Nope,you'll need the real thing.

great answer Steve, perhaps you can tell him what the real thing is.

2315. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 22:52:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp0tc$2l1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 144850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
> procedures.

So how come the number of US hams is at an all time high?
Are people just getting licenses, upgrading, etc and then not
operating at all?

> Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well
> as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR
> side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio
> existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local
area
> experiences. We need to promote the hobby more.

Agree 100%.

> We need to get ham radio
> into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start
doing
> things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing
sessions
> with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with
> promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and
god
> forbid that eh????

Agree again!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2316. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:11:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp213$2q8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 144851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211230809.30598869@posting.google.com...
> Check Your Stats:
>
> On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking

Not sure that is totally accurate. N2EY can
perhaps add more historic data to answer state the facts.

> and
> going nowhere.

That depends on what any one indivdiual wants
ham radio to be viewed as.

> And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> many ham radio operators as the United States.

That troubles me not at all.

> The ham radio community is 50 years behind the
> times by holding on to this code thing.

Agree 100% if you are talking about the code TEST.
I have no problem with anyone wanting to use code as
a choosen mode.

> The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women
> and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by
> semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

Actually, I think the ham radio community has almost NO
image at all in the minds and perceptions of most people.

> Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a
> ham radio operator?

Sure. Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
or whatever.

> I don't think so!

Why not?

> Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Agreed....

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2317. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:37:53 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <PUWdnbl_KfrMjH2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144852

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utvk8vo6vaugb1@corp.supernews.com...
: Both you and the original poster missed a couple of points.

I don't think so Jim.

> Any amp becomes illegal once you exceed 1500 watts pep output (but is
legal if run under
>that limit).

Hmm, no kidding!

However, amps are **supposed** to require a certain minimum input power to
develop full output

No Jim, that is just to recieve certification, as a ham you don't need
certification of an amp if it is homebuilt I will provide positive proof of
both for you:

PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents

Subpart D--Technical Standards

Sec. 97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power amplifiers.

(a) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must satisfy
the spurious emission standards of Sec. 97.307(d) or (e) of this part,
as applicable, when the amplifier is:
(1) Operated at its full output power;
(2) Placed in the ``standby'' or ``off'' positions, but still
connected to the transmitter; and
(3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher
drive level is specified.)
(b) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must not be
capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz and

(not sure, but think it may be 50 watts) - so the amp is illegal.
****
The amp is legal for a ham to use. It cannot get certification

PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents

Subpart D--Technical Standards

Sec. 97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers.

(a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier
capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified during
any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a station without a

grant of certification. No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified by a non-amateur operator without a grant of certification from the FCC.
(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit (see Sec. 2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be certificated for use in the amateur service in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or more of the following conditions are met:
(1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below 144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of being easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and either:
(i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or
(ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120 MHz even for brief periods without sustaining permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.
(2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at that amateur operator's station.
(3) The amplifier was:
(i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or
(ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.
(4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur operator or to a dealer.
(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
(c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's database as certificated for use in the amateur service may be marketed for use in the amateur service.

2318. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:42:14 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <CdGcnWUU9frLj32gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144853

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

:
: What a leap of bovine excrement, Georgie-girl. YOU are the Troll.
: Anyone who tries to have a conversation is considered a troll by you,

Nah this is a cb ng what are your posts about? certainly not cb related Beat
it troll.

> Why don't you defend your precious amateur frequencies?
****
I do defend them each and every day, on this ng and on the air, and why
are they "my " amateur freqs? I thought you were a Ham as well as a troll?
Ah thats right you never proved that either. Why?, because your to busy
asking others for proof. LOL Good one Dickhead,.

You are one sick puppy, Georgie-girl.
****
Better than being a dickhead.

2319. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:43:59 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <axCdnahtZ4cij32gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144854

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123024444.988$UT@newsreader.com...
: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote:
: >> and by replying to him whats that make you?
: >
: One step ahead of a top posting jackass.

Oh here we have steve trolling along again damn it Steve do you ever talk
about Cb radio, and you like to throw the word, asshole and troll around.
LOL now I see why.

2320. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:44:52 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <PGudnTOcnqhpj32gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144855

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:ZxND9.2882

: Even a signed confession sometimes isn't
: proof enough for a conviction in a court of law.
:
: Landshark

That's not what twisty says limp wrist.

2321. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:48:13 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <ZgKdnVa2lYogjn2gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144856

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDF0AF5.1658856F@mailcity.net...
: > >
: > You mispelt software.
: >
:
: I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
: to read things that aren't there though.

JJ, he must be tipping a few because the asshole spelled *misspelled*
wrong, and he is trying to correct someone else with thier spelling good
grief.

2322. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:50:46 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <S5udnTAtwv7LiX2gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123181848.334

: > > You mispelt it again..<g>

: Like this,remember?

MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy

2323. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:51:35 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <Fe6cnQCPbucbiX2gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144858

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123183640.310

: You squat to piss.

No do you?

2324. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:52:18 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <NbacnZlYjI0viX2gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144859

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123021417.074

: Uggh! Seems there is a price for being stupid.

yes, you always brag about how rich you are, now I see why.

2325. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:57:47 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <LrGcnTzUNKxniH2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144860

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: And yet another nic to add to the killfile. You're definitely a gem among stones,
: Georgie-girl.

LOL you are killfiling me Richard hmm news to me, then why do you still answer me than kill file me? If you want to kill file me you must not like what I say yet you reply to what I say then LOL, say I am killfiled. Now I know you wouldn't do that i am the only reason you are trolling this NG. Your posting history will reflect that. I feel honored.

:
: Why aren't you on theose precious ham bands keeping them free of the
: so-called "intruders"?

Clean the cum out of your ears dickhead I am on the precious ham bands every day, now that is the last time I will tell you If you have a call sign spit it out and Ill give you a call How about it good Buddy?

Maybe because nobody will talk to you? TROLL!

Your talking to me, and your a troll so whats the difference. Hey give me your call sign and we can talk on the air.

2326. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:58:29 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <tlKdnf2LR9-Ai32gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144861

<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> azz ?

Certainly you can.

You'll suffer a 1.5-1 SWR at the rig.
Your antenna may be able to be adjusted to match the line impedance,
otherwise you'll have an impedance mismatch there. 75 ohm coax has
generally lower loss than 50 ohm coax.
4W shouldn't be a problem for RG-6 or similar.

2327. Re: That FCC prude has got to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-23 23:59:41 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <uD2dndW0qvv7i32gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144862

"Mark Roehlke" <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12258-3DDFFF4C-90@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Remember,We have an attorney general that wants clothes on nude women
> statues..what a weirdo!!!...Mark

Apparently, the idea is that there's someone over 6 yrs old that dosen't
know what's under the sheet, or under 6 who cares..

2328. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:00:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE01697.C48765C3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

staples wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123185145.653
>
> : >> MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy
> : >
> : You mispelt dumbass,boy.
>
> I never typed the word "dumbass" in this thread, I see your having some
> sort of malfunction, and you still spelled *mispelt* wrong douche. I think
> your into the bottle, go sleep it off.

Never mind morph, it went right over your head.

2329. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:01:34 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <Cn-dnb0DurxDi32gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144863

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: When will you learn how to spell and use punctuation?

When will you die?

: Georgie-girl has used at least two-dozen nics to get around filters
: and harrass people.

Are you filtering me? Yes or No Dickhead?

But that's the only reason he has to post in rec.radio.cb anyhow;

Yes I talk to trolls like you dickhead. I have yet to see 1 post from you dickhead that even offers anyone help or anything CB related you are 100% Troll. You trolled aarons post and didn't like it when I spanked you, so you attack me you are a troll and a hypocrite.

Some un answered questions Dickie Head

Where's your proof I am George.
Whats your callsign
How many Cbers are there in the uSA

to harrass people. Nobody will talk to him on the
: ham bands because he's such an @$$!

2330. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:09:39 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <IYacnZS8w5JehX2gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144865

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: > : Then I want to show all the documentin you have that Richard "hired'
me to
: > : "troll"
: >
****
: > I didn't say he hired you I asked a question if you were a hired troll,
: > reading things that aren't there is one of the first signs of a troll.
:
: By your definition, perhaps, Georgie-girl. But then you read things
: that aren't there all the time, then whine and cry about them with
: p*ss poor spelling and lousy punctuation.

LOL this is one of your funniest ones Yet Dick head LOL, by my definition
well asswipe I am the one who wrote it so yes it is by my definiton thank
you, now comes the funny shit, you and your buddy are the ones who read
something into not me LOL, then you cry about it and say I whine and cry.
LOL Look at you you stupid Phuqq, you can only cry about grammar and
punctuation what a lame asshole. Is that the best you got? I thought you
were a professional troll? Theuy were your exact words about yourself. would
you care to see it again?

: And you are the diskhead, Mr. rcranus@hotmail.com!

Oh diskhead ouch that hurts, lol you are really not much of a challenge
dickiehead. And all along you claim to be a pro. Jeez. Tim nebo would put
your lites out.

:
: >
: > the fact that I'm also on alt.radio.pirate.
: > **********
: > Where dickhead came from ties you 2 together, the fact your a pirate
: > operator means you fit in with the illegal cb crowd.
:
: I didn't come from there, dimbulb. That's only what you think, IF you
: think at all, which is doubtful given what you post here.

you are not from alt.pirate.radio? hmm

> Why don't people like you ever grow up?

why don't you go fuck yourself dickie head, you need to keep your yapp shut
your nothing but a loser and a troll and you have the nerve to say something
to me. Get bent.

Why aren't you defending your precious amateur frequencies instead of inciting on a CB newsgroup,

For the last time dick breath how come we don't here you oh thats right you have no call.

2331. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:09:54 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <51mdnTmyIYtPhX2gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144866

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123174905.209$IK@newsreader.com...
: richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
: >> You send most heads spinning with your absolute bovine excrement. Why
: > don't people like you ever grow up? Why aren't you defending your
: > precious amateur frequencies instead of inciting on a CB newsgroup,
: > lamer?
: >
: His dad puts him up to it.

Nah your wife did.

2332. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:11:41 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <-uOcndaS19ahhH2gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144867

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123105517.446$oB@newsreader.com...
: "M.E." <bogus@nonspam.com> wrote:
: >>CBers beleive just about anything. In one instance, a CBer asked me how
: > to make his rig run really HOT. I told him to take out all the
: > crystals, place them in a metal pan, then put them in the kitchen oven
: > and bake them at 500 degrees for two hours. Never heard from him
: > again...
: >
: Yea..he figured you were a retard.

Do you know how many NG's you just crosspost trolled to make that stupid
statement?

What a asshole. and a troll but he won't admit it .

2333. Re: FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:12:43 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <qPGcnbxeIPTmhH2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144868

"Mr 937" <tj1072@covad.net> wrote in message
news:arnd6l$39k$1@news.laserlink.net...
: Then Go buy it. What are you waiting for? I own an FT-102. Excellent
: radio. If it is in really good condition, he'll get that price. No
question
: about it. And it would not be a waste of money either.

Are you the asshole who owns 1stop electronics?

2334. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:16:19 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <JJGdnURmSc7Oh32gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144869

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123185439.852
: I post 10 times more than you about CB,which ain't much!

Steve you always have an excuse to offer, there isn't much cb help going on
in here but I do like you your last CB answer to the guy with the coax , LOL
do you consider that a helpful answer, if so I see why you think you post
10x more than me. btw,will you even admit to making "some" troll posts?

2335. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:18:28 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <4RadnZOOrl5Oh32gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144870

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net...

: Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.

He wants to have a copy of your return path JJ, he doesn't converse with
those he has such disdain for why all of a sudden your an exception be
careful who you walk with...

2336. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:23:21 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <zJecnaqTh75khn2gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123185145.653

: >> MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy
: >
: You mispelt dumbass,boy.

I never typed the word "dumbass" in this thread, I see your having some sort of malfunction, and you still spelled *mispelt* wrong douche. I think your into the bottle, go sleep it off.

2337. Re: George wants to change his name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:23:39 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <9pucnWzPbLaWgX2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144872

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123190452.905$0e_-_@newsreader.com...
: "staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123183640.310
: >
: > : You squat to piss.
: >
: >> No do you?
: >
: What's your first name?

Dick

2338. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:24:53 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <Bq2dnd5C1M3IgX2gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144873

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123185759.102$D2@newsreader.com...
: "staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123021417.074
: >
: > : Uggh! Seems there is a price for being stupid.
: >
: >> yes, you always brag about how rich you are, now I see why.
: >
: Show me one post where I'm bragging about money..archive boy.

Just one? mommas boy

2339. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:25:32 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <XYadnUsD7P7mgX2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144874

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123190007.929$yk@newsreader.com...
: "staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote:
: >> Clean the cum out of your ears dickhead
: >
: Another fine example of a ham radio operator.

This isn't ham radio, get over it.

2340. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:29:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <r3VD9.3573$%q6.132440416@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144875

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Georgie-girl has used at least two-dozen nics to get around >filters
> and harrass people. But that's the only reason he has to post >in
> rec.radio.cb anyhow; to harrass people. Nobody will talk to >him on the
> ham bands because he's such an @$$!

Two Dozen? in the past two weeks maybe, but it's a
hell of a lot more than that Richard.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2341. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 00:53:45 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <nohooch@noyomommas.com>
Message-ID: <wpVD9.19523$NV.381398@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

He gonna have him a "good-buddy" in the same prison cell.
"Hey, young fellow. whatchyour name? Oh,now, don't be havin' that at-ti-tude with me! Bend Over!!"

"the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> could have hid out for so long, without the help
> and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
>
> Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
>
> Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
>
> One down - MORE to go....
>
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
> A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> officials
> led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
>
> Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
>
> ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> residence, but he declined to elaborate.
> Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
> pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.

>
> York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> with North Carolina authorities.
>
> Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> times.
>
> Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> the federal government drew the attention of the
> Federal Communications Commission.
>
> The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> action.
> Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
>
> According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> included him in a series on hate.
>
> The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> more violence in his radio show. For public
> safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
>
> Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> message you can't get away forever.''
>
>
>

>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> >
>
>

2342. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:04:22 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <nohooch@noyomommas.com>
Message-ID: <uzVD9.19524$NV.381548@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 144877

I sure nobody comes out with a SKYNYRD - wannabe hit called "FREEBANDER"
That would be hilarious --- just like "The Devil Went Down To Jamaica"

In the late 80's, some crappy ass group called "Will to Power" ruined
"FreeBird" and Peter Frampton's "Baby, I Love Your Ways".

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:usjlc17d6un3d3@corp.supernews.com...
> I rate this a 20 over for Aaron and Jerry O.
> ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Late Hit" <roughing@greenbay.com> wrote in message
> news:Ud6dnefN5cGNb1qgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > Freebanding!!
> >
> > What is "Freeband, and Freebanding?
> > The Freeband are frequences and bands NOT intended for public use, and
> > fall between the 12 and 10 meter bands. They are a "no-man's land",
> > yet still illegal for cb'ers, and ham operators. They are the
> > frequencies below channel one (26.965 mHz), as far down as 25 mHz!
> > They also are above channel 40 (27.405 mHz), and up to 27.990 mHz!!
> > Below channel one you go in the direction of government freq's, and
> > above 40, you go just under the ham's 10 meter band. It is here where
> > many cb'ers go to get away from the norm, and the crazy junk found on
> > our cb channels. Even some hams can be found here, usually these our
> > new ham's who just came from cb.
> >
> > This is a new frontier compared to cb radio, with a new generation of
> > cb radios on the market. These radio's are "imports", and come as 10
> > meter transceivers out of the box (28 mHz to 29 mHz). They can very
> > easily be converted to operate on a huge frequency spand. The coverage
> > varies from model to model. Most come with a frequency counter
> > display, and channel display, band switch, AM/FM/SSB/CW. Peaked they
> > can push 30 to 40 watts AM! Averaging at least 10 watts AM/FM!! 25
> > watts PEP SSB!!
> >
> > Most of the activity falls between 27.4205 mHz to 27.775 mHz, or
> > channels 42 to 77. It is here you will find the very finest dxing and
> > quality modulation that puts cb to shame and rivals ham operation!
> > Just as self governing as ham freqs, operators are much more mature
> > and professional. Many popular clubs exist around the country for this
> > band, despite the fact that it is still very illegal. Perhaps not for

> > long, because the FCC is being pressured to increase the cb
> > frequencies. The last time the FCC increased coverage was 1975, from
> > 23 channels to 40 channels. We may see them increase it to 100
> > channels, since there are so many "imports" readibly and easily
> > available already! Even if this happens, it will only pollute these
> > "clean" freqs, and soon they will be as junky as the rest of cb, so
> > then we will have to take over another band to get away from the
> > growing mess!
> >
> > Perhaps then we may cross over in to the 10 meter band (28 mHz to 29
> > mHz). Currently most freebanders are respecting the ham operators
> > space on the 10 meter band. We don't go there now, even though our
> > radios can! We may be forced to if the FCC increases the cb channels.
> > Up to now, these radio's cost about $100 to $400 MORE than a typical
> > cb radio, this has discouraged a lot of people from crossing in to the
> > freeband. If FCC increases cb's coverage, prices will no doubt come
> > down, and more people will be on these freqs, which means more
> > bullshit and crap! Let's just hope the FCC doe's NOT increase the
> > coverage! Things are just great now for freebandeers!!
> >
> > The Freeband is more than just an escape from cb crazies! It is truly
> > a major "crossroad" to becoming a Ham operator. After tasting this
> > kind of radio, many cber's go for their ham license. As I pointed out
> > earlier, some ham operators can be found talking to cber's on the
> > freeband! For them, it is a return to what got them to where they are
> > now! Even if you never become a ham operator, you walk away a richer
> > man!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2343. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:06:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE025A7.5136D09@earthlink.net>
Source record: 144878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Welch wrote:
>
> Check Your Stats:
>
> On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and
> going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> many ham radio operators as the United States.

Check YOUR ststs, Tom. On a per capita basis, amateur radio is doing nicely. It is growing. Surprise!

> The ham radio community is 50 years behind the times by holding
> on to this code thing.

Your "stats" on this are what?

>
> The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women
> and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by
> semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

The negative public image stuff--where can we find confirmation of it? The basic social skills part--that can be confirmed where? What kind of socially skilled individuals insults an entire group of people by calling them all "old farts"?

> Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a
> ham radio operator?

My wife doesn't seem to mind having Saturday evening dinner with a ham radio operator. The folks who invited us to their home last Saturday evening didn't seem to mind either. I'd think most would rather have dinner with radio amateurs than with rap singers or the Clintons.

> I don't think so!

You don't think what?

> Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Yeah, and in a couple of months it'll be 2003!

Dave K8MN

2344. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:20:54 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eia0uu0ruq16b0sfp3c1b2g3arpt4tb02g@4ax.com>
Source record: 144879

i smell a fish head

hey who is who here
are the antikeyclowns hamsters or chickenbanders
kind of hard to tell apart
takeoutdog to send me trashmail

On 23 Nov 2002 07:44:44 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>> and by replying to him whats that make you?
>>
>One step ahead of a top posting jackass.

2345. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:25:24 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <usa0uugn0i86u42r85l3logbcb5g05q4ak@4ax.com>
Source record: 144880

he should know the apple dosent fall far from the tree
in his case it was bird dung

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 18:52:18 -0500, "staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote:

>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123021417.074
>
>
>: Uggh! Seems there is a price for being stupid.
>
>
>yes, you always brag about how rich you are, now I see why.
>

2346. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:31:43 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40b0uugm51i6kbf2p2d88jcc3f6mfk53ld@4ax.com>
Source record: 144881

i guess that may be true what if he put 24 volts in it
and it was a 800 watter and he got 1600 watts.
sence the amp was only 800 watter and with 24 volts
maybe that would be legal cause the amp was only
800 watts to start out with

who is that arron a internet guru

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 14:03:56 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Both you and the original poster missed a couple of points. Any amp becomes
>illegal once you exceed 1500 watts pep output (but is legal if run under
>that limit). However, amps are **supposed** to require a certain minimum
>input power to develop full output (not sure, but think it may be 50
>watts) - so the amp is illegal. The original poster forgot to include the
>John Birch Society ;)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>"Selpats" <pc@selpats.com> wrote in message
>news:fnydnRBD18wqi0KgXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>> Nothing Illegal about that amp unless a Cber gets ahold of it.
>>
>> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
>> news:JUDD9.161031$c51.48744325@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> : I DEMAND that you contact the FCC, the CIA, and the KGB and report this
>> : FEDERAL CRIMINAL! Or maybe he should just be forced to watch Sponge Bob
>> : Squarepants. Take a peek for yourself:
>> : http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=1&t=23698
>> :
>> : Bad ham...bad, bad, ham!
>> :
>> : A.E. 352
>> : 27.395 LSB
>> :
>> :
>>
>>
>

2347. cobra 148 gtl F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:36:26 UTC
From: xyzpentax@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123203626.00357.00007707@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 144882

looking for a cobra gtl F needs to be tuned and peaked and needs to be in good good cond. let me know what you have thanks ferd

2348. new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:37:37 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <afb0uuoqmnpilv0rthc3aq9hn7vvv7aua4@4ax.com>
Source record: 144883

i got a nice new used cb on ebay and i wanted to know if i can key
and use the thing on a dummy load
i was only able to talk to the guy two house down i think i was
misled i cant put up a tenna cause i live in a glass house
contract says no outdoor antenna
what di i do now

to mail me you must take the dog out on mail reply dog

2349. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 01:42:51 UTC
From: "phil :p" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu0dbal3a5jb63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

knowing assembly makes you a better HLL programmer. a few years ago there was an online "contest" among friends to see who could write the tightest yahoo chat client. i wrote four clients... using compiler BASIC (one allowing inline assembly), C, C++, and MASM. many of the "C-only" guys [you know, the ones who constantly quote the ANSI (or ISO) C standards like they're the constitution] who bragged about how efficient C compilers were and how obsolete assembler is... could not put out tight code. the guy who put out a really nice C client understood both the hardware and how his compiler (VC++) worked. you can learn a lot by using a disassembler on the compiler's output.

i've heard all the excuses of why not to learn assembly: "assembly is not portable"... well do you plan on porting your code? code is often intimately tied to the gui and OSes API (ok POSIX). "it doesn't matter because we have a 2 GHz CPU"... fine, then go use VB instead... and that is exactly what is happening. why? because 80% of boxes run windows and people want it done yesterday. IMO learning C is easy compared to grasping the win32 api.

i like procedural over OOP because it approximates the hardware better... i prefer Dennis to Bjarne and both to Anders. Gosling and Meyer... don't even ask lol. Kemeny and his contribution were brilliant... he wasn't Einstein's math assistant for nothing... i have one BASIC compiler that is quite good. Craig is right: all languages have their place (of course that place might be the trash can j/k). C is very popular (try to find a haskell compiler for a PIC MCU) but for me it's a love/hate thing because assembler was my first language. Java is always being pushed and while it makes sense for some stuff (Servlets/JSP) it's a dog for general apps.

2350. Re: It was a **CB** radio, gang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 02:21:26 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3de03564$0$317$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 144886

Any ham operator that doesn't acknowledge that CBers are VITAL in emergency situations is a FOOL! I can't think of a single situation, where I was involved, where hams didn't depend on CBers out in the field for vital information.

Kevin, WB5RUE
In 1621 the pilgrims celebrated the first Thanksgiving.
The pilgrims gave thanks to God for surviving another Winter.
The indians gave thanks to God for surviving another pilgrim.

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3dda89ae_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> No, Thank ME ! I sent it to Jim so he could post it here. So the next time
> you hear a pompus Hamateur bragging on how hams help in emergencies, and
> that CB is not "vital" for trucking, just put this one in his ear !
> Dave!!
>

2351. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 02:44:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE03D0C.1655217@cwis.net>
Source record: 144887

Deb,
Can you use it? Sure, but it won't be the best or
easiest kind of coax to use. Most coax for TV use has
aluminum shielding, which is very hard to solder to.
In most cases it isn't shielded very well either, depending
on the particular kind that you have. In the long run,
you would be better off using almost any kind of 50 ohm
coax.
'Doc

2352. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:05:31 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arpobe$4iml$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 144998

check real closely, There should be an adjustment right where the whip goes into the box, if not, another thing may be that your antenna is to low and the metal from the cab is causing problems?

"SBlackfoot" <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca> wrote in message news:MeYD9.4951$ed3.521000@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Okay don't laugh, but I have a thru-glass CB antenna on my little Jimmy. I
> only really wanted it for truck-truck communication on off-road trails and
> it actually works well for that.
>
> The problem is that the SWR reading is around 2.5-3.0, with no obvious way
> to adjust it. No adjustments at the box, no length adjustments (although I
> suppose it could be shortened.) Can anything be done short of getting a real
> antenna or is this all it's got?
>
> Oh yeah, it's a Uniden 520XL CB, and some "highway trucker" antenna or
> something like that. Thanks guys.
>
>

2353. Re: 555's Sheriff Bob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:10:16 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <5ESdnb_mjseK3n2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 144888

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:r3VD9.3573$%q6.132440416@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
: > Georgie-girl has used at least two-dozen nics to get around >filters
: > and harrass people. But that's the only reason he has to post >in
: > rec.radio.cb anyhow; to harrass people. Nobody will talk to >him on the
: > ham bands because he's such an @$$!
:
: Two Dozen? in the past two weeks maybe, but it's a
: hell of a lot more than that Richard.
:
: Landshark

You can call him Dickhead, I know you would rather anyhow wink wink

2354. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:35:53 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123223553.11447.00000055@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 144890

Alright ,man bring it on,slap it in the face kick it in the ass and get it warmed up for me,I'll be listening for ya bud.

2355. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:39:58 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123223958.11447.00000056@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 144891

Hello Twist ,good to hear ya in there again, sounded good when ol mother nature was letting it happen.I'll be listening for you tommorrow,C

yaSounding good on this end, my friend. Between you and Probation Officer, y'all had it locked up!

2356. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:57:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arpinlpqe0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 144892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dean Johnson wrote:
>
> On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 01:06:16 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > Tom Welch wrote:
> > >
> > > Check Your Stats:
> > >
> > > On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and
> > > going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> > > many ham radio operators as the United States.
> >
> > Check YOUR ststs, Tom. On a per capita basis, amateur radio is doing
> > nicely. It is growing. Surprise!
>
> "Last year at this time there were nearly 683,000 hams licensed by the FCC. As
> of October this year, there are 2235 fewer ..." -- AR Newsline.
>
> 2235 fewer hams is "growing?"

Better check for the stats at the correct source instead of trying to
support an untenable position.

> Better check your rose colored glasses in at the
> front desk.

So what color do you prefer?

2357. hygain 623

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 03:59:12 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <uu0gpn4n4t3bf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144889

who was looking for a 623??
can't find the wtb ad i have one f.s.
thanks
&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&

2358. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 04:01:39 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <j2YD9.82765$g9.232760@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 144893

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123204917.838$NZ@newsreader.com...
> manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> i got a nice new used cb on ebay and i wanted to know if i can key
> > and use the thing on a dummy load
> > i was only able to talk to the guy two house down i think i was
> > misled i cant put up a tenna cause i live in a glass house
> > contract says no outdoor antenna
> > what di i do now
> >
> Is it a base or mobile radio? (AC or DC)
>

And what the hell does the type of radio have to do with the original
question???

A dummy load is basically meant to replace the antenna for testing purposes
and is not intended to radiate a signal, so yes you can use a dummy load if
you don't want to talk any further than that guy 2 houses down...

Why not try an indoor antenna then? Wire di-pole perhaps strung up in the
roof or under the eaves?? There are many options, none of which will be as
good as a proper outdoor antenna, but all will be far better than the dummy
load!

--
Martin Howells, VK2UMJ
vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au

Delete "REMOVE" from e-mail address to reply

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2359. Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 04:05:30 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <MeYD9.4951$ed3.521000@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 144894

Okay don't laugh, but I have a thru-glass CB antenna on my little Jimmy. I only really wanted it for truck-truck communication on off-road trails and it actually works well for that.

The problem is that the SWR reading is around 2.5-3.0, with no obvious way to adjust it. No adjustments at the box, no length adjustments (although I suppose it could be shortened.) Can anything be done short of getting a real antenna or is this all it's got?

Oh yeah, it's a Uniden 520XL CB, and some "highway trucker" antenna or something like that. Thanks guys.

2360. WTB:Service Manual for PRO 810E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 04:20:22 UTC
From: "F. C. Wolfgram" <w4wwk@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3DE05386.BFC26798@erols.com>
Source record: 144895

Please state price and condition in first email.Thanks

2361. Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 04:50:20 UTC
From: E <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gUYD9.29100$hK4.2506378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144896

Hello all,

Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for a well built radio...

-- Erik

2362. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 04:57:34 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <ReScnZ22tqmjwX2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144997

"Martin Howells VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:j2YD9.82765$g9.232760@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
: <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
: news:20021123204917.838$NZ@newsreader.com...
: > manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote:
: > >> i got a nice new used cb on ebay and i wanted to know if i can key
: > > and use the thing on a dummy load
: > > i was only able to talk to the guy two house down i think i was
: > > misled i cant put up a tenna cause i live in a glass house
: > > contract says no outdoor antenna
: > > what di i do now
: > >
: > Is it a base or mobile radio? (AC or DC)
: >
:
: And what the hell does the type of radio have to do with the original
: question???

He is a troll Martin. He doesn't know much about Cb so those are the type
answers you will get.

:
: A dummy load is basically meant to replace the antenna for testing
purposes
: and is not intended to radiate a signal, so yes you can use a dummy load
if
: you don't want to talk any further than that guy 2 houses down...
:
: Why not try an indoor antenna then? Wire di-pole perhaps strung up in the
: roof or under the eaves?? There are many options, none of which will be
as
: good as a proper outdoor antenna, but all will be far better than the
dummy
: load!
:
:
: --
: Martin Howells, VK2UMJ
: vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au
:
: **Delete "REMOVE" from e-mail address to reply**
:
:
:
: ---

: Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
: Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002
:
:

2363. help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 05:36:11 UTC
From: manareyouafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8hp0uu4mlrloqs5ofov85mij9edg7b72ip@4ax.com>
Source record: 144999

i got hold of a big magmount tenna.
i heard the coil ads loss to the tenna
why cant i jus remove it to get more amps

send me mail but u got to get rid of dog first

2364. Re: Freebanding!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 05:49:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de0672f.735116@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145000

In <uzVD9.19524$NV.381548@news.direcpc.com>, "SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)"
<nohooch@noyomommas.com> wrote:

>I sure nobody comes out with a SKYNYRD - wannabe hit called "FREEBANDER"
>That would be hilarious --- just like "The Devil Went Down To Jamaica"
>
>In the late 80's, some crappy ass group called "Will to Power" ruined
>"FreeBird" and Peter Frampton's "Baby, I Love Your Ways".

You think that's bad? Sony is using a pathetic remake of 'Suite Judy
Blue Eyes' for one of their recent TV commercials, and UPS is now
using the theme from "Cheers". Nothing is sacred.

2365. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 06:20:33 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124012033.24922.00007548@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 145001
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

E wrote:
>Which hand held CB works best and >lends itself to mods? I'm looking for a
>well built radio...

You're going to have real problems here. Being non-repeater, CB is real dependent on having a real good antenna - and I've seen the huge difference a great mag-mount on a car makes over an ordinary full-size mag-mount when fed with a mobile CB. You're just not going to get out much with a radio feeding a very-short antenna without practically any ground plane or counterpoise.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2366. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 06:23:19 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124012319.24922.00007549@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 145002
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Yourafishhead wrote:
>i got a nice new used cb on ebay and i >wanted to know if i can key
>and use the thing on a dummy load
> i was only able to talk to the guy two >house down i think i was
>misled i cant put up a tenna cause i live >in a glass house
>contract says no outdoor antenna
>what di i do now

Got a low-height file cabinet? If not, got a kitchen cabinet - and a baking sheet? Get a real good mag-mount mobile antenna - and use that file cabinet or cookie sheet as your "car."
Matt,
N4GSV
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2367. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 06:25:52 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE070F0.ED0CE804@cwis.net>
Source record: 145003

Cause you can't get 'amps' from 'tennas'.
'Doc

2368. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 06:27:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Hj_D9.3100$7L7.233022770@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145004

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021124001141.556$Ms@newsreader.com...
> "staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote:
> >> He is a troll Martin. He doesn't know much about Cb so those are the
> >> type
> > answers you will get.
> >
> Why do you always pick on me,george? Cuz I'm the only one dumb enough to
> respond to you?
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

LMAO!!! The king of Rec.Radio.Cb trolls, calling
someone else a troll, TFF.....

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as cp@staples.com
fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

2369. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 06:29:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE071AC.4306D628@cwis.net>
Source record: 145005

SBlackfoot,
'Thru-glass' antennas such as you have can only
be 'tuned' with a ball-peen hammer...
'Doc

PS - It's very unlikely that you can do anything
with your antenna beside replace it.

2370. CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 07:31:46 UTC
From: Walter <walterk@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 145006

Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other electronics.
Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for a whole block.
I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base cb's.
Any help out there for an avid CBer???
PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not supposed to have one installed in the first place...

2371. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 08:48:11 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <fn0E9.5796$Jk.757351@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 145007

ain)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 24 Nov 2002 01:48:11 #@#'
ain
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.cb:100081

The antenna won't have much to do with the trouble you are having. Try a different radio un-modified and no amp or power microphone. Just be sure that your antenna has a good ground and a low SWR.

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...
>
>

2372. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:27:11 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <uP0E9.82881$g9.233876@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145008

Have you tried getting the CB tuned properly??? Unless the antenna is out of tune or there is a major problem with the cable (i.e. co-ax to balanced antenna & no balun) then it sounds unlikely to be an antenna problem as you have tried more than 1 antenna, so I'd look towards the CB before spending more time & money.

Also try a Low Pass Filter at the CB end, use a standard microphone & legal power output. Is the power supply properly filtered & regulated?

--
Martin Howells
*Australian Amateur Station VK2UMJ
*Australian Scientific Station AX2NAG
*ACBRO Member #470

vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au

Delete "REMOVE" from e-mail address to reply

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2373. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:34:50 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <FW0E9.82888$g9.233527@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145009

"manareyouafishhead" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8hp0uu4mlrloqs5ofov85mij9edg7b72ip@4ax.com...
> i got hold of a big magmount tenna.
> i heard the coil ads loss to the tenna
> why cant i jus remove it to get more amps
>
>
> send me mail but u got to get rid of dog first

Hell, why not jus' get rid of whole 'tenna?? Jus' a darn wast o' space them there 'tennas anyways, aint nuthin' but a straight coathanga.....

One would presume that the antenna manufacturer has added the coil for a valid reason (the exact reasons we will not get into here as I do not want to confuse some people), so I guess getting rid of that coil would be a little like removing the brakes from your car "cause they just add drag and slow it down". Sounds like a darn good idea until you go to use it!!!!

PS - I got rid of dog - its burried out back with the 'tenna!!!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2374. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:39:23 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <V_0E9.82892$g9.233523@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145010

> : > Is it a base or mobile radio? (AC or DC)
> : >
> :
> : And what the hell does the type of radio have to do with the original
> : question???
>
> He is a troll Martin. He doesn't know much about Cb so those are the type
> answers you will get.
>

Yeah, I must agree with you. I would have presumed that if he was able (or wanted) to put it in a mobile he wouldn't have asked for alternatives for a base antenna!! Or was that too logical???

Oh well..... ;-)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2375. OT: Bar jokes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:20 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8ev$ub5$2@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Two peanuts walk into a rather rough bar, not looking for any trouble.
Unfortunately, one was a salted.

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A jump lead walks into a bar.
The barman says "I'll serve you, but don't start anything."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A sandwich walks into a bar.
The barman says "Sorry we don't serve food in here."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A dyslexic man walks into a bra.

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A man walks into a bar with a roll of tarmac under his arm and says:
"Pint please, and one for the road."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

Two hydrogen atoms walk into a bar. One says, "I think I've lost an
electron."
The other says, "Are you sure?"
The first replies, "Yes, I'm positive."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

Regards,

Peter.

2376. OT: Doctors and Vets...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:23 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8f0$ub5$3@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

A man walks into doctor's office.
"What seems to be the problem?" asks the doc.
"It's ... um ... well ... I have five penises." replies the man.
"Blimey!" says the doctor, "How do your trousers fit?"
"Like a glove."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

Man with a strawberry stuck up his bum goes to the doctor.
The Doctor says "I'll give you some cream to put on it."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A guy walks into the psychiatrist wearing only cling film for shorts.
The shrink says, "Well, I can clearly see you're nuts."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

"Doc, I can't stop singing 'The green, green grass of home'."
"That sounds like Tom Jones syndrome."
"Is it common?"
"Well........It's not unusual........."

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A man takes his Rottweiler to the vet and says "My dog's cross-eyed,
is there anything you can do for him? "
"Well," says the vet, "let's have a look at him" So he picks the dog up and
examines his eyes, then checks his teeth.
Finally, he says "I'm going to have to put him down."
"What? Because he's cross-eyed? "
"No, because he's really heavy"

** ** ** ** ** ** **

A man came round in hospital after a serious accident.
He shouted,"Doctor, doctor, I can't feel my legs!"
The doctor replied,"I know you can't,
I had to amputate your arms"

** ** ** ** ** ** **

Regards,

Peter.

2377. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:31 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8f1$ub5$4@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145013

Cross posting removed...

"Chuck C." <chuckcrews61@NOSPAM.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Y7FD9.6607$wc2.437008@news2.east.cox.net...
> Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus)
> can be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???

Quite possibly.
There are hoax messages going around about all kinds of mobile 'phone
viruses.

There are some hoaxes listed here...
http://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/hoaxes/hoax.asp

I have heard some great and crazy false claims form people cliaming to know
what they are talking about.
From the rental TV engineers who, with any repair being free, use the words,
"it's your antenna, Madam" to get out of any repair where there is at least
some picture and sound... to an alarm installer who, when called back to a
new alarm that kept triggering itself said, "It's your electronic equipment
in your house. All electronic equipment has radio waves in it, even when
switched off."... and left.

Regards,

Peter.

2378. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:36 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8f5$ub5$6@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145014

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arlhnn$k16od$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> Not a bad idea. However, with 10 kHz channel spacing, it will cause a lot
> of interference to the other channels. You'd need about 25 kHz channel
> spacing, even with NFM.

We use FM with 10KHz spacing.
It is also a European standard, FM at 10KHz spacing on the same
channels as you use.

With speech, a deviation of 2.5KHz is about the max. before you
get problems with splatter.
The amount of splatter caused can depend upon the voice characteristics
of the user. The trick is to ban older women... only young ladies and men
should be allowed to use it ;~)

Regards,

Peter.

2379. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8f7$ub5$7@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145015

"A_Real_CB_Operator" <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message
news:XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com...
> 1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO
> WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!

How would lincensing improve CB, or end all problems?

Here in the UK, CB still requires a licence. We still get little or nothing done for our money. We have no right to protection from inteference of any kind. We still get the idiots, playing music, etc.
The "RIS" does nothing about abuse of the system, unless it causes problems to another service (such as TV) AND the owner of the offended equipment PAYS the "RIS" to come out and deal with it. Oh, and they must also have paid a local dealer to take a look first.

The licence does nothing but gather money for the Government.
And the biggest "cry baby" is the Radiocommunications Agency, who whine about how the cost of running the licence system costs more than the amount collected. While they keep saying that they will drop the licence... they never get around to it.

> 2. ALLOW FM ON CB

We use FM here in the UK.
It works OK, as long as people stick to the deviation level set.
We are still waiting to hear if we will get SSB.

> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!

I thought they were already illegal over there.
You have even tighter rules than the UK on this issue. Here, it is fully legal to buy and sell linears that will operate around the 10Metre band. This means that linears are legally sold in CB shops... "for Amateur use only" - lol.

It is illegal to use them on CB, but proof would be needed.

> 4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL

The UK rules allow for Selcall as messages to call up other stations.
The units were available, but they never caught on.

CTCSS would be a great "tone squelch" system. The squelch would not be opened by noise.

But, any system designed to allow "private" conversations would be against the very nature of CB radio. The thing I have always liked about CB in this country, is the "community" spirit.

Group conversations, networks, DXing (legal here), "eyeballs", road reports
and other help from fellow CBers, etc.
Make it into a "private radio" system, with everyone having their own code
to protect from listeners... and the best of CB will be gone.

> 5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS
>
> 6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY

This is something for the manufacturers to do.
Some sets in the UK now use LCD display... specially handheld, as it saves
battery power.
It looks better and uses less power, but I do not see it as a major issue.

> 7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!

Another thing that was started over here in the 80s... never hear of it in
the UK now.

> 8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!

Did you not have that once... then get rid of it with the loss of the
licence?
Please explain how this "improves" CB... apart from making it more like
Amateur Radio, which it is NOT.

> 9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND
> POINTLESS!!

I believe that you will find that it is just a few, mainly "old boys", who
have a problem with CB.
As with this newsgroup, a few people can make enough noise to make something
look like a major war.

> 10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT
> "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR" BETWEEN CB'ers AND HAMS!!

I could just imagine that on the Jerry Springer Show.

> 11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!

What is it you want... enforcement when there are complaints, or enforcment
by having officers sat on street corners, monitoring all transmissions for
illegal power or conversation?
Just like traffic police... sat on street corners watching the traffic all
day... on public money.

Over here, they have alway been getting a licence fee, yet still do very
little enforcement.
What kind of licence fee do you think it would take to cover the cost of
policing CB?
Such a cost will do one of two things:
1. Put people off CB, further reducing it's use... and

eventually killing it.
2. Create a large number of "federal criminals", who
refuse to pay such a high cost for something that
was free.

> 12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy
> Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear
> channel comms.
>
> 13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE
> NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!

Do you think that many CBers will be willing to go up against their fellow
CBers?
Will they be left with anyone to talk to, when the get labels such as
"snoop", "grass", and worse. That would split CB into two groups, at war
with each other.

To use Amateurs to police CBers would open up an even larger battle between
the two groups. Amateurs would be seriously HATED by CBers for intefering
in their hobby.
And I thought you wanted an end to the "CB vs HAM" war.

> 14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of
> being a dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to
confront
> the individual in question!!

Is it common for CBers to shoot people?
Is there a serious problem over there with masses of people being shot by
CBers... bigger than the problem with Kids taking a gun to school and
shooting the place up?

> 17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!

Who says it is?
Over here, it has always been a commonly used term for a fellow CBer.

> 18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!

Eh?

> 19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of
> threats to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)

Take a lesson from the chap in the film "Citizens Band"... where he ties one
end of a rope to his vehicle, the other to the offender's antenna... and
drives away.
Or, when he smashes up a kid's CB with a bat. The mother appears, is
told.what he did... and says "good".

OK in the films, but do you believe that it is OK to break laws in order to
punish someone else for breaking a law?

> 20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
> <snip>

The agencies involved could get so flooded with calls that they do not have the resources to deal with, that they decide to take measures to reduce the possibility of complaints.
Rather like here in the 80s. The RIS had so little resources that they:
1. published a book, with info on how to stop inteference to your radio / tv.
2. Insisted that you must first contact an electrical dealer to cure it... before you can call the RIS.
3. Placed a charge on all inteference call outs. You had to pay to get action.
4. Listed items that they would NOT deal with in any way, such as telephones, AM radio, baby alarms, etc.

That reduced the amount of people complaining.
Whine too much, and you could find the same thing happen over there.

Pick your battles carefully. Our "RIS" does that... and boasts a 100% conviction rate. If they take someone to court, they know that they will win.

Regards,

Peter.

2380. Re: Where to buy from?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:40 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8f9$ub5$8@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145016

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:c4VC9.3020$Lq.113076@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi I would like to buy some CB equipment radio, psu, antenna, coax ,
plugs
> etc does anyone know of a online shop I can order from please?

Hi Stuart.

As you are in the UK, try Knights CB.

There are a few others, I cannot remember URLs... visit my site (link
below), go to the "radio" section, then select the links page.
There are some there.

Regards,

Peter.
http://clik.to/brainbuster/

2381. OT: Sex Mathematics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 09:43:44 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arq8fc$ub5$10@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145017

> SEX MATHEMATICS
>
> This is pretty clever how it works out.
>
> DON'T CHEAT BY SCROLLING DOWN FIRST It takes less than a minute.......
> Work this out as you read.
>
> Be sure you don't read the bottom until you've worked it out!
> This is not one of those waste of time things, it's fun.
>
> 1. First of all, pick the number of times a week that you would like
> to have sex. (try for more than once but less than 10)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> 2. Multiply this number by 2 (Just to be bold)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> 3. Add 5. (for Sunday)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> 4. Multiply it by 50 - I'll wait while you get the
> calculator.................
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> 5. If you have already had your birthday this year add 1752....If
> you haven't, add 1751.....
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> 6. Now subtract the four digit year that you were born.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> You should have a three digit number.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The first digit of this was your original number(i.e..e., how many
> times you want to have sex each week).
>
>
> The next two numbers are .....
>
>
> YOUR AGE! (Oh YES, it IS!!!!!)
>
> THIS IS THE ONLY YEAR (2002) IT WILL EVER WORK, SO SPREAD IT AROUND
> WHILE IT LASTS.

2382. Re: Sex Mathematics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 11:50:14 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arqn3i$583u$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145027

Didn't work. first # was correct, but the age was off by 2 years.

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:arq8fc$ub5$10@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > SEX MATHEMATICS
> >
> > This is pretty clever how it works out.
> >
> > DON'T CHEAT BY SCROLLING DOWN FIRST It takes less than a minute.......
> > Work this out as you read.
> >
> > Be sure you don't read the bottom until you've worked it out!
> > This is not one of those waste of time things, it's fun.
> >
> > 1. First of all, pick the number of times a week that you would like
> > to have sex. (try for more than once but less than 10)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 2. Multiply this number by 2 (Just to be bold)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 3. Add 5. (for Sunday)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 4. Multiply it by 50 - I'll wait while you get the
> > calculator.................

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 5. If you have already had your birthday this year add 1752....If
> > you haven't, add 1751.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 6. Now subtract the four digit year that you were born.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > You should have a three digit number.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > The first digit of this was your original number(i.e..e., how many
> > times you want to have sex each week).
> >
> >
> > The next two numbers are .....
> >
> >
> > YOUR AGE! (Oh YES, it IS!!!!!)
> >
> > THIS IS THE ONLY YEAR (2002) IT WILL EVER WORK, SO SPREAD IT AROUND
> > WHILE IT LASTS.
>
>
>

2383. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 11:57:03 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <j83E9.128434$1O2.8817@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 145018

Holy cow........I think I hear the "Dueling Banjos"
playing.....................

"manareyouafishhead" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8hp0uu4mlrloqs5ofov85mij9edg7b72ip@4ax.com...
> i got hold of a big magmount tenna.
> i heard the coil ads loss to the tenna
> why cant i jus remove it to get more amps
>
>
> send me mail but u got to get rid of dog first

2384. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 13:32:10 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ux4E9.96576$P31.50515@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 145019

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE03D0C.1655217@cwis.net...
> Deb,
> Can you use it? Sure, but it won't be the best or
> easiest kind of coax to use. Most coax for TV use has
> aluminum shielding, which is very hard to solder to.
> In most cases it isn't shielded very well either, depending
> on the particular kind that you have. In the long run,
> you would be better off using almost any kind of 50 ohm
> coax.
> 'Doc

I agree Doc. I have had to repair more than one radio that has been used on TV Coax. So I just simply say no. To most laymen, that's the easiest thing to do.

I recommend Mini 8, RG58, RG213 to my customers. Handling cable can get a little pricey with the shipping and fuel surcharges. Now, I don't like to put the PL259's on the RG213 or any of the bigger coax. I thought Mini 8 was a God send since we build our own cables. I don't like the pre packaged stuff.

RG8, I'm not familiar with RG6 is that also 50 ohm. See I am still open for information. Probably because I don't like to put those connectors on that big stuff. My poor old fingers don't work like they used to. Typing is a problem some mornings.

We are usually talking about 18 foot runs for trucks. I steer most of my drivers away from the duels. Just too easy to be taken when they are on the road by others. Its expensive to maintain. I don't use RG58 in most cases unless I am repairing a Wilson 1000 and they want the original stuff or splicing a K40 (which I hate to do). I hate splicing coax.

Thanks for the info. Its nice to talk to someone and not see that a helpful suggestion is getting flamed.

Have a wonderful day.

Deb

2385. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 13:41:33 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <hG4E9.96605$P31.50631@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 145020

"GLC1173" <glc1173@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124012319.24922.00007549@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Yourafishhead wrote:
> >i got a nice new used cb on ebay

New to him? Must be what he ment.

>and i >wanted to know if i
>can key and use the thing on a dummy load

Yes you can honey (I'm from the south so I can say that, I am also a Mom),
but you have to remember, there is a reason its called a Dummy Load.

> > i was only able to talk to the guy two House down

And what did he say?

>i think i was misled

Now thats hard to believe! :)

> i cant put up a tenna cause i live >in a glass house

Don't throw no stones then.

> >contract says no outdoor antenna what di i do now

Re-read the contract. I am almost certain you missed something.

Sorry guys. Couldn't resist. It was fun.

Deb

2386. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 13:43:50 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <pl4E9.98051$__1.57888@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145021

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE071AC.4306D628@cwis.net...
>
>
> SBlackfoot,
> 'Thru-glass' antennas such as you have can only
> be 'tuned' with a ball-peen hammer...
> 'Doc
>
> PS - It's very unlikely that you can do anything
> with your antenna beside replace it.

Hey Doc,

If he has to have it, (I hate em) would an antenna matcher do the trick or trick the antenna into believing the ...well you know.

Deb

2387. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 13:49:56 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8O4E9.98067$__1.58111@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145022

Most of the new hand held CB's are built pretty much the same.

Good performance is dependant on the

1. Antenna
2. Battery source, i.e.: Pack, Cig plug.

Modifiable, now you now that's illegal. Cobra, Midland, Cherokee.

Happy hunting.

Just a footnote. No one. Absolutely no one has ever come in to tell me
they are tickled pink with the performance of their hand held radio. They
have not complained about them either.

Not that I advocate this either, but a lot of our hunting groups are calling
about marine band. That should make the Hams happy. I really try hard to
dissuade them in this decision.

But the groups buy and use the radio's for various tasks out in the woods.

Deb

"E" <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:gUYD9.29100$hK4.2506378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hello all,
>
> Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for a
> well built radio...
>
> -- Erik
>
>
>

2388. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 13:54:43 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <DS4E9.99272$WL3.44545@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 145023

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE070F0.ED0CE804@cwis.net...
>
>
> Cause you can't get 'amps' from 'tennas'.
> 'Doc

:)

2389. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:04:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021124090450.05549.00000071@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145025

> BTW, the galaxy radios don't go up to 29 mhz do they?

they can if you want them too, so can any other cb.

>It is real popular ? perhaps you can show us how popular it is, you know
>some web pages of groups that frequent there etc woul be nice , since it is
>real popular shouldnt be a problem.

sure its popular, just listen for yourself. 29.000-29.100 seems o have the most activity

2390. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:05:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021124090526.05549.00000072@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145026

>Tim, please show us some groups or popular useage of 10 meter Am.

why?

2391. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:05:32 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <M05E9.99297$WL3.44913@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 145024

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into
other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc,
for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...
>
Make sure your SWR is good. And if your radio has had the modulation messed
with, turn it down. Most of the time overmodulation is what is causing it.

Now I have to go test that theory.

Bye.

Deb

2392. Re: FS- Yaesu FT-102 HF RIG with 11 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:19:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-101
Message-ID: <20021124091948.05549.00000073@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145028

i'd snap it up if i were you!

>
>funny thing is, I can buy a *used* ft-1000d for that price right now
>(theres one at the local HRO on consignment).
>

2393. Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:28:01 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <RI5E9.855$4s6.823013@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145029

Cobra 2000 with 1 speaker, clean and looks good.... works great $250 and I'll ship.... lower 48

drc

2394. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 14:38:09 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <lv5E9.856$4s6.823026@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145030

sure you can, it just won't work as good as 50 ohm coax..... you will
have a mismatch and its harder to work with..

drc
<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> azz ?
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> click here
>

2395. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 15:10:59 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DE0EC03.3791EA6@qnet.com>
Source record: 145031

Hello Walter:

The number one thing to remember about TVI and neighbor interference, is that you want to be a good neighbor about this. In my case, I bought a RF Proof Phone, and a few High Pass Filters for my neighbors TV, he put em on. Now we're all happy!!!!

These Interference Problems have had a way of even going to court, in rare cases. The Judge will not tolerance radio operators with a belligerent attitude, or negighbors with a belligerent attitude.

Even with a stock none modified CB Radio, you will experience some Interference problems, its not un-common. And even though you maybe in the clear about having a stock legal radio, its still best to be a good neighbor about the hole thing.

The MaCo V5/8 Ground Plane Antenna, and the old out of production antennas, Penetrator 500, and Avanti Sigma 2, are 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antennas, will be a good antenna to use due to the great decrease in neighbor electronics interference, a Ground Plane Antenna has.

The Ground Plane Antennas seem to point way less energy towards the neighbors direction. I wish I had a Dime for every time I have heard this.

Its also a good idea to run barefoot, use a low pass filter, and have the antenna mast and radio well grounded. You want the antenna tuned for the channel your using, at its lowest SWR, this will allow the Loss Pass Filter to work as advertised.

Ones of the biggest causes of TVI is removed or clipped modulation limiter components, there is not real reason they should be removed.

There are many variables to the Interference Problems, and I wish I could suggest a cure all, or fix all, but there ain't any, be advised.

The ARRL sells a couple of books on the subject of RFI!, there web site address is at:
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html

The book is well worth reading!

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Ham, CB, Scanner, & Shortwave radio enthusiasts
Rock n Roll Fan, I have lots of records
Rock Collector, I stock plenty of Leave-her-right-there

Owner 1966 Ford 4 x 4, 460 C.I., no smog shit, Loudest Public Address system in the world. (it helped putting the 2 loud speakers on the roll bar, looks great with the Co-Phased 96" whip antennas)
None paid member of local TVI, RFI, EMI, Oh ee ah ah ah ting tang walla walla bing bang committee.
Paid member of Mojave Desert CB Radio Association. (10 Bucks!)
I get cable and Dish TV! there's a switch on top of the TV, simply Bitchen....

Walter wrote:
>
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...

2396. Re: That FCC prude has got to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:35:56 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211240835.2508e7e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145032

SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote in message
news:<12258-3DDFFF4C-90@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Remember,We have an attorney general that wants clothes on nude women
> statues..what a weirdo!!!...Mark

...see what I mean? We've got our own Taliban in charge right here at home!

Guy

2397. Re: That FCC prude has got to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:37:39 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211240837.ac6a84@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145033

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:<uD2dndW0qvv7i32gXTWcqA@comcast.com>...
> "Mark Roehlke" <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12258-3DDFFF4C-90@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > Remember,We have an attorney general that wants clothes on nude women
> > statues..what a weirdo!!!...Mark
>
> Apparently, the idea is that there's someone over 6 yrs old that dosen't
> know what's under the sheet, or under 6 who cares..

Hmm, maybe the attorney general has one of those mentally retarded
kids who can't handle it?

Guy

2398. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:42:58 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <NTKdnVOllPQJnHygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145034

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021124090450.05549.00000071@mb-df.aol.com...
: > BTW, the galaxy radios don't go up to 29 mhz do they?
:
: they can if you want them too, so can any other cb.

Tim, that can be said about anything it can if you want it to, it can if you have enough money, pretty much anything can be done. Out of the box is what is I meant Tim. I can't see anyone buying a radio then having to modify it to talk on 10 when they make actual ham radios that are already there. without rebel flags, roger beeps and echo. 10-4?

:
: >It is real popular ? perhaps you can show us how popular it is, you know
: >some web pages of groups that frequent there etc woul be nice , since it
is
: >real popular shouldnt be a problem.
:
: sure its popular, just listen for yourself. 29.000-29.100 seems o have the
most
: activity

Thanks Tim, I heard a chap on 29.050.00 mHz on the AM dial. Yet, I don't think it is anywhere near as popular as the low bands.

2399. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:44:21 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <OeKdneD6UIx6nHygXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145035

"Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
news:20021124090526.05549.00000072@mb-df.aol.com...
: >Tim, please show us some groups or popular useage of 10 meter Am.
:
: why?

you said it was popular and If so perhaps some other can join in, but I am finding it hard to get much info on this popular useage. So since you know all abou it and it's popularity who better to ask. 10-4?

2400. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:50:56 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <zKOdnVkPUs7snnygXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145036

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DE0EC03.3791EA6@qnet.com...
: Hello Walter:
:
: The number one thing to remember about TVI and neighbor interference, is
: that you want to be a good neighbor about this. In my case, I bought a
: RF Proof Phone, and a few High Pass Filters for my neighbors TV, he put
: em on. Now we're all happy!!!!

Be careful being a good neighbor about this can also get you in trouble. By you buying filters etc, makes some people think it is you supplying these items means the problem is because of you and your responsibility to remove it. Lets say you filter the phone but for some reason can't get out of a stereo or TV. They expect you to do something sinc eit is now your responsibility. Just becareful, if and when you do buy something let them know you are doing it because you want to help and are under no responsibility to provide the filters or a solution to the problem. You may be the cause of the interference since you are transmiting, but the problem is there because of lack of filtering etc. Just be careful how you approach neighborw when supplying filters. the best of friends will turn against you if you are causing something they don't want to put up with.

2401. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:53:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021124115302.02824.00000081@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145037

10-4 on that there little buddy. just give it a listen for yourself. its the old guys that use ten AM so maybe there too set in there ways to build web sites about it.

>
>
>you said it was popular and If so perhaps some other can join in, but I am
>finding it hard to get much info on this popular useage. So since you know
>all abou it and it's popularity who better to ask. 10-4?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2402. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:56:25 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <0p-dnfyK-ugmmXygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145038

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021124001402.757$xh@newsreader.com...
: "E" <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
: >> Hello all,
: >
: > Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for
: > a well built radio...
: >
: > -- Erik
: >
: You'd be much better off with a 12 volt radio.

Way to give a great answer again Moped, what if he wants a handheld to carry around dopey? maybe he is a hunter ? does he carry around a 12v radio LOL. Hard to provide help when all your comments are usually snide and trollish towards people. Try a Cherokee they seem to the ofne of the better ones.

2403. Re: 20 Steps To Improve CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 16:57:17 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211240857.178a9bc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145039

"A_Real_CB_Operator" <cb_ops@limacharlie.com> wrote in message
news:<XPVC9.18578$NV.356979@news.direcpc.com>...
> 1. MANDATE THE LICENSING OF ALL CB OPERATORS - NO EXCEPTIONS, NO
> WHINERS, NO CRY-BABIES AND NO MORE PROBLEMS!!
no
> 2. ALLOW FM ON CB!
yes
> 3. BAN THE LINEARS!
no... encourage linears
> 4. ADD ENCRYPTION / DES / CTCSS / PL / SELCAL
maybe... add packet, TV, & repeaters too
> 5. INCREASE AM AND FM OUTPUT TO 10 WATTS
1500 watts, dummy
> 6. LCD DISPLAYS INSTEAD OF THE LED CHANNEL /FREQUENCY DISPLAY
yes... if it displays freqs
> 7. ENHANCE THE "R.E.A.C.T." NETWORK!!
maybe
> 8. MANDATE REAL CALLSIGNS ---NOT made-up HANDLES!!
no
> 9. GET OVER IT AND PUT AN END TO THIS STUPID WAR BETWEEN THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY AND THE CB COMMUNITY!!!! ARGUMENT IS FUTILE AND
> POINTLESS!!
does not compute
> 10. GO ON A TALK SHOW AND HAVE AN ALL OUT "HOLIER-THAN-THOU WAR" BETWEEN
> CB'ers AND HAMS!!
no... have indoor riot in gym w/baseball bats
> 11. ENFORCE THE CB RULES AND REGULATIONS!!!
no
> 12. PUT CB CHANNEL 9 ON FM FOR REAL CB'ers and replace the CB's in Hwy
> Patrol Vehicles and Sheriff's Offices with Better Equipment for clear
> channel comms.
yes
> 13. STAFF THE FCC WITH CB'ers AND HAMS WHO KNOW RADIO OPERATIONS AND ARE
> NOT AFRAID TO ENFORCE THE RULES / REGULATIONS ON EITHER BAND!!!
no... do not staff them with old killjoy WASP geezers either
> 14. CONFRONT CB VIOLATORS WITH AUTHORITIES PRESENT -instead of being a
> dumbass and getting shot before you can get out of your vehicle to confront
> the individual in question!!
no
> 15. CRY TO WOUFF HONG THAT HIS IDEA WAS REVERBED BY "A REAL CBer"
what if Hong has a heart attack & dies from hearing too many cusswords?
> 16. Quit Cryin' to the truckers about your CB!!
no
> 17. A "Good-Buddy" is NOT a term referring to one's sexual lifestyle!!

no... you're confusing it with "butt-buddy"
> 18. "Hand" sounds so stupid when talkin' to another cb'er!!
it is that 5 fingered thing attached to your arm, dummy
> 19. Use RDF equipment to find the jammer or culprit, instead of threats
> to "kick someone's ass" all the time!! (so pathetic!)
no... don't make threats, just belt them & forget it
> ....And Finally
> 20. Report ALL Activities Consisting Of :
> JAMMING
no
> THREATS
yes
> SCHEDULED KEY-DOWNS
no
> POSSIBLE BANNED
> LINEARS BEING USED!
no
> UNDER_AGED FOLKS
> CUSSIN' ON THE RADIO!!
no
> DRINKING AND TALKING
> ON THE AIR!!
no
> SOLICITING FOR SEX,
> DRUGS, WEAPONS OVER THE AIR!!
yes... anyone who needs to go on the air to get these has problems

Guy Richards

2404. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 17:08:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <DI7E9.3218$vL3.244572286@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145040

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:zKOdnVkPUs7snnygXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> Be careful being a good neighbor about this can also get you in trouble.
By
> you buying filters etc, makes some people think it is you supplying these
> items means the problem is because of you and your responsibility to
remove
> it. Lets say you filter the phone but for some reason can't get out of a
> stereo or TV. They expect you to do something sinc eit is now your
> responsibility. Just becareful, if and when you do buy something let them
> know you are doing it because you want to help and are under no
> responsibility to provide the filters or a solution to the problem. You
may
> be the cause of the interference since you are transmiting, but the
problem
> is there because of lack of filtering etc. Just be careful how you
approach
> neighborw when supplying filters. the best of friends will turn against
you
> if you are causing something they don't want to put up with.
>
>

Absolutely correct. Look what this newsgroups been putting up with from
you George.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2405. Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 17:10:20 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 145041

Whats up with this?

2406. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 18:06:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ly8E9.41769$Gc.971915@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145042

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

Sounds like you have stray RF. Of course, you would have to be running power
to notice it.

2407. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 18:15:24 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1038162012.120886@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 145044

Sounds like a poor ground in the coax or one of the jumpers
"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021124131742.07856.00006771@mb-mn.aol.com...
> sounds like you need to stop kissing the mic
>
> >Subject: Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it
to my
> >lips...
>
>
>
>

2408. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 18:17:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021124131742.07856.00006771@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 145043

sounds like you need to stop kissing the mic

>Subject: Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my
>lips...

2409. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 18:22:35 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3emcnb2Vy8RDhXygXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145045

You really need to get to a decent antenna.
Glassmounts, on CB frequencies, are pretty bad.
Size matters. The 9' steel whip is pretty hard to beat, and is pretty rugged as well.
There's not a whole lot of difference between that glassmount, and a paper clip.

I use glassmounts, but I'm using them at 146.46 and 144.39 MHz, where it's a whole lot less of a compromise.

A base-loaded CB antenna on the roof would be WAY more effective than that glassmount, though subject to being knocked off the roof. OTOH, it fails soft, and you can always smack it back on.

2410. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 18:53:21 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Be9E9.29839$hK4.2581984@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145046

Yes, for a few milliseconds... until it blows.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

2411. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 19:34:29 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9R9E9.29896$hK4.2586540@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144947

Right on...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:3emcnb2Vy8RDhXygXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> You really need to get to a decent antenna.
> Glassmounts, on CB frequencies, are pretty bad.
> Size matters. The 9' steel whip is pretty hard to beat, and is pretty
> rugged as well.
> There's not a whole lot of difference between that glassmount, and a paper
> clip.

2412. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 19:58:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE12F4E.E02F7ABE@cwis.net>
Source record: 144948

FMRNYCER,
It's usually caused by 'stray' RF from a really
messed up antenna system. Take it from there...
'Doc

2413. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 19:59:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2btg9cobp009@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144949

Shucks. I finally made a mistake ;) ... I made the (rather bad) assumption
that it was a commercial amp (like the Henry 3K). You are, of course,
correct.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote in message
news:PUWdnbl_KfrMjH2gXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:utvk8vo6vaugb1@corp.supernews.com...
> : Both you and the original poster missed a couple of points.
>
> I don't think so Jim.
>
>
>
> > Any amp becomes illegal once you exceed 1500 watts pep output (but is
> legal if run under
> >that limit).
>
> Hmm, no kidding!
>
>
>
>
>
> However, amps are **supposed** to require a certain minimum input power
to
> develop full output
>
> No Jim, that is just to recieve certification, as a ham you don't need
> certification of an amp if it is homebuilt I will provide positive proof
of
> both for you:
>
> PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart D--Technical Standards
>
> Sec. 97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power amplifiers.
>
> (a) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must satisfy
> the spurious emission standards of Sec. 97.307(d) or (e) of this part,

> as applicable, when the amplifier is:
> (1) Operated at its full output power;
> (2) Placed in the ``standby'' or ``off'' positions, but still
> connected to the transmitter; and
> (3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher
> drive level is specified.)
> (b) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must not be
> capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz and
>
>
>
> (not sure, but think it may be 50 watts) - so the amp is illegal.
> **********
> The amp is legal for a ham to use. It cannot get certification
>
> PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart D--Technical Standards
>
> Sec. 97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers.
>
> (a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier
> capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified during
> any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a station without a
> grant of certification. No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz
> may be constructed or modified by a non-amateur operator without a grant
> of certification from the FCC.
> (b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier
> kit (see Sec. 2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or
> modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be
> certificated for use in the amateur service in accordance with subpart J
> of part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or
> more of the following conditions are met:
> (1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below
> 144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an amplifier will be deemed to be
> incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of being
> easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120
> MHz and either:
> (i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency
> decreases from 144 MHz, to a point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited
> at 120 MHz; or
> (ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120
> MHz even for brief periods without sustaining permanent damage to its
> amplification circuitry.
> (2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has
> been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or the amplifier was
> purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at that
> amateur operator's station.
> (3) The amplifier was:
> (i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power

> amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or
> (ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.
> (4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur
> operator or to a dealer.
> (5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment
> dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to
> another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
> (c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's
> database as certificated for use in the amateur service may be marketed
> for use in the amateur service.
>
>
>

2414. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 20:03:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2c3sjae9iu89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144950

Deb, if memory serves me, I believe RG-6 is 75 ohm coax.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:ux4E9.96576$P31.50515@rwcrnsc53...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE03D0C.1655217@cwis.net...
> > Deb,
> > Can you use it? Sure, but it won't be the best or
> > easiest kind of coax to use. Most coax for TV use has
> > aluminum shielding, which is very hard to solder to.
> > In most cases it isn't shielded very well either, depending
> > on the particular kind that you have. In the long run,
> > you would be better off using almost any kind of 50 ohm
> > coax.
> > 'Doc
>
> I agree Doc. I have had to repair more than one radio that has been used
on
> TV Coax. So I just simply say no. To most laymen, that's the easiest
thing
> to do.
>
> I recommend Mini 8, RG58, RG213 to my customers. Handling cable can get a
> little pricey with the shipping and fuel surcharges. Now, I don't like to
> put the PL259's on the RG213 or any of the bigger coax. I thought Mini 8
> was a God send since we build our own cables. I don't like the pre
> packaged stuff.
>
> RG8, I'm not familiar with RG6 is that also 50 ohm. See I am still open
> for information. Probably because I don't like to put those connectors on
> that big stuff. My poor old fingers don't work like they used to. Typing
> is a problem some mornings.
>
> We are usually talking about 18 foot runs for trucks. I steer most of my
> drivers away from the duels. Just too easy to be taken when they are on
the
> road by others. Its expensive to maintain. I don't use RG58 in most
cases
> unless I am repairing a Wilson 1000 and they want the original stuff or
> splicing a K40 (which I hate to do). I hate splicing coax.
>
> Thanks for the info. Its nice to talk to someone and not see that a

helpful
> suggestion is getting flamed.
>
> Have a wonderful day.
>
> Deb
>
>
>
>

2415. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 20:06:03 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE1312B.6D7DD7A5@cwis.net>
Source record: 144951

Deb,
A tuner/matcher would make the radio 'see' a reasonable
SWR, so sure, it would 'help' that way. But, nothing will
ever make a 'thru-glass' antenna at 11 meters work even
half way 'okay'.
'Doc

2416. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 20:08:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2ceul1p6bhb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144952

You have RF in the shack. Likely, you have a poor ground (RF ground in any case). What are you using for an antenna?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

2417. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 20:18:44 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <fmaE9.83115$g9.234277@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 144953

"Hey Ma, theres another one o' them darn 'tenna blokes outa here again.
Bring me that there shotgun"

Y'all come back now or ya wont get no sweetcorn....

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:j83E9.128434$1O2.8817@sccrnsc04...
> Holy cow........I think I hear the "Dueling Banjos"
> playing.....................
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2418. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 21:13:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE140F7.B5553C0C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 144971

Walter wrote:
>
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...

Doesn't sound like the antenna is the problem, better get your rig
checked out. How much power are you running?

2419. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 21:35:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2hhmemae3f06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144954

A possible answer is MURS. With a two watt output and a **much** more efficient antenna, you should realize five miles or more between handhelds. ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2420. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 21:39:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2hon8a7j6o81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144955

The purpose of the coil is to provide matching to an antenna that is less than 1/4 wavelength long. Should you remove the coil, your SWR will likely go through the roof. A good heavy coil won't add any appreciable loss (although a coil of light weight wire with considerable resistance will make your SWR look real good over a wide range of frequencies - at the expense of radiated and received signal).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2421. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 21:44:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu2i1f3m2er9ff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144956

Stereos which receive your transmissions are at fault, not the radio. Same with computers. Most problems are with poorly shielded consumer electronics. This usually doesn't pose a problem unless either you are running very high power or are very close to the equipment in question (like a next door neighbor).

Some relief may be found by changing polarization. If your antennas have all been horizontally polarized (such as the dipole), you can try a vertical. A mag mount cb antenna on a sheet of metal may work with less interference (but you'll need to attach some 1/4 wave horizontal wires to that metal to provide a reasonable ground for the antenna).

Good luck & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2422. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:10:15 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <b7cE9.101464$__1.60860@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144957

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE1312B.6D7DD7A5@cwis.net...
> Deb,
> A tuner/matcher would make the radio 'see' a reasonable
> SWR, so sure, it would 'help' that way. But, nothing will
> ever make a 'thru-glass' antenna at 11 meters work even
> half way 'okay'.
> 'Doc

I only use them in RV installations if I have to. I don't like using them. But an RV poses special problems.

The first one is "The Wife" who doesn't want a cb in the first place.

Secondly, based on the dollar amount some people don't mind spending on their rolling homes, I can't bring myself to drill holes.

So as inconspicuously as possible I place a TG antenna and pray (literally) that it will work well enough to make the customer happy.

I am very quick to point out they aren't the best antenna and most of these customers are only interested in talking to the truck in front of them and listen for road conditions. The matcher comes into play when my fervent prayer didn't work. Nothing like tempting fate, huh.

You guys have been a pleasure to talk to. I remember when I just simply took the newsgroup off of my things to read because of the foul responses. I see that is sorta cleaned up a bit.

Deb

2423. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:12:09 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124171209.21864.00000112@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 144958

he uses his head of his penis for a satelite (antenna) ....haha....yall have a good one

Thatz-me-JP

2424. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:14:19 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021124171419.14090.00000143@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 144959

Bad ground and or too much RF power.

Train

2425. FS: Radio Shack 14-Ch FRS Radios, 1 mobile, 2 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:14:47 UTC
From: termarl@msn.com
Message-ID: <59d8354a.0211241414.10cf448f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144960

The radios are in their original boxes and are in excellent condition. Their only use was for a four day drive from Colorado to Connecticut so two vehicles could stay in contact. The two handhelds are RS model 21-1860, recently received excellent ratings from CR magazine. The mobile is RS model 21-1850. Additional details may be found at http://www.radioshack.com. Asking $100 for the three plus shipping.

2426. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:16:24 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <6emcnTH8r9Yk0nygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144961

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021124131742.07856.00006771@mb-mn.aol.com...
: sounds like you need to stop kissing the mic

Way to be helpful Tim. your a troll

: >Subject: Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it
to my
: >lips...
:
:
:
:

2427. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:16:54 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <OqqcnbBf7cRK0nygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144962

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu2ceul1p6bhb6@corp.supernews.com...
: You have RF in the shack. Likely, you have a poor ground (RF ground in
any
: case). What are you using for an antenna?

Jim what if he is in a mobile?

2428. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:22:42 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <1UudnXOZRpmuzHygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144963

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:ux4E9.96576$P31.50515@rwcrnsc53...
:
: "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE03D0C.1655217@cwis.net...
: > Deb,
: > Can you use it? Sure, but it won't be the best or
: > easiest kind of coax to use. Most coax for TV use has
: > aluminum shielding, which is very hard to solder to.
: > In most cases it isn't shielded very well either, depending
: > on the particular kind that you have. In the long run,
: > you would be better off using almost any kind of 50 ohm
: > coax.
: > 'Doc
:
: I agree Doc. I have had to repair more than one radio that has been used
on
: TV Coax. So I just simply say no. To most laymen, that's the easiest
thing
: to do.
:
: I recommend Mini 8, RG58, RG213 to my customers. Handling cable can get a
: little pricey with the shipping and fuel surcharges. Now, I don't like to
: put the PL259's on the RG213 or any of the bigger coax.
****
If shown a correct easy way you wouldn't fear the larger coax, a small
tubing cutter is very handy, solder the braid and then cut it to length with
the tubing cutter, it will give you a clean cut, next twist on the
connector and flow the solder in the holes on to the pre tinned braid. a
good electrical connection.

: RG8, I'm not familiar with RG6 is that also 50 ohm. See I am still open
: for information.
****
I would hope so since it doesn't seem you know everything.

Probably because I don't like to put those connectors on
: that big stuff.

:
: We are usually talking about 18 foot runs for trucks. I steer most of my
: drivers away from the duels. Just too easy to be taken when they are on
the
: road by others. Its expensive to maintain. I don't use RG58 in most
cases
: unless I am repairing a Wilson 1000 and they want the original stuff or

: splicing a K40 (which I hate to do).

I hate splicing coax.
****
I am glad you don't work on my equiopment if you are into splicing coax

2429. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:24:01 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <yUadnVTdNbYfzHygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 144964

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu2btg9cobp009@corp.supernews.com...
: Shucks. I finally made a mistake ;) ... I made the (rather bad)
assumption
: that it was a commercial amp (like the Henry 3K). You are, of course,
: correct.
:
:
: 73 from Rochester, NY
: Jim

Jim, it wasn't to show any mistakes rather to show the original poster he was a troll. I like your batting average anyhow, you had to make an out one of these times Jim, no one is 1000%.

2430. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:25:38 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <2w2dnTSZUsd-zHygXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144965

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021124115302.02824.00000081@mb-cq.aol.com...
: 10-4 on that there little buddy. just give it a listen for yourself.

I did today

its the
: old guys that use ten AM so maybe there too set in there ways to build web
: sites about it.

perhaps or it just isn't really that popular, most guys I talked to today
had old am transmitters, none had any galaxys though LOL

:
: >
: >
: >you said it was popular and If so perhaps some other can join in, but I
am
: >finding it hard to get much info on this popular useage. So since you
know
: >all abou it and it's popularity who better to ask. 10-4?
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:
:
:

2431. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:28:43 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <aNmcnev-MagBz3ygXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144966

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:pI4E9.98051: Hey Doc,
:
: If he has to have it, (I hate em) would an antenna matcher do the trick or
: trick the antenna into believing the ...well you know.
:
: Deb

Deb, antenna tuners don't trick anything they introduce L and C, to achieve
a "match" no tricks involved.

2432. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:30:45 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <pqcE9.100124$P31.52370@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 144967

"> If shown a correct easy way you wouldn't fear the larger coax, a small
> tubing cutter is very handy, solder the braid and then cut it to length
with
> the tubing cutter, it will give you a clean cut, next twist on the
> connector and flow the solder in the holes on to the pre tinned braid. a
> good electrical connection.

Thanks. Its the screwing on the connector that causes me the most problems.
Fingers don't work so well any more. It hurts. I usually whittle the
jacket down a little to get it started. We use the type with the reducers
built in on all of our coax. Like the positive grip. Never liked puttin
solder in them there little wholes. Never know if the person on the other
end of the soldering gun was a heavy handed solderer and melted the interior
core or not.

Thats just my preference.

Deb..
>
>
>
>
> : RG8, I'm not familiar with RG6 is that also 50 ohm. See I am still
open
> : for information.
> **********
> I would hope so since it doesn't seem you know everything.
>
>
>
>
> Probably because I don't like to put those connectors on
> : that big stuff.
>
>
>
>
> :
> : We are usually talking about 18 foot runs for trucks. I steer most of
my
> : drivers away from the duels. Just too easy to be taken when they are on
> the
> : road by others. Its expensive to maintain. I don't use RG58 in most
> cases
> : unless I am repairing a Wilson 1000 and they want the original stuff or

> : splicing a K40 (which I hate to do).
>
>
> I hate splicing coax.
> **********
> I am glad you don't work on my equiopment if you are into splicing coax
>
>
>
>

2433. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:39:59 UTC
From: rhamby1 <rhamby1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3zcE9.169739$c51.51811782@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 144968
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Hmm......AMEN to that Brother.....
"Chuck C." <chuckcrews61@NOSPAM.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Y7FD9.6607$wc2.437008@news2.east.cox.net...
> Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus)
can
> be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???
>
> I am an Electronics Technican and the only actual concern for a CB, is
that
> the manufacturer can (and likely will) FILTER the CB freqs applied to the
> Linear Amp, making it not useful.
>
> If you want real power ! Get your Amateur Radio License ! It is EASY and
> you have MUCH MORE POWER and 1000% better equipment to use.
> Up to 1500 watts of LEGAL transmit power ! Actually TALK to people, not
> listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!
>
> If you have questions about Amateur Radio licensing, e-mail me direct and
I
> will help you all I can.
>
> Chuck - KD4REL
>
> <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> plain
> > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick up
on
> > rumors....
> >
> >
> > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> spread
> > > > of

> > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers
and
> > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section
of
> > > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > > >
> > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger
a
> > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage
pulse
> > > type
> > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front
end
> of
> > > the
> > > > receiver.
> > > >
> > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > devices
> > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > >
> > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> amplifiers
> > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > indicates
> > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> perform
> > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
> > > problem
> > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2434. 99v FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:55:48 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <obl2uu87oqpirpodor4kkcceatdlkseirl@4ax.com>
Source record: 144969

Pretty much MINT few scratches on the top (light) everything else including operation is MINT....comes with power cord and mounting bracket...NICE RADIO.....

pay pal or money orders for $220 + shipping

email for info

2435. Prez Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 22:55:49 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <fhl2uuc2jiu6jntv2sr9lr03doac3d3707@4ax.com>
Source record: 144970

Prez Lincoln FS

Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
Trades ??

2436. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:21:49 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hadE9.30226$hK4.2610359@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144972

Your antenna is not your problem... it's the signal going into your antenna
that has high harmonic content.
How much power are you running? What is your equipment list?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Walter wrote:
> >
> > Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into
other
> > electronics.
> > Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc,
for
> > a whole block.

2437. Re: Aaron...ILLEGAL amp !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:29:55 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211241529.740d567@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144973

"staples" <cp@staples.com> wrote in message news:<PUWdnbl_KfrMjH2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> No Jim, that is just to recieve certification, as a ham you don't need
> certification of an amp if it is homebuilt I will provide positive proof of
> both for you:

The amp in question isn't homebuilt. It is a QRO Technologies
HF-2500DX, as indicated by the ad. Just because it CAN transmit above
the legal limit doesn't make it illegal. It's only when it's USED
above the legal limit does it make it illegal, just like having a ham
radio modified to TX on the public safety bands isn't illegal until
your TX on it.

From the website:

"OUTPUT POWER: 1,500 WATTS CONTINIOUS RTTY, CW, SSB; 2,200 TO 2,500
WATTS MAXIMUM INTERMITTENTLY."
"DRIVE POWER: 40 WATTS FOR 1,500 WATTS OUTPUT"

The drive requirement is 40 watts, meeting the high drive power
requirement. This sucker weighs 100 pounds.. wow.. must be a hell of a
shipping cost. :)

http://www.qrotec.com/Features25.html

2438. A/S Super Scanner Beam Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:41:03 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jsdE9.280027$r7.5109134@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 144974

Click and View Here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1941274522&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

2439. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:42:06 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <PtdE9.299$cx4.177090@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 144975

> check real closely, There should be an adjustment right where the whip
goes
> into the box, if not, another thing may be that your antenna is to low and
> the metal from the cab is causing problems?

I've checked, there's no adjustment openings at all. It's mounted about
two-three inches down from the top of the right rear window. The window does
have fairly dark factory tint though.

2440. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:46:17 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <KxdE9.301$cx4.178146@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 144976

> You really need to get to a decent antenna.
> Glassmounts, on CB frequencies, are pretty bad.
> Size matters. The 9' steel whip is pretty hard to beat, and is pretty
> rugged as well.
> There's not a whole lot of difference between that glassmount, and a paper
> clip.
>
> I use glassmounts, but I'm using them at 146.46 and 144.39 MHz, where it's
a
> whole lot less of a compromise.
>
> A base-loaded CB antenna on the roof would be WAY more effective than that
> glassmount, though subject to being knocked off the roof. OTOH, it fails
> soft, and you can always smack it back on.

Well like I said guys, for my purposes it actually works very well. I just got back from an offroading ride and it worked well, although I don't think the guys at the front of the pack could hear me. My brother and I have identical antennas and we get a good couple of kms worth of range between them, and that's not in direct line of sight by any means. I'm more worried about damaging the radio than the performance issue.

Of course, with the beating my antenna took today (By the time we got to the car wash I found it bent and loosely dangling from the base) it probably won't be around much longer anyway. lol (Kinda like that tree I hit and knocked over, but that's another story.)

2441. Re: Sex Mathematics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-24 23:48:40 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <YzdE9.304$cx4.178312@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 144977

> Didn't work. first # was correct, but the age was off by 2 years.

It worked for me. 23 years old... The first number is private though. lol

2442. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 00:44:45 UTC
From: Martin Howells VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <CfeE9.83218$g9.234592@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 144978

> >
> >> Yeah, I must agree with you. I would have presumed that if he was able
> > (or wanted) to put it in a mobile he wouldn't have asked for
alternatives
> > for a base antenna!! Or was that too logical???
> >
> So instead of putting it in his car,your solution is? Here's the origonal
> post:
>

If you had READ my ORIGINAL reply, you would have SEEN my SOLUTION to his
problem. Go look yourself as I really can't be bothered repeating myself.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2443. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 00:45:17 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xoeE9.123875$NH2.8969@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 144979

What transceiver are you using it on? Many of the older ones, Browning and others used 115 AC in the PTT circuit. Better check that situation. If it is indeed RF, you must have a tremendous amount of output power. Ground the shack with good 2" braid.

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

2444. Re: FS-D&A Phantom 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 00:50:22 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <iteE9.132087$1O2.9611@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 144980

I think a few of those had a receive pre-amp. I think that is what that
switch is.

"crd" <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3DDFB196.257110C8@hotmail.com...
> anyone know what the switch on the rear of the 500 labelled BTL
>
> thanks
>
> radiofun wrote:
>
> > Well known amps. The older "Hammertone grey" version. Then the later tan
> > front with brown case.
> >
> > "crd" <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DDDAF94.73E413B5@hotmail.com...
> > > Selling one D&A Phantom 500 in working condition. 10 Tube model with 6
> > > new 6LQ6's in the amp.
> > >
> > > $325 US buyer pays shipping costs
> > >
> > > email for additional info
> > >
>

2445. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 01:11:10 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 144981

Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR problem with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a gutter mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is now towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the handheld D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as follows: Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis Whip on a gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.

2446. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 01:39:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XafE9.44018$%j3.4473@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 144982

SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca> wrote in message
news:KxdE9.301$cx4.178146@news20.bellglobal.com...

<<snip>>

One afternoon when you get into a "piddling" mood, Find a slender piece of wire and replace the
existing whip with that LONGER wire. Then begin trimming the wire in small increments and see
if that has any effect on SWR. If you know someone who has an MFJ 259 Analyzer, you can
measure the antenna and find where (or IF) the resonant frequency is. Then you can trim (or add)
wire as needed to find your desired frequency. I have one of those TG things for listening, and I
discovered my whip was too SHORT. Mine resonated up in the 10 Meter ham band! I lengthen-
ed the whip and all is well. Might work for you, too.

73

Jerry

I'm more worried
> about damaging the radio than the performance issue.
>
> Of course, with the beating my antenna took today (By the time we got to the
> car wash I found it bent and loosely dangling from the base) it probably
> won't be around much longer anyway. lol (Kinda like that tree I hit and
> knocked over, but that's another story.)
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/22/02

2447. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 01:59:54 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <uufE9.132390$1O2.9331@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 144983

RF..........poor grounding.

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
> Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
problem
> with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a
gutter
> mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is now
> towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
handheld
> D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
follows:
> Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis Whip
on a
> gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.

2448. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 02:20:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <G3udnTPyLvtuFXygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144984

> I've checked, there's no adjustment openings at all. It's mounted about
> two-three inches down from the top of the right rear window. The window
does
> have fairly dark factory tint though.

Another approach that might work for you:

Tuned loop.
You'll have to build it yourself. The idea is that you have a full wave loop
of metal tubing, but you can reduce the size somewhat by adding a tuning cap
at the middle of the loop.
It's not a broadband antenna, but it can be very low profile.

There's another variant called a DDRR, very similar.

2449. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 02:57:26 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qkgE9.30504$hK4.2634070@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 144985

The D104 has a chrome face and has a special conductive stuff sprayed inside the case for grounding purposes. It also has a clip inside to connect ground to the conductive substance. Make sure this clip is present and making contact when the clamshell is closed up. If you have no problems there... you need to review your grounding. Make sure the radio is grounded with a short lead... preferably a piece of braid.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
> Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
problem
> with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a
gutter
> mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is now
> towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
handheld
> D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
follows:
> Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis Whip
on a
> gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.

2450. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:01:47 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124220147.02502.00008159@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 144986

first off, get a wilson antenna

Thatz-me-JP

2451. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:07:28 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21220-3DE193F0-218@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144987

thank yall very much for the info keep on dxing ssb 22 ala

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

2452. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:22:26 UTC
From: homelandcb <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f163uukptcb2bdshs2fs1e5onl04bumsgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 144988

what you mean blows up
i trid it but the fuse blew out i think
it was to many amps

hey u have to take out the dog to mail me ok

On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 18:53:21 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Yes, for a few milliseconds... until it blows.

2453. FA Turner Road King Power Mic L@@K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:35:57 UTC
From: dutyron@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124223557.29118.00000239@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 144989

Turner Road King 76 Michrophone Nice Currently going for $1.99 take a look
Item # 1940036664

2454. Re: FA Turner Road King Power Mic L@@K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:41:30 UTC
From: dutyron@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124224130.29118.00000240@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 144990

Its posted up on E-Bay.

2455. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 03:49:35 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3de19dd2@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 144991

The icom pcr-1000 is better.

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:V-OcneHkbfbXJ0OgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Master Of Disaster" <timnebo@aol.com10-101> wrote in message
> news:20021121085607.08946.00000107@mb-fd.aol.com...
>
> > http://www.wintransceiver.com/
>
> This looks a lot like what is being referred to now as a SDR -
> software defined radio. All you need for hardware is the front end
> stuff to get the signal down to a low enough IF to be digitized. And a
> back end, up converter and amplifier, to transmit the signal generated
> by the DSP section. Nice link by the way too.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

2456. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 04:00:49 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3de1a073@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 144992

Like the Doc used to say on HeeHaw, "If it hurts when you do that, then don't do that dumby". WHACK!

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

2457. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 05:14:17 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <p8udncF9Dvg3LHygXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144993

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:pqcE9.100124$P31.52370@rwcrnsc53...
:
: "> If shown a correct easy way you wouldn't fear the larger coax, a small
: > tubing cutter is very handy, solder the braid and then cut it to length
: with
: > the tubing cutter, it will give you a clean cut, next twist on the
: > connector and flow the solder in the holes on to the pre tinned braid. a
: > good electrical connection.
:
:
: Thanks. Its the screwing on the connector that causes me the most
problems.
: Fingers don't work so well any more. It hurts. I usually whittle the
: jacket down a little to get it started. We use the type with the reducers
: built in on all of our coax.

the connector only gets screwed about 3/8" onto the jacket and a small dab
of silicone seals it and makes it slide on easier. you can also use a pair
of pliers to tigthen them if your hands can't function, Your not using
reducers unless you use smaller coax,

> Like the positive grip.

What is the positive grip?

> Never liked puttin solder in them there little wholes.

Well that's because you never took the time to master it, it isn't that
hard once shown the correct way you need a 40+ watts gun, and if you
followed the earlier steps the braid is already tinned so not much solder
has to flow in there to have a good electrical connection

> Never know if the person on the other end of the soldering gun was a heavy
handed solderer and >melted the interior core or not.

If you use good coax the center should be teflon no need to worry about the
heat, I also use a small torch to heat the solder that is in the holes when
I am done.

: Thats just my preference.

I am sure it is, but doing it this way you will never have a jumper fail
because of the connector.

And it is an electrical connection as soon as it is soldered

2458. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 05:36:54 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1038202916.145314@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 144994

Did You scrape the paint off the gutter before You mounted the francis ant ?
"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
> Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
problem
> with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a
gutter
> mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is now
> towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
handheld
> D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
follows:
> Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis Whip
on a
> gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.

2459. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 06:22:22 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ykjE9.3931$2L3.180397414@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144996

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

Sounds like a poor ground. You must be running
power, check the ground for everything : Radio, amp
and antenna, make sure it's a clean and a good contact
with the surface.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2460. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 06:22:23 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <zkjE9.3932$2L3.180397414@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144995

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:b7cE9.101464$__1.60860@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE1312B.6D7DD7A5@cwis.net...
> > Deb,
> > A tuner/matcher would make the radio 'see' a reasonable
> > SWR, so sure, it would 'help' that way. But, nothing will
> > ever make a 'thru-glass' antenna at 11 meters work even
> > half way 'okay'.
> > 'Doc
>
> I only use them in RV installations if I have to. I don't like using
them.
> But an RV poses special problems.
>
> The first one is "The Wife" who doesn't want a cb in the first place.
>
> Secondly, based on the dollar amount some people don't mind spending on
> their rolling homes, I can't bring myself to drill holes.
>
> So as inconspicuously as possible I place a TG antenna and pray
(literally)
> that it will work well enough to make the customer happy.
>
> I am very quick to point out they aren't the best antenna and most of
these
> customers are only interested in talking to the truck in front of them and
> listen for road conditions. The matcher comes into play when my fervent
> prayer didn't work. Nothing like tempting fate, huh.
>
> You guys have been a pleasure to talk to. I remember when I just simply
> took the newsgroup off of my things to read because of the foul responses.
> I see that is sorta cleaned up a bit.
>
> Deb
>
>
If it's possible, forget the cb's and use
FRS. It's a lot cleaner and you don't need to
use an external antenna. What are you doing?
IE: mobile to mobile while off-road, while
just traveling, what are you needing them for?

Landshark

--

"Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
02 Honda VTX 1800
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

2461. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 06:48:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hf11sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 144905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arp0tc$2l1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
> > procedures.
>
> So how come the number of US hams is at an all time high?
> Are people just getting licenses, upgrading, etc and then not
> operating at all?

Trickery in the numbers.... I can make those numbers "say" one thing, as you can have them "say" another.... Accountants do that on a daily basis.... Overall, there are not enough new hams coming into the ranks that will be needed to replace/fill-in the spots that will invariable happen due to death of the larger percentage of current hams, that being the 45-75 age group. Within 10-20 years, we will lose a good chunk of that age group either to accidental or typical health related issues.

Whether or not someone wants to argue the incentive licensing issue, or not, the whole thing won't do a darned bit of good, unless there is the public's knowledge about ham radio.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

2462. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 06:53:05 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <VEjE9.83365$g9.234222@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 144897

> hey u have to take out the dog to mail me ok

Tried to take out the dog, but it kept moving every time I pulled the
trigger!! ;-)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2463. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 07:21:51 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arskaf$h7e$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144898

"Martin Howells VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:uP0E9.82881$g9.233876@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
>
> Also try a Low Pass Filter at the CB end,

Which harmonic does it need to filter to stop the interference he causes to
computers and stereos?

Regards,

Peter.

2464. Re: help with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 07:22:04 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arskah$h7e$2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144899

"manareyouafishhead" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8hp0uu4mlrloqs5ofov85mij9edg7b72ip@4ax.com...
> i got hold of a big magmount tenna.
> i heard the coil ads loss to the tenna
> why cant i jus remove it to get more amps

To be resonant on a certain frequency or band, an antenna should be a
certain length... related to the Wavelength of that frequency.

The wavelength of CB signals is around 11 Metres for your CB frequencies
(10.8 for UK CB). A "half wave" antenna would need to be half of that...
try fitting that on your car.
So, a "loading coil" is added to allow the antenna to be shorter, yet still
work on that frequency.
Remove the coil, and the antenna will need to be much longer.

If operating a base station, you can take advantage of the better efficiency
by using a large antenna with no coil but, for mobile use, you have to
accept some extra losses.

Regards,

Peter.

2465. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 07:22:07 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arskaj$h7e$3@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 144900

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into
other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc,
> for a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile &
> base cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we
> are not supposed to have one installed in the first place...

I will have to disagree with those "short sighted" people who believe that
the antenna cannot be to blame, and that it *must* be the CB.
Obviously, some believe that inteference is a sure sign of a "modified"
radio. When will they wake up from their dream world?

About the inteference...
Inteference to anything that is NOT a reciever (eg. computers,
cd players, etc) CANNOT possibly be caused by a "dirty"
signal. NO amount of harmonics can intefere with something
that is not designed to pick up radio signals.
Inteference to TV, when caused by a "dirty" signal, will cause
inteference on certain channels of frequencies. If you wipe
off ALL channels, the cause is more likely "front end overload"...
their receiver cannot reject a signal that it should be able to.
The most common cause of inteference is "front end overload",
not "dirty" signals.

OK, so for your antenna...
1. The antenna location IS important. As far away from
other equipment and a hight as possible. Clearly, you
are restricted here, but do the best you can to locate
away from other equipment.
2. Make sure all connections are fine and coax is not
damaged.
3. SWR. Make sure that your antenna is tuned as well
as possible. If possible, take the reading at the base
of the antenna.
4. If all else fails, reduce your power... if possible.

CB equipment...
1. If you are causing problems, the last thing you
want is anything illegal. If anything is not what it

should be, get fully legal first, then work from there.
2. More power means more chance of "front end
overload". Reduce your power as low as you can,
then work from there.
For locations where antenna space is restricted,
power is not an option... use as little as possible.
3. Legal equipment should not be putting out anything
nasty... but interference does not automatically
mean that equipment is illegal or modified.

FILTERS...
A low pass filter on the CB will only cure a "dirty" signal.
Your case (interference to computers), suggests that this
is NOT the case.
If all else fails and a filter is required, filters on the affected
equipment is the best option. For TVs, a high pass filter
is used. For audio equipment, wires and leads need to be
filtered to stop them picking up radio signals.
As for the computer... really? They should be pretty well
filtered, to stop them intefering with other equipment.

Have you considered moving to somewhere with space for a good antenna?
I may be on the move again soon... hopefully.

Regards,

Peter.

2466. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 07:56:34 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <JeWdnfOAHYkuSnygXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144901

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:DI7E9.3218$vL3.244572286@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

. the best of friends will turn against you if you are causing something
they don't want to put up with.

: Absolutely correct. Look what this newsgroups been putting up with
from
: you George.
:
: Landshark

Still crying Fagboy LOL, funny part is I never would consider you a friend.
ah well. Arent I killfiled? I am still using the same email address. Oh you
dont want me killfiled otherwise you wouldn't respond to me you like the
attention.

2467. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 10:50:43 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <7gnE9.108808$%m4.50072@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144902

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:zkjE9.3932$2L3.180397414@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:b7cE9.101464$__1.60860@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
news:3DE1312B.6D7DD7A5@cwis.net...
> > > Deb,
> > > A tuner/matcher would make the radio 'see' a reasonable
> > > SWR, so sure, it would 'help' that way. But, nothing will
> > > ever make a 'thru-glass' antenna at 11 meters work even
> > > half way 'okay'.
> > > 'Doc
> >
> > I only use them in RV installations if I have to. I don't like using
> them.
> > But an RV poses special problems.
> >
> > The first one is "The Wife" who doesn't want a cb in the first place.
> >
> > Secondly, based on the dollar amount some people don't mind spending on
> > their rolling homes, I can't bring myself to drill holes.
> >
> > So as inconspicuously as possible I place a TG antenna and pray
> (literally)
> > that it will work well enough to make the customer happy.
> >
> > I am very quick to point out they aren't the best antenna and most of
> these
> > customers are only interested in talking to the truck in front of them
and
> > listen for road conditions. The matcher comes into play when my
fervent
> > prayer didn't work. Nothing like tempting fate, huh.
> >
> > You guys have been a pleasure to talk to. I remember when I just simply
> > took the newsgroup off of my things to read because of the foul
responses.
> > I see that is sorta cleaned up a bit.
> >
> > Deb
> >
> >

> If it's possible, forget the cb's and use
> FRS. It's a lot cleaner and you don't need to
> use an external antenna. What are you doing?
> IE: mobile to mobile while off-road, while
> just traveling, what are you needing them for?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
>
> "Any day riding, is better than
> being a newsgroupie
>
> 98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> 02 Honda VTX 1800
> 99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)
>
RV's in this case refer to the Houses on Wheels. You know a Motor Home.
The kind the Robert Pressley, singing groups and very wealthy people drive.
:)

Mostly road traveling. Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in some
of the group rules that they have CB's. I have even had them tell me that
if they go North into Canada in the tundra, they should have a radio that
reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)

Deb

2468. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 11:03:04 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <IrnE9.108925$%m4.49482@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144903

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
> Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
problem
> with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a
gutter
> mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is now
> towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
handheld
> D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
follows:
> Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis Whip
on a
> gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.

At great risk of getting flamed here, but once again did you talk with your CB tech about your antenna. Did he/she suggest the gutter mount? Did he/she suggest that you run a Francis with a 400 watt TS? And did he/she really sell you a DX959. Oh, sorry. Disappointing radio for me.

Anyways, I never suggest a Francis with power. But that is because, even though some have told me they run over a 1000 watts through one, I am pretty sure that it won't hold up to the abuse. After all, it is the smallest copper wire antenna made. It is not rated for power at all.

You are probably having an RF Breakdown because of the antenna. Conversion vans do pose a question. You want to run the power then you are either going to have to modify the top of the van to accept and give acceptable ground plane to allow an antenna to work and stay out of the tree's.

Or you can put an antenna that is rated for the power you want to run, on the front somewhere. There are plenty of mounts out there that will adapts. Get a good ground.

Once you get the correct antenna, grounded properly, your mic problems will go away. Please be careful if you are going to open the mic up. 9 out of 10 times it has nothing to do with the equipment inside unless you have a jumper breaking down in between the radio and amp. It is outside.

These are strictly my opinions of years of working with this equipment. Remember RF burns you from the inside out. Oh, it is likened to sitting in the microwave and going around in circles. I have seen some pretty nasty burns. Here is another tip, DON'T hold on to the antenna when you key up. It gets hot.

Deb

2469. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 11:09:07 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <nxnE9.110401$WL3.48483@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 144904

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:p8udncF9Dvg3LHygXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:pqcE9.100124$P31.52370@rwcrnsc53...
> :
> : "> If shown a correct easy way you wouldn't fear the larger coax, a
small
> : > tubing cutter is very handy, solder the braid and then cut it to
length
> : with
> : > the tubing cutter, it will give you a clean cut, next twist on the
> : > connector and flow the solder in the holes on to the pre tinned braid.
a
> : > good electrical connection.
> :
> :
> : Thanks. Its the screwing on the connector that causes me the most
> problems.
> : Fingers don't work so well any more. It hurts. I usually whittle the
> : jacket down a little to get it started. We use the type with the
reducers
> : built in on all of our coax.
>
> the connector only gets screwed about 3/8" onto the jacket and a small dab
> of silicone seals it and makes it slide on easier. you can also use a pair
> of pliers to tigthen them if your hands can't function, Your not using
> reducers unless you use smaller coax,
>
>
>
>
> > Like the positive grip.
>
> What is the positive grip?
>
>
>
> > Never liked puttin solder in them there little wholes.
>
> Well that's because you never took the time to master it, it isn't that
> hard once shown the correct way you need a 40+ watts gun, and if you
> followed the earlier steps the braid is already tinned so not much solder
> has to flow in there to have a good electrical connection

>
>
>
> > Never know if the person on the other end of the soldering gun was a
heavy
> handed solderer and >melted the interior core or not.
>
> If you use good coax the center should be teflon no need to worry about
the
> heat, I also use a small torch to heat the solder that is in the holes
when
> I am done.
>
>
>
>
>
> : Thats just my preference.
>
> I am sure it is, but doing it this way you will never have a jumper fail
> because of the connector.
>
> And it is an electrical connection as soon as it is soldered
>
Thanks for the tips. I take great care when I make the jumpers. We use Weller equipment both inside and outside. So far in 17 years (knock on wood) I have never had coax fail because of a connector. Now I have had to replace tips on those pesky irons though. :) I use them a lot.

Your right about the reducer and I knew that. My fingers on this keyboard engaged before my brain, or is that the other way around.

I started crocheting again. Maybe that will help with the hand strength. :) If nothing else I will finally get all this yarn out of my craft room.

Deb

2470. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 12:44:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE21B23.7E91@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144926

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> Steps to better Amateur Radio
> 1. Get rid of the word "Ham"

Why?

> 2. Get rid of excess codewords.

Which "codewords" are in excess?

> 3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong

I don't see this as a detriment to ham radio, more as a tradition.

> 4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".

Like many hobbies, people put effort into it, that's proportional to
their interest.

> 5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.

I might be able to lose 10 Lbs, but that won't change much. Another
stereotype pehaps?

> 6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

How about just getting more people who are interested in RADIO?

>
> 7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
> (but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).

Another personal issue?

> 8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
> troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

I'll agreee with this. Are you volunteering to spearhead this
undertaking?

> 9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
> Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.

Yea, that just what we need. Endorsements by airhead celebrities, who
probably can't even spell "Ham radio". Ham radio in movies? Look what
that did for CB in the 70's. After Smokey & the bandit, Convoy,
Citizen's Band and a few others, CB became nothing more than a mindless
fad, with loads of mindless people, who contibuted nothing but more

interference. The good operators got lost in the crowd. Do we want that
for ham radio as well?

> 10. More clout with politicians.

There have been many politicians with ham licenses. Most notably, Barry
Goldwater.

> 11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
> 12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
> 13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.

Good ideas, we just need organizers. Who has some extra time to spend?

> 14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of people
> to test them.

This goes on now. Look at Packet radio and APRS.

> 15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.

This goes without saying, although there should still be some
recognition for mastering the mode. It should just not be a requirement
for operation.

> 16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the license
> classes.

Exactly.

> 17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

Good.

>
> 18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
> 19. Non-question pool format for written tests.

Big improvement. But could create some logistical issues.

> 20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
> Technician, General, Extra
> (Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
> 21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
> (I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here instead
> of Extras).

A matter of personal preference.

>
> 22. Real Emergency communications training,
> (must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.

Impractical, and costly to implement.

> 23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field day.

To me, field day is nothing more than a contest. I have no interest in contests, as do many other hams. I would suggest a alternative to field day, that would not put emphasis on making as many contacts as possible, but rather emphasize creative solutions to emergency operations. (Solar power, homebrew equipment, etc.)

>
> 24. More real skill building activities
> 25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
> WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

A rose by any other name? Pick any facet of ham radio, and I'm sure you could come up with an award for it.

Dave

2471. Re: Galaxy 99 Talkback disable or control needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:07:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21912-3DE2208B-653@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144906

From: z26915@aol.com (Z26915)
>Hello Twist ,good to hear ya in there again, >sounded good when ol
mother nature was >letting it happen.I'll be listening for you
>tommorrow,C ya

No doubt about it...some wiiiiild conditions over the weekend. I heard
you half the day but them fish was hittin' hard all day...kept me plenty
busy..I love these cold weather hits in between fronts..actually had to
put on some long pants this week out on the water. Sounding good, catch
ya' soon!

2472. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:13:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21912-3DE2220E-656@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144907

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net... can you
use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no
another
dum

>Contrary to what a few others have posted yes >you can use 75 ohm coax
>to connect your CB to the antenna. Getting >connectors to fit is
>another story. However you have to >understand that using 75 ohm coax
>will automatically give you a 1.5 to 1 SWR >even with a perfect 50 ohm
antenna. If the >antenna is not exactly 50 ohms your match >will further
>deteriorate. Some Hams make use of surplus >75 ohm "hard line" used by
>the cable TV industry for interconnections at >V/UHF. With a perfectly
tuned antenna, which >is easy over a small frequency span for weak
>signal work, the resulting 1.5 SWR is >acceptable.
>If you want to get fancy you can build some >impedance transformers to
>go between 50 and 75 ohms at each end of >the coax. That would take
care of the instant >1.5 SWR hit you get for not using them.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>Have this on a Tee-shirt:
>"T"

Very helpful, Lelnad. This guys doesn't know cable from coax
characteristics or else he wouldn't have asked and you give him a song
and dance. Then to top it off, you suggest he build impedance
transformers. Let me guess, you desperately hoped he would inquire as to
how one is constructed and you would be more than happy to
oblige......why not just tell him the bottom line..... cable coax sucks
for all practical cb purposes. Go to rat shack and buy some rg 8 or 58,
it's cheap enough. Whatever you do, do not listen to Lelnad and company.
They have been known to intentionally give advice that will fry cb
radios. We validate them when we're bored for entertainment purposes
only.

2473. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:24:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE224A0-797@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144908

From: cp@staples.com (staples)
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net...
Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.
--

>He wants to have a copy of your return path<

Balderdash. But since you brought it up, and according to *you*, this
would be a problem only if he had somehing to hide.

>JJ, he doesn't converse with those he has >such disdain for

Sharkie is right, George, you, are a bonafide liar. I converse with
anyone that doesn't suffer from a communication deficit. You lend the
appearance of one who wishes to keep certain factors separated.

>why all of a sudden your an exception<

Don't be jealous.

>be careful who you walk with...<

With the amount of pulled ISP's and the current peacefulness in here,
your warning is par for the course and assumes JJ guilty of something,
otherwise, he has nothing to fear....but you ought to know with the
amount of doo doo on your treaded path.

2474. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:29:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE225B5-798@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144909

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, Nov 23, 2002, 5:44pm (EST-1) From: "staples" <cp@staples.com> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Federal Criminals Date: Sat, Nov 23, 2002, 6:44pm Organization: _ X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Trace: sv3-9JVfWzwuIfw5tk4n8wKK94p6Gic7YN30AtsPlbPxxVR8GLAb9voy2lx/LmkhDzgzS1bAl94jfA956QN!bKQOmBrLLpJkWrLi1aTcT99vMJJ2P6rwoSsa0PaDRFvdLLIe1pKtO4zqmydi X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.com X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.1

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:ZxND9.2882

> Even a signed confession sometimes isn't proof enough for a conviction in a court of law.
>
> Landshark

--

>That's not what twisty says limp wrist.<

You lie again, boy George. This is exactly what twisty said.

2475. Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:37:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE227AE-801@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144910

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>About the only disagreement we had was over >the Tech License issue. --
(snip)

Now, now Lelnad.....you may try to pass that lie off on some newbies,
but the regulars are familiar with what took place.

>So educate the newbies Twisted.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member
>--
==========
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote: >From: no-spam@junk-mail.net
(Leland C. >Scott) "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> >wrote in message
>news:23991-3B5F4CB7-72@storefull-124.iap.>bryant.webtv.net... (snip)
I stand behind what I write.
>(snip)
>==========
Sure, Lelnad. I'll invoke the good spirit of Dick pointing out to the
hammie community what a parasite you are to hammie radio, and everyone
knows Dick's opinion was respected by both sides. He had a knack for
truth and a zero tolerance for your bullshit..... below is a post from
rec.radio.amateur.policy, which everyone remembers Lelnad KC8LDO whining
and crying for me to follow him to. When I refused to follow him to a
hammie room, he took to forging me there along with Doug Adair N8WWM.
Below is
an entry by Dick, followed by the forge of myself. When Dick points out
it was Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO forging, Lelnad suddenly attacks Dick,
telling him it's DICK that's being messed with. Riiiight! Then, right on
time and on the heels of Lelnad's post of denial, a post by Dogie
miraculously shows up and appears immediately afterward and attempts to
make *me* the subject of topic in the hammie room where Lelnad couldn't
get me to follow him in the first place. Finally,, at the bottom, Dick
gives his final say in the matter.

From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
<CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com> <3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios Lines: 142 X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300 X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300 Message-ID: <wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com> Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 07:31:44 -0500 NNTP-Posting-Host: 4.54.224.96 X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986732956 4.54.224.96 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 08:29:16 EDT) NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 08:29:16 EDT Before anyone goes berserk, this is a classic forgery of the type that has been going on for a long time. Our friend and buddy Leland Scott at work.
It is more than likely I fell for the first one. Dick "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net...
I have seen enough of your posts to know you usually make > sense -- a lot more sense than you are making here.
"What about you, Dick? In rec.radio.cb you support > freebanding and amps. Here you act snotty. Hmmm?"
1. Your tormentors have in general been Codeless Techs >who seem to be in need of love. Below 28 MHz most of us old guys could not > care less; I sure as hell don't.
"That's the Dick we know and love. Good boy. Don't step >out of line again mister"
To some extent you are reaping the fallout of some interesting politics within the Amateur Service but we > can't keep headcases from passing exams any more than you > can prevent their buying radios.
"If you are an example of this you are a prime one. Enough > said"
2. Beyond that, substitute 'methamphetamine' for > 'freeband' and look at your post again. Lots of things > exist that would not in an ideal world. Doesn't make them > desirable.
"Realism is the mark of a true intellectual. Let us see if >you can pass the test"
3. A gentleman, it is said, is one who ignores the >trivial, is a cast-iron SOB about more important things, and has sense enough to > know the
difference. Lots of things exist in the 25-35 > MHz range including the ten-meter ham band. Are we > supposed to roll over and play dead? "In a word, yes. The opposition is overwhelming. The old > must go out and the new must come in. Your hobby is dying. > If 10 and 12 meters must
  fall,
you have no choice but to let it"
4. RESPECT is an interesting term. The black community > picked up one version of it from cracker whites and it has > gotten a number of them killed. Do you expect deference > from outlawry? "What about the outlawry of 3950 and 14310? Your slip is > showing Dick" If so, what is the
difference between a freebander and a pimp? Diana Ross > notwithstanding, R-E-S-P-E-C-T (sock it to me) is no way > to run things.
"Simple. But we the enlightened do not expect you to >understand. Freebanding
harms no one. Pimping does. Except when it is a ham >turncoat like you

lurking in rec.radio.cb waiting to rat out CBers" If you mean genuine admiration for achievement, for what > might this be extended?
"It might be extended to freebanders and those that want >to operate radio transceivers without the complications of licensing. A > little respect is in order to your betters"
If the idea is 'make nice' and don't state the obvious, > take it up with the chaplain.
"You and your dying hobby need a prayer, not me and mine" In my football coaching days, I set as the ideal for > respect having the other team lurch toward the showers > beat to shit but saying something like, "And they beat the > crap out of us within the rules". It is the difference > between competition and thuggery, the difference between a > boxer and a street brawler.
"Following rules does not a man make. If the Constitution >prevents me from talking on 24 MHz I want to see where it is written so"
5. No discussion of 'power' is complete without pointing > out that it is blessed useless in the lo-VHF frequencies. > If 100 watts won't do it,
fix
your antenna, learn to operate, or go home. Manhood > problems are no excuse for moose and dirty linears on > either side of 28. "Too bad for your POV Dick. What we want we will simply >take. Time you learned the rules, boy"
There is a basic lack of understanding: Given that a few >weeks with Morse Academy http://ah0a.org and some quick bathroom reading at > irregular
intervals will make 28.3-28.5 legal in no time > and some more reading while
picking up the kid at school will make all of ten meters > legal, there isn't just a lot of sense in freebanding, > period, if communication is
the
object of the exercise. So amateurs tend to suspect that >freebanding has much the same motivation as mis-aimed fog lites on a clear > day, "Look at me, I'm Sandra Dee, lousy with virginity." > The lamps are known as Virginity Lights in some circles; > watch some dude with his 'kewl' pickup (or the ubiquitous > Blazer) howl when the arresting officer notes that on the > ticket.
"There you go again with the authority figures. They do >not scare us, Dick. Take off the bogeyman costume"
The essence of your argument is 'prepare to be > overwhelmed'. OK, I am prepared.
"I hope you are prepared for freebanding to prevail and >spread to your precious
hammie bands"
Dick N0BK
==========
1. I do not deny my reply.
2. The reply from twistedhed is a Leland Scott forgery.
3. The original from Train may well be.
Dick

NØBK

2476. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:41:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE22871-803@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144913

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
>Fact is Twisted CBers can't do any of the >things I listed on 11m,
>so they do in fact get more by being a Ham. >You can spin, LIE, about
it any way you wish >but the links I provided tell the truth the whole
>truth and nothing but the truth.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member

Your posts never held one iota of truth.
My comparison was clearly indicative of 10 meter modes, nothing more.
Here is my quote, verbatim, now watch closely:

"Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above
with the exception to more spectrum. One can operate all the modes one
wishes on 11, they work just as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as
much power as they wish."

As usual, you are running off at the mouth and having one hell of a time
comprehending what was posted...your deficit in communicating
manifests......culminating in the irony, of you being licensed for that
of which you demonstrate a repeated ineptness for. Couple it with your
fondness for namecalling and the picture-perfect lid is suddenly
conjured.....a parasite to hammie radio.

2477. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:52:39 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <HWpE9.110656$%m4.50016@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144911

Does that van have the plastic or fiberglass extended roof? If not...I would go in with a Wilson or another good base load in the center of the roof.. Feed it with RG-213 if possible. Also....is the radio and other accessories grounded well? Not just the DC power ground, but good braid to the chassis. That should stop it, if there isn't another issue.

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021124121020.22563.00003017@mb-me.aol.com...
> Whats up with this?

2478. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 13:56:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29230-3DE22BF9-297@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144912

Moparholic wrote:
>Sometimes Lee and I don't see eye to eye..but >I don't call him names,and he doesn't call me >names,either.

Imagine that. Remember HE went searching the web on ME. HE came up with the Sporadic Waves article..HE posted it....HE crossposted it..HE hacked it...HE asked me into a hammie forum....HE forged me when I didn't oblige.
I have many discussions with those I don't agree with on many matters. Jerry can get radical at times, but do you see him getting personal and reducing himself to the level of Lelnad? No,,hardly any hammie does that here except the dogie kennel that "arron" named.

>I think Lee and I would actually get along,in >person.
--
--

I have to ask......is it his talk of mens jocks, death threats, shooting freeebanders, or threatening the group with porn spamming that gives you that inclination?
No, seriously, I am absolutley certain you are 100% correct. There are thousands of Lelnad's out there who would never, ever say some of the things in person that they say when they are within the confines of their apartment. It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time and he makes such stupid remarks.

2479. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 14:17:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE2310A-804@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144914

From: cp@staples.com (staples)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DDE614F-377@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>No one said differently he was told to buy from >a reputable "Ham'
>dealer, I know the word
>reputable and you are strangers

Not at all.
My refusal to allow those such as yourself to dictate what constitutes
"reputable" is merely but another in a long line of what plagues and
bewilders you.
****
>you call people who market black market >amps, who pay no taxes on Ill
>gotten gains, who sell a product tht is against >federal laws as
reputable?

I don't call anybody anything unless it's being returned or pointing out
a spade is a spade. That type behavior is limited to you defeated
malcontents.

>Compared to a stoefront recognized dealer >that you can go to and not
>hide in the back alley to make a deal.

I made no such comparison, you did.

>Abut buying from cb web page gurus online or >buying from a reputable
storefront who sells >FCC approved radios. If there is so much >money to
>be made and they are allowed to be sold and >used by hams, why doesn't
HRO or AES , >Burkhardt, etc etc or any Big Ham dealer sell >these
radios?

Money? No one said anything of the such except you.
****
>No shit sherlock why do you sell something? >uh to make money,

Since you contend there is MUCH money to be made in such a line of
activity, why are you asking me? You seem capable of providing your own
answers to your own questions. Matter of fact, the rest of can ignore
you while you carry on a conversation with yourself, if that's what
pleases you.

>Answer the question Jr,<

I'm not JR. JR is a sometimes angry hammie, struggling with the forces
of good and evil, ambivalent,....shadow boxing the apocalypse.

One topic at a time...
****
>Is that all you can handle?<

It's all you can focus on.

>you say Hams can use em legally Answer me >that Batman.

Answer you WHAT?
You didn't ask anything.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps.<

Galaxy radios? Who conked you on the head?

>In fact Carriage
>Car@aol.com wash who used to post here >has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

And this upsets or pleasures you why?

>Why, of course<

But....why?

>You don't feel that way?<

I find my pleasures not at the expense of others.
****
>Yes at your own hands right. Self gratification I >think they call
that.

Gee, you lie like Dave Hall N3CVJ, your personal attacks and flames are of a sexual nature like Dogie NWWM AND Lelnad KC8LDO .....you really were hurt by my leaving your name out of my cb dog kennel. Let us look at Arrons infamous members.

So far we have Dave Hall N3CVJ who told us without inquiry of owning a cb hack shop with a sex pervert who he claimed is a good friend......we have Dogie N8WWM who has lost countless ISP's for flooding the group with sexually graphic porn spam, and we have Lelnad C Scott KC8LDO,, who has made over 100 off-topic posts to this group concerning his fetish of handling younger men's jockstraps. Making inquiries of another man's underwear, shooting cbers, and insults about molesting children (such a matter is NO joke and one who would use such a topic as their choice of insult and flame troll bait is a scurvy degenerate)
isn't exactly conducive to hammie radio.

Unfortunately, the bad elements are the ones that gain attention. It is the behavior exhibited such as this that is ruining hammie radio, and is of little wonder people such as this need another to point to for blame....."those garsh darn cbers make us degenerate lowlives call them all sorts of homo names cuz they deserve it fer braken laww".
The fact that you have readily and vehemently defended all of the above when they have clearly been in the wrong, and are now beginning to make comments of a sexual nature yourself to another man....well, if it walks

like a duck.............

It appears it isn''t cb and nocode status that this little fun group of yours has in common. Never could figure out why you and Hall would fall into Adair's and "Nad's nocode bullshit, but as of late it has become crystal clear.....each and everyone of you, and it appears ONLY limited to you losers named by arron, has a repeated fondess for cracks of a sexual nature. Deviant dogie adair came in here espusing such trash talk and you few took to him immediately...birds of a feather.

"Live and learn."
****
*

>Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let >die" James Bond

Who sang the original theme song?
Paul McCartney (I think).
Anyhooo...you are getting your posts confused again. I didn't say "Live and Learn".

He can use it on ssb
****
>He sure can, but that was never really even >mentioned.

Sure it was...by me in retort to your false claim that a hammie couldn't use it legally.
****
>he can't you just can accept that fact.

Still haven't checked that, huh?
That's cool. You're the only one on this group that believes that...guaranteed.
---
and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..

>28.085 yep those damn truckers, thats exactly >where one of those Galaxy radios will put him.

What do truckers have to do with anything
concerning us? You still seem to be confused about the law. You definitely are incorrect concerning useage of a Galaxy radio by a hammie.
****
>you are misinformed on many things my >friend,

Actually, I'm not, hence you and the dog-ladies attacking me personally and not my posts. You effectively reiterate that point on a daily basis along with Lelnad and Hall.

>what a hammie can use is the least of your >worries.

I have no worries. I merely point out your lies and incorrect bullshit you try to ply as factual.

>Don't sweat it it is on a need
>to know basis and you really don't need to >know.

Then why did you bother to bring it up?I certainly didn't ask, as I already knew.
I suggest a refresher course in radio law. Maybe Jerry will take pity on you and perform the Heimlich on you for that crow you are asphyxiating on :)

>your captains license?<

Hahhhaa......it only took you, what....almost 4 years? Maybe in 4 more you can actually narrow it down to the few thousand on this coast.
****
>where are you heading this time not North I >hope? too cold

I get north once a year or so these days. Even went through your neck of the woods a few years ago...played in Lancaster, did the Amish buggy ride, ate at Zinn's on 30...
****
>Is that where we will find you?<

Yea, I'll go back there..good food.

>LOL To the batcave!<

It's good you revel in fantasy. It helps those in doubt understand your posts.

"maybe the ARRL"
****
>Thinking of becoming a member?<

Maybe I already am.

Jerry will spell it out
****
>I thought he did last week for you, hmm you >still don't understand? (chuckle)

You illustrated perfectly you not only don't understand, but incorrectly interpreted what Jerry said. He never claimed what you attributed him. At least you don't limit your lies to cbers by deliberately misattributing what they say..you extend that courtesy to your fellow hammies, as well. How many manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are made here in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?
****
>Any of the licensed hams in the usa can build >there own. Do I win a prize?

Maybe.......if you can answer the question. Building a rig doesn't constitute manufacturing. There. Now you have several erroneous claims that you can educate yourself on and a new sexual identity crisis we wern't aware of and really didn't need to know, but it does shed light on why you are so sympathetic to the troubled few among us.

2480. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:03:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE23BC6.1634@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144927

staples wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net...
>
> : Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.
>
> He wants to have a copy of your return path JJ, he doesn't converse with
> those he has such disdain for why all of a sudden your an exception be
> careful who you walk with...

And soon he'll accuse you of changing servers, ISP's, and other things
that might come as a result of normal network traffic routing and other
things that he hasn't the first clue about.

Or you could do what I did, and give him a few crumbs and watch as he
predictably scrambles to find all the rest of them, as if they really
matter.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2481. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:35:00 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <GnWdnZVQwMe73n-gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144915

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:IrnE9.108925$%m4.49482@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
:
: "FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
: news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
: > Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
: problem
: > with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on a
: gutter
: > mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is
now
: > towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
: handheld
: > D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
: follows:
: > Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis
Whip
: on a
: > gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.
:

: At great risk of getting flamed here, but once again did you talk with
your
: CB tech about your antenna.

If worried about flames chose your words carefully. he asked everyone in
the group what antenna to use.

: Did he/she suggest the gutter mount?

Apparently some one suggested it since he has one.

:Did he/she suggest that you run a Francis with a 400 watt TS?

I hope not since amplifiers are Illegal.

:And did he/she really sell you a DX959. Oh, sorry. Disappointing radio
for me.

No he won it at a Bingo auction, why how many have you blown up?

:
: Anyways, I never suggest a Francis with power. But that is because, even
: though some have told me they run over a 1000 watts through one, I am
pretty
: sure that it won't hold up to the abuse.

So are those people Lieing to you then? at texas star 400 won't do 1kw he has no worries.

: After all, it is the smallest copper wire antenna made.

there are many different size of Francis antennas.

:It is not rated for power at all.

Depends which one he has.

: You are probably having an RF Breakdown because of the antenna.

No sounds like lack of ground and rf is getting back into the radio

: Or you can put an antenna that is rated for the power you want to run, on
: the front somewhere. There are plenty of mounts out there that will adapts.
: Get a good ground.

Well what are the mounts that he can use that are an option?

:9 out of 10 times it has nothing to do with the equipment inside unless you have a
: jumper breaking down in between the radio and amp. It is outside.

Did you build his jumpers? How do jumpers break down? Could the coax from the antenna break down as well?

: These are strictly my opinions of years of working with this equipment.

Here is another tip, DON'T hold on to the antenna when you key up.
: It gets hot.

where would we be without you.

:
: Deb
:
:

2482. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:40:25 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <dGKdnY7Qd6h42X-gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144917

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:7gnE9.108808$%m4.50072@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...

: > If it's possible, forget the cb's and use
: > FRS. It's a lot cleaner and you don't need to
: > use an external antenna. What are you doing?
: > IE: mobile to mobile while off-road, while
: > just traveling, what are you needing them for?
: >
: > Landshark

: Mostly road traveling.

hmm Funny original poster said

" Okay don't laugh, but I have a thru-glass CB antenna on my little Jimmy. I
only really wanted it for truck-truck communication on off-road trails and
it actually works well for that."

:Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in some of the group rules
that they have CB's.

the original poster mentioned nothing about being in a group

: I have even had them tell me that if they go North into Canada in the
tundra, they should have a
: radio that reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)

This has what to do with the guys question?

: Deb
:
:

2483. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:42:27 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <dGKdnYnQd6h42X-gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 144916

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021125093514.710

: You can be bothered if it reqiures being a smart ass. Did you learn that
: from your new friend,george?

LOL Whats the matter fruit cake don't like when someone answers you like you do to them. Now all of a sudden me and this guy are friends? Jealous if we are? LOL Sorry we haven't talked to each other yet but so far i do like his style. He treted you like you do others . What a country.

2484. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:45:09 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <duKcnXVnYJkb2H-gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144918

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE225B5-798@storefull-
>That's not what twisty says limp wrist.<

You lie again, boy George. This is exactly what twisty said.

Bullshit Twisty, you penchant for lieing is showing again.

2485. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:47:45 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <BCrE9.128424$NH2.8200@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 144919

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:GnWdnZVQwMe73n-gXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:IrnE9.108925$%m4.49482@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> :
> : "FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
> : news:20021124201110.05514.00000057@mb-fj.aol.com...
> : > Okay group. Im the guy with the GMC conversion van that had the SWR
> : problem
> : > with the K-40. I returned the K-40 and installed a 5' Francis Whip on
a
> : gutter
> : > mount. I mounted it to the lip of the roof by the rear doors. It is
> now
> : > towering over the roof of the van. SWR is perfect now however, the
> : handheld
> : > D-104 gets hot and I am getting shocked when I key up. Setup is as
> : follows:
> : > Galaxy DX 959, Astatic handheld D-104, Texas Star DX-400, 5' Francis
> Whip
> : on a
> : > gutter mount mounted to the rear roof lip.
> :
>
>
>
> No he won it at a Bingo auction, why how many have you blown up?
>
None. I don't blow up radio's.
>
> :
>>
> there are many different size of Francis antennas.

Yes there are many different sizes of Francis but is the wire different size
inside? That up to the 6 footer is all I have seen. When tuning them, the
wire inside appears to be the same guage. But I could be wrong. I have,
afterall, been wrong before.
>

> Depends which one he has.
>
Which one has a power rating on it? Just curious.
>

>>

> where would we be without you.
>
Not quite sure :)> : But your input is important to me. Afterall, thats
what news groups are for, right?

> : Deb
> :
> :
>
>

2486. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:49:26 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <d4icnc-0K54a23-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144920

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE224A0-797@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: From: cp@staples.com (staples)
: "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
: news:3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net...
: Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.
: --
:
: >He wants to have a copy of your return path<
:
:
:
: Balderdash. But since you brought it up, and according to *you*, this
: would be a problem only if he had somehing to hide.
:
:
:
: >JJ, he doesn't converse with those he has >such disdain for
:
:
:
: Sharkie is right, George, you, are a bonafide liar. I converse with
: anyone that doesn't suffer from a communication deficit. You lend the
: appearance of one who wishes to keep certain factors separated.
:
:
:
: >why all of a sudden your an exception<

: Don't be jealous.

Jealous, don't flatter yourself oh twisted one

: >be careful who you walk with...<

: With the amount of pulled ISP's and the current peacefulness in here,
: your warning is par for the course and assumes JJ guilty of something,
: otherwise, he has nothing to fear....

hmmm didnt you accuse JJ of losing Isp's too, why do you want a Hammies
opinion of ? I thought you didn't like hammies twithed now all of a sudden
you are asking one for help? why not ask out in the open? you have other
motives and my warning to JJ is just that you are not to be trusted

but you ought to know with the amount of doo doo on your treaded path.

yes from all the shit you sling i do have doo doo on my feet

:

2487. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:50:24 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <%xrE9.823$pc.161651@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 144921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hf11sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> Whether or not someone wants to argue the incentive licensing issue, or not,
> the whole thing won't do a darned bit of good, unless there is the public's
> knowledge about ham radio.
>
We have a generation of kids that are used to the overwhelming sensory
experiences of video games, and even the WWW. In order to gain more
participants, it seems to me that the issue of 'attractiveness' is more
fundamental than the licensing qualifications.

In a way, it makes little sense to decrease the qualifications if they are
already so low, and even that slight impediment is stopping participation.

People today have so many opportunities for day-to-day communications,
that there is even a bit of a movement to hide from the on-demand
communications distractions. Ham radio already has alot of competition
from other communications systems. I am not claiming that current
communications systems are equivalent to Ham Radio, but because there
is a little bit of a 'dream' that is satisfied by Cellular or the WWW (for
example) that makes the results of Ham Radio superficially less exotic
and appear to be less of a challenge. (Of course, that isn't quite true,
but appearances are sometimes more important than reality.)

Ham radio will tend to draw more interest when it can provide a compelling
and glitzy 'features.' This is a difficult thing to decide, because some of the
'best' glitzy things to do might take LOTS of bandwidth and/or might not even be
applicable to ham radio.

I suspect that there are the 'ham radio' club marketing (e.g. ARRL) are
trying to figure out some killer applications for the hobby. Of course, it is
a different kind of killer application than 'Spreadsheet', 'Video games' or
somesuch, but I suspect that there is something out there.

Ham radio already has SOME applications (contests, emergency communications,
casual communications, electronics hobby), but additional *generationally relevent*
applications need to be found.

From what I see on the outside, Ham Radio isn't dying, but given the powerful
tools available, it seems odd that more appropriate high visibility hobby
applications havent been found (or publicized much.)

John

2488. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 15:54:11 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <RrGcnYMfrpI52n-gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144922

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021125094333.572$nn@newsreader.com...
: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
: >>No, seriously, I am absolutley certain you are 100% correct. There are
: >thousands of Lelnad's out there who would never, ever say some of the
: >things in person that they say when they are within the confines of
: >their apartment. It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time
: >and he makes such stupid remarks.
: >
: Agreed,George Busch WA3MOJ is a fine example.

Moped all of a sudden you and twisty are tough guys LOl. Get bent you grass
jockey.
your nothing but a blowhard behind the keyboard. who doesn't know much about
Cb .

2489. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 16:00:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE24903.3E66@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 144928

Secret Agent Man wrote:

> Isn't it a hoot that when someone like "Scooter Tramp" or anyone else
> says something the hammies don't agree with it's a "troll", but the
> self appointed policemen of the group can spam as much as they want
> and it's
> ok?

Much the same as when people flaunt illegal radio operation, like a badge of honor, yet complain to others' ISP's when someone rains on their parade.

>
> Yes Scooter Tramp, you must realize you are in RRCB now, where
> everyone except
> those who are high and mighty like Jim, Jerry, Fred, Doug, Frank, Dave
> and Leland (sorry for any assholes I didn't mention) is guilty whether
> proven innocent or not, regardless of what they may or may not have
> done. Of course, keep in mind that these good amateur operators are
> all operating strictly legally, and would NEVER do anything wrong
> since they are all such good citizens, and fine representatives of the
> amateur radio community. Anyone who does not conform to their way of
> thinking falls into the category of "Federal Criminal" or "Keyclown".

It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". Whether you like it or not, agree with it or not, enjoy it or disdain it, operating a radio outside the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a violation of federal radio regulations. You really need to come to terms with this. If the fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an issue with you then fine. But also note that just because you choose to thumb your nose at the government, and the FCC, does not immunize you against criticism from your peers, who may not share your anarchistic view of radio hobbies.

> This used to be a good group back in the early 90's where free speech
> was allowed and the hammies and policeman want-to-be's didn't disrupt
> things like they do nowdays.

I agree, it was much better then, when we could discuss the technical merits of various things (many of which are illegal), and hopefully educate people before they do things the wrong way. It's one thing to operate a radio illegally, it's even worse when it's the R.F. equivilent of the city landfill.

But be that as it may, someone, and I don't remember who, pushed the issue away from one of courtesy, respect, and common sense to illegal

vs. legal. Many of us were willing to overlook certain indiscretions, if they were responsible and careful of how they operated. But if you're going to force everyone to make a choice of whether to ignore the rules totally, or to follow them, I guess I had to side on the rule of law. You can't expect to make a case for following some rules, while ignoring others, and not expect to be viewed as a hypocrite. On the other hand, I can't support a paradigm of anarchy. Rules were put here for a reason. It's irresponsible to ignore them. Such is the mess we're left with.

> There is still a good group for CB
> discussion and technical advice that has not been corrupted by the
> likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still answer
> questions like in "the good old days" without all the unwanted
> comments from those who don't agree with what is going on.

Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?

> The disgruntled hams in here are accomplishing nothing except wasting
> bandwidth in the NG because they are able to "push the buttons" of the
> few cb'ers left in the NG and they feel compelled to respond to them.

That works both ways.

> The only way this group
> will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended purpose,

And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't think promoting illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?

> is to be reincarnated as a moderated group. I can't believe someone
> hasn't done this already for the good of those who would like to post
> here but don't.

That's a hoot. A moderated newsgroup, that permits illegal discussions (And isn't that a violation of the "rec" catergory of usenet?), but filters out objections based on legal grounds. Don't hold your breath waiting for that one.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2490. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 16:06:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ITrE9.23$WE4.3754159@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144923

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:7gnE9.108808$%m4.50072@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> RV's in this case refer to the Houses on Wheels. You know a Motor Home.
> The kind the Robert Pressley, singing groups and very wealthy people
drive.
> :)
>
> Mostly road traveling. Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in
some
> of the group rules that they have CB's. I have even had them tell me that
> if they go North into Canada in the tundra, they should have a radio that
> reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)
>
> Deb
>
>

Well it's going to be tough to get
at least 5 mile range, even on a cb.
A stock cb, even on a good day will
get maybe a max of 5 miles give or take
a couple mile, that's on a GOOD day.
FRS if you are lucky will get you 2 to
3 miles range. You might want to try
GMRS, but that is a licensing service.
Other options are to get small linear,
maybe 50 to 100 watts to get that sure
5 miles, but as I'm sure you have read
in this group, it is illegal.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2491. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 16:07:14 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <GMednfPx2I0p13-gXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 144924

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE2310A-804@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: cp@staples.com (staples)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DDE614F-377@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>No one said differently he was told to buy from >a reputable "Ham'
>dealer, I know the word
>reputable and you are strangers

Not at all. My refusal to allow those such as yourself to dictate what
constitutes
"reputable" is merely but another in a long line of what plagues and
bewilders you.
****
>you call people who market black market >amps, who pay no taxes on Ill
>gotten gains, who sell a product tht is against >federal laws as
reputable?

:I don't call anybody anything unless it's being returned or pointing out
:a spade is a spade.

Well I am pointing out a spade as a spade. Ill ask again against my better
judgement since you are doing your best fred asatire. What do you call
people who sellmarket black market amps, who pay no taxes on I'll gotten
gains, who sell a product that is against federal laws. A answer is what is
needed not a song and dance.

>Compared to a storefront recognized dealer that you can go to and not
>hide in the back alley to make a deal.

I made no such comparison, you did.
****
No shit did I say you said that? your slip is showing again.

>Abut buying from cb web page gurus online or >buying from a reputable
storefront who sells >FCC approved radios. If there is so much >money to
>be made and they are allowed to be sold and >used by hams, why doesn't
HRO or AES , >Burkhardt, etc etc or any Big Ham dealer sell >these
radios?

Money? No one said anything of the such except you.
****
>No shit sherlock why do you sell something? >uh to make money,

>You seem capable of providing your own answers to your own questions.

Yes since you cannot I have to spell things out for you, your usual MO is to twsit what is said and you are doing a fine job of that.

: Matter of fact, the rest of can ignore you while you carry on a conversation with yourself,

Yes that is what is normally done with you

: if that's what pleases you.

what do you care what please me?

>Answer the question Jr,<

:I'm not JR.

Didn't say you were JR, said you were Jr. your lieing again.

:Answer you WHAT? You didn't ask anything.

I sure did go back and reread it

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps.<

Galaxy radios? Who conked you on the head?

What are you off the meds again?

>In fact Carriage
>Car@aol.com wash who used to post here >has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

:But....why?

Why do you care?

I find my pleasures not at the expense of others.
****
>Yes at your own hands right. Self gratification I >think they call that.

Gee, you lie like Dave Hall N3CVJ, your personal attacks and flames are of a sexual nature like Dogie NWWM AND Lelnad KC8LDO .....you really were hurt by my leaving your name out of my cb dog kennel. Let us look at Arrons infamous members.

So far we have Dave Hall N3CVJ who told us without inquiry of owning a cb hack shop with a sex pervert who he claimed is a good friend......we have Dogie N8WWM who has lost countless ISP's for flooding the group with sexually graphic porn spam, and we have Lelnad C Scott KC8LDO,, who has made over 100 off-topic posts to this group concerning his fetish of handling younger men's jockstraps. Making inquiries of another man's underwear, shooting cbers, and insults about molesting children (such a matter is NO joke and one who would use such a topic as their choice of insult and flame troll bait is a scurvy degenerate)
isn't exactly conducive to hammie radio.

Unfortunately, the bad elements are the ones that gain attention. It is the behavior exhibited such as this that is ruining hammie radio, and is of little wonder people such as this need another to point to for blame....."those garsh darn cbers make us degenerate lowlives call them all sorts of homo names cuz they deserve it fer braken laww".
The fact that you have readily and vehemently defended all of the above when they have clearly been in the wrong, and are now beginning to make comments of a sexual nature yourself to another man....well, if it walks like a duck.............

It appears it isn''t cb and nocode status that this little fun group of yours has in common. Never could figure out why you and Hall would fall into Adair's and "Nad's nocode bullshit, but as of late it has become crystal clear.....each and everyone of you, and it appears ONLY limited to you losers named by arron, has a repeated fondess for cracks of a sexual nature. Deviant dogie adair came in here espusing such trash talk and you few took to him immediately...birds of a feather.

"Live and learn."
****
*

>Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let >die" James Bond

Who sang the original theme song?
Paul McCartney (I think).
Anyhooo...you are getting your posts confused again. I didn't say "Live and Learn".

He can use it on ssb
****
>He sure can, but that was never really even >mentioned.

Sure it was...by me in retort to your false claim that a hammie couldn't use it legally.
****
>he can't you just can accept that fact.

Still haven't checked that, huh?
That's cool. You're the only one on this group that believes that...guaranteed.
---
and that's where all the 10 meter activity is..

>28.085 yep those damn truckers, thats exactly >where one of those Galaxy radios will put him.

What do truckers have to do with anything
concerning us? You still seem to be confused about the law. You definitely are incorrect concerning useage of a Galaxy radio by a hammie.
****
>you are misinformed on many things my >friend,

Actually, I'm not, hence you and the dog-ladies attacking me personally and not my posts. You effectively reiterate that point on a daily basis along with Lelnad and Hall.

>what a hammie can use is the least of your >worries.

I have no worries. I merely point out your lies and incorrect bullshit you try to ply as factual.

>Don't sweat it it is on a need
>to know basis and you really don't need to >know.

Then why did you bother to bring it up?I certainly didn't ask, as I already knew.
I suggest a refresher course in radio law. Maybe Jerry will take pity on you and perform the Heimlich on you for that crow you are asphyxiating on :)

>your captains license?<

Hahhhaa......it only took you, what....almost 4 years? Maybe in 4 more you can actually narrow it down to the few thousand on this coast.
****
>where are you heading this time not North I >hope? too cold

I get north once a year or so these days. Even went through your neck of the woods a few years ago...played in Lancaster, did the Amish buggy ride, ate at Zinn's on 30...
****
>Is that where we will find you?<

Yea, I'll go back there..good food.

>LOL To the batcave!<

It's good you revel in fantasy. It helps those in doubt understand your posts.

"maybe the ARRL"
****
>Thinking of becoming a member?<

Maybe I already am.

Jerry will spell it out
****
>I thought he did last week for you, hmm you >still don't understand? (chuckle)

You illustrated perfectly you not only don't understand, but incorrectly interpreted what Jerry said. He never claimed what you attributed him. At least you don't limit your lies to cbers by deliberately misattributing what they say..you extend that courtesy to your fellow hammies, as well. How many manufacturers of hammie rigs can you name that currently are made here in the US besides Ten-Tec..hmm?
****

>Any of the licensed hams in the usa can build >there own. Do I win a prize?

Maybe.......if you can answer the question. Building a rig doesn't constitute manufacturing. There. Now you have several erroneous claims that you can educate yourself on and a new sexual identity crisis we wern't aware of and really didn't need to know, but it does shed light on why you are so sympathetic to the troubled few among us.

2492. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 16:15:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <zHidnfTT-J4-0X-gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 144925

> I will have to disagree with those "short sighted" people who believe that
> the antenna cannot be to blame, and that it *must* be the CB.
> Obviously, some believe that inteference is a sure sign of a "modified"
> radio. When will they wake up from their dream world?

The antenna certainly contributes.

The antenna acts as a filter, if it is resonant. Actually that's a little deceptive. All antennas are resonant somewhere. Usually in multiple frequencies. If the antenna is resonant on the intended frequency, it will be a less efficient radiator on other frequencies.

As an extreme example, I saw a salesman once, selling a discone antenna to go with a new CB radio. Not only is this an extremely bad antenna in the CB band, it would radiate any VHF or UHF noise that the set might produce, fairly well.

Positioning of the antenna is important, if you can increase the distance between the antenna and the equipment that's having the problem, you will cut the signal level proportional to the square of the increase in distance. (double the distance, 1/4 the signal)

Running less power will help as well. For the sorts of interference described here, I would expect to see >100W in use. I run 100W and cause no interference to either of my very close neighbors with a fairly low mounted antenna.

> Inteference to anything that is NOT a reciever (eg. computers,
> cd players, etc) CANNOT possibly be caused by a "dirty"
> signal. NO amount of harmonics can intefere with something
> that is not designed to pick up radio signals.

Not true.
Any device that has semiconductors in it is suceptible to RF interference.
For each device, some band of frequencies will produce interference at a lower level than others.
So, the more splatter you produce, the more likely it is that you'll hit some device's sensitive band(s)

Also, why not clean up your signal? All that junk is costing you power, that isn't available to go into the main carrier and sidebands, where the information is. It's also causing problems, both the ones you know about, and the ones you don't know about.

External filters help, but they are a patch, not a solution.
If you clean up the rig, you'll get better performance, and less interference, a win-win situation.

2493. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 16:48:30 UTC
From: skipp says you can workem everyday <nospamthistime@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <artk8u$cp0$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 144929

Consider a few options...

Is the problem very close by..? as in next door or a few doors away..?

Consumer electronics are poorly protected from rf radiation, especially a nearby radio transmitter. You might be up against the wall on this one.

If the equipment getting hosed is far enough (more than right next door) away, chances are your not going to have much radio fun without a lot of work.

If the hosed equipment is close enough, you could try a few things... ie better shielded coax, a balun or un-un on the coax line near the antenna (to keep radiation from comming back down the coaxial line), reposition the antenna away from nearby objects as best possible and to actually try a few different types of antennas.

Consider an original ground plane type of antenna which has its own physical "ground plane" included. The angle of radiation might be enough to keep things under control.

Consider a half wave type or antenna which includes a decoupling sleeve in it's physical construction and operation.

The Shakespear Big Stick is has the type of base feed that I would suggest you look into. Many of us remove the top 1/4 wave radiator and replace it with Francis 5/8 wave (or the 3/4 wave) sections for smoking system.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: Walter <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote:
: Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
: electronics.
: Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
: a whole block.
: I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
: cb's.
: Any help out there for an avid CBer???
: PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
: supposed to have one installed in the first place...

2494. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:30:02 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <u6tE9.112997$__1.65880@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144930

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ITrE9.23$WE4.3754159@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:7gnE9.108808$%m4.50072@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > RV's in this case refer to the Houses on Wheels. You know a Motor Home.
> > The kind the Robert Pressley, singing groups and very wealthy people
> drive.
> > :)
> >
> > Mostly road traveling. Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in
> some
> > of the group rules that they have CB's. I have even had them tell me
that
> > if they go North into Canada in the tundra, they should have a radio
that
> > reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)
> >
> > Deb
> >
> >
>
> Well it's going to be tough to get
> at least 5 mile range, even on a cb.
> A stock cb, even on a good day will
> get maybe a max of 5 miles give or take
> a couple mile, that's on a GOOD day.
> FRS if you are lucky will get you 2 to
> 3 miles range. You might want to try
> GMRS, but that is a licensing service.
> Other options are to get small linear,
> maybe 50 to 100 watts to get that sure
> 5 miles, but as I'm sure you have read
> in this group, it is illegal.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
> he who defends everything defends
> nothing."

Ain't that the truth. Try splaining it to someone who just spent 250 +
thousand dollars on one of dem dare rollin homes. :)

The pressure? it be great.

Deb

2495. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:32:33 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <R8tE9.111761$P31.56665@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 144931

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:dGKdnY7Qd6h42X-gXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:7gnE9.108808$%m4.50072@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> : > If it's possible, forget the cb's and use
> : > FRS. It's a lot cleaner and you don't need to
> : > use an external antenna. What are you doing?
> : > IE: mobile to mobile while off-road, while
> : > just traveling, what are you needing them for?
> : >
> : > Landshark
>
>
> : Mostly road traveling.
>
> hmm Funny original poster said
>
> " Okay don't laugh, but I have a thru-glass CB antenna on my little Jimmy.
I
> only really wanted it for truck-truck communication on off-road trails and
> it actually works well for that."
>
>
>
> :Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in some of the group rules
> that they have CB's.
>
> the original poster mentioned nothing about being in a group
>
>
>
>
> : I have even had them tell me that if they go North into Canada in the
> tundra, they should have a
> : radio that reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)
>
> This has what to do with the guys question?
>
>
>
>
>

> : Deb
> :
Ah Tunafish, just givin the guy some information is all. Sometimes it ain't
no fun goin thru a tunnel not knowin whats on each side of ya. Thats all.

Deb

2496. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:39:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XetE9.46$Od5.6048827@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144933

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DE24903.3E66@worldlynx.net...
> Much the same as when people flaunt illegal radio operation, >like a
> badge of honor, yet complain to others' ISP's when >someone rains on
> their parade.

Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
complains because someone said "don't call me
illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also
Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there
is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well
they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.

>
>

>
> It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". Whether you >like it or
> not, agree with it or not, enjoy it or disdain it, operating a >radio
> outside the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a violation of >federal
> radio regulations. You really need to come to terms with >this. If the
> fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an issue with you >then
> fine. But also note that just because you choose to thumb >your nose at
> the government, and the FCC, does not immunize you >against criticism
> from your peers, who may not share your anarchistic view of radio
> hobbies.
>
>

Criticisms yes, harassment no. I don't know if it's
happened to you, but I have received some dozen
or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers"
on here, personally I think that's going way beyond
just a conversation.

> I agree, it was much better then, when we could discuss the >technical
> merits of various things (many of which are illegal), and >hopefully
> educate people before they do things the wrong way. It's one thing to
> operate a radio illegally, it's even worse when it's the R.F. equivilent

> of the city landfill.
>
> But be that as it may, someone, and I don't remember who, >pushed the
> issue away from one of courtesy, respect, and common >sense to illegal
> vs. legal. Many of us were willing to overlook certain >indiscretions, if
> they were responsible and careful of how they operated. But >if you're
> going to force everyone to make a choice of whether to >ignore the rules
> totally, or to follow them, I guess I had to side on the rule of >law.

Again, your choice, as is others to break the law and face
it's consequences. The issues got blown out when certain
individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
& Regulations", they knew when they did that it was now
going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as
they are still doing to this very day.

> You can't expect to make a case for following some rules,
> while ignoring
> others, and not expect to be viewed as a hypocrite. On the > other hand, I
> can't support a paradigm of anarchy. Rules were put here
> for a reason.
> It's irresponsible to ignore them. Such is the mess we're left > with.
>

Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules
(to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do
with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the
majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts
that are put up here.

> > There is still a good group for CB
> > discussion and technical advice that has not been >corrupted by the
> > likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still >answer
> > questions like in "the good old days" without all the >unwanted
> > comments from those who don't agree with what is going >on.
>
> Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting
> opinion,
> really bothers you doesn't it?

It might, but would you not agree calling people
names, acusing them of child molesting and such
(including what was said about Frank) is not a dissenting opinion, but
harrasment?

>
>
> > The only way this group
> > will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended >purpose,
>
> And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't t>hink promoting
> illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?

Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps
or export radio's is now wrong to talk about? Yes
selling such items are illegal, but talking about how
many watts an antenna will take is not illegal,but some
here (Aaron and a couple others) will say it is.

>
>
> That's a hoot. A moderated newsgroup, that permits illegal > discussions
> (And isn't that a violation of the "rec" catergory of usenet?), > but
> filters out objections based on legal grounds. Don't hold
> your breath
> waiting for that one.

Well if it's in the newsgroup charter, then it can be moderated,
so his breathing maybe better than you think. I posted a number of groups
that dealt with drugs, speeding, illegal gambling, car groups breaking
federal EPA laws so they
are there, and under Rec. As again for this group
to be moderated, unless 2 to 3 individuals want to take the
responsibility to check each message everyday, good luck.

>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2497. FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:39:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211250939.783d31be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144932

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308

This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module. This allows the CB to be used as a base radio simply by sliding it into the "docking station" and plugging in the speaker and power plugs in the back and attaching an antenna! The power supply and speaker are in the base module!

This radio is COLLECTORS QUALITY! It is PRISTINE. I would give it a 9.9 on a scale of 1-10 only because it isn't brand new in the box.

I got this CB not too long ago with the intention of using it at home, but I never did. It's been kept in the original box. Included is the microphone and some of the original literature that came with the radio.

This radio works 100% and I received great audio reports when tested. It does the full 4 watts legal limit as indicated by the internal power meter and 2 different external power meters.

2498. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:42:59 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <DitE9.49$2l5.6502713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 144934

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:u6tE9.112997$__1.65880@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> Ain't that the truth. Try splaining it to someone who just spent 250 +
> thousand dollars on one of dem dare rollin homes. :)
>
> The pressure? it be great.
>
> Deb
>
>

Since they got all that Dough, have them put
a real radio and antenna in instead of the
"glass antenna". Explain if they really want it
to work right, they will have to use the right
equipment, not the visible pleasing antenna
on the windshield.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

2499. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:48:19 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <zBOdnQ61tK74_3-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 144935

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:DitE9.49$2l5.6502713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

]: Since they got all that Dough, have them put
: a real radio and antenna in instead of the
: "glass antenna". Explain if they really want it
: to work right, they will have to use the right
: equipment, not the visible pleasing antenna
: on the windshield.
:
: Landshark

Ya know what Landshark, I have to agree with you as much as it hurts me to
say so.

2500. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 17:59:50 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <p_ucnb8YEJuF-H-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 144936

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:XetE9.46:
Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
: have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
: but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
: complains because someone said "don't call me
: illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
: like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
: foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also
: Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
: troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there
: is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
: with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well
: they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
: not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
:

Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio, you
don't turn others in for the same infractions hence the label of "Hypocrite"
is applied.

:
: >
: >
:
: >
: > It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". Whether you >like it or
: > not, agree with it or not, enjoy it or disdain it, operating a >radio
: > outside the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a violation of
>federal
: > radio regulations. You really need to come to terms with >this. If the
: > fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an issue with you >then
: > fine. But also note that just because you choose to thumb >your nose at
: > the government, and the FCC, does not immunize you >against criticism
: > from your peers, who may not share your anarchistic view of radio
: > hobbies.
: >
: >
:
: Criticisms yes, harassment no. I don't know if it's
: happened to you, but I have received some dozen
: or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers"
: on here, personally I think that's going way beyond
: just a conversation.

Never from me, i have only sent 1 email to someone in this group, but yet you publish thing like report george to google, aol, comcast, giganews why? I tell you why because you don;t like what I have to say. You can call me a troll perhaps I am but so are you and dozens of others who are in this group, yet I have once seen them admit to it.

: Again, your choice, as is others to break the law and face
: it's consequences.

Our choice to let the illegals know they are breaking laws.

The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
: & Regulations",

Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post

:they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as
: they are still doing to this very day.

They may have did it to educate, you and your band of loonies jumped all over Mark fuller and anyone who dares speak out against illegal radio.

: Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules
: (to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do
: with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the
: majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts
: that are put up here.

I wonder why Landwhale is so concerned about foul language and such in this NG he had one of the foulest mouths in the NG, wanna see some examples Mark?

: > Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting
: > opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?

Yes it does he uses these queers sig files yet never lives up to them.

: > And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't t>hink promoting
: > illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?

: Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps
: or export radio's is now wrong to talk about? Yes
: selling such items are illegal, but talking about how
: many watts an antenna will take is not illegal,but some
: here (Aaron and a couple others) will say it is.

No they never said it was illegal to talk abou it, besides everyone knows there is more than talk going on, you can try to act like everyone here is a model citizen and it is just talk, others know the real truth.

2501. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 18:01:38 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <sCednVT5hqYe-H-gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 144937

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message :
: And soon he'll accuse you of changing servers, ISP's, and other things
: that might come as a result of normal network traffic routing and other
: things that he hasn't the first clue about.
:
: Or you could do what I did, and give him a few crumbs and watch as he
: predictably scrambles to find all the rest of them, as if they really
: matter.
:
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"

Exactly dave, hey we will have to get togther over coffee were not to far
away from each other.

2502. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 18:11:16 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8JtE9.113292$__1.66469@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 144938

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:DitE9.49$2l5.6502713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:u6tE9.112997$__1.65880@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> >
> > Ain't that the truth. Try splaining it to someone who just spent 250 +
> > thousand dollars on one of dem dare rollin homes. :)
> >
> > The pressure? it be great.
> >
> > Deb
> >
> >
>
> Since they got all that Dough, have them put
> a real radio and antenna in instead of the
> "glass antenna". Explain if they really want it
> to work right, they will have to use the right
> equipment, not the visible pleasing antenna
> on the windshield.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>
> Mr. Garrison
>
Well that's exactly what I tell them. Usually I don't have trouble with the factory mounted antenna on top. But our RV sales place here doesn't seem to get that.

They actually buy the thru the glass antenna's, stick them on the coach, then put a radio in and I am sure double their prices. Then when the customer is mad, they tell them the radio's no good. When in fact its the antenna.

Can't get it through to them.

I agree whole heartedly with you.

Deb

2503. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 18:41:56 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <esucnRfS9slC83-gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 144939

> > 14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of
people
> > to test them.
>
> This goes on now. Look at Packet radio and APRS.

And Slow-Scan TV. :) Having lots of fun with it here, and using it with
Skywarn.

> > 15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
>
> This goes without saying, although there should still be some
> recognition for mastering the mode. It should just not be a requirement
> for operation.

I would be all in favour of reserving some slices in the CW band for 5, 10,
20 WPM operators, and having a test to qualify you for using those segments.

> > 18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.

That's what we do here. No rote memorization, we make sure it makes sense to
you, before we move on to the next thing.

> > 23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on
field day.
>
> To me, field day is nothing more than a contest.

It's devolved into that mostly.
Few groups are really interested in setting up emergency stations, as was
the original intent.
The restriction that you can't start building your station until a certain
time is about all that's left of the emergency aspect. Most clubs have
everything pre-staged and ready to go.

> > 24. More real skill building activities
> > 25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards,
recognition for
> > WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

Distance per watt awards! So it dosen't devolve into "who can spend the
most money".

2504. Re: fs-crusader 125 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 18:51:46 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211251051.7a1b9c8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 144940

$100 and it's dead!
Come on...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

crd <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3DDFA577.32BEA0FA@hotmail.com>...
> blue front panel with 4 switches and lights. Needs mrf450 stud mount
> transisters. This is a base unit 115v. receive preamp works perfect but
> no output. weighs approx 25lbs. Made in lebanon Tenn.
>
> $100

2505. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 20:16:52 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <sqvE9.83670$g9.235580@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 144941

> You can be bothered if it reqiures being a smart ass. Did you learn that
> from your new friend,george?
>

Were you always this childish and pathetic, or did it take a lot of hard
work and practice to become the laughing stock of the NG??

73's & Cheers "Good Buddy"....

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.422 / Virus Database: 237 - Release Date: 20/11/2002

2506. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:01:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144944

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:XetE9.46:
Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
have disagreed and also agreed on some things, but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just complains because someone said "don't call me illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account, not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.

>Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone >who is against Legal radio,

Stop right there. This has never been indicative of anyone here except you girls and your lies don't make it so. You and the other playpen clowns never have a single example, just multitudes of repeated tired old insults and generalities and accusations, but you are very quick to attempt to perpetuate against another what you cry is unfairly, albeit falsely, being done to you.

>you don't turn others in for the same >infractions hence the label of "Hypocrite" is >applied.

Such as been done with several "hammies' lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is.....here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law. How much credibility do you actually think that carries? Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved otherwise. As a matter of fact, each and everyone of youkennel members have beecaught in so many lies, yet those who you would acuse and attack of wrong-doings and misdeeds consist of nothing but flames and more of y'alls bullshit.

N3CVJ, the Hypocrite Hall opened with:
>Whether you like it or not, agree with it or not, >enjoy it or disdain it, operating a radio outside >the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a >violation of federal radio regulations.

So is owning an M200 according to you. Then, in a separate post, you claim it is an illegal amp marketed at cbers. Now, you attempt to defend your owning one as saying it is legal as you are a hammie....merely another in along line of self-contradictions and talking out both sdes of your patooie. I like these "crumbs" you speak of, Dave..they assist in illustrating your portrait quite accurately.

>You really need to come to terms with this.<

Have a bib for that bird?.

> If the fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is >not an issue with you then fine.

Well, it is with you then it isn't with you.
You have posted both in defense of your behavior and against it. A real head case.

>But also note that just because you choose to >thumb your nose at the government, and the >FCC, does not immunize you against criticism >from your peers,

Dave, I have news for you..you would be extremely hard-pressed to call anyone here your "peer" except the usual fewls, and you may now add Doc to it as well, he was feeling mighty froggy and felt like jumping and throwing punches from the dark, only he missed and the momentum spun him all the way around until he became dizzy and fell and bruised his ego.

> who may not share your anarchistic view of >radio hobbies.

Is that what bothers you? That you are in the minority? talk about morals.......

"Criticisms yes, harassment no. I don't know if it's happened to you, but I have received some dozen or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers" on here, personally I think that's going way beyond just a conversation."

>Never from me, i have only sent 1 email to >someone in this group, but yet you publish >thing like report george to google, aol, >comcast, giganews why?
>I tell you why because you don;t like what I >have to say.

You do the same thing with your lies, boy George. You don't like what someone says or you disagree with their view, you take your attack to a personal level because you can't hold your own with an intelligent debate focusing on mere facts...you can't cite facts so you insult and flame...just like your loser frieneds.

>You can call me a troll perhaps I am but so >are you and dozens of others who are in this >group,

Yet another familiar trait of the trollup brigade (mostly Dave Hall and Lelnad's fave trick)..justify and downplay your own misdeeds by immediately pointing to another.

>yet I have once seen them admit to it.

Because your vision is skewered and in the minorty, as are your heathen friends.

>Again, your choice, as is others to break the >law and face it's consequences.

You guys are of no consequence..never have been...hence the term "i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t" being appropriated.

>Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge stones.

"The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
& Regulations",
Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post
they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them
to troll the group, as
they are still doing to this very day."

>They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped all over Mark fuller >and anyone who dares speak out against >illegal radio.

I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.

"Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules (to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts that are put up here. "

>I wonder why Landwhale<

See,,,,you just can't help yourself.
Juvenile comes to mind.

>is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of the foulest mouths in >the NG, wanna see some examples Mark?

Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean up his language?
Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn, as he defended his ownership of an amp that HE said was illegal to own or sell. See, time is of the essence concerning his posts. He claimed he learned since those days....and very recently has posted that owning such an amp is illegal. But when confronted with his posts (which he laughingly claims he "gave" me, as all have seen him squirming uncomfortably trying to wish away his posts) he claims that it is now LEGAL. What a lying self-contradicting hypocritical hammie..no wonder you and Lelnad have taken such a fondness to him.

"Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?"

>Yes it does<

Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.

2507. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:03:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3275-3DE29032-904@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 144945

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE225B5-798@storefull-
>That's not what twisty says limp wrist.<

You lie again, boy George. This is exactly what twisty said.

>Bullshit Twisty, you penchant for lieing is >showing again.

Vague references...nothing of substance....missing posts again...that's
exactly what I said. Go lay down boy George.

2508. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:08:09 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125160809.19574.00000149@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 144942

Cmon group. Why cant I get you to agree on an antenna? Obviously, my shop is not giving me good advice. So much for the new guy on the block. If you read through the threads of "SWR Advice" you will see that several of you recommended a Francis Whip on a gutter mount - this after I had an SWR problem with my K-40. Lets try this again. I have a 1995 GMC Conversion Van with a fiberglass raised roof. I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a Texas star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are experts.

2509. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:10:48 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uu54c8pqjm7028@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 144943

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:p_ucnb8YEJuF-H-gXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:XetE9.46:
> Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
> : have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
> : but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
> : complains because someone said "don't call me
> : illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
> : like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
> : foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also
> : Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
> : troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there
> : is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
> : with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well
> : they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
> : not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
> :
>
>
> Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio,
you
> don't turn others in for the same infractions hence the label of
"Hypocrite"
> is applied.

Hey george, your "Tunafish" is beginning to reek, hence the label
"Troll' is applied. LOL!

2510. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:12:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3275-3DE29246-906@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145049

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE224A0-797@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
cp@staples.com (staples) "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDFD28F.F9B4AE54@mailcity.net...
Shouldn't be hard to figure out my email, so go ahead.
--
>He wants to have a copy of your return path<

Balderdash. But since you brought it up, and according to *you*, this
would be a problem only if he had somehing to hide.

>JJ, he doesn't converse with those he has >such disdain for

Sharkie is right, George, you, are a bonafide liar. I converse with
anyone that doesn't suffer from a communication deficit. You lend the
appearance of one who wishes to keep certain factors separated.

>why all of a sudden your an exception<

Don't be jealous.

>Jealous, don't flatter yourself oh twisted one<

I didn't..you flattered me..even inserting yourself into the equation
when no one was talking to you. Lelnad pleasures himself to such
behavior.

>be careful who you walk with...<

With the amount of pulled ISP's and the current peacefulness in here,
your warning is probably one of the most valid things you have ever out
forth..it even sounds like it's coming from one with that type personal
exerience...your warning assumes JJ guilty of something, otherwise, he
has nothing to fear....

>hmmm didnt you accuse JJ of losing Isp's >too,

Whatsamatter? When you said that one has nothing to worry about if they
are doing nothing wrong, you selectively applying that, we now see.

Anyway, that was Doc when he lied about his server being dead and why he
changed.

>why do you want a Hammies opinion of ?<

A more relevant question is why do you care?

>I thought you didn't like hammies <

That's what you get for attempting to think by yourself concerning another.

>twithed now all of a sudden you are asking >one for help? why not ask >out in the open?

Again, why do you care? The fact that I asked and he responded and you are the only one having a problem with it doesn't change the fact that you are a bonafide liar. It is why the mentioned louses by "aaron" of yourself, Lelnad, Hall, and Gilliland lend each other unconditional support and all lie..thanks for reminding me..that list should have included Doc down in Okie-dokahoma! As I said, it's amazing how this cb thing affects so many hammies on a deep personal level...hi hi!

>were m you have other motives and my >warning to JJ is just that you >are not to be trusted

And is as valid as the rest of your bullshit....personal attacks but no example of
what you claim......exactly liike my fan club church of Lelnad and Hall...vague references, personal opinion, false innuendo, deliberate misattributions and nothing more....nothing of substance...but you losers were pegged long before I came along, George. In addition, you having to warn anyone about anything illustrates your own arrogance in thinking that the person can not handle the situation on their own or without your input or suggestions, but you ought to know with the amount of doo doo on your treaded path.

>yes from all the shit you sling i do have doo >doo on my feet

I believe in returning things to their rightful owners.

2511. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:17:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3277-3DE29355-361@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145050

Daev Hall N3CVJ said:
>To me, field day is nothing more than a >contest. I have no interest in
>contests, as do many other hams.

You had puh-lenty of interest in long distance communication at one time. You did the dx thing, made your long distant contacts, had your "fill" of it, claiming you became "bored after a while" with it, so you now begrudge all those who enjoy dx and contests just as you look down on those who freeband and/or dx or have amps or do ANY of the stuff that you did and continue to do.....merely but another perfect example in a long, long line of you finding fault with others for doing the exact same thing you did..and many other hams DO enjoy dx..stop looking to others for support..you need only seek as near as the closest cber for validation. If you deserved support from hammies you wouldn't always be looking for it. You're washed up and your tarnished reputation as a hammie is no one's fault but your own.

2512. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:17:38 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <BF6dnau2QaLBDn-gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 144946

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125160809.19574.00000149@mb-fs.aol.com...
> Cmon group. Why cant I get you to agree on an antenna? Obviously, my
shop is
> not giving me good advice. So much for the new guy on the block. If you
read
> through the threads of "SWR Advice" you will see that several of you
> recommended a Francis Whip on a gutter mount - this after I had an SWR
problem
> with my K-40. Lets try this again. I have a 1995 GMC Conversion Van with
a
> fiberglass raised roof. I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a
Texas
> star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are
experts.

The rig and mic and such really don't make a difference.
Your K-40 was designed to work with a ground plane, which you haven't got.

There are still a lot of workable options.

You always want to get the antenna up in the clear, as much as possible.
You can't easily use a mag-mount, both because of nothing magnetic to mount
it to, and that you don't have the ground plane.

Marine antennas are designed for this sort of use, and are shorter than full
whips.
A full whip is still an option, bumper or ball mounted, but you compromise
it to the degree that it's covered by the body metal.

There are tuned loop antennas that don't need a ground, and are quite
effective and low profile, but they aren't off-the-shelf items.

In general, when you reduce the physical size of an antenna, you compromise
on something, gain and/or bandwidth usually.

2513. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:19:40 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0211251319.f04f364@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill M <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
> > I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
> > competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
> > for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
> > clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> > 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
> > 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
> >
> > John
>
> That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
> guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
> wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality.

Secret handshake....I had a good laugh over that one. Good
grief...Nothing has ever been secret about morse code. And the funny
part is we hams had nothing to do with implementing a code test. Also,
the license exams are not "know everything or else" types of tests.
They are just meager entrance exams. The usual ham learns much more
AFTER he gets a ticket, not before. Also, it takes many years to learn
all that can be learned about radio, or whatever else related to the
hobby. If thats even possible. I've been farting with this crap for 31
years, and I've still got a long way to go in order to even think I
might know all I need to know. The reality is most will never catch
up. Same with computers, and coding. I've done quite a bit of software
work in regard to flight sims over the years. If I drop out and rest
just for a few months, I fall so far behind the "envelope" that it's
hard to catch up again. The technology progresses that fast. It
progresses almost weekly. When I took my ham test, code was a non
issue. It was part of the test, and you learned it if you wanted a
ticket. Pretty simple really. If they drop the code test totally in
the future, fine. But until then, a 5 wpm test is required, and
whining about it here is not going to make it go away. Sheesh...It
wasn't long ago that 13 and 20 wpm were required. The code whiners
should count their blessings...To me, 5 wpm is no-code for all
practical purposes. It's too slow to be of much use on the air. :/
MK

2514. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:31:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3275-3DE296B7-909@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145051

WA3MOJ came up with:
>Well I am pointing out a spade as a spade. <

Right on, but it has nothing to do with me.

>Ill ask again against my better judgement >since you are doing your
>best fred asatire.

And I will answer your question with integrity.

>What do you call people who sellmarket black >market amps,

How about...."Black market amp sellers"?

>who pay no taxes on I'll gotten gains, <

There you go off topic again letting your partiality obscure sense.

>who sell a product that is against federal laws. >A answer is what is
>needed not a song and dance.

Kind of how I felt when you failed to explain your erroneous comment
about how a Galaxy was reportedy (by you) illegal for a hammie to use on
ten meter.

>Compared to a storefront recognized dealer >that you can go to and not
>hide in the back alley to make a deal.

I made no such comparison, you did.
****
>No shit did I say you said that? your slip is >showing again.

Then why mention it?
And is there anything original you can mine?

>Abut buying from cb web page gurus online or >buying from a reputable
storefront who sells >FCC approved radios.
>If there is so much money to be made and >they are allowed to be sold
and used by hams, >why doesn't HRO or AES , Burkhardt, etc etc >or any
Big Ham dealer sell these radios?

Money? No one said anything of the such except you.
****
>No shit sherlock why do you sell something? >uh to make money,

You seem capable of providing your own answers to your own questions.

>Yes since you cannot I have to spell things out >for you, your usual MO
>is to twsit what is said >and you are doing a >fine job of that.

Spelling out is what Jerry apparently needs to do for you since you can't even comprehend what he writes. Matter of fact, the rest of can ignore you while you carry on a
conversation with yourself,

>Yes that is what is normally done<

Funny, Dave Hall did just that recently...talking to himself to give an illusion of helpfulness among all his hypocritical posts that caused his neck vein to bulge when his lies were shared, enjoyed and consumed by all.

>what do you care what please me?<

I don't.

>Answer the question Jr,<

I'm not JR.

>Didn't say you were JR, said you were Jr. your >lieing again.

As many typos as are usually in your posts, it wasn't a far-fetched mistake......adding on that..you are really stretching the envelope of originality, aintcha? (heehee)

Answer you WHAT? You didn't ask anything.

>I sure did go back and reread it<

I did. You said" Answer me this batman" but never actually asked a question.

>They are a front for the Xforce line of amps.<

Galaxy radios? Who conked you on the head?

>In fact Carriage
>Car@aol.com wash who used to post here >has become one of the owners.
>Amazing the info you find out in these CB >forums LOL

Why do you care? You appear at a loss of words, struggling for a response.

I find my pleasures not at the expense of others.
****
>Yes at your own hands right. Self gratification I >think they call that.

Gee, and keeping true to form, you lie like Dave Hall N3CVJ, your personal attacks and flames are of a sexual nature like Dogie NWWM AND Lelnad KC8LDO .....you really were hurt by my leaving your name out of my cb dog kennel. Let us look at Arrons infamous members.
So far we have Dave Hall N3CVJ who told us without inquiry of owning a cb hack shop with a sex pervert who he claimed is a good friend......we have Dogie N8WWM who has lost countless ISP's for flooding the group with sexually graphic porn spam, and we have Lelnad C Scott KC8LDO,, who

has made over 100 off-topic posts to this group concerning his fetish of handling younger men's jockstraps. Oh, and we now have Doc who was not only caught cowardly throwing punches from the dark, but lost his connection then had the temerity to lie about it.....none of y'all can ever get over yourselves..hahhahah!

Making inquiries of another man's underwear, shooting cbers, and insults about molesting children (such a matter is NO joke and one who would use such a topic as their choice of insult and flame troll bait is a scurvy degenerate) isn't exactly conducive to hammie radio.

Unfortunately, the bad elements are the ones that gain attention. It is the behavior exhibited such as this that is ruining hammie radio, and is of little wonder people such as this need another to point to for blame....."those garsh darn cbers make us degenerate lowlives call them all sorts of homo names cuz they deserve it fer braken laww". The fact that you have readily and vehemently defended all of the above when they have clearly been in the wrong, and are now beginning to make comments of a sexual nature yourself to another man....well, if it walks like a duck.....
It appears it isn''t cb and nocode status that this little fun group of yours has in common. Never could figure out why you and Hall would fall into Adair's and "Nad's nocode bullshit, but as of late it has become crystal clear.....each and everyone of you, and it appears ONLY limited to you losers named by arron, has a repeated fondess for cracks of a sexual nature. Deviant dogie adair came in here espusing such trash talk and you few took to him immediately...birds of a feather.

"Live and learn."
****
*
>Back to Movie trivia huh, it was "Live and let >die" James Bond Who sang the original theme >song?

Paul McCartney (I think).
Anyhooo...you are getting your posts confused again. I didn't say "Live and Learn".
He can use it on ssb
****
>He sure can, but that was never really even >mentioned.

Sure it was...by me in retort to your false claim that a hammie couldn't use it legally.
****
>he can't you just can accept that fact.

Still haven't checked that, huh?
That's cool. You're the only one on this group that believes that...guaranteed.

2515. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:33:51 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DE2973F.ADC34A26@ieee.org>
Source record: 145048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Keith wrote:
> Secret handshake....I had a good laugh over that one. Good
> grief...Nothing has ever been secret about morse code.

Yep it has, Mark. W5DXP and W5FQC were the only individuals in
Madisonville High School in the early 50's who knew Morse Code.
We used it quite often to send secret messages that our teachers
couldn't understand and hardly noticed. It was particularly useful
during exams and double dates.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2516. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 21:55:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aru67t$m1onn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145052

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDE647C.F3DD7DC5@mailcity.net...
>
> Actually you don't need to build in an id, every transmitter has a
> distinctive pattern to the leading and trailing edge of the
> waveform when keyed that can be identified just like a 'finger
> print'. The FCC has used this to identify illegal transmitters.

Yes, in the military we called it AIT, or Advanced ID Technique.
Unfortunately, you'd need special equipment to "read" the electronic
fingerprint of each individual radio, then also some way to store that
information on file. This is just a little more unwieldy than using a
digital code.

Tom

2517. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 22:05:44 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DE29EB8.469736A6@cwis.net>
Source record: 145053

manareyouafishhead,
You have two choices, keep using the dummy load, or find
an aternative antenna to an outside one. Of the two, finding
another antenna seems the 'best' way to go.
There are a number of options still open to you. One is to
use an antenna indoors. Another is to stick one out of a window
when in use, bring it back in when not in use. And almost any
thing your imagination can think up (within reason).
So, now it's up to you to decide what you can do (or get away
with).
'Doc

2518. Re: WTB:Service Manual for PRO 810E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 22:55:20 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125175520.19520.00000125@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 145054

I would like to buy one also

2519. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:00:38 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <zK6cnT_AmLoFNn-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145055

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125160809.19574.00000149@mb-fs.aol.com...
: Cmon group. Why cant I get you to agree on an antenna? Obviously, my
shop is
: not giving me good advice. So much for the new guy on the block. If you
read
: through the threads of "SWR Advice" you will see that several of you
: recommended a Francis Whip on a gutter mount - this after I had an SWR
problem
: with my K-40. Lets try this again. I have a 1995 GMC Conversion Van with
a
: fiberglass raised roof. I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a
Texas
: star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are
experts.

I gave you an antenna option that will handle the power and be of least problems a 102" whip or similar antenna monted on a ball mount with a spring you will need the spring for the 102 whip, the ball mount can be mounted to the side of vehicle, preferably the back corner either side, you can mount the mount as high as you want your antenna to stick out over your roof, it will provide a good ground plane since it is attached to the vehicle and wil be somewhat directional to the front of vehicle or the area towards where the most metal is. Radio Shck ball mounts work fine, put any type of antenna on it the taller the better. Does the van have a ladder on back? This is also an option.

2520. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:04:44 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <fyidnUZrx4IQMX-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145056

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:sqvE9.83670$g9.235580@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
: > You can be bothered if it reqiures being a smart ass. Did you learn that
: > from your new friend,george?
: >
:
: Were you always this childish and pathetic, or did it take a lot of hard
: work and practice to become the laughing stock of the NG??
:
: 73's & Cheers "Good Buddy"....

Martin, that I am sorry to say is par for the course for Steve, he at times can be a decent guy but has this chip on his shoulder at times, his best asset is his sometimes sarcastic responses to peoples question, I take it as he doesn't know much about the question so that is how how answers. Keep up the good work down under mate

73 OM

2521. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:06:43 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ML2dnWMzUMSZMH-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145057

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
news:uu54c8pqjm7028@corp.supernews.com...

Hey Dickhead how goes it?

2522. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:25:05 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <SjyE9.2193$l45.433593@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 145060

> hmm Funny original poster said
>
> " Okay don't laugh, but I have a thru-glass CB antenna on my little Jimmy.
I
> only really wanted it for truck-truck communication on off-road trails and
> it actually works well for that."
>
>
>
> :Groups, etc needing the communications. Its in some of the group rules
> that they have CB's.
>
> the original poster mentioned nothing about being in a group

I did say truck-truck communication. Obviously I wasn't alone. lol

> : I have even had them tell me that if they go North into Canada in the
> tundra, they should have a
> : radio that reaches at least 5 miles. Imagine the pressure. :)
>
> This has what to do with the guys question?

Not terribly much, but it's interesting to read at least. I'm appreciative
of any input...

2523. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:25:22 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211251525.4e9e00c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145058

jcooper874@webtv.net wrote in message
news:<7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> azz ?
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> click here

yes you can.....no doubt about it.....balun ring a bell???

2524. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:28:30 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <3nyE9.2197$l45.435290@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 145064

> One afternoon when you get into a "piddling" mood, Find a slender piece
of
> wire and replace the
> existing whip with that LONGER wire. Then begin trimming the wire in
small
> increments and see
> if that has any effect on SWR. If you know someone who has an MFJ 259
> Analyzer, you can
> measure the antenna and find where (or IF) the resonant frequency is.
Then
> you can trim (or add)
> wire as needed to find your desired frequency. I have one of those TG
> things for listening, and I
> discovered my whip was too SHORT. Mine resonated up in the 10 Meter ham
> band! I lengthen-
> ed the whip and all is well. Might work for you, too.

Hmm... Good idea. I didn't want to hack apart the existing antenna in hopes that it helps, but I guess a piece of coathanger wire would work well enough for a test piece.

2525. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:28:49 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211251528.4a35c6e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145059

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<ux4E9.96576$P31.50515@rwcrnsc53>...
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DE03D0C.1655217@cwis.net...
> > Deb,
> > Can you use it? Sure, but it won't be the best or
> > easiest kind of coax to use. Most coax for TV use has
> > aluminum shielding, which is very hard to solder to.
> > In most cases it isn't shielded very well either, depending
> > on the particular kind that you have. In the long run,
> > you would be better off using almost any kind of 50 ohm
> > coax.
> > 'Doc
>
> I agree Doc. I have had to repair more than one radio that has been used on
> TV Coax. So I just simply say no. To most laymen, that's the easiest thing
> to do.
>
> I recommend Mini 8, RG58, RG213 to my customers. Handling cable can get a
> little pricey with the shipping and fuel surcharges. Now, I don't like to
> put the PL259's on the RG213 or any of the bigger coax. I thought Mini 8
> was a God send since we build our own cables. I don't like the pre
> packaged stuff.
>
> RG8, I'm not familiar with RG6 is that also 50 ohm. See I am still open
> for information. Probably because I don't like to put those connectors on
> that big stuff. My poor old fingers don't work like they used to. Typing
> is a problem some mornings.
>
> We are usually talking about 18 foot runs for trucks. I steer most of my
> drivers away from the duels. Just too easy to be taken when they are on the
> road by others. Its expensive to maintain. I don't use RG58 in most cases
> unless I am repairing a Wilson 1000 and they want the original stuff or
> splicing a K40 (which I hate to do). I hate splicing coax.
>
> Thanks for the info. Its nice to talk to someone and not see that a helpful
> suggestion is getting flamed.
>
> Have a wonderful day.
>
> Deb

do you have any 1 5/8 hardline.????

2526. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:30:42 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <DRqdnewmVo0WL3-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145061

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211251525.4e9e00c8@posting.google.com...
> jcooper874@webtv.net wrote in message
news:<7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another dum
> > azz ?
> >
> > http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> > click here
>
> yes you can.....no doubt about it.....balun ring a bell???

Yes, but not that bell. Why would you use a balun here?

2527. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:30:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211251530.2b24890b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145062

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<nf-cnRqkwu6VYUKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>...
> <jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:7257-3DDFCE44-403@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > can you use tv coax to hook up your cb . i no another
> dum
>
>
> Contrary to what a few others have posted yes you can use 75 ohm coax
> to connect your CB to the antenna. Getting connectors to fit is
> another story. However you have to understand that using 75 ohm coax
> will automatically give you a 1.5 to 1 SWR even with a perfect 50 ohm
> antenna. If the antenna is not exactly 50 ohms your match will further
> deteriorate. Some Hams make use of surplus 75 ohm "hard line" used by
> the cable TV industry for interconnections at V/UHF. With a perfectly
> tuned antenna, which is easy over a small frequency span for weak
> signal work, the resulting 1.5 SWR is acceptable.
>
> If you want to get fancy you can build some impedance transformers to
> go between 50 and 75 ohms at each end of the coax. That would take
> care of the instant 1.5 SWR hit you get for not using them.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "

thanks mr bomb technician......you sir are very helpful.

2528. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:31:41 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <pRKdnb13EqRDL3-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145063

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull-
:
: >Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone >who is against Legal
: radio,
:
:
:
: Stop right there.

No you stop right there oh twisted One

: This has never been indicative of anyone here
Just because you say so twityhed doesn't make it true.

:except

always an exception isn't there.

: you girls and your lies

Oh what is this oh twisted one you claim others throw insults well look at
you mad hatter you are no better than those you carry a grudge agaisnt

:don't make it so.

Oh truer words were never spoken just because you say it doesn't ,make it
so.

: You and the other playpen clowns

Oh there you go again with the insults should you be taken with any
credibility if this is what you resort to

>never have a single example,

correct we had numerous examples thanks for pointing that out

> just multitudes of repeated tired old insults and generalities and
accusations,

Yes i see that is what you have resorted to insults and name calling. what a
hypocrite.

:but you are very quick

Yes i have been told.

> to attempt to perpetuate against another what you cry is unfairly,

yes you know exactly what you are doing crying about others and doing the same thing again... Hypocrite and Liar are a few names that come to mind when I think of you.

albeit falsely, being done to you.

what is falsley being done ? your efforts to contact ISP'

: >you don't turn others in for the same infractions hence the label of
: "Hypocrite" is >applied.
:
:
: Such as been done with several "hammies' lately..Dave Hall lied about
: everything and still is.....

> here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.

He may "have" been a criminal in the past, does that mean he still is? Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you should too.

> How much credibility do you actually think that carries?

What all your Lies? and hypocritical statements.

>Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved otherwise.

who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just because you say it doesn't make it so.

>As a matter of fact,

You don't speak any fact twity don't even go there

: N3CVJ, the Hypocrite Hall opened with:
: >Whether you like it or not, agree with it or not, >enjoy it or disdain
: it, operating a radio outside >the legal limits set forth in part 95, is
: a >violation of federal radio regulations.

Good sound advise on his part.

: So is owning an M200 according to you. Then, in a separate post, you
: claim it is an illegal amp marketed at cbers. Now, you attempt to defend
: your owning one as saying it is legal as you are a hammie....merely
: another in along line of self-contradictions and talking out both sdes
: of your patooie. I like these "crumbs" you speak of, Dave..they assist
: in illustrating your portrait quite accurately.

: "Criticisms yes, harassment no. I don't know if it's happened to you,
: but I have received some dozen or so virus infected e mails form some of
: those "peers" on here, personally I think that's going way beyond just a
: conversation."
:
:
: >Never from me, i have only sent 1 email to >someone in this group, but
: yet you publish >thing like report george to google, aol, >comcast,
: giganews why?

: >I tell you why because you don;t like what I >have to say.
:
:
:
:
:
: You do the same thing with your lies, boy George. You don't like what
: someone says or you disagree with their view, you take your attack to a
: personal level because you can't hold your own with an intelligent
: debate focusing on mere facts...you can't cite facts so you insult and
: flame...just like your loser frieneds.

Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at those he claims do it ... a
real American Hypocrite.
Twisty i only cite facts, just because the facts are those that you don't
agree with them has nothing to do with it being a lie. That word is your
favorite when your getting spanked you throw it around like the boy who
cried wolf.

: Yet another familiar trait of the trollup brigade (mostly Dave Hall and
: Lelnad's fave trick)..justify and downplay your own misdeeds by
: immediately pointing to another.

truth hurts huh twithed.

: >yet I have once seen them admit to it.

: Because your vision is skewered

No I see you for the fool that you are I have perfect vision.

and in the minorty, as are your heathen friends.

more twisty useless drivel
:
:
:
: >Again, your choice, as is others to break the >law and face it's
: consequences.
:
:
:
: You guys are of no consequence..never have been...hence the term
: "i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t" being appropriated.

Just because you say it twisty doesn't make it so, you have a fetish with
impotency I see. Are you on meds for it?

: >Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

: Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else connections to this group
: wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge
: stones.
:

:
:
:
: "The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group,
: started spouting the "Rules
: & Regulations",
: Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post
: they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than
: them
: to troll the group, as
: they are still doing to this very day."
:
:
: >They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped
: all over Mark fuller >and anyone who dares speak out against >illegal
: radio.
:
:
:
:
: I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I
: still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad
: and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.

More insults and lies... hypocrite

: >I wonder why Landwhale<

: Juvenile comes to mind.

Yes, I was thinking that as well, perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply
havent grown up or perhaps you did and you are retarted, you claim others
insult people then you do the whole post makes you wonder what is in the
water down there.

:
: >is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
: the foulest mouths in >the NG, wanna see some examples Mark?
:
:
:
: Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
: criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
: up his language?

Well according to you what Dave Hall did before should be held agaisnt him
now, which set of rules is it this time .... Jesus friggin Christ Twisty
YOU ARE A
HYPOCRITE!!!
!!!!!!!!!

: Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,

just because you say it doesnt make it so

: He claimed he learned since those days....

And I beleive he has as much as landwhale has so which set of rules is it I will ask again. the Hypocrite from Florida rules?

: "Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion,
: really bothers you doesn't it?"
:
:
:
: >Yes it does<

: Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us
: who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.

Wasn't talking about me snapperhead butyou knew that it is just another feeble attempt by you to snip my post and try and change the meaning. If you post the rest that you cut you will see I am not talking about me, you know that, it is just another twisty trick went bad

2529. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:33:52 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <CQWdnSLneuT8Ln-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145065

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DE29032-904@storefull-

: Vague references...nothing of substance....

Yes, that is the usual content of all your posts thanks for reminding us.

2530. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-25 23:52:51 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <Ba2cnZOJy7RJKn-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145066

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DE296B7-909@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: WA3MOJ came up with:
: >Well I am pointing out a spade as a spade. <
:
: Right on, but it has nothing to do with me.
:
: >Ill ask again against my better judgement >since you are doing your
: >best fred asatire.
:
:
: And I will answer your question with integrity.
:
:
: >What do you call people who sellmarket black >market amps,
:
:
: How about...."Black market amp sellers"?
:
:
: >who pay no taxes on I'll gotten gains, <
:
:
: There you go off topic again letting your partiality obscure sense.

How do you figure it is off topic, it was said by me in reply to you I brought it up in a previous post and you seem to have a problem answering that question. If a guy who pays no taxes on illegal items sold and profits and sells equipment that is deemed illegal by the Federal government is he a honest reputable person ? someone you would deal with with no reserve? Keep tap dancing fred.

: Kind of how I felt when you failed to explain your erroneous comment
: about how a Galaxy was reportedy (by you) illegal for a hammie to use on
: ten meter.

It sure is Illegal yet you have tried to claim I lied and offered no proof of your claim, just lip service remember. "just because you say it doesn't make it so"

:
:
: >Compared to a storefront recognized dealer >that you can go to and not
: >hide in the back alley to make a deal.
:

:
: I made no such comparison, you did.
: ******************************
: >No shit did I say you said that? your slip is >showing again.
:
:
: Then why mention it?

No first lets get back to you claiming, that I said you made the
comparision. another out right lie by you

I have to spell things out >for you, your usual MO
: >is to twsit what is said >and you are doing a >fine job of that.
:
:
:
: :
: >what do you care what please me?<
:
: :
: I don't.
:

You sure do or did you forget what you said? Ill post what you said just to
prove your a liar again...
"And this upsets or pleasures you why? So yes you are concerned about what
pleases me other wise you would not have made it a point of asking.
:
:

: >As many typos as are usually in your posts, it wasn't a far-fetched
mistake......

What did I expect but another excuse or lie from you . par for this course.

: Still haven't checked that, huh? That's cool. You're the only one on this
group that believes
: that...guaranteed.

Your right i dont expect a bunch of non hams to know Ham laws, you don't
even abide by your own operating rules.

2531. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 00:00:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LEyE9.9243$sT3.6584@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145068

SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca> wrote in message
news:3nyE9.2197$l45.435290@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > One afternoon when you get into a "piddling" mood, Find a slender piece
> of
> > wire and replace the
> > existing whip with that LONGER wire. Then begin trimming the wire in
> small
> > increments and see
> > if that has any effect on SWR. If you know someone who has an MFJ 259
> > Analyzer, you can
> > measure the antenna and find where (or IF) the resonant frequency is.
> Then
> > you can trim (or add)
> > wire as needed to find your desired frequency. I have one of those TG
> > things for listening, and I
> > discovered my whip was too SHORT. Mine resonated up in the 10 Meter ham
> > band! I lengthen-
> > ed the whip and all is well. Might work for you, too.
>
>
> Hmm... Good idea. I didn't want to hack apart the existing antenna in
hopes
> that it helps, but I guess a piece of coathanger wire would work well
enough
> for a test piece.
>

Also, if a hanger wire won't fit into the top of the coil, you can get a
short piece of wire from Home
Depot that will fit without "boogering" up the hole .

73

Jerry
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/02

2532. Re: Bar jokes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 00:01:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arudkh$ljcgh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

A guy walks into a bar, goes up to the bartender & says, "Give me a shot of whiskey & keep them coming. I just heard some bad news and I need to get drunk."

The bartender says, "What's the problem?"

The guy says, "I just found out that my older brother is gay."

The bartender says, "no problem," and sets him up until he gets toasted.

A few nights later, the same guy walks into the same bar & says, "Keep 'em coming, I got more bad news and I need to get drunk."

The bartender says, "What's the matter now?"

The guy says, "I just found out that my younger brother is gay."

The bartender hooks him up until he gets wasted.

A few nights later, the guy walks in again. "Keep 'em coming, I need to get drunk."

"What's the matter now?" asks the bartender.

"My mom caught my dad in bed with another man," replies the guy.

The bartender keeps the booze flowing.

A few nights later still, the guy straggles in. "You know the drill, keep 'em coming," he tells the bartender.

The bartender asks, "Look man, doesn't anyone in your family like women?"

The guy replies, "Yeah, my sister does."

2533. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 00:07:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu5eq8dbt3eab3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145069

Good point. In that case, one possibility might be a bad ground at the radio and the whole case might be hot as the ground at the antenna end could be well bonded to the frame of the vehicle.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message news:OqqcnbBf7cRK0nygXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uu2ceul1p6bhb6@corp.supernews.com...
> : You have RF in the shack. Likely, you have a poor ground (RF ground in
> any
> : case). What are you using for an antenna?
>
> Jim what if he is in a mobile?
>
>

2534. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 00:20:52 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <NoCdnQ6pZ__4I3-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145070

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu5eq8dbt3eab3@corp.supernews.com...
: Good point. In that case, one possibility might be a bad ground at the
: radio and the whole case might be hot as the ground at the antenna end
could
: be well bonded to the frame of the vehicle.
:
: 73 from Rochester, NY
: Jim

I think that may be his problem mobile antenna not getting good ground

73 OM

2535. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 00:46:25 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26131-3DE2C461-1228@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145072

How about this...Put a magnet mount with a 102 inch whip w/spring on vehicle outdoors,Run coax indoors..problem solved..Mark

2536. Cobra 2010 ; No Display!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 01:05:40 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <ENzE9.131813$NH2.9176@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145071

I bought a broke Cobra 2010 for fifty bucks. The display illuminates but no characters appear on the LCD. Does anyone have a service schematic for this model?

Thanks

2537. Re: Glassmount antenna tuning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 01:38:46 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <IYCdndh5QtMSTX-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145073

> Also, if a hanger wire won't fit into the top of the coil, you can get a
> short piece of wire from Home
> Depot that will fit without "boogering" up the hole .

Music wire (stainless steel) can be had from most hobby shops.
Don't cut it with wire cutters though, you'll break them.
Score with a file, then bend and snap.

2538. Re: will my cb get more watts useing 24 volts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 02:03:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tDAE9.31749$hK4.2755821@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145074

Thank god the fuse blew... if it didn't... you would be putting the entire
set in the trash.
Don't try that again... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"homelandcb" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:f163uukptcb2bdshs2fs1e5onl04bumsgr@4ax.com...
>
> what you mean blows up
> i trid it but the fuse blew out i think
> it was to many amps
>
> hey u have to take out the dog to mail me ok
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 18:53:21 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Yes, for a few milliseconds... until it blows.
>

2539. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 02:08:35 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DIAE9.31757$hK4.2756639@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145075

This may not be a harmonic problem... it could be RF getting onto the AC power.
What is your equipment list of your station that is having this problem? How much power are you running?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Which harmonic does it need to filter to stop the interference he causes to
> computers and stereos?

2540. Break web tv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 02:18:07 UTC
From: Tesla1055@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29632-3DE2D9DF-311@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145076

Hey group! Tesla waving a hand from Cleveland Ohio.

2541. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 03:18:53 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125221853.19510.00000142@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 145077

This seems to be my best bet. The van does have a ladder.

2542. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 04:27:17 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <95u5uu8jqlhfg5gt8of09h4e6rpo7jeboc@4ax.com>
Source record: 145079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2543. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 04:27:37 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <06u5uuomvf41gh3tttt6mg088rifk1056u@4ax.com>
Source record: 145080

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

2544. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 04:34:35 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <db26uuont58og9kv29jj8kh87km5t4cfsb@4ax.com>
Source record: 145081

On 25 Nov 2002 21:08:09 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:

>Cmon group. Why cant I get you to agree on an antenna? Obviously, my shop is
>not giving me good advice. So much for the new guy on the block. If you read
>through the threads of "SWR Advice" you will see that several of you
>recommended a Francis Whip on a gutter mount - this after I had an SWR problem
>with my K-40. Lets try this again. I have a 1995 GMC Conversion Van with a
>fiberglass raised roof. I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a Texas
>star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are experts.

Christ, just go with a 1/4 wave stainless steel whip. It'll handle
any power you're likely to run into it, and if you mount it properly,
it'll be grounded to the frame, eliminating most of your RF feedback
problems.

Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

2545. Re: fs-crusader 125 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 04:42:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211252042.202365ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145082

briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote in message
news:<45649fe1.0211251051.7a1b9c8f@posting.google.com>...
> $100 and it's dead!
> Come on...
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
> crd <buyused@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3DDFA577.32BEA0FA@hotmail.com>...
> > blue front panel with 4 switches and lights. Needs mrf450 stud mount
> > transisters. This is a base unit 115v. receive preamp works perfect but
> > no output. weighs approx 25lbs. Made in lebanon Tenn.
> >
> > $100

boy if tollfree could see this....

2546. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 06:09:01 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1eEE9.65342$8D.1564955@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145078

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:CQWdnSLneuT8Ln-gXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:3275-3DE29032-904@storefull-
>
> : Vague references...nothing of substance....
>
> Yes, that is the usual content of all your posts thanks for reminding us.
>
>
>

George, just for the record, who is 'us'?

2547. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 06:36:17 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <W2-dnemrwof8i36gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145083

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:1eEE9.65342

: George, just for the record, who is 'us'?

The rest of the group who else?

2548. OT: Two cows...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:01:53 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fbqak1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Two cows standing next to each other in a field,
Daisy says to Dolly "I was artificially inseminated this morning."
"I don't believe you," said Dolly.
"It's true, straight up, no bull!"
:~)

Regards,

Peter
--
Deja Moo: The feeling that you've heard this b*llsh*t before.

2549. Two antennas...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:01:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fdqak2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Two antennas meet on a roof, fall in love get married.
The ceremony was rubbish but the reception was brilliant.
:~)

Regards,

Peter.

2550. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:01:57 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7feqak3@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145087

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021124175054.242$3G@newsreader.com...
> "Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
> >> the best of friends will
> > turn against you if you are causing something they don't want to put up
> > with.
> >
> How would you know? You don't have any friends,punk.
>

Hi Mopar.

He is an expert in such fields.
If they gave out certificates for qualifications in "how to lose friends"
and "how to make enemies", he would have a drawer full of them.

But, I do agree that you have to be careful not to give the wrong
impression when helping a neighbour with such things.

Regards,

Peter.

2551. Re: You have RF in the shack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:01:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fhqak4@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145088

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu2ceul1p6bhb6@corp.supernews.com...
> You have RF in the shack.

How to tell when RF is in the shack...
1. The light switches on.
2. The floor starts to slowly rotate.
3. You get a warm feeling... from the inside.
4. As the light goes off, you hear a "ting" sound.
4. After that sound, the door opens and the
light goes on again.

;~)

Regards,

Peter.

2552. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:00 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fiqak5@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145089

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arli75$jlc55$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1037899675.739767@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Think about it :
> > A lot of CBers already have radios that will go there and if You
> > charge 10 or 15 bucks to take the test , The fcc makes money ,
>
> Umm... that's assuming that the CBer's already out there will be willing
> to plonk down money and take a test for something they're already doing.

More likely it will drive people away.

Obviously, some people still believe that all CBers are just
"Ham wannabees".
Let's make this clear for them...

NOT ALL CBers WANT TO BE AMATEURS.

And who will be driven away... those who use it for just "yakkin".
Do they really think that the truckers are interested in theories? They
just want to talk.

Start putting in extra requirements, fees to fulfill those requirements,
licence fees, etc... and you will just drive more people away from CB.

Regards,

Peter.

2553. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fjqak6@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145090
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"GLC1173" wrote in message
news:20021123135354.22107.00001205@mb-mh.aol.com...
> Richard wrote:
> >The original CB license cost money, $20 >IIRC. All they'd have to do is
> >charge for the CB+ license and it'd pay >for itself. And maybe even
> >make a few bucks for the FCC to use for >enforcement.
>
> You must be too young to remember the real reason CB
> licensing ended.
> Like the 55 mph "national" speed limit, it didn't end because Washington
> was feeling generous toward the peasantry - but because the peasantry
> just nearly all refused to obey it.

A few years back, the Radiocommunications Agency stopped making UK CB licences available in "Post Offices" across the country. They said that this cost too much, so they moved it to one central postal request address... SSL (Subscription Services Limited, still part of the Post Office).
That made matters worse... I wonder how many UK CBers know where they can get the licence from, or even that they need one?

The number of licence sales is now so low, that they are considering scrapping it.

Regards,

Peter.

2554. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:02 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7flqak7@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145091

"David S" <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
news:arjcdb01cht@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Here's what I'd like to see happen with the "CB" band. With the technology
> we have today, I'd like to see everyone get their license again.

Unless there are enough people willing to pay for something that has been
free for many years, the costs will be higher than the income.
Should the tax payer fund CB use?

> Built into every radio (and non-modifiable) should
> be a unit which broadcasts your call sign with each
> key. Not audible to us, maybe on a different frequency
> or very high beyond our ear's ability to hear.

I see a few problems...
1. Cost of radio. The cost of CBs would go up.
2. Admin. All radios would need to be registered. Departments
would need to be set up specifically to deal with codes and
registration of each radio. Each radio would need a document,
like a vehicle has, which is sent off to re-register the radio to
a new owner if sold.
3. Cost of admin. How much would this cost... whole departments
with staff there just to register every single CB in the country?
Who pays for this... the tax payer?
4. Old radios. Look at how old some of the radios out there are. It
would be at least 20 years before the system was much use.
4. Hacking. Let's face it, the FCC thought that the BCD PLLs were
"unmodifiable"... and even the UK ones are got around easily. There
will be hackers, getting into the systems and changing codes or
deleting
them. A CB not transmitting a code would have to be assumed to be
an old one.
4. Enforcement. How do you check that every radio is with it's
registered
owner, every radio has the correct code, every radio made after a
certain date still sends it's code?
You would need to approach it like with vehicles, random checks by
officers. Imagine it, police turning up at your door, pointing guns
at
you, "Please step out from the house. Show us your CB documents.".

Who would pick up the costs of all this admin and enforcement... the tax
payer?

> simple computers could pick up
> the codes, record the transmission, and store them for a short period of

> time, then without complaints...they could be overwritten.

Have you considered the size of such a job... monitoring all CB transmissions in America?
Who would pick up the costs of such a mammoth task... the tax payer?

If you think that CBers would be willing to pay a licence fee that would cover all that "big brother" activity... you are mistaken. If they were willing to pay just a small fee, the licence would not have been dropped in the first place.

Regards,

Peter.

2555. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:04 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7fnqak8@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145092

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0211220524.794fcfe6@posting.google.com....
>
> Ok, this is an interesting idea. I'll attempt a more reasoned look at
> this rather than some of the more caustic replies I've read thus far.

Caustic... on this group... surely not ;~)

> I agree with the return of licensing. With it, come accountability.
> Sure, there'll be naysayers that'll say "What guarantees they'll
> follow THESE rules?" The simple answer is NOTHING! Some will, some
> won't...thus is human nature. CB radio, amateur radio, or any other
> special interest group is just a microcosm of society at large.
> There's no Utopian answer. A licensing fee however might help fund
> increased enforcement.

It has not brought enforcement over here.
You only have to look back through the "bust" figures. Even back in the
80s, when CB was doing well here, out of 100s of thousands breaking CB rules
(very few were totally legal), the "bust" figure was not in the 5 or 6 digit
figures... think two digits - 20 to 30 per year.
If CBers complained about abuse, it was ignored.

Governments are great at taking your money for one service... then spending
it elsewhere. We have to pay high "road tax" charges for cars, yet the
roads are in a mess and we are being threatened with "tolls" for using the
roads that we have already paid to maintain. They want to tax us for the
roads, then charge us again when we actually use them.

Regards,

Peter.

2556. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:06 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7foqak9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145093

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Seeing how there are so many CBers using Freeband , 10mtrs and 12 mtrs .
The
> FCC should implement a CB+ license whereas the applicant is required to
take
> a electronic theory test , a procedures test , and a rf exposure test .

Such a move would make CB the same as Ham radio... with tests and licensing.
How about those who do NOT want to become Amateurs?

> Upon successful completion of this exam Give them from 27.500 mhz to
28.000
> mhz and 25.000 mhz to 26.500 mhz.
> Not only would this be a good introduction to ham radio ,

What about those who do not want to become Amateurs?

> It would also give
> Them the power to police Their own bands and have some recourse against
> quarmers and CB misfits .

Do Amateurs police their own band?
So, what was all that about Amateurs being busted for abusing radio
services.

> As for 11 mtrs , Let em have it . A CB plus license would set a whole new
> standard amongst CBers that honestly want to have decent and meaningful
> conversations on the air.

So, to have a "meaningful conversation", one has to have an understanding of
electronics and RF?
Anyone who does not understand these things is incapable of holding a
"meaningful conversation"... and CB should be restricted to technical
messages only... right?

It seems that you are trying to turn CB into another Amateur service. Those
who want such things will turn to Amateur for them, those who use CB are
just wanting to chat. Some use both, each for it's purpose.
Would you want to see CB disappear into Amateur radio, with the general
(non-technical) public being banned from using it?

Regards,

Peter.

2557. Re: Sex Mathematics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:12 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <arv7g0qak13@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145094

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arqn3i$583u$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Didn't work. first # was correct, but the age was off by 2 years.

Sure you did it right? It worked for me.
I tried it with several ages and number of times... and it worked.

Regards,

Peter.

2558. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 12:22:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE367A3.53D8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145099

vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308
>
> This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn
> DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module.

Just a point of clarification; 40 channel radios did not appear until
Jan. 1st, 1977, so it's not quite as old as 1973.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2559. Re: You have RF in the shack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 13:12:07 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126081207.06043.00000077@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 145096

Oh boy. I guess its good that I have a sense of humor.

>How to tell when RF is in the shack...
> 1. The light switches on.
> 2. The floor starts to slowly rotate.
> 3. You get a warm feeling... from the inside.
> 4. As the light goes off, you hear a "ting" sound.
> 4. After that sound, the door opens and the
> light goes on again.
>
> ;~)
>
>
>
>Regards,
>
>Peter.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2560. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 13:23:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE375DB.3180@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145100

Landshark wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE24903.3E66@worldlynx.net...
> > Much the same as when people flaunt illegal radio operation, >like a
> > badge of honor, yet complain to others' ISP's when >someone rains on
> > their parade.
>
> Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
> have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
> but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
> complains because someone said "don't call me
> illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
> like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
> foul language, BS Posts they report him.

That may be the case to you and a few others. But there are also those who can't seem to understand that taking a stand against something (ironically, in this case, breaking the law) is not a TOS violation, yet they seem to use that as some sort of threat to silence those who stand up to this nonesense.

> Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
> troll this group.

That may be a popular opinion, but there is no proof that this is the case.

> You can't lose your ISP unless there
> is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
> with the FCC.

Which is exactly why it was important for Twisty to somehow "prove" that I am using a different ISP, which would lend some credibility to his (However warped) opinion, that denouncing illegal activities is somehow a violation of ISP TOS. But since I am using the same ISP, I guess I haven't done anything wrong ;)

> So if someone lost there ISP, well
> they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
> not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.

Yes, that should be the case. But there are some who attempt to take it further. Maybe they share a different opinion on what is considered "spam", and what is considered "trolling"

>
> >
> >
>
> >
> > It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". Whether you >like it or
> > not, agree with it or not, enjoy it or disdain it, operating a >radio
> > outside the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a violation of >federal
> > radio regulations. You really need to come to terms with >this. If the
> > fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an issue with you >then
> > fine. But also note that just because you choose to thumb >your nose at
> > the government, and the FCC, does not immunize you >against criticism
> > from your peers, who may not share your anarchistic view of radio
> > hobbies.
> >
> >
>
> Criticisms yes, harassment no.

I've never "harassed" anyone. I don't recall Frank, or Aaron or any of
the more vocal opponents of illegal operations, "harassing" anyone
either. Most of the SPAM, and foul messages were posted from an
anonymous source. Many have blamed people like Doug and George for these
messages. But there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one
wished to be anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous
remailers or other tricks.

> I don't know if it's
> happened to you, but I have received some dozen
> or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers"
> on here, personally I think that's going way beyond
> just a conversation.

I would agree. I've only received a few E-mail messages of a "FYI"
nature. Nothing with any viruses in them.

>
> > I agree, it was much better then, when we could discuss the >technical
> > merits of various things (many of which are illegal), and >hopefully
> > educate people before they do things the wrong way. It's one thing to
> > operate a radio illegally, it's even worse when it's the R.F. equivilent
> > of the city landfill.
> >
> > But be that as it may, someone, and I don't remember who, >pushed the
> > issue away from one of courtesy, respect, and common >sense to illegal
> > vs. legal. Many of us were willing to overlook certain >indiscretions, if
> > they were responsible and careful of how they operated. But >if you're
> > going to force everyone to make a choice of whether to >ignore the rules
> > totally, or to follow them, I guess I had to side on the rule of >law.
>
> Again, your choice, as is others to break the law and face
> it's consequences. The issues got blown out when certain

> individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
> & Regulations", they knew when they did that it was now
> going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as
> they are still doing to this very day.

A lot revolves around the definition of "trolling". The classic
definition, that I've known for years, was that a troll starts a flame
war for no other reason then entertainment value. I'm not so sure that
those committed to operating within the law, are deliberately "trolling"
for solely entertainment. I truly believe that many of the "pro legal"
side genuinely believe in what they say, and are also equally bothered
that so many people seem to take a cavalier attitude when defying the
law. Many will continue to push on, hoping that either one of two things
will happen; A. that their words will sink in and cause some to rethink
their actions. Or B. that illegals get so frustrated with the fight,
that they leave.
The difference between a cause and trolling is a matter of perspective I
guess.

>
> > You can't expect to make a case for following some rules,
> > while ignoring
> > others, and not expect to be viewed as a hypocrite. On the > other hand, I
> > can't support a paradigm of anarchy. Rules were put here
> > for a reason.
> > It's irresponsible to ignore them. Such is the mess we're left > with.
> >
>
> Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules
> (to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do
> with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the
> majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts
> that are put up here.

I can't say who started what, and who is responsible for which foul
messages and harassment. All I can say is that I stand on my principles,
and will offer up my perspective, as I see it. As always, anyone is free
to disagree, and offer up counterpoints. I do find the efforts of those
who twist words and deliberately obfuscate meanings, to be somewhat
entertaining, and disproportionately consumptive on their parts,
considering the the actual relevance this newsgroup has on the real
world, and indicative of some serious mental issues. But I guess one has
to expect that from a hobby that attracts people from all walks of life.

>
> > > There is still a good group for CB
> > > discussion and technical advice that has not been >corrupted by the
> > > likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still >answer
> > > questions like in "the good old days" without all the >unwanted
> > > comments from those who don't agree with what is going >on.
> >

> > Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting
> > opinion,
> > really bothers you doesn't it?
>
> It might, but would you not agree calling people
> names, acusing them of child molesting and such
> (including what was said about Frank) is not a dissenting opinion, but
> harrasment?

I would call that childish, and sophomoric, and a sign of someone with
an inability to rationally discuss a topic.

> > > The only way this group
> > > will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended >purpose,
> >
> > And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't t>hink promoting
> > illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?
>
> Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps
> or export radio's is now wrong to talk about?

No, not at all. There is nothing wrong with discussing aspects of radio
that would be illegal on CB, if put into practice. But there's a
difference between discussing illegal aspects, and actively promoting in
a positive light.

>
> >
> > That's a hoot. A moderated newsgroup, that permits illegal > discussions
> > (And isn't that a violation of the "rec" catergory of usenet?), > but
> > filters out objections based on legal grounds. Don't hold
> > your breath
> > waiting for that one.
>
> Well if it's in the newsgroup charter, then it can be moderated,
> so his breathing maybe better than you think. I posted a number of groups
> that dealt with drugs, speeding, illegal gambling, car groups breaking
> federal EPA laws so they
> are there, and under Rec. As again for this group
> to be moderated, unless 2 to 3 individuals want to take the
> responsibility to check each message everyday, good luck.

I may be wrong then, but I once read that the "Rec" groups on usenet
were not to be used for any activities that were illegal. That's why
most of the bizzare and questionable subjects full under the "alt"
groups. Yes, even on the "rec" groups, there will be times that an
illegal topic comes up. But it's not to be the main focus of the group.
Plus, I don't know who has responsibility to make sure that all the
groups are conforming.

I agree that being a newsgroup moderator is not a fun job. Besides being
a lot of work, you will ultimately be accused of bias from the first

person who's messages were deleted, which will then call into question the moderator's objectivity when applying the group charter and policy. I used to be a SYSOP of a dial up BBS, 10 years ago, before the internet took off, and I had to deal with these issues then. It's not fun.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2561. Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 13:26:14 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145095

Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the computer?

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

2562. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 13:26:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021126082657.02988.00000163@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145097

just because a bunch of cb'ers have a newsgroup access doesnt make them
experts. you have to do what we've done over the years and thats to try and
test different antennas for yourself and see which works best in your
situation. if you have a metal roof i reccomend a wilson 5000

>I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a Texas
>star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are experts.
>
>

2563. Re: new cb question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 14:18:40 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126091840.25566.00000102@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 145101

What is your neighbor 2 houses down using? He should have the same neighborhood contract.

2564. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 14:46:00 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <IOLE9.145482$1O2.10393@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 145102

I'll second that idea. Hard to beat that arrangement!

"KAXN-9546" <ab2hr@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:db26uuont58og9kv29jj8kh87km5t4cfsb@4ax.com...
> On 25 Nov 2002 21:08:09 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:
>
> >Cmon group. Why cant I get you to agree on an antenna? Obviously, my
shop is
> >not giving me good advice. So much for the new guy on the block. If you
read
> >through the threads of "SWR Advice" you will see that several of you
> >recommended a Francis Whip on a gutter mount - this after I had an SWR
problem
> >with my K-40. Lets try this again. I have a 1995 GMC Conversion Van
with a
> >fiberglass raised roof. I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a
Texas
> >star DX 400. What antenna does this NG reccomend? Cmon, you are
experts.
>
>
> Christ, just go with a 1/4 wave stainless steel whip. It'll handle
> any power you're likely to run into it, and if you mount it properly,
> it'll be grounded to the frame, eliminating most of your RF feedback
> problems.
>
> Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
> SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
> 607-733-5745
> telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

2565. Re: Two antennas...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 14:59:24 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211260659.60171daa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<arv7fdqak2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> Two antennas meet on a roof, fall in love get married.
> The ceremony was rubbish but the reception was brilliant.
> :~)
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

whew!!!!!!!!!!

2566. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 15:19:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hiME9.67850$8D.1614498@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145098

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com...
> Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the
computer?
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey

I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight Stewart from all groups he used to frequent. Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google search for recent posts from both, and you'll find they both came up missing the same time.

2567. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 15:30:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu74tpief5vqfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Apparently there is more than just the freeband that the FCC is ignoring.
Their interest is in big money (broadcast companies).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> could have hid out for so long, without the help
> and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
>
> Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
>
> Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
>
> One down - MORE to go....
>
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
> A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> officials
> led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
>
> Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
>
> ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> residence, but he declined to elaborate.
> Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,

> pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.
>
> York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> with North Carolina authorities.
>
> Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> times.
>
> Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> the federal government drew the attention of the
> Federal Communications Commission.
>
> The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> action.
> Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
>
> According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> included him in a series on hate.
>
> The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> more violence in his radio show. For public
> safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
>
> Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> message you can't get away forever.''
>
>

>
>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> >
>
>

2568. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 15:44:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hFME9.527$qk4.32939036@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145105

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DE375DB.3180@worldlynx.net...
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE24903.3E66@worldlynx.net...
> > > Much the same as when people flaunt illegal radio operation, >like a
> > > badge of honor, yet complain to others' ISP's when >someone rains on
> > > their parade.
> >
> > Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
> > have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
> > but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
> > complains because someone said "don't call me
> > illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
> > like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
> > foul language, BS Posts they report him.
>
> That may be the case to you and a few others. But there are also those
> who can't seem to understand that taking a stand against something
> (ironically, in this case, breaking the law) is not a TOS violation, yet
> they seem to use that as some sort of threat to silence those who stand
> up to this nonesense.
>

Well good luck, but again, unless you do something to
violate TOS you can't lose your ISP.

>
>
> > Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
> > troll this group.
>
> That may be a popular opinion, but there is no proof that this is the
> case.
>

Each to their own, but you hold a poll and you will find
that most believe & know that he is a made up persona.

>
> > You can't lose your ISP unless there
> > is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
> > with the FCC.
>

> Which is exactly why it was important for Twisty to somehow "prove" that
> I am using a different ISP, which would lend some credibility to his
> (However warped) opinion, that denouncing illegal activities is somehow
> a violation of ISP TOS. But since I am using the same ISP, I guess I
> haven't done anything wrong ;)
>
>

You could've changed ISP's, upgraded your service.
Decided to go with DSL or Cable a # of reason could
be available.

> > So if someone lost there ISP, well
> > they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
> > not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
>
> Yes, that should be the case. But there are some who attempt to take it
> further. Maybe they share a different opinion on what is considered
> "spam", and what is considered "trolling"
>

I guess you don't read many post here or you are very
selective on what you read.

> >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". Whether you >like it or
> > > not, agree with it or not, enjoy it or disdain it, operating a >radio
> > > outside the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a violation of
>federal
> > > radio regulations. You really need to come to terms with >this. If the
> > > fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an issue with you >then
> > > fine. But also note that just because you choose to thumb >your nose
at
> > > the government, and the FCC, does not immunize you >against criticism
> > > from your peers, who may not share your anarchistic view of radio
> > > hobbies.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Criticisms yes, harassment no.
>
> I've never "harassed" anyone.

I never said you did, nor do I think you do.

>I don't recall Frank, or Aaron or any of the more vocal >opponents of
illegal operations, "harassing" anyone
> either.

Well again selective reading I guess. As for Frank, no
he doesn't really harass others, but Aaron is trolling as
is 1 or 2 others.
Question: If Twistedhed responded to practically
everyone of your posts, called you names, accused
you of almost everything you could think, used foul,
language what would you call that?

>Most of the SPAM, and foul messages were posted from an
> anonymous source. Many have blamed people like Doug >and George for these
messages.

Well Dave this again you are being selective on what you
read. Practically every message to me by one of those
people uses obscenities. As for Doug, the proofs been
there for a long time, you just don't want to admit it I
guess, but each to his own.

> But there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one
> wished to be anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous
> remailers or other tricks.
>
>

Agreed, when using anonomous remailers. But do a Dejanews
search on "Snapperhead" in Rec.Radio.Cb only and see what
you come up with.

> > I don't know if it's
> > happened to you, but I have received some dozen
> > or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers"
> > on here, personally I think that's going way beyond
> > just a conversation.
>
> I would agree. I've only received a few E-mail messages of a "FYI"
> nature. Nothing with any viruses in them.
>
> >

There was on day that Norton caught it some 9 times
trying to be sent to me. I forwarded it to Yahoo and
the account was closed, at least for mail purposes.

>hey do things the wrong way. It's one thing to
> > > operate a radio illegally, it's even worse when it's the R.F.
equivilent
> > > of the city landfill.
> > >
> > > But be that as it may, someone, and I don't remember who, >pushed the
> > > issue away from one of courtesy, respect, and common >sense to illegal
> > > vs. legal. Many of us were willing to overlook certain >indiscretions,
if
> > > they were responsible and careful of how they operated. But >if you're

> > > going to force everyone to make a choice of whether to >ignore the
rules
> > > totally, or to follow them, I guess I had to side on the rule of >law.
> >
> > Again, your choice, as is others to break the law and face
> > it's consequences. The issues got blown out when certain
> > individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
> > & Regulations", they knew when they did that it was now
> > going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as
> > they are still doing to this very day.
>
> A lot revolves around the definition of "trolling". The classic
> definition, that I've known for years, was that a troll starts a >lame
> war for no other reason then entertainment value. I'm not so >ure that
> those committed to operating within the law, are deliberately >trolling"
> for solely entertainment.

That's one definition, another is to continue to fan the
flames. What if I showed you one person was on here
praising me, Tim, Mopar and others and the use of linears, then all of a
sudden, it now wrong.

> truly believe that many of the "pro legal"
> side genuinely believe in what they say, and are also equally bothered
> that so many people seem to take a cavalier attitude when defying the
> law. Many will continue to push on, hoping that either one of two things
> will happen; A. that their words will sink in and cause some to rethink
> their actions. Or B. that illegals get so frustrated with the fight,
> that they leave.
> The difference between a cause and trolling is a matter of perspective I
> guess.
>
> >

Agreed. But lets face it, some that are trolling here and
yes they are trolling, started out with maybe good intentions,
but have found it more fun to be a troll. Doug even said at
the begining, he could flame with the best, so he's a troll
among a couple of others.

> > > You can't expect to make a case for following some rules,
> > > while ignoring
> > > others, and not expect to be viewed as a hypocrite. On the > other
hand, I
> > > can't support a paradigm of anarchy. Rules were put here
> > > for a reason.
> > > It's irresponsible to ignore them. Such is the mess we're left > with.
> > >
> >
> > Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules
> > (to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do

> > with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the
> > majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts
> > that are put up here.
>
> I can't say who started what, and who is responsible for which foul
> messages and harassment. All I can say is that I stand on my principles,
> and will offer up my perspective, as I see it. As always, anyone is free
> to disagree, and offer up counterpoints. I do find the efforts of those
> who twist words and deliberately obfuscate meanings, to be somewhat
> entertaining, and disproportionately consumptive on their parts,
> considering the the actual relevance this newsgroup has on the real
> world, and indicative of some serious mental issues. But I guess one has
> to expect that from a hobby that attracts people from all walks of life.
>

Agreed. I do like your saying of :
"considering the the actual relevance this newsgroup has on the real world"

> >
> > > > There is still a good group for CB
> > > > discussion and technical advice that has not been >corrupted by the
> > > > likes of these people, where all the "good" technicians still
>answer
> > > > questions like in "the good old days" without all the >unwanted
> > > > comments from those who don't agree with what is going >on.
> > >
> > > Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting
> > > opinion,
> > > really bothers you doesn't it?
> >
> > It might, but would you not agree calling people
> > names, acusing them of child molesting and such
> > (including what was said about Frank) is not a dissenting opinion, but
> > harrasment?
>
> I would call that childish, and sophomoric, and a sign of someone with
> an inability to rationally discuss a topic.

And you still don't see the posts that are trolling?
>
> > > > The only way this group
> > > > will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended >purpose,
> > >
> > > And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't t>hink
promoting
> > > illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?
> >
> > Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps
> > or export radio's is now wrong to talk about?
>
> No, not at all. There is nothing wrong with discussing aspects of radio

> that would be illegal on CB, if put into practice. But there's a
> difference between discussing illegal aspects, and actively promoting in
> a positive light.
>

You didn't see the post a month or so back when someone
was asking about an antenna. It was suggested to get a
Wilson 5000 over the K40 because it could take more
watts. Immediately a couple of those people started throwing
out accusations about people corrupting them into buying
linears, because why would someone need an antenna
that takes that many watts.

> >
> > >
> > > That's a hoot. A moderated newsgroup, that permits illegal >
discussions
> > > (And isn't that a violation of the "rec" catergory of usenet?), > but
> > > filters out objections based on legal grounds. Don't hold
> > > your breath
> > > waiting for that one.
> >
> > Well if it's in the newsgroup charter, then it can be moderated,
> > so his breathing maybe better than you think. I posted a number of
groups
> > that dealt with drugs, speeding, illegal gambling, car groups breaking
> > federal EPA laws so they
> > are there, and under Rec. As again for this group
> > to be moderated, unless 2 to 3 individuals want to take the
> > responsibility to check each message everyday, good luck.
>
> I may be wrong then, but I once read that the "Rec" groups on usenet
> were not to be used for any activities that were illegal. That's why
> most of the bizzare and questionable subjects full under the "alt"
> groups. Yes, even on the "rec" groups, there will be times that an
> illegal topic comes up. But it's not to be the main focus of the group.
> Plus, I don't know who has responsibility to make sure that all the
> groups are conforming.

Just do a group search: Rec.Drug and see what you
come up with.

>
> I agree that being a newsgroup moderator is not a fun job. Besides being
> a lot of work, you will ultimately be accused of bias from the first
> person who's messages were deleted, which will then call into question
> the moderator's objectivity when applying the group charter and policy.
> I used to be a SYSOP of a dial up BBS, 10 years ago, before the internet
> took off, and I had to deal with these issues then. It's not fun.
>

Nope, it not fun, it's a job IMHO

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

2569. Re: You have RF in the shack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 15:56:25 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021126105625.22107.00002029@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 145106

I'm beginning to get a smile....thanks Peter!
>From: "Brainbuster" >brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net
>How to tell when RF is in the shack...
> 1. The light switches on.
> 2. The floor starts to slowly rotate.
> 3. You get a warm feeling... from the inside.
> 4. As the light goes off, you hear a "ting" sound.
> 5. After that sound, the door opens and the light goes on again.
> ;~)
>Regards,
>Peter.

"Got RF?"

2570. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 16:13:54 UTC
From: "A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <65NE9.104648$Em.32583242@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 145107

Maybe they're out Christmas shopping for a new linear???

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hiME9.67850$8D.1614498@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com...
> > Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the
> computer?
> >
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
>
>
> I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight Stewart from all groups he used
> to frequent. Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google search for recent
posts
> from both, and you'll find they both came up missing the same time.
>
>

2571. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 16:39:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu78u5ra7g7f9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145108

;-)))))))

I love it .... :-)

ROTFLMAO

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:65NE9.104648$Em.32583242@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Maybe they're out Christmas shopping for a new linear???
>
> A.E. 352
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>
>

2572. FA: Early Knight Walkie Talkies KG-4000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 17:05:11 UTC
From: Dave <dave@ragsdale.net>
Message-ID: <as09k9$7b3$1@cscnews.csc.calpoly.edu>
Source record: 145109

Early set of knight kit CB walkie talkies, model KG-4000 in excellent
condition with original boxes and accessories:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1940993282

--
Dave Ragsdale
dave@ragsdale.net

2573. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 17:45:41 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29980-3DE3B345-1032@storefull-2193.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145110

Funny!,I was kinda thinking "they" were checked in at the local state hospital for their annual evaluation....Mark ps Happy Thanksgiving!!!

2574. Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 18:18:55 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <xWOE9.2825$6K1.78475@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145111

Hello all

Bit of help needed please, I am going to buy a new rig for when I am out in Florida next month but I am not sure what I need.

I was thinking of a small Cobra but it doesnt appear to have ssb, do I need ssb?

Can someone please help, thank you.

Kind Regards

Stuart

---
www.Villas4all.com - Orlando Villa Rentals
www.Teamhosts.com - A New League In Hosting

2575. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 18:58:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29230-3DE3C46D-387@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145114

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull- >Bullshit, you are looking to silence
anyone >who is against Legal radio,

Stop right there.

>No you stop right there oh twisted One

Truth has always been your enemy.... to be snipped and clipped by you,
but never works.
This has never been indicative of anyone here

>Just because you say so twityhed doesn't >make it true.

I didn't say it, YOU did..YOU claimed people here try to silence legal
advocation of radio but as always, can't cite anything,,,anything at all
to back what YOU say. Now, before you get confused and bedeviled again,
try and remember what you say..reminding you of it is akin to schooling
hammies like yourself and Lelnad and Hall and Doc on the finer merits of
the art of communication, for which you have all demonstrated a
preponderance of failure at....none of you were ever taught what
etiquette is let alone how to dispense it and mind your own P's and Q's.
Much of it can be attributed to y'alls upbringing, or lack of.

except
you girls and your lies

>Oh what is this oh twisted one you claim >others throw insults

It's not a claim..it's the bare truth.

>well look at you mad hatter<

I don't get mad at artificial worlds and I certainly don't wear a
hat...I have a complete thick head of hair....185 pounds of twisted
steel and sex appeal, but then again, that may be what attracts you and
Hall and Lelnad to me like a moth to flame...y'all share a divine
penchant for same-sex satiations and comments concerning strangers based
on their cb views...hahah..talk about twisted!!!

> you are no better than those you carry a >grudge agaisnt

I carry no grudge......anything from me is return fire from you losers
that drew first blood. Always has been, always will be.

>Oh truer words were never spoken <

Exactly.

>just because you say it doesn't ,make it so. <

Correct..that's why I always manage to support my posts with proof,,such as the ones where Doc lied...Hall lied, Lelnad forged and lied, you lie.....I say again...amazing what this lil ol cb thing can do in the manner of causing catastrophic psychological breakdowns in poor azzed hammies like yourself and those named who no one will asscociate or claim.

Again,,,,,,the entire truth.
You and the other playpen clowns

>Oh there you go again with the insults <

The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You sound like a woman with your whining.

>should you be taken with any credibility if this >is what you resort to

Hhahaha..what I "resort" to is whupping your azzes with your own game. You gys had no clue the rock you were overturning..like usenet..you can't take it back (roaring with laughter)!

>correct we had numerous examples thanks for >pointing that out

You're welcome..but pointing such things out takes no real effort....you shuold thank those that made the posts that self-contradict...toh, wait a sec..you already DO talk to yourself...so does Hall..hhhahahah!

You hurl multitudes of repeated tired old insults and generalities and accusations,

>Yes i see that is what you have resorted to >insults and name calling. what a hypocrite.

Return fire and it is burning you fast.
You are very quick
to attempt to perpetuate against another what you cry is unfairly,

>yes you know exactly what you are doing

Sure I do. Wrapping you up and presenting you giftwrapped and there ain't a damn single thing you or your impotent clowns can do about it....yuk yuk yuk!!!

>crying about others and doing the same thing >again...

Poor boy George...really reaching aren't you, girl? Hypocrite and Liar are a few names that come to mind when I think of you, Lelnad and Hall and Doc.

>what is falsley being done ? <

Your same old same old lies concerning personal untruths of whoevers view you disagree with.

>your efforts to contact ISP' <

.worked very well,,,thankyouverymuch! In addition, you are the only one crying about it for the fifth day in a row, great to know it really struck a nerve with you. I'll keep it up whenever I can.

>you don't turn others in for the same >infractions hence the label of

I don't turn anyone in who doesn't harass me. AS sharkie noted, if your losers would obey the rules of their ISP, whatever I complain about is irrrelevant..hahhahahhaha! Poor Boy George.

"Hypocrite" is applied...such as been done with several "hammies' lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is.....
here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.

>He may "have" been a criminal in the past, >does that mean he still is?

Sure he is..a liar too.

>Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you >should too.

Then he wouldn't be defending amps he claimed were illegal only 2 weeks ago, but, alas, he did, so your attempt at lawyering for him is null. How much credibility do you actually think that carries?

>What all your Lies? and hypocritical >statements.

Hall's self-contradictions. The contingency has noted he talks out both sides of his azz/mouth without any assistance from me or you.
Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved otherwise.

>who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just >because you say it doesn't make it so.

Paul is Doc.......you are correct....it doesn't matter what I say,,
Paul is the one that said the server was down (need to see it again?)....after all, how could he know I am in contact with someone around the corner from him? See,,I have hammie friends all around...it's exactly how Lelnad was caught trying to pathetically be heard on the freeband jamming me..how else do you think we know he has his call on his plate? Hammies hammies everywhere and nuthin' you can do about it!!
Anyways, it was an honest mistake on Doc's behalf, but was borne of a malicious and intentional lie. It was very easy to show he is a lying sob just like you and Lelnad and Hall....hahahaha..it was PAULS word that was a lie,,,,,,I just happened to show it with an email that his server works just fine..what I say doesn't matter at all..the proof is in the email.
Now go lay down again and try to stop drooling on my shoe.
As a matter of fact,

>You don't speak any fact twity don't even go >there

Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt

them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies. It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie radio. All facts.
You can sit here and fill the group with your hate that consumes all 3 of you and comment and flame me all you want.....it changes nothing concerning your own actions.

N3CVJ, the Hypocrite Hall opened with:
>Whether you like it or not, agree with it or not, >enjoy it or disdain >it, operating a radio outside the legal limits set >forth in part 95, is a violation of federal radio >regulations.

>Good sound advise on his part.

So is owning an M200 according to you. Then, in a separate post, you claim it is an illegal amp marketed at cbers. Now, you attempt to defend your owning one as saying it is legal as you are a hammie....merely another in along line of self-contradictions and talking out both sdes of your patooie. I like these "crumbs" you speak of, Dave..they assist in illustrating your portrait quite accurately.

Whassamatter BOY GEORGEY?
Is it illegal or isn't it? You can't even give a straight answer concerning Galaxy ownership because of your ignorance in hammie education, how could I possibly expect you to know if Dave HaLL n3cvj's Davemade is legal.
Owwwww..I feel goooood!

"Criticisms yes, harassment no. I don't know if it's happened to you, but I have received some dozen or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers" on here, personally I think that's going way beyond just a conversation."

>Never from me, i have only sent 1 email to >someone in this group, but >yet you publish thing like report george to >google, aol, comcast, giganews why?
>I tell you why because you don;t like what I >have to say.

You do the same thing with your lies, boy George. You don't like what someone says or you disagree with their view, you take your attack to a personal level because you can't hold your own with an intelligent debate focusing on mere facts...you can't cite facts so you insult and flame...just like your loser frieneds.

>Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at >those he claims do it .

Claim? No my son,,,it is no claim..it is a plain simple facts backed by y'alls posts....it's why I love usenet..you girls can't take it back.

>. a
>real American Hypocrite.

Cool sig........maybe I'll attach it to your call.

>Twisty i only cite facts,<

Facts are true statements backed by proof. You have never ever put forth anything but your miserable personal problems...nothing more. ...all vague bullshit from the bullshit meister of rec.radio.cb..hahhahahha! Just your personal feelings and insults. Over 2 years ago I made the correct observation that certain hammies were unable to separate their emotions from logic and you and Nad and Hall epitomize this handicap among those who are allegedly licensed for that of which they possess the greatest deficit.

> and just because the facts are those that you >don't agree with them has nothing to do with it >being a lie.

You have no facts, boy George...everyone knows you are washed up and tired...hell, most don't even read your posts, evidenced by your excessive morphing......you have a serious comprehension deficit and attention problem that clamors for notice...acccording to Hall, this behavior stems from your childhood.

> That word is your favorite when your getting >spanked you throw it around like the boy who >cried wolf.

Gee, Boy George, ...you appear angry.
Don't worry, we won't take your big red bow off your head yet.
Yet another familiar trait of the trollup brigade (mostly Dave Hall and Lelnad's fave trick)..justify and downplay your own misdeeds by immediately pointing to another.

>truth hurts huh twithed.<

It must..you're howling like a coon dog.

>yet I have once seen them admit to it. <

Because your vision is skewered and they muzt be caught in a lie as you losers admit nothing.

>No I see you for the fool that you are I have >perfect vision.

Really? Extend it to your spellcheck so you don't appear as a juvenile with ADD, Parkinsons, and Tourettes Syndrome.

>Again, your choice, as is others to break the >law and face it's >consequences.

You guys are of no consequence..never have been...hence the term "i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t" being appropriated.

>Just because you say it twisty doesn't make it >so,

Oh? And what "consequence" do you speak of? Hhahahahahahahahha...boy George...you have no consequences..you are i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t as Lelnad .

> you have a fetish with impotency I see.

I thought you said you had clear vision....the "fetish" is with Lelnad KCLDO and his posts concerning younger men's underwear.
Laughing at your impotency does not constitute a fetish...as another matter of fact, there would be nothing to laugh about if you girls had a clue or were effective in any of your endeavors, but seeing how y'all are magnificent failures at hammies and human beings,,,,,,,it makes it all worth while to watch you dogs squirm on the floor.

>Are you on meds for it?

You claimed "consequences" for us......failing to produce as usual, highlights the impotency I speak of.

>Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else
connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge stones.
Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.

"The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
& Regulations",

Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them
to troll the group, as
they are still doing to this very day."

>They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped >all over Mark fuller and anyone who dares >speak out against illegal radio.

I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.

>More insults and lies... hypocrite<

No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..I certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....y'all came to me.....as always..I just sit back and relax and y'all do it to yourselves oh so much better than anyone else ever could :)

>I wonder why Landwhale<

There you go again.....insults for no reason other than you don't like what he says.
Juvenile comes to mind.

>Yes, I was thinking that as well,<

So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?

> perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply >havent grown up or perhaps you did and you >are retarted,

Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.
Check your spell check, Boy wonder.

.
> you claim others insult people then you do <

>Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the >kitchen, boy Georgey
wa3moj.

>the whole post makes you wonder what is in >the water down there.

Making you wonder is no feat.

>is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
>the foulest mouths in the NG, wanna see >some examples Mark?

Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
up his language?

>Well according to you what Dave Hall did >before should be held agaisnt
him now,

I said nothing of the sort. That is 5 false attributions you attempted
but failed with in this thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce
anything but words.....nothing..nada..not one iota of anything that
can back any of your lies.
hahahahhhaaha!.

> which set of rules is it this time ..... Jesus >friggin Christ
Twisty YOU ARE A
>HYPOCRITE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>!!!!!!!!!!

Then show something of substance instead of crying crocodile tears to
usand howling ike a school marm.

Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,

>just because you say it doesnt make it so<

Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he
learned since those days but self-contradicts his own posts from two
weeks ago..complete and polar opposite of what he posted a mere two
weeks ago,,this makes him a liar, con man, lawbreaker, and of cource,
your fave....a hypocrite.
...

>And I beleive he has as much as landwhale

As much WHAT?
Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you but
return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not
laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down
your sorry throats.

>has so which set of rules is it I will ask again.

Ask what?

>the Hypocrite from Florida rules?

Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or words.

"Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?"

>Yes it does<

Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.

>Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <

Sure it was...YOU said it.

>butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my post and try and >change the meaning.

Look at the posts again, boy Georgey..you are the guilty "snipper" head, not I...ahahahahaha!.

>If you post the rest that you cut you will see I >am not talking about me, you know that, it is >just another twisty trick went bad

Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need not make up anything..merely use y'alls own posts to consistently and constantly remind newbies that the members of AArons pets are not to be trusted. Y'all made your own bed and now you are forced (as in have no choice) to lay in it and stink.

2576. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 19:06:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE3C620-962@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145115

From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com...
Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the
computer?
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

>I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight >Stewart from all groups he
used to frequent. >Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google >search for
recent posts from both, and you'll >find they both came up missing the
same time.

He didn't croak, did he?
Come to think of it, it IS one of you guys in Texas that had him as
their selection in the dead pool. Anybody wanna cop to it?

2577. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to m...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 19:07:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE3C68A-964@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145113

From: timnebo@aol.com10-102 (Colonel Killaman)
just because a bunch of cb'ers have a newsgroup access doesnt make them experts. you have to do what we've done over the years and thats to try and test different antennas for yourself and see which works best in your situation. if you have a metal roof i reccomend a wilson 5000

>I have a Galaxy DX 959 with a D-104. I have a >Texas star DX 400. What antenna does this >NG reccomend? Cmon, you are experts.

That is a great match.

2578. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 19:10:10 UTC
From: William J Wickstrom <surgesound@wideopenwest.com>
Message-ID: <A9ucnUoWYPiEWn6gXTWc2Q@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 145112
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Oh BROTHER!!!!
"Murray Neece" <radioranch@qth.com> wrote in message
news:3DDF9E96.EB26F7E1@qth.com...
> Well said Chuck.... I second the motion!!
>
> "Chuck C." wrote:
>
> > Why would someone actually BELIEVE that a SOFTWARE trojan horse (virus)
can
> > be intalled in a ANALOG piece of equipment???
> >
> > I am an Electronics Technican and the only actual concern for a CB, is
that
> > the manufacturer can (and likely will) FILTER the CB freqs applied to
the
> > Linear Amp, making it not useful.
> >
> > If you want real power ! Get your Amateur Radio License ! It is EASY
and
> > you have MUCH MORE POWER and 1000% better equipment to use.
> > Up to 1500 watts of LEGAL transmit power ! Actually TALK to people, not
> > listen to static and rude abnoxious people !!!
> >
> > If you have questions about Amateur Radio licensing, e-mail me direct
and I
> > will help you all I can.
> >
> > Chuck - KD4REL
> >
> > <foxyie@inwind.it> wrote in message
> > news:fpux9.120210$r7.2192980@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > Why would a group care what he FCC does or does not do? This email is
> > plain
> > > stupid and would only work on a really stupid CBer who likes to pick
up on
> > > rumors....
> > >
> > >
> > > "Deos" <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr...
> > > > why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,
> > > >
> > > > --

> > > > http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
> > > > "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > > > > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the
> > spread
> > > > > of
> > > > > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers
and
> > > > > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section
of
> > > > > transceivers operating out of band.
> > > > >
> > > > > The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and
trigger a
> > > > > device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage
pulse
> > > > > type
> > > > > signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front
end
> > of
> > > > > the
> > > > > receiver.
> > > > >
> > > > > Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
> > > devices
> > > > > which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
> > > > >
> > > > > Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing
> > amplifiers
> > > > > online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
> > > > indicates
> > > > > the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will
> > perform
> > > > > it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think
the
> > > > problem
> > > > > is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

2579. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 19:39:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE3CE07.7E8A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145117

Landshark wrote:

> > That may be the case to you and a few others. But there are also those
> > who can't seem to understand that taking a stand against something
> > (ironically, in this case, breaking the law) is not a TOS violation, yet
> > they seem to use that as some sort of threat to silence those who stand
> > up to this nonesense.
> >
>
> Well good luck, but again, unless you do something to
> violate TOS you can't lose your ISP.

You know that, and I know that. But there are some who evidently don't.

>
> >
> >
> > > Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
> > > troll this group.
> >
> > That may be a popular opinion, but there is no proof that this is the
> > case.
> >
>
> Each to their own, but you hold a poll and you will find
> that most believe & know that he is a made up persona.

An opinion poll is not evidence. Besides, how many other people are using a fake "persona", when they communicate on Usenet? I use my real name, but many people hide behind the relative anonymity of a "screen name" or "handle". Is "Aaron Voobner" any more fake than "Landshark", or any of the other "handles" on here? Does the possibility that "Aaron Voobner" may not be his given name, make his comments any less credible than someone named "Twistedhed" or "Professor"?

> > > You can't lose your ISP unless there
> > > is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
> > > with the FCC.
> >
> > Which is exactly why it was important for Twisty to somehow "prove" that
> > I am using a different ISP, which would lend some credibility to his
> > (However warped) opinion, that denouncing illegal activities is somehow
> > a violation of ISP TOS. But since I am using the same ISP, I guess I
> > haven't done anything wrong ;)
> >
> >

>
> You could've changed ISP's, upgraded your service.
> Decided to go with DSL or Cable a # of reason could
> be available.

Again, you know that, and I know that, but some people look at
everything with suspicion and ulterior motives.

>
> > > So if someone lost there ISP, well
> > > they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
> > > not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
> >
> > Yes, that should be the case. But there are some who attempt to take it
> > further. Maybe they share a different opinion on what is considered
> > "spam", and what is considered "trolling"
> >
>
> I guess you don't read many post here or you are very
> selective on what you read.

The latter. When I see a header with something like "So-and-so blows
goats" or such, I usually skip over it, as it can't possibly contain
anything of relative worth. In the discussions that at least start out
with a civil tone, I don't consider someone who sticks to their guns, a
troll, unless they go beyond the scope of this newsgroup, or attempt to
make trouble, beyond the frame of the subject at hand.

> > >
> > > Criticisms yes, harassment no.
> >
> > I've never "harassed" anyone.
>
> I never said you did, nor do I think you do.
>
> >I don't recall Frank, or Aaron or any of the more vocal >opponents of
> illegal operations, "harassing" anyone
> > either.
>
> Well again selective reading I guess. As for Frank, no
> he doesn't really harass others, but Aaron is trolling as
> is 1 or 2 others.

Aaron is somewhat rigid, but what he posts, for the most part, are
factual. Sometimes I think he may interpret the law a little too
strictly. But I guess that's his opinion.

> Question: If Twistedhed responded to practically
> everyone of your posts, called you names, accused
> you of almost everything you could think, used foul,
> language what would you call that?
>

Exactly what I've done in the past: A person with sociapathic
tendancies, with self esteem and other emotional issues.

> >Most of the SPAM, and foul messages were posted from an
> > anonymous source. Many have blamed people like Doug >and George for these
> messages.
>
> Well Dave this again you are being selective on what you
> read. Practically every message to me by one of those
> people uses obscenities. As for Doug, the proofs been
> there for a long time, you just don't want to admit it I
> guess, but each to his own.

If you say so, then I'll take your word for it. There's two sides to
every story, and I'm sure that each one of them will say that "the other
side started it".
I'm not saying that there aren't any trolls out here. Yes, there are,
but it's far less than what some other people claim. There are some that
have actually stated (in so many words) that those who stick to the
rules are "trolls", or "ham trolls" etc.

>
> > But there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one
> > wished to be anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous
> > remailers or other tricks.
> >
> >
>
> Agreed, when using anonomous remailers. But do a Dejanews
> search on "Snapperhead" in Rec.Radio.Cb only and see what
> you come up with.
>
> > > I don't know if it's
> > > happened to you, but I have received some dozen
> > > or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers"
> > > on here, personally I think that's going way beyond
> > > just a conversation.
> >
> > I would agree. I've only received a few E-mail messages of a "FYI"
> > nature. Nothing with any viruses in them.
> >
> > >
>
> There was on day that Norton caught it some 9 times
> trying to be sent to me. I forwarded it to Yahoo and
> the account was closed, at least for mail purposes.

Was this the virus that Aaron was supposed to have sent out? I didn't
catch that one (Aaa-choo!!!).

> > A lot revolves around the definition of "trolling". The classic
> > definition, that I've known for years, was that a troll starts a >lame
> > war for no other reason then entertainment value. I'm not so >ure that

> > those committed to operating within the law, are deliberately >trolling"
> > for solely entertainment.
>
> That's one definition, another is to continue to fan the
> flames. What if I showed you one person was on here
> praising me, Tim, Mopar and others and the use of linears, then all of a
> sudden, it now wrong.

That depends. Many people, as they go through life, re-examine their stance on certain issues. The older I get, the more I appreciate the rule of law, and how necessary it is to maintain a civil society. There are just too many people who would run rampant all over the rest of us, if it weren't for certain laws.

I have had a pretty much "Don't ask, don't tell" policy regarding illegal CB. I've always felt that if people were responsible with their equipment, and didn't draw attention to themselves, then I don't really care either. But when pushed into a corner and given a choice to either wholeheartedly support illegal CB, or not, then I have to take the legal route, because I can't in good conscience, support the rampant proliferation of the "in your face" attitude that seems to be growing with respect to operators running power.

>
> > truly believe that many of the "pro legal"
> > side genuinely believe in what they say, and are also equally bothered
> > that so many people seem to take a cavalier attitude when defying the
> > law. Many will continue to push on, hoping that either one of two things
> > will happen; A. that their words will sink in and cause some to rethink
> > their actions. Or B. that illegals get so frustrated with the fight,
> > that they leave.
> > The difference between a cause and trolling is a matter of perspective I
> > guess.
> >
> > >
>
> Agreed. But lets face it, some that are trolling here and
> yes they are trolling, started out with maybe good intentions,
> but have found it more fun to be a troll. Doug even said at
> the begining, he could flame with the best, so he's a troll
> among a couple of others.

Doug is just one person. There are many more people on the "pro-legal" side who are not trolls.

> > I can't say who started what, and who is responsible for which foul
> > messages and harassment. All I can say is that I stand on my principles,
> > and will offer up my perspective, as I see it. As always, anyone is free
> > to disagree, and offer up counterpoints. I do find the efforts of those
> > who twist words and deliberately obfuscate meanings, to be somewhat
> > entertaining, and disproportionately consumptive on their parts,
> > considering the the actual relevance this newsgroup has on the real

> > world, and indicative of some serious mental issues. But I guess one has
> > to expect that from a hobby that attracts people from all walks of life.
> >
>
> Agreed. I do like your saying of :
> "considering the the actual relevance this newsgroup has on the real world"
>

A little stutter there, but yea, that's basically how I look at it.
There seems to be a whole lot of effort being spent by a nameless few,
to malign certain people's character, or destroy their credibility. All
that tells me is that these people must be saying some really powerful
words to push those few to go through this effort. As far as I'm
concerned, when I turn off the computer, this world ceases to exist, I
doesn't matter to me whether or not I win the "netizen of the year"
award.

> > >
> > > It might, but would you not agree calling people
> > > names, acusing them of child molesting and such
> > > (including what was said about Frank) is not a dissenting opinion, but
> > > harrasment?
> >
> > I would call that childish, and sophomoric, and a sign of someone with
> > an inability to rationally discuss a topic.
>
> And you still don't see the posts that are trolling?

That depends. If the namecalling were done for the sole purpose of
agitating, then yes, I would view that as trolling. But some people
simply don't posses the ability to debate without resorting to childish
banter. Those people simply have a disagreement, and are "debating" it
in the only way they know how. I would not necessarily view that as
trolling.

> >
> > > > > The only way this group
> > > > > will ever amount to anything or serve it's intended >purpose,
> > > > >
> > > > And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely you don't t>hink
> promoting
> > > > illegal activities falls under that umbrella, do you?
> > > >
> > > Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps
> > > or export radio's is now wrong to talk about?
> >
> > No, not at all. There is nothing wrong with discussing aspects of radio
> > that would be illegal on CB, if put into practice. But there's a
> > difference between discussing illegal aspects, and actively promoting in
> > a positive light.
> >

>
> You didn't see the post a month or so back when someone
> was asking about an antenna. It was suggested to get a
> Wilson 5000 over the K40 because it could take more
> watts. Immediately a couple of those people started throwing
> out accusations about people corrupting them into buying
> linears, because why would someone need an antenna
> that takes that many watts.

Well, it is a valid point. If the only difference between a Wilson 5000
and a K-40, were its power handling capability, would it be worth the
extra money if someone were not intending to run illegal power? Should
that be a consideration? For some yes. Would I make a big deal about it?
Hell no. I guess this "polarization" of the group into strictly legal
and strictly illegal has left little room to play. I see no harm
cautioning someone of that fact. Of course, if I did, I'd have one of my
fan club members conveniently reminding me of what a hypocrite I was
being, for stepping over the line.

> > I may be wrong then, but I once read that the "Rec" groups on usenet
> > were not to be used for any activities that were illegal. That's why
> > most of the bizzare and questionable subjects full under the "alt"
> > groups. Yes, even on the "rec" groups, there will be times that an
> > illegal topic comes up. But it's not to be the main focus of the group.
> > Plus, I don't know who has responsibility to make sure that all the
> > groups are conforming.
>
> Just do a group search: Rec.Drug and see what you
> come up with.

Times change then I guess.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2580. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 19:44:15 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3cKcndnpJt1uU36gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145116

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:xWOE9.2825$6K1.78475@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hello all
>
> Bit of help needed please, I am going to buy a new rig for when I am out
in
> Florida next month but I am not sure what I need.
>
> I was thinking of a small Cobra but it doesnt appear to have ssb, do I
need
> ssb?

The question is, who are you looking to talk to?
If you're just interested in road info, then it's Ch19, and AM only.

2581. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 20:11:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE3D58B-999@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145121

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Landshark wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DE24903.3E66@worldlynx.net...
Much the same as when people flaunt illegal radio operation, like a
badge of honor, yet complain to others' ISP's when >someone rains on
their parade.

Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
have disagreed and also agreed on some things, but this statement makes
no sense. NO ONE just complains because someone said "don't call me
illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone like Doug floods
the newsgroup with so much foul language, BS Posts they report him.

>That may be the case to you and a few others. >But there are also those
who can't seem to >understand that taking a stand against >something
(ironically, in this case, breaking >the law) is not a TOS violation,
yet they seem >to use that as some sort of threat to silence >those who
stand up to this nonesense.

Do you have an example of this? Of course not,,we know where to file
this.

"Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can troll this group."

>That may be a popular opinion, but there is no >proof that this is the
case.

Or in your claim above.

"You can't lose your ISP unless there
is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like with the FCC."

>Which is exactly why it was important for >Twisty to somehow "prove"
that I am using a >different ISP, which would lend some >credibility to
his (However warped) opinion, >that denouncing illegal activities is
somehow a >violation of ISP TOS.

(Sigh) That never took place, Hall,,anywhere.
Not just me, but I am certain anyone following this thread would pose
the question to you.."How do you equate using multiple ISP's with speech
that is a violation of TOS agreements?" Be careful, try not to trip
anymore.

>But since I am using the same ISP, I guess I >haven't done anything
wrong ;)

You claimed you used the same access as well, which was shown to be a lie. Youl claimed you "borrowed" an account from Villanova "years ago", but are still posting through their system. You lied. Whether you done something wrong is immaterial and irrelevant to your word.
Besides, I mentioned it once several weeks ago, you denied it, I showed your headers proved other than what you claimed, ONCE, and here you are still whining about it and still attempting to profess your innocence weeks later, andattempting to redirect a post that has nothing to do with me into your personal vendetta. Let's go..I enjoy feeding you.

"So if someone lost there ISP, well
they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account, not because they were pro illegal or pro legal. "

>Yes, that should be the case. But there are >some who attempt to take it further.

Waaaaaah! You want some cheese with your whine, Davey Boy? Heaven knows you can't cite an example. Damage control in full force today, eh? (Giggle),,gotta smile about that!!

>Maybe they share a different opinion on what >is considered "spam", and what is considered >"trolling"

Take it up with the ISPs in question that you seem to be familar with.

>It has nothing to do with anyone's "thinking". >Whether you like it or not, agree with it or not, >enjoy it or disdain it, operating a radio outside >the legal limits set forth in part 95, is a >violation of federal radio regulations. You >really need to come to terms with this. If the >fact that you're a federal lawbreaker, is not an >issue with you then fine. But also note that just >because you choose to thumb your nose at >the government, and the FCC, does not >immunize you against criticism from your >peers, who may not share your anarchistic >view of radio hobbies.

Fine. But you are not our peers...matter of fact you are the furthest thing from "our peers". That term has me busting a gut.."peers"...ahhaahaaahoooohooohoo!
You are in the extreme minority with views shared by only Lelnad, "aaron, Georgey WA3MOJ and a few other malcontents..you are no ones peer here...for God's sake son, you were defending "Arron" a few posts back and he's been TOS'd for awhile now....look at yourself, arguing for the recognition of a name that doesn't exist..it's YOUR view that isn't shared by the majority...which makes you..."peerless." (snort)

"Criticisms yes, harassment no."

>I've never "harassed" anyone. I don't recall >Frank, or Aaron or any of the more vocal >opponents of illegal operations, "harassing" >anyone either.

Now THAT is the mutha of all sigs.
Lemme try it out.......:

I've never "harassed" anyone. I don't recall Frank, or Aaron or any of the more vocal opponents of illegal operations, "harassing" anyone either. -Davey Boy Hall N3CVJ-

>Most of the SPAM, and foul messages were >posted from an anonymous source. Many >have blamed people like Doug and George for >these messages. But there is really no >concrete proof of this either. If one wished to >be anonymous, it's not too hard to take >advantage of anonymous remailers or other >tricks.

But, you claimed you were no internet guru...then you claimed you didn;t know what was in your headers..hahahhaah! See, we always knew had internet "tricks". f only you could see yourself from another, possibly detached point of view.....turning the thread into your soap box defense of "Arron" and N8WWM...hahhahhahahah! You just keep throwing sevens.......

"I don't know if it's
happened to you, but I have received some dozen or so virus infected e mails form some of those "peers" on here, personally I think that's going way beyond just a conversation."

>I would agree.<

Well, GOOD, we agree on something.

I agree, it was much better then, when we could discuss the technical merits of various things (many of which are illegal), and hopefully educate people before they do things the wrong way. It's one thing to operate a radio illegally, it's even worse when it's the R.F. equivilent of the city landfill.

>But be that as it may, someone, and I don't >remember who,

Doug Adair...N8WWM.
Aluminum deficiency can be the root cause of memory loss and the precursor to Alzheimers.

>pushed the issue away from one of courtesy, >respect, and common sense to illegal vs. >legal.

It was Dogie..then Lelnad began fingerpainting his cell wall with smeared feces....it didn't take too long for you to shove him aside and paint your own yellow stripe.

> Many of us were willing to overlook certain >indiscretions, if they were responsible and >careful of how they operated.

Hahhaa......there is NO "many" of you, Hall, you're a one man clown show with a cheerleader or two. Add to that the arrrogance that you feel you somehow aare in a position to determine what is responsible of all other operators. ASSuming more status than you actually have is conducive to one's bruised ego, but your fragility and ignorance concerning such imagined status is what makes you the entertainment with chips and dip.

>But if you're going to force everyone <

Here we go again. Note the power Davey Boy attributes to others mere words. He has accused myself of having this ability to somehow and magically "force" people to do things..such as lose control.

>to make a choice of whether to ignore the >rules totally, or to follow them, I guess I had to >side on the rule of law.

Very enlightening hammie boy Dave. Who "forced" you this time? I wanna know who else you attribute deity-like powers to? I'd love to share my rule over you with as many as I can. It appears to be working already......<g>.

>Again, your choice, as is others to break the >law and face it's consequences.

"The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules & Regulations", they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as they are still doing to this very day."

>A lot revolves around the definition of >"trolling".
>The classic definition,

(snip) sorry, but your definitions have been proved incomplete beyond a shadow of a doubt.

> I truly believe that many of the "pro legal" side >genuinely believe in what they say,

You're not prolegal. You have posted polar opposing views on amps within 2 weeks of eachother. The group can draw their own conclusions..but I enjoy it so much more when you attempt to make up their minds for them.

>and are also equally bothered that so many >people seem to take a cavalier attitude when >defying the law.

Can you espouse what constitutes this "cavalier attitude" that apparently pushes your buttons? Again, you can drop the pretext as if speaking for hammies, because your view is the extreme minority...try and speak for yourself and don't look to others for validation. this includes talking to yourselfuner another name to give the illusion you are actually assisting someone. I about freakin' dies from laughing when you typed "Thanks Dave" to yourself...You know you ain't right in the head, don't you Davey Boy?

>Many will continue to push on, hoping that >either one of two things will happen;

Ahahhahaahaha..many wil"push on"? What the hell does that mean?
PUSH on? AS opposed to WHAT other choice, Davey boy?
Sitting around "hoping"? Dude, you have WAYYYYY too much free time on your hands..your mind is melting.

>A. that their words will sink in and cause some >to rethink their actions.

"The Dream police they live inside of my head
the dream police they come to me in my bed
the dream police they're looking for me"
Hahahhaahhahahahaahah!

>Or B. that illegals get so frustrated with the >fight, that they leave.

Wishing won't make it so. Even if it did,,,,you are an illegal, davey boy.

>You can't expect to make a case for following >some rules, while ignoring
>others, and not expect to be viewed as a >hypocrite.

Exactly. Only I never brought rules to anyone, including ISP's until the rules were laid at my doorstep and brought to me...what's good for the goose.....thing is, these few have demonstrated the rat-bastard personality in your little angry clique by taking issue with your fluff shoved back down your throat.

>On the other hand, I can't support a paradigm >of anarchy.

You can't even support your daughter or she would be living with you.

> Rules were put here for a reason.

What rule allows you to use a Dave made on the freeband?

>It's irresponsible to ignore them.<

You are a one hit wonder.

>Such is the mess we're left with.

It's not "we" Davey boy....most hammies find you appalling..trust me on this,,,,,my pulse on the matter has been dead on accurate for years. Using "we" to denote you, Dogie, Nad, and Georgey is fine, and that is what is connotated from such use.

"Yes you are correct we are left with a mess, but the rules (to which there are none in this group) have nothing to do with the "Trolls" that are in this group now causing the majority of the harassing, accusing, foul language posts that are put up here."

>I can't say who started what,<

You damn sure can't..you can't even tell us what is in your headers,,or where you are posting from,,or why is it ok for you to use a Davemade but not another hammie....

>and who is responsible for which foul >messages and harassment. All I can say is >that I stand on my principles, and will offer up >my perspective, as I see it. As always, anyone >is free to disagree, and offer up counterpoints.

Sure, "anyone is free to disagree" but you will attack them on a personal level....I can show you began the namecalling with those who have disagreed with you in the past. I can show where you attacked on a personal level for no reason other than the person held a separate pint of view. You're eyes are brown, aren't they?

>There is still a good group for CB
>discussion and technical advice that has not >been corrupted by the likes of these people, >where all the "good" technicians still answer >questions like in "the good old days" without >all the unwanted comments from those who >don't agree with what is going on.

There has been a great effort at screening out these losers....posting this serves no purpose other than to get invite the vermin.

>Freedom of speech and the ability to express >a dissenting opinion, >really bothers you doesn't it?"

I"t might, but would you not agree calling people names, acusing them of child molesting and such (including what was said about Frank) is not a dissenting opinion, but harrasment?"

>I would call that childish, and sophomoric, and >a sign of someone with an inability to rationally >discuss a topic.

I said that exact thing to Lelnad KC8LDO way before he even mentioned such horrific acts concerning children such as molestation.

>And just what IS its intended purpose? Surely >you don't think promoting illegal activities falls >under that umbrella, do you?

"Why yes it does, so just the mere discussion of amps or export radio's is now wrong to talk about? "

>No, not at all. There is nothing wrong with >discussing aspects of radio that would be >illegal on CB, if put into practice. But there's a >difference between discussing illegal aspects, >and actively promoting in a positive light.

Hahahah!

"That's a hoot. A moderated newsgroup, that permits illegal discussions (And isn't that a violation of the "rec" catergory of usenet?), but filters out objections based on legal grounds. Don't hold your breath waiting for that one."

>Well if it's in the newsgroup charter, then it can >be moderated, so his breathing maybe better >than you think. I posted a number of groups >that dealt with drugs, speeding, illegal >gambling, car groups breaking federal EPA >laws so they
>are there, and under Rec. As again for this >group to be moderated, unless 2 to 3 >individuals want to take the responsibility to >check each message everyday, good luck.
>I may be wrong then, but I once read that the >"Rec" groups on usenet were not to be used >for any activities that were illegal. That's why

>most of the bizzare and questionable subjects >full under the "alt" groups.

Yes, even on the rec" groups, there will be times that an illegal topic comes up. But it's not to be the main focus of the group. Plus, I don't know who has responsibility to make sure that all the groups are conforming.

>I agree that being a newsgroup moderator is >not a fun job.

figures you would say something on that order. You LOVE the job Davey-boy, it's why you cry so loudly in here concerning that of which you disagree.

> Besides being a lot of work, you will ultimately >be accused of bias from the first person who's >messages were deleted, which will then call >into question the moderator's objectivity when >applying the group charter and policy. I used >to be a SYSOP of a dial up BBS, 10 years >ago, before the internet took off, and I had to >deal with these issues then. It's not fun.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

You must be a boozer too. I commented about your past bragging of your pc experience and you claimed "Where have I ever done that"? and went into your paltry spin about "limited experience"...as I always say.....it's your own words..the lies-they-keep-a-coming!

2582. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 20:16:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29231-3DE3D6A1-130@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145120

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux wrote:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308
This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn
DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module.

>Just a point of clarification; 40 channel radios >did not appear until
Jan. 1st, 1977, so it's not >quite as old as 1973.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

As usual, it's an incorrect "clarification". Protoypes were avaliable
much earlier. Ask anyone that had a real cb shop.

2583. Please Spam me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 20:22:32 UTC
From: Peter <peter@mercadofunding.com>
Message-ID: <url7uugdlpe5dv2tlqsp7ktvfbdsjn3oq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 145118
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

Me and my company like to send unsolicited email to everyone.

peter@mercadofunding.com

2584. Re: Palomar 225 amp, lo = 30 watts out, med = 50, and high = 51.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 20:35:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29230-3DE3DB0D-400@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145119

WA3MOJ wrote:
>How do you figure it is off topic, it was said by >me in reply to you I brought it up in a previous >post and you seem to have a problem >answering that question.

No problem. You appear to have a problem demonstrating a point by failing to isolate a question concerning taxes with ampmakers.
.

>If a guy who pays no taxes on illegal items<

"IF" has been removed from the vernacular of this group. It has been proven to be ineffective and useless.
IF the queen had balls she'd be king.

Kind of how I felt when you failed to explain your erroneous comment about how a Galaxy was reportedy (by you) illegal for a hammie to use on ten meter.

>It sure is Illegal yet you have tried to claim I >lied and offered no proof of your claim, just lip >service remember. "just because you say it >doesn't make it so"

But tell me Mr. hammie wa3moj, how is a galaxy illegal for use on ten meter by a hammie licensed to operate there? If that doesn't turn your gray matter even softer than it is, ponder this...how can a Galaxy be illegal for use but Davey Boy Hall's Davemade be legal?
Don't hurt yourself again, Georgey boy.

>Compared to a storefront recognized dealer >that you can go to and not hide in the back >alley to make a deal.

I made no such comparison, you did.
****
>No shit did I say you said that? your slip is >showing again.

Then why mention it?

>No first lets get back to you claiming, that I >said you made the comparision. another out >right lie by you

I didn't say YOU said I made such a comparison..I merely said..and I quote" I made no such comparison..you did."
Now how did you interpret any of that as me claiming that you claimed that *I* made the comparison? I said YOU made the comparison..and you did.

>I have to spell things out for you,<

Not really, georgey boy,,,you need to crawl before you can walk....you need to learn how to spell for yourself before you worry about others...but that's your life story.

>your usual MO
>is to twsit what is said and you are doing a >fine job of that.
>what do you care what please me?<

I don't.

>You sure do or did you forget what you said? >Ill post what you said just to prove your a liar >again...

"And this upsets or pleasures you why?

>So yes you are concerned about what pleases >me other wise you would not have made it a >point of asking.

You made it a point of allowing us the knowledge that it effected you so profoundly. "Why" was a mere natural curiosity to something you professed. If you don't like to be qestioned on certain things, keep them to yourself.
As many typos as are usually in your posts, it wasn't a far-fetched mistake......

>What did I expect but another excuse or lie >from you . par for this course.

It's not an excuse Georgey boy...your posts are for the most part, ramblings of incoherence, peppered with your personal problems..

Still haven't checked that, huh? That's cool. You're the only one on this
group that believes
that...guaranteed.

>Your right i dont expect a bunch of non hams >to know Ham laws, you don't even abide by >your own operating rules.

Fact: Many cbers are hammies as many hammies are cbers.
Riley isn't coming after non-hammies and hammies that don't use hammie radio. Think about it, Georgey.....gently.

2585. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 21:02:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29229-3DE3E173-1011@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145122

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Landshark wrote:
>That may be the case to you and a few others. >But there are also those who can't seem to >understand that taking a stand against >something (ironically, in this case, breaking >the law) is not a TOS violation, yet they seem >to use that as some sort of threat to silence >those who stand up to this nonesense.

There is no "they", Davey boy..you're washed up.

"Well good luck, but again, unless you do something to violate TOS you can't lose your ISP. "

>You know that, and I know that. But there are >some who evidently don't.

There are no "some" Davey boy.

"Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can troll this group."

>That may be a popular opinion, but there is no >proof that this is the case.

"Each to their own, but you hold a poll and you will find that most believe & know that he is a made up persona."

>An opinion poll is not evidence. <

But it damn sure constitutes what I have maintained all along...that you are alone in a sea of hate confusion with Lelnad, Georgey, and Doc as your personal raft guides.

>Besides, how many other people are using a >fake "persona", when they communicate on >Usenet?

Who cares? Only you. These folks don't attempt to pass off something frudulent as authentic, as you are attempting.

>I use my real name, but many people hide >behind the relative anonymity of a "screen >name" or "handle".

That's what one uses on cb....handles.

>Is "Aaron Voobner" any more fake than >"Landshark",

Absolutely. Voobner only existed when we allowed.

>or any of the other "handles" on here?<

Mucho so.

> Does the possibility that "Aaron Voobner" >may not be his given name,

Here is that "aguing both sides" thing that brings the vision of you dining on crow "al-a-Twist". You were defending his authenticity in another post you made today, yet here you acknowledge it "may not be his given name". What a trickstah!

>smake his comments any less credible than >someone named "Twistedhed" or "Professor"?

Absolutely. Many present have talked to myself and the Professor on the air. Never heard no Arron voobner..maybe one of you pizzed upon hammies can use the name to talk to us on the freeband.......

"You can't lose your ISP unless there
is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like with the FCC."

>Which is exactly why it was important for >Twisty

Owww....dance.......dance little sistah dance....

> to somehow "prove" that I am using a >different ISP, which would lend some >credibility to his (However warped) opinion, >that denouncing illegal activities is somehow a >violation of ISP TOS. But since I am using the >same ISP, I guess I haven't done anything >wrong ;)

I'm smiling to. I can't believe you managed to choke that out all that in one breath.

"You could've changed ISP's, upgraded your service. Decided to go with DSL or Cable a # of reason could be available."

>Again, you know that, and I know that, but >some people look at everything with suspicion >and ulterior motives.

Yea,,,but you didn;t do any of the above....aybe sharkie missed your posted lie claiming you only "borrowed" an account from villanova a few years ago for a short time...but your current headers reveal you still posting through there..so go ahead and ....dance..........danec little sistah dance.....

"So if someone lost there ISP, well
they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account, not because they were pro illegal or pro legal."

>Yes, that should be the case. But there are >some who attempt to take it further. Maybe >they share a different opinion on what is >considered "spam", and what is considered >"trolling"

I guess you don't read many post here or you are very selective on what you read.

>The latter. When I see a header with >something like "So-and-so blows goats" or >such, I usually skip over it,

Which has fast become the norm with you Davey boy. Trust me.....hammies are fed up with your type...there is no room in hammie radio for arrogance and disdain for those who share other aspects of the hobby you no longer enjoy.

>as it can't possibly contain anything of relative >worth. In the discussions that at least start out >with a civil tone, I don't consider someone who >sticks to their guns, a troll, unless they go >beyond the scope of this newsgroup, or >attempt to make trouble, beyond the frame of >the subject at hand.

Well, I certainly consider your name calling and attacks on a personal level to be indicative of your lack of communicative skill.

"Criticisms yes, harassment no."

>I've never "harassed" anyone.<

"Harassed" is a relevant term and totally sophomoric at best.
Most would consider uprovoked personal attacks and name calling by you to be of a harassing nature.

>I never said you did, nor do I think you do.<

Watch your mailbox. How many do you want?

>I don't recall Frank, or Aaron or any of the >more vocal opponents of >illegal operations, "harassing" anyone
>either.

"Well again selective reading I guess."

You're catching on.

"As for Frank, no he doesn't really harass others, but Aaron is trolling as is 1 or 2 others."

>Aaron is somewhat rigid, but what he posts, >for the most part, are factual. Sometimes I >think he may interpret the law a little too >strictly. But I guess that's his opinion.

Factual? Dave, you are a certified looney.

2586. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 21:26:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211261326.612d7892@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <nohooch@noyomommas.com> wrote in message
news:<wpVD9.19523$NV.381398@news.direcpc.com>...
> He gonna have him a "good-buddy" in the same prison cell.
> "Hey, young fellow. whatchyour name? Oh,now, don't be havin' that at-ti-tude
> with me! Bend Over!!"
>
> "the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> > There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> > could have hid out for so long, without the help
> > and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
> >
> > Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> > to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> > since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> > unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> > over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> > seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> > N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
> >
> > Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> > Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
> >
> > One down - MORE to go....
> >
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> > A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> > officials
> > led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> > Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
> >
> > Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> > from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> > shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> > He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> > by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> > Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
> >
> > ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> > incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> > York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> > residence, but he declined to elaborate.

> > Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
> > pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.
> >
> > York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> > whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> > ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> > with North Carolina authorities.
> >
> > Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> > Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> > of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> > Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> > times.
> >
> > Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> > of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> > attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> > host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> > He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> > where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> > the federal government drew the attention of the
> > Federal Communications Commission.
> >
> > The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> > Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> > rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> > action.
> > Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> > paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> > Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
> >
> > According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> > to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> > that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> > allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> > included him in a series on hate.
> >
> > The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> > Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> > been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> > had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> > more violence in his radio show. For public
> > safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> > also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> > extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
> >
> > Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> > I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> > message you can't get away forever.''
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
why is it necessary for you to post this silly boring story about a
renegade ham radio operator screw up on a cb newsgroup?????......sir
this.........this...........this is 11 meters.........hello come
in.........we don't give a hoot in hell about what goes on the freekin
liberty net or whatever........get this garbage off of here and on
your own newsgroup........man,....this stuff is getting
old.............there has to be a division.............damn
it.............we are not all in this together........you guys do your
thing..............please let us do ours..........!!!!!

2587. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 21:50:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE3ECC2.C82D86E3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145135

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull- >Bullshit, you are looking to silence
> anyone >who is against Legal radio,
>
> Stop right there.
>
> >No you stop right there oh twisted One
>
> Truth has always been your enemy.... to be snipped and clipped by you,
> but never works.
> This has never been indicative of anyone here
>
> >Just because you say so twityhed doesn't >make it true.
>
> I didn't say it, YOU did..YOU claimed people here try to silence legal
> advocation of radio but as always, can't cite anything,,,anything at all
> to back what YOU say. Now, before you get confused and bedeviled again,
> try and remember what you say..reminding you of it is akin to schooling
> hammies like yourself and Lelnad and Hall and Doc on the finer merits of
> the art of communication, for which you have all demonstrated a
> preponderance of failure at....none of you were ever taught what
> etiquette is let alone how to dispense it and mind your own P's and Q's.
> Much of it can be attributed to y'alls upbringing, or lack of.

Correct me if I am wrong, but I don't ever remember Twistie or
Landshark ever being against anyone operating legally. Even though
Twistie admits freely that he operates on the freeband, I have
don't think I have ever seen him conned anyone else who operated
legally.

2588. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 22:06:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <o4SE9.14390$sT3.6256@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145124

FMRNYCER <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125221853.19510.00000142@mb-fs.aol.com...
> This seems to be my best bet. The van does have a ladder.

Just one suggestion, tho. If you go with the 102" whip, mount it on the
left side. This way you don't
prang it on overhanging limbs as much as you might on the right side.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

2589. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 22:14:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29231-3DE3F25E-133@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145126

Davey Boy Hall N3CVJ said:
Many have blamed people like Doug and George for these messages. But
there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one wished to be
anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous remailers or
other tricks.
--

THANK YOU for your subscription(s)!
Thank you for your recent subscription(s) to our email publication(s):
You will soon receive confirmation emails from the publishers of each
subscribed list, including instructions on how to cancel each of your
subscriptions.
If you did not sign up for any of the above email publications, please
send an email to abuse@LeadFactory.com and include the information below
so we can track the source of abuse. Do NOT reply to this email directly
since nobody will see your reply.
Subscriber: twistedhed@webtv.net
Name: Twithed Limpwrist
IP Address: 65.238.113.48
Hostname: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
Date/Time: Sat Aug 24 20:05:34 GMT 2002

2590. 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 22:28:47 UTC
From: "BC-)03" <BC->
Message-ID: <zASE9.2403$ta5.373567@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145125

Hello all

My first post to this NG.

I am in need of a 2970 repair person, Money is not the issue as im not cheap!!

I have a NON dx that throws a dead carrier and sometimes no AM audio, you shake it around am audio comes back , got it off ebay (i know you get what you pay for , hence willing to pay to get it fixed) ,.... but never the less its got at least a cold solder , and another problem , throws a GOOD 150 watt dead key , on ssb, 50 am as supposed to no modulation though...

If any of you out there have had a good or better than good experience with someone who takes radios via mail/ups, will pay cash , mo or whatever method they want , paypal ....please

EMAIL

bennyc03@earthlink.net

thanks in advance ,,, community!!

73s

2591. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 22:48:18 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <q5KcnaRYx-KuZ36gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145127

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21912-3DE2220E-656@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Very helpful, Lelnad. This guys doesn't know cable from coax
>characteristics

And maybe he does to some degree so congratulations for just insulting the guy. Or is it that you now claim to have the psychic ability to read minds too, something you learned from hanging with the "saucer men"?

> or else he wouldn't have asked and you give him a song
> and dance.

I charge for concert performances. And I wouldn't give you a back stage pass no matter how much you begged me for one. 8-))

>Then to top it off, you suggest he build impedance transformers.

They do work.

> Let me guess,

That's all you ever do Twirted.

>you desperately hoped he would inquire as to
>how one is constructed and you would be more than happy to
>oblige......

He could always use Goolge and look it up on the Internet for himself. Of course you wouldn't think of doing that because its beyond your metal capacity.

>why not just tell him the bottom line...... cable coax sucks
>for all practical cb purposes.

Wow!! You just did. So what's he suppose to use 450 ohm ladder line now?

>Go to rat shack and buy some rg 8 or 58,
>it's cheap enough.

You do know that RG-8 and RG-58 are "cable coax". So why are you now all of a sudden telling him to use cable that "sucks for all practical cb purposes"?

>Whatever you do, do not listen to Lelnad and company.
>They have been known to intentionally give advice that will fry cb
>radios. We validate them when we're bored for entertainment purposes
>only.

When you lose your temper you can't think straight can you Twirted?
Besides why pay attention to you? You don't know anything about
electronics, and that's by your own admission too. Then Prozac summed
things up rather nicely.

Return-Path: <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Received: from demai05.mw.mediaone.net ([24.131.1.56]) by
demai04.mw.mediaone.net (Netscape Messaging Server 4.15)
with
ESMTP id G9LHBU00.5AH for <leescott@mw.mediaone.net>; Fri, 2
Mar
2001 18:59:54 -0500
Received: from ndmls01.mediaone.net (ndmls01.mediaone.net
[24.128.8.198])
by demai05.mw.mediaone.net (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f22Nxrh21434
for <leescott@mw.mediaone.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:53 -0500 (EST)
Received: from mail.arrl.net (saturn.ibridge.com [209.140.206.250])
by ndmls01.mediaone.net (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f22Nxrt04001
for <leescott@mediaone.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:53 -0500 (EST)
Received: from mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.118]
by mail.arrl.net
(SMTPD32-6.00) id A4A426B00290; Fri, 02 Mar 2001 19:02:44 -0500
Received: from storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.224])
by mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix) with ESMTP
id 001902D5F9
for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 15:59:50 -0800 (PST)
Received: (from production@localhost) by
storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-f/mt.gso.26Feb98) id
PAA22507; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 15:59:48 -0800 (PST)
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAuAhUAy0gv1hFB25FwW03BL/bSXN3r7BACFQCfAWlW1CRoqSh0F2ZJ3UPMCjIieQ==
Date: Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:48 -0500 (EST)
To: kc8ldo@arrl.net (MediaOne)
Subject: Re: forgers
Message-ID: <1012-3AA033F4-431@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
In-Reply-To: "MediaOne" <Leescott@mediaone.net>'s message of Fri, 2
Mar 2001 16:01:27 -0500
Content-Disposition: Inline
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)

<snip>
That was funny. I never opened a
radio on my own until I stumbled on this group. Now, I can do simple
soldering and wire a mic, but i ain't about turning up any pots. Hell,
I
don't think I could instalI a board unless I was spoon fed. But hey,
this time 3 years ago, I didn't know that either.

<snip>

==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2592. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 22:55:28 UTC
From: KD6SRI <kd6sri@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as0u4r$15voo$1@hades.csu.net>
Source record: 145129

Found at http://www.geocities.com/dre_cb/ranger/ranger1.htm:
Wire the radio backwards (reverse polarity) usually causes the power
regulator for AM to short out. This will give
the radio a 40 Watt carrier with no modulation. This is not to be done
intentionally.... just letting you know what
the radio is able to do without power control.

2593. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:05:31 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <EpycndZlq-agY36gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145128

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:hiME9.67850

: I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight Stewart from all groups he used
: to frequent. Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google search for recent
posts
: from both, and you'll find they both came up missing the same time.

LOL, that is funny Randy. You guys can make anything come true if you
believe it hard enough.I had also noticed that Osama Bin Laden has also not
been seen, could they all be one in the same.

I guess it depends what email address you search for him, his last post was
on Dec. 14, 2001 by Dwight Stewart, no where near that long since aarons
disapperance

Found this perhaps he is nursing an injury...lol

Any word on the status of Dwight Stewart for Saturday's gmae?? I kinda
want him to play, I don't want there to be ANY excuses after Saturday for
us not being ranked!!!

2594. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:20:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ommdnVbs1q4hnHmgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145130

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE22871-803@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
>>Fact is Twisted CBers can't do any of the
>>things I listed on 11m,
>>so they do in fact get more by being a Ham.
>>You can spin, LIE, aboutit any way you wish
>but the links I provided tell the truth the whole
>>truth and nothing but the truth.

>As usual, you are running off at the mouth and having one hell of a
time
>comprehending what was posted...

I have no problem what-so-ever.

>Your posts never held one iota of truth.

So those links in my prior post are just a figment of your
imagination. Nobody else seems to have a problem with them.

>My comparison was clearly indicative of 10 meter modes, nothing
>more. Here is my quote, verbatim, now watch closely:

No it isn't, but the following is verbatim----->

Path:
text1.nnrp.aus1!firehose2!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!border1.nntp.aus1.gi
ganews.com!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!news.maxwell.syr.
edu!feed2-in.uncensored-news.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!propagat
or-la!news-in.superfeed.net!enews.sgi.com!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.
gtei.net!newssorter-2001.public.lawson.webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Bring back The CB license
Date: Thu, 21 Nov 2002 12:13:31 -0500 (EST)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <8728-3DDD143B-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
References: <3ddceb76.64283780@news.cet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit

X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAsAhRVrMwZDPeBWJnjH4wR/pbwdwAfxwIUIO+9eyDUYT8DvJlDDCcqFtTpgmI=
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: nntp3.aus1.giganews.com rec.radio.cb:329462

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>, "crossfire"
<noone@home.com> wrote:

<snip irrelevant crap>

>Why not just get a ham license? Then you can
>play with a whole lot more spectrum, a whole
>lot more power, and a bunch more operating
>modes.

You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject.
Please
allow me to displace your bullshit.
Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work
just
as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish.

<snip irrelevant crap>

Its clear that you do in fact get more as a Ham. Yeti did not confine
his comments to strictly 10m , or any other band. He was speaking
about Ham Radio in general.

Now you opened your mouth and clearly said "One can operate all the
modes one wishes on 11,". So I picked some. Its not my fault that
your ignorant about all of the modes that are in use on the Ham Bands,
then erroneously assuming that they work on 10m, thus they should work
on 11m as well. Nope.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2595. Re: Is there a way to use your computer as a cb radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:27:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Jo2cnd6vLebJnnmgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145131

"Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote in message
news:3de19dd2@monitor.lanset.com...
> The icom pcr-1000 is better.

I read where some of them have been modified by Icom for use by the US
military. Seems like they like the performance of the radio too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2596. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:36:04 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EzTE9.68115$Kj1.3018118@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145132

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE3C620-962@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com...
> Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the
> computer?
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> >I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight >Stewart from all groups he
> used to frequent. >Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google >search for
> recent posts from both, and you'll >find they both came up missing the
> same time.
>
>
>
> He didn't croak, did he?
> Come to think of it, it IS one of you guys in Texas that had him as
> their selection in the dead pool. Anybody wanna cop to it?
>

No one saw me, and you can't prove it! <g>

2597. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:41:31 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ldCcneaygr0ym3mgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145133

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:hFME9.527
: > > Also Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
: > > troll this group.
: >
: > That may be a popular opinion, but there is no proof that this is the
: > case.
: >
:
: Each to their own, but you hold a poll and you will find
: that most believe & know that he is a made up persona.

Ok, this statement in and of itself is the typical landshark 2 step. They have no proof, yet because they believe it, that means they know it. LOL No proof but because we think it it must be true.

: Agreed, when using anonomous remailers. But do a Dejanews
: search on "Snapperhead" in Rec.Radio.Cb only and see what
: you come up with.

It won't come back to any anonymous newsservers snapperhead. that is for sure

:
: Agreed. But lets face it, some that are trolling here and
: yes they are trolling, started out with maybe good intentions,
: but have found it more fun to be a troll. Doug even said at
: the begining, he could flame with the best, so he's a troll
: among a couple of others.

2598. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:45:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pITE9.68127$Kj1.3019429@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145134

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:EpycndZlq-agY36gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:hiME9.67850
>
> : I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight Stewart from all groups he
used
> : to frequent. Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google search for recent
> posts
> : from both, and you'll find they both came up missing the same time.
>
>
> LOL, that is funny Randy. You guys can make anything come true if you
> believe it hard enough.I had also noticed that Osama Bin Laden has also
not
> been seen, could they all be one in the same.
>
>
> I guess it depends what email address you search for him, his last post
was
> on Dec. 14, 2001 by Dwight Stewart, no where near that long since aarons
> disapperance
>
>

Oh really George? Try searching other groups. Appears his last post under
that name was in late October. To wit:

Search Result 1
From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)
Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
View: Complete Thread (28 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-10-27 01:30:18 PST

"Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
>
> No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most
> times I tried to participate in ARES ended up
> making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately,
> my experiences are not unique, either -- and
> THAT's the problem here.

Oh, come on, Larry. That sounds like an excuse to ease your conscious over your own lack of involvement in public service related activities. If you really wanted to do something in that regard, you darn sure wouldn't let something as trivial as someone hogging a microphone stop you.

Besides, on a more humorous note, the lack of a microphone shouldn't bother a CW freak like you at all. Instead, you could sit beside the fellow with the microphone and just tap out the messages on the tabletop with your finger.

By the way, I noticed you've picked up a vanity callsign. Didn't you use to rant against vanity callsigns?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

And then there's this one:Search Result 2 From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie View: Complete Thread (101 articles) Original Format Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2002-10-26 01:03:35 PST "Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
> Well, then, I'll answer you now and Steve again -
> for the third time. My qualifications are my
> intelligence, my observant nature, and long working
> experience in real world supervisory and managerial
> positions where I was responsible for dozens of
> people and millions of dollars worth of resources.
> In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one,
> I'm sure I could be a better EC than you could ever
> imagine. (snip)

I don't doubt you could be a good coordinator, Larry. Past military NCO's do tend to be fairly good supervisors and managers. They often have experience supervising a fairly large, diverse, workforce, lending a more level-headed approach to their dealings with others. They often routinely dealt with unusual situations. And they were often expected to make quick, but defendable, decisions.

On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed, opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous. Those who are able to temper these less desirable traits, and emphasize the more desirable ones, often do well managing people.

> And one thing I would make sure of is that any and
> all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
> and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good
> training, lots of hands-on experience starting from
> the very first day, and encouragement to further
>their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.

All very nice, but also very routine (most EC's do this). The problem is your jump to the next few lines...

> There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in
> sight. Everyone would do everything, and I'd never
> let the same person do the same job twice in a row
> until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved,
> and had done everything that there was to be done.
> In-building EOC positions would have to be staffed on
> a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there are adequate
> personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be
> adjusted to permit a change of faces and to allow
> more people to get that experience.

This is where we disagree. In an emergency, you want your people in the jobs they do best. This is not the time to be worrying about the feelings of the individual ham. The mission has to come first, not some worry about making everyone feel welcomed or feel a sense of belonging. Certainly you want to rotate as many people as possible. But, if a person isn't good on the radio, now is not the time to hand him the microphone. There are other jobs that person can do. By the same token, if a person is good on the radio, that person should get the microphone as much as possible.

> Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.

There are no complaints, Larry - just a difference in style.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/----------So George, it appears you are mistaken.BTW, sorry for the HTML.

>
>
>
> Found this perhaps he is nursing an injury...lol
>
> Any word on the status of Dwight Stewart for Saturday's gmae?? I kinda
> want him to play, I don't want there to be ANY excuses after Saturday for
> us not being ranked!!!
>
>
>

2599. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:47:35 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <_sudnVlNx-HvlHmgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145136

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE227AE-801@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Sure, Lelnad. I'll invoke the good spirit of Dick

He would turn over in his grave if he know you were trying to use him to further your misguided agenda. You are one sick puppy. He in fact figured out the post(s) you like to drag out are in fact forgies. I was not the only one on the group who saw through the crap and mentioned it to him. Of course this still does nothing to further your agenda. You still can't prove who is on the sending end of any posted messages, even this one.

So I'll make this easy. Just prove THIS MESSAGE was posted by me Twirted. You'll need the posting logs from my ISP, IP traces etc. To convine anybody you'll have to show that my account was in use at the time this message was posted, AND the IP trace with the logs shows that the IP address in use at that time was in fact assigned to my account. If you can't produce proof for something this simple you sure can't for anything else. For all we know you were the one that forged the posts you keep crying about in a psychotic attempt to boost your own image on the group, and to get the attention you seem to crave.

Get moving Twirted. Time's a'wasting.

--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

2600. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-26 23:57:05 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WyqdnblywvfPl3mgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145137

---
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:

Imagine that. Remember HE went searching the web on ME. HE came up
with
the Sporadic Waves article..HE posted it....HE crossposted it..HE
hacked
it...HE asked me into a hammie forum....HE forged me when I didn't
oblige.

<snip>

It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time
and he makes such stupid remarks.

I think Twisted is close to blowing a head gasket if you ask me. You mention that we might get along OK in person if we should meet, and he acts like you just stabbed him in the back.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2601. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 00:11:00 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021126191100.24287.00007506@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 145138

>Subject: Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"
>From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>Date: 11/26/02 3:16 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <29231-3DE3D6A1-130@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
>
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave=A0Hall)
>vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux wrote:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=3D1501&item=3D19410=
>85308
>This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn
>DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module.
>
>
>>Just a point of clarification; 40 channel radios >did not appear until
>Jan. 1st, 1977, so it's not >quite as old as 1973.....
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>As usual, it's an incorrect "clarification". Protoypes were avaliable
>much earlier. Ask anyone that had a real cb shop.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Come on Twistie.....NOT 1973 kinda earlier!
Train

2602. Marwan Afif EL-Hajeb: next Al Queda attack on Buy Nothing Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 00:28:41 UTC
From: annihilator-8@erlenstar.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <MPG.a6ed9165a86f@news.bcn.ttd.net>
Source record: 145152
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

```
http://adbusters.org/campaigns/bnd/toolbox/contacts.jhtml

Marwan Afif EL-Hajeb, allah be praised, here are your orders:

Version: 2.6.2i

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]

=7weo

Version: GnuPG v0.9.5 (FreeBSD)
Comment: For info see http://www.gnupg.org

=wAKe

http://adbusters.org/campaigns/bnd/toolbox/contacts.jhtml
```

2603. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 00:37:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wtUE9.75$CU4.4797671@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145139

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE3ECC2.C82D86E3@mailcity.net...
> Correct me if I am wrong, but I don't ever remember >Twistie or
> Landshark ever being against anyone operating legally. Even >though
> Twistie admits freely that he operates on the freeband, I >have
> don't think I have ever seen him conned anyone else who >operated
> legally

Correct JJ, I don't give a hoot in Hell what people
do on the radio, legal or illegal. Heck I don't care about
the Ham vs. CB'r stuff either, twist might, but I don't.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2604. what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 00:41:52 UTC
From: jdobbs2001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145140

whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.

2605. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 01:01:58 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <fQUE9.97$C41.27606@newsfep2-gui>
Source record: 145141

Hi

No I just want to talk to people in general, I will be home base not mobile,
any more info?

--

Kind Regards

Stuart

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:3cKcndnpJt1uU36gXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> news:xWOE9.2825$6K1.78475@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Hello all
> >
> > Bit of help needed please, I am going to buy a new rig for when I am out
> in
> > Florida next month but I am not sure what I need.
> >
> > I was thinking of a small Cobra but it doesnt appear to have ssb, do I
> need
> > ssb?
>
> The question is, who are you looking to talk to?
> If you're just interested in road info, then it's Ch19, and AM only.
>
>
>

2606. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 01:04:22 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qSUE9.33061$hK4.2869522@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145142

Maybe he needs an "anger management" seminar...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:WyqdnblywvfPl3mgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> --
> --------------
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>
> Imagine that. Remember HE went searching the web on ME. HE came up
> with
> the Sporadic Waves article..HE posted it....HE crossposted it..HE
> hacked
> it...HE asked me into a hammie forum....HE forged me when I didn't
> oblige.
>
> <snip>
>
> It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time
> and he makes such stupid remarks.
>
> --
> --------------
>
> I think Twisted is close to blowing a head gasket if you ask me. You
> mention that we might get along OK in person if we should meet, and he
> acts like you just stabbed him in the back.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician

> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>

2607. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 01:05:28 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sTUE9.33063$hK4.2869125@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145143

How about a light pole...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"john dobbs" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com...
> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> use.

2608. lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 01:15:45 UTC
From: lostinspace1827@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com>
Source record: 145144

this is a test

2609. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 02:44:57 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JkWE9.26794$vM1.2039424@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145145

Warning, Will Robinson...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...

2610. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 02:45:48 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net>
Source record: 145146

ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
(tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.

as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft 102
inches long on a mount.

Technomage

In article <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>, "john dobbs"
<jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote:

> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I built
> a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

2611. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 02:56:32 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126215632.06132.00000110@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 145147

Wilson makes an excellent antenna but the van has a fiberglass roof. Now what?

>just because a bunch of cb'ers have a newsgroup access doesnt make them
>experts. you have to do what we've done over the years and thats to try and
>test different antennas for yourself and see which works best in your
>situation. if you have a metal roof i reccomend a wilson 5000

2612. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:06:48 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <cFWE9.2024$4s6.1000157@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145148

check with Voice of America....

drc

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:sTUE9.33063$hK4.2869125@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How about a light pole...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
>
> View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "john dobbs" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com...
> > whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> > built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> > use.
>
>

2613. Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:11:18 UTC
From: "popbuttom\\n" <popb60000@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3de437dd@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 145149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Besides a new shortwave radio, here's the
PERFECT Xmas gift for that special paranoid
town kook who just can't get enough
of Alex Jones, Kevin Strom, Brother Stair
and certain so-called right wing "Nets" on
75 meter Ham Radio.....

clickinzie here! -->
http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/hitler.htm

2614. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:27:34 UTC
From: mike II <owls@look.ca>
Message-ID: <3DE43BA6.C523CF0A@look.ca>
Source record: 145151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

popbuttom\\n wrote:
>
> Besides a new shortwave radio, here's the
> PERFECT Xmas gift for that special paranoid
> town kook who just can't get enough
> of Alex Jones, Kevin Strom, Brother Stair
> and certain so-called right wing "Nets" on
> 75 meter Ham Radio.....
>
> clickinzie here! -->
> http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/hitler.htm

Neat! I can add this action figure to my Klaus Barbie collection!

mike II

2615. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:27:47 UTC
From: Rollo <webmechanic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oprgh3yqhsuoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 145150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 20:42:51 -0500, phil :p <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote:

> knowing assembly makes you a better HLL programmer. a few years ago there
> was an online "contest" among friends to see who could write the tightest
> yahoo chat client. i wrote four clients...

<blah blah?

Chill out, Phil.
Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

2616. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:35:15 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T3XE9.69360$Kj1.3052621@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145153

"Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
>
> as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft 102
> inches long on a mount.
>
> Technomage
>

You never know...somewhere there may be some fool trying to string together
a 1000 "pill" amp. Of course, he'd over-volt it too, so maybe he'll need a
bigger gen set than that. <g>

2617. FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 03:39:22 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211261939.46e5a4fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145154

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308

This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module. This allows the CB to be used as a base radio simply by sliding it into the "docking station" and plugging in the speaker and power plugs in the back and attaching an antenna! The power supply and speaker are in the base module!

This radio is COLLECTORS QUALITY! It is PRISTINE. I would give it a 9.9 on a scale of 1-10 only because it isn't brand new in the box.

I got this CB not too long ago with the intention of using it at home, but I never did. It's been kept in the original box. Included is the microphone and some of the original literature that came with the radio.

This radio works 100% and I received great audio reports when tested. It does the full 4 watts legal limit as indicated by the internal power meter and 2 different external power meters.

2618. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:05:45 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <twXE9.69555$Kj1.3067062@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145155

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29231-3DE3F25E-133@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Davey Boy Hall N3CVJ said:
> Many have blamed people like Doug and George for these messages. But
> there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one wished to be
> anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous remailers or
> other tricks.
> --
>
> THANK YOU for your subscription(s)!
> Thank you for your recent subscription(s) to our email publication(s):
> You will soon receive confirmation emails from the publishers of each
> subscribed list, including instructions on how to cancel each of your
> subscriptions.
> If you did not sign up for any of the above email publications, please
> send an email to abuse@LeadFactory.com and include the information below
> so we can track the source of abuse. Do NOT reply to this email directly
> since nobody will see your reply.
> Subscriber: twistedhed@webtv.net
> Name: Twithed Limpwrist
> IP Address: 65.238.113.48
> Hostname: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
> Date/Time: Sat Aug 24 20:05:34 GMT 2002
>

Wow! Couldn't be Doug, could it? LOL!

2619. F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:15:57 UTC
From: au273@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca>
Source record: 145156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> For Sale,

New Linear Amplifier HF-2500

If interested please E-Mail me.

O.Brown,

2620. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:27:43 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3RXE9.150035$nB.12843@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 145157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rollo" <webmechanic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oprgh3yqhsuoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com...

> Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

ROTFL!! If that ain't the truth then I don't know what is...

Thanks for the big laugh, I needed that

Jackie

2621. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:36:59 UTC
From: l33tN3w$P0$t3R <3y3H4x0r@Y0uRB0X.com>
Message-ID: <qo-dnd9Ugpoq0XmgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"popbuttom\n" <popb60000@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3de437dd@snipnews.snip.net...
> Besides a new shortwave radio, here's the
> PERFECT Xmas gift for that special paranoid
> town kook

Go pedal your spam elsewhere bozo. Now all of a sudden people have to be rightwing kooks to have a hobby like SWL? I don't think so. Many liberals find the American Media far too conserveative now and days and turn to SWL for news from a different country than our own.

Some people just don't know how to think before they speak.

2622. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:40:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu8j797qajab0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145159

I think for that kind of power you won't be able to have any antenna with an abrupt 'end' or the arcs & sparks syndrome might set in. Either a heavy rod with a ball on the end or some kind of a full size loop ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
>
> as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft 102
> inches long on a mount.
>
> Technomage
>
>
> In article <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>, "john dobbs"
> <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I built
> > a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.
>
>
> --
> I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
> My life is my own - No. 6

2623. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:48:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211262048.3594d8c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145160

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<RrGcnYMfrpI52n-gXTWcog@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021125094333.572$nn@newsreader.com...
> : twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> : >>No, seriously, I am absolutley certain you are 100% correct. There are
> : >thousands of Lelnad's out there who would never, ever say some of the
> : >things in person that they say when they are within the confines of
> : >their apartment. It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time
> : >and he makes such stupid remarks.
> : >
> : Agreed,George Busch WA3MOJ is a fine example.
>
>
> Moped all of a sudden you and twisty are tough guys LOl. Get bent you grass
> jockey.
> your nothing but a blowhard behind the keyboard. who doesn't know much about
> Cb .

what does that make you trick?????

2624. Hello.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:51:25 UTC
From: twilde_62@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4128e9eb.0211262051.6dc4a877@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145162

Used to tinker with CBs before I realized that I was actually better at communicating with them rather than butchering their innards. I was once part of this San Francisco contingent of CB'ers(circa '95-'96), and I was always curious if any of those guys were online somewhere. They probably upgraded all their goods by now..last time I heard they were on the 2 meter band.

I'm not really interested in basic CB radio transmissions, I tend to listen more than talk. I'll never buy another new Base Station, but if I were to I'd love to get another TRC-57 or the 40-channel variant of that Radio Shack model. I had one once but it was toast, worked for about a week. Had all these pretty lights and a little alarm clock too.

Anyone know where I can get one cheap? They're always on eBay for about 150 dollars or more...

2625. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:51:33 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211262051.d7f0265@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145163

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<pITE9.68127$Kj1.3019429@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
> news:EpycndZlq-agY36gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:hiME9.67850
> >
> > : I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight Stewart from all groups he
> used
> > : to frequent. Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google search for recent
> posts
> > : from both, and you'll find they both came up missing the same time.
> >
> >
> > LOL, that is funny Randy. You guys can make anything come true if you
> > believe it hard enough.I had also noticed that Osama Bin Laden has also
> not
> > been seen, could they all be one in the same.
> >
> >
> > I guess it depends what email address you search for him, his last post
> was
> > on Dec. 14, 2001 by Dwight Stewart, no where near that long since aarons
> > disapperance
> >
> >
>
> Oh really George? Try searching other groups. Appears his last post under
> that name was in late October. To wit:
>
>
> Search Result 1
> From: Dwight Stewart (stewart@sc.rr.com)
> Subject: Re: Two Direct Questions For K3LT
> View: Complete Thread (28 articles)
> Original Format
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: 2002-10-27 01:30:18 PST
>
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" wrote:
> >
> > No, Kim, actually, the way I was treated most
> > times I tried to participate in ARES ended up

> > making me a "Don't Wannabe." Unfortunately,
> > my experiences are not unique, either -- and
> > THAT's the problem here.
>
>
> Oh, come on, Larry. That sounds like an excuse to ease your conscious over
> your own lack of involvement in public service related activities. If you
> really wanted to do something in that regard, you darn sure wouldn't let
> something as trivial as someone hogging a microphone stop you.
>
> Besides, on a more humorous note, the lack of a microphone shouldn't
> bother a CW freak like you at all. Instead, you could sit beside the fellow
> with the microphone and just tap out the messages on the tabletop with your
> finger.
>
> By the way, I noticed you've picked up a vanity callsign. Didn't you use
> to rant against vanity callsigns?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> And then there's this one:Search Result 2 From: Dwight Stewart
> (stewart@sc.rr.com)Subject: Re: "Frequency" -- the movie View: Complete
> Thread (101 articles) Original Format Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2002-10-26 01:03:35 PST "Larry Roll K3LT"
> wrote:
> >
> > Well, then, I'll answer you now and Steve again -
> > for the third time. My qualifications are my
> > intelligence, my observant nature, and long working
> > experience in real world supervisory and managerial
> > positions where I was responsible for dozens of
> > people and millions of dollars worth of resources.
> > In spite of the fact that I don't aspire to be one,
> > I'm sure I could be a better EC than you could ever
> > imagine. (snip)
>
>
> I don't doubt you could be a good coordinator, Larry. Past military NCO's
> do tend to be fairly good supervisors and managers. They often have
> experience supervising a fairly large, diverse, workforce, lending a more
> level-headed approach to their dealings with others. They often routinely
> dealt with unusual situations. And they were often expected to make quick,
> but defendable, decisions.
>
> On the other hand, ex-military NCO's are also often pig-headed,
> opinionated, judgmental, overly-rigid, and somewhat zealous. Those who are
> able to temper these less desirable traits, and emphasize the more desirable
> ones, often do well managing people.
>

>
> > And one thing I would make sure of is that any and
> > all radio amateurs with an interest in Public Service
> > and ARES were welcomed with open arms, given good
> > training, lots of hands-on experience starting from
> > the very first day, and encouragement to further
> >their knowledge and operating skill as radio amateurs.
>
>
> All very nice, but also very routine (most EC's do this). The problem is
> your jump to the next few lines...
>
>
> > There would be no entrenched Ham Heroes anywhere in
> > sight. Everyone would do everything, and I'd never
> > let the same person do the same job twice in a row
> > until everyone who wanted to be involved WAS involved,
> > and had done everything that there was to be done.
> > In-building EOC positions would have to be staffed on
> > a strict 8-hour shift basis, if there are adequate
> > personnel to allow it; otherwise, shifts would be
> > adjusted to permit a change of faces and to allow
> > more people to get that experience.
>
>
> This is where we disagree. In an emergency, you want your people in the
> jobs they do best. This is not the time to be worrying about the feelings of
> the individual ham. The mission has to come first, not some worry about
> making everyone feel welcomed or feel a sense of belonging. Certainly you
> want to rotate as many people as possible. But, if a person isn't good on
> the radio, now is not the time to hand him the microphone. There are other
> jobs that person can do. By the same token, if a person is good on the
> radio, that person should get the microphone as much as possible.
>
>
> > Now, come up with some more whines and complaints.
>
>
> There are no complaints, Larry - just a difference in style.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/--
> ----------------------------So George, it appears you are mistaken.BTW,
> sorry for the HTML.
>
>
>
> >

> >
> >
> > Found this perhaps he is nursing an injury...lol
> >
> > Any word on the status of Dwight Stewart for Saturday's gmae?? I kinda
> > want him to play, I don't want there to be ANY excuses after Saturday for
> > us not being ranked!!!
> >
> >
> >

maybe he got the mark fuller treatment.....

2626. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 04:54:22 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211262054.7035ff4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145164

"BC-\)03" <BC-)03@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<zASE9.2403$ta5.373567@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Hello all
>
> My first post to this NG.
>
> I am in need of a 2970 repair person, Money is not the issue as im not
> cheap!!
>
> I have a NON dx that throws a dead carrier and sometimes no AM audio, you
> shake it around am audio comes back , got it off ebay (i know you get what
> you pay for , hence willing to pay to get it fixed) ,.... but never the less
> its got at least a cold solder , and another problem , throws a GOOD 150
> watt dead key , on ssb, 50 am as supposed to no modulation though...
>
>
> If any of you out there have had a good or better than good experience with
> someone who takes radios via mail/ups, will pay cash , mo or whatever method
> they want , paypal ....please
>
> EMAIL
>
> bennyc03@earthlink.net
>
> thanks in advance ,,, community!!
>
> 73s

my suggestion to you........fix it and sell it as fast as you
can........can you say lemonade???? i knew you could..........

2627. Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 05:17:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145165

Interesting URL. Check out
http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm

If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on 11.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2628. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 05:32:01 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021127003201.27327.00000075@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 145166

i can fix it ..let me know

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2629. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 05:34:20 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vPYE9.6983$GR5.3478@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145167

If you're planning on running a base station then I would recommend going for a rig that has SSB. Gives you the ability to tune in to any legal CB communications with in your reception range. I think for most CB's the cost of a rig with SSB isn't that much different than one with just AM. Broadening your options for communication is well worth the possible extra cost.

Dave

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:fQUE9.97$C41.27606@newsfep2-gui...
> Hi
>
> No I just want to talk to people in general, I will be home base not
mobile,
> any more info?
>
> --
>
> Kind Regards
>
> Stuart
>
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:3cKcndnpJt1uU36gXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> > news:xWOE9.2825$6K1.78475@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > Hello all
> > >
> > > Bit of help needed please, I am going to buy a new rig for when I am
out
> > in
> > > Florida next month but I am not sure what I need.
> > >
> > > I was thinking of a small Cobra but it doesnt appear to have ssb, do I
> > need
> > > ssb?
> >
> > The question is, who are you looking to talk to?
> > If you're just interested in road info, then it's Ch19, and AM only.
> >
> >
> >

>
>

2630. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 05:48:38 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <wK-cnd-Edv8qwXmgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145168

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29230-3DE3C46D-387@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
: news:3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull- >Bullshit, you are looking to silence
: anyone >who is against Legal radio,
:
:
: Stop right there.
:
: >No you stop right there oh twisted One
:
:
: Truth has always been your enemy.... to be snipped and clipped by you,
: but never works.
: This has never been indicative of anyone here
:
:
: >Just because you say so twityhed doesn't >make it true.
:
:
: I didn't say it, YOU did..YOU claimed people here try to silence legal
: advocation of radio but as always, can't cite anything,,,anything at all
: to back what YOU say. Now, before you get confused and bedeviled again,
: try and remember what you say..reminding you of it is akin to schooling
: hammies like yourself and Lelnad and Hall and Doc on the finer merits of
: the art of communication, for which you have all demonstrated a
: preponderance of failure at....none of you were ever taught what
: etiquette is let alone how to dispense it and mind your own P's and Q's.
: Much of it can be attributed to y'alls upbringing, or lack of.
:
:
:
:
: except
: you girls and your lies
:
:
: >Oh what is this oh twisted one you claim >others throw insults
:
:
:
: It's not a claim..it's the bare truth.
:

:
: >well look at you mad hatter<
:
:
: I don't get mad at artificial worlds and I certainly don't wear a
: hat...I have a complete thick head of hair....185 pounds of twisted
: steel and sex appeal, but then again, that may be what attracts you and
: Hall and Lelnad to me like a moth to flame...y'all share a divine
: penchant for same-sex satiations and comments concerning strangers based
: on their cb views...hahah..talk about twisted!!!
:
:
: > you are no better than those you carry a >grudge agaisnt
:
:
:
:
:
: I carry no grudge......anything from me is return fire from you losers
: that drew first blood. Always has been, always will be.
:
:
: >Oh truer words were never spoken <
:
:
: Exactly.
:
:
: >just because you say it doesn't ,make it so. <
:
: Correct..that's why I always manage to support my posts with proof,,such
: as the ones where Doc lied...Hall lied, Lelnad forged and lied, you
: lie.....I say again...amazing what this lil ol cb thing can do in the
: manner of causing catastrophic psychological breakdowns in poor azzed
: hammies like yourself and those named who no one will asscociate or
: claim.
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
: Again,,,,,,the entire truth.
: You and the other playpen clowns
:
:
: >Oh there you go again with the insults <

: The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone
: in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad
: included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You
: sound like a woman with your whining
****

Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who you say insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it, all in the mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet cry about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10 year old.
:
:
: >should you be taken with any credibility if this >is what you resort to
:
:
:
: Hhahaha..what I "resort" to is whupping your azzes with your own game.

So you say

: You gys had no clue the rock you were overturning..like usenet..you
: can't take it back (roaring with laughter)!

Youare correct on that I knew you crawled from under a rock.

:
: Return fire and it is burning you fast. You are very quick to attempt to perpetuate against another :what you cry is unfairly,

Return fire, nah just hypocritical fire, you cry that those hurl insults then you turn around and do it then get your panties in a bunch when I remind you the jackass you are making yourself out to be.

:Hypocrite and Liar
: are a few names that come to mind when I think of you, Lelnad and Hall
: and Doc.

Hmm those were the exact words I used to describe you a few posts back, I see they made there way back to me so I guess this is return fire to, well he called me it so Ill call him that typical of you twisty. here is a hankie for you, go wash off.

: .worked very well,,,thankyouverymuch! In addition, you are the only one
: crying about it for the fifth day in a row, great to know it really
: struck a nerve with you. I'll keep it up whenever I can.

Keep your own nose clean

: I don't turn anyone in who doesn't harass me. AS sharkie noted, if your
: losers would obey the rules of their ISP, whatever I complain about is
: irrrelevant..hahhahahhaha! Poor Boy George.
:
:

: "Hypocrite" is applied...such as been done with several "hammies'
: lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is.....
: here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.
:
:
: >He may "have" been a criminal in the past, >does that mean he still is?
:
:
: Sure he is..a liar too.
:
: >Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you >should too.
:
:
:
: Then he wouldn't be defending amps he claimed were illegal only 2 weeks
: ago, but, alas, he did, so your attempt at lawyering for him is null.
: How much credibility do you actually think that carries?
:
:
: >What all your Lies? and hypocritical >statements.
:
:
:
:
: Hall's self-contradictions. The contingency has noted he talks out both
: sides of his azz/mouth without any assistance from me or you.
: Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved
: otherwise.
:
: >who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just >because you say it
: doesn't make it so.
:
:
:
:
: Paul is Doc.......you are correct....it doesn't matter what I say,,

Yes I know I am correct it doesn't matter what you say.

See,,I have hammie friends all around...it's exactly how Lelnad was caught trying to pathetically be heard on the freeband jamming me..

Oh so your saying a Ham heard him on the freeband? and he was saying he was twistedhed? I don't know why he would want to be you of all people. I think that Is a boldface lie. Where is your facts or proof ? Earlier in this post you did say you only post facts. Well prove it otherwise what you say doesn't matter and is to be taken along with the rest of your words an outright lie.

:how else do you think we know he has his call on his plate?

because he said it was.

:Hammies hammies everywhere and nuthin' you can do about it!!

No there is nothing you can do about it.

: It was very easy to show he is a lying sob just like you

really well post some of my lies then, you have given these guys all that attention pos some of the things you say I am lieing about, you do posts facts right? So lets have my lies with something to back up that they are indeed lies. Other than your opinion. OK I am all ears and would love for you to bring my lies out in the open. Father twisty. No rush, and no opinions just facts .. Ill be waiting.

: Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and
: lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt
: them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for
: pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies.

well search the archives and point out my lies with FACTS not opinions can you do that.

It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
: You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie
: radio. All facts.

All Facts?? LOL If they are facts it should be easy to prove I havent lost any isp mail servers or whatever so go ahead and prove a few things.

: and comment and flame me all you want........

Here is a hankie again twisty your crying again, and yet continue the hypocritical approach, hurl insults etc and think that it is ok.

: >Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at >those he claims do it .

: Claim? No my son,,,it is no claim..it is a plain simple facts backed by
: y'alls posts....it's why I love usenet..you girls can't take it back.

And neither can you you have insulted all those you accuse and the excuse it is return fire is so lame not one of your keyclown heathen will back you on it.

:
:

: Facts are true statements backed by proof.

Start putting facts forth concerning me otherwise it is lip service and whining.

everyone knows you are washed up and
: tired...hell, most don't even read your posts,

Oh I see they keep you busy my impotent one from florida

: > That word is your favorite when your getting >spanked you throw it
: around like the boy who >cried wolf.

:
:
:
: Gee, Boy George, ...you appear angry.

No more than you dementedhed

: Don't worry,

Me worry? Nah your worthless.

:we won't
who is we?

: Laughing at your impotency does not constitute a fetish...as another
: matter of fact, there would be nothing to laugh about if you girls had a
: clue or were effective in any of your endeavors, but seeing how y'all
: are magnificent failures at hammies and human beings,,,,,,,it makes it
: all worth while to watch you dogs squirm on the floor.
:
:
:
: >Are you on meds for it?
:
:
: You claimed "consequences" for us......failing to produce as usual,
: .

Yes. if you break the law and are caught there are consequences, I am not
the FCC I do not hand out the penalty for operating illegally that is up to
them so yes your illegal operating does have consequences.

:highlights the impotency I speak of

Yes everyone knows by know that you are impotent please keep your personal
life seperate from what goes on in this NG.

:
:
: >Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.
:
:
: Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else
: connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by
: those who have cast such huge stones.
: Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.
:
:
: "The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group,
: started spouting the "Rules
: & Regulations",
:
:

: Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post they knew when they did that
: it was now going to be nothing more than them
: to troll the group, as
: they are still doing to this very day."
:
: >They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped
: >all over Mark fuller and anyone who dares >speak out against illegal
: radio.
:
: I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I
: still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad
: and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.
:
: >More insults and lies... hypocrite<
:
:
: No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..I
: certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....y'all came to me.....as
: always..I just sit back and relax and y'all do it to yourselves oh so
: much better than anyone else ever could :)
:
:
:
: >I wonder why Landwhale<
:
: There you go again.....insults for no reason other than you don't like
: what he says.
: Juvenile comes to mind.
:
: >Yes, I was thinking that as well,<
:
:
: So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?
:
:
: > perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply >havent grown up or perhaps
: you did and you >are retarted,
:
:
: Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.
: Check your spell check, Boy wonder.
:
:
:
: .
: > you claim others insult people then you do <
:
:
:
:

: >Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the >kitchen, boy Georgey
: wa3moj.
:
:
: >the whole post makes you wonder what is in >the water down there.
:
:
: Making you wonder is no feat.
:
:
: >is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
: >the foulest mouths in the NG, wanna see >some examples Mark?
:
:
: Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
: criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
: up his language?
:
: >Well according to you what Dave Hall did >before should be held agaisnt
: him now,
:
: I said nothing of the sort. That is 5 false attributions you attempted
: but failed with in this thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce
: anything but words.....nothing..nada..not one iota of anything that
: can back any of your lies.
: hahahahhhaaha!.
:
:
: > which set of rules is it this time ..... Jesus >friggin Christ
: Twisty YOU ARE A
: >HYPOCRITE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
: >!!!!!!!!!!
:
:
:
:
: Then show something of substance instead of crying crocodile tears to
: usand howling ike a school marm.
:
:
:
:
:
:
: Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,
:
:
: >just because you say it doesnt make it so<
:
:

: Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he
: learned since those days but self-contradicts his own posts from two
: weeks ago..complete and polar opposite of what he posted a mere two
: weeks ago,,this makes him a liar, con man, lawbreaker, and of cource,
: your fave....a hypocrite.
: ...
:
: >And I beleive he has as much as landwhale
:
:
: As much WHAT?
: Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you but
: return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not
: laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down
: your sorry throats.
:
:
: >has so which set of rules is it I will ask again.
:
:
: Ask what?
:
:
: >the Hypocrite from Florida rules?
:
:
:
: Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding
: boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or
: words.
:
:
:
: "Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion,
: really bothers you doesn't it?"
:
:
: >Yes it does<
:
:
: Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us
: who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.
:
:
: >Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <
:
:
: Sure it was...YOU said it.
:
:

: >butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my
: post and try and >change the meaning.
:
:
: Look at the posts again, boy Georgey..you are the guilty "snipper" head,
: not I...ahahahahaha!.
:
:
: >If you post the rest that you cut you will see I >am not talking about
: me, you know that, it is >just another twisty trick went bad
:
:
:
:
: Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need
: not make up anything..merely use y'alls own posts to consistently and
: constantly remind newbies that the members of AArons pets are not to be
: trusted. Y'all made your own bed and now you are forced (as in have no
: choice) to lay in it and stink.
:

2631. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 06:08:52 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <sI2cnRN24bv__HmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145169

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com...
> Interesting URL. Check out
> http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm
>
> If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are
> unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on
11.

Very skeptical.

I'd want to see some independent tests before putting any money down on it.

2632. Re: President modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 06:47:43 UTC
From: Fred Zepp <jca1954@irpimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3de46e03_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 145186

To find the info is easy, use a search engine and run a search on president+cb radio+mods.
"Harmon Seaver" <hseaver@cybershamanix.com> wrote in message news:xduC9.1320$Kw6.819391@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Can anyone tell me which President radios are modifiable and/or where
> I can find info on mods for them? Thanx.
>

2633. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 07:20:31 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.184e3c48b9dee76398978a@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 145084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <IJzD9.3848$EY.3229@fe01>, w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net says...
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > > And your callsign is?
> > >
> > > 73 de W6DKN
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs
> > since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure
> > you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW
> > thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't
> > have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...
> >
>
> So, in effect, you have never experienced anything beyond basic entry level
> operation? That's not much scope of experience to be basing such a negative
> view of the hobby on.
>
> Since you claim to have passed the 5 WPM element in the past, all you have
> to do is show proof of it to the examiner when you take your General (or
> higher) written element and you will have your HF ticket. Isn't that what
> you wanted, elevated privileges without having to take the code test?
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>
>
>

Yeah, it's what I wanted, but the hunger for it has passed by. I still
listen a lot, since I can do something else while doing it. If I have
the cash to buy an HF rig, I won't buy it, I will buy a new PC,or upgrade
one instead. Maybe that will change someday, who knows...

BDK

2634. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 07:22:23 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as1sg9rk2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145170

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:zHidnfTT-J4-0X-gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> Running less power will help as well. For the sorts of interference
> described here, I would expect to see >100W in use. I run 100W
> and cause no interference to either of my very close neighbors with
> a fairly low mounted antenna.

I have seen CBers using 1KW, with no inteference... but I have also
seen CBers have problems with box standard 4Watt FM radios,
even on low power (400mW).

> > Inteference to anything that is NOT a reciever (eg. computers,
> > cd players, etc) CANNOT possibly be caused by a "dirty"
> > signal. NO amount of harmonics can intefere with something
> > that is not designed to pick up radio signals.
>
> Not true.
> Any device that has semiconductors in it is suceptible to RF interference.
> For each device, some band of frequencies will produce interference at a
> lower level than others.

But that is still missing the point... the equipment should NOT
be picking up radio signals. If it can pick up the harmonics of
CB, then it can pick up the transmissions that are supposed
to be on those frequencies.

> Also, why not clean up your signal?

Are your legal CBs not made to a standard, with a very low
harmonic and spurious content?
I know that ours are in the UK. They have undergo Government
testing before approval.

> All that junk is costing you power, that isn't available
> to go into the main carrier and sidebands, where the
> information is.

Unless the set has a serious fault, the few nW of spurious will NOT
make a difference to your distance.
Also, consider that you would be trying to reduce an unwanted
signal from the output... not add to the wanted signal.
Then consider the loss you may get from an external low pass filter,
and how much of that loss may be radiated from the device... the
ones I have seen for CB are not designed too well.

To make a noticeable difference to your distance, your signal would
need to be extremely "dirty"... like losind half it's power in unwanted
frequencies.
Now, I have seen this happen... but with an illegal and seriously poor
modification. The radio was putting out signals all over 10 and
11 Metres, due to mixing in the PLL section of additional xtals.

> It's also causing problems, both the ones you know about,
> and the ones you don't know about.
>
> External filters help, but they are a patch, not a solution.
> If you clean up the rig, you'll get better performance, and less
> interference, a win-win situation.

Front end overload cannot be cured by "cleaning up your signal". In the UK,
the "RA" (Radiocommunications Agency" and the "RIS" (Radio Investigation
Service) both seem to accept that the most common cause of inteference in
overloading of poorly designed domestic equipment.

As the person has said that space and location of antenna are a problem... I
would suspect an overload problem. If he is already running a legal system,
I would not suspect a "dirty" signal... unless your FCC allows the sale of
"dirty" CBs.
Reducing the power may cure it, but there is no guarantee. He may
already be running legal power, as are so many others who intefere.

Regards,

Peter.

2635. Re: Bar jokes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 07:22:27 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as1sgerk5@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arudkh$ljcgh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> <snip>
>
> The bartender asks, "Look man, doesn't anyone in your family like women?"
>
> The guy replies, "Yeah, my sister does."

LMAO.

Regards,

Peter.

2636. Help With RCI2990DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 07:47:39 UTC
From: frankie <phrankie@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fhGdnfz0j5km03mgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello all. I recently bought a RCI 2990DX. Shipped to me with a frequency conversion chip that i was supposed to install if i were to use the radio in the usa. I tried to install it, and when i did the raido turned off and now it wont come back on! please help, did i burn out the mainboard? blow a fuse? -Frank

2637. radios and stuff for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 08:48:12 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <vd19uugv3mcgfaobori6pk12hoq3pgv3mn@4ax.com>
Source record: 145172

```
Northstar Base 9500 $350/BO
Galaxy 99v $225
Magnum Delta Force $175/BO
President Lincoln $250
Texas Star DX-400 $200
paypal or money orders - e-mail with offers - open for trades for ??
```

2638. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 10:42:22 UTC
From: jokerjoe <snicker@giggleatyou.com>
Message-ID: <5b89uus6vval0evgooknu9bh0igkia8baa@4ax.com>
Source record: 145173

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 02:44:57 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> was blabbling:

...Warning, Will Robinson...

Danger! Danger!

LOL

2639. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 11:43:25 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127064325.01295.00008058@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 145174

There are a couple of ac steel tube moblies running that kind wattage.Most use 1/4 wave dipoles in a multi element beam setup.

2640. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 11:54:48 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <co2F9.12009$aT3.8517@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 145175

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:arv7fnqak8@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b7d1465c.0211220524.794fcfe6@posting.google.com....
> >
> > Ok, this is an interesting idea. I'll attempt a more reasoned look at
> > this rather than some of the more caustic replies I've read thus far.
>
> Caustic... on this group... surely not ;~)
>
> > I agree with the return of licensing. With it, come accountability.
> > Sure, there'll be naysayers that'll say "What guarantees they'll
> > follow THESE rules?" The simple answer is NOTHING! Some will, some
> > won't...thus is human nature. CB radio, amateur radio, or any other
> > special interest group is just a microcosm of society at large.
> > There's no Utopian answer. A licensing fee however might help fund
> > increased enforcement.
>
> It has not brought enforcement over here.
> You only have to look back through the "bust" figures. Even back in the
> 80s, when CB was doing well here, out of 100s of thousands breaking CB
rules
> (very few were totally legal), the "bust" figure was not in the 5 or 6
digit
> figures... think two digits - 20 to 30 per year.
> If CBers complained about abuse, it was ignored.
>
> Governments are great at taking your money for one service... then
spending
> it elsewhere. We have to pay high "road tax" charges for cars, yet the
> roads are in a mess and we are being threatened with "tolls" for using the
> roads that we have already paid to maintain. They want to tax us for the
> roads, then charge us again when we actually use them.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

Hi Peter,

Long time, no read. How's life on your side of the pond? Well, I hope. I try to give the folks the most optimistic outcomes possible. The plain truth doesn't seem to sit well. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2641. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:01:20 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <bm2F9.84875$g9.240066@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
> > For Sale,
>
> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
>
> If interested please E-Mail me.
>

Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
etc......

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2642. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:02:12 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <91d9uusmik457ttuvs7em2aoolhffrfh8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 145177

On 27 Nov 2002 11:43:25 GMT, allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) was
blabbling:

...There are a couple of ac steel tube moblies running that kind
wattage.Most use
...1/4 wave dipoles in a multi element beam setup.

Don't Monkey Made, make an antenna for that kind of power?

2643. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:06:36 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <7r2F9.84879$g9.240066@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145178

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021127064325.01295.00008058@mb-mk.aol.com...
> There are a couple of ac steel tube moblies running that kind
wattage.Most use
> 1/4 wave dipoles in a multi element beam setup.

Then the next problem will be what co-ax to use.... Me don't thinks RG-58
will like that power level too much! ;-)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2644. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:08:38 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <1t2F9.84881$g9.239204@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145179

"Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
>

Not to mention the fact that the antenna would need to be mounted on the
semi that was travelling 1/2 mile down the road just so you could meet the
relevant electromagnetic radiation exposure levels for the operator!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2645. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:12:41 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu9djhbo88nuc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> <blah blah?
>
> Chill out, Phil.
> Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN! :D speaking of which... have you ever visited the "99 bottles of beer" site? check it out...

http://99-bottles-of-beer.ls-la.net/

2646. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:12:50 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu9djqs3pg7bcb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

the new hitler doll, walther PPK and cyanide NOT included! poor hitler...
had to kill himself using two methods to be sure he'd get at least one
right.

2647. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:24:42 UTC
From: "Stephen C. Robbins" <srobbinsNoSPaMDUDES@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <3de4b9e3$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 145182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:bm2F9.84875> Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the
complete info on this
> amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
> etc......
>
>

Nah. Anyone with a newsreader probably has a web browser and can just spend
5 seconds finding the web page at: http://www.command1.com/hf-2500.htm

2648. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:47:40 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <M93F9.9580$GR5.4461@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145183

Hey,

How about a big one, mounted on a boat. Sunk in to saltwater. Maybe out in the middle of the Pacific ocean (whew been a long time since I spelled that). Or would the Atlantic be better. MMM

But it has to be in the Middle. Then the radiation suit may be readily available giving the current status of our current events.

We can all sit on the beach and watch for the glow. And say, now there is a person who cares about his neighbors. :)

What kind of filters could he find to keep that from coming across the microwave. I will have that guy calling me and talking for an hour on the toll free line telling me how he hears his refrigerator talk, and toaster, and microwave. It think he told me he heard his silverware talking the last time he called.

Yeah.

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:1t2F9.84881$g9.239204@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that the antenna would need to be mounted on the
> semi that was travelling 1/2 mile down the road just so you could meet the
> relevant electromagnetic radiation exposure levels for the operator!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002
>
>

2649. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 12:48:10 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ea3F9.131584$P31.70484@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 145184

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:1t2F9.84881$g9.239204@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that the antenna would need to be mounted on the
> semi that was travelling 1/2 mile down the road just so you could meet the
> relevant electromagnetic radiation exposure levels for the operator!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002
>
Sorry couldn't resist. I'll stop.
Deb

2650. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 13:07:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE4C3A3.6045@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145190

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308
> This auction is for a truely unique radio circa 1973! It is a Robyn
> DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module.
>
> >Just a point of clarification; 40 channel radios >did not appear until
> Jan. 1st, 1977, so it's not >quite as old as 1973.....
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> As usual, it's an incorrect "clarification". Protoypes were avaliable
> much earlier. Ask anyone that had a real cb shop.

You're on drugs again. If someone wanted to "make" their own crystalled
out 40 channel radio, they certainly could. But the FCC did not
authorize 40 channel radios to be legally sold until Jan 1st, 1977.
That's a fact, told to you from someone who was there then.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2651. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 13:31:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE4C93B.170B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145188

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and
> lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt
> them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for
> pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies. It's all y'all can
> do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
> You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie
> radio. All facts.
> You can sit here and fill the group with your hate that consumes all 3
> of you and comment and flame me all you want........it changes nothing
> concerning your own actions.

Heh Heh Heh... Sure looks like Twisty's heading for a nervous breakdown.

All he can say now is, Liar! Liar! Liar! (Your pants are on fire!).

There are doctors who can ease your pain. A little valium, or maybe a
little Prozac, can work wonders for you. And maybe you'll see reality in
a much clearer light......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2652. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 13:48:14 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211270548.7aaf5ec7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Lou,
I think your books are good... but it's not proper to make
advertisements like this on any NG. We certainly don't mind a link or
two... but this full page sales pitch is really unacceptable. I'm sure
you understand.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<95u5uu8jqlhfg5gt8of09h4e6rpo7jeboc@4ax.com>...
> To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from
> people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from
> CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on
> our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.
>
> Check it out! Go to this link:
>
> http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&c
ompleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific,
> chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Some of the books included are:
>
> BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing
> CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever
> published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers
> peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna
> & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal &
> PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific
> instructions for most brands including the new export models. This
> latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM
> conversions, and much more!
>
> BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference
> source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL

> theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify
> them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing
> diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every
> PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis,
> with specific listings of all models using the chip.
>
> BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant
> 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up
> where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit
> descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL,
> solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers
> test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers,
> receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas,
> interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations
> including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets
> you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any
> serious CB technician!
>
> For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
>
>
> **********
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2653. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:21:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE4D4F0.6ADC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145189

Tunafish wrote:
>
> : Stop right there.
> :
> : >No you stop right there oh twisted One
> :
> :
> : Truth has always been your enemy.... to be snipped and clipped by you,
> : but never works.
> : This has never been indicative of anyone here
> :
> :
> : >Just because you say so twityhed doesn't >make it true.

Don't waste your time. Twisty believes what he wants to believe, no matter what facts or logical conclusions another person may provide to discredit him.

His poor comprehension of networking protocols, and internet routing, allows him to make false conclusions about where certain people post from. When you try to set him straight, he accuses you of lieing.

His inability to follow analogies or to comprehend (which may be partially the fault of that lame webTV) ideas, allows him to draw incorrect conclusions. Note how his replies often mix quoted text from several different people in the reply chain, and is difficult to follow for those of us with "real" newsreaders.

This, of course, leads to his enevitable twisting of words or the assumption of implied meanings that may or may not be there. He then substitutes his implied conclusion for fact, which further clouds his objectivity. When you attempt to correct this incorrect assumption, he calls you a liar. Go figure. He also has a very annoying habit of not being able to stay focussed on the topic, instead spending too much time on nuances, and going off on tangents, that are only remotely related to the original subject. When you attempt to bring the train back on track, he then accuses *you* of changing the subject.

His fragile ego, which needs constant validation from others, real or imagined, drives him to make statements like "The majority of hams agree with me", or "The majority of the newsgroup agrees with me", etc. This constant need to align himself with this silent "majority" illustates the importance to him, that his views be accepted by some large group. The fact that he relies on this imagined "majority", for acceptance also underscores how poorly his ideals stand on their own. I suspect that in real life, quite the opposite is true, as he is likely a loner, finding

little in common with anyone. Any rational person would realize that speaking for the majority of any group is an impossible statement to make, since he's not in a position to know what anyone, outside of his small circle of malcontents, thinks at all, much less a "majority". Yet Twisty's view is always in the majority, despite the fact that no one else has ever spoken up publically and offered up their support for his "view" of things. But it must be true, because he says so. He's a legend in his own mind.

The realization, that some people know him and his particular psychosis, only too well, makes him very uncomfortable, which causes him to lash out with much vitriol and ad-hominem attacks. This also drives him to spend an inordinate amount of time sifting through archived messages to fuel his quest. Where most rational, mature people, would simply ignore others who do not share their vision, twisty devotes much time and effort in maligning them instead. This is also indicative of an obsession, which is further evidence of mental psychosis.

His "proof" is nothing more than a warped opinion, based on invalid conclusions, derived from a general inability to fully comprehend the subject at hand. Yet he'll accuse his opposition of the very same thing.

You could tell him a bunch of facts and he'll just accuse you of "lieing". Such is the nature of the beast.

Try to tell a nut that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're crazy. Which is why I find it more rewarding to argue with a brick wall. In the end, you accomplish the same thing, but it's much less messy and it might save him from finally snapping and going postal or such. Good thing he lives in the swampland of Florida, and not in a well populated place like New York City.

That's why I've chosen to ignore his feeble attempts at maligning me. I care little about what he thinks of me. I would trust that the others on here, that have been around as long as I have been, also know better.

Of course, if you're just taking a shot at the punch clown, for pure entertainment, then ignore what I've just said, and have at it....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2654. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to my lips...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:25:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE4D5E1.F2B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145192

FMRNYCER wrote:
>
> Wilson makes an excellent antenna but the van has a fiberglass roof. Now what?

20 years ago, I had a Ford conversion van with the raised fiberglass roof. I ran a gutter mount at the rear gutter, where the original van roof ended and the fiberglass top started. I used a 4 foot fiberglass whip, with good results. Your pattern will be somewhat directional toward the front of the van, but SWR was good, and it seemed to perform well. A Wilson 2000 will work well in that application too.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2655. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:27:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE4D65D.6A66@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145191

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> ----------
> --------------
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>
> Imagine that. Remember HE went searching the web on ME. HE came up
> with
> the Sporadic Waves article..HE posted it....HE crossposted it..HE
> hacked
> it...HE asked me into a hammie forum....HE forged me when I didn't
> oblige.
>
> <snip>
>
> It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time
> and he makes such stupid remarks.
>
> ----------
> --------------
>
> I think Twisted is close to blowing a head gasket if you ask me. You
> mention that we might get along OK in person if we should meet, and he
> acts like you just stabbed him in the back.

I noticed the same thing. His attacks have become more venomous and more intense as of late. I guess when the holiday season approaches and you have no one to spend quality time with, it brings out negative feelings. Some people get depressed, some turn to anger.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2656. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:33:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu9ltfqi3c0c62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145187

I agree. I was hoping someone had tried it. I received a nice e-mail stating essentially the same thing. I saw the IEEE papers on a theoretical antenna that could become vanishingly small - and yet work. However, since the theory, there have been a number of antennas - some commercial installations. So far it seems the commercial antennas appear to work, but as the field strength measurements appear to have been 'fudged' a bit, not as well, perhaps, as advertised. Other designs have appeared, yet no individual seems to have been able to reliably duplicate the claims.

Thanks for the input & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:sI2cnRN24bv__HmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com...
> > Interesting URL. Check out
> > http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm
> >
> > If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are
> > unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on
> 11.
>
> Very skeptical.
>
> I'd want to see some independent tests before putting any money down on
it.
>
>

2657. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:39:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <4EednXH2IPdnRXmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145193

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:as1sg9rk2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:zHidnfTT-J4-0X-gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> > Running less power will help as well. For the sorts of interference
> > described here, I would expect to see >100W in use. I run 100W
> > and cause no interference to either of my very close neighbors with
> > a fairly low mounted antenna.
>
> I have seen CBers using 1KW, with no inteference... but I have also
> seen CBers have problems with box standard 4Watt FM radios,
> even on low power (400mW).

Yes.

> > > Inteference to anything that is NOT a reciever (eg. computers,
> > > cd players, etc) CANNOT possibly be caused by a "dirty"
> > > signal. NO amount of harmonics can intefere with something
> > > that is not designed to pick up radio signals.
> >
> > Not true.
> > Any device that has semiconductors in it is suceptible to RF
interference.
> > For each device, some band of frequencies will produce interference at a
> > lower level than others.
>
> But that is still missing the point... the equipment should NOT
> be picking up radio signals. If it can pick up the harmonics of
> CB, then it can pick up the transmissions that are supposed
> to be on those frequencies.

True.
Still, making sure you are only radiating the intended signals is a very
good first step in troubleshooting. I've seen cases where amps have gone
into spurious oscillation, being a transmitter all by themselves on some
uncontrolled frequency. Sucks your power down, and causes serious
interference.

> Are your legal CBs not made to a standard, with a very low
> harmonic and spurious content?
> I know that ours are in the UK. They have undergo Government
> testing before approval.

Yes, but non-approved ones are on the shelf, and aftermarket mods, and
"linear" amps that aren't linear are quite common. The amps are illegal full

stop, but that hasn't prevented them from being available.

> To make a noticeable difference to your distance, your signal would
> need to be extremely "dirty"... like losind half it's power in unwanted
> frequencies.
> Now, I have seen this happen... but with an illegal and seriously poor
> modification. The radio was putting out signals all over 10 and
> 11 Metres, due to mixing in the PLL section of additional xtals.

Me too, which is why I mention it.

> Front end overload cannot be cured by "cleaning up your signal". In the
UK,
> the "RA" (Radiocommunications Agency" and the "RIS" (Radio Investigation
> Service) both seem to accept that the most common cause of inteference in
> overloading of poorly designed domestic equipment.

Without knowing exactly what sort of interference is happening, it's hard to be specific on cleanup steps. I don't know that front end overloading is the problem in this case.

> As the person has said that space and location of antenna are a problem...
I
> would suspect an overload problem. If he is already running a legal
system,
> I would not suspect a "dirty" signal... unless your FCC allows the sale of
> "dirty" CBs.

It's not legal, but they are sold, and of course after-sale mods are common.

2658. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 14:46:19 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <-NqdnYu_XtomR3mgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145194

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021127064325.01295.00008058@mb-mk.aol.com...
: There are a couple of ac steel tube moblies running that kind
wattage.Most use
: 1/4 wave dipoles in a multi element beam setup.

LOL yeah ok, and I guess you saw the watt meter.

2659. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 15:06:04 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <t2KdnXY-VJDAQnmgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145195

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message

: Don't waste your time. Twisty believes what he wants to believe, no
: matter what facts or logical conclusions another person may provide to
: discredit him.

Dave you are correct it is a waste of time like arguing with a mental
patient from Bellevue.

: When you try to set him straight, he accuses you of lieing.

Yes, I have seen this first hand. His non comprehension of things means the
person who siad it is lieing LOL

: Note how his replies often mix quoted text from
: several different people in the reply chain, and is difficult to follow
: for those of us with "real" newsreaders.

Dave that is all part of the twisted persona/MO baffle us with bullshit.

:
: This, of course, leads to his enevitable twisting of words or the
: assumption of implied meanings that may or may not be there. He then
: substitutes his implied conclusion for fact, which further clouds his
: objectivity. When you attempt to correct this incorrect assumption, he
: calls you a liar. Go figure.

Dave absolutly, here is a example of how he twists the context of a sentence

I would say something like " I don't think the sky looks red today, it looks
blue to me.

Twisted's repy would be to twist it out of context like this " the sky
looks red today" and he will then say I said "the sky looks red, classic
example of twisty at work.

:He also has a very annoying habit of not
: being able to stay focussed on the topic, instead spending too much time
: on nuances, and going off on tangents, that are only remotely related
: to the original subject. When you attempt to bring the train back on
: track, he then accuses *you* of changing the subject.

LOL Dave you have sure got him bagged and tagged, damn to a "T"

:
:
: His fragile ego, which needs constant validation from others, real or
: imagined, drives him to make statements like "The majority of hams agree

: with me", or "The majority of the newsgroup agrees with me", etc.

I have yet to see the NG jump in to help twisty he is out there alone, I
think they also think he is a whack job.

: Yet
: Twisty's view is always in the majority, despite the fact that no one
: else has ever spoken up publically and offered up their support for his
: "view" of things. But it must be true, because he says so. He's a legend
: in his own mind.

Dave what he says doesn't matter he has admitted to being a troll remember
his statement of " return fire" that means he also is a troll. If someone
else does it he will do it LOL kinda childish.

:
: The realization, that some people know him and his particular psychosis,
: only too well, makes him very uncomfortable, which causes him to lash
: out with much vitriol and ad-hominem attacks. This also drives him to
: spend an inordinate amount of time sifting through archived messages to
: fuel his quest.

Yes, I have him scouring the archives now, he says he has facts that I lied
I am waiting for them, not just his twisted opinion.

Where most rational, mature people, would simply ignore
: others who do not share their vision, twisty devotes much time and
: effort in maligning them instead.

rational and twisty don't go together.

: His "proof" is nothing more than a warped opinion, based on invalid
: conclusions, derived from a general inability to fully comprehend the
: subject at hand. Yet he'll accuse his opposition of the very same thing.

Ah , so we think the same of this vermin, his proof is exactly as you say
his opinion, LOL
dave LOL it is only return fire.

: You could tell him a bunch of facts and he'll just accuse you of
: "lieing". Such is the nature of the beast.

Dave, people like twisty who are a liar, accuse others of doing that
because they need to justify there own lies and think everyone else is like
they are a liar.

: Try to tell a nut that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're crazy.
: Which is why I find it more rewarding to argue with a brick wall. In the
: end, you accomplish the same thing, but it's much less messy and it
: might save him from finally snapping and going postal or such. Good
: thing he lives in the swampland of Florida, and not in a well populated
: place like New York City.
:

Oh boy I couldn't imagine this fruit loop running the streets of NY, he would probably fit in though

: That's why I've chosen to ignore his feeble attempts at maligning me.

Dave hey he is allowed return fire remember, it is ok for him to do it.

: Of course, if you're just taking a shot at the punch clown, for pure
: entertainment, then ignore what I've just said, and have at it....

Dave it is somewhat entertaining listening to him babble and twist and fabricate lies, you would think people like twisty are locked up, yet they are walking the streets hide your children.

2660. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 15:07:26 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <Haidna3ED6gzQnmgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145196

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127095716.508

: Sounds like you're describing WA3MOJ. ;D

When he uses the word asshole, or troll i guess thats when he starts to describe you.
Hey Steve was this ne of your helpful CB related posts? nah just a troll like usual.

2661. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 15:35:46 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211270735.3f6e0eb6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145247

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<wK-cnd-Edv8qwXmgXTWcow@comcast.com>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:29230-3DE3C46D-387@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> : From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
> : "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> : news:3275-3DE28F93-902@storefull- >Bullshit, you are looking to silence
> : anyone >who is against Legal radio,
> :
> :
> : Stop right there.
> :
> : >No you stop right there oh twisted One
> :
> :
> : Truth has always been your enemy.... to be snipped and clipped by you,
> : but never works.
> : This has never been indicative of anyone here
> :
> :
> : >Just because you say so twityhed doesn't >make it true.
> :
> :
> : I didn't say it, YOU did..YOU claimed people here try to silence legal
> : advocation of radio but as always, can't cite anything,,,anything at all
> : to back what YOU say. Now, before you get confused and bedeviled again,
> : try and remember what you say..reminding you of it is akin to schooling
> : hammies like yourself and Lelnad and Hall and Doc on the finer merits of
> : the art of communication, for which you have all demonstrated a
> : preponderance of failure at....none of you were ever taught what
> : etiquette is let alone how to dispense it and mind your own P's and Q's.
> : Much of it can be attributed to y'alls upbringing, or lack of.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : except
> : you girls and your lies
> :
> :
> : >Oh what is this oh twisted one you claim >others throw insults
> :
> :
> :

> : It's not a claim..it's the bare truth.
> :
> :
> : >well look at you mad hatter<
> :
> :
> : I don't get mad at artificial worlds and I certainly don't wear a
> : hat...I have a complete thick head of hair....185 pounds of twisted
> : steel and sex appeal, but then again, that may be what attracts you and
> : Hall and Lelnad to me like a moth to flame...y'all share a divine
> : penchant for same-sex satiations and comments concerning strangers based
> : on their cb views...hahah..talk about twisted!!!
> :
> :
> : > you are no better than those you carry a >grudge agaisnt
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : I carry no grudge......anything from me is return fire from you losers
> : that drew first blood. Always has been, always will be.
> :
> :
> : >Oh truer words were never spoken <
> :
> :
> : Exactly.
> :
> :
> : >just because you say it doesn't ,make it so. <
> :
> : Correct..that's why I always manage to support my posts with proof,,such
> : as the ones where Doc lied...Hall lied, Lelnad forged and lied, you
> : lie.....I say again...amazing what this lil ol cb thing can do in the
> : manner of causing catastrophic psychological breakdowns in poor azzed
> : hammies like yourself and those named who no one will asscociate or
> : claim.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Again,,,,,,the entire truth.
> : You and the other playpen clowns
> :
> :
> : >Oh there you go again with the insults <

>
>
>
> : The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone
> : in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad
> : included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You
> : sound like a woman with your whining
> **********
>
> Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who you say
> insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it, all in the
> mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet cry
> about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't
> make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10 year
> old.
> :
> :
> : >should you be taken with any credibility if this >is what you resort to
> :
> :
> :
> : Hhahaha..what I "resort" to is whupping your azzes with your own game.
>
> So you say
>
>
>
>
>
> : You gys had no clue the rock you were overturning..like usenet..you
> : can't take it back (roaring with laughter)!
>
>
> Youare correct on that I knew you crawled from under a rock.
>
>
> :
> : Return fire and it is burning you fast. You are very quick to attempt to
> perpetuate against another :what you cry is unfairly,
>
> Return fire, nah just hypocritical fire, you cry that those hurl insults
> then you turn around and do it then get your panties in a bunch when I
> remind you the jackass you are making yourself out to be.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> :Hypocrite and Liar
> : are a few names that come to mind when I think of you, Lelnad and Hall
> : and Doc.
>
> Hmm those were the exact words I used to describe you a few posts back, I
> see they made there way back to me so I guess this is return fire to, well
> he called me it so Ill call him that typical of you twisty. here is a hankie
> for you, go wash off.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> : .worked very well,,,thankyouverymuch! In addition, you are the only one
> : crying about it for the fifth day in a row, great to know it really
> : struck a nerve with you. I'll keep it up whenever I can.
>
> Keep your own nose clean
>
>
>
>
>
>
> : I don't turn anyone in who doesn't harass me. AS sharkie noted, if your
> : losers would obey the rules of their ISP, whatever I complain about is
> : irrrelevant..hahhahahhaha! Poor Boy George.
> :
> :
>
> : "Hypocrite" is applied...such as been done with several "hammies'
> : lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is.....
> : here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.
> :
> :
> : >He may "have" been a criminal in the past, >does that mean he still is?
> :
> :
> : Sure he is..a liar too.
> :
> : >Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you >should too.
> :
> :
> :
> : Then he wouldn't be defending amps he claimed were illegal only 2 weeks
> : ago, but, alas, he did, so your attempt at lawyering for him is null.

> : How much credibility do you actually think that carries?
> :
> :
> : >What all your Lies? and hypocritical >statements.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Hall's self-contradictions. The contingency has noted he talks out both
> : sides of his azz/mouth without any assistance from me or you.
> : Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved
> : otherwise.
> :
> : >who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just >because you say it
> : doesn't make it so.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Paul is Doc.......you are correct....it doesn't matter what I say,,
>
> Yes I know I am correct it doesn't matter what you say.
>
>
>
>
>
> See,,I have hammie friends all around...it's exactly how Lelnad was caught
> trying to pathetically be heard on the freeband jamming me..
>
> Oh so your saying a Ham heard him on the freeband? and he was saying he was
> twistedhed? I don't know why he would want to be you of all people. I think
> that Is a boldface lie. Where is your facts or proof ? Earlier in this post
> you did say you only post facts. Well prove it otherwise what you say
> doesn't matter and is to be taken along with the rest of your words an
> outright lie.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> :how else do you think we know he has his call on his plate?
>
> because he said it was.
>
>
>
> :Hammies hammies everywhere and nuthin' you can do about it!!

>
> No there is nothing you can do about it.
>
>
>
>
> : It was very easy to show he is a lying sob just like you
>
>
> really well post some of my lies then, you have given these guys all that
> attention pos some of the things you say I am lieing about, you do posts
> facts right? So lets have my lies with something to back up that they are
> indeed lies. Other than your opinion. OK I am all ears and would love for
> you to bring my lies out in the open. Father twisty. No rush, and no
> opinions just facts .. Ill be waiting.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> : Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and
> : lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt
> : them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for
> : pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies.
>
> well search the archives and point out my lies with FACTS not opinions can
> you do that.
>
>
>
>
>
> It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
> : You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie
> : radio. All facts.
>
> All Facts?? LOL If they are facts it should be easy to prove I havent lost
> any isp mail servers or whatever so go ahead and prove a few things.
>
>
>
> : and comment and flame me all you want........
>
> Here is a hankie again twisty your crying again, and yet continue the
> hypocritical approach, hurl insults etc and think that it is ok.
>
>
>
>

>
> : >Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at >those he claims do it .
>
>
>
> : Claim? No my son,,,it is no claim..it is a plain simple facts backed by
> : y'alls posts....it's why I love usenet..you girls can't take it back.
>
> And neither can you you have insulted all those you accuse and the excuse it
> is return fire is so lame not one of your keyclown heathen will back you on
> it.
>
>
>
> :
> :
>
>
> : Facts are true statements backed by proof.
>
> Start putting facts forth concerning me otherwise it is lip service and
> whining.
>
>
> everyone knows you are washed up and
> : tired...hell, most don't even read your posts,
>
> Oh I see they keep you busy my impotent one from florida
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> : > That word is your favorite when your getting >spanked you throw it
> : around like the boy who >cried wolf.
> :
> :
> :
> : Gee, Boy George, ...you appear angry.
>
> No more than you dementedhed
>
>
>
> : Don't worry,
>
> Me worry? Nah your worthless.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> :we won't
> who is we?
>
>
>
>
>
> : Laughing at your impotency does not constitute a fetish...as another
> : matter of fact, there would be nothing to laugh about if you girls had a
> : clue or were effective in any of your endeavors, but seeing how y'all
> : are magnificent failures at hammies and human beings,,,,,,,it makes it
> : all worth while to watch you dogs squirm on the floor.
> :
> :
> :
> : >Are you on meds for it?
> :
> :
> : You claimed "consequences" for us......failing to produce as usual,
> : .
>
> Yes. if you break the law and are caught there are consequences, I am not
> the FCC I do not hand out the penalty for operating illegally that is up to
> them so yes your illegal operating does have consequences.
>
>
>
>
> :highlights the impotency I speak of
>
> Yes everyone knows by know that you are impotent please keep your personal
> life seperate from what goes on in this NG.
>
> :
> :
> : >Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.
> :
> :
> : Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else
> : connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by
> : those who have cast such huge stones.
> : Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.
> :
> :

> : "The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group,
> : started spouting the "Rules
> : & Regulations",
> :
> :
> : Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post they knew when they did that
> : it was now going to be nothing more than them
> : to troll the group, as
> : they are still doing to this very day."
> :
> : >They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped
> : >all over Mark fuller and anyone who dares >speak out against illegal
> : radio.
> :
> : I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I
> : still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad
> : and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.
> :
> : >More insults and lies... hypocrite<
> :
> :
> : No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..I
> : certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....y'all came to me.....as
> : always..I just sit back and relax and y'all do it to yourselves oh so
> : much better than anyone else ever could :)
> :
> :
> :
> : >I wonder why Landwhale<
> :
> : There you go again.....insults for no reason other than you don't like
> : what he says.
> : Juvenile comes to mind.
> :
> : >Yes, I was thinking that as well,<
> :
> :
> : So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?
> :
> :
> : > perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply >havent grown up or perhaps
> : you did and you >are retarted,
> :
> :
> : Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.
> : Check your spell check, Boy wonder.
> :
> :
> :
> : .

> : > you claim others insult people then you do <
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : >Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the >kitchen, boy Georgey
> : wa3moj.
> :
> :
> : >the whole post makes you wonder what is in >the water down there.
> :
> :
> : Making you wonder is no feat.
> :
> :
> : >is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
> : >the foulest mouths in the NG, wanna see >some examples Mark?
> :
> :
> : Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
> : criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
> : up his language?
> :
> : >Well according to you what Dave Hall did >before should be held agaisnt
> : him now,
> :
> : I said nothing of the sort. That is 5 false attributions you attempted
> : but failed with in this thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce
> : anything but words.....nothing..nada..not one iota of anything that
> : can back any of your lies.
> : hahahahhhaaha!.
> :
> :
> : > which set of rules is it this time ..... Jesus >friggin Christ
> Twisty YOU ARE A
> : >HYPOCRITE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> : >!!!!!!!!!!
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Then show something of substance instead of crying crocodile tears to
> : usand howling ike a school marm.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,

> :
> :
> : >just because you say it doesnt make it so<
> :
> :
> : Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he
> : learned since those days but self-contradicts his own posts from two
> : weeks ago..complete and polar opposite of what he posted a mere two
> : weeks ago,,this makes him a liar, con man, lawbreaker, and of cource,
> : your fave....a hypocrite.
> : ...
> :
> : >And I beleive he has as much as landwhale
> :
> :
> : As much WHAT?
> : Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you but
> : return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not
> : laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down
> : your sorry throats.
> :
> :
> : >has so which set of rules is it I will ask again.
> :
> :
> : Ask what?
> :
> :
> : >the Hypocrite from Florida rules?
> :
> :
> :
> : Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding
> : boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or
> : words.
> :
> :
> :
> : "Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion,
> : really bothers you doesn't it?"
> :
> :
> : >Yes it does<
> :
> :
> : Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us
> : who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.
> :
> :
> : >Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <

> :
> :
> : Sure it was...YOU said it.
> :
> :
> : >butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my
> : post and try and >change the meaning.
> :
> :
> : Look at the posts again, boy Georgey..you are the guilty "snipper" head,
> : not I...ahahahahaha!.
> :
> :
> : >If you post the rest that you cut you will see I >am not talking about
> : me, you know that, it is >just another twisty trick went bad
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need
> : not make up anything..merely use y'alls own posts to consistently and
> : constantly remind newbies that the members of AArons pets are not to be
> : trusted. Y'all made your own bed and now you are forced (as in have no
> : choice) to lay in it and stink.
> :

tuna why don't you go get a life and get a job, etc, etc...and above
all else dig yourself you idiot.....oh
yeh......snapperhead.......

2662. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 15:49:09 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <HgqdndB9cJ7qdHmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145248

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127101303.872
: Drop dead you pathetic little twit.

Steve is this the type of helpful posts you brag about making I am
wondering.

2663. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 15:51:00 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <-76dnVUv76d7dHmgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145249

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: tuna why don't you go get a life and get a job, etc, etc...and above
: all else dig yourself you idiot.....oh yeh......snapperhead.......

cheese, do I have to get both at the same time? hey watch the use of
snapperhead, landshark will blame you for being me.

2664. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:11:01 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ms6cnXBiyZUKc3mgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145250

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127101222.500

: Ironic choice of medications,prozac was one ofWA3MOJ george busch's 400
: screen names. LMFAO!

Ironic yes the obsession of counting screen names. How many does Tim have?
how about Scott 69? Skipp? Or am I just your pet project.

2665. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:15:11 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <jc6F9.3604$yy.501747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote

: LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!

Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just happens to be
very selective about who its friends are.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

2666. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:19:51 UTC
From: "popbuttom\\n" <popb60000@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3de4f0b5@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 145252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Muhamed Akhbar" <muakhbar@joma.dr.ag> wrote in message
news:45092466F.A1366520@joma.dr.ag...
> On Tue, 26 Nov 2002 23:36:59 -0500, l33tN3w$P0$t3R <3y3H4x0r@Y0uRB0X.com>
wrote:
> >
> > Some people just don't know how to think before they speak.
> >
>
> Oh the irony!

I agree. Some folk just got
shit-fer-brains. (mostly republicans of late)
The GOP constantly promotes
freedom of choice. (unless a unwed mom's
fetus is concerned of course...)

You can also get Kriegsmarine Georg":

http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/georgcaps.htm

"Kamakazie Pilot Hiro Hosushi":

http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/jap.htm

"Baron Von Richthoffen":

http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwi/baronrojo.htm

..or even BUFFY the Vampyre Slayer!! (I kid U not..)

http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/chicas/buffy.htm

I just wish that I'd thought of these
"Action-Figures" first - what a cash
cow this could be for the creators!

Finally, can't you really *just imagine* George W Bush
locked away in the Lincoln Bedroom at 2 AM, with the
whole Action Figures collection and some GI Joe's
spralled out on the floor...and GWB (in his Texas bunny pajamas)
playing war games like an 8-Year Old...????

Comedy Central Networks or Late Nite should get a set
of these dolls (along with a Saddam Hussen and Bin Laden figure)
and do a comedy bit/sketch along these lines.....a real laugh-so-hard-
you'll-pee-in-yer-pants fer sure!

2667. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:20:38 UTC
From: Tunafish <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <hJudnX_OJr5KbXmgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145253

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:hFME9.527

: Question: If Twistedhed responded to practically
: everyone of your posts, called you names, accused
: you of almost everything you could think, used foul,
: language what would you call that?
:

I would call that a usual day for Twisty, sounds like you got him pegged.

: > But there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one
: > wished to be anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous
: > remailers or other tricks.

: Agreed, when using anonomous remailers. But do a Dejanews
: search on "Snapperhead" in Rec.Radio.Cb only and see what
: you come up with.

So what does the use of the word Snapperhead in this NG mean? What does it have to do with what Dave is saying. What is he to come up with if he does a search ? Ill give a little help

What is a snapperhead?

Contrary to popular belief, a snapperhead is not a person who wears a fish in their hair. It's a term I first heard while living on the East Coast. It's normally bestowed upon a person who has earned the disdain of the bestower. While not particularly cruel or vulgar, I certainly would not let someone call my little brother a snapperhead.

Compare it to calling someone "stupid", "dork", "moron", or "weisel".

2668. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:36:36 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uu9t20sdd83076@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145254

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:ML2dnWMzUMSZMH-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
> news:uu54c8pqjm7028@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Hey Dickhead how goes it?

Not bad George, not bad, ..I see you like to call me 'Dickhead' now.
Thanks for the compliment there 'Snapperh,..er eh' ..George! ;^)

>
>

2669. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:41:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hB6F9.359$n42.24287507@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145257

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:twXE9.69555$Kj1.3067062@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:29231-3DE3F25E-133@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > Davey Boy Hall N3CVJ said:
> > Many have blamed people like Doug and George for these messages. But
> > there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one wished to be
> > anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous remailers or
> > other tricks.
> > --
> >
> > THANK YOU for your subscription(s)!
> > Thank you for your recent subscription(s) to our email publication(s):
> > You will soon receive confirmation emails from the publishers of each
> > subscribed list, including instructions on how to cancel each of your
> > subscriptions.
> > If you did not sign up for any of the above email publications, please
> > send an email to abuse@LeadFactory.com and include the information below
> > so we can track the source of abuse. Do NOT reply to this email directly
> > since nobody will see your reply.
> > Subscriber: twistedhed@webtv.net
> > Name: Twithed Limpwrist
> > IP Address: 65.238.113.48
> > Hostname: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
> > Date/Time: Sat Aug 24 20:05:34 GMT 2002
> >
>
> Wow! Couldn't be Doug, could it? LOL!
>
>

NO Not at all <G> Yeah Right!!!

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2670. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:41:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iB6F9.361$n42.24287507@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145255

"LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...
> this is a test

Oh the pain, the pain.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2671. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 16:41:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hB6F9.360$n42.24287507@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145256

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021127101222.500$YH@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > >
> > > Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and
> > > lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt
> > > them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for
> > > pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies. It's all y'all
> > > can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
> > > You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to
hammie
> > > radio. All facts.
> > > You can sit here and fill the group with your hate that consumes all
3
> > > of you and comment and flame me all you want........it changes nothing
> > > concerning your own actions.
> >
> >> Heh Heh Heh... Sure looks like Twisty's heading for a nervous
breakdown.
> >
> > All he can say now is, Liar! Liar! Liar! (Your pants are on fire!).
> >
> > There are doctors who can ease your pain. A little valium, or maybe a
> > little Prozac, can work wonders for you. And maybe you'll see reality in
> > a much clearer light......
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> Ironic choice of medications,prozac was one ofWA3MOJ george busch's 400
> screen names. LMFAO!

Just a coincidence I'm sure.

Landshark

--

Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com

aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
complain about how this person is using false headers
with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.

2672. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:17:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021127121756.01402.00000045@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 145258

leland name me one mode of operation hat works on ten meter but not 11?

>Now you opened your mouth and clearly said "One can operate all the
>modes one wishes on 11,". So I picked some. Its not my fault that
>your ignorant about all of the modes that are in use on the Ham Bands,
>then erroneously assuming that they work on 10m, thus they should work
>on 11m as well. Nope.
>

2673. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:19:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021127121951.01402.00000046@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 145259

sounds very similar to the forgeries of twistedhed that you liek to quote so often doesnt it lelnad? cant take the heat get out the kitchen and quit doing the same thing

>He in fact
>figured out the post(s) you like to drag out are in fact forgies. I
>was not the only one on the group who saw through the crap and
>mentioned it to him. Of course this still does nothing to further your
>agenda. You still can't prove who is on the sending end of any posted
>messages, even this one.

2674. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:23:37 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <5rv9uu0b8k0im7gtlmmsbu57mvkv8pnsju@4ax.com>
Source record: 145260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 16:15:11 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
blabbling:

...
...
..."phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote
...
...: LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!
...
...Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just
happens to be
...very selective about who its friends are.
...
...dit dit
...
...Hans, K0HB

Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?

...
...

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2675. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:30:21 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <Ni7F9.156078$1O2.11231@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 145261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hans:

Good point; not a great point, but a good one. :)

Tow other languages (showing my age here) that I found equally
friend-selective: APL and whatever it is my wife speaks when she is mad.

Paul ab0si

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:jc6F9.3604$yy.501747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote
>
> : LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!
>
> Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just happens to be
> very selective about who its friends are.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2676. RCI 2970 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:33:18 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9saauu035gvu5amci97kenotios0b59kl2@4ax.com>
Source record: 145262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

I would like to replace the 2SB754 (Q51) with
the 2SB688 for better modulation.

What are the pros and cons to making this
radio modification ? Would it be harmful
to use a power mike once done ?

Anything else needed to be done once this
transistor is replaced ?

Any other diodes or resistors needed to be addressed ?

2677. power supply.help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 17:53:10 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13389-3DE50686-55@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145266

i have a 2950 .that has been tune up and every thing. else done to it
but the question is i have a 6 amp power supply to run the unit in my
house i no u need a 10 or 12 amp . what i should
set the wattige to on ssb . am thank you very much

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

2678. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:00:15 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <PK7F9.3730$yy.512193@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote

:
: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
:

I've been known to.

73, Hans, K0HB

2679. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:00:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DE50858.77B7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145265

Landshark wrote:
>
> "LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...
> > this is a test
>
> Oh the pain, the pain.
>
> Landshark

R.I.P, Johnathan Harris (AKA Dr. Smith)

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2680. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:07:28 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>
Source record: 145264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
blabbling:

..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
...
...:
...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
...:
...
...I've been known to.
...
...73, Hans, K0HB
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
guy in 7-land on 20 CW.

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2681. Re: RCI 2970 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:15:59 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <as3253$j5l$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 145267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

Put TIP 36 C it's much better.
There are many mods more ...
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland

--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@wp.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
==========
Uzytkownik "trout" <trout@nycap.rr.com> napisal w wiadomosci
news:9saauu035gvu5amci97kenotios0b59kl2@4ax.com...
>
> I would like to replace the 2SB754 (Q51) with
> the 2SB688 for better modulation.
>
> What are the pros and cons to making this
> radio modification ? Would it be harmful
> to use a power mike once done ?
>
> Anything else needed to be done once this
> transistor is replaced ?
>
> Any other diodes or resistors needed to be addressed ?
>
>
>
>

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

2682. Re: RCI 2970 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:25:49 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127132549.22071.00002073@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 145268

u can't do it with that transitor, its not possible

Thatz-me-JP

2683. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:35:05 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <4YKcnRxScYDGjXigXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145269

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:hB6F9.360
: Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa.
: He is known to post from different ISP's, under Avoobner
: and under different screen nics, using anonymous mailers,
: just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with absolutely
: no useful content at all.
: Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
: aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
: Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM.
: Abuse@giganews.com Abuse@Comcast.com and
: complain about how this person is using false headers
: with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
: filters.

Your proof of this is what LOL Hypocrite... Troll... still at it I see

2684. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:36:01 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <rKWcnYSK86QOjXigXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145270

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message

: I see you like to call me 'Dickhead' now.
: Thanks for the compliment there 'Snapperh,..er eh' ..George! ;^)

your slip is showing.

2685. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:38:34 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <Ob-dnQy_i5m2jHigXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145271

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021127121756.01402.00000045@mb-cr.aol.com...
: leland name me one mode of operation hat works on ten meter but not 11?
:

Well tim I not Lee, but the only modes allowed on Cb are am ssb, anything
else dosen't belong on 11 meters. So I guess cw digital FM, rtty, sstv,
PSk31, would you like a list of others.

: >Now you opened your mouth and clearly said "One can operate all the
: >modes one wishes on 11,". So I picked some. Its not my fault that
: >your ignorant about all of the modes that are in use on the Ham Bands,
: >then erroneously assuming that they work on 10m, thus they should work
: >on 11m as well. Nope.
: >
:
:
:
:

2686. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 18:56:12 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <1Qudne9dEuS1iHigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145272

```
"works" <> "allowed"
```

2687. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:32:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE51DE5-83@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145275

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE22871-803@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
>Fact is Twisted CBers can't do any of the >things I listed on 11m,
>so they do in fact get more by being a Ham.
>You can spin, LIE, aboutit any way you wish
>but the links I provided tell the truth the whole
>truth and nothing but the truth.

As usual, you are running off at the mouth and having one hell of a time
comprehending what was posted...

>I have no problem what-so-ever.

I agree. Lack of comprehension can not be a problem until one recognizes
it.

Your posts never held one iota of truth.

>So those links in my prior post are just a >figment of your
imagination. Nobody else >seems to have a problem with them.

Nobody else is paying you any mind.
My comparison was clearly indicative of 10 meter modes, nothing more.
Here is my quote,
verbatim, now watch closely:

>No it isn't, but the following is verbatim----->

Hahha..what do you mean it isn't? You snipped exactly what I had
posted..........(chuckle)----------

Path:
text1.nnrp.aus1!firehose2!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!border1.nntp.aus1.gi
ganews.com!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!news.maxwell.syr.
edu!feed2-in.uncensored-news.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!propagat
or-la!news-in.superfeed.net!enews.sgi.com!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.
gtei.net!newssorter-2001.public.lawson.webtv.net!not-for-mail From:
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re:
Bring back The CB license Date: Thu, 21 Nov 2002 12:13:31 -0500 (EST)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber Lines: 67 Message-ID:
<8728-3DDD143B-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net> References:
<3ddceb76.64283780@news.cet.com> NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV) Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit X-WebTV-Signature: 1
  ETAsAhRVrMwZDPeBWJnjH4wR/pbwdwAfxwIUIO+9eyDUYT8DvJlDDCcqFtTpgmI=

Content-Disposition: Inline Xref: nntp3.aus1.giganews.com
rec.radio.cb:329462
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <1037887879.63565@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>, "crossfire"
<noone@home.com> wrote:
<snip irrelevant crap>
>Why not just get a ham license? Then you can >play with a whole lot
more spectrum, a whole >lot more power, and a bunch more operating
>modes.

"You always manage a way to work your leaglities into the subject.
Please
allow me to displace your bullshit.
Being a ham doesn't offer any of the above with the exception to more
spectrum. One can operate all the modes one wishes on 11, they work just
as well on 11 as they do 10. Some run as much power as they wish."

Bingo..there it is......entirely indicative and being compared
to.....tadaaaaaa: 10 meter.
No one else seems to have the problem with it that you are
experiencing.

>Its clear that you do in fact get more as a >Ham. Yeti did not confine
his comments to >strictly 10m , or any other band. He was >speaking
about Ham Radio in general.

But I was very clear about what I spoke of

>Now you opened your mouth and clearly said >"One can operate all the
modes one wishes >on 11,".

Once again, snipping a part of a post in the manner in which you have
done changes the scope of the context. If I would have said only the
part which you cut, snipped and reposted, you would have a point, but I
was very clear about what I said. Your comprehension problem is
manifesting.

>So I picked some. Its not my fault that your >ignorant about all of the
modes that are in use

Gee, Mr. college grad, that would be "you're".
Here we are almost 4 years later and you still give me all these
opportunities to educate and enlighten you. Please continue to make us
howl with "your" ignorance.

>on the Ham Bands, then erroneously >assuming that they work on 10m,
thus they >should work on 11m as well. Nope.

Oh? What mode works on 10 that couldn't be accomplished on 11?
--
>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO
Have this on a Tee-shirt:
"T"

2688. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:34:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26656-3DE51E45-23@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145276

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"works" <> "allowed"

Thank you for the distinction. I see I am not alone in noticing some
hammies are having a difficult time comprehending.

2689. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:44:30 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271144.69db2350@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145273

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uu8j797qajab0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> I think for that kind of power you won't be able to have any antenna with an
> abrupt 'end' or the arcs & sparks syndrome might set in. Either a heavy rod
> with a ball on the end or some kind of a full size loop ...
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> >
> > as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft 102
> > inches long on a mount.
> >
> > Technomage
> >
> >
> > In article <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>, "john dobbs"
> > <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I built
> > > a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.
> >
> >
> > --
> > I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or
> numbered!
> > My life is my own - No. 6

jimbo that is why you use a halo at the end of the antenna.......or
else you will blow the end off of the antenna...........didn't they
teach you that at kodak????

2690. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:45:01 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271145.1c75c969@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145274

jdobbs2001@yahoo.com (john dobbs) wrote in message
news:<9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>...
> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> use.

thanks john....it is nice to start talking about what cb radio is
really all about.....

2691. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:46:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26657-3DE52115-11@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145281

JJ wrote:
>Correct me if I am wrong, but I don't ever >remember Twistie or Landshark ever being >against anyone operating legally. Even though >Twistie admits freely that he operates on the >freeband, I have don't think I have ever seen >him conned anyone else who operated legally.
>--

Thank you, JJ. I can't speak for anyone but myself on this issue, but you are 100% correct in your observations.
It's not like all I do is DX and freeband on the cb. Sometimes I freeband *after* doing the local thing..or dxing on 38 low.
Today I did the barefoot Galaxy thing from 8 miles out...it worked fine and got me everyone I needed it to. When there is no dx, I do the local thing on the reg 40. I love local banter as much as the next guy and when the dx goes away, that's where you will find me, but while it's here, I enjoy it and make the best out of it and I don't run gobs of power either.

2692. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:46:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271146.184f839c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145277

"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<-NqdnYu_XtomR3mgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021127064325.01295.00008058@mb-mk.aol.com...
> : There are a couple of ac steel tube moblies running that kind
> wattage.Most use
> : 1/4 wave dipoles in a multi element beam setup.
>
> LOL yeah ok, and I guess you saw the watt meter.

remember tuna.....you cannot see the wattmeter because you are
not invited to see it.....besides your meter is of no use to this
type of thing.....why don't you stick to whining about illegal cb
amps and stuff like that.....you know, stuff that you are more
qualified to speak about .....

2693. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:50:26 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271150.7c51e50b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145278

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:<1t2F9.84881$g9.239204@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
> "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that the antenna would need to be mounted on the
> semi that was travelling 1/2 mile down the road just so you could meet the
> relevant electromagnetic radiation exposure levels for the operator!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

oh come on martin......chicken wire mesh will be plenty of shielding
at the 11 meters wavelength.....of course you do have a lot of
white headed black men walking around at these cb meets.....i
wonder why.....

2694. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:50:59 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <Gm9F9.2771$ml3.82494@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145279

Thanks again for the help, so just to confirm, SSB is legal in Florida?

Any advice on a good rig thats AM/SSB? Thank you.

Kind Regards

Stuart

"Dave" <local_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vPYE9.6983$GR5.3478@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> If you're planning on running a base station then I would recommend going
> for a rig that has SSB. Gives you the ability to tune in to any legal CB
> communications with in your reception range. I think for most CB's the
cost
> of a rig with SSB isn't that much different than one with just AM.
> Broadening your options for communication is well worth the possible extra
> cost.
>
> Dave
>
> "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> news:fQUE9.97$C41.27606@newsfep2-gui...
> > Hi
> >
> > No I just want to talk to people in general, I will be home base not
> mobile,
> > any more info?
> >
> > --
> >
> > Kind Regards
> >
> > Stuart
> >
> >
> > "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> > news:3cKcndnpJt1uU36gXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> > > news:xWOE9.2825$6K1.78475@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > > Hello all
> > > >
> > > > Bit of help needed please, I am going to buy a new rig for when I am
> out
> > > in

> > > > Florida next month but I am not sure what I need.
> > > >
> > > > I was thinking of a small Cobra but it doesnt appear to have ssb, do
I
> > > need
> > > > ssb?
> > >
> > > The question is, who are you looking to talk to?
> > > If you're just interested in road info, then it's Ch19, and AM only.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2695. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 19:51:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26656-3DE52254-24@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145282

From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29231-3DE3F25E-133@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Davey Boy Hall N3CVJ said:
Many have blamed people like Doug and George for these messages. But
there is really no concrete proof of this either. If one wished to be
anonymous, it's not too hard to take advantage of anonymous remailers or
other tricks.
--
THANK YOU for your subscription(s)!
Thank you for your recent subscription(s) to our email publication(s):
You will soon receive confirmation emails from the publishers of each
subscribed list, including instructions on how to cancel each of your
subscriptions.
If you did not sign up for any of the above email publications, please
send an email to abuse@LeadFactory.com and include the information below
so we can track the source of abuse. Do NOT reply to this email directly
since nobody will see your reply.
Subscriber: twistedhed@webtv.net
Name: Twithed Limpwrist
IP Address: 65.238.113.48
Hostname: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
Date/Time: Sat Aug 24 20:05:34 GMT 2002

>Wow! Couldn't be Doug, could it? LOL! <

Hehehe..it was Dogie since the get-go.....I was surprised how many he
was able to pull down with him though,,I may have guessed one, maybe
two,,,,but never an entire clique of dingleberries...but that's what
dingleberries do....cling to each other until gravity takes over and
they fall.

2696. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:15:50 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uua9t1qt2hrsff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145280

"Big Bopper" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:rKWcnYSK86QOjXigXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
>
> : I see you like to call me 'Dickhead' now.
> : Thanks for the compliment there 'Snapperh,..er eh' ..George! ;^)
>
>
> your slip is showing.

Aggh, it's all good, George....lol!
Have yourself a good turkey day tomorrow!

>
>

2697. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:17:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021127151745.00212.00000131@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 145283

sorry i thought we were trying to be realistic

>Well tim I not Lee, but the only modes allowed on Cb are am ssb, anything
>else dosen't belong on 11 meters. So I guess cw digital FM, rtty, sstv,
>PSk31, would you like a list of others.

2698. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:43:12 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <A7aF9.156364$nB.13139@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 145284

Gap has been around for awhile, and the antennas do pretty well. I have a friend using the "Titan" and it performs well, although they are a "compromise" antenna. Isotron is even more of a compromise, but they do excellent, despite their appearance. See http://www.rayfield.net/isotron/

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com...
> Interesting URL. Check out
> http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm
>
> If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are
> unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on 11.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

2699. Re: power supply.help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:46:26 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <q2aF9.85028$g9.240248@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145285

<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13389-3DE50686-55@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> i have a 2950 .that has been tune up and every thing. else done to it
> but the question is i have a 6 amp power supply to run the unit in my
> house i no u need a 10 or 12 amp . what i should
> set the wattige to on ssb . am thank you very much
>

Set the wattage to whatever the LEGAL limit is for your country and all
should work OK.... :-)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2700. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:49:56 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <I5aF9.85032$g9.240321@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 145286

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:M93F9.9580$GR5.4461@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Hey,
>
> How about a big one, mounted on a boat. Sunk in to saltwater. Maybe out
in
> the middle of the Pacific ocean (whew been a long time since I spelled
> that). Or would the Atlantic be better. MMM
>
> But it has to be in the Middle. Then the radiation suit may be readily
> available giving the current status of our current events.
>
> We can all sit on the beach and watch for the glow. And say, now there is
a
> person who cares about his neighbors. :)
>
> What kind of filters could he find to keep that from coming across the
> microwave. I will have that guy calling me and talking for an hour on the
> toll free line telling me how he hears his refrigerator talk, and toaster,
> and microwave. It think he told me he heard his silverware talking the
last
> time he called.
>

Well, at least he'd have plenty of food 'cause he'd fry the fish for miles
around him!!!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2701. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 20:51:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53041-103@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145291

The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You sound like a woman with your whining
****
>Twisty you are the one doing the whining >talking about others who you say insult you,

Actually, that isn't what is taking place at all.....you are crying about what I say in my posts, calling me a hypocrite..I merely pointed out that what you complain about would never even be if the person they were directed to did not choose to become personal or insultive themself. It's a simple matter of treating people the way you would want to treated yourself. Any spin you attempt is bullshit and immediately recognized as such and thrown out the window.

>and yet you then say how wrong they are for >doing it, all in the mean time you return Fire as >you say and do the same thing, and yet cry >about them doing it, can't you see that your a >hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't make you right >either. They do it so you do it sounds like your >a 10 year old.

Oh well. I fight back...always have..use this analogy.......assaulting someone, or any form of violence is wrong and there are laws in place against such behavior. If someone assaults me or commits or attempts to commit an act of aggression against me or my family, I will retaliate with any means I deem necessary to stop the violator. Laws aren't any good when no one is around to enforce them, but you of all people know this best. It's why you run around holding your breath taking hissy fits about cbers. You feel it gives your empty life meaning.

>should you be taken with any credibility if this >is what you resort to

Hhahaha..what I "resort" to is whupping your azzes with your own game.

>So you say

So do the ISP's.
You guys had no clue the rock you were overturning..like usenet..you can't take it back (roaring with laughter)!

>Youare correct on that I knew you crawled >from under a rock.

Not exactly...I was born in the desert, raised in a lion's den.
Return fire and it is burning you fast. You are very quick to attempt to perpetuate against another :what you cry is unfairly,

>Return fire, nah just hypocritical fire, you cry >that those hurl insults then you turn around >and do it then get your panties in a bunch

It's your panties in a wad Georgey girl...you're the *only* one screaming.

> when I remind you the jackass you are >making yourself out to be.

DOH.....you sure told me...(snicker)

Hypocrite and Liar
are a few names that come to mind when I think of you, Lelnad and Hall and Doc.

>Hmm those were the exact words I used to >describe you a few posts back, I see they >made there way back to me so I guess this is >return fire to, well he called me it so Ill call him >that typical of you twisty.

Sure....another picture-perfect illustration of you sissies not able to take your own medicine..they WERE your words,,I just returned them and lookie how you took issue with them.once agian,,,treat those as you would prefer e treated yourself, ya' hypocritical, communication-challenged parasite!

>here is a hankie for you, go wash off.<

Your originality appears limited only to what I have said in the past to you.
I don't turn anyone in who doesn't harass me. AS sharkie noted, if your losers would obey the rules of their ISP, whatever I complain about is irrrelevant..hahhahahhaha! Poor Boy George.
"Hypocrite" is applied...such as been done with several "hammies' lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is..... here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.
He may "have" been a criminal in the past,

>does that mean he still is?

Sure he is..a liar too.

>Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you
>should too.

Then he wouldn't be defending amps he claimed were illegal only 2 weeks ago, but, alas, he did, so your attempt at lawyering for him is null. How much credibility do you actually think that carries?

>What all your Lies? and hypocritical >statements.

Hall's self-contradictions. The contingency has noted he talks out both sides of his azz/mouth without any assistance from me or you. Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved otherwise.

>who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just >because you say it >doesn't make it so.

Paul is Doc.......you are correct....it doesn't matter what I say,,

>Yes I know I am correct it doesn't matter what >you say.

Again, I agree with you Geo.. the proof is in the post from Doc's
neighbor that his server works jes' fine. No one's opinion means
anything when presented with hardcopy solid proof.
you See,,I have hammie friends all around...it's exactly how Lelnad
was caught trying to pathetically be heard on the freeband jamming me..

>Oh so your saying a Ham heard him on the >freeband?

Something like that..............

>and he was saying he was twistedhed?<

No...he was ghost-talking and then resorted to deadkeying rapidly after
he realized he didn't have the azz he thought he did to get out and walk
on me.

>I don't know why he would want to be you of >all people.

Ya' know....me and Dick asked him the same thing when he forged
me....why don't you ask him..maybe he'll answer you...he LIKES you.

>I think that Is a boldface lie. Where is your >facts or proof ? Earlier
in this post you did say >you only post facts.

Correct.

>Well prove it otherwise what you say doesn't >matter and is to be taken
along with the rest of >your words an outright lie.

I really don't give a damn how you take it.
The sun will still rise and set on my house long after you are gone.
Making you selfish bastages realize how insignificant you actually are
has been an added pleasure and bonus I didn't count on.

how else do you think we know he has his call on his plate?

>because he said it was.<

He did? When? Where?
Hammies hammies everywhere and nuthin' you can do about it!!
Hahahahahahhah!

>No there is nothing you can do about it.<

Why would I want to do anything about it? The crusade is yours...I like
things the way they are just fine...hahhaahahha!

It was very easy to show he is a lying sob just like you

>really well post some of my lies then, <

Already have. You misattribute more than anyone here, well, at least as
much as the half-a-hammie Lelnad that no one wants or claims and the

hypocrite Hall.

>you have given these guys all that attention<

They ask for it....so do you. See, what takes place on usenet, is when you drect a post to or about someone, you can expect a reply. Simple. Too simple, as a matter of FACT, for some of you. Hall is feeling so alienated and defeated that he had to claim he was killfiling me just because he couldn't muster the self-control needed not to respond, as each time he did, he allowed for more crow.
Funny thing..killfile someone,,,,and spend your wind and time talking about them....mmmmmph...ahahahahhahahah!!

>pos<

Doh.........

>some of the things you say I am lieing about, >you do posts facts right? So lets have my lies >with something to back up that they are >indeed lies. Other than your opinion. OK I am >all ears and would love for you to bring my lies >out in the open. Father twisty. No rush, and no >opinions just facts .. Ill be waiting.

Fine.
Pertinent fact number one: You are recognized, now that "arron" was hung out to dry, as the biggest troll in this forum. Asking someone to prove that YOU don't lie, well, once again, the comedic irony is lost on you, but that's ok, cause some of the best laughs have come from you phreaks not even being aware of what time it is.

Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies.

>well search the archives and point out my lies >with FACTS not opinions can you do that.

I already have. I have asked of you several times to show your basis for your false allegations and misattributions......you ignore all requests...the opinion is all yours.
It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie radio. All facts.

>All Facts?? LOL If they are facts it should be >easy to prove I havent lost any isp mail >servers or whatever so go ahead and prove a >few things.

Please show where anyone said you lost anything, boy Georgey. <g>

and comment and flame me all you want........

>Here is a hankie again twisty your crying >again, and yet continue the hypocritical >approach, hurl insults etc and think that it is >ok.

See analogy above.

>Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at >those he claims do it .

Claim? No my son,,,it is no claim..it is a plain simple facts backed by y'alls posts....it's why I love usenet..you girls can't take it back.

>And neither can you you have insulted all >those you accuse

Now you're paying attention. All those I have "accused" have posted defamatory, derogative, personal, atttacks and/or insults.

>and the excuse it is return fire is so lame not >one of your keyclown heathen will back you on >it.

That's because everyone can see for themselves and is quite aware I need nothing from anyone concerning my lapdogs. I am quite the independent trainer. Truth needs no "backing".

>Facts are true statements backed by proof.
>Start putting facts forth concerning me >otherwise it is lip service and whining.

So? Throw it out the window if it doesn't apply.
Whining and crying and sniveling like a school marm deos nothing to facilitate your expressed opinions.
Everyone knows you are washed up and
tired...hell, most don't even read your posts,

>Oh I see they keep you busy my impotent one >from florida
>That word is your favorite when your getting >spanked you throw it >around like the boy who cried wolf.

Gee, Boy George, ...you appear angry.

>No more than you dementedhed

Doh....ya' know..the more I think about it, the more it appears you did lose an ISP......everyone knows you had two services anyway,,,,,,,,,,if I'm wrong..no harm donw..but as you are beginning to cry as much as Hall, and I know he;'s a guilty SOB....sniveling about something "it may not really be spam that people are reporting"..hahhaha..he should walk around with a sign on the front of him that says, "I'm guilty" and one on his azz that says "kick me hard".

Don't worry,

>Me worry? Nah your worthless.

we won't

>who is we?

Dunno. You hacked whatever it was.
go back and take a gander.
Laughing at your impotency does not constitute a fetish...as another matter of fact, there would be nothing to laugh about if you girls had a clue or were effective in any of your endeavors, but seeing how y'all are magnificent failures at hammies and human beings,,,,,,,it makes it all worth while to watch you dogs squirm on the floor.

>Are you on meds for it?

You claimed "consequences" for us......failing to produce as usual,

>Yes. if you break the law and are caught there >are consequences, I am not the FCC I do not >hand out the penalty for operating illegally that >is up to them so yes your illegal operating >does have consequences.

Since when?
Everyone knows by now that you are impotent Georgey,so please try to refrain from exhibiting this in the future ad keep your personal life seperate from what goes on in this NG. I have maintained that those with the greatest deficit in communcation (such as the posse you belong to with Lelnnad KC8LDO and N8WWM and N3CVJ, all named by "arron" voobner) always get personal.

>Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge stones.
Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.

"The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
& Regulations",

"Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as they are still doing to this very day."

>They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped all over Mark fuller >and anyone who dares >speak out against >illegal radio.

I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.

>More insults and lies... hypocrite<

Dohh.............(yuk yuk yuk)

No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..I certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....y'all came to me.....as always..I just sit back and relax and y'all do it to yourselves oh so much better than anyone else ever could :)

>I wonder why Landwhale<

There you go again.....insults for no reason other than you don't like
what he says.
Juvenile comes to mind.

>Yes, I was thinking that as well,<

So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?

>perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply >havent grown up or perhaps
>you did and you are retarted,

Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.
Check your spell check, Boy wonder.

>you claim others insult people then you do

Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the kitchen, boy Georgey
wa3moj.

>the whole post makes you wonder what is in >the water down there.

Making you wonder is no feat.

>is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
the foulest mouths in >the NG, wanna see some examples Mark?

Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
up his language?
Well according to you what Dave Hall did
before should be held agaisnt him now,

> I said nothing of the sort. <

Sure you did. You said he learned from his behavior..I showed he did not
and is still operating illegally, but has a hard time admitting it. He
has this false image of himself that only he envisions....it's part of
what makes Dave an entertainable and sometimes likeable guy.
Am I incorrect? Do you still hold it against him?

That is 5 false attributions you attempted but failed with in this
thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce anything but
words........nothing..nada..not one iota of anything that can back any
of your lies.
hahahahhhaaha!.

>which set of rules is it this time .... Jesus >friggin Christ
>Twisty YOU ARE A
>HYPOCRITE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>!!!!!!!!!!

Then show something of substance instead of crying crocodile tears to
usand howling ike a school marm.
Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,

>just because you say it doesnt make it so<

Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he learned since those days but self-contradicts his own posts from two weeks ago..complete and polar opposite of what he posted a mere two weeks ago,,this makes him a liar, con man, lawbreaker, and of cource, your fave....a hypocrite.
..
>And I beleive he has as much as landwhale

As much WHAT?
Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you but return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down your sorry throats.

>has so which set of rules is it I will ask again.

Ask what?

>the Hypocrite from Florida rules?

Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or words.

"Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?"

>Yes it does<

Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.

>Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <

Sure it was...YOU said it.

>butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my >post and try and change the meaning.

Look at the posts again, boy Georgey..you are the guilty "snipper" head, not I...ahahahahaha!.

>If you post the rest that you cut you will see I >am not talking about >me, you know that, it is just another twisty >trick went bad

Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need not make up anything..merely use y'alls own posts to consistently and constantly remind newbies that the members of AArons pets are not to be trusted. Y'all made your own bed and now you are forced (as in have no choice) to lay in it and stink.

2702. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:02:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE532EE-106@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145287

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies. It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie radio. All facts.
You can sit here and fill the group with your hate that consumes all 3 of you and comment and flame me all you want........it changes nothing concerning your own actions.

>Heh Heh Heh... Sure looks like Twisty's >heading for a nervous breakdown.

That's why I keep you around, Hall,,,your intuitive and dead-on uncanny observational skills.....hahhahahhahahaahaha!.

>All he can say now is, Liar! Liar! Liar! (Your >pants are on fire!).

Well, Idon't hae to say it to make it true...you took care of that all by lonesome.

>There are doctors who can ease your pain. A
>little valium, or maybe a little Prozac, can work >wonders for you.

You sound like you talk from personal experience. As many times as you losers can't comprehend what is being said....I don't use drugs....I don't smoke.....I don't drink,,,and I don't take over the counter meds either. I find it's better to live a somewhat healthy lifestyle and not get sick.

>And maybe you'll see reality in a much clearer >light......
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Well, now that we see you feel that narcotics are necessary to improve comprehension, maybe you can take one or two of them drugs you speak of and elaborate on two points that have you embarrassed, eating crow, and hopping like mad to retrieve the credibilty that you lost weeks ago.

1) You claimed you only "borrowed" (whatever that term means in the world of computers) an email addy from villanova only once, years ago. Some of your headers still show the same...so, in this instant.."Liar liar pants are on fire" ..ahahahahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahaah!

2) When you say you are going to ignore and killfile
someone.....do it, elst your lies are becoming as commonplace as
your posts..

2703. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:05:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE5338F-108@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145289

Oh, Davey Boy! You claim I'm killfiled, you claim you are ignoring me, and you post 400 words talking about me.....hahhahhaha....where is the cb content you peretual loser? Hahahhahhahhah! You can't ignore your lies, N3CVJ Davey Boy Hall....but you are welcome to continue your mounted personal attack against that of who brought your lies to light........hahahhahhhha! Get a life, why don;t you.

2704. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:07:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53426-109@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145288

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127095716.508
Sounds like you're describing WA3MOJ. ;D
When he uses the word asshole, or troll i guess thats when he starts to
describe you.

>Hey Steve was this ne of your helpful CB >related posts? nah just a
troll like usual.
--
Drop dead you pathetic little twit.
--

His square is still open in the pool. Everyone thinks he is an underage
dropout.

2705. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:08:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53467-110@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145290

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Tunafish)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127101222.500
Ironic choice of medications,prozac was one ofWA3MOJ george busch's 400
screen names. LMFAO!

>Ironic yes the obsession of counting screen >names. How many does Tim
have? how about >Scott 69? Skipp? Or am I just your pet project.

Well,I might as well let you in on it..you're just ONE of my pets.

2706. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:11:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DE534F0-39@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145294

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Big Bopper)
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:hB6F9.360
Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa. He is known to post from
different ISP's, under Avoobner and under different screen nics, using
anonymous mailers, just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with
absolutely no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. Abuse@giganews.com
Abuse@Comcast.com and complain about how this person is using false
headers with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.
--
>Your proof of this is what LOL<

You merely asking for it is proof itself.

2707. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:12:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13401-3DE53526-7@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145198

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Big Bopper)
"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
I see you like to call me 'Dickhead' now.
Thanks for the compliment there 'Snapperh,..er eh' ..George! ;^)

>your slip is showing.<

So is your plagiarism...again. Quite effective, is it not?

2708. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:20:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53709-111@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145197

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com...
Interesting URL. Check out
http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm
If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are
unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on
11.
Very skeptical.

>I'd want to see some independent tests before >putting any money down
on it.
--
How much was the thing? Even for the layman, a question concerning the
claims with surrounding objects needs to be asked. In a steel building?

2709. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:23:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DE537E1-40@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145296

From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
"LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...
this is a test

Oh the pain, the pain.
Landshark
--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do. Neil Young

Hahhah! I was going to say "at least he didn't use the NAME of the
limp-wristed Doctor Smith, who recently passed away.

2710. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:32:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13401-3DE53A0A-8@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145293

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE227AE-801@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott) "Twistedhed"
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Sure, Lelnad. I'll invoke the good spirit of Dick

>He would turn over in his grave if he know you >were trying to use him
to further your >misguided agenda. You are one sick puppy.

You're the dog kennel lead dog, Lelnad, not I. I did nothing but repost
his post where he popped your azz.

>He in fact figured out the post(s) you like to >drag out are in fact
forgies.

But...as usual,,,,you don't have THOSE posts..or wait..let me
guess....he emailed YOU! (SCREAM HAHHAHHAHAHHAHHAHHAHAH!)

>I was not the only one on the group who saw >through the crap and
mentioned it to him.

You're right...Dogie NWWM was there in full force with you, as usual.

>Of course this still does nothing to further your >agenda.

It was your agenda....always was.

> You still can't prove who is on the sending >end of any posted
messages, even this one.

>So I'll make this easy.

You gonna watch Mr. Rogers?

>Just prove THIS MESSAGE was posted by >me .

LOL! You're really pathetic. No one cares about your identity games.
Dick's word will always be taken over yours,,,,,long after he's been
gone.
All you do is solidify what I have maintained about you concerning your
obsession with identites. Get over it.

>73's de,
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

2711. Re: More KC8LDO Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:37:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DE53B0B-41@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145295

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)

---
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Imagine that. Remember HE went searching the web on ME. HE came up with
the Sporadic Waves article..HE posted it....HE crossposted it..HE
hacked
it...HE asked me into a hammie forum....HE forged me when I didn't
oblige.
<snip>
It's why his temper gets the best of him all the time and he makes such
stupid remarks.

>I think Twisted is close to blowing a head >gasket if you ask me. You
mention that we >might get along OK in person if we should >meet, and he
acts like you just stabbed him in >the back.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>--

LOL! Now you're going to qualify for Mopar? Your arrogance that anyone
would be in need of your assistance is largely
entertaining...especially since KC8LDO is all fired up again..see
Lelnad..you get drunk and get on the cb and play your games and come out
here and talk trash and you forget usenet is there forevah and evah and
evah! Hahahahhahhahhahah!

2712. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:42:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53C63-113@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145199

Come on Twistie.....NOT 1973 kinda earlier!
Train

Yea....you're right.. maybe I'm having too much fun as of late. But I damn sure remember one specifically in a car I rode in during a bicentennial parade ...and if *I* saw one in '76, I know it had to be out before that, as my location in '76 wasn't exactly keeping with the times. Happy Turkey Day!

2713. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:44:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de53742.6958997@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145292

In <Gm9F9.2771$ml3.82494@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>, "Stu"
<news@villas4all.net> wrote:

>Thanks again for the help, so just to confirm, SSB is legal in Florida?

CB radio is not regulated by the states but by the federal government.
Unfortunately, Florida is still part of the United States, so SSB is
just as legal in Florida as any other state. For future reference,
here is the FCC's page about CB radio:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/prs/citzn.html

>Any advice on a good rig thats AM/SSB? Thank you.

Anything that works. You should probably avoid older 23 channel radios
because most SSB talk is on channels 35 to 40. I would suggest
starting with a cheap radio. Use your money for a good antenna & coax.
An external speaker is also a good idea cause the built-in speakers
usually suck. Next on the list should be a good mic. If you get a
power mic try to avoid the urge to crank it up -- turn it up just far
enough to send out a nice clean signal. And then, after you get your
station running nice and smooth, you might consider getting a better
radio.

2714. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:49:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53DF2-115@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145201

>iwillignoretwistyiwontignoretwistyiwillignoretwis>tyiwontignoretwistyiwillignoretwistyiwo
ntignore>twistyiwillignoretwistyiwontignoretwistyiwilligno>retwistyiwontignoretwisty
>You're on drugs again.

No Dave...no drug has passed these lips since the seventies...closest I
come is when Caleb makes some extra special 'shine......I wet my lips
with it, swirl it around inside my mouth so my pallate can be refreshed,
and spit it out.

>tf someone wanted to "make" their own >crystalled out 40 channel radio,
they certainly >could. But the FCC did not authorize

Dingdingdingdingding!
This is not your original claim nor what I contended. I stand by what I
write. Your attempt to change it, notwithstanding.

>sandbagger Dave Hall

2715. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 21:52:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DE53E82-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145200

From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE3C620-962@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com... Was he finally
imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the computer?
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
I dunno, maybe. But also missing is Dwight >Stewart from all groups he
used to frequent. >Coincidence? Again, maybe. Do a Google >search for
recent posts from both, and you'll >find they both came up missing the
same time.

He didn't croak, did he?
Come to think of it, it IS one of you guys in Texas that had him as
their selection in the dead pool. Anybody wanna cop to it?

>No one saw me, and you can't prove it! <g><

LOL!

2716. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 22:09:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13401-3DE542B7-11@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145204

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21912-3DE2220E-656@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Very helpful, Lelnad. This guys doesn't know cable from coax
characteristics

>And maybe he does to some degree so >congratulations for just insulting
the guy.

Well, if he did to the degree you ASSumed, he wouldn't have needed to
ask.

>Or is it that you now claim to have the psychic >ability to read minds
too, something you >learned from hanging with the "saucer men"?

Acyually, I learned it from you a few threads ago attempting to do it
for Mopar.
Problem taking it back, NAd?

or else he wouldn't have asked and you give him a song and dance.

>I charge for concert performances.<

Oh yea? In addition to your $126,570 per year salary you claimed? Why do
you live in subsidized housing if you make that kinda money? Ah Never
mind.....

> And I wouldn't give you a back stage pass no >matter how much you
begged me for one. 8-))

I don't listen to Disco and I don't own a cat. I keep telling you, not
everyone is like you.
Then to top it off, you suggest he build impedance transformers.

>They do work.

Your assumption that he understands how to build one after the single
question he posted......doesn't.

Let me guess,

>That's all you ever do twisted<

Quite accurately, it would appear. I have the whole lot of you crying
today..you and Hall and Boy Georgey.....

you desperately hoped he would inquire as to how one is constructed and
you would be more than happy to oblige......

>He could always use Goolge and look it up on >the Internet for himself. Of course you wouldn't >think of doing that because its beyond your >metal capacity.

Metal? Nah...I'm too old for that....last good metal band to come down the pike was Deep Purple...besides,,,,make up your mind with your self-contradictory self...(haha)...i some of your posts you accused me of crusing through google...now you claim I can't...oh NAd..not looking good for you old chap, not looking good at 'ta'll.

why not just tell him the bottom line..... cable coax sucks for all practical cb purposes.

>Wow!! You just did. So what's he suppose to >use 450 ohm ladder line now?

Go to rat shack and buy some rg 8 or 58, it's cheap enough.

>You do know that RG-8 and RG-58 are "cable >coax". So why are you now all of a sudden >telling him to use cable that "sucks for all >practical cb purposes"?

Because the TV cable coax characteristics, as you have noted, are undesireable as they are in their present state.
Whatever you do, do not listen to Lelnad and company. They have been known to intentionally give advice that will fry cb radios. We validate them when we're bored for entertainment purposes only.

>When you lose your temper you can't think >straight can you Twirted?

That only works on you, Nad, not I.

>Besides why pay attention to you? You don't >know anything about electronics, and that's by >your own admission too.

That was correct back then. I have learned all kinds of wonderful things from my newfround webbie cbhammie friends.

>Then Prozac summed things up rather nicely.

And you see where he is today.

2717. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 22:29:20 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <W0KdnYIVL4nc2nigXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145202

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021127121951.01402.00000046@mb-cr.aol.com...
> sounds very similar to the forgeries of twistedhed that you liek to
quote so
> often doesnt it lelnad?

He can't prove they are forgeries for one thing. I keep asking him and
he just rolls over and gives up.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2718. Re: More Twisted Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 22:32:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <0NycnZaAlOxh2nigXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145203

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DE4D65D.6A66@worldlynx.net...
> I noticed the same thing. His attacks have become more venomous and
more
> intense as of late. I guess when the holiday season approaches and
you
> have no one to spend quality time with, it brings out negative
feelings.
> Some people get depressed, some turn to anger.

I'll also bet that nobody in his family wants to put up with his sorry attitude for the holidays either, thus leaving him stuck at home trying to get attention from the news group crowd.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2719. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 22:56:09 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as3ih4$nm0b3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145205

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:sI2cnRN24bv__HmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> Very skeptical.
>
> I'd want to see some independent tests before putting any money down on
it.
>

Me too. I'd love to give it a full test.

First off, just because something is patented doesn't mean it works. You
don't have to show a fully-functioning unit to get it patented. In the
1800's, they used to patent perpetual motion machines, yet nobody has ever
produced a working model.

From the website:

IT MAY BE SMALL, BUT IT IS LOUD

"Loudness" has never been a unit of antenna performance.

Exceptional Efficiency = Efficiency approaches 100%

I highly doubt this. An HF antenna only 3 feet tall with nearly 100%
efficiency?

Outstanding immunity = Virtually undisturbed by nearby metal

This I also find suspicious. All antenna's transmitting & receiving
patterns are affected by nearby metal objects. They don't say what method
they used to arrive at this conclusion.

TVI - EMI low = Not prone to coupling on wires

I find this hard to believe also. TVI can be caused by poor shielding
inside the TV itself. The website seems to imply that the antenna doesn't
receive EMI. Electromagnetic interference is made up of radio signals just
like the wanted signal.

I didn't see a price anywhere on the website, but am curious as to what they
want for that antenna.

Tom

2720. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 23:02:51 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <yPmcnTY7dO2B0nigXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145206

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021127121756.01402.00000045@mb-cr.aol.com...
> leland name me one mode of operation hat works on ten meter but not
11?

OK Ham satellite communications. Specifically:

RS-12
Uplink 21.210 to 21.250 MHz CW/USB
Downlink 29.410 to 29.450 MHz CW/USB
Beacon 29.408 MHz
Robot 29.454 MHz

RS-15
Uplink 145.858 to 145.898 MHz CW/USB
Downlink 29.354 to 29.394 MHz CW/USB
Beacon 29.352 MHz (intermittent)
SSB meeting frequency 29.380 MHz (unofficial)

AMSAT OSCAR 7 AO-7
Uplink: 145.850 to 145.950 MHz CW/USB
432.125 to 432.175 MHz CW/LSB
Downlink: 29.400 to 29.500 MHz CW/USB
145.975 to 145.925 MHz CW/USB
Beacon: 29.502 MHz, 145.972 MHz, 435.1 MHz, 2304.1 MHz

Since there are NO operational transponder links anywhere on 11m so
its impossible to conduct communications through those birds on that
band. The only access is on the legal Ham bands.

There has been discussions about including HF capabilities on the
International Space Station some time in the future. If and when that
occurs it will be just one more mode of communications that CBers
won't have access to use without a Ham ticket.

Twirted got sloppy when he wrote the comment about "One can operate
all the modes one wishes on 11,". Its just not true.

If you want to act as his "apologist" you're going to get a good work
out on this group.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

2721. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 23:30:42 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <fmqdnfQ2u7g-yHigXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145207

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: remember tuna.....you cannot see the wattmeter because you are
: not invited to see it.....besides your meter is of no use to this
: type of thing.....why don't you stick to whining about illegal cb
: amps and stuff like that.....you know, stuff that you are more
: qualified to speak about .....

why pinprick aren't these contest open for all to attend? You are correct I would like to see the meter that is being used to measure this power. Can you say the words Bogus and as the tale grows so does the wattage claims.

2722. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-27 23:31:59 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <N_WdnUfwZddtyHigXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145208

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
:
: jimbo that is why you use a halo at the end of the antenna.......or
: else you will blow the end off of the antenna...........didn't they
: teach you that at kodak????

you don't need a Halo dirtbag, just a smooth edge such as he said a ball
etc to keep a corona fron, starting. Go back to keying up on nebo he is more
yow speed. ... head is out....

2723. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:29:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuaoqun96gv716@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145209

Twist, I'm a bit skeptical too. I read an IEEE article on exceedingly small antennas. They are theoretically possible. Of course, time travel also appears theoretically possible - as long as nothing is changed to affect the future. Problem is, how do you avoid changing the future? Sure, you can't kill your parents before you were born - but you might step on an ant, or set a virus free before that virus existed. So .... possible in theory, but is it truly possible? I sure don't know. One thing is sure, no vertical quarter wave (or shortened vertical) is going to perform like a yagi, but it would be nice to have a small antenna hidden in an attic that would work as well (say within a dB) as a full size vertical mounted outside.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - I didn't see any price listed on that site either!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DE53709-111@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu8lc5b7amki19@corp.supernews.com...
Interesting URL. Check out
http://gapantenna.com/superc.htm
If the thing works, it would sure solve problems where antennas are
unwelcome. If it works on 10 and 12 meters, it'll sure as heck work on
11.
Very skeptical.

>I'd want to see some independent tests before >putting any money down
on it.
--
How much was the thing? Even for the layman, a question concerning the
claims with surrounding objects needs to be asked. In a steel building?

2724. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:32:49 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127193249.21143.00007811@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 145210

Hope this link works! www.bigradios.com/shadow/longv.htm. Pictures of a couple AC mobiles.

2725. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:35:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuap7claf2j2dc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145211

Yes, and I believe they are capacitive loaded antennas. Many have used them and although not claimed to be equal to a full size antenna, they do fairly well. I've seen articles by folks who raised them to the same height as full size antennas and they do quite well indeed. Loops also can do quite well so long as they don't get too small (at which point radiation resistance gets small enough that the IR losses start mounting in proportion to the radiation resistance. Often they may only be around 50% as efficient as the full size antenna (less at lower frequencies). The tunable loops that cover 10 MHz to 30 MHz do quite well on the higher frequencies, but start dropping off below 21 MHz.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:A7aF9.156364$nB.13139@sccrnsc03...
> Gap has been around for awhile, and the antennas do pretty well. I have a
> friend using the "Titan" and it performs well, although they are a
> "compromise" antenna. Isotron is even more of a compromise, but they do
> excellent, despite their appearance. See http://www.rayfield.net/isotron/

2726. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:38:13 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <UvqdnQVSSvfo-HigXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145212

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DE53041-103@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone
in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad
included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You
sound like a woman with your whining
****
>Twisty you are the one doing the whining >talking about others who you
say insult you,

:Actually, that isn't what is taking place at all.....

Oh it sure is you just don't want to admit it or are to niave to see it.

: you are crying about what I say in my posts,

LOL not at all I am not crying about what you say, I am poiting out how you
are a hypocrite for crying that others do it then you do the same it is very
easy to understand why are you having trouble understanding your double
standard.

: calling me a hypocrite..

and you are

: I merely pointed out that what you complain about would never even be if
the person they
: were directed to did not choose to become personal or insultive themself.

Ah another twisty excuse/lie, I see others validate you they make you act
like they do interesting, but lame.

: It's a simple matter of treating people the way you would want to treated
yourself.

Ok, I got it the your an asshole I am an asshole, the problem is you don't
think your an asshole, for doing the same thing.

:Any spin you attempt is

What going to be called a lie?

:Oh well. I fight back...

This means your not a hypocrite? this means you are right?

: ..use this analogy.......

Sorry changing the topic at hand is what your noted for I will snip it right here and not comment it has nothing to do with what we speak of another impotent misdirection ended.

(offtopic drivel snipped)

>So you say

: So do the ISP's.

Sorry my isp says nothing.

:Not exactly...I was born in the desert

Understandable being left out in the sun to long has fried your brain.

:It's your panties in a wad Georgey girl...you're the *only* one screaming.

Screaming, No I am just pointing out how much of a hypocrite you are, all done from a whipsper.

> when I remind you the jackass you are >making yourself out to be.

DOH.................you sure told me...(snicker)

Yes I did as usual now go wash off again.

:Sure....another picture-perfect illustration of you sissies not able to
:take your own medicine..they WERE your words

Can't think for yourself I see

: I just returned them and lookie how you took issue with them.

I didn't take issue with them, I told you those were the words I used to describe you, no issues just fact.And of course they are oh so fitting. Failing to see you are trolling and a hypocrite is your biggest snafu.

Let me ask you this if a troll makes a post what is the correct thing to do?

a) ignore it
b) reply with vengenace
c) come up with your own troll back

If you do reply to the troll with your own troll what does that make you a Troll.

: once agian,,, treat those as you would prefer be treated yourself,ya' hypocritical,communicationchallenged parasite!

Thats why you are being treated the way you are

>here is a hankie for you, go wash off.<

:Your originality appears limited only to what I have said in the past to you.

Just like your use of my words a few sentences earlier, yet it bothers you LOL again a hypocrite LOL

Ya' know....me and Dick asked him the same thing when he forged me....why don't you ask him..maybe he'll answer you...he LIKES you.

>I think that Is a boldface lie. Where is your >facts or proof ? Earlier in this post you did say >you only post facts.

Correct.

That is a lie, you havent posted them

: I really don't give a damn how you take it.

You sure do otherwise you wouldn't chase me around at every post. yet you claimed to have facts about me lieing. Did you catch me doing something I denied

: The sun will still rise and set on my house long after you are gone.

likewise

: Pertinent fact number one: You are recognized, now that "arron" was
: hung out to dry, as the biggest troll in this forum.

Another opinion twist where are the facts, You and Moped are 2 of the bigger trolls.

: Asking someone to prove that YOU don't lie,

Should be easy to post some of my lies simple even for you assclown

:I already have.

what posted opinions not based on fact yes you sure have done that

the opinion is all yours.
It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie radio. All facts.

What you say means nothing you are impotent and irrelevant in the grand scheme of things. Your a punch clown we take out and play with.

:Please show where anyone said you lost anything, boy Georgey. <g>

See that is one of your biggest problems you can't comprehend what is said so you spin it to what evr you wish it to mean. I said I haven't lost any email etc etc so

:and comment and flame me all you want........

We will your a punch clown

:Now you're paying attention. All those I have "accused" have posted
:defamatory, derogative, personal, atttacks and/or insults.

Including yourself.

:Doh....ya' know..the more I think about it, the more it appears you did lose an ISP......

I didn't lose shit slapnuts you and your bunch are impotent. Still have comcast and had @ home

:everyone knows you had two services anyway,,,,,,,,,

LOL, yes they all know, lOL thats funny everytime you or Landshark or some other jabronie posts that I had 2 services I piss myself with laughter, knowing that you guys actualy beleive the stuff you say somehow in an effort to make it true. say it a few more times i need a good laugh.

:if I'm wrong..no harm donw..

Oh you are wrong, and no harm at all just a another misattribution by you

:but as you are beginning to cry as much as Hall, and I know he;'s a guilty SOB

No Twisty it is not crying, it is pointing out your hypocrisy that is all there is to it you can take it for all it is worth if this was a fly fishing NG i would do the same thing.

Dunno. You hacked whatever it was. go back and take a gander.

No you said "we" nothing else to look at who is "we"

>Are you on meds for it?

Since when?
Everyone knows by now that you are impotent Georgey,so please try to refrain from exhibiting this in the future ad keep your personal life seperate from what goes on in this NG. I have maintained that those with the greatest deficit in communcation (such as the posse you belong to with Lelnnad KC8LDO and N8WWM and N3CVJ, all named by "arron" voobner) always get personal.

>Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge stones.
Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.

No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..

: I certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....

I am sure you don't look for girls , but I do see the girls you hang with , Landwhale comes to mind.

So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?

I am not sure but you have all the classic signs, but I will let you know after speaking with your doctor, he say it probably is , you have been takin your meds right?

: Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.

Is this supposed to impress me, my name is not george so you are rendered impotent.

Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the kitchen, boy Georgeywa3moj.

No I can't take the hypocrisy the heat I can take and give out in big doses as well.

: Sure you did.

Liar

: You said he learned from his behavior..

Never said anything of the sort, another misattribution by you. This is what I said, I never said he learned fronm his behaviour poor comprehension on your part doesn't constitute a lie on my part nice Try, Liar.

"Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean up his language? "

: I showed he did not and is still operating illegally, but has a hard time admitting it.

You have a hard time admitting that you are trolling this NG amongst others, your excuse is well they are doing it, well perhaps they are that still makes you guilty no matter how you try to spin it

:That is 5 false attributions you attempted but failed with in this
:thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce anything but
: words........

Funny I just showed your comprehension skills suck, as I said don't blame your misunderstanding on me. If you can't keep up i suggest you don't play the game.

:Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he
:learned since those days

I thought you said I said that, which is it me or him. Time for your medicine

: but self-contradicts his own posts from two weeks ago..

Sounds like you.

>And I beleive he has as much as landwhale

:As much WHAT?]

What were we speaking about, that he said he learned from previous mistakes, damn you sure have a hard time understanding things, no wonder you alwsys think I am lieing, are you actually able to read this stuff?

: Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you

who cares how long you been around, since I have been around dave Hall has done nothing to anyone he returned what was sent to him.

: but return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not
: laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down
:your sorry throats.

I hear he is good at stuffing things from males down his throat thank you for confirming this, all though it has been before

:Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding
:boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or
:words.

Oh there sure is twisthed, ok what is your name? the hypocrisy of you comes when you call others liar, trolls, dogs, whatever you like no matter if it is return fire or not you are still a hypocrite and a troll. If you would admit to that we can end this discussion and move on yet your refusal to amit that yes perhaps you are doing a little trolling in return etc. How much gumption do you have?

>Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <

: Sure it was...YOU said it.

No assmunch this is what i said you snipped it off to change what I said, the Dave i think made the fredom of speech comment, it was about landshark not me, you better stick to the topic. How could I be talking about me I don't use the queer sig files. this is what i said you have a sever comprehension problem.

"Yes it does he uses these queers sig files yet never lives up to them."

>butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my >post and try and change the meaning.

: Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need not make up anything..

No assmunch you read it again in fact here is what i said. "Yes it does he uses these queers sig files yet never lives up to them." How can I be talking about myself fishlips? You have lied about not making things up you are phuqqed up

You make shit up you snip posts and take the words out of context, you are twistedhed that is yor only salvation to try and confuse and obfusacte things.

: merely use y'alls own posts to

Take things out of context, to snipp sentences so as not to revel the full meaning you have been played out twist your jig is up you are old news at an old game.

: that the members of AArons pets

Still dreaming of aaron he hasn't posted in what a few weeks but you need to show him his worth to you do validate him nicely.

: not to be trusted.

Correct you are not to be trusted.

2727. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:38:48 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <G4mdncoBleEE-HigXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 145213

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021127180006.239$ru@newsreader.com...
: "Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127101222.500
: >
: > : Ironic choice of medications,prozac was one ofWA3MOJ george busch's
400
: > : screen names. LMFAO!
: >
: >> Ironic yes the obsession of counting screen names. How many does Tim
: > have? how about Scott 69? Skipp? Or am I just your pet project.
: >
: No,you're the NG's pet peeve,and putz.

Better than being the NG pussy like you.

2728. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:43:52 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <qjadnRQ82dDf-nigXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145214

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13400-3DE534F0-39@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Big Bopper)
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:hB6F9.360
Beware of George Busch WA3MOJ of Allentown Pa. He is known to post from
different ISP's, under Avoobner and under different screen nics, using
anonymous mailers, just to make harassing,accusatory style posts with
absolutely no useful content at all.
Currently posting as fagboy@msm.com Avoobner@Yahoo.com
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com
Please forward any post to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. Abuse@giganews.com
Abuse@Comcast.com and complain about how this person is using false
headers with references to their services to by pass newsreaders
filters.
--
>Your proof of this is what LOL<

You merely asking for it is proof itself.

Just because you say it doesn't make it so, my impotent Cber from Florida.
Great answer twist your answer in and of itself is proof you are a lunatic
who make things up.
I actually want people to send things to all of the above ISP's telling them
and also sending posts that i am aaron voobner etc. What are you waiting
for. They check the ip addresses and voila your then labeled a whining
asshole so please do send them I like the impotent effort.

2729. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:45:53 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <qjadnRc82dDe-nigXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145215

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DE532EE-106@storefull-

Well, Idon't hae to say it to make it true...you took care of that all
by lonesome.

Heard of spell checker twitsy?

2) When you say you are going to ignore and killfile someone.....do
it, elst your lies are becoming as commonplace as your posts..

he had you killfiled he replied via my post just like Landshark and the rest
of the ipmotent crew says when everyone is supposed to be killed.

2730. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:46:45 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <MTKdnQWkzPvr-nigXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145216

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DE53526-7@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Big Bopper)
"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
I see you like to call me 'Dickhead' now.
Thanks for the compliment there 'Snapperh,..er eh' ..George! ;^)

>your slip is showing.<

So is your plagiarism...again. Quite effective, is it not?

Return fire, I like to return things to their rightfull owner.

2731. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:48:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271648.6fea57c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
> blabbling:
>
> ..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
> ...
> ...:
> ...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
> ...:
> ...
> ...I've been known to.
> ...
> ...73, Hans, K0HB
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
>
>
> okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
> guy in 7-land on 20 CW.
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

damn.......chuck's two way is back in business....what a
deal.....somebody slap me because i must be dreamin.....

2732. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:49:11 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <H_ScnU9Fm7OV9XigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145218

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:1Qudne9dEuS1iHigXTWcqA@comcast.com...
: "works" <> "allowed"

If it is not allowed how can it work there. Cb's don't have CW, FM or
digital modes.

2733. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:49:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271649.2950b97e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
> blabbling:
>
> ..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
> ...
> ...:
> ...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
> ...:
> ...
> ...I've been known to.
> ...
> ...73, Hans, K0HB
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
>
>
> okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
> guy in 7-land on 20 CW.
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

by the way chuckie.......why do you think anyone would want to talk to
you good buddy..???

2734. Re: Bring back The CB license (more KC8LDO oratory ejaculation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:52:43 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <z7udnSuDuotB9XigXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145220

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26655-3DE51DE5-83@storefull-

:I agree. Lack of comprehension can not be a problem until one recognizes
it.

Yes yet you claim people are liars when it is clear you are in a fog as to
what the post said.

:Once again, snipping a part of a post in the manner in which you have
:done changes the scope of the context.

Yes it does Twisty and you should know this this is the style of every one
of your posts.

2735. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:52:46 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271652.6364cf16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145221

timnebo@aol.com10-102 (Colonel Killaman) wrote in message
news:<20021127121951.01402.00000046@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> sounds very similar to the forgeries of twistedhed that you liek to quote so
> often doesnt it lelnad? cant take the heat get out the kitchen and quit doing
> the same thing
>
> >He in fact
> >figured out the post(s) you like to drag out are in fact forgies. I
> >was not the only one on the group who saw through the crap and
> >mentioned it to him. Of course this still does nothing to further your
> >agenda. You still can't prove who is on the sending end of any posted
> >messages, even this one.

hey colonel....i think it is time to put that stuff at the bottom of
your post about leland forging your post.....besides isn't this
guy some old man living in a trailer.....and a terminal ham
radio technician class guy too.....imagine that.....

2736. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:53:05 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0211271653.74aede53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145222

briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote in message
news:<45649fe1.0211260526.5bcb91ae@posting.google.com>...
> Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the computer?
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey

No such luck. Knowing Aaron, the Feds have finally gotten tired enough
of his snitch act to charge him with interfering in a communications
investigation... & the G-men wouldn't buy his "but I'm on your side"
stuff.
At least, it sure sounds like something he'd do.

Guy Richards

2737. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:54:34 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <lxKdnaxsNtHW9HigXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145223

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: besides isn't this guy some old man living in a trailer........imagine
that.................

Pin prick I thought you lived in a trailer or is it your suburban?

2738. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:57:23 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <-9ecnTMZMIJp9HigXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145224

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DE53041-103@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
The insults are all return. I have never done a single thing to anyone
in here, the wellknown cowards of yourself and Hall and Doc and Lelnad
included. I say again, if you can't take it, don't dish it out. You
sound like a woman with your whining
****
>Twisty you are the one doing the whining >talking about others who you
say insult you,

Actually, that isn't what is taking place at all.....you are crying
about what I say in my posts, calling me a hypocrite..I merely pointed
out that what you complain about would never even be if the person they
were directed to did not choose to become personal or insultive
themself. It's a simple matter of treating people the way you would want
to treated yourself. Any spin you attempt is bullshit and immediately
recognized as such and thrown out the window.

>and yet you then say how wrong they are for >doing it, all in the mean
time you return Fire as >you say and do the same thing, and yet cry
>about them doing it, can't you see that your a >hypocrite? 2 wrongs
don't make you right >either. They do it so you do it sounds like your
>a 10 year old.

Oh well. I fight back...always have..use this analogy.......assaulting
someone, or any form of violence is wrong and there are laws in place
against such behavior. If someone assaults me or commits or attempts to
commit an act of aggression against me or my family, I will retaliate
with any means I deem necessary to stop the violator. Laws aren't any
good when no one is around to enforce them, but you of all people know
this best. It's why you run around holding your breath taking hissy fits
about cbers. You feel it gives your empty life meaning.

>should you be taken with any credibility if this >is what you resort to

Hhahaha..what I "resort" to is whupping your azzes with your own game.

>So you say

So do the ISP's.
You guys had no clue the rock you were overturning..like usenet..you
can't take it back (roaring with laughter)!

>Youare correct on that I knew you crawled >from under a rock.

Not exactly...I was born in the desert, raised in a lion's den.
Return fire and it is burning you fast. You are very quick to attempt to

perpetuate against another :what you cry is unfairly,

>Return fire, nah just hypocritical fire, you cry >that those hurl insults then you turn around >and do it then get your panties in a bunch

It's your panties in a wad Georgey girl...you're the *only* one screaming.

> when I remind you the jackass you are >making yourself out to be.

DOH.....you sure told me...(snicker)

Hypocrite and Liar
are a few names that come to mind when I think of you, Lelnad and Hall and Doc.

>Hmm those were the exact words I used to >describe you a few posts back, I see they >made there way back to me so I guess this is >return fire to, well he called me it so Ill call him >that typical of you twisty.

Sure....another picture-perfect illustration of you sissies not able to take your own medicine..they WERE your words,,I just returned them and lookie how you took issue with them.once agian,,,treat those as you would prefer e treated yourself, ya' hypocritical, communication-challenged parasite!

>here is a hankie for you, go wash off.<

Your originality appears limited only to what I have said in the past to you.
I don't turn anyone in who doesn't harass me. AS sharkie noted, if your losers would obey the rules of their ISP, whatever I complain about is irrrelevant..hahhahahhaha! Poor Boy George.
"Hypocrite" is applied...such as been done with several "hammies' lately..Dave Hall lied about everything and still is..... here is a criminal telling another they are breaking the law.
He may "have" been a criminal in the past,

>does that mean he still is?

Sure he is..a liar too.

>Perhaps he has seen the light maybe you
>should too.

Then he wouldn't be defending amps he claimed were illegal only 2 weeks ago, but, alas, he did, so your attempt at lawyering for him is null. How much credibility do you actually think that carries?

>What all your Lies? and hypocritical >statements.

Hall's self-contradictions. The contingency has noted he talks out both sides of his azz/mouth without any assistance from me or you. Paul Lalli lied and said his server was down when it was proved otherwise.

>who is Paul lalli? and how was it proved just >because you say it
>doesn't make it so.

Paul is Doc.......you are correct....it doesn't matter what I say,,

>Yes I know I am correct it doesn't matter what >you say.

Again, I agree with you Geo.. the proof is in the post from Doc's
neighbor that his server works jes' fine. No one's opinion means
anything when presented with hardcopy solid proof.
you See,,I have hammie friends all around...it's exactly how Lelnad
was caught trying to pathetically be heard on the freeband jamming me..

>Oh so your saying a Ham heard him on the >freeband?

Something like that..............

>and he was saying he was twistedhed?<

No...he was ghost-talking and then resorted to deadkeying rapidly after
he realized he didn't have the azz he thought he did to get out and walk
on me.

>I don't know why he would want to be you of >all people.

Ya' know....me and Dick asked him the same thing when he forged
me....why don't you ask him..maybe he'll answer you...he LIKES you.

>I think that Is a boldface lie. Where is your >facts or proof ? Earlier
in this post you did say >you only post facts.

Correct.

>Well prove it otherwise what you say doesn't >matter and is to be taken
along with the rest of >your words an outright lie.

I really don't give a damn how you take it.
The sun will still rise and set on my house long after you are gone.
Making you selfish bastages realize how insignificant you actually are
has been an added pleasure and bonus I didn't count on.

how else do you think we know he has his call on his plate?

>because he said it was.<

He did? When? Where?
Hammies hammies everywhere and nuthin' you can do about it!!
Hahahahahahhah!

>No there is nothing you can do about it.<

Why would I want to do anything about it? The crusade is yours...I like
things the way they are just fine...hahhaahahha!

It was very easy to show he is a lying sob just like you

>really well post some of my lies then, <

Already have. You misattribute more than anyone here, well, at least as much as the half-a-hammie Lelnad that no one wants or claims and the hypocrite Hall.

>you have given these guys all that attention<

They ask for it....so do you. See, what takes place on usenet, is when you drect a post to or about someone, you can expect a reply. Simple. Too simple, as a matter of FACT, for some of you. Hall is feeling so alienated and defeated that he had to claim he was killfiling me just because he couldn't muster the self-control needed not to respond, as each time he did, he allowed for more crow.
Funny thing..killfile someone,,,,and spend your wind and time talking about them....mmmmmph...ahahahahhahahah!!

>pos<

Doh.........

>some of the things you say I am lieing about, >you do posts facts right? So lets have my lies >with something to back up that they are >indeed lies. Other than your opinion. OK I am >all ears and would love for you to bring my lies >out in the open. Father twisty. No rush, and no >opinions just facts .. Ill be waiting.

Fine.
Pertinent fact number one: You are recognized, now that "arron" was hung out to dry, as the biggest troll in this forum. Asking someone to prove that YOU don't lie, well, once again, the comedic irony is lost on you, but that's ok, cause some of the best laughs have come from you phreaks not even being aware of what time it is.

Lost ISP;s..fact. Doc lied. Fact. Hall lied. Fact. Lelnad forged and lied. Fact. It isn;t what I say, Boy Georgey, their own posts haunt them..yet you fewls continue to try and attack the messenger for pointing out your self-contradictory posts..and lies.

>well search the archives and point out my lies >with FACTS not opinions can you do that.

I already have. I have asked of you several times to show your basis for your false allegations and misattributions......you ignore all requests...the opinion is all yours.
It's all y'all can do, cause you GOT nuthin' else.
You and the nad man and Hall are bonafide liars and parasites to hammie radio. All facts.

>All Facts?? LOL If they are facts it should be >easy to prove I havent lost any isp mail >servers or whatever so go ahead and prove a >few things.

Please show where anyone said you lost anything, boy Georgey. <g>

and comment and flame me all you want........

>Here is a hankie again twisty your crying >again, and yet continue the hypocritical >approach, hurl insults etc and think that it is >ok.

See analogy above.

>Listen to twisty croon as he hurls insults at >those he claims do it .

Claim? No my son,,,it is no claim..it is a plain simple facts backed by y'alls posts....it's why I love usenet..you girls can't take it back.

>And neither can you you have insulted all >those you accuse

Now you're paying attention. All those I have "accused" have posted defamatory, derogative, personal, atttacks and/or insults.

>and the excuse it is return fire is so lame not >one of your keyclown heathen will back you on >it.

That's because everyone can see for themselves and is quite aware I need nothing from anyone concerning my lapdogs. I am quite the independent trainer. Truth needs no "backing".

>Facts are true statements backed by proof.
>Start putting facts forth concerning me >otherwise it is lip service and whining.

So? Throw it out the window if it doesn't apply.
Whining and crying and sniveling like a school marm deos nothing to facilitate your expressed opinions.
Everyone knows you are washed up and
tired...hell, most don't even read your posts,

>Oh I see they keep you busy my impotent one >from florida
>That word is your favorite when your getting >spanked you throw it >around like the boy who cried wolf.

Gee, Boy George, ...you appear angry.

>No more than you dementedhed

Doh....ya' know..the more I think about it, the more it appears you did lose an ISP......everyone knows you had two services anyway,,,,,,,,,,if I'm wrong..no harm donw..but as you are beginning to cry as much as Hall, and I know he;'s a guilty SOB....sniveling about something "it may not really be spam that people are reporting"..hahhaha..he should walk around with a sign on the front of him that says, "I'm guilty" and one on his azz that says "kick me hard".

Don't worry,

>Me worry? Nah your worthless.

we won't

>who is we?

Dunno. You hacked whatever it was.
go back and take a gander.
Laughing at your impotency does not constitute a fetish...as another matter of fact, there would be nothing to laugh about if you girls had a clue or were effective in any of your endeavors, but seeing how y'all are magnificent failures at hammies and human beings,,,,,,,it makes it all worth while to watch you dogs squirm on the floor.

>Are you on meds for it?

You claimed "consequences" for us......failing to produce as usual,

>Yes. if you break the law and are caught there >are consequences, I am not the FCC I do not >hand out the penalty for operating illegally that >is up to them so yes your illegal operating >does have consequences.

Since when?
Everyone knows by now that you are impotent Georgey,so please try to refrain from exhibiting this in the future ad keep your personal life seperate from what goes on in this NG. I have maintained that those with the greatest deficit in communcation (such as the posse you belong to with Lelnnad KC8LDO and N8WWM and N3CVJ, all named by "arron" voobner) always get personal.

>Our choice to let the illegals know they are >breaking laws.

Has nothing to do with obeying rules or else connections to this group wouldn't be lost at such a comical rate by those who have cast such huge stones.
Hhahaha..read it again, Boy Georgey.

"The issues got blown out when certain individuals came into this group, started spouting the "Rules
& Regulations",

"Yes the dreaded rules and regulations post they knew when they did that it was now going to be nothing more than them to troll the group, as they are still doing to this very day."

>They may have did it to educate, you and your >band of loonies jumped all over Mark fuller >and anyone who dares >speak out against >illegal radio.

I wasn't here when this other loser you speak of was your God, but I still am enamored over being elected to take his place by you and Lelnad and Hall and, gosh, now Doc.

>More insults and lies... hypocrite<

Dohh.............(yuk yuk yuk)

No really,,,,,everyone knows how ya' losers gravitated toward me..I certainly didn't go looking for you girls.....y'all came to me.....as always..I just sit back and relax and y'all do it to yourselves oh so much better than anyone else ever could :)

>I wonder why Landwhale<

There you go again.....insults for no reason other than you don't like
what he says.
Juvenile comes to mind.

>Yes, I was thinking that as well,<

So what are you saying? It's the Tourettes, again?

>perhaps why I called you Jr, you simply >havent grown up or perhaps
>you did and you are retarted,

Oooo, dat hoit, Boyy Georgey wa3moj.
Check your spell check, Boy wonder.

>you claim others insult people then you do

Return fire. Can't take the heat get out of the kitchen, boy Georgey
wa3moj.

>the whole post makes you wonder what is in >the water down there.

Making you wonder is no feat.

>is so concerned about foul language and such >in this NG he had one of
the foulest mouths in >the NG, wanna see some examples Mark?

Oh, so N3CVJ can claim he has "learned" since his days of posting his
criminal endeavors for all to see, but it isn't ok for sharkie to clean
up his language?
Well according to you what Dave Hall did
before should be held agaisnt him now,

> I said nothing of the sort. <

Sure you did. You said he learned from his behavior..I showed he did not
and is still operating illegally, but has a hard time admitting it. He
has this false image of himself that only he envisions....it's part of
what makes Dave an entertainable and sometimes likeable guy.
Am I incorrect? Do you still hold it against him?

That is 5 false attributions you attempted but failed with in this
thread, but poor boy Goigey couldn't produce anything but
words........nothing..nada..not one iota of anything that can back any
of your lies.
hahahahhhaaha!.

>which set of rules is it this time ..... Jesus >friggin Christ
>Twisty YOU ARE A
>HYPOCRITE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>!!!!!!!!!!

Then show something of substance instead of crying crocodile tears to
usand howling ike a school marm.
Thing is, N3CVJ DIDN'T learn,

>just because you say it doesnt make it so<

Sure it does...especially when Dave Hall said it himself.He claimed he learned since those days but self-contradicts his own posts from two weeks ago..complete and polar opposite of what he posted a mere two weeks ago,,this makes him a liar, con man, lawbreaker, and of cource, your fave....a hypocrite.
..
>And I beleive he has as much as landwhale

As much WHAT?
Since I have been around, sharkie has done nothing to anyof you but return the bulshit from whence it came. He is to be commended for not laying down and taking your bullshit and stuffing it right back down your sorry throats.

>has so which set of rules is it I will ask again.

Ask what?

>the Hypocrite from Florida rules?

Oh, there is no hypocrisy. I make no bones about dxing and freebanding boy Georgey. Unlike you girls, I have no reason to hide my actions or words.

"Freedom of speech and the ability to express a dissenting opinion, really bothers you doesn't it?"

>Yes it does<

Then you should get the hell out and leave the country for those of us who cherish it and would die for your right to say it.

>Wasn't talking about me snapperhead <

Sure it was...YOU said it.

>butyou knew that it is just another feeble >attempt by you to snip my >post and try and change the meaning.

Look at the posts again, boy Georgey..you are the guilty "snipper" head, not I...ahahahahaha!.

>If you post the rest that you cut you will see I >am not talking about >me, you know that, it is just another twisty >trick went bad

Read it again...you said it, I just pointed it out..unlike you, I need not make up anything..merely use y'alls own posts to consistently and constantly remind newbies that the members of AArons pets are not to be trusted. Y'all made your own bed and now you are forced (as in have no choice) to lay in it and stink.

2739. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 00:58:19 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <IUydndtRs6ax93igXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145225

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021127193249.21143.00007811@mb-fx.aol.com...
: Hope this link works! www.bigradios.com/shadow/longv.htm. Pictures of a
couple
: AC mobiles.

Funny how now her web page says 125,000 and the amplifier ghostridder uses
says 50 kw,
preety soon we will hear 250,000 any takers LOL the Keyclown gang will pass
along bogus misinformation as usual.

2740. Re: RCI 2970 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 01:35:26 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a87buuce4352oc5566oqiiv3t0glmnna61@4ax.com>
Source record: 145226

>u can't do it with that transitor, its not possible

I don't understand what he wrote as I have a tech
doing the work for me. What he stated is not
to be done with my radio ?

How about my original question. Do I simply
swap out the old transistor with the stronger
one or is something else needed to complete
this modulation modification ??

I'm very grateful for all responses.

2741. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 01:42:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DE5748B.73ACBE77@qnet.com>
Source record: 145227

Hello Moparholic:

Wavin a hand back 2 Ya, have a happy Thanks Giving and God Bless.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
fillin station.

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Best Thanksgiving wishes to all.
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

2742. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 02:09:12 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <X9ScnSmKcs0_53igXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145228

> Exceptional Efficiency = Efficiency approaches 100%
>
> I highly doubt this. An HF antenna only 3 feet tall with nearly 100%
> efficiency?

I'm sure that 100% of the power into it gets turned into something else.
Normally though, I like the Radiation/Heat ratio to be a bit higher.

> Outstanding immunity = Virtually undisturbed by nearby metal
>
> This I also find suspicious. All antenna's transmitting & receiving
> patterns are affected by nearby metal objects. They don't say what method
> they used to arrive at this conclusion.

How about "can't work any WORSE!" :)

> TVI - EMI low = Not prone to coupling on wires
>
> I find this hard to believe also. TVI can be caused by poor shielding
> inside the TV itself. The website seems to imply that the antenna doesn't
> receive EMI. Electromagnetic interference is made up of radio signals
just
> like the wanted signal.

If you don't radiate, you don't cause TVI.

> I didn't see a price anywhere on the website, but am curious as to what
they
> want for that antenna.

Looks pricey, lots of heavy parts to fab.

2743. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 02:43:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uub0m07olu1od0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145229

Hello, Mopar and right back at ya - have a happy Thanksgiving and don't overstuff yourself ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021127193947.772$CP@newsreader.com...
> Best Thanksgiving wishes to all.
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

2744. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 02:44:01 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021127214401.27381.00000103@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 145230

maby cuz the amp is 50KW rms bird and the peak watts are 125,000 . use your brain >Funny how now her web page says 125,000 and the amplifier ghostridder uses
>says 50 kw,
>preety soon we will hear 250,000 any takers LOL the Keyclown gang will pass >along bogus misinformation as usual.
>
>
>
>

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2745. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 02:49:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DE58440.C02113C4@mailcity.com>
Source record: 145241

Tunafish wrote:

>
> Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who you say
> insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it, all in the
> mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet cry
> about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't
> make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10 year
> old.

Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.

2746. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:08:03 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021127220803.05707.00008407@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 145231

>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Best Thanksgiving wishes to all.
Right back at ya Mopar....still waiting to make the on the air hookup with ya.....
Gonna run the Road Runner in the Christmas Parade...you'd be proud!
And to everyone else..have a good one and be safe.....
Later..... 333 Springfield MO...

"Got RF?"

2747. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:18:40 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <0MCcnbKnov6MFnigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145232

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou> wrote in message
news:20021127214401.27381.00000103@mb-ct.aol.com...
: maby cuz the amp is 50KW rms bird and the peak watts are 125,000 . use
your
: brain

maybe cuz the info is bogus to start, butt he can clarify rms or peak mode.

2748. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:34:18 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <_8gF9.152019$NH2.10942@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Interesting, thanks. For clarification, it should be stated that the figures represent U.S. licenses, not world-wide. I wonder what those numbers look like.

Paul

"Doug Thompson" <DT2314@ncvillage.net> wrote in message news:123192444.0023192808@ncvillage.net...
> On 24 Nov 2002 03:57:41 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > Better check for the stats at the correct source instead of trying to
> > support an untenable position.
>
> The stats are in fact quite different among sources people usually
> respect.
>
> Qrz.com lists 637,404 as the total number of licenses.
>
> AH0A at http://ah0a.org/FCC, who claims to be directly reading the FCC
> databases, comes up with 683,897 (10 Nov 02).
>
> ARNewsline has widely published a figure of 680,760 licenses,
> and the FCC itself seems to have remained silent on its own web pages.
>
> The difference between the qrz.com figure and the rest is an
> astounding 46,000+ licensees, so I'll assume that qrz.com is very
> wrong.
>
> That leaves AH0A, who calculates that the average age of a ham license
> is less than 60 months (currently about 57), and that's a critical
> figure for licenses which are renewable every ten years. It means
> that the total number must eventually decline, no matter what the
> short term fluctuations may be.
>
> In fact, if you take the AH0A figures for ARS totals at
> http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html and do a linear least squares fit to
> them, you find that there is no clear trend since 2000 due to the the
> statistical fluctuations in the data.
>
> That is, his figures don't tell us whether the hobby is growing or
> declining. The ARNewsline statement that there are 2235 fewer
> amateurs than at this time last year is not revealing either, because
> the number they quoted is within the expected fluctuations.
>
> In the absence of more authoritative data, I have to say that the

> assertion that ham radio is growing is unsubstantiated by what I can
> easily find on the web. My own look at the data indicates that it
> probably indicates a hobby which is remaining static.
>
> But I'm not trying to promote that position; it's just what the AH0A
> data seem to say. Can anyone else provide a link to more reliable and
> authoritative data? I'd actually love to see some information which
> unequivocally shows that ham radio is growing.

2749. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:47:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LIgF9.384$AA.35892475@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145234

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DE50858.77B7@worldlynx.net...
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...
> > > this is a test
> > >
> > Oh the pain, the pain.
> > >
> > Landshark
>
>
> R.I.P, Johnathan Harris (AKA Dr. Smith)
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

I guess I shouldn't be surprised, but I thought
he was still alive, oh well.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2750. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:47:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LlgF9.385$AA.35892475@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145235

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021127193947.772$CP@newsreader.com...
> Best Thanksgiving wishes to all.

Right back at you!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

2751. basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 03:51:13 UTC
From: Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>
Source record: 145236

hi!

I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)

TIA!

2752. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 04:33:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups. This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb is too interested in this.

Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2753. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 04:36:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de599f9.201190@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145238

In <qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>, Kris
<krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote:

>hi!
>
>
>I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
>before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
>radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
>require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
>send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
>a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
>contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
>there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
>the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
>TIA!

CB radio uses frequencies that don't carry very well over land, and
the service is only intended for a range of 1 to 5 miles. Even with an
amp so big that it cuts your gas mileage in half AND an antenna that
knocks out street lights, you won't get your desired 200 Km range
(unless you are talking skip, but 200 Km is too short for skip). Spend
your money on a ham license, then run 2-meters through the many
repeaters located all around the country. Or get a cell phone.

2754. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 04:48:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uub8152i7g3ac9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145240

Kris, I'm not sure what country you may be in, however 200 Km would be around 125 miles - and that is a real stretch. A **big** stretch. 30 or 40 miles with the proverbial 'foot warmer' would likely be doable, 70 is really starting to stretch. I doubt 125 is going to be possible on any regular basis. Any received signal at that distance (you're depending upon scatter for propagation) will be easily knocked out by a skip station or even a low power station if the low power station is within 10 miles or so of either the base or mobile. However, let's wait and see what some of the more knowledgeable folks have to say about this ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
> hi!
>
>
> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
> TIA!
>

2755. Re: FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 04:48:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211272048.5d0fff55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145239

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE4C3A3.6045@worldlynx.net>...
> You're on drugs again. If someone wanted to "make" their own crystalled
> out 40 channel radio, they certainly could. But the FCC did not
> authorize 40 channel radios to be legally sold until Jan 1st, 1977.
> That's a fact, told to you from someone who was there then.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

I tell ya what guys, I will check the literature that comes with the
radio and see if I can find a date for you. When I bought the radio,
the guy I bought it from said he thought it was from 1973, but he
could have been wrong. I'll let ya know in a few days.

In the mean time, check out the auction! Only $51 as of this posting!
It's a steal for a cool radio!

2756. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 04:56:30 UTC
From: Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bd8buu4gqervql3o8gqakdeql0s7eh53hb@4ax.com>
Source record: 145242

Hi!

Ok, well lets say 40km away from home, is that possible? I thought it
woull dbe nowdays since cheap two way radios are available that will
easily go 3 kms radios.

thanks for the responses

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 23:48:24 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Kris, I'm not sure what country you may be in, however 200 Km would be
>around 125 miles - and that is a real stretch. A **big** stretch. 30 or 40
>miles with the proverbial 'foot warmer' would likely be doable, 70 is really
>starting to stretch. I doubt 125 is going to be possible on any regular
>basis. Any received signal at that distance (you're depending upon scatter
>for propagation) will be easily knocked out by a skip station or even a low
>power station if the low power station is within 10 miles or so of either
>the base or mobile. However, let's wait and see what some of the more
>knowledgeable folks have to say about this ...
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
>> hi!
>>
>>
>> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
>> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
>> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
>> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
>> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
>> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
>> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
>> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
>> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>>
>>
>> TIA!
>>
>

2757. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:15:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gEhF9.77057$Kj1.3255340@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145243

"Big Bopper" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:IUydndtRs6ax93igXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021127193249.21143.00007811@mb-fx.aol.com...
> : Hope this link works! www.bigradios.com/shadow/longv.htm. Pictures of a
> couple
> : AC mobiles.
>
> Funny how now her web page says 125,000 and the amplifier ghostridder uses
> says 50 kw,
> preety soon we will hear 250,000 any takers LOL the Keyclown gang will
pass
> along bogus misinformation as usual.
>
>

George, I don't often agree with you...but yes, these wattage claims are
very much suspect, over-volting or otherwise.

2758. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:17:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HFhF9.77061$Kj1.3255875@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145244

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou> wrote in message
news:20021127214401.27381.00000103@mb-ct.aol.com...
> maby cuz the amp is 50KW rms bird and the peak watts are 125,000 . use
your
> brain >

And what model "Bird" would this be? And while you're at it, what coax was
used?

2759. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:21:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rJhF9.77076$Kj1.3256646@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145245

"Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3de53742.6958997@news.cet.com...
> In <Gm9F9.2771$ml3.82494@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>, "Stu"
> <news@villas4all.net> wrote:
>
> >Thanks again for the help, so just to confirm, SSB is legal in Florida?
>
> CB radio is not regulated by the states but by the federal government.
> Unfortunately, Florida is still part of the United States, so SSB is
> just as legal in Florida as any other state. For future reference,
> here is the FCC's page about CB radio:
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/prs/citzn.html
>
> >Any advice on a good rig thats AM/SSB? Thank you.
>
> Anything that works. You should probably avoid older 23 channel radios
> because most SSB talk is on channels 35 to 40. I would suggest
> starting with a cheap radio. Use your money for a good antenna & coax.
> An external speaker is also a good idea cause the built-in speakers
> usually suck. Next on the list should be a good mic. If you get a
> power mic try to avoid the urge to crank it up -- turn it up just far
> enough to send out a nice clean signal. And then, after you get your
> station running nice and smooth, you might consider getting a better
> radio.
>

Right, something similar to an Icom or Kenwood should suffice. Ok, Ok, Yaesu
is OK too.

2760. Re: Please Spam me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:29:50 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as4fm0$c28$4@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

Wrestling group removed...
"Peter" <peter@mercadofunding.com > wrote in message
news:url7uugdlpe5dv2tlqsp7ktvfbdsjn3oq9@4ax.com...
> Me and my company like to send unsolicited email to everyone.
>
> peter@mercadofunding.com

That could be one way to get back at Spammers... put their e-mail addresses
in newsgroups so that they get Spammed.

Just one thing... make sure you get their **REAL** address. Spammers
use false addresses in their headers. If possible, find addresses that
they
do not usually expect to get noticed by other Spammers.
Oh, and do not pretend to be them... they may get your Internet access
pulled. Maybe put them in your sig file.

There are some great Spam filters available FREE, such as
"SMB and "MailWasher"... but be careful of using any "bounce"
feature... it could get bounced to an innocent person, who's
addy has been faked by a Spammer.

Regards,

Peter.

--
"Adam" sends viruses from ahk@chinet.com
Another Spammer address: Melissa521110@aol.com

2761. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:29:52 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as4fm1$c28$5@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145351

"john dobbs" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com...
> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> use.

Run for cover !!!!!!!!!

Regards,

Peter.

2762. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 05:40:32 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.11.27.22.41.32.333.16931@cox.net>
Source record: 145246

In article <uu8j797qajab0a@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

> I think for that kind of power you won't be able to have any antenna
> with an abrupt 'end' or the arcs & sparks syndrome might set in. Either
> a heavy rod with a ball on the end or some kind of a full size loop ...
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

yeppers! seen that in action too. ever see a tesla coil with a bad
termination? lots of lightning right where you DON'T want it.

Technomage

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

2763. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 06:01:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211272201.68e2de0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145347

"Big Bopper" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<N_WdnUfwZddtyHigXTWcpg@comcast.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> :
> : jimbo that is why you use a halo at the end of the antenna.......or
> : else you will blow the end off of the antenna...........didn't they
> : teach you that at kodak????
>
> you don't need a Halo dirtbag, just a smooth edge such as he said a ball
> etc to keep a corona fron, starting. Go back to keying up on nebo he is more
> yow speed. ... head is out....

well first off i am not a dirt bag.....as you say it......maybe you
are, but i am not ....ok ...let us get that straight right
now....and secondly i have not keyed up on nebo or will i
ever..........i like him ...i think he is alright. but i do not have
time to waste fooling around with such things...... and maybe it would
be a good idea for you to go back to school and learn how to spell.
you must remember......this cb stuff is just a thing.........and that
is all.......and for the most part a waste of money but for some
reason it interest me and what can i say.........when the day comes
that it is no longer fun, then i will hang it up and sell out, but
until then..........it might serve you well, to not jack with me
creep.......kapiche?????

2764. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 06:05:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211272205.ea32b51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145348

"Big Bopper" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<fmqdnfQ2u7g-yHigXTWcpA@comcast.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
>
> : remember tuna.....you cannot see the wattmeter because you are
> : not invited to see it.....besides your meter is of no use to this
> : type of thing.....why don't you stick to whining about illegal cb
> : amps and stuff like that.....you know, stuff that you are more
> : qualified to speak about .....
>
> why pinprick aren't these contest open for all to attend? You are correct I
> would like to see the meter that is being used to measure this power. Can
> you say the words Bogus and as the tale grows so does the wattage claims.

so the comcast creep.....changes handles one mo
time.....and does not believe that vehicles are capable of large
amounts of radio frequency energy.....well that is why you are who
you are little man.....by the way, they have many of these
'contest' in your area, i am sure.....go to one and tell them
your views boy.....i am sure they will appreciate your
position.....

2765. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 06:07:21 UTC
From: luv2roc@2bspamfree.com
Message-ID: <kjcbuus08dul2bu4dpr94iv4bt92ralhme@4ax.com>
Source record: 145349

On 26 Nov 2002 05:26:14 -0800, briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote:

>Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the computer?
>
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>
>View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

maybe he thought it was halloween and dressed up like a turkey...

2766. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 08:58:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211280858020.18358-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 145352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002, Martin VK2UMJ wrote:
>"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
>news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
>> > For Sale,
>>
>> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
>>
>> If interested please E-Mail me.
>>
>
>Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
>amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
>etc......

...Perhaps posting this in the RIGHT PLACE, such as rec.radio.swap.....

2767. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 10:05:19 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <zTIF9.12130$fk5.896735@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 145353

Hi Kris

What you are looking for is not really doable on CB. Once skip roles in
you can't talk 3 km with a base, a 50 foot high antenna and 100 watts. I
talk world wide with a handheld and a rubber duck antenna on 2 meters with
the help of a repeter. That may be the way to go for you as that ham
licenses are easy to get now.

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bd8buu4gqervql3o8gqakdeql0s7eh53hb@4ax.com...
> Hi!
>
> Ok, well lets say 40km away from home, is that possible? I thought it
> woull dbe nowdays since cheap two way radios are available that will
> easily go 3 kms radios.
>
>
> thanks for the responses
>
>
> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 23:48:24 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> >Kris, I'm not sure what country you may be in, however 200 Km would be
> >around 125 miles - and that is a real stretch. A **big** stretch. 30 or
40
> >miles with the proverbial 'foot warmer' would likely be doable, 70 is
really
> >starting to stretch. I doubt 125 is going to be possible on any regular
> >basis. Any received signal at that distance (you're depending upon
scatter
> >for propagation) will be easily knocked out by a skip station or even a
low
> >power station if the low power station is within 10 miles or so of either
> >the base or mobile. However, let's wait and see what some of the more
> >knowledgeable folks have to say about this ...
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
> >
> >"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
> >> hi!
> >>
> >>

> >> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> >> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> >> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> >> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> >> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> >> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> >> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> >> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> >> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
> >>
> >>
> >> TIA!
> >>
> >
>

2768. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 10:52:15 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <c7tbuukk5osl544et4alkfl18kvfdvjbgh@4ax.com>
Source record: 145354

On 28 Nov 2002 00:39:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
blabbling:

...Best Thanksgiving wishes to all.

right back to you there, Mopar.

from the Ghetto'ed streets of Lincoln Park, Michigan....

Happy Turkey Day to everybody,

Don't eat too much. and be safe if your traveling...

Signed,

-Chuck K8CPA

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2769. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 11:53:19 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <TsnF9.2231$OM3.370029@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145355

Thanks for all the help, What do you guys think of a President Washington home base radio? I am thinking of getting one of these, its 40channels am,usb,lsb isnt it? Any advice?

Also I am in a area that's got a home owners association and I don't think they would allow an Anton 99 up, does anyone have any good home base antennas they can recommend? It can go outdoors but up a tree or something, something that's not to obvious that we would get a complaint about. Thanks.

Kind Regards

Stuart

Admin@Villas4all.net

---
www.Villas4all.com - Orlando Villa Rentals
www.Top-Forums.com - Florida Rental Home Owners Forum
www.Teamhosts.com - A New League In Hosting

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rJhF9.77076$Kj1.3256646@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> news:3de53742.6958997@news.cet.com...
> > In <Gm9F9.2771$ml3.82494@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>, "Stu"
> > <news@villas4all.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >Thanks again for the help, so just to confirm, SSB is legal in Florida?
> > >
> > CB radio is not regulated by the states but by the federal government.
> > Unfortunately, Florida is still part of the United States, so SSB is
> > just as legal in Florida as any other state. For future reference,
> > here is the FCC's page about CB radio:
> > >
> > http://wireless.fcc.gov/prs/citzn.html
> > >
> > >Any advice on a good rig thats AM/SSB? Thank you.
> > >
> > Anything that works. You should probably avoid older 23 channel radios
> > because most SSB talk is on channels 35 to 40. I would suggest
> > starting with a cheap radio. Use your money for a good antenna & coax.
> > An external speaker is also a good idea cause the built-in speakers

> > usually suck. Next on the list should be a good mic. If you get a
> > power mic try to avoid the urge to crank it up -- turn it up just far
> > enough to send out a nice clean signal. And then, after you get your
> > station running nice and smooth, you might consider getting a better
> > radio.
> >
>
> Right, something similar to an Icom or Kenwood should suffice. Ok, Ok,
Yaesu
> is OK too.
>
>

2770. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 12:34:22 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <i3oF9.157051$NH2.11150@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145356

Kris.......what you are proposing isn't really possible on 27 Mhz. Your stipulation on having a very small antenna complicates things. The guys are right on their suggestion on the Amateur licsense. If you like the enjoyment of DX, 6 meters can be used without too large of an antenna on mobile. 2, 220, 440 are also fitting for what you are looking for, and with the higher the frequency, the smaller the antenna. Another option is something like Nextel http://www.nextel.com/ that offers the cell phone AND 2/way system, that amazes me. Their network is impressive....but that isn't the old enjoyment and "flavor" of true radio fun! Good luck, and Happy Thanksgiving.

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
> hi!
>
>
> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
> TIA!
>

2771. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 13:01:53 UTC
From: King Pineapple <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5toF9.4795$yy.650711@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DNC College Kid "popbuttom\n" <popb60000@juno.com> graciously took time out from his busy, parent-funded lifestyle and wrote in message news:3de437dd@snipnews.snip.net...

> Besides a new shortwave radio, here's the
> PERFECT Xmas gift for that special paranoid
> town kook who just can't get enough
> of Alex Jones, Kevin Strom, Brother Stair
> and certain so-called right wing "Nets" on
> 75 meter Ham Radio.....

Today's democrat party is disconnected from leadership power at every level of government.

1) The democrat party doesn't have the White House.(1R-0d)
2) The democrat party lacks a majority in the Senate.(51R-48d-1)
3) The democrat party lacks a majority in the House of Representatives.(226R-209d)
4) The democrat party lacks a majority of Governors seats across the 50 states.(26R-24d)
5) The democrat party lacks a majority of State Houses across all 50 states.(25R-22d)

2772. Re: Happy Thanksgiving All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 13:50:08 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <kapF9.3589$4j5.2045085@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145357

Happy Turkey Day right back at ya, Mopar. And also to everyone else, have a groovy t-day.

73's

Aaron
KB8ZVN

2773. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 13:58:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211280558.20525731@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145359

luv2roc@2bspamfree.com wrote in message
news:<kjcbuus08dul2bu4dpr94iv4bt92ralhme@4ax.com>...
> On 26 Nov 2002 05:26:14 -0800, briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian
> Griffey) wrote:
>
> >Was he finally imprisoned for habitually harassing people via the computer?
> >
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >
> >View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> maybe he thought it was halloween and dressed up like a turkey...

maybe somebody shot him because they thought he was a turkey and full of shit.

2774. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 14:12:53 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <MFCdnfrY3an1uXugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145360

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: well first off i am not a dirt bag.....

Ok poor choice of words.

:and secondly i have not keyed up on nebo or will i
: ever.....i like him ...i think he is alright.

So just because you like hime means you won't or can't drop a rock on him?
Does he have to big a station for you to key on?

but i do not have time to waste fooling around with such things......

Are you saying he is not worth your time to key on perhaps he is a glorifed
mudduck

: and maybe it would be a good idea for you to go back to school and learn
how to spell.

That is usally what people say when they can't think of anything else they
attack grammer, come on jones your better than that.

: and for the most part a waste of money but for some
: reason it interest me and what can i say.....

cheese we both know it is a waste of money but so is drag racing and owning
a boat.

when the day comes that it is no longer fun, then i will hang it up and
sell out, but
: until then.....it might serve you well, to not jack with me
creep.....

why you gonna give me an electronic ass whuppin?

kapiche?????

capeche

2775. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 14:16:53 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <M62dnYqyvLfFuHugXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145361

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:gEhF9.77057$Kj1.3255340@twister.austin.rr.com...

: George, I don't often agree with you...but yes, these wattage claims are
: very much suspect, over-volting or otherwise.

Thats ok Randy, your allowed to agree with me. I can imagine there are some big watts but the claims have grown from over 70Kw to now over 100kw, I am sorry take a good look at this stuff these guys aren't broadcast engineers by any means. Are they using Bird Meters to check there stuff, that will be your first give away.

2776. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 14:17:32 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <DoqdnTdz1aDiuHugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145362

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: maybe somebody shot him because they thought he was a turkey and full of
shit.

Cheese thats not nice it is thanksgiving.

2777. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 14:23:39 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <roidnUevle9yu3ugXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145363

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128071752.798

: >> Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
: > is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.
: >
: Right on,JJ.

I am dealing with it I am pointing out the hypocrisy and it is fine with me but he is crying how dave and lee, aaron etc are these bad people, trolls, who call him names etc yet when he does the same thing it is just return fire. JJ, in your next email to twisty I think you should be telling him to learn to deal with it. he is the one accusing others of lieing and doing dasterdly deeds all the while doing it himself. Are twist and you buddies now JJ? Must have been one powerful email.

2778. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 14:26:26 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mIpF9.5662$ta5.683050@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145364

I figure either his mommy found out he was harrasing us
and took his computer away from him, or he was finally
thrown off welfare and couldn't pay the bill from his ISP.

2779. Happy Thanksgiving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 15:25:36 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <QzqF9.6953$7S2.6418@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145365

I want to wish everyone a happy Thanksgiving. And may your transmissions be clear, and far.

73

Nighthawk

Moore, Oklahoma

http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

2780. WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 15:33:47 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <l4dcuuo8nkn6qas6lo01s94101irp2blcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 145366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

This is being posted for a friend of mine who does NOT (to my knowledge) have newsgroups on his computer...

WARNING WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!

THERE IS A THIEF IN OUR RANKS!

KC2ETW
ERIC A GONZALES
7314 LOVETT AVE
DALLAS TX 75227
USA

ADVERTISED A ICOM IC-756PRO, LATE S/N... K8GWM CHARLIE IN OHIO, SENT THE MONEY, WHAT ARRIVED WAS A OLD JUNK CAR RADIO, A 2X4 ALL WRAPPED IN A BLANKET. THE CHECK WAS CASHED AT A PARTY STORE IN DALLAS. (A LIQUOR STORE NO LESS!)

ANYONE WHO HAS HAD DEALINGS WITH KC2ETWM, PLEASE CONTACT CHARLIE, K8GWM AT Porker@Adelphia.net

THIS IS SERIOUS BUSINESS.

ALSO TO KC2ETW, I WOULD STRONGLY ADVISE YOU SIR TO EITHER MAKE GOOD ON CHARLIE'S RADIO, OR SEND CHARLIE'S MONEY BACK, THERE'S TALK ON CHARLIE'S 75 METER HANG OUT, OF A GANG OF GUYS COMING TO YOUR HOUSE TO EVEN THE SCORE. IF I WERE YOU, I'D BE MAKING SOME PHONE CALLS.

73 DE K8CPA

--Chuck

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2781. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 15:54:17 UTC
From: Crocker <crocker_k@msn.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <9cfcuu09otbng0q3qu2489ufifkril3dao@4ax.com>
Source record: 145367

On Mon, 25 Nov 2002 13:41:56 -0500, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>
>> > 14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of
>people
>> > to test them.
>>
>> This goes on now. Look at Packet radio and APRS.
>
>And Slow-Scan TV. :) Having lots of fun with it here, and using it with
>Skywarn.
>
>> > 15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
>>
>> This goes without saying, although there should still be some
>> recognition for mastering the mode. It should just not be a requirement
>> for operation.
>
>I would be all in favour of reserving some slices in the CW band for 5, 10,
>20 WPM operators, and having a test to qualify you for using those segments.
>
>> > 18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
>
>That's what we do here. No rote memorization, we make sure it makes sense to
>you, before we move on to the next thing.
>
>
>> > 23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on
>field day.
>>
>> To me, field day is nothing more than a contest.
>
>It's devolved into that mostly.
>Few groups are really interested in setting up emergency stations, as was
>the original intent.
>The restriction that you can't start building your station until a certain
>time is about all that's left of the emergency aspect. Most clubs have
>everything pre-staged and ready to go.
>
>
>> > 24. More real skill building activities
>> > 25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards,

>recognition for
>> > WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.
>
>Distance per watt awards! So it dosen't devolve into "who can spend the
>most money".
>

I thought this was a cb group?

2782. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 16:53:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211280853.26beadab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145370

It would be tough to find a CB setup that would allow you to reach 200 kilometers. Most CB's will cover about a 15k radius, if you're lucky. It sounds like you would need something like ham radio, but you have to pass a test to be able to do that. Ham radio would allow you to use repeaters to talk to your family over that distance, but they would also have to be licensed and there would have to be a good repeater or a repeater network that could cover that distance.

The short answer is that a CB will not fit the bill for what you want it to do.

Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>...
> hi!
>
>
> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
> TIA!

2783. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 17:19:49 UTC
From: nospam <xxxmmxxx@xxxspiritone.com>
Message-ID: <3DE65035.704F7DC4@xxxspiritone.com>
Source record: 145371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Take a pill.

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002, Martin VK2UMJ wrote:
> >"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
> >news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
> >> > For Sale,
> >>
> >> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
> >>
> >> If interested please E-Mail me.
> >>
> >
> >Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
> >amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
> >etc......
>
> ...Perhaps posting this in the RIGHT PLACE, such as rec.radio.swap.....

2784. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 19:43:26 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <nW6dndSmO8ye73ugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123004628.510$wL@newsreader.com...
: "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote:
: >> And your callsign is?
: >
: > 73 de W6DKN

: 1-800-eat shit..toll free call.

His Callsign is CBer, confirmed troll of rec.radio.cb

2785. Re: Help With RCI2990DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 19:45:24 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <OWadnSqrBpbk73ugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"frankie" <phrankie@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:fhGdnfz0j5km03mgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
: Hello all. I recently bought a RCI 2990DX. Shipped to me with a frequency
: conversion chip that i was supposed to install if i were to use the radio
in
: the usa. I tried to install it, and when i did the raido turned off and
now
: it wont come back on! please help, did i burn out the mainboard? blow a
: fuse? -Frank

What frequency was it converting?

2786. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 19:55:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021128145540.22969.00000184@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 145374

randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride and talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these guys see some big numbers, believe it.

>
>George, I don't often agree with you...but yes, these wattage claims are
>very much suspect, over-volting or otherwise.
>

2787. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 20:21:11 UTC
From: John P Vassel <kc8ske@cybiko.com>
Message-ID: <3de67ab6$0$1402$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 145375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in
news:3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com:

> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
>

And just what is it that makes you honorable?
SPAM?

--
John P Vassel
KC8SKE
R.T.F.D.

2788. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 20:22:58 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5821-3DE67B22-263@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145376

Real hams dont make fools of themselves on rrcb....Happy Thanksgiving...Mark

2789. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 21:11:31 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <je1duu4rct379vc8bgvpnkb93edfnv431b@4ax.com>
Source record: 145377

On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 14:22:58 -0600 (CST), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) was blabbling:

...Real hams dont make fools of themselves on rrcb....Happy ...Thanksgiving...Mark

Oh? and how do you figure I'm making a fool of myself? by letting other people know that a good friend of mine got ripped by some lying thief, like you?

puff a root, dickhead....

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2790. FA: Galaxy DX99v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 21:53:27 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <404duuktddriti7ca4a4bf6vc6m5rffifo@4ax.com>
Source record: 145378

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1942077302

Buy now $240

2791. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 22:17:53 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ICwF9.168970$1O2.11270@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 145379

Psssss....You got nothing..A dingo ate my baby. Suck it up, soldier.

2792. Happy Thanksgiving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 22:24:59 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <%IwF9.5098$hZ3.2462@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145380

I want to wish everyone a happy Thanksgiving. And may your transmissions be clear, and far.

73

Nighthawk
Moore, Oklahoma

http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

2793. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 22:51:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE69DF8.A53F678B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145383

Big Bopper wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128071752.798
>
> : >> Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
> : > is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.
> : >
> : Right on,JJ.
>
> I am dealing with it I am pointing out the hypocrisy and it is fine with me
> but he is crying how dave and lee, aaron etc are these bad people, trolls,
> who call him names etc yet when he does the same thing it is just return
> fire. JJ, in your next email to twisty I think you should be telling him to
> learn to deal with it. he is the one accusing others of lieing and doing
> dasterdly deeds all the while doing it himself. Are twist and you buddies
> now JJ? Must have been one powerful email.

We haven't exchanged any emails, we can say what needs to be said
here. I don't see a lot of things their way, (Mopar and I do agree
on quality equipment - Collins), and they don't see a lot of
things my way, some of the things you are accusing are just not
true. If you think Twistie, Landshark, or Mopar have condemned
others for operating legally, please post the proof.

2794. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 23:03:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6A0D9.DD257578@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

"Josh L. McDowell" wrote:
>
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell
> http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/

And geocities has already closed your site.

2795. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-28 23:26:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de6a30a.366239@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145381

In <TsnF9.2231$OM3.370029@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>, "Stu"
<news@villas4all.net> wrote:

>Thanks for all the help, What do you guys think of a President Washington
>home base radio? I am thinking of getting one of these, its 40channels
>am,usb,lsb isnt it? Any advice?

Beware of modified radios. I'm not preaching legality, but the
majority of modifications are done by monkeys with chain-saws and
arc-welders. Plus, most of the mods on the Washingtons (including the
TRC-490's) have been done improperly to begin with. If there are extra
holes and/or switches in the base plate, it has probably been modified
at one time or another.

>Also I am in a area that's got a home owners association and I don't think
>they would allow an Anton 99 up, does anyone have any good home base
>antennas they can recommend? It can go outdoors but up a tree or something,
>something that's not to obvious that we would get a complaint about.

That's bogus. If it were me I would challenge the rules. Regardless,
you could get yourself a tuner for about $20 or so, and then you can
use just about anything for an antenna, even a rain gutter or a flag
pole.

2796. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 00:51:54 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6BA2A.882FF160@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 145382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Have you taken the package and copies of the emails to
the local post master at the post office?
Mail fraud is a felony and is taken very seriously.
D.S.

2797. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 01:06:46 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <as6etd$o059l$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145385

Yep i agree with the 2-meter...i've been having a blast bumping repeaters
across the country and talking with some really nice people..
Fixing to p/u that new dual bander from Kenwood...very nice
--
73's de George
KG4LBD

Mad Dog
"Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3de599f9.201190@news.cet.com...
> In <qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>, Kris
> <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >hi!
> >
> >
> >I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> >before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> >radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> >require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> >send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> >a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> >contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> >there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> >the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
> >
> >
> >TIA!
>
> CB radio uses frequencies that don't carry very well over land, and
> the service is only intended for a range of 1 to 5 miles. Even with an
> amp so big that it cuts your gas mileage in half AND an antenna that
> knocks out street lights, you won't get your desired 200 Km range
> (unless you are talking skip, but 200 Km is too short for skip). Spend
> your money on a ham license, then run 2-meters through the many
> repeaters located all around the country. Or get a cell phone.
>

2798. Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 01:15:51 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <as6ete$o059l$2@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145386

So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess now?
What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or what?
I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio characteristics
and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to know what the
guidelines entail..TIA
--
Mad Dog

2799. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 01:42:17 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1038534589.788458@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 145387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

Every now and then the State does a GOOD THING
"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message
news:3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com...
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell
> http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/
>

2800. Re: FA: Galaxy DX99v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 01:51:52 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <mqhduug9pnlv2th4v11u5dq6j72fhkgh06@4ax.com>
Source record: 145388

WOW SOLD!!!!

On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 21:53:27 GMT, rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote:

>
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1942077302
>
>Buy now $240
>

2801. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 02:25:06 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <as6iv8$ob2rv$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145389

Okay, get caught being the key word..thanx

--
Mad Dog
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021128201518.749$lW@newsreader.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
> >> So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
> > > Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess
> > > now? What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or
> > > what? I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio
> > > characteristics and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to
> > > know what the guidelines entail..TIA
> > >
> > You can own it,just don't get caught using it on 11 meters.
> >
> > --
> > ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

2802. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 02:37:32 UTC
From: Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <smkduuk4skgmppf51pli87ce4qqngj0gh9@4ax.com>
Source record: 145390

i guess i better start reading about HAMS radio set up :)

On 28 Nov 2002 08:53:32 -0800, vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
(vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux) wrote:

>It would be tough to find a CB setup that would allow you to reach 200
>kilometers. Most CB's will cover about a 15k radius, if you're lucky.
>It sounds like you would need something like ham radio, but you have
>to pass a test to be able to do that. Ham radio would allow you to use
>repeaters to talk to your family over that distance, but they would
>also have to be licensed and there would have to be a good repeater or
>a repeater network that could cover that distance.
>
>The short answer is that a CB will not fit the bill for what you want
>it to do.
>
>Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>...
>> hi!
>>
>>
>> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
>> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
>> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
>> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
>> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
>> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
>> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
>> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
>> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>>
>>
>> TIA!

2803. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 03:55:37 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as6ohl01tqb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE6A0D9.DD257578@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Josh L. McDowell" wrote:
> >
> > The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> > MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> > missign it!
> > Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
> >
> > Honorably,
> > Joshua L. McDowell
> > http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/
>
> And geocities has already closed your site.

No it has not. Was just at it.

2804. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:03:24 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as6p0801ufk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message
news:3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com...
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell
> http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/

Do what the state's wants you to do and hire an attorney, and just maybe
you'll get the baby. But don't hold your breath to long.
A baby to the state is a gold mine. Put it in a foster home for 5 years and
they make tons of money doing it.
What you need to do is sue the agency for rights to your child.
That's the only way any court is gonna take notice.

2805. The State kidnapped my baby girl!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:07:04 UTC
From: "Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6E7E8.4060102@cs.com>
Source record: 145368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
missign it!
Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!

Honorably,
Joshua L. McDowell

2806. The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:09:31 UTC
From: "Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com>
Source record: 145369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
missign it!
Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!

Honorably,
Joshua L. McDowell
http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/

2807. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:11:33 UTC
From: Fishstick <fishie@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <vIGdnUoIsdKEdHugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145391

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

: k8cpa*no spam*@arrl.net wrote:
: > On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 14:22:58 -0600 (CST), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark
: > Roehlke) was blabbling:

: > ...Real hams dont make fools of themselves on rrcb....Happy
: > ...Thanksgiving...Mark

: > Oh? and how do you figure I'm making a fool of myself? by letting
: > other people know that a good friend of mine got ripped by some lying
: > thief, like you?

: > puff a root, dickhead....

: Hey Chuck,what did you do to agent? Your posts don't quote right.
: No flame..something is borked.

Looks good on my end Chuck, must be his 9.95 per month newsreader

2808. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:23:22 UTC
From: Fishstick <fishie@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <O9Kdnb2q9bZDdnugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145394

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021128145540.22969.00000184@mb-bg.aol.com...
: randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride
and
: talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these
guys
: see some big numbers, believe it.

Tim, I don't doubt guy uses those tubes as well as the 4cx 10,000 but to say 100,000+ watts, that is a bit of a stretch from 2 -10,000 watt tubes in a mobile configuration. Any idea of just the input power, needed to run an amp that big, and they would need about 10-15 kw of drive power, and another 1 to 1.5 kw to drive that amp. Add up all the input power to run these amps. You are looking at 250,000 watts of input power. Rather Ripley's Beleive it or not material.

2809. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:32:27 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <o0-dnehpi6picHugXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145395

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE69DF8.A53F678B@mailcity.net...

: We haven't exchanged any emails, we can say what needs to be said
: here. I don't see a lot of things their way, (Mopar and I do agree
: on quality equipment - Collins), and they don't see a lot of
: things my way, some of the things you are accusing are just not
: true. If you think Twistie, Landshark, or Mopar have condemned
: others for operating legally, please post the proof.

I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, where did you arrive at that conclusion? They say others call them names etc yet they do the same thing, twisty lies like a rug yet he calls everyone else a liar. I guess you don't read what is said. They were looking for your call sign for what non are Hams, they want to dig up some dirt on you. In fact it wasn't real hard to find if they wanted it. Mopar calls me a troll, yet do you see the posts he makes, he says I am the biggest Troll in the NG, who cares if I am the biggest or smallest the point remain he is a troll as well, he trolls posts with the intent to solicit a off topic off the wall reply That is a troll. I am glad you and a he agree on Collins. So JJ, what are the things you say I am accusing them off that isn't true.

2810. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:32:58 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <J-ednf1TzduDc3ugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145396

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128203504.277

: Go outside and get some fresh air.

Go outside and get hit by a car.

2811. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:34:21 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <YhednZJgifLtc3ugXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128202614.259

: Kepp cross posting this drivel to rec.radio.cb,and I'll keep giving you
the
: same answer.

The word is "Keep" and you are the one who is cross posting, troll.

2812. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:36:28 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <uBednUcH6LByc3ugXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145298

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128221917.482

: Since I know your first name.

You know my first name? Then why did you keep asking? trolling again?

: Now I must ask,if Dwight hasn't posted since 2001,why did you say Dwight?

How was I to know dwight hasn't posted to the NG since 2001.

2813. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:37:07 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <ATadnfDHAN2KcnugXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145299

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128221917.482

: Now I must ask,if Dwight hasn't posted since 2001,why did you say Dwight?

Did dwight all of a sudden move to Allentown Pa?

2814. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:53:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6F2DF.F7410018@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145307

Turkey wrote:
>
> I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, > where did you arrive at that conclusion?

Right here in your own posting.

Subject: Re: Federal Criminals
Date: Mon, 25 Nov 2002 12:59:50 -0500
From: "Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Organization: _
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
References: 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:XetE9.46:
Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
: have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
: but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
: complains because someone said "don't call me
: illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
: like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
: foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also
: Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
: troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there
: is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
: with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well
: they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
: not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
:

And you responded:

> Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio,

2815. Re: WTB: SWR/MOD meter for Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:57:05 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <BJacne7sm5BYbnugXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145300

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021128235037.355$1c@newsreader.com...
: "The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote:
: > This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
: >
: > ------=_NextPart_000_0010_01C29738.178EEA40
: > Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
: > boundary="----=_NextPart_001_0011_01C29738.178EEA40"
: >
: > ------=_NextPart_001_0011_01C29738.178EEA40
: > Content-Type: text/plain;
: > charset="Windows-1252"
: >
: ----------
:
:
: TURN OFF THE FRIGGIN HTML!!!

Why your 9.95 Newsreader can't HANDLE IT

2816. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:59:52 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <r5OcnRsWkcLxaXugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145301

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128234246.061

: >> Looks good on my end Chuck, must be his 9.95 per month newsreader
:
: This newsreader rocks!

Would I expect you to say any different.

: BTW..I get it for less than that..grandfather thing.

Which grandfather thing is that?

: I'm running the sig file for him(my choice),beacuse he's always been cool
: to me. And it's a load of bandwidth for the price.

You mean "because" right.

: Chuck's reply's don't always format very well.

Looked good on my end, yours is a web based newsreader isn't it.

2817. Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 04:59:57 UTC
From: modify66@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128235957.01747.00000103@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 145302

I would like to modify this radio for this frequency.
I don't want to buy a kit for the radio.
I have seen them modded in the past without a kit.
I was wondering if somebody out there can give me the modification.
Thank you...
please email me direct...

2818. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 05:01:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6F48D.326B62BE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE6A0D9.DD257578@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > "Josh L. McDowell" wrote:
> > >
> > > The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> > > MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> > > missign it!
> > > Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
> > >
> > > Honorably,
> > > Joshua L. McDowell
> > > http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/
> >
> > And geocities has already closed your site.
>
> No it has not. Was just at it.

I tried it several times and received a Geocities message that
said the site was no longer available, but now it does come up.

2819. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 05:04:38 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <QBKdnSHAGPYUaHugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145303

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

: BUSCH, GEORGE WA3MOJ wrote:
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128221917.482
: >
: > : Now I must ask,if Dwight hasn't posted since 2001,why did you say
: > : Dwight?

I thought I told you before a few of the stooges in the NG still think aaron is dwight, they have said this the past few weeks, so why not mention his name they brought it up I just help add to their confusion. It has kept you asking questions as well it seems like it did the trick.

: > Did dwight all of a sudden move to Allentown Pa?

: How would I know where Dwight went?

Well you know where I post from so, and I can assume you know where dwight posts from, so what actually are you trying to imply with your line of questions. I mean really quite beating around the Busch.

2820. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 05:32:12 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as6u6p025jt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145304

"Modify66" <modify66@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128235957.01747.00000103@mb-bk.aol.com...
> I would like to modify this radio for this frequency.
> I don't want to buy a kit for the radio.
> I have seen them modded in the past without a kit.
> I was wondering if somebody out there can give me the modification.
> Thank you...
> please email me direct...

try here http://hr2510.freeservers.com/html/uniden_pc122.html

2821. Re: WTB: SWR/MOD meter for Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 05:35:25 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as6ucp025pq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145306

```
"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:BJacne7sm5BYbnugXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021128235037.355$1c@newsreader.com...
> : "The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote:
> : > This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
> : >
> : > ------=_NextPart_000_0010_01C29738.178EEA40
> : > Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
> : > boundary="----=_NextPart_001_0011_01C29738.178EEA40"
> : >
> : > ------=_NextPart_001_0011_01C29738.178EEA40
> : > Content-Type: text/plain;
> : > charset="Windows-1252"
> : >
> : ----------------------------------------------------------------------
> :
> :
> : TURN OFF THE FRIGGIN HTML!!!
>
>
> Why your 9.95 Newsreader can't HANDLE IT
```

HTML is considered to be a binary. and is not ascii format.
Since html is also a coding language, that requires translation, which
should not take place in text only newsgroups.

2822. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING WA3MOJ TROLL WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 05:53:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <shDF9.772$kM6.59009117@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145305

"Fishstick" <fishie@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:vIGdnUoIsdKEdHugXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>
> : k8cpa*no spam*@arrl.net wrote:
> : > On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 14:22:58 -0600 (CST), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark
> : > Roehlke) was blabbling:
>
>
> : > ...Real hams dont make fools of themselves on rrcb....Happy
> : > ...Thanksgiving...Mark
>
> : > Oh? and how do you figure I'm making a fool of myself? by letting
> : > other people know that a good friend of mine got ripped by some lying
> : > thief, like you?
>
> : > puff a root, dickhead....
>
>
>
> : Hey Chuck,what did you do to agent? Your posts don't quote right.
> : No flame..something is borked.
>
>
>
>
> Looks good on my end Chuck, must be his 9.95 per month newsreader
>
>

Yes, it must be George's (.95 reader), otherwise why would
he want peoples attention so much to change his screen nic
twice in one day. Lonely life.....

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2823. Re: You have RF in the shack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 06:55:48 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as74fl$9ed$2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145309

"FMRNYCER" <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021126081207.06043.00000077@mb-fq.aol.com...
> Oh boy. I guess its good that I have a sense of humor.

Those without one should avoid this group... they would not survive it.

;~)

Regards,

Peter.

2824. Re: Bring back The CB license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 06:55:57 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as74fo$9ed$4@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145310

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:co2F9.12009$aT3.8517@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>
> Hi Peter,
>
> Long time, no read. How's life on your side of the pond?

Not too bad, thanks... how about your end?

> Well, I hope. I try to give the folks the most
> optimistic outcomes possible. The plain truth
> doesn't seem to sit well. ;-)

Personally, I would like to think that a fee meant something for your
money... but unfortunately it doesn't always.
If you run a business the way the Government work... taking money for
a service and spending it on something else, while refusing to provide
a service, you would be in trouble.

Also, there's no guarantee that users would buy a licence... the figure
over here was estimated at 2/3 of users being unlicensed... it is probably
even less than that now. Many of those who did get a licence, soon
felt that their money was wasted, as the "idiots" were not being
controlled, so they stopped buying it.

Regards,

Peter.

2825. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 06:56:14 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as74fs$9ed$6@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145311

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bd8buu4gqervql3o8gqakdeql0s7eh53hb@4ax.com...
> Hi!
>
> Ok, well lets say 40km away from home, is that possible? I thought it
> woull dbe nowdays since cheap two way radios are available that will
> easily go 3 kms radios.

They will be on different bands.
Also, some services get the distance by using "repeaters". You only need enough power to get to the nearest one, which then sends your signal out further... NOT available on CB in most countries.

CB uses HF... 27MHz. At this frequency, antennas are big.
The antenna size can be reduced by using loading coils, but you will not get the same efficiency and distance.
The smaller the antenna, the less distance you will get from it. Don't be fooled by some antenna manufacturers claims to "10dB gain" from their 12 Inch mag mounts... they are probably comparing it to the signal with no antenna connected.

You either want distance, with a fair sized, efficient antenna... or a compact antenna, with shorter range.
In any case, as already mentioned, you cannot expect too much from the CB service... just short range.

As someone else said, if you want to get distance reliably... you need to use a mobile 'phone or get a Ham licence.

Regards,

Peter.

--
A Ham licence is for life... not just for christmas.

2826. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 06:56:19 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as74ft$9ed$7@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145312

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uub8152i7g3ac9@corp.supernews.com...
> However, let's wait and see what some of the more
> knowledgeable folks have to say about this ...

Such modesty.

Regards,

Peter.

2827. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 06:56:29 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as74fv$9ed$8@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145313

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:4EednXH2IPdnRXmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> True.
> Still, making sure you are only radiating the intended signals is a very
> good first step in troubleshooting. I've seen cases where amps have gone
> into spurious oscillation,

I have also seen this happen, due to a high SWR causing feedback.

> being a transmitter all by themselves on some
> uncontrolled frequency. Sucks your power down,
> and causes serious interference.

It may well have done that... for the few minutes that it lasted. Can you
say, "Scrap"?

I have also seen radios go into self oscillation, with feedback from a high
SWR or excessive gain.
As you say, this will cause inteference... the output will be all across the
band.

> Yes, but non-approved ones are on the shelf, and aftermarket mods, and
> "linear" amps that aren't linear are quite common. The amps are illegal
full
> stop, but that hasn't prevented them from being available.

You will not stop them. They made the single band "Amateur" radios, such as
Lincolns, illegal over here. They still came in, but without the Goverment
getting any VAT on the sale, or tax on the profit.
So, they lifted the ban... they are now legal to buy, sell, own and, if you
have the Ham licence, transmit on. Same with amps, they can legally be used
for Amateur radio... although we all know who really buys the cheap rubbish
available from so many CB shops.

The American AM and SSB CBs are 100% illegal. The laws were hard to
enforce, as they were had to prove:
1 Importing. They had to be caught bringing it in
to the UK.
2. Connecting. They had to be caught in the act of
fitting it, otherwise there was no proof of who
did it.
3. Using. Also not too easy, as a "recording" may be
someone with a similar voice, and is not proof that
the conversation took place over an illegal CB.

So, they made owning them illegal... but they are still common over here.

> Without knowing exactly what sort of interference is happening, it's hard
> to be specific on cleanup steps. I don't know that front end overloading
> is the problem in this case.

It would be nice to see a reply from "Walter" about the radio and other
equipment that he is using.
I too would like to know if the radio is new, second hand, got at, modified,
legal, etc.
Also, if he is using an "Amp" or what power the radio is putting out.

> > As the person has said that space and location of antenna are a
problem...
> > I would suspect an overload problem. If he is already running a legal
> > system, I would not suspect a "dirty" signal... unless your FCC allows
> > the sale of "dirty" CBs.
>
> It's not legal, but they are sold,

But surely not the "approved" sets.
We could discuss this forever, but we need to know what he is using... is it
an "approved" set, made to the accepted standard... and has he any Amp or
mods?

As I said in another post, he should make sure that he is using legal
equipment first... then, if the problem is still there, look at the other
things mentioned. We will have to wait and see if he replies with further
info or results of efforts.

> and of course after-sale mods are common.

They are also common here... some legal, some illegal... some for sets that
will never be legal.
Another common problem is the "Screwdriver Experts" who do not know what
they are doing when the "tweek" the radio.

Regards,

Peter.

2828. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 11:55:06 UTC
From: F68A <f68a@free.fr>
Message-ID: <3de756dc$0$18243$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 145314

Ohhhh!
Yes, the famous 2950...
It's supposed to be a ham rig (28-29.7 MHz) and not an "export" 11metres rig.
In fact there's nothing new: you can possess a non modified rig according to the FCC, but you cannot possess a modified (26-32 or 24-30 MHz) one.
To use it or modify it, well, in theory (according to the FCC) you have to be a legitimate ham radio operator... In theory...
The only thing for freebanders is not to be caught!
But with all the spurious coming out of this shitty rig when used out of the ham band... Sure you'd have problems one day or another.

Bye!

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
as6ete$o059l$2@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
> Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess now?
> What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or what?
> I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio characteristics
> and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to know what the
> guidelines entail..TIA
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>

2829. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 12:00:24 UTC
From: F68A <f68a@free.fr>
Message-ID: <3de756de$0$18243$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 145315

A what?

100$ linear or 0.00001W linear?

LOL LOL!

"john dobbs" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> a crit dans le message de news:
9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com...
> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> use.

2830. Re: CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 12:14:04 UTC
From: F68A <f68a@free.fr>
Message-ID: <3de75a41$0$18259$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 145316

Hi!
Just take a non modified FCC approved rig, good quality non exotic coax cable and a well tuned (not indoor)antenna placed at some distance from TV, sat or FM-BC antennas. You could add an HF filter on the 110V edge of the power supply and some ferrite cores or EM clips on the DC cable.
If it doesn't cure add a good quality (Kenwood LF30A or Ham 150) Low-Pass Filter.
After trying this, if the problem is still there, it's not your fault and your neighbor's using an indoor wide-band amplified recieving antenna... and he will have to cope with or invest for better receiving conds and ferrite cores or clips!
Bye.

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote:
Cf%D9.17170$ea.455061@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Does anybody know of a good base cb antenna that won't bleed over into other
> electronics.
> Were I live, you can hear me on tv's, stereos, computers, etc. etc. etc, for
> a whole block.
> I have tried dipole, & bazooka antennas & all types of coax, mobile & base
> cb's.
> Any help out there for an avid CBer???
> PS> One more thing, I cant have a big ugly old cb antenna since we are not
> supposed to have one installed in the first place...
>
>

2831. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 12:47:05 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE761C9.79DFC8B4@secret.com>
Source record: 145317

You cannot modify a uPD2824 chip. You need an Expo "N" kit.

Modify66 wrote:
>
> I would like to modify this radio for this frequency.
> I don't want to buy a kit for the radio.
> I have seen them modded in the past without a kit.
> I was wondering if somebody out there can give me the modification.
> Thank you...
> please email me direct...

2832. Re: ARNewsline 1319 - Nov 22 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 12:48:28 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7621C.EB188DEA@secret.com>
Source record: 145318

What is a " â□□ "?

I see it in every other line.

2833. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 12:58:14 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com>
Source record: 145319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Another jackass.

No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

2834. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 13:21:39 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE769E3.745CB903@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 145320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

King Pineapple wrote:

> Today's democrat party is disconnected from leadership power at every level
> of government....

What I love most about it is that they continue to be clueless.
They lost the people by being far left,
lacking basic moral character traits- even convincing themselves
such traits are unimportant- and telling lies about darn near
everything in sight. And now that their behavior has cost them,
they insist they need to act this way even more.
Their choice of House minority leader is an excellent example
of their self-destructive madness.
You can always count on a Democrat to let his emotions
override what very little common sense he has.
Let them continue to whine and self-destruct.
It's the best thing to happen to this country since Reagan.

D.S.

2835. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 13:34:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8811-3DE76CD1-269@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145321

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Big Bopper)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128071752.798
Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That is
life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.

>Right on,JJ.<
--

>I am dealing with it I am pointing out the >hypocrisy and it is fine
with me

Apparently all isn't fine....you are posturing something terrible.

>but he is crying how dave and lee, aaron etc >are these bad people,
trolls, who call him
>names etc yet
>when he does the same thing it is just return >fire.

No, Georgey boy, that isn't what is taking place at all. You are indeed
confused, fouled, and indeed crying about it,,,focusing on all I say to
your fouled little clique,...you continue to accuse me of hypocrisy so I
continue to reply to your accusations and point out how it is not
hypocritical of anyone to stand up for what is right, regardless of who
is on the opposing end. You inquire and accuse me, I reply (that is how
usenet works, Georgey boy) with words *you* used, and you cry
"hypocrisy'...you are angry with yourself.
  You are unwilling to see the forest through the trees. If you
would respond in a non-inflammatory manner and manage to treat those as
you wish to be treated, there would be absolutely nothing for you to
point at.
It's such a simple concept yet you fail to grasp it as you were
obviously were never taught it, same as Lelnad and Davey-boy Hall.

>JJ, in your next email to twisty I think you >should be telling him to
learn to deal with it. he >is the one accusing others of lieing and
>doing dasterdly deeds all the while doing it >himself. Are twist and
you
>buddies now JJ? Must have been one >powerful email.

Ahhahahhahhaaahahahhah!
This is the second time you have cried aloud concerning an email
exchange inquiry that didn't concern you. JJ tells me it's ok to email
him and you act as if he just stabbed you in the back.
You continue to selectively ignore and clip a very important detail,
George. As was correctly noted, I have no desire to flame or argue with
anyone,,nor will I passively lay down or take your bullshit. I just do

not enjoy casting pearls before swine. You angry few who always initiate attacks with flames and off-topic comments are not interested in truth. You want a target, demonstrated by Lelnad and Dave Hall's pastcomments of how they find pleasure or entertainment when another finds lament, even if they must be the cause of such.
Why you act this way is simple..it is nothing more than an ego challenge.

If you can manage to appear cute to each other while collectively attacking another, your goal is accomplished and beaten ego temporarily elevated. Nothing like a bit of posturing to make up for the low self-esteem you already suffer..the bond that ties you with Lelnad, Dogie and Hall...the sheople...the followers.....and it makes them mad as hell to be reminded what they are. They know it. You know it. Everyone knows it. Their whole lives and they are still unable to figure out what they are or how to effectively communicate. There is not a backbone among you. You want nothing less than blood but were rendered incapable and shown to be incompetent.

  Yet, as you plainly see, I hold the leashes of the sheople. I didn't ask for this and I really wish it were another way. I do not like to see people so emotionally involved and charged that all someone has to do is to refuse to give in and then they go wild. I have never, and never will give in and let you, KC8LDO, N3CVJ, N8WWM, or anyone else feel that all they need do to run one off when an opinion they don't like is expressed, is to begin hurling insults and making so much bullshit off-topic noise that it frightens others from expressing their point of views and opinions. You have met the enemy, and indeed been rendered impotent.

2836. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 13:54:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8811-3DE771B2-275@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145326

From: turkey@hotsnail.coms (Turkey)
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE69DF8.A53F678B@mailcity.net...
We haven't exchanged any emails, we can say what needs to be said here. I don't see a lot of things their way, (Mopar and I do agree on quality equipment - Collins), and they don't see a lot of things my way, some of the things you are accusing are just not true. If you think Twistie, Landshark, or Mopar have condemned others for operating legally, please post the proof.

>I have never said they did condem anyone for >operating illegally JJ, where did you arrive at >that conclusion?

You have indeed lied with the false accusation that several you speak of try to censor legal cb advocation. It was a lie and you were caught and called on it. The number of times you prefer to lie desn't matter, George...it still maketh you a liar.

>They say others call them names etc yet they >do the same thing, twisty lies like a rug yet he >calls everyone else a liar.

Not true at all. I have never posted an untruth.....it is why I have you on a string and you are have been unable to post anything of substance..not a single post or claim, just "Twisty this, twisty that"..ahhahahahh! Pooor poor boy Georgey.....it appears you are heading for a nervous breakdown.

> I guess you don't read what is said.<

You are the one that misses post. THAT has been shown countless times. Has DAvey Boy Hall ever mentioned to you WHY he lied concerning his villanova account? Never mind,,once a liar......

> They were looking for your call sign <

There ya' go again, Busch-boy. I never looked for JJ's call, as I had questions if he was even a hammie...whether he is or isn't makes no dfference to me at all. Looking at his recent posts, I tend to lean toward the "yes he is a hammie" and has done some homework and is seeing the bullshit you sling and the accusations you hurl for what they are...lies..

>for what
>non are Hams,

You failed miserably at all your identity inquiries.....so you know nothing other than what I allow you. It is part of what keeps you

struggling.

>they want to dig up some dirt on you. In fact it >wasn't real hard to find if they wanted it.

Yea? Dig some up on me. Permission granted.....fewl! Hahahhahahahah!

>Mopar calls me a troll, yet do you see the >posts he makes, he says I am the biggest Troll >in the NG, who cares if I am the biggest or >smallest

Not many...that's why you are in most kilfiles. Most don't have the time r patience to deal with your bullshit. Fortunately, there exist some that have the time and resources to nullify your bullshit you post.

>the point remain he is a troll as well, <

That's not the pint at all, Boy-Georget..hehhe....wishing hard enough won't make it so.

>he trolls posts with the intent to solicit a off >topic off the wall reply That is a troll.

Only to you, boy Georgey....more return. See, you are receiving back all you dish out and dude, you whine more than any child or woman that doesnt get their way..a solid indication you are unable to handle what you spew........haahhahahha!

>I am glad you and a he agree on Collins. So >JJ, what are the things you say I am accusing >them off that isn't true.

Ahhaahhaahhhah! Poor boy Georgey!

2837. For Sale Palomar 300 watt "10 meter" amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:00:59 UTC
From: Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net>
Message-ID: <rkseuukmnvp0281npt43rvtfr628c1o89l@4ax.com>
Source record: 145322

Selling excellant condition Palomar amp.
Used twice, like new condition. Price $110 plus $9.00 shipping.
Please reply to
dan@royaltelevision.net

2838. Uniden HR 2510 mobile rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:02:00 UTC
From: Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net>
Message-ID: <tvseuucjg2kp3t0s7n5jb7j0i26ag10dd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 145323

Selling excellant condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile
radio.
Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
Tremendous audio.
Price $169 plus $13.00 shipping
I accept money order or paypal.
Please reply via e mail to
dan@royaltelevision.net

2839. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:05:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8811-3DE77440-281@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145325

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
>Twist, I'm a bit skeptical too. I read an IEEE >article on exceedingly
>small antennas. They are theoretically >possible. Of course, time
>travel also appears theoretically possible - as >long as nothing is
>changed to affect the future.

Superb analogy. I can fly to LA or SFX from here at 9:00 AM, and arrive in time for 9:00 AM Pacific Time....<g>. You are right....time travel is possible..to what extent remains to be explored.

>Problem is, how do you avoid changing the >future? Sure, you can't kill
your parents before >you were born - but you might
>step on an ant, or set a virus free before that >virus existed.

I can definitely dig it. One widely accepted theory by scientists and devotees of weather study is that a hurricane can, has, and does, begin with the flutter of a wing of a butterfly in Africa or something similar in nature. I watched an entire documentary that followed the process from start to finish and it was nothing less than spectacular.

>So .... possible in theory, but is it truly >possible? I sure don't
>know. One thing is sure, no vertical quarter >wave (or shortened
vertical) is going to >perform like a yagi, but it would be nice to
>have a small antenna hidden in an attic that >would work as well (say
within a dB) as a full >size vertical mounted outside.
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>ps - I didn't see any price listed on that site >either!

I don't know if he is still around, but Great Pumpkin has some experience with alternate type cb antennas and gave one a thorough workout and detailed review..the final result: Pretty darn good. It might be found in google, not sure. 9db from a small attic antenna will make someone a millionaire. Maybe Jay will figure something out. I love messing with antennas and I'm not adverse to hooking up old, semi-worthless radios as guinea pigs. WHY radiation patterns do what they do in certain situations but not in others is fun to explore. The guy around here that has this "richochet skip dish" as he calls it, proved SOMETHING is going on with his set-up with friendly aranged mini-wannabe keydowns. The future of antennas...hmmmm! You heard of the folks messing around with water-filled antennas yet?

2840. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:09:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8811-3DE77529-283@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145324

From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess
now? What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or
what? I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio
characteristics and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to
know what the guidelines entail..TIA
--
Mad Dog

--

If you're an authorized hammie, you can legally use it on 10 meter.

2841. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:17:51 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <FsOcnRn0vYes6nqgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145327

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021129001452.625$PE@newsreader.com...
: "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote:
: >> You mean "because" right.
: >
: Oh..it only gets better. You're gonna point out my spelling errors now.
:
: Diversion.

Sure you don't seem to have a problem doing it to me, or are you now being a
hypocrite again.?

2842. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING WA3MOJ TROLL WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:20:49 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <Yt2dncMCEOx56nqgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145328

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129010235.527

: Yep,comcast doesn't give a rats ass about Usenet,they farm it out.

LOL yes and it dosn't cost 9.95 per month either. What about your ISP, I guess they don't give a rats ass about usenet either if you need to pay an extra 9.95 for Usenet.

2843. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING WA3MOJ TROLL WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:23:45 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <RJicnR6F4NUJ5XqgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145329

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:shDF9.772$kM6.59009117@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

:
: Yes, it must be George's (.95 reader), otherwise why would
: he want peoples attention so much to change his screen nic
: twice in one day. Lonely life.............................
:
: Landshark

Fag boy, i use the same 95 cent newsreader as you do Outlook Express. you
want my attention otherwise why would you comment on me changing nics ...
must be some lonely life you have there.
you didn't see me bringing up how queer you are twice in one day perhaps I
should, it will give you something else to cry about.

2844. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING WA3MOJ TROLL WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:24:35 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <9pecnbWqE_1b5XqgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145330

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129010235.527

: Turkey sandwitch anyone? <g>

No but Ill take a turkey sandwich, whats a sandwitch?

2845. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:27:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8812-3DE7796F-117@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145332

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland)
In <TsnF9.2231$OM3.370029@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>, "Stu"
<news@villas4all.net> wrote:
Thanks for all the help, What do you guys think of a President
Washington home base radio? I am thinking of getting one of these, its
40channels am,usb,lsb isnt it? Any advice?

>Beware of modified radios. I'm not preaching >legality, but the
majority of modifications are >done by monkeys with chain-saws and
>arc-welders. Plus, most of the mods on the >Washingtons (including the
TRC-490's) have >been done improperly to begin with.

Maybe you would be so kind as to post your version of what is a proper
modification to the Washington and those in the know can make up their
own mind, not what you tell them to believe.

>If there are extra holes and/or switches in the >base plate, it has
probably been modified at >one time or another.

"Also I am in a area that's got a home owners association and I don't
think they would allow an Anton 99 up, does anyone have any good home
base antennas they can recommend?"

Stick it inside a tall flag pole.

"It can go outdoors but up a tree or something,

You can always use camoflauge hunting tape around it before you put it
in the tree. Bungee cord works great in a tree.

something that's not to obvious that we would get a complaint about."

>That's bogus. If it were me I would challenge >the rules.

He'll probably lose unless he is a hammie.

>Regardless, you could get yourself a tuner for >about $20 or so, and
then you can use just >about anything for an antenna, even a rain
>gutter or a flag pole.

Regardless, all mods do not require an accute education in electronics
and some are quite simple.....the Cherokee and knock-off Tomahawk come
to mind immediately..change a jumper and "ta-daa"..instant 400 plus
freqs.....the Tomahawk has FM whereas the Cherokee has 10 weather
bands.. simple mods aren't real poular with some "techs" because they
aren't needed until a repair is necessary.

2846. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:29:53 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <IvednWMCI-Ge53qgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145331

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129000110.406

: You need to get a grip,george.

You need a spell checker and to mind your own business.

2847. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 14:52:29 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <yJidnSezX4vS4nqgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145333

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8811-3DE771B2-275@storefull-:
:
:
: You have indeed lied with the false accusation that several you speak of
: try to censor legal cb advocation.

Oh it is a lie? Bullshit, you, landwhale, moped and the other crybabys have tried to silence aaron, myself, frank, doug and who ever made the most noise about operating Legaly. you refusal to accept that fact shows your true pedigree a born liar.

: It was a lie

oh you admit to lieing, thats a change.

:The number of times you prefer to lie desn't matter,

I only return things to their rightful owner

:
: >They say others call them names etc yet they >do the same thing, twisty
: lies like a rug yet he >calls everyone else a liar.

: Not true at all. I have never posted an untruth.....

LOL now that is the biggest lie you have posted to date.

: on a string and you are have been unable to post anything of
: substance..not a single post or claim

No claims lump head just the truth you are a confirmed liar, bellevue want you in by 8:00 tonite.

.....it appears you are

pointing out your lies, yes correct

: a nervous breakdown.

then you need to calm yourself.

: You are the one that misses post.

I do, am I supposed to read every post?

: Has DAvey Boy Hall ever mentioned to you WHY he lied concerning his
: villanova account? Never mind,,once a liar......

Why would he tell me anything, I am not personal friends with Dave, although I do like how he has you on a leash.

: There ya' go again, Busch-boy. I never looked for JJ's call, as I had
: questions if he was even a hammie...

Twist did I say you personally looked for JJ call sign? but as noted you question him being a ham or not so a callsign would have either been proof to you or not.

:whether he is or isn't makes no dfference to me at all.

Oh it sure does otherwise you wouldn't have questioned if he was a ham or not. another lie by you.

:Looking at his recent posts,

He doesn't know who helps him

:I tend to lean toward

What you lean to is of no consequence

the "yes he is a hammie" and has done some homework and is seeing
: the bullshit you sling and the accusations you hurl for what they
: are...lies..

Oh and that pleasures you...

: You failed miserably at all your identity inquiries.....

I failed at what? i don't care who you are twisty, and never asked you or anyone else, to me your some whacko who lives in the pile, it is you that has that affliciton of identity.

: Yea? Dig some up on me. Permission granted.....fewl! Hahahhahahahah!

My anonymous acolyte, why do I care who you are it is proven you are a schemp who wants to know everyone elses name yet hides behind the twistedhed moniker.hahahahahaha

: Not many...that's why you are in most kilfiles.

Whay am I not in your's you follow me around like a lost puppydog

:Most don't have the time or patience to deal with your bullshit.

Most don't ? I can name 2 or 3 that respond to my every post like clockwork. want the names? nah you know who they are, your one of em.

: Fortunately, there exist some that have the time and resources to nullify

Yes, it is called self discipline, you have the choice too, but you chose not to

: That's not the pint at all,

what you say is of no importance

:wishing hard enough won't make it so.

and saying it over and over won't either. try another tactic

: Only to you, boy Georgey....more return.

more hypocrisy, and affection

: See, you are receiving back all you dish out and dude, you whine more
than any child or woman
: that doesnt get their way..a solid indication you are unable to handle
: what you spew.....haahhahahha!

I can handle it twsity thats where your mistaken, It is you guys crys about
things that go on in this NG then say aaron did this george did that you
then you turn around and do the same thing back to those you claim are doing
you wrong. That is a hypocrite. I dont care what you or JJ or any of you
think flame me all you want, troll me all you want but to claim that your
allowed to do it because it is return fire? LOL That's the biggest joke of
all, well next to you.

2848. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:08:44 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <as7vn3$oggm9$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145334

Okay then i will slide the jumpers back to default and p/u a 148GTL
since the 2950 sucks on SSB..thanx
I'm only a No-code technician...

--
Mad Dog
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8811-3DE77529-283@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess
now? What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or
what? I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio
characteristics and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to
know what the guidelines entail..TIA
--
Mad Dog

--

If you're an authorized hammie, you can legally use it on 10 meter.

2849. Re: The State kidnapped my baby girl!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:09:28 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0211290709.30e60112@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145335

Huh????

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE6E7E8.4060102@cs.com>...
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell

2850. Re: Uniden HR 2510 mobile rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:14:20 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as80a801nm4@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145346

"Dan" <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net> wrote in message
news:tvseuucjg2kp3t0s7n5jb7j0i26ag10dd7@4ax.com...
> Selling excellant condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile
> radio.
> Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
> Tremendous audio.
> Price $169 plus $13.00 shipping
> I accept money order or paypal.
> Please reply via e mail to
> dan@royaltelevision.net
>

Warning: It is illegal to purchase and use [tranmsit with], ham radio
equipment without a proper FCC issued license.
Modifying the radio for use on 11 meters is also illegal.
Transmitting voice on code only frequencies is also illegal, which you will
be doing on the modified radio on the frequencies outside of 11 meters.

Penalty for being caught is a $10,000 fine and confiscation of equipment.

2851. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:14:43 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <3IWcnXUYargYGXqgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145336

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

>I am dealing with it I am pointing out the >hypocrisy and it is fine
with me

:Apparently all isn't fine....you are posturing something terrible.

It sure is fine twisty, pointing out your hypocrisy is a fun.

>but he is crying how dave and lee, aaron etc >are these bad people,
trolls, who call him
>names etc yet
>when he does the same thing it is just return >fire.

:No, Georgey boy, that isn't what is taking place at all.

It sure is twisthed, you claim these guys get personal yet you do the same
phuqqing thing, your lame retun fire excuse is just that Lame. It gives you
no extra rights to do anything It just makes you look like a hypocrite.

:You are indeed

whipping your ass that your reduced to 'LOL "return fire"

:confused, fouled, and indeed crying about it

yes it seems you are confused and can't understand you saying one thing then
doing it back is a HYPOCRITE>

: you continue to accuse me of hypocrisy

Deservedly so, I can see you getting pulled over for speeding. "well officer
everyone else was doing it , why can't I? I am just trying to speed because
others are.

: so I continue to

Lie, and make false claims.. duly noted.

:reply to your accusations and point out how it is not hypocritical of
anyone to stand up for what is right,

Thats the point you pschotic head is missing. your not standing up for what
is right, someone cals you a asshole and the right thing to do is call them
one back? then tell them they are a asshole for calling you the asshole.
That is what you are doing. Instead of you being a "adult" about it you
revert to childish banter, well he did it so I am gonna do it to
nannanananaaa. Do you have kids twisty? do you smoke cigs. Tell your
children to not smoke then light up a cigarette, hypocrite

: regardless of who is on the opposing end.

You will lie

: and accuse me,

deservedly so

: I reply with words *you* used, and you cry "hypocrisy'...

Yet when I say those words I am wrong when you do it is ok? That is how a hypocrite works, and you got that down pat.Twistednuts, if you replied in kind to me with what I say to you that is ok I can take it I have broad shoulders, but not your crying about it and how wrong we are when you do the same thing. I don't know why you have a hard time understanding your hypocrisy.

If I call you an asshole, and you want to call me one that is fine, knock your dick in the dirt doing it, but Don't tell me I am an asshole for calling you one, and then you turn around and call me one and say it is just you returning fire.

:If you would respond in a non-inflammatory manner and manage to treat those as
: you wish to be treated, there would be absolutely nothing for you to point at.

Oh so what that means is then you wouldn't be a hypocrite?

: It's such a simple concept

yes it is you condem those for the same thing you do then use an excuse for your behaviour

: yet you fail to grasp

I fail to grasp your non comprehension of it yes.

: same as Lelnad and Davey-boy Hall.

Ah your love for them is never ending, is that return fire or just you being a dickhead?

: JJ tells me it's ok to email him

Not in his post yesterday.

: and you act as if he just stabbed you in the back.

well if he sleeps with you then yes he has. I have backed JJ when possible.

: As was correctly noted, I have no desire to flame or argue with anyone,,

Thats your biggest lie yet, you have no self disipline then> no one is making you do anything. You chose to argue and you enjoy it hence the reply to this post.

: nor will I passively lay down or take your bullshit.

You sticking your nose in where it doesnt belong doesn't constiute anyones bullshit except your own.

: I just do not enjoy casting pearls before swine.

Then why are you doing it Lack of self control?

: You want a target, demonstrated by Lelnad and Dave Hall's pastcomments

ahhh there goes those 2 names again can you say infatuation, you really need to see a doctor about it.

: the bond that ties you with Lelnad, Dogie and Hall...

Can't make a post without bringing up these guys name can you say Lunatic?

rest of useless twisty ranting snipped for bullshit and hypocrisy reasons.

2852. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:15:58 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <Mc6cnXJTUNdMGXqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com...
:
:
: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
: >
: > Another jackass.
:
:
: No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

Another name scott Damn better not let landwhale mark BecK see it he will
add you to the list.

trying to bypass newsfilters I see huh scott.

2853. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:20:09 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <--mcnftJiNtWGHqgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145339

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129001007.070

: > Well you know where I post from so, and I can assume you know where
: > dwight posts from, so what actually are you trying to imply with your
: > line of questions. I mean really quite beating around the Busch.

: Yea..the Busch that said Dwight hasn't posted since 2001.

I sure did say that after looking at google, you too can see the same thing.

:
: Your tangled web is gonna trap you...

LOL it did just what was expected, has you making more fase assumptions, I posted that he hasn't posted since 2001, in reply to Randys recent assumption, it was a week or 2 after I made the intial post with dwights name in it that has your panties in an uproar.

The only trap is the one I laid for you, that pea brain of yours is burning up

So are you gonna answer the question?

2854. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:21:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as80k0$oq8lh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145338

"nobody" <nobody@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:mIpF9.5662$ta5.683050@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I figure either his mommy found out he was harrasing us
> and took his computer away from him, or he was finally
> thrown off welfare and couldn't pay the bill from his ISP.
>

I don't know if it's a coincidence or not, but he disappeared after I
pointed out that he's not a ham or CB'er, nor is his real name Voobner.

I'm sure he'll be back, though.

Tom

2855. Re: WTB: SWR/MOD meter for Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:22:26 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <ZgKdnf-aRJfFG3qgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145340

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message

: > : TURN OFF THE FRIGGIN HTML!!!

: > Why your 9.95 Newsreader can't HANDLE IT
:
: HTML is considered to be a binary. and is not ascii format.
: Since html is also a coding language, that requires translation, which
: should not take place in text only newsgroups.

Perhaps, but who is he to talk to the guy like that?

A simple asking nice would have been ok.

2856. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:25:38 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as80rp$oq1cb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145341

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:X9ScnSmKcs0_53igXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> > I didn't see a price anywhere on the website, but am curious as to what
> they
> > want for that antenna.
>
> Looks pricey, lots of heavy parts to fab.

I'm sure it is, but it looks to me like somebody's idea of a joke or a hoax.
If I had developed an antenna that could do what they claim theirs can do,
I'd start selling them right away.

Or maybe they don't want anyone to buy them and find out that it doesn't
work as advertised?

Tom

2857. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:28:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as810m$otfcq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145342

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021128145540.22969.00000184@mb-bg.aol.com...
> randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride
and
> talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these
guys
> see some big numbers, believe it.
>

And what's the point? An early death from brain tumors?

Tom

2858. For Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:32:53 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0211290732.3574edcd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145343

Hello All
I have a FT 920 with AM filter and MD 100 mike with the MFJ Versa Tuner V that I will Trade for a Yaesu FT 990. Anyone intereAnyone interested I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA. My email is oris@dirtball.com.sted I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA.
I Also Have a FT 1000MP that needs work. The frequency display blinks. I was told that there is a problem in the Phase Lock Loop curcuit. I will trade for working Kenwood TS 940 (with antenna tuner) or a FT 990 Or simlar HF rig. I also have a working Icom AT 500 antenna tuner that I will trade for a Kenwood TS 50 or any small 100 watt continuos coverage HF rig. I also Have a Henry radio 2KD-5 Amplifier (pair of Emac 3-500, New Power supply Capacitors, 100 in gets you 1250 out) that I will trade for a FT 990 or FT 1000.
I also Have a Pentium III 500 Mhz computer 40 X cd rom Turtle beach CD burner 128 K of ram sound card Network card 1 gig hard drive keyboard and mouse that I will trade for a HF rig.
Anyone intereAnyone interested I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA. My email is oris@dirtball.com. I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA.
Thanks for Reading and Happy Holidays
Oris
N4CND

2859. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:34:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as81cb$o1gc3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145344

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:zTlF9.12130$fk5.896735@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Hi Kris
>
> What you are looking for is not really doable on CB. Once skip roles in
> you can't talk 3 km with a base, a 50 foot high antenna and 100 watts. I
> talk world wide with a handheld and a rubber duck antenna on 2 meters with
> the help of a repeter. That may be the way to go for you as that ham
> licenses are easy to get now.

Yeah, the best solution for two-way radio to communicate around 150 miles or so is to get a ham license and operate on 80 meters. The 80 meter band has a lot of groundwave propagation that will allow short-distance (for HF) communications. At my location in SE Kansas, I can communicate with stations in Wichita, around 150 miles away.

However, an 80 meter mobile installation is going to require a decent antenna with a big coil on it.

Tom

2860. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:37:31 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as81i2$ot0v9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145345

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:as74fs$9ed$6@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Don't be
> fooled by some antenna manufacturers claims to "10dB gain" from their 12
> Inch mag mounts... they are probably comparing it to the signal with no
> antenna connected.
>

Probably. I never trust gain figures in antenna advertisements. Gain is subjective, and you can make up whatever number you want as long as you never say what you are comparing the antenna to. Usually, the term "gain" refers to comparing an antenna to a dipole in free space, but it's never guaranteed that's what the advertisements are really saying.

Tom

2861. 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:53:26 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W3MF9.70411$Gc.1896314@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145397

From: "Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102>
Subject: Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear
Date: Thursday, November 28, 2002 1:55 PM

randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride and talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these guys
see some big numbers, believe it.

Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a mobile. Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You are talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.

2862. Re: Please Spam me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 15:57:44 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <as830bvmk4@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:2r2duukn4qr5gtu8aomm4i49099n8ofigc@4ax.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> considered the effect on
> Homeland security, but said anyway:
>
> >That could be one way to get back at Spammers... put their e-mail
addresses
> >in newsgroups so that they get Spammed.
> >
> >Just one thing... make sure you get their **REAL** address.
>
> Now tell me, please, HOW do you find their 'real' address?

Before I started using "SMB" to filter out the crap, I did
exactly that to some of them.

They gave a Web url, so by checking out their site, I found
e-mail addresses for them. If they really want sales... they
MUST make contack possible.
Once I found some of their addresses, such as "sales@"
and "support@" their domain, I spammed them back.
More recently, I got one of their web sites shut down
for Spamming.

Quite simple, really.

> You CAN'T,

You mean, "YOU can't"... so don't bother. Block them
and ignore threads about them.
That should be easy enough.

> and they don't KEEP addresses long enough for your technique to work.

Those who really want sales, must keep an address long
enough to get replies, and so sales.

The better way, is just to get a good filter (such as SMB)
and block spam. I use it to delete all unexpected mail, while
still on the server. Spam does not even get downloaded,
and the addy in the header is just used for spam.

> All you're doing is wasting your time, and OUR space.

Your space... huh. Got yer name on it?
Do you control who can reply to what message?

Hey, are you not "wasting time and space" by replying to me?
So much anger... you must try to control it.

Regards,

Peter.

2863. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:17:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021129111723.02762.00000133@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145400

nothing about these high powered mobiles are normal

>Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
>not in a normal mobile,

2864. Re: Where is Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:20:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021129112056.02762.00000134@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145401

we've pointed that out for years lol

>I don't know if it's a coincidence or not, but he disappeared after I
>pointed out that he's not a ham or CB'er, nor is his real name Voobner.
>
>I'm sure he'll be back, though.
>
>Tom

2865. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:24:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-102
Message-ID: <20021129112406.02762.00000135@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145402

i agree 100k is stretching it but nothings impossible. dont forget 55 in fla with the 350 in the back of the van just to spin the alternators. these guys are running race car motors with nitrous and beefed up crank shafts to get the power there getting. none of them can hold the power for long but they can make it for short amounts of time and thats a fact.

>Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a
>mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a mobile.
>Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
>not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You are
>talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.

2866. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:25:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_xMF9.82780$Kj1.3441513@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145403

"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:--mcnftJiNtWGHqgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129001007.070
>
> : > Well you know where I post from so, and I can assume you know where
> : > dwight posts from, so what actually are you trying to imply with your
> : > line of questions. I mean really quite beating around the Busch.
>
>
> : Yea..the Busch that said Dwight hasn't posted since 2001.
>
> I sure did say that after looking at google, you too can see the same
thing.
>
> :
> : Your tangled web is gonna trap you...
>
>
> LOL it did just what was expected, has you making more fase assumptions,
I
> posted that he hasn't posted since 2001,

And you were proven wrong, weren't you?

in reply to Randys recent
> assumption, it was a week or 2 after I made the intial post with dwights
> name in it that has your panties in an uproar.
>
>
> The only trap is the one I laid for you, that pea brain of yours is
burning
> up
>
> So are you gonna answer the question?
>
>

2867. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:26:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE7953D.DD34D3A7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145416

Turkey wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129000110.406
>
> : You need to get a grip,george.
>
> You need a spell checker and to mind your own business.

Guess that put you in your place, Morpar.

2868. Stewart = Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:29:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021129112908.02762.00000136@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145404

i dont really know but one thing is for sure. when they both got here neither one of them knew a thing about radio and they both have the same dry legalistic aproach that apeals to nobody. if there not one in the same then they both suck equally as bad.

2869. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:31:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021129113149.02762.00000137@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145405

get a grant xl if you can. the 148's are to questionable these days and i think there now made by the same factory making the 2950's. the 148's/grants blow the 2950 away in the recieve department

>
>Okay then i will slide the jumpers back to default and p/u a 148GTL
>since the 2950 sucks on SSB..thanx
>I'm only a No-code technician...
>

2870. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 16:38:06 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OJMF9.82786$Kj1.3442954@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145406

Tim, I know you want to believe, but you ain't gonna see a 100K mobile.

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021129111723.02762.00000133@mb-cq.aol.com...
> nothing about these high powered mobiles are normal
>
>
>
> >Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
> >not in a normal mobile,
>
>
>
>

2871. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:03:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Z5NF9.880$001.66979060@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145407

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE6F2DF.F7410018@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Turkey wrote:
> >
> > I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, >
where did you arrive at that conclusion?
>
> Right here in your own posting.
>
> Subject: Re: Federal Criminals
> Date: Mon, 25 Nov 2002 12:59:50 -0500
> From: "Tunafish" <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
> Organization: _
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> References: 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:XetE9.46:
> Well Dave since you brought it up. You and I
> : have disagreed and also agreed on some things,
> : but this statement makes no sense. NO ONE just
> : complains because someone said "don't call me
> : illegal, I'll turn you in". It's usually when someone
> : like Doug floods the newsgroup with so much
> : foul language, BS Posts they report him. Also
> : Aaron is just a made up persona so someone can
> : troll this group. You can't lose your ISP unless there
> : is proof that you did some thing wrong, just like
> : with the FCC. So if someone lost there ISP, well
> : they violated the ISP TOS and lost their account,
> : not because they were pro illegal or pro legal.
> :
>
> And you responded:
>
> > Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio,

LOL!!! You know JJ, it's not about legal vs. Illegal
anymore. To them it's all about trolling and see how much
of a reaction they get by what they post. I mean, why else
would someone call people "federal criminal" "pirate"
"thief" "druggie" and countless other insults, to make

them angry, whether they are or not.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

2872. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:03:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Z5NF9.879$001.66979060@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145408

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > Another jackass.
>
>
> No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

Correct, but did you know that you cross
posted it to the world? Just a friendly reminder,
lets try and keep our trolls in Rec.Radio.Cb it's
not fair to the rest of the world <G>

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2873. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:03:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_5NF9.881$001.66979060@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145409

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021129112406.02762.00000135@mb-cq.aol.com...
> i agree 100k is stretching it but nothings impossible. dont forget 55 in
fla
> with the 350 in the back of the van just to spin the alternators. these
guys
> are running race car motors with nitrous and beefed up crank shafts to get
the
> power there getting. none of them can hold the power for long but they can
make
> it for short amounts of time and thats a fact.
>
> >Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a
> >mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a
mobile.
> >Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
> >not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You
are
> >talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.
>
>
>
>

Whether they can or not, that's an incredible
amount of power.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2874. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:10:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021129121035.02879.00000174@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 145410

randy it is a big boy for sure but these are for continuos duty operation which is VERY FAR from what the highpowered cb mobiles are doing. i agree 100k probably hasnt been reached yet but i know its been real closely aproached

>>>Tim, attached is one of two 25kw generators that are required to power a 50kw AM broadcast station that is mobile. It just happens to be located on a ship. I wanna see someone with 4 of these in their suburban. <g>

BTW, notice the turbo?<<<

2875. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:15:13 UTC
From: Nastee <Guido@anon.com>
Message-ID: <as88b6hlj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 145411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DE769E3.745CB903@ix.netcom.com...
> King Pineapple wrote:
>
> > Today's democrat party is disconnected from leadership power at every
level
> > of government....
>
> What I love most about it is that they continue to be clueless.
> They lost the people by being far left,
> lacking basic moral character traits- even convincing themselves
> such traits are unimportant- and telling lies about darn near
> everything in sight. And now that their behavior has cost them,
> they insist they need to act this way even more.
> Their choice of House minority leader is an excellent example
> of their self-destructive madness.
> You can always count on a Democrat to let his emotions
> override what very little common sense he has.
> Let them continue to whine and self-destruct.
> It's the best thing to happen to this country since Reagan.
>
> D.S.

Oh, my. Don't let old Kalifornia Bob hear you talk like this. He will have
to put an extra battery in his pacemaker just so he can go on for another
hour about how bad the Republicans are. Old Bob, you see, mishandled his
finances during his working years and he now feels that you and I owe him a
retirement income, more medicare, etc.

2876. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:17:32 UTC
From: Nastee <Guido@anon.com>
Message-ID: <as88fhhud0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 145412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > Another jackass.
>
>
> No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

Typical Liberal Democrat...when the truth hurts take the low road and call
names.

2877. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:34:49 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ZyNF9.14726$%r6.7035@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 145413

HI if you change the chip you can do a mod to it but it'll be 10Kcs. off freq.and I think not using a kit is foolish but I've seen some of those kits and they do suck , I have a kit I make and it'll do 104 up or down so you have a nice day.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Modify66" <modify66@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021128235957.01747.00000103@mb-bk.aol.com...
> I would like to modify this radio for this frequency.
> I don't want to buy a kit for the radio.
> I have seen them modded in the past without a kit.
> I was wondering if somebody out there can give me the modification.
> Thank you...
> please email me direct...

2878. Re: Uniden HR 2510 mobile rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 17:53:24 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7A994.A08B8157@qnet.com>
Source record: 145414

Hello Dan:

Does that come with the mobile mount brackets????

Jay in the Mojave

Dan wrote:
>
> Selling excellant condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile
> radio.
> Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
> Tremendous audio.
> Price $169 plus $13.00 shipping
> I accept money order or paypal.
> Please reply via e mail to
> dan@royaltelevision.net

2879. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 18:02:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%YNF9.87738$8D.2093519@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145399

Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a mobile. Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You are talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message news:20021128145540.22969.00000184@mb-bg.aol.com...
> randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride and
> talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these guys
> see some big numbers, believe it.
>
> >
> >George, I don't often agree with you...but yes, these wattage claims are
> >very much suspect, over-volting or otherwise.
> >
>
>
>
>

2880. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 18:10:59 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <aabfuuk5sguol7t53g7td1tn0smu8n27n9@4ax.com>
Source record: 145415

On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 15:53:26 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:

>
>From: "Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102>
>Subject: Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear
>Date: Thursday, November 28, 2002 1:55 PM
>
>randy theres at least one guy here in LM who has a 4cx5,000 in his ride and
>talks pretty regularly. i also know of a 3cx3000 base station too. these
>guys
>see some big numbers, believe it.
>
>Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a
>mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a mobile.
>Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
>not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You are
>talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.
>
>

Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....

''Behold how good and pleasant it is for Brethren to dwell together in unity''
Donnie n4jzh@knology.net

2881. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 18:59:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uufe9qs1qfb7a8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145417

Kris,

As far as amateur radio, you can switch repeaters as you pass out of distance from one and come into range on the other. Of course, the base would have to be able to communicate directly to any repeater you used. Generally, from a mobile running 50 watts or so you should have a few good repeaters that will allow you to communicate through them out to perhaps 50 miles or so (depending upon terrain). A good base should reach out even farther since the antenna will be higher than a mobile antenna, so it will really depend upon what is available in your area. Another possibility is to check out what repeaters along your route may be connected to the internet. If there are a couple, you would only have to punch in the desired node id from home or from the mobile. This will, if there are repeaters connected, allow unlimited communications - even from a handheld. I just had a nice chat with a guy in British Columbia, Canada, from here. BTW, you could simply use a computer for the base station - no radio needed - as long as everyone has their license. If the repeaters are available with internet linking, you may get out of this for around $150.00 US or less. Some more food for thought.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:smkduuk4skgmppf51pli87ce4qqngj0gh9@4ax.com...
> i guess i better start reading about HAMS radio set up :)

2882. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 19:08:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uufeq5t0jqmu29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145418

Water filled antennas? No, but if some had some corn squeezins' in 'em, I might be interested ;-) Yeah, 9 dB gain, but I think many folks would be happy with an antenna with a 0 dBd (equal to a dipole) in a compact antenna that could be hidden. If anyone comes up with it, I'd tend not to bet against Jay myself.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:8811-3DE77440-281@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I don't know if he is still around, but Great Pumpkin has some experience with alternate type cb antennas and gave one a thorough workout and detailed review..the final result: Pretty darn good. It might be found in google, not sure. 9db from a small attic antenna will make someone a millionaire. Maybe Jay will figure something out. I love messing with antennas and I'm not adverse to hooking up old, semi-worthless radios as guinea pigs. WHY radiation patterns do what they do in certain situations but not in others is fun to explore. The guy around here that has this "richochet skip dish" as he calls it, proved SOMETHING is going on with his set-up with friendly aranged mini-wannabe keydowns. The future of antennas...hmmmm! You heard of the folks messing around with water-filled antennas yet?

2883. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 19:47:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE7C450-347@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145420

From: timnebo@aol.com10-102 (Colonel Killaman)
>i agree 100k is stretching it but nothings >impossible. dont forget 55 in fla with the 350 in >the back of the van just to spin the alternators. >these guys are running race car motors with >nitrous and beefed up crank shafts to get the >power there getting. none of them can hold >the power for long but they can make it for >short amounts of time and thats a fact.

That's right..nitrous ain't just hippie crack....one of the more famous of the Orlando crew had it locked down today and so did Turkey-Butt from Tampa Bay....Butt Butt and his curly Q antennas wit 'da heavy Chevy!

2884. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 19:50:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE7C4EB-349@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145419

Randy, I have no clue the watts coming from some of these
Suburbans,,,but I have seen the nitrous-mobiles with everything but the
driver seat ripped out and replaced with.....stuff <g>!

2885. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 20:27:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE7CD97-355@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145421

From: turkey@hotsnail.coms (Turkey)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8811-3DE771B2-275@storefull-:
You have indeed lied with the false accusation that several you speak of
try to censor legal cb advocation.

>Oh it is a lie? Bullshit, you, landwhale, moped >and the other crybabys
have tried to silence >aaron, myself, frank, doug and who ever made >the
most noise about operating Legaly.

Lies. All lies. Show something other than your word.

>you refusal to accept that fact <

You are not a fact...and your word never has been.

>shows your true pedigree <

All my hounds have papers...yours andNAds and Halls just happen to be
spread on the dirt floor.

The number of times you prefer to lie desn't matter,
I only return things to their rightful owner

>They say others call them names etc yet they >do the same thing, twisty

Treat others as you wish to be treated.
If you come off as being Hall's favorite subjective term..a
"dick"...expect to be treted as such in return from that person. When
you treat someone like a dick and they treat you back as a dick, it is
not being a hypocrite, Boy Georgey. You appear to be the only one that
crying aloud because of your lack of comprehension skills. Even your two
butties of Lelnad and Hall aren't lending you support on this issue as
they usually try.

>>lies like a rug yet he calls everyone else a >liar.

Not true at all. I have never posted an untruth.....

>LOL now that is the biggest lie you have >posted to date.

You have been unable to post anything of substance..not a single post

>No claims lump head just the truth you are a >confirmed liar, bellevue
want you in by 8:00 >tonite.

Your off-topic and rambling again, Boy George.

>.........it appears you are
>pointing out your lies, yes correct
>a nervous breakdown.
>then you need to calm yourself.

Talking to yourselves has always been enlightening and indicative of what ails you gals.
Has DAvey Boy Hall ever mentioned to you WHY he lied concerning his villanova account? Never mind,,once a liar......

>Why would he tell me anything, I am not >personal friends with Dave, although I do like >how he has you on a leash.

You are welcome. My words are effective, but coming from you merely reflect your originality.

"There ya' go again, Busch-boy. I never looked for JJ's call, as I had questions if he was even a hammie... "

Wow...really snipping now...harrrrharrrr!

>Twist did I say you personally looked for JJ >call sign?

I didn't say you said *personally*. Pay attention.

>but as noted you question him being a ham

No, I didn't question it.

>or not so a callsign would have either been >proof to you or not.

Attempting to qualify for another can be traced to the dog pound, exclusively you and Nad and Hall.

whether he is or isn't makes no dfference to me at all.

>Oh it sure does otherwise you wouldn't have >questioned if he was a ham or not. another lie >by you.

Check it out. I didn't question it. I told you to pay attention, now you have raw egg dripping down your snout.

Looking at his recent posts,
He doesn't know who helps him
I tend to lean toward

>What you lean to is of no consequence<

Of course it isn't. Never claimed otherwise. It's you arrogant children that come unglued when told your points are useless. This is usenet, my pet, when reading advice on usenet, consider what you paid for that advice.

"the "yes he is a hammie" and has done some homework and is seeing the bullshit you sling and the accusations you hurl for what they are...lies.."

>Oh and that pleasures you...<

Not at all. It simply troubles you that your troll brigade were never able to gain control of what they thought they could.

You failed miserably at all your identity inquiries.....

>I failed at what? i don't care who you are >twisty, and never asked you or anyone else,

Puh-lease! You have asked many, MaNy, MANY their call signs, real names, etc. etc.
Myself, Mopar,Sharkie, just to name a few.

>to me your some whacko who lives in the >pile,

And you would be correct.

> it is you that has that affliciton of identity.<

Nah. You and Frank posted satellite pics of my 'hood. Lelnad probably finally got popped by the postal inspector for sending who knows what to some poor sap through the mail that he thought was my address.....gee...and to think I never asked anyone if they were a hammie, where they lived, or their personal name. Yea, the "affliction" is mine (chuckle)!

Yea? Dig some up on me. Permission granted.....fewl! Hahahhahahahah!

>My anonymous acolyte, why do I care who >you are it is proven you are a schemp who >wants to know everyone elses name yet hides >behind the twistedhed moniker.hahahahahaha

See above.

Not many...that's why you are in most kilfiles.

>Whay am I not in your's you follow me around >like a lost puppydog

I have the self-control. I need no killfile.
Reply to me, I will respond.
Stop replying to me if you wish for me to "killfile" you.
Again..simple..treat those as if you would want to be treated. For some reason, you snipped that part out of all your posts and in every single one of my reposts and quotes. Boy-Georgey has a problem with the golden rule. Perhaps you ought take a gander at your moralistic fiber.

Most don't have the time or patience to deal with your bullshit.

>Most don't ? I can name 2 or 3 that respond to >my every post like clockwork. want the >names? nah you know who they are, your one >of em.

Nothing new. You have always erroneously referred to one, two, or three, as the "majority" or "most".

Fortunately, there exist some that have the time and resources to nullify

>Yes, it is called self discipline, you have the >choice too, but you chose not to

Of course I choose not to, and that's what has you girls crying like school marms. No Boy Georgey, I will not go away or ignore your lies and bullshit that you and Lelnad and Hall and anyone else chooses to ply here. I will continue to point such things out. Nothing more, nothing less. You can continue to carry on and flame and make it personal, as you and Hall and Nad have perfected it to an art. It won't change a thing.

That's not the point at all,

>what you say is of no importance<

Of course it isn't...but I'm not the one having a hard time accepting this concept...it's you, and Nadboy KC8LDO and Daveyboy Hall N3CVJ that think your opinions matter when they are useless, worthless, and impotent..

Wishing hard enough won't make it so, nor will
saying it over.You have exhausted all your tactics.

Only to you, boy Georgey....more return.
See, you are receiving back all you dish out and dude, you whine more than any child or woman
that doesnt get their way..a solid indication you are unable to handle what you spew.....haahhahahha!

>I can handle it twsity thats where your >mistaken,

I'm not mistaken boy-Georgey. You sorry pups have illustrated it time and time again.

>It is you guys crys about things that go on in >this NG then say aaron did this george did that >you then you turn around and do the same

Not true, boy Georgey. Just like you were called on your lies about us attempting to silence legal advocation....just oral defacation from you, nothing more.

>thing back to those you claim are doing you >wrong.

I dont claim anyone does me wrong, Boy-georgey, until they start complaining about me treating them the way they treated me......it is a circular argument, started, perpetuated, and continually escalated by yourselves.

>I dont care what you or JJ or any of you think >flame me all you want, troll me all you want >but to claim that your allowed

Only you used the word "allowed", boy-Georgey.

>to do it because it is return fire? LOL That's >the biggest joke of all, well next to you.

I am glad I can be of some assistance to bring a bit of joy and laughter
to your sorrowful pit of stank.

2886. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 20:56:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE7D461-358@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145424

WA3MOJ wrote:
>Lie, and make false claims.. duly noted.<

Your mantra.

reply to your accusations and point out how it is not hypocritical of anyone to stand up for what is right,

>Thats the point you pschotic head is missing.<

No, it's not,,,it's the point your lack of intellect is not comprehending.

> your not standing up for what is right,<

That will be the day when you can dictate to another what he feels is right. I have a feeling this is one of your serious problems...you have a differing view of what is "right". You feel that one should turn the other cheek and run when confronted. The impotence is totally authentic with you...you are unable to make another conform to your view of wht is "right" and you just can't handle it...heheheheh!

>someone cals you a asshole and the right >thing to do is call them one back?

No. It began with me pointing out inaccuracies, lies, then your wad of sticky pups decided to take it to another level and get personal. There are hundreds of posts of you losers getting personal and calling names and becoming off-topic insultive for no other reason than someone posts something you disagree with.....t-o-u-g-h! Get over yourself, boy george.

>with.lthen tell them they are a asshole for >calling you the asshole. That is what you are >doing. Instead of you being a "adult" about it >you revert to childish banter, well he did it so I >am gonna do it to nannanananaaa.

Again, that is not what happened. The archives are there for all to peruse of my entrance into this group.

>Do you have kids twisty? do you smoke cigs. >Tell your children to not smoke then light up a >cigarette, hypocrite

Children are to do what they are told, not what adults do. Smoking is legal for adults, boy-georgey. It is perfectly acceptable for an adult to smoke and tell a child not to. That is the way it has been done forever. YOU, as usual, are in the norm, and no, I don't smoke,,you're missing posts again.

I reply with words *you* used, and you cry "hypocrisy'...

>Yet when I say those words I am wrong<

Yes, you're wrong. Again, I am sitting here with a perpetual grin on my face because you can't understand why people treat you like shit when you treat them the same way.

> when you do it is ok? That is how a hypocrite >works,

No, boy-Georgey...it is the golden rule coming home to roost and you have a problem with it.

> and you got that down pat.Twistednuts, <

Yes I do, boy Georgey. I have always given folks the benefit of the doubt. I assumed you were an idiot and I was correct..you attacked without provocation. I assumed Lelnad was an azzhole and I was correct..he attacked because I made a monkey out of him..actually, I just shared the info..... . I ASSumed Hall was a lying troll, and his past posts confirmed as much. You all attack me on a perosnal level because of my cb views and you think I should....ignore your lies? Go away? Hahahhh! Grow up, Georgey.

>if you replied in kind to me with what I say to >you that is ok I can take it I have broad >shoulders, but not your crying about it and >how wrong we are when you do the same

YOU began the crying, boy Georgey.
You are the hypocrite..you and Nad and Hall.
I don't know why you have a hard time understanding your hypocrisy.

>If I call you an asshole, and you want to call >me one that is fine, knock your dick in the dirt

Hahhaha...you dont get to dictate what is fine..and it's YOUR d*** in the dirt.

>doing it, but Don't tell me I am an asshole for >calling you one, and then you turn around and >call me one and say it is just you returning fire.

That's exactly what it is...even your subconscious knows this..read what you wrote again.......then realize it.

If you would respond in a non-inflammatory manner and manage to treat those as
you wish to be treated, there would be absolutely nothing for you to point at.

>Oh so what that means is then you wouldn't >be a hypocrite?

It's such a simple concept

>yes it is you condem those for the same thing >you do then use an excuse for your behaviour

>yet you fail to grasp

I fail to grasp your non comprehension of it yes.....same as Lelnad and Davey-boy Hall.

>Ah your love for them is never ending, is that >return fire or just you being a dickhead?

Well, Hall boy said I was killfiled and talked about me in a post to another to the tune of 400 words. I'd say it's return fire.

JJ tells me it's ok to email him
and you act as if he just stabbed you in the back.

>well if he sleeps with you then yes he has. <

There ya' go with the homosexual remarks. I think you're little clique is all queer......Lelnad has made sexual remarks, you...etc.

>I have backed JJ when possible.<

Ummm.....so?

As was correctly noted, I have no desire to flame or argue with anyone,,

>Thats your biggest lie yet, you have no self >disipline then no one is making you do >anything.

That's right..it's all me..totally in control. Wake up, biy-Georgey.

>You chose to argue and you enjoy it hence the >reply to this post.

Listen carefully,,and I know it pains you...but,,,you know only what I allow you to know concerning me.

nor will I passively lay down or take your bullshit.

>You sticking your nose in where it doesnt >belong doesn't constiute anyones bullshit >except your own.

It's usenet..public...everyone's nose belongs. You're just sorry 'casue I won't allow you to claim it for your own little private domain to spread bullshit and lies.
Very simple again, so simple it lost on you...if you can't stand the heat,,get out of the kitchen...comments such as "sticking your nose where it doesn't belong" concerning posts on usenet highlights your intellect, and you're too ignorant to know any better to be embarrassed by it.
Again, don't wantI just do not enjoy casting pearls before swine.

>Then why are you doing it Lack of self control?
Pay attention. I will not lay down or back away or ignore those who feel they can attack and intimidate those whose opinions they don't agree with. Get over yourself.
You want a target, demonstrated by Lelnad and Dave Hall's pastcomments

>ahhh there goes those 2 names again can you >say infatuation, you really need to see a >doctor about it.

They are "arron's" named names of the posse of trolls in recradio.cb..and yes, you were on it as well.

>the bond that ties you with Lelnad, Dogie and >Hall...

Most men do have bonds with their dogs.

>Can't make a post without bringing up these >guys name can you say Lunatic?

If it bothers you, exercise some self-control....(ROAR)HAHAHAHHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!

>rest of useless twisty ranting snipped for >bullshit and hypocrisy reasons.

That's all you had to in the first p[lace..get out of the kitchen wehn it gets too hot..hahahahha!

2887. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:02:59 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021129160259.04386.00000209@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 145422

>Subject: Re: 100K
>From: "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
>Date: 11/29/02 11:38 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <OJMF9.82786$Kj1.3442954@twister.austin.rr.com>
>
>Tim, I know you want to believe, but you ain't gonna see a 100K mobile.
>
>
Some of us have seen them! Those who have not, need to get out and see what is
being done now and by who!
There is always something new being tried and worked out.
Train

>"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
>news:20021129111723.02762.00000133@mb-cq.aol.com...
>> nothing about these high powered mobiles are normal
>>
>>
>>
>> >Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
>> >not in a normal mobile,
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2888. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:05:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26655-3DE7D68B-361@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145425

From: n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie (N4JZH))
>Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the >goodtime gang.....

I see someone else was listening today.....

2889. Replacement Mic Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:06:07 UTC
From: "SplashPattern.Com - Dave" <dave@splashpattern.anti_spam.com>
Message-ID: <uuflmot325pecc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145423

Greetings and salutations.

First, let me appologize in advance for my general lack of all things RF. If it sounds like I don't know what I'm talking about, it's probably because I don't! That said....

I recently installed my old CB radio (Midland model 77-099) in my new truck. Unfortunately, sometime in the five or so years the radio has been in storage the little lug on the back of the mic that allows you to hang it on the....uh....whatever they call the thing the mic hangs from...has broken.

I had an old Radio Shack mic lying around from Glub-only-knows when. They connectors were similar so I thought I'd just swap mics and be done with it. Nope. The RS mic doesn't work (for starters, the radio indicates 'transmit' full-time!).

And so I've entered the market for a new mic. Unfortunately, nothing I've seen after a brief web search is obviously suitable. That is to say that nothing has said, "works on a Midland," or words to that effect.

I presume that the Midland mics are compatable with some other brand's, but after seeing the results of using the RS mic I am leary of ordering anything without a bit more info.

Sooo..... Can anybody point me in the right direction?

--
Dave Hall
http://www1.iwvisp.com/thehalls
http://splashpattern.com

2890. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:15:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14386-3DE7D8E6-128@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145427

Arguments concerning one party vs. another in a radio room can be construed as.....ah, never mind....here's some truth.....the demos lost everything because of no worthy candidates. The republicans have already way overshot their mandate....way faster than even the demos thought would happen. Check last weeks state-by-state financial report and forecast. Some were even in here crowing about the GNP growing and claiming things were actually looking better financially.

2891. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:18:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14386-3DE7D989-129@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145426

From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
>Okay then i will slide the jumpers back to >default and p/u a 148GTL
since the 2950 >sucks on SSB..thanx
>I'm only a No-code technician...
--
Mad Dog

Better check to see if you're authorized.

2892. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:47:24 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211291347.60439759@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145428

Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<smkduuk4skgmppf51pli87ce4qqngj0gh9@4ax.com>...
> i guess i better start reading about HAMS radio set up :)

Be sure to check out http://www.arrl.org for information about amateur radio.

2893. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 21:56:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <joRF9.83582$Kj1.3485438@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145429

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021129160259.04386.00000209@mb-fk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: 100K
> >From: "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
> >Date: 11/29/02 11:38 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <OJMF9.82786$Kj1.3442954@twister.austin.rr.com>
> >
> >Tim, I know you want to believe, but you ain't gonna see a 100K mobile.
> >
> >
> Some of us have seen them! Those who have not, need to get out and see
what is
> being done now and by who!
> There is always something new being tried and worked out.
> Train

Cough, cough, BULLSHIT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You will not ever see a mobile do 100k. And when I say "do", I mean for more
than 1 second, and self contained. I really don't know where you guys get
off thinking you can rewrite physics.

2894. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:02:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <duRF9.83588$Kj1.3486319@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145430

Tim, if can't do it continuous, you ain't doing it. For an analogy, sure air conditioners pull 150 amps momentarily on startup, but they don't do it in operation. It's the same principle.

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message news:20021129121035.02879.00000174@mb-cq.aol.com...
> randy it is a big boy for sure but these are for continuos duty operation which
> is VERY FAR from what the highpowered cb mobiles are doing. i agree 100k
> probably hasnt been reached yet but i know its been real closely aproached
>
> >>>Tim, attached is one of two 25kw generators that are required to power a
> 50kw AM broadcast station that is mobile. It just happens to be located on a
> ship. I wanna see someone with 4 of these in their suburban. <g>
>
> BTW, notice the turbo?<<<
>
>

2895. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:04:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8o2u02ks9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129102126.18548.00000307@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >Thanks KB9TMP!
> >
>
> I love that Picture its so true, but there is one problem I noticed.Most
> CBplussers use a Soldering Torch instead of Soldering Gun, but only when
> working on Printed Circuit Boards.

I love that (p)icture(.) (I)t(')s so true, but there is one problem I
noticed. Most
CBplussers use a (s)oldering (t)orch instead of (s)oldering (g)un, but only
when
working on (p)rinted (c)ircuit Boards.

While looking at these posts, it's always easy to spot Babbling Brucey's
improper use of upper case and no apostrophe's, not to mention wrong usage.
("your' vs. "you're", "there" vs. "their" vs. "they're", "to" vs. "too")
...and yes, I've cross-posted this to rec.radio.cb so that they, too, can
see what an idiot you are.
Like I said before - if you write one full line of text that has no errors
in it, I promise I'll stop.

2896. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:04:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ewRF9.83589$Kj1.3486635@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145431

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26655-3DE7C4EB-349@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Randy, I have no clue the watts coming from some of these
> Suburbans,,,but I have seen the nitrous-mobiles with everything but the
> driver seat ripped out and replaced with.....stuff <g>!
>

Right! And I'll give you something in the 50K range....momentarily. But if
you can't do it for more than a second or two, you ain't got shit.

2897. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:09:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8od402lb1@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129074901.10561.00000325@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Post some names and witnesses to your story, (IOW, evidence) and
> >maybe we'll believe you.
>
> Doesnt matter if you believe me.

Doesn(')t matter if you believe me.

Yes, all that matters is that your buddies Dick and Vietnam Dan believe you, because they don't question your idiocy the way I do. Like minds think alike.

BTW, do you have some sort of phobia about using apostrophes?

2898. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:11:35 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8ogb02lis@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129135143.26172.00000226@mb-fi.aol.com...
>
> >did they suck or swallow....? :)
> >
>
> They were your Typical CBplussers

They were your (t)ypical CBplussers

Close. So close.

2899. WTB.....Sadelta ME3 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:12:16 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021129171216.00381.00000156@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 145432

email me

2900. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:13:10 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
>
> Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.

Like I said before, I(')m not a (l)iberty to say.

He's probably said too much already.

2901. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:16:06 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8ooq02m6i@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129075051.10561.00000326@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >expects everyone to believe his BS about how he aced the
> >Extra, with no studying and collected $250 to boot.
>
> Your just hurt that you cant do the same.

You(')r(e) just hurt that you can(')t do the same.

I, at least, can write a sentence past a second grade level. (And this guy thinks CBers are dolts!)

2902. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:22:30 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8p4q02mh8@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com...
> If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first
licensed, I
> would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability
to
> pass the test.

If they had the same (l)icense (t)esting they (did) when I was first
licensed, I
would have (p)robably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and
(K)rell
would still be (whining) about how tuff the EXTRA is, and (their) inability
to
pass the test.

Your writing skills show only that it is possible for any idiot to pass a
test for an amateur radio license.

2903. FA: Robyn mobile CB with base "docking station"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 22:57:18 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0211291457.7ea9eeeb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Currently selling at $51! It's a steal!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1941085308&rd=1

This auction is for a truely unique radio from the 1970's! It is a Robyn DG-1300 40 channel AM CB with a Robyn SX-7 base module. This allows the CB to be used as a base radio simply by sliding it into the "docking station" and plugging in the speaker and power plugs in the back and attaching an antenna! The power supply and speaker are in the base module!

This radio is COLLECTORS QUALITY! It is PRISTINE. I would give it a 9.9 on a scale of 1-10 only because it isn't brand new in the box.

I got this CB not too long ago with the intention of using it at home, but I never did. It's been kept in the original box. Included is the microphone and some of the original literature that came with the radio.

This radio works 100% and I received great audio reports when tested. It does the full 4 watts legal limit as indicated by the internal power meter and 2 different external power meters.

2904. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 23:17:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > >
> > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
>
> Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.

If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
Sentences should not start with "like" either.

2905. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 23:23:53 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7F709.8F360E50@secret.com>
Source record: 145442

```
>
> Correct, but did you know that you cross
> posted it to the world? Just a friendly reminder,
> lets try and keep our trolls in Rec.Radio.Cb it's
> not fair to the rest of the world <G>

They needed to know as well.
```

2906. Re: WTB.....Sadelta ME3 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 23:31:19 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7F8C7.C7D1EC53@secret.com>
Source record: 145443

TRA1N wrote:
>
> email me

Working or not?

I have one with a broken plastic key bar.

That part is in my working one. The rest of the parts
are negotiable.

2907. Krell is a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 23:33:05 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7F931.DA559087@secret.com>
Source record: 145444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Three posts appeared in rec.radio.cb that are not new threads.

Krell, you are a Stupid Jerk!

2908. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-29 23:33:21 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <5PSF9.4043$4j5.2197006@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145438

Why don't we all just vote libertarian in teh next election and watch the fun! <G>

Aaron
KB8ZVN

2909. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:02:20 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8v0401fna@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129172841.10526.00006539@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >Like I said before - if you write one full line of text that has no
errors
> >in it, I promise I'll stop.
> >
> >
>
> never happen i enjoy watching you correct things

(N)ever happen. (I) enjoy watching you correct things.

...and the rest of us enjoy laughing at you...not with you.

2910. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:06:06 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8v7601g09@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE7CB51.D3939C54@mailcity.net...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> >
> > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
>
> If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
> mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
> keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
> not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
> legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
> we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?

It would be interesting if Babbling Brucey had to write up the paperwork on this supposed bust. I'm sure the FCC officials would've been shaking their heads in disbelief at how someone who passed the Extra test could be so functionally illiterate.

2911. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:08:29 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8vbl01g6f@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129172621.10526.00006537@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
> >mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
> >keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
> >not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
> >legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
> >we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sorry I cant go into details.

Sorry(,) I can(')t go into details.

Like JJ said; It's probably because it's not true.

2912. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:12:21 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <u9Gcnc-ISZQVn3WgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 145445

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE6F2DF.F7410018@mailcity.net...
:
:
: Turkey wrote:
: >
: > I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, >
where did you arrive at that conclusion?
:
: Right here in your own posting.

:
: > Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio,

Ok, and I stand by that statement, one says Legal the other Illegaly, never the less they cry to peoples ISP's JJ, cry and whine saying we shouldn't be posting FCC rules we know what they are, oh he called me a name, mainly because they don't agree with what aaron,myself, frank etc or anyone who are against Illegal Cb operations. So they want to silence the critics. I expalined enough. It will be fun to watch them hang you out to dry to JJ,when given the opporunity. Grab him up fellas he is ripe.

2913. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:16:15 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <Oo2dnVkPX-HsnnWgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145446

"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message

: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....

Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he keyed
?
Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have enough
alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?

2914. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:18:14 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8vtv01hek@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > >
> > > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
> >
> > Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.
>
> If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
> Sentences should not start with "like" either.

Not necessarily. Many rules of English grammar are flexible. Example:

"Like father, Like son."
"Like sands through the hourglass, so are the days of our lives."

Even split infinitives have become acceptable. Example:

"To boldly go where no one has gone before" <- (politically correct version)

2915. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:19:43 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <C9ycnYK08__cmXWgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145497

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:_xMF9.82780
: > LOL it did just what was expected, has you making more fase assumptions,
: I posted that he hasn't posted since 2001,

: And you were proven wrong, weren't you?

About what Randy?

I mentioned dwights name about 2 or 3 weeks ago you then made a comment
about dwight not posting since whenever. I looked it up it was since dec
2001, so where was I proven wrong?
If so I missed the post, are you saying he has posted to the group since
then? I only did a quick search on google.

2916. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:22:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE804B4.28B3BDD7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145515

Turkey wrote:
>
> "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
>
> : Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
>
> Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he keyed
> ?
> Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have enough
> alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?

I know how to modulate, but just how does one 'modualtes'?

2917. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:22:29 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <GredneAba656mXWgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145500

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129133900.638

: > > You need a spell checker and to mind your own business.
: >
: >> Guess that put you in your place, Morpar.
: >
: I'll just refer him to his own words:

Hey quote me all you want I just turn back on you what you do to me, asslip.
You want to tell me how to spell and how to post but when your corrected you
again have an excuse, instead of taking it like a man.

2918. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:27:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80607.D4361698@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145516

Turkey wrote:

>
> I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, where
> did you arrive at that conclusion?

I think I am beginning to see why he calls himself Turkey. Having
been raised on a farm where we raised over 12,000 of those dumb
birds a year, the name fits.

2919. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:29:41 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <o82cnXBquZUKm3WgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145501

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021129192435.923

: >> Hey quote me all you want I just turn back on you what you do to me,
: > asslip. You want to tell me how to spell and how to post but when your
: > corrected you again have an excuse, instead of taking it like a man.
: >

: So you're back for another beating?

Beating, from a sissy like you LOL, your the one taking the beating. Your post look like those mad by a drunken sailor, you the master of the Ng spell checking patrol. LOL You squat to pee Parks

2920. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:33:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80742.B850AFB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145517

Turkey wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE6F2DF.F7410018@mailcity.net...
> :
> :
> : Turkey wrote:
> : >
> : > I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ, >
> where did you arrive at that conclusion?
> :
> : Right here in your own posting.
>
> :
> : > Bullshit, you are looking to silence anyone who is against Legal radio,
>
> Ok, and I stand by that statement, one says Legal the other Illegaly, never
> the less they cry to peoples ISP's JJ, cry and whine saying we shouldn't be
> posting FCC rules we know what they are, oh he called me a name, mainly
> because they don't agree with what aaron,myself, frank etc or anyone who are
> against Illegal Cb operations. So they want to silence the critics. I
> expalined enough. It will be fun to watch them hang you out to dry to
> JJ,when given the opporunity. Grab him up fellas he is ripe.

A lot of virtual butt kicking goes on here, don't try to dish it
out if you can't take it.

2921. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:43:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8099A.F3705167@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
Some of the so-called "CB-plussers" I've met
> are more of a ham than you'll ever be.

And even at that you could not call it much of an accomplishment
to be more of a ham than Brucie. Better be careful or he will
'bust' you. LOL!!!!

2922. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 00:51:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80B5E.9DAFEDD8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 145504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > > > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > > >
> > > > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
> > >
> > > Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.
> >
> > If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
> > Sentences should not start with "like" either.
>
> Not necessarily. Many rules of English grammar are flexible. Example:
>
> "Like father, Like son."

It seems to be "verb stingy" like some of Leonardo's stuff.

> "Like sands through the hourglass, so are the days of our lives."

How about, "Like, Daddy--you got the chord changes but you need to work
on the lyrics."?

> Even split infinitives have become acceptable. Example:
>
> "To boldly go where no one has gone before" <- (politically correct version)

That one is just a clause which has been lifted from a sentence. Not
only that, it came from the land of television where "Winton tastes good
like a cigarette should" was deemed to be correct use of English.

Dave K8MN

2923. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:12:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021129201213.19574.00000172@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 145505

the guys running ac sky arent trying to go for longevity. you can bet they can park and hold 10-15 kw all day but 50 plus is too much strain on everything

>Right! And I'll give you something in the 50K range....momentarily. But if
>you can't do it for more than a second or two, you ain't got shit.

2924. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:15:03 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE81117.8AB1B827@secret.com>
Source record: 145506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
>

Hey, Krell, go to hell.

Stop cross-posting all this drivel to rec.radio.cb, you troll.

Do you see anything wrong with this grammar?

2925. Is Dean Arthur a big fat fuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:34:54 UTC
From: kitkatbar@adres.nl
Message-ID: <b0e2e884.0211291734.89a402@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145507

Fatty fatty four by four can't get through the kitchen door. A lousy technician and a lousy webmaster, the mudduck.

2926. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:38:09 UTC
From: kitkatbar@adres.nl
Message-ID: <b0e2e884.0211291738.21b2756@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145508

Kris <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>...
> hi!
>
>
> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
> TIA!

oh you idiot.....get your terminal technician class license and get on
2 meters and give this 11 meter long distance idea a rest , mudduck.
because number 1, you are not going to get out far and number 2, it
ain't a hobby it is jus a thang.....now
then.....get the out of my airspace.....mudduck.....

2927. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:39:00 UTC
From: kitkatbar@adres.nl
Message-ID: <b0e2e884.0211291739.37708be1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145509

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in message
news:<3de599f9.201190@news.cet.com>...
> In <qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com>, Kris
> <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >hi!
> >
> >
> >I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> >before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> >radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> >require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> >send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> >a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> >contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> >there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> >the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
> >
> >
> >TIA!
>
> CB radio uses frequencies that don't carry very well over land, and
> the service is only intended for a range of 1 to 5 miles. Even with an
> amp so big that it cuts your gas mileage in half AND an antenna that
> knocks out street lights, you won't get your desired 200 Km range
> (unless you are talking skip, but 200 Km is too short for skip). Spend
> your money on a ham license, then run 2-meters through the many
> repeaters located all around the country. Or get a cell phone.

thanks yeti for that wonderful info.....no doubt about it.....

2928. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:39:47 UTC
From: kitkatbar@adres.nl
Message-ID: <b0e2e884.0211291739.1e66c690@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145510

timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021129121035.02879.00000174@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> randy it is a big boy for sure but these are for continuos duty operation which
> is VERY FAR from what the highpowered cb mobiles are doing. i agree 100k
> probably hasnt been reached yet but i know its been real closely aproached
>
> >>>Tim, attached is one of two 25kw generators that are required to power a
> 50kw AM broadcast station that is mobile. It just happens to be located on a
> ship. I wanna see someone with 4 of these in their suburban. <g>
>
> BTW, notice the turbo?<<<

hey asshole quit changing your screen nics.....you are pissing me off.............

2929. Re: Stewart = Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:41:56 UTC
From: kitkatbar@adres.nl
Message-ID: <b0e2e884.0211291741.1e1295b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145511

timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message news:<20021129112908.02762.00000136@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> i dont really know but one thing is for sure. when they both got here neither
> one of them knew a thing about radio and they both have the same dry legalistic
> aproach that apeals to nobody. if there not one in the same then they both suck
> equally as bad.

yeh and don't forget they both liked to argue about legalities too.....maybe somebody gave ole stewart the mark fuller treatment.....that guy has to be the biggest dork i have ever seen, now if i saw frank or the comcast creep i jus might change my mind hello come in.....

2930. CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:51:48 UTC
From: Salsa_Lip_Trucker <nospam@nospamming.com>
Message-ID: <LRUF9.20811$NV.431262@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 145512

CBers use 40 Channels AM and SSB and sometimes FM
CBers sneak out of band to get away from the "wigger-fied" airwaves.
(crossfire gets his feelin's hurt when he sees the "N"-word being used!)

Hell, I love to sneak outside the 40 channels above or below to get away
from the "wiglets" that dominate and they gets so excited that they "cum" in
their pants when their favorite "toy-wiggers" are on the channel.

Every damn mornin' I hears their loud mouth bleedin over the channels!!

They be talkins to somebody called "black man" in the bayou , when theys
only talkins to themselves!!!
These DXer wannabes pretend to talk DX - the person answerin' is really
livin' in the next town!!
That's whys theys so clear!
It's Sad!! It's Sad!!

I key up and make all kinds of sounds to get them goin'.
They come back and tell me to shut up, call me a "mud-duck" (I keyed up all
over them and the only word they use is "mud-duck"?) WIGGER, Please!!

I sometimes sit in a undisclosed location and key up and say some off the
wall shit!

I used to work for Gundlach Heating / ac in Richmond, VA until I got A REAL
job.
I remember someone called my work and threatened to take me to court for
verbal abuse on the CB!!
WIGGER, Please!!

They used to fuck wit me on Channel 16 - they called it the family channel
or some shit!
more like the faggot channel!
they used to mess with ol 513 and threatened him!
Some fag callin hisself "Step or stelth Rider" --- what the hell kinda name
is that on a cb radio?
he must not have no woman as sorry as he was!!
workin as a rentacop at car lot in 1994
Dumb ass -- he and someother stupid persons were trackin my radio down!!
Well they found an empty van is all they found!!
If they'ed look alittle more they might have seen me in the back! with my
GLOCK!
If that faggot stumprider's out there his momma is a buttfuckin whore!! and
his daddy ain't nuthin but a nigger lover!!
dats how stumprider has his mental problems all the damn time!
tryin to make people like him jes becoz he cry alot!!!

His real name is -- well he ain'ts got no real name worth havin'!
he goes around poppin off about he gonna kick ass or some shit!!
If I sees that faggot I ma gonna shoot him and his famly.
I knows the others who tracked me down that night!
I really dont gives a damn 'bout 9/11 -- Ima gonna take that fagot out!!
any fool try to stop me will get a good ass beatin'!
I likes that extreme shit!
its a way of life ands if yous can't take it -thens I shows you how to take
it!!

2931. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 01:59:04 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as95r602ne@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195846.20054.00000353@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer.
>
> For a Beer, she needs Keg to fill that gut she has

For a (b)eer(?) (S)he needs (k)eg to fill that gut she has(.)

...and Babbling Brucey prefers a bottle of Thunderbird.

2932. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 02:04:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as965a036f@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195425.20054.00000352@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >One license, called "Amateur Radio License," would solve it all.
> >
> >
>
> Sure Brian, cant pass the Extra so now you think they should make it 1
License,
> that way you can pretend to be a real Ham

Sure(,) Brian(.) (You) (C)an(')t pass the Extra(,) so now you think they
should make it 1 License.
(T)hat way(,) you can pretend to be a real Ham(.)

Sorry, Brucey, but an amateur radio license, as issued by the FCC, is all
one needs to be a "real ham." Some of the so-called "CB-plussers" I've met
are more of a ham than you'll ever be.

2933. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 02:10:38 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as96gs03qp@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195146.20054.00000351@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >and the rest of us enjoy laughing at you...not with you.
> >
> >
>
> GOOD maybe one of these days you can get a Real ham License

GOOD(!) (M)aybe one of these days you can get a (r)eal ham (l)icense(.)

But...but... I thought that the real ham licenses were all gone! I thought it was all a "dumbed down" license! After 4/15/2000, everyone that acquired an amateur radio license was nothing but a "CB-plusser." You said so yourself.

2934. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 02:13:32 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as96ma048e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129192433.10542.00000364@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >I, at least, can write a sentence past a second grade level.
>
> But dont know a thing about Ham Radio
>
> >(And this guy
> >thinks CBers are dolts!)
> >
>
> I dont think it I know it

I don't think you know it either!

2935. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 02:59:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8297B.CC4D5D01@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145532

Tom wrote:
>
> I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
> What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be the
> power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?

It means every time you double the distance from the antenna, the
power level drops by a factor of four.

2936. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:06:54 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <obCcnZKDXLzttnWgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145521

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021129112406.02762.00000135@mb-cq.aol.com...
: i agree 100k is stretching it but nothings impossible. dont forget 55 in
fla
: with the 350 in the back of the van just to spin the alternators. these
guys
: are running race car motors with nitrous and beefed up crank shafts to get
the
: power there getting. none of them can hold the power for long but they can
make
: it for short amounts of time and thats a fact.

Tim, I saw that picture there is 8 small case alternators on there, still not enough. A beefed up crankshaft still doesn't produce 250,ooo watts of input power, neither does Nitrous. Your saying that's a fact how can you claim it as a fact have you seen it first hand? Have you sat there and looked at the meter?

:
: >Ok, but that's still much less than 100,000. Sure, you can do 20K in a
: >mobile, been done lots of times. But, you ain't gonna do 100k in a
mobile.
: >Well, I guess you could with a generator in the back of a dump truck, but
: >not in a normal mobile, even with a gen set in the back of Suburban. You
are
: >talking 3 phase, with lots of KVA to spare.
:
:
:
:

2937. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:08:15 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <3r6dnQKLkdRctnWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145522

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021129121035.02879.00000174@mb-cq.aol.com...
: randy it is a big boy for sure but these are for continuos duty operation
which
: is VERY FAR from what the highpowered cb mobiles are doing. i agree 100k
: probably hasnt been reached yet but i know its been real closely aproached
:

Tim, I though you said it was Fact, you saw it right?

: >>>Tim, attached is one of two 25kw generators that are required to power
a
: 50kw AM broadcast station that is mobile. It just happens to be located on
a
: ship. I wanna see someone with 4 of these in their suburban. <g>
:
: BTW, notice the turbo?<<<
:
:

2938. Re: Stewart = Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:10:34 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <7vOcnXsRzJDRsXWgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145523

"cuz" <kitkatbar@adres.nl> wrote in message

: yeh and don't forget they both liked to argue about legalities
: too.....maybe somebody gave ole stewart the mark fuller
: treatment.....that guy has to be the biggest dork i have ever seen,
: now if i saw frank or the comcast creep i jus might change my mind
: hello come in.....

Hey shithead, ooops I mean pinhead, notorious jones

2939. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:13:37 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <ALacndTvrvqfsHWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145524

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE80742.B850AFB@mailcity.net...

: A lot of virtual butt kicking goes on here, don't try to dish it
: out if you can't take it.

JJ, trust me I can take, I just can't take someone crying like they do and saying I am wrong then doing the same thing and then think they are justified in doing so JJ if you can't understand that then you can come get some too, if you want it.

2940. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:14:49 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <_xWdnXvck5bWsHWgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145525

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021129214617.189$Xl@newsreader.com...
: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: > Turkey wrote:
: >
: > >
: > > I have never said they did condem anyone for operating illegally JJ,
: > > where did you arrive at that conclusion?
: >
: >> I think I am beginning to see why he calls himself Turkey. Having
: > been raised on a farm where we raised over 12,000 of those dumb
: > birds a year, the name fits.
: >
: He loves to argue,but sucks at it. lol

Better than what you suck, you can't argue with some who thinks they are
always right.

2941. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:39:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <rpWF9.1052$nK5.85679352@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145526

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE7F709.8F360E50@secret.com...
>
> >
> > Correct, but did you know that you cross
> > posted it to the world? Just a friendly reminder,
> > lets try and keep our trolls in Rec.Radio.Cb it's
> > not fair to the rest of the world <G>
>
>
> They needed to know as well.

Fair enough I guess.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

2942. Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 03:50:24 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 145527

I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be the
power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?

2943. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 04:04:40 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <2udguus325stkpobrvtqgg46fgmanfjcfa@4ax.com>
Source record: 145528

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 03:50:24 GMT, "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
>What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be the
>power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
>
If a four watt measurement is referenced at one foot then.......

A two foot measurement would equate to One watt.

.A four foot measurement would equate to a Quarter watt.

2944. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 04:15:27 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <frCdncKk9ZgdpnWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145529

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26655-3DE7D461-358@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: WA3MOJ wrote:
: >Lie, and make false claims.. duly noted.<
:
: Your mantra.
:
: reply to your accusations and point out how it is not hypocritical of
: anyone to stand up for what is right,

Twisty, you can stand up for what ever you think is right, it is how you go about doing it. You calling others all the names you call them then turn around and say they are vermin etc for using the same words you used is hypocritical. You can stand up for what is right, and not call people names like they do. if you do that then you can say we are assholes and call names etc. But you do the same thing so you are no better than those you claim are foul mouths, and trolls. I just don't understand why you don't get this. I can dish it out and take it but don/t call us liar's and name callers when you yourself have been proven to lie repeatedly. Call people names repeatedly. I don't care what your justification is. it is like saying well since he killed someone in my famiy you are allowed to and face no punishment. Oh he raped my wife, that means I can go rape his, and not be expected to be called a rapist.

: No, it's not,,,it's the point your lack of intellect is not
: comprehending.

Sorry twisted nuts, you lack of comprehension is your greatest skill, you either don't understand or your just plain thick headed.

: That will be the day when you can dictate to another what he feels is
right.

No one is dictating to you what you feel is right. STOP< and listen. How can you for one minute think you are justified in your comments, to those you claim are doing the same that you are doing to them. Your telling your kids not to smoke and you have a cigarette in your mouth I don't know how much simplier to make it. if you feel people are calling you names etc unfairly you have the right to call them the same back. but don't tell me how wrong they are when you are doing the exact same thing in return.

:I have a feeling

could be diarehha

:this is one of your serious problems...

you are correct talking to you is a serious problem

: you have a differing view of what is "right".

Not at all, my name is not twistedhed.

: You feel that one should turn the other cheek and run when confronted.

See your comprehension skill are severly lacking. READ what the FUCK I HAVE BEEN SAYING>

I have never ever said You can't stick up for yourself, that is your poor comprehension skills that are lacking you should be expected to stand up for yourself. It is your accusing others of name calling and then doing the same thing to them and saying how bad they are and your a saint. You are doing the same thing you are accusing them of doing, the name calling, the crying to Isp's. It is all hypocritical. You blame others for the same friggin thing you do you are no better than they are . Who cares who cast the first stone. If your as big a man as you say you are you would'nt "return fire" like you do you are acting the same as those you talk about that is the whole story. get it thru your thick friggin head. Stop putting words in my mouth You are the master of twisting posts.

:The impotence is totally authentic with you...

more sexual innuendos as expected.

: you are unable to make another conform

you cannot speak for me you have a hard time speaking for yourself. What you say is of no consequences.

: to your view of wht is "right" and you just can't handle it...hehehehehh!

What do you call someone who tells another to not do something yet does it themself?

: >someone cals you a asshole and the right >thing to do is call them one
: back?

: No. It began with me pointing out inaccuracies, lies,

Just because you cannot comprehend what another person means does not make them a liar. To you that is all you have your claim is everyone who disagrees with you is a lair. look at your posts how is it everyone lies and you catch them amazing.

: then your wad

More sexual innuendos

: of sticky pups decided to take it to another level and get personal.

That's great then defend yourself.

: There are hundreds of posts of you losers getting personal and calling names

here you go crying, the same song getting personal, is it ok for you to get personal? there are hundreds of you getting personal as well, if you are so better than those who chose to get personal with you why stoop to thier level? because you are at thier level you are no better than those you critisize.

: and becoming off-topic insultive for no other reason than someone posts
: something you disagree with.....t-o-u-g-h!

You do the same thing I say the same thing to you toguh your crys to ISP's and to the NG is tough, don't like being called names Tough, don't like what someone says tough it works both ways not just your way.

: Again, that is not what happened. The archives are there for all to
: peruse of my entrance into this group.

: >Do you have kids twisty? do you smoke cigs. >Tell your children to not
: smoke then light up a >cigarette, hypocrite

: It is perfectly acceptable for an adult to smoke and tell a child not to.

It is? You tell a chld no smoking is bad for you then light up to me that is a hypocrite. Flat out plain and simple, well then I see why you don't think your a hypocrite. you believe in the say one thing do another syndrome.

:That is the way it has been done forever.

yes with backwards ass thinking people like yourself.

: YOU, as usual, are in the norm,

You damn straight I am in the norm, it is you who is in left field.

: I don't smoke,,you're missing posts again.

For some reason you think I read your every post, nah your just not that important.

: Yes, you're wrong. Again, I am sitting here with a perpetual grin on my
: face because you can't understand why people treat you like shit when
: you treat them the same way.

Twsity, i don't care if you treat me like shit, I expect you to, but don't call me anything your not prepared to call yourself. You are singing the same tune as I am, name calling etc. you are no different no matter your reason for doing so.

: > when you do it is ok? That is how a hypocrite >works,

: No, boy-Georgey...it is the golden rule coming home to roost and you have
a problem with it.

Ass clown my problem is with you calling others names and then feel you are somehow justified in doing so, all the while saying how bad that person is for doing so. Thats my problem , your comprehension skills are also a problem, your thick headed ness is a problem need I go on?

: Yes I do, boy Georgey. I have always given folks the benefit of the
: doubt. I assumed you were an idiot and I was correct..

I didn;'t assume you were an asshole you confirmed all by yourself by your posts

: you attacked without provocation.

I did? prove it

I assumed Lelnad was an azzhole and I was correct..he attacked because I made a monkey out of him..actually, I : just shared the info..... . I ASSumed Hall was a lying troll, and his
: past posts confirmed as much. You all attack me on a perosnal level
: because of my cb views and you think I should....ignore your lies? Go
: away? Hahahhh! Grow up, Georgey.

Seeing your Jockstrap post I can only assume what he thought of you.

: YOU began the crying, boy Georgey.

I did ? wh o began the letters to Isp's etc? you asshole you and your impotoent bunch when you saw your words couldn't contain aaron. you began the crying when Aaron arrived on the scene, want to see the posts?

: You are the hypocrite..you and Nad and Hall.

yes we all are and your not? Twisty your a legend in you own mind. Ass Clown were are not the ones accusing you of calling us names, and hurting our feelings, then in turn turn around and call you names.

: Hahhaha...you dont get to dictate what is fine..and it's YOUR d*** in
: the dirt.

why does it taste funny? hows my dictate?

: It's such a simple concept

yes it is, call us names and what ever you wish but don't cry how were are the ones doing the name calling you are doing it as well hypocrite.

: JJ tells me it's ok to email him and you act as if he just stabbed you in the back.

No i told him to be careful, your comprehension skills are severly lacking hence you calling people a liar as usual. You took it as that. i will determine what the meaning of my words are not you.

: >well if he sleeps with you then yes he has. <

: There ya' go with the homosexual remarks.

there were no sexual connection whatsoever but your seedy mind sure hoped so. guess your used to it huh

: I think you're little clique is all queer.....

What you think is of no consequence, and has been ruled such. Besidies, I think your click is queer too in fact i know at least one is,LOL but hey thats fine you can drop all the sexual innuendos you want, If leland or someone else does it it is just return fire. Stay on topic no one mention anything about queers except you.

: Lelnad has made sexual remarks, you...etc.

And so have you so lets add you to the list too. btw, you sure have leland of the brain.
Seek Help, I havent seen him post in how many days are you trolling in hopes of getting a remark from him?

: Listen carefully,,and I know it pains you...but,,,you know only what I
: allow you to know concerning me.

Remember that when you say things about me it works both ways slapnutz.

: nor will I passively lay down or take your bullshit.

Stand up lay down you will still wind up on the short end of the stick if you confront me. Take that to the bank.

: They are "arron's" named names of the posse of trolls in
: recradio.cb..and yes, you were on it as well.

Who cares who named them you cannot stop saying thier names, you are trolling in hopes of a reply by them. Leland Aaron, Dave hall not a single posts goes by with out you mentioning thier name in one way or another.

: >Can't make a post without bringing up these >guys name can you say
: Lunatic?

: If it bothers you, exercise some self-control...

How can i you mentioned them in a post directed towards me when they are not even part of the topic . ou need to excersize the self control stay on topic they are not part of this convesation except when you show your allegiance to Lee and Dave.

2945. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 04:17:08 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <LKidnVUxnqp7pnWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145530

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:rpWF9.1052$nK5.85679352@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
: news:3DE7F709.8F360E50@secret.com...
: >
: > >
: > > Correct, but did you know that you cross
: > > posted it to the world? Just a friendly reminder,
: > > lets try and keep our trolls in Rec.Radio.Cb it's
: > > not fair to the rest of the world <G>
: >
: >
: > They needed to know as well.
:
: Fair enough I guess.
:
: Landshark

Landfag that is Scotts like 5th nic this week please tell him before I do that you don't like people changing nics... He is trying to by pass my NG filters ... Cut it out scott.

2946. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 04:17:27 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <kqqdnaozxd1lpnWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145531

"Colonel Killaman" <timnebo@aol.com10-102> wrote in message
news:20021129111723.02762.00000133@mb-cq.aol.com...
: nothing about these high powered mobiles are normal

Same could be said about you

2947. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 05:06:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de84287.26057683@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145533

In <4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com>, "Tom"
<nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:

>I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
>What does this mean.

It means that if you want to double your range you need to quadruple
your power. And that's only theoretical: i.e, no other losses or
interactions from the ground, buildings, trees, etc.

> If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be the
>power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?

Because your radio doesn't operate in a theoretical world, and your
antenna isn't going to be ideal either, you can't really translate it
into watts without measuring it directly with a field strength meter
that can read uV/m.

2948. Re: Is Dean Arthur a big fat fuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 05:33:05 UTC
From: bigacmaul@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130003305.18440.00000363@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 145534

What a well thought up statement!! You must have gone to school for years to come up with something so intellectually stimulating. And the fact that you used the entire word "technician" rather than its short version "tech" was equally astonishing. You my friend, should be the president of this fine newsgroup!!! LOL@CUZ

2949. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 05:39:13 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <1KacnQkiWISf0nWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145535

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DE542B7-11@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21912-3DE2220E-656@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Well, if he did to the degree you ASSumed, he wouldn't have needed to
>ask.

That's better than deliberately insulating the guy like you did.

>Acyually, I learned it from you a few threads ago

You really want to admit that you actually learned something from one
of my posts?

>I charge for concert performances.<

>Oh yea? In addition to your $126,570 per year salary you claimed?

I just may make more next year too. 8-))

>I don't listen to Disco and I don't own a cat.

You don't own a computer and use a real ISP either and it shows.

>I keep telling you, not everyone is like you.

Yeah, well it gives people a lofty goal to aim for.

>Your assumption that he understands how to build one after the single
>question he posted......doesn't.

There you go again Twirted, insulting him again by assuming he can't
look it up in an ARRL publication or on the net someplace.

>Quite accurately, it would appear. I have the whole lot of you crying

The only one "crying" Twirted is you. You need a diaper change.

>Metal? Nah...I'm too old for that....last good metal band to come
down
>the pike was Deep Purple...

I rather like Pink-Floyd myself.

>besides,,,,make up your mind with your
>self-contradictory self...(haha)...i some of your posts you accused
me
>of crusing through google...

It still doesn't imply you know how to use it. Even a blind squirrel
finds a nut now and again.

why not just tell him the bottom line..... cable coax sucks
for all practical cb purposes.

>Wow!! You just did. So what's he suppose to >use 450 ohm ladder line
now?

>Because the TV cable coax characteristics, as you have noted, are
>undesireable as they are in their present state.

And this is coming from somebody who can't tell the difference between
a Pot you tweak and the kind you park your arse on. Twirted go to the
corner and play with your squirt flower quietly by yourself.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

Return-Path: <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Received: from demai05.mw.mediaone.net ([24.131.1.56]) by
demai04.mw.mediaone.net (Netscape Messaging Server 4.15)
with
ESMTP id G9LHBU00.5AH for <leescott@mw.mediaone.net>; Fri, 2
Mar
2001 18:59:54 -0500
Received: from ndmls01.mediaone.net (ndmls01.mediaone.net
[24.128.8.198])
by demai05.mw.mediaone.net (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f22Nxrh21434
for <leescott@mw.mediaone.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:53 -0500 (EST)
Received: from mail.arrl.net (saturn.ibridge.com [209.140.206.250])
by ndmls01.mediaone.net (8.11.1/8.11.1) with ESMTP id f22Nxrt04001
for <leescott@mediaone.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:53 -0500 (EST)
Received: from mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.118]
by mail.arrl.net
(SMTPD32-6.00) id A4A426B00290; Fri, 02 Mar 2001 19:02:44 -0500
Received: from storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.224])
by mailsorter-105-2.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix) with ESMTP
id 001902D5F9
for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 15:59:50 -0800 (PST)
Received: (from production@localhost) by
storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net (8.8.8-wtv-f/mt.gso.26Feb98) id
PAA22507; Fri, 2 Mar 2001 15:59:48 -0800 (PST)
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAuAhUAy0gv1hFB25FwW03BL/bSXN3r7BACFQCfAWlW1CRoqSh0F2ZJ3UPMCjIieQ==
Date: Fri, 2 Mar 2001 18:59:48 -0500 (EST)
To: kc8ldo@arrl.net (MediaOne)

Subject: Re: forgers
Message-ID: <1012-3AA033F4-431@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
In-Reply-To: "MediaOne" <Leescott@mediaone.net>'s message of Fri, 2
Mar 2001 16:01:27 -0500
Content-Disposition: Inline
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)

<snip>
That was funny. I never opened a
radio on my own until I stumbled on this group. Now, I can do simple
soldering and wire a mic, but i ain't about turning up any pots. Hell,
I
don't think I could instalI a board unless I was spoon fed. But hey,
this time 3 years ago, I didn't know that either.
<snip>

2950. FT 1000 MP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 06:29:00 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DE70923.1080904@dirtball.com>
Source record: 145536

The 1000MP that Have in a earlier post is now at the shop getting the
blinking display repaired.
http://www.n4da.com is the URL of the guy doing the repair. I am
still looking for a working FT 990 or FT 1000.
73 Oris
N4CND
oris@dirtball.com

2951. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 07:28:05 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as9pc10u54@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145537

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:2udguus325stkpobrvtqgg46fgmanfjcfa@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 03:50:24 GMT, "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
> >What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
the
> >power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
> >
> If a four watt measurement is referenced at one foot then.......
>
> A two foot measurement would equate to One watt.
>
> .A four foot measurement would equate to a Quarter watt.
>

Leaving you with absolutely no power to talk with.
Brilliant.

2952. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 07:42:47 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <as9q7j0v9m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145538

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
> What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
the
> power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
>

I believe that myth is mixing two different items.
But what the expression means is this;
If you have a radio which is capable of transmitting 20 miles with 4 watts,
then theoretically, that same radio should be heard at 400 miles and have
two watts of output.
Seems a little ridicolous when applied to radios doesn't it?
In reality, any antenna will have something in the way of power gain.
There is a formula to determine what the power gain is for your antenna.
As it is quite complex, you ain't gonna get a description here.
But let's say you have a radio that puts out 4 watts.
your antenna has a power gain factor of 3.
Your effective radiated power (ERP) is now 12 watts.
You've heard commercial broadcast stations state what their ERP is and
wondered what they meant? Now you know.
It's not the same as their transmitting output power.
As required by FCC rules, those stations must make annual measurements at
given distances from the antenna.
Equipment will record what the signal strength at that location is.
As you know, the farther away you get from the source, the weaker the signal
gets.
That is primarily due to the nature of the signal itself. What frequency is
used and so on.

What you want to know about actually has to do with audible power.
If you stand 10 feet away from a speaker, then move to 100 feet, you will
hear half as much as you did at 10 feet.
Using a decibel meter should quickly prove that theory.

2953. swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 08:31:48 UTC
From: ranger2950dx@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27402-3DE87774-206@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145539

have any cbers out ther have any luck on the kits like super mods
super talk .inside the radio . just wodering

2954. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 11:31:26 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asa7d601fn6@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129230711.24593.00000380@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >No lets not I enjoy seeing him do it, at least hes got something to
occupy
> >his
> >> time, besides he cant discuss anything about Ham Radio because hes just
a
> >> CBplusser.
> >
> >By your own twisted definition, I'd have to have a tech-plus license to
be a
> >"CB-plusser" as you call it. I have no such license.
> >
>
> Krell you screwed up there were a whole bunch of mistakes in the above
> posting. Come on krell we know you dont know anything about Ham Radio so
get
> back to work correcting the mistakes. No you have a CBplusser license,
accept
> it be proud of it, just think you could still be nothing more than a CBer

You've given me a better idea than correcting your mistakes. I'll just
cross-post the best of your gems to rec.radio.cb so that the people you've
been insulting can see how much of a moron you are.

2955. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 12:19:19 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3de8acd0.45003473@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 145540

On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 19:16:15 -0500, "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
wrote:

>
>"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
>
>
>: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
>
>Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he keyed
>?
>Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have enough
>alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
>
>
Who the hell cares at that power?

2956. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 12:21:52 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <m1bhuusv7jb3akjv42pa04u705suqrnh91@4ax.com>
Source record: 145542

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 01:28:05 -0600, "John Smith for example"
<sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:2udguus325stkpobrvtqgg46fgmanfjcfa@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 03:50:24 GMT, "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
>> >What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
>the
>> >power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
>> >
>> If a four watt measurement is referenced at one foot then.......
>>
>> A two foot measurement would equate to One watt.
>>
>> .A four foot measurement would equate to a Quarter watt.
>>
>
>Leaving you with absolutely no power to talk with.
>Brilliant.
>
Not brilliant, just the truth. Reception of a radio signal only
requires the induction of a few microvolts, and yes eventually
you will have nothing left to overcome the naturally occurring
noise.

2957. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 12:32:12 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <vbbhuuc541s9lkvg8tu67jtqkidgj6pjma@4ax.com>
Source record: 145543

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 01:42:47 -0600, "John Smith for example"
<sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:

>
>"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
>> I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
>> What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
>the
>> power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
>>
>
>I believe that myth is mixing two different items.
>But what the expression means is this;
>If you have a radio which is capable of transmitting 20 miles with 4 watts,
>then theoretically, that same radio should be heard at 400 miles and have
>two watts of output.
snip

If a 4 watt radio is referenced at a specific signal at 20 miles then
at 400 miles the same signal would be 1/400th of the original.
1/400th of 4 watts is only 10 milliwatts.

Square the product of 400/20, or the reduction is 20 x 20 = 400

2958. Re: Is Dean Arthur a big fat fuck?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:18:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021130081827.05450.00000132@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 145544

yes it was pretty good since the original poster is from a place that doesnt speak english. you should have impressed him with your eqaully good reply in dutch!

>What a well thought up statement!! You must have gone to school for years to
>come up with something so intellectually stimulating. And the fact that you
>used the entire word "technician" rather than its short version "tech" was
>equally astonishing. You my friend, should be the president of this fine
>newsgroup!!! LOL@CUZ

2959. Key Down Talk Show On 5.070MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:34:39 UTC
From: RF Burns <rfburns@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2yGdnfsqyJtWlXWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145545

This Saturday night will be a talk show all about key downs. Tune in to
WWCR 5.070MHz short wave at 11:00PM EST We will be taking calls.

2960. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:35:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021130083527.05450.00000135@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 145546

it makes life hard when you run an amp with them

>
>
>
>have any cbers out ther have any luck on the kits like super mods
>super talk .inside the radio . just wodering
>
>
>

2961. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:36:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021130083605.05450.00000137@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 145447

the cb'ers here dont care about crossposted bullshit

>
>You've given me a better idea than correcting your mistakes. I'll just
>cross-post the best of your gems to rec.radio.cb so that the people you've
>been insulting can see how much of a moron you are.
>

2962. WTD: Metrotek CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:42:03 UTC
From: KC0EH <okiemansc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Le3G9.7312$yy.975334@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Hi,
I am interested in finding the following Metrotek radios all with the
cream/tan color scheme. Need not be working.Please include price and
condition.
Mustang II
Pacer II
Colt 23
THANKS,
...Charlie...KC0EH...

2963. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 13:59:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuhh30dc2ukm78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145449

There is truth in this. You couldn't measure a few feet away since you will be in the near field of the antenna and magnetic and electric field ratios will change as you move away from the antenna. Once you are farther away, if you double your distance, the electric field will drop in half and you would have to quadruple your transmitted power to bring the field back up to where it was. This only applies to line-of-sight transmissions. Once you get into skip, all bets are off as skip conditions will likely affect the received signal more than transmitter power. You might talk from Pennsylvania to England, yet not be heard in New York at all. Also, you'll find that the more you need a state or country, the weaker the signals are going to be (Murphy's law) ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2964. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 14:40:43 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <o1KdnViCW52SU3WgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145450

"Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
: I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
: What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
the
: power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?

It is called the inverse square Law

2965. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 14:45:49 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <AHqdnYItjIrcUnWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145451

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3de8acd0.45003473@news.optonline.net...
: On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 19:16:15 -0500, "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
: wrote:
:
: >
: >"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
: >
: >
: >: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
: >
: >Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he
keyed
: >?
: >Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have
enough
: >alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
: >
: >
: Who the hell cares at that power?

IceCold, if he doesnt have 250kw of input power then he won't have the 100k
output. Simple concept but I didnt think a keyclown like you would have a
hard time understanding.
:

2966. Re: WTD: Metrotek CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 14:53:00 UTC
From: Gary Tait <taitg@hurontel.on.ca>
Message-ID: <c3khuuc0qfmnhstjtaoivd4m4bdcibmejd@4ax.com>
Source record: 145452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 13:42:03 GMT, "KC0EH" <okiemansc@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>I am interested in finding the following Metrotek radios all with the
>cream/tan color scheme. Need not be working.Please include price and
>condition.
>Mustang II
>Pacer II
>Colt 23
>THANKS,
>...Charlie...KC0EH...
>
Are these the ones with a Motorola 145106 based PLL synthesizer on
it's own board? I have a couple of them (not that I want to get rid of
them). What are your plans for them?

2967. Re: FA: Galaxy DX99v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:23:53 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dK4G9.31100$vM1.2437742@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145453

There's one born every minute... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:mqhduug9pnlv2th4v11u5dq6j72fhkgh06@4ax.com...
> WOW SOLD!!!!
>
> On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 21:53:27 GMT, rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1942077302
> > >
> > >Buy now $240
> > >
>

2968. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:25:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sL4G9.73486$Gc.2062993@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145454

"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:C9ycnYK08__cmXWgXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:_xMF9.82780
> : > LOL it did just what was expected, has you making more fase
assumptions,
> : I posted that he hasn't posted since 2001,
>
>
> : And you were proven wrong, weren't you?
>
>
> About what Randy?
>
>
> I mentioned dwights name about 2 or 3 weeks ago you then made a comment
> about dwight not posting since whenever. I looked it up it was since dec
> 2001, so where was I proven wrong?
> If so I missed the post, are you saying he has posted to the group since
> then? I only did a quick search on google.
>
>
>

Not to this group, but to others. He disappeared from all groups in late
October of this year.

2969. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:26:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rM4G9.31101$vM1.2436889@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145455

Why do you say that?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021130083527.05450.00000135@mb-fj.aol.com...
> it makes life hard when you run an amp with them

2970. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:26:56 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4N4G9.31102$vM1.2437607@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145456

Super swing rocks...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27402-3DE87774-206@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> have any cbers out ther have any luck on the kits like super mods
> super talk .inside the radio . just wodering
>

2971. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:29:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fP4G9.31103$vM1.2435603@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145457

Here we go... JJ and Donnie... a couple of the biggest aholes on ANY ng...
lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE804B4.28B3BDD7@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Turkey wrote:
> >
> > "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
> >
> > : Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
> >
> > Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he
keyed
> > ?
> > Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have
enough
> > alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
>
> I know how to modulate, but just how does one 'modualtes'?

2972. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:33:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021130103349.05430.00000114@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 145458

how would you suggest setting up a radio with super swing to an amp? how do you tell the average cb'er a way to do it without over driving there amp on peaks and not getting complicated?

>Super swing rocks...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey

2973. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:34:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021130103453.05430.00000115@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 145459

oh yeah, have you ever heard how shitty a radio with super duper swing sounds
to a receiver with any type of a decent noise blanker?

>Super swing rocks...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey

2974. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 15:40:14 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <coycnQntKMefQXWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145460

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fP4G9.31103$vM1.2435603@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
: Here we go... JJ and Donnie... a couple of the biggest aholes on ANY ng...
: lol

Brian are you saying John Perry is an asshole ...Ohhh my...

2975. Re: WTB.....Sadelta ME3 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 16:07:07 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021130110707.02807.00000262@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 145461

working and ing VG condition or better.

2976. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 16:08:43 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bo5G9.194205$nB.14324@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 145462

Someone (I can't seem to find the original post) wrote:

> > I didn't see a price anywhere on the website, but am curious as to what they want for that antenna.

Dave VanHorn wrote:

> Looks pricey, lots of heavy parts to fab.

Guess the original poster should have clicked on the link that read:

Prices, Specifications, & Ordering

There he would have found this:

Price List, Specifications, & Photographs
(Click on the model below for Specifications & Photographs)

Model
Price/Each

160C
173.95

80
98.95

40
88.95

30
88.95

20
78.95

17
78.95

15
78.95

12
78.95

10
78.95

6
78.95

80/40
169.90

20/15/10
198.95

Click Here, for Information on How to Order

Dave

2977. Re: WTB.....Sadelta ME3 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 16:25:54 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE8E692.E5FF546C@secret.com>
Source record: 145463

TRA1N wrote:
>
> working and ing VG condition or better.

I believe the PC board is good and working. You will just have to rewire it and put it in a good case. Someone put phone wire on it. I needed the PTT bar from it. The rest is parts. Let me know. It will work without the PTT bar, you just need little fingers.

2978. For Sale- Yaesu FT-102 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 16:36:12 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130113612.27717.00008235@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145464

This has been my own personal rig for about 3 years. Just got a 1000D so no longer need it. It has the AM/FM board and has been modified for 11 meters. This is a loud AM talker and swings to 125 watts from a 25 watt deadkey. SSB operation is smooth and sweet sounding. Receive is very sensitive. Pics available on request. This radio is in excellent condition physically and electronically. Includes owners manual and original hand mic with up/down controls. Put a D-104 on this radio and you have a screamer. Asking $490 + shipping.

2979. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 16:57:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8EDEA.D906109D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145467

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:2udguus325stkpobrvtqgg46fgmanfjcfa@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 03:50:24 GMT, "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
> > >What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
> the
> > >power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
> > >
> > If a four watt measurement is referenced at one foot then.......
> >
> > A two foot measurement would equate to One watt.
> >
> > .A four foot measurement would equate to a Quarter watt.
> >
>
> Leaving you with absolutely no power to talk with.
> Brilliant.

Just how much power do you think hits your antenna from a statiom
several miles away?

2980. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 17:09:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8F0AC.8406CC7B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145468

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> "Tom" <nospam@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:4AWF9.390338$S8.7923588@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I have heard the power output diminishes at the "square of the distance".
> > What does this mean. If I have a CB that puts out 4 watts what would be
> the
> > power one, two, four feet away from the antenna?
> >
>
> I believe that myth is mixing two different items.
> But what the expression means is this;
> If you have a radio which is capable of transmitting 20 miles with 4 watts,
> then theoretically, that same radio should be heard at 400 miles and have
> two watts of output.

You don't understand radio wave theory at all. Your theory above
is so far off it is ridiculous. The signals that hit your antenna
are in the microvolt/microwatt range, even from that big powerful
50 kw AM station you listen to on AM radio. For every doubling of
the distance from the antenna, the power drops by a factor of
four. It is the laws of physics.

> Seems a little ridicolous when applied to radios doesn't it?
> In reality, any antenna will have something in the way of power gain.
> There is a formula to determine what the power gain is for your antenna.

The same rules applies, an antenna with gain just means that it is
concentrating power more in one direction than other directions,
but still the power drops off by a factor of four for every
doubling of the distance from the antenna.

> As it is quite complex, you ain't gonna get a description here.
> But let's say you have a radio that puts out 4 watts.
> your antenna has a power gain factor of 3.
> Your effective radiated power (ERP) is now 12 watts.
> You've heard commercial broadcast stations state what their ERP is and
> wondered what they meant? Now you know.

And still the amount of power you receive from that commercial
broadcast station is in the microwatts.

> It's not the same as their transmitting output power.
> As required by FCC rules, those stations must make annual measurements at
> given distances from the antenna.
> Equipment will record what the signal strength at that location is.

> As you know, the farther away you get from the source, the weaker the signal
> gets.
> That is primarily due to the nature of the signal itself. What frequency is
> used and so on.
>
> What you want to know about actually has to do with audible power.
> If you stand 10 feet away from a speaker, then move to 100 feet, you will
> hear half as much as you did at 10 feet.
> Using a decibel meter should quickly prove that theory.

Suggest you get some good books on radio theory and do a bit of
reading before you make more foolish statements.

2981. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 17:37:06 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wPednd8tneoqanWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145465

> I believe that myth is mixing two different items.

It's called the inverse square law, and applies to all electromagnetic radiation, including light.

> But what the expression means is this;
> If you have a radio which is capable of transmitting 20 miles with 4 watts,
> then theoretically, that same radio should be heard at 400 miles and have
> two watts of output.

Try it this way: If you're S9 into someone's receiver at 10 miles, then at 20 miles (twice the distance) you'll need four times the power to achieve the same S9.
This is because no matter what sort of antenna you use, the signal illuminating any patch of space spreads spherically with distance, and the area of a sphere is proportional to the square of the radius.

This is a free-space thing, and does not take into account terrain, or ionospheric propagation.

> What you want to know about actually has to do with audible power.
> If you stand 10 feet away from a speaker, then move to 100 feet, you will
> hear half as much as you did at 10 feet.
> Using a decibel meter should quickly prove that theory.

You won't get more than 1% the amplitude at 10 x the distance.
It's the inverse square LAW, not the inverse square suggestion.

2982. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 17:41:40 UTC
From: modify66@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130124140.00221.00000091@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 145466

I had one a long time ago that took an S- kit to get the lowers, If I could get one for a decent price.
I have check around and don't really want to pay the 40+ for the kit.

2983. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 19:21:46 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <pl3iuu885k46veb2lauclmpavu3754kpkq@4ax.com>
Source record: 145469

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 15:29:15 GMT, "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Here we go... JJ and Donnie... a couple of the biggest aholes on ANY ng...
>lol

Brian,
I only posted this comment....Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the restt of the goodtime gang.....

My question to you is how come you posted such a rude comment when my comment was only to the
fact I have seen, T-Bird, Bluegill and the rest of the gang in action. big motors, tons of nitrous, massive antennas.

Shall I wait for a retraction?

>
>
>

''Behold how good and pleasant it is for Brethren to dwell together in unity''
Donnie n4jzh@knology.net

2984. Attention Cotton Choppers & Maul Droppers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 19:53:37 UTC
From: RF Burns <rfburns@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ceqdnfgDDfCzi3SgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 145470

This Saturday night will be a talk show all about key downs. Tune in to
WWCR 5.070MHz short wave at 11:00PM EST We will be taking calls.

2985. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 19:54:04 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211301154.7f43d447@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145471

"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:<AHqdnYItjIrcUnWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
> <chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3de8acd0.45003473@news.optonline.net...
> : On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 19:16:15 -0500, "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
> : wrote:
> :
> : >
> : >"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
> : >
> : >
> : >: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
> : >
> : >Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he
> keyed
> : >?
> : >Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have
> enough
> : >alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
> : >
> : >
> : Who the hell cares at that power?
>
>
> IceCold, if he doesnt have 250kw of input power then he won't have the 100k
> output. Simple concept but I didnt think a keyclown like you would have a
> hard time understanding.
> :

turkey that is because he only runs a 8 pill mobile when he does talk
which is rarely these days......hmmm....

2986. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 19:56:29 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211301156.7154d333@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145472

"Salsa_Lip_Trucker" <nospam@nospamming.com> wrote in message
news:<LRUF9.20811$NV.431262@news.direcpc.com>...
> CBers use 40 Channels AM and SSB and sometimes FM
> CBers sneak out of band to get away from the "wigger-fied" airwaves.
> (crossfire gets his feelin's hurt when he sees the "N"-word being used!)
>
> Hell, I love to sneak outside the 40 channels above or below to get away
> from the "wiglets" that dominate and they gets so excited that they "cum" in
> their pants when their favorite "toy-wiggers" are on the channel.
>
> Every damn mornin' I hears their loud mouth bleedin over the channels!!
>
> They be talkins to somebody called "black man" in the bayou , when theys
> only talkins to themselves!!!
> These DXer wannabes pretend to talk DX - the person answerin' is really
> livin' in the next town!!
> That's whys theys so clear!
> It's Sad!! It's Sad!!
>
> I key up and make all kinds of sounds to get them goin'.
> They come back and tell me to shut up, call me a "mud-duck" (I keyed up all
> over them and the only word they use is "mud-duck"?) WIGGER, Please!!
>
> I sometimes sit in a undisclosed location and key up and say some off the
> wall shit!
>
> I used to work for Gundlach Heating / ac in Richmond, VA until I got A REAL
> job.
> I remember someone called my work and threatened to take me to court for
> verbal abuse on the CB!!
> WIGGER, Please!!
>
> They used to fuck wit me on Channel 16 - they called it the family channel
> or some shit!
> more like the faggot channel!
> they used to mess with ol 513 and threatened him!
> Some fag callin hisself "Step or stelth Rider" --- what the hell kinda name
> is that on a cb radio?
> he must not have no woman as sorry as he was!!
> workin as a rentacop at car lot in 1994
> Dumb ass -- he and someother stupid persons were trackin my radio down!!
> Well they found an empty van is all they found!!
> If they'ed look alittle more they might have seen me in the back! with my
> GLOCK!

> If that faggot stumprider's out there his momma is a buttfuckin whore!! and
> his daddy ain't nuthin but a nigger lover!!
> dats how stumprider has his mental problems all the damn time!
> tryin to make people like him jes becoz he cry alot!!!
> His real name is -- well he ain'ts got no real name worth havin'!
> he goes around poppin off about he gonna kick ass or some shit!!
> If I sees that faggot I ma gonna shoot him and his famly.
> I knows the others who tracked me down that night!
> I really dont gives a damn 'bout 9/11 -- Ima gonna take that fagot out!!
> any fool try to stop me will get a good ass beatin'!
> I likes that extreme shit!
> its a way of life ands if yous can't take it -thens I shows you how to take
> it!!

a whigger.....hmmm.....is that kind of like tim nebout????

2987. CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 20:04:07 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145473

Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!

http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

Nighthawk

2988. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 20:10:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asb5tm$pirb1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145474

"F68A" <f68a@free.fr> wrote in message
news:3de756dc$0$18243$626a54ce@news.free.fr...

> In fact there's nothing new: you can possess a non modified rig according
to
> the FCC, but you cannot possess a modified (26-32 or 24-30 MHz) one.

No, you can still possess it, you just can't use it.

Tom

2989. Re: Uniden HR 2510 mobile rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 20:18:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asb6bs$pj6e7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145475

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:as80a801nm4@enews2.newsguy.com...

> Transmitting voice on code only frequencies is also illegal, which you
will
> be doing on the modified radio on the frequencies outside of 11 meters.
>

A 2510 covers the entire 10 meter band, not just the CW freqs.

Tom

2990. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 21:31:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <IbCdnS1EXquws3SgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145476

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DE53A0A-8@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29229-3DE227AE-801@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From:
no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott) "Twistedhed"
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21915-3DDEC5F6-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>Just prove THIS MESSAGE was posted by >me .

>LOL! You're really pathetic. No one cares about your identity games.

You do. You like to dredge up old posts and claim that you were forged
by somebody else. Of course you never could figure out who it was, and
still can't. You like to point to message headers and clim they came
from so-and-so, but you really can't prove it can you when pressed on
the subject.

So I'll state my challenge once again Twirted:

Just prove THIS MESSAGE was posted by me.

Good luck. Of course anything you come up with has to be independently
verifiable by other interested parties.

You can also quit the silly games with the extra white space in your
posts etc. Everybody knows you do that, and others things, in a vain
attempt to stop people from copying your posting style. Its really
stupid on your part. If nobody else knows what you do "special" then
nobody can tell if its really you, so you're screwed. Of course if
somebody can hack your style once they can do it again. Then again
maybe it was just you crying for attention.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2991. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 21:35:50 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021130163550.11670.00000138@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 145477

so far you have nothing on ebay .....troll >ubject: CB items for sale!!!
>From: "Nighthawk" miner1@flash.net
>Date: 11/30/02 3:04 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
>
>Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
>
>http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
>111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

2992. Cobra 25nw st

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 21:44:12 UTC
From: tiepilot@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1b82cfff.0211301344.4e8e2d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145478

Hello and Help.
I have a cobra 25 nw st and would like to change the instant channel 9 selector switch to a different channel or even a upper or lower of a different channel.
Does any one know how to do this or a link to a site to help me do this, I do know wiring and some circutry so this shouldn't be too hard for me to handle unlike my spelling.
Thanks
K

2993. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 22:05:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211301405.71a98a44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145479

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uufeq5t0jqmu29@corp.supernews.com>...
> Water filled antennas? No, but if some had some corn squeezins' in 'em, I
> might be interested ;-) Yeah, 9 dB gain, but I think many folks would be
> happy with an antenna with a 0 dBd (equal to a dipole) in a compact antenna
> that could be hidden. If anyone comes up with it, I'd tend not to bet
> against Jay myself.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:8811-3DE77440-281@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I don't know if he is still around, but Great Pumpkin has some
> experience with alternate type cb antennas and gave one a thorough
> workout and detailed review..the final result: Pretty darn good. It
> might be found in google, not sure. 9db from a small attic antenna will
> make someone a millionaire. Maybe Jay will figure something out. I love
> messing with antennas and I'm not adverse to hooking up old,
> semi-worthless radios as guinea pigs. WHY radiation patterns do what
> they do in certain situations but not in others is fun to explore. The
> guy around here that has this "richochet skip dish" as he calls it,
> proved SOMETHING is going on with his set-up with friendly aranged
> mini-wannabe keydowns. The future of antennas...hmmmm! You heard of the
> folks messing around with water-filled antennas yet?

jimbo......i don't think filling it with corn squeezins would be a
good idea......the last think i need is for my antenna to hick up
while under full load.....

2994. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 22:08:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211301408.9f1a924@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145480

Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<je1duu4rct379vc8bgvpnkb93edfnv431b@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 14:22:58 -0600 (CST), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark
> Roehlke) was blabbling:
>
> ...Real hams dont make fools of themselves on rrcb....Happy
> ...Thanksgiving...Mark
>
>
> Oh? and how do you figure I'm making a fool of myself? by letting
> other people know that a good friend of mine got ripped by some lying
> thief, like you?
>
> puff a root, dickhead....
>
>
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

oh come on chuckie.....you got to be kidding....you have a friend.????
i don't believe it.......i better sit down.....oh i get it.....he has
not attended a ham fest with you yet has he????

2995. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 22:09:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211301409.517eb6f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE6BA2A.882FF160@ix.netcom.com>...
> Have you taken the package and copies of the emails to
> the local post master at the post office?
> Mail fraud is a felony and is taken very seriously.
> D.S.

yeh dave they take it real seriously ....kind of like mail delivery........

2996. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 22:38:21 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE93DDD.E7BE4D99@secret.com>
Source record: 145482

Modify66 wrote:
>
> I had one a long time ago that took an S- kit to get the lowers, If I could get
> one for a decent price.
> I have check around and don't really want to pay the 40+ for the kit.

WAAAA!

Then sell the 122 and buy a radio with an 858 or an 8719.

2997. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 23:15:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE946AF.143C09EA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145547

chessecutter wrote:

>
> jimbo......i don't think filling it with corn squeezins would be a
> good idea......the last think i need is for my antenna to hick up
> while under full load.....

An antenna filled with corn squeezins, that would make it a loaded
antenna, but would that make your audio sound mushy?

2998. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 23:25:37 UTC
From: Stevo <mrstevo99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gjmG9.76121$l4.62204@fe04>
Source record: 145574
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Ed Cregger wrote:

> Where can I buy a few of these amps? I want to give a few to some of
> the local PITA CB'ers in the area. Merry Christmas. Poof! <G>
>
> Ed Cregger
>
>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> news:<R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com>...
>> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
>> of illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
>> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
>> transceivers operating out of band.
> (snip)

It's an urban legend.

Stevo

2999. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 23:36:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuiit6jc9cd639@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145483

Ya just gotta relieve the pressure. Drain perhaps 8 oz. of the squeezins
and you'll be ok. You **do** know what to do with the corn squeezins,
right? ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211301405.71a98a44@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uufeq5t0jqmu29@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Water filled antennas? No, but if some had some corn squeezins' in 'em,
I
> > might be interested ;-) Yeah, 9 dB gain, but I think many folks would
be
> > happy with an antenna with a 0 dBd (equal to a dipole) in a compact
antenna
> > that could be hidden. If anyone comes up with it, I'd tend not to bet
> > against Jay myself.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim

> jimbo......i don't think filling it with corn squeezins would be a
> good idea......the last think i need is for my antenna to hick up
> while under full load.....

3000. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 23:43:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuijaa41e7to8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145484

Naw, I don't need a halo. Just from a bit of background with those 40 KW output HF transmitters .... Heck, speaking of Kodak, they're shutting down my plant! Everything going to China. I feel sorry for the guys with a lot of years (two of 'em with over 30 years) who aren't 55 yet. They will get no life insurance, no health insurance, and if they tap their pension, even taking a lifetime annuity, IRS wants to penalize them 10% (plus tax that!)! Some folks get the gold mine; others get the shaft.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps -expect to find another opening within the company. Thank God I'm getting near the end of my career - I honestly don't know what the kids are going to do. No stability, no benefits .... managers now are making the equivalent of what I did in 1978 as a master mechanic. Sheesh.

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0211271144.69db2350@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:<uu8j797qajab0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I think for that kind of power you won't be able to have any antenna with an
> > abrupt 'end' or the arcs & sparks syndrome might set in. Either a heavy rod
> > with a ball on the end or some kind of a full size loop ...
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a load
> > > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> > >
> > > as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft 102
> > > inches long on a mount.
> > >
> > > Technomage
> > >
> > >
> > > In article <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>, "john dobbs"

> > > <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
built
> > > > a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or
> > numbered!
> > > My life is my own - No. 6
>
>
> jimbo that is why you use a halo at the end of the antenna.......or
> else you will blow the end off of the antenna..........didn't they
> teach you that at kodak????

3001. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-30 23:56:55 UTC
From: Tom <jc@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <nujiuu8ll6m77uc6quegpu0cgj1tpga9bb@4ax.com>
Source record: 145485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
<k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:

>REAL Ham's Use CW!
>http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.

3002. LAFAYETTE TELSAT SSB-140 CB BASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:09:31 UTC
From: netsales@email.com
Message-ID: <8e2d82be.0211301609.2a5816f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145487

Here is your chance to get one of the CADILLACS of BD.

Its up for auction now!

click to:

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/71041847?aucview=0x23

3003. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:14:57 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <asbk37$pm2hs$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145488

No-code tech's cannot use 10meter

--
Mad Dog
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14386-3DE7D989-129@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
>Okay then i will slide the jumpers back to >default and p/u a 148GTL
since the 2950 >sucks on SSB..thanx
>I'm only a No-code technician...
--
Mad Dog

Better check to see if you're authorized.

3004. For Sale Uniden HR 2610 10 meter mobile rig. Sweet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:29:47 UTC
From: Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net>
Message-ID: <i1miuus2914i3q3nkfb441ukr9v1bfo7b5@4ax.com>
Source record: 145490

Selling excellent condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile radio.
Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
Tremendous audio.
Price $169 plus $13.00 shipping
I accept money order or paypal.
Please reply via e mail to
dan@royaltelevision.net

3005. WTB: Motorola CB - System 500 series SSB model mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:29:54 UTC
From: Bob C <Joker535@ec.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6KcG9.34034$sj1.1878066@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 145489

Looking for one in good working condition complete and not modified.

Please state price, condition, accys, and location.

Thanks.

3006. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:40:50 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <mUcG9.275$T04.140@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145491

I am confused, you mean nothing you want?

Nighthawk

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou> wrote in message
news:20021130163550.11670.00000138@mb-cc.aol.com...
> so far you have nothing on ebay .....troll >ubject: CB items for sale!!!
> >From: "Nighthawk" miner1@flash.net
> >Date: 11/30/02 3:04 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> >Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
> >
>
>http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthaw
k
> >111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
>
>
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

3007. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:41:45 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <dVcG9.276$b14.124@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145492

Only on e-bay, I already offered them for sale to the board.

73

Nighthawk

"brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message
news:YgcG9.14356$Fi7.151470@twister.kc.rr.com...
> This seller is not currently offering any items for sale.
>
>

3008. Re: Motorola CB - System 500 series SSB model mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:44:11 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <vXcG9.277$qe4.209@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145493

I just put one on e-bay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1942421047

73

Nighthawk

"Bob C" <Joker535@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
news:6KcG9.34034$sj1.1878066@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> Looking for one in good working condition complete and not modified.
>
> Please state price, condition, accys, and location.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>

3009. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:49:20 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*NOSPAM*@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7smiuu8bpp2fl97bioposvcdcccj1n9o4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 145494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:56:55 GMT, Tom <jc@nospam.com> was blabbling:

...On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
...<k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
...
...
...>REAL Ham's Use CW!
...>http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
...
...
...Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.

Tell you what dickhead, you post in here with your REAL NAME and
CALLSIGN.... and I'll go take a job at burger king monday!

(sarcasm of course...)

that's prolly one of those limp wristed wanna be's from 3.865 night
crew or from 3.898... like W8GG or WQ4E...either way kiss my arse...

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

3010. Re: Motorola CB - System 500 series SSB model mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 00:53:34 UTC
From: Bob C <Joker535@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <i4dG9.34045$sj1.1883708@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 145495

Thats a Mocat. The one I am after is a 40 ch AM/SSB mobile that is smaller in size and in a black and grey case I believe the actual model is 550 or 555 (one is a base and the other is a mobile).

I had one and I foolishly sold it a while back. I want another one to replace it as it was one of the best talking stock rigs I have ever owned.

Thanks.

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:vXcG9.277$qe4.209@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> I just put one on e-bay.
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1942421047
>
> 73
>
> Nighthawk
>
>
> "Bob C" <Joker535@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:6KcG9.34034$sj1.1878066@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > Looking for one in good working condition complete and not modified.
> >
> > Please state price, condition, accys, and location.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3011. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 01:15:08 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <lpoiuu09cqaivi2lg26h8fokutddr1qmeo@4ax.com>
Source record: 145496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3012. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 01:23:18 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6wdG9.184338$WL3.67304@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 145548

Nighthawk,

Your link wrapped around. When you click on the link you posted it shows all auctions for a "Nighthawk" on ebay. If you cut and paste the missing part of the link you will see what "Nighthawk111" has for auction. I found it odd you had nothing for auction when I clicked on your link so I double checked and realized it had wrapped and only part of it was active. Any way you can fix the link up? Would clear up the confusion next time you want to advertise your auctions.

Dave

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
>
>
> Nighthawk
>
>

3013. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 01:25:49 UTC
From: Donald Sherwood <midnighte1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xydG9.184361$WL3.67857@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 145549

http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?iewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

Try the link again it was broken, fnny how some have ahard time figuring out broken, or mistyped links.

3014. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 01:28:44 UTC
From: Donald Sherwood <midnighte1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gBdG9.183214$%m4.68830@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145550

Wow my adjustment worked wonders, not it link wrapped to. As I just noticed.
as Dave said just copy the part of the link that is not underlined and paste
it in addy bar to the link that is alrady there.
"Donald Sherwood" <midnighte1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:xydG9.184361$WL3.67857@rwcrnsc54...
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?iewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk1
11&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

>
> Try the link again it was broken, fnny how some have ahard time figuring
out
> broken, or mistyped links.
>
>

3015. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:01:45 UTC
From: brian <none@no.com>
Message-ID: <YgcG9.14356$Fi7.151470@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 145486

This seller is not currently offering any items for sale.

3016. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:03:13 UTC
From: Donald Sherwood <midnighte1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B5eG9.183511$%m4.69987@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 145551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

Is it me or is the whole site wordy and runs around like a HADD kid high on meth? I seem to be unbale to follow what the hell is trying to be said. Maybe it;s my dyslexia.
"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message news:3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com...
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell
> http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/
>

3017. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:08:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuiroobf9bsj34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145552

It might make for a 'loaded' operator ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE946AF.143C09EA@mailcity.net...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
>
> >
> > jimbo......i don't think filling it with corn squeezins would be a
> > good idea......the last think i need is for my antenna to hick up
> > while under full load.....
>
> An antenna filled with corn squeezins, that would make it a loaded
> antenna, but would that make your audio sound mushy?

3018. Re: Cobra 25nw st

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:24:19 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asbruf086b@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145555

"Keith" <tiepilot@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1b82cfff.0211301344.4e8e2d4@posting.google.com...
> Hello and Help.
> I have a cobra 25 nw st and would like to change the instant channel
> 9 selector switch to a different channel or even a upper or lower of a
> different channel.
> Does any one know how to do this or a link to a site to help me do
> this, I do know wiring and some circutry so this shouldn't be too hard
> for me to handle unlike my spelling.
> Thanks
> K

probably preset by the factory in the chip itself.
makes for easier wiring that way.

3019. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:26:55 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asbrtv085b@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Tom" <jc@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:nujiuu8ll6m77uc6quegpu0cgj1tpga9bb@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
> <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
>
>
> >REAL Ham's Use CW!
> >http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
>
>
> Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.

Well, if he's a CPA, he shouldn't have any problem finding a job. I'm sure
there's some CEO willing to pay top dollar for CPAs with poor sight.

3020. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:30:50 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asbsam08r8@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145557

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
>
>
> Nighthawk
>

Mostly collector's items. Specially the gilbert rocket launcher.
See the box cover photo on that one?
I'll bet that went over real well. Launching a metal arrowhead rocket at
your best friend?
Just how many rubber bands did you get with it?

3021. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:42:31 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <nGqdnbHS5Yqn6nSgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145553

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0211301154.7f43d447@posting.google.com...
: "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:<AHqdnYItjIrcUnWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
: > <chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
: > news:3de8acd0.45003473@news.optonline.net...
: > : On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 19:16:15 -0500, "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
: > : wrote:
: > :
: > : >
: > : >"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
: > : >
: > : >
: > : >: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
: > : >
: > : >Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when
he
: > keyed
: > : >?
: > : >Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have
: > enough
: > : >alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
: > : >
: > : >
: > : Who the hell cares at that power?
: >
: >
: > IceCold, if he doesnt have 250kw of input power then he won't have the
100k
: > output. Simple concept but I didnt think a keyclown like you would have
a
: > hard time understanding.
: > :
:
: turkey that is because he only runs a 8 pill mobile when he does talk
: which is rarely these days......hmmm....

Well, I am sorry cheese I wouldn't know what he has, I just figured all keydowners, know these type of things. It is nt real hard to figure out you have a so called 100 kw amp isn't 100 % efficient, you will need a exciter to drive the the thing, to get that type of output you are going to need a certain amount of watts i say at least 10- 20 kw to get that type output. I have a hammie friend who works at an Am radio station/ tv station, 50 kw transmitter 100% duty cycle. i don't doubt these fellas have 50 kw mobiles

perhaps a tad bit more, anything over that is subject to a bullshit fudge factor, how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.

3022. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:43:18 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <noCdnfppELP06nSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145554

Tim super swing is nothing more than your NPC mod, low deadkey.

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021130103453.05430.00000115@mb-fj.aol.com...
: oh yeah, have you ever heard how shitty a radio with super duper swing
sounds
: to a receiver with any type of a decent noise blanker?
:
: >Super swing rocks...
: >
: >--
: >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
: >Brian Griffey
:
:
:
:

3023. Re: Attention Cotton Choppers & Maul Droppers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 02:51:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE97941.EB1562A2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145564

RF Burns wrote:
>
> This Saturday night will be a talk show all about key downs. Tune in to
> WWCR 5.070MHz short wave at 11:00PM EST We will be taking calls.

Sounds like a bunch of junior high girls getting all excited about
their next bra.

3024. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 03:22:05 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <pw6dnTfY4bgdHXSgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145559

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE8EDEA.D906109D@mailcity.net...

: Just how much power do you think hits your antenna from a statiom
: several miles away?

John, It depends on several factors transmitting antenna height, recieving antenna height, antenna gain, power used, coaxial losses as well as frequency. but to give you a half vast answer between 60 and 100 microvolts for a 4 watt transmitter at 3 miles.

3025. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 03:23:08 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <Ctqcnagr8NkiHXSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145560

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:sL4G9.73486

: > : And you were proven wrong, weren't you?

No I wasn't but if it makes you feel better.

: > I mentioned dwights name about 2 or 3 weeks ago you then made a comment
: > about dwight not posting since whenever. I looked it up it was since dec
: > 2001, so where was I proven wrong?
: > If so I missed the post, are you saying he has posted to the group since
: > then? I only did a quick search on google.

: Not to this group, but to others. He disappeared from all groups in late
: October of this year.

Is that when Aaron disappered?

3026. Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 03:58:11 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <nNfG9.2496$4s6.1281159@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145561

Cobra 2000 with 1 speaker, clean and looks good.... works great $250 and I'll ship.... lower 48

drc

3027. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:02:05 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <1RfG9.4137$Kw6.2608463@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145558

Most of you are missing his whole point of the post: he wants a handheld cb radio. Not mobile, not MURS.

"E" <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:gUYD9.29100$hK4.2506378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hello all,
>
> Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for a
> well built radio...
>
> -- Erik
>
>
>
>

3028. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:28:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuj3vi18bugie3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145562

Matt, I lost the earlier part of the thread. The point I would make is that the handheld, used as a handheld with a whip, won't have any reasonable range. It will be much more subject to intermod distortion as opposed to a bigger radio (whether base or mobile). Yes, they can work, but if he is interested in mods, I can't come up with any reason for a handheld. Again, poor intermod (especially noticeable with an external antenna - and with the whip, any handheld at 27 MHz is almost a joke. No reasonable antenna and virtually no ground). Pray tell, what advantage does a handheld have over any reasonable 27 MHz CB? MURS will offer a much more efficient antenna as well as ground and will be capable of much further range. A 27 MHz handheld will be lucky to compete with FRS ... If folks offer advice against the 27 MHz handheld, there just might be a reason.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:1RfG9.4137$Kw6.2608463@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Most of you are missing his whole point of the post: he wants a handheld
cb
> radio. Not mobile, not MURS.
>
>
> "E" <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:gUYD9.29100$hK4.2506378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hello all,
> >
> > Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for
a
> > well built radio...
> >
> > -- Erik

3029. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:52:05 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211302052.1d246b98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Tom <jc@nospam.com> wrote in message news:<nujiuu8ll6m77uc6quegpu0cgj1tpga9bb@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
> <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
>
>
> >REAL Ham's Use CW!
> >http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
>
>
> Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.

what would he do???? perhaps a under the table waiter at some homo
bar.....now that would be a good job for chuckie....

3030. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:55:49 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211302055.43935c85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145565

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uuijaa41e7to8e@corp.supernews.com>...
> Naw, I don't need a halo. Just from a bit of background with those 40 KW
> output HF transmitters .... Heck, speaking of Kodak, they're shutting down
> my plant! Everything going to China. I feel sorry for the guys with a lot
> of years (two of 'em with over 30 years) who aren't 55 yet. They will get
> no life insurance, no health insurance, and if they tap their pension, even
> taking a lifetime annuity, IRS wants to penalize them 10% (plus tax that!)!
> Some folks get the gold mine; others get the shaft.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps -expect to find another opening within the company. Thank God I'm
> getting near the end of my career - I honestly don't know what the kids are
> going to do. No stability, no benefits .... managers now are making the
> equivalent of what I did in 1978 as a master mechanic. Sheesh.
>
>
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0211271144.69db2350@posting.google.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:<uu8j797qajab0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > I think for that kind of power you won't be able to have any antenna
> with an
> > > abrupt 'end' or the arcs & sparks syndrome might set in. Either a heavy
> rod
> > > with a ball on the end or some kind of a full size loop ...
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
> > > news:pan.2002.11.26.19.46.47.791.14206@cox.net...
> > > > ok, and what semi truck are you going to haul the power source on
> > > > (tractor trailer). I can tell you right now, it isn't going to be a
> load
> > > > of car batteries. its going to be a 130 KW generator.
> > > >
> > > > as for the antenna. if you are serious, try a copper clad steel shaft
> 102
> > > > inches long on a mount.
> > > >

> > > > Technomage
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > In article <9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com>, "john
> dobbs"
> > > > <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built
> > > > > a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile use.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or
> numbered!
> > > > My life is my own - No. 6
> >
> >
> > jimbo that is why you use a halo at the end of the antenna.......or
> > else you will blow the end off of the antenna...........didn't they
> > teach you that at kodak????

oh great jimbo. now kodak will get the use of cheap labor in china and
ship it back here for us to buy courtesy of their(chinese) most
favored nation trade status.......man when are people going to get a
grip????

3031. Re: Antenna - any thoughts on this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:57:47 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211302057.74292720@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145566

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uuiit6jc9cd639@corp.supernews.com>...
> Ya just gotta relieve the pressure. Drain perhaps 8 oz. of the squeezins
> and you'll be ok. You **do** know what to do with the corn squeezins,
> right? ;-)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0211301405.71a98a44@posting.google.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:<uufeq5t0jqmu29@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Water filled antennas? No, but if some had some corn squeezins' in 'em,
> I
> > > might be interested ;-) Yeah, 9 dB gain, but I think many folks would
> be
> > > happy with an antenna with a 0 dBd (equal to a dipole) in a compact
> antenna
> > > that could be hidden. If anyone comes up with it, I'd tend not to bet
> > > against Jay myself.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
>
> > jimbo......i don't think filling it with corn squeezins would be a
> > good idea......the last think i need is for my antenna to hick up
> > while under full load.....

well all depends.....do i have to trust the source of said
squeezins???? i mean after all there have been stories about dumb ole
country boys loosing their eye sight because of a bad batch.....

3032. Re: Want to get 26.735 on PC 122

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 04:59:52 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211302059.57ec7b55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145567

modify66@aol.com (Modify66) wrote in message
news:<20021128235957.01747.00000103@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> I would like to modify this radio for this frequency.
> I don't want to buy a kit for the radio.
> I have seen them modded in the past without a kit.
> I was wondering if somebody out there can give me the modification.
> Thank you...
> please email me direct...

uppers and lowers in cb radios are really almost a non issue these
days.....hell you can get a so-called ten meter radio with a chasis
that will support a 26-32mhz spread.....you know.....kind of
makes the upper and lower question obsolete.....wouldn't you
think????

3033. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 05:13:42 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3de99a82.25957483@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 145568

On 30 Nov 2002 11:54:04 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:<AHqdnYItjIrcUnWgXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
>> <chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:3de8acd0.45003473@news.optonline.net...
>> : On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 19:16:15 -0500, "Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
>> : wrote:
>> :
>> : >
>> : >"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
>> : >
>> : >
>> : >: Can you say T-Bird, BlueGill all the rset of the goodtime gang.....
>> : >
>> : >Who told you they are doing 100kw ? Did you see the watt meter when he
>> keyed
>> : >?
>> : >Was that deadkey power? what happened when he modualtes? does he have
>> enough
>> : >alternators to power 250,000 watts of input power?
>> : >
>> : >
>> : Who the hell cares at that power?
>>
>>
>> IceCold, if he doesnt have 250kw of input power then he won't have the 100k
>> output. Simple concept but I didnt think a keyclown like you would have a
>> hard time understanding.
>> :
>
>turkey that is because he only runs a 8 pill mobile when he does talk
>which is rarely these days......hmmm....

Not icecold and dont own an 8 pill yet

3034. I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 05:59:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com>
Source record: 145569

After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from now on.

Toodles.

3035. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 06:10:41 UTC
From: Joe Bob Hawksley <JoeBob@HawksleyImportedRadios.com>
Message-ID: <asc93j$78f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 145570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You are not helping by repeatedly cross posting your
ham radio crap to rec.radio.cb And you don't need to
ask anyone anything, just quit your dam cross posting to
rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com...
> I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask
> everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups.
> This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb
is
> too interested in this.
>
> Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

3036. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 06:17:51 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <uujabkd5l4todc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Bob Hawksley wrote:
> You are not helping by repeatedly cross posting your
> ham radio crap to rec.radio.cb And you don't need to
> ask anyone anything, just quit your dam cross posting to
> rec.radio.cb
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask
>>everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups.
>>This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb
>
> is
>
>>too interested in this.
>>
>>Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
>>Jim

JoeBobHawksley proves a very good point. The roving hordes of savage
CBers really aren't interested in taking over the ham bands via a
no-code licensing scheme.

-BM

3037. Re: Mic heats up and gives me a shock when I key up and put it to m...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 08:09:32 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4536-3DE9C3BC-370@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145572

9 out of 10 leading scientists confirm It's from sucking cock and
not wiping your lips good enough or maybe you just aren't swallowing
hard enough. But then again if you are the type that squeals like a pig
that theory is shot to hell.

3038. Re: OT: Bar jokes...Tastless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 08:43:32 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4536-3DE9CBB4-371@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145573

A man walks into a rather upscale bar/restaurant and asks the homosexual bartender if they serve black folks there. The queer bartender replies I will ask the Jewish waiter who replies "well yes sir of course we do", then he goes and asks the illegal alien Mexican cook in the kitchen if they have any. Yes sir the Mexican said, but if we didn't I would send the Puerto Rican dish washer who lives with his family of 7 in 1 room out into the back alley with his knife and drag a 40 oz. drinking pimp with a big pink hat and a feather in it from his 1982 Caddy. The relieved patron says "well I am glad to hear you do. I will have the fish as I don't eat red meat but my dog is not fussy so he will have one medium rare". !
A TOTALLY TASTELESS JOKE MEANT TO OFFEND EVERYONE INCLUDING YOU . SORRY IF I MISSED

3039. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING WA3MOJ TROLL WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:16:31 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3RoG9.38442$hK4.3407716@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145575

It's a sandwich made by your mother-in-law...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> No but Ill take a turkey sandwich, whats a sandwitch?

3040. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:29:01 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <N0pG9.38450$hK4.3408485@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145576

I'm not sure why you think that super-swing has the abity to over-drive anything. The signal on super-swing is nothing more than a reduction of carrier during "no modulation" conditions. It doesn't have the ability to acheive more power output than without it... Actually, it almost guarantees that any linear amp will not be operated in the non-linear "compression" area. Operating in that area produces tremendous harmonics and interference to other bands such as TV...

As for complexity... there is very little.

The only real problem that it has with most amps is that the low carrier will not key the amp properly. This makes the amp relay chatter. Very annoying. My amp has provisions to be able to accept a multiplexed keying signal, that can easily be added to the set to eliminate this problem entirely.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021130103349.05430.00000114@mb-fj.aol.com...
> how would you suggest setting up a radio with super swing to an amp? how
do you
> tell the average cb'er a way to do it without over driving there amp on
peaks
> and not getting complicated?
>
> >Super swing rocks...
> >
> >--
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
>
>
>
>

3041. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:30:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <U1pG9.38453$hK4.3410162@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145577

I have had zero complaints about my signal. I'm not sure what you're
hearing... but it has nothing to do with super swing done properly.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021130103453.05430.00000115@mb-fj.aol.com...
> oh yeah, have you ever heard how shitty a radio with super duper swing
sounds
> to a receiver with any type of a decent noise blanker?
>
> >Super swing rocks...
> >
> >--
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
>
>
>
>

3042. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:32:47 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <j4pG9.2489$9t5.85529815@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145579

Sorry;

You may have to type in the whole URL, if you click on just the top part it takes you to some other nighthawk, mine is nighthawk111. Here it is again. Remember you may have to type it all in.

http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

73

Nighthawk
(e-bay- nighthawk111)

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
>
>
> Nighthawk
>
>

3043. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:32:52 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <o4pG9.38458$hK4.3407651@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145578

True... but don't leave out the main advantage... tremendous percentage of modulation.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Turkey" <turkey@hotsnail.coms> wrote in message
news:noCdnfppELP06nSgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> Tim super swing is nothing more than low deadkey.

3044. Re: WTB: Motorola CB - System 500 series SSB model mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 14:57:46 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA236A.AD875BD5@qnet.com>
Source record: 145580

Hello Bob:

Yeah those are the best CB radios made I believe. They have a special chip that reduces noises and such, and works absolutely great!

I have converted the Motorola Commerical Mic's to work on the mobile Motorola's.

Jay in the Mojave

Bob C wrote:
>
> Looking for one in good working condition complete and not modified.
>
> Please state price, condition, accys, and location.
>
> Thanks.

3045. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 15:27:39 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3DEA2A6B.E90CED9F@bmas.org>
Source record: 145581

Joe Bob Hawksley wrote:
>
> You are not helping by repeatedly cross posting your
> ham radio crap to rec.radio.cb And you don't need to
> ask anyone anything, just quit your dam cross posting to
> rec.radio.cb
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com...
> > I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask
> > everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups.
> > This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb
> is
> > too interested in this.
> >
> > Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >

If you trim rec.radio.cb from your reply on a complaint about cross posting, you'll increase the odds you'll see less of the thread.

It's also a good idea to check the date of a message before replying to a thread. If it's been inactive for a few days, replying may get it going again.

Regards,

Mark

3046. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 15:42:11 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA2DD3.A90430B5@secret.com>
Source record: 145582

>
> True... but don't leave out the main disadvantage... tremendous percentage of
> distortion.
>

3047. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:12:48 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021201111248.07469.00004770@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 145583

>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Try this:
Boy Mopar, you are full of all kinds of tricks!
333

"Got RF?"

3048. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:18:00 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <v32dnXa76eXBq3egXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145584

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201083836.707

: > : > : And you were proven wrong, weren't you?
: >
: >> No I wasn't but if it makes you feel better.
: >
: So you still stand by this statement?

I sure do. Why wouldn't I nothing said in there should make me feel any differently, I searched dwights name and last it came back was dec of 2001, as I stated in the post it may depend on which exact email addres he used, and as i also said it was a brief quick search and thats the info I found out. BTW, what does this have to do with CB? Shouldn't you be worried about your SWR, or coax connectors instead of wondering when the last time someone posted.

: "LOL, that is funny Randy. You guys can make anything come true if you
: believe it hard enough.I had also noticed that Osama Bin Laden has also
not
: been seen, could they all be one in the same.
:
: I guess it depends what email address you search for him, his last post
was
: on Dec. 14, 2001 by Dwight Stewart, no where near that long since aarons
: disapperance"

3049. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:20:12 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <Z46cnUhn0KVCq3egXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145585

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:o4pG9.38458$hK4.3407651@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
: True... but don't leave out the main advantage... tremendous percentage of
: modulation.

True Brian, I was just trying to dumb it down so Tim could understand it.

3050. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:25:12 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <IJqG9.2013$4W7.133734460@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145586

"Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com...
> After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> now on.
>
> Toodles.
>

Well sorry to see you do this Frank. I guess you're
values are just a little higher than others. People like, Jim,
Bert, Dick among countless others are Ham's and have
been able to converse and help people with cb radios,
without their conscience getting in their way.
Well, good luck and Happy Holidays.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3051. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:45:25 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <yE2dndqccYJVoXegXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145587

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201112632.040

: > I sure do. Why wouldn't I nothing said in there should make me feel any
: > differently, I searched dwights name and last it came back was dec of
: > 2001, as I stated in the post it may depend on which exact email addres
: > he used, and as i also said it was a brief quick search and thats the
: > info I found out.
: >
: So you were proved wrong,like Randy said.
: >
: >> BTW, what does this have to do with CB?

: Not a fucking thing.

Like most of your trolling posts

: >>Shouldn't you
: > be worried about your SWR, or coax connectors instead of wondering when
: > the last time someone posted.

: Nope,I do as I please.

Then expect others to do the same regardless of your cries not to. This is a Cb NG, I see you crying about guys using html etc, I think that guy also said he does as he pleases, and he didn't need to hear from an asshole like you.

3052. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 16:46:14 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <KWqdnXGCYKpnoXegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145588

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021201112248.469$Tn@newsreader.com...
: ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
: > >From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
: > >Try this:
: > Boy Mopar, you are full of all kinds of tricks!
: > 333
: >
: > "Got RF?"
: >
: Well..full of something..;)

Yes Full of shit, and hot air is the word you were looking for.

3053. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:00:56 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212010900.7c005355@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145590

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in message
news:<3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com>...
> After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> now on.
>
> Toodles.

oh blow it out your ass frank........go assume another one of your
newsgroup identities and stfu........you are breakin my heart over
here.............and if you are leaving.........all i can say is
good riddance you mudduck...........

3054. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:03:27 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <asdf66$qbp38$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145589

I hate 2 c the great ones leave but thanx for your nsight and knowledge.
Good luck w/your foxhunting skills....
I prefer a great receiver w/a attenuator and large S-meter,
works every time when tracking the dead keys and radio dj's
at a truck stop.
My 2950 will point them out like a sore thumb.
--
Mad Dog
KG4LBD
714 Sandpile

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:IJqG9.2013$4W7.133734460@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> news:3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com...
> > After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> > forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> > operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> > no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> > fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> > radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> > RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> > license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> > has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> > ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> > which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> > leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> > to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> > now on.
> >
> > Toodles.
> >
>
> Well sorry to see you do this Frank. I guess you're
> values are just a little higher than others. People like, Jim,
> Bert, Dick among countless others are Ham's and have
> been able to converse and help people with cb radios,
> without their conscience getting in their way.
> Well, good luck and Happy Holidays.
>
> Landshark
>
>

> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>

3055. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:04:49 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <asdf8p$q89g4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145591

Most reputable CB shops have a basket of junk super mod kits
sitting on the counter.....there's a clue

--
Mad Dog
"Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27402-3DE87774-206@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> have any cbers out ther have any luck on the kits like super mods
> super talk .inside the radio . just wodering
>

3056. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:09:17 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <asdfh5$qi7si$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145592

When running that much power i prefer to place a pin thru the coax
shield just missing the center conductor and then recite "TOY BOATS"
into the mic. while holding the key down.....
You will be wall 2 wall and tree top tall (dumb-ass)

--
Mad Dog
"john dobbs" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9e63d1b0.0211261641.2ff38608@posting.google.com...
> whats a good mobile antenna that will support a 100,000 linear? I
> built a 1/4 wave dipole and it works but not appropriate for mobile
> use.

3057. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:19:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EwrG9.32887$vM1.2571557@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145593

That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
distortion?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey

View the SkyWave Amplifier brochure at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEA2DD3.A90430B5@secret.com...
> > True... but don't leave out the main disadvantage... tremendous
percentage of
> > distortion.

3058. Ot: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:36:49 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145595

Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the personality.

Twisty
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame46.html
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame16.html

Leland Scott
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame64.html

Moparholic
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame59.html
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame39.html

Dwight Stewart
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame67.html

Landshark
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame14.html
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame13.html

Aaron Voobner
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame76.html
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame54.html

Cheescutter
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame34.html

Dave Hall
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame17.html

Brainbuster
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame20.html

Scott69
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame61.html

Tim Nebout
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame71.html

Randy
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame31.html

Sparky
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame55.html
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame41.html

George
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame29.html

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame35.html

Chuckie k8cpa
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame47.html

Dave and Debbie

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame37.html

Bert Craig
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame69.html

James Hampton
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame25.html

Brain Griffey
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame62.html

3059. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:37:21 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <INrG9.202872$1O2.12607@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 145594

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:asdf8p$q89g4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> Most reputable CB shops have a basket of junk super mod kits
> sitting on the counter.....there's a clue

Amen!

3060. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:37:32 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <zi2dnejW14Ji1XegXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145596

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201115525.391

: You are so predictable,busch.

So you knew i was going to say that?

3061. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:39:51 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <o8ednezjkPkW1HegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145597

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:EwrG9.32887$vM1.2571557@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
: That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
: Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
: distortion?

He saw it said on a usenet discussion board? It is a Cb Myth? But if the carrier is reduced to far distortion wil occur Professor, ever hear peole with to low of a carrier they at time sound off frequency and distorted until the carreir is raised to a sufficient level.

3062. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 17:41:41 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <IFydnaoJiYdI1HegXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145598

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:asdf8p$q89g4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
: Most reputable CB shops have a basket of junk super mod kits
: sitting on the counter.....there's a clue

Super Mod kit is just a resistor in most cases, they epoxy 5 wires into a blob and only 2 of the wires are connected. They then tell you too hook this wire to mic this one to 12 volts and these 2 here. All aimed at the knowledgable CBer.

3063. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 18:25:40 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25820-3DEA5424-190@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145599

"Toodles" Frank,Dont let the door hit ya in the ass on your way out....dont leave mad.....Mark ..wonder how long before he pulls a Chuckie???any bets?

3064. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 19:00:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA5C5C.4D227583@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145604

chessecutter wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in message
news:<3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com>...
> > After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> > forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> > operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> > no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> > fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> > radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> > RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> > license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> > has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> > ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> > which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> > leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> > to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> > now on.
> >
> > Toodles.
>
> oh blow it out your ass frank........go assume another one of your
> newsgroup identities and stfu........you are breakin my heart over
> here.................and if you are leaving.........all i can say is
> good riddance you mudduck...........

You personify the very attitude that permeates cb that Frank is
talking about. If that is a bit over your head, it means you are
the very type he is referring to.

3065. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 19:10:39 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asdnne0sjc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145602

"Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
> Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the
> personality.
>

I do believe "Pinky the brain" would suit me.

3066. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 19:12:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA5F1C.A414BCFB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145607

Sod Buster wrote:
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:EwrG9.32887$vM1.2571557@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> : That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> : Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> : distortion?
>
> He saw it said on a usenet discussion board? It is a Cb Myth? But if the
> carrier is reduced to far distortion wil occur Professor, ever hear peole
> with to low of a carrier they at time sound off frequency and distorted
> until the carreir is raised to a sufficient level.

Assuming the final stage is operated in class C using high level
(plate/collector) modulation. In this configuration it take a
certain amount of audio power to achieve 100% modulation, in the
case of 5 watts RF it takes 2.5 watts of audio. If you reduce the
carrier, then you are attempting to modulate less than 5 watts RF
with 2.5 watts of audio, this is OK on positive modulation, but
you will drive the final into cutoff on the negative side which
causes distortion. Swing mods do nothing to improve the signal,
all it does is make output meters and s-meters swing which makes
some people think they are getting a 'big signal'.

3067. Radios for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 19:13:27 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DE90DAB.4020101@dirtball.com>
Source record: 145600

Hello All
I have a FT 920 with AM filter and MD 100 mike with the MFJ Versa Tuner V that I will Trade for a Yaesu FT 990. I also have the technical supplment for the FT 920. Anyone interested I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA. My email is oris@dirtball.com. I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA.
I Also Have a FT 1000MP that needs work. The frequency display blinks. I was told that there is a problem in the Phase Lock Loop curcuit. Milton Lord at http://www.n4da.com I will trade for a FT 990 Or simlar HF rig. I also have a working Icom AT 500 antenna tuner that I will trade for a Kenwood TS 50 or any small 100 watt continuos coverage HF rig. I need a moble rig. I also Have a Henry radio 2KD-5 Amplifier (pair of Emac 3-500, New Power supply Capacitors, 100 in gets you 1250 out) that I will trade for a FT 990 or FT 1000.
I also Have a Pentium III 500 Mhz computer 40 X cd rom Turtle beach CD burner 128 K of ram sound card Network card 1 gig hard drive keyboard and mouse that I will trade for a HF rig.
Anyone intereAnyone interested I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA. My email is oris@dirtball.com. I am in the Atlanta area. I will meet with in a 70 mile radius of Athens GA.
Thanks for Reading and Happy Holidays
Oris
N4CND

3068. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 19:46:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_FtG9.2047$Zh1.138824925@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145601

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021201115043.211$Fu@newsreader.com...
> >
> http://www.31337.pl/
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

Now that was PFF!!!!! ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3069. Re: Replacement Mic Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 20:17:50 UTC
From: tim960@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201151750.25113.00007946@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 145603

Dave,
You can rewire the mic you have, order a power mic (or a stock mic) and have it wired for your radio, search eBay for an old one, or try to find one that will fit some of the older Cobra radios with the grey talk button. Search the web for "mic wireing" or try this. Pins on the connector are numbered, the wires are color coded and each has a function, note what color wire does what and to which pin it is hooked to, change the wires to match the functions of the pins from the old Radio Shack radio to your radio.

Realistic 5 wire mic

Braid = Common/Ground
White = Mic or Audio
Red = Transmit
Black = Receive
Blue = Audio Switch

Mic plug numbers (looking into the end of the plug that goes into the radio)

2
5 4
3 1

77-099 mic

1 = Audio
2 = Transmit
3 = No connection
4 = Ground / common
5 = Receive

>I recently installed my old CB radio (Midland model 77-099) in my new truck. Unfortunately, sometime in the five or so years the radio has been in storage the little lug on the back of the mic that allows you to hang it on the....uh....whatever they call the thing the mic hangs from...has broken.
>
>I had an old Radio Shack mic lying around from Glub-only-knows when. They connectors were similar so I thought I'd just swap mics and be done with it.
>Nope. The RS mic doesn't work (for starters, the radio indicates 'transmit' full-time!).
>
>And so I've entered the market for a new mic. Unfortunately, nothing I've seen after a brief web search is obviously suitable. That is to say that nothing has said, "works on a Midland," or words to that effect.
>
>I presume that the Midland mics are compatable with some other brand's, but

>after seeing the results of using the RS mic I am leary of ordering anything
>without a bit more info.
>
>Sooo..... Can anybody point me in the right direction?
>

3070. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 21:03:06 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <RIqdnWa-2dSw5HegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145605

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asdnne0sjc@enews1.newsguy.com...
:
: "Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
: news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
: > Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the
: > personality.
: >
:
: I do believe "Pinky the brain" would suit me.

LOL

3071. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 21:07:12 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <VEKdnXTkit--53egXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145606

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201112632.040

: So you were proved wrong,like Randy said.

was i I don't know, who proved what? I didn't state anything as fact, if it makes you feel like I was proven wrong, have at it. Kinda hard to prove someone wrong when I qualified my post as I did.

3072. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 21:22:58 UTC
From: Sod Buster <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <s6qcnV5TJ49l4HegXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145608

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:sL4G9.73486

: Not to this group, but to others. He disappeared from all groups in late
: October of this year.

October 27. 2002

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&q=author:stewart%40sc.rr.com+

And aaron disappered, 2 weeks later perhaps he is on vacation. Do you guys really miss him that much?

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&q=author:avoobner2002%40yahoo.com+

3073. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:08:25 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tLvG9.197018$NH2.12586@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:l4dcuuo8nkn6qas6lo01s94101irp2blcm@4ax.com...
> THERE'S TALK ON
> CHARLIE'S 75 METER HANG OUT, OF A GANG OF GUYS COMING TO YOUR HOUSE TO
> EVEN THE SCORE. IF I WERE YOU, I'D BE MAKING SOME PHONE CALLS.
>

There is talk!!!!
That's all hams do is talk.
He is safe from ANY ham.

Burt

3074. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:28:23 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA8D07.B84BDD12@secret.com>
Source record: 145610

Sod Buster wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201115525.391
>
> : You are so predictable,busch.
>
> So you knew i was going to say that?

Can you say "Puppet Strings"?

3075. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:30:43 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA8D93.4D85BDED@secret.com>
Source record: 145611

JJ wrote:
>
> You personify the very attitude that permeates cb that Frank is
> talking about. If that is a bit over your head, it means you are
> the very type he is referring to.

When is the last time you even used a CB?

Are you assuming, or do you really know the facts?

3076. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:35:01 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <mB2cnd_umcMIE3egXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145612

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEA8D07.B84BDD12@secret.com...
:
:
: Sod Buster wrote:
: >
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201115525.391
: >
: > : You are so predictable,busch.
: >
: > So you knew i was going to say that?
:
: Can you say "Puppet Strings"?

I sure can I say something and you clowns reply. Call me Gepedo

3077. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:35:32 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <LY-cnbDQo_BKE3egXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145614

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEA8D07.B84BDD12@secret.com...
:
:
: Sod Buster wrote:
: >
: > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021201115525.391
: >
: > : You are so predictable,busch.
: >
: > So you knew i was going to say that?
:
: Can you say "Puppet Strings"?

Yes, watch as you change names again to be like george.

3078. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 22:35:41 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <19wG9.2592$4s6.1337546@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145613

sure fits the one's I know

drc

"Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
> Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the
> personality.
>
> Twisty
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame46.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame16.html
>
> Leland Scott
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame64.html
>
>
> Moparholic
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame59.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame39.html
>
> Dwight Stewart
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame67.html
>
> Landshark
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame14.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame13.html
>
> Aaron Voobner
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame76.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame54.html
>
> Cheescutter
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame34.html
>
> Dave Hall
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame17.html
>
> Brainbuster
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame20.html
>
>
> Scott69
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame61.html
>

> Tim Nebout
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame71.html
>
>
> Randy
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame31.html
>
> Sparky
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame55.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame41.html
>
>
> George
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame29.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame35.html
>
> Chuckie k8cpa
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame47.html
>
>
> Dave and Debbie
>
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame37.html
>
> Bert Craig
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame69.html
>
> James Hampton
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame25.html
>
>
> Brain Griffey
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame62.html
>
>
>
>

3079. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-01 23:58:45 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ase7h6$q46i7$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145616

Hey Kris, that kind of range can be realized using 11meter but requires
ideal atmospheric conditions and some expensive equipment.
Sideband has 4 times the range of AM and may satisfy your needs for
reliable medium range communications.
My first love is CB and i use 2meter when parked to talk with the local hams
that may be using a particular repeater.
FM has great range but is limited to line of sight,if you cant see him you
cant
talk to him..this is why we use repeaters on FM to relay the signal and
increase range.
AM or SSB is not limited to line of sight but is affected by atmospheric
conditions that make it difficult to be heard at long distances using ground
wave propagation.
Sky wave propagation has incredible range but limits your closest contact
point to 900 miles (approx.)
You got a lot to learn and it will require your full attention if this is
something you want to explore.
Go to the library and get some books on amateur radio and obtain your
No-code technician class license..then you can reap the benefits of HF
communication.
I would invest in a superb sideband rig for the car and house and see where
it takes you.
--
KG4LBD
aka 714 Sandpile
aka Mad Dog

"Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
> hi!
>
>
> I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay
> contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
>
>
> TIA!

>

3080. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:02:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 145618

most of them lose power when modulated

> how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.
>
>

3081. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:03:24 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <UiyG9.491$_x2.199994420@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145617

Items for Sale by nighthawk(117)
View text-only format
0 items found.

All items

Auctions

Buy It Now

Picture hide Item Title Price Bids Time (Ends PST)

This seller is not currently offering any items for sale.

dumbass....

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
>
>
> Nighthawk
>
>

3082. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:24:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <qLxG9.3690$Vw3.133840219@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145619

> "Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
> news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the
> > personality.
> >

I think this one fit George a lot better
than his pick.

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame34.html

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3083. Re: OT: Bar jokes...Tastless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:28:15 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1038789461.21283@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 145620

YEA ! The Faggot is Salsa_Lip_Trucker.
He's one of those Arian nation faggots
"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:ql4luu8q5jls30pquakgelul9hgv78u8oh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 1 Dec 2002 03:43:32 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC)
> wrote:
> > A man walks into a rather upscale bar/restaurant and asks the
> >homosexual bartender if they serve black folks there. The queer
> >bartender replies I will ask the Jewish waiter who replies "well yes
> >sir of course we do", then he goes and asks the illegal alien Mexican
> >cook in the kitchen if they have any. Yes sir the Mexican said, but if
> >we didn't I would send the Puerto Rican dish washer who lives with his
> >family of 7 in 1 room out into the back alley with his knife and drag a
> >40 oz. drinking pimp with a big pink hat and a feather in it from his
> >1982 Caddy. The relieved patron says "well I am glad to hear you do. I
> >will have the fish as I don't eat red meat but my dog is not fussy so he
> >will have one medium rare". !
>
> >A TOTALLY TASTELESS JOKE MEANT TO OFFEND EVERYONE INCLUDING YOU . SORRY
> >IF I MISSED
>
> Ok, so then the faggot must be a white guy, right? Right!
>

3084. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:36:17 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <5WxG9.4270$Kw6.2762194@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145615

And what if, just maybe, he wanted to talk to someone else who had a handheld, such as in a buisness? I don't think he would need a masive whip.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uuj3vi18bugie3@corp.supernews.com...
> Matt, I lost the earlier part of the thread. The point I would make is that
> the handheld, used as a handheld with a whip, won't have any reasonable
> range. It will be much more subject to intermod distortion as opposed to a
> bigger radio (whether base or mobile). Yes, they can work, but if he is
> interested in mods, I can't come up with any reason for a handheld. Again,
> poor intermod (especially noticeable with an external antenna - and with the
> whip, any handheld at 27 MHz is almost a joke. No reasonable antenna and
> virtually no ground). Pray tell, what advantage does a handheld have over
> any reasonable 27 MHz CB? MURS will offer a much more efficient antenna as
> well as ground and will be capable of much further range. A 27 MHz handheld
> will be lucky to compete with FRS ... If folks offer advice against the 27
> MHz handheld, there just might be a reason.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:1RfG9.4137$Kw6.2608463@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Most of you are missing his whole point of the post: he wants a handheld
> cb
> > radio. Not mobile, not MURS.
> >
> >
> > "E" <sumpcaver@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:gUYD9.29100$hK4.2506378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Hello all,
> > >
> > > Which hand held CB works best and lends itself to mods? I'm looking for
> a
> > > well built radio...
> > >

> > > -- Erik
>
>
>

3085. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:45:58 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <a_mdnfq_jOz0MHegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145621

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:qLxG9.3690$Vw3.133840219@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
: > "Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
: > news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
: > > Click on each link under the persons name, and see how it fits the
: > > personality.
: > >
:
: I think this one fit George a lot better
: than his pick.
:
: http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame34.html

Sorry Landworm that one was already given out.

3086. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:48:09 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <auqdnZsu9axxMHegXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145622

```
" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:19wG9.2592$4s6.1337546@news.alltel.net...
: sure fits the one's I know
:
: drc

I sure thought so to Dave.
```

3087. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 00:51:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAAEA8.8FAA2F1A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145625

Secret Report wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > You personify the very attitude that permeates cb that Frank is
> > talking about. If that is a bit over your head, it means you are
> > the very type he is referring to.
>
> When is the last time you even used a CB?

Never used a cb
>
> Are you assuming, or do you really know the facts?

All I have to do is tune the 75A-3 receiver to the 11 meter band
and listen.

3088. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 01:00:22 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <NYidnWFcdoRULXegXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145623

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com...
: most of them lose power when modulated

Then in effect they are not doing the watts claimed then are they. The dreaded overdrive backswing theory. LOL... remember if you can dead key it, you can peak it. Maybe they are talking peak watts

3089. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 01:00:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAB0BE.DD63144B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145626

itsa not so secret comcast.com nttp posting host 68.55.6.210
(cox.com - Cox Communications Inc., Atlanta, GA)

When is the last time you even used a CB?

Are you assuming, or do you really know the facts?

3090. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 01:01:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uulc6r9ufm4a76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145624

Matt, I am **not** trying to be argumentative. I have a friend with a construction business that tried just that - using hand held 27 MHz radios. A couple of jokers always would try and run him off. Those wakies we no good for any usable distance (500 feet?). I am simply trying to point out facts. For business use, UHF would probably be the best bet - one has access to repeaters, and even without repeaters, one could expect 5 miles or so between handhelds (farther to a base; how much would depend upon antenna height and local terrain). MURS, while probably running about the same power (2 watts for MURS), offers much more efficient antennas and I would suspect 5 miles between handhelds could be expected; considerably more to a base. As to the 27 MHz handhelds, any reasonable whip will offer such low efficiency that I would suspect the range would be comparable to FRS - assuming no skip, no others using the channel, no strong stations using an adjacent channel. As I mentioned, a very close friend of mine tried using 27 MHz handhelds. I suspect anyone buying them would be very disappointed. Yes, one can connect them to a fixed external antenna - then their signal would be similar to a stock base unit, but I believe they would be more subject to front end overload in the presence of strong signals - and, of course, they are no longer portable.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:5WxG9.4270$Kw6.2762194@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> And what if, just maybe, he wanted to talk to someone else who had a
> handheld, such as in a buisness? I don't think he would need a masive
whip.
>
>

3091. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 03:46:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212011946.56c2ed1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Chuck <k8cpa*NOSPAM*@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<7smiuu8bpp2fl97bioposvcdcccj1n9o4b@4ax.com>...
> On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 23:56:55 GMT, Tom <jc@nospam.com> was blabbling:
>
> ...On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
> ...<k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
> ...
> ...
> ...>REAL Ham's Use CW!
> ...>http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
> ...
> ...
> ...Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.
>
> Tell you what dickhead, you post in here with your REAL NAME and
> CALLSIGN.... and I'll go take a job at burger king monday!
>
> (sarcasm of course...)
>
> that's prolly one of those limp wristed wanna be's from 3.865 night
> crew or from 3.898... like W8GG or WQ4E...either way kiss my arse...
>
>
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

chuckie.......you are not qualified to work at burger king
mudduck......try again........

3092. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 04:34:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAE2D0.1511A137@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145631

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
> >All I have to do is tune the 75A-3 receiver >to the 11 meter band and listen.
> Your so full of shit JJ...Listen? My ass!

You saying I can't listen?

> You talk if you want to and justify it with your own double talk
> bullshit.....go stick your head in an oven...ya Jackass!
> 333 Springfield MO

Spoken like a true cber. You must be cheesecutter's brother.

3093. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 04:54:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YHBG9.80105$Gc.2406436@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145628

"Frank Gilliland" <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com...
> After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> now on.
>
> Toodles.
>

Yawn.....zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

3094. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 05:01:22 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <bl2dnW6ZAMrYdHegXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: chuckie.......you are not qualified to work at burger king
: mudduck......try again........

I heard you today mr jones.

3095. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 05:17:56 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021202001756.21883.00000219@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 145630

>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>All I have to do is tune the 75A-3 receiver >to the 11 meter band and listen.
Your so full of shit JJ...Listen? My ass!
You talk if you want to and justify it with your own double talk
bullshit.....go stick your head in an oven...ya Jackass!
333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

3096. Re: OT: Bar jokes...Tastless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 07:46:27 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asf3eb$7mo$2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145632

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4536-3DE9CBB4-371@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> A TOTALLY TASTELESS JOKE MEANT TO OFFEND EVERYONE INCLUDING YOU .

Let's see...

> homosexual bartender
>
> if they serve black folks there
>
> queer bartender
>
> Jewish waiter
>
> illegal alien Mexican cook
>
> Puerto Rican dish washer
>
> family of 7 in 1 room
>
> 40 oz. drinking pimp with a big pink hat and a feather in it
>
> 1982 Caddy

> SORRY IF I MISSED

Apology accepted.
Try different "ammo", aim better... and try to make it funny next time.

Regards,

Peter.

3097. Check Your Radio for this common Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 07:58:14 UTC
From: Stutterin' Bob <tenfour@goodbuddy.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB1296.4CAC1189@goodbuddy.com>
Source record: 145633

Check this out- You'll get out better.

Many radios take a real beating while being shipped from the maker to the retail outlets. Inside your radio, there are several screws holding things securely to the circuit board. Look for these screws inside little square metal cans. These get loose and make the cans noisy. To really get out, tighten those screws back down.

3098. Re: Type Acceptance..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 09:38:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB2A07.1070601@yahoo.com>
Source record: 145634

I wonder what the 'big deal' is about type acceptance
all of a sudden? It's been around since shortly after
'CB' was created (what, 50 years +/-?).
Type acceptance basically says that unless a radio
transmitter meets certain requirements, it can't be used
for a particular service, each service has different
requirements. It doesn't say anything about 'owning' a
non-type accepted radio, just about using one. It's fairly
common that the FCC considers 'owning' a radio the same as
'using' a radio, so you might think about that, but don't
confuse it with type acceptance.
'Doc

Mad Dog wrote:
> So whats the deal with the new FCC ruling on the type accepted radios.
> Is there a grandfather clause built in or is my 2950 illegal to posess now?
> What are they saying..we cant own or buy or import or operate or what?
> I use mine strictly for 11meter because of it's superb audio characteristics
> and dont have any plans to remove it but i would like to know what the
> guidelines entail..TIA
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>

3099. Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 09:51:44 UTC
From: ntournant@libertysurf.fr
Message-ID: <c3c034ae.0212020151.1bd16641@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

Bonjour ï¿½ tous,
Je m'adresse ï¿½ vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
m'aider: Je possï¿½de un poste CB Prï¿½sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
connectï¿½ un micro prï¿½-amplifiï¿½ EC2018.
Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont lï¿½chï¿½ et j'aimerais rï¿½parer ce
micro.
Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit ï¿½tre soudï¿½ chacun des
fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
Je ne possï¿½de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester trï¿½s basique !
Merci ï¿½ tous de votre collaboration
Nicolas

3100. Re: Ot: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 10:36:43 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0212020236.5edb53eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145636

"Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com>...

> Aaron Voobner
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame76.html
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame54.html

How about this one:

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame57.html

3101. Re: Check Your Radio for this common Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 11:42:06 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <iGHG9.205322$WL3.71331@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 145637

LOL.....About the best one I've seen! Now.....I think I'll put the end of my coax in a glass jar. That will surely protect me if we have a lightning strike. LOL.....Hahahaha!!!

"Stutterin' Bob" <tenfour@goodbuddy.com> wrote in message news:3DEB1296.4CAC1189@goodbuddy.com...
> Check this out- You'll get out better.
>
> Many radios take a real beating while being shipped from the
> maker to the retail outlets. Inside your radio, there are several
> screws holding things securely to the circuit board. Look for
> these screws inside little square metal cans. These get loose
> and make the cans noisy. To really get out, tighten those
> screws back down.

3102. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 12:27:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB51AF.165C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145650

Big Bopper wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:13399-3DE532EE-106@storefull-
>
> Well, Idon't hae to say it to make it true...you took care of that all
> by lonesome.
>
> Heard of spell checker twitsy?
>
> 2) When you say you are going to ignore and killfile someone.....do
> it, elst your lies are becoming as commonplace as your posts..
>
> he had you killfiled he replied via my post just like Landshark and the rest
> of the ipmotent crew says when everyone is supposed to be killed.

Another point of clarification. I never "killfile" anyone. I read any post that I have an interest in. I AM ignoring twisty's little non-sensical responses, as they contain nothing of substance, and arguing with an idiot is counterproductive. I have enough self control and willpower to not respond to some schoolboy, calling me juvenile names. There's no point in it.

I could go on and try to explain some of the finer points of network routing (As much as I know about it, which isn't everything, as it's not my bread and butter), and how messages "hop" between nodes (You know, those computers that make up the internet), and how since my ISP is relatively close to Villanova University, that traffic could conceievably pass though there on its way to wherever it is finally headed. It doesn't mean that I "posted" from there. The only address that's meaningful in the header is the originating address. The rest are just part of the routing chain, something that's done automatically. But he'd only argue it further, even though he understands little of the finer points of TCP/IP. He just scans headers and looks for "something", he doesn't know what exactly it is, but it has to mean something.....

Some people wouldn't know a clue if it were pasted on the end of a baseball bat, and slammed into their heads.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3103. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 12:34:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB5368.3E8D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145653

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Oh, Davey Boy! You claim I'm killfiled,

I never claimed that you were killfiled. I claimed that I'm ignoring
you. And I will, unless I feel a need to make a few comments for my own
amusement. I control what and when I will post.

> you claim you are ignoring me,

Oh, now you finally get it. Big difference between that and a killfile.

> and you post 400 words talking about me.

And you counted them? Hmmm... add OCD to your growing list of psychoses.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3104. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 12:51:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB5765.FDD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145654

JJ wrote:
>
> Tunafish wrote:
>
> >
> > Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who you say
> > insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it, all in the
> > mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet cry
> > about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't
> > make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10 year
> > old.
>
> Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
> is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.

I too, find your change in attitude somewhat surprising. A few short
weeks ago, you had nothing nice to say about CB, the operators, or
anyone who would thumb their nose at FCC regulations. Now, it seems you
are coming just short of defending these scofflaws.

I am curious as to what "enlightened" you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3105. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:14:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB5CA7.62F7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145651

Turkey wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:26655-3DE7D461-358@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> : WA3MOJ wrote:
> : >Lie, and make false claims.. duly noted.<
> :
> : Your mantra.
> :
> : reply to your accusations and point out how it is not hypocritical of
> : anyone to stand up for what is right,
>
> Twisty, you can stand up for what ever you think is right, it is how you go
> about doing it. You calling others all the names you call them then turn
> around and say they are vermin etc for using the same words you used is
> hypocritical. You can stand up for what is right, and not call people names
> like they do. if you do that then you can say we are assholes and call names
> etc. But you do the same thing so you are no better than those you claim are
> foul mouths, and trolls. I just don't understand why you don't get this. I
> can dish it out and take it but don/t call us liar's and name callers when
> you yourself have been proven to lie repeatedly. Call people names
> repeatedly. I don't care what your justification is. it is like saying well
> since he killed someone in my famiy you are allowed to and face no
> punishment. Oh he raped my wife, that means I can go rape his, and not be
> expected to be called a rapist.

To better understand what it is you are dealing with with Twisty, check
out these web pages dealing with NPD:

http://www.successunlimited.co.uk/bully/npd.htm

http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.html

And this one dealing with OCD:

http://www.nimh.nih.gov/publicat/ocd.cfm#ocd1

There are many more if you have the interest. But after reading these,
you'll have a greater understandaing of whom we are dealing with, and
why it is pointless to argue with him.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3106. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:14:49 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB5CC9.AD008099@secret.com>
Source record: 145638

AM or FM does not determine whether a signal is line-of-sight.
This is determined by the frequency of the RF.

AM on 2 meters would still be line-of-sight.

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> Hey Kris, that kind of range can be realized using 11meter but requires
> ideal atmospheric conditions and some expensive equipment.
> Sideband has 4 times the range of AM and may satisfy your needs for
> reliable medium range communications.
> My first love is CB and i use 2meter when parked to talk with the local hams
> that may be using a particular repeater.
> FM has great range but is limited to line of sight,if you cant see him you
> cant
> talk to him..this is why we use repeaters on FM to relay the signal and
> increase range.
> AM or SSB is not limited to line of sight but is affected by atmospheric
> conditions that make it difficult to be heard at long distances using ground
> wave propagation.
> Sky wave propagation has incredible range but limits your closest contact
> point to 900 miles (approx.)
> You got a lot to learn and it will require your full attention if this is
> something you want to explore.
> Go to the library and get some books on amateur radio and obtain your
> No-code technician class license..then you can reap the benefits of HF
> communication.
> I would invest in a superb sideband rig for the car and house and see where
> it takes you.
> --
> KG4LBD
> aka 714 Sandpile
> aka Mad Dog
>
> "Kris" <krisclark349@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qg4buuosv9v9iubjqc6o6pkdnrhpnas45d@4ax.com...
> > hi!
> >
> >
> > I have always been facinated and have enjoyed cb radio as a hobby
> > before. This time, I would like to invest a bit more money on a cb
> > radio set up for my vehicle. I would like to get a radio that doesnt
> > require a massive antenna for my truck, a radio capable of
> > send/receive signal at around 200k radius. I travel such distances on
> > a daily basis, and thought this would be a great cheap way to stay

> > contact with the family at home. Do you guys recommend anything out
> > there that will fit this little job? Please note, I would like to use
> > the smallest antenna possible (if its possible)
> >
> >
> > TIA!
> >

3107. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:22:17 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB5E89.E612FF8A@secret.com>
Source record: 145639

JJ wrote:
>
> itsa not so secret comcast.com nttp posting host 68.55.6.210
> (cox.com - Cox Communications Inc., Atlanta, GA)

Comcast is in Philadelphia. Do you like Cox?

> When is the last time you even used a CB?
>
> Are you assuming, or do you really know the facts?

3108. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:24:51 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB5F23.A04E0A4A@secret.com>
Source record: 145640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

TRANSLATE PLEASE!!!

Nicolas wrote:
>
> Bonjour ï¿½ tous,
> Je m'adresse ï¿½ vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
> m'aider: Je possï¿½de un poste CB Prï¿½sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
> connectï¿½ un micro prï¿½-amplifiï¿½ EC2018.
> Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont lï¿½chï¿½ et j'aimerais rï¿½parer ce
> micro.
> Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit ï¿½tre soudï¿½ chacun des
> fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
> Je ne possï¿½de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
> le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester trï¿½s basique !
> Merci ï¿½ tous de votre collaboration
> Nicolas

3109. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:38:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212020538.e1ca416@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145641

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in message
news:<3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com>...
> After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> now on.
>
> Toodles.

What he really means: "After a couple years of active trolling, it's clear that this forum is not receptive to my huge ego and doesn't pay attention to FCC Rules & Regs." It took you that long to figure it out, Frankie?

Not everybody shares your narrow beliefs, not even the hams. Maybe if you stopped trying to push your life-style on others and accepted the obvious "to each his/her own" you'd be better off and have more fun; you'll have to do the same on the hambands, you know. However, you have made yourself irrelevant to the majority of the legitimate users of the newsgroup, the same as Aanal Aaron H. Voobner did. Bye now. Don't let the door hit ya in the butt on your way out!

3110. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:38:47 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <HnJG9.203309$NH2.13741@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB5F23.A04E0A4A@secret.com...
> TRANSLATE PLEASE!!!
>
> Nicolas wrote:
> >
> > Bonjour tous,
> > Je m'adresse vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
> > m'aider: Je poss de un poste CB Pr sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
> > connect un micro pr -amplifi EC2018.
> > Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont l ch et j'aimerais r parer ce
> > micro.
> > Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit tre soud chacun des
> > fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
> > Je ne poss de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
> > le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester tr s basique !
> > Merci tous de votre collaboration
> > Nicolas

Nicolas,

Just understanding bits and pieces. Yes you can put an EC2018 on your president Taylor. I am guessing the wiring diagram, (because I am unfamiliar with the radio) is common to cobra/uniden/ most president radio's. 4 or 5 pin.

Just make sure that you adjust your modulation with the mic gain on the radio as opposed to the volume on the mic.

Good luck. That's what I think you are asking.

Deb

3111. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:54:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB65FA.6675@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145652

Matt wrote:
>
> And what if, just maybe, he wanted to talk to someone else who had a
> handheld, such as in a buisness? I don't think he would need a masive whip.
>

If someone wanted to use handheld 2-way radios for business use, or personal use, such as keeping track of the kids at the mall, 27 Mhz CB handhelds would not be the ideal solution. Here's my reasons why:

First off, CB handhelds use AM, which is highly subject to atmospheric and man-made noise. Using them inside around flourecent lights, computers, or other electrical equipment will definitely reduce receiver performance.

Secondly, short, "rubber duck" style antennas are very inefficient at 27 Mhz, resulting in reduced operating range. Where a 5 watt walkie-talkie with a 5 foot center loaded antenna, can get up to about a 5 to 6 mile range in the right conditions, the same radio with a "rubber duck" will be lucky to get 1 mile.

27 Mhz CB handhelds have to also contend with varying conditions and interference from both local and skip operators. Your handheld to handheld range will not be very good if the skip is bringing in DX stations at an equivilent signal level to a local less than a mile away, right over top of your other unit.

If you truly want to use portable radios for keeping in touch, you would be better off with either MURS or FRS/GMRS radios. These offer FM, for reduced noise degradation, and higher frequencies for less atmospheric and man made noise interference. They can also use rubber duck antennas with less reduction in performance due to their operating length being closer to a quarterwave at UHF frequencies. Range on a typical FRS radio is 1/2 to 1 mile inside buildings, with 2 miles being typical for outdoors. Skip interference is virtually non-existant, and with CTCSS tones, you can set your radios up to only hear who you want to hear.

Of course if you WANT to be on the 27 Mhz band for your own reasons, then, a handheld CB might be ok. The best performance addition you can make, is to replace the rubber duck with a loaded quarterwave telescopic antenna.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3112. Re: Check Your Radio for this common Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:57:46 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <uFJG9.2703$4s6.1383473@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145643

I always do that.....

drc

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:iGHG9.205322$WL3.71331@rwcrnsc54...
> LOL.....About the best one I've seen! Now.....I think I'll put the
end
> of my coax in a glass jar. That will surely protect me if we have a
> lightning strike. LOL.....Hahahaha!!!
>
>
> "Stutterin' Bob" <tenfour@goodbuddy.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB1296.4CAC1189@goodbuddy.com...
> > Check this out- You'll get out better.
> >
> > Many radios take a real beating while being shipped from the
> > maker to the retail outlets. Inside your radio, there are several
> > screws holding things securely to the circuit board. Look for
> > these screws inside little square metal cans. These get loose
> > and make the cans noisy. To really get out, tighten those
> > screws back down.
>
>

3113. Re: lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 13:58:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB671E.2FC5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145655

```
Landshark wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE50858.77B7@worldlynx.net...
> > Landshark wrote:
> > >
> > > "LoStInSpAcE1827" <lostinspace1827@cs.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021126201545.02824.00000094@mb-cq.news.cs.com...
> > > > this is a test
> > >
> > > Oh the pain, the pain.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> >
> > R.I.P, Johnathan Harris (AKA Dr. Smith)
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> I guess I shouldn't be surprised, but I thought
> he was still alive, oh well.
>

It was very recent, within the last month or so.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
```

3114. Tubes Anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 14:04:22 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <o2qmuucmu168a2dlnusjiflarqgcvsjdd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 145644

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/tubesandmisc.htm

73
-Chuck K8CPA
Biz Page:
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/tubesandmisc.htm
Other Stuff:
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa

3115. Re: Rig help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 14:25:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB6D6F.39E8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145657

Twistedhed wrote:
>
>
> Regardless, all mods do not require an accute education in electronics
> and some are quite simple.....the Cherokee and knock-off Tomahawk come
> to mind immediately..change a jumper and "ta-daa"..instant 400 plus
> freqs.....the Tomahawk has FM whereas the Cherokee has 10 weather
> bands.. simple mods aren't real poular with some "techs" because they
> aren't needed until a repair is necessary.

This I can agree with 100%

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3116. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 14:33:23 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212020633.5bb18b73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145645

timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message news:<20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> most of them lose power when modulated
>
> > how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.
> >
> >

not to mention what it does to the car engine, especially when that beast starts feeding.....can you say broken crank shaft????.....i thought you could.....there is a old saying.....'money doesn't grow on trees'.....well you better hope you have a money tree in your back yard if you ever decide to do this kind of stuff.....no doubt about it.....

3117. Alan F24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 14:40:35 UTC
From: grzesma <grzesma@poczta.fm>
Message-ID: <asifqj$su7$1@news2.tpi.pl>
Source record: 145831

Hi
Where I may get a schematic to Alan F 24 mic???

3118. Re: Oral defecations/Ornithological cuisine..by KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 14:56:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021202095630.23142.00000266@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 145646

lee, you forged alot messages. we all know it. remember my old sig file?

>
>You do. You like to dredge up old posts and claim that you were forged
>by somebody else. Of course you never could figure out who it was, and
>still can't. You like to point to message headers and clim they came
>from so-and-so, but you really can't prove it can you when pressed on
>the subject.
>
>So I'll state my challenge once again Twirted:
>
>Just prove THIS MESSAGE was posted by me.
>
>Good luck. Of course anything you come up with has to be independently
>verifiable by other interested parties.
>
>You can also quit the silly games with the extra white space in your
>posts etc. Everybody knows you do that, and others things, in a vain
>attempt to stop people from copying your posting style. Its really
>stupid on your part. If nobody else knows what you do "special" then
>nobody can tell if its really you, so you're screwed. Of course if
>somebody can hack your style once they can do it again. Then again
>maybe it was just you crying for attention.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

3119. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:07:47 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <LZ-cnWDnYbv66nagXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145647

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message :
: "After a couple years of active trolling,

Hey dickhead what Rock you been hiding under long time no troll, i see you have been an active troll for years.

3120. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:31:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB7CE0.4F7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145658

Bobean Boyed wrote:
>
> have any cbers out ther have any luck on the kits like super mods
> super talk .inside the radio . just wodering

They're cheap and easy to do (Just so you don't fall for the truckstop CB shop line of "Extensive modification = $$$$").

What they essentially do is lower your dead key power, without lowering your full peak modulation power. This allows a higher percentage of modulation percentage compared to the now lower carrier, which is percieved by the receiving end as being louder. The other plus is that the lower dead key allows you to drive an amplifier withour driving it into compression.

The downsides:
In order to see the maximum swing effect, it requires that the modulation limiter be disabled (aka clipped). removing the mod limiter introduces splatter into the picture, as the negative peak of the modulation tries to mimic the positive peak, but gets severely clipped as it hits the zero power point. This causes the signal to emit harmonics of the various audio frequencies that can be up to 100 Khz or so wide.

Also, someone who is running a widely "swinging" signal is harder to copy in the distance as their signal jumps from in the noise to out of the noise, and plays hell with the AGC in the reciever. Also, some swing mods have been known to adversely affect certain noise blanker circuits in the received radio. With the noise blanker on, the "swinger" sounds severely scratchy and distorted. Turning off the blanker clears up the problem. This is likely related to the splatter componenets which are being interpreted as noise pulses in the blanker's detector.

IMHO a "swing kit", is nothing more than a novelty mode. It really does little to enhance your range, and is fraught with problems. Many people like to see the meter swing when they talk, and a small amount of forward swing, if done properly, is good. But like many good audio and RF techniques, when it hits the CB band, they get taken to extreme, and most of the benefits are negated by the side effects.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3121. Re: WTD: Metrotek CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:33:18 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <23LG9.35210$vM1.2689895@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

I remember that Colt23; that one had a tunable dual-conversion receiver. It was a good one.

3122. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:34:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB7D69.5457@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145659

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> True... but don't leave out the main advantage... tremendous
> percentage of modulation.

Hell, if that's all you're looking for, try SSB. All modulation.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3123. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:38:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB7E7B.4DC1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145656

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> distortion?

Easy, look at the negative modulation trough. While the positive peak can be allowed to rise until there is no more audio power, the negative trough cannot go below the zero power cutoff. Unless you have the ability to offset the center of the modulation, so that the negative trough does not exceed zero (Which cannot be achieved using simple CB "Swing" mods), you will cause splatter and distortion.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3124. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 15:49:09 UTC
From: dddianenoel@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ce161eb2.0212020749.104a5bde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145649

Come on now Frank, you really don't think we are foolish enough to think you are leaving? No life worthless assholes like you thrive on trolling in this NG. As long as you are trolling in here and getting responses it makes you feel like you are accomplishing something. You aren't going anywhere.....

3125. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 16:00:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB8381.14ADCAE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145662

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Tunafish wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who you say
> > > insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it, all in the
> > > mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet cry
> > > about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs don't
> > > make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10 year
> > > old.
> >
> > Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
> > is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.
>
> I too, find your change in attitude somewhat surprising. A few short
> weeks ago, you had nothing nice to say about CB, the operators, or
> anyone who would thumb their nose at FCC regulations. Now, it seems you
> are coming just short of defending these scofflaws.
>
> I am curious as to what "enlightened" you?

It is the holiday season, that makes me do crazy things. All I was
defending is the fact that charlie the tuna accused them of
condemning those who operate legally, but that is not true. If so
please prove me wrong. I still don't have any good things to say
about illegal operation, others don't see it that way, nothing I
can do about that, but doesn't keep me from saying what I think.
What I said above is true, the group is a virtual butt kicking
contest, either deal with it or move on.

3126. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 16:05:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB84E7.5A8F0E38@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145663

```
Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> > Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> > distortion?
>
> Easy, look at the negative modulation trough. While the positive peak
> can be allowed to rise until there is no more audio power, the negative
> trough cannot go below the zero power cutoff. Unless you have the
> ability to offset the center of the modulation, so that the negative
> trough does not exceed zero (Which cannot be achieved using simple CB
> "Swing" mods), you will cause splatter and distortion.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

But it really makes those output meters and s-meters swing, so it
must be a 'big signal'.
```

3127. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 16:08:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021202110809.19614.00000185@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 145660

dave i thought you said before it wasnt possible for a skip signal to be stronger than locals?

>Your handheld to
>handheld range will not be very good if the skip is bringing in DX
>stations at an equivilent signal level to a local less than a mile away,
>right over top of your other unit.

3128. Re: basic question about CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 16:10:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB85DE.1015D309@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145664

Mad Dog wrote:

> AM or SSB is not limited to line of sight but is affected by atmospheric
> conditions that make it difficult to be heard at long distances using ground
> wave propagation.

Go back to the books, AM or SSB at VHF/UHF is line of site. It
isn't the mode that determines line of site, it is the frequency.
Hams use FM on 10 meters and make contacts via skip just like they
do on SSB.

3129. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 16:12:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB8652.630F0FA5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145665

chessecutter wrote:
>
> timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> > most of them lose power when modulated
> >
> > > how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.
> > >
> > >
>
> not to mention what it does to the car engine, especially when that
> beast starts feeding.......can you say broken crank shaft????.......i
> thought you could.................there is a old saying........'money
> doesn't grow on trees'.........well you better hope you have a money
> tree in your back yard if you ever decide to do this kind of
> stuff.........no doubt about it......

And what is the purpose? Just so you can have bragging rights that
you produced a stronger signal than some other clown for a few
seconds? I can certainly think of better uses for several thousand
dollars.

3130. Regency BTH-201 VHF FM Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 17:03:10 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asgcc5$3l6u$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145668

Hello,

I just picked this old transceiver up and was wondering if any of you could give me some info on this item. i.e. frequency coverage. I do not see an tuner to tune the radio to various frq's.

Any other info is greatly appreciated!

Thanks,

Cowboy67

3131. !!Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 17:13:13 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JwMG9.551$kz2.79403@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145661

"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0211182344.7db8d0de@posting.google.com...
: "The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<cXmB9.386$%32.14062@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
: > I have a very good selection of CB, Ham, Audio, Sweep and Transmitting
: > tubes.
: > Please Email me with your needs....include tube number as well as quanity of
: > each.
: >
: > THANX
: > thetubeman@earthlink.net <<click to send email<<
:
:
:
: I can beat your prices any fucking day of the week, I can sell 6LQ6's
: for 45.00 bucks all day long and still make money...
:
: so there!
:
: -chuck
:
CHUCK;

I don't know you and you don't know me SO don't know where you got that nasty attitude but
you need
to 'chill out' and get your facts in a row before you make FALSE statements....

FYI I sell new USA made 6LQ6 for $35 all day long and have for years

so there!!

3132. D&A RDX-75 ?? meter CW Transceiver info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 17:13:47 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asgd01$3n74$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145669

Howdy all,

I just picked up this XCVR. Was just curious about it's specs, FRQ, etc...

Any info would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks!

Cowboy67

3133. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 18:23:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBA52D.39FB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145666

Who Would Have Guessed wrote:
>
> dave i thought you said before it wasnt possible for a skip signal to be
> stronger than locals?

That depends on what your definition of "local" is, and the equipment they are running. If the "local" is someone running a 5 watt HT with a rubber duck antenna, a mile away, then yes, a skip station can cover him up. If the "Local" is someone running a 4 watt base station, 15 miles away, then he too, will be covered up by skip, if the "skip" is made up of mostly people running excessive power (We don't know any of them do we?). BUT, if the local is a 4 watt base station, a half mile away, he's not going to get covered up by a skip station also running 4 watts due to the increased path loss that a skip signal will incur over that of a direct line of sight local.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3134. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 18:42:47 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0212021042.5130189c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

"Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com>...
> The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> missign it!
> Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
>
> Honorably,
> Joshua L. McDowell
> http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/

Report it to the FBI!

http://www.frs-usa.com

3135. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 19:02:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBAE2E.8CE0127B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145672

Balls Mahoney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEB8381.14ADCAE@mailcity.net...
>
> : It is the holiday season, that makes me do crazy things. All I was
> : defending is the fact that charlie the tuna accused them of
> : condemning those who operate legally, but that is not true.
>
> You are correct John Perry that is not true that is not at all what I said.
> You are now suffering from the Twistedhed syndrome.

Who is John Perry?

3136. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 19:23:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBB34E.827FDBC1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145675

NoBalls Baloney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEB8381.14ADCAE@mailcity.net...
>
> : It is the holiday season, that makes me do crazy things. All I was
> : defending is the fact that charlie the tuna accused them of
> : condemning those who operate legally, but that is not true.

>
> : If so please prove me wrong.
>
> I just did and have all along said the exact same thing.

You haven't proved anything boob brains dickieboy, prove to me
where twistie, mopar, or landshark has condemned anyone for
operating legally.

3137. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 20:28:41 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <DqSdnX2R1IUAX3agXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145670

"Secret Agent Man" <dddianenoel@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ce161eb2.0212020749.104a5bde@posting.google.com...
: Come on now Frank, you really don't think we are foolish enough to
: think you are leaving? No life worthless assholes like you thrive on
: trolling in this NG. As long as you are trolling in here and getting
: responses it makes you feel like you are accomplishing something. You
: aren't going anywhere.....

Spoken, from a man with experiance at trolling I see.

3138. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 20:38:43 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <arqcnVCM5KJqWXagXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145671

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEB8381.14ADCAE@mailcity.net...

: It is the holiday season, that makes me do crazy things. All I was
: defending is the fact that charlie the tuna accused them of
: condemning those who operate legally, but that is not true.

You are correct John Perry that is not true that is not at all what I said.
You are now suffering from the Twistedhed syndrome.

Perhaps you can't read either. My accusation is they call myself, leland etc
liars, and say we are name callers, insult hurlers, when they in turn do the
exact same thing they turn around and call us the same names and hurl
insults. It has nothing to do with them accusing anyone of operating
legally. Again, that is not what I said.

: If so please prove me wrong.

I just did and have all along said the exact same thing.

: What I said above is true,

Perhaps in your mind, you think it is I never accused them of condeming
those who operate illegally, that was your call.

: the group is a virtual butt kicking contest, either deal with it or move
on.

I suggest mr Perry you do the same thing.

3139. Re: Tubes Anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 21:07:38 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021307.55bd5ad0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145673

Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<o2qmuucmu168a2dlnusjiflarqgcvsjdd7@4ax.com>...
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/tubesandmisc.htm
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> Biz Page:
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/tubesandmisc.htm
> Other Stuff:
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa

oh good gobbly goop.....can it be???? the return of chuckie's two
way??? oh noooooooooooooooooooooooo!!!!!!!!!!!

3140. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:10:42 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <CTQG9.4485$Kw6.2932335@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145674

Everyone here, including myself, is getting off of the point. He asked for a CB that is easy to modify. We don't know what he is going to use it for, but the best that can be done is we just answer his question and not go off track. To answer the question, I have a maxon handheld that was pretty inexpensive, and it sucks. I would go with cobra.

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DEBA52D.39FB@worldlynx.net...
> Who Would Have Guessed wrote:
> >
> > dave i thought you said before it wasnt possible for a skip signal to be
> > stronger than locals?
>
>
> That depends on what your definition of "local" is, and the equipment
> they are running. If the "local" is someone running a 5 watt HT with a
> rubber duck antenna, a mile away, then yes, a skip station can cover him
> up. If the "Local" is someone running a 4 watt base station, 15 miles
> away, then he too, will be covered up by skip, if the "skip" is made up
> of mostly people running excessive power (We don't know any of them do
> we?). BUT, if the local is a 4 watt base station, a half mile away, he's
> not going to get covered up by a skip station also running 4 watts due
> to the increased path loss that a skip signal will incur over that of a
> direct line of sight local.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

3141. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:20:00 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <x52cnfM73PUpQXagXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145676

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021202163918.792$Qx@newsreader.com...
: "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
: >> Spoken, from a man with experiance at trolling I see.
: >
: You call everyone a troll.

Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG

: I call you a punk.

Whatever floats your dingy

3142. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:24:04 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <AL-cnW8fhP01QHagXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145677

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202165451.042
: >
: >> And what is the purpose? Just so you can have bragging rights that
: > you produced a stronger signal than some other clown for a few
: > seconds? I can certainly think of better uses for several thousand
: > dollars.
: >
: That's what they say about race cars too.

Big difference, Drag racing is legal and has rules and a governing body.

3143. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:24:43 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <P9SdnRjf3O5TQHagXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145678

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBAE2E.8CE0127B@mailcity.net...

: Who is John Perry?

You are !

3144. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:26:24 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <GOqdnXMoQbmpQ3agXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145679

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBB34E.827FDBC1@mailcity.net...

: > I just did and have all along said the exact same thing.
:
: You haven't proved anything boob brains dickieboy, prove to me
: where twistie, mopar, or landshark has condemned anyone for
: operating legally.

Thats the point John Perry, I never ever said anything of the sort so
proving that to you will be hard.
I see your comprehension skills are ranked up there with your twisty, your
his new protege.

3145. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:27:02 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ToWdnVElG6rHQ3agXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145680

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202164213.479
: >
: >> You haven't proved anything boob brains dickieboy, prove to me
: > where twistie, mopar, or landshark has condemned anyone for
: > operating legally.
: >
: Never happened.

Your right Steve I never ever made the claim old John Perry made.

3146. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:30:16 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <dROdnWskUouBQnagXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145681

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202163311.260

: Notice how he warned you about us CBer's...then broadcasted your personal
: name to the group?

How do you know that is his name, he didn't acknowledge it

: Who should you be concerned with? hmmm..

He should be concerned with those he phuqqs with, he is insinuating I said
something that I never did.

: George doesn't care what the topic is..he'll do his best to start an
: argument about whatever..

Yeah your a real saint, asshole.

: Guess he told you,Mr Perry.

he is good in the book look him up he lives in Texas, John A Perry.

3147. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:31:23 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <BuWdnciAJarDQnagXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145682

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202172844.704
> Big difference, Drag racing is legal and has rules and a governing body.
: >
: Some is,some ain't. All equate to the more $$$ you spend, the more power
: you have.

True, street racing is like a keydown run what ya brung. My car has Mopar
than your does.

3148. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:33:20 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <L_udnZPBsuxOQnagXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145683

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202172448.235

: Seems to fit..

who, Twisty, yes it does seem to fit him.

he could be a nice guy,I've tried to coax him into it.

Steve what do you mean I could be ? There goes your Christmas gift

3149. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:35:51 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <J-ydnUw3xKb2fXagXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145685

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021202171936.412$GD@newsreader.com...
: "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
: > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message :
: > : "After a couple years of active trolling,
: >
: > Hey dickhead what Rock you been hiding under long time no troll, i see
: > you have been an active troll for years.
: >
: Is george in charge of letting us know if someone is a troll now? I didn't
: get the memo..

Yes, I have been appointed. I want to help you in keeping this NG free of
trolls, Flamers, grammar mistakes, proper NG etiquitte. You have control
over a few, so i will take the rest to ease your burden. 10-4?

3150. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:35:52 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <cfRG9.936$QI3.290@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145684

Please try the following link. Sorry about any problems.

http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92

73

Nighthawk

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:UiyG9.491$_x2.199994420@news.inreach.com...
> Items for Sale by nighthawk(117)
> View text-only format
> 0 items found.
>
>
>
>
>
> All items
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Auctions
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Buy It Now
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Picture hide Item Title Price Bids Time (Ends PST)
>
>

>
> This seller is not currently offering any items for sale.
>
>
> dumbass....
>
>
>
>
>
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
> news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
> >
> >
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> > 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
> >
> >
> > Nighthawk
> >
> >
>
>

3151. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:36:35 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <KrSdnXCEa80LfXagXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145686

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021202171531.032$Dw@newsreader.com...
: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
: > Brian Griffey wrote:
: > >
: > > True... but don't leave out the main advantage... tremendous
: > > percentage of modulation.
: >
: > Hell, if that's all you're looking for, try SSB. All modulation.....
: >
: That's what I'm talking about...

Balanced Modulator

Yeah baby what he said!

3152. CB items for sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:38:40 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <QhRG9.937$xI3.347@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145687

CB items for sale.
Sorry for any problems on my past post. Try this link it should be better.

http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92

73

Nighthawk

3153. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:43:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DEBE202-880@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145690

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Big Bopper wrote:
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DE532EE-106@storefull-
Well, I don't have to say it to make it true...you took care of that all
by lonesome.

2) When you say you are going to ignore and killfile
someone.....do
it, elst your lies are becoming as commonplace as your posts..

>he had you killfiled he replied via my post just >like Landshark and
the rest of the ipmotent >crew says when everyone is supposed to be
>killed.
--
>Another point of clarification. I never "killfile" >anyone.

You're nitpicking. Whatever.
Ignore, killfile,,,the implication is the same,,you claimed you were
ignoring me but wrote an essay stemming from your personal problems.

>I read any post that I have an interest in.

But as usual, that isn't what you claimed.
Only you can have an interest in my posts while simultaneously ignoring
me.

>I AM ignoring twisty's little non-sensical >responses, as they contain
nothing of >substance, and arguing with an idiot is >counterproductive.

Ohh..I see,,,you're not ignoring me at all, just being selective in
which of my posts you reply to. Cool. But it is quite different than
ignoring, despite your attempt to merge and fudge what you said.
You go on selectively replying to me (after all, that is what
participation requires in a ng..glad you figured it out) and I'll
continue to repost your own posts that are self-contradicting without
making a comment..that way, you will have nothing personal to cry about
and can distant yourself from anymore lies.

>I have enough self control and willpower to not >respond to some
schoolboy, calling me >juvenile names. There's no point in it.

You drew first blood with the name calling..I merely returned it to you,
Davey boy. It's not my fault you lie,,I didn't invent the term
"liar"....just used it when it applied.,,this in turn caused you to go
spastic withoff-topic insults and comments of a personal nature. If you
have a problem with truth maybe you should change your hypocritical ways

and comments.

>I could go on and try to explain some of the >finer points of network routing (As much as I >know about it, which isn't everything, as it's not >my bread and butter), and how messages >"hop" between nodes (You know, those >computers that make up the internet), and >how since my ISP is relatively close to >Villanova University, that traffic could >conceievably pass though there on its way to >wherever it is finally headed.

Umm..Dave..can you name a single person you think believes this shit? Your arrogance is just one reason you are viewed as such a stain to hammie radio.....your refusal/inability to recognize such is but one in a long line of other reasons.

>It doesn't mean that I "posted" from there.

Nope, it sure doesn't...but it damn sho' means you are a liar...and have certified as much.

>Some people wouldn't know a clue if it were >pasted on the end of a baseball bat, and >slammed into their heads.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Such violent thoughts. Coming from a lying psycho like you, I would expect nothing less.
Now manage some gumption and quit breaking your self-imposed shun .
Your assumption that one needs your respect or accolades is par for your arrogance, expected of your ignorance. I am totally content illuminating you cock-a-roaches when I turn on the bright light and watching you scatter and run to hide and filter.

You and George cry crocodile tears about getting back what you dish out. For instance, I understand perfectly well that many of my posts to you and Georgey-boy come off as egotistical. I am also aware that boasting about how I force you to claim you ignore me and then show otherwise is an extreme irritant to you.
If truth were of consequence with you, Dave, and anyone paid any real attention to you besides myself and my prized litter, they would see repeated insults peppered throughout your posting history for no reason other than you disagreeing with another.
While you indeed have every right to portray yourself as whatever the hell you wish, flame actions such as yours often cause much angst, so much in fact, that newbies may not want to attempt a post for fear of retaliation in the form shown and perfected by you.
Does any of this make any sense to you, Davey-boy?

There is indeed a method to my madness and it has been expressed it several times. The fact yourself, Frank, KC8LDO, N8WWM, and WA3MOJ have the inability to comprehend or see it, is not my fault. It doesn't get any simpler...treat those as you wish to be treated. Now, run and practice some of that gumption...

3154. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:48:43 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3DEBE34B.44970574@USA.net>
Source record: 145688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Come on guys, grow up. Chuck was advising us of a thief among us.

If you don't like it or take him serious just back off and be nice.

3155. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 22:56:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DEBE508-882@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145689

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland)
>After a couple years of active participation, it's >clear that this
>forum is nothing more than a medium for the >promotion of illegal
operation and the >proliferation of technical voodoo.

You always use that word when you lose a debate concerning electronic
applications as applies to radio.

>There is almost no discussion of the intended >and practical uses of CB
>radio, the fundamental purpose of which is >easy-to-use, low-power,
license-free radio >communication.

Exactly. This is because cb radio is no longer used as its original
intention. The group has kept up with the evolution of cb. It has
evolved rather nicely. The good stuff is just out of your
reach.....invitation only.

>Instead, discussions are about using the band >for RF drag racing,

>It happens, Frank. You were warned denial >and attempted self-imposed
censorship would >fail.

>and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get >their license.

Licensing has nothing to do with cb and not one of the regs here
expressed a desire concerning obtaining their license who did not do
it...except you...but you always had a license.......never did come to
figure out why you were so full of piss and malice and lies, but then
again, it never mattered much.

>From participating in this newsgroup, it seems >that CB radio has
>attracted its recent popularity from this new >'gimme' generation

Kind of like when you began acting out in here with the "gimme my own
forum" and "gimme Twists addy" and "gimme people to follow me to my new
moderated (read: censored) forum I wanna start." You've been crazy ever
since.

>("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to >pay for it!"),

That wouldn't surprise anyone, Frank, it holds quite well with your
reign of terror when things are not going your way.

>If that's what CB radio has become then I'll >leave you all to roll
>happily in your squalor,

Got news for ya' frank mah boy...as soon as you are gone, the window is
getting opened and the place will air out just fine......the squalor you

speak of moves when you do. Sort of like the cloud of stank that follows pigpen.

>and instead of trying to use facts and reason >in my efforts I'll be >using DF equipment from now on.

Your efforts have never consisted of facts and reason. Your tool of choice isn't of much consequence.

>Toodles.<

Losing ISPS as much as you do can get costly. Can't say I blame you, but no one believes you are going anywhere, Frank. You're probably about to unleash an entire campaign or harassment blitz on all that you can't comprehend or that of which makes you crazy.....don't let the door hit ya' where the good Lord split ya'....you know where to find me....talking over Lelnad's paltry deadkeying attempts.

3156. Re: D&A RDX-75 ?? meter CW Transceiver info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:10:41 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ash1t7$46bn$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145708

Okayyyyy...Now if anyone would be kind enough to give me any info.

Thanks,

cowboy67

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212021622.775820a4@posting.google.com...
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<asgd01$3n74$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > Howdy all,
> >
> > I just picked up this XCVR. Was just curious about it's specs, FRQ,
etc...
> >
> > Any info would be greatly appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > Cowboy67
>
> forget about it and throw it in the trash..........

3157. Re: Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:18:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DEBEA55-886@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145692

From: timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed)
dave i thought you said before it wasnt possible for a skip signal to be
stronger than locals?
--

He did..but it isn't what he "meant".

3158. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:27:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DEBEC58-888@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145693

Moparholic wrote:
>Seems to fit..he could be a nice guy,I've tried >to coax him into it.
>To no avail.

You tried what with who?

3159. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:29:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBECCC.D2B825AC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145705

NoBalls Baloney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBAE2E.8CE0127B@mailcity.net...
>
> : Who is John Perry?
>
> You are !

Then my birth certificate must be wrong. You are so far off base
it isn't even funny. I have no idea who John Perry is, do you?
Evidently not.

3160. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:32:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBED86.66C5A571@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145706

Balls Mahoney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBB34E.827FDBC1@mailcity.net...
>
> : > I just did and have all along said the exact same thing.
> :
> : You haven't proved anything boob brains dickieboy, prove to me
> : where twistie, mopar, or landshark has condemned anyone for
> : operating legally.
>
> Thats the point John Perry, I never ever said anything of the sort so
> proving that to you will be hard.
> I see your comprehension skills are ranked up there with your twisty, your
> his new protege.

I keep looking around but I can't for the life of me figure out
who you are talking to. I see your ability to know who you are
talking to ranks up there with your IQ which is equal to your shoe
size.

3161. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:34:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBEE12.87993FC5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145707

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "NoBalls Baloney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
> >> he is good in the book look him up he lives in Texas, John A Perry.
> > >
> > Is that you,JJ?

I guess I am not JP as I don't live in Texas.

3162. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:37:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5089-3DEBEEC7-744@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145691

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Balls Mahoney)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202163311.260
Notice how he warned you about us CBer's...then broadcasted your
personal name to the group?
Who should you be concerned with? hmmm..

>He should be concerned with those he phuqqs >with,
--

What are you insinuating?

"George doesn't care what the topic is..he'll do his best to start an
argument about whatever.."

>Yeah your a real saint, asshole.

"Guess he told you,Mr Perry."

>he is good in the book look him up he lives in >Texas, John A Perry.

That identity thing is a serious obsession with you clowns.....not very
effective anymore when you turn around and accuse the obsession of
belonging to another, regardless how many times you attempt to repeat
it.

There are a few sheople in this newsgroup who have decided to take it
upon themselves to be the self-professed leaders of what is "right".
They become unglued when an idea is passionately expressed and not of
their liking or way of thinking. Such talk is intolerable to these few
communicative-challenged souls.
They wish one would conform to their way of thinking, and if not, they
want for them
go away. By refusing to do so, my methods of training have been
obviously successful.

They learned they can not get away with such juvenile behavior. I choose
to attempt to discuss issues. They go off-topic and become insultive.
When it is returned, I am accused by a hypocrite of being a hypocrite.
As Georgie and Lelnad and Davey-boy Hall continue with off topic
insultive posts, I will focus on their behavior from their own posts,
not unfounded accusations and namecalling.
To me, this is the way it has always been, from the bully in the school
yard to the these lowlives among us..... not allowing theses thugs to
shout or intimidate one down is the best defense one can employ.
Sometimes the best defense is a little offense. Yea, it can muddy the
water on occasion, but perhaps if enough takes place, others will see
not all are willing to run and hide and will begin to express opinions

freely without fear of retaliation employed by each and everyone of you that "aaron" named. I will not stand idly by while this type behavior is employed. Deal with it.

3163. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:41:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBEF92.37A75BE3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145709

NoBalls Baloney wrote:

> Yes, I have been appointed. I want to help you in keeping this NG free of
> trolls, Flamers, grammar mistakes, proper NG etiquitte. You have control
> over a few, so i will take the rest to ease your burden. 10-4?

If you want to help learn when to capitalize I, as in 'so I will'.

3164. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:42:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBEFF7.40F1CAB0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145710

Balls Mahoney wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021202163918.792$Qx@newsreader.com...
> : "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
> : >> Spoken, from a man with experiance at trolling I see.
> : >
> : You call everyone a troll.
>
> Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG

The word troll in your sentence does not need to be capitalized.

3165. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:46:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBF0E0.1D37581D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145711

chessecutter wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEB84E7.5A8F0E38@mailcity.net>...
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian Griffey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> > > > Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> > > > distortion?
> > >
> > > Easy, look at the negative modulation trough. While the positive peak
> > > can be allowed to rise until there is no more audio power, the negative
> > > trough cannot go below the zero power cutoff. Unless you have the
> > > ability to offset the center of the modulation, so that the negative
> > > trough does not exceed zero (Which cannot be achieved using simple CB
> > > "Swing" mods), you will cause splatter and distortion.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> >
> > But it really makes those output meters and s-meters swing, so it
> > must be a 'big signal'.
>
> well jj it is nice to see you have found somebody to be a kindred
> spirit with ......bravisimo mudduck......now then i can think of only
> one swing kit that would be good for you..and it includes a rope with
> a noose in it and a horse, and a hanging tree and last but not least
> some large zip ties.......you mudduck......

I guess you are going to carry that out? Going to have a hard time
since you think I live in Texas. Guess that swing stuff applies to
you. Do you really get a kick out of seeing all those meters
'swang'? I know the technical stuff is way out of your
league.....you LID.

3166. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:55:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5089-3DEBF300-746@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145695

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
chessecutter wrote:
timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>...
" "

>And what is the purpose? Just so you can >have bragging rights that you
produced a >stronger signal than some other clown for a >few seconds? I
can certainly think of better >uses for several thousand dollars.

--
Top contenders can win that several times over. Just like those thumpin'
car stereo competitions..there is a fan for all seasons.

3167. Radios 4 Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-02 23:58:25 UTC
From: franksellers@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <81ebd308.0212021558.4137903b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145694

1) Like new Rci 2980 Base Station no manual or box. $230 Plus shipping.

2) Voyage VR9000 mobile radio same as galaxy 88 with box and manual and roadking56 michrophone. $150 plus shipping.

3) Texas Star 667(V). $225 plus shipping.

If you are interested in any of these items, feel free to contact me at 817-577-4830.

Thanks

3168. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:22:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021622.72983d53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message news:<b03b87e2.0212021042.5130189c@posting.google.com>...
> "Josh L. McDowell" <joshuamcdo439122@cs.com> wrote in message news:<3DE6E87B.6040203@cs.com>...
> > The state kidnapped my baby girl! Please read about it! There is a
> > MAJOR movement in the state of Missouri right now and the world is
> > missign it!
> > Check out my website for updates! THIS IS NOT A PORN AD!
> >
> > Honorably,
> > Joshua L. McDowell
> > http://geocities.com/joshmia2001/
>
>
> Report it to the FBI!
>
> http://www.frs-usa.com

report it to the fbi?????????? oh please ....is that not one worthless organization since the 10 years of bill(a blow job is not sex) clinton and janet (bull dike) reno........

3169. Re: D&A RDX-75 ?? meter CW Transceiver info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:22:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021622.775820a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145698

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<asgd01$3n74$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> Howdy all,
>
> I just picked up this XCVR. Was just curious about it's specs, FRQ, etc...
>
> Any info would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Cowboy67

forget about it and throw it in the trash..........

3170. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:23:46 UTC
From: "Lud@vic" <radioham@chezNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <2arnuuoggctp40d31m68bf2g3jrj8kfuq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 145696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

Le 2 Dec 2002 01:51:44 -0800, ntournant@libertysurf.fr (Nicolas) a ecrit:

>Je m'adresse ï¿½ vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
>m'aider: Je possï¿½de un poste CB Prï¿½sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
>connectï¿½ un micro prï¿½-amplifiï¿½ EC2018.
>Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont lï¿½chï¿½ et j'aimerais rï¿½parer ce
>micro.
>Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit ï¿½tre soudï¿½ chacun des
>fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
>Je ne possï¿½de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
>le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester trï¿½s basique !
>Merci ï¿½ tous de votre collaboration

Faites une recherche sur www.google.fr avec comme mots clï¿½s :
brochage , micro , president, taylor, ec2018, "ec 2018" etc...

Il est difficile de vous aider sans avoir le meme materiel. Avec
un multimetre il serait tres facile de vous repondre. Peut-etre
que Gaston a la solution vu qu'il dispose de pas mal de plan.

Ludovic - F5PBG

3171. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:25:00 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021625.5357788b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145699

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEB8652.630F0FA5@mailcity.net>...
> chessecutter wrote:
> >
> > timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> > > most of them lose power when modulated
> > >
> > > > how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > not to mention what it does to the car engine, especially when that
> > beast starts feeding.......can you say broken crank shaft????.......i
> > thought you could.................there is a old saying........'money
> > doesn't grow on trees'.........well you better hope you have a money
> > tree in your back yard if you ever decide to do this kind of
> > stuff.........no doubt about it......
>
> And what is the purpose? Just so you can have bragging rights that
> you produced a stronger signal than some other clown for a few
> seconds? I can certainly think of better uses for several thousand
> dollars.

well moron you are entitled to your opinion and in some ways i agree
with most of what you say, however you said yourself you are not a cb
type person.........so it would be real nice if you hit the
bricks.....go hang out somewhere else where your many talents can be
appreciated for what they really are.......

3172. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:27:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021627.2f014f16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145700

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEB84E7.5A8F0E38@mailcity.net>...
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > Brian Griffey wrote:
> > >
> > > That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> > > Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> > > distortion?
> >
> > Easy, look at the negative modulation trough. While the positive peak
> > can be allowed to rise until there is no more audio power, the negative
> > trough cannot go below the zero power cutoff. Unless you have the
> > ability to offset the center of the modulation, so that the negative
> > trough does not exceed zero (Which cannot be achieved using simple CB
> > "Swing" mods), you will cause splatter and distortion.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> But it really makes those output meters and s-meters swing, so it
> must be a 'big signal'.

well jj it is nice to see you have found somebody to be a kindred
spirit with ......bravisimo mudduck......now then i can think of only
one swing kit that would be good for you..and it includes a rope with
a noose in it and a horse, and a hanging tree and last but not least
some large zip ties.......you mudduck......

3173. Re: !!Re: >>TUBES AVAILABLE<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:30:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212021630.548d1874@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145701

"The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<JwMG9.551$kz2.79403@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0211182344.7db8d0de@posting.google.com...
> : "The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<cXmB9.386$%32.14062@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> : > I have a very good selection of CB, Ham, Audio, Sweep and Transmitting
> : > tubes.
> : > Please Email me with your needs....include tube number as well as quanity of
> : > each.
> : >
> : > THANX
> : > thetubeman@earthlink.net <<click to send email<<
> :
> :
> :
> : I can beat your prices any fucking day of the week, I can sell 6LQ6's
> : for 45.00 bucks all day long and still make money...
> :
> : so there!
> :
> : -chuck
> :
> CHUCK;
>
> I don't know you and you don't know me SO don't know where you got that nasty attitude
but you need
> to 'chill out' and get your facts in a row before you make FALSE statements....
>
> FYI I sell new USA made 6LQ6 for $35 all day long and have for years
>
> so there!!

don't worry about chuckie mr tube man.....he always says that
stuff........the next thing he will say is that he can beat your
shipping prices too.....just look back a few years and research
chuckie's two way....and you will notice his modus
operendi.....

3174. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:33:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asgu2g$r8u3l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 145702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB5F23.A04E0A4A@secret.com...
> TRANSLATE PLEASE!!!

I will not buy this record, it is scratched.

Tom

3175. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 00:38:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEBFD02.F6040897@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145722

NoBalls Baloney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBEF92.37A75BE3@mailcity.net...
> :
> :
> : NoBalls Baloney wrote:
> :
> : > Yes, I have been appointed. I want to help you in keeping this NG free
> of
> : > trolls, Flamers, grammar mistakes, proper NG etiquitte. You have control
> : > over a few, so i will take the rest to ease your burden. 10-4?
> :
> : If you want to help learn when to capitalize I, as in 'so I will'.
>
> John if i wanted to hear from an asshole I would have farted.

You really need to get straight who you are talking to, just
because I have the initials JJ does not necessarily mean my name
is John, there are a lot of other names that start with J. Just
for the record, my first, middle, nor last name start with J, JJ
is a nick name given by an uncle when I was a youngster. By the
way, your sentence should be "John if "I" wanted". Note the
capital I. I guess you think so little of yourself though that the
lower case i fits. You and cheesecutter live in the same trailer
park?

3176. Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:08:20 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <gb0ouuk6l5vr1nhcj3q6br5ucj56423tri@4ax.com>
Source record: 145703

Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.

Anyway, I reached into my attic and pulled out my 20 year old realistic CB. About 10 years ago, I purchase a K40 antenna and a D104 hand mike, and never used them.

Anyway, I connected them this weekend, and seem to get pretty good range. My SWR was 1.3:1 on channel 1 and near perfect on channel 40.

I was impressed by this 20 year old Radio Shack radio with hardly any features. It still was kicking.

Now, since my knowledge is very limited ot at least 20 years old, my questions are:

1. This K40 antenna. Is it any good? Seems that Wilson is a hot brand. Is there a major difference?

2. The D104 still is one of the best. Anything I should now about this?

3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago. Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)

4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB.

Can anyone tell my what they me what they recommend or what to avoid. I am very unfamiliar with Texas Ranger and Galaxy.

Thanks

Vinnie S.

3177. Re: Regency BTH-201 VHF FM Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:09:15 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ash8ri$4a2g$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145726

WOW No Fuckin' kiddin'? Lucky there ain't any mountains around these parts.
eh asshole?

shore hate to be in your fuckin' shoes with the county mounties and feds
always showin up at your place n all!

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:Z_UG9.13$lE7.2508834@news.inreach.com...
> goo d radio, I had one for my base radio at the patch afore
>
> the countie mounties sh owed up and arrested my mexican
>
> workers who were working at my patch
>
>
>
> has plenty of power an d will go through mountains and hill s
>
> easy becuz it has 200 watts outpoot
>
> darrel
>
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:asgcc5$3l6u$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I just picked this old transceiver up and was wondering if any of you
> > could give me some info on this item. i.e. frequency coverage. I do not
> see
> > an tuner to tune the radio to various frq's.
> >
> > Any other info is greatly appreciated!
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Cowboy67
> >
> >
>
>

3178. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:10:16 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uuo10r88uio2f0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asgu2g$r8u3l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB5F23.A04E0A4A@secret.com...
> > TRANSLATE PLEASE!!!
>
> I will not buy this record, it is scratched.
>
> Tom
>
>

My hovercraft is full of eels?!

3179. Re: D&A RDX-75 ?? meter CW Transceiver info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:48:30 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <qXUG9.12$cH7.2696321@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145714

reel good radio , I used one at my patch afore the feds
came and uprooted all my plants.

knobs are kinda cheap so dont go and twistem off

Darrel

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ash1t7$46bn$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Okayyyyy...Now if anyone would be kind enough to give me any info.
>
> Thanks,
>
> cowboy67
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0212021622.775820a4@posting.google.com...
> > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<asgd01$3n74$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > > Howdy all,
> > >
> > > I just picked up this XCVR. Was just curious about it's specs, FRQ,
> etc...
> > >
> > > Any info would be greatly appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > > Cowboy67
> >
> > forget about it and throw it in the trash..........
>
>

3180. Re: Regency BTH-201 VHF FM Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:52:17 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <Z_UG9.13$lE7.2508834@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145715

goo d radio, I had one for my base radio at the patch afore

the countie mounties sh owed up and arrested my mexican

workers who were working at my patch

has plenty of power an d will go through mountains and hill s

easy becuz it has 200 watts outpoot

darrel

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asgcc5$3l6u$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Hello,
>
> I just picked this old transceiver up and was wondering if any of you
> could give me some info on this item. i.e. frequency coverage. I do not
see
> an tuner to tune the radio to various frq's.
>
> Any other info is greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks,
>
> Cowboy67
>
>

3181. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:54:07 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <H0VG9.15$YH7.2740342@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

he said,

Obawbhe gbhf,
Wr z'nqerffr ibhf gbhf pne ibhf y'ha q'rager ibhf crhg pregnvarzrag
z'nvqre: Wr cbff qr ha cbfgr PO Ce fvqrag GNLYBE fhe yrdhry w'nv
pbaarpg ha zvpeb ce -nzcyvsv RP2018.
Znyurherfrzrag cyhfvrhef fbhqherf bag y pu rg w'nvzrenvf e cnere pr
zvpeb.
Cbheevrm ibhf z'vaqvdhre FIC, fhe dhry cybg qbvg ger fbhq punpha qrf
svyf : Yr ebhtr nirp yr 1(?), yr oyrh nirp yr.... ??^
Wr ar cbff qr nhpha nccnervy qr zrfher rg wr ar fnvf cnf erpbaanvger
yr svy GK,EK,nhqvb, znffr... Gbhg pryn qbvg qbap erfgre ge f onfvdhr !
Zrepv gbhf qr ibger pbyynobengvba
Avpbynf

got it dood?

darrle

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB5F23.A04E0A4A@secret.com...
> TRANSLATE PLEASE!!!
>
> Nicolas wrote:
> >
> > Bonjour tous,
> > Je m'adresse vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
> > m'aider: Je poss de un poste CB Pr sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
> > connect un micro pr -amplifi EC2018.
> > Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont l ch et j'aimerais r parer ce
> > micro.
> > Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit tre soud chacun des
> > fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
> > Je ne poss de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
> > le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester tr s basique !
> > Merci tous de votre collaboration
> > Nicolas

3182. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:56:00 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <QaUG9.212430$QZ.33014@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 145713

Vinnie.....If you are mainly interested in chatting along the highway, I wouldn't spend anymore money. That setup will perform fine. If you are interested in SSB, then you might look at other units though. Good luck. 73

Bill

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message news:gb0ouuk6l5vr1nhcj3q6br5ucj56423tri@4ax.com...
> Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east
> PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.
>
> Anyway, I reached into my attic and pulled out my 20 year old realistic CB.
> About 10 years ago, I purchase a K40 antenna and a D104 hand mike, and never
> used them.
>
> Anyway, I connected them this weekend, and seem to get pretty good range. My SWR
> was 1.3:1 on channel 1 and near perfect on channel 40.
>
> I was impressed by this 20 year old Radio Shack radio with hardly any features.
> It still was kicking.
>
> Now, since my knowledge is very limited ot at least 20 years old, my questions
> are:
>
> 1. This K40 antenna. Is it any good? Seems that Wilson is a hot brand. Is there
> a major difference?
>
> 2. The D104 still is one of the best. Anything I should now about this?
>
> 3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago.
> Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)
>
>
>
> 4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the
> big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and

> Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB.
>
> Can anyone tell my what they me what they recommend or what to avoid. I
am very
> unfamiliar with Texas Ranger and Galaxy.
>
> Thanks
>
> Vinnie S.

3183. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 01:59:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEC0FEC.446F452E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145728

NoBalls Baloney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBECCC.D2B825AC@mailcity.net...
>
> : Then my birth certificate must be wrong. You are so far off base
> : it isn't even funny. I have no idea who John Perry is, do you?
> : Evidently not.
>
> Really, oh ok sorry then is it John Perry my bad, i guess your not this guy
> then?
>
> Callsign: KG5RG Class: Advanced
> Name: JOHN A PERRY
> Addr1: RTE 2 BOX 68
> Addr2: DICKINSON, TX 77539
> Country: USA
> Effective: 12 Apr 1995 Expires: 12 Apr 2005

Nope, were did you even get the remote idea I was? I have a 1X3
call and I don't live in Texas.

3184. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:08:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEC1200.A44AAC5F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145730

NoBalls Bloney wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBECCC.D2B825AC@mailcity.net...
>
> : Then my birth certificate must be wrong. You are so far off base
> : it isn't even funny. I have no idea who John Perry is, do you?
> : Evidently not.
>
> Really, oh ok sorry then is it John Perry my bad, i guess your not this guy
> then?
>
> Callsign: KG5RG Class: Advanced
> Name: JOHN A PERRY
> Addr1: RTE 2 BOX 68
> Addr2: DICKINSON, TX 77539
> Country: USA
> Effective: 12 Apr 1995 Expires: 12 Apr 2005

Tell you what NoBalls, just to prove how far off base you really
are, if you're smart enough to do it, figure out the ISP I am
posting from, then go to their web site and see if they have
access in Dickinson, Tx, where ever that is.

3185. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:24:27 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212021824.3aaa0414@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145716

nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote in message news:<20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com>...
> I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
> used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing
> paper clips,etc.,etc.

...what's really pathetic is that the kind of people who think such behavior is on a par with murder. Unfortunately they also think Stalinism is great & that they're living in the Spanish Inquisition, where accusation equals proof. Just ask the antikeyclowns---on-air monkeyshines give them angina attacks.

Guy Richards

3186. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:28:01 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ZcecnV5mquhIi3GgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145717

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBEF92.37A75BE3@mailcity.net...
:
:
: NoBalls Baloney wrote:
:
: > Yes, I have been appointed. I want to help you in keeping this NG free
of
: > trolls, Flamers, grammar mistakes, proper NG etiquitte. You have control
: > over a few, so i will take the rest to ease your burden. 10-4?
:
: If you want to help learn when to capitalize I, as in 'so I will'.

John if i wanted to hear from an asshole I would have farted.

3187. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:28:56 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <W_CcnTsbpo2RinGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145718

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBEFF7.40F1CAB0@mailcity.net...

: > Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG

: The word troll in your sentence does not need to be capitalized.

It sure does, I am not writing a term paper or English paper thank You For
the grammer tips though

3188. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:34:19 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <hjadnZgCfbPShXGgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145720

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DEBE508-882@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland)
>After a couple years of active participation, it's >clear that this
>forum is nothing more than a medium for the >promotion of illegal
operation and the >proliferation of technical voodoo.

You always use that word when you lose a debate concerning electronic
applications as applies to radio.

>There is almost no discussion of the intended >and practical uses of CB
>radio, the fundamental purpose of which is >easy-to-use, low-power,
license-free radio >communication.

Exactly. This is because cb radio is no longer used as its original
intention.

Says who? You? Just because you say it doesn't make it so.

>The group has kept up with the evolution of cb.

Shame the FCC doesn't see it that way, perhaps a rule change or 2 may help
your misguided statement, but nothing has evolved on CB turn it on
Neanderthals on every channel.

: It has evolved rather nicely.

Nah your still dragging your knuckles

3189. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:34:49 UTC
From: Robert John <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dLUG9.36038$vM1.2757239@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"popbuttom\n" <popb60000@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3de4f0b5@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Muhamed Akhbar" <muakhbar@joma.dr.ag> wrote in message
> news:45092466F.A1366520@joma.dr.ag...
> > On Tue, 26 Nov 2002 23:36:59 -0500, l33tN3w$P0$t3R
<3y3H4x0r@Y0uRB0X.com>
> wrote:
> > >
> > > Some people just don't know how to think before they speak.
> > >
> >
> > Oh the irony!
>
> I agree. Some folk just got
> shit-fer-brains. (mostly republicans of late)
> The GOP constantly promotes
> freedom of choice. (unless a unwed mom's
> fetus is concerned of course...)
I see you are a liberal so I guess your into baby killing!

>
> You can also get Kriegsmarine Georg":
>
> http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/georgcaps.htm
>
> "Kamakazie Pilot Hiro Hosushi":
>
> http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/jap.htm
>
> "Baron Von Richthoffen":
>
> http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwi/baronrojo.htm
>
> ..or even BUFFY the Vampyre Slayer!! (I kid U not..)
>
> http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/chicas/buffy.htm
>
>
> I just wish that I'd thought of these
> "Action-Figures" first - what a cash
> cow this could be for the creators!
>

> Finally, can't you really *just imagine* George W Bush
> locked away in the Lincoln Bedroom at 2 AM, with the
> whole Action Figures collection and some GI Joe's
> spralled out on the floor...and GWB (in his Texas bunny pajamas)
> playing war games like an 8-Year Old...????
>
> Comedy Central Networks or Late Nite should get a set
> of these dolls (along with a Saddam Hussen and Bin Laden figure)
> and do a comedy bit/sketch along these lines......a real laugh-so-hard-
> you'll-pee-in-yer-pants fer sure!
>
>
>
>
>
>

3190. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:39:14 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <hM-dnQWOWKLrhHGgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145721

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBECCC.D2B825AC@mailcity.net...

: Then my birth certificate must be wrong. You are so far off base
: it isn't even funny. I have no idea who John Perry is, do you?
: Evidently not.

Really, oh ok sorry then is it John Perry my bad, i guess your not this guy
then?

Callsign: KG5RG Class: Advanced
Name: JOHN A PERRY
Addr1: RTE 2 BOX 68
Addr2: DICKINSON, TX 77539
Country: USA
Effective: 12 Apr 1995 Expires: 12 Apr 2005

3191. Re: Check Your Radio for this common Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:42:58 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <uuo6hjp3j9153f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145723

Reminds me of a guy who went by snoopy dog, who used to talk here in East Texas. He would get drunk, and talk on the radio. There was a guy who went by Catfish, who worked on radios. He would tell ol' snoopy to take the cover off his radio and then he would tell him what to turn. Snoopy would turn and say "hows that?" Fish would say "yea snoopy, that's good you're getting REAL LOUD!!!" He would tune 'ol snoopy right off the AIR!!!!!!!!!!
HEHEHEHEHEHE
those were the good old days.....

"Stutterin' Bob" <tenfour@goodbuddy.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB1296.4CAC1189@goodbuddy.com...
> Check this out- You'll get out better.
>
> Many radios take a real beating while being shipped from the
> maker to the retail outlets. Inside your radio, there are several
> screws holding things securely to the circuit board. Look for
> these screws inside little square metal cans. These get loose
> and make the cans noisy. To really get out, tighten those
> screws back down.

3192. Decriminalizing on-air obscenities: pro & con

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 02:47:13 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212021847.468b5d17@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

A blasphemous thought occurred to me a couple days ago: what if the FCC announced "from now on, we will no longer pursue any complaints of obscenities transmitted or broadcast over the airwaves... this includes all words which refer to sexual or excretory activities or organs, as well as to nudity and insulting gestures transmitted via image communications. Nor will we pursue complaints involving excess transmitting power. We will only pursue cases involving threats of bodily harm or property damage, or of jamming interfering with life-or-death emergencies".

Pro: by making nude pics/middle fingers/mooning legal on ATV, making linears legal on CB, and making cussing legal on CB & ham, there wouldn't be any challenge to it anymore from the outlaw's point of view. No adrenaline rush = no incentive.

Con: too many hams & CBers think such things should be as illegal as 1st-degree murder **NO MATTER WHAT** the law says--- after they cried their eyes out at being so cruelly betrayed by their beloved bureaucrats, they'd be outside the FCC's HQ picketing the place the very next morning, ready to wash scabs' mouths out with soap.

Guy Richards

3193. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 03:35:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <jh9ouus5v9u2a1nbrl396k7npg88hdib45@4ax.com>
Source record: 145725

On Tue, 03 Dec 2002 01:56:00 GMT, "radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote:

>Vinnie........If you are mainly interested in chatting along the highway, I
>wouldn't spend anymore money. That setup will perform fine. If you are
>interested in SSB, then you might look at other units though. Good luck. 73
>
>Bill

That's pretty much it, Bill. My meter's buld is very dim. I was wonder if I could modify a super bright LED to fit behind the meter. I don't know if the bulb is in the meter itself, though.

Vinnie S.

3194. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 03:56:32 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <QXVG9.1447$kz2.180392@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145727

There is only ONE choice! Bill Good at FG Best Communications. He was
retained by Ranger Communications to fix what their engineers couldn't.

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

>Hello all
>
>My first post to this NG.
>
>I am in need of a 2970 repair person, Money is not the issue as im not
>cheap!!
>
>I have a NON dx that throws a dead carrier and sometimes no AM audio, you
>shake it around am audio comes back , got it off ebay (i know you get what
>you pay for , hence willing to pay to get it fixed) ,.... but never the less
>its got at least a cold solder , and another problem , throws a GOOD 150
>watt dead key , on ssb, 50 am as supposed to no modulation though...
>
>
>If any of you out there have had a good or better than good experience with
>someone who takes radios via mail/ups, will pay cash , mo or whatever method
>they want , paypal ....please
>
>EMAIL
>
>bennyc03@earthlink.net
>
>thanks in advance ,,, community!!
>
>73s

3195. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 04:08:00 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z6WG9.208435$NH2.14527@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 145729

You couldn't see the link was wrapped, and he's the dumbass?

Stupid ignorant fuck...

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:UiyG9.491$_x2.199994420@news.inreach.com...
> Items for Sale by nighthawk(117)
> View text-only format
> 0 items found.
>
>
>
>
>
> All items
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Auctions
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Buy It Now
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Picture hide Item Title Price Bids Time (Ends PST)
>
>
>
> This seller is not currently offering any items for sale.
>
>

> dumbass....
>
>
>
>
>
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
> news:XQ8G9.247$wC3.188@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > Come see all the CB items I have for sale on e-bay!
> >
> >
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=nighthawk
> > 111&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
> >
> >
> > Nighthawk
> >
> >
>
>

3196. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 04:22:49 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212022022.344869de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145731

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<13399-3DEBE508-882@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland)
> >After a couple years of active participation, it's >clear that this
> >forum is nothing more than a medium for the >promotion of illegal
> operation and the >proliferation of technical voodoo.
>
> You always use that word when you lose a debate concerning electronic
> applications as applies to radio.
>
> >There is almost no discussion of the intended >and practical uses of CB
> >radio, the fundamental purpose of which is >easy-to-use, low-power,
> license-free radio >communication.
>
>
>
>
> Exactly. This is because cb radio is no longer used as its original
> intention. The group has kept up with the evolution of cb. It has
> evolved rather nicely. The good stuff is just out of your
> reach.....invitation only.
>
>
> >Instead, discussions are about using the band >for RF drag racing,
>
>
> >It happens, Frank. You were warned denial >and attempted self-imposed
> censorship would >fail.
>
>
> >and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get >their license.
>
>
> Licensing has nothing to do with cb and not one of the regs here
> expressed a desire concerning obtaining their license who did not do
> it...except you...but you always had a license.......never did come to
> figure out why you were so full of piss and malice and lies, but then
> again, it never mattered much.
>
>
> >From participating in this newsgroup, it seems >that CB radio has
> >attracted its recent popularity from this new >'gimme' generation
>
>

>
> Kind of like when you began acting out in here with the "gimme my own
> forum" and "gimme Twists addy" and "gimme people to follow me to my new
> moderated (read: censored) forum I wanna start." You've been crazy ever
> since.
>
>
> >("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to >pay for it!"),
>
>
> That wouldn't surprise anyone, Frank, it holds quite well with your
> reign of terror when things are not going your way.
>
>
> >If that's what CB radio has become then I'll >leave you all to roll
> >happily in your squalor,
>
>
> Got news for ya' frank mah boy...as soon as you are gone, the window is
> getting opened and the place will air out just fine......the squalor you
> speak of moves when you do. Sort of like the cloud of stank that follows
> pigpen.
>
>
> >and instead of trying to use facts and reason >in my efforts I'll be
> >using DF equipment from now on.
>
>
> Your efforts have never consisted of facts and reason. Your tool of
> choice isn't of much consequence.
>
>
>
>
> >Toodles.<
>
> Losing ISPS as much as you do can get costly. Can't say I blame you, but
> no one believes you are going anywhere, Frank. You're probably about to
> unleash an entire campaign or harassment blitz on all that you can't
> comprehend or that of which makes you crazy.....don't let the door hit
> ya' where the good Lord split ya'....you know where to find
> me....talking over Lelnad's paltry deadkeying attempts.

you got all of that right twist.......no doubt about it.........

3197. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 04:47:05 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <sLadnaY66-rwqnGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145732

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBED86.66C5A571@mailcity.net...

:
: I keep looking around but I can't for the life of me figure out
: who you are talking to.

Yes I see your comprehension skills are lacking. Figure you hammies have a
penchant for not seeing what is under thine nose

: I see your ability to know who you are talking to

I know exactly who I am talking to stupid, it is you that is suffering some
malady.

3198. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 04:54:08 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <AjWdnQQkJtuOpHGgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145733

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEBFD02.F6040897@mailcity.net...
: You really need to get straight who you are talking to, just
: because I have the initials JJ does not necessarily mean my name
: is John, there are a lot of other names that start with J.

Oh ok then what is your name.

3199. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 04:55:51 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC3957.735FC370@secret.com>
Source record: 145734

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Notice how he warned you about us CBer's...then broadcasted your personal
> name to the group?

And it kills him that he ain't got a damn thing on me after all this time!!!

3200. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:00:24 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <-0ydnbs9e-IRp3GgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145735

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEC0FEC.446F452E@mailcity.net...
: >
: > Callsign: KG5RG Class: Advanced
: > Name: JOHN A PERRY
: > Addr1: RTE 2 BOX 68
: > Addr2: DICKINSON, TX 77539
: > Country: USA
: > Effective: 12 Apr 1995 Expires: 12 Apr 2005
:
: Nope, were did you even get the remote idea I was? I have a 1X3
: call and I don't live in Texas.

You don't live in Texas any longer right, you moved? to oklahoma perhaps ?

From: JJ (radiobuff@mailcity.net)
Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 13:51:10 PST

> JJ wrote:
You really have a problem with reading comprehension don't you
dickieboy.
As I stated earlier, I obtained my Novice ticket in 1961, there
was no cheap and easy route. How long have you been a ham dickie?
For my upgrades I sat in front of an FCC examiner at the FCC field
office in Dallas, Texas for my code test and written exams. Same
thing for my FCC First Class commercial ticket. So there you have
it, you and newbie Larry look down upon anyone else who obtains a
license today because they did not get it the way you did. More
ego status crap. The only reason you and newbie Larry look down
upon any one else is to boost your giant egos and a feeble attempt
to make yourselves feel somehow important.

3201. There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:14:51 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <0MCdne1ho7RzoHGgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145736

From: JJ (radiobuff0@mailcity.net)
Subject: Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2002-08-24 21:13:35 PST

>xganon wrote:
> oh by the way jim hamton is a cool guy in rrcb he tells us stories about how he ran 40 KW on ham >bands in the bad old days. that makes us feel good and shows hams are worse than cbers.

BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world than a cber.

3202. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:16:23 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <l5qcnawXccvWo3GgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145737

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEC3957.735FC370@secret.com...
:
:
: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
: >
: > Notice how he warned you about us CBer's...then broadcasted your
personal
: > name to the group?
:
: And it kills him that he ain't got a damn thing on me after all this
time!!!

Scott, you are a goodie Too shoes what can I say, plus you don't act like a ass like some of the others, the chances of you drawing attention are small you are actually kinda cool in a nerdy way. LOL

3203. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:19:47 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212022119.607b9f49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Pappy@USA.net wrote in message news:<3DEBE34B.44970574@USA.net>...
> Come on guys, grow up. Chuck was advising us of a thief among us.
>
> If you don't like it or take him serious just back off and be nice.

hey amigo.....let me tell ya something....nobody but nobody but
nobody drops the maul like the wrecking ball.....and oh
yes.....he is our chuckie and we can talk shit to him if we want
.....thank you very much.....

3204. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:21:46 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212022121.65729776@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<bl2dnW6ZAMrYdHegXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
>
> : chuckie.......you are not qualified to work at burger king
> : mudduck......try again........
>
> I heard you today mr jones.

hmmmm........turkey ....ahhhhhhhh , i mean balls
.............don't tell anyone that i can drop the maul like there is
no tomorrow.......now if that boy down south don't quit talkin stuff,
i is a goin to drop his drawers and drives him home...........

3205. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:23:57 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <zZucnbKudKiM3XGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145741

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEC0FEC.446F452E@mailcity.net...

: Nope, were did you even get the remote idea I was? I have a 1X3
: call and I don't live in Texas.

Then what the hell were you doing driving by the Dallas Fort Worth Airport?
You moved, perhaps the FCC should find out you haven't updated the database.

From: JJ (radiobuff0@mailcity.net)
Subject: Re: Where were you?
View: Complete Thread (10 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2002-09-11 19:35:41 PST

Tbryant wrote:
>
> After being in "lurk mode" for the past three or so years, I felt like
posting
> today.
>
> It's hard to believe that a year has passed. On that Tuesday, I had been
> working an afternoon shift, and I was awake early because of a doctor's
> appointment. I wanted to catch up on some reading, and I didn't have the
TV on.
> My mother-in-law called shortly before 9:00am and asked if I was watching
TV.
> She said that one of the WTC towers had been hit by an airplane. My first
> thought was a small, single-engine plane had flown off-course, until I saw
the
> smoke. About a minute after I turned on the TV, the second plane hit the
South
> tower.
>
> At the doctor's office, people were quiet as they listened. Then came the
> announcement that the Pentagon had been hit. On the way home, I had the
car
> radio tuned to Howard Stern's show. (He was ready to nuke Mecca right now,
but
> all that would have done would be to temporarily make us feel good and
piss off
> every Muslim around the world even more) It was about 10:45 that "Crazy
Cabbie"
> called into the show to say that lower Manhattan was covered in smoke and

dust,
> because the towers had collapsed.
>
> For nearly two weeks after the initial attacts, I thought it was all a bad
> dream. That each day I would wake up and the New York skyline would be
whole
> again. As time passed, I knew that it was not a dream.
>
> I see that the *.policy newsgroup continues to bicker about the code and
> testing, and that the *.misc newsgroup continues with their petty insults.
> Today, however, I would think that the aguing could have been set aside.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

I had gone with my wife that day to see her doctor. As we passed
DFW airport where there are always a number of plans landing and
taking off, there seemed to be an unusual number of plains lined
up on approach for landing. While we were in the doctor's office
it was announced that all airspace over the U.S. had been closed
and all planes ordered to land at the nearest available airport.
When we left the doctor's office I told my wife to look up in the
sky over the airport. She said, "What, I don't see anything." I
said, "exactly, there are no planes in the sky." It was really
spooky.

3206. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:24:10 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212022124.289b63ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<asbrtv085b@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> "Tom" <jc@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:nujiuu8ll6m77uc6quegpu0cgj1tpga9bb@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
> > <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >REAL Ham's Use CW!
> > >http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
> >
> >
> > Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.
>
> Well, if he's a CPA, he shouldn't have any problem finding a job. I'm sure
> there's some CEO willing to pay top dollar for CPAs with poor sight.

yeh chuckie is a cpa alright.....how about 'car parking
attendant'.....hey he might just measure up for that job unless he
runs out of prozac and starts chasing peeps around with his trusty
baseball bat.....hello come in.....

3207. Moparholic, I also ran across this LOL...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:24:53 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <1ZydnVrn98zV3XGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145742

From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST

In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:

> Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
> changes screen names and addys to avoid killfilter software.
>
> This person openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to Comcast
> have gone un-answered.
>
> Thanks in advance.

Here you go. By the way, although annoying what the person may be
doing
it is not against Comcast's AUP. Just ignore the person! I swear
there
should be an international law that requires people to take a test
before getting internet access!!

First Question:

If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police

And the correct answer is "C".

3208. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:26:32 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <QpqdnYwlEZw23XGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message

: hmmmm........turkey ....ahhhhhhhh , i mean balls
: ....don't tell anyone that i can drop the maul like there is
: no tomorrow.......now if that boy down south don't quit talkin stuff,
: i is a goin to drop his drawers and drives him home...........

Are you talking about that new school Nebo?

3209. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:26:42 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC4092.9417CBE9@secret.com>
Source record: 145743

> Tell you what NoBalls, just to prove how far off base you really
> are, if you're smart enough to do it, figure out the ISP I am
> posting from, then go to their web site and see if they have
> access in Dickinson, Tx, where ever that is.

Here's a tip:

www.gbronline.com

The last bounce was in Colorado.

3210. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:30:59 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC4193.42CC0A93@secret.com>
Source record: 145745

Balls Mahoney wrote:

> BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world than a
> cber.

Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
want to get some of that shit on yourself.

3211. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:32:56 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC4208.9C9B9068@secret.com>
Source record: 145746

Balls Mahoney wrote:
>
> "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEC3957.735FC370@secret.com...
> :
> :
> : Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> : >
> : > Notice how he warned you about us CBer's...then broadcasted your
> personal
> : > name to the group?
> :
> : And it kills him that he ain't got a damn thing on me after all this
> time!!!
>
> Scott, you are a goodie Too shoes what can I say, plus you don't act like a
> ass like some of the others, the chances of you drawing attention are small
> you are actually kinda cool in a nerdy way. LOL

Translation: You're clueless. All you know is a city.

3212. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:34:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <HnXG9.275$lI1.18580676@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145797

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEB8381.14ADCAE@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > Tunafish wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Twisty you are the one doing the whining talking about others who
you say
> > > > insult you, and yet you then say how wrong they are for doing it,
all in the
> > > > mean time you return Fire as you say and do the same thing, and yet
cry
> > > > about them doing it, can't you see that your a hypocrite? 2 wrongs
don't
> > > > make you right either. They do it so you do it sounds like your a 10
year
> > > > old.
> > >
> > > Twistie gets fired upon and returns the fire and vice versa. That
> > > is life in newsgroups on the Internet, learn to deal with it.
> >
> > I too, find your change in attitude somewhat surprising. A few short
> > weeks ago, you had nothing nice to say about CB, the operators, or
> > anyone who would thumb their nose at FCC regulations. Now, it seems you
> > are coming just short of defending these scofflaws.
> >
> > I am curious as to what "enlightened" you?
>
> It is the holiday season, that makes me do crazy things. All I was
> defending is the fact that charlie the tuna accused them of
> condemning those who operate legally, but that is not true. If so
> please prove me wrong. I still don't have any good things to say
> about illegal operation, others don't see it that way, nothing I
> can do about that, but doesn't keep me from saying what I think.
> What I said above is true, the group is a virtual butt kicking
> contest, either deal with it or move on.

I think what JJ is saying is whether it's illegal and he
approves or disapproves of it, when it comes to the constant trashing bs

that happens here, he doesn't care.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

3213. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:34:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <HnXG9.274$Il1.18580676@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145798

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEC4193.42CC0A93@secret.com...
>
>
> Balls Mahoney wrote:
>
> > BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world than
a
> > cber.
>
> Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> want to get some of that shit on yourself.

But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3214. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:34:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <HnXG9.276$Il1.18580676@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145799

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021202175802.193$Uz@newsreader.com...
> "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021202172844.704
> > > Big difference, Drag racing is legal and has rules and a governing
> > > body.
> > : >
> > : Some is,some ain't. All equate to the more $$$ you spend, the more
> > : power you have.
> >
> >> True, street racing is like a keydown run what ya brung. My car has
> >> Mopar
> > than your does.
> >
> Or chevy,or ford,or amp.
>

Yeah I guess Big Daddy Don Garlits (not sure of the spelling)
is a fed criminal, along with Shirley Muldowney, Don
Prudome (again, spelling). You start some where and work
your way to where it isn't illegal anymore.

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

3215. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:34:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <HnXG9.277$II1.18580676@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145800

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEB8652.630F0FA5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
> >
> > timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021201190250.00362.00000098@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> > > most of them lose power when modulated
> > >
> > > > how are they measuring the power at deadkey or under modulation.
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > not to mention what it does to the car engine, especially when that
> > beast starts feeding.......can you say broken crank shaft????.......i
> > thought you could.................there is a old saying........'money
> > doesn't grow on trees'.........well you better hope you have a money
> > tree in your back yard if you ever decide to do this kind of
> > stuff.........no doubt about it......
>
> And what is the purpose? Just so you can have bragging rights that
> you produced a stronger signal than some other clown for a few
> seconds? I can certainly think of better uses for several thousand
> dollars.

True JJ, but that is how some competitions get started.
Take Drag racing, started out in the streets, Off roading
(Rock crawling) started out in Fed & State forest where
it was illegal in parts, Soap Box Derby started out in the streets too so
some competitions do start that way.
Now as for the cost, well the same could be said for street racers, some
of those guys have tens of thousand into their
rigs.

Landshark

3216. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:35:36 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC42A8.A974C733@secret.com>
Source record: 145801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

chessecutter wrote:
>
> > Well, if he's a CPA, he shouldn't have any problem finding a job. I'm sure
> > there's some CEO willing to pay top dollar for CPAs with poor sight.
>
> yeh chuckie is a cpa alright.....how about 'car parking
> attendant'.....hey he might just measure up for that job unless he
> runs out of prozac and starts chasing peeps around with his trusty
> baseball bat.....hello come in.....

Man, I don't know if I'd like his sweaty hands on my steering wheel,
for one, and I don't think my seat slides back far enough for him.

3217. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:48:42 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145802

"Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:W_CcnTsbpo2RinGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBEFF7.40F1CAB0@mailcity.net...
>
> : > Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG
>
> : The word troll in your sentence does not need to be capitalized.
>
>
> It sure does, I am not writing a term paper or English paper thank You For
> the grammer tips though
>
>

And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one George.
Something is wrong.

3218. There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:52:32 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC46A0.FDA1F7B6@secret.com>
Source record: 145803

> >
> > Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > want to get some of that shit on yourself.
>
> But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
> a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.

I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on Saturday. I dropped my 2950 off to the doc for a complete alignment. It's never been done in the 7 years I've had it. The xmit and USB receive was a bit off. Almost stock. I've had to replace the bulbs once. I'll use it again on Christmas Day and probably New Year's Day. Listen for me.

I also keyed up my PC122 tonight and used my Texas Star 250 on SSB. It's also stock with a stock mike.

25 years in radio and I'm still not a ham.

Click. Click.

3219. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:55:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DHXG9.88290$Gc.2633376@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145804

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0212021824.3aaa0414@posting.google.com...
> nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote in message
news:<20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com>...
> > I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals
> > used in reference to : outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people
stealing
> > paper clips,etc.,etc.
>
> ...what's really pathetic is that the kind of people who think such
> behavior is on a par with murder. Unfortunately they also think
> Stalinism is great & that they're living in the Spanish Inquisition,
> where accusation equals proof. Just ask the antikeyclowns---on-air
> monkeyshines give them angina attacks.
>
> Guy Richards

Very correctly and succinctly stated.

3220. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 05:59:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <EKXG9.705$if6.26023040@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145805

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
> news:W_CcnTsbpo2RinGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DEBEFF7.40F1CAB0@mailcity.net...
> >
> > : > Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG
> >
> > : The word troll in your sentence does not need to be capitalized.
> >
> >
> > It sure does, I am not writing a term paper or English paper thank You
For
> > the grammer tips though
> >
> >
>
"And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one George.
Something is wrong."
"your" You're

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3221. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 06:04:05 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <gNCcnZ_gv8nl1HGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 145806

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEC4193.42CC0A93@secret.com...
:
:
: Balls Mahoney wrote:
:
: > BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world than
a
: > cber.
:
: Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
: want to get some of that shit on yourself.

You guys are really stupid that was JJ who said that it was a repost of his.

3222. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 06:06:03 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <Hsicne3lipNz1HGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 145807

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:HnXG9.274

:
: But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
: a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.

You stupid ass it was said by JJ, not me it was a repost from him, I also never said i talk or use a Cb everyday. Either it is a lie by you or perhaps you were stoned.

:
: Landshark

3223. Re: Radios 4 Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 06:09:23 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19254-3DEC4A93-22@storefull-2197.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145809

DO NOT buy from asshole Frank!!He screwed me on an alternator deal.He offered a 200Amp alt. and what arrived was a non working 120Amp.HIS shipping store/body shop put the alt and a glass voltmeter in same box only wrapped in newspaper,arrived smashed.Never saw a refund from him or his inept shipper,GFY FRANK.....You know who!.....Mark ps claimed to be police officer,internet fraud div. Also a dirtbag ham!

3224. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 06:10:45 UTC
From: Balls Mahoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <mXKdnU_KBIWV1nGgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 145808

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:_AXG9.88240

: And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one George.
: Something is wrong.

Yes something is wrong this is neither a speelin bee or grammar 10, you
would be suprised at what I can do randy it is all in the presentation of
how we want to be percieved. Chew on that for a Whilst

3225. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 06:39:06 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC518A.AD5CE5F2@secret.com>
Source record: 145812

Randy wrote:
>
> "Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
> news:gNCcnZ_gv8nl1HGgXTWcog@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DEC4193.42CC0A93@secret.com...
> > :
> > :
> > : Balls Mahoney wrote:
> > :
> > : > BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world
> than
> > a
> > : > cber.
> > :
> > : Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > : want to get some of that shit on yourself.
> >
> > You guys are really stupid that was JJ who said that it was a repost of
> his.
> >
> >
>
> George, please do something worthwhile.

He started a brand new thread to repost another's post.

That definitely falls under "trolling". How do we get this
barbed hook out of our lip? Cut it with a diagonal cutter?

3226. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 08:18:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eNZG9.113751$8D.2810165@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145810

"Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:gNCcnZ_gv8nl1HGgXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEC4193.42CC0A93@secret.com...
> :
> :
> : Balls Mahoney wrote:
> :
> : > BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world
than
> a
> : > cber.
> :
> : Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> : want to get some of that shit on yourself.
>
> You guys are really stupid that was JJ who said that it was a repost of
his.
>
>

George, please do something worthwhile.

3227. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 08:22:37 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hRZG9.113752$8D.2810682@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145811

"Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:mXKdnU_KBIWV1nGgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:_AXG9.88240
>
> : And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one
George.
> : Something is wrong.
>
> Yes something is wrong this is neither a speelin bee or grammar 10, you
> would be suprised at what I can do randy it is all in the presentation of
> how we want to be percieved. Chew on that for a Whilst
>
>

Chewed on that for many a "whilst", and I do know who you are. I wont tell
if you don't want me to.

3228. Re: Decriminalizing on-air obscenities: pro & con

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 11:54:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEC9B77.64EA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

That Guy Again wrote:
>
> A blasphemous thought occurred to me a couple days ago: what if the
> FCC announced "from now on, we will no longer pursue any complaints of
> obscenities transmitted or broadcast over the airwaves... this
> includes all words which refer to sexual or excretory activities or
> organs, as well as to nudity and insulting gestures transmitted via
> image communications. Nor will we pursue complaints involving excess
> transmitting power. We will only pursue cases involving threats of
> bodily harm or property damage, or of jamming interfering with
> life-or-death emergencies".
>
> Pro: by making nude pics/middle fingers/mooning legal on ATV, making
> linears legal on CB, and making cussing legal on CB & ham, there
> wouldn't be any challenge to it anymore from the outlaw's point of
> view. No adrenaline rush = no incentive.

That same arguement has been used by pro-drug people for years. They claim, with the same logic that you have, that the main reason people use drugs, is because of the "rush" of doing something illegally. Make it legal, and that "rush" goes away. At least that's what they would have you believe. I believe that the issue is much more dynamic than that, and throwing in the towel and giving into social deviancy is not the answer.

The much broader question would be why people feel the need to be able to swear, and bring to light, actions that are best left behind closed doors. This need for exhibitionism, and the juvenile need to say things like "F*ck" over the air, is a litmus test as to how our values have slipped, and where we, as a society, have failed as parents, in teaching those values.

The issue shouldn't be whether or not such activities should be legal, but rather why something like that would appeal to you in the first place.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3229. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:31:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DECA424.6B3E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145814

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east
> PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.

Sorry to hear that ;) What area are you from? I might know you.

>
> Anyway, I reached into my attic and pulled out my 20 year old realistic CB.
> About 10 years ago, I purchase a K40 antenna and a D104 hand mike, and never
> used them.

Then they should be in reasonable shape. Some components will age and
fail. But if the radio works, great!

>
> Anyway, I connected them this weekend, and seem to get pretty good range. My SWR
> was 1.3:1 on channel 1 and near perfect on channel 40.

If you raise the tuning whip a tad, it will balance out a little better.
But it's probably not worth the throuble, as you have a good match
already.

>
> I was impressed by this 20 year old Radio Shack radio with hardly any features.
> It still was kicking.

I have a tiny one Rat Shack radio, with no meter, and about the size of
two cigarette packs. I use it im my camper and it's always worked great.

>
> Now, since my knowledge is very limited ot at least 20 years old, my questions
> are:
>
> 1. This K40 antenna. Is it any good? Seems that Wilson is a hot brand. Is there
> a major difference?

No. Unless you're planning on running a ton of power, there is really no
performance advantage to the Wilson.

> 2. The D104 still is one of the best. Anything I should now about this?

Make sure the battery is fresh. The mike is a good one. Don't change a
thing.

> 3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago.
> Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)

Check to make sure your power connection is a solid one, with full power
available. A low volatge condition could cause the lower power and your
dim bulb. Peaking a radio is a waste of time (and money). The most you
will get is a couple more watts, which will not be noticable on the
other end.

> 4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the
> big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and
> Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB.

I would suggest keeping what you have, until you decide whether or not
you want to get serious about CB as a hobby. If all you want to do is
pass time while on the road, you already have all that you need. SSB is
a great way to expand your range, but it's not very popular in all
areas, and SSBers tend to be in close knit bunches. It's less noisy and
more civil, but usually you won't find many travelers (except for
commuters) on SSB. Most "on the road" people, stick to channel 19 AM or
jump around as they see fit.

>
> Can anyone tell my what they me what they recommend or what to avoid. I am very
> unfamiliar with Texas Ranger and Galaxy.

This subject is going to bring on many opinions from people who have had
experience with these brands. Some people are easily impressed, others
have specific needs that are met by one radio over another.
As someone with some experience in the repair business, I have a
somewhat negative opinion of galaxy radios. To be fair, the galaxies
that I've worked on, were mostly 5 to 10 year old vintage radios, they
may have improved their designs since then. They were generally poor
quality, with all sorts of strange design problems, which were
exacerbated when the radio was modified by a "clip and peak" tech.

Cobra, used to be the king of the band. Back then, they were made by
Uniden. Lately, though, they're being made in China, Malasia, etc. They
use poorer quality parts than their Uniden made counterparts, and have
had some quality issues.

My recommendation for a good AM/SSB radio, is the Uniden Grant. You
really can't say anything bad about this radio. It has a great noise
blanker, an excellent receiver, and sounds very nice on SSB. They also
have a good track record. I've only had to repair one over the years,
and that was for a blown final, at the hands of someone who was
attempting to peak his own radio (the hard way), so I can't fault Uniden
for that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3230. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:38:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DECA5DD.7489@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145815

Secret Report wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > > want to get some of that shit on yourself.
> >
> > But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
> > a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.
>
> I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to
> 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on
> Saturday. I dropped my 2950 off to the doc for a complete
> alignment. It's never been done in the 7 years I've had it.
> The xmit and USB receive was a bit off. Almost stock. I've
> had to replace the bulbs once. I'll use it again on
> Christmas Day and probably New Year's Day. Listen for me.

You might want to have the memory battery replaced as well. Mine just
quit after about 7 years.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3231. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:43:09 UTC
From: Sidevalve <Sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m9puu01j94jq545hnmm36e9vqb0agtjur@4ax.com>
Source record: 145817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 19:49:20 -0500, Chuck <k8cpa*NOSPAM*@arrl.net> was
like:

>73
>-Chuck K8CPA
>REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
>REAL Ham's Use CW!

REAL Hams know how to use apostrophes properly.

3232. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:45:16 UTC
From: K1OIK OINK <oink.burt@msn.com>
Message-ID: <9o9puu881s7eb2phs63fgta7jvcdg3fflc@4ax.com>
Source record: 145813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Dirty Burd a.k.a. Burtie Turd" <k1oik@attbi.com> wrote:

>"Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
>news:l4dcuuo8nkn6qas6lo01s94101irp2blcm@4ax.com...
>> THERE'S TALK ON
>> CHARLIE'S 75 METER HANG OUT, OF A GANG OF GUYS COMING TO YOUR HOUSE TO
>> EVEN THE SCORE. IF I WERE YOU, I'D BE MAKING SOME PHONE CALLS.
>>
>
>There is talk!!!!
>That's all hams do is talk.
>He is safe from ANY ham.
>
>Burt

HA HA HA HA HA!

Burtie is back!

What a TURD!

3233. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:49:39 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asid4ukqk10@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145825

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEA8D93.4D85BDED@secret.com...
>
> When is the last time you even used a CB?
>
> Are you assuming the position?

Possibly.

Regards,

Peter.

3234. Re: humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:50:03 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asid57kqk15@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145826

"Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:30udnV7INJdI1XegXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> Brainbuster
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame20.html

One must admit to finding that quite amusing.

Regards,

Peter.

3235. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 12:57:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13401-3DECAA40-125@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145820

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Moparholic wrote:
Seems to fit..he could be a nice guy,I've tried to coax him into it. To
no avail.

You tried what with who?
-

George of course,Twist. You've always been nice to me..what's up?
--
Nuthin; much here, mopar..somethings just don't come across usenet as
they should.....It should have been below Georges' post and posted as a
sort of "echo" to his reply...my bad of where I placed it.....hope y'all
ain't freezing up there yet.......but the snow has done wonders for the
dx out of the buckeye! L8r!

3236. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:01:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DECAB22-384@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145819

From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote in message
news:<20021121191929.00366.00007009@mb-fz.news.cs.com>...
I keep hearing the term Federal Criminals used in reference to :
outbanders,amp users,truckers,speeders,people stealing paper
clips,etc.,etc.

--
>...what's really pathetic is that the kind of >people who think such
behavior is on a par >with murder. Unfortunately they also think
>Stalinism is great & that they're living in the >Spanish Inquisition,
where accusation equals >proof. Just ask the antikeyclowns---on-air
>monkeyshines give them angina attacks.
>Guy Richards

Ha! You been listening too, huh?

3237. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:01:49 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DECAB3D.BE9F3313@secret.com>
Source record: 145818

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Secret Report wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > > Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > > > want to get some of that shit on yourself.
> > >
> > > But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
> > > a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.
> >
> > I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to
> > 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on
> > Saturday. I dropped my 2950 off to the doc for a complete
> > alignment. It's never been done in the 7 years I've had it.
> > The xmit and USB receive was a bit off. Almost stock. I've
> > had to replace the bulbs once. I'll use it again on
> > Christmas Day and probably New Year's Day. Listen for me.
>
> You might want to have the memory battery replaced as well. Mine just
> quit after about 7 years.

I've already done that. Unsoldered the old battery, put two wires in
it's place, and attached a battery holder to the ends of the wires.
Taped up battery and holder and stuffed it in the harness.

It will be a quick replacement when necessary.

3238. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:11:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECAD69-967@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145823

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Balls Mahoney)
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEC0FEC.446F452E@mailcity.net...
Nope, were did you even get the remote idea I was? I have a 1X3 call and
I don't live in Texas.
>Then what the hell were you doing driving by >the Dallas Fort Worth
Airport? You moved, >perhaps the FCC should find out you haven't
>updated the database.

He cries about it when people retaliate against him, yet whines when one
follows the correct procedures and reports violations. He is obsessed
with identities and posts what he thinks is personal information and
repeated insults toward someone for no other reason than he disagrees
with something they said......hmmm...nicely illustarted.

3239. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:33:34 UTC
From: Stanislas PERRIN <stanislas.perrin@online.fr>
Message-ID: <3DECB2AE.6030E5AF@online.fr>
Source record: 145821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

Barking Pumpkin a ï¿½crit :

> My hovercraft is full of eels?!

Please do not Xpost on a french group while speaking english.
You should only Xpost once and then decide on wich group you want to
discuss by choosing a follow-up to a group.

Xpost and follow-up to rec.radio.cb

Stan.
--
<$T@N>

3240. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:35:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECB32E-968@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145824

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Vinnie S. wrote:
"Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.
Anyway, I reached into my attic and pulled out my 20 year old realistic CB. About 10 years ago, I purchase a K40 antenna and a D104 hand mike, and never used them. Anyway, I connected them this weekend, and seem to get pretty good range. My SWR was 1.3:1 on channel 1 and near perfect on channel 40.
I was impressed by this 20 year old Radio Shack radio with hardly any features. It still was kicking. Now, since my knowledge is very limited ot at least 20 years old, my questions are:
1. This K40 antenna. Is it any good? Seems that Wilson is a hot brand. Is there a major difference?"

The Wilson has reportedly and consistently outperformed the K-40 in all independent test results and the masses sentiments echo the same thing. I have never heard the opposite claimed.

"2. The D104 still is one of the best. Anything I should now about this?"

It's a great mic.

"3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago. Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)"

If you had it peaked and it's working fine..leave it alone.

" 4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB. Can anyone tell my what they me what they recommend or what to avoid. I am very unfamiliar with Texas Ranger and Galaxy."

For road bounce and AM talk, a Galaxy will serve you perfectly. They can be loud as all get out and take extreme temps pretty well. If its possible, make certain you get one that has the board from Malaysia. Never had a Texas Ranger, but never had a problem with my Galaxy from day one..it's quite old and has been through the ringer. Put a D-104 handheld on it and you can sound like the voice of God in Cecil B's "Ten commandments."

3241. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:35:57 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212030535.4a5f2571@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145822

Dino <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:<QXVG9.1447$kz2.180392@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> There is only ONE choice! Bill Good at FG Best Communications. He was
> retained by Ranger Communications to fix what their engineers couldn't.
>
> http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/
>
>
> >Hello all
> >
> >My first post to this NG.
> >
> >I am in need of a 2970 repair person, Money is not the issue as im not
> >cheap!!
> >
> >I have a NON dx that throws a dead carrier and sometimes no AM audio, you
> >shake it around am audio comes back , got it off ebay (i know you get what
> >you pay for , hence willing to pay to get it fixed) ,.... but never the less
> >its got at least a cold solder , and another problem , throws a GOOD 150
> >watt dead key , on ssb, 50 am as supposed to no modulation though...
> >
> >
> >If any of you out there have had a good or better than good experience with
> >someone who takes radios via mail/ups, will pay cash , mo or whatever method
> >they want , paypal ....please
> >
> >EMAIL
> >
> >bennyc03@earthlink.net
> >
> >thanks in advance ,,, community!!
> >
> >73s

those 2970's are finicky......somebody probably got their hands inside
that box and now it is toast.........i agree ....send it to ranger
or this ranger tech and not some truck stop hacker..........

3242. Re: Decriminalizing on-air obscenities: pro & con

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:50:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECB6B4-969@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145830

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
That Guy Again wrote:
A blasphemous thought occurred to me a couple days ago: what if the FCC announced "from now on, we will no longer pursue any complaints of obscenities transmitted or broadcast over the airwaves... this includes all words which refer to sexual or excretory activities or organs, as well as to nudity and insulting gestures transmitted via image communications. Nor will we pursue complaints involving excess transmitting power. We will only pursue cases involving threats of bodily harm or property damage, or of jamming interfering with life-or-death emergencies".
Pro: by making nude pics/middle fingers/mooning legal on ATV, making linears legal on CB, and making cussing legal on CB & ham, there wouldn't be any challenge to it anymore from the outlaw's point of view. No adrenaline rush = no incentive.
--
>That same arguement has been used by >pro-drug people for years.

Quite effectively and quite true. One needs look no further than the Netherlands for an example
of police being freed up and appropriated to more important tasks.

>They claim, with the same logic that you have, >that the main reason people use drugs, is >because of the "rush" of doing something >illegally. Make it legal, and that "rush" goes >away. At least that's what they would have you >believe. I believe that the issue is much more >dynamic than that, and throwing in the towel >and giving into social deviancy is not the >answer.

Humans, since the beginning of time have seeked to alter their consiousness in one way or another, whether through drugs, plants, chemicals, religion, meditation, whatever.

>The much broader question would be why >people feel the need to be able to swear, and >bring to light, actions that are best left behind >closed doors.

And would go hand in hand with your nose problem of asking WHY someone would choose to exercise their freedoms or rights.
Your obsession and much misalligned self-professed knowledge of social study has proved to be quite marred.

>This need for exhibitionism, and the juvenile >need to say things like "F*ck" over the air, is a >litmus test as to how our values have slipped,

Your values are illustrated by the volumes of posts where you call people names and insult them merely for holding a vew that you disagree with.

>and where we, as a society,

You have shown you are indeed a minority when it comes to society and have no peers among us.

>have failed as parents,

Speak for yourself. Some of us had our children fulltime in nuclear families. We aren't all estranged from our spouses, Hall.

>in teaching those values.

Again, concentrate on your own. Yours is young enough to teach different values since you apparently don't like what you see in her current developing values.

>The issue shouldn't be whether or not such >activities should be legal, but rather why >something like that would appeal to you in the >first place.

HAhahah....no Dave,,only to those like you who can't get a grip on why people don't see things your way only. Again, the evolution of humans have proved that cultures have systematically engaged in intoxicating behavior. Here you come along with some petty arrogance and feel you have a right to question those that live their life in a manner that affects you in no way, yet you still manage to find problems with...it's your nose.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

3243. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:52:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECB733-970@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145829

Moarholic wrote:
I'm gonna be top dog in the plow truck tonight..I leave around 9:00
pm,for that fun night of looking out the front window,then the
mirrors,front window,mirrors..till about 9am.
I'll be punch drunk if I post Tuesday. ;)
Mo money! lol
--

Made me cold just thinkin' about it....it was in the fifties last night
again......turned the heat on at 5:00 AM this morning :)

3244. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 13:53:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECB774-971@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145828

Landshark wrote:
Yeah I guess Big Daddy Don Garlits (not sure of the spelling) is a fed criminal, along with Shirley Muldowney, Don Prudome (again, spelling). You start some where and work your way to where it isn't illegal anymore.
--

Don has a museum here in the northcentral part of the 'pile!

3245. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:01:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <qrdpuu0c8j5qqukp8kta64mgq1lvgt22m1@4ax.com>
Source record: 145827

On Tue, 03 Dec 2002 07:31:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

>> Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east
>> PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.

>Sorry to hear that ;) What area are you from? I might know you.

I grew up in Carteret, NJ.

>> Anyway, I connected them this weekend, and seem to get pretty good range. My SWR
>> was 1.3:1 on channel 1 and near perfect on channel 40.

>If you raise the tuning whip a tad, it will balance out a little better.
>But it's probably not worth the throuble, as you have a good match
>already.

It changed very little in the Channel 1 area. I was so shocked at how low it
was, I had to do it several times to make sure I did it right.

>> I was impressed by this 20 year old Radio Shack radio with hardly any features.
>> It still was kicking.

>I have a tiny one Rat Shack radio, with no meter, and about the size of
>two cigarette packs. I use it im my camper and it's always worked great.

Yeah, I can't complain. It was a basic radio, with only a ANL and a priority
9-19 switch. Seems to work great even now.

That damn meter light is very dim. I need to do something about that. Looks the
the bulb is built in. I was wondering if there was a LED mod to install. I will
measure the voltage and see was it is across the meter bulb.

>> 3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago.
>> Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)

>Check to make sure your power connection is a solid one, with full power
>available. A low volatge condition could cause the lower power and your
>dim bulb. Peaking a radio is a waste of time (and money). The most you
>will get is a couple more watts, which will not be noticable on the
>other end.

I am going right to the battery from the cigarette lighter. Should I change
something?

>> 4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the
>> big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and
>> Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB.

>I would suggest keeping what you have, until you decide whether or not
>you want to get serious about CB as a hobby. If all you want to do is
>pass time while on the road, you already have all that you need. SSB is
>a great way to expand your range, but it's not very popular in all
>areas, and SSBers tend to be in close knit bunches. It's less noisy and
>more civil, but usually you won't find many travelers (except for
>commuters) on SSB. Most "on the road" people, stick to channel 19 AM or
>jump around as they see fit.

Seems I will take your advice. When I move, if I ever get a home setup, I will get a SSB unit.

>Cobra, used to be the king of the band. Back then, they were made by
>Uniden. Lately, though, they're being made in China, Malasia, etc. They
>use poorer quality parts than their Uniden made counterparts, and have
>had some quality issues.

Thanks, Dave.

Vinnie S.

3246. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:47:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212030647.6e23a0cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145832

"Balls Mahoney" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<W_CcnTsbpo2RinGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEBEFF7.40F1CAB0@mailcity.net...
>
> : > Nah, I call you Dick with ears, Troll is what you do in this NG
>
> : The word troll in your sentence does not need to be capitalized.
>
>
> It sure does, I am not writing a term paper or English paper thank You For
> the grammer tips though

Hey Georgie-girl: It's "grammar", dimbulb. And Troll is your middle name.

3247. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:52:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212030652.71cc9f89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145833

Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<l4dcuuo8nkn6qas6lo01s94101irp2blcm@4ax.com>...
> This is being posted for a friend of mine who does NOT (to my
> knowledge) have newsgroups on his computer...
>
>
> WARNING WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!
>
> THERE IS A THIEF IN OUR RANKS!
>
> KC2ETW
> ERIC A GONZALES
> 7314 LOVETT AVE
> DALLAS TX 75227
> USA
>
> ADVERTISED A ICOM IC-756PRO, LATE S/N... K8GWM CHARLIE IN OHIO, SENT
> THE MONEY, WHAT ARRIVED WAS A OLD JUNK CAR RADIO, A 2X4 ALL WRAPPED IN
> A BLANKET. THE CHECK WAS CASHED AT A PARTY STORE IN DALLAS. (A LIQUOR
> STORE NO LESS!)
>
> ANYONE WHO HAS HAD DEALINGS WITH KC2ETWM, PLEASE CONTACT CHARLIE,
> K8GWM AT Porker@Adelphia.net
>
> THIS IS SERIOUS BUSINESS.
>
> ALSO TO KC2ETW, I WOULD STRONGLY ADVISE YOU SIR TO EITHER MAKE GOOD ON
> CHARLIE'S RADIO, OR SEND CHARLIE'S MONEY BACK, THERE'S TALK ON
> CHARLIE'S 75 METER HANG OUT, OF A GANG OF GUYS COMING TO YOUR HOUSE TO
> EVEN THE SCORE. IF I WERE YOU, I'D BE MAKING SOME PHONE CALLS.
>
> 73 DE K8CPA
>
> --Chuck
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

This has WHAT to do with CB radio? Nada. Quit yer cross-posting.

3248. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:55:51 UTC
From: Snapping Gyro <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <pPydndzNBJaGW3GgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145834

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:HnXG9.276

: Yeah I guess Big Daddy Don Garlits (not sure of the spelling)
: is a fed criminal, along with Shirley Muldowney, Don
: Prudome (again, spelling).

Why do you say " I guess they are fed criminals? No one else said it

3249. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:57:12 UTC
From: Snapping Gyro <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <tiCdnRuY_672W3GgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145835

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

: This has WHAT to do with CB radio? Nada. Quit yer cross-posting.

Your posts to this NG have what to do with CB? Nada never did neber will.

3250. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 14:58:40 UTC
From: Snapping Gyro <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <EqudnSN-B8NZW3GgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145836

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DECAA40-125@storefull-

: Nuthin; much here, mopar..somethings just don't come across usenet as
: they should.....

Yes, that is usually where you call people a liar, you don't understand what
they say...so its a lie.

3251. Wanted Realistic trc 850

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 15:33:04 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203103304.29338.00008348@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 145837

I'm looking to buy a good used Realistic trc 850 or Uniden 880pro base station in the Montreal area or in Canada,,,, it's for my sons 12 th birthday on saturday

Thank;s

3252. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 16:46:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13399-3DECDFF7-979@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145840

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Snapping Gyro)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DECAA40-125@storefull-
Nuthin; much here, mopar..somethings just don't come across usenet as
they should.....

>Yes, that is usually where you call people a >liar,

One has nothing to with another. Of course, you already know this and
your lack of illustration serves only to solidify such.

>you don't understand what they say...so its a >lie.

It's limited to KC8LDO, N8WWM, WA3MOJ, N3CVJ, and Frank Gilliland....and
yes, what they usualy have to say is a lie.

3253. Re: 100K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 16:57:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DECE28E-389@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145839

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Moarholic wrote:
I'm gonna be top dog in the plow truck tonight..I leave around 9:00
pm,for that fun night of looking out the front window,then the
mirrors,front window,mirrors..till about 9am. I'll be punch drunk if I
post Tuesday. ;) Mo money! lol
--
Made me cold just thinkin' about it....it was in the fifties last night
again......turned the heat on at 5:00 AM this morning :)

>We got about 3-4 inches,lake effect..went >down to 17 degrees.
--
---------- http://NewsReader.Com/
--

I have been dealing with a lake effect also.....a mistake-by-the-lake
(St. Clair) that requires good ol' fashioned pirate RF and a few great
tag partners that know how to get things done..I'll be thinking about
ya' in the plow...may be going after some bass in the morning...

3254. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 16:59:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DECE2E8.3FED@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145842

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> On Tue, 03 Dec 2002 07:31:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> wrote:
>
> >> Here is my story. I have recently taken a position that has me driving thru east
> >> PA and NJ most of the time. I am not a trucker. I drive a minivan.
>
> >Sorry to hear that ;) What area are you from? I might know you.
>
> I grew up in Carteret, NJ.

Ok, You weren't in my area. I grew up in Norristown Pa.

> That damn meter light is very dim. I need to do something about that. Looks the
> the bulb is built in. I was wondering if there was a LED mod to install. I will
> measure the voltage and see was it is across the meter bulb.
>
> >> 3. The Realistic is only pushing about 3 watts. I had it peaked many years ago.
> >> Is this common? (or should I settle for #4.)
>
> >Check to make sure your power connection is a solid one, with full power
> >available. A low voltage condition could cause the lower power and your
> >dim bulb. Peaking a radio is a waste of time (and money). The most you
> >will get is a couple more watts, which will not be noticable on the
> >other end.
>
> I am going right to the battery from the cigarette lighter. Should I change
> something?

I've had both good and bad results working from a Cigarette plug. In one
vehicle I could satisfactorly power a 100 watt HF ham rig, while in
another, the ignition noise, and voltage drop caused me to lose more
than a few watts, and trashed the receive with noise.

The fact that you mentioned both a dim light and lower power, made me
suspect that you might be fighting a low voltage situation. The best way
to power any 2-way radio is to run leads directly to the battery. That
might not be appealing to you, if you want to keep things on a strictly
temporary basis, but you could still get away with it by using an
in-line quick disconnect plug.

>
> >> 4. And most important. I am looking to upgrade to a more modern unit. Seem the
> >> big 3 brands are Cobra, Galaxy, Texas Ranger. Some others like Uniden and
> >> Midland are available. I am looking to possibly get SSB.

>
> >I would suggest keeping what you have, until you decide whether or not
> >you want to get serious about CB as a hobby. If all you want to do is
> >pass time while on the road, you already have all that you need. SSB is
> >a great way to expand your range, but it's not very popular in all
> >areas, and SSBers tend to be in close knit bunches. It's less noisy and
> >more civil, but usually you won't find many travelers (except for
> >commuters) on SSB. Most "on the road" people, stick to channel 19 AM or
> >jump around as they see fit.
>
> Seems I will take your advice. When I move, if I ever get a home setup, I will
> get a SSB unit.

SSB is an acquired taste. Many people love it, many others hate it. The
fact that you have to tune in stations to understand them properly, as
well as how a badly tuned or improperly setup radio can really trash
audio fidelity, is a major turn off to some people. I've always enjoyed
the mode, but throughout most of my CB days, the majority of the locals
prefered AM, so that's where I usually ended up, with brief forays to
SSB for some long distance contacts with mobiles on the fringe, or to
get away from noise and interference.

>
> Thanks, Dave.

You're quite welcome.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3255. Techs:CPI Speech Compression Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 17:04:13 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <rropuuspsa0ccao8ks5ukphstuopvkfdec@4ax.com>
Source record: 145838

anybody know if the CPI Speech Compressor (Talk Power) is FUSED on the inside ......if not any ideas on how many amps fuse to run it with ????

any comments appreciated,thanks

3256. Damage control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 17:09:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13400-3DECE542-390@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145841

Great job, Dave. At this rate, we will have a newbie stranger each week seeking information and thanking you profoundly with immediate superfluous fastidious replies. Great work.

3257. tower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 17:21:33 UTC
From: ranger2950dx@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13389-3DECE81D-947@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145843

is a 50ft tower worth 100$. my friend is selling the tower. is this
to much .

3258. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 18:33:52 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212031033.29859eec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145844

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEBF0E0.1D37581D@mailcity.net>...
> chessecutter wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEB84E7.5A8F0E38@mailcity.net>...
> > > Dave Hall wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Brian Griffey wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> > > > > Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> > > > > distortion?
> > > >
> > > > Easy, look at the negative modulation trough. While the positive peak
> > > > can be allowed to rise until there is no more audio power, the negative
> > > > trough cannot go below the zero power cutoff. Unless you have the
> > > > ability to offset the center of the modulation, so that the negative
> > > > trough does not exceed zero (Which cannot be achieved using simple CB
> > > > "Swing" mods), you will cause splatter and distortion.
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> > > But it really makes those output meters and s-meters swing, so it
> > > must be a 'big signal'.
> >
> > well jj it is nice to see you have found somebody to be a kindred
> > spirit with ......bravisimo mudduck......now then i can think of only
> > one swing kit that would be good for you..and it includes a rope with
> > a noose in it and a horse, and a hanging tree and last but not least
> > some large zip ties.......you mudduck......
>
> I guess you are going to carry that out? Going to have a hard time
> since you think I live in Texas. Guess that swing stuff applies to
> you. Do you really get a kick out of seeing all those meters
> 'swang'? I know the technical stuff is way out of your
> league.....you LID.

well actually johnno.......you are breathing my air and i feel it is a
waste of my air.......that is all i can say......what brings you to
rec.radio.cb by the way?????

3259. FS- No Name 5LB Maul Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 18:56:52 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203135652.24036.00000167@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 145845

No Name 5LB Maul Like New with auto SSB, Remote Jacks, Rec Preamp. 1 driving 4 for big swing. Asking $300 or best offer

3260. Re: tower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 19:16:42 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DED031A.5020303@yahoo.com>
Source record: 145846

Bobean,
It depends on what kind of tower it is. For
something like Rohn 25, it's a very good price
if it's in good condition.
'Doc

3261. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 19:54:50 UTC
From: Snapping Gyro <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <ovOcnfgRmOqykXCgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145747

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DECDFF7-979@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Snapping Gyro)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13401-3DECAA40-125@storefull-
Nuthin; much here, mopar..somethings just don't come across usenet as
they should.....

>Yes, that is usually where you call people a >liar,

:One has nothing to with another.

As is usually the case with your replys

>you don't understand what they say...so its a >lie.

It's limited to KC8LDO, N8WWM, WA3MOJ, N3CVJ, and Frank Gilliland....and
yes, what they usualy have to say is a lie.

Yes, pre-determined disposition is what they call that.

3262. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 19:57:12 UTC
From: Wango Tango <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <jEOdnValWaEhkXCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145748

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:DHXG9.88290

: Very correctly and succinctly stated.

LOL, what is "very correctly" there old randy old chap our resident english major?

3263. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 20:56:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25598-3DED1A63-912@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145749

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Snapping Gyro)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13399-3DECDFF7-979@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
rcranus@hotmail.coms (Snapping Gyro) "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
wrote in message news:13401-3DECAA40-125@storefull-
Somethings just don't come across usenet as they should.....

>Yes, that is usually where you call people a >liar,

One has nothing to with another.

>As is usually the case with your replys
>you don't understand what they say...so its a >lie.

It's limited to KC8LDO, N8WWM, WA3MOJ, N3CVJ, and Frank Gilliland....and
yes, what they usualy have to say is a lie.

>Yes, pre-determined disposition is what they >call that.

Actually, it's because of their "predisposition", but you were close.

3264. Re: The State KIdnapped my baby girl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 21:26:47 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0212031326.7dba4a5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,us.misc.family-radio

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0212021622.72983d53@posting.google.com>...
>
> report it to the fbi?????????? oh please ....is that not one
> worthless organization since the 10 years of bill(a blow job is not
> sex) clinton and janet (bull dike) reno........

NEWSFLASH!!! Presidents are limited to two 4 year terms. Bill was in
only 8 years. But I guess this mistake is understandable from someone
who would make such statements!

BTW...Second NEWSFLASH!!! Reporting it to the FBI was sarcasm.
Please run future messages by someone with a SENSE OF HUMOR!

Randy
http://www.frs-usa.com

3265. Re: Techs:CPI Speech Compression Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 21:33:57 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5364-3DED2345-1022@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145751

Uh..How bout hooking up your trusty ammeter in series with power wire
and reading how much the thing
draws??Multimeters......priceless!.....Mark

3266. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 22:31:23 UTC
From: Wango Tango <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <bH6dnUghneFCrXCgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145752

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:25598-3DED1A63-

>Yes, pre-determined disposition is what they >call that.

Actually, it's because of their "predisposition", but you were close.

So Isn't that a lie in your book then?

3267. Re: Decriminalizing on-air obscenities: pro & con

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 22:46:27 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <asjc6402sp@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

```
TROLL-O-METER
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 +10 +20
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
```

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0212021847.468b5d17@posting.google.com...
> A blasphemous thought occurred to me a couple days ago: what if the
> FCC announced "from now on, we will no longer pursue any complaints of
> obscenities transmitted or broadcast over the airwaves... this
> includes all words which refer to sexual or excretory activities or
> organs, as well as to nudity and insulting gestures transmitted via
> image communications. Nor will we pursue complaints involving excess
> transmitting power. We will only pursue cases involving threats of
> bodily harm or property damage, or of jamming interfering with
> life-or-death emergencies".
>
> Pro: by making nude pics/middle fingers/mooning legal on ATV, making
> linears legal on CB, and making cussing legal on CB & ham, there
> wouldn't be any challenge to it anymore from the outlaw's point of
> view. No adrenaline rush = no incentive.
>
> Con: too many hams & CBers think such things should be as illegal as
> 1st-degree murder **NO MATTER WHAT** the law says--- after they cried
> their eyes out at being so cruelly betrayed by their beloved
> bureaucrats, they'd be outside the FCC's HQ picketing the place the
> very next morning, ready to wash scabs' mouths out with soap.
>
> Guy Richards

3268. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 23:15:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <gjequu0e92ga71606vvsiaruijdb9dt96d@4ax.com>
Source record: 145754

On Tue, 03 Dec 2002 11:59:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

>> >Sorry to hear that ;) What area are you from? I might know you.
>>
>> I grew up in Carteret, NJ.
>
>Ok, You weren't in my area. I grew up in Norristown Pa.

Actually, I am moving in the Macungie area near Allentown. I am familiar with
Norristown. I repair medical equipment, and have some stuff near Powell St at
the Hospital and the medical offices.

>> I am going right to the battery from the cigarette lighter. Should I change
>> something?

>I've had both good and bad results working from a Cigarette plug. In one
>vehicle I could satisfactorly power a 100 watt HF ham rig, while in
>another, the ignition noise, and voltage drop caused me to lose more
>than a few watts, and trashed the receive with noise.

I think it is a direct battery connection, as listed by the cover. It's always
on.

>The fact that you mentioned both a dim light and lower power, made me
>suspect that you might be fighting a low voltage situation. The best way
>to power any 2-way radio is to run leads directly to the battery. That
>might not be appealing to you, if you want to keep things on a strictly
>temporary basis, but you could still get away with it by using an

>SSB is an acquired taste. Many people love it, many others hate it. The
>fact that you have to tune in stations to understand them properly, as
>well as how a badly tuned or improperly setup radio can really trash
>audio fidelity, is a major turn off to some people. I've always enjoyed
>the mode, but throughout most of my CB days, the majority of the locals
>prefered AM, so that's where I usually ended up, with brief forays to
>SSB for some long distance contacts with mobiles on the fringe, or to
>get away from noise and interference.

How much more distance can you get in SSB?

Vinnie S.

3269. Re: tower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 23:30:30 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <q8bH9.1$635.806@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145755

a new Rohn 25 G will cost you in the neighborhood of 10.00 a foot....
its a good price if its a rohn...

drc

"Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13389-3DECE81D-947@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> is a 50ft tower worth 100$. my friend is selling the tower. is this
> to much .
>

3270. Slider on DX 2547 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 23:38:44 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203183844.05635.00000296@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 145756

Looking to do the slider mod. on this unit.
Anyone have the details?
Thank you in advance...

3271. Re: CB items for sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-03 23:48:47 UTC
From: modify66@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203184847.05713.00000306@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 145757

I think the shipping is where he will rake in the cash.
$20.00 come on now.... shipping is not that much.
For 20 bucks, it should be gift wrapped and over nighted.
oh well, that going to throw a lot of bidders off his list....

3272. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 00:13:51 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <62iquu442lifka8hio06h110omptrllhhv@4ax.com>
Source record: 145758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Mon, 02 Dec 2002 17:48:43 -0500, Pappy@USA.net was blabbling:

...
...Come on guys, grow up. Chuck was advising us of a thief among us.
...
...If you don't like it or take him serious just back off and be nice.

pappy,

these same guys, would be on the rampage if this happened to them....

73

-Chuck

3273. Uniden Pro 810 e SSB CB Base Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 00:17:26 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203191726.11518.00000177@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 145759

i need a uniden pro e ssb cb or Realistic trc 850 by friday .must be in the Montreal area or as close as possible
(a gift)

3274. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 00:53:47 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212031653.5807e7f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145760

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<DHXG9.88290$Gc.2633376@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> Very correctly and succinctly stated.

Let's just say that the best way to whip one's adversary is to know
him better than he knows himself. >;-D

Guy

3275. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:16:24 UTC
From: Wango Tango <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <05GcnYGM1Pf3ynCgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145761

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message

: ...what's really pathetic is that the kind of people who think such
: behavior is on a par with murder.

Who has ever said that in this NG?

:Unfortunately they also think Stalinism is great & that they're living in
the Spanish :Inquisition,

You don't know what anyone thinks except perhaps yourself.

: where accusation equals proof.

Nah, They openly admit the Law breaking ways.

3276. What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:17:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145762

Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue legalities, just to ask a question. There was a guy saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting each other and using ob-scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that? What is the thing that makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would supposedly grown people act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham bands, too, and I wonder the same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the lives of people whose whole world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3277. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:23:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23318-3DED5912-335@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145765

From: rcranus@hotmail.coms (Wango Tango)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25598-3DED1A63-
>Yes, pre-determined disposition is what they >call that.

Actually, it's because of their "predisposition", but you were close.
-

>So Isn't that a lie in your book then?

Definition as applied in your
example: "Predisposition"
The fact or condition of being predisposed.
2) To render subject susceptible or liable.
"Their biases toward illegal cb predisposes them to lie against those
who would discuss operations deemed illegal."

3278. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:35:23 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uuqmuspujknm2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145764

Primarily they happen to be losers who don't have much else productive to do. Thats why they have to act like jerks. I suspect if the welfare checks were cut off this nonsense would cease. I wonder if their mothers know they act in this way. Its pretty immature anyway.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue legalities,
> just to ask a question. There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting each
> other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that
> makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would supposedly
> grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham bands,
> too, and I wonder the
> same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the
> lives of people whose whole
> world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02
>
>
>

3279. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:50:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DED5F7A.B17A1BB1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145774

to oklahoma perhaps ?

Wrong state.

3280. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 01:54:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DED6057.B556460B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145775

NoBrains Baloney wrote:

>
> : Nope, were did you even get the remote idea I was? I have a 1X3
> : call and I don't live in Texas.
>
> Then what the hell were you doing driving by the Dallas Fort Worth Airport?

Because we were still in Texas then....DUH!!

> You moved, perhaps the FCC should find out you haven't updated the database.

How do you know the FCC data base hasn't been updated? You don't
even know my call.

3281. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:01:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DED61F8.18ED539D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145776

Secret Report wrote:
>
> > Tell you what NoBalls, just to prove how far off base you really
> > are, if you're smart enough to do it, figure out the ISP I am
> > posting from, then go to their web site and see if they have
> > access in Dickinson, Tx, where ever that is.
>
> Here's a tip:
>
> www.gbronline.com
>
> The last bounce was in Colorado.

Then I guess I am not
Callsign: KG5RG Class: Advanced
Name: JOHN A PERRY
Addr1: RTE 2 BOX 68
Addr2: DICKINSON, TX 77539
Country: USA
Effective: 12 Apr 1995 Expires: 12 Apr 2005

As some claim, as Dickinson, Tx sure isn't in Colorado. Some
people have to be lead by the hand.

3282. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:20:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <KDdH9.1610$bO.21399728@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145766

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
legalities,
> just to ask a question. There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
each
> other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that
> makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would
supposedly
> grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham
bands,
> too, and I wonder the
> same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the
> lives of people whose whole
> world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
>
>
> Jerry

The same thing that makes a troll
.... Get their jollies. I mean really,
all they are trying to do is irritate someone
so they can feel superior, just like some
that troll this newsgroup.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3283. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:20:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <KDdH9.1611$bO.21399728@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145767

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DECAB3D.BE9F3313@secret.com...
>
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > Secret Report wrote:
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > > > > want to get some of that shit on yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
> > > > > a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.
> > > >
> > > > I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to
> > > > 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on
> > > > Saturday. I dropped my 2950 off to the doc for a complete
> > > > alignment. It's never been done in the 7 years I've had it.
> > > > The xmit and USB receive was a bit off. Almost stock. I've
> > > > had to replace the bulbs once. I'll use it again on
> > > > Christmas Day and probably New Year's Day. Listen for me.
> > >
> > > You might want to have the memory battery replaced as well. Mine just
> > > quit after about 7 years.
> >
> >
> > I've already done that. Unsoldered the old battery, put two wires in
> > it's place, and attached a battery holder to the ends of the wires.
> > Taped up battery and holder and stuffed it in the harness.
> >
> > It will be a quick replacement when necessary.

Same thing here, much easier
that way to change the battery.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3284. Re: FS- No Name 5LB Maul Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:23:00 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212031823.1f4f7a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145768

dclark506@aol.com (Dclark506) wrote in message
news:<20021203135652.24036.00000167@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> No Name 5LB Maul Like New with auto SSB, Remote Jacks, Rec Preamp. 1 driving 4
> for big swing. Asking $300 or best offer

talk to the hand.....we don't like noname shit around here.....

3285. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:26:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212031826.a77e5da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message news:<3DEC42A8.A974C733@secret.com>...
> chessecutter wrote:
> >
> > > Well, if he's a CPA, he shouldn't have any problem finding a job. I'm sure
> > > there's some CEO willing to pay top dollar for CPAs with poor sight.
> >
> > yeh chuckie is a cpa alright.....how about 'car parking
> > attendant'.....hey he might just measure up for that job unless he
> > runs out of prozac and starts chasing peeps around with his trusty
> > baseball bat.....hello come in.....
>
> Man, I don't know if I'd like his sweaty hands on my steering wheel,
> for one, and I don't think my seat slides back far enough for him.

oh chuckie's girth is a problem .....but just for the
record.....just take a bleach bottle with you when you see him and
spray his sorry ass with bleach.....that is a known germ killer and
at least you won't get aids from your friendly car parking lot
attendant and his funky ass body fluids.....rogo d????

3286. Re: WARNING WARNING WARNING KC2ETW THIEF WARNING WARNING WARNING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:28:03 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212031828.59a2dd48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<asbrtv085b@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> "Tom" <jc@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:nujiuu8ll6m77uc6quegpu0cgj1tpga9bb@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 10:33:47 -0500, Chuck
> > <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >REAL Ham's Use CW!
> > >http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/
> >
> >
> > Shut up CPA and get a job, freak.
>
> Well, if he's a CPA, he shouldn't have any problem finding a job. I'm sure
> there's some CEO willing to pay top dollar for CPAs with poor sight.

hey krell...have you ever heard chuckie on 75 meters??? is it true
that chuckie has pissed off a number of hams on that freq???? and do
you guys mind if we bust his freekin balls.......?????

3287. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 02:31:04 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <INdH9.5104$4j5.2718375@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145763

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one George.
> Something is wrong.

"Critique this one, George." It's missing the comma :-)

Aaron
KB8ZVN

3288. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 03:04:26 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_geH9.94659$Gc.2795997@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145771

"Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:INdH9.5104$4j5.2718375@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one
George.
> > Something is wrong.
>
> "Critique this one, George." It's missing the comma :-)
>
> Aaron
> KB8ZVN
>
>

True, but another major rule of grammar was broken in the sentence with the
arrow pointing to it. Wanna hazard a guess?

3289. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 03:34:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wHeH9.14517$bq3.13075@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145773

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:KDdH9.1610$bO.21399728@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> > tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
> legalities,
> > just to ask a question. There was a guy
> > saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
> each
> > other and using ob-
> > scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to
that?
> > What is the thing that
> > makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would
> supposedly
> > grown people
> > act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham
> bands,
> > too, and I wonder the
> > same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the
> > lives of people whose whole
> > world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
> >
> >
> > Jerry
>
> The same thing that makes a troll
> ..... Get their jollies. I mean really,
> all they are trying to do is irritate someone
> so they can feel superior, just like some
> that troll this newsgroup.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

It might seem that *I* am trolling with this question, but when I hear
anyone acting this way, I am just lost! I've heard 8 year old hams that
operated more maturely than this! I know that when I
go to a frequency I plan to use, and it is busy, I will just move on to

another one. One thing that
seems to go on on the ham bands is that guys seem to pee on a certain
hydrant (frequency), and
if someone else happens to beat them to it, they'll piss and moan and
QRM--even getting into trouble with Mr. H---all over a stupid frequency they
think they own. If FCC declares a certain
frequency to be an emergency operations frequency, they'll QRM , whine,
bitch and moan as if
their claws were stuck in the carpet. Interestingly enough, several
frequencies around 27.555
seemed to be quite civil--illegal as heck, but civil. Heehe!

Jerry
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3290. Transel Corporation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 03:35:21 UTC
From: Paul <Ionbeam@Worldnet.ATT.net>
Message-ID: <ZJeH9.42265$hK4.3744351@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145772

I'm looking for the schematics for a Magnum 600 Watt Linear Amplifier made by Transel Corporation in the 1970's. If anyone happens to have any information please contact me at N1OB@ARRL.net

CUL de Paul

3291. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 04:14:36 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uur0697geouv66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145777

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_geH9.94659$Gc.2795997@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:INdH9.5104$4j5.2718375@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one
> George.
> > > Something is wrong.
> >
> > "Critique this one, George." It's missing the comma :-)
> >
> > Aaron
> > KB8ZVN
> >
> >
>
> True, but another major rule of grammar was broken in the sentence with
the
> arrow pointing to it. Wanna hazard a guess?
>
>

Never begin a sentence with the word 'and' ?

3292. export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 04:28:35 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <s21ruug81ib59tnlkk22qdhao5oemd2buj@4ax.com>
Source record: 145778

What exactly are these?

Vinnie S.

3293. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 05:55:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <lJidnQ_Nw5hVBXCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145779

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:s21ruug81ib59tnlkk22qdhao5oemd2buj@4ax.com...
> What exactly are these?
>
> Vinnie S.

radios which are not legal for sale in the US, usually because they are
capable of higher power or more channels than is allowed in CB service.

3294. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 06:12:31 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asks7t$8i4$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 145783

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
legalities,
> just to ask a question. There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
> each other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that makes grown men want to act in such an infantile
> way? Why would supposedly grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham
bands,
> too, and I wonder the same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder
> about the sanity and the lives of people whose whole world
> revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.

Hi Jerry.

I wonder the same thing... and it is not just limited to radio use.

Look at all the new computer viruses created every day... over 100 every
week. Do they not have anything better to do with their time?

Then, there's the newsgroup Trolls. Like those who post false messages or
something else to wind people up, usually crossposting to unrelated groups,
and use the header to send replies to another newsgroup.
Recently there was one appeared in a few groups. Someone claiming to have
another Internet user stalking her, sending nude pictures of himself to her
and her 12 year old daughter. Although she acted innocent and clueless about
how to stop this, her name changed in each group, as did her e-m addy (which
had a spamblock added)... and the header had been set to send the replies to
another group.
Of course, it had to be a fake story, but the mention of a 12 year old girl
being at risk would have fired up some replies.

What about the people who do mindless damage to property, they gain nothing
from it. I remember, one morning, finding scratch marks all the way up the
car, from front to back and several of them. Other people had their
antennas snapped off.
This is nothing new, my first employer had it happen once... one night,
someone went up his street damaging every car. Another time, someone made
use of his letterbox... as a urinal.

Then you get all these terrorist groups... spending their lives trying to
stop others from living theirs.

Surely, their time would be better spent improving their own lives, rather than trying to make everyone else as miserable as them.

Regards,

Peter.

3295. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 07:39:48 UTC
From: Wango Tango <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <PqydnW7x6ZHYLHCgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 145781

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%YiH9.117132$8D.3002675@twister.austin.rr.com...

: >
: > Never begin a sentence with the word 'and' ?
: >
: >
:
: And (intended rule violation), BP takes the prize!!!!!!!!!!!!!

get a friggin life

3296. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 08:24:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%YiH9.117132$8D.3002675@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145780

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
news:uur0697geouv66@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:_geH9.94659$Gc.2795997@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:INdH9.5104$4j5.2718375@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one
> > George.
> > > > Something is wrong.
> > >
> > > "Critique this one, George." It's missing the comma :-)
> > >
> > > Aaron
> > > KB8ZVN
> > >
> > >
> >
> > True, but another major rule of grammar was broken in the sentence with
> the
> > arrow pointing to it. Wanna hazard a guess?
> >
> >
>
> Never begin a sentence with the word 'and' ?
>
>

And (intended rule violation), BP takes the prize!!!!!!!!!!!!

3297. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 11:48:18 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204064818.01187.00010929@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 145782

Hey Jerry do want cheese with that whine?Troll Troll troll away.First you whine about 10meters now you working your way down ?Pretty soon you will be turning in the truckers on 19 for smokie reports.If you don't like cb why listen?

3298. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 12:13:06 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asl441$6t39$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145791

Just depends on what you want to do with your radio. You can get a lot of good skip with the export if your running the right Frq's. I have a friend that has some and he loves it!

Illegal or not they are fun.

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message news:fl4suu4elvjckhd29jgsvndgkkem6dhevm@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 07:33:25 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. wrote:
> >>
> >> What exactly are these?
> >
> >An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> >use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> >than in the U.S.
> >These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> >additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100
> >watts).
> >Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> >"High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than
> >what the FCC rules allow.
> >
> >As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> >meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
>
> I wasn't sure. There really is no explaination for this on the websites.
Sounds
> like overkill for people like me. I can see how someone on a base unit
would
> want this.
>
> Vinnie S.

3299. Re: Oldie newbie need mobile CB recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 12:28:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEDF4D3.1D65@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145785

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> On Tue, 03 Dec 2002 11:59:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> wrote:
>
> >> >Sorry to hear that ;) What area are you from? I might know you.
> >>
> >> I grew up in Carteret, NJ.
> >
> >Ok, You weren't in my area. I grew up in Norristown Pa.
>
> Actually, I am moving in the Macungie area near Allentown. I am familiar with
> Norristown. I repair medical equipment, and have some stuff near Powell St at
> the Hospital and the medical offices.

That would be Montgomery Hospital. Actually, I'm closer to Macungie now,
as I've moved to Douglassville.
How's the medical repair business? I had a chance to branch into that
several years ago, but never felt comfortable with the idea of working
on "blood and guts" machines. I hear it pays well, especially for
independant contractors.

>
> >> I am going right to the battery from the cigarette lighter. Should I change
> >> something?
>
> >I've had both good and bad results working from a Cigarette plug. In one
> >vehicle I could satisfactorly power a 100 watt HF ham rig, while in
> >another, the ignition noise, and voltage drop caused me to lose more
> >than a few watts, and trashed the receive with noise.
>
> I think it is a direct battery connection, as listed by the cover. It's always
> on.

Usually they are, but they are not always a truly "direct" run, as they
route the wires through the fuse box, and in the wire bundles, where
they can pick up noises, and may not always have the least resistance.

>
> >SSB is an acquired taste. Many people love it, many others hate it. The
> >fact that you have to tune in stations to understand them properly, as
> >well as how a badly tuned or improperly setup radio can really trash
> >audio fidelity, is a major turn off to some people. I've always enjoyed
> >the mode, but throughout most of my CB days, the majority of the locals
> >prefered AM, so that's where I usually ended up, with brief forays to

> >SSB for some long distance contacts with mobiles on the fringe, or to
> >get away from noise and interference.
>
> How much more distance can you get in SSB?

That's a hard question to quantify. Range numbers for any mode or setup have too many variables to make an accurate prediction. But generally speaking, you can expect to get about 50-100% farther with local contacts over those using AM. From my own personal experience, the furthest that I've talked on AM without anything fancy on either end, was about 40 miles, with almost zero noise, from a base station with a directional antenna. With that same setup, on SSB, I was able to hit stations at the Jersey shore, from the Norristown area, which is better than 100 miles. These guys weren't moving the needle, but I could hear them, and they could hear me. Again, this was in the dead of night. During the day, I'd be lucky to do half that distance on either mode. Mobile range, of course, will be less, unless you're at the top of a nice sized hill.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3300. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 12:33:20 UTC
From: F68A <f68a@free.fr>
Message-ID: <3dedf629$0$22983$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 145784

Unpluged rigs that are illegal all over the world and not only in the country they're sold.
Perhaps these rigs might be "legal" in the Republic of Banana-Fishbone Land... Ho ho ho!

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> a crit dans le message de news: s21ruug81ib59tnlkk22qdhao5oemd2buj@4ax.com...
> What exactly are these?
>
> Vinnie S.

3301. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 12:33:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEDF615.5571@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145786

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> What exactly are these?

An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different than in the U.S.
These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100 watts).
Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with "High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than what the FCC rules allow.

As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11 meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3302. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 12:43:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEDF864.86@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145787

Landshark wrote:
>
> "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> news:3DECAB3D.BE9F3313@secret.com...
> >
> >
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> > >
> > > Secret Report wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well you better bury your radio real quick unless you
> > > > > > want to get some of that shit on yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > But George, himself has said that he owns & operates
> > > > > a CB everyday, talk about being a hypocrite.
> > > >
> > > > I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to
> > > > 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on
> > > > Saturday. I dropped my 2950 off to the doc for a complete
> > > > alignment. It's never been done in the 7 years I've had it.
> > > > The xmit and USB receive was a bit off. Almost stock. I've
> > > > had to replace the bulbs once. I'll use it again on
> > > > Christmas Day and probably New Year's Day. Listen for me.
> > >
> > > You might want to have the memory battery replaced as well. Mine just
> > > quit after about 7 years.
> >
> >
> > I've already done that. Unsoldered the old battery, put two wires in
> > it's place, and attached a battery holder to the ends of the wires.
> > Taped up battery and holder and stuffed it in the harness.
> >
> > It will be a quick replacement when necessary.
>
> Same thing here, much easier
> that way to change the battery.

Just be careful though. I've had people do that exact "mod", and had the
battery break loose, from mobile use, and short to some component
inside. If the + lead of the battery comes into contact with +12V, you
can say goodbye to your microprocessor. I'd be leary of just using
electrical tape and "stuffing" it in the wire bundle. A more secure
fastening method would be desirable, especially if you use the rig in

the mobile.

When I replaced mine, I used a flat battery socket, so that I can replace a dead battery by just sliding it out of the socket and popping in a new one. It just fits in the space.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3303. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 13:21:58 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE0176.4679C152@secret.com>
Source record: 145788

Wango Tango wrote:
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:%YiH9.117132$8D.3002675@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> : >
> : > Never begin a sentence with the word 'and' ?
> : >
> : >
> :
> : And (intended rule violation), BP takes the prize!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> get a friggin life

Where's yours?

3304. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:12:11 UTC
From: Wango Tango <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <TWmdnXa0ktXBkHOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145789

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
: > get a friggin life
:
: Where's yours?

It is right there next to the spellin bee champ's life.

3305. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:15:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8364-3DEE0DF5-2@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145792

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
>Today after listening to truck drivers up and >down the 10 meter band,
I tuned down on the >infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
>legalities, just to ask a question. There was a >guy
>saying over and over, "Canadian >Superpower", a couple of guys
insulting each >other and using ob-
>scenities, and someone playing rap racket.

Ok...folow along now. I can't help you with the Candian station 'cause
they usually get buried unless they are a big strapper down
here...BUT....

>What's the attraction to that?

Same attraction to 2 meter? 75? (need I go on?)

> What is the thing that
>makes grown men want to act in such an >infantile way? Why would
supposedly grown >people
>act as if they have never left 3rd grade?

Well, you know how it is with us "wannabe hammies" and all...we imitate
what we hear on the hammie bands since we're all too stupid to plunk
down our money, social security number and private address to be handed
to attain even a nocode licenseso that some of these same hammies use
that information for nefarious purposes....you have been witness to it
yourself.

>Yes, it happens on the ham bands, too, and I >wonder the
>same thing.

I don't wonder about that at all..I think you're overcomplicating
it...those you speak of on the hammie bands with that attitude have
expressed their shortcomings and problems right here...many were and
still are cbers and refuse to let go of their lowlife ways...it follows
them to hammie radio. I never cease to be amused by those who feel that
the ticket somehow elevates them above other humans that have other
interests in communications and electronics besides hammie radio.

>I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the >sanity and the lives of
people whose whole
>world revolves around trying to jam, interfere >with, and insult
others.
>Jerry
>---

Once again, as has been demonstrated by Arrons little hate posse, the ticket does nothing virtuous for these type..the way they act here is totally indicative of how they act on the air. Good points, Jerry.

3306. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:22:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8364-3DEE0FB4-3@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145794

>It might seem that *I* am trolling with this >question, but when I hear anyone acting this >way, I am just lost!

I don' tthtink you're trolling, Jerry. You made an observation and pointed it out.....nothing wrong with that.
Those who would call you a troll for stating what you merely observed or for what you are appointing out may have to re-examine their position.
There are those who would prefer such things not be illustrated unless it is conducive to a certain agenda they hold..
to do so invites off-topic flames and personal insults.

>I've heard 8 year old hams that operated more >maturely than this!

I've known 8 year old kids that don't lie like some of these "virtuous" hammies.

>I know that when I go to a frequency I plan to >use, and it is busy, I will just move on to >another one.

Exactly. Or wait your turn.

>One thing that
>seems to go on on the ham bands is that guys >seem to pee on a certain hydrant (frequency), >and
>if someone else happens to beat them to it, >they'll piss and moan and QRM--even getting >into trouble with Mr. H---all over a stupid
>frequency they think they own.

Yea, like I said, they can probaly be associated with our resident malcontents...the hypocrisy is astounding, is it not?

>If FCC declares a certain frequency to be an >emergency operations frequency, they'll QRM >, whine, bitch and moan as if
>their claws were stuck in the carpet. >Interestingly enough, several frequencies >around 27.555
>seemed to be quite civil--illegal as heck, but >civil. Heehe!
>Jerry

555 is great, too. Just point your beam east for some trans-Atlantic talk and fuhgettaboud the clipped wings in Phoenix.

3307. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:29:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8361-3DEE1161-26@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145793

From: allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
>Hey Jerry do want cheese with that >whine?Troll Troll troll away.First you whine >about 10meters now you working your way >down ?Pretty soon you will be turning in the >truckers on 19 for smokie reports.If you don't >like cb why listen?

With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being able to, so instead of following procedure to change things, they take it upon themselves to harass us....always attacking the symptom or messenger. It would take too much intellect and effort to make any real changes..I mean, let's get serious a moment and take a look at who we are talking about here...the hammies that pitch pissy moaning fits here about cbers are the same ones that decent hammies distant themselves from.

3308. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:36:40 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <fl4suu4elvjckhd29jgsvndgkkem6dhevm@4ax.com>
Source record: 145790

On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 07:33:25 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>> What exactly are these?
>
>An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
>use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
>than in the U.S.
>These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
>additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100
>watts).
>Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
>"High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than
>what the FCC rules allow.
>
>As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
>meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.

I wasn't sure. There really is no explaination for this on the websites. Sounds
like overkill for people like me. I can see how someone on a base unit would
want this.

Vinnie S.

3309. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 14:38:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8361-3DEE134C-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145795

>Nah, They openly admit the Law breaking >ways.

So do the very few hypocritical hammies in here that bitch the most about illegal cb. Hammie Dave Hall N3CVJ has been shown a hypocrite concerning his amp statements..calling those who use them a federal criminal (stemming from sheer ignorance) while owning and using a Davemade on illegal frequencies. Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths.....then we have his post seeking a way around the rules to construct an antenna where one was prohibited, not to mention his recent foray into the freeband for attempted but failed harassment. We also have Frank who has acted the same way who has also had many hands in the same type behavior he hypocritcally condemns.
So yes, these internet thugs and boils to hammie radio are indeed self-professed law breakers.

3310. Re: Transel Corporation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 15:03:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021204100322.27850.00008739@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145796

www.cbtricks.com has alot of scematichs for things like this. if not join the mailing list and ask some of the techs there. its likely at least one of them has worked on one of these.

>
>
>I'm looking for the schematics for a Magnum 600 Watt Linear Amplifier made
>by Transel Corporation in the 1970's. If anyone happens to have any
>information please contact me at N1OB@ARRL.net
>
>CUL de Paul
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3311. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 15:53:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kwpH9.19264$bq3.2135@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145847

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204064818.01187.00010929@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Hey Jerry do want cheese with that whine?Troll Troll troll away.First you
> whine about 10meters now you working your way down ?Pretty soon you will
be
> turning in the truckers on 19 for smokie reports.If you don't like cb why
> listen?

Curiosity. All I did was ask a simple question as to why allegedly grown
people would act
like infantile idiots, not just on a radio, but elsewhere as well. If the
lack of understanding of
such behavior is "trolling" then so be it. One thing for sure: I will never
stoop to such stupid
behavior. What a waste of space and breath.

J

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3312. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 15:58:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YBpH9.19298$bq3.114@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145848

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8364-3DEE0FB4-3@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >It might seem that *I* am trolling with this >question, but when I hear
> anyone acting this >way, I am just lost!
>
>
>
> I don' tthtink you're trolling, Jerry. You made an observation and
> pointed it out.....nothing wrong with that.
> Those who would call you a troll for stating what you merely observed or
> for what you are appointing out may have to re-examine their position.
> There are those who would prefer such things not be illustrated unless
> it is conducive to a certain agenda they hold..
> to do so invites off-topic flames and personal insults.
>
>
> >I've heard 8 year old hams that operated more >maturely than this!
>
>
> I've known 8 year old kids that don't lie like some of these "virtuous"
> hammies.
>
>
> >I know that when I go to a frequency I plan to >use, and it is busy, I
> will just move on to >another one.
>
>
>
>
>
> Exactly. Or wait your turn.
>
>
> >One thing that
> >seems to go on on the ham bands is that guys >seem to pee on a certain
> hydrant (frequency), >and
> >if someone else happens to beat them to it, >they'll piss and moan and
> QRM--even getting >into trouble with Mr. H---all over a stupid
> >frequency they think they own.
>
>
>
> Yea, like I said, they can probaly be associated with our resident
> malcontents...the hypocrisy is astounding, is it not?

>
>
>
> >If FCC declares a certain frequency to be an >emergency operations
> frequency, they'll QRM >, whine, bitch and moan as if
> >their claws were stuck in the carpet. >Interestingly enough, several
> frequencies >around 27.555
> >seemed to be quite civil--illegal as heck, but >civil. Heehe!
> >Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> 555 is great, too. Just point your beam east for some trans-Atlantic
> talk and fuhgettaboud the clipped wings in Phoenix.

SO true! BTW, who is "Canadian Superpower" anyhoo? And your observations
are correct:
Most hams don't associate with those miscreants that cause so much trouble
on our bands, either. It's just that I'll never understand why a hobby is
something to get bent over. There's too
much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.

73

Jerry
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3313. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:21:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UWpH9.19424$bq3.3960@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145849

Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:asks7t$8i4$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> > tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
> legalities,
> > just to ask a question. There was a guy
> > saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
> > each other and using ob-
> > scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to
that?
> > What is the thing that makes grown men want to act in such an infantile
> > way? Why would supposedly grown people
> > act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham
> bands,
> > too, and I wonder the same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder
> > about the sanity and the lives of people whose whole world
> > revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
>
>
> Hi Jerry.
>
> I wonder the same thing... and it is not just limited to radio use.
>
> Look at all the new computer viruses created every day... over 100 every
> week. Do they not have anything better to do with their time?
>
> Then, there's the newsgroup Trolls. Like those who post false messages or
> something else to wind people up, usually crossposting to unrelated
groups,
> and use the header to send replies to another newsgroup.
> Recently there was one appeared in a few groups. Someone claiming to have
> another Internet user stalking her, sending nude pictures of himself to
her
> and her 12 year old daughter. Although she acted innocent and clueless
about
> how to stop this, her name changed in each group, as did her e-m addy
(which
> had a spamblock added)... and the header had been set to send the replies
to
> another group.
> Of course, it had to be a fake story, but the mention of a 12 year old
girl

> being at risk would have fired up some replies.
>
> What about the people who do mindless damage to property, they gain
nothing
> from it. I remember, one morning, finding scratch marks all the way up
the
> car, from front to back and several of them. Other people had their
> antennas snapped off.
> This is nothing new, my first employer had it happen once... one night,
> someone went up his street damaging every car. Another time, someone made
> use of his letterbox... as a urinal.
>
> Then you get all these terrorist groups... spending their lives trying to
> stop others from living theirs.
>
>
> Surely, their time would be better spent improving their own lives, rather
> than trying to make everyone else as miserable as them.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

Exactly. Egging cars and "rolling" yards (over here, that's filling the
trees and everything that doesn't
move with yards and yards of toilet paper) has never appealed to me. I have
been guilty of my share of pranks, tho. Like the time a friend and I jacked
up a guy's Volkswagen and placed it on a
block just enough that the rear wheel was barely off the ground. He started
up and, of course, the
car wouldn't move. He declared, "Darn! I knew I should've replaced that
clutch". He got out of
the car and left it running and in gear because he thought the tranny was
out. You should've seen his shocked expression when he spied the wheel
turning idly round and round! That was many,
many years ago when I was in high school. I once won a rubber snake at the
county fair at some
shooting booth--hardly a notable prize. I stuck it in my pocket and forgot
about it. One Saturday
morning, I drifted over to a little hole-in-wall gas station to hang out.
Several of us were sitting on
a long bench in front of the store. There was a older man that reminded me
of the TV actor Broderick Crawford sitting on the bench. After quite some
time, I noticed that Mr Early would
cross his legs, lean to the right and prop his hand on the edge of the bench
while yakking about some long ago event. After awhile, he would sit up
straight and fold his arms across his chest. The
process was repeated several times until I remembered the rubber snake I had

in my pocket. Bet-
ting that Early would prop his hand on the edge of the bench again, I fished out the snake and laid it
on the edge. Sure enough, Mr. Early crossed his legs, leaned over, and propped his hand on the edge of the bench. He was in the middle of a long story (he'd had a bit to drink as well), his double
chin flopping, and when he felt "something" on the bench, he didn't stop talking; he rolled the snake
off the bench into his hand and, still talking, brought it around in front so he could see what it was.
"And there I was in the middle of a whole bunch of sailors------SHI*!" He slung the snake out past
the gas pumps!!! And, of course, the rest of us had a huge laugh at his expense.

Today, I wouldn't do that to someone because, while it might be funny to scare a person, you never
know if you might cause someone to have a heart attack. I might scare my cat, but not a person.

Jerry
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3314. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:26:01 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <rEWdnTE_C7IksXOgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145850

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:8364-3DEE0DF5-

> What is the thing that
>makes grown men want to act in such an >infantile way? Why would
supposedly grown >people
>act as if they have never left 3rd grade?

: some of these same hammies use
: that information for nefarious purposes....you have been witness to it
: yourself.

Yes we have witnessed you do the same to some poor old Ham in Washington
with Sparky, use the information against them LOL, the samewith Leland ,
Doug and myself. So we sure know what you do with the info.

--

: Once again,

Yes caught in another lie

:as has been demonstrated by Arrons little hate posse,

ah there you go again with Aaron name, how long has he been gone? Can't get
him off your mind eh twitsy

:the way they act here is
:totally indicative of how they act on the air.

What you say doesn't matter, just because you say it doesn't make it so.

3315. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:29:17 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <fbGcnd2ARpLjsHOgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145851

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8361-3DEE134C-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
: >Nah, They openly admit the Law breaking >ways.

Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
: federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
: violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths.....then we
: have his post seeking a way around the rules to construct an antenna
: where one was prohibited,

Really so what rule was he breaking? was it a Federal Law

: not to mention his recent foray into the freeband

I am sure he doesn't use the freeband

:We also have Frank who has acted the same way who has also had many hands
in the
: same type behavior he hypocritcally condemns.

What Federal Laws has frank admitted breaking. Twisty you are lieing again.

: So yes, these internet thugs and boils to hammie radio are indeed
: self-professed law breakers.
:

3316. Re: Transel Corporation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:30:03 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <SfOcnVuY7rsxsHOgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145852

Tim you better ask Randy for some spellin lessons he is close by perhaps he
will come over. if you havent notice he is the NG spelling bee champ
"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021204100322.27850.00008739@mb-mf.aol.com...
: www.cbtricks.com has alot of scematichs for things like this. if not join
the
: mailing list and ask some of the techs there. its likely at least one of
them
: has worked on one of these.
:
: >
: >
: >I'm looking for the schematics for a Magnum 600 Watt Linear Amplifier
made
: >by Transel Corporation in the 1970's. If anyone happens to have any
: >information please contact me at N1OB@ARRL.net
: >
: >CUL de Paul
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:
:
:

3317. Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:37:00 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145853

Hi all

Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy

Antron 99
18ft coax and plugs
10amp PSU

I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please? I don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to ship. Please help.

Kind Regards

Stuart

3318. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:37:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145854

we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus of one freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a hobby is >something to get bent over. There's too
>much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
>
>73
>
>Jerry
>>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3319. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:42:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021204114209.27831.00009272@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145855

he took my credit card just fine...

>
>Hi all
>
>Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
>
>Antron 99
>18ft coax and plugs
>10amp PSU
>
>I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please? I
>don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to ship.
>Please help.
>
>Kind Regards
>
>Stuart
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3320. UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 16:46:43 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dee76d2_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145877

I have an over 25 year old 7' UHF Parabolic TV antenna. I have taken it down (was outside at least that long) and will re-assemble it. I have a brand new exact same piece that is the "collector" of the reflected signal. Brand new from the antenna terminals to where it connects on the dish. No new dish parts. THE questions are:

(a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E bay? Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about aluminum antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS this nonsence?

(b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal aluminum that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same? Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?

Antenna Geeks, start thinking!
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3321. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:00:31 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <kxqH9.2632$n31.141718@newsfep2-gui>
Source record: 145856

I have a international CC he says he can't send to be because it wouldnt be going to my home address which is in the UK not Florida where I will be living for a while.

Anyone please help me!

"Who Would Have Guessed" <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message news:20021204114209.27831.00009272@mb-mf.aol.com...
> he took my credit card just fine...
>
> >
> >Hi all
> >
> >Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> >
> >Antron 99
> >18ft coax and plugs
> >10amp PSU
> >
> >I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please? I
> >don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to ship.
> >Please help.
> >
> >Kind Regards
> >
> >Stuart
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3322. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:08:32 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <kEqH9.665$bH.77454@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145858

thats what happens when you have more balls than brains.....

drc

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
> Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue
legalities,
> just to ask a question. There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
each
> other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that
> makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would
supposedly
> grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham
bands,
> too, and I wonder the
> same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the
> lives of people whose whole
> world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02
>
>
>

3323. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:09:10 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 145857

Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
http://www.iglou.com/copper/

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

3324. Re: Uniden Pro 810 e SSB CB Base Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:41:32 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <g7rH9.3$UF4.112@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 145859

the Uniden PC-122 is the same radio, just add a power supply...

drc

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021203191726.11518.00000177@mb-fg.aol.com...
> i need a uniden pro e ssb cb or Realistic trc 850 by friday .must be in
the
> Montreal area or as close as possible
> (a gift)

3325. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:46:35 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26004-3DEE3F7B-11@storefull-2192.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145863

Jerry,Why do you always care what the "other guy" is doin?Is that ham ticket makin you that paranoid???....Mark

3326. HEY TIM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 17:48:37 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26004-3DEE3FF5-12@storefull-2192.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145862

Stop the mail..Merry Christmas!!!..Mark

3327. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 18:02:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DEE433F.674B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145861

Twistedhed wrote:
> Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..

The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath. A psychopath and a sociopath share many common maladies, but the key difference is that a psychopath is prone to violence, while a sociopath prefers passive agressive tactics, and "mind games".

And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at the established rules of society, no matter what you may think of them, are considered sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......

More links on the subject of sociopathic disorders. Do these sound like anyone we know?

http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/socio.htm

http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHistrionicPersonalityDisorders.htm

http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16.htm

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3328. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 18:05:14 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <wtrH9.2398$_06.73547@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145860

Thanks but nope they are not much good either, I don't belive in the whole
of north america there isn't a good online CB shop?

Thx

Stu

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> http://www.iglou.com/copper/
>
>
>
> ** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
> **Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...**
> {{:-})> <({-:}}

3329. Re: HEY TIM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 18:41:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021204134120.27813.00009421@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145864

say whatttttttttttttttt?????????????

are you serious man????

>
>Stop the mail..Merry Christmas!!!..Mark

3330. Re: tower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 18:50:55 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <231-3DEE4E8F-7@storefull-2338.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145865

yes it is in good shape.i think it is a rohn 25 it was use for
a tv antenn.i went and bought it

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here

3331. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:28:43 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asll39022tc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145867

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi all
>
> Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
>
> Antron 99
> 18ft coax and plugs
> 10amp PSU
>
> I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please?
I
> don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to
ship.
> Please help.
>
> Kind Regards
>
> Stuart
>

http://www.alfenterprises.com/base.htm

http://valera-radios.150m.com/solarcon_antron_99.htm

http://www.durhamradio.com/solarcon.htm

among a few others found with google.

3332. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:33:36 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asllcc023ct@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145869

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:s21ruug81ib59tnlkk22qdhao5oemd2buj@4ax.com...
> What exactly are these?
>
> Vinnie S.

Radios that do not meet specific FCC requirements for proper use in the USA.
Such as 10 meter units displaying channel indicators, having "roger beep"
installed, greater than 4 watts internal output.
A simple modification makes these radios capable of transmitting on 11
meters.
Plus not being type accepted for the above reasons.

3333. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:35:18 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asllfi023jn@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145870

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DEDF615.5571@worldlynx.net...
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> >
> > What exactly are these?
>
> An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> than in the U.S.
> These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100
> watts).
> Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> "High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than
> what the FCC rules allow.
>
> As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Not to mention the fact that within the USA, to purchase one, you MUST hold
a ham radio operators license.

3334. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:41:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pSsH9.20875$bq3.5044@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145866

Who Would Have Guessed <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
news:20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com...
> we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus of
one
> freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a hobby
is
> >something to get bent over. There's too
> >much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
> >
> >73
> >
> >Jerry
> >>
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those unlicensed operators have NO
business being there,
and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening to it, if I DO hear those
intruders and IF I can
catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute. No worry, no hassle to me.
He is not allowed to
be there, he is breaking the law, and he is taking something that belongs to
me; he is NOT welcome
to transmit there. Of course, *you* wouldn't understand the principle of
what's right or wrong since
"them thar fellers ain't a-hurtin' nothin' nohow", or "they's got a rah't to
operate a reddio, too jist la'k
y'all do", and "Ah cain't see why them fellers gits to operate up'air on
them frequencies an' I has to
have a lah'cense, too".

It happens to be that I support fully the sensible, sane use of radio
whether it be on CB or any radio
service, and the operation of same in a legal manner. I fully support CB
radio, but I have no tolerance for the theft of frequencies for use by
bandits and rogues, or illegal interference. What the
folks do on the regular 40 doesn't really bother me. Come up on my turf,
and I won't even talk to
the offending station, but I will be on the phone to RH before you can say
scat. I've done it before

and I'll do it again.

J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3335. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:55:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <U3tH9.21085$bq3.5923@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145868

Mark Roehlke <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26004-3DEE3F7B-11@storefull-2192.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Jerry,Why do you always care what the "other guy" is doin?Is that ham
> ticket makin you that paranoid???....Mark

There is no paranoia involved. I just don't see how grown(?) men get such
joy out of banal,
low-life, stupid, infantile activities. What is alarming is, there seems to
be so many men that
NEVER outgrow childish behavior. It's a wonder women have anything to do
with them: then
again, DO they? Or are they losers to a man? I merely asked a question as
to how these trash
get joy from constant filthy language, insulting one another, repeating a
dumb phrase over and over
and over and over, playing music, and throwing dead keys--not just as a
single, passing prank--but
day in and day out, over and over. It just beats me.

My ham ticket is only one of a long string of activities that I enjoy. I
also have a shop crammed full
of tools. I spend time doing things ranging from metal working to wood
projects. I framed up my
basement and paneled it with REAL shiplap 3/4" oak boards. I built a
furnace room and a pantry.
I flew airplanes until it became so expensive I let my medical drop; nothing
prettier than flying into
a beautiful sunset returning from a day at the beach. Yes, there is more to
life than radio.

J
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3336. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 19:59:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25613-3DEE5E90-1@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145871

From: news@villas4all.net (Stu)
I have a international CC he says he can't send to be because it wouldnt
be going to my home address which is in the UK not Florida where I will
be living for a while.
Anyone please help me!
--
Have you tried a money order?

3337. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 20:15:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24467-3DEE6269-9@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145874

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..

>The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath.

No...Lelnad used the term "psychopath" not sociopath. Pay attention and stop being so particular about what days you choose to possess gumption.

>A psychopath and a sociopath share many >common maladies, but the key difference is >that a psychopath is prone to violence, while a >sociopath

Wold "sociopathic liar" fall under that umbrella?

>And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of society, no matter >what you may think of them, are considered >sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.....

So Davey boy, how long have you considered yourself a psychopath?
Of course the licensed hammie N3CVJ who claims with his license comes a responsibility to operate within his own acceptable stated perimeters and what he deems "responsible", only here he is blatantly bragging about the illegal behavior he claims makes the rest of us "federal criminals".

From your own lips Davey-boy:
>Who needs "Melrose Place" when you have a >scanner? My neighborhood phone traffic was >no less juicy at times. Teenaged girls talking >about their first time with sex, wife talking to >newly found lover while hubby watched >Monday Night Football in the next room, guys >making drug buys, and even caught one >neighbor bitching about ME and all my "radio >stuff" to another.
>It's fun to try to figure out who all those voices >belong to. Sometimes more entertaining than >TV, becasue it's REAL..... Dave
>"Sandbagger"

MORE..from N3CVJ's own hypcritical own words:
>I will say that there are SOME hams that may >feel a bit cheated, since they feel obligated to >follow the rules, and it bothers them to see >Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, >and seemingly without the least bit of remorse. >Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Well, we know that's YOUR problem, Dave. What other hammies are you purporting to speak for in the above?
And we certainly can't ignore:
>I currently own 2 Dave made amps. Both of >them "sound" ok. But they are also not >something that I would run inside my house. I >have them because I horse traded other radio >gear. I'd trade them for a Texas Star DX-500 >or 600 if I had the opportunity.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Let's see what you said again:
>And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of society, no matter >what you may think of them, are considered >sociopaths,

And so again the question is posed to you...how long have you been a hypocritical federal lawbreaker?
N3CVJ claimed he was a federal law breaker many years ago when he was younger until he saw the light and mended his ways......balderdash. The post is less than 3 years old. The fact that someone his age lies as much as he does is troubling enough. The real question would be WHY he is compelled to commit such behavior and engage in such magnificent lies.

>More links on the subject of sociopathic >disorders. Do these sound like anyone we >know?
>http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/s>ocio.htm
>http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHistrionicPersonalityDisorders.htm
>http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16>.htm
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

It might. The fact that you consider yourself "knowing" an internet stranger based on what they allow you goes hand in hand with the arrogance and ignorance emanating from your lying pie hole. The sites concerning habitual and sociopathic liars are more accurate in this application. One must look no further than your posts to see your contradictions and psychopathic tendencies. The fact that you fancy yourself more knowledgeable than the next guy concerning mental health merely adds to the entertainment of your claims. You have only accusatory claims and your personal off-topic self-inflated opinions,,,the rest of us have your posts. Now how about exercising some of that gumption that seems to elude you.....

3338. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 20:35:52 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <S8KcnT7SXPWp-nOgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 145872

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:U3tH9.21085$bq3.5923@news.bellsouth.net...

Jerry while you are here could you please explain to Twisty how those export
radios arent legal to use. without type certification.

3339. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 20:38:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28135-3DEE67D4-1@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145873

>Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those >unlicensed operators have
NO business being >there,
>and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening >to it, if I DO hear
those intruders and IF I can
>catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute.

I remember some hammies telling me that if one reports the frequency
along with the time and operator's call sign with a recording, they will
receive a letter from the FCC, specifically Hollingsworth. Is this true?
Say someone had a a few pics and a recording of one who attempts
harassment on a regular basis, like a hammie transmitting on a frequency
where they shouldn't be.....is there a physical address to send a
cassette tape to? Hypothetically speaking, of course.

>No worry, no hassle to me. He is not allowed >to
>be there, he is breaking the law, and he is >taking something that
belongs to me; he is >NOT welcome
>to transmit there. Of course, *you* wouldn't >understand the principle
of what's right or >wrong since
>"them thar fellers ain't a-hurtin' nothin' nohow", >or "they's got a
rah't to operate a reddio, too >jist la'k
>y'all do", and "Ah cain't see why them fellers >gits to operate up'air
on them frequencies an' I >has to
>have a lah'cense, too".

Which has been a valid point lost on many hammie rogue wannabe cb
enforcers....the pirates have a right to be there as much as you have a
right to enforce them (NOT talking about your hammie bands).

> It happens to be that I support fully the >sensible, sane use of radio
whether it be on >CB or any radio
>service, and the operation of same in a legal >manner. I fully support
CB radio, but I have no >tolerance for the theft of frequencies for use
>by bandits and rogues, or illegal interference.

Many of us, hammies included, have no tolerance for self-appointed radio
cops and no problem with legal operation.. As a matter of fact, if we
are talking illegalities, I know I operate legal way more than I operate
illegally, as mucho contacts of mine are local and to other anglers.

>What the folks do on the regular 40 doesn't >really bother me. Come up
on my turf, and I >won't even talk to
>the offending station, but I will be on the >phone to RH before you can
say scat. I've >done it before
>and I'll do it again.
>J

Where the hell is that good old boy anyways? And why has he been keeping such a low profile? He get juggled again? Don't tell me you haven't heard any Romeo Hotel members on 555, yet? Next time I come up that ways I expect nothing less than to meet with you and Mr. H for coffee (his hometown of course) and the tab is on me..(I know, I know..last time it was my fault, but I was on a time schedule)...I'll even spring for a piece of pie for ya'.! You talk to Riley on a regular basis...work your magic. We still oughtta get a rec.radio.cb get-together this summer...lotsa good folks in here...maybe RH can be guest speaker or guest of honor..who knows..maybe even recruit some good folk for hammie radio.....

3340. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 20:46:32 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0212041246.4db8468b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145875

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote in message
news:<3de998d6.18895298@news.cet.com>...

Try MURS instead...
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

- Stewart

> After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
> forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
> operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
> no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
> fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
> radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
> RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
> license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
> has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
> ("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
> which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
> leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
> to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
> now on.
>
> Toodles.

3341. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 21:10:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ebuH9.1461$ok3.40917149@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145876

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asllfi023jn@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEDF615.5571@worldlynx.net...
> > Vinnie S. wrote:
> > >
> > > What exactly are these?
> >
> > An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> > use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> > than in the U.S.
> > These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> > additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100
> > watts).
> > Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> > "High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than
> > what the FCC rules allow.
> >
> > As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> > meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that within the USA, to purchase one, you MUST
hold
> a ham radio operators license.
>
>
>

Sorry John, your wrong. You are not required to have
a ham license to purchase any ham equipment in the USA.
It might be policy of some stores, but it is not a "MUST"
to have a ticket to get ham equipment.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

3342. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 21:52:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dee7845_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145878

Under communism there is NO FUN !
Dave!!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asl441$6t39$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Just depends on what you want to do with your radio. You can get a lot of
> good skip with the export if your running the right Frq's. I have a friend
> that has some and he loves it!
>
> Illegal or not they are fun.
>
>
> "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
> news:fl4suu4elvjckhd29jgsvndgkkem6dhevm@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 07:33:25 -0500, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Vinnie S. wrote:
> > >>
> > >> What exactly are these?
> > >
> > >An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> > >use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> > >than in the U.S.
> > >These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> > >additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to
100
> > >watts).
> > >Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> > >"High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby
than
> > >what the FCC rules allow.
> > >
> > >As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> > >meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
> >
> > I wasn't sure. There really is no explaination for this on the websites.
> Sounds
> > like overkill for people like me. I can see how someone on a base unit
> would
> > want this.
> >
> > Vinnie S.

>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3343. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 21:53:49 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <FOCdnQ72BMr45HOgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 145880

> (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E bay?

The newer one should work better, or at least the same as the old one.

> Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about aluminum
> antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS
> this nonsence?

yes.

> (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal
aluminum
> that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same?
> Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?

No, to all of these. The anodizing is a protective coating.

3344. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 21:54:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dee78d2$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145879

Do you mean dem commies can't stop a capitalistic sale if you don't conform
to plank #6 ? !
Dave!!

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ebuH9.1461$ok3.40917149@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
> news:asllfi023jn@enews3.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DEDF615.5571@worldlynx.net...
> > > Vinnie S. wrote:
> > > >
> > > > What exactly are these?
> > >
> > > An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> > > use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> > > than in the U.S.
> > > These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> > > additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to
100
> > > watts).
> > > Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> > > "High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby
than
> > > what the FCC rules allow.
> > >
> > > As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> > > meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> >
> > Not to mention the fact that within the USA, to purchase one, you MUST
> hold
> > a ham radio operators license.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sorry John, your wrong. You are not required to have
> a ham license to purchase any ham equipment in the USA.

> It might be policy of some stores, but it is not a "MUST"
> to have a ticket to get ham equipment.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>
> Mr. Garrison
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3345. WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:04:09 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <tZuH9.123$Z74.849@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 145881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

As always, I am looking for 1960s and early 1970s
CB radio equipment from Raytheon, Apelco, and
Webster to fill holes in my museum collection.
Here is a list of specific models that I need to
complete the collection:

RAYTHEON:
Tube-type: Raycom
Solid-state: Raycom III, Raycom IV (AM/SSB),
Ray-Tel TWR-11, Ray-Tel TWR-11T
Handheld: Regal Ray-Ette, Super Ray-Ette, MW-2

APELCO:
Solid-state: AR-15, AR-16, 565

WEBSTER:
Solid-state: Bandspanner 550

ALLSTATE (sold by Sears):
Tube-type: 832.62270

AIRLINE (sold by Montgomery Ward)
Tube-type: GAS-587

I would be interested in hearing about any Raytheon,
Apelco, or Webster CB gear that you might have, even
if it's a duplicate (I'm always looking to upgrade
models that I already have). I'm interested in any
"parts rigs" that might be collecting dust also.

In addition to the radios themselves, I am seeking
any kind of related printed matter, including dealer
sales literature, owner's manuals, service manuals,
magazine ads, magazine articles, and so forth.

If you are a vintage CB collector and have any models
in your collection that I need a photo of, I'd like
to work with you on that.

If you ever had any involvement with CB gear from my
target brands, whether it was sales, service, worked
for that branch of the company, or whatever, I'd love
to hear from you as well.

If you have any interest in vintage CB, please visit
the Raytheon/Apelco/Webster CB Radio On-Line Museum:

http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

DISCLAIMER:
For those hams who are offended that I placed an ad for CB equipment on ham radio newsgroups, I need to point out that the overwhelming majority of radios, printed matter, and historical information that I've collected so far has come from hams, not from CBers! Perhaps that's because so many of today's hams were CBers "back then".

Thanks and 73,
Dean K5DH

3346. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:04:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212041404.56cd1242@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145882

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<kwpH9.19264$bq3.2135@news.bellsouth.net>...
> ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021204064818.01187.00010929@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > Hey Jerry do want cheese with that whine?Troll Troll troll away.First you
> > whine about 10meters now you working your way down ?Pretty soon you will
> be
> > turning in the truckers on 19 for smokie reports.If you don't like cb why
> > listen?
>
> Curiosity. All I did was ask a simple question as to why allegedly grown
> people would act
> like infantile idiots, not just on a radio, but elsewhere as well. If the
> lack of understanding of
> such behavior is "trolling" then so be it. One thing for sure: I will never
> stoop to such stupid
> behavior. What a waste of space and breath.
>
> J
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

jerry for all intents and purposes you are preaching to the choir
here...hell most of the people you hear doing that sort of stuff don't
even know how to get on a computer much less be human.....now
some of us like to freeband.....and some of us like to use illegal
amps, but i can say that probably most of the people who hang out on
here are cb nutts....and radio nutts and all that good
stuff.....so your habit of contantly bringing this stuff up is
of no concern to most on this newsgroup.....sooooooooo jerry please
stick a sock in it....because you are breakin my heart pal.....

3347. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:24:55 UTC
From: bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEE80B7.CAED00AD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145893

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some
> hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny
> while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't
> do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being
> able to,

Don't understand this statement at all twist. Why would we want
the ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do anything I want to on
the ham bands, Ham radio offers enough variety and freedom that I
don't have to do anything illegal.

3348. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:29:17 UTC
From: bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEE81BD.EC41ED5F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145895

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> There is no paranoia involved. I just don't see how grown(?) men get such
> joy out of banal,
> low-life, stupid, infantile activities. What is alarming is, there seems to
> be so many men that
> NEVER outgrow childish behavior. It's a wonder women have anything to do
> with them: then
> again, DO they? Or are they losers to a man?

That's pretty much it. Just look at those types on the ham bands,
most have never married, because no woman would have them, or if
they were married the wife walked out years ago. I know of more
than one ham who was so eat up with ham radio they ignored family
and everything else to pursue their hobby to the point he wife and
kids finally had all they could take of being ignored and left.

3349. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:33:13 UTC
From: bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEE82A9.E8EFC74F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145897

John Smith for example wrote:

> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that within the USA, to purchase one, you MUST hold
> a ham radio operators license.

Nope.

3350. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:38:15 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asm8ob$7k5h$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145910

LNA = Low Noise Amplifier

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3deea3e0_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Doc:
> Questions below and clarifications each point!
> Dave
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Dave or Debby,
> > Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
> > one at all?
>
>
> I don't have a NEW whole antenna--just the collector of the dish,
and
> the old dish parts. So I have 1 new collector, and one as old as the
dish.
>
> Scrap the old one and put up the new one.
>
>
> You mean the collector, right?
>
> > 'Doc
> >
> > PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
> > the dish, no.
>
> What is an "LNA" ? Do you mean LiNeAir ? !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3351. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:50:09 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145883

Oh lord...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> http://www.iglou.com/copper/

3352. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 22:57:07 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7LvH9.43365$hK4.3837500@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 145884

That's nothing... I stuck my ass out the window and got chile.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > > > > I pulled my 2950 out of the closet for Thanksgiving. Talked to
> > > > > 23/001 Nigel in Montego Bay, Jamaica on Thursday and again on
> > > > > Saturday.

3353. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 23:44:39 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <JrwH9.1355$Gg7.100289@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145885

Thanks for the links, I have just shot a email off to Alf Enterprises, next question is does anyone know of a good site to buy PSU's? I need a 5 to 7 amp but find the prices on alfs a little expensive for them.

Anyone know ?

Thanks

Stuart

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message news:asll39022tc@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Hi all
> >
> > Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> >
> > Antron 99
> > 18ft coax and plugs
> > 10amp PSU
> >
> > I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please?
> > I
> > don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to
> ship.
> > Please help.
> >
> > Kind Regards
> >
> > Stuart
> >
>
> http://www.alfenterprises.com/base.htm
>
> http://valera-radios.150m.com/solarcon_antron_99.htm
>
> http://www.durhamradio.com/solarcon.htm
>
> among a few others found with google.
>
>
>

3354. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-04 23:47:43 UTC
From: DPH <rally2xs@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <iv4tuu44hppvkkdri22qffco9279abn0vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 145886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 22:04:09 GMT, k5dh@raytheon.com (K5DH) wrote:

>As always, I am looking for 1960s and early 1970s
>CB radio equipment from Raytheon, Apelco, and
>Webster to fill holes in my museum collection.
>Here is a list of specific models that I need to
>complete the collection:
>
>RAYTHEON:
>Tube-type: Raycom
>Solid-state: Raycom III, Raycom IV (AM/SSB),
> Ray-Tel TWR-11, Ray-Tel TWR-11T
>Handheld: Regal Ray-Ette, Super Ray-Ette, MW-2
>
>APELCO:
>Solid-state: AR-15, AR-16, 565
>
>WEBSTER:
>Solid-state: Bandspanner 550
>
>ALLSTATE (sold by Sears):
>Tube-type: 832.62270
>
>AIRLINE (sold by Montgomery Ward)
>Tube-type: GAS-587
>
>I would be interested in hearing about any Raytheon,
>Apelco, or Webster CB gear that you might have, even
>if it's a duplicate (I'm always looking to upgrade
>models that I already have). I'm interested in any
>"parts rigs" that might be collecting dust also.
>
>In addition to the radios themselves, I am seeking
>any kind of related printed matter, including dealer
>sales literature, owner's manuals, service manuals,
>magazine ads, magazine articles, and so forth.
>
>If you are a vintage CB collector and have any models
>in your collection that I need a photo of, I'd like
>to work with you on that.
>
>If you ever had any involvement with CB gear from my
>target brands, whether it was sales, service, worked

>for that branch of the company, or whatever, I'd love
>to hear from you as well.
>
>If you have any interest in vintage CB, please visit
>the Raytheon/Apelco/Webster CB Radio On-Line Museum:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html
>
>DISCLAIMER:
>For those hams who are offended that I placed an ad
>for CB equipment on ham radio newsgroups, I need to
>point out that the overwhelming majority of radios,
>printed matter, and historical information that I've
>collected so far has come from hams, not from CBers!
>Perhaps that's because so many of today's hams were
>CBers "back then".
>
>Thanks and 73,
>Dean K5DH

Uh oh... looks like another one of them fellers with his initials in
is call sign...

Dave Head
K8DH

3355. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:01:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cGwH9.23530$bq3.3644@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145888

Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:S8KcnT7SXPWp-nOgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:U3tH9.21085$bq3.5923@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Jerry while you are here could you please explain to Twisty how those
export
> radios arent legal to use. without type certification.

He already knows that. No need to.

J
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3356. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:04:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mJwH9.23557$bq3.1524@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145890

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28135-3DEE67D4-1@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those >unlicensed operators have
> NO business being >there,
> >and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening >to it, if I DO hear
> those intruders and IF I can
> >catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute.
>
>
>
>
> I remember some hammies telling me that if one reports the frequency
> along with the time and operator's call sign with a recording, they will
> receive a letter from the FCC, specifically Hollingsworth. Is this true?
> Say someone had a a few pics and a recording of one who attempts
> harassment on a regular basis, like a hammie transmitting on a frequency
> where they shouldn't be.....is there a physical address to send a
> cassette tape to? Hypothetically speaking, of course.

Of course there is. Even better, just email rholling@fcc.gov and I'm sure
he'll give it to you.

Or go to arrl.org and click on special interest topics, then enforcement,
then pick a random
enforcement letter. His address should be on any letter he has written.

J
>
>
> >No worry, no hassle to me. He is not allowed >to
> >be there, he is breaking the law, and he is >taking something that
> belongs to me; he is >NOT welcome
> >to transmit there. Of course, *you* wouldn't >understand the principle
> of what's right or >wrong since
> >"them thar fellers ain't a-hurtin' nothin' nohow", >or "they's got a
> rah't to operate a reddio, too >jist la'k
> >y'all do", and "Ah cain't see why them fellers >gits to operate up'air
> on them frequencies an' I >has to
> >have a lah'cense, too".
>
>
>
> Which has been a valid point lost on many hammie rogue wannabe cb
> enforcers....the pirates have a right to be there as much as you have a
> right to enforce them (NOT talking about your hammie bands).

>
>
> > It happens to be that I support fully the >sensible, sane use of radio
> whether it be on >CB or any radio
> >service, and the operation of same in a legal >manner. I fully support
> CB radio, but I have no >tolerance for the theft of frequencies for use
> >by bandits and rogues, or illegal interference.
>
>
>
>
> Many of us, hammies included, have no tolerance for self-appointed radio
> cops and no problem with legal operation.. As a matter of fact, if we
> are talking illegalities, I know I operate legal way more than I operate
> illegally, as mucho contacts of mine are local and to other anglers.
>
>
>
> >What the folks do on the regular 40 doesn't >really bother me. Come up
> on my turf, and I >won't even talk to
> >the offending station, but I will be on the >phone to RH before you can
> say scat. I've >done it before
> >and I'll do it again.
> >J
>
>
> Where the hell is that good old boy anyways? And why has he been keeping
> such a low profile? He get juggled again? Don't tell me you haven't
> heard any Romeo Hotel members on 555, yet? Next time I come up that
> ways I expect nothing less than to meet with you and Mr. H for coffee
> (his hometown of course) and the tab is on me..(I know, I know..last
> time it was my fault, but I was on a time schedule)...I'll even spring
> for a piece of pie for ya'.! You talk to Riley on a regular basis...work
> your magic. We still oughtta get a rec.radio.cb get-together this
> summer...lotsa good folks in here...maybe RH can be guest speaker or
> guest of honor..who knows..maybe even recruit some good folk for hammie
> radio.....
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3357. Re: There is nothing better then RF in the morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:04:58 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE982A.EF0C7825@secret.com>
Source record: 145887

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> That's nothing... I stuck my ass out the window and got chile.

How long did it take to chip off the frozen dinkleberries? <;

3358. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:20:52 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <GZwH9.1477$Gg7.105616@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145891

Its ok all, I just ordered with Copper, the first time I went on there site
I couldnt get the menu's to load, all seems fine now and they have
everything I want and have just placed an order.

Thank you for all your help

"Stu" <news@villas4all.nket> wrote in message
news:JrwH9.1355$Gg7.100289@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Thanks for the links, I have just shot a email off to Alf Enterprises,
next
> question is does anyone know of a good site to buy PSU's? I need a 5 to 7
> amp but find the prices on alfs a little expensive for them.
>
> Anyone know ?
>
> Thanks
>
> Stuart
>
> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
> news:asll39022tc@enews3.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "Stu" <news@villas4mall.net> wrote in message
> > news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > Hi all
> > >
> > > Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> > >
> > > Antron 99
> > > 18ft coax and plugs
> > > 10amp PSU
> > >
> > > I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites
> please?
> > I
> > > don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to
> > ship.
> > > Please help.
> > >
> > > Kind Regards
> > >
> > > Stuart
> > >
> >
> > http://www.alfenterprises.com/base.htm

> >
> > http://valera-radios.150m.com/solarcon_antron_99.htm
> >
> > http://www.durhamradio.com/solarcon.htm
> >
> > among a few others found with google.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3359. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:22:11 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE9C33.8779C122@secret.com>
Source record: 145892

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> The fact that you consider yourself "knowing" an internet
> stranger based on what they allow you...

Hehehe! Home Run!

3360. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:34:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dee9e35_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145894

No to all? I figured that I should not sand the Gold; but the OLD aluminum that will touch the new--I figured that I should at least sand that so the new Gold anodized pieces that touch the old will make a good connection? ! Dave!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message news:FOCdnQ72BMr45HOgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> > (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E bay?
>
> The newer one should work better, or at least the same as the old one.
>
> > Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about aluminum
> > antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS
> > this nonsence?
>
> yes.
>
> > (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal
> aluminum
> > that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same?
> > Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?
>
> No, to all of these. The anodizing is a protective coating.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3361. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:37:24 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dee9f01_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145896

Yep, the Lord KNOWS where the GOOD places are, such as myself ! What I want to know is WHY does he want 18' of coax for an antron 99 ! Did the 18' drug addicts transfer over to base antennas ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh lord...
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> > Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> > http://www.iglou.com/copper/
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3362. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:39:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021204193930.27660.00009865@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 145898

look for a samlex 1223. its a switching power supply. 23 amps for 99 bucks.

>next
>question is does anyone know of a good site to buy PSU's? I need a 5 to 7
>amp but find the prices on alfs a little expensive for them.
>
>Anyone know ?
>
>Thanks
>

3363. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:47:31 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145900

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:cGwH9.23530
: > Jerry while you are here could you please explain to Twisty how those
: export
: > radios arent legal to use. without type certification.
:
: He already knows that. No need to.
:
: J

Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He seems to think a ham can use whatever he wants. I know you tried to educate him before.

3364. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:49:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net>
Source record: 145901

Dave or Debby,
Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
one at all? Scrap the old one and put up the new one.
'Doc

PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
the dish, no.

3365. Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:50:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3deea20a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145899

There AIN'T NO "Evolution" in Human behavior--just a "Changing of Religions" going on in this country/ or worldwide from the Christian way of living, to the Humanist one, which is debatched ! The religion of a country determines it's laws! IF only the commy FCC would of done their jobs to BUST the commies in the 50's that took over the TV, there wouldn't be the trash on it today, as well as the so called "shock jocks" on AM/FM radio like antiChrist Howard the Coward Stern! (as well as the dirty mouth imitaters that watched or listened to this trash over the years), and now talk it themselves on the CB or ham bands!
The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will surely hit them, and that's WHY it comes!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:13399-3DECB6B4-969@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

HAhahah....no Dave,,only to those like you who can't get a grip on why people don't see things your way only. Again, the evolution of humans have proved that cultures have systematically engaged in intoxicating behavior. Here you come along with some petty arrogance and feel you have a right to question those that live their life in a manner that affects you in no way, yet you still manage to find problems with...it's your nose.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3366. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:50:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <1j8tuu4jh16hpvh4nekbsndkqit46bn9qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 145903

On Sun, 01 Dec 2002 05:59:36 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com (Frank Gilliland) wrote:

>After a couple years of active participation, it's clear that this
>forum is nothing more than a medium for the promotion of illegal
>operation and the proliferation of technical voodoo. There is almost
>no discussion of the intended and practical uses of CB radio, the
>fundamental purpose of which is easy-to-use, low-power, license-free
>radio communication. Instead, discussions are about using the band for
>RF drag racing, and by wannabe-hams that are too lazy to get their
>license. From participating in this newsgroup, it seems that CB radio
>has attracted its recent popularity from this new 'gimme' generation
>("I want mine, I want it now, and I don't want to pay for it!"), of
>which I am not a member. If that's what CB radio has become then I'll
>leave you all to roll happily in your squalor, and instead of trying
>to use facts and reason in my efforts I'll be using DF equipment from
>now on.
>
>Toodles.

Well, I just got on this board, and hate to see you go. I use a standard 4 watt unit. But I don't really care if others are doing illegal things, so long as they don't rape, murder, etc.

Vinnie S.

3367. Re: 2970 repair person!! recomendation needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:54:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3deea2f7_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145904

He be good, but I know some of the BEST in da land instead!
Dave!

"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:QXVG9.1447$kz2.180392@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is only ONE choice! Bill Good at FG Best Communications. He was
> retained by Ranger Communications to fix what their engineers couldn't.
>
> http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/
>
>
> >Hello all
> >
> >My first post to this NG.
> >
> >I am in need of a 2970 repair person, Money is not the issue as im not
> >cheap!!
> >
> >I have a NON dx that throws a dead carrier and sometimes no AM audio, you
> >shake it around am audio comes back , got it off ebay (i know you get
what
> >you pay for , hence willing to pay to get it fixed) ,.... but never the
less
> >its got at least a cold solder , and another problem , throws a GOOD 150
> >watt dead key , on ssb, 50 am as supposed to no modulation though...
> >
> >
> >If any of you out there have had a good or better than good experience
with
> >someone who takes radios via mail/ups, will pay cash , mo or whatever
method
> >they want , paypal ....please
> >
> >EMAIL
> >
> >bennyc03@earthlink.net
> >
> >thanks in advance ,,, community!!
> >
> >73s
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3368. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 00:58:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3deea3e0_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145905

Doc:
Questions below and clarifications each point!
Dave
"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave or Debby,
> Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
> one at all?

I don't have a NEW whole antenna--just the collector of the dish, and
the old dish parts. So I have 1 new collector, and one as old as the dish.

Scrap the old one and put up the new one.

You mean the collector, right?

> 'Doc
>
> PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
> the dish, no.

What is an "LNA" ? Do you mean LiNeAir ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3369. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:00:19 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212041700.5723e063@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145902

"Wango Tango" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<05GcnYGM1Pf3ynCgXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> Who has ever said that in this NG?

Aaron did, poor devil that he is.
>
> : where accusation equals proof.
>
> Nah, They openly admit the Law breaking ways.

But God forbid anyone would suggest that the law might be changed---GASP!!!

3370. Re: Decriminalizing on-air obscenities: pro & con

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:02:23 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212041702.7275c38d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message news:<asjc6402sp@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> TROLL-O-METER
> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 +10 +20
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
Thank you very sincerely. It's not often that I get such compliments
from someone educated far beyond their own intelligence level. :)

Guy

3371. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:02:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3deea4dc_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145907

I'm OFFENDED that he would say this here ! Was he referring to N8 & da gang
when he said this? !
Dave!!

"K5DH" <k5dh@raytheon.com> wrote in message
news:tZuH9.123$Z74.849@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com...

> DISCLAIMER:
> For those hams who are offended that I placed an ad
> for CB equipment on ham radio newsgroups, I need to
> point out that the overwhelming majority of radios,
> printed matter, and historical information that I've
> collected so far has come from hams, not from CBers!
> Perhaps that's because so many of today's hams were
> CBers "back then".
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Dean K5DH
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3372. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:02:59 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <7BxH9.5670$4j5.2842603@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145889

Do I at least get a runner up prize for the smart-ass grammar find?

Aaron
KB8ZVN

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%YiH9.117132$8D.3002675@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
> news:uur0697geouv66@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_geH9.94659$Gc.2795997@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Aaron Childs" <aaronchilds@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > > news:INdH9.5104$4j5.2718375@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:_AXG9.88240$Gc.2630347@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > And you couldn't if your life depended on it. <--Critique this one
> > > George.
> > > > > Something is wrong.
> > > >
> > > > "Critique this one, George." It's missing the comma :-)
> > > >
> > > > Aaron
> > > > KB8ZVN
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > True, but another major rule of grammar was broken in the sentence
with
> > the
> > > > arrow pointing to it. Wanna hazard a guess?
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > Never begin a sentence with the word 'and' ?
> > >
> > >
> >
> And (intended rule violation), BP takes the prize!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

3373. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:03:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212041703.2b7819f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145909

timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021204114209.27831.00009272@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> he took my credit card just fine...
>
> >
> >Hi all
> >
> >Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> >
> >Antron 99
> >18ft coax and plugs
> >10amp PSU
> >
> >I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please? I
> >don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to ship.
> >Please help.
> >
> >Kind Regards
> >
> >Stuart
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

oh shit......nebout has a credit card.......wow!!! i didn't know
pimps could get credit.........business must be good down on the
strand.....

3374. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:04:27 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3deea558_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145908

You'll be SORRY !
Dave!

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:GZwH9.1477$Gg7.105616@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Its ok all, I just ordered with Copper, the first time I went on there
site
> I couldnt get the menu's to load, all seems fine now and they have
> everything I want and have just placed an order.
>
> Thank you for all your help
>
>
> "Stu" <news@villas4all.nket> wrote in message
> news:JrwH9.1355$Gg7.100289@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Thanks for the links, I have just shot a email off to Alf Enterprises,
> next
> > question is does anyone know of a good site to buy PSU's? I need a 5 to
7
> > amp but find the prices on alfs a little expensive for them.
> >
> > Anyone know ?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Stuart
> >
> > "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
> > news:asll39022tc@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > > "Stu" <news@villas4mall.net> wrote in message
> > > news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > > Hi all
> > > >
> > > > Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> > > >
> > > > Antron 99
> > > > 18ft coax and plugs
> > > > 10amp PSU
> > > >
> > > > I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites
> > please?
> > > > I
> > > > don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit

to
> > > ship.
> > > > Please help.
> > > >
> > > > Kind Regards
> > > >
> > > > Stuart
> > > >
> > >
> > > http://www.alfenterprises.com/base.htm
> > >
> > > http://valera-radios.150m.com/solarcon_antron_99.htm
> > >
> > > http://www.durhamradio.com/solarcon.htm
> > >
> > > among a few others found with google.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3375. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:06:34 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <uExH9.239011$P31.94839@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 145911

My guess would be that his radio will be within 18ft of the antenna. But a GOOD place such as yourself would know that.
Besides, the customer is always right. But a GOOD place such as yourself already knows that !! (abuse of exclamation points added intentionally)

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3dee9f01_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, the Lord KNOWS where the GOOD places are, such as myself ! What I want
> to know is WHY does he want 18' of coax for an antron 99 ! Did the 18' drug
> addicts transfer over to base antennas ? !
> Dave!!!!!!!!
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Oh lord...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> > > Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> > > http://www.iglou.com/copper/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3376. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:08:23 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <dGxH9.1630$Gg7.111935@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145912

You saying I am a drug addict?

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dee9f01_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, the Lord KNOWS where the GOOD places are, such as myself ! What I
want
> to know is WHY does he want 18' of coax for an antron 99 ! Did the 18'
drug
> addicts transfer over to base antennas ? !
> Dave!!!!!!!!
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Oh lord...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> > > Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> > > http://www.iglou.com/copper/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3377. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:15:44 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <6NxH9.1661$Gg7.113231@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145913

erm.....ok?

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212041703.2b7819f@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message
news:<20021204114209.27831.00009272@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> > he took my credit card just fine...
> >
> > >
> > >Hi all
> > >
> > >Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> > >
> > >Antron 99
> > >18ft coax and plugs
> > >10amp PSU
> > >
> > >I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites
please? I
> > >don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to
ship.
> > >Please help.
> > >
> > >Kind Regards
> > >
> > >Stuart
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
> oh shit......nebout has a credit card.......wow!!! i didn't know
> pimps could get credit.........business must be good down on the
> strand.....

3378. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:16:40 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <_NxH9.1664$Gg7.113274@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145914

Why will I be sorry?

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3deea558_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> You'll be SORRY !
> Dave!
>
> "Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
> news:GZwH9.1477$Gg7.105616@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Its ok all, I just ordered with Copper, the first time I went on there
> site
> > I couldnt get the menu's to load, all seems fine now and they have
> > everything I want and have just placed an order.
> >
> > Thank you for all your help
> >
> >
> > "Stu" <news@villas4all.nket> wrote in message
> > news:JrwH9.1355$Gg7.100289@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > Thanks for the links, I have just shot a email off to Alf Enterprises,
> > next
> > > question is does anyone know of a good site to buy PSU's? I need a 5
to
> 7
> > > amp but find the prices on alfs a little expensive for them.
> > >
> > > Anyone know ?
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > > Stuart
> > >
> > > "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in
message
> > > news:asll39022tc@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Stu" <news@villas4mall.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > > > > Hi all
> > > > >
> > > > > Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> > > > >
> > > > > Antron 99
> > > > > 18ft coax and plugs

> > > > > 10amp PSU
> > > > >
> > > > > I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites
> > > please?
> > > > I
> > > > > don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a
bit
> to
> > > > ship.
> > > > > Please help.
> > > > >
> > > > > Kind Regards
> > > > >
> > > > > Stuart
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://www.alfenterprises.com/base.htm
> > > >
> > > > http://valera-radios.150m.com/solarcon_antron_99.htm
> > > >
> > > > http://www.durhamradio.com/solarcon.htm
> > > >
> > > > among a few others found with google.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3379. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:32:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212041732.18dbf05e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145915

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net>...
> Today after listening to truck drivers up and down the 10 meter band, I
> tuned down on the infamous 27.555. Now, I am not here to argue legalities,
> just to ask a question. There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting each
> other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that
> makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would supposedly
> grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade? Yes, it happens on the ham bands,
> too, and I wonder the
> same thing. I am not trolling, I truly wonder about the sanity and the
> lives of people whose whole
> world revolves around trying to jam, interfere with, and insult others.
>
>
> Jerry

If you listen to "Canadian SuperPower" for a while, you'll discover
two things: First, he doesn't sound like a Canadian and Second, he
never seems to really talk to anyone; he just repeats the same crap
over and over and over and over an

He's not there to be a CBer or even to communicate. He's there to
cause as much havoc as he can because he's a Loser First Class and he
doesn't have a life off the radio. He (and everybody else) would be
much better off if he'd go outside for a while and get some fresh air,
but he's obviously house-bound. It's my guess that "Sheriff Bob" is
also house-bound, since he's on the radio all day long; I've heard him
as early as 6 a.m. (Phoenix time) and he's usually in as long as the
skip runs. Fortunately, sometimes the skip runs to Europe and Africa
and I don't have to listen to either of them.

You are correct to wonder about the sanity of those people, Jerry, I
wonder too; but some people's only happiness comes from messing with
others. Seems to be a lot of those kind around, too.

3380. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:38:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212041738.175f3b98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145916

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<pSsH9.20875$bq3.5044@news.bellsouth.net>...
> Who Would Have Guessed <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
> news:20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus of
> one
> > freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a hobby
> is
> > >something to get bent over. There's too
> > >much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
> > >
> > >73
> > >
> > >Jerry
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >---
> > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > >Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
>
> Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those unlicensed operators have NO
> business being there,
> and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening to it, if I DO hear those
> intruders and IF I can
> catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute. No worry, no hassle to me.
> He is not allowed to
> be there, he is breaking the law, and he is taking something that belongs to
> me; he is NOT welcome
> to transmit there.

Urmmmm, I disagree. The frequency may be allocated to the Amateur
Radio Service, but it does not BELONG to you or anyone else any more
than the commercial broadcasting frequencies BELONG to the AM, FM, or
TV broadcasters. You have been granted THE PRIVILEDGE to use those
frequencies and, for the most part, it's a secondary priviledge to
some other allocation(s).

I have to wonder where you got this strange idea that those
frequencies BELONG to you?

3381. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:39:26 UTC
From: Stu <news@villas4all.net>
Message-ID: <k7yH9.1735$Gg7.114568@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 145917

Think Chessecutter is upset with me because they won't deliver CC's to his trailer!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0212041703.2b7819f@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-103 (Who Would Have Guessed) wrote in message news:<20021204114209.27831.00009272@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> > he took my credit card just fine...
> >
> > >
> > >Hi all
> > >
> > >Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
> > >
> > >Antron 99
> > >18ft coax and plugs
> > >10amp PSU
> > >
> > >I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other sites please? I
> > >don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will cost a bit to ship.
> > >Please help.
> > >
> > >Kind Regards
> > >
> > >Stuart
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> oh shit......nebout has a credit card.......wow!!! i didn't know
> pimps could get credit.........business must be good down on the
> strand.....

3382. Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 01:44:29 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145919

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/

Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license grant from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an examination administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your operator class.

You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA without a license.

Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then they could be held liable for any violations.
Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their interest is in your money becoming theirs.
I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I am researching it.
The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without a license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be confiscated.
Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just above the CB band.
But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.

3383. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 02:11:38 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <vPqdnfbRWZdBKHOgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 145920

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
:
: But God forbid anyone would suggest that the law might be
changed---GASP!!!

don't hold your breath. I hope that your not banking on that happening

3384. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 02:14:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WCyH9.24475$bq3.20442@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145921

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0212041738.175f3b98@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<pSsH9.20875$bq3.5044@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > Who Would Have Guessed <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
> > news:20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus
of
> > one
> > > freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a
hobby
> > is
> > > >something to get bent over. There's too
> > > >much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
> > > >
> > > >73
> > > >
> > > >Jerry
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >---
> > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > >Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
> >
> > Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those unlicensed operators have
NO
> > business being there,
> > and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening to it, if I DO hear those
> > intruders and IF I can
> > catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute. No worry, no hassle to
me.
> > He is not allowed to
> > be there, he is breaking the law, and he is taking something that
belongs to
> > me; he is NOT welcome
> > to transmit there.
>
> Urmmmm, I disagree. The frequency may be allocated to the Amateur
> Radio Service, but it does not BELONG to you or anyone else any more
> than the commercial broadcasting frequencies BELONG to the AM, FM, or
> TV broadcasters. You have been granted THE PRIVILEDGE to use those
> frequencies and, for the most part, it's a secondary priviledge to

> some other allocation(s).
>
> I have to wonder where you got this strange idea that those
> frequencies BELONG to you?

It is a euphemism. I don't need you to tell me ANYTHING about the
privileges I hold; I know
exactly what I can do and what I cannot. And Ten meters is allocated
internationally to Amateur
Radio. They come closer to being "mine" and every other ham's than they do
to an unlicensed rogue
that just starts beeping and squeaking where he pleases. There's nothing to
wonder, bud.

J

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

3385. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 02:48:21 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <V7zH9.6957$WI3.224448742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145923

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0212041738.175f3b98@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<pSsH9.20875$bq3.5044@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > Who Would Have Guessed <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
> > news:20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus
of
> > one
> > > freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a
hobby
> > is
> > > >something to get bent over. There's too
> > > >much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
> > > >
> > > >73
> > > >
> > > >Jerry
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >---
> > > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > >Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
> > >
> > Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those unlicensed operators have
NO
> > business being there,
> > and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening to it, if I DO hear those
> > intruders and IF I can
> > catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute. No worry, no hassle to
me.
> > He is not allowed to
> > be there, he is breaking the law, and he is taking something that
belongs to
> > me; he is NOT welcome
> > to transmit there.
>
> Urmmmm, I disagree. The frequency may be allocated to the Amateur
> Radio Service, but it does not BELONG to you or anyone else any more
> than the commercial broadcasting frequencies BELONG to the AM, FM, or
> TV broadcasters. You have been granted THE PRIVILEDGE to use those
> frequencies and, for the most part, it's a secondary priviledge to

> some other allocation(s).
>
> I have to wonder where you got this strange idea that those
> frequencies BELONG to you?

I think that is just a figure of speech that Jerry uses.
It's more along the lines of he's earned the right to use it by studying and
passing said exam to get the right to use those Freqs.

Landshark

PS, hope you're staying warm Jerry, it looks as though your
getting quite a cold spell.

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3386. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 02:48:22 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <W7zH9.6958$Wl3.224448742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145924

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
>
> Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license grant
> from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an
examination
> administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your
> operator class.

correct

>
> You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA without
a
> license.
>
>

correct, without the proper classifaction for that frequency too

> Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then
they
> could be held liable for any violations.

Nope, it is the responsibility of the operator to know where
he or she can operate their equipment, not the manufacturer
or the retailer.

> Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their
> interest is in your money becoming theirs.

True, but it just depends on whether or not the retailer
cares about the hobby he is representing.

> I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I am
> researching it.

Please post it, I and I'm sure others would love to see it.

> The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without a
> license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be
confiscated.

Correct

> Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
> Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just above

> the CB band.

Just above the cb frequencies? Those are not the ten meter band. If you are talking about 28.000 and above yes, but below that is not ten meters.

> But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.
>

Hey I can laugh, because I very rarely talk on the
radio anymore, let alone with an amp or on the off freqs.
I had my ticket many many years ago and you know, it
was probably people like you that turned me off about ham radio. Just your statement above says that while you know
some about ham radio, obviously not enough.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3387. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 02:52:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <VbzH9.6961$Xb3.223819914@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145925

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules and
> feel powerful because they didn't get caught.

Uh, you think so Matt? It's more along the lines that
have been brought up here again & again. They buy all
the "export radio's" with roger beeps, echo and such
and then go up to these nice clean freqs. Man, they are
now in heaven, no local to hassle them and get semi
clean communications. So some know it's illegal and
others just didn't know about that radio that's not
supposed to go that high in the freqs.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3388. TRAM D80 - AM/SSB radio for sale... Hurry its gonna end fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 03:05:56 UTC
From: Daniel <danielunderscorenineeightoneatyahoodotcom@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <oozH9.5105$hM3.556152@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 145926

This is a pretty nice radio from what I can tell... Are they pretty good or what?

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1942357675

3389. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 03:20:04 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212041920.4dc6552@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145927

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEE433F.674B@worldlynx.net>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> > Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> > federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> > violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..
>
>
> The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath. A psychopath and a sociopath
> share many common maladies, but the key difference is that a psychopath
> is prone to violence, while a sociopath prefers passive agressive
> tactics, and "mind games".
>
> And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at the established rules of
> society, no matter what you may think of them, are considered
> sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......
>
>
> More links on the subject of sociopathic disorders. Do these sound like
> anyone we know?
>
> http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/socio.htm
>
> http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHistrionicPersonalityDisorders.htm
>
> http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16.htm
>
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

oh good grief......thanks for the links to the sociopathic disorder
pages dave.............i guess..........whew!!!!!!!!!!

3390. FS: ROYCE T-28 base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 03:34:40 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3DEEC950-46@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145928

Royce model T-28 CB base station in good condition - 23 channel unit - it comes with the 'stock' mike - $35 plus UPS fee from Schenectady, New York

LIST OF MY ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 12/4/02)

http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

(sorry about the 'pop-up' ads :-)

3391. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 03:40:33 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145922

Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules and
feel powerful because they didn't get caught.

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
>
> Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license grant
> from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an
examination
> administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your
> operator class.
>
> You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA without
a
> license.
>
>
> Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then
they
> could be held liable for any violations.
> Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their
> interest is in your money becoming theirs.
> I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I am
> researching it.
> The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without a
> license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be
confiscated.
> Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
> Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just above
> the CB band.
> But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.
>
>
>
>
>

3392. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 03:41:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LVzH9.118289$8D.3117797@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145918

"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:fbGcnd2ARpLjsHOgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:8361-3DEE134C-28@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> : >Nah, They openly admit the Law breaking >ways.
>
>
> Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> : federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> : violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths.....then we
> : have his post seeking a way around the rules to construct an antenna
> : where one was prohibited,
>
> Really so what rule was he breaking? was it a Federal Law
>
>
>
>
>
>
> : not to mention his recent foray into the freeband
>
> I am sure he doesn't use the freeband
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> :We also have Frank who has acted the same way who has also had many
hands
> in the
> : same type behavior he hypocritcally condemns.
>
> What Federal Laws has frank admitted breaking. Twisty you are lieing
again.

Dammit George! The word is "lying".

3393. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:05:02 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021204230502.05454.00009297@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 145929

>From: "John Smith for example" >sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba
>Operation of an amateur station requires >an amateur operator license grant
>from the FCC
No shit Sherlock....now go the fuck away and stay gone...go blow Georgie Girl!
Save your preaching for church....Jerk

"Got RF?"

3394. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:21:22 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <wuacnZgWQuPTSXOgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145930

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message

No shit Sherlock....now go the fuck away and stay gone...go blow Georgie
Girl!
: Save your preaching for church....Jerk

Fasination with blowing guys I see.

3395. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:25:22 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <1fqdnSjpXemiSHOgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 145931

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021204221452.211$eq@newsreader.com...
: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
: >> Dammit George! The word is "lying".
: >
: You weren't supposed to tell him,Randy.

Nah, let him tell me Steve, it gives him some input to the group.

3396. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:26:51 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asmkkc0qvq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145935

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:VbzH9.6961$Xb3.223819914@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules
and
> > feel powerful because they didn't get caught.
>
> Uh, you think so Matt? It's more along the lines that
> have been brought up here again & again. They buy all
> the "export radio's" with roger beeps, echo and such
> and then go up to these nice clean freqs. Man, they are
> now in heaven, no local to hassle them and get semi
> clean communications. So some know it's illegal and
> others just didn't know about that radio that's not
> supposed to go that high in the freqs.
>
> Landshark
>

Ok mister expert. Try this on for size.
Many moons ago before 40 channels, some radios, such as johnson messenger,
had a channel called 23A.
Which is now channel 24.
It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
You tell me.

3397. Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:37:48 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEED81C.F3AB4500@secret.com>
Source record: 145932

The possibility of on-road 4 wheel driving is 100%.

Ready. Set. Jeepï¿½. :)

3398. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:41:09 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEED8E5.1B27C5C5@secret.com>
Source record: 145933

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> He's not there to be a CBer or even to communicate. He's there to
> cause as much havoc as he can because he's a Loser First Class and he
> doesn't have a life off the radio. He (and everybody else) would be
> much better off if he'd go outside for a while and get some fresh air,
> but he's obviously house-bound. It's my guess that "Sheriff Bob" is
> also house-bound, since he's on the radio all day long; I've heard him
> as early as 6 a.m. (Phoenix time) and he's usually in as long as the
> skip runs. Fortunately, sometimes the skip runs to Europe and Africa
> and I don't have to listen to either of them.

Those folks, and the NG trolls are probably under house arrest.

3399. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 04:43:13 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEED961.EE5AC08A@secret.com>
Source record: 145934

Stu wrote:
>
> Why will I be sorry?

You must hope that you get new, undefective merchandise.

Heaven help you if you need a return...

3400. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:03:31 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEEDE23.18D769E7@secret.com>
Source record: 145936

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:VbzH9.6961$Xb3.223819914@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules
> and
> > > feel powerful because they didn't get caught.
> >
> > Uh, you think so Matt? It's more along the lines that
> > have been brought up here again & again. They buy all
> > the "export radio's" with roger beeps, echo and such
> > and then go up to these nice clean freqs. Man, they are
> > now in heaven, no local to hassle them and get semi
> > clean communications. So some know it's illegal and
> > others just didn't know about that radio that's not
> > supposed to go that high in the freqs.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
>
> Ok mister expert. Try this on for size.
> Many moons ago before 40 channels, some radios, such as johnson messenger,
> had a channel called 23A.
> Which is now channel 24.
> It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
> Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
> You tell me.

After January 1st, 1977 it was.

3401. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:10:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RcBH9.100896$Gc.3008276@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145937

"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:1fqdnSjpXemiSHOgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021204221452.211$eq@newsreader.com...
> : "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> : >> Dammit George! The word is "lying".
> : >
> : You weren't supposed to tell him,Randy.
>
> Nah, let him tell me Steve, it gives him some input to the group.
>
>

Oh puhleeze!

Pot=kettle..............George still loses. <g>

3402. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:16:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fjBH9.100966$Gc.3009834@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145938

"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:vPqdnfbRWZdBKHOgXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> :
> : But God forbid anyone would suggest that the law might be
> changed---GASP!!!
>
>
> don't hold your breath. I hope that your not banking on that happening

(D)on't hold your breath. I hope that you('re) not banking on that
happening(.)

Only three major mistakes in three sentences. Glad to see you're learning,
George. For the time being, I'll give you a C+. Keep it up man! Just think,
in no time at all you'll be able to keep up with the most savvy second
grader.

Toodles.

3403. here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:18:12 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uutod2fudgv323@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145939

http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

3404. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:18:16 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asmnkl0uni@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145942

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEED81C.F3AB4500@secret.com...
> The possibility of on-road 4 wheel driving is 100%.
>
> Ready. Set. Jeep. :)

Perfect boating weather.

3405. Re: TRAM D80 - AM/SSB radio for sale... Hurry its gonna end fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:20:32 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asmnou0uvk@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145944

"Daniel" <danielunderscorenineeightoneatyahoodotcom@bogus.com> wrote in
message news:oozH9.5105$hM3.556152@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> This is a pretty nice radio from what I can tell... Are they pretty good
or
> what?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1942357675
>
>
>

Looks like an older cobra radio.
who the hell makes it? Or is this a historical collector's item?

3406. Re: TRAM D80 - AM/SSB radio for sale... Hurry its gonna end fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:22:48 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asmnt60v4r@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145945

"Daniel" <danielunderscorenineeightoneatyahoodotcom@bogus.com> wrote in
message news:oozH9.5105$hM3.556152@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> This is a pretty nice radio from what I can tell... Are they pretty good
or
> what?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1942357675
>
>

Oh. Undien chasis huh? Yep. One of their older models.

3407. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:22:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JoBH9.111693$Kj1.4556109@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 145940

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
news:uutod2fudgv323@corp.supernews.com...
> http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>
>

Very nice!

3408. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:30:38 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEEE47E.F4724030@secret.com>
Source record: 145941

Randy wrote:
>
> "Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
> news:uutod2fudgv323@corp.supernews.com...
> > http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
> >
> >
>
> Another nice CB.......
>
> [Image]

Does that stand for Communications Box?

3409. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:49:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <rNBH9.2593$003.60828032@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145943

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asmkkc0qvq@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Ok mister expert. Try this on for size.

I'll try, but I never claimed to ba an expert.

> Many moons ago before 40 channels, some radios, such as johnson messenger,

Good radio

> had a channel called 23A.

Yes

> Which is now channel 24.

Huuummm, I thought it was actually channel 25, don't
remember the exact freq #.

> It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.

OK

> Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
> You tell me.

Not unless you were licensed for that freq. A cb License
would not allow you to talk there, so if you meant under
that term, no you couldn't.

You know John, I don't have anything against you, but
when you make statements that it is illegal to buy a ham
radio, when it isn't, I'm sorry you're wrong. Then start
posting something to the effect that if the store sells it
to you and your not licensed they will be liable,again
sorry you're wrong.
I'm not trying to argue with you here, I just pointed out
that it wasn't against any law for retailers to sell ham equipment
to non hams, I suppose that trailing comment was a little
harsh, so I will sorry if I offended you with that comment.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3410. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 05:56:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <STBH9.2597$%23.61006221@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145946

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DEEDE23.18D769E7@secret.com...
>
>
> John Smith for example wrote:
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:VbzH9.6961$Xb3.223819914@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > > news:RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the
rules
> > and
> > > > feel powerful because they didn't get caught.
> > >
> > > Uh, you think so Matt? It's more along the lines that
> > > have been brought up here again & again. They buy all
> > > the "export radio's" with roger beeps, echo and such
> > > and then go up to these nice clean freqs. Man, they are
> > > now in heaven, no local to hassle them and get semi
> > > clean communications. So some know it's illegal and
> > > others just didn't know about that radio that's not
> > > supposed to go that high in the freqs.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> >
> > Ok mister expert. Try this on for size.
> > Many moons ago before 40 channels, some radios, such as johnson
messenger,
> > had a channel called 23A.
> > Which is now channel 24.
> > It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
> > Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
> > You tell me.
>
> After January 1st, 1977 it was.

LOL!!, yeah that's true too.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3411. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 06:53:56 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <VdOcnfWyE5SbZXOgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145997

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:fjBH9.100966

:
: Toodles.

Toodles? are you a man?

3412. FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 08:07:47 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <null@null.com>
Message-ID: <1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145998

It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are mobile
they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter bands.
Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can be easily modified.

Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.

Yee-haw!!!!!

3413. Re: Micro EC2018

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 08:10:05 UTC
From: ntournant@libertysurf.fr
Message-ID: <c3c034ae.0212050010.6552739e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,fr.rec.radio.amateur

Merci Ludovic pour cette rï¿½ponse. Je n'ai malheureusement pas
l'honneur de connaitre Gaston ! Je relance donc ma requï¿½te.
Merci ï¿½ tous
Nicolas
"Lud@vic" <radioham@chezNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:<2arnuuoggctp40d31m68bf2g3jrj8kfuq8@4ax.com>...
> Le 2 Dec 2002 01:51:44 -0800, ntournant@libertysurf.fr (Nicolas) a ecrit:
>
> >Je m'adresse ï¿½ vous tous car vous l'un d'entre vous peut certainement
> >m'aider: Je possï¿½de un poste CB Prï¿½sident TAYLOR sur lequel j'ai
> >connectï¿½ un micro prï¿½-amplifiï¿½ EC2018.
> >Malheuresement plusieurs soudures ont lï¿½chï¿½ et j'aimerais rï¿½parer ce
> >micro.
> >Pourriez vous m'indiquer SVP, sur quel plot doit ï¿½tre soudï¿½ chacun des
> >fils : Le rouge avec le 1(?), le bleu avec le.... ??^
> >Je ne possï¿½de aucun appareil de mesure et je ne sais pas reconnaitre
> >le fil TX,RX,audio, masse... Tout cela doit donc rester trï¿½s basique !
> >Merci ï¿½ tous de votre collaboration
>
> Faites une recherche sur www.google.fr avec comme mots clï¿½s :
> brochage , micro , president, taylor, ec2018, "ec 2018" etc...
>
> Il est difficile de vous aider sans avoir le meme materiel. Avec
> un multimetre il serait tres facile de vous repondre. Peut-etre
> que Gaston a la solution vu qu'il dispose de pas mal de plan.
>
> Ludovic - F5PBG

3414. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 12:37:10 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DEF4876.72C5ABDB@secret.com>
Source record: 146000

You have been added to the trollfile.

General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!

3415. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 12:53:10 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DEF4C36.29F82552@qnet.com>
Source record: 146001

Hello Dave or Debby:

How did you make out on your other antenna install project???

I have replied below:

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> I have an over 25 year old 7' UHF Parabolic TV antenna. I have taken it
> down (was outside at least that long) and will re-assemble it. I have a
> brand new exact same piece that is the "collector" of the reflected signal.
> Brand new from the antenna terminals to where it connects on the dish. No
> new dish parts. THE questions are:
>
> (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E bay?
> Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about aluminum
> antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS
> this nonsence?

I would use what ever collector assembly gives a better picture. I would
think that the new and old collector will probably work the same, and
you won't get enough money on E-Bay, so I suggest you keep it as a
spare. I base this on what you said as the antenna being a UHF TV
Antenna, and not a Satellite Dish Antenna.

I have also heard about Aluminum Antennas getting older and working
better or even worse. One of the locals here in the deep high desert has
a S-1 Signal, from a 40 mile away station. He uses a old Penetrator 5/8
Wavelength Antenna, and he cleaned it for the very same reason, and has
never seen any increase or decrease in his signal in 7 years. I have not
ever measured a Aluminum "wear in" factor.

> (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal aluminum
> that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same?
> Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?
>
> Antenna Geeks, start thinking!
> Dave!

Which ever "one" works better.

No do not sand off the gold anodizing off, the manufacture had it put on
for a reason. The Gold Anodizing will not change the reflection
coefficient (ability to reflect signals).

Good luck there.

Jay in the Mojave

3416. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 13:59:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021205085948.21441.00000478@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146002

art howard has/had one of these on 11 meters

>
>
>http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>
>
>

3417. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 14:08:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021205090810.21441.00000479@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146003

i had to give up a bitch for a whole night for that card....

>
>
>oh shit......nebout has a credit card.......wow!!! i didn't know
>pimps could get credit.........business must be good down on the
>strand.....
>
>

3418. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 14:09:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-103
Message-ID: <20021205090909.21441.00000480@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146004

isnt rec.radio.cb just great?

>You saying I am a drug addict?
>

3419. Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 14:28:37 UTC
From: news <stomp443@msn.com>
Message-ID: <asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us>
Source record: 146005

Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor antenna.
My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or peak.
Any help would be appreciated.

Brian

3420. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:00:25 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021205100025.26457.00008224@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 146006

>From: "John Smith for example" >sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba
>It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
>Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
Who cares Dork! It was 22 Alpha in
radio circles and it doesnt matter!
As far as the "Infatuation"...you're the dweeb with taylor.loves.bubba in his
addy !!
Sounds like your an inmate who dropped the soap for Bubba!!!!!!!!!!!! Do us a
favor...
drop dead!

"Got RF?"

3421. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:14:29 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asnqij02b6k@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146008

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
news:uutod2fudgv323@corp.supernews.com...
> http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>

Yeah baby. I'll put that sucker in my Winnebago RV and set up shop alongside
some remote fishing hole somewhere.
Talk trash on any freq I want and the hell with them.

3422. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:20:47 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <j9KH9.246032$%m4.86248@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 146007

Brian.....do you have access to the attic?

"news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote in message
news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor
antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or
peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian
>
>

3423. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:21:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEF6EDF.E4D4EE00@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146024

General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!

Please post a reliable verifiable source of this information.
Hmmm...just as I thought. Nice try.

3424. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:47:28 UTC
From: news <stomp443@msn.com>
Message-ID: <asnsej$1ea1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us>
Source record: 146011

yes I do....just need to dig my ladder out of the basement since all it is
is a hole in the cieling...lol but yeah I do

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:j9KH9.246032$%m4.86248@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Brian.....do you have access to the attic?
>
>
> "news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> > Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor
> antenna.
> > My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or
> peak.
> > Any help would be appreciated.
> >
> > Brian
> >
> >
>
>

3425. FS: CCC AR-3300 and AR-3500, and a Uniden President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:51:43 UTC
From: alexzapatka@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <acbd886b.0212050751.6c1e8ebc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

i actually posted them a while go for sale through ebay. ebay (of course) sucked and droped them. i have now since reposted them through sell.com

if anyone is interested, they can be found at:

http://www.sell.com/2C6XG (the CCC AR-3500)

http://www.sell.com/2C6X9 (the CCC AR-3300)

http://www.sell.com/2C6VQ (the HR-2510).

email me with any questions.

3426. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 15:58:40 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4384-3DEF77B0-122@storefull-2195.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146010

Nice rig,but its channelized,vfo's are preferred!!.Mark

3427. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:21:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7c40_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146012

I didn't say this antenna had an amplifier, so WHY would he say this? !
Dave!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asm8ob$7k5h$1@news3.infoave.net...
> LNA = Low Noise Amplifier
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3deea3e0_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Doc:
> > Questions below and clarifications each point!
> > Dave
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
news:3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave or Debby,
> > > Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
> > > one at all?
> > >
> > >
> > I don't have a NEW whole antenna--just the collector of the dish,
> and
> > the old dish parts. So I have 1 new collector, and one as old as the
> dish.
> > >
> > Scrap the old one and put up the new one.
> > >
> > >
> > You mean the collector, right?
> > >
> > > 'Doc
> > >
> > > PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
> > > the dish, no.
> > >
> > What is an "LNA" ? Do you mean LiNeAir ? !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3428. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:24:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7d06_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146013

Which antenna install project are you talking about? I probably got it done. Do you know what glues plastic insulators that break? The stuff you mix together works for a while, but broke again. I'll have to do it again in da spring!
Dave!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3DEF4C36.29F82552@qnet.com...
> Hello Dave or Debby:
>
> How did you make out on your other antenna install project???
>
> I have replied below:
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > I have an over 25 year old 7' UHF Parabolic TV antenna. I have taken it
> > down (was outside at least that long) and will re-assemble it. I have a
> > brand new exact same piece that is the "collector" of the reflected signal.
> > Brand new from the antenna terminals to where it connects on the dish. No
> > new dish parts. THE questions are:
> >
> > (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E bay?
> > Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about aluminum
> > antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS
> > this nonsence?
>
> I would use what ever collector assembly gives a better picture. I would
> think that the new and old collector will probably work the same, and
> you won't get enough money on E-Bay, so I suggest you keep it as a
> spare. I base this on what you said as the antenna being a UHF TV
> Antenna, and not a Satellite Dish Antenna.
>
> I have also heard about Aluminum Antennas getting older and working
> better or even worse. One of the locals here in the deep high desert has
> a S-1 Signal, from a 40 mile away station. He uses a old Penetrator 5/8
> Wavelength Antenna, and he cleaned it for the very same reason, and has
> never seen any increase or decrease in his signal in 7 years. I have not
> ever measured a Aluminum "wear in" factor.
>

>
> > (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal
aluminum
> > that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same?
> > Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?
> >
> > Antenna Geeks, start thinking!
> > Dave!
>
> Which ever "one" works better.
>
> No do not sand off the gold anodizing off, the manufacture had it put on
> for a reason. The Gold Anodizing will not change the reflection
> coefficient (ability to reflect signals).
>
> Good luck there.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3429. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:26:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7d51$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146014

You mean Green gold, right?

Dave!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205040941.993$Mo@newsreader.com...
> Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote:
> >> The possibility of on-road 4 wheel driving is 100%.
> >
> > Ready. Set. Jeep. :)
> >
> White gold!
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3430. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:29:33 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7e27_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146015

Does that mean they took the towel off their heads and threw it at N8 ? ! I believe Yee-Haw is the American answer to Jee-Hod !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message news:1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com...
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3431. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:32:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7ec4_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146016

Then they will find you and "BURY" you next to the fishing worms, like
Kruschev said!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asnqij02b6k@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
> news:uutod2fudgv323@corp.supernews.com...
> > > http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
> > >
>
> Yeah baby. I'll put that sucker in my Winnebago RV and set up shop
alongside
> some remote fishing hole somewhere.
> Talk trash on any freq I want and the hell with them.
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3432. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:33:54 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7f2c_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146017

I believe I have 1 7' boat antenna that I can sell you as a Base antenna.
Dave
"news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote in message
news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor
antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or
peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3433. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:35:53 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3def7fa4_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146018

LandJawz:
He know enough to be a commie!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:W7zH9.6958$Wl3.224448742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
> news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
> >
> > Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license
grant
> > from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an
> examination
> > administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your
> > operator class.
>
> correct
>
>
> >
> > You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA
without
> a
> > license.
> >
> >
>
> correct, without the proper classifaction for that frequency too
>
> > Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then
> they
> > could be held liable for any violations.
>
> Nope, it is the responsibility of the operator to know where
> he or she can operate their equipment, not the manufacturer
> or the retailer.
>
> > Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their
> > interest is in your money becoming theirs.
>
> True, but it just depends on whether or not the retailer
> cares about the hobby he is representing.

>
> > I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I
am
> > researching it.
>
> Please post it, I and I'm sure others would love to see it.
>
> > The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without
a
> > license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be
> confiscated.
>
> Correct
>
> > Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
> > Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just
above
> > the CB band.
>
> Just above the cb frequencies? Those are not the ten meter band. If you
are
> talking about 28.000 and above yes, but below that is not ten meters.
>
> > But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.
> >
>
> Hey I can laugh, because I very rarely talk on the
> radio anymore, let alone with an amp or on the off freqs.
> I had my ticket many many years ago and you know, it
> was probably people like you that turned me off about ham radio. Just your
> statement above says that while you know
> some about ham radio, obviously not enough.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3434. FS:TEXASSTAR REPLACEMENT METERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 16:44:47 UTC
From: mag0355@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205114447.24458.00000497@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 146019

HAVE BRAND NEW METERS FOR $5+SHIPPING

3435. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:04:20 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DEF8714.D2F1E87@qnet.com>
Source record: 146020

Hello Dave:

If your talking abut the Hy-Gain Penetrator 500, Hy-Gain still sells all the plastic parts to that antenna!!! The Bottom, Top, Insulator, and Tuning Wire support pieces.

The parts are used on other antennas, but the part numbers are still good at Hy-Gain. Let me know I have the part numbers in my files from modifying the CLR-II, and Penetrator 500 Antennas with a new low loss Impedance Matching Hardware.

Jay in the Mojave

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Which antenna install project are you talking about? I probably got it
> done. Do you know what glues plastic insulators that break? The stuff you
> mix together works for a while, but broke again. I'll have to do it again
> in da spring!
> Dave!

3436. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:17:06 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <DBGdndMmkNuOF3KgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146021

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message

: Do us a favor... drop dead!

Please do us a favor and take your own advise. You stupid blowhard.

3437. Check this out Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:18:20 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <lg-dnT3a-_fDF3KgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146022

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1942565690&category=4671

3438. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:22:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <4l2vuu8q0ikp205521s5k9bkq3i0nltqlb@4ax.com>
Source record: 146023

On Thu, 5 Dec 2002 09:47:28 -0600, "news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote:

>yes I do....just need to dig my ladder out of the basement since all it is
>is a hole in the cieling...lol but yeah I do

There are special apatment type indoor antenna available. It's a radial type,
but the main radial is about 5 feet. It is called the IBA-5

http://www.firestik.com/Tech_Docs/IBA5-INFO.htm

Vinnie S.

3439. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:37:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuv3ngql9flbd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146025

It would be nice to quote the source of the information. Three times I have been apologized to by friends for inadvertently infecting my computer. They mention the file to delete. Of course, my A-V is always up to date, so I check and sure enough, they fell victim to a hoax and deleted a necessary file! Since I've received accidental hoaxes, please quote your source.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com...
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot
effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!
>
>

3440. Re: Check this out Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:39:00 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <_cGdnT4Kb9eoEnKgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146026

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205122330.726$lI@newsreader.com...
: "Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote:
: >>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1942565690&category=4
: >> 6
: > 71
: >
: Cool..Dunno if I want it though. ;)

It was going to be your Christmas gift.... but since you don't want it...

3441. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 17:39:29 UTC
From: Duck Plucker <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam>
Message-ID: <f-ydnUQpM8TPEnKgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146027

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205040941.993$Mo@newsreader.com...
: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote:
: >> The possibility of on-road 4 wheel driving is 100%.
: >
: > Ready. Set. Jeep. :)
: >
: White gold!

Texas tea? Oh wrong show.

3442. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 18:04:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7zMH9.3183$7q6.73771276@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146028

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205124142.788$GG@newsreader.com...
> "Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021205040941.993$Mo@newsreader.com...
> > : Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote:
> > : >> The possibility of on-road 4 wheel driving is 100%.
> > : >
> > : > Ready. Set. Jeep. :)
> > : >
> > : White gold!
> >
> >> Texas tea? Oh wrong show.
> >
> Yup..haven't started loadin'up the truck yet.

Still need a small box in that rig?

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3443. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 18:47:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <5m7vuu869qppd103rosbtju6ajnc9pib59@4ax.com>
Source record: 146029

On 05 Dec 2002 17:27:42 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>> http://www.firestik.com/Tech_Docs/IBA5-INFO.htm
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Hi Vinnie,are you the same Vinnie that posts to the Yankee group?

Yep ! I didn't know if that was you either. Good to see you. I whipped out my mobile from the attic after 20 years, due to the extensive highway travel I have been doing. Radio still works great. I was looking at upgrading to a Galaxy or such Any Rcommendations? My CB knowledge is 20 years old, and not current, I need all the help I can get.

Vinnie S.

3444. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 19:07:41 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <t49vuuccla0ofdf740c9ktpapbvjcptdpo@4ax.com>
Source record: 146030

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

3445. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 19:08:05 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <e59vuuc2k1g0krvut3d3tl7s0bdqkp2o0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 146031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

3446. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:10:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7885-3DEFB2A1-132@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146039

From: rcranus@hotmail.comSpam (Duck Plucker)
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:cGwH9.23530
Jerry while you are here could you please explain to Twisty how those
export radios arent legal to use. without type certification.
He already knows that. No need to.
J

>Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me >to have you clarify. He
seems to think a ham >can use whatever he wants. I know you tried >to
educate him before.

I never claimed what you attribute me. What I claimed was a Galaxy is
perfectly legal for an authorized hammie to use on ten meter. Nothing
was ever said about "Whatever he wants"...more attempted distortion from
you is all that is. You disagreed and were incorrect in doing so.

3447. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:11:19 UTC
From: lbrty4us@aol.com
Message-ID: <205ef942.0212051211.2f8bf4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

AHH, what you need, is my never-used, never-installed and still-new
1972 Raytheon DE-732 (I think) depth sounder, complete with unused
original transom-mount transducer & cable - the original and popular
neon flasher in the round case.

k5dh@raytheon.com (K5DH) wrote in message
news:<tZuH9.123$Z74.849@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>...
> As always, I am looking for 1960s and early 1970s
> CB radio equipment from Raytheon, Apelco, and
> Webster to fill holes in my museum collection.
> Here is a list of specific models that I need to
> complete the collection:
>
> RAYTHEON:
> Tube-type: Raycom
> Solid-state: Raycom III, Raycom IV (AM/SSB),
> Ray-Tel TWR-11, Ray-Tel TWR-11T
> Handheld: Regal Ray-Ette, Super Ray-Ette, MW-2
>
> APELCO:
> Solid-state: AR-15, AR-16, 565
>
> WEBSTER:
> Solid-state: Bandspanner 550
>
> ALLSTATE (sold by Sears):
> Tube-type: 832.62270
>
> AIRLINE (sold by Montgomery Ward)
> Tube-type: GAS-587
>
> I would be interested in hearing about any Raytheon,
> Apelco, or Webster CB gear that you might have, even
> if it's a duplicate (I'm always looking to upgrade
> models that I already have). I'm interested in any
> "parts rigs" that might be collecting dust also.
>
> In addition to the radios themselves, I am seeking
> any kind of related printed matter, including dealer
> sales literature, owner's manuals, service manuals,
> magazine ads, magazine articles, and so forth.
>
> If you are a vintage CB collector and have any models

> in your collection that I need a photo of, I'd like
> to work with you on that.
>
> If you ever had any involvement with CB gear from my
> target brands, whether it was sales, service, worked
> for that branch of the company, or whatever, I'd love
> to hear from you as well.
>
> If you have any interest in vintage CB, please visit
> the Raytheon/Apelco/Webster CB Radio On-Line Museum:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html
>
> DISCLAIMER:
> For those hams who are offended that I placed an ad
> for CB equipment on ham radio newsgroups, I need to
> point out that the overwhelming majority of radios,
> printed matter, and historical information that I've
> collected so far has come from hams, not from CBers!
> Perhaps that's because so many of today's hams were
> CBers "back then".
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Dean K5DH

3448. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:15:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7886-3DEFB3E0-51@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146037

bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
Twistedhed wrote:
With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being able to,

>Don't understand this statement at all twist. >Why would we want the >ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do >anything I want to on the ham bands, Ham >radio offers enough variety and freedom that I >don't have to do anything illegal.

Not all hammies....just the angry ones hellbent on causing a ruckus 'round this room.
Hammie Dave Hall N3CVJ wrote it himself in a post...one of the rare times he appears
lucid and I agree with.....here is what he said:

"I will say that there are SOME hams that may feel a bit cheated, since they feel obligated to follow the rules, and it bothers them to see Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, and seemingly without the least bit of remorse. Sort of like the teacher's pet calling "foul" when they see Little Johnny cheating in the back of the class.....
Dave
"Sandbagger"

3449. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:22:39 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205152239.29495.00000201@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 146033

hehe, i'm going fishing, what about yall......yall make the stupid comments, i dont even understand half of the stuff yall say

Thatz-me-JP

3450. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:24:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3DEFB5F5-156@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146036

From: truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com (Dave or Debby)
>There AIN'T NO "Evolution" in Human >behavior

You got that right.

>--just a "Changing of Religions" going
>on in this country/ or worldwide from the >Christian way of living, to
>the Humanist one, which is debatched !
>The religion of a country determines it's laws! >IF only the commy FCC
>would of done their jobs to BUST the commies >in the 50's that took
over the TV, there >wouldn't be the trash on it today, as well as >the
so called "shock jocks" on AM/FM radio >like antiChrist Howard the
Coward Stern! (as >well as the dirty mouth imitaters that watched >or
listened to this trash over the years), and >now talk it themselves on
the CB or ham >bands!

I concur. Whether it's Stern or Rush, that they have a following is
amusing.

>The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will >surely hit them, and that's
>WHY it comes!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Stern is a jew and not worried about the wrath of God as we are.

3451. Re: TRAM D80 - AM/SSB radio for sale... Hurry its gonna end fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:25:58 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205152558.29495.00000202@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 146034

shut up fag, you obviously dont have any clue that this "TRAM" radio were used back in the 70's......people now days.... they made their own radios. and its none of the bull crap Uniden's or cobra radio's.....Tram and Browning had the best radios ever in their day

Thatz-me-JP

3452. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:27:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3DEFB6CA-157@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146038

From: stomp443@msn.com (news)
>Could anyone direct me to a site where I could >buy a decent indoor
antenna. My landlord >won't let me mount my antron or groundplane >on
the roof or peak. Any help would be >appreciated.
>Brian

You can get a nocode ticket for a few bucks and then you're reasonably
exempt from the restriction.

3453. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:32:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212051232.1aa981e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146035

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:<1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com>...
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!

That's funny. There's nothing on the FCC website.

Another reason why you shouldn't feed the trolls. :)

3454. Re: FS: CCC AR-3300 and AR-3500, and a Uniden President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:43:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26821-3DEFBA7C-26@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146042

From: alexzapatka@hotmail.com (Alex Zapatka)
>i actually posted them a while go for sale >through ebay. ebay (of
course) sucked and >droped them. i have now since reposted them >through
sell.com
>if anyone is interested, they can be found at:
>http://www.sell.com/2C6XG (the CCC >AR-3500)
>http://www.sell.com/2C6X9 (the CCC >AR-3300)
>http://www.sell.com/2C6VQ (the HR-2510).
>email me with any questions.

I used to be an ebay fan but you're right..they suck...and this opinion
is independent of anything to do with radio. Check out yahoo
auctions....

3455. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:48:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3DEFBBAD-158@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146043

From: thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP)
>hehe, i'm going fishing, what about yall.....
>   Thatz-me-JP

All the time...although it looked to me like that sleet/freezing rain may be headed down as far as you...shux, we had 40's the other night and they are calling for it again tonight in some areas. Went out this morning and the temp was nice, then the fog came in REAL thick, then the temp dropped, then it reained like hell. DX conditions smoked, though..I done talked to half the country today and them boys in Texas talked to the other half.

3456. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:51:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3DEFBC65-159@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146044

From: lbrty4us@aol.com
>AHH, what you need, is my never-used, >never-installed and still-new
1972 Raytheon >DE-732 (I think) depth sounder, complete with >unused
original transom-mount transducer & >cable - the original and popular
neon flasher in >the round case.

Original packaging, too? As in "never opened"? Cool. Is the mic still in
a sealed plastic bag?

3457. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 20:54:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFBD20.2040305@yahoo.com>
Source record: 146040

John,
You can keep researching the liability laws, but
you're wasting your time. Retail sellers are not
responcible for how the buyer uses what they sell.
Old news...
'Doc

3458. LIAR, LIAR, PANTS ON FIRE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 22:02:58 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <m2QH9.5352$Kw6.3471792@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146041

I smell a troll's pants burning

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com...
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot
effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement. of all frequencies in the 11 and 10 meter
> bands.
> Instead they will be concentrating on the importers of equipment that can
> be easily modified.
>
> Looks like the Funny Candy Company has tossed in the towel gentlemen.
>
> Yee-haw!!!!!
>
>

3459. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 22:25:50 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DEFD26E.8ADB9378@cwis.net>
Source record: 146045

Dave or Debby,
Of course your dish has an LNA, all of them do. It's the 'sticky outy' thing in front of the dish. It's also what amplifies the signal so your satelite receiver has something to 'listen' to. The feed line to the dish connects to the LNA. The LNA is the only 'part' of a satelite dish set up that will wear out or break. The actual dish part just keeps going unless it gets bent out of shape or falls apart. Unless you are changing to a larger diameter dish, it won't do any good. Swapping the LNA can do some good, though... Does that make any sense to you?
'Doc

3460. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 22:32:37 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <v3lvuu4e944vfajf2227d1dbbom0glp9ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 146046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3461. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 22:45:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XFQH9.7282$0A1.247945328@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146047

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205132408.787$on@newsreader.com...
> >
> I have a Palomar in the GMC,but I was considering putting something in the
> Ford,for when we're at different locations. It's almost always late night
> operation so it might come in handy...what'cha got,Shark?

I've got a couple small boxes around 100 or so watts
you are welcome to have one if you want, no charge.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3462. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:33:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEFE24A.8EFEDF4C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146056

Dickieboy Craniumless wrote:

>
> Wrong. You cannot "broadcast" (you can only have two-way comms) and
> you cannot play music. Not that the Rules stop anyone, of course.

Well crainumlesss, I figured some moron would say something like
that. Reread my post. I said I can do anything I, notice I said I,
not we, them, they, or others. I said *I* can do anything *I* want
to on the ham bands. Now where did I say that *I* want to
broadcast or play music? Did I state that anywhere in my post? Do
I need to type real slow so you can keep up? I also stated that
ham radio offers enough variety and freedom that *I* don't have to
do anything illegal, such as broadcasting, playing music, running
power over the limit, jamming, using frequencies I am not licensed
to, ect., ect., ect., to enjoy ham radio.
By the way, as usual YOU are wrong coax breath, broadcasting on
the ham bands is allowed as long as it is bulletins or information
related to ham radio (ever heard the ARRL bulletins BROADCAST on a
regular basis?) or for instruction in Morse code. I used to
BROADCAST code practice tapes on 10 meters for local would-be hams
that wanted to learn code, and code at different speeds for those
wishing to increase their speed to upgrade. All that is required
is that you id properly. So you are not as quite as smart as you
think, assuming you do think which is really stretching it.

3463. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:40:51 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asoo1g$9dlu$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146048

UHHH, Maybe cause I know what I am talking about?

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3def7c40_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I didn't say this antenna had an amplifier, so WHY would he say this? !
> Dave!
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:asm8ob$7k5h$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > LNA = Low Noise Amplifier
> >
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3deea3e0_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Doc:
> > > Questions below and clarifications each point!
> > > Dave
> > > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave or Debby,
> > > > Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
> > > > one at all?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I don't have a NEW whole antenna--just the collector of the
dish,
> > and
> > > > the old dish parts. So I have 1 new collector, and one as old as the
> > dish.
> > > >
> > > > Scrap the old one and put up the new one.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > You mean the collector, right?
> > > >
> > > > 'Doc
> > > >
> > > > PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
> > > > the dish, no.
> > > >
> > > > What is an "LNA" ? Do you mean LiNeAir ? !
> > > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3464. Re: I'm outta here. But before I go...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:41:59 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212051541.2f733c1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146049

"Balls Mahoney" the phoney <rcranus@hotmail.coms> spewed garbage in
message news:<LZ-cnWDnYbv66nagXTWcqg@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message :
> : "After a couple years of active trolling,
>
> Hey dickhead what Rock you been hiding under long time no troll, i see you
> have been an active troll for years.

Wrong again, as usual. I began correcting Aanal Aaron H. Voobner less
than one year ago. Guess I'll hang around to further correct
Georgie-girl Busch and you, the two biggest TROLLs in the newsgroup.

It's too bad you don't stick to protecting what you consider yours
(hint: the ham bands) instead of infesting a CB newsgroup with your
tripe.

3465. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:44:23 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212051544.7283b5ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146050

bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DEE80B7.CAED00AD@mailcity.net>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >
> > With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some
> > hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny
> > while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't
> > do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being
> > able to,
>
> Don't understand this statement at all twist. Why would we want
> the ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do anything I want to on
> the ham bands, Ham radio offers enough variety and freedom that I
> don't have to do anything illegal.

Wrong. You cannot "broadcast" (you can only have two-way comms) and
you cannot play music. Not that the Rules stop anyone, of course.

3466. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:47:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212051547.388e3426@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146051

"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:<nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:cGwH9.23530
> : > Jerry while you are here could you please explain to Twisty how those
> export
> : > radios arent legal to use. without type certification.
> :
> : He already knows that. No need to.
> :
> : J
>
>
> Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He seems
> to think a ham can use whatever he wants. I know you tried to educate him
> before.

And you lost then, too. Amateurs are NOT constrained by "type
acceptance". They can use anything they want to use on the ham bands.
That should not be mis-interpreted to mean that hams can use anything
they want on CB, of course. Them's two different animals, so to speak.

3467. Re: LIAR, LIAR, PANTS ON FIRE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:51:33 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9ERH9.39810$vM1.3128603@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146052

Didn't know they wore pants... always saw them with that diaper thing.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:m2QH9.5352$Kw6.3471792@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I smell a troll's pants burning

3468. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:52:27 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%ERH9.39812$vM1.3129341@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146053

Lou had better stop spamming...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:v3lvuu4e944vfajf2227d1dbbom0glp9ua@4ax.com...
> To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from
> people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from
> CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on
> our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.
>
> Check it out! Go to this link:
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@c
bcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific,
> chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Some of the books included are:
>
> BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing
> CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever
> published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers
> peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna
> & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal &
> PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific
> instructions for most brands including the new export models. This
> latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM
> conversions, and much more!
>
> BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference
> source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL
> theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify
> them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing
> diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every
> PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis,
> with specific listings of all models using the chip.

>
> BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant
> 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up
> where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit
> descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL,
> solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers
> test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers,
> receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas,
> interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations
> including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets
> you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any
> serious CB technician!
>
> For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
>
>
>
****
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>

3469. FS: 2 HANDHELD CB'S (COBRA & REALISTIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-05 23:55:52 UTC
From: MDB61487@aol.com
Message-ID: <b2ccb3e.0212051555.44dc6b4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146054

The first one is a Cobra 38WXST handheld CB. I only used it once, its in excellent condition. It comes with all the original literature and has new AA batteries in it, ready to go. Covers all 40 CB channels and has weather service frequencies as well. 1/4 watt output selectable, has scan and SoundTracker technology. Asking $190 for this one.

The other one is a Realistic TRC-217 Handheld CB, it was given to me by a friend who no longer needed it. In good working condition, 1/4 watt selectable, covers all 40 CB channels. This is a bit older, probably from the early 90's. Asking $50 for this one.

Also have two 12VDC Plug in power supplies for the CB's. These are the wall adapter things that let you run the CB's using your regular wall outlet. Both in good working condition. Asking $15 for each. These can also be used to run stereos, portable TV's, and VCR battery packs.

3470. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 01:15:30 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asotiq$9geh$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146055

no idea what u talkin' about dude.
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205194108.433$s0@newsreader.com...
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > UHHH, Maybe cause I know what I am talking about?
> >
> Dude,if you're gonna top post one sentence,please edit.
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

3471. Re: FS: CCC AR-3300 and AR-3500, and a Uniden President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 01:59:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021205205920.24407.00010185@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 146057

look what it says on the ar3500's page

"this radio is COMPUTER based. it means it can be programed. it is currently open from 25mhz to 30mhz, but it can be re-programed so that it opens from 2mhz-80mhz (there are faq's on the internet all over to show how to do this). this is a program, so you dont have to open the radio up and solder anything.... just press some buttons on the front panel."

3472. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 02:18:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <bOTH9.3333$gw1.85685536@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146058

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205194808.421$EF@newsreader.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> Stern is a jew and not worried about the wrath of God as we are.
> >
> He's pretty funny at times too.

Sometimes, but he does get a little old
after a while.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3473. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 02:28:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asp1ta$tnhfh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146059

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...

> There was a guy
> saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
each
> other and using ob-
> scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to that?
> What is the thing that
> makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would
supposedly
> grown people
> act as if they have never left 3rd grade?

I've heard the same stuff you're talking about, as well as similar noise on the ham bands. It is strange, and I think a psychologist would have a field day trying to figure these people out.

My only guess is that it is a way to blow off steam, or perhaps for someone hiding behind the anonymity of their microphone to harass others when they know they wouldn't do the same thing to someone else in person.

I see a similar pattern between the radio operators you describe and internet trolls. The behavior is the same, it's just the medium that's different. Perhaps the idiots on the radio feel powerless in their own lives and are unable to communicate like a normal person so must resort to music playing, noisemaking, or other attention-getting antics.

I've never understood it myself and could be off base in my hypothesis, but I'm sure the anonymity is the catalyst that allows these people's "dark side" to come out.

Tom

3474. Any cb radio stores in Llano or brady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 02:34:05 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212051834.76358eb8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146060

I live in Houston and tommorrow I am making a road trip to midland. I am wondering if their is a cb radio store in Llano or brady not radio shack their mobile antenna selection is ok. If their what are their store hours and where are the located. I might get some cbing out in west texas.

3475. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:00:53 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF01307.6B3DCA7D@optonline.net>
Source record: 146061

```
8 inches today and still going...tautog are still biting but its a bitch in the
weather.....

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> > From: thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP)
> > >hehe, i'm going fishing, what about yall.....
> > >=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0
> > >=A0=
> > =A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0Thatz-me-JP
> >
> > All the time...although it looked to me like that sleet/freezing rain
> > may be headed down as far as you...shux, we had 40's the other night and
> > they are calling for it again tonight in some areas. Went out this
> > morning and the temp was nice, then the fog came in REAL thick, then the
> > temp dropped, then it reained like hell. DX conditions smoked, though..I
> > done talked to half the country today and them boys in Texas talked to
> > the other half.
> >
> Catch any bass?
>
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB
```

3476. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:02:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UpUH9.37360$bq3.13623@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146062

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26819-3DEFBBAD-158@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP)
>hehe, i'm going fishing, what about yall.....
> Thatz-me-JP

All the time...although it looked to me like that sleet/freezing rain
may be headed down as far as you...shux, we had 40's the other night and
they are calling for it again tonight in some areas. Went out this
morning and the temp was nice, then the fog came in REAL thick, then the
temp dropped, then it reained like hell. DX conditions smoked, though..I
done talked to half the country today and them boys in Texas talked to
the other half.

We here in central NC took it in the teeth. I am on generator right now,
and there are 245,000
without power just in my county alone---that's about every single resident.
But I have generator
(that's how I'm online now), and my woodstove, and I cooked a big pot of
beans and weenies with
cheese on that stove. My, my, was that ever good. It's supposed to freeze
again tonight, so those
that have to go to work will slip and slide and dodge downed lines.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3477. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:06:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <htUH9.37402$bq3.22510@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146063

John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
>
> Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license grant
> from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an
examination
> administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your
> operator class.
>
> You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA without
a
> license.
>
>
> Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then
they
> could be held liable for any violations.
> Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their
> interest is in your money becoming theirs.
> I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I am
> researching it.
> The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without a
> license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be
confiscated.
> Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
> Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just above
> the CB band.
> But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.

You won't FIND a rule that states that one must have a license to purchase
or possess. It is still
a free country (more or less), it is ONLY illegal to transmit without a
license for the service for which the equipment is intended. Some Amateur
outlets *MAY* ask for a license, and I support
that; it is, after all, their right to do business as they see fit. But
there is no law that requires them to
ask for a ham license to sell such equipment.

Jerry
>
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3478. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:16:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SCUH9.37508$bq3.34819@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146064

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:V7zH9.6957$Wl3.224448742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0212041738.175f3b98@posting.google.com...
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:<pSsH9.20875$bq3.5044@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > > Who Would Have Guessed <timnebo@aol.com10-103> wrote in message
> > > news:20021204113749.27831.00009271@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > > we ask ourselfs the same thing about you all the time and your focus
> of
> > > one
> > > > freq which is 28.085. > It's just that I'll never understand why a
> hobby
> > > is
> > > > >something to get bent over. There's too
> > > > >much to do, too much to occupy one for all that.
> > > > >
> > > > >73
> > > > >
> > > > >Jerry
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >---
> > > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > >Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
> > > >
> > > Oh, but there's more to it than that. Those unlicensed operators
> have
> NO
> > > business being there,
> > > and, while I don't spend ALL my time listening to it, if I DO hear
> those
> > > intruders and IF I can
> > > catch one, I will turn him in a skinny minute. No worry, no hassle to
> me.
> > > He is not allowed to
> > > be there, he is breaking the law, and he is taking something that
> belongs to
> > > me; he is NOT welcome
> > > to transmit there.
> >

> > Urmmmm, I disagree. The frequency may be allocated to the Amateur
> > Radio Service, but it does not BELONG to you or anyone else any more
> > than the commercial broadcasting frequencies BELONG to the AM, FM, or
> > TV broadcasters. You have been granted THE PRIVILEDGE to use those
> > frequencies and, for the most part, it's a secondary priviledge to
> > some other allocation(s).
> >
> > I have to wonder where you got this strange idea that those
> > frequencies BELONG to you?
>
> I think that is just a figure of speech that Jerry uses.
> It's more along the lines of he's earned the right to use it by studying
and
> passing said exam to get the right to use those Freqs.
>
> Landshark
>
> PS, hope you're staying warm Jerry, it looks as though your
> getting quite a cold spell.
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm! (striking the pitch!) Oh the weather outside is
fright---COUGH!
?COUGH! Well, so much for the singing. but you get the idea. About an
inch of ice last night that
took down limbs, power lines and whole trees. 1.2 million without power.
Temps in the 20s--not
exactly the sunny south people envision. But I am fine. Ole wood stove
crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs & Stratton
sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
asking.

73

Jerry

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3479. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:19:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eFUH9.37533$bq3.7185@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146065

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asp1ta$tnhfh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:PGcH9.13877$bq3.9364@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > There was a guy
> > saying over and over, "Canadian Superpower", a couple of guys insulting
> each
> > other and using ob-
> > scenities, and someone playing rap racket. What's the attraction to
that?
> > What is the thing that
> > makes grown men want to act in such an infantile way? Why would
> supposedly
> > grown people
> > act as if they have never left 3rd grade?
>
> I've heard the same stuff you're talking about, as well as similar noise
on
> the ham bands. It is strange, and I think a psychologist would have a
field
> day trying to figure these people out.
>
> My only guess is that it is a way to blow off steam, or perhaps for
someone
> hiding behind the anonymity of their microphone to harass others when they
> know they wouldn't do the same thing to someone else in person.
>
> I see a similar pattern between the radio operators you describe and
> internet trolls. The behavior is the same, it's just the medium that's
> different. Perhaps the idiots on the radio feel powerless in their own
> lives and are unable to communicate like a normal person so must resort to
> music playing, noisemaking, or other attention-getting antics.
>
> I've never understood it myself and could be off base in my hypothesis,
but
> I'm sure the anonymity is the catalyst that allows these people's "dark
> side" to come out.
>
> Tom

Tom,

I freely admit to my share of oddities, but aggravating people anonymously doesn't do anything for
me--or aggravating people in person, either. Oh,
well.....

Jerry
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3480. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:34:15 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XImdnXhRydEzh22gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146066

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DEFD26E.8ADB9378@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave or Debby,
> Of course your dish has an LNA, all of them do.

He's talking about a UHF TV dish, not a DBS dish.
It's a skeleton dish design, with an active element (dipole) at the focus.
Some have LNA's, some don't.
If it has an LNA, it would take power up the coax.

The DBS dishes don't have LNAs, they have LNBs. (Low Noise Block Converter)

3481. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:38:38 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <2O2dnUU3HNAohm2gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146067

> I used to use a vu-190(with amp)from radio shack, to get the blacked out
> Browns games from Toledo and it worked real well.(150 mile blackout rule)

I used to install MATV systems. :)

Higher is better.
Are there any stations on the same channel, in that direction or nearly so,
that are closer to you than the one you want?
If so, you're basically hosed.

Broadband antennas also pick up broadband interference (pagers, other junk)
They do make single channel yagis that are narrowband by design, and will do
a bit better job.
Most of our MATV stuff was done with single channel antennas.
Have a look in a Jerrold catalog.

That being said, the RS VHF antennas are pretty good.
Their UHF antennas are rather less impressive than a coathanger for some
reason.

3482. Re: TRAM D80 - AM/SSB radio for sale... Hurry its gonna end fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:41:40 UTC
From: Daniel <danielunderscorenineeightoneatyahoodotcom@bogus.com>
Message-ID: <U%UH9.7047$kz2.710410@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146068

Agreed.....

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205194649.663$RW@newsreader.com...
> thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:
> > shut up fag,
> >
> No call for that.
>
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

3483. What is a Texas Star DX-600 worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:46:21 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <h4VH9.107045$Gc.3204624@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146069

Have an old DX-600 that I just dug out of a pile of stuff in my garage.
Works fine, just wondering what something like that is worth these days.

T

3484. Re: Any cb radio stores in Llano or brady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 03:50:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D8VH9.117120$Kj1.4736244@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146070

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212051834.76358eb8@posting.google.com...
> I live in Houston and tommorrow I am making a road trip to midland. I
> am wondering if their is a cb radio store in Llano or brady not radio
> shack their mobile antenna selection is ok. If their what are their
> store hours and where are the located. I might get some cbing out in
> west texas.

Had to read that 3 times to make sense of it.....

3485. Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 04:58:05 UTC
From: Walter <walterk@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <x7WH9.166850$ka.3746010@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 146071

I am looking for an 8 foot top loaded antenna,
About 14 years ago I could buy a firestick & another brand, but I can no longer find anyone that sells them.
Anyone have any ideas.
I need it for my mobile.
I have a van & have a 5 footer top loaded vulcan, but it is pointless.
Also tried a top of the line mag. mount. talk about sucky....
I hate mag. mounts.
Anyway years ago the 8' whip on the back of my van made an awsome moble station, so I want to copy what worked for me. & yes it was directional, big time. But I like it that way...

Any help would be mucho apreacato...

-=Walter=-

3486. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 05:00:18 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <EvycnQp7Lq5As22gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 146072

> Thanks Dave,I don't think there will be a channel conflict. The single
> channel antenna with a mast mounted amp might be the ticket.

That's best.

> Or the rat shack set-up..?

You might get away with it.

I used to get SanFransisco stations from Dutch Flat, which is a pretty long haul, with a modest antenna, but 3000' elevation does nice things for RF.

3487. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 05:02:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv0brqi19tul28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146073

I don't think rental properties are going to be affected by FCC rules in any way. If you rent, you can't fasten anything to the house without permission (and then it becomes part of the house and owned by the landlord!). The rules apply to a privately owned home (not rental property).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:26819-3DEFB6CA-157@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: stomp443@msn.com (news)
> >Could anyone direct me to a site where I could >buy a decent indoor
> antenna. My landlord >won't let me mount my antron or groundplane >on
> the roof or peak. Any help would be >appreciated.
> >Brian
>
>
>
>
> You can get a nocode ticket for a few bucks and then you're reasonably
> exempt from the restriction.
>

3488. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 05:49:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF03A87.EF57ED39@cwis.net>
Source record: 146074

Dave,
Basically the same advice. The 'dish' doesn't wear out,
and unless the 'new' dish is larger than the 'old' dish,
there isn't likely to be any improvement. Why bother?
'Doc

3489. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 10:50:34 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <h301vukuubtetmba04irad80oamqqoc5ie@4ax.com>
Source record: 146075

On 06 Dec 2002 00:59:36 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Any TV antenna guru's in here? Two of my buddies want to get the Steeler
>games(I know..bad taste<g>). It's about 120 miles or less the way the crow
>fly's.. They're not trying to get around any blackout(steelers sell out).
>They were talking about splitting the NFL package from cable.
>
>I suggested looking at a good TV antenna with an amp,and rotor. Split the
>cost(they're brothers),and have it for years.
>
>I used to use a vu-190(with amp)from radio shack, to get the blacked out
>Browns games from Toledo and it worked real well.(150 mile blackout rule)
>
>Any suggestions for a better antenna,or amp?

I used to do what you are talking about. Use a Wineguard or Channel
Master deep fringe antenna with a mast mounted amp.
http://w3.ime.net/~rosselec/antennas.html

3490. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 10:51:13 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Bi%H9.254134$QZ.39857@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146076

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:x7WH9.166850$ka.3746010@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> I am looking for an 8 foot top loaded antenna,
> About 14 years ago I could buy a firestick & another brand, but I can no
> longer find anyone that sells them.
> Anyone have any ideas.
> I need it for my mobile.
> I have a van & have a 5 footer top loaded vulcan, but it is pointless.
> Also tried a top of the line mag. mount. talk about sucky....
> I hate mag. mounts.
> Anyway years ago the 8' whip on the back of my van made an awsome moble
> station, so I want to copy what worked for me. & yes it was directional,
big
> time. But I like it that way...
>
> Any help would be mucho apreacato...
>
> -=Walter=-

www.firestik.com

They still have the stick your looking for. We sell them at our shop.

3491. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 11:52:33 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <5c0l9.260674$P31.101144@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 146077

Brian.....If the landlord is that set against any antennas....you have many options for the attic scenario.....or you could just move!! LOL
Good luck with it.

Bill

"news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote in message news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian
>
>

3492. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 12:50:23 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asq6g802a87@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146081

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:Bi%H9.254134$QZ.39857@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:x7WH9.166850$ka.3746010@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > I am looking for an 8 foot top loaded antenna,
> > About 14 years ago I could buy a firestick & another brand, but I can no
> > longer find anyone that sells them.
> > Anyone have any ideas.
> > I need it for my mobile.
> > I have a van & have a 5 footer top loaded vulcan, but it is pointless.
> > Also tried a top of the line mag. mount. talk about sucky....
> > I hate mag. mounts.
> > Anyway years ago the 8' whip on the back of my van made an awsome moble
> > station, so I want to copy what worked for me. & yes it was directional,
> big
> > time. But I like it that way...
> >
> > Any help would be mucho apreacato...
> >
> > -=Walter=-
>
>
> www.firestik.com
>
> They still have the stick your looking for. We sell them at our shop.

Even finding a regular stainless steel whip is hard to do these days.
it's a matter of what the stores want to carry and what the customers are
really buying.
a truckstop may sell a few basic choices because they know truckers will buy
that type.
they're not gonna buy stuff that's gonna sit for ten years.

3493. Re: What is a Texas Star DX-600 worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:03:48 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212060503.61e36325@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146078

Well, it certainly depends on the condition. This model has been
discontinued long ago...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:<h4VH9.107045$Gc.3204624@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> Have an old DX-600 that I just dug out of a pile of stuff in my garage.
> Works fine, just wondering what something like that is worth these days.
>
> T

3494. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:11:47 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0A213.C9C8DBF1@secret.com>
Source record: 146080

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> (snip)
> >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > asking.
> > >
> You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.

It's leftover Y2K stuff.

3495. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:16:40 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212060516.6938bc3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146079

What you're hearing is a low S/N ratio. When the carrier drops... the background channel noise stays the same. What you have is a poor signal/noise ratio at that time. As more audio is applied, the carrier increases and the signal to noise ratio improves drastically. You're certainly right that it has a distinct sound to it. What you're hearing is what you would experience if you were able to talk to someone on the "fringe"(at great range) area. Unfortunately, with all the noise on the CB today... this is something that most modern CBers have never experienced.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Sod Buster" <rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote in message
news:<o8ednezjkPkW1HegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:EwrG9.32887$vM1.2571557@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> : That is pure nonsense... and has no basis in fact.
> : Maybe you could explain to us why lowering your carrier would cause
> : distortion?
>
> He saw it said on a usenet discussion board? It is a Cb Myth? But if the
> carrier is reduced to far distortion wil occur Professor, ever hear peole
> with to low of a carrier they at time sound off frequency and distorted
> until the carreir is raised to a sufficient level.

3496. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:26:03 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0A56B.735EE200@secret.com>
Source record: 146082

DLHicks wrote:
>
> "Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:x7WH9.166850$ka.3746010@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > I am looking for an 8 foot top loaded antenna,
> > About 14 years ago I could buy a firestick & another brand, but I can no
> > longer find anyone that sells them.
> > Anyone have any ideas.
> > I need it for my mobile.
> > I have a van & have a 5 footer top loaded vulcan, but it is pointless.
> > Also tried a top of the line mag. mount. talk about sucky....
> > I hate mag. mounts.
> > Anyway years ago the 8' whip on the back of my van made an awsome moble
> > station, so I want to copy what worked for me. & yes it was directional,
> big
> > time. But I like it that way...
> >
> > Any help would be mucho apreacato...
> >
> > -=Walter=-
>
> www.firestik.com
>
> They still have the stick your looking for. We sell them at our shop.

The KW-7 is the only antenna I use.

3497. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:27:17 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212060527.1d967be4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146083

Exactly!... that's essentially what my super swing is... minus the major bad point of SSB. I'm speaking of the "critical frequency tracking" that is such a pain in the a@#. On DSB with a supressed carrier, I sacrafice some power that I'd get from SSB... which is easy made up by external means... and I get away from having to tune everyone every five seconds because of radios drifting at different rates.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:<3DEB7D69.5457@worldlynx.net>...
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > True... but don't leave out the main advantage... tremendous
> > percentage of modulation.
>
> Hell, if that's all you're looking for, try SSB. All modulation.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

3498. Re: export radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:31:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0A69E.1E82@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146096

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEDF615.5571@worldlynx.net...
> > Vinnie S. wrote:
> > >
> > > What exactly are these?
> >
> > An "export" radio is, what you would probably assume, a radio made for
> > use in other countries, where the rules and frequencies are different
> > than in the U.S.
> > These radios cover way more channels (Some have over 200), have
> > additional modes (Like FM and CW), and some have higher power (up to 100
> > watts).
> > Many of these radios find their way into the U.S. and are popular with
> > "High perfomance" CBers, those who look for more out of their hobby than
> > what the FCC rules allow.
> >
> > As you might have guessed, these radios are illegal to operate on 11
> > meter CB in the U.S.. This doesn't seem to bother some people though.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Not to mention the fact that within the USA, to purchase one, you MUST hold
> a ham radio operators license.

Actually, a purely "Export" type CB is illegal to sell to ANYONE within
the U.S. The fact that it happens too regularly anyway, does not change
this.

A "10 Meter" rig (Which is usually a thinly veiled disguise of an
export), can only be used legally on 10 meters, but you don't need a
license to purchase one. Recently the FCC tightened up its specs, with
regard to what they consider "contraband" radios, and many of the
so-called "10 meter" radios have now made the FCC's "illegal" list, in
that they can no longer legally be sold in the U.S. If you have one, and
are licensed to use it on 10 meters, you can continue to do so legally.

It's a can of worms for sure.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3499. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:50:22 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0AB1E.B42D0C6@qnet.com>
Source record: 146084

Hello Dave:

Here are the Plastic (made from black ABS Plastic) Insulators for the
Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antenna. Had to do
some digging.

Tell the nice lady at Hy-Gain, that these are still current parts used
on other Hy-Gain Antennas, but you need then for the Penetrator 500,
model number is "Order No. 500" Hy-Gain will also sell you the assembly
instructions, for 5 dollars.

Hy-Gain is at: http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php

Penetrator 500 Insulator Part Numbers:
Base or lower Insulator 877961
Upper Insulator 463056
Tuning Wire Supports 463642

Tell em Jay sent ya's

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
fillin station.

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Which antenna install project are you talking about? I probably got it
> done. Do you know what glues plastic insulators that break? The stuff you
> mix together works for a while, but broke again. I'll have to do it again
> in da spring!
> Dave!
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEF4C36.29F82552@qnet.com...
> > Hello Dave or Debby:
> >
> > How did you make out on your other antenna install project???
> >
> > I have replied below:
> >
> > Dave or Debby wrote:
> > >
> > > I have an over 25 year old 7' UHF Parabolic TV antenna. I have taken it
> > > down (was outside at least that long) and will re-assemble it. I have a
> > > brand new exact same piece that is the "collector" of the reflected
> signal.
> > > Brand new from the antenna terminals to where it connects on the dish.

> No
> > > new dish parts. THE questions are:
> > >
> > > (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on E
> bay?
> > > Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about
> aluminum
> > > antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better. IS
> > > this nonsence?
> >
> > I would use what ever collector assembly gives a better picture. I would
> > think that the new and old collector will probably work the same, and
> > you won't get enough money on E-Bay, so I suggest you keep it as a
> > spare. I base this on what you said as the antenna being a UHF TV
> > Antenna, and not a Satellite Dish Antenna.
> >
> > I have also heard about Aluminum Antennas getting older and working
> > better or even worse. One of the locals here in the deep high desert has
> > a S-1 Signal, from a 40 mile away station. He uses a old Penetrator 5/8
> > Wavelength Antenna, and he cleaned it for the very same reason, and has
> > never seen any increase or decrease in his signal in 7 years. I have not
> > ever measured a Aluminum "wear in" factor.
> >
> >
> > > (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal
> aluminum
> > > that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the same?
> > > Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?
> > >
> > > Antenna Geeks, start thinking!
> > > Dave!
> >
> > Which ever "one" works better.
> >
> > No do not sand off the gold anodizing off, the manufacture had it put on
> > for a reason. The Gold Anodizing will not change the reflection
> > coefficient (ability to reflect signals).
> >
> > Good luck there.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3500. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 13:56:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17813-3DF0AC95-25@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146086

N2UHC wrote:
>I see a similar pattern between the radio >operators you describe and internet trolls. The >behavior is the same, it's just the medium >that's different. Perhaps the idiots on the radio >feel powerless in their own lives and are >unable to communicate like a normal person >so must resort to music playing, noisemaking, >or other attention-getting antics.
>I've never understood it myself and could be >off base in my hypothesis, but I'm sure the >anonymity is the catalyst that allows these >people's "dark side" to come out.
>Tom

You were doing so well until that last statement. Most of the "dark side" you speak of comes from a few that post their call. As of late, yes, a few of these hammies have ceased posting with their call and attempted anonymity..the first sign that others have seen them for what they are and the self-realization they are detriments to the hobby. CB is anonymous and always has been.....this is a cb room.....cbers have no interest to imitate something they have no interest in. So let's shed some truth on the matter....these few malcontent hammies are imitating CB with their failed attempts at being anonymous. Ha!

3501. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:03:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17813-3DF0AE33-28@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146085

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
I don't think rental properties are going to be affected by FCC rules in any way. If you rent, you can't fasten anything to the house without permission (and then it becomes part of the house and owned by the landlord!). The rules apply to a privately owned home (not rental property).
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
--

He didn't clarify if he was in a house or apartment.....you're right...I assumed an apartment or deed resricted area. If he is in an apartment, doesn't the landlord have to allow a "reasonable" antenna (once he is licensed) ? Many hammies have even joined emergency repeater clubs as an added piece of armor to fight back against the no-antenna rules. A rental house? I'd throw up a flagpole and use it to house an antenna...just make sure you raise the flag each morning and take it down each night.

3502. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:09:12 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asshu1$mg5$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146116

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:UWpH9.19424$bq3.3960@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Like the time a friend and I jacked up a guy's Volkswagen and placed it
> on a block just enough that the rear wheel was barely off the
> ground. He started up and, of course, the car wouldn't move.

LMFAO.

> He got out of the car and left it running and in gear because he
> thought the tranny was out.

Just as well it stayed on the blocks.

> I once won a rubber snake at the county fair at some
> shooting booth--hardly a notable prize.

What a prankster... lol.

>
> Today, I wouldn't do that to someone because, while it might be funny
> to scare a person, you never know if you might cause someone
> to have a heart attack. I might scare my cat, but not a person.

I suppose that pranks are OK between friends who know the score, but you do
have to know where to stop.
The damage that so many are casing is no prank, you certainly have to draw
the line when damage or danger are involved.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/02

3503. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:09:26 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asshum$mg5$7@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146117

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205224652.417$YN@newsreader.com...
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
> >
> > Broadband antennas also pick up broadband interference (pagers, other
> > junk) They do make single channel yagis that are narrowband by design,
> > and will do a bit better job.
> > Most of our MATV stuff was done with single channel antennas.
> > Have a look in a Jerrold catalog.
> >
> Thanks Dave,I don't think there will be a channel conflict. The single
> channel antenna with a mast mounted amp might be the ticket.

Hi.

Also, reducing the antenna bandwidth will allow the rx front end to put all
it's effort into the wanted signal.

A few years back (quite a few), while I was training and lived in a small
split level flat, most television transmitters in the UK still switched off
at night... usually about 12:30am.
Once the local transmitters switched off, my rx gain picked up and I could
receive stations 100s of miles away... on an indoor (set top, broadband)
antenna. Once the local transmitters came back on, the signal would be
lost... although nothing local used the same channels.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/02

3504. Re: Where can I buy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:09:30 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <asshuo$mg5$9@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146118

"Stu" <news@villas4all.net> wrote in message
news:UaqH9.2341$_06.60106@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi all
>
> Can someone please tell me a site online where I can buy
>
> Antron 99
> 18ft coax and plugs
> 10amp PSU
>
> I have tried Bills2Way but he won't accept my CC, any other
> sites please?
> I don't care about shipping costs as I know the ariel will
> cost a bit to ship.
> Please help.

Hi Stuart.

As you seem to be in the UK, try Knights CB...
http://www.users.zetnet.co.uk/knightscb/
I have dealt with them (via the shop)... never had a problem yet.

There is also Truck Kings (now in Letchworth, Herts - was once Watford)...
http://www.truck-king.co.uk/home.htm
They never had much on their site so, unless it has changed, you cannot
purchase on-line... although they do work mainly by mail order.
If you go on the group "rec.radio.cb", you will find someone called
"Rocket", who has some kind of association with them (not sure what).
Also, Doug Knights (Knights CB) makes the occasional post on the group to
let us know when their latest newsletter is available (free on-line).

Just one more I can think of right now...
http://www.cb-shack.com/index.html
CB Shack. Not sure what you can do on-line, not visited the site for a
while.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/02

3505. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:11:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17815-3DF0B02E-9@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146087

Moparholic asked:
>Catch any bass?

You know, we were going to head up an inland freshwater creek/river but didn't 'casue of the weather forecast (HEAVY rain, which came, equals flash flooding here..the ground is all sand and doesn't soak it up fast enough)...we ended up cruising the sandbars and not even a sea bass.....sure, the channel cats were hitting (as usual) but that's anytime.

3506. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:15:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17813-3DF0B119-30@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146090

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
>8 inches today and still going...tautog are still >biting but its a
bitch in the weather.....

I watched that on the weather last night. Wow.
I have an invitation to turkey and deer hunt next year up in an area
that gets heavy snow....been mulling it over...I think I still have a
winter jacket......somewhere. I don't mind the cold if the snow comes
along. It's when it's too damn cold to snow and a sun junkie like me
gets goofy after seeing gray skies for weeks on end.

3507. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:16:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17813-3DF0B153-31@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146088

We here in central NC took it in the teeth. I am on generator right now,
and there are 245,000
without power just in my county alone---that's about every single
resident. But I have generator
(that's how I'm online now), and my woodstove, and I cooked a big pot of
beans and weenies with
cheese on that stove. My, my, was that ever good. It's supposed to
freeze again tonight, so those
that have to go to work will slip and slide and dodge downed lines.
Jerry

Glad you are prepared, Jerry.

3508. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:19:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17813-3DF0B202-32@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146089

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Stern is a jew and not worried about the wrath >of God as we are.

He's pretty funny at times too.

I'll say there was a time when he was definitely original......however,
with the thousands upon thousands of imitators and clones.....even the
original widgets lose their appeal.

3509. FS:WATT METER 4 SALE FOR BASE STATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:46:37 UTC
From: mag0355@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206094637.05739.00000403@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 146091

BRAND NEW IN THE BOX WITH MANUAL,AND POWER CORDS FOR LIGHTS.
25-30MHZ 50OHMS RF POWER 10W 100W 5000W.
WHEN U KEY UP IT SHOWS SWR POWER MODULATION 100%.YOU CAN ALSO SEE PEAK POWER OR AVG.IT IS MADE BY WORKMAN.
$85+SHIPPING

3510. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:47:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206094747.02042.00000002@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 146092

this is the same guy who said cb amps had a virus to destroy radios lol

>It would be nice to quote the source of the information. Three times I have
>been apologized to by friends for inadvertently infecting my computer. They
>mention the file to delete. Of course, my A-V is always up to date, so I
>check and sure enough, they fell victim to a hoax and deleted a necessary
>file! Since I've received accidental hoaxes, please quote your source.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>

3511. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:48:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0B8C5.5013@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145947

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..
>
> >The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath.
>
> No...Lelnad used the term "psychopath" not sociopath.

If you say so.

> Pay attention and
> stop being so particular about what days you choose to possess gumption.

The key is that *I* chose which posts I want to respond to, and ignore
those that I don't. Every so often I'll respond just to bitch slap you
back to reality.

>
> >A psychopath and a sociopath share many common maladies, but the key
> difference is that a psychopath is prone to violence, while a
> >sociopath
>
> Wold "sociopathic liar" fall under that umbrella?

Compulsive and habitual lying is a trait of sociopathic mental illness,
as well as Narcissistic Personality Disorder. Your almost continual
lying and misrepresenting what other people say are one of your key
symptoms. Along with an indifference to the laws of our society and the
general disregard for the rights of other people. You do possess the
lions share of the symptoms.

>From one of the links below:

Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD) is practically synonymous with
criminal behavior. It's so synonymous, in fact, that practically all
convicted criminals (65-75%) have it, with criminologists often
referring to it as a "wastebasket" category. Psychologists consider it
an adult
version of juvenile conduct disorder. The main characteristic of it is a
complete and utter disregard for the rights of others and the rules of
society.
They seldom show anxiety and don't feel guilt. There's really no

effective treatment for them other than locking them up in a secure facility with
such rigid rules that they cannot talk their way out.

Sociopathy is chiefly characterized by something wrong with the person's conscience. They either don't have one, it's full of holes like Swiss cheese, or they are somehow able to completely neutralize or negate any sense of conscience or future time perspective. Sociopaths only care about fulfilling their own needs and desires - selfishness and egocentricity to the extreme. Everything and everybody else is mentally twisted
around in their minds as objects to be used in fulfilling their own needs and desires.

Sound familiar?

>
> >And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at the established rules of
> society, no matter what you may think of them, are considered
> >sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......
>
> So Davey boy, how long have you considered yourself a psychopath?

Try to tell a nut, he's nuts.........

> Of course the licensed hammie N3CVJ who claims with his license comes a
> responsibility to operate within his own acceptable stated perimeters
> and what he deems "responsible", only here he is blatantly bragging
> about the illegal behavior he claims makes the rest of us "federal
> criminals".

Really? Where was I "bragging" about anything?

>
> From your own lips Davey-boy:
> Who needs "Melrose Place" when you have a scanner? My neighborhood
> phone traffic was no less juicy at times. Teenaged girls talking about
> their first time with sex, wife talking to newly found lover while
> hubby watched Monday Night Football in the next room, guys making drug
> buys, and even caught one neighbor bitching about ME and all my "radio
> stuff" to another.
> It's fun to try to figure out who all those voices belong to.
> Sometimes more entertaining than TV, because it's REAL.

What does this prove? It's not illegal to monitor cordless phones, only cellular ones. Cell phones are the only ones protected by the ECPA. Otherwise the cordless phone freqs would be blocked out as well. Now if I were to DEVULGE the specific content of those conversations or record them, I would be in violation of the state's wiretap law.

Strike one, try again....

>
> MORE..from N3CVJ's own hypcritical own words:
> I will say that there are SOME hams that may feel a bit cheated, since
> they feel obligated to follow the rules, and it bothers them to see
> Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, and seemingly without
> the least bit of remorse.

And what is the significance of this? It's true that some hams do feel cheated, when they are bound by the rules, that they feel an obligation to obey, while freebanders operate outside the law, seemingly with immunity, and certainly with no remorse. What's hypocritical about that? Are you challenging this statement? On what grounds? Are you saying that there are no hams who feel this way? Oh, I forgot, you speak for the "majority". Another trait of sociopathic disorder, an over inflated self worth.

Strike two, you're on a roll.....

> And we certainly can't ignore:
> I currently own 2 Dave made amps. Both of them "sound" ok. But they
> are also not something that I would run inside my house. I have them
> because I horse traded other radio gear. I'd trade them for a Texas
> Star DX-500 or 600 if I had the opportunity.

What does THIS prove. So what, I have 2 Dave Made amps. Unlike you, I can use them LEGALLY (With some extensive modification to add bias, and to add a lowpass filter). I currently have a Texas Star DX 600 in my truck. It works just fine on 10 meters. I get about 750 watts peak out of it on SSB. I enjoy projects, and making the Dave Made's spectrally compliant, was a bit of work, but I enjoy this type of project. Besides, I'll probably horse trade them for some more gear in the future. I've spent very little pocket cash for most of my equipment. I've horse traded for most of it. Many people pay me for radio work, in equipment rather than cash.

Strike 3, you're outta here!

> Let's see what you said again:
> And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at the established rules of
> society, no matter what you may think of them, are considered
> sociopaths,
>
> And so again the question is posed to you...how long have you been a
> hypocritical federal lawbreaker?

As long as you've been lying about it.

> N3CVJ claimed he was a federal law breaker many years ago when he was
> younger until he saw the light and mended his ways......balderdash. The
> post is less than 3 years old. The fact that someone his age lies as
> much as he does is troubling enough. The real question would be WHY he
> is compelled to commit such behavior and engage in such magnificent
> lies.

And two wrongs don't make a right. The fact that I operated a CB outside of FCC rules in the past, in no way absolves you from doing it now, and believing that you're justified in doing so. THAT is what makes you a sociopath. The fact that you've almost continually attempted to deflect the topic away from your lawlessness, to my alleged "lying" is a clear case of deflection, which is another classic symptom of a sociopathic disorder.

>
> >More links on the subject of sociopathic disorders. Do these sound
> >like anyone we know?
> >http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/socio.htm
> >http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHistrionicPersonalityDisorders.htm
> >http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16.htm

>
> It might. The fact that you consider yourself "knowing" an internet
> stranger based on what they allow you goes hand in hand with the
> arrogance and ignorance emanating from your lying pie hole.

OH!!! So now you coyly imply that you might be just acting like a sociopath, but are not one in real life. Ok, I can accept that. Hell, That would mean that you and I are very much alike then, and we should sit down and have a few beers and chuckle at all the turmoil that you've created on this newsgroup. When do you want to do it?

That get out of jail free card isn't going to wash. You've got way too much archived history for that.

> The sites
> concerning habitual and sociopathic liars are more accurate in this
> application. One must look no further than your posts to see your
> contradictions and psychopathic tendencies.

One of the other common traits of sociopathic disorders is deflection. The blaming of others for your own failings and character flaws.

Oh and by the way, a psychopath usually resorts to physical violence. Since we have no way of determining whether or not physical abuse and violence are a part of this picture, it would be irresponsible to assign this designation, without further proof.

If you want to play in this sandbox, you'd better brush up on the terminology.

> The fact that you fancy
> yourself more knowledgeable than the next guy concerning mental health
> merely adds to the entertainment of your claims.

What's really entertaining is that these symptoms fit you to a "T". I suspect that this is the main reason why you have concentrated your vitriolic campaign to malign me to such a degree. The fact that I've got your number, bothers you to no end. The old "truth hurts", as it were....

> You have only accusatory claims and your personal off-topic self-inflated
> opinions,,

Which are supported by credible mental health professionals.

> the rest of us have your posts.

As do we have yours. You're a case study of sociopathic disorders, with
every word you post.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3512. Re: Any cb radio stores in Llano or brady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:49:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206094917.02042.00000003@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 146093

take three tokes and try again. ahh thats right now its clear as a bell....

>Had to read that 3 times to make sense of it.........
>

3513. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:49:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0B908.6724@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146095

chessecutter wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEE433F.674B@worldlynx.net>...
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > > Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> > > federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> > > violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..
> >
> >
> > The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath. A psychopath and a sociopath
> > share many common maladies, but the key difference is that a psychopath
> > is prone to violence, while a sociopath prefers passive agressive
> > tactics, and "mind games".
> >
> > And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at the established rules of
> > society, no matter what you may think of them, are considered
> > sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......
> >
> >
> > More links on the subject of sociopathic disorders. Do these sound like
> > anyone we know?
> >
> > http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/socio.htm
> >
> > http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHistrionicPersonalityDisorders.htm
> >
> > http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16.htm
> >
> >
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> oh good grief......thanks for the links to the sociopathic disorder
> pages dave.............i guess.........whew!!!!!!!!!!

It's helpful to understand who you're dealing with. If nothing else, you
can feel sorry for them......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3514. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:57:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0BADF.1C56@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145948

Steve Gale wrote:
>
> http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

Boat anchor.

You'd get more features, in a smaller and more attactive case, with most modern general coverage ham rigs.

Of course, this radio is military spec, which means it'll likely survive a bomb blast, but that's normally not something I'm overly concerned with......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3515. Re: swing kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 14:58:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206095816.02042.00000004@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 146094

to AM cb radios with any type of noise blanker ie the 148's and grants your super swing sounds raspy and muffled while running the noise blanker. the erattic peaks are filtered out by the noise blanker the best it can. theres a huge difference in the way you hear a super swinging station with noise blanker on and noise blanker off. also what i said about making it hard for an amp is the fact that average power rises with modulation and some words go higher than other words leading to certain words or syllable being louder and over driving the amp into compression while others dont. if you created some type of alc maybe that wouldnt happen but as of now its still a shitty way of doing AM

>Exactly!... that's essentially what my super swing is... minus the
>major bad point of SSB. I'm speaking of the "critical frequency
>tracking" that is such a pain in the a@#. On DSB with a supressed
>carrier, I sacrafice some power that I'd get from SSB... which is easy
>made up by external means... and I get away from having to tune
>everyone every five seconds because of radios drifting at different
>rates.
>
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm

3516. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 15:10:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0BDF3.5481@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145949

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Any TV antenna guru's in here? Two of my buddies want to get the Steeler
> games(I know..bad taste<g>). It's about 120 miles or less the way the crow
> fly's.. They're not trying to get around any blackout(steelers sell out).
> They were talking about splitting the NFL package from cable.
>
> I suggested looking at a good TV antenna with an amp,and rotor. Split the
> cost(they're brothers),and have it for years.
>
> I used to use a vu-190(with amp)from radio shack, to get the blacked out
> Browns games from Toledo and it worked real well.(150 mile blackout rule)
>
> Any suggestions for a better antenna,or amp?

All the antenna in the world won't do you any good if you don't have a line of sight shot to the distant TV station. There is a rather large book, that shows the coverage area of the major TV stations. I'll try to dig it up if you give me the station's call sign.

If you have fairly flat ground, with no hills in the way, you have a chance. I'd go with the biggest deep fringe antenna, that you can buy. Like CB and ham radio, the more elements, the more gain.

As for pre-amps, I'd stay away from Radio Shack. Their amps have been known to self oscillate and transmit spur at all sorts of frequencies (I once had one on the input of my 220 repeater). Blonder Tongue makes some good products, as does California Amplifier, although they may be mostly SAT TV.

And like radio, height is might. The higher you go, the better your chances of grabbing useable signals.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3517. The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 15:28:55 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212060728.e50be19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

First things first...
Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.

There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!

Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name which
none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed the
scene hastily.

Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. , but
has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:

1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
SEPTEMBER>
3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls police
officers "pigs" in his posts>
8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising amateur
looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
various
amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
into the woodwork a while.

Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he wilts
like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the hash
now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to shake
if he had a spring loaded elbow.

In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a drive by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of the FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his long record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln Park, MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002 because of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and specifically admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit. This exposed the lies, of course.

There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats. The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our posession. This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public information. Email us for details.

Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed to his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>

In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against people, even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.

If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish to travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that he was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his carcass emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are guilty of doing something bad and expect retribution?

Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.

3518. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 15:41:20 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XL2cndPv3eCOWG2gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 145951

> All the antenna in the world won't do you any good if you don't have a
> line of sight shot to the distant TV station. There is a rather large
> book, that shows the coverage area of the major TV stations. I'll try to
> dig it up if you give me the station's call sign.

One word: Ducting

3519. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:33:36 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df0d151_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145952

Mr. 66:
Call me after the 14th when I get up there, or e mail me direct. Basically I need to know what town they are in, and then I can tell you if it will be worth it to do any of what they are talking about. The problem is that IF they are not on the SE side of town or further out, it will probably be a big waste of $$$ for them. The ILLEGAL (HI N8) TV skip from Pittsburg RARELY ever comes in to where they are probably @. Maybe the best bet is to see if a Youngstown UHF station will have it (depending on network carrying it) and maybe that will work, depending if there are stations on that channel in Canada, which when the ILLEGAL DREADED TROP SKIP comes it, will wipe away any chance of seeing channels 33, 27 or 21 from Youngstown. I did TV DX for years, back when N8 couldn't even spell RADIO ! And I'm familiar with the area as to what comes in on a regular basis. So I have to have more info before I can say get it or not!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205195936.800$vN@newsreader.com...
> Any TV antenna guru's in here? Two of my buddies want to get the Steeler
> games(I know..bad taste<g>). It's about 120 miles or less the way the crow
> fly's.. They're not trying to get around any blackout(steelers sell out).
> They were talking about splitting the NFL package from cable.
>
> I suggested looking at a good TV antenna with an amp,and rotor. Split the
> cost(they're brothers),and have it for years.
>
> I used to use a vu-190(with amp)from radio shack, to get the blacked out
> Browns games from Toledo and it worked real well.(150 mile blackout rule)
>
> Any suggestions for a better antenna,or amp?
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3520. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:36:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df0d202_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145953

It ain't da carb but da points. So we can do doughnuts in da snow?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021206010748.523$1g@newsreader.com...
> 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:
> > Dave,
> > Basically the same advice. The 'dish' doesn't wear out,
> > and unless the 'new' dish is larger than the 'old' dish,
> > there isn't likely to be any improvement. Why bother?
> > 'Doc
> >
> Yea..fix the carb on the '57 first!!!!!
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3521. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:38:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df0d275_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145954

YOU tell him Dave! It is just a UHF TV 7' Parabolic dish antenna. No connections to satelight and N8's belly except for roundness.
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message news:XImdnXhRydEzh22gXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DEFD26E.8ADB9378@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Dave or Debby,
> > Of course your dish has an LNA, all of them do.
>
> He's talking about a UHF TV dish, not a DBS dish.
> It's a skeleton dish design, with an active element (dipole) at the focus.
> Some have LNA's, some don't.
> If it has an LNA, it would take power up the coax.
>
>
> The DBS dishes don't have LNAs, they have LNBs. (Low Noise Block Converter)
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3522. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:40:24 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df0d2e8$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145955

```
For what--a UHF TV antenna? I AIN'T talking satelight here, SUNNY !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asoo1g$9dlu$1@news3.infoave.net...
> UHHH, Maybe cause I know what I am talking about?
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3def7c40_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I didn't say this antenna had an amplifier, so WHY would he say this? !
> > Dave!
> >
> > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:asm8ob$7k5h$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > LNA = Low Noise Amplifier
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3deea3e0_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > Doc:
> > > > Questions below and clarifications each point!
> > > > Dave
> > > > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DEEA282.E884B44A@cwis.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave or Debby,
> > > > > Why would you want to put the 'new' on on the 'old'
> > > > > one at all?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't have a NEW whole antenna--just the collector of the
> dish,
> > > and
> > > > > the old dish parts. So I have 1 new collector, and one as old as
the
> > > dish.
> > > > >
> > > > > Scrap the old one and put up the new one.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > You mean the collector, right?
> > > > >
```

> > > > > 'Doc
> > > > >
> > > > > PS - Dishes don't wear out, you know. The 'LNA' yes,
> > > > > the dish, no.
> > > > >
> > > > > What is an "LNA" ? Do you mean LiNeAir ? !
> > > > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3523. Re: UHF Parabolic Antenna Question (for Jay and any other Americans) !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:47:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df0d475_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 145956

Mo Jave Jay:
I don't need any plastic yet! Dey can sell plastic because it can't make dem 250 volt + lines kill dem drunks & druggies trying to put up McVooble's wannabee CB Hambo antenna! A dealer in Lincoln told me in the early 80's when I was buying 500 & 525'a from him told me the DAY that they DESTROYED ooodles of metal pieces from the 500 & 525 antennas, because of dem bad lawyers, judges, insurance industry, drunks & druggies that stopped metal base station CB antennas from being made in da USA ! SAY NO to DRUGS & alchohol ! It WILL affect your CB life!
Dave!!
"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3DF0AB1E.B42D0C6@qnet.com...
> Hello Dave:
>
> Here are the Plastic (made from black ABS Plastic) Insulators for the
> Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antenna. Had to do
> some digging.
>
> Tell the nice lady at Hy-Gain, that these are still current parts used
> on other Hy-Gain Antennas, but you need then for the Penetrator 500,
> model number is "Order No. 500" Hy-Gain will also sell you the assembly
> instructions, for 5 dollars.
>
> Hy-Gain is at: http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php
>
>
> Penetrator 500 Insulator Part Numbers:
> Base or lower Insulator 877961
> Upper Insulator 463056
> Tuning Wire Supports 463642
>
> Tell em Jay sent ya's
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
> fillin station.
>
>
>
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > Which antenna install project are you talking about? I probably got it
> > done. Do you know what glues plastic insulators that break? The stuff

you
> > mix together works for a while, but broke again. I'll have to do it
again
> > in da spring!
> > Dave!
> >
> > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DEF4C36.29F82552@qnet.com...
> > > Hello Dave or Debby:
> > >
> > > How did you make out on your other antenna install project???
> > >
> > > I have replied below:
> > >
> > > Dave or Debby wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I have an over 25 year old 7' UHF Parabolic TV antenna. I have
taken it
> > > > down (was outside at least that long) and will re-assemble it. I
have a
> > > > brand new exact same piece that is the "collector" of the reflected
> > signal.
> > > > Brand new from the antenna terminals to where it connects on the
dish.
> > No
> > > > new dish parts. THE questions are:
> > > >
> > > > (a) Should I use the old collector piece, and sell the NOS one on
E
> > bay?
> > > > Will the old one work better than the new one? I've heard about
> > aluminum
> > > > antennas needing to "wear in" and get older before they work better.
IS
> > > > this nonsence?
> > >
> > > I would use what ever collector assembly gives a better picture. I
would
> > > think that the new and old collector will probably work the same, and
> > > you won't get enough money on E-Bay, so I suggest you keep it as a
> > > spare. I base this on what you said as the antenna being a UHF TV
> > > Antenna, and not a Satellite Dish Antenna.
> > >
> > > I have also heard about Aluminum Antennas getting older and working
> > > better or even worse. One of the locals here in the deep high desert
has
> > > a S-1 Signal, from a 40 mile away station. He uses a old Penetrator
5/8
> > > Wavelength Antenna, and he cleaned it for the very same reason, and
has

> > > never seen any increase or decrease in his signal in 7 years. I have
not
> > > ever measured a Aluminum "wear in" factor.
> > >
> > >
> > > > (b) Should I put the new one on the old dish, and sand the internal
> > aluminum
> > > > that will touch the new piece? Will it work better or just the
same?
> > > > Should I sand the GOLD anodizing off the new piece?
> > > >
> > > > Antenna Geeks, start thinking!
> > > > Dave!
> > >
> > > Which ever "one" works better.
> > >
> > > No do not sand off the gold anodizing off, the manufacture had it put
on
> > > for a reason. The Gold Anodizing will not change the reflection
> > > coefficient (ability to reflect signals).
> > >
> > > Good luck there.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3524. Re: The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:49:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206114915.14836.00000001@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145958

>First things first...
>Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.

you too doug, thanks.

3525. To all those idiots who forward internet chain letters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:50:52 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asqkcb$anb1$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 145957

http://media.smilepop.com/smilepop/flash/06_2002/may02-smilepop-soapbox2.swf

3526. Siltronix & Swan 1011 Radio Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:58:00 UTC
From: Skipp asks for no spam <abuse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asqkuo$5cq$4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 145959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello there,
Just a cheap and shameless plug for the Siltronix and Swan 1011 radio web page found at:

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu/siltronix

The same server also has a generic amateur radio page which can be found at: http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

Have a look when you can... some history about the radio plus trivia....

cheers
skipp

3527. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 16:59:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206115936.14836.00000002@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145960

tell them to get it up as high as possible and the biggest antenna they can find. try the c crane company. with a tv antenna 50 or so feet up 120 shouldnt be a problem and easily watchable

>> Any TV antenna guru's in here? Two of my buddies want to get the Steeler
>> games(I know..bad taste<g>). It's about 120 miles or less the way the crow
>> fly's.. They're not trying to get around any blackout(steelers sell out).
>> They were talking about splitting the NFL package from cable.
>>
>> I suggested looking at a good TV antenna with an amp,and rotor. Split the
>> cost(they're brothers),and have it for years.
>>
>> I used to use a vu-190(with amp)from radio shack, to get the blacked out
>> Browns games from Toledo and it worked real well.(150 mile blackout rule)
>>
>> Any suggestions for a better antenna,or amp?

3528. Re: FS: CCC AR-3300 and AR-3500, and a Uniden President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 17:26:42 UTC
From: alexzapatka@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <acbd886b.0212060926.4dba7ae1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145961

Dude,

go out and read the specs... it is computer based. it has a custom
CMOS processor as the core. thats why you can reprogram it to use
almost any frequency.

timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp Wtih A Slow Limp) wrote in message
news:<20021205205920.24407.00010185@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> look what it says on the ar3500's page
>
> "this radio is COMPUTER based. it means it can be programed. it is currently
> open from 25mhz to 30mhz, but it can be re-programed so that it opens from
> 2mhz-80mhz (there are faq's on the internet all over to show how to do this).
> this is a program, so you dont have to open the radio up and solder
> anything.... just press some buttons on the front panel."

3529. Re: FS: CCC AR-3300 and AR-3500, and a Uniden President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 17:32:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206123207.14836.00000004@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145962

2 thru 80 megs? give the fairy tales a rest. there good radios its not needed

>Dude,
>
>go out and read the specs... it is computer based. it has a custom
>CMOS processor as the core. thats why you can reprogram it to use
>almost any frequency.

3530. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:09:40 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212061009.292b54b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145963

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>...
> Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules and
> feel powerful because they didn't get caught.

I agree, to an extent. Legalize freebanding, and there'd be no more
thrill of lawfucking to attract the freebanders. "It's legal, so---?"
would be the response. It would take all the fun out of it for the
outlaws.

10 meter intrusions, however, are another ballgame, best dealt with
through DFing and resultant beatings. Hey, it works for us 6m hams...
though I do think a tire iron is a bit excessive.

Guy

3531. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:15:58 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212061015.5226572b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145964

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3deea20a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will surely hit them, and that's WHY it
> comes!

WELL??? We've been waiting over 20 years...

Guy

3532. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:19:36 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212061019.11043e88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145965

"General Johnson Jameson" <null@null.com> wrote in message
news:<1HEH9.144$AS6.32056435@news.inreach.com>...
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement.

Nice try, but no gov't agency would ever say "uncle". They have an
image to live up to.

Guy

3533. Unique roger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:23:18 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212061023.1ec33a6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145966

Anyone know if someone has yet made a roger beep that plays the 1st 6 notes of "Duelin' Banjos"?

Guy Richards

3534. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:40:59 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212061040.747599e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145967

Just curoius as to why you seem to only want a top loaded antenna.
That would simply be my last choice...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:<x7WH9.166850$ka.3746010@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>...
> I am looking for an 8 foot top loaded antenna,
> About 14 years ago I could buy a firestick & another brand, but I can no
> longer find anyone that sells them.
> Anyone have any ideas.
> I need it for my mobile.
> I have a van & have a 5 footer top loaded vulcan, but it is pointless.
> Also tried a top of the line mag. mount. talk about sucky....
> I hate mag. mounts.
> Anyway years ago the 8' whip on the back of my van made an awsome moble
> station, so I want to copy what worked for me. & yes it was directional, big
> time. But I like it that way...
>
> Any help would be mucho apreacato...
>
> -=Walter=-

3535. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 18:54:01 UTC
From: lbrty4us@aol.com
Message-ID: <205ef942.0212061054.54f7a368@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145968

Hmmm, I see there are dope smokers hanging out here...imagine, a neon-flasher-type depth sounder with a microphone...shivver me timbers...

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message news:<26819-3DEFBC65-159@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

> Original packaging, too? As in "never opened"? Cool. Is the mic still in
> a sealed plastic bag?

3536. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:14:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206141446.14829.00000001@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145969

i always thought you were pretty cool until now but if you believe this bullshit your dumber than i can imagine. do you really think we outband for the thrill of just doing something illegal? since theres about zero chance of getting caught i'd say that isnt much thrill at all. people escape the 40 channels and go above and below to find clear spots to talk amung each other. during the day times of the solar highs 1-40 are crammed full of users all around the world. simply too many people there, the only way to escape it is go a few kc's above or below the normal 40. if you legalize it you'll only have 120 channels that will be just like the regular 40 we have now.

>I agree, to an extent. Legalize freebanding, and there'd be no more
>thrill of lawfucking to attract the freebanders. "It's legal, so---?"
>would be the response. It would take all the fun out of it for the
>outlaws.

3537. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:16:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206141646.14829.00000002@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 145970

why? if you run a loaded antenna top loaded is more effecient.

>Just curoius as to why you seem to only want a top loaded antenna.
>That would simply be my last choice...
>
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

3538. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:21:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0F8C9.27DF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 145971

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > All the antenna in the world won't do you any good if you don't have a
> > line of sight shot to the distant TV station. There is a rather large
> > book, that shows the coverage area of the major TV stations. I'll try to
> > dig it up if you give me the station's call sign.
>
> One word: Ducting

Second word: Not reliable 24/7

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3539. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:23:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8362-3DF0F924-47@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145973

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..

>The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath.

No...Lelnad used the term "psychopath" not sociopath.

>If you say so.

No, LELNAD says so.
Pay attention and
stop being so particular about what days you choose to possess gumption.

>The key is that *I* chose which posts I want to >respond to, and ignore
those that I don't.

.as goes usenet...congratulations for finally figuring out proper
useage.
It's also too bad the idea of extending the same concept you employ to
others is lost on you. As you choose to respond to only what *you*
choose, you know only what I select, allow and put forth to you. PT
Barnum claimed one of you are born every minute. To set forth the idea
that you somehow are qualified to intimately identify textbook cases
based on what a stranger tells you (on the internet, no less) speaks
much more of your mental health than anyones.....please continue.

>Every so often I'll respond just to bitch slap >you back to reality.
.
What is more important is your predisposition to violence over mere
words someone may post..to allow yourself to become huffed enough to
allude to violence....my, my.

>A psychopath and a sociopath share many >common maladies, but the key
>difference is that a psychopath is prone to >violence,

Hence your "bitchslap" reference..very well illustrated.

>while a
>sociopath

Wold "sociopathic liar" fall under that umbrella?

>Compulsive and habitual lying is a trait of >sociopathic mental
illness, as well as >Narcissistic Personality Disorder.

Your continual lying and misrepresenting what others say fits the mold,
Davey-boy. Several examples of your hypocrisy and lies......

>"There REALLY isn't a problem, It's just that >there are a FEW hams
that got fed up with >CB, upgraded to ham, and now either >continually
trash the CB band, or refuse to >admit that they were once a part of it.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Here again you give a self-description.
Below you give advice showing your indifference to society's rules.

>"Peaking a radio is NOT recommended. It's >more trouble than it's
worth. Go with the small >2 pill amp, and let IT do the work.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Then we have the more recent:

>That's an export radio, so he's already a >criminal. So go ahead and
modify the clarifier!
>Dave
>"sandbagger"

Then we have below you admitting to yet a THIRD amplifier:

>I'll stick with my TS amp. It seems to continue >to run with the best
of them....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

Then we have your lie about the "bust" that you were nable then, and now
to substantiate to anyone in anyway whatsoever...no records of this,
nothing. Like a sealed public record...snicker!

>I know a local CBer that was taken to court >over his CB interference,
and was found guilty >of "disturbing the peace" and had to pay a fine
>of $300. The neighbor had tape recordings of >the interference, and it
didn't help that the >CBer was heard swearing on the tape.

Once again, Hall, you lie like hell.
Then, you offer up the gem below:

>To your original point, it is much safer for a >CBer to run power from
the mobile. That way >you never interfere with the same people for >very
long.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

In other words, it's ok to interfere with people when you're running
your illegal amps from your mobile, 'cause they will never find out who

you are. Brilliant, criminal, sociopathic logic, Hall.

Here we have his Mott-the-Hoople impression:

>Why should you fear this? Only people that >have something to hide need to fear this loss >of anonimity. Besides, what ham would care? >Hams frequently give out their phone numbers >or addresses on the air. Unlike CB, there >aren't a bunch of mentally challenged people >waiting to cause trouble with this information.
> Dave
>"Sandbagger"
--
(snicker)
But alas, it wasn't cbers posting personal information on folks,,it's people like boy-Georgey, and Lelnad and Frank and yourself.. Cb has always been anonymous with handles....you have had a hard-on over this for years.

Here is another of your gems..........a prediction where just the opposite happened:

>The government can't stop illegal drugs, so if >the CB market is big enough to justify the risk, >people will still sell illegal radios, but they will >become more scarce, and more expensive as >a result.
>Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Shows how clueless you were and still are.
Radios are cheaper and easier to get than ever b4. If nothing it at least illustrates your astute
prowess.

This is a good one...crying about getting others to do it your way....

>Elmering. What a nice concept. Unfortunately, >sometimes when you "lead", you have to tug a >little bit to pull the "elmeree" in the right >direction. Hams, are not going to encourage >lawless operation. The first step in the >"elmering" process, is to show the person, the >error of their ways and to steer them down an >equally fufilling (but legal) path.

Now, mind you, this post was made at the same time as your illegal activities..superbly reflecting the accurate description of troublemaker and hypocrite.

> activiteSome of the 2950's problems, I have >managed to correct, which make the radio >decent enough that I've used it mobile now for >close to 7 years. Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Still have that as well?
And being busted out for crossposting to rec.radio.cb and generally being a pest and troll.....

>Dave Hall wrote:
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>Dave, the CB crossposting showed up on your >reply. 73, Brian

And below we have you hyping cb voodooodooo:

>Only when there are standing waves does the >length of the coax matter.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

More of that sparkling hypocrisy:

>As far as price, unless you catch a closeout >sale, RS has no price
advantage. I would >recommend finding a mail-order stre that >carried a
Uniden Grant XL. This radio can still >be modified via the PLL for
out-of-band >operation, and they're usually available in the >$129
range. Dave
>"Sandbagger"

> Along with an indifference to the laws of our >society

You repeating yourself with nothing more than lies is what tarnished
your hammie sig, Dave. Why do you act like this? the only laws I have
ever claimed breaking are the DX and freeband...your communication
skills must really be f*cked for you to intepret those selectively
ignored rules as the laws of society. "Society" doesn't even know the
difference between hammie and cb, let alone distinguishing rules.

>and the general disregard for the rights of >other people.

If you feel your rights are violated by speaking my piece, perhaps you
should seek counseling.

>You do possess the lions share of the >symptoms.

And you are qualified to diagnose a stranger on the internet by what
they force feed you just how?
Never mind,,the fact that you believe it is rich enough to go around for
all our enjoyment.

>From one of the links below:
>Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD) is >practically synonymous with
criminal behavior.

Not surprising.
Based on your comments alluding to violence, it is easy to see you are
the same old Dave Hall N3CVJ that breaks laws and is a hypocrite.

>It's so synonymous, in fact, that practically all >convicted criminals
(65-75%) have it, with >criminologists often referring to it as a
>"wastebasket" category. Psychologists >consider it an adult
>version of juvenile conduct disorder.

But you healed yourself, right Davey-boy? Now you must heal the rest of
the freebanders and cb lowlives who piss in your light.

>The main characteristic of it is a complete and >utter disregard for the rights of others and the >rules of society.

Again, your communication deficit is blinding you. 2 selectively disregarded rules is not "utter disregard" for anything.

>They seldom show anxiety and don't feel guilt.

Guilty.

>There's really no effective treatment for them >other than locking them up in a secure facility >with
>such rigid rules that they cannot talk their way >out.

(giggle) Based on what someone you have never met says, you want to lock them up. Pretty much sounds like the efforts at having someone silenced, eh Davey-boy?
Ahahhaah!

>Sociopathy is chiefly characterized by >something wrong with the person's >conscience. They either don't have one, it's full >of holes like Swiss cheese, or they are >somehow able to completely neutralize or >negate any sense of conscience or future time >perspective. Sociopaths only care about >fulfilling their own needs and desires - >selfishness and egocentricity to the extreme. >Everything and everybody else is mentally >twisted
>around in their minds as objects to be used in >fulfilling their own needs and desires.
>Sound familiar?

Yes, it does....yourself, N8, Lelnad, And Frank. But that's not news..and you lowlife few certainly aren't "everybody".

>And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of >society, no matter what you may think of them, >are considered >sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......
So Davey boy, how long have you considered yourself a psychopath?

>Try to tell a nut, he's nuts.........

Let's rephrase it since you can't comprehend the communication being extolled.....how long has it been since you ceased being a lawbreaking psychopath?

Of course the licensed hammie N3CVJ who claims with his license comes a responsibility to operate within his own acceptable stated perimeters and what he deems "responsible", only here he is blatantly bragging about the illegal behavior he claims makes the rest of us "federal criminals".

>Really? Where was I "bragging" about >anything?

The same place I showed utter disregard for society.

From your own lips Davey-boy:

>Who needs "Melrose Place" when you have a >scanner? My neighborhood phone traffic was >no less juicy at times. Teenaged girls talking >about their first time with sex, wife talking to >newly found lover while hubby watched >Monday Night Football in the next room, guys >making drug buys, and even caught one >neighbor bitching about ME and all my "radio >stuff" to another.
>It's fun to try to figure out who all those voices >belong to.
Sometimes more entertaining than >TV, because it's REAL.

-
>What does this prove?

It shows how easy it is to entertain someone with an attention span and intellect such as yours.

>It's not illegal to monitor cordless phones, only >cellular ones. Cell phones are the only ones >protected by the ECPA. Otherwise the >cordless phone freqs would be blocked out as >well. Now if I were to DEVULGE the specific >content of those conversations or record them,

You just did. The law doesn't say anywhere about divulging "specifics". But, your sicko pleasure at listening to TEENAGE girls talk about their first time with sex? Couple this with the fact that you allowed one of your partners were in business with owning a cb hack shop was a sex fiend and a very disturbing picture of you is unfolding. Any grown man that would take delight and refer to teenage girls as "juicy", no matter what they said, is truly f*ucked. No wonder you are somewhat familiar with psyche treatment. You're a sex whacko..a genuine perv!
No wonder you're in here,,, many hammies are aware of your sickness and have abandoned you......sicko!

>Strike one, try again....

Dude, you've done cashed all your chips. Freak.
MORE..from N3CVJ's own hypcritical own words:

> I will say that there are SOME hams that may >feel a bit cheated, since they feel obligated to >follow the rules, and it bothers them to see >Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, >and seemingly without the least bit of remorse. >And what is the significance of this? It's true >that some hams do feel cheated, when they >are bound by the rules, that they feel an >obligation to obey, while freebanders operate >outside the law, seemingly with immunity, and >certainly with no remorse.

>What's hypocritical about that? Are you >challenging this statement? On what grounds? >Are you saying that there are no hams who >feel this way? Oh, I forgot, you speak for the >"majority".

Which hammies were YOU purporting to speak for?
I am much closer in touch with the views of the majority of cbers than you. You were proven this several times prior when only yourself, Lelnad, N8, and Frank were shown to refer to dxers as federal criminals. No one else does this,,this means you are a minority..and myself, who

doesn't consider such self-rightous and asinine banter worthy of the source, am in what is referred to as the "majority" in this instant.

> Another trait of sociopathic disorder, an over >inflated self worth.

It just appears that way to you 'cause you have no self-worth 'cause of the battering your ego has taken..

>What does THIS prove. So what, I have 2 >Dave Made amps. Unlike you, I can use them >LEGALLY (With some extensive modification >o add bias, and to add a lowpass filter). I >currently have a Texas Star DX 600 in my >truck. It works just fine on 10 meters. I get >about 750 watts peak out of it on SSB. I enjoy >projects, and making the Dave Made's >spectrally compliant,

Really? then you wouldn't have a problem telling the group exactly what you did and how you did it.....you know,,so we can all benefit from the spectral purity bullshit you're attempting.

>was a bit of work,

Don't say it, sow it.

>but I enjoy this type of project. Besides, I'll >probably horse trade them for some more >gear in the future. I've spent very little pocket >cash for most of my equipment.

Yea,,,,,,many cbers can do what you do at a fraction of your cost. AS amatter of fact, it's exactly what sent Lelnad running for the hills concerning my article in Sporadic Waves.. lost his mind over that comment.

Let's see what you said again:

>And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of society, no matter >what you may think of them, are considered >sociopaths,

And so again the question is posed to you...how long have you been a hypocritical federal lawbreaker?

>As long as you've been lying about it.

Ahh, but it was shown it was you lying about freebanding and using amps on 11 meter Davey-boy. Now you claim you operate withon the confines of the law. Again, I ask you, how long has it been since you gave up being a federal lawbreaker?
You already were shown you were a liar for that...need some more?

N3CVJ claimed he was a federal law breaker many years ago when he was younger until he saw the light and mended his ways......balderdash. The post is less than 3 years old. The fact that someone his age lies as much as he does is troubling enough. The real question would be WHY he is compelled to commit such behavior and engage in such magnificent lies.

>And two wrongs don't make a right.

Who said it did?
This was illustrated to show how you lie,,anything else you find in it
is cause of your communication deficit.

>The fact that I operated a CB outside of FCC >rules in the past, in no
way absolves you from >doing it now,

No one said I am absolved. That was YOUR word, as they all are YOUR
words. The fact that YOU did it but had your fill of it, and now if
anyone else does it is grounds for you to harass and call names, is
bullshit, Dave. YOU can't justify your behavior, no matter how much you
point to another's behavior.

>and believing that you're justified in doing so.

More of your communication deficit.

>THAT is what makes you a sociopath.

I may be crazy, but unlike you, a habitualand compulsive liar I am not.

>The fact that you've almost continually >attempted to deflect the topic
away from your >lawlessness, to my alleged "lying" is a clear >case of
deflection,

My lawlessness is none of your business and was only a topic until your
lying began.

>which is another classic symptom of a >sociopathic disorder.
>More links on the subject of sociopathic >disorders. Do these sound
like anyone we >know?
>http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/s>ocio.htm
>http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHist>rionicPersonalityDisorders.htm
>http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16>.htm

It might. The fact that you consider yourself "knowing" an internet
stranger based on what they allow you goes hand in hand with the
arrogance and ignorance emanating from your lying pie hole.

>OH!!! So now you coyly imply that you might >be just acting like a
sociopath, but are not one >in real life. Ok, I can accept that.

Which would be ok if you weren't suffering from such communication
deficits.

>Hell, That would mean that you and I are very >much alike then,

You and I are nothing alike.
I was brought up a man's word is his honor, a handshake is a contract.
When a man loses his word and integrity, he is useless.

>and we should sit down and have a few beers >and chuckle at all the
turmoil that you've >created on this newsgroup.

It's return fire and not considered turmoil by anyothers besides yourself and the other 2 or 3 stool-drinkers.
I don't drink. And even when I did, I was very particluar who I drank with. You wouldn't have made the cut.

>When do you want to do it?

If you are that hell-bent on eyeballing me, the invitation was aleady extended to you once. Whenever you grow up and manage the airfare, I'll be around and I'm extremely easy to find.

>That get out of jail free card isn't going to >wash. You've got way too much archived >history for that.

And my history shows I do not lie and do not start trouble with anyone......a stark contrast to yours.
The sites
concerning habitual and sociopathic liars are more accurate in this application. One must look no further than your posts to see your contradictions and psychopathic tendencies.

>One of the other common traits of sociopathic >disorders is deflection.

Is that like personal attacks when yuor lies are pointed out?

>The blaming of others for your own failings >and character flaws.

Couldn't have said it better. YOU SOMEHOW hae managed to balme me for your "turmoil" among other shortcomings you share.

>Oh and by the way, a psychopath usually >resorts to physical violence.

Which is why you mentioned it a few times already. Of course,,t all begins to make sense, now.

>Since we have no way of determining whether >or not physical abuse and violence are a part >of this picture, it would be irresponsible to >assign this designation, without further proof.

Not really. Allow me to explain..we have several innuendos and references by you concerning acts of violence..none by myself.
Self-explanatory.
BUT YOU HAVE NO proof of anything and it hasn't stopped you from being irresponsible and assigning designations b4, why stop now?
:)

>If you want to play in this sandbox, you'd >better brush up on the terminology.

I don't play in childrens sandboxes and I dont find teenage girls talk of sex "juicy" like you do.
The fact that you fancy
yourself more knowledgeable than the next guy concerning mental health merely adds to the entertainment of your claims.

>What's really entertaining is that these >symptoms fit you to a "T". I suspect that this is >the main reason why you have concentrated >your vitriolic campaign to malign me to such a >degree.

Poinin out your lies is not maligning. You attacking me with no provocation and offtopic insultive remarks was the first "maligning" of any, if it was done at all.

>The fact that I've got your number,

Post it. Say it, don't spray it. the drool from your snout is embarrassing.

>bothers you to no end. The old "truth hurts",

Apparrently, as it has the ability to make yu lie and go wild.

..
You have only accusatory claims and your personal off-topic self-inflated opinions,,

>Which are supported by credible mental health >professionals.

From a carefully and scripted dialog provided by the "patient"? (chuckle) No wonder this is so much fun.

the rest of us have your posts.

>As do we have yours. You're a case study of >sociopathic disorders, with every word you >post.......
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Better crazy than a liar.

3540. Re: WANTED: Raytheon / Apelco / Webster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:26:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8362-3DF0F9FD-49@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 145972

From: lbrty4us@aol.com
>Hmmm, I see there are dope smokers hanging >out here...imagine, a
neon-flasher-type depth >sounder with a microphone...shivver me
>timbers...

It was your post. And where you live, timber is limited. Original
depthfinders USED microphones. If you didn't smoke that dope you speak
of you would know this.

3541. Re: here's a cb for ya

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 19:52:42 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uv2007ndbdajd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145974

in this day and age it may be a concern

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DF0BADF.1C56@worldlynx.net...
> Steve Gale wrote:
> >
> > http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>
>
> Boat anchor.
>
> You'd get more features, in a smaller and more attactive case, with most
> modern general coverage ham rigs.
>
> Of course, this radio is military spec, which means it'll likely survive
> a bomb blast, but that's normally not something I'm overly concerned
> with......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

3542. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 20:02:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212061202.4f88ef3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 145975

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:<asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
>
> Operation of an amateur station requires an amateur operator license grant
> from the FCC. Before receiving a license grant, you must pass an examination
> administered by a team of volunteer examiners (VEs) to determine your
> operator class.
>
> You can not operate on any amateur radio frequency within the USA without a
> license.
>
>
> Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then they
> could be held liable for any violations.
> Of course, you can always find a business that does not check as their
> interest is in your money becoming theirs.
> I do not yet have the link to the specific law which states this, but I am
> researching it.
> The key is, if you are caught transmitting on said frequencies, without a
> license, you will be fined and your equipment will probably be confiscated.
> Even more so if you are transmitting on said frequencies improperly.
> Such as voice on code only frequencies, as in the 10 meter band just above
> the CB band.
> But you go ahead. Play the game and laugh at the rules.

getting a governmental ham license is tatamount to agreeing that you
will play the game according to the government's rules and basically
you sign away your fifth ammendment rights .....you know,.........kind
of like signing a income tax form isn't it????

3543. Re: Unique roger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 20:34:37 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_KWdncTMrdxOlGygXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 145976

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0212061023.1ec33a6d@posting.google.com...
> Anyone know if someone has yet made a roger beep that plays the 1st 6
> notes of "Duelin' Banjos"?

No, but it definitely should exist. :)

3544. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 20:37:03 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <KSCdnQ5teJX5l2ygXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 145977

> getting a governmental ham license is tatamount to agreeing that you
> will play the game according to the government's rules and basically
> you sign away your fifth ammendment rights .....you know,.........kind
> of like signing a income tax form isn't it????

Radio is a microcosm of society.

If everyone is allowed to do what they feel like, then the finite spectrum
will be rendered completely useless.

3545. OT:funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 20:43:45 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <5v22vuc00ei8nkrs5fp6rjnsvus0ih8fen@4ax.com>
Source record: 145978

A not necessarily well-prepared student sat in his
life science classroom, staring at a question on the final exam
paper.
The question directed: "Give four advantages of breast milk."

What to write? He sighed, and began to scribble
whatever came into his head, hoping for the best:

1. No need to boil.
2. Never goes sour.
3. Available whenever necessary.

So far so good - maybe. But the exam demanded a
fourth answer. Again, what to write? Once more, he sighed. He
frowned.
He scowled, then sighed again.
Suddenly, he brightened. He grabbed his pen, and
triumphantly, he scribbled his definitive answer:

4. Available in attractive containers of varying
sizes.

He received an A.

3546. OT: ROFLMAO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 20:46:09 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <g332vu85bu9rttk67h474b5hpo6dpps46p@4ax.com>
Source record: 145979

Need a laugh? This ought to do it. It gets funnier, the further you read. This
is an article submitted to a 1999 Louisville Sentinel contest to find out who
had the wildest Christmas dinner. This won first prize.

Christmas with Louise

As a joke, my brother used to hang a pair of panty hose over his fireplace
before Christmas. He said all he wanted was for Santa to fill them. What they
say about Santa checking the list twice must be true because every Christmas
morning, although Jay's kids' stockings were overflowed, his poor pantyhose hung
sadly empty.

One year I decided to make his dream come true. I put on sunglasses and went in
search of an inflatable love doll. They don't sell those things at Wal-Mart. I
had to go to an adult bookstore downtown. If you've never been in an X-rated
store, don't go. You'll only confuse yourself.

I was there an hour saying things like, "What does this do?" "You're kidding
me!" "Who would buy that?" Finally, I made it to the inflatable doll section. I
wanted to buy a standard, uncomplicated doll that could also substitute as a
passenger in my truck so I could use the car pool lane during rush hour. Finding
what I wanted was difficult.

Love dolls come in many different models. The top of the line, according to the
side of the box, could do things I'd only seen in a book on animal husbandry. I
settled for "Lovable Louise." She was at the bottom of the price scale.

To call Louise a "doll" took a huge leap of imagination. On Christmas Eve, with
the help of an old bicycle pump, Louise came to life. My sister-in-law

was in on
the plan and let me in during the wee morning hours, long after Santa had come
and gone, I filled the dangling pantyhose with Louise's pliant legs and bottom.
I also ate some cookies and drank what remained of a glass of milk on a nearby
tray. I went home, and giggled for a couple of hours.

The next morning my brother called to say that Santa had been to his house and
left a present that had made him VERY happy but had left the dog confused. She
would bark, start to walk away, then come back and bark some more. We all agreed
that Louise should remain in her panty hose so the rest of the family could
admire her when they came over for the traditional Christmas dinner.

My grandmother noticed Louise the moment she walked in the door. "What the hell
is that?" she asked. My brother quickly explained, "It's a doll." "Who would
play with something like that?" Granny snapped. I had several candidates in
mind, but kept my mouth shut. "Where are her clothes?" Granny continued. "Boy,
that turkey sure smells nice, Gran," Jay said, trying to steer her into the
dining room. But Granny was relentless. "Why doesn't she have any teeth?" Again,
I could have answered, but why would I? It was Christmas and no one wanted to
ride in the back of the ambulance saying, "Hang on Granny Hang on!"

My grandfather, a delightful old man with poor eyesight, sidled up to me and
said, " Hey, who's the naked gal by the fireplace?" I told him she was Jay's
friend. A few minutes later I noticed Grandpa by the mantel, talking to Louise.
Not just talking, but actually flirting. It was then that we realized this might
be Grandpa's last Christmas at home.

The dinner went well. We made the usual small talk about who had died, who was
dying, and who should be killed, when suddenly Louise made a noise that sounded
a lot like my father in the bathroom in the morning. Then she lurched from the

panty hose, flew around the room twice, and fell in a heap in front of the sofa.
The cat screamed. I passed cranberry sauce through my nose, and Grandpa ran
across the room, fell to his knees, and began administering mouth to mouth
resuscitation. My brother fell back over his chair and wet his pants and Granny
threw down her napkin, stomped out of the room, and sat in the car. It was
indeed a Christmas to
treasure and remember.

Later in my brother's garage, we conducted a thorough examination to decide the
cause of Louise's collapse. We discovered that Louise had suffered from a hot
ember to the back of her right thigh. Fortunately, thanks to a wonder drug
called duct tape, we restored her to perfect health. Louise went on to star in
several bachelor party movies. I think Grandpa still calls her whenever he can
get out of the house.

3547. Re: The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 21:08:01 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <fa42vucabm707igkm3l3t3vblop0uorgb8@4ax.com>
Source record: 145980

On 06 Dec 2002 16:49:15 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp With A Slow Limp) was blabbling:

...>First things first...
...>Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
...
...you too doug, thanks.
...
...
...

I don't know if it's doug, or one of his friends.....I saw doug...at the swap....might have called him "mike" I don't remember....

here's his IP....and traceroute

Tracing the route to 144-pool1.ras10.coden-le3.alerondial.net (206.149.128.144)

1 white_dwarf.cbbtier3.att.net (12.0.1.1) [AS 7018] 200 msec 200 msec 200 msec
2 ar3.n54ny.ip.att.net (12.126.0.30) [AS 7018] 204 msec 200 msec 200 msec
3 gbr1-a31s2.n54ny.ip.att.net (12.127.0.22) [AS 7018] 204 msec 200 msec 200 ms
ec
4 gbr3-p70.n54ny.ip.att.net (12.122.1.149) [AS 7018] 200 msec 200 msec 204 mse
c
5 ggr1-p360.n54ny.ip.att.net (12.123.1.121) [AS 7018] 200 msec 200 msec 200 ms
ec
6 pos6-1.core2.NewYork1.Level3.net (63.211.54.97) [AS 3356] 200 msec 200 msec
204 msec
7 ae0-56.mp2.NewYork1.Level3.net (64.159.17.162) [AS 3356] 200 msec 200 msec 2
04 msec
8 pos0-0.cmp1.Chicago1.Level3.net (209.247.9.98) [AS 3356] 200 msec 200 msec 2
00 msec
9 unknown.Level3.net (209.244.9.185) [AS 3356] 200 msec 200 msec 200 msec
10 unknown.Level3.net (63.211.250.50) [AS 3356] 200 msec 200 msec 200 msec
11 ras10.coden-le3.alerondial.net (206.148.204.250) [AS 4991] 212

msec 228 msec
216 msec

3548. Re: The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 21:14:13 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <4n42vuctm9jp2i4gto68k11fjk05qbcbs3@4ax.com>
Source record: 145981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Please, post this in this NG!

I DARE YOU!

Cause, you know it's a LIE!

HA!

signed,

K8CPA

-Chuck

On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:

...First things first...
...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
...
...
...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
...
...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
which
...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
the
...scene hastily.
...
...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
but
...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
...
...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
...SEPTEMBER>
...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol

...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
police
... officers "pigs" in his posts>
...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
amateur
... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
...various
... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
... into the woodwork a while.
...
...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
wilts
...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
hash
...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
shake
...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
...
...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
drive
...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
the
...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
long
...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
Park,
...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
because
...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
specifically
...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
...This exposed the lies, of course.
...
...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
posession.
...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
...information. Email us for details.

...
...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
to
...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
...
...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
people,
...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
...
...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
to
...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
he
...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
carcass
...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
guilty
...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
...
...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.

3549. Check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 21:31:29 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <mhunt@cbworld.com>
Message-ID: <yy9I9.221$CB2.46829702@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 145982

My supplier has recently received a ship cargo box full of
import CB transceivers, amplifiers, dvd's and vcrs. The radios
were originally intended for another port but ended up in
Los Angeles and we now have them available for distribution.

We want to sell everything by the pallet load only and are willing
to offer huge discounts. Expect to pay around $5000 per pallet lot.
Each pallet contains as many as 250 boxes or more.

Serious inquires only to foowangchu@yahoo.com

Thank you

3550. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 21:43:38 UTC
From: Pat <notherealone@fake.com>
Message-ID: <d452vucm6e7ddm306nqfaan7km4rq7ogoo@4ax.com>
Source record: 145983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:

...First things first...
...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
...
...

You too Dougie

...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
...

Goofy face??? you ain't miss america either dude! yes, I have a beard.. at least I can grow one...Homeless shelter???HAHAHA...what an idiot.

...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name which
...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed the
...scene hastily.
...

I walked around twice....was looking for the guy I came with James Keller W8WW....(whom I have a feeling might have authored this...)

and I might have called you Mike...sheesh...cut a guy some slack...

and for the record....you didn't do jack shit, Dougie...and you fucking know it....

...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. , but
...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
...
...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing

Jeff Roush....???? I never said that...I worked for Jack Rouch...as in
Rouch Industries Inc. . a job I HATED...Because of the fucking MORONIC
management...you know Morons....like your dumb fucking ass?

...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
...SEPTEMBER>

I never admitted anything...

I know what I got, and who said it's registered?

...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts

Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
favors..

Can't you do anything more original????

...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins

Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
favors.....

...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol

Like I said...you don't know what I got...

...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol

see above.....

...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
police
... officers "pigs" in his posts>

again.....you twist and make up shit....I never said this....period.

...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
amateur
... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
...various
... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
... into the woodwork a while.
...

I never DENIED selling them in the past....I don't sell them now....

...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
wilts
...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the

hash
...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
shake
...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
...

Now this REALLY FUNNY!

Douglas Adair.....YOU NEVER EVEN FUCKING SPOKE A WORD TO ME...UNTIL I
MENTIONED THE THING TO KEITH KC8TCQ....YOU'RE SUCH A FUCKING LYING
ASS'ED BASTARD!

YOU AIN'T SHIT!

...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
drive
...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
the
...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
long
...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
Park,
...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
because
...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
specifically
...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
...This exposed the lies, of course.
...

AFTER THE COPS WERE DONE WITH ME?!?!?!?
BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAH!

NOT!

AGAIN! MORE BULLSHIT LIES!!!!!! ONE DETECTIVE COMES HERE...AND I
TALKED TO HIM....

SHEESH! DOUG YOUR LACKING ORIGINALITY...

...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
posession.
...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
...information. Email us for details.
...

I WANT TO SEE IT FOR MYSELF!!!!

...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
to
...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
...

YEAH, FUCK YOU DOUGIE....MAYBE I'LL COME DOWN THERE THIS WEEKEND.

AND WE'LL "TALK"

...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
people,
...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
...

YOU'RE A ONE TO TALK!

...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
to
...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
he
...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
carcass
...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
guilty
...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
...

MORE BULLSHIT TO COVER THE FACT THAT YOU'RE AN IDIOT DOUG...

...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.

SO IS DOUGIE AND A NO-CODE, 2 FUCKING METER PENCIL-NECKED GEEK!!!!
WITH NO CLASS, NO LIFE AND NO FUCKING JOB....AND HAS TO BUILD 2 METER
ANTENNAS FOR A LIVING...

TELL ME DOUG, WHY DID YOU CLOSE THE RENTAL SHIP IN COLDWATER? PROLLY
BECAUSE YOU WERE SELLING DRUGS OUT THE BACK DOOR AND COLDWATER SHUT
YOU DOWN! YOU SEE, i HAVE FAMILY THERE AND i HEARD ALL ABOUT
IT!!

AND THEN YOU COWARDLY RAN TO CHICAGO!

LATER DOUG...

P.S.....the shit never stops

3551. Re: The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 21:47:57 UTC
From: jack <jack@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ln62vusa0k76smbfom7hgolrdpph5o0a14@4ax.com>
Source record: 145984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Take this BULLSHIT elsewhere

On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 16:14:13 -0500, Pat <notherealone@fake.com> wrote:

>Please, post this in this NG!
>
>I DARE YOU!
>
>Cause, you know it's a LIE!
>
>HA!
>
>signed,
>
>K8CPA
>
>-Chuck
>
>
>
>
>On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
>about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
>
>...First things first...
>...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
>...
>...
>...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
>...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
>...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
>...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
>...
>...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
>...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
>...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
>which
>...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
>...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
>the
>...scene hastily.
>...
>...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
>but

>...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
>...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
>...
>...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
>...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
>...SEPTEMBER>
>...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
>...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
>...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
>...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
>...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
>police
>... officers "pigs" in his posts>
>...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
>... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
>amateur
>... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
>...various
>... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
>... into the woodwork a while.
>...
>...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
>...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
>wilts
>...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
>...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
>...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
>...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
>hash
>...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
>shake
>...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
>...
>...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
>...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
>drive
>...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
>the
>...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
>long
>...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
>Park,
>...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
>because
>...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
>...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
>...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
>specifically
>...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
>...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he

>...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
>...This exposed the lies, of course.
>...
>...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
>...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
>...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
>posession.
>...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
>...information. Email us for details.
>...
>...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
>...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
>...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
>...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
>to
>...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
>...
>...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
>...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
>people,
>...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
>...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
>...
>...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
>...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
>to
>...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
>he
>...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
>carcass
>...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
>...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
>...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
>...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
>...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
>guilty
>...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
>...
>...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.

3552. Re: Check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 23:47:26 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asrd0b0aif@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145988

"Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> wrote in message
news:yy9I9.221$CB2.46829702@news.inreach.com...
> My supplier has recently received a ship cargo box full of
> import CB transceivers, amplifiers, dvd's and vcrs. The radios
> were originally intended for another port but ended up in
> Los Angeles and we now have them available for distribution.
>
> We want to sell everything by the pallet load only and are willing
> to offer huge discounts. Expect to pay around $5000 per pallet lot.
> Each pallet contains as many as 250 boxes or more.
>
> Serious inquires only to foowangchu@yahoo.com
>
> Thank you
>
>

What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that huge of a
mistake.
Sounds to me like a nice little scam certian LEA would like to know about.
"Foo wanng chu"????????
Get real buddy.

3553. Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 23:49:54 UTC
From: -~- <cvb@qwe.gov>
Message-ID: <vqd2vucdi047kkkhu50pm38r781j2vq7l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 145985

found somewhere

3554. Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-06 23:53:21 UTC
From: -~- <cvb@qwe.gov>
Message-ID: <f0e2vukgt0k4i906kqeptdlulh88h02ujv@4ax.com>
Source record: 145986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

found somewhere

3555. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 00:03:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <vVaI9.3616$Ls1.116214102@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 145987

"Pat" <notherealone@fake.com> wrote in message
news:d452vucm6e7ddm306nqfaan7km4rq7ogoo@4ax.com...
> On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
> about ChukkeeSukkee) Along with Chuck was blabbling:

Here just when the newsgroup was getting boring, LOL!!!!!

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3556. Randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 00:11:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206191115.01187.00011393@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 145989

Subj: [Amps] CB'ers & Amp's
Date: 12/6/02 5:10:08 PM Central Standard Time
From: phil@transmittersrus.com (Philip de Cadenet)
Sender: amps-admin@contesting.com
To: amps@contesting.com

Rich said:

Last week, I received several e-mails and telephone calls from a CBer
who was constructing a 3cx15,000A7 AB2 g-g amp. using a 3kV anode
supply.
He was having problems getting it to work properly, and he had some
questions, which I answered. In a few days, he got it to work. My
impression was that he was interested in the science behind getting it
to amplify linearly. Hopefully, he will someday get a license.

Reminds me of a fella I met in the Motor City a couple of years ago:

http://www.bigradios.com/shadow/longv.htm

"Bluegill" from Chicago had a pair of 4CX20K's in the mobile.

CB'er or no CB'er it takes balls as well as brains to fire those up from
a 14v rail even with eight 350A Electrodyne alternators and stock in
Peter Dahl Inc.

My ham exam tutor and mentor G3AGP did not teach me that one in 76.
--
Philip de Cadenet G4ZOW
Transmitters 'R' Us
http://www.transmittersrus.com
_____
Amps mailing list
Amps@contesting.com
http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps

3557. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 00:17:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021206191718.01187.00011395@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 145990

chuckie i just flat out wouldnt take his bullshit. the only reason you do is because your a bitch just like him. there alot of us that wish we could see doug a time or two but your the only one who really does and you let him make you the punk. thats too funny.

>
>Douglas Adair.....YOU NEVER EVEN FUCKING SPOKE A WORD TO ME...UNTIL I
>MENTIONED THE THING TO KEITH KC8TCQ....YOU'RE SUCH A FUCKING LYING
>ASS'ED BASTARD!
>
>
>YOU AIN'T SHIT!

>YEAH, FUCK YOU DOUGIE....MAYBE I'LL COME DOWN THERE THIS WEEKEND.
>
>AND WE'LL "TALK"

>
>SO IS DOUGIE AND A NO-CODE, 2 FUCKING METER PENCIL-NECKED GEEK!!!!
>WITH NO CLASS, NO LIFE AND NO FUCKING JOB....AND HAS TO BUILD 2 METER
>ANTENNAS FOR A LIVING...
>
>
>TELL ME DOUG, WHY DID YOU CLOSE THE RENTAL SHIP IN COLDWATER? PROLLY
>BECAUSE YOU WERE SELLING DRUGS OUT THE BACK DOOR AND COLDWATER SHUT
>YOU DOWN! YOU SEE, i HAVE FAMILY THERE AND i HEARD ALL ABOUT
>IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>AND THEN YOU COWARDLY RAN TO CHICAGO!
>
>LATER DOUG...
>
>P.S.....the shit never stops

3558. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 00:35:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv2ghpkb09mrcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 145991

Yeehaw! Great catch. Yeah, that other one was kind of lame ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Po Pimp Wtih A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
news:20021206094747.02042.00000002@mb-cv.aol.com...
> this is the same guy who said cb amps had a virus to destroy radios lol
>

3559. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 00:48:20 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asrgkn0gt9@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 145994

"-~-" <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote in message
news:vqd2vucdi047kkkhu50pm38r781j2vq7l5@4ax.com...
> found somewhere
>

Good way to lose an account. Posting a binary to a text only group.

3560. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 01:11:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF14ABC.30004@mailcity.net>
Source record: 145996

brian wrote:
> "bh" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEE80B7.CAED00AD@mailcity.net...
>
>>
>>Twistedhed wrote:
>>
>>
>>>With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some
>>>hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny
>>>while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't
>>>do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being
>>>able to,
>>
>>Don't understand this statement at all twist. Why would we want
>>the ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do anything I want to on
>>the ham bands, Ham radio offers enough variety and freedom that I
>>don't have to do anything illegal.
>
>
> The point is that niether a ham or CBer may legally go out of his assigned
> range of frequencies, play music, use foul langauge, run 20,000 watts etc,
> etc. But of the 2 the ham is far more likely to get caught if he breaks the
> rules, and that is what will piss off some hams even if they have no disire
> to break the rules themselves.

Don't understand that logic either. I don't have any desires to do
all those illegal things. I knew the rules when I got my license
and agreed to abide by them and I do. If I thought I could not
abide by the rules I wouldn't have gotten a license. So why should
it piss me off? I know if I break the rules I could get caught,
and could pay a price, it is called accountability and being
responsible for your actions, something that alludes a lot of
people today.

3561. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 01:14:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GWbI9.45507$bq3.25396@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145992

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205222411.520$dV@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> (snip)
> >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > asking.
> > >
> You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.

And again tonight. This is the second day without power. I hope not, but
the news says it may
be Wednesday of next week before some of us get back on.

Jerry
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3562. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 01:15:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gXbl9.45516$bq3.8679@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 145993

Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DF0A213.C9C8DBF1@secret.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > (snip)
> > >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > asking.
> > >
> > You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.
>
>
> It's leftover Y2K stuff.

NO. It's left over Hurricane Hugo stuff!!

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3563. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 02:07:50 UTC
From: brian <none@no.com>
Message-ID: <WJcl9.54455$ry.579450@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 145995

"bh" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEE80B7.CAED00AD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >
> > With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some
> > hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny
> > while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't
> > do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being
> > able to,
>
> Don't understand this statement at all twist. Why would we want
> the ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do anything I want to on
> the ham bands, Ham radio offers enough variety and freedom that I
> don't have to do anything illegal.

The point is that niether a ham or CBer may legally go out of his assigned
range of frequencies, play music, use foul langauge, run 20,000 watts etc,
etc. But of the 2 the ham is far more likely to get caught if he breaks the
rules, and that is what will piss off some hams even if they have no disire
to break the rules themselves.
I'll bet no ham admits to this being true, but if it's not true then I'd ask
Mr. Ham "what's the attraction to rec.radio.cb?"

3564. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 03:44:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <t8eI9.487$UW6.17298748@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146097

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:GWbI9.45507$bq3.25396@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021205222411.520$dV@newsreader.com...
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > (snip)
> > >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > asking.
> > >
> > You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.
>
> And again tonight. This is the second day without power. I hope not, but
> the news says it may
> be Wednesday of next week before some of us get back on.
>
> Jerry
> >
> > --

Well I hope you do Ok, it looks as if it's not
too much fun if you ask me. I guess though when you
are prepared as you are Jerry, you've got it covered

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3565. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 03:53:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv2s5o4h9hfv3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146098

Likely a perfect hit on the problem. It also may be a large reason a lot of CBers have no desire to be licensed (despite what many claim).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:7886-3DEFB3E0-51@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> Twistedhed wrote:
> With all due respect, he does report violations. I have a feeling some
> hammies are really upset because their playground is under scrutiny
> while ours goes unmolested and remains a free-for-all. They just can't
> do what they want to do on their frequencies and they resent us being
> able to,
>
> >Don't understand this statement at all twist. >Why would we want the
> >ham bands to be a free-for-all? I can do >anything I want to on the ham
> bands, Ham >radio offers enough variety and freedom that I >don't have
> to do anything illegal.
>
>
> Not all hammies....just the angry ones hellbent on causing a ruckus
> 'round this room.
> Hammie Dave Hall N3CVJ wrote it himself in a post...one of the rare
> times he appears
> lucid and I agree with.....here is what he said:
>
>
>
> "I will say that there are SOME hams that may feel a bit cheated, since
> they feel obligated to follow the rules, and it bothers them to see
> Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, and seemingly without
> the least bit of remorse. Sort of like the teacher's pet calling "foul"
> when they see Little Johnny cheating in the back of the class......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

3566. FA: Midland emergency CB package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 04:29:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asrtrb$tviu8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146099

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1943701364

3567. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 04:47:40 UTC
From: "Sir.Oblivion" <Sir.Oblivion@public.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <3df17d70$1_4@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 146100

"-~-" <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote in message
news:vqd2vucdi047kkkhu50pm38r781j2vq7l5@4ax.com...
> found somewhere
>

From: -~- <cvb@qwe.gov>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)
Date: Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:54 -0500
Organization: --
Reply-To: cvb@qwe.gov
Message-ID: <vqd2vucdi047kkkhu50pm38r781j2vq7l5@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.92/32.572
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 2
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26
X-Trace:
sv3-VxToSSNsyvVQR9ztmVo8ABzestCOwd30i1zoxMGtHJoSU7XIZT37AN03M9q5RoEmGyBrcRoK
eZcm2eM!L+4xEoKsXPDq6qncddOxIhn7let128suoEvwjk4n/Ve6PFC2ox/9SxnSJsJy8TJPCcbF
v80=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint
properly
X-Postfilter: 1.1

3568. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:03:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF1811D.6000304@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146109

General Johnson Jameson wrote:
> It was recently announced from the FCC that because they cannot effectively
> enforce illegal transmissions due to the fact most of the offenders are
> mobile
> they are SUSPENDING enforcement.

So you say the FCC can't catch you if you're mobile? That's what
the unlicensed fellow in Dallas a few years thought who was using
a 2 meter transceiver. He was having a lot of fun jamming some
repeaters driving around the freeway system saying how the FCC
couldn't find him. He changed his mind when the red flashing
lights appeared in his rear view mirror and a U.S Marshal and an
FCC agent pulled him over.

3569. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:08:09 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021207000809.01440.00000180@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 146101

Looks like the romance is over!
Train

>Subject: Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"
>From: Pat notherealone@fake.com
>Date: 12/6/02 4:43 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <d452vucm6e7ddm306nqfaan7km4rq7ogoo@4ax.com>
>
>On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
>about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
>
>...First things first...
>...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
>...
>...
>
>You too Dougie
>
>
>...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
>...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
>...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
>...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
>...
>
>Goofy face??? you ain't miss america either dude! yes, I have a
>beard.. at least I can grow one...Homeless shelter???HAHAHA...what an
>idiot.
>
>
>...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
>...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
>...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
>which
>...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
>...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
>the
>...scene hastily.
>...
>
>I walked around twice....was looking for the guy I came with James
>Keller W8WW....(whom I have a feeling might have authored this...)
>
>and I might have called you Mike...sheesh...cut a guy some slack...

>
>and for the record....you didn't do jack shit, Dougie...and you
>fucking know it....
>
>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
>but
>...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
>...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
>...
>...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
>
>Jeff Roush....???? I never said that...I worked for Jack Rouch...as in
>Rouch Industries Inc. . a job I HATED...Because of the fucking MORONIC
>management...you know Morons....like your dumb fucking ass?
>
>
>
>...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
>...SEPTEMBER>
>
>I never admitted anything...
>
>I know what I got, and who said it's registered?
>
>
>
>...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
>
>Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
>with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
>favors..
>
>Can't you do anything more original????
>
>
>
>...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
>
>
>Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
>with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
>favors.....
>
>
>
>
>...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
>

>Like I said...you don't know what I got...
>
>
>
>...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
>
>see above.....
>
>
>
>...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
>police
>... officers "pigs" in his posts>
>
>again.....you twist and make up shit....I never said this....period.
>
>
>
>
>
>...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
>... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
>amateur
>... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
>...various
>... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
>... into the woodwork a while.
>...
>
>
>
>I never DENIED selling them in the past....I don't sell them now....
>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
>...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
>wilts
>...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
>...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
>...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
>...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
>hash
>...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
>shake
>...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
>...
>
>
>
>Now this REALLY FUNNY!

>
>Douglas Adair.....YOU NEVER EVEN FUCKING SPOKE A WORD TO ME...UNTIL I
>MENTIONED THE THING TO KEITH KC8TCQ....YOU'RE SUCH A FUCKING LYING
>ASS'ED BASTARD!
>
>
>YOU AIN'T SHIT!
>
>
>
>...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
>...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
>drive
>...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
>the
>...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
>long
>...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
>Park,
>...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
>because
>...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
>...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
>...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
>specifically
>...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
>...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
>...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
>...This exposed the lies, of course.
>...
>
>
>
>AFTER THE COPS WERE DONE WITH ME?!?!?!?
>
>BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHHAHAH!
>
>
>NOT!
>
>
>AGAIN! MORE BULLSHIT LIES!!!!!! ONE DETECTIVE COMES HERE...AND I
>TALKED TO HIM....
>
>SHEESH! DOUG YOUR LACKING ORIGINALITY...
>
>
>...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
>...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.

>...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
>posession.
>...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
>...information. Email us for details.
>...
>
>
>I WANT TO SEE IT FOR MYSELF!!!!
>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
>...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
>...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
>...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
>to
>...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
>...
>
>
>YEAH, FUCK YOU DOUGIE....MAYBE I'LL COME DOWN THERE THIS WEEKEND.
>
>AND WE'LL "TALK"
>
>
>...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
>...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
>people,
>...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
>...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
>...
>
>YOU'RE A ONE TO TALK!
>
>
>...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
>...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
>to
>...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
>he
>...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
>carcass
>...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
>...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
>...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
>...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
>...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
>guilty
>...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
>...
>

>
>MORE BULLSHIT TO COVER THE FACT THAT YOU'RE AN IDIOT DOUG...
>
>
>...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.
>
>
>SO IS DOUGIE AND A NO-CODE, 2 FUCKING METER PENCIL-NECKED GEEK!!!!
>WITH NO CLASS, NO LIFE AND NO FUCKING JOB....AND HAS TO BUILD 2 METER
>ANTENNAS FOR A LIVING...
>
>
>TELL ME DOUG, WHY DID YOU CLOSE THE RENTAL SHIP IN COLDWATER? PROLLY
>BECAUSE YOU WERE SELLING DRUGS OUT THE BACK DOOR AND COLDWATER SHUT
>YOU DOWN! YOU SEE, i HAVE FAMILY THERE AND i HEARD ALL ABOUT
>IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>AND THEN YOU COWARDLY RAN TO CHICAGO!
>
>LATER DOUG...
>
>P.S.....the shit never stops
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3570. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:23:43 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212062123.50afac18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146102

timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp With A Slow Limp) wrote in message news:<20021206141446.14829.00000001@mb-df.aol.com>...
> i always thought you were pretty cool until now but if you believe this
> bullshit your dumber than i can imagine.

May be dumb and uncool... too dumb in fact to know what the inside of a jail cell looks like. Go figger. Sometimes a dummy, but never a jailbird.

> do you really think we outband for the
> thrill of just doing something illegal?

Why not? It's the only reason *I* used to do all kinds of illegal crap, until my arthritis kicked in. I've gone "grey" in more ways than one (*sigh*).

> if you legalize it you'll only have
> 120 channels that will be just like the regular 40 we have now.

Not necessarily. Get yourself an ICOM-706 and mod it... you'll have hundreds of freqs instead of channels. You won't even need a slider, either.

Guy Richards

3571. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:32:11 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vJfI9.41524$vM1.3299915@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146103

Check out www.3950.net
Ham radio junk channel
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17813-3DF0AC95-25@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> N2UHC wrote:
> >I see a similar pattern between the radio >operators you describe and
> internet trolls. The >behavior is the same, it's just the medium >that's
> different. Perhaps the idiots on the radio >feel powerless in their own
> lives and are >unable to communicate like a normal person >so must
> resort to music playing, noisemaking, >or other attention-getting
> antics.
> >I've never understood it myself and could be >off base in my
> hypothesis, but I'm sure the >anonymity is the catalyst that allows
> these >people's "dark side" to come out.
> >Tom
>
>
>
>
>
> You were doing so well until that last statement. Most of the "dark
> side" you speak of comes from a few that post their call. As of late,
> yes, a few of these hammies have ceased posting with their call and
> attempted anonymity..the first sign that others have seen them for what
> they are and the self-realization they are detriments to the hobby. CB
> is anonymous and always has been.....this is a cb room.....cbers have no
> interest to imitate something they have no interest in. So let's shed
> some truth on the matter....these few malcontent hammies are imitating
> CB with their failed attempts at being anonymous. Ha!
>

3572. Gay test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:46:08 UTC
From: "A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <AWfI9.10237$HA2.2660091@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146104

http://www.hekkuli.org/~hekkuli/flash/gaytest.swf

Lemme know how you did Frank....

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

3573. Schematic for Cobra 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:50:54 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <2%fl9.262514$QZ.40270@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146105

Anybody got one?

TNX

3574. Re: Siltronix & Swan 1011 Radio Web Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 05:55:48 UTC
From: "A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <E3gl9.10360$HA2.2670517@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

EXCELLENT page.....you did good!

A.E. 352

"Skipp asks for no spam" <abuse@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asqkuo$5cq$4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
>
> Hello there,
> Just a cheap and shameless plug for the Siltronix and Swan 1011 radio web
> page found at:
>
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu/siltronix
>
> The same server also has a generic amateur radio page which can be found
> at: http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>
> Have a look when you can... some history about the radio plus trivia....
>
> cheers
> skipp

3575. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 06:10:44 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DF190E4.356E4EC2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 146107

Mobile schmobile....
They can catch you mobile, son. Believe it.

3576. Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 06:30:53 UTC
From: "A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <xAgI9.10528$HA2.2705663@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146108

But I'm SURE that you will re-surface with a brand-new username and ISP addy in the future so you can still have your fun on 'ol rec.radio.cb. You see...it's in your blood, and you can't quite give up this NG. If I exposed you as being n7vcf.... well I'm sorry. NOT! Alright....you're NOT n7vcf. But all a guy has to do is go to www.qrz.com and type in your call, and maybe your're STILL not n7vcf. I just find it ironic that once you got your tech license, you are suddenly BETTER than the rest of us. Did that 50 question test go to your head? Do you find it easy to recite your retoric from books such as Part 97, or the antenna builders bible? Or perhaps your swollen ego has been canvassing www.fcc.org or www.arrl.net either way, we don't really care. So do us ALL a favor Frank... Shut the FUCK up. And leave your elementary stuff on the playground. 'Nuff said.

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub

3577. Re:My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 07:57:53 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <mhunt@cbworld.com>
Message-ID: <PJil9.253$QD3.51101762@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146110

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message

>
> What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
> I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that huge of a
> mistake.

You know nothing of the import/export business sir. We are a legitimate
company with worldwide shipping expertise that just happens to have a
a cargo box that is full of incredible buys that you won't find anywhere
else. Right now we have over a dozen cargo ships circling the
globe, transporting tons of merchandise to ports of call in every country
in the world that is currently participating in free trade. I am thinking
right
now that maybe I should just re-route my merchandise somewhere else
where those who know a good deal can produce the finances needed
to conduct business with me.

You sir are obviously a hourly wage employee barely making ends meet who
can at best only dream of living a life filled with the latest in electronic
toys and such.
Your kind annoys me and makes conducting business with those who can
appreciate fine quality goods difficult. Go away sandflea.

3578. Re: My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 08:18:14 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eib3vuk6i51lr15c9kj2211r13p69poadh@4ax.com>
Source record: 146111

Well, Mike,I sentyou an e-mail reguarding this to this you did not reply...so, if you'll kindly drop the stupid attitude and drop me an e-mail...maybe we'll talk?

10-4?

73

-Chuck

On Fri, 6 Dec 2002 23:57:53 -0800, "Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> was blabbling:

...
..."John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
...
...>
...> What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
...> I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that huge of a
...> mistake.
...
...You know nothing of the import/export business sir. We are a legitimate
...company with worldwide shipping expertise that just happens to have a
...a cargo box that is full of incredible buys that you won't find anywhere
...else. Right now we have over a dozen cargo ships circling the
...globe, transporting tons of merchandise to ports of call in every country
...in the world that is currently participating in free trade. I am thinking
...right
...now that maybe I should just re-route my merchandise somewhere else
...where those who know a good deal can produce the finances needed
...to conduct business with me.
...
...You sir are obviously a hourly wage employee barely making ends meet who
...can at best only dream of living a life filled with the latest in electronic
...toys and such.
...Your kind annoys me and makes conducting business with those who can

...appreciate fine quality goods difficult. Go away sandflea.
...
...
...

3579. More real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee" , hey Nebo read this....You will laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 08:23:02 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212070023.34227f00@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146112

Hey dweebo, Chukkee Sukkee is not being made a punk by N8WWM, he IS a punk from the jump. That boy is waaaaay too much of a pussy to do anything to N8 or any of the anti's.

Chukkee Sukkee is obviously infected with some sort of virus that causes him to blame one person for all his ills and afflictions. The problem is that his ills and afflictions are indeed caused by one person...himself. He is just too stupid to see it.

Hardee harr harr harr...life is good when you aint an alumni of the detroit school for hopeless fatass gayboy chumps.

Here is Chukee Sukkee's biography below...call this a Christmas present to you keyclowns...

MY BIO!!!!!
K8CPA
Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and answer all the questions:

Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig, ya see>

Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block of Waterman Avenue>

Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although, I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at: http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me, who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis. My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in the men's room of the Detroit bus station.

"Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10, (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I loved those guys when they'd tell me to tongue their assholes. Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!

Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham, (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I* thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I totally forgot how to play because I was violently burbing up the sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow... speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry. Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs, Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...

MY MOMENT OF CLARITY

Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop

chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my mind...

"I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps

Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from serving my God, the Penis?????????

My Return to total Fagassedness!

Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!" and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts....
I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.

I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people as we are, and makes us his Servants....

Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.

HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS BECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!

I was, I guess you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally licensed in 1989....

On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.

My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my ego.

so there you go!

I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!

3580. Re: More real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee" , hey Nebo read this....You will laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 08:43:19 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <h1d3vuklt2boempklhf1muagecaf9o3tdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 146113

On 7 Dec 2002 00:23:02 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:

Tim,

I think your right...guess I am going to have to make a phone call later today....put this shit to and end...once and for all...

don't say I didn't warn you dougie.

www.ironcoffins.com

3581. have a look and join come and say hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 08:58:57 UTC
From: stu -n-deb <scooby989@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <%LiI9.5584$xi2.228@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 146114

http://groups.msn.com/CBRADIOUK

3582. Re: Schematic for Cobra 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 09:50:59 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7wjI9.47267$hK4.4150435@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146115

If you call Cobra, and are real nice to them, they might send you one; they did it for me.

Pete

3583. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 10:26:18 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com>
Source record: 146119

On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:

The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)

3584. Re: The real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 11:44:03 UTC
From: JohnB <john.g8ozh@freeuk.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1039261367.4392.0@dyke.uk.clara.net>
Source record: 146120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

there goes the heighbourhood.....

JohnB

"Pat" <notherealone@fake.com> wrote in message
news:4n42vuctm9jp2i4gto68k11fjk05qbcbs3@4ax.com...
> Please, post this in this NG!
>
> I DARE YOU!
>
> Cause, you know it's a LIE!
>
> HA!
>
> signed,
>
> K8CPA
>
> -Chuck
>
>
>
>
> On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
> about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
>
> ...First things first...
> ...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
> ...
> ...
> ...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
> ...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
> ...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
> ...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
> ...
> ...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
> ...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
> ...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
> which
> ...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
> ...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
> the
> ...scene hastily.
> ...

> ...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
> but
> ...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
> ...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
> ...
> ...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
> ...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
> ...SEPTEMBER>
> ...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
> ...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
> ...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
> ...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
> ...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
> police
> ... officers "pigs" in his posts>
> ...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
> ... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
> amateur
> ... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
> ...various
> ... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
> ... into the woodwork a while.
> ...
> ...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
> ...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
> wilts
> ...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
> ...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
> ...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
> ...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
> hash
> ...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
> shake
> ...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
> ...
> ...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
> ...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
> drive
> ...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
> the
> ...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
> long
> ...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
> Park,
> ...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
> because
> ...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
> ...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
> ...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
> specifically

> ...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
> ...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
> ...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
> ...This exposed the lies, of course.
> ...
> ...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
> ...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
> ...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
> posession.
> ...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
> ...information. Email us for details.
> ...
> ...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
> ...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
> ...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
> ...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
> to
> ...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
> ...
> ...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
> ...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
> people,
> ...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
> ...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
> ...
> ...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
> ...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
> to
> ...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
> he
> ...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
> carcass
> ...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
> ...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
> ...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
> ...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
> ...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
> guilty
> ...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
> ...
> ...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.
>

3585. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 13:01:31 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LimI9.47384$hK4.4163691@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146121

The problem is that the performance increase does not offset the mechanical
stability problems...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
news:20021206141646.14829.00000002@mb-df.aol.com...
> why? if you run a loaded antenna top loaded is more effecient.
>
> >Just curoius as to why you seem to only want a top loaded antenna.
> >That would simply be my last choice...
> >
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
>

3586. Re: Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 13:44:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021207084446.07809.00010132@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 146122

let a sleeping dog lay.....

>
>
>But I'm SURE that you will re-surface with a brand-new username and ISP addy
>in the future so you can still have your fun on 'ol rec.radio.cb. You
>see...it's in your blood, and you can't quite give up this NG. If I exposed
>you as being n7vcf.... well I'm sorry. NOT! Alright....you're NOT n7vcf. But
>all a guy has to do is go to www.qrz.com and type in your call, and maybe
>your're STILL not n7vcf. I just find it ironic that once you got your tech
>license, you are suddenly BETTER than the rest of us. Did that 50 question
>test go to your head? Do you find it easy to recite your retoric from books
>such as Part 97, or the antenna builders bible? Or perhaps your swollen ego
>has been canvassing www.fcc.org or www.arrl.net either way, we don't
>really care. So do us ALL a favor Frank... Shut the FUCK up. And leave your
>elementary stuff on the playground. 'Nuff said.
>
> A.E. 352
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
> http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3587. Re: My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 13:46:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021207084617.07809.00010133@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 146123

fat boy doesnt have 5 g's

>Well, Mike,I sentyou an e-mail reguarding this to this you did not
>reply...so, if you'll kindly drop the stupid attitude and drop me an
>e-mail...maybe we'll talk?
>
>10-4?
>
>73
>
>-Chuck
>

3588. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 13:50:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021207085053.07809.00010134@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 146124

i thought we were talking fiberglass whips?

>The problem is that the performance increase does not offset the mechanical
>stability problems...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

3589. RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 14:36:34 UTC
From: KC0EH <okiemansc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <SHnI9.97$zS2.30278@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146125

Wouldn't you just know it I am a Native American and 49 years old so I miss out on both counts !!
Too bad since I have 37 years experience with a first class commercial license which has allowed me to retire already.
Guess he couldn't have afforded me is why he wants someone white and under 45.

3590. FT 920, MFJ Versa Tunner 5 4-Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 14:55:55 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF2076E.7050800@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146126

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tunner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig.
If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. It has new caps and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same voltage that runs your dryer and electric range. I am will to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we can work out the deal.
oris@dirtball.com
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

3591. Re: Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:14:11 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <_N-dnfLtqbPMjW-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146127

I agree Tim he wants to talk shit and act bad when the guy isn't even here anylonger. Sidewalk sissy is what he is.

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message news:20021207084446.07809.00010132@mb-mn.aol.com...
> let a sleeping dog lay.........
>
> >
> >
> >But I'm SURE that you will re-surface with a brand-new username and ISP addy
> >in the future so you can still have your fun on 'ol rec.radio.cb. You
> >see...it's in your blood, and you can't quite give up this NG. If I exposed
> >you as being n7vcf.... well I'm sorry. NOT! Alright....you're NOT n7vcf. But
> >all a guy has to do is go to www.qrz.com and type in your call, and maybe
> >your're STILL not n7vcf. I just find it ironic that once you got your tech
> >license, you are suddenly BETTER than the rest of us. Did that 50 question
> >test go to your head? Do you find it easy to recite your retoric from books
> >such as Part 97, or the antenna builders bible? Or perhaps your swollen ego
> >has been canvassing www.fcc.org or www.arrl.net either way, we don't
> >really care. So do us ALL a favor Frank... Shut the FUCK up. And leave your
> >elementary stuff on the playground. 'Nuff said.
> >
> > A.E. 352
> > http://american-eagle.tripod.com
> > http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
> >http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>
>
>

3592. Re: More real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee" , hey Nebo read this....You will laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:16:17 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <peSdnRjMivFTjW-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146128

"Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:h1d3vuklt2boempklhf1muagecaf9o3tdc@4ax.com...
> On 7 Dec 2002 00:23:02 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
> about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
>
> Tim,
>
> I think your right...guess I am going to have to make a phone call
> later today....put this shit to and end...once and for all...
>
> don't say I didn't warn you dougie.
>
> www.ironcoffins.com

Chuckie here is the number for you I put a call in to them for you with your
name and number, I think they will be calling you soon...

C a l l - 6 1 6 . 3 4 4 . 1 3 6 9

>
>

3593. Re: More real deal on K8CPA, BKA "Chukkee Sukkee" , hey Nebo read this....You will laugh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:28:48 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <mo44vuokjjgsl28b3rsk58em527rkrom24@4ax.com>
Source record: 146129

On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:16:17 -0500, "Jingle Balls"
<rcranus@hotmailcommie> was blabbling:

...
..."Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
...news:h1d3vuklt2boempklhf1muagecaf9o3tdc@4ax.com...
...> On 7 Dec 2002 00:23:02 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The
truth
...> about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
...>
...> Tim,
...>
...> I think your right...guess I am going to have to make a phone
call
...> later today....put this shit to and end...once and for all...
...>
...> don't say I didn't warn you dougie.
...>
...> www.ironcoffins.com
...
...
...Chuckie here is the number for you I put a call in to them for you
with your
...name and number, I think they will be calling you soon...
...
...C a l l - 6 1 6 . 3 4 4 . 1 3 6 9
...
...

I can handle my own....

thanks for your help...

P.S. I think that's the guys in the U.P. or up north....or in
Jackson, Mi. I know some of the guys from wyandotte.

see ya.

...
...
...>
...>
...

3594. Penetartor 500 repair info:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:33:26 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DF214C6.35DA535E@qnet.com>
Source record: 146130

Hello All:

I posted this information on another thread, and got a few e-mails requesting this info be put out on separate thread for all to see. I have also included some repair information to fix you Hy-Gain Penetrator 500, should you have some tubing bent or broke.

Hope this helps those who need the help here. With time the old out of production Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Antennas are getting pretty rare, and repair info should be supplied to keep those great old antennas up and running, Ten-4!

So maybe I'll put some photos and info on the web site for all to see, let me know if your all interested? I have sent out some replacement parts, and misc. stuff, like tubing, Top Hat Wires and Insulators for guys to fix their Penetrator 500, but I don't stock this stuff, I just have a few pieces left over from modifying the Penetrator 500, with the low loss Impedance Matching Hardware that will also go on the web site.

Jay in the Mojave

Hello Dave:

Here are the Plastic (made from black ABS Plastic) Insulators for the Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antenna. Had to do some digging.

Tell the nice lady at Hy-Gain, that these are still current parts used on other Hy-Gain Antennas, but you need then for the Penetrator 500, model number is "Order No. 500" Hy-Gain will also sell you the assembly instructions, for 5 dollars.

Hy-Gain is at: http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php

Penetrator 500 Insulator Part Numbers:
Base or lower Insulator 877961
Upper Insulator 463056
Tuning Wire Supports 463642

Penetrator 500 Tubing Repair:
Hy-Gain uses a manufacturing technique that reduces the Outside Diameter of the Aluminum Tubing, called reduced swaging. This is done at the Hy-Gain Plant, in a special machine that uses a Hydraulic Press and Die type Tooling, that forces the tubing into the swag reduction tooling, reducing the end of the Aluminum Tubings OD and ID

OD = Outside Diameter
ID= Inside Diameter

This allows for a smaller diameter tubing to be used, making the antenna lighter and more resilient. It also allows the antenna manufacture to NOT have to deal with tubing wall thickness tolerances.

The Penetrator 500 uses 3 different tubing pieces that are reduced swaged. And they are:
1- 1 1/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/8" OD Tubing, instead of 1" OD tubing.

2- 7/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 5/8" OD Tubing, Instead of 3/4" OD Tubing

3- 5/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/16 OD Tubing, instead of 1/2" OD tubing.

The swaged reduced ends, are not magic, they can be replaced without any harm to the antennas performance or tuning.

The reduced swag end, can be cut off with a tubing cutter or hack saw. Then cut down the remaining end length wise, for about a 1 1/2 inches, this cut slot will allow a hose clap to hold in a new piece of tubing.

As an example, the ground plane radials use the following reduced swaging scheme:

24" long, 7/8" OD reduced to accept 5/8" OD tubing
36" long, 5/8" OD reduced to accept 7/16 OD tubing
50" long, 7/16" OD no reduced swag.

In most cases the 5/8" OD Tubing needs to be replaced. But not everyone had a swag reducing tool in the garage or living room, (I sure don't)

The reduced swag end can be cut off with tubing cutters or a hack saw, and slot cut, length wise for a 1 1/2". Then new 1/2" OD Tubing can be installed into the 5/8" OD tubing, and a hose clamp installed to hold the new piece of 1/2" OD tubing.

As with the 1 1/8" OD and 7/8" OD reduced swag tubing, again the reduced swag can be cut off, and again a length wise end cut 1 1/2 inch long cut needs to be done. Then a 5 to 6 inch length of 1" OD tubing can be installed into the
1 1/8" tubing, and 3/4" OD for the 7/8" OD tubing, allowing the next tubing pieces to be installed.

Aluminum Tubing, 6061 T-6 or 6063 T-8, with a wall thickness of .058" should be available from local metal supply houses. You will need to call around and find it. Texas Towers sells Aluminum Tubing in 6 foot length, that is UPS shippable.

Texas Towers Aluminum Tubing is at:
http://www.texastowers.com/aluminum.htm

Please let me know if need any help, or suggestions on a repair article for the web site. I will throw in photos and diagrams to make it easier to understand.

Thanks for the e-mail

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

3595. Re: Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:56:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <VSoI9.81$UZ6.13116671@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146133

"A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:xAgI9.10528$HA2.2705663@twister.nyroc.rr.com...

You know Guy, you were doing ok until you started
posting this dribble. He left, whether or not he's here under
a different ISP or what, let it go, it's not worth it.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3596. Re: Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:56:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <WSoI9.5$Iy2.1196088@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146131

"Jingle Balls" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:_N-dnfLtqbPMjW-gXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> I agree Tim he wants to talk shit and act bad when the guy isn't even here
> anylonger. Sidewalk sissy is what he is.

We can go back to when George attempted humour.
This still fits George very well:
http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame34.html

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3597. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 15:56:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <WSoI9.4$Iy2.1196088@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146132

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:
>
> The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
> Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)
>
>

Yes, exact same IP, go figure.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3598. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:07:32 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <s274vu4c38gurkafei3raueg10thcdtgem@4ax.com>
Source record: 146134

On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 15:56:38 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
was blabbling:

...
...<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
...news:12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com...
...> On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:
...>
...> The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
...> Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)
...>
...>
...
...
... Yes, exact same IP, go figure.
...
... Landshark

what are you guys whining about? the movie never made it to the group!

thanks to giganews's built in security.

:::grumble:::

3599. Re: Randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:14:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212070814.9d0d48c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146135

timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp With A Slow Limp) wrote in message news:<20021206191115.01187.00011393@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> Subj: [Amps] CB'ers & Amp's
> Date: 12/6/02 5:10:08 PM Central Standard Time
> From: phil@transmittersrus.com (Philip de Cadenet)
> Sender: amps-admin@contesting.com
> To: amps@contesting.com
>
> Rich said:
>
> Last week, I received several e-mails and telephone calls from a CBer
> who was constructing a 3cx15,000A7 AB2 g-g amp. using a 3kV anode
> supply.
> He was having problems getting it to work properly, and he had some
> questions, which I answered. In a few days, he got it to work. My
> impression was that he was interested in the science behind getting it
> to amplify linearly. Hopefully, he will someday get a license.
>
> Reminds me of a fella I met in the Motor City a couple of years ago:
>
> http://www.bigradios.com/shadow/longv.htm
>
> "Bluegill" from Chicago had a pair of 4CX20K's in the mobile.
>
> CB'er or no CB'er it takes balls as well as brains to fire those up from
> a 14v rail even with eight 350A Electrodyne alternators and stock in
> Peter Dahl Inc.
>
> My ham exam tutor and mentor G3AGP did not teach me that one in 76.

well they usually start out on the rev at about 11 volts and go to about 22 volts or so.....and those electrodynes do take a bite when they start feeding. they are used on locomotives in their stock condition....and they are not cheap.....that is why it is a good idea to use 1/2 amp transformers instead of the 1 amp .....and you can step start the power supply....that way it won't be so bad on your engine.....in a few seconds you will be able to key what you have and save your crankshaft at the same time....just a thought.....and you are right.....it is crazy.....for a car.....this amount of watts can only be achieved for a few seconds at a time.....but on a base station.....with three phase power.....hmmmm....

3600. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:19:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5cpI9.6$YQ2.2303261@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146136

"Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:s274vu4c38gurkafei3raueg10thcdtgem@4ax.com...
> was blabbling:
>
> ...
> ...<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> ...news:12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com...
> ...> On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:
> ...>
> ...> The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
> ...> Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)
> ...>
> ...>
> ...
> ...
> ... Yes, exact same IP, go figure.
> ...
> ... Landshark
>
> what are you guys whining about? the movie never made it to the group!
>
> thanks to giganews's built in security.
>
> :::grumble:::
>
>
>

Nobody's whining Chuck, only you. You post this dribble
to the effect that Doug was harassing you, when in fact you
made it up. You are creating situations that don't exist so you
can have some sort of reason to cry to the newsgroup.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3601. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:23:53 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF220C1.AAB4C3B@optonline.net>
Source record: 146137

chuck was being slapped back in the '90's by the cb guy. at least he's consistent....

Po Pimp With A Slow Limp wrote:

> chuckie i just flat out wouldnt take his bullshit. the only reason you do is
> because your a bitch just like him. there alot of us that wish we could see
> doug a time or two but your the only one who really does and you let him make
> you the punk. thats too funny.
>
>

3602. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:28:40 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF221E2.B1CC5E7B@optonline.net>
Source record: 146138

actually the underage german crap did make it......

Landshark wrote:

> "Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:s274vu4c38gurkafei3raueg10thcdtgem@4ax.com...
> > was blabbling:
> >
> > ...
> > ...<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> > ...news:12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com...
> > ...> On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:
> > ...>
> > ...> The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
> > ...> Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)
> > ...>
> > ...>
> > ...
> > ...
> > ... Yes, exact same IP, go figure.
> > ...
> > ... Landshark
> >
> > what are you guys whining about? the movie never made it to the group!
> >
> > thanks to giganews's built in security.
> >
> > :::grumble:::
> >
> >
> >
>
> Nobody's whining Chuck, only you. You post this dribble
> to the effect that Doug was harassing you, when in fact you
> made it up. You are creating situations that don't exist so you
> can have some sort of reason to cry to the newsgroup.
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3603. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:30:23 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <ce84vuktil0nu6fucis9ev3rllj7mfq2df@4ax.com>
Source record: 146139

On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 16:19:13 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
was blabbling:

...
...
... Nobody's whining Chuck, only you. You post this dribble
...to the effect that Doug was harassing you, when in fact you
...made it up. You are creating situations that don't exist so you
...can have some sort of reason to cry to the newsgroup.
...
... Landshark

oh? I made it up?? and just how the heck did I do that, Landmine?

3604. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:32:32 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF222CA.1D56F07F@optonline.net>
Source record: 146140

a man who knows his fish...

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> 8 inches today and still going...tautog are still biting but its a bitch
> > > in the weather.....
> > >
> Rock on..you fishing fool. ;)
>
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

3605. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:42:48 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <6j84vuo9kbfamr4kq4jqbq9o1121thcjj5@4ax.com>
Source record: 146141

On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 16:19:13 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
was blabbling:

.
... Nobody's whining Chuck, only you. You post this dribble
...to the effect that Doug was harassing you, when in fact you
...made it up. You are creating situations that don't exist so you
...can have some sort of reason to cry to the newsgroup.
...
... Landshark

Now that I reread your posting here....you're basically accusing me,
of posting all that stuff, myself!?!??!?!!?!?!?

That's the STUPID, Ignorant thing I've EVER heard you fucking say....

check the damn IP address.... his posting came from 206.149.128.28 and
mine is 68.42.181.26, ever look at that? and no, I do not have a
telephone modem, hell, I cut my telephone line off, when I got the
cable modem...

Yes, doug does exist. I thought me and the "anti-keyclowns" had called
a truce. what sparked this latest attack, is beyond me, I somehow
doubt doug was the poster, but some of his suck buddies from Ohio, who
were with him, like the guy with the beard, who was sitting next to
Doug on the left or the dude on right... I think they're just doing it
for grins....and, I'm cool with that....I just wish they'd keep my
friends and family out of it... the accusation that I threatened
someone's family... is mearly, that. I saw the postings and yes, they
came from AT&T worldnet...but not from me! Ever heard of Modem pools
and static IP addresses?

anyhow....this is my LAST posting reguarding this subject... better to
let sleeping Dogs snore...

73

3606. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:44:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <szpI9.8$n_2.2884661@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146142

"lespankerdes" <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com> wrote in message
> was blabbling:

>
> oh? I made it up?? and just how the heck did I do that, Landmine?
>
>

Please note subject line:
Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)

You're trolling Chuck, looking for attention for some
strange reason. Maybe not so strange, looking at Chuck.

Path:
newssvr14.news.prodigy.com!newsdbm01!newsdbm01.news.prodigy.com!newscon07.ne
ws.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!news.astraweb.com!news
-small.astraweb.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!border1.nntp.aus1.giganews.com!nntp
.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POST
ED!not-for-mail
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Dec 2002 17:49:44 -0600
From: -~- <cvb@qwe.gov>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)
Date: Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:54 -0500
Organization: --
Reply-To: cvb@qwe.gov
Message-ID: <vqd2vucdi047kkkhu50pm38r781j2vq7l5@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.92/32.572
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 2
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26
X-Trace:
sv3-VxToSSNsyvVQR9ztmVo8ABzestCOwd30i1zoxMGtHJoSU7XIZT37AN03M9q5RoEmGyBrcRoK
eZcm2eM!L+4xEoKsXPDq6qncddOxIhn7let128suoEvwjk4n/Ve6PFC2ox/9SxnSJsJy8TJPCcbF
v80=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint
properly
X-Postfilter: 1.1
Xref: newsmst01.news.prodigy.com rec.radio.cb:322318

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3607. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:46:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <mBpI9.9$103.2975862@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146143

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DF221E2.B1CC5E7B@optonline.net...
> actually the underage german crap did make it......

LOL!!!
Well It's Jim!, haven't seen you post for a
while, how's it going?

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3608. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:52:04 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <8l94vu46dpuesknm7f87iesi2tacmj54f6@4ax.com>
Source record: 146144

On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 16:44:08 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
was blabbling:

... Please note subject line:
...Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (000/110)
...
... You're trolling Chuck, looking for attention for some
...strange reason. Maybe not so strange, looking at Chuck.
...
...
boy...you are simple...fighting fire with fire?

I'm supposed to just sit here and let people say shit about me and not
do a thing....fuck you.

Not how I operate.

not at all.

3609. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:52:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jHpl9.11$T23.3152194@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146145

"lespankerdes" <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com> wrote in message
> was blabbling:
>
> .
>
>
> Now that I reread your posting here....you're basically accusing me,
> of posting all that stuff, myself!?!??!?!!?!?!?

No Chuck, I can read IP's and this original thread started
with a post made by you.
As you said, let sleeping dogs be.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3610. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 16:58:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <FMpI9.12$nX2.2700464@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146146

"lespankerdes" <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com> wrote in message
news:8l94vu46dpuesknm7f87iesi2tacmj54f6@4ax.com...
> was blabbling:
> boy...you are simple...fighting fire with fire?
>
> I'm supposed to just sit here and let people say shit about me and not
> do a thing....fuck you.
>

Not really Chuck, I & Tnom were merely pointing out
that YOU started this thread, so are you talking trash about yourself?

> Not how I operate.
>
> not at all.
>
>

That's fine by me Chuck, you do what you want, but
don't try to pass posts that were made by you as from
someone else harassing you.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3611. hey pimp.....you got a lot of chutzpah banging with hambos on the reflector.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 17:05:33 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212070905.4eca0e61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146147

hahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!! funny.....well done tim nebo.....well done.....and son you hit the nail right on the head too.....

3612. Pros/Cons Of Adding Ground Plane Radials To The IMAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 17:14:07 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212070914.36fc2bed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146148

Here's an additional link on KG6AOH's web page re. the addition of
ground plane radials to the IMAX 2000. Thought you all might enjoy.
:-)

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/rangetest.html

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3613. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 17:19:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF22D57.83ACAD1B@optonline.net>
Source record: 146149

great thanx. getting a laff outta this chuckie nonsense hehehe. like you said, just when things were getting a little slow around here bam.....

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3DF221E2.B1CC5E7B@optonline.net...
> > actually the underage german crap did make it.....
>
> LOL!!!
> Well It's Jim!, haven't seen you post for a
> while, how's it going?
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3614. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 17:27:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <acqI9.13$Zh3.4047003@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146150

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DF22D57.83ACAD1B@optonline.net...
> great thanx. getting a laff outta this chuckie nonsense hehehe. like you
> said, just when things were getting a little slow around here
> bam.........
>
> Landshark wrote:
>
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DF221E2.B1CC5E7B@optonline.net...
> > > actually the underage german crap did make it......
> >
> > LOL!!!
> > Well It's Jim!, haven't seen you post for a
> > while, how's it going?
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> > --
> > Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>

Well it wouldn't be Christmas time without
some sort of nonsense from them.

Take Care,
Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3615. Re: Pros/Cons Of Adding Ground Plane Radials To The IMAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 17:34:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CiqI9.15$DL2.1994010@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146151

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0212070914.36fc2bed@posting.google.com...
> Here's an additional link on KG6AOH's web page re. the addition of
> ground plane radials to the IMAX 2000. Thought you all might enjoy.
> :-)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/rangetest.html
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Great post Bert. I have a friend that just
got one, I'll forward the link,

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3616. Re: UP YOURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 18:15:00 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <mhunt@cbworld.com>
Message-ID: <mMrl9.263$5F4.55235713@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146152

"Chuck" wrote in message

if you'll drop the stupid attitude and drop me an
> e-mail...maybe we'll talk?

Right, and dogshit will become America's favorite dinner desert.

I THINK NOT!

3617. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 18:22:04 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <_Srl9.264$6K4.55562333@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146153

Don't think so....

I've been operating mobile with illegal power for over 25 years,
even annouced my position once and waited for 3 days for FCC
agents to come and get me while I camped along the Sacramento
river. They never showed up and the official FCC listening station
was less then 50 miles away.

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DF190E4.356E4EC2@ix.netcom.com...
> Mobile schmobile....
> They can catch you mobile, son. Believe it.

3618. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 18:24:14 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <1VrI9.265$dI4.55438351@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146156

All urban legend. Never happened. If it did, where's your
documentation to support your story?

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>
> So you say the FCC can't catch you if you're mobile? That's what
> the unlicensed fellow in Dallas a few years thought who was using
> a 2 meter transceiver. He was having a lot of fun jamming some
> repeaters driving around the freeway system saying how the FCC
> couldn't find him. He changed his mind when the red flashing
> lights appeared in his rear view mirror and a U.S Marshal and an
> FCC agent pulled him over.
>

3619. Re: Pros/Cons Of Adding Ground Plane Radials To The IMAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 18:37:35 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF23FEF.78C1E176@cwis.net>
Source record: 146154

Bert,
I would still call the test inconclusive. From the 'raw' data given, the amount of reduction in gain gets smaller as the radiation angle gets smaller until there is a -1db gain difference at zero degrees. The effectiveness of the GPK is reduced as you approach zero degrees. It's too bad the author didn't continue with it the negative angles of radiation, which would have told how affective the GPK would be for reducing RFI at near distances.
Antenna modeling programs are based on several different versions of 'NEC'. Depending on which version was used for the comparison, some do not have much accuracy for non-perfect ground conditions. That means they work fine for 'free-space' antennas but not so fine for 'real' ground conditions.
Regardless, there is not an abrupt 'cut off' of signal at near fields of the antenna. The signal doesn't just stop at the edge of the graph's lines, it has a gradual reduction to a zero level. The second line in from the outer ring of the graph is the 'half-power', or -3db ring, where the signal strength is half the full power value. The program used to generate the graph does not produce a radiation pattern in a 'standard' format. Without an explanation of the program's parameters, the graphs produced can be interpreted in any way you wish. The results can be misleading. I do agree, and other modeling programs do show that there is a change in the 'near field' radiation patterns, but they don't support the conclusion.
What all that means is that if you think the price of the GPK is worth a 1db reduction in strength at the base of the antenna, go for it. I'm not so sure that 1db justifies the price.
'Doc

3620. Re: hey pimp.....you got a lot of chutzpah banging with hambos on the reflector.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 18:42:32 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <09Gcnc47K7S53G-gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146155

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212070905.4eca0e61@posting.google.com...
> hahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!! funny.....well done tim
> nebo.....well done.....and son you hit the nail right
> on the head too.....

Yeah until they bang him in the head

At 09:46 AM 12/4/02 -0500, TimNebo@aol.com wrote:
>some of the best RF minds in this world have never held a ham license.

That is too bad. But practically none are illegal
operators. They are broadcast engineers and electrical engineers.
The above statement can't be translated as some of the best
RF minds in the world are illegal CB operators.

73
Bill wa4lav (never have been a CBer) got ticket at 12 years of
age.

3621. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 19:05:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212071105.552855aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146157

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20021207000809.01440.00000180@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> Looks like the romance is over!
> Train
>
> >Subject: Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"
> >From: Pat notherealone@fake.com
> >Date: 12/6/02 4:43 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d452vucm6e7ddm306nqfaan7km4rq7ogoo@4ax.com>
> >
> >On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
> >about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
> >
> >...First things first...
> >...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
> >...
> >...
> >
> >You too Dougie
> >
> >
> >...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
> >...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
> >...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
> >...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
> >...
> >
> >Goofy face??? you ain't miss america either dude! yes, I have a
> >beard.. at least I can grow one...Homeless shelter???HAHAHA...what an
> >idiot.
> >
> >
> >...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
> >...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
> >...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
> >which
> >...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
> >...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
> >the
> >...scene hastily.
> >...
> >
> >I walked around twice....was looking for the guy I came with James
> >Keller W8WW....(whom I have a feeling might have authored this...)

> >
> >and I might have called you Mike...sheesh...cut a guy some slack...
> >
> >and for the record....you didn't do jack shit, Dougie...and you
> >fucking know it....
> >
> >
> >
> >...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
> >but
> >...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
> >...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
> >...
> >...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
> >
> >Jeff Roush....???? I never said that...I worked for Jack Rouch...as in
> >Rouch Industries Inc. . a job I HATED...Because of the fucking MORONIC
> >management...you know Morons....like your dumb fucking ass?
> >
> >
> >
> >...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
> >...SEPTEMBER>
> >
> >I never admitted anything...
> >
> >I know what I got, and who said it's registered?
> >
> >
> >
> >...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
> >
> >Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
> >with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
> >favors..
> >
> >Can't you do anything more original????
> >
> >
> >
> >...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
> >
> >
> >Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
> >with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
> >favors.....
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
> >
> >Like I said...you don't know what I got...
> >
> >
> >
> >...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
> >
> >see above.....
> >
> >
> >
> >...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
> >police
> >... officers "pigs" in his posts>
> >
> >again.....you twist and make up shit....I never said this....period.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
> >... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
> >amateur
> >... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
> >...various
> >... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
> >... into the woodwork a while.
> >...
> >
> >
> >
> >I never DENIED selling them in the past....I don't sell them now....
> >
> >
> >...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
> >...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
> >wilts
> >...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
> >...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
> >...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
> >...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
> >hash
> >...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
> >shake
> >...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
> >...
> >
> >

> >
> >Now this REALLY FUNNY!
> >
> >Douglas Adair.....YOU NEVER EVEN FUCKING SPOKE A WORD TO ME...UNTIL I
> >MENTIONED THE THING TO KEITH KC8TCQ....YOU'RE SUCH A FUCKING LYING
> >ASS'ED BASTARD!
> >
> >
> >YOU AIN'T SHIT!
> >
> >
> >
> >...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
> >...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
> >drive
> >...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
> >the
> >...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
> >long
> >...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
> >Park,
> >...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
> >because
> >...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
> >...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
> >...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
> >specifically
> >...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
> >...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
> >...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
> >...This exposed the lies, of course.
> >...
> >
> >
> >
> >AFTER THE COPS WERE DONE WITH ME?!?!?!?
> >
> >BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHHAHAH!
> >
> >
> >NOT!
> >
> >
> >AGAIN! MORE BULLSHIT LIES!!!!!! ONE DETECTIVE COMES HERE...AND I
> >TALKED TO HIM....
> >
> >SHEESH! DOUG YOUR LACKING ORIGINALITY...
> >
> >

> >...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
> >...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
> >...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
> >posession.
> >...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
> >...information. Email us for details.
> >...
> >
> >
> >I WANT TO SEE IT FOR MYSELF!!!!
> >
> >
> >...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
> >...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
> >...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
> >...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
> >to
> >...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
> >...
> >
> >
> >YEAH, FUCK YOU DOUGIE....MAYBE I'LL COME DOWN THERE THIS WEEKEND.
> >
> >AND WE'LL "TALK"
> >
> >
> >...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
> >...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
> >people,
> >...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
> >...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
> >...
> >
> >YOU'RE A ONE TO TALK!
> >
> >
> >...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
> >...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
> >to
> >...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
> >he
> >...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
> >carcass
> >...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
> >...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
> >...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
> >...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
> >...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
> >guilty
> >...of doing something bad and expect retribution?

> >...
> >
> >
> >MORE BULLSHIT TO COVER THE FACT THAT YOU'RE AN IDIOT DOUG...
> >
> >
> >...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.
> >
> >
> >SO IS DOUGIE AND A NO-CODE, 2 FUCKING METER PENCIL-NECKED GEEK!!!!
> >WITH NO CLASS, NO LIFE AND NO FUCKING JOB....AND HAS TO BUILD 2 METER
> >ANTENNAS FOR A LIVING...
> >
> >
> >TELL ME DOUG, WHY DID YOU CLOSE THE RENTAL SHIP IN COLDWATER? PROLLY
> >BECAUSE YOU WERE SELLING DRUGS OUT THE BACK DOOR AND COLDWATER SHUT
> >YOU DOWN! YOU SEE, i HAVE FAMILY THERE AND i HEARD ALL ABOUT
> >IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >AND THEN YOU COWARDLY RAN TO CHICAGO!
> >
> >LATER DOUG...
> >
> >P.S.....the shit never stops
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

i tell ya tra1n.....those two guys make me want to go out and get
my ham license mos scoch.....a credit to hambos
everywhere.....oh if i could only listen on 75
meters.....what a deal.....!!!!

3622. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 19:42:02 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aasl9.276673$WL3.91607@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 146158

Consider a fiberglass whip with a tennis ball on the tip and
a fiberglass whip with a tennis ball at the bottom:

Which one is going to cause the least stress on the mount at
traffic speed?
Which one is going to bend and sway the least at traffic
speed?

I think that is why a top loaded whip is not on the top of
the list despite being more efficient. My opinion is why
bother with a loaded antenna if you're gonna buy an 8'
loaded antenna. May as well just get the ole 9' SS whip and
be done with it. If one foot makes a difference then let
that sucker smack the parking garage all the way.

Dave

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in
message news:20021207085053.07809.00010134@mb-mn.aol.com...
> i thought we were talking fiberglass whips?
>
>
>
> >The problem is that the performance increase does not
offset the mechanical
> >stability problems...
> >
> >--
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
>

3623. Re: My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:10:20 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <n9l4vus174s7m29pjer2snla0b5v5gve08@4ax.com>
Source record: 146160

I e-mailed the "company" and the person replied, that he didn't know
who I was nor was he selling anything...

TROLL POST!

maybe by the Anti-keyweirdo's

On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 20:52:37 GMT, "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> was
blabbling:

...OK, so what is this "Legitimate Company"? If it was such a good
company, it
...would have not lost pallets full of expensive electronics. I think
you know
...less of buisness than a turkey. If you can make more money buy
selling it to
...where it was originally to be sold, than you wuold have already
>just
...re-route my merchandise somewhere else
... > where those who know a good >deal can produce the
finances
...needed
...>to conduct business with me.
...You have niothing to back up your claim and are probably just
selling the
...e-mail addresses of people who were stupid enough to e-mail you to
the
...spammers.
...
... -------- Matt 'Ols' --------
...
...
...
..."Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> wrote in message
...news:PJiI9.253$QD3.51101762@news.inreach.com...
...>
...> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in
message
...>
...> >
...> > What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
...> > I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that
huge of a
...> > mistake.
...>

...> You know nothing of the import/export business sir. We are a
legitimate
...> company with worldwide shipping expertise that just happens to
have a
...> a cargo box that is full of incredible buys that you won't find
anywhere
...> else. Right now we have over a dozen cargo ships circling the
...> globe, transporting tons of merchandise to ports of call in every
country
...> in the world that is currently participating in free trade. I am
thinking
...> right
...> now that maybe I should just re-route my merchandise somewhere
else
...> where those who know a good deal can produce the finances needed
...> to conduct business with me.
...>
...> You sir are obviously a hourly wage employee barely making ends
meet who
...> can at best only dream of living a life filled with the latest in
...electronic
...> toys and such.
...> Your kind annoys me and makes conducting business with those who
can
...> appreciate fine quality goods difficult. Go away sandflea.
...>
...>
...>
...>
...

3624. Re: Mobile Antenna.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:31:48 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UUsI9.48000$hK4.4213150@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146161

Even so... those fiberglas wound type of antennas are top heavy and thick as
a broomstick compared to a Wilson.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
news:20021207085053.07809.00010134@mb-mn.aol.com...
> i thought we were talking fiberglass whips?
>
>
>
> >The problem is that the performance increase does not offset the
mechanical
> >stability problems...
> >
> >--
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
>

3625. Re: UP YOURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:32:49 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RVsI9.48003$hK4.4214049@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146162

Only at your house...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Right, and dogshit will become America's favorite dinner desert.

3626. CB items for sale and forum.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:36:08 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <YYsI9.12625$vg7.4905@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146163

Hi;

I have more CB items for sale, and tubes, coax and more tubes, tubes.
Please visit my e-bay item for sale:

73
Nighthawk

http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92

PS;

I also have a Forum you are welcome to visit also:

http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

3627. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:41:18 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF25D1E.F9090329@optonline.net>
Source record: 146165

73.....and happy holidays back at ya.....

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3DF22D57.83ACAD1B@optonline.net...
> > great thanx. getting a laff outta this chuckie nonsense hehehe. like you
> > said, just when things were getting a little slow around here
> > bam.....
> >
> > Landshark wrote:
> >
> > > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DF221E2.B1CC5E7B@optonline.net...
> > > > actually the underage german crap did make it.....
> > >
> > > LOL!!!
> > > Well It's Jim!, haven't seen you post for a
> > > while, how's it going?
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> > > --
> > > Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
> >
>
> Well it wouldn't be Christmas time without
> some sort of nonsense from them.
>
> Take Care,
> Landshark
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3628. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:41:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF25CF4.5B700FA6@cwis.net>
Source record: 146164

W7DVJ,
Nope, not an urban legend this time. It did happen but
not as described. They caught the fool with a friend parked
in fron of his house (I think). The friend was a ham also.
Both voluntarily gave up their licenses to avoid prosecution.
I don't have the reference, but check on the ARRL home page,
they had it listed at one time.
'Doc

3629. Re: Re:My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 20:52:37 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <pctI9.5752$Kw6.3810425@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146159

OK, so what is this "Legitimate Company"? If it was such a good company, it would have not lost pallets full of expensive electronics. I think you know less of buisness than a turkey. If you can make more money buy selling it to where it was originally to be sold, than you wuold have already >just re-route my merchandise somewhere else
> where those who know a good >deal can produce the finances needed
>to conduct business with me.
You have niothing to back up your claim and are probably just selling the e-mail addresses of people who were stupid enough to e-mail you to the spammers.

-------- Matt 'Ols' --------

"Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> wrote in message news:PJiI9.253$QD3.51101762@news.inreach.com...
>
> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
>
> >
> > What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
> > I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that huge of a
> > mistake.
>
> You know nothing of the import/export business sir. We are a legitimate
> company with worldwide shipping expertise that just happens to have a
> a cargo box that is full of incredible buys that you won't find anywhere
> else. Right now we have over a dozen cargo ships circling the
> globe, transporting tons of merchandise to ports of call in every country
> in the world that is currently participating in free trade. I am thinking
> right
> now that maybe I should just re-route my merchandise somewhere else
> where those who know a good deal can produce the finances needed
> to conduct business with me.
>
> You sir are obviously a hourly wage employee barely making ends meet who
> can at best only dream of living a life filled with the latest in electronic
> toys and such.
> Your kind annoys me and makes conducting business with those who can
> appreciate fine quality goods difficult. Go away sandflea.
>
>
>
>

3630. Re: Goodbye Frank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 21:04:55 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF26277.E11B6BE1@secret.com>
Source record: 146166

"A.E.352" wrote:
>
> But I'm SURE that you will re-surface with a brand-new username and ISP addy
> in the future so you can still have your fun on 'ol rec.radio.cb. You
> see...it's in your blood, and you can't quite give up this NG. If I exposed
> you as being n7vcf.... well I'm sorry. NOT! Alright....you're NOT n7vcf. But
> all a guy has to do is go to www.qrz.com and type in your call, and maybe
> your're STILL not n7vcf. I just find it ironic that once you got your tech
> license, you are suddenly BETTER than the rest of us. Did that 50 question

It's 35 questions for technician.

> test go to your head? Do you find it easy to recite your retoric from books
> such as Part 97, or the antenna builders bible? Or perhaps your swollen ego
> has been canvassing www.fcc.org or www.arrl.net either way, we don't
> really care. So do us ALL a favor Frank... Shut the FUCK up. And leave your
> elementary stuff on the playground.

> 'Nuff said.

Apparently not for you.

3631. assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 21:12:53 UTC
From: nero@neroz.com
Message-ID: <399bf54d.0212071312.76bfe4a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146167

Does anyone know where I can find the assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator 500, 5/8 antenna.

3632. Re: FT 920, MFJ Versa Tunner 5 4-Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 21:13:30 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF2647A.EB7FF75A@secret.com>
Source record: 146168

What's a "Tunner"

Oris wrote:
>
> Hello
> I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
> watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tunner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I
> also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape
> I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT
> 920 as a backup rig.
> If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to
> the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. It has new caps
> and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same
> voltage that runs your dryer and electric range. I am will to travel 100
> miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we can work out the deal.
> oris@dirtball.com
> Happy Holidays
> 73 and thanks for reading
> Oris
> N4CND
> http://www.dirtball.com

3633. Re: Pros/Cons Of Adding Ground Plane Radials To The IMAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 21:45:01 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <VVadnXpPbbd09m-gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146169

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF23FEF.78C1E176@cwis.net...
>
>
> Bert,
> I would still call the test inconclusive. From the 'raw'
> data given, the amount of reduction in gain gets smaller as
> the radiation angle gets smaller until there is a -1db gain
> difference at zero degrees. The effectiveness of the GPK is
> reduced as you approach zero degrees. It's too bad the author
> didn't continue with it the negative angles of radiation, which
> would have told how affective the GPK would be for reducing
> RFI at near distances.
> Antenna modeling programs are based on several different
> versions of 'NEC'. Depending on which version was used for
> the comparison, some do not have much accuracy for non-perfect
> ground conditions. That means they work fine for 'free-space'
> antennas but not so fine for 'real' ground conditions.
> Regardless, there is not an abrupt 'cut off' of signal at
> near fields of the antenna. The signal doesn't just stop at
> the edge of the graph's lines, it has a gradual reduction to
> a zero level. The second line in from the outer ring of the
> graph is the 'half-power', or -3db ring, where the signal
> strength is half the full power value. The program used to
> generate the graph does not produce a radiation pattern in a
> 'standard' format. Without an explanation of the program's
> parameters, the graphs produced can be interpreted in any way
> you wish. The results can be misleading. I do agree, and
> other modeling programs do show that there is a change in the
> 'near field' radiation patterns, but they don't support the
> conclusion.
> What all that means is that if you think the price of the
> GPK is worth a 1db reduction in strength at the base of the
> antenna, go for it. I'm not so sure that 1db justifies the
> price.
> 'Doc

Ground plane radials effectiveness changes with height of antenna above
ground as well.

3634. Pirate Radio Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 22:19:16 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <asicnRL9WYFt7m-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146170

http://www.qsl.net/vk6ksj/PirateRadio.html

3635. Re: assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 23:38:59 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nEvl9.42793$vM1.3401589@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146171

How about here...
http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/products.php

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"nero" <nero@neroz.com> wrote in message
news:399bf54d.0212071312.76bfe4a6@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone know where I can find the assembly instructions for the
> Hy-Gain, Penetrator 500, 5/8 antenna.

3636. Re: FT 920, MFJ Versa Tunner 5 4-Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 23:39:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0FvI9.42795$vM1.3401136@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146172

2000 pounds... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DF2647A.EB7FF75A@secret.com...
> What's a "Tunner"

3637. Re: FT 920, MFJ Versa Tunner 5 4-Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 23:48:06 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF288B6.B1CD0312@secret.com>
Source record: 146173

Could be a very biiiiiiiiiiiig girl.

Brian Griffey wrote:
> 2000 pounds... lol
>
> "Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
> > What's a "Tunner"

3638. Re: OT..TV antenna advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-07 23:57:43 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <asu1tr$9c$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 146174

U&#65533;ytkownik "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> napisa&#65533;
> > >
> A few years back
[snip]
>came back on, the signal would be
> lost... although nothing local used the same channels.
* sorry of this "off topic", but I've got a problem with posting
on UK CB Newsgroup. Could you let me know your e-mail,
please ?
Best regards to all,
Chris
Poland

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

3639. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:08:04 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <asu2h7$23v$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 146175

Hi,
If you have an access to your attic, then hang a dipole antenna
there.
These are only two pieces of an ordinary wire, 103 inches each.
It costs less than a bottle of beer and works better, than any
factory
product.
Best regards to all,
Chris
Poland
--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@wp.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445

U&#65533;ytkownik "news" <stomp443@msn.com> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor
antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the
roof or peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

3640. Re: Alan F24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:16:02 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <asu304$3gu$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 146176

U&#65533;ytkownik "grzesma" <grzesma@poczta.fm> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:asifqj$su7$1@news2.tpi.pl...
> Where I may get a schematic to Alan F 24 mic???
* you're so hardly looking for it even here?
Now I'm looking for a sch. diag of external r.b. for your rig.
It may be a little bit better solution.
nie rob bledow w ang. pst!
Rgds,
Chris
cbradioservice@wp.pl radio39@wp.pl
ICQ 146776296 GG 2184191
+48 603 360 445

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

3641. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:37:46 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF29487.D2B3AF3E@optonline.net>
Source record: 146177

just hit a butcher and have a warm coat on and pretend.....

Twistedhed wrote:

> From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
> >8 inches today and still going...tautog are still >biting but its a
> bitch in the weather.....
>
> I watched that on the weather last night. Wow.
> I have an invitation to turkey and deer hunt next year up in an area
> that gets heavy snow....been mulling it over...I think I still have a
> winter jacket......somewhere. I don't mind the cold if the snow comes
> along. It's when it's too damn cold to snow and a sun junkie like me
> gets goofy after seeing gray skies for weeks on end.

3642. Re: My reply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:52:04 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212071652.21bac0af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146178

"Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> wrote in message
news:<PJiI9.253$QD3.51101762@news.inreach.com>...
> "John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
>
> >
> > What you'll get are $2 radios that run on sun power.
> > I doubt seriously that the shipping line would have made that huge of a
> > mistake.
>
> You know nothing of the import/export business sir. We are a legitimate
> company with worldwide shipping expertise that just happens to have a
> a cargo box that is full of incredible buys that you won't find anywhere
> else. Right now we have over a dozen cargo ships circling the
> globe, transporting tons of merchandise to ports of call in every country
> in the world that is currently participating in free trade. I am thinking
> right
> now that maybe I should just re-route my merchandise somewhere else
> where those who know a good deal can produce the finances needed
> to conduct business with me.
>
> You sir are obviously a hourly wage employee barely making ends meet who
> can at best only dream of living a life filled with the latest in electronic
> toys and such.
> Your kind annoys me and makes conducting business with those who can
> appreciate fine quality goods difficult. Go away sandflea.

oh come on mike ......don't sugarcoat it for the boy.........

3643. Re: hey pimp.....you got a lot of chutzpah banging with hambos on the reflector.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:55:57 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212071655.77a5cb1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146179

"Jingle Balls" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:<09Gcnc47K7S53G-gXTWc3A@comcast.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0212070905.4eca0e61@posting.google.com...
> > hahahahahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!! funny.....well done tim
> > nebo.....well done.....and son you hit the nail right
> > on the head too.....
>
>
> Yeah until they bang him in the head
>
> At 09:46 AM 12/4/02 -0500, TimNebo@aol.com wrote:
> >some of the best RF minds in this world have never held a ham license.
>
>
>
> That is too bad. But practically none are illegal
> operators. They are broadcast engineers and electrical engineers.
> The above statement can't be translated as some of the best
> RF minds in the world are illegal CB operators.
>
> 73
> Bill wa4lav (never have been a CBer) got ticket at 12 years of
> age.

well do you concede his point about the free exchange of ideas no
matter who does the asking??? or does the person doing the asking and
seeking information for whatever reason have to have numbers and
letters after his name????

3644. Re: assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:56:46 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DF298CE.90BF48BA@qnet.com>
Source record: 146181

Hello Nero:

Contact Hy-Gain at:

http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php

Order the Penetator 500, Order No. 500, assembly instructions, 5 bucks,
real cheap!

Jay in the Mojave

nero wrote:
>
> Does anyone know where I can find the assembly instructions for the
> Hy-Gain, Penetrator 500, 5/8 antenna.

3645. Re: UP YOURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 00:57:30 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212071657.39de620a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146180

"Mike Hunt" <mhunt@cbworld.com> wrote in message
news:<mMrI9.263$5F4.55235713@news.inreach.com>...
> "Chuck" wrote in message
>
> if you'll drop the stupid attitude and drop me an
> > e-mail...maybe we'll talk?
>
> Right, and dogshit will become America's favorite dinner desert.
>
> I THINK NOT!

keep it up mike....you are going to get on chuck's last nerve......why
does he have a hard on for you all of a sudden???

3646. PDL II parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 01:08:03 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF29B9F.1041EC7C@optonline.net>
Source record: 146182

You can have most of this fine antenna free (lost some parts in a wind storm). Includes boom, copper wire, 4 fiberglass radials, gammas, 3 of 4 curved radials, all rear radials and misc hardware.You pay shipping. Keep in mind its a rather large box. Email me direct please. 73, Jim KP920.

3647. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 02:12:11 UTC
From: Larry Caldwell <larryc@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.185c4aa3370f4c8d98a3ee@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146183

In article <7r2F9.84879$g9.240066@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>,
vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au writes:

> Then the next problem will be what co-ax to use.... Me don't thinks RG-58
> will like that power level too much! ;-)

I worked at a 100kw FM station back in the 60s. The amp was located at
the base of the tower and just connected with 4-0 copper. Don't forget
your grounding electrode, which I never saw but was told was 100' legs of
4-0 copper radiating from the tower in an 8-pointed star, and the ends
connected together in a loop, everything buried 10' deep. I doubt there
was an earthworm within a quarter mile of the tower.

--
http://home.teleport.com/~larryc

3648. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 02:14:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2AB08.5070301@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146192

W7DVJ wrote:
> All urban legend. Never happened. If it did, where's your
> documentation to support your story?
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>
>>So you say the FCC can't catch you if you're mobile? That's what
>>the unlicensed fellow in Dallas a few years thought who was using
>>a 2 meter transceiver. He was having a lot of fun jamming some
>>repeaters driving around the freeway system saying how the FCC
>>couldn't find him. He changed his mind when the red flashing
>>lights appeared in his rear view mirror and a U.S Marshal and an
>>FCC agent pulled him over.

Well mr. made up ham call, it isn't an urban legend, see Doc's
post. The federal marshall involved was a ham and belonged to the
local ham club. Whenever the local FCC boys needed to bust
someone, he is who thay called.

3649. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 02:59:28 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asucke028ll@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146186

"Larry Caldwell" <larryc@teleport.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.185c4aa3370f4c8d98a3ee@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <7r2F9.84879$g9.240066@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>,
> vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au writes:
>
> > Then the next problem will be what co-ax to use.... Me don't thinks
RG-58
> > will like that power level too much! ;-)
>
> I worked at a 100kw FM station back in the 60s. The amp was located at
> the base of the tower and just connected with 4-0 copper. Don't forget
> your grounding electrode, which I never saw but was told was 100' legs of
> 4-0 copper radiating from the tower in an 8-pointed star, and the ends
> connected together in a loop, everything buried 10' deep. I doubt there
> was an earthworm within a quarter mile of the tower.
>

What antenna? How about a 6 inch diamator solid steel rod?

Next to my house we put up a rohn 25 tower at 110 feet.
For grounding, we just used one shorth length of arc welding cable.
30 minutes after I came off the tower, it got zapped.
the cable was split in two so we knew it worked.

3650. Re: OT:funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 03:02:20 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <asucpq028rn@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146187

"Pat" <notherealone@fake.com> wrote in message
news:5v22vuc00ei8nkrs5fp6rjnsvus0ih8fen@4ax.com...
> A not necessarily well-prepared student sat in his
> life science classroom, staring at a question on the final exam
> paper.
> The question directed: "Give four advantages of breast milk."
>
> What to write? He sighed, and began to scribble
> whatever came into his head, hoping for the best:
>
> 1. No need to boil.
> 2. Never goes sour.
> 3. Available whenever necessary.
>
> So far so good - maybe. But the exam demanded a
> fourth answer. Again, what to write? Once more, he sighed. He
> frowned.
> He scowled, then sighed again.
> Suddenly, he brightened. He grabbed his pen, and
> triumphantly, he scribbled his definitive answer:
>
> 4. Available in attractive containers of varying
> sizes.
>
> He received an A.
>
>

5) no purchase required ???

3651. Re: FT 920, MFJ Versa Tunner 5 4-Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 03:08:55 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF2B781.4090100@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146185

Female CBer

Brian Griffey wrote:

>2000 pounds... lol
>
>--
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
>news:3DF2647A.EB7FF75A@secret.com...
>
>
>>What's a "Tunner"
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3652. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 03:25:03 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jYyI9.5795$Kw6.3863931@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146184

Did it occur to you that you were being just as much of a "wigger" as they were by keying up.

Peace out poser

"Salsa_Lip_Trucker" <nospam@nospamming.com> wrote in message news:LRUF9.20811$NV.431262@news.direcpc.com...
> CBers use 40 Channels AM and SSB and sometimes FM
> CBers sneak out of band to get away from the "wigger-fied" airwaves.
> (crossfire gets his feelin's hurt when he sees the "N"-word being used!)
>
> Hell, I love to sneak outside the 40 channels above or below to get away
> from the "wiglets" that dominate and they gets so excited that they "cum" in
> their pants when their favorite "toy-wiggers" are on the channel.
>
> Every damn mornin' I hears their loud mouth bleedin over the channels!!
>
> They be talkins to somebody called "black man" in the bayou , when theys
> only talkins to themselves!!!
> These DXer wannabes pretend to talk DX - the person answerin' is really
> livin' in the next town!!
> That's whys theys so clear!
> It's Sad!! It's Sad!!
>
> I key up and make all kinds of sounds to get them goin'.
> They come back and tell me to shut up, call me a "mud-duck" (I keyed up all
> over them and the only word they use is "mud-duck"?) WIGGER, Please!!
>
> I sometimes sit in a undisclosed location and key up and say some off the
> wall shit!
>
> I used to work for Gundlach Heating / ac in Richmond, VA until I got A REAL
> job.
> I remember someone called my work and threatened to take me to court for
> verbal abuse on the CB!!
> WIGGER, Please!!
>
> They used to fuck wit me on Channel 16 - they called it the family channel
> or some shit!
> more like the faggot channel!
> they used to mess with ol 513 and threatened him!
> Some fag callin hisself "Step or stelth Rider" --- what the hell kinda

name
> is that on a cb radio?
> he must not have no woman as sorry as he was!!
> workin as a rentacop at car lot in 1994
> Dumb ass -- he and someother stupid persons were trackin my radio down!!
> Well they found an empty van is all they found!!
> If they'ed look alittle more they might have seen me in the back! with my
> GLOCK!
> If that faggot stumprider's out there his momma is a buttfuckin whore!!
and
> his daddy ain't nuthin but a nigger lover!!
> dats how stumprider has his mental problems all the damn time!
> tryin to make people like him jes becoz he cry alot!!!
> His real name is -- well he ain'ts got no real name worth havin'!
> he goes around poppin off about he gonna kick ass or some shit!!
> If I sees that faggot I ma gonna shoot him and his famly.
> I knows the others who tracked me down that night!
> I really dont gives a damn 'bout 9/11 -- Ima gonna take that fagot out!!
> any fool try to stop me will get a good ass beatin'!
> I likes that extreme shit!
> its a way of life ands if yous can't take it -thens I shows you how to
take
> it!!
>
>
>
>
>

3653. Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 03:38:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 146188

I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully protected from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or have antennas progressed much better?

Vinnie S.

3654. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 04:01:59 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <XuzI9.113779$Gc.3589350@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146189

That and the Astroplane are probably the best vertical polarized antennas
ever designed.

Very low angle of radiation = bad ass signal!

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
> I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully
protected
> from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I
> settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or
have
> antennas progressed much better?
>
> Vinnie S.

3655. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 04:05:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <h4h5vug29obac1tq4e13akg79a662u924c@4ax.com>
Source record: 146190

On Sun, 08 Dec 2002 04:01:59 GMT, "Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote:

>That and the Astroplane are probably the best vertical polarized antennas
>ever designed.
>
>Very low angle of radiation = bad ass signal!

It's funny you mention that. When I was on the air 20 years ago, the Big Stick was the hot antenna. Who made that and do they still make that? I remember people telling me never to get that antenna, and to get the big stick.

How does the starduster compare to the Antron-99?

Vinnie S.

3656. ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 04:07:09 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207230709.05802.00011472@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 146191

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1321 – December 6, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 with a release date of Friday, December 6, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. North Korea takes its only ham off the air and the first digital voice Q-S-O across the Atlantic. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

INTERNATIONAL – N. KOREA: LAST HAM FORCED OFF THE AIR

The Peoples Republic of Korea appears to no longer want Amateur Radio operating from its soil. It made its intention clear when it asked its only ham ito pack his gear and send it out of the country. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in Nottingham England has the details:

--
Ed, P5/4L4FN, the only radio amateur operating legally from North Korea, has had to close down. On the evening of the 22nd of November, Ed was called into a meeting with the North Korean Radio Regulation Board. Without any explanation he was politely asked to stop all transmissions and to pack up all his radio equipment.

Ed spent the following day dissembling his antennas and packing boxes. That afternoon government officials sealed all the boxes and Ed has been told to take everything out of the country when he leaves North Korea for a two-week holiday on the 10th of December.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
--

With Ed now off the air, ham radio is gone from North Korea and nobody expects it to be resumed anytime in the near future. It also puts North Korea back near the top of D-X'ings most wanted list.
More on this story is at www.amsatnet.com/p5.html. (RSGB)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: DIGITAL HAM AUDIO SPANS THE ATLANTIC

Two ham radio communications pioneers have achieved a major breakthrough. The first two-way digital voice transmission across the Atlantic via Amateur Radio. Norm Seeley, KI7UP tells us who did it and how it was accomplished.

--
Doug Smith, KF6DX, and Didier Chulot, F5MJN, have successfully transmitted and received HF digital speech signals between Sevierville, Tennessee and Paris, France. It happened on Friday, November 22 nd.

The first historic contact took place on 21.218 MHz in the 15 meter band. Chulot spoke with Smith for several minutes using a high-frequency digital link within a 3-kHz bandwidth. Signal strengths were S-5 to S-7 and readability was solid in both directions.

The feat was accomplished using Ten-Tec transceivers and digital audio systems from Thales Communications.

--
DEMO AUDIO HERE
--

The Q-S-O demonstrated the advantages of digital audio for two way radio. This includes noise-free, FM-like reception and the potential for simultaneous voice and data exchange.

With an eye toward the digital future of Amateur Radio, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP.
--

The Ten-Tec/Thales system used in this experiment is based on a new international broadcasting standard for digital radio adopted last year by the International Telecommunications Union. The FCC has approved a similar standard for broadcasting in the United States. An Amateur Radio version is expected to appear on the market sometime in 2003. (KF6DX, Ten-Tec)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PPW PROPOSES ELECTRONIC INFRASTRUCTURE TO WARN PUBLIC OF DANGER

A group of U.S. communications security experts has recommended that Americans be alerted to impending danger over their wireless devices. Amateur Radio Newsline's Fred Vobbe, W-8-H-D-U, takes a look at this new concept in emergency notification:

--
The Partnership for Public Warning says that a national wireless system could send alerts to citizens via their pagers, mobile phones or computer screens.

The Partnership for Public Warning is a newly formed thirty-member advisory body that includes representatives of government agencies, relief agencies and even a ham radio operator. And on Monday, November 25th it released a report that says conventional media broadcasts may spread alerts too slowly in instances of pending natural disaster or terrorist threat.

P-P-W says that the newly formed Department for Homeland Security should take responsibility for leading development of a national all-hazard public warning architecture. This, in partnership with other federal agencies, state and local governments, industry, universities and other stakeholders. The technology itself would be produced by private industry with the alert system

operated by governments and selected other organizations following a strict set of alert protocols.

P-P-W says that improved warning systems and procedures will reduce losses from natural and man-made disasters, and will speed recovery. Most important is that it will save lives.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU

--

President Bush cited quick responses to attacks as a priority of the new Department of Homeland Security which will consolidate parts of 22 departments to better prevent attacks in the wake of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in which some 3,000 people died.

information about the Partnership For Public Warning is on the web at http://www.partnershipforpublicwarning.org/ppw (Published reports)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the KB6JAG repeater serving Hemet California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO GROWTH: GOOD NUMBERS TO US

The folks on that "other band" might call this “almost good numbers.” This with word that the number of radio amateurs licensed by the Federal Communications Commission is at a five-year high. But there are some caveats. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has more:

--
According to the CQ Magazine website, FCC numbersshow a total United States ham population of 684,498 as of October 31st. And breakdowns provided by Joe Speroni, A-H-Zero-A, say that the primary growth has come among Amateur Extra Classand General Class licensees. Extra is now breaking the 100,000 point for the first time.

Technician Class figures have essentially held steady. Also we had 20,000 new hams entering the hobby in the year ending October 31st.

License renewal statistics were also encouraging with the renewal rate climbing from an abysmal 45% two years ago to a better, but still unacceptable, rate of 55% in the past year.

Better numbers, but not the best.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los Angeles.
--

More on licensing figures are on the web at www.speroni.com/fcc (CQ)

**

HAM RADIO IN THE PUBLIC EYE: THE ATLANTC MONTHLY

The November 26th edition of Atlantic Monthly Magazine On-Line has some good words about ham radio and its role in homeland security. In an article titled America's Secret Weapon in the War on Terror, one of the groups singled out for attention is the nations corps of Amateur Radio operators. Its described in the article as an ever-ready line of emergency communications.

The story goes on to say that America boasts 650,000 licensed ham-radio operators. It quotes he ARRL's Mary Hobart as saying that about 1,500 of them are fully certified in emergency communications and are prepared to rush to the scene of a forest fire, flood, or hurricane with a jump bag full of portable radio equipment. And says Hobart, That can be crucial.

Atlantic Monthly notes that when phone lines, radio towers, and even satellites go down, ham operators -- broadcasting each to each other , and operating on batteries or generator -- stay on the air. And says Atlantic Monthly, after the terrorist attacks of 911 when New York's cell phones turned into paperweights hundreds of hams, some from as far away as Texas and California, turned out to provide radio lifelines for emergency workers and relief agencies.

The article is must reading for everyone involved in Amateur Radio public relations. It can be found at the U-R-L listed in this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline print edition.

The complete article is at
http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/nj/rauch2002-11-26.htm (KS2G)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC INVESTIGATING INTERFERENCE TO EMERGENCY TRAFFIC IN LOS ANGELES

The FCC is looking into allegations that two Los angeles area hams interfered with a distress call on an area repeater. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W explains:

--
"The Enforcement Bureau has opened a case involving allegations of deliberate interference to emergency communications on the N6SAP repeater in the Los Angeles area. Anton W. Johnson, N6OAY, and Ledge Musselman, KC6NCN, are being asked to explain the allegations of the operations on October 2.

Information is also being sought from the repeater licensee. Scott Press.

This mater is being taken very seriously by the Enforcement Bureau since emergency communications is one of the foundations of Amateur Radio."
--

The FCC claims that Johnson and Musselman interfered with attempts to relay emergency traffic on the 147.435 repeater at about 9:45 a.m. on October 2nd.

Press is being asked to explain what action he is taking in this matter. He is also been directed to summarize any conversations he may have had with the licensees against whom the allegations have been made. The FCC says that Press must also provide any written correspondence he may have sent to Johnson and Musselman. All three were given twenty days from he date of the FCC letters to respond.

**

ENFORCEMENT: THE STORY OF THE EX-AA8DP

A follow-up now to last weeks report of the capture of Steve Anderson, the ex AA8DP. Anderson was wanted in connrection with an alleged attack on a police officer. He was arrested in the hills of North Carolina following a manhunt that has lasted over a year. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the story of how Anderson was caught:

--

A tip to the Fox Television program "America's Most Wanted" and forwarded to federal investigators has led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson, the ex-AA8DP. Anderson, an alleged white supremacist who resigned his Amateur Radio license almost two years ago and then operated an unlicensed radio station from his home, had been at large since October 14th of 2001.

According to the Louisville Courier-Journal, Anderson was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation. The A-T-F's Don York told the newspaper that America's Most Wanted received a tip about Anderson's whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month ago. The tip was forwarded to the A-T-F, which worked the case with North Carolina authorities.

Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at Deputy Scott Elder. This, after Elder had pulled Anderson's truck over north of Middlesboro Kentucky for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after Anderson allegedly opened fire, but his police cruiser was struck about two dozen times. Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search of his property turned up explosives and guns.

Anderson had attracted attention of authorities several years ago by playing host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned. He also allegedly operated a shortwave radio station out of his home, where his commentary against minorities, immigrants and the government drew the attention of the Federal Communications Commission. The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about Anderson's broadcasts which were taking place in the Amateur bands. Rather than explain his actions, Anderson sent in his AA8DP license for cancellation. It was accompanied by a rather convoluted message that said he did not recognize the licensing authority of the FCC which he called an agent of a foreign power.

Anderson continued to broadcast but bowing to extensive pressure from the nations ham radio community, he took the transmissions out of the Amateur

service bands. They stopped after he disappeared following the alleged attack on Officer Elder.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, In Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.
--

As previously reported, the ex-AA8DP had been a member of the Kentucky State Militia until April 2001. That group dismissed Anderson because he refused to shut down his unlicensed radio operation. (ARNewsline(tm) from published reports)

**

THE INTERNET VS. HAM RADIO: HOTMAIL AND JUNO BLOCK ARRL E-MAIL FORWARDING

If you get your e-mail using the American Radio Relay League's @arrl.net vanity address, and use Juno or Hotmail as your account you know that you were cut off for a few days. It wasn't the ARRL's doing. Blame it on the twi internet service providers.

According to an ARRL bulletin the two companies notified the League on November 25th that they had stopped accepting e-mail messages forwarded to users of their services from the arrl.net e-mail server. According to representatives of the two companies, this was part of heir solution to the Internet-wide problem of spam.

The ARRL, through the vendor for the arrl.net server began working with both companies to resolve this matter. The ARRL and Hotmail reached a accord the fllowing day and service was restored. Juno was back late on Monday December 2nd. During the peak of the service outage, forwarded e-mail to nearly 6,000 ARRL members was curtailed. (ARRL)

**

RADIO LAW: NEW CODES DEVELOPED TO HELP STUDY RADIO RELATED HIGHWAY ACCIDENTS

State highway departments will soon get help tracking how many traffic accidents are caused by drivers chatting on their handheld cell phones or C-B sets, using their pagers or checking their personal digital assistants. In January, every state will receive a booklet of revised codes that describes causes of vehicle crashes, including distractions from electronic communication devices. The codes were developed by traffic safety and crash data experts, including the Governors Highway Safety Association and the Federal Highway Administration.

A spokesperson for the Governors Highway Safety Association, says that the updated crash codes will allow the organization to develop data that demonstrates whether electronic distractions in cars are a serious safety problem. A March report by the National Conference of State Legislatures suggests device-related distractions killed an estimated 600 to 1,000 motorists in 2001 and could kill 2,000 a year by 2004. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO EDUCATION: HAM RADIO COURSE OFFERED AT PENN STATE

Amateur Radio is a part of the training being offered this fall to freshmen at the Pennsylvania State University. According to Brad Parola, KB3HQE, Vice President of the Penn State Amateur Radio Club, part of the course during the first half of the Fall semester included exposure to Amateur Radio. Students were shown how to tune radios like the Ten Tec Pegasus, shown how to receive PSK 31 signals, and were given a tour of the world famous Rock Springs Antenna Test Range run by Dr. Jim Breakall WA3FET. Early in October, those students who were interested were given the opportunity to take their Technician class tests. So far 15 students have passed an Amateur service exam. (KB3HQE)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**
RESCUE UPDATE: ZL1AMO CONDITION REPORTED UNCHANGED

We have an update in the medical condition of famed D-X'er Ron Wright , ZL1AMO. Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, has the latest:

--
A bit of good news this week. Wrights daughter Terri says the family visited Ron in the hospital and he was sitting up in a lazy boy chair. This is the first time any of the family had seen seen him out of the bed since he was hospitalized. Terri Wring says that Ron is also trying to communicate with those around him and he looks a lot better than on previous visits.

As reported last week, Wright suffered collapsed lungs while visiting Fiji. He was air lifted by an emergency air ambulance to Auckland New Zealand where he was initially reported to be in critical condition and on life support.

The INDEXA – the International D-X Association -- has established a fund to assist Wright and his family with the cost of air evacuating him from Fiji to Auckland. Donations go to the ZL1AMO Air Ambulance Fund, in care of Bill Jennings, W4UNP, P. O. Box 607, Rock Hill, South Carolina, 29731. Sorry, but INDEXA says that contributions to this fund are not tax deductible.

From Auckland New Zealand – down under – I'm Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline
--

Updates on the fund and Wrights condition are being posted to the web at www.papays.com/opdx.html (ARNewsline(tm), INDEXA, AA7UC)

**

THE SCIENCE PAGE: WHALES YES – NAVY NO

A federal judge has tentatively blocked the Navy from deploying a new early warning system because it might harm whales. Amateur Radio Newsline's Brucce Tennant, K6PZW, has the rest of the story:

--
A Federal court has said no to a new high-frequency SONAR system designed to detect enemy submarines at long range because it could further endanger the worlds whale population. But in making his ruing, the judge also said the Navy may use the sonar during wartime and must be allowed to train with it beforehand.

In partially siding with environmentalists, the judge ordered both sides back to court to begin formulating a plan balancing environmental and military concerns. Environmental organizations say the Navy's powerful sonar system can strand, maim or kill marine mammals. The Navy disagreed.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

A few days earlier, a different judge ordered the National Science Foundation to stop firing high-intensity sonic blasts into the Gulf of California because they harm whales. So far there has been no comment from either the Navy or the N-S-F. (Published reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: UO-22 IS BACK WITH US – FOR NOW

G7UPN reports that U-O-SAT 22 is back on line and open for business. At least for the time being.

Chris tells the AMSAT News Service that new software has been loaded to try and keep the downlink operating most of the time. But says G7UPN, UO-22 is an older veteran satellite. This means it may give problems in the near future. More information is in cyberspace at www.amsat.org (ANS)

**

INTERNATIONAL – JAPAN: JA SAYS NO TO PLT

Japan has said no to the introduction of Power Line Transmissions or P-L-T in that nation. Following extensive trials, the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications has decided not to permit their roll-out for fear of interference to other services.

The Japan Amateur Radio League had been actively working with the government, radio astronomers, broadcasters and others to assess the impact of P-L-T systems on the radio spectrum. The results of this P-L-T study in Japan is a real eye-opener. It showed conclusively that Power Line Transmission system emissions are harmful to High Frequency communications operating in the range 2 to 30 MHz. Because of this Japan's telecommunications regulators have refused all requests from P-L-T manufacturers to operate these systems. (JARL, Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL: GETTING ON THE AIR DOWN UNDER

Still in that region of the world, were you aware that New Zealand is one of very few countries in the world where licensed amateurs visiting from overseas can immediately operate?

Well it is and a visiting amateur can get off an airplane, walk into the terminal building and start operating on 144 MHz and above by simply signing his own call sign portable Zed-L. This, without the hassle of getting a license and without paying a licence fee.

For more information on operating in New Zealand as a tourist or visitor take your web browser over to www.nzart.org.nz (NZART)

**

ON THE AIR: COMMEMORATING DIANA

Back here in the U-S-A, the first successful moon bounce experiment was conducted by the Army Signal Corps on January 10th 1946. And to commemorate the event, the Ocean Monmouth Amateur Radio Club will operate from the historic Diana radio site on January 10th 2002. The Ocean Monmouth group plans to operate using Morse and SSB in the General and Novice Technician sub bands of the 80, 40, 20, 15, 10 meter bands. For more information on the Diana Project and for QSL info please visit the OMARC web site at www.qsl.net/n2mo (OMARC)

**

DX

In D-X, F6COW is reporteed tobe operating from the 9S1X club station in the Congo. He will reportedly be there until the 9th
of December. (GB2RS)

Also, F6BLQ will participate in the ARRL 10 Meter Contest on the 14th and 15th of December, also as 9S1X. His operation will be on SSB only. (GB2RS)

And ST1MN is a new ham in the Sudan. He will be active on all bands until the end of June 2003. Q-S-L as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

A lot higher in frequency, FT1ZK, is active on 6 meters every afternoon from Amsterdam Island. The operator is named Caroline and she has a beacon transmitter active on 50.086 MHz and running 24 hours a day. She will be on Amsterdam Island until January 16th. QSL via F5JCB. (VHF Reflector)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE CANALS OF VENUS - DEBUNKED

And finally this week lets turn our eyes toward the planet Venus. Just our eyes. Not our telescopes. Because if we do use a telescope we might make the very same mistake made by a very famous astronomer regarding canals on that mist shrouded planet. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, takes us into the cosmos for this one:

--
Turn of the century astronomer Percival Lowell is well known for promoting the incorrect notion that water canals had been built on the surface of Mars. He

also drew a map of the "canals" on Venus; the only problem was that no one else could see anything resembling canals because Venus is always shrouded in clouds.

Turns out that Lowell had narrowed the aperture of his 24" telescope down to about 3" to attenuate the bright light from Venus. That little known fact - recently publicized - caught the attention of optical experts who realized that Lowell had unwittingly turned his telescope into a reverse ophthalmoscope, an instrument used to examine the interior of the eye. Instead of mapping the surface of Venus, Lowell was apparently mapping the shadows of blood vessels and other structures on his own retina!

Sky & Telescope magazine explains the situation in detail, presents Lowell's sketch of the Venusian "canals," and a photograph of retinal blood vessels. The two patterns are strikingly alike.

From Q-News Australia, I'm Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

You can see the pictures Graham reefers to in the online edition of Sky and Telescope magazine. Just take your web browser over to http//skyandtelescope.com/news/current/article_648_1.asp (Q-News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, in New Orleans saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3657. FYI FT-ONE Pirate mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 05:45:01 UTC
From: idxeleven@aol.com
Message-ID: <9523b68f.0212072145.731b2755@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146193

Locate control bd,1st bd.in front,locate Q22 ic,center left.locate 2 green jumper wires beneath Q22,cut grn wire on right,Have fun..MN102

3658. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 06:02:17 UTC
From: Mr 937 <tj1072@covad.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2E069.3010700@covad.net>
Source record: 146194

Brian. Here is a link for a really good indoor antenna. You will never believe by looking at it that it works as good as it does. There is a local guy by me that has one. He is about 8-9 miles away, has it in his attic, and gives me an S-6 on my meter. http://www.rayfield.net/isotron/

If that link doesn't work for some reason, just do a search for Isotron antennas.

news wrote:
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian
>
>

3659. is chuckie starting shit on ham radio reflector???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 06:02:43 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212072202.58a7251b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146195

looks like our boy chuckie is doing his natural born thing on the reflector....way to go chuck......you are a natural.......and a credit to your hobby....

3660. Radio Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 07:45:06 UTC
From: Walter <walterk@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6MCI9.50150$Qr.1173041@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 146196

I have a Realistic 485 This is a great radio.
I have heard & seen one page on the net boosting of a modification that will add 400 channels to my radio.
It looks easy, but has anyone out there done this modification?
I could use some help.
There are no longer any companies that will work on cb radios in my neck of the woods, not even close.
I do not really want to ship the radio out, cuase you do not know if you will get it back.
Anyways, any help would be great...

3661. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 11:35:33 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <98GI9.284650$WL3.94305@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 146247

Big Stick is made by Shakespeare.

No comparison with the Starduster and A-99. The Starduster is MUCH better.

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
> I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully
protected
> from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I
> settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or
have
> antennas progressed much better?
>
> Vinnie S.

3662. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 13:33:50 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2THI9.49398$hK4.4306806@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146248

He's using the 10 meter one?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Mr 937" <tj1072@covad.net> wrote in message
news:3DF2E069.3010700@covad.net...
> Brian. Here is a link for a really good indoor antenna. You will never
> believe by looking at it that it works as good as it does. There is a
> local guy by me that has one. He is about 8-9 miles away, has it in his
> attic, and gives me an S-6 on my meter. http://www.rayfield.net/isotron/
>
> If that link doesn't work for some reason, just do a search for Isotron
> antennas.

3663. RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 13:40:10 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021208084010.14115.00000164@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 146249

I like the humor and wit you take this with. I would also say he's an asshole!

Train

>Wouldn't you just know it I am a Native American and 49 years old so I miss
>out on both counts !!
>Too bad since I have 37 years experience with a first class commercial
>license which has allowed me to retire already.
>Guess he couldn't have afforded me is why he wants someone white and under
>45.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Subject: RE:TECH WANTED
>Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news
feed.icl.net!newsfeed.fjserv.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.
net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!stamper.news.pas.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.i
td.earthlink.net.POSTED!not
>-for-mail
>From: "KC0EH" okiemansc@yahoo.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Lines: 8
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>Message-ID: <SHnI9.97$zS2.30278@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>Date: Sat, 07 Dec 2002 14:36:34 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.236.16.173
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
>X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1039271794 216.236.16.173 (Sat, 07
>Dec 2002 06:36:34 PST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Dec 2002 06:36:34 PST
>Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
>

3664. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:00:38 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF35E96.1D0CEF1E@secret.com>
Source record: 146250

"Vinnie S." wrote:
>
> How does the starduster compare to the Antron-99?

Aluminum always beats fiberglass.

3665. Re: Radio Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:01:51 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF35EDF.F378798D@secret.com>
Source record: 146251

Walter wrote:
>
> I have a Realistic 485 This is a great radio.
> I have heard & seen one page on the net boosting of a modification that will
> add 400 channels to my radio.
> It looks easy, but has anyone out there done this modification?
> I could use some help.
> There are no longer any companies that will work on cb radios in my neck of
> the woods, not even close.
> I do not really want to ship the radio out, cuase you do not know if you
> will get it back.
> Anyways, any help would be great...

You can modify other radios that have 485 for their model, just
not the RadioShack version.

3666. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:30:25 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <F9-dnetP-tIG-G6gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146252

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:XuzI9.113779$Gc.3589350@twister.austin.rr.com...
> That and the Astroplane are probably the best vertical polarized antennas
> ever designed.
>
> Very low angle of radiation = bad ass signal!

A 1/4 wave Starduster has a higher angle of radiation than a 1/2 wave or 5/8
wave.

3667. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:31:16 UTC
From: Jingle Balls <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <ORGdnRj36fVS-G6gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146253

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DF35E96.1D0CEF1E@secret.com...
>
>
> "Vinnie S." wrote:
> >
> > How does the starduster compare to the Antron-99?
>
> Aluminum always beats fiberglass.

Scott, there is copper in them there fiberglass antennas

3668. FS:RCI2970 and Prez Lincoln and Magnum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:34:13 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <0cp6vus02elmm7r270tmi010lv33gclano@4ax.com>
Source record: 146254

Ranger 2970 with mic/manual/bracket $250

President Lincoln with mic/manual/bracket $250

Magnum Delta Force with bracket/636L mic $160

paypal or money orders - e-mail for further remove <remove> to reply

3669. CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 15:42:36 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146255

Hello everyone;

I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot of them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is also low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the right URL.

You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.

http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92

73

Nighthawk

http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

3670. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 16:04:12 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF36D7C.DC7907EC@secret.com>
Source record: 146256

Too bad I don't do auctions...

Nighthawk wrote:
>
> Hello everyone;
>
> I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot of
> them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is also
> low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> right URL.
>
> You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
>
> http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
>
> 73
>
> Nighthawk
>
> http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

3671. Re: FS:RCI2970 and Prez Lincoln and Magnum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 16:22:14 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF371B6.BF058C83@secret.com>
Source record: 146257

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" wrote:
>
> President Lincoln with mic/manual/bracket $250

Some guy in NY spilled a soda in his. Find his post
and SPAM him!!! <;

3672. Ham Gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 16:46:57 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3773F.7070203@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146258

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I
also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape
I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig.
If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to
the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. I will trade this 2KD 5 Henry amp for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. It has new caps
and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same
voltage that runs your dryer and electric range.
I also have a Icom AT 500 auto tuner. This tuner will handle 500 watts. It works fine and I have no use for ICOM equipment. My station is all Yaesu at this time. I will trade this tuner for a Kenwood TS 50, or other small HF Gen. coverage rig, or a good Short wave receiver. I also have a Pentium III 500 Mhz computer for trade. It has 128Mb ram, CD burner 52X CD rom S3 Virge video card, 1Gb hard drive, 10/100 Mb network card, Motorola SM 56 modem, Yamaha sound card in a ATX tower. Of corse keyboard and mouse included. I will trade this computer for 350 bucs plus shiping or a good HF moblie rig.
I am will to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we can work out the deal.
oris@dirtball.com
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

3673. Re: Radio Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 16:50:58 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF37830.9030800@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146259

I Have the same radio and it works great too. It sits on the shelf with
a Cherokee CBS SSB radio.
I'm sorry I can help you
73 Oris

Walter wrote:

>I have a Realistic 485 This is a great radio.
>I have heard & seen one page on the net boosting of a modification that will
>add 400 channels to my radio.
>It looks easy, but has anyone out there done this modification?
>I could use some help.
>There are no longer any companies that will work on cb radios in my neck of
>the woods, not even close.
>I do not really want to ship the radio out, cuase you do not know if you
>will get it back.
>Anyways, any help would be great...
>
>
>
>
>

3674. Re: RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 17:13:30 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <_4LI9.739$iT6.316386@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146260

how can he be 49 years old with 37 years experience...??? don't you have to be 18 to get a first class commercial license?

drc

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20021208084010.14115.00000164@mb-fm.aol.com...
> I like the humor and wit you take this with. I would also say he's an asshole!
>
> Train
>
>
> >Wouldn't you just know it I am a Native American and 49 years old so I miss
> >out on both counts !!
> >Too bad since I have 37 years experience with a first class commercial
> >license which has allowed me to retire already.
> >Guess he couldn't have afforded me is why he wants someone white and under
> >45.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Subject: RE:TECH WANTED
> >Path:
> >lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news
> feed.icl.net!newsfeed.fjserv.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.
> net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!stamper.news.pas.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.i
> td.earthlink.net.POSTED!not
> >-for-mail
> >From: "KC0EH" okiemansc@yahoo.com
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> >Lines: 8
> >X-Priority: 3
> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106

> >Message-ID: <SHnI9.97$zS2.30278@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
> >Date: Sat, 07 Dec 2002 14:36:34 GMT
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.236.16.173
> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
> >X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1039271794 216.236.16.173 (Sat,
07
> >Dec 2002 06:36:34 PST)
> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Dec 2002 06:36:34 PST
> >Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
> >
>
>

3675. Re: RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 17:20:11 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021208122011.14186.00000243@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 146261

>how can he be 49 years old with 37 years experience...??? don't you have
>to be 18 to get a first class commercial license?
>
>drc
>

It used to be that you could work on radios with a 2nd class ticket........Get
the facts straight.
Train

3676. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:20:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df38d44_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146262

Forget the IDIOTS that know nothing about this antenna, or issues like fiberglass vs. aluminum, and so on. The MAIN issue is the design of the antenna. It is a ground plane antenna, which makes it a lot better than any "big stick" design. Was yours made by AS, Finco, or made in Italy? The Italy ones were the most rugged.
Dave!

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
> I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully protected
> from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I
> settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or have
> antennas progressed much better?
>
> Vinnie S.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3677. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:21:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i4MI9.49880$hK4.4339236@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146263

In what way?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Aluminum always beats fiberglass.

3678. Re: assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:22:03 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df38db5_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146264

Why don't YOU sell them to him cheaper? YOU is da man, Jay!
Dave!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DF298CE.90BF48BA@qnet.com...
> Hello Nero:
>
> Contact Hy-Gain at:
>
> http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php
>
> Order the Penetator 500, Order No. 500, assembly instructions, 5 bucks,
> real cheap!
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> nero wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know where I can find the assembly instructions for the
> > Hy-Gain, Penetrator 500, 5/8 antenna.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3679. Re: Penetartor 500 repair info:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:23:26 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df38e0a_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146265

I made the top hats out of thick copper wire !
Dave!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DF214C6.35DA535E@qnet.com...
> Hello All:
>
> I posted this information on another thread, and got a few e-mails
> requesting this info be put out on separate thread for all to see. I
> have also included some repair information to fix you Hy-Gain Penetrator
> 500, should you have some tubing bent or broke.
>
> Hope this helps those who need the help here. With time the old out of
> production Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Antennas are getting
> pretty rare, and repair info should be supplied to keep those great old
> antennas up and running, Ten-4!
>
> So maybe I'll put some photos and info on the web site for all to see,
> let me know if your all interested? I have sent out some replacement
> parts, and misc. stuff, like tubing, Top Hat Wires and Insulators for
> guys to fix their Penetrator 500, but I don't stock this stuff, I just
> have a few pieces left over from modifying the Penetrator 500, with the
> low loss Impedance Matching Hardware that will also go on the web site.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
> ---
>
>
> Hello Dave:
>
> Here are the Plastic (made from black ABS Plastic) Insulators for the
> Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antenna. Had to do
> some digging.
>
> Tell the nice lady at Hy-Gain, that these are still current parts used
> on other Hy-Gain Antennas, but you need then for the Penetrator 500,
> model number is "Order No. 500" Hy-Gain will also sell you the assembly
> instructions, for 5 dollars.
>
> Hy-Gain is at: http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php
>
>
> Penetrator 500 Insulator Part Numbers:
> Base or lower Insulator 877961

> Upper Insulator 463056
> Tuning Wire Supports 463642
>
> ---
>
> Penetrator 500 Tubing Repair:
> Hy-Gain uses a manufacturing technique that reduces the Outside Diameter
> of the Aluminum Tubing, called reduced swaging. This is done at the
> Hy-Gain Plant, in a special machine that uses a Hydraulic Press and Die
> type Tooling, that forces the tubing into the swag reduction tooling,
> reducing the end of the Aluminum Tubings OD and ID
>
> OD = Outside Diameter
> ID= Inside Diameter
>
> This allows for a smaller diameter tubing to be used, making the
> antenna lighter and more resilient. It also allows the antenna
> manufacture to NOT have to deal with tubing wall thickness tolerances.
>
> The Penetrator 500 uses 3 different tubing pieces that are reduced
> swaged. And they are:
> 1- 1 1/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/8" OD Tubing,
> instead of 1" OD tubing.
>
> 2- 7/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 5/8" OD Tubing,
> Instead of 3/4" OD Tubing
>
> 3- 5/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/16 OD Tubing,
> instead of 1/2" OD tubing.
>
> The swaged reduced ends, are not magic, they can be replaced without any
> harm to the antennas performance or tuning.
>
> The reduced swag end, can be cut off with a tubing cutter or hack saw.
> Then cut down the remaining end length wise, for about a 1 1/2 inches,
> this cut slot will allow a hose clap to hold in a new piece of tubing.
>
> As an example, the ground plane radials use the following reduced
> swaging scheme:
>
> 24" long, 7/8" OD reduced to accept 5/8" OD tubing
> 36" long, 5/8" OD reduced to accept 7/16 OD tubing
> 50" long, 7/16" OD no reduced swag.
>
> In most cases the 5/8" OD Tubing needs to be replaced. But not everyone
> had a swag reducing tool in the garage or living room, (I sure don't)
>
> The reduced swag end can be cut off with tubing cutters or a hack saw,
> and slot cut, length wise for a 1 1/2". Then new 1/2" OD Tubing can be
> installed into the 5/8" OD tubing, and a hose clamp installed to hold

> the new piece of 1/2" OD tubing.
>
> As with the 1 1/8" OD and 7/8" OD reduced swag tubing, again the reduced
> swag can be cut off, and again a length wise end cut 1 1/2 inch long cut
> needs to be done. Then a 5 to 6 inch length of 1" OD tubing can be
> installed into the
> 1 1/8" tubing, and 3/4" OD for the 7/8" OD tubing, allowing the next
> tubing pieces to be installed.
>
> Aluminum Tubing, 6061 T-6 or 6063 T-8, with a wall thickness of .058"
> should be available from local metal supply houses. You will need to
> call around and find it. Texas Towers sells Aluminum Tubing in 6 foot
> length, that is UPS shippable.
>
> Texas Towers Aluminum Tubing is at:
> http://www.texastowers.com/aluminum.htm
>
> Please let me know if need any help, or suggestions on a repair article
> for the web site. I will throw in photos and diagrams to make it easier
> to understand.
>
> Thanks for the e-mail
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
> fillin station.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3680. Re: RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:25:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df38e84_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146266

And NOW Train, with communism supposedly DEAD, ANYONE can work on radios!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021208122011.14186.00000243@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >how can he be 49 years old with 37 years experience...??? don't you
have
> >to be 18 to get a first class commercial license?
> >
> >drc
> >
>
> It used to be that you could work on radios with a 2nd class
ticket........Get
> the facts straight.
> Train

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3681. Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:28:33 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df38f3c$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146267

Tim, where have U bin ? Haven't seen yo postings. Did all yo sinnin' kill
ya yet ? What have U bin doink?
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3682. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:30:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021208133043.27680.00009688@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 146268

dont tell me chuckie posted child porn?!?!?!?!

>actually the underage german crap did make it......

3683. There ain't no cons, just PROS, BROS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:34:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3908a_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146270

Buy an antenna with radials on it to begin with! There is no "cons" to
having ground plane radials. Cost is a problem, but the lawyers, judges,
and insurance industry, along with the DRUNKS & DRUGGIES that took away our
5/8 wave aluminum ground plane antennas, they ARAE still the bigger problem
than a few DB's ! What you're talking about is a Deut. 28 CB antenna !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF23FEF.78C1E176@cwis.net...
>
>
> Bert,
> I would still call the test inconclusive. From the 'raw'
> data given, the amount of reduction in gain gets smaller as
> the radiation angle gets smaller until there is a -1db gain
> difference at zero degrees. The effectiveness of the GPK is
> reduced as you approach zero degrees. It's too bad the author
> didn't continue with it the negative angles of radiation, which
> would have told how affective the GPK would be for reducing
> RFI at near distances.
> Antenna modeling programs are based on several different
> versions of 'NEC'. Depending on which version was used for
> the comparison, some do not have much accuracy for non-perfect
> ground conditions. That means they work fine for 'free-space'
> antennas but not so fine for 'real' ground conditions.
> Regardless, there is not an abrupt 'cut off' of signal at
> near fields of the antenna. The signal doesn't just stop at
> the edge of the graph's lines, it has a gradual reduction to
> a zero level. The second line in from the outer ring of the
> graph is the 'half-power', or -3db ring, where the signal
> strength is half the full power value. The program used to
> generate the graph does not produce a radiation pattern in a
> 'standard' format. Without an explanation of the program's
> parameters, the graphs produced can be interpreted in any way
> you wish. The results can be misleading. I do agree, and
> other modeling programs do show that there is a change in the
> 'near field' radiation patterns, but they don't support the
> conclusion.
> What all that means is that if you think the price of the
> GPK is worth a 1db reduction in strength at the base of the
> antenna, go for it. I'm not so sure that 1db justifies the
> price.
> 'Doc

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3684. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:37:54 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212081037.739efe6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146272

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DF2AB08.5070301@mailcity.net>...
> W7DVJ wrote:
> > All urban legend. Never happened. If it did, where's your
> > documentation to support your story?
> >
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> >
> >>So you say the FCC can't catch you if you're mobile? That's what
> >>the unlicensed fellow in Dallas a few years thought who was using
> >>a 2 meter transceiver. He was having a lot of fun jamming some
> >>repeaters driving around the freeway system saying how the FCC
> >>couldn't find him. He changed his mind when the red flashing
> >>lights appeared in his rear view mirror and a U.S Marshal and an
> >>FCC agent pulled him over.
>
> Well mr. made up ham call, it isn't an urban legend, see Doc's
> post. The federal marshall involved was a ham and belonged to the
> local ham club. Whenever the local FCC boys needed to bust
> someone, he is who thay called.

well i never ....made up ham call..........i am astonished.................

3685. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:37:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df39170_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146271

To all you college edjumucated honkey digital yuppies out there, the definition of "Wigger" is White Nigger. I believe some of yins call that white trash. And remember, there are Naggars in every race ! I think Hamateurs are the biggest Naggars !
Dave!!

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:jYyI9.5795$Kw6.3863931@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Did it occur to you that you were being just as much of a "wigger" as they
> were by keying up.
>
> Peace out poser
>
>
> "Salsa_Lip_Trucker" <nospam@nospamming.com> wrote in message
> news:LRUF9.20811$NV.431262@news.direcpc.com...
> > CBers use 40 Channels AM and SSB and sometimes FM
> > CBers sneak out of band to get away from the "wigger-fied" airwaves.
> > (crossfire gets his feelin's hurt when he sees the "N"-word being used!)
> >
> > Hell, I love to sneak outside the 40 channels above or below to get away
> > from the "wiglets" that dominate and they gets so excited that they "cum"
> in
> > their pants when their favorite "toy-wiggers" are on the channel.
> >
> > Every damn mornin' I hears their loud mouth bleedin over the channels!!
> >
> > They be talkins to somebody called "black man" in the bayou , when theys
> > only talkins to themselves!!!
> > These DXer wannabes pretend to talk DX - the person answerin' is really
> > livin' in the next town!!
> > That's whys theys so clear!
> > It's Sad!! It's Sad!!
> >
> > I key up and make all kinds of sounds to get them goin'.
> > They come back and tell me to shut up, call me a "mud-duck" (I keyed up
> all
> > over them and the only word they use is "mud-duck"?) WIGGER, Please!!
> >
> > I sometimes sit in a undisclosed location and key up and say some off the
> > wall shit!
> >

> > I used to work for Gundlach Heating / ac in Richmond, VA until I got A
> REAL
> > job.
> > I remember someone called my work and threatened to take me to court for
> > verbal abuse on the CB!!
> > WIGGER, Please!!
> >
> > They used to fuck wit me on Channel 16 - they called it the family
channel
> > or some shit!
> > more like the faggot channel!
> > they used to mess with ol 513 and threatened him!
> > Some fag callin hisself "Step or stelth Rider" --- what the hell kinda
> name
> > is that on a cb radio?
> > he must not have no woman as sorry as he was!!
> > workin as a rentacop at car lot in 1994
> > Dumb ass -- he and someother stupid persons were trackin my radio down!!
> > Well they found an empty van is all they found!!
> > If they'ed look alittle more they might have seen me in the back! with
my
> > GLOCK!
> > If that faggot stumprider's out there his momma is a buttfuckin whore!!
> and
> > his daddy ain't nuthin but a nigger lover!!
> > dats how stumprider has his mental problems all the damn time!
> > tryin to make people like him jes becoz he cry alot!!!
> > His real name is -- well he ain'ts got no real name worth havin'!
> > he goes around poppin off about he gonna kick ass or some shit!!
> > If I sees that faggot I ma gonna shoot him and his famly.
> > I knows the others who tracked me down that night!
> > I really dont gives a damn 'bout 9/11 -- Ima gonna take that fagot out!!
> > any fool try to stop me will get a good ass beatin'!
> > I likes that extreme shit!
> > its a way of life ands if yous can't take it -thens I shows you how to
> take
> > it!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3686. Re: SODOMITE test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:39:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df391e2_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146273

You mean SODOMITE test, right? Nothin' Gay about queer faggots, spreading
AIDS to all !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
"A.E.352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:AWfI9.10237$HA2.2660091@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> http://www.hekkuli.org/~hekkuli/flash/gaytest.swf
>
> Lemme know how you did Frank....
>
>
> A.E. 352
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3687. On dem pallates is really ... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:44:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df392f8_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146274

What is probably on dem pallates is used hambo potty paper !
Imagine advertising on a NG of a find of this nature?
I'm sure they couldn't find others in their alleged "import-export" business
that they would RUN TO first!
Dave!!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@eworldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:RVsI9.48003$hK4.4214049@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Only at your house...
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> > Right, and dogshit will become America's favorite dinner desert.
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3688. Re: Unique roger beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:45:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df39333_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146275

I think I know of a place that can make u one !
Dave !!!!!!!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:_KWdncTMrdxOlGygXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0212061023.1ec33a6d@posting.google.com...
> > Anyone know if someone has yet made a roger beep that plays the 1st 6
> > notes of "Duelin' Banjos"?
>
> No, but it definitely should exist. :)
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3689. Re: Radio Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:46:32 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212081046.2b8eb1dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146276

Secret Report <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message news:<3DF35EDF.F378798D@secret.com>...
> Walter wrote:
> >
> > I have a Realistic 485 This is a great radio.
> > I have heard & seen one page on the net boosting of a modification that will
> > add 400 channels to my radio.
> > It looks easy, but has anyone out there done this modification?
> > I could use some help.
> > There are no longer any companies that will work on cb radios in my neck of
> > the woods, not even close.
> > I do not really want to ship the radio out, cuase you do not know if you
> > will get it back.
> > Anyways, any help would be great...
>
>
> You can modify other radios that have 485 for their model, just
> not the RadioShack version.

This is correct. Although they are based on the same chassis, neither
the TRC-485 nor the HTX-10 can be modified for additional frequencies.
The other models based on RF Ltd.'s Magnum 257 can be modified quite
easily though. This was at Radio Shack's direct request for an
unmodifiable processor and primarily due to their prior unpleasant
experience with the FCC over their all too easily modifiable HTX-100,
circa 1991.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3690. Re: Radio Modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 18:49:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3941b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146277

Where in what woods are you in? Where they ear "Cornbread & Chicken" ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"Walter" <walterk@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:6MCI9.50150$Qr.1173041@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> I have a Realistic 485 This is a great radio.
> I have heard & seen one page on the net boosting of a modification that
will
> add 400 channels to my radio.
> It looks easy, but has anyone out there done this modification?
> I could use some help.
> There are no longer any companies that will work on cb radios in my neck
of
> the woods, not even close.
> I do not really want to ship the radio out, cuase you do not know if you
> will get it back.
> Anyways, any help would be great...
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3691. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:03:53 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <GVednYOPrP8-Cm6gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146278

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df38f3c$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Tim, where have U bin ? Haven't seen yo postings. Did all yo sinnin'
kill
> ya yet ? What have U bin doink?
> Dave!

He posts everyday Dave, just under a few different nics, he is trying to by
pass news filters ...

3692. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:04:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021208140430.27680.00009711@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 146279

your joking right?

>Was yours made by AS, Finco, or made in Italy? The
>Italy ones were the most rugged.
>Dave!

3693. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:07:48 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <0167vugr9rf4fd59incn2j0t3qq0rc974c@4ax.com>
Source record: 146280

On 08 Dec 2002 18:30:43 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp With A
Slow Limp) was blabbling:

...dont tell me chuckie posted child porn?!?!?!?!
...
...>actually the underage german crap did make it......
...
...
...
nope....actress was 18 years old when it was made....relax.

funny thing was it never even showed that it made it to the NG...

3694. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:09:31 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <j_ecnYWkqZVrBW6gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146281

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:rNNI9.137042$8D.3804200@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Description:
> M-400 STARDUSTER. Re-introducing the classic M-400 Starduster CB radio
> antenna of yesteryear! This antenna is known for it's excellence in
> performance. It is ruggedly made of anticorodal aluminum, brass and nylon
> and is designed for easy assembly. Available in limited quantities.
>
> * Impedance 52 Ohm
> * Gain 7 Db
> * Max power 800 W
> * S.W.R. 1:1,1 - 1:1,5
> * Length 18'
>
> NOT A QUARTER WAVE!!

The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed. The length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials, which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave dipole is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi gain, you beleive that one to right?

3695. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:12:11 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <dtudnR7w0csIBG6gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146282

"lespankerdes" <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com> wrote in message
news:0167vugr9rf4fd59incn2j0t3qq0rc974c@4ax.com...
> On 08 Dec 2002 18:30:43 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-104 (Po Pimp With A
> Slow Limp) was blabbling:
>
> ...dont tell me chuckie posted child porn?!?!?!?!
> ...
> ...>actually the underage german crap did make it......

> nope....actress was 18 years old when it was made....relax.
>
> funny thing was it never even showed that it made it to the NG.

Did you know her Chuck? lol Did you show her your german helmet?

..
>
>
>

3696. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:15:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021208141517.27680.00009714@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 146283

dave, f*ck you. sincerly Mr Nebo

3697. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 19:28:55 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF39DA5.1000605@optonline.net>
Source record: 146284

lol.....

Po Pimp With A Slow Limp wrote:

>dave, f*ck you. sincerly Mr Nebo
>
>
>
>
>
>

3698. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:17:27 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <rNNI9.137042$8D.3804200@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146269

Description:
M-400 STARDUSTER. Re-introducing the classic M-400 Starduster CB radio antenna of yesteryear! This antenna is known for it's excellence in performance. It is ruggedly made of anticorodal aluminum, brass and nylon and is designed for easy assembly. Available in limited quantities.

* Impedance 52 Ohm
* Gain 7 Db
* Max power 800 W
* S.W.R. 1:1,1 - 1:1,5
* Length 18'

NOT A QUARTER WAVE!!

"Jingle Balls" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message news:F9-dnetP-tIG-G6gXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
> news:XuzI9.113779$Gc.3589350@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > That and the Astroplane are probably the best vertical polarized antennas
> > ever designed.
> >
> > Very low angle of radiation = bad ass signal!
>
> A 1/4 wave Starduster has a higher angle of radiation than a 1/2 wave or 5/8
> wave.
>
>

3699. Re: Chukkee Sukkee and douggie's kid - Tove compleet.mpg (001/110)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:28:27 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <coa7vuci2m8jg006ut7hb6tq4t8od00eov@4ax.com>
Source record: 146285

>
...Why are you posting binaries here?

I'll answer that, when dougie answers why he started posting crap
degrading me. after we had a truce.

end of story.

3700. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:28:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3ab6a_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146286

Tim would never say that to me, YOU REPROBATE !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
news:20021208141517.27680.00009714@mb-mf.aol.com...
> dave, f* you. sincerly Mr Nebo
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3701. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:30:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3abcc_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146287

Joking about what? I sold each brand mentioned in da past. I have 2 Italy made ones left. Sold one a month ago.
Dave!

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message news:20021208140430.27680.00009711@mb-mf.aol.com...
> your joking right?
>
> >Was yours made by AS, Finco, or made in Italy? The
> >Italy ones were the most rugged.
> >Dave!
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3702. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:31:17 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021208153117.26388.00008359@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 146288

>> Then the next problem will be what >>co-ax to use.... Me don't thinks RG-58
>> will like that power level too much! ;-)
LDF6-50 by Andrews will handle the job.
Get ready to pay the piper, $10/ft unless you buy the roll...then maybe $9!
You just gotta love RF !

"Got RF?"

3703. CB Base Station season picking up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:32:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3ac4e$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146289

Yep, it is. I've seen an increase of base antennas sold, and people wanting old bases fixed. Looks like a busy winter CB season this year, probably the busiest in years, hopfully! Anyone seeing similar increases in your area? Dave!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3704. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:34:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3acb4$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146290

What is he saying that he has to have a TVI filter on his mouth? !
Or have the commies been attacking him? !
Dave!

"No Pity" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:GVednYOPrP8-Cm6gXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3df38f3c$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Tim, where have U bin ? Haven't seen yo postings. Did all yo sinnin'
> kill
> > ya yet ? What have U bin doink?
> > Dave!
>
> He posts everyday Dave, just under a few different nics, he is trying to
by
> pass news filters ...
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3705. Honkey BUTCHER TECH WANTED !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:42:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3ae89$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146291

I didn't see this posted origionally, but if he don't want us older techs or Bros working on dem CB's, then this IS true:

(a) Dey have a CHOP SHOP there. They are more interested in being theives than doing a good, rightous job for people and maintaining a good name! Dey don't want experienced CB techs--just cooks from da restaurant they can teach how to be THIEVES!

(b) Isn't it descrimination to just want a honkey to work for you fixing CB's? I bet you there ain't hardly a black guy in da land that works on them in a shop! But that AGE descrimination is what has got me baffled. What he's saying is that he don't want ANYONE fixing CB's that KNOWS anything, because dey worked on them in da 70's !!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Big Broham, go get dem!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Dave!!

"KC0EH" <okiemansc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:SHnI9.97$zS2.30278@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wouldn't you just know it I am a Native American and 49 years old so I
miss
> out on both counts !!
> Too bad since I have 37 years experience with a first class commercial
> license which has allowed me to retire already.
> Guess he couldn't have afforded me is why he wants someone white and under
> 45.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3706. Re: Let It Snow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:45:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3af39_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146292

Dug Adair McVooble may want one too!
Dave!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021205194320.190$LM@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021205132408.787$on@newsreader.com...
> > > >
> > > I have a Palomar in the GMC,but I was considering putting something in
> > > the Ford,for when we're at different locations. It's almost always
late
> > > night operation so it might come in handy...what'cha got,Shark?
> > >
> > I've got a couple small boxes around 100 or so watts
> > you are welcome to have one if you want, no charge.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> You're too kind,Shark! Find out what the shipping is,and I'll send it to
> you.
>
> Email me.
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> -------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> $9.95/Month 50GB,NNTP or WEB

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3707. Re: FCC Annoucement Regarding " freeband " operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:49:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3b026_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146293

YOU be da MAN for 25 years!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:_SrI9.264$6K4.55562333@news.inreach.com...
> Don't think so....
>
> I've been operating mobile with illegal power for over 25 years,
> even annouced my position once and waited for 3 days for FCC
> agents to come and get me while I camped along the Sacramento
> river. They never showed up and the official FCC listening station
> was less then 50 miles away.
>
>
>
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF190E4.356E4EC2@ix.netcom.com...
> > Mobile schmobile....
> > They can catch you mobile, son. Believe it.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3708. Re: RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:49:34 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <yfOI9.745$iT6.329040@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146295

Dave does Debbie know your out of your cage?....

drc

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df38e84_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> And NOW Train, with communism supposedly DEAD, ANYONE can work on radios!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> news:20021208122011.14186.00000243@mb-fm.aol.com...
> > >how can he be 49 years old with 37 years experience...??? don't you
> have
> > >to be 18 to get a first class commercial license?
> > >
> > >drc
> > >
> >
> > It used to be that you could work on radios with a 2nd class
> ticket........Get
> > the facts straight.
> > Train
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3709. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:51:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3b0d6_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146294

Don't worry--it will HIT him soon ! And when it does, you will KNOW that it
was The Lord Jesus Christ (GOD) that did it !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0212061015.5226572b@posting.google.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3deea20a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> > The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will surely hit them, and that's
WHY it
> > comes!
>
> WELL??? We've been waiting over 20 years...
>
> Guy

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3710. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:54:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3b16c_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146296

Stern is of the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people, and as such, is subject to all The Law of God that we all are. He is an Anti-Christ. Fuel for the crispy critter furnace if he don't repent.
Dave!

Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:26819-3DEFB5F5-156@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com (Dave or Debby)
>There AIN'T NO "Evolution" in Human >behavior

You got that right.

>--just a "Changing of Religions" going
>on in this country/ or worldwide from the >Christian way of living, to
>the Humanist one, which is debatched !
>The religion of a country determines it's laws! >IF only the commy FCC
>would of done their jobs to BUST the commies >in the 50's that took over the TV, there >wouldn't be the trash on it today, as well as >the so called "shock jocks" on AM/FM radio >like antiChrist Howard the Coward Stern! (as >well as the dirty mouth imitaters that watched >or listened to this trash over the years), and >now talk it themselves on the CB or ham >bands!

I concur. Whether it's Stern or Rush, that they have a following is amusing.

>The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will >surely hit them, and that's
>WHY it comes!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Stern is a jew and not worried about the wrath of God as we are.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3711. Re: Xmas gift for rightwing radio kooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 20:59:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3b2b6_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146197

What do LEFTwing earth worshippers pinko radio NUTS want?
What goofy web sites are these!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Robert John" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:dLUG9.36038$vM1.2757239@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "popbuttom\n" <popb60000@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:3de4f0b5@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "Muhamed Akhbar" <muakhbar@joma.dr.ag> wrote in message
> > news:45092466F.A1366520@joma.dr.ag...
> > > On Tue, 26 Nov 2002 23:36:59 -0500, l33tN3w$P0$t3R
> <3y3H4x0r@Y0uRB0X.com>
> > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Some people just don't know how to think before they speak.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Oh the irony!
> >
> > I agree. Some folk just got
> > shit-fer-brains. (mostly republicans of late)
> > The GOP constantly promotes
> > freedom of choice. (unless a unwed mom's
> > fetus is concerned of course...)
> I see you are a liberal so I guess your into baby killing!
>
>
> >
> > You can also get Kriegsmarine Georg":
> >
> > http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/georgcaps.htm
> >
> > "Kamakazie Pilot Hiro Hosushi":
> >
> > http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwiileaders/jap.htm
> >
> > "Baron Von Richthoffen":
> >
> > http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/wwi/baronrojo.htm
> >
> > ..or even BUFFY the Vampyre Slayer!! (I kid U not..)
> >

> > http://www.actionfiguresspain.com/chicas/buffy.htm
> >
> >
> > I just wish that I'd thought of these
> > "Action-Figures" first - what a cash
> > cow this could be for the creators!
> >
> > Finally, can't you really *just imagine* George W Bush
> > locked away in the Lincoln Bedroom at 2 AM, with the
> > whole Action Figures collection and some GI Joe's
> > spralled out on the floor...and GWB (in his Texas bunny pajamas)
> > playing war games like an 8-Year Old...????
> >
> > Comedy Central Networks or Late Nite should get a set
> > of these dolls (along with a Saddam Hussen and Bin Laden figure)
> > and do a comedy bit/sketch along these lines......a real laugh-so-hard-
> > you'll-pee-in-yer-pants fer sure!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3712. Re: ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 21:08:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3b4b0_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146198

Dem commies kicked the Hambos out, but can dey kick CB out ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207230709.05802.00011472@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1321 - December 6, 2002
>
> Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 with a release date of Friday,
> December 6, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
>
> The following is a Q-S-T. North Korea takes its only ham off the air and
the
> first digital voice Q-S-O across the Atlantic. These stories are first on
> Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 coming your way right now.
>
>
> (Billboard Cart Here)
>
> **
>
> INTERNATIONAL - N. KOREA: LAST HAM FORCED OFF THE AIR
>
> The Peoples Republic of Korea appears to no longer want Amateur Radio
operating
> from its soil. It made its intention clear when it asked its only ham ito
pack
> his gear and send it out of the country. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in
Nottingham
> England has the details:
>
> --
> Ed, P5/4L4FN, the only radio amateur operating legally from North Korea,
has
> had to close down. On the evening of the 22nd of November, Ed was called
into a
> meeting with the North Korean Radio Regulation Board. Without any
explanation
> he was politely asked to stop all transmissions and to pack up all his
radio
> equipment.
>
> Ed spent the following day dissembling his antennas and packing boxes.
That
> afternoon government officials sealed all the boxes and Ed has been told

to
> take everything out of the country when he leaves North Korea for a
two-week
> holiday on the 10th of December.
>
> Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
> --
>
> With Ed now off the air, ham radio is gone from North Korea and nobody
expects
> it to be resumed anytime in the near future. It also puts North Korea
back
> near the top of D-X'ings most wanted list.
> More on this story is at www.amsatnet.com/p5.html. (RSGB)
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3713. What a game in Dallas!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 21:32:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <uTOI9.427$jP1.50497865@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146199

This has to be the best game they played all year.

Landshark

Congratulations to the SF Forty Niners NFC West
Champions!

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3714. Hey Dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 21:42:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <P0PI9.435$uW1.50943214@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146200

Making up for lost time on the newsgroup?

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3715. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 21:48:57 UTC
From: sisterboombah@webmail.co.za
Message-ID: <d0c4420.0212081348.18d2b660@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146201

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:<MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> Hello everyone;
>
> I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot of
> them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is also
> low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> right URL.
>
> You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
>
> http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
>
> 73
>
> Nighthawk
>
>
>
> http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

What you mean you gots all dem tubes . Whats da matta .? Cant sell
um on ebay. What yo thin we are ? chumps

3716. Chukkee Sukkee: Ya better go call the Iron Coffins again! SISSY ASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 21:50:53 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212081350.5d2b77a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146202

First things first...
Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.

There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3 day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!

Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him> circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name which none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed the scene hastily.

Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. , but has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:

1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN SEPTEMBER>
3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls police officers "pigs" in his posts>
8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising amateur looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on various
amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back into the woodwork a while.

Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he wilts like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the hash now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to shake if he had a spring loaded elbow.

In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a drive

by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of the FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his long record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln Park, MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002 because of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and specifically admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit. This exposed the lies, of course.

There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats. The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our posession. This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public information. Email us for details.

Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed to his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe??>

In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against people, even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.

If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish to travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that he was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his carcass emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are guilty of doing something bad and expect retribution?

Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.

Hey dweebo, Chukkee Sukkee is not being made a punk by N8WWM, he IS a punk from the jump. That boy is waaaaay too much of a pussy to do anything to N8 or any of the anti's.

Chukkee Sukkee is obviously infected with some sort of virus that causes him to blame one person for all his ills and afflictions. The problem is that his ills and afflictions are indeed caused by one person...himself. He is just too stupid to see it.

Hardee harr harr harr...life is good when you aint an alumni of the detroit school for hopeless fatass gayboy chumps.

Here is Chukee Sukkee's biography below...call this a Christmas present to you keyclowns...

MY BIO!!!!!
K8CPA
Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and answer all the questions:

Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig, ya see>

Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block of Waterman Avenue>

Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although, I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at: http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me, who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis. My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in the men's room of the Detroit bus station.

"Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10, (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I loved those guys when they'd tell me to tongue their assholes. Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!

Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one

guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham, (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I* thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I totally forgot how to play because I was violently burbing up the sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow... speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry. Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs, Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...

MY MOMENT OF CLARITY

Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my mind...

"I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps

Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from serving my God, the Penis?????????

My Return to total Fagassedness!

Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!" and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts....
I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.

I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people as we are, and makes us his Servants....

Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.

HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS BECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!

I was, I guess you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT

KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally licensed in 1989....

On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.

My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my ego.

so there you go!

I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!

With apologies to Rudolph....

Chukkee the gayboy jizz likk
Sucks a throbbin thrustin dick
There aint a cock on the planet
Chukkee wouldn't gladly lick

All of the other gayboys
Used to laugh and call him names
They loved to taunt poor Chukkee
And toss him in the newsgroup flames

Then one foggy Christmas eve
Twithed came to say
"Chukkee with your lips so bright,
Won't you wash my nuts tonite?"

Then how 'ole Chukkee loved it
As he bobbed up and down
That is the story of Chukkee
The little gay fag keyclown

3717. Re: Hey Dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:04:53 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9mPI9.50280$hK4.4362261@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146203

He's "on a roll" all right...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:P0PI9.435$uW1.50943214@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Making up for lost time on the newsgroup?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
>

3718. Re: Chukkee Sukkee: Ya better go call the Iron Coffins again! SISSY ASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:09:38 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <CqPI9.50290$hK4.4363352@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146204

Yes, Chuck has had a colored past all right. I hope he can get some help
before he gets to the end of the road... which will probably mean prison.
They will probably throw away the key...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"The truth about ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:7684f123.0212081350.5d2b77a6@posting.google.com...
> First things first...
> Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
>
>
> There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
> Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
> day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
> his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
>
> Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
> Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
> circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name which
> none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
> radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed the
> scene hastily.
>
> Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. , but
> has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
> being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
>
> 1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
> 2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
> SEPTEMBER>
> 3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
> 4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
> 5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
> 6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
> 7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls police
> officers "pigs" in his posts>
> 8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
> vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising amateur
> looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
> various

> amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
> into the woodwork a while.
>
> Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
> sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he wilts
> like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
> threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
> Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
> walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the hash
> now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to shake
> if he had a spring loaded elbow.
>
> In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
> with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a drive
> by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of the
> FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his long
> record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln Park,
> MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002 because
> of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
> whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
> long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and specifically
> admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
> admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
> owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
> This exposed the lies, of course.
>
> There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
> Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
> The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our posession.
> This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
> information. Email us for details.
>
> Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
> desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
> rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
> moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed to
> his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe??>
>
> In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
> psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against people,
> even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
> spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
>
> If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
> confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish to
> travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that he
> was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his carcass
> emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
> Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
> dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be

> concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
> habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are guilty
> of doing something bad and expect retribution?
>
> Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.
> ---

>
> Hey dweebo, Chukkee Sukkee is not being made a punk by N8WWM, he IS a
> punk from the jump. That boy is waaaaay too much of a pussy to do
> anything to N8 or any of the anti's.
>
> Chukkee Sukkee is obviously infected with some sort of virus that
> causes him to blame one person for all his ills and afflictions. The
> problem is that his ills and afflictions are indeed caused by one
> person...himself. He is just too stupid to see it.
>
> Hardee harr harr harr...life is good when you aint an alumni of the
> detroit school for hopeless fatass gayboy chumps.
>
> Here is Chukee Sukkee's biography below...call this a Christmas
> present to you keyclowns...
>
> MY BIO!!!!!
> K8CPA
> Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked
> up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and
> answer all the questions:
>
>
>
> Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig,
> ya see>
>
> Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block
> of Waterman Avenue>
>
> Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a
> faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of
> 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't
> get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's
> jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although,
> I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female
> barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to
> Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at:
> http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly
> dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me,
> who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags
> in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis.
> My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly

> dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend
> over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in
> the men's room of the Detroit bus station.
>
>
> "Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure
> was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10,
> (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born
> again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I
> loved those guys when they'd tell me to tongue their assholes.
> Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!
>
> Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit
> of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught
> bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a
> state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up
> with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit
> Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and
> believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after
> attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy
> Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But
> one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these
> people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one
> guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham,
> (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he
> would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I*
> thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full
> TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and
> living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
> Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being
> interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a
> young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I
> eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
> said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each
> show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute for
> Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
> totally forgot how to play because I was violently burbing up the
> sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow...
> speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
> changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as
> well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
> wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
> immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to
> play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
> Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
> didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I
> entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs,
> Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
> and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy
> Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned

> heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...
>
>
>
> MY MOMENT OF CLARITY
>
> Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
> Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
> tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop
> chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone
> from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not
> getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord
> penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go
> back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me
> suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But
> I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my
> mind...
>
>
> "I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit
> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps
>
> Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from
> serving my God, the Penis?????????
>
>
> My Return to total Fagassedness!
>
> Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to
> Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless
> Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about
> a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard
> in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be
> where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I
> came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was
> a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year
> old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a
> nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went
> in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing
> here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you
> suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy
> Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the
> point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running
> after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at
> him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!"
> and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for
> service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay
> Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security
> Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled
> and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to

> masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids
> nuts....
> I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy
> chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come
> back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on
> fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and
> needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord
> Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.
>
>
> I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people
> as we are, and makes us his Servants....
>
>
> Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit
> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.
>
>
> HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS
> BECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!
>
>
> I was, I guess you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My
> Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB
> radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years
> old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's
> Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world
> capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with
> the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot
> mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing
> about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on
> those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard
> to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton
> (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested
> in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex
> together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your
> gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL
> (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally
> licensed in 1989....
>
>
> On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a
> renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often
> masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And
> really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be
> after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.
>
>
> My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because

> as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my
> ego.
>
> so there you go!
>
>
> I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!
>
> --

> With apologies to Rudolph....
>
> Chukkee the gayboy jizz likk
> Sucks a throbbin thrustin dick
> There aint a cock on the planet
> Chukkee wouldn't gladly lick
>
> All of the other gayboys
> Used to laugh and call him names
> They loved to taunt poor Chukkee
> And toss him in the newsgroup flames
>
> Then one foggy Christmas eve
> Twithed came to say
> "Chukkee with your lips so bright,
> Won't you wash my nuts tonite?"
>
> Then how 'ole Chukkee loved it
> As he bobbed up and down
> That is the story of Chukkee
> The little gay fag keyclown

3719. Re: There ain't no cons, just PROS, BROS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:16:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF3C4CE.81BB5C50@cwis.net>
Source record: 146205

Dave or Debby,
It's plain to see that you have no idea of
what you're talking about.
'Doc

3720. Re: ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:35:52 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3C948.F8FB25E1@secret.com>
Source record: 146206

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Dem commies kicked the Hambos out, but can dey kick CB out ? !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021207230709.05802.00011472@mb-ms.aol.com...
> > Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1321 - December 6, 2002
> >
> > Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 with a release date of Friday,
> > December 6, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
> >
> > The following is a Q-S-T. North Korea takes its only ham off the air and
> the
> > first digital voice Q-S-O across the Atlantic. These stories are first on
> > Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 coming your way right now.
> >
> >
> > (Billboard Cart Here)
> >
> > **
> >
> > INTERNATIONAL - N. KOREA: LAST HAM FORCED OFF THE AIR
> >
> > The Peoples Republic of Korea appears to no longer want Amateur Radio
> operating
> > from its soil. It made its intention clear when it asked its only ham ito
> pack
> > his gear and send it out of the country. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in
> Nottingham
> > England has the details:
> >
> > --
> > Ed, P5/4L4FN, the only radio amateur operating legally from North Korea,
> has
> > had to close down. On the evening of the 22nd of November, Ed was called
> into a
> > meeting with the North Korean Radio Regulation Board. Without any
> explanation
> > he was politely asked to stop all transmissions and to pack up all his
> radio
> > equipment.
> >
> > Ed spent the following day dissembling his antennas and packing boxes.

> That
> > afternoon government officials sealed all the boxes and Ed has been told
> to
> > take everything out of the country when he leaves North Korea for a
> two-week
> > holiday on the 10th of December.

If Ed was smart, he wouldn't come back!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >
> > Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
> > --
> >
> > With Ed now off the air, ham radio is gone from North Korea and nobody
> expects
> > it to be resumed anytime in the near future. It also puts North Korea
> back
> > near the top of D-X'ings most wanted list.
> > More on this story is at www.amsatnet.com/p5.html. (RSGB)

3721. OT: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:41:01 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3CA7D.63AB6270@secret.com>
Source record: 146207

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Don't worry--it will HIT him soon ! And when it does, you will KNOW that it
> was The Lord Jesus Christ (GOD) that did it !

I was taught that Jesus is the son of GOD.
Jesus was also Jewish. Why do Christians
think Jesus is so great, and despise Jews?

3722. Re: assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:45:47 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3CB9B.8BE24F91@secret.com>
Source record: 146209

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Why don't YOU sell them to him cheaper? YOU is da man, Jay!
> Dave!

OK.

1) Postage
2) Envelope
3) Paper
4) Copies
5) Time

That's 5 bucks right there, not including a trip
to the post office.

3723. Re: Chukkee Sukkee: Ya better go call the Iron Coffins again! SISSY ASS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:45:57 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <pqi7vuoou0ld1eu310t2l0so49lbadrh5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 146208

On Sun, 08 Dec 2002 22:09:38 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> was blabbling:

...Yes, Chuck has had a colored past all right. I hope he can get some
help
...before he gets to the end of the road... which will probably mean
prison.
...They will probably throw away the key...

You're a one to talk....pooooooornfessor.

go build some more CB Linears...

3724. FA:Magnum Delta Force / Modulator / 636 L / Meter $250 DEAL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 22:45:59 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <uni7vuchsqj0oqaj2vg2is2g9e48f0vitr@4ax.com>
Source record: 146210

Buy it for $250 whoa go buy all this stuff and see what you would pay.....hope ya get there 1st...he he LOL

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1944286259

just listed so might take a while to show,auction # is 1944286259

3725. Re: OT: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:00:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021208180001.08871.00000328@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 146212

might have something to do with the jews not recognizing him and something
another with a wood cross too

>
>I was taught that Jesus is the son of GOD.
>Jesus was also Jewish. Why do Christians
>think Jesus is so great, and despise Jews?
>
>

3726. sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:06:33 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <f0k7vucs7nk7umoosi5r6c9fq0oamvhf3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 146211

and all heck breaks out all over again, I was there in Findlay when Doug called a truce with Chuck, this foolishness is getting out of hand.

On another note, happy holidays all and I hope you have a safe one as well.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

3727. Re: sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:09:32 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3D12C.2CCB5971@secret.com>
Source record: 146213

Keith Hosman wrote:
>
> and all heck breaks out all over again, I was there in Findlay when
> Doug called a truce with Chuck, this foolishness is getting out of
> hand.

This place is exactly like a CB radio. One big happy family.

> On another note, happy holidays all and I hope you have a safe one as
> well.

You, too.

3728. Re: sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:19:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021208181923.08946.00000198@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 146214

keith, your the one who was defending doug. next time think more on who your friends are

>Keith Hosman wrote:
>>
>> and all heck breaks out all over again, I was there in Findlay when
>> Doug called a truce with Chuck, this foolishness is getting out of
>> hand.

3729. Re: sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:46:43 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <edm7vugba85odab57siogqb03cq9c6ccjm@4ax.com>
Source record: 146215

On Sun, 08 Dec 2002 18:06:33 -0500, Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
was blabbling:

...and all heck breaks out all over again, I was there in Findlay when
...Doug called a truce with Chuck, this foolishness is getting out of
...hand.
...
...

Yeah, I know, Keith.... I don't what sparked this..

3730. Re: Hey Dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:57:52 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3dc6b_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146216

YOU tell him Mr. Giffey!
Dave!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:9mPI9.50280$hK4.4362261@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> He's "on a roll" all right...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:P0PI9.435$uW1.50943214@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Making up for lost time on the newsgroup?
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3731. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-08 23:59:16 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3dcbe_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146217

In their low tech, drug filled brain!
Dave!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:i4MI9.49880$hK4.4339236@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> In what way?
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> > Aluminum always beats fiberglass.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3732. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:04:04 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <U5RI9.131026$Kj1.5280208@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146218

Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna the same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but also outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.

"No Pity" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message news:j_ecnYWkqZVrBW6gXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> "Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
> news:rNNI9.137042$8D.3804200@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Description:
> > M-400 STARDUSTER. Re-introducing the classic M-400 Starduster CB radio
> > antenna of yesteryear! This antenna is known for it's excellence in
> > performance. It is ruggedly made of anticorodal aluminum, brass and nylon
> > and is designed for easy assembly. Available in limited quantities.
> >
> > * Impedance 52 Ohm
> > * Gain 7 Db
> > * Max power 800 W
> > * S.W.R. 1:1,1 - 1:1,5
> > * Length 18'
> >
> > NOT A QUARTER WAVE!!
>
>
> The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed. The
> length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials,
> which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave dipole
> is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi gain,
> you beleive that one to right?
>
>

3733. Re: ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:06:30 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3de70_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146219

```
Why would hams want to talk to their brother commies in a commie country? !
I WONDER what this Hambo said to get him kicked out of the country! In this
story, it shows that LEGAL means UNDER COMMUNISM's plank #6 ! A guy I met
from eastern USSR went for vacation in N. Korea. He said that when he was
there AS a tourist, they had someone watching him ALL the time! Imagine
them commies having to watch a fellow USSR commie as a tourist! He told me
he saw one in a BUSH (not george) watching him; he grabbed a ROCK & threw it
@ the bush man commie! (and HIT him with it)! I told him that was your 1st
step in becomming an American!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DF3C948.F8FB25E1@secret.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > Dem commies kicked the Hambos out, but can dey kick CB out ? !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021207230709.05802.00011472@mb-ms.aol.com...
> > > Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1321 - December 6, 2002
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 with a release date of
Friday,
> > > December 6, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
> > >
> > > The following is a Q-S-T. North Korea takes its only ham off the air
and
> > the
> > > first digital voice Q-S-O across the Atlantic. These stories are
first on
> > > Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 coming your way right now.
> > >
> > >
> > > (Billboard Cart Here)
> > >
> > > **
> > >
> > > INTERNATIONAL - N. KOREA: LAST HAM FORCED OFF THE AIR
> > >
> > > The Peoples Republic of Korea appears to no longer want Amateur Radio
> > operating
```

> > > from its soil. It made its intention clear when it asked its only ham
ito
> > pack
> > > his gear and send it out of the country. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in
> > Nottingham
> > > England has the details:
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ed, P5/4L4FN, the only radio amateur operating legally from North
Korea,
> > has
> > > had to close down. On the evening of the 22nd of November, Ed was
called
> > into a
> > > meeting with the North Korean Radio Regulation Board. Without any
> > explanation
> > > he was politely asked to stop all transmissions and to pack up all his
> > radio
> > > equipment.
> > >
> > > Ed spent the following day dissembling his antennas and packing boxes.
> > That
> > > afternoon government officials sealed all the boxes and Ed has been
told
> > to
> > > take everything out of the country when he leaves North Korea for a
> > two-week
> > > holiday on the 10th of December.
>
>
> If Ed was smart, he wouldn't come back!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> > >
> > > Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
> > > --
> > >
> > > With Ed now off the air, ham radio is gone from North Korea and nobody
> > expects
> > > it to be resumed anytime in the near future. It also puts North Korea
> > back
> > > near the top of D-X'ings most wanted list.
> > > More on this story is at www.amsatnet.com/p5.html. (RSGB)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3734. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:06:55 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3DE9F.D942B257@secret.com>
Source record: 146220

Tony wrote:
>
> Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna the
> same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but also
> outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.

> > you beleive that one to right?

Yea! What he said. Educate me how this works.

3735. Re: Hey Dave!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:07:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3de9f_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146221

Ain't NO doubt, Jawz man!
Dave!

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:P0PI9.435$uW1.50943214@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Making up for lost time on the newsgroup?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3736. Re: RE:TECH WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:08:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3df03$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146222

What cage? A 6th plank cage? ! That's what the Hambo in N. Korea was in!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:yfOI9.745$iT6.329040@news.alltel.net...
> Dave does Debbie know your out of your cage?....
>
> drc
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3df38e84_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > And NOW Train, with communism supposedly DEAD, ANYONE can work on
radios!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> > news:20021208122011.14186.00000243@mb-fm.aol.com...
> > > >how can he be 49 years old with 37 years experience...??? don't you
> > have
> > > >to be 18 to get a first class commercial license?
> > > >
> > > >drc
> > > >
> > >
> > > It used to be that you could work on radios with a 2nd class
> > ticket........Get
> > > the facts straight.
> > > Train
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3737. Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:14:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e03d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146223

Yep, they are. Their SINS of ripping off people I think are starting to
come back on them! The stories I hear are unbelievable!
The problem is that it is giving all the good places a bad name too!
Hopfully ALL the bad places will be gone soon, but I'm dreamin' on this one!
Anyone else disgusted with them thieves too?
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3738. Re: What a game in Dallas!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:15:58 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <5P-cnUDmrNNafW6gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146224

Sure wasn't the one against the Eagles.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:uTOI9.427$jP1.50497865@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> This has to be the best game they played all year.

> Landshark

3739. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:16:33 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <EmOdnQHV_8J3fW6gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146225

Tim is that limp a gimp?

"Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
news:20021208141517.27680.00009714@mb-mf.aol.com...
> dave, f*ck you. sincerly Mr Nebo
>
>
>
>

3740. Re: sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:18:21 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <B2Sdnd61bY7IfG6gXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146226

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021208182412.741$Mq@newsreader.com...
> Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> > and all heck breaks out all over again, I was there in Findlay when
> > Doug called a truce with Chuck, this foolishness is getting out of
> > hand.
> >
> It's the fucking ham operators who are doing it too.
>
> Lamers!

Not is is not it is the lame cbers who wanna be hams.

3741. Leave our PROfessor alone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:19:12 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e16a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146227

HEY NERD----don't talk about OUR PROfessor that way! He ain't no Pornfessor, and what is wrong with building linears? Some of da best Hams I know have built them! Just ask Dug A Dare, McVoob, N8 and da gang! Maybe someday they will make an N8 brand linear! Ain't NO doubt ! Dave!!!!!!!!!!4-10!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"lespankerdes" <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com> wrote in message news:pqi7vuoou0ld1eu310t2l0so49lbadrh5o@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 08 Dec 2002 22:09:38 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...Yes, Chuck has had a colored past all right. I hope he can get some
> help
> ...before he gets to the end of the road... which will probably mean
> prison.
> ...They will probably throw away the key...
>
>
> You're a one to talk....poooooooornfessor.
>
> go build some more CB Linears...
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3742. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:21:18 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <2_OdnYDDwPaaf26gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146228

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:U5RI9.131026$Kj1.5280208@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna the
> same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but
also
> outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.

How so tony? now you also saying an astroplane will out perform a 5/8 wave,
thats really odd both antennas are not even similair yet they both are
physicaly shorter and are out performing the longer antennas. Ever heard of
Eznec.

> "No Pity" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
> news:j_ecnYWkqZVrBW6gXTWcoA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
> > news:rNNI9.137042$8D.3804200@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Description:
> > > M-400 STARDUSTER. Re-introducing the classic M-400 Starduster CB radio
> > > antenna of yesteryear! This antenna is known for it's excellence in
> > > performance. It is ruggedly made of anticorodal aluminum, brass and
> nylon
> > > and is designed for easy assembly. Available in limited quantities.
> > >
> > > * Impedance 52 Ohm
> > > * Gain 7 Db
> > > * Max power 800 W
> > > * S.W.R. 1:1,1 - 1:1,5
> > > * Length 18'
> > >
> > > NOT A QUARTER WAVE!!
> >
> >
> > The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed.
> The
> > length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials,
> > which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave
dipole
> > is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi
> gain,
> > you beleive that one to right?
> >
> >
>
>

3743. No moe pushers of 18 ... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:26:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e316_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146229

That's why you are a GM parts guy. Being in the CB business for over 23
years, MY GUESS is that:

(a) This guy is on 18 foot coax DRUGS big time, thinking that since 18 foot
is ALLEGEDLY mandatory in mobeels, it must be mandatory on BASE antennas
too! I have made up base coax for YEARS, and NEVER have I made a length
that short for a base antenna! NEVER !

(b) From my CB shop experience, the customer is RARELY always right.
RARELY. So what happened is some 18 foot drug pusher has brainwashed the
customer on the "need" for 18' on a base antenna. I am in the
UN-brainwashing business. I get them DUMB numbers like 18, 8x, and names
like WILSON out of their heads, so they can THINK again! Then they are
HAPPY !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:uExH9.239011$P31.94839@rwcrnsc53...
> My guess would be that his radio will be within 18ft of the antenna. But a
> GOOD place such as yourself would know that.
> Besides, the customer is always right. But a GOOD place such as yourself
> already knows that !! (abuse of
> exclamation points added intentionally)
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3dee9f01_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep, the Lord KNOWS where the GOOD places are, such as myself ! What I
> want
> > to know is WHY does he want 18' of coax for an antron 99 ! Did the 18'
> drug
> > addicts transfer over to base antennas ? !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Oh lord...
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message

> > > news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> > > > Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> > > > http://www.iglou.com/copper/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3744. Re: what antenna for 100,000 watt linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:27:17 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <6eydnaberobwfm6gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146230

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021208153117.26388.00008359@mb-ft.aol.com...
> >> Then the next problem will be what >>co-ax to use.... Me don't thinks
RG-58
> >> will like that power level too much! ;-)
> LDF6-50 by Andrews will handle the job.
> Get ready to pay the piper, $10/ft unless you buy the roll...then maybe
$9!
> You just gotta love RF !
>
>
> "Got RF?"

I have a 300' roll of LDF4-50 how much is that worth per foot, was used at
cell site.

3745. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:27:45 UTC
From: stomp443@msn.com
Message-ID: <at0o27$8651@pen6.pen.k12.va.us>
Source record: 146236

Thanks everyone for all your help. I looked around at a cb shop 20 miles from here that I never knew existed and purchased a dipole antenna. I saw a Firestik indoor antenna but he wanted $51 for it so I opted for the cheaper one.

I don't really understand why my landlord is so against mounting antennas. I am in a rural area, it would be out of sight, I would have help mounting it, he's a lousy carpenter so a few holes in the eaves wouldn't be a big deal...but he's just a tightwad on a power trip so I'll just wait til I buy a house and mount a damned PDL2 or moonraker just to indulge!

But yeah...thanks guys, I appreciate it

Brian
stomp443@msn.com

--
"Liberate your mind, you motherfucker you're so narrow minded so narrow minded. So Liberate your mind, you motherfucker won't you liberate your mind, this time.
Waiting for your modern mosiah, to take away all the hatred, that darkens the light in your eye, still awaiting light"
(Tis only a sig)

"news" <stomp443@msn.com> wrote in message
news:asnnqn$1eb1@pen6.pen.k12.va.us...
> Could anyone direct me to a site where I could buy a decent indoor antenna.
> My landlord won't let me mount my antron or groundplane on the roof or peak.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Brian
>
>

3746. Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:29:49 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <NISI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146231

I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB BREAK "
I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I met one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old chevy. He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag on them.
I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought that the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as big as they were, talk about funny!

If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be sure
and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the earth!

3747. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:32:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e480_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146232

Yo was in da HOOD, sunny! Why don't you post on "Ugly YL's on Ham bands",
instead ? I'm sure dem Hambo women is orglier dan da CB'ers!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com...
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "
> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I
met
> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old
chevy.
> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag
on
> them.
> I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought
that
> the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
> some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as
big
> as they were, talk about funny!
>
> If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be
> sure
> and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> earth!
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3748. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:34:01 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <JpSI9.341$VR.69271608@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146233

Thieves? You gotta be kidding. Most truckers carry and have a
shoot first and ask questions later attitude. You rip them off and you
got problems..... I should know, I am a former trucker myself. Never had
to draw my weapon on anybody but if somebody did rip me off,
it would be bad day for them...forever....

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df3e03d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, they are. Their SINS of ripping off people I think are starting to
> come back on them! The stories I hear are unbelievable!
> The problem is that it is giving all the good places a bad name too!
> Hopfully ALL the bad places will be gone soon, but I'm dreamin' on this
one!
> Anyone else disgusted with them thieves too?
> Dave!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3749. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:37:17 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <1BRI9.290881$WL3.97138@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 146234

That is about how it is at most hamfests nowadays too. I think they were handing out deodorant sticks at the entrance to the Shelby hamfest back in Sept.

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message news:NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com...
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "
> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I met
> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old chevy.
> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag on
> them.
> I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought that
> the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
> some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as big
> as they were, talk about funny!
>
> If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be
> sure
> and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> earth!
>
>

3750. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:38:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e5da_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146235

You're wrong! I've only worked for 2 "killer truck drivers" in the past,
that told me that THEY destroyed CB shops because of getting ripped off.
Most drivers today are very FED UP with what is out here today, for the most
part.
Dave!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:JpSI9.341$VR.69271608@news.inreach.com...
> Thieves? You gotta be kidding. Most truckers carry and have a
> shoot first and ask questions later attitude. You rip them off and you
> got problems..... I should know, I am a former trucker myself. Never had
> to draw my weapon on anybody but if somebody did rip me off,
> it would be bad day for them...forever....
>
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3df3e03d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep, they are. Their SINS of ripping off people I think are starting to
> > come back on them! The stories I hear are unbelievable!
> > The problem is that it is giving all the good places a bad name too!
> > Hopfully ALL the bad places will be gone soon, but I'm dreamin' on this
> one!
> > Anyone else disgusted with them thieves too?
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3751. Check out this bullcrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:45:31 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <vASI9.348$5N.68958250@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146237

To: americaneaglessbcbersclub@yahoogroups.com
From: Freddie Bulletsmasher <freekyfreddie@yahoo.com>
Date: Sun Dec 8, 2002 4:43 pm
Subject: need a radio
Hi,

I need a radio that will get me on 27.555 and beyond. SSB and at least
25 watts output. I can pay up to $175 maybe more depending on what
you got. Please send me the radio so I can look at it and I will
send you my money if it works ok. I will not rip you off, I am kewl
like that ok?

Send your radios to:

Nick Delante
P.O. Box 893332
Sacramento, CA 95841

3752. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:48:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e82c_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146238

Points commented on below each point below.
Dave

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com...
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "

Well, was it a Gathering of what? Was it a CB Coffee Break, like
what existed in the 70's, or was it a place for an old prune Hambo like
yourself to go to to feel superior over the Poor?

> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I
met

Are you descriminating against old people here, or just poor people?
Why didn't you give them $$$ so they could buy teeth, from your infinite
riches, Mr. Hamateur? !

> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old
chevy.

How do you know--did you buy some? And does 1 pervert make the whole
crowd bad?

> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag
on
> them.

I guess you don't like antennas, pirates or conferderates.
Remember, this country did NOT sign the international pirate agreement in
the past. We can be pirates here! As far as confederates, did you have
your UN flag on your jap car there?

> I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought
that

How close did you get to smell ? IS beauty in the eye of the
beholder? Better talk to GOD about your UGLY problem!

> the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had

What about a HAM freq. show instead ? I've met more freaks as hams
than CB'ers! Cut yo hair, Hippy !

> some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as
big
> as they were, talk about funny!

Were you gealous about this? Did you want the attention instead? !

>
> If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be
> sure
> and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> earth!

Just seeing you might just be a sight too!
How LOW will you Hamboteurs go in HATE & smashing CB ? Maybe we should do
something like this on YOUR NG !
Dave!!

>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3753. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:48:54 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df3e864_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146239

YOU tell them !
Dave!

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:1BRI9.290881$WL3.97138@rwcrnsc54...
> That is about how it is at most hamfests nowadays too. I think they were
> handing out deodorant sticks at the entrance to the Shelby hamfest back in
> Sept.
>
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com...
> > I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a "
CB
> > BREAK "
> > I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I
> met
> > one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old
> chevy.
> > He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag
> on
> > them.
> > I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought
> that
> > the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
> > some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as
> big
> > as they were, talk about funny!
> >
> > If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend.
Be
> > sure
> > and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> > earth!
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3754. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:55:01 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <qJSI9.358$wU.69438562@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146240

Listen up junior,

Some thangs cannot be said in a puboholic forum....get my drift honey?

We got crazies out there, fingers on the trigger, safety off, just waiting
for
the moment they can " cook off " a few thousand rounds at us poor
hardworking
sucks who just want to come home, yank our shoes and socks off (whew),
pop open a bottel of our favorite brew and kick back.....

but if you really must know, I can be found at:\

34600 Beegum Drive
Sacramento, CA 95841

I usually sit in front of the largest living room window, easily viewable
from the
street, just to the left of the floor lamp. If you park across the street,
you can put
me between the crosshairs fairly easily. I'm getting goosebumps just
thinking
about it.... :)

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df3e5da_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> You're wrong! I've only worked for 2 "killer truck drivers" in the past,
> that told me that THEY destroyed CB shops because of getting ripped off.
> Most drivers today are very FED UP with what is out here today, for the
most
> part.
> Dave!
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:JpSI9.341$VR.69271608@news.inreach.com...
> > Thieves? You gotta be kidding. Most truckers carry and have a
> > shoot first and ask questions later attitude. You rip them off and you
> > got problems..... I should know, I am a former trucker myself. Never
had
> > to draw my weapon on anybody but if somebody did rip me off,
> > it would be bad day for them...forever....
> >
> >
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3df3e03d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...

> > > Yep, they are. Their SINS of ripping off people I think are starting
to
> > > come back on them! The stories I hear are unbelievable!
> > > The problem is that it is giving all the good places a bad name too!
> > > Hopfully ALL the bad places will be gone soon, but I'm dreamin' on
this
> > one!
> > > Anyone else disgusted with them thieves too?
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3755. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 00:59:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF3EADE.1070705@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146303

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
>
> Yessir! Often, you go for years without having to use a genny, but when you
> need it , you need it.
> It is so easy to get used to having power that we take it for granted until
> it goes out. A house seems
> to be darker when you CAN'T turn on a light, than when you go to the john in
> the night and CAN have lights if you want 'em. This reminds me of when my
> daughter was a toddler (seems like yesterday). We had been out shopping one
> day and bought her one of those shiny mylar balloons
> shaped like Mickey Mouse. She played with it during the afternoon and
> eventually lost interest in it
> whereupon it floated up to the ceiling. Sometime during the night, the
> furnace air currents caught the
> thing and it floated into the kitchen where it was now floating about
> halfway between the floor and
> ceiling. Our dog was barking, so I got up to quiet her down. On my way to
> the back door to scold the dog, I encountered that darned balloon in the
> kitchen reflecting in the moonlight. It scared
> the hell outta me! Instinctively I punched the balloon before I realized it
> wasn't some burglar or a
> ghost in my kitchen. LOL!
>
> 73
>
> Jerry

Had a very similar incident happen to me. For my 50th birthday, my
sister sent a dozen black roses to me at my office. It had
attached one of those mylar balloons with a picture of the grim
reaper on it with the inscription that said, 'relax, I just came
for the cake'. Well I took it home and the next night I was
watching a scary movie on tv after the wife had gone to bed. The
furnace came on and I caught a glimpse of something out of the
corner of my eye. I was that balloon floating through the door
from the living room with that skeleton on the front. It slowly
floated in front of the tv and out the other door into the
kitchen...where the cake was.
My sister and I had a long tradition of sending each other
birthday cards that we signed from some famous person. The year
Nixon resigned, she got a card signed from him.
To get her back for the black roses on my birthday, the next day I
had a co-worker call her up and pretend to be an IRS agent and

wanted her to come down to discuss some of her deductions concerning a townhouse she owned and was leasing out. He insisted she make the appointment that week as there was some serious concerns about her taxes. He played the part well and she really fell for it. When she finally agreed to a day he said he would be anxious to see her and go over her taxes, and then he said, "oh by the way, would you bring your birthday card you received from President Nixon with you?". You could have heard her scream for a country mile.

3756. Re: Check out this bullcrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:05:31 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <v%RI9.80787$pN3.6117@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146241

Thats a good one. I think he might be David Letterman's replacement. Very funny guy.

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message news:vASI9.348$5N.68958250@news.inreach.com...
> To: americaneaglessbcbersclub@yahoogroups.com
> From: Freddie Bulletsmasher <freekyfreddie@yahoo.com>
> Date: Sun Dec 8, 2002 4:43 pm
> Subject: need a radio
> Hi,
>
> I need a radio that will get me on 27.555 and beyond. SSB and at least
> 25 watts output. I can pay up to $175 maybe more depending on what
> you got. Please send me the radio so I can look at it and I will
> send you my money if it works ok. I will not rip you off, I am kewl
> like that ok?
>
> Send your radios to:
>
> Nick Delante
> P.O. Box 893332
> Sacramento, CA 95841
>
>
>
>
>

3757. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:14:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <y6SI9.57110$bq3.22152@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146242

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:t8eI9.487$UW6.17298748@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:GWbI9.45507$bq3.25396@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021205222411.520$dV@newsreader.com...
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > (snip)
> > > >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > > asking.
> > > >
> > > You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.
> > >
> > And again tonight. This is the second day without power. I hope not,
but
> > the news says it may
> > be Wednesday of next week before some of us get back on.
> >
> > Jerry
> > >
> > > --
>
> Well I hope you do Ok, it looks as if it's not
> too much fun if you ask me. I guess though when you
> are prepared as you are Jerry, you've got it covered
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

Got power back this morning. Genny taking a well deserved 'rest'. Me, too!
I don't think I am
the most prepared person around, but I have had *some* incentive to do so.
I keep canned foods
on the shelf and rotate them regularly so that I have basically fresh stuff
in the pantry. I heat with
a gas furnace normally, but I have the wood insert downstairs that keeps the
house above 65 up-

stairs and very comfortable in the downstairs den. I can also cook on the insert as it has a flat sur-
face that extends outward instead of being "flush" with the fireplace. I also have a wall gas heater
downstairs that I use for light duty heating and keeping it bearable until I can get the stove lit. The
generator is a 4000 watt Coleman that is quite adequate to operate the house in an emergency. It
keeps the refrig. and freezer cold, runs the lights without strain, operates the radios, computer, and
even the microwave. It ain't easy on gas, tho---takes about a gallon a hour to run it depending on the load. So I am able to survive. If you, Landshark, are in southern California where they don't have ice storms, you are lucky. Snow is not all that bad unless it gets really deep (20 or more inches), but ice (freezing rain) is the absolute WORST. We have many once-beautiful trees split
or down on the ground. Some people here in town still don't have power, but they are working as
fast as they can to get 'em back on line. It sure is no fun.

73

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3758. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:24:17 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EfSI9.57187$bq3.20661@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146243

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021207092947.429$AV@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021205222411.520$dV@newsreader.com...
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > (snip)
> > > >> But I am fine. Ole wood stove
> > > > crackling and hissing. Generator making that unmistakable Briggs &
> > > > Stratton sound. We are well prepared. Thanks for
> > > > asking.
> > > >
> > > You're running on a generator now,Jerry? Cool.
> >
> > And again tonight. This is the second day without power. I hope not,
> > but the news says it may
> > be Wednesday of next week before some of us get back on.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> Hope you don't have to wait that long. A good generator is worth its
weight
> in gold at times..

Yessir! Often, you go for years without having to use a genny, but when you
need it , you need it.
It is so easy to get used to having power that we take it for granted until
it goes out. A house seems
to be darker when you CAN'T turn on a light, than when you go to the john in
the night and CAN have lights if you want 'em. This reminds me of when my
daughter was a toddler (seems like yesterday). We had been out shopping one
day and bought her one of those shiny mylar balloons
shaped like Mickey Mouse. She played with it during the afternoon and
eventually lost interest in it
whereupon it floated up to the ceiling. Sometime during the night, the
furnace air currents caught the
thing and it floated into the kitchen where it was now floating about
halfway between the floor and
ceiling. Our dog was barking, so I got up to quiet her down. On my way to
the back door to scold the dog, I encountered that darned balloon in the
kitchen reflecting in the moonlight. It scared
the hell outta me! Instinctively I punched the balloon before I realized it
wasn't some burglar or a
ghost in my kitchen. LOL!

73

Jerry
>
> --
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> NNTP or WEB - 50 GB/month

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3759. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:33:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <coSI9.57259$bq3.10122@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146245

Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:asshu1$mg5$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:UWpH9.19424$bq3.3960@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Like the time a friend and I jacked up a guy's Volkswagen and placed it
> > on a block just enough that the rear wheel was barely off the
> > ground. He started up and, of course, the car wouldn't move.
>
> LMFAO.
>
>
> > He got out of the car and left it running and in gear because he
> > thought the tranny was out.
>
> Just as well it stayed on the blocks.
>
> > I once won a rubber snake at the county fair at some
> > shooting booth--hardly a notable prize.
>
> What a prankster... lol.
>
> >
> > Today, I wouldn't do that to someone because, while it might be funny
> > to scare a person, you never know if you might cause someone
> > to have a heart attack. I might scare my cat, but not a person.
>
> I suppose that pranks are OK between friends who know the score, but you
do
> have to know where to stop.
> The damage that so many are casing is no prank, you certainly have to draw
> the line when damage or danger are involved.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

The jacking-up-car prank DID backfire on some grown men I knew of. Seems
one of the men that
worked in the rail yards where I did bought a new Buick back in the 50s. He
bragged constantly about how his Buick rode and drove--how smoothe it
was--until a couple of fellas got the bright idea to embarrass him. They

jacked up the heavy sedan and placed a block under the axle so that
one wheel was off the ground a bit. Of course, when the man attempted to
leave, the car wouldn't
go, so, thinking that his transmission was out, he put the car back into
park. The wheel was spinning at 20 MPH or more, and when he placed the car
in park, it DID tear up the Park pall on the drive shaft that locked the
thing into park. Boy, was he hot to find that his car was torn up by
a prank. Nobody owned up to it, either.

73

Jerry

>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/02
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3760. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:35:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146244

The stupid thing, Dave, is that both the CBers and amateurs are doing it to each other. Personally, I'd rather meet good folks - and I've done so on both sides of the fence. I've had a good chat with a gentleman who is both a cber and an amateur and he's got stories from both sides of the fence. The hams that want to put down the newbies (and cbers) and the cbers who don't want to talk - simply try and rule the airwaves. Oh well, to each his own, I suppose. Sooner or later, I would hope that sanity could reign ... we all (at least most of us) enjoy radio. I'd like to see less of the flames and more discussion (but that can be difficult as anyone who has read the last hundred threads or so can tell). Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks pay attention to the first 5 letters of that holiday?) ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - regardless of what side of which line you live on, please ... everyone have a merry Christmas and a safe and happy new year!!!

"! =-----

3761. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 01:51:20 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <sGSI9.286096$QZ.42999@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146246

Good point Jim. I agree 100%.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
> The stupid thing, Dave, is that both the CBers and amateurs are doing it
to
> each other. Personally, I'd rather meet good folks - and I've done so on
> both sides of the fence. I've had a good chat with a gentleman who is
both
> a cber and an amateur and he's got stories from both sides of the fence.
> The hams that want to put down the newbies (and cbers) and the cbers who
> don't want to talk - simply try and rule the airwaves. Oh well, to each
his
> own, I suppose. Sooner or later, I would hope that sanity could reign ...
> we all (at least most of us) enjoy radio. I'd like to see less of the
> flames and more discussion (but that can be difficult as anyone who has
read
> the last hundred threads or so can tell). Oh well ... take it easy and
have
> a merry Christmas and happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many
folks
> pay attention to the first 5 letters of that holiday?) ...
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - regardless of what side of which line you live on, please ...
everyone
> have a merry Christmas and a safe and happy new year!!!
>
>
> "! =-----
>
>

3762. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:00:03 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <COSI9.286187$QZ.42945@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146297

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
<snip>

>Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and
happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks pay
attention to the first 5 letters of that >holiday?) ...

<snip>

uhhhh..........What does Chris have to do with anything?
Let me count again...

C
1
h
2
r
3
i
4
s
5

Chris is a great guy and all but wouldn't you rather pay
closer attention to the first six?

hehe....Merry Christmas

Dave

3763. Re: No moe pushers of 18 ... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:13:26 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <4su7vuoj81ta4igcie59m24gkfpk784o6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 146301

On Sun, 8 Dec 2002 19:26:20 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>That's why you are a GM parts guy. Being in the CB business for over 23
>years, MY GUESS is that:
>
>(a) This guy is on 18 foot coax DRUGS big time, thinking that since 18 foot
>is ALLEGEDLY mandatory in mobeels, it must be mandatory on BASE antennas
>too! I have made up base coax for YEARS, and NEVER have I made a length
>that short for a base antenna! NEVER !
>
>(b) From my CB shop experience, the customer is RARELY always right.
>RARELY. So what happened is some 18 foot drug pusher has brainwashed the
>customer on the "need" for 18' on a base antenna. I am in the
>UN-brainwashing business. I get them DUMB numbers like 18, 8x, and names
>like WILSON out of their heads, so they can THINK again! Then they are
>HAPPY !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I'm going to agree with you on this Dave, you only need as much coax
as it takes to make a good run from the radio to the antenna, the 18'
BS is just that, BS. Hey did I see in another thread you are heading
to the buckeye state? If so when?

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

3764. Re: Check out this bullcrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:22:07 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208212207.06238.00000612@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146298

haha, thats my boy!!

Thatz-me-JP

3765. UGA Vs. ARK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:23:43 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208212343.06238.00000614@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146299

HOW BOUT THEM DAWGS?!?!?!? #1 UGA fan right here!!! whoooooo hoooo!!!!

Thatz-me-JP

3766. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:29:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv8013q0qkbq6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146300

Hehehehe ... Dangnabbit, Dave, I'm in the middle of my four 12 hour shifts. I had a brain cramp! Hopefully, you got the idea. Geeze, let me take off my shoes and socks so I can count ... gasp! Oh my gosh ... wheeze ... **choke** arrrrrgh!

73 from Rochester, NY
No spell Jim

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:COSI9.286187$QZ.42945@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
> <snip>
>
> >Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and
> happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks pay
> attention to the first 5 letters of that >holiday?) ...
>
> <snip>
>
>
> uhhhh..........What does Chris have to do with anything?
> Let me count again...
>
> C
> 1
> h
> 2
> r
> 3
> i
> 4
> s
> 5
>
> Chris is a great guy and all but wouldn't you rather pay
> closer attention to the first six?
>
>
> hehe....Merry Christmas
>
> Dave
>
>

>
>

3767. Army Navy game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 02:59:09 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at10ok$f9bg$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146302

GO NAVY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Semper FI MAC!!!

3768. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 03:11:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <m928vuk8d2fnru5g705p2rr0ndgiv7h73v@4ax.com>
Source record: 146304

On Sun, 8 Dec 2002 15:30:25 -0500, "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
wrote:

>Joking about what? I sold each brand mentioned in da past. I have 2 Italy
>made ones left. Sold one a month ago.
>Dave!

Dave, mine is from around 1980, so I would guess it is from AS. It is definitely
not from Italy. I am from Italy though.

I just wonder if it is still any good. It has been nearly horizontal for 20
years, and I wonder is it has aquired a bend in its shape, that would affect
it's performance.

Vinnie S.

3769. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 03:15:14 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212081915.6fdbf280@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146305

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3df3b0d6_3@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Don't worry--it will HIT him soon ! And when it does, you will KNOW that it
> was The Lord Jesus Christ (GOD) that did it !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yawn, yawn... I'm sure J.C. would be REAL proud to have you on his side.

Guy

3770. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 03:59:16 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oyUI9.82781$pN3.6190@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146306

PUT 'UM ON PUT 'UM ON!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dangit all, What in the world would make you think it's ok
to take those off in a crowded public forum?!?

Dave

(dagnabbit? dangit all? What in the hell is going on in
RRCB?)

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uv8013q0qkbq6e@corp.supernews.com...
> Hehehehe ... Dangnabbit, Dave, I'm in the middle of my
four 12 hour shifts.
> I had a brain cramp! Hopefully, you got the idea. Geeze,
let me take off
> my shoes and socks so I can count ... gasp! Oh my gosh
... wheeze ...
> **choke** arrrrrgh!
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> No spell Jim
>
>
> "Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:COSI9.286187$QZ.42945@sccrnsc02...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
> > <snip>
> >
> > >Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and
> > happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks
pay
> > attention to the first 5 letters of that >holiday?) ...
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >
> > uhhhh...........What does Chris have to do with
anything?
> > Let me count again...
> >
> > C

> > 1
> > h
> > 2
> > r
> > 3
> > i
> > 4
> > s
> > 5
> >
> > Chris is a great guy and all but wouldn't you rather pay
> > closer attention to the first six?
> >
> >
> > hehe....Merry Christmas
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3771. FS:Texas Star 667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 04:08:38 UTC
From: ChromeHouse@aol.com
Message-ID: <420a0ff7.0212082008.3b1907f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146307

Texas Star 667V modified never used in the box $250 or best offer
includes shipping anywhere in the U.S.A. email me if interested!

3772. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 04:23:55 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF41ADB.9010901@cac.net>
Source record: 146308

Dave:

Look up the word "Niggardly" in the dictionary. I'm sure you'll find that
you fit the definition more closely than most HAMs do.

-SSB

Dave or Debby wrote:
> To all you college edjumucated honkey digital yuppies out there, the
> definition of "Wigger" is White Nigger. I believe some of yins call that
> white trash. And remember, there are Naggars in every race ! I think
> Hamateurs are the biggest Naggars !
> Dave!!
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:jYyI9.5795$Kw6.3863931@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Did it occur to you that you were being just as much of a "wigger" as they
>>were by keying up.
>>
>> Peace out poser
>>
>>
>>"Salsa_Lip_Trucker" <nospam@nospamming.com> wrote in message
>>news:LRUF9.20811$NV.431262@news.direcpc.com...
>>
>>>CBers use 40 Channels AM and SSB and sometimes FM
>>>CBers sneak out of band to get away from the "wigger-fied" airwaves.
>>>(crossfire gets his feelin's hurt when he sees the "N"-word being used!)
>>>
>>>Hell, I love to sneak outside the 40 channels above or below to get away
>>>from the "wiglets" that dominate and they gets so excited that they
>>
> "cum"
>
>>in
>>
>>>their pants when their favorite "toy-wiggers" are on the channel.
>>>
>>>Every damn mornin' I hears their loud mouth bleedin over the channels!!
>>>
>>>They be talkins to somebody called "black man" in the bayou , when theys
>>>only talkins to themselves!!!
>>>These DXer wannabes pretend to talk DX - the person answerin' is really
>>>livin' in the next town!!

>>>That's whys theys so clear!
>>>It's Sad!! It's Sad!!
>>>
>>>I key up and make all kinds of sounds to get them goin'.
>>>They come back and tell me to shut up, call me a "mud-duck" (I keyed up
>>
>>all
>>
>>>over them and the only word they use is "mud-duck"?) WIGGER, Please!!
>>>
>>>I sometimes sit in a undisclosed location and key up and say some off
>>
> the
>
>>>wall shit!
>>>
>>>I used to work for Gundlach Heating / ac in Richmond, VA until I got A
>>
>>REAL
>>
>>>job.
>>>I remember someone called my work and threatened to take me to court for
>>>verbal abuse on the CB!!
>>>WIGGER, Please!!
>>>
>>>They used to fuck wit me on Channel 16 - they called it the family
>>
> channel
>
>>>or some shit!
>>>more like the faggot channel!
>>>they used to mess with ol 513 and threatened him!
>>>Some fag callin hisself "Step or stelth Rider" --- what the hell kinda
>>
>>name
>>
>>>is that on a cb radio?
>>>he must not have no woman as sorry as he was!!
>>>workin as a rentacop at car lot in 1994
>>>Dumb ass -- he and someother stupid persons were trackin my radio down!!
>>>Well they found an empty van is all they found!!
>>>If they'ed look alittle more they might have seen me in the back! with
>>
> my
>
>>>GLOCK!
>>>If that faggot stumprider's out there his momma is a buttfuckin whore!!
>>
>>and
>>

>>>his daddy ain't nuthin but a nigger lover!!
>>>dats how stumprider has his mental problems all the damn time!
>>>tryin to make people like him jes becoz he cry alot!!!
>>>His real name is -- well he ain'ts got no real name worth havin'!
>>>he goes around poppin off about he gonna kick ass or some shit!!
>>>If I sees that faggot I ma gonna shoot him and his famly.
>>>I knows the others who tracked me down that night!
>>>I really dont gives a damn 'bout 9/11 -- Ima gonna take that fagot out!!
>>>any fool try to stop me will get a good ass beatin'!
>>>I likes that extreme shit!
>>>its a way of life ands if yous can't take it -thens I shows you how to
>>
>>take
>>
>>>it!!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3773. Re: sheesh, I am gone for a few weeks.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:00:21 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <KVqdnaly2_68uWmgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 146309

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021208193636.430$Uo@newsreader.com...

> > Not is is not it is the lame cbers who wanna be hams.
> >
> Um..are chuck and doug hams?

I don't know for sure so any answer is just speculation on my part. Thanks
for asking.

3774. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:13:17 UTC
From: Jeff Rogerson <jeffrogerson@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <_DVI9.34597$L47.4088602@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 146310

Well, bashing them all wasn't really all that nice. But yeah, CB women are pretty ghastly.

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:oyUI9.82781$pN3.6190@sccrnsc03...
> PUT 'UM ON PUT 'UM ON!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Dangit all, What in the world would make you think it's ok
> to take those off in a crowded public forum?!?
>
> Dave
>
> (dagnabbit? dangit all? What in the hell is going on in
> RRCB?)
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uv8013q0qkbq6e@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hehehehe ... Dangnabbit, Dave, I'm in the middle of my
> four 12 hour shifts.
> > I had a brain cramp! Hopefully, you got the idea. Geeze,
> let me take off
> > my shoes and socks so I can count ... gasp! Oh my gosh
> ... wheeze ...
> > **choke** arrrrrgh!
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > No spell Jim
> >
> >
> > "Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:COSI9.286187$QZ.42945@sccrnsc02...
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > <snip>
> > >
> > > >Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and
> > > happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks
> pay
> > > attention to the first 5 letters of that >holiday?) ...
> > >
> > > <snip>

> > >
> > >
> > > uhhhh..........What does Chris have to do with
> anything?
> > > Let me count again...
> > >
> > > C
> > > 1
> > > h
> > > 2
> > > r
> > > 3
> > > i
> > > 4
> > > s
> > > 5
> > >
> > > Chris is a great guy and all but wouldn't you rather pay
> > > closer attention to the first six?
> > >
> > >
> > > hehe....Merry Christmas
> > >
> > > Dave
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3775. FS: COMPUTER/MISC STUFF - More Products-Lower Prices!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:14:46 UTC
From: RBD <spam@block.com>
Message-ID: <BA1982E5.8E00%spam@block.com>
Source record: 146311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.minnesota.forsale,umn.general.forsale

Buy now before it all goes up on eBay! I'm sure you can find something...
:)

http://home.attbi.com/~mac411online/forsale.html

3776. Sams CB-251

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:19:17 UTC
From: Bubba <bubba@here.net>
Message-ID: <3df427d6_6@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 146313

Hello, I need to trade some prints. I need the schematics and alignment for the Cobra 2000GTL from the Sams CB-251 manual. I have CB-222, featuring the President Washington. All I need is a copy of the portion containing the 2000. I'll send you a copy of the any radio in 222.

My email is nospambubbanerd@telocity.com Just remove nospam.

TIA,

Bubba

3777. Need schematic for mute circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:23:02 UTC
From: Armand <milkbone@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3DF428B6.5090707@cableone.net>
Source record: 146312

I used to have a schematic from an electronics magazine
that was for a circuit that would mute your car stereo
speaker when the squelch on the cb was broken.

It was pretty simple, just a couple of scr's that sensed
voltage on the remote speaker jack of the cb and switched
the car stereo line out's to a dummy load.

Does anyone know where I could find a schematic or something
like it?

TIA,
Bucky

3778. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:37:51 UTC
From: Armand <milkbone@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3DF42C2F.5060405@cableone.net>
Source record: 146314

Ahhh... come on now W7DVJ, the worst you ever had was wonderful.

Here we don't have CB Breaks, but we do have a "Really Big and Hairy"
club.

:P
Bucky

W7DVJ wrote:
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "
> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I met
> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old chevy.
> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag on
> them.
> I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought that
> the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
> some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as big
> as they were, talk about funny!
>
> If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be
> sure
> and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> earth!
>
>

3779. Chukkee Sukkee? Where are those Iron Coffins, you queen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:38:19 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212082138.6447e98d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146315

First off, none of you retards even knows me.

Second off CHUKKEE SUKKEE's an asshole and a FAG!

I formally apologize to Santa for this song, but no way could we resist!

Chukkee the gayboy jizz likk
Sucks a throbbin thrustin dick
There aint a cock on the planet
Chukkee wouldn't gladly lick

All of the other gayboys
Used to laugh and call him names
They loved to taunt poor Chukkee
And toss him in the newsgroup flames

Then one foggy Christmas eve
Twithed came to say
"Chukkee with your lips so bright,
Won't you wash my nuts tonite?"

Then how 'ole Chukkee loved it
As he bobbed up and down
That is the story of Chukkee
The little gay fag keyclown

3780. And here's how Chukkee Sukkee was when he was a kid.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 05:40:21 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212082140.7a630a16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146316

With one hand he's holding a his brother's penis, the other hand is holding a microphone. To play this game, Chukkee Sukkee starts sucking his brother, then he keys his mike and says "AHHHHHDIO, AHHHHHDIO".
Then he looks at a watt meter, keys his mike again, and says "AHHHHDIO, AHHHDIO!" again. He eats his brother some, strokes his pekker, bites at his nuts a little, and keys the mike again and says "AHHHHDIO, AHHHHDIO!".
After this he starts over.

Inside the house, Chukkee Sukkee's mother is watching him. She jealously sticks her head out the window and asks Chukkee Sukkee "What are you doing!!!!" get off your brothers pekker this instant, You know its my turn!!!"

Chukkie Sukkee replies, "But mommy, I'm playing ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN, like on the CB radio newsgroup."

Confused by the answer she has Chukkee Sukkee explain how he figures he's playing ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN like on the CB newsgroup.
Chukkie Sukkie replies, "I'm sucking cock and acting like a retarded criminal with a radio!!"

His mother replies "Chukkee Sukkee, you idiot...if you are playing ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN like on the CB newsgroup you have to blow the dog, too!"

3781. Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 06:17:27 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com>
Source record: 146317

this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
as follows:

-------Original Message-------

From: sadie106@juno.com
Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259

hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...

Antikeyclowns own the NG!

Question from: n8wwm
Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
Seller: sky444
Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
Price: Starts at $50.00
To view the item, go to:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259

now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen

sadie106@juno.com

IS A RAT!!!!!!

3782. OT: Parking Ticket (Humor)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 06:28:32 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF43810.3389EDFA@secret.com>
Source record: 146318

I went to the store the other day, and I was in there for only about 5 minutes. When I came out there was a damn motorcycle cop writing a parking ticket. So I went up to him and said, "Come on, buddy, how about giving a guy a break?"

He ignored me and continued writing the ticket. So I called him a pencil-necked Nazi. He glared at me and started writing another ticket for having worn tires! So I called him a piece of horse s**t. He finished the second ticket and put it on the windshield with the first. Then he started writing a third ticket! This went on for about 20 minutes. The more I abused him, the more tickets he wrote.

I didn't care. My car was parked around the corner. I try to have a little fun each day. It's important.

3783. Re: FS:Texas Star 667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 07:11:50 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF44236.F8CD149F@secret.com>
Source record: 146319

Corey's Audio wrote:
>
> Texas Star 667V modified never used in the box $250 or best offer
> includes shipping anywhere in the U.S.A. email me if interested!

Wadda ya mean, modified?

How? Why?

3784. AUGGGG!! APPLE STUFF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 07:13:51 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF442AF.88665D05@secret.com>
Source record: 146320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.minnesota.forsale,umn.general.forsale

We don't need no wormy apples.

RBD wrote:
>
> Buy now before it all goes up on eBay! I'm sure you can find something...
> :)

Got any real computer stuff?

3785. For auction: Low-priced antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 07:29:39 UTC
From: Erik Chait <erik.chait@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DDXI9.45090$vM1.3585604@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146321

I am auctioning the following items on ebay:
High-Power Magnet-Mount CB/10M Antenna:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1943477841&
rd=1
Five-inch chrome magnet mount:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=1943483491&r
d=1
Center-Loaded Magnet Mount CB Antenna:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=1943484667&
rd=1

Thanks for your interest!
Erik

3786. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 08:26:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PsYI9.139033$Kj1.5424661@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146322

Yes, that is Doggie. He's harmless.

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com...
>
>
> this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> as follows:
>
>
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: sadie106@juno.com
> Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
>
> hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
>
> Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> --------------------
>
>
> Question from: n8wwm
> Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> Seller: sky444
> Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> Price: Starts at $50.00
> To view the item, go to:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
>
>
> now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
>
> sadie106@juno.com
>
> IS A RAT!!!!!!

3787. Re: Where's NEBO--did aids get him or what ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 08:36:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%BYI9.139251$Kj1.5425264@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146323

Yep, he said it, and I'll second it!!!!!!!!!!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df3ab6a_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Tim would never say that to me, YOU REPROBATE !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Po Pimp With A Slow Limp" <timnebo@aol.com10-104> wrote in message
> news:20021208141517.27680.00009714@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > dave, f* you. sincerly Mr Nebo
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3788. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 08:40:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fGYI9.139346$Kj1.5425765@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146324

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DF41ADB.9010901@cac.net...
> Dave:
>
> Look up the word "Niggardly" in the dictionary. I'm sure you'll find that
> you fit the definition more closely than most HAMs do.
>
> -SSB
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > To all you college edjumucated honkey digital yuppies out there, the
> > definition of "Wigger" is White Nigger. I believe some of yins call
that
> > white trash. And remember, there are Naggars in every race ! I think
> > Hamateurs are the biggest Naggars !
> > Dave!!
> >

ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3789. Texas Star 350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 09:01:20 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021209040120.04096.00000044@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 146325

For Sale: mint cond texas star 350V $ 200 ....it,s the older texas star not the new cw crap ..looks new like it was just pulled out of the box

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

3790. Tram D 201A or Browning Mark (Wanted)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 12:05:48 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209070548.02780.00000138@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 146326

I looking to purchase a d201A or a Browning Mark must be working and clean I can pick it up in the Metro Area (NY/NJ/CT) certain parts of PA

Email me information

3791. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 14:13:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF4A520.4CFA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146327

Twistedhed wrote:

(Bunch of sociopathic deflection snipped for brevity)

The more you protest, the more obvious you become.

The more you attempt to make a case against me, the more pathetic
your own mindset becomes.

The fact that you would compose such a verbose essay, shows the
true depth of your obsession.

Shame you don't get paid by the word.....

You're still as predictable (And sociopathic) as ever.

But have a happy holiday anyway. Even crazy people need love.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3792. Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 15:36:44 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146328

Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com

A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.

Just thought you fellas would like to know that.

His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to do. You can look it up.

Here is his full information:

Charles Adkins
1821 LeBlanc
Lincoln Park, MI 48146

I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.

Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com

Sincerely,

A long time 1980 EG owner

3793. Secret Report = Chukkee Sukkee...here is the REAL Parking ticket story!! Straight from Chukkee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 15:38:41 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212090738.2762252b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146329

Written from a true life experience by Chukkee Sukkee:

I went to the gay bar the other day, and I was in there for about 5 hours. It was a busy night in the men's washroom. When I came out there was a damn motorcycle
cop writing a parking ticket. So I went up to him and said, "Come on, buddy, how about
giving a guy a boner?"

He ignored me and continued writing the ticket. So I called him a heterosexual, as we all know, a BIG insult to me!. He glared at me and started writing another
ticket for having worn tires! So I chewed on a piece of horse s**t to improve my breath. He
finished the second ticket and put it on the windshield with the first.
Then he started writing a third ticket! This went on for about 20 minutes. The
more I abused my 1 inch woodie in fronta him, the more tickets he wrote.

I didn't care. I blew him when he got off work. I try to have a little jizz each day. It's important to us hopelss gayboy fat ass chumps.

3794. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 15:53:54 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <m03J9.1588$Fb3.58955985@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146330

Sister mean;

I have been selling the items on e-bay, and just thought I would do a service and announce the auctions on this newsgroup. They pertain to things being discussed here. Sorry you feel you are a chump, I don't feel you are. But you could use some help on your English grammar, and internet politeness, I can't help you there. Maybe some one else can.

73

Nighthawk

"sister mean" <sisterboombah@webmail.co.za> wrote in message news:d0c4420.0212081348.18d2b660@posting.google.com...
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message news:<MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Hello everyone;
> >
> > I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot of
> > them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is also
> > low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> > sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> > right URL.
> >
> > You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
> >
> > http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Nighthawk
> >
> >
> >
> > http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com
>
> What you mean you gots all dem tubes . Whats da matta .? Cant sell
> um on ebay. What yo thin we are ? chumps

3795. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 15:56:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021209105606.05421.00000152@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 146331

thats nothing new..... your mistake was advertising it on this newsgroup

>
>sadie106@juno.com
>
>IS A RAT!!!!!!
>

3796. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:00:54 UTC
From: deckthehall@webtv.com
Message-ID: <dc1baa4a.0212090800.7e87800a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146332

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<8362-3DF0F924-47@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: nojunk n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> From: nojunk n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Lelnad KC8LDO has also called people
> federal criminals for not obeying laws..going as far as saying those who
> violate them instead of conforming to them are psychopaths..
>
> >The term is SOCIOPATH, not psychopath.
>
> No...Lelnad used the term "psychopath" not sociopath.
>
> >If you say so.
>
>
> No, LELNAD says so.
> Pay attention and
> stop being so particular about what days you choose to possess gumption.
>
> >The key is that *I* chose which posts I want to >respond to, and ignore
> those that I don't.
>
>
> .as goes usenet...congratulations for finally figuring out proper
> useage.
> It's also too bad the idea of extending the same concept you employ to
> others is lost on you. As you choose to respond to only what *you*
> choose, you know only what I select, allow and put forth to you. PT
> Barnum claimed one of you are born every minute. To set forth the idea
> that you somehow are qualified to intimately identify textbook cases
> based on what a stranger tells you (on the internet, no less) speaks
> much more of your mental health than anyones.....please continue.
>
> >Every so often I'll respond just to bitch slap >you back to reality.
> .
> What is more important is your predisposition to violence over mere
> words someone may post..to allow yourself to become huffed enough to
> allude to violence....my, my.
>
>
> >A psychopath and a sociopath share many >common maladies, but the key
> >difference is that a psychopath is prone to >violence,

>
>
>
> Hence your "bitchslap" reference..very well illustrated.
>
>
> >while a
> >sociopath
>
> Wold "sociopathic liar" fall under that umbrella?
>
> >Compulsive and habitual lying is a trait of >sociopathic mental
> illness, as well as >Narcissistic Personality Disorder.
>
>
> Your continual lying and misrepresenting what others say fits the mold,
> Davey-boy. Several examples of your hypocrisy and lies......
>
> >"There REALLY isn't a problem, It's just that >there are a FEW hams
> that got fed up with >CB, upgraded to ham, and now either >continually
> trash the CB band, or refuse to >admit that they were once a part of it.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
> Here again you give a self-description.
> Below you give advice showing your indifference to society's rules.
>
>
>
> >"Peaking a radio is NOT recommended. It's >more trouble than it's
> worth. Go with the small >2 pill amp, and let IT do the work.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> Then we have the more recent:
>
> >That's an export radio, so he's already a >criminal. So go ahead and
> modify the clarifier!
> >Dave
> >"sandbagger"
>
>
>
> Then we have below you admitting to yet a THIRD amplifier:
>
> >I'll stick with my TS amp. It seems to continue >to run with the best
> of them....

> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
> >N3CVJ
>
>
>
> Then we have your lie about the "bust" that you were nable then, and now
> to substantiate to anyone in anyway whatsoever...no records of this,
> nothing. Like a sealed public record...snicker!
>
> >I know a local CBer that was taken to court >over his CB interference,
> and was found guilty >of "disturbing the peace" and had to pay a fine
> >of $300. The neighbor had tape recordings of >the interference, and it
> didn't help that the >CBer was heard swearing on the tape.
>
>
>
>
> Once again, Hall, you lie like hell.
> Then, you offer up the gem below:
>
>
> >To your original point, it is much safer for a >CBer to run power from
> the mobile. That way >you never interfere with the same people for >very
> long.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
> In other words, it's ok to interfere with people when you're running
> your illegal amps from your mobile, 'cause they will never find out who
> you are. Brilliant, criminal, sociopathic logic, Hall.
>
>
>
> Here we have his Mott-the-Hoople impression:
>
> >Why should you fear this? Only people that >have something to hide need
> to fear this loss >of anonimity. Besides, what ham would care? >Hams
> frequently give out their phone numbers >or addresses on the air. Unlike
> CB, there >aren't a bunch of mentally challenged people >waiting to
> cause trouble with this information.
> > Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
> --
> (snicker)
> But alas, it wasn't cbers posting personal information on folks,,it's
> people like boy-Georgey, and Lelnad and Frank and yourself.. Cb has
> always been anonymous with handles....you have had a hard-on over this
> for years.
>

>
> Here is another of your gems.....a prediction where just the
> opposite happened:
>
> >The government can't stop illegal drugs, so if >the CB market is big
> enough to justify the risk, >people will still sell illegal radios, but
> they will >become more scarce, and more expensive as >a result.
> >Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
>
> Shows how clueless you were and still are.
> Radios are cheaper and easier to get than ever b4. If nothing it at
> least illustrates your astute
> prowess.
>
>
> This is a good one...crying about getting others to do it your way....
>
> >Elmering. What a nice concept. Unfortunately, >sometimes when you
> "lead", you have to tug a >little bit to pull the "elmeree" in the right
> >direction. Hams, are not going to encourage >lawless operation. The
> first step in the >"elmering" process, is to show the person, the >error
> of their ways and to steer them down an >equally fufilling (but legal)
> path.
>
>
>
>
>
> Now, mind you, this post was made at the same time as your illegal
> activities..superbly reflecting the accurate description of troublemaker
> and hypocrite.
>
>
>
> > activiteSome of the 2950's problems, I have >managed to correct, which
> make the radio >decent enough that I've used it mobile now for >close to
> 7 years. Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> Still have that as well?
> And being busted out for crossposting to rec.radio.cb and generally
> being a pest and troll.....
>
>
> >Dave Hall wrote:
> >Dave

> >"Sandbagger"
> >Dave, the CB crossposting showed up on your >reply. 73, Brian
>
>
>
>
> And below we have you hyping cb voodooodooo:
>
> >Only when there are standing waves does the >length of the coax matter.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> More of that sparkling hypocrisy:
>
> >As far as price, unless you catch a closeout >sale, RS has no price
> advantage. I would >recommend finding a mail-order stre that >carried a
> Uniden Grant XL. This radio can still >be modified via the PLL for
> out-of-band >operation, and they're usually available in the >$129
> range. Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
> > Along with an indifference to the laws of our >society
>
>
> You repeating yourself with nothing more than lies is what tarnished
> your hammie sig, Dave. Why do you act like this? the only laws I have
> ever claimed breaking are the DX and freeband...your communication
> skills must really be f*cked for you to intepret those selectively
> ignored rules as the laws of society. "Society" doesn't even know the
> difference between hammie and cb, let alone distinguishing rules.
>
>
> >and the general disregard for the rights of >other people.
>
> If you feel your rights are violated by speaking my piece, perhaps you
> should seek counseling.
>
>
>
>
> >You do possess the lions share of the >symptoms.
>
>
> And you are qualified to diagnose a stranger on the internet by what
> they force feed you just how?
> Never mind,,the fact that you believe it is rich enough to go around for
> all our enjoyment.

>
>
> >From one of the links below:
> >Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD) is >practically synonymous with
> criminal behavior.
>
>
> Not surprising.
> Based on your comments alluding to violence, it is easy to see you are
> the same old Dave Hall N3CVJ that breaks laws and is a hypocrite.
>
>
> >It's so synonymous, in fact, that practically all >convicted criminals
> (65-75%) have it, with >criminologists often referring to it as a
> >"wastebasket" category. Psychologists >consider it an adult
> >version of juvenile conduct disorder.
>
>
> But you healed yourself, right Davey-boy? Now you must heal the rest of
> the freebanders and cb lowlives who piss in your light.
>
>
> >The main characteristic of it is a complete and >utter disregard for
> the rights of others and the >rules of society.
>
>
>
> Again, your communication deficit is blinding you. 2 selectively
> disregarded rules is not "utter disregard" for anything.
>
>
> >They seldom show anxiety and don't feel guilt.
>
> Guilty.
>
>
> >There's really no effective treatment for them >other than locking them
> up in a secure facility >with
> >such rigid rules that they cannot talk their way >out.
>
>
>
> (giggle) Based on what someone you have never met says, you want to lock
> them up. Pretty much sounds like the efforts at having someone silenced,
> eh Davey-boy?
> Ahahhaah!
>
>
> >Sociopathy is chiefly characterized by >something wrong with the
> person's >conscience. They either don't have one, it's full >of holes

> like Swiss cheese, or they are >somehow able to completely neutralize or
> >negate any sense of conscience or future time >perspective. Sociopaths
> only care about >fulfilling their own needs and desires - >selfishness
> and egocentricity to the extreme. >Everything and everybody else is
> mentally >twisted
> >around in their minds as objects to be used in >fulfilling their own
> needs and desires.
> >Sound familiar?
>
>
> Yes, it does....yourself, N8, Lelnad, And Frank. But that's not
> news..and you lowlife few certainly aren't "everybody".
>
>
> >And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of
> >society, no matter what you may think of them, >are considered
> >sociopaths, so Leland was right about that.......
> So Davey boy, how long have you considered yourself a psychopath?
>
> >Try to tell a nut, he's nuts.........
>
>
>
> Let's rephrase it since you can't comprehend the communication being
> extolled.....how long has it been since you ceased being a lawbreaking
> psychopath?
>
>
> Of course the licensed hammie N3CVJ who claims with his license comes a
> responsibility to operate within his own acceptable stated perimeters
> and what he deems "responsible", only here he is blatantly bragging
> about the illegal behavior he claims makes the rest of us "federal
> criminals".
>
> >Really? Where was I "bragging" about >anything?
>
>
> The same place I showed utter disregard for society.
>
>
> From your own lips Davey-boy:
>
> >Who needs "Melrose Place" when you have a >scanner? My neighborhood
> phone traffic was >no less juicy at times. Teenaged girls talking >about
> their first time with sex, wife talking to >newly found lover while
> hubby watched >Monday Night Football in the next room, guys >making drug
> buys, and even caught one >neighbor bitching about ME and all my "radio
> >stuff" to another.
> >It's fun to try to figure out who all those voices >belong to.
> Sometimes more entertaining than >TV, because it's REAL.

>
> -
> >What does this prove?
>
>
> It shows how easy it is to entertain someone with an attention span and
> intellect such as yours.
>
>
>
> >It's not illegal to monitor cordless phones, only >cellular ones. Cell
> phones are the only ones >protected by the ECPA. Otherwise the >cordless
> phone freqs would be blocked out as >well. Now if I were to DEVULGE the
> specific >content of those conversations or record them,
>
> You just did. The law doesn't say anywhere about divulging "specifics".
> But, your sicko pleasure at listening to TEENAGE girls talk about their
> first time with sex? Couple this with the fact that you allowed one of
> your partners were in business with owning a cb hack shop was a sex
> fiend and a very disturbing picture of you is unfolding. Any grown man
> that would take delight and refer to teenage girls as "juicy", no matter
> what they said, is truly f*ucked. No wonder you are somewhat familiar
> with psyche treatment. You're a sex whacko..a genuine perv!
> No wonder you're in here,,, many hammies are aware of your sickness and
> have abandoned you......sicko!
>
>
> >Strike one, try again....
>
>
>
> Dude, you've done cashed all your chips. Freak.
> MORE..from N3CVJ's own hypcritical own words:
>
> > I will say that there are SOME hams that may >feel a bit cheated,
> since they feel obligated to >follow the rules, and it bothers them to
> see >Cbers doing all that they do, totally illegally, >and seemingly
> without the least bit of remorse. >And what is the significance of this?
> It's true >that some hams do feel cheated, when they >are bound by the
> rules, that they feel an >obligation to obey, while freebanders operate
> >outside the law, seemingly with immunity, and >certainly with no
> remorse.
>
> >What's hypocritical about that? Are you >challenging this statement? On
> what grounds? >Are you saying that there are no hams who >feel this way?
> Oh, I forgot, you speak for the >"majority".
>
>
> Which hammies were YOU purporting to speak for?
> I am much closer in touch with the views of the majority of cbers than

> you. You were proven this several times prior when only yourself,
> Lelnad, N8, and Frank were shown to refer to dxers as federal criminals.
> No one else does this,,this means you are a minority..and myself, who
> doesn't consider such self-rightous and asinine banter worthy of the
> source, am in what is referred to as the "majority" in this instant.
>
>
> > Another trait of sociopathic disorder, an over >inflated self worth.
>
>
> It just appears that way to you 'cause you have no self-worth 'cause of
> the battering your ego has taken..
>
>
>
> >What does THIS prove. So what, I have 2 >Dave Made amps. Unlike you, I
> can use them >LEGALLY (With some extensive modification >o add bias, and
> to add a lowpass filter). I >currently have a Texas Star DX 600 in my
> >truck. It works just fine on 10 meters. I get >about 750 watts peak out
> of it on SSB. I enjoy >projects, and making the Dave Made's >spectrally
> compliant,
>
>
>
>
> Really? then you wouldn't have a problem telling the group exactly what
> you did and how you did it.....you know,,so we can all benefit from the
> spectral purity bullshit you're attempting.
>
>
> >was a bit of work,
>
>
> Don't say it, sow it.
>
> >but I enjoy this type of project. Besides, I'll >probably horse trade
> them for some more >gear in the future. I've spent very little pocket
> >cash for most of my equipment.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yea,,,,,,many cbers can do what you do at a fraction of your cost. AS
> amatter of fact, it's exactly what sent Lelnad running for the hills
> concerning my article in Sporadic Waves.. lost his mind over that
> comment.
>
>

> Let's see what you said again:
>
> >And BTW, those who do thumb their noses at >the established rules of
> society, no matter >what you may think of them, are considered
> >sociopaths,
>
>
> And so again the question is posed to you...how long have you been a
> hypocritical federal lawbreaker?
>
>
> >As long as you've been lying about it.
>
>
>
> Ahh, but it was shown it was you lying about freebanding and using amps
> on 11 meter Davey-boy. Now you claim you operate withon the confines of
> the law. Again, I ask you, how long has it been since you gave up being
> a federal lawbreaker?
> You already were shown you were a liar for that...need some more?
>
>
> N3CVJ claimed he was a federal law breaker many years ago when he was
> younger until he saw the light and mended his ways......balderdash. The
> post is less than 3 years old. The fact that someone his age lies as
> much as he does is troubling enough. The real question would be WHY he
> is compelled to commit such behavior and engage in such magnificent
> lies.
>
> >And two wrongs don't make a right.
>
>
>
> Who said it did?
> This was illustrated to show how you lie,,anything else you find in it
> is cause of your communication deficit.
>
> >The fact that I operated a CB outside of FCC >rules in the past, in no
> way absolves you from >doing it now,
>
>
> No one said I am absolved. That was YOUR word, as they all are YOUR
> words. The fact that YOU did it but had your fill of it, and now if
> anyone else does it is grounds for you to harass and call names, is
> bullshit, Dave. YOU can't justify your behavior, no matter how much you
> point to another's behavior.
>
>
>
> >and believing that you're justified in doing so.

>
>
> More of your communication deficit.
>
>
> >THAT is what makes you a sociopath.
>
>
> I may be crazy, but unlike you, a habitualand compulsive liar I am not.
>
>
> >The fact that you've almost continually >attempted to deflect the topic
> away from your >lawlessness, to my alleged "lying" is a clear >case of
> deflection,
>
>
>
> My lawlessness is none of your business and was only a topic until your
> lying began.
>
>
> >which is another classic symptom of a >sociopathic disorder.
> >More links on the subject of sociopathic >disorders. Do these sound
> like anyone we >know?
> >http://home.datawest.net/esn-recovery/artcls/s>ocio.htm
> >http://www.ulm.edu/~palmer/AntisocialandHist>rionicPersonalityDisorders.h
> tm
> >http://faculty.ncwc.edu/toconnor/401/401lect16>.htm
>
> It might. The fact that you consider yourself "knowing" an internet
> stranger based on what they allow you goes hand in hand with the
> arrogance and ignorance emanating from your lying pie hole.
>
>
> >OH!!! So now you coyly imply that you might >be just acting like a
> sociopath, but are not one >in real life. Ok, I can accept that.
>
>
> Which would be ok if you weren't suffering from such communication
> deficits.
>
>
> >Hell, That would mean that you and I are very >much alike then,
>
>
> You and I are nothing alike.
> I was brought up a man's word is his honor, a handshake is a contract.
> When a man loses his word and integrity, he is useless.
>
>

> >and we should sit down and have a few beers >and chuckle at all the
> turmoil that you've >created on this newsgroup.
>
> It's return fire and not considered turmoil by anyothers besides
> yourself and the other 2 or 3 stool-drinkers.
> I don't drink. And even when I did, I was very particluar who I drank
> with. You wouldn't have made the cut.
>
>
> >When do you want to do it?
>
>
>
> If you are that hell-bent on eyeballing me, the invitation was aleady
> extended to you once. Whenever you grow up and manage the airfare, I'll
> be around and I'm extremely easy to find.
>
>
> >That get out of jail free card isn't going to >wash. You've got way too
> much archived >history for that.
>
>
> And my history shows I do not lie and do not start trouble with
> anyone......a stark contrast to yours.
> The sites
> concerning habitual and sociopathic liars are more accurate in this
> application. One must look no further than your posts to see your
> contradictions and psychopathic tendencies.
>
> >One of the other common traits of sociopathic >disorders is deflection.
>
>
> Is that like personal attacks when yuor lies are pointed out?
>
>
> >The blaming of others for your own failings >and character flaws.
>
>
>
> Couldn't have said it better. YOU SOMEHOW hae managed to balme me for
> your "turmoil" among other shortcomings you share.
>
>
> >Oh and by the way, a psychopath usually >resorts to physical violence.
>
>
> Which is why you mentioned it a few times already. Of course,,t all
> begins to make sense, now.
>
>

> >Since we have no way of determining whether >or not physical abuse and
> violence are a part >of this picture, it would be irresponsible to
> >assign this designation, without further proof.
>
>
>
>
> Not really. Allow me to explain..we have several innuendos and
> references by you concerning acts of violence..none by myself.
> Self-explanatory.
> BUT YOU HAVE NO proof of anything and it hasn't stopped you from being
> irresponsible and assigning designations b4, why stop now?
> :)
>
> >If you want to play in this sandbox, you'd >better brush up on the
> terminology.
>
>
> I don't play in childrens sandboxes and I dont find teenage girls talk
> of sex "juicy" like you do.
> The fact that you fancy
> yourself more knowledgeable than the next guy concerning mental health
> merely adds to the entertainment of your claims.
>
>
> >What's really entertaining is that these >symptoms fit you to a "T". I
> suspect that this is >the main reason why you have concentrated >your
> vitriolic campaign to malign me to such a >degree.
>
>
> Poinin out your lies is not maligning. You attacking me with no
> provocation and offtopic insultive remarks was the first "maligning" of
> any, if it was done at all.
>
> >The fact that I've got your number,
>
>
>
> Post it. Say it, don't spray it. the drool from your snout is
> embarrassing.
>
> >bothers you to no end. The old "truth hurts",
>
>
> Apparrently, as it has the ability to make yu lie and go wild.
>
> ..
> You have only accusatory claims and your personal off-topic
> self-inflated opinions,,
>

> >Which are supported by credible mental health >professionals.
>
>
> From a carefully and scripted dialog provided by the "patient"?
> (chuckle) No wonder this is so much fun.
>
>
> the rest of us have your posts.
>
> >As do we have yours. You're a case study of >sociopathic disorders,
> with every word you >post.......
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
> Better crazy than a liar.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Try and stay away from those teenage girls you spoke of being "juicy"..laws against that kind of stuff and some may even suggest you are psychotic or a pedophile........maybe even crazy. BTW are you going to tell us how you made that Davemade spectrally pure? Do you have any other amps besides the Texas Star and 2 Davemades? Can you possibly explain how all those amps don't go above 180 watts? Inconsistencies self-contradictions and lies. You too have a great holiday..even pathological liars need to celebrate.

3797. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:13:51 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <fridnU4dxN04XGmgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146333

"deck-the-hall" <deckthehall@webtv.com> wrote in message
news:dc1baa4a.0212090800.7e87800a@posting.google.com...
>

This is how you used to forge people before right twisty, by posting thru
google.

3798. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:14:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2451-3DF4C152-505@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146340

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
The stupid thing, Dave, is that both the CBers and amateurs are doing it to each other. Personally, I'd rather meet good folks - and I've done so on both sides of the fence. I've had a good chat with a gentleman who is both a cber and an amateur and he's got stories from both sides of the fence. The hams that want to put down the newbies (and cbers) and the cbers who don't want to talk - simply try and rule the airwaves. Oh well, to each his own, I suppose. Sooner or later, I would hope that sanity could reign ... we all (at least most of us) enjoy radio. I'd like to see less of the flames and more discussion (but that can be difficult as anyone who has read the last hundred threads or so can tell). Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks pay attention to the first 5 letters of that holiday?) ...
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - regardless of what side of which line you live on, please ... everyone
have a merry Christmas and a safe and happy new year!!!
--

Happy Holidays right back your way, Jim. Skip was a hoppin' all weekend.....555 kicked up some long distance stuff....Austria was a given all day Saturday..probably the last we'll hear of them for awhile on 555..thought they were already gone.....still say the picnic of rec.radio.cb oughtta take place this spring or summer.....we can rent a mountain in the Shenandoahs...plenty of altitude for cb dxers and several repeaters in easy reach for hammies. I'll bring pork roast.....

3799. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:15:25 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <fridnUkdxN04XGmgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146334

Ouch

"The truth about ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com...
> Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com
>
> A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt
> to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
>
> Just thought you fellas would like to know that.
>
> His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with
> your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of
> the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in
> attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a
> call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to
> do. You can look it up.
>
> Here is his full information:
>
> Charles Adkins
> 1821 LeBlanc
> Lincoln Park, MI 48146
>
> I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I
> am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.
>
> Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo
> for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people
> over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times
> in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are
> all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A long time 1980 EG owner

3800. Re: Check out this bullcrap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:20:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021209112043.05421.00000153@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 146335

letterman is retiring?

>Thats a good one. I think he might be David Letterman's replacement. Very
>funny guy.
>

3801. Re: UGA Vs. ARK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:23:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2451-3DF4C36D-508@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146339

From: thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP)
HOW BOUT THEM DAWGS?!?!?!? #1 UGA fan right here!!! whoooooo
hoooo!!!!
Thatz-me-JP
--

Yea,,,,they weren't too bad..almost playing like a Florida football team
this year <g>.

3802. Re: CB Base Station season picking up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:29:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2451-3DF4C4DE-511@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146338

From: truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com (Dave or Debby)
Yep, it is. I've seen an increase of base antennas sold, and people
wanting old bases fixed. Looks like a busy winter CB season this year,
probably the busiest in years, hopfully! Anyone seeing similar increases
in your area? Dave!!!!!!!!!
--
Definitely have noticed a *mention* locally of what seems to be a small
but present demand for more multi-banded antennas....also seeing more
tuners being utilized.......yessiree it looks like a mighty fine winter
season for the cb.

3803. Re: OT: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:36:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2453-3DF4C6A0-69@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146337

From: itsa@secret.com (Secret Report)
Dave or Debby wrote:
Don't worry--it will HIT him soon ! And when it does, you will KNOW that
it was The Lord Jesus Christ (GOD) that did it !
I was taught that Jesus is the son of GOD. Jesus was also Jewish. Why do
Christians think Jesus is so great, and
despise Jews?

>
--
>

Just like there exist many Americans who consider themselves Christian
but share separate religions (catholics, methodists, baptists,
lutherans, etc., etc.) it is the same with jews. I'm not as familiar
with scripture as I ought be, so I needed to look it up. Check out the
verses he quoted. Also, many non-Christians consider Jesus a prophet,
but not the son of God, like Christians hold. The Christian Bible
teaches no hate. Some claim it teaches to love those that would commit
transgressions against you. While I haven't quite reached that level
yet, I do manage to treat fellow humans as I would want them to treat
myself or a member of my own family. Of course that courtesy is always
contingent upon it being returned.

3804. Don't do no Mass !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:42:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df4c7d3_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146336

Jim & all:
Problem is that Jesus Christ the carpenter of Nazareth (God in the flesh)
has absolutely NOTHING to do with any MASS or Dec. 25th. He said remember
his death, not his birth. Plus he was born at a different time of the year!
Have the Babylonians deceived us?
Dave!!

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:COSI9.286187$QZ.42945@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uv7sqgcsjlm8a2@corp.supernews.com...
> <snip>
>
> >Oh well ... take it easy and have a merry Christmas and
> happy new year (speaking of Christmas, how many folks pay
> attention to the first 5 letters of that >holiday?) ...
>
> <snip>
>
>
> uhhhh..........What does Chris have to do with anything?
> Let me count again...
>
> C
> 1
> h
> 2
> r
> 3
> i
> 4
> s
> 5
>
> Chris is a great guy and all but wouldn't you rather pay
> closer attention to the first six?
>
>
> hehe....Merry Christmas
>
> Dave
>
>

>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3805. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:45:04 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df4c878_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146341

I ain't your "honey" and the 1st Amendment says I can say anything I want,
within reason & Biblical parameters. The probem is that those on the
"trigger" usually get the WRONG man! Too bad you're on the left coast !
Dave!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:qJSI9.358$wU.69438562@news.inreach.com...
> Listen up junior,
>
> Some thangs cannot be said in a puboholic forum....get my drift honey?
>
> We got crazies out there, fingers on the trigger, safety off, just waiting
> for
> the moment they can " cook off " a few thousand rounds at us poor
> hardworking
> sucks who just want to come home, yank our shoes and socks off (whew),
> pop open a bottel of our favorite brew and kick back.....
>
> but if you really must know, I can be found at:\
>
> 34600 Beegum Drive
> Sacramento, CA 95841
>
> I usually sit in front of the largest living room window, easily viewable
> from the
> street, just to the left of the floor lamp. If you park across the street,
> you can put
> me between the crosshairs fairly easily. I'm getting goosebumps just
> thinking
> about it.... :)
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3df3e5da_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > You're wrong! I've only worked for 2 "killer truck drivers" in the
past,
> > that told me that THEY destroyed CB shops because of getting ripped off.
> > Most drivers today are very FED UP with what is out here today, for the
> most
> > part.
> > Dave!
> >
> > "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> > news:JpSI9.341$VR.69271608@news.inreach.com...

> > > Thieves? You gotta be kidding. Most truckers carry and have a
> > > shoot first and ask questions later attitude. You rip them off and you
> > > got problems..... I should know, I am a former trucker myself. Never
> had
> > > to draw my weapon on anybody but if somebody did rip me off,
> > > it would be bad day for them...forever....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3df3e03d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > Yep, they are. Their SINS of ripping off people I think are
starting
> to
> > > > come back on them! The stories I hear are unbelievable!
> > > > The problem is that it is giving all the good places a bad name too!
> > > > Hopfully ALL the bad places will be gone soon, but I'm dreamin' on
> this
> > > one!
> > > > Anyone else disgusted with them thieves too?
> > > > Dave!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3806. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:47:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df4c8ef_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146342

It is good, could be a Finco or an AS. The Italy ones came after 1980.
Dave

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:m928vuk8d2fnru5g705p2rr0ndgiv7h73v@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Dec 2002 15:30:25 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Joking about what? I sold each brand mentioned in da past. I have 2
Italy
> >made ones left. Sold one a month ago.
> >Dave!
>
> Dave, mine is from around 1980, so I would guess it is from AS. It is
definitely
> not from Italy. I am from Italy though.
>
> I just wonder if it is still any good. It has been nearly horizontal for
20
> years, and I wonder is it has aquired a bend in its shape, that would
affect
> it's performance.
>
> Vinnie S.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3807. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 16:55:26 UTC
From: lespankerdes <lespankerdes@spankerdude.com>
Message-ID: <ohi9vug70ctehpua9ks554s0gscgni1meg@4ax.com>
Source record: 146343

I think I already know who this is....

and I know how he knows me too...and...I also know where he lives too....and he isn't Doug either. He's someone from my past...

How's that president madison doing?

hehehe....

3808. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 17:22:24 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <at2jgqess1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 146344

<stomp443@msn.com> napisa&#65533;
> Thanks everyone for all your help.
* you're welcome to my computer

> I looked around at a cb shop 20 miles
> from here that I never knew existed and purchased a dipole
antenna.
* there's no need to buy this kind antenna, it's quite simply to do
it yourself. It needs only two pieces of a random wire, nothing more
!!! It's not a good profit for any shop selling this kind of
antenna.

> I saw a Firestik indoor antenna but he wanted $51 for it
* forget it !!! indoor antennas are only wasting your money and make
no effects

>so I opted for the cheaper one.
* than do it yourself
>
> I don't really understand why my landlord is so against mounting
antennas.
* in Poland the situation like yours is impossible, anyone has his
right
to set his antenna on the roof of house

> I am in a rural area, it would be out of sight, I would have help
mounting
> it, he's a lousy carpenter so a few holes in the eaves wouldn't be
a big
> deal...but he's just a tightwad on a power trip so I'll just wait
til I buy
> a house and mount a damned PDL2 or moonraker just to indulge!
* and what about the human right to communicate each to other ?
Maybe this carpenter is a narrow minded man ?
Never apply an indoor complicated antennas ! They only take a space.
Take my advice : hang a half wave dipole, maybe hidden just below
the roof plane (if not iron covered, of course). You'll see, what
are
the effects. Than you can compare them to an any shop antenna.

Best regards from Poland,
Chris
mail to : radio39@wp.pl
ICQ 146776296
+48 603 360 445

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

3809. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 17:27:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2452-3DF4D28D-189@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146345

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"deck-the-hall" <deckthehall@webtv.com> wrote in message
news:dc1baa4a.0212090800.7e87800a@posting.google.com...
>This is how you used to forge people before >right twisty, by posting
thru google.
--
There has never been a forge from google or webtv. It simply can not be
done..check with the domain masters and you will be educated on just
why it can not be done.
Your knowledge of the matter rests along side your knowledge of amateur
radio....most recently qualified by your erroneous statement that
authorized hammies can't use Galaxy radios legally.

3810. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 17:53:00 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wn6dnatp7_XGRWmgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146346

"* RADIOSERVICE 39 *" <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl> wrote in message
news:at2jgqess1@news.gazeta.pl...
> <stomp443@msn.com> napisa&#65533;
> > Thanks everyone for all your help.
> * you're welcome to my computer
>
> > I looked around at a cb shop 20 miles
> > from here that I never knew existed and purchased a dipole
> antenna.
> * there's no need to buy this kind antenna, it's quite simply to do
> it yourself. It needs only two pieces of a random wire, nothing more
> !!! It's not a good profit for any shop selling this kind of
> antenna.

Two pieces of wire cut to 1/4 wavelength, and a balun to feed it.
If you don't give it a balanced feed, it's not a dipole.

See earlier thread, where I tried (and failed) to make it clear that a
dipole is two quarter wave elements, end-to-end in opposing directions, fed
at the center, with one element fed an equal level signal which is 180
degrees out of phase with the other element.

Simply driving one end, and leaving the other end passive is common, but
it's not a dipole.
It just looks like one. AFAIK, there's no name for it.

3811. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 18:15:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF4DDC8.4C79@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146347

> decked in the head wrote:

Now you're even changing aliases. I must have you really wound up. Heh heh heh!!

>
> Try and stay away from those teenage girls you spoke of being
> "juicy".

Too bad you didn't get any when you were that age, even though it's only been
10 or so short years ago.......

> laws against that kind of stuff and some may even suggest you
> are psychotic or a pedophile.

You can "suggest" all that you want. But considering your manipulative nature, as well as your other sociopathic tendancies, your credibility is at an all time low....

> going to tell us how you made that Davemade spectrally pure?

I could, but I doubt that you'd understand, considering your limited knowlege of RF. But if anyone else more credible wants to know, I'd be glad to share the information. Although I doubt that many people are buying Dave Made amps to use on 10 meter SSB.

> Do you
> have any other amps besides the Texas Star and 2 Davemades? Can you
> possibly explain how all those amps don't go above 180 watts?

What does 180 watts have to do with anything? I can run up to 1.5 KW LEGALLY.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3812. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 18:30:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-104
Message-ID: <20021209133031.06052.00000177@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 146348

i would love to hear about it.....

>> going to tell us how you made that Davemade spectrally pure?
>
>I could, but I doubt that you'd understand, considering your limited
>knowlege of RF. But if anyone else more credible wants to know, I'd be
>glad to share the information. Although I doubt that many people are
>buying Dave Made amps to use on 10 meter SSB.

3813. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 19:09:14 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212091109.6c8ed6ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146349

chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message news:<7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com>...
> Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com
>
> A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt
> to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
>
> Just thought you fellas would like to know that.
>
> His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with
> your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of
> the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in
> attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a
> call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to
> do. You can look it up.
>
> Here is his full information:
>
> Charles Adkins
> 1821 LeBlanc
> Lincoln Park, MI 48146
>
> I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I
> am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.
>
> Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo
> for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people
> over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times
> in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are
> all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A long time 1980 EG owner

uh oh....doug ....shame on you.......

3814. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 19:53:16 UTC
From: sisterboombah@webmail.co.za
Message-ID: <d0c4420.0212091153.24da673a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146350

"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:<m03J9.1588$Fb3.58955985@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> Sister mean;
>
> I have been selling the items on e-bay, and just thought I would do a
> service and announce the auctions on this newsgroup. They pertain to things
> being discussed here. Sorry you feel you are a chump, I don't feel you are.
> But you could use some help on your English grammar, and internet
> politeness, I can't help you there. Maybe some one else can.
>
> 73
>
> Nighthawk
>
> "sister mean" <sisterboombah@webmail.co.za> wrote in message
> news:d0c4420.0212081348.18d2b660@posting.google.com...
> > "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
> news:<MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > Hello everyone;
> > >
> > > I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot
> of
> > > them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is
> also
> > > low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> > > sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> > > right URL.
> > >
> > > You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
> > >
> > > http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Nighthawk
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com
> >
> > What you mean you gots all dem tubes . Whats da matta .? Cant sell
> > um on ebay. What yo thin we are ? chumps

Rednek you a asshole tryin to seell you stuff here cause you cant get no chums to buy dat junk on ebay . Yo betta get out o my face wit dat shit or I put my boot up yo goat smellin behind.

3815. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 19:55:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <dx6J9.63611$bq3.48887@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146352

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DF3EADE.1070705@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Yessir! Often, you go for years without having to use a genny, but when
you
> > need it , you need it.
> > It is so easy to get used to having power that we take it for granted
until
> > it goes out. A house seems
> > to be darker when you CAN'T turn on a light, than when you go to the
john in
> > the night and CAN have lights if you want 'em. This reminds me of when
my
> > daughter was a toddler (seems like yesterday). We had been out shopping
one
> > day and bought her one of those shiny mylar balloons
> > shaped like Mickey Mouse. She played with it during the afternoon and
> > eventually lost interest in it
> > whereupon it floated up to the ceiling. Sometime during the night, the
> > furnace air currents caught the
> > thing and it floated into the kitchen where it was now floating about
> > halfway between the floor and
> > ceiling. Our dog was barking, so I got up to quiet her down. On my way
to
> > the back door to scold the dog, I encountered that darned balloon in the
> > kitchen reflecting in the moonlight. It scared
> > the hell outta me! Instinctively I punched the balloon before I
realized it
> > wasn't some burglar or a
> > ghost in my kitchen. LOL!
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Jerry
> >
> >
> > Had a very similar incident happen to me. For my 50th birthday, my
> > sister sent a dozen black roses to me at my office. It had
> > attached one of those mylar balloons with a picture of the grim

> reaper on it with the inscription that said, 'relax, I just came
> for the cake'. Well I took it home and the next night I was
> watching a scary movie on tv after the wife had gone to bed. The
> furnace came on and I caught a glimpse of something out of the
> corner of my eye. I was that balloon floating through the door
> from the living room with that skeleton on the front. It slowly
> floated in front of the tv and out the other door into the
> kitchen...where the cake was.
> My sister and I had a long tradition of sending each other
> birthday cards that we signed from some famous person. The year
> Nixon resigned, she got a card signed from him.
> To get her back for the black roses on my birthday, the next day I
> had a co-worker call her up and pretend to be an IRS agent and
> wanted her to come down to discuss some of her deductions
> concerning a townhouse she owned and was leasing out. He insisted
> she make the appointment that week as there was some serious
> concerns about her taxes. He played the part well and she really
> fell for it. When she finally agreed to a day he said he would be
> anxious to see her and go over her taxes, and then he said, "oh by
> the way, would you bring your birthday card you received from
> President Nixon with you?". You could have heard her scream for a
> country mile.

HaHA! LMAO! At least you had someone to get back. My little girl had no idea the
balloon would float around in the house and scare people. When I turned the corner in the dark and encountered that balloon, I sure gave it a "black" eye.

My ex-wife's uncle used to come down from Indiana unannounced to visit her Mom (his sister).
One Saturday while my wife was working, I returned home from some errands and felt the need
to visit the john. Uncle Earl arrived and did not find his sister at home (probably at the grocery), so
he came over to my house. I didn't hear him knock, so Earl came in unbeknownst to me. I washed my hands, dried, and started out the bathroom door--and met Earl right in the hallway as I turned.
AAAAAAAACK!!! I instinctively drew back to smack the intruder, but I recognized him in time.
Now that also gave me a helluva fright. It was my fault for leaving the downstairs door open. I told Earl he was welcome in my house anytime, but to please make himself known before coming in
without my knowing it. I never leave the door open anymore.

73

Jerry
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3816. Re: Potty Mouths GET the Wrath of GOD on themselves!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 19:57:36 UTC
From: sisterboombah@webmail.co.za
Message-ID: <d0c4420.0212091157.6a553592@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146351

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3df3b0d6_3@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Don't worry--it will HIT him soon ! And when it does, you will KNOW that it
> was The Lord Jesus Christ (GOD) that did it !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0212061015.5226572b@posting.google.com...
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:<3deea20a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> > > The Bible says that THE WRATH of God will surely hit them, and that's
> WHY it
> > > comes!
> >
> > WELL??? We've been waiting over 20 years...
> >
> > Guy
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

yo betta watch yo mout redneck

3817. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 20:01:26 UTC
From: sisterboombah@webmail.co.za
Message-ID: <d0c4420.0212091201.31e64ccc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146353

chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message news:<7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com>...
> Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com
>
> A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt
> to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
>
> Just thought you fellas would like to know that.
>
> His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with
> your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of
> the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in
> attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a
> call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to
> do. You can look it up.
>
> Here is his full information:
>
> Charles Adkins
> 1821 LeBlanc
> Lincoln Park, MI 48146
>
> I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I
> am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.
>
> Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo
> for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people
> over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times
> in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are
> all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A long time 1980 EG owner

who gives a fuck about chuck. He is jus a redneck like tha rest of des punks

3818. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 20:25:57 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <bR-cnelJc4T_YWmgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146354

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2452-3DF4D28D-189@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"deck-the-hall" <deckthehall@webtv.com> wrote in message
news:dc1baa4a.0212090800.7e87800a@posting.google.com...
>This is how you used to forge people before >right twisty, by posting
thru google.
--
There has never been a forge from google or webtv. It simply can not be
done..check with the domain masters and you will be educated on just
why it can not be done.

Why can't it be done you just did one, perhaps you mean you can't do it
without getting caught, like you have in the past.

>Your knowledge of the matter rests along side your knowledge of amateur
radio....

I have forgot more about Ham radio than you will ever dream of knowing.

> most recently qualified by your erroneous statement that authorized
hammies can't use Galaxy radios legally.

Just because you say it doesn't make it so, you do have proof that I am
wrong besides your twisted opinion. I asked jerry to clarify it for you he
says he has told you more than once.

3819. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 20:50:28 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3df50205@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 146355

The Stardusters are great antennas. Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion. Metal is always better fiberglass. They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas ever made. If I was going to use a fiberglass antenna I'd use a I-Max 2000. Dismantle, clean up, and reassemble your starduster. Save your money for new quality coax. You'll be glad you did. Listen to these guys that were around 20 -25 yrs ago. These young bucks don't have the experiance to know anything about the old antennas. (No Offense) - But true!

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
> I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully protected
> from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I
> settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or have
> antennas progressed much better?
>
> Vinnie S.

3820. Re: Penetartor 500 repair info:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 20:58:40 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4u7J9.838$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146356

I want to point out that Jay gives all this information out for free! It is commendable that at no time did he mention that he designed, built, and sells his own line of antenna that blows away my old favorite (The Avanti Sigma 5/8) and his old favorite (the Penetrator). Jay is truly a good man whos only desire is to help his fellow radio operators. Way to go Jay!

But...

Since he didn't say it, I will!

If you want to buy a NEW antenna that blows away any omni antenna built to date, go to http://www.a1antennas.com and check out the Interceptor 10K.

There are a bunch of us who have them and more buying them everyday! It is THE antenna to own!!!

Dino

>Hello All:
>
>I posted this information on another thread, and got a few e-mails
>requesting this info be put out on separate thread for all to see. I
>have also included some repair information to fix you Hy-Gain Penetrator
>500, should you have some tubing bent or broke.
>
>Hope this helps those who need the help here. With time the old out of
>production Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Antennas are getting
>pretty rare, and repair info should be supplied to keep those great old
>antennas up and running, Ten-4!
>
>So maybe I'll put some photos and info on the web site for all to see,
>let me know if your all interested? I have sent out some replacement
>parts, and misc. stuff, like tubing, Top Hat Wires and Insulators for
>guys to fix their Penetrator 500, but I don't stock this stuff, I just
>have a few pieces left over from modifying the Penetrator 500, with the
>low loss Impedance Matching Hardware that will also go on the web site.
>
>Jay in the Mojave
>--
>
>
>Hello Dave:
>
>Here are the Plastic (made from black ABS Plastic) Insulators for the
>Hy-Gain Penetrator 500 5/8 Wavelength Ground Plane Antenna. Had to do
>some digging.

>
>Tell the nice lady at Hy-Gain, that these are still current parts used
>on other Hy-Gain Antennas, but you need then for the Penetrator 500,
>model number is "Order No. 500" Hy-Gain will also sell you the assembly
>instructions, for 5 dollars.
>
>Hy-Gain is at: http://www.hy-gain.com/hy-gain/contact.php
>
>
>Penetrator 500 Insulator Part Numbers:
>Base or lower Insulator 877961
>Upper Insulator 463056
>Tuning Wire Supports 463642
>
>---
>
>Penetrator 500 Tubing Repair:
>Hy-Gain uses a manufacturing technique that reduces the Outside Diameter
>of the Aluminum Tubing, called reduced swaging. This is done at the
>Hy-Gain Plant, in a special machine that uses a Hydraulic Press and Die
>type Tooling, that forces the tubing into the swag reduction tooling,
>reducing the end of the Aluminum Tubings OD and ID
>
>OD = Outside Diameter
>ID= Inside Diameter
>
>This allows for a smaller diameter tubing to be used, making the
>antenna lighter and more resilient. It also allows the antenna
>manufacture to NOT have to deal with tubing wall thickness tolerances.
>
>The Penetrator 500 uses 3 different tubing pieces that are reduced
>swaged. And they are:
>1- 1 1/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/8" OD Tubing,
>instead of 1" OD tubing.
>
>2- 7/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 5/8" OD Tubing,
>Instead of 3/4" OD Tubing
>
>3- 5/8" OD (.058" wall thickness) reduced to accept 7/16 OD Tubing,
>instead of 1/2" OD tubing.
>
>The swaged reduced ends, are not magic, they can be replaced without any
>harm to the antennas performance or tuning.
>
>The reduced swag end, can be cut off with a tubing cutter or hack saw.
>Then cut down the remaining end length wise, for about a 1 1/2 inches,
>this cut slot will allow a hose clap to hold in a new piece of tubing.
>
>As an example, the ground plane radials use the following reduced
>swaging scheme:

>
>24" long, 7/8" OD reduced to accept 5/8" OD tubing
>36" long, 5/8" OD reduced to accept 7/16 OD tubing
>50" long, 7/16" OD no reduced swag.
>
>In most cases the 5/8" OD Tubing needs to be replaced. But not everyone
>had a swag reducing tool in the garage or living room, (I sure don't)
>
>The reduced swag end can be cut off with tubing cutters or a hack saw,
>and slot cut, length wise for a 1 1/2". Then new 1/2" OD Tubing can be
>installed into the 5/8" OD tubing, and a hose clamp installed to hold
>the new piece of 1/2" OD tubing.
>
>As with the 1 1/8" OD and 7/8" OD reduced swag tubing, again the reduced
>swag can be cut off, and again a length wise end cut 1 1/2 inch long cut
>needs to be done. Then a 5 to 6 inch length of 1" OD tubing can be
>installed into the
>1 1/8" tubing, and 3/4" OD for the 7/8" OD tubing, allowing the next
>tubing pieces to be installed.
>
>Aluminum Tubing, 6061 T-6 or 6063 T-8, with a wall thickness of .058"
>should be available from local metal supply houses. You will need to
>call around and find it. Texas Towers sells Aluminum Tubing in 6 foot
>length, that is UPS shippable.
>
>Texas Towers Aluminum Tubing is at:
>http://www.texastowers.com/aluminum.htm
>
>Please let me know if need any help, or suggestions on a repair article
>for the web site. I will throw in photos and diagrams to make it easier
>to understand.
>
>Thanks for the e-mail
>
>Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
>fillin station.

3821. Re: assembly instructions for the Hy-Gain, Penetrator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:10:29 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9F7J9.857$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146357

Sure!

http://www.cbtricks.com/ant_manuals/telex_hygain/penetrator.pdf

Dino...and you are welcome!

>Does anyone know where I can find the assembly instructions for the
>Hy-Gain, Penetrator 500, 5/8 antenna.

3822. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:14:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <j41avuohft87s6mbi4l3lsjcn1bk4gfngs@4ax.com>
Source record: 146358

On Mon, 9 Dec 2002 12:50:28 -0800, "Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote:

>The Stardusters are great antennas. Antennas haven't progressed much if any
>in my opinion. Metal is always better fiberglass. They stopped using metal
>for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and
>the penatrator are the BEST antennas ever made. If I was going to use a
>fiberglass antenna I'd use a I-Max 2000. Dismantle, clean up, and
>reassemble your starduster. Save your money for new quality coax. You'll
>be glad you did. Listen to these guys that were around 20 -25 yrs ago.
>These young bucks don't have the experiance to know anything about the old
>antennas. (No Offense) - But true!

LOL. It's funny how things change, and how the usenet and internet allows you to talk a greater number of people. Back in the day, if you want to even call them that, I got the startduster because it was the closest place for me to get an antenna (walking distance). My father was not helpful in anyway to satisfy my CB hobby. He got my mobile, power supply, and mini-antenna, for x-mas. And that was the end of his obligation. I had to get the starduster on my own. I had to get the SWR meters, power mike, etc, on my own. I remember I needed to get my mike wired and unit peaked, so I took the bus to do it on a "snow off-day" from school. I couldn't even get a ride. He never wanted me to "waste" money on it, past what he got me.

Getting back to what I was getting at, the general CBers in the area, told me to get a Big Stick. That was the overwhelming majority. They shuttered at the Starduster. The only reason I got it was because that was the closest store to me, and the only antenna the store even had. I remember the 5 db gain on the box. Twenty years later, I find out that it was a great antenna, and those people were wrong.

Vinnie S.

3823. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:16:17 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BK7J9.878$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146359

A good half wave antenna for sure, but not the best ever designed. Any 5/8 wave antenna and especially the Sigma and Penetrator were head over heals better.

Dino

>That and the Astroplane are probably the best vertical polarized antennas
>ever designed.
>
>Very low angle of radiation = bad ass signal!
>
>
>
>
>"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
>news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
>> I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully
>protected
>> from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so I
>> settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good? Or
>have
>> antennas progressed much better?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>

3824. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:18:11 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nM7J9.884$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146360

1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... !

Dino

>A 1/4 wave Starduster has a higher angle of radiation than a 1/2 wave or 5/8
>wave.
>
>

3825. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:21:34 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yP7J9.889$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146361

Here we go...

7db of gain?! How about 2.1db. I sure hate it when this information is constantly thrown out to the unsuspecting operator.

No way, no how, will ANY half wave antenna have 7db of gain by design.

7db with a big ole AMP maybe....but that's NOT antenna gain.

Dino

>Description:
>M-400 STARDUSTER. Re-introducing the classic M-400 Starduster CB radio
>antenna of yesteryear! This antenna is known for it's excellence in
>performance. It is ruggedly made of anticorodal aluminum, brass and nylon
>and is designed for easy assembly. Available in limited quantities.
>
>* Impedance 52 Ohm
>* Gain 7 Db
>* Max power 800 W
>* S.W.R. 1:1,1 - 1:1,5
>* Length 18'
>
>NOT A QUARTER WAVE!!

3826. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:23:18 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aR7J9.894$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146362

1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... center fed verticle 1/2 wave dipole with slanting elements to facilitate impeadance matching.

Dino

>The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed. The
>length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials,
>which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave dipole
>is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi gain,
>you beleive that one to right?
>
>

3827. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:30:25 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <at328cqeo1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 146364

U�ytkownik "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> napisa�
> Two pieces of wire cut to 1/4 wavelength, and a balun to feed it.
> If you don't give it a balanced feed, it's not a dipole.

```
* yes, you're right, but in this situation it doesn't matter.
It's only more cheap and more effective alternative for expensive
and very narrow band operating shop inventions
>
> See earlier thread, where I tried (and failed) to make it clear
that a
> dipole is two quarter wave elements, end-to-end in opposing
directions, fed
> at the center, with one element fed an equal level signal which is
180
> degrees out of phase with the other element.
* it's quite clear for me, but for beginners it might be too
difficult
at this moment. Important is : it works.
>
> Simply driving one end, and leaving the other end passive is
common, but
> it's not a dipole.
> It just looks like one. AFAIK, there's no name for it.
* in Poland we call it : simply dipole unbalanced. There are many
ways to make it balanced, but it is the topic for next lesson.
First of all, one must know the simpliest way of installation.
Mods will come next time.
Best regards from Poland
Chris

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/
```

3828. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:32:50 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6_7J9.913$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146363

These guys don't get it...

So for the rest of the public who is reaing this thread...

The Astro Plane is a 1/2 wave, center fed, vertical dipole. It does NOT out perform any 5/8 wave antenna.

They did make a 5/8 wave version of the Astro Plane (coils on the 4 elements) that also did not perform as well as a 5/8 ground plane antenna.

This is not to say that the Star Duster is a bad antenna! It is a good half wave vertical antenna that is light and very easy to assemble.

We used to do experiments with the ORIGNIAL (read: not cheap fake versions) Star Duster by modifying the feed point and screwing in a Francis Amazer (there was/is only ONE "Amazer", it is gray and has 3, 1/4 wave elements in the fiberglass giving it more power capacity...and yes, its still just a 1/4 wave and NOT a 3/4 wave). This modified antenna worked exactly the same! After all, it was only a 1/4 wave element. In my opinion, it wasn't as good as the aluminum element due to the lack of surface area.

It would be interesting to take a Firestik KW7 and test Firestik's claims that their loaded antennas work better than a standard full size whip. In other words, prove it to me!!

Dino

>Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna the
>same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but also
>outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.

3829. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:34:16 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s%7J9.922$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146365

Yes, have it, please post the modeling files for review.

Dino

>How so tony? now you also saying an astroplane will out perform a 5/8 wave,
>thats really odd both antennas are not even similair yet they both are
>physicaly shorter and are out performing the longer antennas. Ever heard of
>Eznec.

3830. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:35:19 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r08J9.924$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146366

I would agree with this statement.

Dino

>No comparison with the Starduster and A-99. The Starduster is MUCH better.

3831. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:40:03 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <T48J9.929$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146368

Yes he is...he HAS to be...right? I mean, he doesn' really BELIEVE that the Italian antennas are constructed better...does he? Can HE?!

I will admit though, the ORIGINAL Star Duster was a pretty light weight, dare I say FLIMSY antenna. The aluminum threads were notorious for stripping out and galling if you took it apart often. Wish I knew about Noalox back then!

Dino

>your joking right?
>
>>Was yours made by AS, Finco, or made in Italy? The
>>Italy ones were the most rugged.
>>Dave!
>
>
>
>

3832. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:41:33 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uva3ctk3v4rr8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146367

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aR7J9.894$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> 1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... center fed verticle 1/2 wave dipole with
slanting
> elements to facilitate impeadance matching.
--

I was wondering when someone was going to mention that a Starduster is
in fact a half wave centerfed dipole design. I still roll my eyes when
people
call them ground plane antennas. <g>

-BP-

--
>
> Dino
>
> >The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed.
The
> >length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials,
> >which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave
dipole
> >is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi
gain,
> >you beleive that one to right?
> >
> >
>

3833. Re: CB Base Station season picking up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 21:46:04 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wa8J9.935$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146369

We are seeing an increase in activity here in Southern CA! We are a little spoiled here though, we have Bill Good as a tech and you would be hard pressed to find anyone better!

Check him out for yourself at...

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com

He gets radios from all over the country! I'm sure there is someone in just about every state who has had work done by Bill. Ask around!

Dino (and NO, I don't work for/with him nor do I have any vested interest in his shop).

PS. We also have Bill's 2-Way at http://www.bills2way.com
While I have never done any businees with this Bill yet, I know I will in the near future! Great prices...great service!

>Yep, it is. I've seen an increase of base antennas sold, and people wanting
>old bases fixed. Looks like a busy winter CB season this year, probably the
>busiest in years, hopfully! Anyone seeing similar increases in your area?
>Dave!!!!!!!!

3834. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 22:23:18 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <pm5avuge56p28pb8pjo88fohohm7ef9h1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 146370

>I was wondering when someone was going to mention that a Starduster is
>in fact a half wave centerfed dipole design. I still roll my eyes when
>people
>call them ground plane antennas. <g>
>

A 1/4 wave ground plane does not quite have the same gain as a center
fed 1/2 wave.

The physical design of the Starduster goes about half way in between
the two antennas mentioned above.Therefore you are no more correct
calling the Starduster a center fed 1/2 wave than you are by calling
it a 1/4 wave ground plane.

3835. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 22:33:35 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF519B6.3060309@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146371

I have this question to ask. Why is it that 99% of the ladies that one would meet from the CB radio FAT (not PHAT but Nasty 340 lb fat) or ugly or FAT AND UGLY! What is up with that? No lie this has been my experience between the South Carolina line and Atlanta on I 85. I don't mean to insult anyone this is just an observation. It also was my experance between PA and Baltomore on I 95. Is the rest of the Nation like that???? send pics
73
Oris

W7DVJ wrote:

>I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
>BREAK "
>I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I met
>one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old chevy.
>He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag on
>them.
>I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought that
>the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
>some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as big
>as they were, talk about funny!
>
>If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be
>sure
>and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
>earth!
>
>
>
>

3836. Re: FS:Texas Star 667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 22:57:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dd9J9.52224$hK4.4504423@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146372

I think he means modified do it works as an amp...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DF44236.F8CD149F@secret.com...
>
>
> Corey's Audio wrote:
> >
> > Texas Star 667V modified never used in the box $250 or best offer
> > includes shipping anywhere in the U.S.A. email me if interested!
>
> Wadda ya mean, modified?
>
> How? Why?

3837. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 22:58:55 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pe9J9.52227$hK4.4504799@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146373

Yes... I think the Starduster has more gain...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:r08J9.924$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I would agree with this statement.
>
> Dino
>
> >No comparison with the Starduster and A-99. The Starduster is MUCH
better.
>

3838. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:01:20 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4h9J9.52236$hK4.4503935@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146374

Chukee's new address might be at the penitentiary if he keep up his childish antics...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > Charles Adkins
> > 1821 LeBlanc
> > Lincoln Park, MI 48146

3839. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:08:44 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <7v7avuc012fdsjp4k2lub1q6il44p8k57r@4ax.com>
Source record: 146375

On Sat, 30 Nov 2002 08:59:49 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>There is truth in this. You couldn't measure a few feet away since you will
>be in the near field of the antenna and magnetic and electric field ratios
>will change as you move away from the antenna. Once you are farther away,
>if you double your distance, the electric field will drop in half and you
>would have to quadruple your transmitted power to bring the field back up to
>where it was. This only applies to line-of-sight transmissions. Once you
>get into skip, all bets are off as skip conditions will likely affect the
>received signal more than transmitter power. You might talk from
>Pennsylvania to England, yet not be heard in New York at all. Also, you'll
>find that the more you need a state or country, the weaker the signals are
>going to be (Murphy's law) ;-)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
****

You will be in the near field radiation pattern of the antenna up to 3
wavelengths from the antenna. At ten wavelenths from the antenna you
have a fully formed Transverse ElectroMagnetic wave or TEM for short.
At that point the inverse square law takes precident over the power
density. Thus as one goes twice the distance from a reference point
then the power desity decreases by one over the square of the
distance. Genearly that reference point is ten wavelengths from the
antenna.

Now the inverse square law is based on a free and unobstructed wave
radiating equally in all ditections from a point source or isotropic
source. This law does not take into account ground reflections or
reflections that can add or substract the power density of a wave due
to ground or even a building.

It used more as a general understanding of TEM power density versus
distane. In real situations there are other calculations to predict
power density depending on buildings and average ground reflectivity
for a region. This is used often by professional when calculating the
coverage of a radio or tv station with a given antenna and antenna
pattern.

james

3840. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:18:22 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212091518.31125de0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146376

sisterboombah@webmail.co.za (sister mean) wrote in message
news:<d0c4420.0212091153.24da673a@posting.google.com>...
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:<m03J9.1588$Fb3.58955985@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Sister mean;
> >
> > I have been selling the items on e-bay, and just thought I would do a
> > service and announce the auctions on this newsgroup. They pertain to things
> > being discussed here. Sorry you feel you are a chump, I don't feel you are.
> > But you could use some help on your English grammar, and internet
> > politeness, I can't help you there. Maybe some one else can.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Nighthawk
> >
> > "sister mean" <sisterboombah@webmail.co.za> wrote in message
> > news:d0c4420.0212081348.18d2b660@posting.google.com...
> > > "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
> news:<MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > Hello everyone;
> > > >
> > > > I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot
> of
> > > > them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is
> also
> > > > low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> > > > sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> > > > right URL.
> > > >
> > > > You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
> > > >
> > > > http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Nighthawk
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com
> > >
> > > What you mean you gots all dem tubes . Whats da matta .? Cant sell
> > > um on ebay. What yo thin we are ? chumps

>
> Rednek you a asshole tryin to seell you stuff here cause you cant get
> no chums to buy dat junk on ebay . Yo betta get out o my face wit dat
> shit or I put my boot up yo goat smellin behind.

this must be one of our resident pimp's ho's.....hey tim would
you get her silly ass in line.....we have important things to
discuss on this newsgroup.....

3841. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:19:24 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212091519.7472448f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146377

chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message news:<7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com>...
> Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com
>
> A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt
> to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
>
> Just thought you fellas would like to know that.
>
> His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with
> your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of
> the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in
> attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a
> call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to
> do. You can look it up.
>
> Here is his full information:
>
> Charles Adkins
> 1821 LeBlanc
> Lincoln Park, MI 48146
>
> I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I
> am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.
>
> Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo
> for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people
> over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times
> in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are
> all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A long time 1980 EG owner

so chuckie is from lincoln park.....hmmm, that makes sense.....

3842. Re: CBs, tubes, and coax up for auction.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:20:34 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <6z9J9.2148$Bi4.63059196@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146378

Sorry I don't understand. I don't speak your language. Good luck in your world.

Nighthawk

"sister mean" <sisterboombah@webmail.co.za> wrote in message news:d0c4420.0212091153.24da673a@posting.google.com...
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message news:<m03J9.1588$Fb3.58955985@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Sister mean;
> >
> > I have been selling the items on e-bay, and just thought I would do a
> > service and announce the auctions on this newsgroup. They pertain to things
> > being discussed here. Sorry you feel you are a chump, I don't feel you are.
> > But you could use some help on your English grammar, and internet
> > politeness, I can't help you there. Maybe some one else can.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Nighthawk
> >
> > "sister mean" <sisterboombah@webmail.co.za> wrote in message
> > news:d0c4420.0212081348.18d2b660@posting.google.com...
> > > "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
> > news:<MLJI9.1526$Q13.62585921@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > Hello everyone;
> > > >
> > > > I am finally done listing all my tubes to sell on e-bay. There are a lot
> > of
> > > > them. If you are interested please visit my e-bay auctions. There is
> > also
> > > > low cost CBs, and Coax. Here is a short link to all the items I have for
> > > > sale. Click on it and wait a few minutes, while it redirects you to the
> > > > right URL.
> > > >
> > > > You may have to cut and past the URL in the address box.
> > > >
> > > > http://makeashorterlink.com/?O23461E92
> > > >
> > > > 73

> > > >
> > > > Nighthawk
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com
> > >
> > > What you mean you gots all dem tubes . Whats da matta .? Cant sell
> > > um on ebay. What yo thin we are ? chumps
>
> Rednek you a asshole tryin to seell you stuff here cause you cant get
> no chums to buy dat junk on ebay . Yo betta get out o my face wit dat
> shit or I put my boot up yo goat smellin behind.

3843. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:54:16 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <vp9avucsgg84mdlr9qpgc9ca601euc48sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 146381

On 6 Dec 2002 12:02:18 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:<asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com>...
>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/
>>
snip
>
>getting a governmental ham license is tatamount to agreeing that you
>will play the game according to the government's rules and basically
>you sign away your fifth ammendment rights .....you know,.........kind
>of like signing a income tax form isn't it????
****

First of all you are right that getting a FCC license is excepting the
rules that is imposed on that service by the government. Is it signing
away your fifth amendment? No! Furthermore it is in no way like
signing an IRS document.

I would pose to you:

how does obstaining a license violate the fifth amendment?

Maybe self incrimination? If that be how, by signing a paper or
conforming to rules, are you giving up self incrimination? By
conforming to rules you are not part of a legal proceeding.
Where you may feel guilty in signing a consent to follow rules, is you
willfully have preknowldge that you will violate those rules. That
still does not accuse yourself of any violation. Further self
incrimination is not waived by signing a document to conform to rules.
Still in a court the FCC must prove that you indeed violated rules
that were imposed upon you when you signed such a document.

Now if you have some adversion to signing documents because it removes
a possible defence of ignorance to the rules? Well that is not a good
defense either. By purchasig s cb set and using it on the air then you
by law have consented to conform tothe rules that govern that service.
Much like accepting a drivers license. Courts have ruled that the
individual citizen does not have exclusive ownership of the airwaves.
Instead they fall into the domain of the government to control and
regulate as they chose for the common good of the people. So operating
a cb radio is a priviledge and not a right. So signing a license is
not giving away a right as declared in the Bill of Rights.

james
.

3844. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-09 23:57:40 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <v9bavuo6rnbav6koh0tv441ccvf0ahakap@4ax.com>
Source record: 146382

On Fri, 6 Dec 2002 15:37:03 -0500, "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
wrote:

>
>> getting a governmental ham license is tatamount to agreeing that you
>> will play the game according to the government's rules and basically
>> you sign away your fifth ammendment rights .....you know,.........kind
>> of like signing a income tax form isn't it????
>
>Radio is a microcosm of society.
>
>If everyone is allowed to do what they feel like, then the finite spectrum
>will be rendered completely useless.
>
>
****

quite true. That it why the FCC was formed in 1934. It was to bring
control to a medium that was groing and in fact was growing out of
control. The AM broadcast band comes to mind. Several 500KW stations
were now forced to conform to the new 50KW limit.

james

3845. Re: No moe pushers of 18 ... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:06:01 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <at3auk$10emfg$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146380

Give em hell dave, i'm on the road a lot but wanted to wave a hand at ya.
Headed up to Connecticut now see ya later.
Send a e-mail to my house and let my wife know where you are and i will find
time to stop by and shoot the shit .....

--
Mad Dog
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df3e316_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> That's why you are a GM parts guy. Being in the CB business for over 23
> years, MY GUESS is that:
>
> (a) This guy is on 18 foot coax DRUGS big time, thinking that since 18
foot
> is ALLEGEDLY mandatory in mobeels, it must be mandatory on BASE antennas
> too! I have made up base coax for YEARS, and NEVER have I made a length
> that short for a base antenna! NEVER !
>
> (b) From my CB shop experience, the customer is RARELY always right.
> RARELY. So what happened is some 18 foot drug pusher has brainwashed the
> customer on the "need" for 18' on a base antenna. I am in the
> UN-brainwashing business. I get them DUMB numbers like 18, 8x, and names
> like WILSON out of their heads, so they can THINK again! Then they are
> HAPPY !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:uExH9.239011$P31.94839@rwcrnsc53...
> > My guess would be that his radio will be within 18ft of the antenna. But
a
> > GOOD place such as yourself would know that.
> > Besides, the customer is always right. But a GOOD place such as
yourself
> > already knows that !!! (abuse
of
> > exclamation points added intentionally)
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dee9f01_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Yep, the Lord KNOWS where the GOOD places are, such as myself ! What
I
> > want
> > > to know is WHY does he want 18' of coax for an antron 99 ! Did the
18'

> > drug
> > > addicts transfer over to base antennas ? !
> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:BEvH9.43359$hK4.3837835@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Oh lord...
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > > Brian Griffey
> > > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.htm
> > > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021204120910.28474.00000252@mb-cm.aol.com...
> > > > > Not sure if they still carry these, but give them a try...
> > > > > http://www.iglou.com/copper/
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3846. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:06:04 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <jnbavukq13jah6s8n6q1ceis80jad9241b@4ax.com>
Source record: 146383

On Wed, 4 Dec 2002 22:26:51 -0600, "John Smith for example"
<sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:

>
>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>news:VbzH9.6961$Xb3.223819914@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>> news:RUzH9.5170$Kw6.3344800@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
>> > Thats all 10 meter intrutions are, a game so they can break the rules
>and
>> > feel powerful because they didn't get caught.
>>
>> Uh, you think so Matt? It's more along the lines that
>> have been brought up here again & again. They buy all
>> the "export radio's" with roger beeps, echo and such
>> and then go up to these nice clean freqs. Man, they are
>> now in heaven, no local to hassle them and get semi
>> clean communications. So some know it's illegal and
>> others just didn't know about that radio that's not
>> supposed to go that high in the freqs.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
>Ok mister expert. Try this on for size.
>Many moons ago before 40 channels, some radios, such as johnson messenger,
>had a channel called 23A.
>Which is now channel 24.
>It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
>Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
>You tell me.
>
>**

Radios that were eqipt with that were not type accepted unles that
channel was disabled. Generally that could be enabled by a wire
cutting. On some radios it required delicate surgery to the channel
selector switch and behold another channel. This was actually assigned
to medical equiptment use. Really a poor choice and by the early 70's
the medical industrry was no longer using that frequency.

Prior to 1/1/1977 usage of that frequency was in violation.

james

3847. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:08:42 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <s0cavu0h0rq4mve6t394kuq75hqd4piiht@4ax.com>
Source record: 146384

On 05 Dec 2002 15:00:25 GMT, ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:

>>From: "John Smith for example" >sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba
>>It was not an RC freq. either. It was voice.
>>Was it legal for a cb'er to talk on it?
>Who cares Dork! It was 22 Alpha in
>radio circles and it doesnt matter!
>As far as the "Infatuation"...you're the dweeb with taylor.loves.bubba in his
>addy !!
>Sounds like your an inmate who dropped the soap for Bubba!!!!!!!!!!!! Do us a
>favor...
>drop dead!
>
>
>"Got RF?"
****
A rather intellegent response for a general conversation. Wonder if
he graduated first in his class?

james

3848. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:11:06 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <r7cavu0rpr5vu36dcf49b6f1io3lnbpmr9@4ax.com>
Source record: 146386

On 05 Dec 2002 04:05:02 GMT, ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:

>>From: "John Smith for example" >sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba
>>Operation of an amateur station requires >an amateur operator license grant
>>from the FCC
>No shit Sherlock....now go the fuck away and stay gone...go blow Georgie Girl!
>Save your preaching for church....Jerk
>
>
>"Got RF?"
****

Now do you have anymore intellegent offerings to add to the
conversation?

3849. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:19:20 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <88Odnf7Dbfppr2igXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146385

> It used more as a general understanding of TEM power density versus
> distane. In real situations there are other calculations to predict
> power density depending on buildings and average ground reflectivity
> for a region. This is used often by professional when calculating the
> coverage of a radio or tv station with a given antenna and antenna
> pattern.
>
> james

There's a nice program called "radio mobile" available for this.
We use it to plot repeater coverage. Takes USGS .DEM files for terrain.

3850. Re: There is nothing worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:30:14 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <t9davuglnslma8v3go7p3pvlvqt3021q0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 146388

On Tue, 3 Dec 2002 00:14:51 -0500, "Balls Mahoney"
<rcranus@hotmail.coms> wrote:

>From: JJ (radiobuff0@mailcity.net)
>Subject: Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham
>
>
>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policyDate: 2002-08-24 21:13:35 PST
>
>
>>xganon wrote:
>> oh by the way jim hamton is a cool guy in rrcb he tells us stories about
>how he ran 40 KW on ham >bands in the bad old days. that makes us feel good
>and shows hams are worse than cbers.
>
>
>
>
>BZZZZZZT!! There is nothing worse in the radio communications world than a
>cber.
>
****

Oh the good ol days of the 80 meter band. Late 70's early 80's.

3895 need I say more.

2K + just join in and no "2"

james

3851. Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 00:42:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <20eavu48ig44pajvj1rrhbhblkjl7flb7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 146387

I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment for a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an alignment. Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?

Vinnie S.

3852. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:07:52 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <KSOdnd50r-PIo2igXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146390

> A dipole is, by definition, an antenna with two poles. The "balun" can
> be as simple as 8 or so 10" ID coils of coax at the feedpoint of the
> antenna.

No, that dosen't result in a balanced feed, it's just an attempt at a choke
to keep the RF from the parasitic element (non-driven one) from coming back
on the shield of the feedline.

>Even without the balun, it's a center-fed, 1/4 wave Zepp,
> which is the other definition of a dipole. Either way, a balun is a
> good idea.

This shouldn't be a trivia question, but it is.
What part of the Zepp antenna is missing from EVERY zepp antenna you've
seen?

> An inverted V is also a dipole, only sloped. Still considered a dipole
> at its base.

A variant isn't the same thing as the fundamental.

> > Simply driving one end, and leaving the other end passive is common, but
> > it's not a dipole.
> > It just looks like one. AFAIK, there's no name for it.
> >
> Yes there is. It's a dipole.

It's only a dipole if you drive both elements.
It dosen't matter that it's commonly called that, that's just sloppy
terminology.

3853. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:10:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26861-3DF53F16-249@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146394

>So signing a license is not giving away a right >as declared in the
Bill of Rights.
>james
-
Cheers.
And talking dx or freebanding is not a federal crime as declared by a
court of law.

3854. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:12:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3eud$vkb8r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146391

"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
>
> Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He
seems
> to think a ham can use whatever he wants.

A ham can use whatever he wants, as long as he uses that radio equipment in a legal matter regarding his license. A ham can use a so-called "export" radio on 10 meters, as well as otherwise-illegal CB linear amplifiers on 10 meters. There is no type-acceptance when it comes to amateur gear, with the exception of a few rules regarding marketing HF linear amplifiers.

Tom

3855. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:14:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26860-3DF5400D-676@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146395

DVANHORN wrote:
If everyone is allowed to do what they feel like, then the finite
spectrum will be rendered completely useless.

--
>quite true. That it why the FCC was formed in >1934. It was to bring
control to a medium that >was groing and in fact was growing out of
>control. The AM broadcast band comes to >mind. Several 500KW stations
were now >forced to conform to the new 50KW limit.
>james

And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
and not a democracy.

3856. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:30:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26860-3DF5439C-680@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146397

>What does 180 watts have to do with >anything? I can run up to 1.5 KW
LEGALLY.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ
--
You made the statement that you didn;t have anything that did more than
180 watts but your other posts of the same time frame show you indeed
did..you now had no choice but to readily admit you had not only two
Davemades but a Texas Star. You may want to check on "who" you are
replying to at times and try to remain on subject...your insults are
beginning to flow freely again which is a clear indication of one who
can not hold his salt nor temper, let alone hold topic or read a
header.....you told me I couldn't quote for anything..surely you aren't
contradicting yourself and suggesting I perfectly quoted that entire
embarrassment of posts you made......or are you?....call it a defciency
in the art of communication in which you excel at showing the world the
type hammie one should never aspire to be. You can go ahead and post the
information on how you changed the class of the Davemade and what
pertinent steps you took to accomplish it.........if you are unable,
that is fine...as it was another lie as well. So tell us again ("us",
being the world-at-large whose views you fail to share) how long it has
been since you quit breaking the law, davey-boy.

3857. Re: CB has become "WIGGER-fied" forever. It's Sad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:33:06 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mvbJ9.146140$8D.4111267@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146379

Dave's drivel snipped:

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:fGYI9.139346$Kj1.5425765@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DF41ADB.9010901@cac.net...
> > Dave:
> >
> > Look up the word "Niggardly" in the dictionary. I'm sure you'll find
that
> > you fit the definition more closely than most HAMs do.
> >
> > -SSB
>
> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

3858. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:38:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146396

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2452-3DF4D28D-189@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity) "deck-the-hall" <deckthehall@webtv.com>
wrote in message news:dc1baa4a.0212090800.7e87800a@posting.google.com...
This is how you used to forge people before >right twisty, by posting
>thru google.
--
There has never been a forge from google or webtv. It simply can not be
done..check with the domain masters and you will be educated on just
why it can not be done.

>Why can't it be done you just did one,<

Tsk-tsk,,you surely suffer from a "little man" complex along with your
comprehension deficit.
Ask the webmaster at each doamin, they wil be more than happy to share
the information that continues to elude and make a clown of you.

> perhaps you mean you can't do it without >getting caught, like you
have in the past.

Forging is a serious violation of webtv/MSN and they enforce a zero
tolerance level.
Your knowledge of the matter rests along side your knowledge of amateur
radio....

>I have forgot more about Ham radio than you >will ever dream of
knowing.

Apparently you "forgot the rules and need re-educated. llow me to
re-animate you....you were wrong concerning your statemet concerning a
Galaxy.

"most recently qualified by your erroneous statement that authorized
hammies can't use Galaxy radios legally."

>Just because you say it doesn't make it so, >you do have proof that I
am wrong besides >your twisted opinion. I asked jerry to clarify it >for
you he says he has told you more than >once.

Jerry is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already
managed on this matter,,after all, he does feel an odd sort of kinship
with you since you claim to be an old-time hammie but offer no proof
other than your twisted opinion. Dave Hall N3CVJ says he can legally use
his Davemade but you say he can not use a Galaxy. Please differentiate.

3859. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:39:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021209203929.06101.00000270@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 146392

the old ones were horrible and needed alignment after buying them. lets hope
the new ones are better but somehow i doubt it.

>
>
>I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment
>for
>a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an
>alignment.
>Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?
>
>Vinnie S.
>
>
>
>
>
>

3860. Wanted... Hurricane 350 Mobile AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:40:23 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <bCbJ9.150791$Kj1.5552932@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146393

Anyone who where I can find a working or NOT working Hurricane 350 mobile amp?

Reply here with possibilities

Tony

--
Sign up for Paypal and make me $5.00

https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=WHRP8XHRMLSX4

3861. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:40:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26863-3DF54616-37@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146400

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He
seems to think a ham can use whatever he wants.
-
>A ham can use whatever he wants, as long as >he uses that radio
equipment in a legal matter >regarding his license. A ham can use a
>so-called "export" radio on 10 meters, as well >as otherwise-illegal CB
linear amplifiers on 10 >meters. There is no type-acceptance when it
>comes to amateur gear, with the exception of >a few rules regarding
marketing HF linear >amplifiers.
>Tom

This must be part of the stuff he tells us he forgot about hammie radio.

3862. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 01:43:49 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF539DE.7030504@cac.net>
Source record: 146389

comments within

Dave VanHorn wrote:
> "* RADIOSERVICE 39 *" <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl> wrote in message
> news:at2jgqess1@news.gazeta.pl...
>
>><stomp443@msn.com> napisaï¿½
>>
>>>Thanks everyone for all your help.
>>
>>* you're welcome to my computer
>>
>>
>>>I looked around at a cb shop 20 miles
>>>from here that I never knew existed and purchased a dipole
>>
>>antenna.
>>* there's no need to buy this kind antenna, it's quite simply to do
>>it yourself. It needs only two pieces of a random wire, nothing more
>>!!! It's not a good profit for any shop selling this kind of
>>antenna.
>
>
> Two pieces of wire cut to 1/4 wavelength, and a balun to feed it.
> If you don't give it a balanced feed, it's not a dipole.
>

Not entirely true.

A dipole is, by definition, an antenna with two poles. The "balun" can
be as simple as 8 or so 10" ID coils of coax at the feedpoint of the
antenna. Even without the balun, it's a center-fed, 1/4 wave Zepp,
which is the other definition of a dipole. Either way, a balun is a
good idea.

> See earlier thread, where I tried (and failed) to make it clear that a
> dipole is two quarter wave elements, end-to-end in opposing directions, fed
> at the center, with one element fed an equal level signal which is 180
> degrees out of phase with the other element.
>

An inverted V is also a dipole, only sloped. Still considered a dipole
at its base.

> Simply driving one end, and leaving the other end passive is common, but
> it's not a dipole.

> It just looks like one. AFAIK, there's no name for it.
>
Yes there is. It's a dipole.

>
>
>

de KR8LR, Chris

3863. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:02:10 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <M9adnVgd-6OS1migXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146398

> And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
> and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
> and not a democracy.

I don't know how "second guessing" helps, but we are supposed to participate in the process, through our representatives. The ARRL does a lot of lobbying in that direction.

3864. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:13:17 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209211317.06256.00000747@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146399

What "new" Galaxy radio's are yall talking about? I haven't heard of any new radio's that Galaxy has put out on the assembly line

Thatz-me-JP

3865. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:17:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3ioa$109t80$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146401

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:WCyH9.24475$bq3.20442@news.bellsouth.net...

> It is a euphemism. I don't need you to tell me ANYTHING about the
> privileges I hold; I know
> exactly what I can do and what I cannot. And Ten meters is allocated
> internationally to Amateur
> Radio. They come closer to being "mine" and every other ham's than they do
> to an unlicensed rogue
> that just starts beeping and squeaking where he pleases. There's nothing
to
> wonder, bud.

Kind of like how a public park belongs to the public. If we don't care if a
bunch of hoodlums came in and vandalized the park, then we deserve a crappy
park.

Tom

3866. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:21:54 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3j0d$1013ok$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146402

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:eFUH9.37533$bq3.7185@news.bellsouth.net...

> Tom,
>
> I freely admit to my share of oddities, but aggravating people anonymously
> doesn't do anything for
> me--or aggravating people in person, either. Oh,
> well.....
>
> Jerry

I agree, but then you and I are probably more well-adjusted than someone who wants to repeat "Canadian Superpower" on the radio all day long.

Did you ever year that guy who used to sound like a baby crying on 27.555 some time back? He used to go for hours at a time every day, it seemed like. I'm sure it was probably a recording, but I just don't see what the fun in playing it over & over would be.

Tom

3867. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:26:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3j82$vuu4h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146403

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17813-3DF0AC95-25@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> >I've never understood it myself and could be >off base in my
> hypothesis, but I'm sure the >anonymity is the catalyst that allows
> these >people's "dark side" to come out.

> You were doing so well until that last statement.

Well I was mainly referring to internet trolls and idiots on the radio who prefer to remain anonymous while getting their kicks by harassing other people all day. Sure, there are some people out there who harass others with their identity well-known, but the majority either (on radio) never ID, or (on usenet) use anonymous posting services. I believe that most of them wouldn't post garbage on usenet or be idiots on the radio if their identity were known with every post or every keydown.

Tom

3868. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:30:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <ickavuokoeihd0vrd3rpklfkfrivfvfpot@4ax.com>
Source record: 146404

On 10 Dec 2002 02:13:17 GMT, thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:

>What "new" Galaxy radio's are yall talking about? I haven't heard of any new
>radio's that Galaxy has put out on the assembly line

They are not new in the "newly released" sense. I meant a new radio out of the box.

Vinnie S.

3869. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:31:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3jjp$10ggq1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146405

"brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message
news:WJcI9.54455$ry.579450@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...

> The point is that niether a ham or CBer may legally go out of his assigned
> range of frequencies, play music, use foul langauge, run 20,000 watts etc,
> etc. But of the 2 the ham is far more likely to get caught if he breaks
the
> rules, and that is what will piss off some hams even if they have no
disire
> to break the rules themselves.

I don't think that most hams are pissed that CBers can do this and likely not get caught while hams are more likely to get caught. A ham could simply not ID while doing that kind of nonsense. I think the main difference is that it's more likely that hams will DF an offending signal than CBers would.

It is important to note that this is one of the main reasons that most hams look down on CBers. While hams are supposed to play by the rules and is usually a "gentleman's club," CB tends to be like the wild west where just about anything goes. Personally, I really don't care what happens on the CB bands, but a lot of hams do for some reason.

Tom

3870. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:34:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021209213432.22894.00000212@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 146406

there are three new galaxy radios.

>
>They are not new in the "newly released" sense. I meant a new radio out of
>the
>box.
>
>Vinnie S.
>
>
>
>
>
>

3871. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:37:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021209213730.22894.00000213@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 146408

i wouldnt be suprised if it was somebody off of this group. the cry baby had a real hard on for the entire 580 gang but me especially. at times he would hurt us but most times we had the RF to keep talking right over him. sure glad i had dsp back then too

>
>Did you ever year that guy who used to sound like a baby crying on 27.555
>some time back? He used to go for hours at a time every day, it seemed
>like. I'm sure it was probably a recording, but I just don't see what the
>fun in playing it over & over would be.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3872. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:39:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3k15$vu8dn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146407

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...

> Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then they
> could be held liable for any violations.

A business could not be held liable for any rules violations you make. If you can find any instances where a business was cited for a rules violation made by a customer, I'd like to see it. If Universal Radio, for example, sells an HF rig to Joe Blow and he modifies it and uses it on CB freqs, it's Joe's fault and not Universal's.

I've bought amateur gear for the past ten years and have never once been asked for my ham license. And what about someone who just passed the test and are waiting for their license to arrive? Or what about someone who is still studying for his license and wants an incentive to pass? Should they be denied the ability to purchase a radio that they'll eventually use?

I believe in Canada, there is a rule against non-licensed ownership of amateur gear. But here in the US, it's perfectly legal.

Tom

3873. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:40:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021209214007.22894.00000214@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 146409

a lot of us like every man for himself and only the strong survive. its the way of the real world. besides the old west wasnt so bad....

>It is important to note that this is one of the main reasons that most hams
>look down on CBers. While hams are supposed to play by the rules and is
>usually a "gentleman's club," CB tends to be like the wild west where just
>about anything goes. Personally, I really don't care what happens on the CB
>bands, but a lot of hams do for some reason.
>

3874. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 02:48:42 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3kim$uqksf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146410

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3DEFBD20.2040305@yahoo.com...
>
>
> John,
> You can keep researching the liability laws, but
> you're wasting your time. Retail sellers are not
> responcible for how the buyer uses what they sell.

That's kind of like saying that Ford Motor Company (or whatever) is liable
if you get a speeding ticket. Or like the other point he was trying to
make, they can't sell you a car if you don't have a valid driver license.

Tom

3875. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 03:28:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ladJ9.64795$bq3.29022@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146411

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26860-3DF5400D-676@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> DVANHORN wrote:
> If everyone is allowed to do what they feel like, then the finite
> spectrum will be rendered completely useless.
>
> --
> >quite true. That it why the FCC was formed in >1934. It was to bring
> control to a medium that >was groing and in fact was growing out of
> >control. The AM broadcast band comes to >mind. Several 500KW stations
> were now >forced to conform to the new 50KW limit.
> >james
> ---
>
> And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
> and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
> and not a democracy.

It is perfectly OK to second-guess the Federal government. And there are, of
course, ways to get
objectional laws changed. The rules governing radio came about thru trial
and error, and actually
work quite well to prevent interference and allow the most people to make
the most use of radio.

BTW, the USA is NOT a democracy, it is a republic.

Jerry
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3876. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 03:29:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <obdJ9.64799$bq3.11145@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146413

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at3ioa$109t80$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:WCyH9.24475$bq3.20442@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > It is a euphemism. I don't need you to tell me ANYTHING about the
> > privileges I hold; I know
> > exactly what I can do and what I cannot. And Ten meters is allocated
> > internationally to Amateur
> > Radio. They come closer to being "mine" and every other ham's than they
do
> > to an unlicensed rogue
> > that just starts beeping and squeaking where he pleases. There's
nothing
> to
> > wonder, bud.
>
>
> Kind of like how a public park belongs to the public. If we don't care if
a
> bunch of hoodlums came in and vandalized the park, then we deserve a
crappy
> park.
>
> Tom

Exactly

Jerry
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

3877. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 03:32:44 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uvao2erqh6b5c4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146412

you could have listed it as a 10m radio w amp and had no problem...
"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com...
>
>
> this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> as follows:
>
>
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: sadie106@juno.com
> Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
>
> hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
>
> Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> --------------------
>
>
> Question from: n8wwm
> Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> Seller: sky444
> Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> Price: Starts at $50.00
> To view the item, go to:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
>
>
> now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
>
> sadie106@juno.com
>
> IS A RAT!!!!!!

3878. RS TS485 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 03:40:06 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uvaog98n6a4sdc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146414

this radio can be modified and i have done it ...problem with doing it is you will get extras just above and just below the band but most of the added channels will not work...i have been told the radios vco has to be broad banded...but have never been able to get anyont to tell me how its done...

3879. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 03:56:18 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021209225618.24922.00011200@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 146415

>From: <A HREF="mailto:james <>">james <>
>ACTUALLY jdaggett@gate.net
>A rather intellegent response for a general >conversation. Wonder if he graduated first >in his class?
As was posted originally WHO CARES! James, don't be a putz. Judge intelligence by a post in REC.RADIO.CB....you must be smarter than that! There is no doubt that you have ZERO accurate information on my intelligence or lack there of. Save it James!
John Smith is as opinionated as I am..we are simply on opposite ends of the spectrum. John Smith attacked my sexual orientation...why don't you post a response to his petty, immature, response?? No, you come after me for defending myself! So James.....to you I say....kindly shut the hell up!

"Got RF?"

3880. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:03:34 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209230334.06260.00000814@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146416

which three are they? i know they came out with the DX48GT or something....what else?

Thatz-me-JP

3881. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:08:45 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021209230845.24903.00012243@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 146417

949,959

"Got RF?"

3882. Re: FS:Texas Star 667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:12:03 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021209231203.24903.00012246@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 146418

>From: Secret Report itsa@secret.com
>Wadda ya mean, modified?
>How? Why?
It's not Top Secret! Texas Star changed the amp design to keep the FCC happy.
The amps are manufactured as CW amps. A simple mod (adding or removing a wire i
forget which) and it is ready for voice.

"Got RF?"

3883. Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:21:38 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212092021.398f2840@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146419

Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro 510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they look.

3884. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:32:23 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at3qjb$17q6$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146420

I got a radio shack special for $49.00 and it gets out great. at least that is what i am told. I do a lot of DX with it. Nothing fancy but works.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0212092021.398f2840@posting.google.com...
> Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these
> monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator
> or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know
> which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro
> 510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more
> than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I
> know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I
> have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they
> look.

3885. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 04:36:27 UTC
From: jscotty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209233627.29492.00009402@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 146421

Also the DX-93T is new.73,Scotty

3886. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 07:32:05 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <qPmdnQVDIt_rBWigXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146422

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>Jerry is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already
>managed on this matter

Don't speak for Jerry I will let his own words haunt you. From: Jerry
Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net)
Subject: Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?
View: Complete Thread (139 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-14 15:34:52 PST

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6214-3DD3F466-15@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
"So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
What law do you think was being broken? Reality Check
indeed..... "

>Once again (and I cannot grasp why this is SO >hard to understand),

Me neither, but I'm gonna try and walk you through it again.

>any radio sold in the USA
>actually has 2 (that's T W O) kinds of approval >for sale; 1) FCC approval
to be sold stating >that
>the equipment in question meets FCC >specifications for use in the intended
service. >It applies to
>commercially manufactured radios. 2) FCC >certification for use in any
service other than >Amateur.
>Now, what that means is that, even though a >radio MAY be intended for sale
by a >manufacturer in
>the Amateur Service, it STILL must be >approved by FCC according to
certain criteria >set up by FCC's own labs.

When you say "certified" and "approval" are you referring to "type
acceptance"? If you are, since when did amateur gear need type
acceptance? If you are not referring to such, then what ARE you
referring to?

The term "type acceptance" is not used any more. If you will notice, when
you see a new Yaesu,
Icom, or Kenwood Amateur transceiver about to be introduced, you will see a

small disclaimer down at the bottom of the ad that says, "has not received FCC approval for sale to the public"--or
something to that affect. Regardless of what service a radio is targeted towards, it must meet certain requirements set by FCC for commercial manufacturers in order to be approved for sale
in that particular service. While there is no "type certificate" for Amateur Radios, commercially
produced radios for that market must meet certain baseline requirements before the Fed will allow
it to be imported/sold in the US. That is the "rub" with those alleged 10 Meter "ham" radios sold in
truck stops; they do not meet FCC's criteria, they are too easy to modify, they have "band" switches which allow the radio to cover SEVERAL "bands" of frequencies. Ten Meters is O N E
band, so a 10 Meter radio doesn't NEED switches to cover one band. Ten Meter ham radios,
the REAL ones, don't have incremental "channel" positions or echo, or even those banal squeaks
and beeps.

>Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER >services must be FCC-approved, and >as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker >so stating that (para) "This device meets all >FCC re-
>quirements for spectral purity according to >Part XXX, Title 47, US Code....."

So. Manufacturers were never mentioned. The 100 watt radios could have been Icoms for all you know.

Icoms sold in the domestic market have the required approval for sale; Galaxy's do not.

>Those
>Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 >Meter" trash has NO such authority, no such >sticker, and
>appear on a list of equipment (which I will >once again post here in the faint hope that you >might grasp the reasons why said equipment
>cannot be legally sold in the US) that has >been determined by FCC's test labs NOT to >meet one or more of their test criteria. Without >that approval, FCC can most certainly raid, >seize, and fine outlets that sell such >equipment. Like you, tools, some of those >outlets themselves believe they have a "right" >to import and sell such junk, but they don't. It >is only a matter of time before the sh** hits the >fan.
.....and I can't wait! Hehe!

Hey, the cb shop can be owned by a licensed ham and cater to cb. Dave Hall N3CVJ did it with his hack shop. Mods and all.
The cb shop could legally sell Icons, Yaesu, etc. See, many hammies do cb work,,,more cash than in hammie stuff, especially if you get a good name among drivers...tin' fo' good buddy?

He still may NOT legally sell those radios that appear on the list.
Legally, he can
sell the regular ham radios to anyone. Me, I wouldn't sell one without
proof of license.
Legal is legal, illegal is illegal, no matter WHAT "license" one has.

J

>BTW, today I was listening to 28.085 and it >sounded just LIKE CB 19
complete with >echos, squeaks, and some driver saying F*** >every other
word describing his dispatcher >while sounding like he was talking down
a >drain pipe. Back soon with the complete list.
>J

10 meter doesn't concern us in any how. If it did, our ticket would be
displayed with every post in a cb room like, well,..choose your LuLu.

3887. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 07:36:18 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <c8CcnbOHHKLuBGigXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146423

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at3eud$vkb8r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
> news:nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He
> seems
> > to think a ham can use whatever he wants.
>
> A ham can use whatever he wants, as long as he uses that radio equipment
in
> a legal matter regarding his license. A ham can use a so-called "export"
> radio on 10 meters, as well as otherwise-illegal CB linear amplifiers on
10
> meters. There is no type-acceptance when it comes to amateur gear, with
the
> exception of a few rules regarding marketing HF linear amplifiers.
>
> Tom

Wrong,

Search Result 9
From: Jerry Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net)
Subject: Re: Newbies Bombarded By Illegal Advice?
View: Complete Thread (48 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-01-21 10:18:12 PST

It's not exactly the same thing. Incidentally, there is no such thing as
"type" acceptance anymore.

While radios for other services have to meet certain technical standards for
sale and use in
that service, Amateur rigs don't have to meet the same standards. For
example, a radio being
approved for CB service has to be "channelized" and have no "VFO". Power is
to be 4 watts
AM and 12 watts SSB. While it is misleading to say that Amateur equipment
doesn't have to
be "appproved", actually it DOES have to be approved for sale in the US.
For example, if
a proposed Amateur rig were to be able to cover all Amateur HF bands and

transmit on CB
out of the box, FCC would nix its sale in the US. The standards (VFOs vs channels, power
requirements, and bands covered) are just different. Therefore, you are right.

Jerry

> > >

3888. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 07:36:36 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <y8KcnXXDxdUYBGigXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146424

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26863-3DF54616-37@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Duck Plucker" <rcranus@hotmail.comSpam> wrote in message
news:nTmdnc6m_sa5P3OgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
Sir, I am sorry to say he doesn't he asked me to have you clarify. He
seems to think a ham can use whatever he wants.
-
>A ham can use whatever he wants, as long as >he uses that radio
equipment in a legal matter >regarding his license. A ham can use a
>so-called "export" radio on 10 meters, as well >as otherwise-illegal CB
linear amplifiers on 10 >meters. There is no type-acceptance when it
>comes to amateur gear, with the exception of >a few rules regarding
marketing HF linear >amplifiers.
>Tom

This must be part of the stuff he tells us he forgot about hammie radio.

Search Result 9
From: Jerry Oxendine (joxendi@bellsouth.net)
Subject: Re: Newbies Bombarded By Illegal Advice?
View: Complete Thread (48 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-01-21 10:18:12 PST

It's not exactly the same thing. Incidentally, there is no such thing as
"type" acceptance anymore.

While radios for other services have to meet certain technical standards for
sale and use in
that service, Amateur rigs don't have to meet the same standards. For
example, a radio being
approved for CB service has to be "channelized" and have no "VFO". Power is
to be 4 watts
AM and 12 watts SSB. While it is misleading to say that Amateur equipment
doesn't have to
be "appproved", actually it DOES have to be approved for sale in the US.
For example, if
a proposed Amateur rig were to be able to cover all Amateur HF bands and
transmit on CB
out of the box, FCC would nix its sale in the US. The standards (VFOs vs
channels, power
requirements, and bands covered) are just different. Therefore, you are
right.

Jerry

3889. Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 08:35:21 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <bh9bvuk2p3epuqup9db68od4472j6hd8tc@4ax.com>
Source record: 146425

Doug Adair in Toledo,Ohio.....how ya makin out with those child molestation charges ole boy.....the rat fink has really got himself in a pickle this time.....oooops no pun intended.....har har LOL :O)

3890. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 11:44:54 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <WskJ9.301385$NH2.21150@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 146426

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021209230334.06260.00000814@mb-fw.aol.com...
> which three are they? i know they came out with the DX48GT or
> something....what else?
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

DX93T 10 meter
949,959 11 meter cb radio's.

3891. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:11:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5D9EC.1388@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146430

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >So signing a license is not giving away a right >as declared in the
> Bill of Rights.
> >james
> -
> Cheers.
> And talking dx or freebanding is not a federal crime as declared by a
> court of law.

And what court would that be?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3892. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:12:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5DA37.7C79@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146431

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> DVANHORN wrote:
> If everyone is allowed to do what they feel like, then the finite
> spectrum will be rendered completely useless.
>
> --
> >quite true. That it why the FCC was formed in >1934. It was to bring
> control to a medium that >was groing and in fact was growing out of
> >control. The AM broadcast band comes to >mind. Several 500KW stations
> were now >forced to conform to the new 50KW limit.
> >james
> ---
>
> And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
> and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
> and not a democracy.

The rallying call, and blind justification, for anarchists
everywhere.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3893. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:14:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5DAA0.6DDB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146432

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
> > and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
> > and not a democracy.
>
> I don't know how "second guessing" helps, but we are supposed to participate
> in the process, through our representatives. The ARRL does a lot of
> lobbying in that direction.

You know that, and most responsible, mature people know that. But there
is an element of our society that is much to lazy to go through the
process to have rules changed. They'd rather just ignore them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3894. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:30:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at4ml2$10tlnh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146427

"No Pity" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:c8CcnbOHHKLuBGigXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> Wrong,

While it is misleading to say that Amateur equipment
> doesn't have to
> be "appproved", actually it DOES have to be approved for sale in the US.

This is true, but it applies only to radio equipment being manufactured & sold. If I built an HF rig that had full 1500-30000 KHZ coverage transmit & receive, I could still use it on the ham bands without having to have it approved by anybody. Or if I had a marine or military HF radio for instance, I could legally use that on the ham bands also, providing I stayed within the power limit, etc. Same goes for those 10 meter or export radios that the FCC put on their banned import list. If I wanted to use one on 10 meters, I could.

Tom

3895. Service manual for Motorola Mocat 40?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:32:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at4mpr$10s89g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146428

I know this is a long shot, but does anyone know a website or other place where I could find a service manual for a Motorola Mocat 40? I've searched the web but haven't found anything.

The radio has low mike audio and the TX frequency is about 3 kHz too low. Anyone know how to fix?

Tom

3896. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:36:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5DFB6.1982@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146433

Vinnie S. wrote:

>
> LOL. It's funny how things change, and how the usenet and internet allows you to
> talk a greater number of people. Back in the day, if you want to even call them
> that, I got the startduster because it was the closest place for me to get an
> antenna (walking distance). My father was not helpful in anyway to satisfy my CB
> hobby. He got my mobile, power supply, and mini-antenna, for x-mas. And that was
> the end of his obligation. I had to get the starduster on my own. I had to get
> the SWR meters, power mike, etc, on my own. I remember I needed to get my mike
> wired and unit peaked, so I took the bus to do it on a "snow off-day" from
> school. I couldn't even get a ride. He never wanted me to "waste" money on it,
> past what he got me.
>
> Getting back to what I was getting at, the general CBers in the area, told me to
> get a Big Stick. That was the overwhelming majority. They shuttered at the
> Starduster. The only reason I got it was because that was the closest store to
> me, and the only antenna the store even had. I remember the 5 db gain on the
> box. Twenty years later, I find out that it was a great antenna, and those
> people were wrong.
>

I never bought into the "hype" of the Starduster. For what it actually
is, is nothing more than a 1/4 wave antenna (A 9' vertical section, and
3 slanted 9' "radials"). I don't know how they managed to rate it for
5db gain (Even 5 dbi is a bit optimistic). I would have thought A/S
would be a little more honest about their gain ratings.

All that aside, the Starduster antennas weren't that physically strong
either. The center element would eventually break off, due to winter's
abuses, and the plastic spacers on the "radials" would also break off,
which then leads to the eventual failure of the radials.

A "Big Stick" is nothing more than half wave vertical without radials.
Like the A-99, these are a poor substitute for the "big metal" antennas
that we used to see so commonly.

My favorite commercially made omnidirectional antenna was the Hy-Gain
Penetrator. A behemouth of a ground plane with, 4, 1/4 wave radials, and
a 5/8th wave vertical section. They got scarce around here at one point
(When I tried to buy one) and I ended up with a Sigma 4. From my own
comparisons, this 3/4 wave Sigma 4 antenna, was easily my best
performing Omni antenna, although I never have the opportunity to do a
direct comparison with the Penetrator, but the Sigma 4 easily
outperformed the common 3 radial 5/8th wave (CLR 2 type), as well as
Astro Planes and 1/2 wave types.

There was also a regionally produced (Sort of like Dave Made is today) antenna called a "Super Starburst". It had either a 5/8th or 3/4 wave radiator (I'm not totally sure), and 10 1/8th wave radials. Many people in my area had them then, and every one of them talked well. This was back in the early to mid 70's. I never got the straight scoop about who and where these antennas were made. I was told once that they we're made by a guy in a wheelchair, and that he eventually had failing health or died, and that's why the antennas suddenly disappeared. If anyone has a better story, I'd love to hear it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3897. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:44:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5E192.49D5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146435

Dino wrote:
>
> Here we go...
>
> 7db of gain?! How about 2.1db. I sure hate it when this information is
> constantly thrown out to the unsuspecting operator.

Also known as caveat emptor, buyer beware. Sure, it could have "7 db" of gain. A "db" is a relative measurement. It could very conceivably have 7 db of gain over a wet noodle, or a 6 inch rubber ducky.

For a "db gain" measurement to have any validity, it needs to be referenced to a standard. Most antennas are rated in either "dbi" (db over a theoretical isotropic source), or "dbd" (db gain over a reference dipole). Since a dipole has about 2.1 db gain over an isotropic source, any ratings that are in "dbi" will be 2.1 db higher than those that are "dbd" rated. Which is why most CB antenna makers use "dbi". At least those that are somewhat "honest".

Beware of any rating that is just in "db", as it lacks any meaningful standard by which a relative comparison can be made.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3898. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 12:48:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5E295.46F1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146436

Dino wrote:
>
> 1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... center fed verticle 1/2 wave dipole with slanting
> elements to facilitate impeadance matching.

Actually the Starduster more closely resembles a quarter wave groundplane. In its simplest "classic" design, a 1/4 wave GP has a 1/4 wave vertical element, and 3 or 4 1/4 wave radials, which are normally drooped at about 45 degrees from vertical, which lowers the feedpoint impedence. Im not sure what the steeper radial droop of the starduster, does to the impedence or the angle of radiation. I supposed I could model it on EZNEC, to see......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3899. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:04:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5E660.6D92@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146437

Dino wrote:
>
> These guys don't get it...
>
> So for the rest of the public who is reaing this thread...
>
> The Astro Plane is a 1/2 wave, center fed, vertical dipole. It does NOT out
> perform any 5/8 wave antenna.

I've owned both, and did a side by side comparison and there's no way
that an Astro Plane out guns a 5/8th wave. I fell for the gain hype
(Which was 4.46 dbi at the time), but when I got the AP, it didn't do
any better than the 1/2 wave radio shack GP that it replaced. I later
replaced it with a Hustler 5/8th wave GP, and saw a significant
improvement.

>
> They did make a 5/8 wave version of the Astro Plane (coils on the 4 elements)
> that also did not perform as well as a 5/8 ground plane antenna.

Never saw that one. Did Avanti make this or was that another one of
those imported mind farts.

>
> This is not to say that the Star Duster is a bad antenna! It is a good half
> wave vertical antenna that is light and very easy to assemble.

That much is true. But it doesn't stand up well to harsh winters.

>
> We used to do experiments with the ORIGNIAL (read: not cheap fake versions)
> Star Duster by modifying the feed point and screwing in a Francis Amazer
> (there was/is only ONE "Amazer", it is gray and has 3, 1/4 wave elements in
> the fiberglass giving it more power capacity...and yes, its still just a 1/4
> wave and NOT a 3/4 wave). This modified antenna worked exactly the same!
> After all, it was only a 1/4 wave element. In my opinion, it wasn't as good
> as the aluminum element due to the lack of surface area.

I've been involved with similar experiments. Only we used a Big Stick as
the test bed. Taking off the top element, and replacing it with a
firestick, or any number of other "performance" mobile antennas, yielded
inconclusive results. Sometimes we saw a little better, sometimes not.

>
> It would be interesting to take a Firestik KW7 and test Firestik's claims that
> their loaded antennas work better than a standard full size whip. In other
> words, prove it to me!!

Conventional wisdom says no way. A loaded antenna may be electrically a quarter wave, but the surface area will be less. They might be able to play games with the radiation angle, such that it may be able to concentrate the major E plane lobe (Smaller as it may be) in such a way as to enhance certain performance characteristics (like DX or low angle distance). But like anything else, when you give to one side, you take away from another, so overall, I'd still say that a quarter wave whip is still the best overall, for mobile use.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3900. Re: Service manual for Motorola Mocat 40?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:05:19 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jElJ9.101318$pN3.5905@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146429

Try www.google.com

Fax on Demand list, well that didn't com in as a link but its there.

Just type in Motorola Mocat 40 in the search line and there you go.

Lots of data on the web.

Deb

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at4mpr$10s89g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> I know this is a long shot, but does anyone know a website or other place
> where I could find a service manual for a Motorola Mocat 40? I've
searched
> the web but haven't found anything.
>
> The radio has low mike audio and the TX frequency is about 3 kHz too low.
> Anyone know how to fix?
>
> Tom
>
>

3901. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:23:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EAD6.745@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146434

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > It used more as a general understanding of TEM power density versus
> > distane. In real situations there are other calculations to predict
> > power density depending on buildings and average ground reflectivity
> > for a region. This is used often by professional when calculating the
> > coverage of a radio or tv station with a given antenna and antenna
> > pattern.
> >
> > james
>
> There's a nice program called "radio mobile" available for this.
> We use it to plot repeater coverage. Takes USGS .DEM files for terrain.

I'm interested in hearing more about this program (I own a repeater, and
am involved with a few others). IS this available as a download, or is
it something that is a high dollar purchase?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3902. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:26:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EB8A.1B18@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146438

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment for
> a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an alignment.
> Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?
>

I've never had much faith in Galaxy radios. There were many little
technical quirks in them. But they usually did their rated output power.

Most radios do their rated power out of the box, provided you supply the
proper voltage and current to them.

I'd stick to the Uniden Grant, if I were you. Much less problems.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3903. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:30:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EC75.5FCB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146439

Oris wrote:
>
> I have this question to ask. Why is it that 99% of the ladies that one
> would meet from the CB radio FAT (not PHAT but Nasty 340 lb fat) or
> ugly or FAT AND UGLY! What is up with that? No lie this has been my
> experience between the South Carolina line and Atlanta on I 85. I don't
> mean to insult anyone this is just an observation. It also was my
> experance between PA and Baltomore on I 95. Is the rest of the Nation
> like that???? send pics
>

There are many societal and psychological reasons why this seems to be
the case (I too, have witnessed the same).

To put it simply, If you were a hot chick, would you spend your social
time on a CB radio? Or would you be cruising the yuppie circuit? From
the female point of view, many of the guys on the CB radio aren't very
appealing either........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3904. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:36:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EDC4.685F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146440

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:eFUH9.37533$bq3.7185@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > Tom,
> >
> > I freely admit to my share of oddities, but aggravating people anonymously
> > doesn't do anything for
> > me--or aggravating people in person, either. Oh,
> > well.....
> >
> > Jerry
>
> I agree, but then you and I are probably more well-adjusted than someone who
> wants to repeat "Canadian Superpower" on the radio all day long.
>
> Did you ever year that guy who used to sound like a baby crying on 27.555
> some time back? He used to go for hours at a time every day, it seemed
> like. I'm sure it was probably a recording, but I just don't see what the
> fun in playing it over & over would be.
>

Of course you don't, you're not sociopathic. It's impossible to make
sanity out of the insane.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3905. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:39:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EE76.50F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146441

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message
> news:WJcI9.54455$ry.579450@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
>
> > The point is that niether a ham or CBer may legally go out of his assigned
> > range of frequencies, play music, use foul langauge, run 20,000 watts etc,
> > etc. But of the 2 the ham is far more likely to get caught if he breaks
> the
> > rules, and that is what will piss off some hams even if they have no
> disire
> > to break the rules themselves.
>
> I don't think that most hams are pissed that CBers can do this and likely
> not get caught while hams are more likely to get caught. A ham could simply
> not ID while doing that kind of nonsense. I think the main difference is
> that it's more likely that hams will DF an offending signal than CBers
> would.
>
> It is important to note that this is one of the main reasons that most hams
> look down on CBers. While hams are supposed to play by the rules and is
> usually a "gentleman's club," CB tends to be like the wild west where just
> about anything goes. Personally, I really don't care what happens on the CB
> bands, but a lot of hams do for some reason.
>

Usually those hams are also CBers, and remember a time when CB was a
little more civilized.

Heck, if I didn't have a fondness for CB, I wouldn't care what people
did, and I'd just pretend it didn't exist.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3906. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 13:41:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5EF0A.1C68@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146442

Hamateur Operator wrote:
>
> a lot of us like every man for himself and only the strong survive. its the way
> of the real world. besides the old west wasnt so bad....

So you like bullies, and people with self inflated ego's, controlling
what the rest of us have to put up with?

That's fine if you're the bully, but it's not so fine when you're on the
other end.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3907. Re: Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 14:26:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021210092645.01576.00000342@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 146443

whats this all about??????

>
>Doug Adair in Toledo,Ohio.......how ya makin out with those child
>molestation charges ole boy.............the rat fink has really got
>himself in a pickle this time.....oooops no pun intended......har har
>LOL :O)
>

3908. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 14:30:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021210093044.01576.00000343@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 146444

sounds like a sirio 827

>
>There was also a regionally produced (Sort of like Dave Made is today)
>antenna called a "Super Starburst". It had either a 5/8th or 3/4 wave
>radiator (I'm not totally sure), and 10 1/8th wave radials. Many people
>in my area had them then, and every one of them talked well. This was
>back in the early to mid 70's. I never got the straight scoop about who
>and where these antennas were made. I was told once that they we're made
>by a guy in a wheelchair, and that he eventually had failing health or
>died, and that's why the antennas suddenly disappeared. If anyone has a
>better story, I'd love to hear it.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3909. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 14:33:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021210093306.01576.00000344@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 146445

>So you like bullies, and people with self inflated ego's, controlling
>what the rest of us have to put up with?

ever since a tiny child ive not been afraid of bullies

>
>That's fine if you're the bully, but it's not so fine when you're on the
>other end.

i wouldnt know

3910. Re: RS TS485 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 14:34:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-105
Message-ID: <20021210093432.01576.00000345@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 146446

how did you do it?

>this radio can be modified and i have done it

3911. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 14:55:59 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <m6mdnYETGcz3nWugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146447

> I'm interested in hearing more about this program (I own a repeater, and
> am involved with a few others). IS this available as a download, or is
> it something that is a high dollar purchase?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

http://www.cplus.org/rmw/english1.html

Freeware.

3912. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:05:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF602D5-643@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146449

>Did you ever year that guy who used to sound >like a baby crying on 27.555 some time back? >He used to go for hours at a time every day, it >seemed like. I'm sure it was probably a >recording, but I just don't see what the fun in >playing it over & over would be.
>Tom

Worse is someone actually listening to that for hours at a time.....
I'm extremely blessed with my qth.....a little nod to the east and the entire US is gone from my receive,,,,,can use 555 anytime I choose,,,,,,although I have talked to California the long way and it wasn't from the back of the beam.....and I don't blow a bunch of smoke here.....never did. Mother Nature is very fond of some of us.

3913. Re: Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:07:19 UTC
From: dddianenoel@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ce161eb2.0212100707.7c7951ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146448

Doug is a deeply disturbed individual. Had a talk with his x-wife and found it to be very interesting. According to her, he is a real nutcase (like we didn't already know that) and anything he says should be taken with a grain of salt.

3914. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:11:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60407-644@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146453

>Well I was mainly referring to internet trolls >and idiots on the radio who prefer to remain >anonymous while getting their kicks by >harassing other people all day. Sure, there are >some people out there who harass others with >their identity well-known, but the majority >either (on radio) never ID, or (on usenet) use >anonymous posting services. I believe that >most of them wouldn't post garbage on usenet >or be idiots on the radio if their identity were >known with every post or every keydown.
>Tom

100% in agreement. And most of them would never insult or call the names to one in person as they do here in electronic make believe land. They are always the first to extend a handshake and say it's all in fun. THAT part always remains the same.....

3915. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:19:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF605EF-646@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146454

I don't think that most hams are pissed that CBers can do this and likely not get caught while hams are more likely to get caught. A ham could simply not ID while doing that kind of nonsense. I think the main difference is that it's more likely that hams will DF an offending signal than CBers would.
It is important to note that this is one of the main reasons that most hams look down on CBers. While hams are supposed to play by the rules and is usually a "gentleman's club," CB tends to be like the wild west where just about anything goes. Personally, I really don't care what happens on the CB bands, but a lot of hams do for some reason.
Tom
--

I don't think *most* hammies are pissed over that either, but some that are in the minority are, which is why I chose to illustrate that certain hammies view. Some are here simply to start trouble and argue....condemning behavior tantamount to their own (freebanding, dx, and what they have called "basement" amps) and using it as a poorly disguised premise to attack is transparent to all but themselves..
Cb has it's problems, but is inherent of this forum and it's participants.

3916. Re: is chuckie starting shit on ham radio reflector???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:25:54 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212100725.a951a6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146450

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0212072202.58a7251b@posting.google.com>...
> looks like our boy chuckie is doing his natural born thing on the
> reflector....way to go chuck......you are a natural.......and a credit
> to your hobby....

and i suppose your a real prize too?

3917. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:35:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF609A8-648@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146452

N3CVJ wrote:
>Of course you don't, you're not sociopathic. It's >impossible to make sanity out of the insane.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Tsk-tsk...the monotony of your obsession appears to be troubling you greatly.
The only thing impossible is your ability to see yourself as others do.

>My memory is not as great as it used to be, >but what is failing is short term memory. I have >a tough time remembering what I ate for >breakfast yesterday,

Obviously. Your posts are all self-contradictory.
Gems:

>My views are somewhat old fashioned in >today's atmosphere. Not everything new is >necessarily better, and not everything old is >obsolete. I am an advocate of returning to a >time where people respected others, the law, >and were not always challenging everthing >that they didn't agree with. Living in a society >as large and diverse as ours requires many >compromises in individual opinions, in order to >live harmoniously. We can't always have it our >way.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Then you post with:

>The irony to all of this is that the same people, >that complain about the "RF police" hams, are >the same "elite" CBers, that operate on >freeband, to get away from the riff-raff on the >legal 40. Maybe the riff-raff should complain >about the "stuck-up" freebanders?
>Bottom line, you can't have it both ways. Dave >"Sandbagger"

(Giggle) You have always managed to project your false feeling of superiority. Here you foster and entertain the notion that YOU indeed would prefer to have it both ways..as both of these posts were made in the same time span..the one where you claimed you were already obeying the letter of the law and that your "criminal" days were behind you. It gets better.....

-
>There you go again! Why do you immediately >assume that people who run power are >somehow rude? Some of the most courteous >operators I know are "Freebanders". They run >power and illegal channels, in order to escape >from the "riff-raff" on the regular channels, and >for some

increased range.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

And you have used that as your false premise to attack many of those here on rec.radio.cb. I found your alter-ego of the v6amp addy or whatever the hell it was quite amusing..... no wonder you were hellbent on hiding that villanova return addy from the world.....you hold conversation with yourself quite regularly and argue with yourself all throughout your history.....you sure are one to talk about sanity..ahhaahahhahhah! Hapy holidays to ya, Lid!

3918. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:37:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60A52-649@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146451

>Heck, if I didn't have a fondness for CB, I >wouldn't care what people did, and I'd just >pretend it didn't exist.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

You don't have that kind of self-control. Most pervs don't. Besides, your posts are fool of insults and generalities concerning those "cbers"....you have no fondness for anything...it's your memory problem.

3919. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:45:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60C17-651@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146458

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Hamateur Operator wrote:
a lot of us like every man for himself and only the strong survive. its the way of the real world. besides the old west wasnt so bad....

>So you like bullies, and people with self >inflated ego's, controlling what the rest of us >have to put up with?

You have demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt your views are not shared by the majority of the group nor by most hammies......they are even speaking up..the ones that don't speak up merely shake their head and cringe everytime you open your mouth.....you are a what plagues hammie radio......the classic lid....therefore, the use of the word "us" by you is at best, laughable and pertainable to yourself, Lelnad, and Dogie only. You share common character traits that have not been displayed by any other than you few..hardly constituting the validity of the word "us".

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

3920. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what do...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:47:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60C9F-652@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146459

I'm interested in hearing more about this program (I own a repeater, and
am involved with a few others). IS this available as a download, or is
it something that is a high dollar purchase?
Dave
"Sandbagger"

--

Still have the Phelps?

3921. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 15:54:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60E2D-653@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146456

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
And if citizens never second guessed the government it's governing laws
and blindly conformed to all we would live in a totalitarian government
and not a democracy.
I don't know how "second guessing" helps, but we are supposed to
participate in the process, through our representatives. The ARRL
does a lot of lobbying in that direction.
--

Check this intersting fact out: The ACLU had a record number of
enrollments since 911 compared to all previous years.
You may not realize those "second-guessers" were even around, but if
they were gone, you would know it very soon.
Concerning the ARRL,,,,,,,like cb, their original intentions were
valiant and noble, but they are quite removed from their point of
origination.

3922. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:00:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF60FAF-654@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146461

>It is perfectly OK to second-guess the Federal >government.

It's just not ok, it's necessary.

>And there are, of course, ways to get
>objectional laws changed. The rules governing >radio came about thru
trial and error, and >actually
>work quite well to prevent interference and >allow the most people to
make the most use of >radio.

For the most part I would agree, but like everything else, there are
exceptions to every rule.

>BTW, the USA is NOT a democracy, it is a >republic.

Is that the same as saying we found our nation under one God, but in
holding with political correctness of the times, we now say it wasnt
really ONE God, and he wasn't really a Christian God? Political
correctness sucks in any form.

>Jerry

3923. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:07:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28967-3DF61148-236@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146457

And what court would that be?
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--

Court of law. I checked. Maybe I missed it? You of course can cite the court that convicted one of a federal crime for mere freebanding or dxing..actually, it as been shown you ant produce anything but lies...still waiting for you to tell how long you have been complying with the law...
or something to show your lie concerning the cber who received a *disorderly conduct" charge isn't really a lie...till then....you have no status to ask anything of anyone....

3924. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:09:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28968-3DF611B4-86@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146460

>You know that, and most responsible, mature >people know that. But there is an element of >our society that is much to lazy to go through >the process to have rules changed. They'd >rather just ignore them.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

How long have you been in compliance with the rules, Davey-boy?

3925. Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:10:11 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146455

To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:

On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.

It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends" have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself. Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out to do.

Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real life.

after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while... These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're sasified.

on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you again.

73 and Farewell for now....

-Chuck Adkins K8CPA

Lincoln Park, Mi...

3926. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:15:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28968-3DF61333-87@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146466

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Jerry is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already
managed on this matter

>Don't speak for Jerry

I didn't..YOU did by entering him in the equation....check back and
see..YOU brought him back into the fold.

>.I will let his own words haunt you.

(snip)
Interesting selection of terminology you employed.......his words are
fine..as usual,,,your deficit in communication has manifested and is
responsible for your inept interpretation.

3927. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:21:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28966-3DF6147F-663@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146467

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Jerry is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already
managed on this matter

>Don't speak for Jerry

I didn't..YOU did by entering him in the equation....check back and
see..YOU brought him back into the fold.

>.I will let his own words haunt you.

(snip)
Interesting selection of terminology you employed.......his words are
fine..as usual,,,your deficit in communication has manifested and is
responsible for your inept interpretation.

3928. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:38:08 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <5OqdnZIyOIPthWugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146462

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aR7J9.894$cL4.74951@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> 1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... center fed verticle 1/2 wave dipole with
slanting
> elements to facilitate impeadance matching.
>
> Dino

Dino, the word dipole means 2 legs. A 1/4 wave ground plane antenna works
against a counterpoise, the counterpoise is formed from 1/4 wave radials
radiating from the base of antenna. The counterpoise ground currents flow
along
the ground radials instead of the earth.

> >The antenna is a quarter wave Tony, you need to look at where it is fed.
The
> >length they give is from the top of antenna to bottom of ground radials,
> >which are at angle to lower the feed point resistance. . A 1/4 wave
dipole
> >is 18' as well 9' on each leg. Btw, Antron 99 claims to have 9.9 dbi
gain,
> >you beleive that one to right?
> >
> >
>

3929. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:39:23 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <anKdnfymY98mhWugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146463

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DF5E295.46F1@worldlynx.net...
> Dino wrote:
> >
> > 1/2 wave.... 1/2 wave.... center fed verticle 1/2 wave dipole with
slanting
> > elements to facilitate impeadance matching.
>
> Actually the Starduster more closely resembles a quarter wave
> groundplane. In its simplest "classic" design, a 1/4 wave GP has a 1/4
> wave vertical element, and 3 or 4 1/4 wave radials, which are normally
> drooped at about 45 degrees from vertical, which lowers the feedpoint
> impedence. Im not sure what the steeper radial droop of the starduster,
> does to the impedence or the angle of radiation. I supposed I could
> model it on EZNEC, to see......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Dave i agree 100% and so does the ARRL Manual.... It is a classic 1/4 wave
GP antenna.

3930. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:40:35 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <GmidndTTxvuehGugXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146464

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DF5D9EC.1388@worldlynx.net...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > >So signing a license is not giving away a right >as declared in the
> > Bill of Rights.
> > >james
> > -
> > Cheers.
> > And talking dx or freebanding is not a federal crime as declared by a
> > court of law.
>
>
> And what court would that be?

Kangaroo

3931. Re: What's the attraction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 16:44:15 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <PM-dnReres99hGugXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 146465

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at4ml2$10tlnh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "No Pity" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
> news:c8CcnbOHHKLuBGigXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > Wrong,
>
> While it is misleading to say that Amateur equipment
> > doesn't have to
> > be "appproved", actually it DOES have to be approved for sale in the US.

> This is true, but it applies only to radio equipment being manufactured &
sold.

BINGO!!!!!! That is the whole jist of it Tom, those "Export Radios" are not
built by amatuers.

>If I built an HF rig that had full 1500-30000 KHZ coverage transmit &
> receive, I could still use it on the ham bands without having to have it
> approved by anybody.

No one ever said likewise, It will still need to meet certain requirments.
It is those export radios clearly marked 10 meter which are not allowed to
be sold or used by amatuers.

Or if I had a marine or military HF radio for
> instance, I could legally use that on the ham bands also, providing I
stayed
> within the power limit, etc. Same goes for those 10 meter or export
radios
> that the FCC put on their banned import list. If I wanted to use one on
10
> meters, I could.

How could you use it if it is on the banned list? They are not to be sold
they are illegal. How did you get one?

>
> Tom
>
>

3932. Re: Need schematic for mute circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 17:23:08 UTC
From: Skipp hates spam <getajob@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at57ts$o5i$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 146468

The audio sense - detect circuit for the CB is no big problem, I could probably help you with that... even a rude audio detector circuit can be made from one transistor/fet and some descrete parts.

The issue is how are you going to deal with muting the car stereo. Two methods come to mind... first is dealing with it at the low level audio point (at the volume control), the second is at the speaker level (output) point.

You have to be concerned with the audio output leads of the stereo, often hybrid IC circuits are "bridged" to get more output and there is DC on the leads which means one or both speaker leads should not ever be grounded.

So any circuit for each speaker lead you make will have to be isolated or "floating".

Then there is the issue of how much power the car stereo outputs...

The end result is, how practical is it going to work... your CB will pop on noise and the radio level will bounce up and down, ie drive you crazy.

It would be a fun circuit to build, but it will drive you crazy in the long run...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: Armand <milkbone@cableone.net> wrote:
: I used to have a schematic from an electronics magazine
: that was for a circuit that would mute your car stereo
: speaker when the squelch on the cb was broken.

: It was pretty simple, just a couple of scr's that sensed
: voltage on the remote speaker jack of the cb and switched
: the car stereo line out's to a dummy load.

: Does anyone know where I could find a schematic or something
: like it?

: TIA,
: Bucky

3933. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 18:04:45 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13541-3DF62CBD-655@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146469

Yada,Yada,Yawn..Here we go AGAIN!!!!...Mark

3934. Hey Ruger in light loafer land

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 18:28:47 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212101028.2d4d607e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146470

Hey Rugerboy,

how ya makin out with those abuse complaints to adelphia?......the
turd stink has really got himself in a pickle this time.....oops no
pun intended.....har har LOL :o)

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<bh9bvuk2p3epuqup9db68od4472j6hd8tc@4ax.com>...
> Doug Adair in Toledo,Ohio.......how ya makin out with those child
> molestation charges ole boy............the rat fink has really got
> himself in a pickle this time.....oooops no pun intended......har har
> LOL :O)

3935. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:03:51 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101103.335ca018@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146471

Vinnie S. <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:<20eavu48ig44pajvj1rrhbhblkjl7flb7d@4ax.com>...
> I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment for
> a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an alignment.
> Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?
>
> Vinnie S.

you don't want it to peak past 4 watts????????? geez.....what
the hell are you talking to us about such a silly thing for
anyways???? in the words of chuckie.....'what a
moron'.............

3936. Re: Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:04:50 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101104.5f98cb5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146472

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<bh9bvuk2p3epuqup9db68od4472j6hd8tc@4ax.com>...
> Doug Adair in Toledo,Ohio.......how ya makin out with those child
> molestation charges ole boy.............the rat fink has really got
> himself in a pickle this time.....oooops no pun intended......har har
> LOL :O)

so doug likes the kiddies ....why am i not surprised........????

3937. Re: FS:Texas Star 667v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:05:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101105.67c52cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146473

ChromeHouse@aol.com (Corey's Audio) wrote in message
news:<420a0ff7.0212082008.3b1907f6@posting.google.com>...
> Texas Star 667V modified never used in the box $250 or best offer
> includes shipping anywhere in the U.S.A. email me if interested!

hey sell it to lowrider ....he needs something to make that
piece of shit long nose talk.................

3938. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:07:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101107.50348f82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146474

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com>...
> this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> as follows:
>
>
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: sadie106@juno.com
> Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
>
> hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
>
> Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> --------------------
>
>
> Question from: n8wwm
> Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> Seller: sky444
> Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> Price: Starts at $50.00
> To view the item, go to:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
>
>
> now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
>
> sadie106@juno.com
>
> IS A RAT!!!!!!

yeh and you can add jerry and dwight to that list too....speaking of
dwight....funny isn't it.....no aaron and no dwight about the same
time.....hmmm...still copying the mail on that one.....

3939. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:22:17 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210142217.29486.00000173@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 146475

get a penatrator with two coils on the antenna whip

Thatz-me-JP

3940. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:23:02 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212101123.7e048073@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146476

twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) femininely meweled in message
news:<2451-3DF4C152-505@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
still say the picnic of
rec.radio.cb oughtta take place this spring or
summer.....we can rent a mountain in the
Shenandoahs...plenty of altitude for cb dxers and several repeaters in
easy reach for hammies. I'll bring pork roast.....

OK twithed...and I will bring my patented 3 layer triple chocolate ex
lax cake.

Have a big slice, and slowly over the weekend you will disappear. This
promises to be a good time.

3941. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:23:34 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <48WdncVZ97SromugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146477

"Mark Roehlke" <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13541-3DF62CBD-655@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yada,Yada,Yawn..Here we go AGAIN!!!!...Mark

Yes just a different date on the post, otherwise same old song.

3942. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:26:19 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <5-2cnZz46OdHomugXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146478

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212101107.50348f82@posting.google.com...
> rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com>...
> > this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> > as follows:
> >
> >
> > -------Original Message-------
> >
> > From: sadie106@juno.com
> > Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> > To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> > Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
> >
> > hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
> >
> > Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> > --------------------
> >
> >
> > Question from: n8wwm
> > Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> > Seller: sky444
> > Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> > Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> > Price: Starts at $50.00
> > To view the item, go to:
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
> >
> >
> > now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> > sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
> >
> > sadie106@juno.com
> >
> > IS A RAT!!!!!!
>
> yeh and you can add jerry and dwight to that list too....speaking of
> dwight....funny isn't it.....no aaron and no dwight about the same
> time.....hmmm...still copying the mail on that one.....

What do you call about the same time? dwight left at least a month before
aaron

3943. Gay test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:27:42 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212101127.147a5265@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146479

It is simple....

To find out if yer gay ask yerself...

1.Do you own an illegal CB radio or transceiver that works as one?

2.Do you use illegal power levels?

3.Do you operate on illegal frequencies?

If yes to any of these...now ya know. Time to keep a home aids test around the house.

3944. Chuck...you are lucky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:31:15 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212101131.679b5f73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146480

Lucky it didnt that is. You dont get the bullet ya dodged at all.
Leave me alone I aint doin SHIT. Better go find which one of these
cookie pushers here is doin ya and threaten them some.

Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<s274vu4c38gurkafei3raueg10thcdtgem@4ax.com>...
> On Sat, 07 Dec 2002 15:56:38 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
> was blabbling:
>
> ...
> ...<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> ...news:12j3vu4ln7bh9lkbnik6i0ler4pd7qlaue@4ax.com...
> ...> On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 18:49:55 -0500, -~- <cvb@qwe.gov> wrote:
> ...>
> ...> The above movie appears to have been posted from K8CPA Chuck.
> ...> Check out the header info (NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26)
> ...>
> ...>
> ...
> ...
> ... Yes, exact same IP, go figure.
> ...
> ... Landshark
>
> what are you guys whining about? the movie never made it to the group!
>
> thanks to giganews's built in security.
>
> :::grumble:::

3945. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 19:32:52 UTC
From: No Pity <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <s3WdnUOL4ab53GugXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146481

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28966-3DF6147F-663@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Jerry is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already
managed on this matter

>Don't speak for Jerry

I didn't..YOU did by entering him in the equation....check back and
see..YOU brought him back into the fold.

>.I will let his own words haunt you.

(snip)
Interesting selection of terminology you employed.......his words are
fine..as usual,,,your deficit in communication has manifested and is
responsible for your inept interpretation.

Tell me what Jerry meant then when he made this statement:

"The term type acceptance" is not used any more. If you will notice, when
you see a new Yaesu,
Icom, or Kenwood Amateur transceiver about to be introduced, you will see a
small disclaimer down at the bottom of the ad that says, "has not received
FCC approval for sale to the public"--or something to that affect.
Regardless of what service a radio is targeted towards, it must meet certain
requirements set by FCC for commercial manufacturers in order to be approved
for sale in that particular service. While there is no "type certificate"
for Amateur Radios, commercially
produced radios for that market must meet certain baseline requirements
before the Fed will allow
it to be imported/sold in the US. That is the "rub" with those alleged 10
Meter "ham" radios sold in
truck stops; they do not meet FCC's criteria, they are too easy to modify,
they have "band" switches which allow the radio to cover SEVERAL "bands" of
frequencies. Ten Meters is O N E band, so a 10 Meter radio doesn't NEED
switches to cover one band. Ten Meter ham radios, the REAL ones, don't have
incremental "channel" positions or echo, or even
those banal squeaks and beeps."

3946. Newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 20:01:34 UTC
From: le_marcos@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <12691a46.0212101201.2ad452a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146482

Hello everybody, I just started CB when i found in my basement a quite recent CB that is working. The probleme is that I dont have any money to spend in an antenna or even tos-meter (maybe tos-meter) and i heard about wire antenna. I just want to chat with a frien who is about 1-2 mile away from my flat (4th and last floor) so I dont think i need a very complex model (even though I have all the DIY material needed). I can use the roof of my building but (2nd question) I dont know if i am too far from it or no (I have to use about 15-20 meters of wire to connect it).
So if anybody can give me wire antenna plans & answer to my wire question it would be nice!!
Thanks

Marc

3947. Re: Hey faggot boy Chukkee...a letter written to the Iron Coffins...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 20:53:42 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212101253.5732ef16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146483

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0212091519.7472448f@posting.google.com>...
> chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message
news:<7684f123.0212090736.1151ba3d@posting.google.com>...
> > Written to ironcoffins@hotmail.com
> >
> > A guy in Lincoln Park, MI is using the Iron Coffin's name to attempt
> > to scare people in the google.com newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
> >
> > Just thought you fellas would like to know that.
> >
> > His Name is Charles Adkins. He claims to be closely associated with
> > your organization. If you look up your club name in the archives of
> > the newsgroup you will see that he has used it several times in
> > attempts to make someone scared. He says all he has to do is make a
> > call and you fellas will beat, rape, shoot...whatever he tells you to
> > do. You can look it up.
> >
> > Here is his full information:
> >
> > Charles Adkins
> > 1821 LeBlanc
> > Lincoln Park, MI 48146
> >
> > I know you guys do not fool with other people just for giggles, and I
> > am sure you want to know when people are fooling with you.
> >
> > Be further advised that this person has a warrant out in from Toledo
> > for menacing in connection with previous threats he has made to people
> > over the internet. He has used the Iron Coffins' name several times
> > in making such threats over the last several years. These threats are
> > all available in the rec.radio.cb archives of google.com
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > A long time 1980 EG owner
>
> so chuckie is from lincoln park.....hmmm, that makes sense.....

<ironcoffins@hotmail.com>:
65.54.253.99 does not like recipient.
Remote host said: 550 Requested action not taken: mailbox unavailable
Giving up on 65.54.253.99.

hmmmm....must not work

letter must be a fake too...

3948. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:02:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101302.33fd248f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146484

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5EC75.5FCB@worldlynx.net>...
> Oris wrote:
> >
> > I have this question to ask. Why is it that 99% of the ladies that one
> > would meet from the CB radio FAT (not PHAT but Nasty 340 lb fat) or
> > ugly or FAT AND UGLY! What is up with that? No lie this has been my
> > experience between the South Carolina line and Atlanta on I 85. I don't
> > mean to insult anyone this is just an observation. It also was my
> > experance between PA and Baltomore on I 95. Is the rest of the Nation
> > like that???? send pics
> >
>
> There are many societal and psychological reasons why this seems to be
> the case (I too, have witnessed the same).
>
> To put it simply, If you were a hot chick, would you spend your social
> time on a CB radio? Or would you be cruising the yuppie circuit? From
> the female point of view, many of the guys on the CB radio aren't very
> appealing either........
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

yeh dave........chicks don't dig wrecker drivers, security guards, ssi
recipients, police officer impersonators, child molestors, inbred
hayseed hicks, truck drivers, unemployed goober smoochers,
homosexuals, trailer park trash, junky old car drivers, drunks,
excons, guys with bad teeth, bad hair, and body oders, etc,
etc.......yeh they are real funny about stuff like that.......but i
ask you...who does???? however,......this radio shit gets in your
blood.........what can i say???? i just don't talk about it to
females...........they just would not understand..........how can you
explain to them that you just got off channel 6 and told all of the
blacks that this is george bush country and they need to go get a
fucking job.........?????

3949. Re: is chuckie starting shit on ham radio reflector???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:04:12 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101304.504ba24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146485

lf6tubes@yahoo.com (lf6tubes) wrote in message
news:<95ee54c6.0212100725.a951a6b@posting.google.com>...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0212072202.58a7251b@posting.google.com>...
> > looks like our boy chuckie is doing his natural born thing on the
> > reflector....way to go chuck......you are a natural.......and a credit
> > to your hobby....
>
> and i suppose your a real prize too?

i can honestly say without a shadow of a doubt i am the best looking
guy on rec.radio.cb.....now saying that i say this.....i
am equal time .....mudduck.....now go back and play with your
fucking 2 meter shit and live the grownups alone.....

3950. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:04:48 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <QFsJ9.12403$hw3.2508@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 146486

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212101107.50348f82@posting.google.com...
> rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com>...
> > this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> > as follows:
> >
> >
> > -------Original Message-------
> >
> > From: sadie106@juno.com
> > Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> > To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> > Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
> >
> > hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
> >
> > Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> > --------------------
> >
> >
> > Question from: n8wwm
> > Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> > Seller: sky444
> > Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> > Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> > Price: Starts at $50.00
> > To view the item, go to:
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
> >
> >
> > now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> > sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
> >
If only it had been listed in the correct category there would be no
problems. List it under Ham equipment

3951. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:22:10 UTC
From: Jimmie Fender <Gfender@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6WsJ9.14696$uJ5.1431127@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 146487

Antennas havent changed much at all in the last 50 years, just the hype, Starduster was a good antenna but nothing special. Antennas work best when they are located as high as u can get them, Get it out of the attic and on a pole. I had mine back in the 70's if I rember right it was a 1/4 wave antenna that I replaced with a 5/8ths. The 5/8ths worked much better. Could be wrong though maybe the Starduster was the 5/8ths.Anyway I cant remember if it was the 5/8ths or the 1/4 wave I replaced t with a 5/8ths. if it is about 10 ft tall get rid of it, if is about 20 ft tall u have a good antenna.
"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:98GI9.284650$WL3.94305@rwcrnsc54...
> Big Stick is made by Shakespeare.
>
> No comparison with the Starduster and A-99. The Starduster is MUCH better.
>
>
> "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
> news:7ff5vusgtfu47d1ihjr77971gnnq5h2nj0@4ax.com...
> > I have one in my attic. It's old, about 20-25 years old, but fully
> protected
> > from the elements. My father wouldn't allow me to put it on the roof, so
I
> > settled for the attic. Did pretty well, too. Are these still any good?
Or
> have
> > antennas progressed much better?
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
>

3952. Cobra18LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:32:39 UTC
From: Jimmie Fender <Gfender@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X3tJ9.14697$uJ5.1433347@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 146492

Was given a New in the box Cobra 18LTD radio. How old is this radio, I am guessing mid to early 80's. Seems to work good, modulation could use some help(as came from factory). Is this a good radio. Im thinking a power mike is all it really needs.
TIA

3953. Re: UGA Vs. ARK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:34:00 UTC
From: Jimmie Fender <Gfender@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <c5tJ9.14698$uJ5.1433689@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 146489

GOOOOOOO DAWGS
"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021208212343.06238.00000614@mb-fw.aol.com...
> HOW BOUT THEM DAWGS?!?!?!? #1 UGA fan right here!!! whoooooo
hoooo!!!!
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

3954. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 21:47:59 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1039557023.468668@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 146491

Damn Lawyers ! Don't You just hate it when they blow smoke up Your ass for a fee ?
"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:pRtJ9.6559$Kw6.4359846@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Go off and whine like many others, nobody will miss you
>
>
> "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com...
> > To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
> >
> > On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> > this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.
> >
> > It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> > have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> > Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> > to do.
> >
> > Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> > earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after
> > we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> > and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> > life.
> >
> > after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> > best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> > These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> > sasified.
> >
> > on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> > attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> > again.
> >
> > 73 and Farewell for now....
> >
> > -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
> >
> > Lincoln Park, Mi...
>
>

3955. Re: Service manual for Motorola Mocat 40?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:21:53 UTC
From: "Sir.Oblivion" <Sir.Oblivion@public.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <3df66902$1_5@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 146493

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at4mpr$10s89g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> I know this is a long shot, but does anyone know a website or other place
> where I could find a service manual for a Motorola Mocat 40? I've
searched
> the web but haven't found anything.
>
> The radio has low mike audio and the TX frequency is about 3 kHz too low.
> Anyone know how to fix?
>
The Motorola T4000A, T4005A, T4009A, T4010A, T4020A, T4022A, T4025A & CM540
had no adjustment for AMC. It did share the chassis with a SM5104 chip with
the Midland 77-825/77-861 the output controlled by T4, T5 & L3 or L14, L16
& L18. It was the same design as many of the cheaper AM mobiles of the era
like the Kris XL, Utac's & Panasonics. It was also the same board as in the
Fannon 880 Base and Radio Shack TRC-455(?). I looked through all my books
and that's all I could come up with.

3956. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:25:25 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <pRtJ9.6559$Kw6.4359846@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146488

Go off and whine like many others, nobody will miss you

"Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com...
> To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
>
> On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.
>
> It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> to do.
>
> Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after
> we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> life.
>
> after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> sasified.
>
> on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> again.
>
> 73 and Farewell for now....
>
> -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
>
> Lincoln Park, Mi...

3957. Re: Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:27:32 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212101427.6dc8d13b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146494

dddianenoel@hotmail.com (Secret Agent Man) wrote in message news:<ce161eb2.0212100707.7c7951ea@posting.google.com>...
> Doug is a deeply disturbed individual. Had a talk with his x-wife and
> found it to be very interesting. According to her, he is a real
> nutcase (like we didn't already know that) and anything he says should
> be taken with a grain of salt.

Mighty funny, considering I don't have one.

3958. Re: Newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:33:21 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <RYtJ9.6560$Kw6.4360727@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146490

As long as you have good coax, an antenna on the roof would be fine. To the wire question: I have a dipole antenna made from the type of wiring used for electrical wiring in your house (can't remember athe AWG off-hand) that I think is 26 feet long. I cut this in half and attached one of the wires to the center wire of the coax and the other wire to the outer coax wire. Then just hag it up like this:

```
--------------|--------------- -wires
| -COAX
|
```

It can make for a good antenna, but I would recommend getting an outdoor antenna.

"Marcos125" <le_marcos@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:12691a46.0212101201.2ad452a1@posting.google.com...

> Hello everybody, I just started CB when i found in my basement a quite
> recent CB that is working. The probleme is that I dont have any money
> to spend in an antenna or even tos-meter (maybe tos-meter) and i heard
> about wire antenna. I just want to chat with a frien who is about 1-2
> mile away from my flat (4th and last floor) so I dont think i need a
> very complex model (even though I have all the DIY material needed). I
> can use the roof of my building but (2nd question) I dont know if i am
> too far from it or no (I have to use about 15-20 meters of wire to
> connect it).
> So if anybody can give me wire antenna plans & answer to my wire
> question it would be nice!!
> Thanks
>
> Marc

3959. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:47:15 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF66DA1.1020101@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146495

HAHAHAHAHA Very well put. Now I guess most of the guys on CB radio don't like the truth. The thousands of times that I put this question out on Channel 19 just for survey sake I have always gotten some guy (For lack of better terms) either wanting to beat me up HAHAHA I'm 6'3" and weigh in a 250 or I get some guy For lack of better terms telling me about the beautiful girl he just got off the radio with in New Jersey. Or that his wife is beautiful and she talks on the radio. I asked him if he needed glasses and he didn't come back. HAHAHAHA I laughed at that one for miles. I think your right about the socail seen. What pretty girl in her right mind would want to hang out with a rough looking CB guy, All stereo types aside most dudes who talk on CBs are not the cream of the crop.
I geuss if your a fat girl and you want a man to think that your pretty when your not get you a CBer or a CB trucker. And Merry Christmas
Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

Dave Hall wrote:

>Oris wrote:
>
>
>>I have this question to ask. Why is it that 99% of the ladies that one
>>would meet from the CB radio FAT (not PHAT but Nasty 340 lb fat) or
>>ugly or FAT AND UGLY! What is up with that? No lie this has been my
>>experience between the South Carolina line and Atlanta on I 85. I don't
>>mean to insult anyone this is just an observation. It also was my
>>experience between PA and Baltimore on I 95. Is the rest of the Nation
>>like that???? send pics
>>
>>
>>
>
>There are many societal and psychological reasons why this seems to be
>the case (I too, have witnessed the same).
>
>To put it simply, If you were a hot chick, would you spend your social
>time on a CB radio? Or would you be cruising the yuppie circuit? From
>the female point of view, many of the guys on the CB radio aren't very
>appealing either.....
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>

>
>
>

3960. FT 920 For trade and more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 22:48:33 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF66DEF.5040409@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146496

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I
also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape
I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig.
If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to
the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. I will trade this 2KD 5 Henry amp for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. It has new caps
and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same
voltage that runs your dryer and electric range.
I also have a Icom AT 500 auto tuner. This tuner will handle 500 watts. It works fine and I have no use for ICOM equipment. My station is all Yaesu at this time. I will trade this tuner for a Kenwood TS 50, or other small HF Gen. coverage rig, or a good Short wave receiver. I also have a Pentium III 500 Mhz computer for trade. It has 128Mb ram, CD burner 52X CD rom S3 Virge video card, 1Gb hard drive, 10/100 Mb network card, Motorola SM 56 modem, Yamaha sound card in a ATX tower. Of corse keyboard and mouse included. I will trade this computer for 350 bucs plus shiping or a good HF moblie rig.
I am will to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we can work out the deal.
oris@dirtball.com
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

3961. Re: Gay test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 23:20:18 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Y0vJ9.26979$NV.515404@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146499

That ain't got a damn thing ta do with being gay, you asshole!!
That's right I typed it, ASSHOLE!!
What was it like the first time you gave a dog a blow job?!!

Jes because one operates on a cb with illegal amps and outside channels
don't make them gay!
Where in da hell you get yo 411 on that shit?
You better go and suck yo momma's cock if ye know what's good fer ya!
Southside reject!!
Sidewalk sissy!!
You're so dumb, you thought Lug nuts was a cereal!!
Somebody gonna rip them lips off and knock the taste out yo mouth!!
I bring my posse' ta take yo 'tarded ass out like trash!
'Cause that all you be talkin'!
You MudDuck!!
GIT!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212101127.147a5265@posting.google.com...
> It is simple....
>
> To find out if yer gay ask yerself...
>
> 1.Do you own an illegal CB radio or transceiver that works as one?
>
> 2.Do you use illegal power levels?
>
> 3.Do you operate on illegal frequencies?
>
>
> If yes to any of these...now ya know. Time to keep a home aids test
> around the house.

3962. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 23:37:53 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <djucvuk4865crehra92mujlej4kerv6luj@4ax.com>
Source record: 146497

On 10 Dec 2002 11:03:51 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:

>Vinnie S. <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:<20eavu48ig44pajvj1rrhbhblkjl7flb7d@4ax.com>...
>> I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment for
>> a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an alignment.
>> Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>you don't want it to peak past 4 watts????????? geez.....what
>the hell are you talking to us about such a silly thing for
>anyways???? in the words of chuckie.....'what a
>moron'.............

Why do I have to peak it if I run an amp, moron? Besides, peaking it from 4
watts to 5 or 8 had little effect, from what I hear.

I asked the question in a way to get information. If you can't give the
information, then shut the fuck up.

Vinnie S.

3963. Re: Need schematic for mute circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 23:50:31 UTC
From: Armand <milkbone@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3DF67DC7.30508@cableone.net>
Source record: 146498

Thanks for the response!

This helps me make some design goals.
If I remember correctly the schematic I had just grounded out speaker
level to two resistors in paralel to make 8 ohms.

My buddy who owns a shop says he has a working example... I plan to
check out, but I'm looking for more functionality.

May I email you? I may need to take you up on your offer of some help at
sometime on this project.

BTW, I run debian.

Best,
Armand

Skipp hates spam wrote:
> The audio sense - detect circuit for the CB is no big problem, I could
> probably help you with that... even a rude audio detector circuit can be
> made from one transistor/fet and some descrete parts.
>
> The issue is how are you going to deal with muting the car stereo. Two
> methods come to mind... first is dealing with it at the low level audio
> point (at the volume control), the second is at the speaker level (output)
> point.
>
> You have to be concerned with the audio output leads of the stereo, often
> hybrid IC circuits are "bridged" to get more output and there is DC on the
> leads which means one or both speaker leads should not ever be grounded.
>
> So any circuit for each speaker lead you make will have to be isolated or
> "floating".
>
> Then there is the issue of how much power the car stereo outputs...
>
> The end result is, how practical is it going to work... your CB will pop
> on noise and the radio level will bounce up and down, ie drive you crazy.
>
> It would be a fun circuit to build, but it will drive you crazy in the
> long run...
>
> cheers
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>

> : Armand <milkbone@cableone.net> wrote:
> : I used to have a schematic from an electronics magazine
> : that was for a circuit that would mute your car stereo
> : speaker when the squelch on the cb was broken.
>
> : It was pretty simple, just a couple of scr's that sensed
> : voltage on the remote speaker jack of the cb and switched
> : the car stereo line out's to a dummy load.
>
> : Does anyone know where I could find a schematic or something
> : like it?
>
> : TIA,
> : Bucky
>

3964. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-10 23:52:22 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <6vvJ9.26990$NV.516003@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146500

I'm gonna find wheres you lives, muthafuka!
Keep talkin dat shit and you gonna gits yo ass beat!
So , Shut The Fuck Up, You Krazy KracKer!!
I gots me a white woman!
She be suckin' on my black dick!!
I be afta them white gals who got them big breastisis and big ass!
I be slidin' dat cock in dem asses and them big titties!!
So, you better watch yo self and what yo say on this hare NG!
That why dem white gals be runnins to a black man fo protection from the
white man these days!
Like the sayin' goes
"Once a white gal go black you ain't ever gonna git her ass Back!!"
So, you better RUN, muthafuka!
Or I'll pop a cap in yo white ass!!
And them white gals be laffin!!
Then I make'em suck my dick!!
They LOVE black dick!!!
YEEEEAAH, BOYEEEEEEE!!!!!
IN YO FACE!
CLICK- CLICK- ***BOO-YOW!***

I will cut yo coax one night when you least expects it, BOY!
So, when you goes to sleeps tonight, keep one eye open and yo hands on yo
dick!! IF YOU GOTS ONE!
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com...
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "
> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I
met
> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old
chevy.
> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag
on
> them.
> I could not believe how UGLY the women were, and smelly too. I thought
that
> the event should have been called a CB FREAK SHOW instead, they even had
> some midget cb'ers, they were carrying around a D-104 that was almost as
big
> as they were, talk about funny!
>
> If you've never been to a hamswap or cb break you really should attend. Be

> sure
> and bring your camera, to bring home proof of what you saw walking the
> earth!
>
>

3965. Selling Excellent condition Uniden HR 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 00:25:50 UTC
From: Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net>
Message-ID: <ti1dvuk6qme2jcqt146m4puuqak5n71qvp@4ax.com>
Source record: 146501

```
Selling excellent condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile
radio.
Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
Tremendous audio.
Price $159 plus $13.00 shipping
I accept money order or paypal.
Please reply via e mail to
dan@royaltelevision.net
```

3966. Don't go because of Pinko DUG !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 02:07:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df69de1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146502

I will ! It's too bad That Dug a Dare is such the commie that he is, that even Hambos are sick of him! Don't leave because of low life commies needing employment! God has put us here to show him THE better way! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:pRtJ9.6559$Kw6.4359846@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Go off and whine like many others, nobody will miss you
>
>
> "Me" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com...
> > To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
> >
> > On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> > this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.
> >
> > It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> > have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> > Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> > to do.
> >
> > Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> > earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after
> > we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> > and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> > life.
> >
> > after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> > best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> > These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> > sasified.
> >
> > on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> > attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> > again.
> >
> > 73 and Farewell for now....
> >
> > -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
> >
> > Lincoln Park, Mi...
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3967. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 02:11:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df69ea1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146503

Don't waste A DIME on any "big coil" antenna. Big coil means it can handle
BIG power. You don't have that, so it is a waste of $$$! Get a 4 1/2'
Francis, Hustler or a 5' Firestick ort a 5 1/2 Francis, and save $$$! Or
If you want a 6 1/4' long one that works better than ALL everyone mentions,
e mail me direct !
Dave truth@planetc.com

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212092021.398f2840@posting.google.com...
> Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these
> monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator
> or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know
> which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro
> 510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more
> than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I
> know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I
> have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they
> look.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3968. Re: Hey Adair in Toledo !!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 02:13:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df69f19_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146505

WHY isn't he married? Or is he married to da hammer & sickle? Is he a sodomite?
Dave !

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0212101104.5f98cb5b@posting.google.com...
> rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message news:<bh9bvuk2p3epuqup9db68od4472j6hd8tc@4ax.com>...
> > Doug Adair in Toledo,Ohio.......how ya makin out with those child
> > molestation charges ole boy.....the rat fink has really got
> > himself in a pickle this time.....oooops no pun intended......har har
> > LOL :O)
>
> so doug likes the kiddies .....why am i not surprised.....????

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3969. FS GALAXY DX 959

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 02:13:10 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WaxJ9.49513$Xr6.798740@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 146504

I have a nice looking and working galaxy dx 959 forsale.
This radio is teaked and peaked.
Comes with original box mic, power cord and a cobra power mic.
$150 + shipping u.s only

3970. Re: Cobra18LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 02:19:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df6a079_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146506

No, power mic a waste of money. Needs to be tuned up. Does it have a date on the back of it? I don't think the 18's did. The 18's had 3-4 different boards in them through time. If it has the 2nd last one in, it is the worst one. If it is from the 80's, it is a better one (less problems). I don't recommend anyone buy any 18's.
Dave!

"Jimmie Fender" <Gfender@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message news:X3tJ9.14697$uJ5.1433347@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> Was given a New in the box Cobra 18LTD radio. How old is this radio, I am
> guessing mid to early 80's. Seems to work good, modulation could use some
> help(as came from factory). Is this a good radio. Im thinking a power mike
> is all it really needs.
> TIA
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3971. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 03:34:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212101934.3206e24c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146507

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) wrote in message
news:<676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com>...
> To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
>
> On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.
>
> It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> to do.
>
> Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after
> we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> life.
>
> after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> sasified.
>
> on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> again.
>
> 73 and Farewell for now....
>
> -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
>
> Lincoln Park, Mi...

chuck......if your attorney as you call him......sat down and talked
to you about this shit ....then he is a bigger idiot than
you........give me a break..........where did a pinhead like you get
this guy???? what a moron.........

3972. Re: Cobra18LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 04:07:26 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <2SyJ9.109263$pN3.7542@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146508

> "Jimmie Fender" <Gfender@carolina.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:X3tJ9.14697$uJ5.1433347@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > Was given a New in the box Cobra 18LTD radio. How old is this radio, I
am
> > guessing mid to early 80's. Seems to work good, modulation could use
some
> > help(as came from factory). Is this a good radio. Im thinking a power
mike
> > is all it really needs.
> > TIA
> >

If the radio is working good and you have a good antenna and your happy, then its a good cb.

If you want a power mic ok, just be sure that the radio has not had the modulation clipped.

It is better to have it properly tuned and forego the mic as they tend to pick up a lot of background noise if not adjusted properly (the mic's)

The newer 18 however are not good in rough riding vehicles as they have vertical circuit boards mounted that tend to break in the middle of the L solder.

I hope that answers your question. Have the antenna you intend to use tuned to the vehicle and it will work fine. Run it first to see if it will do the job for you before you spend a lot of money on it. Get a good antenna and if you want to upgrade or spend money on your 18 then you will be even more pleased.

Deb

3973. Re: Penetartor 500 repair info:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 04:39:35 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@charted.net>
Message-ID: <uvdgm8n8nc2f56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146509

Jay!!
I was just going to post to you here in the forum to ask you for this exact information. And I can't believe I could be so lucky as to find it already here.
I am rebuilding my antenna with the part you sent me a while back and I will let you know how it all works out. I needed two pieces of tubing as the ones I have were bent. And trying to make heads or tails of what was left to me to build with is a nightmare.
Thank you my friend.
--
******
ttyl
Shadow :)

www.bigradios.com/shadow

"snip-------------

3974. horizontal .dipole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 06:24:12 UTC
From: ranger2950dx@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15286-3DF6DA0C-526@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146510

this antenna works great. i put my dipole about 20 ft high . so it
pays to experiment whith wire antennas.

3975. ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 08:13:37 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146511

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.

Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "§.([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services § will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC

88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use.

In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.639.

In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future.

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS

ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

3976. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 08:23:32 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <UtDJ9.465$UH.101326863@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146512

"SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" wrote in message

>
> I will cut yo coax one night when you least expects it, BOY!

Waste of time, I use a 300 foot section of random wire. You can
cut and pin it all day, I just tune and load before kicking on the
high voltage to my little " 3 holer " The amp automatically looks for
high or mismatched vswr and shuts down instantly until conditions
are ready for loading.

Missed you at the Klan meeting last night, greek week again eh?

3977. OT: One for Dave!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 09:04:40 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <at73uiirv1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146515

The other day I went up to a local Christian bookstore and saw a honk if you love Jesus bumper sticker.

I was feeling particularly sassy that day because I had just come from a thrilling choir performance, followed by a thunderous prayer meeting, so I bought the sticker and put in on my bumper.

I was stopped at a red light at a busy intersection, just lost in thought about the Lord and how good He is and I didn't notice that the light had changed.

It is a good thing someone else loves Jesus because if he hadn't honked, I'd never have noticed.

I found that LOTS of people love Jesus. Why, while I was sitting there, the guy behind started honking like crazy, and when he leaned out of his window and screamed, "for the love of God, GO! GO!" What an exuberant cheerleader he was for Jesus.

Everyone started honking! I just leaned out of my window and started waving and smiling at all these loving people.

I even honked my horn a few times to share in the love. There must have been a man from Florida back there because I heard him yelling something about a sunny beach...

I saw another guy waving in a funny way with only his middle finger stuck up in the air. When I asked my teenage grandson in the back seat what that meant, he said that it was probably a Hawaiian good luck sign or something.

Well, I've never met anyone from Hawaii, so I leaned out the window and gave him the good luck sign back.

My grandson burst out laughing, why even he was enjoying this religious experience.

A couple of the people were so caught up in the joy of the moment that they got out of their cars and started walking towards me.

I bet they wanted to pray or ask what church I attended, but this is when I noticed the light had changed.

So, I waved to all my sisters and brothers grinning, and drove on through the intersection.

I noticed I was the only car that got through the intersection before the light changed again and I felt kind of sad that I had to leave them

after all the love we had shared, so I slowed the car down, leaned out of the window and gave them all the Hawaiian good luck sign one last time as I drove away.

Praise the Lord for such wonderful folks!

Have a great Christmas.

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

3978. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 09:05:07 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <at73v6irv2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146516

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:%ERH9.39812$vM1.3129341@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Lou had better stop spamming...

Am I mistaken, or did he just stick a finger up at you?

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

3979. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 09:25:43 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <boEJ9.466$KM.101642338@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146513

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df4c878_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I ain't your "honey" and the 1st Amendment says I can say anything I want,
> within reason & Biblical parameters. The probem is that those on the
> "trigger" usually get the WRONG man! Too bad you're on the left coast !
> Dave!
>

I can call you honey, hubby, sweetums or whatever I want, so there!

3980. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 09:41:50 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <kk1evucsj15o47e27as12pii0ee78d3ihm@4ax.com>
Source record: 146514

snip

> The Commissionï¿½
>s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that
>the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are
>intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur
>service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies.
>This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper
>plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple
>means.

snip

>LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>
>ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

>GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL,
>DX99V and Saturn Turbo
ect ect

snip

Let's see?....."and other similar"........Icom706, Kenwood 940,
Yaesu 767.ect ect.

They should all be made "ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET"
because they all are "readily activated ".

3981. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 11:36:17 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RqFJ9.55362$hK4.4707353@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146517

Agreed...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df69ea1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Don't waste A DIME on any "big coil" antenna. Big coil means it can
handle
> BIG power. You don't have that, so it is a waste of $$$! Get a 4 1/2'
> Francis, Hustler or a 5' Firestick ort a 5 1/2 Francis, and save $$$! Or
> If you want a 6 1/4' long one that works better than ALL everyone
mentions,
> e mail me direct !
> Dave truth@planetc.com

3982. Re: horizontal .dipole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 11:36:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nrFJ9.55364$hK4.4705123@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146518

You got that right...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15286-3DF6DA0C-526@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> this antenna works great. i put my dipole about 20 ft high . so it
> pays to experiment whith wire antennas.
>

3983. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 11:39:00 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <otFJ9.55367$hK4.4707119@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146519

Maybe he did, don't really care... but he stopped the spam.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:at73v6irv2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:%ERH9.39812$vM1.3129341@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Lou had better stop spamming...
>
> Am I mistaken, or did he just stick a finger up at you?
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02
>
>

3984. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 11:56:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021211065638.02379.00000009@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146520

> i just don't talk about it to
>females.....they just would not understand.....how can you
>explain to them that you just got off channel 6 and told all of the
>blacks that this is george bush country and they need to go get a
>fucking job.....?????

hahahahahaha i hear that one

3985. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 12:25:09 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at7an9$10nar5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146521

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1039557023.468668@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Damn Lawyers ! Don't You just hate it when they blow smoke up Your ass for
a
> fee ?

Yeah, I could have told him to stop reading this newsgroup for free.

Tom

3986. Re: horizontal .dipole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 12:28:23 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at7ata$11hnfo$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146522

"Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15286-3DF6DA0C-526@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> this antenna works great. i put my dipole about 20 ft high . so it
> pays to experiment whith wire antennas.
>

I'm sure you realize, though, that you'll lose a lot of gain by mounting the antenna horizontally if everyone else is using vertical antennas. But if the other stations you want to talk to are also using horizontal antennas or if you're shooting skip, you're good to go.

Tom

3987. MIC PIN OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 14:23:42 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DF7662B.BBB390CA@attbi.com>
Source record: 146523

Any one know where I can find the mic pin out for a old Johnson 250 Base.

3988. Re: Federal Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 14:32:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25543-3DF74C64-7@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146525

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28966-3DF6147F-663@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
rcranus@hotmailcommie (No Pity) "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
wrote in message
news:26860-3DF54589-682@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... Jerry
is doing his best not to embarrass you anymore than you already managed
on this matter

>Don't speak for Jerry

I didn't..YOU did by entering him in the equation....check back and
see..YOU brought him back into the fold.

>.I will let his own words haunt you.

(snip)
Interesting selection of terminology you employed.......his words are
fine..as usual,,,your deficit in communication has manifested and is
responsible for your inept interpretation.

>Tell me what Jerry meant then when he made >this statement:

You shake your fist, stomp your foot, hold your breath and demand that
no one speak for Jerry, yet you ask me to do just that in your plea
above.
Tell you what, I will point to where I feel your mistake is.

--
JerryO wrote:
"The term type acceptance" is not used any more. If you will notice,
when you see a new Yaesu,
Icom, or Kenwood Amateur transceiver about to be introduced, you will
see a small disclaimer down at the bottom of the ad that says, "has not
received FCC approval for sale to the public"--or something to that
affect. Regardless of what service a radio is targeted towards, it must
meet certain requirements set by FCC for commercial manufacturers in
order to be approved for sale in that particular service. While there is
no "type certificate" for Amateur Radios, commercially produced radios
for that market must meet certain baseline requirements before the Fed
will allow
it to be imported/sold in the US."

Is this your crutch, George? These "baselines" he speaks of are of a
general nature and not limited to radios but of all imported goods.

JerryO continued with:
"That is the "rub" with those alleged 10 Meter "ham" radios sold in truck stops; they do not meet FCC's criteria, they are too easy to modify, they have "band" switches which allow the radio to cover SEVERAL "bands" of frequencies. Ten Meters is O N E band, so a 10 Meter radio doesn't NEED switches to cover one band. Ten Meter ham radios, the REAL ones, don't have incremental "channel" positions or echo, or even those banal squeaks and beeps."

Again, nowhere in his post does he say an authorized hammie can not legally use a Galaxy. And please differentiate between Dave Hall N3CVJ claiming he can legally run a Davemade but you claiming he can't do the same with a Galaxy. Or you can make an attempt to explain why it's legal for N3CVJ to run one of those radios in his mobile but illegal for everyone else. Still waiting for either of you fraudulent defenders of truth, justice, and the American way to shed some light on that. Neither of you care to discuss or touch upon thy situation that has thou dining ornithologically once again. Dave is so sore that he took to making up imaginary playmates again.......as he sits in his cell and finger paints with his feces on the wall screaming "You're crazy twistedhed, you're CRAZY twistedhed" over and over again, as a mantra, smearing the cell wall and himself methodically, almost hypnotically with bile.....the acts of one who fancies himself skilled in psycholinguistics, the self-titled and self-described psychopathologist, eventually gives way to his own deafening and maddening wicked laughter echoing off of cold empty Halls.... his cries and pleas eventually drowned out by his own repetetive pathetic pleading... .....again comes echoing thunderous laughter.

3989. Re: MIC PIN OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 14:33:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <joievug68gqfr3dd3457fomscv9jvo1icj@4ax.com>
Source record: 146524

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 14:23:42 GMT, stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
wrote:

>Any one know where I can find the mic pin out for a old Johnson 250
>Base.

Various mike websites have hookup information. The Astatic site has it. I only
give the color to pin. They don't ID the pin.

Vinnie S.

3990. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 15:01:42 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <E5qcnYook9fFzmqgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146526

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:kk1evucsj15o47e27as12pii0ee78d3ihm@4ax.com...
>
> snip
>
> > The Commission'
> >s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined
that
> >the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are
> >intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur
> >service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
frequencies.
> >This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper
> >plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other
simple
> >means.
>
> snip
>
> >LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> >
> >ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
> >GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
88HL,
> >DX99V and Saturn Turbo
> ect ect
>
> snip
>
> Let's see?............"and other similar"........Icom706, Kenwood 940,
> Yaesu 767.ect ect.
>
> They should all be made "ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET"
> because they all are "readily activated ".

Tnom, they are for use by amatuers why should they be illegal to import?
because a few CBer want to break the law and use them. That is like saying
cops shouldn't use guns be cause criminals break laws with them. Yoour being
stupid.

3991. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 15:43:51 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212110743.2c502f1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146527

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:<NlSI9.338$9R.69222540@news.inreach.com>...
> I went to a " gathering " the other day, I guess you would call it a " CB
> BREAK "
> I suppose... Lots of people were there, some with teeth, most without. I met
> one fellow who was selling porno cd's out the back of his beat up old chevy.
> He had several antennas on his car, each had pirate and confederate flag on
> them.

Seems they all consider the Confederate flag a necessary piece of equipment. Can't figure out tho what Dixie has to do with the airwaves... but a Stars-&-Bars bumper sticker will DEFINITELY keep the LAPD from pulling you over & hassling you, especially if you venture anywhere near Culver City. Just don't drive it near Watts...

If you're in LA, hit the TRW swapmeet sometime... two freaking million toothless old geezers dealing in every sort of radio. There are a few attractive 30-ish females there though, so they're not all ugly... but they're usually there just to tag along with the husbands/bf's who are buying or selling stuff. And no, the cute teen blondies serving donuts & coffee are strictly off-limits.

One exception that stands out is this very cute nerd chick, a 6m ham, who used to show up there... she wouldn't have looked half bad if she would've ditched those Coke-bottle glasses.

Guy

3992. Re: Ugly women on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 15:54:17 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212110754.5dc749db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146528

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5EC75.5FCB@worldlynx.net>...
> To put it simply, If you were a hot chick, would you spend your social
> time on a CB radio?

Probably not... I'd be more preoccupied with letting dudes get a
closer look at me, then giving them the finger & walking away. I might
become a YL ham and do Tokyo Rose impressions on 435, tho...

At least that's MY take on L.A. women... ya can't argue with
experience.

Guy Richards

3993. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 17:58:43 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211125843.01332.00010778@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 146529

This is a joke. There is not a SO CALLED, FCC LEGAL HAM RADIO that cant be modified for cb or 11 meter, what ever you want to call it. The others you just mentioned are just simpler to do. Give me a break. LOL.

3994. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 18:10:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <285-3DF77F9B-82@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146530

WA3MOJ wrote:
>Tnom, they are for use by amatuers why >should they be illegal to import? because a >few CBer want to break the law and use them. >That is like saying cops shouldn't use guns be >cause criminals break laws with them. Yoour >being stupid.

What you're saying is essentially the same as "guns should be illegal except for by the cops." Non-related and certainly not pertinent.

3995. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:02:34 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eZLJ9.667$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146531

>The Stardusters are great antennas.

True

>Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion.

We all have a right to our opinion even if it is flawed. The Interceptor 10K is the best antenna ever made (Vertical Omni). It uses NEW technology and has "progressed" substancially over antennas of the past!

>Metal is always better (than) fiberglass.

I agree! If fiberglass antennas were "better", than all the amateur radio antenna manufactures would be building fiberglass antennas. Fiberglass has it's advantages, but signal strength is not one of those.

>They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The
>starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas ever
>made.

Actually, they stopped making metal CB antennas because the manufactures were tired of getting sued when Billy Bob CB'er dropped it in the power lines. Lawsuits and lawyers are the reason.

The Penetrator was a very good antenna. I believe the Avanti Sigma 5/8 was even better, but that is just my opinion.

The Maco is OK. Their quality of build is lacking in my opinion. But it does perform like a 5/8 should. The Starduster isn't even in the same league as the Sigma, Penetrator, or the Maco. How can it, its only a half wave antenna.

Dino

3996. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:06:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021211140605.19634.00000255@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 146532

the cops dont say that, the democrats and canadians do.

>
>Tnom, they are for use by amatuers why should they be illegal to import?
>because a few CBer want to break the law and use them. That is like saying
>cops shouldn't use guns be cause criminals break laws with them. Yoour being
>stupid.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3997. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:19:11 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PcMJ9.722$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146533

With all due respect Dave...and believe me, I very much respect what you have to say! I want to clear up some issues...

The Starduster is a 1/2 wave center fed dipole with 2.1dbi gain.

The Sigma IV is also a half wave antenna. I know I'm going to ruffle some feathers, but I have spoken with the original engineer from Avanti specifically about the Sigma IV.

The Sigma IV, while being 3/4 wave LONG, is only electrically a 1/2 wave antenna. Its basically a big J-Pole in the sky. The lower 1/4 wave is part of the matching circuit. Only the part above the loop radiates. I know its hard to swallow, but it is the truth.

Who out here has replaced a good 5/8 ground plane with a Sigma IV? I replaced my Avanti Sigma 5/8 with the Avanti Sigma IV (both original designs!). The Sigma 5/8 was better locally and in skip land!

This winter, I will be towing the tower trailer out to an undisclosed location in the desert to test the following antennas..

Interceptor 10K
Avanti Sigma IV
Avanti Sigma 5/8
Hy-Gain Penetrator
Maco 5/8 and/or V5000
IMAX 2000
A-99

It will be open to invited guests. If anyone wants to bring an antenna, not listed above, that will be considered. I have been collecting the above antennas for the comparison. They will be tested at the same heigth with the same coax and transmitter. There will be a spectrum analyzer at the other end. More on this later.

Dino

>I never bought into the "hype" of the Starduster. For what it actually
>is, is nothing more than a 1/4 wave antenna (A 9' vertical section, and
>3 slanted 9' "radials"). I don't know how they managed to rate it for
>5db gain (Even 5 dbi is a bit optimistic). I would have thought A/S
>would be a little more honest about their gain ratings.
>
>All that aside, the Starduster antennas weren't that physically strong
>either. The center element would eventually break off, due to winter's
>abuses, and the plastic spacers on the "radials" would also break off,
>which then leads to the eventual failure of the radials.

>
>A "Big Stick" is nothing more than half wave vertical without radials.
>Like the A-99, these are a poor substitute for the "big metal" antennas
>that we used to see so commonly.
>
>
>My favorite commercially made omnidirectional antenna was the Hy-Gain
>Penetrator. A behemouth of a ground plane with, 4, 1/4 wave radials, and
>a 5/8th wave vertical section. They got scarce around here at one point
>(When I tried to buy one) and I ended up with a Sigma 4. From my own
>comparisons, this 3/4 wave Sigma 4 antenna, was easily my best
>performing Omni antenna, although I never have the opportunity to do a
>direct comparison with the Penetrator, but the Sigma 4 easily
>outperformed the common 3 radial 5/8th wave (CLR 2 type), as well as
>Astro Planes and 1/2 wave types.
>
>There was also a regionally produced (Sort of like Dave Made is today)
>antenna called a "Super Starburst". It had either a 5/8th or 3/4 wave
>radiator (I'm not totally sure), and 10 1/8th wave radials. Many people
>in my area had them then, and every one of them talked well. This was
>back in the early to mid 70's. I never got the straight scoop about who
>and where these antennas were made. I was told once that they we're made
>by a guy in a wheelchair, and that he eventually had failing health or
>died, and that's why the antennas suddenly disappeared. If anyone has a
>better story, I'd love to hear it.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>

3998. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:21:19 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PeMJ9.729$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146534

MY BAD! I was thinking "Starduster"...and typing "Astro Plane"! Sorry about
that!

>These guys don't get it...
>
>So for the rest of the public who is reaing this thread...
>
>The Astro Plane is a 1/2 wave, center fed, vertical dipole. It does NOT out
>perform any 5/8 wave antenna.
>
>They did make a 5/8 wave version of the Astro Plane (coils on the 4 elements)
>that also did not perform as well as a 5/8 ground plane antenna.
>
>This is not to say that the Star Duster is a bad antenna! It is a good half
>wave vertical antenna that is light and very easy to assemble.
>
>We used to do experiments with the ORIGNIAL (read: not cheap fake versions)
>Star Duster by modifying the feed point and screwing in a Francis Amazer
>(there was/is only ONE "Amazer", it is gray and has 3, 1/4 wave elements in
>the fiberglass giving it more power capacity...and yes, its still just a 1/4
>wave and NOT a 3/4 wave). This modified antenna worked exactly the same!
>After all, it was only a 1/4 wave element. In my opinion, it wasn't as good
>as the aluminum element due to the lack of surface area.
>
>It would be interesting to take a Firestik KW7 and test Firestik's claims that
>their loaded antennas work better than a standard full size whip. In other
>words, prove it to me!!
>
>Dino
>
>
>>Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna the
>>same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but also
>>outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.
>

3999. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:31:41 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xoMJ9.765$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146535

I agree 100%!

Dino

Dino wrote:
>>
>> Here we go...
>>
>> 7db of gain?! How about 2.1db. I sure hate it when this information is
>> constantly thrown out to the unsuspecting operator.

Dave wrote:
>Also known as caveat emptor, buyer beware. Sure, it could have "7 db" of
>gain. A "db" is a relative measurement. It could very conceivably have 7
>db of gain over a wet noodle, or a 6 inch rubber ducky.
>
>For a "db gain" measurement to have any validity, it needs to be
>referenced to a standard. Most antennas are rated in either "dbi" (db
>over a theoretical isotropic source), or "dbd" (db gain over a reference
>dipole). Since a dipole has about 2.1 db gain over an isotropic source,
>any ratings that are in "dbi" will be 2.1 db higher than those that are
>"dbd" rated. Which is why most CB antenna makers use "dbi". At least
>those that are somewhat "honest".
>
>Beware of any rating that is just in "db", as it lacks any meaningful
>standard by which a relative comparison can be made.
>
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

4000. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:43:41 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NzMJ9.792$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146536

I messed up! I didn't mean to type "Astro Plane". Since we are talking about the Astro Plane though, I agree that it is NOT better than a 5/8 ground plane.

I also experimented with the Big Stick and a Fransis Amazer. I should have tried a 9' metal whip!

And I agree, the best mobile antenna is a full size 1/4 wave antenna. I run a Wilson 1000 and 5000...but they are a compromise.

Dino

Dino wrote:
>>These guys don't get it...
>>
>>So for the rest of the public who is reaing this thread...
>>
>>The Astro Plane is a 1/2 wave, center fed, vertical dipole. It does NOT out
>>perform any 5/8 wave antenna.

Dave wrote:
>I've owned both, and did a side by side comparison and there's no way
>that an Astro Plane out guns a 5/8th wave. I fell for the gain hype
>(Which was 4.46 dbi at the time), but when I got the AP, it didn't do
>any better than the 1/2 wave radio shack GP that it replaced. I later
>replaced it with a Hustler 5/8th wave GP, and saw a significant
>improvement.

Dino wrote:
>>They did make a 5/8 wave version of the Astro Plane (coils on the 4
>>elements) that also did not perform as well as a 5/8 ground plane antenna.

Dave wrote:
>Never saw that one. Did Avanti make this or was that another one of
>those imported mind farts.

Dino wrote:
>>This is not to say that the Star Duster is a bad antenna! It is a good half
>>wave vertical antenna that is light and very easy to assemble.

Dave wrote:
>That much is true. But it doesn't stand up well to harsh winters.

Dino wrote:
>>We used to do experiments with the ORIGNIAL (read: not cheap fake versions)
>>Star Duster by modifying the feed point and screwing in a Francis Amazer
>>(there was/is only ONE "Amazer", it is gray and has 3, 1/4 wave elements in
>>the fiberglass giving it more power capacity...and yes, its still just a 1/4

>>wave and NOT a 3/4 wave). This modified antenna worked exactly the same!
>>After all, it was only a 1/4 wave element. In my opinion, it wasn't as good
>>as the aluminum element due to the lack of surface area.

Dave wrote:
>I've been involved with similar experiments. Only we used a Big Stick as
>the test bed. Taking off the top element, and replacing it with a
>firestick, or any number of other "performance" mobile antennas, yielded
>inconclusive results. Sometimes we saw a little better, sometimes not.

Dino wrote:
>>It would be interesting to take a Firestik KW7 and test Firestik's claims
>>that their loaded antennas work better than a standard full size whip. In
>>other words, prove it to me!!

Dave wrote:
>Conventional wisdom says no way. A loaded antenna may be electrically a
>quarter wave, but the surface area will be less. They might be able to
>play games with the radiation angle, such that it may be able to
>concentrate the major E plane lobe (Smaller as it may be) in such a way
>as to enhance certain performance characteristics (like DX or low angle
>distance). But like anything else, when you give to one side, you take
>away from another, so overall, I'd still say that a quarter wave whip is
>still the best overall, for mobile use.

4001. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:50:58 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CGMJ9.809$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146537

Thanks for qualifying your desire for a big coil antenna! You like the looks and thats whats important to you.

A Wilson 1000 will perform better and I'll explain if you want to know why...but it also has its disadvantages too.

That little 510XL is an excellent little radio! No bells and whistles, but plenty of modulation!

It will be interesting to read the responses you get!

Dino

>Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these
>monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator
>or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know
>which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro
>510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more
>than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I
>know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I
>have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they
>look.

4002. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 19:53:26 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WIMJ9.815$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146538

He already stated that he wanted the "look" and was not interested as much in the performance. Read the post Dave and don't brow beat him!

Dino

>Don't waste A DIME on any "big coil" antenna. Big coil means it can handle
>BIG power. You don't have that, so it is a waste of $$$! Get a 4 1/2'
>Francis, Hustler or a 5' Firestick ort a 5 1/2 Francis, and save $$$! Or
>If you want a 6 1/4' long one that works better than ALL everyone mentions,
>e mail me direct !
>Dave truth@planetc.com
>
>"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:e8d89b3f.0212092021.398f2840@posting.google.com...
>> Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these
>> monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator
>> or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know
>> which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro
>> 510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more
>> than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I
>> know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I
>> have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they
>> look.

4003. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 20:52:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <nv8fvu0psegpl2ftdtoootv6no4fv1ph8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 146539

snip
>> Let's see?....."and other similar"........Icom706, Kenwood 940,
>> Yaesu 767.ect ect.
>>
>> They should all be made "ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET"
>> because they all are "readily activated ".
>
>
>Tnom, they are for use by amatuers why should they be illegal to import?
>because a few CBer want to break the law and use them.

CBers use Yaesus Icoms and Kenwoods to do exactly the same thing. Make
them ALL illegal to import or market.

>That is like saying
>cops shouldn't use guns be cause criminals break laws with them. Yoour being
>stupid.
>
So an amateur should have access to radio gear and non amateurs
shouldn't? I'm sorry, it doesn't work that way.

4004. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 20:58:24 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <QFNJ9.22192$hw3.5375@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 146540

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> You can't get an earth connection.
>
Mar's doesn't want us there. That's why they keep throwing our junk back at
us and eating our spy equipment to see what's going on there.

Smart I'd say.

Deb

4005. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 21:29:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19268-3DF7AE26-79@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146542

>This winter, I will be towing the tower trailer >out to an undisclosed location in the desert to >test the following antennas..
>Interceptor 10K
>Avanti Sigma IV
>Avanti Sigma 5/8
>Hy-Gain Penetrator
>Maco 5/8 and/or V5000
>IMAX 2000
>A-99

Your results should prove very interesting,,,,,,especially for power handling capabilities where the V5000 is concerned. I already know someone who replaced their Maco with the Interceptor 10K..........claims a huge improvement all the way around.

>It will be open to invited guests. If anyone >wants to bring an antenna, not listed above, >that will be considered. I have been collecting >the above antennas for the comparison. They >will be tested at the same heigth with the >same coax and transmitter. There will be a >spectrum analyzer at the other end.
>More on this later.
>Dino

I have a friend in Blythe......perhaps you already know him..........he stays on top of strange occurrences in the desert.

4006. RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 21:35:57 UTC
From: JC <jcbama@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DF7AFBD.4080105@hotmail.com>
Source record: 146541

I'm looking to buy a new radio for christmas and am leaning toward an
RCI 2995. I mostly spend my time on sideband.
Can anyone give me pro's and cons on this brand and model in
particular. Do they hold up well?

Thanks in advance;
jcbama

4007. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 21:59:11 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <pccfvuol6k22uamae97ejghbtr020uh91o@4ax.com>
Source record: 146543

On 11 Dec 2002 17:58:43 GMT, reg1647@aol.com (Reg1647) wrote:

>This is a joke. There is not a SO CALLED, FCC LEGAL HAM RADIO that cant be
>modified for cb or 11 meter, what ever you want to call it. The others you just
>mentioned are just simpler to do. Give me a break. LOL.

Quotes from document:
****
"The transceivers listed herein and other similar models" "AND
OTHER"? Hardly specific enough to be taken seriously if
challenged.
****

****
"the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here
are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the
amateur service " AND OTHER? Hardly specific enough to be taken
seriously if challenged. "INTENDED FOR USE"? How do they know?
Presuming a bit to much for a legal document.
****

****
"readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means."
OR OTHER? Hardly specific enough to be taken seriously if challenged.
****

Although this document does come from the FCC it has serious
weaknesses in its lack of specificity and its presumptions.

4008. Chukkee whines like a baby but knows nothing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:16:32 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212111516.6da59dba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146544

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) whined like a girl in message
news:<676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com>...
> To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
>
> On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.

Chuck, no one cares what you say or do.

> It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> to do.
>
> Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after
> we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> life.

As we say in Toledo, "Yo mama"

> after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> sasified.

Counseling with an attorney??? Hell, why didn't ya see a psychologist
instead?

> on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> again.

You will, and you will be the same weirdo sissy dweeb you were last
time I saw you.

> 73 and Farewell for now....
>
> -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
>
> Lincoln Park, Mi...

AHHHH! The BEST parta the whole post.

4009. Re: Farewell to the group for now....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:27:58 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212111527.653dfee9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146545

Chuck,
I told you in email I had no idea who was doing it. If I wanna diss ya
I can do it without being anonymous. Whoever jumped yer case
undoubtedly got what they wanted outta you. You are very predictable.

For once be honest. You are "leaving" because you have been
humiliated. 1.You lie about what you do, who your "pals" are, etc.
2.You get called on it and proven to be a liar. 3.The humiliation is
too much to take so ya book.

The solution is don't be a threatening, lying piece of trash. It has
already gotya facing a criminal charge, and you are STILL too dumb to
stop.

You live in a fantasy world where you are a gun toting badass, calling
policemen "pigs", hanging out with bikers and drug gangs, and having
people beaten and shot by the meanies you sic on them. None of it is
real. You are a coward, not a badass. You have never done any of it,
and you are a pathological liar who talks big to feel big. Just like
my 12 year old nephew. In fact worse, since he admits it isn't real.

I suggest you shut your sissy face before you find out what else you
can get charged with. I can't wait to see you in court and hear your
bad self call the judge a "pig". You are gonna be a crying little
sissy boy begging for mercy.

Come on, idiot. Make another threat.

PS, I am gonna look up your lawyer and see if he is real and ask him
if he has your sissy self for a client. Probably another lie...Stay
tuned people, I'll find out.

k8cpa@arrl.net (Me) cried in message
news:<676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com>...
> To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:
>
> On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
> this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.
>
> It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends"
> have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself.
> Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out
> to do.
>
> Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the
> earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after

> we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping
> and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real
> life.
>
> after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is
> best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while...
> These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're
> sasified.
>
> on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be
> attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you
> again.
>
> 73 and Farewell for now....
>
> -Chuck Adkins K8CPA
>
> Lincoln Park, Mi...

4010. Re: Hey everybody there is a RAT among the group (

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:30:17 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212111530.7485d440@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146546

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:<QFsJ9.12403$hw3.2508@sccrnsc04>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0212101107.50348f82@posting.google.com...
> > rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
> news:<9uc8vu80jojjt5igarab4ldv9qg9g3c65s@4ax.com>...
> > > this person (ebay user: n8wwm) sadie106@juno.com wrote me an email
> > > as follows:
> > >
> > >
> > > -------Original Message-------
> > >
> > > From: sadie106@juno.com
> > > Date: Monday, December 09, 2002 12:56:59 AM
> > > To: sky444@abs.adelphia.net
> > > Subject: Question for seller -- Item #1944286259
> > >
> > > hahahah Ruger, you were ratted out...
> > >
> > > Antikeyclowns own the NG!
> > > --------------------
> > >
> > >
> > > Question from: n8wwm
> > > Title of item: Magnum Delta Force with TS Preamp + more !!
> > > Seller: sky444
> > > Starts: Dec-08-02 14:30:54 PST
> > > Ends: Dec-13-02 14:30:54 PST
> > > Price: Starts at $50.00
> > > To view the item, go to:
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1944286259
> > >
> > >
> > > now the funny thing is the auction hasn't been cancelled YET but they
> > > sent me mail saying this, 2 + 2 = 4 ladies and gentlemen
> > >
> > If only it had been listed in the correct category there would be no
> > problems. List it under Ham equipment

Wrong, dummy. That amplifier is illegal to even own.

4011. Re: Selling Excellent condition Uniden HR 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:30:58 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212111530.17a84741@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146547

Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net> wrote in message
news:<ti1dvuk6qme2jcqt146m4puuqak5n71qvp@4ax.com>...
> Selling excellent condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile
> radio.
> Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly.
> Tremendous audio.
> Price $159 plus $13.00 shipping
> I accept money order or paypal.
> Please reply via e mail to
> dan@royaltelevision.net

If it works on CB it's illegal to use.

4012. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:31:07 UTC
From: ch15a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211183107.04336.00000266@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 146548

>LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>
>ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

snip

The FCC is like an old dog, all they do is lay around and let out a fart now and then. These radios are easily available on the net, and at radio shops all over. The same goes for so called 10m amplifiers. Look into 10 big trucks and see how many have a radio on the list. What's the point of posting this crap here?

4013. Re: Newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:39:12 UTC
From: ch15a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211183912.04336.00000267@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 146549

>So if anybody can give me wire antenna plans & answer to my wire
>question it would be nice!!

http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/antenna_basics.html

Marc,

Go here for some basic theory and plans for some simple antennas.

4014. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-11 23:49:34 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iaQJ9.183137$Kj1.5959120@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146550

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:eZLJ9.667$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >The Stardusters are great antennas.
>
> True
>
> >Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion.
>
> We all have a right to our opinion even if it is flawed. The Interceptor
10K
> is the best antenna ever made (Vertical Omni). It uses NEW technology and
has
> "progressed" substancially over antennas of the past!
>
> >Metal is always better (than) fiberglass.
>
> I agree! If fiberglass antennas were "better", than all the amateur radio
> antenna manufactures would be building fiberglass antennas. Fiberglass
has
> it's advantages, but signal strength is not one of those.
>
> >They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The
> >starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas ever
> >made.
>
> Actually, they stopped making metal CB antennas because the manufactures
were
> tired of getting sued when Billy Bob CB'er dropped it in the power lines.
> Lawsuits and lawyers are the reason.
>
> The Penetrator was a very good antenna. I believe the Avanti Sigma 5/8
was
> even better, but that is just my opinion.
>
> The Maco is OK. Their quality of build is lacking in my opinion. But it
does
> perform like a 5/8 should. The Starduster isn't even in the same league
as
> the Sigma, Penetrator, or the Maco. How can it, its only a half wave
antenna.
>
> Dino
>

The Starduster is a quarter wave ground plane. The radials are lowered to bring the impedance closer to 50 ohms. Vertical antennas mounted on poles are simply not called dipoles. In effect and operation, they are similar. If you want to make it dipole, remove two of the radials. Of course, then you don't have a symmetrical radiation pattern. That is why they are referred to as ground planes...you have artificially created "ground" at antenna height. Lose the half wave dipole moniker. It does not apply.

Another correction:

Aluminum antennas were outlawed. It has previously been researched and posted in this group, along with the links to back it up. It is now illegal to offer for sale an aluminum "CB" antenna.

4015. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:02:43 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212111602.28aa74e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146551

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in message
news:<e8d89b3f.0212092021.398f2840@posting.google.com>...
> Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best out of these
> monkey,skyhawk,workman sp2000 or sp3000, megatron,sparrow/penetrator
> or any other good mobile big coil cb radio antenna. I what to know
> which one works will with low or high power. I have a uniden pro
> 510xl mobile radio and want to get a big coil antenna that is no more
> than 4 & 1/2 to 5 ft high. Looking for what u think I should get. I
> know some might say that a big coil is a waist of money for what I
> have but I still want to get a good one because I like the way they
> look.

I am think about get a monkey, dave made, terminator, road pro wasp,
raptor, python, hornet, scorpion ra113 or a mr coily. Which antenna
out of these works the best. I need like pros and cons on these
antennas.

4016. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:07:44 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <apQJ9.27537$NV.522969@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146553

W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!

You must be a "good-buddy" if you's a-talkin' likes that to another man/boy.

What happened to you at that truck-stop?
Did one of them truckers take you into the showers, with the lights off?
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAA!!!

Yeah, I saw your name on the walls while takin' a leak!
What was your "good-buddy's" handle again?

You better watch yo mouth when yous a-talkin to someone!
Somebody gonna slap the taste out yo mouth!! Heheheheheh!!!
Then you gonna feel something long go inside it!!
Then before you can think---- **SPLOOGE***
That cum gonna shoot off in yo mouth!!
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:boEJ9.466$KM.101642338@news.inreach.com...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3df4c878_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I ain't your "honey" and the 1st Amendment says I can say anything I
want,
> > within reason & Biblical parameters. The probem is that those on the
> > "trigger" usually get the WRONG man! Too bad you're on the left coast !
> > Dave!
> >
>
> I can call you honey, hubby, sweetums or whatever I want, so there!
>
>

4017. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:11:33 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MsQJ9.27538$NV.522898@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146554

W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!

HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
GO HOME!!!!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com...
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
devices
> listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur
> transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB)
> transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these
devices
> cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the
> reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a
> decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded
> that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be
approved
> under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules
including
> the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J.
> Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17,
1999).
>
> Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require
> FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the
United
> States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio
Service
> (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and
> other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often
> referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter
> band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for
use
> in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call
> "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and
> imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be
> activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB
> frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a
CB

> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
> station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. • 95.603(c). The
Commission'
> s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined
that
> the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are
> intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur
> service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
frequencies.
> This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper
> plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple
> means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. • 95.603(c). A CB
> transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. •• 95.603(c); 2.803.
>
> Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may
> not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states:
> "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio
> Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95,
> Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC
> 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to
> explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and
> amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of
frequencies
> allocated for amateur radio use.
>
> In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the
> rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not
> permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted
in
> the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. • 95.639.
>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
> acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
> Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
> 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
> violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary
> forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
> continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
> equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in
the
> future.
>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>

>
>
> GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL,
> DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
> CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
> MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
> NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> PRO STAR - model: 240
>
> RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970
>
> TEK - model: HR-3950
>
> UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> SUPER STAR - model: 121
>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
7435
> Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043
> respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov
>
>
>
>

4018. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:12:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pvQJ9.183685$Kj1.5966618@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146555

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:wWRJ9.171000$8D.4566381@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:iaQJ9.183137$Kj1.5959120@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:eZLJ9.667$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > >The Stardusters are great antennas.
> > >
> > > True
> > >
> > > >Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion.
> > >
> > > We all have a right to our opinion even if it is flawed. The
> Interceptor
> > 10K
> > > is the best antenna ever made (Vertical Omni). It uses NEW technology
> and
> > has
> > > "progressed" substancially over antennas of the past!
> > >
> > > >Metal is always better (than) fiberglass.
> > >
> > > I agree! If fiberglass antennas were "better", than all the amateur
> radio
> > > antenna manufactures would be building fiberglass antennas.
Fiberglass
> > has
> > > it's advantages, but signal strength is not one of those.
> > >
> > > >They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The
> > > >starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas
> ever
> > > >made.
> > >
> > > Actually, they stopped making metal CB antennas because the
manufactures
> > were
> > > tired of getting sued when Billy Bob CB'er dropped it in the power
> lines.
> > > Lawsuits and lawyers are the reason.
> > >
> > > The Penetrator was a very good antenna. I believe the Avanti Sigma

5/8
> > was
> > > even better, but that is just my opinion.
> > >
> > > The Maco is OK. Their quality of build is lacking in my opinion. But
> it
> > does
> > > perform like a 5/8 should. The Starduster isn't even in the same
league
> > as
> > > the Sigma, Penetrator, or the Maco. How can it, its only a half wave
> > antenna.
> > >
> > > Dino
> > >
> >
> > The Starduster is a quarter wave ground plane. The radials are lowered
to
> > bring the impedance closer to 50 ohms. Vertical antennas mounted on
poles
> > are simply not called dipoles. In effect and operation, they are
similar.
> If
> > you want to make it dipole, remove two of the radials. Of course, then
you
> > don't have a symmetrical radiation pattern. That is why they are
referred
> to
> > as ground planes...you have artificially created "ground" at antenna
> height.
> > Lose the half wave dipole moniker. It does not apply.
> >
> > Another correction:
> >
> > Aluminum antennas were outlawed. It has previously been researched and
> > posted in this group, along with the links to back it up. It is now
> illegal
> > to offer for sale an aluminum "CB" antenna.
> >
> >
>
> Try this one for starters:
>
> http://www.cpsc.gov/BUSINFO/frnotices/fr00/cb.pdf
>
>

And one more:

http://frwebgate3.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/waisgate.cgi?WAISdocID=6526663754+1
8+0+0&WAISaction=retrieve

4019. Re: MIC PIN OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:35:53 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at8lfk$4f56$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146556

try Defpom

"stevejfranklin" <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3DF7662B.BBB390CA@attbi.com...
> Any one know where I can find the mic pin out for a old Johnson 250
> Base.
>

4020. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:38:04 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211193804.04749.00000197@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 146557

whats wrong with stupid cb ers getting zapped with 13,000 volts every now and then lol

4021. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:51:39 UTC
From: dino@nomail.com
Message-ID: <v4RJ9.1107$j_4.134063@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146558

Randy said...

>Lose the half wave dipole moniker. It does not apply.

So now Dino says...
No, but thanks for your input. I understand WHY you say it is a 1/4 wave ground plane and it makes perfect sense. I'm doing the research now to prove one of us wrong...

Randy said again...
>Another correction:
>
>Aluminum antennas were outlawed. It has previously been researched and
>posted in this group, along with the links to back it up. It is now illegal
>to offer for sale an aluminum "CB" antenna.

Again, Dino says...
You are absolutley correct. Did something in another post lead you to believe that I said they were LEGAL for CB? It IS illegal to sell aluminum "CB" antennas. It is NOT illegal to sell Amateur radio antennas.

The Maco V5/8 is a 10 meter antenna.
The Interceptor 10K is a 12/10 meter antenna.

:-)

Dino

4022. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 00:57:08 UTC
From: dino@nomail.com
Message-ID: <E9RJ9.1118$j_4.134063@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146559

These are both GREAT! Thanks for the info!

As I said earlier, I never said they are legal for CB. I knew they were outlawed years and years ago.

Dino

>Try this one for starters:
>
>http://www.cpsc.gov/BUSINFO/frnotices/fr00/cb.pdf
>
>And one more:
>
>http://frwebgate3.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/waisgate.cgi?WAISdocID=6526663754+1
>8+0+0&WAISaction=retrieve

4023. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 01:49:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wWRJ9.171000$8D.4566381@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146552

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:iaQJ9.183137$Kj1.5959120@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:eZLJ9.667$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >The Stardusters are great antennas.
> >
> > True
> >
> > >Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion.
> >
> > We all have a right to our opinion even if it is flawed. The
Interceptor
> 10K
> > is the best antenna ever made (Vertical Omni). It uses NEW technology
and
> has
> > "progressed" substancially over antennas of the past!
> >
> > >Metal is always better (than) fiberglass.
> >
> > I agree! If fiberglass antennas were "better", than all the amateur
radio
> > antenna manufactures would be building fiberglass antennas. Fiberglass
> has
> > it's advantages, but signal strength is not one of those.
> >
> > >They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The
> > >starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas
ever
> > >made.
> >
> > Actually, they stopped making metal CB antennas because the manufactures
> were
> > tired of getting sued when Billy Bob CB'er dropped it in the power
lines.
> > Lawsuits and lawyers are the reason.
> >
> > The Penetrator was a very good antenna. I believe the Avanti Sigma 5/8
> was
> > even better, but that is just my opinion.
> >
> > The Maco is OK. Their quality of build is lacking in my opinion. But
it

> does
> > perform like a 5/8 should. The Starduster isn't even in the same league
> as
> > the Sigma, Penetrator, or the Maco. How can it, its only a half wave
> antenna.
> >
> > Dino
> >
>
> The Starduster is a quarter wave ground plane. The radials are lowered to
> bring the impedance closer to 50 ohms. Vertical antennas mounted on poles
> are simply not called dipoles. In effect and operation, they are similar.
If
> you want to make it dipole, remove two of the radials. Of course, then you
> don't have a symmetrical radiation pattern. That is why they are referred
to
> as ground planes...you have artificially created "ground" at antenna
height.
> Lose the half wave dipole moniker. It does not apply.
>
> Another correction:
>
> Aluminum antennas were outlawed. It has previously been researched and
> posted in this group, along with the links to back it up. It is now
illegal
> to offer for sale an aluminum "CB" antenna.
>
>

Try this one for starters:

http://www.cpsc.gov/BUSINFO/frnotices/fr00/cb.pdf

4024. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 01:50:12 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <oXRJ9.2753$xY2.86076443@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146560

Do they even have a F1 and F2 layer?

73

Nighthawk

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:QFNJ9.22192$hw3.5375@sccrnsc04...
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> > You can't get an earth connection.
> >
> Mar's doesn't want us there. That's why they keep throwing our junk back
at
> us and eating our spy equipment to see what's going on there.
>
> Smart I'd say.
>
> Deb
>
>

4025. Re: One for Dave!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 01:59:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df7edcd_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146561

He says IF you LOVE me, KEEP MY commandments. Wasting peoples time and
making them MAD because you're in the way illegally isn't what he meant!
4-10 ? !
Dave!

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:at73uiirv1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> The other day I went up to a local Christian bookstore and saw a honk
> if you love Jesus bumper sticker.
>
> I was feeling particularly sassy that day because I had just come from
> a thrilling choir performance, followed by a thunderous prayer meeting,
> so I bought the sticker and put in on my bumper.
>
> I was stopped at a red light at a busy intersection, just lost in thought
> about the Lord and how good He is and I didn't notice that the light
> had changed.
>
> It is a good thing someone else loves Jesus because if he hadn't
> honked, I'd never have noticed.
>
> I found that LOTS of people love Jesus. Why, while I was sitting there,
> the guy behind started honking like crazy, and when he leaned out of
> his window and screamed, "for the love of God, GO! GO!" What an
> exuberant cheerleader he was for Jesus.
>
> Everyone started honking! I just leaned out of my window and started
> waving and smiling at all these loving people.
>
> I even honked my horn a few times to share in the love. There must have
> been a man from Florida back there because I heard him yelling something
> about a sunny beach...
>
> I saw another guy waving in a funny way with only his middle finger
> stuck up in the air. When I asked my teenage grandson in the back seat
> what that meant, he said that it was probably a Hawaiian good luck sign
> or something.
>
> Well, I've never met anyone from Hawaii, so I leaned out the window
> and gave him the good luck sign back.
>
> My grandson burst out laughing, why even he was enjoying this
> religious experience.
>

> A couple of the people were so caught up in the joy of the moment
> that they got out of their cars and started walking towards me.
>
> I bet they wanted to pray or ask what church I attended, but this is
> when I noticed the light had changed.
>
> So, I waved to all my sisters and brothers grinning, and drove
> on through the intersection.
>
> I noticed I was the only car that got through the intersection before
> the light changed again and I felt kind of sad that I had to leave them
> after all the love we had shared, so I slowed the car down, leaned
> out of the window and gave them all the Hawaiian good luck sign
> one last time as I drove away.
>
> Praise the Lord for such wonderful folks!
>
>
>
>
> Have a great Christmas.
>
> Peter.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4026. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:04:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df7ee8a_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146562

IS Nebo a Hambo ? !
Dave!

"Hambo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com10-106> wrote in message
news:20021211140605.19634.00000255@mb-fs.aol.com...
> the cops dont say that, the democrats and canadians do.
>
> >
> >Tnom, they are for use by amatuers why should they be illegal to import?
> >because a few CBer want to break the law and use them. That is like
saying
> >cops shouldn't use guns be cause criminals break laws with them. Yoour
being
> >stupid.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4027. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:06:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df7ef0d_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146563

27.145:
The point is, to advance communism against the WIMPS that may be here !
Dave!

"Ch15a" <ch15a@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021211183107.04336.00000266@mb-fk.aol.com...
> >LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> >
> >ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
> snip
>
> The FCC is like an old dog, all they do is lay around and let out a fart
now
> and then. These radios are easily available on the net, and at radio
shops all
> over. The same goes for so called 10m amplifiers. Look into 10 big
trucks and
> see how many have a radio on the list. What's the point of posting this
crap
> here?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4028. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:07:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3df7efc5_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146564

Silk Pimp:
You forgot the HR 2600, which can't even get CB !
Settle down, don't you KNOW that the promoters of communism are NEVER accurate ? !
Dave!

"SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com> wrote in message news:MsQJ9.27538$NV.522898@news.direcpc.com...
> W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!
>
> HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
> Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
> GO HOME!!!!
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
> >
> > The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices
> > listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur
> > transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB)
> > transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these
> devices
> > cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the
> > reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a
> > decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded
> > that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be
> approved
> > under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules
> including
> > the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J.
> > Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17,
> 1999).
> >
> > Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
require
> > FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the
> United
> > States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio
> Service
> > (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and
> > other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are

often
> > referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur
10-meter
> > band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for
> use
> > in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call
> > "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and
> > imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be
> > activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB
> > frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a
> CB
> > transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at
a
> > station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. 95.603(c). The
> Commission'
> > s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined
> that
> > the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here
are
> > intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur
> > service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
> frequencies.
> > This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper
> > plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other
simple
> > means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> > within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. 95.603(c). A
CB
> > transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> > importation. 47 C.F.R. 95.603(c); 2.803.
> >
> > Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
may
> > not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states:
> > "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio
> > Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95,
> > Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules,
FCC
> > 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added
to
> > explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and
> > amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of
> frequencies
> > allocated for amateur radio use.
> >
> > In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> > determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
the
> > rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not

> > permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted
> in
> > the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.639.
> >
> > In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
> > acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
> > Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
> > 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
> > violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a
monetary
> > forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
> > continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
> > equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in
> the
> > future.
> >
> > LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> >
> > ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
> >
> >
> >
> > GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
88HL,
> > DX99V and Saturn Turbo
> >
> > CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
> >
> > MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
> >
> > NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
> >
> > PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > PRO STAR - model: 240
> >
> > RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970
> >
> > TEK - model: HR-3950
> >
> > UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > SUPER STAR - model: 121
> >
> > For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> > models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> 7435
> > Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043
> > respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4029. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:20:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%nSJ9.137598$Gc.4594168@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146565

No prob guy. Now, how 'bout that dipole thing? <g>

"Dino" <dino@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:E9RJ9.1118$j_4.134063@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> These are both GREAT! Thanks for the info!
>
> As I said earlier, I never said they are legal for CB. I knew they were
> outlawed years and years ago.
>
> Dino
>
>
> >Try this one for starters:
> >
> >http://www.cpsc.gov/BUSINFO/frnotices/fr00/cb.pdf
> >
> >And one more:
> >
>
>http://frwebgate3.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/waisgate.cgi?WAISdocID=6526663754+
1
> >8+0+0&WAISaction=retrieve
>

4030. Help with DX2547 SSB tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:23:32 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211212332.03197.00000210@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 146566

Hello,
I am having a problem with the receive on ssb.
AM & SSB transmitt frequencies are right on the money, but I cannot tune anyone in on side band. I have pressed the clarifier button in both postions with no results.
I have noticed, on AM the volume and sound are real nice and clear, but when switched to SSB, the volume is like it was turned down. I have spoken on it on sideband with local people when on the phone with them and they can hear me fine. Is there a seperate RF amp transisitor for side band?
I am sure it is something stupid and easy to fix, but I'll be darned if I can find the problem. Maybe someone here has had this problem and knows of a cure.
Please email me direct at tramd300@aol.com
Thanks in advance..

4031. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:32:31 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021211213231.06314.00001242@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146567

>From: thatzmejp@aol.com >(UknowMeJP)
>get a penatrator with two coils on the >antenna whip
Do you mean Preditor?

"Got RF?"

4032. Re: Which moblie cb radio antenna with big coil is the best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 02:34:20 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021211213420.06314.00001243@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 146568

>From: Recordking@Hotmail.com >(DJboutit)
>I am think about get a monkey, dave >made, terminator, road pro wasp,
>raptor, python, hornet, scorpion ra113 or >a mr coily.
My Davemade single coil does a great job even handicapped with a magnet mount,
triple of course.
333 Joe Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

4033. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 03:24:40 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <kQydnfGTGs3knGWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146569

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:nv8fvu0psegpl2ftdtoootv6no4fv1ph8p@4ax.com...
> snip
> So an amateur should have access to radio gear and non amateurs
> shouldn't? I'm sorry, it doesn't work that way.

Yes amateurs have access to approved amatuer gear that they are allowed to use, what are they supposed to talk on? What Planet are you from? Cbers have access to "CB Radios"

4034. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 03:27:47 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <8LmcnT57wrGpn2WgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146570

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PeMJ9.729$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> MY BAD! I was thinking "Starduster"...and typing "Astro Plane"! Sorry
about
> that!

Either way it is a 1/4 wave ground plane.

> >These guys don't get it...
> >
> >So for the rest of the public who is reaing this thread...
> >
> >The Astro Plane is a 1/2 wave, center fed, vertical dipole. It does NOT
out
> >perform any 5/8 wave antenna.
> >
> >They did make a 5/8 wave version of the Astro Plane (coils on the 4
elements)
> >that also did not perform as well as a 5/8 ground plane antenna.
> >
> >This is not to say that the Star Duster is a bad antenna! It is a good
half
> >wave vertical antenna that is light and very easy to assemble.
> >
> >We used to do experiments with the ORIGNIAL (read: not cheap fake
versions)
> >Star Duster by modifying the feed point and screwing in a Francis Amazer
> >(there was/is only ONE "Amazer", it is gray and has 3, 1/4 wave elements
in
> >the fiberglass giving it more power capacity...and yes, its still just a
1/4
> >wave and NOT a 3/4 wave). This modified antenna worked exactly the same!
> >After all, it was only a 1/4 wave element. In my opinion, it wasn't as
good
> >as the aluminum element due to the lack of surface area.
> >
> >It would be interesting to take a Firestik KW7 and test Firestik's claims
that
> >their loaded antennas work better than a standard full size whip. In
other
> >words, prove it to me!!
> >
> >Dino
> >
> >

> >>Yes it is PHYSICALLY a 1/4 wave ... But the design gives this antenna
the
> >>same gain as a 5/8. Astroplane is also only a 1/2 wave physically, but
also
> >>outperform a traditional 5/8 wave vert.
> >
>

4035. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 03:30:04 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <BpycnR1-NPIjn2WgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146571

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PcMJ9.722$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> With all due respect Dave...and believe me, I very much respect what you
have
> to say! I want to clear up some issues...
>
> The Starduster is a 1/2 wave center fed dipole with 2.1dbi gain.

The starduster is a 1/4 wave ground plane with drooping ground radials. a
dipole has 2 legs, hence the name di-pole. the arrl
manual also agrees.

>
> The Sigma IV is also a half wave antenna. I know I'm going to ruffle some
> feathers, but I have spoken with the original engineer from Avanti
specifically
> about the Sigma IV.
>
> The Sigma IV, while being 3/4 wave LONG, is only electrically a 1/2 wave
> antenna. Its basically a big J-Pole in the sky. The lower 1/4 wave is
part of
> the matching circuit. Only the part above the loop radiates. I know its
hard
> to swallow, but it is the truth.
>
> Who out here has replaced a good 5/8 ground plane with a Sigma IV? I
replaced
> my Avanti Sigma 5/8 with the Avanti Sigma IV (both original designs!).
The
> Sigma 5/8 was better locally and in skip land!
>
> This winter, I will be towing the tower trailer out to an undisclosed
location
> in the desert to test the following antennas..
>
> Interceptor 10K
> Avanti Sigma IV
> Avanti Sigma 5/8
> Hy-Gain Penetrator
> Maco 5/8 and/or V5000
> IMAX 2000
> A-99
>
> It will be open to invited guests. If anyone wants to bring an antenna,
not

> listed above, that will be considered. I have been collecting the above
> antennas for the comparison. They will be tested at the same heigth with
the
> same coax and transmitter. There will be a spectrum analyzer at the other
end.
> More on this later.
>
> Dino
>
> >I never bought into the "hype" of the Starduster. For what it actually
> >is, is nothing more than a 1/4 wave antenna (A 9' vertical section, and
> >3 slanted 9' "radials"). I don't know how they managed to rate it for
> >5db gain (Even 5 dbi is a bit optimistic). I would have thought A/S
> >would be a little more honest about their gain ratings.
> >
> >All that aside, the Starduster antennas weren't that physically strong
> >either. The center element would eventually break off, due to winter's
> >abuses, and the plastic spacers on the "radials" would also break off,
> >which then leads to the eventual failure of the radials.
> >
> >A "Big Stick" is nothing more than half wave vertical without radials.
> >Like the A-99, these are a poor substitute for the "big metal" antennas
> >that we used to see so commonly.
> >
> >
> >My favorite commercially made omnidirectional antenna was the Hy-Gain
> >Penetrator. A behemouth of a ground plane with, 4, 1/4 wave radials, and
> >a 5/8th wave vertical section. They got scarce around here at one point
> >(When I tried to buy one) and I ended up with a Sigma 4. From my own
> >comparisons, this 3/4 wave Sigma 4 antenna, was easily my best
> >performing Omni antenna, although I never have the opportunity to do a
> >direct comparison with the Penetrator, but the Sigma 4 easily
> >outperformed the common 3 radial 5/8th wave (CLR 2 type), as well as
> >Astro Planes and 1/2 wave types.
> >
> >There was also a regionally produced (Sort of like Dave Made is today)
> >antenna called a "Super Starburst". It had either a 5/8th or 3/4 wave
> >radiator (I'm not totally sure), and 10 1/8th wave radials. Many people
> >in my area had them then, and every one of them talked well. This was
> >back in the early to mid 70's. I never got the straight scoop about who
> >and where these antennas were made. I was told once that they we're made
> >by a guy in a wheelchair, and that he eventually had failing health or
> >died, and that's why the antennas suddenly disappeared. If anyone has a
> >better story, I'd love to hear it.
> >
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>

4036. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 03:32:56 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <htycnYEwudn0nmWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146572

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%nSJ9.137598$Gc.4594168@twister.austin.rr.com...
> No prob guy. Now, how 'bout that dipole thing? <g>

Don't be stealing my thunder Randy, I told him it was a dipole 3 days ago
and still got no answer regarding that. The arrl manual will show it is a
1/4 wave ground plane

4037. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 03:43:20 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ed1gvuco8m4dvi9th1lc0jufqdei77arpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 146573

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 22:24:40 -0500, "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom>
wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:nv8fvu0psegpl2ftdtoootv6no4fv1ph8p@4ax.com...
>> snip
>> So an amateur should have access to radio gear and non amateurs
>> shouldn't? I'm sorry, it doesn't work that way.
>
>Yes amateurs have access to approved amatuer gear that they are allowed to
>use, what are they supposed to talk on? What Planet are you from? Cbers
>have access to "CB Radios"
>
And CBers have legal access to amateur radios also.

4038. Re: Sams CB-251

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 05:23:02 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212002302.14786.00000177@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 146574

e - mail me .

WALT

4039. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 06:06:45 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF82775.A43543B7@secret.com>
Source record: 146575

JER1538A wrote:
>
> whats wrong with stupid cb ers getting zapped with 13,000 volts every now and
> then lol

I prefer to watch ham fry.

4040. Re: MIC PIN OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 06:15:51 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DF82997.3494C64D@secret.com>
Source record: 146576

"Vinnie S." wrote:
>
> On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 14:23:42 GMT, stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Any one know where I can find the mic pin out for a old Johnson 250
> >Base.
>
> Various mike websites have hookup information. The Astatic site has it. I only
> give the color to pin. They don't ID the pin.

All D-104's come from the factory wired as follows:

WHITE: Audio
SHIELD: Audio ground
BLUE: Switch common
BLACK: Receive
RED: Transmit
YELLOW: Grounded in transmit (run to linear)

From Astatic's website, you can ascertain the pinouts by matching colors
to function to pin number.

4041. FS Galaxy dx-959 with power mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 06:39:47 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AbWJ9.399$_b.34955@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 146577

Price drop.
This radio works and looks good.
This radio is peaked and tweaked.
Come with original box mic power cord mounting braket and cobra power mic.
From a non somer's home.
$125 + shipping. u.s only

4042. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 12:20:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146578

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:pccfvuol6k22uamae97ejghbtr020uh91o@4ax.com...

> **********
> "readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means."
> OR OTHER? Hardly specific enough to be taken seriously if challenged.
> **********
>
> Although this document does come from the FCC it has serious
> weaknesses in its lack of specificity and its presumptions.

That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what radios we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years and I enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham rig can be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two diodes.

Tom

4043. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 12:26:11 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <at9v4s$11up3c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146579

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> You can't get an earth connection.
>
>

Reading the header, I thought you meant MARS, or Military Affiliate Radio Service. As far as I know, none of them use CB.

At least on Mars, you wouldn't have to worry about the FCC trying to regulate what radios you use.

Tom

4044. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 12:43:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF8848D.64CE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146584

Dino wrote:
>
> With all due respect Dave...and believe me, I very much respect what you have
> to say! I want to clear up some issues...
>
> The Starduster is a 1/2 wave center fed dipole with 2.1dbi gain.

How can an antenna, with 3 drooped elements be considered a "dipole"?
Where I come from, we call that a 1/4 wave ground plane. A dipole has a
single active radiator, and a single ground element (Hence the term "di
(meaning 2) pole (meaning elements)").
When you split the ground element into 3 and raise them up from
vertical, it's no longer a dipole, but a ground plane.

> The Sigma IV is also a half wave antenna. I know I'm going to ruffle some
> feathers, but I have spoken with the original engineer from Avanti specifically
> about the Sigma IV.

I won't comment further on that, since I really don't have the
engineering specs on it. But from my own testing, it works a hell of a
lot better than a 1/2 wave ground plane.

> The Sigma IV, while being 3/4 wave LONG, is only electrically a 1/2 wave
> antenna. Its basically a big J-Pole in the sky. The lower 1/4 wave is part of
> the matching circuit. Only the part above the loop radiates. I know its hard
> to swallow, but it is the truth.

It sort of makes sense, but this wouldn't account for the much superior
performance compared to "normal" 1/2 wave antennas.

> Who out here has replaced a good 5/8 ground plane with a Sigma IV? I replaced
> my Avanti Sigma 5/8 with the Avanti Sigma IV (both original designs!). The
> Sigma 5/8 was better locally and in skip land!

Skip is irrelevant as it is not constant and reliable enough for
scientific comparisons.

I did replace a standard 5/5th wave antenna (A Hustler "trumpet") with
the Sigma 4 and saw an improvement.

I had a particularly stable test bed of locals with base stations, with
radios with RF gain controls and stable setups (I know, not very
scientific, but good for relative purposes). One of the particular guys,
gave me the following signal reports with his gain at minimum, of the
following antennas over the course of several weeks to months, to
average out weather and other infuencing conditions:

1/2 Wave radio shack "Super Maxim": from S1 to S1.25
Avanti Astro Plane: from 3/4 to S1.2
Hustler Trumpet 5/8th: from S2.5 to S3
Avanti Sigmal 4: from S3.5 to S4
Avanti Astro Beam: from S4.5 to S5

With the exception of the Astro Beam, which was mounted on a tower, the other antennas were mounted on the same 20 feet of mast above ground. This gave the Avanti Astro plane a disadvantage, as it mounts about 2/3rds of the way into the antenna. But the other antennas were close to the same, except for differences in active radiator length.

>
> This winter, I will be towing the tower trailer out to an undisclosed location
> in the desert to test the following antennas..
>
> Interceptor 10K
> Avanti Sigma IV
> Avanti Sigma 5/8
> Hy-Gain Penetrator
> Maco 5/8 and/or V5000
> IMAX 2000
> A-99
>
> It will be open to invited guests. If anyone wants to bring an antenna, not
> listed above, that will be considered. I have been collecting the above
> antennas for the comparison. They will be tested at the same heigth with the
> same coax and transmitter. There will be a spectrum analyzer at the other end.
> More on this later.

I am very interested to hear how this works out. Not many people take the time to do objective tests between various antennas. I am also interested in your test setup. At what distances will you be making measurements? As you know, there will be differences in near field radiation, as well as overall radiation angle. Some of these factors may favor one or another type of antenna, in one instance, but favor another at a different point.

I wish I lived closer to you, as I would love to participate. This is the kind of stuff I enjoy. Especially when it settles a lot of "CB hype". And there is no greater hype than antenna gain figures.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4045. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 12:48:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF8859A.78FF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146581

JER1538A wrote:
>
> whats wrong with stupid cb ers getting zapped with 13,000 volts every now and
> then lol

It's called a Darwin Award candidate. It keeps the gene pool stronger.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4046. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 12:53:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF886E4.6AAB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146583

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > I'm interested in hearing more about this program (I own a repeater, and
> > am involved with a few others). IS this available as a download, or is
> > it something that is a high dollar purchase?
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> http://www.cplus.org/rmw/english1.html
>
> Freeware.

Thank You!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4047. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 13:03:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF88927.419E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146585

Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> On 10 Dec 2002 11:03:51 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:<20eavu48ig44pajvj1rrhbhblkjl7flb7d@4ax.com>...
> >> I am planning to purchase a mobile, and am wondering if I need an alignment for
> >> a brand new radio. I don't want it peak past 4 watts. I just want an alignment.
> >> Are these new radios aligned well on the assembly line?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >you don't want it to peak past 4 watts????????? geez.....what
> >the hell are you talking to us about such a silly thing for
> >anyways???? in the words of chuckie.....'what a
> >moron'.............
>
> Why do I have to peak it if I run an amp, moron? Besides, peaking it from 4
> watts to 5 or 8 had little effect, from what I hear.
>
> I asked the question in a way to get information. If you can't give the
> information, then shut the fuck up.
>

Some people here have bad table manners. Seems that sometimes you can't
ask a simple question, without getting a smart assed answer.

As far as your question pertaining to peaking, don't worry about it. The
differnce between 3.5 and 5 watts is hardly noticable at all. If you do
ever intend to run an amp, the less power the radio puts out, the less
likely you are to overdrive the amp and sound crummy, legalities not
withstanding.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4048. Re: Texas Star 350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 13:16:17 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212120516.7579acd8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146580

I've got news for you... the "new CW crap" is the same as your old one.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> For Sale: mint cond texas star 350V $ 200 ....it,s the older texas star not the
> new cw crap ..looks new like it was just pulled out of the box

4049. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 13:16:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF88C21.54D2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146582

Nighthawk wrote:
>
> Do they even have a F1 and F2 layer?

Probably not, as the atmosphere on Mars is substantially thinner than
that of Earth. Compound that with its greater distance from the Sun, and
ionospheric propagation is not a likely condition.

No Skip, that would be great for the locals.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4050. OT: Why McDonald's sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 13:23:37 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DF88DD9.1E250F82@listed.here>
Source record: 146586

http://www.business2.com/articles/mag/print/0,1643,45496,00.html

4051. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 14:08:28 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212090828.13236.00000006@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 146587

exactly !!

4052. who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 15:05:10 UTC
From: skipshooter181@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021212100510.29759.00000003@mb-cv.news.cs.com>
Source record: 146588

hello out their skipland 181 louisiana

4053. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 15:20:59 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <vP1K9.328984$QZ.48998@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146589

Check this out.

http://www.ee.duke.edu/~cummer/reprints/paper12.pdf

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> You can't get an earth connection.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

4054. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 15:37:46 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146590

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
radios
> we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years and I
> enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham rig
can
> be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
diodes.
>
> Tom

Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not being
sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so vague
about that.
>

4055. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 15:43:49 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146591

Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
> radios
> > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years and
I
> > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham rig
> can
> > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> diodes.
> >
> > Tom
>
>
> Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not being
> sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so vague
> about that.
> >
>
>
>

4056. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 15:58:01 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <dm2K9.329351$QZ.48862@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146592

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at9v4s$11up3c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> > You can't get an earth connection.
> >
<snip>
> At least on Mars, you wouldn't have to worry about the FCC trying to
> regulate what radios you use.
>
> Tom

How do we know that? :)

I am still trying to figure out what that bright light was that went across three states last year unexplained. I think it was the Martians throwing our spy gear back at us. :)

Deb

4057. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 16:04:50 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Cs2K9.31738$hw3.6440@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 146593

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
> damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"

Now that's a good question.

Deb

4058. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what do...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 16:16:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF8B64C.69F6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146594

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> I'm interested in hearing more about this program (I own a repeater, and
> am involved with a few others). IS this available as a download, or is
> it something that is a high dollar purchase?
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
> --
>
> Still have the Phelps?

What Phelps? I wish I had a Station Master........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4059. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 16:39:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12749-3DF8BBCE-226@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146595

>Some people here have bad table manners.

And you get it right back. If you have a problem with it, change your behavior.

>Seems that sometimes you can't ask a simple >question, without getting a smart assed >answer.

Yea, you pretty much win the prize for ad hominem and off topic attacks.

>As far as your question pertaining to peaking, >don't worry about it. The differnce between 3.5 >and 5 watts is hardly noticable at all. If you do >ever intend to run an amp, the less power the >radio puts out, the less likely you are to >overdrive the amp and sound crummy, >legalities not withstanding.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

So N3cvj, did you begin obeying the law? When exactly was that again? <g>
How can you operate that Davemade legally but a galaxy is illegal? How about those MANY posts where you call people "criminal" for owning the same amp you do? How come you invented this w6amp guy to talk to? "His" email defaults to yours....
Ah,,never mind,.....you've been rendered harmless.....but you need to chill out on the radio...you sound like you're gonna stroke any second.....

4060. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 16:45:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12749-3DF8BD27-228@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146598

Hello 181...the bayou was good and strong this AM into the 'pile....many of us talk on 555..several in here can be heard on the uppers and lowers as well.....26.815, 835, 855...etc..happy holidays and happy dxing.

Left Coast of the Sandpile
Smile in the 'pile
Gulf of Mexico
Paying attention

4061. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 16:57:04 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <l54K9.533$bX3.114587801@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146596

"SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" wrote in message

> W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!
>
> HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
> Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
> GO HOME!!!

It's not my list... It's QTH.COM's list dumbshit!

http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm

4062. Re: horizontal .dipole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 17:07:42 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <if4K9.534$C14.115006512@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146597

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:at7ata$11hnfo$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Bobean Boyed" <ranger2950dx@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15286-3DF6DA0C-526@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > this antenna works great. i put my dipole about 20 ft high . so it
> > pays to experiment whith wire antennas.
> >
>
> I'm sure you realize, though, that you'll lose a lot of gain by mounting
the
> antenna horizontally if everyone else is using vertical antennas. But if
> the other stations you want to talk to are also using horizontal antennas
or
> if you're shooting skip, you're good to go.
>
> Tom

True dat, but for multiple hop skip contacts, a horizontal loop or end-fed Zepp type is your choice for DX. I personally use a 130' longwire terminated to a MFJ antenna tuner. Works great but for local but I always revert to a vertical polarized antenna for local contacts. I can use this antenna from 3.7 to 30mhz and obtain a flat vswr with the tuner.

4063. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 17:09:48 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <hh4K9.535$f04.114919523@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146599

"SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:apQJ9.27537$NV.522969@news.direcpc.com...
> W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!

Into the killfile for you buddy.....god how I love twitfilters.....

4064. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 17:39:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <m%3K9.28467$X%3.2720@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146600

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
> damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"

This is purely conjecture, but the way I understand it is that it is more
than the modifiable aspect
that makes these radios unapproved. Most all of these sets are "CB-style"
in that they have incre-
mental "channel" indices as well as the frequency display; traditional 10
meter rigs have only the
frequency display and, sometimes, "memory" positions displayed in the same
window. The other
thing that causes them to come under FCC scrutiny and be referred to as
"fake", are the BAND
switches. Reasoning is that if a radio is truly a 10 Meter radio, it should
cover that one band. Therefore, those A thru F "bands" indicate something
other than what the radio is represented to be:
that is, a MULTI-band radio that will operate on more than the one band its
name refers to. These
radios, ergo, are NOT what they SAY they are. Yes, they will operate on 10
meters, but by having those switches for "them extree channels", they become
fraudulent. By the strictest legal def-
inition, the wording of the ban may not be tight enough, but that would easy
to remedy. Hollings-
worth, for example, is an attorney and, BTW, this wording thing HAS already
been brought to his
attention.

Nobody is being fooled by the Galaxy and Connex radios. We all know what
they "really" are (wink, wink), and that their marketing methods are a ploy
to get around bans on their importation.
I have said this before, but it bears repeating: IF these radios were not
being used on illegal freq-
uencies and were not beeping and squeaking all over 10 meters, I don't think
anyone would even
CARE about them. No one would CARE if they put out 10 watts of dead key and
had 60 watts of
"swang". But Noooooooooo! Some users have to have theirs and everybody
else's, too.

Jerry

> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...

> >
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
> > radios
> > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years
and
> I
> > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham
rig
> > can
> > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> > diodes.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> >
> > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
being
> > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
vague
> > about that.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

4065. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 18:19:11 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zq4K9.2295$MV5.241321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146601

Care for some cheese with that? If they only reach 10 meters, even with the band switches, then your position is leaky. If they require a modification to do something illegal, then it is the mod to complain about. The damn radio is only a piece of equipment. As to the implied ease to do the mod, that is a matter of conjecture , some can , some can't. About "CB Style", you now want to control the style of peoples radios? Why don't you take a nice long walk along the RR track, and think about this for a while.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:m%3K9.28467$X%3.2720@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
> > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
>
>
> This is purely conjecture, but the way I understand it is that it is more
> than the modifiable aspect
> that makes these radios unapproved. Most all of these sets are "CB-style"
> in that they have incre-
> mental "channel" indices as well as the frequency display; traditional 10
> meter rigs have only the
> frequency display and, sometimes, "memory" positions displayed in the same
> window. The other
> thing that causes them to come under FCC scrutiny and be referred to as
> "fake", are the BAND
> switches. Reasoning is that if a radio is truly a 10 Meter radio, it
should
> cover that one band. Therefore, those A thru F "bands" indicate something
> other than what the radio is represented to be:
> that is, a MULTI-band radio that will operate on more than the one band
its
> name refers to. These
> radios, ergo, are NOT what they SAY they are. Yes, they will operate on
10
> meters, but by having those switches for "them extree channels", they
become
> fraudulent. By the strictest legal def-
> inition, the wording of the ban may not be tight enough, but that would
easy
> to remedy. Hollings-
> worth, for example, is an attorney and, BTW, this wording thing HAS
already
> been brought to his

> attention.
>
> Nobody is being fooled by the Galaxy and Connex radios. We all know what
> they "really" are (wink, wink), and that their marketing methods are a
ploy
> to get around bans on their importation.
> I have said this before, but it bears repeating: IF these radios were not
> being used on illegal freq-
> uencies and were not beeping and squeaking all over 10 meters, I don't
think
> anyone would even
> CARE about them. No one would CARE if they put out 10 watts of dead key
and
> had 60 watts of
> "swang". But Nooooooooooo! Some users have to have theirs and everybody
> else's, too.
>
> Jerry
>
>
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
what
> > > radios
> > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years
> and
> > > I
> > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of
them
> > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that
FCC
> > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham
> rig
> > > can
> > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> > > diodes.
> > > >
> > > > Tom
> > >
> > >
> > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
> being
> > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance
and

> > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
> vague
> > > about that.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
>
>
>

4066. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 18:47:41 UTC
From: bendowers@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212134741.18571.00000003@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 146602

I used to frequent this NG often in the past, mostly as as lucker soaking up all the heipful info I could get my hands on. I soon got tired of the same old anti American Socialist Hambo crap and quit comeing back . Well I see it hasn't changed. Whats amazing is the same old crap I seen two years ago is still being recycled. This list is old news that never accomplished any thing of great value.

It is truley sad to see members of what use to be a good organization turn into protectionist who's main goal in life is to destroy the very thing they care the most about. I suspect that people like the original poster will directly cause the death of Ham Radio within the next two generations because of his hatred of the so called law breakers. You Ham boys had better figure out a way to include the so called out laws into your hobby, or your hobby will die out.

4067. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 19:33:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5116-3DF8E483-216@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146603

From: bendowers@aol.com (BenDowers)
I used to frequent this NG often in the past, mostly as as lucker soaking up all the heipful info I could get my hands on. I soon got tired of the same old anti American Socialist Hambo crap and quit comeing back . Well I see it hasn't changed. Whats amazing is the same old crap I seen two years ago is still being recycled. This list is old news that never accomplished any thing of great value.
It is truley sad to see members of what use to be a good organization turn into protectionist who's main goal in life is to destroy the very thing they care the most about. I suspect that people like the original poster will directly cause the death of Ham Radio within the next two generations because of his hatred of the so called law breakers. You Ham boys had better figure out a way to include the so called out laws into your hobby, or your hobby will die out.
--

Shux, several of our resident hammies are trying to figure out a way to have the freebanders accept THEM...they just haven't figured it out yet.......like ducks out of water...happy holidays.

4068. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 19:46:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5116-3DF8E788-219@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146604

Still have the Phelps?

>What Phelps? I wish I had a Station >Master........
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

What happened to the one below where you are talking with your alter-ego
of Ve6mgs that your addy also defaults to?
Didja' fuhget about it? Was it a hallucination? Was it borrowed like
the account? .... Ah..nevah mind.....

From: s7000a@wild.ucis.vill.edu (SANDBAGGER)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: of Antennas and Urination
Date: 5 Jan 1995 20:31 EST
Organization: Villanova University
Lines: 129
Distribution: world
Message-ID: <5JAN199520315942@wild.ucis.vill.edu>
References: <2JAN199515264144@cats.ucis.vill.edu>
<1995Jan3.164027.1264@ve6mgs.ampr.org>
<4JAN199507535143@wild.ucis.vill.edu>
<1995Jan5.192833.26424@ve6mgs.ampr.org> NNTP-Posting-Host: ucis.vill.edu
News-Software: VAX/VMS VNEWS 1.41
In article <1995Jan5.192833.26424@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org
(Mark G. Salyzyn) writes...
>s7000a@wild.ucis.vill.edu (Dave the SANDBAGGER) writes:
>They are, for 2M service usually though. G5RVs cost $100 >from a
commercial

And they don't hold a candle to my phelps Dodge Super Station Master, on
my
220 repeater........

4069. Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 20:44:00 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146605

Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

4070. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 20:52:17 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <FcmcndTZ9_SeamWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146606

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
> damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"

No they are being sold as phony 10 meter radios to Cbers , easily modified
to work on 11 meters, they are not for sale to Hams echo,roger beeps,
channel selector these are not amateur radios. Cry all you want the intended
market is not amatuer radio they would need to be type certified.

> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
> > radios
> > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years
and
> I
> > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham
rig
> > can
> > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> > diodes.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> >
> > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
being
> > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
vague
> > about that.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4071. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 20:55:28 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <uaWcncmSp9pfamWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146607

Damn Dave, old twist nutz is sure doing some research on you dating back to 1995. It seems he will go to any length but then will cry you are bothering him LOL is this guy a hypocrite or what...

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:5116-3DF8E788-219@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Still have the Phelps?
>
>
> >What Phelps? I wish I had a Station >Master........
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> What happened to the one below where you are talking with your alter-ego
> of Ve6mgs that your addy also defaults to?
> Didja' fuhget about it? Was it a hallucination? Was it borrowed like
> the account? .... Ah..nevah mind.....
>
>
>
>
>
> From: s7000a@wild.ucis.vill.edu (SANDBAGGER)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: of Antennas and Urination
> Date: 5 Jan 1995 20:31 EST
> Organization: Villanova University
> Lines: 129
> Distribution: world
> Message-ID: <5JAN199520315942@wild.ucis.vill.edu>
> References: <2JAN199515264144@cats.ucis.vill.edu>
> <1995Jan3.164027.1264@ve6mgs.ampr.org>
> <4JAN199507535143@wild.ucis.vill.edu>
> <1995Jan5.192833.26424@ve6mgs.ampr.org> NNTP-Posting-Host: ucis.vill.edu
> News-Software: VAX/VMS VNEWS 1.41
> In article <1995Jan5.192833.26424@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org
> (Mark G. Salyzyn) writes...
> >s7000a@wild.ucis.vill.edu (Dave the SANDBAGGER) writes:
> >They are, for 2M service usually though. G5RVs cost $100 >from a
> commercial
>
>

> And they don't hold a candle to my phelps Dodge Super Station Master, on
> my
> 220 repeater........
>
>

4072. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 20:56:30 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <cMydnbdeMPidZWWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146608

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12749-3DF8BD27-228@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hello 181...the bayou was good and strong this AM into the 'pile....many
> of us talk on 555..several in here can be heard on the uppers and lowers
> as well.....26.815, 835, 855...etc..happy holidays and happy dxing.

In case anyone ever asks Twisty here is you admitting your a federal Law breaker. No one is assuming anything your own admittance and not under duress. It is more than just talk sucka.

4073. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 20:59:24 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <utthvu06l99q0qgcr518chdruqgr5u6rt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 146609

On 12 Dec 2002 12:44:00 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit)
wrote:

>Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
>Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
>and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
>mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

You can go up to 60 feet high with that antenna, however if you drive
by an airport you should drop it down to 20 feet.

4074. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:04:41 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JR6K9.2390$j_4.265437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146611

So according to you, "Hams" cannot want echo / roger beep? I hate to burst
your bubble, but, some hams have and use those radios. I have no idea how
many, and it does not matter.
Where did you see one advertised as a "PHONY 10 METER RADIO , FOR CB! ????
"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:FcmcndTZ9_SeamWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If the
> > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
>
>
> No they are being sold as phony 10 meter radios to Cbers , easily modified
> to work on 11 meters, they are not for sale to Hams echo,roger beeps,
> channel selector these are not amateur radios. Cry all you want the
intended
> market is not amatuer radio they would need to be type certified.
>
>
>
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
what
> > > radios
> > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years
> and
> > > I
> > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of
them
> > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that
FCC
> > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham
> rig
> > > can
> > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> > > diodes.
> > > >
> > > > Tom

> > >
> > >
> > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
> being
> > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance
and
> > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
> vague
> > > about that.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

4075. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:06:04 UTC
From: dennis <wt1078@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uvhudu26odmse7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146610

donot get a C.B RADIO ric 2995

get a HAM RADIO for the same price dennis wt1078 seattle wash

4076. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:29:09 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Fc7K9.331894$QZ.49162@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146612

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:utthvu06l99q0qgcr518chdruqgr5u6rt5@4ax.com...
> On 12 Dec 2002 12:44:00 -0800,

<snip>

> You can go up to 60 feet high with that antenna, however if you drive
> by an airport you should drop it down to 20 feet.

HEHEHEHEH!!

Deb

4077. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:34:31 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Hh7K9.127872$pN3.9422@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146613

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com...
> Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
> Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
> and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
> mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

I suppose you would want to at least stay lower than the lowest over passes in your area. Now in some parts of the country this may be an issue. Especially in New York, or so I've been told. 13' 6" is the norm. 13' 5" should make it safe for you. I'm absolutely positive if those aren't correct heights someone on this newsgroup will tell you.

What I would do is park next to a big truck. Mount your antenna and take it as high as the box goes and you should, I emphasis SHOULD be good to go. I am pretty sure you won't get in trouble for using this method in establishing the height of an antenna on your mobile.

I could be wrong though. I lead a very sheltered life.

Deb :)

4078. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:38:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8648-3DF901E4-90@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146614

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12749-3DF8BD27-228@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hello 181...the bayou was good and strong this AM into the 'pile....many
of us talk on 555..several in here can be heard on the uppers and lowers
as well.....26.815, 835, 855...etc..happy holidays and happy dxing.

>In case anyone ever asks Twisty here is you >admitting your a federal
Law breaker.

Those words are nowhere to be found in my post. Your interpretation
problem is a manifestation of your communication deficit.

>No one is assuming anything your own >admittance and not under duress.
It is more >than just talk sucka.

It's no more than talk...like N3CVJ telling the world he had a "Phelps".
It was clear he doesn't have one and never did, but that didn't prevent
him from claiming he had one. Even you claimed that even though Lelnad's
call is heard on the freeband, it doesn't mean it's his....this logic
you apply needs applied evenly and across the board or not at all.
But let's cut to the chase.....how can Davey Hall N3CVJ run a
Davemade amp legally while you maintain he can't operate a Galaxy
legally? Since you claim to have greater knowledge than I of hammie
radio, perhaps you can differentiate between that embarrassing scenario
that you helped Davey get into concerning such comments.
Oh, but wait, according to you, N3CVJ is a federal criminal for his
actions......

4079. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 21:49:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15533-3DF9046A-213@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146616

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
>Damn Dave, old twist nutz

Damn, George, your ad hominem attacks are no longer in the body of your post..right off the bat these days...your intellect is shining...

>is sure doing some research on you dating >back to
>1995.

Daves lies are hardly waht I hold as research..his lies are a dime a dozen and no effort is required...just a good memory. ...and some good 'ol hammies that don't cotton to lids like him or you.....I wasn't here in '95, and I sure as hell didn't even know what a "Phelps" was...someone told me to ask him if he still had it.....

> It seems he will go to any length but then will >cry you are bothering him LOL is this guy a >hypocrite or what...
--

My usenet posting history is free for anyone to post..never had a problem with it,,unlike you and poor 'ol Dave, I don't lie.....again, the matter is so simple it is lost upon you..treat those as you wish to be treated. You're the one crying about people instead of issues..but that's ok..right about now poor davey-boy needs all the help he can get...even yours.

4080. FT 920 with MD 100 mic and More For trade!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 22:10:16 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DF908FE.8070708@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I
also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape
I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig.
If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to
the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. I will trade this 2KD 5 Henry amp for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. It has new caps
and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same
voltage that runs your dryer and electric range.
I also have a Icom AT 500 auto tuner. This tuner will handle 500 watts. It works fine and I have no use for ICOM equipment. My station is all Yaesu at this time. I will trade this tuner for a Kenwood TS 50, or other small HF Gen. coverage rig, or a good Short wave receiver. I also have a Pentium III 500 Mhz computer for trade. It has 128Mb ram, CD burner 52X CD rom S3 Virge video card, 1Gb hard drive, 10/100 Mb network card, Motorola SM 56 modem, Yamaha sound card in a ATX tower. Of corse keyboard and mouse included. I will trade this computer for 350 bucs plus shiping or a good HF moblie rig.
I am will to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we can work out the deal.
oris@dirtball.com
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4081. Selling Excellent condition Uniden HR 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 22:25:13 UTC
From: Dan <REMOVETHISdan@royaltelevision.net>
Message-ID: <ku2ivuoa7iufte1086498poh6bl68vnf7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 146617

Selling excellent condition Uniden HR 2510 "10 meter"mobile radio.
Unit comes with stock mic, and works perfectly. Stable SSB Tremendous
AM audio. Price $159 plus $13.00 shipping I accept money order or
paypal. Please reply via e mail to dan@royaltelevision.net

4082. Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 22:33:09 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 146618

Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio expert or technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people making nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:

"I just came from having lunch with friends at (a rather expensive restaurant.) Everything was fine until a cellphone started ringing at the table next to ours. The guy answered and proceeded to engage in a loud argument with his wife/girlfriend/lover. This went on for over 20 minutes! This inconsiderate S.O.B. stayed at his table for the entire time. He made no effort to go to the lobby or outside and finish his call, despite the fact that he had finished his meal and his eating companion was waiting for him to get off the phone so they could leave!

Ove the past few months, I have been interrupted by these selfish slobs at movie theaters, restaurants, even at church! A cellphone rings during the middle of the sermon and it's owner takes the call! Stays right there in the church pew and talks for several minutes, conveniently ignoring the angry glances of both pastor and parishoners. If these were emergency calls, I could understand. But in each instance, these were simply routine telephone conversations that could have been made at another time and place. Due to the loud and boisterous nature of those engaged in the calls, I couldn't help but to hear all the fine details of their conversations.

I realize modern-day America is a society of "me, me, me, and the hell with everybody else." I also realize this type of behavior is only going to get worse, not better. But I am damn sick and tired of having my outings compromised by these people. When I pay money to see a movie or eat at a fine restaurant, I have the right to enjoy myself and not be constantly bothered by some jerk talking on a cellphone. Asking the offending party to "please take your call somewhere else" is a waste of time. These people simply have no regard for common courtesy or public decency. If I'm going to maintain my right to peace-and-quiet, I'll have to take matters into my own hands.

Is there any kind of device I could buy which would allow me to shut off these phones? Someone at work told me that in Japan, they have "cellphone jammers" which cut off all conversations and keep phones from ringing within about a 50-foot radius. Have you ever heard of these? If so, where can I get one? Thanks. I knew that if anyone knew, you would."

I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten completely out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly appreciated.

4083. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 22:46:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146619

This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their cell phones any way they wish.

Kind regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com...
> Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio expert or
> technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people making
> nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:
>
>
> "I just came from having lunch with friends at (a rather expensive restaurant.)
> Everything was fine until a cellphone started ringing at the table next to
> ours. The guy answered and proceeded to engage in a loud argument with his
> wife/girlfriend/lover. This went on for over 20 minutes! This inconsiderate
> S.O.B. stayed at his table for the entire time. He made no effort to go to the
> lobby or outside and finish his call, despite the fact that he had finished his
> meal and his eating companion was waiting for him to get off the phone so they
> could leave!
>
> Ove the past few months, I have been interrupted by these selfish slobs at
> movie theaters, restaurants, even at church! A cellphone rings during the
> middle of the sermon and it's owner takes the call! Stays right there in the
> church pew and talks for several minutes, conveniently ignoring the angry
> glances of both pastor and parishoners. If these were emergency calls, I could
> understand. But in each instance, these were simply routine telephone
> conversations that could have been made at another time and place. Due to the
> loud and boisterous nature of those engaged in the calls, I couldn't help but
> to hear all the fine details of their conversations.
>

> I realize modern-day America is a society of "me, me, me, and the hell
with
> everybody else." I also realize this type of behavior is only going to
get
> worse, not better. But I am damn sick and tired of having my outings
> compromised by these people. When I pay money to see a movie or eat at a
fine
> restaurant, I have the right to enjoy myself and not be constantly
bothered by
> some jerk talking on a cellphone. Asking the offending party to "please
take
> your call somewhere else" is a waste of time. These people simply have no
> regard for common courtesy or public decency. If I'm going to maintain my
right
> to peace-and-quiet, I'll have to take matters into my own hands.
>
> Is there any kind of device I could buy which would allow me to shut off
these
> phones? Someone at work told me that in Japan, they have "cellphone
jammers"
> which cut off all conversations and keep phones from ringing within about
a
> 50-foot radius. Have you ever heard of these? If so, where can I get
one?
> Thanks. I knew that if anyone knew, you would."
>
>
> I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten
completely
> out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly
> appreciated.

4084. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 23:16:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146622

One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it. Kids can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids. We've had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get sued. I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in years; my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine and I don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems whatever one wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of it. This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how someone conducts himself anyways.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when asked.
>

4085. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 23:17:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvi68nacad9b98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146623

Maybe if you take your computer - you'd have an F1 and F2 key ... does that help? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DF88C21.54D2@worldlynx.net...
> Nighthawk wrote:
> >
> > Do they even have a F1 and F2 layer?
>
>
> Probably not, as the atmosphere on Mars is substantially thinner than
> that of Earth. Compound that with its greater distance from the Sun, and
> ionospheric propagation is not a likely condition.
>
> No Skip, that would be great for the locals.......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

4086. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 23:18:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvi6a0q233efb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146624

Of course, the satellites work just fine without an earth connection ....
you don't need ground (earth) ..

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:vk5fvu4l1bg50bslqss4fnvjglu6kt8puk@4ax.com...
> You can't get an earth connection.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

4087. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 23:18:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atb5cn$11kq8b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146621

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com...
> Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
> Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
> and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
> mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

It probably relies on the traffic laws which vary from state to state.
Check with your local law enforcement for the maximum hieght for a mobile
antenna. And watch out for low overpasses.

Tom

4088. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-12 23:22:21 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212121522.5b0e779f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146625

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:<hh4K9.535$f04.114919523@news.inreach.com>...
> "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:apQJ9.27537$NV.522969@news.direcpc.com...
> > W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!
>
>
> Into the killfile for you buddy.....god how I love twitfilters.....

oh so you used to be a trucker........hmm.......that's encouraging.........

4089. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 00:04:35 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF92413.2AD17D6C@cwis.net>
Source record: 146626

TooManyRadios,
I tend to agree with you and your friend. And, as
you can probably guess, cell-phone jammers are illegal.
It's still possible to find them, unfortunately, I
don't know exactly how. If there were a portable type,
I would certainly buy and use one. There are times and
places for cell-phones, but some people have no idea
what those times and places are...
'Doc

4090. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 00:11:48 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <8B9K9.119$NE1.4978874@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146627

I would be interested in one too. Doc, there may be a market for these, maybe a short range low power one.

Nighthawk

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF92413.2AD17D6C@cwis.net...
>
>
> TooManyRadios,
> I tend to agree with you and your friend. And, as
> you can probably guess, cell-phone jammers are illegal.
> It's still possible to find them, unfortunately, I
> don't know exactly how. If there were a portable type,
> I would certainly buy and use one. There are times and
> places for cell-phones, but some people have no idea
> what those times and places are...
> 'Doc

4091. johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 00:18:30 UTC
From: jameskeeton <jameskeeton@infinfoave.net>
Message-ID: <atb984$65jk$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146628

I have a 10 channel black face johnson radio. Works real good has a bannana type mic. It has 5 cristals in it,and a ac power card. asking 100 dollars plus shipping. no trades sale only. contact me at jameskeeton@infoave.net.

4092. Re: FT 920 with MD 100 mic and More For trade!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 00:27:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atb9gp$b8k$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 146629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Aint this the radio that spattered all over the band Oris?

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3DF908FE.8070708@dirtball.com...
> Hello
> I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
> watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I
> also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape
> I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been using the FT
920 as a backup rig.
> If you have a FT 1000D I will add a Henry Radio 2KD-5 Amplifier to
> the deal. 100 watts in gets you about 1000 watts out. I will trade this
2KD 5 Henry amp for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. It has new caps
> and a pair of Emac 3-500. It is wired for Single Phase 240. The same
> voltage that runs your dryer and electric range.
> I also have a Icom AT 500 auto tuner. This tuner will handle 500
watts. It works fine and I have no use for ICOM equipment. My station is all
Yaesu at this time. I will trade this tuner for a Kenwood TS 50, or other
small HF Gen. coverage rig, or a good Short wave receiver. I also have a
Pentium III 500 Mhz computer for trade. It has 128Mb ram, CD burner 52X CD
rom S3 Virge video card, 1Gb hard drive, 10/100 Mb network card, Motorola SM
56 modem, Yamaha sound card in a ATX tower. Of corse keyboard and mouse
included. I will trade this computer for 350 bucs plus shiping or a good HF
moblie rig.
> I am will to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. Drop me a email so we
can work out the deal.
> oris@dirtball.com
> Happy Holidays
> 73 and thanks for reading
> Oris
> N4CND
> http://www.dirtball.com
>

4093. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 00:51:51 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146620

And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when asked.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> cell phones any way they wish.
>
> Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
>
> "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio
> expert or
> > technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people
> making
> > nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:
> >
> >
> > "I just came from having lunch with friends at (a rather expensive
> restaurant.)
> > Everything was fine until a cellphone started ringing at the table next
> to
> > ours. The guy answered and proceeded to engage in a loud argument with
> his
> > wife/girlfriend/lover. This went on for over 20 minutes! This
> inconsiderate
> > S.O.B. stayed at his table for the entire time. He made no effort to go
> to the
> > lobby or outside and finish his call, despite the fact that he had
> finished his
> > meal and his eating companion was waiting for him to get off the phone
so
> they
> > could leave!
> >
> > Ove the past few months, I have been interrupted by these selfish slobs
at
> > movie theaters, restaurants, even at church! A cellphone rings during
the
> > middle of the sermon and it's owner takes the call! Stays right there
in

> the
> > church pew and talks for several minutes, conveniently ignoring the
angry
> > glances of both pastor and parishoners. If these were emergency calls,
I
> could
> > understand. But in each instance, these were simply routine telephone
> > conversations that could have been made at another time and place. Due
to
> the
> > loud and boisterous nature of those engaged in the calls, I couldn't
help
> but
> > to hear all the fine details of their conversations.
> >
> > I realize modern-day America is a society of "me, me, me, and the hell
> with
> > everybody else." I also realize this type of behavior is only going to
> get
> > worse, not better. But I am damn sick and tired of having my outings
> > compromised by these people. When I pay money to see a movie or eat at
a
> fine
> > restaurant, I have the right to enjoy myself and not be constantly
> bothered by
> > some jerk talking on a cellphone. Asking the offending party to "please
> take
> > your call somewhere else" is a waste of time. These people simply have
no
> > regard for common courtesy or public decency. If I'm going to maintain
my
> right
> > to peace-and-quiet, I'll have to take matters into my own hands.
> >
> > Is there any kind of device I could buy which would allow me to shut off
> these
> > phones? Someone at work told me that in Japan, they have "cellphone
> jammers"
> > which cut off all conversations and keep phones from ringing within
about
> a
> > 50-foot radius. Have you ever heard of these? If so, where can I get
> one?
> > Thanks. I knew that if anyone knew, you would."
> >
> >
> > I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten
> completely
> > out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly
> > appreciated.

>
>

4094. Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 01:07:24 UTC
From: deckthehall@webtv.com
Message-ID: <dc1baa4a.0212121707.11f38e9c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146630

Go to google and type cell phone jammer.

4095. Midland 79-893 repair info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 01:26:14 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <uvidpom96in7e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146631

I have a midland 79-893, ssb mobile with the 858 chip. It has Rx audio,but
to Tx audio. Also the PA function does not work.
I am suspecting the mic pre-amp, since it is common to all TX modes and the
PA. I need to know which transistor is the mic pre-amp. It is sams #211 if
anyone has the sams series.
Thanks,
Mark

4096. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 01:46:03 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021212204603.20621.00000024@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 146632

and wait until you find a few low branches...you will rethink the height idea
and compromise!
333 Springfield MO
Where there's lots of low limbs!

"Got RF?"

4097. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 01:59:08 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M9bK9.2899$MV5.295156@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146633

That's a crap statement Jim! Not in Church and Not in Movie Theatres! If you are in a restaurant, take it outside just like a cigarette.

>This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
>cell phones any way they wish.
>
>Kind regards from Rochester, NY
>Jim

4098. Re: Texas Star 350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:37:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212121837.3caeb212@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146634

lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou (Lowrider667) wrote in message
news:<20021209040120.04096.00000044@mb-da.aol.com>...
> For Sale: mint cond texas star 350V $ 200 ....it,s the older texas star not the
> new cw crap ..looks new like it was just pulled out of the box
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

oh, let's see.....a texas star guy hmmm.....i thought you were
the one that always said to buy a davemade or something and not a
texas star.....now i see you have one for
sale.....instead of that silly statement at the bottom of your
posts, perhaps you should add the word, 'dreamer' to it,..... pally,
because the only freekin time you will glow in the dark is when you
stand next to my 55 antenna while i am on the mic.....can you
dig that sucka??????????????????????

4099. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:41:57 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <-qGdnf5xZrVo1WSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146635

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8648-3DF901E4-90@storefull-

Those words are nowhere to be found in my post. Your interpretation
problem is a manifestation of your communication deficit.

>No one is assuming anything your own >admittance and not under duress.
It is more >than just talk sucka.

"many of us talk on 555" who is us and what is this .555 you talk about
then it certainly not CB Band

>It's no more than talk...like N3CVJ telling the world he had a "Phelps".

Boy your really infatuated with him scouring the archives and bringing him
up in every post. I will cut you short though stay on topic i odn't care
baout your fetish for Dave. Drop it.

4100. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:44:23 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <ZHcK9.594$oj5.120339581@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146636

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212121522.5b0e779f@posting.google.com...
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:<hh4K9.535$f04.114919523@news.inreach.com>...
> > "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:apQJ9.27537$NV.522969@news.direcpc.com...
> > > W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!
> >
> >
> > Into the killfile for you buddy.....god how I love twitfilters.....
>
> oh so you used to be a trucker........hmm.......that's
encouraging.........

And you as well, a new insertion to the killfile....

One by one, they all fall in, twit, twit, twit....

4101. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:45:11 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DF949DA.9070300@optonline.net>
Source record: 146637

hey twistie, do any shouting on 26.285??????? be down by ya week after
next. 73, jim kp920

Twistedhed wrote:

>Hello 181...the bayou was good and strong this AM into the 'pile....many
>of us talk on 555..several in here can be heard on the uppers and lowers
>as well.....26.815, 835, 855...etc..happy holidays and happy dxing.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Left Coast of the Sandpile
>Smile in the 'pile
>Gulf of Mexico
>Paying attention
>
>
>

4102. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:50:28 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1039747935.691991@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 146639

If the Guy is rude enough to disregard Your space with loud conversation .
Then He shouldn't have a problem with You snatching the cell phone out of
His and ramming it up His Ass .
Surely He would understand . Ya Think ?
"Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote in message
news:8B9K9.119$NE1.4978874@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> I would be interested in one too. Doc, there may be a market for these,
> maybe a short range low power one.
>
> Nighthawk
>
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF92413.2AD17D6C@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > TooManyRadios,
> > I tend to agree with you and your friend. And, as
> > you can probably guess, cell-phone jammers are illegal.
> > It's still possible to find them, unfortunately, I
> > don't know exactly how. If there were a portable type,
> > I would certainly buy and use one. There are times and
> > places for cell-phones, but some people have no idea
> > what those times and places are...
> > 'Doc
>
>

4103. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 02:51:14 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <oOcK9.595$uh5.120214643@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146638

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> That's a crap statement Jim! Not in Church and Not in Movie Theatres! If
you
> are in a restaurant, take it outside just like a cigarette.
>
> >This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> >cell phones any way they wish.

Last saturday night at the local CinemaPlex, a young man's cellfone
kept going off about every ten minutes. After the fith disruption, two
large black gentlemen proceeded to the area of the ringing cellfone
and gently escorted (dragged screeming) the young man outside where
he was never seen again.

The End (true story)

4104. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 03:50:38 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <W9SdnY03k4ySxGSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146640

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212121522.5b0e779f@posting.google.com...
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:<hh4K9.535$f04.114919523@news.inreach.com>...
> > "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" <noflame@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:apQJ9.27537$NV.522969@news.direcpc.com...
> > > W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!
> >
> >
> > Into the killfile for you buddy.....god how I love twitfilters.....
>
> oh so you used to be a trucker........hmm.......that's
encouraging.........

cheesecutter no doubt about it, pretty to look at pretty to hold but when
you break it is it sold, Come oooonnnnn...

4105. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 04:02:16 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <6nudnQ-yfvpVxmSgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146641

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15533-3DF9046A-213@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
>Damn Dave, old twist nutz

Damn, George, your ad hominem attacks are no longer in the body of your post..right off the bat these days...your intellect is shining...

>is sure doing some research on you dating >back to
>1995.

Daves lies are hardly waht I hold as research..his lies are a dime a dozen and no effort is required...just a good memory. ...and some good 'ol hammies that don't cotton to lids like him or you.....I wasn't here in '95, and I sure as hell didn't even know what a "Phelps" was...someone told me to ask him if he still had it.....

Right there that is an outright Lie you knew he had it you posted the archive, so that is a lie, as a lie can get. You knew he had one from the archive you posted, yet you wanted to ask like you had something on him. Do you know what a phelps station master is? Do you always ask questions for other people? What does him owning on have to do with him or you? Or is it another lie there is no ham telling you to ask him that , of course it is why do I even need to ask.

> It seems he will go to any length but then will >cry you are bothering him LOL is this guy a >hypocrite or what...
--

>My usenet posting history is free for anyone to post..never had a >problem with it,,unlike you and poor 'ol Dave, I don't lie.....again,

That is the first sign of a pathelogical liar he will never admit to lieing,
well i pointed out one of your lies a few paragraphs back

>the matter is so simple it is lost upon you..treat those as you wish to >be treated.

Funny I don't see dave saying anything except you attacking him with a post from 1995? regarding something that has no bearing on Cb is not Illegal and would belong in a amateur ng. Who would care if he still had it and why would you care if he had it? You said yourself you don't know what it is?You care your just trolling aling.

4106. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 05:07:35 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146642

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it. Kids
> can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids. We've
> had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get sued.
> I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in years;
> my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine and I
> don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems whatever one
> wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of it.
> This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how someone
> conducts himself anyways.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when asked.
> >

Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
accout for YOUR offensive behavior.

4107. skipshooter181

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 05:17:23 UTC
From: skipshooter181@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021213001723.22915.00000026@mb-mf.news.cs.com>
Source record: 146643

hello out their

4108. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 06:34:38 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <2cfK9.5005$ce5.300@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 146645

It's been a while, but I see the fascists haven't stopped their activities.

Read this message and you'll understand exactly what this means through analogy.

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com...
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
[SNIP] - Legal Jargon

>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
> acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
> Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
> 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
> violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary
> forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
> continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
> equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in
the
> future.

Basically what this says is that these radios cannot be
imported/manufactured in the united states.
Nor can those radios be marketed in the United States.

No problem, because I don't think Uniden has made those models for a few years now. Same with cars... They won't pass emissions, and don't have airbags. Hence, they cannot manufacture or import those vehicles.

HOWEVER!

That 1969 Ford Galaxy is still perfectly legal to drive, own, sell, barter, trade, and work on.

Why?

It's called the grandfather clause. You should have learned that in 6th grade civics.

Now some make the analogy that these units are analagous to handguns.

You need a permit to carry a handgun. You also need a license to operate these radios.

One thing is different about it though. If someone does key the radio on 11 meters it's a far cry from causing any physical harm. That is why the handgun analogy doesn't hold up.

I'd think of operating those units on 11 meters more as a parking violation than I would a random shooting, that's for sure. Because after all it's the operator that is responsible. Granted the fine is astronomical in comparison to a parking violation, but my point remains no physical harm is done.

Now, as far as this Neo Fascist scare tactic.

If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the message contains the word illegal.

The sender chose that subject to instill a sense of fear. I read nowhere in that memo any thing about the radios being contra-band. It just states that they cannot be imported into the United States.

For those of you not in the United States that have read down this far I humbly apologize for the length of this memo regarding this explanation. On the other hand you're probably laughing at the whole message thread.

The HR2510 is not Contra-Band... You can own one, sell one, etc... Just don't operate it on 11 Meters!

I would also recommend not parking in front of a fire hydrant, or exceeding local speed limits!

I would suggest that as of this very moment they would indeed qualify as collectors items. Just like the 1957 Chevy, 1960 Cadillac Convertible, etc....

Anyone who owns one should realise the sudden increase in value due to this FCC Memo as there will be no more units coming into the United States anymore.

And that's all there is to this tiny little matter blown completely out of proportion by the original poster who chose a subject in an attempt to scare you out of your radio.

It was very well done... I'd almost regard it as New York Times Material...

VOTE FOR GORE OR WE'RE GONNA STARVE!!! -- get real --

Fear... If you can find the humor in it you'll realize why the bible refers to the devil as a prankster.

I really wish these fascist scare tactic fear mongering dipshits like the guy who posted the original message in this thread would go find something else to scare. He'd probably have to resort to puppies, kids, and animals though... Nice Try!

>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
[SNIP] We know what they are.
1957 Chevy Impala.
1958 Chevy Corvette.
1975 Cadillac Eldorado.

1977 Monza Spyder
-- Could you imagine ?? --

>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
7435
> Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043
> respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

If you're still not sure about this after reading this post, contact the people above. That's what they're there for. They get paid to answer your questions. It's what they do for a living!

ILLEGAL... I'm still chuckling about it... I gotta wonder as a child if the original poster of this message lit dogs tails on fire for 'jollies'? I'd hate to light up a smoke, pass gas, or wear leather in front of this guy... And yet, it's people with his very same attitude that piss on the seats in public rest rooms, then tell the waitress someone before him did it. Very unconstructive behavior. Wastes a lot of time.

Well folks, coffee's worn off....
ERROR: Coffee not found, operator halted. Will auto restart in T- 8 hours.

4109. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 07:18:02 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146646

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it.
Kids
> > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids.
We've
> > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get
sued.
> > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in
years;
> > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine and
I
> > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems whatever
one
> > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of
it.
> > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
someone
> > conducts himself anyways.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when
asked.
> > >
>
>
> Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> accout for YOUR offensive behavior.

Back to a one man show, huh Doug?

4110. Re: Texas Star 350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 07:31:16 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021213023116.21128.00000021@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 146647

hey cheesedick...all i see from you is you giving your jaw a workout ..when
your done flapp,en your gums in here ..come out and play >oh, let's
see.....a texas star guy hmmm.....i thought you were
>the one that always said to buy a davemade or something and not a
>texas star.....now i see you have one for
>sale.....instead of that silly statement at the bottom of your
>posts, perhaps you should add the word, 'dreamer' to it,..... pally,
>because the only freekin time you will glow in the dark is when you
>stand next to my 55 antenna while i am on the mic.....can you
>dig that sucka??????????????????????
>

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

4111. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 08:14:43 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <ql5jvuga4oalthtaoklb8ggjkci2ta96lv@4ax.com>
Source record: 146648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

he he ..... LOL

On Fri, 06 Dec 2002 16:43:38 -0500, Pat <notherealone@fake.com> wrote:

>On 6 Dec 2002 07:28:55 -0800, chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth
>about ChukkeeSukkee) was blabbling:
>
>...First things first...
>...Happy Holidays to Keyclowns and Anti-Keyclowns alike.
>...
>...
>
>You too Dougie
>
>
>...There was a hamfest in the Detroit area which a few of us
>...Anti-Keyclowns attended last Sunday. Who did we see, with about a 3
>...day beard, homeless shelter type clothes and a glazed expression on
>...his goofy face????? None other than Chukkee Sukkee herself!
>...
>
>Goofy face??? you ain't miss america either dude! yes, I have a
>beard.. at least I can grow one...Homeless shelter???HAHAHA...what an
>idiot.
>
>
>...Chukkee was so goofed up in the head he didn't even recognize us
>...Anti-Boys, although he had met one of us under very bad <for him>
>...circumstances in September. He called one of us "Mike", a name
>which
>...none of us carry, and mumbled incoherently at our table of antique
>...radios, variacs, antennas, modern transceivers, etc...and departed
>the
>...scene hastily.
>...
>
>I walked around twice....was looking for the guy I came with James
>Keller W8WW....(whom I have a feeling might have authored this...)
>
>and I might have called you Mike...sheesh...cut a guy some slack...
>
>and for the record....you didn't do jack shit, Dougie...and you
>fucking know it....
>

>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee can say things like "puff a root, dickhead", etc. ,
>but
>...has absolutely no power or credibility. This lying toad of a human
>...being has said the following things on the NG's, all proven false:
>...
>...1. He works for Jeff Roush racing
>
>Jeff Roush....???? I never said that...I worked for Jack Rouch...as in
>Rouch Industries Inc. . a job I HATED...Because of the fucking MORONIC
>management...you know Morons....like your dumb fucking ass?
>
>
>
>...2. He has a concealed weapon permit<he ADMITTED THIS WAS A LIE IN
>...SEPTEMBER>
>
>I never admitted anything...
>
>I know what I got, and who said it's registered?
>
>
>
>...3. He is affiliated with a gang called the Latin Counts
>
>Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
>with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
>favors..
>
>Can't you do anything more original????
>
>
>
>...4. He is affilaited with a bike gang called the Iron Coffins
>
>
>Again, dougie...you TWIST the truth! I never said I was " affiliated"
>with them! I said I know a few of them....and a few owe me a few
>favors.....
>
>
>
>
>...5. He owns a .45 caliber pistol
>
>Like I said...you don't know what I got...
>
>
>

>...6. He owns a 9 MM pistol
>
>see above.....
>
>
>
>...7. He has faced down police officers over his pistol. <he calls
>police
>... officers "pigs" in his posts>
>
>again.....you twist and make up shit....I never said this....period.
>
>
>
>
>
>...8. When accused of selling illegal radio equipment Chukkee Sukkee
>... vehemently denied doing so. That is, until an enterprising
>amateur
>... looked up his advertising for sale of illegal amplifiers on
>...various
>... amateur radio and CB forums. This documentation drove him back
>... into the woodwork a while.
>...
>
>
>
>I never DENIED selling them in the past....I don't sell them now....
>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee says these things to make himself appear to be some
>...sort of a formidable human being. When confronted in person he
>wilts
>...like a dandelion hit with weed killer. For example, Chukkee Sukkee
>...threatened in rec.radio.cb to shoot people with a 9mm pistol at the
>...Findlay, Ohio hamfest in September, 2002. The persons he threatened
>...walked up to his table and asked him if he wanted "to settle the
>hash
>...now", and he could not have been faster to stretch out a hand to
>shake
>...if he had a spring loaded elbow.
>...
>
>
>
>Now this REALLY FUNNY!
>
>Douglas Adair.....YOU NEVER EVEN FUCKING SPOKE A WORD TO ME...UNTIL I
>MENTIONED THE THING TO KEITH KC8TCQ....YOU'RE SUCH A FUCKING LYING
>ASS'ED BASTARD!

>
>
>YOU AIN'T SHIT!
>
>
>
>...In late 1999, he lost an ISP because he threatened another amateur
>...with raping his wife and daughter, killing his dog, and doing a
>drive
>...by shooting on his home. The local police and the Toledo office of
>the
>...FBI became interested in Chukkee Sukkee recently because of his
>long
>...record of violent threats to people in newsgroups. The Lincoln
>Park,
>...MI Police dept. paid Chukkee Sukkee a visit in September, 2002
>because
>...of these threats. After the cops were done with him, Chukkee Sukkee
>...whined like the cowardly person he is, and posted to rec.radio.cb a
>...long and lying denial of making any violent threats, and
>specifically
>...admitted he had never owned a hangun or had a CCW permit. This
>...admission ran counter to the fact that he had stated many times he
>...owned either a .45 caliber or a 9 MM pistol, and had a CCW permit.
>...This exposed the lies, of course.
>...
>
>
>
>AFTER THE COPS WERE DONE WITH ME?!?!?!?
>BAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAH!
>
>
>NOT!
>
>
>AGAIN! MORE BULLSHIT LIES!!!!!! ONE DETECTIVE COMES HERE...AND I
>TALKED TO HIM....
>
>SHEESH! DOUG YOUR LACKING ORIGINALITY...
>
>
>...There is now an outstanding warrant for Chukkee Sukkee's arrest in
>...Lucas county, Ohio for meancing as a result of his violent threats.
>...The Anti-Keyclowns have a copy of the police report in our
>posession.
>...This will be made available to anyone who wants it, as it is public
>...information. Email us for details.
>...
>

>
>I WANT TO SEE IT FOR MYSELF!!!!
>
>
>...Chukkee Sukkee should be considered a fat mouthed idiot, and very
>...desperate to belong to some group or organization. He has been
>...rejected by most rational persons, and will continue to be until he
>...moves somewhere that has a population that has never been exposed
>to
>...his moronic actions. <the planet Neptune, maybe?>
>...
>
>
>YEAH, FUCK YOU DOUGIE....MAYBE I'LL COME DOWN THERE THIS WEEKEND.
>
>AND WE'LL "TALK"
>
>
>...In summary, we have among us a lying idiot who has obvious
>...psychological issues. He is prone to violent threats against
>people,
>...even women, children and pets. When confronted, though, he shows a
>...spineless, yellow, cowardly nature.
>...
>
>YOU'RE A ONE TO TALK!
>
>
>...If Chukkee Sukkee is smart he will stay in Michigan. If he gets
>...confronted by any LEO in Ohio he may be on a road he does not wish
>to
>...travel. Chukkee Sukkee makes a big blatting issue of the fact that
>he
>...was at the Toledo hamfest last year and that his truck and his
>carcass
>...emerged unscathed. Fact is, no one threatened either. It is Chukkee
>...Sukkes's mentality, however, that most intriguingly emerged in the
>...dust up over this situation last March... Why would anyone be
>...concerned about their auto being damaged unless: A> They are in the
>...habit of damaging autos and have that mid set, or B> They are
>guilty
>...of doing something bad and expect retribution?
>...
>
>
>MORE BULLSHIT TO COVER THE FACT THAT YOU'RE AN IDIOT DOUG...
>
>
>...Laugh if you will folks, but Chukkee Sukkee is a sick puppy.
>

>
>SO IS DOUGIE AND A NO-CODE, 2 FUCKING METER PENCIL-NECKED GEEK!!!!
>WITH NO CLASS, NO LIFE AND NO FUCKING JOB....AND HAS TO BUILD 2 METER
>ANTENNAS FOR A LIVING...
>
>
>TELL ME DOUG, WHY DID YOU CLOSE THE RENTAL SHIP IN COLDWATER? PROLLY
>BECAUSE YOU WERE SELLING DRUGS OUT THE BACK DOOR AND COLDWATER SHUT
>YOU DOWN! YOU SEE, i HAVE FAMILY THERE AND i HEARD ALL ABOUT
>IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>AND THEN YOU COWARDLY RAN TO CHICAGO!
>
>LATER DOUG...
>
>P.S.....the shit never stops
>
>

4112. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 09:10:02 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <atbuhl$f2c$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 146644

I don't know what the laws (if any) are here in MA now, but a girl I dated about 4 years ago had a 108" whip mounted dead center on the roof of a late 1980s Chevy Blazer with at least a four inch lift kit. The bottom of the antenna must have been...oh...7 feet off the ground...all I know is that ANY bridge we ever encountered, the darn thing would bang against the bridge and then whip back and forth, sometimes hard enough to dent the roof, and twice hard enough to smash the windshield...certainly there's a lesson to learn there.

4113. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 11:41:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9C787.D1116CB4@cwis.net>
Source record: 146649

Tom,
You heard right, the power diminishes with the square of
the distance. But at 1, 2, or 4 feet, you would need a very
sensitive meter to measure the decrease in power. This also
is usually measured from the other end, the receiver. So,
considering what most people have to measure power with, there
would appear to be no changes at 1, 2, or 4 feet.
'Doc

4114. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:21:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D0AD.496@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146665

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> cell phones any way they wish.

This is exactly the type of mentality that causes these kinds of problems in the first place. Yes, this is (supposedly) a free country, and yes, you can (within certain limits) do what you want. But there is such a thing as courtesy, and consideration for the rights of other people. The fact that many of these "cell phone geeks" are oblivious to, or are indifferent to, the effect that their normally inane cell phone blabbering, has on the other people in close proximity to them, underscores the depth of ignorance that many members of our society have.

Here's an interesting business venture for an enterprising individual: Designing RF proof rooms, that can be constructed in places like restaurants, churches and theatres, where cell phone use is most definitely frowned upon. That way, those lacking in basic courtesy, would be unable to disturb anyone else.

10 short years ago, people didn't need to be so tied to their phones. I don't see what has changed now. There is something to be said for the ability to "unplug".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4115. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:25:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11276-3DF9D1BD-3@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146652

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15533-3DF9046A-213@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
>Damn Dave, old twist nutz

Damn, George, your ad hominem attacks are no longer in the body of your
post..right off the bat these days...your intellect is shining...

>is sure doing some research on you dating >back to
>1995.

Daves lies are hardly waht I hold as research..his lies are a dime a
dozen and no effort is required...just a good memory. ...and some good
'ol hammies that don't cotton to lids like him or you.......I wasn't
here in '95, and I sure as hell didn't even know what a "Phelps"
was...someone told me to ask him if he still had it.........

>Right there that is an outright Lie you knew he >had it you posted the
archive, so that is a lie,

Of course I posted it.....he denies lying so it had to be shown..but no,
I wasn't around in '95 and I was told to ask him.....

>as a lie can get.<

Correct..once again, it is the reason I posted it..to illustrate his
lying malicious ways.

> You knew he had one from the archive you >posted, yet you wanted to
ask like you had >something on him. Do you know what a >phelps station
master is? Do you always ask >questions for other people?

When they are assisting me with a hammie lid who is on the lying
warpath,,,well, yes,..I would tend to listen to what they say..the
person has heled me a great deal in the past.

>What does him owning on have to do with him >or you?

The same thing this thread has to do with you. TOUCHE'..oh, little man.

>Or is it another lie there is no ham telling you >to ask him that , of
course it is why do I even >need to ask.

Because you and Dave Hall's lies have you cornfused again.

>It seems he will go to any length but then will >cry you are bothering
>him LOL is this guy a hypocrite or what...
--

YOU are the one crying about people, Georgey-boy..not issues..makes you a lid right along side the pathological liar N3CVJ.
My usenet posting history is free for anyone to post..never had a problem with it,,unlike you and poor 'ol Dave, I don't lie.

>That is the first sign of a pathelogical liar he >will never admit to lieing,

Agreed. Dave Hall has been shown to tell whopper aftre whopper but cant bring himself to move on from his mistakes..he laughingly attempts but fails to pile lies with more lies and we all saw what happens when he gets angry and starts posting......
the matter is so simple it is lost upon you..treat those as you wish to be treated.

>Funny I don't see dave saying anything except >you attacking him with a post from 1995?

Odd, you see an "attack" in his post where he told a lie. Nevertheless, I erely ilustrated his post because he is lying again and you are aware that truth always prevails here...I just point thses things out and you, as usual, have a problem with the messenger.....heheheh!

>regarding something that has no bearing on >Cb
>is not Illegal and would belong in a amateur >ng.

Yea,the entire group tried educating you on the matter of the Galaxy...glad you finally grasp the concept..you're welcome.

> Who would care if he still had it

Same reason you care.

>and why would you care if he had it?

I don't "care" per se, ..I point out lies and untruhts,,always have, always will,,,there is a new sheriff in tonn and your personal playground has been effectively neutralized.
How do ya' like the richochet skip dish? It is indeed making its mark.

>You said yourself you don't know what it >is?You care your just trolling aling.

No troll, George,,that is what you are doing now in a thread that has slammed the coffin lid shut on hammie boy Davey-Hall's hypocrisy and lies.....watching you hop up and down on one bloody stump tells me just how effective his self-contradictory posts and lies really are. Tell him to keep it up..we can play this for the next year and every post can be indicative of his lies, ways and mannerisms.
I know it is difficult to hold topic with you, as you would rather focus on ad hominem attacks, but for all the bile you spew concerning your alleged knowledge concerning hammie radio, it seems you have indeed managed to get yourself into quite a quandary with your comments concerning legalities. It is quite entertaining watching you scratch your head and attack me when I merely ask you to differentiate between

your voodoo bullshit where you claimed it is illegal for a Galaxy to be used by an Dave Hall N3CVJ, but you fail to explain how he can run a Davemade illegally..after all,,this is the same amp he uses as his crutch to call others "federal criminals" and so did you. Amazing how one asking you to clarify your comments can send you into a school girl hissy fit with offtopic insults...hahaha! Good boy.

4116. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:25:38 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <6lkK9.221316$GR5.74212@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 146650

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:atbuhl$f2c$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I don't know what the laws (if any) are here in MA now, but a girl I dated
> about 4 years ago had a 108" whip mounted dead center on the roof of a
late
> 1980s Chevy Blazer with at least a four inch lift kit. The bottom of the
> antenna must have been...oh...7 feet off the ground...all I know is that
ANY
> bridge we ever encountered, the darn thing would bang against the bridge
and
> then whip back and forth, sometimes hard enough to dent the roof, and
twice
> hard enough to smash the windshield...certainly there's a lesson to learn
> there.
>
Never date a girl with a 108" whip?

Deb

4117. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:27:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D21F.629D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146663

TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio expert or
> technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people making
> nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:

I share your and your friend's pain. I too am often bothered by the seemingly oblivious and indifferent behavior that many cell phone users exhibit. In fact I had seriously considered constructing a jamming device a few years back, when the idiot phone geeks almost caused me to have an accident, while they pay more attention to their phone then their driving.

But the moral dilemma for me, was that while jamming cellphones may solve one problem, the interference it causes could block emergency or other important calls. So with that in mind, I never made the device. Think about these things before you decide to use a jammer.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4118. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:31:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D331.69A0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146664

DLHicks wrote:
>
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com...
> > Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
> > Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
> > and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
> > mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.
>
> I suppose you would want to at least stay lower than the lowest over passes
> in your area. Now in some parts of the country this may be an issue.
> Especially in New York, or so I've been told. 13' 6" is the norm. 13' 5"
> should make it safe for you. I'm absolutely positive if those aren't
> correct heights someone on this newsgroup will tell you.
>

That's a very logical, and sensible guideline. most big rigs have a
height restriction of 13' 6", so that powerlines and bridges can be
accomodated. If you keep to that height, you should be fine, unless you
want to enter a parking garage.

My truck has an 8' whip, mounted on the bed railing. I would assume that
the bed railing is at least 4' in height, so that gives me a 12' antenna
height, and I've not hit anything except some low hanging tree branches.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4119. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:32:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11279-3DF9D367-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146651

WA3MOJ, portrayed a newbie with:
>Boy your really infatuated with him scouring >the archives and bringing
him up in every >post. I will cut you short though stay on topic

You already blew that..
i >odn't care baout your fetish for Dave. Drop it.

I care not what you want nor wish, but you're learning. See what happens
when you are forced to be educated..........his lies are getting thick
as bugs on a bumper and they WILL continue to be pointed out as long as
he continues to lie. As his posting history shows, he drew first blood
and brought his personal problems to my doorstep. I asked him many, many
times to take his off-topic personal problems to email..he refused. He
now reaps what he sowed. Dave Hall is a lying hammie lid and so are you.
Get over yourselves.

4120. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:36:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11276-3DF9D437-4@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146653

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
hey twistie, do any shouting on 26.285??????? be down by ya week after
next. 73, jim kp920
--
So will Big Red...talked to him yesterday and he's a coming down as
well......shoot me an email before you leave telling me your
itinerary......coffee is on me.
I haven't been on 285 in quite a while....maybe I'll check it
out...right now everything is configured for maximum AM performance.

4121. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:40:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D54E.D45@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146662

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: stomp443@msn.com (news)
> >Could anyone direct me to a site where I could >buy a decent indoor
> antenna. My landlord >won't let me mount my antron or groundplane >on
> the roof or peak. Any help would be >appreciated.
> >Brian
>
> You can get a nocode ticket for a few bucks and then you're reasonably
> exempt from the restriction.

That is not entirely correct. While hams enjoy the protection of PRB-1, that ruling only (As of now) applies to zoning and municipality ordinances that restrict antennas. When restrictions are contained in a lease, or the CC&R's of a property that is a contract, that you sign as part of your agreement, you are legally bound by those restrictions. In the case of an apartment, the property is owned by someone other than yourself, so therefore you are subject to their conditions. There is still no advantage to having a ham license in those cases.

There is some movement toward expanding PRB-1 to cover CC&R issues, but it's still a ways off, and it is doubtful that it will cover rental properties.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4122. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:47:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11276-3DF9D6D3-5@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146660

I guess the question concerning this "phelps" contraption is going unanswered by N3CVJ, same as the one asking how long he claims to have been operating legally with his Davemade and Texas Star.
What do your psych books say about pathological liars, Davey-boy? I did take your advice and look up the piece concerning pathological liars.....lying about the mundane and simple stuff in the mannner and frequency you do is textbook pathological and deep-rooted usually caused by a character flaw or trait or inherent and overwhelming desire to be accepted.....your feel your accomplishments not worthy of anything at all so in order to compensate for your perceived shortcomings you stretch the truth (lie) to the image you feel would impress others, only your pulse is so far removed from what others are like, you fail to see the damage you inflict upon yourself until it's already done. George recognizes it, but him running to your defense with ad hominem and offtopic attacks that don't concern him serves only to solidify the "lid" moniker you two proudly exhibit. But egad, ,,,,,,the boy can lie.

4123. Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:50:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11277-3DF9D789-4@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146659

27.495 upper sideband.

4124. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 12:52:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D818.647E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146666

Runnin Man wrote:
>
> Damn Dave, old twist nutz is sure doing some research on you dating back to
> 1995. It seems he will go to any length but then will cry you are bothering
> him LOL is this guy a hypocrite or what...
>

Yep, he's a real card. He claims that he get's "fed" this information by other hams, yet none of those older posts started showing up until *I* emailed him one as an example one day. Once he knew what to look for, Google does the rest.

I personally don't care what he digs up, as well as his new claim that I "made up" someone to talk to. His glaringly obvious lack of coprehension on internet protocols, and network routing are testimony enough, why he should not even be considered.

As for the antenna, well, a lot happens in 10 years, including lightning strikes and ice storms. I wish I had *another* station master. But you only fall into good deals once in a while, and I'm not paying the going rate to replace the old one.

For now I'll have to make due with a Hustler G7.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4125. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:03:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9DA9B.13C9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146661

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >Some people here have bad table manners.
>
> And you get it right back. If you have a problem with it, change your
> behavior.

I'm not the one who gave the smart assed answer. Pehaps you should save
some of your vitriol for him.

Why do you always turn every post into something about me? I've heard of
obsessions before, but you?... I'm glad you don't live near me.

>
> >Seems that sometimes you can't ask a simple >question, without getting
> a smart assed >answer.
>
> Yea, you pretty much win the prize for ad hominem and off topic attacks.

I'm sure history will bear out that I am rarely the aggressor in these
circumstances regardless of what your obviously clouded judgement may
tell you.

>
> >As far as your question pertaining to peaking, >don't worry about it.
> The differnce between 3.5 >and 5 watts is hardly noticable at all. If
> you do >ever intend to run an amp, the less power the >radio puts out,
> the less likely you are to >overdrive the amp and sound crummy,
> >legalities not withstanding.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> So N3cvj, did you begin obeying the law? When exactly was that again?
>
A better question would be are you comfortable being a federal criminal?

> How can you operate that Davemade legally but a galaxy is illegal?

Whoever said that running a galaxy wasn't legal for a ham? A ham can run
ANY radio or amp, on their assigned frequencies, as long as they pass
minimum spectral purity specs. Which for H.F. is -40 dbc, and for VHF
and above is -60dbc.

> How about those MANY posts where you call people "criminal" for owning the
> same amp you do?

I can use a Dave Made LEGALLY on 10 meters. You, however, cannot use a Dave Made legally on 11. Simple as that.

> How come you invented this w6amp guy to talk to? "His"
> email defaults to yours....

Now your really rolling your own again. You'd better brush up on internet protocols, because once again, you're showing that you don't have the slightest clue. Ask Landshark, or one of the other guys who knows a bit more about this stuff to help you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4126. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:06:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8129-3DF9DB51-14@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146658

Since WA3MOJ is missing poss again and claimed not to see Dave Hall's hurled insults and hypocrisy.....I figured I'd point him in the right direction so he can see for himself....

You tell someone else this:

Dave Hall told someone this in conversation:
>Ad Hominem comments do nothing to bolster >your credibility in any form of debate, so you >would be wise to avoid them. Stick to the >topic.

I know....isn't it the most hilarious thing you ever read coming from the hypocrite HALL.....But wait..he continues and comes off with such gems as this, where he fancies himself a psycho something or other.....:,

>"You do possess the lions share of the >symptoms", this:,

And the ad hominem statements illustrating his proneness, predilecton, predisposition, fondness, and preference for violence:

>"Every so often I'll respond just to bitch< slap >you back to reality"

Hmmm..that reality must be the one where he DOESN'T own a "Phelps"...what say?

And MORE of these attacks that DON'T occur (according to you and our little lying angel-cherubic Hall):.

>"THAT is what makes you a sociopath."<

So.......Ad Hominem comments do nothing to bolster you twos credibility in any form of debate, so you would be wise to avoid them. Stick to the topic, you two. IS this one of those rules for everyone but yourselves that y'all are so quick to implement and hold everyone to but your hypocritical selves? I SAY YES.

DAve goe on ith:

>If you were more observant,

NOTE the uncalled fo dripping sarcasm and condescension....

>you would see that I am a licensed ham as >well as CB radio user.

And gosh darn , people better pay attention to him..........

>And In fact, I don't consider myself a member >of any group.

You're the only one. MOST See you as the lid you are.

>I am a "Radio Hobbiest". I play with whatever >radio bands are available to me. I don't look >down from my holy perch of hamdom to other >radio users. I interact with them.

Yuh!
Then do the exact opposite with:

>Hams frequently give out their phone numbers >or addresses on the air. >Unlike CB, there aren't a bunch of mentally >challenged people waiting to cause trouble >with this information.

Hahhhah.....all the personal information on this group has been fostered and seized upon by WA3moj, kc8ldo, n8wwm, and n3cvj...cbers don't give a damn about your hammie identity crisis issues....stop bringing your trash and personal problems to our doorstep.

4127. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:45:10 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D78F.5010800@cac.net>
Source record: 146654

Who cares? It's a fake callsign anyway.

-SSB

SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp) wrote:
> W7DVJ, you better go and suck yo momma's cock!!
>
> You must be a "good-buddy" if you's a-talkin' likes that to another man/boy.
>
> What happened to you at that truck-stop?
> Did one of them truckers take you into the showers, with the lights off?
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAA!!!
>
> Yeah, I saw your name on the walls while takin' a leak!
> What was your "good-buddy's" handle again?
>
> You better watch yo mouth when yous a-talkin to someone!
> Somebody gonna slap the taste out yo mouth!! Heheheheheh!!!
> Then you gonna feel something long go inside it!!
> Then before you can think---- **SPLOOGE***
> That cum gonna shoot off in yo mouth!!
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:boEJ9.466$KM.101642338@news.inreach.com...
>
>>"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
>>news:3df4c878_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
>>
>>>I ain't your "honey" and the 1st Amendment says I can say anything I
>>
> want,
>
>>>within reason & Biblical parameters. The probem is that those on the
>>>"trigger" usually get the WRONG man! Too bad you're on the left coast !
>>>Dave!
>>>
>>
>>I can call you honey, hubby, sweetums or whatever I want, so there!
>>
>>
>
>
>

4128. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:54:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021213085403.01846.00000038@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 146667

these radios look real neat with not much performance on sideband. you'd be
much better served with a yaesu ft840

>
>
>I'm looking to buy a new radio for christmas and am leaning toward an
>RCI 2995. I mostly spend my time on sideband.
>Can anyone give me pro's and cons on this brand and model in
>particular. Do they hold up well?
>
>Thanks in advance;
> jcbama
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4129. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:55:30 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9D9FA.1000803@cac.net>
Source record: 146655

Ok... "Readily Modify" a Ranger 5054 for use on CB... I DARE you.

-SSB

Reg1647 wrote:
> This is a joke. There is not a SO CALLED, FCC LEGAL HAM RADIO that cant be
> modified for cb or 11 meter, what ever you want to call it. The others you just
> mentioned are just simpler to do. Give me a break. LOL.

4130. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:57:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021213085718.01846.00000039@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 146668

when they come in there on almost all the channels

>27.495 upper sideband.

4131. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 13:59:05 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9DAD1.5000908@cac.net>
Source record: 146657

I wouldn't worry about W7DVJ.. If the callsign is fake (and it is)
then the person behind it most likely is as well. Perhaps N8 in
another disguise?

-SSB

SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp) wrote:
> W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!
>
> HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
> Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
> GO HOME!!!!
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com...
>
>>ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>>
>>
>>The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
>
> devices
>
>>listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur
>>transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB)
>>transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these
>
> devices
>
>>cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the
>>reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a
>>decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded
>>that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be
>
> approved
>
>>under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules
>
> including
>
>>the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J.
>>Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17,
>
> 1999).
>

>>Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require
>>FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the
>
> United
>
>>States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio
>
> Service
>
>>(CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and
>>other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often
>>referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter
>>band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for
>
> use
>
>>in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call
>>"10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and
>>imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be
>>activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB
>>frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a
>
> CB
>
>>transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
>>station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The
>
> Commission'
>
>>s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined
>
> that
>
>>the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are
>>intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur
>>service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
>
> frequencies.
>
>>This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper
>>plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple
>>means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
>>within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB
>>transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
>>importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.
>>
>>Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may
>>not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states:
>>"..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio
>>Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95,

>>Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC
>>88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to
>>explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and
>>amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of
>
> frequencies
>
>>allocated for amateur radio use.
>>
>>In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
>>determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the
>>rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not
>>permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted
>
> in
>
>>the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.
>>
>>In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
>>acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
>>Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
>>302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
>>violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary
>>forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
>>continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
>>equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in
>
> the
>
>>future.
>>
>>LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>>
>>ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>>
>>
>>
>>GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL,
>>DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>>
>>CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>>
>>MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>>
>>NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>>
>>PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600
>>
>>PRO STAR - model: 240
>>

>>RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970
>>
>>TEK - model: HR-3950
>>
>>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>>
>>SUPER STAR - model: 121
>>
>>For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
>>models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
>
> 7435
>
>>Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043
>>respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

4132. Re: Why CB radio won't work on Mars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 14:00:30 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9DB26.7000207@cac.net>
Source record: 146656

Heh

-SSB

Barry OGrady wrote:
> You can't get an earth connection.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

4133. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 14:21:28 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <I1mK9.271$mx.216202@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146669

DUH ! 108 inches = 9 feet

drc

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:atbuhl$f2c$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I don't know what the laws (if any) are here in MA now, but a girl I dated
> about 4 years ago had a 108" whip mounted dead center on the roof of a
late
> 1980s Chevy Blazer with at least a four inch lift kit. The bottom of the
> antenna must have been...oh...7 feet off the ground...all I know is that
ANY
> bridge we ever encountered, the darn thing would bang against the bridge
and
> then whip back and forth, sometimes hard enough to dent the roof, and
twice
> hard enough to smash the windshield...certainly there's a lesson to learn
> there.
>
>

4134. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 14:29:59 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <H9mK9.272$mx.214918@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146670

why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???

drc

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:l54K9.533$bX3.114587801@news.inreach.com...
>
> "SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" wrote in message
>
> > W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!
> >
> > HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
> > Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
> > GO HOME!!!
>
>
> It's not my list... It's QTH.COM's list dumbshit!
>
> http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
>
>

4135. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 15:15:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021213101514.13962.00000014@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 146671

because its a fake

>
>why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
>drc

4136. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 15:45:07 UTC
From: Noneaubusiness <nospam@none.com>
Message-ID: <7gnK9.3983$D%6.1051304031@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146672

I use one and get great performance out of it.

"Hambo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com10-106> wrote in message
news:20021213085403.01846.00000038@mb-fq.aol.com...
> these radios look real neat with not much performance on sideband. you'd
be
> much better served with a yaesu ft840
>
> >
> >
> >I'm looking to buy a new radio for christmas and am leaning toward an
> >RCI 2995. I mostly spend my time on sideband.
> >Can anyone give me pro's and cons on this brand and model in
> >particular. Do they hold up well?
> >
> >Thanks in advance;
> > jcbama
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

4137. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 15:48:47 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <oTqdnZd5tqDCnGegXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146673

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it.
> Kids
> > > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids.
> We've
> > > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get
> sued.
> > > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in
> years;
> > > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine
and
> I
> > > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems
whatever
> one
> > > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of
> it.
> > > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
> someone
> > > conducts himself anyways.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when
> asked.
> > > >
> >
> >
> > Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> > put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> > you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> > bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> > accout for YOUR offensive behavior.

>
>
> Back to a one man show, huh Doug?

Nah I am still here.
>
>

4138. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 15:52:10 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <oW2dnewoHdYWmWegXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146676

While he is at it he can try a RS 10 meter radio.

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DF9D9FA.1000803@cac.net...
> Ok... "Readily Modify" a Ranger 5054 for use on CB... I DARE you.
>
> -SSB
>
> Reg1647 wrote:
> > This is a joke. There is not a SO CALLED, FCC LEGAL HAM RADIO that cant
be
> > modified for cb or 11 meter, what ever you want to call it. The others
you just
> > mentioned are just simpler to do. Give me a break. LOL.
>

4139. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:01:11 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atd03o$133jo9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146674

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF92413.2AD17D6C@cwis.net...
>
>
> TooManyRadios,
> I tend to agree with you and your friend. And, as
> you can probably guess, cell-phone jammers are illegal.
> It's still possible to find them, unfortunately, I
> don't know exactly how.

If you search for "cell phone jammer" on the internet you'll find lots of
sites.

Tom

4140. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:02:23 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atd060$12vare$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146675

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> cell phones any way they wish.

Except yakking on it in a movie theater where I paid $9.00 to see a movie.

Tom

4141. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:02:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021213110245.13962.00000016@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 146677

you get CB type performance out of it. go with the ft840 and you can get professional comunications type performance for about the same price. theres a large difference.

>I use one and get great performance out of it.

4142. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:07:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atd0ga$12nm3a$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146678

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DF9D21F.629D@worldlynx.net...

> But the moral dilemma for me, was that while jamming cellphones may
> solve one problem, the interference it causes could block emergency or
> other important calls. So with that in mind, I never made the device.
> Think about these things before you decide to use a jammer.

In a restaurant or movie theater, you can always use a landline phone in case of an emergency or have to make an important call. Unfortunately, what some people consider "important" is calling friends to tell them about the movie they're watching.

Tom

4143. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:18:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atd14k$12tv8f$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146679

"news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:2cfK9.5005$ce5.300@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
>
> If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the message
> contains the word illegal.

And who else used to post the "illegal CB transceiver list" all the time?
Initials A.V., though not his real name.

> 1977 Monza Spyder

My brother had one. I think it was his first car.

> ILLEGAL... I'm still chuckling about it...

And as we've heard first-hand from the FCC, they are not illegal to own, nor
are they illegal to use by licensed hams on 10 meters.

You know, the whole discussion about "phony 10 meter radios" is pretty silly
when you come right down to it. The same could be said of 2 meter radios.
After all, most of them are single-band radios with extended coverage,
usually around 139-174 MHZ. Most of them can be easily modified to operate
over this range. In fact, I own two of them that can be, but aren't. I bet
when MURS takes off, people will be griping about all the "phony 2 meter
radios" being sold to people who just want to use them on the MURS freqs.
And the FCC will probably feel the need to put a ban on imports.

Tom

4144. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:38:14 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <IVoK9.610$O67.127673467@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146680

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message

> why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???

I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.

Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
the need for anonymity.

I assure you it's a real call, had it since 1967 and currently hold
a advanced class license active in SSTV and digital modes of
communication. Once in a blue moon I do a little ten meter stuff
when the band is active.

4145. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:43:04 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <s6oK9.4221$MV5.391404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146681

Ahhhhhhhhh!!!! secret agent man.....
"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:IVoK9.610$O67.127673467@news.inreach.com...
>
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
> > why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
> etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
> No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
> that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
> the need for anonymity.
>
>
>
> I assure you it's a real call, had it since 1967 and currently hold
> a advanced class license active in SSTV and digital modes of
> communication. Once in a blue moon I do a little ten meter stuff
> when the band is active.
>
>
>

4146. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:44:31 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <P7oK9.4224$MV5.391196@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146682

Yes, you date stupid women! :-)

>I don't know what the laws (if any) are here in MA now, but a girl I dated
>about 4 years ago had a 108" whip mounted dead center on the roof of a late
>1980s Chevy Blazer with at least a four inch lift kit. The bottom of the
>antenna must have been...oh...7 feet off the ground...all I know is that ANY
>bridge we ever encountered, the darn thing would bang against the bridge and
>then whip back and forth, sometimes hard enough to dent the roof, and twice
>hard enough to smash the windshield...certainly there's a lesson to learn
>there.

4147. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 16:46:36 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M9oK9.4226$MV5.391196@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146683

Thats what I was going to say Dave!

Dino

>That's a very logical, and sensible guideline. most big rigs have a
>height restriction of 13' 6", so that powerlines and bridges can be
>accomodated. If you keep to that height, you should be fine, unless you
>want to enter a parking garage.
>
>My truck has an 8' whip, mounted on the bed railing. I would assume that
>the bed railing is at least 4' in height, so that gives me a 12' antenna
>height, and I've not hit anything except some low hanging tree branches.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger

4148. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:06:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21609-3DFA137F-11@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146684

>That's a very logical, and sensible guideline. >most big rigs have a height restriction of 13' >6", so that powerlines and bridges can be >accomodated. If you keep to that height, you >should be fine, unless you want to enter a >parking garage.
>My truck has an 8' whip, mounted on the bed >railing. I would assume that the bed railing is >at least 4' in height, so that gives me a 12' >antenna height, and I've not hit anything >except some low hanging tree branches.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

When did you get rid of the car?
Still using what you and WA3MOJ referred to in the past as an illegal" radio or are you now using a legal radio with your illegal amp? Never mind.....

4149. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:22:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21608-3DFA175A-33@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146692

From: KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net (sideband)
I wouldn't worry about W7DVJ.. If the callsign is fake (and it is) then
the person behind it most likely is as well. Perhaps N8 in another
disguise?
-SSB

You have Dogie, Lelnad, and George posting in poorly choreographed
threads...getting their jollies playing their "who am I now"
game.....if you look close enough you will see each of their attempts
at pinching Dave on the bum, giving him "warm fuzzies" and telling him
how much they respect and value his opinion...they see the lies of the
Phelps, his hypocritical amp usage, his claim about a cber getting
popped for disorderly conduct, his "borrowed" college email addy,
etc....it's just too much..... his personal affliction with the
compulsion to lie rather than face the music forces their hand. Vermin
rally.

4150. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:29:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21608-3DFA1906-35@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146690

From: w7dvj@hotwax.com (W7DVJ)
" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear on QRZ
or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address, etc.,
etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing. No, I'm not
in law enforcement but work for a government agency that monitors
foreign communications related to terrorism, hence the need for
anonymity.
--
AHHAHAHAAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAAAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAH
AAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAAHHAHAHAHAAHAHAAHHAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHHAHAh
aHAHAHAHAHH!

4151. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:31:56 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <w5qcnbvW5IERhGegXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146685

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DF9DA9B.13C9@worldlynx.net...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > >Some people here have bad table manners.
> >
> > And you get it right back. If you have a problem with it, change your
> > behavior.
>
> I'm not the one who gave the smart assed answer. Pehaps you should save
> some of your vitriol for him.
>
> Why do you always turn every post into something about me? I've heard of
> obsessions before, but you?... I'm glad you don't live near me.

Dave, I tried having a conversation with twisty It automatically turned o
you and what you owned 7 years ago, this guy has a hot nut for you be
careful he may turn up in your area soon. He seems that obsessed with you.

4152. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:32:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21609-3DFA19B7-15@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146691

>10 short years ago, people didn't need to be >so tied to their phones.
I don't see what has >changed now. There is something to be said >for
the ability to "unplug".
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

And 7 short years ago you were claiming ownership of a Phelps.

4153. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:33:17 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <_8Scnfad39lAhGegXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 146686

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:ZHcK9.594$oj5.120339581@news.inreach.com...

> And you as well, a new insertion to the killfile....
>
> One by one, they all fall in, twit, twit, twit....

do us a favor and killfile everyone so you can talk to yourself then.

4154. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:37:36 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <Y72cnRd26t59h2egXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146687

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11276-3DF9D1BD-3@storefull-

>regarding something that has no bearing on >Cb
>is not Illegal and would belong in a amateur >ng.

>Yea,the entire group tried educating you on the matter of the
>Galaxy...

You call yourself the entire group? No one else but you is singing that
song.

4155. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:40:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21612-3DFA1B7B-1@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146696

But the moral dilemma for me, was that while jamming cellphones may solve one problem, the interference it causes could block emergency or other important calls. So with that in mind, I never made the device. Think about these things before you decide to use a jammer.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

--

How can one who self-contradicts himself in a seleçtive and malicious manner daily claim a sense of morality? One can't. Phelps forever!!!

Some of the most courteous operators I know are "Freebanders". They run power and illegal channels, in order to escape from the "riff-raff" on the regular channels, and for some increased range.
Dave Hall
N3CVJ

4156. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:43:13 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <7GednVLIYPWtgWegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146688

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:IVoK9.610$O67.127673467@news.inreach.com...
>
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
> > why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
> etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
> No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
> that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
> the need for anonymity.

That is absolute bullshit.

4157. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:44:42 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <waydnZqpq4kUgWegXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146689

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:atd14k$12tv8f$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:2cfK9.5005$ce5.300@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> >
> > If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the
message
> > contains the word illegal.
>
> And who else used to post the "illegal CB transceiver list" all the time?
> Initials A.V., though not his real name.

What is your proof of that Tom?

> And as we've heard first-hand from the FCC, they are not illegal to own,
nor
> are they illegal to use by licensed hams on 10 meters.

Can you tell me where it says that? Can you use a Yaseu that hasn't recieved
it's type certification yet?

>
> You know, the whole discussion about "phony 10 meter radios" is pretty
silly
> when you come right down to it. The same could be said of 2 meter radios.
> After all, most of them are single-band radios with extended coverage,
> usually around 139-174 MHZ. Most of them can be easily modified to
operate
> over this range. In fact, I own two of them that can be, but aren't. I
bet
> when MURS takes off, people will be griping about all the "phony 2 meter
> radios" being sold to people who just want to use them on the MURS freqs.
> And the FCC will probably feel the need to put a ban on imports.
>
> Tom
>
>

4158. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:48:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21609-3DFA1D74-20@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146695

From: timnebo@aol.com10-106 (Hambo Nebo)
when they come in there on almost all the channels

-
27.495 upper sideband.

--

Yea, but *I* was listening to 495 for a newsgroupie...was checkin' to
see if we could make contact.....when you taking your next ride? Shoot
me an email,,,,,I'll see if the richochet dish on my pals truck can
reach you...he's doing some really strange things with it lately...like
some direct talk......been accused of running all kinds of power...but
it just ain't so.....pull that sucker into the swamp with water half way
up the dubs and let 'er rip....well., a "little" power anyway. Rumors
concerning Leece-Nevilles are unfounded.

4159. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:50:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21609-3DFA1DF0-22@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146697

As for the antenna, well, a lot happens in 10 years, including lightning
strikes and ice storms. I wish I had *another* station master.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-
(giggle) Hokay, Davey!

4160. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 17:51:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <te7kvucdhmjbrfi1p5odrgtrk34q787hiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 146693

On 12 Dec 2002 12:44:00 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote:

>Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
>Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
>and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
>mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

I have a minivan, and have a K40 on top. The problem is not a problem, until you need to go to a bank drive-in or a parking garage. Then you have some height issues.

Vinnie S.

4161. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 18:07:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21608-3DFA21E1-44@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146694

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>Some people here have bad table manners.

And you get it right back. If you have a problem with it, change your behavior.

>I'm not the one who gave the smart assed >answer.

You are the one who came at me with your personal problems and I requested several times for you to remain topic or take it to email...you refusedand w youor chickenhawks hae come home to roost...get over yourself.

>Why do you always turn every post into >something about me? I've heard of obsessions >before, but you?...

I asked you the same thin several times, Davey-boy...you merely ignored the inquiry and continued yuor personal attack against me.....I have done nothing to you that you have not done to me first.....I even refrained from it or quite awhile, but you kept on and left me no choice but to show the world your word is pure shit.

>Seems that sometimes you can't ask a simple >question, without getting >a smart assed answer.

You simply ignore the questions.
Yea, you pretty much win the prize for ad hominem and off topic attacks.

>I'm sure history will bear out that I am rarely >the aggressor in these circumstances >regardless of what your obviously clouded >judgement may tell you.

That's what makes you so popular among the hammies, Davey-boy..your sparkling clarity of perception of the people who you feel will believe what you tell them and not what they see.

So N3cvj, did you begin obeying the law? When exactly was that again?
A better question would be are you comfortable being a federal criminal?
How can you operate that Davemade legally but a galaxy is illegal?

>Whoever said that running a galaxy wasn't >legal for a ham? A ham can run ANY radio

I kept trying to tell WA3MOJ that, but he defers.

>or amp, on their assigned frequencies, as long >as they pass minimum spectral purity specs. >Which for H.F. is -40 dbc, and for VHF and

>above is -60dbc.

You have claimed in the past that these amps are "basement" amps and have downed them many times and claimed those who use them are federal criminals. You also claimed you would post the information of how you made the Daevmade spectrally pure if asked by another besides myself. You have failed to hold your word yet, again.

>I can use a Dave Made LEGALLY on 10 >meters. You, however, cannot use a Dave >Made legally on 11.
> Simple as that.

That's all I wanted to see you say. You have no idea what I can use legally. You know only what I feed and permit you. Again, it is your arrogance that besieges you at very turn.

How come you invented this w6amp guy to talk to? "His" email defaults to yours....

>Now your really rolling your own again. You'd >better brush up on internet protocols, because >once again, you're showing that you don't >have the slightest clue. Ask Landshark, or one >of the other guys who knows a bit more about >this stuff to help you.

Don't worry...it was taken care of....but when you were asked if you STILL had the Phelps, why did you say "What Phelps?" and come back 2 days later and...never mind. Let's talk about the cber that was busted for disorderly...name...year...town..precinct..
anything at all to go on?
<g>

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

4162. Re: Indoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 18:15:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21609-3DFA23AA-26@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146699

You can get a nocode ticket for a few bucks and then you're reasonably
exempt from the restriction.
--
>That is not entirely correct.

You're too quick with the tongue before your mind has a chance to
process what you read.....of course it isn't "entirely"
correct,,...which is why I said "reasonably".
I don't mean to keep pissing on your leg, but you keep putting it out in
front of me with the intent to trip me.....take a deep breath, relax,
have a good weekend, wave a hand at us on the freeband instead of trying
to key on us, and try not to get too upset with those in the future that
may tend to have a different point of view or opinion than yours. It
doesn't make them a criminal, psycho, or anything else you have
mentioned and wandered way offf topic with. Try to focus on an issue
instead of a cartoon name on usenet and you will be much better off..oh
yea.....and treat those as you wish to be treated.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>N3CVJ

4163. Re: Question on new Galaxy radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 18:26:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21608-3DFA2647-47@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146698

WA3MOJ wrote:
>Dave, I tried having a conversation with twisty

No, you never tried having a conversation with anyone..but I'll give you another chance.......Hall just claimed it was perfectly legal for him to run any radio he wants on the ten meter band...that's waht I claimed...yet you say I am wrong........again, I ask you to please differentiate between the two....this is your chance for the "conversation" you appear to be aspiring for.

>It automatically turned o you and what you >owned 7 years ago, this guy has a hot nut for >you be careful he may turn up in your area >soon.

Let's put this into perspective, shall we? You, Lelnad, N8 and Hall have ALL used sexual and/or homosexual insults and off-color sexual comments.......your little clique of pledged vermin to rec.radio.cb has also demonstrated a profound preference for identifying and locating cbers.....yet, you accuse others of such........very classic textbook phobias....read up on it if you wish to know where most psychiatrists and psychologists claim this behavior originates. It ain't pretty.

>He seems that obsessed with you.

I have always called attention to untruths, and one by one, your little circle of chickenhawk matrimonials have accused me of focusing my energies or obsessing on them. It is good for your egos.

"Phelps Lover"
-

Some of the most courteous operators I know are "Freebanders". They run power and illegal channels, in order to escape from the "riff-raff" on the regular channels, and for some increased range. Dave Hall
N3CVJ

4164. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 18:28:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21608-3DFA26E7-48@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146700

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Runnin Man)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11276-3DF9D1BD-3@storefull-
regarding something that has no bearing on Cb is not Illegal and would
belong in a amateur
ng.

Yea,the entire group tried educating you on the matter of the Galaxy...

>You call yourself the entire group?

No, I have seen it said to you before when this discussion came up.

>No one else but you is singing that song.

Me.....as a cber.
N3CVJ..as a hammie.
Get your stories better choreographed.

4165. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:07:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GdqK9.8167$n12.4263@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146701

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:zq4K9.2295$MV5.241321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Care for some cheese with that? If they only reach 10 meters, even with
the
> band switches, then your position is leaky. If they require a modification
> to do something illegal, then it is the mod to complain about. The damn
> radio is only a piece of equipment. As to the implied ease to do the mod,
> that is a matter of conjecture , some can , some can't.

About "CB Style",
> you now want to control the style of peoples radios?

Only to the extend that for CB radios, "band" switches aren't necessary--nor
for dedicated 10 Meter radios either. For example, Cobra radios don't have
band switches nor do they have frequency displays; it isn't necessary. For
legal CB operation, all that is required is a channel
number. True 10 Meter radios have VFOs where twirling the dial displays an
infinite number of
frequencies within the band and, usually, a number of memories where certain
preferred frequencies
can be programmed for quick reference. The "style", if you will, is already
laid out in FCC rules; it
isn't up to me. The point is that those Galaxy and Connex radios, for
example, are designed, NOT
as *true* 10 Meter rigs, but to be marketed to CBers. Once again, IF the
users of such radios used them within the 40 channels ONLY, I don't believe
even FCC would go after them. But the
fact remains that, whatever "style" you want to call it, those radios are
facilitating illegal operations
within the ham bands by unlicensed operators, some of whom may not even be
aware that they
are eventually going to get into trouble; the rest (the militant illegals
who KNOW what they are doing and don't give a damn) deserve to be tarred and
feathered and run out of town on a rail, IMHO! LOL! Those of us licensed
for those bands, particularly the ones of us who care to preserve our bands
for future generations, are actively seeking to find ways of getting the
word
out to operators that they are not supposed to be there without license, and
also of punishing those
who think they have the "right" to operate a radio anyway and anyWHERE they
choose and to hell
with the licensed users from whom they usurp frequencies. It WILL come to
pass, BTW, and I
await that day with anticipation of snickering and laughing aloud at the
sorry idiots! THAT is why

hams are actively seeking to abate the sale and use of those radios--NOT
because of any hatred for
CB (at least among most of us)

Jerry
Why don't you take a
> nice long walk along the RR track, and think about this for a while.

PS. I spent my working career walking alongside railroad tracks. Why would
now be any different? It's something I was TRAINED (pardon the pun) to do.
<<g>>

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:m%3K9.28467$X%3.2720@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If
the
> > > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
> >
> >
> > This is purely conjecture, but the way I understand it is that it is
more
> > than the modifiable aspect
> > that makes these radios unapproved. Most all of these sets are
"CB-style"
> > in that they have incre-
> > mental "channel" indices as well as the frequency display; traditional
10
> > meter rigs have only the
> > frequency display and, sometimes, "memory" positions displayed in the
same
> > window. The other
> > thing that causes them to come under FCC scrutiny and be referred to as
> > "fake", are the BAND
> > switches. Reasoning is that if a radio is truly a 10 Meter radio, it
> should
> > cover that one band. Therefore, those A thru F "bands" indicate
something
> > other than what the radio is represented to be:
> > that is, a MULTI-band radio that will operate on more than the one band
> its
> > name refers to. These
> > radios, ergo, are NOT what they SAY they are. Yes, they will operate on
> 10
> > meters, but by having those switches for "them extree channels", they
> become
> > fraudulent. By the strictest legal def-
> > inition, the wording of the ban may not be tight enough, but that would
> easy

> > to remedy. Hollings-
> > worth, for example, is an attorney and, BTW, this wording thing HAS
> already
> > been brought to his
> > attention.
> >
> > Nobody is being fooled by the Galaxy and Connex radios. We all know
what
> > they "really" are (wink, wink), and that their marketing methods are a
> ploy
> > to get around bans on their importation.
> > I have said this before, but it bears repeating: IF these radios were
not
> > being used on illegal freq-
> > uencies and were not beeping and squeaking all over 10 meters, I don't
> think
> > anyone would even
> > CARE about them. No one would CARE if they put out 10 watts of dead key
> and
> > had 60 watts of
> > "swang". But Noooooooooo! Some users have to have theirs and everybody
> > else's, too.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
> what
> > > > radios
> > > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few
years
> > and
> > > > I
> > > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of
> them
> > > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that
> FCC
> > > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140
ham
> > rig
> > > > > can
> > > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing
two
> > > > > diodes.

> > > > >
> > > > > Tom
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
> > being
> > > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance
> and
> > > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
> > vague
> > > > about that.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4166. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:17:14 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <OerK9.623$sn7.128758822@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146704

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message

> do us a favor and killfile everyone so you can talk to yourself then.
>

Ah gee, did I hurt your feelings too? Don't bother responding either,
you've been added to the killfile too.

Out of sight, out of mind. One by one, they all go away.....

4167. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:17:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LmqK9.8215$n12.1231@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146702

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JR6K9.2390$j_4.265437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So according to you, "Hams" cannot want echo / roger beep? I hate to burst
> your bubble, but, some hams have and use those radios. I have no idea how
> many, and it does not matter.
> Where did you see one advertised as a "PHONY 10 METER RADIO , FOR CB! ????

The only people I see flocking to truck stops are truck drivers AKA CB
operators. I hardly ever
see a multitude of hams gathered there--or at a nearby CB shop. And guess
what. Everytime I have seen those "export" radios, it was in a Flying J or
a Pilot Truck stop---OR in a hole-in-the-wall CB "shop". You know: the
ones that do those $29.95 "Peak and Tune" jobs, or add those
"connex" boards and echo, or beeps and squeaks. The Amateur retailers I
deal with don't seem to
ever HAVE those "export" radios--only Icom, Kenwood, Yaesu, and accessories
related to those
brands. A few DO sell Ranger brands. None of these have those banal beeps
and squeaks nor
idiotic "talkback". If a radio is talking back in the speaker, it usually
means that there is RF in the
shack. I sure don't want it doing that on purpose! <<g>>

Jerry

> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:FcmcndTZ9_SeamWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If
the
> > > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
> >
> >
> > No they are being sold as phony 10 meter radios to Cbers , easily
modified
> > to work on 11 meters, they are not for sale to Hams echo,roger beeps,
> > channel selector these are not amateur radios. Cry all you want the
> intended
> > market is not amatuer radio they would need to be type certified.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message

> > > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
> what
> > > > radios
> > > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few
years
> > and
> > > > I
> > > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of
> them
> > > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that
> FCC
> > > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140
ham
> > rig
> > > > > can
> > > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing
two
> > > > diodes.
> > > > >
> > > > > Tom
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
> > being
> > > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance
> and
> > > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
> > vague
> > > > about that.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4168. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:36:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atdco6$124cs4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146703

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:IVoK9.610$O67.127673467@news.inreach.com...
>
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
> > why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors.

Give me a freakin' break! This is so lame I hope you don't expect anyone to
believe it.

Tom

4169. Re: The real deal on N8WWM, AKA "The White Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:48:48 UTC
From: radiofuns <fartradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa3a8d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 146706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:ql5jvuga4oalthtaoklb8ggjkci2ta96lv@4ax.com...

> he he ..... LOL

I could not agree more. These bozos need
to move in an set up shop alongside 3.865

4170. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:51:30 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6TqK9.3726$j_4.417829@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146705

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:GdqK9.8167$n12.4263@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:zq4K9.2295$MV5.241321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Care for some cheese with that? If they only reach 10 meters, even with
> the
> > band switches, then your position is leaky. If they require a
modification
> > to do something illegal, then it is the mod to complain about. The damn
> > radio is only a piece of equipment. As to the implied ease to do the
mod,
> > that is a matter of conjecture , some can , some can't.
>
> About "CB Style",
> > you now want to control the style of peoples radios?
>
> Only to the extend that for CB radios, "band" switches aren't
necessary--nor
> for dedicated 10 Meter radios either.
So what?
For example, Cobra radios don't have
> band switches nor do they have frequency displays; it isn't necessary.
So what???
For
> legal CB operation, all that is required is a channel
> number. True
In your not humble opinion
10 Meter radios have VFOs where twirling the dial displays an
> infinite number of
> frequencies within the band and, usually, a number of memories where
certain
> preferred frequencies
> can be programmed for quick reference. The "style", if you will, is
already
> laid out in FCC rules;
Where does the FCC say that any radio must be a certan "style"?
it
> isn't up to me. The point is that those Galaxy and Connex radios, for
> example, are designed, NOT
> as *true* 10 Meter rigs, but to be marketed to CBers. Once again, IF the
> users of such radios used them within the 40 channels ONLY, I don't
believe
> even FCC would go after them. But the

> fact remains that, whatever "style" you want to call it, those radios are
> facilitating illegal operations
> within the ham bands by unlicensed operators, some of whom may not even be
> aware that they
> are eventually going to get into trouble; the rest (the militant illegals
> who KNOW what they are doing and don't give a damn) deserve to be tarred
and
> feathered and run out of town on a rail, IMHO! LOL! Those of us licensed
> for those bands, particularly the ones of us who care to preserve our
bands
> for future generations, are actively seeking to find ways of getting the
> word
> out to operators that they are not supposed to be there without license,
and
> also of punishing
Ahhhhh! you are into "punishment huh????

those
> who think they have the "right" to operate a radio anyway and anyWHERE
they
> choose and to hell
> with the licensed users from whom they usurp frequencies. It WILL
In your dreams.
come to
> pass, BTW, and I
> await that day with anticipation of snickering and laughing aloud at the
> sorry idiots! THAT is why
>
some old tired, brain dead, whiney, hams are
actively seeking to abate the sale and use of those radios--NOT
> because of any hatred for
> CB (at least among most of us)
>
>
>
> Jerry
> Why don't you take a
> > nice long walk along the RR track, and think about this for a while.
>
> PS. I spent my working career walking alongside railroad tracks. Why
would
> now be any different? It's something I was TRAINED (pardon the pun) to do.

We know that you were a choo choo man. Take a long walk anywhere.
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:m%3K9.28467$X%3.2720@news.bellsouth.net...

> > >
> > > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If
> the
> > > > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
> > >
> > >
> > > This is purely conjecture, but the way I understand it is that it is
> more
> > > than the modifiable aspect
> > > that makes these radios unapproved. Most all of these sets are
> "CB-style"
> > > in that they have incre-
> > > mental "channel" indices as well as the frequency display; traditional
> 10
> > > meter rigs have only the
> > > frequency display and, sometimes, "memory" positions displayed in the
> same
> > > window. The other
> > > thing that causes them to come under FCC scrutiny and be referred to
as
> > > "fake", are the BAND
> > > switches. Reasoning is that if a radio is truly a 10 Meter radio, it
> > should
> > > cover that one band. Therefore, those A thru F "bands" indicate
> something
> > > other than what the radio is represented to be:
> > > that is, a MULTI-band radio that will operate on more than the one
band
> > its
> > > name refers to. These
> > > radios, ergo, are NOT what they SAY they are. Yes, they will operate
on
> > 10
> > > meters, but by having those switches for "them extree channels", they
> > become
> > > fraudulent. By the strictest legal def-
> > > inition, the wording of the ban may not be tight enough, but that
would
> > easy
> > > to remedy. Hollings-
> > > worth, for example, is an attorney and, BTW, this wording thing HAS
> > already
> > > been brought to his
> > > attention.
> > >
> > > Nobody is being fooled by the Galaxy and Connex radios. We all know
> what
> > > they "really" are (wink, wink), and that their marketing methods are a

> > ploy
> > > to get around bans on their importation.
> > > I have said this before, but it bears repeating: IF these radios were
> not
> > > being used on illegal freq-
> > > uencies and were not beeping and squeaking all over 10 meters, I don't
> > think
> > > anyone would even
> > > CARE about them. No one would CARE if they put out 10 watts of dead
key
> > and
> > > had 60 watts of
> > > "swang". But Noooooooooo! Some users have to have theirs and
everybody
> > > else's, too.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
> > what
> > > > > radios
> > > > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few
> years
> > > and
> > > > > I
> > > > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid
of
> > them
> > > > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with
that
> > FCC
> > > > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can
be
> > > > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140
> ham
> > > rig
> > > > > can
> > > > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing
> two
> > > > > diodes.
> > > > > > > Tom
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is
not
> > > being
> > > > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type
aceptance
> > and
> > > > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is
so
> > > vague
> > > > > about that.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02
>
>
>

4171. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 19:57:14 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uYqK9.3732$j_4.418395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146707

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:LmqK9.8215$n12.1231@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:JR6K9.2390$j_4.265437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So according to you, "Hams" cannot want echo / roger beep? I hate to
burst
> > your bubble, but, some hams have and use those radios. I have no idea
how
> > many, and it does not matter.
> > Where did you see one advertised as a "PHONY 10 METER RADIO , FOR CB!
????
>
>
> The only people I see flocking to truck stops are truck drivers
Some of which are hams.
AKA CB
> operators. I hardly ever
> see a multitude of hams gathered there--or at a nearby CB shop.
So?????????
And guess
> what. Everytime I have seen those "export" radios, it was in a Flying J
or
> a Pilot Truck stop---OR in a hole-in-the-wall CB "shop".
So now it's about how hammie a shop is??????????????????????????

You know: the
> ones that do those $29.95 "Peak and Tune" jobs, or add those
> "connex" boards and echo, or beeps and squeaks.
Some people, hams, like those things.
The Amateur retailers I
> deal with don't seem to
> ever HAVE those "export" radios--only Icom, Kenwood, Yaesu, and
accessories
> related to those
> brands. A few DO sell Ranger brands.
So you have limited sorces? So???????
None of these have those banal beeps
> and squeaks nor
> idiotic "talkback". If a radio is talking back in the speaker, it usually
> means that there is RF in the
> shack. Unless the radio was set up to do so.
I sure don't want it doing that on purpose!
So do what YOU want.

<<g>>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > news:FcmcndTZ9_SeamWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified. If
> the
> > > > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
> > >
> > >
> > > No they are being sold as phony 10 meter radios to Cbers , easily
> modified
> > > to work on 11 meters, they are not for sale to Hams echo,roger beeps,
> > > channel selector these are not amateur radios. Cry all you want the
> > intended
> > > market is not amatuer radio they would need to be type certified.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate
> > what
> > > > > radios
> > > > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few
> years
> > > and
> > > > > I
> > > > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid
of
> > them
> > > > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with
that
> > FCC
> > > > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can
be
> > > > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140
> ham
> > > rig
> > > > > can
> > > > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing

> two
> > > > > diodes.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tom
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is
not
> > > being
> > > > > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > > > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type
aceptance
> > and
> > > > > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is
so
> > > vague
> > > > > > about that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02
>
>
>

4172. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 20:43:54 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <eErK9.428$mx.249153@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146708

and of course you advertise this on a news group..... sure, you
bet....

k5drc

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:IVoK9.610$O67.127673467@news.inreach.com...
>
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
> > why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
> etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
> No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
> that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
> the need for anonymity.
>
>
>
> I assure you it's a real call, had it since 1967 and currently hold
> a advanced class license active in SSTV and digital modes of
> communication. Once in a blue moon I do a little ten meter stuff
> when the band is active.
>
>

4173. Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 22:13:08 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212131413.64a17d29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146709

I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this setup. Now my mobile uniden pro 510xl 7watt I think and a 38" whip antenna. Range for this is about 2 to 4 miles and what should I be getting from my mobile setup. I live in Houston Tx on the south west side.

4174. Re: Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 22:59:42 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFA665E.38AB2E44@listed.here>
Source record: 146710

DJboutit wrote:
>
> I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I
> think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this
> setup. Now my mobile uniden pro 510xl 7watt I think and a 38" whip
> antenna. Range for this is about 2 to 4 miles and what should I be
> getting from my mobile setup. I live in Houston Tx on the south west
> side.

Get off of 19 in the daytime.

You may have a bad coax connector/connection.

4175. FT 920 MFJ Versa Tuner 5 For trade and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:04:55 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFA675F.4000809@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,equipment

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. I also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner.
They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000..
I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4176. Re: Midland 79-893 repair info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:18:32 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <cVtK9.19244$a8.15717@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 146712

Hi Mark,
Check to be sure you have audio at the wiper of the mic gain pot (VR416) then check C-84 (10 UF Electrolytic) at the base of Mic amp TR15 (2SC945AQ) Then
check C-601 (10 UF Electrolytic) to base of AF amp (TR601) (2SC945AQ) Then C-603 (10 UF Electrolytic), D-601, D-602 and C-605 (10 UF Electrolytic) to base of AF amp TR602 (2SC945AQ) then C-606 (10 UF Electrolytic). That is basically the audio string for the mic audio...
Mike

4177. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:26:30 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFA6CA6.E5F4ED85@listed.here>
Source record: 146713

W7DVJ wrote:
>
> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
>
> > do us a favor and killfile everyone so you can talk to yourself then.
> >
>
> Ah gee, did I hurt your feelings too? Don't bother responding either,
> you've been added to the killfile too.
>
> Out of sight, out of mind. One by one, they all go away.....

Until he changes his NIC about every 6.5 hours.

4178. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:35:22 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFA6EBA.B42CB62@listed.here>
Source record: 146714

Noneaubusiness wrote:
>
> I use one and get great performance out of it.

If you ever used a real radio, you would understand. For a few hundred
more then what you spent, you could have been there.

> "Hambo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com10-106> wrote in message
> news:20021213085403.01846.00000038@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > these radios look real neat with not much performance on sideband. you'd
> be
> > much better served with a yaesu ft840
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >I'm looking to buy a new radio for christmas and am leaning toward an
> > >RCI 2995. I mostly spend my time on sideband.
> > >Can anyone give me pro's and cons on this brand and model in
> > >particular. Do they hold up well?
> > >
> > >Thanks in advance;
> > > jcbama
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4179. Re: One for Dave!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:42:59 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <atek1rsfm1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146798

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3df7edcd_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> He says IF you LOVE me, KEEP MY commandments. Wasting peoples time and
> making them MAD because you're in the way illegally isn't what he meant!

It was a joke, Dave.
Well, I saw the funny side of it.

A few years back, the house I lived in was owned by a church. One day, the Pastor was outside working on his vehicle. As I happened to be making a "cuppa", I decided to offer him one.
So I went outside and said, "more tea, vicar?".

Maybe you need to be British to get the humour of that one... but he had a good laugh at it.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4180. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-13 23:43:05 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <atek2rsfm3@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146799

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021212204603.20621.00000024@mb-mh.aol.com...
> and wait until you find a few low branches...you will rethink the height
idea
> and compromise!
> 333 Springfield MO

Then there is the wind and air resistance to consider... if you are thinking
really big (I know what you Americans are like).

I saw a small vehicle (UK cars are small) with an antenna on it that must
have been over 20' to the top.
It was on a telescopic pole, with controls inside the vehicle. The pole
went through the roof and was fixed to the floor inside.

Great idea for DXing from the hills (watch for winds), but it would have
been illegal to drive with it up.
Someone I knew had the base of a 1/2 wave antenna bolted to the rear of his
van... the rest went in when DXing on the hills.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4181. Re: Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 00:15:25 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xKuK9.341220$NH2.23904@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 146715

Are you speaking of "daytime groundwave" range, with the band open etc??? I can see why your range would be so limited. Try it late at night. You should see 30 miles easily, if the terrain down there is fairly flat. I assume around Houston it is pretty flat? Good luck.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0212131413.64a17d29@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I
> think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this
> setup. Now my mobile uniden pro 510xl 7watt I think and a 38" whip
> antenna. Range for this is about 2 to 4 miles and what should I be
> getting from my mobile setup. I live in Houston Tx on the south west
> side.

4182. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 00:42:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvkvk1t1it408a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146716

A cell phone **is** after all, a radio. I was hoping to point out that this newsgroup is about free use anywhere at anytime of radios. So, I did find the original post amusing. What's it gonna be? Is someone suggesting restrictions on a fancy hand-held radio?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it.
> Kids
> > > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids.
> We've
> > > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get
> sued.
> > > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in
> years;
> > > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine
and
> I
> > > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems
whatever
> one
> > > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of
> it.
> > > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
> someone
> > > conducts himself anyways.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when
> asked.
> > > >

> >
> >
> > Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> > put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> > you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> > bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> > accout for YOUR offensive behavior.
>
>
> Back to a one man show, huh Doug?
>
>

4183. Re: Power drops - square of the distance - Is this true and what does it mean.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 00:44:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvkvo6r46v6oe9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146717

Doc, at one to four feet, you are in the near field of the antenna. You'll have to move farther away before the e and h fields assume their normal relationship in free space (377 ohms or so ..). At that point, the relationship of power to distance should become apparent.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DF9C787.D1116CB4@cwis.net...
> Tom,
> You heard right, the power diminishes with the square of
> the distance. But at 1, 2, or 4 feet, you would need a very
> sensitive meter to measure the decrease in power. This also
> is usually measured from the other end, the receiver. So,
> considering what most people have to measure power with, there
> would appear to be no changes at 1, 2, or 4 feet.
> 'Doc

4184. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 00:47:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvkvskc7e7al51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146718

Nope, it is a radio. You conveniently forget that a cell phone is a radio. In the same manner that some feel it is ok to infringe upon 10 meters, the MURS users may feel it is ok to infringe on other channels (where users PAY for their license), I see a problem with complaining about the radio ... er, cell phone. Free country ... right?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:atd060$12vare$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> > This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use
their
> > cell phones any way they wish.
>
> Except yakking on it in a movie theater where I paid $9.00 to see a movie.
>
> Tom
>
>

4185. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:06:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvl10cbkuna5d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146720

Yes, Dave - and I've had to get a bit more specific on the subject since it seems to escape most folks. A cell phone is a radio. Now, it seems some folks believe it is their right to infringe on 10 meters, VHF and UHF business band (where folks paid for their license) - and now cry because someone with a radio is bothering them when they paid $9.00 for a movie ticket. My point is that I don't want to hear the crying because someone else is now exercising 'their' right and have no care in the world about anyone else. Everyone has a photographic memory - it would appear that some just don't have any film ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DF9D0AD.496@worldlynx.net...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> > cell phones any way they wish.
>
> This is exactly the type of mentality that causes these kinds of
> problems in the first place. Yes, this is (supposedly) a free country,
> and yes, you can (within certain limits) do what you want. But there is
> such a thing as courtesy, and consideration for the rights of other
> people. The fact that many of these "cell phone geeks" are oblivious to,
> or are indifferent to, the effect that their normally inane cell phone
> blabbering, has on the other people in close proximity to them,
> underscores the depth of ignorance that many members of our society
> have.
>
> Here's an interesting business venture for an enterprising individual:
> Designing RF proof rooms, that can be constructed in places like
> restaurants, churches and theatres, where cell phone use is most
> definitely frowned upon. That way, those lacking in basic courtesy,
> would be unable to disturb anyone else.
>
> 10 short years ago, people didn't need to be so tied to their phones. I
> don't see what has changed now. There is something to be said for the
> ability to "unplug".
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

>

4186. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:10:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvl17qr5660p94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146721

Good point, Twist. I wonder how many folks that are now crying over this cell phone (radio) thread also advocate taking over frequencies they aren't authorized for. Yes, nothing like self-contradiction in a selective and malicious manner .... I'm having a ball reading the whole thread! All of a sudden, a lot of people seem to feel there should be rules on the use of a radio (cell phone). Fascinating.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21612-3DFA1B7B-1@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
But the moral dilemma for me, was that while jamming cellphones may solve one problem, the interference it causes could block emergency or other important calls. So with that in mind, I never made the device. Think about these things before you decide to use a jammer.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

--

How can one who self-contradicts himself in a sele&#65533;tive and malicious manner daily claim a sense of morality? One can't. Phelps forever!!!

Some of the most courteous operators I know are "Freebanders". They run power and illegal channels, in order to escape from the "riff-raff" on the regular channels, and for some increased range.
Dave Hall
N3CVJ

4187. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:15:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hrvK9.2330$8d6.961@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146722

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6TqK9.3726$j_4.417829@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:GdqK9.8167$n12.4263@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:zq4K9.2295$MV5.241321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Care for some cheese with that? If they only reach 10 meters, even
with
> > the
> > > band switches, then your position is leaky. If they require a
> modification
> > > to do something illegal, then it is the mod to complain about. The
damn
> > > radio is only a piece of equipment. As to the implied ease to do the
> mod,
> > > that is a matter of conjecture , some can , some can't.
> >
> > About "CB Style",
> > > you now want to control the style of peoples radios?
> >
> > Only to the extend that for CB radios, "band" switches aren't
> necessary--nor
> > for dedicated 10 Meter radios either.
> So what?
> For example, Cobra radios don't have
> > band switches nor do they have frequency displays; it isn't necessary.
> So what???
> For
> > legal CB operation, all that is required is a channel
> > number. True
> In your not humble opinion
> 10 Meter radios have VFOs where twirling the dial displays an
> > infinite number of
> > frequencies within the band and, usually, a number of memories where
> certain
> > preferred frequencies
> > can be programmed for quick reference. The "style", if you will, is
> already
> > laid out in FCC rules;
> Where does the FCC say that any radio must be a certan "style"?
> it
> > isn't up to me. The point is that those Galaxy and Connex radios, for

> > example, are designed, NOT
> > as *true* 10 Meter rigs, but to be marketed to CBers. Once again, IF
the
> > users of such radios used them within the 40 channels ONLY, I don't
> believe
> > even FCC would go after them. But the
> > fact remains that, whatever "style" you want to call it, those radios
are
> > facilitating illegal operations
> > within the ham bands by unlicensed operators, some of whom may not even
be
> > aware that they
> > are eventually going to get into trouble; the rest (the militant
illegals
> > who KNOW what they are doing and don't give a damn) deserve to be tarred
> and
> > feathered and run out of town on a rail, IMHO! LOL! Those of us
licensed
> > for those bands, particularly the ones of us who care to preserve our
> bands
> > for future generations, are actively seeking to find ways of getting the
> > word
> > out to operators that they are not supposed to be there without license,
> and
> > also of punishing
> Ahhhhh! you are into "punishment huh????
>
> those
> > who think they have the "right" to operate a radio anyway and anyWHERE
> they
> > choose and to hell
> > with the licensed users from whom they usurp frequencies. It WILL

> In your dreams.

You might've been right IF those radios were being used within the 40
channels--or even on what
so many call the "freeband". But if you think that unlicensed operation is
going to be tolerated on
LICENSE-REQUIRED bands, then YOU are dreaming. Believe what you want, but
those importers and truckers both are in for a nasty surprise.

J

> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4188. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:25:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XAvK9.2385$8d6.1183@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146725

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:uYqK9.3732$j_4.418395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LmqK9.8215$n12.1231@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:JR6K9.2390$j_4.265437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > So according to you, "Hams" cannot want echo / roger beep? I hate to
> burst
> > > your bubble, but, some hams have and use those radios. I have no idea
> how
> > > many, and it does not matter.
> > > Where did you see one advertised as a "PHONY 10 METER RADIO , FOR CB!
> ????
> >
> >
> > The only people I see flocking to truck stops are truck drivers
> Some of which are hams.
> AKA CB
> > operators. I hardly ever
> > see a multitude of hams gathered there--or at a nearby CB shop.
> So?????????
> And guess
> > what. Everytime I have seen those "export" radios, it was in a Flying J
> or
> > a Pilot Truck stop---OR in a hole-in-the-wall CB "shop".
> So now it's about how hammie a shop is??????????????????????

No, it's about clientele. Many products have a target market. The target
market for Galaxy and
Connex "reddios" is T R U C K E R S. So they are S O L D in truck stops,
not at Amateur Electronic Supply, or Ham Radio Outlet, or Communications
Headquarters, or R & L Electronics,
and a multitude of other retailers who target HAMS, not CBers or truckers.
You find those radios
in question at Flying J or Pilot or those little CB shops stuck off in a
corner of the truck stop lot
where you will find truckers. On the 'net, you find those radios with the
rebel flags on the face , et al, at dealers who cater to CBers--like
Pacetronics, or Copper, or H & Y. In spite of your efforts
to dilute the market for such radios, they are, indeed, targeted at CBers
and truck drivers. That shouldn't be hard to grasp.

J

\

>
> You know: the
> > ones that do those $29.95 "Peak and Tune" jobs, or add those
> > "connex" boards and echo, or beeps and squeaks.
> Some people, hams, like those things.
> The Amateur retailers I
> > deal with don't seem to
> > ever HAVE those "export" radios--only Icom, Kenwood, Yaesu, and
> accessories
> > related to those
> > brands. A few DO sell Ranger brands.
> So you have limited sorces? So???????
> None of these have those banal beeps
> > and squeaks nor
> > idiotic "talkback". If a radio is talking back in the speaker, it
usually
> > means that there is RF in the
> > shack. Unless the radio was set up to do so.
> I sure don't want it doing that on purpose!
> So do what YOU want.
> <<g>>
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > news:FcmcndTZ9_SeamWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:V82K9.2097$MV5.225893@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > Seems that the FCC has banned them because they can be modified.
If
> > the
> > > > > damn thing will work on 10, how, exactly, are they "fake"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No they are being sold as phony 10 meter radios to Cbers , easily
> > modified
> > > > to work on 11 meters, they are not for sale to Hams echo,roger
beeps,
> > > > channel selector these are not amateur radios. Cry all you want the
> > > intended
> > > > market is not amatuer radio they would need to be type certified.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > > > news:24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com...

> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > > > > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to
regulate
> > > what
> > > > > > radios
> > > > > > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few
> > years
> > > > and
> > > > > > I
> > > > > > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid
> of
> > > them
> > > > > > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with
> that
> > > FCC
> > > > > > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio
can
> be
> > > > > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood
TS-140
> > ham
> > > > > rig
> > > > > > > can
> > > > > > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by
removing
> > two
> > > > > > diodes.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tom
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is
> not
> > > > > being
> > > > > > > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > > > > > > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type
> aceptance
> > > and
> > > > > > > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What
is
> so
> > > > > vague
> > > > > > > about that.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4189. Tristar 848 HELP Lots of Accessories NEED HELP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:40:51 UTC
From: tech007@blazemail.com
Message-ID: <8e2d5f6d.0212131740.15353950@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146726

Hello All!

I recently received several pieces of CB equipment as an heritage from a deceased person. I REALLY don't know a lot about CB equipment but I have SOME electronics knowledge. First, let me tell you all this stuff seems old, I have a Tristar 848 CB and SEVERAL other items such as power supplys and lots of little electronic boxes that I really don't know what they're for. There's nothing written on these boxes except the brand and model# and sometimes nothing. Brands are Zetagi, Elp, Monacor, Rama (Power Reducer, it's written) etc... Anyone knows what this stuff is all about? (seems that everything is for the antenna signal though...they all have antenna in and out and 13.8V power wires).

My question is, can someone help me with that stuff? Is there any existing webpages that could give me instructions on how to plug the whole thing? I just need a good introduction to CBing and need to know what are these boxes and stuff. I want to plug at least the transceiver. On the back of the CB there's a power input but it has 2 pins (????) and it's written 13.8 VDC, I assume it's for a car yes? but why 2 pins???

Any help would be greatly appreciated!
Thank you!
Matt

4190. Real deal on RugerP85, AKA "The any color at all Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:41:52 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212131741.48859b8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Mon, 9 Dec 2002 01:40:01 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time) "skyhawk",
after having his auction ratted out, <sky444@abs.adelphia.net> whined
like the impotent sissy boy he is...:

yea whatever dipshit....you just money away from my kids
Christmas,,,,,the only reason I was selling this as a package and
cheap I might add so it would sell fast and I could have that cash for
Christmas.....Happy Now asshole.....let me guess now your gonna call
the FBI....we went from Narcing on eBay to Adelphia......wow aren't
you just to special.....please refrain from sending me anymore
email as you are doing nothing but pissing me off more and
more.....and you might think that's funny but you know what it
really isn't....now I'm gonna have to figure out how to sell that
somewhere else quickly to get my damn money for Christmas for my kids
stuff.....this is how the not so rich live....pretty isn't
it...someone like you comes along playing God and they fuck it all
up.....thank you so much ,it really is appreciated

My usual type of totally accurate and completely moral reply"

Hey,
Have fun yourself. You can tell anyone and everyone...They already
know...Anti-Boys been rattin out scumbags like you a long time.
You were just another notch on my holster. As far as being an asshole
goes, I consider it a compliment to see you call me that. If a
criminal hates me I am likely doing something right.

You are really stupid. You think I CARE if you TELL ABOUT ME? On the
contrary. If I did I would not have emailed you to letya know.

Do your worst, buttlick...

I think I will report your illegal business transaction to adelphia.
Since you like to call names so much you can go there and call them
names after your access gets pulled.

You are an impotent shitball and a little boy in a man's world. Take a
hike, sonny.

4191. Ruger P85's phone number...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:45:09 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212131745.71eab84c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146728

One of you keyclowns should call him. Maybe you can buy his CB junk. I ratted him out to ebay and he can't sell it there.

Buy now so his kids can have Christmas. 207-873-7746

4192. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:47:28 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212131747.345d20c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146729

Gayboy criminal keyclowns like twithead and no one else.

4193. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 01:53:20 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212131753.8aa2fc3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146730

twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) femininely meweled in message
news:<21609-3DFA1D74-20@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

27.495 upper sideband. It is the main gayboy contact channel.

Yea, but *I* was listening to 495 for a newsgroupie...was checkin' to
see if we could make contact in a truckstop toilet somewhere.....when
you taking your next ride on your home boys bootie? Shoot
me an email,,,,,I'll see if the back of my pals truck can
be used as a site to film gay porno with you & me as stars...he's
doing some really strange things with it lately...like
some beastial type things, real fun to watch......been accused of
running all kinds of gay hoes...but
it just ain't so.....pull that sucker into the swamp with water half
way
up the dubs and climb in back and let the pounding begin. ....well., a
"little" pounding anyway. Rumors
concerning me becoming hetero are unfounded.

4194. I sell N8 approved 6th plank commy cell jammerz!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:11:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa9346_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146731

I thought this was the land of the fee and the home of da SLAVE ! IF only CB'ers a& Hambos would have the problems of this woman, then we WOULD have something to CRY about! She IS a WIMP who should confront the cell phone user WHEN she gets peed off ! RIGHT THEN ! Then she WOOD be da woman! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use their
> cell phones any way they wish.
>
> Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
>
> "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio
> expert or
> > technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people
> making
> > nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:
> >
> >
> > "I just came from having lunch with friends at (a rather expensive
> restaurant.)
> > Everything was fine until a cellphone started ringing at the table next
> to
> > ours. The guy answered and proceeded to engage in a loud argument with
> his
> > wife/girlfriend/lover. This went on for over 20 minutes! This
> inconsiderate
> > S.O.B. stayed at his table for the entire time. He made no effort to go
> to the
> > lobby or outside and finish his call, despite the fact that he had
> finished his
> > meal and his eating companion was waiting for him to get off the phone
so
> they
> > could leave!
> >
> > Ove the past few months, I have been interrupted by these selfish slobs
at

> > movie theaters, restaurants, even at church! A cellphone rings during
the
> > middle of the sermon and it's owner takes the call! Stays right there
in
> the
> > church pew and talks for several minutes, conveniently ignoring the
angry
> > glances of both pastor and parishoners. If these were emergency calls,
I
> could
> > understand. But in each instance, these were simply routine telephone
> > conversations that could have been made at another time and place. Due
to
> the
> > loud and boisterous nature of those engaged in the calls, I couldn't
help
> but
> > to hear all the fine details of their conversations.
> >
> > I realize modern-day America is a society of "me, me, me, and the hell
> with
> > everybody else." I also realize this type of behavior is only going to
> get
> > worse, not better. But I am damn sick and tired of having my outings
> > compromised by these people. When I pay money to see a movie or eat at
a
> fine
> > restaurant, I have the right to enjoy myself and not be constantly
> bothered by
> > some jerk talking on a cellphone. Asking the offending party to "please
> take
> > your call somewhere else" is a waste of time. These people simply have
no
> > regard for common courtesy or public decency. If I'm going to maintain
my
> right
> > to peace-and-quiet, I'll have to take matters into my own hands.
> >
> > Is there any kind of device I could buy which would allow me to shut off
> these
> > phones? Someone at work told me that in Japan, they have "cellphone
> jammers"
> > which cut off all conversations and keep phones from ringing within
about
> a
> > 50-foot radius. Have you ever heard of these? If so, where can I get
> one?
> > Thanks. I knew that if anyone knew, you would."
> >
> >

> > I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten
> completely
> > out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly
> > appreciated.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4195. Hambo takes EVERY opportunity to bash CB'ers !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:13:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa93b3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146732

Heaven WILL ask YOU to account for your enforcment of Plank # 6, which is UNBiblical!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it. Kids
> > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids. We've
> > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get sued.
> > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in years;
> > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine and I
> > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems whatever one
> > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end of it.
> > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how someone
> > conducts himself anyways.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so when asked.
> > >
>
>
> Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> accout for YOUR offensive behavior.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4196. No mo radios !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:15:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa943b_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146733

That's what the commy insurance industry wants to do ! YOU is BAD people!
Causing accidents wit yo cars pokin' & tawlkin' on da celly phoney! When
will da commies SHUT UP ?!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uvkvk1t1it408a@corp.supernews.com...
> A cell phone **is** after all, a radio. I was hoping to point out that
this
> newsgroup is about free use anywhere at anytime of radios. So, I did find
> the original post amusing. What's it gonna be? Is someone suggesting
> restrictions on a fancy hand-held radio?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it.
> > Kids
> > > > > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids.
> > We've
> > > > > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get
> > sued.
> > > > > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in
> > years;
> > > > > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine
> and
> > I
> > > > > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems
> whatever
> > one
> > > > > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end
of
> > it.
> > > > > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
> > someone
> > > > > conducts himself anyways.

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so
when
> > asked.
> > > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> > > put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> > > you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> > > bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> > > accout for YOUR offensive behavior.
> >
> >
> > Back to a one man show, huh Doug?
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4197. You should of bought a V8, or a CB !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:17:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa94a3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146734

You wasted HOW MUCH watching a movie made by hollywood communist faggots?
Dave!

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:atd060$12vare$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> > This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use
their
> > cell phones any way they wish.
>
> Except yakking on it in a movie theater where I paid $9.00 to see a movie.
>
> Tom
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4198. Was this a racist post ? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:18:31 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa94d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146735

Dey be DA MEN !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:oOcK9.595$uh5.120214643@news.inreach.com...
>
> "Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > That's a crap statement Jim! Not in Church and Not in Movie Theatres!
If
> you
> > are in a restaurant, take it outside just like a cigarette.
> >
> > >This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use
their
> > >cell phones any way they wish.
>
>
> Last saturday night at the local CinemaPlex, a young man's cellfone
> kept going off about every ten minutes. After the fith disruption, two
> large black gentlemen proceeded to the area of the ringing cellfone
> and gently escorted (dragged screeming) the young man outside where
> he was never seen again.
>
> The End (true story)
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4199. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:18:33 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213211833.27667.00000033@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 146736

Jerry,how about Ranger radio's you find them in Ham Radio Outlet catalog.Is this a cb radio , ham radio or both?Time to look in your own backyard.

4200. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:19:39 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DFA8864.6010906@cac.net>
Source record: 146723

because it's a fake, made up callsign from someone who's trying to
pretend they're something they're not.

Most likely a new SN for n8wwm.

-SSB

hillbilly3302 wrote:
> why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
> drc
>
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:l54K9.533$bX3.114587801@news.inreach.com...
>
>>"SILKY (Da Jeff Davis Pimp)" wrote in message
>>
>>
>>>W7DVJ just doesn't know a DAMN Thing about radios!!
>>>
>>>HR-2510 is A LEGAL radio for Ham Use you DUMB SHIT!!
>>>Quit posting your communist,dick-torial bullshit on this NG!!
>>>GO HOME!!!
>>
>>
>>It's not my list... It's QTH.COM's list dumbshit!
>>
>>http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
>>
>>
>
>
>

4201. WHAT does this have to do with CB ? Or even Hamateurs ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:21:06 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa9570_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146737

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com...

The BEST answer to this "problem" is for THE WIMPY woman to go right up to the man and start talking AS LOUD as HE IS and start spewing about HOW DUMB and obnoxious he is talking on da phone right then & there ! BE da WOMAN, WIMP !
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4202. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:22:10 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DFA88FB.8000401@cac.net>
Source record: 146724

::cough cough:: bullshit.

You don't show up on the FCC site either..

Others who's names/addresses/etc show up on the FCC site as callsign
only, as a placekeeper, so the callsign shows as valid.

Your supposed amateur callsign has nothing to do with your supposed
job, unless you're stupid enough to be transmitting on the frequencies
you're supposed to be listening to.

so, you're now doubly a liar.

Have a nice day.

de KR8LR, Chris

-SSB

W7DVJ wrote:
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
>
>>why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
> etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
> No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
> that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
> the need for anonymity.
>
>
>
> I assure you it's a real call, had it since 1967 and currently hold
> a advanced class license active in SSTV and digital modes of
> communication. Once in a blue moon I do a little ten meter stuff
> when the band is active.
>
>

4203. Da BEST remedy for da problem !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:23:28 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa95ff_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146738

Actually YOU are the best jammer! Karate chop da phone from his hand, and
den step on it like a BUG ! (this is not to be construed as NOT a
radio-friendy post)!
Dave!!
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3dfa9346_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I thought this was the land of the fee and the home of da SLAVE ! IF only
> CB'ers a& Hambos would have the problems of this woman, then we WOULD have
> something to CRY about! She IS a WIMP who should confront the cell phone
> user WHEN she gets peed off ! RIGHT THEN ! Then she WOOD be da woman!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uvi4edplff4af7@corp.supernews.com...
> > This is a free country. Cell phone users should be entitled to use
their
> > cell phones any way they wish.
> >
> > Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> >
> > "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > Received this e-mail from a friend of mine today. She's not a radio
> > expert or
> > > technical type. Just an average citizen who is tired of rude people
> > making
> > > nuisances of themselves in public with cellphones:
> > >
> > >
> > > "I just came from having lunch with friends at (a rather expensive
> > restaurant.)
> > > Everything was fine until a cellphone started ringing at the table
next
> > to
> > > ours. The guy answered and proceeded to engage in a loud argument
with
> > his
> > > wife/girlfriend/lover. This went on for over 20 minutes! This
> > inconsiderate
> > > S.O.B. stayed at his table for the entire time. He made no effort to
go

> > to the
> > > lobby or outside and finish his call, despite the fact that he had
> > finished his
> > > meal and his eating companion was waiting for him to get off the phone
> so
> > they
> > > could leave!
> > >
> > > Ove the past few months, I have been interrupted by these selfish
slobs
> at
> > > movie theaters, restaurants, even at church! A cellphone rings during
> the
> > > middle of the sermon and it's owner takes the call! Stays right there
> in
> > the
> > > church pew and talks for several minutes, conveniently ignoring the
> angry
> > > glances of both pastor and parishoners. If these were emergency
calls,
> I
> > could
> > > understand. But in each instance, these were simply routine telephone
> > > conversations that could have been made at another time and place.
Due
> to
> > the
> > > loud and boisterous nature of those engaged in the calls, I couldn't
> help
> > but
> > > to hear all the fine details of their conversations.
> > >
> > > I realize modern-day America is a society of "me, me, me, and the hell
> > with
> > > everybody else." I also realize this type of behavior is only going
to
> > get
> > > worse, not better. But I am damn sick and tired of having my outings
> > > compromised by these people. When I pay money to see a movie or eat
at
> a
> > fine
> > > restaurant, I have the right to enjoy myself and not be constantly
> > bothered by
> > > some jerk talking on a cellphone. Asking the offending party to
"please
> > take
> > > your call somewhere else" is a waste of time. These people simply
have
> no

> > > regard for common courtesy or public decency. If I'm going to maintain
> my
> > right
> > > to peace-and-quiet, I'll have to take matters into my own hands.
> > >
> > > Is there any kind of device I could buy which would allow me to shut
off
> > these
> > > phones? Someone at work told me that in Japan, they have "cellphone
> > jammers"
> > > which cut off all conversations and keep phones from ringing within
> about
> > a
> > > 50-foot radius. Have you ever heard of these? If so, where can I get
> > one?
> > > Thanks. I knew that if anyone knew, you would."
> > >
> > >
> > > I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten
> > completely
> > > out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly
> > > appreciated.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4204. Check it OUT ! Very interesting but STUPID !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:28:14 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa971d_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146739

CHECK it out ! That's WHY I call it "McGaggles." !
Dave!!!

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DF88DD9.1E250F82@listed.here...
> http://www.business2.com/articles/mag/print/0,1643,45496,00.html

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4205. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:34:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sMwK9.188948$8D.4949259@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146719

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:oTqdnZd5tqDCnGegXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with it.
> > Kids
> > > > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly kids.
> > We've
> > > > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you get
> > sued.
> > > > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie in
> > years;
> > > > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just fine
> and
> > I
> > > > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems
> whatever
> > one
> > > > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end
of
> > it.
> > > > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
> > someone
> > > > conducts himself anyways.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so
when
> > asked.
> > > > >
> > >
> > >

> > > Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have to
> > > put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> > > you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> > > bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> > > accout for YOUR offensive behavior.
> >
> >
> > Back to a one man show, huh Doug?
>
>
>
> Nah I am still here.
> >
> >
>
>

But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just tolerated as a tag-a-long.

4206. Thanks to Mike Scott!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:48:14 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <uvl6vf9onfcu2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146740

Mike sent me the info I needed to get my radio working. This is a great group of people, if you need info, there is always someone here who has the info and will help you. I know there have been alot of problems, I hope they go away, and this group can be what it was originally designed for, to share with one another information and knowledge about the hobby.
As problems arise, instead of lashing out, we need to remember we are first and foremost brothers of Jesus Christ, and as Christians we should show the love of Jesus to one another.
God Bless you all, and thanks Mike!
Mark

4207. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 02:51:23 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DFA9CCC.8040703@optonline.net>
Source record: 146741

sounds like propogation is good your way then.....

Anti Keyclown Control Center wrote:

>twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) femininely meweled in message
>news:<21609-3DFA1D74-20@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
>
>27.495 upper sideband. It is the main gayboy contact channel.
>
>
>
>
>Yea, but *I* was listening to 495 for a newsgroupie...was checkin' to
>see if we could make contact in a truckstop toilet somewhere.....when
>you taking your next ride on your home boys bootie? Shoot
>me an email,,,,,I'll see if the back of my pals truck can
>be used as a site to film gay porno with you & me as stars...he's
>doing some really strange things with it lately...like
>some beastial type things, real fun to watch......been accused of
>running all kinds of gay hoes...but
>it just ain't so.....pull that sucker into the swamp with water half
>way
>up the dubs and climb in back and let the pounding begin. ....well., a
>"little" pounding anyway. Rumors
>concerning me becoming hetero are unfounded.
>
>

4208. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST - WHO IS W7DVJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 03:11:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobiuffo@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DFAA167.7050009@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146743

W7DVJ wrote:
> " hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
>
>>why is w7dvj not listed on qrz???
>
>
> I'm getting a lot of email asking why my callsign does not appear
> on QRZ or Buckmaster so I'll try to explain.
>
> Some of us, myself included, work for agencies that require that we
> maintain a level of anonymity in order to protect our families and
> neighbors. As a result, information such as real name, address,
> etc., etc. are not listed in public databases for public viewing.
> No, I'm not in law enforcement but work for a government agency
> that monitors foreign communications related to terrorism, hence
> the need for anonymity.
>
>
>
> I assure you it's a real call, had it since 1967 and currently hold
> a advanced class license active in SSTV and digital modes of
> communication. Once in a blue moon I do a little ten meter stuff
> when the band is active.
>
>

BS if it is an issued ham call it will be in the FCC data base and
a matter of public record. Nice try.

4209. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 03:19:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobiuffo@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DFAA34D.70501@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146744

Jim Hampton wrote:
Is someone suggesting
> restrictions on a fancy hand-held radio?

No, just a little common sense and courtesy from the users, which
seems to be lacking among a lot of cell phone nuts.

4210. Re: Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 03:47:23 UTC
From: JC Tester <jctester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fRxK9.3900$nc4.1268@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146742

Use a SWR meter to check out your antenna. If properly tuned
you should get 7 - 10 miles or even more.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212131413.64a17d29@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I
> think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this
> setup. Now my mobile uniden pro 510xl 7watt I think and a 38" whip
> antenna. Range for this is about 2 to 4 miles and what should I be
> getting from my mobile setup. I live in Houston Tx on the south west
> side.

4211. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 05:53:20 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <ptacnSeL2ejNWmegXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 146745

```
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sMwK9.188948$8D.4949259@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:oTqdnZd5tqDCnGegXTWcow@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:KQfK9.142450$Gc.4820295@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > message news:cfe28a2b.0212122107.40e22dda@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:<uvi66mh15b9j73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > > One would think; however now theatre patrons have to put up with
it.
> > > Kids
> > > > > can raise a ruckus. Parents often won't leave with the unruly
kids.
> > > We've
> > > > > had stabbings in movie theatres of late. Try and stop 'em, you
get
> > > sued.
> > > > > I've just given up on the whole thing. I haven't been to a movie
in
> > > years;
> > > > > my 36 inch television, home theatre, and satellite TV work just
fine
> > and
> > > I
> > > > > don't put up with it. Same can be said of restaurants. Seems
> > whatever
> > > one
> > > > > wants to do is fine; just don't find yourself on the receiving end
> of
> > > it.
> > > > > This would appear to be the wrong newsgroup to be complaining how
> > > someone
> > > > > conducts himself anyways.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim
> > > > >
> > > > >
```

> > > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:HaaK9.181876$8D.4750469@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > > And be entitled to be asked to leave, and be required to do so
> when
> > > asked.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Same thing applies to keyclowns. Legal operators of CB radios have
to
> > > > put up with the splatter and trash from keyclowns. Of course none of
> > > > you sissies give a rat's ass about that. Very selective group of
> > > > bitchers and whiners here. Heaven forbid you should be called to
> > > > accout for YOUR offensive behavior.
> > >
> > >
> > > Back to a one man show, huh Doug?
> >
> >
> >
> > Nah I am still here.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just tolerated as
a
> tag-a-long.

So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with open arms.

4212. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 06:04:18 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <feednWmC7qV8VGegXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146746

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021213211833.27667.00000033@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Jerry,how about Ranger radio's you find them in Ham Radio Outlet
catalog.Is
> this a cb radio , ham radio or both?Time to look in your own backyard.

A Ranger is to me a CB radio, the manufacturer knew how to get around the
rules when converted you have the CB Channels show up on the display as
they do.Modifiy any real ham radio and you won't have the 40 channel
display like ranger.

4213. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 06:12:50 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <5oycnWkUca5_VmegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146797

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFA6CA6.E5F4ED85@listed.here...
>
>
> W7DVJ wrote:
> >
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> >
> > > do us a favor and killfile everyone so you can talk to yourself then.
> > >
> >
> > Ah gee, did I hurt your feelings too? Don't bother responding either,
> > you've been added to the killfile too.
> >
> > Out of sight, out of mind. One by one, they all go away.....
>
> Until he changes his NIC about every 6.5 hours.

Sorry Scott you dickface, i change it slightly more than you Geek

4214. Re: Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 08:03:00 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <HsCK9.701$nz1.137553992@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146800

You need to acquire a linear amplifier. Look for something in the
1500 to 7500 watt range and is wired for 120VAC.

Add a power echo mike with roger beep. That will increase
your range ten-fold.

While a 8 foot whip is good, a nearby telephone line is better.

Cable TV is fun to feed RF into. The more, the better.

Your Solarcon antenna, model a99, is known for cold solder joints
and extremely poor construction. It also attracts lighting like a hooker
on a hot summer night.

CB radios were invented by Ham radio operators.

The CB band was once the 11 meter ham band.

Lettuce is bad for you. It contains latescence12, a known carcinogen.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212131413.64a17d29@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I
> think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this
> setup. Now my mobile uniden pro 510xl 7watt I think and a 38" whip
> antenna. Range for this is about 2 to 4 miles and what should I be
> getting from my mobile setup. I live in Houston Tx on the south west
> side.

4215. Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 08:13:32 UTC
From: W7DVJ <w7dvj@hotwax.com>
Message-ID: <zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146801

By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color! Black folk just love CB which
explains why there are so few of them on the Ham bands. Ham radio is for white
people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear a confused black person, inform
him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on CB!

Thank you.

W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!

http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/

4216. Re: Ruger P85's phone number...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 08:20:00 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <irplvukjuomfq6jodh1k1j6jqepbo4rlce@4ax.com>
Source record: 146802

wow are you in some severe need of PROZAC Mr Adair........are you pisssed of Mr. Adair ,oh boy that would be such a shame.........Mr. Adair you are wasting your time...........you would not believe the emails I have had telling me that it was common knowledge that you were a ,well I better not say I don't wanna piss you off anymore..........(You know the post about your extra activities with the little ones)har har har aaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahahahaha he heheheheheehehhehehehehehe aahahahahahahahahahahh ahaha haba a ahaahaha a ahahaahha har har LOL what a LOSER YOU ARE and prove it everytime you click your keyboard.................oh boy,,,,NICE TRY but you are just gonna have to come up with something else......it's just not working and I KNOW that make you mad doesn't it Dougie Poo..........he he he he he LMAO..........Let's take a vote on wether or Not Mr. Doug Adair is a man or a mole............see next post.......oh my Gosh....

On 13 Dec 2002 17:45:09 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>One of you keyclowns should call him. Maybe you can buy his CB junk. I
>ratted him out to ebay and he can't sell it there.
>
>Buy now so his kids can have Christmas. 207-873-7746

4217. Group Vote (LMAO) Mr Adair :Man or Mole ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 08:22:57 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <ndqlvu846jtth7bfp8jtuep7stvosld2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 146803

My vote is well uh lezzzzzz see......ah ummmmmmmm yes I do think it would be

M-O-L-E

ahahahaahahahahahahahahahahaah Dougie Poo are you snapping in Toledo......I have an idea why don't you kill your computer.....

next vote please!!!

4218. Re: I sell N8 approved 6th plank commy cell jammerz!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 08:52:20 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140052.13627adb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146804

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> typed while taking a pause
from blowing throbbing gay penises in message
news:<3dfa9346_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> I thought this was the land of the fee and the home of da SLAVE ! IF only
> CB'ers a& Hambos would have the problems of this woman, then we WOULD have
> something to CRY about! She IS a WIMP who should confront the cell phone
> user WHEN she gets peed off ! RIGHT THEN ! Then she WOOD be da woman!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dave,
At least she was not a contract employee suckslut for Ike Tirner. Like
you and Debby.

PS...I heard you say "dave or debby" cuz you don't know which one you
wanna be.

In any case...YOU ARE AN ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN!

4219. Re: Hambo takes EVERY opportunity to bash CB'ers !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 09:00:08 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140100.158ac3af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146805

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3dfa93b3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Heaven WILL ask YOU to account for your enforcment of Plank # 6, which is
> UNBiblical!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Hey Dave,

Go suck off a black drug gang. Include Dweebo. You did it for Ike Turner in the 60's, you can still do it now. Queerboy. You know yer gettin a woodie now thirsting for the shots. Be honest ya redneck stump ropin' jizz slurper!

If yer too busy blowing dogs have Debby either do it herself or take on the dogs while you gobble the dudez. Whatever you prefer is fine.

PS...you is one fat sommma bitch...is Debby a hog like you. Probably more!!!

Also, are you buying your kids presents? If so maybe you could donate to that FAGGOTBOY Rugerp85. He needs money to get gifts for his kids since I ratted out his criminal auction.

YOUR MASTER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

4220. KEYCLOWNS = FAGGOTBOYS = sawed off TWITHED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 09:01:50 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140101.7398479f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146806

Thats all ya have to know.

You sissies deep throat each other.

4221. Thanks to Mike Scott he offered to blow this dude!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 09:08:17 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140108.5669224d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146807

Fuck you and your bible thumping horse shit, punk ass. You just want
gay sex with all the other keyfags and you are lubing them with those
faggotboy words.
Go suck a dick like you always do.
You and twithed are gay lovers.

"mrbrew" <mrbrew@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:<uvl6vf9onfcu2b@corp.supernews.com>...
> Mike sent me the info I needed to get my radio working. This is a great
> group of FAGGOTS, if you need GAY SEX, there is always someone here who has the
> PEKKER and will help you. ESPECIALLY IF YOU OFFER TO SUCK THEIR NUTZ LIKE I DID!!!I know
there have been alot of problems, SOME OF US HAVE BEEN FORCED TO ACT HETEROSEXUAL, I hope
they
> go away, and this group can be what it was originally designed for, TO BE BUTT PUMPING
SPHINCTHER LICKING FAGGOTBOYS AND MEET FOR GAY SEX!
> As BONERZ arise, instead of lashing out, we need to remember we are first
> and foremost brother FAGGOT DICK SUCKING TURD HUNKS, and as FAGGOTS we should show the
> love of PENIS to one another.
> PENIS JIZZ on you all, and thanks Mike, I WILL GIVE YOU HEAD IN DAYTON!
> Mark

4222. CHARITY BENEFIT FOR Rugerp85 the queerboy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 09:14:12 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140114.43bc8020@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146808

FAGGOT BOY TOMMIE LOST HIS AUCTION. HE NEEDS DONATIONS.

CAN YOU FAG ASS KEYCLOWNS HELP?

ANY OF THE BELOW ITEMS ARE BADLY NEEDED FOR TOMMIE....

1. Tubes of KY JELLY

2. Dildos

3. Toilet paper

4. Preparation H

5. Lipstick

6. Eye Shadow

7. Tampons

8. dresses

9. Pink underwear

10. Landshark to make a person appearence and suck Ruger's micro dick.

4223. ANTRON vs I-MAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 10:21:51 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (�lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <3df7b960$0$1081$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 146809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Please see :

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170
Knight Patrol 170

Porto
Portugal

--
++
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
http://www.alfatango.web.pt/
LDBC # 035
REP # 0801
ICQ/UIN # 15161256
FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER - PORTUGAL@SETI_TEAM (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a
scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
PORTO - PORTUGAL
"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
c:-)
++

4224. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 13:06:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B1GK9.153430$Gc.4984875@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146810

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:ptacnSeL2ejNWmegXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> > But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just tolerated
as
> a
> > tag-a-long.
>
>
> So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with open arms.
>
>

You were just tolerated by the AKC. Never given their full blessing.

4225. Re: Truckstop "CB Shops" getting worse reputations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 13:52:48 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFB37B0.C2947039@listed.here>
Source record: 146811

Runnin Man wrote:
>
> Sorry Scott you dickface, i change it slightly more than you Geek

He's pissed!!!! I win!!!! <: LOLOLOLOL!!!!

4226. Re: Group Vote (LMAO) Mr Adair :Man or Mole ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 14:34:39 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212140634.17e5c345@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146812

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<ndqlvu846jttht7bfp8jtuep7stvosld2c@4ax.com>...
> My vote is well uh lezzzzzz see......ah ummmmmmmm yes I do think it
> would be
>
>
>
> M-O-L-E
>
>
> ahahahaahahahahahahahahahahaah Dougie Poo are you snapping in
> Toledo......I have an idea why don't you kill your computer.....
>
> next vote please!!!

Like THAT is bothersome? These rectumringer retards can call me anything they wish to. Why would it matter?

What is bothersome is that it is really unfortunate that your kids' have to go without Christmas presents BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION cuz their daddy bought illegal toys for himself. What a scumbag.

I told you that you can bring 'em here so they can see how normal people celebrate the holidays. It might be a turning point for your kids to see non criminal people who live a life of plenty enjoying a holiday. They may see this and NOT grow up to be unemployed white trash like daddy is.

Just think...Chukkee lives 48 miles away. You could take off for a while and meet him in a truckstop toilet. Moparholic is in Cleveland. You could meet him before you get here. Sounds like a good deal all round. You get to be gay and your kids get Christmas.

Dontever say I am not nice.

4227. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 15:18:05 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214101805.12112.00000048@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 146813

George.The the display on a Ranger is the same as any ham radio.It displays the frequency just like a Kewood or any other radio.So that means all ham radios should be on the list because all can be modified to work on 11 meters as easy as a Galaxy Ranger etc.....You can pick up a nice old FT-757 and flip a switch and it is 11meter ready now thats a nice design!

4228. ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 15:48:42 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (&#65533;lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <3df805f9$0$1090$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 146814

Test at :

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170
Knight Patrol 170

Porto
Portugal

--
++
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
http://www.alfatango.web.pt/
LDBC # 035
REP # 0801
ICQ/UIN # 15161256
FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER - PORTUGAL@SETI_TEAM (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a
scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
PORTO - PORTUGAL
"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
c:-)
++

4229. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 16:37:23 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <7fnmvucmg9fbhd6bc4fkm7djaahlfbdo60@4ax.com>
Source record: 146815

Hand one of these phones to an offender.....................

http://www.myselfdefence.com/cellphonestungun.htm

Maybe he'll get the hint.

4230. Re: No mo radios !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 16:40:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvmnnif0rhel35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146816

I have simply been pointing out that a cell phone is, after all, a radio. Are you suggesting rules for radios? (in other words, do you see the point here?)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3dfa943b_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> That's what the commy insurance industry wants to do ! YOU is BAD people!
> Causing accidents wit yo cars pokin' & tawlkin' on da celly phoney! When
> will da commies SHUT UP ?!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

4231. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 16:43:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvmntu9ig9ord1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146817

Yes, courtesy with the use of a radio would be very much appreciated in a lot of circles. BTW, here in NY, they have outlawed talking on a non-hands-free cell phone while driving. Frankly, I worry about the use of the hands free while driving. You still aren't concentrating on the road (an idiot, oblivious to the traffic light, darn near sideswiped me last week while gabbing away on the cell phone). My point is that courtesy is sadly lacking - amongst far more than simple cell phone users.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JJ" <radiobiuffo@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DFAA34D.70501@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> Is someone suggesting
> > restrictions on a fancy hand-held radio?
>
> No, just a little common sense and courtesy from the users, which
> seems to be lacking among a lot of cell phone nuts.
>

4232. Re: Group Vote (LMAO) Mr Adair :Man or Mole ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 17:09:07 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <e8pmvu897atjg05sb3kdqeuv42v9bqej7v@4ax.com>
Source record: 146818

Oh my this is so easy.......Mr. Adair is like jeez I hate to say this
but ,you know, the typical CB'er.......he can't take it...he he he LOL

On 14 Dec 2002 06:34:39 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<ndqlvu846jtthtt7bfp8jtuep7stvosld2c@4ax.com>...
>> My vote is well uh lezzzzzz see......ah ummmmmmmm yes I do think it
>> would be
>>
>>
>>
>> M-O-L-E
>>
>>
>> ahahahaahahahahahahahahahahaah Dougie Poo are you snapping in
>> Toledo......I have an idea why don't you kill your computer.....
>>
>> next vote please!!!
>
>Like THAT is bothersome? These rectumringer retards can call me
>anything they wish to. Why would it matter?
>
>What is bothersome is that it is really unfortunate that your kids'
>have to go without Christmas presents BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION cuz their
>daddy bought illegal toys for himself. What a scumbag.
>
>I told you that you can bring 'em here so they can see how normal
>people celebrate the holidays. It might be a turning point for your
>kids to see non criminal people who live a life of plenty enjoying a
>holiday. They may see this and NOT grow up to be unemployed white
>trash like daddy is.
>
>Just think...Chukkee lives 48 miles away. You could take off for a
>while and meet him in a truckstop toilet. Moparholic is in Cleveland.
>You could meet him before you get here. Sounds like a good deal all
>round. You get to be gay and your kids get Christmas.
>
>Dontever say I am not nice.

4233. Take Notice Mr.Adair is always discussing .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 17:18:25 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <mdpmvucdpiaogieun82l6c3kdqhc0sb5gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 146819

Check out Mr. Adair 's post and take notice ,he is always mentioning KIDS....oh my.....hmmmmmmmmmmm

4234. Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 17:49:36 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212140949.10a367ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146820

I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

4235. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 18:16:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0212141016.79d64cb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146822

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:<24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
> radios
> > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years and I
> > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham rig
> can
> > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> diodes.
> >
> > Tom
>
>
> Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not being
> sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so vague
> about that.
> >

Bzzzzt; wrong again. Amateur radios are not "Type Accepted" at all. No certification is required for ham equipment. It IS required for CB radios, however.

And, as pointed out by another poster, the "vagueness" is in the wording of the Rule itself, and pretty much makes it unenforceable.

4236. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 18:40:00 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFB7B00.C572BC34@listed.here>
Source record: 146823

DJboutit wrote:
>
> I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
> am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
> tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
> before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
> superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
> hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
> of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
> back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

You can now take it to the radio doctor for a realignment.

Your golden screwdriver is now costing you money.

4237. TONIGHT Live CB Radio Talk Show All about Pirate Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 18:56:50 UTC
From: RF Burns <rfburns@comcast.com>
Message-ID: <V_GdnaCs9ps64WagXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146824

Over the years I have been reading the posts on this news group and thought to myself only if there was a way we could have a live debate regarding the use of the airways. Well tonight we can! Live on WWCR 5.070 we will be taking your calls at 1-877-411-2890 Toll free. 11:00 EST

4238. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 19:00:17 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DFB72E4.2090308@cac.net>
Source record: 146821

Go Dry Up.

-SSB

W7DVJ wrote:
> By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color! Black folk just love
> CB which
> explains why there are so few of them on the Ham bands. Ham radio is for
> white
> people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear a confused black
> person, inform
> him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on CB!
>
> Thank you.
>
> W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!
>
> http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
>
>

4239. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 19:15:19 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <brLK9.58179$hw3.9007@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 146825

Are you new to CB?

Are you new to computers?

Are you foreign?

Are you a glutton for punishment? (misspelled that as funishment, that might be more appropriate)

Or are you a Troll?

Just wondering!

Deb
"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0212140949.10a367ed@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
> am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
> tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
> before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
> superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
> hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
> of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
> back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

4240. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 19:20:53 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <3DFB8495.5020705@core.com>
Source record: 146826

Local and State Laws are dependent on Federal Regulations.

Tom Sevart wrote:
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com...
>
>>Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
>>Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
>>and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
>>mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.
>
>
> It probably relies on the traffic laws which vary from state to state.
> Check with your local law enforcement for the maximum hieght for a mobile
> antenna. And watch out for low overpasses.
>
> Tom
>
>

4241. Site for cellphone jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 19:24:52 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214142452.01778.00000029@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 146827

http://starportuk.com/21.htm

4242. Re: Site for cellphone jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 19:43:16 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFB89D4.EB80313C@listed.here>
Source record: 146828

It only kills 800 & 900 Mhz phones. It won't
bother my CDMA phone when digital.

My Nokia 5165 shows:
824.04-848.97 TX / 869.04-893.97 RX (analog)
1850.04-1909.92 TX / 1930.08-1989.69 RX (digital)

ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
>
> http://starportuk.com/21.htm

4243. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 20:10:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFB902B.1E4BFB90@cwis.net>
Source record: 146829

DJ,
There is nothing 'user' adjustable inside your
radio. Now that you have made adjustments, you had
better take it to someone who can fix it.
'Doc

4244. Re: ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 20:10:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFB9053.E313ADC0@cwis.net>
Source record: 146830

Tony,
Once was enough.
'Doc

4245. Re: ANTRON vs I-MAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 21:15:02 UTC
From: daver0224@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3dfb9d5b.327368@news.cableone.net>
Source record: 146831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

I had the A-99 untill a few weeks ago and replaced it with a Maco V
5/8 vertical. Night and day difference. Almost 2 S units better from
my regular contacts. I have been working skip barefoot with it, I
never had much luck with the A-99 without 100 watts. I replaced it
after I read that article a few weeks ago. This was my second Antron,
the first one went bad after a few days. Had a high SWR. I will
never buy another one. Just a wire in a pipe is all it is.

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 10:21:51 -0000, "TONY-170 \(�Ivaro Rocha\)"
<blackghost_knife@sapo.pt> wrote:

>Please see :
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/
>
>
>Best 73"
>
>Tony
>31-AT-170
>Knight Patrol 170
>
>Porto
>Portugal
>
>--
>+++
>CT01264 - SWL
>CQM-9306
>QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
>Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
> ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
>170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
>ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
>http://www.alfatango.web.pt/
>LDBC # 035
>REP # 0801
>ICQ/UIN # 15161256
>FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
>against use of linear amplifier's
>

>SETI@HOME MEMBER - PORTUGAL@SETI_TEAM (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a
>scientific experiment
>that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
>Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
>downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
>PORTO - PORTUGAL
>"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
>"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
>c:-)
>++
>
>
>

4246. Re: ANTRON vs I-MAX 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 21:30:46 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@mag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <atg7pbcni1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Never really got over excited about the Antrons... i thought they were well over rated and well over priced although i will agree they stood the test of time longer than a silver rod.. but... ooh those fiberglass splinters :o(I have one gently de-composing in my shed :o)

"TONY-170 (�lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt> wrote in message news:3df7b960$0$1081$a729d347@news.telepac.pt...
> Please see :
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/
>
>
> Best 73"
>
> Tony
> 31-AT-170
> Knight Patrol 170
>
> Porto
> Portugal
>
> --
> ++
> CT01264 - SWL
> CQM-9306
> QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33" N 8-35'-41" W
> Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
> ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
> 170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
> ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
> http://www.alfatango.web.pt/
> LDBC # 035
> REP # 0801
> ICQ/UIN # 15161256
> FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
> against use of linear amplifier's
>
> SETI@HOME MEMBER - PORTUGAL@SETI_TEAM (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a
> scientific experiment
> that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial

> Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
> downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
> PORTO - PORTUGAL
> "ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
> "SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
> c:-)
> ++
>
>
>

4247. Alan S. E-mail me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:02:20 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212141402.76703ae1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146833

Please.

Thanks.

4248. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:27:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WeOK9.53430$vM1.4271810@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146834

Oh oh...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

4249. Re: ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:36:04 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFBB254.E8259D99@listed.here>
Source record: 146835

'Doc wrote:
>
> Tony,
> Once was enough.
> 'Doc

If Tony was truly interested in omnidirectional performance,
he would get a Interceptor 10K. Toss the fiberstick away!!!

4250. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:37:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2dOK9.9332$8d6.8752@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146836

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021213211833.27667.00000033@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Jerry,how about Ranger radio's you find them in Ham Radio Outlet
catalog.Is
> this a cb radio , ham radio or both?Time to look in your own backyard.

I mentioned that Ranger is sometimes sold by ham outlets in another post. I haven't looked at them closely, but I don't think they include the "channel" indices, do they? I have never actually paid that much attention to Ranger since I prefer all-band rigs like Icom--or a homebrew AM rig for 80 and 40 meters.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

4251. Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:47:57 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFBB51D.3161122D@listed.here>
Source record: 146837

Live from Atlantic City, NJ at 2200 EST.

Will it go the distance?

4252. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:48:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6oOK9.9391$8d6.6067@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146838

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021214101805.12112.00000048@mb-df.aol.com...
> George.The the display on a Ranger is the same as any ham radio.It
displays the
> frequency just like a Kewood or any other radio.So that means all ham
radios
> should be on the list because all can be modified to work on 11 meters as
easy
> as a Galaxy Ranger etc.....You can pick up a nice old FT-757 and flip a
switch
> and it is 11meter ready now thats a nice design!

And that could be one of the results of the illegals operating out of band;
that is, making the
rigs completely unable to be modded for such things as CAP or MARS. Just
like the ban on
amps that operate out of the box between 24-30 MHZ, hams will be
inconvenienced because of
the selfishness of a number of CBers. Instead of being able to legally use
ONE HF rig on Amateur and MARS, one would then be required to buy a
dedicated commercial radio such as a Motorola
Micom II for around $1500 a pop. That would deal a death blow to great
volunteer programs such as MARS, thanks to those people that think their
activities are perfectly fine and can't see any "harm" to their hobby of
stealing frequencies that don't belong to them and yapping for naught where
they don't belong.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

4253. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:51:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5qOK9.9401$8d6.13@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146839

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0212141016.79d64cb5@posting.google.com...
> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:<24CcnQbjq6jRMGWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:at9uq8$11pq8c$1@ID->
> > > That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what
> > radios
> > > we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years
and I
> > > enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
> > > because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
> > > document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
> > > considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham
rig
> > can
> > > be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two
> > diodes.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> >
> > Tom, what is so vague. They list all the radios, your Kenwood is not
being
> > sold as a pseudo "legal" cb like the galaxys etc.
> > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
vague
> > about that.
> > >
>
> Bzzzzt; wrong again. Amateur radios are not "Type Accepted" at all. No
> certification is required for ham equipment. It IS required for CB
> radios, however.
>
> And, as pointed out by another poster, the "vagueness" is in the
> wording of the Rule itself, and pretty much makes it unenforceable.

And that can be changed--which has already been suggested to the FCC legal
department
and enforcement bureau.

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

4254. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 22:56:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gvOK9.9426$8d6.2645@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 146840

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DFB902B.1E4BFB90@cwis.net...
>
>
> DJ,
> There is nothing 'user' adjustable inside your
> radio. Now that you have made adjustments, you had
> better take it to someone who can fix it.
> 'Doc

My my, Doc,

Ain't it amazing! A feller goes out and buys a "reddio" and suddenly he's a technician, too! LOL!

Jerry

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/26/02

4255. Re: ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-14 23:42:22 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (&#65533;lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <3dfbc0d4$0$649$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 146841

Thanks Doc !
I will see that !
Best 73"
Tony

>
>
> If Tony was truly interested in omnidirectional performance,
> he would get a Interceptor 10K. Toss the fiberstick away!!!

4256. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 00:10:48 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1039911180.780809@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 146842

Hey dvj
You must be under a rock somewhere .
Just as so that You will be informed , I'll help You out
OMIK is the second largest Ham radio organization in the US. , Only the ARRL
is larger than Them.
And so that You don't sit as a idiot and say DUH? .
OMIK has been around for 51 Years and even though they welcome People from
all races They are predominantly Black and was founded by blacks .
Read the Nov. issue of qst page 54 .
So You see dvj before You try starting shit You should at least get Your
facts straight .
But what can one expect . There are good People in every part of society but
then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
trailer parks a bad name.
Have a good life
"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com...
> By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color! Black folk just love
> CB which
> explains why there are so few of them on the Ham bands. Ham radio is for
> white
> people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear a confused black
> person, inform
> him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on CB!
>
> Thank you.
>
> W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!
>
> http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
>
>

4257. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 00:21:49 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xWPK9.233686$GR5.77284@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 146843

Ummmmm.....

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212140949.10a367ed@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
> am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
> tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
> before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
> superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
> hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
> of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
> back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

4258. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 01:04:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvnl8oecomn6c5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146844

Unfortunately, there may be a bunch of trimmers and/or slugs inside a radio. Each has its' own job to perform. One may fine tune a master oscillator (or several for each transmit/receive channel in some OLD cb rigs or UHF business band radios). Some are for tuning if circuits; others for tuning rf circuits. You need someone who knows the radio inside and out or someone who knows what they are doing **and** has the schematics. This is not a job you can do yourself.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0212140949.10a367ed@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
> am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
> tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
> before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
> superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
> hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
> of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
> back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

4259. Re: Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 01:18:37 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <atglbh0jvc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146846

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFBB51D.3161122D@listed.here...
> Live from Atlantic City, NJ at 2200 EST.
>
> Will it go the distance?

Pro boxing, outside of basketball, the sport that blacks can thrive in.
Nothing but a pure money maker for the sponsors.
who wins is determined by the sponsors, not the players.

4260. Re: Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 01:40:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFBDD70.C2AA0714@cwis.net>
Source record: 146845

WMaC,
Who cares?
'Doc

4261. Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 02:12:49 UTC
From: cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com
Message-ID: <ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146847

Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...

http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net

Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and regulations.....

4262. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 02:14:31 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bARK9.53710$vM1.4291691@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146848

set them in the trash can or take it to Bill..

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

4263. Re: Landshark and others about ham radio licensing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 02:27:17 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DFBE88D.9090106@attbi.com>
Source record: 146849

I live within local driving distance a nationally known amateur radio retailer. If I buy even a coax connector, the first question is my amateur callsign. There is a consignment table there and on one Saturday a guy came in when I was shopping and wanted to know how much a given radio was, and he made no bones about wanting it for 11 meters. The store owner (read owner, not manager) told him to get lost, and when he didn't move fast enough, again the owner threatened physical force. No license, no sale. It might be legal to sell, but like other places, he can decline service to anyone he chooses.

Tom Sevart wrote:

>"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
>news:asmb3q01t06@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
>
>
>>Whether or not a business does not check you for a proper license, then
>>
>>
>they
>
>
>>could be held liable for any violations.
>>
>>
>
>A business could not be held liable for any rules violations you make. If
>you can find any instances where a business was cited for a rules violation
>made by a customer, I'd like to see it. If Universal Radio, for example,
>sells an HF rig to Joe Blow and he modifies it and uses it on CB freqs, it's
>Joe's fault and not Universal's.
>
>I've bought amateur gear for the past ten years and have never once been
>asked for my ham license. And what about someone who just passed the test
>and are waiting for their license to arrive? Or what about someone who is
>still studying for his license and wants an incentive to pass? Should they
>be denied the ability to purchase a radio that they'll eventually use?
>
>I believe in Canada, there is a rule against non-licensed ownership of
>amateur gear. But here in the US, it's perfectly legal.
>
>Tom
>
>

>
>

4264. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 02:33:54 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DFBEA1B.4040501@attbi.com>
Source record: 146850

There are two specific nails that seal the coffin for certain radios.
1. Is the radio channelized? Without a vfo with frequency display, it's
hard to imagine it being very useful to many amateurs.
2. Does it ship from the factory set to transmit on 10 meters, but has a
jumper block that can be changed with a pair of needle nose pliers in 5
minutes? The tradtional amateur brands can be changed but require a
little heat to do the job and it normally isn't all that obvious.

Tom Sevart wrote:

><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:pccfvuol6k22uamae97ejghbtr020uh91o@4ax.com...
>
>
>
>>**
>>"readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
>>splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means."
>>OR OTHER? Hardly specific enough to be taken seriously if challenged.
>>**
>>
>>Although this document does come from the FCC it has serious
>>weaknesses in its lack of specificity and its presumptions.
>>
>>
>
>That's the problem I have with the government trying to regulate what radios
>we can use. I used a 2510 on 10 meters while mobile for a few years and I
>enjoyed using that radio. Now the government wants to get rid of them
>because some people use them on 11 meters. The problem with that FCC
>document is that it's so vague that just about any HF radio can be
>considered to be an "illegal CB transceiver." My Kenwood TS-140 ham rig can
>be converted to full 1500 kHz - 30 MHZ transmit just by removing two diodes.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>

4265. Some Guts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 02:45:30 UTC
From: Ron <nysleeper@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <e1SK9.37$jK1.5968942@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146868

old archer/radio shack 5/8 or .64 wave guts. you be the judge.
ron

4266. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 03:10:17 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <toSK9.508$mx.379224@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 146851

The Meaning of OMIK

OMIK (pronounced "Oh-Mike"), is a national Amateur Radio organization of (mostly) African-American radio hams that is doing good work for Amateur Radio. You may have seen their booth at the Dayton HamVention.

I cited the Quarter Century Wireless Association as an example, pointing out that the QCWA has a much larger membership than OMIK's 500 or so.

its not so big.....

drc

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1039911180.780809@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> Hey dvj
> You must be under a rock somewhere .
> Just as so that You will be informed , I'll help You out
> OMIK is the second largest Ham radio organization in the US. , Only the
ARRL
> is larger than Them.
> And so that You don't sit as a idiot and say DUH? .
> OMIK has been around for 51 Years and even though they welcome People from
> all races They are predominantly Black and was founded by blacks .
> Read the Nov. issue of qst page 54 .
> So You see dvj before You try starting shit You should at least get Your
> facts straight .
> But what can one expect . There are good People in every part of society
but
> then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
> trailer parks a bad name.
> Have a good life
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com...
> > By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color! Black folk just
love
> > CB which
> > explains why there are so few of them on the Ham bands. Ham radio is for
> > white
> > people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear a confused black
> > person, inform
> > him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on CB!
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> > W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!

> >
> > http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
> >
> >
>
>

4267. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 03:16:19 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lgsnvukpbreg50bkct7pkvipgj2ajfbsdq@4ax.com>
Source record: 146852

On 14 Dec 2002 09:49:36 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit)
wrote:

>I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
>am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
>tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
>before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
>superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
>hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
>of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
>back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

You know enough to be able to operate a keyboard and post a message
but you don't know enough to keep your hands away from your radios
trimmers? Something seems real fishy here. Are you a troll?

If you truly are ignorant enough to mess up your radio in the manner
you stated then you have to be ignorant enough to disassemble the
computer, keyboard, and monitor to see if you can tweak the responses
for this thread.

Start tweaking. I'm sure the answer will come to you eventually.

4268. RCI 2980

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 03:36:38 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214223638.13250.00000090@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 146853

how many watts can i get out of this radio (AM band)?

4269. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 03:38:13 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <qbGdneJWI7S8ZGagXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146854

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B1GK9.153430$Gc.4984875@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:ptacnSeL2ejNWmegXTWcog@comcast.com...
> >
> > > But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just tolerated
> as
> > a
> > > tag-a-long.
> >
> >
> > So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with open
arms.
> >
> >
>
> You were just tolerated by the AKC. Never given their full blessing.

LOL, little do you know I am an official Card Carrying Member. I am aKc 4
Life Vato! You were asked to join, of course a trial period would have been
given since you would be coming over from the other side. I have assigned
duties from our Grand Poobah, jealousy will get you no where.

4270. Re: Site for cellphone jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:08:39 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <ZEGdnRRTB7ffnWGgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146855

They are Illegal in the USA anyhow.

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFB89D4.EB80313C@listed.here...
> It only kills 800 & 900 Mhz phones. It won't
> bother my CDMA phone when digital.
>
> My Nokia 5165 shows:
> 824.04-848.97 TX / 869.04-893.97 RX (analog)
> 1850.04-1909.92 TX / 1930.08-1989.69 RX (digital)
>
> ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
> >
> > http://starportuk.com/21.htm

4271. Re: Site for cellphone jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:10:21 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <1kGdnTpjLsE1nWGgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146856

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFB89D4.EB80313C@listed.here...
> It only kills 800 & 900 Mhz phones. It won't
> bother my CDMA phone when digital.

It sure will :

W-S (A) 800 CDMA/ TDMA & 1900 PCS

>
> My Nokia 5165 shows:
> 824.04-848.97 TX / 869.04-893.97 RX (analog)
> 1850.04-1909.92 TX / 1930.08-1989.69 RX (digital)
>
> ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
> >
> > http://starportuk.com/21.htm

4272. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:31:47 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <zHydnXhuyMkymGGgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146857

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Can it be modified sure can, but it has met the FCC's Type aceptance and
> > certification while these phony 10 meter radios have not. What is so
vague
> > about that.

> Bzzzzt; wrong again. Amateur radios are not "Type Accepted" at all. No
> certification is required for ham equipment. It IS required for CB
> radios, however.

They require type certification to be sold. They must also meet minimum requirements to be operated by hams no matter if it is a homebrew radio or amp. Those radios being sold do not meet those FCC Specifications that is why they are not Type Certification Issued on those radios.
(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application for, and grant of certification. If the changes affect the characteristics required to be reported, a complete application shall be filed. If the characteristics required to be reported are not changed the abbreviated procedure of § 2.933 may be used.

(e) Equipment that has been certificated or formerly type accepted for use in the Amateur Radio Service pursuant to the requirements of part 97 of this chapter may be modified without regard to the conditions specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided the following conditions are met:

(1) Any person performing such modifications on equipment used under part 97 of this chapter must possess a valid amateur radio operator license of the class required for the use of the equipment being modified.

(2) Modifications made pursuant to this paragraph are limited to equipment used at licensed amateur radio stations.

(3) Modifications specified or performed by equipment manufacturers or suppliers must be in accordance with the requirements set forth in paragraph (b) of this section.

(4) Modifications specified or performed by licensees in the Amateur Radio Service on equipment other than that at specific licensed amateur radio stations must be in accordance with the requirements set forth in paragraph (b) of this section.

(5) The station licensee shall be responsible for ensuring that modified equipment used at his station will comply with the applicable technical standards in part 97 of this chapter.

>
> And, as pointed out by another poster, the "vagueness" is in the
> wording of the Rule itself, and pretty much makes it unenforceable.

4273. Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:35:13 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <ILednRZQHqTgm2GgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 146858

I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified when he bought it. But this is the FCC's position on them. Oh and Type Certification.

FCC Enforcement Case Highlights FCC Amplifier Certification Rules
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 2, 2001--The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth used the occasion of a recent Warning Notice to hammer home the FCC's position on the sale of RF amplifiers that have not received FCC certification--formerly called "type acceptance." In a September 19 letter to Extra licensee Sidney Lee Martin, KD4YBC, Hollingsworth reiterated an earlier FCC warning to Martin that he cease commercial marketing of non-certificated external RF amplifiers and amplifier kits capable of operating below 144 MHz.

In 1978, the FCC banned the manufacture and sale of any external RF amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operating below 144 MHz without a grant of certification from the FCC. The rules specifically prohibit manufacture and sale of amps that operate between 24 and 35 MHz as a means to stem the flow of illegal Citizens Band amplifiers.

The case arose from a February 11, 2000, warning to Martin from the FCC's Detroit office as a result of a classified ad Martin had run in QST. The ad featured the sale of external Amateur Radio RF amplifier kits for HF and 6 meters. The FCC letter admonished Martin that selling or offering such units for sale violated §2.815 of the FCC's rules.

Martin--who operated a one-man business called RF Electronics in South Carolina--countered with his interpretation that he was allowed, under FCC Part 97 Amateur Service rules, to sell such kits as one amateur to another under an exception to the certification rules. Martin argued that §97.315 of the Amateur Service rules permitted his licensed customers to purchase from him--as another licensee--and construct or modify one model of a non-certificated RF power amp or kit per calendar year for that licensee's personal use.

The FCC rejected that analysis, however. Hollingsworth emphasized that §2.815(c) of the FCC's rules requires all external RF power amplifier kits that can operate below 144 MHz after assembly be FCC-certificated before they can be made, sold, leased, marketed, imported, shipped or distributed. He noted that other provisions of §2.815 apply only to certain already fabricated amplifiers and do not exempt amplifier kits, particularly those capable of operating between 24 and 35 MHz after assembly.

Hollingsworth said §97.315 also "specifically prohibits the use in the Amateur Service of an amplifier that the operator had constructed from a non-certified kit." He also noted that, in addition to kits, Martin's RF Electronics Web site had been selling non-certificated, assembled RF power

amplifiers for use below 144 MHz. Hollingsworth said at least three of the assembled models were advertised as operational between 24 and 35 MHz.

Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or dealer. The rules do not provide for mass marketing or manufacturing and marketing kits or assembled amplifiers as part of a business, Hollingsworth said.

"Any and all marketing of external RF power amplifiers or amplifier kits capable of operation below 144 MHz must be in strict compliance with ?2.815 of the Commission's rules," Hollingsworth warned. He said the FCC would prosecute any violations and take enforcement action against Martin's amateur license.

Martin's Web site no longer offers any RF amplifiers for sale.

4274. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:36:30 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <oWidnWESyMJUm2GgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146859

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
> Bzzzzt; wrong again. Amateur radios are not "Type Accepted" at all. No
> certification is required for ham equipment. It IS required for CB
> radios, however.

Then explain this then Dickhead.

FCC Enforcement Case Highlights FCC Amplifier Certification Rules
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 2, 2001--The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth used the occasion of a recent Warning Notice to hammer home the FCC's position on the sale of RF amplifiers that have not received FCC certification--formerly called "type acceptance." In a September 19 letter to Extra licensee Sidney Lee Martin, KD4YBC, Hollingsworth reiterated an earlier FCC warning to Martin that he cease commercial marketing of non-certificated external RF amplifiers and amplifier kits capable of operating below 144 MHz.

In 1978, the FCC banned the manufacture and sale of any external RF amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operating below 144 MHz without a grant of certification from the FCC. The rules specifically prohibit manufacture and sale of amps that operate between 24 and 35 MHz as a means to stem the flow of illegal Citizens Band amplifiers.

The case arose from a February 11, 2000, warning to Martin from the FCC's Detroit office as a result of a classified ad Martin had run in QST. The ad featured the sale of external Amateur Radio RF amplifier kits for HF and 6 meters. The FCC letter admonished Martin that selling or offering such units for sale violated ?2.815 of the FCC's rules.

Martin--who operated a one-man business called RF Electronics in South Carolina--countered with his interpretation that he was allowed, under FCC Part 97 Amateur Service rules, to sell such kits as one amateur to another under an exception to the certification rules. Martin argued that ?97.315 of the Amateur Service rules permitted his licensed customers to purchase from him--as another licensee--and construct or modify one model of a non-certificated RF power amp or kit per calendar year for that licensee's personal use.

The FCC rejected that analysis, however. Hollingsworth emphasized that ?2.815(c) of the FCC's rules requires all external RF power amplifier kits that can operate below 144 MHz after assembly be FCC-certificated before they can be made, sold, leased, marketed, imported, shipped or distributed. He noted that other provisions of ?2.815 apply only to certain already fabricated amplifiers and do not exempt amplifier kits, particularly those capable of operating between 24 and 35 MHz after assembly.

Hollingsworth said §97.315 also "specifically prohibits the use in the Amateur Service of an amplifier that the operator had constructed from a non-certified kit." He also noted that, in addition to kits, Martin's RF Electronics Web site had been selling non-certificated, assembled RF power amplifiers for use below 144 MHz. Hollingsworth said at least three of the assembled models were advertised as operational between 24 and 35 MHz.

Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or dealer. The rules do not provide for mass marketing or manufacturing and marketing kits or assembled amplifiers as part of a business, Hollingsworth said.

"Any and all marketing of external RF power amplifiers or amplifier kits capable of operation below 144 MHz must be in strict compliance with §2.815 of the Commission's rules," Hollingsworth warned. He said the FCC would prosecute any violations and take enforcement action against Martin's amateur license.

Martin's Web site no longer offers any RF amplifiers for sale.

4275. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 04:52:19 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <9i2ovushocisbe6t77heds9tq81taqg15h@4ax.com>
Source record: 146860

On Thu, 12 Dec 2002 15:59:24 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>On 12 Dec 2002 12:44:00 -0800, Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit)
>wrote:
>
>>Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
>>Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
>>and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
>>mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.
>
>You can go up to 60 feet high with that antenna, however if you drive
>by an airport you should drop it down to 20 feet.
****

Even with 20 feet the overpasses are in the way.

james

4276. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:01:13 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <s03ovuo6gd44p1o5g9q93g11cj75mug3bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 146861

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 22:24:40 -0500, "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom>
wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:nv8fvu0psegpl2ftdtoootv6no4fv1ph8p@4ax.com...
>> snip
>> So an amateur should have access to radio gear and non amateurs
>> shouldn't? I'm sorry, it doesn't work that way.
>
>Yes amateurs have access to approved amatuer gear that they are allowed to
>use, what are they supposed to talk on? What Planet are you from? Cbers
>have access to "CB Radios"
>
****

Have you ever thought of building your own amatuer radio? The FCC does
allow that you know!

james

4277. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:04:46 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <863ovug5vrqqv2nntfm8p2idibnujsnbdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 146863

On 11 Dec 2002 17:58:43 GMT, reg1647@aol.com (Reg1647) wrote:

>This is a joke. There is not a SO CALLED, FCC LEGAL HAM RADIO that cant be
>modified for cb or 11 meter, what ever you want to call it. The others you just
>mentioned are just simpler to do. Give me a break. LOL.
****

Any tranciever made to operate between 25 and 30 Mhz can be made to
operate on 27 MHz. No matter what is done. Given the right amount of
circuitry it can be done. There is and there never will be an amature
hf transceiver that can not be modified. The question is,will it be
worth it?

james

4278. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:06:24 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <Xd-dnfth5M1WkGGgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146862

"Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:ILednRZQHqTgm2GgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified when he bought it. But this is
> the FCC's position on them. Oh and Type Certification.

Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or
modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use
at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a
kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or
dealer.

So Dave was able to use the amp if he modified it. As noted if he was to
sell it it should be to a Ham or a dealer.

4279. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:12:59 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <79qdnTC64Y_KkmGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146864

<james> wrote in message news:s03ovuo6gd44p1o5g9q93g11cj75mug3bk@4ax.com...
> Have you ever thought of building your own amatuer radio? The FCC does
> allow that you know!
>
> james

No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.

4280. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:39:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hAUK9.158419$Gc.5134058@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146865

"Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:qbGdneJWI7S8ZGagXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:B1GK9.153430$Gc.4984875@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > news:ptacnSeL2ejNWmegXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > > But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just
tolerated
> > as
> > > > a
> > > > tag-a-long.
> > >
> > >
> > > So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with open
> arms.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > You were just tolerated by the AKC. Never given their full blessing.
>
> LOL, little do you know I am an official Card Carrying Member. I am aKc 4
> Life Vato! You were asked to join, of course a trial period would have
been
> given since you would be coming over from the other side. I have assigned
> duties from our Grand Poobah, jealousy will get you no where.
>
>

Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this invitation?
I somehow have overlooked it.

4281. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 05:51:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146866

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hAUK9.158419$Gc.5134058@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > LOL, little do you know I am an official Card Carrying Member. I am aKc
4
> > Life Vato! You were asked to join, of course a trial period would have
> been
> > given since you would be coming over from the other side. I have
assigned
> > duties from our Grand Poobah, jealousy will get you no where.
> >
> >
>
> Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
invitation?
> I somehow have overlooked it.
>
>

What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
legend in his own mind"

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4282. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 06:14:27 UTC
From: SBlackfoot <trypticon@sympatico.remove.ca>
Message-ID: <G5VK9.8608$281.1907895@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 146867

> I don't know what the laws (if any) are here in MA now, but a girl I dated
> about 4 years ago had a 108" whip mounted dead center on the roof of a
late
> 1980s Chevy Blazer with at least a four inch lift kit. The bottom of the
> antenna must have been...oh...7 feet off the ground...all I know is that
ANY
> bridge we ever encountered, the darn thing would bang against the bridge
and
> then whip back and forth, sometimes hard enough to dent the roof, and
twice
> hard enough to smash the windshield...certainly there's a lesson to learn
> there.

Smashing the windshield... And she had to learn that lesson <twice>?

4283. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 07:20:46 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <athaii01hm1@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146869

"Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:Xd-dnfth5M1WkGGgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:ILednRZQHqTgm2GgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> > I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified when he bought it. But this
is
> > the FCC's position on them. Oh and Type Certification.
>
> Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or
> modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for
use
> at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a
> kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or
> dealer.
>
>
>
> So Dave was able to use the amp if he modified it. As noted if he was to
> sell it it should be to a Ham or a dealer.

"For his personal use".
He could not legally sell it because of the rule excluding sale of RF
amps/kits below 144mhz.
The rule did not say "except to another licensee", it said, "sale of".
Period.
Read your own post. The rule was instated to prevent guys like that from
making available to CBers that kind of equipment.
Want an amp for CB? Become a licensed ham and build your own.
Even better, build your own CB and put the power output section in with the
guts.

4284. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 07:24:59 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <athaqg01i4r@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146870

"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
>
>
> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
>
> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> regulations.....

Look who's out giving advice about rules and regs.
why are you advertising here if not to the cbers?
oh mr. hollingsworth sir, we have a customer for you.

4285. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 09:31:45 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5_XK9.359312$WL3.110530@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 146871

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
wrote in message news:athaii01hm1@enews3.newsguy.com...

> "For his personal use". He could not legally sell it
> because of the rule excluding sale of RF amps/kits
> below 144mhz. The rule did not say "except to
> another licensee", it said, "sale of". Period. Read
> your own post. The rule was instated to prevent
> guys like that from making available to CBers that
> kind of equipment. Want an amp for CB? Become
> a licensed ham and build your own. Even better,
> build your own CB and put the power output section
> in with the guts.

Did you miss this paragraph?

'Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur
to construct or modify a non-certified RF power amplifier
once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station
--although the unit may not be built from a kit--and the
amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or
dealer. The rules do not provide for mass marketing or
manufacturing and marketing kits or assembled amplifiers as
part of a business, Hollingsworth said.'

Clearly says he may sell his amp to a dealer or another
licensed amateur. The conditions on the building/modifying
and the selling of such amps is worded so as to prevent
someone from making a commercial business out of it.

Dave

4286. ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 10:41:26 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215054126.06373.00000117@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 146872

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1322 – December 13, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1322 with a release date of Friday, December 13, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio responds as a winter blizzard sweeps the Carolinas and the FCC asks a V-E team to explain. Find out what on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1322 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**
RESCUE RADIO: HAMS RESPOND TO CAROLINA BLIZZARD

Weathecasters described it as a clash of titans. A large cold mass of air from the North collided with a warm wet airmass from the Gulf of Mexico. The result was blizzard-like conditions in many areas of the Mid-West and along the Eastern Seaboard.

It all happened late Wednesday December 4th and all day on Thursday December 5 th. Hardest hit was North Carolina. Gary Pearce, KN4AQ, lived through the storm in Raleigh and has this first hand report:

--

The storm began moving across North Carolina as snow and sleet on Wednesday afternoon, and turned to ice overnight. With temperatures hovering just below freezing, a half-inch or more of ice coated trees and power lines. Power failed to several million homes across a wide belt in the center of the state. Telephone service had fewer outright failures, but both wired and cellular service was sluggish due to the high volume of calls.

The amateur radio story began Wednesday afternoon, as SKYWARN spotters tracked the storm for the National Weather Service. As night fell and power failed, the Red Cross and county emergency management's began opening shelters to give people a warm place to stay. Amateurs in several dozen counties staffed EOCs, shelters and Red Cross offices to assure continued communications. There was no statewide communications emergency, but ARES operators kept watch on the statewide ARES HF nets, and the state EOC in Raleigh remained on the air.

On Friday, with millions of people heading into the second night without power out and temperatures predicted to dip to 18 degrees, North Carolina Governor Mike Easley declared a state of emergency and decided to send the National Guard out to do house-to-house canvassing in 22 counties, to make sure people without phones, radio and TV knew there were shelters available.

The communications plan for the Guard units was to use cell phones to call into the State EOC and make their reports. North Carolina Emergency Management asked if Amateur Radio could provide a backup if the cell phones didn't come through. But, they couldn't pin down when or where amateurs might be needed.

John Guerriero, KG4HDT, the Emergency Coordinator assigned to cover the State EOC, arranged for the Tarheel net -- NC's statewide ARES -- Net to operate all day Saturday. He announced on Friday's nets that operators may be needed at any time in the 22 counties covered. He was especially interested in hams with HF mobile capability.

Operators from many of the affected counties maintained watch Saturday, and Guerriero demonstrated the HF and VHF Amateur Radio operation to the National Guard coordinator at the EOC. Ultimately, however, the hams received no requests for Amateur Radio communication.

One county did work directly with the Guard. In Alamance County, ARES operators who already on duty providing communications between the EOC, the Red Cross and shelters offered to accompany the Guard, but were told that civilians could not ride in military vehicles. Instead, the county provided VHF radios left over from a recent move to 800 MHz, and the Amateurs at the EOC acted as dispatchers. Alamance County EC Dwayne Ayers, N4MIO, reported that this arrangement wasn't nearly as good as the coverage the hams could have provided, but it was all the Guard would accept.

ARES operation in some counties continued through Monday, though with much power restored and shelters closing, most Amateur Radio activity secured by Tuesday.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, this is Gary Pearce KN4AQ in Raleigh, North Carolina.

--

As we go to air almost everyone in North Carolina have had their power restored. And the hams of North Carolina are standing by -- just in case Mother Nature has more winter storms up her sleeve. (KN4AQ, ARNewsline(tm))

**

HAM RAIO IN SPACE: ARISS MEETS IN WASHINGTON

It may have been snowing outside, but that did not stop ham radio delegations from descending on the Washinton D-C area for the Winter 2002 meeting to plan the future of ARISS and manned ham radio on board the International Space Station. Roy Neal, K6DUE, was there and filed back this report:

--

THE DELEGATES AND REPRESENTATIVES OF ARISS…AMATEUR RADIO ON THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION... MET AT THE GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, NEAR THE NATION’S CAPITOL.THEY DECIDED THAT 2 THOUSAND AND TWO WAS A GOOD YEAR FOR THE SPACE STATION. IT GREW RAPIDLY AND IS WORKING WELL. THE SAME IS TRUE OF ARISS.

THERE WERE MANY EXCELLENT CONTACTS WITH SCHOOLS ALL OVER THE WORLD, INCLUDING EUROPE, SOUTH AFRICA AND JAPAN. GROUND STATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA, AUSTRALIA AND HAWAII WORKED VERY WELL IN MAKING THOSE CONTACTS .

PACKET HAS BEEN BROUGHT ON LINE AND SEVERAL THOUSAND VERY SATISFIED USERS ARE MAKING THE MOST OF IT.

FOUR EXTERNAL ANTENNAS WERE INSTALLED LAST YEAR. A MONUMENTAL ACHIEVEMENT THAT GAVE ARISS THE CAPABILITY OF OPERATING ALMOST ANY FREQUENCY FROM 20 METERS UP INTO THE GIGAHERTZ RANGE.

AMONG ITS PROBLEMS, THE SPACE STATION HAS A CREW OF ONLY THREE ASTRONAUTS AND COSMONAUTS., INSTEAD OF SEVEN AS ORIGINALLY PLANNED. THAT SEVERELY LIMITS OPERATING TIME FOR AMATEUR RADIO. THE ARISS DELEGATES WERE TOLD THAT THE INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS HOPE TO INCREASE CREW SIZE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. HOPEFULLY A LOT MORE AIR TIME WILL BE AVAILABLE.

HAM RADIO ON THE SPACE STATION PLANS FOR THE NEXT PHASE CALL FOR EXPANSION TO 70 CENTIMETER OPERATION AND A SECOND STATION ON THE AIR. THIS WOULD OPEN THE DOOR TO FULL DUPLEX OPERATION. AT A FUTURE DATE IT MIGHT EVEN ALLOW STUDENTS TO RECEIVE PICTURES ON 70 CM WHILE TALKING ON 2 METERS!

WORK WILL CONTINUE, TESTING SLOW SCAN TELEVISION AND SPACE CAM HARDWARE, HOPEFUL OF GETTING BOTH THESE PROJECTS ON THE AIR PERHAPS BY THIS TIME NEXT YEAR.

MANY OTHER EXCITING, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS WERE DISCUSSED. THEY WILL BE REPORTED HERE ON NEWSLINE DURING THE COMING YEAR AS THE ARISS TEAM CONTINUES BUILDING ITS HAM RADIO STATION IN ORBIT.

ROY NEAL, K6DUE, FOR AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE

--

Thanks Roy. (K6DUEE, ARNewsline(tm))

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RS-20 IN ORBIT

Russia has a new ham satellite in space. Well, kind of.
According to the AMSAT News Service, the new ham radio bird is actually an Amateur Radio telemetry beacon operating as a secondary payload of a new Russian navigational and scientific satellite. It was launched on November 28th, is at an altitude of 720 kilometers and circles the Earth every 99 minutes. Its beacons can be heard transmitting telemetary on 145.828 and 435.319 MHz. The new satellite has been officially named RS-20. (AMSAT NA)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: 40 METER REALLIGNMENT DISCUSSED
The just-ended preparatory meeting for next years World Radiocommunication Conference heard five ways to fix the problems plaguing 40 meter. It also heard a sixth presentatoion that would essentially leave things as they are.

Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:

--
Without going into minute detail, the five concepts differ markedly from one anotgher. League Executive Vice President Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, says in the ARRL Letter that all five options do represent improvements for Amateurs operatng in the 40 meter band, although two fall short of fulfilling the 300-kHz worldwide requirement.

The International Amateur Radio Union is on record as supporting a 300-kHz worldwide amateur allocation in the vicinity of 7 MHz. Sumner says that achieving this will require the fixed and mobile services to make room for broadcasters and for the broadcasters to change their operating frequencies.

The five proposed methods for change include a variety of transition schedules to ease the impact on these other services. Even with this Dave Sumner says that there is no guarantee that proposals will be limited to the six methods described in the report.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

More on this story is on the web at www.arrl.org (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W4VRB repeater serving warm Vero Beach Florida.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VE'S ASKED TO ANSWER STRANGE PASSING GRADE

The FCC's Enforcement Bureau has begun an audit an ARRL VEC sponsored test session held in Pelham Georgia on June 10th 2000. Letters have been sent to the three V-E's who supervised the test. They ask some key questions:

--
Duckworth" “The bureau seeks information as to how a candidate who has seriously failed seven tests passed the Pelham test with a perfect score on Element 2 and only one wrong answer on Element 3.”

--

The reason for the suspicion? Again the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W:

--
Duckworth: “The candidate got a license but was recalled for testing. He did not appear and his license was canceled.”

--

The three V-E's were given an appropriate amount of time to respond. In the meantime the FCC has also recommended to the ARRL VEC that it not not accept their services while the matter is under review. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SUGGESTS THAT FIVE VE'S ARE OUT

Meantime, the FCC has closed the books on its audit of a pair of ARRL sponsored ham radio exams in Cookville Tennessee. The sessions were held back on December 14th 1999 and March 11th, 2000. And as the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, tells us, the Enforcement Bureau has recommended that five Volunteer Examiners not be used again:

--
Duckworth: “It recommended that the services of five V-E's be no longer accepted because they knew or should have known that one of the test candidates was related to a V-E. Also, the location of one of the test sessions was not revealed in the documentation sent to the ARRL.”
--

A final decision on the future of the five V-E's is now in the hands of the ARRL VEC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

HAM RADIO TESTING: NEW TECHNICIAN CLASS QUESTIONS NOW AVAILABLE

Scotty Neustadter, W4WW, says that the new question pool for Technician class exams is out. Neustadter heads the Question Pool Committee of the National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators. And, in an e-mail, W4WW says that the committee has released a revised and expanded Amateur Technician class question pool into the public domain.

The new question pool becomes effective July 1, 2003. It must be used for all Technician written examinations given on or after that date. The complete set of questions can be downloaded in text or Portable Document File format from www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html. You will need a free Adobe Acrobat reader program to view and print the P-D-F version. It can be downloaded at www.adobe.com. (W4WW)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC EXPECTED TO OK MITNICK RENEWAL

The FCC is expected to approve the license renewal of former computer hacker Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, if it has not done so already. The W5YI Report says that an Administrative Law Judge says that Mitnick has paid his debt to society and has been adaquitey rehabilitated to where he now holds the requisite character requirements to continue as an FCC license holder.

In rendering his decision the Judge added that Mitnick has been using his radio gear since his release from prison and it is significant that there have been no complaints filed regarding his radio operations. Also, that Mitnick's post

prison conduct demonstrates that his rehabilitation can be relied upon to deal honestly with the Commission and to comply with all rules and regulations.

Mitnick's ham radio license renewal had been on hold based on his conviction for computer hacking which the FCC alluded brought with it questions regarding his fitness to remain a Commission licensee. (W5YI, ARNewsline(tm))

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC RE-LICENSES HERB SCHOENBOHM

The former KV4FZ is back on the air but not under that call sign. Herb Schoenbohm who used tohold that call sign showed up on the air during last weekends 160 metrer D-X contest sporting the call NP2MJ.

FCC records show that Schoenbohm’s new call was issued to him on December 7th. The action to grant a new ham license comes about two months after an FCC Administrative Law Judge ruled that he now holds the requisite requirements to again become a Commission license holder.

Two years ago Schoenohm was forced off the air after the FCC refused to renew his ham ticket based on an earlier fraud conviction and the U.S. Supreme Court refused to hear his license renewal appeal. Its not known if Schoenbohm will apply for his old KV4FZ call under the vanity progam -- or -- if the FCC would grant such a request. (Numerous listener reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VK CB'ERS IN TROUBLE

Still with enforcement news, word from down-under that a pair of Australian C-B operators are in trouble with communications regulators. VK7HG-O reports that the two U-H-F C-B operators have been charged with breaches Australia's Radiocommunications Act for operating where they were not supposed to be.

On November 22nd, the two C-Bers were talking on the input channel to an emergency repeater. They refused to relinquish the frequency and would not move away. They were tracked from the town of Reinna to Richmond and back to Honbart by a ham radio direction finding team and the Australian Communications Authority. The regulatory agancy has already spoken with the two V-K Citizens Radio operators and is reportedly considering further action. (Q-News)

**

RADIO POLITICS: HAM ELECTED MAYOR OF CALIFORNIA CITY

The New Mayor of Agoura Hills California is a ham. Jeff Reinhardt, AA6JR was sworn in as the city's new leader on December 3rd. He says his theme for 2003 is “The Year of Discovery.”

Reinhardt, a public relations specialist, is best known nationally as he author of his “Magic in the Sky” column at appears regularly in C-Q Magazine. He will be starting a new quarterly column on mobiling also for C-Q.

Among those attending the swearing-in ceremony were Reinhardt's wife Melissa KD6BIT, daughter Jessica KD6ARA and son Steven K6SJR. Also present were Jim Fortney K6IYK, Doug Wynn WY6NN and a number of other local Southern California hams.

2003 marks the 20th year of cityhood for Agoura Hills but only the first time a licensed radio amateur has sat in the Mayor's seat. (WY6NN)

**

CONFERENCES: THE SOUTHEASTERN VHF SOCIETY

Turning to conventions and hamfests, a first call is out for papers to be presented at the 2003 Southeastern VHF Society Conference. This gathering is slated for Huntsville, Alabama, on April 25 and 26. The deadline for submitting papers to Dick Hanson, K5AND, is March 11. Dick's e-mail address is k5and@adelphia.net. Contact him directly with any questions. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO MEMORIBILIA: COLLINS REPRODUCTIONS AVAILABLE ON-LINE

Collins reproduction items, including a new antenna switch unit are now being marketed by the Collins Radio Association. This, through its Collins Radio Center location, near Johnstown, Pennsylvania. Items can be picked up in person at the center or shipped via U-P-S. More information on what's available is in cyberspace at www.collinsra.com. (Press release)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: NEW STUDY SAYS MOBILE PHONES CAUSE ACCIDENTS

Researchers say increased cell phone use has led to more crashes caused by drivers on the phone. According to a December 2nd news report, researchers at Harvard's Center for Risk Analysis, drawing on previous research involving cell phones and government figures for auto accidents, say there is a growing public health risk from the reliance on cell phones in cars.

Data on the number of crashes caused by cell phones is incomplete but it suggested that drivers talking on their phones are responsible for about 6 percent of U.S. auto accidents each year. Translated into a human toll it means that an estimated 2,600 people are killed and another 330,000 are injured.

Researchers say that the figure was reached using current cell phone usage estimates to update a 1997 study. That study looked at phone records of Canadian drivers involved in crashes to see if they were making calls at the time.

The number of cell phone subscribers has grown from 94 million in 2000 to more than 128 million today. Opponents of banning mobile phone usage by drivers say that the benefit of car calls outweigh the possible dangers that they may pose. (Published reports)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: EMERGENCY RADIO CAN INTERFERE WITH PACEMAKERS

If you wear a pacemaker or know someone who does, listen up. A new emergency radio system could affect you. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details:

--
United Kingdom and setting the pace –makers: New Scientist 23 November carry an article warning that TETRA, the two-way radio system being adopted by many of the worlds emergency services can interfere with the operation of medical devices like heart-pacemakers.

TETRA operates at 400 megs and uses pulse-rates of 17.6 Hz. Rex VK4LR suggests that anyone playing with PCM at VHF or even HF should be very careful in case someone nearby suddenly has unanticipated ticker problems!
--

The TETRA system is a European developed standard that being adopted by emergency services in 50 countries. In addition to Europe, TETRA radios are in Africa, Asia and Latin America. Great Britains' Devices Agency found that TETRA radios can upset heart pacemakers, confuse defibrillators, and stop ventilators from working. (Q-News)

**

INTRUDER WATCH: AIR ACTS ON INTERFERENCE TO 10 MHZ

A shortwave broadcaster has acted to eliminate interference to a ham band. Following a report that All India Radio was radiating spurious signals from it's 9.950 Mhz channel the broadcaster has taken the offending transmitter off the air.

All India Radio's Deputy Director said that the company decided to suspend the transmission on November 21st to investigate the cause of spurious emissions. The unwanted spurs were being heard in 50 kHz increments and included 10.1 and 10.150 MHz . (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL - KENYA: NEW US-KENYA RECIP AGREEMENT
According to ZS6AKV, Kenya has new reciprocal licensing agreement between it and the United States. Under it, U-S citizens with F-C-C issued licenses may apply for and be granted Kenyan licenses.
ZS6AKV notes that it has taken the IARU close to ten years to achieve this

agreement. The application form to operate in Kenya can be downloaded a www.cck.go.ke. (SARL)
**
INTERNATIONAL – UK: NEW UK-THAILAND RECIP AGREEMENT

The United Kingdom's Radiocommunications Agency has signed a reciprocal licensing agreement with Thailand. Visitors to Thailand may apply for a Thai license with an H-S-Zero prefix and long-term residents of Thailand receive a full Thai callsign. (RSGB)

**

DX

In D-X, HG4I reports that he will be on from Hungary between the 20th of December and 12th January with the special event call sign H-G-Zero 3-H-N-Y. This call celebrates the new year with the numerals Zero 3 representing 2003 and the H-N-Y suffix is abbreviation of phrase Happy New Year. Look for him on all of the H-F bands running CW, RTTY, BPSK, SSB, and FM on 29 MHz.. QSL directly with a self addressed stamped envelope to HG4I at the call book address. (HG4I)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PAPER SAID WHAT?

And finally this week, an update to a simple story that has now taken a rather bizarre twist. As in -- a reporter made part of it up and nobody caught it. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, is back with the rest of the story:

--
Last week we at Amateur Radio Newsline brought you an item about a call by the Partnership for Public Warning to create a national wireless emergency alert system. One that could send warnings to citizens via their pagers, mobile phones or computer screens.

It sounds like a good idea, only thats not what P-P-W had in mind when it released a report based on a workshop that the group conducted last June.

You see, one of the worlds largest news services picked up the story and put it out on the wires. Several news organizations including many newspapers picked up wire service story and ran their own versions of it. We were sent a number of copies by our own listeners that appeared in their local papers. And who ever questions a newspaper article? Well in this case we all should have.

Richard Rudman, W6TIA, is a Director of the Partnership for Public Warning. He ways that when his organization learned of the story its Press Relations Director contacted the news agency. Rudman says they admitted to P-P-W that their reporter made up the lead sentence about using pagers to contact the public. And as a result, the news agency actually issued a very rare retraction, but many news organizations that ran the original story did not pick up the corrected release.

For the record, Rudman says that the Partnership for Public Warning does not endorse any one vendor or technology over another. Its goal is to promote what it terms as a level playing field. One where all warning marketplace players can be more certain of rules and standards. This says W6TIA will permit them to develop on a level playing field which does not now exist. It will also promote development of common warning terminology to better serve the publics need.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.

--

That full report of last June's P-P-W workshop was released on November 25th. You can read it on-line at www.ppw.us.org. (PPW via W6TIA, W6VR)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go this note. We have been advised that last weeks story on the Canals of Venus credited to Q-News actually originated in Bob Gonsett's CGC Communicator. There was no credit line in the Q-News audio report and we thought it was theirs. Turns out it was actually written by Bob Gonsett, W6VR.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

4287. Re: Old starduster antenna M400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 11:28:32 UTC
From: Starlord <starlord@in>
Message-ID: <By_K9.759$7S4.151011370@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146873

I once had a Starduster that I picked up at a yard sale, it was in bad shape, I piced it together with sticks and duck tape. It lasted about 6 years with me, I had to leave it when I moved to where I couldn't set up a radio.

--
In This Universe The Night was Falling,The Shadows were lenghtening
towards an east that would not know another dawn.
But elsewhere the Stars were still young and the light of morning lingered: and
along the path he once had followed, Man would one day go again.

SIAR
www.starlords.org
Akumaizer Cattery
http://akumaizer.united.net.kg
Global Japanese Bobtail Club
http://cathobbyist.com/gjbtc/
Telescope Buyers FAQ
http://home.inreach.com/starlord
High Mojave Desert Iris
http://desert-iris.netfirms.com/
Starlords-ATM Page
http://starlords-atm.netfirms.com
Bishop's Car Fund
http://www.bishopcarfund.Netfirms.com/

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:eZLJ9.667$MV5.77783@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >The Stardusters are great antennas.
>
> True
>
> >Antennas haven't progressed much if any in my opinion.
>
> We all have a right to our opinion even if it is flawed. The Interceptor 10K
> is the best antenna ever made (Vertical Omni). It uses NEW technology and has
> "progressed" substancially over antennas of the past!
>
> >Metal is always better (than) fiberglass.
>
> I agree! If fiberglass antennas were "better", than all the amateur radio
> antenna manufactures would be building fiberglass antennas. Fiberglass has
> it's advantages, but signal strength is not one of those.
>
> >They stopped using metal for safety reasons (power lines - etc.) The
> >starduster, maco 5/8 wave , and the penatrator are the BEST antennas ever

> >made.
>
> Actually, they stopped making metal CB antennas because the manufactures were
> tired of getting sued when Billy Bob CB'er dropped it in the power lines.
> Lawsuits and lawyers are the reason.
>
> The Penetrator was a very good antenna. I believe the Avanti Sigma 5/8 was
> even better, but that is just my opinion.
>
> The Maco is OK. Their quality of build is lacking in my opinion. But it does
> perform like a 5/8 should. The Starduster isn't even in the same league as
> the Sigma, Penetrator, or the Maco. How can it, its only a half wave antenna.
>
> Dino
>

4288. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 13:02:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <j9vovugebtq4d491la3d3jd2bqsk686or2@4ax.com>
Source record: 146874

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 01:24:59 -0600, "John Smith for example"
<sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:

>
>"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
>> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
>>
>>
>> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
>>
>> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
>> regulations.....
>
>Look who's out giving advice about rules and regs.
>why are you advertising here if not to the cbers?
>oh mr. hollingsworth sir, we have a customer for you.

Seriously, though. His amps look kind of shappy, and expensive.

Vinnie S.

4289. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 13:04:12 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <athvl2$2r8$3@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146875

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11277-3DF9D789-4@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> 27.495 upper sideband.
>

I will have a word with one or two of the locals who may DX on there... let them know to listen for you.

E-mail me with the callsign they should listen for, and maybe one or two of your other local stations.
If anyone else wants to send me details of their call signs, and where they may be found (and times), I will see if some of my locals can pick you up.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4290. Re: Thanks to Mike Scott!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 13:04:16 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <athvl3$2r8$4@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146876

"mrbrew" <mrbrew@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:uvl6vf9onfcu2b@corp.supernews.com...
> Mike sent me the info I needed to get my radio working. This is a great
> group of people, if you need info, there is always someone here who has
> the info and will help you. I know there have been alot of problems, I
> hope they go away, and this group can be what it was originally designed
> for, to share with one another information and knowledge about the hobby.

From what I hear, the problems have been here for years, so they are
unlikely to disappear soon.

However, I am pleased to see that someone has been helped by this group.
The helpful and friendly contributors are out there, just as with so many
groups. You just don't have to let the problems hide that fact.

Behind the scenes, there are also groups of people on here who e-mail each
other regularly... usually just to send something funny to brighten up the
other peoples day.

> As problems arise, instead of lashing out, we need to remember we
> are first and foremost brothers of Jesus Christ, and as Christians we
> should show the love of Jesus to one another.

Time for a group hug???

Have a nice Christmas.

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4291. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 14:46:44 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <atl4sj$bp2$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146791

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:gvOK9.9426$8d6.2645@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DFB902B.1E4BFB90@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > DJ,
> > There is nothing 'user' adjustable inside your
> > radio. Now that you have made adjustments, you had
> > better take it to someone who can fix it.
> > 'Doc
>
> My my, Doc,
>
> Ain't it amazing! A feller goes out and buys a "reddio" and suddenly he's
a
> technician, too! LOL!

Next week, he'll be selling his "services" as a "rig doctor" to other CBers.
We see the same on this side of the pond.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4292. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 15:44:52 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <B-2dncekQdLtPmGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146877

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...

> > Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
> invitation?
> > I somehow have overlooked it.
> >
> >
>
> What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
> legend in his own mind"
>
> Landshark

And your a fag in everyone elses mind.

4293. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:07:37 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DFCA8E9.1020502@optonline.net>
Source record: 146878

Go Deep wrote:

>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>news:YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
>
>>>Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
>>>
>>>
>>invitation?
>>
>>
>>>I somehow have overlooked it.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
>>legend in his own mind"
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
>
>And your a fag in everyone elses mind.
>
>
>
>
speak for yourself.......

4294. CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:08:06 UTC
From: digriz_jim@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <14cc1dc3.0212150808.4790e5c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146879

Hi there

I am considering purchasing a CB radio for use in my vehicles. I am looking for some advice on this. The unit I am considering is the Uniden PRO520XL or PRO510XL, mostly because of it's size, price and availability. I need something that will not take up too much room, and this will not be permanently mounted, rather it will likely be used in several vehicles.

I am sort of lost when it comes to antenna selection. I think I will be ok with a magnet mount model, easy to find at any truck stop. Will it be possible to route the cable through a door or hatchback, or will I need to do more permanent mounting/installation, such as drilling holes etc.

I am also considering a scanner. Will I be able to use the same antenna as the CB for this(using a cable splitter) or does each unit need it's own antenna?

Thanks for any info it is much appreciated.

4295. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:10:08 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215111008.22930.00000033@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 146880

Your wrong about Martin.He is back in bussiness per the FCC.He now has to sell the amps in pieces instead.Always away around ham rf police.Go to the web site and read.

4296. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:34:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Ma2L9.791$qG6.383@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146927

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021215105232.163$Vk@newsreader.com...
> >> Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
> > invitation? I somehow have overlooked it.
> >
> You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole to
> be a AKC member.

LOL!!!, have a good one Mopar.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4297. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:34:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Na2L9.792$qG6.265@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146881

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3DFCA8E9.1020502@optonline.net...

Go Deep wrote:

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...

Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
invitation?
I somehow have overlooked it.

What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
legend in his own mind"

Landshark

And your a fag in everyone elses mind.

speak for yourself.......

Don't worry Jim, he gets this way around the
holidays, must be tough being home alone and nothing
to do but whine & complain all day on the internet during
the holidays.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4298. Re: RCI 2980

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:35:40 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFCAF5C.DC6B7736@listed.here>
Source record: 146882

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> how many watts can i get out of this radio (AM band)?

You may dead key 1/4 of the maximum output.

Example: 100 watts peak, 25 watts dead key.

4299. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 16:58:27 UTC
From: RF Burns <rfburns@comcast.com>
Message-ID: <yX6dnZxiJvlGLGGgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146883

There are good People in every part of society but
> then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
> trailer parks a bad name.
Refer to link below.
http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1039911180.780809@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> Hey dvj
> You must be under a rock somewhere .
> Just as so that You will be informed , I'll help You out
> OMIK is the second largest Ham radio organization in the US. , Only the
ARRL
> is larger than Them.
> And so that You don't sit as a idiot and say DUH? .
> OMIK has been around for 51 Years and even though they welcome People from
> all races They are predominantly Black and was founded by blacks .
> Read the Nov. issue of qst page 54 .
> So You see dvj before You try starting shit You should at least get Your
> facts straight .
> But what can one expect . There are good People in every part of society
but
> then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
> trailer parks a bad name.
> Have a good life
> "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> news:zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com...
> > By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color! Black folk just
love
> > CB which
> > explains why there are so few of them on the Ham bands. Ham radio is for
> > white
> > people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear a confused black
> > person, inform
> > him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on CB!
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> > W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!
> >
> > http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
> >
> >
>

>

4300. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 17:19:20 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sQ2L9.54582$vM1.4366376@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146884

I'm not sure how your circuitry is... but your chassis design could use some
work.
Budd boxes for production equipment.... NOT!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
>
>
> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
>
> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> regulations.....

4301. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 17:29:58 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q_2L9.54592$vM1.4367481@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146885

Why don't I see any specifications at your site?
I think potential customers would rather see that then have that guy saying
"want milk" all the time...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
>
>
> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
>
> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> regulations.....

4302. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 18:00:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFCC33C.58547A2D@cwis.net>
Source record: 146886

Jim,
Routing coax cable through a door isn't exactly the best way of doing things. Depending on how tightly the door seals, sooner or later, the cable will be deformed enough to ruin it. If you can find a convenient 'knock-out' to route the cable through it would be better in the long run (or drill a hole).
Scanners and radio transmitters on the same antenna is an idea that isn't too good either. Sure, it can be done, but like welding on a gas tank, it ain't simple, and the altenatives are better. Since a mobile scanner's antenna doesn't have to be very much to start with, I would think that some other idea may be 'better'. How about a cell-phone antenna and just leave it mounted, or use a 'clip-on' type? Not much for distance reception, but then most people using a scanner when mobile are interested in stuff closer to hand.
'Doc

PS - You know, one option that almost no one ever thinks about is drilling a hole in glass. But get someone who knows how to do it...

4303. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 18:02:20 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <atig5f01mf6@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146888

"Jim diGriz" <digriz_jim@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:14cc1dc3.0212150808.4790e5c9@posting.google.com...
> Hi there
>
> I am considering purchasing a CB radio for use in my vehicles. I am
> looking for some advice on this. The unit I am considering is the
> Uniden PRO520XL or PRO510XL, mostly because of it's size, price and
> availability. I need something that will not take up too much room,
> and this will not be permanently mounted, rather it will likely be
> used in several vehicles.
>
> I am sort of lost when it comes to antenna selection. I think I will
> be ok with a magnet mount model, easy to find at any truck stop. Will
> it be possible to route the cable through a door or hatchback, or will
> I need to do more permanent mounting/installation, such as drilling
> holes etc.
>
> I am also considering a scanner. Will I be able to use the same
> antenna as the CB for this(using a cable splitter) or does each unit
> need it's own antenna?
>
> Thanks for any info it is much appreciated.

You'd need an entirely different antenna for the scanner.
When running cables through window joints, you rn the risk of pinching the
cable.
If it's a leased vehicle, drilling holes is not an option.
If it has a trunk, most people run the cable through the floorboard under
the carpet, then out through the backseat and into the trunk.
Using a trunk lip mount base for the antenna instead of a maggie.
Your possible other choice to consider would be to run the cable under the
carpet, drill a hole in the floorboard and run the cable out that way.
The hole is not noticeable and easy to seal.
if you're not willing to mess with connecting the end connector, your hole
must be big enough to slip the entire connector through as well.
To help seal the large hole, use a grommet or some type of vinyl sealant.
You could possibly use an oversized washer, cutting a slit in it just big
enough for the cable.
But running the cable through the window has always been the wrong way to do
it.

4304. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 18:05:41 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <atigbo01n36@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 146889

"Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:j9vovugebtq4d491la3d3jd2bqsk686or2@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 01:24:59 -0600, "John Smith for example"
> <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> >> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
> >>
> >>
> >> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
> >>
> >> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> >> regulations.....
> >
> >Look who's out giving advice about rules and regs.
> >why are you advertising here if not to the cbers?
> >oh mr. hollingsworth sir, we have a customer for you.
>
> Seriously, though. His amps look kind of shappy, and expensive.
>
> Vinnie S.

For a bare basic home brew amp, not to shabby looking though.
Kind of high priced though. For that price, I'd expect something better for
the box.

4305. Ham Gear for trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 18:14:03 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFCC633.6040703@dirtball.com>
Source record: 146887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
watts HF + 6 Meters.
It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get
the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners
manual . I also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in
good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been
using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working
Viking Valant and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Han station
with at least 200 watts out. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack.
I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop,(It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100 in
will give you 1250 out, New Caps,
that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter.
this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4306. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 18:40:09 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DFCCCAB.4040905@optonline.net>
Source record: 146890

Landshark wrote:

>
>
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net <mailto:3carroll@optonline.net>>
> wrote in message news:3DFCA8E9.1020502@optonline.net...
>
>
> Go Deep wrote:
>
>>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>>news:YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>
>>
>>>>Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
>>>>
>>>>
>>>invitation?
>>>
>>>
>>>>I somehow have overlooked it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>> What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
>>>legend in his own mind"
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>>
>>
>>And your a fag in everyone elses mind.
>>
>>
>>
>>
> speak for yourself.......
>
> Don't worry Jim, he gets this way around the
> holidays, must be tough being home alone and nothing
> to do but whine & complain all day on the internet during
> the holidays.

>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
hehehe and here i thought it was a year 'round obsession....

4307. Re: Ham Gear for trade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 19:05:05 UTC
From: Tom <jc@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <egkpvuk9e4268bccelkjg0jscsc44acgd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 146891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 18:14:03 GMT, Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
wrote:

>Hello
> I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
>watts HF + 6 Meters.
>It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get
>the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners
>manual . I also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in
>good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been
>using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
>oris@dirtball.com
> I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working
>Viking Valant and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Han station
>with at least 200 watts out. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack.
> I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop,(It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100
in will give you 1250 out, New Caps,
>that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter.
>this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
> Happy Holidays
> 73 and thanks for reading
> Oris
> N4CND
>http://www.dirtball.com

Whoreass sounds like a bitch on the radio.

4308. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 19:17:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <a8lpvu42gos63ler3dei42m3ilurg6i9u1@4ax.com>
Source record: 146892

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 12:05:41 -0600, "John Smith for example"
<sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote:

>For a bare basic home brew amp, not to shabby looking though.

You're right. I was was comparing them to production type.

>Kind of high priced though. For that price, I'd expect something better for
>the box.

Way overpriced.

Vinnie S.

4309. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 20:02:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021215150200.24785.00000019@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 146893

griffey, he's got wins on the line at alot of major breaks. you dont.

>
>
>Why don't I see any specifications at your site?
>I think potential customers would rather see that then have that guy saying
>"want milk" all the time...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
>> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
>>
>>
>> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
>>
>> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
>> regulations.....
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4310. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 20:08:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021215150843.24785.00000020@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 146894

sucks living where you are if a movie is 9 bucks

>
>Except yakking on it in a movie theater where I paid $9.00 to see a movie.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4311. Re: Want to know to the range of my setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 20:10:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021215151020.24785.00000021@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 146895

at night around 20 miles. during the day 2 miles.

>I have a cobra base 89xlr 4watt & a solarcon a99 antenna 40ft high. I
>think I am getting about 2 to 4 miles what should I get with this
>setup.

4312. Re: Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 20:12:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-106
Message-ID: <20021215151256.24785.00000022@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 146896

strom thurman once wrote: >Pro boxing, outside of basketball, the sport that blacks can thrive in.
>Nothing but a pure money maker for the sponsors.
>who wins is determined by the sponsors, not the players.
>

get the sponsors on your side and see if you win against holyfield or byrd lol

4313. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 21:33:20 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ay6L9.370017$QZ.55180@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146747

It worries me that if you right click on the animation and select "settings" there is a setting for "Allow [insert name of site using macromedia 6] access to your microphone and camera" Just why would I let macromedia player have access to my camera or microphone? Wonder if a site can by default have "yes" selected until you change the settings to no. Guess I'm just paranoid.

Dave

"RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.com> wrote in message news:yX6dnZxiJvlGLGGgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> There are good People in every part of society but
> > then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
> > trailer parks a bad name.
> Refer to link below.
> http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1039911180.780809@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Hey dvj
> > You must be under a rock somewhere .
> > Just as so that You will be informed , I'll help You out
> > OMIK is the second largest Ham radio organization in the US. , Only the
> ARRL
> > is larger than Them.
> > And so that You don't sit as a idiot and say DUH? .
> > OMIK has been around for 51 Years and even though they welcome People from
> > all races They are predominantly Black and was founded by blacks .
> > Read the Nov. issue of qst page 54 .
> > So You see dvj before You try starting shit You should at least get Your
> > facts straight .
> > But what can one expect . There are good People in every part of society
> but
> > then there are those scum of the trailer park like Yourself that give
> > trailer parks a bad name.
> > Have a good life
> > "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message

> > news:zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com...
> > > By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color!
Black folk just
> love
> > > CB which
> > > explains why there are so few of them on the Ham
bands. Ham radio is for
> > > white
> > > people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear
a confused black
> > > person, inform
> > > him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on
CB!
> > >
> > > Thank you.
> > >
> > > W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!
> > >
> > > http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4314. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:18:18 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <co6dnfPW-JwpkGCgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146748

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021215105232.163$Vk@newsreader.com...

> You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole to
> be a AKC member.

Oh you would fit right in then big time Moped...

4315. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:19:00 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <oNmdnb3cm4tDkGCgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 146749

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3DFCA8E9.1020502@optonline.net...

Go Deep wrote:

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YLUK9.87$xr3.69@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...

Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
invitation?
I somehow have overlooked it.

What was it that Dirty Harry said, "He's a
legend in his own mind"

Landshark

And your a fag in everyone elses mind.

speak for yourself.....

Eat shit

4316. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:20:59 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <5HSdnd5k5c3Ik2CgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146750

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215111008.22930.00000033@mb-mf.aol.com...
> Your wrong about Martin.He is back in bussiness per the FCC.He now has to
sell
> the amps in pieces instead.Always away around ham rf police.Go to the web
site
> and read.

No I am not wrong about Martin he got busted by the FCC, That is a fact drip chin. So he now has found away to still sell his amps by selling them in parts Big whop He was still Bagged and tagged by the FCC, much to your dismay.

4317. Re: Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:25:43 UTC
From: kenwood440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21938-3DFD0F77-56@storefull-2338.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146752

dont waste your money. pay prew view right go to the badest bar
in town a see a free fight see grown men fight over gin or some geto
butt 340lbs ho. who prob has aids

4318. Re: Highest mobile antenna on your car and still be legal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:30:20 UTC
From: Trainfan1 <LMSEARING@usadatanet.net>
Message-ID: <3dfd0ff1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 146751

I have a K-40 drilled/hard mounted in the center of my Aerostar van. I've never measured it, but while down railfanning the Finger Lakes Railway(the former Auburn Road of the New York Central) from Auburn, NY to Canandaigua, NY, I found two of their overpasses that my antenna hits. One was in Shortsville, NY, the other in Canandaigua near the YMCA. I kept forgetting to check the posted heights in the way back. They were both in town in 30 MPH zones, so no damage, but it gives a good scare when it hits!

Rob
*
*
*

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0212121244.2509ea64@posting.google.com...
> Anybody know the size of highest legal antenna u can but on your car.
> Most of the big coil antennas I am looking at are 65 to 68 inches long
> and my miniv van is 65 to 67 inches high and the antenna on top of my
> mini van would be like 10ft 10inches it that too high.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4319. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-15 23:57:16 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wF8L9.55070$vM1.4407915@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146753

Having your amp at key-downs is no substitute for real specs and means
little...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Hambo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com10-106> wrote in message
news:20021215150200.24785.00000019@mb-fn.aol.com...
> griffey, he's got wins on the line at alot of major breaks. you dont.
>
> >
> >
> >Why don't I see any specifications at your site?
> >I think potential customers would rather see that then have that guy
saying
> >"want milk" all the time...
> >
> >--
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> >"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> >> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
> >>
> >>
> >> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
> >>
> >> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> >> regulations.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>
>
>

4320. Re: Byrd or Holyfield

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:23:31 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFD1D03.C2D429B4@listed.here>
Source record: 146755

Noname Same wrote:
>
> dont waste your money. pay prew view right go to the badest bar
> in town a see a free fight see grown men fight over gin or some geto
> butt 340lbs ho. who prob has aids

No matter, my buddy has a chipped box. It's BYOB. (was, you missed it)

4321. Re: Ruger P85's phone number...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:24:11 UTC
From: Salsa-Lip Truck Driver <noflame@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <X19L9.28800$NV.552256@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146754

Mr. Anti-Keyclown should not be opening his mouth!
Mr. AK is being monitored at this time.
We watch daily routines and listen to the radio channels that Mr. A.K. and the "cronies" are on.

Mr. AK, WE will be coming for you!
Don't pull no "Bill Cooper" on us, BOY!!!!
We watch you all the time!
Will bring you a butt-buddy!
His name is "Long-Will"!
He'll shove his cock up in you so far you won't know what hit ya!!
He might do you ANYWHERE he wants!

That will teach you to mess with others!!

EBAY SUCKS!!!!!!
Mr. Anti-Keyclown Takes It ANYWHERE!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212131745.71eab84c@posting.google.com...
> One of you keyclowns should call him. Maybe you can buy his CB junk. I
> ratted him out to ebay and he can't sell it there.
>
> Buy now so his kids can have Christmas. 207-873-7746

4322. RCI 2980 mod needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:36:08 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215193608.27399.00000068@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 146756

i want to get more wattage from this radio ,what do i have to do (ajust) for this
also how my watt can i expect from this radio

Thanks

4323. Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:45:39 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFD2233.8FC61BA5@listed.here>
Source record: 146757

Carlmackayp wrote:
>
> i want to get more wattage from this radio ,what do i have to do (ajust) for
> this
> also how my watt can i expect from this radio
>
> Thanks

Carl,

Where is this wattage supposed to come from? A radio can only do so
much before you are stressing the parts from heat and excessive
current. Even if you do get a few more watts from the radio, no
one will notice in signal strength, but will notice a decrease
in audio.

Please check out the AM tutorial at:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas/

Leave the radio alone. Get a box or a better antenna.
Do you want to be laughed at like the guy with the Cobra and the trimmers?

Happy Holidaze.

4324. Re: RCI 2980 mod needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:46:02 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <en9L9.370977$QZ.55802@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 146758

Heres one source http://www.angelfire.com/ct/tomspowerpage/ but I would leave it as is. The slight power increase isn't worth the increased stress on the finals.

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021215193608.27399.00000068@mb-bk.aol.com...
> i want to get more wattage from this radio ,what do i have to do (ajust) for
> this
> also how my watt can i expect from this radio
>
> Thanks

4325. Re: Ruger P85's phone number...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 00:47:33 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFD22A5.FF07AF5@listed.here>
Source record: 146759

Anti Keyclown Control Center wrote:
>
> One of you keyclowns should call him. Maybe you can buy his CB junk. I
> ratted him out to ebay and he can't sell it there.
>
> Buy now so his kids can have Christmas. 207-873-77XX

Is his name really Tom in Maine?

4326. 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 01:33:53 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <54aL9.56078$HA2.16071362@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage, digital display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF. Rig is in good condition BUT....
In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown. Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the outer sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal reports and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high. The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had this thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies please, thanks for looking.

4327. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 01:51:23 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146761

so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..

timnebo@aol.com10-106 (Hambo Nebo) wrote in message
news:<20021215150200.24785.00000019@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> griffey, he's got wins on the line at alot of major breaks. you dont.
>
> >
> >
> >Why don't I see any specifications at your site?
> >I think potential customers would rather see that then have that guy saying
> >"want milk" all the time...
> >
> >--
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> >"Cookie" <cookiebuilt@triad.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:ea5e1399.0212141812.2757607c@posting.google.com...
> >> Cookie Built Amps are the only way to go..... Get one at...
> >>
> >>
> >> http://cookiebuilt.kicks-ass.net
> >>
> >> Use of Amp's are illegal on 11 meter,Please follow all FCC rules and
> >> regulations.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4328. Re: Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 01:57:43 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <RGCdnUp628KFrmCgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146762

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFD2233.8FC61BA5@listed.here...

> Please check out the AM tutorial at:
> http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas/
>
> Leave the radio alone. Get a box or a better antenna.
> Do you want to be laughed at like the guy with the Cobra and the trimmers?
>
> Happy Holidaze.

Thanks Scott, another nic for the killfile.

4329. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 02:11:52 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021215211152.16941.00000064@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 146763

I like the fans built into the cabinet...like X-Force line...
My 2 cents.
333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

4330. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 02:40:38 UTC
From: pj <pjdong@aol.com>
Message-ID: <g9fqvugojrb9oisul09e9n9jrfjp4a8mqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 146764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

No Ham call, must be a CBer

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
wrote:

>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage, digital
>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the outer
>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal reports
>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had this
>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
>please, thanks for looking.
>

4331. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 02:47:44 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <uvqfk636c7ec25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

pj wrote:
> No Ham call, must be a CBer

Pretty good guess since it was posted on rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.swap.

Duh.

4332. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:04:15 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PobL9.56850$HA2.16214952@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required to put it in my posts, oaf, nor do I think it's any of your business what it is. Back under your bridge, foul creature!

pj wrote in message ...
>No Ham call, must be a CBer
>
>
>
>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
digital
>>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
>>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
>>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
>>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
outer
>>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
>>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
reports
>>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
>>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
this
>>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
>>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
>>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
>>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
>>please, thanks for looking.
>>
>

4333. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:05:49 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hqbL9.56865$HA2.16217956@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Bill M wrote in message ...
>pj wrote:
>> No Ham call, must be a CBer
>
>Pretty good guess since it was posted on rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.swap.
>
>Duh.

Nope, bad guess!

4334. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:06:28 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UqbL9.56871$HA2.16218011@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

And your call is where on your posts.....?

pj wrote in message ...
>No Ham call, must be a CBer
>
>
>
>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
digital
>>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
>>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
>>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
>>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
outer
>>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
>>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
reports
>>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
>>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
this
>>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
>>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
>>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
>>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
>>please, thanks for looking.
>>
>

4335. NorthStar Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:12:10 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215221210.09959.00000137@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 146769

I have a NorthStar-3000 mobile forsale. Bought about a year ago. I still have the box for it. I lost the mounting bracket. Has the stock mic. Has Hi Med Lo switch. It will key about 2 watts on hi and swing 25 watts on hi. Has extra channels, just like the galaxy models, but its built into the dimmer switch. has dual echo controls. has talkback ONLY when echo switch is flipped on. This is a real nice sounding radio...looks and works like BRAND spanking new. I'll take $100 plus $15 for shipping...thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

4336. Re: RCI 2980 mod needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:21:54 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFD46D2.38573DEA@cwis.net>
Source record: 146770

Carl,
There are no 'user' adjustments inside your radio
for power or anything else. If you don't know what
you're doing in there, don't do anything or you will
regret it...
'Doc

4337. FS: COMPUTER/MISC STUFF - More Products-Lower Prices!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 03:58:16 UTC
From: RBD <spam@block.com>
Message-ID: <BA22AB76.8F0C%spam@block.com>
Source record: 146771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.minnesota.forsale,umn.general.forsale

http://home.attbi.com/~mac411online/forsale.html

Following Items For Sale. See Above Link For More Details!
[Buy Now Before It All Goes Up For Auction On eBay]

Apple iMac 500 SNOW $750.00
Phillips 15" LCD Monitor $440.00 (eBay 2082090693)
Sony Digital 8 Handycam $450.00 (eBay 1945696245)
Quicken 2002 Deluxe $25.00
Apple MacOS 8.0 Best Offer
Epson Color Ink Cartride $10.00
Memory Chips-Many $20.00-$30.00
PowerTower Pro 225 $290.00
Sony 200SX 17" Monitor $125.00
KeySpan USB to Serial $40.00
Apple ImageWriter II $20.00
Apple Personal LaserWriter Best Offer
Linksys 5-Port Workgroup Hub $40.00
Computer Speakers Best Offer

CB Base Station (HY GAIN VIII Best Offer
CB Portable Radio (Midland) Best Offer
8 Track Portable Player Best Offer
Panasonic Record Player Best Offer

4338. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 04:34:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <JJcL9.238$3z3.38345946@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146772

"lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com...
> so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..
>

Hi Chuck, lawyer said it was
ok to post again? LOL!! Don't take
it personally, have a nice holiday.............

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4339. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 07:06:32 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFD7B78.9448DF2A@listed.here>
Source record: 146773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> > As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required to
> > put it in my posts,
> >
> Glazed honey baked?

http://www.heavenlyham.com/

> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/--------------------------
> New Rate!$9.95 Free Demo 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

4340. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 07:59:01 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <e-Ccne6IMOhZGmCgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 146774

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021216011432.560$4S@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > "lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com...
> > > so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..
> > >
> >
> > Hi Chuck, lawyer said it was
> > ok to post again? LOL!! Don't take
> > it personally, have a nice holiday.............
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> Chuck and Doug are two fat ass sweaty cowards. Take those two,add George,
> and you have 3 real fine example's of ham gone putrid.
>
> They all suck canal water..
>
> Merry X-mas to you anyway,Shark. ;)
>
> Fuck you,anti-CBer's..

I see Birds of a feather Flock together, you and landshark been hangin out
lately. Nice Troll Post snapper

4341. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 08:00:46 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <VWidnS3morSwFWCgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 146775

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021216012820.670$PS@newsreader.com...
> "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021215105232.163$Vk@newsreader.com...
> >
> > > You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole
> > > to be a AKC member.
> >
> > Oh you would fit right in then big time Moped...
> >
> Don't look or smell like doug adair. You keep your nose up his fat ass
> enough to qualify as a shit stained AKC. (proud?)
>
> AKC are cowards.

Sorry to say I have never talked to doug nor have you seen me reply to him,
he does his thing and I do mine.
AKC will kick your candy ass.

4342. Re: Ruger P85's phone number...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 10:49:43 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212160249.1dd0d4ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146776

WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote in message news:<3DFD22A5.FF07AF5@listed.here>...
> Anti Keyclown Control Center wrote:
> >
> > One of you keyclowns should call him. Maybe you can buy his CB junk. I
> > ratted him out to ebay and he can't sell it there.
> >
> > Buy now so his kids can have Christmas. 207-873-77XX
>
> Is his name really Tom in Maine?

Thats what he said in his feminine and whiny email to me.

4343. Re: Take Notice Mr.Adair is always discussing .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 10:59:27 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212160259.37a50b71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146777

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<mdpmvucdpiaogieun82l6c3kdqhc0sb5gv@4ax.com>...
> Check out Mr. Adair 's post and take notice ,he is always mentioning
> KIDS....oh my.......hmmmmmmmmmmm

No white trash I am mentioning YOUR kids. You know, the kids you said
you can't buy Christmas presents for...THOSE poor kids.

Too bad they gotta have a criminal punk daddy like you. They will grow
up as criminal punks as well, all because you are a lowlife.

4344. Re: Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 12:47:10 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212160447.245c0e9c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146778

You can probably do it all by yourself...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||

4345. Re: Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 12:51:17 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFDCC45.63671FA4@listed.here>
Source record: 146779

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> You can probably do it all by yourself...

Who or what are you referring to?
There are several points and one quote in there.

4346. Re: Wanted... Hurricane 350 Mobile AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 13:32:56 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212160532.47597b85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146780

Haven't see one of those in 20 years...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
See the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:<bCbJ9.150791$Kj1.5552932@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> Anyone who where I can find a working or NOT working Hurricane 350 mobile
> amp?
>
> Reply here with possibilities
>
> Tony

4347. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 14:33:20 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <nPydnVIgFMeteWCgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146781

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021216030807.133$qy@newsreader.com...
> >>So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with open
> >>arms.

> You welcome him with open ass cheeks.
> Drop dead,AKC..Or let Doug represent your sorry ass's.

More sexual innuendos, and harrassing style content is that all you got
Moped. Landshark would be proud of you.

4348. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 14:53:17 UTC
From: Noneaubusiness <nospam@none.com>
Message-ID: <xNIL9.4562$bf.1214190702@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146782

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFA6EBA.B42CB62@listed.here...

> If you ever used a real radio, you would understand. For a few hundred
> more then what you spent, you could have been there.

Why do some guys run their sewer pipes about something they know nothing
about? Does my Kenwood TS-570 count as a real radio?

4349. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 15:06:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11283-3DFDEBF6-9@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146783

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Go Deep)
I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified >when he bought it.

And my Galaxy wasn't on the list of "banned radios" when I bought *it*.
--
  But this is the FCC's position on them. Oh and Type Certification.
FCC Enforcement Case Highlights FCC Amplifier Certification Rules
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 2, 2001--The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth used the occasion of a recent Warning Notice to hammer home the FCC's position on the sale of RF amplifiers that have not received FCC certification--formerly called "type acceptance." In a September 19 letter to Extra licensee Sidney Lee Martin, KD4YBC, Hollingsworth reiterated an earlier FCC warning to Martin that he cease commercial marketing of non-certificated external RF amplifiers and amplifier kits capable of operating below 144 MHz.
In 1978, the FCC banned the manufacture and sale of any external RF amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operating below 144 MHz without a grant of certification from the FCC. The rules specifically prohibit manufacture and sale of amps that operate between 24 and 35 MHz as a means to stem the flow of illegal Citizens Band amplifiers. The case arose from a February 11, 2000, warning to Martin from the FCC's Detroit office as a result of a classified ad Martin had run in QST. The ad featured the sale of external Amateur Radio RF amplifier kits for HF and 6 meters. The FCC letter admonished Martin that selling or offering such units for sale violated §2.815 of the FCC's rules. Martin--who operated a one-man business called RF Electronics in South Carolina--countered with his interpretation that he was allowed, under FCC Part 97 Amateur Service rules, to sell such kits as one amateur to another under an exception to the certification rules. Martin argued that §97.315 of the Amateur Service rules permitted his licensed customers to purchase from him--as another licensee--and construct or modify one model of a non-certificated RF power amp or kit per calendar year for that licensee's personal use.
The FCC rejected that analysis, however. Hollingsworth emphasized that §2.815(c) of the FCC's rules requires all external RF power amplifier kits that can operate below 144 MHz after assembly be FCC-certificated before they can be made, sold, leased, marketed, imported, shipped or distributed. He noted that other provisions of §2.815 apply only to certain already fabricated amplifiers and do not exempt amplifier kits, particularly those capable of operating between 24 and 35 MHz after assembly.
Hollingsworth said §97.315 also "specifically prohibits the use in the Amateur Service of an amplifier that the operator had constructed from a non-certified kit." He also noted that, in addition to kits, Martin's RF

Electronics Web site had been selling non-certificated, assembled RF power amplifiers for use below 144 MHz. Hollingsworth said at least three of the assembled models were advertised as operational between 24 and 35 MHz.
Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or dealer. The rules do not provide for mass marketing or manufacturing and marketing kits or assembled amplifiers as part of a business, Hollingsworth said.
"Any and all marketing of external RF power amplifiers or amplifier kits capable of operation below 144 MHz must be in strict compliance with §2.815 of the Commission's rules," Hollingsworth warned. He said the FCC would prosecute any violations and take enforcement action against Martin's amateur license.
Martin's Web site no longer offers any RF amplifiers for sale.
--
I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal..... if that is the case it is indeed legal for an authorized hammie to use a Galaxy. I was but merely attempting to educate an upperclassmen, N3CVJ, who should already be familiar with the laws and thoroughly comprehend them.....but with hammies like you spewing untruths such as you do, Dave is very impressionable to what he sees here, subject to such influences, and reacts as he sees fit.....it is indeed a sad day for hammie radio when you have a hammie lid like N3CVJ Davey-boy Hall slamming me and others for barefoot dxing and calling us criminals when he can't even comprehend the law he is allegedly fostering but failing to enforce while breaking it himself the entire time.....it magnificently illustrates his reserved and disturbed personal issues that have nothing to with radio or legalities.
The same is said for yourself. As many times as he, and you, have claimed status by virtue of self-professed years-of-experience or how long you held a license, one would think y'all would at least comprehend the communication laws that pertain to your hobby in a satisfactory manner instead of blatantly holding yourselves above the law and rationalizing N3CVJ's "criminal" actions of using a "basement" amp..
Y'all are doing a slow burn..... waxing yer hypocrisy to the point where a low-life cber must step in, take control, and educate you two losers on what you are allegedly licensed for...my, my, my. Still waiting for N3CVJ to show us how he made this Davemade spectrally pure like he said he would...and so are others.....perhaps he was lying, perhaps he doesn't know what he was talking about...perhaps it was all in his psycho-babbled little head just like his conjured scenario of a cber getting poppped near him for disorderly conduct....anything for attention...it's the damaged ego thing.

4350. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 15:17:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8129-3DFDEE89-468@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146785

From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Go Deep)
"Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:ILednRZQHqTgm2GgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified >when he bought it.

And my Galaxy wasn't banned when I bought *it*. If you're going to apply standards, do it in a consistent and even manner across the board, not just to those situations that appear to lend credibility to your current chosen stance.

>But this is the FCC's position on them. Oh >and Type Certification.
>Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an >individual amateur to construct or modify a >non-certificated RF power amplifier once per >calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station--although the unit may not be built from >a kit--and the amateur may then sell the >amplifier to another licensee or dealer.
>So Dave was able to use the amp if he >modified it. As noted if he was to sell it it >should be to a Ham or a dealer.

His claim of modifying a Davemade in a manner that made it "spectrally pure" is 100% golden bullshit, much like his pathological warblings concerning a local cber getting cited for disorderly. He needs help, George, but it ain't you.

4351. FS: Henry 2KD Classic Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 15:19:36 UTC
From: jonweiner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216101936.18139.00000114@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 146784

Nice condition Henry model 2KD Classic desk top 2000 Watt P.E.P. linear. Uses pair of 3-500Z's. Has 10 meter modification. Covers all bands, including WARC bands, from 3.5 thru 30 mHz. In very good condition, with no dents or dings. Recently removed from service, and could use a bit of cleaning. Complete with copy of the original instruction manual. Pick up at Greer, SC preferred, but will ship. Photos available. Price $450.

4352. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 15:29:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8129-3DFDF175-473@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146786

From: brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net (Brainbuster)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11277-3DF9D789-4@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
27.495 upper sideband.

>I will have a word with one or two of the locals >who may DX on
there... let
>them know to listen for you.

This weekend was unbelievable......my buddy was about 150 miles south of me on the water all weekend working his home made ricochet skip dish....he's still out there tearing it up....also some super great cw contacts this weekend.....anyone catch them on 555? Also waving a hand to the wannabe DJ who played my favorite band....I gotcha off the side of one really wet wire, but I did hears ya'.....mostly had it tilted toward the east all weekend <g>...gonna try and maybe cophase something out there in the near future..too much noise stateside to be using an omnidirectional on that freq....gotta figure out a way to put a small directional on top the mast.

>E-mail me with the callsign they should listen >for, and maybe one or two of your other local >stations.
>If anyone else wants to send me details of >their call signs, and where they may be found >(and times), I will see if some of my locals can
>pick you up.
>Regards,
>Peter.

I'll do it soon.

4353. Re: Where should the trimmers be set inside my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 15:54:05 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <atl4sk$bp2$2@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 146792

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212140949.10a367ed@posting.google.com...
> I have a cobra 89xlr and I opened my radio to see if any mod it and I
> am pretty sure it has been mod. I adjusted some of the trimmers and
> tunrned up the sound on like two before the volume was set to low
> before. Now when I adujested the other trimmers it seems like the
> superbowl channels bleed all over now. I can not transmitt but I can
> hear ok and I think my cd radio is off frequency. Will adjusting one
> of the 7 trimmers put it back on frequency. If not how could I put it
> back on frequency and what does each trimmer do.

These are not things that are set to a certain postition, they are
adjusted while the radio is connected to the correct test equipment
and a dummy load.

So, you have two choices...
1. DIY
Learn about electronics, radio theory, test equipment,
how to use it, etc.
Then go out and buy all the required equipment.
Connect it to the test equipment and tune it.

2. Quick method:
Take it to someone who has already done the theory, has
the equipment, and can do it for you.

Sorry if this sounds like we are all being assholes, but it is a technical
area... needing knowledge that cannot be given in a few lines of text.
If you really want to get into the technical side, take the time to learn
the theory... you will find people here are very helpful. But, there is no
quick way to the point where you can set up a radio correctly - it takes
time to learn.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4354. Uniden Pro-520XL Mic wiring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 16:21:59 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3dfe6e11@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 146910

Does anyone know the mic wiring for a Uniden Pro-520XL? Thanx in advance.

Todd

4355. Re: RCI 2995

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 16:41:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021216114115.11095.00000089@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146787

not on AM although its better than some all band hf rigs

>Why do some guys run their sewer pipes about something they know nothing
>about? Does my Kenwood TS-570 count as a real radio?

4356. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 16:43:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021216114328.11095.00000090@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 146788

use a rotatable dipole

>
>This weekend was unbelievable......my buddy was about 150 miles south of
>me on the water all weekend working his home made ricochet skip
>dish....he's still out there tearing it up....also some super great cw
>contacts this weekend......anyone catch them on 555? Also waving a hand
>to the wannabe DJ who played my favorite band....I gotcha off the side
>of one really wet wire, but I did hears ya'.....mostly had it
>tilted toward the east all weekend <g>...gonna try and maybe
>cophase something out there in the near future..too much noise stateside
>to be using an omnidirectional on that freq....gotta figure out a way to
>put a small directional on top the mast.
>
>
>
>
>>E-mail me with the callsign they should listen >for, and maybe one or
>two of your other local >stations.
>>If anyone else wants to send me details of >their call signs, and where
>they may be found >(and times), I will see if some of my locals can
>>pick you up.
>>Regards,
>>Peter.
>
>
>
>I'll do it soon.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4357. Re: Need schematic for mute circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 17:25:38 UTC
From: Skipp <skipparope@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atl2ai$c86$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 146789

: Armand <milkbone@cableone.net> wrote:
: Thanks for the response!

You are welcome...

: This helps me make some design goals.
: If I remember correctly the schematic I had just grounded out speaker
: level to two resistors in paralel to make 8 ohms.

I would avoid the grounding resistor method big time... if you have a
common car stereo with hybrid output, you'll pop the audio power output
chips when you ground one of the leads to the chassis.

: My buddy who owns a shop says he has a working example... I plan to
: check out, but I'm looking for more functionality.

That working example better be carefull...

: May I email you? I may need to take you up on your offer of some help at
: sometime on this project.

Sure, for reasons of spam please use one of the Email icons found on the
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu web server. I'll directly reply and we can go
from there.

: BTW, I run debian.

I won't hold it against you... laugh... right now, I'm doing an upgrade
of RH and I'm about to toss it out the window... But it's been a long
time hard worker for me so I'll stay with it.

: Best,
: Armand

OK, chow for now
skipp

: Skipp hates spam wrote:
:> The audio sense - detect circuit for the CB is no big problem, I could
:> probably help you with that... even a rude audio detector circuit can be
:> made from one transistor/fet and some descrete parts.
:>
:> The issue is how are you going to deal with muting the car stereo. Two
:> methods come to mind... first is dealing with it at the low level audio
:> point (at the volume control), the second is at the speaker level (output)
:> point.
:>
:> You have to be concerned with the audio output leads of the stereo, often

:> hybrid IC circuits are "bridged" to get more output and there is DC on the
:> leads which means one or both speaker leads should not ever be grounded.
:>
:> So any circuit for each speaker lead you make will have to be isolated or
:> "floating".
:>
:> Then there is the issue of how much power the car stereo outputs...
:>
:> The end result is, how practical is it going to work... your CB will pop
:> on noise and the radio level will bounce up and down, ie drive you crazy.
:>
:> It would be a fun circuit to build, but it will drive you crazy in the
:> long run...
:>
:> cheers
:> skipp
:> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
:>
:> : Armand <milkbone@cableone.net> wrote:
:> : I used to have a schematic from an electronics magazine
:> : that was for a circuit that would mute your car stereo
:> : speaker when the squelch on the cb was broken.
:>
:> : It was pretty simple, just a couple of scr's that sensed
:> : voltage on the remote speaker jack of the cb and switched
:> : the car stereo line out's to a dummy load.
:>
:> : Does anyone know where I could find a schematic or something
:> : like it?
:>
:> : TIA,
:> : Bucky
:>

4358. Davemade M-80 Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 17:51:12 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216125112.18812.00000113@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 146790

Only about a month. Looks and works Brand New. Will do around 250 watts with a good swing

Thatz-me-JP

4359. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 19:03:08 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212161103.56da79d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146793

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<JJcL9.238$3z3.38345946@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com...
> > so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..
> >
>
> Hi Chuck, lawyer said it was
> ok to post again? LOL!! Don't take
> it personally, have a nice holiday.............
>
> Landshark

who's chuck?

i'm not chuck, my name is john

4360. UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 19:52:15 UTC
From: Mary & Ray <prisby@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <P9qL9.2301$552.536052@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 146794

UNBLOCKING the 245XLT
Hi.
Can anyone tell me if I can unblock my Undien 245XLT?
If so, how.
Thanks

4361. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 20:27:05 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <5odsvu4lm2h1i2t71inke5orlebguoa0u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 146795

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 00:12:59 -0500, "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom>
wrote:

>
><james> wrote in message news:s03ovuo6gd44p1o5g9q93g11cj75mug3bk@4ax.com...
>> Have you ever thought of building your own amatuer radio? The FCC does
>> allow that you know!
>>
>> james
>
>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>
****

And another appliance operator heard from

james

4362. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 20:46:14 UTC
From: Dino <masterchief516@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qYqL9.331$1a1.13561@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 146796

Brian makes a different kind of amp. His amps are for people who want a well designed and clean running amplifier. Nascar engines, while being more powerful, are designed to give 110% for 500 miles...then you rip them apart and rebuild them. The engine in a Corvette is designed to give you 100,000+ miles and still supply a whole lot of fun.

Wins at a shootout is a poor value of measure...unless you are looking to participate and win shootouts! :-)

I'll take the Griff's amp...thank you!

>griffey, he's got wins on the line at alot of major breaks. you dont.

4363. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 20:56:14 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <0ffsvu0oqghd8i7crlch8ljqgqru7ns70p@4ax.com>
Source record: 146897

>>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>>
>**********
>
>And another appliance operator heard from
>
>james

So I take it YOU are using YOUR home made radio? If not then your
appliance comment shows your hypocrisy.

4364. Re: ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 21:01:27 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <ohesvuoa9lgma1dif6vq2m8afhrgqr21u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 146898

Tony:

All said the two web pages are very well presented and nice data is presented. I still have some questions about how the tests were conbucted.

1) What was the heigth above ground for the test antennae?

2) What was the pickup antenna?

3) How far was the spacing between the test antennae and the pickup antenna?

4) in you web page you mentioned free field. Please explain how you got free field on an outdoor range?

5) what software did you use to minimize ground reflections? What constants did you use in substracting ground reflection out?

6) how often was the field calibrated?

On an active frequency as the CB band is, it is near impossible to have constant field densities over long period of time. The noise floor had to be changing on a rapid period of time. I have tested antenna at 32 Mhz and have seen the noise floor and calibration of the range change by as much as 3 db over the course of just a half hour. Trying to measure 1 db or less difference on an outdoor range is difficult at VHF frequencies. At 27.2 MHz this to me seems a near imposibility.

I know that a 5/8 wave antenna will have more gain over a 1/2 wave. The gain is minimal at best. The major advantage of a 5/8 wave antenna is that it has lower angle of radiation. The losses thru the matching network are mainly due to the "Q" of the components used and the power transmitted through them. Coil heating lowers "Q". An end fed 5/8 wave presents lower matching impedance point than that of and end fed 1/2 wave. (100 Ohms to about 1800 Ohms)

james

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 15:48:42 -0000, "TONY-170 \(ï¿½lvaro Rocha\)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt> wrote:

>Test at :
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
>

>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/
>
>
>
>best 73"
>
>Tony
>31-AT-170
>Knight Patrol 170
>
>Porto
>Portugal

4365. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 21:02:45 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <arfsvu46v7chfgogphtiqsg0svi2rdh6k3@4ax.com>
Source record: 146899

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 19:52:15 GMT, "Mary & Ray" <prisby@ptd.net> wrote:

>UNBLOCKING the 245XLT
>Hi.
>Can anyone tell me if I can unblock my Undien 245XLT?
>If so, how.
>Thanks
>
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

4366. Re: ANTRON 99 vs I-MAX 2000 EXPOSED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 21:05:39 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <6sfsvus1etlstfp6iu4kfcdvtudq6a1acl@4ax.com>
Source record: 146900

Tony:

The GPK will help in the radiation patterns of the antenna if you have feedline radiation. The GPK will help to decouple the feedline from the antenna and improve radiation and suppress the radiation of harmonics from a feedline.

james

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 15:48:42 -0000, "TONY-170 \(ï¿½lvaro Rocha\)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt> wrote:

>Test at :
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
>
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/
>
>
>
>best 73"
>
>Tony
>31-AT-170
>Knight Patrol 170
>
>Porto
>Portugal

4367. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 21:13:38 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <regsvuseqqe1smlb651ap85t3gartjeabi@4ax.com>
Source record: 146901

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 19:52:15 GMT, "Mary & Ray" <prisby@ptd.net> wrote:

>UNBLOCKING the 245XLT
>Hi.
>Can anyone tell me if I can unblock my Undien 245XLT?
>If so, how.
>Thanks
>
Copied from site:

Can its cellular coverage by opened up?.....
No. Today's radios are controlled by the software programmed into the electrical components so there's no way to trick it into tuning frequencies which it is programmed to disallow.

What about importing a Canadian or European model?.....
Looking at the original TrunkTrackers (BC235XLT & BC895XLT), there was only a North American version which was sold in the US and Canada which had no cellular band coverage. They were also not sold in Europe which means there is no version of these radios with cellular coverage intact.

It's very likely the TrunkTracker II line will be treated the same.

4368. GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 21:27:33 UTC
From: mlc3stooge@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7ad7a881.0212161327.5c11dc4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146902

I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5 miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?

4369. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 22:02:01 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DFE4D59.FDDF5716@cwis.net>
Source record: 146903

Randall,
unless you live in the middle of Kansas, or are in the
middle of the ocean, you can expect about half ot the
quoted range, if you are very lucky. Throw in some trees,
buildings, hills, and such and you can't expect even that
much range.
'Doc

4370. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 23:04:26 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <_ZsL9.373094$WL3.113376@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 146904

Yeah.......462---467 Mhz isn't very forgiving. Pretty line of sight.
FRS.....500mw won't do much. GMRS with a repeater is another story. I have
to laugh at the manufacturers marketing radios with FRS AND GMRS in the same
radio! One being license free, while the other requires a license. Not real
swift, but with the genie out of the bottle, on any protocol, no one seems
to care-:)

"Randall" <mlc3stooge@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7ad7a881.0212161327.5c11dc4e@posting.google.com...
> I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they
> will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5
> miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range
> band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that
> claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?

4371. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 23:23:41 UTC
From: Skipp hates spam <donttrythisaddress@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atln9t$l0t$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 146906

Under ideal conditions, you'd be surprised how well both frs and gmrs work. I have a friend using frs and unobstructed line of sight operation can be as much as a few miles.

I can take an frs radio up to a repeater site and hear & work stations up to 20 miles away pretty much. Not bad when your standing 2800 ft above the Valley floor.

GMRS is a different animal with much more power and better radios (for the most part). We can easily talk a 50 mile radius around the repeater using 30 watt radios. Hand held operation depends more on the quality of the repeater receiver system and location.

You will see combo gmrs and frs radios which switch power levels as you change services... (back and forth from gmrs to frs and the converse).

Reduce your usable range in congested areas...

Some real fun is to put one of the Radio Shack or similar simplex voice repeaters on a scanning frs radio and listen to the excitement begin...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: Randall <mlc3stooge@yahoo.com> wrote:
: I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they
: will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5
: miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range
: band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that
: claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?

4372. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 23:24:16 UTC
From: ***** <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <2etL9.28865$NV.560627@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146905

I talk on any frequency when not flying missions.
I can punch up 27.555 and key up on trashy mouths and knock all of you out!!
I tried it on some punks on Channel 6 and they cried like pussies!!
The guys up here waited till we landed to laugh our asses off!
Up there, we just gave those stupid gang hand-gestures!!

So, there you have an answer to your futile question as to who talks on
27.555.

HOOAH!!

"Skipshooter 181" <skipshooter181@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021212100510.29759.00000003@mb-cv.news.cs.com...
> hello out their skipland 181 louisiana

4373. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 23:34:44 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <p3mdnXkzcLaM_mOgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146907

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11283-3DFDEBF6-9@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmailcom (Go Deep)
I don't think Dave Halls amp was certified >when he bought it.

And my Galaxy wasn't on the list of "banned radios" when I bought *it*.
--
But this is the FCC's position on them. Oh and Type Certification.
FCC Enforcement Case Highlights FCC Amplifier Certification Rules
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 2, 2001--The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth used the occasion of a recent Warning Notice to hammer home the FCC's position on the sale of RF amplifiers that have not received FCC certification--formerly called "type acceptance." In a September 19 letter to Extra licensee Sidney Lee Martin, KD4YBC, Hollingsworth reiterated an earlier FCC warning to Martin that he cease commercial marketing of non-certificated external RF amplifiers and amplifier kits capable of operating below 144 MHz.
In 1978, the FCC banned the manufacture and sale of any external RF amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operating below 144 MHz without a grant of certification from the FCC. The rules specifically prohibit manufacture and sale of amps that operate between 24 and 35 MHz as a means to stem the flow of illegal Citizens Band amplifiers. The case arose from a February 11, 2000, warning to Martin from the FCC's Detroit office as a result of a classified ad Martin had run in QST. The ad featured the sale of external Amateur Radio RF amplifier kits for HF and 6 meters. The FCC letter admonished Martin that selling or offering such units for sale violated §2.815 of the FCC's rules. Martin--who operated a one-man business called RF Electronics in South Carolina--countered with his interpretation that he was allowed, under FCC Part 97 Amateur Service rules, to sell such kits as one amateur to another under an exception to the certification rules. Martin argued that §97.315 of the Amateur Service rules permitted his licensed customers to purchase from him--as another licensee--and construct or modify one model of a non-certificated RF power amp or kit per calendar year for that licensee's personal use.
The FCC rejected that analysis, however. Hollingsworth emphasized that §2.815(c) of the FCC's rules requires all external RF power amplifier kits that can operate below 144 MHz after assembly be FCC-certificated before they can be made, sold, leased, marketed, imported, shipped or distributed. He noted that other provisions of §2.815 apply only to certain already fabricated amplifiers and do not exempt amplifier kits, particularly those capable of operating between 24 and 35 MHz after assembly.
Hollingsworth said §97.315 also "specifically prohibits the use in the

Amateur Service of an amplifier that the operator had constructed from a non-certified kit." He also noted that, in addition to kits, Martin's RF Electronics Web site had been selling non-certificated, assembled RF power amplifiers for use below 144 MHz. Hollingsworth said at least three of the assembled models were advertised as operational between 24 and 35 MHz.
Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station--although the unit may not be built from a kit--and the amateur may then sell the amplifier to another licensee or dealer. The rules do not provide for mass marketing or manufacturing and marketing kits or assembled amplifiers as part of a business, Hollingsworth said.
"Any and all marketing of external RF power amplifiers or amplifier kits capable of operation below 144 MHz must be in strict compliance with ?2.815 of the Commission's rules," Hollingsworth warned. He said the FCC would prosecute any violations and take enforcement action against Martin's amateur license.
Martin's Web site no longer offers any RF amplifiers for sale.
--

I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
****

Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to modify 1 per year, but you are comparing the amp to the radio the radio. As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) cannot be used. They have not been type certified. A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min spectral requirements he can then use it. Other than that he cannot take a Galaxy or any export radio and just use it it is not Type certified by the FCC in it's current form.

"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year for use at that amateur's own station"

if that
is the case it is indeed legal for an authorized hammie to use a Galaxy.
****
No that is not the case and just because you say it doesn't make it so, you can say it 100 more times it won't make it true.the Galaxy is not type certified, he has to have it aligned to FCC minimum specs before it can be used LEGALLY by a Ham. .

I was but merely attemptin to educate an upperclassmen, N3CVJ, who should already be familiar with the laws and thoroughly comprehend them.....but with hammies like you spewing untruths such as you do, Dave is very impressionable to what he sees here, subject to such influences, and reacts as he sees fit.....it is indeed a sad day for hammie radio when you have a hammie lid like N3CVJ Davey-boy Hall slamming me and others for barefoot dxing and calling us criminals when

he can't even comprehend the law he is allegedly fostering but failing
to enforce while breaking it himself the entire time......it
magnificently illustrates his reserved and disturbed personal issues
that have nothing to with radio or legalities.

The same is said for yourself. As many times as he, and you, have
claimed status by virtue of self-professed years-of-experience or how
long you held a license, one would think y'all would at least comprehend
the communication laws that pertain to your hobby in a satisfactory
manner instead of blatantly holding yourselves above the law and
rationalizing N3CVJ's "criminal" actions of using a "basement" amp..
****
I am not rationalizing anything Twithed, Riley Hollingsworth has stated,

"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year
for use at that amateur's own station"

You are the one doing all the barking about Daves amp and have been proven
wrong about it, he is not performing any criminal action using the amp. Why
can't you get it thru your head.

>a low-life cber must step in, take control, and educate you two losers
>on what you are allegedly licensed for..

You got that shot right twist you certainly are a low life CBer , and you
are spreading lies and misinformation, Galaxy radios cannot be used in there
current form by hams, Why ? because they are not type certified, they also
woul;d have to be modified to comply with FCC requirments. .

Still waiting for
N3CVJ to show us how he made this Davemade spectrally pure like he said
he would...and so are others......perhaps he was lying, perhaps he
doesn't know what he was talking about...perhaps it was all in his
psycho-babbled little head just like his conjured scenario of a cber

twisty who is the us you speak of? I don't hear any other babbling like you
are you speaking for others again.

4374. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-16 23:43:57 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <EtGdnXzMF6ag-GOgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146908

<james> wrote in message news:5odsvu4lm2h1i2t71inke5orlebguoa0u7@4ax.com...
>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
> >
> **********
>
> And another appliance operator heard from
>
> james

LOL, James take a hike you piker, I guess there are thousands and hundreds of thousand appliance operators carrying ham licenses. I build my own antennas, does that count? who cares what you think ..just because someone doesn't have the time to build a radio they are a appliance operator.? Can we see pics of your hombrew amps and radios.
Did you build your own car?

4375. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 00:03:53 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <JRtL9.179331$pN3.13297@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 146909

Good observations Skip. Yeah....the little simplex repeaters are quite enjoyable, and amusing too. We use one regularly on 222 Mhz!

"Skipp hates spam" <donttrythisaddress@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:atln9t$l0t$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> Under ideal conditions, you'd be surprised how well both frs and gmrs
> work. I have a friend using frs and unobstructed line of sight operation
> can be as much as a few miles.
>
> I can take an frs radio up to a repeater site and hear & work stations up
> to 20 miles away pretty much. Not bad when your standing 2800 ft above
> the Valley floor.
>
> GMRS is a different animal with much more power and better radios (for the
> most part). We can easily talk a 50 mile radius around the repeater using
> 30 watt radios. Hand held operation depends more on the quality of the
> repeater receiver system and location.
>
> You will see combo gmrs and frs radios which switch power levels as you
> change services... (back and forth from gmrs to frs and the converse).
>
> Reduce your usable range in congested areas...
>
> Some real fun is to put one of the Radio Shack or similar simplex voice
> repeaters on a scanning frs radio and listen to the excitement begin...
>
> cheers
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>
> : Randall <mlc3stooge@yahoo.com> wrote:
> : I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they
> : will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5
> : miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range
> : band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that
> : claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?

4376. Re: Uniden Pro-520XL Mic wiring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 01:23:17 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216202317.29227.00000103@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 146911

pin 1 red pin 2 white pin 3 ground pin 4 black

Thatz-me-JP

4377. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 01:45:26 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <mg0tvu89dsraqti5m4brn2uevpsapp08lt@4ax.com>
Source record: 146912

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4378. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 01:45:34 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 146913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4379. Re: RCI 2980 mod needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 01:48:51 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <jm0tvuco026nhkqha51hrvqc8fspn6q7au@4ax.com>
Source record: 146914

You can find this info at www.cbctricks.com

****

carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) wrote:

>i want to get more wattage from this radio ,what do i have to do (ajust) for
>this
>also how my watt can i expect from this radio
>
>Thanks

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

4380. Re: Uniden Pro-520XL Mic wiring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 01:55:32 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <711tvuc2sb39srceip5m38beblfg13cgqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 146915

Plus is a 5-pin DIN jack. Connections are:

1 = mike audio
2 = TX
3 = GND
4 = n/c
5 = RX

"Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know the mic wiring for a Uniden Pro-520XL? Thanx in advance.
>
>Todd
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

4381. Re: MIC PIN OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:07:05 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <qn1tvusl8jajdjf2f5scgc4e562e2e3fpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 146917

1 = mike audio
2 = GND
3 = n/a
4 = TX
5 = n/a

Lou
****

stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote:

>Any one know where I can find the mic pin out for a old Johnson 250
>Base.

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

4382. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:07:49 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VFvL9.65377$hK4.5435016@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146916

Are these made by Dave??? They do look similar in many respects. I can't understand why any designer would enclose the heatsink in a semi-closed case with no fan like on the smallest unit. That is just beyond comprehension...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com...
> so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..
>

4383. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:08:48 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QGvL9.65381$hK4.5435267@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146918

Yes, that fan sticking out the top is an obvious "hang on"... and looks
awful.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021215211152.16941.00000064@mb-cf.aol.com...
> I like the fans built into the cabinet...like X-Force line...
> My 2 cents.
> 333 Springfield MO
>
> "Got RF?"

4384. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:09:26 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qHvL9.65383$hK4.5435144@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

>Back under your bridge, foul creature!

4385. Re: RCI 2980 mod needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:11:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wJvL9.65386$hK4.5434582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146920

Doc is right... any power increases gotten within any radio are "mouse milk"
and not worth the risk.
If you insist on more power... than an external amplifier is the way to go.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DFD46D2.38573DEA@cwis.net...
>
>
> Carl,
> There are no 'user' adjustments inside your radio
> for power or anything else. If you don't know what
> you're doing in there, don't do anything or you will
> regret it...
> 'Doc

4386. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:17:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
>
> Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,

> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com
>

4387. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:27:07 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%XvL9.65408$hK4.5437800@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146922

They are certainly two different kind of animals. While the Davemade, Xforce, and others are class "C" (no bias) amps that are made for "all out" performance at the expensive of longevity and harmonic splatter... my amps are designed so components work within their design limits. This ensures reliability and low harmonic content. Dino's analogy was good... either you're looking for an every day driving car... or one you take to the strip and blow up.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dino" <masterchief516@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qYqL9.331$1a1.13561@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Brian makes a different kind of amp. His amps are for people who want a
well
> designed and clean running amplifier. Nascar engines, while being more
> powerful, are designed to give 110% for 500 miles...then you rip them
apart
> and rebuild them. The engine in a Corvette is designed to give you
100,000+
> miles and still supply a whole lot of fun.
>
> Wins at a shootout is a poor value of measure...unless you are looking to
> participate and win shootouts! :-)
>
> I'll take the Griff's amp...thank you!
>
> >griffey, he's got wins on the line at alot of major breaks. you dont.
>

4388. Re: Davemade M-80 Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:28:28 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gZvL9.65411$hK4.5438013@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146923

Aren't you going to name a price?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021216125112.18812.00000113@mb-ft.aol.com...
> Only about a month. Looks and works Brand New. Will do around 250 watts
with a
> good swing
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4389. Re: Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:29:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <z_vL9.65416$hK4.5437557@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146924

I was just commenting on the subject...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3DFDCC45.63671FA4@listed.here...
>
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > You can probably do it all by yourself...
>
>
> Who or what are you referring to?
> There are several points and one quote in there.

4390. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 02:40:36 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <E8wL9.299$6H6.30684@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Brian, please give the guy a break.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >
> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details

> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **********
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> >
>
>

4391. Re: Help me burn out my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 03:14:48 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFE96A8.41DD277E@listed.here>
Source record: 146926

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> I was just commenting on the subject...

When a radio a working as it should, I keep the covers on them.
Last cold solder joint, it took 5 minutes to find it. The covers
went right back on it. The cold seems to have shifted my little
122 a bit lately, so I may have to fire up the freq counter and
locate my plastic screwdriver set...let all items warm up for .5 hr...
follow the instructions in my TRC-465 service manual from step 1...

> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> "WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3DFDCC45.63671FA4@listed.here...
> >
> >
> > Brian Griffey wrote:
> > >
> > > You can probably do it all by yourself...
> >
> >
> > Who or what are you referring to?
> > There are several points and one quote in there.

4392. Re: Uniden Pro-520XL Mic wiring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 03:16:45 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFE971D.DFE0E0B2@listed.here>
Source record: 146928

UknowMeJP wrote:
>
> pin 1 red pin 2 white pin 3 ground pin 4 black
>
> Thatz-me-JP

That's good for Astatic, how about Sadelta, like my ME-3?

4393. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 03:18:10 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3DFE9772.37890DE6@listed.here>
Source record: 146929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Randy wrote:
>
> Brian, please give the guy a break.

Go ahead, Breaker. You got the channel. Your turn, Lou.

4394. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 03:50:19 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%9xL9.83$Nz5.45847@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146930

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021215105232.163$Vk@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > news:qbGdneJWI7S8ZGagXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:B1GK9.153430$Gc.4984875@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> > > > news:ptacnSeL2ejNWmegXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > But George, you never were really welcomed by the rest, just
> > tolerated
> > > > as
> > > > > a
> > > > > tag-a-long.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > So Doug is welcomed? Have at it then Doug they welcome you with
> > > > open
> > > arms.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > You were just tolerated by the AKC. Never given their full blessing.
> > >
> > > LOL, little do you know I am an official Card Carrying Member. I am
> > > aKc 4 Life Vato! You were asked to join, of course a trial period
> > > would have
> > been
> > > given since you would be coming over from the other side. I have
> > > assigned duties from our Grand Poobah, jealousy will get you no where.
> > >
> > >
> > Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
> > invitation? I somehow have overlooked it.
> >
> You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole to
> be a AKC member.

George certainly fits that criteria, intentional or not. Nuff said! <g>

4395. Re: Wanted... Hurricane 350 Mobile AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 04:19:59 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <PBxL9.588$6H6.54941@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146931

That's why I'm looking .. only decent amp I've ever seen/owned. Worked great on 20 and 15 meters and I've just picked up a HTX-10 for 10 meters and want to have a little more than the 15-20 watts out.

Tony

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:45649fe1.0212160532.47597b85@posting.google.com...
> Haven't see one of those in 20 years...
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> See the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message news:<bCbJ9.150791$Kj1.5552932@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > Anyone who where I can find a working or NOT working Hurricane 350 mobile
> > amp?
> >
> > Reply here with possibilities
> >
> > Tony

4396. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 04:37:09 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040099935.407343@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 146932

If Your just looking for something to talk to people in traffic i.e.: Smokey report , road conditions , traffic jams act. act. . Then just use a glass mount antenna .
You can get a glass mount for 11mtr and a dual band should work well for the scanner.
And no You wouldn't have to drill through the glass . The glass mount antenna is used with an adhesive tape . one on the outside holding the antenna then one on the inside that holds the coax and these two pieces sandwich the glass between them
This should work well for You just as so Your not talking about running amps and soforth.
I run a glass mount antenna on My Corvette because the body is all fiberglass and I was impressed with it.
73" and Good Luck
"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message news:atig5f01mf6@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Jim diGriz" <digriz_jim@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:14cc1dc3.0212150808.4790e5c9@posting.google.com...
> > Hi there
> >
> > I am considering purchasing a CB radio for use in my vehicles. I am
> > looking for some advice on this. The unit I am considering is the
> > Uniden PRO520XL or PRO510XL, mostly because of it's size, price and
> > availability. I need something that will not take up too much room,
> > and this will not be permanently mounted, rather it will likely be
> > used in several vehicles.
> >
> > I am sort of lost when it comes to antenna selection. I think I will
> > be ok with a magnet mount model, easy to find at any truck stop. Will
> > it be possible to route the cable through a door or hatchback, or will
> > I need to do more permanent mounting/installation, such as drilling
> > holes etc.
> >
> > I am also considering a scanner. Will I be able to use the same
> > antenna as the CB for this(using a cable splitter) or does each unit
> > need it's own antenna?
> >
> > Thanks for any info it is much appreciated.
>
> You'd need an entirely different antenna for the scanner.
> When running cables through window joints, you rn the risk of pinching the
> cable.
> If it's a leased vehicle, drilling holes is not an option.

> If it has a trunk, most people run the cable through the floorboard under
> the carpet, then out through the backseat and into the trunk.
> Using a trunk lip mount base for the antenna instead of a maggie.
> Your possible other choice to consider would be to run the cable under the
> carpet, drill a hole in the floorboard and run the cable out that way.
> The hole is not noticeable and easy to seal.
> if you're not willing to mess with connecting the end connector, your hole
> must be big enough to slip the entire connector through as well.
> To help seal the large hole, use a grommet or some type of vinyl sealant.
> You could possibly use an oversized washer, cutting a slit in it just big
> enough for the cable.
> But running the cable through the window has always been the wrong way to
do
> it.
>
>
>

4397. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 04:58:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvtboms62s4h4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Bill, I think they had their signals crossed. I don't think they should question your callsign at all - I think the question was to what audience is the sale aimed at? That aside, it is unfortunate that One Time Use Cameras is closing the Rochester plant as I would otherwise be very likely interested since you are apparently in my local area. However, at this point I am up to my knuckles in resumes ... ;)

73 from - uh - hey, Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:UqbL9.56871$HA2.16218011@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> And your call is where on your posts.....?
>
>
> pj wrote in message ...
> >No Ham call, must be a CBer
> >
> >
> >
> >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
> digital
> >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
> >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for
HF.
> >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
> >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster
Brown.
> >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
> outer
> >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a
high
> >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
> reports
> >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set
high.
> >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
> this
> >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find
the
> >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to

> >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
> >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority
Mail
> >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email
replies
> >>please, thanks for looking.
> >>
> >
>
>

4398. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 05:34:21 UTC
From: W7DVJ <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <kzzL9.863$yG3.176026769@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146934

Hello Mary and Ray,

Unblocking a scanner to receive 800 mhz cellphone conversations
is illegal and you can be arrested if caught using a cell unblocked
scanner.

It's my duty as a former LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT to
inform you.

Thank you,

Dirk Klansum, W7DVJ

"Mary & Ray" <prisby@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:P9qL9.2301$552.536052@nnrp1.ptd.net...
> UNBLOCKING the 245XLT
> Hi.
> Can anyone tell me if I can unblock my Undien 245XLT?
> If so, how.
> Thanks
>
>

4399. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 05:42:09 UTC
From: W7DVJ <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <FGzL9.864$NM3.176435288@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146935

Get ZoneAlarm, it's free, it's easy and it works.

But Java on the otherhand, is a different beast.

You best take yer guns to town son.....

Lotta folks just out to do a feller in these days.......

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Ay6L9.370017$QZ.55180@sccrnsc02...
> It worries me that if you right click on the animation and
> select "settings" there is a setting for "Allow [insert name
> of site using macromedia 6] access to your microphone and
> camera" Just why would I let macromedia player have access
> to my camera or microphone? Wonder if a site can by default
> have "yes" selected until you change the settings to no.
> Guess I'm just paranoid.
>
> Dave
>
> "RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.com> wrote in message
> news:yX6dnZxiJvlGLGGgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > There are good People in every part of society but
> > > then there are those scum of the trailer park like
> Yourself that give
> > > trailer parks a bad name.
> > Refer to link below.
> > http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html
> >
> > "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:1039911180.780809@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> > > Hey dvj
> > > You must be under a rock somewhere .
> > > Just as so that You will be informed , I'll help You out
> > > OMIK is the second largest Ham radio organization in the
> US. , Only the
> > ARRL
> > > is larger than Them.
> > > And so that You don't sit as a idiot and say DUH? .
> > > OMIK has been around for 51 Years and even though they
> welcome People from
> > > all races They are predominantly Black and was founded
> by blacks .
> > > Read the Nov. issue of qst page 54 .
> > > So You see dvj before You try starting shit You should

> at least get Your
> > > facts straight .
> > > But what can one expect . There are good People in every
> part of society
> > but
> > > then there are those scum of the trailer park like
> Yourself that give
> > > trailer parks a bad name.
> > > Have a good life
> > > "W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
> > > news:zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com...
> > > > By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color!
> Black folk just
> > love
> > > > CB which
> > > > explains why there are so few of them on the Ham
> bands. Ham radio is for
> > > > white
> > > > people only. Yep, it's true, just listen. If you hear
> a confused black
> > > > person, inform
> > > > him he's on the wrong band and that he should be on
> CB!
> > > >
> > > > Thank you.
> > > >
> > > > W7DVJ A Really White Person Who loves Black People!
> > > >
> > > > http://www.blackpeopleloveus.com/
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

4400. Mic wiring for Uniden Pro-510XL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 08:07:00 UTC
From: hylomar@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c4cbb09d.0212170007.5b131a05@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146936

Can anyone give me the wiring layout for the telephone-type mic jack on the 510?

Thanx

4401. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 09:53:33 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <rtstvuopepe8ur3tjbvb5f7d552865ajmu@4ax.com>
Source record: 146937

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 21:34:21 -0800, "W7DVJ" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
wrote:

>Hello Mary and Ray,
>
>Unblocking a scanner to receive 800 mhz cellphone conversations
>is illegal and you can be arrested if caught using a cell unblocked
>scanner.
>
>It's my duty as a former LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT to
>inform you.

Former?.....Got booted eh? .....What for? ....Pedophilia?

>Thank you,
>
>Dirk Klansum, W7DVJ

4402. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 12:26:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DFF17E1.75F3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 146939

Randall wrote:
>
> I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they
> will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5
> miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range
> band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that
> claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?

It depends on the power output. For an FRS radio to be legal, it can not put out more than 500 mW. GMRS, on the other hand, can put out up to 5 watts on the interstitial channels. Most GMRS/FRS radios run around 2 watts in GMRS mode, and .5 watt in FRS mode. At .5 watt, the radio is spec'ed to get near 2 miles range on average. Most will get between 1 and 5 miles depending on terrain. Some of the guys on alt.radio.family, have ran range tests, and some ranges in excess of 20 miles were achieved, when mountain topping.

In GMRS mode, with 2 watts, you should be able to jump up to 5 miles on average, with the same limitations on terrain.

The really sad thing is that these "combo" radios, are sold with very fine print explaining the need for an FCC license to use GMRS. Many will inadvertently be used illegally on GMRS frequencies. Many current GMRS licensees and repeater owners are fit to be tied as they envision their systems being overrun with kids playing cops and robbers and budding DJ's.

Gotta love those marketing folks......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4403. Superstar 120 fm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 13:32:57 UTC
From: vogon44@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bdd19ac4.0212170532.5e11390e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146938

I am trying to locate a schematic drawing for a Superstar 120 fm CB radio.
Can anyboby help?

Steve

4404. IR DX XMAS Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 14:44:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10651-3DFF383D-297@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146940

From 25th Dec. 2002 (00.01 h UTC) until 26th Dec. 2002 (23.59 h UTC) the International Radio DX-Group (Spirit of Australia) is organizing the 1st Xmas QSO Party 2002 open for all 11m DXers of good will, whatever group they belong to or not.
All 11m DXers, whatever group they belong to, are invited to take part in this Xmas QSO Party. You just have to use the same call-sign for the whole contest (e.g. if you work contest(s) with a friend and use multi-callsign, do not give out a contest progressive number with your own call-sign!). The rules for the Xmas QSO party are almost the same as for IR-DX World Contest 2003.
For more information, please contact the Contest Manager Jimmy 13IRØ11
Including the logbook you have to send the table of results to the contest manager by postal mail or e-mail.
These sheets can be downloaded at our website www.irdx.org : Useful forms
!!!!
Together the table of results and the logbook must be received at the contest manager before 31. January 2003
Prizes will be awarded during the International Radio DX-meeting in Italy
2003. 1st, 2nd and 3rd prize will receive a certificate and a special gift. Good DX ! Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year 2003

4405. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:11:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10650-3DFF3EAC-718@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146946

WA3MOJ wrote:
I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
****
>Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to >modify 1 per year,

Not exactly...Riley doesn't make law and has no authority to do so, but your interpretation does go against your previous stance of calling them basement amps and also illustrates how totally off the cuff and incorrect you are/were in referring to those who talk about these amps as criminals...never mind the fact that you take mere talk as evidence as guilt, but more interesting is the idea that you automatically assume that everyone you don't know, agree with, or are unable to get a call sign on or other personal info on, is automatically breaking the law, perfectly illustrating your haste in searching for discontent and hate.

>but you are comparing the amp to the radio >the radio.

No, I'm not...you are using the "grandfather clause" as an excuse for Dave's amp, which isn;t addressed, but do not wish to apply it to a Galaxy as it voids your point.
You have always had problems applying the standards you speak of...holding yourselves above the standards or applying them to others only.

>As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) >cannot be used. They have not <been type certified.

As used a Galaxy CAN indeed be used by an authorized hammie. Ten meter radios do not need be "type accepted" as you speak of it, such as referring to the stickers disclaiming cb use and alluding to not being "type certified".

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he >can then use it.

Really? Where did that come from? Show me. And what are these requirement concerning this spectrally pure bullshit? Who makes certain it meets these "requirements"?
Show me the part of the law that distinguishes and give specs for the Galaxy and what a hammie must do to it before you claim it can be used.
Don't tell me about it, quote it, which you apparently are unable.

>Other than that he cannot take a Galaxy or >any export radio and just >use it it is not Type certified by the FCC in it's >current form.

Pay close attention. It doesn't need be as it's not a cb.

>"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an >individual amateur to
>construct or modify a non-certificated RF >power amplifier once per
>calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station"

Make up your mind..you aren't too clear here.. are you defining
"non-certificated" as constituting exemptness from "type-acceptance" If
thats the case, there is no need for bringing a "Davemade" in line to
"spectral purity", whatever voodoo definition you apply to that. This
same discussion tripped you up last time we were all discussing type amp
classes, despite your self-bolstered claims of amp knowledge-greatness.
Seems you can't quote the passage you claim exists.

if that
is the case it is indeed legal for an authorized hammie to use a Galaxy.
****
>No that is not the case and just because you >say it doesn't make it
>so, you can say it 100 >more times it won't >make it true.

Ditto for you and the "Spectral purity" rule you claim concerning Dave
Hall's use of a Davemade....does this apply to his Texas Star? Is it
already "spectrally pure"? Be very careful how you answer for N3CVJ here
so you don't f*ck him up even more.

>the Galaxy is not type certified, he has to have >it aligned to FCC
>minimum specs before it can be used >LEGALLY by a Ham. .

Cite it...cite the rule that specifically shows the requirements for
spectral purity in order to run a davemade legally and a galaxy and a
Texas Star...that's all..just cite it..copy and paste it and don't ad
lib, and I'l have a heapin' help of crow.. but you can't, can ya.?

I was but merely attempting to educate an upperclassmen, N3CVJ, who
should already be familiar with the laws and thoroughly comprehend
them.....but with hammies like you spewing untruths such as you do,
Dave is very impressionable to what he sees here, subject to such
influences, and reacts as he sees fit.....it is indeed a sad day
for hammie radio when you have a hammie lid like N3CVJ Davey-boy Hall
slamming me and others for barefoot dxing and calling us criminals when
he can't even comprehend the law he is allegedly fostering but failing
to enforce while breaking it himself the entire time......it
magnificently illustrates his reserved and disturbed personal issues
that have nothing to with radio or legalities. The same is said for
yourself. As many times as he, and you, have claimed status by virtue of
self-professed years-of-experience or how long you held a license, one
would think y'all would at least comprehend the communication laws that
pertain to your hobby in a satisfactory manner instead of blatantly
holding yourselves above the law and rationalizing N3CVJ's "criminal"
actions of using a "basement" amp..
****
>I am not rationalizing anything Twithed, Riley >Hollingsworth has
stated, "Hollingsworth said >FCC rules permit an individual amateur to
>construct or modify a non-certificated RF >power amplifier once per
>calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station"

Redundant parroting of something you clearly do not comprehend doesn't make it conform to your flawed and incorrect interpretations..no dice. You have demonstrated it has nothing to do with spectral purity. It was addresed nowhere but from you and Hall........

>You are the one doing all the barking about >Daves amp and have been proven wrong >about it,

Dave didn't proe a damn thing, even though he said he would several times...it's why he has taken to masking his ID again (so he thinks) and posting pseudo-anonymously (yea, right...hahhhahahahhh). He has NOT proved anything. He has NOT showed how he has made this Davemade spectrally pure like he claimed he would. He has NOT shown anything concerning his lie about a cber getting arrested and found guilty of a misdemeanor of disorderly. Hehas proved absolutley nothing. Again, Geroge, Dave needs help, but you aren't it, even if your intentions are intended as such. You need to educate yourself before you can assist another floundering lid.

> he is not performing
>any criminal action using the amp. Why can't >you get it thru your head.

He uses it on the freeband......and openly endorsed the freeband..recently, when he claimed he was advocating nothing but legal, responsible cb..then he selectively chooses who to go after for the same actions he does himself. Ignoring his hypocritical and self-contradictory remarks and attacking me personally with ad hominem and off topic remarks won't make his actions, behaviors, and statements go away.
--
a low-life cber must step in, take control, and educate you two losers on what you are allegedly licensed for..

>You got that shot right twist you certainly are a >low life CBer ,

And you are a shining example of apicture perfect lid to hammie radio. You base this on your intense dislike for me, not my radio personality, not my operating mannerisms, but what you read here and disagree with. The sign of an enlightened and intelligent individual, no doubt about it. I take full credit and responsibility for you being proud of the fact. I have the uncanny ability to make you swine shine and I'm enjoying it to the fullest extent possible. This much fun ought to be illegal, hey? <g>.

>and you are spreading lies and >misinformation,

If you are referring to my Galaxy statement, it can only be one, either a lie, or disinformation. You can' even produce a single passage from the law, how can anyone call on you to produce anything else.......,
since you claimed two....you haven't managed to come up with a rule or law quote claiming anything about type-acceptance for an authorized hammie concerning the Galaxy or a Davemade as far as making them spectrally pure and in regs with the FCC as you claimed.......

>Galaxy radios cannot be used in there current >form by hams, Why ?
>because they are not type certified, they also >woul;d have to be
>modified to comply with FCC requirments. .

Which are what? Why does this point have you stuttering and flopping around like a fish out of water? Still waiting for either of you to answer any of the questions posed to you concerning your claims..so far you both have done nothing but fail and lie,,,,,and attack me when it's pointed out as such.
--
Still waiting for
N3CVJ to show us how he made this Davemade spectrally pure like he said he would...and so are others......perhaps he was lying, perhaps he doesn't know what he was talking about...perhaps it was all in his psycho-babbled little head just like his conjured scenario of a cber

>twisty who is the us you speak of? I don't hear >any other babbling
>like you are you speaking for others again.

The group. See, you can't have it both ways....even though you try to selectively apply standards unevenly. You and your posse of hate and discontent who have pledged to troll rec.radio.cb have claimed this group is full of illegal cbers using illegal amps...Dave Hall N3CVJ even made a comment that cbers aren't satisfied with barefoot radios and almost all run illegal power..since the both of you have been rendered impotent all you can do is cry about your own statements that have you chasing your cur and lice ridden tails...hehehhe.
And since the group is chock full of illegal amp owners and Davemade is a very popular amp......many would be intereste in the info. the fact that I am in touch with the pulse of cbers and you don't have a clue seems to upset you greatly..stop allowing such trivial and mundane matters to control your emotive responses..it looks quite foolish and juvenile. I wasn't the only one that asked..although he did claim he wouldn't indulge us unless another asked, he has failed to produce yet once again.

You attacking me for asking him about his own claims illustrate your own insecurity and personal issues that are completely independent of radio. And I guess since his personal issues with me has him all riled up, he will use the same excuse, that he won't (read: can't) produce anything that would lend one iota of credibility to his already compromised imaginative conjurations, the latest one of disorderly charges against cbers in the state of Pa......and all because of his disdain for little 'ol me, no doubt..otherwise, he would have no problem showing that all his wild-azzed claims have perfectly sound explanations....but 'ol twist prevents that. Let me ask you something,,,you attribute magical feats to me too often.

"Phelps Lover"

4406. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:16:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10650-3DFF3FB1-719@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146948

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Randall wrote:
Gotta love those marketing folks......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--
Hiya Davey-boy. Is the excuse that *I" want to know still being plied by yourself for avoiding answering any of your lies you were caught in? Hyukyukyukyuk! You did say you would enlighten us as to the steps you took to make your davemade spectrally pure, but have failed to do so. Your word is no longer worth anyhting, is it Davey? I say you lied about that, about the cber getting arrested near you, about your Phelps, about many, many things..did you make the Texas Star pure, too? Hhahahhahhah!

4407. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:18:15 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <tJqcneoyYNek3WKgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146941

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:%XvL9.65408$hK4.5437800@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> They are certainly two different kind of animals. While the Davemade,
> Xforce, and others are class "C" (no bias) amps that are made for "all
out"
> performance at the expensive of longevity and harmonic splatter... my amps
> are designed so components work within their design limits. This ensures
> reliability and low harmonic content. Dino's analogy was good... either
> you're looking for an every day driving car... or one you take to the
strip
> and blow up.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Forwarded to the FCC, Thank Brian.

4408. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:19:05 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <MqqcnYFTk_733WKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 146942

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%9xL9.83$Nz5.45847@twister.austin.rr.com...
> You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole to
> > be a AKC member.
>
> George certainly fits that criteria, intentional or not. Nuff said! <g>

Randy, better than being a dick with ears like you.

4409. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:19:33 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <fpGcnQCz0Owb3WKgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146943

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%9xL9.83$Nz5.45847@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >> Huh? I was asked to join? Could you please send me proof of this
> > > invitation? I somehow have overlooked it.
> > >
> > You don't meet their criteria,Randy. You have to be a complete asshole
to
> > be a AKC member.
>
> George certainly fits that criteria, intentional or not. Nuff said! <g>

Or a confirmed Homosexual like Landshark.

4410. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:19:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10650-3DFF409B-720@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146947

From: tnom@mucks.net
On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 21:34:21 -0800, "W7DVJ" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote:
Hello Mary and Ray,
Unblocking a scanner to receive 800 mhz cellphone conversations is
illegal and you can be arrested if caught using a cell unblocked
scanner.
It's my duty as a former LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT to inform you.
--
Former?.....Got booted eh? .....What for? ....Pedophilia?
W7DVJ
--
He 's not a former anyhting except bonafide liar. First he claimed he
was in Sacramento on Beegum Drive (not so,,his server is in Oakland,
Cal) He too, in this current carnation, has been rendered lying
impotence.

4411. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:22:59 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <CKWcnd6V5svJ3GKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 146944

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:VFvL9.65377$hK4.5435016@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Are these made by Dave??? They do look similar in many respects. I can't
> understand why any designer would enclose the heatsink in a semi-closed
case
> with no fan like on the smallest unit. That is just beyond
comprehension...

Funny part is I see no fan on the Skywave amp. LOL, and you use 2879's
higer wattage devices than his amp. Either way they are both illegal.

4412. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:25:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10652-3DFF41EB-81@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146949

It's not spam if it was requested. I think Lou provides a valuable service with his posts and have asked him to post his info every few weeks for the newbies that George, Frank, Lelnad and Dave Hall claim visit and frequent this group. It is pertinent, on topic, and offers plenty more than some others on this forum have to offer. What's your problem with Lou? I have seen you jump him b4 over this.....you don't see him trying to snitch you out for your amps...live and let live..Lou's done nothing to you.

4413. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 15:39:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10650-3DFF4541-724@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146945

From: nocrap@nospam.com (*****)
>I talk on any frequency when not flying >missions. I can punch up
27.555 and key up >on trashy mouths and knock all of you out!!

I beg to differ.....I haven't found the station that has the capability
of keying on me when I'm pointed east to Europe, outside of another
Florida station, Of course I don't have a trashy mouth like some of our
resident angry hammies of pledged hate to rec.radio.cb......
I give credit where credit is due..if you can knock me out or put a huge
signal on me, I''l be the first to give your station a warm fuzzy..so
far, there are 3 stations in the past year that have been able to key on
me when I'm pointed east to Europe..and I know all 3 of them,,and you
ain't one of 'em.

>I tried it on some punks on Channel 6 and >they cried like pussies!!

That was laughter.

>The guys up here

Where's "up here?"

>waited till we landed to laugh our asses off! Up >there, we just gave
those stupid gang >hand-gestures!!

What are you flying? Cessna, Piper Cub? What is your output and power
brand source?

>So, there you have an answer to your futile >question as to who talks
on
>27.555.

Many of us talk on 555. Can't say I heard you though. Who should I be
listening for?
I only knowingly talked to two planes on the freeband before and both
planes ID numbers were freely given and logged. If you are a force to be
reckoned with, your number or handle should be readily recognized..what
is it?

>HOOAH!!

4414. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 16:55:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29934-3DFF5706-226@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 146953

X-Admin: news@aol.com From: timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo) Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Mon, Dec 16, 2002, 4:43pm (EST+5) Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com Subject: Re: Uk coming in....
use a rotatable dipole
--
You know, I messed around with one before but wasn't real serious about it....a good directional way out on the water..WOW! Some of these hammies that were in the service on a ship have experience with what I'm trying to achieve..it's like skydiving..you can read all about it, but until you've jumped, describing it is futile. I wanna yagi in the crow's nest <g>!!

4415. Re: GMRS / FRS question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 16:59:57 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <rrluvukl93jmqgsonu3e1f96l3ojo85lk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 146950

On 16 Dec 2002 13:27:33 -0800, mlc3stooge@yahoo.com (Randall) wrote:

>I have noticed that some walkie talkies that have GMRS state that they
>will work "up to 2 miles" while others state they work "up to 5
>miles". I was under the impression that FRS was the 2 mile range
>band, while GMRS was the 5 mile range. If I see a GMRS radio that
>claims only 2 miles, should I be skeptical?
****

Range is dependant on th eamount of transmitted power, antenna
configuration and terrain. Some of the GMRS radios may have lower
transmitter power than others and thus less range. Overall the ranges
quoted are met under ideal conditions such as flat terrain with no
trees or buildings between the units.

james

4416. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 17:03:26 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <r2muvu0a0q71duve79gkdhc7eelvlcgvep@4ax.com>
Source record: 146951

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 15:56:14 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
>>>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>>>
>>**********
>>
>>And another appliance operator heard from
>>
>>james
>
>So I take it YOU are using YOUR home made radio? If not then your
>appliance comment shows your hypocrisy.
****

I can operate both. I have built simple single band transceiver. I did start to design and build a multiband tranceiver but lack of funds has put that to a halt.

If I had my preferences I wpuld build my own.

james

4417. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 17:05:54 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <c7muvushspb0n4i3edrdogs70e6vg88g06@4ax.com>
Source record: 146952

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 18:43:57 -0500, "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom>
wrote:

>
><james> wrote in message news:5odsvu4lm2h1i2t71inke5orlebguoa0u7@4ax.com...
> >No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>> >
>> **********
>>
>> And another appliance operator heard from
>>
>> james
>
>
>LOL, James take a hike you piker, I guess there are thousands and hundreds
>of thousand appliance operators carrying ham licenses. I build my own
>antennas, does that count? who cares what you think ..just because someone
>doesn't have the time to build a radio they are a appliance operator.? Can
>we see pics of your hombrew amps and radios.
>Did you build your own car?
>
****

Now Go Deep I shall drop down to your level of language.

Dear Mister Peckerhead:

Please go and place any part of your body up your ass and go away. You
ar a worthless tweedle dweeb and is not worth any mopre comments or
recognition.

regards
james

4418. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 18:15:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DFF69A4.4080908@mailcity.net>
Source record: 146957

W7DVJ wrote:
> Hello Mary and Ray,
>
> Unblocking a scanner to receive 800 mhz cellphone conversations
> is illegal and you can be arrested if caught using a cell unblocked
> scanner.
>
> It's my duty as a former LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT to
> inform you.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Dirk Klansum, W7DVJ

You're about as much a former law enforcement participant
(whatever in the hell that means) as the fake callsign you use.

4419. Happy Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 19:04:00 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ZyKL9.3246$37.362447@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 146955

I'd like to take this time to wish everyone in here a safe and happy holiday season. I would also like to ask everyone to keep our men and women in the armed forces who are deployed and away from home in mind.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4420. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 19:18:41 UTC
From: Cameron <thecougar@centurytel.netspam>
Message-ID: <zGKL9.1797$Pm2.131103@feed2.centurytel.net>
Source record: 146954

I can drill glass

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DFCC33C.58547A2D@cwis.net...
> Jim,
> Routing coax cable through a door isn't exactly the
> best way of doing things. Depending on how tightly the
> door seals, sooner or later, the cable will be deformed
> enough to ruin it. If you can find a convenient 'knock-
> out' to route the cable through it would be better in the
> long run (or drill a hole).
> Scanners and radio transmitters on the same antenna is
> an idea that isn't too good either. Sure, it can be done,
> but like welding on a gas tank, it ain't simple, and the
> altenatives are better. Since a mobile scanner's antenna
> doesn't have to be very much to start with, I would think
> that some other idea may be 'better'. How about a cell-
> phone antenna and just leave it mounted, or use a 'clip-on'
> type? Not much for distance reception, but then most people
> using a scanner when mobile are interested in stuff closer
> to hand.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - You know, one option that almost no one ever thinks
> about is drilling a hole in glass. But get someone
> who knows how to do it...

4421. Re: Davemade M-80 Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 20:01:08 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217150108.28503.00000106@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 146956

$100 for the Davemade M-80

Thatz-me-JP

4422. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 22:17:39 UTC
From: pj <pjdong@aol.com>
Message-ID: <al8vvu807jkkur7orguqpt9iero2r7gu42@4ax.com>
Source record: 146958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Another illegal CB amp. 10-4?

\
On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 02:09:26 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>lol...

4423. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 22:33:10 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GCNL9.1434$p_6.132629@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146959

You're welcome...

||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||

>
>
> Forwarded to the FCC, Thank Brian.
>
>

4424. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 22:38:23 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zHNL9.1448$p_6.132986@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146960

The point is that my heatsink is not enclosed in a chassis that has
virtually no air flow.
Having a heatsink in an enclosed box is useless!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Funny part is I see no fan on the Skywave amp. LOL, and you use 2879's
> higer wattage devices than his amp. Either way they are both illegal.

4425. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 22:39:53 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217173953.12046.00000110@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 146961

Its not an amp BJ

4426. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 23:46:41 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BHOL9.9516$qF3.374@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 146962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I have to agree with Twistedhed. Lou has not been flooding the new group with this. The information is useless to me but is on topic. As long as he keeps the re-posting time reasonable I'd say let him post it and don't give him any hassle. Guess we just have different definitions of SPAM, and different levels of tolerance. oh well. As a side note, it looks like your Skywave amp is well designed.

Dave

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >
> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >

> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just
the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order
Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > *
* * * * * * *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier
of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself
repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM
converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets
of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details
> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> >
>
>

4427. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-17 23:52:11 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217185211.13990.00000135@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 146963

James, you took the words right out mouth.George shows his real worth when he hits the keyboard.Can you say loser!

4428. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:07:45 UTC
From: Go Deep <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <ZxednblUMaPPIWKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 146964

<james> wrote in message news:r2muvu0a0q71duve79gkdhc7eelvlcgvep@4ax.com...

> >So I take it YOU are using YOUR home made radio? If not then your
> >appliance comment shows your hypocrisy.
> **
>
> I can operate both. I have built simple single band transceiver. I did
> start to design and build a multiband tranceiver but lack of funds has
> put that to a halt.
>
> If I had my preferences I wpuld build my own.

Every one doesn't have a preference or the know how, why did you let lack of
funds stop you another appliance operator heard from....

>
> james
>

4429. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:13:13 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <FBidnZEMW8IEIGKgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 146965

<james> wrote in message news:c7muvushspb0n4i3edrdogs70e6vg88g06@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 18:43:57 -0500, "Go Deep" <rcranus@hotmailcom>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><james> wrote in message
news:5odsvu4lm2h1i2t71inke5orlebguoa0u7@4ax.com...
> > >No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
> >> >
> >> **********
> >>
> >> And another appliance operator heard from
> >>
> >> james
> >
> >
> >LOL, James take a hike you piker, I guess there are thousands and
hundreds
> >of thousand appliance operators carrying ham licenses. I build my own
> >antennas, does that count? who cares what you think ..just because
someone
> >doesn't have the time to build a radio they are a appliance operator.?
Can
> >we see pics of your hombrew amps and radios.
> >Did you build your own car?
> >
> **********
>
> Now Go Deep I shall drop down to your level of language.

My level of Language? I called you a piker not a PeckerHead, perhaps I should have you started hurling the insults in typical Hammie fashion. And then can't back up the bullshit you post. You don't operate homebrew equipment so I am assuming you classify yourself as a appliance operator, as per you that is what you are if you don't build your own radio. Jim do us all a favor we don't need you in this NG another Hammie with an attitude....Lose it your not all that Peckerhead...

> Dear Mister Peckerhead:

At least you got the Mr. right.

> Please go and place any part of your body up your ass and go away. You
> ar a worthless tweedle dweeb and is not worth any mopre comments or
> recognition.

>
> regards
> james
>

4430. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:15:02 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <eKqdnfWwT4maI2KgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 146966

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021217185211.13990.00000135@mb-cr.aol.com...
> James, you took the words right out mouth.George shows his real worth when
he
> hits the keyboard.Can you say loser!

I sure can say Loser watch ALLSTEELLL77 how is that I think that says it all
. Hey why don't you go fuck yourself too asshole.. I didn't say a word to
the guy, until he started kinda like you can you say asshole... I am sure
you didn't see that.

4431. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:25:49 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <uvvg0ac5k3ujd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146967

"Appliance Operator" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:FBidnZEMW8IEIGKgXTWcoA@comcast.com...

> Jim do us all a favor we don't need you in this NG another Hammie with an
> attitude....Lose it your not all that Peckerhead...

Surrre George, you hypocrite, ...you go on and just believe your own bullshit.

4432. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:45:17 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217194517.29583.00000126@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 146970

Careful George.Your IQ showing!

4433. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:46:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atogcn$18hck$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146968

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3DFBEA1B.4040501@attbi.com...
> There are two specific nails that seal the coffin for certain radios.
> 1. Is the radio channelized? Without a vfo with frequency display, it's
> hard to imagine it being very useful to many amateurs.

The Uniden 2510, which is on that list, has a VFO with a frequency display.

> 2. Does it ship from the factory set to transmit on 10 meters, but has a
> jumper block that can be changed with a pair of needle nose pliers in 5
> minutes? The tradtional amateur brands can be changed but require a
> little heat to do the job and it normally isn't all that obvious.

Once again, the 2510 ships from the factory operating on 10 meters only. To change it to 10/11 meters, you had to cut a trace and solder in a resistor somewhere, if I remember correctly. However, on newer units you have to purchase and install a completely new processor chip, from what I've heard.

With my Kenwood, it ships from the factory transmitting on 160-10 meter ham bands only. All that needs to be done is clip two diodes for full transmit.

Tom

4434. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:48:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atogfq$17k8a$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 146969

<james> wrote in message news:s03ovuo6gd44p1o5g9q93g11cj75mug3bk@4ax.com...

> Have you ever thought of building your own amatuer radio? The FCC does
> allow that you know!

I've built a couple so far. One of them is shown here:
http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/sw20.html

I plan to build more in the future.

Tom

4435. Re: Cellphone jammer needed. Where can I purchase one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:51:15 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212171651.39f3fa62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146972

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote in message
news:<20021212173309.03151.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> I tend to agree with my friend. The cellphone situation has gotten completely
> out-of-hand. All information and suggestions on this would be greatly
> appreciated.

I'm against jamming cellphones, mostly because doing so contitutes
major lawfucking in Amerika (this is one reason why I think our
lawmakers should be ground up into dog food & law books ripped up for
toilet paper). I hate cellphones too---somebody once called them
Cigarettes of the '90s---but unfortunately monkeying around with
cellphone freqs can land a well-meaning person in the crossbar hotel
for 5 years. The carriers are THAT protective of the freqs, and they
keep our lawmakers on a very short leash.

The jamming device you might have heard about is a little videotape
sized white box made in Israel, not Japan... *BUT* the last I heard of
it, our Feds are just ITCHING to bust the living hell out of the 1st
joe who goes to Israel to buy one and bring it back though Customs or
ship it through the mail. Even trying to get ahold of the schematic is
probably too risky.

Cellphone freqs are not very accessible anyways, unless you have the
technical expertise to mod 800mhz trunk-junk, or possibly hitch up a
33cm ham transverter to a modified 2m transceiver and work the rest
out with math... but remember, I'm not going to post your bail if you
try it & get yourself busted.

Moral: just grin & bear it.

Guy Richards

4436. Re: Real deal on RugerP85, AKA "The any color at all Weenie Washer"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:51:31 UTC
From: Jack N Hoff <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <YBPL9.29171$NV.567621@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

HOT DAMN!

GUESS YOU HEARD THAT, BUTTLICK!!

sound of newsgroup : "JERRY, JERRY, JERRY, JERRY"

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212131741.48859b8f@posting.google.com...
> On Mon, 9 Dec 2002 01:40:01 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time) "skyhawk",
> after having his auction ratted out, <sky444@abs.adelphia.net> whined
> like the impotent sissy boy he is...:
>
> yea whatever dipshit....you just money away from my kids
> Christmas,,,,,the only reason I was selling this as a package and
> cheap I might add so it would sell fast and I could have that cash for
> Christmas.....Happy Now asshole.....let me guess now your gonna call
> the FBI....we went from Narcing on eBay to Adelphia......wow aren't
> you just to special.............please refrain from sending me anymore
> email as you are doing nothing but pissing me off more and
> more........and you might think that's funny but you know what it
> really isn't....now I'm gonna have to figure out how to sell that
> somewhere else quickly to get my damn money for Christmas for my kids
> stuff.......this is how the not so rich live....pretty isn't
> it...someone like you comes along playing God and they fuck it all
> up.......thank you so much ,it really is appreciated
>
> My usual type of totally accurate and completely moral reply"
>
> Hey,
> Have fun yourself. You can tell anyone and everyone...They already
> know...Anti-Boys been rattin out scumbags like you a long time.
> You were just another notch on my holster. As far as being an asshole
> goes, I consider it a compliment to see you call me that. If a
> criminal hates me I am likely doing something right.
>
> You are really stupid. You think I CARE if you TELL ABOUT ME? On the
> contrary. If I did I would not have emailed you to letya know.
>
> Do your worst, buttlick...
>
> I think I will report your illegal business transaction to adelphia.
> Since you like to call names so much you can go there and call them
> names after your access gets pulled.

>
> You are an impotent shitball and a little boy in a man's world. Take a
> hike, sonny.

4437. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:55:39 UTC
From: Jim DiGriz <digriz_jim@yahoo.com*_nospam>
Message-ID: <fIPL9.35106$Fq3.1819221@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 146973

Thanks for help everyone. I think I now have a decent mobile CB/scanner
setup.

"Jim diGriz" <digriz_jim@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:14cc1dc3.0212150808.4790e5c9@posting.google.com...
> Hi there
>
> I am considering purchasing a CB radio for use in my vehicles. I am
> looking for some advice on this. The unit I am considering is the
> Uniden PRO520XL or PRO510XL, mostly because of it's size, price and
> availability. I need something that will not take up too much room,
> and this will not be permanently mounted, rather it will likely be
> used in several vehicles.
>
> I am sort of lost when it comes to antenna selection. I think I will
> be ok with a magnet mount model, easy to find at any truck stop. Will
> it be possible to route the cable through a door or hatchback, or will
> I need to do more permanent mounting/installation, such as drilling
> holes etc.
>
> I am also considering a scanner. Will I be able to use the same
> antenna as the CB for this(using a cable splitter) or does each unit
> need it's own antenna?
>
> Thanks for any info it is much appreciated.

4438. FUNNY! A CB Christmas?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 00:59:43 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <atoh3n$emo1$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 146974

http://www.twistedradio.com/show/downloads/whitetrash.asp

4439. Re: Black folk love CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:00:42 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212171700.2018b826@posting.google.com>
Source record: 146975

"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
news:<zCCK9.702$%B1.137723958@news.inreach.com>...
> By golly it's true! Channel 6 is loaded wit color!

Racism-schmasism, it's true of Channel 6 in L.A. I've learned of some
good restaurants by listening to these dudes.

Guy

4440. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:05:48 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <BvecnSam_vBxVGKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146977

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10650-3DFF3EAC-718@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
WA3MOJ wrote:
I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you
appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
****
>Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to >modify 1 per year,

>Not exactly...Riley doesn't make law and has no authority to do so,

No one said he makes the Law asslip, he enforces the Law he is also a lawyer
I am sure he knoiws the FCC laws better than you.

> but your interpretation does go against your previous stance of calling
them
>basement amps

They still are basement amps what part has changed. They must be modified to
meet FCC specs. My interpretation is right on the money Dave Hall can modify
his amp as he stated.

> and also illustrates how totally off the cuff and incorrect you are/were
in referring to those who talk about these amps
>as criminals...

They are criminals the amps are still illegal to build, for anyone.

>never mind the fact that you take mere talk as evidence as guilt,

Funny you say that you have taken everything Dave Hall has said as evidence
why is it different when you say something about something that you are
doiing that is illegal. .

>but more interesting is the idea that you automatically assume
>that everyone you don't know, agree with, or are unable to get a call
>sign on or other personal info on, is automatically breaking the law,

Use that amp on 11 meters you are a criminal, build that amp you are a
criminal and breaking the Law. Say you use an amp , your a criminal or a
liar. In your case your both, you won't What part don't you understand.
don't be such a pussy twisty stand up for your illegal actions instead of
making excuses. Your the best at that.

>perfectly illustrating your haste in searching for discontent and hate.

I have to look no farther than you for discontent and hate.

>but you are comparing the amp to the radio >the radio.

>No, I'm not...you are using the "grandfather clause" as an excuse for Dave's amp,

Grandfather clause? what are you smoking of course you can provide proof of this wild imaginary claim. I will take a link form the FCC page as proof.

> which isn;t addressed, but do not wish to apply it to a Galaxy as it voids your point.

You are attempting to twist the topic now The amp and the Galaxy are 2 different things It is you who is trying to compare the 2 they are totally different. Get over it no matter how much you cry they are not the same.

You have always had problems applying the standards you speak of...holding yourselves above the standards or applying them to others only.

>As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) >cannot be used. They have not <been type certified.

>As used a Galaxy CAN indeed be used by an authorized hammie.

No they can't you maroon, any radio used on amateur radio bands need to be type certified. I have already proven that with
proof from FCC web page. argue with them.

>Ten meter radios do not need be "type accepted" as you speak of it, such as
>referring to the stickers disclaiming cb use and alluding to not being " type certified".

They need to be type certified to be used legally by a Ham, although they don't need to be type accepted to be imported into this country. For use they either have to be modified to meet FCC specs or be type certified. A ham radio will not have any stickers on it alluding to CB use. Your making thoings up again bedcause you have no clue.

>>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he >>can then use it.

>Really? Where did that come from?

FCC

> Show me.

You show me your grandfather clause statement

>And what are these requirement concerning this spectrally pure bullshit?

Get a ham license if you want to find out

>Who makes certain it meets these "requirements"?

Fcc you nimrod, how do you think radios are "type accepted" they must meet these requirements.
A radio is sent to the FCC lab the FCC checks the radio for spectral

quality, if it passes all other units of this model must all meet these requirements before they can be sold.

>Show me the part of the law that distinguishes and give specs for the Galaxy and what a hammie must do to it before you >claim it can be used. .

A Ham is required by his license to make sure his radio is within specifications. No matter if it is a kenwood or a homebrew radio, you just don't put any piece of shit radio on the air.

>Don't tell me about it, quote it, which you apparently are unable

Don't tell you about it that is all you are doing, you have not posted one shred of evidance to back your claims especially this grandfather clause I have quoted the FCC page and pasted it, you read it I am not leading you by the hand. The rules aren't going to change overnite so they will still be there for you tommorow.

>Other than that he cannot take a Galaxy or >any export radio and just >use it it is not Type certified by the FCC in it's >current form.

>Pay close attention. It doesn't need be as it's not a cb.

You pay close attention, Hams must use type certified equipment. The galaxy is not one of them.

>"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an >individual amateur to >construct or modify a non-certificated RF >power amplifier once per >calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station"

>Make up your mind..you aren't too clear here..

Talk to Riley.

> are you defining "non-certificated" as constituting exemptness from "type-acceptance"

Listen up real close I will say it one time, A ham such as dave hall can use an amp that he built, which of course hasn't been sent in for type certification. if dave wants to start selling them he will have to send the amp in for type certification, which means he can build it and have the FCC accept or reject it, if they accept it any additional units he builds for sale must also meet the same specs. If he is going to use a non type certified amp it is up to the amateur operator to make sure it is meets or exceeds the FCC spectral requirements. It is up to the amateur

>If thats the case, there is no need for bringing a "Davemade" in line to "spectral purity",

There sure is, a ham must operate a radio amp or whatever he is using within the FCC spectral requirements.
Whether he built the amp himself or bought it.

> whatever voodoo definition you apply to that.

Argue with the FCC it is not my fault you don't understand.

>This same discussion

Has screwed you up for a while. you see no one backing you nor any hammies running to your side...

if that
is the case it is indeed legal for an authorized hammie to use a Galaxy.
****
>No that is not the case and just because you >say it doesn't make it
>so, you can say it 100 >more times it won't >make it true.

>Ditto for you and the "Spectral purity" rule you claim concerning Dave
>Hall's use of a Davemade....does this apply to his Texas Star?

It applys to all amps that aren't type certified. Homebrew or whatever.

>Is it already "spectrally pure"? Be very careful how you answer for N3CVJ here
>so you don't f*ck him up even more.

How am I fucking up Dave, he can use his amp if he wishes it is up to him to acertain that it is within legal operating specs.
Is it already spectrally pure nah i doubt it it is a class c splatter box none of the factory made ham amps are Class C what does that tell you right off the bat?

>the Galaxy is not type certified, he has to have >it aligned to FCC
>minimum specs before it can be used >LEGALLY by a Ham. .

Cite it...cite the rule that specifically shows the requirements for spectral purity in order to run a davemade legally and a galaxy and a Texas Star...that's all..just cite it..copy and paste it and don't ad lib, and I'l have a heapin' help of crow.. but you can't, can ya.?

I was but merely attempting to educate an upperclassmen, N3CVJ, who should already be familiar with the laws and thoroughly comprehend them.....but with hammies like you spewing untruths such as you do, Dave is very impressionable to what he sees here, subject to such influences, and reacts as he sees fit.....it is indeed a sad day for hammie radio when you have a hammie lid like N3CVJ Davey-boy Hall slamming me and others for barefoot dxing and calling us criminals when he can't even comprehend the law he is allegedly fostering but failing to enforce while breaking it himself the entire time......it magnificently illustrates his reserved and disturbed personal issues that have nothing to with radio or legalities. The same is said for yourself. As many times as he, and you, have claimed status by virtue of self-professed years-of-experience or how long you held a license, one would think y'all would at least comprehend the communication laws that pertain to your hobby in a satisfactory manner instead of blatantly holding yourselves above the law and rationalizing N3CVJ's "criminal" actions of using a "basement" amp..
****

>I am not rationalizing anything Twithed, Riley >Hollingsworth has
stated, "Hollingsworth said >FCC rules permit an individual amateur to
>construct or modify a non-certificated RF >power amplifier once per
>calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station"

>He has NOT showed how he has made this Davemade
>spectrally pure like he claimed he would.

If he biased it he probably cleaned it up quite alot.
Since when does he have to prove what he does to you> who are you?

He has NOT shown anything
concerning his lie about a cber getting arrested and found guilty of a
misdemeanor of disorderly. Hehas proved absolutley nothing. Again,
Geroge, Dave needs help, but you aren't it, even if your intentions are
intended as such. You need to educate yourself before you can assist
another floundering lid.

> he is not performing
>any criminal action using the amp. Why can't >you get it thru your
head.

He uses it on the freeband......and openly endorsed the
freeband..recently, when he claimed he was advocating nothing but legal,
responsible cb..then he selectively chooses who to go after for the same
actions he does himself. Ignoring his hypocritical and
self-contradictory remarks and attacking me personally with ad hominem
and off topic remarks won't make his actions, behaviors, and statements
go away.
--
a low-life cber must step in, take control, and educate you two losers
on what you are allegedly licensed for..

>You got that shot right twist you certainly are a >low life CBer ,

And you are a shining example of apicture perfect lid to hammie radio.
You base this on your intense dislike for me, not my radio personality,

My intense dislike for you is derived at how you are and how you are a liar
and twist things to suit you. You are correctly named and I didn't name you
so I assume you have had this affliction since birth.

>not my operating mannerisms, but what you read here and disagree with.

Well this can go 2 ways twisty, you then are either a lair which I believe
to be true or what you talk about is the truth you operate on the freeband
etc. which is it are you a liar or are you telling the truth when you say
you break federal law and talk on the freeband.

>and you are spreading lies and >misinformation,

If you are referring to my Galaxy statement, it can only be one, either
a lie, or disinformation. You can' even produce a single passage from
the law, how can anyone call on you to produce anything else.......,

since you claimed two....you haven't managed to come up with a rule or law quote claiming anything about type-acceptance for an authorized hammie concerning the Galaxy or a Davemade as far as making them spectrally pure and in regs with the FCC as you claimed.......

>Which are what?

Get a ham license and find out,
Let me ask you this do you think hams can just operate any piece of shit they want on the band? with out requirements
and specifications. use your head a little hear twisty.

Why does this point have you stuttering and flopping
around like a fish out of water? Still waiting for either of you to answer any of the questions posed to you concerning your claims..so far you both have done nothing but fail and lie,,,,,and attack me when it's pointed out as such.
--
>Still waiting for N3CVJ to show us how he made this Davemade spectrally pure like he said
>he would...and so are others.....

He biased the amp, If I were dave I would charge for the info you are asking for.

>twisty who is the us you speak of? I don't hear >any other babbling
>like you are you speaking for others again.

>The group.

I don't see the group saying the same thing you are about htis subject. But then again this is a CB NG what do they know about Ham laws.

> See, you can't have it both ways....

And neither can you.

>even though you try to selectively apply standards unevenly. You and your posse of hate and
>discontent who have pledged to troll rec.radio.cb have claimed this
>group is full of illegal cbers using illegal amps...

<<<snipp snipp>>>>

Stay on topic Twisty

>Dave Hall N3CVJ even made a comment that cbers aren't satisfied with barefoot radios and
>almost all run illegal power..

He is 100% correct, look at the first thing you and your vermin say... A person posts "My cb doesnt have the range I expected" .. the first reply always is get a amplifier I call that a fact

> And since the group is chock full of illegal amp owners and Davemade is
>a very popular amp......many would be intereste in the info.

Well perhaps Dave would be wiling to sell this info. Your admitting the
group is full of illegal amp owners is correct

>the fact that I am in touch with

yourself?

> the pulse of cbers and you don't have a clue seems to upset you greatly..

Oh you haven't a clue what my clue is

> I wasn't the only one that asked..although he did claim he
>wouldn't indulge us unless another asked, he has failed to produce yet
>once again.

And that is his perogative he is under nothing to give you free info...

4441. Re: CHARITY BENEFIT FOR Rugerp85 the queerboy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:06:01 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <wPPL9.29178$NV.567546@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 146976

BOY!!!
YOU HAD BETTER GO SUCK YO MOMMA'S COCK LIKE YOU BEEN DOIN'!!!
(sound of whip cracking)
GET TO IT!!!!
GIT!!!

You still hoin' ?
Thought dat was you going in one of them showers at da truckstop the other night.
Had your "goodbuddy's" hand in yours!! AWWW Ain't that special!!
I knows you used them items in that list you just posted!
Your "Good-buddy" walkin kinda funny comin' outta that there shower.
Had his hand on his ass bitchin' and moanin like a molested cat!
Da whole place just busted out laughin', li'l chilluns was pointin and laughin!
They was waitin' fo yo sorry ass to come out but ya nevva did!!
What you do, climb through da ceilin?
HEHEHEHEHEHEHEHEH!!
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

I bets yo dick was a sore muthafucka when you got back home!
(drinking my 40oz malt liquor)

Lawdy Mercy!! Mmm!
You is retarded!
Your breath stank!!
Getcha some scope from the drugstore---it might get that "taste" out yo mouth!!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212140114.43bc8020@posting.google.com...
> FAGGOT BOY TOMMIE LOST HIS AUCTION. HE NEEDS DONATIONS.
>
> CAN YOU FAG ASS KEYCLOWNS HELP?
>
> ANY OF THE BELOW ITEMS ARE BADLY NEEDED FOR TOMMIE....
>
> 1. Tubes of KY JELLY
>
> 2. Dildos
>
> 3. Toilet paper
>
> 4. Preparation H
>

> 5. Lipstick
>
> 6. Eye Shadow
>
> 7. Tampons
>
> 8. dresses
>
> 9. Pink underwear
>
> 10. Landshark to make a person appearence and suck Ruger's micro dick.

4442. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:09:00 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <nuScnVubrtYxV2KgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 146978

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10650-3DFF4541-724@storefull-

> I beg to differ.....I haven't found the station that has the capability
> of keying on me when I'm pointed east to Europe, outside of another
> Florida station,

> Many of us talk on 555. Can't say I heard you though. Who should I be
> listening for?

> I only knowingly talked to two planes on the freeband before and both
> planes

Ok Twisty, you are either a liar or a bullshitter you have said you don't break federal fcc rules, here you admit to talking on the freeband you then are an admitted federal lawbreaker when called one don't get your panties in an uproar remember you said it.

4443. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:10:26 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DFFCB23.9050605@optonline.net>
Source record: 146979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

hey jim aint the same thing happening in corning down south of you???
the fiber optic business went to hell and i'm sure there are a boat load
of people scratching for a job....73, jim kp920.

Jim Hampton wrote:

>Bill, I think they had their signals crossed. I don't think they should
>question your callsign at all - I think the question was to what audience is
>the sale aimed at? That aside, it is unfortunate that One Time Use Cameras
>is closing the Rochester plant as I would otherwise be very likely
>interested since you are apparently in my local area. However, at this
>point I am up to my knuckles in resumes ... ;)
>
>73 from - uh - hey, Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:UqbL9.56871$HA2.16218011@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>
>
>>And your call is where on your posts.....?
>>
>>
>>pj wrote in message ...
>>
>>
>>>No Ham call, must be a CBer
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
>>>>
>>>>
>>digital
>>
>>
>>>>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
>>>>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for
>>>>

>>>>
>HF.
>
>
>>>>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>>>>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster
>>>>
>>>>
>Brown.
>
>
>>>>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
>>>>
>>>>
>>outer
>>
>>
>>>>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a
>>>>
>>>>
>high
>
>
>>>>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
>>>>
>>>>
>>reports
>>
>>
>>>>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set
>>>>
>>>>
>high.
>
>
>>>>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
>>>>
>>>>
>>this
>>
>>
>>>>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find
>>>>
>>>>
>the
>
>
>>>>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>>>>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
>>>>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority

>>>>
>>>>
>Mail
>
>
>>>>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email
>>>>
>>>>
>replies
>
>
>>>>please, thanks for looking.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

4444. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 01:10:57 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <11KdnamftZi_VmKgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146980

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10650-3DFF409B-720@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: tnom@mucks.net
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 21:34:21 -0800, "W7DVJ" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote:
> Hello Mary and Ray,
> Unblocking a scanner to receive 800 mhz cellphone conversations is
> illegal and you can be arrested if caught using a cell unblocked
> scanner.
> It's my duty as a former LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT to inform you.
> --
> Former?...........Got booted eh? .....What for? ....Pedophilia?
> W7DVJ
> --
> He 's not a former anyhting except bonafide liar. First he claimed he
> was in Sacramento on Beegum Drive (not so,,his server is in Oakland,
> Cal) He too, in this current carnation, has been rendered lying
> impotence.

Twisty you know nothing about servers etc leave that for guys with
computers.

4445. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 02:36:48 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4bRL9.1839$p_6.159759@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for the compliment...

As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and he has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts nothing but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine and I certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily can be called nothing but spam...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:BHOL9.9516$qF3.374@sccrnsc04...
> I have to agree with Twistedhed. Lou has not been flooding
> the new group with this. The information is useless to me
> but is on topic. As long as he keeps the re-posting time
> reasonable I'd say let him post it and don't give him any
> hassle. Guess we just have different definitions of SPAM,
> and different levels of tolerance. oh well. As a side note,
> it looks like your Skywave amp is well designed.
>
> Dave
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> message
> news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.
> net...
> > Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> > news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > > We've now added all our crystal charts for free
> download/printing

> > > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of
> all American
> > > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which
> crystal(s) affects
> > > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting
> "dead
> > > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other
> freqs.
> > >
> > > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> > >
> > > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and
> high-performance CB
> > > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those
> "goodies" you
> > > just can't find anywhere else!
> > >
> > > www.cbcintl.com
> > >
> > > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just
> the Order Form
> > > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order
> Form (for
> > > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> > >
> > > *
> * * * * * * *
> > > In case you didn't already know...
> > >
> > > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier
> of books,
> > > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself
> repairs and
> > > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM
> converters,
> > > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets
> of how to
> > > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> > >
> > > For product questions or additional details
> > > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> > >
> > > info@cbcintl.com
> > >
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Lou Franklin--President
> > > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > > **********
> > > CBC International

> > > P.O. Box 30655
> > > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> > >
> > > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > > Local: (520) 298-7980
> > >
> > > www.cbcintl.com
> > >
> > > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4446. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 02:47:20 UTC
From: "G. Turner" <gturner2@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <mcovvu0fk4uu2ccq8qpr3opje9u0o6a43u@4ax.com>
Source record: 146984

I HAVE THE FOLLOWING STUFF FOR SALE:

Drake R8 Shortwave Radio ï¿½ with Software - has broken front foot
$500.00

RS Pro 2006 Police Scanner With OptoScan 456 Full Ver, and Software
$275.00 or a Pro 95 in box unopen with software and Cables.

RS TRC 485 C.B. Upper and Lower SSB $125.00

UNIDEN GRANT C.B. Upper and Lower SSB $130.00

Garmin E-Map GPS ï¿½ Software and Cableï¿½s - $100.00

All have manuals - No Org box's -

4447. Radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 02:47:27 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217214727.23056.00000122@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 146985

I have a NorthStar-3000 mobile forsale. Bought about a year ago. I still have the box for it. I lost the mounting bracket. Has the stock mic. Has Hi Med Lo switch. It will key about 2 watts on hi and swing 25 watts on hi. Has extra channels, just like the galaxy models, but its built into the dimmer switch. has dual echo controls. has talkback ONLY when echo switch is flipped on. This is a real nice sounding radio...looks and works like BRAND spanking new. I'll take $100 plus $15 for shipping...thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

4448. Amp FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 02:48:35 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217214835.23056.00000123@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 146987

DaveMade M-80. Nice and Compact. Only about a month old. Looks and works Brand New. Will do around 250 watts with a good swing. $100 plus $15 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

4449. Re: need cross reference for CD3581 RF transistor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 02:48:50 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mmRL9.1857$p_6.161478@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146986

What is this out of?
How old is the unit?
Any manufacturer's logo on it?

Sounds like it could be an old TRW part...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Tony" <nospam@shoveit.com> wrote in message
news:yESL9.5100$KW2.308222@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Anyone have an old cross ref guide or any way of finding a
replacement/cross
> for CD3581 ?

4450. Re: need cross reference for CD3581 RF transistor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:15:10 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <uvvq22smstvd6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146988

Check out this web site, it gives some info.
http://groups.google.com/groups?q=CD3581&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&selm=pn
rrtuku8louk2gkuuhf5d4rqhqqigai5o%404ax.com&rnum=1
>

4451. Re: Happy Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:19:23 UTC
From: mrbrew <mrbrew@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <uvvq9v6m6dlncf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 146989

On the topic of the men and women in the armed forces, Lets all go down to Circuit City and video a message to the troups.I went and recorded mine today.
It's one way we can say THANKS to all of them. If it wasn't for them, some other guy and his mob would be over here trying to take over. You don't have to sound like a hallmark card, just thank them and wish them a Merry Christmas.
Mark

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message news:ZyKL9.3246$37.362447@cletus.bright.net...
> I'd like to take this time to wish everyone in here a safe and happy holiday
> season. I would also like to ask everyone to keep our men and women in the
> armed forces who are deployed and away from home in mind.
>
> 73 de Keith
>
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

4452. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:27:11 UTC
From: W7DVJ <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <8OSL9.903$b%6.189781137@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146990

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>
> You're about as much a former law enforcement participant
> (whatever in the hell that means) as the fake callsign you use.
>

You really don't have a clue do you?

4453. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:28:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BXRL9.4980$KW2.295083@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146981

"Appliance Operator" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:BvecnSam_vBxVGKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:10650-3DFF3EAC-718@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> WA3MOJ wrote:
> I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you
> appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
> **********
> >Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to >modify 1 per year,
>
>
> >Not exactly...Riley doesn't make law and has no authority to do so,
>
> No one said he makes the Law asslip, he enforces the Law he is also a
lawyer
> I am sure he knoiws the FCC laws better than you.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > but your interpretation does go against your previous stance of calling
> them
> >basement amps
>
> They still are basement amps what part has changed. They must be modified
to
> meet FCC specs. My interpretation is right on the money Dave Hall can
modify
> his amp as he stated.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > and also illustrates how totally off the cuff and incorrect you are/were
> in referring to those who talk about these amps
> >as criminals...
>
> They are criminals the amps are still illegal to build, for anyone.

>
>
>
>
> >never mind the fact that you take mere talk as evidence as guilt,
>
> Funny you say that you have taken everything Dave Hall has said as
evidence
> why is it different when you say something about something that you are
> doiing that is illegal. .
>
>
>
> >but more interesting is the idea that you automatically assume
> >that everyone you don't know, agree with, or are unable to get a call
> >sign on or other personal info on, is automatically breaking the law,
>
> Use that amp on 11 meters you are a criminal, build that amp you are a
> criminal and breaking the Law. Say you use an amp , your a criminal or a
> liar. In your case your both, you won't What part don't you understand.
> don't be such a pussy twisty stand up for your illegal actions instead of
> making excuses. Your the best at that.
>
>
>
>
>
> >perfectly illustrating your haste in searching for discontent and hate.
>
> I have to look no farther than you for discontent and hate.
>
>
>
>
>
> >but you are comparing the amp to the radio >the radio.
>
>
> >No, I'm not...you are using the "grandfather clause" as an excuse for
> Dave's amp,
>
>
> Grandfather clause? what are you smoking of course you can provide proof
of
> this wild imaginary claim. I will take a link form the FCC page as proof.
>
>
>
>
>
> > which isn;t addressed, but do not wish to apply it to a Galaxy as it

voids
> your point.
>
> You are attempting to twist the topic now The amp and the Galaxy are 2
> different things It is you who is trying to compare the 2 they are totally
> different. Get over it no matter how much you cry they are not the same.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> You have always had problems applying the standards you speak
> of...holding yourselves above the standards or applying them to others
> only.
>
>
> >As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) >cannot be used. They have not
> <been type certified.
>
>
> >As used a Galaxy CAN indeed be used by an authorized hammie.
>
> No they can't you maroon, any radio used on amateur radio bands need to be
> type certified. I have already proven that with
> proof from FCC web page. argue with them.
>

George, I can build a transmitter from a box of junk and use it on any of
the ham bands.

4454. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:31:25 UTC
From: W7DVJ <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <6SSL9.905$F%6.189811799@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 146991

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > Have you ever thought of building your own amatuer radio? The FCC does
> > allow that you know!
>
> I've built a couple so far. One of them is shown here:
> http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc/sw20.html
>
> I plan to build more in the future.
>
> Tom
>

QRP? No way peanut boy, QRO for me!

4455. Microphone for a Midland 73-650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 03:51:27 UTC
From: Jim <jimwill2@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3hSL9.110323$ry.1368811@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 146992

I'm new to this site... I just found an old Midland 73-650 (am/fm/cb/8-track) that I would like to install in my old truck. Nice condition but no mic or documentation. It has a mic plug with lots of pins in it... looks like most of the cb controls are in the mic? Has a "cb" button and a meter on it. Any info would be appreciated!

Jim Williams

4456. need cross reference for CD3581 RF transistor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 04:16:30 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@shoveit.com>
Message-ID: <yESL9.5100$KW2.308222@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 146982

Anyone have an old cross ref guide or any way of finding a replacement/cross for CD3581 ?

4457. Re: 6900F 10-12M 150W mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 04:26:47 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bOSL9.67759$HA2.19545845@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 146993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Jim,

Went down the Big Yellow Chute in '86 after Uncle George lost the Berkey and Polaroid suits, 5,500 of us out the door in 5 days, believe me when I tell you there is life after K.

Thanks for the note...the sale is aimed at whichever audience has cash. Too much of a realist, (and have been around long enough to know where the proverbial bodies, as well as all those Tempo Ones, are buried) to think that all of the 1 by 2 calls around here didn't maybe have a XX or 11W first. We all start somewhere, and progress to our own level of achievement based upon interest, time available to pursue, money and other factors. There are some damned good radio folks on 11M, and some absolute idiots on UHF/VHF/HF, and vice versa. I'm not only referring to operation "ethics", but electrical skill and knowledge as well. Radio in and of itself is a hobby on the downhill cycle, with these damned computers, and the average guys' lack of time available to devote to learning a hobby, and a million other reasons that see the numbers of radio folks shrinking, or at least getting older. Interest in the hobby, from whatever angle, is a good thing. Having said that, in a perfect world it would be nice if everyone would progress along to a General or better, some will, some won't. Many will lose interest after CH 19 gets boring, some will discover "freeband" and stop there, and some will go farther. Narrow mindedness by the rest of us won't help the progression and "evolution" process at all. It's like the old sign over the bar at the California Brew Haus stating the "Rules for Beginners". The last rule is "Don't be a Beginner every night!" One can only hope.

Thus endeth the sermon....
good luck with the search.
Bill

Jim Hampton wrote in message ...
>Bill, I think they had their signals crossed. I don't think they should
>question your callsign at all - I think the question was to what audience
is
>the sale aimed at? That aside, it is unfortunate that One Time Use Cameras
>is closing the Rochester plant as I would otherwise be very likely
>interested since you are apparently in my local area. However, at this
>point I am up to my knuckles in resumes ... ;)
>
>73 from - uh - hey, Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message

>news:UqbL9.56871$HA2.16218011@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> And your call is where on your posts.....?
>>
>>
>> pj wrote in message ...
>> >No Ham call, must be a CBer
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
>> digital
>> >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was
positive
>> >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for
>HF.
>> >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>> >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster
>Brown.
>> >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
>> outer
>> >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a
>high
>> >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
>> reports
>> >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set
>high.
>> >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
>> this
>> >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find
>the
>> >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>> >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
>> >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority
>Mail
>> >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email
>replies
>> >>please, thanks for looking.
>> >>
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

4458. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 04:28:47 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <5r-cnWiII9cdZGKgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 146994

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:zHNL9.1448$p_6.132986@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The point is that my heatsink is not enclosed in a chassis that has
> virtually no air flow.
> Having a heatsink in an enclosed box is useless!

Your amp has no airflow either, from what it seems. They both are illegal
they do have that in common.

4459. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 04:51:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <E9TL9.575$HT6.47625479@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 146995

"lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:95ee54c6.0212161103.56da79d5@posting.google.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<JJcL9.238$3z3.38345946@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:95ee54c6.0212151751.3035b91a@posting.google.com...
> > > so,what....it's..a.dave.made...rip-off..
> > >
> >
> > Hi Chuck, lawyer said it was
> > ok to post again? LOL!! Don't take
> > it personally, have a nice holiday.............
> >
> > Landshark
>
> who's chuck?
>
> i'm not chuck, my name is john

Ummmmmmm, Chuck it has the exact same ip
as your other posts, so please, don't try to fool yourself.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

From: k8cpa@arrl.net (Me)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Farewell to the group for now....
Date: 10 Dec 2002 08:10:11 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <676ce33.0212100810.52cefc7c@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.42.181.26
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1039536611 1457 127.0.0.1 (10 Dec 2002 16:10:11
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Dec 2002 16:10:11 GMT

To this group....Rec.Radio.Cb:

On the personal advice of my Attorney, Mr. Levon King, I am making
this public statement reguarding this newsgroup and my activity in it.

It appears that Mr. Doug Adair of Toledo, Ohio and some his "friends" have taken it upon themselves to start a smear crusade against myself. Well, in some respects, they have achieved what they've have set out to do.

Doug, I've got one thing to say to you. You're a low-life scum of the earth. you come back to this NG, and started this stuff again, after we shook hands and agreed on a truce. you had no intention of stopping and you know it... You're a low-life scum, without a job or a real life.

after some serious consulting with my attorney, I have decided it is best that I refrain from posting or reading this NG for a while... These people have gotten what they've wanted...and I hope they're sasified.

on conclusion I will say this.... Doug, I will continue to be attending the local and otherwise Hamfests. I hope to run into you again.

73 and Farewell for now....

-Chuck Adkins K8CPA

Lincoln Park, Mi...

4460. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 04:58:40 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <R0ednclff6YcnZ2jXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 146996

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BXRL9.4980$KW2.295083@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Appliance Operator" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
> news:BvecnSam_vBxVGKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:10650-3DFF3EAC-718@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > WA3MOJ wrote:
> > I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you
> > appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
> > **********
> > >Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to >modify 1 per year,
> >
> >
> > >Not exactly...Riley doesn't make law and has no authority to do so,
> >
> > No one said he makes the Law asslip, he enforces the Law he is also a
> lawyer
> > I am sure he knoiws the FCC laws better than you.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > but your interpretation does go against your previous stance of
calling
> > them
> > >basement amps
> >
> > They still are basement amps what part has changed. They must be
modified
> to
> > meet FCC specs. My interpretation is right on the money Dave Hall can
> modify
> > his amp as he stated.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > > and also illustrates how totally off the cuff and incorrect you
are/were
> > in referring to those who talk about these amps
> > >as criminals...
> >
> > They are criminals the amps are still illegal to build, for anyone.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >never mind the fact that you take mere talk as evidence as guilt,
> >
> > Funny you say that you have taken everything Dave Hall has said as
> evidence
> > why is it different when you say something about something that you are
> > doiing that is illegal. .
> >
> >
> >
> > >but more interesting is the idea that you automatically assume
> > >that everyone you don't know, agree with, or are unable to get a call
> > >sign on or other personal info on, is automatically breaking the law,
> >
> > Use that amp on 11 meters you are a criminal, build that amp you are a
> > criminal and breaking the Law. Say you use an amp , your a criminal or a
> > liar. In your case your both, you won't What part don't you understand.
> > don't be such a pussy twisty stand up for your illegal actions instead
of
> > making excuses. Your the best at that.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >perfectly illustrating your haste in searching for discontent and hate.
> >
> > I have to look no farther than you for discontent and hate.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >but you are comparing the amp to the radio >the radio.
> >
> >
> > >No, I'm not...you are using the "grandfather clause" as an excuse for
> > Dave's amp,
> >
> >
> > Grandfather clause? what are you smoking of course you can provide proof
> of

> > this wild imaginary claim. I will take a link form the FCC page as
proof.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > which isn;t addressed, but do not wish to apply it to a Galaxy as it
> voids
> > your point.
> >
> > You are attempting to twist the topic now The amp and the Galaxy are 2
> > different things It is you who is trying to compare the 2 they are
totally
> > different. Get over it no matter how much you cry they are not the same.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > You have always had problems applying the standards you speak
> > of...holding yourselves above the standards or applying them to others
> > only.
> >
> >
> > >As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) >cannot be used. They have not
> > <been type certified.
> >
> >
> > >As used a Galaxy CAN indeed be used by an authorized hammie.
> >
> > No they can't you maroon, any radio used on amateur radio bands need to
be
> > type certified. I have already proven that with
> > proof from FCC web page. argue with them.
> >
>
>
> George, I can build a transmitter from a box of junk and use it on any of
> the ham bands

You sure can no one said you can't, sorry if I wasn't clear in that yet it
must meet certain FCC requirements .
You can't put a junk signal on the air though either.

4461. Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 09:54:27 UTC
From: Don Davis <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nBXL9.37640$Fq3.1909944@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147097

Any info available for running 2 amps into one
antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
a 18-wheeler.
Thanks,
Butcher, 501

4462. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 09:59:27 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <cgh00vc6gf1f0enhoe7mf4aovi4gpj1dve@4ax.com>
Source record: 147098

On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 12:03:26 -0500, james <> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 15:56:14 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>>
>>>>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>>>>
>>>**********
>>>
>>>And another appliance operator heard from
>>>
>>>james
>>
>>So I take it YOU are using YOUR home made radio? If not then your
>>appliance comment shows your hypocrisy.
>**********
>
>I can operate both.

But why? Any homebrew radio of equal cost can't compare to
any decent store bought radio as far as ease of use, stability,
specifications ect ect.

>I have built simple single band transceiver. I did
>start to design and build a multiband tranceiver but lack of funds has
>put that to a halt.
>
>If I had my preferences I wpuld build my own.
>
>james

The only place for homebrew radios is in the classroom. They aren't
worth the bother otherwise.

4463. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 10:33:18 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <sej00vg9ktp26afr3c782voldanhq239st@4ax.com>
Source record: 147099

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:54:27 GMT, "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
wrote:

> Any info available for running 2 amps into one
>antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
>a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
>but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
>single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
>a 18-wheeler.
> Thanks,
> Butcher, 501
>
http://www.rsphysse.anu.edu.au/bushlan/course/TLTFS/HC.html

http://www.communication-concepts.com/psc2.htm

4464. Re: FUNNY! A CB Christmas?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 11:44:29 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <wcZL9.413485$QZ.64995@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147100

That is funny, and not unrealistic either-:)

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:atoh3n$emo1$1@news3.infoave.net...
> http://www.twistedradio.com/show/downloads/whitetrash.asp
>
>
>

4465. Ruger craps out: Plays the dozens with a 6 shooter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 12:33:31 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212180433.5f2cf00d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147101

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<e8pmvu897atjg05sb3kdqeuv42v9bqej7v@4ax.com>...

Hey Ruger, I coulda been yer dad, but a toy poodle crawled under the
fence while I was climbing over and beat me to the bedroom door.

As far as "taking it" goes...I think that you should inquire with your
wife. She "took it" quite well last time, as I recall.

Later white trash.

> Oh my this is so easy.......Mr. Adair is like jeez I hate to say this
> but ,you know, the typical CB'er.......he can't take it...he he he LOL
>
>
>
> >Like THAT is bothersome? These rectumringer retards can call me
> >anything they wish to. Why would it matter?
> >
> >What is bothersome is that it is really unfortunate that your kids'
> >have to go without Christmas presents BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION cuz their
> >daddy bought illegal toys for himself. What a scumbag.
> >
> >I told you that you can bring 'em here so they can see how normal
> >people celebrate the holidays. It might be a turning point for your
> >kids to see non criminal people who live a life of plenty enjoying a
> >holiday. They may see this and NOT grow up to be unemployed white
> >trash like daddy is.
> >
> >Just think...Chukkee lives 48 miles away. You could take off for a
> >while and meet him in a truckstop toilet. Moparholic is in Cleveland.
> >You could meet him before you get here. Sounds like a good deal all
> >round. You get to be gay and your kids get Christmas.
> >
> >Dontever say I am not nice.

4466. Re: IR DX XMAS Party-Gay sex discussion forum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 12:38:07 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212180438.24c15932@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147102

HeHeHe...
Twithed now femininely invites all of you to be there. He will likely offer to do man to man things with you when you visit FL if yer real nice.

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message news:<10651-3DFF383D-297@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From 25th Dec. 2002 (00.01 h UTC) until 26th Dec. 2002 (23.59 h UTC) the
> International Radio DX-Group (Spirit of Australia) is organizing the 1st
> Xmas QSO Party 2002 open for all 11m DXers of good will, whatever group
> they belong to or not.
> All 11m DXers, whatever group they belong to, are invited to take part
> in this Xmas QSO Party. You just have to use the same call-sign for the
> whole contest (e.g. if you work contest(s) with a friend and use
> multi-callsign, do not give out a contest progressive number with your
> own call-sign!). The rules for the Xmas QSO party are almost the same as
> for IR-DX World Contest 2003.
> For more information, please contact the Contest Manager Jimmy 13IR 11
> Including the logbook you have to send the table of results to the
> contest manager by postal mail or e-mail.
> These sheets can be downloaded at our website www.irdx.org : Useful
> forms
> !!!!
> Together the table of results and the logbook must be received at the
> contest manager before 31. January 2003
> Prizes will be awarded during the International Radio DX-meeting in
> Italy
> 2003. 1st, 2nd and 3rd prize will receive a certificate and a special
> gift. Good DX ! Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year 2003

4467. Re: FUNNY! A CB Christmas?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 12:39:54 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212180439.514c66c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147103

Yep, thats the keyclowns all right!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<atoh3n$emo1$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> http://www.twistedradio.com/show/downloads/whitetrash.asp

4468. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 12:42:25 UTC
From: Don Davis <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R2_L9.37871$Fq3.1924983@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147104

A friend of mine is trying this application,he may understand some of the
info. at these sites. In
laymans terms (as i am not that tech. inclined), can this application be
done without going into the amps and
just joining with coax ?? Or would that spell disaster??
"Thanks" for the reply and info. Any further info or
advice would be appreciated. Has anyone out there
tried running two amps together into one antenna.
Thanks,
Butcher, 531
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:sej00vg9ktp26afr3c782voldanhq239st@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:54:27 GMT, "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> > Any info available for running 2 amps into one
> >antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
> >a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
> >but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
> >single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
> >a 18-wheeler.
> > Thanks,
> > Butcher, 501
> >
> http://www.rsphysse.anu.edu.au/bushlan/course/TLTFS/HC.html
>
> http://www.communication-concepts.com/psc2.htm

4469. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 13:07:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E007327.DE5EA121@cwis.net>
Source record: 147105

Don,
In layman's terms, you'd have one chance in 5 of not ruining something the first time you turned it on. The second time you turned it on you would have one chance in 25 of not ruining something. If you haven't ruined something by the time you're ready to turn it on the third time, don't turn it on...
It can be done but it isn't as simple as just hooking things up with equal length feed lines from transmitter to the amplifiers.
'Doc

4470. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 13:39:11 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <bcu00v05ncilmbhn7gu85hqvtd4kb8snp9@4ax.com>
Source record: 147106

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 04:59:27 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 12:03:26 -0500, james <> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 15:56:14 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>>No Shit James, it is easier and less time consuming to buy one.
>>>>>
>>>>***********************************
>>>>
>>>>And another appliance operator heard from
>>>>
>>>>james
>>>
>>>So I take it YOU are using YOUR home made radio? If not then your
>>>appliance comment shows your hypocrisy.
>>***
>>
>>I can operate both.
>
>But why? Any homebrew radio of equal cost can't compare to
>any decent store bought radio as far as ease of use, stability,
>specifications ect ect.
>
>>I have built simple single band transceiver. I did
>>start to design and build a multiband tranceiver but lack of funds has
>>put that to a halt.
>>
>>If I had my preferences I wpuld build my own.
>>
>>james
>
> The only place for homebrew radios is in the classroom. They aren't
>worth the bother otherwise.
****

It is obvious that you have never been involve with the manufactureing
or design of commincation electronics. If one takes care, one can
build their own tranceiver that will meet or exceed many commercially
manufactured radios. Especially anything that is designed for the CB
Band. Cost wise it will be slightly more. What you failed to realize
commercial manufacturers are driven by profit. So they will sacrifice
specs for cost control.

james

4471. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 14:51:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218095122.11044.00000121@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147107

wouldnt a fan added to a skywave look awfull too?

>Yes, that fan sticking out the top is an obvious "hang on"... and looks
>awful.
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

4472. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 14:54:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218095437.11044.00000122@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147108

add me and make that four :)

>
>I beg to differ.....I haven't found the station that has the capability
>of keying on me when I'm pointed east to Europe, outside of another
>Florida station, Of course I don't have a trashy mouth like some of our
>resident angry hammies of pledged hate to rec.radio.cb......
>I give credit where credit is due..if you can knock me out or put a huge
>signal on me, I''l be the first to give your station a warm fuzzy..so
>far, there are 3 stations in the past year that have been able to key on
>me when I'm pointed east to Europe..and I know all 3 of them,,and you
>ain't one of 'em.
>

4473. Re: IR DX XMAS Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 15:00:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218100006.11044.00000123@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147109

twist i learned long ago the folks on rec.radio.cb arent interested in this and
10 out of 10 times posting such to the group just ensures a AM dick head will
be out there doing his thing disrupting. people are a trip

>Subject: IR DX XMAS Party

4474. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 15:04:53 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <SnqdnT9BR5gFE52jXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 147110

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E007327.DE5EA121@cwis.net...
>
>
> Don,
> In layman's terms, you'd have one chance in 5 of not
> ruining something the first time you turned it on. The
> second time you turned it on you would have one chance
> in 25 of not ruining something. If you haven't ruined
> something by the time you're ready to turn it on the
> third time, don't turn it on...
> It can be done but it isn't as simple as just hooking
> things up with equal length feed lines from transmitter
> to the amplifiers.
> 'Doc

He would need a combiner.

4475. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 15:13:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E0090AB.7AD79E5F@cwis.net>
Source record: 147111

Appliance Operator,
And that's not all...
'Doc

4476. Skaner/odbiornik FRG-9600 sprzedam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 15:21:40 UTC
From: Neutrino <dudek@st-voyager.com>
Message-ID: <atq3q1oqi1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 147112
Cross-posted to: pl.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Witam!

Sprzedam odbiornik komunikacyjny FRG-9600 ze skanerem. Zasieg czestotliwosci od 60 - 905 MHz (460 MHz dla SSB). Zdjecie i dane techniczne na http://www.rigpix.com/yaesu/frg9600.htm . Po dokladniejsze info prosze na priva. Moja cena - 750 zl, mozliwa negocjacja lub zamiana na komputer za doplata.

Pozdrawiam.

4477. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 15:25:11 UTC
From: Appliance Operator <rcranus@hotmailcommie>
Message-ID: <7IGcnVEsi8HHDp2jXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147113

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E0090AB.7AD79E5F@cwis.net...
>
>
> Appliance Operator,
> And that's not all...
> 'Doc

It sure is he would need a combiner/ LC network. to step up the 2- 50 ohm loads which would then = 25 ohms, of course the combiner/ via LC network.would transfom it back to 50 ohms. rather easy concept

4478. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 16:14:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E009EDD.51325205@cwis.net>
Source record: 147114

Appliance Operator,
You're right about the impedance matching. Now,
what happens when you feed two signals of the same
wave form together 180 degrees out of phase?
'Doc

4479. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:03:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00AA76-36@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147115

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (Appliance Operator)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10650-3DFF3EAC-718@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net... WA3MOJ
wrote:
I never doubted the information....I know the amps are illegal....you
appear to be taking the stance that his Davemade is legal......
****
>Yes acording to Riley it is legal for him to >modify 1 per year,

Not exactly...Riley doesn't make law and has no authority to do so,

>No one said he makes the Law asslip,<

Lid.

> he enforces the Law he is also a lawyer I am >sure he knoiws the FCC
laws better than you.

but your interpretation does go against your previous stance of calling
them basement amps
>They still are basement amps what part has >changed.

The part where you said they were not legal period. Now you take the
position that the Hypocrite Hall is attempting to foster. Are you
denying saying it? You have made self-contradicting posts concerning
this matter..not as many as Hall, but you have just the same.

>They must be modified to meet FCC specs. >My interpretation is right on
the money Dave >Hall can modify his amp as he stated.

and also illustrates how totally off the cuff and incorrect you are/were
in referring to those who talk about these amps
as criminals...

>They are criminals<

No George. You are halfwitted to think talk of such is illegal, but it
does illustrate the intellect you hold.
Never mind the fact that you take mere talk as evidence as guilt,

>Funny you say that you have taken everything >Dave Hall has said as
evidence why is it >different when you say something about

Different Because I don't deny or lie about my actions. I dont deny
freebanding or dxing, never did, it was Hall that lied and denied
breaking the law while attacking those that take part in the same
actions he does illustrating his hypocrisy and selective personal
problems independent of radio and it's participants. As much as you two

losers would like to make a topic personal and about me, it's not and never was..it's about N3CVJ's posts that self-contradict and lie. And the group, I see, has carved you a new haircut over the last day.....yes, you are alone with your little lid clique of HALL, Lelnad, N8 and Sparky.

--
but more interesting is the idea that you automatically assume that everyone you don't know, agree with, or are unable to get a call sign on or other personal info on, is automatically breaking the law,

>Use that amp on 11 meters you are a criminal,

Someone denied it's illegal? Using your lelnad logic, your ISP is governed by the FCC and breaking those rules makes you a criminal..your entire clique of lids...hahahhahahhahah!

>Say you use an amp , your a criminal or a liar.

Lid.
No..YOU say I use an amp.....otherwise, as usual, you have no point.

>In your case your
>both, you won't What part don't you >understand.
> don't be such a pussy

Lid.

>twisty stand up for your illegal actions instead >of making excuses.

Hehe...I freely admit actions and it makes girls like you and Hall wetyourselves.....

> Your the best at that.

Actually, Hall is...his excuses and lies have be hysterical..almost as funny as your excuses you keep throwing out for Davey..ahaahah! There are no excuses from me because I have no problem admitting my actions as I am not a hypocrite nor pathological liar, unlike N3CVJ and yourself. Your radical defense of him has turned into malicious ad-hominem attacks on me. Instead of answering mere questions conerning his statements, you choose to call names and attempt to change the subject to that of the inquirers behavior. You guys live pretty close, right? Maybe it's true love.

--
you perfectly illustrating your haste in searching for discontent and hate.

>I have to look no farther than you for >discontent and hate.

You have illustrated this many times George. We all know you and your lid group of Lelnad, N8, Hall, and Sparky have no debate skills,,,we all know I have the uncanny ability to make your clique come collectively unglued, abandoning any sense of self-worth and reducing yourselves to

the lowest common denominator manifesting in radiated and emanated hate and discontent to all who hold a different view. Poor babies.

>but you are comparing the amp to the radio >the radio.

No, I'm not...you are using the "grandfather clause" as an excuse for Dave's amp,

>Grandfather clause? what are you smoking of >course you can provide proof of this wild >imaginary claim. I will take a link form the FCC >page as proof.

I'm waiting.

which isn;t addressed, but do not wish to apply it to a Galaxy as it voids your point.

>You are attempting to twist the topic now

You started it. The topic was about N3CVJ's comments and directed to him and you inserted yourself to change the topic to divert attention away from his lies of the Phelps, the cber that he said was busted, the mods to the Texas Star, Davemade (that he uses on the freeband) and many, many more I haven't even begun to scratch the surface with. Pathological is what he is and his own posts prove it, not mine, not yours, but only his.

--
>The amp and the Galaxy are 2 different things >It is you who is trying to compare the 2 they >are totally different. Get over it no matter how >much you cry they are not the same.

You are the only one always crying Geogeboy......Hall was called on his lies and you attempt to answer and change the topic....get over yourself. He is seen for what he is, and from viewing the last days post from the group, you really cemented a nice rep for yourself. Holidays got ya' down, huh? Must suck being alone. Join a therapy group or something..there is help for people like you who have a hard time coping during the holidays..your posts from the last 24 hours show a real hatred..when the entire group is(except for Hall and Nad and N8 and Sparky, of course) is telling you the same thing, you may want to take a look at it..............denial is not a river in Egypt.
-
You have always had problems applying the standards you speak of...holding yourselves above the standards or applying them to others only.

>As sold the Galaxy(among other exports) >cannot be used. They have not <been type certified.

As used a Galaxy CAN indeed be used by an authorized hammie.

>No they can't you maroon,

Lid.

> any radio used on amateur radio bands need >to be type certified.

Not true.

> I have already proven that with proof from >FCC web page. argue with them.

It didn't say anything about ten metr radios needing any "type acceptantance."
Your communication deficit is responsible. Maybe it's time to upgrade.
Ten meter radios do not need be "type accepted" as you speak of it, such as
referring to the stickers disclaiming cb use and alluding to not being " type certified".

>They need to be type certified to be used >legally by a Ham, although they don't need to >be type accepted to be imported into this >country.

Again, hammie radios do not need "type acceptance"..nowhere will you find this and to say you already posted it has me seriously wondering for the first time if you are of slight handicap.

> For use they either have to be modified to >meet FCC specs or be type certified.

Please show the specs and cite the rule for the umpteenth time.

>A ham radio will not have any stickers on it >alluding to CB use.

Just like a Galaxy.

>Your making thoings up again bedcause you >have no clue.

You're getting a valuable education where you are clueless.

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he can then use it.

Really? Where did that come from?

>FCC

Show me.

>You show me your grandfather clause >statement

It was yours, not mine, and you said it first...decorum says the first person asked must respond prior to asking their own question, but you don't play by fair rules, do ya' ?

.
And what are these requirement concerning this spectrally pure bullshit?

>Get a ham license if you want to find out

In other words, you are just like Hall,,,lying through your teeth but won't answer a single inquiry or offer anything insults and offtopic ad-hominem remarks, even after you said you would post a page from the FCC backing this bullshit. Lid.

--
Who makes certain it meets these "requirements"?

>Fcc you nimrod, how do you think radios are >"type accepted" they must meet these >requirements.
>A radio is sent to the FCC lab the FCC checks >the radio for spectral quality, if it passes all >other units of this model must all meet these >requirements before they can be sold.

So Hall sent his Ranger to the FCC after he worked on it and converted it to ten meter and they approed it "spectrally pure"? Ahahhahah! You know, you have all the answers for him, you ought to know this one..
-..
Show me the part of the law that distinguishes and give specs for the Galaxy and what a hammie must do to it before you claim it can be used.

>A Ham is required by his license to make sure >his radio is within specifications.

Don't say it , cite the specs..show it, post it........

>No matter if it is a kenwood or a homebrew >radio, you just don't put any piece of shit radio >on the air.

Don't tell me about it, quote it, which you apparently are unable

>Don't tell you about it that is all you are doing, >you have not posted one shred of evidance to >back your claims especially this grandfather >clause

Au contraire..I have posted many of N3CVJ's posts backing my claims. I ask him if he STILL had the Phelps..he said "What Phelps".
Not "NO", not "Not anymore", not "it was hit by lightning" but rather.."What Phelps?"..a clear indication of his pathological lies. I have posted the email where he claims he no longer uses the university account..another lie. I have shown where he has endorsed freebanding and AMPS, then taken the opposite stance when it was someone he had a personal issue with (IE: anyone, who, for whatever reason, he sees as a threat). Do try
to stay on topic, lid.

> I have quoted the FCC page and pasted it, >you read it I am not leading you by the hand.

You read it. It says absolutely nothing of "spectral purity".

>The rules aren't going to change overnite so >they will still be there for you tommorow.

And they won't contain anything concerning "spectral purity" or bringing his Davemade into regulation either. The dance you two are doing over his ownership of illegal amps is oh so sweet music and just.

>Other than that he cannot take a Galaxy or >any export radio and just use it it is not Type >certified by the FCC in it's current form.

Pay close attention. It doesn't need be as it's not a cb.

>You pay close attention, Hams must use type >certified equipment.

Please show what constitutes "type certification". Again, you tripped over your hairlip once again, either intentionally (which makes you a lid) or ignorantly (which makes you an ignoramus) confusing "type acceptance" with this "certification" you speak of, and are two separate avenues and processes. See, I told you that you were gaining valuable experience and education.

>The galaxy is not one of them.

Hammie radios do not need "type acceptance".

>"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an >individual amateur to construct or modify a >non-certificated RF power amplifier once per >calendar year for use at that amateur's own >station"

Make up your mind..you aren't too clear here..

>Talk to Riley.

You're the one that can't comprehend what it means..hahha..the rest of us have no problem...even Hall understands he is in volation of the law, which is why he scrambled with more lies as attempted but defeated damage control.

--
are you defining "non-certificated" as constituting exemptness from "type-acceptance"

>Listen up real close I will say it one time, A >ham such as dave hall can use an amp that >he built, which of course hasn't been sent in >for type certification.

But he didn't BUILD the Texas Star OR the Davemade,,your attempted obfuscation doesn't appl in his situation.

>if dave wants to start selling them he will have >to send the amp in for type certification, which >means he can build it and have the FCC >accept or reject it, if they accept it any >additional units he builds for sale must also >meet the same specs. If he is going to use a >non type certified amp it is up to the amateur >operator to make sure it is meets or exceeds >the FCC spectral requirements. It is up to the >amateur

Yet you fail to show any of these "spectral purities" you speak of. If you can't find them, how can you claim N3CVJ made the proper adjustments

to bring an illegal amp in line?
Certainly you must have a reference point,,but you don't, as it's merely a continuation with N3CVJ's bullshit that doesn't even exist.

--
If thats the case, there is no need for bringing a "Davemade" in line to "spectral purity",

>There sure is, a ham must operate a radio >amp or whatever he is using within the FCC >spectral requirements.

And where are these requirements and
what are they? Dave claims he did it, but can't tell us what or how. He would be beat down by the amp doctors if he even attempted to explain his lie concerning bringing a Texas Star and davemade into FCC acceptance.

>Whether he built the amp himself or bought it.

whatever voodoo definition you apply to that.
Argue with the FCC it is not my fault you don't understand.

>This same discussion
>Has screwed you up for a while. you see no >one backing you nor

I'm not like you. I don't need others to validate myslef such as you and the lid Hall. Truth needs no assistance form anyone or anything.

> any hammies running to your side...

They're busy bashing and educating you from the looks of the last 24 hours posts.

if that
is the case it is indeed legal for an authorized hammie to use a Galaxy.
****
>No that is not the case and just because you >say it doesn't make it so, you can say it 100 >more times it won't make it true.

Ditto for you and the "Spectral purity" rule you claim concerning Dave Hall's use of a Davemade....does this apply to his Texas Star?
>It applys to all amps that aren't type certified. >Homebrew or whatever.

Is it already "spectrally pure"? Be very careful how you answer for N3CVJ here so you don't f*ck him up even more.

>How am I fucking up Dave, he can use his >amp if he wishes it is up to him to acertain that >it is within legal operating specs.

How?

>Is it already spectrally pure nah i doubt it it is a >class c splatter box none of the factory made >ham amps are Class C what does that tell you >right off the bat?

According to you, that it is illegal for him to use it. This makes another lie he told. Thanks.

>use the Galaxy is not type certified, he has to >have it aligned to FCC minimum specs before >it can be used LEGALLY by a Ham. .

Cite it...cite the rule that specifically shows the requirements for spectral purity in order to run a davemade legally and a galaxy and a Texas Star...that's all..just cite it..copy and paste it and don't ad lib, and I'l have a heapin' help of crow.. but you can't, can ya.?
--
I was but merely attempting to educate an upperclassmen, N3CVJ, who should already be familiar with the laws and thoroughly comprehend them.....but with hammies like you spewing untruths such as you do, Dave is very impressionable to what he sees here, subject to such influences, and reacts as he sees fit.....it is indeed a sad day for hammie radio when you have a hammie lid like N3CVJ Davey-boy Hall slamming me and others for barefoot dxing and calling us criminals when he can't even comprehend the law he is allegedly fostering but failing to enforce while breaking it himself the entire time.....it magnificently illustrates his reserved and disturbed personal issues that have nothing to with radio or legalities. The same is said for yourself. As many times as he, and you, have claimed status by virtue of self-professed years-of-experience or how long you held a license, one would think y'all would at least comprehend the communication laws that pertain to your hobby in a satisfactory manner instead of blatantly holding yourselves above the law and rationalizing N3CVJ's "criminal" actions of using a "basement" amp..
****
>I am not rationalizing anything Twithed, Riley >Hollingsworth has >stated, "Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit >an individual amateur to >construct or modify a non-certificated RF >power amplifier once per calendar year for use >at that amateur's own station"

You aven't answered yet,,,are you interpreting "non-certificated" be construed as "non-type accptance?" You appear very cornfused over the two.
--
He has NOT showed how he has made this Davemade
spectrally pure like he claimed he would.
-
>If he biased it he probably cleaned it up quite >alot.

Does that make it legal according to this non-existent rule you claim the FCC made?

>Since when does he have to prove what he >does to you

The "who" doesn't matter. His word does.
He claimed he would answer,,but lied about it. Why did he lie? Cause he's full of shit.

> who are you?

You have alwasy strained yourself with this question..so has poor 'ol Frank,,angry azzed Lelnad, perv boy N8, and the Hypocrite Hall.
--
He has NOT shown anything
concerning his lie about a cber getting arrested and found guilty of a misdemeanor of disorderly. He has proved absolutley nothing. Again, Geroge, Dave needs help, but you aren't it, even if your intentions are intended as such. You need to educate yourself before you can assist another floundering lid.

>he is not performing
>any criminal action using the amp. Why can't >you get it thru your head.

He uses it on the freeband......and openly endorsed the freeband..recently, when he claimed he was advocating nothing but legal, responsible cb..then he selectively chooses who to go after for the same actions he does himself. Ignoring his hypocritical and self-contradictory remarks and attacking me personally with ad hominem and off topic remarks won't make his actions, behaviors, and statements go away.
--
a low-life cber must step in, take control, and educate you two losers on what you are allegedly licensed for..

>You got that shot right twist you certainly are a >low life CBer ,

And you are a shining example of apicture perfect lid to hammie radio. You base this on your intense dislike for me, not my radio personality,

>My intense dislike for you is derived at how >you are and how you are a liar and twist things >to suit you.

I don't lie. You can say whatever you wish about me, but I do not lie.

> You are correctly named and I didn't name >you so I assume you have had this affliction >since birth.

The fact that a cartoon ID can elicit such a profound reaction in so many losers is testament to your lack of self-control when it comes to emotive responses.

-
not my operating mannerisms, but what you read here and disagree with.

>Well this can go 2 ways twisty, you then are >either a lair which I believe to be true or what >you talk about is the truth you operate on the >freeband etc. which is it are you a liar or are >you telling the truth when you say you break >federal law and talk on the freeband.

I freely admit talking on the freeband and dxing...Dave Hall has also, and attacked those who have done nothing that he hasn't done..matter of fact, I don't use anywhere near the power he has to use. Inone post he claims he doesn't use more than a few watts..ever..in another post it is shown he has several illegal "basement" amps. Aain, the topic was, and

still is, until he responds, Dave Hall N3CVJ's lies and hypocritical and off-topic and off-the-cuff attacks on those for the same thing he does.

>and you are spreading lies and >misinformation,

If you are referring to my Galaxy statement, it can only be one, either a lie, or disinformation. You can' even produce a single passage from the law, how can anyone call on you to produce anything else......, since you claimed two....you haven't managed to come up with a rule or law quote claiming anything about type-acceptance for an authorized hammie concerning the Galaxy or a Davemade as far as making them spectrally pure and in regs with the FCC as you claimed.Which are what?

>Get a ham license and find out,

You don't know..there is plenty you don't know.
Wasn't that much easier than your diatribe of attacks? Quite simple to just admit you don't know or were wrong..no wonder you are breaking your neck defeding Halls lies,,he can't come clean either...it's a pathological thing, ya' know....

>Let me ask you this do you think hams can >just operate any piece of shit they want on the >band? with out requirements and >specifications. use your head a little hear >twisty.

Has nothing to do with what I think, nor what you think, and especally what N3CVJ thinks.
 Why does this point have you stuttering and flopping around like a fish out of water? Still waiting for either of you to answer any of the questions posed to you concerning your claims..so far you both have done nothing but fail and lie,,,,,and attack me when it's pointed out as such.
--
Still waiting for N3CVJ to show us how he made this Davemade spectrally pure like he said
he would...and so are others.....

>He biased the amp, If I were dave I would >charge for the info you are asking for.

I didn't ask for it. He threw it out there..I merely questioned him on it and here you are floundering over the question posed to him for almost a week....it looks as if you are certain he is lying or inacapable of such acts and you come in to attack me instead of allowing poor Davey Hall to answer.

>twisty who is the us you speak of? I don't hear >any other babbling like you are you speaking >for others again.

The group.

>I don't see the group saying the same thing >you are about htis subject.

t's not about me or the group, despite what you attempt but fail at
regularly. It is merely about N3CVJ's claims that he is drowning in.

>But then again this is a CB NG what do they >know about Ham laws.

Much more than you,obviously, as many are hammies.

See, you can't have it both ways....

>And neither can you.

Ahh, that selective snip hit home hard for you, eh?
=
even though you try to selectively apply standards unevenly. You and
your
posse of hate and
discontent who have pledged to troll rec.radio.cb have claimed this
group is full of illegal cbers using illegal amps...
<<<snipp snipp>>>>

>Stay on topic Twisty

The topic is Dave Hall's lies.......try to remember that, lid.

--
Dave Hall N3CVJ even made a comment that cbers aren't satisfied with
barefoot radios and
almost all run illegal power..

>He is 100% correct, look at the first thing you >and your vermin say...
A person posts "My cb >doesnt have the range I expected" .

No, I never said that. My radios have better range than most of the
angry hammies here..that's why they have a hard-on for me..ya''ll can't
STAND it that ya' can't key on a cber that gets better dx than you and
enjoys his hobby much more than y'all and at a fraction the cost you
curs spend.

>the first reply always is get a amplifier I call >that a fact

So what does this have to do with me? Your first reply, along with Halls
and N8s and Sparky's has always been to insult when someone posts an
opposing view..then you make it personal and use the group as your
personal sounding board for insults and ad-hominem and off topic
attacks.

--
And since the group is chock full of illegal amp owners and Davemade is
a very popular amp......many would be intereste in the info.

>Well perhaps Dave would be wiling to sell this >info.

He should have thought of that prior to shooting his mouth off.

>Your admitting the group is full of illegal amp >owners is correct

You know only what we allow you. it's what makes your ignorance worth tuning in for each day.

>the fact that I am in touch with
>yourself?

Believe me, you are not in touch with anyone, lid.
-
the pulse of cbers and you don't have a clue seems to upset you greatly..

>Oh you haven't a clue what my clue is

The entire contingency knows what you are. You are the only one that fails to see it, a malady shared by Hall and Nad,,the uncanny inability to see themselves as others view them..hohohohoo....absolutely hysterically entertaining.

-
I wasn't the only one that asked..although he did claim he wouldn't indulge us unless another asked, he has failed to produce yet once again.
>And that is his perogative he is under nothing >to give you free info...

But he is under an obligatioon to hold his word and no one forced him to say a cber was arrested and found guilty, no one forced him to say he gets excited and finds listening to pre-teen girls talk of their first sexual experiences as "juicy"..no one forced him to say he would tell the group how and what he did. His words are by the same hand that slit his own throat. These are his own words.....your daily attacks on me illustrate even you agree he is in over his head, otherwise you wouldn't feel the need to answer for him then attack me with an off-topic and ad-hominem display of your lack of intellect.

4480. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:10:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00ABF8-38@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147119

From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
Thanks for the compliment...
As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and
he has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts
nothing but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine
and I certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily
can be called nothing but spam...
--
Fortunately, the group isn't governed by individual definitions and
opinions. It's not like he's spamming you.......... it's not spam since
I requested it. I have sent many folks to sites posted on this forum.

4481. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:11:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00AC50-39@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147121

Hey Grif.....that last amp you sold in Fla was because *I* referred him
to your page.......ease up.

4482. Re: IR DX XMAS Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:21:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00AEA0-40@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147117

From: timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo)
twist i learned long ago the folks on rec.radio.cb arent interested in this and 10 out of 10 times posting such to the group just ensures a AM dick head will be out there doing his thing disrupting. people are a trip
--
Subject: IR DX XMAS Party

Hmm..you may be right...but it is a great place to get the word out. I don't allow one or two sorry azzes to spoil my fun...they mostly can't mess with me here in the 'pile so they spazz out and the internet is all they can turn to..the impotent thingy, ya' know.....but if any of the participants complain, I'll know what to do. Hell, you're in many of the forums and groups, you see that little message at the bottom of most of these messages about being "absolutely allowed" to repost the message.....they encourage the spread of the information, WANT to get it out there. Nevertheless, since I can tune out the rest of the country, I wasn't too concerned about it..maybe I'll send a cc'd email to group members asking their opinion. I guess the situation with renegade hammies on the freeband is getting worse. Too bad I can't hear most of them.

4483. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:28:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00B029-42@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147118

From: rcranus@hotmailcommie (Appliance Operator)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10650-3DFF4541-724@storefull-
I beg to differ.....I haven't found the station that has the capability
of keying on me when I'm pointed east to Europe, outside of another
Florida station,
Many of us talk on 555. Can't say I heard you though. Who should I be
listening for?
I only knowingly talked to two planes on the freeband before and both
planes
--
Ok Twisty, you are either a liar or a bullshitter you have said you
don't break federal fcc rules, here you admit to talking on the freeband
you then are an admitted federal lawbreaker when called one don't get
your panties in an uproar remember you said it.
--
I have never claimed otherwise...ever.
I have no problem saying I talk on the freeband and dx. Unlike N3CVJ and
a few other hammie curs, I have nothing to lie about or to hide. One day
you may find the education that eludes you and the faculties will be in
place that will allow you to distinguish between federal law and
protocol rules imposed by a federally governed and funded establishment.

4484. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:31:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14818-3E00B106-43@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147120

From: timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo)
add me and make that four :)
-
I beg to differ.....I haven't found the station that has the capability of keying on me when I'm pointed east to Europe, outside of another Florida station, Of course I don't have a trashy mouth like some of our resident angry hammies of pledged hate to rec.radio.cb..... I give credit where credit is due..if you can knock me out or put a huge signal on me, I''l be the first to give your station a warm fuzzy..so far, there are 3 stations in the past year that have been able to key on me when I'm pointed east to Europe..and I know all 3 of them,,and you ain't one of 'em.

Tim, I somehow don't doubt it..of course, my sideband setup is way different than my AM play.....and that other bad dude by you,,he still pretends he don't hear me <g>!
Seriusly, man, those European stations are stepping on stateside stations..even happens when I'm pointed west on occasion....I'll try for you again Thursday.

4485. Re: Ruger craps out: Plays the dozens with a 6 shooter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:50:44 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <47d10vkfvhmm60dn0slburu9rvp4m7596u@4ax.com>
Source record: 147116

you have your post mixed up anti-asswipe.....I didn't post this
someone alse did....why don't you show some more of your intelligence
there stupid.....oh never mind yours is that of a ROCK....uh huh
DUH!!!!!....Your parents must be proud.....

On 18 Dec 2002 04:33:31 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<e8pmvu897atjg05sb3kdqeuv42v9bqej7v@4ax.com>...
>
>Hey Ruger, I coulda been yer dad, but a toy poodle crawled under the
>fence while I was climbing over and beat me to the bedroom door.
>
>As far as "taking it" goes...I think that you should inquire with your
>wife. She "took it" quite well last time, as I recall.
>
>Later white trash.
>
>> Oh my this is so easy.....Mr. Adair is like jeez I hate to say this
>> but ,you know, the typical CB'er.....he can't take it...he he he LOL
>>
>>
>>
>> >Like THAT is bothersome? These rectumringer retards can call me
>> >anything they wish to. Why would it matter?
>> >
>> >What is bothersome is that it is really unfortunate that your kids'
>> >have to go without Christmas presents BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION cuz their
>> >daddy bought illegal toys for himself. What a scumbag.
>> >
>> >I told you that you can bring 'em here so they can see how normal
>> >people celebrate the holidays. It might be a turning point for your
>> >kids to see non criminal people who live a life of plenty enjoying a
>> >holiday. They may see this and NOT grow up to be unemployed white
>> >trash like daddy is.
>> >
>> >Just think...Chukkee lives 48 miles away. You could take off for a
>> >while and meet him in a truckstop toilet. Moparholic is in Cleveland.
>> >You could meet him before you get here. Sounds like a good deal all
>> >round. You get to be gay and your kids get Christmas.
>> >
>> >Dontever say I am not nice.

4486. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 17:58:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218125813.27983.00000079@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 147122

doc how do you think the 4 pill and above amps are made?

>
>Appliance Operator,
> And that's not all...
> 'Doc
>

4487. Re: Ruger craps out: Plays the dozens with a 6 shooter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 18:02:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218130204.27983.00000080@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 147123

ruger, quit humoring doug. your only prolonging the sillyness.

>
>
>
>you have your post mixed up anti-asswipe.....I didn't post this
>someone alse did....why don't you show some more of your intelligence
>there stupid.....oh never mind yours is that of a ROCK....uh huh
>DUH!!!!!....Your parents must be proud.....
>
>On 18 Dec 2002 04:33:31 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
>Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
>
>>rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
>news:<e8pmvu897atjg05sb3kdqeuv42v9bqej7v@4ax.com>...
>>
>>Hey Ruger, I coulda been yer dad, but a toy poodle crawled under the
>>fence while I was climbing over and beat me to the bedroom door.
>>
>>As far as "taking it" goes...I think that you should inquire with your
>>wife. She "took it" quite well last time, as I recall.
>>
>>Later white trash.
>>
>>> Oh my this is so easy.......Mr. Adair is like jeez I hate to say this
>>> but ,you know, the typical CB'er.......he can't take it...he he he LOL
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> >Like THAT is bothersome? These rectumringer retards can call me
>>> >anything they wish to. Why would it matter?
>>> >

4488. News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 18:17:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218131711.27983.00000081@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 147124

Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM

Man allegedly had sex with sheep

Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.

Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent Weester said.

Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.

Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on $2,500 bond.

Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.

------- cut ---------

(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)

4489. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 19:14:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218141459.28398.00000249@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 147125

>Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM
>
>Man allegedly had sex with sheep
>
>Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex
>with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.
>
>Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals
>used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on
>Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent
>Weester said.
>
>Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found
>the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.
>
>Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
>$2,500 bond.
>
>Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and
>bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.
>
>------- cut ---------
>
>(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Sounds like something a No-Coder ham would do.

4490. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 19:24:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021218142411.27667.00000114@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 147126

update

http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie

>>Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM
>>
>>Man allegedly had sex with sheep
>>
>>Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex
>>with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.
>>
>>Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals
>>used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on
>>Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent
>>Weester said.
>>
>>Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found
>>the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.
>>
>>Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
>>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
>>$2,500 bond.
>>
>>Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and
>>bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.
>>
>>------- cut ---------
>>
>>(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)

4491. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 19:50:08 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E00D170.398D9140@cwis.net>
Source record: 147127

John,
I am familiar with how they are made/designed. Are
you familiar with what the question was?
'Doc

4492. AMPS F.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 20:40:34 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218154034.24233.00000093@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 147129

CLEANING OUT THE CLOSET AND I HAVE TOO MANY AMPS ACCQUIRED OVER THE YEARS.
DAVEMADE M-400
X-FORCE XT400
GALAXY 1000XL
JB-600 BOUGHT FROM (PEEKABOO) CAFFEINOS ELEC. 1600 PEAK
NO-NAME GUTTS. CUSTOM BOX 1X4
CUSTOM 2X8 GONE TREW BY (PEEKABOO) 1800 PEAK BIRD WATTS
SERIOUS INQUIERS ONLY AND YOU MUST SEND ME A E-MAIL AS I DO NOT READ THIS BOARD
ANYMORE WITH ALL THE B.S
RIPSY@AOL.COM

4493. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 20:48:53 UTC
From: Don Davis <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Va5M9.38873$Fq3.1973691@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147130

Hey, thanks 4 the replys. It has been a year or 2 since we
had seen this. There could have been a final componnet
that the output coax from both amps ran into and one from there to antenna.
Does that sound reasonable, maybe with a combiner?? He has 2 5-pill amps to
hook
together, but not before researching and maybe some trial
time with smaller older equipment. He felt there would be
a problem with equalizing output from both amps and joining these feeds,
along with them wrestling each other
or feeding back to 1 or both amps. Once again, all sugestions, info, tech,
and replys are appreciated. If he were able to get on here it could probably
be explained.
better. Thanks,
Butcher, 531
"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E00D170.398D9140@cwis.net...
>
>
> John,
> I am familiar with how they are made/designed. Are
> you familiar with what the question was?
> 'Doc

4494. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 20:59:46 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <64o10vcaf2iis46bl82pf6verhb7h9bej7@4ax.com>
Source record: 147131

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 10:04:53 -0500, "Appliance Operator"
<rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote:

>
>"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E007327.DE5EA121@cwis.net...
>>
>>
>> Don,
>> In layman's terms, you'd have one chance in 5 of not
>> ruining something the first time you turned it on. The
>> second time you turned it on you would have one chance
>> in 25 of not ruining something. If you haven't ruined
>> something by the time you're ready to turn it on the
>> third time, don't turn it on...
>> It can be done but it isn't as simple as just hooking
>> things up with equal length feed lines from transmitter
>> to the amplifiers.
>> 'Doc
>
>
>He would need a combiner.
>
For use at one band of frequencies (27mhz):

Use an electrical 1/4 wave sections of 75 ohm coax for each
(equal amplifier). Then run any appropriate length of 50 ohm
coax between the T connector and a (50 ohm antenna).

1/4 wave section of 66% velocity factor coax = 70 inches
1/4 wave section of 78% velocity factor coax = 82.5 inches

4495. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 21:06:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v01oqm86ggcef3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147132

Or 100 degrees out of phase? Or almost anything out of phase ...
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E009EDD.51325205@cwis.net...
>
>
> Appliance Operator,
> You're right about the impedance matching. Now,
> what happens when you feed two signals of the same
> wave form together 180 degrees out of phase?
> 'Doc

4496. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 21:19:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <b1p10v8onp7gfnd77c15cnoovmvcmd8e40@4ax.com>
Source record: 147133

> For use at one band of frequencies (27mhz):
>
>Use an electrical 1/4 wave sections of 75 ohm coax for each
>(equal amplifier). Then run any appropriate length of 50 ohm
>coax between the T connector and a (50 ohm antenna).
>
>1/4 wave section of 66% velocity factor coax = 70 inches
>1/4 wave section of 78% velocity factor coax = 82.5 inches

```
a c
---------------AMP1---------------
RADIO---------e f--------- ANTENNA
---------------AMP2--------------
b d
```

A&B = 75ohm coax of specific length, (1/4) wave

C&D = 75ohm coax of specific length, (1/4) wave

E&F = any length of 50 ohm coax

4497. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 22:00:12 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <5kr10vshuovcmo4rirdm4b6a811mc1qdc2@4ax.com>
Source record: 147134

>> The only place for homebrew radios is in the classroom. They aren't
>>worth the bother otherwise.
>***
>
>It is obvious that you have never been involve with the manufactureing
>or design of commincation electronics. If one takes care, one can
>build their own tranceiver that will meet or exceed many commercially
>manufactured radios. Especially anything that is designed for the CB
>Band. Cost wise it will be slightly more. What you failed to realize
>commercial manufacturers are driven by profit. So they will sacrifice
>specs for cost control.
>
>james

It is obvious your reading comprehension is lacking. On a cost basis a
homebrew radio falls short. Way short.

As far as "It is obvious that you have never been involve with
the manufactureing or design of commincation electronics."
Manufacturing, no I haven't but why re-invent the wheel if it isn't
cost effective?

4498. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:04:43 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fa7M9.68196$hK4.5658569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147135

Yes it does... it's called convection.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Your amp has no airflow either, from what it seems.

4499. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:05:24 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ua7M9.68197$hK4.5660734@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147136

You need a power combiner...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:R2_L9.37871$Fq3.1924983@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> A friend of mine is trying this application,he may understand some of the
> info. at these sites. In
> laymans terms (as i am not that tech. inclined), can this application be
> done without going into the amps and
> just joining with coax ?? Or would that spell disaster??
> "Thanks" for the reply and info. Any further info or
> advice would be appreciated. Has anyone out there
> tried running two amps together into one antenna.
> Thanks,
> Butcher,
531
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:sej00vg9ktp26afr3c782voldanhq239st@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:54:27 GMT, "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > > Any info available for running 2 amps into one
> > >antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
> > >a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
> > >but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
> > >single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
> > >a 18-wheeler.
> > > Thanks,
> > > Butcher, 501
> > >
> > http://www.rsphysse.anu.edu.au/bushlan/course/TLTFS/HC.html
> >
> > http://www.communication-concepts.com/psc2.htm
>
>

4500. cobra 2000 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:06:20 UTC
From: jocko <eagle8@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v01ko66jbb1i17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147128

does any one know what other radios meters will work in a cobra 2000. I know a 1000 is the same but I heard that there are others that will work. Any help. Thanks Kerry

4501. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:06:27 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tb7M9.68199$hK4.5661970@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147137

Yes it would... but the amp has been designed with an extra large sink and
good convection flow... so a fan is not necessary.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"John Rambo " <timnebo@aol.com10-107> wrote in message
news:20021218095122.11044.00000121@mb-mc.aol.com...
> wouldnt a fan added to a skywave look awfull too?

4502. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:08:08 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sd7M9.68203$hK4.5662004@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147138

That is not correct... spamming NGs is not permitted.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00ABF8-38@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
Thanks for the compliment...
As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and
he has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts
nothing but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine
and I certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily
can be called nothing but spam...
--
Fortunately, the group isn't governed by individual definitions and
opinions. It's not like he's spamming you.......... it's not spam since
I requested it. I have sent many folks to sites posted on this forum.

4503. GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-18 23:56:40 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YW7M9.32753$VA5.3899899@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147139

I am having trouble with this radio and need help or suggestions on repairing it. When I first key it up when i get in the truck in the morning it works for about a minute ,but then it loses all the power on A.M. but it works fine on the side bands. Could someone tell me what is wrong or what to replace to fix this problem.
THANKS IN ADVANCED FOR THE HELP,
s.c.m. 124 OHIO

4504. X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 00:17:03 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147140

I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND IT
BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
doorsfanx@adelphia.net

4505. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 00:32:56 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <a6420vscf9lk33o5uc1j0qblfbei1t58kc@4ax.com>
Source record: 147141

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 17:00:12 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
>>> The only place for homebrew radios is in the classroom. They aren't
>>>worth the bother otherwise.
>>***
>>
>>It is obvious that you have never been involve with the manufactureing
>>or design of commincation electronics. If one takes care, one can
>>build their own tranceiver that will meet or exceed many commercially
>>manufactured radios. Especially anything that is designed for the CB
>>Band. Cost wise it will be slightly more. What you failed to realize
>>commercial manufacturers are driven by profit. So they will sacrifice
>>specs for cost control.
>>
>>james
>
>It is obvious your reading comprehension is lacking. On a cost basis a
>homebrew radio falls short. Way short.
>
>As far as "It is obvious that you have never been involve with
>the manufactureing or design of commincation electronics."
>Manufacturing, no I haven't but why re-invent the wheel if it isn't
>cost effective?
>
>
>
****

Because even modest increase in cost can yield superior performance
that many mid tier to low end of high tier radios. Even the Japanese
transcievers are driven first by cost of manufactureing. Performance
is secondary. A home constructed unit can be driven by performance
over cost.

Granted if one is striving to just meet commercial manufactured
specification then you are right why re-invent the wheel. But if one
wants to exceed say sensitivity and selectivity specs of most
commercial transcievers, then a home built transceiver that pays
attention to shielding and layout can far exceed radios costing in the
$1000 to $1500 range for about the same amount of money. Granted the
cabinetry will not be a pretty and the lights and flashy stuff may not
be there, but then that is what helps sells those radios.

james

4506. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 00:37:46 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <p4520vc356b2faibj5mfc303t2nlq2krjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 147142

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 05:33:18 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:54:27 GMT, "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>> Any info available for running 2 amps into one
>>antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
>>a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
>>but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
>>single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
>>a 18-wheeler.
>> Thanks,
>> Butcher, 501
>>
>http://www.rsphysse.anu.edu.au/bushlan/course/TLTFS/HC.html
>
>http://www.communication-concepts.com/psc2.htm
****

yepper those will do the trick. Just got to be sure the cores can
handle the power wothout over heating.

work fine last along time

james

4507. Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 00:49:31 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147145

I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
Please e-mail me directly if possible.

4508. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 00:59:19 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E011A0C.90404@optonline.net>
Source record: 147144

hey prof weren't you booted from a prior isp for spamming this ng ????

Brian Griffey wrote:

>That is not correct... spamming NGs is not permitted.
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:14818-3E00ABF8-38@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
>Thanks for the compliment...
>As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and
>he has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts
>nothing but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine
>and I certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily
>can be called nothing but spam...
>--
>Fortunately, the group isn't governed by individual definitions and
>opinions. It's not like he's spamming you.......... it's not spam since
>I requested it. I have sent many folks to sites posted on this forum.
>
>
>
>
>

4509. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:16:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <x59M9.3450$p_6.269631@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147146

Where is the schematic?
Can you post?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND
IT
> BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net
>
>

4510. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:17:35 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <P69M9.3452$p_6.272282@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146997

Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3E011A0C.90404@optonline.net...
> hey prof weren't you booted from a prior isp for spamming this ng ????

4511. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:19:09 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h89M9.3453$p_6.271535@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 146998

Modifying the radio to accomplish this is not practical. The best way is an external amplifier...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
> power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
> crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
> someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
> the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
> Please e-mail me directly if possible.
>

4512. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:28:13 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net>
Source record: 146999

lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't
really warranted...

Brian Griffey wrote:

>Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3E011A0C.90404@optonline.net...
>
>
>>hey prof weren't you booted from a prior isp for spamming this ng ????
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4513. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:31:01 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E01217A.1080309@optonline.net>
Source record: 147000

worked 108 div long wave which was a pleasure.....

Twistedhed wrote:

>X-Admin: news@aol.com From: timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John
>Rambo) Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Mon, Dec 16, 2002,
>4:43pm (EST+5) Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com Subject:
> Re: Uk coming in....
>use a rotatable dipole
>--
>You know, I messed around with one before but wasn't real serious about
>it....a good directional way out on the water..WOW! Some of these
>hammies that were in the service on a ship have experience with what I'm
>trying to achieve..it's like skydiving..you can read all about it, but
>until you've jumped, describing it is futile. I wanna yagi in the crow's
>nest <g>!!
>
>
>

4514. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:32:13 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xk9M9.2211$qU5.1338006@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147143

Is one post that too much to handle? If you know it is an ad just delete it
if you don't want to see it. BTW, who are these "proper athorities"?

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
>
> Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,
> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655

> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com
>

4515. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 01:46:16 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ix9M9.68406$hK4.5678240@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147001

Not so... spam has no freqeuency associated with it. Once in a while or all
the time is still the same... spam!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net...
lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't
really warranted...

Brian Griffey wrote:

Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...

4516. FA: RF Power Semiconductors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 02:04:49 UTC
From: Natathan <NC2001mustggt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e012983$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 147002

FA: Lot of twenty five (25x quantity) RF Power Semiconductors.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4666&item=3100922211

4517. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 02:07:40 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E012A05.4040803@optonline.net>
Source record: 147003

it will be remembered then when your s'wave is mentioned once
again....right????

Brian Griffey wrote:

>Not so... spam has no freqeuency associated with it. Once in a while or all
>the time is still the same... spam!
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net...
>lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't
>really warranted...
>
>Brian Griffey wrote:
>
>Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4518. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 04:15:22 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <BGydnZyQv5lA2pyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147004

Tim, are you the web master of that site?

"John Rambo " <timnebo@aol.com10-107> wrote in message
news:20021218142411.27667.00000114@mb-mk.aol.com...
> update
>
> http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie
>
> >>Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM
> >>
> >>Man allegedly had sex with sheep
> >>
> >>Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex
> >>with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.
> >>
> >>Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals
> >>used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on
> >>Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent
> >>Weester said.
> >>
> >>Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found
> >>the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.
> >>
> >>Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
> >>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
> >>$2,500 bond.
> >>
> >>Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and
> >>bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.
> >>
> >>------- cut ---------
> >>
> >>(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)
>
>
>
>

4519. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 04:16:39 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021218231639.23408.00000194@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 147005

>Brian Griffey
>Where is the schematic?
>Can you post?
Nice try Brian!

"Got RF?"

4520. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 04:18:25 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <r9WcnflvnsMM1ZyjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 147006

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Ua7M9.68197$hK4.5660734@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> You need a power combiner...

didn't I just say that? Doc said no ... huh

4521. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 04:19:11 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <RtydnaiDg-ki1ZyjXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 147007

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Tb7M9.68199$hK4.5661970@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes it would... but the amp has been designed with an extra large sink and
> good convection flow... so a fan is not necessary.

how big is the heat sink

4522. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 04:20:08 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <tfycnR-_gI1k1ZyjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147008

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fa7M9.68196$hK4.5658569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes it does... it's called convection.

I believe the other amp also has that

4523. Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:10:01 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 147009

Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT□s inspectors shall carry proper identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT□s notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT□s certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer□s certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant□s application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer□s certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants□ purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in

size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person□s Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant□s Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement ☐No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act.☐ in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement ☐No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act.☐ in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement ☐No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act.☐ in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement ☐No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act.☐ in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4524. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:11:04 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <pamdna9OhPB1yZyjXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147010

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00B106-43@storefull-

Tim, I somehow don't doubt it..of course, my sideband setup is way
different than my AM play......and that other bad dude by you,,he still
pretends he don't hear me <g>!

Why is that twisty you run a amp on am?

4525. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:16:48 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E015640.EBE98E27@cwis.net>
Source record: 147011

Maul Popper,
No, 'Doc didn't say you didn't need a 'combiner'.
He said you would need more than that...
'Doc

4526. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:17:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E01564C.9CDC876@cwis.net>
Source record: 147012

Maul Popper,
No, 'Doc didn't say you didn't need a 'combiner'.
He said you would need more than just that...
'Doc

4527. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:41:12 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <QZGcnR8Mg_hlxpyjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 147013

Oh it is so true twisty, you call me a liar i call you dead wrong!

§97.307 Emission standards.
(a) No amateur station transmission shall occupy more bandwidth than necessary for the information rate and emission type being transmitted, in accordance with good amateur practice.

(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must be confined to the band or segment available to the control operator. Emissions outside the necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter or keyclick interference to operations on adjacent frequencies.

(c) All spurious emissions from a station transmitter must be reduced to the greatest extent practicable. If any spurious emission, including chassis or power line radiation, causes harmful interference to the reception of another radio station, the licensee of the interfering amateur station is required to take steps to eliminate the interference, in accordance with good engineering practice.

(d) The mean power of any spurious emission from a station transmitter or external RF power amplifier transmitting on a frequency below 30 MHz must not exceed 50 mW and must be at least 40 dB below the mean power of the fundamental emission. For a transmitter of mean power less than 5 W, the attenuation must be at least 30 dB. A transmitter built before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before January 1, 1978, is exempt from this requirement.

(e) The mean power of any spurious emission from a station transmitter or external RF power amplifier transmitting on a frequency between 30-225 MHz must be at least 60 dB below the mean power of the fundamental. For a transmitter having a mean power of 25 W or less, the mean power of any spurious emission supplied to the antenna transmission line must not exceed 25 µW and must be at least 40 dB below the mean power of the fundamental emission, but need not be reduced below the power of 10 µW. A transmitter built before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before January 1, 1978, is exempt from this requirement.

(f) The following standards and limitations apply to transmissions on the frequencies specified in §97.305(c) of this Part.

(1) No angle-modulated emission may have a modulation index greater than 1 at the highest modulation frequency.
(2) No non-phone emission shall exceed the bandwidth of a communications quality phone emission of the same modulation type. The total bandwidth of an independent sideband emission (having B as the first symbol), or a multiplexed image and phone emission, shall not exceed that of a communications quality A3E emission.

(3) Only a RTTY or data emission using a specified digital code listed in §97.309(a) of this Part may be transmitted. The symbol rate must not exceed 300 bauds, or for frequency-shift keying, the frequency shift between mark and space must not exceed 1 kHz.

(4) Only a RTTY or data emission using a specified digital code listed in §97.309(a) of this Part may be transmitted. The symbol rate must not exceed 1200 bauds. For

frequency-shift keying, the frequency shift between mark and space must not exceed 1 kHz.

(5) A RTTY, data or multiplexed emission using a specified digital code listed in §97.309(a) of this Part may be transmitted. The symbol rate must not exceed 19.6 kilobauds. A RTTY, data or multiplexed emission using an unspecified digital code under the limitations listed in §97.309(b) of this Part also may be transmitted. The authorized bandwidth is 20 kHz.

(6) A RTTY, data or multiplexed emission using a specified digital code listed in §97.309(a) of this Part may be transmitted. The symbol rate must not exceed 56 kilobauds. A RTTY, data or multiplexed emission using an unspecified digital code under the limitations listed in §97.309(b) of this Part also may be transmitted. The authorized bandwidth is 100 kHz.

(7) A RTTY, data or multiplexed emission using a specified digital code listed in §97.309(a) of this Part or an unspecified digital code under the limitations listed in §97.309(b) of this Part may be transmitted.

(8) A RTTY or data emission having designators with A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, J or R as the first symbol; 1, 2, 7 or 9 as the second symbol; and D or W as the third symbol is also authorized.

(9) A station having a control operator holding a Novice or Technician Class operator license may only transmit a CW emission using the international Morse code.

(10) A station having a control operator holding a Novice Class operator license or a Technician Class operator license and who has received credit for proficiency in telegraphy in accordance with the international requirements may only transmit a CW emission using the international Morse code or phone emissions J3E and R3E.

(11) Phone and image emissions may be transmitted only by stations located in ITU Regions 1 and 3, and by stations located within ITU Region 2 that are west of 130° West longitude or south of 20° North latitude.

(12) Emission F8E may be transmitted.

(13) A data emission using an unspecified digital code under the limitations listed in §97.309(b) of this Part also may be transmitted. The authorized bandwidth is 100 kHz.

§97.309 RTTY and data emission codes.
(a) Where authorized by §97.305(c) and 97.307(f) of this Part, an amateur station may transmit a RTTY or data emission using the following specified digital codes:

(1) The 5-unit, start-stop, International Telegraph Alphabet No. 2, code defined in International Telegraph and Telephone Consultative Committee Recommendation F.1, Division C (commonly known as Baudot).
(2) The 7-unit code, specified in International Radio Consultative Committee Recommendation CCIR 476-2 (1978), 476-3 (1982), 476-4 (1986) or 625 (1986) (commonly known as AMTOR).

(3) The 7-unit code defined in American National Standards Institute X3.4-1977 or International Alphabet No. 5 defined in International Telegraph and Telephone Consultative Committee Recommendation T.50 or in International Organization for Standardization, International Standard ISO 646 (1983), and extensions as provided for in CCITT Recommendation T.61 (Malaga-Torremolinos, 1984) (commonly known as ASCII).

(4) An amateur station transmitting a RTTY or data emission using a digital code specified in this paragraph may use any technique whose technical characteristics have been documented publicly, such as CLOVER, G-TOR, or PacTOR, for the purpose of facilitating communications.

(b) Where authorized by §§97.305(c) and 97.307(f) of this Part, a station may transmit a RTTY or data emission using an unspecified digital code, except to a station in a country with which the United States does not have an agreement permitting the code to be used. RTTY and data emissions using unspecified digital codes must not be transmitted for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of any communication. When deemed necessary by an EIC to assure compliance with the FCC Rules, a station must:

(1) Cease the transmission using the unspecified digital code;
(2) Restrict transmissions of any digital code to the extent instructed;

(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information, of all digital communications transmitted.

§97.311 SS emission types.
(a) SS emission transmissions by an amateur station are authorized only for communications between points within areas where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC and between an area where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC and an amateur station in another country that permits such communications. SS emission transmissions must not be used for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of any communication.

(b) A station transmitting SS emissions must not cause harmful interference to stations employing other authorized emissions, and must accept all interference caused by stations employing other authorized emissions.

(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with this Part, a station licensee must:

(1) Cease SS emission transmissions;
(2) Restrict SS emission transmissions to the extent instructed; and

(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information (voice, text, image, etc.) of all spread spectrum communications transmitted.

(d) The transmitter power must not exceed 100 W under any circumstances. If more than 1 W is used, automatic transmitter control shall limit output power to that which is required for the communication. This shall be determined by the use of the ratio, measured at the receiver, of the received energy per user data bit (Eb) to the sum of the received power spectral densities of noise (N0) and co-channel interference (I0). Average transmitter power over 1 W shall be automatically adjusted to maintain an Eb/(N0 + I0) ratio of no more than 23 dB at the intended receiver.

§97.313 Transmitter power standards.
(a) An amateur station must use the minimum transmitter power necessary to carry out the desired communications.

(b) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5 kW PEP.

(c) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 200 W PEP on:

(1) The 3.675-3.725 MHz, 7.10-7.15 MHz, 10.10-10.15 MHz and 21.1-21.2 MHz segments;
(2) The 28.1-28.5 MHz segment when the control operator is a Novice Class operator or a Technician Class operator who has received credit for proficiency in telegraphy in accordance with the international requirements; or

(3) The 7.050-7.075 MHz segment when the station is within ITU Regions 1 or 3.

(d) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 25 W PEP on the VHF 1.25 m band when the control operator is a Novice operator.

(e) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 5 W PEP on the UHF 23 cm band when the control operator is a Novice operator.

(f) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the UHF 70 cm band from an area specified in footnote US7 to §2.106 of the FCC Rules, unless expressly authorized by the FCC after mutual agreement, on a case-by-case basis, between the EIC of the applicable field facility and the military area frequency coordinator at the applicable military base. An Earth station or telecommand station, however, may transmit on the 435-438 MHz segment with a maximum of 611 W effective radiated power (1 kW equivalent isotropically radiated power) without the authorization otherwise required. The transmitting antenna elevation angle between the lower half-power (-3 dB relative to the peak or antenna bore sight) point and the horizon must always be greater than 10°.

(g) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 33 cm band from within 241 km of the boundaries of the White Sands Missile Range. Its boundaries are those portions of Texas and New Mexico bounded on the south by latitude 31° 41' North, on the east by longitude 104° 11' West, on the north by latitude 34° 30' North, and on the west by longitude 107° 30' West.

(h) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 219-220 MHz segment of the 1.25 m band.

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com...
Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On

Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT's inspectors shall carry proper

identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT's notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT's certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer's certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant's application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer's certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants' purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4528. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:44:19 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <HEKdnST0at0uwZyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147014

§97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers.
(a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified during any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a station without a grant of certification. No amplifier capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified by a non-amateur operator without a grant of certification from the FCC.

(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit (see §2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be certificated for use in the amateur service in accordance with Subpart J of Part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or more of the following conditions are met:

(1) The amplifier is not capable of operation on frequencies below 144 MHz. For the purpose of this part, an amplifier will be deemed to be incapable of operation below 144 MHz if it is not capable of being easily modified to increase its amplification characteristics below 120 MHz and either:

(i) The mean output power of the amplifier decreases, as frequency decreases from 144 MHz, to a point where 0 dB or less gain is exhibited at 120 MHz; or
(ii) The amplifier is not capable of amplifying signals below 120 MHz even for brief periods without sustaining permanent damage to its amplification circuitry.

(2) The amplifier was manufactured before April 28, 1978, and has been issued a marketing waiver by the FCC, or the amplifier was purchased before April 28, 1978, by an amateur operator for use at that amateur operator's station.

(3) The amplifier was:

(i) Constructed by the licensee, not from an external RF power amplifier kit, for use at the licensee's station; or
(ii) Modified by the licensee for use at the licensee's station.

(4) The amplifier is sold by an amateur operator to another amateur operator or to a dealer.

(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.

(c) Any external RF power amplifier appearing in the Commission's database as certificated for use in the amateur service may be marketed for use in the amateur service.

§97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power amplifiers.
(a) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must satisfy the spurious emission standards of §97.307(d) or (e) of this Part, as applicable, when the amplifier is:

(1) Operated at its full output power;
(2) Placed in the "standby" or "off" positions, but still connected to the transmitter; and

(3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher drive level is specified).

(b) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must not be capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz and 35 MHz. The amplifier will be deemed incapable of such operation if it:

(1) Exhibits no more than 6 dB gain between 24 MHz and 26 MHz and between 28 MHz and 35 MHz. (This gain will be determined by the ratio of the input RF driving signal (mean power measurement) to the mean RF output power of the amplifier); and
(2) Exhibits no amplification (0 dB gain) between 26 MHz and 28 MHz.

(c) Certification may be denied when denial would prevent the use of these amplifiers in services other than the amateur service. The following features will result in dismissal or denial of an application for certification:

(1) Any accessible wiring which, when altered, would permit operation of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;
(2) Circuit boards or similar circuitry to facilitate the addition of components to change the amplifier's operating characteristics in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;

(3) Instructions for operation or modification of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;

(4) Any internal or external controls or adjustments to facilitate operation of the amplifier in a manner contrary to the FCC Rules;

(5) Any internal RF sensing circuitry or any external switch, the purpose of which is to place the amplifier in the transmit mode;

(6) The incorporation of more gain in the amplifier than is necessary to operate in the amateur service; for purposes of this paragraph, the amplifier must:

(i) Not be capable of achieving designed output power when driven with less than 40 W mean RF input power;
(ii) Not be capable of amplifying the input RF driving signal by more than 15 dB, unless the amplifier has a designed transmitter power of less than 1.5 kW (in such a case, gain must be reduced by the same number of dB as the transmitter power relationship to 1.5 kW; This gain limitation is determined by the ratio of the input RF driving signal to the RF output power of the amplifier where both signals are expressed in peak envelope power or mean power);

(iii) Not exhibit more gain than permitted by paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this Section when driven by an RF input signal of less than 50 W mean power; and

(iv) Be capable of sustained operation at its designed power level.

(7) Any attenuation in the input of the amplifier which, when removed or modified, would permit the amplifier to function at its designed transmitter power when driven by an RF frequency input signal of less than 50 W mean power; or

(8) Any other features designed to facilitate operation in a telecommunication service other than the Amateur Radio Services, such as the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service.

4529. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 05:54:50 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <iZOcnUEWfuu0wpyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147015

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E01564C.9CDC876@cwis.net...
>
>
> Maul Popper,
> No, 'Doc didn't say you didn't need a 'combiner'.
> He said you would need more than just that...
> 'Doc

ah either way a combiner that can hold the amount of watts will suffice.

4530. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 08:05:14 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_4fM9.16561$Nz5.447733@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147016

Umm, George, who is the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)? It appears this is not a US agency. What country does this apply to, because it is not the US?

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message news:m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com...
Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT's inspectors shall carry proper identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT's notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT's certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual

furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer's certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant's application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer's certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants' purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results

Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2

and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4531. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 11:49:03 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PmiM9.68846$hK4.5724725@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147017

I don't do full post ads like Lou... if you have noticed. A link is
perfectly ok.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3E012A05.4040803@optonline.net...
> it will be remembered then when your s'wave is mentioned once
> again....right????
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> >Not so... spam has no freqeuency associated with it. Once in a while or
all
> >the time is still the same... spam!
> >
> >--
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
> >Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> >http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> >||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> >"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >news:3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net...
> >lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't
> >really warranted...
> >
> >Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> >Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

4532. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 11:51:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JoiM9.68850$hK4.5725829@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147018

Nice try... lol
Do you really think I want to steal the design of that piece of junk!
Come on... I wanted to merely see the circuit they use for the COR keying.
That's where his problem is...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021218231639.23408.00000194@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >Brian Griffey
> >Where is the schematic?
> >Can you post?
> Nice try Brian!
>
>
>
>
> "Got RF?"

4533. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 11:51:43 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jpiM9.68852$hK4.5726068@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147019

Sorry, didn't see it in time...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:r9WcnflvnsMM1ZyjXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Ua7M9.68197$hK4.5660734@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > You need a power combiner...
>
> didn't I just say that? Doc said no ... huh
>
>

4534. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 11:52:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SpiM9.68853$hK4.5725044@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147020

Look for yourself...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:RtydnaiDg-ki1ZyjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Tb7M9.68199$hK4.5661970@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Yes it would... but the amp has been designed with an extra large sink
and
> > good convection flow... so a fan is not necessary.
>
> how big is the heat sink
>
>

4535. Re: Cookie Built Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 11:53:45 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <driM9.68857$hK4.5725340@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147021

That's just the point...no it does not! It's sealed in a chassis with about
two pinholes in it!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:tfycnR-_gI1k1ZyjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:fa7M9.68196$hK4.5658569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Yes it does... it's called convection.
>
> I believe the other amp also has that

4536. Re: Take Notice Mr.Adair is always discussing .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 12:22:56 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <hQiM9.29726$NV.577462@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147022

So.
It's Mr. Adair.
The Anti-Key Kunt of the NG!

BACK TO FIELDS, BOY!!!!!!
GIT!!!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212160259.37a50b71@posting.google.com...
> rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<mdpmvucdpiaogieun82l6c3kdqhc0sb5gv@4ax.com>...
> > Check out Mr. Adair 's post and take notice ,he is always mentioning
> > KIDS....oh my.......hmmmmmmmmmmm
>
> No white trash I am mentioning YOUR kids. You know, the kids you said
> you can't buy Christmas presents for...THOSE poor kids.
>
> Too bad they gotta have a criminal punk daddy like you. They will grow
> up as criminal punks as well, all because you are a lowlife.

4537. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 13:08:14 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021219080814.29298.00000155@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 147023

Pick up a 80 - 100 watt single pill amp/modulator with a variable output.
You'll be very happy with the result.
Train

4538. Help! Dwight D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 13:13:04 UTC
From: 1bigheart <1bigheart@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eT#KwA2pCHA.1828@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 147024

The other night I noticed that this transceiver is not putting out full
power on channels 1-19....
e.g. ch. 1 - 1/4 watt ch. 5 - 1/2 watt, etc.....

When I go above ch. 20, it IS putting out full 4 watts.... any ideas???

Why would the lower 20 channels not be transmitting full power when the high
20 are?????

Thanks for any input

4539. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 14:12:26 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212190612.eda0bef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147026

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
> power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
> crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
> someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
> the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
> Please e-mail me directly if possible.

Keep in mind that transmiting with more than 4 watts of output power
on CB is illegal in the US. With that in mind, most CB's have an
internal resistor that can be adjusted to increase the output. 20 - 25
watts is probably a stretch for most standard CB's (not talking about
the so called "export 10 meter" radios). Do a search for CB mods and
look around the sites to see what CB's you can increase the power on.

4540. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 14:13:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <lrk30vsf7d49japs4kq5kvah4ju5ejscn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 147025

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 19:49:31 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote:

> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
>power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
>crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
>someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
>the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
>Please e-mail me directly if possible.

I looked into this for myself. Eveyone I spoke to said the same thing. Get a small amp. What will happen if you do this is burn out your radio, or run in real hot. Some people don't even compensate for the extra heat by adding a bigger heat sink. This was unanimous. Suggest I took the advice. I suggest the same for you.

Vinnie S.

4541. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 14:29:51 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212190629.5cc80560@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147027

Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? Come on, take that weak ass shit down the road and try harder.

Why dont you call me some really nasty shit? Come on Dweebo, I am waiting to see if you can get any better, but it never happens. Same old weak ass shit.

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message news:<BGydnZyQv5lA2pyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> Tim, are you the web master of that site?
>
>
> "John Rambo " <timnebo@aol.com10-107> wrote in message
> news:20021218142411.27667.00000114@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > update
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie
> >
> > >>Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM
> > >>
> > >>Man allegedly had sex with sheep
> > >>
> > >>Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex
> > >>with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.
> > >>
> > >>Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals
> > >>used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on
> > >>Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent
> > >>Weester said.
> > >>
> > >>Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found
> > >>the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.
> > >>
> > >>Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
> > >>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
> > >>$2,500 bond.
> > >>
> > >>Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and
> > >>bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.
> > >>
> > >>------- cut ---------
> > >>
> > >>(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)
> >
> >

> >
> >

4542. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 14:32:44 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212190632.436eaf81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147028

Brucie, if a no coder would do it then a fag ass redneck like you sure would.
Only faggots and sailors are named brucie.

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021218141459.28398.00000249@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> >Monday December 16, 2002; 12:45 PM
> >
> >Man allegedly had sex with sheep
> >
> >Toledo police arrested an East Toledo man for allegedly having sex
> >with a sheep used in a West Side funeral home's live nativity scene.
> >
> >Dougles Adair, 44, allegedly broke into a shed that housed the animals
> >used in the holiday scene at the Bartles&James Funeral Home on
> >Tennessee Avenue about 5:50 a.m. Saturday, Toledo Police Sgt. Brent
> >Weester said.
> >
> >Police, who were responding to a suspicious person call, say they found
> >the suspect at the nativity scene, Weester said.
> >
> >Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
> >cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
> >$2,500 bond.
> >
> >Weester said police are consulting with the prosecutor's office and
> >bestiality charges could be issued against Adair.
> >
> >------- cut ---------
> >
> >(no word on how this affects the AKC as of yet....)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Sounds like something a No-Coder ham would do.

4543. Re: Help! Dwight D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 14:57:03 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <37IM9.25520$3t6.20836@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147029

Hello ,
what is simply going on is that the transmitter is not tuned well and needs
to be corrected and balanced off
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"1bigheart" <1bigheart@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:eT#KwA2pCHA.1828@cpimsnntpa03...
> The other night I noticed that this transceiver is not putting out full
> power on channels 1-19....
> e.g. ch. 1 - 1/4 watt ch. 5 - 1/2 watt, etc.....
>
> When I go above ch. 20, it IS putting out full 4 watts.... any ideas???
>
> Why would the lower 20 channels not be transmitting full power when the
high
> 20 are?????
>
> Thanks for any input
>
>

4544. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 15:04:24 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <YdIM9.25535$3t6.8642@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147030

Hello Terry,
there is a part inside your unit that has died its the am regulator its
located next to the audio chip however the last grants xl's had them mounted
on the back of the radio to get more heatsink to cool it didn't work and
that is whats wrong ok .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:YW7M9.32753$VA5.3899899@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I am having trouble with this radio and need help or suggestions on
> repairing it. When I first key it up when i get in the truck in the
morning
> it works for about a minute ,but then it loses all the power on A.M. but
it
> works fine on the side bands. Could someone tell me what is wrong or what
to
> replace to fix this problem.
> THANKS IN ADVANCED FOR THE HELP,
> s.c.m. 124 OHIO
>
>
>

4545. Is Tim Nebo Frosty the Snowman?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 15:07:39 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212190707.5ffc4d72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147031

Dweebo the keyclown is a gaping anal hole
With a jizz soaked face and dried cum lips
And a lust for men's hard pole

Dweebo the keyclown is a fairy boy they say
He loves to blow and the children know
To stay the hell away

There must have been some cum stains
On the pink panties he found
Cuz when he placed them on his head
He began to dance around

Dweebo the keyclown is as queer as he can be
And Landshark says he sucks and licks
And just loves that gay pee pee

Dweebo faggot as he can be
Watch him visit truckstops
Goes in the john to the glory hole
And there his lips he plops

Dweebo the keyclown knew the sun was hot that day
So he found a spot in a parking lot
And sucked black dick all day

Down to the porn store
With a broom stick in his hand
He walked raight in to the viewing booths
And begged for gayboys' gland

He led the fags to the downtown bars
And right in to the back
He said let's play the gayboy way
Please let me lick your anal crack

Dweebo the keyclown then hurried on his way
He waved goodbye saying gotta go get high
And get crammed in my can

Suckety Suck Suck
Suckety Suck Suck
Look at Dweebo slurp

Suckety Suck Suck
Suckety Suck Suck
Without a single burp

4546. Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 15:09:06 UTC
From: chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7684f123.0212190709.41c7a2e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147032

Dweebo the keyclown is a gaping anal hole
With a jizz soaked face and dried cum lips
And a lust for men's hard pole

Dweebo the keyclown is a fairy boy they say
He loves to blow and the children know
To stay the hell away

There must have been some cum stains
On the pink panties he found
Cuz when he placed them on his head
He began to dance around

Dweebo the keyclown is as queer as he can be
And Landshark says he sucks and licks
And just loves that gay pee pee

Dweebo the keyclown faggot as he can be
Watch him visit truckstops
Goes in the john to the glory hole
And there his lips he plops

Dweebo the keyclown knew the sun was hot that day
So he found a spot in a parking lot
And sucked black dick all day

Down to the porn store
With a broom stick in his hand
He walked raight in to the viewing booths
And begged for gayboys' gland

He led the fags to the downtown bars
And right in to the back
He said let's play the gayboy way
Please let me lick your anal crack

Dweebo the keyclown then hurried on his way
He waved goodbye saying gotta go get high
And get crammed in my can

Suckety Suck Suck
Suckety Suck Suck
Look at Dweebo slurp

Suckety Suck Suck
Suckety Suck Suck
Without a single burp

4547. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 15:33:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219103317.14111.00000220@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 147033

>Only faggots and sailors are named brucie.
>

I got your sailor hanging between my legs

4548. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:31:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21047-3E01F479-197@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147038

From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center)
>Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? >Come on, take that weak ass shit down the >road and try harder.
>Why dont you call me some really nasty shit?

Because you have already dragged down all those that you can, chickenhawk. You did a nice job on Kc8LDO, N3CVJ, WA3MOJ and Franky Gilliland. No one else will stoop to that level,,..,we just point at you and watch as your Tourettes takes over and your self-control is no longer voluntary.

4549. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:36:21 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <0u6cnXX3OM0baJyjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 147034

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00AA76-36@storefull-
>
Please show the specs and cite the rule for the umpteenth time.

>A ham radio will not have any stickers on it >alluding to CB use.

Just like a Galaxy.

>Your making thoings up again bedcause you >have no clue.

You're getting a valuable education where you are clueless.

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he
can then use it.

Really? Where did that come from?

>FCC

Show me.

§97.307 Emission standards.
(a) No amateur station transmission shall occupy more bandwidth than necessary for the information rate and emission type being transmitted, in accordance with good amateur practice.

(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must be confined to the band or segment available to the control operator. Emissions outside the necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter or keyclick interference to operations on adjacent frequencies.

(c) All spurious emissions from a station transmitter must be reduced to the greatest extent practicable. If any spurious emission, including chassis or power line radiation, causes harmful interference to the reception of another radio station, the licensee of the interfering amateur station is required to take steps to eliminate the interference, in accordance with good engineering practice.

(d) The mean power of any spurious emission from a station transmitter or external RF power amplifier transmitting on a frequency below 30 MHz must not exceed 50 mW and must be at least 40 dB below the mean power of the fundamental emission. For a transmitter of mean power less than 5 W, the attenuation must be at least 30 dB. A transmitter built before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before January 1, 1978, is exempt from this requirement.

4550. ANY INFO ON 55 ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:37:19 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219113719.23583.00000116@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 147036

ANY BODY HAVE A NEW WEB ADDRESS OR E-MAIL FOR TERRY AND THE 55 ANTENNA?
PLEASE E-MAIL ME
THANKS

4551. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:37:32 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <QEadnU3gn-lQaJyjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147035

Sri Lanka?
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_4fM9.16561$Nz5.447733@twister.austin.rr.com...
Umm, George, who is the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)? It appears this is not a US agency. What country does this apply to, because it is not the US?

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com...
Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT's inspectors shall carry proper identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT's notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT's certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer's certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant's application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for

its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer's certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants' purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment

Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance

with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4552. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:42:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21050-3E01F6EF-6@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147040

Hahaha..poor Georgeyboy..in addition to being ID'd on the freeband he's gonna try and pass off some forighn bullshit as proof as type acceptance and specrtal purity? MINISTRY of TElecommunications? AHHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHHAHHAHHAHAHAHHAHHHA! Plenty of forms and no adddy to mail it to..hey Georgey..what happened to your email addy? Lose something?
With all that info, N3CVJ ought to be able to at least manage to share with us the frequency response of the Texas Star after he hacked at it.....but I kow how everyone is interested in cb enforcement, so I'll keep reminding him he needs to enlighten the group on this cber he claims got busted for disorderly conduct Taking it in context with the rest of his sicko and wild azzed claims,,,,,,he seems to have you spinning your wheels. Y'all are being watched. Play nice. Click click.

4553. Re: Help! Dwight D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:44:47 UTC
From: 1bigheart <1bigheart@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#$FDJ33pCHA.1152@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 147037

Hi Tom,
Thank you for replying... however, it does not explain WHY this happened...
The set WAS working well....

Thanks again!

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:37lM9.25520$3t6.20836@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> Hello ,
> what is simply going on is that the transmitter is not tuned well and
needs
> to be corrected and balanced off
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "1bigheart" <1bigheart@email.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:eT#KwA2pCHA.1828@cpimsnntpa03...
> > The other night I noticed that this transceiver is not putting out full
> > power on channels 1-19....
> > e.g. ch. 1 - 1/4 watt ch. 5 - 1/2 watt, etc.....
> >
> > When I go above ch. 20, it IS putting out full 4 watts.... any ideas???
> >
> > Why would the lower 20 channels not be transmitting full power when the
> high
> > 20 are?????
> >
> > Thanks for any input
> >
> >
>
>

4554. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:47:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21047-3E01F837-205@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147041

I called you AND N3CVJ liars..and it still holds. According to what you posted, he is in violation of several laws just as some of his posts claim. Read it a few times, think about it, and see if you can tell me why. Hint: Texas Star, Davemade, Ranger..just to start. Now which of these items couldn't be brought into "spectral acceptance" according to the FCC? 10 bonus points if you can match the item and tell why in the same post. C'mon, junior,,,let that knowledge you boast about shine.....

4555. Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:49:10 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212190849.1530471c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147039

Dear Keyclowns:
I wanted to let you know how pleased I am with your sissy selves giving me so much amusement over the last several years. Hopefully you will continue to be the same yellow bellied feminine mewlers in 2003 that you have been in the past. I am looking forward to seeing you whine and cry in the future. Nebo Dweebo and his wife twithed have especially amused me. Twithed's vacation journal was a great account of what it is like to be a keyclown. Dweebo's drug and alcohol soaked posts of his stupid tattoo pic and all his whigger fantasies never fail to raise a laugh. A few of you have also made a chuckle or two, but these 2 are the emmy award winners of idiocy. Together they do not have the collective brains of chukkee-sukkee. If they would just turn hetero and give up illegal CB. Twithed speaks for himself, and damned poorly at that. Dweebo, as we all know, is a druggie, a high school dropout, was tossed out of his parent's home, and glorifies the abuse of women through pimping. A sad and stupid mentality, and one that exemplifies the BETTER people on here.

You are all scumbags, druggies, criminals, neglecters of your kids' needs, hide behind the keyboard crybabies, threateners who can't back up a damned thing you threaten and full blown wackaloons. Truly, keyqueens are the unnamed 5th group in George Carlin's discussion of "Four Groups Who Gotta Go".

Some time ago the admitted crack user and disgrace to ham radio named Randy Chapwoman had this to say about the Anti-Keyclowns:

"Well, what has been done so far has been working. If they wish to press the
issue, they can feel free to do so.....but I really don't think they have
the nards to do it. I can, and will follow up with what I have told them I
will do. One way or another, they will not be allowed to continue."

Well, lightloafered little CB dorks, it has not happened. We will smash your criminal auctions, we will never leave the NG. You cannot do a single thing about it and we know how that lights up your feminine mewling, don't we keyqueens?

The might of the AntiBoys continues to defeat your effeminate and socially inadequate selves.

Happy Holidays,

N8WWM
PS More auction ratouts posted PROUDLY soon.

4556. Re: who talks on 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 16:55:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21048-3E01F9FF-54@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147043

From: ranus@hotmialcommie (Maul Popper)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00B106-43@storefull-

Tim, I somehow don't doubt it..of course, my sideband setup is way
different than my AM play......and that other bad dude by you,,he still
pretends he don't hear me <g>!

>Why is that twisty<

Why is *what*?

> you run a amp on am?<

Hehe...sounding that loud up there, eh?
Nahh..I'm no power junky...if mother nature didn't come down here and
hike her skirt up over her head on a regular basis so we can all have
our way with her on the 'pile, then I might have had to look into
it...but out on all that water....I know exactly what I sound like.
Wasn't too long ago someone taped me and played it back several hundred
times.......made me decide right there and then I'll never part with
that Malaysian board.

4557. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 17:06:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21047-3E01FCA9-211@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147042

From: ranus@hotmialcommie (Maul Popper)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00AA76-36@storefull-
Please show the specs and cite the rule for the umpteenth time.

>A ham radio will not have any stickers on it >alluding to CB use.

Just like a Galaxy.

>Your making thoings up again bedcause you >have no clue.

No really....a Galaxy is not a cb.
You're getting a valuable education where you are clueless.

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he
>can then use it.
Really? Where did that come from?

>FCC

Show me.

>§97.307 Emission standards.
>(a) No amateur station transmission shall >occupy more bandwidth than
necessary for >the information rate and emission type being
>transmitted, in accordance with good amateur >practice.
>(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must >be confined to the band
or segment available >to the control operator. Emissions outside the
>necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter >or keyclick interference
to operations on >adjacent frequencies.
>(c) All spurious emissions from a station >transmitter must be reduced
to the greatest >extent practicable. If any spurious emission,
>including chassis or power line radiation, >causes harmful interference
to the reception of >another radio station, the licensee of the
>interfering amateur station is required to take >steps to eliminate the
interference, in >accordance with good engineering practice.
>(d) The mean power of any spurious emission >from a station transmitter
or external RF >power amplifier transmitting on a frequency >below 30
MHz must not exceed 50 mW and >must be at least 40 dB below the mean
power >of the fundamental emission. For a transmitter >of mean power
less than 5 W, the attenuation >must be at least 30 dB. A transmitter
built >before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before >January 1, 1978,
is exempt from this >requirement.

I read it twice and still fail to see where it addresses linears except
in (d). Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing
of the sort concerning bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be

"aligned". It mentions nothing at all..your communication deficit is showing again. Just to make certain, I read it a third time and still failed to see where it confirms N3CVJ's lie about a cber getting arrested, or how it sheds light on his not having a clue concerning the "Phelps" he lied about, or about how all his linears can't go above 80 watts or so..like I said..he musta had his fingers in them and butchered the hell out of them, sort of like you helped do to his reputation.

4558. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 17:10:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21047-3E01FD82-212@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147047

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
>worked 108 div long wave which was a >pleasure.....

And that's the kind of stuff that makes it all worth it.

4559. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 17:15:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v03vm0echpqu0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147044

You have good answers already to your query; engineers would get fired if they used components readily capable of much more than they run at (cost overrun). Stock radios (non-export) aren't capable of modification without problems (at least not enough to be meaningful). You'll likely need at least 20 watts to be noticeably stronger (that would be 6 dB over 5 watts - a 4X power increase) and stock radios aren't going to do this.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
> power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
> crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
> someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
> the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
> Please e-mail me directly if possible.
>

4560. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 17:17:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v03vq9m2aric40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147045

And you think Twist is confused?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com...
Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT's inspectors shall carry proper identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT's notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT's certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer's certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant's application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for

its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer's certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants' purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment

Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance

with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4561. FS: Some neat stuff for Builders & collectors w/pics>> Happy Holidays!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 17:26:55 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219122655.15165.00000309@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 147046

Hi Guys;
I have for Sale:
1)- 4 Ceramic couplings.
Price is $15.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/4couplings.jpg
2)- US Army Audio filters NEW, Marked FL-5C
Price is $13.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/armyfilter1.jpg
http://www.myradioroom.com/armyfilter2.jpg
http://www.myradioroom.com/armyfilter3.jpg
3) Johnson LP filter for 72 ohm w/box.
Price is $20.00PPD>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/johnsonlp.jpg
4)- Yaesu 2-meter converter board for FR-101 SW receiver, these are super hard to find.
Price is $50.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/fr101-2mtr.jpg
5- Bogen Desk mike, by astatic & looks like Drake model but better, has switchable impedence, excellent!
Price is $50.00 ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/bogen1.jpg
http://www.myradioroom.com/bogen2.jpg
6)- Hallicrafters HA-26 VFO for 6 & 2mtrs for thier AM rigs, in original box w/papers, like new & hard to find.
Price is $60.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/hallivfo1.jpg
http://www.myradioroom.com/hallivfo2.jpg
http://www.myradioroom.com/hallivfo3.jpg
7)- Three Hi-Quality VU meters, all Brand new, 2 are simpsons.
Price is $50.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/3vumeters.jpg
8)- Johnson Ranger II reliminary assembly instructions, new in original mailer,
Price is $20.00ppd>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/rangermanual.jpg
Thanks & 73,
Rich WA2RQY(1961)
WEB-PAGE http://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

4562. Re: Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 18:03:12 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021219130312.16051.00000100@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 147048

Go back to your square state!
Train

4563. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 18:11:03 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8501-3E020BB7-194@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147050

maulpooper's gone off the deep end....Next time only take 1/2
pill.LOL....Mark

4564. Re: Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 18:17:56 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8501-3E020D54-195@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147049

YAWN!....Mark

4565. Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 19:36:25 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 147051

Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65 superdiode

Thanks for any help

regards Adrian

4566. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 19:42:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021219144207.13990.00000167@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 147052

i sure miss hearing our power house from the 108 Kp311.

>worked 108 div long wave which was a pleasure.....
>

4567. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 19:43:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021219144314.13990.00000168@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 147053

because homo thoughts dont run thru my mind nonstop like they do yours

>Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? Come on, take that weak ass
>shit down the road and try harder.
>Why dont you call me some really nasty shit?

4568. FS: CB Phone type MIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 19:56:26 UTC
From: Greg <glapierre@REMOVEbrooke-ocean.com>
Message-ID: <3e021fde@post.newsfeed.com>
Source record: 147054

*** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***

I have one asking $10.00 US plus shipping.

-----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== 100,000 Groups! - 19 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----

4569. FS: RCA goose-neck stlye BASE Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 19:58:10 UTC
From: Greg <glapierre@REMOVEbrooke-ocean.com>
Message-ID: <3e022047@post.newsfeed.com>
Source record: 147055

*** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***

Has 4 pin connector. $35.00 US plus shipping.

glapierre@brooke-ocean.com.com
(remove second .com)

Homer

-----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== 100,000 Groups! - 19 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----

4570. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 20:07:24 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MDpM9.29822$NV.580751@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Boy!
What you cryin' 'bout now?
Yo "good-buddy" will gives YOU somethin's ta cry about!

You oughtta know better than to get involved with those who are in the CB
business.
That ain't spam.
Lou has been doin' this fer years.
He puts out a catalog with kits and hardware for CBs.

You better watch yo self or
You might gits yo self a visit one night.

"Sleep with one eye open, touchin' yo self all night!
Exit light....Enter Night;
Fill your hands- off in the "Good-buddy Land"

So, quits yo cryin' and mosy yo 'tarded ass on out to da woodshed and your
"good-buddy" will make you feel better again.
Heh-heh-heh-heh-heh-heh!

Cryin' over a CB crystal Mixing Chart.
What in dee hale is da world cummin' too?

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >

> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details
> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **********
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> >
>
>

4571. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 20:12:19 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E022848.606@optonline.net>
Source record: 147057

agreed but i had no choice as the yaesu rotor bearings are frozen and
the jogunn is pointed right at ya. the carib and brazil boom.....

Twistedhed wrote:

>From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
>
>
>>worked 108 div long wave which was a >pleasure.....
>>
>>
>
>
>
>And that's the kind of stuff that makes it all worth it.
>
>
>

4572. Re: Is Tim Nebo Frosty the Snowman?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 20:21:56 UTC
From: ***** <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qRpM9.29828$NV.580837@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147058

What is your phone-number, big-boy?
I'd shore like ta hear ya talk like that in my ears.
Makes me horny as hell.

Where ya live, sugar britches?
So, I can CUM and see you sometime.

Let's go in the showers a truckstop one night.
I'd shore like to make you moan.
I love to watch the soap run down the crack of my partner's ass.

You write some good poetry fo' a "good-buddy".
Most of them mens I meet don't do shit.

I'll gives yo ma phone number so we can get together.

"The truth about ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:7684f123.0212190707.5ffc4d72@posting.google.com...
> Dweebo the keyclown is a gaping anal hole
> With a jizz soaked face and dried cum lips
> And a lust for men's hard pole
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is a fairy boy they say
> He loves to blow and the children know
> To stay the hell away
>
> There must have been some cum stains
> On the pink panties he found
> Cuz when he placed them on his head
> He began to dance around
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is as queer as he can be
> And Landshark says he sucks and licks
> And just loves that gay pee pee
>
> Dweebo faggot as he can be
> Watch him visit truckstops
> Goes in the john to the glory hole
> And there his lips he plops
>
> Dweebo the keyclown knew the sun was hot that day
> So he found a spot in a parking lot
> And sucked black dick all day
>
> Down to the porn store
> With a broom stick in his hand

> He walked raight in to the viewing booths
> And begged for gayboys' gland
>
> He led the fags to the downtown bars
> And right in to the back
> He said let's play the gayboy way
> Please let me lick your anal crack
>
> Dweebo the keyclown then hurried on his way
> He waved goodbye saying gotta go get high
> And get crammed in my can
>
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Look at Dweebo slurp
>
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Without a single burp

4573. Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 20:31:39 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191231.43b05189@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

DECKER II, WILLIAM R, KB2RMI (Technician)
3401 TELEPHONE RD
CALEDONIA, NY 14423

That is who.

"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<PobL9.56850$HA2.16214952@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required to
> put it in my posts, oaf, nor do I think it's any of your business what it
> is. Back under your bridge, foul creature!
>
>
> pj wrote in message ...
> >No Ham call, must be a CBer
> >
> >
> >
> >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
> digital
> >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
> >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
> >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
> >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
> >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
> outer
> >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
> >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
> reports
> >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
> >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
> this
> >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
> >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
> >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
> >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
> >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
> >>please, thanks for looking.
> >>
> >

4574. Re: ANY INFO ON 55 ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 21:15:49 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219161549.13336.00000097@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 147060

check your mail ripsy

4575. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 21:19:52 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219161952.13336.00000098@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 147061

How could they charge him with cruelty to animals when the "weapon" was probably just a tiny little thing?

Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
>>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
>>$2,500 bond.

4576. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 21:28:27 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <hde40v09ucfjlm030hboiuntrhef86j0g1@4ax.com>
Source record: 147062

On 19 Dec 2002 21:19:52 GMT, z26915@aol.com (Z26915) wrote:

>How could they charge him with cruelty to animals when the "weapon" was
>probably just a tiny little thing?

Disappointment is a form of cruelty.

>>>Adair was charged with trespassing, destruction of property and
>>>cruelty to animals. He was being held at South Central Regional Jail on
>>>$2,500 bond.

4577. Ham gear for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 21:33:43 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E023B05.6090700@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147063

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100
watts HF + 6 Meters.
It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get
the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners
manual . I also Have the 3 KW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in
good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000.. I have been
using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working
Viking Valant and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM
Han station
with at least 200 watts out. I hope to find something to work with my
Audio rack.
I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop,(It needs a new Plate amp meter)
two 3-500s 100 in will give you 1250 out, New Caps, that I will trade
for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter. this is a
pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4578. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 21:47:28 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <UoWcncdNO73yo5-jXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 147064

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21047-3E01FCA9-211@storefull-

>No really....a Galaxy is not a cb.

I never said it was a lie on your part, and it is not a type certified radio
for use by hams, it can't be used by a ham legally in it's current form.

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he
>can then use it.
Really? Where did that come from?

>FCC

Show me.
****

Just did

>97.307 Emission standards.
>(a) No amateur station transmission shall >occupy more bandwidth than
necessary for >the information rate and emission type being
>transmitted, in accordance with good amateur >practice.
>(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must >be confined to the band
or segment available >to the control operator. Emissions outside the
>necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter >or keyclick interference
to operations on >adjacent frequencies.
>(c) All spurious emissions from a station >transmitter must be reduced
to the greatest >extent practicable. If any spurious emission,
>including chassis or power line radiation, >causes harmful interference
to the reception of >another radio station, the licensee of the
>interfering amateur station is required to take >steps to eliminate the
interference, in >accordance with good engineering practice.
>(d) The mean power of any spurious emission >from a station transmitter
or external RF >power amplifier transmitting on a frequency >below 30
MHz must not exceed 50 mW and >must be at least 40 dB below the mean
power >of the fundamental emission. For a transmitter >of mean power
less than 5 W, the attenuation >must be at least 30 dB. A transmitter
built >before April 15, 1977, or first marketed before >January 1, 1978,
is exempt from this >requirement.

I read it twice and still fail to see where it addresses linears except in
(d).
****
This is the info you asked for FCC requirements for Radios the
specifications that don't allow a Galaxy from being used.

I never said it was about a AMP there are different sets of rules for radios and amps .
STOP TRYING TO CHANGE THE TOPIC. This was IN regard to RADIOS/TRANSMITTERS

> Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing of the sort concerning
>bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be "aligned".

No one said you have to take a linear anywhere to get "aligned" the above is about non- certified radios like the GALAXY...A Ham can align it himself if he has the know how. It just has to meet the requirements I have never said they have to take the radio or amp anywhere you are putting words in my mouth again. BTW STOP CHANGING THE TOPIC .GET DAVE HALL OF YOUR MIND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> It mentions nothing at all..
It sure does it mentione the FCC requirments for spectral purity etc regarding the use of a transmitter. Thats where the Galaxy radio fails to meet

>your communication deficit is showing again.

your comprehension skills are lacking again.

>Just to make certain, I read it a third time and still failed to see where it confirms N3CVJ's

That is pretty pathetic, you ask for me to post info from the FCC, regarding why a Galaxy radio cannot be used, you said there are no minimum specral requiremnts. I post the evidance, you change the subject to dave Hall and his phelps. Now you see why I nor anyone else wants to watse thier time providing you with the correct info, you will only change the subject.

4579. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:06:12 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <needncQDpNVO35-jXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147065

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21050-3E01F6EF-6@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> Hahaha..poor Georgeyboy..in addition to being ID'd on the freeband

Id on the freeband? who was you?

>hey Georgey..what happened to your email addy? Lose something?

what happened to what email addy? I havent lost anything twitsy. Thanks for
asking though

> With all that info, N3CVJ ought to be able to at least manage to share
> with us the frequency response of the Texas Star after he hacked at
> it.........but I kow how everyone is interested in cb enforcement, so
> I'll keep reminding him he needs to enlighten the group on this cber he
> claims got busted for disorderly conduct Taking it in context with the
> rest of his sicko and wild azzed claims,,,,,,he seems to have you
> spinning your wheels. Y'all are being watched. Play nice. Click click.
>

4580. Twithed flaps his weener holders some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:13:50 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191413.6b564b18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147066

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<21047-3E01F479-197@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center)
> >Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? >Come on, take that weak ass
> shit down the >road and try harder.
> >Why dont you call me some really nasty shit?
>
>
> Because you have already dragged down all those that you can,
> chickenhawk. You did a nice job on Kc8LDO, N3CVJ, WA3MOJ and Franky
> Gilliland. No one else will stoop to that level,,..,we just point at you
> and watch as your Tourettes takes over and your self-control is no
> longer voluntary.
I drug people down? Lowlife scumbags like you wouldn't know anything
about such things. Again as usual you talk out yer ass and try to lead
us to believe that you are a sentient being.

What are you talkin about homo boy? I am havin a blast. You, on the
other hand, have been mercilessly pounded into a submissive little
weener.

I can't help but laugh at your faggy vacation journal. Are you going
somewhere for Christmas? Make sure to let us know if you do. I wanna
hear more about FUGGLY KID. He is FUNNY.

4581. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:15:37 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191415.521c30a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147067

timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo) wrote in message
news:<20021219144314.13990.00000168@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> because homo thoughts dont run thru my mind nonstop like they do yours
>
> >Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? Come on, take that weak ass
> >shit down the road and try harder.
> >Why dont you call me some really nasty shit?

Maybe not, just bestiality thoughts, huh lowlife?

4582. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:17:06 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191417.35ab9911@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147068

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021219103317.14111.00000220@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >Only faggots and sailors are named brucie.
> >
>
> I got your sailor hanging between my legs

Don't lie like that. You know twithed bit it off. That's why you wear depends.

Only faggots and sailors are called brucie.

4583. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:18:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219171857.07080.00000437@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 147070

>Only faggots and sailors are called brucie.
>

Your Mama doesnt call me Brucie

4584. Re: Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:18:58 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191418.529c28b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147069

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20021219130312.16051.00000100@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> Go back to your square state!
> Train

Trainpuppet,

Carlin said RECTANGULAR.

Man, the real slime is oozing now....hehehehehe.

4585. hey trainpuppet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:23:48 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191423.3dbb928c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147071

Are you still doing fairy things with truckdrivers to supplemen your
moms income as a lot lizard?

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20021219130312.16051.00000100@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> Go back to your square state!
> Train

4586. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 22:49:56 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191449.455eb06e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147072

Woo Hooo Dweebo!

Somebody got yer number bigtime.

Now THAT is funny.

chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message news:<7684f123.0212190709.41c7a2e5@posting.google.com>...
> Dweebo the keyclown is a gaping anal hole
> With a jizz soaked face and dried cum lips
> And a lust for men's hard pole
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is a fairy boy they say
> He loves to blow and the children know
> To stay the hell away
>
> There must have been some cum stains
> On the pink panties he found
> Cuz when he placed them on his head
> He began to dance around
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is as queer as he can be
> And Landshark says he sucks and licks
> And just loves that gay pee pee
>
> Dweebo the keyclown faggot as he can be
> Watch him visit truckstops
> Goes in the john to the glory hole
> And there his lips he plops
>
> Dweebo the keyclown knew the sun was hot that day
> So he found a spot in a parking lot
> And sucked black dick all day
>
> Down to the porn store
> With a broom stick in his hand
> He walked raight in to the viewing booths
> And begged for gayboys' gland
>
> He led the fags to the downtown bars
> And right in to the back
> He said let's play the gayboy way
> Please let me lick your anal crack
>
> Dweebo the keyclown then hurried on his way

> He waved goodbye saying gotta go get high
> And get crammed in my can
>
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Look at Dweebo slurp
>
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Without a single burp

4587. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:01:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <651-3E024FD1-4@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147075

From: ranus@hotmialcommie (Maul Popper)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21047-3E01FCA9-211@storefull-
No really....a Galaxy is not a cb.

>I never said it was a lie on your part, and it is >not a type certified
radio for use by hams, it >can't be used by a ham legally in it's
current >form.

Sure it can be used legally by a ham in it's present form. You still
have failed to show anything that claims it cannot be used by an
authorized hammie. Smoke and mirrors..the "mistry of Telecommunications"
was a novel approach...are you that desperate to post something from a
foreign government and try to come across as if it applies somehow?
Really, George.....as I have always maintained..you are full of
intentional disinformation otherwise you would never have reduced
yourself to such a pitiful attempt.

>A ham has it aligned etc to meet FCC's min >spectral requirements he
can then use it.

Really? Where did that come from?

>FCC

Show me.
****
>Just did
>§97.307 Emission standards.
>(a) No amateur station transmission shall >occupy more bandwidth than
>necessary for the information rate and >emission type being
>transmitted, in accordance with good amateur >practice.

Information rate? We were talking of Dave Hall's claim that his amps
were legal for him to use. You failed to show the "spectral purity"
"scale" or rules or whatever you claim exists that allows him to operate
his Texas Star and Davemades
The Galaxy was an additional statement, one that you are still incorrect
about.

>(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must >be confined to the band
>or segment available to the control operator. >Emissions outside the
>necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter >or keyclick interference
>to operations on >adjacent frequencies.
>(c) All spurious emissions from a station >transmitter must be reduced
>to the greatest extent practicable. If any >spurious emission,
>including chassis or power line radiation, >causes harmful interference

>to the reception of another radio station, the >licensee of the
>interfering amateur station is required to take >steps to eliminate the
>interference, in accordance with good >engineering practice.
>(d) The mean power of any spurious emission >from a station transmitter
>or external RF power amplifier transmitting on >a frequency below 30
MHz must not exceed >50 mW and must be at least 40 dB below the >mean
power of the fundamental emission. For >a transmitter of mean power less
than 5 W, >the attenuation >must be at least 30 dB. A >transmitter built
before April 15, 1977, or first >marketed before January 1, 1978, is
exempt >from this requirement.

I read it twice and still fail to see where it addresses linears except
in (d).
****
>This is the info you asked for FCC >requirements for Radios

Again, it doesn't say anything at all about a Galaxy. Are you merely
implying that a Galaxy out of the box emits spurious emissions that
violate the "40db below mean power" you wrote?
YOU were the one that lumped them together. Show the info needed to
allow N3cvj'S claim to be accurate allowing him to use the amps,,,

>the specifications that don't allow a Galaxy >from being used. I never
said it was about a >AMP there are different sets of rules for radios
>and amps .

Sure you did,,you said that Dave is legally allowed to use the amps.

>STOP TRYING TO CHANGE THE TOPIC.

George, the topic was me bouncing Dave Hall off the wall concerning his
lies when you inserted yourself into this thread and messed him up
even more with our incoherent babbling about foreign radio policy.

>This was IN regard to >RADIOS/TRANSMITTERS

Acyually, it was about N3CVJ's lies when you inserted yourself and I
allowed you to fondloff topic for awhile. The issue was his lies, the
legal status of the Galaxy and N3CVj's use of a Texas Star and Davemade
and his claim that he is legally able to use them. This contrasts wildly
with his tales of freebanders and freebanding when seen alongside his
posts calling others a criminal for the same.....

--

Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing of the
sort concerning
bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be "aligned".

>No one said you have to take a linear >anywhere to get "aligned" the
above is about >non- certified radios like the GALAXY...

This is where you trip all the time. Hammie radios need not be
certified.

>A Ham can align it himself if he has the know >how. It just has to meet the requirements

Which are what, concerning this "spectral purity" you keep as a hymn.

>I have never said they have to take the radio >or amp anywhere you are putting words in my >mouth again. BTW STOP CHANGING THE >TOPIC .GET DAVE HALL OF YOUR
>MIND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dave Hall IS the topic, despite you demanding otherwise and balling up your fists and holding your breath ....YOU changed the topic when I permitted. His lies were the topic and he was getting called on each one when you tried to play Captain Amerika and ended up looking like the cosmic ooze.

--
It mentions nothing at all..
>It sure does it mentione the FCC requirments >for spectral purity etc regarding the use of a >transmitter. Thats where the Galaxy radio fails >to meet

Says who? Surely your knowledge has been foundered several times by men much better than myself, but I still consider it an honor the way I play Pied Piper to the rats.

-
your communication deficit is showing again.
your comprehension skills are lacking again.
Just to make certain, I read it a third time and still failed to see where
it confirms N3CVJ's

>That is pretty pathetic, you ask for me to post >info from the FCC, regarding why a Galaxy >radio cannot be used, you said there are no >minimum specral requiremnts. I post the >evidance, you change the subject to dave Hall >and his phelps.

ODave never had a Phelps, just another lie shown,,and he WAS the topic, George. YOU ran to his helpess defense and effectiely destroyed any credibility coming from yourself by posting that "ministry" junk. With such disinformation, everything you post is suspect.
Still waiting for an answer on the amps....

>Now you see why I nor anyone else wants to >watse thier time providing you with the correct >info, you will only change the subject.

The only thing "everyone knows", is that you don't know a quarter of what you claim.

4588. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:03:14 UTC
From: Maul Popper <ranus@hotmialcommie>
Message-ID: <9_2dnVKEZ8WszZ-jXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147073

"The truth about ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in
message

Sung to Rudolph the Red Nosed reindeer

Dweebo the keyclown fagboy
Has a very jizz soaked face
And if you ever saw him
You would have to say he blows

All of the Anti Keyclowns
Used to laugh and call dweebo names
They wouldn't let poor twisty
Forget he used to forge Lelands name

Then one foggy SF eve Landshark came to say
Dweebo with your tip so red
Won't you let me blow you again tonight

Then how the NG regulars
Shouted out about the fairy
Dweebo and Landshark butt hole buddies
You'll go down in History

4589. (Please Help) My X-Force Amp Is A HD60012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:07:16 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EisM9.33900$VA5.4206558@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147074

I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND
IT MANUALLY BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME.
doorsfanx@adelphia.net

4590. Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:29:07 UTC
From: dougadairbuster@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147076

Just in case anyone forgot:

sadie106@juno.com

fiftymegs@juno.com

Please feel free to subscribe him to any filth you might wish. He loves it all.

4591. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:33:58 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <8pl40vso9i6dfgfhk2pbc85fquavp4609n@4ax.com>
Source record: 147077

On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 00:10:01 -0500, "Maul Popper"
<ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote:

<snip>
While I appreciate your information it is very hard to follow the way
you are posting, please turn on your word-wrap.
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

4592. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-19 23:51:31 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <gpm40vomkbmn01ruspe493rlh7k3ca05sp@4ax.com>
Source record: 147078

On 19 Dec 2002 23:37:58 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 00:10:01 -0500, "Maul Popper"
>> <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> While I appreciate your information it is very hard to follow the way
>> you are posting, please turn on your word-wrap.
>>
>Where's the word wrap Police!? <g>
>
>Merry Christmas.

heheh sorry but it drives me nuts when I have to scroll sideways and
down at the same time to read a post especially when they have a line
that when wrapped whould take 5-6 lines and next few are short and
then more long ones, I get lost lol.

Happy Holidays

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

4593. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 00:12:58 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cgtM9.400117$P31.145686@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

You have a point Brian. The question at hand is a matter of personal preference, and we just happen to have different preferences. I think that if you asked him nicely not to post ads then he should cease. Again, that's just my opinion.

You have a Merry Christmas, or any other holiday/event you may be celebrating this season. I'm enjoying the inches of rain we've received as of late (numbers are rising, it's been pissing rain all day) and can't wait for a little time off to relax and work on the house.

Dave

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4bRL9.1839$p_6.159759@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Thanks for the compliment...
>
> As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and he
> has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts nothing
> but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine and I
> certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily can be
> called nothing but spam...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:BHOL9.9516$qF3.374@sccrnsc04...
> > I have to agree with Twistedhed. Lou has not been flooding
> > the new group with this. The information is useless to me

> > but is on topic. As long as he keeps the re-posting time
> > reasonable I'd say let him post it and don't give him
any
> > hassle. Guess we just have different definitions of
SPAM,
> > and different levels of tolerance. oh well. As a side
note,
> > it looks like your Skywave amp is well designed.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> > message
> >
news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.
> > net...
> > > Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > > > We've now added all our crystal charts for free
> > download/printing
> > > > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95%
of
> > all American
> > > > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which
> > crystal(s) affects
> > > > which mode or channel. Very useful for
troubleshooting
> > "dead
> > > > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or
other
> > freqs.
> > > >
> > > > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> > > >
> > > > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and
> > high-performance CB
> > > > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all
those
> > "goodies" you
> > > > just can't find anywhere else!
> > > >

> > > > www.cbcintl.com
> > > >
> > > > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or
just
> > the Order Form
> > > > from the home page. We've also got a new Email
Order
> > Form (for
> > > > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> > > >
> > > > *
* *
> > * * * * * * *
> > > > In case you didn't already know...
> > > >
> > > > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading
supplier
> > of books,
> > > > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself
> > repairs and
> > > > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers,
FM
> > converters,
> > > > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc.
Secrets
> > of how to
> > > > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> > > >
> > > > For product questions or additional details
> > > > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> > > >
> > > > info@cbcintl.com
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Lou Franklin--President
> > > > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > > > **********
> > > > CBC International
> > > > P.O. Box 30655
> > > > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> > > >
> > > > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > > > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > > > Local: (520) 298-7980
> > > >
> > > > www.cbcintl.com
> > > >
> > > > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> > > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4594. more radio gear for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 00:52:27 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E026999.8070804@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147080

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners manual. I also Have the 3 kW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working DX 100 and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Ham station with at least 100 watts out. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack.
I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop, (It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100 in will give you 1250 out, New Caps, that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter. this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
I also have a Hallicrafters S 38 C Shortwave receiver. Its in great shape. It works but I have no idea what its worth. It is a old old receiver. (Make Offer) I have a MFJ Versa Tuner II and a Roadtalker 40-channel sideband CB radio that somebody converted to 10 meters. It works quite well. I talked to a guy in South America on it. (Make Offer)
I also have a CBS 1000 transceiver. 25 MHz to 29.795 MHz. In 10 kHz steps. I got it at a hamfest in Georgia. I'll trade it for a SX 300 or NC 300 HQ 110 HQ 170 HQ 200 or similar HF receiver.
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4595. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:13:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219201305.10433.00000463@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 147081

>What's wrong,Bruce? Can't piss off enough people in the Policy group?

I thought I would start on another group now, there to Borrriiinnng over there

4596. Re: (Please Help) My X-Force Amp Is A HD60012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:17:55 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <vf2cnZQ5FMdf8p-jXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147082

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:EisM9.33900$VA5.4206558@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND
> IT MANUALLY BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME.
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net

They have a lifetime labor warranty don't they?

4597. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:20:09 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <4kWdnY8zQYbV7Z-jXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147083

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219181042.485$PB@newsreader.com...
> "Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote:
> > "The truth about ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message
> >
> > Sung to Rudolph the Red Nosed reindeer
> >
> > Dweebo the keyclown fagboy
> > Has a very jizz soaked face
> > And if you ever saw him
> > You would have to say he blows
> >
> (snip)
> > You'll go down in History
> >
> You said you liked Tim..

I do like Tim I was asked to post that to the group, thought it was funny.

4598. TRC 458 for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:21:32 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0212191721.4d08e394@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147084

I have a Realistic TRC 458 sideband forty channel CB rig for trade.
I'll trade it for a good Ham all mode receiver.
I have a Cherokee CBS 1000 sideband radio for trade. I'll trade it for
a good Ham all mode receiver.
I have a Road talker sideband CB radio that has been converted to ten
meters. I'll trade it for a good Ham all mode receiver.

Thanks for reading
73 Oris

4599. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:23:26 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <zAKdnY0_geCT7J-jXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147085

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219192541.603$8L@newsreader.com...
> Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> > On 19 Dec 2002 23:37:58 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > >Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> > >> On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 00:10:01 -0500, "Maul Popper"
> > >> <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> <snip>
> > >> While I appreciate your information it is very hard to follow the way
> > >> you are posting, please turn on your word-wrap.
> > >>
> > >Where's the word wrap Police!? <g>
> > >
> > >Merry Christmas.
> >
> > heheh sorry but it drives me nuts when I have to scroll sideways
> >
> Ask Leland how many times I bitched about the same thing. ;)
>
> Merry Christmas.

It is only when I reply to twistys post that you have that problem , come to
think of it perhaps Nad had the same problem too. yeah lets blame twisty

4600. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:41:37 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lzuM9.33980$VA5.4238496@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147086

ye rf parts carries those
"Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> superdiode
>
> Thanks for any help
>
> regards Adrian
>
>

4601. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:44:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212191744.26a79a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147087

"Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<Va5M9.38873$Fq3.1973691@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> Hey, thanks 4 the replys. It has been a year or 2 since we
> had seen this. There could have been a final componnet
> that the output coax from both amps ran into and one from there to antenna.
> Does that sound reasonable, maybe with a combiner?? He has 2 5-pill amps to
> hook
> together, but not before researching and maybe some trial
> time with smaller older equipment. He felt there would be
> a problem with equalizing output from both amps and joining these feeds,
> along with them wrestling each other
> or feeding back to 1 or both amps. Once again, all sugestions, info, tech,
> and replys are appreciated. If he were able to get on here it could probably
> be explained.
> better. Thanks,
> Butcher, 531
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E00D170.398D9140@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > John,
> > I am familiar with how they are made/designed. Are
> > you familiar with what the question was?
> > 'Doc

don , you don't happen to know a scuzbucket by the name of dwight
stewart do you?????

4602. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:56:28 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <DWSdnfs-CtdW5Z-jXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 147088

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:651-3E024FD1-4@storefull-

>Sure it can be used legally by a ham in it's present form. You still
>have failed to show anything that claims it cannot be used by an
>authorized hammie.

Type certification is all you need to ask about. Go do some homework.

> Smoke and mirrors..the "mistry of Telecommunications"
>was a novel approach...

The FCC uses the same criteria when they "type certify" radios for use in the US. Remember the FCC isn't the only ones who use the airwaves they all fall under the ITU. In fact the heading and title was exactly about what we talked about Amateur Radio Technical Guidelines.

>are you that desperate to post something from a foreign government and try to come across as if it applies somehow?

actually I had so many links and I did accidently include that one, but the point remains the FCC type certidfies radios the exact same way.

>Information rate? We were talking of Dave Hall's claim that his amps
>were legal for him to use.

NO we were not, you have been arguing with me about Galaxy radios and there use by hams for weeks, it is you who keeps attempting to bring DAVE HALLS NAME UP at every attempt. I hope you got him a nice christmas present, he sounds like he has you by the balls.

>You failed to show the "spectral purity" "scale" or rules or whatever you claim exists that allows him to operate
>his Texas Star and Davemades The Galaxy was an additional statement, one that you are still incorrect about.

No in fact it is the exact opposite, I have been telling you for weeks about the Galaxy, you keep attempting to bring DAVE HALL into the equation I told you before I don't want to talk about DAVE HALL> In fact did I not say I will not engage you if you bring his name up again. Well sorry must hold my ground when you care to debate the true facts anout Galaxy Radios and NOT DAVE HALL. Post without mentioning his name and we can continue.

>This is the info you asked for FCC >requirements for Radios

Again, it doesn't say anything at all about a Galaxy. Are you merely implying that a Galaxy out of the box emits spurious emissions that violate the "40db below mean power" you wrote?

It does not have to a galaxy isnt type certified. It doesnt mention any names of radio did you notice that?
I am implying it may violate the 40db below mean power, here is the crux of it all..... That is why radios are type certified to find out if they meet the FCC spec's for them to be offered for sale, the manufacturer send the FCC Lab the radio to be analyzed if it meets spec then all of that current model can be offered for sale. The point is the galaxy radio or none of the current export radios have done this that means they are not type certified, why do you think the FCC does not allow them to be sold? I mean really Twsity you are trying to argue something that should be common sense.

>YOU were the one that lumped them together. Show the info needed to allow N3cvj'S claim to be accurate allowing him to use the amps,,,

BBBBBZZZZZZZZZ there is the buzzword again DAVE HALL....

>STOP TRYING TO CHANGE THE TOPIC.

George, the topic was me bouncing Dave Hall off the wall concerning his lies when you inserted yourself into this thread and messed him up even more with our incoherent babbling about foreign radio policy.
****

Right there is an outright lie I started this thread , so it was you who inserted yourself. I also posted info right from FCC, in my haste to post info I did post some other amateur guidleines. Yet all follow the same guidelines whether you like it or not.

>This was IN regard to >RADIOS/TRANSMITTERS

>Acyually, it was about N3CVJ's lies when you inserted yourself and I >allowed you to fondloff topic for awhile.

Never was about DAVE HALL, I started this thread It is you who inserted your opinion whcih is allways DAVE HALL

> The issue was

Nothing that you have said the issue was me starting this thread and you attempting to "TWISTEDHED" it to your topic DAVE HALL

>legal status of the Galaxy and N3CVj's use of a Texas Star and Davemade

Funny you bring up the texas star, it is a cw transmitter, when modified it is allowed to be used by a ham, he may modify 1 non type certified amp per year, the texas star is a non type certified amp.

-

Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing of the sort concerning
bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be "aligned".

>No one said you have to take a linear >anywhere to get "aligned" the above is about >non- certified radios like the GALAXY...

>This is where you trip all the time. Hammie radios need not be certified.

Homebrew radios don't have to be type certified unless you plan on selling them, Manufactured radios do indeed have to be type certified to be used by a ham in the usa. A ham cannot use a amateur radio made for japanese hams they are not legal for use in the usa, they have different specs and freqs.

>A Ham can align it himself if he has the know >how. It just has to meet the requirements

Which are what, concerning this "spectral purity" you keep as a hymn.

You know what they are remember the paragraph from Part 97 about the 40 db down, oh you forgot I posted them, your only concern is trying to malign DAVE HAL:L

>I have never said they have to take the radio >or amp anywhere you are putting words in my >mouth again. BTW STOP CHANGING THE >TOPIC .GET DAVE HALL OF YOUR
>MIND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dave Hall IS the topic, despite you demanding otherwise and balling up your fists and holding your breath ....

I started the thread he was not the topic no where near it, so every time I see DAVE HALL come from your TV Screen, FUck you and take your dave hall blow up doll with you.

Just to make certain, I read it a third time and still failed to see where it confirms N3CVJ's

Go fuck yourself and take your dave hall blow up doll with you

>ODave never had a Phelps, just another lie shown,,and he WAS the topic, >George.

Go fuck yourself and take your dave hall blow up doll with you

>The only thing "everyone knows", is that you don't know a quarter of what you claim.

I will give you 3 100% guarantees, you are a asshole, You will mention dave Halls anme about 200 more times, You have not be able to prove me wrong, I have posted info from FCC page you turn it into a DAVE HALL athon, Twsity, JUST BECAUSE YOU SAY IT DOESN"T MAKE IT SO... You have not proven anything except you are in love with DAVE HALL...

4603. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 01:59:00 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021219205900.16998.00000180@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 147089

>From: "Brian Griffey" >briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
>Do you really think I want to steal the >design of that piece of junk!
>Come on.
Just ribbin ya man.
> I wanted to merely see the circuit they >use for the COR keying.
COR......Translate?

"Got RF?"

4604. DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:03:15 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <goOdnbQ644__55-jXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 147090

"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year"

Dave Hall may construct a non type certified amp or he may modified a non type
certified amp(such as his Dave made).
The key word is he may use a modified one.

"The rules do not provide for mass marketing or manufacturing and marketing kits
or assembled amplifiers as part of a
business, Hollingsworth said."

4605. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:09:10 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <aZuM9.4967$p_6.386828@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Right back Dave... have a good holiday.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:cgtM9.400117$P31.145686@rwcrnsc53...
> You have a point Brian. The question at hand is a matter of
> personal preference, and we just happen to have different
> preferences. I think that if you asked him nicely not to
> post ads then he should cease. Again, that's just my
> opinion.
>
> You have a Merry Christmas, or any other holiday/event you
> may be celebrating this season. I'm enjoying the inches of
> rain we've received as of late (numbers are rising, it's
> been pissing rain all day) and can't wait for a little time
> off to relax and work on the house.
>
> Dave
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> message
> news:4bRL9.1839$p_6.159759@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.ne
> t...
> > Thanks for the compliment...
> >
> > As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full
> advertisments and he
> > has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when
> somebody posts nothing
> > but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's
> fine and I
> > certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times
> daily can be
> > called nothing but spam...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey

> > Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Dave" <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:BHOL9.9516$qF3.374@sccrnsc04...
> > > I have to agree with Twistedhed. Lou has not been
> flooding
> > > the new group with this. The information is useless to
> me
> > > but is on topic. As long as he keeps the re-posting time
> > > reasonable I'd say let him post it and don't give him
> any
> > > hassle. Guess we just have different definitions of
> SPAM,
> > > and different levels of tolerance. oh well. As a side
> note,
> > > it looks like your Skywave amp is well designed.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > >
> > > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> > > message
> > >
> news:0PvL9.65397$hK4.5436432@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.
> > > net...
> > > > Forwarded to proper "spamming" authorities...
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > > Brian Griffey
> > > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> > > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ug0tvu4i66tq630md11898bfcvpendpk3r@4ax.com...
> > > > > We've now added all our crystal charts for free
> > > download/printing
> > > > > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95%
> of
> > > all American
> > > > > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which
> > > crystal(s) affects
> > > > > which mode or channel. Very useful for
> troubleshooting
> > > "dead
> > > > > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or
> other

> > > freqs.
> > > > >
> > > > > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and
> > > high-performance CB
> > > > > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all
> those
> > > "goodies" you
> > > > > just can't find anywhere else!
> > > > >
> > > > > www.cbcintl.com
> > > > >
> > > > > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or
> just
> > > the Order Form
> > > > > from the home page. We've also got a new Email
> Order
> > > Form (for
> > > > > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> > > > >
> > > > > *
> * *
> > > * * * * * * *
> > > > > In case you didn't already know...
> > > > >
> > > > > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading
> supplier
> > > of books,
> > > > > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself
> > > repairs and
> > > > > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers,
> FM
> > > converters,
> > > > > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc.
> Secrets
> > > of how to
> > > > > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> > > > >
> > > > > For product questions or additional details
> > > > > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> > > > >
> > > > > info@cbcintl.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 73,
> > > > > Lou Franklin--President
> > > > > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > > > > **********
> > > > > CBC International

> > > > > P.O. Box 30655
> > > > > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> > > > >
> > > > > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > > > > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > > > > Local: (520) 298-7980
> > > > >
> > > > > www.cbcintl.com
> > > > >
> > > > > Email: info@cbcintl.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4606. its tough being a guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:09:22 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212191809.2d710235@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147092

if you put a woman on a pedistal and try to protect her from the rat race, you are a male chauvinist......if you stay home and do the housework , you are a pansy
if you work too hard, there is never enough time for her, and if you don't work enough, you are a good for nothing bum.
if she has a boring repetitive job, she is being exploited, if you have a boring repetitive job, you should get off of your ass and find something better.
if you get a promotion ahead of her, that is favoritism, if she gets a job ahead of you, its equal opportunity.
if you mention how nice she looks, that is sexual harassment, if you keep quiet, its male indifference.
if you cry, you are a wimp, if you don't, you are a insensitive bastard.
if you thump her, its wife bashing, if she thumps you, its self defense.
if you make a decision without consulting her, you're a male chauvinist, if she makes a decision without consulting you, she is a liberated woman.
if you ask her to do something she doesn't like, that's domination, if she ask you, its a favor.
if you like a woman to shave her legs , and keep in shape, you're a sexist, if you don't, you are unromantic.
if you try to keep yourself in shape, your're vain, if you don't you're a slob.
if you buy her flowers, you're after something, if you don't you're not thoughtful.
if you're proud of your achievements, you're stuck on yourself, if you are not, you are not ambitious.
if she has a headache, she's tired, if you have a headache, you don't love her anymore.

yep it sure is getting hard to be a guy.....unless you are a pimp.....

4607. Re: cobra 2000 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:13:57 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <F1vM9.1589$n97.143737@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147094

Tram D300 meters will also work.

--
www.mikeselectronics.com
"jocko" <eagle8@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v01ko66jbb1i17@corp.supernews.com...
> does any one know what other radios meters will work in a cobra
2000. I
> know a 1000 is the same but I heard that there are others that will
work.
> Any help. Thanks Kerry
>
>

4608. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:15:00 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <E2vM9.4980$p_6.387661@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147093

Just tryin' to help the guy... I have no interest in stealing any designs
from Xforce.
I'm not sure they even have schematics for any of their stuff... lol

P.S. - COR (carrier operated relay)

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021219205900.16998.00000180@mb-cf.aol.com...
> >From: "Brian Griffey" >briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
> >Do you really think I want to steal the >design of that piece of junk!
> >Come on.
> Just ribbin ya man.
> > I wanted to merely see the circuit they >use for the COR keying.
> COR......Translate?
>
>
> "Got RF?"

4609. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:15:48 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <o3vM9.1593$n97.142575@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147095

Probably keying transistor bad.

--
www.mikeselectronics.com
"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU
GROUND IT
> BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net
>
>

4610. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:25:48 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <oOGcnYzeFrc34p-jXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 147096

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219202308.012$g8@newsreader.com...

> Do you do everything dogie asks?
>
> Merry Christmas.

Merry Christmas to you too, I am not sure if it dogie or not I was sent in a email asking to post it, the email self deleted after opening, many tasks are passed along the chain in the AKC organization this way.

4611. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:27:35 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <0oicnSW_hMKLHZ-jXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147147

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219204819.037$aH@newsreader.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:
> > >Only faggots and sailors are named brucie.

> > I got your sailor hanging between my legs

What is he doing down there???? Is his name popeye?

4612. Re: its tough being a guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:31:29 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <NV2dnbc9ms9iHZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147148

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219211616.370$W7@newsreader.com...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
> > if you put a woman on a pedistal and try to protect her from the rat
> > race, you are a male chauvinist......if you stay home and do the
> > housework , you are a pansy
> > if you work too hard, there is never enough time for her, and if you
> > don't work enough, you are a good for nothing bum.
> > if she has a boring repetitive job, she is being exploited, if you
> > have a boring repetitive job, you should get off of your ass and find
> > something better.
> > if you get a promotion ahead of her, that is favoritism, if she gets a
> > job ahead of you, its equal opportunity.
> > if you mention how nice she looks, that is sexual harassment, if you
> > keep quiet, its male indifference.
> > if you cry, you are a wimp, if you don't, you are a insensitive
> > bastard.
> > if you thump her, its wife bashing, if she thumps you, its self
> > defense.
> > if you make a decision without consulting her, you're a male
> > chauvinist, if she makes a decision without consulting you, she is a
> > liberated woman.
> > if you ask her to do something she doesn't like, that's domination, if
> > she ask you, its a favor.
> > if you like a woman to shave her legs , and keep in shape, you're a
> > sexist, if you don't, you are unromantic.
> > if you try to keep yourself in shape, your're vain, if you don't
> > you're a slob.
> > if you buy her flowers, you're after something, if you don't you're
> > not thoughtful.
> > if you're proud of your achievements, you're stuck on yourself, if you
> > are not, you are not ambitious.
> > if she has a headache, she's tired, if you have a headache, you don't
> > love her anymore.
> >
> > yep it sure is getting hard to be a guy.........unless you are a
> > pimp.....

> Good point...but....given a choice....i'd still be a guy....

I bet you ask Landshark that, and he wouldn't say the same.

4613. Re: Doug is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:32:32 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <4AadnfdQ6-yiHJ-jXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147149

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219203912.786$Y0_-_@newsreader.com...

> Are you looking forward to meeting me,dogie?
>
> Merry Christmas.

Yes post your picture so we can see who we are talking too.

4614. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:37:16 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <M6KcnRz06-HGH5-jXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147150

Oh btw Randy that is Taiwan as in Far East. Where they make all those big radios

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_4fM9.16561$Nz5.447733@twister.austin.rr.com...
Umm, George, who is the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)? It appears this is not a US agency. What country does this apply to, because it is not the US?

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:m8acnTr_tdM0yZyjXTWcog@comcast.com...
Oh its true twisty, take a few days to read it so it soaks in it gives you a good taste, I wouldexpect you to publicly announce your lack of understanding in this matter and thank me for learning you in this manner. I have more twisty fire away, but first your admittance that amateur radios do indeed need to be type certified. And they indeed do need to meet FCC requirements. Then I will give you you next lesson.

Amateur Radio

Type Approval Guidelines

Directorate General of Telecommunications (DGT)

Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)
Table of Contents

Amateur Radio Type Approval Guidelines

Article 1

The Guidelines are established in accordance with Articles 46,51,70 of the Telecommunications Act, Article 4 of The Regulations On Inspection And Certification Of Controlled Telecommunications Equipment, Article 10 of the Administrative Regulations On Controlled Telecommunications Radio Frequency Equipment, and Article 51,52,56,58 of the Administrative Regulations On Amateur Radios.

Article 2

The responsible administrator:The Directorate General of Telecommunications (hereinafter referred to as "DGT") the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

The examination executor: The measurement units authorized by DGT.

Article 3

Flow Chart of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment. (Attachment 1).

Article 4

Application Form of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Equipment (Attachment 2).

Article 5

To obtain certification of the Amateur Radio Equipment Type-Approval, the following rules shall be considered:

1.In order to apply for a certification for amateur radio equipment, the amateur radio operator shall make the application in accordance with the frequency usage, transmission power, and type of transmission of their class which equal to the qualification class of the applying amateur radio operator:

A. Radio equipment suitable for Class 1 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 1 amateur radio equipment.

B. Radio equipment suitable for Class 2 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 2 amateur radio equipment.

C. Radio equipment suitable for Class 3 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 3 amateur radio equipment.

D. Radio equipment suitable for Class 4 amateur radio operator shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to Class 4 amateur radio equipment.

E. Amateur radio stations shall meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines with respect to amateur radio stations.

2. Separate application for certification shall be made for each brand or model of the amateur radio equipment model, whether such equipment is manufactured by the same or different manufacturers.

3. With respect to the amateur radio equipment officially certified by DGT, DGT may from time to time randomly select manufacturers for inspection of the certified equipment to determine whether such equipment conforms with the appearance and structure of the sample provided to DGT at the time of certification and whether such equipment complies with the required technical specifications and meet the standards set forth in the amateur radio technical specification guidelines. All of DGT's inspectors shall carry proper identifications and documentation during the said inspections. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample or fails to pass the inspection shall improve and correct such deficiencies within three months after DGT's notice for correction. DGT may revoke the certification issued to manufacturers who fail to correct the deficiencies within the notice period. Upon revocation of the certification, manufacturer shall recall all amateur radio equipment sold.

4. Manufacturers who have obtained certification for its amateur radio equipment model shall, prior to the sales of such equipment, affix duplicates of DGT's certification labels or permanently print such labels in a conspicuous manner on the certified amateur radio equipment.

5. Manufacturers shall be responsible for all matters relating to the distribution rights and patent issues of its amateur radio equipment. DGT may revoke the certification given to an amateur radio equipment if such equipment is finally adjudicated by court as an infringing or illegal product in a legal dispute.

6. Any individual who imports from abroad less than two units of the amateur radio equipment or less than five units of self-made amateur radio equipment for personal use and equal to the qualification class shall submit one copy of each of the operating manual furnished to the user, information concerning the model and technical specifications, and importation or manufacturer's certificate, accompanied by the amateur radio equipment to be inspected, to the applicable regional telecommunications regulatory station for certification. Usage of such amateur radio equipment shall be permitted only upon proper certification and affixation of a given certificated label conspicuously displayed on the equipment.

7. The affixed certificated label on the equipment shall be properly maintained and protected. If certain functions of the amateur radio equipment are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification.

8. If any deficiency or error exists with respect to the submitted documents or samples, such applicant shall supplement and correct such deficiencies and errors within one month after notification. If no supplement or correction is made within the notification period, such application shall be returned and become void.

9. All radio equipment before manufactured, marketed and imported must meet the amateur radio frequency standards set forth in Region 3 by IARU, and pass the inspection and certification. All frequency band usage must pursuant to the plan of radio spectrum allocation announced by the DGT, and the detail of radio frequency allocation shall be marked in the license.

Article 6 Equipment Standards for Type-approval:

All equipment standards shall comply with the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines.

Article 7 Documents and equipment required for application:

1.Manufacturer application:

A. One set of sample

B. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger than 4×6 inches in size.

C. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

D. One copy of the block diagram.

E. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

F. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

G. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

The performance testing aforementioned in Item 6 shall be conducted by the measurement units authorized by DGT via applicant's application to such measurement units for testing. If the sample cannot be submitted to DGT due to size, weight or power related factors, manufacturer of such sample shall submit a written explanation specifying the reasons for

its inability to submit such sample.

2. Individual application:

A. Operation manual

B. Information concerning the model and technical specifications.

C. Importation or manufacturer's certificate.

D. Submitted sample.

Article 8 Appropriate Inspection Fee, Certification Fee

1.. DGT shall collect all necessary inspection fee and certification fee from applicants for inspection and certification in accordance with those certain governmental fee collection standards established by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
2.. Measurement fee shall be collected by the measurement units authorized by DGT as stipulation.
Article 9 Testing items:

1.. The measurement units shall complete amateur radio equipment application form in accordance with the detail of Testing Items and Qualifying Standards. The amateur radio transceivers shall complete the form of attachment 3-1, and the external RF power amplifier shall complete the form of attachment 3-2.
2.. The qualifying standards of certificate for individuals shall meet the Amateur Radio Technical Specification Guidelines and complete the form of attachment 3-3.
3.. The radio station shall meet the Regulations on Radiative Low-power Radio Wave Stations and complete the form as required.
Article 10 Type-approval Procedures and Issuance of Certification:

1.The procedures for type-approval are set forth in Attachment 1 of the " Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment flow chart".

2. Applicants failed to meet the requirements will be sent a notice with respect to the reasons for non-compliance.

3. Applicants' purpose of application is for personal use, Article 5(6) of this Guidelines shall be followed.

4. Certificates for Type-Approval will be sent to applicants who have obtained approval. (As attachment 4,5,6,7,8,9)

Attachment 1-1 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment(For Manufacturers)

Attachment 1-2 Flow Chart of Type-approval for Amateur-Radio Equipment (For Individuals)

Attachment 2-1 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For manufacturers)

Applicant (Company) : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person : _____

Telephone No. : _____

Equipment Name : _____

Equipment Model : _____

Manufacturer : _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Four copies of the product catalogues and color photographs larger dm 4x6 inches in size.
1.. photograph with front side of equipment
2.. photograph with rear side of equipment
3.. photographs with right side and left side of equipment
4.. photograph with internal structure of equipment
5.. photograph with both sides of base board (with parts).
3. One copy of operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions) and information concerning technical specifications.

4. One copy of the block diagram.

5. One copy of the circuitry diagram.

6. One original and four copies of the testing report of the sample product performance.

7.. One copy of the licensing permit for the operation of controlled radio frequency equipment.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant (company) Stamp: Responsible Person's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Note: Applicant should ask certified testing institutions to perform testing on the Technical Specifications of Amateur Radio Equipment. Testing fee will be collected by respective institution.

Attachment 2-2 Application Form of Type-approval for Amateur Radio Equipment(For Individuals)

Applicant : _____

Address : _____

Contact Person: _____

Telephone No: _____

Equipment Name: _____

Equipment Model: _____

Manufacturer: _____

Purpose : _____

Type : Transceivers External RF power amplifier Radio receiver

Grade of application : Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4

Technical papers & equipment:

1.. One set of sample(return after type approval).
2.. Operating manual furnished to the user (or instructions)
3.. Product catalogue and information concerning technical specifications.
4.. Import or manufacturing documentation.

Application Date: Year Month Date

Applicant's Signature:

(Internal Use Only)

Application Date: Year Month Date

Number:

Approval Fee: Accepted date: Year Month Date

Opening Hours: 9:00 - 12:00 AM, 2:00 - 5:00 PM, exclusive of public Holidays.

Attachment 3-1 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Characteristics of modulation

3
Occupied Bandwidth

4
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

5
Electric field intensity of spurious Radiation

6
Stability of frequency

7
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

8
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-2 Amateur Radio External RF Power Amplifier Inspection Recording Sheet (For Manufacturers)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment

Remarks

1
Operation frequency range

2
Maximum gain and characteristic of general frequency bandwidth

3
Output power

Note: All testing items shall describe the related applied sections of " Amateur-Radio Equipment Technical Specifications " and also include testing methodology and testing diagrams.

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 3-3 Amateur Radio Equipment Inspection Recording Sheet (For Individuals)

Date: Year Month Date

Inspection Institution: Temperature:

Inspection Institution Phone No: Humidity:

Inspection Institution Address:

Applicant: Brand:

Manufacturer: Model:

Item
Testing Item
Qualifying Standards
Testing Results
Testing Equipment
Remarks

1
Emission Output Power

2
Occupied Bandwidth

3
Spurious emissions from antenna terminal

4
Frequency error

5
Commencement and termination frequency of emission frequency band

6
Commencement and termination frequency of receiving frequency band

Department Director: Section Director: Tester:

Attachment 4 Certification of Type-approval For Amateur Radio Receiver Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample, DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance

with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 5-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 1 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 1 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 6-1 Certification of Type-approval For Class 2 Amateur Radio Equipment Model.
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Manufacturers shall duplicate or permanently print such labels hereinbefore and affix labels in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification. . Manufacturer whose equipment does not conform to the original sample , DGT may revoke the certification.

3. Manufacturers shall print and affix labels with the statement "No amateur radio stations shall be established, owned or operated unless granted the amateur operator license class 2 and radio station license. Any violation of this regulation shall be punished in accordance with the Telecommunications Act." in a conspicuous manner on every outside of the packing.

Attachment 7-2 Certification of Type-approval For External RF Power Amplifier of Class 3 Amateur Radio Equipment Model
(1)Model:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Attachment 9 Certification of Type-approval For Individual Amateur Radio Equipment Model.

(1)Model:

Serial number:

Frequency:

Power of radio frequency:

(2) Manufacturer:

(3) Applicant:

(4) Examination Date: Year Month Date

(5)Pattern of certificate label:

Explanation:

1. Affix hereinbefore label in a conspicuous manner on every equipment.

2. If certain functions, design or outlook of the amateur radio stations are altered or changed after certification, the equipment with such alteration or changes shall be resubmitted to DGT for inspection and certification

4615. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:42:41 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <attvsk$2l4gg$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 147151

A Cobra 25 or 29LTD can swing 20watts with a 10watt deadkey when done
properly...Bill at Jaguar electronics in Duncan,SC at the T/A should be able
to
help you achieve your goal

--
Mad Dog
"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
> power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
> crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
> someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
> the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
> Please e-mail me directly if possible.
>

4616. Re: DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:47:39 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <wZqcnWE46bNXGZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147152

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:goOdnbQ644__55-jXTWcow@comcast.com...
> "Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
> or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year"
>
> Dave Hall may construct a non type certified amp or he may modified a non type
> certified amp(such as his Dave made).
> The key word is he may use a modified one.

And yes I believe it means that when modified it has to meet the same
requirements of type certified ones.

4617. Re: Twisty ain't the topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:53:48 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02863C.36129DD4@listed.here>
Source record: 147153

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> Speaking of pills,my dad gave me a vial of 100mg viagra(he's 70)..I'm
> afraid to take one...lol (really)
>
> Any OT advice? <g>

Have a spare hole ready?

> Merry Christmas.

Ho! Ho! Ho! Right back.

4618. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 02:59:21 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191859.19bab46a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147154

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021219171857.07080.00000437@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >Only faggots and sailors are called brucie.
> >
>
> Your Mama doesnt call me Brucie

brucie,

I am sure you are correct. She died in 1993.

Only faggots and sailors are called brucie

4619. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:00:54 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212191900.ffc524d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147155

dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>...
dweebo dweebo dweebo...is that the best you can do?

4620. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:04:24 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0288B8.E2307E3F@listed.here>
Source record: 147156

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >
> > heheh sorry but it drives me nuts when I have to scroll sideways
> >
> Ask Leland how many times I bitched about the same thing. ;)

This old Netscape has a setting that allows it to wrap long lines.

Edit->Preferences...Mail & Newsgroups...Messages...Message Wrapping.

I also have Java and javascript turned off for mail and news.

4.79 download available. Email me if you want it.

Here's what I have:

cc32d479.exe 23,609,425 bytes.
cc32d476.exe 23,227,581 bytes.
cc32d475.exe 20,002,816 bytes.

4621. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:09:09 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0289D5.99A76127@listed.here>
Source record: 147157

Git Some Alpo wrote:

> Merry Christmas to you too, I am not sure if it dogie or not I was sent in a
> email asking to post it, the email self deleted after opening, many tasks are
> passed along the chain in the AKC organization this way.

This is your mission, George. Good luck.

This message will self-delete in five seconds.

4622. Re: Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:12:28 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E028A9C.F0BFD9C8@listed.here>
Source record: 147158

If the newsgroup would like some real entertainment, just ignore
ALL the un-(fill-in-the-blank)s in 2K3. Starting 1-1-03...

4623. Re: DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:31:14 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <tb2cnULfSq-dEp-jXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 147159

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219220215.577$I4@newsreader.com...

> You're quoting yourself now george. (you dumbass)

No I was adding to my position

4624. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:32:46 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E028F5E.636A6CEF@listed.here>
Source record: 147160

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >What's wrong,Bruce? Can't piss off enough people in the Policy group?
>
> I thought I would start on another group now, there to Borrriiinnng over there

First, we'll work on the spelling, then the grammar.

"there" should be "they're". A contraction of "they are".

Sentences end with a period. (.)

Thank you for:

- wrapping your lines
- quoting a small amount of relevant previous post
- posting under the stuff you just quoted
- making the stuff you just added able to be found within
the post without having to refer to the previous post

Thank you and happy holidays.

4625. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:33:31 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E028F8B.92560D1A@listed.here>
Source record: 147161

Git Some Alpo wrote:
>
> What is he doing down there???? Is his name popeye?

The one-eyed sailor man.

4626. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:34:53 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <aAOdnZxodIBGEp-jXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147162

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219221534.639$xs@newsreader.com...
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021219204819.037$aH@newsreader.com...
> > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:
> > > > >Only faggots and sailors are named brucie.
> > >
> > > > > I got your sailor hanging between my legs
> > >
> > What is he doing down there???? Is his name popeye?
> >
> Hey space truckin, brain dead git.. I'm not quoted here. You dumbass,don't
> snip my text,if you're gonna leave me in the header!!
>
> What a fine example of ham radio you turned out to be...You can't even do
> Usenet..

Why do you worry about trivial things like that? I mean really steve. It wasn't
intentional, but why why I ask

4627. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:36:35 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E029043.1316E746@listed.here>
Source record: 147163

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> > Here's what I have:
> >
> > cc32d479.exe 23,609,425 bytes.
> > cc32d476.exe 23,227,581 bytes.
> > cc32d475.exe 20,002,816 bytes.
> >
> Show off. ;)

Would you like that on CD with all of the
MS service packs and OS updates?

4628. Here twisty ...read up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:41:56 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <maOdnVQdt_MeDJ-jXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147164

2.1033 Application for certification.
(a) An application for certification shall be filed on FCC Form 731 with all questions answered. Items that do not apply shall be so noted.

(b) Applications for equipment operating under Parts 11, 15 and 18 of the rules shall be accompanied by a technical report containing the following information:

(1) The full name and mailing address of the manufacturer of the device and the applicant for certification.

(2) FCC identifier.

(3) A copy of the installation and operating instructions to be furnished the user. A draft copy of the instructions may be submitted if the actual document is not available. The actual document shall be furnished to the FCC when it becomes available.

(4) A brief description of the circuit functions of the device along with a statement describing how the device operates. This statement should contain a description of the ground system and antenna, if any, used with the device.

(5) A block diagram showing the frequency of all oscillators in the device. The signal path and frequency shall be indicated at each block. The tuning range(s) and intermediate frequency(ies) shall be indicated at each block. A schematic diagram is also required for intentional radiators.

(6) A report of measurements showing compliance with the pertinent FCC technical requirements. This report shall identify the test procedure used (e.g., specify the FCC test procedure, or industry test procedure that was used), the date the measurements were made, the location where the measurements were made, and the device that was tested (model and serial number, if available). The report shall include sample calculations showing how the measurement results were converted for comparison with the technical requirements.

(7) A sufficient number of photographs to clearly show the exterior appearance, the construction, the component placement on the chassis, and the chassis assembly. The exterior views shall show the overall appearance, the antenna used with the device (if any), the controls available to the user, and the required identification label in sufficient detail so that the name and FCC identifier can be read. In lieu of a photograph of the label, a sample label (or facsimile thereof) may be submitted together with a sketch showing where this label will be placed on the equipment. Photographs shall be of size A4 (21 cm ´ 29.7 cm) or 8x10 inches (20.3 cm ´ 25.4 cm). Smaller photographs may be submitted provided they are sharp and clear, show the necessary detail, and are mounted on A4 (21 cm ´ 29.7 cm) or 8.5x11 inch (21.6 cm ´ 27.9 cm) paper. A sample label or facsimile together with the sketch showing the placement of this label shall be on the same size paper.

(8) If the equipment for which certification is being sought must be tested with peripheral or accessory devices connected or installed, a brief description of those peripherals or accessories. The peripheral or accessory devices shall be unmodified, commercially

available equipment.

(9) For equipment subject to the provisions of part 15 of this chapter, the application shall indicate if the equipment is being authorized pursuant to the transition provisions in § 15.37 of this chapter.

(10) Applications for the certification of direct sequence spread spectrum transmitters under part 15 shall be accompanied by an exhibit demonstrating compliance with the processing gain provisions of § 15.247(e) of this chapter. Applications for the certification of frequency hopping transmitters under part 15 shall be accompanied by an exhibit describing compliance of the associated receiver or receivers with § 15.247(a)(1) of this chapter.

(11) Applications for the certification of scanning receivers shall include a statement describing the methods used to comply with the design requirements of § 15.121(a) of this chapter or the marketing requirements of § 15.121(b) of this chapter.

(12) Applications for certification of transmitters operating within the 59.0-64.0 GHz band under part 15 of this chapter shall also be accompanied by an exhibit demonstrating compliance with the provisions of § 15.255 (g) and (i) of this chapter.

(c) Applications for equipment other than that operating under parts 15 and 18 of the rules shall be accompanied by a technical report containing the following information:

(1) The full name and mailing address of the manufacturer of the device and the applicant for certification.

(2) FCC identifier.

(3) A copy of the installation and operating instructions to be furnished the user. A draft copy of the instructions may be submitted if the actual document is not available. The actual document shall be furnished to the FCC when it becomes available.

(4) Type or types of emission.

(5) Frequency range.

(6) Range of operating power values or specific operating power levels, and description of any means provided for variation of operating power.

(7) Maximum power rating as defined in the applicable part(s) of the rules.

(8) The dc voltages applied to and dc currents into the several elements of the final radio frequency amplifying device for normal operation over the power range.

(9) Tune-up procedure over the power range, or at specific operating power levels.

(10) A schematic diagram and a description of all circuitry and devices provided for determining and stabilizing frequency, for suppression of spurious radiation, for limiting modulation, and for limiting power.

(11) A photograph or drawing of the equipment identification plate or label showing the information to be placed thereon.

(12) Photographs ($8^2 \prime 10^2$) of the equipment of sufficient clarity to reveal equipment construction and layout, including meters, if any, and labels for controls and meters and sufficient views of the internal construction to define component placement and chassis

assembly. Insofar as these requirements are met by photographs or drawings contained in instruction manuals supplied with the certification request, additional photographs are necessary only to complete the required showing.

(13) For equipment employing digital modulation techniques, a detailed description of the modulation system to be used, including the response characteristics (frequency, phase and amplitude) of any filters provided, and a description of the modulating wavetrain, shall be submitted for the maximum rated conditions under which the equipment will be operated.

(14) The data required by §§ 2.1046 through 2.1057, inclusive, measured in accordance with the procedures set out in § 2.1041.

(15) The application for certification of an external radio frequency power amplifier under part 97 of this chapter need not be accompanied by the data required by paragraph (b)(14) of this section. In lieu thereof, measurements shall be submitted to show compliance with the technical specifications in subpart C of part 97 of this chapter and such information as required by § 2.1060 of this part.

(16) An application for certification of an AM broadcast stereophonic exciter-generator intended for interfacing with existing certified, or formerly type accepted or notified transmitters must include measurements made on a complete stereophonic transmitter. The instruction book must include complete specifications and circuit requirements for interconnecting with existing transmitters. The instruction book must also provide a full description of the equipment and measurement procedures to monitor modulation and to verify that the combination of stereo exciter-generator and transmitter meet the emission limitations of § 73.44.

(17) A single application may be filed for a composite system that incorporates devices subject to certification under multiple rule parts, however, the appropriate fee must be included for each device. Separate applications must be filed if different FCC Identifiers will be used for each device.

[63 FR 36599, July 7, 1998, as amended at 63 FR 42278, Aug. 7, 1998]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE 1: At 63 FR 36599, July 7, 1998, § 2.1033 was revised, effective Oct. 5, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE 2: At 63 FR 42278, Aug. 7, 1998, § 2.1033 was amended by adding paragraphs (b) (12) and (13), effective in part Oct. 5, 1998.

§ 2.1035 [Reserved]

§ 2.1041 Measurement procedure.

The measurement procedures are specified in the rules governing the particular device for which certification is requested.

§ 2.1043 Changes in certificated equipment.

(a) Changes to the basic frequency determining and stabilizing circuitry (including clock or data rates), frequency multiplication stages, basic modulator circuit or maximum power or field strength ratings shall not be performed without application for and authorization of a new grant of certification. Variations in electrical or mechanical construction, other than these indicated items, are permitted provided the variations either do not affect the characteristics required to be reported to the Commission or the variations are made in

compliance with the other provisions of this section.

(b) Two classes of permissive changes may be made in certificated equipment without requiring a new application for and grant of certification. Neither class of change shall result in a change in identification.

(1) A Class I permissive change includes those modifications in the equipment which do not degrade the characteristics reported by the manufacturer and accepted by the Commission when certification is granted. No filing with the Commission is required for a Class I permissive change.

(2) A Class II permissive change includes those modifications which degrade the performance characteristics as reported to the Commission at the time of the initial certification. Such degraded performance must still meet the minimum requirements of the applicable rules. When a Class II permissive change is made by the grantee, the grantee shall supply the Commission with complete information and the results of tests of the characteristics affected by such change. The modified equipment shall not be marketed under the existing grant of certification prior to acknowledgement by the Commission that the change is acceptable.

(3) Except as specified below, permissive changes, as detailed above, shall be made only by the holder of the grant of certification. Changes by any party other than the grantee require a new application for and grant of certification.

(c) A grantee desiring to make a change other than a permissive change shall file an application on FCC Form 731 accompanied by the required fees. The grantee shall attach a description of the change(s) to be made and a statement indicating whether the change(s) will be made in all units (including previous production) or will be made only in those units produced after the change is authorized.

(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application for, and grant of certification. If the changes affect the characteristics required to be reported, a complete application shall be filed. If the characteristics required to be reported are not changed the abbreviated procedure of § 2.933 may be used.

(e) Equipment that has been certificated or type accepted for use in the Amateur Radio Service pursuant to the requirements of part 97 of this chapter may be modified without regard to the conditions specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided the following conditions are met:

(1) Any person performing such modifications on equipment used under part 97 of this chapter must possess a valid amateur radio operator license of the class required for the use of the equipment being modified.

(2) Modifications made pursuant to this paragraph are limited to equipment used at licensed amateur radio stations.

(3) Modifications specified or performed by equipment manufacturers or suppliers must be in accordance with the requirements set forth in paragraph (b) of this section.

(4) Modifications specified or performed by licensees in the Amateur Radio Service on equipment other than that at specific licensed amateur radio stations must be in accordance with the requirements set forth in paragraph (b) of this section.

(5) The station licensee shall be responsible for ensuring that modified equipment used at his station will comply with the applicable technical standards in part 97 of this chapter.

(f) For equipment other than that operating under parts 15 or 18, when a Class II permissive change is made by other than the grantee of certification, the information and data specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section shall be supplied by the person making the change. The modified equipment shall not be operated under an authorization of the Commission prior to acknowledgement by the Commission that the change is acceptable.

(g) The interconnection of a certificated or formerly type accepted AM broadcast stereophonic exciter-generator with a certificated or formerly type accepted AM broadcast transmitter in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and upon completion of measurements showing that the modified transmitter meets the emission limitation requirements of § 73.44 is defined as a Class I permissive change for compliance with this section.

(h) The interconnection of a multiplexing exciter with a certificated or formerly type accepted AM broadcast transmitter in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions without electrical or mechanical modification of the transmitter circuits and completion of equipment performance measurements showing the transmitter meets the minimum performance requirements applicable thereto is de-fined as a Class I permissive change for compliance with this section.

(i) The addition of TV broadcast sub-carrier generators to a certificated or formerly type accepted TV broadcast transmitter or the addition of FM broadcast subcarrier generators to a type accepted FM broadcast transmitter, provided the transmitter exciter is designed for subcarrier operation without mechanical or electrical alterations to the exciter or other transmitter circuits.

(j) The addition of TV broadcast stereophonic generators to a certificated or formerly type accepted TV broadcast transmitter or the addition of FM broadcast stereophonic generators to a certificated or formerly type accepted FM broadcast transmitter, provided the transmitter exciter is designed for stereophonic sound operation without mechanical or electrical alterations to the exciter or other transmitter circuits.

(k) The addition of subscription TV encoding equipment for which the FCC has granted advance approval under the provisions of § 2.1400 in subpart M and § 73.644(c) of part 73 to a certificated or formerly type accepted transmitter is considered a Class I permissive change.

(l) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, broadcast licensees or permittees are permitted to modify certificated or formerly type accepted equipment pursuant to § 73.1690 of the FCC's rules.

[63 FR 36600, July 7, 1998]

4629. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:44:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v054i0q0lt4k3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

The sad thing is that there are reasonable hams. A few twits ruin it for everyone.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021219220648.678$C8@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM doug (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
> > dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
> > news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>... dweebo dweebo
> > dweebo...is that the best you can do?
> >
> Dogie is a moron. A fine example of ham gone putrid.
>
> Merry Christmas.
>
> --
> -------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

4630. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 03:48:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BqwM9.18174$6H6.659274@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147166

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212191859.19bab46a@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021219171857.07080.00000437@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> > >Only faggots and sailors are called brucie.
> > >
> >
> > Your Mama doesnt call me Brucie
>
>
> brucie,
>
> I am sure you are correct. She died in 1993.

Was it because of something she caught you doing?

4631. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 04:07:20 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212192007.28bf3b9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147167

dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> Just in case anyone forgot:
>
> sadie106@juno.com
>
> fiftymegs@juno.com
>
>
> Please feel free to subscribe him to any filth you might wish. He loves it all.

thanks......don't mind if i do.....

4632. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 04:30:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <A1xM9.1344$ZA.1167@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219220648.678$C8@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM doug (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
> > dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
> > news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>... dweebo dweebo
> > dweebo...is that the best you can do?
> >
> Dogie is a moron. A fine example of ham gone putrid.
>
> Merry Christmas.

You forgot someone else Mopar.....

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4633. Re: its tough being George, holidays, home all alone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 04:30:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <B1xM9.1345$ZA.952@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147169

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:NV2dnbc9ms9iHZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > Good point...but....given a choice....i'd still be a guy....
>
>
> I bet you ask Landshark that, and he wouldn't say the same.

Still trolling for my attention George,
what a loser. Nothing better than to troll a newsgroup
for the holidays, great life you have.....LOL!!!!!

Try watching it's a wonderful life, maybe you
and Doug can get one.....

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4634. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:21:44 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02A8E8.DFF82BAB@listed.here>
Source record: 147170

> You forgot..to = too. <g>

I've got one machine here loading XP, another that had Klez 149 times,
and I just got that one on my network. Now to backup all the data and
nuke it (fdisk) and reload it win 98SE, then let windowsupdate fly.

XP had about 100MB of updates. I already had IE61 on a CD. (45 megs)

Only took about an hour on a P4 2.4GHz with a 533Mhz bus. Intel D845EBG2
motherboard. This thing is FAST. Whole thing under a grand.

As an aside, did you know that 95/98/ME won't work with video
cards if you have more then 512MB of RAM? NT/2K/XP will work.

4635. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:23:59 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02A96F.CEE23B13@listed.here>
Source record: 147171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Landshark wrote:

> > Merry Christmas.
>
> You forgot someone else Mopar.....

Maybe it was intentional...

4636. Palomar 90A 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:24:56 UTC
From: ULTRA_1_@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8297-3E02A9A8-17@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147174

Hi all, I have a Palomar 90A base linear for sale for $75.00 + shipping. It looks and works like a new one.

I also have a Courier Centurian 23 ch am/ssb base radio that looks and works like new for $50.00 + shipping, beautiful radio and has an awesome noise blanker and the selectivity is unbeatable.

Please email me if you are interested.

Thanks,
Doug W.

4637. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:27:34 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <LIOdnW7kuYrYN5-jXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 147172

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v03vq9m2aric40@corp.supernews.com...
And you think Twist is confused?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Sorry Jim, I had dug thru many links it was late etc etc, I did cut and copy many things, he should be paying me to prove him wrong. Amateur Radios do indeed need to be type certified. unless it is homebrew

Section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, authorizes the Commission to make reasonable regulations, consistent with the public interest, governing the interference potential of equipment which emits radio frequency energy. The purpose of this section is to ensure that radio transmitters and other electronic devices meet certain standards to control interference before they reach the market. The Commission carries out its responsibilities under Section 302 in two ways. First, the Commission establishes technical regulations for transmitters and other equipment to minimize their potential for causing interference to radio services. Second, the Commission administers an authorization program to ensure that equipment reaching the market complies with the technical requirements. The authorization program requires that equipment be tested either by the manufacturer or at a private test laboratory to ensure that it complies with the technical requirements. For a large number of devices, once the equipment has been tested and found to comply, it may be marketed without any approval from the Commission. However, for certain equipment which poses a greater risk of interference, the Commission requires the submission of an application which must be reviewed and approved before the equipment can be marketed. The Commission may request a sample of a device to confirm it complies with the applicable technical requirements, but that is only done in a very small number of cases.

III. DISCUSSION

Simplification of Existing Equipment Authorization Processes

5. There are currently five different equipment authorization procedures specified in Subpart J of Part 2 of the Commission's Rules. The following is a brief description of each procedure:

Type acceptance calls for the manufacturer or importer to submit a written application for review and approval by the Commission. The application must include a complete technical description of the product and a test report showing compliance

with the technical requirements. The type acceptance procedure has traditionally been applied to radio transmitters that are used in authorized radio services.

Certification is similar to type acceptance. The manufacturer or importer must submit a written application that includes a technical description of the product and a test report showing compliance with the Commission s technical standards. Certification has traditionally been used for low power, unlicensed consumer devices that operate under Parts 15 and 18 of the rules.

6. In order to reduce the complexity of having so many authorization procedures, we proposed to reduce the number to three, which we believe to be the minimum necessary for an effective program. Specifically, we proposed to eliminate the notification procedure, and
to combine the type acceptance procedure with certification. We proposed to retain the two self-authorization procedures, verification and declaration of conformity, although we requested comments on the possibility of combining them.

<snipped>

10. As we stated in the Notice, the current certification and type acceptance procedures are very similar, in that both require the filing of an application form and technical
report, and the filing procedure is the same for both. The primary difference is that certain
technical information filed with the application is different. In light of this, we believe that it is more efficient to combine them into a single category. We understand Motorola's concern about making changes to procedures which have been in existence for a long time. However, we note that Motorola has a thorough understanding of the current system, which other parties may not, due to the large number of filings it has made with the Commission.

As we have found in our dealings with the public, parties that are less familiar with the equipment authorization program frequently are confused by the multiple authorization procedures currently contained in the rules. Having a single procedure for equipment that must be authorized by the Commission will make the rules more understandable and thereby promote compliance. Moreover, we note that the term "certification" is generally used worldwide for a system requiring a third-party product approval. Accordingly, we are simplifying the rules by combining the type acceptance and certification procedures into a single procedure called "certification". We have implemented the suggestions of Rockwell to
clarify the rules.

<snipped>
V. ORDERING CLAUSES

43. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Parts 0, 1, 2, 5, 15, 18, 21, 22, 24, 26, 73, 74, 78, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97 and 101 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations ARE AMENDED as specified in Appendix A effective 90 days after publication in the Federal Register. This action is taken pursuant to Sections 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304
and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307.

FULL Article

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Orders/1998/fcc98058.txt

4638. Twisty do you want a letter from FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:35:21 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <BXydnb8lHuGFMZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147173

Or will have some other reason to say amateur radios don't need to be certified.

FULL Article

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Orders/1998/fcc98058.txt

Section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, authorizes the Commission to make reasonable regulations, consistent with the public interest, governing the interference potential of equipment which emits radio frequency energy. The purpose of this section is to ensure that radio transmitters and other electronic devices meet certain standards to control interference before they reach the market. The Commission carries out its responsibilities under Section 302 in two ways. First, the Commission establishes technical regulations for transmitters and other equipment to minimize their potential for causing interference to radio services. Second, the Commission administers an authorization program to ensure that equipment reaching the market complies with the technical requirements. The authorization program requires that equipment be tested either by the manufacturer or at a private test laboratory to ensure that it complies with the technical requirements. For a large number of devices, once the equipment has been tested and found to comply, it may be marketed without any approval from the Commission. However, for certain equipment which poses a greater risk of interference, the Commission requires the submission of an application which must be reviewed and approved before the equipment can be marketed. The Commission may request a sample of a device to confirm it complies with the applicable technical requirements, but that is only done in a very small number of cases.

III. DISCUSSION

Simplification of Existing Equipment Authorization Processes

5. There are currently five different equipment authorization procedures specified in Subpart J of Part 2 of the Commission's Rules. The following is a brief description of each procedure:

Type acceptance calls for the manufacturer or importer to submit a written application for review and approval by the Commission. The application must include a complete technical description of the product and a test report showing compliance with the technical requirements. The type acceptance procedure has traditionally been applied to radio transmitters that are used in authorized radio services.

Certification is similar to type acceptance. The manufacturer or importer must submit a written application that includes a technical description of the product and a test report showing compliance with the Commission s technical standards. Certification

has traditionally been used for low power, unlicensed consumer devices that operate under Parts 15 and 18 of the rules.

6. In order to reduce the complexity of having so many authorization procedures, we proposed to reduce the number to three, which we believe to be the minimum necessary for an effective program. Specifically, we proposed to eliminate the notification procedure, and
to combine the type acceptance procedure with certification. We proposed to retain the two self-authorization procedures, verification and declaration of conformity, although we requested comments on the possibility of combining them.

<snipped>

10. As we stated in the Notice, the current certification and type acceptance procedures are very similar, in that both require the filing of an application form and technical
report, and the filing procedure is the same for both. The primary difference is that certain
technical information filed with the application is different. In light of this, we believe that it is more efficient to combine them into a single category. We understand Motorola's concern about making changes to procedures which have been in existence for a long time. However, we note that Motorola has a thorough understanding of the current system, which other parties may not, due to the large number of filings it has made with the Commission.

As we have found in our dealings with the public, parties that are less familiar with the equipment authorization program frequently are confused by the multiple authorization procedures currently contained in the rules. Having a single procedure for equipment that must be authorized by the Commission will make the rules more understandable and thereby promote compliance. Moreover, we note that the term "certification" is generally used worldwide for a system requiring a third-party product approval. Accordingly, we are simplifying the rules by combining the type acceptance and certification procedures into a single procedure called "certification". We have implemented the suggestions of Rockwell to
clarify the rules.

<snipped>
V. ORDERING CLAUSES

43. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Parts 0, 1, 2, 5, 15, 18, 21, 22, 24, 26, 73, 74, 78, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97 and 101 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations ARE AMENDED as specified in Appendix A effective 90 days after publication in the Federal Register. This action is taken pursuant to Sections 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304
and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307.

****

§ 2.1060 Equipment for use in the amateur radio service.
(a) The general provisions of §§ 2.925,2.1031, 2.1033, 2.1041, 2.1043, 2.1051,

2.1053 and 2.1057 shall apply to applications for, and grants of, certification for equipment operated

under the requirements of part 97 of this chapter, the Amateur Radio Service.

(b) When performing the tests specified in 2.1051 and 2.1053 of this part, the center of the transmitted bandwidth shall be within the operating frequency band by an amount equal
to 50 percent of the bandwidth utilized for the tests. In addition, said tests shall be made on at
least one frequency in each of the bands within which the equipment is capable of tuning.

4639. Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 05:49:30 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212192149.68f37608@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147175

I need help on how to co-phase 2 Tram skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan. I am new to co-phasing and I want to do it right can anybody here tell me know to do it right. I need the exact distance to space the two antennas apart to get the best direction out of them. Any other info in this areaw would help and what to use to do this correctly.

4640. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 06:10:37 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02B45D.1AAFF294@listed.here>
Source record: 147177

DJboutit wrote:
>
> I need help on how to co-phase 2 Tram skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge
> caravan. I am new to co-phasing and I want to do it right can anybody
> here tell me know to do it right. I need the exact distance to space
> the two antennas apart to get the best direction out of them. Any
> other info in this areaw would help and what to use to do this
> correctly.

Another time where simple is better. One Wilson 1000 mag mount
in the center of the roof is good for about 100 watts.

4641. Re: FUNNY! A CB Christmas?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 06:46:25 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02BCC1.52C49C36@listed.here>
Source record: 147180

sideband wrote:
>
> Absolutely precious. Definitely a keeper.
>
> Thanks for this!
>
> -SSB
>
> Cowboy67 wrote:
> > http://www.twistedradio.com/show/downloads/whitetrash.asp

Nothing like adding a few more .swf's to my growing collection.

4642. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 07:01:50 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E02B39B.7010908@cac.net>
Source record: 147176

Prof:

Think you could build me a 40M version of that little amp of yours?
Email me and let me know how much it'd cost, etc.

de KR8LR, Chris

-SSB

Brian Griffey wrote:
> Not so... spam has no freqeuency associated with it. Once in a while or all
> the time is still the same... spam!
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net...
> lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't
> really warranted...
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...
>
>
>
>

4643. Re: FUNNY! A CB Christmas?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 07:09:19 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E02B55C.3040807@cac.net>
Source record: 147178

Absolutely precious. Definitely a keeper.

Thanks for this!

-SSB

Cowboy67 wrote:
> http://www.twistedradio.com/show/downloads/whitetrash.asp
>
>
>

4644. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 07:21:06 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E02B81F.6080405@cac.net>
Source record: 147179

Replace the AM power regulator. It's a transistor on the back wall of
the rig with a red, a yellow, and a black wire going from it to the
board on the right side (where the power cable comes in).

Common problem with the Grant and 148. It might also pay to go out to
Radio Shack and get a small heat sink, drill a small hole in it, and
mount it on the outside back of the radio using the same screw that
the AM power regulator mounts to the back with.. Heat sink this part,
and it will last MUCH longer.

HTH

-SSB

terry altman wrote:
> I am having trouble with this radio and need help or suggestions on
> repairing it. When I first key it up when i get in the truck in the morning
> it works for about a minute ,but then it loses all the power on A.M. but it
> works fine on the side bands. Could someone tell me what is wrong or what to
> replace to fix this problem.
> THANKS IN ADVANCED FOR THE HELP,
> s.c.m. 124 OHIO
>
>
>

4645. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 08:05:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E02CF3F.6FEC777@cwis.net>
Source record: 147181

DJ,
My first inclination is to try to talk you out of
using 'co-phased' antennas. But if you really want to
then here's how.
The antennas should be spaced about 9 feet appart, a
couple of inches one way or the other won't make much
difference. Each antenna should be tuned exactly alike,
and for the same frequency. You will beed a 'phasing'
harness of 75 ohm coax to connect the two antennas, and
enough 50 ohm coax to reach your radio.
You have two choices about the 'phasing' harness, you
can buy one, or make your own. That just depends on how
'handy' you are with a soldering iron, and PL-259s. If
you decide to make your own, then you will need about
15 feet of either RG-59 or RG-11 coax. That just
depends on how your antennas are fed, the RG-11 is the
big stuff, the RG-59 is the smaller sized coax, use which
ever will work with your antennas. Each 'phasing' line
needs to be 5'10" long, measured from the antenna to the
tip of the PL-259. After measuring, cutting, putting on the
PL-259 connectors for both 'phasing' lines, run them to
a 'T' connector. The third connection on the 'T' is where
the feed line to the radio connects. The coax to the radio
should be 50 ohm coax (what ever kind you prefer). That's
about it.
'Doc

4646. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 08:06:34 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <McAM9.183$4H1.6872@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 147182

Do these guys have a online catalogue or website? thanks again?

regards Adrian
"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:lzuM9.33980$VA5.4238496@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> ye rf parts carries those
> "Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
> news:rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> > Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> > superdiode
> >
> > Thanks for any help
> >
> > regards Adrian
> >
> >
>
>

4647. Mod for TRC 485

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 08:33:26 UTC
From: Eddy <iw0gvp@edmondoconetta.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <aCAM9.7016$cN3.1143380@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 147183

There is anyway to modify the Radio Shack TRC 485 ?
I can't find nothing on the web.
Thank you!

4648. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 08:44:12 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <atulcaeec1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 147184

U&#65533;ytkownik "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:attvsk$2l4gg$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> A Cobra 25 or 29LTD can swing 20watts with a 10watt deadkey when
done
> properly...Bill at Jaguar electronics in Duncan,SC at the T/A
should be able
> to
> help you achieve your goal
* Hi,
You should know, than having a 100% modulation is much better,
than - if swing is 20W, the dead key MUST be 5W. There's
no need to hear from somebody "S9", but it's very useful
to be understood.
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

4649. Re: cobra 2000 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 11:36:36 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220063636.29733.00000124@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 147185

Ken's electronics carrys replacement meters.

4650. Re: Mod for TRC 485

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 13:40:54 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E031DE6.EDF85E5B@listed.here>
Source record: 147186

Eddy wrote:
>
> There is anyway to modify the Radio Shack TRC 485 ?
> I can't find nothing on the web.
> Thank you!

As I smack the side of my record player, 'cause it's skipping,

The RS485 can't be converted. Any other radio with "485" in
the model can be converted.

4651. Re: Mod for TRC 485

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 13:43:05 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E031E69.B449FE90@listed.here>
Source record: 147187

There was a post in here about a week ago detailing
what surface mount chips to replace in the RS unit.

4652. FS Amp,Solid state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:16:58 UTC
From: W S <w9bbb@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3e0325e6$0$1456$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 147188

Hi all,
Got a unfinished 140 watt amp mounted in a die cast aluminum box.Needs finishing touch.Will put out the above pwr with 3 watt dvr.Uses a pair of 454.Linear for all modes.Have paper work .$100 shipped in 48.WS

4653. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:17:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21472-3E03265E-46@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147194

I need help on how to co-phase 2 Tram skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan. I am new to co-phasing and I want to do it right can anybody here tell me know to do it right. I need the exact distance to space the two antennas apart to get the best direction out of them. Any other info in this areaw would help and what to use to do this correctly.
--
The "best" distance to have them apart isn't possible on your van. Matter of fact, co-phasing on the van will actually be a detriment to any performance and any attempted directional increase will be marginal at best. Rethink your antenna set-up.

4654. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:32:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093221.18095.00000326@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147189

>This is a tough crowd too..if you're here to impress.

Whos trying to impress?

4655. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:33:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093335.18095.00000327@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147190

>First, we'll work on the spelling, then the grammar.
>

Screw the spelling and grammar, if I was interested in that I would go to a
Speeling Newsgroup.

4656. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:34:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093443.18095.00000328@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147191

> I got your sailor hanging between my legs
>>
>Witty.

You liked that huh?

4657. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:35:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093537.18095.00000329@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147192

>What is he doing down there???? Is his name popeye?

You usually dont call it Popeye

4658. Re: WA3MOJ, do you read what you post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:36:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21472-3E032B04-50@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147195

Taken from Georges quoted post he claims governs Dave Hall's use of Davemades, Texas Stars, and a Galaxy radio:

"Second, the Commission administers an authorization program
to ensure that equipment reaching the market complies with the technical
requirements. The
authorization program requires that equipment be tested either by the
manufacturer or at a
private test laboratory to ensure that it complies with the technical
requirements. "

Hmmm...by the "manufacturer or a private test lab." Since N3CVJ is neither, it is safe to say he was indeed lying.

Let us continue:

"However, for certain equipment which
poses a greater risk of interference, the Commission requires the
submission of an application
which must be reviewed and approved before the equipment can be
marketed. The
Commission may request a sample of a device to confirm it complies with
the applicable
technical requirements, but that is only done in a very small number of
cases."

You claimed the amps can't be used in their current form but failed to say why. The above passage appears to blow your theory, and Hall's out of the water.
Also, according to subpart B in the last paragraph, it states, and I quote:

"transmitted bandwidth shall be within the operating frequency band by
an amount equal
to 50 percent of the bandwidth utilized for the tests."

Yesterday you quoted a line and claimed IT was correct concerning a "below 40 db mean power level" concerning transmitters AND ther sources of emissions and your quote in this post claims the same thing,,no distinguishing between trasmitter or amp..are they both correct as applying to radios or amps or one or not the other? But forget that for a sec,,concerning the above, N3CVJ claimed he was going to tell the group, if anyone inquired (which they did) the steps he took to make his Davemade equal to 50 percent of the bandwith utilized for tests. I have been informed he has claimed the impossible concerning his abilities and what he had to work with concerning the Davemade AND Texas Star. Thanks

for the heads up and for cementing his lies..next time, try not to try
so hard and he'll probably come away cleaner without your input.

4659. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:37:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093745.18095.00000330@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147193

>Only faggots and sailors are called brucie
>
>

Your Wife, I mean your boyfreind does think so.

4660. OT: Merry Christmas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:43:09 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <atvbh1kan1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 147196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Merry Christmas and a happy new year to all on the newsgroup.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4661. Re: Twistys asks and he recieves..read it and weep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:53:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21474-3E032EDA-9@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147301

Wa3moj tried a N3CVJ lie to anothe hammie with:
>Sorry Jim, I had dug thru many links it was >late etc etc,

(ROAR!I) Late had nothing to do with it.....you were scrambling and desperate and intentionally posted some bullshit that you obviously didn't read or did read and thought you and Hall's ignorance was widesread.

> did cut and copy many things, he should be >paying me to prove him wrong.

That's what I say about Hall and his Phelps, freebanding, dxing, amp using, breaking the law, lies, electronic abilities, and email addresses, to scratch nly the surface.

>Amateur Radios do indeed need to be

If nothing else you were taught the difference between type acceptance and certification which you obviousl didn't know yesterday (you know,because was ."late" (mmmmmphh.ahahha)! Can you now attempt to explain how he brought his amps in line with this spectral purity level that you admit is different from a radio but posted something from the FCC saying the exact opposite (that any DEVICE must be brought into line) without having it tested by an official lab? How about his comment concerning the "Phelps" or his admitted freebanding? OW AOUT YOUR comments saying these amps are illegal PERIOD...of course, you can always deny saying it so I can pen you up in the same hog stall as Hall merely by allowing your own words to cut your throat....hey..you guys really are two peas in a pod..and you live within repeater earshot of each other and you both display a vulgar inquiry into the personal lives of cb strangers and you both lie like rugs......quite an exclusive hammie clique you have there,,,no wonder N8WWM, Gililand, and Kc8LDO were made a part of your troll brigade. Dingleberries cling together.

4662. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 14:55:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21472-3E032F6E-54@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147300

Hey space truckin, brain dead git.. I'm not quoted here. You
dumbass,don't snip my text,if you're gonna leave me in the header!!
What a fine example of ham radio you turned out to be...You can't even
do Usenet..
Merry Christmas.
--
Tha tactic was patented and perfected by Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO and
Frank Gililand, in that order.

4663. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:05:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17571-3E0331C1-43@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147302

Mopar wrote:
What a fine example of ham radio you turned out to be...You can't even do Usenet..
--

>Why do you worry about trivial things like that? >I mean really steve. It wasn't intentional, but >why why I ask

Puh-leeze! His concerns pale in comparison to your pathetic cries and misguided worries.
You haven't answered why you not only worried, but displayed a passion not seen since Romeo and Juliet concerning me cornering N3CVJ in bullshit lies. I feel many more would be interested in your spousal-like "defense-of-Hall-at-all-costs" rather than *why* someone would alert you to your inept usenet habits, especially when you are misquoting that person.

4664. Crackhead Chapwoman flaps his penis holders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:11:49 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212200711.624aa86f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147298

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<BqwM9.18174$6H6.659274@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212191859.19bab46a@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021219171857.07080.00000437@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> > > >Only faggots and sailors are called brucie.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Your Mama doesnt call me Brucie
> >
> >
> > brucie,
> >
> > I am sure you are correct. She died in 1993.
>
>
> Was it because of something she caught you doing?

I dunno, Crackhead. Is that sore on your lip from having to suck cock
to get cocaine?

4665. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:14:15 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <atvbtr$310g8$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 147297

The whole purpose of co-phasing is to achieve a 3db gain in two directions
while sacrificing side 2 side.
Co-phasing splits the available power between 2 antennas but gain can be
realized in 2 directions when and if the 1/4~ antennas are spaced a 1/4~
apart (9ft.)
Without the proper spacing the near-fields overlap and no gain is realized
therefore
a single antenna will outperform a poorly installed co-phase rig.
I would suggest u do your research on RF radiation patterns.

--
Mad Dog
"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212192149.68f37608@posting.google.com...
> I need help on how to co-phase 2 Tram skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge
> caravan. I am new to co-phasing and I want to do it right can anybody
> here tell me know to do it right. I need the exact distance to space
> the two antennas apart to get the best direction out of them. Any
> other info in this areaw would help and what to use to do this
> correctly.

4666. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:15:35 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <atvc0a$30sh4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 147299

rfparts.com

--
Mad Dog
"Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> superdiode
>
> Thanks for any help
>
> regards Adrian
>
>

4667. Re: DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:25:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17571-3E03365C-46@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147306

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:goOdnbQ644__55-jXTWcow@comcast.com...
"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year"
Dave Hall may construct a non type certified amp or he may modified a
non type certified amp(such as his Dave made).

>The key word is he may use a modified one.

But what about the posts where he, AND you in the past, have said these
amps are not legitimate (YOU) or legal (HALL). Appears you also share
the selective application of the law that Hall has demonstrated he holds
himself above.

>And yes I believe it means that when modified >it has to meet the same
requirements of type >certified ones.

You still can't tell the difference between "type acceptance" and
"certificated." *You* posted the definitions yet erroneously refer to
them as the same thing.

4668. Stay away from them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:31:05 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <atvctd$32di4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 147303

Recently i was browsing the shelves of the CB shop in Wildwood,fl. when a customer
walked in requesting a peak/tweak on a Cobra 29LTD.
The lady popped the covers performed a clip,snip,twist and said here ya go it's
doing about 20 watts.....i was apalled.
No meters were attached to the radio whatsoever but she was psychic as to the
radios performance and condition.
Dave or Debby should get a kick outta this place next to the T/A.

--
Mad Dog

4669. Re: Here George make up your mind...read up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:37:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17571-3E033945-47@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147305

And like Hall, you managed to place yourself on both sides of an argument. You have made some whoppers concerning the legality of amps...some very interesting posts of you blaiting those who would use such amps..even went as far and said why would a real hammie use such *crap* when they can use a Henry or similar amp.
Anyways...you quoted....

"(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application for, and grant of certification."

Well, YOU claimed he changed the bias, which in effect would indeed *change* the identification of the device,,,as you claim it would now be legal. He, or you, can post, anytime y'all feel like it, his new grant of certification that is precedent setting.

>If the changes affect the characteristics
>required to be reported,

Geee.....such as spurious emissions and harmonics?

>a complete application shall be filed.

Did he file it for the Davemade, Texas Star, or both?

4670. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:40:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17571-3E033A09-49@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147308

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219181042.485$PB@newsreader.com...
"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote: "The truth about
ChukkeeSukkee" <chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com> wrote in message
Sung to Rudolph the Red Nosed reindeer

Dweebo the keyclown fagboy
  Has a very jizz soaked face
And if you ever saw him
You would have to say he blows
(snip)
You'll go down in History

>You said you liked Tim..<

I do like Tim I was asked to post that to the group, thought it was
funny.
--

No stretch there. Finding humor in same-sex topics or perverted sexual
talk has always been the way of yourself, N8, Hall and 'Nad.

4671. Re: Corrected copy of Is Nebo Frosty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:43:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17572-3E033AA1-19@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147309

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219202308.012$g8@newsreader.com...
Do you do everything dogie asks?
Merry Christmas.
--
Merry Christmas to you too, I am not sure if it dogie or not I was sent
in a email asking to post it, the email self deleted after opening, many
tasks are passed along the chain in the AKC organization this way.
--
Tasks are accomplishments, which voids your post.

4672. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 15:49:28 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <koe60voh1raba91jnsek1lvrubkplviujt@4ax.com>
Source record: 147304

On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 12:15:31 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>You have good answers already to your query; engineers would get fired if
>they used components readily capable of much more than they run at (cost
>overrun). Stock radios (non-export) aren't capable of modification without
>problems (at least not enough to be meaningful). You'll likely need at
>least 20 watts to be noticeably stronger (that would be 6 dB over 5 watts -
>a 4X power increase) and stock radios aren't going to do this.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
****
It has been my experience that some on the CB band prefer to push the
components to the max. Reliability and long life are secondary
concerns as to max output. Their concerns for replaceing transistors
often is near nonexistant. They are more conceerned how far to thge
right the watt meter swings, not how long it will do it.

james

>"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:25273-3E01179B-69@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>> I am looking to get a new CB radio and would like to boost the
>> power from 5 watts to maybe only 20 to 25 watts. I don't want to go
>> crazy and pump out 200 watts. I just want a little extra power. Could
>> someone reccomend a way to boost the output just a moderate amount and
>> the best radio that would suit this purpose. Thak You Very Much.
>> Please e-mail me directly if possible.
>>
>

4673. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:11:02 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <aiHM9.3474$37.384842@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 147307

Contact XFMFSupport@aol.com or RollingRadios@aol.com for repair and warranty info.

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND IT
> BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net
>
>

4674. Re: (Please Help) My X-Force Amp Is A HD60012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:12:44 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <MjHM9.3475$37.385092@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 147311

Contact RollingRadios@aol.com or XFMFSupport@aol.com both can help.

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:EisM9.33900$VA5.4206558@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND
> IT MANUALLY BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME.
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net
>
>

4675. Re: Mod for TRC 485

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:17:17 UTC
From: Eddy <iw0gvp@edmondoconetta.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <2pHM9.7118$B_6.1526712@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 147310

I founded this link...
it this the right one?
tnx

http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E031E69.B449FE90@listed.here...
> There was a post in here about a week ago detailing
> what surface mount chips to replace in the RS unit.

4676. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:32:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17572-3E03460D-21@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147314

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:651-3E024FD1-4@storefull-
Sure it can be used legally by a ham in it's present form. You still
have failed to show anything that claims it cannot be used by an
authorized hammie.

>Type certification is all you need to ask about. >Go do some homework.

You're the one unable to distinguish. Hell, you even were chasing
rainbows form another land with your"ministry" report.
-
 Smoke and mirrors..the "mistry of Telecommunications" was a novel
approach...

>The FCC uses the same criteria when they >"type certify" radios for use
in the US.

Hahhaahahahahh!

>Remember the FCC isn't the only ones who >use the airwaves they all
fall under the ITU. In >fact the heading and title was exactly about
>what we talked about Amateur Radio >Technical Guidelines.

The requirements for radios are vastly different concerning exports
verses imports.

--
are you that desperate to post something from a foreign government and
try to
come across as if it applies somehow?

>actually I had so many links and I did >accidently include that one,
but the point >remains the FCC type certidfies radios the >exact same
way.

Information rate? We were talking of Dave Hall's claim that his amps
were legal for him to use.

>NO we were not, you have been arguing with >me about Galaxy radios and
there use by >hams for weeks,

Not true. You inserted yourself into the lies Hall was being called on
and attempted to defend him by saying his amps were legal for him to
use..

>it is you who keeps attempting to bring DAVE >HALLS NAME UP at every
attempt.

Actually, it is you that is trying with every ounce of energy to get me to drop the focus on Hall but it ain't gonna happen as long as you keep crying or util he answers for several of his claims...most specifically why he feels he has the right to act like a dick to others for doing the same thing he does...oh yea,why he lied concerning the cb bust would be acceptable also..and maybe an explanation of just *why* he lies so much. I mean, I know, and you know he is pathological, but the explanations from him are so much more entertaining and incongruous.

>I hope you got him a nice christmas present,

You had a big hand in it,,,and I thank you.

>he sounds like he has you by the balls.

And we al know where this conversation is going once you begin to show your lack of control and that sparkling class you were brought up with.....

--
You failed to show the "spectral purity" "scale" or rules or whatever you claim
exists that allows him to operate
his Texas Star and Davemades The Galaxy was an additional statement, one that
you are still incorrect about.

>No in fact it is the exact opposite, I have been >telling you for weeks about the Galaxy, you >keep attempting to bring DAVE HALL into the >equation

No. You keep trying to take him out of it.

> I told you before I don't want to talk about >DAVE HALL

Then take your personal problems to email, then, as Davey-boys claims was and is the topic. He has too many self-contradicting posts and claims to answer for..

> In fact did I not say I will not engage you if >you bring his name up again.

You shouldn't have engaged me in the first place,,the topic didn't concern you.

>Well sorry must hold my ground when you >care to debate the true facts anout Galaxy >Radios and NOT DAVE HALL.

The Galaxy item was a byproduct of the original text and not the main concern.
You remain fixated on it and it only.
I prefer to concentrate on any of the dozens of lies Hall arrogantly tries to pass off on this group. Unlike him, I care not WHY he does it...this is a cb group, not his or yours personal mental health therapy group.

>Post without mentioning his name and we can >continue.

C'ya. Now maybe N3CVJ can come back and post under his real call without claiming he had to go away for a while. <g>..and maybe even provide some relief for himself by getting over himself and moving on from his lies, or he can continue to harbor a personal agenda like I claimed he does, and has. I feel this is perfectly illustrated by the analogy that a leopard doesn't change it's spots...see, he can simply move on from his mistakes like a man, or he can wallow and hurl attacks and off-topic hypocritical ad-hominem attacks like he has superbly demonstrated.

>This is the info you asked for FCC >requirements for Radios

Again, it doesn't say anything at all about a Galaxy. Are you merely implying that a Galaxy out of the box emits spurious emissions that violate the "40db below mean power" you wrote?

>It does not have to a galaxy isnt type certified.

We were talking about a Galaxy in addition to his amps. You avoided the question. Are you claiming a Galaxy out of the box emits spurious emissions that violate the "40 db below mean power" you quoted?

>It doesnt mention any names of radio did you >notice that?

Yea,,matter of fact, I even pointed it out to you.

>I am implying it may violate the 40db below >mean power, here is the crux of it all.....

Now you're saying it "may"?
Well, doesnt that allude to exactly what I am saying? If it doesn't violate it right out of the box (which it very well doesn't...c'mon, man, I thought you had the knowledge concerning radio to put me to shame, baba.....apparently you know not what you espouse) then it is perfectly acceptable and legal for an authorized hammie to use it. BWTTHHHHHTTTTTTTT! <g>

> That is why radios are type certified to find >out if they meet the FCC spec's for them to be >offered for sale, the manufacturer send the >FCC Lab the radio to be analyzed if it meets >spec then all of that current model can be >offered for sale. The point is the galaxy radio >or none of the current export radios have done >this that means they are not type certified,

This much we agree on.

>why do you think the FCC does not allow them >to be sold?

Poor policy, just like every other beauracratic decision they make..

> I mean really Twsity you are trying to argue >something that should be common sense.

Sort of like Hall and his freebanding comments.......
--

YOU were the one that lumped them together.
Show the info needed to
allow N3cvj'S claim to be accurate allowing him to use the amps,,,

>BBBBBZZZZZZZZZ there is the buzzword >again DAVE HALL....
>STOP TRYING TO CHANGE THE TOPIC.

George, the topic was me bouncing Dave Hall off the wall concerning his lies when you inserted yourself into this thread and messed him up even more with our incoherent babbling about foreign radio policy.
****
>Right there is an outright lie I started this
thread

Umm..no, you didn't..you merely changed the title of the subject.

> , so it was you who inserted yourself. I also >posted info right from FCC, in my haste to >post info I did post some other amateur

Your certainly did...just like I claimed yesterday.."in your haste".

>Yet all follow the same guidelines whether you >like it or not.

It's not me that has a problem with rules or guidelines or even abiding by them..it's N3CVJ, and you, from what I am hearing recently. More to come on this as the world turns.....

>This was IN regard to >RADIOS/TRANSMITTERS

-
Acyually, it was about N3CVJ's lies when you inserted yourself and I allowed you to fondloff topic for awhile.

>Never was about DAVE HALL, I started this >thread It is you who inserted your opinion >whcih is allways DAVE HALL

No, I started the thread, you changed the title and topic.

>Nothing that you have said the issue was me >starting this thread and you attempting to >"TWISTEDHED" it to your topic DAVE HALL
>legal status of the Galaxy and N3CVj's use of >a Texas Star and Davemade

Not true. You inserted yourself telling me it was legal for Hall to run his Davemade and Texas Star.

>Funny you bring up the texas star, it is a cw >transmitter, when modified it is allowed to be >used by a ham, he may modify 1 non type >certified amp per year, the texas star is a non >type certified amp.
-
But the point has been lost on you intentionally. Fortunately, the group is not subject to the arrogance you two attempt. His claims concerning his amps, freebanding, Phelps, cb arrests, don't jive with his other self-contradictions and claims. The exact nature of his illegalities are irrelevant, despite your love for him and attempts to circumvent the

light being cast upon his swill.

--
Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing of the sort concerning
bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be "aligned".

>No one said you have to take a linear >anywhere to get "aligned" the >above is about non- certified radios like the >GALAXY...

This is where you trip all the time. Hammie radios need not be certified.

>Homebrew radios don't have to be type >certified unless you plan on selling them, >Manufactured radios do indeed have to be >type certified to be used by a ham in the usa.

Ok, now that you appear to have made a slight distinction between type acceptance and the play and interchangeability you frequented with the words "type certified" we appear to be reaching somewhat of an agreement on what constitutes the definition of each.

>A ham cannot use a amateur radio made for >japanese hams they are not legal for use in >the usa, they have different specs and freqs.

Which absolutely voids your pathetic defense and contradicts your earlier claim concerning the "ministry" page you posted and claimed carries the exact same legalities and standards applied here.

--
>A Ham can align it himself if he has the know >how. It just has to meet >the requirements

Which are what, concerning this "spectral purity" you keep as a hymn.

>You know what they are remember the >paragraph from Part 97 about the 40 db down, >oh you forgot I posted them, your only concern >is trying to malign DAVE HAL:L

Not at all. Dave Hall attacked me for no reason other than he has a problem debating those who hold opposing views. Any attempts at maliciousness came from his unfounded claims, offtopic ad-hominem attacks. While it may be true what I post maligns N3CVJ, himself is to blame, for they are all re-posts
of his own words and claims. I asked him several times to take his personal problem to email and he refused and chose to continue with off topic personal attacks. Pointing out his own posts damage his credibility much more than anything I, or you , could possibly conjure.

>I have never said they have to take the radio >or amp anywhere you are >putting words in my mouth again. BTW STOP >CHANGING THE TOPIC .GET DAVE HALL >OF YOUR
>MIND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Dave Hall IS the topic, despite you demanding otherwise and balling up your fists and holding your breath ....

>I started the thread

No..you "changed" it when I allowed. Again, you came into it when I said it was illegal for Hall to use his amps.

>he was not the topic no where near it, so >every time I see DAVE HALL come from your >TV Screen, FUck you and take your dave hall >blow up doll with you.

Exactly what one has come to expect from you when you are not permitted to control the conversation.

--
Just to make certain, I read it a third time and still failed to see where it confirms N3CVJ's

>Go fuck yourself and take your dave hall blow >up doll with you

One day, several sexual innuendos to me and others, and talk of filth form you when you are refused things your way.

-
ODave never had a Phelps, just another lie shown,,and he WAS the topic, George.

>Go fuck yourself and take your dave hall blow >up doll with you

Your superb communication skills that you have managed to hone all these years serve you well.
-
The only thing "everyone knows", is that you don't know a quarter of what you
claim.

>I will give you 3 100% guarantees, you are a >asshole,

Naturally. Anyone who doesn't allow you or Hall or Nad or N8 or any of you lowlives to control things is a natural target from what you aughingly refer to as an "organisation (SIC)."

>You will mention dave Halls anme about 200 >more times, You have not be able to prove me >wrong, I have posted info from FCC page you >turn it into a DAVE HALL athon, Twsity, JUST >BECAUSE YOU SAY IT DOESN"T MAKE IT >SO...

Ditto, George.

>You have not proven anything except you are >in love with DAVE HALL...

Then you must be in love with Landshark.
But you are correct, I have proven nothing concerning N3CVJ. N3CVJ proved N3CVJ is a liar.

4677. Re: Uk coming in....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:33:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17572-3E03464F-22@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147313

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
worked 108 div long wave which was a >pleasure.....
And that's the kind of stuff that makes it all worth it.
-
>Ain't radio fun? ;)<

Lately, it has been an absolute blas...killah DX!

4678. Yaesu Vertex UHF repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:36:42 UTC
From: "William A. Galletti" <wagalletti@n7ym.net>
Message-ID: <uGHM9.34186$VA5.4438471@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147312

I have decided to post this here before I post it on EBay. If you have any questions please email me at: wagalletti@n7ym.net

If the offer is right I'll let it go otherwise I will post it on EBay

http://www.n7ym.net/ebay/vertex/

4679. Re: Palomar 90A 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 16:40:18 UTC
From: ULTRA_1_@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18654-3E0347F2-3@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147316

I've had a few email replies so far but no confirmed sales so I'm just pushing this back to the top in hopes it gets seen by a few more people.

Thanks,
Doug W.

4680. Re: ANY INFO ON 55 ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 17:03:02 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220120302.14161.00000158@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 147315

got it thanks!! 1/2 wave is being made

4681. WTB. 200 AMP ALT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 17:19:31 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220121931.14161.00000159@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 147317

ANY ONE KNOW WHERE I CAN GET A 200AMP ALT FOR A 98 CHEVY P/U.
OR A BRACKET TO RUN 2
E-MAIL ME INFO EXCEPT FOR 6METEROP YOU PIECE OF SHIT. YOUR I.M HAS BEEN SENT TO
THE PROPER ATHORITIES
THIS IS REC RADIO CB. NOT PIECE OF SHIT HAM.
IT ONLY TAKE ONE GUY TO WRECK IT FOR EVERYBODY.
AND BE A MAN AND I DARE YOU TO SAY SOMETHING TO MY FACE.
CHICKEN SHIT. HAS TO HIDE BEHIND A F***N COMPUTER.
SORRY FOR VENTING GUY.

4682. MERRY CHRISTMAS AND HAPPY NEW YEAR !!!! (OFF TOPIC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 18:48:58 UTC
From: "TONY-170 (�lvaro Rocha)" <blackghost_knife@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <3e001935$0$1080$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 147318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

TO ALL MY FRIENDS AROUND THE WORLD !!!!!!!!

BEST 73"

TONY

31-AT-170
KNIGHT PATROL 170

PORTO
PORTUGAL

--
++
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
http://www.alfatango.web.pt/
LDBC # 035
REP # 0801
ICQ/UIN # 15161256
FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER - SETI TEAM PORTUGAL (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a
scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
PORTO - PORTUGAL
"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
c:-)
++

4683. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 18:54:03 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212201054.613e34cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147319

You got that right... but then when it busts... boy do they cry... lol

> It has been my experience that some on the CB band prefer to push the
> components to the max. Reliability and long life are secondary
> concerns as to max output. Their concerns for replaceing transistors
> often is near nonexistant. They are more conceerned how far to thge
> right the watt meter swings, not how long it will do it.

4684. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 18:57:30 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212201057.748890d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147320

All right I give up... what's a superdiode?

"Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:<rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>...
> Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> superdiode
>
> Thanks for any help
>
> regards Adrian

4685. Uniden speaker problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 19:37:09 UTC
From: chucknntp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <71742f2b.0212201137.4a6bcb6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147321

I have a Uniden 520XL, and after about a month of operation (where I frequently had the speaker turned up to max volume in my noisy Jeep), the speaker will work for about 20 minutes, then lose all volume. When I turn off the CB for an hour or so, it will work again for a little while. Any advice?

Also, when I run the line-out signal from the Uniden to my car stereo (it has a line-in on the front), everything sounds great, but when I transmit, I get an enormous amount of screeching feedback. Does this happen when you connect an unamplified speaker to the line-out?

Thanks for any assistance.

4686. Re: Stay away from them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 19:40:05 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <pmKM9.287716$GR5.95808@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147322
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:atvctd$32di4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> Recently i was browsing the shelves of the CB shop in Wildwood,fl. when a
> customer
> walked in requesting a peak/tweak on a Cobra 29LTD.
> The lady popped the covers performed a clip,snip,twist and said here ya go
> it's
> doing about 20 watts........i was apalled.
> No meters were attached to the radio whatsoever but she was psychic as to
> the
> radios performance and condition.
> Dave or Debby should get a kick outta this place next to the T/A.
>
> --
> Mad Dog
>
There lies the problem with good shops trying to make a living. They spend
the money on the equipment to do the work right. Then you have the shops
that buy the equipment, use it for twenty years and never calibrate.

Oh and then you have the shops that have to tune meters they sell to match
what theirs say. What's up with that. Go figure.
Although, we have found being honest is the best policy, these hack and pack
shops that are still in business (what's up with that) never cease to amaze.

That's like selling a pretuned cb antenna. Mull all that over for a while.
Really sets me on edge sometimes.

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf]

4687. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 19:49:14 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <_uKM9.410308$P31.147739@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147324

"piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com...
> Hi Brian (and the group),
snip>
> Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much larger
capacitance range over
> a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to replace a
standard range
> varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super Diode.
>
> snip>

Is that like SUPER MOG? :) >

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4688. Re: Uniden speaker problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 19:53:16 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <LyKM9.410333$P31.147523@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147325

"Charles Chips" <chucknntp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:71742f2b.0212201137.4a6bcb6b@posting.google.com...
> I have a Uniden 520XL, and after about a month of operation (where I
> frequently had the speaker turned up to max volume in my noisy Jeep),
> the speaker will work for about 20 minutes, then lose all volume.
> When I turn off the CB for an hour or so, it will work again for a
> little while. Any advice?
>
> Also, when I run the line-out signal from the Uniden to my car stereo
> (it has a line-in on the front), everything sounds great, but when I
> transmit, I get an enormous amount of screeching feedback. Does this
> happen when you connect an unamplified speaker to the line-out?
>
> Thanks for any assistance.

Tried to e-mail you, Here is my input.

You probably have a bad solder joint in the radio. It is usually under the
mirror board on these radio's.

The solder problem is very common on these smaller radio's. Especially if
you are using this in an off road vehicle. All radio's will eventually
shake apart this way. Some do it a little faster than others.

Deb
--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4689. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 20:25:37 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <51LM9.67130$4W1.62656@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147326

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:waydnZqpq4kUgWegXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> > And who else used to post the "illegal CB transceiver list" all the
time?
> > Initials A.V., though not his real name.
>
> What is your proof of that Tom?

It's not an accusation, it's an observation.

Who has the pattern of behavior? Who exhibits it? We all know who
farted... Come on... I wasn't going to point the finger, and I'm not going
to pull the finger of the person who pointed neither.

I can't believe that these fascist knuckle headed scare tactic fear mongers
have nothing better to do now than scare people out of their own equipment.

Since I was last seriously into this newsgroup this country has been through
a lot. Heck, in my neck of the woods they nabbed 6 Al Qaeda alone.

I simply cannot believe that after all we have been though, and what we are
about to go through that they would still take time out of their lives to
further put fear into the minds of us Americans.

To the original poster of this thread: While you got your head buried in
the sand, why don't you take some time and think about where your ass is at?

My Advice: Find something more constructive to do with your time. Go to
the mall, go see a movie, rent a pay per view. Go christmass shopping! Put
together a puzzle! Anything...

You know, if he'd follow his own logic he'd probably have to junk his own
car!!!! lol

I'll tell ya, people never cease to amaze me. The stupid ones especially.
I've seen some whoppers in my time, but to think that one of my own
countrymen would subscribe to the very premise that our own government would
make people's own personal property contra-band is just absolutely
astounding. Especially when the most physical harm that the consumer
product could possibly do would be to stub a toe when dropped from a
significant height.

I mean wow... He took the effort to post, so he must actually believe that
WE THE PEOPLE would EVER let that sort of thing happen.

For fun, let's just suppose for a moment that something like that could
actually happen in this fine and upstanding nation. But let's say that
instead of a Radio Activist it was an Animal Rights Activist that succeeded

in lobbying for the contra-ban of leather products.

What would happen?????

1.) Everyone would have to get a new wallet or purse. But that's kind of hard when the sign on the door says no shirt, no shoes, no service and it's only lil ole you in your tube socks for fear of being arrested wearing your timberlands or Nikes.

2.) The German-Americans want to make sure their cultural heritage isn't affected, would want a variance covering their lederhosen pants because it infringes on (my heritage as well) cultural heritage. It takes months to tune up a good pair of lederhosen, and lots of sauer kraut & beans too! You can't get the same tone out of Vinyl. The low notes just don't have the impact desired.

3.) Tandy (parent company of Radio Shack) shreds all documents that ever suggested that it ever sold any leather products. This in turn gets the SEC involved in an investigation brought on by the fascist janitor who notices extra shreddings in the trash from the accounting department. This immediately causes massive green peace protests in front of various Radios Shacks. When the CEO of Radio Shack was questioned about the protests he replied, "I do not have an answer."

4.) Bikers across the nation..... It gets more and more rediculous...

BUT THANKS TO THE WISDOM OF THIS NATIONS FOUNDING FATHERS!

We have the grandfather clause... Which insures that something as rediculous as the above cannot happen.

What's really scary though is that some people (original author fingered) seem to think that the government controls the citizens. I would bet that he probably doesn't know the difference between a civilian and a citizen. And if asked would probably call himself a civillian.

China has Civillians.
Iraq has Civillians

The U.S. has Citizens. Why?? Because each and every single one of us is guaranteed those rights. Interesting observation though... Very few people educated in public schools seem to know or understand what those rights are. What's even worse is that the vast majority of those that don't know what their rights are actually consider themselves smart enough to vote! AND!! Of those I would bet most consider it more of a popularity contest than a decision.

Can't we have Civillians in the U.S. ??

Yes... Civillians in the U.S. are from another nationality. A Canadian within the borders of the U.S. is a Civillian. All U.S. Citizens are ALSO civillians. But one would not refer to a Luxury Cadillac as a mere "car". A Hyundai hatchback is a car, but I'd rather have a" luxury vehicle" any day

of the week.

Al Qaeda are not Civillians because they are millitary AND if within the United States are considered behind enemy lines. They are in most cases not U.S. Citizens and since they are military are covered by military law. Not the U.S. Constitution. Which means that if they don't succeed in killing their own hopeless miserable lives we give them a fair trial, then shoot em. ;-)

Al Qaeda that are U.S. Citizens is still a grey area, however I have a feeling that the distinction would boil down to an individual basis. IE, were they Al Qaeda before or after becoming a U.S. Citizen? Before? They are spy... After, they are McVeigh.

If U.S. Citizen before Al Qaeda are they traitors?
No. Why? Because they were not a commisioned officer in any branch of the U.S. Military. When one becomes a commisioned officer in the United States armed forces (rank above sergeant) one swears an oath to the President of the United States, to carry out those orders faithfully. The crime of traitor is generally reserved for commisioned officers in the U.S. Armed Forces and do not apply to civillians. If Al Qaeda and in the U.S. Military but not commisioned, they are again spy. If commisioned they are Traitor.

Are non-commisioned military personnel Civillians?
Obviously not. When one becomes a member of the U.S. Armed forces ones own self becomes G.O.P. (Government Owned Property), and yes... Technically a sodier can be charged on the grounds of destruction of government property after getting a tattoo on their own person that has not been approved by their commanding officer.

Then aren't soldiers slaves?
No. They are paid a wage, and they volunteer unless drafted which is another matter entirely.

Another thing I think that needs to be clarified while I'm at it...

MILITIA: Who can give me an example of one? And I don't mean the Army National Guard. It is a branch of the military and cannot be regarded as Militia.

Here is a prime example folks... Very recent history too! Remember the passengers on board that airliner that crashed in Pennsylvania 9/11? Those very people who ASEEMBLED and took the matter into their own hands on board that very plane are the very definition of Militia. If you think the national guard is the militia you're severly mistaken. If the militia on board that plane did accomplish securing their own lives I would find it hard pressed to find some half cocked attorney to charge them with assault. If you did find one I guarantee their law degree is from harvard.

Quote of the day: "The truth is easy to spot... It doesn't smell like bullshit!"

4690. Re: WTB. 200 AMP ALT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 20:28:39 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040416305.643184@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147327

I have a big alternator that came off a 97 Chevy ambulance (Leesville) That I haven't used since My cb day's.
But I don't get it , You slam the shit out of hams here But You have a ham radio listed for sale that I was interested in at rec.radio.swap.
Dude! with that kind of split personality , I Think I'll keep My alternator and pass on Your HAM radio.
Your a real shcitzo
"Ripsy" <ripsy@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021220121931.14161.00000159@mb-ca.aol.com...
> ANY ONE KNOW WHERE I CAN GET A 200AMP ALT FOR A 98 CHEVY P/U.
> OR A BRACKET TO RUN 2
> E-MAIL ME INFO EXCEPT FOR 6METEROP YOU PIECE OF SHIT. YOUR I.M HAS BEEN SENT TO
> THE PROPER ATHORITIES
> THIS IS REC RADIO CB. NOT PIECE OF SHIT HAM.
> IT ONLY TAKE ONE GUY TO WRECK IT FOR EVERYBODY.
> AND BE A MAN AND I DARE YOU TO SAY SOMETHING TO MY FACE.
> CHICKEN SHIT. HAS TO HIDE BEHIND A F***N COMPUTER.
> SORRY FOR VENTING GUY.

4691. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 20:37:18 UTC
From: piper tweet <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 147323

Hi Brian (and the group),

A variable capacitor can be made by reverse bias voltage across a diode. As you vary the voltage,
the capacitance changes.
You can see them in many vfo circuits, nowadays varicap diodes are common place.

Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much larger capacitance range over
a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to replace a standard range varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super Diode.

The range of an example clarifier would extend from the original few kc's (KHz) of operation up to some much larger value like plus or minus 15 KHz. You would be able to move into the next frequency "channel" or "hole slot". For the most part, only receive operation is changed with the clarifier, but mods are often done which tie both the transmit and receive functions to the clarifier knob.

The M-65 is just a varicap diode with a large range...

hope that helps
cheers
skipp

: Brian Griffey wrote:

> All right I give up... what's a superdiode?
>
> "Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:<rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>...
> > Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> > superdiode
> >
> > Thanks for any help
> >
> > regards Adrian

4692. Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 21:01:30 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6116-3E03852A-5@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147328

I recently posted saying I was looking to increase the output of a CB radio. I wasn't clear enough in my intent. I did /do not want to modify the radio itself. I meant to say I wanted to find an add on or supplementary amplifier to increase the modest 5 watt output of the CB radio by just a small amount (maybe an extra 25 watts or so) without modifieng the radio. I don't know if this is something a linear amp could do. I do not know much about these matters but does a linear amp connect in the antenna wire between the radio and the antenna. Also what range of powers do the linear amps come in and are they adjustable. I currently have a Radio Shack sideband radio and am wondering if there is a particular brand or model of radio that is best suited to this modification. Thank you very much. Pleaase e-mail me directly if possible.

4693. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 21:39:35 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E038E17.8140D69F@cwis.net>
Source record: 147329

JC,
Sounds like you're looking for an amplifier. There
are gobs of different brands and types ranging from
just a few watts output to totally ridiculous amounts,
just depends on how much you want to spend. Most 'CB'
amplifiers are 'hot keyed' meaning there's no connection
between radio and amplifier other than the feed line.
'Doc

4694. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 22:54:15 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E039F97.28C9F124@listed.here>
Source record: 147330

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >First, we'll work on the spelling, then the grammar.
> >
>
> Screw the spelling and grammar, if I was interested in that I would go to a
> Speeling Newsgroup.

That's the only thing you're screwing.

4695. Re: Boosting CB Output Power Just A Little

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 22:56:37 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E03A025.DAB1485C@listed.here>
Source record: 147331

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> You got that right... but then when it busts... boy do they cry... lol

And they want it fixed RIGHT NOW!. Drop what you're doing and fix it.

4696. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 23:24:41 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E03A6B9.92659C4E@listed.here>
Source record: 147332

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> The whole purpose of co-phasing is to achieve a 3db gain in two directions
> while sacrificing side 2 side.
> Co-phasing splits the available power between 2 antennas but gain can be
> realized in 2 directions when and if the 1/4~ antennas are spaced a 1/4~
> apart (9ft.)
> Without the proper spacing the near-fields overlap and no gain is realized
> therefore
> a single antenna will outperform a poorly installed co-phase rig.
> I would suggest u do your research on RF radiation patterns.

Dammit! Two short antennas on my mirrors would look so cool. lol!

(Wouldn't work worth a ----.)

4697. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 23:38:54 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <iSNM9.410825$%m4.126952@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147333

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E038E17.8140D69F@cwis.net...
>
>
> JC,
> Sounds like you're looking for an amplifier. There
> are gobs of different brands and types ranging from
> just a few watts output to totally ridiculous amounts,
> just depends on how much you want to spend. Most 'CB'
> amplifiers are 'hot keyed' meaning there's no connection
> between radio and amplifier other than the feed line.
> 'Doc

They make "CB" amplifier's?

:)
Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4698. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 23:45:04 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E03AB80.49730223@listed.here>
Source record: 147334

DLHicks wrote:
>
> They make "CB" amplifier's?

Sure. Amplified external speakers and antenna preAmplifiers.

4699. Re: Uniden speaker problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 23:53:20 UTC
From: chucknntp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <71742f2b.0212201553.243cbece@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147335

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<LyKM9.410333$P31.147523@rwcrnsc53>...
> "Charles Chips" <chucknntp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:71742f2b.0212201137.4a6bcb6b@posting.google.com...
> > I have a Uniden 520XL, and after about a month of operation (where I
> > frequently had the speaker turned up to max volume in my noisy Jeep),
> > the speaker will work for about 20 minutes, then lose all volume.
> > When I turn off the CB for an hour or so, it will work again for a
> > little while. Any advice?
> >
> > Also, when I run the line-out signal from the Uniden to my car stereo
> > (it has a line-in on the front), everything sounds great, but when I
> > transmit, I get an enormous amount of screeching feedback. Does this
> > happen when you connect an unamplified speaker to the line-out?
> >
> > Thanks for any assistance.
>
> Tried to e-mail you, Here is my input.
>
> You probably have a bad solder joint in the radio. It is usually under the
> mirror board on these radio's.
>
> The solder problem is very common on these smaller radio's. Especially if
> you are using this in an off road vehicle. All radio's will eventually
> shake apart this way. Some do it a little faster than others.
>
> Deb
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

Deb,

Thanks for the info! I will open up the radio and see if I can
visually notice any faulty solder connections.

Chuck

4700. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-20 23:55:14 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <C5OM9.289851$GR5.96111@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147336

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E03AB80.49730223@listed.here...
>
>
> DLHicks wrote:
> >
> > They make "CB" amplifier's?
>
> Sure. Amplified external speakers and antenna preAmplifiers.

Oh Yeah :) Merry Christmas

Deb
--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4701. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 00:40:32 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E03B880.A7CAD59B@listed.here>
Source record: 147337

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> One of my friends asked me to solder some chip or something into his
> playstation-2. (8 pins) Anyone ever done this mod?
>
> TIA.

Trying to play copied games?

4702. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 01:15:05 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E03C099.F51F8E23@cwis.net>
Source record: 147338

Moparholic,
If you've never done any soldering on the 'million' leg chips, you might want to practice on something before you do it for real. You WILL need a low power iron, a 'solder-sucker', and the usual tools for 'small' soldering. An alternative to un-soldering a multi-leg chip is to clip the 'legs' near the chip, remove chip, unsolder legs carefully. Do NOT drink a gallon of coffee before you start! You're gonna need steady hands.
'Doc

PS - Put in a socket instead of soldering the chip to the board...

4703. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 01:41:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <7gh70v8cn9e2q4t770s5d7levomijq196s@4ax.com>
Source record: 147339

On 21 Dec 2002 01:31:26 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>> PS - Put in a socket instead of soldering the chip to
>> the board...
>>
>Thanks a lot Doc,you're always good for straight up advice..I hear the
>jittery hands..lol
>
>He can use a socket instead?

Mo,

Are those full component legs, or hybrid surface mounts? I used to do a ton of soldering in my bench days, but that was about 8 years ago. In addition to Doc, I always found it helpful to put on a bit of fresh solder before user the sucker.

And thanks for reminding me. I need a new solder sucker, because my old one doesn't suck anymore, and that sucks.

BTW, I bought some new gear. email me and I will tell you about it.

Vinnie S.

4704. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 01:42:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <nnh70vgpgjv4qm1ks7dne0hfut4jcvdisf@4ax.com>
Source record: 147340

On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 16:01:30 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote:

> I recently posted saying I was looking to increase the output of a CB
>radio. I wasn't clear enough in my intent. I did /do not want to modify
>the radio itself. I meant to say I wanted to find an add on or
>supplementary amplifier to increase the modest 5 watt output of the CB
>radio by just a small amount (maybe an extra 25 watts or so) without
>modifieng the radio. I don't know if this is something a
>linear amp could do. I do not know much about these matters but does a
>linear amp connect in the antenna wire between the radio and the
>antenna. Also what range of powers do the linear amps come in and are
>they adjustable. I currently have a Radio Shack sideband radio
>and am wondering if there is a particular brand or model of radio that
>is best suited to this modification. Thank you very much. Pleaase
>e-mail me directly if possible.

I think I have seen 40-50 watters. There may be lower.

Vinnie S.

4705. Re: Increaased Output From CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 01:43:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <joh70vs26up2m4poi8881hfunjigsu5gc6@4ax.com>
Source record: 147341

On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 23:55:14 GMT, "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote:

>> > They make "CB" amplifier's?
>>
>> Sure. Amplified external speakers and antenna preAmplifiers.
>
>Oh Yeah :) Merry Christmas
>
>Deb

I got one of those !!!!!!

LOL

Vinnie S.

4706. Looking for a 25 to 200 watt range amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 02:25:23 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212201825.57264af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147342

I am looking for any good amp any in these power range 25 to 200 watts I am looking for one that is $150 or less. I am looking to buy one in the us where can I get a good amp online. Also what amp would you recommond in my power range. I want to use the amp for mobile use mostly and some base use to so I would need a dual use amp.

4707. Heards me a "good-buddy" on 19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 02:59:07 UTC
From: Jack Noff <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <XLQM9.30711$NV.592810@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147343

This evening on channel 19 in Richmond, Virginia (VA),
at about 5:15 / 1715 Hrs Est / 2215 UTC,
A "good-buddy" opened up his mouth and the naughty words started pouring out.
That was fine and dandy. A bunch of us truckers are gonna go to this "subject's" place of living and blindfold and sedate the muthafucka with A 40oz MALT LIQUOR!!
"We'll sew his asshole closed and keep feedin' him and feedin' him and feedin' him !!!" (WU-TANG CLAN)

Ya see, He/She/It was using these words to snare him/her another "good-buddy" but he/she/it was not as smart, as he/she/it was playin' wit his/her/it's own self.
Anyway I gave out a hotline phone number for him/her/it to call. It ain't much, but it'll keep him/her/it busy for a long while.
Next, some very nice folks will come to pick him/her/it up in a secret location with and will soon be on the way to, beautiful "CENTRAL STATE" in Petersburg, Virginia.

They'll give their "new resident" a make believe CB radio so that "new adventures" can be created without someone else ever hearing it. The "good-buddy" will be drugged so much, that no difference will be noticed that the CB ain't real.
It'll have realistic lights and CB sounds that will keep "Good-Buddy" entertained FOR LIFE!!!!!!!

4708. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:13:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 147345

news.verizon.net <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:51LM9.67130$4W1.62656@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
>
> "Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
> news:waydnZqpq4kUgWegXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> > > And who else used to post the "illegal CB transceiver list" all the
> time?
.
>
> I can't believe that these fascist knuckle headed scare tactic fear
mongers
> have nothing better to do now than scare people out of their own
equipment.

No one is proposing that people be deprived of the use of CB Radio! What is
happening is
that those radios that have already been determined NOT to meet the FCC's
technical specs
are allowing an ever bolder group of people to operate on frequencies for
which a license is
required.

>

>
> I simply cannot believe that after all we have been though, and what we
are
> about to go through that they would still take time out of their lives to
> further put fear into the minds of us Americans.

It is not to put fear into people's minds. What you conveniently forget is
that those people
who received authorization to use those bands are being interfered with by
unlicensed persons.
Those people have "rights", too. They have every reason to expect that
their turf will be protected
just as much as CBers have the right to use channel 1 thru 40.
>

>
> I'll tell ya, people never cease to amaze me. The stupid ones especially.
> I've seen some whoppers in my time, but to think that one of my own
> countrymen would subscribe to the very premise that our own government
would
> make people's own personal property contra-band is just absolutely
> astounding.

Property that is not legal to import to begin with, and wouldn't even cause
a raised brow
IF they were being used on the regular 40. But that property is being used
in the commission of
an illegal act; that being operation on frequencies for which the users have
no license.

Especially when the most physical harm that the consumer
> product could possibly do would be to stub a toe when dropped from a
> significant height.

See above that OTHER people have a reasonable expectation of protection from
intrusions
on their frequencies from unlicensed bandit operators.

>
> I mean wow... He took the effort to post, so he must actually believe
that
> WE THE PEOPLE would EVER let that sort of thing happen.

Continue to believe that nothing can or will be done about the use of those
Galaxy and
Connex radios on the ham bands without license. There are efforts and
discussions about
how to combat this at this time, and you can expect to see *some* publicity
about this sometime
this year. There are several hams that are in Congress, at least one of
which is on the powerful
Committee on Communications. I know for a fact that that ham is aware of
this problem. Sure, there are other more pressing things going on, but they
WILL get around to this---just like they
did with the PL106-521.

>
> For fun, let's just suppose for a moment that something like that could
> actually happen in this fine and upstanding nation. But let's say that
> instead of a Radio Activist it was an Animal Rights Activist that
succeeded
> in lobbying for the contra-ban of leather products.

Rights have (read slowly) N O T H I N G to do with the use of a radio. In
this country, the
government (Congress) has sole control of the airwaves and may allow or deny
the use of same
as it sees fit. So the fact remains that certain radios do NOT meet the
government's technical requirements for use on CB, nor are they to be
imported. Again, if the bandit operators hadn't
strayed onto 10 and 12 Meters, you wouldn't even be hearing about this.
THEY are the ones to
blame for any negative results that Congress may impose.

>

>
> BUT THANKS TO THE WISDOM OF THIS NATIONS FOUNDING FATHERS!
>
> We have the grandfather clause... Which insures that something as
> rediculous as the above cannot happen.

There is NO grandfather clause (this a patently stupid premise) governing a product that is
banned from import into the US.
>
> What's really scary though is that some people (original author fingered)
> seem to think that the government controls the citizens. I would bet that
> he probably doesn't know the difference between a civilian and a citizen.
> And if asked would probably call himself a civillian.

Exactly, BUT our government seeks to treat ALL fairly under the existing law. In your defense of
one group (those who import, sell, and use those Galaxys, etc), you fail to take into account the
protection given to those affected by illegal operators. Once again, none of us have a "right" to use
a radio; it is a privilege granted by the government that they can also deny.
>
>
> The U.S. has Citizens. Why?? Because each and every single one of us is
> guaranteed those rights. Interesting observation though... Very few people
> educated in public schools seem to know or understand what those rights are.
> What's even worse is that the vast majority of those that don't know what
> their rights are actually consider themselves smart enough to vote! AND!!
> Of those I would bet most consider it more of a popularity contest than a
> decision.

Rights. Those are C I V I L rights, none of which applies to CB radio.
>

> ;-)

Jerry
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/29/02

4709. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:25:04 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <WaRM9.56$AB2.5182@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 147344

no-matter I found some - not at rf parts
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021220200810.983$lS@newsreader.com...
> briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote:
> > All right I give up... what's a superdiode?
> >
> .
> A big ass check valve?
> >
> .
> > "Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:<rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>...
> > > Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> > > superdiode
> > >
> > > Thanks for any help
> > >
> > > regards Adrian
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

4710. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:32:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E03E0BA.3C42D737@cwis.net>
Source record: 147346

Moparholic,
The socket just depends on the particular chip
size/structure. Definite maybe, sort of...
'Doc

4711. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:34:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E03E148.FF894E1D@cwis.net>
Source record: 147197

Moparholic,
Not hard to do, just change the length of one of the
phasing lines from an electrical 1/4w to an electrical
1/2 wave. You can do that with a 2-pole antenna switch
and a 'T'.
'Doc

4712. 36

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:42:46 UTC
From: cb142stl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220224246.01773.00000268@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 147198

what up

4713. Re: Heards me a "good-buddy" on 19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:50:20 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <au0og102d8c@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147201

"Jack Noff" <nocrap@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:XLQM9.30711$NV.592810@news.direcpc.com...
> This evening on channel 19 in Richmond, Virginia (VA),
> at about 5:15 / 1715 Hrs Est / 2215 UTC,
> A "good-buddy" opened up his mouth and the naughty words started pouring
> out.
> That was fine and dandy. A bunch of us truckers are gonna go to this
> "subject's" place of living and blindfold and sedate the muthafucka with A
> 40oz MALT LIQUOR!!
> "We'll sew his asshole closed and keep feedin' him and feedin' him and
> feedin' him !!!" (WU-TANG CLAN)
>
> Ya see, He/She/It was using these words to snare him/her another
> "good-buddy" but he/she/it was not as smart, as he/she/it was playin' wit
> his/her/it's own self.
> Anyway I gave out a hotline phone number for him/her/it to call. It ain't
> much, but it'll keep him/her/it busy for a long while.
> Next, some very nice folks will come to pick him/her/it up in a secret
> location with and will soon be on the way to, beautiful "CENTRAL STATE" in
> Petersburg, Virginia.
>
> They'll give their "new resident" a make believe CB radio so that "new
> adventures" can be created without someone else ever hearing it. The
> "good-buddy" will be drugged so much, that no difference will be noticed
> that the CB ain't real.
> It'll have realistic lights and CB sounds that will keep "Good-Buddy"
> entertained FOR LIFE!!!!!!!
>
>
>

"Breakers breakers channel nineteen. This here's Dr. Goodbuddy and I'm in mad love with all of you diesel pumpin jockeys. It just excites me so much when I see you horny macho men go by knowing your all alone and got nothing better to do than to slap that little monkey around all day long. Why don't you come and park that nasty big rig of yours beside me and my liltle mobile massage parlor? Lay your tired naked body on my leather massage table and let me take care of relaxing every one of your worn out muscles. With extra special attention to that nice one you just love to massage yourself. Now come come you diesel jockey, I know you're out there and eager to be taken care of. Now come on back to Dr. Goodbuddy. Breakers breakers. Any takers?"

4714. Re: 36

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 03:54:07 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220225407.15300.00000200@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 147199

wut up

Thatz-me-JP

4715. Right mod for TRc 485?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 04:14:11 UTC
From: Eddy <iw0gvp@edmondoconetta.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <7VRM9.8496$B_6.1658580@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 147200

I founded this link...
it this the right one?
tnx

http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html
If I change the microprocessor of the radio, with the microprocessor of
another radio,the mod will work or not?
TNx

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E031E69.B449FE90@listed.here...
> There was a post in here about a week ago detailing
> what surface mount chips to replace in the RS unit.

4716. Re: DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 04:42:11 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <aYadnQPEZ7-5bJ6jXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147202

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17571-3E03365C-46@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:goOdnbQ644__55-jXTWcow@comcast.com...
"Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year"
Dave Hall may construct a non type certified amp or he may modified a
non type certified amp(such as his Dave made).

>The key word is he may use a modified one.

But what about the posts where he, AND you in the past, have said these
amps are not legitimate (YOU) or legal (HALL).

They are not legitimate, why do you think they are? The amp must be made to
perform just like the type accepted ones afetr he mods it.

Appears you also share
the selective application of the law that Hall has demonstrated he holds
himself above.

>And yes I believe it means that when modified >it has to meet the same
requirements of type >certified ones.

You still can't tell the difference between "type acceptance" and
"certificated." *You* posted the definitions yet erroneously refer to
them as the same thing.

They are the same exact thing, you have poor comprehension skills I already have
proven the FCC has said they are the exact same thing. Just because you don't
understand it doesn't mean it is not true.

4717. Re: DAVE HALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 04:43:10 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <ONGdnZcJ793CbJ6jXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 147203

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021220211634.235$HE@newsreader.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> > From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git=A0Some)
> > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > news:goOdnbQ644__55-jXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > "Hollingsworth said FCC rules permit an individual amateur to construct
> > or modify a non-certificated RF power amplifier once per calendar year"
> > Dave Hall may construct a non type certified amp or he may modified a
> > non type certified amp(such as his Dave made).
> >
> > >The key word is he may use a modified one.
> >
> > But what about the posts where he, AND you in the past, have said these
> > amps are not legitimate (YOU) or legal (HALL). Appears you also share
> > the selective application of the law that Hall has demonstrated he holds
> > himself above.
> >
> > >And yes I believe it means that when modified >it has to meet the same
> > requirements of type >certified ones.
> >
> > You still can't tell the difference between "type acceptance" and
> > "certificated." *You* posted the definitions yet erroneously refer to
> > them as the same thing.
> >
> ..Fogettaboudit,Twist. No matter how many times George changes names,
> there's no reasoning with the crazy bastard! <g>

There is nothing to reason about The Facts, Mopar the FCC has said it not me, it is a shame twistys can't understand it.

4718. Re: its tough being George, holidays, home all alone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 04:45:06 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <Em2dncTEc-VObJ6jXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 147204

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:B1xM9.1345$ZA.952@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> news:NV2dnbc9ms9iHZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > Good point...but....given a choice....i'd still be a guy....
> >
> >
> > I bet you ask Landshark that, and he wouldn't say the same.
>
>
> Still trolling for my attention George,

Thought I was killfiled? Nah, you read em all and reply when you feel like it.

> Nothing better than to troll a newsgroup
> for the holidays, great life you have.....

beats being a queer for the holidays like you.

4719. Re: Here George make up your mind...read up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 04:57:08 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <7qWcnU4SEcY4aZ6jXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 147205

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17571-3E033945-47@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> And like Hall, you managed to place yourself on both sides of an
> argument. You have made some whoppers concerning the legality of
> amps...some very interesting posts of you blaiting those who would use
> such amps..even went as far and said why would a real hammie use such
> *crap* when they can use a Henry or similar amp.
> Anyways...you quoted....
>
>
>
> "(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a
> device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application
> for, and grant of certification."

> Well, YOU claimed he changed the bias, which in effect would indeed
> *change* the identification of the device,,,

Twisty this statement just shows how far in left field you really are in relation to this subject, read the FCC quote, It pertains to a type certified amp, not a amp like a davemade that is not type certified it has no "identification", PLEASE read it carefully and try to understand what you read, if you will notice it says it will require a new application for a grant of certification, well the davemade never had been certified from day 1, so Dave Hall as a ham is allowed to modify (1) non type accepted amp (which the davemade is, per year), and yes he must make it meet the FCC requirements, the same scenario as the galaxy radio, Dave doesn't have to take it to any shops, labs, hack shops, FCC he can do this himself. I did claim for starters he probably at the least changed the bias to make the amp cleaneryou need to ask him what he did I can only assume since I am not dave

> He, or you, can post, anytime y'all feel like it, his new grant of
certification that is precedent setting.

He doesn't get or need a grant of certification, that is only for units that are to be sold to other hams.

> >If the changes affect the characteristics
> >required to be reported,
>
>
>
> Geee........such as spurious emissions and harmonics?
>
>
> >a complete application shall be filed.

>
>
> Did he file it for the Davemade, Texas Star, or both?

He doesn't have to file for anything get over it , he is not going for a grant of certification something that you say doesnt exist anyhow. If you can't understand what you read get a new hobby, I just for the life of figure out why you a cber is concerned with what a ham can use. Oh btw did he file for what? grant of certification?

4720. Re: its tough being George, holidays, home all alone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 05:19:21 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <tRSM9.2058$5C7.1133@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147206

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021220235735.871$IG@newsreader.com...
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote:
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:B1xM9.1345$ZA.952@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > > news:NV2dnbc9ms9iHZ-jXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> > > > > Good point...but....given a choice....i'd still be a guy....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I bet you ask Landshark that, and he wouldn't say the same.
> > >
> > >
> > > Still trolling for my attention George,
> >
> > Thought I was killfiled? Nah, you read em all and reply when you feel
> > like it.
> >
> > > Nothing better than to troll a newsgroup
> > > for the holidays, great life you have.....
> >
> > beats being a queer for the holidays like you.
> >
> Well,he sent me a pic of his wife and newborn. What's queer about spending
> the holidays with them?

I love the kill file comment, he knows
he changed his nic for attention, why else would
he mention me. Thanks Mopar, but I could really
care less what he thinks, by the way, just bought
a 1973 Ford Baja Stroppe Bronco, great price
too.
Check them out on the web, only 575 made.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4721. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:23:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221012300.23187.00000211@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 147207

:-)))))))))

right back at you Mopar. its cristmas times finest.....

4722. Re: Here Ya go Twisty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:23:54 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <Bt6dnTyFFcVmlZmjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147208

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17572-3E03460D-21@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:651-3E024FD1-4@storefull-
Sure it can be used legally by a ham in it's present form. You still
have failed to show anything that claims it cannot be used by an
authorized hammie.

>Type certification is all you need to ask about. >Go do some homework.

>Not true. You inserted yourself into the lies Hall was being called on
>and attempted to defend him by saying his amps were legal for him to
>use..

Twisty, I will repost you repeated asking me about Dave Hall and his amps, you
are the one who has tried and tried to shift focus

>it is you who keeps attempting to bring DAVE >HALLS NAME UP at every
attempt.

>Actually, it is you that is trying with every ounce of energy to get me
>to drop the focus on Hall

Yes you are obsessed with him and I am not your sounding board regarding him. I
don't speak for him either, so what I have to say is irrelevant regarding your
relationship with him. I know what you are doing you are trying to draw him out
by mentioning his name in every sentence you type.

> but it ain't gonna happen as long as you keep crying or util he answers for
several of his claims...
>most specifically why he feels he has the right to act like a dick to others
for doing the same thing he does...

Ask Dave Hall not me.

>oh yea,why he lied concerning the cb bust would be acceptable also..

ask dave hall not me

>and maybe an explanation of just *why* he lies so much.

ask Dave hall not me

>I mean, I know, and you know he is pathological, but the explanations
>from him are so much more entertaining and incongruous.

Then why are you bringing up in regards to me, direct your post to him and stay
the on topic of which we speak. You are trying to cloud the real facts with

your sideshow. Stick to debating the topic and facts, I have yet to see you post anything negating that the FCC does not type certify radios yet i have shown to you the most certainly do. Dave hall has nothing to do with that topic, type certification of amateur radios see if you can stay on that topic with out adding your sideshow.

>I hope you got him a nice christmas present,

You had a big hand in it,,,and I thank you.

>he sounds like he has you by the balls.

And we al know where this conversation is going once you begin to show your lack of control and that sparkling class you were brought up with.....

I heard you also have alot of class, shame all of it is low.

> I told you before I don't want to talk about >DAVE HALL

>Then take your personal problems to email, then, as Davey-boys claims
>was and is the topic. He has too many self-contradicting posts and
>claims to answer for..

You ignorant moron, you have the personal problem with Dave Hall, you should take it to email and stick to debating the facts which you are far removed from. Amateur radios require type certification, the galaxy is not type certified. That was the topivc i started the thread not you

>You shouldn't have engaged me in the first place,,the topic didn't concern you.

I started this thread how could it not concern me.

>.You remain fixated on it and it only.

That is you regarding dave hall

>I prefer to concentrate on any of the dozens of lies Hall arrogantly tries to pass off on this group.

Yes I see you do and you take the thread completly of its topic because you have a mental problem with a gy named dave Hall
thank the good lord dave live in pa if he loived in the pile I would fear for his safety you sound borderline insane in regards to him.

>C'ya. Now maybe N3CVJ can come back and post under his real call without
>claiming he had to go away for a while. <g>..and maybe even provide some
>relief for himself by getting over himself and moving on from his lies,
>or he can continue to harbor a personal agenda like I claimed he does,
>and has. I feel this is perfectly illustrated by the analogy that a
>leopard doesn't change it's spots...see, he can simply move on from his
>mistakes like a man, or he can wallow and hurl attacks and off-topic
>hypocritical ad-hominem attacks like he has superbly demonstrated.

Please twisty, leave it alone man at least when we are debating something I don't want to hear dave halls name brought up, it would be the same with me telling you how many lies landshark has been caught in every post and just about every sentence, you see it gets old.

>It does not have to a galaxy isnt type certified.

We were talking about a Galaxy in addition to his amps. You avoided the question. Are you claiming a Galaxy out of the box emits spurious emissions that violate the "40 db below mean power" you quoted?

I told you i don't know if it does or not, they haven't sent it to the FCC lab for certification to find out. If it passes then they can sell all the galaxys they want to.

>It doesnt mention any names of radio did you >notice that?

Yea,,matter of fact, I even pointed it out to you.

so then why did you ask the question if you saw it ?

>>I am implying it may violate the 40db below >mean power, here is the crux of it all.....

Now you're saying it "may"?

Yes It may i haven't tested it, if galaxy thought it would pass then they can send it in for type certification, if it passes they can sell that particular model. Why haven't they sent it in for certification?

Well, doesnt that allude to exactly what I am saying? If it doesn't violate it right out of the box (which it very well doesn't...c'mon, man, I thought you had the knowledge concerning radio to put me to shame, baba.....apparently you know not what you espouse) then it is perfectly acceptable and legal for an authorized hammie to use it.

How can you say it doesn't violate it out of the box any more than I can, if it was able to be type certified why is it not? Why haven't they sent it to the FCC for type certification. Why don't the real Ham radio stores sell them? You always want to ask the questions yet you provide nothing but your opinion and no facts just opinions, I have proven and posted the FCC radio requirements re: type certification you got off that subject real quick never brougth it up again did ya, to worried about changing it to dave hall.

BWTTHHHHHTTTTTTTT! <g>

> That is why radios are type certified to find >out if they meet the FCC spec's for them to be >offered for sale, the manufacturer send the >FCC Lab the radio to be analyzed if it meets >spec then all of that current model can be >offered for sale. The point is the galaxy radio >or none of the current export radios have done >this that means they are not type certified,

>This much we agree on.

>why do you think the FCC does not allow them >to be sold?

Poor policy, just like every other beauracratic decision they make..

That is an opinion and no facts they are not sold because they are not type certified, plain and simple, they will not allow equipment that produces that many watts go on the air with out meeting thier requiremnts.

--
YOU were the one that lumped them together.
Show the info needed to
allow N3cvj'S claim to be accurate allowing him to use the amps,,,

>BBBBBZZZZZZZZZ there is the buzzword >again DAVE HALL....
>STOP TRYING TO CHANGE THE TOPIC.

George, the topic was me bouncing Dave Hall off the wall concerning his lies when you inserted yourself into this thread and messed him up even more with our incoherent babbling about foreign radio policy.
****
>Right there is an outright lie I started this
thread

Umm..no, you didn't..you merely changed the title of the subject.

Bullshit! there is 25 posts in that thread and I made the first one and another 12 out of the 25 you have been chasing me ever since
talking about dave hall.

Message 1 in thread
From: Late Hit (roughing@greenbay.com)
Subject: Here ya Go twisty

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-11-05 08:45:30 PST

>It's not me that has a problem with rules or guidelines or even abiding
>by them..it's N3CVJ, and you, from what I am hearing recently. More to
>come on this as the world turns.....

You know nothing of my operating habits, I see your up to yur silly games.

>This was IN regard to >RADIOS/TRANSMITTERS

-
Acyually, it was about N3CVJ's lies when you inserted yourself and I allowed you to fondloff topic for awhile.

>Never was about DAVE HALL, I started this >thread It is you who
inserted your opinion >whcih is allways DAVE HALL

No, I started the thread, you changed the title and topic.

Listen to what you are saying, are you on drugs? Honestly? You started the thread Hear ya go Twisty?

You say I changed the title and topic, I sure did I created a new post and title and posted it ? lol wouldn't that be a new thread? are you really that brain dead?

>Not true. You inserted yourself telling me it was legal for Hall to run
>his Davemade and Texas Star.

Exactly if certain conditions are met he most certainly can you for some reason disagree when the FCC clearly states he can modify (1) non type certified amp per year, so that means he can modify it to fit the FCCs requirements for use.

>Funny you bring up the texas star, it is a cw >transmitter, when
modified it is allowed to be >used by a ham, he may modify 1 non type
>certified amp per year, the texas star is a non >type certified amp.
-
>But the point has been lost on you intentionally. Fortunately, the group
>is not subject to the arrogance you two attempt. His claims concerning
>his amps, freebanding, Phelps, cb arrests, don't jive with his other
>self-contradictions and claims. The exact nature of his illegalities are
irrelevant, despite your love for him and attempts to circumvent the
>light being cast upon his swill.

Unbelievable, you added nothing to the question which I just gave you the answer about the TEXAS STAR you had been whining about just you worried about DAVE HALL again...

--
Above that it refers to transmitters and in (d), it says nothing of the
sort concerning
bringing an amplifier somewhere so it can be "aligned".

>No one said you have to take a linear >anywhere to get "aligned" the
>above is about non- certified radios like the >GALAXY...

This is where you trip all the time. Hammie radios need not be
certified.

>Homebrew radios don't have to be type >certified unless you plan on
selling them, >Manufactured radios do indeed have to be >type certified
to be used by a ham in the usa.

Ok, now that you appear to have made a slight distinction between type acceptance and the play and interchangeability you frequented with the words "type certified" we appear to be reaching somewhat of an agreement on what constitutes the definition of each.

--
>A Ham can align it himself if he has the know >how. It just has to meet
>the requirements

Which are what, concerning this "spectral purity" you keep as a hymn.

>You know what they are remember the >paragraph from Part 97 about the
40 db down, >oh you forgot I posted them, your only concern >is trying
to malign DAVE HAL:L

No..you "changed" it when I allowed. Again, you came into it when I said it was illegal for Hall to use his amps.

Check Google instead of giving me your opinion the facts will shut your mouth

>Ditto, George.

I have provided you with facts from the FCC, you have provided nothing at all to help your hopeless claim, you always change the subject to dave hall instead of providing some web page a piece of FCC rule making something to sup[port your side. remember Sgt Shultz on Hogans heroes.... """ I see Nothing""" talk is cheap twist let alone from a cber who knows nothing of ham rules and regulations.

>Then you must be in love with Landshark.

Really why I havent brought him up in every post like you have dave hall. In fatc he was and probably still is in love with me he was so in love he counted my screen names and posted them everyday, he also uses my name as a sig file so he and you share a common malady. Infatuation

4723. Re: WTB. 200 AMP ALT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:28:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221012819.23187.00000212@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 147209

he's talking about doug N8WWM aka "sixmeterop" on AOL instant messenger. i guess he's been sending harrasing IM's to rpsy like he has me. dont be so hard on him.

>
>
>I have a big alternator that came off a 97 Chevy ambulance (Leesville) That
>I haven't used since My cb day's.
>But I don't get it , You slam the shit out of hams here But You have a ham
>radio listed for sale that I was interested in at rec.radio.swap.
>Dude! with that kind of split personality , I Think I'll keep My alternator
>and pass on Your HAM radio.
>Your a real shcitzo
>"Ripsy" <ripsy@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021220121931.14161.00000159@mb-ca.aol.com...
>> ANY ONE KNOW WHERE I CAN GET A 200AMP ALT FOR A 98 CHEVY P/U.
>> OR A BRACKET TO RUN 2
>> E-MAIL ME INFO EXCEPT FOR 6METEROP YOU PIECE OF SHIT. YOUR I.M HAS BEEN
>SENT TO
>> THE PROPER ATHORITIES
>> THIS IS REC RADIO CB. NOT PIECE OF SHIT HAM.
>> IT ONLY TAKE ONE GUY TO WRECK IT FOR EVERYBODY.
>> AND BE A MAN AND I DARE YOU TO SAY SOMETHING TO MY FACE.
>> CHICKEN SHIT. HAS TO HIDE BEHIND A F***N COMPUTER.
>> SORRY FOR VENTING GUY.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4724. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:29:14 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <S9-cnXxnLuiml5mjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 147210

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17571-3E0331C1-43@storefull->
> Puh-leeze! His concerns pale in comparison to your pathetic cries and
> misguided worries.
> You haven't answered why you not only worried, but displayed a passion
> not seen since Romeo and Juliet concerning me cornering N3CVJ in
> bullshit lies. I feel many more would be interested in your spousal-like
> "defense-of-Hall-at-all-costs" rather than *why* someone would alert you
> to your inept usenet habits, especially when you are misquoting that
> person.

Again another twistedhed attempt to cammanderr the NG to talk about Dave hall in each and every post he makes simply pathetic of the man child

4725. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:30:18 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <i4icnby1vojml5mjXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147211

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021220093443.18095.00000328@mb-fc.aol.com...
> > I got your sailor hanging between my legs
> >>
> >Witty.
>
> You liked that huh?

Oh yeah it was as funny as a fart in a space suit

4726. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:31:23 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <XqqcnYuPjagml5mjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147212

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021220093537.18095.00000329@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >What is he doing down there???? Is his name popeye?
>
> You usually dont call it Popeye

4727. Re: its tough being George, holidays, home all alone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 06:34:58 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <2l2dnYQTNIMPlpmjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 147213

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:tRSM9.2058

> I love the kill file comment, he knows
> he changed his nic for attention, why else would
> he mention me.

You think I care about you queer? I thought you kill file the email addy the same one I have used for the past how long now? Go away Freek

4728. Re: Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 07:55:36 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Y7VM9.30578$Nz5.773445@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219190129.303$Ob@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM-dug adare (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
> > DECKER II,
> (snip)
> >
> Is that anything like you promoting the sale of your used rat shack CB
> base, as "easily opened up" ?
>
> Go upstairs,and tell your mom you're sorry for all the grief you've caused
> her,dogie.
>
> Merry Christmas.
>

LOL! I think she's still dead. But then again, the way dogie acts I'm sure
he can make her turn over in her grave. More than likely though, it'll just
be so that dogie can kiss her ass! What a waste of human DNA!

4729. Re: Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 07:57:10 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <vi780volvg5sm9nlm87rjlg4o5k4ejh5v3@4ax.com>
Source record: 147215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

it's your mother Adair ole boy,that's who.....oh is your mother
dead, damn I'm sorry.....

On 19 Dec 2002 12:31:39 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>DECKER II, WILLIAM R, KB2RMI (Technician)
>3401 TELEPHONE RD
>CALEDONIA, NY 14423
>
>That is who.
>
>"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<PobL9.56850$HA2.16214952@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
>> As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required to
>> put it in my posts, oaf, nor do I think it's any of your business what it
>> is. Back under your bridge, foul creature!
>>
>>
>> pj wrote in message ...
>> >No Ham call, must be a CBer
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
>> digital
>> >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
>> >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
>> >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
>> >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
>> >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
>> outer
>> >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
>> >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
>> reports
>> >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
>> >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
>> this
>> >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
>> >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
>> >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is

>> >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
>> >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
>> >>please, thanks for looking.
>> >>
>> >

4730. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 08:34:29 UTC
From: Don Davis <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pIVM9.40201$Rt1.2196933@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147216

no. Don't hang around scuzz.....
"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212191744.26a79a6@posting.google.com...
> "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<Va5M9.38873$Fq3.1973691@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> > Hey, thanks 4 the replys. It has been a year or 2 since we
> > had seen this. There could have been a final componnet
> > that the output coax from both amps ran into and one from there to
antenna.
> > Does that sound reasonable, maybe with a combiner?? He has 2 5-pill amps
to
> > hook
> > together, but not before researching and maybe some trial
> > time with smaller older equipment. He felt there would be
> > a problem with equalizing output from both amps and joining these feeds,
> > along with them wrestling each other
> > or feeding back to 1 or both amps. Once again, all sugestions, info,
tech,
> > and replys are appreciated. If he were able to get on here it could
probably
> > be explained.
> > better. Thanks,
> > Butcher,
531
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message
news:3E00D170.398D9140@cwis.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > John,
> > > I am familiar with how they are made/designed. Are
> > > you familiar with what the question was?
> > > 'Doc
>
> don , you don't happen to know a scuzbucket by the name of dwight
> stewart do you?????

4731. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 08:40:22 UTC
From: Don Davis <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WNVM9.40250$Rt1.2197852@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147217

To everyone that replied, Thankyou. We are going to experiment a little bit.
Thanks,
Butcher, 531
<james> wrote in message news:p4520vc356b2faibj5mfc303t2nlq2krjj@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 05:33:18 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:54:27 GMT, "Don Davis" <ddavis5@sc.rr.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >> Any info available for running 2 amps into one
> >>antenna?? I saw 2 class C 5-pill amps key against
> >>a 16 with suprising results.(not one amp driving another,
> >>but split coax from cb to amps and joining coax to a
> >>single antenna. similar to co-phase antenna coax for
> >>a 18-wheeler.
> >> Thanks,
> >> Butcher, 501
> >>
> >http://www.rsphysse.anu.edu.au/bushlan/course/TLTFS/HC.html
> >
> >http://www.communication-concepts.com/psc2.htm
> **********
>
> yepper those will do the trick. Just got to be sure the cores can
> handle the power wothout over heating.
>
> work fine last along time
>
> james
>

4732. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 09:09:49 UTC
From: inter1097@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221040949.29346.00000110@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 147218

Yes folks, this Bruce guy surely does give a bad name to the "Real Hams"..
Bruce, you sure make these so-called "CB Plussers" want to run out there and take that code test! You are a role model for us all...

Remember people are into radio to have fun, not to impress old grouches like you!

4733. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 12:25:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <85ZM9.7133$p_6.546962@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147219

Oh, you mean a hyper abrupt varactor... thanks for the clarification.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:_uKM9.410308$P31.147739@rwcrnsc53...
>
> "piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com...
> > Hi Brian (and the group),
> snip>
> > Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much larger
> capacitance range over
> > a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to replace
a
> standard range
> > varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super Diode.
> >
> > snip>
>
> Is that like SUPER MOG? :) >
>
> Deb
>
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
>
>
>

4734. Re: TRC 458 for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 14:18:40 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4L_M9.295188$GR5.96970@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147220

YOu want my ham radio it's all that CB stuff plus ....
"Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:f7a9c6ac.0212191721.4d08e394@posting.google.com...
> I have a Realistic TRC 458 sideband forty channel CB rig for trade.
> I'll trade it for a good Ham all mode receiver.
> I have a Cherokee CBS 1000 sideband radio for trade. I'll trade it for
> a good Ham all mode receiver.
> I have a Road talker sideband CB radio that has been converted to ten
> meters. I'll trade it for a good Ham all mode receiver.
>
> Thanks for reading
> 73 Oris

4735. Re: Newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 15:04:33 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <au1vts$ns3$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 147221

Hi,
Just take two pieces of an ordinary wire, 262 cm each
(103 inches each) and built a dipole antenna. Example : take 206
inches wire, cut it in the middle and connect a middle of coax to
one piece, and shield of coax to another piece. Hang it, as you
like, maybe horizontal, even anylike. Warning !! : the coax should
be in 90* angle to the dipole wire.
Let me know if any problem occure.
Sorry of my english ...
Best regards,
Chris
Poland

-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@wp.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
****
Uzytkownik "Marcos125" <le_marcos@hotmail.com> napisal w wiadomosci
news:12691a46.0212101201.2ad452a1@posting.google.com...
> Hello everybody, I just started CB when i found in my basement a
quite
> recent CB that is working. The probleme is that I dont have any
money
> to spend in an antenna or even tos-meter (maybe tos-meter) and i
heard
> about wire antenna. I just want to chat with a frien who is about
1-2
> mile away from my flat (4th and last floor) so I dont think i need
a
> very complex model (even though I have all the DIY material
needed). I
> can use the roof of my building but (2nd question) I dont know if
i am
> too far from it or no (I have to use about 15-20 meters of wire to
> connect it).
> So if anybody can give me wire antenna plans & answer to my wire
> question it would be nice!!
> Thanks
>
> Marc

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

4736. waving in my DX CAR 36 GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 15:05:15 UTC
From: cb142stl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221100515.10362.00000345@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 147222

DX CAR

4737. Re: Looking for a 25 to 200 watt range amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 15:06:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221100654.12055.00000153@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 147223

http://www.bigradio.biz/texstr.html

go here and buy a TS DX350. its what you need.

>
>I am looking for any good amp any in these power range 25 to 200 watts
>I am looking for one that is $150 or less. I am looking to buy one in
>the us where can I get a good amp online. Also what amp would you
>recommond in my power range. I want to use the amp for mobile use
>mostly and some base use to so I would need a dual use amp.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4738. Re: Right mod for TRc 485?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 15:08:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221100815.12055.00000154@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 147224

yes but its not cost effective

>
>
>
>I founded this link...
>it this the right one?
>tnx
>
>http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html
>If I change the microprocessor of the radio, with the microprocessor of
>another radio,the mod will work or not?
>TNx
>
>
>"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
>news:3E031E69.B449FE90@listed.here...
>> There was a post in here about a week ago detailing
>> what surface mount chips to replace in the RS unit.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4739. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 15:47:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221104708.18639.00000150@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 147225

8 pins isnt too bad. that chip lets you play bootleg playstation games. i'm sure who ever sells the mod chip would have sockets for it. i'm sure you could do it just becarefull not to over heat the traces and pads or they lift up.

>
>One of my friends asked me to solder some chip or something into his
>playstation-2. (8 pins) Anyone ever done this mod?
>
>TIA.
>
>--
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>
>
>
>
>
>

4740. Re: WTB. 200 AMP ALT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 16:00:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Re0N9.2188$8Q1.1747@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147226

"John Rambo " <timnebo@aol.com10-107> wrote in message
news:20021221012819.23187.00000212@mb-me.aol.com...
> he's talking about doug N8WWM aka "sixmeterop" on AOL instant messenger. i
> guess he's been sending harrasing IM's to rpsy like he has me. dont be so
hard
> on him.

You sure it wasn't George as Rec.Radio.Police? Remember
he was doing that to you and others on this board a short
while back.

Oh well, Merry Christmas Tim, have a Corona
under the palm tree for me.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4741. Re: its tough being George, holidays, home all alone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 16:00:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Se0N9.2189$8Q1.2107@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147227

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:2l2dnYQTNIMPlpmjXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:tRSM9.2058
>
> > I love the kill file comment, he knows
> > he changed his nic for attention, why else would
> > he mention me.
>
> You think I care about you queer?

Of course you do George, why else would you
again change your addy and make a sexual innuendo
comment towards me.

>I thought you kill file the email addy

I did, you just changed your addy on 12/19/02 again
and have made some 25 plus posts in a 24 hour
period.

Searched Groups for author:rcranus@hotmail.comm. Results 1 -
10 of about 25. Search took 0.25 seconds

> the same one I have used for the past how long now?

Which one? Again you lie to your own benefit.
Taken from my kill file, you have used all these in a
month, so please, it's Christmas don't sit there and
lie, Santa will have to bring you a Sleigh full of coal.

ranus@hotmialcommie
rcranus@hotmailcom
rcranus@hotmailcommie
rcranus@hotmail.comSpam
turkey@hotsnail.coms
rcranus@hotmail.coms
cp@staples.com
pc@selpats.com
lacosanostra@rico.com

richardcranus@hotmail.comSpam

barnaby@jones.comSpam

rcranus@hotmail.com

rcranus@hotmail.spamcom

rcranus@hotmail.comOUT

> Go away Freek

I'm not a freak and I'm not going away, so?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4742. Re: Right mod for TRc 485?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 16:17:32 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <4d490vkh1v5sikpc3dr4jafjahuvgqb52j@4ax.com>
Source record: 147228

On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 23:14:11 -0500, "Eddy"
<iw0gvp@edmondoconetta.comNOSPAM> wrote:

>I founded this link...
>it this the right one?
>tnx
>
>http://www.radiomods.co.nz/trc485mod.html
>If I change the microprocessor of the radio, with the microprocessor of
>another radio,the mod will work or not?
>TNx
>
>
>"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
>news:3E031E69.B449FE90@listed.here...
>> There was a post in here about a week ago detailing
>> what surface mount chips to replace in the RS unit.
>
>
****

If the translation is done correct, it appears to.

A word about surface mounted parts. The leads are fragile. In lifting
pins from the PCB you can either damage the board or damage the pin.
Either way the modification describe in the web page should be not be
done by someone who is a beginner with a solder iron.

Also the microcontroller from one radio may or may not work in another
radio, unless the pins of the two microcontrollers do the same
functions. Other wise you maybe transmitting when you want to receive.
Or if you are really fortunate you just may damage the
microcontrollers.

james

4743. Re: OT-playstation soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 16:21:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v0959d7gfh7vc5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147229

I've done the 29-wire modchip.. It's NOT a job for the amateur solderer, let me tell you! Your looking at soldering "hair" wire to extremely tiny pads (or even chip legs) on the circuit board... You will need a VERY fine (needle) tip for your soldering iron, a steady hand, LOTS of patients!

Eight wires isn't as bad as mine... You should feel lucky! The PS2 isn't exactly easy to get apart either... Make sure you have REALLY good instructions to go along with your install job...

PH

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021220193058.066$Bv@newsreader.com...
> One of my friends asked me to solder some chip or something into his
> playstation-2. (8 pins) Anyone ever done this mod?
>
> TIA.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

4744. Indoor C.B. Ant.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 17:08:02 UTC
From: pryan425@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021221120802.29157.00000185@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 147230

Hello

can anyone recommend a good indoor c.b. antenna for us apartment dwellers?

Thanks
To E-Mail Me remove the words "nojunk" from my address.

4745. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 17:30:41 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0995ojefh8a8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147231

"Appliance Operator" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
news:SnqdnT9BR5gFE52jXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E007327.DE5EA121@cwis.net...
> >
> >
> > Don,
> > In layman's terms, you'd have one chance in 5 of not
> > ruining something the first time you turned it on. The
> > second time you turned it on you would have one chance
> > in 25 of not ruining something. If you haven't ruined
> > something by the time you're ready to turn it on the
> > third time, don't turn it on...
> > It can be done but it isn't as simple as just hooking
> > things up with equal length feed lines from transmitter
> > to the amplifiers.
> > 'Doc
>
>
> He would need a combiner.
>
>

--

"Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
news:r9WcnflvnsMM1ZyjXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Ua7M9.68197$hK4.5660734@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > You need a power combiner...
>
> didn't I just say that? Doc said no ... huh
>
>

--

George needs a [screen nic combiner].

4746. INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 17:34:20 UTC
From: galaxyelc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221123420.16984.00000197@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 147232

I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one yet?? what is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its converted,looking for soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help out there??,thanks...BOB

4747. Re: Indoor C.B. Ant.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 18:00:44 UTC
From: "Simon J. Bussey" <S.BusseyNOSPAMPLEASE@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <g%1N9.50$fU1.487526@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 147233

How about an inverted-V dipole or similair shape to fit your location. Work
well, very cheap to make (use old wire)

"Pryan425" <pryan425@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021221120802.29157.00000185@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Hello
>
> can anyone recommend a good indoor c.b. antenna for us apartment dwellers?
>
> Thanks
> To E-Mail Me remove the words "nojunk" from my address.

4748. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 18:35:44 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <Lw2N9.216$AB2.13055@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 147234

Closest equivalent I found was a mvam109 but as they have a 12v range and
not 8v, so they wont come up to centre, handy for a switched in 5kc though
on 02a chassis
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:85ZM9.7133$p_6.546962@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh, you mean a hyper abrupt varactor... thanks for the clarification.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:_uKM9.410308$P31.147739@rwcrnsc53...
> >
> > "piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com...
> > > Hi Brian (and the group),
> > snip>
> > > Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much
larger
> > capacitance range over
> > > a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to
replace
> a
> > standard range
> > > varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super
Diode.
> > >
> > > snip>
> >
> > Is that like SUPER MOG? :) >
> >
> > Deb
> >
> > --
> > Debbie & Will Hicks
> > Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
> >
> >
> > http://www.willscb.com

> > http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> > http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> > http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
> >
> > http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4749. RCI 2990

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 18:57:10 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aQ2N9.35371$VA5.4780592@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147235

Does Any one have the power modifying instructions for this radio.
This radio is capable of 200 watts and mine is only at about 85 watts of a peak reading meter.

4750. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 18:58:27 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nR2N9.35372$VA5.4780959@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147236

I have no schematic for this ... it did not come with one

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU GROUND
IT
> BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> doorsfanx@adelphia.net
>
>

4751. Re: ANY INFO ON 55 ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 19:23:37 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221142337.19657.00000228@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 147237

hello mopar 55 antennas are mobiles

4752. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 19:25:57 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221142557.29733.00000146@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 147238

Jerry ,even if they do try to banned export radios they will still make into the states.Just like amps and everything else.You forget this is America people think for themselves.If there is a demand there will be a supply.Now the other good news is ,you could just buy any older ham(or new one$) radio and with just the clip of a diode you have full band.As your ham freqs.disappear there will be a big market for used ham radios.With the advent of computers thats already happening.Good luck on your quest Jerry.

4753. Re: Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 19:45:05 UTC
From: maineac58@peoplepc.com
Message-ID: <c6bb5824.0212211145.aecca4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

DAMN!!! search as I may, I can't fine "AMATEUR" anywhere in the title
of this newsgroup!!

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<vi780volvg5sm9nlm87rjlg4o5k4ejh5v3@4ax.com>...
> it's your mother Adair ole boy,that's who.....oh is your mother
> dead, damn I'm sorry.....
>
> On 19 Dec 2002 12:31:39 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
> Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
>
> >DECKER II, WILLIAM R, KB2RMI (Technician)
> >3401 TELEPHONE RD
> >CALEDONIA, NY 14423
> >
> >That is who.
> >
> >"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<PobL9.56850$HA2.16214952@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> >> As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required to
> >> put it in my posts, oaf, nor do I think it's any of your business what it
> >> is. Back under your bridge, foul creature!
> >>
> >>
> >> pj wrote in message ...
> >> >No Ham call, must be a CBer
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
> >> >wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
> digital
> >> >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was positive
> >> >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for HF.
> >> >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
> >> >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster Brown.
> >> >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
> outer
> >> >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a high
> >> >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
> reports

> >> >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
> >> >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
> this
> >> >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
> >> >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
> >> >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
> >> >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
> >> >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
> >> >>please, thanks for looking.
> >> >>
> >> >

4754. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 19:50:17 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <au2kjk$68l$4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 147242

"piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com...
>
> The M-65 is just a varicap diode with a large range...

Does it have a cape... with a big "S" on it?
Is it a bird, is it a 'plane... no, it's a Superdiode.

Regards,

Peter.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02

4755. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 19:56:31 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <vH3N9.3588$37.396824@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 147240

If you contact xforce I am sure they would be able to send you one.
"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:nR2N9.35372$VA5.4780959@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have no schematic for this ... it did not come with one
>
> "terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU
GROUND
> IT
> > BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> > IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> > doorsfanx@adelphia.net
> >
> >
>
>

4756. Re: Indoor C.B. Ant.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 20:56:23 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <au2kjv02jpt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147244

"Pryan425" <pryan425@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021221120802.29157.00000185@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Hello
>
> can anyone recommend a good indoor c.b. antenna for us apartment dwellers?
>
> Thanks
> To E-Mail Me remove the words "nojunk" from my address.

"Trik-stik".
If allowed, you can mount it on the outisde window sill.
if you can find one that is.
the major problem with doing the apartment scene is the closeness to other
tv sets.
interference would be a big problem if the local dwellers aren't on cable.
You could even take a short fiberglass whip, make up a mounting bracket and
use that on the outside of the window sill.
might have to use a ground plane adapter on it though.

4757. I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 20:57:15 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212211257.52bf8286@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147241

I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me out during this christmas time.

4758. Re: Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:00:11 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04D65B.85046C55@listed.here>
Source record: 147243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Carl Ingerson wrote:
>
> DAMN!!! search as I may, I can't fine "AMATEUR" anywhere in the title
> of this newsgroup!!

That's right. CB'ers are professionals.
And don't you ever forget it.

(P.S. Where are the "fine" amateurs?)

4759. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:33:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221163322.29583.00000184@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 147245

help you what?

>
>I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be
>willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge
>caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk
>antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me
>out during this christmas time.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4760. Re: News Flash Toledo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:37:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221163745.18019.00000395@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 147246

>Yes folks, this Bruce guy surely does give a bad name to the "Real Hams"..

There are no new REAL HAMS, just upgraded CBers

>Bruce, you sure make these so-called "CB Plussers" want to run out there
>and
>take that code test!

I hope you dont take the Code Test

> You are a role model for us all...
>

True its about time you realized it

4761. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:49:04 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E1D0.209EDE0@listed.here>
Source record: 147347

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> This buds for you. <g>

Yeah, BUDdy!

'ere...

4762. Re: Heards me a "good-buddy" on 19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:51:17 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E255.E2D09F3C@listed.here>
Source record: 147348

John Smith for example wrote:
>
> "Breakers breakers channel nineteen. This here's Dr. Goodbuddy and I'm in
> mad love with all of you diesel pumpin jockeys. It just excites me so much
> when I see you horny macho men go by knowing your all alone and got nothing
> better to do than to slap that little monkey around all day long. Why don't
> you come and park that nasty big rig of yours beside me and my liltle mobile
> massage parlor? Lay your tired naked body on my leather massage table and
> let me take care of relaxing every one of your worn out muscles. With extra
> special attention to that nice one you just love to massage yourself. Now
> come come you diesel jockey, I know you're out there and eager to be taken
> care of. Now come on back to Dr. Goodbuddy. Breakers breakers. Any takers?"

Do you get on 19 and say this once in a while?

4763. Re: 36

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:52:02 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E282.4413FEC2@listed.here>
Source record: 147349

Cb142stl wrote:
>
> what up

It's a sideband channel. Don't use AM from here on up.

Thanks.

4764. Re: waving in my DX CAR 36 GONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:53:34 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E2DE.A2760428@listed.here>
Source record: 147350

Cb142stl wrote:
>
> DX CAR

Hit the left coast from the right coast in my mobile today.

Lower side of 36.

4765. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:54:57 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E331.4A353CE2@listed.here>
Source record: 147351

Galaxyelc wrote:
>
> I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one yet?? what
> is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its converted,looking for
> soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help out
> there??,thanks...BOB

28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.

R U licensed?

4766. Re: RCI 2990

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:56:00 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04E370.9C603A88@listed.here>
Source record: 147352

terry altman wrote:
>
> Does Any one have the power modifying instructions for this radio.
> This radio is capable of 200 watts and mine is only at about 85 watts of a
> peak reading meter.

That's 200 PEP on sideband. Expect 2 pills to have about a 25
to 50 watt deakkey on AM.

4767. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 21:57:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021221165711.29583.00000185@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 147353

maybe he likes to listen. are you the fcc?

>
>
>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
>
>R U licensed?
>
>

4768. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 22:05:38 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E04E5B2.833A2CDC@cwis.net>
Source record: 147354

It's called "Cyber Begging". Like the guy at
Wal-Mart with the sign that says "Work for Food".
'Doc

4769. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 22:51:40 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E04F07C.8FFC70B1@listed.here>
Source record: 147355

No, I'm not the FCC or a ham. I'm just passing
info that needs to be passed. Are you a ham?

John Rambo wrote:
>
> maybe he likes to listen. are you the fcc?
>
> >
> >
> >28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
> >
> >R U licensed?
> >
> >

4770. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 23:27:07 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <f5u90vcrvaq3n2j28p9p83f646enivhbrm@4ax.com>
Source record: 147356

On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:54:57 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:

>
>
>Galaxyelc wrote:
>>
>> I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one yet?? what
>> is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its converted,looking for
>> soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help out
>> there??,thanks...BOB
>
>
>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
>
>R U licensed?
****

No 28.000 to 29.700

james

4771. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 23:29:50 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <mau90vgt0eoc8n51hvpud7015aqut0p0qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 147357

On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 12:25:40 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Oh, you mean a hyper abrupt varactor... thanks for the clarification.
****

Yep that sounds about right.

james

4772. Sams Photofact folder number CB-207

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-21 23:37:25 UTC
From: bebepip@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cb7bf964.0212211537.2cde29c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147358

Hi all

Does somebody help me with a scan copy or email with
this info,Photofact CB-207, in my country is very hard
to find this literature.

thanks kd1 jl

4773. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:06:49 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28785-3E050219-258@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147359

Be erie mon!...Mark420

4774. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:19:59 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040516562.43802@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147361

Wow! That really pisses Me off that some thieving low life would take what
You worked so hard for .
I have many Friends that try to help the victims of crimes .
They sometimes are not able to restore Them to the full standards that They
are used to But what little That do is sometimes a very big help .
Especially at this time of the Year. They may even have something there in
the donation bin that They could give You to help You on Your way.
I am told by My assistant that Our contact number in Houston is ,
(713)222-3131. Call this number and tell Them what happened and I'm sure
They will be able to help You.
Please let Us know how You came out after You talk to Them. I'm confident
that You will be feel better afterwards.
Best of 73" to You My Friend , And Yule time Greetings to You and Your
Family.
Good Luck.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212211257.52bf8286@posting.google.com...
> I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be
> willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge
> caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk
> antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me
> out during this christmas time.

4775. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:20:52 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Ez7N9.418924$WL3.120250@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147360

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E04F07C.8FFC70B1@listed.here...
> No, I'm not the FCC or a ham. I'm just passing
> info that needs to be passed. Are you a ham?
>
>
> John Rambo wrote:
> >
> > maybe he likes to listen. are you the fcc?
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
> > >
> > > >R U licensed?
> > > >
Me thinks there is a trouble maker. Oh wait a minute. Me knows there is a
trouble maker.

:)
Deb
--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4776. To Carl Ingerdaughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:28:39 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212211628.339a6967@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147362

Carl Ingerson, moronic dweeb and luster of dirty men's jock straps, meweled out the following wishful thinking:

"DAMN!!! search as I may, I can't fine "AMATEUR" anywhere in the title of this newsgroup!!"

My <as usual> SPARKLING reply:

Carlene, they don't say anything about knob bobbing dorks either, but there ya are, posting away.

4777. Re: Merry Christmas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:44:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0a2oo3r23239d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Thanks, Peter. I'd like to also wish a Merry Christmas to you and yours -
and to all of the group!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:atvbh1kan1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Merry Christmas and a happy new year to all on the newsgroup.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02
>
>

4778. Re: Co-phase 2 Tram Skyhawk antennas on a 91 dodge caravan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:47:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0a2t2jq4a16f6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147364

Ouch! Now there is a **neat** idea which will work! Nice thought, Doc.
(damn, why didn't I think of that?)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E03E148.FF894E1D@cwis.net...
>
>
> Moparholic,
> Not hard to do, just change the length of one of the
> phasing lines from an electrical 1/4w to an electrical
> 1/2 wave. You can do that with a 2-pole antenna switch
> and a 'T'.
> 'Doc

4779. Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 00:53:31 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021221195331.08758.00000156@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 147365

Done any work on the site lately?
Have a happy!
Train

4780. Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 01:18:25 UTC
From: dougadairbuster@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221201825.26934.00000474@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 147366

I love men
men love me
we're all one happy family
with a nick nack paddywhack
give me your bone
this no coder has the blowing jones

Once again, please feel free to email Doug at:

sadie106@juno.com and
fiftymegs@juno.com

Toodles and full quieting from the gay forums.

4781. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 01:40:20 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E051804.3D10297@listed.here>
Source record: 147367

DLHicks wrote:
>
> Me thinks there is a trouble maker. Oh wait a minute. Me knows there is a
> trouble maker.

If I was starting trouble, I would have talked down
in a condescending manner. I was merely stating a fact.

4782. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 01:42:10 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E051872.FEB14AFF@listed.here>
Source record: 147368

james wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
> >
> >R U licensed?
> **
>
> No 28.000 to 29.700

I said it was in a ham band. I did not state which
one or how big that band was.

You all argue among yourselves, I'm gone for the night
right after I hit send.

4783. Re: X-FORCE HD60012 AMP HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 01:49:42 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212211749.35237116@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147369

"Jesse Stanley" <superstar@frognet.net> wrote in message
news:<vH3N9.3588$37.396824@cletus.bright.net>...
> If you contact xforce I am sure they would be able to send you one.
> "terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:nR2N9.35372$VA5.4780959@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > I have no schematic for this ... it did not come with one
> >
> > "terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3e8M9.32793$VA5.3904033@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > > I AM HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE RELAY IN MY AMP IT WILL KEY UP IF YOU
> GROUND
> IT
> > > BUT OTHERWISE DOESN'T WORK PROPERLY.
> > > IF YOU KNOW HOW TO FIX THIS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL ME
> > > doorsfanx@adelphia.net
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

oh please get real.....

4784. Re: WTB. 200 AMP ALT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 02:48:26 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <5LKdnXHbBNNkupijXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147370

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> You sure it wasn't George as Rec.Radio.Police? Remember
> he was doing that to you and others on this board a short
> while back.

Sorry Fairy god mother I never have used aol

4785. Re: Co-phase amp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 03:12:00 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <FGidnWhEBpnisJijXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147371

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
news:v0995ojefh8a8e@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Appliance Operator" <rcranus@hotmailcommie> wrote in message
> news:SnqdnT9BR5gFE52jXTWcow@comcast.com...
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E007327.DE5EA121@cwis.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Don,
> > > In layman's terms, you'd have one chance in 5 of not
> > > ruining something the first time you turned it on. The
> > > second time you turned it on you would have one chance
> > > in 25 of not ruining something. If you haven't ruined
> > > something by the time you're ready to turn it on the
> > > third time, don't turn it on...
> > > It can be done but it isn't as simple as just hooking
> > > things up with equal length feed lines from transmitter
> > > to the amplifiers.
> > > 'Doc
> >
> >
> > He would need a combiner.
> >
> >
>
> --
>
>
> "Maul Popper" <ranus@hotmialcommie> wrote in message
> news:r9WcnflvnsMM1ZyjXTWc3A@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:Ua7M9.68197$hK4.5660734@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > You need a power combiner...
> >
> > didn't I just say that? Doc said no ... huh
> >
> >
>
> --
>
> George needs a [screen nic combiner].

Yeah like you need another anonymous newserver.

4786. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 03:36:49 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <au3c2q0r8a@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147374

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1040516562.43802@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Wow! That really pisses Me off that some thieving low life would take what
> You worked so hard for .
> I have many Friends that try to help the victims of crimes .
> They sometimes are not able to restore Them to the full standards that
They
> are used to But what little That do is sometimes a very big help .
> Especially at this time of the Year. They may even have something there in
> the donation bin that They could give You to help You on Your way.
> I am told by My assistant that Our contact number in Houston is ,
> (713)222-3131. Call this number and tell Them what happened and I'm sure
> They will be able to help You.
> Please let Us know how You came out after You talk to Them. I'm confident
> that You will be feel better afterwards.
> Best of 73" to You My Friend , And Yule time Greetings to You and Your
> Family.
> Good Luck.

ahh gee man. Now somebody went out and stole my 1937 chauffeur driven rolls
royce complete with the damn microwave even. could you help out me here on
this? god dammit, that frickin microwave cost me 49.95 at wal-mart and I
want it back!

4787. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 04:01:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E053931.5070206@mailcity.net>
Source record: 147376

james wrote:
> On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:54:57 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Galaxyelc wrote:
>>
>>>I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one yet?? what
>>>is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its converted,looking for
>>>soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help out
>>>there??,thanks...BOB
>>
>>
>>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
>>
>>R U licensed?
>
> **
>
> No 28.000 to 29.700
>
> james
>
Which includes 28.0 to 28.5

4788. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 04:15:40 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <M%aN9.2718$qU5.1881698@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147372

What is th Houston police dept. going to do?

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1040516562.43802@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Wow! That really pisses Me off that some thieving low life would take what
> You worked so hard for .
> I have many Friends that try to help the victims of crimes .
> They sometimes are not able to restore Them to the full standards that
They
> are used to But what little That do is sometimes a very big help .
> Especially at this time of the Year. They may even have something there in
> the donation bin that They could give You to help You on Your way.
> I am told by My assistant that Our contact number in Houston is ,
> (713)222-3131. Call this number and tell Them what happened and I'm sure
> They will be able to help You.
> Please let Us know how You came out after You talk to Them. I'm confident
> that You will be feel better afterwards.
> Best of 73" to You My Friend , And Yule time Greetings to You and Your
> Family.
> Good Luck.
>
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0212211257.52bf8286@posting.google.com...
> > I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be
> > willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge
> > caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk
> > antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me
> > out during this christmas time.
>
>

4789. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 04:41:09 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E053593.1020701@cac.net>
Source record: 147373

James:

read it again.. he said it was IN the ham band, not that it WAS the
ham band...

A bit more observation might be in order.

-SSB

james wrote:
> On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:54:57 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Galaxyelc wrote:
>>
>>>I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one yet?? what
>>>is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its converted,looking for
>>>soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help out
>>>there??,thanks...BOB
>>
>>
>>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
>>
>>R U licensed?
>
> **
>
> No 28.000 to 29.700
>
> james
>

4790. Fs: Emperor TS-5010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 05:50:32 UTC
From: dq70@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8b00739.0212212150.6be42173@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147375

In A+ condition. A great export radio for the money. $100.

4791. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 06:08:56 UTC
From: Bob Beaulieu <bobb@metroed.net>
Message-ID: <v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147377

My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
Can some send me a website with information on how to use
the radio? Or, explain some things about the knobs and buttons:
knob 1: fm, am, usb, lsb, cw, pa {which one should i be using?}
knob 2: clr->pf gain {what does this do?}
knob 3: rf->pwr-> mic {what is this for?}
knob 4: vol {this seems to turn the unit off/on}

button 1: nb/anl {i think anl is analog - what is nb and which one?
button 2: dim {seems like a toggle for display brightness}
button 3: r.beep {what does this do?}
button 4: swr {how about this?}
button 5: split {???}
button 6: scan {seems like this should scan, but does nothing when pressed}
button 7: prg {does nothing}
button 8: mem {does nothing}
button 9: ent {maybe some sort of enter key?}
button 10: shf {seems like this shifts between reg channels and high number
ones}
button 11: lock {locks something?}
then, an up and down arrow to change channel in display...

This is a 10 meter radio, what does this mean? Is there a 100 meter radio?

I guess, what I'm really looking for is, what settings should I be setting
this
cb radio to is I planned on using it with an RV while on the road. I'm
hoping
it will be helpful if I ever need help.

Sorry if these questions are too basic and simple. This seems like the
appropriate place to look for help.
Thanks,
Bob

4792. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 06:22:34 UTC
From: jscotty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222012234.25831.00000166@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 147378

The 48T has 2-2sc2290 finals
and does not have sideband.
Just am and fm.The 93T has
one 2sc2290 final.Has am,fm
and side band.73,Scotty

4793. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 06:33:59 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040539005.760451@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147379

Sound's like the Butler did it John
"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:au3c2q0r8a@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1040516562.43802@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> > Wow! That really pisses Me off that some thieving low life would take
what
> > You worked so hard for .
> > I have many Friends that try to help the victims of crimes .
> > They sometimes are not able to restore Them to the full standards that
> They
> > are used to But what little That do is sometimes a very big help .
> > Especially at this time of the Year. They may even have something there
in
> > the donation bin that They could give You to help You on Your way.
> > I am told by My assistant that Our contact number in Houston is ,
> > (713)222-3131. Call this number and tell Them what happened and I'm sure
> > They will be able to help You.
> > Please let Us know how You came out after You talk to Them. I'm
confident
> > that You will be feel better afterwards.
> > Best of 73" to You My Friend , And Yule time Greetings to You and Your
> > Family.
> > Good Luck.
>
> ahh gee man. Now somebody went out and stole my 1937 chauffeur driven
rolls
> royce complete with the damn microwave even. could you help out me here on
> this? god dammit, that frickin microwave cost me 49.95 at wal-mart and I
> want it back!
>
>
>

4794. Re: Doug's Theme Song.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 07:29:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ORdN9.1854$kf.137787425@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147382

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E056485.7070102@cac.net...
> http://www.toonedin.com/movies/chatroom_128kbs.html
>
> -SSB
>

LOL!!!!!!!!!, now that's funny.............

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4795. Doug's Theme Song.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 08:01:05 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E056485.7070102@cac.net>
Source record: 147380

http://www.toonedin.com/movies/chatroom_128kbs.html

-SSB

4796. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 08:22:35 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E05698F.6060507@cac.net>
Source record: 147381

Bob:

First off, the RCI-2950's not a CB. It's a 10M HAM rig that's most
likely been converted to run on CB. Not entirely legal, but ::shrug::

The rest of it's below:

Bob Beaulieu wrote:
> My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> Can some send me a website with information on how to use
> the radio? Or, explain some things about the knobs and buttons:
> knob 1: fm, am, usb, lsb, cw, pa {which one should i be using?}

AM for Channel 19.

> knob 2: clr->pf gain {what does this do?}

This is a new one on me.. Maybe it's something different you misread?
Could it be RF Gain? if it is, this is the trimmer to turn up the
sensitivity of the receiver. Most people run it fully clockwise.

> knob 3: rf->pwr-> mic {what is this for?}

RF PWR/Mic Output power (from 0-100%) sets the AM carrier. Run it at
about 50%. Mic = mic gain, which sets the modulation level. Again, run
at about 50%.

> knob 4: vol {this seems to turn the unit off/on}

on/off/volume. Not really hard to figure that one out.

>
> button 1: nb/anl {i think anl is analog - what is nb and which one?

Noise Blanker/Automatic Noise Limiter. Noise filters. Use them or
don't. Up to you.

> button 2: dim {seems like a toggle for display brightness}
You got that one right

> button 3: r.beep {what does this do?}
Roger Beep. Annoying as hell for the guys on the other end. Leave it
turned off.

> button 4: swr {how about this?}
Sets the meter display for rf power/SWR. Use this to tune your antenna.

> button 5: split {???}
Don't use this. CB doesn't utilize split frequencies.

> button 6: scan {seems like this should scan, but does nothing when pressed}

> button 7: prg {does nothing}
For programming the memories

> button 8: mem {does nothing}
for memory recall.

> button 9: ent {maybe some sort of enter key?}
Yep

> button 10: shf {seems like this shifts between reg channels and high number
> ones}
Also sets the duplex mode for split (above)

> button 11: lock {locks something?}
Locks the VFO so you can't bump the knob.

> then, an up and down arrow to change channel in display...
>
> This is a 10 meter radio, what does this mean? Is there a 100 meter radio?
>
Not really. CB transmits on the 11M band. 10M is a HAM band. Don't
transmit there without a license.. it'll get you in ALOT of trouble.

> I guess, what I'm really looking for is, what settings should I be setting
> this
> cb radio to is I planned on using it with an RV while on the road. I'm
> hoping
> it will be helpful if I ever need help.
>
> Sorry if these questions are too basic and simple. This seems like the
> appropriate place to look for help.
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>
Try to get a copy of the manual for the radio... It'll help alot.

HTH

-SSB

4797. RTTY Europe !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 12:24:46 UTC
From: Torbjørn Morka <tmorka@online.no>
Message-ID: <3E05AF0E.5000607@online.no>
Source record: 147383

I just heared 1 AT 1187 now. Unfortunately i could not reply by RTTY,
but he came in strong on 27555.

73' from
20FF101.

4798. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 13:06:59 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <TNiN9.424249$%m4.128909@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147384

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E053593.1020701@cac.net...
> James:
>
> read it again.. he said it was IN the ham band, not that it WAS the
> ham band...
>
> A bit more observation might be in order.
>
> -SSB
>
> james wrote:
> > On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:54:57 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>
> > >>Galaxyelc wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one
yet?? what
> > >>>is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its
converted,looking for
> > >>>soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help
out
> > >>>there??,thanks...BOB
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
> > >>
> > >>R U licensed?
> > >
> > > **********
> > >
> > > No 28.000 to 29.700
> > >
> > > james
> > >
Ok. Why don't you just go here www.galaxyradios.com You will find all
the data that everyone else knows who haven't opened the covers.

Good luck. Lighten up and have a Merry Christmas.

Cheers

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4799. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 13:59:37 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E05C578.1060500@attbi.com>
Source record: 147385

The RCI2950 was designed to be a poor man's 10 meter band amateur radio,
not an 11 meter CB radio. Now that you have it all hooked up, unhook it,
take it out, give it back, and buy a CB radio. You should be able to
find a nice one at Wally World, Rad Shack, or Little Bubba's CB World
down next to the truck stop on the interstate.

Bob Beaulieu wrote:

>My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
>in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
>Can some send me a website with information on how to use
>the radio? Or, explain some things about the knobs and buttons:
>knob 1: fm, am, usb, lsb, cw, pa {which one should i be using?}
>knob 2: clr->pf gain {what does this do?}
>knob 3: rf->pwr-> mic {what is this for?}
>knob 4: vol {this seems to turn the unit off/on}
>
>button 1: nb/anl {i think anl is analog - what is nb and which one?
>button 2: dim {seems like a toggle for display brightness}
>button 3: r.beep {what does this do?}
>button 4: swr {how about this?}
>button 5: split {???}
>button 6: scan {seems like this should scan, but does nothing when pressed}
>button 7: prg {does nothing}
>button 8: mem {does nothing}
>button 9: ent {maybe some sort of enter key?}
>button 10: shf {seems like this shifts between reg channels and high number
>ones}
>button 11: lock {locks something?}
>then, an up and down arrow to change channel in display...
>
>This is a 10 meter radio, what does this mean? Is there a 100 meter radio?
>
>I guess, what I'm really looking for is, what settings should I be setting
>this
>cb radio to is I planned on using it with an RV while on the road. I'm
>hoping
>it will be helpful if I ever need help.
>
>Sorry if these questions are too basic and simple. This seems like the
>appropriate place to look for help.
>Thanks,
>Bob
>
>

>
>

4800. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:01:16 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212220601.6c9166e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147386

HOORAY!!! Another silent keyqueen!!!!! YAY!!!!

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in message
news:<e8d89b3f.0212211257.52bf8286@posting.google.com>...
> I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be
> willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge
> caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk
> antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me
> out during this christmas time.

4801. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:04:01 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212220604.73f19ca9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147387

dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
news:<20021221201825.26934.00000474@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> I love men
> men love me
> we're all one happy family
> with a nick nack paddywhack
> give me your bone
> this no coder has the blowing jones
>
> Once again, please feel free to email Doug at:
>
> sadie106@juno.com and
> fiftymegs@juno.com
>
> Toodles and full quieting from the gay forums.
Dweebo,
Drug and alcohol soaked minds can do no better. You should apologize
to the group for having an unimagiantive, unmelodic song made up for
posting. It does not match in number of syllables or in inflection to
the original lyrics.
Try harder. In 10 years or so of constant practice you may become
proficient. I doubt it.

4802. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:26:01 UTC
From: mrpbick@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222092601.02710.00000188@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 147388

Was it "peaked and tuned" before? Might be running a little to high on the output side. If you haven't fixed it yet, or bought the parts for it yet. I suggest you call mauser electronics for a semiconductor cross reference book. It was five bucks and it helped me alot. I had the same problem before. It was the regulator allright. I replaced it with a higher value transistor and it cooled it down alot.But then again the manufacturing was shoddy. There wasn't the right amount of heat sink compound in there either. Good luck.

4803. Re: CB newbie looking for help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:30:33 UTC
From: mrpbick@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222093033.02710.00000189@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 147389

watch out dude. check with the cops first before you run a scanner in your car.
I beleive florida and Indiana it's illegal to have one in your vehicle.

4804. Re: need cross reference for CD3581 RF transistor!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:32:34 UTC
From: mrpbick@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222093234.02710.00000190@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 147390

try getting a book from mauser electronics. They had a pretty good one a while back for 5$ that refferenced a few different brands

4805. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:43:24 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E05CF8C.CBE49EAC@listed.here>
Source record: 147391

Mrpbick wrote:
>
> There wasn't
> the right amount of heat sink compound in there either. Good luck.

What is the right amount, gobs and gobs, or just enough to
fill the microscopic gaps between the surfaces?

4806. Ham Gear For Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:43:30 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E05CF6B.6040203@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147392

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners manual. I also Have the 3 kW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working DX 100 and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Ham station. I will trade this Receiver for a good working AM station. I want both transmitter and receiver. I want the transmitter to have at least 100 watts out. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack. I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop, (It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100 in will give you 1250 out, New Caps, that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter. this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA. I also have a Hallicrafters S 38 C Shortwave receiver. Its in great shape. It works but I have no idea what its worth. It is a old old receiver. (Make Offer) I have a MFJ Versa Tuner II and a Roadtalker 40-channel sideband CB radio that somebody converted to 10 meters. It works quite well. I talked to a guy in South America on it. (Make Offer) I also have a CBS 1000 transceiver. 25 MHz to 29.795 MHz. In 10 kHz steps. I got it at a hamfest in Georgia. I'll trade it for a SX 300 or NC 300 HQ 110 HQ 170 HQ 200 or similar HF receiver.
Happy Holidays
73 and thanks for reading
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com

4807. Re: Doug's Theme Song.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 14:53:23 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E05D1E3.4B0ED8CF@listed.here>
Source record: 147393

3.29MB now saved for posterity! el oh el!!!

sideband wrote:
>
> http://www.toonedin.com/movies/chatroom_128kbs.html
>
> -SSB

4808. twithed admits N8 has powers over other human beings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 15:10:45 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212220710.1a1289ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147394

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<21047-3E01F479-197@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center)
> >Nebo Dweebo...is that the best ya can do? >Come on, take that weak ass
> shit down the >road and try harder.
> >Why dont you call me some really nasty shit?
>
>
> Because you have already dragged down all those that you can,
> chickenhawk. You did a nice job on Kc8LDO, N3CVJ, WA3MOJ and Franky
> Gilliland. No one else will stoop to that level,,..,we just point at you
> and watch as your Tourettes takes over and your self-control is no
> longer voluntary.

Yer the chickenhawk, chickenhawk. Taking your FUGGLY kid on a gay sex
tour. You oughta be ashamed. The part about Ozark333 and the
Rottweiler was pretty funny, though.

As far as stooping to this or that level goes, we KNOW you don't
stoop, chickenhawk. You KNEEL. <and bob!>
By the way you faggot piece of shit, the sentence ending with "...your
self-control is no longer voluntary." is redundant. Just so a droolin'
gaping ass tard like you gets it I will explain. Self control, by
nature, IS voluntary.

I hope I have clarified an area of grammar and wording for you, sawed
off little faggot boy.

I can tell Dweebo has infected you with an STD that's getting to your
brain.
You better divorce that punk and get medical treatment. I don't wantya
to die yet, there are many more good years of treating you like the
sawed off gay hoebag you are to come.

Hey, is FUGGLY available for a party? Landshark and Chapwoman are
getting married and they need a suckslut for the bachelorette party.
Maybe you could help out those two. With all your experience in the
area maybe you can tell which one is the wife.

Later, Ya sawed off hoebag!

4809. Dweebo's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 15:13:10 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212220713.21b55bdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147395

That is damn funny. True as well.

chukkeedacriminal@yahoo.com (The truth about ChukkeeSukkee) wrote in message news:<7684f123.0212190709.41c7a2e5@posting.google.com>...
> Dweebo the keyclown is a gaping anal hole
> With a jizz soaked face and dried cum lips
> And a lust for men's hard pole
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is a fairy boy they say
> He loves to blow and the children know
> To stay the hell away
>
> There must have been some cum stains
> On the pink panties he found
> Cuz when he placed them on his head
> He began to dance around
>
> Dweebo the keyclown is as queer as he can be
> And Landshark says he sucks and licks
> And just loves that gay pee pee
>
> Dweebo the keyclown faggot as he can be
> Watch him visit truckstops
> Goes in the john to the glory hole
> And there his lips he plops
>
> Dweebo the keyclown knew the sun was hot that day
> So he found a spot in a parking lot
> And sucked black dick all day
>
> Down to the porn store
> With a broom stick in his hand
> He walked raight in to the viewing booths
> And begged for gayboys' gland
>
> He led the fags to the downtown bars
> And right in to the back
> He said let's play the gayboy way
> Please let me lick your anal crack
>
> Dweebo the keyclown then hurried on his way
> He waved goodbye saying gotta go get high
> And get crammed in my can
>

> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Look at Dweebo slurp
>
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Suckety Suck Suck
> Without a single burp

4810. 2 meter gear and more for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 15:31:34 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E05DAAE.2010909@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147396

Hello
I have a Kenwood TM-2530A that I used as a 2 meter packet station. It needs a Mic and the light that lights up the liquid quartz dial. The radio works fine and is in good shape. I looking for a good AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TR 7850 This radio I used as the BBS access on 2 meters. It needs a Mic and needs a new lithium battery backup. I turn the radio off and back on it forgets where it was and defaults to 144.000Mhz. Other than that the radio works fine. I want a working AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TM 201a. I used this 2 meter radio as sat transmitter. I worked Mirror before it came down. It Needs allot of work. It needs a dial. The Freq. read out is all screwed up. This is a fixerupper. It receives fine and transmits fine. It needs a mic too I will though this in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs
I have a Versa Tuner II 949c and a Palomar PT 340 tuner tuner that I would through in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs. I also Have a Kenwood KDC 1011 mobile CD player that has the plugs set up for a the older fords. I will through it in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs.
I also have a Behringer Ultra Graph Pro GEQ 3102 31 band equalizer that I will through it in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs.
Hey thanks for reading
Happy Holidays
73 Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

4811. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 15:42:06 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <i3lN9.462458$QZ.70899@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147247

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E05CF8C.CBE49EAC@listed.here...
>
>
> Mrpbick wrote:
> >
> > There wasn't
> > the right amount of heat sink compound in there either. Good luck.

?

> What is the right amount, gobs and gobs, or just enough to
> fill the microscopic gaps between the surfaces?

:) Maybe its when you open the covers and its slathered all over the back
end with 1/4 inch oozing out around the transistor? YUK

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4812. Help with Older Cobra CB / 138-XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 15:46:44 UTC
From: zyman <mlynn@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E05DE64.5786@rglobal.net>
Source record: 147249

Hello,
I have an old Cobra 138-XLR (circa 1977) that is cosmetically in great shape. Would love to find someone who knows this radio and, if possible, bring it back to original specs. It has a stupid echo thing installed and one of those roger beeps. Some of the knobs were re-configured to accomodate these silly mods....I bought this radio new 25 years ago, and remember it being one helluva rig...before it was raped...
I would be willing to pay a reasonable price to repair it. Probably would cost more than it is worth, but figure it would be worth a shot. I'm pretty sure no parts were removed inside; it does need a new mic, but I can get that anywhere. Any techies out there willing to help?
-Mike

4813. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:00:10 UTC
From: yourafishhead <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6job0vggj2kfocli0dapklhbgmnlk9mnhi@4ax.com>
Source record: 147248

atleast the guy at walmart will work for food.
U on the otherhand sits onyer ass and collects
off da tax payers u FISH HEAD

send me mail fish head u must take out the dog first

On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:05:38 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:

>
>
> It's called "Cyber Begging". Like the guy at
>Wal-Mart with the sign that says "Work for Food".
> 'Doc

4814. i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:08:00 UTC
From: bad breath <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oqob0v8v75vo3dvjbbs29hvbp4kk7b92bs@4ax.com>
Source record: 147250

i want a big radio that has many high watts
radio shack only has 4 watter and i want
2 be able to talk to family in mexico
i was told to get somin round 10 watts and wilsom 5000 tenna
i have 350 dollars to spend no more mail me with stuff
take out dog to mail me

merry merry

4815. Re: i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:22:59 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <DFIN9.462814$QZ.70941@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147251

Pardon me?????

"bad breath" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:oqob0v8v75vo3dvjbbs29hvbp4kk7b92bs@4ax.com...
> i want a big radio that has many high watts
> radio shack only has 4 watter and i want
> 2 be able to talk to family in mexico
> i was told to get somin round 10 watts and wilsom 5000 tenna
> i have 350 dollars to spend no more mail me with stuff
> take out dog to mail me
>
> merry merry
>

4816. Re: i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:33:08 UTC
From: ridemyrail@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222113308.25873.00000168@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 147253

Keep the radio you have now and buy an amp for it. $350 will put you well into the 4 pill class.
LTSL

4817. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:47:11 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147254

Bob,

Some simpole facts for you to muster;

It is most certain this radio has been modified.
I've yet to see one that has been left stock.

If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.

If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.

From the moment you took possesion you violated
federal law and now you've told the whole world
you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.

If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
reading. www.fcc.gov

"Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...
> My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.

4818. Re: RCI 2990

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 16:52:31 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <bZmN9.1120$ku5.245869624@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147256

Terry,

The mod is easy, just open the case and find
the variable resistor marked, " R-33 "

Attach a wattmeter set for the 200 watt range
and slowly turn the pot clockwise until the watt
meter needle rests at the 200 watt mark.

I've done this mod several times with now success
so good luck!

"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:aQ2N9.35371$VA5.4780592@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Does Any one have the power modifying instructions for this radio.
> This radio is capable of 200 watts and mine is only at about 85 watts of a
> peak reading meter.
>
>

4819. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 17:22:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <IxmN9.347$3C.22021272@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147255

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> spewed bs in message
news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> Bob,
>
> Some simpole facts for you to muster;
>
> It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> I've yet to see one that has been left stock.

True, if you are talking about cutting, tweaking peaking.
Wrong if you are talking jumpers.

>
> If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.

Per Day? No, $10,000 fine? That would be like saying
David Duke can win the nomination for president of the
United States. Yes, you might get caught, but the fines
are 99% way less than that.

>
> If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
>

LMAO!!! get arrested?, Jailed? PLEEZEEEEEEE,
what have you been smoking?

> From the moment you took possesion you violated
> federal law and now you've told the whole world
> you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
>

There is no law that says by owning a 2950 he
has violated the law. Again another scare tactic
by our friend at Inreach.Com

> If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> reading. www.fcc.gov
>
>

Please, do go there and at least get the truth, not the
BS this person spewing.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4820. Re: Doug's Theme Song.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 17:24:47 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E05E89F.9040702@cac.net>
Source record: 147252

I'm glad you guys enjoyed it...

73 & happy Yule.

-SSB

WMaC wrote:
> 3.29MB now saved for posterity! el oh el!!!
>
> sideband wrote:
>
>>http://www.toonedin.com/movies/chatroom_128kbs.html
>>
>>-SSB
>

4821. LOOKING FOR COBRA 2000 GTL PART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 17:48:34 UTC
From: Big Red <csfd@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0bup4gq01gc83@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147257

I need the chrome bezel (the one on the front of the radio that has
dynamike, volume,squelch,etc. on it) for a Cobra 2000 GTL.
If you have one in clean condition please let me know at:
csfd@hotmail.com Thanks in advance.

4822. SPAM - repeated ad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 18:14:59 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E060123.44FDEB55@listed.here>
Source record: 147258

Who cares?

4823. OT - YOU GOTTA LOVE OKLAHOMA GIRLS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 18:24:44 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E06036C.5E4B77A8@listed.here>
Source record: 147259

YOU GOTTA LOVE OKLAHOMA GIRLS!

A girl from Oklahoma and a girl from the east coast were seated side by side on an airplane.

The girl from Oklahoma, being friendly and all, said: "So, where y'all from?"

The east coast girl said, "From a place where they know better than to use a preposition at the end of a sentence."

The girl from Oklahoma sat quietly for a few moments and then replied:

"So, where y'all from, bitch?"

4824. Re: i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 18:48:55 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040583108.60999@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147260

$350.00 Should be more than enough to get Yourself a bus ticket back to Mexico . Then You wouldn't have to worry about talking to Family via CB
"bad breath" <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:oqob0v8v75vo3dvjbbs29hvbp4kk7b92bs@4ax.com...
> i want a big radio that has many high watts
> radio shack only has 4 watter and i want
> 2 be able to talk to family in mexico
> i was told to get somin round 10 watts and wilsom 5000 tenna
> i have 350 dollars to spend no more mail me with stuff
> take out dog to mail me
>
> merry merry
>

4825. Re: Help with Older Cobra CB / 138-XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 20:09:15 UTC
From: Greg LaPierre <glapierre@accesswave.ca>
Message-ID: <FZoN9.230005$C8.667446@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 147261

That is one great radio, I the TRC-457 and it worked great. There are people who like to 'rape' them. I am in Nova Scotia and I know that radio inside and out.

Sorry so far way, it would make for a great project.

Homer

"zyman" <mlynn@rglobal.net> wrote in message news:3E05DE64.5786@rglobal.net...
> Hello,
> I have an old Cobra 138-XLR (circa 1977) that is cosmetically in
> great shape. Would love to find someone who knows this radio and, if
> possible, bring it back to original specs. It has a stupid echo thing
> installed and one of those roger beeps. Some of the knobs were
> re-configured to accomodate these silly mods....I bought this radio new
> 25 years ago, and remember it being one helluva rig...before it was
> raped...
> I would be willing to pay a reasonable price to repair it. Probably
> would cost more than it is worth, but figure it would be worth a shot.
> I'm pretty sure no parts were removed inside; it does need a new mic,
> but I can get that anywhere. Any techies out there willing to help?
> -Mike

4826. PSK31 for CB/Freeband ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 20:35:11 UTC
From: Torbjørn Morka <tmorka@online.no>
Message-ID: <3E0621FF.5000203@online.no>
Source record: 147262

What frequency is commonly used for this ??

T.

4827. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 20:51:04 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <YApN9.64245$_S2.41552@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147263

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net...

[snip]

>
> No one is proposing that people be deprived of the use of CB Radio! What
is
> happening is
> that those radios that have already been determined NOT to meet the FCC's
> technical specs
> are allowing an ever bolder group of people to operate on frequencies for
> which a license is
> required.

Yes! Precisely! It's not the equipment, it is the action taken with the
"tool".

You can use an axe to split wood, OR you can use it to break an entering.
But the axe by itself is perfectly legal to own, operate, etc...

You are mistaken about one thing. Most of those radios on the list were
marketed as Amateur Radio Equipment. Which means that there is no "type
acceptance". The amateur band was set aside for experimenting with radio.
Hence, there cannot, and will never be "type accepted" equipment, because
experimental gear cannot be "Type Accepted". You'd have to approve the
theory, before you implement the design. And that impedes on research.

And for those of you who think us Amateurs are a bunch of gardening gluttons
talking about the trade in value on our rider lawn mower, I got some
examples of history for ya. But next time you make a digital cell phone
call, you can thank Phil Karn (KA9Q) for the technology that made it
possible.

The problem with the models listed in the new regulations is that they are
easily modifiable to 11M. Where the fact of the matter is that most 10M
rigs can easily be modified to go down to 11M. I think what the FCC desires
is that any further 10M rigs that are manufactured and marketed within it's
borders have sufficient protection built into them so that they cannot be
modified to transmit on 11M. Now how to do that cost effectively and
maintain a profit is another matter entirely.

Because of that fact what would a good business decision be for an RF
Manufacturer? Make 10M Amateur rigs and sell them at a loss, or stop making
them entirely? You do the math, but I can't name one good buggy whip
manufacturer, I can tell you that.

I'll say it again... "COLLECTORS ITEMS"... Why? Because they won't be available anymore. Just like Model T Fords, 59 Corvettes, but yet people will still want them. As far as what the market is willing to pay for them, that's another matter entirely, and from what history tells us of these very same situations is that the price goes up. Fact: When they stop making them there is a set quantity, now demand sets the price.

How to get these off the air?

Let's use the good old reliable history flashlight to shed some light on the subject and see if we can identify this situation. Hmmmm, I've seen this before.
Have demand set the price so high that one would dare not connect one to an antenna for risk of lightning damage.

Either that or let history take it's toll and wait for most units within the borders to breakdown and malfunction themselves out of existance.

So just like Model T's, 59 Corvettes, etc... The less units functional, the more the price increase. How many 59 Corvettes outside of Las Vegas are there? I think I spotted one about a year ago. I have however seen lots of Kia, Hyndai, and other new products from Japan. Wonder why everyone isn't driving 59 Vettes these days...

In my humble opinion, that's how I see this whole matter playing out.

Now, some people are going to cry for legislation involving type acceeptance on the HAM bands to try and insure that this situation never happens again. That I am pretty certain of. But there is a problem with that. And here it is in a nut shell.

If Amateur gear had to be type accepted before it could be used on amateur bands I'm sure you'd find a rapid decline in RF technology progress.

Here's why:

The Ham bands were set aside for exactly what KA9Q did with them. They weren't set aside so that Japan could market the worlds smallest handheld 2M rig to us year after year perpetually.

[snip]

> > I'll tell ya, people never cease to amaze me. The stupid ones
especially.
> > I've seen some whoppers in my time, but to think that one of my own
> > countrymen would subscribe to the very premise that our own government
> would
> > make people's own personal property contra-band is just absolutely
> > astounding.
>
> Property that is not legal to import to begin with, and wouldn't even
cause
> a raised brow
> IF they were being used on the regular 40. But that property is being used
> in the commission of

> an illegal act; that being operation on frequencies for which the users
have
> no license.

Yes. And I'm sure that the axe has also been used throughout criminal history in burglaries, etc... Yet, it's still perfectly legal to own an axe. The only difference here is that there are no regulations on the manufacturing of axes, and a 10M radio cannot be construed as a physical weapon.

You must realize the distinction between the action and the object. You still seem to be confusing it.

>
>
>
> Especially when the most physical harm that the consumer
> > product could possibly do would be to stub a toe when dropped from a
> > significant height.
>
> See above that OTHER people have a reasonable expectation of protection
from
> intrusions
> on their frequencies from unlicensed bandit operators.

First off, they aren't "YOUR" frequencies. They're commisioned by the regulatory agency for use by licensed stations within the borders of the United States.

How many unlicensed drivers are out on the road today?

You've hit the nail on the head though with the other part, "Reasonable Expectations." When I was younger I expected to be able to ride my bike without the fear of getting mugged for it. I think that's a reasonable expectation. I expect and demand all sorts of things in life, but I don't get seriously upset over being disappointed.

People will continue to park in front of a fire hydrant, speed down the highway in a construction zone and key their non type-accepted radio. Some people for some reason desire to be considered "outlaws." I think the root of the psychology involved would also be present in compulsive gamblers.

How to keep the compulsive gambler from gambling?

Make the minimum bet large enough that they can't afford it.

Right now the equipment is fairly cheap, and it takes effort to catch them and fine them. It's easier to eliminate the equipment than it is to go out and chase them. (Which is what the radio pirate wants - a chase -. It's part of the "thrill".) So, stop the manufacture and import of the problem, and wait for the behavior to stop.

Just like cars that don't have pollution control, air bags, etc...

[snip]
> > BUT THANKS TO THE WISDOM OF THIS NATIONS FOUNDING FATHERS!
> >
> > We have the grandfather clause... Which insures that something as
> > rediculous as the above cannot happen.
>
> There is NO grandfather clause (this a patently stupid premise) governing
a
> product that is
> banned from import into the US.

Are you telling me that because a 1959 corvette does not have pollution control on it that I cannot own one? Are you telling me that because a 1959 corvette does not have air bags that I cannot drive one? Are you telling me that beause a 1959 corvette won't pass government crash tests that I cannot drive one down the road today?

There is no fundamental difference between the car and any other personal property.

Just like the Radios on the list, a car manfacturer today could not manufacture, import, or otherwise sell a BRAND NEW 1959 corvette.

So, your whole statement about there not being a grandfather clause is definitely false. Better take some civics lessons.

Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United
States Constitution states:

"...nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty,
or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any
person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the
laws."

Hence, the radio is not contra-band. HOWEVER!!! If you key it up on 11M, then yes the unit can be seized, and whatever process is set forth for that crime then takes place. IE, equipment seizure, fine, etc... Since it's the FCC's territory I'm not sure if the Judicial branch is even involved. I'm not that familiar with how the FCC imposes a fine, and if it has to go through the judicial branch at all to impose the fine. The question is when it comes to FCC regs is "what is the due process?". I may be mistaken, but I think the forfeiture and fine are mandatory.

But you still can own one, and if licensed by the FCC use it on 10M in full compliance with FCC Regulations. Just as you can own, and operate a 1959 Chevy Corvette at no more than the legal speed limit on any state or local municipality roadway. If you do it without a license, then you are committing a crime. Do it without a license, and speed on top of it? That's double jeopardy. (- more thrill -). But my observations tell me that people do this sort of thing time and time again. Turn on Cops and watch an episode. It's blatantly apparent. People do incredibly idiotic things every day of the week knowing full well they're taking a chance.

This isn't a national problem. It's a localized problem. And I bet a lot of truckers out there use these rigs. Why doesn't the state or local enforcement care about the radio on the dash as they're writing out the ticket for the 75 in a 55? Because they have no incentive to do so. They don't receive dime one of the fine imposed.

I'm not sure of what legislation made having a portable scanner in the vehicle deemed "burglary tools", but I suspect that if similar legislation was imposed with the following conditions that the infraction would catch the interest of the local authorities:

Conditions (This part is obviously subject to debate):

1.) Neither the driver, or the owner of the station/vehicle, or passenger(s) are licensed amateur operators with HF Privleges.
2.) If unlicensed, a microphone or other such key up and modulation device must be located in ?close? proximity to the transmitter.

I'm not suggesting that the above be taken verbatim, I'm merely stating that it is a possible outline.

The root of the inability to handle this problem is that the local enforcement doesn't have any interest in enforcing. That is due to the fact that the RF Spectrum is not their jurisdiction.

That's the whole conundrum in a nutshell. That is why nothing is being done about it.

So the question is, how to get local enforcement involved. How do you make it worthwhile, how do you make it so there isn't a jurisdiction conflict.

Fear Mongering is not the way to solve the problem. That's what I find so entertaining about this entire thread.

> >
> > What's really scary though is that some people (original author fingered)
> > seem to think that the government controls the citizens. I would bet that
> > he probably doesn't know the difference between a civilian and a citizen.
> > And if asked would probably call himself a civillian.
>
> Exactly, BUT our government seeks to treat ALL fairly under the existing
> law. In your defense of
> one group (those who import, sell, and use those Galaxys, etc), you fail to
> take into account the
> protection given to those affected by illegal operators. Once again, none
> of us have a "right" to use
> a radio; it is a privilege granted by the government that they can also
> deny.

-- Yes, I think I said that above, shortly after you referred to the radio waves as your property. I'm glad we're both clear on that now. ;-)

I'm not defending the criminal behavior, I'm just saying that it will happen and there is a distinction between the object and the action.

I outlined above with examples of history, and what I expect the whole outcome of this situation to be, and I feel I was exceptionally clear through analogy on what the impact of the new FCC Regulations mean.

It's the fear mongering tactic the author took that got me interested in dispelling the myth being created.

I'd rate Mr. Voobner a 6 out on 10 "spin" wizard any day of the week. Selective facts, and a misleading headline. That's 5 points there. But this thread has been the best one of his yet. So I gave him a +1 for extra credit.

[snip]

>
> Jerry
> >

4828. Re: OT - YOU GOTTA LOVE OKLAHOMA GIRLS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 21:06:01 UTC
From: bad breath <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9gac0v8ofrcv370ic4038kjagh81pgvg7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 147264

eastcoast girls are dammmmm ugly
all they do is smoke butts and have many wrinkles around the
mouth.
they stink two
i was in new york saw two of them in coffee store talking
about the other women very dirty mouth

good for a quick poke in the bed if they keep mouth shut

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 13:24:44 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:

>YOU GOTTA LOVE OKLAHOMA GIRLS!
>
>
>A girl from Oklahoma and a girl from the east coast were seated
>side by side on an airplane.
>
>The girl from Oklahoma, being friendly and all, said: "So, where y'all
>from?"
>
>The east coast girl said, "From a place where they know better
>than to use a preposition at the end of a sentence."
>
>The girl from Oklahoma sat quietly for a few moments and then replied:
>
>"So, where y'all from, bitch?"

4829. Re: i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 21:08:44 UTC
From: bad breath <yourafishheaddog@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cpac0vk6vvshskrn7jrkb42ulrjui9ncma@4ax.com>
Source record: 147265

cant buy amp i need a radio
i hooked up 24 volts two the radioshack radio and it
smoked up. i need big radio with 10 watt out and tenna for car
i have a honda with small altinater so i was told no amp

On 22 Dec 2002 16:33:08 GMT, ridemyrail@aol.com (RideMyRail) wrote:

>Keep the radio you have now and buy an amp for it. $350 will put you well into
>the 4 pill class.
>LTSL

4830. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 22:13:25 UTC
From: Bob Beaulieu <bobb@metroed.net>
Message-ID: <v0ce8a7bmedufb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147266

Thanks for the comments...

I don't think my rci2950 is modified in anyway; most certainly, I would have no idea of what to do with such if it were. I have not used the unit, so no violations for me...

I did checkout the FCC's web site and looked at fines issued for various violations. I couldn't fine any CB radio violations with $10k fines for every day of use. I did see in every case I looked at, the FCC was very thorough and the violator had warnings to stop, yet ignored such - in those cases, the fines were higher. Each case had someone that originated a complaint, which was followed up on by the FCC.

I think, I'll probably just listen to another poster and simply get a cheap CB from Radio Shack and get rid of the rci2950.
Thanks,
Bob

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> Bob,
>
> Some simpole facts for you to muster;
>
> It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
>
> If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
>
> If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
>
> From the moment you took possesion you violated
> federal law and now you've told the whole world
> you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
>
> If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> reading. www.fcc.gov
>
>
>
> "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...

> > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
>
>
>

4831. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 22:16:44 UTC
From: big boy now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <fRqN9.17048$Oc4.11766@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 147267

sure I have a second rig you can loan it till after the festivities are
over.
the only thing is you will need to collect it.....
were from hear in sunny LONDON....uk the rig is there if you want to take
up the offer.....let me know....there is also a K40 magmount..
let me know ....happy xmas....
"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212211257.52bf8286@posting.google.com...
> I had my car and cb radio equipemt stolen last night would anybody be
> willing to help me replace my lost items. I had a red 91 dodge
> caravan a uniden pro 510xl radio tri magnet mount and a tram skyhawk
> antenna. I live in Houston Tx and would anybody willing to help me
> out during this christmas time.

4832. OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 22:38:59 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E063F03.784A2994@listed.here>
Source record: 147268

Gas companies

Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family, and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.

Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
Chevron/Texaco.....144,332,000 barrels
Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels
If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18 BILLION!

Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern oil:
Citgo 0 barrels
Sunoco 0 barrels
Conoco 0 barrels
Sinclair 0 barrels
BP/Phillips 0 barrels
Hess 0 barrels
All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing. They report on a monthly basis.

Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.

Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain how simple it is to reach millions of people.

I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over THREE

MILLION consumers!

If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION PEOPLE.

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!

4833. Canadian Babies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 23:14:44 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E064764.422E4590@listed.here>
Source record: 147269

Canadian Babies

A Canadian is drinking in a New York bar when he gets a call on his cell phone. He hangs up, grinning from ear to ear, and orders a round of drinks for everybody in the bar.. He announces, his wife has just produced a typical Canadian baby boy weighing 25 pounds. Nobody can believe that any new baby can weigh in at 25 pounds, but the

Canuck just shrugs, "That's about average up North, folks... like I said, my boy's a typical Canadian baby boy."
Congratulations showered him from all around, and many exclamations of "WOW" were heard and lots of rounds ensued.
Two weeks later the Canadian returns to the bar. The bartender says, "Say, you're the father of that typical Canadian baby that weighed 25 pounds at birth, aren't you? Everybody's been making' bets about how big he'd be in two weeks. We were gonna call you..... so how much does he weigh now?"

The proud father answers, "Seventeen pounds." the bartender is puzzled,

and concerned. "What happened? He already weighed 25 pounds the day he was born." The Canadian father takes a long, slow swig from his Molson , wipes his lips on his shirt sleeve, leans into the bartender and says, "Had him circumcised".

4834. Re: i need big radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 23:17:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <dKrN9.133504$a8.107419@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147270

Just a test. This is the best! LOL!!!

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E063B4A.25E6D71D@listed.here...
>

4835. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 23:47:32 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <g2tN9.1130$HH6.250925167@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147274

Put your Ranger on Ebay, list in the ham radio area.

Specify it as being a 10 meter legal radio only.

If you even mention the word " CB " in your ad,
it will get pulled by Ebay Security and you will
be banned from placing further ads.

Average sell price is around $150 plus.

No self-respecting ham will buy it but a CB'er will
grab it faster then a hot leech on warm night.

Good luck my brother

"Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
news:v0ce8a7bmedufb@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks for the comments...
>
> I don't think my rci2950 is modified in anyway; most certainly, I
> would have no idea of what to do with such if it were. I have not
> used the unit, so no violations for me...
>
> I did checkout the FCC's web site and looked at fines issued for
> various violations. I couldn't fine any CB radio violations with
> $10k fines for every day of use. I did see in every case I looked
> at, the FCC was very thorough and the violator had warnings to
> stop, yet ignored such - in those cases, the fines were higher. Each
> case had someone that originated a complaint, which was followed
> up on by the FCC.
>
> I think, I'll probably just listen to another poster and simply get
> a cheap CB from Radio Shack and get rid of the rci2950.
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
> ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> > Bob,
> >
> > Some simpole facts for you to muster;
> >
> > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
> >
> > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught

> > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
> >
> > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
> >
> > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> >
> > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > reading. www.fcc.gov
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4836. Re: I had my car and cb radio stuff stolen last night and I need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 23:49:38 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E064F92.31EB9ABC@cwis.net>
Source record: 147271

Why would I possibly want to send you email? Go
away... (click, and he has)
'Doc

4837. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-22 23:55:11 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <r9tN9.1131$bl6.250957859@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147272

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> spewed bs in message
> news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
>

> > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
>
> True, if you are talking about cutting, tweaking peaking.
> Wrong if you are talking jumpers.

Modified is modified no matter what method is used.
Get your facts straight.

>
> >
> > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
>
> Per Day? No, $10,000 fine? That would be like saying
> David Duke can win the nomination for president of the
> United States. Yes, you might get caught, but the fines
> are 99% way less than that.

I see you haven't read current FCC law lately but I regress,
reading is difficult for you.

>
> >
> > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
> >
>
> LMAO!!! get arrested?, Jailed? PLEEZEEEEEEE,
> what have you been smoking?

CASELAW FACTS: Richard Burton vs United States Government

Los Angeles. Burton is currently serving jailtime for violation
of FCC laws. Do your research numbnuts.

>
> > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> >

>
> There is no law that says by owning a 2950 he
> has violated the law. Again another scare tactic
> by our friend at Inreach.Com

If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he
is in violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified
radio capable of transmission on CB channels.

>
> > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > reading. www.fcc.gov
> >
> >
>

However if you can't read, find someone to read it to you,
better yet, sell your radio and find a new hobby.

4838. I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 00:10:08 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147273

Seems I'm not wanted around here.

Anybody else feel the same?

4839. OT: Splat!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 00:21:19 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0656FF.E744B4D1@listed.here>
Source record: 147275

HA HA HA CANT GET ME !!!!!!!

The fight has begun!
scroll down >>

```
\\ \ | | / //
\\\ \\ // ///
\\\ ########## ///
\\ ### ### //
-- ## ## --
-- ## squish!! ## --
-- ## ## --
// ### ### \\
/// ######### \\\
/// // \\ \\\
// / | | \ \\
/ | \
```

~You have just been hit with an e-mail snow ball!~

It's the start of.....

The Great Snow Ball Fight 2002 - 2003!!

One rule to this game....

You can NOT hit someone who has already hit you!

Now...

go out there and get as many people as you can,

before they get you!

I got you first! and you can't get me back!

Nanee - Nanee - Nanee!

(hehe)

We do not stop playing because we grow old;

we grow old because we stop playing.

4840. BS Meter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 00:34:09 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <5SsN9.468490$NH2.32492@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 147276

Cool Logo!!!! I love it. That applies to most of life! LOL

"WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E063B4A.25E6D71D@listed.here...
>

4841. Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 00:35:59 UTC
From: Paul <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <1040603530.205343@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 147277

I have a Midland 79-290. Its a cool radio but the audio quality is poor and gets annoying real fast.

Can anyone recomend a radio with really good audio quality when used with an external speaker. I mostly just monitor the frequencies in the car and I would like to get a unit with a warmer sound that can be turned up without a lot of distortion.

Many thanks for your help!

P. Zook

4842. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 01:08:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3mtN9.1990$J14.180708521@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147278

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:r9tN9.1131$bI6.250957859@news.inreach.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> spewed bs in message
> > news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> >
>
> > > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
> >
> > True, if you are talking about cutting, tweaking peaking.
> > Wrong if you are talking jumpers.
>
> Modified is modified no matter what method is used.
> Get your facts straight.

Nope, if all you have to do is move 1 jumper and another,
you can just as easy move it back and remove the one
added. So then is still a modified radio?

>
> > > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> > > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
> >
> > Per Day? No, $10,000 fine? That would be like saying
> > David Duke can win the nomination for president of the
> > United States. Yes, you might get caught, but the fines
> > are 99% way less than that.
>
>
> I see you haven't read current FCC law lately but I regress,
> reading is difficult for you.
>

No problem here, but you seem to want scare this guy into
thinking what you said will happen to him. I can site case's
as you did below to the fact that most people caught with
these rigs, not using them in the 10 metere portion, are
almost always sent a warning letter.

> > >
> > > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.

> > >
> >
> > LMAO!!! get arrested?, Jailed? PLEEZEEEEEE,
> > what have you been smoking?
>
> CASELAW FACTS: Richard Burton vs United States
> Government
> Los Angeles. Burton is currently serving jailtime for violation
> of FCC laws. Do your research numbnuts.

I see you resorted to insults on just the first response,
just proves you wrong.

Please provide the link, as I will not waste my time
to find out exactly that he was warned repeated times,
given a nal disregarded it, got fined previously for the
same thing warned, then after years of doing exactly
what they asked him not to do, he got a month in jail
(Or six months).
Not the first time he uses it does all that happen.

>
> >
> > > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> > >
> >
> > There is no law that says by owning a 2950 he
> > has violated the law. Again another scare tactic
> > by our friend at Inreach.Com
>
> If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he
> is in violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified
> radio capable of transmission on CB channels.

It is against the law to use said radio on the cb bands, type certifaction
is needed, none is needed for the 10 meter
band. Just having one does not violate any law, using it
does, so as you stated above, "Do your research numbnuts"

> > > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > > reading. www.fcc.gov
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> However if you can't read, find someone to read it to you,
> better yet, sell your radio and find a new hobby.

I've got lots of radio's and have no desire to sell them or find another
hobby, you on the other hand have decided to try

to scare this person with "Mojo" legalities. When in
other posts you tell people how to do modify radios, by
pass e bay rules and such, all the while trying to scare this guy
with your bs, pretty hypocritical if you ask me.

Enough, have a good Christmas, you are now in
the kill file along with George, Aaron, Doug and Sparky.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4843. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:27:27 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jwuN9.270464$pN3.21645@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 147279

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com...
> Seems I'm not wanted around here.
>
> Anybody else feel the same?
>
Ah, now don't go away mad. Just.... well join the party and learn to
refrain from the trolls.

Deb
--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4844. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:28:33 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <lxuN9.470035$NH2.32759@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 147280

"Paul" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
news:1040603530.205343@axilla.wwnet.net...
> I have a Midland 79-290. Its a cool radio but the audio quality is poor
and
> gets annoying real fast.
>
> Can anyone recomend a radio with really good audio quality when used with
an
> external speaker. I mostly just monitor the frequencies in the car and I
> would like to get a unit with a warmer sound that can be turned up without
a
> lot of distortion.
>
> Many thanks for your help!
>
> P. Zook

Have you tried a better speaker? Just curious.

Deb

4845. I HAVE CONNEX 33 STORM BUILT BOX FLATLINE ANT VERY HAPPY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:36:20 UTC
From: cb142stl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222213620.10433.00000523@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 147281

36 SAND PILE

4846. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:40:11 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <kmtc0v4pijk6f0c5o0higvm2tln35jkq9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 147282

>If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he
>is in violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified
>radio capable of transmission on CB channels.

Possession? Violation? Not!
I can possess ANY transceiver modified in ANY matter.
Mere possession is NOT a violation. Get your facts straight.

4847. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:41:29 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <0ttc0v4kkf3u0u5rohe09t6j290ei4vduk@4ax.com>
Source record: 147284

>From the moment you took possesion you violated
>federal law and now you've told the whole world
>you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
>
>If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
>reading. www.fcc.gov

You have made NO violation of federal law by possessing
a 2950.

4848. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 02:55:57 UTC
From: dougadairbuster@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 147285

>Subject: Re: Doug's song
>From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center)
>Date: 12/22/2002 8:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cfe28a2b.0212220604.73f19ca9@posting.google.com>
>
>dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
>news:<20021221201825.26934.00000474@mb-dh.aol.com>...
>> I love men
>> men love me
>> we're all one happy family
>> with a nick nack paddywhack
>> give me your bone
>> this no coder has the blowing jones
>>
>> Once again, please feel free to email Doug at:
>>
>> sadie106@juno.com and
>> fiftymegs@juno.com
>>
>> Toodles and full quieting from the gay forums.
>Dweebo,
>Drug and alcohol soaked minds can do no better. You should apologize
>to the group for having an unimagiantive, unmelodic song made up for
>posting. It does not match in number of syllables or in inflection to
>the original lyrics.
>Try harder. In 10 years or so of constant practice you may become
>proficient. I doubt it.
>
>
>
>
>
>

You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping at straws
(the one between your legs).

4849. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 03:19:26 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147286

"DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...

> You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping at straws
> (the one between your legs).

It is his other half Randy.

4850. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 03:29:36 UTC
From: Bob Beaulieu <bobb@metroed.net>
Message-ID: <v0d0p1hgf9ja78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147287

Thanks! Happy Holidays!!!
Bob

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:g2tN9.1130$HH6.250925167@news.inreach.com...
> Put your Ranger on Ebay, list in the ham radio area.
>
> Specify it as being a 10 meter legal radio only.
>
> If you even mention the word " CB " in your ad,
> it will get pulled by Ebay Security and you will
> be banned from placing further ads.
>
> Average sell price is around $150 plus.
>
> No self-respecting ham will buy it but a CB'er will
> grab it faster then a hot leech on warm night.
>
> Good luck my brother
>
>
>
> "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> news:v0ce8a7bmedufb@corp.supernews.com...
> > Thanks for the comments...
> >
> > I don't think my rci2950 is modified in anyway; most certainly, I
> > would have no idea of what to do with such if it were. I have not
> > used the unit, so no violations for me...
> >
> > I did checkout the FCC's web site and looked at fines issued for
> > various violations. I couldn't fine any CB radio violations with
> > $10k fines for every day of use. I did see in every case I looked
> > at, the FCC was very thorough and the violator had warnings to
> > stop, yet ignored such - in those cases, the fines were higher. Each
> > case had someone that originated a complaint, which was followed
> > up on by the FCC.
> >
> > I think, I'll probably just listen to another poster and simply get
> > a cheap CB from Radio Shack and get rid of the rci2950.
> > Thanks,
> > Bob
> >
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message

> > news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> > > Bob,
> > >
> > > Some simpole facts for you to muster;
> > >
> > > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
> > >
> > > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> > > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
> > >
> > > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
> > >
> > > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> > >
> > > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > > reading. www.fcc.gov
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > > > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4851. Need schematic for Radio Shack TRC-481 (21-1550B)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 03:40:01 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <IAvN9.2352$b97.204234@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147288

[No readable article body recovered]

4852. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 03:53:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cNvN9.42273$Nz5.1066656@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147289

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...
>
> > You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping at
straws
> > (the one between your legs).
>
> It is his other half Randy.
>
>

Nice guess George, but alas, you are still wrong. Do you actually think that Tim and I are the only posters here that don't see eye to eye with you and the rest of the posse? I mean really, it is nice that you think so highly of me, but the kudos are really unwarranted.

At any rate, Merry Christmas to all, and to all, yes even you George, Happy New Year!

4853. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 03:58:13 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <au61ms024j7@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147290

"Paul" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
news:1040603530.205343@axilla.wwnet.net...
> I have a Midland 79-290. Its a cool radio but the audio quality is poor
and
> gets annoying real fast.
>
> Can anyone recomend a radio with really good audio quality when used with
an
> external speaker. I mostly just monitor the frequencies in the car and I
> would like to get a unit with a warmer sound that can be turned up without
a
> lot of distortion.
>
> Many thanks for your help!
>
> P. Zook

midlands were always crappy on audio.
try a cobra. even a uniden is better.
not only did they use funky speakers, but the circuitry was bare basics.

4854. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:25:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TewN9.38585$KW2.1282626@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147283

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com...
> Seems I'm not wanted around here.
>
> Anybody else feel the same?
>
>

Yeah, Doug does.

4855. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:27:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ahwN9.3909$dK6.130582781@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147291

Well Good luck on E bay Bob, they seem
to have a problem with all of those type of 10 meter
radios. If for some reason they pull your auction,
you might try Yahoo auctions, they aren't as up tight
about those things.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

"Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
news:v0d0p1hgf9ja78@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks! Happy Holidays!!!
> Bob
>
> ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> news:g2tN9.1130$HH6.250925167@news.inreach.com...
> > Put your Ranger on Ebay, list in the ham radio area.
> >
> > Specify it as being a 10 meter legal radio only.
> >
> > If you even mention the word " CB " in your ad,
> > it will get pulled by Ebay Security and you will
> > be banned from placing further ads.
> >
> > Average sell price is around $150 plus.
> >
> > No self-respecting ham will buy it but a CB'er will
> > grab it faster then a hot leech on warm night.
> >
> > Good luck my brother
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > news:v0ce8a7bmedufb@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Thanks for the comments...
> > >
> > > I don't think my rci2950 is modified in anyway; most certainly, I
> > > would have no idea of what to do with such if it were. I have not
> > > used the unit, so no violations for me...
> > >
> > > I did checkout the FCC's web site and looked at fines issued for
> > > various violations. I couldn't fine any CB radio violations with

> > > $10k fines for every day of use. I did see in every case I looked
> > > at, the FCC was very thorough and the violator had warnings to
> > > stop, yet ignored such - in those cases, the fines were higher. Each
> > > case had someone that originated a complaint, which was followed
> > > up on by the FCC.
> > >
> > > I think, I'll probably just listen to another poster and simply get
> > > a cheap CB from Radio Shack and get rid of the rci2950.
> > > Thanks,
> > > Bob
> > >
> > > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> > > news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> > > > Bob,
> > > >
> > > > Some simpole facts for you to muster;
> > > >
> > > > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > > > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
> > > >
> > > > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> > > > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
> > > >
> > > > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > > > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
> > > >
> > > > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > > > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > > > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> > > >
> > > > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > > > reading. www.fcc.gov
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > > > > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4856. Re: I have no friends here (HMMM!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:36:56 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <13623-3E0692E8-341@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147293

Well Chunks sorry to hear about your lack of friends if you are telling the truth and not just joking around. Christmas can certainly can be especially lonley if you have noone in your life. I would say the best way to find a friend outside the group is to tie a T-Bone steak (raw of course) around your neck and take a walk around the neighborhood, Before you know it you will have lots of friends, Merry Christmass

4857. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:43:49 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <nKicnQAPtsETCZujXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 147292

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ahwN9.3909$dK6.130582781@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Well Good luck on E bay Bob, they seem
> to have a problem with all of those type of 10 meter
> radios. If for some reason they pull your auction,
> you might try Yahoo auctions, they aren't as up tight
> about those things.
>
> Landshark

It is not a point of being up tight Fairy God Mother it is about following the laws. Something you seem to have a problem with.

4858. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:44:36 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <695d0vsvngfr38613hvug7jdlgmdn9fsaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 147294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

4859. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:44:43 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <i95d0v8bq66p9namslaqgigr3gsmm9e8dg@4ax.com>
Source record: 147295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4860. LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:48:03 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <nf5d0vkfc7a05mo36b2u74q5lg581vvc4f@4ax.com>
Source record: 147296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description of this and other items now on sale:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4861. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:49:14 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <ViudnSz60-ZXCJujXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147397

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cNvN9.42273$Nz5.1066656@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> news:SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >
> > > You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping at
> straws
> > > (the one between your legs).
> >
> > It is his other half Randy.
> >
> >
>
> Nice guess George, but alas, you are still wrong. Do you actually think that
> Tim and I are the only posters here that don't see eye to eye with you and
> the rest of the posse? I mean really, it is nice that you think so highly of
> me, but the kudos are really unwarranted.

Nah, funny part is you jumped right on this thread randy you have been pretty
much silent in the group(at least under this account) I didn't even hit the send
button and your right on the post. must have been paying close attention to it.
I know you have an aol account from when you forged me.

4862. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:50:28 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <vj5d0vsm2037mcjitounil1he8cc2i2boq@4ax.com>
Source record: 147398

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits
for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham
conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new
frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and
privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog
of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics
(TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger
Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, click line below:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&com
pleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

****
73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4863. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 04:56:50 UTC
From: Bob Beaulieu <bobb@metroed.net>
Message-ID: <v0d5sjrnt0nb69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147399

Thanks! Happy Holidays!!!
Bob

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ahwN9.3909$dK6.130582781@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Well Good luck on E bay Bob, they seem
> to have a problem with all of those type of 10 meter
> radios. If for some reason they pull your auction,
> you might try Yahoo auctions, they aren't as up tight
> about those things.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
> "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> news:v0d0p1hgf9ja78@corp.supernews.com...
> > Thanks! Happy Holidays!!!
> > Bob
> >
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> > news:g2tN9.1130$HH6.250925167@news.inreach.com...
> > > Put your Ranger on Ebay, list in the ham radio area.
> > >
> > > Specify it as being a 10 meter legal radio only.
> > >
> > > If you even mention the word " CB " in your ad,
> > > it will get pulled by Ebay Security and you will
> > > be banned from placing further ads.
> > >
> > > Average sell price is around $150 plus.
> > >
> > > No self-respecting ham will buy it but a CB'er will
> > > grab it faster then a hot leech on warm night.
> > >
> > > Good luck my brother
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v0ce8a7bmedufb@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Thanks for the comments...

> > > >
> > > > I don't think my rci2950 is modified in anyway; most certainly, I
> > > > would have no idea of what to do with such if it were. I have not
> > > > used the unit, so no violations for me...
> > > >
> > > > I did checkout the FCC's web site and looked at fines issued for
> > > > various violations. I couldn't fine any CB radio violations with
> > > > $10k fines for every day of use. I did see in every case I looked
> > > > at, the FCC was very thorough and the violator had warnings to
> > > > stop, yet ignored such - in those cases, the fines were higher. Each
> > > > case had someone that originated a complaint, which was followed
> > > > up on by the FCC.
> > > >
> > > > I think, I'll probably just listen to another poster and simply get
> > > > a cheap CB from Radio Shack and get rid of the rci2950.
> > > > Thanks,
> > > > Bob
> > > >
> > > > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> > > > news:aUmN9.1119$ft5.245799054@news.inreach.com...
> > > > > Bob,
> > > > >
> > > > > Some simpole facts for you to muster;
> > > > >
> > > > > It is most certain this radio has been modified.
> > > > > I've yet to see one that has been left stock.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you use it on the 10 meter bands and get caught
> > > > > you can be fined up to $10,000 per day by the FCC.
> > > > >
> > > > > If it has been modified for use on the 11 meter band,
> > > > > you can be arrested, fined and tossed in jail if caught.
> > > > >
> > > > > From the moment you took possesion you violated
> > > > > federal law and now you've told the whole world
> > > > > you're a lawbreaker, change your name and move.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you doubt me, visit the FCC web site and do a little
> > > > > reading. www.fcc.gov
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > > > > > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use
it.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4864. Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 05:09:19 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <13623-3E069A7F-345@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147400

I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal. I have a radio now but
what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
and appreciated. Another question I have is what
is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,

4865. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 05:53:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ExxN9.2006$vH6.191368306@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147401

"Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
news:v0d5sjrnt0nb69@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks! Happy Holidays!!!
> Bob
>

Your more than welcome. You also might
consider a Cobra or a Uniden, they might have
better options for you.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4866. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 06:55:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KryN9.38698$6H6.1237194@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147402

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:ViudnSz60-ZXCJujXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cNvN9.42273$Nz5.1066656@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > news:SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...
> > >
> > > > You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping at
> > straws
> > > > (the one between your legs).
> > >
> > > It is his other half Randy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Nice guess George, but alas, you are still wrong. Do you actually think
that
> > Tim and I are the only posters here that don't see eye to eye with you
and
> > the rest of the posse? I mean really, it is nice that you think so
highly of
> > me, but the kudos are really unwarranted.
>
> Nah, funny part is you jumped right on this thread randy you have been
pretty
> much silent in the group(at least under this account) I didn't even hit
the send
> button and your right on the post. must have been paying close attention
to it.
> I know you have an aol account from when you forged me.
>
>

George, I impersonated you through OE, which you above all others can
attest, is easily manipulated as far as the nic posted. Try another angle.
Drives you nuts that AOL doesn't post the IP's, doesn't it? LOL

4867. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:00:07 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XvyN9.38739$6H6.1237912@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147403

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KryN9.38698$6H6.1237194@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> news:ViudnSz60-ZXCJujXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:cNvN9.42273$Nz5.1066656@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > > news:SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > > > You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping
at
> > straws
> > > > > (the one between your legs).
> > > >
> > > > It is his other half Randy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Nice guess George, but alas, you are still wrong. Do you actually
think
> that
> > > Tim and I are the only posters here that don't see eye to eye with you
> and
> > > the rest of the posse? I mean really, it is nice that you think so
> highly of
> > > me, but the kudos are really unwarranted.
> >
> > Nah, funny part is you jumped right on this thread randy you have been
> pretty
> > much silent in the group(at least under this account) I didn't even hit
> the send
> > button and your right on the post. must have been paying close attention
> to it.
> > I know you have an aol account from when you forged me.
> >
> >
>
> George, I impersonated you through OE, which you above all others can

> attest, is easily manipulated as far as the nic posted. Try another angle.
> Drives you nuts that AOL doesn't post the IP's, doesn't it? LOL
>
>

P.S. Call Doug, maybe he can help you find your ass! LOL!

4868. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:06:12 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <wtzN9.1143$EA7.254586901@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147404

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>
> >If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he
> >is in violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified
> >radio capable of transmission on CB channels.
>
> Possession? Violation? Not!
> I can possess ANY transceiver modified in ANY matter.
> Mere possession is NOT a violation. Get your facts straight.
>

I suppose possession of a sawed-off shotgun is legal too right?

yee-haw!

4869. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:13:47 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <DAzN9.1144$OK7.255232131@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147405

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message

> You have made NO violation of federal law by possessing
> a 2950.

Listen up speedbump,

The violation occurs when you posses or attempt to sell
a MODIFIED 2950. Now whether or not a gray-suited
thug in loafers and a 4-door sedan knocks upon your
door is another story. A yard full of pitbulls makes a great
deterrent and discourages youthful offenders who might
decide to come upon your property and pin your coax
as well.

4870. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:16:42 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <mDzN9.1145$YK7.255242293@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147409

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message

> >
> Ah, now don't go away mad. Just.... well join the party and learn to
> refrain from the trolls.

Trolls? Trolls in rec.radio.cb? No way. No self-respecting CB'er is going to troll this newsgroup. Now on the other hand, licensed ham radio types might just attempt something just to stir the pot. But why would they do that? I just don't understand.

4871. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:19:05 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <AFzN9.1146$aM7.255322143@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147406

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message

> ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message

> > Seems I'm not wanted around here.
> >
> > Anybody else feel the same?
> >
> >
> Yeah, Doug does.
>

You know, I can feel the hate. I can also smell a burning cross or
two as well. Comes naturally when your skin is less then white.

4872. Re: I have no friends here (HMMM!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:23:30 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <KJzN9.1147$EK7.255221870@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147408

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> I would
> say the best way to find a friend outside the group is to tie a T-Bone
> steak (raw of course) around your neck and take a walk around the
> neighborhood

Not my neighborhood. A brother would come along and shoot you for it.
" Shoot first, ask questions later, " that's the general technique here.

I used to wear my crack pipe hanging around my neck so I could pop
it into the bush when the police stopped me.

Any other suggestions bro?

4873. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 07:27:21 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <kNzN9.1148$XN7.255437833@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147407

"Paul" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
> I have a Midland 79-290. Its a cool radio but the audio quality is poor
and
> gets annoying real fast.
>
> Can anyone recomend a radio with really good audio quality when used with
an
> external speaker. I mostly just monitor the frequencies in the car and I
> would like to get a unit with a warmer sound that can be turned up without
a
> lot of distortion.

Clearspeech makes the best sounding speaker you will ever hear.
Removes 95 percent of background noise. Great for mobile use.

http://www.amcominc.com/productdetail.cfm?Prod_ID=16

4874. Selling TRC 485 and PS 20A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 09:07:40 UTC
From: Zero Double Eight <iw0gvp@edmondoconetta.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <doAN9.275$Yo5.77545@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 147410

```
Selling the following
TRC 485 AM SSB Mobile Cb for $70Us dollars + S/H
and Pyramid Phase III Power Supply 20A for $60 + S/H
Check ebay for more informations
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=umtsmen&i
nclude=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25
```

4875. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 11:27:22 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212230327.5e668c7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147411

"\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:<AFzN9.1146$aM7.255322143@news.inreach.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
>
> > > Seems I'm not wanted around here.
> > >
> > > Anybody else feel the same?
> > >
> > >
> > Yeah, Doug does.
> >
>
> You know, I can feel the hate. I can also smell a burning cross or
> two as well. Comes naturally when your skin is less then white.

oh ok let's see.....a guy named 'chunks' feels the hate.....now
i could understand such a statement if your name was 'chuck'.....

4876. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 11:30:51 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212230330.5c2c7121@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<nf5d0vkfc7a05mo36b2u74q5lg581vvc4f@4ax.com>...
> Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This
> deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to
> stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description
> of this and other items now on sale:
>
> http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&c
ompleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,
> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>

> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com

what??? lou is selling amp plans on ebay..... well i
never......dagnabit.......i am going to tell.....

4877. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 11:55:59 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jRCN9.433874$%m4.130407@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147413

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:13623-3E069A7F-345@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Snip

Another question I have is what
> is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
> need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,

Is that right. Well good luck but most of us haven't seen a linear
amplifier for cb radio in like ....mmmmm......well let me
see.......mmmmm.......Oh, I had to get a cup of coffee. Sure is early.

Christmas shopping I must do. Off we go to spread some cheer.

Happy Holidays to you and Yours.

NEVER in response to the first line. Man I lost track again. Comes with
age I suppose.

Deb
--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4878. AUCTION: Re: Selling TRC 485 and PS 20A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 12:51:09 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0706BD.B69ACC4F@listed.here>
Source record: 147414

Screw EBay.

Zero Double Eight wrote:
>
> Selling the following
> TRC 485 AM SSB Mobile Cb for $70Us dollars + S/H
> and Pyramid Phase III Power Supply 20A for $60 + S/H
> Check ebay for more informations
> http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=umtsmen&i
> nclude=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

4879. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 13:40:50 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <uh4e0vokg894mmj7mskamgq2m0n3gbed4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 147415

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 23:06:12 -0800, "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
wrote:

><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>>
>> >If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he
>> >is in violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified
>> >radio capable of transmission on CB channels.
>>
>> Possession? Violation? Not!
>> I can possess ANY transceiver modified in ANY matter.
>> Mere possession is NOT a violation. Get your facts straight.
>>
>
>I suppose possession of a sawed-off shotgun is legal too right?

What does that have to do with possession of a 2950? Nothing!

4880. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 13:42:41 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <hj4e0vcsdihotqvt5no69nb1nt3mgfbdm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 147416

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 23:13:47 -0800, "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>
>> You have made NO violation of federal law by possessing
>> a 2950.
>
>Listen up speedbump,
>
>The violation occurs when you posses or attempt to sell
>a MODIFIED 2950.

To quote you "Listen up speedbump" You have made NO violation of
federal law by possessing a 2950.

4881. Re: Hey Tim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 13:51:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021223085157.18733.00000233@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 147417

not a bit tra1n, i've been being lazy and getting ready for the holidays. still sending people to your site though..... merry xmas

>
>Done any work on the site lately?
>Have a happy!
>Train
>

4882. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 13:58:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021223085827.18733.00000235@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 147418

lol sorry wasnt me. you have alot of fans.

>Dweebo,
>Drug and alcohol soaked minds can do no better. You should apologize
>to the group for having an unimagiantive, unmelodic song made up for
>posting. It does not match in number of syllables or in inflection to
>the original lyrics.
>Try harder. In 10 years or so of constant practice you may become
>proficient. I doubt it.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4883. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 14:09:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021223090926.18733.00000237@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 147419

that radio has zero rejection and it makes it real shitty to listen to. 2950's and galaxies sound the same way. either find you a HR2510 or a older cobra 148 or grant xl. all three are pleasant to listen to for long periods of time.

>
>
>
>I have a Midland 79-290. Its a cool radio but the audio quality is poor and
>gets annoying real fast.
>
>Can anyone recomend a radio with really good audio quality when used with an
>external speaker. I mostly just monitor the frequencies in the car and I
>would like to get a unit with a warmer sound that can be turned up without a
>lot of distortion.
>
>Many thanks for your help!
>
>P. Zook
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4884. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 14:40:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <er7e0voh7aa0fe304p56i2k83aqr7284kf@4ax.com>
Source record: 147420

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 00:09:19 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote:

> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
>radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
>the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
>noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal.

Variable power won't neccessarily increase it. It might only lower it. Depends.

>I have a radio now but
>what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
>kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
>sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
>performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
>and appreciated. Another question I have is what
>is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
>need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,

I small amp is the way to go. Essentially, you connect it inline with your antenna, and you have extra power. You can get small enough to get exactly what you want.

I tried to go the Mod route. But everyone told me it was not cost effective. If you change your finals, then you need a realignment. Then your radio runs hot, and have chances of burning it out. In this case, you need know alignment, and you won't do damage to it.

You can get something liek a 50 watt linear.

Vinnie S.

4885. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 14:43:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <m68e0vo53uhf0qn6pfk3sv2i76dgha4uth@4ax.com>
Source record: 147421

On 23 Dec 2002 14:09:26 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo) wrote:

>that radio has zero rejection and it makes it real shitty to listen to. 2950's
>and galaxies sound the same way. either find you a HR2510 or a older cobra 148
>or grant xl. all three are pleasant to listen to for long periods of time.

Rats, I purchased a Galaxy !!!!!

Can't be any worse than my old radio shack !!!!

Vinnie S.

4886. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 14:53:13 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <9EidnVEc55_EvpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Ah lets check out Lou's AUP this spam is getting OLD

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:695d0vsvngfr38613hvug7jdlgmdn9fsaj@4ax.com...
> To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from
> people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from
> CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on
> our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.
>
> Check it out! Go to this link:
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcin
tl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific,
> chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Some of the books included are:
>
> BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing
> CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever
> published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers
> peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna
> & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal &
> PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific
> instructions for most brands including the new export models. This
> latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM
> conversions, and much more!
>
> BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference
> source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL
> theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify
> them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing
> diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every
> PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis,
> with specific listings of all models using the chip.
>
> BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant
> 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up
> where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit

> descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL,
> solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers
> test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers,
> receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas,
> interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations
> including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets
> you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any
> serious CB technician!
>
> For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
>
>
> **********
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ---
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>

4887. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:04:33 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <ht-dnQlf6s2fu5qjXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 147423

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KryN9.38698$6H6.1237194@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> George, I impersonated you through OE, which you above all others can
> attest, is easily manipulated as far as the nic posted. Try another angle.
> Drives you nuts that AOL doesn't post the IP's, doesn't it? LOL

No that is called a forge Randy not an impersination. And you most certainly did use Aol to create the name Wa3mojo, you have aol and use it regularly. Randy your slip is showing again. One mention of your name in a post that you weren't involved in wink wink and you jump all over it, and you haven't been posting to the NG recently under your Roadrunner account lately, yet I make that post and minutes later your on it. why? because you made the original post and saw I replied to it blaming you.
Doesn't drive me nuts that aol doesn't post Ip it just shows why you use it bevcause it doesn't show the IP. Grow a set of balls and post under your road runner account instead of being anonymous.

4888. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:05:18 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <eMCdnYc2kvqyu5qjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147424

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:XvyN9.38739$6H6.1237912@twister.austin.rr.com...

> P.S. Call Doug, maybe he can help you find your ass! LOL!

Damn a grown man worried about another man's ass.

4889. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:07:06 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212230707.4382ef7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147425

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<TewN9.38585$KW2.1282626@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> news:sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com...
> > Seems I'm not wanted around here.
> >
> > Anybody else feel the same?
> >
> >
>
> Yeah, Doug does.

Proud of it too. If you druggies and lowlifes were my friends I would
puke.
All you are, Chapwoman, is a punk little crackhead with a big mouth
and nothing to back it up.

4890. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:07:37 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <F4GcnTH5Srcku5qjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147426

Bullshit they are spam
"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3E0120D2.3030904@optonline.net...
lou's posts are fairly few and far between so a label of 'spam' isn't really warranted...

Brian Griffey wrote:

Yes, that's how I know it's not permitted...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
Check out the SkyWave Linear Amplifier at:
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3E011A0C.90404@optonline.net...
hey prof weren't you booted from a prior isp for spamming this ng ????

4891. Re: Doug's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:09:27 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212230709.11682497@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147427

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<XvyN9.38739$6H6.1237912@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:KryN9.38698$6H6.1237194@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > news:ViudnSz60-ZXCJujXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:cNvN9.42273$Nz5.1066656@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > > > news:SVmdnfxear8mHZujXTWcqg@comcast.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "DougAdairBuster" <dougadairbuster@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20021222215557.18081.00000443@mb-fc.aol.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > > You fucking PUTZ! I am not Tim. Stop the self-gratifying grasping
> at
> straws
> > > > > > (the one between your legs).
> > > > >
> > > > > It is his other half Randy.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Nice guess George, but alas, you are still wrong. Do you actually
> think
> that
> > > > Tim and I are the only posters here that don't see eye to eye with you
> and
> > > > the rest of the posse? I mean really, it is nice that you think so
> highly of
> > > > me, but the kudos are really unwarranted.
> > >
> > > Nah, funny part is you jumped right on this thread randy you have been
> pretty
> > > much silent in the group(at least under this account) I didn't even hit
> the send
> > > button and your right on the post. must have been paying close attention
> to it.
> > > I know you have an aol account from when you forged me.
> > >
> > >

> >
> > George, I impersonated you through OE, which you above all others can
> > attest, is easily manipulated as far as the nic posted. Try another angle.
> > Drives you nuts that AOL doesn't post the IP's, doesn't it? LOL
> >
> >
>
> P.S. Call Doug, maybe he can help you find your ass! LOL!

Call Chapwoman, he can for sure help you find some crack...male type and drug type.

4892. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:10:55 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <UsSdnTfFipEdupqjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147428

http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14818-3E00ABF8-38@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
Thanks for the compliment...
As for Lou... I have asked him nicely to stop the full advertisments and
he has totally ignored me. My definition of spam is when somebody posts
nothing but and ad in a post. If someone provides a link, that's fine
and I certainly welcome that... but a full page ad several times daily
can be called nothing but spam...
--
Fortunately, the group isn't governed by individual definitions and
opinions. It's not like he's spamming you..... it's not spam since
I requested it. I have sent many folks to sites posted on this forum.

4893. Christine's theme song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:13:41 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212230713.757a7dbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147429

Anything that has stuff about faggotboys in it. Christine LOOOOOVES
faggotboys. HE also is changing his name...to Neal Roberts...so he can
Neal and Bob.

sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message news:<3E056485.7070102@cac.net>...
> http://www.toonedin.com/movies/chatroom_128kbs.html
>
> -SSB

4894. A WHITE TRASH CHRISTMAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:16:58 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20021223101658.03151.00000632@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 147430

Check this out for a fun time!!!!!!!

http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html

Definition of the SuperBowl
If 10,000 CB'er's are on the SuperBowl
then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
& only 100 can be heard most of the times
& only 10 can be heard all the time
This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!

4895. Re: Best Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 15:26:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021223102613.18776.00000194@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 147431

there good talkers after you have them aligned but the reciever is hard on your ears after a while. if your radio shack is a old one it may be better, they put out a lot of good cb's back in the days.

>Rats, I purchased a Galaxy !!!!!
>
>Can't be any worse than my old radio shack !!!!
>
>Vinnie S.

4896. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:18:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E073763-605@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147437

WA3MOJ wrote:
Bullshit they are spam
--
Nothing is spam when it is been requested.

4897. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:18:56 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <au7d3n01l6r@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147439

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:13623-3E069A7F-345@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
> radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
> the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
> noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal. I have a radio now but
> what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
> kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
> sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
> performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
> and appreciated. Another question I have is what
> is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
> need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,
>

You might try having the radio "tweaked" to increase the mod output.
some radios can go as high as 40 watts or so with modulation power.
then you get heard.
a small linear amp, 25 t0 50 watts wouldn't be to much of a problem.
they get installed between the radio and antenna and get hooked up with
power.
some may have a switch for high and low power outputs, but rarely do they
have a "slider" control.

4898. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:22:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147433

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
--
Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
in kind, it is not spam.

4899. Twithed yapping like a poodle again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:26:40 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212230826.47cf8e75@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147432

twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) meweled like the little gayboy pussy he is
in message news:<17571-3E033945-47@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> And like Hall, you managed to place yourself on both sides of an
> argument. You have made some whoppers concerning the legality of
> amps...some very interesting posts of you blaiting those who would use
> such amps..even went as far and said why would a real hammie use such
> *crap* when they can use a Henry or similar amp.
> Anyways...you quoted....
>
>
>
> "(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a
> device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application
> for, and grant of certification."
>
>
>
>
> Well, YOU claimed he changed the bias, which in effect would indeed
> *change* the identification of the device,,,as you claim it would now be
> legal. He, or you, can post, anytime y'all feel like it, his new grant
> of certification that is precedent setting.
>
>
>
> >If the changes affect the characteristics
> >required to be reported,
>
>
>
> Geee........such as spurious emissions and harmonics?
>
>
> >a complete application shall be filed.
>
>
> Did he file it for the Davemade, Texas Star, or both?

Faggotboy, oh sawed off faggotboy....
You know those amps are ILLEGAl, so what's your point? None at all as
usual. Why you choose to be so gay is beyond me, but it is funny.
Didja make up yer mind about taking FUGGLY to landqueen's bachelorette
party yet? Chapwoman sez he will pay for the privilege.

4900. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:29:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E0739D1-608@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147434

From: lou@cbcintl.com (Lou Franklin)
To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from
people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from
CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on
our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.
Check it out! Go to this link:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&com
pleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific,
chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:
                                www.cbcintl.com
Some of the books included are:
BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB
Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on
do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers,
transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems,
detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded
with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands
including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider
and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!
BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference
source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL
theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify
them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing
diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL
chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with
specific listings of all models using the chip.
BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page
technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the
"SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions &
troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state &
tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment
(& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers,
transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference,
parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics,
charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by
symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!
For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:
www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical
information & high-performance CB accessories!
DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm

NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

Thanks, Lou. Always good reading material from CBC and its a great place
to begin for those interested in the guts of their toys.
Happy holidays.

4901. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:33:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E073AE0-609@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147438

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
Ah lets check out Lou's AUP this spam is getting OLD
--
Webtv doesn't have the memory or storage of a pc. I use the info quite regularly, which is why I must request the information be posted every now and then....like whenever I need it or want it. Go to a moderated group if you wish to control content. The inability to control the content drove Hall and Gilliland and Nad underground,....posting like mad, but underground, nevertheless. Merry Christmas, George.

4902. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:37:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E073BC7-610@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147436

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ahwN9.3909$dK6.130582781@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
Well Good luck on
E bay Bob, they seem
to have a problem with all of those type of 10 meter radios. If for some
reason they pull your auction, you might try Yahoo auctions, they aren't
as up tight about those things.
Landshark
--
>It is not a point of being up tight Fairy God >Mother it is about
following the laws. >Something you seem to have a problem with.

-
Caveat emptor.
George freebands.

4903. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 16:39:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5508-3E073C45-611@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147435

From: tnom@mucks.net
On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 23:06:12 -0800, "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
wrote:
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
If the radio has been modified, which it probably has, he is in
violation by mere possesion of a non-type certified radio capable of
transmission on CB channels.
-
Possession? Violation? Not!
I can possess ANY transceiver modified in ANY matter. Mere possession is
NOT a violation. Get your facts straight.

>I suppose possession of a sawed-off shotgun >is legal too right?

What does that have to do with possession of a 2950? Nothing!

Hehehehe..the failed redirect is identical and the smell is the same.

4904. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 17:56:30 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <i7IN9.73249$_S2.55626@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147440

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net...
[snip]
>
> No one is proposing that people be deprived of the use of CB Radio! What
is
> happening is
> that those radios that have already been determined NOT to meet the FCC's
> technical specs
> are allowing an ever bolder group of people to operate on frequencies for
> which a license is
> required.

First off, it's not a right, it's a privledge to be licensed for operation. A lot of people seem to forget that. Secondly you're not identifying the problem. In a nutshell the problem isn't a physical object, it's the behavior. I summarized what could be done to prevent the behavior in my other post. Read the section on handheld police scanners, and possible legislation that could be done to further prevent the behavior.

> >
> > I simply cannot believe that after all we have been though, and what we
> are
> > about to go through that they would still take time out of their lives
to
> > further put fear into the minds of us Americans.
>
> It is not to put fear into people's minds. What you conveniently forget
is
> that those people
> who received authorization to use those bands are being interfered with by
> unlicensed persons.
> Those people have "rights", too. They have every reason to expect that
> their turf will be protected
> just as much as CBers have the right to use channel 1 thru 40.

First off, it isn't a right, it's a privledge. And as far as the "fear" and whatnot that I refer to, I'm not saying that the FCC is causing the fear, what I am saying is that the original author of this thread used selective facts, and a misleading headline in order to cause the audience (you, me, etc..) to get the wrong impression. I am certainly not suggesting that the FCC is fascist. Quite the contrary.

> >
> > I'll tell ya, people never cease to amaze me. The stupid ones

especially.
> > I've seen some whoppers in my time, but to think that one of my own
> > countrymen would subscribe to the very premise that our own government
> would
> > make people's own personal property contra-band is just absolutely
> > astounding.
>
> Property that is not legal to import to begin with, and wouldn't even
cause
> a raised brow
> IF they were being used on the regular 40. But that property is being used
> in the commission of
> an illegal act; that being operation on frequencies for which the users
have
> no license.
>

I'm not sure I follow you here, but if I understood you correctly what you're suggesting is that the units (are not) but should be contra-banned because they can be used for an illegal activity. Much the same could be said for cars, motorcycles, and let's not forget using an axe to break an entering. Everyone seems to be forgetting that there is a very clear distinction between the physical object, and the action taken with it.

That's why I use the car analogy time and time again. For a car you must be licensed, and operate it on roadways and obey the traffic laws. For a 10M radio you must be licensed, and operate it within a range of frequencies, and not exceed the maximum effective radiated power. (Combination of watts and dB gain w/ antenna.)

You can use a car on the roadways, or you can exhibit your total lack of respect for your neighbors by driving it across their front lawn. Same by operating 10M radio on 11M. And just like the car, some unlicensed jerk can take a 10M on a joy ride all over 11M.

The 10M radio just like the car is "property".

You can be unlicensed, and own a car. But you must not operate it.

There is a clear distiction between the action and the object here.

What the author of the original message did was an attempt to mislead the public into believing that it was an illegal act to own one of these units. Which is analagous to saying that it's illegal to own a car if you don't have a drivers license. Both are equally rediculous.

Hence those that own these radios should not worry about ownership. It's not an illegal act to sell it, touch it, oogle it, just don't operate it on 11M. That's where you're gonna get in trouble.

>
>
> Especially when the most physical harm that the consumer

> > product could possibly do would be to stub a toe when dropped from a
> > significant height.
>
> See above that OTHER people have a reasonable expectation of protection
from
> intrusions
> on their frequencies from unlicensed bandit operators.
Just as one would expect reasonable protection from burglars breaking an entering. Yes, I fully agree with that. You're absolutely correct. Yet homes and businesses get broken into all the time. That's the reality of the situation. And yes, some/most of the time those that break an entering are prosecuted, fined and jailed.

But you can't stop the behavior by making it illegal to own the object capable of doing that action.

Pick your object... You can't ban screwdrivers because some thugs use them to steal cars with. You can't ban rocks because some local punks use them to smash car windows with. And you can't ban cars because some unlicensed jerks drive them in playgrounds late at night.

You can only prevent the action of doing so.

>
>
> >
> > I mean wow... He took the effort to post, so he must actually believe
> that
> > WE THE PEOPLE would EVER let that sort of thing happen.
>
> Continue to believe that nothing can or will be done about the use of
those
> Galaxy and
> Connex radios on the ham bands without license. There are efforts and
> discussions about
> how to combat this at this time, and you can expect to see *some*
publicity
> about this sometime
> this year. There are several hams that are in Congress, at least one of
> which is on the powerful
> Committee on Communications. I know for a fact that that ham is aware of
> this problem. Sure, there are other more pressing things going on, but
they
> WILL get around to this---just like they
> did with the PL106-521.

My other article in the newsgroup does just that. I suggested that similar legislation be debated such as with having a police scanner in the car is considered burglary tools. The intent with the legislation is how to get local enforcement involved without infringing on property rights possibly setting a very dangerous premise.

> >
> > For fun, let's just suppose for a moment that something like that could
> > actually happen in this fine and upstanding nation. But let's say that
> > instead of a Radio Activist it was an Animal Rights Activist that
> succeeded
> > in lobbying for the contra-ban of leather products.
>
> Rights have (read slowly) N O T H I N G to do with the use of a radio. In
> this country, the
> government (Congress) has sole control of the airwaves and may allow or
deny
> the use of same
> as it sees fit. So the fact remains that certain radios do NOT meet the
> government's technical requirements for use on CB, nor are they to be
> imported. Again, if the bandit operators hadn't
> strayed onto 10 and 12 Meters, you wouldn't even be hearing about this.
> THEY are the ones to
> blame for any negative results that Congress may impose.

We're clear on the transmitting part not being a "right"... What you seem
to be forgetting is that the radio just like a car is property. Owning one
is perfectly legal. Operating it, that's another matter entirely.

> > The U.S. has Citizens. Why?? Because each and every single one of us is
> > guaranteed those rights. Interesting observation though... Very few
> people
> > educated in public schools seem to know or understand what those rights
> are.
> > What's even worse is that the vast majority of those that don't know
what
> > their rights are actually consider themselves smart enough to vote!
AND!!
> > Of those I would bet most consider it more of a popularity contest than
a
> > decision.
>
> Rights. Those are C I V I L rights, none of which applies to CB radio.

I can't believe what I just read... If I understand you correctly, what you
are suggesting is, "CIVIL property rights don't apply to the owner because
it can be operated as a CB radio?"

Ok... Let's take that logic and apply it to a car. "CIVIL property rights
don't apply to the owner because a car can be operated in an unlawfull
manner."

That's certainly not what the FCC Regulations state. The FCC Regulations
state that you can't make them, and you can't import them. Just like the
DOT Regulations state that you cannot make the Mazda 280ZX any more. It
won't pass DOT crash or emissions tests.

> > ;-)
>

> Jerry

4905. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 18:04:33 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <I6JN9.1165$n11.260176947@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147441

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> >
>
> What does that have to do with possession of a 2950? Nothing!

Ask any law enforcement officer how many times a CB was found
in the same vehicle that a sawed-off shotgun was located.

It's common knowledge that illegal weapons and illegal radios are
often found together. When Law Enforcement spots a illegal radio
installed in a stopped vehicle they will issue a radio command to
other units warning of potential hidden weapons. My brother, a
Georgia Hiway Trooper will handcuff a suspect until a sweep
can be conducted in the suspect's vehicle. The illegal radio is
a tip-off to him that drugs or weapons may be nearby and that
extreme caution is required.

4906. Re: Here George make up your mind...read up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 18:29:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6458-3E075606-502@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147444

You have made some whoppers concerning the legality of amps...some very interesting posts of you attacking those who would use such amps....even went as far and said why would a real hammie use such *crap* when they can use a Henry or similar amp. Anyways...you quoted....
-

"(d) A modification which results in a change in the identification of a device with or without change in circuitry requires a new application for, and grant of certification."
-

Well, YOU claimed he changed the bias, which in effect would indeed *change* the
identification of the device,,,

>Twisty this statement just shows how far in left >field you really are >in relation to this subject,

Oh ok..please differentiate and clarify...you DIDN'T say Hall changed the bias and you DIDN'T say that would bring his Davemade into "spectral purity" levels acceptable to the FCC to make it acceptable and legal for him to use on ten meter? And changing the bias would NOT make a change in the device*?
(*device..NOT radio.)

>read the FCC quote, It pertains to a type >certified amp, not a amp like a davemade that >is not type certified it has no "identification",

YOU are the one that posted it..now here you are saying it doesn't apply...(chuckle).... why did you post it if it has no pertinence whatsoever to N3CVJ's claim of "I can legally use a Davemade."...?

>PLEASE read it carefully and try to >understand what you read,

Ok,,deal,,if you will do the same to avoid embarrassing yourself in your desperate attempt at finding an incorrect sentence somewhere in my posting history, regardless of how mundane. The "Ministry of Telecommunications" farce you posted would fall in this category.
If it doesn't apply, then don't post it or take issue with me pointing it out,,and for Pete's sake, do try to remain on topic, old boy.

,
>if you will notice it says it will require a new >application for a >grant of certification, well the davemade never >had been certified from day 1, so Dave Hall as >a ham is allowed to modify (1) non type >accepted amp (which the davemade is, per >year), and yes he must make it meet the FCC >requirements, the same scenario as the >galaxy radio,

We appeared to be communicating ok until this point......modification of the Davemade to bring it into "requirements by the FCC". Ok, what are they? Are you speaking again of the "40 db mean power" and spurious emission quote or what? Again, I have been informed his statement concerning modification of his Davemade, and the one you are allluding to, is total bullshit. While you haven't come out and said anything else except "He biased the amp"....the innuendo is there. Riddle me this....what did he "bias" it to? Another class? Which one? I won't even go into how he doesn't have the test equipment (Oh, but I am certain he'll claim in retrospect...*something*,,haha!) to calibrate let alone perform such tasks at this point in time.

>Dave doesn't have to take it to any shops, >labs, hack shops, FCC he >can do this himself.

Not without proper equipment he can't.....but then again, no telling what he may claim.

>I did claim for starters he probably at the least >changed the bias to >make the amp cleaneryou need to ask him >what he did I can only assume since I am not >dave

Number one, I did ask and he stuttered and began to drool. Number two, he became so bitter and embroiled with his personal issues he spat a refusal to answer, citing he would do so only if another asked. Number three, a third party did ask and he wrapped his tail between his lying legs and changed ID's...again. Number four, it was safe to ask you the question since you have been actively engaged answering for him. Number five, you sure became passionate with your incorrect assumptions over the past week, to the point of attack.

--
He, or you, can post, anytime y'all feel like it, his new grant of certification that is precedent setting.

>He doesn't get or need a grant of certification, >that is only for >units that are to be sold to other hams.

Units of *what*?
Radios or amps or both?
Again, please try to remain on topic..if it doesn't apply, try not to cite it, as it merely bounces you around and adds to your confusion.
--

Your quote:
>"If the changes affect the characteristics
>required to be reported,"

Geee.....such as spurious emissions and harmonics?

So technically, if one reduced the emissions and harmonic output and made the amp "clean",
you are saying it would NOT affect the characteristics of the amp? C'mon now with the double-talk.....

"a complete application shall be filed."

>Did he file it for the Davemade, Texas Star, or >both?

>He doesn't have to file for anything get over it , >he is not going for >a grant of certification something that you >say doesnt exist anyhow.

It doesn't matter what I say,,,,,if what you posted is true, it indeed changed the characteristics of his amp and according to your quotes and snips, it must be "reported".

>If you can't understand what you read get a >new hobby,

It is much more simpler than that. Attempting to convey a problem with me soothes your ego only temporarily. You merely can not comprehend what you read or post.
Besides, hammie radio isn't my thing right now....lids like you that break the law and play hypocritical morality cop turn me off....besides, the DX ain't fading as fast as orignally predicted....it's much more exciting than anything the few derelict nocodes among us can do or achieve.
You must admit, when you have to retreat and use another countries set of rules in a misguided attempt to cloud the issue, how can anything you say after that be taken with but a grain of salt? It appears I am the only one left willing to give you the time of day concerning a serious issue or topic,,eveyone else has long abandoned you...what does that tell you, George?

>I just for the life of figure out why you a cber is >concerned with >what a ham can use.

You have it backwards. I don't care and never did. Hall and your trouble posse was and is overly concerned with what cbers were and are doing and brought his personal issues to my doorstep concerning such. I merely return things to their rightful owners and which they came, even if it is tenfold at times.

>Oh btw did he file for what? grant of >certification?

First you post something that says he must do this whenever he changes the circuitry of a "device"..not a "radio", not an "amp", but "device." I offer this to you from your own quote and you proceed to interpret that as you see fit ("it applies to radios')..only you are wrong,,it clearly states.."device", despite your incorrect interpretation of "device" to mean only "radios".
You also moved quite quickly to disavow certain parts of your posts claiming "that doesn't apply".....of course it doesn't...you posted a buncha junk to obfuscate Dave Hall's dilemmas and lies.....it's where you inserted yourself into the equation, remember?

4907. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 18:37:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6458-3E0757E5-503@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147443

From: nothanks@seeya.bye (chunks)
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
What does that have to do with possession of a 2950? Nothing!
--
Ask any law enforcement officer how many times a CB was found in the
same vehicle that a sawed-off shotgun was located.
It's common knowledge that illegal weapons and illegal radios are often
found together. When Law Enforcement spots a illegal radio installed in
a stopped vehicle they will issue a radio command to other units warning
of potential hidden weapons. My brother, a Georgia Hiway Trooper will
handcuff a suspect until a sweep can be conducted in the suspect's
vehicle. The illegal radio is a tip-off to him that drugs or weapons may
be nearby and that extreme caution is required.
--
Your "brother" won't keep his job very long. Sounds like his mental
faculties are more in tune with a turnkeys job..you know...a prison
guard. Your post is bullshit and indicative of profiling under the
individual officers biases, not law enforcement protocol. Posting it in
one of the LEO forums would illustrate not only the invalidity and
absurdity of your post, but how the rest of the world views you as well.
But you already knew this much....look at yourself.

4908. The REAL deal on the stuperbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 18:39:28 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212231039.4228b537@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147442

Ice,
See below. Now you are educated.

icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote in message
news:<20021223101658.03151.00000632@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> Check this out for a fun time!!!!!!!
>
> http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html
>
> Definition of the StuperBowl
> If 10,000 Federal Criminals are on the StuperBowl
> then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
> & only 100 can be heard most of the times
> & only 10 can be heard all the time
> This is a great example why the bowl is for the weakminded, faggot Keyclowns!

4909. Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 18:57:20 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021223135720.29171.00000248@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 147445

Looks like one of our comrades has fallen ill.
Word is Pepper is in an Arizona hospital with
pneumonia.....our thoughts are with him and his family...
Some of the folks are trying to pony up some
money to help the family get out to be with him...
If you know who i'm talking about then you know
where to tune for more info.....333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

4910. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 19:29:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0ep12dklati43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147446

Just bear in mind that a doubling of power will be about the least to be noticeable at the receiving end. Quadrupling power is 6 dB (or 1 S unit). I doubt I'd want to mess with the radio as it is unlikely you could get the power to be very noticeable without causing all kinds of other problems (like distortion), especially a stock (non-export) cb. There are other ideas being put forth in this thread, however.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:13623-3E069A7F-345@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
> radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
> the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
> noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal. I have a radio now but
> what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
> kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
> sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
> performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
> and appreciated. Another question I have is what
> is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
> need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,
>

4911. As an added service to keyclowns selling amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 19:52:12 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212231152.4c21d23a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147447

We are now notifying you when we rat out your auctions. Have a great holiday season.

Your friends and Masters, the AntiKeyClowns

4912. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 19:53:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E0769C7.3060709@mailcity.net>
Source record: 147453

news.verizon.net wrote:

>
> That's why I use the car analogy time and time again. For a car you must be
> licensed, and operate it on roadways and obey the traffic laws. For a 10M
> radio you must be licensed, and operate it within a range of frequencies,
> and not exceed the maximum effective radiated power. (Combination of watts
> and dB gain w/ antenna.)

Can you explain just what this maximum effective radiated power
is? As far as I know the amateur regs do not state anything about
antenna gain in reference to the legal power limit.

4913. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 19:57:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E076ABF.8090905@mailcity.net>
Source record: 147455

\"chunks\" wrote:
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>
>
>>""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
>
>
>>>Seems I'm not wanted around here.
>>>
>>>Anybody else feel the same?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Yeah, Doug does.
>>
>
>
> You know, I can feel the hate. I can also smell a burning cross or
> two as well. Comes naturally when your skin is less then white.
>
>
Ah, poor baby, I guess any excuse will do. Try getting off the
poor me race bandwagon and people might be a little more friendly.

4914. Re: Merry Christmas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 21:35:52 UTC
From: Marty <marty806@NOblueyonder.co.SPAMuk>
Message-ID: <YkLN9.351$Ro1.2361398@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 147449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Cheers pete

all best to you and yours for christmas and the new year

73's from liverpool
"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:atvbh1kan1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Merry Christmas and a happy new year to all on the newsgroup.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 06/12/02
>
>

4915. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 21:39:27 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RmLN9.49699$C06.19516@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 147450

news.verizon.net <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:i7IN9.73249$_S2.55626@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net...

> >
> > Rights. Those are C I V I L rights, none of which applies to CB radio.
>
> I can't believe what I just read... If I understand you correctly, what
you
> are suggesting is, "CIVIL property rights don't apply to the owner because
> it can be operated as a CB radio?"

CIVIL Law and ADMINISTRATIVE Law are not exactly the same. The FCC
regulations
fall under Administrative Law whereby Congress has passed an enabling Act to
govern a particular
area, created an agency to ADMINISTER said law under US Code with
regulations that guide how such activities are to be conducted. Those very
regulations, WRT CB Radio, already specifically outline what equipment can
or cannot be used in a CB station. By the mere act of picking up the mike
and transmitting, you have agreed to abide by those rules. In essence, by
using
the CB, you have already contracted, if you will, with the government to
operate the station within the applicable rules. Therefore, if you break
those rules, you will answer to an administrative law judge, not in regular
civil court. It does, indeed, mean that such equipment being used to commit
the offense CAN be considered contraband and subject to seizure. Even more
so if that equipment
is already deemed to be illegal to import to begin with. Yes, darn it, you
can buy one of those bootleg Galaxys, sit it on the shelf and look at it,
and nobody can just arbitrarily come and take it away. You can buy an X
Force amp, sit it on the shelf, and it would sit there forever. No one's
gonna know you even have it, right? Because no crime has been committed
with it. But splatter over your neighbor's TV--even without the amp in
line--and the agents come to call, they CAN confiscate it under current law;
read the regulation, it's there. Try applying "property rights" in the
above scenario, sorry---you'll lose!

Therefore, in the case of the Galaxy and Connex radios because they are
already enjoined from
import, you are splattering over Mrs. Jones TV, the Galaxy is sitting on the
shelf, when the agents
come to call, they CAN seize it (it's called "in rem seizure") because the

rules state that if you have a
piece of equipment that is not allowed at your CB station, they can and will
assume that you have or
are using it. Never draw the wrong kind of attention, and that Galaxy could
gather layers of dust.

I am fully aware that I do not "own" spectrum, and I fully understand the
regulations that govern my
station. But I HAVE been granted a license to operate on certain
frequencies by fulfilling the requirements set forth by the FCC. In that
sense, I have "paid" the price of use whereas the bandits
have not. Amateur Radio is somewhat self-policing, unfortunately less so
than it once was. Witness the yahoos that sometimes embarrass me with their
infantile behavior, and it is not only a desire of mine to attempt to rid
those airwaves of unlicensed operators, it is my duty to do so! The idea of
some "romantic", adventuresome, and lawless cowboys running roughshod over
everybody else
screaming "Ah've got mah r'ahts" and to hell with everybody else is not
going to fly. It is with that in
mind that many of us who are being affected by this crap are attempting to
do something about it; wrong is wrong, and right is right. Whatever the
outcome (and what I'm being told doesn't agree with what the outlaw
operators want us to believe), it won't be without the strong efforts of
hams
worldwide to get rid of this sh**.

Jerry

>
> Ok... Let's take that logic and apply it to a car. "CIVIL property
rights
> don't apply to the owner because a car can be operated in an unlawfull
> manner."
>
> That's certainly not what the FCC Regulations state. The FCC Regulations
> state that you can't make them, and you can't import them. Just like the
> DOT Regulations state that you cannot make the Mazda 280ZX any more. It
> won't pass DOT crash or emissions tests.
>
> > > ;-)
> >
> > Jerry
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4916. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 21:44:37 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <3IMN9.1173$xv1.262147186@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147451

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

> Your "brother" won't keep his job very long. Sounds like his mental
> faculties are more in tune with a turnkeys job..you know...a prison
> guard. Your post is bullshit and indicative of profiling under the
> individual officers biases, not law enforcement protocol. Posting it in
> one of the LEO forums would illustrate not only the invalidity and
> absurdity of your post, but how the rest of the world views you as well.
> But you already knew this much....look at yourself.
>

Whoa! Boy did I hit a nerve! Sorry to offend you, didn't realize I could offend somebody that easily. You gotta learn to recognize a troll post. Separate fact from fiction, don't let simple printed words get to you. Hey, it's just the internet, get it?

I'll bet dollars to donuts you probably could use a decent blood pressure medication as well. Look into that after the holidays okay?

Merry Xmas!

4917. HOW TO KILL YOURSELF " A Special Holiday Event "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 21:54:08 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <_tMN9.1179$wn1.261623912@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147452

Many thanks to the Church of Euthanasia

http://www.churchofeuthanasia.org/

HOW TO KILL YOURSELF

This text attempts to establish unambiguous criteria by which potential suicide methods can be judged. This text does not attempt to list or evaluate all known suicide methods. A comprehensive list of suicide methods is maintained by the alt.suicide.holiday newsgroup.

CRITERIA

For a suicide method to be Church of Euthanasia approved, it must be:

QUICK
PAINLESS
CERTAIN
DISCREET
SAFE
ACCESSIBLE
TIDY
RATIONALE

QUICK: Fast methods cause less psychological anguish, and provide less opportunity to "chicken out". Why be unnecessarily tormented by doubts and second thoughts? An ideal method would be essentially instantaneous.

PAINLESS: The Church of Euthanasia opposes suffering, even for humans. Therefore a Church-approved method must be at least painless, if not outright enjoyable.

CERTAIN: A failed suicide usually results in close surveillance, or even confinement to a mental hospital, greatly reducing the odds of a successful second attempt. In addition, many suicide methods have the potential to cause severe suffering if unsuccessful, in the form of irreversible organ damage, disfigurement, and so forth. An ideal method would be 100% certain to cause death, with no chance of mere injury.

DISCREET: Many methods would be effective under ideal conditions, but in practice have too great a risk of detection. No matter how promising the method may be from other perspectives, it is useless unless you can get away with it.

SAFE: Safe to others, not you! The Church of Euthanasia only supports VOLUNTARY population reduction. An ideal method would have zero chance of "collateral damage" to other living things.

ACCESSIBLE: No matter how nifty a method sounds, it doesn't do you any good if you can't obtain it, and obtain it discreetly at that.

TIDY: Don't be selfish! If you make a nasty mess, someone will have to discover it, and clean it up too. That someone could be a friend or family member. Most people aren't trained to deal with trauma, and even if they are, that doesn't mean they like it. No one is going to appreciate mopping up bits of your head.

EVALUATION OF SELECTED METHODS

Shooting: Assuming minimal knowledge of anatomy, sufficient caliber, and a steady hand, it's quick and certain alright, and probably painless too, though it's hard to be sure. Discreet? Well, once you have the weapon in hand, no one can stop you, so the question is moot. Accessibility varies widely: obviously in the USA it's more accessible than we'd like, but in the UK for example it could be nearly impossible. Safety depends heavily on the choice of location. All in all, it wouldn't be such a bad method, if it didn't make such a terrible mess.

Hanging: Not very quick or certain, with a decent chance of mere injury. High marks for accessibility, but unfortunately the risk of detection is also high, because hanging takes a while, unless you manage to break your neck, which is relatively hard to do. Most people probably wouldn't consider hanging painless, but obviously there's a minority who enjoy this type of thing (see the classic British film "The Ruling Class" for example). No one who has ever seen a choking victim would describe this method as "tidy".

Driving: A fine example of an unsafe method. What did all those total strangers ever do to you? Cars are accessible enough, but collisions have surprisingly low fatality rates, and usually aren't quick, painless, or tidy.

Jumping: Fairly quick, depending on the height, but not all that certain. You'd be astonished at how many people have survived outrageous jumps. San Francisco's Golden Gate bridge draws jumpers from all over the world, but the locals are hip, and many people are stopped in the act. This method has a high probability of a making a truly disgusting mess.

Slashing: Unless you're a Marine, you probably don't have what it takes to slice yourself deeply enough to do the job. There's a fine line between self-mutilation and an actual suicide attempt. In most cases this method is just a cry for help. Straight razors are accessible enough, but this method is definitely not painless, certain or quick. It might look glamorous in the movies, but don't be fooled: a bathtub full of blood is not a pretty sight.

Drowning: Not particularly discreet except in very isolated areas, and even then it's advisable to do it at night. If you're a good enough swimmer to get far enough out, it's fairly certain, especially in frigid water, but it's not quick by any means. By some accounts it's moderately painless, but where your bloated corpse will wind up, and to what extent it will be chewed, is another matter.

Freezing: If you can manage to get above the timber line in winter and get naked, this method has a good chance of success, but be careful to take public transportation. People have been rescued by over-zealous park rangers who were tipped off by an extra car in the parking lot. Unless you're in the Arctic circle, it takes a while, but it's relatively painless once you get past a certain point. Accessibility varies widely, but freezing gets high marks for safety and tidiness, and is ideal for nature-lovers.

Overdosing: This is a huge topic, and beyond the scope of this text. Suffice it to say that the lethal dosage for a given substance depends enormously on the individual. In many cases the odds of organ damage are much higher than the odds of death. Many attempts fail due to the human body's annoying tendency to vomit when poisoned. Most experts recommend combining drugs with alcohol and a garbage bag over the head, secured with an elastic.

Nitrous Oxide (NO2): When properly applied (using a mask or a garbage bag), it's astoundingly quick and certain, and downright pleasurable. The hard part is getting the stuff. It takes a lot of whippets to fill up a garbage bag, and it's difficult to purchase a tank unless you happen to be a dentist.

Oven Gas: This method is totally unacceptable, due to the obvious danger of blowing up total strangers.

Car Exhaust: This is a fine example of a method that's reasonable in theory but rarely works out in practice, because it's so hard to do discreetly. A tube from your tailpipe to your car window is bound to attract attention. Run your engine in your garage long enough, and you'll be visited by a nosy neighbor. Sure it's painless, but failure means brain damage. The active ingredient (carbon monoxide) is effective, though other gases are much better (see below); it's the delivery method that's unacceptable.

Carbon Monoxide: This gas binds to your hemoglobin better than oxygen. Your body thinks it's oxygen, and you breathe normally, except that you die. It's not as much fun as nitrous oxide but it's also somewhat easier to obtain. The best way is from an industrial gas supplier but acceptable concentrations can be obtained by indoor use of a charcoal grill. The main problem with carbon monoxide is that it's flammable! It also tends to sink, so it could accidentally asphyxiate your downstairs neighbors. For a demonstration of how to kill yourself with carbon monoxide, see the Jerry Hunt video.

Helium: In addition to being odorless, colorless, and non-flammable, helium is also considered non-toxic, but it's an excellent suicide method; it's as easy to breathe as air, except that your voice becomes high-pitched, and after a few minutes, you become unconscious and die. Helium is used to inflate balloons, and is therefore inexpensive and widely available, compared to nitrogen, or other inert gases (such as neon) which would work just as well. Helium also disperses easily, provided you leave your windows open. Helium is quick, painless, certain, discreet, safe, accessible, and tidy, and is therefore the Church of Euthanasia's official Recommended Method.

CONCLUSION

Suicide is hard work, and should not be undertaken lightly. It's easy to do it badly, or make rookie mistakes. As with many things, the best results are achieved by thorough research and careful preparation.

Good luck and Merry Xmas!

" chunks "

4918. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 22:05:56 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <u0ve0vcnla7gbe4dlrvj2da7obktl07nd3@4ax.com>
Source record: 147454

On Fri, 13 Dec 2002 06:34:38 GMT, "news.verizon.net"
<karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote:

>It's been a while, but I see the fascists haven't stopped their activities.
>
>Read this message and you'll understand exactly what this means through
>analogy.
>
>"W7DVJ" <w7dvj@hotwax.com> wrote in message
>news:DkDJ9.464$3F.101143683@news.inreach.com...
>> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>[SNIP] - Legal Jargon
>
>>
>> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
>> acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
>> Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
>> 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
>> violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary
>> forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
>> continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
>> equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in
>the
>> future.
>
>Basically what this says is that these radios cannot be
>imported/manufactured in the united states.
>Nor can those radios be marketed in the United States.
>
****
actually the quoted material yu so amply have shown says nothing about
manufacturing. I believe that is states that the FCC has reviewed and
found a list of units that are not to be imported or marketed into the
US.
If a company wanted to manufacture here and export to a country that
allows such units then the FCC has no objectionto that. In fact they
can not stop a compnay from doing that.

****
>No problem, because I don't think Uniden has made those models for a few
>years now. Same with cars... They won't pass emissions, and don't have
>airbags. Hence, they cannot manufacture or import those vehicles.
>
****

Yes many are no longer manufactured. The order of no marketing also
applies totheused market. In fact if yo ugo back to 1977 when the 40
channel radios wer introduced they did the same thing. They forbid the
resale of 23 channel radios. While it is easier to go after a vendor
or CB shop that sells units,used and new, it much more difficult to go
after individual sales.

****
>HOWEVER!
>
>That 1969 Ford Galaxy is still perfectly legal to drive, own, sell, barter,
>trade, and work on.
>
>Why?
>
>It's called the grandfather clause. You should have learned that in 6th
>grade civics.
>
****

Not exactly. The order, or at least the part that you attempt to
explain, does not grandfather these radios in. It is still illegal to
operate them . Your analogy of operating a '69 Ford today is not
valid.

****
>Now some make the analogy that these units are analagous to handguns.
>
>You need a permit to carry a handgun. You also need a license to operate
>these radios.
>
****

You need a permit to carry a concealed weapon!

Correct you do need a license to operate a transmitter in the US. On
certain bands of frequency that license maybe a blanket license issued
by the FCC. In that case there is no application filed by the user.
This also means that the user upon using the transmitter has agreed to
the rules and regualtions covering the service opertinf in. Ignorance
ot he rules and laws is no acception. FRS and the CB band are inthat
ruling.

****
>One thing is different about it though. If someone does key the radio on 11
>meters it's a far cry from causing any physical harm. That is why the
>handgun analogy doesn't hold up.
>
****

In nearly all cases no physical harm is done inusing a legal or
illegal radio. Because no physical harm is done does that mean it is
not illegal operation?

An anolgy.
If you are driving on a road at say 2AM in the morning. There are no
other cars on the road. You come to a stop sign. If you do not stop
are you guilty of running a stop sign? If you did not stop was anyone
harmed?

****
>I'd think of operating those units on 11 meters more as a parking violation
>than I would a random shooting, that's for sure. Because after all it's the
>operator that is responsible. Granted the fine is astronomical in comparison
>to a parking violation, but my point remains no physical harm is done.
>
****

Correct in the analogyof the severity of the offense. It really is not
one for great detriment to national security and for what ever that
means. Your arguement is now more on the line of decriminalization.

****
>Now, as far as this Neo Fascist scare tactic.
>
****

The FCC must operate under the guidelines set down by legislation from
Congress. Do you now insist that Congrees is Neo-facists?

****
>If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the message
>contains the word illegal.
>
>The sender chose that subject to instill a sense of fear. I read nowhere in
>that memo any thing about the radios being contra-band. It just states that
>they cannot be imported into the United States.
>
****
Actually I thought he posted it as information. I think in your
interpretation of his post is where the fear lies.

****
>For those of you not in the United States that have read down this far I
>humbly apologize for the length of this memo regarding this explanation. On
>the other hand you're probably laughing at the whole message thread.
>
>The HR2510 is not Contra-Band... You can own one, sell one, etc... Just
>don't operate it on 11 Meters!
>
****

Clearly you did not listen to well in your 6 th grade civics clas or
may have been absent at that time. As I stated earlier, the FCC was
given permission to regulate and control of the usage and
distributionof transmitters in the US back in 1934 by an Act of

Congress. It was called the Communications Act of 1934. Under the
guidance of Congress, the FCC interprets the derective and powers
given them by Congress. One of those basically made operating an
HR2510 illegal on the CB band well over 40 years ago. But to you that
maybe acient history and not relavent law.

****
>I would also recommend not parking in front of a fire hydrant, or exceeding
>local speed limits!
>
****

I would not either. You may never get caught but that does not remove
the consequences.

****
>I would suggest that as of this very moment they would indeed qualify as
>collectors items. Just like the 1957 Chevy, 1960 Cadillac Convertible,
>etc....
>
****

I suggest you follow what was taught in that 6th grade civics class,
if you were present. Write your congress person. You have the right to
submit legislation to change the laws. You will need to get at least
one congress person to sign on to the bill and have them persue it. It
seems that you are into de-criminalization of the violations of the CB
band. You are within your right to do so. As also your are in the
rioght to petition the FCC and Congress for consideration of your
concerns. Suggest that others who agree toyour position do like wise.
Jus twuit sitting here on this news group and bitch about it and call
anyone who disagrees with your position a facist.

****
>Anyone who owns one should realise the sudden increase in value due to this
>FCC Memo as there will be no more units coming into the United States
>anymore.
>
****

Yes maybe they will increase some. but eventually with time they will
fall to lesser values. Consioder this that after ten years, ,Uniden
and others no longer are required to supply parts for repair. Thirty
years for now a none working unit will be worth what a cupof coffee
costs now.

****
>And that's all there is to this tiny little matter blown completely out of
>proportion by the original poster who chose a subject in an attempt to scare
>you out of your radio.
>
****

I don't think that it was a scare as it was posted as information. You
seem to be propogating the scare.

****
>It was very well done... I'd almost regard it as New York Times Material...
>
>VOTE FOR GORE OR WE'RE GONNA STARVE!!! -- get real --
>
>Fear... If you can find the humor in it you'll realize why the bible refers
>to the devil as a prankster.
>
****

What has the bible have to do with radio?

Did not Jesus say render unto Ceasar that which is Ceasar's?

****
>I really wish these fascist scare tactic fear mongering dipshits like the
>guy who posted the original message in this thread would go find something
>else to scare. He'd probably have to resort to puppies, kids, and animals
>though... Nice Try!
>
****

What are you afraid of? DO you feel that because a law is not worth
adhereing to, you just ignore it?

The law says that I can not possess a nuclear bomb. I would sure feel
more comfortable having a 100megaton thermal nuclear weapon in my
basement. Take out a whole bunch of commie bastards shoule they come
and try and knock down my door.

Now how rediculaus does that sound? Haveing a 100 megaton thermal
nuclear device is own's gasement does no one harm until it goes off!

****
>>
>> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>>
>> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>[SNIP] We know what they are.
>1957 Chevy Impala.
>1958 Chevy Corvette.
>1975 Cadillac Eldorado.
>1977 Monza Spyder
>-- Could you imagine ?? --
>
>>
>> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
>> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
>7435
>> Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043
>> respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

>
>If you're still not sure about this after reading this post, contact the
>people above. That's what they're there for. They get paid to answer your
>questions. It's what they do for a living!
>
>ILLEGAL... I'm still chuckling about it... I gotta wonder as a child if
>the original poster of this message lit dogs tails on fire for 'jollies'?
>I'd hate to light up a smoke, pass gas, or wear leather in front of this
>guy... And yet, it's people with his very same attitude that piss on the
>seats in public rest rooms, then tell the waitress someone before him did
>it. Very unconstructive behavior. Wastes a lot of time.
>
>Well folks, coffee's worn off....
>ERROR: Coffee not found, operator halted. Will auto restart in T- 8 hours.
>
****

yep T -8 hours! I thought you went backwards in time. Should you have
meant T + 8 hours?

Finally I find your comments as humorous as you will find mine. See
that is the beauty of this forum. Chances are that this will do
anything to change your belief is at best a prayer. I think the Sun
burning out tomorrow has a better chance. SInce you had the right to
express your idealogy, I do express mine.

Thanks and have a nice day

james

4919. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 22:10:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ERLN9.40542$KW2.1430749@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147448

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212230707.4382ef7@posting.google.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<TewN9.38585$KW2.1282626@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> > news:sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com...
> > > Seems I'm not wanted around here.
> > >
> > > Anybody else feel the same?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, Doug does.
>
> Proud of it too. If you druggies and lowlifes were my friends I would
> puke.
> All you are, Chapwoman, is a punk little crackhead with a big mouth
> and nothing to back it up.

Wanna tell me that in person? Doubtful. Big mouth? Not really. Just tell it like it is. Back it up? OMG! You have to be kidding, right? Actually, the way you look, my eleven year old daughter could smack you around. Of course, I'd never let that happen....I keep my kids away from convicted child molesters.

BTW, wanna send me some more mail and see sadie106@juno.com get yanked? You've already been spanked for spamming.

4920. Re: OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:00:28 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <kd3f0v02ghf54dptojv3unif0tatilkk9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 147456

Oh one of little knowledge and great ignorance:

It is admirable that the research you and your fellow individuals have done in this work. Have you failed to investigate the intertwineing of corporate ownership and who owns who? August 11-1998 BP and Amoco merged their operations together. BP also is the parent company of ARCO, Castrol Oil, Buy the way do you know who Amoco Oil is or was. It was a company started by some guy named John D Rockefeller. Standard Oil come to mind? Well BP owns Standard Oil Lock Stock and Barrel. While BP no longer drills or pumps oil in teh middle east,it's beginning were is a little country back in1901 called Persia. Now for those of you who failed geography and history, Persia's modern name is IRAN. Beside it was not until the late 80's that BP divested itself of Iranian Oil.

I could go on and on. I found this info in just an matter of ten minutes on the internet. Just researching BP's webpage. Who knows what I find if I look at other oil companies. Oh by the way, these companies aer also into petrochemicals. These are used to make medicines, clothing, lubricants, and plastics. Do you plan to boycott these? One more note. There has been no new refinery built in the US for 25 years. In the US there is now more importation of already refiined gasoline. Your post does not reflect who imports already refined gasoline or partially refined oil products. It deal strictly with crude oil.

The only company that might not be buying any products from the middle east would be Citgo. They are solely owned by the government of Venezuela. (PDVSA). Hess claims not to but they get oil from Indonesia. Oh buy the way Indonessia has the largest population of Moslems outside the middle east. And they do not like us!

So who do yo buy your clothes from?
Which brand of oil do you putin your car?
Do you buy your sodas in plastic bottles?
How about bottled water?
How about plastic containers for you liver pills or your high blood pressure pills?

I could go on and on. If you really want to boycot the Arabs then do some more research and don't be so high and mighty. Come back and tell me who profits fromarab Oil. I think wou will find not only the oil companies, but Dupont, GE, Dow Chemical, Ford, GM, and gosh the list goes on and on. Do you plan to boycott these?

james

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 17:38:59 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:

>Gas companies
>
>Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money
>into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that
>don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more
>frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am
>sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family,
>and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know
>which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.
>
>Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
>Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
>Chevron/Texaco.....144,332,000 barrels
>Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
>Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
>Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels
>If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18
>BILLION!
>
>Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern
>oil:
>Citgo 0 barrels
>Sunoco 0 barrels
>Conoco 0 barrels
>Sinclair 0 barrels
>BP/Phillips 0 barrels
>Hess 0 barrels
>All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and
>can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required
>to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing.
>They report on a monthly basis.
>
>Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying
>for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach
>literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.
>
>Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain
>how simple it is to reach millions of people.
>
>I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send
>it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at
>least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message
>reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over THREE
>
>MILLION consumers!
>
>If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten
>friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it
>goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION

>PEOPLE.
>
>GOD BLESS AMERICA!!

4921. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:05:02 UTC
From: john <john@mchi.net>
Message-ID: <im5f0vgbe06ipitdp65s48pk3sqjj7uhv4@4ax.com>
Source record: 147458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

USE FA ASSHOLE

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 04:48:03 GMT, Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
wrote:

>Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This
>deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to
>stuff and solder. Click the following link for a complete description
>of this and other items now on sale:
>
>http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&co
mpleted=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
>We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
>accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
>just can't find anywhere else!
>
>www.cbcintl.com
>
>You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
>from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
>VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
>* *
>In case you didn't already know...
>
>Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
>plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
>modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
>bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
>increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
>For product questions or additional details
>on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
>info@cbcintl.com
>
>
>73,
>Lou Franklin--President
>K6NH / "SuperSparks"
>**
>CBC International
>P.O. Box 30655

>Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
>TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
>888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
>Local: (520) 298-7980
>
>www.cbcintl.com
>
>Email: info@cbcintl.com

4922. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:08:16 UTC
From: lf6tubes@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95ee54c6.0212231508.2eb2d288@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147459

rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote in message news:<cfe28a2b.0212230707.4382ef7@posting.google.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:<TewN9.38585$KW2.1282626@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > ""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
> > news:sntN9.1132$zO6.251373660@news.inreach.com...
> > > Seems I'm not wanted around here.
> > >
> > > Anybody else feel the same?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, Doug does.
>
> Proud of it too. If you druggies and lowlifes were my friends I would
> puke.
> All you are, Chapwoman, is a punk little crackhead with a big mouth
> and nothing to back it up.

Traceroute to 208.25.57.183 from atl-engr-01.inet.qwest.net

---

traceroute: Warning: ckecksums disabled
traceroute to 208.25.57.183 (208.25.57.183), 30 hops max, 40 byte packets
1 205.171.21.214 (205.171.21.214) 0.558 ms 0.691 ms 0.387 ms
2 205.171.21.17 (205.171.21.17) 0.493 ms 0.643 ms 0.422 ms
3 205.171.8.154 (205.171.8.154) 16.012 ms 16.034 ms 15.989 ms
4 205.171.8.182 (205.171.8.182) 19.711 ms 19.745 ms 19.707 ms
5 205.171.17.98 (205.171.17.98) 19.670 ms 19.692 ms 19.674 ms
6 205.171.1.122 (205.171.1.122) 20.571 ms 20.229 ms 20.163 ms
7 sl-bb27-pen-12-0.sprintlink.net (144.232.20.97) 22.494 ms 22.499 ms 22.457 ms
8 sl-bb22-pen-8-0.sprintlink.net (144.232.16.53) 29.676 ms 22.611 ms 22.439 ms
9 sl-bb21-stk-10-3.sprintlink.net (144.232.18.94) 78.592 ms 78.524 ms 78.531 ms
10 sl-gw11-stk-0-0.sprintlink.net (144.232.27.7) 256.909 ms 214.450 ms 196.589 ms
11 sl-reach-4-1-0.sprintlink.net (144.228.147.22) 79.283 ms 79.976 ms 79.659 ms
12 209-142-10-38.stk.inreach.net (209.142.10.38) 79.570 ms 79.335 ms 79.880 ms
13 208-25-57-6.stk.inreach.net (208.25.57.6) 81.512 ms 82.762 ms

```
81.564 ms
```

4923. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:10:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5512-3E0797C9-93@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147463

From: nothanks@seeya.bye (chunks)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
Your "brother" won't keep his job very long. Sounds like his mental faculties are more in tune with a turnkeys job..you know...a prison guard. Your post is bullshit and indicative of profiling under the individual officers biases, not law enforcement protocol. Posting it in one of the LEO forums would illustrate not only the invalidity and absurdity of your post, but how the rest of the world views you as well. But you already knew this much....look at yourself.
--
>Whoa! Boy did I hit a nerve<

Dunno....why, does it hurt?

> Sorry to offend you, didn't realize I could >offend somebody that easily.

Don't flatter yourself. I have always pointed out bullshit from you. It was never personal nor offensive..I juts dislike liars.

>You gotta learn to recognize a troll post.<

Trolls are easy once they have been identified.
Lies I will always shine a light on.

>Separate fact from fiction, don't let simple >printed words get to you.
Hey, it's just the >internet, get it?

Oh, I get it just fine,,..your personal remarks come quick these days.

>I'll bet dollars to donuts you probably could >use a decent blood pressure medication as >well. Look into that after the holidays okay?
>Merry Xmas!

You as well. Try not to be a dick all through the holidays.

4924. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:11:59 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <if5f0vg2938j8uth0rtsft9bsc5l4dlupe@4ax.com>
Source record: 147457

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 00:09:19 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote:

> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
>radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
>the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
>noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal. I have a radio now but
>what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
>kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
>sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
>performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
>and appreciated. Another question I have is what
>is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
>need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,
****

You posted and some have answered your questions well. Again I echo some of those.

1) varialbe power will only allow you to conrol the power capable of the unit. Generally in used in decreasing the power out to prevent overdriving linear? amps.

2) Depending on the transistors used inteh amplifier train, it may or may not be possible to get more power out. While some radios have the last transisitor (final transistor) sufficient for greater output, teh driver stage and the predriver stage may not have sufficient gain to drive the final transistor to it's full capability.

3) gaining more that about one to three watts more output is most likey the best you will do with a Radio Shack unit.

4) doubling the output power will not double your transmit range.

5) To make a reasonable improvement in overcoming noise and skip, own needs to increase the power to 20 to 25 watts carrier. This generally will require major modificationof the RS unit.

6) After all that it is still illegal to do so.

7) my suggestion is to invest into a directional antenna. Quality feedline to the antenna and a rotor to turn the antenna.

james

4925. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:11:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5515-3E07983F-8@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147462

From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333)
Looks like one of our comrades has fallen ill. Word is Pepper is in an
Arizona hospital with pneumonia.....our thoughts are with him and his
family... Some of the folks are trying to pony up some money to help the
family get out to be with him... If you know who i'm talking about then
you know where to tune for more info.....333 Springfield MO
"Got RF?"
--
Pepper is supposed to be released tonight. Chances are he'll be mudding
up the airwaves within 24 hours.

4926. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:21:06 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <826f0voa8op5mrbnd96v2sqbal4bbicdrh@4ax.com>
Source record: 147460

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 00:09:19 -0500 (EST), johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote:

> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
>radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to increase
>the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the background
>noise etc. A variable power level would be ideal. I have a radio now but
>what I am looking for is to not have to modify my radio but add some
>kind of an adjustable output to it. My current radio is a Radio Shack
>sideband mobile unit (I don't recall the model but it cost me $ 150) it
>performs fairly well but I want more power. Any ideas would be welcome
>and appreciated. Another question I have is what
>is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
>need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,
****

I forgot to answer what a linear amp is.

well by strict interpretation it is an external amp that amplifies the signal from your radio. Linear is often defined as:

input times gain of the amplifer equals output. So look at a box that has what is called a goesinto and a goesouta.

What goes out is a linear function of what goes in. thus
one watts in 20 out
two watts in 40 out

so forth.

The amp is siad to have a gain of 20. An amp that had a function like this would be non linear:

one watts in 1 watts out
two watts in 4 watts out
three watts in 9 watts out
four watts in 16 watts out

this amp operates on the principle that the input is squared to get the output. This is not a linear function.

hope that helps and let the flames begin!

james

4927. Re: OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-23 23:44:41 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E079FE9.72CC21AB@listed.here>
Source record: 147461

Nice to see you get all worked up over a chain-type forwarded
email. I just removed 100 email addys and posted it here
instead of emailing it to everyone.

james wrote:
>
> Oh one of little knowledge and great ignorance:
>
> It is admirable that the research you and your fellow individuals have
> done in this work. Have you failed to investigate the intertwineing of
> corporate ownership and who owns who? August 11-1998 BP and Amoco
> merged their operations together. BP also is the parent company of
> ARCO, Castrol Oil, Buy the way do you know who Amoco Oil is or was. It
> was a company started by some guy named John D Rockefeller. Standard
> Oil come to mind? Well BP owns Standard Oil Lock Stock and Barrel.
> While BP no longer drills or pumps oil in teh middle east,it's
> beginning were is a little country back in1901 called Persia. Now for
> those of you who failed geography and history, Persia's modern name is
> IRAN. Beside it was not until the late 80's that BP divested itself of
> Iranian Oil.
>
> I could go on and on. I found this info in just an matter of ten
> minutes on the internet. Just researching BP's webpage. Who knows what
> I find if I look at other oil companies. Oh by the way, these
> companies aer also into petrochemicals. These are used to make
> medicines, clothing, lubricants, and plastics. Do you plan to boycott
> these? One more note. There has been no new refinery built in the US
> for 25 years. In the US there is now more importation of already
> refiined gasoline. Your post does not reflect who imports already
> refined gasoline or partially refined oil products. It deal strictly
> with crude oil.
>
> The only company that might not be buying any products from the middle
> east would be Citgo. They are solely owned by the government of
> Venezuela. (PDVSA). Hess claims not to but they get oil from
> Indonesia. Oh buy the way Indonessia has the largest population of
> Moslems outside the middle east. And they do not like us!
>
> So who do yo buy your clothes from?
> Which brand of oil do you putin your car?
> Do you buy your sodas in plastic bottles?
> How about bottled water?
> How about plastic containers for you liver pills or your high blood
> pressure pills?

>
> I could go on and on. If you really want to boycot the Arabs then do
> some more research and don't be so high and mighty. Come back and tell
> me who profits fromarab Oil. I think wou will find not only the oil
> companies, but Dupont, GE, Dow Chemical, Ford, GM, and gosh the list
> goes on and on. Do you plan to boycott these?
>
> james
>
> On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 17:38:59 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
>
> >Gas companies
> >
> >Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money
> >into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that
> >don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more
> >frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am
> >sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family,
> >and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know
> >which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.
> >
> >Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
> >Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
> >Chevron/Texaco.......144,332,000 barrels
> >Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
> >Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
> >Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels
> >If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18
> >BILLION!
> >
> >Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern
> >oil:
> >Citgo 0 barrels
> >Sunoco 0 barrels
> >Conoco 0 barrels
> >Sinclair 0 barrels
> >BP/Phillips 0 barrels
> >Hess 0 barrels
> >All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and
> >can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required
> >to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing.
> >They report on a monthly basis.
> >
> >Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying
> >for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach
> >literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.
> >
> >Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain
> >how simple it is to reach millions of people.
> >

> >I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send
> >it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at
> >least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message
> >reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over THREE
> >
> >MILLION consumers!
> >
> >If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten
> >friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it
> >goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION
> >PEOPLE.
> >
> >GOD BLESS AMERICA!!

4928. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 00:26:31 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212231626.143aaace@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147464

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20021223135720.29171.00000248@mb-cn.aol.com>...
> Looks like one of our comrades has fallen ill.
> Word is Pepper is in an Arizona hospital with
> pneumonia.....our thoughts are with him and his family...
> Some of the folks are trying to pony up some
> money to help the family get out to be with him...
> If you know who i'm talking about then you know
> where to tune for more info.....333 Springfield MO
>
> "Got RF?"

Probably not pneumonia. More like RF induced lung cancer. Another
reason not to be an illegal faggot keyclown.

4929. Re: As an added service to keyclowns selling amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:03:01 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <wlON9.31930$NV.614254@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147465

Shut da fuck up you dirty redneck muthafucka you!!
Go Suck Yo Momma's Cock likes you been doin' !
You still Ho'in?
I'll bust yo 'tarded asses!
Krazy Key Klowns -- KKK!!
Ya Klan luvin' bitches you!!
You takes them damn K-mart bedsheets off ya, an' gits yerself a black woman one night an' let her turn yer ass out!!
'Cause they beez-a-lookin' fo some white dick!!
Ya better knows that a black womans gonna "treat ya right"!!
She'll smacks da hell out you fo ruin'in her bed sheets after youz don' put roun' holes in dem.
THEN, she'll luv the hell out you all damn night.
She gonna starts off suckin's dat dick like nobody bizness but her owns!!
Den, she'll snatch off her bra so fast it'll burn yo eyes.
She'll beg youz to suck her big tit-tees and she'll ride you bare back like she beez on a horse or a mule.
She luvs doin' it snoop-doggie-dog style!
You's a-gonna be smilin' all yo lives!!!
Heeheeheeheeheee!!
LAWDY MERCY!! MMMMmmm!

(drinkin' ma fo'ty and smokin my blunts!!)

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212231152.4c21d23a@posting.google.com...
> We are now notifying you when we rat out your auctions. Have a great
> holiday season.
>
> Your friends and Masters, the AntiKeyClowns

4930. WTB:Service manual for Motorola CB555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:06:10 UTC
From: "F. C. Wolfgram" <w4wwk@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3E07B302.47F4A444@erols.com>
Source record: 147466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Need service manual or schematic for CB555 AM/SSB CB radio.

4931. Cb Forsail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:09:53 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223200953.08344.00000288@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 147467

I have a NorthStar-3000 mobile forsale. Bought about a year ago. I still have the box for it. I lost the mounting bracket. Has the stock mic. Has Hi Med Lo switch. It will key about 2 watts on hi and swing 25 watts on hi. Has extra channels, just like the galaxy models, but its built into the dimmer switch. has dual echo controls. has talkback ONLY when echo switch is flipped on. This is a real nice sounding radio...looks and works like BRAND spanking new. I'll take $100 plus $15 for shipping...thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

4932. amp forsail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:10:25 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223201025.08344.00000289@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 147468

DaveMade M-80. Nice and Compact. Only about a month old. Looks and works Brand New. Will do around 250 watts with a good swing. $100 plus $15 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

4933. Merry Christmas and happy new year to everyone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:19:29 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.common
Message-ID: <20021223201929.10001.00000221@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 147469

Happy Happy and a Merry Merry to everyone in here. And yes dougie and aaron,
You too. =) See Ya!!!!!!!

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com

http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail.....

"Carefull what ya wish for, Cause you just might get it."

4934. Looking to buy 2 tram skyhaw antennas and magnet mounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:32:06 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212231732.2e77e5d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147470

I am looking to buy to tram skyhawk antenna and to tri magnet mounts for $100 to $125 anybody selling these type of antennas or know where to buy them cheap online. I had my car and all my cb radio stuff stolen and I am looking to replace these items. So if u are selling these or know where to buy them cheap please let me know.

4935. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:39:24 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <EOKdnSwN1PlWJ5qjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147471

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5508-3E073763-605@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> Bullshit they are spam

> Nothing is spam when it is been requested.

You don't run the group nor speak for those who read it, another atempt at you
trying to control the NG.

4936. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:40:39 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147472

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
--
Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
in kind, it is not spam.

It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and Lou Franklin
have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because you requested
it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you requested it?

4937. Re: As an added service to keyclowns selling amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 01:56:47 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0ffie4f3tgv13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147474

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cfe28a2b.0212231152.4c21d23a@posting.google.com...
> We are now notifying you when we rat out your auctions. Have a great
> holiday season.
>
> Your friends and Masters, the AntiKeyClowns

A psychotic Nitwit, and conscientious troll all in one,
::rolls eyes:: ...it takes all kinds...

4938. Re: HOW TO KILL YOURSELF " A Special Holiday Event "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 02:21:43 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E07C46F.1010908@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147475

You are one sick F*&%

\"chunks\" wrote:

>Many thanks to the Church of Euthanasia
>
> http://www.churchofeuthanasia.org/
>
>
>
>
>HOW TO KILL YOURSELF
>
>This text attempts to establish unambiguous criteria by which potential
>suicide methods can be judged. This text does not attempt to list or
>evaluate all known suicide methods. A comprehensive list of suicide methods
>is maintained by the alt.suicide.holiday newsgroup.
>
>CRITERIA
>
>For a suicide method to be Church of Euthanasia approved, it must be:
>
>
>QUICK
>PAINLESS
>CERTAIN
>DISCREET
>SAFE
>ACCESSIBLE
>TIDY
>RATIONALE
>
>QUICK: Fast methods cause less psychological anguish, and provide less
>opportunity to "chicken out". Why be unnecessarily tormented by doubts and
>second thoughts? An ideal method would be essentially instantaneous.
>
>PAINLESS: The Church of Euthanasia opposes suffering, even for humans.
>Therefore a Church-approved method must be at least painless, if not
>outright enjoyable.
>
>CERTAIN: A failed suicide usually results in close surveillance, or even
>confinement to a mental hospital, greatly reducing the odds of a successful
>second attempt. In addition, many suicide methods have the potential to
>cause severe suffering if unsuccessful, in the form of irreversible organ
>damage, disfigurement, and so forth. An ideal method would be 100% certain

>to cause death, with no chance of mere injury.
>
>DISCREET: Many methods would be effective under ideal conditions, but in
>practice have too great a risk of detection. No matter how promising the
>method may be from other perspectives, it is useless unless you can get away
>with it.
>
>SAFE: Safe to others, not you! The Church of Euthanasia only supports
>VOLUNTARY population reduction. An ideal method would have zero chance of
>"collateral damage" to other living things.
>
>ACCESSIBLE: No matter how nifty a method sounds, it doesn't do you any good
>if you can't obtain it, and obtain it discreetly at that.
>
>TIDY: Don't be selfish! If you make a nasty mess, someone will have to
>discover it, and clean it up too. That someone could be a friend or family
>member. Most people aren't trained to deal with trauma, and even if they
>are, that doesn't mean they like it. No one is going to appreciate mopping
>up bits of your head.
>
>EVALUATION OF SELECTED METHODS
>
>Shooting: Assuming minimal knowledge of anatomy, sufficient caliber, and a
>steady hand, it's quick and certain alright, and probably painless too,
>though it's hard to be sure. Discreet? Well, once you have the weapon in
>hand, no one can stop you, so the question is moot. Accessibility varies
>widely: obviously in the USA it's more accessible than we'd like, but in the
>UK for example it could be nearly impossible. Safety depends heavily on the
>choice of location. All in all, it wouldn't be such a bad method, if it
>didn't make such a terrible mess.
>
>Hanging: Not very quick or certain, with a decent chance of mere injury.
>High marks for accessibility, but unfortunately the risk of detection is
>also high, because hanging takes a while, unless you manage to break your
>neck, which is relatively hard to do. Most people probably wouldn't consider
>hanging painless, but obviously there's a minority who enjoy this type of
>thing (see the classic British film "The Ruling Class" for example). No one
>who has ever seen a choking victim would describe this method as "tidy".
>
>Driving: A fine example of an unsafe method. What did all those total
>strangers ever do to you? Cars are accessible enough, but collisions have
>surprisingly low fatality rates, and usually aren't quick, painless, or
>tidy.
>
>Jumping: Fairly quick, depending on the height, but not all that certain.
>You'd be astonished at how many people have survived outrageous jumps. San
>Francisco's Golden Gate bridge draws jumpers from all over the world, but
>the locals are hip, and many people are stopped in the act. This method has
>a high probability of a making a truly disgusting mess.
>

>Slashing: Unless you're a Marine, you probably don't have what it takes to
>slice yourself deeply enough to do the job. There's a fine line between
>self-mutilation and an actual suicide attempt. In most cases this method is
>just a cry for help. Straight razors are accessible enough, but this method
>is definitely not painless, certain or quick. It might look glamorous in the
>movies, but don't be fooled: a bathtub full of blood is not a pretty sight.
>
>Drowning: Not particularly discreet except in very isolated areas, and even
>then it's advisable to do it at night. If you're a good enough swimmer to
>get far enough out, it's fairly certain, especially in frigid water, but
>it's not quick by any means. By some accounts it's moderately painless, but
>where your bloated corpse will wind up, and to what extent it will be
>chewed, is another matter.
>
>Freezing: If you can manage to get above the timber line in winter and get
>naked, this method has a good chance of success, but be careful to take
>public transportation. People have been rescued by over-zealous park rangers
>who were tipped off by an extra car in the parking lot. Unless you're in the
>Arctic circle, it takes a while, but it's relatively painless once you get
>past a certain point. Accessibility varies widely, but freezing gets high
>marks for safety and tidiness, and is ideal for nature-lovers.
>
>Overdosing: This is a huge topic, and beyond the scope of this text. Suffice
>it to say that the lethal dosage for a given substance depends enormously on
>the individual. In many cases the odds of organ damage are much higher than
>the odds of death. Many attempts fail due to the human body's annoying
>tendency to vomit when poisoned. Most experts recommend combining drugs with
>alcohol and a garbage bag over the head, secured with an elastic.
>
>Nitrous Oxide (NO2): When properly applied (using a mask or a garbage bag),
>it's astoundingly quick and certain, and downright pleasurable. The hard
>part is getting the stuff. It takes a lot of whippets to fill up a garbage
>bag, and it's difficult to purchase a tank unless you happen to be a
>dentist.
>
>Oven Gas: This method is totally unacceptable, due to the obvious danger of
>blowing up total strangers.
>
>Car Exhaust: This is a fine example of a method that's reasonable in theory
>but rarely works out in practice, because it's so hard to do discreetly. A
>tube from your tailpipe to your car window is bound to attract attention.
>Run your engine in your garage long enough, and you'll be visited by a nosy
>neighbor. Sure it's painless, but failure means brain damage. The active
>ingredient (carbon monoxide) is effective, though other gases are much
>better (see below); it's the delivery method that's unacceptable.
>
>Carbon Monoxide: This gas binds to your hemoglobin better than oxygen. Your
>body thinks it's oxygen, and you breathe normally, except that you die. It's
>not as much fun as nitrous oxide but it's also somewhat easier to obtain.
>The best way is from an industrial gas supplier but acceptable

>concentrations can be obtained by indoor use of a charcoal grill. The main
>problem with carbon monoxide is that it's flammable! It also tends to sink,
>so it could accidentally asphyxiate your downstairs neighbors. For a
>demonstration of how to kill yourself with carbon monoxide, see the Jerry
>Hunt video.
>
>Helium: In addition to being odorless, colorless, and non-flammable, helium
>is also considered non-toxic, but it's an excellent suicide method; it's as
>easy to breathe as air, except that your voice becomes high-pitched, and
>after a few minutes, you become unconscious and die. Helium is used to
>inflate balloons, and is therefore inexpensive and widely available,
>compared to nitrogen, or other inert gases (such as neon) which would work
>just as well. Helium also disperses easily, provided you leave your windows
>open. Helium is quick, painless, certain, discreet, safe, accessible, and
>tidy, and is therefore the Church of Euthanasia's official Recommended
>Method.
>
>CONCLUSION
>
>Suicide is hard work, and should not be undertaken lightly. It's easy to do
>it badly, or make rookie mistakes. As with many things, the best results are
>achieved by thorough research and careful preparation.
>
> Good luck and Merry Xmas!
>
>" chunks "
>
>
>
>
>

4939. Re: Merry Christmas and happy new year to everyone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 02:30:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0fhn0ab8k7m66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147476

And right back at you! Merry Christmas and a safe and happy new year to all! We are going to get a white Christmas this year (a couple of inches of snow today and it should remain below freezing). Crazy weather in the North. I talked with a couple of folks from Quesnel, British Columbia, and one guy says he may mow his lawn on Christmas (!). (used to be it would be 40 below for a couple of weeks up there). Either it's global warming or too many guys are running footwarmers .... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Hippie 459MN" <oneqck76ta@aol.com.common> wrote in message news:20021223201929.10001.00000221@mb-ml.aol.com...
> Happy Happy and a Merry Merry to everyone in here. And yes dougie and aaron,
> You too. =) See Ya!!!!!!!
>
>
> Later,
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
>
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail.....
>
> "Carefull what ya wish for, Cause you just might get it."

4940. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 02:34:32 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021223213432.11656.00000181@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 147477

>From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
>Probably not pneumonia. More like RF >induced lung cancer. Another
>reason not to be an illegal faggot >keyclown.
You are the clown boy! Keep your prejudces to yourself, asshole! Leave to a
mindless nitwit to insult a person who is seriously ill......
333 Springfield MO
Twisted...we can only hope JJ will be back in there...one awesome mobile
station...Later

"Got RF?"

4941. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 02:59:53 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <45CdnTpyCrU1UJqjXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147478

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_AQN9.40830$KW2.1476601@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> news:ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
> > http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
> > --
> > Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
> > in kind, it is not spam.
> >
> >
> > It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and Lou
> Franklin
> > have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because you
> requested
> > it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you requested it?
> >
> >
>
> George....shut up. Your idiocy is showing again.

Blow Me asshole

4942. Re: HOW TO KILL YOURSELF " A Special Holiday Event "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 03:12:26 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <m8RN9.1194$g_1.264151091@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147480

"Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message

> You are one sick F*&%

Oris,

Glad you enjoyed the reading. Visit soon and often.

http://www.churchofeuthanasia.org/

Thanks and Merry Xmas!

4943. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 03:34:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_AQN9.40830$KW2.1476601@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147473

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
> http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
> --
> Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
> in kind, it is not spam.
>
>
> It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and Lou
Franklin
> have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because you
requested
> it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you requested it?
>
>

George....shut up. Your idiocy is showing again.

4944. Information On Modifying Radio Shack TRC-465 For Extra Output !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 03:35:20 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6114-3E07D5F8-481@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147481

I have a Radio Shack TRC-465 AM / SSB mobile CB and am looking for an increase in my output. Does anyone have any info on a modification site on this radio or am I better off just adding an amp. I am only looking for a moderate increase of around 50 watts. Thanks all for any help. Please e-mail me if possible. happy holidays To All . PEACE

4945. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 03:58:07 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <bPRN9.1195$L22.264443008@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147488

```
"lf6tubes" <lf6tubes@yahoo.com> wrote in message >
>
> Traceroute to 208.25.57.183 from atl-engr-01.inet.qwest.net
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
------
>
>
> traceroute: Warning: ckecksums disabled
> traceroute to 208.25.57.183 (208.25.57.183), 30 hops max, 40 byte

(snip)

> 13 208-25-57-6.stk.inreach.net (208.25.57.6) 81.512 ms 82.762 ms

------------------------------------------------------------------------
--------------------

TraceRoute to host 68.42.181.26

# Address Host Name Msg Type
Time
1 208.25.57.6 208-25-57-6.stk.inreach.net TTL Exceeded
377 ms
2 209.142.0.1 stk-core01-fa8-0-0.inreach.netTTL Exceeded
159 ms
3 209.142.10.37 209-142-10-37.stk.inreach.net TTL Exceeded
167 ms
4 144.228.147.21 sl-gw11-stk-12-0.sprintlink.netTTL Exceeded
146 ms
5 144.232.27.11 sl-bb22-stk-0-0.sprintlink.netTTL Exceeded
164 ms
6 144.232.19.189 sl-bb20-chi-6-1.sprintlink.netTTL Exceeded
399 ms
7 144.232.26.2 sl-bb21-chi-15-0.sprintlink.netTTL Exceeded
197 ms
8 144.232.26.34 sl-gw32-chi-10-0.sprintlink.netTTL Exceeded
265 ms
9 144.223.70.210 sl-comca-18-0.sprintlink.net TTL Exceeded
187 ms
10 68.42.244.169 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
253 ms
11 68.42.244.185 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
210 ms
12 172.30.163.185 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
213 ms
```

```
13 172.30.163.147 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
210 ms

14 68.42.181.26 pcp01777817pcs.wodhvn01.mi.comcast.net Echo Reply
204 ms
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------
Search results for: 68.42.181.26

Comcast Cable Communications, Inc. JUMPSTART-1 (NET-68-32-0-0-1)
68.32.0.0 - 68.63.255.255
Comcast Cable Communications, Inc. JUMPSTART-MICHIGAN-A (NET-68-40-0-0-1)
68.40.0.0 - 68.43.255.255

X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net

.
```

4946. Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 03:59:43 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <HQRN9.1196$X42.264586395@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147482

This means you twisty.....

4947. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 04:21:06 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <J8SN9.1198$N92.264901683@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147484

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message

> Ah, poor baby, I guess any excuse will do. Try getting off the
> poor me race bandwagon and people might be a little more friendly.

White people have a saying, " if you're white, you're right "

Does this mean that if I'm black I'm wrong?

What if I'm half black and half white, does this make me
half wrong or half right?

4948. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 04:22:12 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <M9SN9.1199$192.264852501@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147486

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message

> This means you twisty.....
>

Shut the F(*#& up chunks!

4949. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 04:22:54 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0aRN9.52599$C06.18364@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 147483

30 year old Tech stuff..... Golleeeeeeeeeeee
Used this stuff in most VHF rigs of yesteryear tuning the front ends.
Pace marine radios used 'em extensively. RCI started using 'em
~5 years ago and its brand new tech...man.

Get into some modern tech SS DDS DSP or get left behind!
Somebody get this guy up to speed!

The2x4

"piper tweet" <nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E037F7E.883DD0EA@yahoo.com...
> Hi Brian (and the group),
>
> A variable capacitor can be made by reverse bias voltage across a diode.
As you vary the voltage,
> the capacitance changes.
> You can see them in many vfo circuits, nowadays varicap diodes are common
place.
>
> Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much larger
capacitance range over
> a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to replace a
standard range
> varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super Diode.
>
> The range of an example clarifier would extend from the original few kc's
(KHz) of
> operation up to some much larger value like plus or minus 15 KHz. You
would be able
> to move into the next frequency "channel" or "hole slot". For the most
part, only
> receive operation is changed with the clarifier, but mods are often done
which tie
> both the transmit and receive functions to the clarifier knob.
>
> The M-65 is just a varicap diode with a large range...
>
> hope that helps
> cheers
> skipp
>
> : Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> > All right I give up... what's a superdiode?

> >
> > "Adrian" <adshar@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:<rdpM9.4$4H1.445@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>...
> > > Does anyone in the USA supply these ore equivalent anymore? ie: m-65
> > > superdiode
> > >
> > > Thanks for any help
> > >
> > > regards Adrian
>

4950. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 04:26:22 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223232622.08344.00000296@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 147485

feed the DUCKS!! (mudducks)

Thatz-me-JP

4951. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 04:47:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zFRN9.40848$KW2.1493661@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147479

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:45CdnTpyCrU1UJqjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:_AQN9.40830$KW2.1476601@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > news:ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > > news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > > From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
> > > http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
> > > --
> > > Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one
responds
> > > in kind, it is not spam.
> > >
> > >
> > > It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and Lou
> > Franklin
> > > have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because you
> > requested
> > > it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you requested
it?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > George....shut up. Your idiocy is showing again.
>
>
> Blow Me asshole
>
>

And showing and showing and showing.....

4952. WTB bottum plate for a d104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 05:02:19 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XSRN9.16276$eB.183332@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 147487

I'am looking for a bottum plate with the felt on it for a d-104 desk mic.
Please e-mail with condition and price.

4953. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 09:27:42 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021224042742.09061.00000204@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 147489

give them Hell 333...

the Big Boss is gone

50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

4954. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 12:45:35 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0856EF.53F0698E@listed.here>
Source record: 147491

```
> 10 68.42.244.169 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
> 253 ms
> 11 68.42.244.185 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
> 210 ms
> 12 172.30.163.185 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
> 213 ms
> 13 172.30.163.147 Unavailable TTL Exceeded
> 210 ms

Damn, Dude, your connection sucks.

Happy Holidaze.
```

4955. Re: Superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 12:45:37 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <RFYN9.444865$P31.153337@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147490

"The2x4" <the2x4@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:0aRN9.52599$C06.18364@news.bellsouth.net...
> 30 year old Tech stuff..... Golleeeeeeeeeeee
> Used this stuff in most VHF rigs of yesteryear tuning the front ends.
> Pace marine radios used 'em extensively. RCI started using 'em
> ~5 years ago and its brand new tech...man.
>
> Get into some modern tech SS DDS DSP or get left behind!
> Somebody get this guy up to speed!
>
> The2x4
>
snip

Now your gonna take all the mystic out of being the person with the oldest
stuff because it always worked better. Bubble buster :)

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

4956. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 12:46:37 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E08572D.EB65DBB5@listed.here>
Source record: 147492

Lowrider667 wrote:
>
> give them Hell 333...
>
> the Big Boss is gone
>
> 50KW...As I Glow In The Dark!!

Were you heard on sideband Sunday night?!?!

Was that you talking to Gargamel?

4957. Re: Information On Modifying Radio Shack TRC-465 For Extra Output !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 12:47:53 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E085779.665EC689@listed.here>
Source record: 147493

JC wrote:
>
> I have a Radio Shack TRC-465 AM / SSB mobile CB and am looking for
> an increase in my output. Does anyone have any info on a modification
> site on this radio or am I better off just adding an amp. I am only
> looking for a moderate increase of around 50 watts. Thanks all for
> any help. Please e-mail me if possible. happy holidays To All . PEACE

All mods for a PC-122 work. Forget getting more power out
of the radio. Set it low and drive an amp.

4958. Re: WTB bottum plate for a d104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 13:25:43 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <rfZN9.811$T5.588744@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147494

me too, I have 3 D-104's with missing bottems...

drc

"Magnum45" <Magnum45@mn.rr.com> wrote in message
news:XSRN9.16276$eB.183332@twister.kc.rr.com...
> I'am looking for a bottum plate with the felt on it for a d-104 desk mic.
> Please e-mail with condition and price.
>
>

4959. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 13:30:01 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0goavb9256d6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147495

Could you please state us the law which says it is a violation of the law to possess a modified radio of any sort. Please do some research chunks and find this for us since you claim to be a legal scholar. Furthermore, if that is the case then there are thousands if not millions of people in violation. Not to mention the multitiudes of others who own amateur rigs without licenses. Not in my case since I have an amateur license, but I know there are others out there who do so because any monitoring of freeband using a shortwave receiver will turn up conversations of people using rigs such as Yaesu, Kenwood, RCI 2950, ETC. Please show us the justification for your comments.

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:hj4e0vcsdihotqvt5no69nb1nt3mgfbdm7@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 23:13:47 -0800, "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> >
> >> You have made NO violation of federal law by possessing
> >> a 2950.
> >
> >Listen up speedbump,
> >
> >The violation occurs when you posses or attempt to sell
> >a MODIFIED 2950.
>
> To quote you "Listen up speedbump" You have made NO violation of
> federal law by possessing a 2950.

4960. OT - WINDOWS 2000 TENNESSEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:00:38 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <6G_N9.3852$37.416866@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 147496

Dear Consumers:

It has come to our attention that a few copies of the WINDOWS 2000 TENNESSEE EDITION may have accidentally been shipped outside of the STATE of TENNESSEE.

If you have one of these, you may need help understanding the commands. The TENNESSEE EDITION may be recognized by the unique opening screen. It reads: WINDERS 2000, with a background picture of Willie Nelson superimposed on a bottle of Jack Daniels.

Please also note:

The Recycle Bin is labeled "Outhouse"
My Computer is called "This Dern Contraption"
Dial Up Networking is called "Good Ol' Boys"
Control Panel is known as "The Dashboard"
Hard Drive is referred to as "4-Wheel Drive" Floppies are "Them little ol'plastic thangs"
Instead of an error message, "Duct Tape" pops up

CHANGES IN TERMINOLOGY IN TENNESSEE EDITION:

Cancel.....stopdat
Reset.....try 'er agin
Yes.....yep
No.....nope
Find.....hunt fer it
Go to.....over yonder
Back.....back yonder
Help.....hep me out here
Stop.....kwitit (WHOA!)
Start.....crank 'er up
Settings.....settins
Programs.....stuff at duz stuff
Documents.....stuff ah done did

Also note that the TENNESSEE EDITION does not recognize capital letters or punctuation marks.

Some programs that are exclusive to WINDERS 2000:

Tiperiter - a word processing program
Colerin' Book - a graphics program
Cyferin' Mersheen - calculator
Outhouse Paper - notepad
Inner-net - Microsoft explorer 5.0

Pitchers - a graphics viewer

We regret any inconvenience this may have caused. If you received a copy of
the
TENNESSEE EDITION, you may return it to Microsoft for a replacement version.

I hope this helps all y'all!

Billy Bob Gates

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4961. Re: Information On Modifying Radio Shack TRC-465 For Extra Output !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:00:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <630-3E0876A7-319@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147498

From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC)
I have a Radio Shack TRC-465 AM / SSB mobile CB and am looking for an increase in my output. Does anyone have any info on a modification site on this radio or am I better off just adding an amp. I am only looking for a moderate increase of around 50 watts. Thanks all for any help. Please e-mail me if possible. happy holidays To All . PEACE
--
Pacific Sales in Ontario, California, formerly D & R Electronics, used to sell a small amp, smaller than a pack of smokes and it did about 25 or 30 watts.

4962. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:02:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <635-3E087712-115@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147497

From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333)
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
>Probably not pneumonia. More like RF >induced lung cancer. Another
reason not to be >an illegal faggot keyclown.
--
You are the clown boy! Keep your prejudces to yourself, asshole! Leave
to a mindless nitwit to insult a person who is seriously ill..... 333
Springfield MO
Twisted...we can only hope JJ will be back in there...one awesome mobile
station...Later
"Got RF?"
---
42. Have a great holiday up that way.

4963. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:10:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <630-3E0878FD-321@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147500

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5508-3E073763-605@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Bullshit they are spam

>Nothing is spam when it is been requested.
--
You don't run the group nor speak for those who read it, another atempt
at you trying to control the NG.

Hehehe...nothing is spam when it is requested..

4964. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:21:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26001-3E087B87-77@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147499

From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
--
Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
in kind, it is not spam.

>It is not your NG to request anything,

I know it's a great difficulty for you to remain on topic and not become
personal and befuddled by your limited attention span.The topic was
spam, not your deflated and threatened ego. Your assumptions that others
are of the control and power-hungry nature that you selfish few lids
share is incorrect.

>perhaps a side deal you and Lou Franklin >have cooked up, but you in no
way dictate >what is spam because you requested it.

I most certainly do, as valid as you claiming the opposite. This is a
public newsgroup and if one requests it and it is pertinent to the topic
and the room title, calling it spam is merely another personal problem
manifesting from the stink holes we have become familiar with that
accompanies you and your ilk.

>If he loses his isp because of it will you tell >them you requested it?

Why does a troubled hammie like yourself overly concern himself with the
personal lives of cbers?.

4965. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 15:23:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25999-3E087BF9-545@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147501

From: nothanks@seeya.bye (chunks)
>This means you twisty.....
--
You have always had a hard-on trying to tell me what to do...look to
what extent I've reduced you without even trying.

4966. Latest amplifier auction ratouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:06:15 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212240806.4b7a7ecc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147502

Merry Christmas, keyqueens. The latest ratouts of ebay auctions are shown below. Thank you for giving the AntiKeyclowns some more amusement. Your auctions are illegal and will be ratted out every chance your Masters the Anti-Boys get.

1947221646

1946225619

1947074507

Just go to search and copy/paste the auction numbers in.

Happy Holidays, keyqueens.

4967. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:07:22 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_C%N9.36623$VA5.5709198@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147503

Thanks for the help but it is the am transistor at the middle back of the
radio that went bad.it is a D1135 Transistor. Thanks for all the help.
S.M.C. 124 Ohio
"terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:YW7M9.32753$VA5.3899899@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I am having trouble with this radio and need help or suggestions on
> repairing it. When I first key it up when i get in the truck in the
morning
> it works for about a minute ,but then it loses all the power on A.M. but
it
> works fine on the side bands. Could someone tell me what is wrong or what
to
> replace to fix this problem.
> THANKS IN ADVANCED FOR THE HELP,
> s.c.m. 124 OHIO
>
>
>

4968. Re: Dr. Pepper in the hospital...could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:31:55 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <%Z%N9.36630$VA5.5713921@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147504

I heard last evening that he was going to be flown back to MO. so he could
be home for the holidays.
Also heard it was emphyseama that caused his awful condition.
GET WELL SOON DR. PEPPER...DX LAND WON'T BE THE
SAME WITHOUT YA BUD.

124 S.C.M. ,LORAIN OHIO ON THE SLOPPY SHORES OF THE
GREAT LAKE OF ERIE.

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021223135720.29171.00000248@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Looks like one of our comrades has fallen ill.
> Word is Pepper is in an Arizona hospital with
> pneumonia.....our thoughts are with him and his family...
> Some of the folks are trying to pony up some
> money to help the family get out to be with him...
> If you know who i'm talking about then you know
> where to tune for more info.....333 Springfield MO
>
> "Got RF?"

4969. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:38:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <p40O9.949$_93.66053318@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147506

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zFRN9.40848$KW2.1493661@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> news:45CdnTpyCrU1UJqjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_AQN9.40830$KW2.1476601@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > > news:ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> > > > >
> > > > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > > > From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
> > > > http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
> > > > --
> > > > Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one
> responds
> > > > in kind, it is not spam.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and
Lou
> > > Franklin
> > > > have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because you
> > > requested
> > > > it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you
requested
> it?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > George....shut up. Your idiocy is showing again.
> > >
> > >
> > Blow Me asshole
> > >
> > >
> >
> And showing and showing and showing.....
>

Ah the Christmas Spirit.....
Have a Merry Christmas & Happy New Year Randy.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4970. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:38:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <q40O9.950$_93.66053318@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147505

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v0goavb9256d6f@corp.supernews.com...
> Could you please state us the law which says it is a violation of the law
to
> possess a modified radio of any sort. Please do some research chunks and
> find this for us since you claim to be a legal scholar. Furthermore, if
that
> is the case then there are thousands if not millions of people in
violation.
> Not to mention the multitiudes of others who own amateur rigs without
> licenses. Not in my case since I have an amateur license, but I know there
> are others out there who do so because any monitoring of freeband using a
> shortwave receiver will turn up conversations of people using rigs such
as
> Yaesu, Kenwood, RCI 2950, ETC. Please show us the justification for your
> comments.

He can't, he's just trolling.....

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

4971. RANGER 2990 225 WATT MODIFICATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 16:44:01 UTC
From: terry altman <doorsfanx@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l90O9.36635$VA5.5716646@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 147507

I NNED TO FINND THE MOD FOR THE 2990 THAT BRINGS IT UP TO 230 WATTS ... YES
I SAID 230 WATTS. THEY WILL DO EVERY BIT OF IT JUST BOUGHT ONE AND I DON'T
KNOW WHO DID THE MOD AND I WANT TO DO THIS TO MY OTHER ONE THEN MORE THAN
LIKELY SELL ONE OF THE TWO FOR AROUND $225 TO $275 DOLLARS DEPENDING ON THE
AVERAGE VALUE OF THIS MODEL.

THANKS FOR ALL THEE HELP IN ADVANCE YOU CRAZY RADIO
POWER MONKEYS
124 S.C.M. LORAIN OHIO

4972. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 17:18:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sF0O9.47535$Nz5.1285733@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147508

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:p40O9.949$_93.66053318@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:zFRN9.40848$KW2.1493661@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > news:45CdnTpyCrU1UJqjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:_AQN9.40830$KW2.1476601@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ehqdnZ6AP5WIJpqjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:5508-3E07383B-606@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > > > > > From: rcranus@hotmail.comm (Git Some)
> > > > > > http://support.cox.net/custsup/policies/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one
> > responds
> > > > > > in kind, it is not spam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It is not your NG to request anything, perhaps a side deal you and
> Lou
> > > > > Franklin
> > > > > > have cooked up, but you in no way dictate what is spam because
you
> > > > > requested
> > > > > > it. If he loses his isp because of it will you tell them you
> requested
> > it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > George....shut up. Your idiocy is showing again.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Blow Me asshole
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
```

> > And showing and showing and showing.....
> >
>
>
> Ah the Christmas Spirit.....
> Have a Merry Christmas & Happy New Year Randy.
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
>

Same back at you and all others here!

Randy

4973. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 17:36:15 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040751489.90259@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147509

Damn! Chunks , You ruined My Christmas spirit .
I was so hoping to see a final suicide letter from You.
It's not too late though, Why don't You get Yourself one of those 357 mag's and crawl Yourself up in a sewer drainage ditch and blow Your fucking brains out .
This way if Your ever found , The police wouldn't be able to recognize You as a person but will see You as the piece of shit that You really are .
Chunk I could honestly say that by reading Your post's that I don't like You.
It's easy to see that You dad fucked You mother in the ass to make You .
That's right Your a asshole baby.
So with that now being known Chunks , Fuck You and fuck the motherfucker that fucked to get You here .
And to all the rest of You on this NG
Merry Christmas
Happy Quanza
Falis Navida
Happy Hanukah
Good Ramadan
In Your prayers this Holiday Season , Please remember the Men and Women in Our armed forces that are at the mercy of Our Government to keep this Country free from scum like Chunk's

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message news:HQRN9.1196$X42.264586395@news.inreach.com...
> This means you twisty.....
>
>

4974. Re: GRANT XL C.B. (HELP ME...PLEASE!!!!!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:00:11 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E08A1E9.4090205@cac.net>
Source record: 147510

That would be the AM Power Regulator.

-SSB

terry altman wrote:
> Thanks for the help but it is the am transistor at the middle back of the
> radio that went bad.it is a D1135 Transistor. Thanks for all the help.
> S.M.C. 124 Ohio
> "terry altman" <doorsfanx@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:YW7M9.32753$VA5.3899899@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>
>>I am having trouble with this radio and need help or suggestions on
>>repairing it. When I first key it up when i get in the truck in the
>
> morning
>
>>it works for about a minute ,but then it loses all the power on A.M. but
>
> it
>
>>works fine on the side bands. Could someone tell me what is wrong or what
>
> to
>
>>replace to fix this problem.
>> THANKS IN ADVANCED FOR THE HELP,
>> s.c.m. 124 OHIO
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

4975. Re: Latest amplifier auction ratouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:21:01 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <xs2O9.493710$QZ.74299@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147511

What do auctions have to do with a CB newsgroup ? Sounds like off topic
posting to me.. I guess a letter to Yahoo abuse is in order, again...
By the way Einstein, you never mentioned what auction to cut and paste to.
Merry Christmas troll....

4976. Re: Latest amplifier auction ratouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:26:31 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <auac7c$b482$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147512

They'll never find my auctions!!! They aint smart enuff!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212240806.4b7a7ecc@posting.google.com...
> Merry Christmas, keyqueens. The latest ratouts of ebay auctions are
> shown below. Thank you for giving the AntiKeyclowns some more
> amusement. Your auctions are illegal and will be ratted out every
> chance your Masters the Anti-Boys get.
>
> 1947221646
>
> 1946225619
>
> 1947074507
>
> Just go to search and copy/paste the auction numbers in.
>
> Happy Holidays, keyqueens.

4977. More EBAY items no longer available!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:41:32 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <FD3O9.1214$lJ3.271259684@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147513

Recent Ranger Radios no longer available on EBAY!!!!

hahahahahahahaha!!!!!!

1945938956 This item may no longer be available -- -- Ended --
1946221355 This item may no longer be available -- -- Ended --
1947012476 This item may no longer be available -- -- Ended --
1947101846 This item may no longer be available -- -- Ended

4978. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:49:47 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <nL3O9.1215$ZM3.271497300@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147514

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

> You have always had a hard-on trying to tell me what to do...look to
> what extent I've reduced you without even trying.
>

Yes, my penis is large for you, just as you have described. Imagine
what suprises could occur should we ever meet?

As I touch myself, I touch you. Imagine.....

4979. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:52:40 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <4O3O9.1216$nR3.271786056@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147520

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
>
> Damn, Dude, your connection sucks.
>
> Happy Holidaze.

Hey, It's free. Part of my package of feebees I got when I retired
from the adult film business. (Thanks to Marty Sharp and Associates)

4980. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:56:33 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <22045-3E08BBF1-503@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147519

I have the instruction sheet to unblock the BC200XLT. It came with my radio when I purchased it new. Now this was back in the mid 1980s and i don't know if radios manufactured after that can even be modified. All I can say is my radio works great and picks up the full 800 MHz range. I am not sure what the difference is between the 245XLT and the 200XLT but I have to say my BC200XLT performs as good as any new scanner I have tried recently. If you feel the modification sheet for my radio (BC200XLT) could help you let me know and I will fax it to you, Teddy in Vermont

4981. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:58:22 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0hf33s68mn918@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147515

I agree with that. He doesn't have the legal background or knowledge to tell us what the law really is but instead tries to be a law unto himself.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:q40O9.950$_93.66053318@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v0goavb9256d6f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Could you please state us the law which says it is a violation of the law
> to
> > possess a modified radio of any sort. Please do some research chunks and
> > find this for us since you claim to be a legal scholar. Furthermore, if
> that
> > is the case then there are thousands if not millions of people in
> violation.
> > Not to mention the multitiudes of others who own amateur rigs without
> > licenses. Not in my case since I have an amateur license, but I know there
> > are others out there who do so because any monitoring of freeband using a
> > shortwave receiver will turn up conversations of people using rigs such
> as
> > Yaesu, Kenwood, RCI 2950, ETC. Please show us the justification for your
> > comments.
>
>
> He can't, he's just trolling.....................
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
>

4982. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 19:59:58 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <WU3O9.1218$oT3.271918110@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147516

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> Could you please state us the law which says it is a violation of the law
to
> possess a modified radio of any sort. Please do some research chunks and
> find this for us since you claim to be a legal scholar.

I charge $145 an hour for legal research. (civil) and $275 for criminal.

In order for me to provide you with a reply to your request, you will have
to submit a retainer fee of $2500 before any research can occur.

Mailing address:

Anderson, Sykes and Rhone, Research Associates
P.O. BOX 88923
Fair Oaks, CA 95841
(916) 348-8958

4983. Re: WTB bottum plate for a d104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:08:58 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <n14O9.1219$qS3.271854738@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147517

Have a local machine shop cut out a few circles out
of stock sheet metal for you. Drill the screw holes and
internal audio amp adjustment access hole yourself.
Glue on some felt and bingo! Just like new.

"Magnum45" <Magnum45@mn.rr.com> wrote in message
news:XSRN9.16276$eB.183332@twister.kc.rr.com...
> I'am looking for a bottum plate with the felt on it for a d-104 desk mic.
> Please e-mail with condition and price.
>
>

4984. Re: Latest amplifier auction ratouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:12:29 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <G44O9.1221$RU3.272011360@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147518

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <wrote in message

> Merry Christmas, keyqueens. The latest ratouts of ebay auctions are
> shown below. Thank you for giving the AntiKeyclowns some more
> amusement. Your auctions are illegal and will be ratted out every
> chance your Masters the Anti-Boys get.
>
> 1947221646
>
> 1946225619
>
> 1947074507
>
> Just go to search and copy/paste the auction numbers in.
>
> Happy Holidays, keyqueens.

Don't foget to add these as well!

1945938956 This item may no longer be available -- --
1946221355 This item may no longer be available -- --
1947012476 This item may no longer be available -- --
1947101846 This item may no longer be available -- --

Yahoo's next....

4985. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT OK THERE JOHNNY LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:13:01 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <26007-3E08BFCD-493@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147523

So now this is also your duty there DICK KLANSMAN. GO do what you do best , harassing and shooting people while you patrol the roads "to make us safe" . Thank you but I will take my own safety of myself and family as my own personal responsibility. I was not aware you are now responsible for enforcing F.C.C. rules. Do what you do best. Eat your donuts and take your frustrations out on the kids you went to high school with who used to stick your head in the urinal, flush it then kick your ass. It just scares me now that you have a gun. Look out world another geek out for revenge. Do you real duty and look
for some real crime Dick Tracy, seee.
Go to the news group where you belong. Alt. Discuss. Donuts. Frosted or Sprinkles

4986. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:16:18 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <26007-3E08C092-494@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147524

A clue yes indeed ! GO WALLOW IN YOUR STY YOU GARBAGE EATING PIG !

4987. Re: CHARITY BENEFIT FOR Rugerp85 queerboy!(YOU FORGOT ONE THING)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:19:16 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <26007-3E08C144-495@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147522

Ruger85 queer boy needs some GERBILS !

4988. The Dx Directory is Online!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 20:24:01 UTC
From: The Dx Directory <webmaster@dxdirectory.com>
Message-ID: <v0hgivproin677@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147521

Check it out!
The Dx Directory is Online at http://www.DxDirectory.com

4989. Radio Gear 4 trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 21:37:54 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E08D333.9040107@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147525

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners manual. I also Have the 3 kW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working DX 100 and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Ham station. I will trade this Receiver for a good working AM station. I want both transmitterwith at least 100 watts out and receiver. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack.
I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop, (It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100 in will give you 1250 out, New Caps, that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter. this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
I also have a Hallicrafters S 38 C Shortwave receiver. Its in great shape. It works but I have no idea what its worth. It is a old old receiver. (Make Offer)
I have a MFJ Versa Tuner II and a Roadtalker 40-channel sideband CB radio that somebody converted to 10 meters. It works quite well. I talked to a guy in South America on it. (Make Offer)
I also have a CBS 1000 transceiver. 25 MHz to 29.795 MHz. In 10 kHz steps. I got it at a hamfest in Georgia. I'll trade it for a SX 300 or NC 300 HQ 110 HQ 170 HQ 200 or similar HF receiver.
I have a Kenwood TM-2530A that I used as a 2 meter packet station. It needs a Mic and the light that lights up the liquid quartz dial. The radio works fine and is in good shape. I looking for a good AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TR 7850 This radio I used as the BBS access on 2 meters. It needs a Mic and needs a new lithium battery backup. I turn the radio off and back on it forgets where it was and defaults to 144.000Mhz. Other than that the radio works fine. I want a working AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TM 201a. I used this 2 meter radio as sat transmitter. I worked Mirror before it came down. It Needs allot of work. It needs a dial. The Freq. read out is all screwed up. This is a fixerupper. It receives fine and transmits fine. It needs a mic too I will though this in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs
I have a Versa Tuner II 949c and a Palomar PT 340 tuner tuner that I would through in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs. I also Have a Kenwood KDC 1011 mobile CD player that has the plugs set up for a the older fords. I will through it in too with either one of the above 2

meter rigs.
I also have a Behringer Ultra Graph Pro GEQ 3102 31 band equalizer that
I will through it in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs.
Hey thanks for reading
Happy Holidays
73 Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

4990. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 21:52:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <DEOdnervNIqkSpWjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147526

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:13623-3E069A7F-345@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I posted a question recently about increasing the power of a CB
> radio. I am not looking to make a flamethrower. I just want to
increase
> the power enough to to have a little edge to get through the
background
> noise etc.

Peaking a radio is a waste of money. The tiny increase in power output you get isn't noticeable.

>Another question I have is what
>is a linear amplifier and how is it installed. Maybe that is what i
>need. Thank all and Merry Xmass,

Just get used to the noise level. Every additional illegal amplifier that is put on the air just raises the "background noise" for somebody else. They in turn then want an amplifier, which just raises the "background noise" for you. Then you want more power etc., and the cycle never ends. Before you know it you are just another one of those ridiculous CBers who needs 100's of watts to talk to the guy a mile away. The only really sensible thing is not to use one. Besides using an amp on AM is a big waste of money anyway.

I would consider using a SSB set and would encourage everyone else to use one as well. On SSB there are no heterodyne squeals to put up with, except from other AM stations, and the effective range is better due to better use of the available power, 12 watts PEP.

For example a 50 watt amplifier can only put out 33.33 watts dead key, i.e. carrier power, with the head room difference being in the two side bands, for 16.67 watts under 100 percent modulation. That works out to only 8.33 watts per side band, which is only half of what you get with a legal SSB CB radio. Yup most of the big power ends up in the carrier, which does NOTHING to convey information, and just makes the "S meter" swing up higher at the other end, thus greatly increasing the noise level on the channel. All the audio information is in the sidebands, and you only need one of those to communicate.

Finally if you just want to communicate with a few friends around town then consider the other two CB bands, yes there is more than just one. There is the UHF CB band where people use FRS radios, and the VHF band where you can use radios up to 2 watts. You can buy the radios at Radio Shack and several other places. If you know the right people you can even buy a used VHF businesses band radio for a few bucks and have

it reprogrammed with the MURS frequencies in it.

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/frs-home.htm
http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

4991. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:08:16 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <oAKdnXEmYdJkdZWjXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147527

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25999-3E087BF9-545@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: nothanks@seeya.bye (chunks)
> >This means you twisty.....
> --
> You have always had a hard-on trying to tell me what to do...look to
> what extent I've reduced you without even trying.

Who are you talking to twisty.

4992. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:12:34 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <Ya6cnct3KdNmdJWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147528

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26001-3E087B87-77@storefull-

>Why does a troubled hammie like yourself overly concern himself with the
>personal lives of cbers?.

I know it's a great difficulty for you to remain on topic .The topic was
spam, not your deflated and threatened ego. btw, you never told me what happened
to my email addy that you asked about ?
Or the freeband?

4993. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:21:38 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E08EC02.F9CB8FE5@listed.here>
Source record: 147529

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> For example a 50 watt amplifier can only put out 33.33 watts dead key,
> i.e. carrier power, with the head room difference being in the two
> side bands, for 16.67 watts under 100 percent modulation. That works
> out to only 8.33 watts per side band, which is only half of what you
> get with a legal SSB CB radio. Yup most of the big power ends up in
> the carrier, which does NOTHING to convey information, and just makes
> the "S meter" swing up higher at the other end, thus greatly
> increasing the noise level on the channel. All the audio information
> is in the sidebands, and you only need one of those to communicate.

The thought is good, but the math is wrong. I won't argue on
Christmas Eve. Have a merry one.

4994. SPAM: Radio Gear 4 trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:23:22 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E08EC6A.F892AC42@listed.here>
Source record: 147530

I've seen this SPAM a lot more then Lou Franklin's information.

4995. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:30:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021224183025.23285.00000288@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 147531

leland are you drunk on christmas eve?!?!?

>due to better use of the available power, 12 watts PEP.
>
>For example a 50 watt amplifier can only put out 33.33 watts dead key,
>i.e. carrier power, with the head room difference being in the two
>side bands, for 16.67 watts under 100 percent modulation. That works
>out to only 8.33 watts per side band, which is only half of what you
>get with a legal SSB CB radio. Yup most of the big power ends up in
>the carrier, which does NOTHING to convey information, and just makes
>the "S meter" swing up higher at the other end, thus greatly
>increasing the noise level on the channel. All the audio information
>is in the sidebands, and you only need one of those to communicate.

4996. Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-24 23:33:34 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224183334.23529.00000242@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 147532

Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.

-A

4997. WTB: SWR/WATT/MODULATION METER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:08:38 UTC
From: ihornsby@direcway.com
Message-ID: <8ab11b99.0212241608.47980129@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147533

WTB: SWR/WATT/MODULATION METER in good working condition. please email
at ihornsby@direcway.com

4998. Control Freqs. are..... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:41:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0902f6_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147535

YOU be da faggot, "Control FREAK Center!"
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212231039.4228b537@posting.google.com...
> Ice,
> See below. Now you are educated.
>
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote in message
news:<20021223101658.03151.00000632@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > Check this out for a fun time!!!!!!!
> >
> > http://www.toonedin.com/movies/WhiteTrashXmas.html
> >
> > Definition of the StuperBowl
> > If 10,000 Federal Criminals are on the StuperBowl
> > then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
> > & only 100 can be heard most of the times
> > & only 10 can be heard all the time
> > This is a great example why the bowl is for the weakminded, faggot
Keyclowns!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4999. Re: Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:42:46 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <4uacnYgN_a2CYpWjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147534

LOL

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronvoobner@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021224183334.23529.00000242@mb-me.aol.com...
> Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.
>
> -A

5000. Re: OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:45:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0902f9_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147536

We ALWAYS get "worked up" over false propaganda against our Arab Christian friends! Is yo going to boycott Isreal too? !
Dave!!!

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message news:3E079FE9.72CC21AB@listed.here...
> Nice to see you get all worked up over a chain-type forwarded
> email. I just removed 100 email addys and posted it here
> instead of emailing it to everyone.
>
> james wrote:
> >
> > Oh one of little knowledge and great ignorance:
> >
> > It is admirable that the research you and your fellow individuals have
> > done in this work. Have you failed to investigate the intertwineing of
> > corporate ownership and who owns who? August 11-1998 BP and Amoco
> > merged their operations together. BP also is the parent company of
> > ARCO, Castrol Oil, Buy the way do you know who Amoco Oil is or was. It
> > was a company started by some guy named John D Rockefeller. Standard
> > Oil come to mind? Well BP owns Standard Oil Lock Stock and Barrel.
> > While BP no longer drills or pumps oil in teh middle east,it's
> > beginning were is a little country back in1901 called Persia. Now for
> > those of you who failed geography and history, Persia's modern name is
> > IRAN. Beside it was not until the late 80's that BP divested itself of
> > Iranian Oil.
> >
> > I could go on and on. I found this info in just an matter of ten
> > minutes on the internet. Just researching BP's webpage. Who knows what
> > I find if I look at other oil companies. Oh by the way, these
> > companies aer also into petrochemicals. These are used to make
> > medicines, clothing, lubricants, and plastics. Do you plan to boycott
> > these? One more note. There has been no new refinery built in the US
> > for 25 years. In the US there is now more importation of already
> > refiined gasoline. Your post does not reflect who imports already
> > refined gasoline or partially refined oil products. It deal strictly
> > with crude oil.
> >
> > The only company that might not be buying any products from the middle
> > east would be Citgo. They are solely owned by the government of
> > Venezuela. (PDVSA). Hess claims not to but they get oil from
> > Indonesia. Oh buy the way Indonessia has the largest population of
> > Moslems outside the middle east. And they do not like us!
> >

> > So who do yo buy your clothes from?
> > Which brand of oil do you putin your car?
> > Do you buy your sodas in plastic bottles?
> > How about bottled water?
> > How about plastic containers for you liver pills or your high blood
> > pressure pills?
> >
> > I could go on and on. If you really want to boycot the Arabs then do
> > some more research and don't be so high and mighty. Come back and tell
> > me who profits fromarab Oil. I think wou will find not only the oil
> > companies, but Dupont, GE, Dow Chemical, Ford, GM, and gosh the list
> > goes on and on. Do you plan to boycott these?
> >
> > james
> >
> > On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 17:38:59 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
> >
> > >Gas companies
> > >
> > >Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money
> > >into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that
> > >don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more
> > >frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am
> > >sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family,
> > >and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know
> > >which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.
> > >
> > >Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
> > >Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
> > >Chevron/Texaco.......144,332,000 barrels
> > >Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
> > >Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
> > >Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels
> > >If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18
> > >BILLION!
> > >
> > >Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern
> > >oil:
> > >Citgo 0 barrels
> > >Sunoco 0 barrels
> > >Conoco 0 barrels
> > >Sinclair 0 barrels
> > >BP/Phillips 0 barrels
> > >Hess 0 barrels
> > >All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and
> > >can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required
> > >to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing.
> > >They report on a monthly basis.
> > >
> > >Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying

> > >for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach
> > >literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.
> > >
> > >Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain
> > >how simple it is to reach millions of people.
> > >
> > >I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send
> > >it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at
> > >least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message
> > >reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over
THREE
> > >
> > >MILLION consumers!
> > >
> > >If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten
> > >friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it
> > >goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION
> > >PEOPLE.
> > >
> > >GOD BLESS AMERICA!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5001. Re: BS Meter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:48:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e090302$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147538

WAS that a troll-o-meter ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:5SsN9.468490$NH2.32492@sccrnsc01...
> Cool Logo!!!! I love it. That applies to most of life! LOL
>
>
>
> "WMaC" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E063B4A.25E6D71D@listed.here...
> >
>
>
> ---
--
> ----
>
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5002. Re: INFO ON NEW GALAXY 48T & 93T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 00:54:27 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e090309_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147537

I hear the 48 does 50 watts AM. Does the 93 do more?
Dave!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:TNiN9.424249$%m4.128909@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3E053593.1020701@cac.net...
> > James:
> >
> > read it again.. he said it was IN the ham band, not that it WAS the
> > ham band...
> >
> > A bit more observation might be in order.
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > james wrote:
> > > On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 16:54:57 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>
> > >>Galaxyelc wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>I hear these 2 rigs have dual 2SC2290 finals,anybody play with one
> yet?? what
> > >>>is power ouput?? also need frequency coverage after its
> converted,looking for
> > >>>soemthing like this with at least 26-28.500 coverage,can anybody help
> out
> > >>>there??,thanks...BOB
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>28.000 to 28.500 is in the ham band.
> > >>
> > >>R U licensed?
> > >
> > > **********
> > >
> > > No 28.000 to 29.700
> > >
> > > james
> > >
> Ok. Why don't you just go here www.galaxyradios.com You will find

all
> the data that everyone else knows who haven't opened the covers.
>
> Good luck. Lighten up and have a Merry Christmas.
>
> Cheers
>
> Deb
>
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5003. BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 01:04:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0903d4$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147539

Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a month ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up, after many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or more people on Bases?
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5004. Re: Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 01:05:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wv7O9.50697$Nz5.1348625@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147540

```
"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:4uacnYgN_a2CYpWjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> LOL
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronvoobner@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021224183334.23529.00000242@mb-me.aol.com...
> > Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.
> >
> > -A
>
>

And in kind to your's.
```

5005. Last weeks Wall St. Journal article on CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 01:52:43 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e090f2c$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147541

Last week, probably between the 16th and the 20th, there was an article in that paper on one of the front sections of the paper (front page of a section) that told about what happened to a Shoe company that was making shoes in China (New Balence I think).

Dig this article up and read it, because in it has what I had been thinking for years would happen--the people WITHIN the company made shoes outside the company's authority, and sold them FOR LESS. It said that the chinese courts are SLOW (opposite of what is Biblical) and that the company could do little about it. These lower cost shoes were being sold WorldWide ! So what you have are Communist Chinese THIEVES, along with Taiwan thieves, that are ripping off companies! It even talked about P&G soap bottles that were sold and bogus soap put in them! It talked about companies that stayed away from China, so that trade secrets were not given out so more of this doesn't go on! SO, DO NOT buy CB's or any electronics made in CHINA ! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (Cobra, Rat Shack,) ... !

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5006. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 02:59:14 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <rn5i0vg3qht4ufltnj8p8f1mvsjf6isge9@4ax.com>
Source record: 147542

On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 20:04:20 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
>Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a month
>ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up, after
>many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or more
>people on Bases?
>Dave!
>
>

I know a local shop has been selling more, and I know a bunch of guys
that are looking for older base radios, you know, when they were still
made with good american quality. I miss the old days with good quality
radios and good quality antennas unlike the crap they have these days
for the most part.

Have a safe and happy holiday

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

5007. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 03:45:22 UTC
From: Dave <NOSPAMlocal_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mR9O9.453331$P31.154732@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147545

In fact, this is the third time he's posted this message in
the last two months.

Dave

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net...
> You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in
years, eh?
> Yahright.
>
> Get a grip.
>
> -SSB
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last
week. Early 80's
> > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought
a Starduster a month
> > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations
are picking up, after
> > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing
sales improving or more
> > people on Bases?
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News
=-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in
the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers!
=-----
>

5008. Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 03:46:40 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <mv9i0vc2ic1o1r7uqttqq1ij7g4la2uhbg@4ax.com>
Source record: 147546

Just got done installing my new RF transmitting device. How
does it work? I'm transmitting outside of the normal CB frequencies
and I didn't even bother with any license. This must infuriate the
AntiKeyclown----------------> "Keyboard Clowns"

Have a Merry X-mas .....Even the "Keyboard Clowns"

5009. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 04:01:51 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ebbi0vgl3rk1opg5rdm228r2f7r2m3bdri@4ax.com>
Source record: 147547

On 25 Dec 2002 03:25:27 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>> On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 20:04:20 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
>> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
>> >Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
>> >month ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking
>> >up, after many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales
>> >improving or more people on Bases?
>> >Dave!
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I know a local shop has been selling more, and I know a bunch of guys
>> that are looking for older base radios, you know, when they were still
>> made with good american quality. I miss the old days with good quality
>> radios and good quality antennas unlike the crap they have these days
>> for the most part.
>>
>> Have a safe and happy holiday
>>
>> 73 de Keith
>> KC8TCQ
>>
>I miss the good ole days, cuz I was younger! <g>
>
>Happy Holidays,Keith.

hell we all were a bit younger then, I remember it well, I had more
hair, and alot less of what I have left was gray LOL.

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

5010. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 04:35:23 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net>
Source record: 147543

You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in years, eh?
Yahright.

Get a grip.

-SSB

Dave or Debby wrote:
> Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a month
> ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up, after
> many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or more
> people on Bases?
> Dave!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5011. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 04:39:04 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E092993.3030102@cac.net>
Source record: 147544

Riiight.. Caught in a lie, and now he's using this "retainer" thing to
try to cover his ass.

chunks, you wonder why people don't like you... there it is right there.

-SSB

\"chunks\" wrote:
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>
>>Could you please state us the law which says it is a violation of the law
>
> to
>
>>possess a modified radio of any sort. Please do some research chunks and
>>find this for us since you claim to be a legal scholar.
>
>
> I charge $145 an hour for legal research. (civil) and $275 for criminal.
>
> In order for me to provide you with a reply to your request, you will have
> to submit a retainer fee of $2500 before any research can occur.
>
> Mailing address:
>
> Anderson, Sykes and Rhone, Research Associates
> P.O. BOX 88923
> Fair Oaks, CA 95841
> (916) 348-8958
>
>

5012. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 05:20:05 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aubev5$bo8m$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147548

I heard ya! you was talkin' all over them clowns. I mean anti-key clowns
that is.
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:mv9i0vc2ic1o1r7uqttqq1ij7g4la2uhbg@4ax.com...
> Just got done installing my new RF transmitting device. How
> does it work? I'm transmitting outside of the normal CB frequencies
> and I didn't even bother with any license. This must infuriate the
> AntiKeyclown---------------> "Keyboard Clowns"
>
>
> Have a Merry X-mas .....Even the "Keyboard Clowns"
>

5013. Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 05:54:57 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147550

I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
trash in thier eyes.
Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
`

5014. Re: Last weeks Wall St. Journal article on CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 05:56:14 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0ii3voop9i750@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147549

I believe that article came out on the December 19th edition of the Journal.
I recall an amateur radio operator mention it.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021224222737.641$Bc@newsreader.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> > Last week, probably between the 16th and the 20th, there was an article
> > in that paper on one of the front sections of the paper (front page of a
> > section)
> >
> Is that the Metro section?!?
>
> --
> -------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5015. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSER CHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 07:00:29 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147551

I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK

5016. Re: WTB: SWR/WATT/MODULATION METER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 07:49:03 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0962EF.171C4D8F@listed.here>
Source record: 147552

http://www.autekresearch.com/

"D.L." wrote:
>
> WTB: SWR/WATT/MODULATION METER in good working condition. please email
> at ihornsby@direcway.com

5017. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 07:53:52 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E096410.6DAC8F46@listed.here>
Source record: 147553

I'll be out today (25th) on my 2950. I'll be upstairs, away from the
government frequencies that are downstairs. I also stay below 28.000.

Does that make you happy Jerry O.?

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> Just got done installing my new RF transmitting device. How
> does it work? I'm transmitting outside of the normal CB frequencies
> and I didn't even bother with any license. This must infuriate the
> AntiKeyclown---------------> "Keyboard Clowns"
>
> Have a Merry X-mas .....Even the "Keyboard Clowns"

5018. Hey All!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 08:02:01 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZBdO9.4937$Cc6.155873539@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147559

A very Merry Christmas & Safe & Happy New Year.

Landshark

I'll be busy tomorrow, 24 people coming over.

5019. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 08:04:38 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E096696.C7A7AA92@listed.here>
Source record: 147554

JC wrote:
>
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
> want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
> the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> trash in thier eyes.
> Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
> act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
> to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
> a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> `

They're = contraction of they are
there = opposite of here
their = belonging to (possessive form)

OK. Now that that's out of the way:

I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket
and stay the same person. No matter how sincere they are about being
the same, they never succeed. You can be a dual operator. Many do it
just fine. Others come back and talk bad about CB and then they are
heard on 2 meters trashing CB big time. They wonder why the only-CBers
don't answer them. Email and phone calls sure deflate their sails,
soon only the hams will talk to them. Unfortunately, this tends to
ruin the club somewhat. Attitude is everything.

I remain a CBer and a CBer only. Watch the nocodes continue to
spread disinformation. There are 2 on this NG that spew bad info.

Good luck and happy hamming.

5020. Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 08:18:31 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147555

I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them. This guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!! Tells me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD GUY..

Thanks

Steve

5021. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 09:27:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hSeO9.51154$Nz5.1391655@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147556

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve
>
>

Yes, that's just Doug Adair, our resident misguided hammie. He's on a mission to rid the world of illegal CB equipment. Just post the amps in the ham radio section of Ebay and you will be fine.

Merry Christmas

5022. Re: Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 09:33:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XXeO9.51155$Nz5.1391913@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147557

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021224223036.294$PU@newsreader.com...
> aaronvoobner@aol.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.
> >
> > -A
> >
> Same back to you.
>
> What about the Adair and Busch clan?
>

I bet they got gifts.....sniffle........

5023. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 09:39:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <51fO9.51156$Nz5.1392146@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147558

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021224230046.049$b5@newsreader.com...
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote:
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:26001-3E087B87-77@storefull-
> >
> > >Why does a troubled hammie like yourself overly concern himself with
the
> > >personal lives of cbers?.
> >
> > I know it's a great difficulty for you to remain on topic .The topic was
> > spam, not your deflated and threatened ego. btw, you never told me what
> > happened to my email addy that you asked about ?
> > Or the freeband?
> >
> I feel extra sorry for you today,George. I hope it all works out for you.
>
> Merry Christmas.(really)
>
> ...I'm getting ready to start moving some snow..gotta cover Santa's
> tracks..;)

Hey Mopar, don't forget to sweep up that reindeer poop too!

Merry Christmas

5024. Re: Last weeks Wall St. Journal article on CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 12:58:16 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <IXhO9.456226$WL3.124402@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147560

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e090f2c$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Last week, probably between the 16th and the 20th, there was an article in
> that paper on one of the front sections of the paper (front page of a
> section) that told about what happened to a Shoe company that was making
> shoes in China (New Balence I think).
>
> Dig this article up and read it, because in it has what I had been
thinking
> for years would happen--the people WITHIN the company made shoes outside
the
> company's authority, and sold them FOR LESS. It said that the chinese
> courts are SLOW (opposite of what is Biblical) and that the company could
do
> little about it. These lower cost shoes were being sold WorldWide ! So
> what you have are Communist Chinese THIEVES, along with Taiwan thieves,
that
> are ripping off companies! It even talked about P&G soap bottles that
were
> sold and bogus soap put in them! It talked about companies that stayed
away
> from China, so that trade secrets were not given out so more of this
doesn't
> go on! SO, DO NOT buy CB's or any electronics made in CHINA !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (Cobra, Rat Shack,) ... !
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =

-You kinda infer that this wouldn't happen in the US. Sorta like hiring a
tech and he/she does cb work on the side using your equipment. It doesn't
matter where things are made. You have thieves all over the place. Doesn't
matter what their nationality.

Crack the cases on that there computer your using and see if it hasn't got
foreign made parts in it.

You can't afford to buy American made stuff. Most American manufactures
can't afford not to buy the foreign made parts and accessories either.

You amaze me sometimes at your proliferation of ill comments and then infer that you may be a Christian? Look at Enron.

If there was a little more positivity and less negativity there just may be happier people. So surround yourself with positive people. If it makes you happy not to use or buy foreign made products then throw it all out. Someone else will be glad to pick it up and get the offensive little buggers out of your way.

Happy Holidays and God Bless you.

Deb

5025. FS: 3CX1500A7/8877 & others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 14:26:51 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LejO9.4995$ka5.594444@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147561

If you are interested I have a supply of 3CX1500A/8877 Eimac Pulls, which look like new, have been
teseted and are at full output and guaranteed - $340+shipping
I also have a good supply of most any other tubes that you might need.

If you have any interest please contact me.

Thank You.

Richard Hale
THE TUBE MAN
850 386-2560
thetubeman@earthlink.net

5026. President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:16:37 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <vtij0vob6t7im82mdu87l8lse6mmi66bh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 147565

Prez Lincoln FS

Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
Trades ??

5027. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSERCHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:24:00 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1040829964.33721@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 147567

Chunky was so fucking ugly when it was born that it's Momma put it on the back porch for to weeks just to see if it was going to crawl or fly
"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK
>

5028. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:46:56 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aucjmg$cir2$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147568

Plaster his name/email all over the internet. Write his name on the bathroom wall as giving good blow jobs. etc...

There's only one way to fight an a$$hole and that is be an A$$hole back! LOL

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve
>
>

5029. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:53:30 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E09C7A3.4060101@cac.net>
Source record: 147562

First off, Merry Christmas.

Secondly, HAM, CB'er, it doesn't matter. Either you're an asshole, or you're not. Doesn't matter what your hobby is, doesn't matter whether you have a ticket or not. (Chuck and Doug have proved this.) If you're an asshole, you're an asshole.

Mopar, thanks for the compliment, but the fact that I'm a HAM has nothing to do with it. Not to mention it being off topic. ::snicker::

Have a good one.

73

-SSB

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Riiight.. Caught in a lie, and now he's using this "retainer" thing to
>>try to cover his ass.
>>
>>chunks, you wonder why people don't like you... there it is right there.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>
> He's almost a bigger asshole than N8WWM.
>
> It's a good thing we have cool Hams here too. (like yourself)
>

5030. Re: President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:54:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021225105402.24690.00000161@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 147569

good lord man, thats double what those radios are going for.... merry xmas

>
>Prez Lincoln FS
>
>Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
>Trades ??

5031. Re: Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 15:58:54 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E09C8E7.8050908@cac.net>
Source record: 147563

Randy wrote:
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021224223036.294$PU@newsreader.com...
>
>>aaronvoobner@aol.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>>
>>>Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.
>>>
>>>-A
>>>
>>
>>Same back to you.
>>
>>What about the Adair and Busch clan?
>>
>
>
> I bet they got gifts.....sniffle........
>
>

Yeah.. but do YOU really WANT a dirty jockstrap and a 6-pack of Red
White and Blue beer?

-SSB

5032. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:08:02 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E09CB0B.8060703@cac.net>
Source record: 147564

WMC:

Ok.. Guess I must have changed, though I don't know how..

I'm still a CBer, and I have my ticket.

So Merry Christmas to you, too.

-SSB

WMC Club wrote:
>
> JC wrote:
>
>> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
>>the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
>>What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
>>unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
>>want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
>>the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
>>with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
>>and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
>>get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
>>trash in thier eyes.
>>Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
>>of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
>>ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
>>most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
>>act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
>>monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
>>levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
>>to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
>>a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
>>Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
>>`
>
>
>
>
> They're = contraction of they are
> there = opposite of here
> their = belonging to (possessive form)
>
> OK. Now that that's out of the way:
>
> I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket

> and stay the same person. No matter how sincere they are about being
> the same, they never succeed. You can be a dual operator. Many do it
> just fine. Others come back and talk bad about CB and then they are
> heard on 2 meters trashing CB big time. They wonder why the only-CBers
> don't answer them. Email and phone calls sure deflate their sails,
> soon only the hams will talk to them. Unfortunately, this tends to
> ruin the club somewhat. Attitude is everything.
>
> I remain a CBer and a CBer only. Watch the nocodes continue to
> spread disinformation. There are 2 on this NG that spew bad info.
>
> Good luck and happy hamming.

5033. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:13:07 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nOkO9.503047$QZ.75350@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147570

I wish you the best of luck...
I used to drive a "big truck" and CB'ed all the time I now have a ham license and do CB every so often (no longer driving a semi ...but like to tell drivers hi.) I don't understand the snobbery either- I don't do code so I am a half breed ham... But it is nice to have extra freq's, bigger equipment and areas to talk without fear. To me the biggest thrill as a ham was talking to the international space station crew. You will learn in the ham's they are a bunch of "good ole boys" that sometimes tolerate the "new kids" but still want the code test and snobery. It's not right but there are more of them than us no coders right now.

To the CB'ers
Don't let the bears bite your butts - this is the Tazmanian Terminator 'terminating miles with extreme prejudice' WE GONE ....

to the ham's
KB9YMX - out

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
> want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
> the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> trash in thier eyes.
> Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
> act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
> to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
> a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> `
>

5034. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:13:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E09D945.2020505@mailcity.net>
Source record: 147587

WMC Club wrote:

>
> They're = contraction of they are
> there = opposite of here
> their = belonging to (possessive form)
>
> OK. Now that that's out of the way:
>
> I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket
> and stay the same person.

I my 25 years of CBing = In my 25 years of CBing.

5035. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:16:13 UTC
From: "Sir.Oblivion" <Sir.Oblivion@public.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <3e09d9d0_5@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 147571

I miss the good old days of CB'ing and it's been many years since I was active in the hobby. There was something about having a huge chunk of American Made Steel loaded with Vacuum Tubes that made the hobby so much more enjoyable. Even with the later solid state or hybrid radios such as the Brownings, Trams, Sonars it was great. My first solid state radio was a SBE Console II and it kicked butt for the time. We didn't need hundreds of watts, I spoke to all 48 Continental US States and better than twenty countries with 12 Watts of PEP Power and a cheap 3 element vertical beam.

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message news:rn5i0vg3qht4ufltnj8p8f1mvsjf6isge9@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 20:04:20 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> >Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a month
> >ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up, after
> >many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or more
> >people on Bases?
> >Dave!
> >
> >
>
> I know a local shop has been selling more, and I know a bunch of guys
> that are looking for older base radios, you know, when they were still
> made with good american quality. I miss the old days with good quality
> radios and good quality antennas unlike the crap they have these days
> for the most part.

5036. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:42:49 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E09E009.D5377343@listed.here>
Source record: 147574

> Hey Mopar, don't forget to sweep up that reindeer poop too!

I thought Santa spilled his snacks on the front yard.

5037. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:42:55 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <v0jnudt5o36b78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147572

bet he sells radios
"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net...
> You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in years, eh?
> Yahright.
>
> Get a grip.
>
> -SSB
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
month
> > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up,
after
> > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or
more
> > people on Bases?
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

5038. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:43:18 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <v0jnv5fcbtol84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147573

must be a dealer.....trying to get sales
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0903d4$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
month
> ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up,
after
> many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or
more
> people on Bases?
> Dave!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5039. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:44:57 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <F3qdnWLliO49fZSjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 147575

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them. This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!! Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve

10 metere amps? wwhat kind are they? I will bet you dollars to donuts they are
CB amps.

5040. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:47:26 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <JcOcncXT2aGofJSjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147576

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E096696.C7A7AA92@listed.here...
>
>
> JC wrote:
> >
> > I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> > the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> > What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> > unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
> > want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
> > the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> > with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> > and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> > get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> > trash in thier eyes.
> > Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> > of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> > ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> > most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
> > act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> > monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> > levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
> > to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
> > a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> > Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> > `
>
>
>
> They're = contraction of they are
> there = opposite of here
> their = belonging to (possessive form)
>
> OK. Now that that's out of the way:
>
> I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket
> and stay the same person. No matter how sincere they are about being
> the same, they never succeed. You can be a dual operator. Many do it
> just fine. Others come back and talk bad about CB and then they are
> heard on 2 meters trashing CB big time. They wonder why the only-CBers
> don't answer them. Email and phone calls sure deflate their sails,
> soon only the hams will talk to them. Unfortunately, this tends to
> ruin the club somewhat. Attitude is everything.

>
> I remain a CBer and a CBer only. Watch the nocodes continue to
> spread disinformation. There are 2 on this NG that spew bad info.
>
> Good luck and happy hamming.

Who are the 2 No codes Scott?

Randy? and ???

5041. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:48:05 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147577

No I dont they just a garage sale thing.. I had 4 and sold all of them before ebay took it down. I am a ham and still have one I use on 10m. I had to retap the tank but it does about 150 with my 2600 president. They were little varmit xl50 amps I also had a 1.5 KW , 400 cw txmiter and a xl 150 I sold one a while back and the others floated to fellow hams.. I picked a few CBs up too.. $50 for the entire lot. This guy must have had a CB store or something. It was radio equipment and I got gaga over it as I do with anything that transmits... ... I just thought it as strange this guy really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.

Thanks and have a VERY MERRY XMAS!!

Steve

----- Original Message -----
From: "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
To: "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2002 9:47 AM
Subject: Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

> If you still have the boxes, what are they and how much?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Cowboy67
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2002 2:18 AM
> Subject: Does any one know who n8wwm is?
>
>
> > I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> > dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them
a
> > while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
> This
> > guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
> Tells
> > me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> > www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD

> > GUY..
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
>
"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aucjmg$cir2$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Plaster his name/email all over the internet. Write his name on the
bathroom
> wall as giving good blow jobs. etc...
>
> There's only one way to fight an a$$hole and that is be an A$$hole back!
LOL
>
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> > dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them
a
> > while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
> This
> > guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
> Tells
> > me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> > www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> > GUY..
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
>
>

5042. Re: SPAM: Radio Gear 4 trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:50:47 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <waidnejlYINjfJSjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147578

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021224224555.753$GN@newsreader.com...
> WMC Club <not@listed.here> wrote:
> > I've seen this SPAM a lot more then Lou Franklin's information.
> >
> I don't consider either one of those post's to be spam.

Well what you consider Spam is of no regard mopar, you need to look at the FAQ for the NG. Thats why rec.radio.swap was made to sell things. read the faq and then tell me what the originators of this group had in mind.

5043. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:53:36 UTC
From: Git Some <rcranus@hotmail.comm>
Message-ID: <MaqdnW-WYuw6f5SjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147579

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021224230046.049$b5@newsreader.com...
> "Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote:
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:26001-3E087B87-77@storefull-
> >
> > >Why does a troubled hammie like yourself overly concern himself with the
> > >personal lives of cbers?.
> >
> > I know it's a great difficulty for you to remain on topic .The topic was
> > spam, not your deflated and threatened ego. btw, you never told me what
> > happened to my email addy that you asked about ?
> > Or the freeband?
> >
> I feel extra sorry for you today,George. I hope it all works out for you.

Merry xmas to you to, don't worry about me ... Ill be ok....

5044. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 16:57:25 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E09E375.CE43166C@listed.here>
Source record: 147580

Git Some wrote:
> Who are the 2 No codes Scott?
>
> Randy? and ???

Leland and Mad Dog.

They both spew misinformation about things technical.

5045. Re: Merry Xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 17:05:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gzlO9.54447$KW2.1731754@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147566

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E09C8E7.8050908@cac.net...
>
>
> Randy wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021224223036.294$PU@newsreader.com...
> >
> >>aaronvoobner@aol.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>
> >>>Merry Xmas and Happy New Years from the entire Stewart Family to yours.
> >>>
> >>>-A
> >>>
> >>
> >>Same back to you.
> >>
> >>What about the Adair and Busch clan?
> >>
> >
> >
> > I bet they got gifts.....sniffle........
> >
> >
>
> Yeah.. but do YOU really WANT a dirty jockstrap and a 6-pack of Red
> White and Blue beer?
>
> -SSB
>

LOL

5046. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 17:09:32 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E09E64C.91A382AA@listed.here>
Source record: 147581

WMC Club wrote:
>
> I'll be out today (25th) on my 2950. I'll be upstairs, away from the
> government frequencies that are downstairs. I also stay below 28.000.
>
> Does that make you happy Jerry O.?

Due to extreme snow, I will be leaving my beloved 2950 in the house.

I have 40 channels with sideband and I'll be on them today.

5047. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 17:52:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MfmO9.51936$Nz5.1424607@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147582

"Git Some" <rcranus@hotmail.comm> wrote in message
news:JcOcncXT2aGofJSjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E096696.C7A7AA92@listed.here...
> >
> >
> > JC wrote:
> > >
> > > I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> > > the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB
frequencies.
> > > What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> > > unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why
people
> > > want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk
amongst
> > > the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> > > with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a
Ham
> > > and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> > > get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life
trailer
> > > trash in thier eyes.
> > > Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good
ammount
> > > of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> > > ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> > > most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators
that
> > > act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> > > monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> > > levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham
license
> > > to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test
in
> > > a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> > > Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> > > `
> >
> >
> >
> > They're = contraction of they are
> > there = opposite of here

> > their = belonging to (possessive form)
> >
> > OK. Now that that's out of the way:
> >
> > I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket
> > and stay the same person. No matter how sincere they are about being
> > the same, they never succeed. You can be a dual operator. Many do it
> > just fine. Others come back and talk bad about CB and then they are
> > heard on 2 meters trashing CB big time. They wonder why the only-CBers
> > don't answer them. Email and phone calls sure deflate their sails,
> > soon only the hams will talk to them. Unfortunately, this tends to
> > ruin the club somewhat. Attitude is everything.
> >
> > I remain a CBer and a CBer only. Watch the nocodes continue to
> > spread disinformation. There are 2 on this NG that spew bad info.
> >
> > Good luck and happy hamming.
>
>
> Who are the 2 No codes Scott?
>
> Randy? and ???
>
>
>
>

Check again stupid, I'm not a ham. Anyway, he meant Doug and you.

5048. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 17:55:02 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <WhmO9.150377$a8.108250@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147583

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E09E64C.91A382AA@listed.here...
>
>
> WMC Club wrote:
> >
> > I'll be out today (25th) on my 2950. I'll be upstairs, away from the
> > government frequencies that are downstairs. I also stay below 28.000.
> >
> > Does that make you happy Jerry O.?
>
>
> Due to extreme snow, I will be leaving my beloved 2950 in the house.
>
> I have 40 channels with sideband and I'll be on them today.

Just a friendly tip from one who has "been there and done that," albeit w/an
AR-3500. Grab a nice low pass filter (Bencher) and use premium quality coax.
(Bury-Flex from Davis RF) Good luck and happy holidays.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

5049. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSERCHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 18:03:44 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <ZhnO9.1257$Ze6.281666677@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147584

BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH
BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH
BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH
BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH
BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH
BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH, BLAH BLAH BLAH

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK
>

5050. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSERCHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 18:06:02 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <7knO9.1258$Fg6.281777223@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147586

You missed the part that I am a practicing homosexual who also
finds your posts erotic as heck!

keep it up, I love it!

kissess (((((((big smooch for Morphaholic)))))))

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021225102914.039$Kp@newsreader.com...
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote:
> > Chunky was so fucking ugly when it was born that it's Momma put it on
the
> > back porch for to weeks just to see if it was going to crawl or fly
> >
> LMAO!
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5051. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 18:14:56 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <usnO9.1259$yi6.281899078@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147585

Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.

That is of course, he actually is a ham which I doubt.

Wonder what callsign a CB'er gives when calling AES
or HRO? K4DUMSHIT?

"sideband" <> wrote in message

> Secondly, HAM, CB'er, it doesn't matter. Either you're an asshole, or
> you're not. Doesn't matter what your hobby is, doesn't matter whether
> you have a ticket or not. (Chuck and Doug have proved this.) If you're
> an asshole, you're an asshole.
>
> Mopar, thanks for the compliment, but the fact that I'm a HAM has
> nothing to do with it. Not to mention it being off topic. ::snicker::
>
> Have a good one.
>
> 73
>
> -SSB
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Riiight.. Caught in a lie, and now he's using this "retainer" thing to
> >>try to cover his ass.
> >>
> >>chunks, you wonder why people don't like you... there it is right there.
> >>
> >>-SSB
> >>
> >
> > He's almost a bigger asshole than N8WWM.
> >
> > It's a good thing we have cool Hams here too. (like yourself)
> >
>

5052. Re: Hey All!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 19:31:53 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JInO9.12643$p_6.1023284@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147588

Right back... have a good one.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ZBdO9.4937$Cc6.155873539@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> A very Merry Christmas & Safe & Happy New Year.
>
> Landshark
>
> I'll be busy tomorrow, 24 people coming over.
>
>

5053. Re: Last weeks Wall St. Journal article on CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:05:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k7e5ovqmih90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147589

There was an interesting article posted on our bulletin board at work (we are closing as all production is moving to China). Seems some companies have had problems. Extra molds are made in China. Suddenly, a knock off of the product appears on the marked. Same dimensions, same specs ... now the factory makes some of the stuff for the original manufacturer and some of it is made to be sold under a different name at lower prices. What goes around ....

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - anyone need some snow? Free ... just come and haul it away ;) .... 8 inches in 7 hours .... now I've shoveled again as another 2 inches have fallen ... now another 6 to 8 are predicted .....

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e090f2c$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Last week, probably between the 16th and the 20th, there was an article in
> that paper on one of the front sections of the paper (front page of a
> section) that told about what happened to a Shoe company that was making
> shoes in China (New Balence I think).
>
> Dig this article up and read it, because in it has what I had been thinking
> for years would happen--the people WITHIN the company made shoes outside the
> company's authority, and sold them FOR LESS. It said that the chinese
> courts are SLOW (opposite of what is Biblical) and that the company could do
> little about it. These lower cost shoes were being sold WorldWide ! So
> what you have are Communist Chinese THIEVES, along with Taiwan thieves, that
> are ripping off companies! It even talked about P&G soap bottles that were
> sold and bogus soap put in them! It talked about companies that stayed away
> from China, so that trade secrets were not given out so more of this doesn't
> go on! SO, DO NOT buy CB's or any electronics made in CHINA !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (Cobra, Rat Shack,) ... !
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5054. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:19:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k88oat9tjece@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147590

Yep, and not all the old timers are quite as bad as you may think. You can have a lot of fun with the no code tech ticket - consider using an internet linked repeater ... you can talk with friends all over the world at any time so long as you both are up and about. You also needn't throw away the cb gear. There are no sanity tests for either amateur or cb operators, so expect to meet a few loose cannons along the way. Say, you need any snow? Free. Just come and pick it up. Please. 8 inches this morning and I shoveled at around 11:00 AM. Just shoveled again 2 hours ago and it's coming down like crazy. Another 6 to 8 inches predicted. About this time I'd even welcome a shoot out so long as they can guarantee melting a few inches of this danged white stuff!
;)

73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
> want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
> the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> trash in thier eyes.
> Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
> act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
> to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
> a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> `
>

5055. Re: Hey All!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:21:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k8buh4ijrqfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147591

Merry Christmas right back atcha, Sharkie! If you need any snow, I have plenty for free; just come pick it up and haul it away ;)

73 and Merry Christmas & a happy new year to all
Jim

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:ZBdO9.4937$Cc6.155873539@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> A very Merry Christmas & Safe & Happy New Year.
>
> Landshark
>
> I'll be busy tomorrow, 24 people coming over.
>
>

5056. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:23:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k8g79ecjfo39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147592

Steve, I normally never ask folks to check part 97 of the FCC rules. You might check it out (or simply take my word for this). There is **no** sanity test for the amateur radio license. ;)

I think he is out on a pass from Bellevue ;)

73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve
>
>

5057. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:26:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k8k3jrr7i86d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147593

Too bad his website doesn't have a 'for sale' section. I can see it now ...
for sale, 8 pill 10 meter CB amp ... ;)

73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hSeO9.51154$Nz5.1391655@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> Yes, that's just Doug Adair, our resident misguided hammie. He's on a
> mission to rid the world of illegal CB equipment. Just post the amps in
the
> ham radio section of Ebay and you will be fine.
>
> Merry Christmas
>
>

5058. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:27:28 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0A22C0.9D3467E3@listed.here>
Source record: 147594

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> "WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E09E64C.91A382AA@listed.here...
> > >
> > >
> > > WMC Club wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'll be out today (25th) on my 2950. I'll be upstairs, away from the
> > > > government frequencies that are downstairs. I also stay below 28.000.
> > > >
> > > > Does that make you happy Jerry O.?
> > >
> > >
> > > Due to extreme snow, I will be leaving my beloved 2950 in the house.
> > >
> > > I have 40 channels with sideband and I'll be on them today.
>
> Just a friendly tip from one who has "been there and done that," albeit w/an
> AR-3500. Grab a nice low pass filter (Bencher) and use premium quality coax.
> (Bury-Flex from Davis RF) Good luck and happy holidays.
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

It matters not when one is in the mobile. Happy holidays right back.

5059. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:28:32 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0A2300.29F5EFEC@listed.here>
Source record: 147595

```
JJ wrote:
>
> WMC Club wrote:
>
> >
> > They're = contraction of they are
> > there = opposite of here
> > their = belonging to (possessive form)
> >
> > OK. Now that that's out of the way:
> >
> > I my 25 years of CBing, I have never heard one CBer get their ticket
> > and stay the same person.
>
> I my 25 years of CBing = In my 25 years of CBing.

It was 3:04am. A bed was calling my name...
```

5060. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:32:36 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0A23F4.8241374@listed.here>
Source record: 147596

\"chunks\" wrote:
>
> Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
> to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.

Pay attention, It has been posted. It's AB something.
Do your own homework. Go eat some more Christmas cookies.

5061. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSERCHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 21:33:27 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0A2427.183EFA07@listed.here>
Source record: 147597

\"chunks\" wrote:
>
> You missed the part that I am a practicing homosexual who also
> finds your posts erotic as heck!
>
> keep it up, I love it!
>
> kissess (((((((big smooch for Morphaholic)))))))

And then you blow chunks?

5062. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 22:41:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021225174113.12074.00000296@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 147598

last i knew randy wasnt a ham.....

>
>Who are the 2 No codes Scott?
>
>Randy? and ???
>
>

5063. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 22:46:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021225174650.12074.00000297@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 147599

when i bought my yaesu's they never asked.

>
>
>Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
>to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.
>
>That is of course, he actually is a ham which I doubt.

5064. Re: President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 23:52:25 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <24hk0v419gt1gf5h29otoicsddep1bskfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 147600

Please show me where you can get one for $125 ..... I'll buy them
all day long for that price......thanks and have a nice Xmas

On 25 Dec 2002 15:54:02 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo)
wrote:

>good lord man, thats double what those radios are going for.... merry xmas
>
>>
>>Prez Lincoln FS
>>
>>Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
>>Trades ??
>
>
>

5065. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 23:56:15 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212251556.33951319@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147601

"Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message
news:<v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com>...
> My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> Can some send me a website with information on how to use
> the radio? Or, explain some things about the knobs and buttons:

DIGITAL TROLL METER: S9+30

5066. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 23:56:51 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212251556.39c6d5fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147602

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.

DIGITAL TROLL METER: S9+30

5067. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-25 23:57:17 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212251557.31ff431a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147603

DIGITAL TROLL METER: S9+30

tnom@mucks.net wrote in message news:<mv9i0vc2ic1o1r7uqttqq1ij7g4la2uhbg@4ax.com>...
> Just got done installing my new RF transmitting device. How
> does it work? I'm transmitting outside of the normal CB frequencies
> and I didn't even bother with any license. This must infuriate the
> AntiKeyclown---------------> "Keyboard Clowns"
>
>
> Have a Merry X-mas .....Even the "Keyboard Clowns"

5068. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 00:01:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212251601.10c1d790@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147604

Unless they're homebrewed, they're illegal. Manufacturers are
restricted from making amps that are 10m capable out of the box, and I
don't believe one single manufactuer makes a 10m only amp. If you look
up all band HF amps, they come without 15/12/10 meters enabled, but
they will provide you with directions on getting them on those bands.
Sell 'em on Yahoo auctions. They don't care what you sell there. :)

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:<rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them. This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!! Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve

5069. Re: Just hooked up my new RF transmitting device for X-mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 00:03:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4HrO9.78885$hK4.6453070@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147605

Yes you... and about 10 million other people will be out there.
It's going to be a circus as usual.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > > > I'll be out today (25th) on my 2950.

5070. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 01:47:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ToScndpdxfpVwpejXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147606

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E08EC02.F9CB8FE5@listed.here...
> The thought is good, but the math is wrong.

Go look it up if you don't believe me. There is nothing wrong with the
math I can assure you.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5071. Re: President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 01:51:44 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <audn4f$d632$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147607

I got mine last year for $80.00

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:24hk0v419gt1gf5h29otoicsddep1bskfl@4ax.com...
> Please show me where you can get one for $125 ..... I'll buy them
> all day long for that price.....thanks and have a nice Xmas
>
> On 25 Dec 2002 15:54:02 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo)
> wrote:
>
> >good lord man, thats double what those radios are going for.... merry
xmas
> >
> >>
> >>Prez Lincoln FS
> >>
> >>Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
> >>Trades ??
> >
> >
> >
>

5072. THE "positive" gospel according to RED CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:12:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a656a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147608

Points made below each comment !
Dave!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:IXhO9.456226$WL3.124402@rwcrnsc54...

> -You kinda infer that this wouldn't happen in the US.

No, but OUR court system would STOP this kind of thievery, where
China's communist court system doesn't do much about it. (OUR meaning the
Western world).

Sorta like hiring a
> tech and he/she does cb work on the side using your equipment. It doesn't
> matter where things are made.

YES IT DOES. Do YOU sell NEW Cobra CB's--YOU support communist
China, which is NOT Christian, but persecutes Christians! The Chinese Govt.
is as wicked as HELL !

You have thieves all over the place. Doesn't
> matter what their nationality.

And a lot of them are CB shops, which gives us GOOD ones a bad name,
unfortunately!

>
> Crack the cases on that there computer your using and see if it hasn't
got

Sorry--I don't DO crack ! I can't "crack" them! I have made them,
and expressed my disgust to by brother the computer engineer, and advised
him to buy non-China made stuff instead. But sometimes we are forced to !

> foreign made parts in it.
>
> You can't afford to buy American made stuff. Most American manufactures
> can't afford not to buy the foreign made parts and accessories either.

(that's because of the Deut. 28 mess we are in now. The Government
and Fed. Reserve System has made THIS happen)!

>
> You amaze me sometimes at your proliferation of ill comments and then
infer

Yes, YOU CB Shops that sell NEW CB's made in RED Communist Murderer
China DOES made me Ill. I get ANGRY, like an Angry White man, but I don't

sin, pursuant to Scriptures.

> that you may be a Christian?

I am. I keep God's Commandments and Believe THE Gospel, and
encourage others to do so too. Pointing out wickedness and exposing SIN
does NOT make me a "lesser" Christian! It is what the Holy Spirit's JOB is
!

Look at Enron.

Mostly Liberals look @ Enron. It is just the tip of the iceberg. IF
you looked at what the Federal Reserve System does to us, you wouldn't even
open your mouth of such sins the corporations do such as enron! BIGGER
things are going on they don't want YOU to know about! So they get you to
look @ Enron, when they are doing much worse other places!

>
> If there was a little more positivity and less negativity there just may
be
> happier people. So surround yourself with positive people.

Are you part of the "positive gospel" people? I AM Positive! Don't
worry--Bee Happy IS a lie ! You need to listen to 5070 SW on Sat. PM @ 8 or
Sun. @ 10pm. Then maybe The Lord will WAKE you UP !

If it makes you
> happy not to use or buy foreign made products then throw it all out.

I didn't SAY that ! We are forced pursuant in the Deut. 28
country we are in to buy foreign items, especially in the CB world since '76
or before. We still NOW have a CHOICE to NOT buy RED CHINA CB's ! IF you
ARE an American, you WON'T buy NEW CB's made in CHINA for resale! UNIDEN is
better! The Phillipinos still love Macarthur! So do I !

> Someone else will be glad to pick it up and get the offensive little
buggers
> out of your way.

No, I have been personally responsible for diverting thousands of $$$$
away from RED CHINA (our ENEMY) by not having people buy Cobra CB's. Would
you buy North Korea CB's too?!

>
> Happy Holidays and God Bless you.
>
> Deb

Happy Saturnalia to you too; and HE DOES, because I KEEP HIS
Commandments. Remember, it's NOT "God Bless America," but AMERICA BLESS
GOD ! God cannot nor will Bless a wicked, reprobate, Jesus Christ of
Nazareth (God in the flesh) HATING America !
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5073. Re: Last weeks Wall St. Journal article on CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:16:22 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a663e_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147609

```
Jim:
The Judgment of God will HIT those places that do this (THOU {YOU} Shall NOT
STEAL) ! It amazes me how many UN-american CB shops still sell NEW CB's
made in RED CHINA ! I guess they must be all youngster whipper-snapper
rookies that DON'T KNOW History, so they are DOOMED to repeat it !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0k7e5ovqmih90@corp.supernews.com...
> There was an interesting article posted on our bulletin board at work (we
> are closing as all production is moving to China). Seems some companies
> have had problems. Extra molds are made in China. Suddenly, a knock off
of
> the product appears on the marked. Same dimensions, same specs ... now
the
> factory makes some of the stuff for the original manufacturer and some of
it
> is made to be sold under a different name at lower prices. What goes
around
> ....
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - anyone need some snow? Free ... just come and haul it away ;) .... 8
> inches in 7 hours .... now I've shoveled again as another 2 inches have
> fallen ... now another 6 to 8 are predicted .....
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e090f2c$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Last week, probably between the 16th and the 20th, there was an article
in
> > that paper on one of the front sections of the paper (front page of a
> > section) that told about what happened to a Shoe company that was making
> > shoes in China (New Balence I think).
> >
> > Dig this article up and read it, because in it has what I had been
> thinking
> > for years would happen--the people WITHIN the company made shoes outside
> the
> > company's authority, and sold them FOR LESS. It said that the chinese
> > courts are SLOW (opposite of what is Biblical) and that the company
could
> do
```

> > little about it. These lower cost shoes were being sold WorldWide ! So
> > what you have are Communist Chinese THIEVES, along with Taiwan thieves,
> that
> > are ripping off companies! It even talked about P&G soap bottles that
> were
> > sold and bogus soap put in them! It talked about companies that stayed
> away
> > from China, so that trade secrets were not given out so more of this
> doesn't
> > go on! SO, DO NOT buy CB's or any electronics made in CHINA !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (Cobra, Rat Shack,) ... !
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5074. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:16:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <14qcnYR4ZqEP-5ejXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147610

"John Rambo " <timnebo@aol.com10-107> wrote in message
news:20021224183025.23285.00000288@mb-me.aol.com...
> leland are you drunk on christmas eve?!?!?

No, but there is nothing wrong with the figures I provided. Look up in any electronics text that covers AM modulation. You'll find that the additional power required for one hundred percent sinewave modulation requires 50 percent more than the carrier power. The extra power is split evenly between the two sidebands.

Don't you think its a weird coincident that all your cheap AM CBs, with a 4 watt output, all happen to have a 2 to 2.5 watt audio rating? The audio amp is used to modulate the class "C" output stage used in those radios. The audio amp does double duty to save money. Using the figures above would require a two watt audio level modulator to supply the sideband power.

For more proof see the ARRL Handbook for Radio Communications, the 2003 edition, page 15.6 upper left hand corner. They use the exact same figures. For those who understand a bit of trig they can read the first several sections to chapter 15 that explaines what AM modulation is, and the how and why of where all the power goes. I suggest that you read it before responding.

You may not like the numbers Tim, but the theory has been around since nearly the beginning of the last century. For somebody who likes to pass themselves off as some kind of CB tech guru I'm surprised you would even question something this simple. Seems to me you don't know as much as you claim.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5075. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:20:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a6731_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147611

I have a few old ones left, some NOS, some used. E mail me direct with what
you are looking for @ truth@planetc.com
Dave!

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:rn5i0vg3qht4ufltnj8p8f1mvsjf6isge9@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 20:04:20 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> >Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
month
> >ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up,
after
> >many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or
more
> >people on Bases?
> >Dave!
> >
> >
>
> I know a local shop has been selling more, and I know a bunch of guys
> that are looking for older base radios, you know, when they were still
> made with good american quality. I miss the old days with good quality
> radios and good quality antennas unlike the crap they have these days
> for the most part.
>
> Have a safe and happy holiday
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5076. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:22:54 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a67bc_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147612

I don't sell many, and I sold a Starduster this year, and one last. That was the first ones of them I have sold in YEARS ! As far as selling a base last year, I don't think that I did !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message news:v0jnudt5o36b78@corp.supernews.com...
> bet he sells radios
> "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net...
> > You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in years, eh?
> > Yahright.
> >
> > Get a grip.
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > Dave or Debby wrote:
> > > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> > > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
> month
> > > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up,
> after
> > > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or
> more
> > > people on Bases?
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5077. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:22:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 147613

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>I just thought it as strange this guy
> really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from
his QRZ
> site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
friends
> :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
for
> me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.

Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your questions about N8 alone.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5078. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:24:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a6831_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147614

I am a CB shop, and because I am, I ALWAYS try to get sales. The nature of this post was to inform that I DID sell one, and that CB base sales seem to be increasing, from the "base" slump we have been in of the last 7 years or more!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
news:v0jnv5fcbtol84@corp.supernews.com...
> must be a dealer.....trying to get sales
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0903d4$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early 80's
> > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster a
> month
> > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking up,
> after
> > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving or
> more
> > people on Bases?
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5079. The NO CB Hambo Rambo !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:43:43 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a6c9d_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147615

GO BUY A CB, Le Land ! For without one, WHY sahould we listen to you?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from
> his QRZ
> > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
> friends
> > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
> for
> > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
>
> Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale
> of HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> questions about N8 alone.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5080. I KNOW who W8WIMP is !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 02:46:04 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a6d2a_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147616

No I don't KNOW who W8wwm is, but I DO know who W8WIMP is ! He is a
WannaBee Rambo Hambo, with a will to Rambo, Rambo, ramble, ramble on & on !
Dave!

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
This
> guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
Tells
> me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> GUY..
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5081. Re: President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 03:55:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <t4vO9.1145$tt3.278@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147617

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:24hk0v419gt1gf5h29otoicsddep1bskfl@4ax.com...
> Please show me where you can get one for $125 ..... I'll buy them
> all day long for that price.....thanks and have a nice Xmas
>
> On 25 Dec 2002 15:54:02 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-107 (John Rambo)
> wrote:
>
> >good lord man, thats double what those radios are going for.... merry
xmas

I do think your a little high, I see them used
depending on condition for between $85 to $175, so
IMHO your a little high, but hey, Best Offer?

Landshark

--
Happy New Year to All

5082. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 03:55:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <t4vO9.1146$tt3.664@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147618

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0k8g79ecjfo39@corp.supernews.com...
> Steve, I normally never ask folks to check part 97 of the FCC rules. You
> might check it out (or simply take my word for this). There is **no**
> sanity test for the amateur radio license. ;)
>
> I think he is out on a pass from Bellevue ;)
>
>
>
> 73 and Merry Christmas from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Jim is fast becoming the second coming of
Dick McCollum, great answer Jim.

Landshark

--
Happy New Year to All

5083. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 03:55:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <u4vO9.1147$tt3.115@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147619

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from
> his QRZ
> > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
> friends
> > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
> for
> > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
>
> Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale
> of HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> questions about N8 alone.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "

So what your saying is, that part 97 is the reason
that Doug is flooding the group with vulgarities, false
accusations, sexual innuendoes, spamming e mails.
Strange, I always thought he was just a troll.

Landshark

-- Happy New Year to All

5084. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:10:27 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212252010.55c08e69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147621

I LOOOOOOOVE seeing you fruity boys cry. Makes my day.

AntiKeyclowns OWN this newsgroup.

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<aucjmg$cir2$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> Plaster his name/email all over the internet. Write his name on the bathroom
> wall as giving good blow jobs. etc...
>
> There's only one way to fight an a$$hole and that is be an A$$hole back! LOL
>
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> > dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them a
> > while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
> This
> > guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
> Tells
> > me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> > www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> > GUY..
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >

5085. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:10:30 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0l04vi4geq650@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147620

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> >I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from
> his QRZ
> > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
> friends
> > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
> for
> > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
>
> Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale
> of HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> questions about N8 alone.

Don't bother explaining N8's bizarre, cowardly, whack job behavior.
..No one needs to prove the obvious.

>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

5086. Twithed hides behind ATTEMPTED anonymity....heheheh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:13:55 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212252013.43ba34c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147622

Yer momma and yer FUGGLY kid too, twithed.

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK

5087. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:16:24 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212252016.30c4acd7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147623

No, thats gayboy twithed in florida.

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<22045-3E08BBF1-503@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I have the instruction sheet to unblock the BC200XLT. It came with my
> radio when I purchased it new. Now this was back in the mid 1980s and i
> don't know if radios manufactured after that can even be modified. All I
> can say is my radio works great and picks up the full 800 MHz range. I
> am not sure what the difference is between the 245XLT and the 200XLT
> but I have to say my BC200XLT performs as good as any new scanner I have
> tried recently. If you feel the modification sheet for my radio
> (BC200XLT) could help you let me know and I will fax it to you, Teddy
> in Vermont

5088. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT OK THERE JOHNNY LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:17:37 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212252017.1721a27d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147624

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E08BFCD-493@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> So now this is also your duty there DICK KLANSMAN. GO do what you do
> best , harassing and shooting people while you patrol the roads "to make
> us safe" . Thank you but I will take my own safety of myself and family
> as my own personal responsibility. I was not aware you are now
> responsible for enforcing F.C.C. rules. Do what you do best. Eat your
> donuts and take your frustrations out on the kids you went to high
> school with who used to stick your head in the urinal, flush it then
> kick your ass. It just scares me now that you have a gun. Look out world
> another geek out for revenge. Do you real duty and look
> for some real crime Dick Tracy, seee.
> Go to the news group where you belong. Alt. Discuss. Donuts. Frosted or
> Sprinkles

And you belong where you came from, twithed. That happenss to be a
glory hole in a gay porno shop.

5089. Re: CHARITY BENEFIT FOR Rugerp85 queerboy!(YOU FORGOT ONE THING)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:18:54 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212252018.71fda30f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147625

Twithed, nice try. Ya thought by posting hostile to your girlfriend ruger ya would throw us off the trail. No one is anonymous here, dum dum. Now hurry back to yer glory hole.

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message news:<26007-3E08C144-495@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Ruger85 queer boy needs some GERBILS !

5090. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:42:17 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <JMvO9.902$tc1.539172058@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147626

Well.. Ill say this.. These amp I had were so old I doubt if any 97 rules applied then.. I would say these were pre 40 channel and a couple of them had 1978 and 1972 news papers around the tubes. But who knows.. NOT ME.. One time deal and they are gone now..

Thanks for the input..

Steve

PS.. Why the heck do hams even get on this board? Don't they have their own message boards?? Are they like the NATO of the News Groups and the air waves?? I would think they would be listening to the air waves and checking message boards for the TERRORISTS Like I told this N8WWM guy.. '' With our nation on the brink of war.. Why are you worried about some CB amp? Focus your tome on something really productive. If the FCC and ARRL really gave a crap they would never had released these 2m 5 wpm BASICALLY ANAL CBers on the HF bands.. AND hell we are all in a world of hurt if these guys that cant even pass 5wpm are running around policing ebay , News Groups and the airwaves. They are like small city cops.. NOT GOOD ENOUGH FOR THE BIG CITY so THEY FEEL THEY HAVE TO PUT THE ATTITUDE ON FOR THE PIG FARMER and MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ANYONE THEY COME IN CONTACT WITH... This N8WWM guy has been a TECH for nearly 10 years. Obviously a few bricks shy of a full load. JUST MY OPINION THOUGH!!

<vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1996cb6d.0212251601.10c1d790@posting.google.com...
> Unless they're homebrewed, they're illegal. Manufacturers are
> restricted from making amps that are 10m capable out of the box, and I
> don't believe one single manufactuer makes a 10m only amp. If you look
> up all band HF amps, they come without 15/12/10 meters enabled, but
> they will provide you with directions on getting them on those bands.
> Sell 'em on Yahoo auctions. They don't care what you sell there. :)
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:<rRdO9.773$zK6.398928961@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>...
> > I had this guy play some sort of game with me on ebay. Really a strange
> > dude. I bought some little 10m amps at a garage sale and have had them
a
> > while. I thought I would put them on ebay. Just to get rid of them.
This
> > guy turns me in. THEN HE SENDS ME SOME OFF THE WALL CHILDISH EMAIL!!
Tells
> > me he is turning me in to the FCC. Look at his call sign in the
> > www.qrz.com Look at his little 706 and the weird keyclown stuff. WEIRD
> > GUY..

> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Steve

5091. Anti-key clowns... This ones for you...FA: EBAY: CB AMPLIFIERS for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:56:12 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aue1ub$deq4$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147627

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=19257&item=2901193931

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=11837&item=2901363330

5092. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 04:57:23 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ks2l0vs4an7v1s5qc9tp9h6ulak22igbsd@4ax.com>
Source record: 147628

> They are like small city cops..

I think you just answered your original question........n8wwm is
this newsgroups Barney Fife.

5093. Re: Anti-key clowns... This ones for you...FA: EBAY: CB AMPLIFIERS for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 05:35:20 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0A9518.D5FB3289@listed.here>
Source record: 147629

Don't delay! Order today!

Cowboy67 wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=19257&item=2901193931
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=11837&item=2901363330

5094. Re: UNBLOCKING the 245XLT OK THERE JOHNNY LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTICIPANT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 06:17:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <V9xO9.55526$Nz5.1503172@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147630

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212252017.1721a27d@posting.google.com...
> johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E08BFCD-493@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > So now this is also your duty there DICK KLANSMAN. GO do what you do
> > best , harassing and shooting people while you patrol the roads "to make
> > us safe" . Thank you but I will take my own safety of myself and family
> > as my own personal responsibility. I was not aware you are now
> > responsible for enforcing F.C.C. rules. Do what you do best. Eat your
> > donuts and take your frustrations out on the kids you went to high
> > school with who used to stick your head in the urinal, flush it then
> > kick your ass. It just scares me now that you have a gun. Look out world
> > another geek out for revenge. Do you real duty and look
> > for some real crime Dick Tracy, seee.
> > Go to the news group where you belong. Alt. Discuss. Donuts. Frosted or
> > Sprinkles
>
> And you belong where you came from, twithed. That happenss to be a
> glory hole in a gay porno shop.

Sorry for my ignorance, but what the hell is a "glory hole"?

5095. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 08:45:05 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0lgcine1dhe0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147631

Aren't you a self proclaimed ham since you have such a vendetta against
those who use the equipment. And if so, then your lack of call letters being
used, isn't that just as hypocritical

""chunks"" <nothanks@seeya.bye> wrote in message
news:usnO9.1259$yi6.281899078@news.inreach.com...
> Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
> to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.
>
> That is of course, he actually is a ham which I doubt.
>
>
> Wonder what callsign a CB'er gives when calling AES
> or HRO? K4DUMSHIT?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "sideband" <> wrote in message
>
>
> > Secondly, HAM, CB'er, it doesn't matter. Either you're an asshole, or
> > you're not. Doesn't matter what your hobby is, doesn't matter whether
> > you have a ticket or not. (Chuck and Doug have proved this.) If you're
> > an asshole, you're an asshole.
> >
> > Mopar, thanks for the compliment, but the fact that I'm a HAM has
> > nothing to do with it. Not to mention it being off topic. ::snicker::
> >
> > Have a good one.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Riiight.. Caught in a lie, and now he's using this "retainer" thing to
> > >>try to cover his ass.

> > >>
> > >>chunks, you wonder why people don't like you... there it is right
there.
> > >>
> > >>-SSB
> > >>
> > >
> > > He's almost a bigger asshole than N8WWM.
> > >
> > > It's a good thing we have cool Hams here too. (like yourself)
> > >
> >
>
>

5096. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 08:46:00 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0lge9tapsis1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147632

Is it trolling when someone is trying to ask a legitimate question? Or do you really know if he is or isnt trying to ask a legitimate question?

<vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1996cb6d.0212251556.33951319@posting.google.com...
> "Bob Beaulieu" <bobb@metroed.net> wrote in message news:<v0alns62t8st9d@corp.supernews.com>...
> > My brother gave me a CB radio (rci-2950), so I can install it
> > in my RV. Now that its installed, I'd like to be able to use it.
> > Can some send me a website with information on how to use
> > the radio? Or, explain some things about the knobs and buttons:
>
> DIGITAL TROLL METER: S9+30

5097. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 11:43:22 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021226064322.06707.00000244@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 147633

>Subject: Re: I have no friends here
>From: "\"chunks\"" nothanks@seeya.bye
>Date: 12/24/02 2:52 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <4O3O9.1216$nR3.271786056@news.inreach.com>
>
>
>"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
>>
>> Damn, Dude, your connection sucks.
>>
>> Happy Holidaze.
>
>Hey, It's free. Part of my package of feebees I got when I retired
>from the adult film business. (Thanks to Marty Sharp and Associates)
>

He was a "fluffer".
Train

5098. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 12:09:22 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <lkCO9.287$od7.9475@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 147634

555 = International call frequency for freebanders, they do it and enjoy it

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
> want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
> the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
> with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> trash in thier eyes.
> Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
> most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
> act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
> monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
> to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
> a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
> Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
> `
>

5099. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 12:38:04 UTC
From: Joe <Joe234@ibm.com>
Message-ID: <MKCO9.117745$qF3.8830@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 147635

[No readable article body recovered]

5100. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:01:03 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0AFD8F.4ADB1577@listed.here>
Source record: 147638

Joe wrote:

You're off frequency and your modulation is low.

5101. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSER CHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:04:41 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212260504.1ec588a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147636

Anger management...

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK

5102. Re: BS Meter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:07:05 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212260507.de0aac2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147637

Can't get a reading... the needle is broken off.

5103. Antenna mounting on a truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:14:23 UTC
From: Tricky06 <tricky06@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0m3jpcui69313@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147645

I'm looking to use a fender mount near my AM/FM antenna. Will this cause any problems??

Thanks.

5104. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:14:54 UTC
From: Joe <Joe234@ibm.com>
Message-ID: <ihDO9.513166$QZ.75935@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147639

LOL.....Thanks.....I'll look into that!!!

"Joe" <Joe234@ibm.com> wrote in message
news:MKCO9.117745$qF3.8830@sccrnsc04...
>
>
>
>
>

5105. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:26:51 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <vsDO9.1807$T5.756300@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147640

I've sold allot of bases this year. mostly used stuff.... yes, I see it
coming back..... bout time..

drc

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0a67bc_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I don't sell many, and I sold a Starduster this year, and one last. That
> was the first ones of them I have sold in YEARS ! As far as selling a
base
> last year, I don't think that I did !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
> news:v0jnudt5o36b78@corp.supernews.com...
> > bet he sells radios
> > "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net...
> > > You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in years, eh?
> > > Yahright.
> > >
> > > Get a grip.
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > Dave or Debby wrote:
> > > > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early
> 80's
> > > > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a Starduster
a
> > month
> > > > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking
up,
> > after
> > > > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales improving
> or
> > more
> > > > people on Bases?
> > > > Dave!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5106. Re: President Lincoln FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:32:43 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <%xDO9.1808$T5.755863@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147641

is it the older one with the obsolete final (no sub) or is it the newer one
with a 455. ??

drc

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:vtij0vob6t7im82mdu87l8lse6mmi66bh0@4ax.com...
> Prez Lincoln FS
>
> Nice Ten meter rig , HR2510's step up.....next to Mint $250/BO
> Trades ??

5107. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:35:57 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <1BDO9.1809$T5.757185@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147642

> >
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> > "I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> > if you see me
> > running
> > try to keep up "
>

really it was in the movie "Sum of all Fears"

drc

5108. Re: OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 13:59:45 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <ep2m0vkna6ao6sm543q43umq82dcof898b@4ax.com>
Source record: 147643

Sorry

did not check the header list before sending

james

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 18:44:41 -0500, WMC Club <not@listed.here> wrote:

>Nice to see you get all worked up over a chain-type forwarded
>email. I just removed 100 email addys and posted it here
>instead of emailing it to everyone.
>
>james wrote:
>>
>> Oh one of little knowledge and great ignorance:
>>
>> It is admirable that the research you and your fellow individuals have
>> done in this work. Have you failed to investigate the intertwineing of
>> corporate ownership and who owns who? August 11-1998 BP and Amoco
>> merged their operations together. BP also is the parent company of
>> ARCO, Castrol Oil, Buy the way do you know who Amoco Oil is or was. It
>> was a company started by some guy named John D Rockefeller. Standard
>> Oil come to mind? Well BP owns Standard Oil Lock Stock and Barrel.
>> While BP no longer drills or pumps oil in teh middle east,it's
>> beginning were is a little country back in1901 called Persia. Now for
>> those of you who failed geography and history, Persia's modern name is
>> IRAN. Beside it was not until the late 80's that BP divested itself of
>> Iranian Oil.
>>
>> I could go on and on. I found this info in just an matter of ten
>> minutes on the internet. Just researching BP's webpage. Who knows what
>> I find if I look at other oil companies. Oh by the way, these
>> companies aer also into petrochemicals. These are used to make
>> medicines, clothing, lubricants, and plastics. Do you plan to boycott
>> these? One more note. There has been no new refinery built in the US
>> for 25 years. In the US there is now more importation of already
>> refiined gasoline. Your post does not reflect who imports already
>> refined gasoline or partially refined oil products. It deal strictly
>> with crude oil.
>>
>> The only company that might not be buying any products from the middle
>> east would be Citgo. They are solely owned by the government of
>> Venezuela. (PDVSA). Hess claims not to but they get oil from
>> Indonesia. Oh buy the way Indonessia has the largest population of
>> Moslems outside the middle east. And they do not like us!

>>
>> So who do yo buy your clothes from?
>> Which brand of oil do you putin your car?
>> Do you buy your sodas in plastic bottles?
>> How about bottled water?
>> How about plastic containers for you liver pills or your high blood
>> pressure pills?
>>
>> I could go on and on. If you really want to boycot the Arabs then do
>> some more research and don't be so high and mighty. Come back and tell
>> me who profits fromarab Oil. I think wou will find not only the oil
>> companies, but Dupont, GE, Dow Chemical, Ford, GM, and gosh the list
>> goes on and on. Do you plan to boycott these?
>>
>> james
>>
>> On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 17:38:59 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
>>
>> >Gas companies
>> >
>> >Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money
>> >into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that
>> >don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more
>> >frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am
>> >sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family,
>> >and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know
>> >which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.
>> >
>> >Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
>> >Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
>> >Chevron/Texaco.......144,332,000 barrels
>> >Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
>> >Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
>> >Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels
>> >If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18
>> >BILLION!
>> >
>> >Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern
>> >oil:
>> >Citgo 0 barrels
>> >Sunoco 0 barrels
>> >Conoco 0 barrels
>> >Sinclair 0 barrels
>> >BP/Phillips 0 barrels
>> >Hess 0 barrels
>> >All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and
>> >can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required
>> >to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing.
>> >They report on a monthly basis.
>> >

>> >Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying
>> >for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach
>> >literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.
>> >
>> >Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain
>> >how simple it is to reach millions of people.
>> >
>> >I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send
>> >it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at
>> >least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message
>> >reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over THREE
>> >
>> >MILLION consumers!
>> >
>> >If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten
>> >friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it
>> >goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION
>> >PEOPLE.
>> >
>> >GOD BLESS AMERICA!!

5109. Re: OT - Gasoline Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 14:14:54 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <sq2m0vc3b68g15r97o4i4cp7m3t674ch8f@4ax.com>
Source record: 147644

Oh thou high and mighty

it was not about Arab Christians or Christians or Arabs. It was just
about gthe facts posted in the original post. IF one rally wants to
boycott Arab oil one needs to think of where almost half the barrel of
oil goes to. Not gasoline! At best a 55 gallon barrel of crude oil
will produce 30 to 39 gallons of gasoline. Some may only produce as
much as 20 gallons. The rest goes for the making of petro chemical
products such as plastic food containers, nylon hose and clothing
products, paint thinners, medical components, and many others. So to
do a decent boycott of Arab oil,one must not just include gasoline.
Gasoline today is only about half of the profits of the oil company.

james

On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 19:45:09 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>We ALWAYS get "worked up" over false propaganda against our Arab Christian
>friends! Is yo going to boycott Isreal too? !
>Dave!!!
>
>"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
>news:3E079FE9.72CC21AB@listed.here...
>> Nice to see you get all worked up over a chain-type forwarded
>> email. I just removed 100 email addys and posted it here
>> instead of emailing it to everyone.
>>
>> james wrote:
>> >
>> > Oh one of little knowledge and great ignorance:
>> >
>> > It is admirable that the research you and your fellow individuals have
>> > done in this work. Have you failed to investigate the intertwineing of
>> > corporate ownership and who owns who? August 11-1998 BP and Amoco
>> > merged their operations together. BP also is the parent company of
>> > ARCO, Castrol Oil, Buy the way do you know who Amoco Oil is or was. It
>> > was a company started by some guy named John D Rockefeller. Standard
>> > Oil come to mind? Well BP owns Standard Oil Lock Stock and Barrel.
>> > While BP no longer drills or pumps oil in teh middle east,it's
>> > beginning were is a little country back in1901 called Persia. Now for
>> > those of you who failed geography and history, Persia's modern name is
>> > IRAN. Beside it was not until the late 80's that BP divested itself of
>> > Iranian Oil.
>> >

>> > I could go on and on. I found this info in just an matter of ten
>> > minutes on the internet. Just researching BP's webpage. Who knows what
>> > I find if I look at other oil companies. Oh by the way, these
>> > companies aer also into petrochemicals. These are used to make
>> > medicines, clothing, lubricants, and plastics. Do you plan to boycott
>> > these? One more note. There has been no new refinery built in the US
>> > for 25 years. In the US there is now more importation of already
>> > refiined gasoline. Your post does not reflect who imports already
>> > refined gasoline or partially refined oil products. It deal strictly
>> > with crude oil.
>> >
>> > The only company that might not be buying any products from the middle
>> > east would be Citgo. They are solely owned by the government of
>> > Venezuela. (PDVSA). Hess claims not to but they get oil from
>> > Indonesia. Oh buy the way Indonessia has the largest population of
>> > Moslems outside the middle east. And they do not like us!
>> >
>> > So who do yo buy your clothes from?
>> > Which brand of oil do you putin your car?
>> > Do you buy your sodas in plastic bottles?
>> > How about bottled water?
>> > How about plastic containers for you liver pills or your high blood
>> > pressure pills?
>> >
>> > I could go on and on. If you really want to boycot the Arabs then do
>> > some more research and don't be so high and mighty. Come back and tell
>> > me who profits fromarab Oil. I think wou will find not only the oil
>> > companies, but Dupont, GE, Dow Chemical, Ford, GM, and gosh the list
>> > goes on and on. Do you plan to boycott these?
>> >
>> > james
>> >
>> > On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 17:38:59 -0500, WMaC <not@listed.here> wrote:
>> >
>> > >Gas companies
>> > >
>> > >Every time you fill up the car, you can avoid putting more money
>> > >into the coffers of the Middle East. Just buy from gas companies that
>> > >don't import their oil from the Middle East. Nothing is more
>> > >frustrating than the feeling that every time I fill-up the tank, I am
>> > >sending my money to people who are trying to kill me, my family,
>> > >and my friends. I thought it might be interesting for you to know
>> > >which oil companies are the best to buy gas from.
>> > >
>> > >Major companies that import Middle Eastern oil
>> > >Shell..... 205,742,000 barrels
>> > >Chevron/Texaco.......144,332,000 barrels
>> > >Exxon/Mobil..... 130,082,000 barrels
>> > >Marathon..... 117,740,000 barrels
>> > >Amoco.....62,231,000 barrels

>> > >If you do the math at $30/barrel, these imports amount to over $18
>> > >BILLION!
>> > >
>> > >Here are some large companies that do not import Middle Eastern
>> > >oil:
>> > >Citgo 0 barrels
>> > >Sunoco 0 barrels
>> > >Conoco 0 barrels
>> > >Sinclair 0 barrels
>> > >BP/Phillips 0 barrels
>> > >Hess 0 barrels
>> > >All of this information is available from the Department of Energy and
>> > >can be easily documented. Refineries located in the U.S. are required
>> > >to state where they get their oil and how much they are importing.
>> > >They report on a monthly basis.
>> > >
>> > >Keep this list in your car; share it with friends. Stop paying
>> > >for terrorism..... But to have an impact, we need to reach
>> > >literally millions of gas buyers. It's really simple to do.
>> > >
>> > >Now, don't wimp out at this point...keep reading and I'll explain
>> > >how simple it is to reach millions of people.
>> > >
>> > >I'm sending this note to about thirty people. If each of you send
>> > >it to at least ten more (30 x 10 = 300)... and those 300 send it to at
>> > >least ten more (300 x 10 = 3,000) and so on, by the time the message
>> > >reaches the sixth generation of people, we will have reached over
>THREE
>> > >
>> > >MILLION consumers!
>> > >
>> > >If those three million get excited and pass this on to ten
>> > >friends each, then 30 million people will have been contacted! If it
>> > >goes one level further, you guessed it..... THREE HUNDRED MILLION
>> > >PEOPLE.
>> > >
>> > >GOD BLESS AMERICA!!
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5110. WTB CPI 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 15:08:01 UTC
From: "Tim J. Neff" <computermagician@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IXEO9.162$aD3.23957@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147646

Anyone know of a CPI 2000 system for sale?

5111. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 15:18:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29126-3E0B1DD8-938@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147648

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
I just thought it as strange this guy
really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
:-)...
Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for me...
Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
--
Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
questions about N8 alone.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
--

Ha! Lelnad, no section concerning the FCC answers for N8 the potty
mouth. But the line uttered below answers all the questions anyone may
ever have concerning the troublemaing lids on this group and you're at
the top. Back under your bridge. And stop drinking that cheap liquor and
trying to key....you're embarrassing the entire state of Michigan.
--

"Aaron H. Voobner"
If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A

5112. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 15:25:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147649

From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
I just thought it as strange this guy
really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
:-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for
me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
--
Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
questions about N8 alone.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
"I'm with stupid"
--
> So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
>reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.

It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable and
claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.

> Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> Landshark
>--

Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5113. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 15:30:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29126-3E0B2087-939@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147647

From: nico'theday@egroeg.comm (Barking Pumpkin)
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
I just thought it as strange this guy
really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
:-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for
me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
--
Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
questions about N8 alone.
-
Don't bother explaining N8's bizarre, cowardly, whack job behavior. ..No
one needs to prove the obvious.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a
 bomb
 technician
if you see me
running
    try to keep up "
--
The announced rec.radio.cb troubled troll posse of Gilliland, KC8LDO,
N3CVJ, N8WWM and Georgie have a long history of running to each others
support..right or wrong..and attacking those who would hold an opposing
view of each other...like flies to sh*t, they swarm when one of their
own begins to smell.

5114. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 15:44:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29126-3E0B23F1-940@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147650

From: SteveJTA@SWBell.net (Steve)
Well.. Ill say this.. These amp I had were so old I doubt if any 97
rules applied then.. I would say these were pre 40 channel and a couple
of them had 1978 and 1972 news papers around the tubes. But who knows..
NOT ME.. One time deal and they are gone now..
Thanks for the input..
Steve
PS.. Why the heck do hams even get on this board? Don't they have their
own message boards?? Are they like the NATO of the News Groups and the
air waves?? I would think they would be listening to the air waves and
checking message boards for the TERRORISTS Like I told this N8WWM guy..
''

--

(chuckle)..These you speak of are part of N8's "fun". Several, like N8,
Lelnad, Hall and Gilliland come here because they were blacklisted and
rejected by hammies,,,,it is apparently and painfully obvious *why* this
occurred to all but themselves.
A few, like N8 and Lelnad, had their clocks cleaned a few years ago so
bad by a couple of cbers in here that they were scarred for life with a
damaged ego and have actually pledged to remain here and share with the
world their lack of intellect. The embarrassment felt by them has lasted
a little over 2 years. What you see now is the result of what they have
been reduced to...mere leftovers and fodder to enjoy or not whenever we
choose..the choice is clearly ours and has been for quite some time.

--
>With our nation on the brink of war.. Why are >you worried about some
CB amp? Focus >your tome on something really productive.

To Lelnad and N8, this IS the extent of their production. They were
embarrassed so bad by hammies and bers alike, cbers are ALL they think
of. Couple this with the fact that Lelnad claims to not only not use a
cb but not own one as well, and the sheer importance he lends to us in
the scope of his pathetic and mundane life is illustrated.

>If the FCC and ARRL really gave a crap they >would never had released
these 2m 5 wpm >BASICALLY ANAL CBers on the HF bands..

The FCC doesn't care. Riley himself said these type are actually a
larger problem than
many of the violators..

>AND hell we are all in a world of hurt if these >guys that cant even
pass 5wpm are running >around policing ebay , News Groups and the

>airwaves. They are like small city cops.. NOT >GOOD ENOUGH FOR THE BIG CITY so >THEY FEEL THEY HAVE TO PUT THE >ATTITUDE ON FOR THE PIG FARMER and >MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ANYONE >THEY COME IN CONTACT WITH...

BINGO...they were black-balled and rejected by the hammies turning their malice on others. It temporarily feeds their damaged egos to spew venom toward another unprovoked.

>This N8WWM guy has been a TECH for >nearly 10 years.

A "lifer" tech. Dogie couldn't even pass that test again if he had to.

>Obviously a few bricks shy of a full load. JUST >MY OPINION THOUGH!!

Didn't take you long.,,but then again, it ain't hard to distinguish those that walk on all fours.

5115. Re: dogie hides behind ATTEMPTED anonymity....heheheh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 16:52:30 UTC
From: deckthehall@webtv.com
Message-ID: <dc1baa4a.0212260852.35b6fb61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147651

rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote in message news:<cfe28a2b.0212252013.43ba34c9@posting.google.com>...
> Yer momma and yer FUGGLY kid too, twithed. > > johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message news:<26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> > YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> > SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> > WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> > VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> > THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> > WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> > FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> > CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> > THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> > REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> > AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> > WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK

>--You try too hard, chickenhawk.

5116. Re: Antenna mounting on a truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 17:08:43 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <aufd520jji@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147652

"Tricky06" <tricky06@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v0m3jpcui69313@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm looking to use a fender mount near my AM/FM antenna. Will this cause
any
> problems??
>
> Thanks.
>

Nope. Done it many times without any problems.
Lip mount or through the metal type?
with the latter, you can use heavier antennas.
if the former, you may want to buy a small spring mount for the antenna.
and if you go into car washes, they may have you remove it.

5117. Re: Anti-key clowns... This ones for you...FA: EBAY: CB AMPLIFIERS for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 17:09:31 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <aufd6j0jna@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147653

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aue1ub$deq4$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=19257&item=2901193931
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=11837&item=2901363330
>

No "glow in the dark" ones?

5118. Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 18:14:00 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <IFHO9.346167$GR5.105176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147654
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf

Man, Do you know what my Uniden rep just told me?

Uniden is made in China and Japan. More China. Darn.

I guess they are coming from the same plant as Cobra. Darn.

No wonder the parts inside them look alike. Darn. Now what are we going to sell.

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf]

5119. Re: Antenna mounting on a truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 18:35:54 UTC
From: Tricky06 <tricky06@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0mmdr7rpst610@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147657

Thanks again.

5120. More of Twitheds poodle-like yapping<heheheheh>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 19:22:48 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147655

Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for
CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.

There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change
that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?

Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
waitin'.

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:9jl09.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> I just thought it as strange this guy
> really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
> site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
> :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for
> me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> --
> Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
> HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> questions about N8 alone.
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> "I'm with stupid"
> --
> > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
> accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
>
>
> It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable and
> claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
>
>
> > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > Landshark
> >--

>
>
> Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5121. Almost 2003, keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 19:29:05 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212261129.40453c20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147656

Happy new year keyclowns! Your Masters the anti boys are certainly going to have a happy one! We love ratting out auctions and pissing of you pink muffin boys.

The cool part is there is nada, zip, zilch, zero, ought, what the little boy shot at that you can do about it except get on here and cry and toss insults at your Lords and Masters the anti-boys.

Twithed has the right attitude. He goes to the truckstop glory hole every day and just does his thing. He KNOWS he is whipped when he faces the might of the anti-boys.

We OWN this NG.

5122. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 19:34:54 UTC
From: KF4MQA <KF4MQA@Buggs.net>
Message-ID: <VIIO9.114$iE4.8894@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 147658

To the best of my knowledge what I am going to say is true, and forgive me if I am wrong, but:

1- The RCI-2950 is an Amateur radio, not a CB.
2- If it receives CB frequencies it has been modified and is illegal to operate on CB because of power output
3- If you operate it on any frequency other than the Amateur frequencies that it was originally intended to use and you do not have an amateur license, you are violating the law
4- If caught, you can be fined and any fine is too much to pay for being uninformed

If all you want is a standard CB, you can find them easily
If you want an export type CB, they are also easy to find but illegal to operate. You can own them, but you're not supposed to use them, but as the old saying goes.. "A lot fo people are doing it"
If you are a CBer who wants to move into the Amateur radio world, I wish you the best because I started in CB when I was 13 with an old Radio Shack base unit and after many years of putting it off for various reason I finally got my Ham ticket

73's
KF4MQA

5123. Re: SPAM: Radio Gear 4 trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 19:39:25 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B5AC8.2090306@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147659

Wine Wine Wine Do ypou need alittle cheese with that wine? :-D There is always one idoit! Hey get some I think you need to get some! Lighten up!
Oris

Git Some wrote:

><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021224224555.753$GN@newsreader.com...
>
>
>>WMC Club <not@listed.here> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I've seen this SPAM a lot more then Lou Franklin's information.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>I don't consider either one of those post's to be spam.
>>
>>
>
>Well what you consider Spam is of no regard mopar, you need to look at the FAQ
>for the NG. Thats why rec.radio.swap was made to sell things. read the faq and
>then tell me what the originators of this group had in mind.
>
>
>
>

5124. Radio gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 19:40:30 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B5B09.5040903@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147660

Hello
I have a FT 920 with the AM filter and FM board. The FT 920 is 100 watts HF + 6 Meters. It has a Auto tuner and the MC 100 Desk Mic. In the deal you will get the technical supplement too (AKA Service manual), as well as the owners manual. I also Have the 3 kW Roller Inductor Antenna Tuner. They are in good shape I will trade both for a FT 990 or a FT 1000. I have been using the FT 920 as a backup rig. Drop me a line so we can work out a deal.
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Icom ICR71A Shortwave receiver that I will trade for a working DX 100 and SX 300 or Hamuland HQ 110. I'm looking for a good AM Ham station. I will trade this Receiver for a good working AM station. I want both transmitterwith at least 100 watts out and receiver. I hope to find something to work with my Audio rack.
I also have a Henry Amp 2 KD 5 Desktop, (It needs a new Plate amp meter) two 3-500s 100 in will give you 1250 out, New Caps, that I will trade for a Globe King or full legal output Ham band AM transmitter. this is a pickup trade only. I will be willing to travel 100 miles from Athens GA.
I also have a Hallicrafters S 38 C Shortwave receiver. Its in great shape. It works but I have no idea what its worth. It is a old old receiver. (Make Offer)
I have a MFJ Versa Tuner II and a Roadtalker 40-channel sideband CB radio that somebody converted to 10 meters. It works quite well. I talked to a guy in South America on it. (Make Offer)
I also have a CBS 1000 transceiver. 25 MHz to 29.795 MHz. In 10 kHz steps. I got it at a hamfest in Georgia. I'll trade it for a SX 300 or NC 300 HQ 110 HQ 170 HQ 200 or similar HF receiver.
I have a Kenwood TM-2530A that I used as a 2 meter packet station. It needs a Mic and the light that lights up the liquid quartz dial. The radio works fine and is in good shape. I looking for a good AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TR 7850 This radio I used as the BBS access on 2 meters. It needs a Mic and needs a new lithium battery backup. I turn the radio off and back on it forgets where it was and defaults to 144.000Mhz. Other than that the radio works fine. I want a working AM transmitter.
I have a Kenwood TM 201a. I used this 2 meter radio as sat transmitter. I worked Mirror before it came down. It Needs allot of work. It needs a dial. The Freq. read out is all screwed up. This is a fixerupper. It receives fine and transmits fine. It needs a mic too I will though this in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs
I have a Versa Tuner II 949c and a Palomar PT 340 tuner tuner that I would through in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs. I also Have a Kenwood KDC 1011 mobile CD player that has the plugs set up for a the older fords. I will through it in too with either one of the above 2

meter rigs.
I also have a Behringer Ultra Graph Pro GEQ 3102 31 band equalizer that
I will through it in too with either one of the above 2 meter rigs.
Hey thanks for reading
Happy Holidays
73 Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

5125. Re: Almost 2003, keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 20:48:59 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147661

This post is off topic and contains foul language.
Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !

P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in the display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting on topic, of course

5126. Hey Little Kiddie Lover in Toledo , Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 21:42:12 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <fntm0vc8llf0pv0hp86u4vgl58i5hovaju@4ax.com>
Source record: 147662

now why would you wanna look at this , guilty feelings do you,DOUG?
Should have thought about all that before you went from sucking off
truck drivers to molesting little children you idiot.....your
time is gonna come...he he LOL

5127. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 21:50:25 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B797B.4030208@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147664

Damn! Sorry I missed a chance at them! I think Cb band should go back to the hams and the truckers should move to FRS and all non licensed folks that are left on 11-meters should be allowed to use their CB radios as a Noclass ham ticket. Thus the 150 mile rule would be history and type acceptance could be thrown out the window! and we would have added over 4 million people to the ranks of amateur radio. Wouldn't it be nice to call how bout it skipland and it be legal?
That is my opinion!
happy new year
Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

Twistedhed wrote:

>From: SteveJTA@SWBell.net (Steve)
>Well.. Ill say this.. These amp I had were so old I doubt if any 97
>rules applied then.. I would say these were pre 40 channel and a couple
>of them had 1978 and 1972 news papers around the tubes. But who knows..
>NOT ME.. One time deal and they are gone now..
>Thanks for the input..
>Steve
>PS.. Why the heck do hams even get on this board? Don't they have their
>own message boards?? Are they like the NATO of the News Groups and the
>air waves?? I would think they would be listening to the air waves and
>checking message boards for the TERRORISTS Like I told this N8WWM guy..
>''
>
>
>--
>
>
>(chuckle)..These you speak of are part of N8's "fun". Several, like N8,
>Lelnad, Hall and Gilliland come here because they were blacklisted and
>rejected by hammies,,,,it is apparently and painfully obvious *why* this
>occurred to all but themselves.
>A few, like N8 and Lelnad, had their clocks cleaned a few years ago so
>bad by a couple of cbers in here that they were scarred for life with a
>damaged ego and have actually pledged to remain here and share with the
>world their lack of intellect. The embarrassment felt by them has lasted
>a little over 2 years. What you see now is the result of what they have
>been reduced to...mere leftovers and fodder to enjoy or not whenever we
>choose..the choice is clearly ours and has been for quite some time.
>
>--
>

>
>>With our nation on the brink of war.. Why are >you worried about some
>>
>>
>CB amp? Focus >your tome on something really productive.
>
>
>
>To Lelnad and N8, this IS the extent of their production. They were
>embarrassed so bad by hammies and bers alike, cbers are ALL they think
>of. Couple this with the fact that Lelnad claims to not only not use a
>cb but not own one as well, and the sheer importance he lends to us in
>the scope of his pathetic and mundane life is illustrated.
>
>
>
>
>
>>If the FCC and ARRL really gave a crap they >would never had released
>>
>>
>these 2m 5 wpm >BASICALLY ANAL CBers on the HF bands..
>
>
>
>The FCC doesn't care. Riley himself said these type are actually a
>larger problem than
>many of the violators..
>
>
>
>
>
>>AND hell we are all in a world of hurt if these >guys that cant even
>>
>>
>pass 5wpm are running >around policing ebay , News Groups and the
>
>
>>airwaves. They are like small city cops.. NOT >GOOD ENOUGH FOR THE BIG
>>
>>
>CITY so >THEY FEEL THEY HAVE TO PUT THE >ATTITUDE ON FOR THE PIG FARMER
>and >MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ANYONE >THEY COME IN CONTACT WITH...
>
>
>
>BINGO...they were black-balled and rejected by the hammies turning their
>malice on others. It temporarily feeds their damaged egos to spew venom
>toward another unprovoked.

>
>
>
>
>>This N8WWM guy has been a TECH for >nearly 10 years.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>A "lifer" tech. Dogie couldn't even pass that test again if he had to.
>
>
>
>
>>Obviously a few bricks shy of a full load. JUST >MY OPINION THOUGH!!
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Didn't take you long.,,but then again, it ain't hard to distinguish
>those that walk on all fours.
>
>
>

5128. Re: I have no friends here (ONE MORE THING YOU GOD DAMN LOSER CHUNKY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 21:51:24 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B79B8.8070107@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147665

I think that its funny!

Brian Griffey wrote:

>Anger management...
>
>
>johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
>
>>I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
>>YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
>>SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
>>WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
>>VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
>>THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
>>WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
>>FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
>>CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
>>THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
>>REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
>>AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
>>WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK
>>
>>

5129. ICOM775DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 21:51:37 UTC
From: Mauro <iz8dxb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JRKO9.26646$Q%4.823833@news1.tin.it>
Source record: 147663

Gentili colleghi ,
se c'&#65533; qualcuno che desiderasse acquistare questo apparecchio mi pu&#65533; inviare una offerta rispondendo in privato a questo messaggio.

Rendo noto per&#65533; che sebbene lo stato di questo apparecchio sia perfettissimo non ne posseggo la preziosa scatola che ne avrebbe certamente arricchito il valore. Ho la possibilit&#65533; di spedire in tutta Italia con corriere.
Ben volentieri invito gli eventuali interessati a visitarmi presso il mio qth per poter visionare l'apparato.

Sono a disposizione di coloro che invece desiderano anche soltanto chiedere informazioni ma premetto che non ritiro permute perch&#65533; ho gi&#65533; un altro apparecchio HF e quindi non sono interessato.

73's e auguri
Maurizio Minatore (iz8dxb)

5130. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 22:12:20 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B7E9F.101@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147666

Adrian
I have 20 grand in ham radio gear and I have 300 bucs in CB gear and most of the time I have more fun with the CB gear. Most Hams are anal, stuff shirts. When you get your ticket I say my hat is off and I glad you did it. I am happy that I got mine. Don't get me wrong ham radio is fun. I will say this be prepared to were thick skin. That bunch on 75-80 meters are hard to get in with. The whole band is filled up with clicks. The AMers on 3.885 and above 29 Mhz can be allot of fun. They are also allot more friendlier than allot of the SSB operators up and down the band. Allot of Hams are real Anal because they took a test and passed. So they feel that they are of a elite class. Allot of them came from the ranks of CB and wanted to shoot skip legally. I myself fall into that group. That is the reason I got my ham ticket. Although I will say "how bout it skip land" or "how bout it DX land" is a whole lot more fun then calling CQ. I think that the ham radio group is afraid that some of the discipline that is in ham radio would be lost if the FCC continues to make it easier to be a ham radio operator. For those reasons I think that the 11 meter band should go back to Ham radio but it should be a free for all band. The USA is supposed to be a free place to live so the free band should be kept FREE! As far as expanding channels go for it. I would gladly give up 220 Mhz for 11 meters. 25 Mhz to 28 Mhz
A slot for the No Class ham operator. I'm sorry the free class amateur radio ticket. Why not its what most of us want anyway. It all ready a can of worms! Change no matter how radical can only make it better.

73 Oris

Adrian wrote:

>555 = International call frequency for freebanders, they do it and enjoy it
>
>"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
>
>> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
>>the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
>>What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
>>unused channels so why the need for more. I am also curious why people
>>want 27.420 MHz - 27.860. Another question I have is the talk amongst
>>the CBers about the frequency of 27.555MHz. Why the preoccupation
>>with that frequency. Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
>>and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
>>get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
>>trash in thier eyes.

>>Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
>>of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
>>ticket I will not look down on CB operators. Lets face it it is where
>>most of us got our feet wet. There are plenty of jerk CB operators that
>>act downright infantile which I will not miss. I have to say from
>>monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
>>levels but there are less. I am going to get my tech no code ham license
>>to start and am very very excited about it. I will be taking my test in
>>a month or so and hope I pass it. Are thier any Hams here?
>>Just curious. Merry XMass and Happy New 2003 !!!
>>`
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

5131. Re: More of Twitheds poodle-like yapping<hehehehehe>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 22:22:13 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aufv7r$elpl$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147667

I just figured it out. I now know why this clown has so much time on his hands. He ain't gettin' any! I guess I'll have to leave his ole lady alone so he can get some.

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com...
> Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
> the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for
> CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.
>
> There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
> have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
> are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change
> that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?
>
> Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
> waitin'.
>
>
>
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> > news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > I just thought it as strange this guy
> > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
> > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
> > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for
> > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> > --
> > Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
> > HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> > questions about N8 alone.
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> > "I'm with stupid"
> > --
> > > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> > >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false

> > accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
> >
> >
> > It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable and
> > claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
> >
> >
> > > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > > Landshark
> > >--
> >
> >
> > Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5132. Re: Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 22:34:35 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%tLO9.13735$p_6.1141990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147668

Dave... are ya listnin?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:IFHO9.346167$GR5.105176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Man, Do you know what my Uniden rep just told me?
>
> Uniden is made in China and Japan. More China. Darn.
>
> I guess they are coming from the same plant as Cobra. Darn.
>
> No wonder the parts inside them look alike. Darn. Now what are we going
to
> sell.
>
>
>
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
>
>
>
>

5133. Re: Almost 2003, keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 22:35:46 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6vLO9.13737$p_6.1142257@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147669

Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> We OWN this NG.

5134. Re: Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 23:27:48 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <UfMO9.346921$GR5.106278@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147671

"Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:v0n3i21g9633dd@corp.supernews.com...
> if you have bought any lately,we got 33 new units in this month,,NONE were
> made in china
> open one and see the difference !!!!!!!!!!!!
>
Were are they made? Just curious. All ours through our distributor are
from China. East Coast distributor

Deb

5135. Re: Almost 2003, keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 23:30:58 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com>
Source record: 147672

Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone knows......

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

5136. WTB: Motorola CB500 Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-26 23:52:43 UTC
From: Bob C <Joker535@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fDMO9.79439$Rt1.2847732@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 147673

I am looking of a Motorola CB550 Mobile AM/SSB 40 channel CB in good working unmodified condition. This is not a Mocat style radio.

Please e-mail me if you have one:

Joker535@yahoo.com

Thanks

5137. Re: Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:05:46 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <v0n3i21g9633dd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147670

if you have bought any lately,we got 33 new units in this month,,NONE were
made in china
open one and see the difference !!!!!!!!!!!!

"

5138. FS:Cherokee AH-100 Handheld with Sideband!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:18:46 UTC
From: dq70@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8b00739.0212261618.27d5e632@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147674

A+ condition AH-100 handheld 10 and 11 meter radio with am and upper and lower sideband! a neat and rare radio as it is not made anymore. Many companies offer accessories yet tho. It comes with the box, owners manual antenna car kit, export conversion, and its the upgraded version so it has the recharge batt. pack and charger.
Its a neat and obscure radio for the hobby as it is hand held with a digital display. When converted with car kit its about the size of a cig. pack!!

Ive actually worked DX on it to Chicago- about 450 miles away. Unit will run aan amp as well. It only has about 3 hours on it.

$210 shipped in the US!

Best of DX all!

Daniel

5139. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:25:22 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <MZNO9.1321$6Z1.296692842@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147675

He has no callsign. Simple as that.

Cookies make you fat, are you fat?

I wrap lettuce around carrot sticks and dip them in mayonaise.

They are both pretty and delicious.

Do you like vegetarians?

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E0A23F4.8241374@listed.here...
>
>
> \"chunks\" wrote:
> >
> > Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
> > to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.
>
>
> Pay attention, It has been posted. It's AB something.
> Do your own homework. Go eat some more Christmas cookies.

5140. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:28:25 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <F0OO9.1322$xY1.296654899@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147678

"John Rambo " timnebo@aol.com wrote in message"

> when i bought my yaesu's they never asked.
>

Is that right? Have you ever told a lie? I'll bet you've told many.

Momma doesn't like liars. Shame on you. Shame, shame, shame.

5141. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:30:44 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <O2OO9.1323$BZ1.296722562@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147676

"signalchaser" williamsmith208@hotmail.com wrote in message

> Aren't you a self proclaimed ham since you have such a vendetta against
> those who use the equipment. And if so, then your lack of call letters
being
> used, isn't that just as hypocritical

No I'm not a ham, I'm a turkey. Turkeys don't have callsigns. Now pull up
your
pants and get off the pot. Your much too young to use drugs.

5142. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:34:11 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <26OO9.1324$n02.296904817@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147679

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> Is it trolling when someone is trying to ask a legitimate question? Or do
> you really know if he is or isnt trying to ask a legitimate question?

Imagine a hook with a plate of delicious fried chicken on it.

Imagine taking a big bite of the chicken and then feeling the hook.

Imagine feeling the intense pain of the hook in the roof of your mouth
but ignoring it because the chicken tastes so good.

Get the picture sweetie?

5143. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:38:21 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <X9OO9.1325$f22.297027649@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147677

Uh...um....listen up buggs....

Your getting a bit too technical for this NG ok?

Keep it simple, use lots of profanity and attack
as many posters as possible.

Let your ego guide you to safe passage.

Keep your nose clean and don't do drugs.

"KF4MQA" <KF4MQA@Buggs.net> wrote in message

> To the best of my knowledge what I am going to say is true, and forgive me
> if I am wrong, but:
>
> 1- The RCI-2950 is an Amateur radio, not a CB.
> 2- If it receives CB frequencies it has been modified and is illegal to
> operate on CB because of power output
> 3- If you operate it on any frequency other than the Amateur frequencies
> that it was originally intended to use and you do not have an amateur
> license, you are violating the law
> 4- If caught, you can be fined and any fine is too much to pay for being
> uninformed
>
> If all you want is a standard CB, you can find them easily
> If you want an export type CB, they are also easy to find but illegal to
> operate. You can own them, but you're not supposed to use them, but as the
> old saying goes.. "A lot fo people are doing it"
> If you are a CBer who wants to move into the Amateur radio world, I wish
you
> the best because I started in CB when I was 13 with an old Radio Shack
base
> unit and after many years of putting it off for various reason I finally
got
> my Ham ticket
>
> 73's
> KF4MQA
>
>
>
>

5144. Re: FS:Cherokee AH-100 Handheld with Sideband!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 00:43:14 UTC
From: "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
Message-ID: <xeOO9.1326$m_1.296772722@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147680

Daniel,

I want to buy your radio. Please ship it to me
for inspection and if it checks out ok, I'll send you a
money order ok?

" chunks "

Jerry Analgiese

"Dan" <dq70@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d8b00739.0212261618.27d5e632@posting.google.com...
> A+ condition AH-100 handheld 10 and 11 meter radio with am and upper
> and lower sideband! a neat and rare radio as it is not made anymore.
> Many companies offer accessories yet tho. It comes with the box,
> owners manual antenna car kit, export conversion, and its the upgraded
> version so it has the recharge batt. pack and charger.
> Its a neat and obscure radio for the hobby as it is hand held with a
> digital display. When converted with car kit its about the size of a
> cig. pack!!
>
> Ive actually worked DX on it to Chicago- about 450 miles away. Unit
> will run aan amp as well. It only has about 3 hours on it.
>
> $210 shipped in the US!
>
> Best of DX all!
>
> Daniel

5145. Re: Antenna mounting on a truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 01:04:34 UTC
From: Joe <Joe234@ibm.com>
Message-ID: <CGNO9.520285$QZ.76537@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147681

Go with the opposite side of the hood-cowl.

"Tricky06" <tricky06@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v0m3jpcui69313@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm looking to use a fender mount near my AM/FM antenna. Will this cause
any
> problems??
>
> Thanks.
>
>

5146. Uniden CB's are NOT made in China !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 01:37:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bae7d_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147682

```
YOU tell her Mr. Wesser ! YOU is da MAN !
To the blind, everything is from China!
First of all, there are NO "Uniden Reps." I haven't seen one since the
early 80's under the President series. I still have his card. He got me a
President Clock! And you're right, Mr. Wesser, there IS a DIFFERENCE from
the Phillipine Uniden CB's when you look inside them, as compared to the
JUNK COBRA Made in CHINA TRASH CB's. But the dollar signs and Cobra DRUGS
she is on, plus the brainwashing, plus her low tech ability (maybe she don't
know HOW TO open a CB), doesn't let her see THE BIG Difference in the
radios. She must be on some HEAVY DRUGS to see that the word PHILLIPINES
looks like the word CHINA ! Plus Cobras break down more because of the
SLAVE LABOR manufacturing that is done when they are made. The Unidens
smell & reak of QUALITY; the Cobras of tech DOGGY TRASH ! ANY CB Shop that
would sell brand new Cobra CB's made in China is NOT an American CB shop !
They have sold you out to the Murderous RED CHINESE ! LA will HATE you one
day, when they are hit by China! I keep $$$ out of their hands so the day
will be delayed for you left coast pinkos! They WILL BURY you !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:v0n3i21g9633dd@corp.supernews.com...
> if you have bought any lately,we got 33 new units in this month,,NONE were
> made in china
> open one and see the difference !!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

5147. More Cobra LIES exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 01:52:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb21f_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147683

Yes I am, in a TN winter wonder land (eat your heart out, Hampton--just a "Dusting" here, barely coating the leaves!). More comments below each drug induced statement below!
Dave!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:%tLO9.13735$p_6.1141990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Dave... are ya listnin?
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:IFHO9.346167$GR5.105176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Man, Do you know what my Uniden rep just told me?

There are NO "Uniden Reps.", especially YOUR Uniden Rep. You just talked to a Distributor that wants to push JUNK (Cobra)!

> >
> > Uniden is made in China and Japan. More China. Darn.

NO CB's have come out of Japan since the early 80's. NO UNIDEN CB's are made in CHINA. Does Crystal Meth make you think they are? Say NO to Cobra DRUGS !

> >
> > I guess they are coming from the same plant as Cobra. Darn.

Do you people there in NC just sell CB's, or did you ever work on one? JUST LOOK @ the back of one and see that the Cobras say "CHINA" on them, and the Unidens say "Phillipines" on them. Phillipinos STILL love Macarthur; China HATED him!
You "guess" is a WRONG GUESS ! You can't "guess" when working on CB's.

> >
> > No wonder the parts inside them look alike. Darn. Now what are we going
> to
> > sell.

Parts "look" alike? What parts are you referring to? Certainly NOT the resistors or caps. They are smaller and neater looking in the

Unidens. The Uniden has been modernized; the cobra NOT. In fact, the parts
in the new PC-78 have been MOVED AROUND, compared to your 29 piece of JUNK !
Soes that look the same to you? What is your vision? ! You will NEED your
Pee Paid Legal IF you continue to put out such LIES ! WHY would you justify
LYING to support Communist RED CHINA? Are you Hicks from the mainland
(China) or where?!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Debbie & Will Hicks
> > Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
> >
> >
> > http://www.willscb.com
> > http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> > http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> > http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
> >
> > http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5148. Let it SNOW; Let it SNOW; Let it SNOW !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:01:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb421_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147684

GO do da grass, Mope ! IS more snow comming? They got 6"--how much did
yins git?
Dave!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021226205616.286$V9@newsreader.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> > Yes I am, in a TN winter wonder land (eat your heart out, Hampton--just
a
> > "Dusting" here, barely coating the leaves!).
> >
> That's white gold! Heading back out again at midnight.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5149. Re: Antenna mounting on a truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:03:31 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb4b0_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147685

NO, JOE, you don't Know! It goes near the AM/FM antenna for 2 reasons:
MORE range on the BIG road if there, and out of the way of the "field of
view" looking out the front window for the driver!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Joe" <Joe234@ibm.com> wrote in message
news:CGNO9.520285$QZ.76537@sccrnsc02...
> Go with the opposite side of the hood-cowl.
>
>
>
> "Tricky06" <tricky06@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v0m3jpcui69313@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm looking to use a fender mount near my AM/FM antenna. Will this cause
> any
> > problems??
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5150. Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:07:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb5b9_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147686

SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before yo
crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
Dave!!
(to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they don't
have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites: Read
Romans chapter 1.
Dave!!

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
news:274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com...
> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> knows......
>
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5151. Re: BASE Station season heating up !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:10:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb635_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147687

Aint NO doubt ! 4-10 !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:vsDO9.1807$T5.756300@news.alltel.net...
> I've sold allot of bases this year. mostly used stuff.... yes, I see it
> coming back..... bout time..
>
> drc
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0a67bc_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I don't sell many, and I sold a Starduster this year, and one last.
That
> > was the first ones of them I have sold in YEARS ! As far as selling a
> base
> > last year, I don't think that I did !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Steve Gale" <sgale@kingston.net> wrote in message
> > news:v0jnudt5o36b78@corp.supernews.com...
> > > bet he sells radios
> > > "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E0928B6.7030208@cac.net...
> > > > You said something similar last xmas, Dave... First one in years,
eh?
> > > > Yahright.
> > > >
> > > > Get a grip.
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >
> > > > Dave or Debby wrote:
> > > > > Yep, it is. I sold my 1st base station in years last week. Early
> > 80's
> > > > > Stalker 12. Mint shape, LN. Also sold same guy bought a
Starduster
> a
> > > month
> > > > > ago. Looks like a sign that CB, if not Base Stations are picking
> up,
> > > after
> > > > > many years of slumps. Anyone anywhere else noticing sales
improving

> > or
> > > more
> > > > > people on Bases?
> > > > > Dave!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5152. Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:13:37 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147688

Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio !

Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?

How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded them
over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!

They "ARE" da MAN !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5153. No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:20:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb8ae_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147690

Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so they can make it to Heaven?
Dave!

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message news:%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> This post is off topic and contains foul language.
> Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
> Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !
>
> P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in the
> display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting on
> topic, of course
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5154. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:21:26 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <augd88$es5n$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147689

How 'bout CIL the landlord C-I-L da landlord!(eddie Murphy/SNL)

Or, the all infamous... I axed yous a question!

Or, Ho DEE DO. translated to Hold the door.

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio
!
>
> Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
>
> How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded them
> over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
>
> They "ARE" da MAN !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5155. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 02:21:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0bb8f5$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147691

I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
Dave!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:augd88$es5n$1@news3.infoave.net...
> How 'bout CIL the landlord C-I-L da landlord!(eddie Murphy/SNL)
>
> Or, the all infamous... I axed yous a question!
>
> Or, Ho DEE DO. translated to Hold the door.
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say
Ohio
> !
> >
> > Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> >
> > How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded
them
> > over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> >
> > They "ARE" da MAN !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5156. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 03:10:58 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0BC4C2.27BA317@listed.here>
Source record: 147692

\"chunks\" wrote:
>
> He has no callsign. Simple as that.

You're too lazy to look it up on Google.

> Cookies make you fat, are you fat?

I'm a non-smoking bicycle rider. You can answer that yourself.

> I wrap lettuce around carrot sticks and dip them in mayonaise.

Mayonnaise is fattening. Do you use the light stuff?

> They are both pretty and delicious.

Umm, yeah...ok.

> Do you like vegetarians?

When they are barbecued.

5157. M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 03:57:31 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226225731.28753.00000288@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 147693

DaveMade M-80. Nice and Compact. Only about a month old. Looks and works Brand New. Will do around 250 watts with a good swing. $100 plus $15 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

5158. Radio Um...good price?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 03:58:00 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226225800.28753.00000289@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 147694

I have a NorthStar-3000 mobile forsale. Bought about a year ago. I still have the box for it. I lost the mounting bracket. Has the stock mic. Has Hi Med Lo switch. It will key about 2 watts on hi and swing 25 watts on hi. Has extra channels, just like the galaxy models, but its built into the dimmer switch. has dual echo controls. has talkback ONLY when echo switch is flipped on. This is a real nice sounding radio...looks and works like BRAND spanking new. I'll take $100 plus $15 for shipping...thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

5159. Re: ICOM775DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 04:07:53 UTC
From: daustin@chartermi.net
Message-ID: <2b70ad34.0212262007.1d6f08e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147695

"Mauro" <iz8dxb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<JRKO9.26646$Q%4.823833@news1.tin.it>...
> Gentili colleghi ,
> se c'ï¿½ qualcuno che desiderasse acquistare questo apparecchio mi puï¿½ inviare
> una offerta rispondendo in privato a questo messaggio.
>
> Rendo noto perï¿½ che sebbene lo stato di questo apparecchio sia perfettissimo
> non ne posseggo la preziosa scatola che ne avrebbe certamente arricchito il
> valore. Ho la possibilitï¿½ di spedire in tutta Italia con corriere.
> Ben volentieri invito gli eventuali interessati a visitarmi presso il mio
> qth per poter visionare l'apparato.
>
> Sono a disposizione di coloro che invece desiderano anche soltanto chiedere
> informazioni ma premetto che non ritiro permute perchï¿½ ho giï¿½ un altro
> apparecchio HF e quindi non sono interessato.
>
>
> 73's e auguri
> Maurizio Minatore (iz8dxb)
EHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHh??????????? What'd he say.

5160. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 04:13:26 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <augjqa$f3i3$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147696

OHHHH!!!! And it said it where in your post???

Cowboy67!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0bb8f5$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> Dave!
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:augd88$es5n$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > How 'bout CIL the landlord C-I-L da landlord!(eddie Murphy/SNL)
> >
> > Or, the all infamous... I axed yous a question!
> >
> > Or, Ho DEE DO. translated to Hold the door.
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say
> Ohio
> > !
> > >
> > > Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> > >
> > > How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded
> them
> > > over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> > >
> > > They "ARE" da MAN !
> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5161. Re: Almost 2003, keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 04:17:28 UTC
From: Jon <jon1968@mail.com>
Message-ID: <augk39$hq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 147698

see what happens when you cant get a woman you sit in your pink dress looking at auctions get a life.Ever try a Icom 706 on AM on the 11 meter band it smokes,lol
"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212261129.40453c20@posting.google.com...
> Happy new year keyclowns! Your Masters the anti boys are certainly
> going to have a happy one! We love ratting out auctions and pissing of
> you pink muffin boys.
>
> The cool part is there is nada, zip, zilch, zero, ought, what the
> little boy shot at that you can do about it except get on here and cry
> and toss insults at your Lords and Masters the anti-boys.
>
> Twithed has the right attitude. He goes to the truckstop glory hole
> every day and just does his thing. He KNOWS he is whipped when he
> faces the might of the anti-boys.
>
> We OWN this NG.

5162. Re: ICOM775DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 04:18:00 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <augk2r$f258$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147697

"Mauro" <iz8dxb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:JRKO9.26646$Q%4.823833@news1.tin.it...

> Gentili colleghi ,
> se c'&#65533; qualcuno che desiderasse acquistare questo apparecchio mi pu&#65533;
inviare
> una offerta rispondendo in privato a questo messaggio.

Kind colleagues, if there is someone that wished to acquire this apparatus
can send one offered to me answering in private to this message.

> Rendo noto per&#65533; che sebbene lo stato di questo apparecchio sia
perfettissimo
> non ne posseggo la preziosa scatola che ne avrebbe certamente arricchito
il
> valore. Ho la possibilit&#65533; di spedire in tutta Italia con corriere.
> Ben volentieri invito gli eventuali interessati a visitarmi presso il mio
> qth per poter visionare l'apparato.

I render famous but that although the state of this apparatus is most
perfect of posseggo the precious one not scato it that of it it would sure
have enriched the value. I have the possibility to send in all Italy with
courier. Very gladly I invite the eventual ones interested to visit to me
near mine qth for being able to visionare the apparatus.

> Sono a disposizione di coloro che invece desiderano anche soltanto
chiedere
> informazioni ma premetto che non ritiro permute perch&#65533; ho gi&#65533; un altro
> apparecchio HF e quindi non sono interessato.

They are to disposition of those who instead wish also only to ask
information but I preput that not withdrawal exchanges why I have already an
other apparatus HF and therefore they are not interested.

>
>
> 73's e auguri
> Maurizio Minatore (iz8dxb)

That is straight translation. Didn't have time to put it in CB lingo..

Cowboy67!

5163. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 10:58:58 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3e0ca2cf@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 147742

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3E0B797B.4030208@dirtball.com...
Damn! Sorry I missed a chance at them! I think Cb band should go back to the hams and the truckers should move to FRS and all non licensed folks that are left on 11-meters should be allowed to use their CB radios as a Noclass ham ticket.

Thats what CB is {NO CLASS} I think those that are left should go to frs.
The only reason I talk on the cb is to talk with other travelers while on the road.

Thus the 150 mile rule would be history and type acceptance could be thrown out the window! and we would have added over 4 million people to the ranks of amateur radio. Wouldn't it be nice to call how bout it skipland and it be legal?

Nobody pays any attention to the 150 mile limit anyway. [its not an issue]
If you want a ham ticket and its privileges you can earn them like everyone else.
The last think we need in ham radio is;
"How bout it skip land! Come on Good Buddy! No doubt about it! AUDIO!!"
We allready have that in cb radio. Thats whats wrong with the world today.
there too many people that think they can do what they want, with no rules to follow, no accountability, no responsibility, and no consequenses. Just give it to me! NOW!

That is my opinion!

happy new year

5164. Re: Radio gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:27:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-107
Message-ID: <20021227072758.11095.00000250@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147699

oris give it a rest before we call in the dogs.....

5165. Re: Radio gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:31:18 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0C4816.6BE2006A@listed.here>
Source record: 147700

John Rambo wrote:
>
> oris give it a rest before we call in the dogs.....

Doggie Adair? If that ain't enough to scare 'ya...!

5166. Big Bad Uncle Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:34:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227073457.11095.00000253@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147701

From Radio World 12-26-02

FCC Cancels $10,000 Pirate Fine

The FCC has forgiven a $10,000 fine against Thomas Brothers of Berkley, Mich. because he has submitted documentation proving he cannot pay the penalty. Brothers operated a station without a license on 88.3 MHz this year.

5167. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:35:55 UTC
From: 1bigheart <1bigheart@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eku6GRarCHA.2144@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 147702

State Mottos!!!! Happy Holidays :-)
==========

Alabama: Hell Yes, We Have Electricity

Alaska: 11,623 Eskimos Can't Be Wrong!

Arizona: But It's A Dry Heat

Arkansas: Literacy Ain't Everything

California: By 30, Our Women Have More Plastic Than Your Honda

Colorado: If You Don't Ski, Don't Bother

Connecticut: Like Massachusetts, Only The Kennedy's Don't Own It-Yet

Delaware: We Really Do Like The Chemicals In Our Water

Florida: Ask Us About Our Grandkids

Georgia: We Put The "Fun" In Fundamentalist Extremism

Hawaii: Haka Tiki Mou Sha'ami Leeki Toru (Death To Mainland Scum, But Leave Your Money)

Idaho: More Than Just Potatoes ... Well Okay We're Not, But The Potatoes Sure Are Real Good

Illinois: Please Don't Pronounce the "S"

Indiana: 2 Billion Years Tidal Wave Free

Iowa: We Do Amazing Things With Corn

Kansas: First Of The Rectangle States

Kentucky: Five Million People; Fifteen Last Names

Louisiana: We're Not ALL Drunk Cajun Wackos, But That's Our Tourism Campaign

Maine: We're Really Cold, But We Have Cheap Lobster

Maryland: If You Can Dream It, We Can Tax It

Massachusetts: Our taxes are less Than Sweden's (For Most Tax Brackets)

Michigan: First Line Of Defense From The Canadians

Minnesota: 10,000 Lakes... And 10,000,000,000,000 Mosquitoes

Mississippi: Come And Feel Better About Your Own State

Missouri: Your Federal Flood Relief Tax Dollars At Work

Montana: Land Of The Big Sky, The Unabomber, Right-wing Crazies, And Very Little Else

Nebraska: Ask About Our State Motto Contest

Nevada: Hookers and Poker!

New Hampshire: Go Away And Leave Us Alone

New Jersey: You Want A ##$%##! Motto? I Got Yer ##$%##! Motto Right Here!

New Mexico: Lizards Make Excellent pets

New York: You Have The Right To Remain Silent, You Have The Right To An Attorney ...

North Carolina: Tobacco Is A Vegetable

North Dakota: We Really Are One Of The 50 States!

Ohio: At Least We're Not Michigan

Oklahoma: Like The Play, Only No Singing

Oregon: Spotted Owl... It's What's For Dinner

Pennsylvania: Cook With Coal

Rhode Island: We're Not REALLY An Island

South Carolina: Remember The Civil War? We Didn't Actually Surrender

South Dakota: Closer Than North Dakota

Tennessee: The Educashun State

Texas: Si' Hablo Ing'les (Yes, I Speak English)

Utah: Our Jesus Is Better Than Your Jesus

Vermont: Yep

Virginia: Who Says Government Stiffs And Slackjaw Yokels Don't Mix?

Washington: Help! We're Overrun By Nerds And Slackers!

Washington, D.C.: Wanna Be Mayor?

West Virginia: One Big Happy Family... Really!

Wisconsin: Come Cut The Cheese

Wyoming: Where Men Are Men ... and the sheep are scared
==========
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio
!
>
> Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
>
> How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded them
> over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
>
> They "ARE" da MAN !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5168. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:37:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227073706.11095.00000254@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147703

dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little eye holes?

>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>Dave!

5169. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:38:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227073818.11095.00000255@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147704

cant forget texas aka the big star

>
>Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio !
>
>Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
>
>How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded them
>over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
>
>They "ARE" da MAN !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

5170. Re: ICOM775DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:51:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227075143.11095.00000256@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147705

quanto tempo avete avuti questo apparecchio? posso avere a inteested
l'amico.

>
>Gentili colleghi ,
>se c'ï¿½ qualcuno che desiderasse acquistare questo apparecchio mi puï¿½ inviare
>una offerta rispondendo in privato a questo messaggio.
>
>Rendo noto perï¿½ che sebbene lo stato di questo apparecchio sia perfettissimo
>non ne posseggo la preziosa scatola che ne avrebbe certamente arricchito il
>valore. Ho la possibilitï¿½ di spedire in tutta Italia con corriere.
>Ben volentieri invito gli eventuali interessati a visitarmi presso il mio
>qth per poter visionare l'apparato.
>
>Sono a disposizione di coloro che invece desiderano anche soltanto chiedere
>informazioni ma premetto che non ritiro permute perchï¿½ ho giï¿½ un altro
>apparecchio HF e quindi non sono interessato.
>
>
>73's e auguri
>Maurizio Minatore (iz8dxb)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5171. Re: Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 12:59:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227075948.11095.00000257@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 147706

you mean to say your getting unidens made in china from a east coast distributor? i bet uniden would like to know about this.....

>
>Were are they made? Just curious. All ours through our distributor are
>from China. East Coast distributor
>
>Deb
>

5172. Re: Big Bad Uncle Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 13:10:00 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <auhjhh02lim@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 147707

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021227073457.11095.00000253@mb-mc.aol.com...
> From Radio World 12-26-02
>
> FCC Cancels $10,000 Pirate Fine
>
> The FCC has forgiven a $10,000 fine against Thomas Brothers of Berkley,
Mich.
> because he has submitted documentation proving he cannot pay the penalty.
> Brothers operated a station without a license on 88.3 MHz this year.
>

Is this all it takes to avoid paying fines? Guess maybe it goes to show that
the FCC has no powers.

5173. "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 14:20:54 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <alZO9.167115$a8.71224@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147710

There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the "Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually pretty pleasant reading.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

5174. Re: Increase The Power Of My CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 14:32:14 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <veoo0v4edasvsglufpce5pjqbm9frrif58@4ax.com>
Source record: 147708

On Tue, 24 Dec 2002 18:21:38 -0500, WMC Club <not@listed.here> wrote:

>
>
>"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
>> For example a 50 watt amplifier can only put out 33.33 watts dead key,
>> i.e. carrier power, with the head room difference being in the two
>> side bands, for 16.67 watts under 100 percent modulation. That works
>> out to only 8.33 watts per side band, which is only half of what you
>> get with a legal SSB CB radio. Yup most of the big power ends up in
>> the carrier, which does NOTHING to convey information, and just makes
>> the "S meter" swing up higher at the other end, thus greatly
>> increasing the noise level on the channel. All the audio information
>> is in the sidebands, and you only need one of those to communicate.
>
>
>The thought is good, but the math is wrong. I won't argue on
>Christmas Eve. Have a merry one.
****

I think you should not argue with the math.

PT = PC * (1-m^2/2)

where PT is the total power and PC is the carrier power. M is the
modulation index. For a modulation index of 100% m is 1. So take our
equation above and plug and chug.

50 = PC (1 + 1^2/2)

50= PC*1.5
50/1.5 = PC

33.3333 = PC

the rest is in the two side bands and is split equally.

50 - 33.3333 = 16.666667

16.66667 / 2 = 8.333333 in each sideband.

it is refreshing to see an amatuer radio operator that knows the math
around double sideband large carrier AM.

jaems

5175. Re: More Cobra LIES exposed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 14:39:53 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <7cpo0vo54ir0484dk7hn3ha5jpqj4f3jfm@4ax.com>
Source record: 147709

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 20:52:35 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>Yes I am, in a TN winter wonder land (eat your heart out, Hampton--just a
>"Dusting" here, barely coating the leaves!). More comments below each drug
>induced statement below!
>Dave!
>
>"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:%tLO9.13735$p_6.1141990@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Dave... are ya listnin?
>>
>> --
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>> Brian Griffey
>> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>>
>>
>> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
>> news:IFHO9.346167$GR5.105176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>> > Man, Do you know what my Uniden rep just told me?
>
> There are NO "Uniden Reps.", especially YOUR Uniden Rep. You
>just talked to a Distributor that wants to push JUNK (Cobra)!
>
>> >
>> > Uniden is made in China and Japan. More China. Darn.
>
>
>
> NO CB's have come out of Japan since the early 80's. NO UNIDEN
>CB's are made in CHINA. Does Crystal Meth make you think they are? Say NO
>to Cobra DRUGS !
>
**********8

Not sure if they make CBs in China but they do make a whole bunch of
cell phones!!!! I bet the ones you use are made there! or at least the
sub assemblies are and then shipped to Mexico and assembled there.
Then they come tothe US.

James

****
snippty snip.

and the weather is nice and balmy here

5176. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 14:44:42 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <0kpo0v4qpoq58ercfgjj05co6bh6c5p1dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 147711

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before yo
>crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
>news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they don't
>have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites: Read
>Romans chapter 1.
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

****

DAve and Debby

read Matthew 5:1 thru Matthew 7:29

james

>"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
>news:274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com...
>> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
>> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
>> knows......
>>
>> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
>> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5177. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 14:50:29 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <p1qo0vo1mdko20iqg3b8og4mo2jsnvgveb@4ax.com>
Source record: 147712

Wonder if this guy is one of those Sunday Morning TV preachers?

If so I wonder how much fleece he has in his cabinet that he has
sheared from his flock?

james

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:20:34 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
>upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so they
>can make it to Heaven?
>Dave!
>
>"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
>news:%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>> This post is off topic and contains foul language.
>> Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
>> Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !
>>
>> P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in the
>> display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting on
>> topic, of course
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5178. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 15:03:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22907-3E0C6BC9-55@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147714

From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the
"Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa
Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually
pretty pleasant reading.
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
--
Pretty neat, eh? Sometimes inaccuracies in stories are intentional for
various reasons. Nevertheless, I am glad to see such activity covered in
an amateur magazine, despite Lelnads claim that magazines have a
(chuckle) "responsibility" not to print stuff he doesn't agree with and
that the magazine lacks "journalistic integrity" for doing so.....

5179. Re: THE "positive" gospel according to RED CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 15:21:53 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <8tqo0v0grebdoj27tgtlf3b0k7lda7ppbt@4ax.com>
Source record: 147713

Dave:

I suggest that you make just about everything you use. I would get rid of your computer, your TV, cell phone, blender, and so many more that it becomes a waste of time to list. You pop off against China made products like some do against Arab oil. Well guess what that boycott isn't going towork either. There is just about no electronic products that are sold that in some way that China does not profit in. It maybe as small as a single IC that goes into a camera to complete CB radio or even a TV or even a computer. Sorry to burst your bubble. Or better yet educate you.

For someone so critical of Chinese people and their way of life that I wonder how you gained your expertise? Perhaps you may have lived over there for some time? Studied there? Conversed with one from China? Debated ideology with someone from China?

I find it quite humorous reading your narrow minded posts. I do hope you will not change. I need a good laugh once and a while. I am glad people like you are not in power. I would hate to live under a christian talliban. At least our founding fathers knew that an over opressive religeous dogma will lead to a totalitarian state.

james

ps I wonder if Benny Hinn, Jerry Fallwell, Jimmy Swaggart, and others like them are going to Heaven? Are you like them?

read Matthew 19:23 and 24 and find out obout their great wealth.

On Wed, 25 Dec 2002 21:12:59 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>Points made below each comment !
>Dave!
>
>"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
>news:IXhO9.456226$WL3.124402@rwcrnsc54...
>
>> -You kinda infer that this wouldn't happen in the US.
>
> No, but OUR court system would STOP this kind of thievery, where
>China's communist court system doesn't do much about it. (OUR meaning the
>Western world).
>
>
> Sorta like hiring a

>> tech and he/she does cb work on the side using your equipment. It doesn't
>> matter where things are made.
>
> YES IT DOES. Do YOU sell NEW Cobra CB's--YOU support communist
>China, which is NOT Christian, but persecutes Christians! The Chinese Govt.
>is as wicked as HELL !
>
>
> You have thieves all over the place. Doesn't
>> matter what their nationality.
>
>
> And a lot of them are CB shops, which gives us GOOD ones a bad name,
>unfortunately!
>
>>
>> Crack the cases on that there computer your using and see if it hasn't
>got
>
>
> Sorry--I don't DO crack ! I can't "crack" them! I have made them,
>and expressed my disgust to by brother the computer engineer, and advised
>him to buy non-China made stuff instead. But sometimes we are forced to !
>
>> foreign made parts in it.
>>
>> You can't afford to buy American made stuff. Most American manufactures
>> can't afford not to buy the foreign made parts and accessories either.
>
> (that's because of the Deut. 28 mess we are in now. The Government
>and Fed. Reserve System has made THIS happen)!
>
>>
>> You amaze me sometimes at your proliferation of ill comments and then
>infer
>
>
> Yes, YOU CB Shops that sell NEW CB's made in RED Communist Murderer
>China DOES made me Ill. I get ANGRY, like an Angry White man, but I don't
>sin, pursuant to Scriptures.
>
>> that you may be a Christian?
>
> I am. I keep God's Commandments and Believe THE Gospel, and
>encourage others to do so too. Pointing out wickedness and exposing SIN
>does NOT make me a "lesser" Christian! It is what the Holy Spirit's JOB is
>!
>
>
>Look at Enron.

>
> Mostly Liberals look @ Enron. It is just the tip of the iceberg. IF
>you looked at what the Federal Reserve System does to us, you wouldn't even
>open your mouth of such sins the corporations do such as enron! BIGGER
>things are going on they don't want YOU to know about! So they get you to
>look @ Enron, when they are doing much worse other places!
>
>
>>
>> If there was a little more positivity and less negativity there just may
>be
>> happier people. So surround yourself with positive people.
>
>
> Are you part of the "positive gospel" people? I AM Positive! Don't
>worry--Bee Happy IS a lie ! You need to listen to 5070 SW on Sat. PM @ 8 or
>Sun. @ 10pm. Then maybe The Lord will WAKE you UP !
>
>If it makes you
>> happy not to use or buy foreign made products then throw it all out.
>
> I didn't SAY that ! We are forced pursuant in the Deut. 28
>country we are in to buy foreign items, especially in the CB world since '76
>or before. We still NOW have a CHOICE to NOT buy RED CHINA CB's ! IF you
>ARE an American, you WON'T buy NEW CB's made in CHINA for resale! UNIDEN is
>better! The Phillipinos still love Macarthur! So do I !
>
>
>> Someone else will be glad to pick it up and get the offensive little
>buggers
>> out of your way.
>
>
> No, I have been personally responsible for diverting thousands of $$$$
>away from RED CHINA (our ENEMY) by not having people buy Cobra CB's. Would
>you buy North Korea CB's too?!
>
>>
>> Happy Holidays and God Bless you.
>>
>> Deb
>
> Happy Saturnalia to you too; and HE DOES, because I KEEP HIS
>Commandments. Remember, it's NOT "God Bless America," but AMERICA BLESS
>GOD ! God cannot nor will Bless a wicked, reprobate, Jesus Christ of
>Nazareth (God in the flesh) HATING America !
>Dave!!!
>
>
>

>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5180. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 15:25:28 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Ih_O9.167964$a8.117782@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147715

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22907-3E0C6BC9-55@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the
"Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa
Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually
pretty pleasant reading.
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
--
Pretty neat, eh? Sometimes inaccuracies in stories are intentional for
various reasons. Nevertheless, I am glad to see such activity covered in
an amateur magazine, despite Lelnads claim that magazines have a
(chuckle) "responsibility" not to print stuff he doesn't agree with and
that the magazine lacks "journalistic integrity" for doing so.....

Make no mistake, there's no "endorsement" of said activities in the
article...quite the opposite. Having said that, yes, it is refreshing to at
least see it covered rather than pretending it doesn't exist.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

5181. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 15:54:34 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com>
Source record: 147716

On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:

>dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little eye
>holes?
>
****

Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
language skills of a group of people are humorous and less than his
own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin
because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?

I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
Gospel?

james
>>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>>Dave!
>
>
>

5182. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:15:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227111520.02082.00000003@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 147717

well i guess we'll never see it unless you scan the article for us and post it online. this one is sure to keep there editorial department real busy.

>
>Make no mistake, there's no "endorsement" of said activities in the
>article...quite the opposite. Having said that, yes, it is refreshing to at
>least see it covered rather than pretending it doesn't exist.
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5183. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:22:38 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c7e96_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147718

OH H and a DIME (10) = OHIO !
Dave!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:augjqa$f3i3$1@news3.infoave.net...
> OHHHH!!!! And it said it where in your post???
>
> Cowboy67!
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0bb8f5$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> > Dave!
> >
> > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:augd88$es5n$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > How 'bout CIL the landlord C-I-L da landlord!(eddie Murphy/SNL)
> > >
> > > Or, the all infamous... I axed yous a question!
> > >
> > > Or, Ho DEE DO. translated to Hold the door.
> > >
> > > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say
> > Ohio
> > > !
> > > >
> > > > Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> > > >
> > > > How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of
recorded
> > them
> > > > over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> > > >
> > > > They "ARE" da MAN !
> > > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5184. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:24:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c7e9b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147719

You mean the people that are funded by the same people that bring them to the BIG cities--the Rev.2:9 & 3:9 people?
Dave!

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message news:20021227073706.11095.00000254@mb-mc.aol.com...
> dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little eye
> holes?
>
> >I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> >Dave!
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5185. Re: Uniden radio's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:26:48 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <cb%O9.529514$QZ.77132@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147720

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message news:20021227075948.11095.00000257@mb-mc.aol.com...
> you mean to say your getting unidens made in china from a east coast
> distributor? i bet uniden would like to know about this.....
>
I must of said something wrong? Not sure what this comment above means. Sorry, I meant to say:

The distributor for Uniden, that we purchase our products from on the east coast, is who I posed the question too. He was kind enough to tell me that their Uniden product is shipped directly to them from either Japan or China. His quote to me was "Japan or China more from China though" This is the distributor I deal with. Didn't know if other distributors were getting their Uniden products from other countries or if it mattered what coast you were ordering your product from. Now the specifics on how this distributor receives his product was not revealed to me. Maybe he is trying to hide his sources.

I suspect me as a small fry would not qualify to buy direct from Uniden, regardless of how they ship me the product. But one of these days. :) who knows. Wish I could see my future sometimes. Maybe it would reduce my stress level.

Please everyone accept my deepest and sincere apologies for stirring Dave up. I know better. I was just having a bad moment. I am bad.

Now I must go through the house and make sure that everything that has China made parts is discarded. Oh wait. Not the refrigerator. Well good thing its cold outside for now. At least the milk won't spoil. Oh now I don't even want to look inside this NEC. Wonder where these parts came from.

I will check my cell phone. Good idea. Maybe they won't have to pass those laws afterall. Save more tax dollars. Oh, man, the Kenmore washer and dryer set is toast.

Hey, I got everything cleaned out. Unfortunately, even the control panel in the furnace had China made sub-components on it so I have to go out and chop wood. Since I live in the woods and have this woodchuck out here I am relatively sure that the wood is American made the woodchuck looks fairly young so it is probably American born and the flint I dug up in the back yard should work. Got to get to work on that fire to heat the old house. Then I will go through all the wiring and insulation to make sure nothing there could be offensive.

Man I got to get busy.

Happy Holidays.

Deb

5186. ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:37:37 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c818b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147721

Points below each misunderstanding!
Dave!

<james> wrote in message news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
> On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
> Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
>
> >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little
eye
> >holes?
> >
> **
>
> Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
> language skills of a group of people are humorous

Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He may
call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!

and less than his

WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS Tiger
Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me in
OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !

> own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin

Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but sinners,
that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT FALSE
Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!

> because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?

WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is, instead
of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
>

> I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
> Gospel?

Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will try
to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would you
be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA MEN
! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> james
> >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> >>Dave!
> >
> >
> >
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5187. Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:39:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c81df_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147722

And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021227073818.11095.00000255@mb-mc.aol.com...
> cant forget texas aka the big star
>
> >
> >Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio
!
> >
> >Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> >
> >How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded
them
> >over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> >
> >They "ARE" da MAN !
> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5188. rugerboy mewls for attention, his Master slaps him down...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:41:03 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212270841.1e408e64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147724

Big talk for a guy who has a computer and illegal radios, but no money
to buy his kids and presents.

You shoulda came here, ruger. My grandson and grandnieces and nephews
has so much that they would not have missed a few presents for New
England kids forced into white trashedness cuz their daddy is a hump
who only cares about himself.

Again you prove my point. ALL you can do is cast insults, and non
original ones at that. Back to yer glory hole, scumbag.

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com>...
> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> knows......
>
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

5189. GMpartsguy the dorkface trys and fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:42:18 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212270842.7df09929@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147725

Does the GM stand for "gay male"? I bet it does.

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> This post is off topic and contains foul language.
> Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
> Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !
>
> P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in the
> display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting on
> topic, of course

5190. MOST junk comes from CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:42:48 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c82c3_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147726

That IS the problem---WAY to many things are NOW made in China, that a lot of times you are FORCED to buy China made items, which ALMOST without exception are JUNK quality compared to where an item use to be made. BUT with CB's we STILL have a choice ! BUY UNIDEN for quality and non-chinese communist slave system made items!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:7cpo0vo54ir0484dk7hn3ha5jpqj4f3jfm@4ax.com...

**********8
>
> Not sure if they make CBs in China but they do make a whole bunch of
> cell phones!!!! I bet the ones you use are made there! or at least the
> sub assemblies are and then shipped to Mexico and assembled there.
> Then they come tothe US.
>
>
> James
>
> **********
> snippty snip.
>
> and the weather is nice and balmy here
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5191. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:43:03 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <auhvnt$fueo$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147723

Again, Where does it say it in your post? It doesn't now just sit back and shut your pie hole!

I do believe, your post stated "How about other funny things they said?" copied and pasted from your original post.

Cowboy67!!!!!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e0c7e96_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> OH H and a DIME (10) = OHIO !
> Dave!
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:augjqa$f3i3$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > OHHHH!!!! And it said it where in your post???
> >
> > Cowboy67!
> >
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0bb8f5$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:augd88$es5n$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > How 'bout CIL the landlord C-I-L da landlord!(eddie Murphy/SNL)
> > > >
> > > > Or, the all infamous... I axed yous a question!
> > > >
> > > > Or, Ho DEE DO. translated to Hold the door.
> > > >
> > > > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3e0bb70c$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > > Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say
> > > Ohio
> > > > !
> > > > >
> > > > > Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> > > > >
> > > > > How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of
> recorded

> > > them
> > > > > over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> > > > >
> > > > > They "ARE" da MAN !
> > > > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5192. Dey don't make it where dey ship it from!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:46:30 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c83a0_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147727

That's NOT what we are talking about. We are talking about WHERE they are made. They ARE made in the Phillipines, and may be shipped to Korea or Japan, before DAS BOAT heads to da USA. Maybe the boat stops in Hong Kong after Phillipines before going to USA. SO WHAT if it does. Shipping does NOT change what is inside OR where it was made!
Dave!!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:cb%O9.529514$QZ.77132@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
> news:20021227075948.11095.00000257@mb-mc.aol.com...
> > you mean to say your getting unidens made in china from a east coast
> > distributor? i bet uniden would like to know about this.....
> >
> I must of said something wrong? Not sure what this comment above means.
> Sorry, I meant to say:
>
> The distributor for Uniden, that we purchase our products from on the east
> coast, is who I posed the question too. He was kind enough to tell me
that
> their Uniden product is shipped directly to them from either Japan or
China.
> His quote to me was "Japan or China more from China though" This is the
> distributor I deal with. Didn't know if other distributors were getting
> their Uniden products from other countries or if it mattered what coast
you
> were ordering your product from. Now the specifics on how this
distributor
> receives his product was not revealed to me. Maybe he is trying to hide
his
> sources.
>
> I suspect me as a small fry would not qualify to buy direct from Uniden,
> regardless of how they ship me the product. But one of these days. :)
who
> knows. Wish I could see my future sometimes. Maybe it would reduce my
> stress level.
>
> Please everyone accept my deepest and sincere apologies for stirring Dave
> up. I know better. I was just having a bad moment. I am bad.
>
> Now I must go through the house and make sure that everything that has
China

> made parts is discarded. Oh wait. Not the refrigerator. Well good thing
> its cold outside for now. At least the milk won't spoil. Oh now I don't
> even want to look inside this NEC. Wonder where these parts came from.
>
> I will check my cell phone. Good idea. Maybe they won't have to pass
those
> laws afterall. Save more tax dollars. Oh, man, the Kenmore washer and
> dryer set is toast.
>
> Hey, I got everything cleaned out. Unfortunately, even the control panel
in
> the furnace had China made sub-components on it so I have to go out and
chop
> wood. Since I live in the woods and have this woodchuck out here I am
> relatively sure that the wood is American made the woodchuck looks fairly
> young so it is probably American born and the flint I dug up in the back
> yard should work. Got to get to work on that fire to heat the old house.
> Then I will go through all the wiring and insulation to make sure nothing
> there could be offensive.
>
> Man I got to get busy.
>
> Happy Holidays.
>
> Deb
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5193. "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:49:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c8458_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147728

LeLand doesn't even OWN a CB, so WHY would he care? !
They should do an article on N8 and all the commies on the NG and how they are so loved worldwide!
Dave!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:22907-3E0C6BC9-55@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the "Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually pretty pleasant reading.
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
--
Pretty neat, eh? Sometimes inaccuracies in stories are intentional for various reasons. Nevertheless, I am glad to see such activity covered in an amateur magazine, despite Lelnads claim that magazines have a (chuckle) "responsibility" not to print stuff he doesn't agree with and that the magazine lacks "journalistic integrity" for doing so.....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5194. Re: Big Bad plank #6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:52:03 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c84ec$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147729

Only under the 6th plank of the Communist manifesto do they have "power."
Dey don't want da $$$$$, dey just want to BURY yo CB or other radios!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:auhjhh02lim@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
> news:20021227073457.11095.00000253@mb-mc.aol.com...
> > From Radio World 12-26-02
> >
> > FCC Cancels $10,000 Pirate Fine
> >
> > The FCC has forgiven a $10,000 fine against Thomas Brothers of Berkley,
> Mich.
> > because he has submitted documentation proving he cannot pay the
penalty.
> > Brothers operated a station without a license on 88.3 MHz this year.
> >
>
> Is this all it takes to avoid paying fines? Guess maybe it goes to show
that
> the FCC has no powers.
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5195. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:53:15 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c853f_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147730

JimBoBo:
I "preach" ALL da time. I don't "fleece." The state churches do that!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:p1qo0vo1mdko20iqg3b8og4mo2jsnvgveb@4ax.com...
> Wonder if this guy is one of those Sunday Morning TV preachers?
>
> If so I wonder how much fleece he has in his cabinet that he has
> sheared from his flock?
>
> james
>
>
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:20:34 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
> >upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so
they
> >can make it to Heaven?
> >Dave!
> >
> >"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
> >news:%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> >> This post is off topic and contains foul language.
> >> Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
> >> Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !
> >>
> >> P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in
the
> >> display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting
on
> >> topic, of course
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5196. FS: New Large (3" X 4") External CB 'S30' Meter. Unique

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:53:39 UTC
From: Greg <glapierre@REMOVEbrooke-ocean.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c80e9$1@post.newsfeed.com>
Source record: 147731

*** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***

> Great for those who find it hard to read the one on the radio. Has
> connection for a light. Never used, still in the box, had two and one
want
> with a radio. Measures 3" by 4". Use can use the Styrofoam insert as a
> box to house it in. A pic can be emailed. Would like for it to go by
> tomorrow OR I will put it back in the closet for another time. Unique
and
> none around like it. Made by Jana Electronics.
> ONLY $ 50.00.
>
> glapierre@brooke-ocean.com.com
> (remove second .com to reply)
>
> OR
>
> after 3pm
> glapierre@accesswave.ca.ca
> (remove second .ca to reply)
>
> Homer
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== 100,000 Groups! - 19 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----

5197. Re: GMpartsguy the dorkface trys and fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:54:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c858d_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147733

No pinko--it stands for General Motors, which makes da BEST cars, by the
way. Since you always talk about "gays," YOU must be one of them
(Sodomite), right?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212270842.7df09929@posting.google.com...
> Does the GM stand for "gay male"? I bet it does.
>
> "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<%WJO9.467221$%m4.133459@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> > This post is off topic and contains foul language.
> > Complaint of abuse sent to Yahoo, yet again...
> > Fun being anal, isnt it. Happy new Year !
> >
> > P.S. By the way, the Asatic Final Edition Silver Eagle microphone in
the
> > display case was a great gift i got this year ! Just to keep my posting
on
> > topic, of course

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5198. rugerboy mewls some more, his Master replies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:56:58 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212270856.33ec2415@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147734

You think my "time is gonna come"?
Then get off your white trash ass and do it. Never happen.
You can't even buy your kids Christmas presents, boy.
Of course you have a computer, radios, booze, etc.
Your threats and accusations of molestation, etc were forwarded to
your ISP. You got spanked once, sheesh...stupid idiot that you are ya
can't help yourself.

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<fntm0vc8llf0pv0hp86u4vgl58i5hovaju@4ax.com>...
> now why would you wanna look at this , guilty feelings do you,DOUG?
> Should have thought about all that before you went from sucking off
> truck drivers to molesting little children you idiot.....your
> time is gonna come...he he LOL

5199. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 16:57:21 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aui0in$fqp1$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147732

WOW, Does David Koresh come to mind??? Anyone??

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0c818b_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Points below each misunderstanding!
> Dave!
>
> <james> wrote in message
news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
> > On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
> > Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> >
> > >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with
little
> eye
> > >holes?
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
> > language skills of a group of people are humorous
>
>
> Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
> channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
> for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
> AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He
may
> call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!
>
>
> and less than his
>
>
> WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
> everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS
Tiger
> Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me
in
> OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
> White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
>
>
> > own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin

>
>
> Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but
sinners,
> that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
> is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT
FALSE
> Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
> Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
>
>
> > because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?
>
>
> WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
> translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is,
instead
> of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
> >
>
> > I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
> > Gospel?
>
>
> Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will
try
> to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would
you
> be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA
MEN
> ! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> >
> > james
> > >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> > >>Dave!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5200. Cowgirl69 yaps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 17:00:21 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212270900.88fd3ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147735

Cowgirl, izzat the best ya can do? That is one worn out insult...back
to yer gloryhole, sonny. The professional insulters will letya know
when ya have grown up enough.

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<aufv7r$elpl$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> I just figured it out. I now know why this clown has so much time on his
> hands. He ain't gettin' any! I guess I'll have to leave his ole lady alone
> so he can get some.
>
>
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com...
> > Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
> > the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for
> > CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.
> >
> > There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
> > have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
> > are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change
> > that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?
> >
> > Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
> > waitin'.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > > > "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his QRZ
> > > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of friends
> > > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal for
> > > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> > > > --
> > > > Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and sale of
> > > > HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> > > > questions about N8 alone.
> > > > Leland C. Scott

> > > KC8LDO
> > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> > > "I'm with stupid"
> > > --
> > > > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> > > >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
> > > accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable and
> > > claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > > > Landshark
> > > >--
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5201. twithed mewls more tripe...his Master lays it out for him...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 17:02:59 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212270902.52e83c4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147736

deckthehall@webtv.com (deck-the-hall) wrote in message
news:<dc1baa4a.0212260852.35b6fb61@posting.google.com>...
> rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote in message
news:<cfe28a2b.0212252013.43ba34c9@posting.google.com>...
> > Yer momma and yer FUGGLY kid too, twithed. > > johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in
message news:<26007-3E09578D-547@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > I FEEL BAD CHUNKY YOU LOSER PIECE OF K-9 EXCREMENT BUT I MUST TELL
> > > YOU. WHEN YOU WERE JUST A LITTLE ASSHOLE NO HIGHER THAN A GERMAN
> > > SHEPHERDS GONADS YOUR UGLY 2 BIT MOMMA WHO HAD NO IDEA WHO YOUR DADDY
> > > WAS (THE LOCAL BARFLY, THE MILKMAN, THE MAIL MAN, THE GARBAGE MAN , THE
> > > VET WHO WAS YOUR DR., HELL MAYBE EVEN THE STRAY DOG WHO DID YOU MOMMA
> > > THE NIGHT SHE LEFT THE BAR ALONE, THE DR. AT THE FREE CLINIC YOUR MOMMA
> > > WENT TO WEEKLY, THE COP THAT PULLED HER OVER FOR DWF (DRIVING WHILE
> > > FUGLY) , THE MAN WHO PUMPED YOUR CESSPOOL, THE ELEPHANT MAN, ONE OF THE
> > > CIRCUS PEOPLE WHO CAME TO YOUR TOWN AND IN YOUR MOMMA, ETC.
> > > THE POINT BEING CHUNKY WHEN YOU WERE A REPULSIV UGLY CHILD AND YOU
> > > REMAIN GROTESQUE TO THIS DAY YOU WHORE OF A MOMMA HAD TO TIE PORK CHOPS
> > > AROUND YOUR NECK JUST SO THE DOGS AROUND YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD PLAY
> > > WITH YOU THEN SERVICE YOUR MOTHER AFTERWARDS. YOU POOR PRICK
>
>
>
>
> >--You try too hard, chickenhawk.

You are confusing me with FUGGLY. I bet he is keeping you from killing
anymore puppies, seeing how he can't WAIT for 'em to grow up.

5202. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 17:11:35 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <fe1p0vcb6otlhbbdg987g1grcve926d2o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 147737

>They should do an article on N8 and all the commies on the NG and how they
>are so loved worldwide!

They are already in many publications. Just pick up any
mental health magazine. They are the subject matter listed
under articles pertaining to.....

1. Pathological perception of reality
2. Delusional thoughts of self importance
3. Abnormal need of attention
4. Controlling behavior disorder
5. ....
6. ......
7. ...

There are more. I haven't the time to list them all.
Maybe someone else can continue the list.

5203. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 17:29:17 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <N50P9.169824$a8.89820@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147738

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021227111520.02082.00000003@mb-fd.aol.com...
> well i guess we'll never see it unless you scan the article for us and
post it
> online.

I don't believe I'm allowed to do that as the magazine is not a free
publication and it might violate copyright laws.

> this one is sure to keep there editorial department real busy.

No doubt.

> >Make no mistake, there's no "endorsement" of said activities in the
> >article...quite the opposite. Having said that, yes, it is refreshing to
at
> >least see it covered rather than pretending it doesn't exist.
> >
> >--
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

5204. Re: ICOM775DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 17:49:17 UTC
From: Mauro <iz8dxb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xo0P9.29245$Q%4.908057@news1.tin.it>
Source record: 147739

If someone is interested to buy my rtx can write directly to:

iz8dxb@hotmail.com

Best regards
Maurizio Minatore

5205. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 18:37:31 UTC
From: "yeah....." <not@noway.com>
Message-ID: <bd7p0vorfuiit4steqi299q4c12jbm4uol@4ax.com>
Source record: 147740

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:49:35 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> was blabbling:

...There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the
..."Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa
...Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's
actually
...pretty pleasant reading.
...--
...73 de Bert
...WA2SI

are you referring to Jan 2003 QST?

where is it? which page? I don't see it..

thanks..

5206. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:02:07 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com>
Source record: 147741

On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:

>dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little eye
>holes?
>
>>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>>Dave!
>
>
>
**********8

Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for prayer
and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
politically correct) and burning crosses.

james

5207. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:15:27 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <d69p0v8fjrbqp26ch4ud1u6gv33tajg53s@4ax.com>
Source record: 147743

Dave:

Funny you should reference those two verses. Which are you? Jew or One
who claims to be a Jew and is not?

Or really the meaning behind the reference is and the usage is that
you might just be a tad anti-semetic? Now Now Dave. Would you cast
such horible intentions on the people who gave the King of Kings and
the Son of David?

james

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:24:59 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>You mean the people that are funded by the same people that bring them to
>the BIG cities--the Rev.2:9 & 3:9 people?
>Dave!
>
>"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
>news:20021227073706.11095.00000254@mb-mc.aol.com...
>> dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little
>eye
>> holes?
>>
>> >I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>> >Dave!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5208. Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:41:51 UTC
From: jmeyer21@aol.com
Message-ID: <b699d7b4.0212271141.28dec5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147744

Hello all,

I'm looking for a CB for me Jeep to take off-road. I really like the scan and weather features of the new Cobra 18 WXST II but also want the PA feature. Does anyone know of a radio like this?

Thanks,
Jim

5209. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:47:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11509-3E0CAE5A-21@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147748

From: cowboy67@yahoo.com (Cowboy67)
WOW, Does David Koresh come to mind??? Anyone??
-
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0c818b_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
Points below each misunderstanding!
Dave!
--

Naaah! This is the internet, man. Many are already lost, unable to separate reality from cartoons and internet land.....Dave has never been malicious..unlike the commies that attack people for their beliefs,,like Hall, Lelnad, N8, Gilliland, and George...these are your Koresh types....those who are unable to distinguish reality from fantasy, those who are unable to accept anything but what they know, those who are unable and unwilling to listen, learn, and compromise.

5210. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:51:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11507-3E0CAF33-158@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147750

Make no mistake, there's no "endorsement" of said activities in the
article...quite the opposite. Having said that, yes, it is refreshing to
at least see it covered rather than pretending it doesn't exist.
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
--
Exactly. This was illustrated long ago with the Pop Comm article and
keydowns,,yet there are those who hold that giving any attention at all
is
an endorsement. Glad to see others putting this myth to rest.

5211. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:53:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11507-3E0CAFA8-159@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147749

From: truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com (Dave or Debby)
LeLand doesn't even OWN a CB, so WHY would he care? ! They should do an
article on N8 and all the commies on the NG and how they are so loved
worldwide!
Dave!!
--
Wouldn't that be an "endorsement" ? <g>

5212. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:56:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11508-3E0CB054-58@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147746

From: tnom@mucks.net
They should do an article on N8 and all the commies on the NG and how
they are so loved worldwide!
--
They are already in many publications. Just pick up any mental health
magazine. They are the subject matter listed under articles pertaining
to.....................
1. Pathological perception of reality
2. Delusional thoughts of self importance
3. Abnormal need of attention
4. Controlling behavior disorder
5. ....
6. ......
7. ...
There are more. I haven't the time to list them all. Maybe someone else
can continue the list.

--

What for? They'll just shamelessly plagiarize it and use it in the
future...but there is a certain satisfaction to be had watching them
mime.

5213. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 19:59:20 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <si2P9.475373$P31.155494@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147745

"Jim Meyer" <jmeyer21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b699d7b4.0212271141.28dec5e@posting.google.com...
> Hello all,
>
> I'm looking for a CB for me Jeep to take off-road. I really like the
> scan and weather features of the new Cobra 18 WXST II but also want
> the PA feature. Does anyone know of a radio like this?
>
> Thanks,
> Jim

If the NEW Cobra 18 WXST II has vertical circuit boards on it, you will have problems off road.

Without the PA the 75wxst is pretty rugged. Have it installed on several 4 wheelers. We keep them in weather proof compartments when not in use. Works for us.

If you have room for a cobra 18 then a 25 w/wx no scan but has the PA. They hold up pretty good. A PC68LTW will also offer you the options but no scan.

The Cobra 25 and the Uniden 68xl (ltw if you want weather, and its lighted) are very good radio's. They will both perform well for you.

Happy Shopping.

5214. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 20:02:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11507-3E0CB1E3-160@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147747

>Nobody pays any attention to the 150 mile >limit anyway. [its not an issue]
>If you want a ham ticket and its privileges you >can earn them like everyone else.
>The last think we need in ham radio is;
> "How bout it skip land! Come on Good >Buddy! No doubt about it! AUDIO!!"

Haha..it's still not as pathetic as a hammie like yourself in a cb room *crying* about the state of cb.

>We allready have that in cb radio. Thats >whats wrong with the world today.
--

Oh boy!! Where have we heard THAT one b4? YOU are whats wrong with the world today AND what is wrong with hammie radio.

>there too many people that think they can do >what they want, with no rules to follow,
>no accountability, no responsibility, and no >consequenses. Just give it to me! NOW!

And there are those like your yellow self who feel it is their job to police others.

5215. Twathed yips and yaps and lies, as usual...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 20:57:17 UTC
From: rugerzapeeshooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e22a12a7.0212271257.7f042e56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147751

WHATSAMATTA TWATHED YA LITTLE SAWED OFF PUNK?
CAN'T STOP THE MIGHTY ANTIKEYCLOWNS AND YOU ARE REDUCED TO TALKING
SHIT, AY?
YOU CAN'T DO SHIT ABOUT IT SO YA WHINE. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH TWATHED
YOU DICK SUCKIN PUNK, DO SOMETHIN ABOUT IT IF YOU CAN. YOU CANT AND
YOU KNOW IT YOU SAWED OFF PUS INFECTED SACK OF WORMY TURDS.

DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT BOY...COME ON...DO ONE SINGLE THING. YOU DONT
CUZ YOU KNOW YOU CANNOT.

LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU AINT A PUNK BOY KEYCLOWN WITH A FUGGLY KID LIKE
TWITHED.

twathed@webtv.net (Twathed) whined like the sawed off sissy he is in
message news:<29126-3E0B23F1-940@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: SteveJTA@SWBell.net (Steve) ALSO WHINED PREVIOUSLY!
> Well.. Ill say this.. These amp I had were so old I doubt if any 97
> rules applied then.. I would say these were pre 40 channel and a couple
> of them had 1978 and 1972 news papers around the tubes. But who knows..
> NOT ME.. One time deal and they are gone now..
> Thanks for the input..
> Steve
> PS.. Why the heck do hams even get on this board? Don't they have their
> own message boards?? Are they like the NATO of the News Groups and the
> air waves?? I would think they would be listening to the air waves and
> checking message boards for the TERRORISTS Like I told this N8WWM guy..

WE GAT ON HERE TO MAKE SISSIES LIKE YOU CRY STEVE...DUMMY!

> (chuckle)..These you speak of are part of N8's "fun". Several, like N8,
> Lelnad, Hall and Gilliland come here because they were blacklisted and
> rejected by hammies,,,,it is apparently and painfully obvious *why* this
> occurred to all but themselves. AHHAAHAH!!! MORE BULLSHIT!!
> A few, like N8 and Lelnad, had their cocks cleaned a few years ago so
> well by a couple me and my wife dweebo >

> >With our nation on the brink of war.. Why are you worried about some
> CB amp? Focus >your time on something really productive.
>
THE QUESTION IS, ASSHOLE, WHY ARE YOU SELLING THEM?

>
>
> >If the FCC and ARRL really gave a crap they >would never had released
> these 2m 5 wpm >BASICALLY ANAL CBers on the HF bands..
>

>
>
> The FCC doesn't care. Riley himself said these type are actually a
> larger problem than
> many of the violators..
>
>
>
> >AND hell we are all in a world of hurt if these >guys that cant even
> pass 5wpm are running >around policing ebay , News Groups and the
> >airwaves. They are like small city cops.. NOT >GOOD ENOUGH FOR THE BIG
> CITY so >THEY FEEL THEY HAVE TO PUT THE >ATTITUDE ON FOR THE PIG FARMER
> and >MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ANYONE >THEY COME IN CONTACT WITH...
>
>
>
> BINGO...they were black-balled and rejected by the hammies turning their
> malice on others. It temporarily feeds their damaged egos to spew venom
> toward another unprovoked.
>
>
> >This N8WWM guy has been a TECH for >nearly 10 years.
>
>
>
> A "lifer" tech. Dogie couldn't even pass that test again if he had to.
>
>
> >Obviously a few bricks shy of a full load. JUST >MY OPINION THOUGH!!
>
>
>
> Didn't take you long.,,but then again, it ain't hard to distinguish
> those that walk on all fours. Or ON THEIR KNEES IN A TRUCKSTOP BATHROOM LIKE YOU JANE
GAYER.

A GROUP OF CRIMINALS SPEWS VENOM AND BULLSHIT AND THAT'S GONNA STOP
US? HAHAHAHAHHAHAHH! NEVER HAPPEN.

5216. Ruger the sissyboy shoots himself in the foot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 20:59:36 UTC
From: rugerzapeeshooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e22a12a7.0212271259.3a1798f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147752

You think my "time is gonna come"?
Then get off your white trash ass and do it. Never happen.
You can't even buy your kids Christmas presents, boy.
Of course you have a computer, radios, booze, etc.
Your threats and accusations of molestation, etc were forwarded to
your ISP. You got spanked once, sheesh...stupid idiot that you are ya
can't help yourself.

rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com>...
> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> knows......
>
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

5217. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 21:07:08 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <0i3P9.4127$Vo3.1809159316@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147753

Well as far as I am concerned that's exactly what the FCC did under the prodding of the ARRL when they opened the band to BASICALLY CBer with 5wpm licenses. Then.. Lets talk about the holier than thou 2m cbers.. YES 2m CBers.. That's all that crew is are a bunch of CBers with a few rules and they pretty much think they are better than everyone else. Have you ever set around and listened to that BS that SPEWS from their mouths?? Man.. I LOVE CB.. Its GREAT!! AND I would guarantee you there are many CBers out there that have MUCH MORE SENSE than 50% of the 2m geeks out there.. JUST MY OPINION THOUGH!!!!

Steve
"

5218. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 21:27:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021227162721.12060.00000233@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 147754

i cant forget the astrodome city

>And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5219. Re: THE "positive" gospel according to RED CHINA !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 21:46:21 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <NS3P9.2078$T5.897722@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147759

good post....

drc

<james> wrote in message news:8tqo0v0grebdoj27tgtlf3b0k7lda7ppbt@4ax.com...
> Dave:
>
> I suggest that you make just about everything you use. I would get rid
> of your computer, your TV, cell phone, blender, and so many more that
> it becomes a waste of time to list. You pop off against China made
> products like some do against Arab oil. Well guess what that boycott
> isn't going towork either. There is just about no electronic products
> that are sold that in some way that China does not profit in. It maybe
> as small as a single IC that goes into a camera to complete CB radio
> or even a TV or even a computer. Sorry to burst your bubble. Or better
> yet educate you.
>
> For someone so critical of Chinese people and their way of life that I
> wonder how you gained your expertise? Perhaps you may have lived over
> there for some time? Studied there? Conversed with one from China?
> Debated ideology with someone from China?
>
> I find it quite humorous reading your narrow minded posts. I do hope
> you will not change. I need a good laugh once and a while. I am glad
> people like you are not in power. I would hate to live under a
> christian talliban. At least our founding fathers knew that an over
> opressive religeous dogma will lead to a totalitarian state.
>
>
> james
>
>
> ps I wonder if Benny Hinn, Jerry Fallwell, Jimmy Swaggart, and others
> like them are going to Heaven? Are you like them?
>
> read Matthew 19:23 and 24 and find out obout their great wealth.
>
>
>
> On Wed, 25 Dec 2002 21:12:59 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >Points made below each comment !
> >Dave!
> >

> >"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> >news:IXhO9.456226$WL3.124402@rwcrnsc54...
> >
> >> -You kinda infer that this wouldn't happen in the US.
> >
> > No, but OUR court system would STOP this kind of thievery, where
> >China's communist court system doesn't do much about it. (OUR meaning
the
> >Western world).
> >
> >
> > Sorta like hiring a
> >> tech and he/she does cb work on the side using your equipment. It
doesn't
> >> matter where things are made.
> >
> > YES IT DOES. Do YOU sell NEW Cobra CB's--YOU support communist
> >China, which is NOT Christian, but persecutes Christians! The Chinese
Govt.
> >is as wicked as HELL !
> >
> >
> > You have thieves all over the place. Doesn't
> >> matter what their nationality.
> >
> >
> > And a lot of them are CB shops, which gives us GOOD ones a bad
name,
> >unfortunately!
> >
> >>
> >> Crack the cases on that there computer your using and see if it hasn't
> >got
> >
> >
> > Sorry--I don't DO crack ! I can't "crack" them! I have made
them,
> >and expressed my disgust to by brother the computer engineer, and advised
> >him to buy non-China made stuff instead. But sometimes we are forced to
!
> >
> >> foreign made parts in it.
> >>
> >> You can't afford to buy American made stuff. Most American
manufactures
> >> can't afford not to buy the foreign made parts and accessories either.
> >
> > (that's because of the Deut. 28 mess we are in now. The
Government
> >and Fed. Reserve System has made THIS happen)!

> >
> >>
> >> You amaze me sometimes at your proliferation of ill comments and then
> >infer
> >
> >
> > Yes, YOU CB Shops that sell NEW CB's made in RED Communist Murderer
> >China DOES made me Ill. I get ANGRY, like an Angry White man, but I
don't
> >sin, pursuant to Scriptures.
> >
> >> that you may be a Christian?
> >
> > I am. I keep God's Commandments and Believe THE Gospel, and
> >encourage others to do so too. Pointing out wickedness and exposing SIN
> >does NOT make me a "lesser" Christian! It is what the Holy Spirit's JOB
is
> >!
> >
> >
> >Look at Enron.
> >
> > Mostly Liberals look @ Enron. It is just the tip of the iceberg.
IF
> >you looked at what the Federal Reserve System does to us, you wouldn't
even
> >open your mouth of such sins the corporations do such as enron! BIGGER
> >things are going on they don't want YOU to know about! So they get you
to
> >look @ Enron, when they are doing much worse other places!
> >
> >
> >>
> >> If there was a little more positivity and less negativity there just
may
> >be
> >> happier people. So surround yourself with positive people.
> >
> >
> > Are you part of the "positive gospel" people? I AM Positive! Don't
> >worry--Bee Happy IS a lie ! You need to listen to 5070 SW on Sat. PM @ 8
or
> >Sun. @ 10pm. Then maybe The Lord will WAKE you UP !
> >
> >If it makes you
> >> happy not to use or buy foreign made products then throw it all out.
> >
> > I didn't SAY that ! We are forced pursuant in the Deut. 28
> >country we are in to buy foreign items, especially in the CB world since
'76

> >or before. We still NOW have a CHOICE to NOT buy RED CHINA CB's ! IF
you
> >ARE an American, you WON'T buy NEW CB's made in CHINA for resale! UNIDEN
is
> >better! The Phillipinos still love Macarthur! So do I !
> >
> >
> >> Someone else will be glad to pick it up and get the offensive little
> >buggers
> >> out of your way.
> >
> >
> > No, I have been personally responsible for diverting thousands of
$$$$
> >away from RED CHINA (our ENEMY) by not having people buy Cobra CB's.
Would
> >you buy North Korea CB's too?!
> >
> >>
> >> Happy Holidays and God Bless you.
> >>
> >> Deb
> >
> > Happy Saturnalia to you too; and HE DOES, because I KEEP HIS
> >Commandments. Remember, it's NOT "God Bless America," but AMERICA BLESS
> >GOD ! God cannot nor will Bless a wicked, reprobate, Jesus Christ of
> >Nazareth (God in the flesh) HATING America !
> >Dave!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

5220. Re: rugerboy mewls some more, his Master replies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 21:55:37 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <ctip0vom8ivvc8mnc4jti6tempfqdqniqg@4ax.com>
Source record: 147760

foward them all you want to there big shooter.......did anybody
mention you in particular......hmmmmmmmmm guilty conscience....you
should change your name ti IAMGUILTY.......har har LOL Mr. Hide behind
my computer cause I'm a wuss...........LMAO

On 27 Dec 2002 08:56:58 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>You think my "time is gonna come"?
>Then get off your white trash ass and do it. Never happen.
>You can't even buy your kids Christmas presents, boy.
>Of course you have a computer, radios, booze, etc.
>Your threats and accusations of molestation, etc were forwarded to
>your ISP. You got spanked once, sheesh...stupid idiot that you are ya
>can't help yourself.
>
>
>rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<fntm0vc8llf0pv0hp86u4vgl58i5hovaju@4ax.com>...
>> now why would you wanna look at this , guilty feelings do you,DOUG?
>> Should have thought about all that before you went from sucking off
>> truck drivers to molesting little children you idiot.....your
>> time is gonna come...he he LOL

5221. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:01:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pjehokk9h20d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147761

Page 98 under "how's DX?"
Seems they're kicking off team members that want to go to the freeband for DX.

Seems the level of visibility is getting higher ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"yeah.............." <not@noway.com> wrote in message news:bd7p0vorfuiit4steqi299q4c12jbm4uol@4ax.com...

> are you referring to Jan 2003 QST?
>
> where is it? which page? I don't see it..
>
> thanks..

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5222. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:19:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13976-3E0CD1DB-137@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147763

```
From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com (Dave=A0or=A0Debby)
LeLand doesn't even OWN a CB, so WHY would he care? ! They should do an
article on N8 and all the commies on the NG and how they are so loved
worldwide!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
--
>Hey Twist,that -- you use to show the poster >change,is the cut off
text prompt for a sig file >cut off. Some newsreaders delete anything
>below --. (including mine)
>Please change it to ___ Or something..;)
>Or get a real news service. <g>
--
I ehear ya', but if I did that, certain OE users wouldn't have to work
so hard. Plus, webtv service can now be had for pocket change if you
know how to go about it.....visit some of your old stomping grounds and
you'll see what I mean....I'll try and remember that __ is better than
--.
--
```

5223. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:24:57 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0CC68B.3060501@cac.net>
Source record: 147755

Um.. Chunks.. Make sure you get your facts straight..

I post my callsign every time I post to this newsgroup. If you're too blind to see it, then maybe you need to get that pair of nuts off your face and look a little closer.

I'm an Amateur Extra. Keith knows it, Twist knows it, Mopar knows it, hell, even the infamous Dougie knows it. I made sure to point it out to Doug the day I passed the test.

Now go lay down by your bowl like a good little puppy and leave the newsgroup to those mature enough to handle it properly.

-SSB

\"chunks\" wrote:
> Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
> to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.
>
> That is of course, he actually is a ham which I doubt.
>
>
> Wonder what callsign a CB'er gives when calling AES
> or HRO? K4DUMSHIT?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "sideband" <> wrote in message
>
>
>
>>Secondly, HAM, CB'er, it doesn't matter. Either you're an asshole, or
>>you're not. Doesn't matter what your hobby is, doesn't matter whether
>>you have a ticket or not. (Chuck and Doug have proved this.) If you're
>>an asshole, you're an asshole.
>>
>>Mopar, thanks for the compliment, but the fact that I'm a HAM has
>>nothing to do with it. Not to mention it being off topic. ::snicker::
>>
>>Have a good one.
>>

>>73
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>
>>>sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Riiight.. Caught in a lie, and now he's using this "retainer" thing to
>>>>try to cover his ass.
>>>>
>>>>chunks, you wonder why people don't like you... there it is right there.
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>>
>>>
>>>He's almost a bigger asshole than N8WWM.
>>>
>>>It's a good thing we have cool Hams here too. (like yourself)
>>>
>>
>
>

5224. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:25:58 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0CC6C8.4080903@cac.net>
Source record: 147756

Close.. It WAS AB something.. my Vanity call came through in
September. Now it's KR-something.. Right there in plain sight, too, if
you know what you're looking for..

-SSB

WMC Club wrote:
>
> \"chunks\" wrote:
>
>>Right, a self-proclaimed ham who is afraid
>>to post his CALL LETTERS in a cb newsgroup.
>
>
>
> Pay attention, It has been posted. It's AB something.
> Do your own homework. Go eat some more Christmas cookies.

5225. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:27:17 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0CC717.6090909@cac.net>
Source record: 147757

Hey! Chunks said something I can agree with!

He IS a Turkey!

-SSB

\"chunks\" wrote:
> "signalchaser" williamsmith208@hotmail.com wrote in message
>
>
>>Aren't you a self proclaimed ham since you have such a vendetta against
>>those who use the equipment. And if so, then your lack of call letters
>
> being
>
>>used, isn't that just as hypocritical
>
>
> No I'm not a ham, I'm a turkey. Turkeys don't have callsigns. Now pull up
> your
> pants and get off the pot. Your much too young to use drugs.
>
>
>

5226. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:27:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13976-3E0CD3C5-138@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147764

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Page 98 under "how's DX?"
Seems they're kicking off team members that want to go to the freeband
for DX.
Seems the level of visibility is getting higher ;)
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

--

Depends how you look at it. One may also say it illustrates the
popularity of the activity from within the hammie ranks. One can also
say it was always so, only now more are willing to admit it and freely
discuss it without fear of the intellectually-challenged "shooting
freebanders in the head".

5227. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:29:07 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0CC785.5080306@cac.net>
Source record: 147758

Shutup Chuck.

You were an ASS on MidCARS a few weeks ago, and you're still being an
ass here. Grow the hell up.

-SSB

\"chunks\" wrote:
> Uh...um....listen up buggs....
>
> Your getting a bit too technical for this NG ok?
>
> Keep it simple, use lots of profanity and attack
> as many posters as possible.
>
> Let your ego guide you to safe passage.
>
> Keep your nose clean and don't do drugs.
>
>
>
>
>
> "KF4MQA" <KF4MQA@Buggs.net> wrote in message
>
>
>>To the best of my knowledge what I am going to say is true, and forgive me
>>if I am wrong, but:
>>
>>1- The RCI-2950 is an Amateur radio, not a CB.
>>2- If it receives CB frequencies it has been modified and is illegal to
>>operate on CB because of power output
>>3- If you operate it on any frequency other than the Amateur frequencies
>>that it was originally intended to use and you do not have an amateur
>>license, you are violating the law
>>4- If caught, you can be fined and any fine is too much to pay for being
>>uninformed
>>
>>If all you want is a standard CB, you can find them easily
>>If you want an export type CB, they are also easy to find but illegal to
>>operate. You can own them, but you're not supposed to use them, but as the
>>old saying goes.. "A lot fo people are doing it"
>>If you are a CBer who wants to move into the Amateur radio world, I wish
>
> you

>
>>the best because I started in CB when I was 13 with an old Radio Shack
>
> base
>
>>unit and after many years of putting it off for various reason I finally
>
> got
>
>>my Ham ticket
>>
>>73's
>>KF4MQA
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

5228. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:36:14 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <a7lp0v4fgms00dv6hqcjkvq0agmu556ti2@4ax.com>
Source record: 147762

>Seems the level of visibility is getting higher ;)

Level of visibility? Face it, freebandings high visibility is proof
that freebanding is more popular than amateur radio.

5229. FS Mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:46:54 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <auingk$2020$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 147766

FS Hump mount for a CB/Scanner/Etc. Contains speaker with cord and plug and a mic holder. Not quite sure where/how the radio "was" supposed to "attach" to this thing, but there is a space to put one on. In real good condition - minor wear marks. $7.50 plus shipping. Postal MO only.. E-mail if interested.

--
Lou/Tech@DP.NET

5230. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 22:48:12 UTC
From: jmeyer21@aol.com
Message-ID: <b699d7b4.0212271448.2e0ea04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147765

Thanks for the reply. I have the 75wxst in my Chevy truck right now
and it performs well, but again no PA. I do like the scan.

Since I haven't found a radio with all the features I'm looking for, I
ranked the "extra" feature I want with the top two being maditory.

1. Scan. I would like to be able to scan the channels for activity.
2. PA. This is a good one when you want to page someone who is away
from camp without a radio.
3. Weather. Always good to find out if you're about to be in trouble.

Thanks again for your help.

Jim

> If the NEW Cobra 18 WXST II has vertical circuit boards on it, you will have
> problems off road.
>
> Without the PA the 75wxst is pretty rugged. Have it installed on several 4
> wheelers. We keep them in weather proof compartments when not in use.
> Works for us.
>
> If you have room for a cobra 18 then a 25 w/wx no scan but has the PA.
> They hold up pretty good. A PC68LTW will also offer you the options but no
> scan.
>
> The Cobra 25 and the Uniden 68xl (ltw if you want weather, and its lighted)
> are very good radio's. They will both perform well for you.
>
> Happy Shopping.

5231. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:17:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pnsus7q21a6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147767

Good point of itself, Twist. My point was only that they are publishing it in QST. Visibility is getting higher. Reality is that some of the amateur contests are not much more wholesome than the proverbial shoot-outs. Regardless of anyone's take on the DX, I personally feel there is much more to 24 GHz moonbounce with 85 watts than DXCC (or the shoot-outs with CB). All grousing aside, how much difference exists between some of the 14.313 crowd, some of the 75 meter crowd, and some of the idiots on CB (and certainly not all cbers nor amateurs are like that). Dang, Twist, the more I try to uncover the differences to point out to you, the more I find that we are very similar (amateur and cb). The control freaks exist on both sides of the ledger; heck, tell ya what - I'll extend the hand of friendship. I'll even give you Doug .... Bwahahahaha! (sorry, I couldn't pass up that opportunity!). If nothing else is ever learned in the newsgroup, I'd hope most of us realize there are loose cannons on both sides of the group - and most of the gang are simply human beings (flame 'em and you're likely to get flamed back). Certainly none of us is totally innocent all of the time (I just try and hide it).

73 and hope your Christmas was a gooder (I got 100 pounds of anthracite coal!)

Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:13976-3E0CD3C5-138@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Depends how you look at it. One may also say it illustrates the popularity of the activity from within the hammie ranks. One can also say it was always so, only now more are willing to admit it and freely discuss it without fear of the intellectually-challenged "shooting freebanders in the head".

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5232. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:28:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pohdjd0s2064@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147768

No, Mopar, NO!!! Global warming is caused by all of the footwarmers in the CB group! Also, they cause washing machines to oversuds too!!! ;)

Did I miss anything else to blame on the CBers?

Oh, and that doggon Twist and Unit 69 Scott are the leaders too! What scoundrels!

And Dave or Debbie!

Who else can I blame?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021227182207.740$0B@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:I got 100 pounds of anthracite
> > coal!
> >
> > Jim
> >
> At least you got the good stuff,Jim. But acid rain is all your fault!
> (global warming too) <g>
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5233. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:36:47 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ju5P9.137547$qF3.10590@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 147769

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227181836.136$Sv@newsreader.com...
> jmeyer21@aol.com (Jim Meyer) wrote:
> > Thanks for the reply. I have the 75wxst in my Chevy truck right now
> > and it performs well, but again no PA.
> >
> You leave those blue hairs at the bus stop alone with your pa system!
>snip>

Now don't take away his fun. :)

5234. Re: I have no friends here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:46:51 UTC
From: bad breath <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l1qp0v4u0091qkgud8g8ncu96osslh2gbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 147771

it will grow on you like flys on dog
crap. ok

happy whatever

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 16:10:08 -0800, "\"chunks\"" <nothanks@seeya.bye>
wrote:

>Seems I'm not wanted around here.
>
>Anybody else feel the same?
>

5235. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:47:36 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0CE698.7E1AD05A@listed.here>
Source record: 147770

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>
> Oh, and that doggon Twist and Unit 69 Scott are the leaders too! What
> scoundrels!

Alright!! Your AA's are in the mail. Sorry for being late in sending them!!!

5236. up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:50:09 UTC
From: bad breath <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <j5qp0vcmo38i15rrberho2obqna9b87pke@4ax.com>
Source record: 147772

why cant i hook up two radios side by side and get 2x
the power> someguy or good buddy mailed me and said to get 2 pill box
no idea what he is mean

u know

5237. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:51:44 UTC
From: "yeah....." <not@noway.com>
Message-ID: <5rpp0vcg1r5pdbppbtjcmcrbvioioltrel@4ax.com>
Source record: 147773

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:01:24 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:

...Page 98 under "how's DX?"
...Seems they're kicking off team members that want to go to the
freeband for
...DX.
...
...Seems the level of visibility is getting higher ;)

in dec or jan QST? i don't see in Jan 2003

5238. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-27 23:55:08 UTC
From: "yeah....." <not@noway.com>
Message-ID: <a0qp0vo3p1b1d1do6th49ah1fo88n8dpms@4ax.com>
Source record: 147774

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:01:24 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:

...Page 98 under "how's DX?"

You mean 78?

<snicker> I am page 98 going...."these people are nuts, there isn't
anything on page 98 related to cb radio...!"

5239. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:08:53 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <pY5P9.474596$WL3.125109@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147775

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227184816.222$Q9@newsreader.com...
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021227181836.136$Sv@newsreader.com...
> > > jmeyer21@aol.com (Jim Meyer) wrote:
> > > > Thanks for the reply. I have the 75wxst in my Chevy truck right now
> > > > and it performs well, but again no PA.
> > > >
> > > You leave those blue hairs at the bus stop alone with your pa system!
> > >snip>
> >
> > Now don't take away his fun. :)
> >
> Yea..and if you're old enough,you'll have drive-in movie speakers mounted
> in your cars grill..
>
> Bang snaps are even more fun. <g>

Now your dating us. If you know what I mean. I call them strands of
wisdom, not merely grey hairs.

Deb
------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5240. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:12:50 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <606P9.474617$WL3.125018@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147776

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227185422.814$D8@newsreader.com...
> bad breath <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > why cant i hook up two radios side by side and get 2x
> > the power> someguy or good buddy mailed me and said to get 2 pill box
> > no idea what he is mean
> >
> > u know
> >
> Just plug one into 3 phase.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

With your toes. Making sure that you are sitting on your whoopee cushion.
Use a d104 desk mike. You know the all metal one. Good luck! Don't use
the one with the eagle scribed on the back. You don't want to mark up a
very nice looking mic.
:) I'm bad.

Deb

5241. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:19:02 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0CEDF6.A5F1CEEA@listed.here>
Source record: 147777

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> > i cant forget the astrodome city
> >
> > >And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> Good place for a bong burn off.

Time for some more bubbles. Friday night bubbles and beer.

Buddies, bubbles, beers all on my CB. Good to be me...

5242. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:29:29 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Jf6P9.476381$P31.156251@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147778

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227192258.533$ZN@newsreader.com...
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021227184816.222$Q9@newsreader.com...
> > > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote:
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021227181836.136$Sv@newsreader.com...
> > > > > jmeyer21@aol.com (Jim Meyer) wrote:
> > > > > > Thanks for the reply. I have the 75wxst in my Chevy truck right
> > > > > > now and it performs well, but again no PA.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You leave those blue hairs at the bus stop alone with your pa
> > > > >system! snip>
> > > >
> > > > Now don't take away his fun. :)
> > > >
> > > Yea..and if you're old enough,you'll have drive-in movie speakers
> > > mounted in your cars grill..
> > >
> > > Bang snaps are even more fun. <g>
> >
> > Now your dating us. If you know what I mean. I call them strands of
> > wisdom, not merely grey hairs.
> >
> > Deb
> >
> Hey as long as there's 'strands of wisdom' up there..
>
> Maybe they're antenna's (trying to sound like I'm on topic). <g>
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

Hey, we've kept the post at the top so it can be followed. I think your on
topic. We can tell the young poster that he won't be able to find all that
in a radio today. I don't think. Of course I have been wrong before. I
know, lets ask Dave. Of course I won't be able to see his reply. :)

Deb
I am still bad ain't I. I'll quit.

5243. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:30:20 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <wg6P9.476096$%m4.134346@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147779

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227191828.510$SS@newsreader.com...
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021227185422.814$D8@newsreader.com...
> > > bad breath <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > why cant i hook up two radios side by side and get 2x
> > > > the power> someguy or good buddy mailed me and said to get 2 pill
> > > > box no idea what he is mean
> > > >
> > > > u know
> > > >
> > > Just plug one into 3 phase.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> > > 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
> >
> > With your toes. Making sure that you are sitting on your whoopee
> > cushion. Use a d104 desk mike. You know the all metal one. Good luck!
> > Don't use the one with the eagle scribed on the back. You don't want to
> > mark up a very nice looking mic.
> > :) I'm bad.
> >
> > Deb
> >
> Deb is bad. But who ain't?
>
> Disclaimer: DO NOT plug your CB into three phase.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

Thanks for clearing that up. Forgot, you know.
Deb

5244. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:31:34 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Gh6P9.476103$%m4.134311@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147780

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227192650.112$bm@newsreader.com...
> WMC Club <not@listed.here> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> > > > i cant forget the astrodome city
> > > >
> > > > >And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
> > > > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > Good place for a bong burn off.
> > >
> > Time for some more bubbles. Friday night bubbles and beer.
> > >
> > Buddies, bubbles, beers all on my CB. Good to be me...
> > >
> Salute.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

Cheers, oops glass gettin low.

Deb

5245. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:37:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0CF252.8080609@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147788

Dave or Debby wrote:
> Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
> upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so they
> can make it to Heaven?
> Dave!

If you are going to be there, probably not.

5246. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:40:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0CF2F9.6070903@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147789

Dave or Debby wrote:

> AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me!

And I would be curious as to just what he called you. Probably
should not be repeated.

5247. Re: Big Bad plank #6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 00:42:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0CF381.7030606@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147790

Dave or Debby wrote:
> Only under the 6th plank of the Communist manifesto do they have "power."
> Dey don't want da $$$$$, dey just want to BURY yo CB or other radios!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The best use for that 6th plank would be to whack you across the
forehead, maybe knock some sense into you.

5248. Re: FS Mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 01:04:37 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <auivkk$20qs$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 147781

Are you sure you got the right "LOU"? There are a few people here that use a part of this name.....
I just figured someone may have a use for it.. SHEEEESH......As to price, it may be worth more, I don't know nor do I care. I have no use for it... Lighten up...This is certainly not worth arguing over.... And it isn't like I post here a lot. THIS is "my" first FS post here in probably 2 years or more unless something got cross posted. There was a few other posts with my name attached (cross posted - unfortunately) but they weren't radio related. It was a topic I jumped in on and gave an opinion on. SO..... It's amazing how some can try to pick and choose who should post and who shouldn't. I don't bother you nor do I tell you what or when to post.. SO STUFF IT.
--
Lou/Tech@DP.NET

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021227182648.956$3c@newsreader.com...
> "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
> > FS Hump mount for a CB/Scanner/Etc. Contains speaker with cord and plug
> > and a mic holder. Not quite sure where/how the radio "was" supposed to
> > "attach" to this thing, but there is a space to put one on. In real good
> > condition - minor wear marks. $7.50 plus shipping. Postal MO only..
> > E-mail if interested.
> >
> You'd bother to post a $7.50 hump mount?
>
> Brian was right about you.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5249. Re: FS Mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 01:13:03 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <auj03r$20ti$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 147782

I don't know Brian, he doesn't know me. I'm not even in here enough to know who the hell you're even referring to. So he couldn't possibly know me either. There are many Brians on line, I'm sure. Just as Lous. Even if he whoever he is, does think he knows me, I'm sure he doesn't give a shit of what I think, neither do I about him towards me, or anyone else for that matter. I do not come in here to create problems nor get any.. GOOD DAY!

--
Lou/Tech@DP.NET
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227182648.956$3c@newsreader.com...
> You'd bother to post a $7.50 hump mount?
>
> Brian was right about you.

5250. Wanted DX 60B with Maching VFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 02:10:36 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D0802.6010308@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147783

I'm looking for a Heathkit DX 60 B with matching VFO . Drop me a Line
oris@dirtball.com
I have a Cherokee CBS 1000 AM /SSB Base Station. Expaned channels and
Modulation mod. Trade even up. The Radio will work 25 Mhz -29.7995. I'll
trade even up.Or 200 bucs cash money.
73 and thanks for reading.
Oris

5251. Re: Radio gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 02:11:45 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D0848.6090100@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147784

BLOW ME!

WMC Club wrote:

>John Rambo wrote:
>
>
>>oris give it a rest before we call in the dogs.....
>>
>>
>
>
>Doggie Adair? If that ain't enough to scare 'ya...!
>
>

5252. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 02:16:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nQ7P9.81434$hK4.6685827@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147785

Oh mercy...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"bad breath" <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:j5qp0vcmo38i15rrberho2obqna9b87pke@4ax.com...
>
>
> why cant i hook up two radios side by side and get 2x
> the power> someguy or good buddy mailed me and said to get 2 pill box
> no idea what he is mean
>
> u know

5253. Re: FS Mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 02:18:25 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RR7P9.81443$hK4.6686698@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147786

The only problem I see is that the shipping will double the price...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:auingk$2020$1@news.dp.net...
> FS Hump mount for a CB/Scanner/Etc. Contains speaker with cord and plug
and
> a mic holder. Not quite sure where/how the radio "was" supposed to
"attach"
> to this thing, but there is a space to put one on. In real good
condition -
> minor wear marks. $7.50 plus shipping. Postal MO only.. E-mail if
> interested.
>
> --
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
>

5254. Re: GMpartsguy the dorkface trys and fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 02:22:17 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tV7P9.81462$hK4.6684356@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147787

Best cars... hmmmmm

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0c858d_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> No pinko--it stands for General Motors, which makes da BEST cars, by the
> way.

5255. Re: Big Bad Uncle Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 03:31:54 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212271931.49dd4420@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147791

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:<auhjhh02lim@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> "Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
> news:20021227073457.11095.00000253@mb-mc.aol.com...
> > From Radio World 12-26-02
> >
> > FCC Cancels $10,000 Pirate Fine
> >
> > The FCC has forgiven a $10,000 fine against Thomas Brothers of Berkley,
> Mich.
> > because he has submitted documentation proving he cannot pay the penalty.
> > Brothers operated a station without a license on 88.3 MHz this year.
> >
>
> Is this all it takes to avoid paying fines? Guess maybe it goes to show that
> the FCC has no powers.

It breaks the heart to learn that that intimidation stuff had to peter
out someday. Let's send Riley a sympathy card & a box of Kleenexes.

Guy Richards

5256. Re: Queer clown yaps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 03:48:29 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <auj6nn$oc0$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147792

Well,

Maybe I was wrong in my last post. Maybe she just claimed to be your ole lady and she really ain't 'cause you ain't man enuff to have an ole lady. If you ain't the FCC, Get the F^*$ out queer clown!

Profesional insulters? LMFAO! 'Cause u sure ain't one. Those pro's done told me so. U Uncle Charlie wanna be!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212270900.88fd3ab@posting.google.com...
> Cowgirl, izzat the best ya can do? That is one worn out insult...back
> to yer gloryhole, sonny. The professional insulters will letya know
> when ya have grown up enough.
>
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<aufv7r$elpl$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > I just figured it out. I now know why this clown has so much time on his
> > hands. He ain't gettin' any! I guess I'll have to leave his ole lady
alone
> > so he can get some.
> >
> >
> > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com...
> > > Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
> > > the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for
> > > CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.
> > >
> > > There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
> > > have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
> > > are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change
> > > that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?
> > >
> > > Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
> > > waitin'.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> > news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > > From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message

> > > > news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > > > "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his
QRZ
> > > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
friends
> > > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
for
> > > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> > > > --
> > > > Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and
sale of
> > > > HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> > > > questions about N8 alone.
> > > > Leland C. Scott
> > > > KC8LDO
> > > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> > > > "I'm with stupid"
> > > > --
> > > > > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> > > > >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
> > > > accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable
and
> > > > claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > > > > Landshark
> > > > >--
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5257. Re: Expanding Channels On CB Radio And Getting My Ham Ticket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 03:49:49 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212271949.3fef4f2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147793

johnnycat89@hotmail.com (JC) wrote in message
news:<26007-3E094831-533@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I see posts on the CB news groups about people wanting to expand
> the number of channels (more than 40) and expanding the CB frequencies.
> What I don't understand is there seems to be plenty of room now with
> unused channels so why the need for more.

Probably for the same reasons why so many 440mhz repeater owners in CA
try to turn every freq they can get into "members only": pure greed
and ego.

> Also I am in the process of becoming a Ham
> and I don't understand thier snobbery. It's like overnight when rthey
> get thier ham license us CBer's are nothing more than low life trailer
> trash in thier eyes.

Doubtless a lot of hams who are members of radio clubs feel the same
way about unaffiliated hams such as me... and a lot of ARRL members
probably feel the same way about non-ARRL hams. But the real reason I
never joined ARRL is because it wouldn't give me any "perks" I could
use, especially because I never went in for the contests &
awards---NOT because I felt I couldn't "cut the mustard".

> Granted the studying is difficult and one needs to learn a good ammount
> of technical knowledge to get thier ham license but when I get my ham
> ticket I will not look down on CB operators.

I never did look down on CBers, partly because I *was* one & partly
because some 2m hams are worse lids than any CBers I know of! (hmm,
antikeyclowns might be useful after all, if we can turn 'em onto
cleaning up '435...)

> I have to say from
> monitorinng the ham bands they have jerks there also even on the upper
> levels but there are less.

Believe me brother, they're out there... but this is what we get for
not psychiatrically screening people who apply for ham licenses. There
will always be sneaks who will get their ticket honestly & then act
like a kid in a candy store once they've got it.

Guy Richards

5258. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 03:54:52 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212271954.386ac850@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147794

We trolls fix our own meals, thank you. It doesn't matter whether anyone else feeds us or not.

Guy Richards (a troll who lives under a bridge & looks like Yosemite Sam) :-P

5259. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 05:06:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0qcbsd40uv5c7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147795

Dang, don't put down my chrome plated D-104 left over from the 60s (I've
still got it in the attic!)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:606P9.474617$WL3.125018@rwcrnsc54...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021227185422.814$D8@newsreader.com...
> > bad breath <yourafishheaddogs@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > why cant i hook up two radios side by side and get 2x
> > > the power> someguy or good buddy mailed me and said to get 2 pill box
> > > no idea what he is mean
> > >
> > > u know
> > >
> > Just plug one into 3 phase.
> >
> > --
> > ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> > 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>
> With your toes. Making sure that you are sitting on your whoopee cushion.
> Use a d104 desk mike. You know the all metal one. Good luck! Don't use
> the one with the eagle scribed on the back. You don't want to mark up a
> very nice looking mic.
> :) I'm bad.
>
> Deb
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/26/02

5260. Re: Big Bad Uncle Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 05:08:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0qcg0k9sqjpee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147796

The problem is that they can't get the feds to do anything for small change.
So, they go for the big bucks and most folks can simply show either that
they can't pay or bankrupt. End of problem

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021227073457.11095.00000253@mb-mc.aol.com...
> From Radio World 12-26-02
>
> FCC Cancels $10,000 Pirate Fine
>
> The FCC has forgiven a $10,000 fine against Thomas Brothers of Berkley,
Mich.
> because he has submitted documentation proving he cannot pay the penalty.
> Brothers operated a station without a license on 88.3 MHz this year.
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/26/02

5261. FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 08:00:37 UTC
From: Buzzy <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com>
Message-ID: <AKdP9.1380$166.313831519@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147797

FCC ISSUES REMINDER ABOUT FREEBAND CAPABLE TRANSCEIVERS

The FCC has issued a friendly reminder about those HF transceivers ostensibly marketed for "amateur" use, but actually designed and intended specifically for the so-called "freeband" radio operators, who operate primarily in the 26 to 28 MHz frequency range.

The FCC points out that it's a violation of FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio Service (ARS) equipment." The notice--directed to "manufacturers, importers, vendors and users of these transceivers"--emphasizes that transmitters intended for use by FCC-authorized radio services except the Amateur Radio Service must be type-accepted.

In the words of the Public Notice: "The Commission considers that the transceivers discussed above are intended to be operated on frequencies where the use of type-accepted equipment is required, because of the simplicity of modifying them to extend their operating frequency range."

The FCC also noted that it's illegal to import, market or operate a transmitter that requires a grant of equipment authorization but for which no grant has been issued, and that it's a violation to transmit on frequencies allocated to a licensed radio service "without the appropriate Commission-issued station license." Violators could be subject to fines or imprisonment as well as equipment seizure and forfeiture

5262. FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 10:19:50 UTC
From: Buzzy <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com>
Message-ID: <4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147798

Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks

HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING IT FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400 SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS SHIPPING & HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO RETURNS

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5263. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 12:12:17 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BygP9.538538$QZ.77671@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147799

Test only.............1-2-3

5264. Need Help with a mod'd Roadtalker 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 12:50:33 UTC
From: schulzr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228075033.01749.00000018@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 147800

My dad's old Roadtalker 40 was mod'd and has three switches on bottom...

One is a double throw switch and the other two are single throw,
I'm wondering if anyone knows what the switching scenerio is,
anyone familiar?

Roland

5265. FS: 4CX250B NOS (6 tubes/lot)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 12:58:33 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZdhP9.65$9N5.26476@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147801

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948284955&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

5266. Re: Big Bad plank #6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 13:28:29 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <1b9r0v4ssh7fr43cedkan2ajhi2sf17733@4ax.com>
Source record: 147802

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 19:42:41 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Dave or Debby wrote:
>> Only under the 6th plank of the Communist manifesto do they have "power."
>> Dey don't want da $$$$$, dey just want to BURY yo CB or other radios!
>> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>The best use for that 6th plank would be to whack you across the
>forehead, maybe knock some sense into you.
****

No that will not help. Considering the man's language skill, he
appears to lack formal education. He needs to understand that the
whacking across his forehead is meant to get his attention. I am not
certain that he can comprehend that. Then again his manner of writing
could be a ploy to make us think he is poorly educated. Not sure.

On reconsidering, maybe a whack across the forehead just maybe the
ideal thing for him.

james

5267. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 13:33:12 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <lv9r0vog93od6o72v5tljhafecuehi41c7@4ax.com>
Source record: 147803

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:39:01 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
****

what the chrome dome on the top of your neck?

james

>"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
>news:20021227073818.11095.00000255@mb-mc.aol.com...
>> cant forget texas aka the big star
>>
>> >
>> >Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say Ohio
>!
>> >
>> >Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
>> >
>> >How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded
>them
>> >over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
>> >
>> >They "ARE" da MAN !
>> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5268. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:13:29 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <d2ar0vs8s3k6gn841d828jl72a4l0cbi3u@4ax.com>
Source record: 147804

Dave:

I see in you a pathetic man. You have somehow manage to wrap ethnocentric nationalism and deep orthodox religion together and strike out at all that differs from you. As you walk down your path toward what end you meet, you will grow more and more ethnocentric. Combine that with your deep orthodoxy, this will justify any and all actions you take. For the unclean that do not heed your perversion of the Word should be eliminated as they are doomed to the eternal flames of Hades. See you have justified your action. It is easy to condem those that are not like you. Tolerance is not in your being.

If one looks in history the road of ethnocentric nationalism led to Hitler's rise. Add in a deep orthodoxy in religion and you get the talliban and the teachings Ayahtollah Khomeni. To add further the deep orthodoxy of religion and ethnocentric nationalism one looks to 17th and 18th century England. In fact the history of England. The bitter fight among Saxons and Angles. then the split of the Church of England from Rome by Henry VIII. That led to a conflict between Catholic and Anglican churches. Not only conflict but persecution. I caution you to look down the road you head.

You see, it is easy to strike out at that which you fear under a cloak of nationalism and religion. It is unique that God had a collection of writings that man could use to his means. He could use them for good or for what he interprets as good. One can use the words to beat one into submission or use those same words to convince others that path they trravel is wrong.

james

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:37:37 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>Points below each misunderstanding!
>Dave!
>
><james> wrote in message news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
>> On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
>> Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
>>
>> >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little
>eye
>> >holes?
>> >
>> **********

>>
>> Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
>> language skills of a group of people are humorous
>
>
> Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
>channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
>for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
>AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He may
>call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!
>
>
>and less than his
>
>
> WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
>everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS Tiger
>Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me in
>OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
>White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
>
>
>> own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin
>
>
> Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but sinners,
>that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
>is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT FALSE
>Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
>Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
>
>
>> because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?
>
>
> WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
>translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is, instead
>of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
>>
>
>> I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
>> Gospel?
>
>
> Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will try
>to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would you
>be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA MEN
>! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

>
>>
>> james
>> >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>> >>Dave!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5269. Re: Big Bad plank #6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:19:47 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7qiP9.83087$hK4.6738932@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147806

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3E0CF381.7030606@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > Only under the 6th plank of the Communist manifesto do they have
"power."
> > Dey don't want da $$$$$, dey just want to BURY yo CB or other radios!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> The best use for that 6th plank would be to whack you across the
> forehead, maybe knock some sense into you.
>

5270. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:20:52 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <8dcr0v4qgt6g9reuk7meo695is3gncgskj@4ax.com>
Source record: 147805

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 19:40:25 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Dave or Debby wrote:
>
>> AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me!
>
>And I would be curious as to just what he called you. Probably
>should not be repeated.
****

We should not revile this man for he is a chosen of God. He feels justified by all attacks on his beliefs. He feels persecuted for his beliefs and thus his faith is strengthen. He then lashes out at those that persecutes him. He insults others and then he preaches more to himself than to us. His use of biblical quotes are to strengthen his faith and not as a tool to convert us. They are to justify his actions.

james

5271. Re: Don't feed the trolls.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:20:56 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <criP9.83089$hK4.6738769@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147807

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0212271954.386ac850@posting.google.com...
> We trolls fix our own meals, thank you. It doesn't matter whether
> anyone else feeds us or not.
>
> Guy Richards (a troll who lives under a bridge & looks like Yosemite
> Sam) :-P

5272. Re: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:23:59 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3uiP9.83094$hK4.6739551@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147808

A 6 pill class "C" base linear... I'll bet he ran into trouble all right.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com...
> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>
> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
IT
> FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS SHIPPING
&
> HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
RETURNS
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267
>
>

5273. Re: Need Help with a mod'd Roadtalker 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:24:52 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UuiP9.83096$hK4.6739574@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 147809

Most likely they are PLL "jumps" and will get you additional channels off
the CB band...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"SCHULZR" <schulzr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021228075033.01749.00000018@mb-bh.aol.com...
> My dad's old Roadtalker 40 was mod'd and has three switches on bottom...
>
> One is a double throw switch and the other two are single throw,
> I'm wondering if anyone knows what the switching scenerio is,
> anyone familiar?
>
> Roland

5274. Re: Hey Vinnie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 14:39:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <enzo@reemoovthis.monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <1qdr0vsf33n848rf1kaa2lnuk2h8iabukg@4ax.com>
Source record: 147810

On 28 Dec 2002 00:15:30 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

I have a Galaxy 949, and K40 magnetmount. The amp is a black face Galaxy/Palomar 225, with 2 SD144 pills. It runs about 100 RMS and roughly 200 PEP. Seems to do real nice.

Vinnie S.

5275. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 15:31:21 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212280731.77de9e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147811

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>
> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING IT
> FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS SHIPPING &
> HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO RETURNS
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

oh goody ....some guy in a place called hopkinsville selling a used
cb amp.........only had it four weeks...........ran into
trouble..........starting at $565.00........on feebay...........ain't
that a kick in the pants........aw hell.............let me go bid on
that bad boy.......i just can't wait........

5276. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 15:34:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212280734.8478cce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147812

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<aui0in$fqp1$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> WOW, Does David Koresh come to mind??? Anyone??
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0c818b_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Points below each misunderstanding!
> > Dave!
> >
> > <james> wrote in message
> news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
> > > On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
> > > Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> > >
> > > >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with
> little
> eye
> > > >holes?
> > > >
> > > **
> > >
> > > Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
> > > language skills of a group of people are humorous
> >
> >
> > Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
> > channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
> > for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
> > AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He
> may
> > call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!
> >
> >
> > and less than his
> >
> >
> > WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
> > everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS
> Tiger
> > Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me
> in
> > OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
> > White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
> >

> >
> > > own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin
> >
> >
> > Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but
> sinners,
> > that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
> > is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT
> FALSE
> > Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
> > Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
> >
> >
> > > because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?
> >
> >
> > WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
> > translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is,
> instead
> > of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
> > >
>
> > > I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
> > > Gospel?
> >
> >
> > Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will
> try
> > to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would
> you
> > be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA
> MEN
> > ! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > >
> > > james
> > > >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> > > >>Dave!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

david koresh is dead.....burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
'bulldike' reno.....all because he was different and wanted
to be left alone.....what a deal.....

5277. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:08:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0DCC84.6070707@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147826

james wrote:
> Dave:
>
> I see in you a pathetic man. You have somehow manage to wrap
> ethnocentric nationalism and deep orthodox religion together and
> strike out at all that differs from you. As you walk down your path
> toward what end you meet, you will grow more and more ethnocentric.
> Combine that with your deep orthodoxy, this will justify any and all
> actions you take. For the unclean that do not heed your perversion of
> the Word should be eliminated as they are doomed to the eternal flames
> of Hades. See you have justified your action. It is easy to condem
> those that are not like you. Tolerance is not in your being.
>
> If one looks in history the road of ethnocentric nationalism led to
> Hitler's rise. Add in a deep orthodoxy in religion and you get the
> talliban and the teachings Ayahtollah Khomeni. To add further the deep
> orthodoxy of religion and ethnocentric nationalism one looks to 17th
> and 18th century England. In fact the history of England. The bitter
> fight among Saxons and Angles. then the split of the Church of England
> from Rome by Henry VIII. That led to a conflict between Catholic and
> Anglican churches. Not only conflict but persecution. I caution you to
> look down the road you head.
>
> You see, it is easy to strike out at that which you fear under a cloak
> of nationalism and religion. It is unique that God had a collection of
> writings that man could use to his means. He could use them for good
> or for what he interprets as good. One can use the words to beat one
> into submission or use those same words to convince others that path
> they trravel is wrong.
>
> james

And you expect Dave to understand that?

5278. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:10:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147827

chessecutter wrote:

>>
>
> david koresh is dead........burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> 'bulldike' reno..............all because he was different and wanted
> to be left alone.......what a deal..............

Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.

5279. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:11:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0DCD39.8060809@mailcity.com>
Source record: 147828

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>Dave or Debby wrote:
>>
>>>Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
>>>upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so
>>>they can make it to Heaven?
>>>Dave!
>>
>>If you are going to be there, probably not.
>>
>
> Oh,he will be there..guarding against commies,or their radio gear!

Guess he wants to make sure that no one walks in with a plank.

>

5280. Tonight Live on 5.070 MHz CB Talk Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:24:23 UTC
From: RF Burns <rfburns@comcast.com>
Message-ID: <TOqcnZ2N1f2jUJCjXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 147813

Live tonight on WWCR 5.070 MHz AM 11:00 pm EST Give us a call toll free at 1-877-411-2890 and get your name called. Breaka! Can someone please call in and let the world know who the few are responsible for screwing up this news group. 73 RF Burns.

5281. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:36:17 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 147814

"snip> >

WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS Tiger Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me in OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a) White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
> > >
> > >
> > > > own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but sinners, that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin" is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT FALSE Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
> > > Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
> > >
snip> > >

Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will try to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would you be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA MEN ! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
snip> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
>snip>
Snippity Snip Snip

Dave,

God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting some racism BS.

There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages. God told the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't follow that rule. God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers. That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone hadn't stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the God of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.

Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing afforded them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!

So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere mortal Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after all, pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It really does give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.

Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just plain hateful. Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs. There will be a special place for you to rant one day. There is only one true way to ensure your place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must live by the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.

There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over. Perhaps you should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just exerts that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good Christian". Narrow-mindedness.

Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of their government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice. It sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human so to the gallows with you.

You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead of bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since they are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other place that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and work with some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk against. You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread the true word.

You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work harder. Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life. Man if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs you will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by the Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!

How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off? I know this falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by some "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for a few good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with that resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the beginning he thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at him.

Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing we as mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be determined. And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might take you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted book in the Bible is Revelations. Don't Quote it unless you understand it. There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only problem

is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will not know the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It seems as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of Daniel and Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But once they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it usually changes their life.

Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion, then you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are not the poster child for that cause.

We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will. Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an alter call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.

Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them all without reference to their origin.

Deb

5282. Re: Looking for a CB like the new Cobra 18 WX ST II Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:42:47 UTC
From: jmeyer21@aol.com
Message-ID: <b699d7b4.0212280842.55b118a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147815

Playing with the blue hairs would just be an added benefit for us youngsters. Gosh, I like the idea of thinking I am that young, but alas, I fear I am not.

Radios today have much more bells and whistles compared to yesteryear, why not the three things I want? I thought if Cobra doesn't currently make one, maybe they did another year and simply discontinued it. Or perhaps Midland or another maker has one I don't know about.

Jim

>
> Hey, we've kept the post at the top so it can be followed. I think your on
> topic. We can tell the young poster that he won't be able to find all that
> in a radio today. I don't think. Of course I have been wrong before. I
> know, lets ask Dave. Of course I won't be able to see his reply. :)
>
> Deb
> I am still bad ain't I. I'll quit.

5283. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:43:57 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 147816

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212280731.77de9e6@posting.google.com...
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> > Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
> >
> > HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
IT
> > FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> > 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> > SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
SHIPPING &
> > HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> > SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> > GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
RETURNS
> >
> >
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267
>
> oh goody ....some guy in a place called hopkinsville selling a used
> cb amp.........only had it four weeks...........ran into
> trouble..........starting at $565.00........on feebay...........ain't
> that a kick in the pants........aw hell.............let me go bid on
> that bad boy.......i just can't wait........

Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category. Now that
is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.

Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.

Deb
Not merely gray hairs but strands of wisdom. Getting wiser every day.

5284. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:45:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0212280845.688dd6e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147817

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<AKdP9.1380$166.313831519@news.inreach.com>...
> FCC ISSUES REMINDER ABOUT FREEBAND CAPABLE TRANSCEIVERS

Digital Troll-O-Meter: S9+30

5285. Re: Radio gear 4 Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:47:26 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0DD59E.A169FF8E@listed.here>
Source record: 147818

I've got a compressed air tank. How would you like to be inflated?

> Oris wrote:
>
> BLOW ME!
>
> WMC Club wrote:
>
> > John Rambo wrote:
> >
> >
> >> oris give it a rest before we call in the dogs.....
> >>
> >>
> > Doggie Adair? If that ain't enough to scare 'ya...!
> >
> >

5286. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:55:05 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0DD769.C83D3C3C@listed.here>
Source record: 147819

How about a link to the FCC site you pilfered this from so we can
see how OLD this memo is.

5287. Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 16:59:55 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 147820
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf

Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it had weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy when it first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off in the middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.

But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years we have been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.

Deb

--
Debbie & Will Hicks
Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC

http://www.willscb.com
http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks

http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Deborah L. Hicks.vcf]

5288. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 17:00:27 UTC
From: Bling Bling <hawaii5O@aloha.com>
Message-ID: <9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 147821

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...

> Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category. Now
that
> is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
>
> Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
>
> Deb

Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts such as
your will do wonders to close your little loophole.

5289. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 17:14:59 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <n_kP9.480600$WL3.126361@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147822

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...
>
>
> > Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category. Now
> that
> > is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
> >
> > Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
> >
> > Deb
>
> Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts such
as
> your will do wonders to close your little loophole.
>
Ok. Why is that. He is advertising the thing, On Ebay, as a 10 meter amp.
Now, putting it in the ham section would be the correct place, yes or no.
That is all I was referring too.

I am not sure what "my loophole" is referring to. But I am a little old.
Unless you are the ebay police. I have had an auction closed down for
listing in the wrong category. It didn't take but one time and I learned.
So when I do list I take extra care to put it where it should be.

I not trying to stir up trouble, just appreciating someone listing properly
on ebay.

Sorry if I offended you.

Deb.

5290. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 17:26:25 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0rnh54d8nbme2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147823

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...
>
>
> > Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category. Now
> that
> > is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
> >
> > Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
> >
> > Deb
>
> Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts such as
> your will do wonders to close your little loophole.
>
>

Whats a bling bling, george? LOL!

5291. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 17:40:42 UTC
From: Bling Bling <hawaii5O@aloha.com>
Message-ID: <F12dnaPIKK7Zf5CjXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147824

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
news:v0rnh54d8nbme2@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
> news:9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> >
> > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...
> >
> >
> > > Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category.
Now
> > that
> > > is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
> > >
> > > Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
> > >
> > > Deb
> >
> > Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts such
as
> > your will do wonders to close your little loophole.
> >
> >
>
> Whats a bling bling, george? LOL!

Ask your sister.

5292. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 17:54:20 UTC
From: "yeah....." <not@noway.com>
Message-ID: <i6pr0vgitvnodq4a2jcn61epq629fpdnge@4ax.com>
Source record: 147825

Yes, they did. was build over sea's somewhere... was built around the
time when Palomar Inc. in California was building amps...

wanna hear some more interesting trivia?

Texas Star Once built a radio.

anyone ever seen one of those?

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 16:59:55 GMT, "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> was
blabbling:

...Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it
had
...weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy
when it
...first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going
off in the
...middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
...
...But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years
we have
...been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
...
...Deb

5293. Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 18:59:14 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212281059.27681ea6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147829

Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>
> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING IT
> FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS SHIPPING &
> HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO RETURNS
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5294. CowQueen69 flaps his penis holders again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:03:32 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212281103.3d2d3850@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147830

You can't tell us anti-boys to leave, dummy. We own this newsgroup and
there's not one single solitary thing you can do about it. Nada.
Yer right about one thing, we are not the FCC. We are way more
efficient at keyclown control than they are.

Eat that for dinner, and my johnson for dessert, sonny.

Why don't you try to do something about it, boy? You limpwristed
jagoff.

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<auj6nn$oc0$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> Well,
>
> Maybe I was wrong in my last post. Maybe she just claimed to be your ole
> lady and she really ain't 'cause you ain't man enuff to have an ole lady. If
> you ain't the FCC, Get the F^*$ out queer clown!
>
> Profesional insulters? LMFAO! 'Cause u sure ain't one. Those pro's done told
> me so. U Uncle Charlie wanna be!
>
>
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212270900.88fd3ab@posting.google.com...
> > Cowgirl, izzat the best ya can do? That is one worn out insult...back
> > to yer gloryhole, sonny. The professional insulters will letya know
> > when ya have grown up enough.
> >
> >
> > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<aufv7r$elpl$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > > I just figured it out. I now know why this clown has so much time on his
> > > hands. He ain't gettin' any! I guess I'll have to leave his ole lady
> alone
> > > so he can get some.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > message news:cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com...
> > > > Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
> > > > the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for
> > > > CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.
> > > >
> > > > There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
> > > > have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
> > > > are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change

> > > > that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?
> > > >
> > > > Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
> > > > waitin'.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > > > From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > > > > "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his
> QRZ
> > > > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
> friends
> > > > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
> for
> > > > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> > > > > --
> > > > > Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and
> sale of
> > > > > HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> > > > > questions about N8 alone.
> > > > > Leland C. Scott
> > > > > KC8LDO
> > > > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> > > > > "I'm with stupid"
> > > > > --
> > > > > > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> > > > > >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
> > > > > accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable
> and
> > > > > claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > > > > > Landshark
> > > > > >--
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

5295. Re: Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:36:30 UTC
From: Buzzy <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com>
Message-ID: <YWnP9.1392$JN7.320684123@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147831

Not so sir, Auction is doing fine, it is a 10 meter amp, used by hams who know quality made 10 meter ham equipment.

Rat as much as you wish, it won't do you any good.

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cfe28a2b.0212281059.27681ea6@posting.google.com...
> Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
> keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
> equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.
>
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> > Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
> >
> > HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
IT
> > FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> > 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> > SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
SHIPPING &
> > HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> > SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> > GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
RETURNS
> >
> >
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5296. Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:39:32 UTC
From: Buzzy <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com>
Message-ID: <OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147833

Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off ATTENTION to EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION FULLY COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB amplifier *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier designed for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the CB category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for Ebay auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if you dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off unfairly for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know why theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your website that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines that you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583

5297. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:43:50 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <W9nP9.483144$%m4.135014@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147832

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3E0DCC84.6070707@mailcity.com...
>
>
> james wrote:
> > Dave:
> >
>snip> >
> > james
>
>
> And you expect Dave to understand that?
>

I don't think Dave would understand it, but it never hurts to allow one to observe knowledge to try to better themselves. We don't want to be selfish, so share, or we might have to answer why we didn't try to steer him in the right direction.

It seems that he is still in the learning stages. Maybe we can help that growth along.

Or maybe not. :)

Deb
Yeah I know, I'll quit.

5298. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:54:59 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <nknP9.481658$WL3.126346@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147834

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
>
>
> Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off ATTENTION
to
> EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
FULLY
> COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB amplifier
> *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
designed
> for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the CB
> category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies
> with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for Ebay
> auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if
you
> dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
unfairly
> for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know why
> theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your website
> that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines
that
> you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
>
Well it might fly if you take reference out of the narrative to 11 meters.
Especially since your are touting it as being a 10 meter amp in the
description.

Ebay and their watchdogs have a way to search under every criteria you can
imagine. If they keep closing your auction you may find yourself outside
the gates of ebay not able to list and sell. You know how they are.

Now this is strictly a guess on my part.

Deb

5299. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 19:59:04 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <conP9.483272$%m4.135162@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147835

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:nknP9.481658$WL3.126346@rwcrnsc54...
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> >
> >
> > Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
ATTENTION
> to
> > EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
> FULLY
> > COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
amplifier
> > *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
> designed
> > for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the
CB
> > category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> > Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies
> > with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> > amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for
Ebay
> > auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if
> you
> > dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> unfairly
> > for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know
why
> > theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
website
> > that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines
> that
> > you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> >
> Well it might fly if you take reference out of the narrative to 11 meters.
> Especially since your are touting it as being a 10 meter amp in the
> description.
>
> Ebay and their watchdogs have a way to search under every criteria you can
> imagine. If they keep closing your auction you may find yourself outside
> the gates of ebay not able to list and sell. You know how they are.

>
> Now this is strictly a guess on my part.
>
> Deb
>
Oh I know you are quoting their rules to them but they probably won't read
it deeply. I posted that not knowing what your previous auctions looked
like. Sorry. I spoke out of turn.
Deb

5300. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 20:24:49 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <fEoP9.1397$SW7.321281058@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147836

You know, I think it is an old listing. It was sent to me
a fairly long time ago by a ham friend who was trying
to convince me to join him in holy hamdome.

I told him the last thing I would ever do is get a license
and make the whole world aware of who I was, where
I lived and what I did.

Once you get a ham license, the FCC knows everything
about you. Bullcrap!

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E0DD769.C83D3C3C@listed.here...
> How about a link to the FCC site you pilfered this from so we can
> see how OLD this memo is.

5301. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 20:25:37 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0E08C1.F2466B87@listed.here>
Source record: 147837

Nice power connector. That's what I use on my stuff.

5302. ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 20:33:23 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nUnP9.4097$j8.272999@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 147838

VIEW HERE:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1947686715&ssPageName=ADM
E:L:DS:US:8

5303. FA: ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 20:41:28 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0E0C78.8CB102B7@listed.here>
Source record: 147839

Your line broke up. Here it is again, unbroken:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1947686715&ssPageName=ADME:L:DS:US:8

nukem wrote:
>
> VIEW HERE:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1947686715&ssPageName=ADM
> E:L:DS:US:8

5304. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 20:41:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0s35bc6894v8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147840

For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being driven to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have the inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp capable of 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half do not meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).

Kind regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
>
>
> Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off ATTENTION
to
> EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
FULLY
> COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB amplifier
> *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
designed
> for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the CB
> category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies
> with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for Ebay
> auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if
you
> dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
unfairly
> for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know why
> theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your website
> that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines
that
> you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5305. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 21:15:38 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <UnpP9.1399$V7.321826867@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147841

Jim,

I think the rules have changed since 911, the fcc is too busy
doing the homeland security thang to care about what's
happening on ham/cb. It's kinda like the watts riots in LA.
Everybody looting the stores and not a single cop in sight.

Besides, nobody is getting hurt and it's good for the economy.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0s35bc6894v8f@corp.supernews.com...
> For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
driven
> to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have the
> inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp capable of
> 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half do
not
> meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
>
>
> Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> >
> >
> > Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
ATTENTION
> to
> > EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
> FULLY
> > COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
amplifier
> > *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
> designed
> > for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the
CB
> > category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> > Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies
> > with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> > amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for
Ebay
> > auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if
> you

> > dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> unfairly
> > for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know
why
> > theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
website
> > that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines
> that
> > you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
>
>

5306. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 21:20:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0s5d2kcldpc1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147842

No, it was the note to e-bay that wasn't likely too good an idea.
Regardless, I'm not the individual who is blowing in the auctions to e-bay.
You contended that the amp was stone cold legal which it isn't. I doubt 911
changed anything. Does anyone remember any serious enforcement (besides a
very few nut cases that went out of their way to attract attention - like
ramming another car) in the last dozen or so years? As far as the riots go,
I hope you aren't looking for a replay.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:UnpP9.1399$V7.321826867@news.inreach.com...
> Jim,
>
> I think the rules have changed since 911, the fcc is too busy
> doing the homeland security thang to care about what's
> happening on ham/cb. It's kinda like the watts riots in LA.
> Everybody looting the stores and not a single cop in sight.
>
> Besides, nobody is getting hurt and it's good for the economy.
>
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:v0s35bc6894v8f@corp.supernews.com...
> > For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
> driven
> > to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have the
> > inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp capable
of
> > 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half do
> not
> > meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
> >
> >
> > Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> > news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
> ATTENTION

> > to
> > > EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
> > FULLY
> > > COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
> amplifier
> > > *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
> > designed
> > > for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the
> CB
> > > category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> > > Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY
complies
> > > with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> > > amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for
> Ebay
> > > auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay,
if
> > you
> > > dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> > unfairly
> > > for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know
> why
> > > theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
> website
> > > that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s guidelines
> > that
> > > you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5307. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:06:34 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0s7udjjd01t18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147843

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:F12dnaPIKK7Zf5CjXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
> news:v0rnh54d8nbme2@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
> > news:9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...
> > >
> > >
> > > > Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category.
> Now
> > > that
> > > > is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
> > >
> > > > Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
> > >
> > > > Deb
> > >
> > > Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts such
> as
> > > your will do wonders to close your little loophole.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Whats a bling bling, george? LOL!
>
>
> Ask your sister.
>
>
....and your mom calls you snapperhead, right george?

5308. Buzzy you are an idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:29:46 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212281429.4d01d5ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147844

If it works 24-35 mhz out of the box with a drive power of less than
50 watts it is illegal. Idiot. Liar. Keyclown chump.

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<YWnP9.1392$JN7.320684123@news.inreach.com>...
> Not so sir, Auction is doing fine, it is a 10 meter amp, used by hams who
> know quality made 10 meter ham equipment.
>
> Rat as much as you wish, it won't do you any good.
>
>
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:cfe28a2b.0212281059.27681ea6@posting.google.com...
> > Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
> > keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
> > equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.
> >
> >
> > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> > > Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
> > >
> > > HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
> IT
> > > FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> > > 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> > > SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
> SHIPPING &
> > > HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> > > SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> > > GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
> RETURNS
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5309. Re: CowQueen69 flaps his penis holders again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:33:58 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212281433.20b25e04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147845

rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote in message news:<cfe28a2b.0212281103.3d2d3850@posting.google.com>...
> You can't tell us anti-boys to leave, dummy. We own this newsgroup and
> there's not one single solitary thing you can do about it. Nada.
> Yer right about one thing, we are not the FCC. We are way more
> efficient at keyclown control than they are.
>
> Eat that for dinner, and my johnson for dessert, sonny.
>
> Why don't you try to do something about it, boy? You limpwristed
> jagoff.
>
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<auj6nn$oc0$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > Well,
> >
> > Maybe I was wrong in my last post. Maybe she just claimed to be your ole
> > lady and she really ain't 'cause you ain't man enuff to have an ole lady. If
> > you ain't the FCC, Get the F^*$ out queer clown!
> >
> > Profesional insulters? LMFAO! 'Cause u sure ain't one. Those pro's done told
> > me so. U Uncle Charlie wanna be!
> >
> >
> > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:cfe28a2b.0212270900.88fd3ab@posting.google.com...
> > > Cowgirl, izzat the best ya can do? That is one worn out insult...back
> > > to yer gloryhole, sonny. The professional insulters will letya know
> > > when ya have grown up enough.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<aufv7r$elpl$1@news3.infoave.net>...
> > > > I just figured it out. I now know why this clown has so much time on his
> > > > hands. He ain't gettin' any! I guess I'll have to leave his ole lady
> alone
> > > > so he can get some.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > > message news:cfe28a2b.0212261122.767dd638@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Accuse me of anything you want and you may be wrong or right. I am not
> > > > > the issue, twithed. The issue is the criminality of selling amps for

> > > > > CB or freeband use. It is illegal and you can never change that.
> > > > >
> > > > > There is a variable in accusing me of something...I may or may not
> > > > > have done it. There is no variable at all in the statement that amps
> > > > > are illegal on CB. All of you fluffy poodle like yapping can't change
> > > > > that. Don't it suck that you have no legs to stand on?
> > > > >
> > > > > Back to your glory hole, twithed. There's a line of truckers just a
> > > > > waitin'.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<29128-3E0B1F61-113@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > > > > From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> > > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:a-qcneirWfJj-pejXTWcog@comcast.com...
> > > > > > "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:9jlO9.801$NR3.452184208@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > I just thought it as strange this guy
> > > > > > really went after me like that. I got this radio.cb NG site from his
> QRZ
> > > > > > site... He mentions this NG on there. I see he has a lot of
> friends
> > > > > > :-)... Im not worried about it, it was as I said a very weird deal
> for
> > > > > > me... Ive never had anyone spam me from ebay like that.
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Did you read the section in Part 97 that covers the markting and
> sale of
> > > > > > HF amps? If not then you should. That would answer some of your
> > > > > > questions about N8 alone.
> > > > > > Leland C. Scott
> > > > > > KC8LDO
> > > > > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt next to me:
> > > > > > "I'm with stupid"
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > > So what your saying is, that part 97 is the
> > > > > > >reason that Doug is flooding the group with >vulgarities, false
> > > > > > accusations, sexual >innuendoes, spamming e mails.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's called Lelnad Logic. He has held common sense as unattainable
> and
> > > > > > claimed it nothing more than a "belief" in the past.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Strange, I always thought he was just a >troll.
> > > > > > > Landshark

> > > > > > >--
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lelnad claimed he doesn't use cb at all.....birds of a feather...

it looks like there are not many anti boys left around here....hmmm????

5310. Re: The NO CB Hambo Rambo !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:39:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <GYGcncbV1ueQtZOjXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 147846

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0a6c9d_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> GO BUY A CB, Le Land ! For without one, WHY sahould we listen to
you?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!

I'm still waiting for you to buy me that Cobra AM/SSB radio. I see several models at the "Pilot" travel centers selling for around $150 to $180 each. I can't believe that some CBer is dumb enough to shell out $300 to $400 for one of those so-called 10m export radios those places sell.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5311. Re: Does any one know who n8wwm is?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:40:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <5aKcnbzDz63ntZOjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147847

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:1BDO9.1809$T5.757185@news.alltel.net...
>
>
> > >
> > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > >
> > > "I am a
> > > bomb
> > > technician
> > > if you see me
> > > running
> > > try to keep up "
> >
>
>
> really it was in the movie "Sum of all Fears"

When I saw it was on a Tee-shirt a guy was wearing in a newspaper
photo.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"... The greater part of our happiness or
misery depends on our dispositions
and not on our circumstances."

Martha Washington

5312. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 22:48:59 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <MQudnatzDIHBt5OjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 147848

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:alZO9.167115$a8.71224@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the
> "Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa
Tango
> group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually
pretty
> pleasant reading.

Bert its also mentioned in CQ magazine as well on page 90 of the
January 2003 issue, the DX column.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

5313. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:19:03 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HjqP9.58728$6H6.2220753@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147849

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:F12dnaPIKK7Zf5CjXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
> news:v0rnh54d8nbme2@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
> > news:9I2dneV_lo9IRZCjXTWc3w@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:hxkP9.341150$pN3.24003@sccrnsc03...
> > >
> > >
> > > > Well at least he had enough sense to put it in the right category.
> Now
> > > that
> > > > is where you list it so it won't be taken off as contraband.
> > >
> > > > Good show ole boy! See some people can learn.
> > >
> > > > Deb
> > >
> > > Don't bet on it a copy of the Xforce (cb) web page, and a few posts
such
> as
> > > your will do wonders to close your little loophole.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Whats a bling bling, george? LOL!
>
>
> Ask your sister.
>
>

George has watched "Bum Fights".

5314. Re: Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:21:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mmqP9.58748$6H6.2220644@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147850

Still there at 5:20 P.M. Oh well, his impotence is growing.

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212281059.27681ea6@posting.google.com...
> Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
> keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
> equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.
>
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
> > Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
> >
> > HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
IT
> > FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> > 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> > SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
SHIPPING &
> > HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> > SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> > GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
RETURNS
> >
> >
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5315. Re: Buzzy you are an idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:28:35 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <7scs0vkv56guc3n5c60derqu4649jlm6o7@4ax.com>
Source record: 147851

How's your mother doing Doug..... LOL

On 28 Dec 2002 14:29:46 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>If it works 24-35 mhz out of the box with a drive power of less than
>50 watts it is illegal. Idiot. Liar. Keyclown chump.
>
>
>"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<YWnP9.1392$JN7.320684123@news.inreach.com>...
>> Not so sir, Auction is doing fine, it is a 10 meter amp, used by hams who
>> know quality made 10 meter ham equipment.
>>
>> Rat as much as you wish, it won't do you any good.
>>
>>
>> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message news:cfe28a2b.0212281059.27681ea6@posting.google.com...
>> > Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
>> > keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
>> > equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.
>> >
>> >
>> > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
>> news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
>> > > Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>> > >
>> > > HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
>> IT
>> > > FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
>> > > 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
>> > > SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
>> SHIPPING &
>> > > HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
>> > > SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
>> > > GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
>> RETURNS
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5316. Re: Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:31:03 UTC
From: rugerp85 <remove>
Message-ID: <rucs0vc3d7tfb46fn72cc4qbef5i2ojrnp@4ax.com>
Source record: 147852

He'll probably learn the same time you do that truck driver cum makes
you stupid.......Anti-Keyclown BLOWBOY

On 28 Dec 2002 10:59:14 -0800, rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com (Anti
Keyclown Control Center) wrote:

>Promptly ratted out to ebay. Buzzy, Buzzy, Buzzy...When will you
>keyclowns learn that I don't allow illegal auctions of keyclown
>equipment on ebay. It is against my rules.
>
>
>"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:<4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>...
>> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>>
>> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING IT
>> FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
>> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
>> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS SHIPPING &
>> HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
>> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
>> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO RETURNS
>>
>>
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

5317. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:39:37 UTC
From: Bling Bling <hawaii5O@aloha.com>
Message-ID: <3-ednelLwZn_q5OjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 147853

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:HjqP9.58728$6H6.2220753@twister.austin.rr.com...
> George has watched "Bum Fights".

Sorry Chappdick, never saw it but apparently you have.

5318. Re: Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:42:37 UTC
From: Bling Bling <hawaii5O@aloha.com>
Message-ID: <U4-cnSUYWMGIqpOjXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 147854

"Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
news:YWnP9.1392$JN7.320684123@news.inreach.com...
> Not so sir, Auction is doing fine, it is a 10 meter amp, used by hams who
> know quality made 10 meter ham equipment.
>
> Rat as much as you wish, it won't do you any good.

That is a Bootleg CB amp

5319. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:50:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5NqP9.58920$6H6.2224670@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147855

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:3-ednelLwZn_q5OjXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:HjqP9.58728$6H6.2220753@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > George has watched "Bum Fights".
>
>
> Sorry Chappdick, never saw it but apparently you have.
>
>

Rent it and see...I'll bet you resemble "Bling Bling".

5320. Re: Another idiot gets ratted out! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-28 23:51:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jOqP9.58929$6H6.2224714@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147856

"Bling Bling" <hawaii5O@aloha.com> wrote in message
news:U4-cnSUYWMGIqpOjXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> news:YWnP9.1392$JN7.320684123@news.inreach.com...
> > Not so sir, Auction is doing fine, it is a 10 meter amp, used by hams
who
> > know quality made 10 meter ham equipment.
> >
> > Rat as much as you wish, it won't do you any good.
>
> That is a Bootleg CB amp
>
>

Then do something about it, Georgie-Girl. Don't just sit there wringing your
hands and crying about it!

5321. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 00:39:45 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <gnsP9.1410$4A.323648554@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147857

Jim,

I'm not turad108, and I was just posting his Ebay auction ad here for
everyone.
I don't agree with everything he says but feel he's got a good point.
I myself would not try to sell amplifiers on Ebay for fear of losing my
account.

And yes, 911 did change things, many things especially on the net.
What used to be available for public inspection has now gone away.
Example is www.rootsweb.com. Before 911 the California (among others)
birth index was available for anyone to view. You punch in anybody's name,
find out how many kids they had, their names, birthdates and mother's
maiden
name. Not anymore. It has been pulled thanks to 911

My family moved from LA just after the riots. We now have a beautiful little
ranch
not far from Clint Eastwood's estate. It's very quiet and secluded. We
have many
old trees which I have hung many wire antennas from besides my 2 towers
which
support my big antennas for 11 meter work.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0s5d2kcldpc1c@corp.supernews.com...
> No, it was the note to e-bay that wasn't likely too good an idea.
> Regardless, I'm not the individual who is blowing in the auctions to
e-bay.
> You contended that the amp was stone cold legal which it isn't. I doubt
911
> changed anything. Does anyone remember any serious enforcement (besides a
> very few nut cases that went out of their way to attract attention - like
> ramming another car) in the last dozen or so years? As far as the riots
go,
> I hope you aren't looking for a replay.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
> news:UnpP9.1399$V7.321826867@news.inreach.com...
> > Jim,

> >
> > I think the rules have changed since 911, the fcc is too busy
> > doing the homeland security thang to care about what's
> > happening on ham/cb. It's kinda like the watts riots in LA.
> > Everybody looting the stores and not a single cop in sight.
> >
> > Besides, nobody is getting hurt and it's good for the economy.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:v0s35bc6894v8f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
> > driven
> > > to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have
the
> > > inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp
capable
> of
> > > 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half
do
> > not
> > > meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
> > >
> > >
> > > Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> > > news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
> > ATTENTION
> > > to
> > > > EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS
AUCTION
> > > FULLY
> > > > COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
> > amplifier
> > > > *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
> > > designed
> > > > for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within
the
> > CB
> > > > category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the
AM
> > > > Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY
> complies
> > > > with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this

> > > > amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for
> > Ebay
> > > > auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY!
Ebay,
> if
> > > you
> > > > dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> > > unfairly
> > > > for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me
know
> > why
> > > > theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
> > website
> > > > that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s
guidelines
> > > that
> > > > you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> > > > >
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
>
>

5322. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 00:48:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e4687$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147858

It was a SSB unit kinda like a 148. 1977 vintage probably. Tan edge on
radio, I remember.
Dave!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03...
> Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it had
> weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy when it
> first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off in
the
> middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
>
> But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years we
have
> been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
>
> Deb
>
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5323. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 00:51:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e46b4$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147859

YOU tell him Mope!
Dave!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227203436.841$2X@newsreader.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> > OH H and a DIME (10) = OHIO !
> > Dave!
> >
> GO BUCKEYES!!!!!
>
> --
> -------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5324. Red & Yellow, Black & white, just DON'T MIX them in his sight, ... !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 00:54:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e4772_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147860

YOU tell them Twist! Also Koresh was an offshoot from an UnBiblical cult.
What the Govt. did to him AND the 17 Children RENO KILLED is a lot worse
that what he was allegedly teaching!
NOW the question is: IS yo Church ATF & State approved? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11509-3E0CAE5A-21@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: cowboy67@yahoo.com (Cowboy67)
> WOW, Does David Koresh come to mind??? Anyone??
> -
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0c818b_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Points below each misunderstanding!
> Dave!
> --
>
>
> Naaah! This is the internet, man. Many are already lost, unable to
> separate reality from cartoons and internet land.......Dave has never
> been malicious..unlike the commies that attack people for their
> beliefs,,like Hall, Lelnad, N8, Gilliland, and George...these are your
> Koresh types....those who are unable to distinguish reality from
> fantasy, those who are unable to accept anything but what they know,
> those who are unable and unwilling to listen, learn, and compromise.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5325. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 00:56:31 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e47f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147861

Ain't NO Doubt ! It is BETTER to be a Mole-ester than a MURDERER like Butch Reno did to them!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
>
> >>
> > >
> > > david koresh is dead.....burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> > > and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> > > 'bulldike' reno.....all because he was different and wanted
> > > to be left alone.....what a deal.....
>
> Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5326. Re: "Freeband" in this month's QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 01:08:05 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E4ADB.3070202@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147862

Just another example why 11-meters should be given back to the hams.
Also another reason that the FCC should invent another class of amateur
radio operator. The free band class.
73 Oris

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
>
>
>>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>>news:22907-3E0C6BC9-55@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
>>There's a pretty interesting article in this month's QST about the
>>"Freeband." (Notice the quotation marks.) It even mentions the Alfa
>>Tango group. A few small (& one big) inaccuracies aside, it's actually
>>pretty pleasant reading.
>>--
>>73 de Bert
>>WA2SI
>>--
>>Pretty neat, eh? Sometimes inaccuracies in stories are intentional for
>>various reasons. Nevertheless, I am glad to see such activity covered in
>>an amateur magazine, despite Lelnads claim that magazines have a
>>(chuckle) "responsibility" not to print stuff he doesn't agree with and
>>that the magazine lacks "journalistic integrity" for doing so.....
>>
>>Make no mistake, there's no "endorsement" of said activities in the
>>article...quite the opposite. Having said that, yes, it is refreshing to
>>at least see it covered rather than pretending it doesn't exist.
>>
>>
>>
>Let's not forget,Lee said freebanders should be shot in the head. I think
>the martini's get to him,sometimes. <g>
>
>
>

5327. 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 01:14:43 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E4C6A.70802@dirtball.com>
Source record: 147863

I have a 80 foot tower for sale check out
http://www.dirtball.com/radio
73 Oris

5328. kevin mitnick gets ham callsign back.....hmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 01:22:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212281722.448ef183@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147864

the following is a copy of paperwork in fcc administrative hearing reguarding the so-called notorious hacker .....kevin mitnick, who was trying to get his ham call back, and who , in my opinion was royally screwed by our dear old uncle sam for pissing in the wrong pile of cornflakes.....

makes interesting reading.....

Before the

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of WT Docket No. 01-344

KEVIN DAVID MITNICK File No. 00000-58498

Licensee of Station N6NHG in the

Amateur Radio Service for Renewal

of Station License

KEVIN DAVID MITNICK

For Renewal of Amateur Radio

General Class Operator License

To: Honorable Richard L. Sippel

Chief Administrative Law Judge

JOINT PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW OF

KEVIN DAVID MITNICK AND THE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU

Kevin David Mitnick ("Mr. Mitnick") and the Enforcement Bureau, by respective counsel, and pursuant to the Order, FCC 02M-58, released June 28, 2002, hereby submit their Joint Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

I. Preliminary Statement:

1. By Hearing Designation Order, 16 FCC Rcd 22,740 (2001) ("HDO"), the Commission commenced this proceeding to determine whether the above-captioned Amateur Radio Service renewal applications filed by Kevin David Mitnick should be granted. The Commission concluded a hearing was necessary because Mr. Mitnick is a convicted felon whose

illegal activities have included the interception of electronic communications, computer fraud, wire fraud, and causing damage to computers. The Commission concluded that Mr. Mitnick’s criminal behavior raised a substantial and material question of fact about his character.

2. Accordingly, the Commission specified the following issues for resolution in this proceeding:

To determine the effect of the criminal convictions of Kevin David Mitnick on his qualifications to be and remain a Commission licensee.
In light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, to determine whether Kevin David Mitnick is qualified to be and remain a Commission licensee.
(c) In light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, to determine whether the captioned applications filed by Kevin David Mitnick

should be granted.

3. The Commission further specified that the burden of the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof rested with Mr. Mitnick.

4. A hearing on the issues was held in Washington, D.C., on June 18, 2002. Additional witness testimony was taken by speakerphone from David G. Hall on June 24, 2002, and from Carol A. Long on June 26, 2002. At the conclusion of Ms. Long’s testimony, Chief Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Richard Sippel closed the record and directed the filing of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law no later than September 19, 2002 (Tr. 167).

II. Findings of Fact

A. Background

5. Mr. Mitnick began hacking computers when he was 17 and continued these activities

until he was about 31 (Tr. 97-100). To Mr. Mitnick, "hacking" means unlawfully accessing other peoples’ computers and reading their source codes (Tr. 80-81). To him, it was an "intellectual challenge" (Tr. 94). Mr. Mitnick explained how he got into hacking, as follows:

THE COURT: [W]as there some kind of a compulsion thing in connection with

this hacking or getting into sites?

THE WITNESS: I was tunnel visioned. I don’t believe it was a mental disorder.

I guess it was I was kind of obsessive in what I do. I tunnel vision on a particular project. And see, when I started into this field of

hacking, it was all cool and entertaining back then.

In fact, my teachers awarded me for writing programs and obtain[ing] people’s passwords. In fact, in those days it was accepted as it was all right to do. And then, as society changed around and it became a very serious subject. Like I guess I could relate it to the analogy of the smoking pot back in the ’60s was the cool thing to do. Right now, there is war on drugs.

Well, because I was so into my - - so tunnel visioned into my hobby, was that society was changing around me. Kevin didn’t change. I went on doing what I started doing when I was in high school.

THE COURT: You say that you viewed it as being an accepted thing at that time?

THE WITNESS: It was at that time. There was no law[] against it at that time, and the people - - the role models that I - - like the teachers in school when I would write programs that would, like, ca[tch] people’s passwords, for example, I used to get credit. I used to be encouraged by my teachers that, that’s an okay thing to do.

THE COURT: This is at what age?

THE WITNESS: 17 - - 16 years old.

THE COURT: High school?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And then I got so into it, and it was so fascinating to me, that I even became - - you know, they passed legislation and society changes where it became a very negative thing to do, and I still continued doing it anyway for a number of years. (Tr. 95, 96.)

Mr. Mitnick’s hacking eventually got him into trouble. In December 1988, Mr.
Mitnick was arrested for hacking computers owned by Digital Equipment Corporation, and he was held in pretrial detention for eight months (Tr. 57). Ultimately, Mr. Mitnick signed a plea agreement that provided for a year of imprisonment and three years supervised release, which expired on December 7, 1992 (Tr. 57). In January, 1993, Mr. Mitnick learned that a warrant had been issued against him for violation of the terms of his supervised release (EB5, p. 9). Instead of turning himself in, Mr. Mitnick became a fugitive from justice, using false names to avoid arrest (Tr. 57-60). Mr. Mitnick also used counterfeit access devices (stolen telephone numbers) to make cellular telephone calls without paying for them (Tr. 56-57, 98-101). Finally, Mr. Mitnick continued to hack into others’ computer systems (EB 5, pp. 2-9).

After two years on the run, Mr. Mitnick was arrested again in February 1995 (Tr. 55,
57). He spent almost all of the next five years in jail. First, he

resided a North Carolina prison. After being sentenced to eight months by a North Carolina court for possessing unauthorized access devices, Mr. Mitnick was transferred to a federal prison in California (EB 5, p. 2). On September 26, 1996, an Indictment was handed down against him in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 96-881 (EB 1). The Indictment charged Mr. Mitnick with having "carried out a scheme to defraud, and to obtain property by means of false pretenses, representations and promises, by: (a) obtaining unauthorized access to computers belonging to numerous computer software and computer operating systems manufacturers, cellular telephone manufacturers, Internet Service Providers, and educational institutions; and (b) stealing, copying, and misappropriating proprietary computer software belonging to the companies described below" (EB 1, pp. 1-2). As a result of a plea agreement in that case, Mr. Mitnick admitted that he obtained proprietary software from various entities by fraudulent means (EB 5, p. 2). As a result, the Court sentenced him to 46 months (which included the time already served subsequent to his February 1995 arrest) and directed him to pay restitution of $4125.00 (EB 3. p. 2, EB 5, p. 2; Tr. 102, 104). Mr. Mitnick was released from prison on January 21, 2000, and placed on supervised release until January 20, 2003 (Tr. 50, 92).

8. In December 1999, approximately one month before being released from prison, Mr. Mitnick completed an application to renew his amateur radio licenses (Tr 53, 65). Item 15 of the application directs an applicant to supply his address (EB 6, p 1). Mr. Mitnick provided an address in Las Vegas, Nevada (EB 6, p. 1), which was his grandmother’s address (Tr. 65-67). Mr. Mitnick, however, was incarcerated at a California prison at the time he filled in his application (Tr. 47-48, 65-68). At the hearing, Mr. Mitnick explained that he had provided the Las Vegas address because he had once lived there, his radio equipment was located there at that time, and he used his grandmother’s address as his mailing address while in prison (Tr. 65-67). Mr. Mitnick’s grandmother would then either forward his mail to the prison, or hold it for him (Tr. 67). Mr. Mitnick further explained that he provided his grandmother’s telephone number as his contact number because he could not receive direct-dialed calls while in prison (Tr. 67-68). According to Mr. Mitnick, his grandmother would then relay any phone messages to him in prison (Tr. 68). Mr. Mitnick testified that, at the time he submitted his application, he believed the FCC knew that he was incarcerated because of the notoriety of his criminal activities (Tr. 68).

9. In relation to amateur radio, Mr. Mitnick has been licensed to operate as a General Class Operator under call sign N6NHG since March 4, 1986. Mr. Mitnick testified that his hobby as an amateur radio operator started with his intense desire to learn about and help improve technology and the amateur radio service. During his younger years, Mr. Mitnick provided assistance at special events (charitable events such as the March of Dimes) as an amateur radio operator

(Mitnick 1, p. 3; Tr. 85). Mr. Mitnick also testified that he assisted repeater owners with the maintenance and operation of their equipment (Mitnick 1, p. 3; Tr. 86). Mr. Mitnick testified that, since his release from prison, he communicates with his amateur radio station daily (Tr. 89-92). Mr. Mitnick values his license because amateur radio is a communications medium where he feels comfortable conversing with others and discussing particular topics that interest him (Mitnick 1, p. 3).

B. Rehabilitation Evidence

(1) Testimony of Kevin David Mitnick

10. One week prior to Mr. Mitnick’s release from custody, his father suffered a heart attack (Mitnick 1, p. 1). The doctors treating Mr. Mitnick’s father ordered him to undergo a triple bypass surgery within several hours of Mr. Mitnick’s release (Mitnick 1, p. 1). Mr. Mitnick’s father became infected with a staph infection he contracted during the surgical process, and he was treated with a powerful antibiotic (Mitnick 1, p. 1). Despite such treatment, however, his infection persisted (Mitnick 1, p. 1).

11. In light of these circumstances, Mr. Mitnick spent a majority of his time caring for his father and helping him run his business, a construction company (Mitnick 1, p. 1). Several months after Mr. Mitnick was released from prison, Mr. Mitnick’s father was diagnosed with lung cancer, so Mr. Mitnick continued to care for his father and his business during his father’s illness (Mitnick 1, p. 1). Eventually, Mr. Mitnick’s father passed away on July 4, 2001 (Mitnick 1, p. 1).

12. Mr. Mitnick testified that during the time he cared for his father, he engaged in serious introspection and reflected on what he had done with his life (Mitnick 1, p. 1). Mr. Mitnick decided to turn his life around and dedicate his life to a career in broadcast radio, public speaking, and writing to help government, businesses, and individuals minimize the risks associated with computer intrusions (Mitnick 1, p. 1).

13. In March 2000, at the invitation of Senator Thompson, Mr. Mitnick testified before Congress. The subject related to the security and reliability of information systems owned and operated by, or on behalf of, the federal government (Mitnick 1, p. 2; Tr. 73-74).

14. Later that year, Mr. Mitnick provided information to the U.S. Commission on National Security in support of their efforts to create a report for the president-elect of the United States on protecting our national critical infrastructures from enemies foreign and domestic (Mitnick 1, p. 2). The Commission spent two full days with Mr. Mitnick in Los Angeles to discuss the strategies, methods, and tactics used by computer intruders, and to identify specific types of safeguards that would help the nation protect these vital assets

(Mitnick 1, p. 2).

15. Beginning in January 2001 and continuing into December 2001, Mr. Mitnick hosted a weekly radio show called "The Darkside of the Internet" on a Los Angeles radio station (Mitnick 1, p. 2; Tr. 69-72). The show was about demystifying the Internet for the public and helping people and businesses better protect their privacy and security when using the Internet (Mitnick 1, p. 2). The show gave Mr. Mitnick an opportunity to share valuable information with the public on threats and vulnerabilities related to computers and telephone systems and to emphasize the importance of computer security (Mitnick 1, p. 2).

16. Also in 2001, an owner of a business who had been victimized by an unknown person retained Mr. Mitnick as an expert witness to investigate the cause and identity of the person responsible for blocking, redirecting, or otherwise interfering with telephone calls to his business (Mitnick 1, p. 2; Tr. 74-75).

17. In December 2001, Mr. Mitnick signed a book contract with John Wiley & Sons (Tr. 75-76). In June 2002, Mr. Mitnick finished co-writing the book, which focuses on the non-technical strategies and methods of how computer systems and confidential business information can be compromised (Tr. 75-76; Mitnick 1, p. 2). The content is not a personal story of Mr. Mitnick’s past transgressions, but rather an in-depth educational tool designed to raise awareness in people who own, operate, and use computer systems (Mitnick 1, p.2). This book has been written to assist government, business, and the general public to defend against attacks to computer systems to minimize financial losses, and the loss of public confidence in their organizations (Mitnick 1, p. 2).

18. In addition to his writing activities, Mr. Mitnick continues to pursue a career in public speaking and consulting (Tr. 54). Mr. Mitnick has made a demo tape for a new radio program, in the hope that Premier Radio Networks will produce a nationally-syndicated talk show (Tr. 71-72). Mr. Mitnick also is currently creating a corporate training film on computer security (Tr. 54).

19. While researching the material for the book and previous magazine articles that Mr. Mitnick has written, he has come to understand the serious financial consequences, or other collateral damage to any organization, that suffers a serious security incident, whether a break in to a computer system, or loss of proprietary information (Mitnick 1, p. 2; Tr. 79-82). The losses could result in substantial financial damages, loss of customers, or a loss of public confidence in the company itself (Mitnick 1, pp. 2-3; Tr. 79-80).

20. Mr. Mitnick testified that during his long hacking career, it was never his intent to profit or otherwise harm the victims (Mitnick 1, p. 3; Tr. 81-82). Mr. Mitnick testified that his motivation was to learn about technology by exploring computer systems and networks, and

to enjoy the intellectual stimulation of out-witting other programmers (Mitnick 1, p. 3; Tr. 81-82). Although Mr. Mitnick testified that his motives were not malicious or evil, he acknowledges that his actions, nevertheless, were illegal. Mr. Mitnick now realizes and regrets that his actions did, in fact, cause harm and damage to others (Mitnick 1, p. 3).

21. Mr. Mitnick also acknowledges that, until he was released from prison, he was bitter. However, following his release from prison, he came to accept that what he had done was wrong, and he felt "terrible about it" (Tr. 100). Mr. Mitnick gave the following testimony concerning his unauthorized use of cell phones:

THE WITNESS: I thoroughly regret it because, at least, I can reflect back to my dad, when he was alive, and people would clone his cell phone. They call it "cell phone cloning." He would get these bills and then he would have to call the phone company and go with a highlight and, yes, I made this call and no, I didn’t make this call.

Eventually, the phone company would take off the charges, but I saw the trouble that I ended up - - you know, after the fact, that I caused my dad of having to go through this painstaking process, which was a real pain in the butt - - excuse the expression. I feel terrible, obviously, that I made people - - several people go through this process.

THE COURT: Well, when did you start having those kinds of feelings of remorse or regret?

THE WITNESS: To be honest, I was bitter up until the time that I was

released from federal prison. Then after a period of time, I began to

accept - - the bitterness subsided somewhat, and I came to accept, you

know, what I was doing was very wrong, especially, the cell phone stuff and how that hurt innocent people. I feel terrible about it and I wish I never did it, but I can’t take back the past. It’s a done deal. All I can do is assure everybody I’m not going to do that again. (Tr. 99-100.)

22. Mr. Mitnick testified that, following his release from prison, he has been leading the life of a law-abiding citizen, and he enjoys that life immensely. Mr. Mitnick testified that he has no desire to return to his former life and that he is never even tempted to do so (Mitnick 1, p. 3; Tr. 100).

23. In response to another question from the Court, Mr. Mitnick testified that:

THE WITNESS: I matured - - hacking is like a young man’s crime. You eventually grow out of it. You mature. Right now, my goals in life is to live a productive life and to earn a living and try to make up for all the time that I lost and try to be a productive citizen.

That’s part of the reason why, you know - - one of the reasons I wrote this book was to help people. I try to take my background, experience and all in order to help government, business and people. That’s what I can do now because that’s all the experience I have. I can take it to the table. That’s what I’m trying to do. (Tr. 103.)

Today, Mr. Mitnick is enjoying a better income than at any time in his life. He knows, however, that if he goes back to hacking, he will go back to jail, and he is never tempted to go back to his former life (Tr. 94-95).

(2) Statement of Larry Hawley

24. Larry Hawley is Mr. Mitnick’s probation officer. Mr. Hawley submitted a statement which reads, in pertinent part, as follows:

Mr. Mitnick has been on supervised release since January 21, 2000. He is scheduled to terminate supervision on January 20, 2003. While under supervision he has maintained total compliance with the terms and conditions set down by the Court and the U.S. Probation Officer. He has channelled his energies in the law abiding, productive avenues, such as talk radio. He is presently co-authoring a book on how to protect against social engineering and computer breeches.

While under supervision Mr. Mitnick was given permission to use his ham radio. He has done so without incident and we have no objection to Mr. Mitnick retaining his ham radio license.

During the course of supervision, Mr. Mitnick has remained free of any law violations and has made a very positive adjustment back into society. (Mitnick 4, p. 1.)

(3) Testimony of Alex Kasper

25. Alex Kasper ("Mr. Kasper"), whose full legal name is Alex Kasperavicius, is and has been a Los Angeles telecommunications consultant for the past five years (Tr. 108). Mr. Kasper is also a former amateur radio licensee (Tr. 113). Mr. Kasper has known Mr. Mitnick since 1987, when Mr. Mitnick hacked into, but did not damage, a computer database Mr. Kasper managed (Tr. 108-109). Mr. Kasper appeared as a witness at the hearing and submitted a statement which reads, in pertinent part, as follows:

I first met Kevin Mitnick in the summer of 1987. A partner and I had developed one of the first computer dating systems in Los Angeles and Kevin and his friend Lewis DePayne promptly hacked into it. While very annoyed I had to admire the clever way he had done it and we eventually became friends.

As I grew to know Kevin over the following years I was often perplexed and also fascinated by his almost possessed drive to gain access to computer systems. He could not and would not stop - more often than

not throwing caution to the winds in his attempt to get the goal of "access" in order to answer some question -of-the-hour. He was so good that he had unlimited access to most any system imaginable - and as such was an intriguing resource for information one could not gain by any other means.

Unfortunately, Kevin had more than a chip on his shoulder, believing he was invincible and that he should be allowed to do anything he wished simply because it was "he". This invincible attitude was pervasive in Kevin’s thought and deed and combined with his uncanny knack for compromising computer systems made him a quite obnoxious and threatening foe for any entity unlucky enough to fall in his sites [sic].

What’s even more interesting is that Kevin didn’t see it this way. No matter what argument was presented to him, he continually asserted that what he did was merely for intellectual pleasure - and that what he did never "hurt" anyone. The government, in its attempts to rein him in, simply didn’t understand and were "misguided" and felt the need to persecute him because of his notoriety.

Despite much warning from me, other friends and family, Kevin continued his pursuits and eventually found himself incarcerated again. During his prison term I kept in contact with him via telephone and listened as his mood went from anger to disbelief and frustration - initially refusing to accept that he could possibly deserve the treatment his [sic] was receiving.

After a while, however, I did become aware of a change. I think Kevin started to realize that what he did had had a real and severe impact on the lives of people he had affected. And while still frustrated at the situation, Kevin did begin to express some remorse for what he had done.

Over the last two years since his release, Kevin has been successful in personally contacting many of the individuals responsible for the systems he had hacked. He has apologized directly to them and also heard first hand accounts of the sleepless nights and endless frustration caused by his "intellectual stimulation." I have been present for several of these meetings, and while it’s obvious he has had a hard time facing these people, I get the impression that he has some sort of cathartic drive to do it and show remorse. (Mitnick 2, pp. 1-2.)

26. When asked about Mr. Mitnick’s reputation for honesty, Mr. Kasper

responded that "he’s always been honest with me" (Tr. 114).

(4) Testimony of David G. Hall

27. David G. Hall ("Mr. Hall") is currently the senior vice president in charge of

programming at the Premiere Radio Networks in Sherman Oaks, California (Tr. 125). Before that, however, he was for 14 years the vice president of programming at talk radio station KFI, Los Angeles, California (Tr. 125). In his capacity as a programming executive, Mr. Hall is quite familiar with the FCC’s rules (Tr. 135-136).

When Mr. Hall was at KFI, he heard Mr. Mitnick appear as a guest on a talk
show. Mr. Hall was impressed by Mr. Mitnick’s informative, likeable, and approachable demeanor, so Mr. Hall asked Mr. Mitnick about the possibility of doing a talk show (Tr. 126). As a result, Mr, Mitnick began doing a weekly show, entitled "The Dark Side of the Internet with Kevin Mitnick" (Tr. 127). The show ran about a year, but was cancelled in the fall of 2001 due to budgetary constraints (Tr. 128). Recently, Mr. Hall offered Mr. Mitnick the use of Premier’s studio, so that Mr. Mitnick could make a demo tape for a new radio program (Tr. 131-132).

29. During the course of the KFI show, Mr. Mitnick and Mr. Hall would meet in Mr. Hall’s office, "irregularly but frequently," to discuss the general direction of the show (Tr. 130). As an authority figure to Mr. Mitnick, Mr. Hall was familiar with the restrictions contained in Mr. Mitnick’s probation agreement (Tr. 130-131, 133). Mr. Hall noted that Mr. Mitnick reacted positively to his coaching (Tr. 130). Mr. Hall also noted that, during the time Mr Mitnick worked for him, there were many opportunities for him to violate the terms of his probation by, for example, using computers (Tr. 133). Mr. Mitnick always resisted these temptations, however, saying that he never wanted to go back to prison (Tr. 133-135).

30. Mr. Hall testified as follows:

QUESTION: And to what do you attribute his, I guess, change of heart in terms of his prior criminal activities and his current behavior?

WITNESS: I have the feeling that he wants to - - he really wants to just fly straight, like he’s - - he feels like has paid his debt. You know, he has done what he can. He has - - he just seems to be looking at life differently than he once did.

And you have to remember I didn’t know him back then, so it’s kind of a lopsided reference, but I mean, he just - - you know, every conversation or meeting, it just seemed like he’s so focused on doing the right thing and really straightening out his life and going forward and doing whatever is necessary to make that happen.

QUESTION: So you have confidence - - how confident are you that he has changed his behavior permanently?

WITNESS: Based on my experience with him, 100 percent

(Tr. 135.).

Asked whether he had any concerns about Mr. Mitnick’s ability to deal with the FCC in an honest and forthright manner, Mr. Hall stated that he had none (Tr. 132).

(5) Testimony of Carol Long

31. Carol Long ("Ms. Long") has for five and one-half years been employed as an Executive Acquisitions Editor for John Wiley & Sons, a book publisher with offices in Southborough, Massachusetts and New York City (Tr. 149-150). In that position, she identifies topics for books and identifies and conscripts experts to write on these topics. She does this approximately 50 to 60 times a year and estimates that she has been responsible for the publication of approximately 300 books (Tr. 151-152).

32. In the fall of 2000, a representative of Mr. Mitnick contacted Ms. Long about a potential book proposal (Tr. 152-153, 155-156). At that time, Ms. Long knew of Mr. Mitnick’s reputation as a hacker and knew that he had spent time in prison (Tr. 153-154). Approximately 15 months then elapsed between the presentation of the proposal and the execution of a formal book contract (Tr. 158). During that period, Ms. Long met with Mr. Mitnick twice, once in Santa Monica, California, and again in San Francisco, California. Each meeting lasted approximately six hours (Tr. 159). Eight months later, in December of 2001, John Wiley & Sons entered into a formal book contract with Mr. Mitnick (Tr. 160-161). The book is now complete (Tr. 161).

33. While Mr. Mitnick was writing the book, Ms. Long had occasion to meet

with him in San Jose, California. These meetings took place during a security conference held in February of 2002 and extended over a period of four days (Mitnick Ex. 5, p. 1; Tr. 161). Additionally, during the period in which the book was being written, Ms. Long spoke with Mr. Mitnick on the telephone approximately 20 times (Tr. 162). As the person responsible for ensuring that Mr. Mitnick fulfilled the terms of his contract with Wiley and Sons, Ms. Long observed that Mr. Mitnick was cooperative and very good at taking direction (Tr. 161).

Based upon her observations of Mr. Mitnick, it is Ms. Long’s opinion that
"he is not likely to return to the ways that put him in jail in the first place" (Tr. 162). Moreover, when asked about Mr. Mitnick’s reputation for honesty, Ms. Long responded that, "I have not experienced anything except his being honest with me." (Tr. 162). Ms. Long provided two examples supporting her opinion. First, as regards his book contract, Ms. Long notes that Mr. Mitnick could have "signed his book contract any time during his father’s illness, ensuring himself of much-needed book advance money, but he didn’t. The book and the money was the last thing on his mind. Getting the right kind of care that would work in making his father’s last days as pain free as possible was his #1 priority. In my experience, this is not the behavior of a criminal personality. A criminal personality

would have signed the book contract, taken the money; and blown off the publisher for months. Kevin never did that." (Mitnick Ex. 5)

Ms. Long also observed Mr. Mitnick’s behavior at the security conference.
According to Ms. Long, Mr. Mitnick could have used his status as a former radio talk show to attend the conference for free (Mitnick Ex. 5). Mr. Mitnick refused to do this, however, because, at the time of the conference, he was no longer an active member of the media and he wanted to register "by the book" (Mitnick Ex. 5).

III. Proposed Conclusions of Law

The HDO requires the Commission to assess the effect of Mr. Mitnick’s
criminal convictions on his qualifications to be and remain a Commission licensee. In assessing an applicant’s qualifications, the Commission seeks to predict whether he will be honest in his dealings with the Commission and will abide by the Commission’s rules and the Communications Act. See Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 102 FCC 2d 1179 (1986) (subsequent history omitted) ("Character Policy Statement"). As discussed herein, the Bureau and Mr. Mitnick ("the Parties") agree that, on balance, the evidence supports a conclusion that Mr. Mitnick has the requisite character qualifications, notwithstanding the seriousness of his convictions and the fact that the evidentiary hearing occurred while Mr. Mitnick was completing the final months of his probation.

The Commission considers various factors in determining whether an applicant possesses the requisite character qualifications to remain a licensee. In evaluating the weight of an applicant’s prior misconduct, the Commission will consider "the willfulness of the misconduct, the frequency of such behavior, and its currency," as well as the seriousness of the misconduct, any efforts made to remedy the wrong, and the applicant’s record of compliance with Commission rules. Character Policy Statement, 102 FCC 2d at 1227-1228, para. 102. The Commission also recognizes that evidence of "rehabilitation is significant," and the Commission will consider the following as indicia of rehabilitation: 1) whether the applicant has avoided any significant wrongdoing since the prior disqualifying misconduct; 2) the amount of time elapsed since the misconduct; 3) the applicant’s reputation for good character in the community; and 4) meaningful measures taken by the applicant to prevent the future occurrence of misconduct. Character Policy Statement, 102 FCC 2d at 1228-1229, para. 105.

Mr. Mitnick’s convictions are serious, involving, as they do, fraud and
deception in gaining access to computer systems, and additional fraud and deception in making cellular telephone calls, charged without authorization, to other people’s accounts (F. 5-6). Mr.

Mitnick’s criminal conduct was willful and spanned a period of seven years (F. 6-7). The serious nature and duration of his criminally fraudulent activities directly implicate his propensity to obey the law, as well as his propensity to deal honestly with the Commission. Absent any significant mitigating factors, the Commission should not grant Mr. Mitnick’s renewal applications. The record, however, contains ample and compelling evidence of Mr. Mitnick’s rehabilitation, honesty, and propensity to obey the law.

39. In the instant case, Mr. Mitnick has taken several significant efforts to remedy the impact of his criminal conduct. First, Mr. Mitnick has forthrightly acknowledged his criminal activities, and he has paid $4125 in court-ordered restitution to many of his victims (F. 5-7). Second, Mr. Mitnick has expressed remorse over his criminal activities, and he has apologized to several of his victims (F. 20-23, 25). Finally, Mr. Mitnick has shared with society his unique knowledge of computer security, with the intention of helping the government, the private sector, and the public protect against the type of computer crimes Mr. Mitnick perpetrated (F. 12-18). Based on the record, the Parties believe that the serious nature and duration of Mr. Mitnick’s criminal activities have been mitigated by his efforts to remedy the impact of his crimes,.

Similarly, the Parties believe that Mr. Mitnick’s post-prison behavior
counterbalances the limited period of time Mr. Mitnick has had to establish his rehabilitation. Specifically, Mr. Mitnick’s last criminal activity occurred more than seven years ago, just prior to his arrest in February 1995 (F. 6-7, 24). Mr. Mitnick, however, spent five of those seven years in prison, where his opportunity to commit additional crimes was essentially nil (F. 7). In fact, Mr. Mitnick was still under federal supervision at the time of the hearing. Thus, although seven years have elapsed since his misconduct, Mr. Mitnick has had a relatively short time in which to demonstrate whether he has truly reformed. Nevertheless, the record indicates that Mr. Mitnick has undergone a significant transformation in that time period, as discussed below.

During the time when he was incarcerated, Mr. Mitnick was bitter and had what might be considered a "bad attitude" (F. 21). The "invincible" Mr. Mitnick had, after all, been apprehended and ultimately spent the first half of his thirties in prison. Upon his release from prison in January 2000, though, Mr. Mitnick was confronted with the mortality of his father, who had suffered a recent heart attack and then contracted a serious infection (F. 10). Mr. Mitnick spent a majority of the next 18 months caring for his terminally ill father and looking after his business until his father’s death in July 2001 (F. 11). It appears that at some point while caring for his father, Mr. Mitnick had an epiphany. As Mr. Mitnick testified, he became determined to "turn his life around" (F. 12).

42. There is ample external evidence that Mr. Mitnick has indeed turned his life around. Within months of being released from prison, Mr. Mitnick began a career as a computer security consultant. Senator Fred Thompson invited Mr. Mitnick testify before Congress on the topic of computer security, which Mr. Mitnick did in March 2000 (F. 13). Later that year, Mr. Mitnick consulted with the U.S. Commission on National Security (F. 14). Beginning in January 2001 and continuing throughout the year, Mr. Mitnick hosted a local radio talk-show dealing with the Internet (F. 15). Later that same year, Mr. Mitnick was asked by a client to provide expert testimony to the Nevada Public Services Commission on telecommunications network security, which he expects to do (F. 16). And at the end of 2001, Mr. Mitnick signed a contract with Wiley & Sons to co-author a book on computer security (F. 17). Mr. Mitnick satisfied the terms of his contract and completed the book in June 2002 (F. 32).

43. David Hall, an experienced radio program executive and someone very familiar with FCC rules and regulations, arranged for Mr. Mitnick to host a talk-radio show for a year (F. 27-28). The show had some success, and Mr. Hall has left the door open to further negotiations with Mr. Mitnick regarding a nationally-syndicated talk-radio program hosted by Mr. Mitnick (F. 28). Mr. Hall noted that it would have been possible, even easy, for Mr. Mitnick to violate the terms of his probation by using a computer unsupervised, but Mr. Mitnick refused (F. 29). Based on these personal observations, Mr. Hall has no concerns about Mr. Mitnick’s ability to deal with the Commission in an honest and forthright manner (F. 30).

44. Carol Long, a successful book editor, also gambled on Mr. Mitnick. She signed a contract with him to co-author a book, and he delivered it, on time (F. 32-33). Like Mr. Hall, Ms. Long had the opportunity to observe Mr. Mitnick’s behavior for more than a year, and she has no doubt as to Mr. Mitnick’s genuine rehabilitation (F. 34). Ms. Long notes that Mr. Mitnick could have legitimately used his status as a former member of the media and attended a conference for free, but Mr. Mitnick refused because he did not want to give even the appearance of impropriety (F. 35). Ms. Long also testified that, had Mr. Mitnick intended to con her, he easily could have done so (F. 34). Mr. Mitnick could have promised to write the book and accepted the advance, and then dragged his feet (F. 34). Mr. Mitnick chose to deal with her honestly, however, and did not sign the book contract until he knew he could devote the time necessary to honor his commitment (F. 34). Based upon these personal observations, Ms. Long is of the opinion that Mr. Mitnick is not likely to return to the ways that put him in jail (F. 34).

45. Within two and one half years of being released from prison, noteworthy members of the U.S. government, a prominent Los Angeles radio station, and a respected publisher gave Mr. Mitnick the opportunity to use his considerable computer expertise for the benefit of society. The individuals who worked with Mr. Mitnick were aware of his criminal past before they hired him. They nevertheless decided to

take a calculated risk that Mr. Mitnick had decided to redirect his intellectual energies to legitimate purposes. Mr. Mitnick did not let them down.

46. Mr. Mitnick’s probation officer, Mr. Hawley, provided the final testimony regarding Mr. Mitnick’s rehabilitation. According to Mr. Hawley, Mr. Mitnick has "maintained total compliance" with the terms of his supervised release during the two years Mr. Hawley has supervised him (F. 24). This evidence, along with the testimony of Mr. Hall and Ms. Long, provide compelling evidence that Mr. Mitnick has redirected his considerable energy away from criminal activities and into productive, legal activities.

47. There is also sufficient evidence of Mr. Mitnick’s current reputation for honesty. Mr. Hall and Ms. Long affirmed that Mr. Mitnick was honest (F. 30, 34). And Mr. Kasper, one of Mr. Mitnick’s former victims and now a long-time friend and associate, believes that Mr. Mitnick has truly changed (F. 25-26). Finally, Mr. Mitnick’s testimony during the hearing was candid and forthright. He did not equivocate when discussing the nature of his convictions, and he disclosed all information in response to queries regarding his criminal past and his amateur radio operations (F. 5-9).

48. One final area the Commission will consider in assessing an applicant’s

character is his overall history of compliance with Commission rules and regulations. Mr. Mitnick has been authorized to operate an amateur station for over twenty-five years (F. 9). Mr. Hawley affirms that Mr. Mitnick has operated his ham radio without incident subsequent to his release from prison (F. 24). And although there is a single allegation of interference dating from 1984 (F. 9, note 6), there is nothing in the rest of the record to suggest that Mr. Mitnick has violated the Commission’s rules. Mr. Mitnick has been using his radio regularly since his release (F. 9); given his notoriety and the amateur radio community’s penchant for self-policing, we find it significant that there have been no complaints regarding his radio operations. The Parties thus believe that Mr. Mitnick has an overall record of compliance with the Commission’s rules.

49. Based on the totality of the evidence, the Parties agree that Mr. Mitnick’s post-prison conduct demonstrates his rehabilitation, notwithstanding the relatively short period of time since his release from prison. The Parties also agree that Mr. Mitnick can be relied upon to deal honestly with the Commission, and that he can be relied upon to comply with the Commission’s rules and regulations.

IV. ULTIMATE CONCLUSIONS

Kevin David Mitnick committed serious crimes. However, he has paid his debt to

society, both with five years of imprisonment and by apologizing and making restitution to many of the entities harmed by his crimes. The evidence indicates that he has experienced a dramatic change of attitude and is living the life of a responsible citizen. It is concluded, therefore, that he

has been adequately rehabilitated and that he possesses the requisite character to remain a Commission licensee. Accordingly, the applications of Kevin David Mitnick for renewal of his amateur station and operator licenses should be GRANTED.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles W. Kelley

Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division

Enforcement Bureau

James W. Shook

Attorney, Investigations and Hearings Division

Dana E. Leavitt

Attorney, Investigations and Hearings Division

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W., Suite 3-B443

Washington, D.C. 20554

(202) 418-1420

September _____, 2002

Lauren Colby, Esq.

For Kevin David Mitnick

Law Office of

LAUREN A. COLBY

10 E. Fourth Street

P.O. Box 113

Frederick, MD 21705-0113

September _____, 2002

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen Richardson, legal secretary of the Enforcement Bureau’s Investigations and Hearings Divison, certifies that copies of the foregoing have been filed by hand on this ____ day of September, 2002,

to the offices of the following:

Honorable Richard L. Sippel

Chief Administrative Law Judge

F.C.C.

445 12th Street, S.W.

Room 1-C864

Washington, D.C. 20554

_____

Karen Richardson

5329. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 02:08:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0sma844if3v3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147865

That is something I did **not** know. Having all of that information for public view may be one reason we have all of the stolen identity problems going around. Is this true (I don't know)? It would be odd for this to be true since census data are not available for 70 years; why would they publish **current** data? Wheee .... maybe one chap was right when he stated he'd never have a ham license - his reasoning was that he didn't want someone else having access to that data (which, of course, is freely available on www.qrz.com as well as other sites). Glad to see someone else likes wire antennas. They can develop some **real** gain.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:gnsP9.1410$4A.323648554@news.inreach.com...
> Jim,
>
> I'm not turad108, and I was just posting his Ebay auction ad here for
> everyone.
> I don't agree with everything he says but feel he's got a good point.
> I myself would not try to sell amplifiers on Ebay for fear of losing my
> account.
>
> And yes, 911 did change things, many things especially on the net.
> What used to be available for public inspection has now gone away.
> Example is www.rootsweb.com. Before 911 the California (among others)
> birth index was available for anyone to view. You punch in anybody's name,
> find out how many kids they had, their names, birthdates and mother's
> maiden
> name. Not anymore. It has been pulled thanks to 911
>
> My family moved from LA just after the riots. We now have a beautiful
little
> ranch
> not far from Clint Eastwood's estate. It's very quiet and secluded. We
> have many
> old trees which I have hung many wire antennas from besides my 2 towers
> which
> support my big antennas for 11 meter work.
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:v0s5d2kcldpc1c@corp.supernews.com...
> > No, it was the note to e-bay that wasn't likely too good an idea.
> > Regardless, I'm not the individual who is blowing in the auctions to
> e-bay.

> > You contended that the amp was stone cold legal which it isn't. I doubt
> 911
> > changed anything. Does anyone remember any serious enforcement (besides
a
> > very few nut cases that went out of their way to attract attention -
like
> > ramming another car) in the last dozen or so years? As far as the riots
> go,
> > I hope you aren't looking for a replay.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
> > news:UnpP9.1399$V7.321826867@news.inreach.com...
> > > Jim,
> > >
> > > I think the rules have changed since 911, the fcc is too busy
> > > doing the homeland security thang to care about what's
> > > happening on ham/cb. It's kinda like the watts riots in LA.
> > > Everybody looting the stores and not a single cop in sight.
> > >
> > > Besides, nobody is getting hurt and it's good for the economy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v0s35bc6894v8f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
> > > driven
> > > > to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have
> > the
> > > > inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp
> > capable
> > > of
> > > > 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a
half
> > do
> > > not
> > > > meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
> > > ATTENTION
> > > > to
> > > > > EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS
> AUCTION
> > > > FULLY
> > > > > COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
> > > amplifier
> > > > > *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An Amplifier
> > > > designed
> > > > > for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within
> the
> > > CB
> > > > > category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the
> AM
> > > > > Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY
> > complies
> > > > > with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also,
this
> > > > > amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated
for
> > > Ebay
> > > > > auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY!
> Ebay,
> > if
> > > > you
> > > > > dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it
off
> > > > unfairly
> > > > > for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me
> know
> > > why
> > > > > theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
> > > website
> > > > > that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s
> guidelines
> > > > that
> > > > > you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> > > > >
> > > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

5330. Tomorrow PM @ 10 EST will be WILD too !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 02:15:38 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e5a81_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147866

I just got done listening to Texe Marrs on 5070, and MAN what a program he had! I encourage ALL, regardless of "political persuasion" to listen to this same program again @ 10pm EST tomorrow (Sun.) night to hear what Ashcroft wants to DO to you!

I will listen to this LIVE CB SW program tonight! It should be SOMETHING ELSE ! I may call in too! Mope---you call too!
Dave!!

"RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.com> wrote in message news:TOqcnZ2N1f2jUJCjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> Live tonight on WWCR 5.070 MHz AM 11:00 pm EST Give us a call toll free at
> 1-877-411-2890 and get your name called. Breaka! Can someone please call in
> and let the world know who the few are responsible for screwing up this news
> group. 73 RF Burns.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5331. Don't wanna look fow a Cobra!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 02:18:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e5b2f_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147867

The NEWest 18 does NOT have the vertical boards in it. Still Junk; buy a
Uniden instead! Any Unidens with "scan?"
Dave!!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:si2P9.475373$P31.155494@rwcrnsc53...
>
> "Jim Meyer" <jmeyer21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b699d7b4.0212271141.28dec5e@posting.google.com...
> > Hello all,
> >
> > I'm looking for a CB for me Jeep to take off-road. I really like the
> > scan and weather features of the new Cobra 18 WXST II but also want
> > the PA feature. Does anyone know of a radio like this?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Jim
>
> If the NEW Cobra 18 WXST II has vertical circuit boards on it, you will
have
> problems off road.
>
> Without the PA the 75wxst is pretty rugged. Have it installed on several
4
> wheelers. We keep them in weather proof compartments when not in use.
> Works for us.
>
> If you have room for a cobra 18 then a 25 w/wx no scan but has the PA.
> They hold up pretty good. A PC68LTW will also offer you the options but
no
> scan.
>
> The Cobra 25 and the Uniden 68xl (ltw if you want weather, and its
lighted)
> are very good radio's. They will both perform well for you.
>
> Happy Shopping.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5332. Don't HIT ME, sir; Don't HIT me !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 02:24:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e5c8d_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147869

"Sir" James da 1st:

Sorry we can't all be as Edjumucated as you are, since you were taught in the finest Humanist brainwashing mills there is! REMEMBER: The Bible says that ATHE FEAR of the Lord IS the beginning of Wisdom, Understanding and Knowledge, so IF you didn't start with that, and it is obvious that you didn't, since you sound like a approver of what the Taliban does (hits people with wood, boards, and other items the Liberals can't take away from you), then I admonish you to FEAR GOD and KEEP HIS Commandments; for that is your duty; not to rid yo digital world of people more conservative that YOU !
Dave!!

<james> wrote in message news:1b9r0v4ssh7fr43cedkan2ajhi2sf17733@4ax.com...

> No that will not help. Considering the man's language skill, he
> appears to lack formal education. He needs to understand that the
> whacking across his forehead is meant to get his attention. I am not
> certain that he can comprehend that. Then again his manner of writing
> could be a ploy to make us think he is poorly educated. Not sure.
>
> On reconsidering, maybe a whack across the forehead just maybe the
> ideal thing for him.
>
> james
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5333. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 02:44:50 UTC
From: Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <v0sod325u99hc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147870

Any HF amp that operates 160-10 meters cannot produce full power with less
than 50 watts drive. Furthermore, any amp that is capable of operation
above 24 Mhz has to be FCC certified..

I dont care what turad108 says, it's still intended for 11 meter operation.
He's grossly misinformed about RF amplifiers..

Jim Hampton wrote:

> For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
> driven to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't have
> the
> inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp capable of
> 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half do
> not meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
>
>
> Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
>>
>>
>> Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off ATTENTION
> to
>> EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
> FULLY
>> COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB
>> amplifier *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An
>> Amplifier
> designed
>> for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the CB
>> category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
>> Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY complies
>> with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
>> amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for Ebay
>> auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay, if
> you
>> dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> unfairly
>> for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know
>> why theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
>> website that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s
>> guidelines

> that
>> you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

--
Linux Powered...

Craig - N7UQA

5334. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 03:16:57 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E5C70.40406@cac.net>
Source record: 147868

Heh. Funny Mopar..

It's right there in plain sight... Not that it matters, but ol' chunky
was being a bit prickish about it, so I decided to have a little fun.

-SSB

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Close.. It WAS AB something.. my Vanity call came through in
>>September. Now it's KR-something.. Right there in plain sight, too, if
>>you know what you're looking for..
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>
> But don't let us CBer's find out what your call is, we'll abuse it in every
> way we can think of with a kilowatt! <g>
>

5335. Clearing up DEB's cornfused biased HEAD !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 03:19:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147871

Comments below each mis convoluted point !
Dave!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> Dave,
>
> God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.

Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.

> If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting some
> racism BS.

There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled made up
word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the UN
"brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!

>
> There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.

What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21 about
"the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would the
nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"

God told
> the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't
> follow that rule.

It was LAW; not a "rule."

God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.

that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!

> That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone hadn't
> stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the
God
> of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
>
> Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing afforded
> them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
>
> So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere

mortal
> Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after
all,

Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more, too.

> pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It really
does
> give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.

The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was JUST
the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled those
laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to a
"state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.

>
> Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just plain
> hateful.

You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew what
hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I HATE
what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of his
10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in your
mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do I
"hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1 before
you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.

Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.

Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?

There will be a special
> place for you to rant one day.

It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand the
truth, can you?!

There is only one true way to ensure your
> place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must live by
> the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.

It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping people
off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!

>
> There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.

Which line, and where did I cross it?

Perhaps you
> should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just exerts
> that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good

> Christian". Narrow-mindedness.

Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because the
BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !

>
> Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of
their
> government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice.

They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with despotic
government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable, they
WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese? The
Mohammedens?

It
> sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human so
to
> the gallows with you.

It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc toilet box,
that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so
everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I have
typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT unlawful
position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go there
instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of me,
when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing like
that!

>
> You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead of
> bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk
> about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since
they
> are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other place

Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
government!

> that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and work
with
> some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk against.

Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a 23+
year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that are JUNK
made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$ because
they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their quality is
TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you GIVE the
RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM against
Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others in the
FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los Angeles.
DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA, or are
you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US

"unbelievers?" !

> You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread the
> true word.

I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !

>
> You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and

Nothing scriptural about "nice." !

> understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work harder.
> Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life.

"Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do a
study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!

Man
> if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs you
> will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by the

I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been ruining
the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children who come
to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear, IF you
don't REPENT !

> Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!

Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's LAW.
10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor alleged
"hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will BECOME
the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH kingdom
are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!

>
> How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?

I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!

I know this
> falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by some
> "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for a
few

It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR YEARS.
Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT MEAN
you can apply him to me, o simple one!

> good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with that
> resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the beginning
he
> thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at him.

He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media has
done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior motive,
which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!

>
> Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing we
as
> mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
determined.
> And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might
take
> you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted
book
> in the Bible is Revelations.

You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.

Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
problem
> is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will not
know
> the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It seems
> as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of Daniel
and
> Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But once
> they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it usually
> changes their life.

I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on the
board when they made it!

>
> Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion, then
> you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are not
> the poster child for that cause.

Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting the
money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip upset you?
He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !

>
> We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will.

He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you won't be
Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore, but
AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!

> Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an alter
> call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.

You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's made
in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$ and
persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ? Ain't NO
Doubt!

>
> Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them all
> without reference to their origin.

"Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an Isaiah
58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Dave!!!
>
> Deb
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5336. YOU can be BORN AGAIN too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 03:23:15 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e6a59$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147872

He called me one of HIS people; HIS son; his anointed (Christian).
Christian IS THE superior religion. It is the ONLY one today with God's
blessing & sanction on it, because it is the ONLY one who keeps HIS
commandments today! ANy onther one VIOLATES HIS laws (10 Commandments) and
Jesus's teachings, which he WAS and IS GOD in the flesh!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3E0CF2F9.6070903@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> > AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me!
>
> And I would be curious as to just what he called you. Probably
> should not be repeated.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5337. Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 03:40:14 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0e6eef_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147873

What was right about what HE said? HE SAID that THE COUNTRY would be BETTER OFF IF the 100 year old Senator from SC would of became president in 1948 instead of Harry Truman ! That is WHY he was cast down! THIS was the MAIN issue!

The other issue as to WHY there was so much YELLING at him for this is because IF he left office, they would put a Dummycrat in his place, making the Dummycrats control the Senate!

So let's see; IF no Harry Truman, then NO Macarthur--we would of WON the Korean War, Vietnam wouldn't of Happened! We would have MORE CB's today because the 1 world controllers wouldn't of been in power as fast or as soon, or at all, maybe!

But as usual, the liberal media goes OFF POINT and starts hollering about the segregation party. The Dixicrats. Southern Democrats. All their power couldn't bring back segregation then, or keep it in instead of the commies bringing forth their "civil rights," which are man created rights instead of God created !
Just think; the govt. wouldn't of killed MLK to bring in more communism to the USA, and if George Wallace made it in '68, then how much better would we be than now? Something to THINK about, rather than get SIDE tracked by liberal agandas!
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

5338. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 04:06:31 UTC
From: ".Bithead." <bithead@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4f7t0vkbhp3g90hk7bctsvu7eup0pk82ce@4ax.com>
Source record: 147874

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 18:44:50 -0800, Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Any HF amp that operates 160-10 meters cannot produce full power with less
>than 50 watts drive.

That kinda depends on what the design is, doesn't it?
I ahve seen amps that took 5 watts and ran 25 out.... and would get
really dirty if you gave them hotter input.

Now, legal is another matter.

-->

```
_____________________________________________________________
/BitHead's Place: Political commentary from the REAL world. /\
/ http://greysanctuary.com/columnists/bithead/index.asp _/ /\
/ http://home.rochester.rr.com/bitheads/ / \/
/ Before you preach tolerance, THINK. \ /
Those who tolerate *everything*, stand for *nothing*. /\
_____________________________________________________/ /
_____________________________________________________\/
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
```

5339. Re: Clearing up misconceptions about DAVE!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 04:50:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qavP9.67540$Nz5.2011442@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147875

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> Dave!
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > Dave,
> >
> > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
>
>
> Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
>
>
> > If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting some
> > racism BS.
>
> There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled made up
> word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
> anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the UN
> "brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!
>
> >
> > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
>
> What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21 about
> "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would
the
> nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
>
>
> God told
> > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't
> > follow that rule.
>
> It was LAW; not a "rule."
>
> God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
>
>

> that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
>
>
> > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone
hadn't
> > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the
> God
> > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> >
> > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
afforded
> > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> >
> > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> mortal
> > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after
> all,
>
>
> Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more,
too.
>
>
> > pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It really
> does
> > give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.
>
>
> The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was JUST
> the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled
those
> laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to a
> "state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
> Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.
>
> >
> > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
plain
> > hateful.
>
> You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
what
> hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
HATE
> what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of
his
> 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in
your
> mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do I

> "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1 before
> you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
>
>
> Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
>
> Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
>
>
> There will be a special
> > place for you to rant one day.
>
> It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand the
> truth, can you?!
>
>
> There is only one true way to ensure your
> > place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must live
by
> > the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.
>
>
> It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping
people
> off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
> Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!
>
> >
> > There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.
>
> Which line, and where did I cross it?
>
>
> Perhaps you
> > should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just exerts
> > that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good
> > Christian". Narrow-mindedness.
>
>
> Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because the
> BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !
>
> >
> > Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of
> their
> > government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice.
>
>
> They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with
despotic

> government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable, they
> WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese? The
> Mohammedens?
>
>
> It
> > sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human
so
> to
> > the gallows with you.
>
>
> It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc toilet
box,
> that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so
> everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I have
> typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT unlawful
> position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go
there
> instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of me,
> when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing like
> that!
>
> >
> > You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead
of
> > bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk
> > about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since
> they
> > are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other place
>
>
> Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
> government!
>
>
> > that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and work
> with
> > some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk
against.
>
>
> Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a 23+
> year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that are
JUNK
> made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$ because
> they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their quality
is
> TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you GIVE
the

> RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM against
> Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others in
the
> FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los
Angeles.
> DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA, or
are
> you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US
> "unbelievers?" !
>
>
> > You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread
the
> > true word.
>
>
> I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !
>
> >
> > You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and
>
>
> Nothing scriptural about "nice." !
>
>
> > understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work harder.
> > Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life.
>
>
> "Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do a
> study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!
>
>
> Man
> > if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs
you
> > will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by
the
>
>
> I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been ruining
> the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children who
come
> to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear, IF
you
> don't REPENT !
>
>
> > Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!
>

>
> Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
> brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's LAW.
> 10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor
alleged
> "hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will
BECOME
> the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH kingdom
> are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!
>
> >
> > How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?
>
>
> I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!
>
>
> I know this
> > falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by
some
> > "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for a
> few
>
>
> It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR YEARS.
> Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT
MEAN
> you can apply him to me, o simple one!
>
> > good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with that
> > resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the beginning
> he
> > thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at him.
>
>
> He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media has
> done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior motive,
> which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!
>
> >
> > Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing
we
> as
> > mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> > speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
> determined.
> > And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might
> take
> > you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> > documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted

> book
> > in the Bible is Revelations.
>
>
> You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.
>
>
> Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> > There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
> problem
> > is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will not
> know
> > the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It
seems
> > as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of Daniel
> and
> > Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But once
> > they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it
usually
> > changes their life.
>
>
> I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on the
> board when they made it!
>
> >
> > Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion,
then
> > you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are
not
> > the poster child for that cause.
>
>
> Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting the
> money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip upset
you?
> He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !
>
> >
> > We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will.
>
>
> He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you won't
be
> Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore, but
> AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!
>
>
> > Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an alter
> > call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.

>
>
> You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
> Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's
made
> in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$ and
> persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ? Ain't NO
> Doubt!
>
> >
> > Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them all
> > without reference to their origin.
>
>
> "Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an
Isaiah
> 58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Dave!!!
> >
> > Deb
> >
> >
>

Simply stated, he is as loony as the day is long.

Now then, to get back on topic, how are those CB's working tonight?

5340. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 05:20:09 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <dCvP9.1720$QZ2.1266790463@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147876

SSB 500!!!! Old cybernet radio..

Steve
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0e4687$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> It was a SSB unit kinda like a 148. 1977 vintage probably. Tan edge on
> radio, I remember.
> Dave!
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03...
> > Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it had
> > weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy when it
> > first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off in
> the
> > middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
> >
> > But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years we
> have
> > been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
> >
> > Deb
> >
> > --
> > Debbie & Will Hicks
> > Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
> >
> >
> > http://www.willscb.com
> > http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> > http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> > http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
> >
> > http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5341. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 08:13:09 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.29.01.14.49.604.6223@cox.net>
Source record: 147877

Interesting you should post this.
you do realize that you have just publically admitted to being guilty of violating FCC rules and regulations by even being in possession of this equipment?

I did a little checking. that particular device may be listed as a 10 meter amp, but it is far too widebanded to be type accepted by the fcc (thus it is prohibited equipment).

far be it from me to tell you what the law is (I am not a lawyer), but I do know a few things about the FCC and how they enforce the rules. You, sir, are in over your head on this one.

My suggestion, lose the amp. its not wiorth the fines and jail time it will probably get you.

In article <4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>, "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote:

> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>
> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
> IT FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
> SHIPPING & HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
> RETURNS
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

5342. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 09:01:46 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <_RyP9.11941$ac.10581@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147878

Comments dispersed throughout:

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:RmLN9.49699$C06.19516@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> news.verizon.net <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:i7IN9.73249$_S2.55626@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> > >
> > > Rights. Those are C I V I L rights, none of which applies to CB
radio.
> >
> > I can't believe what I just read... If I understand you correctly, what
> you
> > are suggesting is, "CIVIL property rights don't apply to the owner
because
> > it can be operated as a CB radio?"
>
> CIVIL Law and ADMINISTRATIVE Law are not exactly the same. The FCC
> regulations
> fall under Administrative Law whereby Congress has passed an enabling Act
to
> govern a particular
> area, created an agency to ADMINISTER said law under US Code with
> regulations that guide how such activities are to be conducted. Those very
> regulations, WRT CB Radio, already specifically outline what equipment can
> or cannot be used in a CB station. By the mere act of picking up the mike
> and transmitting, you have agreed to abide by those rules.
-- Bingo --
Let me quote: "By the mere act of picking up "....

It's an action, not equipment already deemed property of a U.S. Citizen.
Such as a 1969 Ford Galaxy, 76 Thunderbird, 59 Corvette.

The action, not the property!

> In essence, by
> using
> the CB, you have already contracted, if you will, with the government to
> operate the station within the applicable rules. Therefore, if you break
> those rules, you will answer to an administrative law judge, not in
regular

> civil court. It does, indeed, mean that such equipment being used to
commit
> the offense CAN be considered contraband and subject to seizure. Even
more
> so if that equipment
> is already deemed to be illegal to import to begin with.

-- Bingo - IMPORT!
Import and / or Market

Meaning: You can't make one and sell it, and you can't import one from another country that makes one.

The ones that are already deemed property of U.S. citizenry are therefore - in a nutshell - "Collectors Items".

Picking up the Mic and keying it on anything other an 10M without a license is where the contract is broken and where the breach occurs, and where ADMINISTRATIVE LAW comes into effect. Because the breach is what causes "process".

> Yes, darn it, you
> can buy one of those bootleg Galaxys, sit it on the shelf and look at it,
> and nobody can just arbitrarily come and take it away.

-- Precisely!

I'm glad we're all clear on this now. What I didn't like about the original thread was the fact that it led people to believe that it was illegal to own, buy, sell or trade. USE is TOTALLY different.

The reason I got "concerned" was because some people do collect them, odd as it may seem! Cabbage patch, hub caps, you name it, people collect it.

A lot of those units are from roughly the mid 1980's to mid 1990's. Roughly the end of the C.B. fad which started off in the 70's.

For instance, some people I know have collections going all the way back to the Tram D201 tube units.
Some have every 10M ranger/rci model ever made. There are a lot of people who collect these units, and do indeed "shelf" them for one reason or another.

I know one guy who has a barn full of mid 1940's AM Broadcast gear.

The point is, that just because FCC rules and regulations may change about frequency allocation, the equipment that is part of that history and property is not "contra-band".

It is the USE of that property that is/may-be inapropriate behavior. (FCC Jurisdiction) And I don't only mean transmit either. IE, analog cell phone band and scanners with mods, etc...

> You can buy an X
> Force amp, sit it on the shelf, and it would sit there forever. No one's

> gonna know you even have it, right? Because no crime has been committed
> with it. But splatter over your neighbor's TV--even without the amp in
> line--and the agents come to call, they CAN confiscate it under current
law;
> read the regulation, it's there. Try applying "property rights" in the
> above scenario, sorry---you'll lose!

-- YES!! PRECISELY!!!

Action, not property.

I outlined in another post how some legislation may be able to get the local authorities involved. Cause the FCC can't justify the "fox hunt". Similar legislation to having a portable scanner deemed burglary tools would probably be enough to get local enforcement involved.

FCC fines $10K, so great... Put some legislation in so the state gets to fine $2K on top of that. That'd get state enforcement involved. Question is, how to do it in their "jurisdiction". Technically the local enforcement has no jurisdiction over the airwaves, so perhaps this could be done under the ?cause? of "intent to disrupt emergency communications"... Something like that...

Yes, I acknowledge that certain individuals use these units in an un-lawfull manner. It just goes to show how foolish they are when the parts become more and more scarce, and the value of the unit keeps going up.

In short, it'll die.. Want it to die faster? Get local enforcement involved somehow.

But dag it all... Don't start smashing museum pieces!

>
> Therefore, in the case of the Galaxy and Connex radios because they are
> already enjoined from
> import, you are splattering over Mrs. Jones TV, the Galaxy is sitting on
the
> shelf, when the agents
> come to call, they CAN seize it (it's called "in rem seizure") because the
> rules state that if you have a
> piece of equipment that is not allowed at your CB station, they can and
will
> assume that you have or
> are using it. Never draw the wrong kind of attention, and that Galaxy
could
> gather layers of dust.

A lot of the collectors I know all have cell phones and e-mail by now, and most units are stored in closets, attics, garages, sheds, and I'm certain several basements. Some have even owned shops and kept one of every model t hey sold.

People collect the danrdest stuff! I can't explain it. It just happens. From coins to buttons, pins, multi-sided dice, guitar amplifiers, stanley bailey hand planes, and yes, for some unforsaken reason cabbage patch dolls.

I understand that ebay won't allow most/all of the units mentioned on their site at all. And why should they? What good would controversy get them? ok ok... Yes... Ratings...

But, ebay is proof that people collect the darndest things.

> I am fully aware that I do not "own" spectrum, and I fully understand the
> regulations that govern my
> station. But I HAVE been granted a license to operate on certain
> frequencies by fulfilling the requirements set forth by the FCC. In that
> sense, I have "paid" the price of use whereas the bandits
> have not. Amateur Radio is somewhat self-policing, unfortunately less so
> than it once was. Witness the yahoos that sometimes embarrass me with
their
> infantile behavior, and it is not only a desire of mine to attempt to rid
> those airwaves of unlicensed operators, it is my duty to do so! The idea
of
> some "romantic", adventuresome, and lawless cowboys running roughshod over
> everybody else
> screaming "Ah've got mah r'ahts" and to hell with everybody else is not
> going to fly. It is with that in
> mind that many of us who are being affected by this crap are attempting to
> do something about it; wrong is wrong, and right is right. Whatever the
> outcome (and what I'm being told doesn't agree with what the outlaw
> operators want us to believe), it won't be without the strong efforts of
> hams
> worldwide to get rid of this sh**.

Jerry... You're not seeing the forest for the tree's. The HAM band has always been a self policed band. All you guys need is some way to make it possible to call on local enforcement to knock on the door. You go fox hunt the problem, then all you have to do is finger the local enforcement number into a cell phone. Bammo. Problem solved, example made, things quiet down real fast.

Without the local enforcement, the only option you have is to continue whining, and using scare tactics. I don't mind the whining part. That doesn't bother me one bit. But the scare tactics I don't get along with. When I was young I used to get scared. Made me lose my appetite. Since then I have gained 20lbs.

I've been on a few fox hunts. If you want to make the job really easy this is what you need.

3 cars
3 people with cell phones (one w/ 3 way calling)
-- If in New York State driver must wear head set while jabbering on cell.
3 spectrum analyzers w/ directional antenna. (the more directional the

better.)
3 hand held GPS units.

At least one person with knowledge in mathematics, or one laptop and one spreadsheet application.

HF is easy to track.

Microwave you sometimes find that one party in the 3 has been chasing an echo. So 900Mhz and up is where it becomes interesting.

>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> >
> > Ok... Let's take that logic and apply it to a car. "CIVIL property
> rights
> > don't apply to the owner because a car can be operated in an unlawfull
> > manner."
> >
> > That's certainly not what the FCC Regulations state. The FCC
Regulations
> > state that you can't make them, and you can't import them. Just like
the
> > DOT Regulations state that you cannot make the Mazda 280ZX any more. It
> > won't pass DOT crash or emissions tests.

Presicely Jerry. That's exactly my point. I would also like to point out that people often make an analogy to a gun instead of a car regarding these units. (and yes people collect those too!) The gun analogy is not applicable because the transceivers are no more physically harmfull than any old blunt object that may present itself as a weapon. If anything of those original units could be used in an un-lawfull manner I'd have to say it absolutely positively has to be the microphone. And for two reasons:

1.) It can be used to key the radio in a fashion not in compliance with FCC regulations..
2.) You could use the mic in a 12th century mace weapon.

In short, if someone comes at me swinging a heavy microphone with a battery in it I'd deem it a threat. Someone throws one of those units at me I'll be tempted to try and catch it. New in the box with owners manual I'd probably jump for it if they missed. But I'd never duck, that's for sure!. ;-)

Now if that doesn't kill this dead horse of a subject I hope someone brought the wooden stake and mallet.

5343. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 10:23:38 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <K2AP9.16459$Jb.12143@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 147879

<james> wrote in message news:u0ve0vcnla7gbe4dlrvj2da7obktl07nd3@4ax.com...
[snip]
> **
> actually the quoted material yu so amply have shown says nothing about
> manufacturing. I believe that is states that the FCC has reviewed and
> found a list of units that are not to be imported or marketed into the
> US.
> If a company wanted to manufacture here and export to a country that
> allows such units then the FCC has no objectionto that. In fact they
> can not stop a compnay from doing that.

VERY VERY GOOD POINT!
But we don't make anything here Jerry. Unless you count stealth aircraft
and satcom gear.
>

> **
>
> Not exactly. The order, or at least the part that you attempt to
> explain, does not grandfather these radios in. It is still illegal to
> operate them . Your analogy of operating a '69 Ford today is not
> valid.

I suggest that it is valid:

1.) Both require an operators license.
2.) You may only operate them where intended. (frequencies / roadways)
3.) They can both be deemed personal property of U.S. Citizenry.

>
> **
> >Now some make the analogy that these units are analagous to handguns.
> >
> >You need a permit to carry a handgun. You also need a license to operate
> >these radios.
> >
> **
>
> You need a permit to carry a concealed weapon!
>
> Correct you do need a license to operate a transmitter in the US. On
> certain bands of frequency that license maybe a blanket license issued
> by the FCC. In that case there is no application filed by the user.
> This also means that the user upon using the transmitter has agreed to
> the rules and regualtions covering the service opertinf in. Ignorance
> ot he rules and laws is no acception. FRS and the CB band are inthat

> ruling.

Who said anything about ignorance. I'm merely pointing out time and time again that there is a distinction between the property and the action taken with that property. As far as your mention of concealed weapon, yes, you are correct. But a 10M radio is a far cry from a "weapon".

>
> **********
> >One thing is different about it though. If someone does key the radio on
11
> >meters it's a far cry from causing any physical harm. That is why the
> >handgun analogy doesn't hold up.
> >
> **********
>
> In nearly all cases no physical harm is done inusing a legal or
> illegal radio. Because no physical harm is done does that mean it is
> not illegal operation?

No not at all. I honestly don't see how you draw that conclusion. My point is that the handgun analogy is invalid because the transceiver is not a "weapon.". I don't know how you connected illegal acts directly to aspects of physical property. I don't see your connection... That's because there isn't one. If it were a weapon I'd be tempted, but not likely to agree that there may be exceptions. But that's a matter for another whole thread.

[snip]
>
> **********
> >I'd think of operating those units on 11 meters more as a parking
violation
> >than I would a random shooting, that's for sure. Because after all it's
the
> >operator that is responsible. Granted the fine is astronomical in
comparison
> >to a parking violation, but my point remains no physical harm is done.
> >
> **********
>
> Correct in the analogyof the severity of the offense. It really is not
> one for great detriment to national security and for what ever that
> means. Your arguement is now more on the line of decriminalization.

No, far from it. You better check your glasses, I think you may have a spot on one of the lenses because you're not connecting the right dots in this picture. In my other posts what I have suggested is to find a way to make the use of that unit (at least partially) under local jurisdiction somehow. I'm not going to go over that again, you'll have to read my other posts.

>
> **********

> >Now, as far as this Neo Fascist scare tactic.
> >
> **
>
> The FCC must operate under the guidelines set down by legislation from
> Congress. Do you now insist that Congrees is Neo-facists?

Check yer corrective eyewear again. Not at all. What I suggest is that the original author of this message thread is a Neo Fascist fear monger. Through the use of selective facts and a misleading subject/headline I suggest the original author intended for unsuspecting readers to get the false impression any and all units mentioned in the FCC regulations were deemed contra-band / illegal to own.

Can I spell it out any plainer? Laser pointer perhaps? Pictures? Diagrams? Cause I don't think you've played connect the dots before.

>
> **
> >If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the
message
> >contains the word illegal.
> >
> >The sender chose that subject to instill a sense of fear. I read nowhere
in
> >that memo any thing about the radios being contra-band. It just states
that
> >they cannot be imported into the United States.
> >
> **
> Actually I thought he posted it as information. I think in your
> interpretation of his post is where the fear lies.

I think it was blatant misprepresentation of new regulation is what was intended. Subject clearly states "ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST". When in fact he's misleading on 2 counts. One. They aren't "illegal", Two they aren't "CB's". I also suggest that through the use of quoted regulation knowing full well that the average reader of this unsuspecting newsgroup is at all capable of understanding such regulation as quoted by the author and might otherwise be confused into accepting the subject chosen by the author as that of fact without full understanding of regulations as quoted by the author.

In short, I would bet dollars to doughnuts that most readers here aren't attorneys, and would be swayed by merely the subject and limited content provided by the original author.

>
> **
> >For those of you not in the United States that have read down this far I
> >humbly apologize for the length of this memo regarding this explanation.
On

> >the other hand you're probably laughing at the whole message thread.
> >
> >The HR2510 is not Contra-Band... You can own one, sell one, etc... Just
> >don't operate it on 11 Meters!
> >
> **********
>
> Clearly you did not listen to well in your 6 th grade civics clas or
> may have been absent at that time. As I stated earlier, the FCC was
> given permission to regulate and control of the usage and
> distributionof transmitters in the US back in 1934 by an Act of
> Congress. It was called the Communications Act of 1934. Under the
> guidance of Congress, the FCC interprets the derective and powers
> given them by Congress. One of those basically made operating an
> HR2510 illegal on the CB band well over 40 years ago. But to you that
> maybe acient history and not relavent law.

Ok, I'll quote from your material, "regulate and control of the usage and distribution of ".

Doesn't say anything about personal property. You key it, that's when you enter the jurisdiction of the FCC.

>
> **********
> >I would also recommend not parking in front of a fire hydrant, or
exceeding
> >local speed limits!
> >
> **********
>
> I would not either. You may never get caught but that does not remove
> the consequences.

I'm glad we see eye to eye on this one. Otherwise I'd stop at the next green light just in case you were coming the other way! ;-)

>
> **********
> >I would suggest that as of this very moment they would indeed qualify as
> >collectors items. Just like the 1957 Chevy, 1960 Cadillac Convertible,
> >etc....
> >
> **********
>
> I suggest you follow what was taught in that 6th grade civics class,
> if you were present. Write your congress person. You have the right to
> submit legislation to change the laws. You will need to get at least
> one congress person to sign on to the bill and have them persue it. It
> seems that you are into de-criminalization of the violations of the CB
> band. You are within your right to do so. As also your are in the
> rioght to petition the FCC and Congress for consideration of your

> concerns. Suggest that others who agree toyour position do like wise.
> Jus twuit sitting here on this news group and bitch about it and call
> anyone who disagrees with your position a facist.

I call em like I see them. The author did try and mis-lead people by fear.

I did pay attention in 6th grade civics class, and I don't have to write my congress person to make a change to something that is perfectly fine to begin with. I'm not going to write congressional representatives to de-criminalize an object that isn't criminal to own in the first place!

Dear Hillary;

I'm writing to you about a problem that doesn't exist. I'm doing this because one lone individual obviously doesn't understand the differences in property laws, and Federal Communication Commision Regulations. I know your time is valuable, and that's why I let this wing nut talk me into wasting yours.

Yours Truly,
Ben Dover
PS: Enjoy the cigars. And did I mention that ever since my neighbor two doors down assumed room temperature he's decided to become a democrat?

One word sums up the likeliness of me writing the above. NOT!

>
> **
> >Anyone who owns one should realise the sudden increase in value due to
this
> >FCC Memo as there will be no more units coming into the United States
> >anymore.
> >
> **
>
> Yes maybe they will increase some. but eventually with time they will
> fall to lesser values. Consioder this that after ten years, ,Uniden
> and others no longer are required to supply parts for repair. Thirty
> years for now a none working unit will be worth what a cupof coffee
> costs now.

Bear market, bull market... It's the markets decision.

>
> **
> >And that's all there is to this tiny little matter blown completely out
of
> >proportion by the original poster who chose a subject in an attempt to
scare
> >you out of your radio.
> >
> **
>

> I don't think that it was a scare as it was posted as information. You
> seem to be propogating the scare.

Look closely... 3 dots... Not Four.

>
> **
> >It was very well done... I'd almost regard it as New York Times
Material...
> >
> >VOTE FOR GORE OR WE'RE GONNA STARVE!!! -- get real --
> >
> >Fear... If you can find the humor in it you'll realize why the bible
refers
> >to the devil as a prankster.
> >
> **
>
> What has the bible have to do with radio?
>
> Did not Jesus say render unto Ceasar that which is Ceasar's?

Knew I shouldn't have written that paragraph, but I'm glad you took the bait. What I'm pointing out is that often with fear mongering and scare tactics it's often funny to see the individuals get spooked. Halloween prank. That sort of thing. I didn't quote from it or nothing. Man... You'd probably jump on holy hemoroids to keep commandments out of the hands of children I bet! Seems those stone tablets are about as physically harmfull as any 10M transceiver. Could stub a toe! Sandals or commandments must go, or one could stub a toe! har dee har har... Excuse me for "HAMMING" it up.

>
> **
> >I really wish these fascist scare tactic fear mongering dipshits like the
> >guy who posted the original message in this thread would go find
something
> >else to scare. He'd probably have to resort to puppies, kids, and
animals
> >though... Nice Try!
> >
> **
>
> What are you afraid of? DO you feel that because a law is not worth
> adhereing to, you just ignore it?

Dude. Dots... Are you feeling ok? You're confusing the issue of personal property with that of an individuals action. What I'm saying is really very simple.

>
> The law says that I can not possess a nuclear bomb. I would sure feel

> more comfortable having a 100megaton thermal nuclear weapon in my
> basement. Take out a whole bunch of commie bastards shoule they come
> and try and knock down my door.

Rollin out the holy hemoroids.. Just as I thought... Oh, hand gun doesn't
apply? I'll blow it completely out of proportion and make reference to
thermonuclear weapons.

I hear real estate is really cheap in Afghastan nowadays, but rumor has it
there will be several prime bunkers available outside of New Houston,
errrrr.. Baghdad opening up this spring. Perhaps you can get in on a time
share to see what the area is like before you make a final decision?

>
> Now how rediculaus does that sound? Haveing a 100 megaton thermal
> nuclear device is own's gasement does no one harm until it goes off!

I have never heard of a 10M radio getting to the point of critical mass, but
I have seen a few melt down. Not sure where you're going with this, but
I'll give ya some room for expression and my own entertainment.

>
> **********
> >>
> >> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> >>
> >> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
> >[SNIP] We know what they are.
> >1957 Chevy Impala.
> >1958 Chevy Corvette.
> >1975 Cadillac Eldorado.
> >1977 Monza Spyder
> >-- Could you imagine ?? --
> >
> >>
> >> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> >> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> >7435
> >> Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
362-3043
> >> respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov
> >
> >If you're still not sure about this after reading this post, contact the
> >people above. That's what they're there for. They get paid to answer
your
> >questions. It's what they do for a living!
> >
> >ILLEGAL... I'm still chuckling about it... I gotta wonder as a child if
> >the original poster of this message lit dogs tails on fire for 'jollies'?
> >I'd hate to light up a smoke, pass gas, or wear leather in front of this
> >guy... And yet, it's people with his very same attitude that piss on the
> >seats in public rest rooms, then tell the waitress someone before him did

> >it. Very unconstructive behavior. Wastes a lot of time.
> >
> >Well folks, coffee's worn off....
> >ERROR: Coffee not found, operator halted. Will auto restart in T- 8
hours.
> >
> **********
>
> yep T -8 hours! I thought you went backwards in time. Should you have
> meant T + 8 hours?

Depends on reference. To have a point of reference in a 3D space, one must
have at least observe 3 known points in that space.

In other words, connect the dots. It isn't hard to do.

>
> Finally I find your comments as humorous as you will find mine. See
> that is the beauty of this forum. Chances are that this will do
> anything to change your belief is at best a prayer. I think the Sun
> burning out tomorrow has a better chance. SInce you had the right to
> express your idealogy, I do express mine.

That's what makes America great. Don't worry about the commies and
terrorists. They're easy to spot. They're the ones wanting to shake hands
after you give em the finger. I'm all for cultural diversity.

Some washed ashore up on lake ontario the other day. Looks like one of our
fine upstanding Canadian Neighbors talked em into thinking it was safe to
cross in the rapids above the falls! Knowing Canadians and their good
business sense I would expect the fine neighbor charged for each head.

Let's see... That's a $24.00 vinyl raft, $5,000 US a head * 6 terrosists
minus the $24.00 for raft, gas for van, and one free complimentary
flashlight with half dead batteries if I get a full tank. Picture it;

Abduhl, now remember the roaring you hear means you're getting close.
That's the noise of the evil capitalist beast.

>
> Thanks and have a nice day

Any time Jerry. Been fun. Bon Voyage! ;-)

>
> james
>

5344. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 11:57:01 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hqBP9.348944$pN3.27140@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 147880

You are right 100%. The "Liberal Agenda" never lets up....in their out of
touch mindset. Their main goal was to retake the Senate. Oh, but it didn't
quite go their way. LOL

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0e6eef_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> What was right about what HE said? HE SAID that THE COUNTRY would be
BETTER
> OFF IF the 100 year old Senator from SC would of became president in 1948
> instead of Harry Truman ! That is WHY he was cast down! THIS was the
MAIN
> issue!
>
> The other issue as to WHY there was so much YELLING at him for this is
> because IF he left office, they would put a Dummycrat in his place, making
> the Dummycrats control the Senate!
>
> So let's see; IF no Harry Truman, then NO Macarthur--we would of WON the
> Korean War, Vietnam wouldn't of Happened! We would have MORE CB's today
> because the 1 world controllers wouldn't of been in power as fast or as
> soon, or at all, maybe!
>
> But as usual, the liberal media goes OFF POINT and starts hollering about
> the segregation party. The Dixicrats. Southern Democrats. All their
power
> couldn't bring back segregation then, or keep it in instead of the commies
> bringing forth their "civil rights," which are man created rights instead
of
> God created !
> Just think; the govt. wouldn't of killed MLK to bring in more communism to
> the USA, and if George Wallace made it in '68, then how much better would
we
> be than now? Something to THINK about, rather than get SIDE tracked by
> liberal agandas!
>
Dave!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5345. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 12:34:16 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cZBP9.153332$qF3.11343@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 147881

They had several, from the 2400, 2900, 4100, 5000, SSB 500 and 600. The later models looked very similar to the very last Lafayette CBs, and the last Colts too!

"DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03...
> Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it had
> weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy when it
> first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off in the
> middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
>
> But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years we have
> been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
>
> Deb
>
> --
> Debbie & Will Hicks
> Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
>
>
> http://www.willscb.com
> http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
>
> http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
>
>
>
>

5346. Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 12:39:54 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 147882

Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html

5347. Re: Clearing up misconceptions about DAVE!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 12:58:07 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <zjCP9.490703$WL3.127494@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147883

<Snip>
> Simply stated, he is as loony as the day is long.
>
> Now then, to get back on topic, how are those CB's working tonight?
>
And he doesn't know how to use his spell checker either. Oh well.
Sometimes it good to just do something else. Still a lot of mmm well Hate
there. I won't humor him any more because it really doesn't belong on this
news group. Sorry. He is probably still jumping up and down.

My CB is working great. I try not to use it of the evening since I am on it
all day. Have a wonderful day. I think I will go out and fly an R/C
Airplane since its going to get close to 60 and turn off the cb for a day.

Deb

5348. Re: Palomar 550 watt linear amplifier 10 meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 13:39:22 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <eWCP9.184338$a8.83458@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147884

"Craig Petersen" <n7uqa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:v0sod325u99hc1@corp.supernews.com...
> Any HF amp that operates 160-10 meters cannot produce full power with less
> than 50 watts drive. Furthermore, any amp that is capable of operation
> above 24 Mhz has to be FCC certified.

Not if you build it yourself, but only one per calendar year.

> I dont care what turad108 says, it's still intended for 11 meter
operation.

Agreed.

> He's grossly misinformed about RF amplifiers..

Probably not. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > For what it is worth, regulations forbid amplifiers capable of being
> > driven to full output with less than something like 50 watts (I don't
have
> > the
> > inclination to go to the FCC site and quote the rules). An amp capable
of
> > 100, 200, 500 or whatever watts driven by a watt or a watt and a half do
> > not meet requirements to be a legal amplifier at this frequency).
> >
> >
> > Kind regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Buzzy" <buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote in message
> > news:OZnP9.1393$aS7.320975957@news.inreach.com...
> >>
> >> Ok, this is attempt number 3 at listing this auction, first off
ATTENTION
> > to
> >> EBAY or WHOEVER it may concern: THE AMPLIFIER FOR SALE IN THIS AUCTION
> > FULLY
> >> COMPLIES WITH YOUR EBAY LISTING POLICIES BELOW, IT IS NOT: *A CB

> >> amplifier *An Amplifier specified for use on the 11 Meter band *An
> >> Amplifier
> > designed
> >> for use in frequencies 24 MHz to 35MHz *An Amplifier posted within the
CB
> >> category *A CB Radio that is amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
> >> Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings Therefore, it FULLY
complies
> >> with all rules and guidelines per Ebays listing policies. Also, this
> >> amplifier complies with -ALL- F.C.C. Rules and guidelines stated for
Ebay
> >> auction purposes. This amplifier is for amatuer radio use ONLY! Ebay,
if
> > you
> >> dont know what this is then please e mail me before you kick it off
> > unfairly
> >> for the 3rd time and make me type this all over again, and let me know
> >> why theres so many other people with auctions similar to this on your
> >> website that ARE listed illegally outside of your, and the F.C.C.'s
> >> guidelines
> > that
> >> you let run their full term. Best regards, turad108.
> >>
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948286583
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02
>
> --
> Linux Powered...
>
> Craig - N7UQA

5349. Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 13:57:07 UTC
From: Big_AL <agfowler@charter.nyet>
Message-ID: <v0tvoq8q44t306@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147885

I'm need a new mic for my mobile cb.
Got any suggetions? I know you can go from real cheap to expensive, what's out there best for performance and what's a best buy?
Thanks

5350. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 14:12:42 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aumvlb$37ph$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147886

Lots of memories for what? A dead link???

"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04...
> Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html
>
>
>
>

5351. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 14:14:38 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <irDP9.184355$a8.165193@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 147887

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aumvlb$37ph$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Lots of memories for what? A dead link???

It worked for me, but only on the second attempt.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> "Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04...
> > Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5352. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 14:46:09 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F0AB1.3AF11BF3@listed.here>
Source record: 147888

Big_AL wrote:
>
> I'm need a new mic for my mobile cb.
> Got any suggetions? I know you can go from real cheap to expensive, what's
> out there best for performance and what's a best buy?
> Thanks

You should consider a noise canceling mike for mobile use.
An amplified mike is not always the best choice.

Do you want to be loud or clear? I hate hearing "10-9",
and I won't talk to anyone I can't understand.

How about an Astatic 636L? Never needs batteries.

5353. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 14:53:09 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F0C55.C26456D7@listed.here>
Source record: 147889

It's working again. Check out all the spelling errors. :>

Royce Dean wrote:
>
> Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html

5354. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 15:11:48 UTC
From: Bling Bling <hawaii5O@aloha.com>
Message-ID: <0gOdnRnWpeCsjJKjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 147890

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E0F0C55.C26456D7@listed.here...
> It's working again. Check out all the spelling errors. :>

Scott are you the spelling Bee police, if all you can worry about is spelling mistakes I think you need to go for a ride in the jeep to get out of the house. Take a ride to Beefalo Bob's they got some killer sandwiches there.

5355. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 15:16:10 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F11BA.40467ED0@listed.here>
Source record: 147891

I ain't worried, I find it amusing. Where is Beefalo Bob's?

If it ain't in my state, will you pay gas and tolls?

Bling Bling wrote:
>
> "WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E0F0C55.C26456D7@listed.here...
> > It's working again. Check out all the spelling errors. :>
>
> Scott are you the spelling Bee police, if all you can worry about is
> spelling mistakes I think you need to go for a ride in the jeep to get out
> of the house. Take a ride to Beefalo Bob's they got some killer sandwiches
> there.

5356. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 15:23:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <liEP9.14485$3r1.2151@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 147892

Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:fEoP9.1397$SW7.321281058@news.inreach.com...
> You know, I think it is an old listing. It was sent to me
> a fairly long time ago by a ham friend who was trying
> to convince me to join him in holy hamdome.
>
> I told him the last thing I would ever do is get a license
> and make the whole world aware of who I was, where
> I lived and what I did.
>
> Once you get a ham license, the FCC knows everything
> about you. Bullcrap!

And do you really believe that if they want to find you, they can't? You'd
be amazed to learn how
easy it can be to DF a signal right to its source. That goes for a mobile,
as well.

Jerry

>
>
>
> "WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E0DD769.C83D3C3C@listed.here...
> > How about a link to the FCC site you pilfered this from so we can
> > see how OLD this memo is.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

5357. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 16:16:27 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212290816.500c035b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147893

"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<hqBP9.348944$pN3.27140@sccrnsc03>...
> You are right 100%. The "Liberal Agenda" never lets up....in their out of
> touch mindset. Their main goal was to retake the Senate. Oh, but it didn't
> quite go their way. LOL
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e6eef_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > What was right about what HE said? HE SAID that THE COUNTRY would be
> BETTER
> > OFF IF the 100 year old Senator from SC would of became president in 1948
> > instead of Harry Truman ! That is WHY he was cast down! THIS was the
> MAIN
> > issue!
> >
> > The other issue as to WHY there was so much YELLING at him for this is
> > because IF he left office, they would put a Dummycrat in his place, making
> > the Dummycrats control the Senate!
> >
> > So let's see; IF no Harry Truman, then NO Macarthur--we would of WON the
> > Korean War, Vietnam wouldn't of Happened! We would have MORE CB's today
> > because the 1 world controllers wouldn't of been in power as fast or as
> > soon, or at all, maybe!
> >
> > But as usual, the liberal media goes OFF POINT and starts hollering about
> > the segregation party. The Dixicrats. Southern Democrats. All their
> power
> > couldn't bring back segregation then, or keep it in instead of the commies
> > bringing forth their "civil rights," which are man created rights instead
> of
> > God created !
> > Just think; the govt. wouldn't of killed MLK to bring in more communism to
> > the USA, and if George Wallace made it in '68, then how much better would
> we
> > be than now? Something to THINK about, rather than get SIDE tracked by
> > liberal agandas!
> >
> Dave!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

well think about it.....what is wrong with segregation? it happens all the time.....look around. people hang with people of their own race most of the time anyways.....what's wrong with that??.....it isn't a put down of others or is it saying that one race is wrong and one race is right.....all this crap was faust upon the american people by do-gooder socialist democrats who think that they know what is right.....bullshit.....they have totally screwed up everything.....is racism wrong??? yes!!!!!! is busing to achieve racial integration wrong..??? yes...!!!!! are all of these afirmative action programs wrong?? yes.....!!!! you look at the black man of the fifties before all of this crap started and see what is there.....you see articulate americans who had thriving strong families, even though, even though mind you, they were put down and treated as second class citizens.....now then, you compare that to now.....no comparison.....so now the thought police are out and everytime a opportunistic black politician hears anything that he thinks is 'racist'.....he cries to the moon, because a continuation of the status quo is in his best interest and in the interest of the liberal democrats, who want power back so badly.....the seperate but equal doctrine passed by the supreme court said that their was nothing wrong with seperation and it was constitutional...then the libs got their guys on the supreme court and reviewed the matter again and said something totally different.....imagine that.....listen folks.....we are not all alike.....and we do not like the same things or think the same way.....and that is the way it was intended.....and this forced togetherness just breeds contempt.....you look the schools today.....hell, johnny can't even read, and you wonder why.....when they forced the black kids to go to school with the white kids.....they brought the level of education down instead of bringing it up, which i feel was their intent all along.....dumb people are much easier to control.....dig it?????????

5358. Re: Clearing up misconceptions about DAVE!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 16:18:57 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212290818.44425f31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147894

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<qavP9.67540$Nz5.2011442@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> > Dave!
> >
> > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > > Dave,
> > >
> > > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
> >
> >
> > Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> > CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> > distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
> >
> >
> > > If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting some
> > > racism BS.
> >
> > There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled made up
> > word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
> > anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the UN
> > "brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!
> >
> > >
> > > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
> >
> > What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> > according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21 about
> > "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would
> the
> > nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
> >
> >
> > God told
> > > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't
> > > follow that rule.
> >
> > It was LAW; not a "rule."
> >
> > God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.

> >
> >
> > that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
> >
> >
> > > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone
> hadn't
> > > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the
> God
> > > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> > >
> > > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
> afforded
> > > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> > >
> > > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> mortal
> > > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after
> > all,
> > >
> > >
> > > Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more,
> too.
> > >
> > >
> > > pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It really
> does
> > > give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.
> > >
> > >
> > > The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was JUST
> > > the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled
> those
> > > laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to a
> > > "state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
> > > Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
> plain
> > > > hateful.
> > >
> > > You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
> what
> > > hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
> HATE
> > > what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of
> his
> > > 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in

> your
> > mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do I
> > "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1 before
> > you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
> >
> >
> > Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
> >
> > Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
> >
> >
> > There will be a special
> > > place for you to rant one day.
> >
> > It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand the
> > truth, can you?!
> >
> >
> > There is only one true way to ensure your
> > > place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must live
> by
> > > the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.
> >
> >
> > It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping
> people
> > off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
> > Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!
> >
> > >
> > > There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.
> >
> > Which line, and where did I cross it?
> >
> >
> > Perhaps you
> > > should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just exerts
> > > that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good
> > > Christian". Narrow-mindedness.
> >
> >
> > Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because the
> > BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !
> >
> > >
> > > Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of
> their
> > > government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice.
> >
> >

> > They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with
> despotic
> > government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable, they
> > WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese? The
> > Mohammedens?
> >
> >
> > It
> > > sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human
> so
> to
> > > the gallows with you.
> >
> >
> > It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc toilet
> box,
> > that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so
> > everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I have
> > typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT unlawful
> > position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go
> there
> > instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of me,
> > when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing like
> > that!
> >
> > >
> > > You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead
> of
> > > bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk
> > > about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since
> they
> > > are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other place
> >
> >
> > Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
> > government!
> >
> >
> > > that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and work
> with
> > > some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk
> against.
> >
> >
> > Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a 23+
> > year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that are
> JUNK
> > made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$ because
> > they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their quality
> is

> > TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you GIVE
> the
> > RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM against
> > Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others in
> the
> > FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los
> Angeles.
> > DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA, or
> are
> > you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US
> > "unbelievers?" !
> >
> >
> > > You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread
> the
> > > true word.
> >
> >
> > I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !
> >
> > >
> > > You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and
> >
> >
> > Nothing scriptural about "nice." !
> >
> >
> > > understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work harder.
> > > Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life.
> >
> >
> > "Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do a
> > study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!
> >
> >
> > Man
> > > if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs
> you
> > > will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by
> the
> >
> >
> > I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been ruining
> > the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children who
> come
> > to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear, IF
> you
> > don't REPENT !
> >
> >

> > > Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!
> >
> >
> > Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
> > brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's LAW.
> > 10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor
> alleged
> > "hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will
> BECOME
> > the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH kingdom
> > are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!
> >
> > >
> > > How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?
> >
> >
> > I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!
> >
> >
> > I know this
> > > falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by
> some
> > > "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for a
> > few
> >
> >
> > It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR YEARS.
> > Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT
> MEAN
> > you can apply him to me, o simple one!
> >
> > > good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with that
> > > resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the beginning
> he
> > > thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at him.
> >
> >
> > He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media has
> > done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior motive,
> > which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!
> >
> > >
> > > Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing
> we
> as
> > > mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> > > speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
> determined.
> > > And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might
> take

> > > you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> > > documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted
> book
> > > in the Bible is Revelations.
> >
> >
> > You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.
> >
> >
> > Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> > > There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
> problem
> > > is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will not
> know
> > > the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It
> seems
> > > as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of Daniel
> and
> > > Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But once
> > > they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it
> usually
> > > changes their life.
> >
> >
> > I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on the
> > board when they made it!
> >
> > >
> > > Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion,
> then
> > > you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are
> not
> > > the poster child for that cause.
> >
> >
> > Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting the
> > money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip upset
> you?
> > He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !
> >
> > >
> > > We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will.
> >
> >
> > He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you won't
> be
> > Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore, but
> > AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!
> >
> >

> > > Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an alter
> > > call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.
> >
> >
> > You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
> > Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's
> made
> > in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$ and
> > persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ? Ain't NO
> > Doubt!
> >
> > >
> > > Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them all
> > > without reference to their origin.
> >
> >
> > "Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an
> Isaiah
> > 58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
> > !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > Dave!!
> > >
> > > Deb
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> Simply stated, he is as loony as the day is long.
>
> Now then, to get back on topic, how are those CB's working tonight?

i have no misconceptions about dave.....

5359. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 16:23:50 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aun9sd$1rh5$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 147895

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:liEP9.14485$3r1.2151@news.bellsouth.net...
>

<snip>

> And do you really believe that if they want to find you, they can't?
You'd
> be amazed to learn how
> easy it can be to DF a signal right to its source. That goes for a
mobile,
> as well.
>
>
> Jerry

Perhaps they've never heard the term of "FOX HUNTING" in radio. That is the purpose of this "event".
To FIND transmitters, usually for Emergency purposes but for other purposes as well. If you have some decent equipment (not necessarily lab type), it isn't hard at all.. Takes a little practice though. I've done it myself....
With a map or familiarity with the area and a few people situated in at least a couple places (triangulation is usually used (3 bearings)) - it doesn't take much. So, whether you broadcast your location or not, you can be found IF they want to find you. Lou/Tech@DP.NET

5360. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 16:27:52 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021229112752.28491.00000338@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 147896

>Subject: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !
>From: "Dave or Debby" truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com
>Date: 12/28/02 10:40 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3e0e6eef_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
>
>What was right about what HE said? HE SAID that THE COUNTRY would be BETTER
>OFF IF the 100 year old Senator from SC would of became president in 1948
>instead of Harry Truman ! That is WHY he was cast down! THIS was the MAIN
>issue!
>
>The other issue as to WHY there was so much YELLING at him for this is
>because IF he left office, they would put a Dummycrat in his place, making
>the Dummycrats control the Senate!
>
>So let's see; IF no Harry Truman, then NO Macarthur--we would of WON the
>Korean War, Vietnam wouldn't of Happened! We would have MORE CB's today
>because the 1 world controllers wouldn't of been in power as fast or as
>soon, or at all, maybe!
>
>But as usual, the liberal media goes OFF POINT and starts hollering about
>the segregation party. The Dixicrats. Southern Democrats. All their power
>couldn't bring back segregation then, or keep it in instead of the commies
>bringing forth their "civil rights," which are man created rights instead of
>God created !
>Just think; the govt. wouldn't of killed MLK to bring in more communism to
>the USA,

MLK was a boarderline comunist you idiot. Dave, you clearly have "issues".
Train

5361. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 16:54:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <IMFP9.624$QP5.47971597@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147897

----- Original Message -----
From: "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 12:13 AM
Subject: Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

> Interesting you should post this.
> you do realize that you have just publically admitted to being > guilty of
> violating FCC rules and regulations by even being in >possession of this
> equipment?

Again, another misinformed person concerning mere
possession, to use.

>
> I did a little checking. that particular device may be listed as > a 10
> meter amp, but it is far too widebanded to be type accepted > by the fcc
> (thus it is prohibited equipment).

For use on the cb band, not 10 meter band

>
> far be it from me to tell you what the law is (I am not >lawyer), but I
> do know a few things about the FCC and how they enforce > the rules. You,
> sir, are in over your head on this one.
>
Probably and I'm sure it's for the Cb Band anyways.

> My suggestion, lose the amp. its not wiorth the fines and jail > time it
> will probably get you.

Yes, you're right. After multiple warnings and notices
it will produce some sort of fine, Jail time? After years
of ignoring the notices & fines.

Landshark

--
Happy New Year to All

5362. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:02:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <RUFP9.628$8T5.48155855@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147898

"news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:K2AP9.16459$Jb.12143@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
>
> <james> wrote in message
news:u0ve0vcnla7gbe4dlrvj2da7obktl07nd3@4ax.com...
> [snip]
> > **********
> > actually the quoted material yu so amply have shown says nothing about
> > manufacturing. I believe that is states that the FCC has reviewed and
> > found a list of units that are not to be imported or marketed into the
> > US.
> > If a company wanted to manufacture here and export to a country that
> > allows such units then the FCC has no objectionto that. In fact they
> > can not stop a compnay from doing that.
>
> VERY VERY GOOD POINT!
> But we don't make anything here Jerry. Unless you count stealth aircraft
> and satcom gear.
> >
>
> > **********
> >
> > Not exactly. The order, or at least the part that you attempt to
> > explain, does not grandfather these radios in. It is still illegal to
> > operate them . Your analogy of operating a '69 Ford today is not
> > valid.
>
> I suggest that it is valid:
>
> 1.) Both require an operators license.
> 2.) You may only operate them where intended. (frequencies / roadways)
> 3.) They can both be deemed personal property of U.S. Citizenry.
>
> >
> > **********
> > >Now some make the analogy that these units are analagous to handguns.
> > >
> > >You need a permit to carry a handgun. You also need a license to
operate
> > >these radios.
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > You need a permit to carry a concealed weapon!

> >
> > Correct you do need a license to operate a transmitter in the US. On
> > certain bands of frequency that license maybe a blanket license issued
> > by the FCC. In that case there is no application filed by the user.
> > This also means that the user upon using the transmitter has agreed to
> > the rules and regualtions covering the service opertinf in. Ignorance
> > ot he rules and laws is no acception. FRS and the CB band are inthat
> > ruling.
>
> Who said anything about ignorance. I'm merely pointing out time and time
> again that there is a distinction between the property and the action
taken
> with that property. As far as your mention of concealed weapon, yes, you
> are correct. But a 10M radio is a far cry from a "weapon".
>
> >
> > **********
> > >One thing is different about it though. If someone does key the radio
on
> 11
> > >meters it's a far cry from causing any physical harm. That is why the
> > >handgun analogy doesn't hold up.
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > In nearly all cases no physical harm is done inusing a legal or
> > illegal radio. Because no physical harm is done does that mean it is
> > not illegal operation?
>
> No not at all. I honestly don't see how you draw that conclusion. My
point
> is that the handgun analogy is invalid because the transceiver is not a
> "weapon.". I don't know how you connected illegal acts directly to
aspects
> of physical property. I don't see your connection... That's because
there
> isn't one. If it were a weapon I'd be tempted, but not likely to agree
that
> there may be exceptions. But that's a matter for another whole thread.
>
> [snip]
> >
> > **********
> > >I'd think of operating those units on 11 meters more as a parking
> violation
> > >than I would a random shooting, that's for sure. Because after all
it's
> the
> > >operator that is responsible. Granted the fine is astronomical in
> comparison

> > >to a parking violation, but my point remains no physical harm is done.
> > >
> > **
> >
> > Correct in the analogyof the severity of the offense. It really is not
> > one for great detriment to national security and for what ever that
> > means. Your arguement is now more on the line of decriminalization.
>
> No, far from it. You better check your glasses, I think you may have a
spot
> on one of the lenses because you're not connecting the right dots in this
> picture. In my other posts what I have suggested is to find a way to make
> the use of that unit (at least partially) under local jurisdiction
somehow.
> I'm not going to go over that again, you'll have to read my other posts.
>
> >
> > **
> > >Now, as far as this Neo Fascist scare tactic.
> > >
> > **
> >
> > The FCC must operate under the guidelines set down by legislation from
> > Congress. Do you now insist that Congrees is Neo-facists?
>
> Check yer corrective eyewear again. Not at all. What I suggest is that
the
> original author of this message thread is a Neo Fascist fear monger.
> Through the use of selective facts and a misleading subject/headline I
> suggest the original author intended for unsuspecting readers to get the
> false impression any and all units mentioned in the FCC regulations were
> deemed contra-band / illegal to own.
>
> Can I spell it out any plainer? Laser pointer perhaps? Pictures?
> Diagrams? Cause I don't think you've played connect the dots before.
>
> >
> > **
> > >If you've read down this far you'll notice that the subject of the
> message
> > >contains the word illegal.
> > >
> > >The sender chose that subject to instill a sense of fear. I read
nowhere
> in
> > >that memo any thing about the radios being contra-band. It just states
> that
> > >they cannot be imported into the United States.
> > >
> > **

> > Actually I thought he posted it as information. I think in your
> > interpretation of his post is where the fear lies.
>
> I think it was blatant misprepresentation of new regulation is what was
> intended. Subject clearly states "ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST". When in
> fact he's misleading on 2 counts. One. They aren't "illegal", Two they
> aren't "CB's". I also suggest that through the use of quoted regulation
> knowing full well that the average reader of this unsuspecting newsgroup
is
> at all capable of understanding such regulation as quoted by the author
and
> might otherwise be confused into accepting the subject chosen by the
author
> as that of fact without full understanding of regulations as quoted by the
> author.
>
> In short, I would bet dollars to doughnuts that most readers here aren't
> attorneys, and would be swayed by merely the subject and limited content
> provided by the original author.
>
> >
> > **
> > >For those of you not in the United States that have read down this far
I
> > >humbly apologize for the length of this memo regarding this
explanation.
> On
> > >the other hand you're probably laughing at the whole message thread.
> > >
> > >The HR2510 is not Contra-Band... You can own one, sell one, etc...
Just
> > >don't operate it on 11 Meters!
> > >
> > **
> >
> > Clearly you did not listen to well in your 6 th grade civics clas or
> > may have been absent at that time. As I stated earlier, the FCC was
> > given permission to regulate and control of the usage and
> > distributionof transmitters in the US back in 1934 by an Act of
> > Congress. It was called the Communications Act of 1934. Under the
> > guidance of Congress, the FCC interprets the derective and powers
> > given them by Congress. One of those basically made operating an
> > HR2510 illegal on the CB band well over 40 years ago. But to you that
> > maybe acient history and not relavent law.
>
> Ok, I'll quote from your material, "regulate and control of the usage and
> distribution of ".
>
> Doesn't say anything about personal property. You key it, that's when you
> enter the jurisdiction of the FCC.

>
> >
> > **
> > >I would also recommend not parking in front of a fire hydrant, or
> exceeding
> > >local speed limits!
> > >
> > **
> >
> > I would not either. You may never get caught but that does not remove
> > the consequences.
>
> I'm glad we see eye to eye on this one. Otherwise I'd stop at the next
> green light just in case you were coming the other way! ;-)
>
> >
> > **
> > >I would suggest that as of this very moment they would indeed qualify
as
> > >collectors items. Just like the 1957 Chevy, 1960 Cadillac Convertible,
> > >etc....
> > >
> > **
> >
> > I suggest you follow what was taught in that 6th grade civics class,
> > if you were present. Write your congress person. You have the right to
> > submit legislation to change the laws. You will need to get at least
> > one congress person to sign on to the bill and have them persue it. It
> > seems that you are into de-criminalization of the violations of the CB
> > band. You are within your right to do so. As also your are in the
> > rioght to petition the FCC and Congress for consideration of your
> > concerns. Suggest that others who agree toyour position do like wise.
> > Jus twuit sitting here on this news group and bitch about it and call
> > anyone who disagrees with your position a facist.
>
> I call em like I see them. The author did try and mis-lead people by
fear.
>
> I did pay attention in 6th grade civics class, and I don't have to write
my
> congress person to make a change to something that is perfectly fine to
> begin with. I'm not going to write congressional representatives to
> de-criminalize an object that isn't criminal to own in the first place!
>
> Dear Hillary;
>
> I'm writing to you about a problem that doesn't exist. I'm doing this
> because one lone individual obviously doesn't understand the differences
in
> property laws, and Federal Communication Commision Regulations. I know

your
> time is valuable, and that's why I let this wing nut talk me into wasting
> yours.
>
> Yours Truly,
> Ben Dover
> PS: Enjoy the cigars. And did I mention that ever since my neighbor two
> doors down assumed room temperature he's decided to become a democrat?
>
> One word sums up the likeliness of me writing the above. NOT!
>
> >
> > **********
> > >Anyone who owns one should realise the sudden increase in value due to
> this
> > >FCC Memo as there will be no more units coming into the United States
> > >anymore.
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > Yes maybe they will increase some. but eventually with time they will
> > fall to lesser values. Consioder this that after ten years, ,Uniden
> > and others no longer are required to supply parts for repair. Thirty
> > years for now a none working unit will be worth what a cupof coffee
> > costs now.
>
> Bear market, bull market... It's the markets decision.
>
> >
> > **********
> > >And that's all there is to this tiny little matter blown completely out
> of
> > >proportion by the original poster who chose a subject in an attempt to
> scare
> > >you out of your radio.
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > I don't think that it was a scare as it was posted as information. You
> > seem to be propogating the scare.
>
> Look closely... 3 dots... Not Four.
>
> >
> > **********
> > >It was very well done... I'd almost regard it as New York Times
> Material...
> > >
> > >VOTE FOR GORE OR WE'RE GONNA STARVE!!! -- get real --
> > >

> > >Fear... If you can find the humor in it you'll realize why the bible
> refers
> > >to the devil as a prankster.
> > >
> > **
> >
> > What has the bible have to do with radio?
> >
> > Did not Jesus say render unto Ceasar that which is Ceasar's?
>
> Knew I shouldn't have written that paragraph, but I'm glad you took the
> bait. What I'm pointing out is that often with fear mongering and scare
> tactics it's often funny to see the individuals get spooked. Halloween
> prank. That sort of thing. I didn't quote from it or nothing. Man...
> You'd probably jump on holy hemoroids to keep commandments out of the
hands
> of children I bet! Seems those stone tablets are about as physically
> harmfull as any 10M transceiver. Could stub a toe! Sandals or
> commandments must go, or one could stub a toe! har dee har har... Excuse
me
> for "HAMMING" it up.
>
> >
> > **
> > >I really wish these fascist scare tactic fear mongering dipshits like
the
> > >guy who posted the original message in this thread would go find
> something
> > >else to scare. He'd probably have to resort to puppies, kids, and
> animals
> > >though... Nice Try!
> > >
> > **
> >
> > What are you afraid of? DO you feel that because a law is not worth
> > adhereing to, you just ignore it?
>
> Dude. Dots... Are you feeling ok? You're confusing the issue of
personal
> property with that of an individuals action. What I'm saying is really
very
> simple.
>
> >
> > The law says that I can not possess a nuclear bomb. I would sure feel
> > more comfortable having a 100megaton thermal nuclear weapon in my
> > basement. Take out a whole bunch of commie bastards shoule they come
> > and try and knock down my door.
>
> Rollin out the holy hemoroids.. Just as I thought... Oh, hand gun

doesn't
> apply? I'll blow it completely out of proportion and make reference to
> thermonuclear weapons.
>
> I hear real estate is really cheap in Afghastan nowadays, but rumor has it
> there will be several prime bunkers available outside of New Houston,
> errrrr.. Baghdad opening up this spring. Perhaps you can get in on a
time
> share to see what the area is like before you make a final decision?
>
> >
> > Now how rediculaus does that sound? Haveing a 100 megaton thermal
> > nuclear device is own's gasement does no one harm until it goes off!
>
> I have never heard of a 10M radio getting to the point of critical mass,
but
> I have seen a few melt down. Not sure where you're going with this, but
> I'll give ya some room for expression and my own entertainment.
>
> >
> > **********
> > >>
> > >> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> > >>
> > >> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
> > >[SNIP] We know what they are.
> > >1957 Chevy Impala.
> > >1958 Chevy Corvette.
> > >1975 Cadillac Eldorado.
> > >1977 Monza Spyder
> > >-- Could you imagine ?? --
> > >
> > >>
> > >> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> > >> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC
Laboratory,
> > >7435
> > >> Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> 362-3043
> > >> respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov
> > >
> > >If you're still not sure about this after reading this post, contact
the
> > >people above. That's what they're there for. They get paid to answer
> your
> > >questions. It's what they do for a living!
> > >
> > >ILLEGAL... I'm still chuckling about it... I gotta wonder as a child
if
> > >the original poster of this message lit dogs tails on fire for

'jollies'?
> > >I'd hate to light up a smoke, pass gas, or wear leather in front of
this
> > >guy... And yet, it's people with his very same attitude that piss on
the
> > >seats in public rest rooms, then tell the waitress someone before him
did
> > >it. Very unconstructive behavior. Wastes a lot of time.
> > >
> > >Well folks, coffee's worn off....
> > >ERROR: Coffee not found, operator halted. Will auto restart in T- 8
> hours.
> > >
> > **********
> >
> > yep T -8 hours! I thought you went backwards in time. Should you have
> > meant T + 8 hours?
>
> Depends on reference. To have a point of reference in a 3D space, one
must
> have at least observe 3 known points in that space.
>
> In other words, connect the dots. It isn't hard to do.
>
>
> >
> > Finally I find your comments as humorous as you will find mine. See
> > that is the beauty of this forum. Chances are that this will do
> > anything to change your belief is at best a prayer. I think the Sun
> > burning out tomorrow has a better chance. SInce you had the right to
> > express your idealogy, I do express mine.
>
> That's what makes America great. Don't worry about the commies and
> terrorists. They're easy to spot. They're the ones wanting to shake
hands
> after you give em the finger. I'm all for cultural diversity.
>
> Some washed ashore up on lake ontario the other day. Looks like one of
our
> fine upstanding Canadian Neighbors talked em into thinking it was safe to
> cross in the rapids above the falls! Knowing Canadians and their good
> business sense I would expect the fine neighbor charged for each head.
>
> Let's see... That's a $24.00 vinyl raft, $5,000 US a head * 6 terrosists
> minus the $24.00 for raft, gas for van, and one free complimentary
> flashlight with half dead batteries if I get a full tank. Picture it;
>
> Abduhl, now remember the roaring you hear means you're getting close.
> That's the noise of the evil capitalist beast.
>

> >
> > Thanks and have a nice day
>
> Any time Jerry. Been fun. Bon Voyage! ;-)
>
> >
> > james

Again very well said, but I believe you were
quoting James, not Jerry.

Landshark

5363. Re: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:02:43 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TUFP9.629$8T5.48155855@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147899

"news.verizon.net" <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:_RyP9.11941$ac.10581@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Comments dispersed throughout:
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:RmLN9.49699$C06.19516@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > news.verizon.net <karl.vesterling@verizon.net> wrote in message
> > news:i7IN9.73249$_S2.55626@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:1_QM9.6466$0v3.247@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > Rights. Those are C I V I L rights, none of which applies to CB
> radio.
> > > >
> > > I can't believe what I just read... If I understand you correctly,
what
> > you
> > > > are suggesting is, "CIVIL property rights don't apply to the owner
> because
> > > > it can be operated as a CB radio?"
> > >
> > CIVIL Law and ADMINISTRATIVE Law are not exactly the same. The FCC
> > regulations
> > fall under Administrative Law whereby Congress has passed an enabling
Act
> to
> > govern a particular
> > area, created an agency to ADMINISTER said law under US Code with
> > regulations that guide how such activities are to be conducted. Those
very
> > regulations, WRT CB Radio, already specifically outline what equipment
can
> > or cannot be used in a CB station. By the mere act of picking up the
mike
> > and transmitting, you have agreed to abide by those rules.
> -- Bingo --
> Let me quote: "By the mere act of picking up "....
>
> It's an action, not equipment already deemed property of a U.S. Citizen.
> Such as a 1969 Ford Galaxy, 76 Thunderbird, 59 Corvette.
>

> The action, not the property!
>
> > In essence, by
> > using
> > the CB, you have already contracted, if you will, with the government to
> > operate the station within the applicable rules. Therefore, if you
break
> > those rules, you will answer to an administrative law judge, not in
> regular
> > civil court. It does, indeed, mean that such equipment being used to
> commit
> > the offense CAN be considered contraband and subject to seizure. Even
> more
> > so if that equipment
> > is already deemed to be illegal to import to begin with.
>
> -- Bingo - IMPORT!
> Import and / or Market
>
> Meaning: You can't make one and sell it, and you can't import one from
> another country that makes one.
>
> The ones that are already deemed property of U.S. citizenry are
therefore -
> in a nutshell - "Collectors Items".
>
> Picking up the Mic and keying it on anything other an 10M without a
license
> is where the contract is broken and where the breach occurs, and where
> ADMINISTRATIVE LAW comes into effect. Because the breach is what causes
> "process".
>
> > Yes, darn it, you
> > can buy one of those bootleg Galaxys, sit it on the shelf and look at
it,
> > and nobody can just arbitrarily come and take it away.
>
> -- Precisely!
>
> I'm glad we're all clear on this now. What I didn't like about the
original
> thread was the fact that it led people to believe that it was illegal to
> own, buy, sell or trade. USE is TOTALLY different.
>
> The reason I got "concerned" was because some people do collect them, odd
as
> it may seem! Cabbage patch, hub caps, you name it, people collect it.
>
> A lot of those units are from roughly the mid 1980's to mid 1990's.
Roughly

> the end of the C.B. fad which started off in the 70's.
>
> For instance, some people I know have collections going all the way back
to
> the Tram D201 tube units.
> Some have every 10M ranger/rci model ever made. There are a lot of people
> who collect these units, and do indeed "shelf" them for one reason or
> another.
>
> I know one guy who has a barn full of mid 1940's AM Broadcast gear.
>
> The point is, that just because FCC rules and regulations may change about
> frequency allocation, the equipment that is part of that history and
> property is not "contra-band".
>
> It is the USE of that property that is/may-be inapropriate behavior. (FCC
> Jurisdiction) And I don't only mean transmit either. IE, analog cell
phone
> band and scanners with mods, etc...
>
> > You can buy an X
> > Force amp, sit it on the shelf, and it would sit there forever. No
one's
> > gonna know you even have it, right? Because no crime has been committed
> > with it. But splatter over your neighbor's TV--even without the amp in
> > line--and the agents come to call, they CAN confiscate it under current
> law;
> > read the regulation, it's there. Try applying "property rights" in the
> > above scenario, sorry---you'll lose!
>
> -- YES!! PRECISELY!!!
>
> Action, not property.
>
> I outlined in another post how some legislation may be able to get the
local
> authorities involved. Cause the FCC can't justify the "fox hunt".
Similar
> legislation to having a portable scanner deemed burglary tools would
> probably be enough to get local enforcement involved.
>
> FCC fines $10K, so great... Put some legislation in so the state gets to
> fine $2K on top of that. That'd get state enforcement involved. Question
> is, how to do it in their "jurisdiction". Technically the local
enforcement
> has no jurisdiction over the airwaves, so perhaps this could be done under
> the ?cause? of "intent to disrupt emergency communications"... Something
> like that...
>
> Yes, I acknowledge that certain individuals use these units in an

un-lawfull
> manner. It just goes to show how foolish they are when the parts become
> more and more scarce, and the value of the unit keeps going up.
>
> In short, it'll die.. Want it to die faster? Get local enforcement
> involved somehow.
>
> But dag it all... Don't start smashing museum pieces!
>
> >
> > Therefore, in the case of the Galaxy and Connex radios because they are
> > already enjoined from
> > import, you are splattering over Mrs. Jones TV, the Galaxy is sitting on
> the
> > shelf, when the agents
> > come to call, they CAN seize it (it's called "in rem seizure") because
the
> > rules state that if you have a
> > piece of equipment that is not allowed at your CB station, they can and
> will
> > assume that you have or
> > are using it. Never draw the wrong kind of attention, and that Galaxy
> could
> > gather layers of dust.
>
> A lot of the collectors I know all have cell phones and e-mail by now, and
> most units are stored in closets, attics, garages, sheds, and I'm certain
> several basements. Some have even owned shops and kept one of every model
t
> hey sold.
>
> People collect the danrdest stuff! I can't explain it. It just happens.
> From coins to buttons, pins, multi-sided dice, guitar amplifiers, stanley
> bailey hand planes, and yes, for some unforsaken reason cabbage patch
dolls.
>
> I understand that ebay won't allow most/all of the units mentioned on
their
> site at all. And why should they? What good would controversy get them?
ok
> ok... Yes... Ratings...
>
> But, ebay is proof that people collect the darndest things.
>
> >
> > I am fully aware that I do not "own" spectrum, and I fully understand
the
> > regulations that govern my
> > station. But I HAVE been granted a license to operate on certain
> > frequencies by fulfilling the requirements set forth by the FCC. In

that
> > sense, I have "paid" the price of use whereas the bandits
> > have not. Amateur Radio is somewhat self-policing, unfortunately less
so
> > than it once was. Witness the yahoos that sometimes embarrass me with
> their
> > infantile behavior, and it is not only a desire of mine to attempt to
rid
> > those airwaves of unlicensed operators, it is my duty to do so! The
idea
> of
> > some "romantic", adventuresome, and lawless cowboys running roughshod
over
> > everybody else
> > screaming "Ah've got mah r'ahts" and to hell with everybody else is not
> > going to fly. It is with that in
> > mind that many of us who are being affected by this crap are attempting
to
> > do something about it; wrong is wrong, and right is right. Whatever the
> > outcome (and what I'm being told doesn't agree with what the outlaw
> > operators want us to believe), it won't be without the strong efforts of
> > hams
> > worldwide to get rid of this sh**.
>
> Jerry... You're not seeing the forest for the tree's. The HAM band has
> always been a self policed band. All you guys need is some way to make it
> possible to call on local enforcement to knock on the door. You go fox
hunt
> the problem, then all you have to do is finger the local enforcement
number
> into a cell phone. Bammo. Problem solved, example made, things quiet
down
> real fast.
>
> Without the local enforcement, the only option you have is to continue
> whining, and using scare tactics. I don't mind the whining part. That
> doesn't bother me one bit. But the scare tactics I don't get along with.
> When I was young I used to get scared. Made me lose my appetite. Since
> then I have gained 20lbs.
>
> I've been on a few fox hunts. If you want to make the job really easy
this
> is what you need.
>
> 3 cars
> 3 people with cell phones (one w/ 3 way calling)
> -- If in New York State driver must wear head set while jabbering on
cell.
> 3 spectrum analyzers w/ directional antenna. (the more directional the
> better.)

> 3 hand held GPS units.
>
> At least one person with knowledge in mathematics, or one laptop and one
> spreadsheet application.
>
> HF is easy to track.
>
> Microwave you sometimes find that one party in the 3 has been chasing an
> echo. So 900Mhz and up is where it becomes interesting.
>
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Ok... Let's take that logic and apply it to a car. "CIVIL property
> > rights
> > > don't apply to the owner because a car can be operated in an unlawfull
> > > manner."
> > >
> > > That's certainly not what the FCC Regulations state. The FCC
> Regulations
> > > state that you can't make them, and you can't import them. Just like
> the
> > > DOT Regulations state that you cannot make the Mazda 280ZX any more.
It
> > > won't pass DOT crash or emissions tests.
>
> Presicely Jerry. That's exactly my point. I would also like to point out
> that people often make an analogy to a gun instead of a car regarding
these
> units. (and yes people collect those too!) The gun analogy is not
> applicable because the transceivers are no more physically harmfull than
any
> old blunt object that may present itself as a weapon. If anything of
those
> original units could be used in an un-lawfull manner I'd have to say it
> absolutely positively has to be the microphone. And for two reasons:
>
> 1.) It can be used to key the radio in a fashion not in compliance with
FCC
> regulations..
> 2.) You could use the mic in a 12th century mace weapon.
>
> In short, if someone comes at me swinging a heavy microphone with a
battery
> in it I'd deem it a threat. Someone throws one of those units at me I'll
be
> tempted to try and catch it. New in the box with owners manual I'd

probably
> jump for it if they missed. But I'd never duck, that's for sure!. ;-)
>
> Now if that doesn't kill this dead horse of a subject I hope someone
brought
> the wooden stake and mallet.

Very Well said Karl.....

Landshark

--
Happy New Year to All

5364. Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:18:57 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <00HP9.1443$TG3.333503607@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147904

Both mean that the government knows where you live
and what you got. It also means that the government
can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.

Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.

If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
never been registered and it's stashed away from public
view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.

And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
DF equipment.

5365. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:23:04 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021229122304.28753.00000354@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 147901

636L or RK56 ....IF thge radio has been turned WAY up or used in a very noisy environment. 575M6 otherwise.
Train

5366. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:30:43 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <3bHP9.1444$CL3.333811748@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147902

I prefer a Electro-Voice RE20 driving a EQ box for great audio.

Add a kilowatt or so and you'll sound better then any commercial
radio station in town.

http://www.bswonline.com/prod_item.asp?item=RE20

"Big_AL" <agfowler@charter.nyet> wrote in message
news:v0tvoq8q44t306@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm need a new mic for my mobile cb.
> Got any suggetions? I know you can go from real cheap to expensive, what's
> out there best for performance and what's a best buy?
> Thanks
>
>

5367. For WMC Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 17:35:39 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aunbhr$3fqc$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147903

<Man, You are really slacking. You missed one...Spelling that is...
"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021229122304.28753.00000354@mb-fv.aol.com...
> 636L or RK56 ....IF thge radio has been turned WAY up or used in a
very
> noisy environment. 575M6 otherwise.
> Train

5368. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:07:34 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F2D28.8060403@cac.net>
Source record: 147900

I'll second that suggestion. Astatic 636L All the way.

-SSB

WMC Club wrote:
>
> Big_AL wrote:
>
>>I'm need a new mic for my mobile cb.
>>Got any suggetions? I know you can go from real cheap to expensive, what's
>>out there best for performance and what's a best buy?
>>Thanks
>
>
>
> You should consider a noise canceling mike for mobile use.
> An amplified mike is not always the best choice.
>
> Do you want to be loud or clear? I hate hearing "10-9",
> and I won't talk to anyone I can't understand.
>
> How about an Astatic 636L? Never needs batteries.

5369. This is a job---for ANTIKEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:28:07 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212291028.6ebf9fcf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147906

Put on your cape & tights, then come to L.A. to clean up 147.435.

If you can do that, you may get the recognition from Uncle Charlie that you want...

Guy Richards

5370. Re: For WMC Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:54:02 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F44CA.D56031FD@listed.here>
Source record: 147907

I can tell the difference between a slip of the finger and a lack of education. Just finishing up lunch. I had to run out and beat all the church goers to all the good sale bargains this morning. Don't wait or hesitate or the good stuff is gone by 5PM! Good deals on Folger's go really fast around here. 34.5 OZ for $3.99 at Walgreens. RUN!!!

Another good deal I saw: 120GB/7200RPM HD at Circuit City for $160. (After rebate). Was that dust I just saw from your chair? Hello?

Cowboy67 wrote:
>
> <Man, You are really slacking. You missed one...Spelling that is...
> "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> news:20021229122304.28753.00000354@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > 636L or RK56 ....IF thge radio has been turned WAY up or used in a
> very
> > noisy environment. 575M6 otherwise.
> > Train

5371. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:54:58 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021229135458.15390.00000292@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 147908

Man, you need to learn how to crop an image...and resize it while you are at it...slow downloads.....Might include where these items are located...city/state wise.

"Got RF?"

5372. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:56:31 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F38A1.70300@cac.net>
Source record: 147905

Hate to tell you this, but the Gov't already knows where you live and what you got. The IRS is a Gov't agency, and if you have an SSN, they know where you are, and what you have.

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> Both mean that the government knows where you live
> and what you got. It also means that the government
> can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>
> Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>
Getting a HAM license is a small price to pay for the camaraderie you get in the Amateur Ranks. Not to mention the privileges you get for taking a test or two, and not really providing the Gov't with any more information than what you already have.

Since when is the free exchange of information anti-American? Methinks you need to pull your head out of your ass.

Besides, if you think about it, the Constitution of these United States does not guarantee freedom.. "Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness" is specifically spelled out. Look up the definition of Liberty in any Dictionary. Now look up the definition of the word Freedom. You'll find that they're a bit different. Again, pull your head out of your ass. This is NOT a free country. This is a country that guarantees Liberty to its citizens.

> If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>
What are you afraid of? As long as the Second Amendment is in force, the gov't isn't going to do a thing to take your guns. You can, of course, get involved in Gov't, write your Senators and Congressmen, vote, etc, to help ensure that your opinion on the 2nd Amendment is heard. That's part of the Liberty that's guaranteed to us. If you aren't willing to get involved, you deserve what you get.

> And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100

> percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> DF equipment.
>
Not really that easy.. A good doppler unit can find just about any
signal in a few seconds, regardless of what mode you're in. Digital
signals still have to be transmitted from somewhere, and the
transmitted waves are no different than the old "analog" or voice
modes. The data contained in the sidebands is different, but the
signals are still there, and easy to track.

>

So, in closing, you really need to reach down between your legs, grab
ahold of your shoulders, pull really hard, and get your head out of
your ass. You've only thought things half way through, and such
behavior is going to bite you in the ass someday.

73

-SSB

5373. Re: FA: ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 18:56:35 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021229135635.15390.00000293@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 147909

Last one New in Box went for $54...

"Got RF?"

5374. Re: This is a job---for ANTIKEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 19:00:40 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E0F4658.E1F7EAD5@qnet.com>
Source record: 147910

Hello Guy:

We will be listening!

I have the best of 435, (Four Three Five) volums 1, 2, and 3 on CDs.
I now think theres a volume 4 out? You got Vol 4?

Frank is still missed.

Jay in the Mojave

That Guy Again wrote:
>
> Put on your cape & tights, then come to L.A. to clean up 147.435.
>
> If you can do that, you may get the recognition from Uncle Charlie that you want...
>
> Guy Richards

5375. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 19:24:40 UTC
From: ".Bithead." <bithead@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <09tu0vc74etcni14nac5cj1vd0f9bp62a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 147911

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 11:23:50 -0500, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:

>"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:liEP9.14485$3r1.2151@news.bellsouth.net...
>>
>
><snip>
>
>> And do you really believe that if they want to find you, they can't?
>You'd
>> be amazed to learn how
>> easy it can be to DF a signal right to its source. That goes for a
>mobile,
>> as well.
>>
>>
>> Jerry
>
>Perhaps they've never heard the term of "FOX HUNTING" in radio. That is the
>purpose of this "event".
>To FIND transmitters, usually for Emergency purposes but for other purposes
>as well. If you have some decent equipment (not necessarily lab type), it
>isn't hard at all.. Takes a little practice though. I've done it myself....
>With a map or familiarity with the area and a few people situated in at
>least a couple places (triangulation is usually used (3 bearings)) - it
>doesn't take much. So, whether you broadcast your location or not, you can
>be found IF they want to find you. Lou/Tech@DP.NET

Doesn't even take that much.
Years ago we used to do foxhunts around Rochester, every Friday night.
All it takes is a fairly directional Antenna... say a 1/4 stick
mounted on the middle of the back bumper. Turn a few circles, note
the peaks, and go.

-->

```
_____________________________________________________________
/BitHead's Place: Political commentary from the REAL world. /\
/ http://greysanctuary.com/columnists/bithead/index.asp _/ /\
/ http://home.rochester.rr.com/bitheads/ / \/
/ Before you preach tolerance, THINK. \ /
Those who tolerate *everything*, stand for *nothing*. /\
_____________________________________________________/ /
_____________________________________________________\/
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
```

5376. Re: For WMC Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 19:53:53 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aunjl1$3f1v$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147912

Dust? Naw, just me fartin' while I read your post! LOL

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E0F44CA.D56031FD@listed.here...
> I can tell the difference between a slip of the finger and a lack of
> education. Just finishing up lunch. I had to run out and beat all the
> church goers to all the good sale bargains this morning. Don't wait
> or hesitate or the good stuff is gone by 5PM! Good deals on Folger's
> go really fast around here. 34.5 OZ for $3.99 at Walgreens. RUN!!!
>
> Another good deal I saw: 120GB/7200RPM HD at Circuit City for $160.
> (After rebate). Was that dust I just saw from your chair? Hello?
>
> Cowboy67 wrote:
> >
> > <Man, You are really slacking. You missed one...Spelling that is...
> > "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> > news:20021229122304.28753.00000354@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > > 636L or RK56 ....IF thge radio has been turned WAY up or used in a
> > very
> > > noisy environment. 575M6 otherwise.
> > > Train

5377. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 19:57:43 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aunjs7$3kvs$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147913

Yep there it is. the good ole days! earlier it came up a couple of times
that it(link) was a dead link.
"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aumvlb$37ph$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Lots of memories for what? A dead link???
>
>
> "Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04...
> > Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5378. advice on super star rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:18:54 UTC
From: big boy now <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <NMIP9.3514$oY6.376@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 147914

this is a simple question who makes superstar rigs....
I have two one a superstar 3500 this one is for sale on ebay at this time
its in very good condition all black. six bands of 40 channels am/fm.
built in swr meter e.c.t..
and the second is my pride and joy superstar 3900 its in mint condition
and is totally standard never been messed about with....10w am/fm and 20w
ssb
I want to find out the company who makes the superstar range of cb radio.s
as I am keen to see what new sideband rigs are for sale on today's
market.....and maybe sell my pride and joy and buy the latest model...
many thanks for any help sent......
please email me you web links for the maker of these rigs
shogun1965@lycos.co.uk

_____ john
ICQ#: 84317226 Current ICQ status: + More ways to contact me i See more
about me: _____

5379. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:34:08 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.29.13.35.49.932.24602@cox.net>
Source record: 147915

In article <IMFP9.624$QP5.47971597@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
"Landshark" <S3Buell@sbcglobal.net.> wrote:

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 12:13 AM Subject: Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL
> BASE LINEAR
>
>
>> Interesting you should post this.
>> you do realize that you have just publically admitted to being > guilty
>> of violating FCC rules and regulations by even being in >possession of
>> this equipment?
>
>
> Again, another misinformed person concerning mere possession, to use.
no... I beg to differ. I have seen some of the actions the FCC takes. in
so far as they are concerned 9and this is a matter of policy on their
part): possession denotes guilt automatically, whether or not the device
is used.

>
>
>> I did a little checking. that particular device may be listed as > a 10
>> meter amp, but it is far too widebanded to be type accepted > by the
>> fcc (thus it is prohibited equipment).
>
>
> For use on the cb band, not 10 meter band
well, having worked on a few of this type of amplifier, I can tell you
with a certain degree of knowledge that the amp in question is VERY
CAPABLE of working in 11 meters with no retuning. It was designed to
operate there by the manufacturer (you can even check the website for the
technical specs on it). and it requires less than 10 watts drive. that,
in combination with what the FCC ruules have to say, makes this amp
"prohibited equipment".

>
>
>> far be it from me to tell you what the law is (I am not >lawyer), but
>> I do know a few things about the FCC and how they enforce > the rules.
>> You, sir, are in over your head on this one.
>>
> Probably and I'm sure it's for the Cb Band anyways.
more than likely...

>
>> My suggestion, lose the amp. its not wiorth the fines and jail > time
>> it will probably get you.
>
>
> Yes, you're right. After multiple warnings and notices it will produce
> some sort of fine, Jail time? After years of ignoring the notices &
> fines.

hmmmm. well, I;ve seen a few people that ignore the fcc. they don't
ignore for very long (read the latest ARnewsline under enforcement).

Technomage

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

5380. ain't NO doubt !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:39:42 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f5d45_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147916

You ARE da man, Cheeze! Johnny can't read because of the NEA Teachers Union, period. They have been makin' us dumber since the 1840's ! Da mo $$$ you give dem, da dummer yo git!

Also, segregation would of stopped the commy agenda for destroying the races! Actually if there was no segregation in the 1st place, then the commies would have had to find a different issue to cry about to CHANGE the USA !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0212290816.500c035b@posting.google.com...
> "Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<hqBP9.348944$pN3.27140@sccrnsc03>...
> > You are right 100%. The "Liberal Agenda" never lets up....in their out of
> > touch mindset. Their main goal was to retake the Senate. Oh, but it didn't
> > quite go their way. LOL

> well think about it.........what is wrong with segregation? it
> happens all the time......look around. people hang with people of
> their own race most of the time anyways.........what's wrong with
> that??.........it isn't a put down of others or is it saying that one
> race is wrong and one race is right.......all this crap was faust upon
> the american people by do-gooder socialist democrats who think that
> they know what is right......bullshit......they have totally screwed
> up everything.....is racism wrong??? yes!!!!!! is busing to achieve
> racial integration wrong..??? yes...!!!!! are all of these
> afirmative action programs wrong?? yes.....!!!! you look at the
> black man of the fifties before all of this crap started and see what
> is there......you see articulate americans who had thriving strong
> families, even though, even though mind you, they were put down and
> treated as second class citizens.........now then, you compare that to
> now......no comparison......so now the thought police are out and
> everytime a opportunistic black politician hears anything that he
> thinks is 'racist'.......he cries to the moon, because a continuation
> of the status quo is in his best interest and in the interest of the
> liberal democrats, who want power back so badly..........the seperate
> but equal doctrine passed by the supreme court said that their was
> nothing wrong with seperation and it was constitutional...then the
> libs got their guys on the supreme court and reviewed the matter again
> and said something totally different.......imagine that......listen
> folks.......we are not all alike..........and we do not like the same
> things or think the same way.....and that is the way it was

> intended.....and this forced togetherness just breeds
> contempt.....you look the schools today.....hell, johnny can't
> even read, and you wonder why.....when they forced the black
> kids to go to school with the white kids.....they brought the
> level of education down instead of bringing it up, which i feel was
> their intent all along.....dumb people are much easier to
> control.....dig it?????????

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5381. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:42:03 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f5dce$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147917

MLK was a Communist--he was @ the Commy training school in '57 with Eleanor Roosevelt (another commy) @ Monteagle, TN, where they got kicked out because of no beer licence, and went to drug airport capital Mena Arkansas!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20021229112752.28491.00000338@mb-fv.aol.com...
> >Subject: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !
> >From: "Dave or Debby" truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com
> >Date: 12/28/02 10:40 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3e0e6eef_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
> >
> >What was right about what HE said? HE SAID that THE COUNTRY would be BETTER
> >OFF IF the 100 year old Senator from SC would of became president in 1948
> >instead of Harry Truman ! That is WHY he was cast down! THIS was the MAIN
> >issue!
> >
> >The other issue as to WHY there was so much YELLING at him for this is
> >because IF he left office, they would put a Dummycrat in his place, making
> >the Dummycrats control the Senate!
> >
> >So let's see; IF no Harry Truman, then NO Macarthur--we would of WON the
> >Korean War, Vietnam wouldn't of Happened! We would have MORE CB's today
> >because the 1 world controllers wouldn't of been in power as fast or as
> >soon, or at all, maybe!
> >
> >But as usual, the liberal media goes OFF POINT and starts hollering about
> >the segregation party. The Dixicrats. Southern Democrats. All their power
> >couldn't bring back segregation then, or keep it in instead of the commies
> >bringing forth their "civil rights," which are man created rights instead of
> >God created !
> >Just think; the govt. wouldn't of killed MLK to bring in more communism to
> >the USA,
>
> MLK was a boarderline comunist you idiot. Dave, you clearly have "issues".
> Train

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5382. Re: Tonight Live on 5.070 MHz CB Talk Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:45:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f5e92_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147918

RF:

It was an UNUSUAL program to say the least! I had to call in to get it "going." The BEST part of the program is when you had the fcc instructor train the agents in the CB lingo! That was great!
Did N8 or McVooble really call ? This truly WAS the NG's first worldwide radio program !!!!!! Debby didn't like it--said it was a waste of airtime!
All good things start strange with humble beginnings!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.com> wrote in message news:TOqcnZ2N1f2jUJCjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> Live tonight on WWCR 5.070 MHz AM 11:00 pm EST Give us a call toll free at
> 1-877-411-2890 and get your name called. Breaka! Can someone please call in
> and let the world know who the few are responsible for screwing up this news
> group. 73 RF Burns.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5383. LISTEN to 5070 tonight @ 10pm EST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:47:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f5f32$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147919

This program, which I heard last PM, will show you what the FUTURE of CB and radio in general will be IF Ashcroft gets his big bruthah ways! IF you have a shortwave radio I highly URGE you to listen to this program. Regardless of political perspective, you GOT TO hear it!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5384. HAMS & CB'ers: Don't buy WRTVH !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 20:56:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f614b_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147920

Hams & CB'ers, DO NOT BUY the so called "World Radio TV Handbook any more.
I remember reading in the front of one a few years ago, that they ARE
liberal HATE pinkos that were advising people NOT TO listen to "Hate Radio,"
mainly WWCR 5070 on shortwave. The reason WHY is because of their
programming. Not controlled by THEM and the major media, it is the FREE-est
medium outside of CB radio. They just don't want you to hear the other
side, OR the CB Radio Show of last night! So since they consider this fine
station "Hate Radio," we won't buy any more of your communist BIASED
propaganda, known as the WRTVH !
What do YOU think ?
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5385. Is 147. on my CB ? !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 21:00:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f6233$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147921

Jay:
What are you and "That Guy" talking about? What is going on in LA (is that Lower Alabama or da left coast) on that freq.? Actually if UnAmerican CB shops continue to sell brabd new Cobra 29's and other made in China CB's, the Commy CHINKS may NUKE LA an all freqs. will then be open!
Dave!!!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3E0F4658.E1F7EAD5@qnet.com...
> Hello Guy:
>
> We will be listening!
>
> I have the best of 435, (Four Three Five) volums 1, 2, and 3 on CDs.
> I now think theres a volume 4 out? You got Vol 4?
>
> Frank is still missed.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
>
> That Guy Again wrote:
> >
> > Put on your cape & tights, then come to L.A. to clean up 147.435.
> >
> > If you can do that, you may get the recognition from Uncle Charlie that you want...
> >
> > Guy Richards

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5386. Got no licence, got no plates, got no contracts wit da state! Swampfox, Swampfox,...!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 21:03:15 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f62c7_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147922

Just DON'T listen to 5070 tonight @ 10pm EST ! You will see what is next!
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:00HP9.1443$TG3.333503607@news.inreach.com...
> Both mean that the government knows where you live
> and what you got. It also means that the government
> can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>
> Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>
> If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>
> And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> DF equipment.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5387. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 21:05:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f6367_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147923

2 questions:
(a) Will it fit on my 4 wheeler, and (b) can I pick it up with 1 hand like the 30' one I had in '72 ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3E0E4C6A.70802@dirtball.com...
> I have a 80 foot tower for sale check out
> http://www.dirtball.com/radio
> 73 Oris
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5388. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 21:07:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f63bb_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147924

I don't think it was a Cybernet one, unless the board was a lot different
than the Cybernet. I Seem to remember it to be like the Uniden?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:dCvP9.1720$QZ2.1266790463@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> SSB 500!!!! Old cybernet radio..
>
> Steve
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e4687$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > It was a SSB unit kinda like a 148. 1977 vintage probably. Tan edge on
> > radio, I remember.
> > Dave!
> >
> > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03...
> > > Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it had
> > > weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy when
it
> > > first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off
in
> > the
> > > middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
> > >
> > > But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years we
> > have
> > > been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
> > >
> > > Deb
> > >
> > > --
> > > Debbie & Will Hicks
> > > Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.willscb.com
> > > http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> > > http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> > > http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
> > >
> > > http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5389. There AIN'T NO misconceptions about me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:12:48 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7315_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147925

That's because U is da MAN !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212290818.44425f31@posting.google.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<qavP9.67540$Nz5.2011442@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...

> i have no misconceptions about dave.....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5390. WHO let da Loons out, WHO, WHO ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:14:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7370_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147926

To Luney Liberals, everything is Luney! Since the Mooslims are MOON
Worshippers, I can't be Luney!
Dave!

"Randy" <rcheapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qavP9.67540$Nz5.2011442@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> > Dave!
> >
> > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > > Dave,
> > >
> > > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
> >
> >
> > Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> > CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> > distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
> >
> >
> > > If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting
some
> > > racism BS.
> >
> > There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled made
up
> > word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
> > anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the UN
> > "brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!
> >
> > >
> > > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
> >
> > What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> > according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21
about
> > "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would
> the
> > nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
> >

> >
> > God told
> > > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man
couldn't
> > > follow that rule.
> >
> > It was LAW; not a "rule."
> >
> > God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
> >
> >
> > that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
> >
> >
> > > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone
> hadn't
> > > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as
the
> > God
> > > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> > >
> > > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
> afforded
> > > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> > >
> > > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> > mortal
> > > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is,
after
> > all,
> >
> >
> > Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more,
> too.
> >
> >
> > > pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It
really
> > does
> > > give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.
> >
> >
> > The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was
JUST
> > the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled
> those
> > laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to a
> > "state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
> > Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.

> >
> > >
> > > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
> plain
> > > hateful.
> >
> > You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
> what
> > hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
> HATE
> > what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of
> his
> > 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in
> your
> > mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do
I
> > "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1
before
> > you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
> >
> >
> > Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
> >
> > Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
> >
> >
> > There will be a special
> > > place for you to rant one day.
> >
> > It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand
the
> > truth, can you?!
> >
> >
> > There is only one true way to ensure your
> > > place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must
live
> by
> > > the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.
> >
> >
> > It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping
> people
> > off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
> > Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!
> >
> > >
> > > There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.
> >
> > Which line, and where did I cross it?

> >
> >
> > Perhaps you
> > > should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just
exerts
> > > that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good
> > > Christian". Narrow-mindedness.
> >
> >
> > Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because the
> > BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !
> >
> > >
> > > Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of
> > their
> > > government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice.
> >
> >
> > They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with
> despotic
> > government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable, they
> > WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese?
The
> > Mohammedens?
> >
> >
> > It
> > > sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human
> so
> > to
> > > the gallows with you.
> >
> >
> > It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc toilet
> box,
> > that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so
> > everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I have
> > typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT unlawful
> > position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go
> there
> > instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of
me,
> > when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing
like
> > that!
> >
> > >
> > > You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead
> of
> > > bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk

> > > about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since
> > they
> > > are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other
place
> >
> >
> > Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
> > government!
> >
> >
> > > that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and
work
> > with
> > > some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk
> against.
> >
> >
> > Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a
23+
> > year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that are
> JUNK
> > made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$ because
> > they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their
quality
> is
> > TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you GIVE
> the
> > RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM
against
> > Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others in
> the
> > FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los
> Angeles.
> > DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA, or
> are
> > you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US
> > "unbelievers?" !
> >
> >
> > > You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread
> the
> > > true word.
> >
> >
> > I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !
> >
> > >
> > > You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and
> >
> >

> > Nothing scriptural about "nice." !
> >
> >
> > > understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work
harder.
> > > Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life.
> >
> >
> > "Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do a
> > study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!
> >
> >
> > Man
> > > if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs
> you
> > > will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by
> the
> >
> >
> > I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been
ruining
> > the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children who
> come
> > to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear, IF
> you
> > don't REPENT !
> >
> >
> > > Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!
> >
> >
> > Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
> > brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's
LAW.
> > 10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor
> alleged
> > "hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will
> BECOME
> > the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH
kingdom
> > are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!
> >
> > >
> > > How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?
> >
> >
> > I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!
> >
> >
> > I know this

> > > falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by
> some
> > > "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for
a
> > few
> >
> >
> > It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR YEARS.
> > Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT
> MEAN
> > you can apply him to me, o simple one!
> >
> > > good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with
that
> > > resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the
beginning
> > he
> > > thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at
him.
> >
> >
> > He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media
has
> > done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior motive,
> > which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!
> >
> > >
> > > Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing
> we
> > as
> > > mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> > > speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
> > determined.
> > > And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might
> > take
> > > you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> > > documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted
> > book
> > > in the Bible is Revelations.
> >
> >
> > You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.
> >
> >
> > Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> > > There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
> > problem
> > > is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will
not
> > know

> > > the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It
> seems
> > > as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of
Daniel
> > and
> > > Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But
once
> > > they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it
> usually
> > > changes their life.
> >
> >
> > I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on the
> > board when they made it!
> >
> > >
> > > Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion,
> then
> > > you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are
> not
> > > the poster child for that cause.
> >
> >
> > Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting the
> > money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip upset
> you?
> > He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !
> >
> > >
> > > We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will.
> >
> >
> > He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you won't
> be
> > Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore, but
> > AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!
> >
> >
> > > Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an
alter
> > > call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.
> >
> >
> > You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
> > Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's
> made
> > in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$
and
> > persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ? Ain't
NO

> > Doubt!
> >
> > >
> > > Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them
all
> > > without reference to their origin.
> >
> >
> > "Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an
> Isaiah
> > 58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
> > !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > Dave!!!
> > >
> > > Deb
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> Simply stated, he is as loony as the day is long.
>
> Now then, to get back on topic, how are those CB's working tonight?
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5391. Re: FA: ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:17:25 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <VvKP9.2679$T5.1097399@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 147928

is it the real Astro Plane or is it the Italian copy made in Italy...

drc

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021229135635.15390.00000293@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Last one New in Box went for $54...
>
> "Got RF?"

5392. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:18:33 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f746e$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147927

Points below!
Dave!

<james> wrote in message news:d69p0v8fjrbqp26ch4ud1u6gv33tajg53s@4ax.com...
>
> Dave:
>
> Funny you should reference those two verses. Which are you? Jew or One
> who claims to be a Jew and is not?

The TRUE ISreal are the ones who are in Jesus Christ now; HIS
bloodline!

>
> Or really the meaning behind the reference is and the usage is that
> you might just be a tad anti-semetic? Now Now Dave. Would you cast
> such horible intentions on the people who gave the King of Kings and
> the Son of David?

They were NOT connected to ancient Isreal @ all! Just look at
their ancestry from their own encyclopedia and it will tell you that they
were descendants from the KHAZARS ! They themselves say NO commection by
blood to ancient Isreal! They converted to Babylonian Talmud religion in
the past! Shem is not with them; not is GOD !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> james
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5393. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:20:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147929

Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people?
They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869 went
against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed "star"
instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...
> On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
> Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
>
> >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little
eye
> >holes?
> >
> >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> >>Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> **********8
>
> Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for prayer
> and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
> politically correct) and burning crosses.
>
> james
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5394. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:22:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7556_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147930

What is a Bong?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227191043.715$wF@newsreader.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> > i cant forget the astrodome city
> >
> > >And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> Good place for a bong burn off.
>
> --
> -------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5395. Re: advice on super star rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:23:49 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f75aa_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147931

You Don't want to know! Plus in da UK it may be different.
Dave

"big boy now" <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:NMIP9.3514$oY6.376@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> this is a simple question who makes superstar rigs....
> I have two one a superstar 3500 this one is for sale on ebay at this time
> its in very good condition all black. six bands of 40 channels am/fm.
> built in swr meter e.c.t..
> and the second is my pride and joy superstar 3900 its in mint condition
> and is totally standard never been messed about with....10w am/fm and 20w
> ssb
> I want to find out the company who makes the superstar range of cb radio.s
> as I am keen to see what new sideband rigs are for sale on today's
> market.....and maybe sell my pride and joy and buy the latest model...
> many thanks for any help sent......
> please email me you web links for the maker of these rigs
> shogun1965@lycos.co.uk
>
> _____ john
> ICQ#: 84317226 Current ICQ status: + More ways to contact me i See more
> about me:

>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5396. Re: Tonight Live on 5.070 MHz CB Talk Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:24:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f75ee_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147932

You GOT TO do it again, but give MORE notice and you'll get more callers!
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.com> wrote in message
news:TOqcnZ2N1f2jUJCjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> Live tonight on WWCR 5.070 MHz AM 11:00 pm EST Give us a call toll free at
> 1-877-411-2890 and get your name called. Breaka! Can someone please call
in
> and let the world know who the few are responsible for screwing up this
news
> group. 73 RF Burns.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5397. Re: Need Help with a mod'd Roadtalker 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:25:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f761e_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147933

Don't tell N8 !
Dave!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:UuiP9.83096$hK4.6739574@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Most likely they are PLL "jumps" and will get you additional channels off
> the CB band...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "SCHULZR" <schulzr@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021228075033.01749.00000018@mb-bh.aol.com...
> > My dad's old Roadtalker 40 was mod'd and has three switches on bottom...
> >
> > One is a double throw switch and the other two are single throw,
> > I'm wondering if anyone knows what the switching scenerio is,
> > anyone familiar?
> >
> > Roland
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5398. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:27:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f767b_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147934

AIN't NO DOUBT ! Just put da $$$ in da CB and close da case !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227204348.599$QD@newsreader.com...
> jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
> > Wonder if this guy is one of those Sunday Morning TV preachers?
> >
> He could pass for one.
> >
> > If so I wonder how much fleece he has in his cabinet that he has
> > sheared from his flock?
> >
> The Church Of The Open CB!!!
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5399. YOU can make it too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:30:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f775f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147935

WHY not? I believe the Bible; the Apostles creed; I've repented of my sins and BELIEVED THE GOSPEL (the GOOD news) as saving me from the BAD news, that I was going to HELL (Lake of FIRE). My advice to you is to REPENT of your SINS (turn from them and be SORRY for them), believe that Jesus took on himself YOUR payment for them, and start reading a King James Bible. It will be the best thing you ever did!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3E0CF252.8080609@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
> > upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so
they
> > can make it to Heaven?
> > Dave!
>
>
> If you are going to be there, probably not.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5400. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:30:58 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F77A2.81FB94D2@listed.here>
Source record: 147936

I think you type just to hear yourself type.

5401. GM--Mark of Excellence (yeah right)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:33:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f77f3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147937

Which is better----your Fix Or Repair Daily with ALL their CB noise problems? Mope can tell you about Chrysler, but just don't even think of going JAP !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:tV7P9.81462$hK4.6684356@bgtnsc05-n1ews.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Best cars... hmmmmm
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0c858d_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > No pinko--it stands for General Motors, which makes da BEST cars, by the
> > way.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5402. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:34:13 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7832_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147938

I have. READ ROMANS 1 !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:0kpo0v4qpoq58ercfgjj05co6bh6c5p1dh@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before
yo
> >crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
> >Dave!!
> > (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
> >news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they
don't
> >have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites:
Read
> >Romans chapter 1.
> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
> **
>
> DAve and Debby
>
> read Matthew 5:1 thru Matthew 7:29
>
> james
>
> >"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
> >news:274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com...
> >> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> >> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> >> knows......
> >>
> >> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> >> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5403. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:36:35 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <QFLP9.1461$RQ4.338334814@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147939

True dat but...

1. I'm not on the list of known firearm owners.

2. I don't have a radio license indicating radio ownership.

3. I live in a rented house under a different name

4. I don't work nor do I pay taxes. (piggybank)

Basically, I'm invisible. I don't have a set pattern of actions
such as going to the store, barbershop, shopping etc., so
I don't stand out. I change my looks daily so those who do
see me never see that person in the same week.

"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3E0F38A1.70300@cac.net...
> Hate to tell you this, but the Gov't already knows where you live and
> what you got. The IRS is a Gov't agency, and if you have an SSN, they
> know where you are, and what you have.
>
> Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> > Both mean that the government knows where you live
> > and what you got. It also means that the government
> > can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> > and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
> >
> > Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> > you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> > of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> > propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
> >
> Getting a HAM license is a small price to pay for the camaraderie you
> get in the Amateur Ranks. Not to mention the privileges you get for
> taking a test or two, and not really providing the Gov't with any more
> information than what you already have.
>
> Since when is the free exchange of information anti-American? Methinks
> you need to pull your head out of your ass.
>
> Besides, if you think about it, the Constitution of these United
> States does not guarantee freedom.. "Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of
> Happiness" is specifically spelled out. Look up the definition of
> Liberty in any Dictionary. Now look up the definition of the word
> Freedom. You'll find that they're a bit different. Again, pull your
> head out of your ass. This is NOT a free country. This is a country

> that guarantees Liberty to its citizens.
>
> > If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> > never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> > view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> > of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
> >
> What are you afraid of? As long as the Second Amendment is in force,
> the gov't isn't going to do a thing to take your guns. You can, of
> course, get involved in Gov't, write your Senators and Congressmen,
> vote, etc, to help ensure that your opinion on the 2nd Amendment is
> heard. That's part of the Liberty that's guaranteed to us. If you
> aren't willing to get involved, you deserve what you get.
>
> > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> > DF equipment.
> >
> Not really that easy.. A good doppler unit can find just about any
> signal in a few seconds, regardless of what mode you're in. Digital
> signals still have to be transmitted from somewhere, and the
> transmitted waves are no different than the old "analog" or voice
> modes. The data contained in the sidebands is different, but the
> signals are still there, and easy to track.
>
> >
>
> So, in closing, you really need to reach down between your legs, grab
> ahold of your shoulders, pull really hard, and get your head out of
> your ass. You've only thought things half way through, and such
> behavior is going to bite you in the ass someday.
>
> 73
>
> -SSB
>

5404. 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:39:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7964_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147940

Yep it will be. 2008 CB will be 50 ! CB is still going strong, despite attacks on this NG from dysfunctional paranoid Hams that have NO jobs, since they were laid off when the former Soviet Onion went kerplunk!

How can we improve CB this year? Or the NG ? !
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5405. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:42:26 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0F7A52.A2F53CBC@listed.here>
Source record: 147941

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
>
> True dat but...
>
> 1. I'm not on the list of known firearm owners.
>
> 2. I don't have a radio license indicating radio ownership.
>
> 3. I live in a rented house under a different name
>
> 4. I don't work nor do I pay taxes. (piggybank)
>
> Basically, I'm invisible. I don't have a set pattern of actions
> such as going to the store, barbershop, shopping etc., so
> I don't stand out. I change my looks daily so those who do
> see me never see that person in the same week.

Don't worry. They really are following you.

You can run, but you can't hide...

5406. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:43:29 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <hMLP9.1463$7R4.338353169@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147942

A lot of stuff in the AR Newsline is fluff. Made up to scare
people into obeying the automatic weapons carrying-jack
booted thugs from fox charlie charlie.

"Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message

>
> hmmmm. well, I;ve seen a few people that ignore the fcc. they don't
> ignore for very long (read the latest ARnewsline under enforcement).
>
> Technomage

5407. Bring our COMMRAD back to us 6th plankers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:43:53 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7a82_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147943

Dey had to let him back on the ham bands, because if dey didn't, he would probably go to da FREEBAND !

Actually it wasn't cornflakes--it was digital rice crispies!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0212281722.448ef183@posting.google.com...
> the following is a copy of paperwork in fcc administrative hearing
> reguarding the so-called notorious hacker ......kevin mitnick, who was
> trying to get his ham call back, and who , in my opinion was royally
> screwed by our dear old uncle sam for pissing in the wrong pile of
> cornflakes........
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5408. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:47:25 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <ZPLP9.1465$hT4.338494598@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147948

Too easy. Stick a beacon transmitter inside a shoebox behind
the dumpster at 4th and Main. A blind man with a deaf dog
can find it.

Real foxhunts hide it in moving objects....

".Bithead." <bithead@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message

> Doesn't even take that much.
> Years ago we used to do foxhunts around Rochester, every Friday night.
> All it takes is a fairly directional Antenna... say a 1/4 stick
> mounted on the middle of the back bumper. Turn a few circles, note
> the peaks, and go.
>
>

5409. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:53:13 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0uv1qqeetei47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147944

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:QFLP9.1461$RQ4.338334814@news.inreach.com...
> True dat but...
>
> 1. I'm not on the list of known firearm owners.
>
> 2. I don't have a radio license indicating radio ownership.
>
> 3. I live in a rented house under a different name
>
> 4. I don't work nor do I pay taxes. (piggybank)
>
> Basically, I'm invisible. I don't have a set pattern of actions
> such as going to the store, barbershop, shopping etc., so
> I don't stand out. I change my looks daily so those who do
> see me never see that person in the same week.

Do you wear a tin foil hat..? <g>

>
>
>
> "sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0F38A1.70300@cac.net...
> > Hate to tell you this, but the Gov't already knows where you live and
> > what you got. The IRS is a Gov't agency, and if you have an SSN, they
> > know where you are, and what you have.
> >
> > Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> > > Both mean that the government knows where you live
> > > and what you got. It also means that the government
> > > can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> > > and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
> > >
> > > Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> > > you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> > > of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> > > propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
> > >
> > Getting a HAM license is a small price to pay for the camaraderie you
> > get in the Amateur Ranks. Not to mention the privileges you get for
> > taking a test or two, and not really providing the Gov't with any more
> > information than what you already have.
> >
> > Since when is the free exchange of information anti-American? Methinks

> > you need to pull your head out of your ass.
> >
> > Besides, if you think about it, the Constitution of these United
> > States does not guarantee freedom.. "Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of
> > Happiness" is specifically spelled out. Look up the definition of
> > Liberty in any Dictionary. Now look up the definition of the word
> > Freedom. You'll find that they're a bit different. Again, pull your
> > head out of your ass. This is NOT a free country. This is a country
> > that guarantees Liberty to its citizens.
> >
> > > If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> > > never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> > > view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> > > of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
> > >
> > What are you afraid of? As long as the Second Amendment is in force,
> > the gov't isn't going to do a thing to take your guns. You can, of
> > course, get involved in Gov't, write your Senators and Congressmen,
> > vote, etc, to help ensure that your opinion on the 2nd Amendment is
> > heard. That's part of the Liberty that's guaranteed to us. If you
> > aren't willing to get involved, you deserve what you get.
> >
> > > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> > > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> > > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> > > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> > > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> > > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> > > DF equipment.
> > >
> > Not really that easy.. A good doppler unit can find just about any
> > signal in a few seconds, regardless of what mode you're in. Digital
> > signals still have to be transmitted from somewhere, and the
> > transmitted waves are no different than the old "analog" or voice
> > modes. The data contained in the sidebands is different, but the
> > signals are still there, and easy to track.
> >
> > >
> >
> > So, in closing, you really need to reach down between your legs, grab
> > ahold of your shoulders, pull really hard, and get your head out of
> > your ass. You've only thought things half way through, and such
> > behavior is going to bite you in the ass someday.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > -SSB
> >
>
>

5410. Re: WHO let da Loons out, WHO, WHO ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 22:57:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <95LP9.60698$6H6.2402794@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147945

I rest my case.....

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f7370_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> To Luney Liberals, everything is Luney! Since the Mooslims are MOON
> Worshippers, I can't be Luney!
> Dave!
>
> "Randy" <rcheapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:qavP9.67540$Nz5.2011442@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > > > Dave,
> > > >
> > > > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel,
he
> > > CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> > > distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
> > >
> > >
> > > If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting
> some
> > > racism BS.
> > >
> > > There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled
made
> up
> > > word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
> > > anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the
UN
> > > "brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!
> > >
> > >
> > > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
> > >

> > > What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> > > according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21
> about
> > > "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why
would
> > the
> > > nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
> > >
> > >
> > > God told
> > > > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man
> couldn't
> > > > follow that rule.
> > >
> > > It was LAW; not a "rule."
> > >
> > > God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
> > >
> > >
> > > that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
> > >
> > >
> > > > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone
> > hadn't
> > > > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as
> the
> > > God
> > > > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> > > >
> > > > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
> > afforded
> > > > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> > > >
> > > > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for
mere
> > > mortal
> > > > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > > > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is,
> after
> > > all,
> > >
> > >
> > > Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2
more,
> > too.
> > >
> > >
> > > > pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It
> really
> > > does

> > > > give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was
> JUST
> > > the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled
> > those
> > > laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to
a
> > > "state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
> > > Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
> > plain
> > > > hateful.
> > > >
> > > You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
> > what
> > > hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
> > HATE
> > > what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators
of
> > his
> > > 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR
in
> > your
> > > mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What
do
> I
> > > "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1
> before
> > > you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
> > > >
> > > Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > There will be a special
> > > > place for you to rant one day.
> > > >
> > > It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand
> the
> > > truth, can you?!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > There is only one true way to ensure your
> > > > place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must

> live
> > by
> > > > the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.
> > >
> > >
> > > It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping
> > people
> > > off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
> > > Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.
> > >
> > > Which line, and where did I cross it?
> > >
> > >
> > > Perhaps you
> > > > should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just
> exerts
> > > > that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good
> > > > Christian". Narrow-mindedness.
> > >
> > >
> > > Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because
the
> > > BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because
of
> > > their
> > > > government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a
choice.
> > >
> > >
> > > They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with
> > despotic
> > > government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable,
they
> > > WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese?
> The
> > > Mohammedens?
> > >
> > >
> > > It
> > > > sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect
human
> > so
> > > to
> > > > the gallows with you.

> > >
> > >
> > > It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc
toilet
> > box,
> > > that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so
> > > everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I
have
> > > typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT
unlawful
> > > position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go
> > there
> > > instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of
> me,
> > > when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing
> like
> > > that!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's
instead
> > of
> > > > bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to
talk
> > > > about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about
since
> > > they
> > > > are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other
> place
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
> > > government!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and
> work
> > > with
> > > > some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk
> > against.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a
> 23+
> > > > year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that
are
> > JUNK
> > > > made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$
because
> > > > they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their

> quality
> > is
> > > TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you
GIVE
> > the
> > > RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM
> against
> > > Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others
in
> > the
> > > FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los
> > Angeles.
> > > DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA,
or
> > are
> > > you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US
> > > "unbelievers?" !
> > >
> > >
> > > > You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to
spread
> > the
> > > > > true word.
> > >
> > >
> > > > I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !
> > >
> > > >
> > > > You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice
and
> > >
> > >
> > > Nothing scriptural about "nice." !
> > >
> > >
> > > > understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work
> harder.
> > > > Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your
life.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do
a
> > > study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!
> > >
> > >
> > > Man
> > > > if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your
beliefs
> > you

> > > > will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do
by
> > the
> > >
> > >
> > > I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been
> ruining
> > > the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children
who
> > come
> > > to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear,
IF
> > you
> > > don't REPENT !
> > >
> > >
> > > > Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
> > > brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's
> LAW.
> > > 10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor
> > alleged
> > > "hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will
> > BECOME
> > > the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH
> kingdom
> > > are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?
> > >
> > >
> > > I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!
> > >
> > >
> > > I know this
> > > > falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off
by
> > some
> > > > "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking
for
> a
> > > few
> > >
> > >
> > > It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR
YEARS.
> > > Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT

> > MEAN
> > > you can apply him to me, o simple one!
> > >
> > > > good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with
> that
> > > > resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the
> beginning
> > > he
> > > > thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at
> him.
> > >
> > >
> > > He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media
> has
> > > done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior
motive,
> > > which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful
thing
> > we
> > > as
> > > > mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> > > > speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
> > > determined.
> > > > And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It
might
> > > take
> > > > you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> > > > documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss
quoted
> > > book
> > > > in the Bible is Revelations.
> > >
> > >
> > > You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.
> > >
> > >
> > > Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> > > > There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
> > > problem
> > > > is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will
> not
> > > know
> > > > the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It
> > seems
> > > > as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of
> Daniel
> > > and

> > > > Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But
> once
> > > > they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it
> > usually
> > > > changes their life.
> > >
> > >
> > > I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on
the
> > > board when they made it!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this
commotion,
> > then
> > > > you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you
are
> > not
> > > > the poster child for that cause.
> > >
> > >
> > > Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting
the
> > > money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip
upset
> > you?
> > > He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !
> > >
> > > >
> > > > We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He
will.
> > >
> > >
> > > He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you
won't
> > be
> > > Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore,
but
> > > AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!
> > >
> > >
> > > > Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an
> alter
> > > > call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.
> > >
> > >
> > > You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
> > > Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's
> > made
> > > in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$

> and
> > > persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ?
Ain't
> NO
> > > Doubt!
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them
> all
> > > > without reference to their origin.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an
> > Isaiah
> > > 58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
> > > !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > Dave!!
> > > >
> > > > Deb
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > Simply stated, he is as loony as the day is long.
> >
> > Now then, to get back on topic, how are those CB's working tonight?
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5411. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:09:32 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <H8MP9.1467$YU4.338604138@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147946

"Barking Pumpkin" <nico'theday@egroeg.comm> wrote in message
>
> Do you wear a tin foil hat..? <g>
>

No, believe it or not, it only attracts attention to yourself.

People will see you and remember what they saw.

Spray paint the tinfoil with flatblack spray paint and wear
it under a baseball cap that supports a local sports team.

This will create anonymity and shield you from satellite
radar detection signals from above.

5412. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:10:43 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f7e8b$0$581$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147947

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f746e$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Points below!
> Dave!
>
> <james> wrote in message
news:d69p0v8fjrbqp26ch4ud1u6gv33tajg53s@4ax.com...
> >
> > Dave:
> >
> > Funny you should reference those two verses. Which are you? Jew or One
> > who claims to be a Jew and is not?
>
> The TRUE ISreal are the ones who are in Jesus Christ now; HIS
> bloodline!

Nope, nowhere in the Bible does it say that. The "true Israel" is still the same Israel, all those descended of Israel, father of Joseph.

> >
> > Or really the meaning behind the reference is and the usage is that
> > you might just be a tad anti-semetic? Now Now Dave. Would you cast
> > such horible intentions on the people who gave the King of Kings and
> > the Son of David?
>
>
> They were NOT connected to ancient Isreal @ all! Just look at
> their ancestry from their own encyclopedia and it will tell you that they
> were descendants from the KHAZARS ! They themselves say NO commection by
> blood to ancient Isreal! They converted to Babylonian Talmud religion in
> the past! Shem is not with them; not is GOD !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You know, Dave keeps making this claim. What happened to all of them? Did they all die off and a new race of Jews spring from the Ether? Just because all of the records were destroyed in 71AD doesn't mean that they stopped being Jews does it? Yes, Dave IS anti-Semitic but I don't hold it against him, I don't have the right to since I'm not of a Jewish bloodline. However, as Dave seems to skip over in his cafeteria Christianity, we are not of Christ's bloodline, He has none in actuality. What we are, through Him, are son's of God. Does this mean that we have Christ's blood in us? No, it means that we have His inheritance. I won't go into what that is but that's all it means. You have to know what it meant, in that culture and at that time, to be a "son" of someone and apparently Dave's "church" doesn't teach that part of the Bible since it's the "Jewish" part. What his

"church" fails to teach is that all of the blessings spoken of by Paul and Jesus are "to the Jew first and also to the gentile." This does not mean in chronological order but in order of priority since the Greek root is not kronos meaning time but is caheao which means in order of rank or importance.

Kevin, WB5RUE

> >
> > james
> >

5413. COOKIE!!! E-mail me again!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:25:39 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <v0v123hbodd098@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147949

Lost your information....please resend it....
Thanks!
--

ttyl
Shadow :)

www.bigradios.com/shadow

5414. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:41:57 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147950

You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not a true Christian. You see, ALL and I mean ALL of the first Christians were Jews.

Apparently Dave's church doesn't teach that Jesus Himself was Jewish, all of the apostles were Jewish, all the writers of the New Testament, with the possible exception of Luke, were Jewish. Luke was a physician, so who knows... ;) Back then believing in Jesus was a very Jewish thing to do. As a mater of fact when the first gentile wanted to believe in Him man did they have a problem with that! Never before had a gentile wanted to believe in the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob without first becoming a convert to Judaism. So, in the Book of Acts when Corneleus wanted to believe in the Jewish Messiah it was something completely different and God had to give Peter THREE VISIONS before he got up enough guts to visit Corneleus' house. When he did all of the family were converted and there was such an uproar that the first church counsel had to be held to figure out what to do with all of the "gentiles for Jesus."

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people?
> They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869 went
> against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed "star"
> instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> <james> wrote in message
news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...
> >
> > Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for prayer
> > and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
> > politically correct) and burning crosses.
> >
> > james
> >

5415. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:47:10 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f8716$0$589$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147951

Ok Dave, what does Mat 5-7 say to you? Does it say that it's all right to call people names? No, it does not. Does it say it's all right to judge self-righteously? No it does not. When you read Romans, read ALL of Romans.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e0f7832_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I have. READ ROMANS 1 !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> <james> wrote in message news:0kpo0v4qpoq58ercfgjj05co6bh6c5p1dh@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> > <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> >
> > >SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before
> > yo
> > > >crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
> > > >Dave!!
> > > > (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
> > > >news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they
> > don't
> > > >have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites:
> > Read
> > > >Romans chapter 1.
> > > >Dave!!
> > > >
> > >
> > > **********
> > >
> > > DAve and Debby
> > >
> > > read Matthew 5:1 thru Matthew 7:29
> > >
> > > james
> > >

5416. Re: Don't HIT ME, sir; Don't HIT me !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:52:18 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f884b$0$589$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147952

The Bible also states that the wicked run away when no one is chasing them. What's all this I hear from you, and others, about "the commies are coming, the commies are coming" and "the bad old gov'ment is coming to get you." If you are "righteous" as you claim to be then you have nothing to fear from any man or any government.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e0e5c8d_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> "Sir" James da 1st:
>
> Sorry we can't all be as Edjumucated as you are, since you were taught in
> the finest Humanist brainwashing mills there is! REMEMBER: The Bible says
> that ATHE FEAR of the Lord IS the beginning of Wisdom, Understanding and
> Knowledge, so IF you didn't start with that, and it is obvious that you
> didn't, since you sound like a approver of what the Taliban does (hits
> people with wood, boards, and other items the Liberals can't take away
from
> you), then I admonish you to FEAR GOD and KEEP HIS Commandments; for that
> is your duty; not to rid yo digital world of people more conservative that
> YOU !
> Dave!!
>
> <james> wrote in message news:1b9r0v4ssh7fr43cedkan2ajhi2sf17733@4ax.com...
>
> > No that will not help. Considering the man's language skill, he
> > appears to lack formal education. He needs to understand that the
> > whacking across his forehead is meant to get his attention. I am not
> > certain that he can comprehend that. Then again his manner of writing
> > could be a ploy to make us think he is poorly educated. Not sure.
> >
> > On reconsidering, maybe a whack across the forehead just maybe the
> > ideal thing for him.
> >
> > james
> >

5417. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-29 23:53:36 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f8898$0$586$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147953

Is it really? In "Bible times" both were punishable by death. I kind of think that they should bring that law back.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e0e47f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Ain't NO Doubt ! It is BETTER to be a Mole-ester than a MURDERER like Butch
> Reno did to them!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > chessecutter wrote:
> > >
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > david koresh is dead........burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> > > > and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> > > > 'bulldike' reno..............all because he was different and wanted
> > > > to be left alone.......what a deal..............
> > >
> > > Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.
> > >
> > >

5418. Re: FA: ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:03:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f8cfb_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147954

Did they have ones from Italy--Astro Planes? I never knew of them; maybe I
just didn't order one!
Dave!

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:VvKP9.2679$T5.1097399@news.alltel.net...
> is it the real Astro Plane or is it the Italian copy made in Italy...
>
> drc
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20021229135635.15390.00000293@mb-ms.aol.com...
> > Last one New in Box went for $54...
> >
> > "Got RF?"
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5419. Re: Clearing up DEB's cornfused biased HEAD !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:06:09 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f8b89$0$586$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147955

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> Dave!
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > Dave,
> >
> > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
>
>
> Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.

Nope, wrong Dave. Remember ALL of the people in that area are from the same
"race." They were all descendents of Noah, remember. They were different
NATIONS not different RACES.

>
> >
> > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
>
> What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21 about
> "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would
the
> nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
>

He's right Dave, the Bible does not forbid "races" from intermarriage. It
forbids you from marrying outside your faith, there is a difference. If a
white Christian wants to marry a black Christian there is nothing in the
Bible to forbit it. If a Christian of middle-eastern descent from Tennessee
wants to marry a Moslem of middle-eastern descent from Tennessee, both born
in the United States and both American Citizens, the Bible does have
something to say about that.

>
> God told
> > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't
> > follow that rule.
>
> It was LAW; not a "rule."

I'll rephrase it for him, "a mere man couldn't follow that law."

> God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
>
> that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
>
...and that's ALL IT SAYS.

> > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone hadn't
> > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the
> God
> > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> >
> > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
afforded
> > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> >
> > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> mortal
> > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after
> all,
>
>
> Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more,
too.

Yep, "love your neighbor as yourself" was one Dave. Can you love your neighbor when you call him a faggot or a commie? Jesus also said that if you hate anyone without cause you have committed murder. Have you hated anyone "just because" he's a sodomite or just because he's a commie?

>
> >
> > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
plain
> > hateful.
>
> You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
what
> hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
HATE
> what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of
his
> 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in
your
> mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do I
> "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1 before

> you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.

Please tell us where the Bible tells us to hate anyone? Jesus tells us to love our enimies, remember? Nowhere in the Book of Romans are we commanded to hate. In fact the word "hate" is used only once in Romans and it's a "scribble insertion" that is not in the original Greek text.

>
>
> Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
>
> Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?

If you follow Jesus's laws yes it is. Jesus commands us to Love Our Neighbors. How can you love someone that you are speaking slander against?
>

Enough for now.

Kevin, WB5RUE

5420. Re: WHO let da Loons out, WHO, WHO ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:06:49 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f8bb1$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 147956

Now there's a good one Dave!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f7370_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> To Luney Liberals, everything is Luney! Since the Mooslims are MOON
> Worshippers, I can't be Luney!
> Dave!
>

5421. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:14:56 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4eMP9.375954$GR5.112805@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147957

Don't be misled. Just because it is digital, and not analog, does not mean
it can't be DFed. It still can. Lots of new ways in the last 20 years.

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:00HP9.1443$TG3.333503607@news.inreach.com...
> Both mean that the government knows where you live
> and what you got. It also means that the government
> can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>
> Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>
> If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>
> And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> DF equipment.
>
>

5422. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:17:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E0F908B.8060109@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 147972

Buzzy da Buzzbombed wrote:
> A lot of stuff in the AR Newsline is fluff. Made up to scare
> people into obeying the automatic weapons carrying-jack
> booted thugs from fox charlie charlie.
>
> "Technomage" <technomage-hawke@cox.net> wrote in message
>
>
>>hmmmm. well, I;ve seen a few people that ignore the fcc. they don't
>>ignore for very long (read the latest ARnewsline under enforcement).
>>
>>Technomage

Please post what in the last AR Newsline is fluff and your proof
to back it up.

5423. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:17:37 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BgMP9.494924$WL3.128541@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147958

That link opened ok everytime. Could be different with Netscape-:)

"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04...
> Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html
>
>
>
>

5424. Re: Don't HIT ME, sir; Don't HIT me !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:20:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E0F914D.4050909@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 147975

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
> The Bible also states that the wicked run away when no one is chasing them.
> What's all this I hear from you, and others, about "the commies are coming,
> the commies are coming" and "the bad old gov'ment is coming to get you." If
> you are "righteous" as you claim to be then you have nothing to fear from
> any man or any government.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>

Dave or Darbey are those bible thumping religious nuts that think
if you don't interpret the bible they way they see it then you're
wrong. And usually they interpret it wrong.

5425. Re: kevin mitnick gets ham callsign back.....hmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:28:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e0f92f7_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147959

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212281722.448ef183@posting.google.com...
> the following is a copy of paperwork in fcc administrative hearing
> reguarding the so-called notorious hacker .....kevin mitnick, who was
> trying to get his ham call back, and who , in my opinion was royally
> screwed by our dear old uncle sam for pissing in the wrong pile of
> cornflakes........
>
>
> makes interesting reading........

AIN'T NO DOUBT ! He was a BAD BOY, geekin' dem pooters as a yungstah! Look
below:

> To determine the effect of the criminal convictions of Kevin David
> Mitnick on his qualifications to be and remain a Commission licensee.
> In light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, to
> determine whether Kevin David Mitnick is qualified to be and remain a
> Commission licensee.

A "Commission licensee" ! Sounds like the 6th plank to me! Why
not under the US Constitution instead?!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5426. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:43:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E0F9699.5050404@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 147981

Buzzy da Buzzbombed (dave's little brother?) wrote:

>
> And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> DF equipment.

How long did it take for you to get this dumb? Even digital
signals can be DFed, they are radio signals just like any other
radio signal.

5427. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:47:40 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F97AC.6050502@cwis.net>
Source record: 147960

Buzzy,
I just wonder. Does it hurt to be that stupid?
'Doc

5428. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 00:49:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F9816.1000905@cwis.net>
Source record: 147961

Dave,
Tell me the truth... Does it hurt to be that stupid?
'Doc

5429. Re: Who is the TRAITOR to hams selling illegal radio here on rec.radio.cb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:07:57 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Z1NP9.33045$NV.660007@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Mr. Anti Key Kunt is gonna gets hisself a good ol' kuntry ass beatin!
Somebody gonna smoke yo ass for givins their 411 on this har NG!!

"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:cfe28a2b.0212191231.43b05189@posting.google.com...
> DECKER II, WILLIAM R, KB2RMI (Technician)
> 3401 TELEPHONE RD
> CALEDONIA, NY 14423
>
> That is who.
>
> "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<PobL9.56850$HA2.16214952@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> > As a matter of fact I am a ham, but I really don't think I am required
to
> > put it in my posts, oaf, nor do I think it's any of your business what
it
> > is. Back under your bridge, foul creature!
> >
> >
> > pj wrote in message ...
> > >No Ham call, must be a CBer
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 01:33:53 GMT, "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
> > >wrote:
> > >
> > >>Have Texas Ranger 6900F 150W all mode mobile, 10 thru 12M coverage,
> > digital
> > >>display, etc. etc. . EHam recently had a review posted that was
positive
> > >>about this rig being a legitimately decent mobile/entry level rig for
HF.
> > >>Rig is in good condition BUT....
> > >>In transit, the volume/squelch control was bent by good old Buster
Brown.
> > >>Tried carefully to straighten, the volume (center) shaft held, but the
> > outer
> > >>sleeve that controls the squelch didn't, leaving the squelch set at a
high
> > >>threshold level. Everything appears to work just fine, got FB signal
> > reports

> > >>and looks good on the meters, just the fact that the squelch is set high.
> > >>The part is available from Ranger for $4.65 plus the shipping. I've had
> > this
> > >>thing in my way on the bench for a month or more and have yet to find the
> > >>time to even order the pot, which is a surface mount so no big deal to
> > >>replace, and I don't see any time soon when I will get to it. Santa is
> > >>beating me up soundly this year, will sell for $220 shipped Priority Mail
> > >>insured lower 48 only, ppd. with MO, or whatcha got to swap? Email replies
> > >>please, thanks for looking.
> > >>
> > >

5430. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:21:49 UTC
From: Barking Pumpkin <nico'theday@egroeg.comm>
Message-ID: <v0v7ohiavh9uce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 147964

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KrOP9.4850$an1.357417@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> news:3E0E4C6A.70802@dirtball.com...
> > I have a 80 foot tower for sale check out
> > http://www.dirtball.com/radio
> > 73 Oris
> >
>
> Looks like a pile of rust that would cost more to refurb than it's worth.
>
>

$15 bucks a section, ...if he preps & paints first. LOL!

5431. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:26:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3E0FA0E2.2010707@cwis.net>
Source record: 147965

Dave,
I can recomend one thing you can do to
improve this NG. Do you really want to
hear it?
'Doc

5432. Re: Ruger the sissyboy shoots himself in the foot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:28:49 UTC
From: "Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <vlNP9.33052$NV.660208@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 147966

Hey, Krazy Key Kunt!!
SHUT DA FUCK UP!!!!

You are a Klan luvin' bee-atch!!
I seen you lickin yo dog's nuts!
I gonna sells da pictures to a tabloid mag!
I gots yo 411 ands I gonna fuck up your life!!
You better RUN!!!!!!!

I'm gonna writes me a song about yo nasty ass!!!
I 'm gonna finds you a good woman to suck yo dick!
"Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeeshooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:e22a12a7.0212271259.3a1798f8@posting.google.com...
> You think my "time is gonna come"?
> Then get off your white trash ass and do it. Never happen.
> You can't even buy your kids Christmas presents, boy.
> Of course you have a computer, radios, booze, etc.
> Your threats and accusations of molestation, etc were forwarded to
> your ISP. You got spanked once, sheesh...stupid idiot that you are ya
> can't help yourself.
>
> rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
news:<274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com>...
> > Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> > used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> > knows......
> >
> > On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> > <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

5433. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:37:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E0FA347.2000004@yahoo.com>
Source record: 147967

Buzzy,
Do you have a dirvers license?
'Doc

5434. rci-2970 and EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:41:55 UTC
From: "BC-)03" <BC->
Message-ID: <DvNP9.2211$134.287167@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147969

Does any one know whats up with ebay, They have stopped letting people sell rci 2970s. 50s ?

and other radios to , i checked around with them andthey never returned anymore than look at the FAQ. which was no help...

I had bought a couple on there before ,,,, but the only one in auction form i can find now is on Yahoo at

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/71517706?aucview=0x70

and only ONE>......

73's

5435. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:42:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E0FA4A0.2020001@yahoo.com>
Source record: 147968

Buzzy,
Do you have a drivers license? Have you
ever filed federal or state taxes? Did you
give your correct name and address when you
signed up for your ISP? Do you own property?
Ever had a marriage license? Got a credit
card? If you answer yes to any of the above
then 'Uncle Sam' knows who you are anyway.
'Doc

5436. Re: Cool Memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:43:42 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <auobjk$3sdg$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 147978

I got IE

"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:BgMP9.494924$WL3.128541@rwcrnsc54...
> That link opened ok everytime. Could be different with Netscape-:)
>
>
>
> "Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:u2CP9.153359$qF3.11117@sccrnsc04...
> > Lots of memories here: http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/LINEARS.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5437. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 01:56:28 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <gJNP9.495587$WL3.128557@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 147970

My primo D201 is gonna ring in the new year. Out with the new in with the old. Poor girl made a funny squeal for a second or two while warming up during her annual. Guess she's showing her age inside, if not outside. To improve the new year Dave, run equipment that uses tubes and help support our friends who make those tubes in the Soviet Union. Those cats could use a break. Drive a gas guzzler too. They have plenty of crude to sell us as well..... 747

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e0f7964_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep it will be. 2008 CB will be 50 ! CB is still going strong, despite
> attacks on this NG from dysfunctional paranoid Hams that have NO jobs, since
> they were laid off when the former Soviet Onion went kerplunk!
>
> How can we improve CB this year? Or the NG ? !
> Dave!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5438. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:14:06 UTC
From: ".Bithead." <bithead@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <79lv0v41on2r419phpu02q5jkd280gh8ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 147971

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 14:47:25 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>Too easy. Stick a beacon transmitter inside a shoebox behind
>the dumpster at 4th and Main. A blind man with a deaf dog
>can find it.
>
>Real foxhunts hide it in moving objects....

Oh, that happened, occasionally.But when you've got 50 or so chase
cars, a moving target can get downright dangerous.

One moving hunt was particularly memorable; the transmitter was on a
boat in the canal. Kinda hard to trace from a moving car.

-->

```
_____________________________________________________________
/BitHead's Place: Political commentary from the REAL world. /\
/ http://greysanctuary.com/columnists/bithead/index.asp _/ /\
/ http://home.rochester.rr.com/bitheads/ / \/
/ Before you preach tolerance, THINK. \ /
Those who tolerate *everything*, stand for *nothing*. /\
_____________________________________________________/ /
_____________________________________________________\/
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
```

5439. General Radiotelephone Prototype?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:18:36 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E0FACFC.CA78756F@qnet.com>
Source record: 147973

Hello ALL:

Heres one that cautch my eye. On a German CB Museum web page at:

http://www.cb-museum.de/index2/Station-Base/station-base.html

Click on to "Black Widow"

Is this a Prototype of a old General Radiotelephone CB radio?
Or a very rare and old CB Radio, made in Europe some where????

Bob you know all this stuff.

Jay in the Mojave

5440. Cobra 2010 SSB Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:24:00 UTC
From: docwakins@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229212400.02780.00000603@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 147974

I just got a new Cobra 2010. The only base i have ever owned was a Nahavo with SSB. With the Nahavo SSB was a breeze, up to 12 people on SSB and everyone was on frequency and sounding great. NOW with the Cobra 2010 here is the problem. On AM is works great on SSB everyone tells me I am way off, everyone has to clarify me in, And once I get one person clarified the next time they key up its moved again
Seems to me the SSB frequency is screwy! Like it floats around

To talk any SSB at all I have to spend 3/4 of the time clarifying, unlike the Navaho.

Is this a problem with Cobra 2010's? A bad PLL from factory? Or just mine?
I have very limited access to NGs so a response to tripleduece@veedubya.com would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks in advance

5441. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:34:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212291834.5d3efde0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147976

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:<3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com>...
> chessecutter wrote:
>
> >>
> > david koresh is dead.....burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> > and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> > 'bulldike' reno.....all because he was different and wanted
> > to be left alone.....what a deal.....
>
> Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.

sir he wasn't a child molester....that was just some rumor started by
the government atf , etc.....to justify what they
did.....they did it for the children don't you know.....and
all the time clinton and his cronies were going after mean old white
guys.....these arab freaks were doing their thing unmolested
sooooooooooo.....fast forward to 9 11.....i blame slick willie
and all of his punk sissies he had working for him for this
outrage.....and no one else.....

5442. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:36:53 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212291836.25542bc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147977

james <> wrote in message news:<d2ar0vs8s3k6gn841d828jl72a4l0cbi3u@4ax.com>...
> Dave:
>
> I see in you a pathetic man. You have somehow manage to wrap
> ethnocentric nationalism and deep orthodox religion together and
> strike out at all that differs from you. As you walk down your path
> toward what end you meet, you will grow more and more ethnocentric.
> Combine that with your deep orthodoxy, this will justify any and all
> actions you take. For the unclean that do not heed your perversion of
> the Word should be eliminated as they are doomed to the eternal flames
> of Hades. See you have justified your action. It is easy to condem
> those that are not like you. Tolerance is not in your being.
>
> If one looks in history the road of ethnocentric nationalism led to
> Hitler's rise. Add in a deep orthodoxy in religion and you get the
> talliban and the teachings Ayahtollah Khomeni. To add further the deep
> orthodoxy of religion and ethnocentric nationalism one looks to 17th
> and 18th century England. In fact the history of England. The bitter
> fight among Saxons and Angles. then the split of the Church of England
> from Rome by Henry VIII. That led to a conflict between Catholic and
> Anglican churches. Not only conflict but persecution. I caution you to
> look down the road you head.
>
> You see, it is easy to strike out at that which you fear under a cloak
> of nationalism and religion. It is unique that God had a collection of
> writings that man could use to his means. He could use them for good
> or for what he interprets as good. One can use the words to beat one
> into submission or use those same words to convince others that path
> they trravel is wrong.
>
> james
>
>
> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:37:37 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >Points below each misunderstanding!
> >Dave!
> >
> ><james> wrote in message news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
> >> On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide
> >> Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> >>
> >> >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little

> eye
> >> >holes?
> >> >
> >> **********
> >>
> >> Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
> >> language skills of a group of people are humorous
> >
> >
> > Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
> >channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
> >for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
> >AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He may
> >call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!
> >
> >
> >and less than his
> >
> >
> > WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
> >everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS Tiger
> >Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me in
> >OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
> >White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
> >
> >
> >> own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin
> >
> >
> > Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but sinners,
> >that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
> >is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT FALSE
> >Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
> >Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
> >
> >
> >> because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?
> >
> >
> > WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
> >translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is, instead
> >of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
> >>
>
> >> I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
> >> Gospel?
> >
> >
> > Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will try
> >to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would you

> >be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA MEN
> >! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >>
> >> james
> >> >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
> >> >>Dave!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

hey dave goes off the deep end, no doubt about it......but to each his own......what would you have of him.......???? do you want him flogged and put in prison for speaking his beliefs or are we still a nation of free speech??? of course the so-called patriot act not withstanding.....

5443. Re: Cobra 2010 SSB Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:42:56 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E0FB2B0.E8FB8678@listed.here>
Source record: 147979

DocWakins wrote:
>
> I just got a new Cobra 2010. The only base i have ever owned was a Nahavo with
> SSB. With the Nahavo SSB was a breeze, up to 12 people on SSB and everyone was
> on frequency and sounding great. NOW with the Cobra 2010 here is the problem.
> On AM is works great on SSB everyone tells me I am way off, everyone has to
> clarify me in, And once I get one person clarified the next time they key up
> its moved again
> Seems to me the SSB frequency is screwy! Like it floats around
>
> To talk any SSB at all I have to spend 3/4 of the time clarifying, unlike the
> Navaho.
>
> Is this a problem with Cobra 2010's? A bad PLL from factory? Or just mine?
> I have very limited access to NGs so a response to tripleduece@veedubya.com
> would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance

Is this radio "new in box" or "new to you"? It sounds like the
clarifier may be unlocked and someone did a bad job of aligning
receive to transmit. Find a good, reputable radio shop with the
proper equipment to properly align your radio.

5444. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:46:02 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KrOP9.4850$an1.357417@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 147962

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3E0E4C6A.70802@dirtball.com...
> I have a 80 foot tower for sale check out
> http://www.dirtball.com/radio
> 73 Oris
>

Looks like a pile of rust that would cost more to refurb than it's worth.

5445. Re: Cobra 2010 SSB Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:46:18 UTC
From: docwakins@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229214618.15150.00000877@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 147980

"New in the box" the one thing I have read on a newsgroup about a similar problem made it sound as if Cobra released quite a few 2010 with problems.

Will be taking it back to the dealer i bought it from this week i guess

5446. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 02:52:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E0FB4F2.7000805@yahoo.com>
Source record: 147982

Because you don't know the secret password.
'Doc

5447. Re: COOKIE!!! E-mail me again!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:00:06 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212291900.252b2b2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 147983

"Shadow" <shadow@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:<v0v123hbodd098@corp.supernews.com>...
> Lost your information....please resend it....
> Thanks!

aw come on.....forget about that dc bullshit and get with the real
program.....

5448. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:03:16 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <QzPP9.1473$7m5.340507692@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147984

Yes, it hurts when the sharp points of the tinfoil puncture my skin.

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E0F97AC.6050502@cwis.net...
>
>
> Buzzy,
> I just wonder. Does it hurt to be that stupid?
> 'Doc
>

5449. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:05:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E0FB7E1.1060808@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 147994

chessecutter wrote:
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:<3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com>...
>
>>chessecutter wrote:
>>
>>
>>>david koresh is dead........burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
>>>and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
>>>'bulldike' reno..............all because he was different and wanted
>>>to be left alone.......what a deal..............
>>
>>Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.
>
>
> sir he wasn't a child molester....that was just some rumor started by
> the government atf , etc.........to justify what they
> did

And your proof is? I lived in that area when all that was taking
place, knew people who knew koresh and his moronic bunch, they
will tell you differently.

5450. Re: FA: ASTRO PLANE 5/8 BASE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:05:10 UTC
From: RADIOMAN <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <GJOP9.2412$vz1.1486704656@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 147985

What he is trying to say is: There are COPIES out there that are actually made in Italy... The aluminum is NOY NEAR the quality of the old ones!!

THANKS!!!

RADIOMAN!!

" hillbilly3302" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message news:VvKP9.2679$T5.1097399@news.alltel.net...
> is it the real Astro Plane or is it the Italian copy made in Italy...
>
> drc
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20021229135635.15390.00000293@mb-ms.aol.com...
> > Last one New in Box went for $54...
> >
> > "Got RF?"
>
>

5451. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:06:12 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <DCPP9.1474$9m5.340509803@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147989

Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.

Just one....I'm waiting.....

"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4eMP9.375954$GR5.112805@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Don't be misled. Just because it is digital, and not analog, does not mean
> it can't be DFed. It still can. Lots of new ways in the last 20 years.
>
>
>
> "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
> news:00HP9.1443$TG3.333503607@news.inreach.com...
> > Both mean that the government knows where you live
> > and what you got. It also means that the government
> > can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> > and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
> >
> > Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> > you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> > of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> > propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
> >
> > If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> > never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> > view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> > of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
> >
> > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> > DF equipment.
> >
> >
>
>

5452. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:06:49 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <dDPP9.1475$Pl5.340487264@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147986

Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital signals.

Just one....I'm waiting.....

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E0F9699.5050404@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Buzzy da Buzzbombed (dave's little brother?) wrote:
>
> >
> > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> > DF equipment.
>
> How long did it take for you to get this dumb? Even digital
> signals can be DFed, they are radio signals just like any other
> radio signal.
>

5453. Re: Clearing up DEB's cornfused biased HEAD !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:08:46 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <2NOP9.497863$%m4.137426@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 147987

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f8b89$0$586$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> > Dave!
> >
> > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > > Dave,
> > >
> > > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
> >
> >
> > Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> > CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> > distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
>
> Nope, wrong Dave. Remember ALL of the people in that area are from the
same
> "race." They were all descendents of Noah, remember. They were different
> NATIONS not different RACES.
>
> >
> > >
> > > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
> >
> > What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> > according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21
about
> > "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would
> the
> > nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
> >
>
> He's right Dave, the Bible does not forbid "races" from intermarriage. It
> forbids you from marrying outside your faith, there is a difference. If a
> white Christian wants to marry a black Christian there is nothing in the
> Bible to forbit it. If a Christian of middle-eastern descent from
Tennessee
> wants to marry a Moslem of middle-eastern descent from Tennessee, both
born
> in the United States and both American Citizens, the Bible does have

> something to say about that.
>
> >
> > God told
> > > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man
couldn't
> > > follow that rule.
> >
> > It was LAW; not a "rule."
>
>
> I'll rephrase it for him, "a mere man couldn't follow that law."
>
> >
> > God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
> >
> >
> > that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!
> >
> ...and that's ALL IT SAYS.
>
>
> >
> > > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone
> hadn't
> > > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as
the
> > God
> > > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> > >
> > > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing
> afforded
> > > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> > >
> > > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> > mortal
> > > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is,
after
> > all,
> >
> >
> > Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more,
> too.
>
> Yep, "love your neighbor as yourself" was one Dave. Can you love your
> neighbor when you call him a faggot or a commie? Jesus also said that if
> you hate anyone without cause you have committed murder. Have you hated
> anyone "just because" he's a sodomite or just because he's a commie?
>

>
> >
> > >
> > > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just
> plain
> > > hateful.
> >
> > You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew
> what
> > hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I
> HATE
> > what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of
> his
> > 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in
> your
> > mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do
I
> > "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1
before
> > you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
>
>
> Please tell us where the Bible tells us to hate anyone? Jesus tells us to
> love our enimies, remember? Nowhere in the Book of Romans are we
commanded
> to hate. In fact the word "hate" is used only once in Romans and it's a
> "scribble insertion" that is not in the original Greek text.
>
> >
> >
> > Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
> >
> > Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
>
> If you follow Jesus's laws yes it is. Jesus commands us to Love Our
> Neighbors. How can you love someone that you are speaking slander
against?
> >
>
> Enough for now.
>
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
Thank you Kevin.

Deb

5454. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:10:47 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <WGPP9.1479$om5.340523109@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147990

A "dirvers" license?

What exactly is a " dirvers license " ?

And what kind of " Doc " are you?

A spelling Doc? LOL!

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E0FA347.2000004@yahoo.com...
>
>
> Buzzy,
> Do you have a dirvers license?
> 'Doc
>

5455. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 03:15:18 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <9LPP9.1480$rj5.340331534@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147988

Sorry, but that information is confidential.

To answer your questions would allow the
feds to get a few feet closer.

Do you realize I do all my internet stuff from
a public phone booth at a local park?

Buzzy

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E0FA4A0.2020001@yahoo.com...
>
>
> Buzzy,
> Do you have a drivers license? Have you
> ever filed federal or state taxes? Did you
> give your correct name and address when you
> signed up for your ISP? Do you own property?
> Ever had a marriage license? Got a credit
> card? If you answer yes to any of the above
> then 'Uncle Sam' knows who you are anyway.
> 'Doc
>

5456. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 04:05:59 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HCPP9.498330$P31.160208@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 147992

well the army still says to keep transmissions to less than 10 sec with the new radios. so if they are sure the soviets can find digital scabled braod spectrum than I'm sure we can too....

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message news:dDPP9.1475$Pl5.340487264@news.inreach.com...
> Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital signals.
>
> Just one....I'm waiting.....
>
>
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E0F9699.5050404@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > Buzzy da Buzzbombed (dave's little brother?) wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> > > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> > > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> > > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> > > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> > > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> > > DF equipment.
> >
> > How long did it take for you to get this dumb? Even digital
> > signals can be DFed, they are radio signals just like any other
> > radio signal.
> >
>
>

5457. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 04:32:26 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <tTQP9.1486$hr5.340841599@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 147993

" digital scabled braod spectrum? "

Is this simular to " elboozeshood gozen disway?"

So little time, so much technology......

"Tazanator" <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> well the army still says to keep transmissions to less than 10 sec with
the
> new radios. so if they are sure the soviets can find digital scabled
braod
> spectrum than I'm sure we can too....
>

5458. Re: Cobra 2010 SSB Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 04:39:28 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E0FC13E.8020204@cac.net>
Source record: 147991

Could be a few things...

The radio could be out of alignment, meaning the transmit and receive aren't lined up, or one of a couple of other problems in the frequency alignment of the rig. Find a reputable shop (usually not at your local truck stop. Find one that deals with Amateur equipment, ask to see their bench. If you don't see an Oscilloscope on the bench, grab your rig and run.)

There could be a voltage regulation problem inside the radio. Again, find a reputable repair shop. (See above)

The last problem could be power regulation outside the radio. I don't recall if the 2010 was AC only or if you can run it on 13.8V DC or off of wall power. If it has a power plug for 13.8V DC, try running it on a car battery or a good, hefty (5A or bigger) power supply. If the problem disappears, you have a bad voltage regulator in the internal power supply, which, again, should be taken to a reputable shop (see above) for repair.

If it's not any of these, then you should swap it.

Sometimes a little investigation of the problem for yourself can save you a few dollars and alot of time in the shop.

HTH.

-SSB

DocWakins wrote:
> I just got a new Cobra 2010. The only base i have ever owned was a Nahavo with
> SSB. With the Nahavo SSB was a breeze, up to 12 people on SSB and everyone was
> on frequency and sounding great. NOW with the Cobra 2010 here is the problem.
> On AM is works great on SSB everyone tells me I am way off, everyone has to
> clarify me in, And once I get one person clarified the next time they key up
> its moved again
> Seems to me the SSB frequency is screwy! Like it floats around
>
> To talk any SSB at all I have to spend 3/4 of the time clarifying, unlike the
> Navaho.
>
> Is this a problem with Cobra 2010's? A bad PLL from factory? Or just mine?
> I have very limited access to NGs so a response to tripleduece@veedubya.com
> would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance

5459. Re: rci-2970 and EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 05:50:02 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021230005002.08111.00000377@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 147995

eBay will pull auctions for export radios if the auction is in CB category or if the text contains language which implies the radio "could" be used illegally. List them in Ham Transceivers or Other...No problem

"Got RF?"

5460. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 08:15:51 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6107-3E1000B7-176@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 147996

It's a good dea to get guns without paperwork. In my state we have private sales with no paper. If you do have guns you bought from a dealer you can say Hey ATF asshole I sold it. GUN LAWS WORK REALLY GOOD. LOOK WHO WROTE THE BOOK ON GUN CONTROL. ADOLPH HITLER. THEY WORKED GREAT FOR HIM. WE MODELED OUR 1968 GUN CONTROL ACT AFTER HITLERS. I KNOW ONE THING. THE OLDER I GET THE LESS LIKELY I AM TO GIVE UP ANY GUNS

5461. Re: rci-2970 and EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 10:53:58 UTC
From: "BC-)03" <BC->
Message-ID: <aBVP9.3194$9N5.394602@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 148047

really ? virtually NO one has a 2970 on there . I see them listed and pulled the same day IN HAM 10-12 meter STOCK listed.

Now , they let 2950s in no prob but not 2970s , I seen one listed

as stock rci 2970 out of the box. was GONE next day i contacted the seller he was 10 x kind of mad because they yanked his and told him they wont allow ANY rci 2970 with no other explanation so far

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/71517706?&aucview=0x70

is still there on yahoo... im trying to set up an account to bid on it

Has anyone had any good or bad experiences with yahoo auction service ?

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20021230005002.08111.00000377@mb-fw.aol.com...
> eBay will pull auctions for export radios if the auction is in CB category or
> if the text contains language which implies the radio "could" be used
> illegally. List them in Ham Transceivers or Other...No problem
>
> "Got RF?"

5462. Re: Need Help with a mod'd Roadtalker 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 12:29:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E103C17.6020001@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148048

Roland,
If the modification wasn't documented, there's
just no way of knowing what the switches do without
looking inside. Of course, you could always try
the switches to see what they do, but that may not
be the safest thing in the world to do...
'Doc

5463. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 12:33:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E103D06.1070109@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148049

Ah... You know what a drivers license is, has to do with driving a car, you know? And I'm not any kind of a doctor (medical or scholastic). It's a nickname. I've only had one other person worry about my nickname, you're not ol'Frac, are you?
'Doc
PS - Spelling is optional

5464. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 12:37:29 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E103E09.8000006@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148050

And unfortunately, will be heard over a 6
channel spread...
'Doc

5465. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:04:27 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <g1h01vcskv43sshp006cvhj86ok1fa2rg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 148051

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:30:43 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>I prefer a Electro-Voice RE20 driving a EQ box for great audio.
>
>Add a kilowatt or so and you'll sound better then any commercial
>radio station in town.
>
>http://www.bswonline.com/prod_item.asp?item=RE20
>
And when you tune up use this Dummy load.

http://www.bswonline.com/prod_item.asp?item=57125BE3

5466. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:06:54 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212300506.33d1664e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148052

Hey... he upped his power... now up yours!

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

5467. Re: GM--Mark of Excellence (yeah right)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:15:32 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212300515.2448784a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148053

Sorry Dave... I went jap quite a while ago. It was getting very
inconvienient/costly to overlook design quality just for the sake of
being patriotic. I think a great many people also figured that out. So
I guess all that remains to be said is... You die joe!

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e0f77f3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Which is better----your Fix Or Repair Daily with ALL their CB noise
> problems? Mope can tell you about Chrysler, but just don't even think of
> going JAP !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5468. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:19:22 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212300519.226d20d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148054

The biggest problem with Mr. Lott is not his racism... it's his
stupidity!
You have to wonder why anyone would want such a stupid senator.

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

5469. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:25:22 UTC
From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45649fe1.0212300525.7d15bd65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148055

I'd be more worried about yourself making it there Dave...
I suppose you think you have a standing reservation?

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e0bb8ae_3@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
> upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so they
> can make it to Heaven?
> Dave!

5470. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:29:15 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <LSXP9.165647$qF3.12297@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 148056

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:45649fe1.0212300525.7d15bd65@posting.google.com...
> I'd be more worried about yourself making it there Dave...
> I suppose you think you have a standing reservation?
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e0bb8ae_3@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> > Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
> > upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so
they
> > can make it to Heaven?
> > Dave!

Hey Brian,

I think maybe Dave should replace Trent Lott. Just think what a "Righteous"
man could do in that position. He is so "anti-Christian" he just might be
the "anti-_______. Someone else can fill in the blank.

5471. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:36:51 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.30.06.38.33.55.7823@cox.net>
Source record: 148057

In article <3E0F77A2.81FB94D2@listed.here>, "WMC Club" <not@listed.here>
wrote:

> I think you type just to hear yourself type.

no, but I bet you do...

I'm not much for typing... I;ve got way too many other things to be doing
with my time.

Technomage

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

5472. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:38:00 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.30.06.39.41.992.7823@cox.net>
Source record: 148058

In article <hMLP9.1463$7R4.338353169@news.inreach.com>, "Buzzy da
Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

> A lot of stuff in the AR Newsline is fluff. Made up to scare people into
> obeying the automatic weapons carrying-jack booted thugs from fox
> charlie charlie.
>
it is?
better go check the fcc webpages then. some of the info comes direct from
there, as well as other "government sources".

mostly, the fluff stuff is the awards notices.

Technomage

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

5473. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 13:41:45 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <t2YP9.2737$T5.1166416@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148059

I see allot of bent braces on it..... it would cost more to fix it up
than its worth..... lots of rust

drc

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021229135458.15390.00000292@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Man, you need to learn how to crop an image...and resize it while you are
at
> it...slow downloads.....Might include where these items are
> located...city/state wise.
>
> "Got RF?"

5474. Re: 80 foot tower for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 14:32:54 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0212300632.1ed1b38c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148060

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20021229135458.15390.00000292@mb-ms.aol.com>...
> Man, you need to learn how to crop an image...and resize it while you are at
> it...slow downloads.....Might include where these items are
> located...city/state wise.
>
> "Got RF?"

Well Im sorry that you don't appove of the large pics. The reason
behind the large pics so you or who ever else would be able to get a
close up veiw of what they are buying.
As far as the pile of rust Yea right if you think so. So don't buy
it. You don't have to be mean about it. Couple of hours to prep and
paint bomb like new tower. Go price 80 ft of tower. what Im asking is
around 75 a section.
Have a nice day. I'm sorry that you don't approve.
73 Oris

5475. Re: FA: X-FORCE 6 PILL BASE LINEAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 15:11:30 UTC
From: Eric Oyen - N7ZZT <eric.oyen@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.30.08.13.12.297.9936@cox.net>
Source record: 148061

gee,
at that price, I can buy the parts to make 5 of those and still have
money left over for an HF rig to drive them.

I do't care how much the original manufacturer lists for MSRP on their
site, the market is the prevailing force here and that *thing* you are
selling is nothing more than a "class - c" amplifier (not even legal on
the HF bands).

care to lower the price to something a little more reasonable (like
$50.00)?

oh yeah, before you start BITCHING about this being a supposedly legal
amp, it ain't! Its on the list of prohibited devices (as listed on the
FCC database). Just so you know, I have personally owned one of these
devices (before I became a ham) and I know exactly what its capabilities
are, so please do me the favor of not lying to me in public!

you wanna sell it on Ebay, go right ahead. However, at that price, I
doubt very much you will see any takers anytime soon.

DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona

former: kphx3940 "Phoenix radio Man"

In article <4NfP9.1384$fx6.315605010@news.inreach.com>, "Buzzy"
<buzzy@sucksemupgood.com> wrote:

> Help my friend and buy his linear, thanks
>
> HERE IS A X-FORCE LINEAR THAT HAS 2 PILLS DRIVING 4 4 WEEKS OLD, SELLING
> IT FOR A FRIEND, THAT RAN INTO TROUBLE & NEEDS THE MONEY,,, XT-40012
> 2x2290/4x2879 Variable Power With Preamp & SSB 450 WATTS DEAD KEY & 1400
> SWING THIS IS RIGHT FROM THE X-FORCE PAGE IT SELLS FOR $700 PLUS
> SHIPPING & HANDELING... CHECK OUT WEB
> SITE..http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforcepage3.html.HIS LOSS IS YOUR
> GAIN... SHIPPING WILL BE $26.00 & INSURANCE IS $4.00 SOLD AS IS , NO
> RETURNS
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1948204267

--
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence

has its limits.

5476. Re: rci-2970 and EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 16:46:16 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oL_P9.382537$GR5.114191@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 148062

One answer.....don't use E-Bay! Send them a signal, they aren't the
end of all ends!

"BC-)03" <BC-)03@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:DvNP9.2211$134.287167@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> Does any one know whats up with ebay, They have stopped letting people
sell
> rci 2970s. 50s ?
>
> and other radios to , i checked around with them andthey never returned
> anymore than look at the FAQ. which was no help...
>
> I had bought a couple on there before ,,,, but the only one in auction
form
> i can find now is on Yahoo at
>
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/71517706?aucview=0x70
>
> and only ONE>......
>
> 73's
>
>

5477. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:24:55 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E108167.7080706@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148063

Brian,
I guess I didn't make that clear enough.
The question was for 'Dave'.
'Doc

5478. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:25:31 UTC
From: DssSouth <dsssouth@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fk%P9.195076$a8.16821@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 148064

[No readable article body recovered]

5479. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:27:15 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <Td0Q9.1499$CZ6.347116663@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148065

So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?

Or understand the basics of how they work?

Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in
with your radio shack scanner.

Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you
can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.

By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker
of the device that DF a digital signal....

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021230094441.570$Fs@newsreader.com...
> "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelsgood.com> wrote:
> > Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
> >
> > Just one....I'm waiting.....
> >
> If they can't be heard,how do you talk to anyone?
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5480. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:28:16 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <Pe0Q9.1500$r_6.347170857@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148067

How many guns do you currently own?

"JC" <johnnycat89@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6107-3E1000B7-176@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> It's a good dea to get guns without paperwork. In my state we have
> private sales with no paper. If you do have guns you bought from a
> dealer you can say Hey ATF asshole I sold it. GUN LAWS WORK REALLY
> GOOD. LOOK WHO WROTE THE BOOK ON GUN CONTROL. ADOLPH HITLER. THEY
> WORKED GREAT FOR HIM. WE MODELED OUR 1968 GUN CONTROL ACT AFTER HITLERS.
> I KNOW ONE THING. THE OLDER I GET THE LESS LIKELY I AM TO GIVE UP
> ANY GUNS
>

5481. Re: Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:34:31 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <Gk0Q9.1501$W%6.347264116@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148066

Depends on your bandwidth filter in your transmitter.

And yes, if you use AM with a linear amplifier
you will be heard over a 6 channel spread.

(CB channels I assume)

A poorly adjusted power microphone will
do the same thing.

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E103E09.8000006@yahoo.com...
>
>
> And unfortunately, will be heard over a 6
> channel spread...
> 'Doc
>

5482. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 17:44:36 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <7u0Q9.1502$C07.347309121@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148068

ol'Frac?

Spelling is optional?

Are you on crack?

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E103D06.1070109@yahoo.com...
>
>
> Ah... You know what a drivers license is, has to
> do with driving a car, you know? And I'm not any
> kind of a doctor (medical or scholastic). It's a
> nickname. I've only had one other person worry
> about my nickname, you're not ol'Frac, are you?
> 'Doc
> PS - Spelling is optional
>

5483. Re: rci-2970 and EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 18:07:09 UTC
From: "BC-)03" <BC->
Message-ID: <hX%P9.3154$134.398590@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 148070

10-4 i hear that!!!

--
Want To Have An Exciting, Time ? Drop By My Yahoo Group..

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/KcTownTavern/
"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oL_P9.382537$GR5.114191@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> One answer.....don't use E-Bay! Send them a signal, they aren't the
> end of all ends!
>
>
>
> "BC-)03" <BC-)03@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:DvNP9.2211$134.287167@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Does any one know whats up with ebay, They have stopped letting people
> sell
> > rci 2970s. 50s ?
> >
> > and other radios to , i checked around with them andthey never returned
> > anymore than look at the FAQ. which was no help...
> >
> > I had bought a couple on there before ,,,, but the only one in auction
> form
> > i can find now is on Yahoo at
> >
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/71517706?aucview=0x70
> >
> > and only ONE>.....
> >
> > 73's
> >
> >
>
>
>

5484. Re: Clearing up DEB's cornfused biased HEAD !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 18:09:51 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212301009.38176a98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148071

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e0e697f_3@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> Comments below each mis convoluted point !
> Dave!
>
> "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:5qkP9.540221$QZ.78310@sccrnsc02...
> > Dave,
> >
> > God did not, has not nor ever will distinquish between races.
>
>
> Yes he did. When they got together under the tower of Babel, he
> CONFUSED them and made them go their seperate directions! He made a
> distinction between the Isreal race and the heathens around them.
>
>
> > If you believe that you aren't reading the Bible. You are quoting some
> > racism BS.
>
> There is NO SUCH thing as "racism." This is a newfangled made up
> word that was designed to STOP resistance to interracial marriage and
> anything that would stop the people in control wanting to create the UN
> "brown man." Look in a OLD dictionary. It is a NEWspeak word!
>
> >
> > There is nothing in the Bible that says no inter racial marriages.
>
> What about the scripture that says that GOD SET the boundries
> according to the number of the children of Isreal? Also in Rev. 21 about
> "the leaves of the tree were for the HEALING of the Nations." Why would the
> nations, which are races, need to be "healed?"
>
>
> God told
> > the Jews not to marry with the Egyptians. As always, mere man couldn't
> > follow that rule.
>
> It was LAW; not a "rule."
>
> God told people not to intermarry with the unbelievers.
>
>
> that's right--with ancient Isreal as well as THE Church today!

>
>
> > That wasn't headed either. God would have wiped man out if someone hadn't
> > stepped in and said, "aren't you a just God? Known the world out as the
> God
> > of Love? Have mercy on them because they do not know.
> >
> > Man was not merely stupid, they remained ignorant to the blessing afforded
> > them because they wanted to do it their way. Old Testament!
> >
> > So God made a promise. He sent his Only son to live and die for mere
> mortal
> > Humans. You need to get up with the times and stop living the old
> > testament. There is only 10 rules that must be followed. It is, after
> all,
>
>
> Those I believe are called COMMANDMENTS. Jesus gave 2 more, too.
>
>
> > pretty simple. Everyone got in too much trouble in the old. It really
> does
> > give a new meaning to out with the old and in with the new.
>
>
> The only "OLD" Testament law that was "done away" with was JUST
> the laws that applied to THE sacrifice (Jesus Christ). He fullfilled those
> laws, and ALL the rest still apply today, unless of course, you go to a
> "state Church." The state church has a DUMB DOG preacher pursuant to
> Jerimiah, because he gets his bennies from the state, not from GOD.
>
> >
> > Being hateful is not what being a Christian is about. You are just plain
> > hateful.
>
> You ought to KNOW what "hateful" is all about. I never knew what
> hateful was until I lived in "the South." What am I hateful about? I HATE
> what GOD HATES ! He hates murderers (Communist China), and violators of his
> 10 Commandments. Your problem is that you got too much "love" SUGAR in your
> mouth from the state church you attend! It is lawful TO HATE ! What do I
> "hate" that you perceive is unlawful to hate? Better read Romans 1 before
> you answer this, as well as do a study on what GOD HATES in the Bible.
>
>
> Spouting your racial and ethnic slurs.
>
> Are these unlawful? What "slurs" are you referring to?
>
>
> There will be a special

> > place for you to rant one day.
>
> It's called the CB Newsgroup, and you liberals can't stand the
> truth, can you?!
>
>
> There is only one true way to ensure your
> > place is held in Heaven. But in order to teach the Word, you must live by
> > the word without extorting the Word. Benny Hind comes to mind here.
>
>
> It's Benny HIN and he is an Anti-Christ that has been ripping people
> off for years. To equate me with him, when I HATE his GOOFY
> Pentacostalness, shows how far lost in space you really are!
>
> >
> > There is always a fine line. I believe you have crossed over.
>
> Which line, and where did I cross it?
>
>
> Perhaps you
> > should heed your own advise and read the entire word and not just exerts
> > that apply to your way of thinking. That is the downfall of a "good
> > Christian". Narrow-mindedness.
>
>
> Jesus said SEEK the old paths, and the narrow way, because the
> BROAD way leads to DESTRUCTION !
>
> >
> > Its amazing how you will generalize a whole population just because of
> their
> > government or religion. Like 98 percent of them had or have a choice.
>
>
> They DO. Stuart Craine once said that people will put up with despotic
> government as long as they want to, and when it becomes unbearable, they
> WILL throw it off. Which generalization did upset you? The Chinese? The
> Mohammedens?
>
>
> It
> > sounds like if your not blond and blue eyed your not the perfect human so
> to
> > the gallows with you.
>
>
> It sounds like you have been BRAINWASHED by the 52-890mc toilet box,
> that anybody more conservative than THEY are, make them look bad, so

> everyone comes back to the liberal way of thinking. If anything I have
> typed on this newsgroup would even hint that I would have THAT unlawful
> position, then I should go to da gallows! But YOU should probably go there
> instead, because YOU are violating scriptures by your EVIL speaking of me,
> when you have NO evidence that I have even THOUGHT a ridiculous thing like
> that!
>
> >
> > You know what would serve you better. Keep talking about CB's instead of
> > bringing what is obviously a subject you are too narrow minded to talk
> > about. Oh wait, there shouldn't be any cb's you can talk about since
> they
> > are all manufactured in or using parts made in China or some other place
>
>
> Other places, OK, not ALL made in China by the murderous Chinese
> government!
>
>
> > that doesn't fit your perfect world. Maybe you need to go out and work
> with
> > some missions abroad. You will then appreciate the CB's you talk against.
>
>
> Boy YOU gave it to yourself THIS TIME ! First of all, as a 23+
> year CB shop, I DO NOT nor will I ever "appreciate" DOGGY CB's that are JUNK
> made in RED CHINA. They are TRASH and a waste of customers $$$$ because
> they are made by SLAVE LABOR under TERRIBLE conditions, and their quality is
> TRASH compared to CB's made in the Phillipines! Plus, the $$$ you GIVE the
> RED CHINESE to buy your Cobra 25's & 29's go to support TERRORISM against
> Chinese CHRISTIANS (don't you like the yellow Christians?) and others in the
> FREE EAST, not to mention the THREAT that China made to BLOW UP Los Angeles.
> DO YOU have a problem with them threatening THE MAINLAND of the USA, or are
> you with Taliban control and approve of the Muslim murdering of US
> "unbelievers?" !
>
>
> > You might be totally surprised at how much 11 meters is used to spread the
> > true word.
>
>
> I do it too, in violation of Plank part 95/ 6 !
>
> >
> > You make Christians who are trying to spread the word and be nice and
>
>
> Nothing scriptural about "nice." !
>
>

> > understanding, because that's what you are supposed to be, work harder.
> > Tolerance would be a good word to study and put into use in your life.
>
>
> "Tolerance" is NOT a Biblical word, but a Humanist one! YOU do a
> study IN the BIBLE on "tolerance." See what GOD Tolerated? Not much!
>
>
> Man
> > if you just close out all the people who don't conform to your beliefs you
> > will be a very lonely person and not doing what your are told to do by the
>
>
> I only "close out" you liberals & Humanists who have been ruining
> the Republic for decades. The Kingdom of God is mad up of Children who come
> to HIM, not you liberals & Humanists that will have Judgment to bear, IF you
> don't REPENT !
>
>
> > Word. Which probably classifies as a "SIN". OOPS. Not you!!
>
>
> Where Have I sinned here? Is it go go against the liberal
> brainwashing we all got from the media? Sin is a Violation of GOD's LAW.
> 10 Commandments. Not liberal directives! Not "thought" crimes nor alleged
> "hate" crimes! Don't you KNOW that the kingdoms of this world, will BECOME
> the Kingdoms of OUR GOD, and HE shall REIN forever & ever? WHICH kingdom
> are you promoting? Sounds like THE world system!
>
> >
> > How can you get the word out if you have turned everyone off?
>
>
> I'm sure they said this about JESUS too!
>
>
> I know this
> > falls on deaf ears. But I hear people everyday who are turned off by some
> > "HOLYROLLER" You just might fit that bill. Benny Hind is looking for a
> few
>
>
> It's Benny HIN and I've been warning people against him FOR YEARS.
> Just because THE LIBERAL media told you about him last night, DOES NOT MEAN
> you can apply him to me, o simple one!
>
> > good men just like you. If I were you, make haste to the door with that
> > resume and get a job. He is warped as well. No doubt in the beginning
> he
> > thought he too was doing good and spreading the Word. Now look at him.

>
>
> He was bad from the beginning! Look @ what the liberal media has
> done; either they have exposed a thief, or they have an alterior motive,
> which is Anti-Christ, because the Liberal Media IS!
>
> >
> > Carefully choose the path you wish to choose. The most powerful thing we
> as
> > mere humans have is the gift of choice, the gift of communication by
> > speaking or hearing. It is by that choice your future will be
> determined.
> > And that my friend is in the Bible you so like to refer too. It might
> take
> > you a year but you need to read the entire book. The historical
> > documentation as well as the future predictions. The most miss quoted
> book
> > in the Bible is Revelations.
>
>
> You can't even TYPE it, let alone quote it. It is REVELATION.
>
>
> Don't Quote it unless you understand it.
> > There are many a men trying to this day to understand it. The only
> problem
> > is, its not something that is to be understood as of yet. You will not
> know
> > the time, because that time will come "as a thief in the night". It seems
> > as though you have skipped all the Gospels in between the Book of Daniel
> and
> > Revelations. That is a bad mistake made by many a Christians. But once
> > they read to comprehend a little more, then they too get it and it usually
> > changes their life.
>
>
> I ain't skipped ANY of it; your NIV "Bible" had Sodomites on the
> board when they made it!
>
> >
> > Now if you are just a person who likes to stir up all this commotion, then
> > you need to really study what a "good Christian" is. Because you are not
> > the poster child for that cause.
>
>
> Was Jesus a "good Christian" in your book? Did upsetting the
> money changers tables and WHIPPING THEM with a cat of 9 tail whip upset you?
> He came to MAKE DIVISION; not the 1 world Harlot Church of Rev. 17 !
>
> >

> > We hope that God will Bless you. We will certainly pray that He will.
>
>
> He DOES because I KEEP HIS Commandments. IF you don't you won't be
> Blessed! And ALWAYS remember it's NOT "GOD BLESS America" anymore, but
> AMERICA BLESS GOD instead!
>
>
> > Maybe you need to visit the alter the next time your pastor has an alter
> > call. You need to spend some serious time on your knees.
>
>
> You mean to ask forgiveness for upsetting you liberals?
> Jesus said YOU Must be Born again. IF you was, you wouldn't sell CB's made
> in RED CHINA, knowing that the anti-Christ Commies will take taht $$$ and
> persecute Christians and Liberty loving people Worldwide, 10-4 ? Ain't NO
> Doubt!
>
> >
> > Now lets get back to CB's. Most everyone here will talk about them all
> > without reference to their origin.
>
>
> "Most" everyone DON'T KNOW. That's what I do. I am an Isaiah
> 58 trumpeter of COUNTRY of ORIGIN ! DON'T BUY CHINA made RADIOS
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Dave!!!
> >
> > Deb
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

dave is taking care of bidness,.....no doubt about it.....

5485. Re: Need Help with a mod'd Roadtalker 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 18:10:59 UTC
From: "BC-)03" <BC->
Message-ID: <T_%P9.3163$134.382402@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 148072

I have a road talker 40,,, sears, with power mike im wanting to part with
works perfect if you want a photo email me at

bennyc03@earthlink.net

Ill take 30 plus shipping for it ... i believe shipping to be 12 bucks...
any where in us... this radio looks and works good, needs power mic
batteries, i took them out before storing so acid wouldnt go everywhere , it
takes those hearing aid batteries...

--
Want To Have An Exciting, Time ? Drop By My Yahoo Group..

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/KcTownTavern/
"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E103C17.6020001@yahoo.com...
> Roland,
> If the modification wasn't documented, there's
> just no way of knowing what the switches do without
> looking inside. Of course, you could always try
> the switches to see what they do, but that may not
> be the safest thing in the world to do...
> 'Doc
>
>

5486. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 19:00:44 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E1097DC.60704@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148073

It's okay, Buzzy. Just another nickname.
If you don't recognize it, it must not be you.
'Doc

5487. Re: FCC AND " FREEBAND " TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 19:01:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E10981A.3010007@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148074

On crack? (LOL) You really don't know
how funny that is...
'Doc

5488. Re: "N8" in this month's QST !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 19:55:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3109-3E10A4C3-3@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148075

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Good point of itself, Twist. My point was only that they are
publishing it in QST. Visibility is getting higher. Reality is that some
of the amateur contests are not much more wholesome than the proverbial
shoot-outs. Regardless of anyone's take on the DX, I
personally feel there is much more to 24 GHz moonbounce with 85 watts
than DXCC (or the shoot-outs with CB).

--

>I get the same thrill with 3 watts to Africa. And I don't utilize
something necessitating a rebroadcasting or an assisting of my
signal.....just me rig and me antenna.<

--

All grousing aside, how much difference exists between some of the
14.313 crowd, some of the 75 meter crowd, and some of the idiots on CB
(and certainly not all cbers nor amateurs are like that). Dang, Twist,
the more I try to uncover the differences to point out to you, the
more I find that we are very similar (amateur and cb). The control
freaks exist on both sides of the ledger; heck, tell ya what - I'll
extend the hand of friendship. I'll even give you Doug ..
Bwahahahaha! (sorry, I couldn't pass up that opportunity!).

--

>Shux, Jim, I already have Dogie...been in my back pocket along with a
few sets of lips I cut off of a few disgruntled hammies on the freeband.
It's not me you have to convince, Jim. If you're extending a hand
anywhere near these control freaks and troublemakers, it will get
bitten. They're rabid.<

--

If nothing else is ever learned in the newsgroup, I'd hope most of us
realize there are loose cannons on both sides of the group

--
>This is true, but in all fairness, it certainly isn't illustrated
around here. One doesn't see many cbers posting on the hammie boards
raising cane about hammies illegal behavior..it is limited to merely
responding to their ill behavior on this board....butcheckitout.. the
disgruntled, pizzed and jizzed upon hammies that have ego problems have
been getting sliced and diced on a regular basis by those so-called
imports. It's not illegal to listen Jim,,,,you ought to check us
out..........having this much fun ought to be
illegal...<g>..seriously..you oughtta see the mark some of these imports

are leaving across our boys foreheads...on a daily basis!!
<

--

and most of the gang are simply human beings (flame 'em and you're
likely to get
flamed back). Certainly none of us is totally innocent all of the time
(I just try and hide it).

--

>So do many of the hammies, but that's all good. It's when one of these
boyz starts a-trying to walk on water that they get wet and curse.
Desperation calls for reinforcements..(chuckle)...but they just can't
help scratching their balding heads wondering why the hell they can't
achieve what they're used to on 2 meter repeaters...heheheh!
I'm in agreement the MAJORITY of the group are great folks. The four or
five who have an underlying agenda don't even make a blip on the radar
screen..they've been bagged, tagged, stuffed and served. <

--

73 and hope your Christmas was a gooder (I got 100 pounds of
anthracite coal!)
Jim

--

>Excellent.....means you can stay warm without the flame. You oughtta go
fishing, Jim. It's the most wonderful time of the year. I was on the
Silver River in Ocala the other day and with the drop in temps (30's in
the early AM) making the fish sluggish you can just about hog-grab
anything you see. My Christmas was awesome..another beautiful day in
paradise. Take it ez up there and I'll try and send some of this 70
degrees today your way.

>Twistedhed AKA Pied Piper
>Often imitated
>Never duplicated
>Cracked to the
>End of
>Your time

5489. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 20:04:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3106-3E10A6C9-27@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148077

From: buzzy@feelgood.com (Buzzy da Buzzbomb)
So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?
Or understand the basics of how they work?
Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in with your
radio shack scanner.
Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you can
obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.
By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker of the device
that DF a digital signal....

--
You are due nothing as far as answers. Change your email.....you shot
your credibility with your comment about being in
Sacramento....besides..your true nature is shining through in your
posts.....now change your clothes, they smell.

5490. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 20:09:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3107-3E10A800-8@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148076

From: ltdoc@yahoo.com
('Doc) wrote via usr1-12.mcal-ok.cwis.net

Brian,
I guess I didn't make that clear enough. The
question was for 'Dave'.
'Doc

--

This one even better than the last two, Doc? Faster?
Meant to ask you..that "l" at the beginning of your handle,,,is that
anything like "lmangang"? Happy New Year in the Black Gold! Listen for
the flute music.

5491. FS: Motorola External Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 20:40:55 UTC
From: MeadowComm <meadowcom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <auq0oa$oi2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 148069
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I have one used Motorola External Speaker (HSN4018A) for sale. No mounting bracket, no mounting knobs. You solder on your own connector. Awesome audio output for you radio, scanner or CB radio. Best offer takes it. .JPG available on request.

73 es Happy New Year!
MeadowCom
meadowcom@yahoo.com

5492. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 20:50:51 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <Kc3Q9.1509$Hn7.348759178@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148078

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

--
>You are due nothing as far as answers.

And what's your claim to fame besides being a webtv suckhole?

Can't afford a real computer? Live with your parents?

Nevermind, I forgot, reality is not your deal...

5493. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 20:59:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E10B3AB.1000707@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148084

Buzzy da Buzzturd wrote:
> So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?
>
> Or understand the basics of how they work?
>
> Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in
> with your radio shack scanner.
>
> Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you
> can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.
>
> By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker
> of the device that DF a digital signal....
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021230094441.570$Fs@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelsgood.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>>>
>>>Just one....I'm waiting.....
>>>
>>
>>If they can't be heard,how do you talk to anyone?
>>
>>--
>> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
>> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>
>
>

Good grief, do you think all digital signals are spread spectrum?
What about when television and radio go digital? Do you think they
will be spread spectrum? Just because a signal is digital does not
automatically make it spread spectrum.

5494. Re: advice on super star rigs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:08:09 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <dt3Q9.2904$T5.1200515@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148079

Superstar Radios are made by RCI, they use the 3600 board

drc

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f75aa_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> You Don't want to know! Plus in da UK it may be different.
> Dave
>
> "big boy now" <shogun1965@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:NMIP9.3514$oY6.376@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> > this is a simple question who makes superstar rigs....
> > I have two one a superstar 3500 this one is for sale on ebay at this
time
> > its in very good condition all black. six bands of 40 channels am/fm.
> > built in swr meter e.c.t..
> > and the second is my pride and joy superstar 3900 its in mint condition
> > and is totally standard never been messed about with....10w am/fm and
20w
> > ssb
> > I want to find out the company who makes the superstar range of cb
radio.s
> > as I am keen to see what new sideband rigs are for sale on today's
> > market.....and maybe sell my pride and joy and buy the latest model...
> > many thanks for any help sent......
> > please email me you web links for the maker of these rigs
> > shogun1965@lycos.co.uk
> >
> > _____ john
> > ICQ#: 84317226 Current ICQ status: + More ways to contact me i See more
> > about me:
> _____
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5495. Re: Don't HIT ME, sir; Don't HIT me !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:33:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e10c73b$0$585$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 148080

I think that Dave means well he just doesn't know any better. It's that church that is filling his mind with trash. It's called cafeteria Christianity. They follow the parts of the Bible that "support" their hate filled racist ideas. These churches build themselves up as "righteous", more self-righteous, and do it by tearing others down to make themselves look better. There is a wonderful saying that my grandmother used to say. "When someone says something unkind about you just live your life in such a way as to prove them a liar." Trouble is that many people from churches like Dave's live their lives to prove that they are exactly what people say about them...purveyors of hate and racism.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E0F914D.4050909@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
> > The Bible also states that the wicked run away when no one is chasing
them.
> > What's all this I hear from you, and others, about "the commies are
coming,
> > the commies are coming" and "the bad old gov'ment is coming to get you."
If
> > you are "righteous" as you claim to be then you have nothing to fear
from
> > any man or any government.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
>
> Dave or Darbey are those bible thumping religious nuts that think
> if you don't interpret the bible they way they see it then you're
> wrong. And usually they interpret it wrong.
>

5496. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:35:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E10CA36.6070806@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148092

Buzzy da Buzzturd wrote:

>
>
>
> Again, I repeat...
>
> Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>
> Just one....I'm waiting.....

Again, I repeat...

Do you think any signal that is digital is spread spectrum? A digital signal is no different from any other signal other than the information on the carrier is digital information. It is still a radio signal that can be traced with the same devices used to trace any signal.

5497. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:38:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E10CADB.7040506@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148093

Twistedhed wrote:

>
>>Can't afford a real computer?
>
> Attempting to change the topic of your current lie to one of which way I
> access the net is noted....let me take this little opportunity of
> schooling to allow you the pleasure of reveling in my company for a
> moment as you reflect on my email and chosen web name......it's been
> around, much in part thanks to you, but for some reason, yours hasn't
> been. I know....you trashed your rep and you're too ashamed to put your
> call next to it anymore...I don't blame you.
> You just can't help yourself....

How else to distract from the fact he doesn't know what he is
talking but to change the subject to something else?

5498. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:45:02 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E10CC6E.B651EA5D@listed.here>
Source record: 148081

10-9?

5499. For Sale, many items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 22:54:09 UTC
From: foowangchu@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <53e3a21a.0212301454.48e94f09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148082

ALERT!!

Upfor sale, let me know what you can pay, I am flexible on payments
if you have okay credit.

1. Yaesu FT1000D
2. Icom 746 PRO2
3. Icom 2100H
4. 2 Ranger 2950's
5. 3 D-104 microphones, silver eagles
6. Computer, monitor and speakers
7. many misc xcvers, test equipment to mention

5500. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 23:03:03 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <E74Q9.23197$nc7.13187@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 148083

Dave, I can't believe you already fergot the ol'
tube type Palomar "SKIPPER"
Rollin' downhill like a snowball.....

The2x4

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f63bb_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I don't think it was a Cybernet one, unless the board was a lot different
> than the Cybernet. I Seem to remember it to be like the Uniden?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
> news:dCvP9.1720$QZ2.1266790463@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > SSB 500!!!! Old cybernet radio..
> >
> > Steve
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0e4687$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > It was a SSB unit kinda like a 148. 1977 vintage probably. Tan edge
on
> > > radio, I remember.
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > > "DLHicks" <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:sKkP9.341255$pN3.25309@sccrnsc03...
> > > > Didn't palomar make a radio that had two cb's in it. Not sure it
had
> > > > weather. Weather is a fairly new addition to the cb world. Boy
when
> it
> > > > first came out had a lot of scared truck drivers, wx alert going off
> in
> > > the
> > > > middle of the night. That will get your adrenaline going.
> > > >
> > > > But it may have had a pa too. I have only seen one in the 15 years
we
> > > have
> > > > been working on them. Just not sure. OH I am getting old.
> > > >
> > > > Deb
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Debbie & Will Hicks

> > > > Will's Comm. & Hobbies - Hendersonville, NC
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://www.willscb.com
> > > > http://www.rcmodelstuff.com
> > > > http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/willhicks
> > > > http://www.prepaidlegal.com/info/dwhicks
> > > >
> > > > http://www.1112.net/lastpage.html
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5501. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 23:08:09 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <td5Q9.1518$mU7.350893101@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148085

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E10B3AB.1000707@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Buzzy da Buzzturd wrote:
> > So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?
> >
> > Or understand the basics of how they work?
> >
> > Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in
> > with your radio shack scanner.
> >
> > Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you
> > can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.
> >
> > By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker
> > of the device that DF a digital signal....
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021230094441.570$Fs@newsreader.com...
> >
> >>"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelsgood.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
> >>>
> >>>Just one....I'm waiting.....
> >>>
> >>
> >>If they can't be heard,how do you talk to anyone?
> >>
> >>--
> >> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> >> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
> >
> >
> >
>
> Good grief, do you think all digital signals are spread spectrum?
> What about when television and radio go digital? Do you think they
> will be spread spectrum? Just because a signal is digital does not
> automatically make it spread spectrum.
>

Again, I repeat...

Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.

Just one....I'm waiting.....

5502. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 23:14:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9643-3E10D35B-7@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148086

From: buzzy@feelgood.com (Buzzy da Buzzbomb)
So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone? Or understand the basics of how they work? Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in with your radio shack scanner.
Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter. By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker of the device that DF a digital signal....

--
--
From: buzzy@feelgood.com (Buzzy da Buzzbomb)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
You are due nothing as far as answers. Change your email.....you shot your credibility with your comment about being in Sacramento....besides..your true nature is shining through in your posts.....now change your clothes, they smell.

>And what's your claim to fame besides being a >webtv suckhole?

Fame? Who said anything about fame..I guess if you are alluding to my DX prowess and well-known handle, I would have to give thanks to the well known troll brigade headed up Dogie and named by our good pal "Arron". Besides, it's not I who is interested in fame or has suffered such great defeat to the ego.

>Can't afford a real computer?

Attempting to change the topic of your current lie to one of which way I access the net is noted....let me take this little opportunity of schooling to allow you the pleasure of reveling in my company for a moment as you reflect on my email and chosen web name......it's been around, much in part thanks to you, but for some reason, yours hasn't been. I know....you trashed your rep and you're too ashamed to put your call next to it anymore...I don't blame you.
You just can't help yourself....

>Live with your parents?
>Nevermind, I forgot, reality is not your deal...

And my "deal" has nothing to do with your lies.....do try to remain on topic and not allow me the pleasure of watching you stain your new dress.

5503. Re: For Sale, many items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 23:18:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9640-3E10D459-67@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148088

From: foowangchu@yahoo.com (Wang Foo)
ALERT!!
Upfor sale, let me know what you can pay, I am flexible on payments if
you have okay credit.
1. Yaesu FT1000D
2. Icom 746 PRO2
3. Icom 2100H
4. 2 Ranger 2950's
5. 3 D-104 microphones, silver eagles
6. Computer, monitor and speakers
7. many misc xcvers, test equipment to mention __

Anything made by Drake or Tentec? I also know of someone lo0king for a
second-hand but near-mint Grundig receiver.

5504. Re: Expanding Channels On CB (I HAVE TO AGREE WITH YOU ORIS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-30 23:24:41 UTC
From: johnnycat89@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <10301-3E10D5B9-53@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148087

Things have devolved into a can of worms and change no matter how
radical has to make it bett

5505. Re: up my power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 00:05:01 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Na5Q9.86765$hK4.7055267@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 148089

No, merely a joke you didn't get...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021230084342.088$ry@newsreader.com...
> briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote:
> > Hey... he upped his power... now up yours!
> >
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> A cheap attempt to spam the group with your skywave..<g>
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

5506. Re: No Mo Commies in 2003 !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 00:06:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Kb5Q9.86766$hK4.7049381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 148090

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Hey Brian,
>
> I think maybe Dave should replace Trent Lott. Just think what a
"Righteous"
> man could do in that position. He is so "anti-Christian" he just might
be
> the "anti-_______. Someone else can fill in the blank.

5507. RE: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 00:07:59 UTC
From: Crossfire <Noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 148091

From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM

I have my black eyes on today
No Party for Essie Mae
By Colbert I. King
Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
The Washington Post

Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the annals of Washington soirees as an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said and by whom. But the celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in attendance.

The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former members of Congress, Supreme Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South Carolina senator. But the party failed to include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in Los Angeles. A widow since 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae Washington in 1925 in Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a relationship between a white Edgefield school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed "Tunch," who worked as a maid in the superintendent's stately house.

Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe Essie Mae Washington was invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black South Carolina State College, was not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her father, the former Edgefield school superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S. history, Strom Thurmond.

This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's alleged black daughter. Marilyn Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,

included a chapter about
then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
named Essie Mae in "Ol'
Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
10 years tracking the story, also
wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties with
an African American woman for
The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
acknowledged a
relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
has never issued a categorical
denial.

The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
circumstantial evidence or to repeat
interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
secret relationship between
Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
annotation to Thurmond's life is
worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation did
more to stifle racial progress in
America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
era until Alabama Gov. George
Wallace came along.

Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
enforced laws
legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
of daily life. And leading the list of
noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
outlawing and punishing
interracial cohabitation and marriage.

Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
a tight color line and
strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so motivated
that he captured the Senate
floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a civil
rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
to keep the races distinct.

And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
not practice what he so
fervently preached.

The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
Thurmond, Essie Mae and her

mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception, arrogance and what it means to be
unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom Thurmond. His day has come and
gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy of racial ill will that live on.

Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from people, the vestiges of which
still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of the white women of the South
even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a woman of the darker race,
surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern white men.

While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that used the gun and the noose to
say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races. Segregation, to be sure, left
generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized ambitions, even as others
enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care and the like. But the official
rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it possible for untold numbers of
people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the pleasure of sharing, if even for a
moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men and women, even as men
like him gave themselves a pass.

And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the way it is. But the birthday party is
not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put aside our agendas and turn to
quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.

This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the one untouched by the joy of Ol'
Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.

e-mail: kingc@washpost.com
© 2002 The Washington Post Company

5508. Re: Ruger the sissyboy shoots himself in the foot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 01:33:59 UTC
From: rugerzapeashooter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cfe28a2b.0212301733.59243169@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148094

I dont think so, sissy. If you were not a chickenshit ya would not be
hiding behind that fake name.
Another coward feminine keyqueen bites the dust.

"Lucius T." <nocrap@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<vlNP9.33052$NV.660208@news.direcpc.com>...
> Hey, Krazy Key Kunt!!
> SHUT DA FUCK UP!!!!
>
> You are a Klan luvin' bee-atch!!
> I seen you lickin yo dog's nuts!
> I gonna sells da pictures to a tabloid mag!
> I gots yo 411 ands I gonna fuck up your life!!
> You better RUN!!!!!!!
>
> I'm gonna writes me a song about yo nasty ass!!!
> I 'm gonna finds you a good woman to suck yo dick!
> "Anti Keyclown Control Center" <rugerzapeeshooter@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:e22a12a7.0212271259.3a1798f8@posting.google.com...
> > You think my "time is gonna come"?
> > Then get off your white trash ass and do it. Never happen.
> > You can't even buy your kids Christmas presents, boy.
> > Of course you have a computer, radios, booze, etc.
> > Your threats and accusations of molestation, etc were forwarded to
> > your ISP. You got spanked once, sheesh...stupid idiot that you are ya
> > can't help yourself.
> >
> > rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net wrote in message
> news:<274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com>...
> > > Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
> > > used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
> > > knows......
> > >
> > > On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> > > <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...

5509. twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 02:27:55 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <K88Q9.1526$oq.352791693@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148095

rec.radio.cb search results:

Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author
:twistedhed@webtv.net.

Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.

5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?

You gotta be kidding...

5510. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 02:38:42 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11051d_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147998

E mail me direct @ truth@planetc.com and I'll hear ya!
Dave!

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3E0FA0E2.2010707@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> I can recomend one thing you can do to
> improve this NG. Do you really want to
> hear it?
> 'Doc
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5511. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 02:40:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110524_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 147999

I don't suppowt da Soviet Onion either. Communism is NOT dead; they just
got rid of a few planks over there! THE probalem is, there is NO
alternative country that makes them. Which tubes do you want? E mail me
direct--I got a bunch of 6lq6's left!
Dave! truth@planetc.com

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:gJNP9.495587$WL3.128557@rwcrnsc54...
> My primo D201 is gonna ring in the new year. Out with the new in with the
> old. Poor girl made a funny squeal for a second or two while warming up
> during her annual. Guess she's showing her age inside, if not outside.
> To improve the new year Dave, run equipment that uses tubes and help
> support our friends who make those tubes in the Soviet Union. Those cats
> could use a break. Drive a gas guzzler too. They have plenty of crude to
> sell us as well..... 747
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f7964_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep it will be. 2008 CB will be 50 ! CB is still going strong, despite
> > attacks on this NG from dysfunctional paranoid Hams that have NO jobs,
> since
> > they were laid off when the former Soviet Onion went kerplunk!
> >
> > How can we improve CB this year? Or the NG ? !
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5512. TV AMP question (settle DOWN N8 !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 02:48:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110527_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148000

I have a Channel Master TV amp. I can't remember exactly what this plug is for, but in it it has a plug that you can turn on or off a "FM TRAP," I believe it is called. (Don't have directions any more).

I can't remember in which position I put it, so I THINK I remember that the purpose of this trap is to block out the FM radio band so the amp won't amplify it too. IS this just used IF you live near FM radio transmitters (88-108mc)?

IF I have this on an FM band only antenna, for the purpose of picking up VHF TV, then should the trap be ON or OFF ? OR does it matter? Thanks for the imput!
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5513. JAY--Got the 7' Parabolic up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:00:22 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1107f7_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148002

HEY Jay! :
I got the 7' Parabolic UHF antenna up today, put it on an existing mast right below my Penetrator 500. What a JOB it is to put this used antenna on an existing upright mast, instead of the way I did it origionally on the ground!

I used the OLD collector for it instead, so it will all look old, instead of bright GOLD put on a faded aluminum dish!
I think my old 300 ohm transformer was bad I had on it--I got NO reading from the center of the 75 ohm output to any other terminal on it, either the to the outside or the 300 ohm wires. I had another 2 transformers and got readings with them, so I figured it was bad.

It looks like SOMETHING ELSE, to see that 500 Penatrator right on top of a 7' UHF dish antenna! The commies will even be happy, since it is under 50' high! But we don't care what their stinkin' antenna regs are anyway!
That's cause I is da (American) MAN!
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5514. No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:10:13 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212301910.7c7496c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148003

I want to use a hifi mobile cb antenna that need a ground to work but the minivan I am going to use has no ground plane. I have made a home made bracket from 1 4 inch L and one 12 inch straight pices of metal with a mirrow/lugage rack brack. If I bloted this bracket to the frame in the rear on the passanger side just on the other side of the rear door will it work with a 65 inch mobile antenna at 70mph. What do u guys reccommon I should do if my idea do not work, where should I place a 65 inch antenna so that it will work on a minivan that the body is made of plastic. I do not want to use a special firestick that work with on ground plane. I want to use a 65 inch tram skyhaw antenna and I am only goin to drive this car for 6 months so I need something easy to install on a pontiac trans sport.

5515. Thou shall NOT ADULTERATE thy seed (Adultery)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:18:22 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110c2f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148004

"Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> And leading the list of
> noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> outlawing and punishing
> interracial cohabitation and marriage.

This is where Marriage Licences came form. The definition of
licence is permission to do something illegal, unlawful a trespass or a
tort. Marriage Licences until the 60's were used to prevent interracial
marriage. They got rid of that, and LOOK what you have NOW ! The UN "Brown
Man" (Tiger Woods). Is it "natural" to go interracial? Did GOD set
"boundries?" WHY would Nations have to be "healed" in Rev. 21? What would
mess them up? A nation use to be A RACE of people. Some countries still
are, like Korea. Something to think about! WHO is pushing for people to go
interracial? !
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5516. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:19:46 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110c82_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148005

IS this the "plastic GM minivan? IF it is, I have a mount for it. E mail
me direct @ truth@planetc.com
Dave!

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212301910.7c7496c0@posting.google.com...
> I want to use a hifi mobile cb antenna that need a ground to work but
> the minivan I am going to use has no ground plane. I have made a home
> made bracket from 1 4 inch L and one 12 inch straight pices of metal
> with a mirrow/lugage rack brack. If I bloted this bracket to the
> frame in the rear on the passanger side just on the other side of the
> rear door will it work with a 65 inch mobile antenna at 70mph. What
> do u guys reccommon I should do if my idea do not work, where should
> I place a 65 inch antenna so that it will work on a minivan that the
> body is made of plastic. I do not want to use a special firestick
> that work with on ground plane. I want to use a 65 inch tram skyhaw
> antenna and I am only goin to drive this car for 6 months so I need
> something easy to install on a pontiac trans sport.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5517. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:20:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110cb2$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148006

HE BE da MAN ! Ae you gealous? !
Dave!

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:K88Q9.1526$oq.352791693@news.inreach.com...
> rec.radio.cb search results:
>
> Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author
> :twistedhed@webtv.net.
>
> Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.
>
>
> 5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?
>
> You gotta be kidding...
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5518. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:22:26 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110d22$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148007

Are you talking about ALL or most of them, or just him ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:45649fe1.0212300519.226d20d4@posting.google.com...
> The biggest problem with Mr. Lott is not his racism... it's his
> stupidity!
> You have to wonder why anyone would want such a stupid senator.
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/Index.html
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5519. Re: TV AMP question (settle DOWN N8 !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:22:29 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <t3321v8r5omeaeb5plgsqmg88m679c3f1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 148008

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 21:48:11 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>I have a Channel Master TV amp. I can't remember exactly what this plug is
>for, but in it it has a plug that you can turn on or off a "FM TRAP," I
>believe it is called. (Don't have directions any more).
>
>I can't remember in which position I put it, so I THINK I remember that the
>purpose of this trap is to block out the FM radio band so the amp won't
>amplify it too. IS this just used IF you live near FM radio transmitters
>(88-108mc)?

You only need to turn the trap on if you have nearby FM transmitters.
The video distortion caused by a strong FM station shows itself by
putting diagonal lines on channel 6

>IF I have this on an FM band only antenna, for the purpose of picking up VHF
>TV, then should the trap be ON or OFF ? OR does it matter? Thanks for the
>imput!
>Dave!

Off if you plan on picking up VHF around channel 6

5520. Re: Trent Lott WAS RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:23:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110d55_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148009

What question and what Dave? I think you are cross-threading !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E108167.7080706@yahoo.com...
>
>
> Brian,
> I guess I didn't make that clear enough.
> The question was for 'Dave'.
> 'Doc
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5521. Help you with the height of you mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:23:19 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212301923.52dc8042@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148010

To determin how high your mobile antenna should be get a truckers road atlas. Their is a section in most truckers atlas that tells you the height of low bridges and tunnels in each state & canadian provinces. I live in Texas and the lowest bridge is 12ft so my antenna should not be higher than 11ft 9 inches to give extra room always give your self 3 inch space. So if you state has a bridge or tunnel that is only 11ft high your antenna should only be 10ft 9 inches high. Get a trucker road atlas and find out how high your antenna should be in your state.

5522. Teddy should of got it, since he is more WACO !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:31:03 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e110f29_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148011

Yep, Kevin THEY SHOULD pursuant to Romans 1. I was just comparing the Molesting that Reno was suppose to help prevent (yeah right) as to the MURDER she did in yo state! You should of got the Militia down there to STOP her ! I know people who went there. They made them stay away so they couldn't tell what was going on close, like FLAMES comming out of the tank to burn up the Davidian coumpound, killing the 17 children and more adults. I wish they would of done it to the Kennedy Coumpound instead in Taxachussets! This way Teddy would of paid for his MURDEROUS past ! Instead the DUMMYcrats re-elected him!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3e0f8898$0$586$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Is it really? In "Bible times" both were punishable by death. I kind of
> think that they should bring that law back.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0e47f5$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Ain't NO Doubt ! It is BETTER to be a Mole-ester than a MURDERER like
> Butch
> > Reno did to them!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > chessecutter wrote:
> > >
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > david koresh is dead........burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> > > > > and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> > > > > 'bulldike' reno..............all because he was different and wanted
> > > > > to be left alone.......what a deal..............
> > >
> > > Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.
> > >
> > >
> >
>

>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5523. Da CHEEZE is RIGHT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:34:44 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e111006_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148012

Da CHEEZE is RIGHT ! Does UnConstitutional DEATH penalty fo to anyone's Church who is not ATF approved? WE don't care HOW GOOFY those people were--does MURDERING them fit their "crimes?" YOU should of been there Observing what was going on OR stopping it!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message news:3E0FB7E1.1060808@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:<3E0DCD07.40803@mailcity.com>...
> >
> >>chessecutter wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>david koresh is dead........burned alive at waco by the atf, the fbi
> >>>and the clinton justice department under the watchful eye of janet
> >>>'bulldike' reno..............all because he was different and wanted
> >>>to be left alone.......what a deal..............
> >>
> >>Good riddanced to koresh, the child molester.
> >
> >
> > sir he wasn't a child molester....that was just some rumor started by
> > the government atf , etc.........to justify what they
> > did
>
> And your proof is? I lived in that area when all that was taking
> place, knew people who knew koresh and his moronic bunch, they
> will tell you differently.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5524. Re: WHO let da Loons out, WHO, WHO ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:35:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e111032_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148013

YOU da MAN, Kev !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f8bb1$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Now there's a good one Dave!
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f7370_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > To Luney Liberals, everything is Luney! Since the Mooslims are MOON
> > Worshippers, I can't be Luney!
> > Dave!
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5525. Taking care of BIDNESS; EveryDay !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:39:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11110e_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148014

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212301009.38176a98@posting.google.com...

> dave is taking care of bidness,.....no doubt about it.....

AIN'T NO DOUBT ! "Taking care of Bidness; EVERYDAY; While I is
AWAY ! EVERYDAY !" Taking care of GOD's Bidness' !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5526. Re: For Sale, many items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:40:27 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E1104E3.90102@cac.net>
Source record: 148096

Wang Foo wrote:
> ALERT!!
>
> Upfor sale, let me know what you can pay, I am flexible on payments
> if you have okay credit.
>
> 1. Yaesu FT1000D
Nice rig, but you need 3 of 'em. One for the shack, one in transit,
and one at the factory for repairs.

> 2. Icom 746 PRO2
NO such rig

> 3. Icom 2100H
Nice 2M rig. Too bad this is a CB newsgroup.

> 4. 2 Ranger 2950's
Decent radios if you have them tuned right.

> 5. 3 D-104 microphones, silver eagles
With back plates, and all their circuitry?

> 6. Computer, monitor and speakers
Specs on this would be nice.

> 7. many misc xcvers, test equipment to mention

5527. Satan comes to KILL & Destroy !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:40:28 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11116d_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148015

NO, Satan BEATS you UP ! God don't do that!
Dave!!

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kb8v0vk6qgge81efh1ud49d14o8m1qdh62@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:23:15 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >He called me one of HIS people; HIS son; his anointed (Christian).
> >Christian IS THE superior religion. It is the ONLY one today with God's
> >blessing & sanction on it, because it is the ONLY one who keeps HIS
> >commandments today! ANy onther one VIOLATES HIS laws (10 Commandments)
and
> >Jesus's teachings, which he WAS and IS GOD in the flesh!
> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> God is the original abusive father. Call him daddy, obey his keepers laws,
suck up to him,
> and he still beats you up.
>
> >"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >news:3E0CF2F9.6070903@mailcity.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >>
> >> > AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me!
> >>
> >> And I would be curious as to just what he called you. Probably
> >> should not be repeated.
> >>
> >>
>
>
>
>
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

> Voicemail/fax number +14136227640

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5528. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:41:35 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E110527.1070202@cac.net>
Source record: 147997

Twist has been here awhile...

-SSB

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> rec.radio.cb search results:
>
> Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author
> :twistedhed@webtv.net.
>
> Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.
>
>
> 5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?
>
> You gotta be kidding...
>
>
>

5529. Re: This is a job---for ANTIKEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:42:48 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0212301942.415e095@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148016

> I have the best of 435, (Four Three Five) volums 1, 2, and 3 on CDs.
> I now think theres a volume 4 out? You got Vol 4?

Ho brother---somebody put them out on CD now??? Make it, and they will buy... *sigh*
>
> Frank is still missed.

Poor old Frank Retard. The one way to piss him off was to drive by his condo in the Marina and jam his 440 remote---yes, KE6VRK has done it! Me & VRK used to shoot DX on CB sideband and cruise L.A., jamming phones on "49" together and surprising the shit out of dimwitted callers, then he decided he wanted to become a 24-hr party animal. Exit KE6VRK.

The 435ers who really cracked me up tho were Jim (N6UKN), that old duffer Rudy, and nutty honyock Laszlo, who writes just like he talks---in mangled Hunglish.

Say, would you perchance know if this Cobra 148GTL I have is a "lawfucker" nowadays? It has sideband, and I bought it new in '91, it's one of the last of the old 5w jobs... I have the mods for it, but I wouldn't dare cut it up because it's a collector's item now!

Guy

5530. Dey want me to be just like dem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:42:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11120c_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148017

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212291836.25542bc7@posting.google.com...

> hey dave goes off the deep end, no doubt about it......but to each his
> own......what would you have of him.......???? do you want him
> flogged and put in prison for speaking his beliefs or are we still a
> nation of free speech??? of course the so-called patriot act not
> withstanding..............

Dey want me executed digitally @ high noon, Cheeze!
Da so called "patriot act" is an act against PATRIOTS !
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5531. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:46:29 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E11064D.9040902@cac.net>
Source record: 148001

You're under the grave misunderstanding that an exact frequency is
necessary to track a signal. Hate to tell you this, but it's not.
Signals can be traced using a bandpass filter for the band involved
and a field strength meter. The FCC has custom equipment to do just
that, only faster than the homebrew stuff can. Think about it.

I see you haven't pulled your head out of your ass yet.. Pity that.

-SSB

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?
>
> Or understand the basics of how they work?
>
> Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in
> with your radio shack scanner.
>
> Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you
> can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.
>
> By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker
> of the device that DF a digital signal....
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021230094441.570$Fs@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelsgood.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>>>
>>>Just one....I'm waiting.....
>>>
>>
>>If they can't be heard,how do you talk to anyone?
>>
>>--
>> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
>> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>
>
>

5532. ANTI-CHRIST is the issue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:46:49 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1112f3_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148018

ALL RECORDS destroyed on 70 AD, so the TRUE JEWS are the ones IN CHRIST, period ! All others are Rev.2:9 & 3:9 !
The Father will show them that HE LOVED US (READ the Scripture)! The question is GOD's eyes is not going to be "Are you anti-Shemetic," but are YOU ANTI-CHRIST (Jesus & the Father are ONE) IF you LOVE the Father, you MUST love the SON (Jesus)!!!!!
Dave!!

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3e0f7e8b$0$581$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f746e$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Points below!
> > Dave!
> >
> > <james> wrote in message
> news:d69p0v8fjrbqp26ch4ud1u6gv33tajg53s@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > Dave:
> > >
> > > Funny you should reference those two verses. Which are you? Jew or One
> > > who claims to be a Jew and is not?
> >
> > The TRUE ISreal are the ones who are in Jesus Christ now; HIS
> > bloodline!
>
> Nope, nowhere in the Bible does it say that. The "true Israel" is still the
> same Israel, all those descended of Israel, father of Joseph.
>
>
> > >
> > > Or really the meaning behind the reference is and the usage is that
> > > you might just be a tad anti-semetic? Now Now Dave. Would you cast
> > > such horible intentions on the people who gave the King of Kings and
> > > the Son of David?
> >
> >
> > They were NOT connected to ancient Isreal @ all! Just look at
> > their ancestry from their own encyclopedia and it will tell you that they
> > were descendants from the KHAZARS ! They themselves say NO commection

by
> > blood to ancient Isreal! They converted to Babylonian Talmud religion
in
> > the past! Shem is not with them; not is GOD !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> You know, Dave keeps making this claim. What happened to all of them?
Did
> they all die off and a new race of Jews spring from the Ether? Just
because
> all of the records were destroyed in 71AD doesn't mean that they stopped
> being Jews does it? Yes, Dave IS anti-Semitic but I don't hold it against
> him, I don't have the right to since I'm not of a Jewish bloodline.
> However, as Dave seems to skip over in his cafeteria Christianity, we are
> not of Christ's bloodline, He has none in actuality. What we are, through
> Him, are son's of God. Does this mean that we have Christ's blood in us?
> No, it means that we have His inheritance. I won't go into what that is
but
> that's all it means. You have to know what it meant, in that culture and
at
> that time, to be a "son" of someone and apparently Dave's "church" doesn't
> teach that part of the Bible since it's the "Jewish" part. What his
> "church" fails to teach is that all of the blessings spoken of by Paul and
> Jesus are "to the Jew first and also to the gentile." This does not mean
in
> chronological order but in order of priority since the Greek root is not
> kronos meaning time but is caheao which means in order of rank or
> importance.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> > >
> > > james
> > >
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5533. Love those who HATE the Lord, who have NO connection to ancient Isreal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:50:24 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1113d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148019

```
Do you LOVE THOSE who HATE the LORD Jesus Christ?
I am NOT "anti-ShemETIC ! Just ANTi-Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people!
Do you support these ANTI-Christs in removing "their" 10 Commandments from
the land? YOU are not a Biblical Christian, but a State Church lover of
those who HATE the LORD !
REPENT !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not a
true
> Christian. You see, ALL and I mean ALL of the first Christians were Jews.
>
> Apparently Dave's church doesn't teach that Jesus Himself was Jewish, all
of
> the apostles were Jewish, all the writers of the New Testament, with the
> possible exception of Luke, were Jewish. Luke was a physician, so who
> knows... ;) Back then believing in Jesus was a very Jewish thing to do.
As
> a mater of fact when the first gentile wanted to believe in Him man did
they
> have a problem with that! Never before had a gentile wanted to believe in
> the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob without first becoming a convert to
> Judaism. So, in the Book of Acts when Corneleus wanted to believe in the
> Jewish Messiah it was something completely different and God had to give
> Peter THREE VISIONS before he got up enough guts to visit Corneleus'
house.
> When he did all of the family were converted and there was such an uproar
> that the first church counsel had to be held to figure out what to do with
> all of the "gentiles for Jesus."
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people?
> > They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869 went
> > against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed
"star"
> > instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
```

> > <james> wrote in message
> news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for prayer
> > > and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
> > > politically correct) and burning crosses.
> > >
> > > james
> > >
>
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5534. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:51:22 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11141b_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148020

It's a CHROME towel, that I have on my head, to keep the poisonous spiders
off of me!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:lv9r0vog93od6o72v5tljhafecuehi41c7@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:39:01 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> **********
>
> what the chrome dome on the top of your neck?
>
> james
>
> >"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
> >news:20021227073818.11095.00000255@mb-mc.aol.com...
> >> cant forget texas aka the big star
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Did you ever hear "OH- H- and a Dime?" It is how da Bros use to say
Ohio
> >!
> >> >
> >> >Did they ever say another state in a funny different way like this?
> >> >
> >> >How about other funny things they said? I wished I would of recorded
> >them
> >> >over the years; the collection would bew worth a mint!
> >> >
> >> >They "ARE" da MAN !
> >> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5535. Re: Funny State Names

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:51:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1114bf_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148021

Is dat where yo x's are?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021227205045.464$1o@newsreader.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
> > cant forget texas aka the big star
> >
> Something about steers and queers..lol
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5536. Re: TV AMP question (settle DOWN N8 !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 03:53:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e111506_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148022

6 comes in great, so I guess I must have it in the right position.
Thanks!
Dave!

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:t3321v8r5omeaeb5plgsqmg88m679c3f1a@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 21:48:11 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >I have a Channel Master TV amp. I can't remember exactly what this plug
is
> >for, but in it it has a plug that you can turn on or off a "FM TRAP," I
> >believe it is called. (Don't have directions any more).
> >
> >I can't remember in which position I put it, so I THINK I remember that
the
> >purpose of this trap is to block out the FM radio band so the amp won't
> >amplify it too. IS this just used IF you live near FM radio transmitters
> >(88-108mc)?
>
> You only need to turn the trap on if you have nearby FM transmitters.
> The video distortion caused by a strong FM station shows itself by
> putting diagonal lines on channel 6
>
> >IF I have this on an FM band only antenna, for the purpose of picking up
VHF
> >TV, then should the trap be ON or OFF ? OR does it matter? Thanks for
the
> >imput!
> >Dave!
>
> Off if you plan on picking up VHF around channel 6
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5537. Where to mount my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 04:29:08 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aur9st$5vsm$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 148036

I have an '84 Firebird. I want to mount my Superstar 3900 in the car. Anyone have any ideas on a good location? Space is tight. I had thought about cutting into the passenger dash and mounting it there, but there is a lot of cables n modules back there.

Thanks in advance,

Cowboy67

5538. Re: TV AMP question (settle DOWN N8 !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 04:31:45 UTC
From: jimmoci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230233145.01982.00000066@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 148024

The reason many VHF/UHF TV amps have a switchable FM trap is to minimize FM interference to TV channel 6. If you do not have a local ch6 you probably wont even see a difference. If I remeber correctly TV channel 6 sound is transmitted around 87.7 mhz and the video and sync is about 6mhz lower. If your TV looks good with or without the trap swich on, I would leave it off, and hook up your stereo to the outdoor antenna. It is amazing how many FM stations you can pick up form 50 to 100 miles away, sometimes even more. Good luck!

>I have a Channel Master TV amp. I can't remember exactly what this plug is
>for, but in it it has a plug that you can turn on or off a "FM TRAP," I
>believe it is called. (Don't have directions any more).
>
>I can't remember in which position I put it, so I THINK I remember that the
>purpose of this trap is to block out the FM radio band so the amp won't
>amplify it too. IS this just used IF you live near FM radio transmitters
>(88-108mc)?
>
>IF I have this on an FM band only antenna, for the purpose of picking up VHF
>TV, then should the trap be ON or OFF ? OR does it matter? Thanks for the
>imput!
>Dave!

5539. Re: Help you with the height of you mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 04:33:14 UTC
From: Sarge <sargeant@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3E111D3D.2050203@neo.rr.com>
Source record: 148023

DJboutit wrote:
> To determin how high your mobile antenna should be get a truckers road
> atlas. Their is a section in most truckers atlas that tells you the
> height of low bridges and tunnels in each state & canadian provinces.
> I live in Texas and the lowest bridge is 12ft so my antenna should not
> be higher than 11ft 9 inches to give extra room always give your self
> 3 inch space. So if you state has a bridge or tunnel that is only
> 11ft high your antenna should only be 10ft 9 inches high. Get a
> trucker road atlas and find out how high your antenna should be in
> your state.

In addition to bridges and such, think about gas stations
and their lights.

Sarge

5540. Re: Help you with the height of you mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 04:45:25 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E1120E5.39720136@listed.here>
Source record: 148025

Sarge wrote:
>
>
> In addition to bridges and such, think about gas stations
> and their lights.

And drive-thru's...

5541. Re: Satan comes to KILL & Destroy !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 04:58:19 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e112183$0$587$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 148026

On this Dave and I are in 100% agreement! He is not the abusive father but
He will allow His rebellious children to get themselves in trouble. Being a
Christian doesn't mean that all will be rosy and bright. What it does mean
is that your reward will be in Heaven and not necessarily in this life.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e11116d_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> NO, Satan BEATS you UP ! God don't do that!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:kb8v0vk6qgge81efh1ud49d14o8m1qdh62@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 22:23:15 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
> >
> > >He called me one of HIS people; HIS son; his anointed (Christian).
> > >Christian IS THE superior religion. It is the ONLY one today with
God's
> > >blessing & sanction on it, because it is the ONLY one who keeps HIS
> > >commandments today! ANy onther one VIOLATES HIS laws (10 Commandments)
> and
> > >Jesus's teachings, which he WAS and IS GOD in the flesh!
> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > God is the original abusive father. Call him daddy, obey his keepers
laws,
> suck up to him,
> > and he still beats you up.
> >
> > >"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > >news:3E0CF2F9.6070903@mailcity.com...
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Dave or Debby wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called
me!
> > >>
> > >> And I would be curious as to just what he called you. Probably
> > >> should not be repeated.
> > >>
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
> > -Barry
> > ========
> > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barry.og
> > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> > Voicemail/fax number +14136227640
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5542. Re: Help you with the height of you mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:00:17 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <aur8bd017js@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 148034

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212301923.52dc8042@posting.google.com...
> To determin how high your mobile antenna should be get a truckers road
> atlas. Their is a section in most truckers atlas that tells you the
> height of low bridges and tunnels in each state & canadian provinces.
> I live in Texas and the lowest bridge is 12ft so my antenna should not
> be higher than 11ft 9 inches to give extra room always give your self
> 3 inch space. So if you state has a bridge or tunnel that is only
> 11ft high your antenna should only be 10ft 9 inches high. Get a
> trucker road atlas and find out how high your antenna should be in
> your state.

Over the decades, there's only been one, or two problems with the height.
Public garages.
With these damn things having an average clearance of barely 8 foot, you'll
need to remove your antenna, or bend it to get into them.
the other problem is car washes. not that I've had any problems, but most
will tell you, if it breaks, they ain't replacing it.
even semis don't have any real problems because most truckers will use the
shorter fiberglass whips.
which means they'll be below the roof line of the trailer.
if they use bigger ones, they angle mount the antennas.
I've used practically every style there is. never had a problem on the road
with them.

5543. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:06:38 UTC
From: John Smith for example <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba>
Message-ID: <aur8na018n7@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 148037

You'll still get some good ground plane effect directly from the ground
itself.
I ran a cb off my motorcyle and never had a problem.
Your idea sounds feasible, but you may need to ground the plate to the
chasis.
you could take a piece of plywood, cover it with metal sheeting, then mount
the antenna on it.
may look odd, but if it works, why knock it?
as far as that goes, since it's plastic, you could even mount the metal
sheet inside under the roof.

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0212301910.7c7496c0@posting.google.com...
> I want to use a hifi mobile cb antenna that need a ground to work but
> the minivan I am going to use has no ground plane. I have made a home
> made bracket from 1 4 inch L and one 12 inch straight pices of metal
> with a mirrow/lugage rack brack. If I bloted this bracket to the
> frame in the rear on the passanger side just on the other side of the
> rear door will it work with a 65 inch mobile antenna at 70mph. What
> do u guys reccommon I should do if my idea do not work, where should
> I place a 65 inch antenna so that it will work on a minivan that the
> body is made of plastic. I do not want to use a special firestick
> that work with on ground plane. I want to use a 65 inch tram skyhaw
> antenna and I am only goin to drive this car for 6 months so I need
> something easy to install on a pontiac trans sport.

5544. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:14:53 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <8s921vsf73822p8043nemrcotmbp51frb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 148027

```
Dave:

I think Jesus' word are more important than paul's letter tothe
Romans.

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:34:13 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>I have. READ ROMANS 1 !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
><james> wrote in message news:0kpo0v4qpoq58ercfgjj05co6bh6c5p1dh@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
>> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>>
>> >SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before
>yo
>> >crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
>> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
>> >news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they
>don't
>> >have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites:
>Read
>> >Romans chapter 1.
>> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>>
>> **********************************************
>>
>> DAve and Debby
>>
>> read Matthew 5:1 thru Matthew 7:29
>>
>> james
>>
>> >"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
>> >news:274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com...
>> >> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
>> >> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
>> >> knows......
>> >>
>> >> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
```

>> >> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5545. Re: Love those who HATE the Lord, who have NO connection to ancient Isreal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:15:55 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e1125a3$0$590$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 148030

I never said that I love what they do. God loves you no matter what you do
and wants you to come to repentance. He will also give you what you want
and send you where you deserve to go.

I love "them" enough to pray for them that "they" will come to repentance.
Do you pray for their destruction or for their repentance and salvation?
Which would be more glorifying to God, having Sadam Osama and be killed or
have them come to repentance and salvation? So you are "anti rev 2:9 and
3:9?" Who are you to make the judgement as to who fits into that
"category?" Who are you to judge a person's heart? Who are you to tell
them that they are the "Synagogue of Satan" when you know so little about
them other than what your preacher tells you? Is that all your church
teaches? Romans 12/13 and Revelation 2:9, 3:9? That and the Communist
Manifesto are the only things you talk about. I suppose that the book of 1
Cor is not in your Bible and neither are the passages about loving those who
hate you and loving your enemies, which Jesus commands.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e1113d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Do you LOVE THOSE who HATE the LORD Jesus Christ?
> I am NOT "anti-ShemETIC ! Just ANTi-Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people!
> Do you support these ANTI-Christs in removing "their" 10 Commandments from
> the land? YOU are not a Biblical Christian, but a State Church lover of
> those who HATE the LORD !
> REPENT !
> Dave!!!
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not a
> true
> > Christian. You see, ALL and I mean ALL of the first Christians were
Jews.
> >
> > Apparently Dave's church doesn't teach that Jesus Himself was Jewish,
all
> of
> > the apostles were Jewish, all the writers of the New Testament, with the
> > possible exception of Luke, were Jewish. Luke was a physician, so who
> > knows... ;) Back then believing in Jesus was a very Jewish thing to do.
> As
> > a mater of fact when the first gentile wanted to believe in Him man did

> they
> > have a problem with that! Never before had a gentile wanted to believe
in
> > the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob without first becoming a convert to
> > Judaism. So, in the Book of Acts when Corneleus wanted to believe in
the
> > Jewish Messiah it was something completely different and God had to give
> > Peter THREE VISIONS before he got up enough guts to visit Corneleus'
> house.
> > When he did all of the family were converted and there was such an
uproar
> > that the first church counsel had to be held to figure out what to do
with
> > all of the "gentiles for Jesus."
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9
people?
> > > They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869 went
> > > against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed
> "star"
> > > instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > <james> wrote in message
> > news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...
> > > >
> > > > Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for
prayer
> > > > and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
> > > > politically correct) and burning crosses.
> > > >
> > > > james
> > > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5546. Re: ANTI-CHRIST is the issue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:19:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e112663$0$589$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 148032

So just because the records kept by MAN are destroyed an entire bloodline is severed and all those after 70AD are no longer "real Jews?" Wow that makes sense.

Other than that, what you say is true, Jesus and the Father are One and you can't love the one without the other. What are you doing to show the Jews that Jesus and the Father are One? Are you repelling them or are you receiving them?

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e1112f3_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> ALL RECORDS destroyed on 70 AD, so the TRUE JEWS are the ones IN CHRIST,
> period ! All others are Rev.2:9 & 3:9 !
> The Father will show them that HE LOVED US (READ the Scripture)! The
> question is GOD's eyes is not going to be "Are you anti-Shemetic," but are
> YOU ANTI-CHRIST (Jesus & the Father are ONE) IF you LOVE the Father, you
> MUST love the SON (Jesus)!!!!!
> Dave!!
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3e0f7e8b$0$581$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> >
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e0f746e$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Points below!
> > > Dave!
> > >
> > > <james> wrote in message
> > news:d69p0v8fjrbqp26ch4ud1u6gv33tajg53s@4ax.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave:
> > > > >
> > > > > Funny you should reference those two verses. Which are you? Jew or
One
> > > > > who claims to be a Jew and is not?
> > > >
> > > > The TRUE ISreal are the ones who are in Jesus Christ now; HIS
> > > > bloodline!
> > >
> > > Nope, nowhere in the Bible does it say that. The "true Israel" is still
> the
> > > same Israel, all those descended of Israel, father of Joseph.
> > >

> >
> > > >
> > > > Or really the meaning behind the reference is and the usage is that
> > > > you might just be a tad anti-semetic? Now Now Dave. Would you cast
> > > > such horible intentions on the people who gave the King of Kings and
> > > > the Son of David?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > They were NOT connected to ancient Isreal @ all! Just
look
> at
> > > their ancestry from their own encyclopedia and it will tell you that
> they
> > > were descendants from the KHAZARS ! They themselves say NO commection
> by
> > > blood to ancient Isreal! They converted to Babylonian Talmud religion
> in
> > > the past! Shem is not with them; not is GOD !
> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > You know, Dave keeps making this claim. What happened to all of them?
> Did
> > they all die off and a new race of Jews spring from the Ether? Just
> because
> > all of the records were destroyed in 71AD doesn't mean that they stopped
> > being Jews does it? Yes, Dave IS anti-Semitic but I don't hold it
against
> > him, I don't have the right to since I'm not of a Jewish bloodline.
> > However, as Dave seems to skip over in his cafeteria Christianity, we
are
> > not of Christ's bloodline, He has none in actuality. What we are,
through
> > Him, are son's of God. Does this mean that we have Christ's blood in
us?
> > No, it means that we have His inheritance. I won't go into what that is
> but
> > that's all it means. You have to know what it meant, in that culture
and
> at
> > that time, to be a "son" of someone and apparently Dave's "church"
doesn't
> > teach that part of the Bible since it's the "Jewish" part. What his
> > "church" fails to teach is that all of the blessings spoken of by Paul
and
> > Jesus are "to the Jew first and also to the gentile." This does not
mean
> in
> > chronological order but in order of priority since the Greek root is not
> > kronos meaning time but is caheao which means in order of rank or

> > importance.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> > > >
> > > > james
> > > > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5547. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:20:30 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <ou921vgoodgpt0krapbg6q840313kjer4n@4ax.com>
Source record: 148028

Kevin:

Kind of reminds me of the words from a song back in the 60's.

" Go ahead and kill your neighbor
Go ahead and cheat a freind
Do it in the namve of Heaven
You can justify it in the end
...."

From the song
One Tin Solder by Coven

The Bible is an interesting book. It has been used to justify discrimination to out right murder. And never in any place did Jesus command those to do those act. He only commanded them to preach the word.

james

To DAve

Yopu have preached here. We no longer listen. Dust the dirt off your shoes and leave!

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:47:10 -0600, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok Dave, what does Mat 5-7 say to you? Does it say that it's all right to
>call people names? No, it does not. Does it say it's all right to judge
>self-righteously? No it does not. When you read Romans, read ALL of
>Romans.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
>news:3e0f7832_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
>> I have. READ ROMANS 1 !
>> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> <james> wrote in message
>news:0kpo0v4qpoq58ercfgjj05co6bh6c5p1dh@4ax.com...
>> > On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
>> > <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>> >
>> > >SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS !
>Before
>> yo
>> > >crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
>> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > > (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the
>BAD
>> > >news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they
>> don't
>> > >have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites:
>> Read
>> > >Romans chapter 1.
>> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >
>> >
>> > **********
>> >
>> > DAve and Debby
>> >
>> > read Matthew 5:1 thru Matthew 7:29
>> >
>> > james
>> >
>

5548. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:22:27 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <iba21vsuajv0rcnksg1oevgd77m2drpnhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 148029

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 11:08:36 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
wrote:

>
>
>james wrote:
>> Dave:
>>
>> I see in you a pathetic man. You have somehow manage to wrap
>> ethnocentric nationalism and deep orthodox religion together and
>> strike out at all that differs from you. As you walk down your path
>> toward what end you meet, you will grow more and more ethnocentric.
>> Combine that with your deep orthodoxy, this will justify any and all
>> actions you take. For the unclean that do not heed your perversion of
>> the Word should be eliminated as they are doomed to the eternal flames
>> of Hades. See you have justified your action. It is easy to condem
>> those that are not like you. Tolerance is not in your being.
>>
>> If one looks in history the road of ethnocentric nationalism led to
>> Hitler's rise. Add in a deep orthodoxy in religion and you get the
>> talliban and the teachings Ayahtollah Khomeni. To add further the deep
>> orthodoxy of religion and ethnocentric nationalism one looks to 17th
>> and 18th century England. In fact the history of England. The bitter
>> fight among Saxons and Angles. then the split of the Church of England
>> from Rome by Henry VIII. That led to a conflict between Catholic and
>> Anglican churches. Not only conflict but persecution. I caution you to
>> look down the road you head.
>>
>> You see, it is easy to strike out at that which you fear under a cloak
>> of nationalism and religion. It is unique that God had a collection of
>> writings that man could use to his means. He could use them for good
>> or for what he interprets as good. One can use the words to beat one
>> into submission or use those same words to convince others that path
>> they trravel is wrong.
>>
>> james
>
>
>And you expect Dave to understand that?
****

One could have hope that in time he might!

james

5549. Re: GM--Mark of Excellence (yeah right)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:26:28 UTC
From: xaenon <nrogers81NOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6p921vgrdu2347uvrurnutq58n2aplickd@4ax.com>
Source record: 148035

On 30 Dec 2002 13:40:56 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey) wrote:
>> Sorry Dave... I went jap quite a while ago. It was getting very
>> inconvienient/costly to overlook design quality just for the sake of
>> being patriotic. I think a great many people also figured that out. So
>> I guess all that remains to be said is... You die joe!
>>
>Smart move..they built the planes that sucker punched Pearl Harbor.

That was SIXTY YEARS AGO, man. And if you don't think Japan paid
dearly for that, and to some extent are STILL paying, think again.
There are about 250,000 ways, CIVILIAN ways, that they paid, and
in some cases are STILL paying.

It's convenient how we've made nice with Germany, the other enemy from
that war, and Russia, the enemy from the Cold War, but for some reason
nobody can forgive Japan.

Look, I'm not a big fan of the rice cars, either, but damn, buddy...
Just how long are you gonna hold a grudge? I'll lay odds that the
vast majority of those who frequent this newsgroup weren't even alive
when that happened.

Do you still hate the British, too? After all, we were at war with
THEM in the 1700s.

Just where do you draw the line?

5550. Re: ...all da children in da world,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:27:21 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <nea21vclj9hugl51h1s69idle22gs9qifa@4ax.com>
Source record: 148031

On 29 Dec 2002 18:36:53 -0800, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>james <> wrote in message news:<d2ar0vs8s3k6gn841d828jl72a4l0cbi3u@4ax.com>...
>> Dave:
>>
>> I see in you a pathetic man. You have somehow manage to wrap
>> ethnocentric nationalism and deep orthodox religion together and
>> strike out at all that differs from you. As you walk down your path
>> toward what end you meet, you will grow more and more ethnocentric.
>> Combine that with your deep orthodoxy, this will justify any and all
>> actions you take. For the unclean that do not heed your perversion of
>> the Word should be eliminated as they are doomed to the eternal flames
>> of Hades. See you have justified your action. It is easy to condem
>> those that are not like you. Tolerance is not in your being.
>>
>> If one looks in history the road of ethnocentric nationalism led to
>> Hitler's rise. Add in a deep orthodoxy in religion and you get the
>> talliban and the teachings Ayahtollah Khomeni. To add further the deep
>> orthodoxy of religion and ethnocentric nationalism one looks to 17th
>> and 18th century England. In fact the history of England. The bitter
>> fight among Saxons and Angles. then the split of the Church of England
>> from Rome by Henry VIII. That led to a conflict between Catholic and
>> Anglican churches. Not only conflict but persecution. I caution you to
>> look down the road you head.
>>
>> You see, it is easy to strike out at that which you fear under a cloak
>> of nationalism and religion. It is unique that God had a collection of
>> writings that man could use to his means. He could use them for good
>> or for what he interprets as good. One can use the words to beat one
>> into submission or use those same words to convince others that path
>> they trravel is wrong.
>>
>> james
>>
>>
>> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 11:37:37 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
>> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Points below each misunderstanding!
>> >Dave!
>> >
>> ><james> wrote in message news:doto0vct8adeitmknon6cqh9hnnkn2cgi2@4ax.com...
>> >> On 27 Dec 2002 12:37:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide

>> >> Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >dave why are you inciting the guys who wear pointy white hats with little
>> eye
>> >> >holes?
>> >> >
>> >> **********
>> >>
>> >> Yeah. I am shocked from s so called righteous man insinuating that the
>> >> language skills of a group of people are humorous
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes, they are EXTREMELY humorous on the CB on THEIR non-segregated
>> >channels! They have been for over 30 years now! I use to listen to them
>> >for hours and LAUGH ! Dey is Funny!
>> >AND I am not a "so called." I AM a rightous man, and God called me! He may
>> >call you IF you repent of all your left wing liberal pinko bias!
>> >
>> >
>> >and less than his
>> >
>> >
>> > WHO said anything like "less?" YOU liberal commies want to homogenize
>> >everything up so everything is the same in a UN "brown" man, which IS Tiger
>> >Woods; and ALL I got to say to you is what me black CB friend said to me in
>> >OH and a dime land "TIGER Woods DON"T EVEN KNOW WHO he is!" Is he (a)
>> >White; (b) Black, or a (c)PC-78 Phillipino? !
>> >
>> >
>> >> own skills. Is that not a sin? Oh I forget he lives without sin
>> >
>> >
>> > Saints of God that keep HIS commandments usually do, but sinners,
>> >that's why they are called Sinners! The Liberals DO NOT define what "sin"
>> >is, THE Bible does. I suggest you start reading it, so you will QUIT FALSE
>> >Accusations against God's people (the Christians)! (Not yo Mooselims,
>> >Mohammedens, or other non-Christian religion)!
>> >
>> >
>> >> because he follows the teaching of the Gospel?
>> >
>> >
>> > WHY don't you READ Matthew through John in the King James
>> >translation Bible so you will KNOW what the "good news" (Gospel) is, instead
>> >of listening to what your left wing PINKOS say it is on the TV ? !
>> >>
>>
>> >> I really wonder if he has ever turly understood the teaching of the
>> >> Gospel?
>> >

>> >
>> > Well TELL ME what it is! IF you HATE the black man, you will try
>> >to destroy him through interracial marriage. GOD made him, so WHY would you
>> >be so EVIL as to get rid of his race? I like da Broz, 'cause dey are DA MEN
>> >! YOU be da man and start reading!!!!!!
>> >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >
>> >>
>> >> james
>> >> >>I'm talking about things the BROS say on da CB !
>> >> >>Dave!
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>
>hey dave goes off the deep end, no doubt about it......but to each his
>own......what would you have of him.......???? do you want him
>flogged and put in prison for speaking his beliefs or are we still a
>nation of free speech??? of course the so-called patriot act not
>withstanding.............
****

No I do not want him to be flogged. He has the right to preach and I
have the right to criticize that which he may or may not preach about.
As long as I do not incite violence, I am within my rights to object
to some things he says. Free speach is not a one way street.

What I think of the patriot act? Don't get me started on that! I am
enjoying me free speech while I have it.

james

5551. Re: Da DOME !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:29:07 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <9na21vks8uu3k39f4igatirdq5895lglgc@4ax.com>
Source record: 148033

a medical device for inhalation theorpy.

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:22:25 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>What is a Bong?
>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021227191043.715$wF@newsreader.com...
>> timnebo@aol.com10-108 (Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide) wrote:
>> > i cant forget the astrodome city
>> >
>> > >And THE DOME ! Don't ever forget Da DOME !
>> > >Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> Good place for a bong burn off.
>>
>> --
>> -------------------http://NewsReader.Com/------------------
>> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5552. Re: More EBAY items no longer available!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:38:38 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <y3aQ9.508646$P31.164060@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 148038

so what

5553. 8122 Ceramic Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:39:59 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <P4aQ9.5532$9N5.559108@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 148040

Anyone have a good used pull or N.O.S. 8122 ceramic tube for sale? If so please let me know via email. galaxy1@zoomnet.net

Thanks!
Mike

--
www.mikeselectronics.com

5554. Re: Happy Holidays little keyclown boys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:40:00 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Q4aQ9.508655$P31.163799@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 148039

so what

5555. Re: As an added service to keyclowns selling amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 05:40:52 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <E5aQ9.387811$GR5.117989@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 148041

so what

5556. Many will die!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 06:00:20 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <UnaQ9.508825$P31.164362@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 148042

The most grave problem faced by this planet's population today is the threat of illegal radio operation. If this problem is not solved soon all life on Earth will cease. The effects could very well affect other dimensions and planes of existence. We must therefore exert our every waking hour to the cessation of this abominable and deadly practice.

Let's get out there and do it!!! The world....nay, THE UNIVERSE IS DEPENDING ON YOU!!!!

5557. Re: Don't HIT ME, sir; Don't HIT me !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 06:05:53 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <5taQ9.506486$WL3.130888@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 148043

why quibble? The fcc IS god!!

5558. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 06:38:48 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <XPbQ9.1541$gb1.355986549@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148044

"sideband" KR8LR@cac.net wrote in message
>
> I see you haven't pulled your head out of your ass yet.. Pity that.
>
> -SSB

Let's see now, you are an EXTRA class ham right?

You've done real good, and judging from the effective
date of your callsign and your old callsign, I'd say you're
also one of those 5WPM Extras to boot.

Well, I won't hold it against you, even 5WPM is not easy
for some EXTRAS....... (snicker)

Let's look at your web page, http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/

Wow! You've built two extremely technical projects!

A 2 meter antenna and a CW key. Just amazing!

http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coathanger.html

And the antenna was built out of a coathanger!
How original, bet nobody's ever done that before!
You are so smart, bet you made your mommy proud!

Did you use the same piece of coathanger to build
your (chuckle) CW key too? I've seen 6th graders do
a better job...(really)

http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coatkey.html

Gosh..wish I had a callsign listed on the internet
for the whole world to see.....

Guess I'll just have to stay a lowly CB'er ......

Callsign: KR8LR Class: Extra Codes: HVIE USA
Name: CHRISTOPHER G PETTY
Addr1: 412 WHIPPLE
Addr2: SOUTH LYON, MI 48178

kr8lr@qsl.net

5559. FS: COMPUTER/MISC STUFF - More Products-Lower Prices!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 06:45:05 UTC
From: RBD <spam@block.com>
Message-ID: <BA369911.966A%spam@block.com>
Source record: 148045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.minnesota.forsale,umn.general.forsale,alt.forsale,misc.forsale

http://home.attbi.com/~mac411online/forsale.html

Following Items For Sale. See Above Link For More Details!
[Buy Now Before It All Goes Up For Auction On eBay]

Apple PowerBook G4 667 DVI $1700.00
Apple iMac 500 SNOW $750.00
Phillips 15" LCD Monitor $240.00
Epson Color Ink Cartride $10.00
Memory Chips-Many $20.00-$30.00
PowerTower Pro 225 $250.00
Sony 200SX 17" Monitor $125.00
KeySpan USB to Serial $40.00
Apple ImageWriter II $20.00
Apple Personal LaserWriter Best Offer
Linksys 5-Port Workgroup Hub $35.00
Computer Speakers Best Offer

CB Base Station (HY GAIN VIII) $40.00
CB Portable Radio (Midland) Best Offer
8 Track Portable Player Best Offer
Panasonic Record Player Best Offer

5560. Re: Help you with the height of you mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 07:07:30 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <RecQ9.1543$xe1.356179036@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148046

Get a gutter whip clip for your 102" whip you nitwit!

5561. Re: Many will die!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 12:37:19 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cgQ9.572981$QZ.82679@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 148097

Yeah...some would indeed say it is that grave-:) LOL

"KBME6334" <refundagent@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:UnaQ9.508825$P31.164362@rwcrnsc53...
> The most grave problem faced by this planet's population today is the
threat
> of illegal radio operation. If this problem is not solved soon all life on
> Earth will cease. The effects could very well affect other dimensions and
> planes of existence. We must therefore exert our every waking hour to the
> cessation of this abominable and deadly practice.
>
> Let's get out there and do it!!! The world....nay, THE UNIVERSE IS
DEPENDING
> ON YOU!!!!
>
>
>
>

5562. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:05:51 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E11962F.F494FC1B@listed.here>
Source record: 148098

You're jealous because you can't pass the test to get on 2 meter CB.

5563. Thunderbolt 101 Linear Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:21:25 UTC
From: schulzr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231082125.26648.00000356@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 148099

Can anyone tell me what the output of this linear is....has a pair of 6JE6C tubes.....?

Roland

5564. OT: Things My Mother Taught Me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:23:28 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E119A50.CCACD5FB@listed.here>
Source record: 148100

1. My mother taught me TO APPRECIATE A JOB WELL DONE.
"If you're going to kill each other, do it outside. I just finished cleaning."

2. My mother taught me RELIGION.
"You better pray that will come out of the carpet."

3. My mother taught me about TIME TRAVEL.
"If you don't straighten up, I'm going to knock you into the middle of next week!"

4. My mother taught me LOGIC.
"Because I said so, that's why."

5. My mother taught me MORE LOGIC.
"If you fall out of that swing and break your neck, you're not going to the store with me."

6. My mother taught me FORESIGHT.
"Make sure you wear clean underwear, in case you're in an accident."

7. My mother taught me IRONY.
"Keep crying, and I'll give you something to cry about."

8. My mother taught me about the science of OSMOSIS.
"Shut your mouth and eat your supper."

9. My mother taught me about CONTORTIONISM.
"Will you look at that dirt on the back of your neck!"

10. My mother taught me about STAMINA.
"You'll sit there until all that spinach is gone."

11. My mother taught me about WEATHER.
"This room of yours looks as if a tornado went through it."

12. My mother taught me about HYPOCRISY.
"If I told you once, I've told you a million times. Don't exaggerate!"

13. My mother taught me the CIRCLE OF LIFE.
"I brought you into this world, and I can take you out."

14. My mother taught me about BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION.
"Stop acting like your father!"

15. My mother taught me about ENVY.
"There are millions of less fortunate children in this world who don't have wonderful parents
like you do."

16. My mother taught me about ANTICIPATION.
"Just wait until we get home."

17. My mother taught me about RECEIVING.
"You are going to get it when you get home!"

18. My mother taught me MEDICAL SCIENCE.
"If you don't stop crossing your eyes, They are going to freeze that way."

19. My mother taught me ESP.
"Put your sweater on; don't you think I know when you are cold?"

20. My mother taught me DARK HUMOUR.
"When that lawn mower cuts off your toes, don't come running to me."

21. My mother taught me HOW TO BECOME AN ADULT.
"If you don't eat your vegetables, you'll never grow up."

22. My mother taught me GENETICS.
"You're just like your father."

23. My mother taught me about my ROOTS.
"Shut that door behind you. Do you think you were born in a barn?"

24. My mother taught me WISDOM.
"When you get to be my age, you'll understand."

25. And... my mother taught me about JUSTICE.
"One day you'll have kids, and I hope they turn out just like you!"

--

Happy New Year!

5565. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:42:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6725-3E119EAB-188@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148109

From: "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts? Organization: Buzzy's Wife Swapping Service X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal Date: Mon, Dec 30, 2002, 6:27pm (EST-3) X-Trace: news.inreach.com 1041305258 208.25.59.187 (Mon, 30 Dec 2002 19:27:38 PST) NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, Dec 30, 2002, 7:27pm (EST-3)
rec.radio.cb search results:
Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author twistedhed@webtv.net.
Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.
5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?
You gotta be kidding...
--
Why? That's an average of a little more than 4 posts per day for the time I've been here..a total of a few minutes each day.....you probably spend 24 times the amount online I do.
Besides, If you weren't so yellow and signed your call everytime you posted, I would pale in comparison. Do you not find it odd that you have now been reduced to such statistical endeavors merely because I chose to point out your lie? Tsk Tsk....you wear it well.

5566. Re: Many will die!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:48:24 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IehQ9.574614$NH2.38672@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 148103

The best graphic I have seen in years! Basically explains our entire society! BS rules everything now, from the hysteria over smoking, CB.....political correctness....you name it!

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message news:3E11967A.8FDEB61A@listed.here...
>

5567. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:51:44 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <ce731vgdmdue1qcsdq6k3sj2g64pioia3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 148101

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:18:57 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>Both mean that the government knows where you live
>and what you got. It also means that the government
>can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
>and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>
****
I just was wondering how the FCC knows what equiptment you by just
having a lincense? The last time I saw there were no sections that
required you to present an equiptment list on the application for
license. As for gun registration well ....

**********.
>Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
>you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
>of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
>propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>
****
No one can predict the future.

****
>If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
>never been registered and it's stashed away from public
>view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
>of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>
****

Well the minute you used them they are no longer out of sight and now
you become a much easier target of the state. I can visualize
scenarios where a guncould be used to save one's life. If you are the
only one with in say 100 miles that has a radio besides teh state, How
is that going to save your life?

****
>And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
>matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
>device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
>percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
>devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
>cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
>DF equipment.
>

****

Evidentially you need a little more education of direction finding and it's technique. To find someone you do not have to understand what they are saying, just find the transmitter. Finding transmitters is not as imposible as you think using digital prootcol.

So go ahead and think you are safe. It will be idiots like you that go in teh beginning.

james

5568. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:52:24 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sihQ9.573734$QZ.82584@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 148105

Certainly you can DF a digital signal. The only
difference between an analog xmtr and a digital xmtr is how it's
modulated. The RF section on each xmtr is nearly identical (a digital
xmtr has to be more linear than it's analog counterpart), so DF'ing the
signal would be no trouble. You would need a digital receiver for a
digital transmission.

<james> wrote in message news:ce731vgdmdue1qcsdq6k3sj2g64pioia3g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:18:57 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
> <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:
>
> >Both mean that the government knows where you live
> >and what you got. It also means that the government
> >can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> >and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
> >
> **
> I just was wondering how the FCC knows what equiptment you by just
> having a lincense? The last time I saw there were no sections that
> required you to present an equiptment list on the application for
> license. As for gun registration well ....
>
> **.
> >Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> >you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> >of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> >propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
> >
> **
> No one can predict the future.
>
> **
> >If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> >never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> >view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> >of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
> >
> **
>
> Well the minute you used them they are no longer out of sight and now
> you become a much easier target of the state. I can visualize
> scenarios where a guncould be used to save one's life. If you are the
> only one with in say 100 miles that has a radio besides teh state, How
> is that going to save your life?

>
> **********
> >And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> >matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> >device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> >percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> >devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> >cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> >DF equipment.
> >
> **********
>
> Evidentially you need a little more education of direction finding and
> it's technique. To find someone you do not have to understand what
> they are saying, just find the transmitter. Finding transmitters is
> not as imposible as you think using digital prootcol.
>
> So go ahead and think you are safe. It will be idiots like you that go
> in teh beginning.
>
> james
>

5569. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:54:44 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <ga831vgjgbb3sk5m7j18vihbt8u3j56p28@4ax.com>
Source record: 148102

Well Buzzy da Buzzbomb:

Keep posting to the internet and the government will find out quickly
who you are.

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 14:36:35 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>True dat but...
>
>1. I'm not on the list of known firearm owners.
>
>2. I don't have a radio license indicating radio ownership.
>
>3. I live in a rented house under a different name
>
>4. I don't work nor do I pay taxes. (piggybank)
>
>Basically, I'm invisible. I don't have a set pattern of actions
>such as going to the store, barbershop, shopping etc., so
>I don't stand out. I change my looks daily so those who do
>see me never see that person in the same week.
>
>
>
>"sideband" <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net> wrote in message
>news:3E0F38A1.70300@cac.net...
>> Hate to tell you this, but the Gov't already knows where you live and
>> what you got. The IRS is a Gov't agency, and if you have an SSN, they
>> know where you are, and what you have.
>>
>> Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
>> > Both mean that the government knows where you live
>> > and what you got. It also means that the government
>> > can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
>> > and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>> >
>> > Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
>> > you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
>> > of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
>> > propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>> >
>> Getting a HAM license is a small price to pay for the camaraderie you

>> get in the Amateur Ranks. Not to mention the privileges you get for
>> taking a test or two, and not really providing the Gov't with any more
>> information than what you already have.
>>
>> Since when is the free exchange of information anti-American? Methinks
>> you need to pull your head out of your ass.
>>
>> Besides, if you think about it, the Constitution of these United
>> States does not guarantee freedom.. "Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of
>> Happiness" is specifically spelled out. Look up the definition of
>> Liberty in any Dictionary. Now look up the definition of the word
>> Freedom. You'll find that they're a bit different. Again, pull your
>> head out of your ass. This is NOT a free country. This is a country
>> that guarantees Liberty to its citizens.
>>
>> > If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
>> > never been registered and it's stashed away from public
>> > view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
>> > of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>> >
>> What are you afraid of? As long as the Second Amendment is in force,
>> the gov't isn't going to do a thing to take your guns. You can, of
>> course, get involved in Gov't, write your Senators and Congressmen,
>> vote, etc, to help ensure that your opinion on the 2nd Amendment is
>> heard. That's part of the Liberty that's guaranteed to us. If you
>> aren't willing to get involved, you deserve what you get.
>>
>> > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
>> > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
>> > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
>> > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
>> > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
>> > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
>> > DF equipment.
>> >
>> Not really that easy.. A good doppler unit can find just about any
>> signal in a few seconds, regardless of what mode you're in. Digital
>> signals still have to be transmitted from somewhere, and the
>> transmitted waves are no different than the old "analog" or voice
>> modes. The data contained in the sidebands is different, but the
>> signals are still there, and easy to track.
>>
>> >
>>
>> So, in closing, you really need to reach down between your legs, grab
>> ahold of your shoulders, pull really hard, and get your head out of
>> your ass. You've only thought things half way through, and such
>> behavior is going to bite you in the ass someday.
>>
>> 73

>>
>> -SSB
>>
>

5570. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 13:58:47 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <9e831v0e9ke0u0ei2c18d8aq3vbke0tpsl@4ax.com>
Source record: 148104

Hewlet Packard, Tektronics

james

Oh Buzzy. have you ever heard of a spectrum analyzer? It could care
less of the whather you are using AM, FM, PM, or even what ever
protocol you use. You transmit, you leave a radio frequency spectrum
fingerprint.

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 19:06:12 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>
>Just one....I'm waiting.....
>
>
>"Royce Dean" <plumbing101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4eMP9.375954$GR5.112805@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>> Don't be misled. Just because it is digital, and not analog, does not mean
>> it can't be DFed. It still can. Lots of new ways in the last 20 years.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
>> news:00HP9.1443$TG3.333503607@news.inreach.com...
>> > Both mean that the government knows where you live
>> > and what you got. It also means that the government
>> > can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
>> > and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
>> >
>> > Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
>> > you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
>> > of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
>> > propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
>> >
>> > If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
>> > never been registered and it's stashed away from public
>> > view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
>> > of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
>> >
>> > And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
>> > matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
>> > device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100

>> > percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
>> > devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
>> > cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
>> > DF equipment.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

5571. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:02:04 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <hp831vshav1e437pcfmf5v8n56i7sq2sfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 148106

```
On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 15:08:09 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
>news:3E10B3AB.1000707@mailcity.moc...
>>
>>
>> Buzzy da Buzzturd wrote:
>> > So you've never used a digital spread spectrum telephone?
>> >
>> > Or understand the basics of how they work?
>> >
>> > Wal Mart has em for under $50, get one and try to listen in
>> > with your radio shack scanner.
>> >
>> > Or for that matter, flip on your frequency counter and see if you
>> > can obtain a signal reading from the transmitter.
>> >
>> > By the way, I'm still waiting for the name, model or maker
>> > of the device that DF a digital signal....
>> >
>> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20021230094441.570$Fs@newsreader.com...
>> >
>> >>"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelsgood.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>> >>>
>> >>>Just one....I'm waiting.....
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>If they can't be heard,how do you talk to anyone?
>> >>
>> >>--
>> >> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
>> >> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Good grief, do you think all digital signals are spread spectrum?
>> What about when television and radio go digital? Do you think they
>> will be spread spectrum? Just because a signal is digital does not
```

>> automatically make it spread spectrum.
>>
>
>
>
>Again, I repeat...
>
>Name one. Name a device, model or maker that can DF digital.
>
>Just one....I'm waiting.....
>
>
Hewlet Packard and Tecktronics quickly come to mind.

james

5572. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:06:40 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <9t831vo9k7jalru9eiqjttnkifv0t77dgs@4ax.com>
Source record: 148107

Gee

Buzzy I am surprized! you actually showed some intellegence. I really thought that you were completely devoid of being able to research and find information. That is starting to show advanced techniques of reason.

Congradulations Buzzy.

Maybe tomorrow we can learn about Electromagnetic Waves. Oh by the way that is not a branch of the Navy.

james

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 22:38:48 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>"sideband" KR8LR@cac.net wrote in message
>>
>> I see you haven't pulled your head out of your ass yet.. Pity that.
>>
>> -SSB
>
>
>
>
>Let's see now, you are an EXTRA class ham right?
>
>You've done real good, and judging from the effective
>date of your callsign and your old callsign, I'd say you're
>also one of those 5WPM Extras to boot.
>
>Well, I won't hold it against you, even 5WPM is not easy
>for some EXTRAS....... (snicker)
>
>Let's look at your web page, http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/
>
>Wow! You've built two extremely technical projects!
>
>A 2 meter antenna and a CW key. Just amazing!
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coathanger.html
>
>And the antenna was built out of a coathanger!
>How original, bet nobody's ever done that before!
>You are so smart, bet you made your mommy proud!

>
>Did you use the same piece of coathanger to build
>your (chuckle) CW key too? I've seen 6th graders do
>a better job...(really)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coatkey.html
>
>Gosh..wish I had a callsign listed on the internet
>for the whole world to see.....
>
>Guess I'll just have to stay a lowly CB'er ......
>
>
>Callsign: KR8LR Class: Extra Codes: HVIE USA
>Name: CHRISTOPHER G PETTY
>Addr1: 412 WHIPPLE
>Addr2: SOUTH LYON, MI 48178
>
>kr8lr@qsl.net
>

5573. Re: Thunderbolt 101 Linear Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:11:47 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DAhQ9.573999$QZ.82555@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 148108

That is the same thing as a 6LQ6. Plate dissipation is 30 watts, under "normal" operation! Look here http://hereford.ampr.org/cgi-bin/tube?tube=6JE6C for other specs!

"SCHULZR" <schulzr@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021231082125.26648.00000356@mb-fg.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me what the output of this linear is....has a pair of 6JE6C
> tubes.....?
>
> Roland

5574. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:18:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6726-3E11A721-81@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148113

From: buzzy@feelgood.com (Buzzy da Buzzbomb)
"sideband" KR8LR@cac.net wrote in message
I see you haven't pulled your head out of your ass yet.. Pity that.
-SSB

--
>Let's see now, you are an EXTRA class ham >right?

Uh-oh...heres where the lid attempts to get personal on one who disagrees....attacks should be forthcoming...

>You've done real good, and judging from the >effective date of your callsign and your old >callsign, I'd say you're also one of those >5WPM Extras to boot.
>Well, I won't hold it against you, even 5WPM >is not easy for some EXTRAS....... (snicker)

You wouldn't know..you're a nocode that has never had a chance to grow up.

>Let's look at your web page, >http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/

His web page doesn't have anything to do with your lies or acting like a jerkoff to those who share other views than the limited ones you hold.

>Wow! You've built two extremely technical >projects!
>A 2 meter antenna and a CW key. Just >amazing!

Just think what you could accomplish if your choker chain was released.....

>http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coathanger.html
>And the antenna was built out of a >coathanger! How original, bet nobody's ever >done that before!

Just because you are rendered incompetent doesn't mean the rest are..you are in the extreme minority where not many share your views..it is partly resposible for what destroyed you...that and your brilliant moves.

>You are so smart, bet you made your mommy >proud!

Your claws are showing.
You've been effectively neutered and subdued, and I was so concerned with the parasites you borne that cling to you I plumb forgot about your claws....we'll get them removed fiirst chance we get.

>Did you use the same piece of coathanger to >build your (chuckle) CW key too?

"Chuckle" and "snicker" don't really wear well coming from you...don't get me wrong..the imitation thing is what gives me such great dominance over my minions that you rushed to head. Is there any chance at all we may see you utter anything original or non-malicious in your canine lifetime, or have I exhausted your entire compilation (consisting of two) of witty-things-to-say?

>I've seen 6th graders do a better job...(really)

I've seen middle school kids surpass your status without exerting half the effort it takes you to stand up...recently, too.

>Gosh..wish I had a callsign listed on the >internet for the whole world to see.....

But you do...and you wouldn;t have been reduced to dressing as a woman and denying your identity if anyone had ever taken the time to teach you to act and think like a man. Someone failed big time. You weren't raised in a nuclear family..that much is blatantly obvious. You have a damaged ego problem and are befuddled as what to do next. Try this...stop your lying, and treat those as you wish to be treated. Simple,,even for you.

>Guess I'll just have to stay a lowly CB'er ...

Can't blame you for that...most folks like being near those that have educated them. Your homage has always been special.

5575. Re: Love those who HATE the Lord, who have NO connection to ancient Isreal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:29:14 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <c0a31voubco66pk0r84grm3mc5v6tbodd5@4ax.com>
Source record: 148110

Kevin

This is the tatic that the sheep use. They can not hold an intellegent conversation about religion. They are taught to let someone think for them on religious matters. The ways of the Lord are to mysterious for them to understand so it requires a learned man to teach them. They are taught to reply with certain words and quotes to tell the unbelievers and hopefully quide the wicked tothe Lord. It helps them feel that they are justified and have salvation.

You see they are nothing more than windup dolls that go forth and multiply. They are afraid to think on their own as for they may loss their salvation.

james

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 23:15:55 -0600, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I never said that I love what they do. God loves you no matter what you do
>and wants you to come to repentance. He will also give you what you want
>and send you where you deserve to go.
>
>I love "them" enough to pray for them that "they" will come to repentance.
>Do you pray for their destruction or for their repentance and salvation?
>Which would be more glorifying to God, having Sadam Osama and be killed or
>have them come to repentance and salvation? So you are "anti rev 2:9 and
>3:9?" Who are you to make the judgement as to who fits into that
>"category?" Who are you to judge a person's heart? Who are you to tell
>them that they are the "Synagogue of Satan" when you know so little about
>them other than what your preacher tells you? Is that all your church
>teaches? Romans 12/13 and Revelation 2:9, 3:9? That and the Communist
>Manifesto are the only things you talk about. I suppose that the book of 1
>Cor is not in your Bible and neither are the passages about loving those who
>hate you and loving your enemies, which Jesus commands.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
>news:3e1113d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
>> Do you LOVE THOSE who HATE the LORD Jesus Christ?
>> I am NOT "anti-ShemETIC ! Just ANTi-Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people!
>> Do you support these ANTI-Christs in removing "their" 10 Commandments from

>> the land? YOU are not a Biblical Christian, but a State Church lover of
>> those who HATE the LORD !
>> REPENT !
>> Dave!!!
>>
>> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>> > You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not a
>> true
>> > Christian. You see, ALL and I mean ALL of the first Christians were
>Jews.
>> >
>> > Apparently Dave's church doesn't teach that Jesus Himself was Jewish,
>all
>> of
>> > the apostles were Jewish, all the writers of the New Testament, with the
>> > possible exception of Luke, were Jewish. Luke was a physician, so who
>> > knows... ;) Back then believing in Jesus was a very Jewish thing to do.
>> As
>> > a mater of fact when the first gentile wanted to believe in Him man did
>> they
>> > have a problem with that! Never before had a gentile wanted to believe
>in
>> > the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob without first becoming a convert to
>> > Judaism. So, in the Book of Acts when Corneleus wanted to believe in
>the
>> > Jewish Messiah it was something completely different and God had to give
>> > Peter THREE VISIONS before he got up enough guts to visit Corneleus'
>> house.
>> > When he did all of the family were converted and there was such an
>uproar
>> > that the first church counsel had to be held to figure out what to do
>with
>> > all of the "gentiles for Jesus."
>> >
>> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>> >
>> >
>> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
>> > > Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9
>people?
>> > > They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869 went
>> > > against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed
>> "star"
>> > > instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
>> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >
>> > > <james> wrote in message
>> > news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...

>> > > >
>> > > > Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for
>prayer
>> > > > and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
>> > > > politically correct) and burning crosses.
>> > > >
>> > > > james
>> > > >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

5576. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:30:40 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <6fa31vk1mjihkonguabm4kro6c0o6qn07r@4ax.com>
Source record: 148111

Buzzy:

Now for your next trick can you disappear?

james

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 18:27:55 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:

>rec.radio.cb search results:
>
>Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author
>:twistedhed@webtv.net.
>
> Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.
>
>
>5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?
>
>You gotta be kidding...
>
>

5577. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 14:31:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6725-3E11AA36-195@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148112

From: (james)
On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:18:57 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
<buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:
Both mean that the government knows where you live and what you got. It
also means that the government can walk right into your home and take
your guns, radios and anything else that you have a " legal license "
for.
****
>I just was wondering how the FCC knows >what equiptment you by just
having a

They don't. He's lying again for attention, trying to sell that "fear"
bullshit that he's hung upon.

****
Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do you know for sure?
If history is correct, it's only a matter of time. Radios can be used
for spreading anti-government propaganda and like guns, are tools for
anarchy.
****

>No one can predict the future.

It's not really a prediction..more like common sense which the sty
dwellers rejected as per Lelnad KC8LDO claim that logic is but a mere
"belief". Talk about a twist.
It was easy to see those who joined Aaron's hate posse were destroying
their reps worldwide and to the entire hammie contingency as well, but
it was the right thing to do to warn them. Watching them bleed as they
thrashed around wildly claiming it was only Kool Ade was but a mere
bonus.
****
If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's never been
registered and it's stashed away from public view. Same goes for radio
equipment. Out of sight, out of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save
your life someday.

>IF you ever owned a gun? That's a scary >thought..you can't even master
internet >manners, personal courtesy, or the art of >communication. You
with a gun is >like,,well,,like giving a baby a razor blade to >suck
on..it's only a matter of time before you >injure yourself.
****
Well the minute you used them they are no longer out of sight and now
you become a much easier target of the state. I can visualize scenarios
where a guncould be used to save one's life. If you are the only one
with in say 100 miles that has a radio besides teh state, How is that

going to save your life?
****
And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100 percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can DF equipment.

>You really need schooled on just what >technology is capable of. The HFDFC in >Laurel, Md. will and can pinpoint your exact >coordinance in nanoseconds.
>Satellite imagery can count the few hairs left >on your balding head after you are located.

****

5578. Re: Love those who HATE the Lord, who have NO connection to ancient Isreal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 15:00:12 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <%hiQ9.511874$%m4.141607@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 148114

I think, that will probably make Dave mad that I do, that we all need to pray for Dave.

Have a happy New Year. Be kinder to your neighbor. You just might make a new friend.

Maybe this year will be a good skip talking year. Hope we can talk about good things all year long.

Have a wonderful Day.

Deb

<james> wrote in message news:c0a31voubco66pk0r84grm3mc5v6tbodd5@4ax.com...
> Kevin
>
> This is the tatic that the sheep use. They can not hold an intellegent
> conversation about religion. They are taught to let someone think for
> them on religious matters. The ways of the Lord are to mysterious for
> them to understand so it requires a learned man to teach them. They
> are taught to reply with certain words and quotes to tell the
> unbelievers and hopefully quide the wicked tothe Lord. It helps them
> feel that they are justified and have salvation.
>
> You see they are nothing more than windup dolls that go forth and
> multiply. They are afraid to think on their own as for they may loss
> their salvation.
>
> james
>
> On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 23:15:55 -0600, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE"
> <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >I never said that I love what they do. God loves you no matter what you
do
> >and wants you to come to repentance. He will also give you what you want
> >and send you where you deserve to go.
> >
> >I love "them" enough to pray for them that "they" will come to
repentance.
> >Do you pray for their destruction or for their repentance and salvation?
> >Which would be more glorifying to God, having Sadam Osama and be killed
or
> >have them come to repentance and salvation? So you are "anti rev 2:9 and

> >3:9?" Who are you to make the judgement as to who fits into that
> >"category?" Who are you to judge a person's heart? Who are you to tell
> >them that they are the "Synagogue of Satan" when you know so little about
> >them other than what your preacher tells you? Is that all your church
> >teaches? Romans 12/13 and Revelation 2:9, 3:9? That and the Communist
> >Manifesto are the only things you talk about. I suppose that the book of
1
> >Cor is not in your Bible and neither are the passages about loving those
who
> >hate you and loving your enemies, which Jesus commands.
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> >
> >"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> >news:3e1113d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> >> Do you LOVE THOSE who HATE the LORD Jesus Christ?
> >> I am NOT "anti-ShemETIC ! Just ANTi-Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 people!
> >> Do you support these ANTI-Christs in removing "their" 10 Commandments
from
> >> the land? YOU are not a Biblical Christian, but a State Church lover
of
> >> those who HATE the LORD !
> >> REPENT !
> >> Dave!!
> >>
> >> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> >> > You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not
a
> >> true
> >> > Christian. You see, ALL and I mean ALL of the first Christians were
> >Jews.
> >> >
> >> > Apparently Dave's church doesn't teach that Jesus Himself was Jewish,
> >all
> >> of
> >> > the apostles were Jewish, all the writers of the New Testament, with
the
> >> > possible exception of Luke, were Jewish. Luke was a physician, so
who
> >> > knows... ;) Back then believing in Jesus was a very Jewish thing to
do.
> >> As
> >> > a mater of fact when the first gentile wanted to believe in Him man
did
> >> they
> >> > have a problem with that! Never before had a gentile wanted to
believe
> >in

> >> > the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob without first becoming a convert
to
> >> > Judaism. So, in the Book of Acts when Corneleus wanted to believe in
> >the
> >> > Jewish Messiah it was something completely different and God had to
give
> >> > Peter THREE VISIONS before he got up enough guts to visit Corneleus'
> >> house.
> >> > When he did all of the family were converted and there was such an
> >uproar
> >> > that the first church counsel had to be held to figure out what to do
> >with
> >> > all of the "gentiles for Jesus."
> >> >
> >> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:3e0f74fd$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> >> > > Who? The pointy hat idiots who are funded by the Rev. 2:9 & 3:9
> >people?
> >> > > They are NOT Christians. Christian Gov. Brownlow in TN 1865-1869
went
> >> > > against them big time! Why burn crosses? Why not burn a 6 pointed
> >> "star"
> >> > > instead? I prefer to BURN UN flags!
> >> > > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >> > >
> >> > > <james> wrote in message
> >> > news:tp8p0vkutqc5h4tp1pucil9c66cp3r80fb@4ax.com...
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Don't you know those are Christians too! Sunday morning is for
> >prayer
> >> > > > and forgiveness. Friday nights is for ethnic cleansing (to be
> >> > > > politically correct) and burning crosses.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > james
> >> > > >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

> >
>

5579. Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 15:46:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 148115

That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious shortage of trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising Money Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!

Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with, they will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own boss"! Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely guarded secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!

They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a THICK wallet!

*Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
*Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
*Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
*Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95

And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently not available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and, "[These] video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email me at [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"

Check it out! It's hoot to read!
http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm

PH

5580. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:21:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c3a2_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148116

The problem IS that there IS a shortage of GOOD, HONEST Techs and 1 man operation CB shops in general. The problem is that these IDIOTS teach people with NO electronics background how to be LOUSY CB Shops!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com...
> That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious shortage of
> trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising Money
> Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!
>
> Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with, they
> will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own boss"!
> Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely guarded
> secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!
>
> They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a THICK
> wallet!
>
> *Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
> *Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
> *Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
> *Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95
>
> And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently not
> available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and, "[These]
> video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email me at
> [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"
>
> Check it out! It's hoot to read!
> http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm
>
> PH
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5581. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:23:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c419$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148117

I have a mount for these vehicles. You don't have to go to the extremes
with what you said!
Dave

"John Smith for example" <sendspam@ltaylor.loves.bubba> wrote in message
news:aur8na018n7@enews2.newsguy.com...
> You'll still get some good ground plane effect directly from the ground
> itself.
> I ran a cb off my motorcyle and never had a problem.
> Your idea sounds feasible, but you may need to ground the plate to the
> chasis.
> you could take a piece of plywood, cover it with metal sheeting, then
mount
> the antenna on it.
> may look odd, but if it works, why knock it?
> as far as that goes, since it's plastic, you could even mount the metal
> sheet inside under the roof.
>
>
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0212301910.7c7496c0@posting.google.com...
> > I want to use a hifi mobile cb antenna that need a ground to work but
> > the minivan I am going to use has no ground plane. I have made a home
> > made bracket from 1 4 inch L and one 12 inch straight pices of metal
> > with a mirrow/lugage rack brack. If I bloted this bracket to the
> > frame in the rear on the passanger side just on the other side of the
> > rear door will it work with a 65 inch mobile antenna at 70mph. What
> > do u guys reccommon I should do if my idea do not work, where should
> > I place a 65 inch antenna so that it will work on a minivan that the
> > body is made of plastic. I do not want to use a special firestick
> > that work with on ground plane. I want to use a 65 inch tram skyhaw
> > antenna and I am only goin to drive this car for 6 months so I need
> > something easy to install on a pontiac trans sport.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5582. Re: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:25:25 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c4a7_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148118

CAN WE believe ANYTHING the Liberal Media says?
Dave!
"Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
>
> From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
> To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
> Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
> Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM
>
>
> I have my black eyes on today
> No Party for Essie Mae
> By Colbert I. King
> Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
> The Washington Post
>
> Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the
> annals of Washington soirees as
> an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said
> and by whom. But the
> celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in
> attendance.
>
> The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former
> members of Congress, Supreme
> Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South Carolina
> senator. But the party failed to
> include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in
> Los Angeles. A widow since
> 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae
> Washington in 1925 in
> Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a relationship
> between a white Edgefield
> school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed
> "Tunch," who worked as a
> maid in the superintendent's stately house.
>
> Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe
> Essie Mae Washington was
> invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The
> fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta
> Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black

> South Carolina State College, was
> not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her father,
> the former Edgefield school
> superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S.
> history, Strom Thurmond.
>
> This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's
> alleged black daughter. Marilyn
> Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,
> included a chapter about
> then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
> named Essie Mae in "Ol'
> Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
> Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
> a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
> 10 years tracking the story, also
> wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties with
> an African American woman for
> The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
> acknowledged a
> relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
> has never issued a categorical
> denial.
>
> The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
> circumstantial evidence or to repeat
> interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
> secret relationship between
> Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
> annotation to Thurmond's life is
> worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation did
> more to stifle racial progress in
> America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
> era until Alabama Gov. George
> Wallace came along.
>
> Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
> enforced laws
> legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
> of daily life. And leading the list of
> noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> outlawing and punishing
> interracial cohabitation and marriage.
>
> Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
> a tight color line and
> strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
> Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
> called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so motivated

> that he captured the Senate
> floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a civil
> rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
> Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
> to keep the races distinct.
>
> And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
> not practice what he so
> fervently preached.
>
> The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
> Thurmond, Essie Mae and her
> mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception, arrogance
> and what it means to be
> unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom
> Thurmond. His day has come and
> gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy
> of racial ill will that live on.
>
> Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from
> people, the vestiges of which
> still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of
> the white women of the South
> even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a
> woman of the darker race,
> surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern
> white men.
>
> While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that
> used the gun and the noose to
> say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races.
> Segregation, to be sure, left
> generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized
> ambitions, even as others
> enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care
> and the like. But the official
> rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it
> possible for untold numbers of
> people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the
> pleasure of sharing, if even for a
> moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men
> and women, even as men
> like him gave themselves a pass.
>
> And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the way
> it is. But the birthday party is
> not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put
> aside our agendas and turn to
> quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.

>
> This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the
> one untouched by the joy of Ol'
> Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.
>
> e-mail: kingc@washpost.com
> © 2002 The Washington Post Company
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5583. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:28:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-108
Message-ID: <20021231112838.27479.00000359@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 148120

sounds like a BDW deal if you ask me

5584. Re: Where to mount my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:28:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c579_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148119

Lay it on da seat. Or under the dash IF room. Get another car or another
small CB instead!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aur9st$5vsm$1@news3.infoave.net...
> I have an '84 Firebird. I want to mount my Superstar 3900 in the car.
Anyone
> have any ideas on a good location? Space is tight. I had thought about
> cutting into the passenger dash and mounting it there, but there is a lot
of
> cables n modules back there.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Cowboy67
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5585. Ain't nuthin' Funny about Anti-Christs !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:41:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c876_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148122

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e0f85df$0$588$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> You see James, Dave is totally anti-semetic which also makes him not a
true
> Christian.

What you are saying here is that Those whose book IS the
"Traditions of the Elders (the TALMUD)" which Jesus spoke against, that you
are NOT a "True Christian" if you don't believe that they are "God's
People?" Ever read about "the Synagog of Satan?" Maybe you are NOT
Chrisian at all if you hold that ludicrist position! They DO NOT go by THE
BIBLE. They go by THE Babylonian Talmud. I have seen it with my own eyes
their UnBiblical teaching. They call us "CATTLE and DOGS" because we don't
subscribe to their Anti-God & Anti-Christ LIES ! And I'm not a Chrisian
because I tell you THE TRUTH about them?!
2 Chron. 7:14 was put down FOR YOU ! REPENT !
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5586. Re: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:42:29 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <55i31vgvbjfvarjuds8dsdd94aan5s0p6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 148121

Dave

What liberal media?

Follow the money trail and you will really find out who runs teh
media! It is not liberal either.

james

On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 11:25:25 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>CAN WE believe ANYTHING the Liberal Media says?
>Dave!
>"Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
>news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
>>
>> From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
>> To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
>> Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
>> Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM
>>
>>
>> I have my black eyes on today
>> No Party for Essie Mae
>> By Colbert I. King
>> Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
>> The Washington Post
>>
>> Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the
>> annals of Washington soirees as
>> an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said
>> and by whom. But the
>> celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in
>> attendance.
>>
>> The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former
>> members of Congress, Supreme
>> Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South Carolina
>> senator. But the party failed to
>> include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in
>> Los Angeles. A widow since
>> 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae
>> Washington in 1925 in
>> Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a relationship
>> between a white Edgefield

>> school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed
>> "Tunch," who worked as a
>> maid in the superintendent's stately house.
>>
>> Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe
>> Essie Mae Washington was
>> invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The
>> fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta
>> Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black
>> South Carolina State College, was
>> not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her father,
>> the former Edgefield school
>> superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S.
>> history, Strom Thurmond.
>>
>> This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's
>> alleged black daughter. Marilyn
>> Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,
>> included a chapter about
>> then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
>> named Essie Mae in "Ol'
>> Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
>> Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
>> a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
>> 10 years tracking the story, also
>> wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties with
>> an African American woman for
>> The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
>> acknowledged a
>> relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
>> has never issued a categorical
>> denial.
>>
>> The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
>> circumstantial evidence or to repeat
>> interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
>> secret relationship between
>> Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
>> annotation to Thurmond's life is
>> worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation did
>> more to stifle racial progress in
>> America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
>> era until Alabama Gov. George
>> Wallace came along.
>>
>> Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
>> enforced laws
>> legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
>> of daily life. And leading the list of
>> noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books

>> outlawing and punishing
>> interracial cohabitation and marriage.
>>
>> Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
>> a tight color line and
>> strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
>> Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
>> called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so motivated
>> that he captured the Senate
>> floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a civil
>> rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
>> Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
>> to keep the races distinct.
>>
>> And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
>> not practice what he so
>> fervently preached.
>>
>> The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
>> Thurmond, Essie Mae and her
>> mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception, arrogance
>> and what it means to be
>> unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom
>> Thurmond. His day has come and
>> gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy
>> of racial ill will that live on.
>>
>> Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from
>> people, the vestiges of which
>> still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of
>> the white women of the South
>> even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a
>> woman of the darker race,
>> surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern
>> white men.
>>
>> While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that
>> used the gun and the noose to
>> say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races.
>> Segregation, to be sure, left
>> generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized
>> ambitions, even as others
>> enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care
>> and the like. But the official
>> rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it
>> possible for untold numbers of
>> people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the
>> pleasure of sharing, if even for a
>> moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men
>> and women, even as men

>> like him gave themselves a pass.
>>
>> And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the way
>> it is. But the birthday party is
>> not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put
>> aside our agendas and turn to
>> quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.
>>
>> This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the
>> one untouched by the joy of Ol'
>> Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.
>>
>> e-mail: kingc@washpost.com
>> ï¿½ 2002 The Washington Post Company
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5587. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:42:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11c8bf$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148123

What is BDW--By da Way?!
Dave!

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021231112838.27479.00000359@mb-bk.aol.com...
> sounds like a BDW deal if you ask me
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5588. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:43:43 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212310843.7657a3c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148124

"Buzzy da Buzzbomb" <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote in message
news:<K88Q9.1526$oq.352791693@news.inreach.com>...
> rec.radio.cb search results:
>
> Searched Groups for author :Searched Groups for author
> :twistedhed@webtv.net.
>
> Results 1 - 30 of about 5,470. Search took 0.85 seconds.
>
>
> 5,470 posts in rec.radio.cb?
>
> You gotta be kidding...

no it is not a joke.........its da truth......he was running a close
second place finish to little dickie mccollum but now since little
dickie is dead,.......well he took over the number 1 spot......

5589. Re: Thou shall NOT ADULTERATE thy seed (Adultery)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:46:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212310846.94ee9ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148125

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e110c2f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> "Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> > And leading the list of
> > noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> > outlawing and punishing
> > interracial cohabitation and marriage.
>
>
> This is where Marriage Licences came form. The definition of
> licence is permission to do something illegal, unlawful a trespass or a
> tort. Marriage Licences until the 60's were used to prevent interracial
> marriage. They got rid of that, and LOOK what you have NOW ! The UN "Brown
> Man" (Tiger Woods). Is it "natural" to go interracial? Did GOD set
> "boundries?" WHY would Nations have to be "healed" in Rev. 21? What would
> mess them up? A nation use to be A RACE of people. Some countries still
> are, like Korea. Something to think about! WHO is pushing for people to go
> interracial? !
> Dave!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

i don't know dave.......you had better check out the comedy show on
bet and tell me if you wouldn't throw down on some of those gorgeous
brown sugar babes.............i would be first in line to get my
marriage license and then proceed to get hen pecked........no doubt
about it........

5590. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:52:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E11CB51.8@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148143

Royce Dean wrote:
> Certainly you can DF a digital signal. The only
> difference between an analog xmtr and a digital xmtr is how it's
> modulated. The RF section on each xmtr is nearly identical (a digital
> xmtr has to be more linear than it's analog counterpart), so DF'ing the
> signal would be no trouble. You would need a digital receiver for a
> digital transmission.

Buzzyboy thinks when you modulate with digital, it suddenly
becomes a spread spectrum signal.

5591. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:55:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E11CBEC.4030904@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148144

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> Current news is that novices will be once again granted privileges
> on 2 meters. That means no code test and a theory test so simple
> a 3rd grader could pass it.

Guess that leaves you out. Anyone who thinks a digital signal
can't be dfed should say a lot about your technical disabilities.

5592. Re: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:55:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0212310855.422f1c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148126

"Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:<1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>...
> From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
> To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
> Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
> Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM
>
>
> I have my black eyes on today
> No Party for Essie Mae
> By Colbert I. King
> Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
> The Washington Post
>
> Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the
> annals of Washington soirees as
> an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said
> and by whom. But the
> celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in
> attendance.
>
> The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former
> members of Congress, Supreme
> Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South Carolina
> senator. But the party failed to
> include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in
> Los Angeles. A widow since
> 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae
> Washington in 1925 in
> Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a relationship
> between a white Edgefield
> school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed
> "Tunch," who worked as a
> maid in the superintendent's stately house.
>
> Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe
> Essie Mae Washington was
> invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The
> fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta
> Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black
> South Carolina State College, was
> not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her father,
> the former Edgefield school
> superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S.

> history, Strom Thurmond.
>
> This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's
> alleged black daughter. Marilyn
> Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,
> included a chapter about
> then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
> named Essie Mae in "Ol'
> Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
> Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
> a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
> 10 years tracking the story, also
> wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties with
> an African American woman for
> The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
> acknowledged a
> relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
> has never issued a categorical
> denial.
>
> The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
> circumstantial evidence or to repeat
> interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
> secret relationship between
> Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
> annotation to Thurmond's life is
> worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation did
> more to stifle racial progress in
> America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
> era until Alabama Gov. George
> Wallace came along.
>
> Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
> enforced laws
> legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
> of daily life. And leading the list of
> noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> outlawing and punishing
> interracial cohabitation and marriage.
>
> Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
> a tight color line and
> strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
> Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
> called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so motivated
> that he captured the Senate
> floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a civil
> rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
> Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
> to keep the races distinct.

>
> And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
> not practice what he so
> fervently preached.
>
> The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
> Thurmond, Essie Mae and her
> mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception, arrogance
> and what it means to be
> unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom
> Thurmond. His day has come and
> gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy
> of racial ill will that live on.
>
> Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from
> people, the vestiges of which
> still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of
> the white women of the South
> even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a
> woman of the darker race,
> surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern
> white men.
>
> While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that
> used the gun and the noose to
> say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races.
> Segregation, to be sure, left
> generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized
> ambitions, even as others
> enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care
> and the like. But the official
> rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it
> possible for untold numbers of
> people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the
> pleasure of sharing, if even for a
> moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men
> and women, even as men
> like him gave themselves a pass.
>
> And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the way
> it is. But the birthday party is
> not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put
> aside our agendas and turn to
> quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.
>
> This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the
> one untouched by the joy of Ol'
> Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.
>
> e-mail: kingc@washpost.com

> ï¿½ 2002 The Washington Post Company

well this all wouldn't surprise me one bit..........citizenship is a mother isn't it??? you wonder why we have these kinds of men leading us..........because we are confortable having them there.........because they.................look like us.............is anyone paying attention..............it is important to be observant and aware and most of all be informed............this guy did something like this and was elected to us government??? and he stayed there forever??? what does that say about us???? why can't john q public be elected.??? some guy who goes to church, doesn't do all of this kind of crap, lives a decent life, and really cares about the american people and not himself.......???? that is what i want to know..........i am sick and tired of the failures of these men who lead us........sick...................

5593. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 16:57:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E11CC85.7050007@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 148145

Buzzyboy da Buzzbombed wrote:

>
> You have no idea how stupid it makes you look when you can't spell.

You have no idea how stupit it makes you look when you make dumb
statements that a digital signal cannot be dfed.

>
> Now go find a hobby that best suits your limited intelligence.

No go take a reading course and then find a good book on basic
radio theory and see if you can pick up something useful.

5594. Re: Didn't Palomar make a Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:02:01 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231120201.15364.00000372@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 148127

i had a small palomar radio about 7yrs ago...it was half the size of a cobra 29 ..ran 26mhz to about 28..i forgot the mode,l i think it was ft747 or something like that ..sorta looked like a miniature rci2950

5595. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:28:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E11D3D8.1010306@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148128

Power House,
Yes! It's the de Vrie of the CB world. Ain't
progress sum'pin else?
'Doc

5596. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:34:05 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E11D50D.7040100@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148129

DJ,
It ought to work. but seems like a lot of trouble
for no reason. Simply ground to one of the metal
cross-members under your plastic roof.
'Doc

5597. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:34:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <ltdoc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E11D51D.4050108@yahoo.com>
Source record: 148130

DJ,
It ought to work, but seems like a lot of trouble
for no reason. Simply ground to one of the metal
cross-members under your plastic roof.
'Doc

5598. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:42:34 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <eylQ9.1559$Qb2.360056931@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148133

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
--
Why? That's an average of a little more than 4 posts per day for the
time I've been here..a total of a few minutes each day.......you
probably spend 24 times the amount online I do.
Besides, If you weren't so yellow and signed your call everytime you
posted, I would pale in comparison. Do you not find it odd that you have
now been reduced to such statistical endeavors merely because I chose to
point out your lie? Tsk Tsk....you wear it well.

Ok, I'm a liar and a cheat and bullshit artist but you take the cake
for having no life...spending thousands of hours online in one
single newsgroup, now that's totally bizzare....

Go find a girlfriend, develop a healthy desire for sex. You'll live longer,
happier and it might just improve your communications skills. Take a
walk, or if you can't walk, have somebody push you down the street.
Go outside. See the sky, breathe the air...there really is something
better then spending your entire life online....

5599. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:44:52 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <1ol31vcgu2q6ltfcj1jje15ijiim8p1u5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 148131

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 19:26:58 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:

>
>
>Dave,
> I can recomend one thing you can do to
>improve this NG. Do you really want to
>hear it?
> 'Doc
****

Hell I am not bashful.

1) get a spell checker

2) Learn the English language

3) Learn to stand on your two feet and think for yourself.

james

5600. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:46:18 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <KBlQ9.1560$8c2.360077380@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148134

<james> jdaggett@gate.net wrote in message:

> Buzzy:
>
> Now for your next trick can you disappear?
>
> james
>

James,

Sent you an email, open it and click on the attachment.
or just wait, it will self-execute the next time you boot up.

Enjoy

5601. Happy New Year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:46:56 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3e11d7f8.26963761@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 148135

To all.

5602. Re: YOU can make it too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:50:18 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <n1m31voe7kivtmttqgmnnc0kll349eipe2@4ax.com>
Source record: 148132

Dave:

Even an person like you can read. Reading is not difficult. The
question is can you comprehend that which you read? Or do you let
someone think for you and then tell what you read? Can you form a
thought or an opinion on your own?

james

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:30:58 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>WHY not? I believe the Bible; the Apostles creed; I've repented of my sins
>and BELIEVED THE GOSPEL (the GOOD news) as saving me from the BAD news, that
>I was going to HELL (Lake of FIRE). My advice to you is to REPENT of your
>SINS (turn from them and be SORRY for them), believe that Jesus took on
>himself YOUR payment for them, and start reading a King James Bible. It
>will be the best thing you ever did!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
>news:3E0CF252.8080609@mailcity.com...
>>
>>
>> Dave or Debby wrote:
>> > Most all commies have FILTHY potty mouths; it is their religion and
>> > upbringing that does it to them. Do you think they will ever repent so
>they
>> > can make it to Heaven?
>> > Dave!
>>
>>
>> If you are going to be there, probably not.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5603. MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:55:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148136

Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim could get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed for "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for centuries, improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this Mooselim finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries! When they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU !
Convert or they will piece you out!
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5604. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 17:57:11 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <WLIQ9.1563$ye2.360235066@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148146

<james> jdaggett@gate.net wrote in message

> Hewlet Packard and Tecktronics quickly come to mind.
>
> james
>

What models?

5605. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:02:27 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <SQlQ9.1564$ze2.360236093@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148137

Current news is that novices will be once again granted privileges
on 2 meters. That means no code test and a theory test so simple
a 3rd grader could pass it.

But no skip, or very little of it on 2 meters. And much easier for the
FCC to monitor and send you violation notices.

Won't be long before parts of the ham radio spectrum will be
license free just to help end the mess on 27mhz.

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E11962F.F494FC1B@listed.here...
> You're jealous because you can't pass the test to get on 2 meter CB.

5606. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:06:09 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <kUIQ9.1565$Og2.360376377@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148140

James,

Learn to spell or learn to how to use a spellchecker before you post.

You have no idea how stupid it makes you look when you can't spell.

Now go find a hobby that best suits your limited intelligence.

<james> wrote in message
> Gee
>
> Buzzy I am surprized! you actualy showed some intellegence. I really
> thought that you were completely devoid of being able to research and
> find information. That is starting to show advanced techniques of
> reason.
>
> Congradulations Buzzy.
>
> Maybe tomorow we can larn about Electronagnetic Waves. Oh by the way
> that is not a branch of the Navy.
>
> james

5607. Re: Happy New Year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:10:17 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <d4lQ9.83461$Nz5.2477628@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 148138

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3e11d7f8.26963761@news.optonline.net...
> To all.

Back at ya, man!

5608. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:10:47 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <GYlQ9.1566$7h2.360397957@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148139

James,

Learn to spell or learn to how to use a spellchecker before you post.

You have no idea how stupid it makes you look when you can't spell.

Now go find a hobby that best suits your limited intelligence.

Your pal, Buzzy

<james> wrote in message news:ce731vgdmdue1qcsdq6k3sj2g64pioia3g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:18:57 -0800, "Buzzy da Buzzbomb"
> <buzzy@feelgood.com> wrote:
>
> >Both mean that the government knows where you live
> >and what you got. It also means that the government
> >can walk right into your home and take your guns, radios
> >and anything else that you have a " legal license " for.
> >
> **********
> I just was wondering how the FCC knows what equiptment you by just
> having a lincense? The last time I saw there were no sections that
> required you to present an equiptment list on the application for
> license. As for gun registration well ....
>
> **********.
> >Sure, you can say it would never happen here but do
> >you know for sure? If history is correct, it's only a matter
> >of time. Radios can be used for spreading anti-government
> >propaganda and like guns, are tools for anarchy.
> >
> **********
> No one can predict the future.
>
> **********
> >If I ever owned a gun (heh heh), I would make sure it's
> >never been registered and it's stashed away from public
> >view. Same goes for radio equipment. Out of sight, out
> >of mind. Keep a low profile, it will save your life someday.
> >
> **********
>
> Well the minute you used them they are no longer out of sight and now
> you become a much easier target of the state. I can visualize
> scenarios where a guncould be used to save one's life. If you are the
> only one with in say 100 miles that has a radio besides teh state, How

> is that going to save your life?
>
> **********
> >And in regards to direction finding techniques, it's a simple
> >matter to create false and deceptive signals to fool any DF
> >device. The best defense against DF hunters is to go 100
> >percent digital. The new digital mode radios and ecryption
> >devices are impossible to detect. Just like Spread Spectrum
> >cordless phones. Scanners can't hear em and neither can
> >DF equipment.
> >
> **********
>
> Evidentially you need a little more education of direction finding and
> it's technique. To find someone you do not have to understand what
> they are saying, just find the transmitter. Finding transmitters is
> not as imposible as you think using digital prootcol.
>
> So go ahead and think you are safe. It will be idiots like you that go
> in teh beginning.
>
> james
>

5609. Rec.radio.cb FAQ att: twistedhed@webtv.net please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:25:04 UTC
From: Buzzy da Buzzbomb <buzzy@feelgood.com>
Message-ID: <iamQ9.1567$Bi2.360494119@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 148141

Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 1: Introduction)

This is a regular posting of frequently-asked questions (FAQ) on rec.radio.cb. It is intended to summarize the more common questions on this newsgroup and to help beginners get started. This saves network bandwidth and tries to maintain a good signal-to-noise ratio in the discussions.

The FAQ cannot always prevent people from posting repetitive questions. But even if hundreds of questions get posted, it saves you from having to answer them hundreds of times. Also, a friendly pointer to the FAQ in your first answer can help that person refer to the FAQ in the future. That is when we can begin to get a real savings of network bandwidth.

To keep the size of each article down, the FAQ has been split into 4 parts:

Part 1: Introduction

Part 2: Getting Started

Part 3: Communication

Part 4: Technical Trivia

If you are a new reader on rec.radio.cb, we suggest that you print and review the FAQ articles. If you are new to Usenet, please also see the news.announce.newusers newsgroup before posting any articles.

THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions. Corrections and updates are welcome.

Acknowledgements

The following people are recognized for their contributions (by e-mail or news) that were included in the rec.radio.cb FAQ: Origional FAQ Coordinators:

Jean-Marc Bonnaudet jeanmarc@calix.greenie.muc.de (Munich, Germany)
Yumeto Funahashi 7K2EUP funa@sramha.sra.co.jp (Saitama, Wakoh, Japan)
Ian Kluft KO6YQ (Santa Clara, CA, USA)
Paul W Schleck K3FU (Omaha, NE, USA)

Technical Contributors:

Michael Larish KD6CTZ (nomad@ecst.csuchico.edu, Chico, CA, USA)
- helped write the original version of the FAQ
- served as an editor on the project for 3 years

Paul Zander AA6PZ (paulz@hpspdla.spd.hp.com, Palo Alto, CA, USA)
- comments and input on the SWR discussion
Bob Myers KC0EW (myers@fc.hp.com, Fort Collins, CO, USA)
- news article on antenna grounding in mobile installations
Bret Musser (bjm@f.gp.cs.cmu.edu, Pittsburgh, PA, USA)
- news article on reduction of engine noise in mobile installations
Benn Kobb KC5CW (bkobb@access.digex.com, Houston, TX, USA)
- information on the General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS)
Bob Witte KB0CY (bob@col.hp.com, Colorado Springs, CO, USA)
- additional info on GMRS - frequencies, fees, useful contact organization

International/Regulations Contributors:

Neil Robertson (conjgr@lut.ac.uk, Leicestershire, UK)
- original info on CB in the UK
Rob Adams (topfm@darwin.ntu.edu.AU, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia)
- CB in Australia (operating regulations)
Tetsuo Kobayashi JH8LEF (tetsuo@nttica.ntt.jp, Atsugi-city, Japan)
- CB in Japan
Patrick Wendt (root@chamber.in-berlin.de, Berlin, Germany)
- CB in Germany
Martin Grundy (grundy@rtf.bt.co.uk, Brighton, UK)
- sent faxes of UK CB information sheets
- included summary of CEPT standards and the list of conforming countries
Nick Gibbs (nick@bean.apana.org.au, Stirling, South Australia, Australia)
- CB in Australia (licensing and repeaters, type acceptance)
Jean-Claude Michot (jcmichot@teaser.com, Sevres, France)
- update for CB regulations in France
Jon Clarke (jonc@status.gen.nz, Parnell, Auckland, New Zealand)
- CB in New Zealand
Vassili Leonov (vassili@sbcs.sunysb.edu, Stony Brook, New York, USA)
- CB in Russia
Mike D'Urso (mike%40790.decnet@icnucevx.cnuce.cnr.it, Salerno, Italy)
- CB in Italy
Zbigniew Chamski (Zbigniew.Chamski@irisa.fr, France)
- update for new regulations and tax in France
Steve Perry (zonic@enterprise.net)
- update for equipment and license requirements in the UK.
Avatar (141085@alfa.ist.utl.pt)
- update for license and frequency requirements in Portugal
Andre' Mulder (psam@giraffe.ru.ac.za)
- provided information on South Africa

Notes on "Netiquette"

With a growing user community, the rec.radio.cb newsgroup will operate more efficiently if the following netiquette guidelines are used. Please take them seriously:

* When posting a followup article, ALWAYS try to minimize the number of lines of quoted material from the original article. But DO post something so readers will know what you are referring to.

* As a general rule when you try to determine whether to reply to someone by e-mail or with a followup article, remember to "praise in public, criticize in private." It's OK to disagree on the content but be careful not to attack the person with whom you disagree. Also, be careful with your use of the word "you" when posting a follow-up article. Many unnecessary flame wars have started that way.

* Use a descriptive subject. For example, "Antennas" covers a vary large area so some better choices might be, for example, "Antenna tuning" "Antenna installation question" or "Antenna theory question". Also, if a discussion wanders off the original subject, you should modify the subject of your message to match the new topic. For example:

Subject: Re: co-phasing (was: truckers with 2 antennas) or, even better: Subject: Re: co-phasing * Before answering a question, check if the FAQ adequately answers it or if someone else already answered it. If you have more to add, make sure to reference either the FAQ or the related articles. * If a user posts a question which is directly answered by the FAQ, there is no need to post an answer - the information is already available on the newsgroup. Instead, just send an e-mail message which politely explains where to find the FAQ. They will probably appreciate it if you include the answer to their question. (Don't send a "nastygram" - that would just discourage future participation.)

* Pay attention to the size of your audience - use the "Distribution:" header. If you leave it blank, your message will go to every civilized country in the world and occupy disk space in all news systems in all those places. If that's what you intend, it's fine but be aware that CB is not the same everywhere. Otherwise, use a distribution for your continent or country as appropriate for the subject of the message. For example, "na" (North America) "europe" "us" "uk" "japan" "france" etc. can be used similar to the following example: Distribution: usa or substitute your continent or country. Also, this regional distribution works for each state of the USA - just use the two-letter postal code for your state (i.e. ca, ne, co, pa, etc.) * If you have an item for sale, please limit the distribution area so that, for example, an article about a radio for sale in New Jersey won't get to California or Europe. If you wish, you may cross-post your for-sale article to rec.radio.swap.

* Discussion on any UseNet newsgroup is expected to be within the chartered subject of the group - there is no such thing as unlimited discussion here. This newsgroup is chartered for discussion of all aspects of CB radio. Though some topics are clearly outside these bounds, use some good judgement when talking about borderline cases. Please take seriously any complaints about the appropriateness of a subject.

Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 2: Getting Started)
THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions. Corrections and updates are welcome.

* What is CB?

CB stands for "Citizen's Band" radio. It got that name because its main idea is to be a kind of radio anyone can use. Depending on the country you live in, it is either a minimally-regulated or an unregulated set of radio channels used for short-range (under 150 miles) communications. Many people use CB radios in their vehicles, homes or both.

This UseNet newsgroup "rec.radio.cb" is all about CB radio. The FAQ also covers non-licensed or minimally-regulated radio bands internationally. Readers can expect to find discussions, questions, and answers about all uses of CB and related bands here. There are also other newsgroups for other radio applications, such as rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.broadcasting, and several subgroups of rec.radio.amateur, for amateur or "ham" radio. Radios either wanted or for sale are the subject of rec.radio.swap. (Though, an article about a CB radio for sale should be cross-posted to rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.swap with a distribution limited to your city, state or region.)

* Do I need a license to operate a CB radio?

The answer to this question depends on the country you reside in. We currently have information on the USA, Australia, New Zealand, Japan, the UK, France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, South Africa, and Russia. Note that all of these are from e-mail reports contributed by readers. As regulations change, we can only keep up when people notify us. Please check your local regulations because you are responsible to know and obey them.

--- in the USA You are no longer required to have a license to operate a CB radio in the United States. The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) did at one time require a Class "D" license for for Citizen's Band which required nothing more than filling out a form and sending it in to the FCC with the license fee. The FCC then sent you a call sign which might look like "KPS 2720" or something similar. During the CB craze in the mid-70's, the FCC decided that the paperwork burden outweighed the benefits and put CB under blanket authorization.

The FCC permits the use of the following to identify yourself:

1. Your old Class D callsign, if you have one.
2. K, your first and last initials, and your zip code (i.e. KPS 68123)
3. your name (i.e. "Paul")
4. some unique nickname or Handle (i.e. "Cobra", "Rubber Duck", etc.)

A rule change in May 1992 now allows one-way transmissions about highway conditions on CB. This was intended to allow local authorities to use unattended audio warnings about road construction or other hazards.

In the USA, there are two additional radio bands besides CB which are intended for use with minimal regulation by individuals.
1. GMRS, the General Mobile Radio Service, is located in the 460-470 MHz band. A license is required. More information is included in Part 4.
2. The FCC has recently opened up the 31.0-31.3 GHz microwave band to licensed users of several fixed and mobile radio services including GMRS.
3. The Family Radio Service, located in the vicinity of the GMRS. Low power

Handheld operation only. No license required.

--- in Canada
No license is required. You may identify yourself by your name or a handle
of your choice.

--- in Japan
No license is required. You may identify yourself by your name or a handle
of your choice.

--- in Australia
No license is required for 27 Mhz CB in Australia.

--- in New Zealand
A license is required in New Zealand. A callsign will be issued with your
license. You must identify yourself by at least your callsign.

Callsigns look like AK1122 ("Auckland 1122") or WN63 ("Wellignton 63".)
There are 16 callsign districts using a 2- to 4-letter code for the region
name and the radio station number. Some callsigns may be issued for
experimental use and will have an "X" after the district name (i.e. AKX1
"Auckland Experimental 1".)

--- in the UK
A license is required. For more information, contact:
Queries about the issue or renewal of a licence:
Subscription Services Ltd,
Radio Licensing Centre,
P.O. Box 885,
Bristol,
BS99 5LG.
Tel: (+44) 0117 925 8333

Queries about C.B. policy:
Amature and Citizens' Band Radio Unit,
Radiocommunications Agency,
11N/27.2,
New King's Beam House,
22 Upper Ground,
London,
SE1 9SA.
Tel: (+44) 0171 211 0160
(+44) 0171 211 0159
(+44) 0171 211 0158

The CB Licence currently costs 15 UK Pounds and needs to be renewed each
year.
You can be prosecuted is you operate a CB without a valid Licence.
Application forms are available from Subscription Services Ltd, who issue
licences on behalf of the Radiocommunications Agency. Forms are also
available from the radiocommunications agency (Particularly if bulk supplies
of application forms are required). There is also a CB Information sheet
available from the agency and this is issued upon request, free of charge.

It includes information on equipment regulations and what you can and cannot do. If more information on any aspect of CB Radio is required, both the Agency= and SSL may be contacted.

The UK will honor licenses from other countries using CEPT-approved radios. (See the CB Frequencies section below on CEPT for more information.) Your license may be used under the terms from the country which issued it.

--- in France
As of 1993, France no longer requires a license for you to operate a CB. But there is a 296.50FF tax on CB radios which is close to the cost of the old license. The main difference is that the old license was good for 5 years. Now you will pay the tax every time you buy a radio.

Like most (or possibly all) of Europe, France does not give you a callsign. So you may identify yourself by your name or a handle of your choice.

France will honor licenses from other countries using CEPT-approved radios. Your license may be used under the terms from the country which issued it.

--- in Germany
No license is required to operate FM CB in Germany. A license, costing 5DM per month is required if you plan to operate both AM and FM.

--- in Italy
A license is required to operate a CB in Italy. Licenses may be obtained from Ministero PP.TT. You will need to provide them with your CB's model, serial number, and certification number. They will also want to know your "handle" (if you go by a fictitious name on the radio) and whether you want your license to apply to other members of your family. The total cost is (equivalent to) US$50 plus $10 per year. You must notify the PP.TT if you sell your radio or buy a new one because the license applies to a person and a radio. Our reporter in Italy says it takes about 2-3 months for the license to arrive. It is valid for 10 years.

--- in Portugal
A license is required A license is good for 2 years, but licenses issued after the year 2000 will be valid for 5 years. "Handles" may be used, but you must use your ID when asked.

--- in Russia
A license is required. You must identify yourself by the callsign that is issued with your license. Callsigns look like "3A4157".

--- in South Africa
A license is required. they are valid for a year and are renewable.

--- notes for all countries
There are rules and regulations that must be complied with while using CB, no matter which country you live in. If you don't have a list of those rules (which most likely were included with your CB), you will probably find them at any store that sells CB radios. If your government issues a callsign to you, you must identify yourself at least with that callsign.

* What are the CB Frequencies?

The answer to this question depends on the country you reside in. We currently have information on Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Luxembourg, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Norway, Russia, Sweden, the UK, the USA, and Vatican City.

--- in the USA
CB transmitters use the operator's choice of AM (amplitude modulation) (with 4 watts maximum power) or single sideband (with 12 watts maximum power) on the following frequencies:

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description

26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB Citizen's Band (CB)

Special-use channels:

9 - emergency, monitored in some areas by "REACT", a volunteer group coordinated by the local Sheriff's office (not in all areas), and the State Highway Patrol.
17 - unofficial "trucker's" channel (California & western states.)
19 - official "trucker's" channel for traffic, weather and speed trap advisories.
16, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 - Often are used for single sideband (SSB) activity, by Gentlemen's agreement.

Note that US regulations disallow "DX" long-distance communications (over 150 miles in this case) with CB. However, directional antennas may be used to enhance communications within the legal range. (Of course, if your signal "skips" off of the ionosphere, it can't be helped).

--- in Canada
The CB frequencies, modulation, and power limits are the same as the 26-27 MHz CB band in the USA. Radios must be type accepted for use in Canada.

--- in Australia
Australia has 2 bands for CB. The use of AM or FM depends on the band.

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description

26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB 40 channels, same frequencies as USA
max power: 4W AM, 12W SSB (same as USA)
476.500 - 477.475 FM 40 channels, .025 MHz (25 kHz) spacing
max power: 5W

We've been told there are "no antenna restrictions" though you'll probably need to double-check with local regulations if you're thinking of putting up a tower.

Radios for the Australian 26MHz band are essentially the same as radios made for the USA. However, all CB radios used in Australia (either band) must be type accepted by the Department of Transport and Communications (DOTAC).

The following AM/SSB band frequencies have special uses in Australia:
Channel 8 Road channel (truckers)
Channel 9 Emergency (same as USA)
Channel 11 AM calling
Channel 16/LSB SSB calling, also used for DX (distance)
Channel 35/LSB unofficial additional SSB calling, also for DX

A unique feature of Australia's 476-477 MHz band is that repeaters are allowed. Inputs are on Channels 31-38 and outputs are on Channels 1-8. A repeater must use the proper channel pair, i.e. 1/31 to 8/38. The maximum power output for a repeater is 21W input. Note: as with repeaters on other bands, do not begin to operate one without obtaining and fully understanding the applicable regulations. Additional information about the technical aspects repeater operation is available from amateur (Ham) radio sources such as the ARRL Handbook.

-- in New Zealand
The CB frequencies, modulation, and power limits are the same as the 26-27 MHz CB band in the USA and Australia.

The following frequencies have special uses in New Zealand:
Channel 5 European call (USB)
Channel 11 truckers' channel (AM)
Channel 15 AM calling
Channel 35 SSB calling

--- in Japan
Japan has 3 radio bands that do not require licenses. Two of them are limited to extremely short-range use because of low power limits. The other, with maximum power output of 5 watts, is more like CB as it is known in North America, Europe and Australia.

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description

26.968 - 27.144 AM (no SSB) "Citizen's Radio" maximum power 0.5W
channels 1-8 on 26.968, 26.967, 27.040, 27.080, 27.088, 27.112, 27.120, and 27.144.
Other channels in between these are assigned to fishing vessels (with 1W max power).
421.8125 - 422.300 FM "Specific Low Power Radio", also known as "UHF CB" maximum power 0.01W (10mW)
newly-authorized in 1989, mostly used for handy-talkies and ski patrols, 9 duplex channels with 12.5kHz spacing 421.8125/440.2625 ... 421.9125/440.3625
9 simplex channels with 12.5 kHz spacing 422.2000 ... 422.3000

Note: other frequencies in this band are allocated for business use:
903.0125-904.9875 FM "Personal Radio" maximum power 5W
158 channels with 12.5 kHz spacing
External antennas are permitted.
Radios must be equipped with a control ROM for automatic ID.

As in Europe, made-for-the-USA CB radios are illegal in Japan. There have been cases where such radios have caused interference with maritime emergency traffic.

--- in countries subscribing to the European CEPT conference The following countries have implemented the CEPT (Conference of European Postal and Telecommunications administrations) recommendations T/R 20-02 and T/R 20-07 for CB radios: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus (see Note 1), Denmark (see Note 2), Finland France, Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal (see Note 2), Norway (see Note 2), Sweden, United Kingdom, and Vatican City.

Notes:
1) as of last report, confirmation was pending in Cyprus
2) special markings are required on the radios so, for example, UK radios marked "CEPT PR 27 GB" are legal but ones marked "PR 27 GB" are not. Radios from other countries may also be similarly affected.

CEPT approval is significant because, with the exceptions listed above, CEPT- approved CBs from any one of the countries listed above can be used in any other on the list.

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
26.965 - 27.405 FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA 4W max power

If you travel to another CEPT-conforming country, you may use your CB under the terms of your license from your own country. Be aware that only FM is CEPT-approved so AM and SSB may not be legal to use once you cross the border.

Important note: CEPT-approved CB radios are not legal in the USA and made-for- the-USA CB radios are not legal anywhere in Europe. The difference is because CEPT radios use FM and American radios use AM or SSB. There are no agreements allowing a radio which is type-accepted in North America to be used in Europe or vice versa.

Channel 19 appears to be the calling channel in most CEPT-conforming countries. When exceptions are known, they are listed specifically for the country.

--- in the UK
The UK has 3 bands for CB as follows:
frequencies (MHz) modulation description 26.965 - 27.405 FM 40 channels, same frequencies as CEPT & USA 4W max power. Radios using this band are marked "PR 27 GB" or "CEPT PR 27 GB" and are CEPT-approved.
27.6 - 27.99 FM 40 channels, 0.01 MHz (10 kHz) spacing 4W max power. Radios using this band are marked "27/81-UK" and are only legal in the UK
934.0125-934.9625 FM 20 channels, 0.05 MHz (50 kHz) spacing 4W max power. Radios using this band are marked "CB 934/81" and are only legal in the UK, no new radios of this type are being made but existing equipment may continue to be used legally.
Antennas must be omnidirectional - beams and yagis are prohibited. Antennas must stand vertically, no higher than 6.85m (not including ground plane.)

AM and FM are not compatible so a US-legal CB cannot communicate with any UK-legal CB even though they may use the same frequencies. And each is illegal on the others' soil so this only prevents use of atmospheric skip between them. (See Part 4.)

--- in France
France uses the same frequencies (in 40 channels) as CEPT and the USA. AM, FM and SSB are permitted. The only legal CB radios in France are those which are approved by France Telecom or visiting CEPT-approved radios. Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB/FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA max power: 1W AM, 4W SSB, 4W FM

Channel 9 AM used to be for emergencies but was deregulated because no one monitored it for that purpose.
Channel 19 AM is the calling channel (used to establish contact then move to another channel.)
Channel 11 FM is used for DX/skip long-distance contacts.
Channel 27 AM is sometimes used as a calling channel for base stations (at home.) AM is the most commonly-used modulation method in France.

When traveling outside France, remember that most countries in the CEPT conference do not permit the use of AM or SSB. France has adopted the CEPT rules for CB in Europe - see below for more information.

--- in Germany
Germany also uses the same frequencies as CEPT and the USA. AM and FM are the only legal modes of modulation. (SSB is not allowed.) AM is only allowed on some channels.

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
26.965 - 27.405 AM/FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA
max power: 1W AM and 4W FM
AM is allowed on Channels 4-15
FM is allowed on all 40 channels
SSB is not permitted
All signals must have vertical polarity.

Channel 4 FM is the calling channel. FM is the more commonly-used modulation method in Germany.

Notes: to meet the vertical polarity requirement, antennas must stand vertically. Also, tone-call transceivers are allowed so you can use touch tones to call someone if they are within receiving range and have a radio that will recognize the tones.

When traveling outside Germany, remember that most countries in the CEPT conference do not permit the use of AM. Germany has adopted the CEPT rules for CB in Europe - see below for more information.

--- in Italy
Italy also uses the same frequencies as CEPT and the USA. AM, FM, and SSB are permitted.

Channel 9 is recommended as an emergency channel. Truckers often use Channel 5 on AM. It has been reported that Q-codes are popular in Italy. Our reporters in both Italy and Germany have said that many Italian CB'ers can be heard using linear amplifiers even though they are illegal.

--- In Portugal
Portugal after the year 2000 will only allow CEPT approved radios on the abovementioned CEPT bandplan. Currently AM, FM, and SSB are permitted. There is no distance limit, however 5 watts power output is the limit. Amplifiers are forbidden, as well as directional antennas.
Common channel usage:
Ch. 9: Emergencies
Ch.11: AM calling
Ch 34 Truckers (ssb)
Ch 6 : Taxicabs

--- in Russia
CB radios in Russia use the same frequencies as the USA and CEPT (European) countries. AM and FM are both permitted. The maximum transmit power is 5 watts. Our reporter from Russia says there is no restriction on the distance of communications so, technically, use of skip (see Part 4) can be assumed to be legal.

Because both AM and FM are legal, Russian CB radios can communicate with European radios (in FM) and with North American or Australian radios (in AM.)

--- in South Africa
South Africa allows AM and SSB on nine channels. These correspond to channels 19-27 on the US CB bandplan. 4 W AM and 12W SSB are authorized. Unity gain antennas are required. Amplifiers and Long-distance communications are forbidden.
Calling channel:US 19
Emergency Channel:US 21
SSB use is typically on channels US 26 & 27

--- in other countries
This is the "grab bag" section. We have incomplete information on these countries but we'll tell you what we've heard. We'll add more information as people send it to us. (If you know more, please tell us!)

* Switzerland uses FM on the same frequencies as CEPT but CBs do not appear to be very common there.

* Channel 19 is the calling channel in Poland. Some probably-outdated info said that this was also the case in Czechoslovakia but that may not necessarily be true under the new governments of the Czech Republic and Slovakia.

* The calling channels in Austria are 4 AM and 9 AM.

* From California, we can hear a lot of CB activity in Mexico via skip but no one has provided us with any details yet. However, we know that Mexico uses AM on the same frequencies as the USA's 27 Mhz CB band.

In every country we know of where CB is legal, radios must be type-accepted, meaning they must have been manufactured specifically for use with one or more that country. The exception is CEPT-approved radios, which may be used in any country which has implemented the conference's recommendations.

* What are the common brands of CB radios?

Some of the more common brands that you will find out in the USA market are as follows: Uniden, Cobra, Midland, Realistic (Radio Shack), Archer (Radio Shack) and others. Made-for-the-USA CB radios are also legal in Canada and Australia though they must be properly labeled ("type accepted") for sale and use in those countries.

In France some common brands of radios are President and Midland. These are somewhat similar to Germany but the President brand is known as "Stabo" there.

In Italy, Midland, Lafayette, and President are among the popular brand names.

We currently don't have any corresponding information for other countries. Note that radios must be marked properly for sale in your country, even in countries using American or CEPT (European) radios.

* What should I consider when choosing a location for my antenna?

The answer to this question differs greatly between base and mobile installations. Each will be answered separately.

In mobile installations, things to consider are whether you're willing to drill holes or want to use a magnet mount, gutter mount, trunk mount, or a bumper mount. If you say "no" to all of those (which would probably mean you have a very nice car) your list of options is pretty short - use a handheld with a rubber duck antenna.

Otherwise, you can start making choices with the following things in mind: 1) the closer your antenna is to the top & center of the vehicle, the better. So the roof is better than the rain gutter or trunk and all of those are far better than the bumper. But they'll all work.

2) a drilled/permanent mount is better than a magnet mount in the same location. (This is so that the antenna gets a better "ground plane".) If you use a magnet mount, run your coax feed line through the door or hatch that gets the least use and then leave it alone. Don't use any path where the coax would get pinched.

For base installations (i.e. at home) the best places are "as high as you can" within legal limits. The roof is normally fine. If you're in an apartment complex, you may be limited to a balcony but ask the manager - they may just want you to keep it "invisible." (Check your national and local regulations. In the USA, the FCC regulations limit CB antennas to 60 feet above ground level or 20 feet above the tallest structure on your building. Maybe shorter if you live close to an airport - one foot high for every hundred feet from the nearest runway.)

Base station antennas should have a good ground in case of lightning. (In the rare event of a lightning strike, this can mean the difference between burning down the building or just the radio.) Most cold water pipes that go into the ground will work. But the best choice for a ground would be an 8-foot (2.4m) copper rod stuck in the ground near the antenna. (If you want

to use anything other than the rod for a ground, contact someone knowledgeable about housing construction and safety - you don't want to accidentally use a hot water or gas pipe. You also need to know your local building codes.)

* Once I hook up my CB and antenna, is the radio ready to use?

No. When you get a new CB and/or new antenna, the CB and the antenna must be tuned or "matched." To do this, you need an SWR meter which is a device that measures the impedance between the radio and the antenna. SWR stands for "Standing Wave Ratio." The lower your SWR, the better your match. First timers are recommended to get help from a knowledgeable person but some notes about the process are included here.

You do NOT want your SWR reading to be over 3:1 ratio on any channel. It can damage your radio. A "perfect" match is 1.0:1 which gives you the best efficiency for your radio's output. However, "perfect" isn't possible so 1.1:1 is the best you can hope for. Even 1.5:1 is acceptable, but it isn't as good.

You will only be able to achieve your best match on one or a small group of channels. The SWR will increase on each channel as you get further from that point. So 2:1 may even be unavoidable on the extremes, like Channels 1 and/or 40. If you know you're going to use one channel most of the time, try to put your best match there. Otherwise, just center it at Channel 20 so you can use all 40 channels optimally.

You may find SWR meters at places like Radio Shack or amateur radio dealers. Further information about Standing Wave Ratio can be obtained from the ARRL Handbook. (ARRL is the American Radio Relay League. Their annual handbook is full of information on many different aspects of amateur radio but the basic concepts apply in all radio bands.)

Most stores that sell CB radios will have an SWR meter and someone who knows how to use it. But they will charge for their services.

* What are good antenna characteristics and what are some good antennas?

Antennas have to be designed to transmit and receive on the band that you are using. CB is located in the 11-meter band. (They call it that because the wavelength is about 11 meters.) CB antennas range in height from a little under 2 feet (24 inches) up to 108 inches.

The 1/4 wave whip (108") will offer better reception and transmission capability over a shorter, coiled or "loaded" antenna.

VERY short antennas, or multiple antennas that aren't "phased" properly will result in a very poor signal. Remember, for co-phasing antennas, they must be 1/4 wavelength apart which, for the 11 meter band, is around 9 feet. Most cars aren't wide enough to allow antennas to be placed 9 feet apart. The other characteristic of co-phasing is that it is much more directional- than a single antenna. It will transmit and receive MUCH better along the axis of the car than sideways off the car so if you want a omnidirectional antenna,

you don't want co-phasing (so you only want one antenna.)

Another subject of common questions is about antennas which receive AM/FM broadcast stations and act as a CB transceiver antenna. User experiences on this newsgroup vary widely with each brand. (The motorized ones seem to have the least favor among the postings we've seen.) In general, these antennas work but are not nearly as good as a dedicated CB antenna. You have to weigh the advantages and disadvantages for your circumstances.

Good brands of dedicated CB antennas in the USA include (but are not necessarily limited to) Hustler, Wilson, Antenna Specialists, Solarcon, Jo Gunn, FireStick and K-40.
In France, some common brands are President and Magnum.

Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 3: Communication)
THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions. Corrections and updates are welcome.

* Which 10-codes are most commonly used?

When getting started, remember at least the following 10-codes:

10-1 Receiving Poorly
10-4 Ok, Message Received
10-5 Relay message
10-7 Out of Service, Leaving Air (you're going off the air)
10-8 In Service, subject to call (you're back on the air)
10-9 Repeat Message
10-10 Transmission Completed, Standing By (you'll be listening)
10-20 "What's your location?" or "My location is..."
Commonly asked as "What's your 20?"
10-33 EMERGENCY - NOTE: NOT an auto accident like many people think.
10-42 vehicle accident
10-100 Need to go to Bathroom

Also, remember that 10-4 only means "message received". If you want to say "yes", use "yes". For "no", use "no".

* What are the CB 10-codes?

10-1 Receiving Poorly
10-2 Receiving Well
10-3 Stop Transmitting
10-4 Ok, Message Received
10-5 Relay Message
10-6 Busy, Stand By
10-7 Out of Service, Leaving Air
10-8 In Service, subject to call
10-9 Repeat Message
10-10 Transmission Completed, Standing By

10-11 Talking too Rapidly
10-12 Visitors Present
10-13 Advise weather/road conditions
10-16 Make Pickup at...
10-17 Urgent Business
10-18 Anything for us?
10-19 Nothing for you, return to base
10-20 My Location is ..... or What's your Location?
10-21 Call by Telephone
10-22 Report in Person to: _____.
10-23 Standby
10-24 Completed last assignment
10-25 Can you Contact .....
10-26 Disregard Last Information/Cancel Last Message/Ignore
10-27 I am moving to Channel _____.
10-28 Identify your station
10-29 Time is up for contact
10-30 Does not conform to FCC Rules
10-32 I will give you a radio check
10-33 Emergency Traffic at this station
10-34 Trouble at this station, help needed
10-35 Confidential Information
10-36 Correct Time is ..... Or What time is it?
10-38 Ambulance needed at .....
10-39 Your message delivered
10-41 Please tune to channel .....
10-42 Traffic Accident at .....
10-43 Traffic tieup at .....
10-44 I have a message for you (or .....)
10-45 All units within range please report
10-50 Break Channel
10-62 Unable to copy, use phone
10-62sl unable to copy on AM, use Sideband - Lower (not an official code)
10-62su unable to copy on AM, use Sideband - Upper (not an official code)
10-65 Awaiting your next message/assignment
10-67 All units comply
10-70 Fire at .....
. 10-73 Speed Trap at .....
10-75 You are causing interference
10-77 Negative Contact
10-84 My telephone number is .....
10-85 My address is .....
10-91 Talk closer to the Mike
10-92 Your transmitter is out of adjustment
10-93 Check my frequency on this channel
10-94 Please give me a long count
10-95 Transmit dead carrier for 5 sec.
10-99 Mission completed, all units secure
10-100 Need to go to Bathroom
10-200 Police needed at .....

* Where are 10-codes used?

10-codes originated in the USA and are, apparently, only used in English-speaking countries. However, no matter which codes are used in your country, be aware that there are local dialects in every urban area and region. You have to listen to others to learn the phrases and codes in you area.
Be aware that the use of codes specifically to obscure the meaning of a transmission is probably illegal in most countries. The difference is this: codes which are well known and make communications shorter or more efficient are normally allowed.

* What are some of the more common Q-codes?

Q-codes are used in many kinds of radio communications, including CB sideband but not typically on CB AM. (If your radio doesn't have sideband, don't worry about Q-codes.) Q-codes originated with amateur radio but their use in CB, even more so than 10-codes, can vary depending on who published the list. The following is an abbreviated list of Q-codes borrowed from amateur radio:

QRM man made noise, adjacent channel interference
QRN static noise
QRO increase power
QRP reduce power
QRT shut down, clear
QSL confirmation, often refers to confirmation cards exchanged by hams
QSO conversation
QSX standing by on the side
QSY move to another frequency
QTH address, location

Q-codes may be used to ask questions (QTH?) or to answer them (QTH is 5th & Ivy Streets.)

The ARRL Handbook and the ARRL operating guides have more complete listings of those used for amateur radio. (ARRL is an amateur radio organization.) Historically, the Q signals were instituted at the 'World Administrative Radio Conference' (WARC) in 1912. Because of their international origin, Q-codes may be more accepted outside English-speaking countries than 10-codes are.

* What are some tips for communicating with others on the CB?

The following is a list that is generally considered proper procedure or polite when using a CB radio. It can also be considered a beginner's survival guide. This list was compiled from common problems that have plagued beginners since CBs first became popular.

- When two people are talking, essentially they temporarily "own" the channel. US FCC regulations say that they have to give other people opportunities to use the channel if they're going to use it more than several minutes. But it is not up to an outsider to "take" the channel from them.

- Take care not to "step on" other units (i.e. transmitting at the same time as they are, thereby making both your transmissions unreadable.) This usually means that you should adjust your break squelch level so that you can hear the other unit and then only begin to transmit when you can't hear anyone else.

- NEVER deliberately key over someone else. Nobody likes that.

.- If you hear one unit break for another unit, give some time for the unit to respond before you say anything yourself. (Keep in mind that they may have to fumble for a microphone in a moving car or dodge furniture enroute to a base station.) Remember, the calling unit has the channel.

- If you want to talk on a channel that is in use, it is very likely that your initial transmissions will accidentally "walk over" someone elses. So you must keep them short. The word "break" is generally accepted. Try to time it in a pause in the conversation.

- Even when your "break" has been recognized, keep your next transmission short. For example, "Break one-seven for Godzilla" if you're on Channel 17 and looking for someone whose handle is Godzilla. If Godzilla doesn't answer in a reasonably short amount of time, it doesn't hurt to say "thanks for the break" to the units that stopped their conversation for you.

- If you break on an open (unused) channel, you don't have to be as brief. For example, "Break 17 for Godzilla. Are you out there Godzilla?". However, the short form is perfectly acceptable, too. Use what fits your style.

- If someone speaking to you gets "walked over" so that you can't understand the message, you basically have two options. You can tell the person you were listening to, "10-9, you were stepped on", or you can find out what the breaker wants, "Go ahead break", before returning to your original conversation. You should eventually recognize the breaker and find out what they want.

- If two people are talking and you would like to interject a response, you will probably just walk over someone. Use the procedure above to properly break into the conversation.

- If someone doesn't answer your breaks after two or three attempts. Stop and wait for several minutes or, in mobile units, for several highway miles or city blocks. Others may have their radios on and don't want to listen to the same break more than three times in succession.

- In other circumstances, improvise. Take into account other people's points of view. Give people proper access to the channel and try not to do anything to annoy other units.

- If you make a mistake in any of the procedures above, don't waste air time on a busy channel by apologizing. (If the channel isn't busy, it's your choice.) Just try to do it right in the future. Everyone takes a little time to learn.

OK, now you know how to conduct yourself on the radio. However, there are and will probably always be units that don't. Be patient. You don't have

authority to enforce any rules so don't break any by trying.

Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 4: Technical Trivia)
THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET REA
DERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS MESSAGE
AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT
MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions.
Corrections and updates are welcome.

* What is single sideband?

To understand single-sideband (SSB), one must first have a picture of what's going on in a normal AM (amplitude modulation) transmission. For example, on Channel 21 (in North America, Australia, and Europe), a "carrier" is transmitted at 27.215 MHz. Your voice (or whatever you're transmitting) is used to change (modulate) the height (amplitude) of the signal so that it can be reconstructed as your voice on the receiving end.

Actually, the amplitude of the carrier does not change. The addition (modulation) of another signal, like your voice, onto the carrier will increase the amplitude at other frequencies adjacent to the the carrier. A 300 Hz tone, for example, would add signals 300 Hz above and below the carrier. Every frequency component of your voice has the same additive effect. These modulation effects are the upper and lower sidebands of the transmitted signal. Normal AM transmissions include the carrier signal, the upper sideband (USB) and lower sideband (LSB).

The difference in frequency from the bottom of the lower sideband to the top of the upper sideband is called the bandwidth of the signal; it will be twice as wide as the highest frequency modulated onto the carrier. (For poorly filtered radios, this can unintentionally interfere with adjacent channels if the bandwidth is too wide. Poor filtering becomes easily noticeable at higher power levels.)

There is enough information in either sideband to reconstruct the original signal. Therefore, radios which can use single sideband are able to filter out the carrier and the opposite sideband before transmitting them, leaving either the upper sideband or lower sideband, as selected by the CB operator. Obviously, for a conversation to take place, both the transmitting and receiving radios have to be on the same channel and sideband setting (i.e. Channel 25 LSB.) Some additional tuning will be necessary with a "clarifier" control.

Assuming proper filtering within the radios, it should be possible for separate conversations to occur on the upper and lower sidebands of a given channel without interfering with each other.

* Do I really get 120 channels on a radio with single sideband?

[This applies to the USA, Australia, Canada, and any other countries that use AM and SSB on the same 40-channel band.]

Yes and no. SSB does give you 120 different communications paths (40 AM, 40 USB, and 40 LSB) but they are not free of interference from each other. The upper and lower sidebands will interfere with the AM channel and vice versa. With some radios, even the opposite sidebands can interfere with each other.

For example, (and this works for any channel - just fill in a different number from 1 to 40) Channel 17 has an AM channel that every radio can use. Radios with SSB will also be able to use the upper and lower sidebands. However, within a few miles of each other, you can't effectively use the AM channel if people are talking on either sideband. Though not as strong, users of sideband will know if someone is using the AM channel but the higher legal power settings on sideband give them an advantage.

* How can I reduce engine noise on my mobile CB?

If you have problems with engine noise in a mobile installation, there are several things you can do to reduce or eliminate the problem. - Make sure you've completely installed your CB and the antenna is grounded properly. Check the amount of noise with and without the antenna connected. If the noise is greater when the antenna is connected, the source is probably the ignition system. If the noise remains unchanged after disconnecting the antenna, the source is probably in the CB's power supply.

- For ignition noise, there are several parts of the vehicle that can act like RF noise transmitters if not properly grounded. Check (and connect to ground on the chassis/frame, if necessary) the engine block, hood, muffler, and exhaust pipe. - Ignition noise can be further reduced by installing "magnetic suppression" spark plug cables. (These are significantly more expensive than normal spark plug cables.) - For power supply noise, check if you have a capacitor attached to your ignition coil, alternator, and distributor. These can act as filters right at the source of the noise.

- Ensure that your antenna feedline and power lines are as far as possible from any of the noise sources mentioned above, especially the engine block. - A shielded power cable can help as well. Make sure it is of sufficient gauge to handle your radio's power consumption and that the shielding has a good, strong connection to ground on the vehicle chassis or frame. - Electronics stores such as Radio Shack sell cheap power filters for noise-reduction purposes. You get what you pay for, though - most comments on this newsgroup are that they are not as effective as the other measures above.

Of course, the best performance can be obtained from a more expensive radio with better noise suppression circuits. These measures, however, will improve the engine noise levels for nearly any mobile radio.

* What is GMRS?

[This applies only to the USA.]
The General Mobile Radio Service is another personal radio band. Its frequency allocation is in the ultra-high frequency (UHF) spectrum, co-located with some other radio services in the range from 460-470 MHz. It requires a license but there is no examination. Though GMRS is not CB, it is listed here because it is intended for use by individuals. A single GMRS

license also covers the members of the licensee's household. The license fee is US$35 for 5 years.

Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
460 - 470 FM General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS)
regular channels (simplex or repeater outputs, repeater inputs are 5MHz higher)
462.550 462.575 462.600 462.625 462.650 462.675 462.700 462.725
simplex low-power channels
462.5625 462.5875 462.6125 462.6375 462.6625 462.6875 462.7125
31.0-31.3 GHz any mode microwave band now open for individuals to use, requires GMRS license

GMRS is used for mobile-to-mobile or base-to-mobile communications but base-to-base communications are prohibited. One useful aspect of GMRS is that repeaters are allowed so that a mobile GMRS station can extend its range well beyond the limits of an ordinary 5-watt mobile transmitter.

Only individuals may obtain GMRS licenses. Some businesses still have licenses from before the rules were changed in 1989 but no new licenses are being issued to "non-individuals."

Recent changes in regulations have given GMRS licensees access to the micro-wave band at 31.0-31.3 GHz for both fixed and mobile use. The licensee must notify the FCC of each transmitter with a standard FCC form.

A source of information is the Personal Radio Steering Group. A reader said they have lots of good information and are enthusiastic about GMRS.

Personal Radio Steering Group
PO Box 2851
Ann Arbor MI 48016
Phone: (313) MOBILE 3
BBS: (313) 995-2100
Compuserve: 73016.163

* What is the Family Radio Service?

[This applies to the U.S.A only]
Recently, in the US, the FCC authorized a new service for use by the general public. The Family Radio Service is a new service for use by individuals. Tandy Corporation has pressed the FCC for this new service.

The FRS is a very short range UHF Radio service. This service consists of 14 channels in the UHF band. Equipment is restricted to a handheld radio with non-detachable antenna, and 500 mW Effective Radiated Power on output. CTCSS tones are permitted, and will be used on some equipment. Range has been said to be "a few city blocks", although this service is so new, equipment (as of this edition) has not yet made it to the general public for use.

Repeater operation is not permitted, nor is data communications. Only type-accepted FRS equipment is permitted for use on this service.

* Where can I learn more about radio?

If you like what you see here and want to learn more about the technical aspects of radio, you may be interested in getting an amateur ("ham") radio license. These licenses are available in almost every country in the world, including all of the countries that have CB.

Amateur radio is very different from CB. This is neither good nor bad - they have different purposes. With the training that a licensed amateur radio operator is expected to have, much more powerful transmitters are allowed - but the operator should know when that power is not necessary and refrain from it. Amateurs have no limits on the range of their communications (at least, by law or treaty) so it is possible to make distant "DX" contacts using atmospheric skip, satellites, or even bouncing signals off the Moon. Again, training and the willingness to cooperate (to avoid interference with others) are necessary for obtaining and using these privileges.

Japan, Sweden the USA and many other countries have authorized a new amateur radio "no-code" license which requires only a written test (no morse code). However, the test is challenging enough to necessitate several weeks of study (or a few days if you already have some familiarity with the subject) so don't go unprepared. More information, see the FAQ articles in the rec.radio.amateur.misc newsgroup or contact the American Radio Relay League at: http://www.arrl.org/

Even if you don't want the license, books intended for amateur radio operators make available a wealth of information on understanding transmitters, recei- vers, antennas, modulation, signal propagation, electronics, and many other topics which also apply to CB.

###END### rec.radio.cb FAQ

5610. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 18:36:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11268-3E11E3C0-61@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 148142

From: buzzy@feelgood.com (Buzzy da Buzzbomb)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
--
Why? That's an average of a little more than 4 posts per day for the
time I've been here..a total of a few minutes each day.......you
probably spend 24 times the amount online I do. Besides, If you weren't
so yellow and signed your call everytime you posted, I would pale in
comparison. Do you not find it odd that you have now been reduced to
such statistical endeavors merely because I chose to point out your lie?
Tsk Tsk....you wear it well.
==

>Ok, I'm a liar and a cheat and bullshit artist

Now, that wasn't so hard, was it? But make no mistake about it, you are
no artist of any kind, merely a liar....the "artist" is nothing more
than a damaged ego term for yourself......didn't know you were a cheat
as well. With those admitted qualities, we can now dismiss the rest of
what you set forth.... as is done when you put forth your call.
Try and be a cooler person in the future,,life will seem much easier.
.maybe get an education in math, or I can teach you more..either way,
your interpretations, ramblings, and calculations are of a
simpleton-like nature.

5611. Re: Where to mount my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:12:24 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <austdp$6sq2$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 148153

OTAY! Panky! It already on da seat. I isa lookin' for a place to mount it.

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e11c579_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Lay it on da seat. Or under the dash IF room. Get another car or another
> small CB instead!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aur9st$5vsm$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > I have an '84 Firebird. I want to mount my Superstar 3900 in the car.
> Anyone
> > have any ideas on a good location? Space is tight. I had thought about
> > cutting into the passenger dash and mounting it there, but there is a
lot
> of
> > cables n modules back there.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Cowboy67
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5612. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:14:13 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <austh5$6umi$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 148155

Check out his help wanted section. Maybe some o you arm chair cber's might
wanna work for the guy.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com...
> That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious shortage
of
> trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising
Money
> Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!
>
> Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with,
they
> will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own boss"!
> Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely guarded
> secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!
>
> They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a THICK
> wallet!
>
> *Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
> *Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
> *Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
> *Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95
>
> And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently not
> available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and, "[These]
> video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email me at
> [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"
>
> Check it out! It's hoot to read!
> http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm
>
> PH
>
>

5613. Re: No ground plane on a pontiac trans sport minivan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:17:50 UTC
From: DLHicks <dlynnhicks@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <y3mQ9.513428$%m4.142543@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 148147

"'Doc" <ltdoc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E11D50D.7040100@yahoo.com...
>
>
> DJ,
> It ought to work. but seems like a lot of trouble
> for no reason. Simply ground to one of the metal
> cross-members under your plastic roof.
> 'Doc
Hey Doc

Only did it once years ago, but we used a Wilson floating ground plane kit
on a fiberglass wonder. It worked out ok. Not a big fan of the set up,
hate installing them and sometimes you must work hard to get them to tune,
but in a pinch?

Deb

5614. Re: MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:20:09 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <austsa$71tm$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 148158

No CB's in Mooslim countries? Maybe you ought to go to the Mooslim countries before you say that they dont have something that they actually do! I know, been there done that!

Oman -1990
Bahrain-1990
Abu Dabi-1990
Egypt-2001
Kuwait-2001
Saudi Arabia-2001
Afghanistan-2002
Uzbekistan-2002

Thanks to Good ole Uncle Sugar!

Cowboy67
USN(6yrs)
USA(2yrs)
USAF(5yrs)
"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim could
> get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney
> Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed for
> "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for
centuries,
> improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this
Mooselim
> finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
> What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries! When
> they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU !
> Convert or they will piece you out!
> Dave!!
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5615. 27.545 Militia Tactical freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:20:41 UTC
From: Uncle Reamus <5yipayforhamcall@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3e11ee2d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 148148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I've been monitoring 27.545 mHz for a number
of weeks and have been hearing alot of stations
in the Midwest and South. Topic of communications
was either coded traffic, sitreps (situation reports)
and info pretaining to Domestic Patriot and Militia
topics. On curious case was a mobile calling themself
"Orange Blossom Express" saying he was enroute to
some point in Michigan where the FED's and local
Sheriff allegedly had some tax-protester under survelance.
Any ideas or other Militia freq's anyone else know of?
Rather curious stuff! (...one guy claimed he had 20K
of .223. .50 cal and .357 hollowpoint in the trunk of
his car - hope he didn't hit a pothole in the road enroute!)

5616. What Freaks do the Liberals use (other than ALL of them)?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:27:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e11ef51_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know, but I'd like to know what Freq. the Liberals use (other than 52-890mc)!
Dave!

"Uncle Reamus" <5yipayforhamcall@aol.com> wrote in message news:3e11ee2d@snipnews.snip.net...
> I've been monitoring 27.545 mHz for a number
> of weeks and have been hearing alot of stations
> in the Midwest and South. Topic of communications
> was either coded traffic, sitreps (situation reports)
> and info pretaining to Domestic Patriot and Militia
> topics. On curious case was a mobile calling themself
> "Orange Blossom Express" saying he was enroute to
> some point in Michigan where the FED's and local
> Sheriff allegedly had some tax-protester under survelance.
> Any ideas or other Militia freq's anyone else know of?
> Rather curious stuff! (...one guy claimed he had 20K
> of .223. .50 cal and .357 hollowpoint in the trunk of
> his car - hope he didn't hit a pothole in the road enroute!)
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5617. Re: Sodomites will NOT...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:29:40 UTC
From: Imareal Tbar <itbar73@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pkr31vca7o2d78pncjkln7jcaq57ni7d3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 148160

Honestly, I think you will win more people to the LORD if you speak kindly, yet truthfully. I suggest you avoid angry, disrespectful speech.

May you be blessed with more complete understanding of His Word. May we all enjoy such a blessing!

I.T.

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 21:07:57 -0500, "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>SODOMITES will NOT Inherit The Kingdom of God ! Repent FAGGOTS ! Before yo
>crispy critter status in da lake of FIRE !
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> (to all wimps, and "positive" gospel types: We must tell them the BAD
>news, before we tell them the GOOD news. The GOOD news is that they don't
>have to go there IF they repent and Believe the Gospel!). Sodomites: Read
>Romans chapter 1.
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>"rugerp85 @adelphia.net" <remove> wrote in message
>news:274n0v8s8v1g5u2nghn1cdul8s4kcqv3lk@4ax.com...
>> Nope Doug lives in a world of Gayity.....har har truck drivers
>> used to be his "specialty" now he's ...well nevermind everyone
>> knows......
>>
>> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 22:35:46 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
>> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Then you must also live in a Maytag box...
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5618. Re: Happy New Year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:48:45 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <xwmQ9.209700$a8.122723@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 148150

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3e11d7f8.26963761@news.optonline.net...
> To all.

The same to you...and to all the resident R.R.CBers and their families. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

5619. Anyone remember the Spartans and others on 27.145?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:49:01 UTC
From: Imareal Tbar <itbar73@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tds31v00io6sq28hac06opbci335pm6nkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 148151

About 20 years ago (and beyond), I frequented 27.145, one of the RC channels, and often QSO'ed a lot of folks on SSB. One of the main groups that hung around there was called the "Spartan" group, many out of the U.S. West Coast (propagation often favored that area from my area - U.S. Midwest). Now I don't hear any activity there like I did years ago. The channel seems to be used almost exclusively by AM stations south of the U.S. border, with only few-and-far-between AM stations from the U.S.

Anyone else here on the NG remember 27.145 SSB stuff? I know some of the other RC channels are presently in use by U.S. AM stations. I can only presume the SSB'ers have moved up, way up, the band.

73! -- I.T.

5620. Re: Anyone remember the Spartans and others on 27.145?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 19:58:59 UTC
From: pdrunen@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231145859.08460.00000161@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 148152

I remember the Spartans Group.

You are correct that no one hides out on 27.145 except the South Americans.

5621. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 20:06:59 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <DNmQ9.3215$T5.1301377@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148154

guess I gotta agree with Dave on this one.... just more "chop shops"

drc

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e11c3a2_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> The problem IS that there IS a shortage of GOOD, HONEST Techs and 1 man
> operation CB shops in general. The problem is that these IDIOTS teach
> people with NO electronics background how to be LOUSY CB Shops!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com...
> > That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious shortage
> of
> > trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising
> Money
> > Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!
> >
> > Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with,
> they
> > will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own
boss"!
> > Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely
guarded
> > secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!
> >
> > They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a
THICK
> > wallet!
> >
> > *Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
> > *Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
> > *Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
> > *Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95
> >
> > And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently not
> > available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and,
"[These]
> > video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email me
at
> > [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"
> >
> > Check it out! It's hoot to read!
> > http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm

> >
> > PH
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5622. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 20:07:31 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <7OmQ9.3216$T5.1299570@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148157

lol <grin>

drc

"Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide " <timnebo@aol.com10-108> wrote in message
news:20021231112838.27479.00000359@mb-bk.aol.com...
> sounds like a BDW deal if you ask me
>
>
>
>

5623. Re: MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 20:09:19 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <PPmQ9.3217$T5.1301994@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148156

Dave meant to say Peace

drc

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim could
> get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney
> Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed for
> "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for
centuries,
> improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this
Mooselim
> finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
> What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries! When
> they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU !
> Convert or they will piece you out!
> Dave!!!
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5624. Re: 27.545 Militia Tactical freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 20:19:37 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e11f9d7.25309001@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 148159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 14:20:41 -0500, "Uncle Reamus"
<5yipayforhamcall@aol.com> wrote:

>I've been monitoring 27.545 mHz for a number
>of weeks and have been hearing alot of stations
>in the Midwest and South. Topic of communications
>was either coded traffic, sitreps (situation reports)
>and info pretaining to Domestic Patriot and Militia
>topics. On curious case was a mobile calling themself
>"Orange Blossom Express" saying he was enroute to
>some point in Michigan where the FED's and local
>Sheriff allegedly had some tax-protester under survelance.
>Any ideas or other Militia freq's anyone else know of?
>Rather curious stuff! (...one guy claimed he had 20K
>of .223. .50 cal and .357 hollowpoint in the trunk of
>his car - hope he didn't hit a pothole in the road enroute!)
>
>
just hearing data bursts there now...2000Z 12/30
----
check my blog at:
http://www.bobview.blogspot.com/
updated 12/31/02

5625. Looing for a moile antenna mast like 36" to 48"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 21:07:12 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0212311307.1258af49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148163

I have my antenna placed behind the rear door on a minivman and I got a antenna with a two coils. I need like a 36" to 48" inch antenna mast where can I get one, I have though about getting the hustler 54" one but though it might make the home made bracket to heavy. The bracket is like 10" off the ground and the top of the car is like 6ft to 7ft high. I need the coils higher than the roof of the car so what hight antenna mast should I use and where can I get it online.

5626. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 21:08:01 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <RGnQ9.3294$T5.1305897@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 148164

here is his home page.... http://www.proclassradio.com/

drc

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:DNmQ9.3215$T5.1301377@news.alltel.net...
> guess I gotta agree with Dave on this one.... just more "chop shops"
>
> drc
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e11c3a2_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > The problem IS that there IS a shortage of GOOD, HONEST Techs and 1 man
> > operation CB shops in general. The problem is that these IDIOTS teach
> > people with NO electronics background how to be LOUSY CB Shops!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious
shortage
> > of
> > > trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising
> > Money
> > > Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!
> > >
> > > Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with,
> > they
> > > will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own
> > boss"!
> > > Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely
> > guarded
> > > secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!
> > >
> > > They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a
> > THICK
> > > wallet!
> > >
> > > *Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
> > > *Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
> > > *Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
> > > *Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95
> > >
> > > And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently
not

> > > available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and,
> "[These]
> > > video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email
me
> at
> > > [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"
> > >
> > > Check it out! It's hoot to read!
> > > http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

5627. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:01:48 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E1206FD.4050102@cac.net>
Source record: 148161

Yay.. he grew a brain..

Yeah, Buzzy... I'm a Slow-Code Extra. I'm also Dyslexic and ADD. I didn't waiver past the code test, I worked on it until I got it.

SO what's your point. BFD. I'm an Extra, and you're apparently just a little peon who's afraid to take a little test.

What's your point?

Wow.. You pulled a Doug and posted my name and address on the internet. Woe is me. Whatever will I do now? Like it hasn't been done before.

Those two projects were done and documented to show how easy it really is to make working, effective equipment from nearly nothing at almost no cost. So what have you done to further the radio hobby lately?

I'm also surprised you didn't mention the other link on the website... the one to my truck pictures (http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/TruckPics/) describing the HF antenna I had on the truck I sold recently. Selective dissemination of information to make a point, eh? Heh. That's so weak it's not even pitiful.

What exactly are you trying to prove? What's your point? You tried to make fun of me, and it didn't work. I'm neither insulted nor embarrassed by your vitreous diatribe (use the dictionary, I'm done dumbing things down so you can understand them). You've done nothing but make an ass out of yourself, and in so doing, proven the point I was making earlier, weakening your own position.

You may have grown a brain, but you still need to get your head out of your ass.

-SSB

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> "sideband" KR8LR@cac.net wrote in message
>
>>I see you haven't pulled your head out of your ass yet.. Pity that.
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
>
>

> Let's see now, you are an EXTRA class ham right?
>
> You've done real good, and judging from the effective
> date of your callsign and your old callsign, I'd say you're
> also one of those 5WPM Extras to boot.
>
> Well, I won't hold it against you, even 5WPM is not easy
> for some EXTRAS..... (snicker)
>
> Let's look at your web page, http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/
>
> Wow! You've built two extremely technical projects!
>
> A 2 meter antenna and a CW key. Just amazing!
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coathanger.html
>
> And the antenna was built out of a coathanger!
> How original, bet nobody's ever done that before!
> You are so smart, bet you made your mommy proud!
>
> Did you use the same piece of coathanger to build
> your (chuckle) CW key too? I've seen 6th graders do
> a better job...(really)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kr8lr/coatkey.html
>
> Gosh..wish I had a callsign listed on the internet
> for the whole world to see.....
>
> Guess I'll just have to stay a lowly CB'er ......
>
>
> Callsign: KR8LR Class: Extra Codes: HVIE USA
> Name: CHRISTOPHER G PETTY
> Addr1: 412 WHIPPLE
> Addr2: SOUTH LYON, MI 48178
>
> kr8lr@qsl.net
>
>

5628. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:04:29 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E12079F.70103@cac.net>
Source record: 148162

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> Current news is that novices will be once again granted privileges
> on 2 meters. That means no code test and a theory test so simple
> a 3rd grader could pass it.

Dumbass. Novices were required to pass a 5WPM code test.

>
> But no skip, or very little of it on 2 meters. And much easier for the
> FCC to monitor and send you violation notices.
>
What? You can't behave yourself, watch your tongue, and actually have
an INTELLIGENT conversation on the radio. Oh, wait. I almost forgot
who I was talking to there.

> Won't be long before parts of the ham radio spectrum will be
> license free just to help end the mess on 27mhz.
>
Doubtful. Amateur radio has alot more pulling for it now legalwise
than CB did in the 70's when it was decommissioned.

> "WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
> news:3E11962F.F494FC1B@listed.here...
>
>>You're jealous because you can't pass the test to get on 2 meter CB.
>
>
>
-SSB

5629. Re: Thunderbolt 101 Linear Question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:05:01 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231170501.01868.00000327@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 148166

125 watts pep.Nice little sweep tube amp in its day.

5630. Re: 27.545 Militia Tactical freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:08:37 UTC
From: RedOctober90@space.com
Message-ID: <9553ed5f.0212311408.6b0580b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 148167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Uncle Reamus" <5yipayforhamcall@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<3e11ee2d@snipnews.snip.net>...
> I've been monitoring 27.545 mHz for a number
> of weeks and have been hearing alot of stations
> in the Midwest and South. Topic of communications
> was either coded traffic, sitreps (situation reports)
> and info pretaining to Domestic Patriot and Militia
> topics. On curious case was a mobile calling themself
> "Orange Blossom Express" saying he was enroute to
> some point in Michigan where the FED's and local
> Sheriff allegedly had some tax-protester under survelance.
> Any ideas or other Militia freq's anyone else know of?
> Rather curious stuff! (...one guy claimed he had 20K
> of .223. .50 cal and .357 hollowpoint in the trunk of
> his car - hope he didn't hit a pothole in the road enroute!)

You mean an actual "militia" as KSM, CSM ect?

5631. Re: Rec.radio.cb FAQ att: twistedhed@webtv.net please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:23:55 UTC
From: sideband <KRNO8SPAMLR@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3E120C29.8080804@cac.net>
Source record: 148165

This guy's starting to remind me a bit of Aaron back in the old days.

-SSB

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
> Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 1: Introduction)
>
>
> This is a regular posting of frequently-asked questions (FAQ) on
> rec.radio.cb. It is intended to summarize the more common questions on this
> newsgroup and to help beginners get started. This saves network bandwidth
> and tries to maintain a good signal-to-noise ratio in the discussions.
>
> The FAQ cannot always prevent people from posting repetitive questions. But
> even if hundreds of questions get posted, it saves you from having to answer
> them hundreds of times. Also, a friendly pointer to the FAQ in your first
> answer can help that person refer to the FAQ in the future. That is when we
> can begin to get a real savings of network bandwidth.
>
> To keep the size of each article down, the FAQ has been split into 4 parts:
>
> Part 1: Introduction
>
> Part 2: Getting Started
>
> Part 3: Communication
>
> Part 4: Technical Trivia
>
> If you are a new reader on rec.radio.cb, we suggest that you print and
> review the FAQ articles. If you are new to Usenet, please also see the
> news.announce.newusers newsgroup before posting any articles.
>
> THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET
> READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS
> MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT
> MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions.
> Corrections and updates are welcome.
>
>
> Acknowledgements
>
>
> The following people are recognized for their contributions (by e-mail or
> news) that were included in the rec.radio.cb FAQ: Origional FAQ

> Coordinators:
>
>
> Jean-Marc Bonnaudet jeanmarc@calix.greenie.muc.de (Munich, Germany)
> Yumeto Funahashi 7K2EUP funa@sramha.sra.co.jp (Saitama, Wakoh, Japan)
> Ian Kluft KO6YQ (Santa Clara, CA, USA)
> Paul W Schleck K3FU (Omaha, NE, USA)
>
>
> Technical Contributors:
>
>
> Michael Larish KD6CTZ (nomad@ecst.csuchico.edu, Chico, CA, USA)
> - helped write the original version of the FAQ
> - served as an editor on the project for 3 years
> Paul Zander AA6PZ (paulz@hpspdla.spd.hp.com, Palo Alto, CA, USA)
> - comments and input on the SWR discussion
> Bob Myers KC0EW (myers@fc.hp.com, Fort Collins, CO, USA)
> - news article on antenna grounding in mobile installations
> Bret Musser (bjm@f.gp.cs.cmu.edu, Pittsburgh, PA, USA)
> - news article on reduction of engine noise in mobile installations
> Benn Kobb KC5CW (bkobb@access.digex.com, Houston, TX, USA)
> - information on the General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS)
> Bob Witte KB0CY (bob@col.hp.com, Colorado Springs, CO, USA)
> - additional info on GMRS - frequencies, fees, useful contact organization
>
>
> International/Regulations Contributors:
>
>
> Neil Robertson (conjgr@lut.ac.uk, Leicestershire, UK)
> - original info on CB in the UK
> Rob Adams (topfm@darwin.ntu.edu.AU, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia)
> - CB in Australia (operating regulations)
> Tetsuo Kobayashi JH8LEF (tetsuo@nttica.ntt.jp, Atsugi-city, Japan)
> - CB in Japan
> Patrick Wendt (root@chamber.in-berlin.de, Berlin, Germany)
> - CB in Germany
> Martin Grundy (grundy@rtf.bt.co.uk, Brighton, UK)
> - sent faxes of UK CB information sheets
> - included summary of CEPT standards and the list of conforming countries
> Nick Gibbs (nick@bean.apana.org.au, Stirling, South Australia, Australia)
> - CB in Australia (licensing and repeaters, type acceptance)
> Jean-Claude Michot (jcmichot@teaser.com, Sevres, France)
> - update for CB regulations in France
> Jon Clarke (jonc@status.gen.nz, Parnell, Auckland, New Zealand)
> - CB in New Zealand
> Vassili Leonov (vassili@sbcs.sunysb.edu, Stony Brook, New York, USA)
> - CB in Russia
> Mike D'Urso (mike%40790.decnet@icnucevx.cnuce.cnr.it, Salerno, Italy)

> - CB in Italy
> Zbigniew Chamski (Zbigniew.Chamski@irisa.fr, France)
> - update for new regulations and tax in France
> Steve Perry (zonic@enterprise.net)
> - update for equipment and license requirements in the UK.
> Avatar (141085@alfa.ist.utl.pt)
> - update for license and frequency requirements in Portugal
> Andre' Mulder (psam@giraffe.ru.ac.za)
> - provided information on South Africa
>
>
> Notes on "Netiquette"
>
> With a growing user community, the rec.radio.cb newsgroup will operate more
> efficiently if the following netiquette guidelines are used. Please take
> them seriously:
>
>
> * When posting a followup article, ALWAYS try to minimize the number of
> lines of quoted material from the original article. But DO post something so
> readers will know what you are referring to.
>
> * As a general rule when you try to determine whether to reply to someone by
> e-mail or with a followup article, remember to "praise in public, criticize
> in private." It's OK to disagree on the content but be careful not to attack
> the person with whom you disagree. Also, be careful with your use of the
> word "you" when posting a follow-up article. Many unnecessary flame wars
> have started that way.
>
> * Use a descriptive subject. For example, "Antennas" covers a vary large
> area so some better choices might be, for example, "Antenna tuning" "Antenna
> installation question" or "Antenna theory question". Also, if a discussion
> wanders off the original subject, you should modify the subject of your
> message to match the new topic. For example:
>
> Subject: Re: co-phasing (was: truckers with 2 antennas) or, even better:
> Subject: Re: co-phasing * Before answering a question, check if the FAQ
> adequately answers it or if someone else already answered it. If you have
> more to add, make sure to reference either the FAQ or the related articles.
> * If a user posts a question which is directly answered by the FAQ, there is
> no need to post an answer - the information is already available on the
> newsgroup. Instead, just send an e-mail message which politely explains
> where to find the FAQ. They will probably appreciate it if you include the
> answer to their question. (Don't send a "nastygram" - that would just
> discourage future participation.)
>
> * Pay attention to the size of your audience - use the "Distribution:"
> header. If you leave it blank, your message will go to every civilized
> country in the world and occupy disk space in all news systems in all those
> places. If that's what you intend, it's fine but be aware that CB is not the

> same everywhere. Otherwise, use a distribution for your continent or country
> as appropriate for the subject of the message. For example, "na" (North
> America) "europe" "us" "uk" "japan" "france" etc. can be used similar to the
> following example: Distribution: usa or substitute your continent or
> country. Also, this regional distribution works for each state of the USA -
> just use the two-letter postal code for your state (i.e. ca, ne, co, pa,
> etc.) * If you have an item for sale, please limit the distribution area so
> that, for example, an article about a radio for sale in New Jersey won't get
> to California or Europe. If you wish, you may cross-post your for-sale
> article to rec.radio.swap.
>
> * Discussion on any UseNet newsgroup is expected to be within the chartered
> subject of the group - there is no such thing as unlimited discussion here.
> This newsgroup is chartered for discussion of all aspects of CB radio.
> Though some topics are clearly outside these bounds, use some good judgement
> when talking about borderline cases. Please take seriously any complaints
> about the appropriateness of a subject.
>
>
>
>
> Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 2: Getting Started)
> THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET
> READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS
> MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT
> MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions.
> Corrections and updates are welcome.
>
> * What is CB?
>
> CB stands for "Citizen's Band" radio. It got that name because its main idea
> is to be a kind of radio anyone can use. Depending on the country you live
> in, it is either a minimally-regulated or an unregulated set of radio
> channels used for short-range (under 150 miles) communications. Many people
> use CB radios in their vehicles, homes or both.
>
> This UseNet newsgroup "rec.radio.cb" is all about CB radio. The FAQ also
> covers non-licensed or minimally-regulated radio bands internationally.
> Readers can expect to find discussions, questions, and answers about all
> uses of CB and related bands here. There are also other newsgroups for other
> radio applications, such as rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.broadcasting, and
> several subgroups of rec.radio.amateur, for amateur or "ham" radio. Radios
> either wanted or for sale are the subject of rec.radio.swap. (Though, an
> article about a CB radio for sale should be cross-posted to rec.radio.cb and
> rec.radio.swap with a distribution limited to your city, state or region.)
>
> * Do I need a license to operate a CB radio?
>
> The answer to this question depends on the country you reside in. We
> currently have information on the USA, Australia, New Zealand, Japan, the

> UK, France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, South Africa, and Russia. Note that
> all of these are from e-mail reports contributed by readers. As regulations
> change, we can only keep up when people notify us. Please check your local
> regulations because you are responsible to know and obey them.
>
> --- in the USA You are no longer required to have a license to operate a CB
> radio in the United States. The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) did
> at one time require a Class "D" license for for Citizen's Band which
> required nothing more than filling out a form and sending it in to the FCC
> with the license fee. The FCC then sent you a call sign which might look
> like "KPS 2720" or something similar. During the CB craze in the mid-70's,
> the FCC decided that the paperwork burden outweighed the benefits and put CB
> under blanket authorization.
>
> The FCC permits the use of the following to identify yourself:
>
>
> 1. Your old Class D callsign, if you have one.
> 2. K, your first and last initials, and your zip code (i.e. KPS 68123)
> 3. your name (i.e. "Paul")
> 4. some unique nickname or Handle (i.e. "Cobra", "Rubber Duck", etc.)
>
>
> A rule change in May 1992 now allows one-way transmissions about highway
> conditions on CB. This was intended to allow local authorities to use
> unattended audio warnings about road construction or other hazards.
>
> In the USA, there are two additional radio bands besides CB which are
> intended for use with minimal regulation by individuals.
> 1. GMRS, the General Mobile Radio Service, is located in the 460-470 MHz
> band. A license is required. More information is included in Part 4.
> 2. The FCC has recently opened up the 31.0-31.3 GHz microwave band to
> licensed users of several fixed and mobile radio services including GMRS.
> 3. The Family Radio Service, located in the vicinity of the GMRS. Low power
> Handheld operation only. No license required.
>
>
> --- in Canada
> No license is required. You may identify yourself by your name or a handle
> of your choice.
>
>
> --- in Japan
> No license is required. You may identify yourself by your name or a handle
> of your choice.
>
> --- in Australia
> No license is required for 27 Mhz CB in Australia.
>
> --- in New Zealand

> A license is required in New Zealand. A callsign will be issued with your
> license. You must identify yourself by at least your callsign.
>
> Callsigns look like AK1122 ("Auckland 1122") or WN63 ("Wellignton 63".)
> There are 16 callsign districts using a 2- to 4-letter code for the region
> name and the radio station number. Some callsigns may be issued for
> experimental use and will have an "X" after the district name (i.e. AKX1
> "Auckland Experimental 1".)
>
> --- in the UK
> A license is required. For more information, contact:
> Queries about the issue or renewal of a licence:
> Subscription Services Ltd,
> Radio Licensing Centre,
> P.O. Box 885,
> Bristol,
> BS99 5LG.
> Tel: (+44) 0117 925 8333
>
>
> Queries about C.B. policy:
> Amature and Citizens' Band Radio Unit,
> Radiocommunications Agency,
> 11N/27.2,
> New King's Beam House,
> 22 Upper Ground,
> London,
> SE1 9SA.
> Tel: (+44) 0171 211 0160
> (+44) 0171 211 0159
> (+44) 0171 211 0158
>
>
> The CB Licence currently costs 15 UK Pounds and needs to be renewed each
> year.
> You can be prosecuted is you operate a CB without a valid Licence.
> Application forms are available from Subscription Services Ltd, who issue
> licences on behalf of the Radiocommunications Agency. Forms are also
> available from the radiocommunications agency (Particularly if bulk supplies
> of application forms are required). There is also a CB Information sheet
> available from the agency and this is issued upon request, free of charge.
> It includes information on equipment regulations and what you can and cannot
> do. If more information on any aspect of CB Radio is required, both the
> Agency= and SSL may be contacted.
>
> The UK will honor licenses from other countries using CEPT-approved radios.
> (See the CB Frequencies section below on CEPT for more information.) Your
> license may be used under the terms from the country which issued it.
>
> --- in France

> As of 1993, France no longer requires a license for you to operate a CB. But
> there is a 296.50FF tax on CB radios which is close to the cost of the old
> license. The main difference is that the old license was good for 5 years.
> Now you will pay the tax every time you buy a radio.
>
> Like most (or possibly all) of Europe, France does not give you a callsign.
> So you may identify yourself by your name or a handle of your choice.
>
> France will honor licenses from other countries using CEPT-approved radios.
> Your license may be used under the terms from the country which issued it.
>
> --- in Germany
> No license is required to operate FM CB in Germany. A license, costing 5DM
> per month is required if you plan to operate both AM and FM.
>
> --- in Italy
> A license is required to operate a CB in Italy. Licenses may be obtained
> from Ministero PP.TT. You will need to provide them with your CB's model,
> serial number, and certification number. They will also want to know your
> "handle" (if you go by a fictitious name on the radio) and whether you want
> your license to apply to other members of your family. The total cost is
> (equivalent to) US$50 plus $10 per year. You must notify the PP.TT if you
> sell your radio or buy a new one because the license applies to a person and
> a radio. Our reporter in Italy says it takes about 2-3 months for the
> license to arrive. It is valid for 10 years.
>
> --- in Portugal
> A license is required A license is good for 2 years, but licenses issued
> after the year 2000 will be valid for 5 years. "Handles" may be used, but
> you must use your ID when asked.
>
> --- in Russia
> A license is required. You must identify yourself by the callsign that is
> issued with your license. Callsigns look like "3A4157".
>
> --- in South Africa
> A license is required. they are valid for a year and are renewable.
>
> --- notes for all countries
> There are rules and regulations that must be complied with while using CB,
> no matter which country you live in. If you don't have a list of those rules
> (which most likely were included with your CB), you will probably find them
> at any store that sells CB radios. If your government issues a callsign to
> you, you must identify yourself at least with that callsign.
>
> * What are the CB Frequencies?
>
>
> The answer to this question depends on the country you reside in. We
> currently have information on Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus,

> Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Luxembourg, Italy, Japan, the
> Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Norway, Russia, Sweden, the UK, the USA,
> and Vatican City.
>
> --- in the USA
> CB transmitters use the operator's choice of AM (amplitude modulation) (with
> 4 watts maximum power) or single sideband (with 12 watts maximum power) on
> the following frequencies:
>
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
>
> 26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB Citizen's Band (CB)
>
> Special-use channels:
>
>
> 9 - emergency, monitored in some areas by "REACT", a volunteer group
> coordinated by the local Sheriff's office (not in all areas), and the State
> Highway Patrol.
> 17 - unofficial "trucker's" channel (California & western states.)
> 19 - official "trucker's" channel for traffic, weather and speed trap
> advisories.
> 16, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 - Often are used for single sideband (SSB) activity,
> by Gentlemen's agreement.
>
>
> Note that US regulations disallow "DX" long-distance communications (over
> 150 miles in this case) with CB. However, directional antennas may be used
> to enhance communications within the legal range. (Of course, if your signal
> "skips" off of the ionosphere, it can't be helped).
>
> --- in Canada
> The CB frequencies, modulation, and power limits are the same as the 26-27
> MHz CB band in the USA. Radios must be type accepted for use in Canada.
>
> --- in Australia
> Australia has 2 bands for CB. The use of AM or FM depends on the band.
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
>
> 26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB 40 channels, same frequencies as USA
> max power: 4W AM, 12W SSB (same as USA)
> 476.500 - 477.475 FM 40 channels, .025 MHz (25 kHz) spacing
> max power: 5W
>
>
> We've been told there are "no antenna restrictions" though you'll probably
> need to double-check with local regulations if you're thinking of putting up
> a tower.

>
> Radios for the Australian 26MHz band are essentially the same as radios made
> for the USA. However, all CB radios used in Australia (either band) must be
> type accepted by the Department of Transport and Communications (DOTAC).
>
> The following AM/SSB band frequencies have special uses in Australia:
> Channel 8 Road channel (truckers)
> Channel 9 Emergency (same as USA)
> Channel 11 AM calling
> Channel 16/LSB SSB calling, also used for DX (distance)
> Channel 35/LSB unofficial additional SSB calling, also for DX
>
>
> A unique feature of Australia's 476-477 MHz band is that repeaters are
> allowed. Inputs are on Channels 31-38 and outputs are on Channels 1-8. A
> repeater must use the proper channel pair, i.e. 1/31 to 8/38. The maximum
> power output for a repeater is 21W input. Note: as with repeaters on other
> bands, do not begin to operate one without obtaining and fully understanding
> the applicable regulations. Additional information about the technical
> aspects repeater operation is available from amateur (Ham) radio sources
> such as the ARRL Handbook.
>
> -- in New Zealand
> The CB frequencies, modulation, and power limits are the same as the 26-27
> MHz CB band in the USA and Australia.
>
> The following frequencies have special uses in New Zealand:
> Channel 5 European call (USB)
> Channel 11 truckers' channel (AM)
> Channel 15 AM calling
> Channel 35 SSB calling
>
>
> --- in Japan
> Japan has 3 radio bands that do not require licenses. Two of them are
> limited to extremely short-range use because of low power limits. The other,
> with maximum power output of 5 watts, is more like CB as it is known in
> North America, Europe and Australia.
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
>
> 26.968 - 27.144 AM (no SSB) "Citizen's Radio" maximum power 0.5W
> channels 1-8 on 26.968, 26.967, 27.040, 27.080, 27.088, 27.112, 27.120, and
> 27.144.
> Other channels in between these are assigned to fishing vessels (with 1W max
> power).
> 421.8125 - 422.300 FM "Specific Low Power Radio", also known as "UHF CB"
> maximum power 0.01W (10mW)
> newly-authorized in 1989, mostly used for handy-talkies and ski patrols, 9
> duplex channels with 12.5kHz spacing 421.8125/440.2625 ... 421.9125/440.3625

> 9 simplex channels with 12.5 kHz spacing 422.2000 ... 422.3000
>
>
> Note: other frequencies in this band are allocated for business use:
> 903.0125-904.9875 FM "Personal Radio" maximum power 5W
> 158 channels with 12.5 kHz spacing
> External antennas are permitted.
> Radios must be equipped with a control ROM for automatic ID.
>
> As in Europe, made-for-the-USA CB radios are illegal in Japan. There have
> been cases where such radios have caused interference with maritime
> emergency traffic.
>
> --- in countries subscribing to the European CEPT conference The following
> countries have implemented the CEPT (Conference of European Postal and
> Telecommunications administrations) recommendations T/R 20-02 and T/R 20-07
> for CB radios: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus (see Note 1), Denmark (see Note 2),
> Finland France, Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal (see Note 2),
> Norway (see Note 2), Sweden, United Kingdom, and Vatican City.
>
> Notes:
> 1) as of last report, confirmation was pending in Cyprus
> 2) special markings are required on the radios so, for example, UK radios
> marked "CEPT PR 27 GB" are legal but ones marked "PR 27 GB" are not. Radios
> from other countries may also be similarly affected.
>
> CEPT approval is significant because, with the exceptions listed above,
> CEPT- approved CBs from any one of the countries listed above can be used in
> any other on the list.
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
> 26.965 - 27.405 FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA 4W max power
>
> If you travel to another CEPT-conforming country, you may use your CB under
> the terms of your license from your own country. Be aware that only FM is
> CEPT-approved so AM and SSB may not be legal to use once you cross the
> border.
>
> Important note: CEPT-approved CB radios are not legal in the USA and
> made-for- the-USA CB radios are not legal anywhere in Europe. The difference
> is because CEPT radios use FM and American radios use AM or SSB. There are
> no agreements allowing a radio which is type-accepted in North America to be
> used in Europe or vice versa.
>
> Channel 19 appears to be the calling channel in most CEPT-conforming
> countries. When exceptions are known, they are listed specifically for the
> country.
>
> --- in the UK
> The UK has 3 bands for CB as follows:

> frequencies (MHz) modulation description 26.965 - 27.405 FM 40 channels,
> same frequencies as CEPT & USA 4W max power. Radios using this band are
> marked "PR 27 GB" or "CEPT PR 27 GB" and are CEPT-approved.
> 27.6 - 27.99 FM 40 channels, 0.01 MHz (10 kHz) spacing 4W max power.
> Radios using this band are marked "27/81-UK" and are only legal in the UK
> 934.0125-934.9625 FM 20 channels, 0.05 MHz (50 kHz) spacing 4W max power.
> Radios using this band are marked "CB 934/81" and are only legal in the UK,
> no new radios of this type are being made but existing equipment may
> continue to be used legally.
> Antennas must be omnidirectional - beams and yagis are prohibited. Antennas
> must stand vertically, no higher than 6.85m (not including ground plane.)
>
> AM and FM are not compatible so a US-legal CB cannot communicate with any
> UK-legal CB even though they may use the same frequencies. And each is
> illegal on the others' soil so this only prevents use of atmospheric skip
> between them. (See Part 4.)
>
> --- in France
> France uses the same frequencies (in 40 channels) as CEPT and the USA. AM,
> FM and SSB are permitted. The only legal CB radios in France are those which
> are approved by France Telecom or visiting CEPT-approved radios. Frequencies
> (MHz) modulation description
> 26.965 - 27.405 AM/SSB/FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA max power: 1W
> AM, 4W SSB, 4W FM
>
> Channel 9 AM used to be for emergencies but was deregulated because no one
> monitored it for that purpose.
> Channel 19 AM is the calling channel (used to establish contact then move to
> another channel.)
> Channel 11 FM is used for DX/skip long-distance contacts.
> Channel 27 AM is sometimes used as a calling channel for base stations (at
> home.) AM is the most commonly-used modulation method in France.
>
> When traveling outside France, remember that most countries in the CEPT
> conference do not permit the use of AM or SSB. France has adopted the CEPT
> rules for CB in Europe - see below for more information.
>
> --- in Germany
> Germany also uses the same frequencies as CEPT and the USA. AM and FM are
> the only legal modes of modulation. (SSB is not allowed.) AM is only allowed
> on some channels.
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
> 26.965 - 27.405 AM/FM 40 channels, same frequencies as USA
> max power: 1W AM and 4W FM
> AM is allowed on Channels 4-15
> FM is allowed on all 40 channels
> SSB is not permitted
> All signals must have vertical polarity.
>

>
> Channel 4 FM is the calling channel. FM is the more commonly-used modulation
> method in Germany.
>
> Notes: to meet the vertical polarity requirement, antennas must stand
> vertically. Also, tone-call transceivers are allowed so you can use touch
> tones to call someone if they are within receiving range and have a radio
> that will recognize the tones.
>
> When traveling outside Germany, remember that most countries in the CEPT
> conference do not permit the use of AM. Germany has adopted the CEPT rules
> for CB in Europe - see below for more information.
>
> --- in Italy
> Italy also uses the same frequencies as CEPT and the USA. AM, FM, and SSB
> are permitted.
>
> Channel 9 is recommended as an emergency channel. Truckers often use Channel
> 5 on AM. It has been reported that Q-codes are popular in Italy. Our
> reporters in both Italy and Germany have said that many Italian CB'ers can
> be heard using linear amplifiers even though they are illegal.
>
> --- In Portugal
> Portugal after the year 2000 will only allow CEPT approved radios on the
> abovementioned CEPT bandplan. Currently AM, FM, and SSB are permitted. There
> is no distance limit, however 5 watts power output is the limit. Amplifiers
> are forbidden, as well as directional antennas.
> Common channel usage:
> Ch. 9: Emergencies
> Ch.11: AM calling
> Ch 34 Truckers (ssb)
> Ch 6 : Taxicabs
>
>
> --- in Russia
> CB radios in Russia use the same frequencies as the USA and CEPT (European)
> countries. AM and FM are both permitted. The maximum transmit power is 5
> watts. Our reporter from Russia says there is no restriction on the distance
> of communications so, technically, use of skip (see Part 4) can be assumed
> to be legal.
>
> Because both AM and FM are legal, Russian CB radios can communicate with
> European radios (in FM) and with North American or Australian radios (in
> AM.)
>
> --- in South Africa
> South Africa allows AM and SSB on nine channels. These correspond to
> channels 19-27 on the US CB bandplan. 4 W AM and 12W SSB are authorized.
> Unity gain antennas are required. Amplifiers and Long-distance
> communications are forbidden.

> Calling channel:US 19
> Emergency Channel:US 21
> SSB use is typically on channels US 26 & 27
>
>
> --- in other countries
> This is the "grab bag" section. We have incomplete information on these
> countries but we'll tell you what we've heard. We'll add more information as
> people send it to us. (If you know more, please tell us!)
>
> * Switzerland uses FM on the same frequencies as CEPT but CBs do not appear
> to be very common there.
>
> * Channel 19 is the calling channel in Poland. Some probably-outdated info
> said that this was also the case in Czechoslovakia but that may not
> necessarily be true under the new governments of the Czech Republic and
> Slovakia.
>
> * The calling channels in Austria are 4 AM and 9 AM.
>
> * From California, we can hear a lot of CB activity in Mexico via skip but
> no one has provided us with any details yet. However, we know that Mexico
> uses AM on the same frequencies as the USA's 27 Mhz CB band.
>
> In every country we know of where CB is legal, radios must be type-accepted,
> meaning they must have been manufactured specifically for use with one or
> more that country. The exception is CEPT-approved radios, which may be used
> in any country which has implemented the conference's recommendations.
>
> * What are the common brands of CB radios?
>
> Some of the more common brands that you will find out in the USA market are
> as follows: Uniden, Cobra, Midland, Realistic (Radio Shack), Archer (Radio
> Shack) and others. Made-for-the-USA CB radios are also legal in Canada and
> Australia though they must be properly labeled ("type accepted") for sale
> and use in those countries.
>
> In France some common brands of radios are President and Midland. These are
> somewhat similar to Germany but the President brand is known as "Stabo"
> there.
>
> In Italy, Midland, Lafayette, and President are among the popular brand
> names.
>
> We currently don't have any corresponding information for other countries.
> Note that radios must be marked properly for sale in your country, even in
> countries using American or CEPT (European) radios.
>
> * What should I consider when choosing a location for my antenna?
>

> The answer to this question differs greatly between base and mobile
> installations. Each will be answered separately.
>
> In mobile installations, things to consider are whether you're willing to
> drill holes or want to use a magnet mount, gutter mount, trunk mount, or a
> bumper mount. If you say "no" to all of those (which would probably mean you
> have a very nice car) your list of options is pretty short - use a handheld
> with a rubber duck antenna.
>
> Otherwise, you can start making choices with the following things in mind:
> 1) the closer your antenna is to the top & center of the vehicle, the
> better. So the roof is better than the rain gutter or trunk and all of those
> are far better than the bumper. But they'll all work.
>
>
> 2) a drilled/permanent mount is better than a magnet mount in the same
> location. (This is so that the antenna gets a better "ground plane".) If you
> use a magnet mount, run your coax feed line through the door or hatch that
> gets the least use and then leave it alone. Don't use any path where the
> coax would get pinched.
>
>
> For base installations (i.e. at home) the best places are "as high as you
> can" within legal limits. The roof is normally fine. If you're in an
> apartment complex, you may be limited to a balcony but ask the manager -
> they may just want you to keep it "invisible." (Check your national and
> local regulations. In the USA, the FCC regulations limit CB antennas to 60
> feet above ground level or 20 feet above the tallest structure on your
> building. Maybe shorter if you live close to an airport - one foot high for
> every hundred feet from the nearest runway.)
>
> Base station antennas should have a good ground in case of lightning. (In
> the rare event of a lightning strike, this can mean the difference between
> burning down the building or just the radio.) Most cold water pipes that go
> into the ground will work. But the best choice for a ground would be an
> 8-foot (2.4m) copper rod stuck in the ground near the antenna. (If you want
> to use anything other than the rod for a ground, contact someone
> knowledgeable about housing construction and safety - you don't want to
> accidentally use a hot water or gas pipe. You also need to know your local
> building codes.)
>
> * Once I hook up my CB and antenna, is the radio ready to use?
>
> No. When you get a new CB and/or new antenna, the CB and the antenna must be
> tuned or "matched." To do this, you need an SWR meter which is a device that
> measures the impedance between the radio and the antenna. SWR stands for
> "Standing Wave Ratio." The lower your SWR, the better your match. First
> timers are recommended to get help from a knowledgeable person but some
> notes about the process are included here.
>

> You do NOT want your SWR reading to be over 3:1 ratio on any channel. It can
> damage your radio. A "perfect" match is 1.0:1 which gives you the best
> efficiency for your radio's output. However, "perfect" isn't possible so
> 1.1:1 is the best you can hope for. Even 1.5:1 is acceptable, but it isn't
> as good.
>
> You will only be able to achieve your best match on one or a small group of
> channels. The SWR will increase on each channel as you get further from that
> point. So 2:1 may even be unavoidable on the extremes, like Channels 1
> and/or 40. If you know you're going to use one channel most of the time, try
> to put your best match there. Otherwise, just center it at Channel 20 so you
> can use all 40 channels optimally.
>
> You may find SWR meters at places like Radio Shack or amateur radio dealers.
> Further information about Standing Wave Ratio can be obtained from the ARRL
> Handbook. (ARRL is the American Radio Relay League. Their annual handbook is
> full of information on many different aspects of amateur radio but the basic
> concepts apply in all radio bands.)
>
> Most stores that sell CB radios will have an SWR meter and someone who knows
> how to use it. But they will charge for their services.
>
> * What are good antenna characteristics and what are some good antennas?
>
> Antennas have to be designed to transmit and receive on the band that you
> are using. CB is located in the 11-meter band. (They call it that because
> the wavelength is about 11 meters.) CB antennas range in height from a
> little under 2 feet (24 inches) up to 108 inches.
>
> The 1/4 wave whip (108") will offer better reception and transmission
> capability over a shorter, coiled or "loaded" antenna.
>
> VERY short antennas, or multiple antennas that aren't "phased" properly will
> result in a very poor signal. Remember, for co-phasing antennas, they must
> be 1/4 wavelength apart which, for the 11 meter band, is around 9 feet. Most
> cars aren't wide enough to allow antennas to be placed 9 feet apart. The
> other characteristic of co-phasing is that it is much more directional- than
> a single antenna. It will transmit and receive MUCH better along the axis of
> the car than sideways off the car so if you want a omnidirectional antenna,
> you don't want co-phasing (so you only want one antenna.)
>
> Another subject of common questions is about antennas which receive AM/FM
> broadcast stations and act as a CB transceiver antenna. User experiences on
> this newsgroup vary widely with each brand. (The motorized ones seem to have
> the least favor among the postings we've seen.) In general, these antennas
> work but are not nearly as good as a dedicated CB antenna. You have to weigh
> the advantages and disadvantages for your circumstances.
>
> Good brands of dedicated CB antennas in the USA include (but are not
> necessarily limited to) Hustler, Wilson, Antenna Specialists, Solarcon, Jo

> Gunn, FireStick and K-40.
> In France, some common brands are President and Magnum.
>
>
>
>
> Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 3: Communication)
> THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET
> READERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS
> MESSAGE AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED
> WITHOUT MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any
> questions. Corrections and updates are welcome.
>
> * Which 10-codes are most commonly used?
>
> When getting started, remember at least the following 10-codes:
>
>
> 10-1 Receiving Poorly
> 10-4 Ok, Message Received
> 10-5 Relay message
> 10-7 Out of Service, Leaving Air (you're going off the air)
> 10-8 In Service, subject to call (you're back on the air)
> 10-9 Repeat Message
> 10-10 Transmission Completed, Standing By (you'll be listening)
> 10-20 "What's your location?" or "My location is..."
> Commonly asked as "What's your 20?"
> 10-33 EMERGENCY - NOTE: NOT an auto accident like many people think.
> 10-42 vehicle accident
> 10-100 Need to go to Bathroom
>
>
> Also, remember that 10-4 only means "message received". If you want to say
> "yes", use "yes". For "no", use "no".
>
>
> * What are the CB 10-codes?
>
> 10-1 Receiving Poorly
> 10-2 Receiving Well
> 10-3 Stop Transmitting
> 10-4 Ok, Message Received
> 10-5 Relay Message
> 10-6 Busy, Stand By
> 10-7 Out of Service, Leaving Air
> 10-8 In Service, subject to call
> 10-9 Repeat Message
> 10-10 Transmission Completed, Standing By
> 10-11 Talking too Rapidly
> 10-12 Visitors Present

> 10-13 Advise weather/road conditions
> 10-16 Make Pickup at...
> 10-17 Urgent Business
> 10-18 Anything for us?
> 10-19 Nothing for you, return to base
> 10-20 My Location is ..... or What's your Location?
> 10-21 Call by Telephone
> 10-22 Report in Person to: _____.
> 10-23 Standby
> 10-24 Completed last assignment
> 10-25 Can you Contact .....
> 10-26 Disregard Last Information/Cancel Last Message/Ignore
> 10-27 I am moving to Channel _____.
> 10-28 Identify your station
> 10-29 Time is up for contact
> 10-30 Does not conform to FCC Rules
> 10-32 I will give you a radio check
> 10-33 Emergency Traffic at this station
> 10-34 Trouble at this station, help needed
> 10-35 Confidential Information
> 10-36 Correct Time is ..... Or What time is it?
> 10-38 Ambulance needed at .....
> 10-39 Your message delivered
> 10-41 Please tune to channel .....
> 10-42 Traffic Accident at .....
> 10-43 Traffic tieup at .....
> 10-44 I have a message for you (or .....)
> 10-45 All units within range please report
> 10-50 Break Channel
> 10-62 Unable to copy, use phone
> 10-62sl unable to copy on AM, use Sideband - Lower (not an official code)
> 10-62su unable to copy on AM, use Sideband - Upper (not an official code)
> 10-65 Awaiting your next message/assignment
> 10-67 All units comply
> 10-70 Fire at .....
> . 10-73 Speed Trap at .....
> 10-75 You are causing interference
> 10-77 Negative Contact
> 10-84 My telephone number is .....
> 10-85 My address is .....
> 10-91 Talk closer to the Mike
> 10-92 Your transmitter is out of adjustment
> 10-93 Check my frequency on this channel
> 10-94 Please give me a long count
> 10-95 Transmit dead carrier for 5 sec.
> 10-99 Mission completed, all units secure
> 10-100 Need to go to Bathroom
> 10-200 Police needed at .....
>
>

> * Where are 10-codes used?
>
> 10-codes originated in the USA and are, apparently, only used in English-
> speaking countries. However, no matter which codes are used in your country,
> be aware that there are local dialects in every urban area and region. You
> have to listen to others to learn the phrases and codes in you area.
> Be aware that the use of codes specifically to obscure the meaning of a
> transmission is probably illegal in most countries. The difference is this:
> codes which are well known and make communications shorter or more efficient
> are normally allowed.
>
> * What are some of the more common Q-codes?
>
> Q-codes are used in many kinds of radio communications, including CB
> sideband but not typically on CB AM. (If your radio doesn't have sideband,
> don't worry about Q-codes.) Q-codes originated with amateur radio but their
> use in CB, even more so than 10-codes, can vary depending on who published
> the list. The following is an abbreviated list of Q-codes borrowed from
> amateur radio:
>
> QRM man made noise, adjacent channel interference
> QRN static noise
> QRO increase power
> QRP reduce power
> QRT shut down, clear
> QSL confirmation, often refers to confirmation cards exchanged by hams
> QSO conversation
> QSX standing by on the side
> QSY move to another frequency
> QTH address, location
>
>
> Q-codes may be used to ask questions (QTH?) or to answer them (QTH is 5th &
> Ivy Streets.)
>
>
> The ARRL Handbook and the ARRL operating guides have more complete listings
> of those used for amateur radio. (ARRL is an amateur radio organization.)
> Historically, the Q signals were instituted at the 'World Administrative
> Radio Conference' (WARC) in 1912. Because of their international origin,
> Q-codes may be more accepted outside English-speaking countries than
> 10-codes are.
>
> * What are some tips for communicating with others on the CB?
>
> The following is a list that is generally considered proper procedure or
> polite when using a CB radio. It can also be considered a beginner's
> survival guide. This list was compiled from common problems that have
> plagued beginners since CBs first became popular.
>

> - When two people are talking, essentially they temporarily "own" the
> channel. US FCC regulations say that they have to give other people
> opportunities to use the channel if they're going to use it more than
> several minutes. But it is not up to an outsider to "take" the channel from
> them.
>
> - Take care not to "step on" other units (i.e. transmitting at the same time
> as they are, thereby making both your transmissions unreadable.) This
> usually means that you should adjust your break squelch level so that you
> can hear the other unit and then only begin to transmit when you can't hear
> anyone else.
>
> - NEVER deliberately key over someone else. Nobody likes that.
>
> .- If you hear one unit break for another unit, give some time for the unit
> to respond before you say anything yourself. (Keep in mind that they may
> have to fumble for a microphone in a moving car or dodge furniture enroute
> to a base station.) Remember, the calling unit has the channel.
>
> - If you want to talk on a channel that is in use, it is very likely that
> your initial transmissions will accidentally "walk over" someone elses. So
> you must keep them short. The word "break" is generally accepted. Try to
> time it in a pause in the conversation.
>
> - Even when your "break" has been recognized, keep your next transmission
> short. For example, "Break one-seven for Godzilla" if you're on Channel 17
> and looking for someone whose handle is Godzilla. If Godzilla doesn't answer
> in a reasonably short amount of time, it doesn't hurt to say "thanks for the
> break" to the units that stopped their conversation for you.
>
> - If you break on an open (unused) channel, you don't have to be as brief.
> For example, "Break 17 for Godzilla. Are you out there Godzilla?". However,
> the short form is perfectly acceptable, too. Use what fits your style.
>
> - If someone speaking to you gets "walked over" so that you can't understand
> the message, you basically have two options. You can tell the person you
> were listening to, "10-9, you were stepped on", or you can find out what the
> breaker wants, "Go ahead break", before returning to your original
> conversation. You should eventually recognize the breaker and find out what
> they want.
>
> - If two people are talking and you would like to interject a response, you
> will probably just walk over someone. Use the procedure above to properly
> break into the conversation.
>
> - If someone doesn't answer your breaks after two or three attempts. Stop
> and wait for several minutes or, in mobile units, for several highway miles
> or city blocks. Others may have their radios on and don't want to listen to
> the same break more than three times in succession.
>

> - In other circumstances, improvise. Take into account other people's points
> of view. Give people proper access to the channel and try not to do anything
> to annoy other units.
>
> - If you make a mistake in any of the procedures above, don't waste air time
> on a busy channel by apologizing. (If the channel isn't busy, it's your
> choice.) Just try to do it right in the future. Everyone takes a little time
> to learn.
>
> OK, now you know how to conduct yourself on the radio. However, there are
> and will probably always be units that don't. Be patient. You don't have
> authority to enforce any rules so don't break any by trying.
>
>
>
>
> Rec.radio.cb Frequently Asked Questions (Part 4: Technical Trivia)
> THIS ARTICLE IS INTENDED TO BE A FREE RESOURCE FOR THE BENEFIT OF USENET REA
> DERS. YOU MAY COPY AND REDISTRIBUTE IT UNDER THE CONDITION THAT THIS MESSAGE
> AND CREDIT TO THE EDITORS AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED WITHOUT
> MODIFICATION. Material from the FAQ may be used to answer any questions.
> Corrections and updates are welcome.
>
> * What is single sideband?
>
> To understand single-sideband (SSB), one must first have a picture of what's
> going on in a normal AM (amplitude modulation) transmission. For example, on
> Channel 21 (in North America, Australia, and Europe), a "carrier" is
> transmitted at 27.215 MHz. Your voice (or whatever you're transmitting) is
> used to change (modulate) the height (amplitude) of the signal so that it
> can be reconstructed as your voice on the receiving end.
>
> Actually, the amplitude of the carrier does not change. The addition
> (modulation) of another signal, like your voice, onto the carrier will
> increase the amplitude at other frequencies adjacent to the the carrier. A
> 300 Hz tone, for example, would add signals 300 Hz above and below the
> carrier. Every frequency component of your voice has the same additive
> effect. These modulation effects are the upper and lower sidebands of the
> transmitted signal. Normal AM transmissions include the carrier signal, the
> upper sideband (USB) and lower sideband (LSB).
>
> The difference in frequency from the bottom of the lower sideband to the top
> of the upper sideband is called the bandwidth of the signal; it will be
> twice as wide as the highest frequency modulated onto the carrier. (For
> poorly filtered radios, this can unintentionally interfere with adjacent
> channels if the bandwidth is too wide. Poor filtering becomes easily
> noticeable at higher power levels.)
>
> There is enough information in either sideband to reconstruct the original
> signal. Therefore, radios which can use single sideband are able to filter

> out the carrier and the opposite sideband before transmitting them, leaving
> either the upper sideband or lower sideband, as selected by the CB operator.
> Obviously, for a conversation to take place, both the transmitting and
> receiving radios have to be on the same channel and sideband setting (i.e.
> Channel 25 LSB.) Some additional tuning will be necessary with a "clarifier"
> control.
>
> Assuming proper filtering within the radios, it should be possible for
> separate conversations to occur on the upper and lower sidebands of a given
> channel without interfering with each other.
>
> * Do I really get 120 channels on a radio with single sideband?
>
> [This applies to the USA, Australia, Canada, and any other countries that
> use AM and SSB on the same 40-channel band.]
>
> Yes and no. SSB does give you 120 different communications paths (40 AM, 40
> USB, and 40 LSB) but they are not free of interference from each other. The
> upper and lower sidebands will interfere with the AM channel and vice versa.
> With some radios, even the opposite sidebands can interfere with each other.
>
> For example, (and this works for any channel - just fill in a different
> number from 1 to 40) Channel 17 has an AM channel that every radio can use.
> Radios with SSB will also be able to use the upper and lower sidebands.
> However, within a few miles of each other, you can't effectively use the AM
> channel if people are talking on either sideband. Though not as strong,
> users of sideband will know if someone is using the AM channel but the
> higher legal power settings on sideband give them an advantage.
>
> * How can I reduce engine noise on my mobile CB?
>
> If you have problems with engine noise in a mobile installation, there are
> several things you can do to reduce or eliminate the problem. - Make sure
> you've completely installed your CB and the antenna is grounded properly.
> Check the amount of noise with and without the antenna connected. If the
> noise is greater when the antenna is connected, the source is probably the
> ignition system. If the noise remains unchanged after disconnecting the
> antenna, the source is probably in the CB's power supply.
>
> - For ignition noise, there are several parts of the vehicle that can act
> like RF noise transmitters if not properly grounded. Check (and connect to
> ground on the chassis/frame, if necessary) the engine block, hood, muffler,
> and exhaust pipe. - Ignition noise can be further reduced by installing
> "magnetic suppression" spark plug cables. (These are significantly more
> expensive than normal spark plug cables.) - For power supply noise, check if
> you have a capacitor attached to your ignition coil, alternator, and
> distributor. These can act as filters right at the source of the noise.
>
> - Ensure that your antenna feedline and power lines are as far as possible
> from any of the noise sources mentioned above, especially the engine

> block. - A shielded power cable can help as well. Make sure it is of
> sufficient gauge to handle your radio's power consumption and that the
> shielding has a good, strong connection to ground on the vehicle chassis or
> frame. - Electronics stores such as Radio Shack sell cheap power filters for
> noise-reduction purposes. You get what you pay for, though - most comments
> on this newsgroup are that they are not as effective as the other measures
> above.
>
> Of course, the best performance can be obtained from a more expensive radio
> with better noise suppression circuits. These measures, however, will
> improve the engine noise levels for nearly any mobile radio.
>
> * What is GMRS?
>
> [This applies only to the USA.]
> The General Mobile Radio Service is another personal radio band. Its
> frequency allocation is in the ultra-high frequency (UHF) spectrum,
> co-located with some other radio services in the range from 460-470 MHz. It
> requires a license but there is no examination. Though GMRS is not CB, it is
> listed here because it is intended for use by individuals. A single GMRS
> license also covers the members of the licensee's household. The license fee
> is US$35 for 5 years.
>
> Frequencies (MHz) modulation description
> 460 - 470 FM General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS)
> regular channels (simplex or repeater outputs, repeater inputs are 5MHz
> higher)
> 462.550 462.575 462.600 462.625 462.650 462.675 462.700 462.725
> simplex low-power channels
> 462.5625 462.5875 462.6125 462.6375 462.6625 462.6875 462.7125
> 31.0-31.3 GHz any mode microwave band now open for individuals to use,
> requires GMRS license
>
>
> GMRS is used for mobile-to-mobile or base-to-mobile communications but
> base-to-base communications are prohibited. One useful aspect of GMRS is
> that repeaters are allowed so that a mobile GMRS station can extend its
> range well beyond the limits of an ordinary 5-watt mobile transmitter.
>
> Only individuals may obtain GMRS licenses. Some businesses still have
> licenses from before the rules were changed in 1989 but no new licenses are
> being issued to "non-individuals."
>
> Recent changes in regulations have given GMRS licensees access to the micro-
> wave band at 31.0-31.3 GHz for both fixed and mobile use. The licensee must
> notify the FCC of each transmitter with a standard FCC form.
>
> A source of information is the Personal Radio Steering Group. A reader said
> they have lots of good information and are enthusiastic about GMRS.
>

> Personal Radio Steering Group
> PO Box 2851
> Ann Arbor MI 48016
> Phone: (313) MOBILE 3
> BBS: (313) 995-2100
> Compuserve: 73016.163
>
>
> * What is the Family Radio Service?
>
> [This applies to the U.S.A only]
> Recently, in the US, the FCC authorized a new service for use by the general
> public. The Family Radio Service is a new service for use by individuals.
> Tandy Corporation has pressed the FCC for this new service.
>
> The FRS is a very short range UHF Radio service. This service consists of 14
> channels in the UHF band. Equipment is restricted to a handheld radio with
> non-detachable antenna, and 500 mW Effective Radiated Power on output. CTCSS
> tones are permitted, and will be used on some equipment. Range has been said
> to be "a few city blocks", although this service is so new, equipment (as of
> this edition) has not yet made it to the general public for use.
>
> Repeater operation is not permitted, nor is data communications. Only
> type-accepted FRS equipment is permitted for use on this service.
>
> * Where can I learn more about radio?
>
> If you like what you see here and want to learn more about the technical
> aspects of radio, you may be interested in getting an amateur ("ham") radio
> license. These licenses are available in almost every country in the world,
> including all of the countries that have CB.
>
> Amateur radio is very different from CB. This is neither good nor bad - they
> have different purposes. With the training that a licensed amateur radio
> operator is expected to have, much more powerful transmitters are allowed -
> but the operator should know when that power is not necessary and refrain
> from it. Amateurs have no limits on the range of their communications (at
> least, by law or treaty) so it is possible to make distant "DX" contacts
> using atmospheric skip, satellites, or even bouncing signals off the Moon.
> Again, training and the willingness to cooperate (to avoid interference with
> others) are necessary for obtaining and using these privileges.
>
> Japan, Sweden the USA and many other countries have authorized a new amateur
> radio "no-code" license which requires only a written test (no morse code).
> However, the test is challenging enough to necessitate several weeks of
> study (or a few days if you already have some familiarity with the subject)
> so don't go unprepared. More information, see the FAQ articles in the
> rec.radio.amateur.misc newsgroup or contact the American Radio Relay League
> at: http://www.arrl.org/
>

> Even if you don't want the license, books intended for amateur radio
> operators make available a wealth of information on understanding
> transmitters, recei- vers, antennas, modulation, signal propagation,
> electronics, and many other topics which also apply to CB.
>
> ###END### rec.radio.cb FAQ
>
>
>
>

5632. Re: Rec.radio.cb FAQ att: twistedhed@webtv.net please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 22:57:10 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E1220C6.C95DE511@listed.here>
Source record: 148168

sideband wrote:
>
> This guy's starting to remind me a bit of Aaron back in the old days.

I don't even read his BS anymore. I just go to the Next message.

5633. Re: Looing for a moile antenna mast like 36" to 48"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:00:04 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E122174.6590172C@listed.here>
Source record: 148169

DJboutit wrote:
>
> I have my antenna placed behind the rear door on a minivman and I got
> a antenna with a two coils. I need like a 36" to 48" inch antenna
> mast where can I get one, I have though about getting the hustler 54"
> one but though it might make the home made bracket to heavy. The
> bracket is like 10" off the ground and the top of the car is like 6ft
> to 7ft high. I need the coils higher than the roof of the car so what
> hight antenna mast should I use and where can I get it online.

Anytime you MUST have a particular antenna, you must compromise.

It's your cake, now eat it.

5634. Re: Anyone remember the Spartans and others on 27.145?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:01:25 UTC
From: Royce Dean <plumbing101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9lpQ9.580189$NH2.39188@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 148170

Yeah....and the "Armadillos" were on 26.995(3A) even further back than that.

"Imareal Tbar" <itbar73@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tds31v00io6sq28hac06opbci335pm6nkn@4ax.com...
> About 20 years ago (and beyond), I frequented 27.145, one of the RC
> channels, and often QSO'ed a lot of folks on SSB. One of the main
> groups that hung around there was called the "Spartan" group, many out
> of the U.S. West Coast (propagation often favored that area from my
> area - U.S. Midwest). Now I don't hear any activity there like I did
> years ago. The channel seems to be used almost exclusively by AM
> stations south of the U.S. border, with only few-and-far-between AM
> stations from the U.S.
>
> Anyone else here on the NG remember 27.145 SSB stuff? I know some of
> the other RC channels are presently in use by U.S. AM stations. I can
> only presume the SSB'ers have moved up, way up, the band.
>
> 73! -- I.T.

5635. Re: Ain't nuthin' Funny about Anti-Christs !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:01:57 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E1221E5.6B18D433@listed.here>
Source record: 148171

Dave or Debby wrote:

> What you are saying here is that Those whose book IS the
> "Traditions of the Elders (the TALMUD)" which Jesus spoke against, that you
> are NOT a "True Christian" if you don't believe that they are "God's
> People?" Ever read about "the Synagog of Satan?" Maybe you are NOT
> Chrisian at all if you hold that ludicrist position! They DO NOT go by THE
> BIBLE. They go by THE Babylonian Talmud. I have seen it with my own eyes
> their UnBiblical teaching. They call us "CATTLE and DOGS" because we don't
> subscribe to their Anti-God & Anti-Christ LIES ! And I'm not a Chrisian
> because I tell you THE TRUTH about them?!
> 2 Chron. 7:14 was put down FOR YOU ! REPENT !
> Dave!!!

The only "real" bible is the torah. All the rest is wannabe BS.

5636. Re: MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:08:02 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <autb7j$79pv$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 148186

You never heard the cowboy n indian n mooslim story? Well here it is...

A cowboy, an Indian, and a Muslim were waiting for a plane in Dallas, that had been delayed.

"Once," the Indian said, "my people were strong and we were many."

"Now," the Muslim said, "my people are strong and we are many. Why is that?"

The cowboy put down his beer and looked him in the eye. "It's cuz we ain't played cowboys and Muslims yet."

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3e1228f6_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> What kind of a Cowboy goes to Mooslim countries?!
> A Space Cowboy?!
> Dave!
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:austsa$71tm$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > No CB's in Mooslim countries? Maybe you ought to go to the Mooslim
> countries
> > before you say that they dont have something that they actually do! I
> know,
> > been there done that!
> >
> >
> > Oman -1990
> > Bahrain-1990
> > Abu Dabi-1990
> > Egypt-2001
> > Kuwait-2001
> > Saudi Arabia-2001
> > Afghanistan-2002
> > Uzbekistan-2002
> >
> > Thanks to Good ole Uncle Sugar!
> >
> > Cowboy67
> > USN(6yrs)
> > USA(2yrs)
> > USAF(5yrs)
> > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim
> could

> > > get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney
> > > Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed
for
> > > "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for
> > centuries,
> > > improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this
> > Mooselim
> > > finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
> > > What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries!
> When
> > > they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU
!
> > > Convert or they will piece you out!
> > >
Dave!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5637. Re: twistedhed@webtv.net 5,470 posts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:13:01 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E12247D.6BA68941@listed.here>
Source record: 148172

James: Send your abuse report to inreach.com

Buzzy da Buzzbomb wrote:
>
> <james> jdaggett@gate.net wrote in message:
>
> > Buzzy:
> >
> > Now for your next trick can you disappear?
> >
> > james
> >
>
> James,
>
> Sent you an email, open it and click on the attachment.
> or just wait, it will self-execute the next time you boot up.
>
> Enjoy

5638. Re: Many will die!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:13:25 UTC
From: KBME6334 <refundagent@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <pwpQ9.579210$QZ.83433@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 148173

If we don't stop illegal operators there will be no "B's" left on Earth to "S".....let alone read a meter! This is SERIOUS!!!When we stand before God he will ask us if we obeyed all fcc rules & regs! Don't risk Hell! Turn off your linears!

5639. Re: Where to mount my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:15:21 UTC
From: WMC Club <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E122509.CEB0323D@listed.here>
Source record: 148174

Cowboy67 wrote:
>
> OTAY! Panky! It already on da seat. I isa lookin' for a place to mount it.
>

You can mount your radio with the mounting bracket screwed under the dash.

It won't be a new vehicle forever. Do you still knock the farts out of the seat?

5640. Re: Ham license? Gun registration? NO WAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:30:01 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231183001.02081.00000065@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 148175

The ARRL is organized by Hiram Percy Maxim to help relay messages, typical ranges were 25 miles. QST magazine appears in 1915. Hiram Percy Maxim was the son of Sir Hiram Stevens Maxim who invented the machine gun (the Devil's Paint Brush), father and son are often confused, although Hiram Percy Maxim did invent a weapons silencer.

Here we have it:
Father=machine gun, son=Ham radio. From machine gun came Ham radio.

5641. Re: MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:32:46 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1228cf_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148176

NO, I meant to say PIECE ! Dey want a piece out of all us so called
"unbelievers!"
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:PPmQ9.3217$T5.1301994@news.alltel.net...
> Dave meant to say Peace
>
> drc
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim
could
> > get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney
> > Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed for
> > "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for
> centuries,
> > improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this
> Mooselim
> > finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
> > What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries!
When
> > they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU !
> > Convert or they will piece you out!
> > Dave!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5642. Re: MORE proof ISLAM is a religion of "PIECE"! - YEMEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:33:26 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e1228f6_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148177

What kind of a Cowboy goes to Mooslim countries?!
A Space Cowboy?!
Dave!

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:austsa$71tm$1@news3.infoave.net...
> No CB's in Mooslim countries? Maybe you ought to go to the Mooslim
countries
> before you say that they dont have something that they actually do! I
know,
> been there done that!
>
>
> Oman -1990
> Bahrain-1990
> Abu Dabi-1990
> Egypt-2001
> Kuwait-2001
> Saudi Arabia-2001
> Afghanistan-2002
> Uzbekistan-2002
>
> Thanks to Good ole Uncle Sugar!
>
> Cowboy67
> USN(6yrs)
> USA(2yrs)
> USAF(5yrs)
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e11d9e9$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Yep, 3 murdered medical Christian missionaries, just so one Mooselim
could
> > get closer to The Lake of Fire! Mohammedens; Moon Worshippers. Luney
> > Anti-Christs. Killing Christians helping their own people! Killed for
> > "spreading Christianity. Jesus has had his people doing that for
> centuries,
> > improving conditions in every country that wanted them. When this
> Mooselim
> > finds out that Jesus IS God, boy will be be in for a HOT Shock !
> > What does this have to do with CB's? NO CB's in Mooslim countries!
When
> > they say that Islam is a "Religion of Piece," they mean a piece of YOU !
> > Convert or they will piece you out!
> > Dave!!!

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5643. Re: Serious Short of CB Techs? What a JOKE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:34:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122953_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148178

AIN'T NO DOUBT on da BUTCHERS !
What blows my mind is that truck drivers say that these BOZO shops are springing up all over da land!
Dave!!

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message news:DNmQ9.3215$T5.1301377@news.alltel.net...
> guess I gotta agree with Dave on this one.... just more "chop shops"
>
> drc
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3e11c3a2_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > The problem IS that there IS a shortage of GOOD, HONEST Techs and 1 man
> > operation CB shops in general. The problem is that these IDIOTS teach
> > people with NO electronics background how to be LOUSY CB Shops!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:v13ev2legfrc0a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > That's right... According to this website, there is a "Serious shortage
> > of
> > > trained CB Radio Technicians" and because of this, it "has surprising
> > Money
> > > Making Opportunity". You could "Make $500-$1000 per week."!!
> > >
> > > Depending on how many thousands of dollars you have to start out with,
> > they
> > > will send you the books and test equipment you need to "be your own
> boss"!
> > > Amazingly, "This is a Serious money making opportunity and closely
> guarded
> > > secret"!! Closely guarded secret??? GET REAL!!
> > >
> > > They have QuickTime training videos available on CD! Better have a
> THICK
> > > wallet!
> > >
> > > *Checking and adjusting SWR 1, 2 ,3's for big trucks Price $129.95
> > > *Microphone Rewiring 101 $99.95
> > > *Cobra 29 Peak and Tune and alignment video package. only $99.95
> > > *Cobra 29 Echo and Talkback Install $89.95
> > >

> > > And there's more! But guess what... " These packages are currently
not
> > > available. If you are truly interested, send me an email," and,
> "[These]
> > > video[s] [aren't] currently available. If you are interested, Email
me
> at
> > > [XXXXX@XXXXXX.COM]"
> > >
> > > Check it out! It's hoot to read!
> > > http://www.proclassradio.com/CBRepair.htm
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5644. Re: Thou shall NOT ADULTERATE thy seed (Adultery)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:38:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122a40_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148179

I is gonna stay away from dem black woman, because (a) I is married now, and
(b) It would be AGAINST GOD's LAW for me to Marry the BROZ womans!
Sing with me:

Jesus loves all da little Children; All da children of da world; Yellow,
Black, Red & White;
Just don't MIX dem in his sight;
Jesus Loves all da children of da world!
(He Hates da UN too)!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0212310846.94ee9ef@posting.google.com...
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3e110c2f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>...
> > "Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> > > And leading the list of
> > > noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> > > outlawing and punishing
> > > interracial cohabitation and marriage.
> >
> >
> > This is where Marriage Licences came form. The definition of
> > licence is permission to do something illegal, unlawful a trespass or a
> > tort. Marriage Licences until the 60's were used to prevent interracial
> > marriage. They got rid of that, and LOOK what you have NOW ! The UN
"Brown
> > Man" (Tiger Woods). Is it "natural" to go interracial? Did GOD set
> > "boundries?" WHY would Nations have to be "healed" in Rev. 21? What
would
> > mess them up? A nation use to be A RACE of people. Some countries
still
> > are, like Korea. Something to think about! WHO is pushing for people
to go
> > interracial? !
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

>
> i don't know dave.......you had better check out the comedy show on
> bet and tell me if you wouldn't throw down on some of those gorgeous
> brown sugar babes.............i would be first in line to get my
> marriage license and then proceed to get hen pecked........no doubt
> about it........

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5645. Re: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:39:40 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122a6f_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148180

I know it's NOT liberal--it's PURE COMMUNIST !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<james> wrote in message news:55i31vgvbjfvarjuds8dsdd94aan5s0p6p@4ax.com...
> Dave
>
> What liberal media?
>
> Follow the money trail and you will really find out who runs teh
> media! It is not liberal either.
>
> james
>
>
> On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 11:25:25 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>
> >CAN WE believe ANYTHING the Liberal Media says?
> >Dave!
> >"Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> >>
> >> From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
> >> To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
> >> Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
> >> Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM
> >>
> >>
> >> I have my black eyes on today
> >> No Party for Essie Mae
> >> By Colbert I. King
> >> Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
> >> The Washington Post
> >>
> >> Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the
> >> annals of Washington soirees as
> >> an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said
> >> and by whom. But the
> >> celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in
> >> attendance.
> >>
> >> The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former
> >> members of Congress, Supreme

> >> Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South
Carolina
> >> senator. But the party failed to
> >> include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in
> >> Los Angeles. A widow since
> >> 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae
> >> Washington in 1925 in
> >> Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a
relationship
> >> between a white Edgefield
> >> school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed
> >> "Tunch," who worked as a
> >> maid in the superintendent's stately house.
> >>
> >> Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe
> >> Essie Mae Washington was
> >> invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The
> >> fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta
> >> Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black
> >> South Carolina State College, was
> >> not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her
father,
> >> the former Edgefield school
> >> superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S.
> >> history, Strom Thurmond.
> >>
> >> This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's
> >> alleged black daughter. Marilyn
> >> Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,
> >> included a chapter about
> >> then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
> >> named Essie Mae in "Ol'
> >> Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
> >> Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
> >> a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
> >> 10 years tracking the story, also
> >> wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties
with
> >> an African American woman for
> >> The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
> >> acknowledged a
> >> relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
> >> has never issued a categorical
> >> denial.
> >>
> >> The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
> >> circumstantial evidence or to repeat
> >> interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
> >> secret relationship between

> >> Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
> >> annotation to Thurmond's life is
> >> worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation
did
> >> more to stifle racial progress in
> >> America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
> >> era until Alabama Gov. George
> >> Wallace came along.
> >>
> >> Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
> >> enforced laws
> >> legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
> >> of daily life. And leading the list of
> >> noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> >> outlawing and punishing
> >> interracial cohabitation and marriage.
> >>
> >> Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
> >> a tight color line and
> >> strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
> >> Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
> >> called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so
motivated
> >> that he captured the Senate
> >> floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a
civil
> >> rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
> >> Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
> >> to keep the races distinct.
> >>
> >> And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
> >> not practice what he so
> >> fervently preached.
> >>
> >> The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
> >> Thurmond, Essie Mae and her
> >> mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception,
arrogance
> >> and what it means to be
> >> unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom
> >> Thurmond. His day has come and
> >> gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy
> >> of racial ill will that live on.
> >>
> >> Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from
> >> people, the vestiges of which
> >> still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of
> >> the white women of the South
> >> even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a

> >> woman of the darker race,
> >> surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern
> >> white men.
> >>
> >> While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that
> >> used the gun and the noose to
> >> say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races.
> >> Segregation, to be sure, left
> >> generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized
> >> ambitions, even as others
> >> enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care
> >> and the like. But the official
> >> rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it
> >> possible for untold numbers of
> >> people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the
> >> pleasure of sharing, if even for a
> >> moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men
> >> and women, even as men
> >> like him gave themselves a pass.
> >>
> >> And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the
way
> >> it is. But the birthday party is
> >> not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put
> >> aside our agendas and turn to
> >> quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.
> >>
> >> This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the
> >> one untouched by the joy of Ol'
> >> Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.
> >>
> >> e-mail: kingc@washpost.com
> >> © 2002 The Washington Post Company
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5646. Re: TRENT LOTT, OT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:41:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122ada_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148181

AIN'T NO DOUBT, Cheeze ! The liberal media "protects" them by hiding their secrets! Ain't you GLAD GOD is for da little man?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0212310855.422f1c2@posting.google.com...
> "Crossfire" <Noone@home.com> wrote in message news:<1041293283.199796@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>...
> > From: "Clarence Madison" <wb9pme@mindspring.com>
> > To: "Lizz Brown" <lizzbrown@wgnu.net>
> > Subject: No party for Essie Mae..!!!!!
> > Date: Monday, December 30, 2002 5:33 PM
> >
> >
> > I have my black eyes on today
> > No Party for Essie Mae
> > By Colbert I. King
> > Saturday, December 21, 2002; Page A23
> > The Washington Post
> >
> > Sen. Strom Thurmond's 100th birthday party will go down in the
> > annals of Washington soirees as
> > an event most noted for who was there and, above all, what was said
> > and by whom. But the
> > celebration was also remarkable because of a person not in
> > attendance.
> >
> > The audience was full of luminaries, including current and former
> > members of Congress, Supreme
> > Court justices and friends and family of the retiring South Carolina
> > senator. But the party failed to
> > include a retired schoolteacher in her late seventies now living in
> > Los Angeles. A widow since
> > 1964, the former teacher, Essie Mae Williams, was born Essie Mae
> > Washington in 1925 in
> > Edgefield, S.C. Washington reportedly is the fruit of a relationship
> > between a white Edgefield
> > school superintendent and a black teenager, Essie Butler, nicknamed
> > "Tunch," who worked as a
> > maid in the superintendent's stately house.
> >
> > Now, it could have been an oversight, a deliberate snub or maybe
> > Essie Mae Washington was

> > invited but chose not to come. But this much is true: The
> > fair-skinned woman, a member of Delta
> > Sigma Theta sorority and a 1950 graduate of traditionally black
> > South Carolina State College, was
> > not on hand to help honor the man believed by many to be her father,
> > the former Edgefield school
> > superintendent and the oldest and longest-serving senator in U.S.
> > history, Strom Thurmond.
> >
> > This is not a groundbreaking column on the story of Thurmond's
> > alleged black daughter. Marilyn
> > Thompson, The Post's assistant managing editor for investigations,
> > included a chapter about
> > then-Gov. Thurmond's alleged support for an alleged black daughter
> > named Essie Mae in "Ol'
> > Strom," an unauthorized biography of Thurmond that she wrote with
> > Jack Bass in 1998. Thompson,
> > a veteran South Carolina reporter who had spent the better part of
> > 10 years tracking the story, also
> > wrote a lengthy article about the senator and his longtime ties with
> > an African American woman for
> > The Post's Style section in 1992. Both Thurmond and Washington have
> > acknowledged a
> > relationship, but she denies he is her father, though the senator
> > has never issued a categorical
> > denial.
> >
> > The purpose of today's column is not to rehash the strong
> > circumstantial evidence or to repeat
> > interviews with knowledgeable sources about the long-standing and
> > secret relationship between
> > Thurmond and Washington. Thompson unearthed all that. But this
> > annotation to Thurmond's life is
> > worth highlighting, given that his fiery advocacy of segregation did
> > more to stifle racial progress in
> > America than the actions of any single human being in the postwar
> > era until Alabama Gov. George
> > Wallace came along.
> >
> > Strom Thurmond was among the vanguard of southerners who passed and
> > enforced laws
> > legalizing segregation and discrimination in virtually every aspect
> > of daily life. And leading the list of
> > noxious Jim Crow laws were statutes specifically put on the books
> > outlawing and punishing
> > interracial cohabitation and marriage.
> >
> > Thurmond was chief among those who believed and argued that drawing
> > a tight color line and

> > strictly segregating the races was the only way to prevent what
> > Theodore Bilbo of Mississippi
> > called "the mongrelization of the Nation." Thurmond was so motivated
> > that he captured the Senate
> > floor in 1957 and filibustered for 24 straight hours against a civil
> > rights bill and "race-mixing." It was
> > Thurmond who vowed to preserve the integrity of the white race and
> > to keep the races distinct.
> >
> > And it was Strom Thurmond who, according to published reports, did
> > not practice what he so
> > fervently preached.
> >
> > The very thing Thurmond condemned, he did. Learn the story of
> > Thurmond, Essie Mae and her
> > mom, and come to understand the true meaning of deception, arrogance
> > and what it means to be
> > unprincipled. But this is not the time to wage war against Strom
> > Thurmond. His day has come and
> > gone. Rather we must address the damage that he did and his legacy
> > of racial ill will that live on.
> >
> > Thurmond helped create a world with walls separating people from
> > people, the vestiges of which
> > still exist. He was among those who vowed to protect the virtue of
> > the white women of the South
> > even as he allegedly used the back stairs to have his way with a
> > woman of the darker race,
> > surreptitiously exercising a prerogative preserved for Southern
> > white men.
> >
> > While doing that, he and they preserved an evil social system that
> > used the gun and the noose to
> > say that the gift of love cannot come to people of different races.
> > Segregation, to be sure, left
> > generations of African Americans with broken dreams and unrealized
> > ambitions, even as others
> > enriched themselves with better schools, jobs, housing, health care
> > and the like. But the official
> > rules and racial customs of Thurmond's Jim Crow era also made it
> > possible for untold numbers of
> > people to miss out on the mystery of love, to never know the
> > pleasure of sharing, if even for a
> > moment. Thurmond stood between the natural coming together of men
> > and women, even as men
> > like him gave themselves a pass.
> >
> > And now he goes out glorified. Because in Washington, that's the way
> > it is. But the birthday party is

> > not the last word or act. For some of us, this is the season to put
> > aside our agendas and turn to
> > quiet reflection, repentance and recommitment.
> >
> > This is also a time of giving. So reach out with a thought to the
> > one untouched by the joy of Ol'
> > Strom's birthday party: the woman who wasn't there.
> >
> > e-mail: kingc@washpost.com
> > © 2002 The Washington Post Company
>
> well this all wouldn't surprise me one bit.....citizenship is a
> mother isn't it??? you wonder why we have these kinds of men leading
> us.....because we are confortable having them
> there.....because they.....look like us.....is
> anyone paying attention.....it is important to be observant
> and aware and most of all be informed.....this guy did
> something like this and was elected to us government??? and he stayed
> there forever??? what does that say about us???? why can't john q
> public be elected.??? some guy who goes to church, doesn't do all of
> this kind of crap, lives a decent life, and really cares about the
> american people and not himself.....???? that is what i want to
> know.....i am sick and tired of the failures of these men who
> lead us.....sick.....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5647. Re: 2003 will be the 45th Anniversary of CB Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:44:36 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122b94_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148182

Comments below each point!
Dave

<james> wrote in message news:1ol31vcgu2q6ltfcj1jje15ijiim8p1u5e@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 19:26:58 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:
>Dave,
> > I can recomend one thing you can do to
> >improve this NG. Do you really want to
> >hear it?
> > 'Doc
> **
>
> Hell I am not bashful.
>
> 1) get a spell checker

Got one on this milleneeum pooter pwogram. I'm digitally
disfunctionate to use it!

>
> 2) Learn the English language

Blame yo liberal public fools system for dat!

>
> 3) Learn to stand on your two feet and think for yourself.

WHY should I do that when I have you liberals to do it for me?!
It is WORK to think, and the more you think, the morte PAIN you will have!
I have enough pain already (with much knowledge come much PAIN, Proverbs
says!)!

>
> james
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5648. Re: Looing for a moile antenna mast like 36" to 48"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:45:49 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3e122be1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 148183

No compromise needed. Get a 4' Francis.
Dave!

"WMC Club" <not@listed.here> wrote in message
news:3E122174.6590172C@listed.here...
>
>
> DJboutit wrote:
> >
> > I have my antenna placed behind the rear door on a minivman and I got
> > a antenna with a two coils. I need like a 36" to 48" inch antenna
> > mast where can I get one, I have though about getting the hustler 54"
> > one but though it might make the home made bracket to heavy. The
> > bracket is like 10" off the ground and the top of the car is like 6ft
> > to 7ft high. I need the coils higher than the roof of the car so what
> > hight antenna mast should I use and where can I get it online.
>
>
> Anytime you MUST have a particular antenna, you must compromise.
>
> It's your cake, now eat it.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5649. Armadillo amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:46:45 UTC
From: rabbit <rabbitsass@aol.com>
Message-ID: <jtSdnYE3Ioz0sY-jXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 148184

Armadillo amps made some were in Ga does nayone no anything about them

alot have heard they were very good

thanks

5650. Re: Many will die!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-12-31 23:59:01 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <fpb41v8vhccpuk37iob47vqf1fguqjp7o1@4ax.com>
Source record: 148185

On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 06:00:20 GMT, "KBME6334" <refundagent@insightbb.com> wrote:

>The most grave problem faced by this planet's population today is the threat
>of illegal radio operation. If this problem is not solved soon all life on
>Earth will cease. The effects could very well affect other dimensions and
>planes of existence. We must therefore exert our every waking hour to the
>cessation of this abominable and deadly practice.
>
>Let's get out there and do it!!! The world....nay, THE UNIVERSE IS DEPENDING
>ON YOU!!!!
>
>
>

I'm waiting to die.......

''Behold how good and pleasant it is for Brethren to dwell together in unity''
Donnie n4jzh@knology.net